

PHELPS DODGE CORP  
Form 10-Q  
October 29, 2003

**Table of Contents**

---

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003

Commission file number 1-82

**PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION**

(a New York corporation)

13-1808503

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85004

Registrant's telephone number: (602) 366-8100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No .

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No .

Number of Common Shares outstanding at October 27, 2003: 89,345,792 shares.

---

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

FINANCIAL DATA BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

REVIEW BY INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF PHELPS DODGE MINING COMPANY

RESULTS OF PHELPS DODGE INDUSTRIES

OTHER MATTERS RELATING TO THE STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS

CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

Signatures

Index to Exhibits

EX-10.14

EX-11

EX-12

EX-15

EX-31

EX-32

---

**Table of Contents**

**PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION**

**Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q**

**For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2003**

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	
Statement of Consolidated Operations	1
Consolidated Balance Sheet	2
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	3
Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity	4
Financial Data by Business Segment	5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Information	7
Review by Independent Accountants	29
Report of Independent Accountants	30
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis	
Results of Operations	31
Results of Phelps Dodge Mining Company	35
Results of Phelps Dodge Industries	55
Other Matters Relating to the Statement of Consolidated Operations	58
Changes in Financial Condition	61
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	64
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	64
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	65
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	66
Signatures	67
Index to Exhibits	67

---

**Table of Contents**

-1-

**PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Part I. Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements

**STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS**

(Unaudited; in millions except per share data)

	Third Quarter		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
		(As Restated)*		(As Restated)*
<b>Sales and other operating revenues</b>	\$1,031.1	941.2	2,971.3	2,826.5
<b>Operating costs and expenses</b>				
Cost of products sold (exclusive of items shown separately below)	825.0	790.6	2,419.3	2,361.0
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	103.1	101.9	311.9	307.9
Selling and general administrative expense	34.6	26.8	103.1	88.8
Exploration and research expense	12.2	10.7	34.7	28.6
Special items and provisions, net (see Note 5)	9.4	23.2	9.6	49.7
	984.3	953.2	2,878.6	2,836.0
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	46.8	(12.0)	92.7	(9.5)
Interest expense	(36.9)	(41.4)	(109.9)	(143.4)
Capitalized interest	0.2		0.4	
Early debt extinguishment costs (see Note 6)		(31.3)		(31.3)
Miscellaneous income (expense), net	2.1	0.9	15.3	2.6
	12.2	(83.8)	(1.5)	(181.6)
<b>Income (loss) before taxes, minority interests, equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and cumulative effect of accounting change</b>	12.2	(83.8)	(1.5)	(181.6)
Benefit (provision) for taxes on income (see Note 10)	(12.0)	31.3	(33.9)	95.8
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries	(1.1)	(2.0)	(5.1)	(6.0)
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies	0.6	0.8	1.6	1.9
	(0.3)	(53.7)	(38.9)	(89.9)
<b>Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change</b>	(0.3)	(53.7)	(38.9)	(89.9)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax of \$(1.3) in 2003 and \$10.1 in 2002			8.4	(22.9)
	\$ (0.3)	(53.7)	(30.5)	(112.8)
<b>Net loss</b>	\$ (0.3)	(53.7)	(30.5)	(112.8)
Preferred stock dividends	(3.3)	(3.3)	(10.1)	(5.7)
	\$ (3.6)	(57.0)	(40.6)	(118.5)
<b>Loss applicable to common shares</b>	\$ (3.6)	(57.0)	(40.6)	(118.5)
<b>Average number of common shares outstanding basic</b>	88.7	88.6	88.6	82.6

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Basic loss per common share before cumulative effect of accounting change</b>	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)	(0.55)	(1.15)
Cumulative effect of accounting change			0.09	(0.28)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Basic loss per common share</b>	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)	(0.46)	(1.43)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Average number of common shares outstanding diluted**</b>	88.7	88.6	88.6	82.6
<b>Diluted loss per common share before cumulative effect of accounting change</b>	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)	(0.55)	(1.15)
Cumulative effect of accounting change			0.09	(0.28)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Diluted loss per common share**</b>	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)	(0.46)	(1.43)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

\* Refer to Note 2 to Consolidated Financial Information.

\*\* Diluted loss per share would have been anti-dilutive if based on fully diluted shares adjusted to reflect the assumed conversion of mandatory convertible preferred shares to common shares and stock option exercises.  
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Information

**Table of Contents**

-2-

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET**

(Unaudited; in millions except per share prices)

	<b>September 30, 2003</b>	<b>December 31, 2002</b>
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 438.3	349.8
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts (2003 - \$15.2; 2002 - \$14.1)	480.6	391.1
Mill and leach stockpiles	26.0	48.9
Inventories	378.6	398.5
Supplies	142.5	142.8
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	23.6	26.5
Deferred income taxes	57.5	70.6
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Current assets	1,547.1	1,428.2
Investments and long-term receivables	142.7	132.3
Property, plant and equipment, net (see Note 3)	4,677.8	4,813.7
Long-term mill and leach stockpiles	79.6	64.3
Deferred income taxes	7.7	11.0
Goodwill	99.0	90.7
Intangible assets (see Note 3)	327.8	345.9
Other assets and deferred charges	117.9	142.9
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	\$ 6,999.6	7,029.0
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 48.5	35.2
Current portion of long-term debt	124.4	127.0
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	610.2	609.1
Dividends payable	3.3	3.4
Accrued income taxes	28.6	9.4
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Current liabilities	815.0	784.1
Long-term debt	1,847.8	1,948.4
Deferred income taxes	420.1	430.8
Other liabilities and deferred credits	1,010.0	986.8
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	4,092.9	4,150.1
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries</b>	74.0	65.3
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Shareholders equity</b>		
Common shares, par value \$6.25; 200.0 shares authorized; 89.3 outstanding in 2003 and 88.9 outstanding in 2002	557.8	555.6
Cumulative preferred shares, par value \$1.00; 6.0 shares authorized; 2.0 outstanding in 2003 and 2002	2.0	2.0
Capital in excess of par value	1,562.2	1,552.1
Retained earnings	1,132.7	1,173.3

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(410.7)	(458.5)
Other	(11.3)	(10.9)
	<u>2,832.7</u>	<u>2,813.6</u>
	\$6,999.6	7,029.0
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Information

---



**Table of Contents**

-3-

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited; in millions)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(As Restated)*</b>
<b>Operating activities</b>		
Net loss	\$ (30.5)	(112.8)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	311.9	307.9
Deferred income taxes	3.9	(10.9)
Equity earnings, net of dividends received		1.2
Special items and provisions	3.2	50.9
Early debt extinguishment costs		31.3
Cumulative effect of accounting change	(9.7)	33.0
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(74.4)	0.1
Proceeds (repayments) from sale of accounts receivable	(4.5)	(14.4)
Mill and leach stockpiles	22.9	6.7
Inventories	27.5	68.2
Supplies	1.5	1.3
Prepaid expenses	(2.2)	(7.0)
Interest payable	29.0	16.8
Other accounts payable	15.9	(32.2)
Accrued income taxes	20.4	3.2
Other accrued expenses	(55.5)	(10.1)
Other adjustments, net	(14.2)	(31.9)
	<u>245.2</u>	<u>301.3</u>
<b>Investing activities</b>		
Capital outlays	(105.5)	(86.5)
Capitalized interest	(0.4)	
Investment in subsidiaries, net of cash received	(0.8)	(2.0)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	15.1	34.7
Other investing, net	(4.2)	(33.6)
	<u>(95.8)</u>	<u>(87.4)</u>
<b>Financing activities</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of debt	9.5	21.8
Payment of debt	(102.7)	(742.2)
Preferred dividends	(10.1)	(2.4)
Issuance of shares	8.6	592.2
Other financing, net	33.8	(32.8)
	<u>(60.9)</u>	<u>(163.4)</u>

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	88.5	50.5
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</b>	349.8	386.9
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	\$ 438.3	437.4
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

\* Refer to Note 2 to Consolidated Financial Information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Information

---

**Table of Contents**

-4-

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

(Unaudited; in millions)

	Common Shares		Preferred Shares		Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		Shareholders Equity
	Number of Shares	At Par Value	Number of Shares	At Par Value				Other	
<b>Balance at December 31, 2002</b>	88.9	\$555.6	2.0	\$2.0	\$1,552.1	\$1,173.3	\$ (458.5)	\$(10.9)	\$2,813.6
Stock options exercised	0.3	1.6			7.3				8.9
Restricted shares issued/cancelled, net	0.1	0.6			2.8			(0.4)	3.0
Dividends on preferred shares						(10.1)			(10.1)
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net loss						(30.5)			(30.5)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:									
Translation adjustment							42.9		42.9
Net gain on derivative instruments							3.9		3.9
Other investment adjustments							(0.1)		(0.1)
Unrealized gains on securities							1.1		1.1
Other comprehensive income							47.8		47.8
Comprehensive income									17.3
<b>Balance at September 30, 2003</b>	89.3	\$557.8	2.0	\$2.0	\$1,562.2	\$1,132.7	\$ (410.7)	\$(11.3)	\$2,832.7

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Information

**Table of Contents**

-5-

**FINANCIAL DATA BY BUSINESS SEGMENT**

(Unaudited; in millions)

	U.S. Mines					South American Mines			
	Morenci	Bagdad/ Sierrita	Miami/ Bisbee	Chino/ Cobre	Tyrone	Candelaria	Cerro Verde	El Abra	Primary Molybdenum
<b>Third Quarter 2003</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues:									
Unaffiliated customers						40.6	10.5	31.3	101.0
Intersegment	150.0	119.8	7.9	10.6	21.9	35.2	29.0	22.5	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	18.4	8.1	2.0	2.1	3.2	10.3	7.2	17.6	5.6
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	20.9	23.7	(0.7)	(3.6)	(5.0)	22.4	10.6	7.7	(0.4)
Special items and provisions	(1.1)			(1.3)	(0.5)				
Operating income (loss)	19.8	23.7	(0.7)	(4.9)	(5.5)	22.4	10.6	7.7	(0.4)
Assets at September 30	1,028.6	743.0	120.3	300.9	156.3	665.6	421.0	536.8	788.7
Expenditures for segment assets	3.2	1.6	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1	(0.9)	3.7

**Third Quarter 2002 (as restated)**

Sales and other operating revenues:

Unaffiliated customers				0.1		31.9	9.0	39.4	81.6
Intersegment	129.2	105.6	7.9	12.2	26.7	26.6	22.8	19.8	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	20.1	6.8	1.3	3.2	3.1	9.4	7.8	14.9	6.4
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	1.2	8.7	(3.6)	0.4	0.9	7.7	4.6	(5.4)	10.7
Special items and provisions	(0.5)		(2.3)	(2.5)					
Operating income (loss)	0.7	8.7	(5.9)	(2.1)	0.9	7.7	4.6	(5.4)	10.7
Assets at September 30	1,099.4	752.3	120.4	402.2	148.7	662.7	451.8	528.0	792.5
Expenditures for segment assets	2.5	13.5	0.1	1.3	0.1	0.8	1.2	1.2	2.4

	Manufacturing and Sales	Other Mining	PDMC Eliminations	PDMC Subtotal	Specialty Chemicals	Wire & Cable	PDI Subtotal	Corporate, Other & Eliminations	Totals
<b>Third Quarter 2003</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues:									
Unaffiliated customers	514.7	6.0		704.1	152.0	175.0	327.0		1,031.1
Intersegment	80.4	17.8	(463.5)	31.6		0.1	0.1	(31.7)	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	4.4	2.0		80.9	11.4	8.9	20.3	1.9	103.1
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	6.2	(17.2)		64.6	8.4	5.4	13.8	(22.2)	56.2
Special items and provisions	(0.1)	(2.0)		(5.0)		0.4	0.4	(4.8)	(9.4)
Operating income (loss)	6.1	(19.2)		59.6	8.4	5.8	14.2	(27.0)	46.8
Assets at September 30	493.2	1,486.0	(1,607.1)	5,133.3	745.9	532.0	1,277.9	588.4	6,999.6
Expenditures for segment assets	3.2	1.6		15.9	7.6	3.6	11.2	1.9	29.0

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

**Third Quarter 2002 (as restated)**

Sales and other operating revenues:

Unaffiliated customers	460.8	6.5		629.3	138.5	173.4	311.9		941.2
Intersegment	98.0	13.4	(428.6)	33.6		0.1	0.1	(33.7)	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	6.1	0.6		79.7	10.1	10.1	20.2	2.0	101.9
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	1.2	(12.6)		13.8	11.0	3.4	14.4	(17.0)	11.2
Special items and provisions	0.7	(3.5)		(8.1)	1.1	(22.5)	(21.4)	6.3	(23.2)
Operating income (loss)	1.9	(16.1)		5.7	12.1	(19.1)	(7.0)	(10.7)	(12.0)
Assets at September 30	513.1	1,718.8	(1,813.2)	5,376.7	652.2	525.8	1,178.0	744.5	7,299.2
Expenditures for segment assets	1.7	2.4		27.2	7.1	2.5	9.6	0.9	37.7

**Table of Contents**

-6-

**FINANCIAL DATA BY BUSINESS SEGMENT**

(Unaudited; in millions)

