

DUN & BRADSTREET CORP/NW
Form SC 13G/A
February 13, 2004

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13G
(Rule 13d-102)

Information Statement Pursuant to Rules 13d-1 and 13d-2
Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No. 4)*

DUN & BRADSTREET CORP.

(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value
(Title of Class of Securities)

26483E100
(CUSIP Number)

*The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required on the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

<PAGE>

CUSIP NO. 093671105

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | NAME OF REPORTING PERSON S.S. OR I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NO. OF ABOVE PERSON Harris Associates Investment Trust, 36-4032559 series designated The Oakmark Select Fund | |
| 2. | CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) ___ (b) ___ | |
| 3. | SEC USE ONLY | |
| 4. | CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware | |
| NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH | 5. | SOLE VOTING POWER None |
| | 6. | SHARED VOTING POWER 4,034,900 |
| | 7. | SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER None |
| | 8. | SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 4,034,900 |
| 9. | AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 4,034,900 | |
| 10. | CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* ___ | |
| 11. | PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 5.55% | |
| 12. | TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* IV | |
| | | |

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

<PAGE>

| | |
|---|--|
| Item 1(a) Name of Issuer: | Dun & Bradstreet Corp. |
| 1(b) Address of Issuer's Principal Executive Offices: | 103 JFK Parkway Murray Hill, NJ 07974 |
| Item 2(a) Name of Person Filing: | Harris Associates Investment Trust, series designated The Oakmark Select Fund |
| 2(b) Address of Principal Business Office or, if none, Residence: | Two North LaSalle Street, Suite 500 Chicago, IL 60602-3790 |
| 2(c) Citizenship: | The filing person is a Massachusetts business Trust |
| 2(d) Title of Class of Securities: | Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value (the "Shares") |
| 2(e) CUSIP Number: | 26483E100 |
| Item 3 If this statement is filed pursuant to Rules 13d-1(b), or 13d-2(b): | Not applicable. |

Item 4 Ownership (at December 31, 2003):

4(a) By reason of advisory and other relationships with 4,034,900 shares the person who owns the Shares, Harris may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the following shares:

4(b) Percent of Class: 5.55%

4(c) Number of shares as to which such person has: (i)

sole power to vote or to direct the vote: None

(ii) shared power to vote or to direct the vote: 4,034,900

(iii) sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: None

of:

(iv) shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 4,034,900

of:

Item 5 Ownership of Five Percent or Less of a Class: Not Applicable.

<PAGE>

Item 6 Ownership of More than Five Percent on Behalf of Another Person: Not Applicable.

Item 7 Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary which Acquired the Security Being Reported on by the Parent Holding Company: Not Applicable.

Item 8 Identification and Classification of Members of the Group: Not Applicable.

Item 9 Notice of Dissolution of Group: Not Applicable.

Item 10 Certification:

By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired for the purpose of and do not have the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of such securities and were not acquired in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having such purpose or effect.

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: February 12, 2004

Harris Associates Investment Trust,
series designated The Oakmark
Select Fund

By: /s/ Margaret K. McLaughlin
Margaret K. McLaughlin
Assistant General Counsel

D>

Segment assets
\$547,662 \$482,905 \$547,662 \$482,905

Asset Management and

Net revenues
\$1,455 \$1,208 \$5,045 \$3,515

Securities Services

Operating expenses
970 754 3,157 2,254

Pre-tax earnings
\$485 \$454 \$1,888 \$1,261

Segment assets
\$247,587 \$183,565 \$247,587 \$183,565

Total

Net revenues ⁽¹⁾
\$7,463 \$7,285 \$27,895 \$18,496

Operating expenses ⁽²⁾
5,101 4,880 18,320 12,702

Pre-tax earnings ⁽³⁾
\$2,362 \$2,405 \$9,575 \$5,794

Total assets
\$798,309 \$669,518 \$798,309 \$669,518

(1) Net revenues include net interest and cost of power generation as set forth in the table below:

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Investment Banking | \$ 3 | \$ 17 | \$ 9 | \$ 56 |
| Trading and Principal Investments | 352 | 220 | 589 | 713 |
| Asset Management and Securities Services | 480 | 436 | 1,500 | 1,245 |
| Total net interest and cost of power generation | \$835 | \$673 | \$2,098 | \$2,014 |

(2) Includes net provisions for a number of litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$(8) million and \$8 million for the three months ended August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, and \$40 million and \$31 million for the nine months ended August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, that have not been allocated to the firm's segments.

(3) Pre-tax earnings include total depreciation and amortization as set forth in the table below:

| | Three Months Ended August | Nine Months Ended August |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | (in millions) | |
| Investment Banking | \$ 26 | \$ 35 | \$ 90 | \$113 |
| Trading and Principal Investments | 192 | 139 | 526 | 417 |
| Asset Management and Securities Services | 33 | 36 | 113 | 108 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$251 | \$210 | \$729 | \$638 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Directors and Shareholders of
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial condition of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company) as of August 25, 2006, the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three and nine months ended August 25, 2006 and August 26, 2005, the condensed consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended August 25, 2006, the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended August 25, 2006 and August 26, 2005, and the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended August 25, 2006 and August 26, 2005. These condensed consolidated interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial condition as of November 25, 2005 and the related consolidated statements of earnings, changes in shareholders' equity, cash flows and comprehensive income for the year then ended, management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of November 25, 2005 and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of November 25, 2005; and in our report dated February 3, 2006, we expressed unqualified opinions thereon. The consolidated financial statements and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting referred to above are not presented herein. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial condition as of November 25, 2005, and the condensed consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity for the year ended November 25, 2005, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York

September 28, 2006

Table of Contents

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
INDEX

| | Page No. |
|--|---------------------|
| <u>Introduction</u> | 49 |
| <u>Executive Overview</u> | 50 |
| <u>Business Environment</u> | 53 |
| <u>Critical Accounting Policies</u> | 54 |
| <u>Fair Value</u> | 54 |
| <u>Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets</u> | 59 |
| <u>Use of Estimates</u> | 62 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 63 |
| <u>Financial Overview</u> | 63 |
| <u>Segment Operating Results</u> | 68 |
| <u>Capital and Funding</u> | 75 |
| <u>Capital</u> | 75 |
| <u>Short-Term Borrowings</u> | 79 |
| <u>Credit Ratings</u> | 80 |
| <u>Contractual Obligations and Commitments</u> | 81 |
| <u>Liquidity Risk</u> | 84 |
| <u>Recent Accounting Developments</u> | 90 |
| <u>Cautionary Statement Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995</u> | 92 |
| <u>Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u> | 93 |

Table of Contents

Introduction

Goldman Sachs is a leading global investment banking, securities and investment management firm that provides a wide range of services worldwide to a substantial and diversified client base that includes corporations, financial institutions, governments and high-net-worth individuals.

Our activities are divided into three segments:

Investment Banking. We provide a broad range of investment banking services to a diverse group of corporations, financial institutions, governments and individuals.

Trading and Principal Investments. We facilitate client transactions with a diverse group of corporations, financial institutions, governments and individuals and take proprietary positions through market making in, trading of and investing in fixed income and equity products, currencies, commodities and derivatives on such products. In addition, we engage in specialist and market-making activities on equities and options exchanges and we clear client transactions on major stock, options and futures exchanges worldwide. In connection with our merchant banking and other investing activities, we make principal investments directly and through funds that we raise and manage.

Asset Management and Securities Services. We provide investment advisory and financial planning services and offer investment products across all major asset classes to a diverse group of institutions and individuals worldwide, and provide prime brokerage services, financing services and securities lending services to mutual funds, pension funds, hedge funds, foundations and high-net-worth individuals worldwide.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 25, 2005. References herein to the Annual Report on Form 10-K are to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 25, 2005.

Unless specifically stated otherwise, all references to August 2006 and August 2005 refer to our fiscal periods ended, or the dates, as the context requires, August 25, 2006 and August 26, 2005, respectively. All references to November 2005, unless specifically stated otherwise, refer to our fiscal year ended, or the date, as the context requires, November 25, 2005. All references to 2006, unless specifically stated otherwise, refer to our fiscal year ending, or the date, as the context requires, November 24, 2006.

When we use the terms Goldman Sachs, we, us and our, we mean The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Group Inc.), a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Executive Overview

Our diluted earnings per common share were \$3.26, annualized return on average tangible common shareholders' equity⁽¹⁾ was 24.9% and annualized return on average common shareholders' equity was 20.9% for the third quarter of 2006. Excluding incremental non-cash expenses of \$133 million related to the accounting for certain share-based awards under SFAS No. 123-R⁽²⁾, diluted earnings per common share were \$3.45⁽²⁾, annualized return on average tangible common shareholders' equity⁽¹⁾ was 26.5%⁽²⁾ and annualized return on average common shareholders' equity was 22.2%⁽²⁾ for the third quarter of 2006. Diluted earnings per common share for the third quarter of 2005 were \$3.25.

Our third quarter results reflected solid performances in each of our three segments. Net revenues in Investment Banking increased compared with the third quarter of 2005, reflecting significantly higher net revenues in Underwriting, as both debt and equity underwriting results improved, as well as higher net revenues in Financial Advisory, reflecting increased client activity. Our investment banking backlog was essentially unchanged during the quarter⁽³⁾. Strong net revenue growth in our Asset Management and Securities Services businesses primarily reflected significantly higher management and other fees as well as continued strength in our prime brokerage business. Assets under management increased significantly from a year ago, including net asset inflows of \$30 billion during the quarter. Net revenues in Trading and Principal Investments were lower than a particularly strong third quarter of 2005. Net revenues in Principal Investments decreased reflecting a smaller gain related to our investment in the convertible preferred stock of Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc. (SMFG) as well as lower net revenues from our other principal investments. In addition, net revenues in Equities were lower than the same prior year period, while net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities (FICC) were higher than a strong third quarter of 2005. During the quarter, Equities operated in a less favorable environment as equity prices lacked direction and customer-driven activity declined from the first half of the year. In addition, although FICC performed well, the business operated in a less favorable environment, as customer-driven activity declined from the first half of the year and volatility levels were generally low.

Our diluted earnings per common share were \$13.12 for the nine months ended August 2006 compared with \$7.89 for the same period last year. Annualized return on average tangible common shareholders' equity⁽¹⁾ was 35.6% and annualized return on average common shareholders' equity was 29.6%. Excluding incremental non-cash expenses of \$508 million related to the accounting for certain share-based awards under SFAS No. 123-R⁽²⁾, diluted earnings per common share were \$13.83⁽²⁾, annualized return on average tangible common shareholders' equity⁽¹⁾ was 37.6%⁽²⁾ and annualized return on average common shareholders' equity was 31.4%⁽²⁾ for the nine months ended August 2006. Our results for the first nine months of 2006 reflected strong net revenue growth in each of our three segments as compared with the same period last year.

During the first nine months of 2006, each of our segments achieved record results reflecting generally favorable market conditions and strong customer activity. Trading and Principal Investments results reflected significantly higher net revenues in FICC and Equities and a strong performance in Principal Investments. Net revenues in FICC reflected particularly strong results across all major businesses, and net revenues in Equities reflected significant growth in our customer franchise business, while results in principal strategies, although strong, were lower than the same prior year period. In our trading businesses, we saw generally favorable trading and investing opportunities for our clients and ourselves, and consequently increased our market risk in the first half of the year. However, as the environment became more challenging during the third quarter of 2006, our market risk declined, reflecting fewer opportunities in the markets. In Investment Banking, net revenues reflected strong growth in Underwriting and Financial Advisory as industry-wide volumes across equity underwriting and mergers and acquisitions were significantly ahead of the same prior year period and debt underwriting volumes remained at high levels. In Asset Management and Securities

Table of Contents

Services, revenue growth was driven by record assets under management and significantly higher incentive fees, as well as significantly higher global customer balances in Securities Services.

We continued to focus on managing our capital base, with the goal of optimizing our returns while, at the same time, growing our businesses. During the third quarter of 2006, we repurchased 3.8 million shares of our common stock at a total cost of \$573 million. In the first nine months of 2006, we repurchased 29.5 million shares of our common stock at a total cost of \$4.17 billion. On September 11, 2006, the Board of Directors of Goldman Sachs authorized the repurchase of an additional 60.0 million shares of common stock pursuant to the existing repurchase program. With respect to the regulatory environment, financial services firms continued to be under intense scrutiny, with the volume and amount of claims against financial institutions and other related costs remaining significant. Given the range of litigation and investigations presently under way, our litigation expenses can be expected to remain high.

Though our operating results were very strong in the first nine months of 2006, our business, by its nature, does not produce predictable earnings. Our results in any given period can be materially affected by conditions in global financial markets and economic conditions generally. For a further discussion of the factors that may affect our future operating results, see **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(1) Annualized return on average tangible common shareholders' equity is computed by dividing annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders by average monthly tangible common shareholders' equity. See **Results of Operations - Financial Overview** below for further information regarding our calculation of annualized return on average tangible common shareholders' equity.

(2) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123-R, **Share-Based Payment**, focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in exchange for share-based payments. In the first quarter of 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123-R, which requires that share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees, including those subject to non-compete agreements, be expensed in the year of grant. In addition to expensing current year awards, prior year awards must continue to be amortized over the relevant service period. Therefore, our compensation and benefits expenses in fiscal 2006 (and, to a lesser extent, in fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2008) will include both amortization of prior year awards and new awards granted to retirement-eligible employees for services rendered in fiscal 2006. We believe that presenting our results excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees increases the comparability of period-to-period operating results and allows for a more meaningful representation of the relationship of current period compensation to net revenues.

The following tables set forth a reconciliation of diluted earnings per common share, common shareholders' equity and net earnings applicable to common shareholders as reported, to these items excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees:

| | Three Months Ended August 2006 | Nine Months Ended August 2006 |
|---|---|--|
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$3.26 | \$13.12 |
| Impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards, net of tax | 0.19 | 0.71 |
| Diluted earnings per common share, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards | \$3.45 | \$13.83 |

Table of Contents

| | Average for the | |
|--|---|--|
| | Three Months Ended August 2006 | Nine Months Ended August 2006 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$32,618 | \$30,498 |
| Preferred stock | (2,850) | (2,190) |
| Common shareholders' equity | 29,768 | 28,308 |
| Impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards, net of tax | (147) | (98) |
| Common shareholders' equity, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards | 29,621 | 28,210 |
| Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets (see footnote 1 above) | (4,745) | (4,709) |
| Tangible common shareholders' equity (see footnote 1 above), excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards | \$24,876 | \$23,501 |
| | Three Months Ended August 2006 | Nine Months Ended August 2006 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Net earnings applicable to common shareholders | \$1,555 | \$6,294 |
| Impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards, net of tax | 90 | 340 |
| Net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards | \$1,645 | \$6,634 |

(3) Our investment banking backlog represents an estimate of our future net revenues from investment banking transactions where we believe that future revenue realization is more likely than not.

Table of Contents

Business Environment

Global economic conditions remained generally favorable during our third quarter of fiscal 2006, although economic growth in the U.S. and, to a lesser extent, in Europe, slowed from the first half of the year. Business and consumer confidence remained generally high across the major economies, although consumer confidence appeared to decline toward the end of our third fiscal quarter, particularly in the U.S. Concerns over inflationary pressures in the U.S. early in the fiscal quarter subsided toward the end of the quarter. In the equity markets, global equity prices lacked direction and generally ended only slightly above or below levels at the beginning of our fiscal quarter. In the fixed income markets, yield curves in both the U.S. and Europe flattened and corporate credit spreads remained narrow. Investment banking activity levels decreased during the fiscal quarter, as evidenced by lower industry-wide announced and completed mergers and acquisitions and equity underwritings.

