

WAUSAU PAPER CORP.
Form DEF 14A
March 14, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant [**P**]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant []

Check the appropriate box:

[]

Preliminary Proxy Statement

[]

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

[**P**]

Definitive Proxy Statement

[]

Definitive Additional Materials

[]

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

WAUSAU PAPER CORP.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

NOT APPLICABLE

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

[P]

No fee required.

[]

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1)

Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2)

Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3)

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5)

Total fee paid:

[]

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

[]

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1)

Amount Previously Paid:

2)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3)

Filing Party:

4)

Date Filed:

March 14, 2013

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend our annual meeting of shareholders to be held on April 18, 2013, at the Jefferson Street Inn, 201 Jefferson Street, Wausau, Wisconsin. At the annual meeting, you will be asked to elect G. Watts Humphrey, Jr., John S. Kvocka, and George P. Murphy as Class II directors, with a term of office expiring in 2016, and to elect Londa J. Dewey as Class III director, with a term of office expiring in 2014. You will also be asked to consider proposals to adopt a non-binding say-on-pay resolution that approves our executive compensation policies and to ratify the selection of our independent auditing firm.

Details on the time and place of the meeting, as well as information on matters to be voted on by shareholders and other customary and important disclosures, are set forth in the attached notice and proxy statement.

I look forward to seeing you at the annual meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, please sign and return the enclosed proxy card so that your vote will be counted.

Sincerely,

Henry C. Newell

President and Chief Executive Officer

100 Paper Place

Mosinee, WI 54455-9099

wausaupaper.com

WAUSAU PAPER CORP.

100 Paper Place

Mosinee, Wisconsin 54455-9099

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders

The annual meeting of shareholders of Wausau Paper Corp. will be held at the Jefferson Street Inn, 201 Jefferson Street, Wausau, Wisconsin, on April 18, 2013, at 1:30 p.m., local time. The purpose of the meeting is as follows:

1.

To elect three Class II directors and one Class III director;

2.

To vote on an advisory, non-binding say-on-pay resolution that approves the compensation of our executive officers;

3.

To ratify the audit committee's preliminary selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditor for the 2013 fiscal year; and

4.

To conduct any other business that properly comes before the meeting.

The record date for determining the holders of common stock entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or any adjournment thereof is the close of business on February 15, 2013.

March 14, 2013

Sherri L. Lemmer

Secretary

Please promptly vote, sign, date, and return the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed envelope.

Proxy Statement for

Wausau Paper Corp.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held April 18, 2013

Table of Contents

Page No.

Solicitation of Proxies

1

Background Regarding the Solicitation

1

Costs of the Solicitation

1

Voting Procedures

1

Your Vote

1

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

1

Quorum, Required Vote, and Related Matters

2

Corporate Governance

3

10

Available Corporate Governance Documents

	<u>3</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Review, Approval, or Ratification of Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Committees of the Board</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Board Meetings, Leadership Structure, and Director Communication</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Board Oversight of Risk</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>General Information</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Election Procedures, Nominees, and Board Recommendation</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Director Compensation for 2012</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Stock Ownership</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Stock Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers, and 5% Shareholders</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>13</u>

Report of the Audit Committee and Related Matters

14

Audit Committee Report

14

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

15

Independent Auditor and Fees

15

Executive Compensation

15

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

15

Results of 2012 Say-on-Pay Vote

16

Role of Compensation Committee and Executive Officers

16

Compensation Committee Report

16

Summary Compensation Table for 2012

16

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2012

16

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2012

16

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2012

16

12

Pension Benefits for 2012

16

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2012

16

Termination and Change in Control Arrangements

16

Proposal No. 2 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

16

-i-

<u>Proposal No. 3 Ratification of Selection of Auditors</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Other Matters</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Proxy Statement and Other Shareholder Proposals</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Householding of Annual Meeting Materials</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be Held on April 18, 2013</u>	<u>16</u>
Appendix A Categorical Standards for Determination of Director Independence	A-1

March 14, 2013

Wausau Paper Corp.

100 Paper Place

Mosinee, Wisconsin 54455-9099

wausaupaper.com

Solicitation of Proxies

Background Regarding the Solicitation

We are providing these proxy materials in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Wausau Paper Corp. for use at the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders (the Annual Meeting), including any adjournment thereof. The Annual Meeting will be held at 1:30 p.m. on April 18, 2013, at the Jefferson Street Inn, 201 Jefferson Street, Wausau, Wisconsin.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the election of each of our Board of Directors nominees (G. Watts Humphrey, Jr., John S. Kvocka, George P. Murphy, and Londa J. Dewey) by using the proxy card that is enclosed with this proxy statement.

Costs of the Solicitation

We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies on behalf of the Company. Proxies may be solicited on our behalf by directors, officers, or employees (for no additional compensation) in person or by telephone, electronic transmission, and facsimile transmission.

Voting Procedures

Your Vote

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please sign, date, and return the enclosed proxy card promptly in order to be sure that your shares are voted. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by giving written notice to the Secretary of the Company at our principal office in Mosinee, Wisconsin, by filing another duly executed proxy bearing a later date with the Secretary, or by giving oral notice at the annual meeting.

All shares represented by your properly completed proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions if your proxy has been submitted to us prior to the meeting and has not been revoked. **If you do not indicate how your shares should be voted on a proposal, the shares represented by your properly completed proxy will be voted as the Board recommends.**

If any matters other than those described in this proxy statement are properly presented at the annual meeting for consideration, including, among other things, consideration of a motion to adjourn the meeting to another time or place, the persons named as proxies in the proxy form furnished to you by the Board will have discretion to vote on those matters according to their best judgment to the same extent as you would be entitled to vote. As of the date of this proxy statement, we do not anticipate that any other matters will be presented at the annual meeting.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

General. Shareholders at the close of business on the record date, February 15, 2013, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote on each proposal properly brought before the annual meeting. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting will be tabulated by an inspector of elections appointed by the Board. On the record date, there were 49,358,655 shares of common stock outstanding.

Street Name Accounts. If you hold shares in street name with a broker, bank, or other custodian, you will receive voting instructions from the holder of record of your shares. In some cases, a broker may be able to vote your shares even if you provide no instructions, but on other matters, such as the election of directors, your broker may vote the shares held for you only if you provide voting instructions. Shares for which a broker does not have the authority to vote are recorded as a broker non-vote and may count as a vote against certain proposals. See Quorum, Required Vote, and Related Matters. **If you hold your shares in street name, it is critical that you**

cast your vote if you want it to count in the election of our directors and approval of the non-binding resolution relating to executive compensation. Regulations prevent your bank or broker from voting your uninstructed shares in the election of directors and on the proposals relating to executive compensation on a discretionary basis. Accordingly, if you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote in the election of directors and on the proposals relating to executive compensation, no votes will be cast on your behalf.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan and Common Stock Purchase Plan Participants. If you are a participant in the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan or Common Stock Purchase Plan, your proxy will also serve to direct the Plan administrator to vote any shares of common stock held for you under either Plan at the close of business on the record date. Shares beneficially owned by participants in the Plans for which no proxy or other voting directions are received will not be voted. The accompanying form of proxy will permit you to vote the shares held in the Plans.

Savings and Investment Plan Participants. If you are a participant in our Savings and Investment Plan (401(k) Plan), you may vote an amount of shares equivalent to the interest in our common stock credited to your account as of the record date. Your proxy will serve as voting instructions for the trustee of the 401(k) Plan. If you own shares through the 401(k) Plan and do not vote, the Plan trustee will vote the Plan shares in the same proportion as shares for which instructions were received under the Plan. The accompanying form of proxy will permit you to vote the shares held in the Plan.

Quorum, Required Vote, and Related Matters

Quorum. A quorum is present if a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on a proposal are represented at the annual meeting in person or by proxy. For purposes of determining a quorum, shareholders who are present in person or are represented by proxy, but who abstain from voting, are considered present and count toward the determination of the quorum. Shares reported as broker non-votes are also considered to be shares present for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors. Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast. For this purpose, a plurality means that the individuals receiving the largest number of votes are elected as directors, up to the maximum of the two directors to be chosen at the annual meeting. You may vote in favor of the nominees specified on the accompanying proxy form or may withhold your vote as to one or more of such nominees. Shares withheld or not otherwise voted in the election of directors (because of abstention, broker non-vote, or otherwise) will have no effect on the election of directors.

Proposal No. 2 Approval of Non-Binding Say-on-Pay Resolution Regarding Executive Compensation. Proposal No. 2, relating to the non-binding resolution that approves our executive compensation, will be approved if more shares are voted for the proposal than are voted against the proposal. Shares not voted (because of abstention, broker non-vote, or otherwise) will have no effect on the approval of the resolution.

Proposal No. 3 Ratification of Preliminary Selection of Auditors. Proposal No. 3, relating to the ratification of our preliminary selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, will be approved if a majority of the shares of stock represented and voted at the annual meeting vote for approval, provided that a majority of the outstanding shares of stock are voted on the proposal. Shareholders may vote in favor of the proposal, against the proposal, or abstain from voting; however, shares that are not voted on Proposal No. 3 because of abstention will not have any effect on whether or not the proposal is adopted.

All Other Proposals. As of the date of this proxy statement, we do not anticipate that any other proposals will be brought before the annual meeting. Generally, proposals other than the election of directors that are brought before the meeting will be approved if the votes cast for the proposal exceed the votes cast against the proposal.

Majority Vote Policy. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth our procedures if a nominee for director is elected by a plurality of the votes cast in an uncontested election, but a greater number of votes are withheld for the nominee's election than are voted for the nominee's election. See Proposal No. 1 – Election of Directors Election Procedures, Nominees, and Board Recommendation.

Corporate Governance

Available Corporate Governance Documents

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth basic principles and guidelines concerning the qualifications and responsibilities of directors, Board committees, majority voting policy, and other matters. In addition, we have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics for all employees, as well as a separate code of ethics that covers our CEO and senior financial officers. The Corporate Governance Guidelines, Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committee charters and codes of ethics are posted on our website. See Investors Corporate Governance at wausaupaper.com. A copy of these documents may also be obtained from the Secretary of the Company by writing to our corporate office.

Director Independence

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that a majority of the Board and all members of our Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees must be independent directors, as determined in accordance with New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listing standards. The Board reviews the independence of its members on an annual basis. During this review, the Board considers whether any transactions have occurred or if relationships exist between any director and the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. Included in this consideration are any transactions that occurred or relationships that exist between any member of the director's immediate family or any entity in which the director or an immediate family member is an executive officer, general partner, or significant equity holder.

In connection with its review, the Board considered that, in the ordinary course of business, the Company may, at certain times, be engaged in business transactions with companies for which some of our directors serve as directors or officers. The Board has adopted categorical standards to assist it in determining whether any of such transactions create a material relationship that precludes independence under NYSE listing standards. In general terms, and absent other factors, the Board's categorical standards provide that a customer relationship is not material if the Company does not account for more than 2% of the revenue of the director's business and not more than 2% of the Company's revenue is derived from the director's business. Similarly, in the case of a lending relationship, absent other factors, the relationship is not material if the Company obtained the credit on the same terms as other borrowers, the credit would have been available from other lenders on comparable terms, and the interest and fees paid by the Company do not exceed 2% of the lender's total income. The Board's categorical standards are attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A and are also posted on our website. See Investors Corporate Governance at wausaupaper.com.

At its meeting held in April 2012, the Board determined that Mr. Burandt, Ms. Dewey, Mr. Freels, Mr. Hodges, Mr. Humphrey, and Mr. Kuester were each independent under the listing standards of the NYSE and that each of these individuals had no relationship with the Company other than as directors and shareholders. The Board also determined at the same meeting that Mr. Howatt is not independent under the NYSE listing standards because he served as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer until his retirement on December 31, 2011.

Review, Approval, or Ratification of Related Party Transactions

There was no transaction with related parties in 2012 that is required to be disclosed under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). A related party transaction would be disclosed if it exceeded \$120,000 and one of our directors or executive officers (or their affiliates or members of their immediate family) had a direct or indirect material interest in that transaction.

The Company has not adopted any formal policies or procedures for the review, approval, or ratification of transactions that may be required to be reported under the SEC disclosure rules. These types of transactions, if and when they are proposed or have occurred, have been or will be reviewed by the entire Board (other than the director involved) on a case-by-case basis. The Board's review has in the past considered, and will in future cases consider, the importance of the transaction to the Company; the availability of alternative sources or service providers to meet the Company's requirements; the amount involved in the proposed transaction; the specific interest of the director or executive officer (or immediate family member) in the transaction; whether information concerning the fees, costs, or other terms of substantially similar arms-length transactions between unrelated parties is available; whether the terms

of the proposed transaction present any unusual or unfavorable features to the Company; and any other factors that the Board may consider important and appropriate to its determination.

Committees of the Board

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Company will have Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees in addition to any other committees the Board considers appropriate. Each of the members of the following committees satisfies the criteria for independence under applicable rules of the SEC, NYSE listing standards, and other applicable regulations.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee, established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), assists the Board in monitoring (1) the integrity of the financial statements of the Company, (2) the independent auditor's qualifications and independence, (3) the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors, and (4) compliance by the Company with legal and regulatory requirements related to the Company's financial reporting and disclosure obligations. The Committee has the sole authority to appoint or replace the Company's independent auditor. Members of the Committee may not serve on the audit committees of more than two other public companies. The members of our Audit Committee also satisfy the additional NYSE and SEC rules for independence applicable to audit committees of listed companies.

