NUVEEN CALIFORNIA DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSRS May 06, 2004

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-09161

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
-----(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Jessica R. Droeger Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: August 31

Date of reporting period: February 29, 2004

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Nuveen Investments Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds

SEMIANNUAL REPORT February 29, 2004

NUVEEN INSURED CALIFORNIA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NPC

NUVEEN INSURED CALIFORNIA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND 2, INC. NCL

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND NAC

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND 2

NUVEEN CALIFORNIA DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND 3 NZH

NUVEEN INSURED CALIFORNIA DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND NKI.

NUVEEN INSURED CALIFORNIA TAX-FREE ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND

Photo of: Man holding up small boy. Photo of: 2 women with 2 girls looking at seashells.

DEPENDABLE,
TAX-FREE INCOME
BECAUSE
IT'S NOT WHAT YOU EARN,
IT'S WHAT YOU KEEP. (R)

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

FASTER INFORMATION
RECEIVE YOUR
NUVEEN FUND REPORT
ELECTRONICALLY

By registering for electronic delivery, you will receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Fund information is available. Click on the link and you will be taken directly to the report. Your Fund report can be viewed and saved on your computer. Your report will arrive faster via e-mail than by traditional mail.

Registering is easy and only takes a few minutes (see instructions at right).

SOME COMMON CONCERNS:

WILL MY E-MAIL ADDRESS BE DISTRIBUTED TO OTHER COMPANIES?

No, your e-mail address is strictly confidential and will not be used for anything other than notification of shareholder information.

WHAT IF I CHANGE MY MIND AND WANT TO RECEIVE INVESTOR MATERIALS THROUGH REGULAR MAIL DELIVERY AGAIN?

If you decide you do not like receiving your reports electronically, it's a simple process to go back to regular mail delivery.

IF YOUR NUVEEN FUND DIVIDENDS AND STATEMENTS

COME FROM YOUR FINANCIAL ADVISOR OR BROKERAGE ACCOUNT,

FOLLOW THE STEPS OUTLINED BELOW:

- Go to WWW.INVESTORDELIVERY.COM and follow the simple instructions, using the address sheet that accompanied this report as a guide.
- You'll be taken to a page with several options. Select the NEW ENROLLMENT-CREATE screen and follow the simple instructions.
- 3 Click Submit. Confirm the information you just entered is correct, then click Submit again.
- 4 You should get a confirmation e-mail within 24 hours. If you do not, go back through these steps to make sure all the information is correct.
- 5 Use this same process if you need to change your registration information or cancel internet viewing.

IF YOUR NUVEEN FUND DIVIDENDS AND STATEMENTS

COME DIRECTLY TO YOU FROM NUVEEN,

FOLLOW THE STEPS OUTLINED BELOW:

- 1 Go to WWW.NUVEEN.COM
- Select ACCESS YOUR ACCOUNT. Select the E-REPORT ENROLLMENT section. Click on Enrollment Today.
- 3 You'll be taken to a screen that asks for your Social Security number and e-mail address. Fill in this information, then click Enroll.
- 4 You should get a confirmation e-mail within 24 hours. If you do not, go back through these steps to make sure all the information is correct.
- 5 Use this same process if you need to change your registration information or cancel internet viewing.

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Timothy R. Schwertfeger Chairman of the Board

Photo of: Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Sidebar text: WE THINK THAT MUNICIPAL BOND INVESTMENTS LIKE YOUR NUVEEN FUND CAN BE IMPORTANT BUILDING BLOCKS IN A WELL-BALANCED PORTFOLIO.

Dear

SHAREHOLDER

By coincidence, this letter is dated April 15 - the one day every year when the value of tax-free income may be the most obvious.

So on this date in particular, I am very pleased to report that for the period ended February 29, 2004, your Nuveen California Fund continued to provide you with attractive monthly tax-free income.

While tax-free income always is welcome, many of you may have begun to wonder whether interest rates will rise, and whether that possibility should cause you to adjust your holdings of tax-free municipal bond investments. We believe that these are questions that should be thought through with a clear focus on your long-term financial goals and not on day-to-day market movements. By maintaining a carefully balanced portfolio with the help of a trusted investment professional, you may be able to reduce your overall investment risk over the long-term, and give yourself a better chance to meet your ultimate financial goals. We think that municipal bond investments like your Nuveen Fund can be important building blocks in a well-balanced portfolio - not only on April 15, but on every other day of the year as well.

As you read through this report, please don't skip the inside front cover. I urge you to consider receiving future Fund reports and other Fund information by e-mail and the Internet. Not only will you be able to receive the information faster, but this also may help lower your Fund's expenses. Sign up is quick and easy.

Since 1898, Nuveen Investments has offered financial products and solutions that incorporate careful research, diversification and the application of conservative risk-management principles. We are grateful that you have chosen us as a partner as you pursue your financial goals. We look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

/s/ Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger Chairman of the Board

April 15, 2004

1

Nuveen California Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds (NPC, NCL, NCU, NAC, NVX, NZH, NKL, NKX)

Portfolio Managers'
COMMENTS

Portfolio managers Tom O'Shaughnessy and Scott Romans review national and state

economic and market conditions, key investment strategies, and the six-month performance of the Funds. With 21 years of experience at Nuveen, Tom has managed NPC and NCL since January 2003. Scott, who joined Nuveen in 2000, also assumed portfolio management responsibility for the remaining six Funds in January 2003.

WHAT FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2004?

During this reporting period, the greatest influences on the national economy and the municipal market continued to be historically low interest rates, little movement in the reported rate of inflation, and growing evidence of economic improvement. Since its last credit easing in June 2003, the Federal Reserve has maintained the fed funds rate at 1.0%, the lowest level since 1958. This accommodative monetary policy helped to spur economic growth of 4.1% (annualized) in the fourth quarter of 2003, bringing the growth in the gross domestic product (GDP) for all of 2003 to a solid 3.1%. Over the six-month reporting period, inflation remained under control, with the increase in the core CPI averaging 1.2% year-over-year.

In this generally favorable environment, many municipal bonds performed well during the six months ended February 29, 2004. Although municipal supply nationally in 2003 reached \$382.7 billion, breaking the record set in 2002, issuance slowed somewhat during the last half of 2003. Tighter supply continued into the first two months of 2004, with national issuance totaling \$42.5 billion, a decrease of 26% from January-February 2003. For the entire six-month period ended February 2004, we saw \$26 billion less in new bonds coming to market than in the preceding six months.

