

BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA /
Form 424B5
December 04, 2013

The information in this Preliminary Pricing Supplement is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these Notes until the Pricing Supplement is delivered in final form. We are not selling these Notes, nor are we soliciting offers to buy these Notes, in any State where such offer or sale is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY PRICING SUPPLEMENT Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(5)

Subject to Completion: **Registration No. 333-185049**

Dated December 4, 2013

Pricing Supplement dated 1, 2013 to the

Prospectus dated August 1, 2013

Prospectus Supplement dated August 8, 2013 and Product Prospectus Supplement (Rate Linked Notes, Series A) dated August 8, 2013

The Bank of Nova Scotia

\$

Callable Step-Up Rate Notes, Series A

Due 1

- | | |
|---|--|
| · 100% repayment of principal at maturity, subject to the credit risk of the Bank | · Semi-annual interest payments |
| · Callable by the Bank semi-annually on any Call Payment Date on or after the fifth anniversary of issuance | · Interest Rate that increases over the 15-year stated term of the Notes |

The Callable Step-Up Rate Notes, Series A Due 1 (the "Notes") offered hereunder are unsecured obligations of The Bank of Nova Scotia and are subject to investment risks including possible loss of the Principal Amount invested due to the credit risk of The Bank of Nova Scotia. As used in this pricing supplement, the "Bank," "we," "us" or "our" refers to The Bank of Nova Scotia.

The Notes will not be listed on any securities exchange or automated quotation system.

NEITHER THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC") NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THE NOTES OR PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR THE ADEQUACY OF THIS DOCUMENT, THE ACCOMPANYING

PROSPECTUS, PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT OR PRODUCT PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. THE NOTES ARE NOT INSURED BY THE CANADA DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION PURSUANT TO THE CANADA DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION ACT, THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY OF CANADA, THE UNITED STATES OR ANY OTHER JURISDICTION.

Scotia Capital (USA) Inc., our affiliate, will purchase the Notes from us for distribution to other registered broker-dealers or will offer the Notes directly to investors. Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or any of its affiliates or agents may use the final pricing supplement to which this preliminary pricing supplement relates in market-making transactions in the Notes after their initial sale. Unless we, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or another of its affiliates or agents selling such Notes to you informs you otherwise in the confirmation of sale, the final pricing supplement to which this pricing supplement relates is being used in a market-making transaction. See “Supplemental Plan of Distribution (Conflicts of Interest)” in this pricing supplement and “Supplemental Plan of Distribution” on page PS-32 of the accompanying product prospectus supplement.

Investment in the Notes involves certain risks. You should refer to “Additional Risk Factors” in this pricing supplement and “Additional Risk Factors Specific to the Notes” beginning on page PS-5 of the accompanying product prospectus supplement and “Risk Factors” beginning on page S-2 of the accompanying prospectus supplement.

	Per Note Total
Price to public	100.00% \$
Underwriting commissions ¹	Variable Variable
Proceeds to Bank of Nova Scotia ²	Variable Variable

The difference between the estimated value of your Notes and the original issue price reflects costs that the Bank or its affiliates expect to incur and profits that the Bank or its affiliates expect to realize in connection with hedging activities related to the Notes. These costs and profits will likely reduce the secondary market price, if any secondary market develops, for the Notes. As a result, you may experience an immediate and substantial decline in the market value of your Notes on the Trade Date and you may lose all or a substantial portion of your initial investment. The Bank’s profit in relation to the Notes will vary based on the difference between (i) the amounts received by the Bank in connection with the issuance and the reinvestment return received by the Bank in connection with those funds and (ii) the costs incurred by the Bank in connection with the issuance of the Notes and the hedging transactions. The Bank will also realize a profit that will be based on the (i) cost of creating and maintaining the hedging transactions minus (ii) the payments received on the hedging transactions.

We will deliver the Notes in book-entry form through the facilities of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) on or about December 27, 2013 against payment in immediately available funds.

Scotia Capital (USA) Inc.

¹ Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or one of our affiliates will purchase the Notes at the Principal Amount and as part of the distribution, if the Notes priced today, would pay varying discounts and underwriting commissions of approximately \$20.00 (2.00%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes in connection with the distribution of the Notes. The actual discounts and underwriting commissions that Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or one of our affiliates will pay may be more or less than 2.00% and will depend on market conditions. Certain accounts may pay a purchase price of at least \$970.00 (97.00%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes and third party distributors involved in such transactions may charge a discretionary fee with respect to such sales. In no event will Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or one of our affiliates pay varying discounts and underwriting commissions in excess of \$30.00 (3.00%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes in connection with the distribution of the Notes. Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. may also receive a structuring and development fee of up to \$0.50 (0.05%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes. See “Supplemental

Plan of Distribution (Conflicts of Interest)” in this pricing supplement.

² Excludes potential profits from hedging. For additional considerations relating to hedging activities see “Additional Risk Factors - The Inclusion of Dealer Spread and Projected Profit from Hedging in the Original Issue Price is Likely to Adversely Affect Secondary Market Prices” in this pricing supplement.

Summary

The information in this “Summary” section is qualified by the more detailed information set forth in this pricing supplement, the prospectus, the prospectus supplement and the product prospectus supplement, each filed with the SEC. See “Additional Terms of Your Notes” in this pricing supplement.

Issuer: The Bank of Nova Scotia (the “Issuer” or the “Bank”)
Type of Note: Callable Step-Up Rate Notes, Series A
CUSIP/ISIN: CUSIP 064159CX2 / ISIN US064159CX20
Minimum Investment: \$1,000
Denominations: \$1,000 and integral multiples of \$1,000 in excess thereof
Principal Amount: \$1,000 per Note
Currency: U.S. Dollars
Trade Date: Expected to be December 20, 2013
Pricing Date: Expected to be December 20, 2013
Original Issue Date: Expected to be December 27, 2013 (to be determined on the Trade Date and expected to be the 3rd Business Day after the Trade Date)
Maturity Date: December 27, 2028
Business Day: Any day which is neither a legal holiday nor a day on which banking institutions are authorized or obligated by law, regulation or executive order to close in New York and Toronto.
 With respect to each Interest Payment Date, for each \$1,000 Principal Amount of Notes, the Interest Payment will be calculated as $\$1,000 \times 1/2 \times \text{Interest Rate}$.

Interest Payment: Each Interest Payment is paid semi-annually and is calculated on a 30/360 unadjusted basis; (i) “30/360” means that Interest Payment is calculated on the basis of twelve 30-day months and (ii) “unadjusted” means that an Interest Payment Date may be delayed if it falls on a Saturday, Sunday or other non Business Day. As a result, each Interest Payment period will consist of 180 days (six 30-day months) and Interest Payments will accrue based on 180 days of a 360-day year. See “Payment at Maturity” and “Interest” on page P-5 of this pricing supplement.

Interest Rate:	<u>Period beginning on</u>	<u>Period ending on and</u>	<u>Annual Interest Rate</u>
		<u>excluding</u>	
	December 27, 2013	December 27, 2016	3.50% per annum
	December 27, 2016	December 27, 2019	3.75% per annum
	December 27, 2019	December 27, 2022	4.00% per annum
	December 27, 2022	December 27, 2025	4.50% per annum
	December 27, 2025	December 27, 2028	5.00% per annum

The 27th calendar day of each June and December and commencing on June 27, 2014 and ending on the Maturity Date.

Interest Payment

Dates:

If these days are not Business Days, Interest Payments will actually be paid on the dates determined as described below.

Day Count Fraction: 30/360, unadjusted, following business day convention (all as more fully described below).

First Call Date: December 27, 2018

The Notes are redeemable at our option, in whole, but not in part, on each stated Call Payment Date, from and including the First Call Date, upon notice by us to DTC on or before the corresponding Call Notice Date, at an amount that will equal the Principal Amount of your Notes plus the Interest Payment applicable to such Interest Payment Date. If the Notes are called prior to the Maturity Date, you will be entitled to receive only the Principal Amount of the Notes and any accrued and unpaid Interest Payment in respect of Interest Payment Dates occurring on or before the Call Payment Date. In this case, you will lose the opportunity to continue to be paid Interest Payments in respect of Interest Payment Dates ending after the Call Payment Date.

Call Provision:

Call Notice Date: 10 Business Days prior to the corresponding Call Payment Date.

The 27th calendar day of each June and December, commencing on the First Call Date, for which we have given a call notice for the Notes, on or before the corresponding Call Notice Date.

Call Payment Date:

If these days are not Business Days, Call Payments will be determined according to the following business day convention.

Applicable

Survivor's Option:

See "General Terms of the Notes" in the accompanying product prospectus supplement.

Form of Notes:

Book-entry

Calculation Agent:

Scotia Capital Inc., an affiliate of the Bank

Status:

The Notes will constitute direct, unsubordinated and unsecured obligations of the Bank ranking *pari passu* with all other direct, unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of the Bank from time to time outstanding (except as otherwise prescribed by law). Holders will not have the benefit of any insurance under the provisions of the *Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation Act*, the U.S. *Federal Deposit Insurance Act* or under any other deposit insurance regime. The Bank (or its successor) may redeem the Notes, in whole but not in part, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date fixed for redemption, if it is determined that changes in tax laws or their interpretation will result in the Bank (or its successor) becoming obligated to pay, on the next Interest Payment Date, additional amounts with respect to the Notes. See "Tax Redemption" in this pricing supplement.

Tax Redemption:

Listing:

The Notes will not be listed on any securities exchange or quotation system.

P-3

Use of Proceeds: General corporate purposes
Clearance and Settlement: Depository Trust Company
Terms Incorporated: All of the terms appearing under the caption “General Terms of the Notes” beginning on page PS-10 in the accompanying product prospectus supplement, as modified by this pricing supplement.