In the U.S., economic growth continued to slow from stronger levels seen in the beginning of the fiscal year, as consumer spending continued to decline, reflecting the effects of higher interest rates, high energy prices and the decline in the housing market. Unemployment remained at low levels, although it increased slightly during the fiscal quarter. While concerns over inflationary pressures near the end of our second fiscal quarter continued into June, growth in measures of core inflation subsided in July and August. The U.S. Federal Reserve raised its federal funds target rate by 25 basis points to 5.25% in June, its 17th consecutive increase, but held the target rate steady during its August meeting. Long-term bond yields fell, with the 10-year U.S. Treasury note yield ending the quarter down 27 basis points at 4.78%. In the equity markets, the S&P 500 Index and the Dow Jones Industrial Average ended the quarter essentially unchanged, while the NASDAQ Composite Index fell by 3%.

In Europe, economic growth moderated slightly during our third fiscal quarter, but remained solid, with increasing industrial and construction activity, particularly in Germany. The modest decrease in economic growth was evident in surveys of business activity, which declined slightly during the quarter, but remained at high levels. In addition, consumer confidence remained at high levels, as private consumption and employment both increased during the quarter. The European Central Bank increased its main refinancing operations rate by 50 basis points during the fiscal quarter to 3.0%, its highest level in nearly five years. In the U.K., although financial conditions became less accommodative, the economy showed continued modest growth. The Bank of England raised its official bank rate by 25 basis points to 4.75%, its first change since August 2005. European equity markets ended the quarter modestly higher, while long-term yields remained essentially unchanged.

In Japan, real gross domestic product growth softened during our third fiscal quarter, reflecting a slowdown in domestic demand, although growth in exports remained solid. Unemployment levels remained low and wages increased moderately. During the quarter, the Bank of Japan ended its five year zero interest rate policy and set the target overnight call rate at 0.25%. Despite a sharp decline early in the fiscal quarter, the Nikkei 225 Index ended the fiscal quarter essentially unchanged. In China, economic growth remained solid, driven by strength in both exports and domestic demand. The People's Bank of China increased the one-year benchmark lending rate by 27 basis points to 6.12%. Elsewhere in Asia, growth in exports and domestic demand softened, but remained steady. Equity markets ended our fiscal quarter higher in Hong Kong, essentially unchanged in South Korea and China, and lower in Taiwan.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies****Fair Value**

The use of fair value to measure our financial instruments, with related unrealized gains or losses generally recognized immediately in our results of operations, is fundamental to our financial statements and is our most critical accounting policy. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

In determining fair value, we separate our financial instruments into three categories—cash (i.e., nonderivative) trading instruments, derivative contracts and principal investments, as set forth in the following table:

Financial Instruments by Category
(in millions)

| | As of August 2006 | | As of November 2005 | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Financial Instruments Owned, At Fair Value | Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, At Fair Value | Financial Instruments Owned, At Fair Value | Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, At Fair Value |
| Cash trading instruments | \$233,985 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 96,291 | \$210,042 | \$ 89,735 |
| Derivative contracts | 60,181 | 57,196 | 58,532 | 57,829 |
| Principal investments | 11,371 ⁽²⁾ | 3,070 ⁽³⁾ | 6,526 ⁽²⁾ | 1,507 ⁽³⁾ |
| Total | \$305,537 | \$156,557 | \$275,100 | \$149,071 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes securities held by our bank and insurance subsidiaries which are accounted for as available-for-sale (AFS) under SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. The following table sets forth the types of AFS securities and their maturity profile:

| | Under One Year | 1-5 Years | 6-10 Years | Over 10 Years | Total |
|---|----------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| (in millions) | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed and other federal agency securities | \$826 | \$ 794 | \$146 | \$ 7 | \$1,773 |
| Investment-grade corporate bonds | 50 | 564 | 66 | 52 | 732 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 7 | 645 | 20 | | 672 |
| Other investment-grade debt securities | 42 | 8 | 58 | 111 | 219 |
| Total | \$925 | \$2,011 | \$290 | \$170 | \$3,396 |

- (2) Excludes assets for which Goldman Sachs is not at risk (e.g., assets related to consolidated merchant banking funds) of \$3.64 billion and \$1.93 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively.
- (3) Represents an economic hedge on the unrestricted shares of common stock underlying our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG. For a further discussion of our investment in SMFG, see Principal Investments below.

Table of Contents

Cash Trading Instruments. The following table sets forth the valuation of our cash trading instruments by level of price transparency:

Cash Trading Instruments by Price Transparency

(in millions)

| | As of August 2006 | | As of November 2005 | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Financial Instruments Owned, At Fair Value | Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, At Fair Value | Financial Instruments Owned, At Fair Value | Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, At Fair Value |
| Quoted prices or alternative pricing sources with reasonable price transparency | \$219,785 | \$96,176 | \$198,233 | \$89,565 |
| Little or no price transparency | 14,200 | 115 | 11,809 | 170 |
| Total | \$233,985 | \$96,291 | \$210,042 | \$89,735 |

Fair values of our cash trading instruments are generally obtained from quoted market prices in active markets, broker or dealer price quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. The types of instruments valued in this manner include U.S. government and agency securities, other sovereign government obligations, liquid mortgage products, investment-grade and high-yield corporate bonds, listed equities, money market securities, state, municipal and provincial obligations, and physical commodities.

Certain cash trading instruments trade infrequently and have little or no price transparency. Such instruments may include certain corporate bank loans, mortgage whole loans and distressed debt. We value these instruments initially at cost and generally do not adjust valuations unless there is substantive evidence supporting a change in the value of the underlying instrument or valuation assumptions (such as similar market transactions, changes in financial ratios or changes in the credit ratings of the underlying companies). Where there is evidence supporting a change in the value, we use valuation methodologies such as the present value of known or estimated cash flows.

Cash trading instruments we own (long positions) are marked to bid prices, and instruments we have sold but not yet purchased (short positions) are marked to offer prices. If liquidating a position is expected to affect its prevailing market price, our valuation is adjusted generally based on market evidence or predetermined policies. In certain circumstances, such as for highly illiquid positions, management's estimates are used to determine this adjustment.

Table of Contents

Derivative Contracts. Derivative contracts consist of exchange-traded and over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives. The following table sets forth the fair value of our exchange-traded and OTC derivative assets and liabilities:

Derivative Assets and Liabilities
(in millions)

| | As of August 2006 | | As of November 2005 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
| Exchange-traded derivatives | \$11,735 | \$10,681 | \$10,869 | \$ 9,083 |
| OTC derivatives | 48,446 | 46,515 | 47,663 | 48,746 |
| Total | \$60,181 ⁽¹⁾ | \$57,196 ⁽²⁾ | \$58,532 ⁽¹⁾ | \$57,829 ⁽²⁾ |

(1) Net of cash received pursuant to credit support agreements of \$22.24 billion and \$22.61 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively.

(2) Net of cash paid pursuant to credit support agreements of \$17.27 billion and \$16.10 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively.

Fair values of our exchange-traded derivatives are generally determined from quoted market prices. OTC derivatives are valued using valuation models. We use a variety of valuation models including the present value of known or estimated cash flows and option-pricing models. The valuation models that we use to derive the fair values of our OTC derivatives require inputs including contractual terms, market prices, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs. The selection of a model to value an OTC derivative depends upon the contractual terms of, and specific risks inherent in, the instrument as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. We generally use similar models to value similar instruments. Where possible, we verify the values produced by our pricing models to market transactions. For OTC derivatives that trade in liquid markets, such as generic forwards, swaps and options, model selection does not involve significant judgment because market prices are readily available. For OTC derivatives that trade in less liquid markets, model selection requires more judgment because such instruments tend to be more complex and pricing information is less available in these markets. Price transparency is inherently more limited for more complex structures because they often combine one or more product types, requiring additional inputs such as correlations and volatilities. As markets continue to develop and more pricing information becomes available, we continue to review and refine the models that we use.

At the inception of an OTC derivative contract (day one), we value the contract at the model value if we can verify all of the significant model inputs to observable market data and verify the model to market transactions. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted to reflect various factors such as liquidity, bid/offer spreads and credit considerations. These adjustments are generally based on market evidence or predetermined policies. In certain circumstances, such as for highly illiquid positions, management's estimates are used to determine these adjustments.

Where we cannot verify all of the significant model inputs to observable market data and verify the model to market transactions, we value the contract at the transaction price at inception and, consequently, record no day one gain or loss in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 02-3, Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities. Following day one, we adjust the inputs to our valuation models only to the extent that changes in these inputs can be verified by similar market transactions, third-party pricing services and/or broker quotes, or can be

derived from other substantive evidence such as empirical market data. In circumstances where we cannot verify the model to market transactions, it is possible that a different valuation model

Table of Contents

could produce a materially different estimate of fair value. See Recent Accounting Developments below for a discussion of the impact of SFAS No. 157 on EITF Issue No. 02-3.

The following tables set forth the fair values of our OTC derivative assets and liabilities by product and by remaining contractual maturity:

OTC Derivatives
(in millions)

As of August 2006

| Assets Contract Type | 0 - 6 Months | 6 - 12 Months | 1 - 5 Years | 5 - 10 Years | 10 Years or Greater | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Interest rates | \$ 2,455 | \$ 341 | \$ 5,926 | \$3,427 | \$6,119 | \$18,268 |
| Currencies | 4,014 | 565 | 2,503 | 1,191 | 1,079 | 9,352 |
| Commodities | 4,195 | 1,561 | 7,302 | 1,344 | 141 | 14,543 |
| Equities | 1,612 | 790 | 930 | 2,091 | 860 | 6,283 |
| Total | \$12,276 | \$3,257 | \$16,661 | \$8,053 | \$8,199 | \$48,446 |

| Liabilities Contract Type | 0 - 6 Months | 6 - 12 Months | 1 - 5 Years | 5 - 10 Years | 10 Years or Greater | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Interest rates | \$ 3,155 | \$ 764 | \$ 5,194 | \$3,324 | \$4,321 | \$16,758 |
| Currencies | 4,146 | 1,148 | 2,744 | 420 | 617 | 9,075 |
| Commodities | 3,087 | 779 | 4,548 | 1,932 | 96 | 10,442 |
| Equities | 3,748 | 2,047 | 1,955 | 2,135 | 355 | 10,240 |
| Total | \$14,136 | \$4,738 | \$14,441 | \$7,811 | \$5,389 | \$46,515 |

As of November 2005

| Assets Contract Type | 0 - 6 Months | 6 - 12 Months | 1 - 5 Years | 5 - 10 Years | 10 Years or Greater | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Interest rates | \$ 1,898 | \$ 467 | \$ 4,634 | \$5,310 | \$5,221 | \$17,530 |
| Currencies | 5,825 | 1,031 | 1,843 | 919 | 1,046 | 10,664 |
| Commodities | 3,772 | 1,369 | 8,130 | 1,374 | 120 | 14,765 |
| Equities | 1,168 | 1,171 | 832 | 1,403 | 130 | 4,704 |
| Total | \$12,663 | \$4,038 | \$15,439 | \$9,006 | \$6,517 | \$47,663 |

| Liabilities Contract Type | 0 - 6 Months | 6 - 12 Months | 1 - 5 Years | 5 - 10 Years | 10 Years or Greater | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Interest rates | \$ 1,956 | \$ 590 | \$ 5,327 | \$3,142 | \$4,970 | \$15,985 |
| Currencies | 6,295 | 575 | 3,978 | 436 | 924 | 12,208 |
| Commodities | 3,852 | 2,080 | 5,904 | 1,865 | 162 | 13,863 |
| Equities | 1,308 | 1,068 | 2,079 | 1,993 | 242 | 6,690 |
| Total | \$13,411 | \$4,313 | \$17,288 | \$7,436 | \$6,298 | \$48,746 |

We enter into certain OTC option transactions that provide us or our counterparties with the right to extend the maturity of the underlying contract. The fair value of these option contracts is not material to the aggregate fair value of our OTC derivative portfolio. In the tables above, for option contracts that require settlement by delivery of an underlying derivative instrument, the remaining contractual maturity is generally classified based upon the maturity date of the underlying derivative

Table of Contents

instrument. In those instances where the underlying instrument does not have a maturity date or either counterparty has the right to settle in cash, the remaining contractual maturity is generally based upon the option expiration date.

Principal Investments. The following table sets forth the carrying value of our corporate and real estate principal investments in private companies (excluding our investment in the ordinary shares of Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited (ICBC)), in public companies (excluding our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG) and our investments in SMFG and ICBC:

Principal Investments
(in millions)

| | As of August 2006 | | | As of November 2005 | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|---------|
| | Corporate | Real Estate | Total | Corporate | Real Estate | Total |
| Private | \$ 2,359 | \$616 | \$ 2,975 | \$1,538 | \$716 | \$2,254 |
| Public | 848 | 5 | 853 | 185 | 29 | 214 |
| Subtotal ⁽¹⁾ | 3,207 | 621 | 3,828 | 1,723 | 745 | 2,468 |
| SMFG convertible preferred stock ^{(2) (3)} | 4,938 | | 4,938 | 4,058 | | 4,058 |
| ICBC ordinary shares ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,605 | | 2,605 | | | |
| Total | \$10,750 | \$621 | \$11,371 | \$5,781 | \$745 | \$6,526 |

(1) Excludes assets for which Goldman Sachs is not at risk (e.g., assets related to consolidated merchant banking funds) of \$3.64 billion and \$1.93 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively.

(2) The fair value of our Japanese yen-denominated investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG includes the effect of foreign exchange revaluation. We mitigate our economic exposure to exchange rate movements on our investment in SMFG by borrowing Japanese yen. Foreign exchange revaluation on the investment and the related borrowing are generally equal and offsetting. For example, if the Japanese yen appreciates against the U.S. dollar, the U.S. dollar carrying value of our SMFG investment will increase and the U.S. dollar carrying value of the related borrowing will also increase by an amount that is generally equal and offsetting.

(3) Excludes an economic hedge on the unrestricted shares of common stock underlying our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG. The fair value of this hedge was \$3.07 billion and \$1.51 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively, and is reflected in Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. For a further discussion of the restrictions on our ability to hedge or sell the common stock underlying our investment in SMFG, see below.

(4) Includes economic interests of \$1.65 billion as of August 2006 assumed by investment funds managed by Goldman Sachs. The fair value of our Chinese renminbi-denominated investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC includes the effect of foreign exchange revaluation.

Our private principal investments, by their nature, have little or no price transparency. Such investments (including our investment in ICBC) are initially carried at cost as an approximation of fair value. Adjustments to carrying value are made if there are third-party transactions evidencing a change in value. Downward adjustments are also made, in the absence of third-party transactions, if we determine that the expected realizable value of the investment is less than the carrying value. In reaching that determination, we consider many factors including, but not limited to, the operating cash flows and financial performance of the companies or properties relative to budgets or projections, trends within sectors and/or regions, underlying business models, expected exit timing and strategy, and any specific rights or terms associated with the investment, such as conversion features and liquidation preferences.

Our public principal investments, which tend to be large, concentrated holdings that result from initial public offerings or other corporate transactions, are valued using quoted market prices discounted based on predetermined written policies for nontransferability and illiquidity.

Table of Contents

Our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG is carried at fair value, which is derived from a model that incorporates SMFG's common stock price and credit spreads, the impact of nontransferability and illiquidity, and the downside protection on the conversion strike price. The fair value of our investment is particularly sensitive to movements in the SMFG common stock price. As a result of transfer restrictions and the downside protection on the conversion strike price, the relationship between changes in the fair value of our investment and changes in SMFG's common stock price is nonlinear. During the third quarter, the fair value of our investment (excluding the economic hedge on the unrestricted shares of common stock) increased 11% (expressed in Japanese yen), primarily reflecting an increase in the SMFG common stock price and, to a lesser extent, the impact of passage of time in respect of the transfer restrictions on the underlying common stock.

