DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPALS INC
Form DEFA14A
April 18, 2007

DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND, INC.
DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPALS, INC.

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

To the Stockholders:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of each of Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc. and Dreyfus Strategic Municipals, Inc. (each, a “Fund” and, collectively, the “Funds”) will be held at the offices of The Dreyfus Corporation, 200 Park Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, New York 10166, on Friday, June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., for the following purposes:

1. To elect Directors to serve for a three-year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified.
2. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting, or any adjournment or adjournments thereof.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 5, 2007 will be entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the meeting.

By Order of the Board

New York, New York April 11, 2007

WE NEED YOUR PROXY VOTE
A STOCKHOLDER MAY THINK HIS OR HER VOTE IS NOT IMPORTANT, BUT IT IS VITAL. BY LAW, THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF A FUND WILL HAVE TO BE ADJOURNED WITHOUT CONDUCTING ANY BUSINESS IF LESS THAN A QUORUM IS REPRESENTED. IN THAT EVENT, THE AFFECTED FUND WOULD CONTINUE TO SOLICIT VOTES IN AN ATTEMPT TO ACHIEVE A QUORUM. CLEARLY, YOUR VOTE COULD BE CRITICAL TO ENABLE THE FUND TO HOLD THE MEETING AS SCHEDULED, SO PLEASE RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD OR OTHERWISE VOTE PROMPTLY. YOU AND ALL OTHER STOCKHOLDERS WILL BENEFIT FROM YOUR COOPERATION.

DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND, INC.
DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPALS, INC.

COMBINED PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders
to be held on Friday, June 1, 2007

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with a solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of each of Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc. (“DSMB”) and Dreyfus Strategic Municipals, Inc. (“DSM”) (each, a

Fund and, collectively, the Funds) to be used at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of each Fund to be held on Friday, June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of The Dreyfus Corporation (Dreyfus), 200 Park Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, New York 10166, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 5, 2007 are entitled to be present and to vote at the meeting. Stockholders are entitled to one vote for each Fund share held and fractional votes for each fractional Fund share held. Stockholders can vote only on matters affecting the Fund(s) in which they hold shares. If a proposal is approved by stockholders of one Fund and not approved by stockholders of the other Fund, the proposal will be implemented only for the Fund that approved the proposal. Therefore, it is essential that stockholders who own shares in both Funds complete, date, sign and return each proxy card they receive. Shares represented by executed and unrevoked proxies will be voted in accordance with the specifications made thereon. If any enclosed form of proxy is executed and returned, it nevertheless may be revoked by a proxy given later. To be effective, such revocation must be received prior to the meeting. In addition, any stockholder who attends the meeting in person may vote by ballot at the meeting, thereby canceling any proxy previously given. As of April 5, 2007, the Funds had outstanding the following number of shares:

Name of Fund	Common Stock Outstanding	Auction Preferred Stock Outstanding
DSMB	48,435,728	7,440
DSM	60,630,959	11,400

It is estimated that proxy materials will be mailed to stockholders of record on or about April 18, 2007. The principal executive offices of each Fund are located at 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166. **Copies of each Fund's most recent Annual and Semi-Annual Reports are available upon request, without charge, by writing to the Fund at 144 Glenn Curtiss Boulevard, Uniondale, New York 11556-0144, or by calling toll-free 1-800-334-6899.**

A quorum is constituted by the presence in person or by proxy of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the Fund entitled to vote at the meeting. If a proposal is to be voted upon by only one class of a Fund's shares, a quorum of that class of shares (the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the class) must be present in person or by proxy at the meeting in order for the proposal to be considered. Each Fund has two classes of capital stock: Common Stock, par value $0.001 per share (the Common Stock), and Auction Preferred Stock, par value $0.001 per share, liquidation preference $25,000 per share (the APS). The APS is further divided into Series A, Series B and Series C for DSMB and Series M, Series T, Series W, Series TH and Series F for DSM. Currently, no proposal is expected to be presented at the meeting that would require separate voting for each Series of APS.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Each Fund's Board of Directors is divided into three classes with the term of office of one class expiring each year. It is proposed that stockholders of each Fund consider the election of the individuals listed below (the Nominees) as Directors of the indicated class of such Fund, to serve for three-year terms and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. With respect to DSM, Messrs. Carter, DiMartino, and Leone and Ms. Evans are nominated to be elected as Class I Directors to serve for a three-year term. With respect to DSMB, Messrs. Davis and Houminer and Ms. Melvin are nominated to be elected as Class II Directors to serve for a three-year term. Messrs. DiMartino, Carter, and Leone and Ms. Evans also are continuing Class I Directors of DSMB and Messrs. Davis and Houminer and Ms. Melvin also are continuing Class II Directors of DSM. Each Nominee also currently serves as a Director of the Fund for which their election is proposed. Each Nominee was nominated by the respective Fund's nominating committee and has consented to being named in this proxy statement and has agreed to continue to serve as a Director of the indicated Fund if elected. Biographical information about each Nominee is set forth below. Biographical information about each Fund's Continuing Directors, information on each Nominee's and Continuing Director's ownership of Fund shares and other relevant information is set forth on Exhibit A. Unless otherwise indicated, information set forth herein applies to both Funds.

Under the terms of each Fund's Charter, holders of the APS voting as a single class are entitled, to the exclusion of holders of the Common Stock, to elect two Directors. Mr. Zuccotti was elected in 2006 as a Class III Director for APS holders of DSM to serve for a three year term. DSMB's APS holders elected Mr. Zuccotti in 2005 as a Class III Director whose term expires in 2008. Robin A. Melvin is the other Director designated for holders of APS. Ms. Melvin was elected by APS holders of DSM in 2005 as a Class II Director whose term expires in 2008 and is currently a nominee for election as a Class II director for APS holders of DSMB.

Voting with regard to the election of Directors will be as follows: for DSM, holders of Common Stock and APS will vote together as a single class with respect to the election of Messrs. Carter, DiMartino and Leone and Ms. Evans as Class I Directors; and for DSMB, holders of Common Stock and APS will vote together as a single class with respect to the election of Messrs. Davis and Houminer as Class II Directors, but APS holders will vote separately, to the exclusion of holders of the Common Stock, with respect to the election of Ms. Melvin as a Class II Director who is nominated to represent the APS of DSMB.

The persons named as proxies on the accompanying proxy card(s) intend to vote each such proxy for the election of the Nominees, unless stockholders specifically indicate on their proxies the desire to withhold authority to vote for elections to office. It is not contemplated that any Nominee will be unable to serve as a Director for any reason, but if that should occur prior to the meeting, the proxyholders reserve the right to substitute another person or persons of their choice as nominee or nominees.

None of the Nominees or Continuing Directors are "interested persons" of either Fund, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). As independent directors of investment companies, they play a critical role in overseeing fund operations and policing potential conflicts of interest between the fund and its investment adviser and other service providers. The following tables present information about the Nominees including their principal occupations and other board memberships and affiliations. The address of each Nominee is 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166.

DSM — Nominees for Class I Director with Term Expiring in 2010

Name (Age) of Nominee Position with Fund (Since)	**Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years**	**Other Board Memberships and Affiliations**
JOSEPH S. DiMARTINO (63) **Chairman of the Board and Class I Director of DSM** (1995)	Corporate Director and Trustee	The Muscular Dystrophy Association, *Director* Century Business Services, Inc., a provider of out-sourcing functions for small and medium size companies, *Director* The Newark Group, a provider of a national market of paper recovery facilities, paperboard mills and paperboard converting plants, *Director* Sunair Services Corporation, a provider of certain outdoor-related services to homes and businesses, *Director*

JONI EVANS (64) **Class I Director of DSM** (2006) Morris Agency (2005)		Principal, Joni Evans Ltd. Senior Vice President of the William	None
WILLIAM HODDING CARTER, III **Class I Director of DSM** (1989)	(71)	Professor of Leadership & Public Policy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill (January 1, 2006 - present) President and Chief Executive Officer of John S. and James L. Knight Foundation (1998 - February 1, 2006)	The Century Foundation, *Emeritus Director* The Enterprise Corporation of the Delta, *Director*
RICHARD C. LEONE (66) **Class I Director of DSM** (1989)		President of The Century Foundation (formerly, The Twentieth Century Fund, Inc.), a tax exempt research foundation engaged in the study of economic, foreign policy and domestic issues	The American Prospect, *Director* Center for American Progress, *Director*