P-4

ADDITIONAL TERMS OF YOUR NOTES

You should read this pricing supplement together with the prospectus dated August 1, 2013, as supplemented by the prospectus supplement dated August 8, 2013 and the product prospectus supplement (Rate Linked Notes, Series A) dated August 8, 2013, relating to our Senior Note Program, Series A, of which these Notes are a part. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this pricing supplement will have the meanings given to them in the product prospectus supplement. In the event of any conflict, this pricing supplement will control. ***The Notes may vary from the terms described in the accompanying product prospectus supplement in several important ways. You should read this pricing supplement carefully.***

This pricing supplement, together with the documents listed below, contains the terms of the Notes and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous oral statements as well as any other written materials including preliminary or indicative pricing terms, correspondence, trade ideas, structures for implementation, sample structures, brochures or other educational materials of ours. You should carefully consider, among other things, the matters set forth in “Additional Risk Factors Specific to the Notes” in the accompanying product prospectus supplement, as the Notes involve risks not associated with conventional debt securities. We urge you to consult your investment, legal, tax, accounting and other advisors before you invest in the Notes. You may access these documents on the SEC website at www.sec.gov as follows (or if that address has changed, by reviewing our filings for the relevant date on the SEC website at <http://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar?action=getcompany&CIK=0000009631>):

Prospectus dated August 1, 2013:

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/9631/000089109213006699/e54840_424b3.htm

Prospectus Supplement dated August 8, 2013:

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/9631/000089109213006938/e54968_424b3.htm

Product Prospectus Supplement (Rate Linked Notes, Series A), dated August 8, 2013

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/9631/000089109213006942/e54970_424b5.htm

The Bank of Nova Scotia has filed a registration statement (including a prospectus, a prospectus supplement, and a product prospectus supplement) with the SEC for the offering to which this pricing supplement relates. Before you invest, you should read those documents and the other documents relating to this offering that we have filed with the SEC for more complete information about us and this offering. You may obtain these documents without cost by visiting EDGAR on the SEC Website at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, The Bank of Nova Scotia, any agent or any dealer participating in this offering will arrange to send you the prospectus, the prospectus supplement and the product prospectus supplement if you so request by calling 1-416-866-3672.

PAYMENT AT MATURITY

If the Notes have not been called by us, as described elsewhere in this pricing supplement, we will pay you the principal amount of your Notes on the Maturity Date, plus the final interest payment.

In the event that the stated Maturity Date is not a Business Day, then relevant repayment of principal will be made on the next Business Day (“Following Business Day Convention”).

Interest

We describe payments as being based on a “day count fraction” of “30/360, unadjusted, Following Business Day Convention.”

P-5

This means that the number of days in the Interest Payment period will be based on a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months (“30/360”) and that the number of days in the Interest Payment period will be based on the days on which interest would have been paid if each such day was a Business Day, not on the actual days on which payment is made (“unadjusted”).

If any Interest Payment Date falls on a day that is not a Business Day (including any Interest Payment Date that is also the Maturity Date), the relevant Interest Payment will be made on the next Business Day under the Following Business Day Convention.

EVENTS OF DEFAULT AND ACCELERATION

If the Notes have become immediately due and payable following an Event of Default (as defined in the accompanying prospectus) with respect to the Notes, the Calculation Agent will determine (i) your principal amount and (ii) any accrued but unpaid interest payable based upon the then applicable Interest Rate calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months.

If the Notes have become immediately due and payable following an Event of Default, you will not be entitled to any additional payments with respect to the Notes. For more information, see “Description of the Debt Securities We May Offer—Events of Default” beginning on page 16 of the accompanying prospectus.

TAX REDEMPTION

The Bank (or its successor) may redeem the Notes, in whole but not in part, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date fixed for redemption, upon the giving of a notice as described below, if:

- as a result of any change (including any announced prospective change) in or amendment to the laws (or any regulations or rulings promulgated thereunder) of Canada (or the jurisdiction of organization of the successor to the Bank) or of any political subdivision or taxing authority thereof or therein affecting taxation, or any change in official position regarding the application or interpretation of such laws, regulations or rulings (including a holding by a court of competent jurisdiction), which change or amendment is announced or becomes effective on or after the Pricing Date (or, in the case of a successor to the Bank, after the date of succession), and which in the written opinion to the Bank (or its successor) of legal counsel of recognized standing has resulted or will result (assuming, in the case of any announced prospective change, that such announced change will become effective as of the date specified in such announcement and in the form announced) in the Bank (or its successor) becoming obligated to pay, on the next succeeding date on which interest is due, additional amounts with respect to the Notes; or
- on or after the Pricing Date (or, in the case of a successor to the Bank, after the date of succession), any action has been taken by any taxing authority of, or any decision has been rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction in Canada (or the jurisdiction of organization of the successor to the Bank) or any political subdivision or taxing authority thereof or therein, including any of those actions specified in the paragraph immediately above, whether or not such action was taken or decision was rendered with respect to the Bank (or its successor), or any change, amendment, application or interpretation shall be officially proposed, which, in any such case, in the written opinion to the Bank (or its successor) of legal counsel of recognized standing, will result (assuming, in the case of any announced prospective change, that such change, amendment, application, interpretation or action is applied to the Notes by the taxing authority and that such announced change will become effective as of the date specified in such announcement and in the form announced) in the Bank (or its successor) becoming obligated to pay, on the next succeeding date on which interest is due, additional amounts with respect to the Notes;

and, in any such case, the Bank (or its successor), in its business judgment, determines that such obligation cannot be avoided by the use of reasonable measures available to it (or its successor).

P-6

In the event the Bank elects to redeem the Notes pursuant to the provisions set forth in the preceding paragraph, it shall deliver to the Trustees a certificate, signed by an authorized officer, stating (i) that the Bank is entitled to redeem such Notes pursuant to their terms and (ii) the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed.

Notice of intention to redeem such Notes will be given to holders of the Notes not more than 45 nor less than 30 days prior to the date fixed for redemption and such notice will specify, among other things, the date fixed for redemption and the redemption price.

ADDITIONAL RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Notes involves significant risks. In addition to the following risks included in this pricing supplement, we urge you to read “Additional Risk Factors Specific to the Notes” beginning on page PS-5 of the accompanying product prospectus supplement and “Risk Factors” beginning on page S-2 of the accompanying prospectus supplement and on page 6 of the accompanying prospectus.

You should understand the risks of investing in the Notes and should reach an investment decision only after careful consideration, with your advisers, of the suitability of the Notes in light of your particular financial circumstances and the information set forth in this pricing supplement and the accompanying prospectus, prospectus supplement and product prospectus supplement.

Your Investment is Subject to a Reinvestment Risk in the Event We Elect to Call the Notes.

We have the ability to call the Notes prior to the Maturity Date. In the event we decide to exercise the Call Provision, the amount of interest payable would be less than the amount of interest payable if you held the Notes until the Maturity Date. There is no guarantee that you would be able to reinvest the proceeds from an investment in the Notes at a comparable return for a similar level of risk following our exercise of the Call Provision. We may choose to call the Notes early or choose not to call the Notes early, in our sole discretion. In addition, it is more likely that we will call the Notes prior to maturity if a significant decrease in U.S. interest rates or a significant decrease in the volatility of U.S. interest rates would result in greater interest payments on the Notes than on instruments of comparable maturity, terms and credit worthiness then trading in the market.

Interest Rate Risk.

The Notes are an investment in a fixed interest rate. Fixed interest rate instruments are generally more sensitive to market interest rate changes. The prices of long-term debt obligations generally fluctuate more than prices of short-term debt obligations as interest rates change. Generally, when market interest rates rise, the prices of debt obligations fall, and vice versa. This risk may be particularly acute because market interest rates are currently at historically low levels. Therefore, an increase in market interest rates will adversely affect the value of your Notes.

The Step-Up Feature Presents Different Investment Considerations than Fixed Rate Notes.

You will most likely not earn the highest scheduled interest rates on the Notes if interest rates remain the same or fall during the term of the Notes. This is due, in part, to the fact that we are likely to exercise the Call Provision before the realization of such highest scheduled interest rates. Therefore, when determining whether to invest in the Notes, you should not focus on the highest interest rate, which is only applicable to the last year of the stated term of your Notes, and instead focus on, among other things, the annual applicable interest rate to the First Call Date and the Call Provision.

The Notes are Not Ordinary Debt Securities.

The Notes have certain investment characteristics that differ from traditional fixed income securities. Specifically, the performance of the Notes will not track the same price movements as traditional interest rate products. A person should reach a decision to invest in the Notes after carefully considering, with his or her advisors, the suitability of the Notes in light

P-7

of his or her investment objectives and the information set out in the above terms of the offering. The Issuer does not make any recommendation as to whether the Notes are a suitable investment for any person.

Your Investment is Subject to the Credit Risk of The Bank of Nova Scotia.

The Notes are senior unsecured debt obligations of The Bank of Nova Scotia and are not, either directly or indirectly, an obligation of any third party. As further described in the accompanying prospectus, prospectus supplement and product prospectus supplement, the Notes will rank on par with all of the other unsecured and unsubordinated debt obligations of The Bank of Nova Scotia, except such obligations as may be preferred by operation of law. Any payment to be made on the Notes, including the return of the principal amount at maturity or on the Call Payment Date, as applicable, depends on the ability of The Bank of Nova Scotia to satisfy its obligations as they come due. As a result, the actual and perceived creditworthiness of The Bank of Nova Scotia may affect the market value of the Notes and, in the event The Bank of Nova Scotia were to default on its obligations, you may not receive the amounts owed to you under the terms of the Notes.

The Price at Which the Notes May Be Sold Prior to Maturity will Depend on a Number of Factors and May Be Substantially Less Than the Amount for Which They Were Originally Purchased.

The price at which the Notes may be sold prior to maturity will depend on a number of factors. Some of these factors include, but are not limited to: (i) volatility of the level of interest rates and the market's perception of future volatility of the level of interest rates, (ii) changes in interest rates generally, (iii) any actual or anticipated changes in our credit ratings or credit spreads, and (iv) time remaining to maturity. In particular, because the terms of the Notes permit us to redeem the Notes prior to maturity, the price of the Notes may be impacted by the call feature of the Notes. Additionally, the interest rates of the Notes reflect not only our credit spread generally but also the call feature of the Notes and thus may not reflect the rate at which a note without a call feature and increasing interest rate might be issued and sold.

Depending on the actual or anticipated level of interest rates, the market value of the Notes may decrease and you may receive substantially less than 100% of the issue price if you sell your Notes prior to maturity.

The Inclusion of Dealer Spread and Projected Profit from Hedging in the Original Issue Price is Likely to Adversely Affect Secondary Market Prices.

Assuming no change in market conditions or any other relevant factors, the price, if any, at which Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or any other party is willing to purchase the Notes at any time in secondary market transactions will likely be significantly lower than the original issue price, since secondary market prices are likely to exclude underwriting commissions paid with respect to the Notes and the cost of hedging our obligations under the Notes that are included in the original issue price. The cost of hedging includes the projected profit that we and/or our subsidiaries may realize in consideration for assuming the risks inherent in managing the hedging transactions. These secondary market prices are also likely to be reduced by the costs of unwinding the related hedging transactions. In addition, any secondary market prices may differ from values determined by pricing models used by Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. as a result of dealer discounts, mark-ups or other transaction costs.

The Notes Lack Liquidity.