Our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG is generally nontransferable, but is freely convertible into SMFG common stock. Restrictions on our ability to hedge or sell two-thirds of the common stock underlying our investment in SMFG lapsed in equal installments on February 7, 2005 and March 9, 2006. As of the date of this filing, we have fully hedged the first one-third installment of the unrestricted shares and have hedged a majority of the second one-third installment of the unrestricted shares. Restrictions on our ability to hedge or sell the remaining one-third installment lapse on February 7, 2007. As of the date of this filing, the conversion price of our SMFG preferred stock into shares of SMFG common stock was ¥319,700. This price is subject to downward adjustment if the price of SMFG common stock at the time of conversion is less than the conversion price (subject to a floor of ¥105,400).

Controls Over Valuation of Financial Instruments. A control infrastructure, independent of the trading and investing functions, is fundamental to ensuring that our financial instruments are appropriately valued and that fair value measurements are reliable. This is particularly important in valuing instruments with lower levels of price transparency.

We employ an oversight structure that includes appropriate segregation of duties. Senior management, independent of the trading functions, is responsible for the oversight of control and valuation policies and for reporting the results of these policies to our Audit Committee. We seek to maintain the necessary resources to ensure that control functions are performed to the highest standards. We employ procedures for the approval of new transaction types and markets, price verification, review of daily profit and loss, and review of valuation models by personnel with appropriate technical knowledge of relevant products and markets. These procedures are performed by personnel independent of the revenue-producing units. For trading and principal investments with little or no price transparency, we employ, where possible, procedures that include comparisons with similar observable positions, analysis of actual to projected cash flows, comparisons with subsequent sales and discussions with senior business leaders. See

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risk Management in Part II, Item 7 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for a further discussion on how we manage the risks inherent in our trading and principal investing businesses.

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

As a result of our acquisitions, principally SLK LLC (SLK) in fiscal 2000, The Ayco Company, L.P. (Ayco) in fiscal 2003, Cogentrix Energy, Inc. (Cogentrix) in fiscal 2004, National Energy & Gas Transmission, Inc. (NEGT) in fiscal 2005 and the acquisition of the variable annuity and variable life insurance business of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (formerly Allmerica Financial Corporation) in fiscal 2006, we have acquired goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Goodwill is the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair value of net assets, including identifiable intangible assets, at the acquisition date.

Table of Contents

Goodwill. We test the goodwill in each of our operating segments for impairment at least annually in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, by comparing the estimated fair value of each operating segment with its estimated net book value. We derive the fair value of each of our operating segments primarily based on price-earnings multiples. We derive the net book value of our operating segments by estimating the amount of shareholders' equity required to support the assets of each operating segment. Our last annual impairment test was performed during our fiscal 2005 fourth quarter and no impairment was identified.

The following table sets forth the carrying value of our goodwill by operating segment:

Goodwill by Operating Segment

(in millions)

| | As of | |
|--|----------------|------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| Investment Banking | | |
| Financial Advisory | \$ | \$ |
| Underwriting | 125 | 125 |
| Trading and Principal Investments | | |
| FICC | 155 | 91 |
| Equities ⁽¹⁾ | 2,387 | 2,390 |
| Principal Investments | 22 | 1 |
| Asset Management and Securities Services | | |
| Asset Management ⁽²⁾ | 421 | 424 |
| Securities Services | 117 | 117 |
| Total | \$3,227 | \$3,148 |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily related to SLK.

⁽²⁾ Primarily related to Ayco.

Identifiable Intangible Assets. We amortize our identifiable intangible assets over their estimated useful lives in accordance with SFAS No. 142, and test for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that an asset's or asset group's carrying value may not be fully recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 144,

Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. An impairment loss, calculated as the difference between the estimated fair value and the carrying value of an asset or asset group, is recognized if the sum of the estimated undiscounted cash flows relating to the asset or asset group is less than the corresponding carrying value.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the carrying value and range of remaining useful lives of our identifiable intangible assets by major asset class:

Identifiable Intangible Assets by Asset Class

(\$ in millions)

| | As of August 2006 | | As of November 2005 |
|--|-------------------|--|---------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Range of Remaining Useful Lives (in years) | Carrying Value |
| Customer lists ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 749 | 5 - 19 | \$ 777 |
| Power contracts ⁽²⁾ | 691 | 2 - 22 | 481 |
| New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) specialist rights | 553 | 16 ⁽⁵⁾ | 580 |
| Insurance-related assets ⁽³⁾ | 382 | 6 | |
| Exchange-traded fund (ETF) specialist rights | 107 | 21 | 111 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 112 | 1 - 8 | 106 |
| Total | \$2,594 | | \$2,055 |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily includes our clearance and execution and NASDAQ customer lists related to SLK and financial counseling customer lists related to Ayco.

⁽²⁾ Primarily relates to above-market power contracts of consolidated power generation facilities related to Cogentrix and NEGTEC. Substantially all of these power contracts have been pledged as collateral to counterparties in connection with certain of our secured short-term and long-term borrowings.

⁽³⁾ Consists of the value of business acquired (VOBA) and deferred acquisition costs (DAC). VOBA represents the present value of estimated future gross profits of the variable annuity and variable life insurance business acquired in fiscal 2006. DAC represents commissions paid in connection with providing reinsurance. VOBA and DAC are amortized over the estimated life of the underlying contracts based on estimated gross profits, and amortization is adjusted based on actual experience. The six year useful life represents the weighted average remaining amortization period of the underlying contracts (certain of which extend approximately 30 years).

⁽⁴⁾ Primarily includes technology-related and other assets related to SLK.

⁽⁵⁾ During the first quarter of 2006, we reduced the estimated useful lives of our NYSE specialist rights from 22-24 years to 16 years. This change was due to higher than expected attrition in acquired NYSE specialist rights, primarily from mergers and delistings.

A prolonged period of weakness in global equity markets and the trading of securities in multiple markets and on multiple exchanges could adversely impact our businesses and impair the value of our goodwill and/or identifiable intangible assets. In addition, certain events could indicate a potential impairment of our identifiable intangible assets, including (i) changes in market structure that could adversely affect our specialist businesses, (ii) an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, (iii) a default event under a power contract or physical damage or other adverse events impacting the underlying power generation facilities, or (iv) adverse actual experience on the contracts in our variable annuity and variable life insurance business.

Table of Contents**Use of Estimates**

The use of generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates. In addition to the estimates we make in connection with fair value measurements and the accounting for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, the use of estimates is also important in determining compensation and benefits expenses for interim periods and in determining provisions for potential losses that may arise from litigation and regulatory proceedings and tax audits.

A substantial portion of our compensation and benefits represents discretionary bonuses, which are determined at year end. We believe the most appropriate way to allocate estimated annual discretionary bonuses among interim periods is in proportion to the net revenues earned in such periods. In addition to the level of net revenues, our overall compensation expense in any given year is also influenced by, among other factors, prevailing labor markets, business mix and the structure of our share-based compensation programs. We generally target compensation and benefits at 50% (plus or minus a few percentage points) of consolidated net revenues. During the first nine months of 2006, our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues was 49.8%. Excluding the \$508 million impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards under SFAS No. 123-R, our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues was 48.0% ⁽¹⁾.

We estimate and provide for potential losses that may arise out of litigation and regulatory proceedings and tax audits to the extent that such losses are probable and can be estimated, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. Significant judgment is required in making these estimates and our final liabilities may ultimately be materially different. Our total liability in respect of litigation and regulatory proceedings is determined on a case-by-case basis and represents an estimate of probable losses after considering, among other factors, the progress of each case or proceeding, our experience and the experience of others in similar cases or proceedings, and the opinions and views of legal counsel. Given the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of our litigation and regulatory matters, particularly in cases or proceedings in which substantial or indeterminate damages or fines are sought, we cannot estimate losses or ranges of losses for cases or proceedings where there is only a reasonable possibility that a loss may be incurred. See Legal Proceedings in Part I, Item 3 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in Part II, Item 1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended May 26, 2006 and February 24, 2006 and in Part II, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for information on our judicial, regulatory and arbitration proceedings.

- ⁽¹⁾ Our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards, is computed by dividing compensation and benefits, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards, by net revenues. We believe that presenting the ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees increases the comparability of period-to-period operating results and allows for a more meaningful representation of the relationship of current period compensation to net revenues. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues, as reported, to the ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards:

| | Three Months Ended August 2006 | Nine Months Ended August 2006 | Six Months Ended May 2006 |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | (\$ in millions) | |
| Compensation and benefits | \$3,510 | \$13,897 | \$10,387 |
| | (133) | (508) | (375) |

Impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards

| | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| Compensation and benefits, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards | \$3,377 | \$13,389 | \$10,012 |
| Net revenues | \$7,463 | \$27,895 | \$20,432 |
| Ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues, excluding the impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards | 45.2% | 48.0% | 49.0% |

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The composition of our net revenues has varied over time as financial markets and the scope of our operations have changed. The composition of net revenues can also vary over the shorter term due to fluctuations in U.S. and global economic and market conditions. For a further discussion of the impact of economic and market conditions on our results of operations, see **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Financial Overview

The following table sets forth an overview of our financial results:

Financial Overview

(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net revenues | \$7,463 | \$7,285 | \$27,895 | \$18,496 |
| Pre-tax earnings | 2,362 | 2,405 | 9,575 | 5,794 |
| Net earnings | 1,594 | 1,617 | 6,385 | 3,994 |
| Net earnings applicable to common shareholders | 1,555 | 1,608 | 6,294 | 3,985 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 3.26 | 3.25 | 13.12 | 7.89 |
| Annualized return on average common shareholders equity ⁽¹⁾ | 20.9% | 25.1% | 29.6% | 20.7% |
| Annualized return on average tangible common shareholders equity ⁽²⁾ | 24.9% | 30.7% | 35.6% | 25.3% |

(1) Annualized return on average common shareholders equity is computed by dividing annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders by average monthly common shareholders equity.

(2) Tangible common shareholders equity equals total shareholders equity less preferred stock, goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets. Insurance-related intangible assets consist of the value of business acquired (VOBA) and deferred acquisition costs (DAC). VOBA represents the present value of estimated future gross profits of the variable annuity and variable life insurance business acquired in fiscal 2006. DAC represents commissions paid in connection with providing reinsurance. In fiscal 2006, we amended our calculation of tangible common shareholders equity. We no longer deduct identifiable intangible assets associated with power contracts and insurance-related assets from common shareholders equity, in each case because, unlike other intangible assets, we do not hold material amounts of common shareholders equity to support these assets. Prior periods have been restated to conform to the current period presentation.

We believe that annualized return on average tangible common shareholders equity is meaningful because it measures the performance of businesses consistently, whether they were acquired or developed internally. Annualized return on average tangible common shareholders equity is computed by dividing annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders by average monthly tangible common shareholders equity.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of average total shareholders' equity to average tangible common shareholders' equity:

| | Average for the | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$32,618 | \$26,405 | \$30,498 | \$26,100 |
| Preferred stock | (2,850) | (750) | (2,190) | (375) |
| Common shareholders' equity | 29,768 | \$25,655 | 28,308 | \$25,725 |
| Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets | (4,745) | (4,709) | (4,709) | (4,746) |
| Tangible common shareholders' equity | \$25,023 | \$20,946 | \$23,599 | \$20,979 |

Table of Contents

Net Revenues

Three Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Our net revenues were \$7.46 billion for the third quarter of 2006, an increase of 2% compared with the third quarter of 2005, reflecting significantly higher net revenues in Investment Banking and Asset Management and Securities Services, partially offset by lower net revenues in Trading and Principal Investments. The increase in Investment Banking reflected significantly higher net revenues in Underwriting, as both debt and equity underwriting results improved, as well as higher net revenues in Financial Advisory, reflecting increased client activity. Strong net revenue growth in our Asset Management and Securities Services businesses primarily reflected significantly higher management and other fees as well as continued strength in our prime brokerage business. Assets under management increased significantly from a year ago, including net asset inflows of \$30 billion during the quarter. Net revenues in Trading and Principal Investments were lower than a particularly strong third quarter of 2005. Net revenues in Principal Investments decreased reflecting a smaller gain related to our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG as well as lower net revenues from our other principal investments. In addition, net revenues in Equities were lower than the same prior year period, while net revenues in FICC were higher than a strong third quarter of 2005. During the quarter, Equities operated in a less favorable environment as equity prices lacked direction and customer-driven activity declined from the first half of the year. In addition, although FICC performed well, the business operated in a less favorable environment, as customer-driven activity declined from the first half of the year and volatility levels were generally low.

Nine Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Our net revenues were \$27.90 billion for the first nine months of 2006, an increase of 51% compared with the same period last year, reflecting strong net revenue growth in each of our three segments. Trading and Principal Investments results reflected significantly higher net revenues in FICC and Equities and a strong performance in Principal Investments. Net revenues in FICC reflected particularly strong results across all major businesses, and net revenues in Equities reflected significant growth in our customer franchise business, while results in principal strategies, although strong, were lower than the same prior year period. In our trading businesses, we saw generally favorable trading and investing opportunities for our clients and ourselves, and consequently increased our market risk in the first half of the year. However, as the environment became more challenging during the third quarter of 2006, our market risk declined, reflecting fewer opportunities in the markets. In Investment Banking, net revenues reflected strong growth in Underwriting and Financial Advisory as industry-wide volumes across equity underwriting and mergers and acquisitions were significantly ahead of the same prior year period and debt underwriting volumes remained at high levels. In Asset Management and Securities Services, revenue growth was driven by record assets under management and significantly higher incentive fees, as well as significantly higher global customer balances in Securities Services.

Table of Contents**Operating Expenses**

Our operating expenses are primarily influenced by compensation, headcount and levels of business activity. A substantial portion of our compensation expense represents discretionary bonuses, with our overall compensation and benefits expenses generally targeted at 50% (plus or minus a few percentage points) of consolidated net revenues. In addition to the level of net revenues, our compensation expense in any given year is influenced by, among other factors, prevailing labor markets, business mix and the structure of our share-based compensation programs. During the first nine months of 2006, our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues was 49.8%. Excluding the \$508 million impact of the continued amortization under SFAS No. 123-R of prior year share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees, for the first nine months of 2006, our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues was 48.0%, down from 49.0% for the first six months of 2006. This lower compensation ratio reflects our strong net revenues in 2006 as well as greater visibility into expected compensation levels as year end approaches. See Use of Estimates above for more information on our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues.

The following table sets forth our operating expenses and number of employees:

Operating Expenses and Employees
(\$ in millions)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾ | \$3,510 | \$3,642 | \$13,897 | \$9,248 |
| Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees | 454 | 271 | 1,208 | 797 |
| Market development | 117 | 92 | 338 | 268 |
| Communications and technology | 141 | 124 | 396 | 365 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 126 | 125 | 378 | 371 |
| Amortization of identifiable intangible assets | 50 | 31 | 128 | 93 |
| Occupancy | 221 | 200 | 613 | 534 |
| Professional fees | 135 | 117 | 367 | 322 |
| Other expenses | 347 | 278 | 995 | 704 |
| Total non-compensation expenses | 1,591 | 1,238 | 4,423 | 3,454 |
| Total operating expenses | \$5,101 | \$4,880 | \$18,320 | \$12,702 |
| Employees at period end ^{(1) (2)} | 25,647 | 23,195 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 9,901 and 7,308 employees as of August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, of consolidated entities held for investment purposes. Compensation and benefits includes \$83 million and \$52 million for the three months ended August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, and \$196 million and \$77 million for the nine months ended August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, attributable to these consolidated entities. Consolidated entities held for investment purposes includes entities that are held strictly for capital appreciation, have a defined exit strategy and are engaged in activities that are not closely related to our principal businesses.