	U.S. Mines					South American Mines			
	Morenci	Bagdad/ Sierrita	Miami/ Bisbee	Chino/ Cobre	Tyrone	Candelaria	Cerro Verde	El Abra	Primary Molybdenum
<b>Nine Months Ended 2003</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues:									
Unaffiliated customers				0.2		159.8	29.4	92.8	270.3
Intersegment	424.3	334.6	26.1	28.4	70.5	65.8	84.6	64.3	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	57.4	23.2	5.4	6.1	10.0	30.8	21.8	49.2	18.5
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	38.2	48.7	(4.0)	(8.5)	(13.9)	59.1	26.7	18.5	0.1
Special items and provisions	(1.1)		(0.5)	(1.3)	(0.5)				
Operating income (loss)	37.1	48.7	(4.5)	(9.8)	(14.4)	59.1	26.7	18.5	0.1
Assets at September 30	1,028.6	743.0	120.3	300.9	156.3	665.6	421.0	536.8	788.7
Expenditures for segment assets	13.1	12.4	0.2	2.2	1.0	2.5	3.0	(0.2)	8.9
<b>Nine Months Ended 2002 (as restated)</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues:									
Unaffiliated customers				0.6		117.3	28.2	124.9	205.9
Intersegment	397.7	278.0	19.8	46.2	76.8	86.3	71.2	68.1	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	59.5	20.0	3.9	9.0	9.2	28.8	22.8	47.6	18.1
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	25.9	8.9	(11.9)	7.4	(0.2)	41.1	18.2	(4.7)	10.9
Special items and provisions	(0.5)		(2.3)	(2.5)					
Operating income (loss)	25.4	8.9	(14.2)	4.9	(0.2)	41.1	18.2	(4.7)	10.9
Assets at September 30	1,099.4	752.3	120.4	402.2	148.7	662.7	451.8	528.0	792.5
Expenditures for segment assets	4.8	29.9	0.2	2.2	2.7	1.8	4.6	3.8	6.9
	<b>Manufacturing and Sales</b>	<b>Other Mining</b>	<b>PDMC Eliminations</b>	<b>PDMC Subtotal</b>	<b>Specialty Chemicals</b>	<b>Wire &amp; Cable</b>	<b>PDI Subtotal</b>	<b>Corporate, Other &amp; Eliminations</b>	<b>Totals</b>
<b>Nine Months Ended 2003</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues:									
Unaffiliated customers	1,431.5	16.7		2,000.7	480.2	490.4	970.6		2,971.3
Intersegment	235.8	51.8	(1,293.7)	92.5		0.2	0.2	(92.7)	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	12.6	5.1		240.1	34.1	26.7	60.8	11.0	311.9
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions	21.2	(59.5)		126.6	34.3	12.3	46.6	(70.9)	102.3
Special items and provisions	(0.1)	(2.0)		(5.5)	3.2	0.4	3.6	(7.7)	(9.6)
Operating income (loss)	21.1	(61.5)		121.1	37.5	12.7	50.2	(78.6)	92.7
Assets at September 30	493.2	1,486.0	(1,607.1)	5,133.3	745.9	532.0	1,277.9	588.4	6,999.6

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Expenditures for segment assets	6.7	3.1		52.9	17.3	10.3	27.6	25.8	106.3
<b>Nine Months Ended 2002</b>									
<b>(as restated)</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues:									
Unaffiliated customers	1,393.3	15.3		1,885.5	408.3	532.7	941.0		2,826.5
Intersegment	303.5	42.1	(1,281.8)	107.9		0.3	0.3	(108.2)	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	18.1	2.0		239.0	31.3	31.5	62.8	6.1	307.9
Operating income (loss) before special items and provisions									
	(1.5)	(49.5)		44.6	41.2	9.5	50.7	(55.1)	40.2
Special items and provisions	0.7	31.2		26.6	1.1	(22.5)	(21.4)	(54.9)	(49.7)
Operating income (loss)	(0.8)	(18.3)		71.2	42.3	(13.0)	29.3	(110.0)	(9.5)
Assets at September 30	513.1	1,718.8	(1,813.2)	5,376.7	652.2	525.8	1,178.0	744.5	7,299.2
Expenditures for segment assets	4.7	3.7		65.3	12.3	6.8	19.1	4.1	88.5

**Table of Contents**

-7-

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

(Unaudited)

1. *General Information*

The unaudited consolidated financial information of Phelps Dodge Corporation (the Company, which may be referred to as Phelps Dodge, PD, we, us or ours) presented herein has been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and does not include all of the information and note disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Therefore, this information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. This information reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to a fair statement of the results for the interim periods reported. Our business consists of two divisions, Phelps Dodge Mining Company (PDMC) and Phelps Dodge Industries (PDI).

The results of operations for the quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

2. *Restatements*

In Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, and Note 22, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, we identified certain accounting matters in the fourth quarter of 2002 that required restatement of our December 31, 2001 and 2000, Consolidated Financial Statements and our financial information for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2001, through September 30, 2002. Additionally, our presentation of reportable segment information for PDMC for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002, has been revised to reflect additional segments.

Following are summaries of selected unaudited quarterly financial data, as restated for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Third Quarter 2002</b>			
	<b>As Previously Reported</b>	<b>Adjust- ments (1)(2) (3)(4) (5)(6)</b>	<b>Reclass- ifications (7)</b>	<b>As Restated</b>
Sales and other operating revenues	\$941.2			941.2
Operating loss	(18.9)	6.9		(12.0)
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	(29.3)	2.2	(26.6)	(53.7)
Net loss	(55.9)	2.2		(53.7)
Basic and diluted loss per common share before cumulative effect of accounting change	(0.37)	0.03	(0.30)	(0.64)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	(0.67)	0.03		(0.64)

Adjustments comprise the following:

- (1) Adjustments to the units-of-production depreciation rate calculation for PDMC's mining, smelting and refining operations this change reduced our depreciation and amortization expense and reduced our operating loss by \$3.3 million in the third quarter of 2002. Additionally, this change decreased our net loss by \$2.6 million, or 3 cents per common share, in the third quarter of 2002.



## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

- (2) Adjustments to the acquired reclamation obligations assumed in the Cyprus Amax Minerals Company acquisition this change increased our cost of products sold and our operating loss by \$0.9 million in the third quarter of 2002. Additionally, this change increased our net loss by \$0.7 million, or 1 cent per common share, in the third quarter of 2002.
  - (3) Adjustments to the estimated reclamation obligation at our Tyrone mine in 2002 to exclude mineralized material from the determination of the unit reclamation and closure accrual rate this change increased our cost of products sold, operating loss, and net loss by \$2.4 million, or 3 cents per common share, in the third quarter of 2002.
  - (4) Adjustments to capitalize costs associated with material in mill and leach stockpiles and the consequent in-process material being converted to salable copper products, which were stated at the lower of cost or market this change decreased both our cost of products sold and our operating loss by \$6.9 million in the third quarter of 2002. Additionally, this change decreased our net loss by \$6.2 million, or 7 cents per common share, in the third quarter of 2002.
-

**Table of Contents**

-8-

- (5) In 2001, a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$57.9 million associated with our El Abra copper mine in Chile was established. The adjustment to the deferred tax asset caused an increase to our net loss by \$3.9 million, or 4 cents per common share, in the third quarter of 2002.
- (6) The overall effect of the aforementioned adjustments resulted in an incremental income tax expense that decreased our net loss by \$0.4 million, or 1 cent per common share, in the third quarter of 2002.
- (7) Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 145, a standard that addresses the classification of gains or losses from early extinguishment of debt. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 145, the Company reported losses from the early extinguishment of debt as extraordinary items. During the quarter ended September 30, 2002, the Company recorded a \$26.6 million after-tax charge (\$31.3 million pre-tax) for early debt extinguishment costs. In 2003, these charges have been reclassified to a recurring item in accordance with SFAS No. 145. These reclassifications had no impact on reported net income.
- (Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002</b>			<b>As Restated</b>
	<b>As Previously Reported</b>	<b>Adjust- ments (1)(2) (3)(4) (5)(6)</b>	<b>Reclass- ifications (7)</b>	
Sales and other operating revenues	\$2,826.5			2,826.5
Operating loss	(27.7)	18.2		(9.5)
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	(70.5)	7.2	(26.6)	(89.9)
Net loss	(120.0)	7.2		(112.8)
Basic and diluted loss per common share before cumulative effect of accounting change	(0.92)	0.09	(0.32)	(1.15)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	(1.52)	0.09		(1.43)

Adjustments comprise the following:

- (1) Adjustments to the units-of-production depreciation rate calculation for PDMC's mining, smelting and refining operations this change reduced our depreciation and amortization expense and decreased our operating loss by \$9.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. Additionally, this change decreased our net loss by \$7.2 million, or 9 cents per common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.
- (2) Adjustments to the acquired reclamation obligations assumed in the Cyprus Amax Minerals Company acquisition this change increased both our cost of products sold and our operating loss by \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. Additionally, this change increased our net loss by \$2.2 million, or 3 cents per common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.
- (3) Adjustments to the estimated reclamation obligation at our Tyrone mine in 2002 to exclude mineralized material from the determination of the unit reclamation and closure accrual rate this change increased our cost of products sold and our operating loss and net loss by \$6.6 million, or 8 cents per common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.
- (4) Adjustments to capitalize costs associated with material in mill and leach stockpiles and the consequent in-process material being converted to salable copper products, which were stated at the lower of cost or market this change decreased our cost of products sold and our operating loss by \$18.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. Additionally, this change decreased our net loss by \$16.9 million, or 21 cents per common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

- (5) In 2001, a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$57.9 million associated with our El Abra copper mine in Chile was established. The adjustment to the deferred tax asset caused an increase to our net loss by \$8.1 million, or 10 cents per common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.
- (6) The overall effect of the aforementioned adjustments resulted in an incremental income tax expense that had no effect on our net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.
- (7) Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 145, a standard that addresses the classification of gains or losses from early extinguishment of debt. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 145, the Company reported losses from the early extinguishment of debt as extraordinary items. During the quarter ended September 30, 2002, the Company recorded a \$26.6 million after-tax charge (\$31.3 million pre-tax) for early debt extinguishment costs. In 2003, these charges have been reclassified to a recurring item in accordance with SFAS No. 145. These reclassifications had no impact on reported net income.

### 3. *Reclassification of Intangible Assets*

The Company had discussions with the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding the balance sheet classification of certain mining concessions, primarily mining concessions containing proven and probable ore reserves and mineralized material at the Company's South American mines, and whether such assets constitute tangible or intangible assets based upon certain guidance contained in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No.

---

**Table of Contents**

-9-

141, Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Historically the Company has classified such assets, less the related accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization, as Property, plant and equipment, net on its consolidated balance sheet. The Company has reclassified such mining concessions as intangible assets. Previously reported historical amounts also have been reclassified for comparative purposes. These assets will continue to be amortized over their respective useful lives. The reclassifications had no effect on the Company's net loss or shareholders' equity.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carrying amount of intangible assets at December 31, 2002, and September 30, 2003:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Gross carrying amount	\$ 443.6*	439.5
Less accumulated amortization	(115.8)*	(93.6)
	<u>\$ 327.8</u>	<u>345.9</u>

\* Includes amounts for recording an asset retirement cost associated with the implementation of SFAS No. 143. (See Note 6, Accounting Standards, for further discussion.)

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$6.6 million and \$6.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$20.2 million and \$19.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The estimated annual aggregate amortization expense for intangibles is as follows:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

2004	\$26.8
2005	27.3
2006	27.3
2007	27.4
2008	27.6

#### 4. Stock Compensation

We account for our stock option plans by measuring compensation cost using the intrinsic-value-based method presented in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. No compensation cost is reflected in consolidated net loss, as all options granted under the plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. The following table presents the effect on net loss and loss per common share as if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to compensation cost. (Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	Third Quarter	
	2003	2002
Net loss as reported	\$ (0.3)	(53.7)
Deduct:		(As Restated)*
Total compensation cost determined under fair value based method for all	(2.9)	(4.0)

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

awards, net of tax		
	—	—
<i>Pro forma</i> net loss	\$ (3.2)	(57.7)
	—	—
Loss per common share		
Basic as reported	\$(0.04)	(0.64)
Basic <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.07)	(0.69)
Loss per common share		
Diluted as reported	\$(0.04)	(0.64)
Diluted <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.07)	(0.69)

\* Refer to Note 2, Restatements, for further discussion.

**Table of Contents**

-10-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)*
Net loss as reported	\$(30.5)	(112.8)
Deduct:		
Total compensation cost determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax	(8.7)	(10.3)
<i>Pro forma</i> net loss	\$(39.2)	(123.1)
Loss per common share		
Basic as reported	\$(0.46)	(1.43)
Basic <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.56)	(1.56)
Loss per common share		
Diluted as reported	\$(0.46)	(1.43)
Diluted <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.56)	(1.56)

\* Refer to Note 2, Restatements, for further discussion.

5. *Special Items and Provisions*

Special items and provisions are unpredictable and atypical of the Company's operations in a given period. We believe consistent identification, disclosure and discussion of such items, both favorable and unfavorable, provide additional information to assess the quality of our performance and our earnings or losses. In addition, management measures the performance of its reportable segments excluding special items. This supplemental information is not a substitute for any U.S. generally accepted accounting principles measure and should be evaluated within the context of our U.S. GAAP results.

Note: Supplemental Data

The following schedule summarizes the special items and provisions for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2003: (Unaudited; gains (losses) in millions except per share amounts)

Statement of Consolidated Operations Line Item	Third Quarter 2003		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/Share After-tax
Special items and provisions, net:			
PDMC			
Environmental provisions, net	\$(5.0)	(4.8)	(0.05)
PDI			
Environmental provisions, net	0.4	0.4	
Corporate and Other			
Environmental provisions, net	(3.7)	(3.5)	(0.04)
Historic Cyprus Amax matter	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.01)

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
	(4.8)	(4.6)	(0.05)
	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
	\$ (9.4)	(9.0)	(0.10)
	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>

---

**Table of Contents**

-11-

(Unaudited; gains (losses) in millions except per share amounts)

Statement of Consolidated Operations Line Item	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/Share After-tax
Special items and provisions, net:			
PDMC			
Environmental provisions, net	\$(5.5)	(5.2)	(0.06)
PDI			
Environmental provisions, net	0.4	0.4	
Termination of a foreign postretirement benefit plan	3.2	2.4	0.03
	3.6	2.8	0.03
Corporate and Other			
Environmental provisions, net	(7.1)	(6.8)	(0.07)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	0.5	0.5	0.01
Historic Cyprus Amax matter	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.01)
	(7.7)	(7.4)	(0.07)
	(9.6)	(9.8)	(0.10)
Miscellaneous income (expense), net:			
Gain on sale of cost investment	6.4	6.4	0.07
Cumulative effect of accounting change	9.7	8.4	0.09
	\$ 6.5	5.0	0.06

In the 2003 third quarter, a special, net pre-tax loss of \$9.4 million was recognized consisting of (i) an \$8.3 million charge (\$7.9 million after-tax) for environmental provisions (refer to Note 7, Environmental, and Reclamation and Closure Matters) and (ii) a \$1.1 million charge (before and after taxes) for an historic Cyprus Amax matter.

In the 2003 second quarter, a special, net pre-tax gain of \$4.3 million was recognized consisting of (i) a pre-tax gain of \$6.4 million (before and after taxes) for the sale of a cost-basis wire and cable investment; (ii) a \$2.6 million charge (\$2.4 million after-tax) for environmental provisions (refer to Note 7, Environmental, and Reclamation and Closure Matters); and (iii) a \$0.5 million net gain (before and after taxes) from recoveries associated with insurance settlements on historic environmental claims.