The Notes will not be listed on any securities exchange or automated quotation system. Therefore, there may be little or no secondary market for the Notes. Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or any other dealer may, but is not obligated to, make a market in the Notes. Even if there is a secondary market, it may not provide enough liquidity to allow you to trade or sell the Notes easily. Because we do not expect that other broker-dealers will participate significantly in the

secondary market for the Notes, the price at which you may be able to trade your Notes is likely to depend on the price, if any, at which Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. is willing to purchase the Notes from you. If at any time Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or any other dealer were not to make a market in the Notes, it is likely that there would be no secondary market for the Notes. Accordingly, you should be willing to hold your Notes to maturity.

P-8

SUPPLEMENTAL PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION (CONFLICTS OF INTEREST)

Pursuant to the terms of a distribution agreement, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc., an affiliate of The Bank of Nova Scotia, will purchase the Notes from The Bank of Nova Scotia for distribution to other registered broker-dealers or will offer the Notes directly to investors.

Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or one of our affiliates will purchase the Notes at the Principal Amount and as part of the distribution, if the Notes priced today, would pay varying discounts and underwriting commissions of approximately \$20.00 (2.00%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes in connection with the distribution of the Notes. The actual discounts and underwriting commissions that Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or one of our affiliates will pay may be more or less than 2.00% and will depend on market conditions. Certain accounts may pay a purchase price of at least \$970.00 (97.00%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes and third party distributors involved in such transactions may charge a discretionary fee with respect to such sales. In no event will Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or one of our affiliates pay varying discounts and underwriting commissions in excess of \$30.00 (3.00%) per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes in connection with the distribution of the Notes. Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. may also receive a structuring and development fee of up to \$0.50 (0.05%) per \$1,000 Principal Amount of the Notes.

In addition, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. or another of its affiliates or agents may use the product prospectus supplement to which this pricing supplement relates in market-making transactions after the initial sale of the Notes. While Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. may make markets in the Notes, it is under no obligation to do so and may discontinue any market-making activities at any time without notice. See the sections titled "Supplemental Plan of Distribution" in the accompanying prospectus supplement and product prospectus supplement.

The price at which you purchase the Notes includes costs that the Bank or its affiliates expect to incur and profits that the Bank or its affiliates expect to realize in connection with hedging activities related to the Notes, as set forth above. These costs and profits will likely reduce the secondary market price, if any secondary market develops, for the Notes. As a result, you may experience an immediate and substantial decline in the market value of your Notes on the Issue Date.

We expect that delivery of the Notes will be made against payment therefor on or about the third Business Day following the date of pricing of the Notes (this settlement cycle being referred to as "T+3"). Under Rule 15c6-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, trades in the secondary market generally are required to settle in three business days, unless the parties to any such trade expressly agree otherwise.

Conflicts of Interest

Each of Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. and Scotia Capital Inc. is an affiliate of the Bank and, as such, has a "conflict of interest" in this offering within the meaning of FINRA Rule 5121. In addition, the Bank will receive the gross proceeds from the initial public offering of the Notes, thus creating an additional conflict of interest within the meaning of Rule 5121. Consequently, the offering is being conducted in compliance with the provisions of Rule 5121. Neither Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. nor Scotia Capital Inc. is permitted to sell the Notes in this offering to an account over which it exercises discretionary authority without the prior specific written approval of the account holder.

Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. and its affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, investment research, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. and its affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various financial advisory and investment banking services for the Bank, for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses.

In the ordinary course of their various business activities, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. and its affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (including bank loans) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers, and such investment and securities activities may involve securities and/or instruments of the Bank. Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. and its affiliates may also make investment recommendations and/or publish or express independent research views in respect of such securities or instruments and may at any time hold, or recommend to clients that they acquire, long and/or short positions in such securities and instruments.

P-9

CERTAIN CANADIAN INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

See “Certain Income Tax Consequences—Certain Canadian Income Tax Considerations” at page S-24 of the Prospectus Supplement dated August 8, 2013.

CERTAIN U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

We intend to treat all of the stated interest on the Notes as qualified stated interest for purposes of applying the original issue discount rules as a result our ability to call the Notes prior to any scheduled interest rate increases. If we do not call the Notes prior to an interest rate increase, the Notes will be considered to be reissued on the interest rate increase date at their then adjusted issue price solely for purposes of applying the original issue discount rules to the Notes.

You should carefully consider the discussion set forth in “Supplemental Discussion of U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences” in the accompanying product prospectus supplement. In particular, U.S. holders should review the discussion under “—Fixed Rate Notes, Floating Rate Notes, Inverse Floating Rate Notes, Step Up Notes, Leveraged Notes, Range Accrual Notes, Dual Range Accrual Notes and Non-Inversion Range Accrual Notes” and “—Sale, Redemption or Maturity of Notes that Are Not Treated as Contingent Payment Debt Instruments” under “Supplemental Discussion of U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences—Supplemental U.S. Tax Considerations—U.S. Holders—Where the term of your Notes exceeds one year” in the product prospectus supplement and non-U.S. holders should review the discussion set forth in “Supplemental Discussion of U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences—Supplemental U.S. Tax Considerations—Non-U.S. Holders” in the product prospectus supplement. U.S. holders should also review the discussion under “—Treasury Regulations Requiring Disclosure of Reportable Transactions”, “—Information With Respect to Foreign Financial Assets” and “—Backup Withholding and Information Reporting” under “United States Taxation” in the prospectus.

Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act. Sections 1471 through 1474 of the Internal Revenue Code (which are commonly referred to as “FATCA”) generally impose a 30% withholding tax on certain payments, including “pass-thru” payments to certain persons if the payments are attributable to assets that give rise to U.S.-source income or gain. Pursuant to recently issued final Treasury regulations and administrative guidance, this withholding tax would not be imposed on payments pursuant to obligations that are outstanding on July 1, 2014 (and are not materially modified after June 30, 2014). Accordingly, FATCA withholding generally is not expected to be required on the Notes. If, however, withholding is required as a result of future guidance, we (and any paying agent) will not be required to pay additional amounts with respect to the amounts so withheld.

Significant aspects of the application of FATCA are not currently clear and Investors should consult their own advisors about the application of FATCA, in particular if they may be classified as financial institutions under the FATCA rules.

Prospective purchasers of the Notes should consult their tax advisors as to the federal, state, local and other tax consequences to them of acquiring, holding and disposing of the Notes and receiving payments under the Notes.

P-10

	(649,510)	\$32,774	\$(933,914)	\$894,563	Other changes in comprehensive income
(loss):					Changes in unrealized gains on securities classified as available-for-sale arising during the
period	16,893	1,085	86,730	12,512	Income tax expense related to changes in unrealized gains on
					securities classified as available-for-sale arising during the
period	(5,743)	(369)	(29,488)	(4,254)	Comprehensive Income
(Loss)	\$(638,360)	\$33,490	\$(876,672)	\$902,821	

See condensed notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4 of 26

UNICO AMERICAN CORPORATION

AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$(933,914)	\$894,563
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	348,979	422,009
Bond amortization, net	(14,011)	(1,936)
Non-cash stock based compensation	17,328	17,328
Loss on asset impairment	1,287,460	—
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Net receivables and accrued investment income	(753,430)	(645,711)
Reinsurance recoverable	(1,479,971)	(342,252)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(451,269)	(219,626)
Other assets	(371,366)	450,229
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,184,375	301,104
Unearned premium	1,906,565	1,207,766
Advance premium and premium deposits	164,220	(59,829)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(759,047)	146,550
Income taxes current/deferred	(453,102)	(213,873)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	(307,183)	1,956,322
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of fixed maturity investments	(36,247,858)	(18,074,448)
Proceeds from maturity of fixed maturity investments	3,249,000	1,400,000
Net decrease in short-term investments	34,007,837	15,008,059
Additions to property and equipment	(490,196)	(502,067)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities	518,783	(2,168,456)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repurchase of common stock	(117,862)	—
Net Cash Used by Financing Activities	(117,862)	—
Net increase (decrease) in cash	93,738	(212,134)
Cash at beginning of period	309,162	376,388

Edgar Filing: BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA / - Form 424B5

Cash at End of Period	\$402,900	\$164,254
Supplemental cash flow information		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	—	—
Income taxes	\$8,900	\$683,910

See condensed notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

5 of 26

UNICO AMERICAN CORPORATION

AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business

Unico American Corporation is an insurance holding company that underwrites property and casualty insurance through its insurance company subsidiary; provides property, casualty, and health insurance through its agency subsidiaries; and provides insurance premium financing and membership association services through its other subsidiaries. Unico American Corporation is referred to herein as the "Company" or "Unico" and such references include both the corporation and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. Unico was incorporated under the laws of Nevada in 1969.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Unico American Corporation and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 8-03 of Regulation S-X for smaller reporting companies. Accordingly, the financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015. Quarterly financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes in the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of the Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect its reported amounts of assets and liabilities and its disclosure of any contingent assets and liabilities at the date of its financial statements, as well as its reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant assumptions in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements relate to losses and loss adjustment expenses. While every effort is made to ensure the integrity of such estimates, actual results may differ.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company employs a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price). Financial assets and financial liabilities recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value are categorized based on the reliability of inputs to the valuation techniques. (See Note 8.)

The Company has used the following methods and assumptions in estimating its fair value disclosures:

- Fixed maturities:

1. Investment securities, excluding long-term certificates of deposit – Fair values are obtained from a national quotation service.

2. Long-term certificates of deposit – The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for these instruments approximate their fair values.

- Cash and short-term investments – The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate their fair values given the short term nature of these instruments.

- Receivables, net – The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate their fair values given the short-term nature of these instruments.

- Accrued expenses and other liabilities – The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate the fair values given the short-term nature of these instruments.

NOTE 2 – REPURCHASE OF COMMON STOCK – EFFECTS ON STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On December 19, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program to acquire, from time to time, up to an aggregate of 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock. This program has no expiration date and may be terminated by the Board of Directors at any time. As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company had remaining authority under the 2008 program to repurchase up to an aggregate of 210,835 and 222,669 shares of its common stock, respectively. The 2008 program is the only program under which there is authority to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock. The Company repurchased 9,630 shares of stock during the three months ended September 30, 2015, in unsolicited transactions at a cost of \$94,372 of which \$4,733 was allocated to capital and \$89,639 was allocated to retained earnings. The Company repurchased 11,834 shares of stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, in unsolicited transactions at a cost of \$117,862 of which \$5,816 was allocated to capital and \$112,046 was allocated to retained earnings. The Company did not repurchase any stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The Company has or will retire all stock repurchased.