DSMB Nominees for Class II Director with Term Expiring in 2010

Name (Age) of Nominee **Position with Fund (Since)**	**Principal Occupation** **During Past 5 Years**	**Other Board Memberships** **and Affiliations**
GORDON J. DAVIS (65) **Class II Director of DSMB** (2006)	Partner in the law firm of LeBeouf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP President, Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. (2001)	Consolidated Edison, Inc., a utility company, *Director* Phoenix Companies, Inc., a life insurance company, *Director* Board Member/Trustee for several not-for-profit groups
EHUD HOUMINER (66) **Class II Director of DSMB** (1994)	Executive-in-Residence at the Columbia Business School, Columbia University	Avent Inc., an electronics distributor, *Director* International Advisory Board to the MBA Program, School of Management, Ben Gurion University, *Chairman* Explore Charter School, Brooklyn, NY, *Trustee*

ROBIN A. MELVIN (43) **Class II Director of DSMB** (1995) **APS Designee for DSMB** (1999)	Director, Biosi Family Foundation, a private family foundation that supports youth-serving organizations that promote the self sufficiency of youth from disadvantaged circumstances	None

Each Fund has standing audit, nominating and compensation committees, each comprised of its Directors who are not "interested persons" of the Fund, as defined in the 1940 Act. The function of the audit committee is (1) to oversee the Fund's accounting and financial reporting processes and the audits of the Fund's financial statements and (2) to assist in the Board of Directors' oversight of the integrity of the Fund's financial statements, the Fund's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the independent auditors' qualifications, independence and performance. A copy of the Funds' Audit Committee Charter, which describes the audit committee's purposes, duties and powers, is attached as an exhibit to this proxy statement. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter also is available at www.dreyfus.com.

Each Fund's nominating committee is responsible for selecting and nominating persons as members of the Board of Directors for election or appointment by the Board and for election by stockholders. Each nominating committee member is "independent" as defined by the New York Stock Exchange. A copy of the Funds' Nominating Committee Charter and Procedures is attached as an exhibit to this proxy statement (the "Nominating Committee Charter"). A copy of the Nominating Committee Charter is not available on the Fund's website. In evaluating potential nominees, including any nominees recommended by stockholders, the committee takes into consideration the factors listed in the Nominating Committee Charter, including character and integrity, business and professional experience, and whether the committee believes the person has the ability to apply sound and independent business judgment and would act in the interest of the Fund and its stockholders. The committee will consider recommendations for nominees from stockholders submitted to the Secretary of the Fund, c/o The Dreyfus Corporation Legal Department, 200 Park Avenue, 8th Floor, New York, New York 10166, and including information regarding the recommended nominee as specified in the Nominating Committee Charter.

The function of the compensation committee is to establish the appropriate compensation for serving on the Board. Each Fund also has a standing pricing committee, comprised of any one Director. The function of the pricing committee is to assist in valuing the Fund's investments.

DSM's and DSMB's audit committee met 4 times and 5 times, respectively, during the Fund's last fiscal year. DSM's and DSMB's nominating committee met once and twice, respectively, and each Fund's pricing committee did not meet during the Fund's last fiscal year. DSMB's compensation committee met once and DSM's compensation committee did not meet during the respective Fund's last fiscal year.

Each Fund Director also serves as a director of other funds in the Dreyfus fund complex. Annual retainer fees and attendance fees are allocated on the basis of net assets, with the Chairman of the Board of each Fund, Joseph S. DiMartino, receiving an additional 25% in annual retainer and per meeting fees. The Fund reimburses Directors for their expenses. Emeritus Directors, if any, are entitled to receive an annual retainer and per meeting attended fee of one-half the amount paid to them as Directors. The Funds had no Emeritus Directors as of the date of this proxy statement. Neither Fund has a bonus, pension, profit-sharing or retirement plan.

The aggregate amount of compensation paid to each Nominee by DSMB for its fiscal year ended November 30, 2006, and by DSM for its fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, and the aggregate amount of compensation paid to each such Nominee by all funds in the Dreyfus Family of Funds for which such Nominee was a Board member (the number of portfolios of such funds is set forth in parenthesis next to each Nominee's total compensation) for the year ended December 31, 2006, was as follows:

Name of Nominee and Fund	**Aggregate Compensation from each Fund***	**Total Compensation from each Fund and Fund Complex Paid to Nominee (**)**
William Hodding Carter, III		$51,000 (30)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$7,015	
Gordon J. Davis		$102,500 (39)
DSMB	$227	
DSM	$0	
Joseph S. DiMartino		$857,400 (193)
DSMB	$5,373	
DSM	$8,762	
Joni Evans		$51,500 (30)
DSMB	$227	
DSM	$0	
Ehud Houminer		$143,250 (79)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$7,015	
Richard C. Leone		$48,000 (30)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$6,470	
Robin A. Melvin		$52,000 (30)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$6,470	

* Amount does not include the cost of office space, secretarial services and health benefits for the Chairman and expenses reim- bursed to Directors for attending Board meetings, which in the aggregate amounted to $13,849.

** Represents the number of separate portfolios comprising the investment companies in the fund complex, including the Funds, for which the Nominee serves as a Board member.

5

For each Fund's most recent fiscal year, the number of Board meetings held and aggregate amount of compensation paid to each Continuing Director who is not a Nominee by each Fund and by all funds in the Dreyfus Family of Funds for which such person is a Board member are set forth on Exhibit A. Certain other information concerning each Fund's Directors and officers also is set forth on Exhibit A.

Required Vote

The election of a Nominee for each Fund requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of votes cast at the Fund's meeting for the election of Directors.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The 1940 Act requires that each Funds independent registered public accounting firm (the independent auditors or auditors) be selected by a majority of those Directors who are not interested persons (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Fund. The audit committee has direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Funds independent auditors. At a meeting held on November 6, 2006 for DSMB and August 14, 2006 for DSM, each Funds audit committee approved and each Funds Board, including a majority of those Directors who are not interested persons of the Fund, ratified the selection of Ernst & Young LLP (E&Y) as the independent auditors for the respective Funds fiscal year ending in 2006. E&Y, a major international accounting firm, has acted as auditors of each Fund since the Funds organization. After reviewing the Funds audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 for DSM, and November 30, 2006 for DSMB, each Funds audit committee recommended to the Funds Board that such statements be included in the Funds annual report to stockholders. Copies of the audit committees report for DSM and DSMB are attached as Exhibits D and E, respectively, to this proxy statement.

Independent Auditor Fees and Services

The following chart reflects fees paid to E&Y in each Funds last two fiscal years. For Service Affiliates (i.e., Dreyfus and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with Dreyfus that provides ongoing services to the relevant Fund), such fees represent only those fees that required pre-approval by the audit committee. All services privded by E&Y were pre-approved as required.

	DSM[1]	Service Affiliates[1]	DSMB[2]	Service Affiliates[2]
Audit Fees	$34,374/$36,008	N/A	$34,374/$36,008	N/A
Audited-Related Fees[3]	$4,725/$21,922	$0/$0	$16,800/$5,122	$0/$0
Tax Fees[4]	$3,018/$3,235	$0/$0	$2,994/$3,060	$0/$0
Aggregate Non-Audit Fees[5]	$0/$0	$761,002/$443,981	$0/$0	$917,339/$375,571

1 Fiscal years ended September 30, 2005/September 30, 2006.

2 Fiscal years ended November 30, 2005/November 30, 2006.

3 Services to the Fund consisted of (i) agreed-upon procedures related to compliance with basic maintenance requirements
for auction preferred stock; and (ii) security counts required by Rule 17f-2 under the 1940 Act.

4 Services to the Fund consisted of (i) review or preparation of U.S. federal, state, local and excise tax returns; (ii) U.S. fed-
eral, state and local tax planning, advice and assistance regarding statutory, regulatory or administrative developments; and
(iii) tax advice regarding tax qualification matters and/or treatment of various financial instruments held or proposed to be
acquired or held.

5 Rendered to the Fund and Service Affiliates.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Each Funds audit committee has established policies and procedures (the Policy) for pre-approval (within specified fee limits) of E&Ys engagements for non-audit services to the Fund and Service Affiliates without specific case-by-case consideration. Pre-approval considerations include whether the proposed services are compatible with maintaining E&Ys independence. Pre-approvals pursuant to the Policy are considered annually.