Mr. Freels (Chairman), Ms. Dewey, and Mr. Kuester served on the Audit Committee during 2012. Mr. Burandt also served as a member of the Audit Committee following his election to the Board in April 2012. The full Audit Committee met eight times in 2012, and there were three meetings between management and the Chairman of the Committee in 2012. See Report of the Audit Committee and Related Matters, for the report of the Audit Committee and other information relating to the selection of, and fees paid to, the independent auditor.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is appointed by the Board to (1) discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of the Company's directors and officers, and (2) satisfy the requirements concerning the disclosure of executive compensation under SEC regulations. The Committee may delegate its authority to a subcommittee of its members, but it has not chosen to do so. The Committee did not directly retain any compensation consultant to assist it in the review or determination of executive compensation in 2012; however, the Company retained Grant Thornton LLP to review the Company's director and officer compensation practices as compared against a peer group of companies and to provide their report of that evaluation to our Compensation Committee.

The Committee's activities and policies concerning compensation for directors and executive officers are included in this proxy statement under the subcaptions Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors Director Compensation for 2012, Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis, and Executive Compensation Compensation Committee Report. Mr. Humphrey (Chairman), Ms. Dewey, Mr. Freels, and Mr. Hodges, following his election to the Board in April 2012, served as members of the Compensation Committee during 2012, although Mr. Kuester served on the Committee at its meetings held in January and February 2012. The Compensation Committee met a total of three times in 2012.

Corporate Governance Committee. The Corporate Governance Committee is appointed by the Board to (1) identify individuals qualified to become Board members and to recommend to the Board the director nominees for the next annual meeting of shareholders, (2) recommend to the Board the Corporate Governance Guidelines applicable to the Company, (3) lead the Board in its annual review of the Board's performance, (4) recommend to the Board director nominees for each committee, and (5) provide oversight for the corporate compliance program and its code of conduct and ethics. Mr. Kuester (Chairman), Mr. Humphrey, and Ms. Dewey served on the Corporate Governance Committee during 2012. The Corporate Governance Committee met two times in 2012.

Board Meetings, Leadership Structure, and Director Communication

Meetings of the Board. The Board met nine times in 2012. Each of the directors attended at least 75% of the total number of the meetings of the Board and the committees on which they served during the last fiscal year.

Board Leadership Structure. Mr. Howatt, who served as our Chief Executive Officer until December 31, 2011, has served as Chairman of the Board since February 15, 2012. Mr. Howatt and Mr. Newell work together in developing agendas for board meetings and otherwise establishing Board priorities and procedures. Mr. Howatt typically leads the Board meetings in his role as Chairman, which allows Mr. Newell to provide greater focus

on the Company's day-to-day operations. We believe that this structure best allows the Board to fulfill its oversight role at this time (including the Board's oversight of risk as described further below); however, the Board does not have a specific policy regarding the separation of the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, as it believes that it is in the best interest of the Company to make that determination on a case-by-case basis based on the position and direction of the Company, as well as the membership of the Board, at the time.

Meetings of Independent and Non-Management Directors. The Board's independent directors meet in executive session at least once each year. In 2012, this meeting of independent directors followed the February Board meeting. The Board has historically appointed the Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee to preside over these meetings. The Board's non-management directors also meet in the absence of management each year following the February Board meeting and, at this meeting, the non-management directors establish a schedule of additional meetings. The non-management directors must meet at least twice each year under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, and the Board has appointed Mr. Howatt to preside over these meetings. Shareholders and others may communicate directly with Mr. Howatt or any other non-management directors by following the procedures set forth in the following paragraph.

Communicating with the Board. Shareholders and others may communicate with the Board by writing to the Chairman at the Company's corporate office, 100 Paper Place, Mosinee, Wisconsin 54455-9099. Individual directors may also be contacted in writing at the same address. Mail may be opened and sorted before forwarding to the director to whom the mail was addressed. If a communication does not involve an ordinary business matter and if a particular director is named, the communication will be forwarded to that director. If no particular director is named, the communication will be forwarded to the Chairman of the appropriate Board committee. If a complaint or concern involves accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters, the correspondence may be addressed, and will be forwarded, to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Our website also describes the Audit Committee's procedures to submit a concern or complaint on a confidential basis. In order to expedite a response, the non-management directors have instructed management to receive, research, and respond, if appropriate, on behalf of the Company's non-management directors or a particular director, to any communication regarding an ordinary business matter.

Attendance at Annual Meetings. The Board has an informal policy under which all directors are expected to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. Each of our directors attended the annual meeting held in 2012.

Board Oversight of Risk

Although the Board is not involved in the day-to-day management of risks facing our Company, the Board plays an important role in risk oversight. The Company's risk management systems, including our internal and external auditing procedures, internal controls over financial reporting, corporate compliance and ethics program (which includes the obligation for Company management to conduct periodic risk assessments), and contract approvals

policies, among others, are designed in part to bring to the Board's attention the Company's most material risks so that the Board can understand and evaluate how those risks might affect the Company and how management is responding to those risks. The Board also works with and supports management in promoting a corporate culture that understands the importance of enterprise-wide risk management and incorporates it into day-to-day decisions that are made regarding our business. A high priority is placed on risk-aware and risk-adjusted decision making throughout the Company.

The Audit Committee, in particular, is charged with, among other duties, regularly discussing with management the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control those risk exposures, including the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies. The Audit Committee also receives, on an annual basis, a report from the Company's general legal counsel regarding material legal and compliance matters that are being addressed by Company management. Finally, the Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention, and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

The Compensation Committee also evaluates risks associated with our incentive compensation programs. At its meeting in January 2012, for example, the Compensation Committee evaluated the following issues:

.

Whether any of the Company's compensation programs incentivize employees to take excessive or inappropriate risk.

.

Whether any particular business segment of the Company carries a significant portion of the Company's risk profile (for example, by being structured in a way that results in compensation expense representing

a significantly higher percentage of the business segment's revenues when compared with other business segments).

Whether the Company appropriately manages any risks that may be created by its compensation programs and policies.

After a review and discussion of these issues at its January 2012 meeting, the Compensation Committee concluded that the Company's incentive compensation programs and policies did not create incentives for excessive or inappropriate risk-taking by Company employees.

The Corporate Governance Committee receives, on an annual basis, a report from the Company's Corporate Compliance Director that outlines the variety of incidents and activities that are reported through the Company's compliance hotline or other means, all as part of the Company's corporate compliance and ethics program. The program is designed to detect and prevent violations of law, as well as to mitigate risks associated with both willful legal violations and inadvertent compliance failures.

Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors

General Information

The Nomination Process. Nominations for director are recommended to the Board by the Corporate Governance Committee. Candidates for election to the Board may be identified for initial consideration by the Committee from a wide variety of potential sources. For example, the Committee will consider candidates for nomination from among incumbents whose term will expire at the next annual meeting, persons identified by other members of the Board, executive officers, shareholders, and persons identified by a professional search firm should the Committee believe it appropriate to engage such a firm to assist it. To recommend an individual for consideration, a shareholder should mail or otherwise deliver a written recommendation to the Committee not later than the December 1 immediately preceding the annual meeting for which the individual is to be considered for inclusion as a nominee of the Board. At a minimum, a shareholder recommendation should include the individual's current and past business or professional affiliations and experience, age, stock ownership, particular qualifications, and such other information as the shareholder deems relevant to assist the Committee in considering the individual's potential service as a member of the Board.

Qualifications. In reviewing potential nominees, the Committee will consider the age, skills, and experience of current Board members and the requirement under our Corporate Governance Guidelines that a majority of the Board members must be independent, as determined in accordance with NYSE listing standards. At a minimum, nominees

must satisfy the qualification requirements included in our Corporate Governance Guidelines (which are posted on our website at wausaupaper.com), including the provision that no person may be elected a director if that person has attained age 70 as of the date of the election. All potential nominees submitted to or identified by the Committee will be evaluated on a similar basis for their level of qualifications and experience.

The Committee believes that persons recommended by it to the Board should possess strong intellectual skills; have had a successful career in business, higher education, or a profession that demonstrates an ability to manage a complex organization; have a reputation for personal and professional integrity; exercise sound and independent business judgment; and be able to understand the economic, financial, and operational issues to be addressed by the Company. Directors whose terms of office will expire at the next annual meeting are considered by the Committee on the basis of these qualities and also on the basis of their service to the Company during their term in office. The Committee does not have a specific policy regarding Board diversity, but seeks to fill seats on the Board with persons who have a range of professional experiences and backgrounds.

Election Procedures, Nominees, and Board Recommendation

The Board currently has eight members divided into three classes, consisting of three Class I directors, three Class II directors, and two Class III directors; however, the Board has adopted a resolution that will increase the size of the Board to nine members effective as of the date of the upcoming annual meeting of shareholders. The nine members of our Board will be divided into three classes, consisting of three Class I directors, three Class II directors, and three Class III directors.

Although Londa J. Dewey was initially elected as a Class III director at the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, on August 18, 2011, our Board accepted Ms. Dewey's proposal to voluntarily stand for re-election in 2013, rather than 2014, in order to address a director class imbalance that arose as a result of the passing of Andrew N. Baur (a former director of the Company) in February 2011.

Any director appointed by the Board to fill a newly created directorship is required to stand for reelection by the shareholders at the first annual meeting following his or her appointment by the Board. At the annual meeting, shareholders will be asked to elect three Class II directors, who will have terms of office expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2016, and one Class III director, who will have a term of office at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2014.

Upon recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has nominated G. Watts Humphrey, Jr., John S. Kvocka, and George P. Murphy as Class II directors, and Londa S. Dewey as a Class III director. Mr. Humphrey has served as a director since 2007; Ms. Dewey since 2011. Messrs. Kvocka and Murphy are first-time nominees.

In the event any of the nominees should become unable or unwilling to be a nominee for election at the annual meeting, it is the intention of the proxies to vote for such substitute as may be designated by the Board.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for the election of directors. However, under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, in any uncontested election, a director who has a greater number of votes withheld for the director's election than are voted for the director's election is required to tender a resignation within two days of the election. The Corporate Governance Committee must act promptly (but in any event within 30 days of the election) to make a recommendation to the Board to accept or reject the director's resignation. In making its recommendation, the Committee may consider all factors and other information it considers relevant. The Board's decision on the Committee's recommendation must be made promptly (but in any event within 90 days of the election), taking into consideration the Committee's report, if any, on its recommendation and any other factors and other information it

considers relevant. The Board must also consider, and if it deems it appropriate take action to address, the shareholder concerns underlying the withheld votes or other relevant issues. Within four business days of the Board's decision, the Company will disclose the Board's decision whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation on a Form 8-K filing with the SEC and include in the disclosure an explanation of the process by which it reached its decision and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the resignation. No director who has tendered a resignation pursuant to this governance principle may participate in the process by which the Committee recommends or the Board determines whether the resignation will be accepted.

-7-

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the election of each director nominee. The following table sets forth information concerning the business background and experience of the Board nominees and all continuing directors. Unless specified, all current positions listed for a nominee or director have been held for at least five years. Directors whom the Board has determined are independent under the criteria of the NYSE listing standards (or nominees who will meet such criteria) are denoted by an asterisk (*).

Nominees

<p>G. Watts Humphrey, Jr.*</p> <p>Mr. Humphrey, 68, chairs our Compensation Committee. He is President of GWH Holdings, Inc. (private investment company), Chairman of IPEG, Inc.(International Plastics Equipment Group, Inc.), Chairman of Centria (metal building systems), and owner of Shawnee Farm (thoroughbred breeding/racing). Mr. Humphrey is also a Lead Independent Director and Chairman of the Executive Committee of Churchill Downs Incorporated. Mr. Humphrey's experience in multiple industries provides our Board with a broad business perspective and specific expertise in the areas of administration, operations, and planning.</p>	<p>Class II (2016) Director since 2007</p>
---	--

<p>John S. Kvocka*</p> <p>John S. Kvocka, 66, has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of JSK Associates, an entrepreneurial and consulting entity, since May 1999. He has been the Senior Advisor to Apex Resource Technologies, Inc., a medical device manufacturing company, since August 2012, and has served on its Board of Directors since November 2012. Mr. Kvocka also serves as Senior Advisor to Garnet River LLC, an IT services and business consulting firm, a position he has held since September 2011. Prior to that, Mr. Kvocka served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Finch Paper LLC, a manufacturer and supplier of fine quality uncoated printing and writing papers, from February 2009 until his retirement in September 2011, and as Vice President Business Development of SCA Tissue North America, a global Swedish paper and packaging company, from March 2001 until November 2004. Mr. Kvocka will bring his broad-based business experience in both senior management and board member roles to his service as a director of the Company.</p>	<p>Class II (2016) Nominee</p>
---	--

George P. Murphy*

Class II
(2016)
Nominee

George P. Murphy, 60, has been the Senior Operating Partner of Hudson Ferry Capital LLC, a private equity firm, since January 2007 and has served as a member of the Executive Team of Advisors of Mason Wells, a private equity company, since December 2005. Previously, he served on the Board of Directors of Converting, Inc., a manufacturer of high quality, disposable food service products and one of Mason Wells' portfolio companies, from January 2009 until April 2010 when the company was sold. Mr. Murphy also served as a Strategic and Operational Advisor and a member of the Board of Directors of Advanced Modern Technologies Corporation, a leading designer and manufacturer of innovative, high quality washroom automation systems, accessories, and water saving devices, from May 2008 to January 2011. Mr. Murphy's experience in senior executive positions and his extensive managerial background in a variety of industries will enable him to assist in the effective oversight of the Company.