HOW WERE ECONOMIC AND MARKET CONDITIONS IN CALIFORNIA?

Despite a period of enormous political and financial uncertainty, California generally managed to track the national economic recovery over the six months ended February 29, 2004. While the state economy remained hindered by the slow recovery of the technology sector, a strong housing market helped to fuel growth in construction, financial services (driven by demand for mortgage financing), and consumer spending. Increased defense spending also had positive implications for the state's aerospace industry. In addition, California's \$100 billion export industry, covering agricultural, computer, and electronic products, was aided by the decline in the U.S. dollar. In general, the Bay Area and Silicon Valley remained the weakest areas in the aftermath of the technology downturn, while other parts of the state, especially Riverside County in southern California, showed stronger economic growth. Although the state continued to lose jobs in the government, manufacturing, and business services sectors, the educational and health services, the leisure and hospitality and construction industries all experienced recent gains.

Following the October 2003 governor's recall election, attention turned to developing potential solutions to the state's fiscal crisis. Legal challenges to two bond proposals (\$1.9 billion in pension obligation bonds and \$10.7 billion in fiscal recovery bonds) caused both Moody's and Fitch to downgrade California's general obligation debt to Baal/BBB from A3/A in December 2003. Standard & Poor's, which had downgraded the state in July 2003, kept its rating at BBB, and all three agencies maintained negative outlooks for the state. In January 2004, the governor proposed a \$79 billion

2

budget for fiscal 2005. The budget proposal avoids tax increases and includes expenditure cuts and spending deferrals, among other measures. To take effect,

this budget must be passed by a two-thirds legislative majority before June 30, 2004. We believe a punctual budget agreement would send a strong positive signal to investors, facilitate the issuance of future bonds at more cost-effective yields for the state, and strengthen California's credit outlook.

On March 2, 2004 (following the close of this reporting period), California voters approved the issuance of \$15 billion in economic recovery bonds (ERBs) as well as a resolution calling for future limits on spending and borrowing. Moody's and S&P subsequently revised their outlooks for California to stable and positive, respectively.

California continued to rank as the nation's largest issuer of municipal bonds, with \$57.9 billion in new bonds in 2003, up 18% over 2002. In the first two months of 2004, however, supply fell 57% from January-February 2003 levels, as state issuance totaled \$5.4 billion. This decline was due primarily to a delay in some issuance as issuing authorities waited for the outcome of the March 2004 referenda.

IN THIS ENVIRONMENT, WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THESE FUNDS DURING THE SIX MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2004?

Over this reporting period, our major focus continued to be on careful management of the Funds' durationsl as a way to mitigate some of the inherent interest rate risk within each Fund's portfolio and better position each Fund for potentially more consistent returns over time. Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of a Fund's portfolio will decline if market interest rates rise (since bond prices move in the opposite direction of interest rates). The longer the duration of a Fund's portfolio, the greater its interest rate risk. Overall, we were able to shorten the durations of all of these Funds during the course of the six-month period.

As noted earlier, the supply of new issue municipal bonds in California began to soften during the course of this six-month reporting period. This presented some challenges in finding securities that we believed had the potential to add value and carried the types of structures and features we prefer. The supply of attractive insured bonds was particularly tight at times, which meant there were occasional periods in managing NPC, NCL, NKL and NKX when it took a little longer than normal to make a desired purchase.

For NCU, NAC, NVX and NZH, one of our strategies over the longer term has centered on purchasing and selling California general obligation bonds (GOs) to take advantage of general market moves. In February 2004, we bought part of the \$2 billion issuance of state GOs for each of these four Funds, with the goal of modestly increasing their GO holdings. As the state continues to work its way out of its current credit problems, we anticipate that the yield spreads on these bonds relative to national AAA credits with similar maturities may narrow. If this occurs, it may provide us with the opportunity to sell some of these GO holdings at attractive prices in the future.

In NCU, NAC, NVX and NZH, we also continued to purchase both investment-grade and smaller, unrated land-secured offerings. In our opinion, the market prices did not reflect our assessment of the actual risks of holding these securities, resulting in some opportunities to buy bonds we considered to be undervalued. Two such additions to NAC, NVX and NZH during this six-month period were issues by the Capistrano Unified School District on behalf of the Talega development, and by the Patterson Community Facilities District. On the other side, in September 2003 our research indicated a weakening credit picture for bonds issued for the Sacramento-Yolo Port District, and we were able to close out NVX's \$3.84 million position in that credit shortly before the issue was downgraded.

In NPC and NCL, we focused on taking advantage of some of the beneficial tax

positions within both Funds. NCL, in particular, had a large amount of tax loss carryforward, which over time can $\frac{1}{2}$

1 Duration is a measure of a Fund's NAV volatility in reaction to interest rate movements. Fund duration, also known as leverage-adjusted duration, takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is generally longer than the duration of the actual portfolio of individual bonds that make up the Fund. References to duration in this commentary are intended to indicate Fund duration unless otherwise noted.

3

serve to offset realized capital gains within the portfolio.

HOW DID THE FUNDS PERFORM?

Individual results for the Funds, as well as for relevant benchmarks, are presented in the accompanying table.

TOTAL RETURNS ON NET ASSET VALUE For periods ended 2/29/04 (6-mo. cumulative, all others annual)

(6-mo. cumulative, al	l others	annuali	zed)	
Uninsured Funds	6-Mo.	1-yr.	5-Yr.	10-Yr.
NCU	12.14%	9.63%	6.97%	7.00%
NAC		10.39%		
NVX		9.97%		
NZH		10.87%		
Lehman Brothers CA Tax-Exempt Municipa Bond Index2	1	6.17%		
Lipper CA Municipal Debt Funds category average3		9.11%	6.32%	6.67%
Insured Funds	6-Mo.	1-yr.	5-Yr.	10-Yr.
NPC	10.46%	9.07%	6.55%	7.08%
NCL	10.44%	9.12%	6.90%	6.99%
NKL	12.73%	10.38%		
NKX	13.17%	11.12%		

Lehman Brothers CA Insured Tax-Exempt Municipal Bond

Index2 7.05% 6.53% 6.12% 6.55%

Lipper CA Insured

Municipal Debt Funds category average3 11.14% 8.91% 6.26% 6.77%

Past performance is not predictive of future results.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

For the six months ended February 29, 2004, the total returns of all eight of the Funds in this report outperformed their respective Lehman Brothers California Municipal Bond benchmarks. The use of leverage was the primary factor that influenced the Funds'six-month returns relative to these unmanaged, unleveraged indexes. While leveraging can add volatility to net asset value and share price, this strategy can also provide opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders during periods of low or falling interest rates, which was the case during this relatively short reporting period.