Auditor Independence. Each Funds audit committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to Service Affiliates which did not require pre-approval are compatible with maintaining E&Ys independence.

A representative of E&Y is expected to be present at the meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Service Providers

Dreyfus, located at 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166, serves as each Fund’s investment adviser. Mellon Trust of New England, N.A., an affiliate of Dreyfus, located at One Mellon Bank Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15258, acts as Custodian for the assets of each Fund. PFPC Inc., located at 4400 Computer Drive, Westboro, MA 01581, acts as DSMB’s Transfer Agent, Dividend-Paying Agent and Registrar; and The Bank of New York, located at P.O. Box 11258, Church Street Station, New York, New York 10286, acts as DSM’s Transfer Agent, Dividend-Paying Agent and Registrar.

On December 4, 2006, Mellon Financial Corporation (“Mellon Financial”) and The Bank of New York Company, Inc. (“BNY”) announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement to merge. The new company will be called The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation. As part of this transaction, Dreyfus would become a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation. The transaction is subject to certain regulatory approvals and the approval of BNY’s and Mellon Financial’s shareholders, as well as other customary conditions to closing. Subject to such approvals and the satisfaction of the other conditions, Mellon Financial and BNY expect the transaction to be completed in the third quarter of 2007.

Voting Information

To vote, please complete, date and sign the enclosed proxy card for each Fund you own and mail it in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope.

Each Fund will bear its pro rata share of the cost of soliciting proxies based on the net assets of the Fund. In addition to the use of the mails, proxies may be solicited personally or by telephone, and each Fund may pay persons holding shares of the Fund in their names or those of their nominees for their expenses in sending soliciting materials to their principals. Authorizations to execute proxies may be obtained by fax, or by telephonic instructions in accordance with procedures designed to authenticate the stockholder’s identity. In all cases where a telephonic proxy is solicited, the stockholder will be asked to provide his or her address and social security number or other personal identifier (in the case of an individual) or taxpayer identification number (in the case of a non-individual) and to confirm that the stockholder has received the Fund’s proxy statement and proxy card in the mail. Within 72 hours of receiving a stockholder’s solicited telephonic voting instructions, a confirmation will be sent to the stockholder to ensure that the vote has been taken in accordance with the stockholder’s instructions and to provide a telephone number to call immediately if the stockholder’s instructions are not correctly reflected in the confirmation. Shares represented by executed and unrevoked proxies will be voted in accordance with the specifications made thereon, and if no voting instructions are given, shares will be voted “FOR” the Proposals.

If a proxy is properly executed and returned accompanied by instructions to withhold authority to vote, represents a broker “non-vote” (that is, a proxy from a broker or nominee indicating that such person has not received instructions from the beneficial owner or other person entitled to vote shares of the Fund on a particular matter with respect to which the broker or nominee does not have discretionary power) or marked with an abstention (collectively, “abstentions”), the Fund’s shares represented thereby will be considered to be present at the meeting for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum for the transaction of business. Under Maryland law, abstentions do not constitute a vote “for” or “against” a matter and will be disregarded in determining “votes cast” on an issue.

OTHER MATTERS

Neither Fund’s Board is aware of any other matter which may come before the meeting. However, should any such matter with respect to one or both Funds properly come before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to vote the proxy in accordance with their judgment on such

matter.

Proposals that stockholders wish to include in a Fund□s proxy statement for the Fund□s next Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be sent to and received by such Fund no later than December 14, 2007 at the principal executive offices of the Fund at 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166, Attention: Secretary of the Fund. The date after which notice of a stockholder proposal is considered untimely, except as otherwise permitted under applicable law, is February 28, 2008.

Stockholders who wish to communicate with Directors should send communications to the attention of the Secretary of the Fund, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166, and communications will be directed to the Director or Directors indicated in the communication or, if no Director or Directors are indicated, to the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

NOTICE TO BANKS, BROKER/DEALERS AND VOTING TRUSTEES AND THEIR NOMINEES

Please advise, as appropriate, Dreyfus Strategic Municipals, Inc., in care of The Bank of New York, Proxy Department, 101 Barclay Street, New York, New York 10286, or Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc., in care of PFPC Inc., P.O. Box 43027, Providence, RI 02940-3027, whether other persons are the beneficial owners of the shares for which proxies are being solicited, and if so, the number of copies of the proxy statement and other soliciting material you wish to receive in order to supply copies to the beneficial owners of shares.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT PROXIES BE RETURNED PROMPTLY. THEREFORE, STOCKHOLDERS WHO DO NOT EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON ARE URGED TO COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN EACH ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE ENCLOSED STAMPED ENVELOPE.

Dated: April 11, 2007

8

EXHIBIT A
PART I

Part I sets forth information relevant to the Continuing Directors who are not Nominees for election at this meeting, Board and committee meetings, and share ownership. Unless otherwise indicated, the information set forth herein applies to both Funds.

Continuing Class III Directors with Terms Expiring in 2008 for DSMB and 2009 for DSM

The following table presents information about the Continuing Directors of the Funds, including their principal occupations and other board memberships and affiliations. In addition to the Continuing Directors listed below, Messrs. DiMartino, Carter, Leone and Ms. Evans are Class I Continuing Directors of DSMB and Messrs. Houminer and Davis and Ms. Melvin are Class II Continuing Directors of DSM. The address of each Continuing Director is 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166. Each of the Fund□s Continuing Directors will continue to serve as a Director of the Funds after the meeting.

Name (Age) of Continuing Director Position with Fund (Since)	Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years	Other Board Memberships and Affiliations
DAVID W. BURKE (70) **Class III Director of each Fund** (1994)	Corporate Director and Trustee	John F. Kennedy Library Foundation, *Director*
HANS C. MAUTNER (69)	President - International Division	Capital and Regional PLC,

Class III Director of each Fund (1989)	and an Advisory Director of Simon Property Group, a real estate investment company (1998 - present) Director and Vice Chairman of Simon Property Group (1998 - 2003) Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Simon Global Limited (1999 - present)	a British co-investing real estate asset manager, *Director* Member Board of managers of: Mezzacappa Long/Short Fund LLC Mezzacappa Partners LLC
JOHN E. ZUCCOTTI (69) **Class III Director and APS Designee of each Fund** (1989)	Chairman of Brookfield Financial Properties, Inc. Senior Counsel of Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP Chairman of the Real Estate Board of New York	Emigrant Savings Bank, *Director* Wellpoint, Inc., *Director* Visiting Nurse Service of New York, *Director* Columbia University, *Trustee* Doris Duke Charitable Foundation, *Trustee*
BURTON N. WALLACK (56) **Class III Director of each Fund** (2006)	President and co-owner of Wallack Management Company, a real estate management company	None

A-1

The table below indicates the dollar range of each Continuing Directors and Nominees ownership of shares of each Funds Common Stock and shares of other funds in the Dreyfus Family of Funds for which he or she is a Board member, in each case as of December 31, 2006.

Name of Continuing Director or Nominee	**DSM Common Stock**	**DSMB Common Stock**	**Aggregate Holding of Funds in the Dreyfus Family of Funds for which Responsible as a Board Member**
David W. Burke	None	None	Over $100,000
Hodding Carter III*	None	None	None
Gordon J. Davis*	None	None	$10,001 - $50,000
Joseph S. DiMartino*	None	None	Over $100,000
Joni Evans*	None	None	$10,001 - $50,000
Ehud Houminer*	None	None	Over $100,000
Richard C. Leone*	$10,001 - $50,000	$1 - $10,000	Over $100,000
Hans C. Mautner	None	None	Over $100,000
Robin A. Melvin*	None	None	$1 - $10,000
Burton N. Wallack	None	None	None
John E. Zuccotti	None	None	Over $100,000

* Nominee

As of December 31, 2006, none of the Nominees or Continuing Directors or their immediate family members owned securities of Dreyfus or any person (other than a registered investment company) directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with Dreyfus.

A-2

PERTAINING TO THE BOARD OF EACH FUND

- DSM held 4 Board meetings, DSMB held 5 Board meetings and DSMs and DSMBs audit committees met four and five times, respectively, during its last fiscal year.
- The Funds do not have a formal policy regarding Directors attendance at annual meetings of stockholders. Directors did not attend last years annual meeting.
- All Continuing Directors and Nominees (who were Directors at the time) attended at least 75% of all Board and committee meetings, as applicable, held in the last fiscal year.