Londa J. Dewey*

Class III
(2014)¹
Director
since 2011

Ms. Dewey, 52, currently serves as a member of our Audit, Compensation, and Governance Committees. If elected at the Annual Meeting, the Board anticipates that Ms. Dewey will chair our Governance Committee following the annual meeting. Ms. Dewey is President of QTI Management Services, Inc., d/b/a The QTI Group, a human resources and staffing company. She was formerly President of the Private Client Group and Market President of U.S. Bank. Ms. Dewey is a director of MGE Energy, Inc., a publicly-traded utility holding company; a director of American Family Insurance, a mutual insurance company; and a past director and immediate past Chair of the Board for Meriter Health Services, Inc., an integrated health services organization and the parent company of Meriter Hospital. Ms. Dewey provides the Board with her skills relating to financial analysis, business strategy, and risk assessment and management.

Continuing Directors

Gary W. Freels*

Class III
(2014)
Director
since 1996

Mr. Freels, 64, is President and Chief Executive Officer of Alexander Properties, Inc. (investment management). Mr. Freels has extensive experience in the areas of investment management, audit, and finance.

Thomas J. Howatt

Class III
(2014)
Director
since 2000

Mr. Howatt, 63, is the Company's Chairman and, from August 2000 until December 2011, served as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Howatt has extensive knowledge of the paper industry and experience with our Company.

Michael C. Burandt*

Class I
(2015)
Director
since 2012

Mr. Burandt, 68, is President and CEO of Cantina Holdings LLC, an operator of upscale Mexican restaurants in the Atlanta, Georgia, market, a position he has held since September 2007. Previously, from July 1988 until May 2007, Mr. Burandt held various executive positions with Georgia Pacific Corporation, a manufacturer of tissue products, fine paper, building products, containerboard, packaging pulp, and DIXIE® brand products. From November 2000 until May 2007, Mr. Burandt was Georgia Pacific's Executive Vice President of North American Consumer Products, which includes the at-home and away-from-home tissue businesses, fine paper business, bleached board business, and the DIXIE® brand businesses. Mr. Burandt brings his significant experience in the paper business and, in particular, tissue operations, to our Board of Directors.

Charles E. Hodges*

Class I (2015)
Director
since 2012

Mr. Hodges, 61, has been the President of The Hodges Group LLC, an operations effectiveness consulting company providing process improvement consulting to the pulp and paper industry, since September 2011. Mr. Hodges was previously a principal of that company from January 2006 until March 2008. From March 2008 until August 2011, Mr. Hodges served in various positions, including President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chief Operating Officer, at Port Townsend Paper Company, a provider of kraft paper, containerboard, and unbleached kraft pulp as well as packaging products in British Columbia. Mr. Hodges more than 30 years of experience in the paper industry, both as an executive and director of a number of companies and trade associations, enables him to provide effective oversight of the Company.

Henry C. Newell

Class I (2015)
Director
since 2012

Mr. Newell, 55, is President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Newell joined the Company in 2007 as Vice President-Business Development. He was subsequently promoted to the position of Senior Vice President-Specialty Products (in January 2009) and, later, Senior Vice President Paper (in January 2010), before being promoted to Executive Vice President Chief Operating Officer on March 1, 2011. Prior to joining the Company in 2007, Mr. Newell served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for several portfolio companies of Atlas Holdings LLC, an industrial holding company with portfolio investments that included companies in the wood products and paper and packaging sectors. Mr. Newell has extensive knowledge of the paper industry and broad-based experience with our Company.

⁽¹⁾ Ms. Dewey was first elected to the Board in 2011 as a Class III director whose term would expire at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2014. In August 2011, however, in order to address a director class imbalance that arose from the death of a prior director, Ms. Dewey voluntarily agreed to stand for reelection at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in

2013. In connection with the nominations of Messrs. Kvocka and Murphy and the expansion of the Board to nine members, Ms. Dewey has also agreed to again be classified as a Class III director.

Director Compensation for 2012

The following table presents the compensation of our directors for 2012. A description of our director compensation policy and plans follows the table.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Non-Equity	Change in Pension	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
				Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)		
Michael C. Burandt*	\$ 44,500	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 94,500
Londa J. Dewey	\$ 63,000	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$113,000
Charles E. Hodges*	\$ 41,000	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 91,000
G. Watts Humphrey, Jr.	\$ 66,750	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$116,750
Gary W. Freels	\$ 74,000	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$162,414	\$ 0	\$286,414
Thomas J. Howatt	\$177,000	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$143,316	\$12,922 ⁽⁵⁾	\$383,238
Dennis J. Kuester	\$ 64,000	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$160,155	\$ 0	\$274,155
San W. Orr, Jr.	\$ 51,667	\$50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$202,098	\$72,279 ⁽⁶⁾	\$378,044

* Messrs. Burandt and Hodges were first elected to the Board in 2012.

⁽¹⁾ Directors who are employees do not receive directors fees; accordingly, Mr. Newell is not listed in this table.

(2) Stock awards are performance units, which must be held until the director's termination of service from the Board. Stock award amounts therefore represent potential future income, the amount of which may be materially different when paid. Dividend equivalents in the form of additional performance units are also earned on each award and are paid at distribution of award. Amounts indicated represent the grant date fair value for the 2012 award, which was determined by the closing price of the underlying stock on the grant date, \$8.51 per share except for Messrs. Burandt and Hodges, which were \$8.67 per share. The grant date fair values were computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 718-10. Additional information concerning the recognition of compensation expense and the assumptions used in the calculation of compensation expense attributable to these awards is set forth in Note 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(3) The aggregate number of stock and option awards held at December 31, 2012, by directors other than Mr. Newell (see footnote (1) above) are:

	Aggregate Stock Awards*	Aggregate Option Awards
Michael C. Burandt	5,767	
Londa J. Dewey	12,411	
Charles E. Hodges	5,767	
Thomas J. Howatt**	56,159	706,373
Gary W. Freels	21,141	37,000
G. Watts Humphrey, Jr.	19,954	12,000
Dennis J. Kuester	21,141	37,000
San W. Orr, Jr.	21,141	140,000

*Does not include 5,549 performance units granted January 2, 2013, pursuant to Director Compensation Policy and reflected in table of beneficial ownership under Stock Ownership. Also does not include 1,005 hypothetical shares attributable to dividend equivalents for Mr. Kuester, Mr. Freels, and Mr. Orr; 808 hypothetical shares attributable to dividend equivalents for Mr. Humphrey; 257 hypothetical shares attributable to dividend equivalents for Ms. Dewey; 399 hypothetical shares attributable to dividend equivalents for Mr. Howatt; or 62 hypothetical shares attributable to dividend equivalents for Messrs. Burandt and Hodges.

**For Mr. Howatt, also includes 47,991 performance units granted January 3, 2011, under the Company's Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation Plan and 681,373 option awards granted at various dates while serving as an officer of the Company. Awards do not include dividend equivalent shares that are payable only in cash upon exercise of certain option awards.

(4) Represents increase in actuarial present value under director retirement plan for directors who began service prior to January 1, 2003.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents aggregate incremental cost for personal use of the Company aircraft.

⁽⁶⁾ Mr. Orr retired as a director and Chairman of the Board on April 19, 2012. Represents \$49,909 received pursuant to the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan and the Directors' Retirement Policy and \$24,370 for the aggregate incremental cost for personal use of the Company aircraft.

Director Compensation Policy. Director compensation is established by the Compensation Committee and is intended to be competitive with compensation paid to directors of similarly sized publicly traded companies. Our Chairman of the Board receives a monthly retainer of \$10,000. Overall director compensation is reviewed annually by the Committee. In December 2012, after its annual review of director compensation, the Committee elected to maintain director compensation at previously established levels, which are set forth below:

Board Retainer

Annual cash retainer (other than Board Chairman)	\$40,000
Performance Units	\$50,000 ⁽¹⁾

Meeting Fees

Board Meeting Fees	\$ 1,500
	\$ 1,000 (telephonic meeting)
Committee Meeting Fees	\$ 1,000
	\$ 500 (telephonic meeting)

Annual Committee Chair Retainer

Audit	\$10,000
Executive, Compensation, and Corporate Governance	\$ 5,000

⁽¹⁾ On the first business day of each fiscal year or upon the date of initial election to the Board, a director receives performance units in an amount determined by dividing \$50,000 by the closing price of Company's stock on such day. Dividend equivalents in the form of additional performance units accrue on each cash dividend date. Units are settled in the form of Company stock upon director's termination of service from the Board unless director elects to defer distribution for a maximum of two years.

Only non-employee directors are eligible for compensation under our director compensation policy. No director received any compensation or benefits for services as a director other than the standard arrangements described above.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines. Each director is required to own stock or stock equivalents having a value equal to three times the director's annual retainer and board fees on or before the completion of six calendar years of service. Stock consists of shares directly or indirectly held, vested common stock equivalents (restricted stock, etc.), vested stock options, and shares held in qualified retirement plan accounts. All of our directors, other than Messrs. Burandt and Hodges (who joined the board in April 2012), have attained the minimum level.

Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. The Company maintains a deferred compensation program under which directors may elect each year to defer some or all of the fees otherwise payable in cash during the year. Amounts deferred become payable in cash in a lump sum or in quarterly installments after a director's termination of service. In the event a director's service terminates in connection with a change in control of the Company, as defined in the plan, payment of all deferred amounts will be made in a lump sum. During the period in which payment is deferred, a director may elect that the deferred fees be credited with interest at the prime rate in effect as of each calendar quarter, or that the deferred fees be converted into common stock equivalent units. If common stock equivalent units are elected, the director's account is also credited with stock equivalent units representing the shares of our common stock that could have been purchased with the cash dividends that would have been paid had the units been actual common stock. Stock equivalent units are converted to cash based upon the fair market value of our common stock at the time of distribution. During 2012, Mr. Kuester participated in the Plan and deferred all or a portion of the retainer or meeting fees otherwise payable under our Director Compensation Policy.

Directors' Retirement Policy. Directors who began service prior to January 1, 2003, and have at least five years of service at termination are eligible to receive a benefit equal to the annual cash retainer and board meeting fees in effect at termination of service. Benefits will be paid for a period of time equal to the retired director's period of service on the Board. Retirement benefits terminate at death and are accelerated in the event of a change in control of the Company for the retired directors and current directors, as defined in the policy. Directors who began service after December 31, 2002, are not eligible for retirement benefits under this policy. Mr. Orr retired from the Board effective April 19, 2012 and is currently receiving retirement benefits under this policy.

Compensation Consultant's Analysis of Director Compensation. As part of its services on behalf of the Company in 2012, Grant Thornton LLP provided the Compensation Committee with an analysis of the Company's non-employee director compensation at a meeting held in December 2012. The analysis concluded that 2012 non-employee director compensation was at approximately the 50th percentile (when compared against peer group companies) with respect to annual retainer amounts paid, committee chair fees, and equity ownership guidelines. Further discussion regarding the peer group paper companies included in the Grant Thornton analysis is included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement under the heading "Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Compensation and Peer Group Analysis."

Stock Ownership

Stock Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers, and 5% Shareholders

The following table sets forth, based on statements filed with the SEC or information otherwise known to us, in each case, as of the record date, the name of each person believed by us to own more than 5% of our common stock and the number of shares of common stock held by each person.

Name and Address	Common Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
Starboard Value LP 830 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	7,300,000	14.8%
Wilmington Trust Co. 1100 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19890-0001	3,981,573	8.1%
BlackRock Inc. 55 East 52 nd Street New York, NY,	3,523,793	7.1%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355	2,696,849	5.5%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.	2,656,300	5.3%

100 E. Pratt Street
Baltimore, MD 21202

Wells Fargo & Company 420 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94163	2,530,087	5.2%
---	-----------	------

Dimensional Fund Advisors LP 1299 Ocean Avenue, 11 th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401	2,465,551	5.0%
---	-----------	------

-12-

The following table sets forth the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned as of the record date by each of the directors; each person nominated by the Company to become a director; each of our executive officers named in the summary compensation table; and all such nominees, directors, and executive officers as a group.

Name	Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
Michael C. Burandt	11,412 ⁽¹⁾	*
Londa J. Dewey	23,272 ⁽²⁾	*
Gary W. Freels	1,039,843 ⁽³⁾	2.10%
Charles E. Hodges	12,412 ⁽⁴⁾	*
Thomas J. Howatt	1,023,974 ⁽⁵⁾	2.04%
G. Watts Humphrey, Jr.	46,590 ⁽⁶⁾	*
Dennis J. Kuester	84,778 ⁽⁷⁾	*
Henry C. Newell	231,885 ⁽⁸⁾	*
Sherri L. Lemmer	21,460 ⁽⁹⁾	*
Patrick J. Medvecz	87,332 ⁽¹⁰⁾	*
Michael W. Nelson	25,617 ⁽¹¹⁾	*
Matthew L. Urmanski	28,621 ⁽¹²⁾	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons)	2,692,238 ⁽¹³⁾	5.32%

* Less than 1%

(1) Represents shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013.

(2) Includes 18,272 shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013.

(3) Includes 975,065 shares of common stock held by two charitable foundations of which Mr. Freels serves as president and/or a director, as well as 37,000 option shares and 27,778 shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013.