In the 2003 first quarter, a special, net pre-tax gain of \$11.6 million was recognized consisting of (i) a pre-tax gain of \$9.7 million (\$8.4 million after-tax) for the cumulative effect of an accounting change (refer to Note 6, Accounting Standards, for further discussion); (ii) a \$1.3 million charge (before and after taxes) for environmental provisions (refer to Note 7, Environmental, and Reclamation and Closure Matters); and (iii) a \$3.2 million gain (\$2.4 million after-tax) from the termination of a foreign postretirement benefit plan.

Note: Supplemental Data

The following schedule summarizes the special items and provisions for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002:  
(Unaudited; gains (losses) in millions except per share amounts)



Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Statement of Consolidated Operations Line Item	Third Quarter 2002		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/Share After-tax
Special items and provisions, net:			
PDMC -			
Environmental provisions, net	\$ (2.5)	(2.5)	(0.03)
October 2001 restructuring:			
Reassessment of employee activities and take-or-pay contracts	4.4	4.4	0.05
Additional retirement benefits	(6.4)	(6.4)	(0.07)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	(3.6)	(2.5)	(0.03)
	<u>(8.1)</u>	<u>(7.0)</u>	<u>(0.08)</u>
PDI -			
September 2002 restructuring programs	(23.0)	(22.2)	(0.25)
Environmental provisions, net*	0.3	0.3	
Reassessment of prior restructuring programs**	1.3	1.3	0.02
	<u>(21.4)</u>	<u>(20.6)</u>	<u>(0.23)</u>

**Table of Contents**

-12-

Statement of Consolidated Operations Line Item	Third Quarter 2002		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/Share After-tax
Corporate and Other -			
Environmental provisions, net	(0.5)	(2.9)	(0.03)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	6.8	6.1	0.07
Historic Cyprus Amax lawsuit settlement		(0.6)	(0.01)
Historic Cyprus Amax arbitration award with regard to Plateau Mining		(0.5)	(0.01)
	<u>6.3</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>0.02</u>
	<u>(23.2)</u>	<u>(25.5)</u>	<u>(0.29)</u>
Early debt extinguishment costs	<u>(31.3)</u>	<u>(26.6)</u>	<u>(0.30)</u>
Taxes:			
Tax benefit for 2001 net operating loss carryback		28.1	0.32
Total	<u>\$ (54.5)</u>	<u>(24.0)</u>	<u>(0.27)</u>

\* Includes reassessment of \$0.6 million for prior restructuring programs.

\*\* Includes \$(0.1) million related to an increase in equipment reserve, reflected in net property, plant and equipment.  
(Unaudited; gains (losses) in millions except per share amounts)

Statement of Consolidated Operations Line Item	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/Share After-tax
Special items and provisions, net:			
PDMC -			
Environmental provisions, net	\$ (2.5)	(2.5)	(0.03)
October 2001 restructuring:			
Reassessment of employee activities and take-or-pay contracts	4.4	4.4	0.05
Additional retirement benefits	(6.4)	(6.4)	(0.08)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	8.5	7.2	0.09
Sale of non-core real estate	22.6	22.6	0.27
	<u>26.6</u>	<u>25.3</u>	<u>0.30</u>
PDI -			
September 2002 restructuring programs	<u>(23.0)</u>	<u>(22.2)</u>	<u>(0.27)</u>

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Environmental provisions, net	0.3	0.3	
Reassessment of prior restructuring programs	1.3	1.3	0.02
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	(21.4)	(20.6)	(0.25)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Corporate and Other -			
Environmental provisions, net	(12.6)	(12.6)	(0.15)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	15.4	13.0	0.16
Historic Cyprus Amax lawsuit settlement	(11.2)	(9.5)	(0.12)
Historic Cyprus Amax arbitration award with regard to Plateau Mining	(46.5)	(45.0)	(0.54)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	(54.9)	(54.1)	(0.65)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	(49.7)	(49.4)	(0.60)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Early debt extinguishment cost	(31.3)	(26.6)	(0.32)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Miscellaneous income and expense, net:			
Cost investment write-downs	(1.2)	(1.2)	(0.01)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Benefit (provision) for taxes on income:			
Release of taxes provided with regard to Plateau Mining		13.0	0.16
Tax benefit for 2001 net operating loss carryback		66.6	0.80
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
		79.6	0.96
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Cumulative effect of accounting change	(33.0)	(22.9)	(0.28)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total	\$ (115.2)	(20.5)	(0.25)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

**Table of Contents**

-13-

In the 2002 third quarter, a special, net pre-tax loss of \$54.5 million was recognized consisting of (i) a \$23.0 million pre-tax charge (\$22.2 million after-tax) for asset impairments and termination benefits associated with the temporary closure of two U.S. wire and cable plants and the consolidation of certain wire and cable operations; (ii) a \$0.7 million net charge (before and after taxes) for the reassessment of prior restructuring programs; (iii) a \$2.7 million pre-tax charge for environmental provisions; (iv) a \$31.3 million charge (\$26.6 million after-tax) for early debt extinguishment costs; and (v) a \$3.2 million pre-tax gain, net of fees and expenses, from recoveries associated with insurance settlements on historic environmental claims. In addition, the 2002 third quarter included a net tax benefit of \$25.5 million consisting of a special current tax benefit of \$28.1 million associated with the 2001 net operating losses resulting from recent U.S. tax legislation, partially offset by year-to-date adjustments (\$2.6 million) reflecting refinements in forecast estimates of U.S. net operating loss carrybacks for 2002 primarily associated with environmental provisions (refer to Note 10, Benefit (Provision) for Taxes on Income).

In the 2002 second quarter, a special, net pre-tax loss of \$31.0 million was recognized consisting of (i) pre-tax charges of \$46.5 million (\$44.5 million after-tax) associated with an award made in a binding arbitration proceeding filed against Cyprus Amax Minerals Company (Cyprus Amax) by Plateau Mining Corporation (a former subsidiary of Cyprus Amax); (ii) an \$11.2 million charge including expenses (\$8.9 million after-tax) for the settlement of a lawsuit related to Amax Oil & Gas acquired with the Cyprus Amax purchase; (iii) a \$0.7 million pre-tax loss on the write-off of a cost-basis investment; (iv) a \$22.6 million gain (before and after taxes) on the sale of a non-core parcel of real estate in New Mexico; and (v) a \$4.8 million net gain (\$3.8 million after-tax) from recoveries associated with insurance settlements on historic environmental claims. The taxes associated with special items were a net benefit of \$18.3 million, including release of deferred taxes previously provided (\$13.0 million) and year-to-date adjustments (\$2.0 million) reflecting refinements in forecast estimates of U.S. net operating loss carrybacks prior to 2002 (refer to Note 10, Benefit (Provision) for Taxes on Income).

In the 2002 first quarter, a special, net pre-tax loss of \$29.7 million was recognized consisting of (i) pre-tax charges of \$33.0 million (\$22.9 million after-tax) for the cumulative effect of an accounting change (refer to Note 6, Accounting Standards, for further discussion); (ii) a \$12.1 million charge (before and after taxes) for environmental provisions; (iii) a \$0.5 million (\$0.4 million after-tax) write-off of a cost-basis investment; and (iv) a \$15.9 million gain (\$13.1 million after-tax), net of fees and expenses, from recoveries associated with insurance settlements reached with companies on historic environmental claims. In addition, the 2002 first quarter included a tax benefit of \$38.5 million for net operating loss carryback prior to 2002 resulting from recent U.S. tax legislation (refer to Note 10, Benefit (Provision) for Taxes on Income).

In September 2002, we announced the temporary closure of two U.S. wire and cable plants and other actions to improve efficiencies and consolidate certain wire and cable operations. Refer to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for additional discussion.

The following schedules present a roll-forward from December 31, 2002, of the liabilities incurred in connection with the September 2002 restructuring program, which were reflected as current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet:  
(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>12/31/02</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>9/30/03</u>
PDI			
Wire and Cable			
Employee severance	\$1.3	(1.1)	0.2
	■	■	■

Note: There were no payments in the 2003 third quarter.

**Table of Contents**

-14-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>2002</u> <u>Provision*</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>9/30/02</u>
PDI			
Wire and Cable			
Employee severance	\$ 3.3	(0.7)	2.6
	■	■	■

\* Provision excluded \$2.8 million of pension and other postretirement charges included in long-term liabilities.

In the second quarter of 2001, we announced a restructuring of our professional, administrative and operational support functions, as well as various other operational improvement initiatives. Also in the fourth quarter of 2001, we announced a series of actions to address the then-current economic environment, including changes in copper operations that led us to curtail approximately 220,000 metric tons of copper production annually (including our partner's share) and to curtail 54,000 metric tons of North American carbon black production annually in 2002. Additionally, in the second quarter of 2000 and 1999, we announced plans to reduce operating costs and restructure operations at our PDMC division and our Wire and Cable segment. Refer to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for additional discussion.

The following schedules present a roll-forward from December 31, 2002 and 2001, of the liabilities incurred in connection with the 2001 restructuring programs, which were reflected as current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>12/31/02</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>9/30/03</u>
PDMC			
U.S. Mines			
Morenci			
Employee severance	\$0.1	(0.1)	—
	—	—	—
Bagdad/Sierrita			
Mothballing/ take-or-pay contracts	0.2	(0.2)	—
	—	—	—
Miami/Bisbee			
Mothballing/ take-or-pay contracts	0.1	(0.1)	—
	—	—	—
Chino/Cobre			
Employee severance	0.1	(0.1)	—
	—	—	—
	0.5	(0.5)	—
	—	—	—
Manufacturing and Sales			
Employee severance	0.1	(0.1)	—
	—	—	—
	\$0.6	(0.6)	—
	■	■	■

Note: All payments were made in the 2003 first quarter.



**Table of Contents**

-15-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>12/31/01</u>	<u>Reassess- ments</u>	<u>Pay- ments</u>	<u>9/30/02</u>
<b>PDMC</b>				
U.S. Mines				
Morenci				
Employee severance	\$ 0.3	0.1	(0.3)	0.1
Bagdad/Sierrita				
Employee severance	3.5	(0.8)	(2.2)	0.5
Mothballing/ take-or-pay contracts	3.1	(0.8)	(2.1)	0.2
	<u>6.6</u>	<u>(1.6)</u>	<u>(4.3)</u>	<u>0.7</u>
Miami/Bisbee				
Employee severance	1.8	(0.5)	(1.1)	0.2
Mothballing/ take-or-pay contracts	1.0	(0.4)	(0.5)	0.1
	<u>2.8</u>	<u>(0.9)</u>	<u>(1.6)</u>	<u>0.3</u>
Chino/Cobre				
Employee severance	1.2	(0.4)	(0.6)	0.2
Mothballing/ take-or-pay contracts	0.2		(0.2)	
	<u>1.4</u>	<u>(0.4)</u>	<u>(0.8)</u>	<u>0.2</u>
Tyrone				
Employee severance	0.2		(0.2)	
	<u>11.3</u>	<u>(2.8)</u>	<u>(7.2)</u>	<u>1.3</u>
Manufacturing and Sales				
Employee severance	1.4	(0.2)	(0.7)	0.5
Mothballing/ take-or-pay contracts	4.1	(1.2)	(2.7)	0.2
	<u>5.5</u>	<u>(1.4)</u>	<u>(3.4)</u>	<u>0.7</u>
Primary Molybdenum				
Employee severance	0.1		(0.1)	
Other Mining				
Employee severance	0.8	(0.2)	(0.6)	
	<u>17.7</u>	<u>(4.4)</u>	<u>(11.3)</u>	<u>2.0</u>
PDI				
Specialty Chemicals				

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Disposal and dismantling	0.5	(0.4)	(0.1)	
Employee severance	0.8	(0.1)	(0.6)	0.1
	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
	1.3	(0.5)	(0.7)	0.1
	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
	\$ 19.0	(4.9)	(12.0)	2.1
	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>

Note: In the 2002 third quarter, reassessments were \$(4.9) million, including a reclassification of \$(0.1) million to long-term liabilities, and payments were \$(2.6) million.

PDMC's reassessments of \$4.4 million comprised (i) \$2.0 million for an adjustment to employee termination benefits and (ii) \$2.4 million related to savings from renegotiated contracts or from reduced penalties on demand contracts. PDMC's reassessment of \$2.0 million of employee termination benefits was made because subsequently, as the plan was being implemented, it was determined that certain employees identified in the restructuring plan would be retained to fill open positions or would not be eligible for supplemental unemployment as originally anticipated. In addition, a \$6.4 million charge was recognized for additional pension-related benefits, which are included in long-term liabilities, for employees at our Chino, Miami, Sierrita and Bagdad operations as these operations will remain curtailed beyond one year from their January 2002 curtailment.

PDI's Specialty Chemicals segment reassessment comprised (i) a reclassification of \$0.1 million to long-term pension benefits and (ii) \$0.4 million for an adjustment to disposal and dismantling charges for the El Dorado plant facility.



**Table of Contents**

-16-

The following schedules present a roll-forward from December 31, 2002 and 2001, of the liabilities incurred in connection with the June 2000 restructuring program, which were reflected as current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet:  
(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>12/31/02</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>9/30/03</u>
PDI			
Wire and Cable			
Plant removal and dismantling	\$0.5	—	0.5
	—	—	—

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>12/31/01</u>	<u>Reassess- ments</u>	<u>Pay- ments</u>	<u>9/30/02</u>
PDI				
Wire and Cable				
Plant removal and dismantling	\$1.9	(1.3)	(0.1)	0.5
	—	—	—	—

Note: In the 2002 third quarter, reassessments were \$(0.7) million, including currency translation adjustments of \$(0.2) million, and payments were \$(0.1) million.

PDI's Wire and Cable segment reassessment comprised (i) a \$0.5 million adjustment to plant dismantling charges related to wire and cable plant closures in Venezuela and (ii) an \$0.8 million non-cash deduction related to the devaluation of Venezuelan currency.