The Funds' total return performances during this period, when compared with each other, also were influenced by their durations. Generally, the longer the duration of a Fund, the more its value will be affected by changes in prevailing interest rates. Over this six-month reporting period, interest rates generally declined which caused bond prices to rise and helped enhance the Funds' cumulative returns. Among the Funds shown in this report, the best performers over the reporting period were the ones with the longest durations as of February 29, 2004.

In addition to leverage and duration, NCU, NAC, NVX, NZH, NKL and NKX all held bonds backed by revenues from the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement. Recently, in the wake of some favorable legal developments, the market took a more optimistic view of these securities. As a result, over the six-month reporting period, tobacco bonds generally appreciated and made strong contributions to these Fund's returns.

Looking at other factors that affected each Fund's performance over the reporting period, NCU was helped by its water and sewer bond holdings. NAC benefited from its allocation to non-rated, land-secured offerings, but saw some of its multifamily housing bonds trail the general market. NVX and NZH had good performance from their toll road holdings, although NVX's allocation to multifamily housing bonds constrained its performance since this sector did not do as well as many others over this reporting period.

Among the insured Funds, NPC and NCL benefited from the generally strong performance of insured California bonds over a period of budget and political uncertainty and generally falling interest rates. NKL and NKX took advantage of their ability to invest up to 20% of their assets in uninsured, investment-grade quality bonds to enhance their returns over the reporting period. In particular, NKL was helped by the performance of some of its multifamily housing bonds, while NKX benefited

- 2 The Lehman Brothers California Tax-Exempt Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, unmanaged index comprising a broad range of investment-grade California municipal bonds. The Lehman Brothers California Insured Tax-Exempt Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, unmanaged index comprised of a broad range of insured California municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman indexes do not reflect any expenses.
- 3 The Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category average is calculated using all the California leveraged and unleveraged closed-end exchange-traded funds in the Lipper peer group for the respective periods. The Lipper California Insured Municipal Debt Funds category average is

calculated using all the insured California leveraged and unleveraged closed-end exchange-traded funds in the Lipper peer group for the respective periods. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends.

4

from rising prices among some of its water and sewer bonds.

WHAT ABOUT THE FUNDS' DIVIDENDS AND SHARE PRICES?

With short-term interest rates remaining at historically low levels, the dividend-paying capabilities of these Funds benefited from their leveraged structure over the six months ended February 2004. The extent of this benefit is tied in part to the short-term rates the Funds pay their MuniPreferred(R) shareholders. During periods of low short-term rates, the Funds generally pay relatively low dividends to their MuniPreferred shareholders, which can leave more earnings to support common share dividends. During this six-month period, continued low short-term rates enabled us to increase the dividends of NPC, NAC and NVX. Leverage also helped to support the dividends of NCL and NCU, both of which had offered shareholders 45 consecutive months of steady or increasing dividends as of February 29, 2004. NZH, NKL and NKX, which were introduced in late 2001 and 2002, each have continued to provide stable, attractive dividends since their inceptions.

All of these Funds seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's net asset value. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of February 29, 2004, all of the Funds in this report except NKX had positive UNII.

As of February 29, 2004, all eight Funds were trading at share price discounts to their net asset values (see charts on individual Performance Overview pages).

HOW WERE THE FUNDS POSITIONED IN TERMS OF CREDIT QUALITY AND BOND CALLS AS OF FEBRUARY 29, 2004?

We believed that, given the current geopolitical and economic climate, maintaining strong credit quality was a vital requirement. NPC and NCL continued to be 100% invested in insured and/or U.S. guaranteed securities. NKL and NKX, which are allowed to invest up to 20% of their assets in uninsured, investment-grade quality securities, held 80% and 82% of their portfolios, respectively, in insured bonds as of February 29, 2004. The other Funds also offered excellent credit quality, with allocations of bonds rated AAA/U.S. guaranteed and AA ranging from 63% in NAC to 67% in NCU and NZH, and 71% in NVX. At the same time, NCU, NVX and NZH had modest increases in their holdings rated BBB or lower over the course of the reporting period, due in part to the downgrade of California general obligation bonds by Moody's in December 2003.

As of February 29, 2004, potential call exposure during 2004-2005 ranged from zero in NKL and NKX to 1% in NVX, 2% in NAC and NZH, and 8% in NPC and NCL. NCU, which marked its ten-year anniversary in mid-2003, faces potential calls on 19%

of its portfolio over this period as the Fund continues to work its way through a period typically associated with increased call exposure. The actual number of bond calls in all of these Funds will depend largely on market interest rates.

In general, we believe that these Funds can continue to serve as attractive sources of tax-free income, while simultaneously offering the potential for portfolio diversification. In our opinion, the Funds represent a quality component of a well-balanced core investment portfolio that can continue to benefit shareholders over time.

5

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

Performance

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NPC

Pie Chart:
CREDIT QUALITY

Insured 78%
Insured and U.S. Guaranteed 3%
U.S. Guaranteed 19%

PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT

Share Price	\$16.22
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$16.68
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-2.76%
Market Yield	5.70%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	8.70%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$107,420
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	18.89
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.49

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 11/19/92)

	ON	SHARE	PRICE	ON	NAV
1-Year			9.18%	9.	.07%
5-Year			5.99%	6.	.55%
10-Year			7.38%	7.	.08%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a % of total investments)

```
Tax Obligation/General
_____
U.S. Guaranteed
_____
Water and Sewer
Tax Obligation/Limited
Bar Chart:
2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER SHARE2
                      0.076
                      0.076
May
                      0.076
Jun
                      0.076
Jul
Aug
                      0.076
Sep
                      0.076
Oct
                      0.076
Nov
                      0.076
                      0.077
Dec
Jan
                      0.077
Feb
                      0.077
Line Chart:
SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE
Weekly Closing Price
Past performance is not predictive of future results.
3/1/03
                      15.8
                      15.9
                      16.25
                      15.67
                      15.91
                       16
                       15.85
                       16.1
                       15.82
                       16.42
                       16.6
                      16.75
                      16.35
                      16.2
                      16.27
                      15.9
                      15.25
                      14.55
                      15.03
                      14.85
                      14.81
                      15.07
                      15.02
                      15.16
                      15.2
                      15.04
                      15.35
                       15.36
                       15.3
```

15.32 15.44 15.39 15.75 15.79 15.72 15.64 15.88 16.07 16.12 16.31 16.42 16.09 16.06 16.21 16.09 16.27 16.22

2/29/04

- 1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund also paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2003 of \$0.0615 per share.