(4) Represents 11,412 shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013.

(5) Includes 706,373 option shares and 14,159 shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013, and 29,666 shares held under the Company's 401(k) Plan on December 31, 2012.

(6) Includes 12,000 option shares and 26,390 shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013, as well as 2,200 shares held in a SEP IRA and 6,000 shares held in various trusts.

(7) Includes 37,000 option shares and 27,778 shares attributable to performance units (including dividend equivalents) that may be acquired within 60 days of February 15, 2013.

(8) Includes 175,000 option shares that may be acquired through the exercise of options within 60 days of February 15, 2013, 18,500 shares held in an IRA, and 2,731 shares held under the Company's 401(k) Plan on December 31, 2012.

(9) Includes 8,000 option shares that may be acquired through the exercise of options within 60 days of February 15, 2013 and 631 shares held under the Company's 401(k) Plan on December 31, 2012.

(10) Includes 68,000 option shares that may be acquired through the exercise of options within 60 days of February 15, 2013.

(11) Includes 10,000 option shares that may be acquired through the exercise of options within 60 days of February 15, 2013, 514 shares held in an IRA, and 607 shares held under the Company's 401(k) Plan on December 31, 2012.

(12) Includes 10,000 option shares that may be acquired through the exercise of options within 60 days of February 15, 2013 and 4,252 shares held under the Company's 401(k) Plan on December 31, 2012.

(13) The shares disclosed incorporate footnotes (1) - (12).

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires directors and officers and persons who own more than 10% of the common stock outstanding (reporting persons) to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the NYSE. Reporting persons are also required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms filed by them with the SEC. We review copies of the Section 16(a) forms received by us or rely upon written representations from certain of these reporting persons to determine compliance with the Section 16(a) regulations for purposes of this proxy statement. Based on our review of these reports and the representations of the reporting persons, we believe that all reports required to be filed by Section 16(a) were filed on a timely basis.

Report of the Audit Committee and Related Matters

Audit Committee Report

During the 2012 fiscal year, the Audit Committee met regularly with senior members of the Company's financial management team and the Company's independent auditor to review and discuss the Company's financial statements (including critical accounting policies, significant accounting issues, and assumptions made in connection with those policies and preparation of the financial statements), financial management issues, and the Company's system of internal controls. The Committee also met with the Company's general legal counsel to review and discuss legal claims and contingencies.

The Audit Committee met with the Company's senior financial management team and the independent auditor to review the Company's audited financial statements for the 2012 fiscal year prior to their issuance. At that meeting, the Committee received assurances from senior financial management that all financial statements had been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In addition, the Committee asked the independent auditor to address and respond to questions concerning the audited financial statements, the audit process, and other related matters. This discussion centered on the following questions posed by the Committee to the independent auditor:

.

Are there any accounting judgments made by management in preparing the financial statements that would have been made differently had the auditor prepared and been responsible for the financial statements?

.

Based on the auditor's experience and its knowledge of the Company, do the Company's financial statements fairly present to investors, with clarity and completeness, the Company's financial position and performance for the reporting period in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and SEC disclosure requirements?

.

Based on the auditor's experience and its knowledge of the Company, has the Company implemented all internal controls and internal audit procedures that are appropriate for the Company?

In connection with its review of the audited financial statements, the Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditor the independence of the firm under SEC rules for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the Company's

financial statements, and considered whether the provision of nonaudit services is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence. The Committee received from the independent auditor the written disclosure and the letter relating to the independence of the firm under the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence. The Committee also discussed with the independent auditor the matters required to be discussed pursuant to Statement on Auditing Standards No. as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) in Rule 3200T regarding Communication with Audit Committees.

Management has the primary responsibility for the Company's financial statements and the overall reporting process. It is not the duty of the Audit Committee to conduct auditing or accounting reviews or procedures. The Committee acts only in an oversight capacity and it necessarily relies on the work and assurances provided by management and the independent auditor, and it therefore does not have an independent basis to determine whether management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or policies or appropriate internal controls and procedures. Accordingly, the Committee's reviews of the Company's financial statements and its discussions with the Company's senior financial management team and the independent auditor do not guarantee that the Company's financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States or that the audit has been carried out in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions described in this report and on the report of the independent auditor, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that it approve the inclusion of the Company's audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, for filing with the SEC.

Audit Committee

Gary W. Freels (Chairman)

Michael C. Burandt

Londa J. Dewey

Dennis J. Kuester

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve audit and non-audit services performed by the Company's independent auditor. Under its pre-approval policy, a schedule of specific audit, audit-related, and tax services and their related fees received pre-approval in 2012 after review by the Committee of appropriate detailed back-up documentation and receipt of confirmation from management and the independent auditor that each non-audit service included in the schedule may be performed by the independent auditor under applicable SEC and professional standards. Any services not included in the pre-approved schedule of services and fees were specifically pre-approved by the Committee. To ensure prompt handling of unexpected matters, the Committee has delegated to the Chairman the authority to grant pre-approvals for services other than internal control related services, provided that any such pre-approvals must be presented to the full Committee at its next meeting.

In granting approval for a service, the Audit Committee (or the appropriate designated Committee member) considers the type and scope of service, the fees, whether the service is permitted to be performed by an independent auditor, and whether such service is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence.

Independent Auditor and Fees

Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte) acted as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and audited the books, records, and accounts of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Representatives of Deloitte will be present at the annual meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement or respond to appropriate questions.

The following table presents aggregate professional fees paid or accrued to our independent auditor during the 2012 and 2011 fiscal years and fees attributable to the audit of our financial statements for those years. All services performed received pre-approval by the Audit Committee in accordance with its pre-approval policy.

2012	2011
-------------	-------------

Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$670,600	\$597,500
Audit-Related Fees	0	0
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾	198,380	155,190
All Other Fees	0	0
	\$868,980	\$752,690

(1) Audit fees consisted of audit work performed in the preparation of financial statements, as well as work generally only the independent auditor can reasonably be expected to provide, such as statutory audits, review of SEC filings, and the attestation of management's report on the internal control of financial reporting.

(2) Tax fees related to tax compliance (tax returns, refunds, and payment planning) were \$75,475 in 2012 and \$89,025 in 2011. Tax consultation and planning fees were \$122,905 in 2012 and \$66,165 in 2011; these fees provided, or are expected to provide, benefits to the Company in excess of the fees paid.

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview and Executive Summary

The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for developing and administering our director and officer compensation policies. This discussion and analysis of our executive compensation programs and policies is intended to complement and enhance an understanding of the compensation information presented in the tables that follow. As used in this proxy statement, references to "named executive officers" mean the following officers (each of whom is named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 24):

.

Henry C. Newell, President and Chief Executive Officer

.

Sherri L. Lemmer, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Patrick J. Medvecz, Senior Vice President Operations

Michael W. Nelson, Senior Vice President Paper

Matthew L. Urmanski, Senior Vice President Tissue

Compensation Objectives and Philosophy. Our executive compensation programs and policies are intended to attract, motivate, and retain executive officers who have the capability to manage the Company's day-to-day operations as well as develop and execute strategic plans to increase shareholder value. We seek to:

provide base salaries and benefits that are generally at the median level of peer group companies (i.e., the 50th percentile);

reward performance that increases shareholder value through a combination of annual cash incentives, annual performance-based equity grants tied to financial performance improvement, and long-term performance-based equity grants that are directly related to long-term return to shareholders; and

align the long-term interests of our executive officers with the long-term interests of our shareholders.

Summary of Significant 2012 Executive Compensation Decisions. Effective January 1, 2012, Mr. Newell, our President and Chief Executive Officer, assumed his current role with the Company and his base salary and incentive compensation opportunities were increased to reflect his new responsibilities (he had previously served as our Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer). In addition, after review and discussion with the Compensation Committee at its meeting held in December 2011, the 2012 base compensation for Mr. Nelson, our Senior Vice President of the Paper Segment, and Mr. Medvecz, our Senior Vice President of Operations, each of whom was promoted to his position in March 2011, increased relatively modestly (ranging from 2.2% to 3%).

Mr. Urmanski, our Senior Vice President of the Tissue Segment and Ms. Lemmer, our Chief Financial Officer were each promoted from other positions in the Company to their current positions in early 2012, and their base salaries and incentive compensation opportunities were increased accordingly. These leadership transitions were the culmination of a multi-year succession and development plan that was overseen by the Company's Board of Directors.

During the course of 2012, the Company completed a number of very important initiatives, including the sale of its Print and Color franchise to Neenah Paper, Inc. and closure of the Company's Brokaw, Wisconsin, mill site. These actions allowed the Company to exit from a low-margin commodity business while maximizing cash generation for shareholders. The Company also continued to evaluate various strategic alternatives with respect to the Company's remaining Paper Segment businesses, and it made significant progress towards the on-time and on-budget start-up of its \$220 million Tissue Segment expansion project in December 2012. The Company's Board and senior management team believe that these actions will enhance shareholder value on a going-forward basis. Given these long-term-oriented activities undertaken by the Company in 2012, as well as other factors, the Compensation Committee believes that the Company's executive compensation programs and policies were appropriate to maintain the Company's executive compensation levels at or near the median level of peer group companies.

Our Company's philosophy is to pay for performance; consequently, our named executive officers' actual realized 2012 compensation was reflective of our Company's 2012 performance and was substantially less than the maximum potential that could have been earned had all incentive compensation targets been achieved by the Company. By way of example, Mr. Newell, our Chief Executive Officer, earned a cash bonus of \$176,150 in 2012, which was substantially less than his total opportunity of \$975,000 in cash incentive payments that would have been paid had the Company achieved all of its 2012 goals and objectives. Mr. Newell's equity-based incentive awards also reflected the Company's 2012 performance for example, his award under our 2012 equity incentive plan had a value of \$381,886 versus a total opportunity of slightly more than \$1,625,000 had all targets been achieved. Mr. Newell's 2012 compensation was generally at or below the median of our peer group companies. Further details on the specific elements of our executive compensation program, our peer group, and the 2012 compensation of our named executive officers, can be found below.

2013 Compensation. We have retained the major elements of our compensation plan during 2013. Total compensation is generally targeted at the 50th percentile of our peer group (see further discussion of our peer group analysis below), with above-market pay opportunity linked directly to both short- and long-term performance and creation of shareholder value. Total compensation levels may also be less than the 50th percentile if performance fails to reach identified goals.

Total Compensation and Peer Group Analysis

In determining total compensation levels, the Compensation Committee considers all forms of compensation, including cash compensation, retirement benefits, the cost of insurance and other benefits, and the number of previously awarded options, restricted stock, performance units, or restricted stock units. Traditionally, all elements of our executive compensation program and the total compensation levels of our named executive officers have been compared to peer group compensation in order to assist the Compensation Committee in determining whether the objective of providing competitive compensation (i.e., at or about the median level of our peer group for target-level performance) has been achieved. The Company has in years past tied its peer group analysis to two separate groups (one a paper industry peer group, and one a manufacturing peer group), and it has attempted to include companies of comparable size and complexity. Given the Company's divestiture of its Print and Color franchise in early 2012, as well as other factors, the Compensation Committee determined that the peer group composition should be re-evaluated. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee asked Grant Thornton LLP, the Company's compensation consultant, to make recommendations regarding appropriate companies to be included in a revised peer group. Grant Thornton provided those recommendations to the Company in October 2012, and the Compensation Committee reviewed those recommendations at its meeting held in December 2012.

In selecting the peer group, the following criteria were among those emphasized by Grant Thornton and the Compensation Committee:

•
Comparability of the peer group participant's industry to the Company's industry (using Global Industrial Classification Standards), and, if the potential peer group participant was not in exactly the same industry, it should come from a more broadly comparable industry that reflects potential competitors for talent.

•
Organizational revenue, with the goal of having the peer group participants fall within a range of approximately one-half of the Company's annual revenue to approximately two times the Company's annual revenue. Market capitalization and total assets were also considered in selecting the peer group companies.

•
Regional characteristics, with the goal of having the peer group participants match, as closely as possible, the characteristics of the Company's geographic markets.

Increasing the peer group size to include a larger number of peer group companies.

In light of the factors listed above, the Company has selected a peer group made up of fifteen companies. Five of these companies are in the paper manufacturing business; the remainder are organizations of similar size and complexity but compete in the specialty chemical or metal and glass container markets. The peer group's most recently reported revenues range from approximately \$320 million to approximately \$1.5 billion, within median annual revenue at approximately \$816 million (the Company's 2011 revenue was approximately \$823 million). The peer group companies are identified below:

<u>Company Name</u>	<u>Ticker Symbol</u>	<u>Sub-Industry</u>
AEP Instustiries Inc.	AEPI	Metal & Glass Containers
Buckeye Technologies Inc.	BKI	Paper Products
Glatfelter	GLT	Paper Products
Innophos Holidngs, Inc.	IPHS	Specialty Chemicals
Innospec Inc.	IOSP	Specialty Chemicals
Kapstone Paper & Packaging	KS	Paper Products
Kraton Performance Polymers	KRA	Specialty Chemicals
Landec Corp.	LNDC	Specialty Chemicals
Myers Industries Inc.	MYE	Metal & Glass Containers
Neenah Paper, Inc.	NP	Paper Products
OM Group Inc.	OMG	Specialty Chemicals
Omnova Solutions Inc.	OMN	Specialty Chemicals
Quaker Chemical Corp.	KWR	Specialty Chemicals
Schweitzer-Mauduit International, Inc.	SWM	Paper Products
Zep Inc.	ZEP	Specialty Chemicals

With respect to the peer group, Grant Thornton reviewed total compensation practices for both the most recently completed fiscal year and a three year average. Grant Thornton's analysis concluded that the total annual executive compensation opportunities were comparable to the peer group, and the Committee agreed with that assessment.

Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program

Base Salary and Benefits. Our base salary and benefit program for executive officers is intended to provide basic economic security at a level that is generally at the median level for executive officers indicated in the peer group data. Individual job performance is the single most important factor in our determination of increases in base salary.

In accordance with our Committee charter, we primarily rely upon the annual assessment by the CEO with respect to the job performance of the CEO's subordinate executive officers and our review of the CEO's performance.

In addition to base salaries, we provide employee benefits to executive officers and all other salaried employees that are consistent with benefits provided in the paper industry, including retirement benefits, health insurance, dental insurance, life and disability insurance, and other welfare benefits. Our named executive officers participate in these plans on the same basis as other employees and are also provided certain other benefits (e.g., a defined contribution supplemental retirement plan) that are described in more detail below.

Cash Bonus Incentives.

2012 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan. We annually establish Company and individual performance targets under a Cash Incentive Compensation Plan for Executive Officers. The Plan is intended to reward performance that promotes the attainment by the Company of its strategic objectives of increasing shareholder value through increased earnings. In addition to our named executive officers, all other salaried employees of the Company and its operating segments participate in the Plan at various levels based on their positions and responsibilities within the Company.

Under the plan, named executive officers were entitled to receive additional incentive-based cash compensation based upon:

(1)

the level of achievement by the Company of goals for adjusted earnings per share as derived from targeted return on capital employed;

(2)

for executive officers with direct segment operating responsibility, achievement of targeted segment operating profits; and

(3)

the level of achievement of specified quantifiable bottom-line oriented targets and specific operational or strategic goals, as outlined in the second table that follows below, which summarizes the individual performance objectives and maximum incentive compensation opportunity and achievement as a percentage of base salary.

The following table sets forth detailed information regarding the 2012 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan based on each participant's level of responsibility on December 31, 2012:

	Earnings Per Share ⁽¹⁾			Segment Operating Profits ⁽²⁾			Individual Objectives ⁽³⁾		Total	
	Targeted Range of EPS	Max. % of Salary	Earned % of Salary	Targeted Range of Operating Profits	Max. % of Salary	Earned % of Salary	Max. % of Salary	Earned % of Salary	Max. % of Salary	Earned % of Salary
Mr. Newell	\$.25-.80	120%	1%				30%	26%	150%	27%
Ms. Lemmer*	\$.25-.80	75%	1%				25%	25%	100%	26%
Mr. Medvecz	\$.25-.80	75%	1%				25%	24%	100%	25%
Mr. Nelson	\$.25-.80	25%	0%	\$10-33 M	50%	0%	25%	20%	100%	20%
Mr. Urmanski*	\$.25-.80	25%	0%	\$30-55 M	50%	27%	25%	22%	100%	49%

* Mr. Urmanski was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President Tissue effective March 1, 2012. At that time, his maximum incentive compensation as a percentage of base salary increased from 20% to 25% on an annualized basis. Ms. Lemmer was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer effective May 1, 2012. At that time the

maximum a percentage of salary that she could receive under the earnings per share category increased to 75%. In addition, at that time her maximum incentive compensation as a percentage of base salary increased from 20% to 25% on an annualized basis. Ms. Lemmer's actual incentive opportunity (as well as the award received) was prorated based on her four months of service in a position providing a 20% base salary opportunity and her eight months of service in a position providing a 25% of base salary opportunity.

(1) For purposes of this plan, earnings per share means earnings per share as reported in the Company's audited financial statements, adjusted for other extraordinary items (which included, for 2012, facility closure charges, planned expenses associated with certain major capital projects, and certain other nonrecurring items) as determined in the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Incentive bonuses would have been 0% of base salary if earnings were below the targeted range of earnings per share and increased on a pro rata basis to the officer's maximum of percentage of base salary at the top of the targeted range.

(2) For purposes of this plan, operating profits means the segment operating profits as reported in connection with the Company's audited financial statements adjusted for other extraordinary items (which included, for 2012, facility closure charges, planned expenses associated with certain major capital projects, and certain other nonrecurring items) as determined in the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Incentive bonuses would have been 0% of base salary if operating profits were below the targeted range for the officer's respective operating segment's targeted operating profit and increased on a pro rata basis to the officer's maximum percentage of base salary at the top of the targeted range.

(3) The types of individual performance objectives and the maximum incentive compensation that could have been earned in 2012 by our named executive officers under individual performance objectives are described in the following table:

Individual Performance Objective	Maximum Incentive Compensation As a Percentage of Base Salary				
	Mr.	Ms.	Mr.	Mr.	Mr.
	<u>Newell</u>	<u>Lemmer*</u>	<u>Medvecz</u>	<u>Nelson</u>	<u>Urmanski*</u>
Execution of strategic growth initiatives at our Tissue Segment, together with related expense and start-up date targets	18%		10%		10%
Completion of the sale of Print & Color business	6%	7%		8%	
Achievement of targets for sales growth or earnings improvement (with specific focus on Tissue Segment and technical grades in Paper Segment)	6%			17%	7%

Implementation of various objectives relating to cash flow targets, operating efficiencies, reorganization initiatives, and achievement of cost reduction goals	16%	15%	7%		
Maximum Total Opportunity (as % of base salary)	30%	23%	25%	25%	24%
Actual Incentive Earned (as % of base salary)	26%	23%	24%	20%	21%

* Mr. Urmanski was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President Tissue effective March 1, 2012. At that time, his maximum incentive compensation as a percentage of base salary increased from 20% to 25% on an annualized basis. Mr. Urmanski's actual incentive opportunity (as well as the award received) was prorated based on his two months of service in a position providing a 20% of base salary opportunity and his 10 months of service in a position providing a 25% of base salary opportunity. Ms. Lemmer was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer effective May 1, 2012. At that time her maximum incentive compensation as a percentage of base salary increased from 20% to 25% on an annualized basis. Ms. Lemmer's actual incentive opportunity (as well as the award received) was prorated based on her four months of service in a position providing a 20% base salary opportunity and her eight months of service in a position providing a 25% of base salary opportunity.

2013 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan. Our 2013 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan formula provides for a range of adjusted earnings of between \$.25 and \$.80 per share, which represents a return on capital employed target range of 5% to 14%.

Equity Incentives.

2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (the Annual Equity Incentive Plan). Equity compensation is intended to align the long-term interests of our executive officers and shareholders, and the Company has in place both an annual equity incentive plan (tied primarily to a measurement of return on capital employed) as well as a longer-term equity incentive plan (tied to a total shareholder return measurement). In 2012, our Annual Equity Incentive Plan established five levels of responsibility within the Company, with each Company officer who participated in the Plan slotted in one of the levels based on level of responsibility. Potential equity awards were made up of two components: one component was a retention award of performance units, which was awarded in January 2012 and which will vest two years after the date of grant, so long as the recipient is still employed by the Company in a position of equal or greater authority. This grant of performance units had a value equal to a percentage of the officer's base salary that varied based upon level of responsibility. The second component of the 2012 Annual Equity Incentive Plan was a performance incentive, which, like the retention component, featured a maximum award level tied to a varying percentage of base salary, with the actual amounts awarded based on the performance of the Company against targeted levels of return on capital employed during 2012. The following table sets forth detailed information regarding the 2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan:

	Retention Award ⁽¹⁾	Performance Units Granted	
		Maximum Performance Incentive Award ⁽²⁾	Actual 2012 Performance Incentive Award Earned
Mr. Newell	19,096	171,857	25,779
Ms. Lemmer ⁽³⁾	2,174	26,469	3,971
Mr. Medvecz	5,447	41,757	6,264
Mr. Nelson	5,852	44,865	6,730
Mr. Urmanski ⁽³⁾	2,433	32,673	4,902

⁽¹⁾ The Retention Award was a grant of performance units equal to a specified percentage of base salary, which vests and is converted to a right to receive common stock based on continuous employment with the Company (in the same position or in a position with greater authority, except in cases of death, retirement, or disability) through January 3, 2014.

⁽²⁾ The Performance Incentive was a grant of performance units equal to a specified percentage of base salary that varied based upon the officer's level of responsibility. These performance units will vest and be converted to a right to receive common stock based on (1) continuous employment with the Company (in the same position or in a position with greater authority, except in cases of death, retirement, or disability) through January 3, 2014; and (2) the Company's achievement of 2012 levels of Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) ranging from 5% ROCE to 14% ROCE. For purposes of this plan, ROCE was determined by adjusting for extraordinary items (which, for 2012,

included capital-related expenditures, facility closure costs, and certain other nonrecurring items). ROCE was calculated after incentive compensation expenses were included. No shares of common stock or cash would have been awarded if earnings had been below the targeted range of ROCE.

⁽³⁾ Mr. Urmanski was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President Tissue effective March 1, 2012. At that time, his 2012 equity incentive opportunity increased commensurate with his higher level of responsibility, with the final award prorated based on his two months of service in a position at a lower level of responsibility and his ten months of service in a position at the Senior Vice President level. Ms. Lemmer was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer effective May 1, 2012. At that time, her 2012 equity incentive opportunity increased commensurate with her higher level of responsibility, with the final award prorated based on her four months of service in a position at a lower level of responsibility and her eight months of service in a position at the Senior Vice President level.

We believe that the 2012 Annual Equity Incentive Plan was successful in properly incentivizing our officers while aligning their long-term interests with those of our shareholders. Accordingly, our 2013 Annual Equity Incentive Plan is substantially similar to the plan used during 2012.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. Beginning in 2011, we incorporated a longer-term equity incentive plan that was tied to the performance of the Company's total shareholder return over a three-year period. Like our Annual Equity Incentive Plan, the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan established five levels of responsibility within the Company, with participation in the Plan based upon the eligible officer's level of responsibility. Potential equity awards were performance units that represent a percentage of 2012 base salary, which varied based on the officer's level of responsibility. The actual award level is tied to the Company's total shareholder return during the three-year period ending December 31, 2014. For purposes of the awards, total shareholder return takes into account any increase in the Company's market value for shares (including dividends paid) during the measurement period. The calculation of total shareholder return is measured over a three year period, and is calculated by reference to a target and a maximum total shareholder return. If total shareholder return is at the target (a 7% per year return), grant recipients will receive 50% of the total potential award. If total shareholder return is at or above the maximum (a 14% per year return), grant recipients will receive 100% of the total potential award. At total shareholder return levels that are less than the maximum, the award is prorated based on the actual level of total shareholder return that is achieved. The maximum potential award for the CEO, chief financial officer, and each of the other named executive officers, as well as the levels that would be achieved at the target for total shareholder return, is described in the table below:

	Performance Units Granted	
	Total Opportunity	Award at Target TSR
	(Award at Max. TSR)	
Mr. Newell	114,572	57,286
Ms. Lemmer	14,205	7,103
Mr. Medvecz	23,602	11,801
Mr. Nelson	25,359	12,680
Mr. Urmanski	18,045	9,023

Under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation Plan, total shareholder return is determined by dividing (1) the sum of (a) the average closing share price for the Company's common stock over the last 60 trading days of the period immediately prior to the end of the three-year performance period (the Maturity Date FMV); and (b) cash dividends paid during the three-year performance period; by (2) the average closing share price for the Company's common stock over the last 60 trading days preceding the date of grant (the Grant Date FMV). The formula for calculating TSR is as follows:

$$\frac{(\text{Maturity Date FMV} + \text{Cash Dividends Paid})}{\text{Grant Date FMV}}$$

$$- 1 = \text{Total Shareholder Return}$$

Total shareholder return is calculated to closest tenth of a percent, and vested performance units are rounded to the next highest whole unit.

Other Equity Awards. From time to time, we may grant other awards of options, restricted stock, performance units, or restricted stock units that are tied to a named executive officer's initial appointment or made for other reasons, and these awards may or may not be subject to vesting conditions based upon the financial performance of the Company.

We have not established formal criteria with respect to the size or frequency of grants that are not part of an incentive plan, but, in making these grants, we may consider a variety of factors, including our subjective determination of the performance and contributions of the officer, the Company's financial performance relative to the industry, and our goal of aligning the long-term interests of officers and shareholders.

Timing of Equity Awards. We approve equity-based incentive awards promptly following the close of the fiscal year so that compensation expense in connection with any awards is recognized in the year to which the performance goals relate. The timing of other equity awards is tied to the occurrence of specific hiring or promotion events. In each case, awards are made on the date of appointment or at the next subsequent scheduled Compensation Committee meeting. We do not engage in any plan or practice to coordinate the timing of equity awards with the release of material non-public information.

Stock Ownership Guidelines and Retention. We have adopted stock ownership guidelines for all corporate and divisional (segment) officers. Named executive officers are required to own stock having a value equal to the following specified multiples of base salary within 5 years of hire or promotion to a position as a corporate or divisional officer:

Title	Multiple of Base Salary
CEO	3.0
Executive Vice President	2.0
Senior Vice President	1.5

The value of stock held is determined by shares directly or indirectly held, vested common stock equivalents (restricted stock, etc.), vested stock options, and shares held in qualified retirement plan accounts. As of December 31, 2012, all of our named executive officers had attained the minimum level or were on pace to attain such level within the specified period.