The following schedules present a roll-forward from December 31, 2002 and 2001, of the liabilities incurred in connection with the June 1999 restructuring program, which were reflected as current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet:  
(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<u>12/31/02</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>9/30/03</u>
PDMC			
Other Mining			
Mothballing/take-or-pay contracts	\$0.6	—	0.6
	—	—	—
PDI			
Wire and Cable			
Take-or-pay contracts	1.0	—	1.0
	—	—	—
	\$1.6	—	1.6
	—	—	—

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

Reassess-      Pay-

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

	<u>12/31/01</u>	<u>ments</u>	<u>ments</u>	<u>9/30/02</u>
<b>PDMC</b>				
<b>Other Mining</b>				
Employee severance	\$ 0.2	(0.1)	(0.1)	
Mothballing/take-or-pay contracts	1.4		(0.7)	0.7
	<u>1.6</u>	<u>(0.1)</u>	<u>(0.8)</u>	<u>0.7</u>
<b>PDI</b>				
<b>Specialty Chemicals</b>				
Disposal and dismantling	0.3	(0.3)		
Environmental	0.6	(0.6)		
	<u>0.9</u>	<u>(0.9)</u>		
<b>Wire and Cable</b>				
Take-or-pay contracts	1.1	(0.1)		1.0
Plant removal and dismantling	0.2	(0.2)		
	<u>1.3</u>	<u>(0.3)</u>		<u>1.0</u>
	<u>2.2</u>	<u>(1.2)</u>		<u>1.0</u>
	<u>\$ 3.8</u>	<u>(1.3)</u>	<u>(0.8)</u>	<u>1.7</u>

Note: In the 2002 third quarter, reassessments were \$(1.3) million, including a reclassification of \$(0.1) million to long-term liabilities, and payments were \$(0.1) million.

**Table of Contents**

-17-

PDMC's reassessment included a \$0.1 million reclassification to long-term liabilities related to pension and other postretirement benefits.

PDI's Specialty Chemicals segment reassessment related to a Philippine plant for (i) a \$0.3 million adjustment to disposal and dismantling charges and (ii) \$0.6 million for environmental costs that were relieved as the property was sold during the period.

PDI's Wire and Cable segment reassessment comprised (i) a \$0.1 million adjustment related to a lease contract and (ii) a \$0.2 million adjustment related to dismantling charges at a Venezuelan plant.

6. *Accounting Standards*

On January 1, 2003, we adopted SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. With the adoption of this Statement, we recognize asset retirement obligations (AROs) as liabilities when incurred, with the initial measurement at fair value. These liabilities will be accreted to full value over time through charges to income. In addition, an asset retirement cost is capitalized as part of the related asset's carrying value and will subsequently be depreciated over the asset's useful life. Our AROs consist primarily of costs associated with mine reclamation and closure activities. These activities, which tend to be site specific, generally include costs for earthwork, revegetation, water treatment and demolition. Upon adoption, we recorded an increase to our closure and reclamation reserve of approximately \$2.5 million, net, an increase to our mining properties' assets and intangibles of approximately \$12.2 million and a cumulative gain of \$8.4 million, net of deferred income taxes. For the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2003, the effect of adopting SFAS No. 143 decreased loss before cumulative effect of accounting change by approximately \$3.6 million, or 4 cents per common share, and \$14.5 million, or 16 cents per common share, respectively.

The following table summarizes the balance sheet impact associated with the adoption of SFAS No. 143:  
(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	December 31, 2002 As Reported*	SFAS No. 143 Adoption Impact	January 1, 2003 After Adoption
Mining properties	\$ 907.4	63.8**	971.2
Mining properties accumulated depreciation	(197.1)	(53.2)	(250.3)
Net mining properties assets	<u>\$ 710.3</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>720.9</u>
Intangibles	\$ 439.5	3.6**	443.1
Intangibles accumulated amortization	(93.6)	(2.0)	(95.6)
Net intangibles	<u>\$ 345.9</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>347.5</u>
Asset retirement obligation liability	<u>\$ 138.6</u>	<u>10.4***</u>	<u>149.0</u>

\* Reflects the reclassification of South American mining concessions from property, plant and equipment, net to intangible assets (refer to Note 3, Reclassification of Intangible Assets, for further discussion).

\*\* Amounts include \$84.0 million and \$7.5 million of additions related to recording an asset retirement cost, offset by \$20.2 million and \$3.9 million to reclassify amounts recognized as ore reserves in purchase accounting.

\*\*\* Amount consists of \$2.5 million of liabilities recognized at adoption and \$7.9 million of reclassifications related to closure obligations from other liabilities at adoption.



**Table of Contents**

-18-

The *pro forma* effects of the application of SFAS No. 143 as if this Statement had been adopted on January 1, 2002, are presented below:  
(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	<b>Third Quarter 2002</b>
	<hr/>
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change as reported	\$(53.7)
Reduced cost of products sold, net of tax	4.9
Additional depreciation expense, net of tax benefit	(0.5)
	<hr/>
<i>Pro forma</i> loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	\$(49.3)
	<hr/>
Loss per common share before cumulative effect of accounting change:	
Basic and diluted as reported	\$(0.64)
Basic and diluted <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.59)
Net loss as reported	\$(53.7)
<i>Pro forma</i> net loss	\$(49.3)
Loss per common share:	
Basic and diluted as reported	\$(0.64)
Basic and diluted <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.59)

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change as reported	\$(38.9)	(89.9)
Reduced cost of products sold, net of tax		14.2
Additional depreciation expense, net of tax benefit		(1.4)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<i>Pro forma</i> loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	\$(38.9)	(77.1)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Loss per common share before cumulative effect of accounting change:		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$(0.55)	(1.15)
Basic and diluted <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.55)	(1.00)
Net loss as reported	\$(30.5)	(112.8)
<i>Pro forma</i> net loss	\$(38.9)	(100.0)
Loss per common share:		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$(0.46)	(1.43)
Basic and diluted <i>pro forma</i>	\$(0.55)	(1.28)

The *pro forma* asset retirement obligation liability balances as if SFAS No. 143 had been adopted on January 1, 2002, are as follows:  
(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>Pro forma</i> asset retirement obligation liability	January 1, 2002	\$ 138.1
<i>Pro forma</i> asset retirement obligation liability	December 31, 2002	\$ 149.0

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but rather tested at least annually for impairment. Intangible assets that have finite useful lives will continue to be amortized over their useful lives. Upon completion of the transitional impairment tests, the fair value of three of the Company's international wire and cable reporting units was determined to be less than the related carrying amount. The resulting impairment loss recognized upon adoption of SFAS No. 142 in the first quarter of 2002 was \$33.0 million, pre-tax (\$22.9 million after-tax) and was recognized as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle.

Subsequently, the Company completed its annual goodwill impairment test as of December 31, 2002, with no additional impairments. The Company will continue to test its goodwill annually as of December 31, unless events occur or circumstances change between annual tests that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount.

---

**Table of Contents**

-19-

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2002, and nine months ended September 30, 2003, were as follows:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	Specialty Chemicals Segment	Wire and Cable Segment	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2001	\$ 88.5	54.6	143.1
Goodwill acquired during period			
Impairment losses upon adoption of SFAS No. 142		(33.0)	(33.0)
Goodwill included in the disposal of a business unit			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(19.4)		(19.4)
Balance as of December 31, 2002	69.1	21.6	90.7
Goodwill acquired during period			
Impairment losses			
Goodwill included in the disposal of a business unit			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	8.3		8.3
Balance as of September 30, 2003	\$ 77.4	21.6	99.0

In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections. Under SFAS No. 4, all gains and losses from extinguishment of debt were required to be aggregated and, if material, classified as an extraordinary item, net of related income tax effect. This Statement eliminates SFAS No. 4 and, thus, the exception to applying APB No. 30 to all gains and losses related to extinguishments of debt. As a result, gains and losses from extinguishment of debt should be classified as extraordinary items only if they meet the criteria in APB No. 30. Applying the provisions of APB No. 30 will distinguish transactions that are part of an entity's recurring operations from those that are unusual or infrequent or that meet the criteria for classification as an extraordinary item. Under SFAS No. 13, the required accounting treatment of certain lease modifications that have economic effects similar to sale-leaseback transactions was inconsistent with the required accounting treatment for sale-leaseback transactions. This Statement amends SFAS No. 13 to require that those lease modifications be accounted for in the same manner as sale-leaseback transactions. This Statement was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2003. As a result of this Statement being adopted, we have reclassified the 2002 third quarter extraordinary item for debt extinguishment costs to a recurring item.

In June 2002, FASB issued SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring). The principal difference between this Statement and EITF 94-3 relates to its requirements for recognition of a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity. This Statement requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred. Under EITF 94-3, a liability was recognized at the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. This Statement is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002.

In November 2002, FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45). FIN 45 requires that upon issuance of certain guarantees, a guarantor must recognize a liability for the fair value of an obligation assumed under the guarantee. FIN 45 also requires significant new disclosures by guarantors, in both interim and annual financial statements, about obligations associated with guarantees issued. FIN 45 disclosure requirements were adopted for our year ended December 31, 2002; the initial recognition and measurement provisions were adopted on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. There were no guarantees issued or modified in the first

**Table of Contents**

-20-

nine months of 2003 that required fair value recognition as a liability that had a material impact on our financial statements.

In December 2002, FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* an Amendment of SFAS No. 123. The Statement amends SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. This Statement also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. This Statement was effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. The Company adopted this Statement in regards to disclosure provisions for the year ended December 31, 2002, and has provided the interim information in Note 4, Stock Compensation.

In January 2003, FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46) to clarify when a company should consolidate in its financial statements the assets, liabilities and activities of a variable interest entity. FIN 46 provides general guidance as to the definition of a variable interest entity and requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated if a company absorbs the majority of the variable interest entity's expected losses, or is entitled to receive a majority of the variable interest entity's residual returns, or both. The adoption of FIN 46 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial reporting and disclosures.

In April 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. In particular, this Statement clarifies under what circumstances a contract with an initial net investment meets the characteristic of a derivative and when a derivative contains a financing component that warrants special reporting in the statement of cash flows. This Statement is generally effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial reporting and disclosures.

In May 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity*. SFAS No. 150 changes the accounting for certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, could be classified as equity or mezzanine equity, by now requiring those instruments to be classified as liabilities (or assets in some circumstances) in the statement of financial position. Further, SFAS No. 150 requires disclosure regarding the terms of those instruments and settlement alternatives. The guidance in SFAS No. 150 generally is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and is otherwise effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. We have evaluated SFAS No. 150 and determined that it does not have an impact on our financial reporting and disclosures.

7. *Environmental, and Reclamation and Closure Matters*

As of December 31, 2002, we had a reserve balance of \$305.9 million for estimated future costs associated with environmental matters at closed facilities and closed portions of certain operating facilities. During the first nine months of 2003, we had a \$5.7 million net increase in the reserve estimate (\$24.4 million of additions, \$12.2 of reductions and a \$6.5 million reclassification to asset retirement obligation liability) and \$14.3 million of spending against the reserve. As of September 30, 2003, the reserve balance was \$297.3 million.

In the 2003 third quarter, we had an \$8.3 million net increase in the environmental reserve estimate. The increase comprised \$20.5 million of additions and \$12.2 million of reductions. The two sites with significant changes were American Zinc



**Table of Contents**

-21-

and Chemical site, with a decrease of \$10.4 million, and Cyprus Foote Mineral Company, with an increase of \$5.9 million.

**American Zinc and Chemical Site**

In June 1999, Cyprus Amax, now a subsidiary of Phelps Dodge, received an information request from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) regarding the American Zinc and Chemical Company site in Langeloth, Pennsylvania. The American Zinc and Chemical Company site consists primarily of a former zinc smelter facility operated until 1947 by the former American Zinc and Chemical Company and includes some or all of a contiguous, currently operating molybdenum refinery formerly owned by the Climax Molybdenum Company, which is indirectly owned by Cyprus Amax Minerals Company. The American Zinc and Chemical Company, which was dissolved in 1951, also was a subsidiary of a corporate predecessor to Cyprus Amax.

In discussions with Cyprus Amax in 2001 and early 2002, PADEP informally indicated that it expects Cyprus Amax to investigate and remediate negative environmental conditions at the site, which predominate at and about the former zinc smelter facility. The Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, indicated that preliminary evaluations of the nature and extent of conditions at the site may range from \$18 million to \$52 million. The Company reserved \$20 million for possible remediation work at this site. Recently, an engineering evaluation and reasonable-cost analysis was performed to estimate the cost and feasibility of implementing the most likely remedial action that PADEP would accept based on effectiveness and implementability. To check the validity of the analysis, estimated site remedial costs were compared with costs from other environmental sites that have implemented similar remedial actions. In addition, a reasonable-cost analysis was performed on other possible remedial alternatives so a range of costs could be established for consideration. This analysis indicates that remediation of the site may range from \$9 million to \$43 million, with the most likely point of the range to be about \$9 million. The most likely remedial action would include an additional site investigation study, implementation of stormwater controls, constructing an engineered cap over 60 acres of slag and process waste, and long-term monitoring and operations and maintenance of the site. While the Company has reduced its reserve to \$9 million for possible remediation work at the site, Cyprus Amax continues to believe and will continue to indicate to PADEP that the Company is not liable for the actions of its former subsidiary, American Zinc and Chemical Company, under existing federal and state environmental laws. To date, PADEP has not responded to Cyprus Amax's assertion that it is not liable.

**Cyprus Foote Mineral Company Site**

A former subsidiary of Cyprus Amax, the Cyprus Foote Mineral Company, owned and operated a ferroalloy facility near Steubenville, Ohio, from 1958 to 1973. In 1973, Cyprus Foote sold the facility to a third party, which continued to own and operate the facility until 1982. Since 1982, the Steubenville, Ohio, ferroalloy facility has lain dormant, being owned by various parties. From 1992 to 1997, both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) conducted environmental site inspections of the Steubenville facility. In 1998, Cyprus Amax sold Cyprus Foote to Chemetall GmbH, which subsequently renamed Cyprus Foote the Chemetall Foote Corporation. In connection with this transaction, Cyprus Amax indemnified Chemetall for certain environmental liabilities, which potentially include liabilities of Cyprus Foote at the facility.