⁽²⁾ Includes 1,281 employees as of August 2006 of Goldman Sachs consolidated property management and loan servicing subsidiaries. August 2005 has been restated to conform to the current presentation and includes 1,163 employees.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth non-compensation expenses of consolidated entities held for investment purposes and our remaining non-compensation expenses by line item:

Non-Compensation Expenses

(in millions)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Non-compensation expenses of consolidated investments ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 153 | \$ 100 | \$ 371 | \$ 164 |
| Non-compensation expenses excluding consolidated investments | | | | |
| Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees | 454 | 271 | 1,208 | 797 |
| Market development | 108 | 86 | 313 | 258 |
| Communications and technology | 139 | 122 | 391 | 363 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 103 | 114 | 325 | 354 |
| Amortization of identifiable intangible assets | 48 | 31 | 126 | 93 |
| Occupancy | 188 | 186 | 528 | 508 |
| Professional fees | 132 | 114 | 358 | 318 |
| Other expenses | 266 | 214 | 803 | 599 |
| Subtotal | 1,438 | 1,138 | 4,052 | 3,290 |
| Total non-compensation expenses, as reported | \$1,591 | \$1,238 | \$4,423 | \$3,454 |

⁽¹⁾ Consolidated entities held for investment purposes includes entities that are held strictly for capital appreciation, have a defined exit strategy and are engaged in activities that are not closely related to our principal businesses. For example, these investments include consolidated entities that hold real estate assets, such as golf courses and hotels in Asia, but exclude investments in entities that primarily hold financial assets. We believe that it is meaningful to review non-compensation expenses excluding expenses related to these consolidated entities in order to evaluate trends in non-compensation expenses related to our principal business activities. Revenues related to such entities are included in Trading and principal investments in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

Three Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Operating expenses of \$5.10 billion increased 5% compared with the third quarter of 2005. Compensation and benefits expenses of \$3.51 billion decreased 4% compared with the third quarter of 2005. The ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues for the third quarter of 2006 was 47.0% compared with 50.0% for the third quarter of 2005. Excluding the \$133 million impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards under SFAS No. 123-R, the ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues was 45.2% for the third quarter of 2006 compared with 50.0% for the third quarter of 2005. Employment levels increased 7% during the third quarter of 2006. See Use of Estimates above for more information on our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues.

In the first quarter of 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123-R, which requires that share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees, including those subject to non-compete agreements, be expensed in the year of grant. In addition to expensing current year awards, prior year awards must continue to be amortized over the relevant service period. Therefore, our compensation and benefits expenses in fiscal 2006 (and, to a lesser extent, in fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2008) will include both amortization of prior year awards and new awards granted to retirement-eligible employees for services rendered in fiscal 2006. The majority of the expense related to the continued amortization of prior year awards will be recognized in fiscal 2006. The estimated annual expense for fiscal 2006 is approximately \$650 million of which \$133 million was recognized in the third quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents

Non-compensation expenses were \$1.59 billion, 29% higher than the third quarter of 2005. Excluding consolidated entities held for investment purposes, non-compensation expenses were 26% higher than the third quarter of 2005. More than one-half of this increase was attributable to higher brokerage, clearing and exchange fees, primarily in Equities. Other expenses were higher primarily due to costs related to our insurance business, which was acquired in fiscal 2006.

Nine Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Operating expenses of \$18.32 billion for the first nine months of 2006 increased 44% compared with the first nine months of 2005. Compensation and benefits expenses of \$13.90 billion increased 50% compared with the first nine months of 2005, reflecting higher net revenues. The ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues for the first nine months of 2006 was 49.8% compared with 50.0% for the same period last year. Excluding the \$508 million impact of the continued amortization of prior year share-based awards under SFAS No. 123-R, the ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues was 48.0% for the first nine months of 2006 compared with 50.0% for the first nine months of 2005. Employment levels increased 9% during the first nine months of 2006. See [Use of Estimates](#) above for more information on our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues.

Non-compensation expenses were \$4.42 billion, 28% higher than the first nine months of 2005. Excluding consolidated entities held for investment purposes, non-compensation expenses were 23% higher than the first nine months of 2005. More than one-half of this increase was attributable to higher brokerage, clearing and exchange fees, primarily in Equities. Other expenses were higher primarily due to costs related to our insurance business, which was acquired in fiscal 2006.

Provision for Taxes

The provision for taxes for the three and nine months ended August 2006 was \$768 million and \$3.19 billion, respectively. The effective income tax rate was 33.3% for the first nine months of 2006, down from 33.6% for the first six months of 2006 and up from 32.0% for fiscal year 2005. The increase in the effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2006 compared with fiscal year 2005 was primarily due to the impact of audit settlements in 2005 and lower estimated tax credits in 2006.

Table of Contents**Segment Operating Results**

The following table sets forth the net revenues, operating expenses and pre-tax earnings of our segments:

Segment Operating Results
(in millions)

| | | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Investment Banking | Net revenues | \$1,288 | \$1,015 | \$ 4,285 | \$ 2,723 |
| | Operating expenses | 940 | 887 | 3,223 | 2,392 |
| | Pre-tax earnings | \$ 348 | \$ 128 | \$ 1,062 | \$ 331 |
| Trading and Principal Investments | Net revenues | \$4,720 | \$5,062 | \$18,565 | \$12,258 |
| | Operating expenses | 3,199 | 3,231 | 11,900 | 8,025 |
| | Pre-tax earnings | \$1,521 | \$1,831 | \$ 6,665 | \$ 4,233 |
| Asset Management and Securities Services | Net revenues | \$1,455 | \$1,208 | \$ 5,045 | \$ 3,515 |
| | Operating expenses | 970 | 754 | 3,157 | 2,254 |
| | Pre-tax earnings | \$ 485 | \$ 454 | \$ 1,888 | \$ 1,261 |
| Total | Net revenues | \$7,463 | \$7,285 | \$27,895 | \$18,496 |
| | Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 5,101 | 4,880 | 18,320 | 12,702 |
| | Pre-tax earnings | \$2,362 | \$2,405 | \$ 9,575 | \$ 5,794 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes net provisions for a number of litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$(8) million and \$8 million for the three months ended August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, and \$40 million and \$31 million for the nine months ended August 2006 and August 2005, respectively, that have not been allocated to our segments.

Net revenues in our segments include allocations of interest income and interest expense to specific securities, commodities and other positions in relation to the cash generated by, or funding requirements of, such underlying positions.

The cost drivers of Goldman Sachs taken as a whole — compensation, headcount and levels of business activity — are broadly similar in each of our business segments. Compensation expenses within our segments reflect, among other factors, the overall performance of Goldman Sachs as well as the performance of individual business units. Consequently, pre-tax margins in one segment of our business may be significantly affected by the performance of our other business segments. The timing and magnitude of changes in our bonus accruals can have a significant effect on segment results in a given period. A discussion of segment operating results follows.

Investment Banking

Our Investment Banking segment is divided into two components:

Financial Advisory. Financial Advisory includes advisory assignments with respect to mergers and acquisitions, divestitures, corporate defense activities, restructurings and spin-offs.

Underwriting. Underwriting includes public offerings and private placements of equity, equity-related and debt instruments.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the operating results of our Investment Banking segment:

Investment Banking Operating Results

(in millions)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Financial Advisory | \$ 609 | \$ 559 | \$1,953 | \$1,359 |
| Equity underwriting | 270 | 199 | 1,035 | 499 |
| Debt underwriting | 409 | 257 | 1,297 | 865 |
| Total Underwriting | 679 | 456 | 2,332 | 1,364 |
| Total net revenues | 1,288 | 1,015 | 4,285 | 2,723 |
| Operating expenses | 940 | 887 | 3,223 | 2,392 |
| Pre-tax earnings | \$ 348 | \$ 128 | \$1,062 | \$ 331 |

The following table sets forth our financial advisory and underwriting transaction volumes:

Goldman Sachs Global Investment Banking Volumes ⁽¹⁾

(in billions)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Announced mergers and acquisitions | \$184 | \$142 | \$883 | \$522 |
| Completed mergers and acquisitions | 151 | 192 | 633 | 416 |
| Equity and equity-related offerings ⁽²⁾ | 8 | 14 | 51 | 34 |
| Debt offerings ⁽³⁾ | 68 | 67 | 225 | 206 |

⁽¹⁾ Source: Thomson Financial. Announced and completed mergers and acquisitions volumes are based on full credit to each of the advisors in a transaction. Equity and equity-related offerings and debt offerings are based on full credit for single book managers and equal credit for joint book managers. Transaction volumes may not be indicative of net revenues in a given period.

⁽²⁾ Includes public common stock offerings and convertible offerings.

⁽³⁾

Includes non-convertible preferred stock, mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and taxable municipal debt. Includes publicly registered and Rule 144A issues.

Three Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Net revenues in Investment Banking of \$1.29 billion for the third quarter of 2006 increased 27% compared with the third quarter of 2005. Net revenues in Financial Advisory of \$609 million increased 9% compared with the third quarter of 2005, reflecting increased client activity. Net revenues in our Underwriting business of \$679 million increased 49% compared with the third quarter of 2005. Net revenues were significantly higher in debt underwriting, primarily due to an increase in leveraged finance activity, and in equity underwriting. Our investment banking backlog was essentially unchanged during the quarter ⁽¹⁾.

Operating expenses of \$940 million for the third quarter of 2006 increased 6% compared with the third quarter of 2005, primarily due to increased compensation and benefits expenses resulting from a higher accrual of discretionary compensation. Pre-tax earnings were \$348 million compared with \$128 million in the third quarter of 2005.

⁽¹⁾ Our investment banking backlog represents an estimate of our future net revenues from investment banking transactions where we believe that future revenue realization is more likely than not.

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Net revenues in Investment Banking of \$4.29 billion for the first nine months of 2006 increased 57% compared with the same period last year, reflecting growth across all regions. Net revenues in Financial Advisory of \$1.95 billion increased 44% compared with the same period last year, primarily reflecting an increase in industry-wide completed mergers and acquisitions. Net revenues in our Underwriting business of \$2.33 billion increased 71% compared with the first nine months of 2005. Net revenues were significantly higher in equity underwriting, primarily reflecting an increase in industry-wide equity and equity-related offerings, and in debt underwriting, primarily due to an increase in leveraged finance activity.

Operating expenses of \$3.22 billion for the nine months ended August 2006 increased 35% compared with the same period last year, primarily due to increased compensation and benefits expenses resulting from a higher accrual of discretionary compensation. Pre-tax earnings were \$1.06 billion compared with \$331 million in the same period last year.

Trading and Principal Investments

Our Trading and Principal Investments segment is divided into three components:

FICC. We make markets in and trade interest rate and credit products, mortgage-backed securities and loans, currencies and commodities, structure and enter into a wide variety of derivative transactions and engage in proprietary trading and investing.

Equities. We make markets in, trade and act as a specialist for equities and equity-related products, structure and enter into equity derivative transactions, engage in proprietary trading and enter into insurance transactions. We also execute and clear client transactions on major stock, options and futures exchanges worldwide.

Principal Investments. We generate net revenues from our corporate and real estate merchant banking investments, including the increased share of the income and gains derived from our merchant banking funds when the return on a fund's investments exceeds certain threshold returns (merchant banking overrides), as well as gains or losses related to our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG.

Substantially all of our inventory is marked-to-market daily and, therefore, its value and our net revenues are subject to fluctuations based on market movements. In addition, net revenues derived from our principal investments in privately held concerns and in real estate may fluctuate significantly depending on the revaluation or sale of these investments in any given period. We also regularly enter into large transactions as part of our trading businesses. The number and size of such transactions may affect our results of operations in a given period.

Table of Contents

Net revenues from Principal Investments do not include management fees generated from our merchant banking funds. These management fees are included in the net revenues of the Asset Management and Securities Services segment.

The following table sets forth the operating results of our Trading and Principal Investments segment:

Trading and Principal Investments Operating Results

(in millions)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| FICC | \$2,739 | \$2,626 | \$10,795 | \$ 6,634 |
| Equities trading | 707 | 872 | 3,730 | 2,073 |
| Equities commissions | 844 | 721 | 2,622 | 2,175 |
| Total Equities | 1,551 | 1,593 | 6,352 | 4,248 |
| SMFG | 261 | 498 | 605 | 752 |
| Gross gains | 269 | 249 | 1,003 | 583 |
| Gross losses | (135) | (44) | (389) | (123) |
| Net other corporate and real estate investments | 134 | 205 | 614 | 460 |
| Overrides | 35 | 140 | 199 | 164 |
| Total Principal Investments | 430 | 843 | 1,418 | 1,376 |
| Total net revenues | 4,720 | 5,062 | 18,565 | 12,258 |
| Operating expenses | 3,199 | 3,231 | 11,900 | 8,025 |
| Pre-tax earnings | \$1,521 | \$1,831 | \$ 6,665 | \$ 4,233 |

Three Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Net revenues in Trading and Principal Investments of \$4.72 billion for the third quarter of 2006 decreased 7% compared with the third quarter of 2005. Net revenues in FICC of \$2.74 billion increased 4% compared with a strong third quarter of 2005, reflecting higher net revenues in commodities and mortgages, partially offset by lower net revenues in currencies. In addition, net revenues in credit products were strong, but lower compared with the third quarter of 2005, while net revenues in interest rate products were essentially unchanged. Although FICC performed well, the business operated in a less favorable environment, as customer-driven activity declined from the first half of the year and volatility levels were generally low. Net revenues in Equities of \$1.55 billion decreased 3% compared with the third quarter of 2005, primarily reflecting significantly lower net revenues in principal strategies and, to a lesser extent, shares. These declines were partially offset by higher net revenues in derivatives and the contribution from our insurance business, which was acquired in

fiscal 2006. During the quarter, Equities operated in an environment in which equity prices lacked direction, and customer-driven activity declined from the first half of the year. Principal Investments recorded net revenues of \$430 million, reflecting a \$261 million gain related to our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG and \$169 million in gains and overrides from other principal investments.

Operating expenses of \$3.20 billion for the third quarter of 2006 decreased slightly compared with the third quarter of 2005, primarily due to decreased compensation and benefits expenses resulting from a lower accrual of discretionary compensation. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in non-compensation expenses. Excluding consolidated entities held for investment purposes, the increase in non-compensation expenses was primarily due to higher brokerage, clearing and exchange fees, principally in Equities. Other expenses were also higher, primarily due to costs

Table of Contents

related to the insurance business, which was acquired in fiscal 2006. Pre-tax earnings of \$1.52 billion decreased 17% compared with the third quarter of 2005.

Nine Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Net revenues in Trading and Principal Investments of \$18.57 billion for the first nine months of 2006 increased 51% compared with the same period last year. Net revenues in FICC of \$10.80 billion increased 63% compared with the first nine months of 2005, reflecting strong performances across all major businesses. During the first nine months of 2006, FICC operated in a generally favorable environment, although conditions became more challenging in the third quarter as customer-driven activity declined and volatility levels were low. Net revenues in Equities of \$6.35 billion increased 50% compared with the same period last year, primarily reflecting significantly higher net revenues in derivatives and shares and the contribution from our insurance business, which was acquired in fiscal 2006, partially offset by lower net revenues in principal strategies. Although Equities operated in an environment characterized by strong customer-driven activity and generally higher equity prices during the first half of 2006, following more challenging conditions in May, equity markets generally lacked direction and customer-driven activity declined during our third quarter. Principal Investments recorded net revenues of \$1.42 billion, reflecting a \$605 million gain related to our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG and \$813 million in gains and overrides from other principal investments.