Supplemental Retirement Plans. The Company currently maintains three supplemental retirement plans (the SERPs); however, only one of these SERPs is currently providing any benefit accruals. SERP participation is currently focused on a defined contribution SERP that was adopted in 2009 (the Defined Contribution SERP) as a means to provide retirement benefits on compensation in excess of the Internal Revenue Code's limitation on compensation that may be taken into account under tax-qualified retirement plans. Benefits under our Defined Contribution SERP create a long-term continuity of interest with the Company as a result of the vesting schedule, and the unfunded nature of the Defined Contribution SERP offers significant personal incentive with respect to maintaining the soundness of the Company's financial condition.

Participation under the Defined Contribution SERP is subject, in all cases, to the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Under the Defined Contribution SERP, participants receive an annual Company contribution equal to 8.5% of base compensation in excess of the IRS limit for qualified plans (currently \$255,000), plus 13% of cash incentive compensation. A participant's account is credited with interest at a rate equal to the prime rate of interest in effect on the first day of each calendar quarter. Participants vest in the Defined Contribution SERP after five years as a participant or if employment is terminated due to death or disability. Accounts under the Defined Contribution SERP are distributable beginning on the date following termination of employment, with the actual date selected by the participant, so long as it is not later than age 65. Distribution can be made in a lump sum or in various installments over a period selected by the participant, but not in excess of 120 monthly installments. The Plan contains forfeiture provisions in the event a participant violates confidentiality or restrictive covenant provisions or incurs a termination of employment for cause.

Deferred Compensation Plans. Other than the Defined Contribution SERP, we do not maintain any deferred compensation plans specifically for our named executive officers.

Perquisites. Each named executive officer may receive an allowance for professional fees. Relocation expenses are reimbursed in accordance with a Company program for salaried employees. While named executive officers may use the Company plane for personal travel when it is not in use on Company business, actual use has been very limited. We do not consider perquisites to be a material element of the compensation program for executive officers.

Termination and Change in Control Arrangements. The Company has not historically had any written employment or change-in-control agreements with its executive officers; however, in December 2012, we entered into change in control agreements with each of our named executive officers. See Termination and Change in Control Arrangements on page 28. In addition, upon a change in control of the Company, all equity awards granted under our equity incentive plans will become fully vested, and we will have the discretion to cancel outstanding options and make a lump sum cash payment to the option holders. . Further, upon a change in control of the Company, any unvested benefits under the Defined Contribution SERP will also become fully vested.

Results of 2012 Say-on-Pay Vote

The Company provides its shareholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on executive compensation (a say-on-pay proposal). At the Company's annual meeting of shareholders held in April 2012, a substantial majority of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal at that meeting were voted in favor of the proposal. The Compensation Committee believes that the results of this vote affirmed shareholders' support of the Company's approach to executive compensation, and, accordingly, we did not substantially change our approach in 2012 except with respect to the inclusion of a long-term total shareholder return equity incentive as discussed elsewhere in this Compensation Committee Report. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for the named executive officers.

Role of Compensation Committee and Executive Officers

Responsibility for Compensation Matters. The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for all matters relating to compensation of our directors and named executive officers and, in particular, is responsible for approving the compensation of our chief executive officer. The Compensation Committee also considers the chief executive officer's recommendations relating to the compensation of our other named executive officers. To assist the Committee in performing these functions, the chief executive officer and the senior vice president of human resources provide information and recommendations about compensation, programs, and policies when requested by the Committee or its chair, and the Committee is also provided with access to and the recommendations of Grant Thornton. The other named executive officers have no related involvement with the Committee. Upon request by the Committee or its chair, the chief executive officer and other management personnel may attend Committee meetings, but they are excused at such times as the Committee deems appropriate.

Tax Considerations. We are aware that, except for certain plans approved by shareholders, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, limits deductions to \$1 million for compensation paid to the chief executive officer and each of the four most highly paid executive officers named in the summary compensation table who are officers on the last day of the year. We review the Section 162(m) limitation as part of our overall compensation policy.

Compensation Committee Report

We have reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained under that heading in this proxy statement. On the basis of our review and discussions, we have recommended that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended

December 31, 2012, and this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

G. Watts Humphrey, Jr. (Chairman)

Londa J. Dewey

Gary W. Freels

Charles E. Hodges

-23-

Summary Compensation Table for 2012

The following table sets forth the compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid by us and our subsidiaries during the year ended December 31, 2012, to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and the three most highly compensated other executive officers as of December 31, 2012, whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽²⁾	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
							(\$) ⁽³⁾		
Henry C. Newell President and CEO	2012	\$650,000	\$0	\$981,094	\$0	\$176,150	\$73	\$77,837 ⁽⁴⁾	\$1,885,154
	2011	\$455,167	\$0	\$336,200	\$0	\$56,204	\$10,385	\$37,349	\$895,305
	2010	\$365,606	\$0	\$162,435	\$253,500	\$195,965	\$16,301	\$38,473	\$1,032,280
Sherri L. Lemmer Senior Vice President, Finance, Secretary and Treasurer	2012	\$249,321	\$0	\$125,368	\$0	\$44,431	\$5,047	\$17,664 ⁽⁴⁾	\$441,831
Patrick J. Medvecz Senior Vice President, Operations	2012	\$309,000	\$0	\$223,095	\$0	\$77,405	\$39,369	\$38,562 ⁽⁴⁾	\$687,431
	2011	\$289,981	\$0	\$157,597	\$0	\$73,590	\$70,767	\$24,370	\$616,305
	2010	\$240,341	\$0	\$66,485	\$84,500	\$123,536	\$33,195	\$22,944	\$571,001
Michael W. Nelson Senior Vice President, Paper	2012	\$332,000	\$0	\$239,698	\$0	\$67,230	\$66	\$37,038 ⁽⁴⁾	\$675,900
	2011	\$307,242	\$0	\$165,802	\$0	\$40,054	\$14,656	\$20,276 ⁽⁴⁾	\$548,030
	2012	\$284,500	\$0	\$162,882	\$0	\$140,315	\$4,361	\$19,386 ⁽⁴⁾	\$611,444

Matthew L.
Urmanski
Senior Vice
President,
Tissue

(1) The amounts indicated with respect to 2012 represent the grant date fair value for awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance units, and stock options. The grant date fair values were computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 718-10. Amounts may also include awards for more than one year. All amounts represent potential future income calculated for financial reporting purposes; actual amounts recognized by the named executive officers may be materially different depending on, among other things, the Company's stock price performance and the period of service of the executive. Additional information concerning the recognition of compensation expense and the assumptions used in the calculation of compensation expense attributable to these awards is set forth in Note 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(2) Cash incentive compensation earned by officers based on the 2012 Company financial performance and satisfaction of individual performance objectives under the 2012 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan.

(3) The amounts indicated represent the change in the actuarial present value in 2012 of each officer's accrued retirement benefit under the Company's qualified retirement plan.

(4) Contributions under the Company's 401(k) Plan, the 2009 defined contribution supplemental executive retirement plan (which was discussed on page 22 above), and credits payable under the Company's flexible benefit plan during 2012 were as follows:

	401(k) Plan	Defined Contribution SERP	Flexible Benefits Plan
Mr. Newell	\$17,664	\$60,173	\$ 0
Ms. Lemmer	\$17,664	\$ 0	\$ 0
Mr. Medvecz	\$17,664	\$16,731	\$4,167
Mr. Nelson	\$17,664	\$15,915	\$3,459
Mr. Urmanski	\$17,664	\$ 0	\$1,722

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2012

The following table indicates potential cash incentive compensation under our incentive plans based on 2012 performance and equity awards granted in 2012. Actual cash incentive compensation earned in 2012 is included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 24.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All Other Awards: Number of Shares of Stock	All Other Awards: Number of Underlying Securities Options	Exercise Price of Stock and Option Awards	Grant Fair Value of Option Awards
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum				
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/Sh)	(\$) ⁽³⁾
Henry C. Newell	1/3/12	\$169,000	\$467,000	\$975,000	19,096	129,658	305,525			\$8.51	\$2,224,222
Sherry L. Lemmer	1/3/12	\$ 47,000	\$118,000	\$248,000	2,174	6,838	15,218			\$8.51	\$ 118,809
	5/1/12					10,645	27,630			\$9.25	\$ 208,518
Patrick J. Medvecz	1/3/12	\$ 74,000	\$162,500	\$309,000	5,447	30,193	70,806			\$8.51	\$ 525,145
Michael W. Nelson	1/3/12	\$ 66,000	\$134,500	\$332,000	5,852	32,441	76,076			\$8.51	\$ 564,229
Matthew L. Urmanski	1/3/12	\$ 65,500	\$161,000	\$285,000	2,433	7,652	17,028			\$8.51	\$ 132,940
	3/1/12					13,934	36,123			\$9.32	\$ 281,242

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent 2012 incentive compensation that could have been earned if 2012 financial and/or individual performance requirements under the 2012 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan for executive officers had been attained.

Amounts indicated as Target represent amounts that would have been paid or awarded if the 2012 operating plan targets had been met. The incentive plans did not set a specific target level, but instead established potential payments based on satisfaction of individual performance objectives, the return on capital employed achieved within a range of 5% to 14%, and, in the case of certain officers, the segment operating profits achieved within a specified range.

Amounts indicated as Threshold reflect the past three year's historic average payouts as a percentage of base salary for achievement of individual performance objectives with no payment based on Company or segment performance.

Amounts indicated as *Maximum* represent amounts that would have been payable upon achievement of all individual objectives and achievement of return on capital employed and segment operating profits at or above, in each case, the top of the range. See *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program Cash Bonus Incentives 2012 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan*.

(2) Actual awards under 2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. Dividend equivalents in the form of additional performance units are earned on each award and are paid at distribution of award. See *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program Cash Bonus Incentives 2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan*.

(3) Amounts indicated represent the grant date fair value of performance units for the awards of Retention Awards (\$8.51) and Performance Incentive Awards on January 3, 2012 (\$8.51). The amount indicated also includes grant date fair value of performance units for awards granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan on January 3, 2012 (\$5.23). Mr. Urmanski and Ms. Lemmer received additional grants on March 1, 2012, and May 1, 2012, respectively, in connection with promotions to their current positions. The grant date fair values for these additional grants were as follows: \$9.32 for Mr. Urmanski's additional Performance Incentive Award; \$5.47 for additional award granted to Mr. Urmanski under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan; \$9.25 for Ms. Lemmer's additional Performance Incentive Award; \$4.95 for additional award granted to Ms. Lemmer under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The grant date fair values were computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 718-10. Additional information concerning the assumptions used in determining the grant date fair value of these awards is set forth in Note 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. See *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of our Executive Compensation Program Equity Incentives 2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan*.

2000 Stock Incentive Plan and 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. All awards of performance units and stock options are made under the terms of either the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (for grants made prior to May 31, 2010) or the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (for grants made beginning on or after June 1, 2010). Awards made under these plans include all awards pursuant to the Company's annual performance-based equity plans and all other individual grants. Equity awards under the plans are granted subject to such performance-based or service conditions as the Committee determines to be appropriate under the circumstances. Performance units may be settled in cash in the discretion of the Committee. Under current policy, performance-based performance units may, at the election of the grantee, be settled in cash to the extent of the grantee's tax withholding liability. Options may be awarded for a maximum term of 10 years at an exercise price not less than the closing price of our stock on the date of grant. Options must be exercised within 90 days of the termination of employment for reasons other than retirement, death, or disability, in which cases, extended exercise periods of up to one year (in case of death) or two years (in case of retirement) apply.

See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program Equity Incentives 2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan, page 18, for more information on awards under the 2012 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2012

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units, or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Shares, Units, or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Henry C. Newell	75,000			\$11.66	01/04/20	10,605 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 91,839	13,820 ⁽⁵⁾	\$119,681
	25,000			\$ 9.55	10/01/19	2,064 ⁽²⁾	\$ 17,874	7,485 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 64,820
	50,000			\$11.44	01/01/19	19,363 ⁽³⁾	\$167,684	59,286 ⁽⁷⁾	\$496,097
	25,000			\$10.26	10/19/27	26,139 ⁽⁴⁾	\$226,364		
Sherri L. Lemmer	3,000			\$10.71	12/13/21	2,386 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20,663	1,276 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 11,050
	5,000			\$12.57	12/16/19	2,204 ⁽³⁾	\$ 19,087	1,630 ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 14,116
						1,488 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 12,886	5,472 ⁽⁹⁾	\$ 47,388
						2,529 ⁽⁸⁾	\$ 21,901		
Patrick J. Medvecz	25,000			\$11.66	01/04/20	3,894 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 33,722	2,084 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 18,047
	10,000			\$ 9.55	12/03/27	2,299 ⁽²⁾	\$ 19,909	9,056 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$ 78,425
	25,000			\$ 9.12	10/01/19	5,523 ⁽³⁾	\$ 47,829	11,801 ⁽⁷⁾	\$102,197
	3,000			\$10.71	12/13/21	6,351 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 55,000		
	5,000			\$ 8.91	10/19/20				
Michael W. Nelson	5,000			\$ 8.85	02/16/20	3,702 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 32,059	1,980 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 17,147
	5,000			\$14.24	03/01/27	2,599 ⁽²⁾	\$ 22,507	10,030 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$ 86,860

Edgar Filing: WAUSAU PAPER CORP. - Form DEF 14A

5,933⁽³⁾ \$ 51,380 12,679⁽⁷⁾ \$109,800
 6,824⁽⁴⁾ \$ 59,096

Matthew							
L.	5,000	\$10.00	09/01/19	3,129 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27,097	1,674 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 14,497
Urmanski	5,000	\$10.17	08/23/22	2,467 ⁽³⁾	\$ 21,364	1,824 ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 15,796
				1,664 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 14,410	7,198 ⁽¹¹⁾	\$ 62,335
				3,294 ⁽⁸⁾	\$ 28,526		

(1) Awards vest on January 3, 2013.