In 1999, Cyprus Foote and other parties were issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) from OEPA for the open dumping of waste materials and releasing pollution into the waters of the state. On behalf of Cyprus Foote, Cyprus Amax responded, urging that OEPA evaluate the post-1973 owners and operators of the facility for their responsibility and ability to pay for remediation. OEPA replied that it would not consider Cyprus Foote out of compliance with state law until the agency investigated the ability to pay of the post-1973 owners and operators. In 2002, OEPA issued Cyprus Foote another NOV, alleging similar violations as the 1999 NOV. In subsequent discussions, OEPA indicated

**Table of Contents**

-22-

that it believes the post-1973 owners and operators are insolvent and that OEPA is now looking to Cyprus Foote to correct the environmental violations at the site. A meeting between OEPA and Cyprus Amax, on behalf of Cyprus Foote, has yet to be scheduled by OEPA to discuss next steps in addressing the agency's regulatory concerns.

In 2002, the Company estimated the reserve range to be from \$1 million to \$17 million. The Company reserved \$1 million as there was no point in the range more likely than any other. However, because of observations during the most recent site visit and Cyprus Amax's knowledge of characteristics of other ferrochromium slag, an engineering evaluation and reasonable-cost analysis was performed to estimate the cost and feasibility of implementing the most likely remedial action that OEPA would accept, based on effectiveness and implementability. To check the validity of the analysis, estimated site remedial costs were then compared with costs from other environmental sites that have implemented similar remedial actions. In addition, a reasonable-cost analysis was performed on other possible remedial alternatives so a range of cost could be developed for consideration. The overall analysis indicates that remediation of the Steubenville site may range from \$7 million to \$18 million, with the most likely point of the range to be \$7 million. The Company has, therefore, increased its reserve to \$7 million for the site. The most likely remedial action would include a site investigation study, implementation of stormwater controls, covering approximately 40 acres with an engineered cap, and long-term monitoring and operations and maintenance of the site.

The sites for which Phelps Dodge has received a notice of potential liability or an information request that currently are considered to be significant are the Pinal Creek site near Miami, Arizona; the Laurel Hill site at Maspeth, New York; and the Cyprus Tohono site near Casa Grande, Arizona. At September 30, 2003, the cost range for all reservable remediation sites was estimated to be from \$251 million to \$588 million of which approximately \$297 million has been reserved.

Phelps Dodge has a number of sites that are not the subject of an environmental reserve because it is not probable that a successful claim will be made against the Company for those sites, but for which there is a reasonably possible likelihood of an environmental remediation liability. As of September 30, 2003, the cost range for reasonably possible outcomes for all such sites was estimated to be from \$3 million to \$17 million. The liabilities arising from potential environmental obligations that have not been reserved at this time may be material to the operating results of a single quarter or year in the future. Management, however, believes the liability arising from potential environmental obligations is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity or financial position.

On January 1, 2003, we adopted SFAS No. 143 (refer to Note 6, Accounting Standards, for further discussion). The following table summarizes our asset retirement obligation liability as of September 30, 2003:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Third Quarter</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003</b>
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2003</b>
Beginning balance	\$ 177.1	138.6
Liability recorded upon adoption of SFAS No. 143		10.4
New liabilities during the period		0.6
Accretion expense	3.9	10.8
Payments	(0.4)	(1.2)
Revisions to cash flow estimates	0.3	21.6
Foreign currency translation adjustments		0.1
Ending balance	\$ 180.9	180.9

During the 2003 second quarter, we revised our cash flow estimates (\$21.3 million discounted) for the Chino and Tyrone mines based on an agreement with NMED on the cost estimate for Chino reached in April 2003 and preliminary cost estimate for Tyrone identified in May 2003 by NMED for the financial assurance requirements as part of the closure plans related to the operations at Chino, Cobre and Tyrone (refer to Note 8, Contingen-

**Table of Contents**

-23-

cies, for further discussion). The effect of this change in estimate did not have a material impact on our results of operations for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2003.

We have estimated our share of the total cost of asset retirement obligations at approximately \$1.1 billion (unescalated, undiscounted and on a third-party cost basis), leaving approximately \$909 million remaining to be accreted over time. These aggregate costs may increase or decrease materially in the future as a result of changes in regulations, technology, mine plans or other factors. Asset retirement obligation activities and expenditures generally are made over an extended period of time commencing near the end of the mine life.

8. *Contingencies*

## Significant New Mexico Closure and Reclamation Programs

Mining and smelting operations with leaching, tailing ponds, surface impoundments and other discharging facilities in New Mexico are subject to regulation under the New Mexico Water Quality Act and the Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Regulations. The Chino, Cobre and Tyrone mines and the Hidalgo smelter each have obtained multiple discharge permits for their operations, which specify operational, monitoring and notification requirements. These permits are issued for five-year terms and require renewal following the end of each permit term. The WQCC Regulations authorize the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), which administers the discharge permit program, to require the submission of closure plans showing how applicable discharge permit requirements will be met following closure. Under certain circumstances, NMED also may require submission and approval of abatement plans to address the exceedance of applicable water quality standards.

Further, Chino, Cobre, Tyrone and Hidalgo must submit closure plans for their operations. Hidalgo has an approved closure plan under its discharge permit. The three mines have submitted closure plans, which have been combined with closeout plans under the New Mexico Mining Act (NMMA), as discussed below. The proposed closure plans currently are subject to approval by NMED as part of separate discharge permits for closure for each of the three operations that would supplement the existing discharge permits (hereinafter referred to as closure permits). The proposed closure permits contain a number of permit conditions that would modify the proposed closure plans. Chino Mines Company and NMED reached agreement in December 2001 on proposed closure permit conditions presented at a public hearing in February 2002. On January 23, 2003, NMED's hearing officer issued a decision approving the closure permit as proposed by NMED and Chino, with minor changes. NMED issued a permit consistent with the hearing officer's decision on February 24, 2003. An appeal has been filed by a local environmental group, which Chino has moved to dismiss on procedural grounds. Phelps Dodge Tyrone, Inc. and NMED were unable to reach agreement on permit terms before a public hearing held in May 2002, and presented competing permit proposals. Other parties who participated in the public hearing presented their own proposals. On March 7, 2003, Tyrone received the hearing officer's decision on its permit, which generally adopted NMED's proposal. On April 2, 2003, Tyrone filed an appeal of the hearing officer's decision with the WQCC. NMED issued a permit in accordance with the hearing officer's decision on April 8, 2003, which Tyrone also has appealed. A hearing on Tyrone's appeal has been set before the WQCC. Cobre Mining Company and NMED also have not reached agreement on the terms of a closure permit. The closure permit for Cobre Mining Company does not require a public hearing and may be issued by NMED at any time.

Chino, Cobre and Tyrone also are subject to permit requirements under NMMA, which was passed in 1993. Following adoption of the New Mexico Mining Act Rules (NMMAR) in 1994, Chino, Cobre and Tyrone received initial permits as existing mining operations under NMMAR in 1997. These permits require revisions to incorporate approved closeout plans, which consist of plans for reclamation of the mining operations to achieve a self-sustaining ecosystem or an approved

**Table of Contents**

-24-

post-mining land use following cessation of operations at a mine. Existing mining operations may seek a waiver of these reclamation standards for open pits and waste units based upon a demonstration that achieving these standards is technically or economically infeasible or environmentally unsound, as long as measures will be taken to meet air and water quality standards following closure.

NMMAR originally required approval of a closeout plan for an existing mining operation by December 31, 1999, based upon an extension granted by the Director of the Mining and Minerals Division (MMD). NMMAR subsequently was amended to extend the deadline for closeout plan approval until December 31, 2001, and later to October 1, 2002. NMMAR contains a requirement that NMED must provide MMD with a determination that a closeout plan meets applicable environmental standards, including air and water quality standards, before MMD can approve the closeout plan. NMED's policy is to issue this determination after it has issued closure permits for the facility that submits the closeout plan. In early 2001, Chino, Cobre and Tyrone submitted comprehensive closure/closeout plans (CCPs) to both NMED and MMD intended to address the requirements of both the WQCC Regulations and NMMAR. Approval of the CCPs under NMMAR would require the granting of waivers by MMD as authorized under NMMAR. The CCPs were the subject of the public hearings before NMED for Chino and Tyrone, as discussed above.

As of October 1, 2002, NMED had not issued closure permits for Chino, Cobre or Tyrone. Consequently, as of October 1, 2002, MMD had not approved closeout permits for these three mines. MMD issued Notices of Violation (NOVs) to Chino, Cobre and Tyrone because the three mines did not obtain approved closeout plans by the October 1, 2002, deadline. The NOVs were modified by the Mining Commission following a public hearing to set new deadlines for closeout plan approval tied to NMED permit actions. Based on NMED's permit actions, closeout plan approval under the Mining Commission's Order for Chino was due by September 24, 2003, but was extended by the MMD Director to November 24, 2003. The closeout plan approval date for Tyrone is April 8, 2004. The closeout plan approval deadline for Cobre will be nine months from the date of NMED's permit issuance, which is currently pending.

NMMAR contains specific requirements regarding financial assurance that must be provided to MMD to assure that sufficient funds would be available to MMD to carry out the closeout plan in the event of a default by the permittee. NMED also may require financial assurance under the WQCC Regulations. The financial assurance requirements are based upon the net present value of estimated costs to carry out the requirements of the closure permit and the approved closeout plan, assuming the state would hire a third-party contractor to conduct the work. Actual reclamation costs may differ significantly from the costs estimated under the permits due to advances in technology and reclamation techniques and opportunities to prepare each site for more efficient reclamation through careful development of the site over time. Consequently, the estimated costs under the permits are higher than the cost the Company would be expected to incur if the Company performed the work.

The CCPs submitted in early 2001 contained cost estimates of approximately \$100 million for Chino, \$121 million for Tyrone, and \$9 million for Cobre, based upon unescalated and undiscounted capital and operating costs over a 30-year operating period. The closure permit negotiated by NMED and Chino Mines Company and approved by the NMED hearing officer has an estimated cost of approximately \$394 million, based upon third-party unescalated and undiscounted capital and operating costs over a 100-year operating period, including the cost of technical studies required under the permit. The Company's two-thirds share of NMED's \$394 million estimate is approximately \$263 million and our joint venture partner's cost share is approximately \$131 million. We estimate total costs for Chino Mines Company to achieve the closure standards required by NMED to be approximately \$287 million (100 percent basis); that estimate is approximately one-third lower than the financial assurance cost estimate as a result of the Company's historical cost advantages, savings

---

**Table of Contents**

-25-

from the use of the Company's own personnel and equipment versus third-party contract costs, and opportunities to prepare the site for more efficient reclamation. The financial assurance cost estimate includes approximately \$10 million (100 percent basis) of costs the Company has recognized in environmental reserves. The Company's two-thirds share of these costs is approximately \$191 million and our joint venture partner's cost share is approximately \$96 million. At September 30, 2003, and December 31, 2002, we had accrued approximately \$18 million and \$8 million, respectively, (two-thirds basis) for reclamation at Chino. The NMED cost estimate for Chino is subject to further review, and possible adjustment, by MMD under NMMAR.

NMED estimated the cost to carry out the requirements of its proposed closure permits for Tyrone at approximately \$440 million, without discounting or escalation, under NMED's proposal at the May 2002 hearing; Tyrone estimated the cost of its proposal at approximately \$328 million, without discounting or escalation over a 100-year operating period. NMED has not yet supplied its proposed cost estimate for Cobre. The proposed terms of the closure permits would require additional studies over the five-year term of the permits to refine the closure plan. The plan requirements and cost estimates may increase or decrease based upon the results of the studies and other factors, including changes in technology, completion of some closure and reclamation work, and inflation.

Based upon NMED's undiscounted financial assurance cost estimates for the Tyrone plan of approximately \$440 million, and considering the same cost advantages as indicated in the above discussion regarding Chino, we estimate the Company's costs to achieve the closure standards under that estimate to be approximately \$257 million for Tyrone. The Company has not obtained approval from NMED of an estimate of its cost to achieve the closure standards that would be required by the hearing officer's decision. The Company's current cost estimate for Cobre of approximately \$9 million will be updated with the issuance of the discharge permit. At September 30, 2003, and December 31, 2002, we had accrued closure costs of approximately \$61 million and \$27 million, respectively, at Tyrone and approximately \$7 million and \$2 million, respectively, at Cobre.

Following NMED's issuance of the closure permits, Chino, Cobre and Tyrone are required to submit proposals for financial assurance based upon the permit requirements and subject to NMED's approval. Under the proposed closure permit terms, the amount of financial assurance may be based upon the net present value (NPV) of the estimated cost for a third-party to implement the plan, using discount and escalation rates specified in the permit. These amounts are expected to be substantially lower than the undiscounted and unescalated cost estimates. For example, based upon the cost estimate approved by NMED, the financial assurance amount for Chino could be approximately \$191 million. This amount is based on annual escalation rates of approximately 3.2 percent for long-term water treatment costs and approximately 3.6 percent for other costs and discount rates of 5 percent for years one through 12 of the plan and 8 percent for years 13 through 100.

NMMAR requires that financial assurance for a closeout plan be approved and put in place before MMD can approve the closeout plan. Chino and Tyrone have provided approximately \$56 million and \$58 million of financial assurance in the form of surety bonds, respectively, to NMED under the terms of several discharge permits. The closure and financial assurance requirements of these permits have been superseded by the recently issued NMED closure permits. Consequently, the Company has advised NMED that this financial assurance should be terminated and replaced by new financial assurance under the terms of the new closure permits. Chino and Tyrone have submitted financial assurance proposals in accordance with the schedules under their NMED closure permit proposing financial assurance primarily in the form of corporate performance guarantees from the Company. Cobre also has approximately \$2 million of financial assurance in place held jointly by NMED and MMD. Following NMED's issuance of the closure permits, and prior to MMD's approval of the closeout plans, Chino, Tyrone and Cobre

---

**Table of Contents**

-26-

will be required to provide substantial amounts of additional financial assurance to cover the amounts of the approved cost estimates. Hidalgo currently has provided financial assurance in the amount of approximately \$11 million under its discharge permit.

In late May 2003, the Company and the state announced an agreement on a framework for the Company to provide financial assurance for Chino, Tyrone and Cobre under both NMMAR and WQCC Regulations. Under this agreement, the Company will provide financial assurance based upon the NPV of the cost estimates in a total amount of approximately \$484 million. Initially, approximately 70 percent of this amount will be covered by a corporate performance guarantee. The balance of approximately 30 percent will be provided as trust funds and collateral, including cash and liens on certain owned parcels of non-mining real estate located in New Mexico. In addition, the Company agreed to expend at least \$30 million on accelerated closure and reclamation work over the next 10 years. The amounts to be covered by guarantees will be reduced to approximately 64 percent by the amount of accelerated reclamation performed.