Compensation Table. The aggregate amount of compensation paid to each Continuing Director by DSMB for its fiscal year ended November 30, 2006, and by DSM for its fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, and by all funds in the Dreyfus Family of Funds for which such Director was a Board member (the number of portfolios of such funds is set forth in parenthesis next to each Directors total compensation) for the year ended December 31, 2006, was as follows:

Name of Continuing Director and Fund	Aggregate compensation from each Fund*	Total compensation from each Fund and fund complex paid to Continuing Director (**)
David W. Burke		$285,500 (83)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$7,015	
Hans C. Mautner		$48,000 (30)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$7,015	
Burton N. Wallack		$51,500 (30)
DSMB	$227	
DSM	$0	
John E. Zuccotti		$51,500 (30)
DSMB	$4,298	
DSM	$7,015	

* Amount does not include the cost of office space, secretarial services and health benefits for the Chairman and expenses reimbursed to Directors for attending Board meetings, which in the aggregate amounted to $13,849.

** Represents the number of separate portfolios comprising the investment companies in the fund complex, including the Funds, for which the Director serves as a Board member.

PART II

Part II sets forth information relevant to the officers of each Fund.

Name and Position with Funds (Since)	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience For Past Five Years
J. DAVID OFFICER President (2006)	58	Chief Operating Officer, Vice Chairman and a director of Dreyfus and an officer of 89 investment companies (comprised of 186 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
A. PAUL DISDIER Executive Vice President (2002)	50	Director of Dreyfus Municipal Securities and an officer of 2 other investment companies (comprised of 2 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
MARK N. JACOBS Vice President (2002)	61	Executive Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JAMES WINDELS Treasurer (2001)	48	Director — Mutual Fund Accounting of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JOHN B. HAMMALIAN Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	43	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
MICHAEL A. ROSENBERG Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	47	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JAMES BITETTO Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	40	Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JONI LACKS CHARATAN Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	51	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JOSEPH M. CHIOFFI Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	45	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and

		an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JANETTE E. FARRAGHER Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	44	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.

Name and Position with Funds (Since)	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience For Past Five Years
ROBERT R. MULLERY Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	55	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JEFF PRUSNOFSKY Vice President and Assistant Secretary (2005)	41	Associate General Counsel of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
ERIK D. NAVILOFF Assistant Treasurer (2002)	38	Senior Accounting Manager Taxable Fixed Income Funds of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
GAVIN C. REILLY Assistant Treasurer (2005)	38	Tax Manager of the Investment Accounting and Support Department of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
ROBERT S. ROBOL Assistant Treasurer (2005)	43	Senior Accounting Manager Money Market Funds of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
ROBERT SVAGNA Assistant Treasurer (2005)	40	Senior Accounting Manager Equity Funds of Dreyfus, and an officer of 90 investment companies (comprised of 202 portfolios) managed by Dreyfus.
JOSEPH W. CONNOLLY Chief Compliance Officer (2004)	49	Chief Compliance Officer of Dreyfus and The Dreyfus Family of Funds (90 investment companies, comprised of 202 portfolios). From November 2001 through March 2004, Mr. Connolly was first Vice-President, Mutual Fund Servicing for Mellon Global Securities Services.

In that capacity, Mr. Connolly was responsible for managing Mellon's Custody, Fund Accounting and Fund Administration services to third-party mutual fund clients. He has served in various capacities with Dreyfus since 1980, including manager of the firm's Fund Accounting Department from 1997 through October 2001.

The address of each officer of the Funds is 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166.

A-5

PART III

Part III sets forth information for each Fund regarding the beneficial ownership of its shares as of April 5, 2007 by Nominees, Continuing Directors and officers of the Fund owning shares on such date and by any shareholders owning 5% or more of the Fund's outstanding shares.

As of April 5, 2007, each Fund's Directors and officers, as a group, owned less than 1% of the Fund's outstanding shares.

As of April 5, 2007, the following Directors and officers owned shares of Common Stock of the Funds as indicated below:

Directors	**DSM Shares of Common Stock Owned**	**DSMB Shares of Common Stock Owned**
None		
Officers		
A. Paul Disdier	11,500	2,500

To each Fund's knowledge, no person owned beneficially 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock or the outstanding shares of APS of a Fund on April 5, 2007. Cede & Co. held of record approximately 90.81% and 91.43% of the outstanding Common Stock for DSM and DSMB, respectively, and 100% of the outstanding shares of APS of each of DSM and DSMB.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliances

To each Fund's knowledge, all of its officers, Directors and holders of more than 10% of its Common Stock or APS complied with all filing requirements under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 for DSM and November 30, 2006 for DSMB. In making this disclosure, each Fund has relied solely on written representations of such persons and on copies of reports that have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

A-6

EXHIBIT B

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER
THE DREYFUS FAMILY OF FUNDS
(each, the Fund)

I. Audit Committee Membership and Qualifications

The Audit Committee shall consist of at least three members appointed by the Funds Board of Directors/Trustees (the Board). The Board may replace members of the Audit Committee for any reason.

II. Purposes of the Audit Committee

The purposes of the Audit Committee are to:

(a) oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Fund and the audits of the Funds financial state-

ments;

(b) assist Board oversight of (i) the integrity of the Funds financial statements, (ii) the Funds compliance with legal

and regulatory requirements, and (iii) the independent auditors qualifications, independence and performance; and

(c) for NYSE- and AMEX-listed Funds, prepare an Audit Committee report as required by the Securities and

Exchange Commission (the SEC) to be included in the Funds annual proxy statement.

III. Role and Responsibilities of the Audit Committee

The function of the Audit Committee is oversight; it is Fund managements responsibility to maintain appropriate sys-

tems for accounting and internal control over financial reporting and the independent auditors responsibility to plan and carry out a proper audit. Specifically, the Funds management is responsible for (a) preparation, presentation and integrity of the Funds financial statements, (b) maintenance of appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles and policies and (c) maintenance of internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent auditors are responsible for planning and carrying out an audit consistent with applicable legal and professional standards and the terms of their engagement letter.

Although the Audit Committee is expected to take a detached and questioning approach to the matters that come before it, the review of the Funds financial statements by the Committee is not an audit, nor does the Committees review

1

2

In addition, for AMEX-listed Funds, one member must be financially sophisticated, in that he or she has past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional certification in accounting, or any other comparable experience or background that results in the individuals financial sophistication, such as being or having been a chief executive officer, chief financial officer or other senior officer with financial oversight responsibilities. No member of the Audit Committee of an AMEX-listed Fund may be an AMEX employee or an AMEX member who is active on its trading floor. For AMEX-listed Funds, the Board also may presume that an ACFE is financially sophisticated.

B-1

No member of the Audit Committee shall be an interested person of the Fund, as that term is defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, nor shall any member receive any compensation from the Fund except compensation for service as a member of the Board or a committee of the Board. Each member must otherwise be independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE), the American Stock Exchange (the AMEX) and the rules adopted under Section 301 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley), as applicable.

Each member of the Audit Committee must be able to read and understand financial statements (including the Funds balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement) and otherwise be financially literate, as determined by the Board in its business judgment, or must become financially literate within a reasonable time after appointment to the Audit Committee. At least one member of the Audit Committee must have accounting or related financial management expertise, as determined by the Board in its business judgment.[1] The Board also must annually determine whether any member of the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert (ACFE), within the meaning of the rules adopted and implemented under Section 407 of Sarbanes-Oxley. If the Board has determined that a member of the Audit Committee is an ACFE, it may presume that such member has accounting or related financial management expertise.2

Each member of the Audit Committee shall be entitled to rely on the (i) integrity of those persons and organizations within and outside the Fund from which he or she receives information and (ii) accuracy of the financial and other information provided to the Committee by such persons and organizations absent actual knowledge to the contrary (which shall be promptly reported to the Board). In addition, the evaluation of the Funds financial statements by the Audit Committee is not of the same scope as, and does not involve the extent of detail as, audits performed by the independent auditors, nor does the Audit Committees evaluation substitute for the responsibilities of the Funds management for preparing, or the independent auditors for auditing the financial statements.

substitute for the responsibilities of the Funds management for preparing, or the independent auditors for auditing, the financial statements. In fulfilling their responsibilities hereunder, it is recognized that members of the Audit Committee are not employees of the Fund and are not, and do not represent themselves to be, accountants or auditors by profession. As such, it is not the duty or responsibility of the Audit Committee or its members to conduct field work or other types of auditing or accounting reviews or procedures.