NOTE 3 – EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table represents the reconciliation of the Company's basic earnings (loss) per share and diluted earnings (loss) per share computations reported on the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share				
Net income (loss)	\$(649,510)	\$32,774	\$(933,914)	\$894,563
Weighted average shares outstanding	5,335,319	5,341,147	5,338,619	5,341,147
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.12)	\$0.01	\$(0.17)	\$0.17
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share				
Net income (loss)	\$(649,510)	\$32,774	\$(933,914)	\$894,563
Weighted average shares outstanding	5,335,319	5,341,147	5,338,619	5,341,147
Effect of dilutive securities	—	2,736	—	3,667
Diluted shares outstanding	5,335,319	5,343,883	5,338,619	5,344,814
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.12)	\$0.01	\$(0.17)	\$0.17

Basic earnings per share exclude the impact of common share equivalents and are based upon the weighted average common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share utilize the average market price per share when applying the treasury stock method in determining common share dilution. When outstanding stock options are dilutive, they are treated as common share equivalents for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share and represent the difference between basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding. In loss periods, stock options are excluded from the

calculation of diluted (loss) per share, as the inclusion of stock options would have an anti-dilutive effect. As of September 30, 2015, the Company had 0 and 3,682 common share equivalents that were excluded in the three and nine months diluted (loss) per share calculation, respectively.

NOTE 4 – RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2015-09 “Disclosures About Short-Duration Contracts.” The objective of this ASU is to increase transparency about significant estimates in unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and provide additional information about amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows related to unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. ASU 2015-09 should result in additional disclosures on the notes to the Company’s consolidated financial statements. The disclosure mandated by ASU 2015-09 will become effective for annual and quarterly reporting periods ended on and after December 31, 2016.

NOTE 5 – ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES

The Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries file consolidated federal and state income tax returns. Pursuant to the tax allocation agreement, Crusader Insurance Company (Crusader) and American Acceptance Corporation (AAC) are allocated taxes or tax credits, in the case of losses, at current corporate rates based on their own taxable income or loss. The Company files income tax returns under U.S. federal and various state jurisdictions. The Company is subject to examination by U.S. federal income tax authorities for tax returns filed starting at taxable year 2011 and California state income tax authorities for tax returns filed starting at taxable year 2010. There are no ongoing examinations of income tax returns by federal or state tax authorities.

As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits or liabilities. In addition, the Company had not accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits or liabilities. However, if interest and penalties would need to be accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits or liabilities, such amounts would be recognized as a component of federal income tax expense.

As a California insurance company, Crusader is obligated to pay a premium tax on gross premiums written in all states that Crusader is admitted. Premium taxes are deferred and amortized as the related premiums are earned. The premium tax is in lieu of state franchise taxes and is not included in the provision for state taxes.

NOTE 6 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment consist of the following:

	September 30 2015	December 31 2014
Building, furniture, fixtures, equipment and leasehold improvements located in Calabasas, California	\$7,546,846	\$7,269,449
Furniture, fixtures, equipment and leasehold improvements located in Woodland Hills, California	2,844,550	2,633,536
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,986,945)	(2,637,966)
Land located in Calabasas, California	1,787,485	1,787,485
Computer software under development	172,127	1,457,802
Property and equipment, net	\$9,364,063	\$10,510,306

Depreciation on the Calabasas building is computed using the straight line method over 39 years. Depreciation on furniture, fixtures and equipment in the Calabasas building is computed using the straight line method over 3 to 15 years. Amortization of leasehold improvements in the Calabasas building is being computed using the shorter of the useful life of the leasehold improvements or the remaining years of the lease.

Depreciation on furniture, fixtures and equipment located in Woodland Hills is computed using the straight line method over 3 to 7 years. Amortization of leasehold improvements on property located in Woodland Hills is computed using the shorter of the useful life of the leasehold improvements or the remaining years of the lease.

Depreciation and amortization expense on all property and equipment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, was \$114,634 and \$348,979, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, was \$144,074 and \$422,009, respectively.

The Calabasas building has generated rental revenues in the amount of \$51,552 and \$140,518 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, and \$292,974 and \$756,565 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The Calabasas building has incurred operating expenses, which included depreciation, in the amount of \$180,146 and \$524,351 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, and \$226,794 and \$610,584 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. These amounts are included in other income from insurance company operation and other operating expenses, respectively, in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

On September 7, 2014, a lease from a single tenant occupying approximately 32,403 square feet of the Calabasas building ended, and the tenant has vacated the premises. On October 9, 2015, the Company moved its home office into the vacated space. The Company's month-to-month lease of the home office in Woodland Hills, California, ended effective October 15, 2015.

The total square footage of the Calabasas building is 46,884, including common areas. As of September 30, 2015, 10,292 square feet of the Calabasas building was leased to non-affiliated entities, and 4,189 square feet was vacant and available to be leased to non-affiliated entities.

The Company capitalizes certain computer software costs purchased from outside vendors for internal use. These costs also include configuration and customization activities, coding, testing and installation. Training costs and maintenance are expensed as incurred, while upgrades and enhancements are capitalized if it is probable that such expenditure will result in additional functionality. The capitalized costs will not be depreciated until the software is placed into production.

On October 9, 2015, the Company concluded that a charge for impairment of the Company's capitalized computer software costs, related to a contract entered into on November 1, 2012, was required under GAAP. The capitalized costs which were all incurred through the quarter ended June 30, 2015, included \$1,287,460 of paid and \$223,442 of accrued unpaid invoices from the software vendor, Insurance Systems, Inc. ("ISI"). The impact of this impairment to the Company's consolidated statements of operations is a charge of \$1,287,460 before income taxes in the quarter ended September 30, 2015. The Company does not intend to pay the accrued unpaid invoices which have been reversed from the accrued expenses and other liabilities and capitalized computer software costs as of September 30, 2015. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 855, "Subsequent Events," this impairment is reported as a subsequent event on the Company's consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2015. The decision to impair the asset was based on the Company's beliefs that the ISI software had not achieved and would not be able to achieve the Company's expected implementation targets and that the Company was unable to renegotiate the terms of its agreement with ISI. The fair value of the capitalized costs was deemed to be \$0. The charge is included in other operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

NOTE 7 – SEGMENT REPORTING

ASC Topic 280, "Segment Reporting," establishes standards for the way information about operating segments is reported in financial statements. The Company has identified its insurance company operation as its primary reporting segment. Revenues from this segment comprised 92% and 91% of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 91% and 90% of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The Company's remaining operations constitute a variety of specialty insurance services, each with unique characteristics and individually insignificant to total revenues.

Revenues, income (loss) before income taxes, and assets by segment are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30 2015	2014	September 30 2015	2014
Revenues				
Insurance company operation	\$7,761,310	\$7,033,911	\$22,480,580	\$20,563,416
Other insurance operations	3,191,814	3,006,639	9,715,760	8,997,484
Intersegment eliminations (1)	(2,501,621)	(2,275,767)	(7,598,947)	(6,734,238)
Total other insurance operations	690,193	730,872	2,116,813	2,263,246
Total revenues	\$8,451,503	\$7,764,783	\$24,597,393	\$22,826,662
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes				
Insurance company operation	\$863,488	\$507,163	\$861,422	\$2,854,676
Other insurance operations	(1,831,128)	(449,040)	(2,237,426)	(1,487,895)
Total income (loss) before income taxes	\$(967,640)	\$58,123	\$(1,376,004)	\$1,366,781

	As of	
	September 30	December 31
	2015	2014
Assets		
Insurance company operation	\$ 123,364,104	\$ 123,048,404
Intersegment eliminations (2)	(1,461,734)	(1,657,750)
Total insurance company operation	121,902,370	121,390,654
Other insurance operations	15,632,108	14,624,915
Total assets	\$ 137,534,478	\$ 136,015,569

- (1) Intersegment revenue eliminations reflect commissions paid by Crusader to Unifax Insurance Systems, Inc. (Unifax), a wholly owned subsidiary of Unico.
- (2) Intersegment asset eliminations reflect the elimination of Crusader receivables from Unifax and Unifax payables to Crusader.

NOTE 8 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In determining the fair value of its financial instruments, the Company employs a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price). Financial assets and financial liabilities recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value are categorized based on the reliability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1 – Financial assets and financial liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.

Level 2 – Financial assets and financial liabilities whose values are based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets; or valuation models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability as of the reporting date.

Level 3 – Financial assets and financial liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect the Company’s estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing the financial assets and financial liabilities as of the reporting date.

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs and the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Thus, a Level 3 fair value measurement may include inputs that are observable (Level 1 or Level 2) or unobservable (Level 3). The Company’s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The following table presents information about the Company’s consolidated financial instruments and their estimated fair values, which are measured on a recurring basis, and are allocated among the three levels within the fair value hierarchy as of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014:

<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
----------------	----------------	----------------	--------------

September 30, 2015

Financial instruments:

Fixed maturity securities:

U.S. treasury securities	\$29,163,156	\$—	\$—	\$29,163,156
Certificates of deposit	—	39,095,000	—	39,095,000
Total fixed maturity securities	29,163,156	39,095,000	—	68,258,156
Cash and short-term investments	38,654,471	—	—	38,654,471
Total financial instruments at fair value	\$67,817,627	\$39,095,000	\$—	\$106,912,627

December 31, 2014

Financial instruments:

Fixed maturity securities:

U.S. treasury securities	\$20,069,556	\$—	\$—	\$20,069,556
Certificates of deposit	—	15,089,000	—	15,089,000
Total fixed maturity securities	20,069,556	15,089,000	—	35,158,556
Cash and short-term investments	72,568,570	—	—	72,568,570
Total financial instruments at fair value	\$92,638,126	\$15,089,000	\$—	\$107,727,126

Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs. The Company recognizes transfers between levels at either the actual date of the event or a change in circumstances that caused the transfer. The Company did not have any transfers between Levels 1, 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014.

10 of 26

NOTE 9 – INVESTMENTS

A summary of total investment income is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	<u>September 30</u>		<u>September 30</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Fixed maturities	\$117,904	\$19,796	\$275,069	\$46,428
Short-term investments	10,914	12,561	39,092	47,370
Total investment income	\$128,818	\$32,357	\$314,161	\$93,798

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of investments in fixed maturities by category are as follows:

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	Gross	Gross	Estimated
		Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
		<u>Gains</u>	<u>Losses</u>	<u>Value</u>
September 30, 2015				
Available for sale:				
Fixed maturities:				
Certificates of deposit	\$39,095,000	\$—	\$—	\$39,095,000
U.S. treasury securities	29,070,981	92,496	(321)) 29,163,156
Total fixed maturities	\$68,165,981	\$92,496	\$(321)) \$68,258,156
December 31, 2014				
Available for sale:				
Fixed maturities:				
Certificates of deposit	\$15,089,000	\$—	\$—	\$15,089,000
U.S. treasury securities	20,064,111	14,476	(9,031)) 20,069,556
Total fixed maturities	\$35,153,111	\$14,476	\$(9,031)) \$35,158,556

A summary of the unrealized gains (losses) on investments carried at fair value and the applicable deferred federal income taxes are shown below:

	September 30 2015	December 31 2014
Gross unrealized gains of fixed maturities	\$92,496	\$14,476
Gross unrealized (losses) of fixed maturities	(321)	(9,031)
Net unrealized gains on investments	92,175	5,445

Deferred federal tax expense	(31,339)	(1,851)
Net unrealized gains, net of deferred income taxes	\$60,836	\$3,594

At September 30, 2015, the Company had one U.S. treasury security in an unrealized loss position for a continuous period of more than twelve months. At December 31, 2014, the Company had one U.S. treasury security in an unrealized loss position for a continuous period of less than twelve months and one U.S. treasury security in an unrealized loss position for a continuous period of more than twelve months.