Following the July announcement of the Company's agreed upon acquisition of Heisei Minerals Corporation's (Heisei) one-third interest in Chino and Heisei's agreement to provide funding for one-third of the Chino financial assurance in a trust fund, the Company and the state engaged in further discussions regarding the details of the financial assurance to be provided under the May 2003 framework. On October 1, 2003, the Company and the state announced the signing of an addendum to the May agreement. Under the addendum, Chino's financial assurance will consist of one-third as a trust fund and the remaining two-thirds as a guarantee. Completion of the one-third acquisition from Heisei, which is subject to certain closing conditions, is expected to occur in the 2003 fourth quarter. Tyrone will place an initial amount of \$17 million in a trust fund, increasing to \$27 million over five years. About \$62.8 million in collateral will be required initially to cover the 30 percent of Tyrone's financial assurance not covered by a guarantee. Cobre will have a \$1 million trust fund, increasing to \$3 million over five years, and an initial amount of about \$7.4 million in collateral. The addendum also sets a goal of a total of \$109.8 million, including earnings on the trust funds, in all three trust funds at the end of a five-year period. The addendum retains the Company's commitment to expend at least \$30 million over the next 10 years on accelerated reclamation of inactive portions of the three mines, and adds a schedule identifying particular projects and anticipated timeframes for reclamation.

On October 10, 2003, Tyrone signed a Settlement Agreement with NMED to fulfill a major portion of the commitment to expend at least \$30 million for reclamation by agreeing to reclaim all of the inactive tailing impoundments at Tyrone over an eight-year period. The Settlement Agreement was executed on behalf of NMED on October 15, 2003.

Finalization of the financial assurance is subject to completion of the permitting process, including additional public comment and hearings. MMD also has proposed some changes to NMMAR for consideration by the Mining Commission, which could affect the final financial assurance package.

**Avian Mortalities and Natural Resource Damage Claims**

Since the fall of 2000, the Company's mining operations have been updating plans and implementing additional measures to reduce avian mortalities following the discovery of avian mortalities at some of the Company's mining operations, including Tyrone and Morenci. During this period, the mine operators have been sharing information and discussing various approaches with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in conjunction with FWS investigations of the avian mortalities. As a result of the FWS investigations, federal authorities have raised issues related to the avian mortalities under two federal laws, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the natural resource damages provision of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). As part of the discussions regarding the MBTA, the FWS has requested that the mining op-

---

**Table of Contents**

-27-

erations undertake various measures to reduce the potential for future avian mortalities, including measures to eliminate or reduce avian access to ponds that contain acidic water. The FWS interprets the MBTA as strictly prohibiting the unauthorized taking of any migratory bird, and there are no licensing or permitting provisions under the MBTA that would authorize the taking of migratory birds as a result of industrial operations, such as mining. The Tyrone mine has entered into a settlement agreement with NMED to complete reclamation of its inactive tailing ponds, which should address many of the avian concerns related to Tyrone. The Morenci mine also is developing plans for additional measures to address the FWS's concerns.

The Company received a letter, dated August 21, 2003, from the U.S. Department of Interior, as trustee for certain natural resources, and on behalf of trustees for the states of New Mexico and Arizona asserting claims for natural resource damages relating to the avian mortalities and other matters. The notice cited CERCLA and the Clean Water Act and identified alleged releases of hazardous substances at the Chino, Tyrone and Continental (Cobre Mining Company) Mines in New Mexico and the Morenci Mine in Arizona. In addition to allegations of natural resource damages relating to avian mortalities, the letter alleges injuries to other natural resources, including other wildlife, surface water and ground water. The letter was accompanied by a Preassessment Screen report. The letter expresses the trustees' interest in engaging in a cooperative assessment regarding the claims in which the Company would participate in the assessment of the alleged injuries and potential damages with the objective of settling the claims. The Company has entered into tolling agreements with the trustees to toll the statute of limitations pending discussions with the trustees regarding a cooperative assessment process.

9. *Earnings (Loss) Per Share*

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed in a similar manner except that the denominator is increased to include the incremental number of common shares that would have been outstanding assuming the conversion of mandatory convertible preferred shares, the exercise of stock options where the exercise prices were less than the average market price of the Company's common shares during the period, and the number of unvested restricted shares, but all of the foregoing only to the extent that the related impacts are not anti-dilutive. Additionally, dividends on mandatory convertible preferred shares that were deducted in arriving at net income (loss) available to common shares are added back to the numerator as a result of the assumed conversion of such preferred shares.

For the quarter and nine-months ended September 30, 2003, the number of incremental common shares relating to the assumed conversion of the mandatory convertible preferred shares (4.6 million and 4.9 million, respectively) and stock options (0.4 million and 0.2 million, respectively) and unvested restricted stock (0.4 million) issued to employees were excluded from the calculation as the related impacts were anti-dilutive.

As a result of the net loss experienced for the quarter and nine-months ended September 30, 2002, the number of incremental common shares relating to the assumed conversion of the mandatory convertible preferred shares (5.0 million and 2.0 million, respectively) and unvested restricted stock (0.3 million) issued to employees were excluded from the calculation as the related impacts were anti-dilutive.

Finally, common shares relating to stock options where the exercise prices exceeded the average market price of the Company's common shares during the period were also excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as the related impact was anti-dilutive. Incre-

---

**Table of Contents**

-28-

mental shares relating to these options totaled 6.3 million shares at an average exercise price of \$61.08 for the 2003 third quarter and 7.2 million at an average exercise price of \$58.89 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003; and 9.1 million shares at an average exercise price of \$55.91 for the 2002 third quarter and 7.5 million shares at an average exercise price of \$60.90 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	Third Quarter	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)*
<b>Basic Loss Per Share Computation</b>		
Numerator:		
Net loss	\$ (0.3)	(53.7)
Preferred stock dividends	(3.3)	(3.3)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net loss applicable to common shares	\$ (3.6)	(57.0)
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding	88.7	88.6
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Basic loss per common share	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Diluted Loss Per Share Computation</b>		
Numerator:		
Net loss	\$ (0.3)	(53.7)
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding**	88.7	88.6
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

\* Refer to Note 2, Restatements, for further discussion.

\*\* Resulting from anti-dilutive impact, excludes (i) conversion of mandatory convertible preferred shares to common shares of 4.6 million and 5.0 million shares, respectively, in the third quarters of 2003 and 2002; (ii) additional common shares of 0.4 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively, in the third quarters of 2003 and 2002 for unvested restricted stock; and (iii) additional common shares of 0.4 million in the third quarter of 2003 for stock options issued to employees.

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share data)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)*
<b>Basic Loss Per Share Computation</b>		
Numerator:		
Net loss	\$ (30.5)	(112.8)
Preferred stock dividends	(10.1)	(5.7)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net loss applicable to common shares	\$ (40.6)	(118.5)



Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding	88.6	82.6
	—	—
Basic loss per common share	\$ (0.46)	(1.43)
	—	—
<b>Diluted Loss Per Share Computation</b>		
Numerator:		
Net loss	\$ (30.5)	(112.8)
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding**	88.6	82.6
	—	—
Diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.46)	(1.43)
	—	—

\* Refer to Note 2, Restatements, for further discussion.

\*\* Resulting from anti-dilutive impact, excludes (i) conversion of mandatory convertible preferred shares to common shares of 4.9 million and 2.0 million shares, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002; (ii) additional common shares of 0.4 million and 0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, for unvested restricted stock; and (iii) additional common shares of 0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, for stock options issued to employees.

10. *Benefit (Provision) for Taxes on Income*

The Company's income tax provision for the 2003 third quarter principally resulted from (i) taxes on earnings at international operations (\$14.0 million) that cannot be offset by losses at domestic operations; partially offset by (ii) a current-year loss carryback benefit for U.S. operations (\$2.0 million). The Company's income tax provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, primarily comprised the following: (i) taxes on earnings at international operations (\$41.2 million) that cannot be offset by losses at domestic operations; partially offset by (ii) a benefit from finalizing year-end 2002 estimates in the Company's 2002 U.S. tax return filed in June 2003 (\$4.2 million) and (iii) a current-year loss carryback benefit for U.S. operations (\$3.1 million).

**Table of Contents**

-29-

The Company's income tax benefit for the 2002 third quarter comprised the following items: (i) an additional \$28.1 million special tax benefit associated with 2001 net operating losses that, based on new tax legislation, may be carried back to recover prior years' taxes; (ii) a \$5.0 million benefit recognized for the 2002 third quarter net operating losses that, based on the March enactment of the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002, may also be carried back to recover prior years' taxes; and (iii) a \$2.0 million expense for taxes on earnings at international operations. The Company's income tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, comprised the following items: (i) a \$66.6 million tax benefit associated with the carryback of 2001 net operating losses that, based on new tax legislation, may be carried back to recover prior years' taxes; (ii) a \$39.8 million benefit recognized for the first nine months of 2002 net operating losses, based on new tax legislation; (iii) a \$17.8 million expense for taxes on earnings at international operations; and (iv) a \$13.0 million benefit for release of deferred taxes previously provided.

#### 11. *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*

The Company does not purchase, hold or sell derivative contracts unless we have an existing asset, obligation or anticipate a future activity that is likely to occur and will expose us to market risk. We do not enter into any contracts for speculative purposes. We use various strategies to manage our market risk, including the use of derivative contracts to limit, offset or reduce our market exposure. Derivative instruments are used to manage well-defined commodity price, energy, interest rate and foreign exchange risks from our primary business activities. The fair values of our derivative instruments are based on quoted market prices for similar instruments at period end. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis and Note 20, Derivative Financial Instruments Held for Purposes Other Than Trading and Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for a discussion on our derivative instruments.

During the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2003, we reclassified approximately \$2.3 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, of other comprehensive losses to the Statement of Consolidated Operations, principally as a result of our floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps.

During the quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2002, we reclassified approximately \$2.6 million and \$9.4 million, respectively, of other comprehensive losses to the Statement of Consolidated Operations, principally as a result of our floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps.

#### 12. *Shareholders' Equity*

##### Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock

Each share of Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock (Series A Stock) is convertible into 2.083 shares of Common Stock, subject to certain adjustments, at any time prior to August 15, 2005, and is entitled to an annual dividend of \$6.75, paid quarterly. On August 15, 2005, each share of Series A Stock will automatically convert, subject to certain adjustments, into between 2.083 and 2.5 shares of Common Stock depending on the then-current market price of our Common Stock. Each share of Series A Stock is non-voting and entitled to a liquidation preference of \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends. There were 6 million authorized shares and 2 million outstanding shares of Series A Stock at September 30, 2003.

#### **REVIEW BY INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS**

The financial information as of September 30, 2003, and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, included in Part I pursuant to Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X has been reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PricewaterhouseCoopers), the Company's independent accountants, in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. PricewaterhouseCoopers' report is included in this quarterly report.

PricewaterhouseCoopers does not carry out any significant or additional procedures beyond those that would have been necessary if its report had not been included in this quarterly re-

**Table of Contents**

-30-

port. Accordingly, such report is not a report or part of a registration statement within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and the liability provisions of Section 11 of such Act do not apply.

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Phelps Dodge Corporation

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Phelps Dodge Corporation and its subsidiaries as of September 30, 2003, and the related statement of consolidated operations for each of the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, the consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003. This interim financial information is the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial information for it to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As described in Note 2, the Company restated its consolidated interim financial information for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2002.

We previously audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of cash flows and of shareholders' equity for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated April 3, 2003 we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2002, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
Phoenix, Arizona  
October 27, 2003

---

**Table of Contents**

-31-

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis

The United States securities laws provide a "safe harbor" for certain forward-looking statements. This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements that express expectations of future events or results. All statements based on future expectations rather than historical facts are forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, and Phelps Dodge Corporation (the Company, which may be referred to as Phelps Dodge, PD, we, us or ours) cannot give assurance that such statements will prove to be correct. Our business consists of two divisions, Phelps Dodge Mining Company (PDMC) and Phelps Dodge Industries (PDI). Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis in the Company's report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for a further discussion of such risks and uncertainties, our operations, and our critical accounting policies. Additionally, refer to Note 6, Accounting Standards, to our unaudited September 30, 2003, Consolidated Financial Information for a discussion on the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting (SFAS) No. 143.

## Restatements

As discussed in Note 2, Restatements, in this Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, certain accounting matters were identified in the fourth quarter of 2002 that required restatement of our December 31, 2001 and 2000, Consolidated Financial Statements and our financial statements for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2001, through September 30, 2002. The adjustments for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002, decreased operating loss by \$6.9 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, and decreased net loss by \$2.2 million, or 3 cents per common share, and \$7.2 million, or 9 cents per common share, respectively.

Additionally, our presentation of reportable segment information for PDMC for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002, has been revised to reflect additional segments.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

## Consolidated Financial Results

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share amounts)

	<b>Third Quarter</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(As Restated)</b>
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 1,031.1	941.2
Operating income (loss)	\$ 46.8	(12.0)
Net loss	\$ (0.3)	(53.7)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.04)	(0.64)

The Company had a consolidated net loss in the 2003 third quarter of \$0.3 million, or 4 cents per common share, including a special, net loss of \$9.0 million, or 10 cents per common share, after taxes. In the 2002 third quarter, the consolidated net loss was \$53.7 million, or 64 cents per common share, including a special, net loss of \$24.0 million, or 27 cents per common share, after taxes.

The \$53.4 million decrease in consolidated net loss in the 2003 third quarter compared with the corresponding 2002 period primarily was due to higher LME copper prices (approximately \$57 million), early debt extinguishment costs in the 2002 third quarter (\$31.3 million), and a favorable change in special items and provisions (\$13.8 million); partially offset by a higher tax provision (\$43.3 million), resulting primarily from the absence of the effect of 2002 tax legislation that permitted a five-year carryback of our 2001 and 2002 net operating losses, and slightly higher implied unit cost of copper production (approximately \$6 million).

**Table of Contents**

-32-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per share amounts)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 2,971.3	2,826.5
Operating income (loss)	\$ 92.7	(9.5)
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	\$ (38.9)	(89.9)
Cumulative effect of accounting change	8.4	(22.9)
Net loss	\$ (30.5)	(112.8)
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.55)	(1.15)
Cumulative effect of accounting change	0.09	(0.28)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.46)	(1.43)

The Company had a consolidated loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, of \$30.5 million, or 46 cents per common share, including a special, net gain of \$5.0 million, or 6 cents per common share, after taxes. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, the consolidated loss was \$112.8 million, or \$1.43 per common share, including a special, net loss of \$20.5 million, or 25 cents per common share, after taxes.