(2) Awards vest on March 1, 2013 in the case of Mr. Newell and March 15, 2013 in the case of Messrs. Medvecz and Nelson.

(3) Awards vest on January 3, 2014.

(4) Performance conditions related to the Company's financial performance in 2012 were met. Vesting remains subject to the officer's continued employment as an officer (except in the cases of retirement, death, or disability) through January 3, 2014.

(5) Awards under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, which vest on January 3, 2014, if certain levels of total shareholder return (TSR) are achieved during the three-year period following the date of grant. Amounts shown reflect the number of shares that would be awarded if target levels of TSR (7% annual return) are achieved.

(6) Awards under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, which vest on March 1, 2014, if certain levels of TSR are achieved during the three-year period following the date of grant. Amounts shown reflect the number of shares that would be awarded if target levels of TSR (7% annual return) are achieved.

(7) Awards under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, which vest on January 3, 2015, if certain levels of TSR are achieved during the three-year period following the date of grant. Amounts shown reflect the number of shares that would be awarded if target levels of TSR (7% annual return) are achieved.

(8) Performance conditions related to the Company's financial performance in 2012 were met. Vesting remains subject to the officer's continued employment as an officer (except in the cases of retirement, death, or disability) through March 1, 2014 in the case of Mr. Urmanski and May 1, 2014 in the case of Ms. Lemmer.

(9) Awards under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, which vest on May 1, 2015, if certain levels of TSR are achieved during the three-year period following the date of grant. Amounts shown reflect the number of shares that would be awarded if target levels of TSR (7% annual return) are achieved.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Awards under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, which vest on March 15, 2014, if certain levels of TSR are achieved during the three-year period following the date of grant. Amounts shown reflect the number of shares that would be awarded if target levels of TSR (7% annual return) are achieved.

⁽¹¹⁾ Awards under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, which vest on March 1, 2015, if certain levels of TSR are achieved during the three-year period following the date of grant. Amounts shown reflect the number of shares that would be awarded if target levels of TSR (7% annual return) are achieved.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2012

The following table indicates options exercised by and performance units that vested for our named executive officers in 2012.

Name	Option Awards		Performance Unit Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) ⁽¹⁾	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Henry C. Newell		\$ 0	14,215	\$119,265
Sherri L. Lemmer		\$ 0	3,576	\$ 30,007
Patrick J. Medvecz		\$ 0	5,818	\$ 48,816
Michael W. Nelson		\$ 0	5,251	\$ 44,064
Matthew L. Urmanski		\$ 0	4,848	\$ 40,063

⁽¹⁾ Represents vesting of performance units upon satisfaction of service requirements on January 4, 2012. Includes additional units earned on award as dividend equivalents. Also includes shares that were immediately surrendered for tax withholding purposes.

Pension Benefits for 2012

The following table presents information concerning actuarially determined retirement benefits of our named executive officers as of the pension plan measurement date used for our 2012 financial statement reporting.

Present Value of

Name	Plan Name⁽¹⁾	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Accumulated Benefit (\$)⁽¹⁾	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Henry C. Newell	Retirement Plan	4	\$ 50,967	\$ 0
Sherri L. Lemmer	Retirement Plan	16	\$150,395	\$ 0
Patrick J. Medvecz	Retirement Plan	19	\$282,055	\$ 0
Michael W. Nelson	Retirement Plan	5	\$ 60,669	\$ 0
Matthew L. Urmanski	Retirement Plan	12	\$130,446	\$ 0

⁽¹⁾ See Note 7 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, for a discussion of the valuation method and material assumptions applied in quantifying the present value of the current accumulated benefit. Each of our named executive officers also participate in (or will participate in upon becoming eligible) the Company's 2009 Defined Contribution SERP. See Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program.

Retirement Plan. Our tax-qualified retirement plan covered all salaried employees and based a participant's pension on the value of a hypothetical account balance in the plan. Prior to 2011, a participant received an annual credit to his account equal to 4.25% of salary and incentive compensation up to the Social Security taxable wage base and 8.5% of the salary and incentive compensation in excess of the taxable wage base up to the IRS taxable wage base limitation (\$245,000 in 2010), plus an interest credit on all prior accruals equal to a rate determined under the Internal Revenue Code. The retirement plan was amended effective December 31, 2010, to cease the annual credits based on compensation, and to close the plan to new participants. Interest credits on all prior accruals continue to be made. Benefits may be paid in lump sum or other actuarial equivalent form by converting the hypothetical account balance credits into small life annuity form payable at normal retirement age (65). Participants become eligible for early retirement upon completion of at least ten years of service and attainment of age 55. Benefits paid prior to normal retirement age are reduced to the actuarial equivalent of the normal retirement benefit. None of our named executive officers is currently eligible for early retirement under the plan.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2012

The Company does not maintain any elective deferred compensation plans for its executive officers, although Mr. Howatt retains a benefit under our Directors Deferred Compensation Plan based on his deferrals of directors' fees paid in prior years. For the past several years, however, only non-employee directors have been eligible for compensation under our directors compensation policy and, accordingly, no additional deferrals have been made.

Termination and Change in Control Arrangements

December 2012 Change in Control Agreements. Historically, the Company has not maintained written employment or change in control agreements with any of its employees. In December 2012, however, the Company entered into change in control agreements with each of our named executive officers. These agreements provide salary and benefit continuation if two circumstances are met: (1) there is a change in control of the Company, and (2) the named executive officer's employment is terminated without cause, or the named executive officer terminates his or her employment for good reason, within two years following the change in control. Because both elements must be met for a payment obligation to be effective, these types of change in control agreements are sometimes referred to as "double trigger" agreements, and they are designed to preserve the Company's human resources in the event of a potentially disruptive change in control. For purposes of the agreements, "good reason" (which allows the named executive officer to terminate his or her employment and trigger a payment following a change in control) includes a material diminution of position, a material decrease in base compensation, a material breach of any employment agreement, or a material change in location of employment.

The change in control agreements entitle the named executive officers to payments in the following amounts:

.

For Mr. Newell, a lump-sum payment equal to two times his annual base salary prior to his separation from service plus two times his average annual cash incentive bonus paid to him during the three years immediately prior to his separation from service or change in control (whichever is greater).

.

For the named executive officers other than Mr. Newell, a lump-sum payment equal to the executive's annual base salary prior to the executive's separation from service plus the executive's average annual cash incentive bonus paid during the three years immediately prior to his separation from service or change in control (whichever is greater).

.

The agreements also entitle the executive officer to continuation of health and welfare benefits for up to 18 months (in the case of Mr. Newell) and 12 months (in the case of the other executive officers).

Payments under these agreements may be reduced in the event such payments are subject to Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

For purposes of the 2012 change in control agreements, a change in control of the Company means:

the acquisition of 20% or more of the Company's common stock by a person or group (excluding stock acquired from the Company or acquired by an employee benefit plan sponsored by the Company);

a change in the composition of the Board so that the incumbent directors on the effective date of the agreement (or the successive directors approved by them) no longer constitute at least a majority of the directors;

shareholder approval of any reorganization, consolidation, or merger unless (1) the Company's shareholders will beneficially own more than 60% of the shares of the new combined entity in substantially the same proportion as shares of the Company were beneficially owned immediately prior to the merger, (2) no person will own more than 20% of the stock of the combined entity except to the extent such ownership existed prior to the transaction, or (3) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the new entity were members of the Board at the time the agreement was signed or approved by the Board;

sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company; and

shareholder approval of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

Equity and Retirement Plan Change in Control Provisions. In addition to the December 2012 change in control agreements, certain of the Company's equity and retirement plans provide for the full vesting and (in certain instances) immediate distribution or acceleration of benefits otherwise due under the plans. Under the terms of the Company's Defined Contribution SERP, for example, upon a change in control of the Company, the executive officers will be fully vested in their accrued benefit. See Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Our Compensation Program. In addition, if the circumstances surrounding the change in control meet the definition of "change in control" under the Internal Revenue Code rules for nonqualified deferred compensation plans, the executive officer will receive the executive's accrued benefit in a single lump sum rather than in the form of payment previously elected by the executive if the executive's employment terminates within two years of the change in control. Under the directors' deferred compensation plan, upon a termination of service within one year of a change in control, which also meets the definition of "change in control" under the Internal Revenue Code rules for nonqualified deferred compensation plans, the entire balance of the director's account will be distributed in a lump sum.

All equity awards vest upon retirement or a change in control of the Company and the value of all performance units, stock appreciation rights, and dividend equivalents will be distributed. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to cancel stock option awards outstanding under the plan in the event of a change in control and to pay the holders of such cancelled options a lump sum cash payment equal to the excess of (1) the greater of (a) highest price in any tender or exchange offer for the Company's stock resulting in the change in control, or (b) the highest fair market value of the Company's stock on any day in the 60-day period ending on the effective date of the change in control over (2) the exercise price of the options. Stock appreciation rights and dividend equivalents may also be exercisable at the election of the holder according to the same formula described in the preceding sentence.

For purposes of these equity and retirement plans, a "change in control" of the Company has the same meaning as in the 2012 change in control agreements: a "change in control" includes a change in a majority of the Board, consummation of certain mergers, and the sale of all or substantially all of the Company's assets or liquidation or dissolution of the Company. The definition also includes events in which a party acquires 20% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then-outstanding securities.

Quantification of Payments Upon Termination. The following table provides the payouts upon a termination of employment (voluntarily, due to death or disability, or involuntary termination by the Company without cause) to each of our named executive officers. The values set forth below are based on an assumed termination date of December 31, 2012. For additional discussion of the benefits and payments due upon termination after change in control, see *Quantification of Potential Payments in Connection with a Change in Control*, below.

Payments Upon Termination as of December 31, 2012⁽¹⁾

Name	Performance		Value of			
	Units if not due to Death or Disability⁽²⁾	Performance Units if Death or Disability⁽³⁾	Cash Severance⁽⁴⁾	Health Benefits⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	Options	Defined Contribution SERP⁽⁶⁾
Henry C. Newell		\$507,848				
Sherri L. Lemmer		\$ 74,672				
Patrick J. Medvecz		\$157,456				
Michael W Nelson		\$166,107				
Matthew L. Urmanski		\$ 91,666				

⁽¹⁾ Based on closing price of Company stock on December 31, 2012 (\$8.66).

⁽²⁾ If the named executive officer terminates employment prior to attaining retirement age under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan or 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (as applicable, depending on the date of the award), performance units are not vested if the termination occurs prior to the satisfaction of all vesting requirements under the terms of the relevant grant. The retirement age under the 2000 and 2010 Stock Incentive Plans is the age at which the executive has attained age 55 and 10 years of service.

⁽³⁾ If the named executive officer terminates employment due to death or disability, performance units become fully vested under the terms of the applicable grant agreements and the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan or the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (as applicable, depending on the date of the award).

⁽⁴⁾ The Company does not maintain any employment or severance agreements other than the 2012 change in control agreements, which are only triggered upon a change of control of the Company.

(5) The Company maintains a retiree health plan, however, none of the named executive officers meets the requirements for coverage under such plan.

(6) If the named executive officer terminates employment due to death or disability, the officer becomes fully vested in the executive's accrued benefit under the Defined Contribution SERP. Otherwise, the Defined Contribution SERP requires five years of service for vesting. None of the named executive officers have satisfied the five years of service requirement. Accrued benefits as of December 31, 2012, under the Defined Contribution SERP (which would be fully vested upon the executive's termination of employment due to death or disability) were as follows:

	Defined Contribution SERP Benefit
Mr. Newell	\$160,897
Ms. Lemmer	\$ 0
Mr. Medvecz	\$ 67,594
Mr. Nelson	\$ 22,223
Mr. Urmanski	\$ 0

Quantification of Potential Payments in Connection with a Change in Control. Additional payments and benefits upon a change in control of the Company may be paid pursuant to the 2000 and 2010 Stock Incentive Plans, the 2012 change in control agreements, and the Defined Contribution SERP. The following table provides the potential additional payouts and benefits to each of the named executive officers in connection with a change in control of the Company.

Potential Payments in Connection with a Change in Control⁽¹⁾

Name/Triggering Event	Cash		Health	Performance		Tax	Defined Contribution	Total
	Severance ⁽⁵⁾	Bonus ⁽⁶⁾	Benefits ⁽⁷⁾	Units ⁽⁸⁾	Options ⁽⁹⁾	Gross-up ⁽¹⁰⁾	SERP	
Henry C. Newell Change in Control ⁽²⁾ Termination ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$1,300,000	\$319,238	\$20,324	\$1,935,941			\$160,897	\$3,736,400
Sherri L. Lemmer Change in Control ⁽²⁾ Termination ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 300,000	\$ 75,162	\$ 8,059	\$ 277,757				\$ 660,978
Patrick J. Medvecz								

Change in Control ⁽²⁾							
Termination ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 309,000	\$115,956	\$13,550	\$ 574,663		\$ 67,594	\$1,080,763
Michael W. Nelson							
Change in Control ⁽²⁾							
Termination ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 332,000	\$ 84,768	\$13,550	\$ 615,001	\$600	\$ 22,223	\$1,068,142
Matthew L. Urmanski							
Change in Control ⁽²⁾							
Termination ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 300,000	\$ 64,299	\$ 9,114	\$ 286,843			\$ 660,256

(1) All amounts payable in this table assume relevant salary, bonus, benefit and Company stock values in effect as of December 31, 2012 (closing price of Company stock of \$8.66). Amounts shown in the cash severance, bonus, and health benefits columns are subject to reduction in the event such payments are subject to Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

(2) For purposes of these agreements, a change in control generally means a change in a majority of the Board, consummation of certain mergers, and the sale of all or substantially all of the Company's assets or liquidation or dissolution of the Company. The definition also includes events in which a party acquires 20% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then-outstanding securities.