Operating expenses of \$11.90 billion for the nine months ended August 2006 increased 48% compared with the nine months ended August 2005, primarily due to increased compensation and benefits expenses resulting from a higher accrual of discretionary compensation. Excluding consolidated entities held for investment purposes, non-compensation expenses increased primarily due to higher brokerage, clearing and exchange fees, principally in Equities. In addition, other expenses increased primarily due to costs related to the insurance business, which was acquired in fiscal 2006. Pre-tax earnings of \$6.67 billion increased 57% compared with the same period last year.

Asset Management and Securities Services

Our Asset Management and Securities Services segment is divided into two components:

Asset Management. Asset Management provides investment advisory and financial planning services and offers investment products across all major asset classes to a diverse group of institutions and individuals worldwide and primarily generates revenues in the form of management and incentive fees.

Securities Services. Securities Services provides prime brokerage services, financing services and securities lending services to mutual funds, pension funds, hedge funds, foundations and high-net-worth individuals worldwide, and generates revenues primarily in the form of interest rate spreads or fees.

Assets under management typically generate fees as a percentage of asset value. In certain circumstances, we are also entitled to receive asset management incentive fees based on a percentage of a fund's return or when the return on assets under management exceeds specified benchmark returns or other performance targets. Incentive fees are recognized when the performance period ends and they are no longer subject to adjustment. We have numerous incentive fee arrangements, many of which have annual performance periods that end on December 31. For that reason, incentive fees are seasonally weighted each year to our first fiscal quarter.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the operating results of our Asset Management and Securities Services segment:

Asset Management and Securities Services Operating Results

(in millions)

| | Three Months Ended August | | Nine Months Ended August | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Management and other fees | \$ 822 | \$ 672 | \$2,422 | \$1,947 |
| Incentive fees | 96 | 59 | 939 | 222 |
| Total Asset Management | 918 | 731 | 3,361 | 2,169 |
| Securities Services | 537 | 477 | 1,684 | 1,346 |
| Total net revenues | 1,455 | 1,208 | 5,045 | 3,515 |
| Operating expenses | 970 | 754 | 3,157 | 2,254 |
| Pre-tax earnings | \$ 485 | \$ 454 | \$1,888 | \$1,261 |

Assets under management include our mutual funds, alternative investment funds and separately managed accounts for institutional and individual investors. Substantially all assets under management are valued as of calendar month end.

The following table sets forth our assets under management by asset class:

Assets Under Management by Asset Class ⁽¹⁾

(in billions)

| | As of | | | |
|--|------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | August 31, | | November 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Alternative investments ⁽²⁾ | \$139 | \$107 | \$110 | \$ 95 |
| Equity | 193 | 159 | 167 | 133 |
| Fixed income | 186 | 156 | 154 | 134 |
| Total non-money market assets | 518 | 422 | 431 | 362 |
| Money markets | 111 | 98 | 101 | 90 |
| Total assets under management | \$629 | \$520 | \$532 | \$452 |

⁽¹⁾ In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the methodology for classifying certain non-money market assets was changed. The changes were primarily to reclassify certain assets allocated to external investment managers out

of alternative investment assets and to reclassify currency funds into alternative investment assets. The changes did not impact total assets under management and prior periods have been restated to conform to the current period presentation.

- (2) Primarily includes private equity funds, hedge funds, real estate funds, currency funds and asset allocation strategies.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in our assets under management:

Changes in Assets Under Management
(in billions)

| | Three Months Ended August 31, | | Nine Months Ended August 31, | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$593 | \$490 | \$532 | \$452 |
| Net asset inflows/(outflows) | | | | |
| Alternative investments | 13 | 2 | 26 | 9 |
| Equity | 4 | 10 | 12 | 21 |
| Fixed income | 10 | 6 | 22 | 17 |
| Total non-money market net asset inflows/(outflows) | 27 | 18 | 60 | 47 |
| Money markets | 3 ⁽¹⁾ | | 10 ⁽¹⁾ | 8 |
| Total net asset inflows/(outflows) | 30 | 18 | 70 ⁽²⁾ | 55 |
| Net market appreciation/(depreciation) | 6 | 12 | 27 | 13 |
| Balance, end of period | \$629 | \$520 | \$629 | \$520 |

(1) Includes the transfer of \$8 billion of money market assets under management to interest-bearing deposits at Goldman Sachs Bank USA, a wholly owned subsidiary of Goldman Sachs. These deposits are not included in assets under management.

(2) Includes \$3 billion of net asset inflows in connection with the December 30, 2005 acquisition of the variable annuity and variable life insurance business of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc.

Three Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Net revenues in Asset Management and Securities Services of \$1.46 billion for the third quarter of 2006 increased 20% compared with the third quarter of 2005. Asset Management net revenues of \$918 million increased 26% compared with the third quarter of 2005. The increase was primarily driven by significantly higher management and other fees, principally due to growth in assets under management. During the quarter, assets under management increased 6% to a record \$629 billion, reflecting non-money market net asset inflows of \$27 billion, principally in alternative investment and fixed income assets, money market net asset inflows of \$3 billion ⁽¹⁾ and market appreciation of \$6 billion, primarily in equity and fixed income assets. Securities Services net revenues of \$537 million increased 13% compared with the third quarter of 2005, as our prime brokerage business continued to generate strong results, primarily reflecting significantly higher global customer balances in securities lending and margin lending.

Operating expenses of \$970 million for the third quarter of 2006 increased 29% compared with the third quarter of 2005, primarily due to increased compensation and benefits expenses resulting from a higher accrual of discretionary compensation. Pre-tax earnings of \$485 million increased 7% compared with the third quarter of 2005.

Nine Months Ended August 2006 versus August 2005. Net revenues in Asset Management and Securities Services of \$5.05 billion for the first nine months of 2006 increased 44% compared with the same period last year. Asset Management net revenues of \$3.36 billion increased 55% compared with the first nine months of 2005, reflecting significantly higher incentive fees and a 24% increase in management and other fees. Incentive fees were \$939 million for the first nine months of 2006 compared with \$222 million for the same period last year. During the first nine months of 2006, assets under management increased 18% to \$629 billion, reflecting non-money market net asset inflows of \$60 billion, primarily in alternative investment and fixed income assets, money market net

Table of Contents

asset inflows of \$10 billion ⁽¹⁾ and market appreciation of \$27 billion, primarily in equity and fixed income assets. Securities Services net revenues of \$1.68 billion increased 25% compared with the same period last year, as our prime brokerage business generated strong results, primarily reflecting significantly higher global customer balances in securities lending and margin lending.

Operating expenses of \$3.16 billion for the nine months ended 2006 increased 40% compared with the nine months ended August 2005, primarily due to increased compensation and benefits expenses resulting from a higher accrual of discretionary compensation. Pre-tax earnings of \$1.89 billion increased 50% compared with the same period last year.

Capital and Funding

Capital

The amount of capital we hold is principally determined by regulatory capital requirements, rating agency guidelines, subsidiary capital requirements and our overall risk profile, which is largely driven by the size and composition of our trading and investing positions. Goldman Sachs' total capital (total shareholders equity and long-term borrowings) increased 27% to \$162.82 billion as of August 2006 compared with \$128.01 billion as of November 2005. See **Liquidity Risk** **Cash Flows** below for a discussion of how we deployed capital raised as part of our financing activities.

The increase in total capital resulted primarily from an increase in long-term borrowings to \$129.33 billion as of August 2006 from \$100.01 billion as of November 2005. The weighted average maturity of our long-term borrowings as of August 2006 was approximately seven years. We swap a substantial portion of our long-term borrowings into U.S. dollar obligations with short-term floating interest rates in order to minimize our exposure to interest rates and foreign exchange movements. See Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our long-term borrowings.

Over the past several years, our ratio of long-term borrowings to total shareholders' equity has been increasing. The growth in our long-term borrowings has been driven primarily by (i) our ability to replace a portion of our short-term borrowings with long-term borrowings and pre-fund near-term refinancing requirements, in light of the favorable debt financing environment, and (ii) the need to increase total capital in response to growth in our trading and investing businesses.

⁽¹⁾ Includes the transfer of \$8 billion of money market assets under management to interest-bearing deposits at Goldman Sachs Bank USA, a wholly owned subsidiary of Goldman Sachs. These deposits are not included in assets under management.

Table of Contents

Total shareholders equity increased by 20% to \$33.49 billion (common equity of \$30.39 billion and preferred stock of \$3.10 billion) as of August 2006 from \$28.00 billion as of November 2005. On July 24, 2006, Goldman Sachs issued an additional 20,000 shares of perpetual Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series D. As of August 2006, Goldman Sachs had 124,000 shares of perpetual non-cumulative preferred stock outstanding in four series as set forth in the following table:

Preferred Stock by Series

| Series | Shares Issued | Shares Authorized | Dividend Rate | Earliest Redemption Date | Redemption Value (in millions) |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| A | 30,000 | 50,000 | 3 month LIBOR + 0.75%, with floor of 3.75% per annum | April 25, 2010 | \$ 750 |
| B | 32,000 | 50,000 | 6.20% per annum | October 31, 2010 | 800 |
| C | 8,000 | 25,000 | 3 month LIBOR + 0.75%, with floor of 4% per annum | October 31, 2010 | 200 |
| D | 54,000 | 60,000 | 3 month LIBOR + 0.67%, with floor of 4% per annum | May 24, 2011 | 1,350 |
| | 124,000 | 185,000 | | | \$3,100 |

Each share of preferred stock has a par value of \$0.01, has a liquidation preference of \$25,000, is represented by 1,000 depository shares and is redeemable at our option at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 plus declared and unpaid dividends. Dividends on each series of preferred stock, if declared, are payable quarterly in arrears. Our ability to declare or pay dividends on, or purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire, our common stock is subject to certain restrictions in the event that we fail to pay or set aside full dividends on our preferred stock for the latest completed dividend period. All preferred stock also has a preference over our common stock upon liquidation.

Our common stock repurchase program is intended to maintain our total shareholders equity at appropriate levels and to substantially offset increases in share count over time resulting from employee share-based compensation. The repurchase program has been effected primarily through regular open-market purchases and is influenced by, among other factors, the level of our common shareholders equity, our overall capital position, share-based awards and exercises of employee stock options, the prevailing market price of our common stock and general market conditions.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we repurchased 3.8 million shares of our common stock at a total cost of \$573 million. In the first nine months of fiscal 2006, we repurchased a total of 29.5 million shares of our common stock at a total cost of \$4.17 billion. The average price paid per share for repurchased shares was \$148.90 and \$141.40 for the three and nine months ended August 2006, respectively. In addition, to satisfy minimum statutory employee tax withholding requirements related to the delivery of shares underlying restricted stock units, we cancelled 3.0 million restricted stock units with a total value of \$375 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2006. As of August 2006, we were

authorized to repurchase up to 13.3 million additional shares of common stock pursuant to our repurchase program. On September 11, 2006, the Board of Directors of Goldman Sachs authorized the repurchase of an additional 60.0 million shares of common stock pursuant to the existing common stock repurchase program. As of September 11, 2006, the remaining share authorization under our existing common stock repurchase program, including the newly authorized amount, was 73.3 million shares. For additional information on our repurchase program, see Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds included in Part II, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information on our assets, shareholders equity, leverage ratios and book value per common share:

| | As of | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| | (\$ in millions, except per share amounts) | |
| Total assets | \$798,309 | \$706,804 |
| Adjusted assets ⁽¹⁾ | 523,465 | 466,500 |
| Total shareholders equity | 33,493 | 28,002 |
| Tangible equity capital ⁽²⁾ | 31,495 | 26,030 |
| Leverage ratio ⁽³⁾ | 23.8x | 25.2x |
| Adjusted leverage ratio ⁽⁴⁾ | 16.6x | 17.9x |
| Debt to equity ratio ⁽⁵⁾ | 3.9x | 3.6x |
| Common shareholders equity | 30,393 | 26,252 |
| Tangible common shareholders equity ⁽⁶⁾ | 25,645 | 21,530 |
| Book value per common share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 67.87 | \$ 57.02 |
| Tangible book value per common share ⁽⁸⁾ | 57.27 | 46.76 |

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted assets excludes (i) low-risk collateralized assets generally associated with our matched book and securities lending businesses (which we calculate by adding our securities borrowed and financial instruments purchased under agreements to resell, and then subtracting our nonderivative short positions), (ii) cash and securities we segregate for regulatory and other purposes and (iii) goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets. In fiscal 2006, we amended our calculation of adjusted assets. We no longer deduct identifiable intangible assets associated with power contracts and insurance-related assets. We do not deduct these assets in order to be consistent with the calculation of tangible equity capital and the adjusted leverage ratio. Prior periods have been restated to conform to the current period presentation.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of total assets to adjusted assets:

| | As of | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Total assets | \$ 798,309 | \$ 706,804 |
| Deduct: Securities borrowed | (210,190) | (191,800) |
| Financial instruments purchased under agreements to resell | (82,958) | (83,619) |
| Add: Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value | 156,557 | 149,071 |

| | | | |
|---------|--|------------|------------|
| | Less derivative liabilities | (57,196) | (57,829) |
| | Subtotal | 99,361 | 91,242 |
| Deduct: | Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes | (76,309) | (51,405) |
| | Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets | (4,748) | (4,722) |
| | Adjusted assets | \$ 523,465 | \$ 466,500 |

- (2) Tangible equity capital equals total shareholders' equity and junior subordinated debt issued to a trust less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets. We consider junior subordinated debt issued to a trust to be a component of our tangible equity capital base due to the inherent characteristics of these securities, including the long-term nature of the securities, our ability to defer coupon interest for up to ten consecutive semiannual periods and the subordinated nature of the obligations in our capital structure. In fiscal 2006, we amended our calculation of tangible equity capital. We no longer deduct identifiable intangible assets associated with power contracts and insurance-related assets from total shareholders' equity, in each case because, unlike other intangible assets, we do not hold material amounts of common shareholders' equity to support these assets. Prior periods have been restated to conform to the current period presentation.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of total shareholders' equity to tangible equity capital:

| | As of | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$33,493 | \$28,002 |
| Add: Junior subordinated debt issued to a trust | 2,750 | 2,750 |
| Deduct: Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets | (4,748) | (4,722) |
| Tangible equity capital | \$31,495 | \$26,030 |

- (3) Leverage ratio equals total assets divided by total shareholders' equity.
- (4) Adjusted leverage ratio equals adjusted assets divided by tangible equity capital. We believe that the adjusted leverage ratio is a more meaningful measure of our capital adequacy than the leverage ratio because it excludes certain low-risk collateralized assets that are generally supported with little or no capital and reflects the tangible equity capital deployed in our businesses.
- (5) Debt to equity ratio equals long-term borrowings divided by total shareholders' equity.
- (6) Tangible common shareholders' equity equals total shareholders' equity less preferred stock, goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets. In fiscal 2006, we amended our calculation of tangible common shareholders' equity. We no longer deduct identifiable intangible assets associated with power contracts and insurance-related assets from common shareholders' equity, in each case because, unlike other intangible assets, we do not hold material amounts of common shareholders' equity to support these assets. Prior periods have been restated to conform to the current period presentation.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of total shareholders' equity to tangible common shareholders' equity:

| | As of | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$33,493 | \$28,002 |
| Deduct: Preferred stock | (3,100) | (1,750) |
| Common shareholders' equity | 30,393 | 26,252 |
| Deduct: Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, excluding power contracts and insurance-related intangible assets | (4,748) | (4,722) |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Tangible common shareholders equity | \$25,645 | \$21,530 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|

- (7) Book value per common share is based on common shares outstanding, including restricted stock units granted to employees with no future service requirements, of 447.8 million as of August 2006 and 460.4 million as of November 2005.
- (8) Tangible book value per common share is computed by dividing tangible common shareholders equity by the number of common shares outstanding, including restricted stock units granted to employees with no future service requirements.