The Company closely monitors its investments. If an unrealized loss is determined to be other-than-temporary, it is written off as a realized loss through the consolidated statements of operations. The Company's methodology of assessing other-than-temporary impairments is based on security-specific analysis as of the balance sheet date and considers various factors including the length of time to maturity and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost, the financial condition and the near-term prospects of the issuer, and whether the debtor is current on its contractually obligated interest and principal payments. The unrealized losses on the U.S. treasury securities in unrealized loss positions as of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were determined to be temporary.

The Company does not have the intent to sell its fixed maturity investments and has the ability to hold its fixed maturity investments to their maturity. It is not likely that the Company would be required to sell any of its fixed maturity investments prior to recovery of its amortized costs. The Company did not sell any fixed maturity investments during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014; therefore, there were no realized investment gains or losses in the corresponding periods. The unrealized gains or losses from fixed maturities are reported as "accumulated other comprehensive income," which is a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of any deferred tax effect.

The Company's investment in certificates of deposit (CDs) included \$38,495,000 and \$14,489,000 of brokered CDs as of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, respectively. Brokered CDs provide the safety and security of a CD combined with the convenience gained by one-stop shopping for rates at various institutions. This allows the Company to spread its investments across multiple institutions so that all of its CD investments are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). Brokered CDs are purchased through UnionBanc Investment Services, LLC, a registered broker-dealer, investment advisor, member of FINRA/SIPC, and a subsidiary of Union Bank, N.A. Brokered CDs are a direct obligation of the issuing depository institution, are bank products of the issuing depository institution, are held in the name of Union Bank as Custodian for the benefit of the Company, and are FDIC insured within permissible limits. As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company's remaining CDs totaling \$600,000 are from four different banks and represent statutory deposits that are assigned to and are held by the California State Treasurer and the Insurance Commissioner of the State of Nevada. These deposits are required for writing certain lines of business in California and for admission in the state of Nevada. All the Company's brokered and non-brokered CDs are within the FDIC insured permissible limits.

Short-term investments have an initial maturity of one year or less and consist of the following:

	September 30 2015	December 31 <u>2014</u>
U.S. treasury bills	\$34,994,208	\$69,968,988
U.S. treasury money market fund	2,255,357	1,450,451
Bank money market accounts	1,000,244	838,207
Bank savings accounts	1,762	1,762
Total short-term investments	\$38,251,571	\$72,259,408

NOTE 10 – CONTINGENCIES

The Company, by virtue of the nature of the business conducted by it, becomes involved in numerous legal proceedings as either plaintiff or defendant. The Company is also required to resort to legal proceedings from time to time in order to enforce collection of premiums, commissions, or fees for the services rendered to customers or to their agents. These routine items of litigation do not materially affect the Company and are handled on a routine basis by the Company through its counsel.

The Company establishes reserves for lawsuits, regulatory actions, and other contingencies for which the Company is able to estimate its potential exposure and believes a loss is probable. For loss contingencies believed to be reasonably possible, the Company discloses the nature of the loss contingency and an estimate of the possible loss, range of loss, or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made.

Likewise, the Company is sometimes named as a cross-defendant in litigation, which is principally directed against an insured who was issued a policy of insurance directly or indirectly through the Company. Incidental actions related to disputes concerning the issuance or non-issuance of individual policies are sometimes brought by customers or others.

These items are also handled on a routine basis by the counsel, and they do not generally affect the operations of the Company. Management is confident that the ultimate outcome of pending litigation should not have an adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position. The Company vigorously defends itself unless a reasonable settlement appears appropriate.

One of the Company's agents, which was appointed in 2008 to assist the Company in implementing its Trucking Program, failed to pay the net premium and policy fees due Unifax, the exclusive general agent for Crusader. The agent was initially late in paying its February 2009 production that was due to Unifax on April 15, 2009. In May 2009, as a result of the agent's failure to timely pay its balance due to Unifax, the Company terminated its agency agreement and assumed ownership and control of that agent's policy expirations written with the Company. The Company subsequently commenced legal proceedings against the agent corporation, its three principals (who personally guaranteed the agent's obligations), and a fourth individual for the recovery of the balance due and any related recovery costs incurred. All related recovery costs have been expensed as incurred. The agent corporation and two of its principals filed bankruptcy. The corporation was adjudicated bankrupt. The Company obtained judgments, non-dischargeable in bankruptcy, for the full amount due from the two principals who filed bankruptcy. The other principal stipulated to a judgment of \$1,200,000, and that person has not filed for bankruptcy. The claim against the fourth individual was resolved. The Company collected \$0 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, and \$0 and \$75,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the agent's balance due to Unifax was \$1,181,272. As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company's bad debt reserve associated with this matter was \$1,181,272, which represents 100% of the balance due to Unifax. Although the receivable is fully reserved for financial reporting purposes at September 30, 2015, the Company continues to pursue collection of the judgments from the three principals.

ITEM 2 – MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

The Company is an insurance holding company that underwrites property and casualty insurance through its insurance company subsidiary; provides property, casualty, health and life insurance through its agency subsidiaries; provides insurance premium financing; and provides membership association services.

Total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2015, were \$8,451,503 compared to \$7,764,783 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$686,720 (9%). Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, were \$24,597,393 compared to \$22,826,662 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$1,770,731 (8%). The Company had net loss of \$649,510 for the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to net income of \$32,774 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, a decrease of \$682,284 (2082%). The Company had net loss of \$933,914 for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to net income of \$894,563 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, a decrease of \$1,828,477 (204%).

This overview discusses some of the relevant factors that management considers in evaluating the Company's performance, prospects and risks. It is not all inclusive and is meant to be read in conjunction with the entirety of the management discussion and analysis, the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and all other items contained within the report on this Form 10-Q.

Revenue and Income Generation

The Company receives its revenues primarily from earned premium derived from the insurance company operation, commission and fee income generated from the insurance agency operation, finance charges and fee income from the premium finance operation, and investment income from cash generated primarily from the insurance company operation. The insurance company operation generated approximately 92% and 91% of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to 91% and 90% of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The Company's remaining operations constitute a variety of specialty insurance services, each with unique characteristics and individually not material to total revenues.

Insurance Company Operation

As of September 30, 2015, Crusader was licensed as an admitted insurance carrier in the states of Arizona, California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington. Since 2004, all of Crusader's business was written in the state of California until June 2014 when Crusader also began writing business in the state of Arizona. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, 99.6% and 99.5% of Crusader's total direct written premium was produced in California compared to 100% and 99.9% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. 99% of

Crusader's business was commercial multi-peril policies for the three months and nine ended September 30, 2015, compared to 98% for the three months and nine ended September 30, 2014, respectively. In October 2015, A.M. Best Company reaffirmed Crusader's financial strength rating of A- (Excellent) and a rating outlook of "stable." In addition, A.M. Best Company assigned Crusader an Issuer Credit Rating of a- (Excellent).

The property and casualty insurance business is cyclical in nature, and the previous years have been characterized as a "soft market." The conditions of a soft market include premium rates that are stable or falling and insurance is readily available. Contrarily, "hard market" conditions occur during periods in which premium rates rise, coverage may be more difficult to find, and there is a potential for insurers' profits to increase. The Company believes that the California property and casualty insurance market has begun to transition but remains soft and intensely competitive.

Written premium is a non-GAAP financial measure which is defined, under statutory accounting principles, as the contractually determined amount charged by the Company to the policyholder for the effective period of the contract based on the expectation of risk, policy benefits, and expenses associated with the coverage provided by the terms of the policies. Written premium is a required statutory measure. Earned premium, the most directly comparable GAAP measure, represents the portion of written premium that is recognized as income in the financial statements for the period presented and earned on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies.

The following is a reconciliation of net written premium (after reinsurance) to net earned premium (after reinsurance):

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net written premium	\$7,768,030	\$7,019,763	\$23,750,128	\$20,827,357
Change in direct unearned premium	(189,902)	(321,066)	(1,906,565)	(1,207,766)
Change in ceded unearned premium	(14,534)	(11,370)	13,030	(59,669)
Net earned premium	\$7,563,594	\$6,687,327	\$21,856,593	\$19,559,922

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, direct written premium (before reinsurance) as reported on Crusader's statutory financial statements was \$9,119,548 compared to \$8,298,140 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$821,408 (10%). For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, direct written premium as reported on Crusader's statutory financial statements was \$27,699,119 compared to \$24,553,297 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$3,145,822 (13%).

The insurance company operation underwriting profitability is defined by pre-tax underwriting profit, which is calculated as net earned premium less losses and loss adjustment expenses and policy acquisition costs.

Crusader's underwriting profit before income taxes is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Increase	Nine Months Ended September 30		Increase (Decrease)
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	
Net written premium	\$7,768,030	\$7,019,763	\$748,267	\$23,750,128	\$20,827,357	\$2,922,771
Change in net unearned premium	(204,436)	(332,436)	128,000	(1,893,535)	(1,267,435)	(626,100)
Net earned premium	7,563,594	6,687,327	876,267	21,856,593	19,559,922	2,296,671
Less:						
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	4,346,517	4,156,480	190,037	13,873,940	10,696,595	3,177,345
Policy acquisition costs	1,659,226	1,511,539	147,687	4,751,939	4,459,339	292,600
Total	6,005,743	5,668,019	337,724	18,625,879	15,155,934	3,469,945
Underwriting profit (before income taxes)	\$1,557,851	\$1,019,308	\$538,543	\$3,230,714	\$4,403,988	\$(1,173,274)

The following table provides an analysis of the losses and loss adjustment expenses:

	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	Increase (Decrease)	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>Increase</u>
Losses and loss adjustment expenses:						
Provision for insured events of current year	\$5,195,943	\$4,686,287	\$509,656	\$16,482,482	\$13,452,523	\$3,029,959
Development of insured events of prior years	(849,426)	(529,807)	(319,619)	(2,608,542)	(2,755,928)	147,386
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$4,346,517	\$4,156,480	\$190,037	\$13,873,940	\$10,696,595	\$3,177,345

Losses and loss adjustment expenses were 57% and 63% of net earned premium for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to 62% and 55% of net earned premium for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The decrease during the three months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to the comparable period of 2014, is due to a decrease in the provision for insured events of the current year and an increase in favorable development of insured events of prior years. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to the comparable period of 2014, is due to an increase in the provision for insured events of the current year and a decrease in favorable development of insured events of prior years.