The \$82.3 million decrease in net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, compared with the corresponding 2002 period primarily was due to higher LME copper prices (approximately \$91 million), a higher cumulative income effect of accounting changes (\$31.3 million) mostly due to the goodwill impairment losses upon adoption of SFAS No. 142 in 2002, a favorable change in pre-tax, special items and provisions (\$47.7 million), lower net interest expense (\$33.9 million) and early debt extinguishment costs in 2002 (\$31.3 million); partially offset by a higher tax provision (\$129.7 million) resulting primarily from the absence of the effect of 2002 tax legislation that permitted a five-year carryback of the 2001 and 2002 net operating losses, and slightly higher implied unit cost of copper production (approximately \$9 million).

**Special Items**

Throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis there is disclosure and discussion of what management believes to be special items. We view special items as unpredictable and atypical of our operations in the period. We believe consistent identification, disclosure and discussion of such items, both favorable and unfavorable, provide additional information to assess the quality of our performance and our earnings or losses. In addition, management measures the performance of its reportable segments excluding special items. This supplemental information is not a substitute for any U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) measure and should be evaluated within the context of our U.S. GAAP results. Any supplemental information references to earnings, losses or results excluding special items or before special items, our non-GAAP measure of items, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Note: Supplemental Data

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

Third Quarter

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

	2003	2002
		<b>(As Restated)</b>
Special items, net of taxes	\$(9.0)	(24.0)
Income (losses) excluding special items (after taxes)	\$ 8.7	(29.7)

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
		<b>(As Restated)</b>
Special items, net of taxes	\$ 5.0	(20.5)
Losses excluding special items (after taxes)	\$(35.5)	(92.3)

---

**Table of Contents**

-33-

Note: Supplemental Data

The following schedules summarize the special items and provisions for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002:

(Unaudited; gains (losses) in millions except per share amounts)

	2003 Third Quarter			2002 Third Quarter		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/share After-tax	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/share After-tax
Special items and provisions, net:						
PDMC (see Business Segment disclosure)	\$ (5.0)	(4.8)	(0.05)	(8.1)	(7.0)	(0.08)
PDI (see Business Segment disclosure)	0.4	0.4		(21.4)	(20.6)	(0.23)
Corporate and Other						
Environmental provisions, net	(3.7)	(3.5)	(0.04)	(0.5)	(2.9)	(0.03)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net				6.8	6.1	0.07
Historic Cyprus Amax lawsuit settlement					(0.6)	(0.01)
Historic Cyprus Amax arbitration award with regard to Plateau Mining					(0.5)	(0.01)
Historic Cyprus Amax legal matter	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.01)			
	(4.8)	(4.6)	(0.05)	6.3	2.1	0.02
	(9.4)	(9.0)	(0.10)	(23.2)	(25.5)	(0.29)
Early debt extinguishment costs (see Note 6)				(31.3)	(26.6)	(0.30)
Benefit for taxes on income:						
Tax benefit for 2001 net operating loss carryback					28.1	0.32
Total	\$ (9.4)	(9.0)	(0.10)	(54.5)	(24.0)	(0.27)

(Unaudited; gains (losses) in millions except per share amounts)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002		
	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/share After-tax	Pre-tax Earnings	After-tax Earnings	\$/share After-tax
Special items and provisions, net:						
PDMC (see Business Segment disclosure)	\$ (5.5)	(5.2)	(0.06)	26.6	25.3	0.30
PDI (see Business Segment disclosure)	3.6	2.8	0.03	(21.4)	(20.6)	(0.25)

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Corporate and Other						
Environmental provisions, net	(7.1)	(6.8)	(0.07)	(12.6)	(12.6)	(0.15)
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	0.5	0.5	0.01	15.4	13.0	0.16
Historic Cyprus Amax lawsuit settlement				(11.2)	(9.5)	(0.12)
Historic Cyprus Amax arbitration award with regard to Plateau Mining				(46.5)	(45.0)	(0.54)
Historic Cyprus Amax legal matter	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.01)			
	<u>(7.7)</u>	<u>(7.4)</u>	<u>(0.07)</u>	<u>(54.9)</u>	<u>(54.1)</u>	<u>(0.65)</u>
	<u>(9.6)</u>	<u>(9.8)</u>	<u>(0.10)</u>	<u>(49.7)</u>	<u>(49.4)</u>	<u>(0.60)</u>
Early debt extinguishment costs (see Note 6)				(31.3)	(26.6)	(0.32)
Miscellaneous income (expense), net:						
Cost investment write-downs				(1.2)	(1.2)	(0.01)
Gain on sale of cost investment	6.4	6.4	0.07			
	<u>6.4</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>(1.2)</u>	<u>(1.2)</u>	<u>(0.01)</u>
Benefit for taxes on income:						
Release of taxes provided with regard to Plateau Mining					13.0	0.16
Tax benefit for 2001 net operating loss carryback (see Note 10)					66.6	0.80
					<u>79.6</u>	<u>0.96</u>
Cumulative effect of accounting change (see Note 6)	9.7	8.4	0.09	(33.0)	(22.9)	(0.28)
Total	<u>\$ 6.5</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>(115.2)</u>	<u>(20.5)</u>	<u>(0.25)</u>



**Table of Contents**

-34-

*Quest for Zero* Operational Improvement Program

Phelps Dodge announced in October 2001 that it was commencing *Quest for Zero (QFZ)*, a comprehensive, lean-production program, designed to, among other things, improve operating income by a targeted \$250 million annually. *Quest for Zero* encompasses both the October 2001 program and the \$150 million cost improvement program announced in May 2001. The Company's goal is to achieve these combined annual operating income improvements of \$400 million by the end of 2003 when compared with the results that were then expected for 2001.

In order to achieve the full \$400 million target, PDMC chartered business improvement teams to drive performance improvement projects and best practices. The elimination of variance and waste are key factors in this process, coupled with the rapid transfer of best practices to all business units. On a quarterly basis, we document improvement successes, failures and potential projects yet to be implemented. New project ideas are generated at internal conferences where statistical analysis tools are utilized on current performance data to identify improvement opportunities. Improvement projects are prioritized and implemented accordingly. Key elements of the plan going forward include six-sigma quality programs, technology innovations, global procurement strategies and improved operating practices.

During the 2003 third quarter, we achieved \$84 million (an annual run rate of \$335 million) in improvements, bringing total improvements in the nine quarters since the program was announced to \$505 million.

We remain determined to drive toward our stretch goal of an implied production cost of 60 cents per pound. We continue to experience challenges that come with multi-year improvement projects as we begin to tackle more difficult initiatives; unforeseen costs including higher energy, pension and medical costs, and higher administrative costs and professional fees; and our Company's decision to emphasize growth-oriented projects. We expect to achieve 2003 fourth quarter *QFZ* improvements of \$90 million to \$100 million, equating to an annualized run-rate of \$360 million to \$400 million.

The following is a summary of improvement dollars:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Third Quarter</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
U.S. Mining Operations*	\$52	31
South American Mines**	17	11
Primary Molybdenum	3	4
	—	—
Total PDMC	72	46
	—	—
Specialty Chemicals	5	1
Wire and Cable	7	5
	—	—
Total PDI	12	6
	—	—
Total <i>Quest for Zero</i>	\$84	52
	—	—

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
—	—

## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

U.S. Mining Operations*	\$ 155	96
South American Mines**	44	29
Primary Molybdenum	10	8
	—	—
Total PDMC	209	133
	—	—
Specialty Chemicals	14	6
Wire and Cable	16	10
	—	—
Total PDI	30	16
	—	—
Total <i>Quest for Zero</i>	\$ 239	149
	—	—

\* U.S. Mining Operations combines the following segments: Morenci, Bagdad/Sierrita, Miami/Bisbee, Chino/Cobre, Tyrone, Manufacturing and Sales, and Other Mining.

\*\* South American Mines combines the following segments: Candelaria, Cerro Verde and El Abra. Business Divisions

Results for 2003 and 2002 can be meaningfully compared by separate reference to our reporting divisions, PDMC and PDI. PDMC is a business division that includes our worldwide copper operations from mining through rod production, marketing and sales; molybdenum operations from mining through manufacturing, marketing and sales; other mining operations and investments; and worldwide mineral exploration and development programs. PDMC comprises 11 reportable segments. PDI, our manufacturing division, produces engineered products principally for the global energy, telecommunications, transpor-

**Table of Contents**

-35-

tation and specialty chemical sectors. PDI includes our Specialty Chemicals segment and our Wire and Cable segment. Significant events and transactions have occurred within each segment that, as indicated in the separate discussions presented below, are material to an understanding of the particular year's results and to a comparison with results of other periods.

**RESULTS OF PHELPS DODGE MINING COMPANY**

PDMC is our international business division that comprises our vertically integrated copper operations from mining through rod production, primary molybdenum operations through conversion, marketing and sales, and worldwide exploration. PDMC comprises 11 reportable segments.

Our copper mines comprise five reportable segments in the United States (Morenci, Bagdad/Sierrita, Miami/Bisbee, Chino/Cobre and Tyrone) and three reportable segments in South America (Candelaria, Cerro Verde and El Abra). These segments include open-pit mining, sulfide ore concentrating and electrowinning. In addition, some of these produce gold and silver, and the Bagdad and Sierrita mines also produce molybdenum as by-products.

The Manufacturing and Sales segment consists of conversion facilities including our smelters, refineries and rod mills, as well as sales and marketing. The Manufacturing and Sales segment sells copper to others primarily as rod, cathode or concentrate, and as rod to our Wire and Cable segment. In addition, at times it smelts and refines copper and produces copper rod for customers on a toll basis. Toll arrangements require the tolling customer to deliver appropriate copper-bearing material to our facilities, which we then process into a product that is returned to the customer. The customer pays PDMC for processing its material into the specified products.

The Primary Molybdenum segment consists of the Henderson and Climax mines and related conversion facilities. This segment is an integrated producer of molybdenum, with mining, roasting and processing facilities producing high-purity, molybdenum-based chemical and metallurgical products. In addition, at times it roasts and/or processes material on a toll basis. Toll arrangements require the tolling customer to deliver appropriate molybdenum-bearing material to our facilities, which we then process into a product that is returned to the customer. The customer pays PDMC for processing its material into the specified products.

Other Mining includes our worldwide mineral exploration and development programs, a process technology center that directs its activities at improving existing processes and developing new cost-competitive technologies, and other ancillary operations.

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per pound amounts)

	<b>Third Quarter</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(As Restated)</b>
Sales and other operating revenues to unaffiliated customers	\$ 704.1	629.3
Operating income	\$ 59.6	5.7
<b>Copper production (thousand short tons):</b>		
Total production	329.2	312.8
Less minority participants' shares (A)	62.9	58.6
Net Phelps Dodge share	266.3	254.2
<b>Copper sales (thousand short tons):</b>		
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	268.6	267.4
Purchased copper	90.9	113.2
Total copper sales	359.5	380.6

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

LME average spot copper price per pound cathodes	\$0.795	0.689
COMEX average spot copper price per pound cathodes	\$0.800	0.693
Implied unit cost of copper production full	\$0.675	0.663
Implied unit cost of copper production cash	\$0.518	0.500
Molybdenum production (million pounds)	13.1	12.1
Molybdenum sales (million pounds):		
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	12.5	10.8
Purchased molybdenum	2.6	1.7
Total molybdenum sales	15.1	12.5
<i>Metals Week:</i>		
Molybdenum oxide price per pound	\$ 5.67	4.71

**Table of Contents**

-36-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per pound amounts)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)
Sales and other operating revenues to unaffiliated customers	\$2,000.7	1,885.5
Operating income	\$ 121.1	71.2
Copper production (thousand short tons):		
Total production	965.2	951.3
Less minority participants' shares (A)	179.2	185.3
	<u>786.0</u>	<u>766.0</u>
Copper sales (thousand short tons):		
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	798.9	800.6
Purchased copper	269.3	333.7
	<u>1,068.2</u>	<u>1,134.3</u>
LME average spot copper price per pound cathodes	\$ 0.765	0.708
COMEX average spot copper price per pound cathodes	\$ 0.769	0.719
Implied unit cost of copper production full	\$ 0.686	0.680
Implied unit cost of copper production cash	\$ 0.530	0.516
Molybdenum production (million pounds)		
	37.7	33.1
Molybdenum sales (million pounds):		
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	39.8	34.9
Purchased molybdenum	6.2	7.1
	<u>46.0</u>	<u>42.0</u>
<i>Metals Week:</i>		
Molybdenum oxide price per pound	\$ 4.98	3.94

- (A) Minority participant interests include (i) a 15 percent undivided interest in Morenci, Arizona, copper mining complex held by Sumitomo Metal Mining Arizona, Inc., (ii) a one-third partnership interest in Chino Mines Company in New Mexico held by Heisei Minerals Corporation see additional discussion on PDC's agreement to acquire Heisei's share on page 52, (iii) a 20 percent partnership interest in Candelaria in Chile held by SMMA Candelaria, Inc., a jointly owned indirect subsidiary of Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd., and Sumitomo Corporation, and (iv) a 49 percent partnership interest in the El Abra copper mining operation in Chile held by Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile (CODELCO).

Note: Supplemental Data

Implied unit cost of copper production measures the all-in cost of each pound of copper produced by PDMC. As the title indicates, this measure is the cost implied by the market price of copper (i.e., London Metal Exchange average spot) for a given period versus PDMC's operating income (loss) for the same period.

## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

There is no established standard for calculating unit production costs in the copper industry. PDMC's implied unit production cost indicator (which is based on readily accessible, publicly disclosed data) acts as a proxy to enable investors to follow and interpret cost trends over historical periods.