IV. <u>Duties and Responsibilities of the Audit Committee</u>

To carry out its purposes, the Audit Committee shall have the following duties and responsibilities:

(a) to have direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Funds indepen-

dent auditors and, in connection therewith, to review and evaluate matters potentially affecting the independence and capabilities of the auditors;

(b) to review and pre-approve (including associated fees) all audit and other services to be provided by the independent auditors to the Fund and all non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditors to the Funds investment adviser or any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investment adviser (an Adviser Affiliate) that provides ongoing services to the Fund, if the engagement relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund;

(c) to establish, to the extent permitted by law and deemed appropriate by the Audit Committee, detailed pre-approval policies and procedures for services described in (b) above;

(d) to consider whether the independent auditors provision of any non-audit services to the Fund, the Funds investment adviser or an Adviser Affiliate not pre-approved by the Audit Committee are compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent auditors;

(e) to meet with the Funds independent auditors, including separate meetings as necessary, to: (i) review the arrangements for and scope of the annual audit and any special audits; (ii) review with the independent auditors any problems or difficulties the auditors encountered in the course of the audit work, including any restrictions on their activities or access to requested information and any significant disagreements with Fund management; (iii) review all critical accounting policies and practices applied by the Fund in preparing its financial statements; (iv) discuss any accounting adjustments noted or proposed by the independent auditors that were passed as immaterial or otherwise; (v) any communications between the audit team and the independent auditing firms national office respecting auditing or accounting issues presented by the engagement; (vi) review any material written communications between the independent auditors and the Fund, including any management or internal control letter issued, or proposed to be issued, by the independent auditors to the Fund, report or recommendation on internal controls, schedule of unadjusted differences, engagement letter and independence letter; and (vii) review the form of independent auditors report to the Board and Fund shareholders (for NYSE- and AMEX-listed Funds, the form of the auditors report must be reviewed in advance of filing with the SEC);

(f) to review (i) major issues regarding accounting principles and financial statement presentations, including any significant changes in the Funds selection or application of accounting principles, and major issues as to the adequacy of the Funds internal controls and any special audit steps adopted in light of material control deficiencies; (ii) analyses prepared by Fund management and/or the independent auditors setting forth significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the financial statements; and (iii) the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives on the financial statements of the Fund;

B-2

(g) to discuss: (i) the annual audited financial statements with management and the independent auditors, including managements discussion of Fund performance (NYSE- and AMEX-listed Funds); (ii) for NYSE-listed Funds, semi-annual financial statements and any quarterly financial statements; and (iii) for NYSE-listed Funds, the type and presentation of information to be included in any earnings press releases (paying particular attention to any use of pro forma or adjusted non-GAAP information), including any financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies (which discussions may be general in nature, such as the types of information to be disclosed and the type of presentation to be made), provided that each earnings release or guidance need not be discussed in advance;

(l) to periodically meet separately with the Funds management and with the independent auditors;

(m) to discuss with management, in a general manner, but not as a committee to assume responsibility for, the Funds

processes with respect to risk assessment and risk management;

(n) to report its activities regularly to the Board, including any issues that arise with respect to (i) the quality or integrity of the Funds financial statements, (ii) the Funds compliance with legal or regulatory requirements, or (iii) the performance and independence of the independent auditors (including the Audit Committees conclusions with respect to IV (h) above), and to make such recommendations with respect to the above and other matters as the Audit Committee may deem necessary or appropriate;

3

Investment company complex includes: (1) The Dreyfus Corporation (Dreyfus), (2) any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with Dreyfus, if the entity is an investment adviser or sponsor or is engaged in the business of providing administrative, custodian, underwriting or transfer agent services to any investment company, investment adviser or sponsor, and (3) any investment company, hedge fund or unregistered fund that has an investment adviser included in (1) or (2).

B-3

(h) to at least annually, ensure receipt of a formal written statement from the independent auditors delineating all relationships between the independent auditors and the Fund, consistent with Independent Standards Board Standard 1, in order to evaluate the independent auditors qualifications, performance and independence, including the review and evaluation of the lead partner of the independent auditors, so that the Audit Committee

can actively engage in a dialogue with the independent auditors with respect to any disclosed relationships or services that may impact the objectivity and independence of the auditors, taking into account the opinions of Fund management and to further consider whether, in order to assure continuing auditor independence, there should be regular rotation of the audit firm itself, and to present conclusions of the review to the Board;

(i) to at least annually, obtain and review a report by the independent auditors describing: (i) the independent auditing firm’s internal quality-control procedures; and (ii) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the firm, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, respecting one or more independent audits carried out by the firm, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues;

(j) to set clear policies relating to the hiring by entities within the Fund’s investment company complex of employees or former employees of the independent auditors, in compliance with the requirements of Sarbanes-Oxley;

(k) to establish procedures for the receipt, retention, and treatment of complaints received by the Fund relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Fund or the Fund’s investment adviser, administrator, principal underwriter or any other provider of accounting related services for the Fund, of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters pertaining to the Fund;

(o) to prepare and review with the Board an annual performance evaluation of the Audit Committee, conducted in such manner as the Committee deems appropriate, which evaluation must compare the performance of the Audit Committee with the requirements of this Charter; and

(p) to perform such other functions and to have such powers as may be necessary or appropriate in the efficient and lawful discharge of the powers provided in this Charter.

V. Operations of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee shall meet regularly, as frequently as circumstances dictate or as required by the NYSE or the AMEX (but no less frequently than annually), and is empowered to hold special meetings as circumstances require. The Audit Committee may request that non-members attend a meeting of the Audit Committee or meet with any members of, or consultants to, the Audit Committee. Members of the Audit Committee may participate in a meeting of the Audit Committee by means of conference call, or similar communications equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other, and may act by written consent to the extent permitted by law and the Fund’s by-laws.

The Audit Committee shall have the authority to engage independent counsel and other advisers, as it determines necessary to carry out its duties. The Fund shall provide appropriate funding, as determined by the Audit Committee, for payment of compensation to (a) the independent auditors for preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Fund or (b) any advisers employed by the Audit Committee. The Fund shall also provide appropriate funding for ordinary administrative expenses of the Audit Committee that are necessary and appropriate in carrying out its duties.

The Audit Committee shall review and reassess the adequacy of this Charter at least annually and recommend any changes to the Board. The Board also shall review and approve this Charter at least annually.

The Audit Committee, in its discretion, may delegate all or a portion of its duties and responsibilities to a subcommittee of the Audit Committee, including the authority to pre-approve any audit or non-audit services to be performed for the Fund, the Fund’s investment adviser or any Adviser Affiliate by the independent auditors, provided any such approvals are presented to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

Each Fund shall comply with the NYSE or AMEX certification requirements, if applicable.

Amended and Restated:

June 2004

EXHIBIT C

NOMINATING COMMITTEE CHARTER AND PROCEDURES
THE DREYFUS FAMILY OF FUNDS
(each, the "Fund")

Organization

The Nominating Committee (the "Committee") of each Fund shall be composed solely of Directors/Trustees ("Directors") who are not "interested persons" of the Fund as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") ("Independent Directors"). The Board of Directors of the Fund (the "Board") shall select the members of the Committee and shall designate the Chairperson of the Committee.

Responsibilities

The Committee shall select and nominate persons for election or appointment by the Board as Directors of the Fund.

Evaluation of Potential Nominees

In evaluating a person as a potential nominee to serve as a Director of the Fund (including any nominees recommended by shareholders as provided below), the Committee shall consider, among other factors it may deem relevant:

- the character and integrity of the person;
- whether or not the person is qualified under applicable laws and regulations to serve as a Director of the Fund;
- whether or not the person has any relationships that might impair his or her service on the Board;
- whether nomination of the person would be consistent with Fund policy and applicable laws and regulations regard- ing the number and percentage of Independent Directors on the Board;
- whether or not the person serves on boards of, or is otherwise affiliated with, competing financial service organiza- tions or their related fund complexes;
- whether or not the person is willing to serve and is willing and able to commit the time necessary for the perfor- mance of the duties and responsibilities of a Director of the Fund;
- the contribution which the person can make to the Board and the Fund, in conjunctions with the other Directors, with consideration being given to the person's business and professional experience, education and such other fac- tors as the Committee may consider relevant; and
- whether the Committee believes the person has the ability to apply sound and independent business judgment and would act in the interests of the Fund and its shareholders.