Consolidated Supervised Entity

Goldman Sachs is regulated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as a Consolidated Supervised Entity (CSE). As such, it is subject to group-wide supervision and examination by the SEC and to minimum capital requirements on a consolidated basis. As of August 2006 and November 2005, Goldman Sachs was in compliance with the CSE capital requirements. See Note 14 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our regulated subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**Short-Term Borrowings**

Goldman Sachs obtains short-term borrowings primarily through the use of promissory notes, commercial paper, secured debt and bank loans. Short-term borrowings also include the portion of long-term borrowings maturing within one year of our financial statement date and certain long-term borrowings that are redeemable within one year of our financial statement date at the option of the holder.

The following table sets forth our short-term borrowings:

Short-Term Borrowings
(in millions)

| | As of | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| Promissory notes | \$18,277 | \$17,339 |
| Commercial paper | 2,437 | 5,154 |
| Secured debt, bank loans and other | 35,586 | 15,975 |
| Current portion of secured and unsecured long-term borrowings | 16,364 | 16,751 |
| Total ⁽¹⁾ | \$72,664 | \$55,219 |

⁽¹⁾ Short-term borrowings as of August 2006 include \$8.38 billion of hybrid financial instruments accounted for at fair value under SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140.

Our liquidity depends to an important degree on our ability to refinance these borrowings on a continuous basis. Investors who hold our outstanding promissory notes (short-term unsecured debt that is nontransferable and in which Goldman Sachs does not make a market) and commercial paper have no obligation to purchase new instruments when the outstanding instruments mature.

The following table sets forth our secured and unsecured short-term borrowings:

| | As of | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Secured short-term borrowings | \$18,850 | \$ 7,972 |
| Unsecured short-term borrowings | 53,814 | 47,247 |
| Total short-term borrowings | \$72,664 | \$55,219 |

Table of Contents

A large portion of our secured short-term borrowings are similar in nature to our other collateralized financing sources such as financial instruments sold under agreements to repurchase. These secured short-term borrowings provide Goldman Sachs with a more stable source of liquidity than unsecured short-term borrowings, as they are less sensitive to changes in our credit ratings due to underlying collateral. Our unsecured short-term borrowings include extendible debt if the earliest maturity occurs within one year of our financial statement date. Extendible debt is debt that allows the holder the right to extend the maturity date at predetermined periods during the contractual life of the instrument. These borrowings can be, and in the past generally have been, extended. See **Liquidity Risk** below for a discussion of the principal liquidity policies we have in place to manage the liquidity risk associated with our short-term borrowings. For a discussion of factors that could impair our ability to access the capital markets, see **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K. See Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our short-term borrowings.

Credit Ratings

We rely upon the short-term and long-term debt capital markets to fund a significant portion of our day-to-day operations. The cost and availability of debt financing is influenced by our credit ratings. Credit ratings are important when we are competing in certain markets and when we seek to engage in longer term transactions, including OTC derivatives. We believe our credit ratings are primarily based on the credit rating agencies' assessment of our liquidity, market, credit and operational risk management practices, the level and variability of our earnings, our capital base, our franchise, reputation and management, our corporate governance and the external operating environment. See **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks associated with a reduction in our credit ratings.

The following table sets forth our unsecured credit ratings as of August 2006:

Short-Term Debt Long-Term Debt Subordinated Debt ⁽¹⁾ Preferred Stock

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| Dominion Bond Rating Service Limited | R-1 (middle) | AA (low) | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Fitch, Inc. | F1+ | AA- | A+ | A+ |
| Moody's Investors Service | P-1 | Aa3 | A1 | A2 |
| Standard & Poor's | A-1 | A+ | A | A- |

⁽¹⁾ During the second quarter of 2006, we issued \$1.50 billion of subordinated notes. See Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our subordinated debt.

As of August 2006, collateral or termination payments pursuant to bilateral agreements with certain counterparties of approximately \$531 million would have been required in the event of a one-notch reduction in our long-term credit ratings. In evaluating our liquidity requirements, we consider additional collateral or termination payments that would be required in the event of further reductions in our long-term credit ratings, as well as collateral that has not been called by counterparties, but is available to them. For a further discussion of our excess liquidity policies, see **Liquidity Risk** **Excess Liquidity** **Maintenance of a Pool of Highly Liquid Securities** below.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

Goldman Sachs has contractual obligations to make future payments under long-term debt, long-term noncancelable lease agreements and purchase obligations and has commitments under a variety of commercial arrangements.

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations by fiscal maturity date as of August 2006:

Contractual Obligations
(in millions)

| | Remainder of 2006 | 2007- 2008 | 2009- 2010 | 2011- Thereafter | Total |
|---|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Long-term borrowings ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ ⁽³⁾ | \$ | \$20,218 | \$27,840 | \$81,273 | \$129,331 |
| Minimum rental payments | 104 | 969 | 658 | 2,359 | 4,090 |
| Purchase obligations ⁽⁴⁾ | 998 | 1,380 | 47 | 22 | 2,447 |

(1) Long-term borrowings maturing within one year of our financial statement date and certain long-term borrowings that are redeemable within one year of our financial statement date at the option of the holder are included as short-term borrowings in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

(2) Long-term borrowings that are repayable prior to maturity at the option of Goldman Sachs are reflected at their contractual maturity dates. Long-term borrowings that are redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the holder are reflected at the dates such options become exercisable.

(3) Long-term borrowings as of August 2006 include \$5.51 billion of hybrid financial instruments accounted for at fair value under SFAS No. 155.

(4) Primarily includes construction-related obligations.

As of August 2006, our long-term borrowings were \$129.33 billion and consisted principally of senior borrowings with maturities extending to 2036. These long-term borrowings consisted of \$19.55 billion in secured long-term borrowings and \$109.78 billion in unsecured long-term borrowings. As of August 2006, long-term borrowings included nonrecourse debt of \$16.41 billion, consisting of \$6.23 billion issued by William Street Funding Corporation (a wholly owned subsidiary of Group Inc. formed to raise funding to support loan commitments to investment-grade clients made by another wholly owned William Street entity), and \$10.18 billion issued by other consolidated entities, of which \$1.10 billion was related to our power generation facilities. Nonrecourse debt is debt that only the issuing subsidiary or, if applicable, a subsidiary guaranteeing the debt is obligated to repay. See Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our long-term borrowings.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our quarterly long-term borrowings maturity profile through the third fiscal quarter of 2012:

Long-Term Borrowings Maturity Profile
(\$ in millions)

(1) Our long-term borrowings include extendible debt if the earliest maturity is one year or greater from our financial statement date. Extendible debt is categorized in the maturity profile at the earliest possible maturity even though the debt can be, and in the past generally has been, extended.

As of August 2006, our future minimum rental payments, net of minimum sublease rentals, under noncancelable leases were \$4.09 billion. These lease commitments, principally for office space, expire on various dates through 2069. Certain agreements are subject to periodic escalation provisions for increases in real estate taxes and other charges. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our leases.

Our occupancy expenses include costs associated with office space held in excess of our current requirements. This excess space, the cost of which is charged to earnings as incurred, is being held for potential growth or to replace currently occupied space that we may exit in the future. We regularly evaluate our current and future space capacity in relation to current and projected staffing levels. We may incur exit costs in fiscal 2006 and thereafter to the extent we (i) reduce our space capacity or (ii) commit to, or occupy, new properties in the locations in which we operate and, consequently, dispose of existing space that had been held for potential growth. These exit costs may be material to our results of operations in a given period.

As of August 2006 and November 2005, we had construction-related obligations of \$1.62 billion and \$579 million, respectively, including purchase obligations of \$1.26 billion and \$481 million, respectively, related to the development of wind energy projects. Construction-related obligations

Table of Contents

also include outstanding purchase obligations of \$306 million and \$47 million as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively, related to our new world headquarters in New York City, which is expected to cost between \$2.3 billion and \$2.5 billion.

As of August 2006, we had commitments to enter into forward secured financing transactions, including certain repurchase and resale agreements and secured borrowing and lending arrangements, of \$53.31 billion.

The following table sets forth our commitments as of August 2006:

Commitments

(in millions)

Commitment Amount by Fiscal Period of Expiration

| | Remainder of 2006 | 2007- 2008 | 2009- 2010 | 2011- Thereafter | Total |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | | | | | |
| William Street program | \$ 383 | \$ 2,378 | \$ 6,551 | \$ 8,646 | \$17,958 |
| Other commercial lending: | | | | | |
| Investment-grade | 974 | 3,948 | 2,030 | 4,949 | 11,901 |
| Non-investment-grade | 524 | 4,049 | 1,916 | 18,489 | 24,978 |
| Warehouse financing | 4,886 | 10,302 | 176 | | 15,364 |
| Total commitments to extend credit | 6,767 | 20,677 | 10,673 | 32,084 | 70,201 |
| Commitments under letters of credit issued by banks to counterparties | 2,592 | 3,779 | 2 | 31 | 6,404 |
| Merchant banking commitments | 4 | 38 | 3,719 | 796 | 4,557 |
| Underwriting commitments | 49 | 36 | | | 85 |
| Total | \$9,412 | \$24,530 | \$14,394 | \$32,911 | \$81,247 |

Our commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to counterparties that have fixed termination dates and are contingent on the satisfaction of all conditions to borrowing set forth in the contract. In connection with our lending activities, we had outstanding commitments to extend credit of \$70.20 billion as of August 2006 compared with \$61.12 billion as of November 2005. Since these commitments may expire unused, the total commitment amount does not necessarily reflect the actual future cash flow requirements. Our commercial lending commitments outside the William Street credit extension program are generally extended in connection with contingent acquisition financing and other types of corporate lending. We may reduce our credit risk on these commitments by syndicating all or substantial portions of commitments to other investors. In addition, commitments that are extended for contingent acquisition financing are often short-term in nature, as borrowers often replace them with other funding sources.

With respect to the William Street credit extension program, substantially all of the commitments extended are to investment-grade corporate borrowers. With respect to these commitments, we have credit loss protection provided to us by SMFG, which is generally limited to 95% of the first loss we realize on

approved loan commitments, subject to a maximum of \$1.00 billion. In addition, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, upon our request, SMFG will provide protection for 70% of the second loss on such commitments, subject to a maximum of \$1.13 billion. We also use other financial instruments to mitigate credit risks related to certain William Street commitments not covered by SMFG.

Our commitments to extend credit also include financing for the warehousing of financial assets to be securitized, primarily in connection with collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and mortgage securitizations, which are expected to be repaid from the proceeds of the related securitizations for which we may or may not act as underwriter. These arrangements are secured by the warehoused

Table of Contents

assets, primarily consisting of mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities, residential and commercial mortgages and corporate debt instruments.

See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our commitments, contingencies and guarantees.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity is of critical importance to companies in the financial services sector. Most failures of financial institutions have occurred in large part due to insufficient liquidity resulting from adverse circumstances. Accordingly, Goldman Sachs has in place a comprehensive set of liquidity and funding policies that are intended to maintain significant flexibility to address both firm-specific and broader industry or market liquidity events. Our principal objective is to be able to fund Goldman Sachs and to enable our core businesses to continue to generate revenue even under adverse circumstances.

Management has implemented a number of policies according to the following liquidity risk management framework:

Excess Liquidity maintain substantial excess liquidity to meet a broad range of potential cash outflows in a stressed environment including financing obligations.

Asset-Liability Management ensure we fund our assets with appropriate financing.

Intercompany Funding maintain parent company liquidity and manage the distribution of liquidity across the group structure.

Crisis Planning ensure all funding and liquidity management is based on stress-scenario planning and feeds into our liquidity crisis plan.

Excess Liquidity

Maintenance of a Pool of Highly Liquid Securities. Our most important liquidity policy is to pre-fund what we estimate will be our likely cash needs during a liquidity crisis and hold such excess liquidity in the form of unencumbered, highly liquid securities that may be sold or pledged to provide same-day liquidity. This Global Core Excess liquidity is intended to allow us to meet immediate obligations without needing to sell other assets or depend on additional funding from credit-sensitive markets. We believe that this pool of excess liquidity provides us with a resilient source of funds and gives us significant flexibility in managing through a difficult funding environment. Our Global Core Excess reflects the following principles:

The first days or weeks of a liquidity crisis are the most critical to a company's survival.

Focus must be maintained on all potential cash and collateral outflows, not just disruptions to financing flows. Goldman Sachs' businesses are diverse, and its cash needs are driven by many factors, including market movements, collateral requirements and client commitments, all of which can change dramatically in a difficult funding environment.

During a liquidity crisis, credit-sensitive funding, including unsecured debt and some types of secured financing agreements, may be unavailable and the terms or availability of other types of secured financing may change.

As a result of our policy to pre-fund liquidity that we estimate may be needed in a crisis, we hold more unencumbered securities and larger unsecured debt balances than our businesses would otherwise require. We believe that our liquidity is stronger with greater balances of highly liquid unencumbered securities, even though it increases our unsecured liabilities.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the average loan value (the estimated amount of cash that would be advanced by counterparties against these securities) of our Global Core Excess:

| | Three Months Ended August 2006 | Fiscal Year Ended November 2005 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | (in millions) | |
| U.S. dollar-denominated | \$47,196 | \$35,310 |
| Non-U.S. dollar-denominated | 10,504 | 11,029 |
| Total Global Core Excess | \$57,700 | \$46,339 |

The U.S. dollar-denominated excess is comprised of only unencumbered U.S. government and agency securities and highly liquid mortgage securities, all of which are Federal Reserve repo-eligible, as well as overnight cash deposits. Our non-U.S. dollar-denominated excess is comprised of only unencumbered French, German, United Kingdom and Japanese government bonds and euro, British pound and Japanese yen overnight cash deposits. We strictly limit our Global Core Excess to this narrowly defined list of securities and cash that we believe are highly liquid, even in a difficult funding environment.

The majority of our Global Core Excess is structured such that it is available to meet the liquidity requirements of our parent company, Group Inc., and all of its subsidiaries. The remainder is held in our principal non-U.S. operating entities, primarily to better match the currency and timing requirements for those entities' potential liquidity obligations.

The size of our Global Core Excess is determined by an internal liquidity model together with a qualitative assessment of the condition of the financial markets and of Goldman Sachs. Our liquidity model identifies and estimates cash and collateral outflows over a short-term horizon in a liquidity crisis, including, but not limited to:

- upcoming maturities of unsecured debt and letters of credit;
- potential buybacks of a portion of our outstanding negotiable unsecured debt;
- adverse changes in the terms or availability of secured funding;
- derivatives and other margin and collateral outflows, including those due to market moves or increased requirements;
- additional collateral that could be called in the event of a downgrade in our credit ratings;
- draws on our unfunded commitments not supported by William Street Funding Corporation ⁽¹⁾; and
- upcoming cash outflows, such as tax and other large payments.

Other Unencumbered Assets. In addition to our Global Core Excess described above, we have a significant amount of other unencumbered securities as a result of our business activities. These assets, which are located in the United States, Europe and Asia, include other government bonds, high-grade money market securities, corporate

bonds and marginable equities. We do not include these securities in our Global Core Excess.

We maintain Global Core Excess and other unencumbered assets in an amount that, if pledged or sold, would provide the funds necessary to replace at least 110% of our unsecured obligations that are scheduled to mature (or where holders have the option to redeem) within the next 12 months. This implies that we could fund our positions on a secured basis for one year in the event we were unable to issue new unsecured debt or liquidate assets. We assume conservative

⁽¹⁾ The Global Core Excess excludes liquid assets held separately to support the William Street credit extension program.

Table of Contents

loan values that are based on stress-scenario borrowing capacity and we regularly review these assumptions asset-by-asset. The estimated aggregate loan value of our Global Core Excess and our other unencumbered assets averaged \$141.11 billion, \$124.56 billion and \$125.36 billion in the third quarter of 2006, second quarter of 2006 and in the fiscal year 2005, respectively.