Other Insurance Operations

The revenues from other insurance operations consist of commissions, fees, investment and other income. Excluding investment and other income, these operations accounted for approximately 8% and 9% of total revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to approximately 9% and 10% of total revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Investments and Liquidity

The Company generated revenues from its total invested assets of \$106,417,552 (at amortized cost) and \$107,420,205 (at amortized cost) as of September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Investment income increased \$96,461 (298%) and \$220,363 (235%) to \$128,818 and \$314,161 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$32,357 and \$93,798 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The increase in investment income was primarily a result of an increase in the Company's annualized yield on average invested assets to 0.5% and 0.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, from 0.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase in the annualized yield on average invested assets is primarily a result of a decrease in short-term investments and an increase in fixed maturity investments that provide a higher yield. Due to the current interest rate and financial market environment, management believes it is prudent to purchase fixed maturity investments with maturities of 5 years or less and with minimal credit risk. As of September 30, 2015, all of the Company's investments are in U.S. treasury securities, FDIC insured certificates of deposit, money market funds and a savings account. The Company's investments in U.S. treasury securities and money market funds are readily marketable. As of September 30, 2015, the weighted average maturity of the Company's investments was approximately 1.5 years.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Crusader generates a significant amount of cash as a result of its holdings of unearned premium reserves, its reserves for loss and loss adjustment expense payments, and its capital and surplus. Crusader's loss and loss adjustment expense payments are the most significant cash flow requirement of the Company. These payments are continually monitored and projected to ensure that the Company has the liquidity to cover these payments without the need to liquidate its investments. Cash and investments (at amortized cost) of the Company at September 30, 2015, were \$106,820,452 compared to \$107,721,681 at December 31, 2014. Crusader's cash and investments were 99% of the total cash and investments (at amortized cost) held by the Company as of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014.

As of September 30, 2015, the Company had invested \$68,165,981 (at amortized cost) or 64% of its total invested assets in fixed maturity obligations, which included \$29,070,981 (43% of fixed maturity investments) in U.S. treasury notes and \$39,095,000 (57% of fixed maturity investments) in long-term certificates of deposit. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had invested \$35,153,111 (at amortized cost) or 33% of its total invested assets in fixed maturity

obligations, which included \$20,064,111 (57% of fixed maturity investments) in U.S. treasury notes and \$15,089,000 (43% of fixed maturity investments) in long-term certificates of deposit. The remaining balance of the Company's investments are in short-term investments that include U.S. treasury bills, a U.S. treasury money market fund, bank money market accounts and a bank savings account which are all highly rated and redeemable within one year.

The Company is required to classify its investment securities into one of three categories: held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading securities. Although all of the Company's investments in fixed maturity securities are classified as available-for-sale, and, while the Company may sell investment securities from time to time in response to economic and market conditions, its investment guidelines place primary emphasis on buying and holding high-quality investments to maturity.

The Company's investment guidelines on equity securities limit investments in equity securities to an aggregate maximum of \$2,000,000. The Company's investment guidelines on fixed maturities limit those investments to high-grade obligations with a maximum term of 8 years. The maximum investment authorized in any one issuer is \$2,000,000. This dollar limitation excludes bond premiums paid in excess of par value and U.S. government or U.S. government guaranteed issues. When the Company invests in fixed maturity municipal securities, preference is given to issues that are pre-refunded and secured by U.S. treasury securities. The short-term investments are either U.S. government obligations, FDIC insured, or are in an institution with a Moody's rating of P2 and/or a Standard & Poor's rating of A1. All of the Company's fixed maturity investment securities are rated, readily marketable, and could be liquidated without any materially adverse financial impact.

On December 19, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program to acquire, from time to time, up to an aggregate of 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock. This program has no expiration date and may be terminated by the Board of Directors at any time. As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company had remaining authority under the 2008 program to repurchase up to an aggregate of 210,835 and 222,669 shares of its common stock, respectively. The 2008 program is the only program under which there is authority to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock. The Company repurchased 9,630 shares of stock during the three months ended September 30, 2015, in unsolicited transactions at a cost of \$94,372 of which \$4,733 was allocated to capital and \$89,639 was allocated to retained earnings. The Company repurchased 11,834 shares of stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, in unsolicited transactions at a cost of \$117,862 of which \$5,816 was allocated to capital and \$112,046 was allocated to retained earnings. The Company did not repurchase any stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The Company has or will retire all stock repurchased.

The Company reported \$307,183 net cash used by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$1,956,322 net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The change in cash flows from operating activities is primarily attributable to the increase in loss and loss adjustment expense payments. Other fluctuations in cash flows from operating activities relate to the timing of the collection and the payment of insurance-related receivables and payables. The variability of the Company's losses and loss adjustment expenses is primarily due to its small population of claims which may result in greater fluctuations in claim frequency and/or severity. Although the consolidated statements of cash flows reflect net cash used by operating activities, the Company does not anticipate future liquidity problems and continues to be well capitalized and adequately reserved.

Although material capital expenditures may also be funded through borrowings, the Company believes that its cash and short-term investments at September 30, 2015, net of statutory deposits of \$700,000, and California insurance company statutory dividend restrictions applicable to Crusader, plus the cash to be generated from operations, should be sufficient to meet its operating requirements during the next 12 months without the necessity of borrowing funds. Since trust receivables were in excess of trust payables, there were no trust restrictions on cash and short-term investments at September 30, 2015.

Results of Operations

All comparisons made in this discussion are comparing the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, unless otherwise indicated.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, total revenues were \$8,451,503 and \$24,597,393, respectively, an increase of \$686,720 (9%) and \$1,770,731 (8%), compared to total revenues of \$7,764,783 and \$22,826,662 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. For the three and nine months

ended September 30, 2015, the Company had a loss before taxes of \$967,640 and \$1,376,004, respectively, a decrease of \$1,025,763 (1765%) and \$2,742,785 (201%), compared to income before taxes of \$58,123 and \$1,366,781 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company had net loss of \$649,510 and \$933,914, respectively, a decrease of \$682,284 (2082%) and \$1,828,477(204%), compared to net income of \$32,774 and \$894,563 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The increase in revenues of \$686,720 (9%) for the three months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to September 30, 2014, was primarily due to an increase in net earned premium of \$876,267 (13%) partially offset by decrease in other income of \$246,375 (78%). The increase in revenues of \$1,770,731 (8%) for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to September 30, 2014, was primarily due to an increase in net earned premium of \$2,296,671 (12%) partially offset by decrease in other income of \$613,886(66%).

A transaction which contributed to the loss before income tax for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, was a \$1,287,460 capitalized computer software costs impairment that was recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2015. In order to enhance service, the Company was customizing and configuring a new policy administration system, under a contract dated November 1, 2012, that was primarily focused on transacting business through the internet, as well as providing more options to make the brokers' and agents' time more efficient. The related capitalized costs which were all incurred through the quarter ended June 30, 2015, included \$1,287,460 of paid and \$223,442 of accrued unpaid invoices from the software vendor, Insurance Systems, Inc. ("ISI"). On October 9, 2015, the Company concluded that a charge for impairment of the Company's capitalized computer software costs was required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The impact of this impairment to the Company's consolidated statements of operations is a charge of \$1,287,460, before income taxes, recognized as a subsequent event in the quarter ended September 30, 2015. The Company does not intend to pay the accrued unpaid invoices which have been reversed from the accrued expenses and other liabilities and capitalized computer software costs as of September 30, 2015. The decision to impair the asset was based on the Company's beliefs that the ISI software had not achieved and would not be able to achieve the Company's expected implementation targets and that the Company was unable to renegotiate the terms of its agreement with ISI. The fair value of the capitalized costs was deemed to be \$0. The charge is included in other operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. The Company believes that it will need to make future cash expenditures to replace its legacy system but it is unable to estimate the amount at this time. While the Company's legacy system continues to support the Company's existing operations, the Company believes it would realize more competitive parity with respect to product and service by switching to a more contemporary platform. Crusader does not intend to substantially increase its number of appointed retail agents until the Company replaces its legacy system.

On October 9, 2015, the Company filed a lawsuit in Los Angeles County against ISI. Causes of action stated in the lawsuit include fraudulent inducement and intentional misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, negligence, violation of business and professional code, breach of implied warranty of merchantability, breach of implied warranty of fitness, breach of contract and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealings. The lawsuit seeks an unspecified amount in monetary damages plus punitive damages.

The decrease in income (loss) before tax of \$1,025,763 (1765%) for the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2014, was due primarily to the capitalized computer software costs impairment partially offset by the increase in revenues of \$686,720 (9%). The decrease in income (loss) before tax of \$2,742,785 (201%) for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2014, was due primarily to an increase in losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$3,177,345 (30%) and the capitalized computer software costs impairment partially offset by the increase in revenues of \$1,770,731 (8%).

Written premium is a required statutory measure. Direct written premium reported on Crusader's statutory financial statements increased \$821,408 (10%) and \$3,145,822 (13%) to \$9,119,548 and \$27,699,119 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$8,298,140 and \$24,553,297 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. These increases in direct written premium during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to the comparable period of 2014, were due primarily to increases in the Company's apartment and commercial trucking programs, which are included in the Company's commercial multi-peril business.

The property casualty insurance marketplace continues to be intensely competitive. While Crusader attempts to meet such competition with competitive prices, its emphasis is on service, promotion, and distribution. Crusader believes that rate adequacy is more important than premium growth and that underwriting profit (net earned premium less losses and loss adjustment expenses and policy acquisition costs) is its primary goal. Nonetheless, Crusader believes that it can grow its sales and profitability by continuing to focus upon four areas of its operations: (1) product development, (2) improved service to retail brokers, (3) appointment of captive and independent retail agents, and (4) geographical expansion.

Earned premium (before reinsurance) increased \$952,570 (12%) to \$8,929,645 and \$2,447,023 (10%) to \$25,792,554 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$7,977,075 and \$23,345,531 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The Company writes annual policies and, therefore, earns written premium ratably over the one-year policy term.