While the Committee is solely responsible for the selection and nomination of Directors, the Committee may consider nominees recommended by Fund shareholders. The Committee will consider recommendations for nominees from shareholders sent to the Secretary of the Fund c/o The Dreyfus Corporation Legal Department, 200 Park Avenue, 8th Floor West, New York, New York 10166. A nomination submission must include all information relating to the recommended nominee that is required to be disclosed in solicitations or proxy statements for the election of Directors, as well as information sufficient to evaluate the factors listed above. Nomination submissions must be accompanied by a written consent of the individual to stand for election if nominated by the Board and to serve if elected by the shareholders, and such additional information must be provided regarding the recommended nominee as reasonably requested by the Committee.

Nomination of Directors

After a determination by the Committee that a person should be selected and nominated as a Director of the Fund, the Committee shall present its recommendation to the full Board for its consideration.

Review of Charter and Procedures

The Committee shall review the charter and procedures from time to time, as it considers appropriate.

Adopted: March 2004

C-1

The audit committee oversees the Fund’s financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process including the systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the committee reviewed the audited financial statements in the Annual Report with management including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The committee reviewed with the independent registered public accounting firm (the “independent auditors” or “auditors”), who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Fund’s accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the committee under the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). In addition, the committee has discussed with the independent auditors the auditors’ independence from management and the Fund including the auditor’s letter and the matters in the written disclosures required by the Independence Standards Board and considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the auditors’ independence.

The committee discussed with the independent auditors the overall scope and plan for the audit. The committee meets with the independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Fund’s internal controls, and the overall quality of the Fund’s financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the committee recommended to the board of directors (and the board has approved) that the audited financial statements for the Fund be included in the Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended September 30, 2006.

D-1

The audit committee oversees the Fund’s financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process including the systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the committee reviewed the audited financial statements in the Annual Report with management including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The committee reviewed with the independent registered public accounting firm (the “independent auditors” or “auditors”), who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Fund’s accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the committee under the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). In addition, the committee has discussed with the independent auditors the auditors’ independence from management and the Fund including the auditor’s letter and the matters in the written disclosures required by the Independence Standards Board and

considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the auditors independence.

The committee discussed with the independent auditors the overall scope and plan for the audit. The committee meets with the independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Fund's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Fund's financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the committee recommended to the board of directors (and the board has approved) that the audited financial statements for the Fund be included in the Fund's Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended November 30, 2006.

0852-0853PROXY-07

dent appraisers to estimate the value of such real estate. Appraisals are only estimates of value and the independent appraisers may make mistakes of fact or judgment which adversely affect the reliability of their appraisals. In addition, events occurring after the initial appraisal may cause the value of the real estate to increase or decrease. As a result of any of these factors, the real estate securing some of the Company's loans may be more or less valuable than anticipated at the time the loans were made. If a default occurs on a loan secured by real estate that is less valuable than originally estimated, the Company may not be able to recover the outstanding balance of the loan and will suffer a loss.

The Company is dependent on key personnel and the loss of one or more of those key personnel may materially and adversely affect the Company's operations and prospects.

The Company is a relationship-driven organization. A key aspect of the Company's business strategy is for its senior officers to have primary contact with current and potential customers. The Company's growth and development is in large part a result of these personalized relationships with the customer base. The success of the Company also often depends on its ability to hire and retain qualified banking officers.

The Company's senior officers have considerable experience in the banking industry and related financial services and are extremely valuable and would be difficult to replace. The loss of the services of these officers could have a material adverse effect upon future prospects. Although the Company has entered into employment contracts with certain of its senior executive officers, and purchased key man life insurance policies to mitigate the risk of an unforeseen departure or death of certain of the senior executive officers, it cannot offer any assurance that they and other key employees will remain employed by the Company. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of these key employees could have a material adverse effect on operations and possibly result in reduced revenues.

The inability of the Company to successfully manage its growth or implement its growth strategy may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company may not be able to successfully implement its growth strategy if it is unable to identify attractive markets, locations or opportunities to expand in the future. In addition, the ability to manage growth successfully depends on whether the Company can maintain adequate capital levels, cost controls and asset quality, and successfully integrate any businesses acquired into the Company.

As the Company continues to implement its growth strategy by opening new branches or acquiring branches or banks, it expects to incur increased personnel, occupancy and other operating expenses. In the case of new branches, the Company must absorb those higher expenses while it begins to generate new deposits; there is also further time lag involved in redeploying

new deposits into attractively priced loans and other higher yielding earning assets. The Company's plans to expand could depress earnings in the short run, even if it efficiently executes a growth strategy leading to long-term financial benefits.

Difficulties in combining the operations of acquired entities with the Company's own operations may prevent the Company from achieving the expected benefits from acquisitions.

The Company may not be able to achieve fully the strategic objectives and operating efficiencies expected in an acquisition. Inherent uncertainties exist in integrating the operations of an acquired entity. In addition, the markets and industries in which the Company and its potential acquisition targets operate are highly competitive. The Company may lose customers or the customers of acquired entities as a result of an acquisition; the Company may lose key personnel, either from the acquired entity or from itself; and the Company may not be able to control the incremental increase in noninterest expense arising from an acquisition in a manner that improves its overall operating efficiencies. These factors could contribute to the Company not achieving the expected benefits from its acquisitions within desired time frames, if at all. Future business acquisitions could be material to the Company and it may issue additional shares of common stock to pay for those acquisitions, which would dilute current shareholders' ownership interests. Acquisitions also could require the Company to use substantial cash or other liquid assets or to incur debt; the Company could therefore become more susceptible to economic downturns and competitive pressures.

The Company is subject to extensive regulation which could adversely affect its business.

The Company's operations as a publicly traded corporation, a bank holding company, and a parent company to an insured depository institution are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state, and local governmental authorities and are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on part or all of the Company's operations. Because the Company's business is highly regulated, the laws, rules, and regulations applicable to it are subject to frequent and sometimes extensive change. Such changes could include higher capital requirements, increased insurance premiums, increased compliance costs, reductions of non-interest income and limitations on services that can be provided. Actions by regulatory agencies or significant litigation against the Company could cause it to devote significant time and resources to defend itself and may lead to liability or penalties that materially affect the Company and its shareholders. Any future changes in the laws, rules or regulations applicable to the Company may negatively affect the Company's business and results of operations.

Recently enacted capital standards may have an adverse effect on the Company's profitability, lending, and ability to pay dividends on the Company's securities.

Effective January 1, 2015, the Company and the Bank became subject to the Basel III Capital Rules. The Basel III Capital Rules require the Company and the Bank to comply with the following minimum capital ratios: (i) a ratio of common equity Tier 1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% "capital conservation buffer" (effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of common equity Tier 1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7%), (ii) a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%), (iii) a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5%), and (iv) a leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets. The phase-in of the capital conservation buffer requirement began on January 1, 2016, at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets, increasing by the same amount each year until it was fully implemented at 2.5% on January 1, 2019. The potential impact of these capital rules includes, but is not limited to, reduced lending and negative pressure on profitability and return on equity due to the higher capital requirements. To the extent the Company is required to increase capital in the future to comply with these capital rules, its ability to pay dividends on its securities may be reduced.

In December 2017, the Basel Committee published standards that it described as the finalization of the Basel III post-crisis regulatory reforms (the standards are commonly referred to as "Basel IV"). Among other things, these standards revise the Basel Committee’s standardized approach for credit risk (including by recalibrating risk weights and introducing new capital requirements for certain "unconditionally cancellable commitments," such as unused credit card lines of credit) and provide a new standardized approach for operational risk capital. Under the proposed framework, these standards will generally be effective on January 1, 2022, with an aggregate output floor phasing-in through January 1, 2027. Under the current capital rules, operational risk capital requirements and a capital floor apply only to advanced approaches institutions, and not to the Company. The impact of Basel IV on the Company and the

Bank will depend on the manner in which it is implemented by the federal bank regulatory agencies.
The EGRRCPA, which became effective May 24, 2018, amended the Dodd-Frank Act to, among other things, provide relief from certain of these capital requirements. Although the EGRRCPA is still being implemented, the Company does not expect the EGRRCPA and the related rulemakings to materially reduce the impact of capital requirements on its business.