Asset-Liability Management

Asset Quality and Balance Sheet Composition. We seek to maintain a highly liquid balance sheet and substantially all of our inventory is marked-to-market daily. We utilize aged inventory limits for certain financial instruments as a disincentive to our businesses to hold inventory over longer periods of time. We believe that these limits provide a complementary mechanism for ensuring appropriate balance sheet liquidity in addition to our standard position limits. In addition, we periodically reduce the size of certain parts of our balance sheet to comply with period end limits set by management. Because of these periodic reductions and certain other factors including seasonal activity, market conventions and periodic market opportunities in certain of our businesses that result in larger positions during the middle of our reporting periods, our balance sheet fluctuates between financial statement dates and is lower at fiscal period end than would be observed on an average basis. Over the last six quarters, our total assets and adjusted assets at quarter end each would have been, on average, 5% lower than amounts that would have been observed based on a weekly average over that period. These differences, however, have not resulted in material changes to our credit risk, market risk or liquidity position because they are generally in highly liquid assets that are typically financed on a secured basis.

Certain financial instruments may be more difficult to fund on a secured basis during times of market stress and, accordingly, we generally hold higher levels of capital for these assets than more liquid types of financial instruments. The table below sets forth our aggregate holdings in these categories of financial instruments:

| | As of | |
|---|----------------|------------------|
| | August 2006 | November 2005 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Mortgage whole loans and collateralized debt obligations ⁽¹⁾ | \$36,507 | \$31,459 |
| Bank loans ⁽²⁾ | 27,254 | 13,843 |
| High-yield securities | 8,318 | 8,822 |
| Emerging market debt securities | 2,285 | 1,789 |
| SMFG convertible preferred stock | 4,938 | 4,058 |
| ICBC ordinary shares ⁽³⁾ | 2,605 | |
| Other corporate principal investments ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,207 | 1,723 |
| Real estate principal investments ⁽⁴⁾ | 621 | 745 |

(1) Includes certain mortgage-backed interests held in QSPEs. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our securitization activities.

(2) Includes funded commitments and inventory held in connection with our origination and secondary trading activities.

(3) Includes economic interests of \$1.65 billion as of August 2006 assumed by investment funds managed by Goldman Sachs.

(4) Excludes assets for which Goldman Sachs is not at risk (e.g., assets related to consolidated merchant banking funds) of \$3.64 billion and \$1.93 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively.

A large portion of these assets are funded on a secured basis through secured funding markets or nonrecourse financing. We focus on demonstrating a consistent ability to fund these assets on a secured basis for extended periods of time to reduce refinancing risk and to help ensure that these assets have an established amount of loan value in order that they can be funded in periods of market stress.

Table of Contents

See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on the Form 10-Q for further information regarding the financial instruments we hold.

Appropriate Financing of Asset Base. We seek to manage the maturity profile of our funding base such that we should be able to liquidate our assets prior to our liabilities coming due, even in times of prolonged or severe liquidity stress. We generally do not rely on immediate sales of assets (other than our Global Core Excess) to maintain liquidity in a distressed environment. However, we recognize that orderly asset sales may be prudent and necessary in a persistent liquidity crisis.

In order to avoid reliance on asset sales, our goal is to ensure that we have sufficient total capital (long-term borrowings plus total shareholders' equity) to fund our balance sheet for at least one year. We seek to maintain total capital in excess of the aggregate of the following long-term financing requirements:

the portion of financial instruments owned that we believe could not be funded on a secured basis in periods of market stress, assuming conservative loan values;

goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, property, leasehold improvements and equipment, and other illiquid assets;

derivative and other margin and collateral requirements;

anticipated draws on our unfunded loan commitments; and

capital or other forms of financing in our regulated subsidiaries that is in excess of their long-term financing requirements. See **Intercompany Funding** below for a further discussion of how we fund our subsidiaries.

Our total capital of \$162.82 billion and \$128.01 billion as of August 2006 and November 2005, respectively, exceeded the aggregate of these requirements.

Conservative Liability Structure. We structure our liabilities conservatively to reduce refinancing risk as well as the risk that we may redeem or repurchase certain of our borrowings prior to their contractual maturity. For example, we may repurchase Goldman Sachs' commercial paper through the ordinary course of business as a market maker. As such, we emphasize the use of promissory notes (in which Goldman Sachs does not make a market) over commercial paper in order to improve the stability of our short-term unsecured financing base. We have also created internal guidelines regarding the principal amount of debt maturing on any one day or during any single week or year and have average maturity targets for our unsecured debt programs.

We seek to maintain broad and diversified funding sources globally for both secured and unsecured funding. We have imposed various internal guidelines, including the amount of our commercial paper that can be owned and letters of credit that can be issued by any single investor or group of investors. We benefit from distributing our debt issuances through our own sales force to a large, diverse global creditor base and we believe that our relationships with our creditors are critical to our liquidity.

We access funding in a variety of markets in the United States, Europe and Asia. We issue debt through syndicated U.S. registered offerings, U.S. registered and 144A medium-term note programs, offshore medium-term note offerings and other bond offerings, U.S. and non-U.S. commercial paper and promissory note issuances, and other methods. We make extensive use of the repurchase agreement and securities lending markets and arrange for letters of credit to be issued on our behalf.

Substantially all of our unsecured debt is issued without provisions that would, based solely upon an adverse change in our credit ratings, financial ratios, earnings, cash flows or stock price, trigger a requirement for an early payment, collateral support, change in terms, acceleration of maturity or the creation of an additional financial obligation.

Table of Contents

Intercompany Funding

Subsidiary Funding Policies. Substantially all of our unsecured funding is raised by our parent company, Group Inc. The parent company then lends the necessary funds to its subsidiaries, some of which are regulated, to meet their asset financing and capital requirements. In addition, the parent company provides its regulated subsidiaries with the necessary capital to meet their regulatory requirements. The benefits of this approach to subsidiary funding include enhanced control and greater flexibility to meet the funding requirements of our subsidiaries.

Our intercompany funding policies are predicated on an assumption that, unless legally provided for, funds or securities are not freely available from a subsidiary to its parent company or other subsidiaries. In particular, many of our subsidiaries are subject to laws that authorize regulatory bodies to block or limit the flow of funds from those subsidiaries to Group Inc. Regulatory action of that kind could impede access to funds that Group Inc. needs to make payments on obligations, including debt obligations. As such, we assume that capital or other financing provided to our regulated subsidiaries is not available to our parent company or other subsidiaries. In addition, we assume that the Global Core Excess held in our principal non-U.S. operating entities will not be available to our parent company or other subsidiaries and therefore is available only to meet the potential liquidity requirements of those entities.

We also manage our intercompany exposure by requiring senior and subordinated intercompany loans to have maturities equal to or shorter than the maturities of the aggregate borrowings of the parent company. This policy ensures that the subsidiaries' obligations to the parent company will generally mature in advance of the parent company's third-party borrowings. In addition, many of our subsidiaries and affiliates pledge collateral at loan value to the parent company to cover their intercompany borrowings (other than subordinated debt) in order to mitigate parent company liquidity risk.

Equity investments in subsidiaries are generally funded with parent company equity capital. As of August 2006, Group Inc.'s equity investment in subsidiaries was \$29.99 billion compared with its total shareholders' equity of \$33.49 billion.

Group Inc. has provided substantial amounts of equity and subordinated indebtedness, directly or indirectly, to its regulated subsidiaries; for example, as of August 2006, Group Inc. had \$17.48 billion of such equity and subordinated indebtedness invested in Goldman, Sachs & Co., its principal U.S. registered broker-dealer; \$22.08 billion invested in Goldman Sachs International, a regulated U.K. broker-dealer; \$2.44 billion invested in Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing, L.P., a U.S. registered broker-dealer; and \$2.61 billion invested in Goldman Sachs (Japan) Ltd., a regulated broker-dealer based in Tokyo. Group Inc. also had \$52.84 billion of unsubordinated loans to these entities as of August 2006, as well as significant amounts of capital invested in and loans to its other regulated subsidiaries.

Subsidiary Foreign Exchange Policies. Our capital invested in non-U.S. subsidiaries is generally exposed to foreign exchange risk, substantially all of which is hedged. In addition, we generally hedge the non-trading exposure to foreign exchange risk that arises from transactions denominated in currencies other than the transacting entity's functional currency.

Table of Contents

Crisis Planning

In order to be prepared for a liquidity event, or a period of market stress, we base our liquidity risk management framework and our resulting funding and liquidity policies on conservative stress-scenario planning.

In addition, we maintain a liquidity crisis plan that specifies an approach for analyzing and responding to a liquidity-threatening event. The plan provides the framework to estimate the likely impact of a liquidity event on Goldman Sachs based on some of the risks identified above and outlines which and to what extent liquidity maintenance activities should be implemented based on the severity of the event. It also lists the crisis management team and internal and external parties to be contacted to ensure effective distribution of information.

Cash Flows

As a global financial institution, our cash flows are complex and interrelated and bear little relation to our net earnings and net assets and, consequently, we believe that traditional cash flow analysis is less meaningful in evaluating our liquidity position than the excess liquidity and asset-liability management policies described above. Cash flow analysis may, however, be helpful in highlighting certain macro trends and strategic initiatives in our business.

Nine Months Ended August 2006. Our cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$198 million to \$10.06 billion as of August 2006. We raised \$44.73 billion in net cash from financing activities, primarily in long-term debt, in light of the favorable debt financing environment, partially offset by common stock repurchases. We used net cash of \$44.93 billion in our operating and investing activities, primarily to capitalize on trading and investing opportunities for ourselves and our clients.

Nine Months Ended August 2005. Our cash and cash equivalents increased by \$2.53 billion to \$6.90 billion as of August 2005. We raised \$16.42 billion in net cash from financing activities, primarily in long-term debt, in light of the favorable debt financing environment, partially offset by common stock repurchases. We used net cash of \$13.89 billion in our operating and investing activities, primarily to capitalize on trading and investing opportunities for ourselves and our clients.

Table of Contents**Recent Accounting Developments**

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123-R, Share-Based Payment, which is a revision to SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123-R focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in exchange for share-based payments. Under SFAS No. 123-R, the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments is generally measured based on the grant-date fair value of the award. Effective for the first quarter of 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123-R, under the modified prospective adoption method. Under that method of adoption, the provisions of SFAS No. 123-R are generally applied only to share-based awards granted subsequent to adoption. The accounting treatment of share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees prior to our adoption of SFAS No. 123-R has not changed and financial statements for periods prior to adoption are not restated for the effects of adopting SFAS No. 123-R.

SFAS No. 123-R requires expected forfeitures to be included in determining share-based employee compensation expense. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123-R, forfeiture benefits were recorded as a reduction to compensation expense when an employee left the firm and forfeited the award. In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we recorded a benefit for expected forfeitures on all outstanding share-based awards. The transition impact of adopting SFAS No. 123-R as of the first day of our 2006 fiscal year, including the effect of accruing for expected forfeitures on outstanding share-based awards, was not material to our results of operations for that quarter.

SFAS No. 123-R requires the immediate expensing of share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees, including awards subject to non-compete agreements. Share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123-R must continue to be amortized over the stated service period of the award (and accelerated if the employee actually retires). Consequently, our compensation and benefits expenses in fiscal 2006 (and, to a lesser extent, in fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2008) will include both the amortization (and acceleration) of awards granted to retirement-eligible employees prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123-R as well as the full grant-date fair value of new awards granted to such employees under SFAS No. 123-R. The estimated annual non-cash expense in fiscal 2006 associated with the continued amortization of share-based awards granted to retirement-eligible employees prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123-R is approximately \$650 million, of which \$133 million and \$508 million were recognized in the three and nine months ended August 2006, respectively.

In June 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 04-5, Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights, which requires general partners (or managing members in the case of limited liability companies) to consolidate their partnerships or to provide limited partners with rights to remove the general partner or to terminate the partnership. Goldman Sachs, as the general partner of numerous merchant banking and asset management partnerships, is required to adopt the provisions of EITF Issue No. 04-5 (i) immediately for partnerships formed or modified after June 29, 2005 and (ii) in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 for partnerships formed on or before June 29, 2005 that have not been modified. We generally expect to provide limited partners in these funds with rights to remove Goldman Sachs as the general partner or to terminate the partnerships and, therefore, do not expect that EITF Issue No. 04-5 will have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. SFAS No. 155 permits an entity to measure at fair value any financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation. As permitted, we early adopted SFAS No. 155 in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Adoption did not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Effective for the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, which permits entities

Table of Contents

to elect to measure servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value and report changes in fair value in earnings. Goldman Sachs acquires residential mortgage servicing rights in connection with its mortgage securitization activities and has elected under SFAS No. 156 to account for these servicing rights at fair value. Adoption did not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FIN No. 46-R-6, Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46-R. This FSP addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FIN No. 46-R by requiring an analysis of the purpose for which an entity was created and the variability that the entity was designed to create. This FSP must be applied prospectively to all entities with which a reporting enterprise first becomes involved and to all entities previously required to be analyzed under FIN No. 46-R when a reconsideration event has occurred. As permitted, we early adopted FSP FIN No. 46-R-6 in the third quarter of fiscal 2006. Adoption did not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN No. 48 requires that management determine whether a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Once it is determined that a position meets this recognition threshold, the position is measured to determine the amount of benefit to be recognized in the financial statements. We expect to adopt the provisions of FIN No. 48 beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN No. 48 on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value is the amount that would be exchanged to sell an asset or transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants. SFAS No. 157 nullifies the consensus reached in EITF Issue No. 02-3 prohibiting the recognition of day one gain or loss on derivative contracts (and hybrid instruments measured at fair value under SFAS No. 133 as modified by SFAS No. 155) where we cannot verify all of the significant model inputs to observable market data and verify the model to market transactions. However, SFAS No. 157 requires that a fair value measurement technique include an adjustment for risks inherent in a particular valuation technique (such as a pricing model) and/or the risks inherent in the inputs to the model, if market participants would also include such an adjustment. In addition, SFAS No. 157 prohibits the recognition of block discounts for large holdings of unrestricted financial instruments where quoted prices are readily and regularly available in an active market. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are to be applied prospectively, except for changes in fair value measurements that result from the initial application of SFAS No. 157 to existing derivative financial instruments measured under EITF Issue No. 02-3, existing hybrid instruments measured at fair value, and block discounts, which are to be recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings in the year of adoption. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are evaluating whether we will early adopt SFAS No. 157 as of the first quarter of fiscal 2007 as permitted, and are currently evaluating the impact adoption may have on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132-R. SFAS No. 158 requires an entity to recognize in its statement of financial condition the funded status of its defined benefit postretirement plans, measured as the difference between the fair value of the plan assets and the benefit obligation. SFAS No. 158 also requires an entity to recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan within accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, to the extent such changes are not recognized in earnings as components of periodic net benefit cost. SFAS No. 158 is effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. We will adopt SFAS No. 158 as of the end of fiscal 2007. We do not expect that the adoption of SFAS No. 158 will have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

**Cautionary Statement Pursuant to the Private Securities
Litigation Reform Act of 1995**

We have included in Parts I and II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and from time to time our management may make, statements which may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts but instead represent only our belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. It is possible that our actual results may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results indicated in these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ from those in our specific forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Statements about our investment banking transaction backlog also may constitute forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to the risk that the terms of these transactions may be modified or that they may not be completed at all; therefore, the net revenues that we expect to earn from these transactions may differ, possibly materially, from those currently expected. Important factors that could result in a modification of the terms of a transaction or a transaction not being completed include, in the case of underwriting transactions, a decline in general economic conditions, volatility in the securities markets generally or an adverse development with respect to the issuer of the securities and, in the case of financial advisory transactions, a decline in the securities markets, an adverse development with respect to a party to the transaction or a failure to obtain a required regulatory approval. Other important factors that could adversely affect our investment banking transactions are described under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In addition to applying business judgment, senior management uses a number of quantitative tools to manage our exposure to market risk for Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. These tools include:

risk limits based on a summary measure of market risk exposure referred to as Value-at-Risk (VaR);

scenario analyses, stress tests and other analytical tools that measure the potential effects on our trading net revenues of various market events, including, but not limited to, a large widening of credit spreads, a substantial decline in equity markets and significant moves in selected emerging markets; and

inventory position limits for selected business units.