Ceded earned premium increased \$76,303 (6%) to \$1,366,051 and \$150,352 (4%) to \$3,935,961 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$1,289,748 and \$3,785,609 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Ceded earned premium as a percentage of direct earned premium

(before reinsurance) was 15% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, and 16% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

In calendar years 2015 and 2014, Crusader retained a participation in its excess of loss reinsurance treaties of 10% in its 1st layer (\$500,000 in excess of \$500,000), 0% in its 2nd layer (\$2,000,000 in excess of \$1,000,000) and 0% in its property and casualty clash treaty. In calendar years 2015 and 2014, Crusader retained a participation in its catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance treaties of 5% in its 1st layer (\$9,000,000 in excess of \$1,000,000) and 0% in its 2nd layer (\$31,000,000 in excess of \$10,000,000).

Crusader's excess of loss reinsurance treaties provided for a contingent commission for accident years 2006, 2004 and 2003. Crusader's 2006 1st layer primary excess of loss reinsurance treaty provided for a contingent commission equal to 20% of the net profit, if any, accruing to the reinsurer. Crusader's 2004 and 2003 1st layer primary excess of loss reinsurance treaties provided for a contingent commission to the Company equal to 45% of the net profit, if any, accruing to the reinsurer. The contingent commission income recognized was \$0 and \$91,686 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, and \$9,350 and \$32,619 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. As of September 30, 2015, the contingent commission agreements had expired, and all contingent commission income due on these agreements had been recognized. As of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the unearned contingent commission balance included in "Accrued expenses and other liabilities" in the consolidated balance sheets was \$0 and \$77,839, respectively.

17 of 26

The Company evaluates each of its ceded reinsurance contracts at its inception to determine if there is a sufficient risk transfer to allow the contract to be accounted for as reinsurance under current accounting literature. As of September 30, 2015, all such ceded contracts were accounted for as risk transfer reinsurance.

Crusader's direct, ceded and net earned premium are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2015	2014	Increase	2015	2014	Increase
Direct earned premium	\$8,929,645	\$7,977,075	\$952,570	\$25,792,554	\$23,345,531	\$2,447,023
Ceded earned premium	1,366,051	1,289,748	76,303	3,935,961	3,785,609	150,352
Net earned premium	\$7,563,594	\$6,687,327	\$876,267	\$21,856,593	\$19,559,922	\$2,296,671
Ratio of ceded earned premium to direct earned premium	15	% 16	%	15	% 16	%

Investment income increased \$96,461 (298%) to \$128,818 and \$220,363 (235%) to \$314,161 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$32,357 and \$93,798 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The increase in investment income was primarily a result of an increase in the Company's annualized yield on average invested assets to 0.5% and 0.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, from 0.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase in the annualized yield on average invested assets is primarily a result of a decrease in short-term investments and an increase in fixed maturity investments, which provide a higher yield.

Investment income and average annualized yields on the Company's average invested assets are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Average invested assets* - at amortized cost	\$106,283,275	\$107,292,791	\$106,915,036	\$106,586,043
Interest income:				
Insurance company operation	\$128,742	\$32,235	\$313,918	\$93,393
Other insurance operations	76	122	243	405
Total investment income and realized gains	\$128,818	\$32,357	\$314,161	\$93,798

Annualized yield on average invested assets 0.5 % 0.1 % 0.4 % 0.1 %

*The average is based on the beginning and ending balance of the amortized cost of the invested assets for each respective period.

The par value, amortized cost, estimated market value and weighted average yield of fixed maturity investments at September 30, 2015, by contractual maturity are as follows:

Maturities by Calendar Year	Par <u>Value</u>	<u>Amortized</u> <u>Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	Weighted <u>Average</u> <u>Yield</u>	
December 31, 2015	\$6,600,000	\$6,599,584	\$6,601,565	0.3	%
December 31, 2016	15,141,000	15,136,577	15,147,640	0.7	%
December 31, 2017	40,366,000	40,341,624	40,421,076	0.8	%
December 31, 2018	6,088,000	6,088,196	6,087,875	1.3	%
Total	\$68,195,000	\$68,165,981	\$68,258,156	0.8	%

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

The weighted average maturity of the Company's fixed maturity investments was 1.5 years as of September 30, 2015, and 1.8 years as of September 30, 2014. Due to the current interest rate environment, management believes it is prudent to purchase fixed maturity investments with maturities of 5 years or less and with minimal credit risk.

As of September 30, 2015, the Company held six fixed maturity securities with unrealized gains of \$92,496 and one fixed maturity security with an unrealized loss of \$321 for a continuous period of more than 12 months. As of December 31, 2014, the Company held three fixed maturity securities with unrealized gains of \$14,476, one fixed maturity security with an unrealized loss of \$7,238 continuous period of less than 12 months and one fixed maturity security with an unrealized loss of \$1,793 continuous period of more than 12 months.

The Company closely monitors its investments. If an unrealized loss is determined to be other-than-temporary, it is written off as a realized loss through the consolidated statements of operations. The Company's methodology of assessing other-than-temporary impairments is based on security-specific analysis as of the balance sheet date and considers various factors including the length of time to maturity and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost, the financial condition and the near-term prospects of the issuer, and whether the debtor is current on its contractually obligated interest and principal payments. The unrealized losses on the U.S. treasury securities in unrealized loss positions as of September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were determined to be temporary.

The Company does not have the intent to sell its fixed maturity investments and has the ability to hold its fixed maturity investments to their maturity. It is not likely that the Company would be required to sell any of its fixed maturity investments prior to recovery of its amortized costs. The Company did not sell any fixed maturity investments during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014; therefore, there were no realized investment gains or losses in the corresponding periods. The unrealized gains or losses from fixed maturities are reported as "accumulated other comprehensive income," which is a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of any deferred tax effect.

Other income included in "insurance company operation" and "other insurance operations" revenues decreased \$246,375 (78%) to \$68,974 and \$613,886 (66%) to \$312,327 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$315,349 and \$926,213 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The decrease in other income was primarily the result of a \$241,422 and \$616,047 reduction in rental income received by Crusader from the Calabasas property during three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, when compared to comparable 2014 periods, due to a major tenant vacating the building in September 2014. On October 9, 2015, the Company moved its home office into the vacated space.

Gross commissions and fees decreased \$40,940 (6%) to \$672,368 and \$131,334 (6%) to \$2,065,582 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$713,308 and \$2,196,916 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The decreases in gross commission and fee income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2015	2014	Increase (Decrease)	2015	2014	Increase (Decrease)
Policy fee income	\$427,585	\$399,989	\$27,596	\$1,263,923	\$1,206,834	\$57,089
Health insurance program	222,955	266,939	(43,984)	715,999	815,143	(99,144)
Membership and fee income	21,776	24,664	(2,888)	67,346	76,358	(9,012)
Daily automobile rental insurance program:						
Commission income (excluding contingent commission)	52	21,716	(21,664)	1,727	72,617	(70,890)
Contingent commission	—	—	—	16,587	25,964	(9,377)
Total	\$672,368	\$713,308	\$(40,940)	\$2,065,582	\$2,196,916	\$(131,334)

Unifax sells and services insurance policies for Crusader. The commissions paid by Crusader to Unifax are eliminated as intercompany transactions and are not reflected as income in the consolidated financial statements. Unifax also receives non-refundable policy fee income that is directly related to the Crusader policies it sells. For financial statement reporting purposes, policy fees are earned ratably over the life of the related insurance policy. The unearned portion of the policy fee is recorded as a liability on the consolidated balance sheets under “accrued expenses and other liabilities.” The earned portion of the policy fee charged to the policyholder by Unifax is recognized as income in the consolidated financial statements. Policy fee income increased \$27,596 (7%) and \$57,089 (5%) in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The three and nine months increases in policy fee income are due primarily to 3% and 7% increases, respectively, in policies issued in the current amortization period as compared to the prior year amortization period.

American Insurance Brokers, Inc. (AIB), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, markets health insurance in California through non-affiliated insurance companies for individuals and groups. For these services, AIB receives commission based on the premiums that it writes. Commission income decreased \$43,984 (16%) and \$99,144 (12%) in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The decreases in commission income reported in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to the prior year corresponding periods, were a result of decreases in the number of group accounts it underwrites and commission income on individual and group policies due primarily to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

The Company's wholly owned subsidiary Insurance Club, Inc., dba AAQHC An Administrator (AAQHC), is a third party administrator for contracted insurance companies and is a membership association that provides various consumer benefits to its members, including participation in group health care insurance policies that AAQHC negotiates for the association. For these services, AAQHC receives membership and fee income from its members. Membership and fee income decreased \$2,888 (12%) and \$9,012 (12%) in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. These decreases were primarily a result of a decrease in the number of association members enrolled in AAQHC during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the number of association members enrolled during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The daily automobile rental insurance program is produced by Bedford Insurance Services, Inc. (Bedford), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Bedford receives commission from a non-affiliated insurance company based on premium written. Bedford no longer writes business for the non-affiliated insurance company it previously represented as a general agent. Bedford entered into a new Producer Agreement effective June 1, 2013, with a non-affiliated group of insurance companies. Under this agreement, Bedford has the authority to solicit and refer to these companies its daily automobile rental insurance policy submissions. Bedford does not have the authority to bind any risk or commit to any course of action without first requesting prior written permission. For its services, Bedford receives a commission. Commission in the daily automobile rental insurance program (excluding contingent commission) decreased \$21,664 (100%) and \$70,890 (98%) in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The decrease in commission income in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30,

2014, is primarily due to a continued decline in premiums written in this program from the non-affiliated insurance companies that it previously represented as a general agent. The Company no longer actively markets this program.