New regulations issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau could adversely the Company's earnings.
The CFPB has broad rule making authority to administer and carry out the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act with respect to financial institutions that offer covered financial products and services to consumers. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFPB issued a final rule effective January 10, 2014, requiring mortgage lenders to make a reasonable and good faith determination based on verified and documented information that a consumer applying for a mortgage loan has a reasonable ability to repay the loan according to its terms, or to originate "qualified mortgages" that meet specific requirements with respect to terms, pricing and fees. The new rule also contains new disclosure requirements at mortgage loan origination and in monthly statements. These requirements could limit the Company's ability to make certain types of loans or loans to certain borrowers, or could make it more expensive and/or time consuming to make these loans, which could adversely impact the Company's profitability.
Changes in accounting standards could impact reported earnings.
From time to time, with increasing frequency, there are changes in the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of the Company's financial statements. These changes can materially impact how the Company records and reports its financial condition and results of operations. In some instances, the Company could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in the restatement of prior period financial statements. Refer to Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.
Failure to maintain effective systems of internal and disclosure control could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operation and financial condition.
Effective internal and disclosure controls are necessary for the Company to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud and to operate successfully as a public company. If the Company cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, its reputation and operating results would be harmed. As part of the Company's ongoing monitoring of internal control, it may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in its internal control that require remediation. A "material weakness" is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.
The Company has in the past discovered, and may in the future discover, areas of its internal controls that need improvement. Even so, the Company is continuing to work to improve its internal controls. The Company cannot be certain that these measures will ensure that it implements and maintains adequate controls over its financial processes and reporting in the future. Any failure to maintain effective controls or to timely effect any necessary improvement of the Company's internal and disclosure controls could, among other things, result in losses from fraud or error, harm the Company's reputation or cause investors to lose confidence in the Company's reported financial information, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operation and financial condition.
The carrying value of goodwill may be adversely impacted.
When the Company completes an acquisition, generally goodwill is recorded on the date of acquisition as an asset. Current accounting guidance requires for goodwill to be tested for impairment, which the Company performs an impairment analysis at least annually, rather than amortizing it over a period of time. A significant adverse change in expected future cash flows or sustained adverse change in the Company's common stock could require the asset to become impaired. If impaired, the Company would incur a non-cash charge to earnings that would have a significant impact on the results of operations. The carrying value of goodwill was approximately $43.9 million at December 31, 2018.
The Company may need to raise additional capital in the future to continue to grow, but may be unable to obtain additional capital on favorable terms or at all.
Federal and state banking regulators and safe and sound banking practices require the Company to maintain adequate levels of capital to support its operations. Although the Company currently has no specific plans for additional offices other than the offices to be added in connection with the HomeTown acquisition, its business strategy calls for it to continue to grow in its existing banking markets (internally and through additional offices) and to expand into new markets as appropriate opportunities arise. Continued growth in the Company's earning assets, which may result from internal expansion and new branch offices, at rates in excess of the rate at which its capital is increased through retained earnings, will reduce the Company's capital ratios. If the Company's capital ratios fell below "well

capitalized" levels, the FDIC deposit insurance assessment rate would increase until capital was restored and maintained at a "well capitalized" level. A higher assessment rate

19

would cause an increase in the assessments the Company pays for federal deposit insurance, which would have an adverse effect on the Company's operating results.

Management of the Company believes that its current and projected capital position is sufficient to maintain capital ratios significantly in excess of regulatory requirements for the next several years and allow the Company flexibility in the timing of any possible future efforts to raise additional capital. However, if, in the future, the Company needs to increase its capital to fund additional growth or satisfy regulatory requirements, its ability to raise that additional capital will depend on conditions at that time in the capital markets, economic conditions, the Company's financial performance and condition, and other factors, many of which are outside its control. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise additional capital on terms favorable to it or at all. Any future inability to raise additional capital on terms acceptable to the Company may have a material adverse effect on its ability to expand operations, and on its financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

The Bank may be required to transition from the use of the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") index in the future.

The Bank has certain loans indexed to LIBOR to calculate the loan interest rate. The continued availability of the LIBOR index is not guaranteed after 2021. It is impossible to predict whether and to what extent banks will continue to provide LIBOR submissions to the administrator of LIBOR or whether any additional reforms to LIBOR may be enacted. At this time, no consensus exists as to what rate or rates may become acceptable alternatives to LIBOR. The implementation of a substitute index or indices for the calculation of interest rates under the Bank’s loan agreements with borrowers may cause the Bank to incur significant expenses in effecting the transition, may result in reduced loan balances if borrowers do not accept the substitute index or indices, and may result in disputes or litigation with customers over the appropriateness or comparability to LIBOR of the substitute index or indices, which could have a material adverse effect on the Bank’s results of operations.

The Company relies on other companies to provide key components of the Company's business infrastructure.

Third parties provide key components of the Company's business operations such as data processing, recording and monitoring transactions, online banking interfaces and services, Internet connections and network access. While the Company has selected these third party vendors carefully, it does not control their actions. Any problem caused by these third parties, including those resulting from disruptions in communication services provided by a vendor, failure of a vendor to handle current or higher volumes, cybersecurity breaches, failure of a vendor to provide services for any reason or poor performance of services, could adversely affect the Company's ability to deliver products and services to its customers and otherwise conduct its business. Financial or operational difficulties of a third party vendor could also hurt the Company's operations if those difficulties interface with the vendor's ability to serve the Company. Replacing these third party vendors could also create significant delay and expense. Accordingly, use of such third parties creates an unavoidable inherent risk to the Company's business operations.

The Company's exposure to operational, technological and organizational risk may adversely affect the Company.

The Company is exposed to many types of operational risks, including reputation, legal, and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, unauthorized transactions by employees or operational errors, clerical or record-keeping errors, and errors resulting from faulty or disabled computer or telecommunications systems.

Negative public opinion can result from the actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, corporate governance, and acquisitions, and from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can adversely affect the Company's ability to attract and retain customers and can expose it to litigation and regulatory action.

Certain errors may be repeated or compounded before they are discovered and successfully rectified. The Company's necessary dependence upon automated systems to record and process its transactions may further increase the risk that technical system flaws or employee tampering or manipulation of those systems will result in losses that are difficult to detect. The Company may also be subject to disruptions of its operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond its control (for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages), which may give rise to disruption of service to customers and to financial loss or liability. The Company is further exposed to the risk that its external vendors may be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations (or will be subject to the same risk of fraud or operational errors by their respective employees as is the Company) and to the risk that the Company's (or its vendors') business continuity and data security systems prove to be inadequate.

The Company's operations may be adversely affected by cybersecurity risks.
The Company relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct business. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Company's internet banking, deposit, loan,

and other systems. While the Company has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of such failure, interruption, or security breach of the Company's information systems, there can be no assurance that they will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. Further, to access the Company’s products and services, its customers may use computers and mobile devices that are beyond the Company’s security control systems. The occurrence of any failure, interruption or security breach of the Company's communications and information systems could damage the Company's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Company to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Company to civil litigation and possible financial liability. Additionally, the Company outsources its data processing to a third party. If the Company's third party provider encounters difficulties or if the Company has difficulty in communicating with such third party, it will significantly affect the Company's ability to adequately process and account for customer transactions, which would significantly affect its business operations.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company collects and stores sensitive data, including proprietary business information and personally identifiable information of its customers and employees in systems and on networks. The secure processing, maintenance and use of this information is critical to operations and the Company's business strategy. The Company has invested in accepted technologies, and annually reviews processes and practices that are designed to protect its networks, computers and data from damage or unauthorized access. Despite these security measures, the Company's computer systems and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. A breach of any kind could compromise systems and the information stored there could be accessed, damaged or disclosed. A breach in security could result in legal claims, regulatory penalties, disruption in operations, and damage to the Company's reputation, which could adversely affect the Company's business. Furthermore, as cyberattacks continue to evolve and increase, the Company may be required to expend significant additional resources to modify or enhance its protective measures, or to investigate and remediate any identified information security vulnerabilities.