PDMC calculates its all-in operating margin per pound of copper sold by dividing its operating income (loss) excluding special items by the total pounds of copper sold from its own mines for its own account (as disclosed in the table above). This results in an all-in operating margin (i.e., inclusive of cost of products sold; depreciation, depletion and amortization; selling and general administrative expense; and exploration and research expense for the segment's operations) that is compared to the market price of copper to render an implied cost of copper production. Following is the calculation of implied unit cost of copper production for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per pound amounts)

	Third Quarter	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)
PDMC implied unit cost of copper production		
Operating income	\$ 59.6	5.7
Less special operating items	(5.0)	(8.1)
	\$ 64.6	13.8
Copper sales from own mines million pounds	537.2	534.9
Operating margin per pound of copper sold	\$0.120	0.026
LME average spot copper price per pound cathodes	\$0.795	0.689
Implied unit cost of copper production per pound	\$0.675	0.663

**Table of Contents**

-37-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions except per pound amounts)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
		(As Restated)
PDMC implied unit cost of copper production		
Operating income	\$ 121.1	71.2
Less special operating items	(5.5)	26.6
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Operating income excluding special items	\$ 126.6	44.6
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Copper sales from own mines   million pounds	1,597.9	1,601.3
Operating margin   per pound of copper sold	\$ 0.079	0.028
LME average spot copper price per pound   cathodes	\$ 0.765	0.708
Implied unit cost of copper production per pound	\$ 0.686	0.680

Note: Our measure of implied unit cost of copper production may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

**Total PDMC Division   Sales**

PDMC's sales and other operating revenues to unaffiliated customers increased \$74.8 million, or 12 percent, in the 2003 third quarter compared with the 2002 third quarter. The increase reflected higher average copper prices (approximately \$74 million), higher average molybdenum prices (approximately \$3 million), higher sales volumes of molybdenum (approximately \$15 million) and higher precious metals sales (approximately \$5 million); partially offset by lower copper sales volumes (approximately \$21 million) primarily reflecting decreased purchases and sales of third-party copper.

PDMC's sales and other operating revenues to unaffiliated customers increased \$115.2 million, or 6 percent, in the first nine months of 2003 compared with the first nine months of 2002. The increase reflected higher average copper prices (approximately \$113 million), higher average molybdenum prices (approximately \$45 million), higher sales volumes of molybdenum (approximately \$18 million) and higher precious metals sales (approximately \$5 million); partially offset by lower copper sales volumes (approximately \$66 million) primarily reflecting decreased purchases and sales of third-party copper.

**Total PDMC   Operating Income (Loss)**

PDMC reported operating income of \$59.6 million in the 2003 third quarter, including a special, net pre-tax loss of \$5.0 million, compared with operating income of \$5.7 million in the 2002 third quarter, including a special, net pre-tax loss of \$8.1 million. The increase in operating income primarily reflected higher copper prices (approximately \$57 million) and lower pre-tax special losses (\$3.1 million), partially offset by a slightly higher implied unit cost of copper production (approximately \$6 million).

PDMC reported operating income of \$121.1 million in the first nine months of 2003, including a special, net pre-tax loss of \$5.5 million, compared with operating income of \$71.2 million in the first nine months of 2002, including a special, net pre-tax gain of \$26.6 million. The increase in operating income primarily reflected higher copper prices (approximately \$91 million), partially offset by lower pre-tax special gains (\$32.1 million) and a slightly higher implied unit cost of copper production (approximately \$9 million).

The New York Commodity Exchange (COMEX) spot price per pound of copper cathode, primarily upon which we base our U.S. sales, averaged 80.0 cents and 69.3 cents in the third quarters of 2003 and 2002, respectively, and 76.9 cents and 71.9 cents for the first nine months of 2003 and 2002, respectively. The London Metal Exchange (LME) spot price per pound of copper cathode, primarily upon which we base our international sales, averaged 79.5 cents and 68.9 cents in the third quarters of 2003 and 2002, respectively, and 76.5 cents and 70.8 cents for the first nine months of 2003 and 2002, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

The implied full unit cost of copper production for the third quarter of 2003 increased approximately 1 cent per pound compared with the third quarter of 2002. The cash unit cost of copper production for the third quarter of 2003 increased approximately 2 cents per pound compared with the third quarter of 2002. The increase was primarily due to unfavorable stockpile and inventory changes (approximately 2 cents per pound), higher energy costs (approximately 1 cent per pound) and higher exploration expenses (approximately 1 cent per pound); partially offset by lower depreciation and closure expense (approximately 1 cent per pound) and a favorable finished goods inventory change (approximately 1 cent per pound).

---



**Table of Contents**

-38-

The implied full and cash unit cost of copper production for the first nine months of 2003 each increased approximately 1 cent per pound compared with the corresponding 2002 period. The increase was primarily due to unfavorable stockpile and inventory changes (approximately 2 cents per pound) and higher energy costs (approximately 2 cents per pound); partially offset by lower closure expense (approximately 1 cent per pound) and higher molybdenum prices (approximately 2 cents per pound).

Note: Supplemental Data

The following table summarizes PDMC's special items for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, and the resultant earnings (losses) excluding these special items.

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Third Quarter</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(As Restated)</b>
Special, pre-tax items:		
U.S. Mining Operations*	\$ (5.0)	(8.1)
South American Mines**		
Primary Molybdenum	—	—
	(5.0)	(8.1)
Segment operating income (loss) excluding special items:		
U.S. Mining Operations*	\$ 24.3	(3.8)
South American Mines**	40.7	6.9
Primary Molybdenum	(0.4)	10.7
	64.6	13.8

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(As Restated)</b>
Special, pre-tax items:		
U.S. Mining Operations*	\$ (5.5)	26.6
South American Mines**		
Primary Molybdenum	—	—
	(5.5)	26.6

## Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Segment operating income (loss) excluding special items:

U.S. Mining Operations*	\$ 22.2	(20.9)
South American Mines**	104.3	54.6
Primary Molybdenum	0.1	10.9
	126.6	44.6
	126.6	44.6

\* U.S. Mining Operations combines the following segments: Morenci, Bagdad/Sierrita, Miami/Bisbee, Chino/Cobre, Tyrone, Manufacturing and Sales, and Other Mining.

\*\* South American Mines combines the following segments: Candelaria, Cerro Verde and El Abra.

Note: Our non-GAAP measure of special items may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Note: Supplemental Data

Special, pre-tax items and provisions in operating income (loss) were as follows:

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

Third Quarter 2003			
	U.S. Mining Operations	South American Mines	Primary Molyb- denum
Environmental provisions, net	\$(5.0)	—	—
	—	—	—

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003			
	U.S. Mining Operations	South American Mines	Primary Molyb- denum
Environmental provisions, net	\$(5.5)	—	—
	—	—	—

**Table of Contents**

-39-

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Third Quarter 2002</b>		
	<b>U.S. Mining Operations</b>	<b>South American Mines</b>	<b>Primary Molyb- denum</b>
Environmental provisions, net	\$(2.5)		
October 2001 restructuring:			
Reassessment of employee activities and take-or-pay contracts	4.4		
Additional retirement benefits	(6.4)		
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	(3.6)		
	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
	\$ (8.1)		
	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>

(Unaudited; \$ in millions)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002</b>		
	<b>U.S. Mining Operations</b>	<b>South American Mines</b>	<b>Primary Molyb- denum</b>
Environmental provisions, net	\$ (2.5)		
October 2001 restructuring:			
Reassessment of employee activities and take-or-pay contracts	4.4		
Additional retirement benefits	(6.4)		
Environmental insurance recoveries, net	8.5		
Sale of non-core real estate	22.6		
	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
	\$ 26.6		
	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>

Note: Our non-GAAP measure of special items may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

**PDMC Results by Reportable Segments**

The following tables summarize, on a segment basis, production and sales statistics, operating income (loss), and special items and provisions for the third quarters and first nine months of 2003 and 2002. Our presentation of reportable segment information for PDMC for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002, has been revised to reflect comparative segments.

**Table of Contents**

-40-

**PDMC RESULTS BY REPORTABLE SEGMENTS**

(Unaudited)

	U.S. Mines					Subtotal
	Morenci	Bagdad/ Sierrita	Miami/ Bisbee	Chino/ Cobre	Tyrone	
<b>Third Quarter 2003</b>						
Copper production (thousand short tons):						
Total production	108.6	46.9	5.1	10.1	13.5	184.2
Less minority participants shares	16.3			3.4		19.7
Net Phelps Dodge share	92.3	46.9	5.1	6.7	13.5	164.5
Copper sales (thousand short tons):						
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	92.3	46.0	4.9	6.7	13.5	163.4
Purchased copper						
Total copper sales	92.3	46.0	4.9	6.7	13.5	163.4
(\$ in millions)						
Operating income (loss)	\$ 19.8	23.7	(0.7)	(4.9)	(5.5)	32.4
Special items and provisions	\$ (1.1)			(1.3)	(0.5)	(2.9)
<b>Third Quarter 2002 (as restated)</b>						
Copper production (thousand short tons):						
Total production	106.0	39.2	2.3	11.9	18.7	178.1
Less minority participants shares	15.9			4.0		19.9
Net Phelps Dodge share	90.1	39.2	2.3	7.9	18.7	158.2
Copper sales (thousand short tons):						
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	90.1	50.4	5.4	7.9	18.6	172.4
Purchased copper						
Total copper sales	90.1	50.4	5.4	7.9	18.6	172.4
(\$ in millions)						
Operating income (loss)	\$ 0.7	8.7	(5.9)	(2.1)	0.9	2.3
Special items and provisions	\$ (0.5)		(2.3)	(2.5)		(5.3)

Refer to segment discussion on pages 46 through 51.

Revenues, operating costs and expenses of PDMC's segments include allocations that may not be reflective of market conditions. Additionally, certain costs are not allocated to the reportable segments. (Refer to pages 46 and 47 for further discussion.)

**Table of Contents**

-41-

**PDMC RESULTS BY REPORTABLE SEGMENTS**

(Unaudited)

	South American Mines			Subtotal
	Candelaria	Cerro Verde	El Abra	
<b>Third Quarter 2003</b>				
Copper production (thousand short tons):				
Total production	54.5	24.1	65.3	143.9
Less minority participants' shares	10.9		32.1	43.0
Net Phelps Dodge share	43.6	24.1	33.2	100.9
Copper sales (thousand short tons):				
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	47.2	24.4	32.8	104.4
Purchased copper	2.2			2.2
Total copper sales	49.4	24.4	32.8	106.6
(\$ in millions)				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 22.4	10.6	7.7	40.7
Special items and provisions	\$			
<b>Third Quarter 2002 (as restated)</b>				
Copper production (thousand short tons):				
Total production	53.0	24.2	58.1	135.3
Less minority participants' shares	10.6		28.5	39.1
Net Phelps Dodge share	42.4	24.2	29.6	96.2
Copper sales (thousand short tons):				
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	41.6	24.0	29.4	95.0
Purchased copper	7.1		16.0	23.1
Total copper sales	48.7	24.0	45.4	118.1
(\$ in millions)				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 7.7	4.6	(5.4)	6.9
Special items and provisions	\$			

Refer to segment discussion on pages 46 through 51.

Revenues, operating costs and expenses of PDMC's segments include allocations that may not be reflective of market conditions. Additionally, certain costs are not allocated to the reportable segments. (Refer to pages 46 and 47 for further discussion.)

**Table of Contents**

-42-

**PDMC RESULTS BY REPORTABLE SEGMENTS**

(Unaudited)

	<u>Primary</u> <u>Molybdenum</u>	<u>Manufacturing</u> <u>and Sales</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Mining</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>PDMC</u>
<b>Third Quarter 2003</b>				
Copper production (thousand short tons):				
Total production		1.1		329.2
Less minority participants shares		0.2		62.9
Net Phelps Dodge share		0.9		266.3
Copper sales (thousand short tons):				
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines		0.8		268.6
Purchased copper		88.7		90.9
Total copper sales		89.5		359.5
Molybdenum production (thousand pounds):				
Primary - Henderson	5,164			5,164
By-product	7,924			7,924
Total production	13,088			13,088
Molybdenum sales (thousand pounds):				
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	12,484			12,484
Purchased molybdenum	2,578			2,578
Total molybdenum sales	15,062			15,062
(\$ in millions)				
Operating income (loss)	\$ (0.4)	6.1	(19.2)	59.6
Special items and provisions	\$	(0.1)	(2.0)	(5.0)
<b>Third Quarter 2002 (as restated)</b>				
Copper production (thousand short tons):				
Total production		(0.6)		312.8
Less minority participants shares		(0.4)		58.6
Net Phelps Dodge share		(0.2)		254.2
Copper sales (thousand short tons):				
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines				267.4
Purchased copper		90.1		113.2
Total copper sales		90.1		380.6
Molybdenum production (thousand pounds):				
Primary - Henderson	5,794			5,794

Edgar Filing: PHELPS DODGE CORP - Form 10-Q

By-product	6,358	—	—	6,358
Total production	12,152	—	—	12,152
Molybdenum sales (thousand pounds):				
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	10,790	—	—	10,790
Purchased molybdenum	1,768	—	—	1,768
Total molybdenum sales	12,558	—	—	12,558
(\$ in millions)				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 10.7	1.9	(16.1)	5.7
Special items and provisions	\$	0.7	(3.5)	(8.1)

Refer to segment discussion on pages 46 through 51.

Revenues, operating costs and expenses of PDMC's segments include allocations that may not be reflective of market conditions. Additionally, certain costs are not allocated to the reportable segments. (Refer to pages 46 and 47 for further discussion.)

**Table of Contents**

-43-

**PDMC RESULTS BY REPORTABLE SEGMENTS**

(Unaudited)

	U.S.Mines					Subtotal
	Morenci	Bagdad/ Sierrita	Miami/ Bisbee	Chino/ Cobre	Tyrone	
<b>Nine Months Ended 2003</b>						
Copper production (thousand short tons):						
Total production	317.6	136.0	14.4	26.4	44.9	539.3
Less minority participants shares	47.6			8.8		56.4
Net Phelps Dodge share	270.0	136.0	14.4	17.6	44.9	482.9
Copper sales (thousand short tons):						
Net Phelps Dodge share from own mines	270.0	143.4	16.6	17.6	44.9	492.5
Purchased copper						
Total copper sales	270.0	143.4	16.6	17.6	44.9	492.5
(\$ in millions)						
Operating income (loss)	\$ 37.1	48.7	(4.5)	(9.8)	(14.4)	57.1
Special items and provisions	\$ (1.1)		(0.5)	(1.3)	(0.5)	(3.4)
<b>Nine Months Ended 2002 (as restated)</b>						
Copper production (thousand short tons):						
Total production	313.4	116.1	6.2	43.6	51.5	530.8
Less minority participants shares	47.0			14.5		61.5