6

\$198,683

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc.

Performance

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NCL

Pie Chart:

CREDIT QUALITY

Insured 95% Insured and U.S. Guaranteed 3% U.S. Guaranteed 2%

PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT

Common Shares (\$000)

Share Price	\$15.55
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$15.65
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-0.64%
Market Yield	5.86%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	8.95%
Net Assets Applicable to	

Average Effective Mat	turity (Years)	19.54
Leverage-Adjusted Du	ration	7.88
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL	RETURN (Inception 3	/18/93)
	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	10.07%	9.12%
5-Year		6.90%
10-Year	7.74%	6.99%
TOP FIVE SECTORS (as	a % of total invest	ments)
Tax Obligation/Limite	ed	31%
Tax Obligation/Genera		19%
Water and Sewer		14%
Education and Civic (11%
Utilities		88
Bar Chart: 2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX Mar Apr	X-FREE DIVIDENDS PER 0.076 0.076	SHARE
2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX Mar	0.076	SHARE

15.77 16.35 15.75 15.5 15.52 15.28 14.71 14.37 14.42 14.36 14.29 14.32 14.69 14.62 14.74 14.7 14.95 14.84 14.92 14.93 15.2 15.26 15.19 15.32 15.33 15.3 15.33 15.43 15.6 15.59 15.53 15.52 15.46 15.44 15.51 15.53 15.55

1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the

7

Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund

Performance
OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

NCU

2/29/04

Pie Chart:
CREDIT QUALITY
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 59%
AA 8%

A	7%
BBB	17%
NR	4%
BB or lower	5%

Share Price	\$13.87
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$14.86
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-6.66%
Market Yield	6.32%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	9.65%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$85,810
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	17.92
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	10.28

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 6/18/93)

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	10.76%	9.63%
5-Year	5.58%	6.97%
10-Year	7.06%	7.00%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	32%
Tax Obligation/General	14%
Healthcare	14%
Water and Sewer	10%
Utilities	8%

Bar Chart:

2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER SE	IARE
---	------

Mar	0.073
Apr	0.073
May	0.073
Jun	0.073
Jul	0.073
Aug	0.073
Sep	0.073
Oct	0.073
Nov	0.073

```
0.073
Dec
Jan
                           0.073
Feb
                           0.073
Line Chart:
SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE
Weekly Closing Price
Past performance is not predictive of future results.
3/1/03
                           13.35
                           13.55
                           13.48
                           13.19
                           13.47
                           13.47
                           13.47
                           13.5
                           13.51
                           13.78
                           13.85
                           14.09
                           14.35
                           14.6
                           14.47
                           14.29
                           14.37
                           14.24
                           13.65
                           13.1
                           13.08
                           12.8
                           12.91
                           13.02
                           13.02
                           13.18
                           13.19
                           13.31
                           13.45
                           13.43
                           13.28
                           13.35
                           13.57
                           13.65
                           13.65
                           13.69
                           13.66
                           13.64
                           13.6
                           13.61
                           13.62
                           13.71
                           13.8
                           13.87
                           13.77
                           13.78
                           13.77
                           13.84
2/29/04
                           13.87
```

¹ Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%.

For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

8

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund

Performance

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NAC

Pie Chart:
CREDIT QUALITY
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 56%
AA 7%
A 12%
BBB 17%
NR 8%

PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT

Share Price	\$15.25
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$16.02
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-4.81%
Market Yield	6.45%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	9.85%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$375,070
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	19.37
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.84

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 5/26/99)

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	15.31%	10.39%
Since Inception	6.66%	8.66%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation	/Limited	26%
Tax Obligation	/General	14%
Transportation	·	14%

Healthcare		9%
Utilities		 9왕
Bar Chart: 2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX-FREE Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb	DIVIDENDS PER SHARE 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.0	
Line Chart: SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE Weekly Closing Price Past performance is not price 3/1/03	redictive of future re 14.35 14.4 14.62 14.89 15.04 15.35 15.66 15.89 15.69 15.44 15.49 15.2 14.6 14.07 14.36 14.36 14.06 14.3 14.48 14.56 14.68 14.75 14.74 14.54 14.52 14.7 14.88 14.82 14.72 14.97 15.13 15.13 15.33 15.21	sult

	15.29
	15.46
	15.13
	15.2
	15.17
	15.14
2/29/04	15.25

1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

9

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2

Performance

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NVX

Pie Chart:

CREDIT QUALITY

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 69% A 7% BBB 17% NR 7%

PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT

Share Price	\$14.32
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$15.40
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-7.01%
Market Yield	6.37%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	9.73%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$227,745
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	19.68
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	9.79

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 3/27/01)

	ON	SHARE	PRICE	ON	NAV
1-Year			12.37%	9	.97%

```
4.61% 8.70%
Since Inception
_____
TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a % of total investments)
_____
Tax Obligation/Limited
______
Tax Obligation/General
Education and Civic Organizations
_____
Water and Sewer
_____
Housing/Multifamily
______
Bar Chart:
2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER SHARE
                   0.074
                   0.074
Apr
                   0.074
May
Jun
                   0.075
                   0.075
Jul
                  0.075
Aug
                  0.076
Sep
Oct
                  0.076
Nov
                  0.076
Dec
                  0.076
Jan
                  0.076
                   0.076
Feb
Line Chart:
SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE
Weekly Closing Price
Past performance is not predictive of future results.
3/1/03
                  13.55
                   13.7
                   13.91
                   14.06
                   14.04
                   14.42
                   14.72
                   14.8
                   14.52
                   14.52
                   14.71
                   14.35
                   13.97
                   13.03
                   13.4
                   13.19
                   13.17
                   13.24
                  13.52
                   13.5
                   13.55
                  13.72
                   13.8
                   13.59
                   13.65
                   13.74
```