(3) Termination following a change in control for purposes of the 2012 change in control agreements is limited to termination of the named executive officer without cause or by the officer due to a good reason within 24 months of a change in control. As defined in the 2012 change in control agreements, cause means: (a) the willful and continued failure of the named executive officer to perform substantially the officer's duties (other than any such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness or following the named executive officer's delivery of a notice of termination for good reason); or (b) the willful engaging by the named executive officer in illegal conduct or gross misconduct that is materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company. Good reason means a material diminution of position, a material decrease in base compensation, a material breach of any employment agreement, or a material change in location of employment of the named executive officer.

(4) For purposes of the 2000 and 2010 Stock Incentive Plans and the Defined Contribution SERP, termination following a change in control is limited to a termination of employment voluntarily or involuntarily without cause.

(5) Represents an amount equal to one time (two times for Mr. Newell) the named executive officer's annual base salary prior to his separation from service plus one time (two times for Mr. Newell) the named executive officer's average annual cash

incentive bonus paid to him during the three years immediately prior to the his separation from service or change in control (whichever is greater).

(6) Under the 2012 change of control agreements, the named executive officer is entitled to a pro-rata portion of the officer's average annual bonus under the Company's cash incentive plan for the three years preceding the year in which the officer's termination of employment occurs, or, if higher, for the three years preceding the year in which the change in control. The pro rata portion is determined by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of days in the fiscal year of termination of employment through the date of termination of employment and the denominator of which is 365.

(7) Under the 2012 change of control agreements, the named executive officer is entitled to continuation of Company health and welfare benefits for up to 18 months (in the case of Mr. Newell) and 12 months (in the case of the other named executive officers), with the Company continuing to pay its share of the premiums as though the officer were an active employee. Represents the total Company contribution toward these benefits using 2012 premium rates.

(8) Represents additional value named executive officers would be entitled to receive over the exercise value of vested performance unit awards at December 31, 2012, under the change in control provisions applicable to those awards and attributable to deemed exercise at highest Company stock price in the 60-day period preceding December 31, 2012 (\$8.97) compared to price at which executive could have exercised vested award at December 31, 2012, in absence of a change in control (\$8.66).

(9) Amount shown represents additional amount named executive officers would be entitled to receive under the change in control provisions applicable to such awards. Change in control benefit represents additional value attributable to deemed exercise at highest Company stock price in the 60-day period preceding December 31, 2012 (\$8.97) compared to price at which executive could have exercised vested award at December 31, 2012, in absence of a change in control (\$8.66).

(10) The Company is not obligated to provide tax gross up payments to any named executive officer, even if a payment may be subject to excise taxes under Code Sections 280G and 4999.

Proposal No. 2 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Our shareholders are entitled to cast an advisory vote to approve our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our shareholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the executive compensation philosophy, policies, and practices that are described in this proxy statement. The Board's current approach with respect to "say-on-pay" proposals is to allow the shareholders to vote on our named executive officer compensation policies and practices on an annual basis. Accordingly, we will ask our shareholders to vote **FOR** the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the Company's shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2012 Summary Compensation Table, and the other related tables and disclosure.

The say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee, or our Board of Directors. Our Board and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our shareholders, however, and consequently, to the extent that there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider our shareholders' concerns and the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

The Board of Directors believes that, as discussed in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis section of this proxy statement, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers is consistent with shareholders' interests, and that our compensation policies and decisions are focused on pay-for-performance, which allows us to attract and retain qualified executive officers who enable the Company to achieve its business goals without unduly encouraging excessive risk-taking.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock cast in person or by proxy at the meeting will constitute a vote to approve the Company's executive compensation programs and policies.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY

STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE RULES OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Proposal No. 3 Ratification of Preliminary Selection of Auditors

At its meeting in February 2013, the Audit Committee preliminarily selected Deloitte & Touche LLP as our auditor for the 2013 fiscal year, and the Board is asking our shareholders to ratify that preliminary selection. The Audit Committee anticipates formally retaining Deloitte & Touche LLP at its meeting in August 2013. Although current law and applicable rules and regulations, as well as our Audit Committee's charter, require that the Audit Committee engage, retain, and supervise our independent auditing firm, the Board considers the selection of the independent auditor to be an important matter of shareholder concern and is accordingly submitting the preliminary selection of Deloitte & Touche for ratification by shareholders.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock cast in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche as our independent auditor for the current fiscal year.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE POTENTIAL SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR THE 2013 FISCAL YEAR.

Other Matters

Proxy Statement and Other Shareholder Proposals

Any shareholder who intends to present a proposal at the annual meeting to be held in 2014 must deliver the written proposal to the Secretary of the Company at our office in Mosinee, Wisconsin:

not later than November 14, 2013, if the proposal is submitted for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2014 meeting pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act; or

on or after December 19, 2013, and on or before January 20, 2014, if the proposal is submitted pursuant to our bylaws, in which case we are not required to include the proposal in our proxy materials.

Shareholders may present a proposal at the 2013 annual meeting for consideration only if proper notice of the proposal has been given in accordance with one of these requirements. Nominations for director made from the floor at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2013 require advance notice in accordance with the bylaws.

Householding of Annual Meeting Materials

In accordance with notices sent to shareholders who share the same address, we are sending only one annual report and proxy statement to that address unless we receive contrary instructions from any shareholder at that address. This practice, which is called householding, is designed to reduce our printing and postage costs and the volume of duplicate information you receive. We expect that most banks, brokers, and other nominee record holders will also household proxy statements and annual reports for shareholders whose accounts are held in street name. Each shareholder will continue to receive a separate proxy card. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of our proxy statement or annual report to any shareholder upon written or oral request to the Secretary, Wausau Paper, 100 Paper Place, Mosinee, WI 54455-9099, telephone: (715) 693-4470. If shareholders who share the same address are currently receiving multiple copies of our annual report and proxy statement and would prefer to receive only a single copy, those shareholders may contact us at the same address or telephone number.

Shareholders holding stock in their own name who wish to either request or discontinue householding may contact the Secretary of the Company at the address or telephone number listed in the preceding paragraph. Shareholders whose shares are held in street name and who wish to request or discontinue householding, should contact their bank, broker, or other nominee record holder.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be Held on April 18, 2013

This proxy statement and the 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders are available for viewing, printing, and downloading at wausaupaper.com.

We will furnish to any shareholder (without charge) a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, except for exhibits, upon written or oral request to the Secretary, Wausau Paper Corp., 100 Paper Place, Mosinee, WI 54455.

By order of the Board of Directors

Sherri L. Lemmer

Secretary

Please sign, date, and return your proxy card promptly.

Appendix A

WAUSAU PAPER CORP.

CATEGORICAL STANDARDS FOR DETERMINATION OF DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

As amended February 16, 2004

These categorical standards are adopted for the purpose of assisting the Board of Directors of Wausau Paper Corp. (the Company) in making a determination of the independence of each director so as to satisfy the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (NYSE) with respect to independent directors. These standards apply only to directors who are not officers or employees of the Company and its subsidiaries.

1.

Definition of Affiliated Company and Family Member. For purposes of these standards, an *Affiliated Company* means a corporation, partnership, trust, limited liability company, and any other entity with which a director of the Company or any Family Member is affiliated by reason of being a director, officer, partner, trustee, manager, other official acting in the capacity of the entity's chief executive officer, or the beneficial owner of 5% or more of the equity interest thereof.

For purposes of these standards, a *Family Member* means a director's spouse, parents, children, and siblings, whether by blood, marriage (i.e., in-law relationships), or adoption, or anyone (other than domestic employees) residing in the director's home.

2.

Determination of Material Interest Affiliated Companies. A business relationship between the Company and an Affiliated Company shall not be considered as creating a material relationship between the Company and the director, and such director shall not fail to be deemed independent for purposes of Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual solely as a result of such relationship, if each of the following standards has been met:

(a)

Purchase or Sale of Goods and Non-Financial Services. In connection with the purchase or sale of goods or the provision of services by an Affiliated Company which is not a bank holding company or depository institution, (i) the relationship between the Company and the Affiliated Company was in the ordinary course of business of the Company and of the Affiliated Company, (ii) all business between the Company and the Affiliated Company was conducted on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with similarly situated unaffiliated persons, (iii) the Affiliated Company did not make payments to the Company or its subsidiaries for such goods or services in excess of 2% of the Company's gross revenues for the last full fiscal year nor does the Company reasonably believe such payments will exceed 2% of its gross revenues during its current fiscal year, and (iv) the Company did not make payments to the Affiliated Company or its subsidiaries for goods or services in excess of 2% of the Affiliated Company's gross revenues for the last full fiscal year nor does the Affiliated Company reasonably believe such payments will exceed 2% of its gross revenues during its current fiscal year;

(b)

Extension of Credit by Affiliated Company. Any extension of credit or provision of services by an Affiliated Company which is a bank holding company or depository institution was done (i) in compliance with applicable law, (ii) on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with similarly situated unaffiliated persons, (iii) no event of default has occurred which remains uncorrected (unless waived), (iv) the Company reasonably believes that such credit and terms are or would be generally available to the Company from other lenders, and (v) the aggregate amount of interest and fees paid by the Company to the Affiliated Company with respect to the extension or extensions of credit and for all other services provided by the Affiliated Company during the last full fiscal year of the Affiliated Company do not exceed 2% of the Affiliated Company's total interest and noninterest income for such fiscal year nor does the Affiliated Company reasonably believe such payments will exceed 2% of its total interest and noninterest income during its current fiscal year; and

(c)

No Extensions of Credit by Company. The Company did not extend credit (other than in the ordinary course of business and in connection with the sale of Company products in accordance with the Company's standard terms) to the Affiliated Company.

3.

Determination of Material Interest Provision of Certain Benefits. The provision of medical and dental insurance to a director who is a former employee shall not, in the absence of any other relationship, be considered as creating a material relationship between the Company and the director, and such director shall not fail to be deemed independent for purposes of Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

A-1

6FOLD AND DETACH HERE AND READ THE REVERSE SIDE6

PROXY

PROXY SOLICITED BY BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR ANNUAL MEETING

TO BE HELD APRIL 18, 2013

WAUSAU PAPER CORP.

The undersigned hereby appoint(s) Thomas J. Howatt and Henry C. Newell, and each of them, proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, to vote all shares of common stock of Wausau Paper Corp. that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on April 18, 2013 and at any adjournment thereof (the Annual Meeting). The proxies have the authority to vote such stock as directed herein with respect to the proposal set forth in the Proxy Statement with the same effect as though the undersigned were present in person and voting such shares. For participants in the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, Common Stock Purchase Plan, and the Corporation's 401(k) Plan, the proxy also serves as voting instructions to the Plan administrator or trustee, as applicable, of such plans to vote the shares of common stock beneficially owned by the participants in each Plan. The undersigned hereby revokes all proxies heretofore given to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment thereof.

Please indicate how your stock is to be voted. If no specific voting instructions are given, the shares represented by this proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 18, 2013: The Proxy Statement and 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders are available for viewing, printing, and downloading at wausaupaper.com.

(Continued, and to be marked, dated and signed on the other side.)

**6FOLD AND DETACH HERE AND READ THE REVERSE SIDE6
PROXY - WAUSAU PAPER CORP.**

Please mark your votes like this **x**

This proxy will be voted as directed, but if no direction is indicated, it will be voted FOR each of the director nominees,

FOR approval of our advisory vote on executive compensation, and FOR ratification of the appointment

of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

1. ELECTION OF CLASS II AND III DIRECTORS:

The Board recommends a vote FOR the nominees

FOR

WITHHOLD AUTHORITY

(To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee, strike a line through that nominee's name in the list below)

G. WATTS HUMPHREY, JR.

**JOHN S. KVOCKA
GEORGE P. MURPHY**

LONDA J. DEWEY

2. ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The Board recommends a vote FOR approving executive compensation.

£ FOR £ AGAINST £ABSTAIN

3. RATIFY THE PRELIMINARY APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR THE 2013 FISCAL YEAR.

The Board recommends a vote FOR ratification.

£ FOR £ AGAINST £ABSTAIN

4. IN THEIR DISCRETION, THE PROXIES ARE AUTHORIZED TO VOTE UPON SUCH OTHER BUSINESS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING.

COMPANY ID:

PROXY NUMBER:

ACCOUNT NUMBER:

Signature _____ **Signature** _____
Date _____, **2013.**

Note: When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, or guardian, please give full title. If a corporation, partnership, LLC, or other entity, please sign in full name of entity by authorized individual and give title.

Wausau Paper Corp.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for
the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 18, 2013**

**The Proxy Statement and 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders are available for viewing, printing, and
downloading at wausaupaper.com**