See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in Part II, Item 7A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of our risk management policies and procedures.

VaR

VaR is the potential loss in value of Goldman Sachs trading positions due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon with a specified confidence level.

For the VaR numbers reported below, a one-day time horizon and a 95% confidence level were used. This means that there is a 1 in 20 chance that daily trading net revenues will fall below the expected daily trading net revenues by an amount at least as large as the reported VaR. Thus, shortfalls from expected trading net revenues on a single trading day greater than the reported VaR would be anticipated to occur, on average, about once a month. Shortfalls on a single day can exceed reported VaR by significant amounts. Shortfalls can also accumulate over a longer time horizon such as a number of consecutive trading days.

The modeling of the risk characteristics of our trading positions involves a number of assumptions and approximations. While management believes that these assumptions and approximations are reasonable, there is no standard methodology for estimating VaR, and different assumptions and/or approximations could produce materially different VaR estimates.

We use historical data to estimate our VaR and, to better reflect current asset volatilities, we generally weight historical data to give greater importance to more recent observations. Given its reliance on historical data, VaR is most effective in estimating risk exposures in markets in which there are no sudden fundamental changes or shifts in market conditions. An inherent limitation of VaR is that the distribution of past changes in market risk factors may not produce accurate predictions of future market risk. Different VaR methodologies and distributional assumptions could produce a materially different VaR. Moreover, VaR calculated for a one-day time horizon does not fully capture the market risk of positions that cannot be liquidated or offset with hedges within one day. Changes in VaR between reporting periods are generally due to changes in levels of exposure, volatilities and/or correlations among asset classes.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the daily VaR:

Daily VaR ⁽¹⁾
(in millions)

Average for the

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | | As of | | Three Months Ended August 2006 | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | August 2006 | August 2005 | August 2006 | August 2005 | August 2006 | May 2006 | High | Low |
| | Risk Categories | | | | | | | |
| Interest rates | \$ 55 | \$ 38 | \$ 48 | \$ 34 | \$ 48 | \$ 49 | \$ 68 | \$ 47 |
| Equity prices | 61 | 40 | 71 | 32 | 61 | 82 | 79 | 49 |
| Currency rates | 21 | 19 | 23 | 18 | 17 | 28 | 32 | 15 |
| Commodity prices | 31 | 25 | 31 | 26 | 29 | 31 | 38 | 27 |
| Diversification effect ⁽²⁾ | (76) | (46) | (74) | (43) | (62) | (82) | | |
| Total | \$ 92 | \$ 76 | \$ 99 | \$ 67 | \$ 93 | \$ 108 | 108 | 80 |

(1) Beginning in the first quarter of 2006, we excluded from our calculation certain equity positions generally due to their transfer restrictions or illiquidity. The effect of excluding these positions was not material to prior periods and, accordingly, such periods have not been adjusted. For a further discussion of the market risk associated with these positions, see [Other Market Risk Measures](#) below.

(2) Equals the difference between total VaR and the sum of the VaRs for the four risk categories. This effect arises because the four market risk categories are not perfectly correlated.

The following chart presents our daily VaR during the last four quarters:

Daily VaR
(\$ in millions)

94

Table of Contents

Trading Net Revenues Distribution

Substantially all of our inventory positions are marked-to-market on a daily basis and changes are recorded in net revenues. The following chart sets forth the frequency distribution of our daily trading net revenues for substantially all inventory positions included in VaR for the quarter ended August 2006:

Daily Trading Net Revenues
(\$ in millions)

As part of our overall risk control process, daily trading net revenues are compared with VaR calculated as of the end of the prior business day. Trading losses incurred on a single day did not exceed our 95% one-day VaR during the quarter ended August 2006.

Other Market Risk Measures

Certain portfolios and individual positions are not included in VaR, where VaR is not the most appropriate measure of risk (e.g., due to transfer restrictions and/or illiquidity). The market risk related to our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG is measured by estimating the potential reduction in net revenues associated with a 10% decline in the SMFG common stock price. The market risk related to the remaining positions is measured by estimating the potential reduction in net revenues associated with a 10% decline in asset values.

The sensitivity analyses for equity and debt positions in our trading portfolio and equity, debt (primarily mezzanine instruments) and real estate positions in our non-trading portfolio are measured by the impact of a decline in the asset values (including the impact of leverage in the underlying investments for real estate positions in our non-trading portfolio) of such positions. The fair values of the underlying positions may be sensitive to changes in a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the financial performance of the companies or properties relative to budgets or projections, the projected timing and amount of future cash flows, discount rates, trends within sectors and/or regions, underlying business models and equity prices.

The sensitivity analysis of our investment in the convertible preferred stock of SMFG, net of the economic hedge on the unrestricted shares of common stock underlying a portion of our investment, is measured by the impact of a decline in the SMFG common stock price. This sensitivity should not be extrapolated to other movements in the SMFG common stock price, as the relationship between the fair value of our investment and the SMFG common stock price is nonlinear.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth market risk for positions not included in VaR. These measures do not reflect diversification benefits across asset categories and, given the differing likelihood of such events occurring, these measures have not been aggregated:

| Asset Categories | 10% Sensitivity Measure | Amount As of August 2006 | Amount As of May 2006 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| (in millions) | | | |
| <i>Trading Risk</i> | | | |
| Equity | Underlying asset value | \$307 | \$224 |
| Debt | Underlying asset value | 718 | 705 |
| <i>Non-trading Risk</i> | | | |
| SMFG | SMFG common stock price | 193 | 231 |
| Other Equity | Underlying asset value | 403 | 373 |
| Debt | Underlying asset value | 142 | 96 |
| Real Estate | Underlying asset value | 243 | 201 |

The increase in market risk in the third quarter of 2006 for equity positions in our trading portfolio was due to an increase in the fair value of the portfolio as well as new investments. The increase in market risk in the third quarter of 2006 for debt positions in our trading portfolio and equity, debt and real estate positions in our non-trading portfolio was primarily due to new investments.

The decrease in market risk in the third quarter of 2006 for SMFG was primarily due to the impact of additional hedging with respect to the second one-third installment of unrestricted shares underlying our investment, partially offset by the impact of an increase in the SMFG common stock price and the passage of time in respect of the transfer restrictions on the underlying common stock.

Derivatives

Derivative contracts are instruments, such as futures, forwards, swaps or option contracts, that derive their value from underlying assets, indices, reference rates or a combination of these factors. Derivative instruments may be privately negotiated contracts, which are often referred to as OTC derivatives, or they may be listed and traded on an exchange.

Substantially all of our derivative transactions are entered into for trading purposes, to facilitate client transactions, to take proprietary positions or as a means of risk management. In addition to derivative transactions entered into for trading purposes, we enter into derivative contracts to hedge our net investment in non-U.S. operations, to hedge certain forecasted transactions and to manage the interest rate and currency exposure on a substantial portion of our long-term borrowings and certain short-term borrowings.

Derivatives are used in many of our businesses, and we believe that the associated market risk can only be understood relative to all of the underlying assets or risks being hedged, or as part of a broader trading strategy. Accordingly, the market risk of derivative positions is managed together with our nonderivative positions.

Fair values of our derivative contracts are reflected net of cash paid or received pursuant to credit support agreements and are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis in our condensed consolidated statements of financial condition when management believes a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable netting agreement. For an OTC derivative, our credit exposure is directly with our counterparty and continues until the maturity or termination of such contract.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the distribution, by credit rating, of substantially all of our exposure with respect to OTC derivatives as of August 2006, after taking into consideration the effect of netting agreements. The categories shown reflect our internally determined public rating agency equivalents.

Over-the-Counter Derivative Credit Exposure
(\$ in millions)

| Credit Rating Equivalent | Exposure ⁽¹⁾ | Collateral Held | Exposure Net of Collateral | Percentage of Total Exposure Net of Collateral |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| AAA/ Aaa | \$ 5,108 | \$ 430 | \$ 4,678 | 13% |
| AA/ Aa2 | 10,009 | 2,006 | 8,003 | 23 |
| A/ A2 | 13,167 | 3,720 | 9,447 | 27 |
| BBB/ Baa2 | 9,494 | 3,167 | 6,327 | 18 |
| BB/ Ba2 or lower | 8,989 | 3,286 | 5,703 | 16 |
| Unrated | 1,679 | 539 | 1,140 | 3 |
| Total | \$48,446 | \$13,148 | \$35,298 | 100% |

⁽¹⁾ Net of cash received pursuant to credit support agreements of \$22.24 billion.

The following tables set forth our OTC derivative credit exposure, net of collateral, by remaining contractual maturity:

Exposure Net of Collateral
(in millions)

| Credit Rating Equivalent | 0 - 6 Months | 6 - 12 Months | 1 - 5 Years | 5 - 10 Years | 10 Years or Greater | Total ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| AAA/ Aaa | \$ 765 | \$ 108 | \$ 1,547 | \$1,007 | \$1,251 | \$ 4,678 |
| AA/ Aa2 | 2,454 | 353 | 2,091 | 1,982 | 1,123 | 8,003 |
| A/ A2 | 2,578 | 1,134 | 2,818 | 944 | 1,973 | 9,447 |
| BBB/ Baa2 | 1,757 | 613 | 2,526 | 274 | 1,157 | 6,327 |
| BB/ Ba2 or lower | 1,956 | 377 | 2,303 | 726 | 341 | 5,703 |
| Unrated | 677 | 142 | 163 | 61 | 97 | 1,140 |
| Total | \$10,187 | \$2,727 | \$11,448 | \$4,994 | \$5,942 | \$35,298 |

| Contract Type | 0 - 6 Months | 6 - 12 Months | 1 - 5 Years | 5 - 10 Years | 10 Years | Total ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|
|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|

| | | | | | or Greater | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Interest rates | \$ 2,246 | \$ 240 | \$ 4,032 | \$2,335 | \$4,010 | \$12,863 |
| Currencies | 3,213 | 496 | 2,115 | 947 | 1,055 | 7,826 |
| Commodities | 3,496 | 1,356 | 4,829 | 652 | 137 | 10,470 |
| Equities | 1,232 | 635 | 472 | 1,060 | 740 | 4,139 |
| Total | \$10,187 | \$2,727 | \$11,448 | \$4,994 | \$5,942 | \$35,298 |

⁽¹⁾ Where we have obtained collateral from a counterparty under a master trading agreement that covers multiple products and transactions, we have allocated the collateral ratably based on exposure before giving effect to such collateral.

Derivative transactions may also involve legal risks including the risk that they are not authorized or appropriate for a counterparty, that documentation has not been properly executed or that executed agreements may not be enforceable against the counterparty. We attempt to minimize these risks by obtaining advice of counsel on the enforceability of agreements as well as on the

Table of Contents

authority of a counterparty to effect the derivative transaction. In addition, certain derivative transactions involve the risk that we may have difficulty obtaining, or be unable to obtain, the underlying security or obligation in order to satisfy any physical settlement requirement or that the derivative may have been assigned to a different counterparty without our knowledge or consent.

Item 4: Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by Goldman Sachs management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. In addition, no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1: Legal Proceedings

The following supplements and amends our discussion set forth under Legal Proceedings in Part I, Item 3 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 25, 2005, as updated by our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended February 24, 2006 and May 26, 2006.

Iridium Securities Litigation

By a decision dated September 15, 2006, the federal district court denied the underwriter defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, but granted summary judgment dismissing claims under Section 12(2) of the Securities Act against Goldman, Sachs & Co. and all but one of the other underwriter defendants.

Research Independence Matters

On July 7, 2006, defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint in the action relating to research coverage of Exodus Communications, Inc.

In the lawsuit alleging that The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Henry M. Paulson, Jr. violated the federal securities laws in connection with the firm's research activities, the district court, in a decision dated September 29, 2006, granted Mr. Paulson's motion to dismiss with leave to replead but otherwise denied the motion.

Exodus Securities Litigation

In the class action relating to certain securities offerings by Exodus Communications, Inc., by a decision dated August 14, 2006 the district court denied the motion to intervene by two proposed new plaintiffs and entered judgment dismissing the action. On August 30, 2006, the proposed new plaintiffs moved to vacate the judgment.

Refco Securities Litigation

In the action brought on behalf of customers that held securities custodied with certain Refco Inc. affiliates, Goldman, Sachs & Co. and other underwriters of Refco's initial public offering were not included as defendants in the amended complaint filed on September 5, 2006.

Fannie Mae Litigation

Goldman, Sachs & Co. has been added as a defendant in amended complaints filed on August 14, 2006 and September 1, 2006, respectively, in a purported class action and a separate shareholder derivative action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, which generally arise from allegations concerning Fannie Mae's accounting practices. The complaints' allegations relating to Goldman, Sachs & Co. assert violations of the federal securities laws and common law in connection with certain Fannie Mae-sponsored REMIC transactions that were allegedly arranged by Goldman, Sachs & Co. The other defendants include Fannie Mae, certain of its past and present officers and directors, accountants and other financial services firms.

Table of Contents**Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. or any affiliated purchaser (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) of our common stock during the three months ended August 25, 2006.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾ | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾ |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Month #1 (May 27, 2006 to June 30, 2006) ⁽¹⁾ | 97,600 | \$ 151.06 | 97,600 | 17,090,884 |
| Month #2 (July 1, 2006 to July 28, 2006) | 2,243,900 | \$ 146.89 | 2,243,900 | 14,846,984 |
| Month #3 (July 29, 2006 to August 25, 2006) ⁽¹⁾ | 1,505,600 | \$ 151.75 | 1,505,600 | 13,341,384 |
| Total ⁽¹⁾ | 3,847,100 | \$ 148.90 | 3,847,100 | |

⁽¹⁾ Goldman Sachs generally does not repurchase shares of its common stock as part of the repurchase program during self-imposed black-out periods, which run from the last two weeks of a fiscal quarter through the date of the earnings release for such quarter.

⁽²⁾ On March 21, 2000, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a repurchase program, pursuant to which up to 15 million shares of our common stock may be repurchased. This repurchase program was increased by an aggregate of 220 million shares by resolutions of our Board of Directors adopted on June 18, 2001, March 18, 2002, November 20, 2002, January 30, 2004, January 25, 2005, September 16, 2005 and September 11, 2006. The repurchase program is intended to maintain our total shareholders equity at appropriate levels and to substantially offset increases in share count over time resulting from employee share-based compensation. The repurchase program has been effected primarily through regular open-market purchases and is influenced by, among other factors, the level of our common shareholders equity, our overall capital position, share-based awards and exercises of employee stock options, the prevailing market price of our common stock and general market conditions. The total remaining authorization under the repurchase program was 68,960,284 shares as of September 22, 2006; the repurchase program has no set expiration or termination date.

Table of Contents

Item 6: Exhibits

Exhibits:

| | |
|------|--|
| 10.1 | Goldman, Sachs & Co. Executive Life Insurance Policy and Certificate with Metropolitan Life Insurance Company for Participating Managing Directors. |
| 10.2 | Form of Goldman, Sachs & Co. Executive Life Insurance Policy with Pacific Life & Annuity Company for Participating Managing Directors, including policy specifications and form of restriction on Policy Owner's Rights. |
| 12.1 | Statement re: Computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges and ratios of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends. |
| 15.1 | Letter re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information. |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ David A. Viniar

Name: David A. Viniar

Title: Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Sarah E. Smith

Name: Sarah E. Smith

Title: Principal Accounting Officer

Date: October 3, 2006