13.97	
14	
13.82	
14.1	
14.18	
14.01	
14.05	
14.02	
14.02	
14.2	
14.26	
14.28	
14.11	
14.31	
14.3	
14.2	
14.32	

1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

10

Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3

Performance

2/29/04

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NZH

Pie Chart: CREDIT QUALITY

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 66% AA 1% A 8% BBB 18% NR 7%

PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT

Share Price	\$13.70
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$15.05
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-8.97%
Market Yield	6.31%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	9.63%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$362 , 820

Average Effective Maturi	ty (Years)	19.24
Leverage-Adjusted Durati	on	10.55
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RET	URN (Inception 9,	/25/01)
	SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	11.16%	10.87%
Since Inception		8.17%
TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a %	of total investr	ments)
Tax Obligation/Limited		33%
Tax Obligation/General		20%
Water and Sewer		88
Utilities		8%
Healthcare		8%
May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb	0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.072	
Line Chart: SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE Weekly Closing Price Past performance is not 3/1/03	predictive of fut 13.12 13.23 13.3 13.63 13.7 13.97 14.24 14.39 13.92 14.02 14.2 14.15	ture result:

13.16 12.68 12.71 12.71 12.87 12.85 13.11 13.2 13.17 13.1 13.12 13.13 13.29 13.41 13.54 13.4 13.62 13.55 13.43 13.32 13.31 13.5 13.74 13.71 13.51 13.69 13.81 13.61 13.7

1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

11

Nuveen Insured California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund

Performance

2/29/04

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NKL

Pie Chart:

CREDIT QUALITY

Insured 80%
AAA (uninsured) 1%
AA (uninsured) 3%
A (uninsured) 5%
BBB (uninsured) 11%

PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT

Share Price		\$15.16
Common Share Net Asset	Value	\$15.85
Premium/(Discount) to N	NAV	-4.35%
Market Yield		6.02%
Taxable-Equivalent Yie	 ld1	9.19%
Net Assets Applicable t Common Shares (\$000)	20	\$241,880
Average Effective Matur	rity (Years)	21.55
Leverage-Adjusted Durat		10.07
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RE	ETURN (Inception :	3/25/02)
(ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	10.78%	10.38%
Since Inception	7.26%	12.17%
TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a	% of total invest	iments)
Tax Obligation/Limited		28%
Tax Obligation/General		27%
Utilities		14%
Water and Sewer		11%
Education and Civic Org	ganizations	6%
Bar Chart: 2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX-F Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb	FREE DIVIDENDS PER 0.076	R SHARE2
Line Chart: SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE Weekly Closing Price Past performance is not 3/1/03		uture result

14.75

```
14.85
15
15
15.18
15.47
15.37
15.38
15.27
15.25
15.02
14.36
13.8
13.9
13.71
13.91
14
14.55
14.26
14.35
14.42
14.47
14.32
14.36
14.51
14.84
14.75
14.65
14.8
14.6
14.74
14.74
14.6
14.75
14.83
14.97
14.96
14.76
14.9
14.97
15
```

1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

2 The Fund also paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2003 of \$0.1252 per share.

12

Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund

15.16

Performance

2/29/04

OVERVIEW As of February 29, 2004

NKX

Pie Chart: CREDIT QUALITY Insured A (uninsured) BBB (uninsured)	82% 5% 13%	
PORTFOLIO SNAPSHOT		
Share Price		\$15.04
Common Share Net Asset Valu	1e	\$15.13
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-0.59%
Market Yield		6.02%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1		9.19%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)		\$88,974
Average Effective Maturity		21.81
Leverage-Adjusted Duration		10.39
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN	V (Inception 11/2	1/02) ON NAV
1-Year	9.62%	11.12%
Since Inception	6.07%	10.47%
TOP FIVE SECTORS (as a % of	total investmen	ıts)
Tax Obligation/General		32%
Tax Obligation/Limited		30%
Healthcare		12%
Transportation		9%
Water and Sewer		8%
Bar Chart: 2003-2004 MONTHLY TAX-FREE Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep	DIVIDENDS PER SH 0.0755 0.0755 0.0755 0.0755 0.0755 0.0755	IARE

```
0.0755
Oct
Nov
                            0.0755
Dec
                           0.0755
Jan
                           0.0755
                           0.0755
Feb
Line Chart:
SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE
Weekly Closing Price
Past performance is not predictive of future results.
3/1/03
                           14.7
                           14.76
                           14.85
                           14.82
                           14.78
                           15.15
                           15.45
                           15.95
                           15.19
                           15.02
                           15.5
                           15.3
                           15.06
                           14.2
                           14.45
                           14.08
                           13.85
                           13.56
                           13.64
                           14.2
                           14.15
                           14.02
                           14.64
                           14.35
                           14.37
                           14.26
                           14.55
                           14.66
                           14.42
                           14.56
                            14.48
                           14.1
                           14.07
                           14
                           14.07
                           14.55
                           14.74
                           15
                           14.89
                           14.97
                           14.8
                           14.94
2/29/04
                           15.04
```

¹ Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%. For investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.

13

Shareholder

Total

MEETING REPORT

The Shareholder Meeting was held in Chicago, Illinois, on November 17, 2003.