Finance fees earned consist of late fees, returned check fees and payment processing fees. These fees earned by the Company's wholly owned premium finance subsidiary, AAC, increased \$1,307 (8%) to \$17,749 and decreased \$1,083 (2%) to \$48,730 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$16,442 and in \$49,813 fees earned during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, AAC issued 822 and 2,605 loans, respectively, and had 2,566 loans outstanding as of September 30, 2015. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, AAC issued 836 and 2,525 loans, respectively, and had 2,522 loans outstanding as of September 30, 2014. AAC only provides premium financing for Crusader policies produced by Unifax in California. AAC reduced the interest rate charged on premiums financed to 0% beginning July 20, 2010, and, therefore, did not earn any finance charges during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014. This reduction in the interest rate charged was initiated in an effort to increase the sales of existing renewal and new business written by Unifax for Crusader. Due to the low interest rate environment, the cost of money to provide this incentive is not material. The Company monitors the cost of providing this incentive and depending on the cost/benefit determination, can continue to offer it or withdraw it at any time.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses were 57% and 63% of net earned premium for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to 62% and 55% of net earned premium for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Loss ratio is calculated by dividing losses and loss adjustment expenses by net earned premium. Losses and loss adjustment expenses and loss ratios are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Increase (Decrease)
	2015	2014	
Net earned premium	\$7,563,594	\$6,687,327	\$876,267
Losses and loss adjustment expenses:			
Provision for insured events of current year	5,195,943	4,686,287	509,656
Development of insured events of prior years	(849,426)	(529,807)	(319,619)
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$4,346,517	\$4,156,480	\$190,037
Calendar year loss ratio	57	% 62	%
	Nine Months Ended September 30		Increase
	2015	2014	
Net earned premium	\$21,856,593	\$19,559,922	\$2,296,671
Losses and loss adjustment expenses:			
Provision for insured events of current year	16,482,482	13,452,523	3,029,959
Development of insured events of prior years	(2,608,542)	(2,755,928)	147,386
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$13,873,940	\$10,696,595	\$3,177,345
Calendar year loss ratio	63	% 55	%

The current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, were 69% and 75% of net earned premium, respectively, compared to current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses of 70% and 69% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The Company reported a \$319,619 increase and \$147,386 decrease in the favorable development of losses and loss adjustment expenses on insured events of prior accident years during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. While the Company

continued to have favorable development in 2015, the decrease in the favorable development during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, from the comparable prior year period was primarily due to adverse development in the 2011 accident year.

The variability of the Crusader's losses and loss adjustment expenses for the periods presented is primarily due to the small population of the Crusader's claims, which may result in greater fluctuations in claim frequency and/or severity. In addition, Crusader's reinsurance retention, which is relatively high in relationship to its net earned premium, can result in increased loss ratio volatility when large losses are incurred. Nevertheless, management believes that its reinsurance retentions are reasonable given the amount of Crusader's surplus and its goal to minimize ceded premium.

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements requires estimation of certain liabilities, most significantly the liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. Management makes its best estimate of the liability for these unpaid claims costs as of the end of each fiscal quarter. Due to the inherent uncertainties in estimating the Company's unpaid claims costs, actual loss and loss adjustment expense payments are expected to vary, perhaps significantly, from any estimate made prior to the settling of all claims. Variability is inherent in establishing loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, especially for a small insurer like Crusader. For any given line of insurance, accident year, or other group of claims, there is a continuum of possible loss and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates, each having its own unique degree of propriety or reasonableness. Due to the complexity and nature of the insurance claims process, there are potentially an infinite number of reasonably likely scenarios. The Company does not specifically identify reasonably likely scenarios; rather, management draws on its collective experience to judgmentally determine its best estimate. In addition to applying a variety of standard actuarial methods to the data, an extensive series of diagnostic tests are applied to the resultant loss and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates to determine management's best estimate of the unpaid claims liability. Among the statistics reviewed for each accident year are loss and loss adjustment expense development patterns, frequencies, severities, loss ratios to premium, and loss adjustment expense ratios to loss.

When there is clear evidence that the actual claims costs emerged are different than expected for any prior accident year, the claims cost estimates for that year are revised accordingly. If the claims costs that emerge are less favorable than initially anticipated, generally, the Company increases its loss and loss adjustment expense reserves immediately. However, if the claims costs that emerge are more favorable than initially anticipated, generally, the Company reduces its loss and loss adjustment expense reserves over time while it continues to assess the validity of the observed trends based on the subsequent emerged claim costs.

The establishment of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves is a difficult process as there are many factors that can ultimately affect the final settlement of a claim. Estimates are based on a variety of industry data and on the Company's current and historical accident year claims data, including but not limited to reported claim counts, open claim counts, closed claim counts, closed claim counts with payments, paid losses, paid loss adjustment expenses, case loss reserves, case loss adjustment expense reserves, earned premiums and policy exposures, salvage and subrogation, and unallocated loss adjustment expenses paid. Many other factors, including changes in reinsurance, changes in pricing, changes in policy forms and coverage, changes in underwriting and risk selection, legislative changes, results of litigation and inflation are also taken into account.

At the end of each fiscal quarter, the Company's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for each accident year (i.e., for all claims incurred within each year) are reevaluated independently by the Company's president, the Company's chief financial officer and by an independent consulting actuary. Generally accepted actuarial methods, including the widely used Bornhuetter-Ferguson and loss development methods, are employed to estimate ultimate claims costs. An actuarial central estimate of the ultimate claims costs and IBNR reserves is ultimately determined by management and tested for reasonableness by the independent consulting actuary.

Policy acquisition costs consist of commissions, premium taxes, inspection fees, and certain other underwriting costs that are directly related to and vary with the successful production of Crusader insurance policies. These costs include both Crusader expenses and the allocated expenses of other Unico subsidiaries. Crusader's reinsurers pay Crusader a ceding commission, which is primarily a reimbursement of the acquisition cost related to the ceded premium. No ceding commission is received on facultative or catastrophe ceded premium. Policy acquisition costs, net of ceding commission, are deferred and amortized as the related premiums are earned. The Company annually reevaluates its acquisition costs to determine that costs related to successful policy acquisition are capitalized and deferred. These costs were approximately 22% of net earned premium for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, and 23% of net earned premium for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Policy acquisition costs increased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, due primarily to the increase in net earned premium in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Policy acquisition costs and the ratio to net earned premium are as follows:

Three Months Ended

Nine Months Ended

Edgar Filing: BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA / - Form 424B5

	September 30			September 30		
	2015	2014	Increase	2015	2014	Increase
Policy acquisition costs	\$1,659,226	\$1,511,539	\$147,687	\$4,751,939	\$4,459,339	\$292,600
Ratio to net earned premium (GAAP ratio)	22	% 23	%	22	% 23	%

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$29,332 (2%) to \$1,254,967 and decreased \$109,704 (3%) to \$3,669,080 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to salary and employee benefits of \$1,225,635 and \$3,778,784 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Salaries and employee benefits incurred and charged to operating expenses are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		
	September 30		
			Increase
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>(Decrease)</u>
Total salaries and employee benefits incurred	\$1,917,375	\$1,805,087	\$112,288
Less: charged to losses and loss adjustment expenses	(292,371)	(244,936)	(47,435)
Less: capitalized to policy acquisition costs	(370,037)	(334,516)	(35,521)
Net amount charged to operating expenses	\$1,254,967	\$1,225,635	\$29,332
	Nine Months Ended		
	September 30		
			Increase
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>(Decrease)</u>
Total salaries and employee benefits incurred	\$5,558,520	\$5,453,843	\$104,677
Less: charged to losses and loss adjustment expenses	(796,645)	(667,802)	(128,843)
Less: capitalized to policy acquisition costs	(1,092,795)	(1,007,257)	(85,538)
Net amount charged to operating expenses	\$3,669,080	\$3,778,784	\$(109,704)

Commissions to agents/brokers on the Company's health insurance and daily automobile rental insurance programs decreased \$10,933 (23%) to \$37,601 and \$19,658 (13%) to \$126,945 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$48,534 and \$146,603 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Other operating expenses increased \$1,356,360 (177%) to \$2,120,832 and \$1,172,933 (49%) to \$3,551,493 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$764,472 and \$2,378,560 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The increase in other operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, is due primarily to the \$1,287,460 impairment of capitalized computer software costs that was recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Income tax provision decreased \$343,479 (1355%) to an income tax benefit of \$318,130 (33% of pre-tax income) and decreased \$914,308 (194%) to an income tax benefit of \$442,090 (32% of pre-tax income) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, from an income tax expense of \$25,349 (44% of pre-tax income) and \$472,218 (35% of pre-tax income) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The income tax provision is

primarily related to income before taxes. The calculated tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, consisted of a federal tax benefit rate of 33% and a state income tax expense rate of approximately 1%. The calculated tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, consisted of a federal tax expense rate of 34% and a state income tax expense rate of approximately 1%.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements contained herein, including the sections entitled “Business,” “Legal Proceedings” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” that are not historical facts are forward looking. These statements, which may be identified by forward looking words or phrases such as “anticipate,” “appear,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “should,” and “would” involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the control of the Company. Such risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward looking statements. Factors which could cause actual results to differ materially include: underwriting or marketing actions not being effective; rate increases for coverages not being sufficient; premium rate adequacy relating to competition or regulation; actual versus estimated claim experience; the outcome of rate change filings with regulatory authorities; acceptance by insureds of rate changes; adequacy of rate changes; changes in Crusader’s A.M. Best rating; regulatory changes or developments; the outcome of regulatory proceedings; unforeseen calamities; general market conditions; and the Company’s ability to introduce new profitable products.

ITEM 3 – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company’s consolidated balance sheets include a substantial amount of invested assets whose fair values are subject to various market risk exposures including interest rate risk and equity price risk.

The Company's invested assets consist of the following:

	September 30	December 31	Increase
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>(Decrease)</u>
Fixed maturity bonds (at amortized value)	\$29,070,981	\$20,064,111	\$9,006,870
Short-term cash investments (at cost)	38,251,571	72,259,408	(34,007,837)
Certificates of deposit - over 1 year (at cost)	39,095,000	15,089,000	24,006,000
Total invested assets	\$106,417,552	\$107,412,519	\$(994,967)

There has been no material change in the market risk exposures since the end of the preceding fiscal year end.

ITEM 4 – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was carried out by the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2015, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the period covered by this report, there has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS

The following is a new risk factor in addition to the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, in response to Item 1A to Part I of Form 10-K.

Development or acquisition of new computer software may not be successfully completed or implemented.

The costs associated with the development or acquisition of new computer software may be impaired if not successfully completed or implemented. Such impairment could adversely impact the Company's results of operations.

ITEM 2 – UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to purchases of common stock of the Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2015, by the Company.

<u>Period</u>	Total Number of Shares <u>Purchased</u>	Average Price Paid <u>Per Share</u>	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part Of Publicly Announced Plans Or <u>Programs</u>	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the <u>Plans or Programs</u>
July 1, 2015, to July 31, 2015	—	—	—	220,465
August 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015	3,925	\$9.65	3,925	216,540
September 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015	5,705	\$9.74	5,705	210,835
Total	9,630		9,630	

ITEM 6 – EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The following information from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2015, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) and furnished electronically herewith: (i) the consolidated balance sheets; (ii) the consolidated statements of operations; (iii) the consolidated statements of comprehensive (loss) income; (iv) the consolidated statements of cash flows; and (v) the condensed notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.*

* XBRL information is furnished and deemed not filed as part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNICO AMERICAN CORPORATION

Date: November 6, 2015 By: /s/ CARY L. CHELDIN

Cary L. Cheldin

Chairman of the Board, President and Chief

Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 6, 2015 By: /s/ LESTER A. AARON

Lester A. Aaron

Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer (Principal

Accounting and Principal Financial Officer)