	4	IPC	NC	L
APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEM	BERS WAS REACHED AS F	OLLOWS:		
	shares voting	MuniPreferred shares voting together as a class	Common and MuniPreferred shares voting together as a class	
William E. Bennett			=========	
For Withhold	5,822,643 72,948		11,840,525 92,030	
Total	5,895,591		11,932,555	
Robert P. Bremner For Withhold	5,827,310 68,281	 	11,842,695 89,860	
Total	5,895,591		11,932,555	
Lawrence H. Brown For Withhold	5,825,593 69,998		11,842,895 89,660	
Total	5,895,591		11,932,555	
Jack B. Evans For Withhold	5,827,093 68,498	 	11,841,295 91,260	
Total	5,895,591		11,932,555	
Anne E. Impellizzeri For Withhold Total	5,826,410 69,181 5,895,591	 	11,841,995 90,560 11,932,555	
======================================				
For Withhold	5,827,410 68,181		11,841,995 90,560	
Total	5,895,591		11,302,000	
Thomas E. Leafstrand For Withhold	5,826,193 69,398	 	11,842,595 89,960	
Tot 2]	5 005 501		11 022 555	

5,895,591

11,932,555

Peter R. Sawers		 	========
For Withhold	5,825,193 70,398	 11,842,595 89,960	
Total	5,895,591	 11,932,555	

14

	И	IPC	No	CL
APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEMBER WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS: (CON	NTINUED) Common and	MuniPreferred	Common and MuniPreferred	MuniPreferred
	shares voting together	shares voting together as a class	shares voting together	shares voting together
William J. Schneider	·		·	
For Withhold		1,711 4		3,331 7
Total		1,715		3,338
Timothy R. Schwertfeger				
For Withhold		1,711 4		3,331 7
Total		1,715		3,338
Judith M. Stockdale	:=======			========
For Withhold	5,823,310 72,281		11,841,695 90,860	
Total	5,895,591		11,932,555	
Sheila W. Wellington	=======================================	========	=========	=======
For Withhold	5,823,410 72,181		11,840,995 91,560	
Total	5,895,591		11,932,555	

15

Shareholder

MEETING REPORT (continued)

NAC NVX

APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS.

	Common and		Common and	
		MuniPreferred		MuniPreferred
		shares voting		
	together		together	together
	as a class	as a class		as a class
=======================================		as a class		
William E. Bennett				
For	22,471,003		14,392,024	
Withhold	146,371		87,046	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
Robert P. Bremner				
For	22,475,129		14,399,117	
Withhold	142,245		79,953	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
Lawrence H. Brown		:=======		
For	22,475,937		14,397,091	
Withhold	141,437		81 , 979	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
Jack B. Evans		:=======		
For	22,459,337		14,394,917	
Withhold	158,037		84,153	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
Anne E. Impellizzeri		=====		====
For	22,475,379		14,397,412	
Withhold	141,995		81 , 658	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
William L. Kissick				
For	22,474,079		14,320,231	
Withhold	143,295		158 , 839	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
Thomas E. Leafstrand		:==:		= = -
For	22,473,379		14,398,096	
Withhold	143 , 995		80 , 974	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	
Peter R. Sawers				
For	22,456,079		14,393,896	
Withhold	161 , 295	 	85 , 174	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	

	NA	7C	N	IVX
APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEMBI WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS: (Co	ONTINUED) Common and MuniPreferred	MuniPreferred shares voting together as a class	Common and MuniPreferred shares voting together as a class	MuniPreferred shares voting together as a class
William J. Schneider For Withhold	 	6,435 77	 	4 , 038
Total		6,512		4,046
Timothy R. Schwertfeger For Withhold		6,435 77		4,017
Total		6,512 		4,046
Judith M. Stockdale For Withhold	22,462,479 154,895	 	14,378,621 100,449	
Total	22,617,374 =========		14,479,070 ========	
Sheila W. Wellington For Withhold	22,456,729 160,645	 	14,372,916 106,154	
Total	22,617,374		14,479,070	

17

Shareholder

MEETING REPORT (continued)

	N	IKL
APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:	Common and MuniPreferred shares voting together as a class	
William E. Bennett For Withhold	14,978,316 96,605	

Total	15,074,921	
Robert P. Bremner		
For	14,979,491	
Withhold	95,430	
Total	15,074,921	
Lawrence H. Brown		
For	14,978,866	
Withhold	96,055	
Total	15,074,921	
Jack B. Evans		
For	14,981,166	
Withhold	93,755	
Total	15,074,921	
Anne E. Impellizzeri		========
For	14,979,866	
Withhold	95,055	
Total	15,074,921	
William L. Kissick		
For	14,978,533	
Withhold	96,388	
Total	15,074,921	
Thomas E. Leafstrand		========
For	14,979,533	
Withhold	95,388	
Total	15,074,921	
Peter R. Sawers		
For	14,979,866	
Withhold	95,055	
Total	15,074,921	

shares voting sha together	uniPreferred hares voting together as a class

William J. Schneider For		4,546
Withhold		30
Total		4,576
Timothy R. Schwertfeger		
For		4,546
Withhold		30
Total		4,576
Judith M. Stockdale		
For	14,979,866	
Withhold	95,055	
Total	15,074,921	
Sheila W. Wellington		
For	14,965,358	
Withhold	109,563	
Total	15,074,921	

19

Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 1998A,

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPC)
Portfolio of

INVESTMENTS February 29, 2004 (Unaudited)

 NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION(1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 11.2%	
\$ 2,000	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Santa Clara University, Series 1996, 5.750%, 9/01/26 - MBIA Insured	9/06 at 102
5,000	California Educational Facilities Authority, Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Cal Loan Program, Series 2001A, 5.400%, 3/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	3/08 at 102
2,000	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multiple Purpose Projects, Series 20020, 5.125%, 9/01/31 - FGIC Insured	9/10 at 101
2,350	University of California, Multiple Purpose Projects, Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 5/15/33 - AMBAC Insured	5/13 at 100
 	HEALTHCARE - 4.5%	
3,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured	8/08 at 101

5.375%, 8/15/30 - MBIA Insured

1,500	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Members of the Sutter Health Obligated Group, 5.500%, 8/15/19 - FSA Insured	8/09 at	101
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 1.9%		
1,000	ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, California, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Civic Center Drive Apartments Project, Series 1999A, 5.800%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FSA Insured	9/09 at	100
980	Los Angeles, California, FHA-Insured Section 8 Revenue Refunding Mortgage Loan Bonds, Series 1993A, 6.300%, 1/01/25 - MBIA Insured	7/04 at	100
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.5%		
545	California Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Bonds II, Series 1997A-1, 6.000%, 8/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	2/07 at	102
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 35.0%		
7,995 2,000	State of California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds: 5.750%, 3/01/22 - MBIA Insured 5.750%, 3/01/27 - MBIA Insured	3/10 at 3/10 at	
2,000	California, General Obligation Veterans Welfare Bonds, Series 2001BZ, 5.375%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	6/07 at	101
3 , 570	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/01/17 - AMBAC Insured	2/14 at	100
1,225	Fresno Unified School District, Fresno County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 6.550%, 8/01/20 - MBIA Insured	2/13 at	103
2,500	Fresno Unified School District, Fresno County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2001, Series 2001A, 5.125%, 8/01/26 - FSA Insured	8/09 at	102
2,000	Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1997A, 5.000%, 7/01/21 - FGIC Insured	7/08 at	102
3,000	Pomona Unified School District, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.500%, 8/01/19 - MBIA Insured	8/11 at	103
	San Diego Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1998, Series 2001C:		

1,335 5.000%, 7/01/21 - FSA Insured 3,500 5.000%, 7/01/22 - FSA Insured 4,895 5.000%, 7/01/23 - FSA Insured

	20	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 14.6%	
\$ 2,000	California State Public Works Board, Department of Health Services Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 11/01/24 - MBIA Insured	11/09 at 101
1,900	Corona-Norco Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 98-1, Series 2002, 5.100%, 9/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	9/12 at 100
5,000	El Monte, California, Senior Lien Certificates of Participation, Department of Public Services Facility - Phase II, Series 2001, 5.250%, 1/01/34 - AMBAC Insured	1/11 at 100
3,000	Santa Clara County Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, VMC Facility Replacement Project, Series 1994A, 5.000%, 11/15/22 - AMBAC Insured	11/07 at 102
2,805	Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 10/01/31 - MBIA Insured	10/11 at 100
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 31.3%	
6,000	Huntington Park Redevelopment Agency, California, Single Family Residential Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1986A, 8.000%, 12/01/19	No Opt. C
5,135	Palmdale Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Single Family Restructured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1986A, 8.000%, 3/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C
6,220	Riverside County, California, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program, Series 1987A, 9.000%, 5/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C
1,485	San Jose, California, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1985A, 9.500%, 10/01/13	No Opt. C
4,800	University of California, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Davis Medical Center, Series 1996, 5.750%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded	7/06 at 101

7/11 at 102 7/11 at 102 7/11 at 102

to 7/01/06) - AMBAC Insured

UTILITIES - 16.3%	
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Southern California Edison Company, Series 1992B, 6.400%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	6/04 at 101
Chula Vista, California, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Series 1992A, 6.400%, 12/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/04 at 101
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003S, 5.000%, 11/15/13 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. (
Turlock Irrigation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 1/01/33 - MBIA Insured	1/13 at 100
WATER AND SEWER - 25.5%	
Los Angeles, California, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 1993D, 4.700%, 11/01/19 - FGIC Insured	5/04 at 102
Orange County Sanitation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/33 - FGIC Insured	8/13 at 100
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, California, Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A, 5.250%, 5/15/22 - FGIC Insured	5/07 at 101
Santa Clara Valley Water District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004A:	
5.000%, 2/01/19 - FGIC Insured	2/14 at 100
5.000%, 2/01/20 - FGIC Insured	2/14 at 100
Santa Clara Valley Water District, California, Water Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.125%, 6/01/31 - FGIC Insured	6/10 at 100
Santa Fe Springs Public Financing Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A:	
5.000%, 5/01/28 - MBIA Insured	5/13 at 100
5.000%, 5/01/33 - MBIA Insured	5/13 at 100
	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Southern California Edison Company, Series 1992B, 6.400%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured Chula Vista, California, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Series 1992A, 6.400%, 12/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003S, 5.000%, 11/15/13 - MBIA Insured Turlock Irrigation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 1/01/33 - MBIA Insured WATER AND SEWER - 25.5% Los Angeles, California, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 1993D, 4.700%, 11/01/19 - FGIC Insured Orange County Sanitation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/33 - FGIC Insured San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, California, Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A, 5.250%, 5/15/22 - FGIC Insured Santa Clara Valley Water District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004A: 5.000%, 2/01/19 - FGIC Insured Santa Clara Valley Water District, California, Water Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.125%, 6/01/31 - FGIC Insured Santa Fe Springs Public Financing Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A:

21

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPC) (
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS February 29, 2004 (Unaudited)

	PRINCIPAL		OPTIONAL C
AMO	UNT (000)	DESCRIPTION(1)	PROVISIO
		WATER AND SEWER (CONTINUED)	
\$	1,345	West Basin Municipal Water District, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/20 - MBIA Insured	8/13 at 100
	5,000	Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District, Kern County, California, Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996, 5.700%, 11/01/15 - AMBAC Insured	11/06 at 102
\$	•	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$135,489,010) - 140.8%	
====	======	Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.1%	
		Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (41.9)%	
		Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%	

All of the bonds in the portfolio are either covered by Original Issue Insurance, Secondary Market Insurance or Portfolio Insurance, or are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, any of which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares.
- * Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
- ** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

22

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (NCL) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS February 29, 2004 (Unaudited)

INCIPAL IT (000)	DESCRIPTION(1)			AL C ISIO
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 16.9%			
	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Santa Clara University, Series 1996:			
\$ 2,400	5.750%, 9/01/21 - MBIA Insured	9/06	at	102
3,000	5.750%, 9/01/26 - MBIA Insured	9/06	at	102

2,000	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.875%, 11/01/20 - MBIA Insured	11/10 at 100
5,000	California Educational Facilities Authority, Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Cal Loan Program, Series 2001A, 5.400%, 3/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	3/08 at 102
1 , 295	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, Asian Art Museum of San Francisco Project, Series 2000: 5.500%, 6/01/19 - MBIA Insured	6/10 at 101
1,000	5.500%, 6/01/20 - MBIA Insured	6/10 at 101
5 , 380	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 11/01/16 - FSA Insured	5/14 at 100
	University of California, Multiple Purpose Projects,	
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A:	
	5.000%, 5/15/27 - AMBAC Insured	5/13 at 100
4,750	5.000%, 5/15/33 - AMBAC Insured	5/13 at 100
	HEALTHCARE 5 10	
	HEALTHCARE - 5.1%	
1,450	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Health Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Mark Twain St. Joseph's Healthcare Corporation, Series 1996A, 6.000%, 7/01/19 - MBIA Insured	7/06 at 102
5,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Health Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 1996A, 6.000%, 7/01/25 - MBIA Insured	7/06 at 102
2,500	Oakland, California, Insured Revenue Bonds, 1800 Harrison Foundation - Kaiser Permanente, Series 1999A, 6.000%, 1/01/29 - AMBAC Insured	1/10 at 100
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 4.6%	
	ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, California, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Civic Center Drive Apartments	
	Project, Series 1999A:	
4,000 1,370	5.800%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FSA Insured 5.875%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FSA Insured	9/09 at 100 9/09 at 100
3,110	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, FNMACollateralized Multifamily Housing Revenue Refunding Bonds, Angelus Plaza Section 8 Project, Series 1995A, 7.400%, 6/15/10	6/05 at 105
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.8%	
1,505	California Housing Finance Agency, Single Family	8/07 at 101
1,505	Mortgage Bonds II, Series 1997C-2, 5.625%, 8/01/20	5,01 at 101

(Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured

	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 27.7%			
1,460	ABC Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1997, Series 2000B, 5.750%, 8/01/16 - FGIC Insured	8/10	at	101
2,770	Anaheim Union High School District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - MBIA Insured	8/13	at	100
485	California State, General Obligation Veterans Welfare Bonds, Series 1997BH, 5.500%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FSA Insured	6/04	at	102
	State of California, Various Purpose General			
	Obligation Bonds:			
7 , 995	5.750%, 3/01/22 - MBIA Insured	3/10	at	101
2,500	5.500%, 9/01/24 - FSA Insured	9/09	at	101
2,000	5.750%, 3/01/27 - MBIA Insured	3/10	at	101
8,900	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/31 - MBIA Insured	2/13	at	100

23

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (NCL) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS February 29, 2004 (Unaudited)

CIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION(1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)	
\$ 3,000	California, General Obligation Veterans Welfare Bonds, Series 2001BZ, 5.375%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	6/07 at 101
2,500	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/01/18 - AMBAC Insured	2/14 at 100
2,575	Calipatria Unified School District, Imperial County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1996A, 5.625%, 8/01/13 - AMBAC Insured	8/06 at 102
	Campbell Union High School District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003:	
2 520	5.000%, 8/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/11 at 102
4,000	5.000%, 8/01/32 - FGIC Insured	8/11 at 102
1,065	Fresno Unified School District, Fresno County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1995, Series 2001F: 5.125%, 8/01/21 - FSA Insured	8/09 at 102
1,160 1,220	·	8/09 at 102 8/09 at 102
1, 440	J.125%, 0/01/25 - FSA INSULEU	0/09 at 102

1,500	Hacienda La Puente Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2000, Series 2000A, 5.250%, 8/01/25 - MBIA Insured	8/10 at 101
1,750	Lake Tahoe Unified School District, El Dorado County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1999, Series A, 5.250%, 8/01/24 - FGIC Insured	8/09 at 100
1,270	Merced City School District, Merced County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 8/01/22 (WI, settling 3/04/04) - FGIC Insured	8/13 at 100
1,125	San Diego Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1998, Series 1999A, 0.000%, 7/01/21 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
2,000	San Francisco Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 6/15/26 - FGIC Insured	6/10 at 102
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 46.6%	
	Anaheim Public Financing Authority, California, Subordinate Lease Revenue Bonds, Anaheim Public Improvements Project, Series 1997C:	
5,130 8,000	0.000%, 9/01/18 - FSA Insured 0.000%, 9/01/21 - FSA Insured	No Opt. C No Opt. C
3,500	California Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 2/01/15 (WI, settling 3/10/04) - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
3,450	California State Public Works Board, Department of Health Services Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 11/01/24 - MBIA Insured	11/09 at 101
5,000	Compton Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Merged Area Redevelopment Projects, Series 1995A, 6.500%, 8/01/13 - FSA Insured	8/05 at 102
4,000	Contra Costa County, California, Refunding Certificates of Participation, Merrithew Memorial Hospital Replacement Project, Series 1997, 5.500%, 11/01/22 - MBIA Insured	11/07 at 102
1,835	Corona-Norco Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 98-1, Series 2002, 5.100%, 9/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	9/12 at 100
6,000	El Monte, California, Senior Lien Certificates of Participation, Department of Public Services Facility - Phase II, Series 2001, 5.000%, 1/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	1/11 at 100
3,000	Galt Schools Joint Powers Authority, Sacramento County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, High School and Elementary School Facilities, Series 1997A, 5.875%, 11/01/24 - MBIA Insured	11/07 at 102

5,000	Kern County Board of Education, California, Refunding Certificates of Participation, Series 1998A, 5.200%, 5/01/28 - MBIA Insured	5/08 at 102
5,000	La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Project Area 1, Series 1998, 5.200%, 9/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	9/07 at 102
6,000	City of Los Angeles, California, Certificates of Participation, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Series 2003AW, 5.000%, 6/01/33 - AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 100
3,865	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, California, Proposition C Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Second Senior Lien, Series 2000A, 5.250%, 7/01/30 - FGIC Insured	7/10 at 101

24

PRINCIPA AMOUNT (000		OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
\$ 1,93	Menifee Union School District, Riverside County, California, Certificates of Participation, School Project, Series 1996, 6.125%, 9/01/24 - FSA Insured	9/06 at 102
2,69	Norwalk Community Facilities Financing Authority, Los Angeles County, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995A, 6.000%, 9/01/15 - FSA Insured	9/05 at 102
2,78	Pittsburg Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Los Medanos Community Development Project, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/12 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
4,14	O Plumas County, California, Certificates of Participation, Capital Improvement Program, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 6/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 101
2,00	Poway Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Paguay Redevelopment Project, Series 2000, 5.750%, 6/15/33 - MBIA Insured	12/10 at 102
5,00	City of San Bernardino, California, San Bernardino Joint Powers Financing Authority, Refunding Certificates of Participation, Police Station, South Valle Refundings and 201 Building Projects, 5.500%, 9/01/20 - MBIA Insured	9/09 at 102
3,50	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, California, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.500%, 7/01/34 - FGIC Insured	7/09 at 101
1 00		

1,930 Santa Margarita/Dana Point Authority, Orange County,

California, Revenue Bonds Refinancing, Improvement

No Opt. C

Districts 1, 2, 2A and 8, Series 1994A, 7.250%, 8/01/05 - MBIA Insured

3,000 South Orange County Public Financing Authority, California,
Special Tax R

No Opt. C