Multiple major U.S. retailers, financial institutions, government agencies and departments have experienced data systems incursions reportedly resulting in the thefts of credit and debit card information, online account information, and other financial data of tens of millions of individuals and customers. Retailer incursions affect cards issued and deposit accounts maintained by many financial institutions, including the Bank. Although neither the Company's nor the Bank's systems are breached in government or retailer incursions, these events can cause the Bank to reissue a significant number of cards and take other costly steps to avoid significant theft loss to the Bank and its customers. In some cases, the Bank may be required to reimburse customers for the losses they incur. Other possible points of intrusion or disruption not within the Company's nor the Bank's control include internet service providers, electronic mail portal providers, social media portals, distant-server (so called "cloud") service providers, electronic data security providers, personal computers and mobile phones, telecommunications companies, and mobile phone manufacturers.

The Company’s risk-management framework may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss.

The Company maintains an enterprise risk management program that is designed to identify, quantify, monitor, report, and control the risks that it faces. These risks include, but are not limited to: strategic, interest-rate, credit, liquidity, operations, pricing, reputation, compliance, litigation and cybersecurity. While the Company assesses and improves this program on an ongoing basis, there can be no assurance that its approach and framework for risk management and related controls will effectively mitigate all risk and limit losses in its business. If conditions or circumstances arise that expose flaws or gaps in the Company’s risk-management program, or if its controls break down, the Company’s results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Current and proposed regulation addressing consumer privacy and data use and security could increase the Company's costs and impact its reputation.

The Company is subject to a number of laws concerning consumer privacy and data use and security, including information safeguard rules under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. These rules require that financial institutions develop, implement and maintain a written, comprehensive information security program containing safeguards that are appropriate to the financial institution's size and complexity, the nature and scope of the financial institution's activities, and the sensitivity of any customer information at issue. The United States has experienced a heightened legislative and regulatory focus on privacy and data security, including requiring consumer notification in the event of a data breach. In addition, most states have enacted security breach legislation requiring varying levels of consumer

notification in the event of certain types of security breaches. New regulations in these areas may increase the Company's compliance costs, which could negatively impact earnings. In addition, failure to comply with the privacy and data use and security laws and regulations to which the Company is subject, including by reason of inadvertent disclosure of confidential information, could result in fines, sanctions, penalties or other adverse consequences and loss of consumer confidence, which could materially adversely affect the Company's results of operations, overall business, and reputation.

Consumers may increasingly decide not to use the Bank to complete their financial transactions because of technological and other changes, which would have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and operations.

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete financial transactions through alternative methods that historically have involved banks. For example, consumers can now maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts, mutual funds or general-purpose reloadable prepaid cards. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as "disintermediation," could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams and the lower cost of deposits as a source of funds could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Negative perception of the Company through media may adversely affect the Company's reputation and business.

The Company's reputation is critical to the success of its business. The Company believes that its brand image has been well received by customers, reflecting the fact that the brand image, like the Company's business, is based in part on trust and confidence. The Company's reputation and brand image could be negatively affected by rapid and widespread distribution of publicity through social and traditional media channels. The Company's reputation could also be affected by the Company's association with clients affected negatively through social and traditional media distribution, or other third parties, or by circumstances outside of the Company's control. Negative publicity, whether deserved or undeserved, could affect the Company's ability to attract or retain customers, or cause the Company to incur additional liabilities or costs, or result in additional regulatory scrutiny.

The Company is subject to claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility.

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the performance of the Company's fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the performance of the Company's fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Company, they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Company and its products and services, as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in the federal, state or local tax laws may negatively impact the Company's financial performance.

Changes in tax law could increase the Company's effective tax rates. Such changes may be retroactive to previous periods and as a result could negatively affect the Company's current and future financial performance. The Tax Reform Act is likely to have both positive and negative effects on the Company's financial performance. For example, the new legislation resulted in a reduction in federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% beginning in 2018, which has generally had a favorable impact on the Company's earnings and capital generation abilities. However, the new legislation also enacted limitations on certain deductions, such as the deduction of FDIC deposit insurance premiums, which will partially offset the anticipated increase in net earnings from the lower tax rate. In addition, as a result of the lower corporate tax rate, the Company revalued its ending net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2017 and recognized a provisional $2.7 million tax expense in the Company's consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2017. The impact of the Tax Reform Act may differ from the foregoing, possibly materially, due to changes in interpretations or in assumptions that the Company has made, guidance or regulations that may be promulgated, and other actions that the Company may take as a result of the Tax Reform Act. Similarly, the Company's customers are likely to experience varying effects from both the individual and business tax provisions of the Tax Reform Act and such effects, whether positive or negative, may have a corresponding impact on the Company's business and the economy as a whole.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, and other external events could significantly impact the Company's business.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Company's ability to conduct business. In addition, such events could affect the stability of the Company's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue, and/or cause the Company to incur

additional expenses. The occurrence of any such event in the future could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to the Company's Common Stock

While the Company's common stock is currently traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, it has less liquidity than stocks for larger companies quoted on a national securities exchange.

The trading volume in the Company's common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market has been relatively low when compared with larger companies listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market or other stock exchanges. There is no assurance that a more active and liquid trading market for the common stock will exist in the future. Consequently, shareholders may not be able to sell a substantial number of shares for the same price at which shareholders could sell a smaller number of shares. In addition, we cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales of the Company's common stock in the market, or the availability of shares of common stock for sale in the market, will have on the market price of the common stock.

Economic and other conditions may cause volatility in the price of the Company’s common stock.

In the current economic environment, the prices of publicly traded stocks in the financial services sector have been volatile. However, even in a more stable economic environment the price of the Company’s common stock can be affected by a variety of factors such as expected or actual results of operations, changes in analysts’ recommendations or projections, announcements of developments related to its businesses, operating and stock performance of other companies deemed to be peers, news or expectations based on the performance of others in the financial services industry, and expected impacts of a changing regulatory environment. These factors not only impact the price of the Company’s common stock but could also affect the liquidity of the stock given the Company’s size, geographical footprint, and industry. The price for shares of the Company’s common stock may fluctuate significantly in the future, and these fluctuations may be unrelated to the Company’s performance. General market price declines or market volatility in the future could adversely affect the price for shares of the Company’s common stock, and the current market price of such shares may not be indicative of future market prices.

Future issuances of the Company's common stock could adversely affect the market price of the common stock and could be dilutive.

The Company is not restricted from issuing additional shares of common stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, shares of common stock. Issuances of a substantial number of shares of common stock, or the expectation that such issuances might occur, including in connection with acquisitions by the Company, could materially adversely affect the market price of the shares of the common stock and could be dilutive to shareholders. Because the Company's decision to issue common stock in the future will depend on market conditions and other factors, it cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of possible future issuances of its common stock. Accordingly, the Company's shareholders bear the risk that future issuances will reduce the market price of the common stock and dilute their stock holdings in the Company.

The primary source of the Company's income from which it pays cash dividends is the receipt of dividends from its subsidiary bank.

The availability of dividends from the Company is limited by various statutes and regulations. It is possible, depending upon the financial condition of the Bank and other factors, that the OCC could assert that payment of dividends or other payments is an unsafe or unsound practice. In the event the Bank was unable to pay dividends to the Company, or be limited in the payment of such dividends, the Company would likely have to reduce or stop paying common stock dividends. The Company's reduction, limitation or failure to pay such dividends on its common stock could have a material adverse effect on the market price of the common stock.

The Company's governing documents and Virginia law contain anti-takeover provisions that could negatively impact its shareholders.

The Company's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws and the Virginia Stock Corporation Act contain certain provisions designed to enhance the ability of the Company's Board of Directors to deal with attempts to acquire control of the Company. These provisions and the ability to set the voting rights, preferences and other terms of any series of preferred stock that may be issued, may be deemed to have an anti-takeover effect and may discourage takeovers (which certain shareholders may deem to be in their best interest). To the extent that such takeover attempts are discouraged, temporary fluctuations in the market price of the Company's common stock resulting from actual or rumored takeover attempts may be inhibited. These provisions also could discourage or make more difficult a merger, tender offer, or proxy contest, even though such transactions may be favorable to the interests of shareholders, and

could potentially adversely affect the market price of the Company's common stock.

23

Risks Related to the Company’s Proposed Acquisition of HomeTown

Combining the Company and HomeTown may be more difficult, costly or time-consuming than the Company expects.

The success of the merger of HomeTown with and into the Company (which is referred to as the "merger" in the following risk factors) will depend, in part, on the Company’s ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining the businesses of the Company and HomeTown and to combine the businesses of the Company and HomeTown in a manner that permits growth opportunities and cost savings to be realized without materially disrupting the existing customer relationships of HomeTown or the Company or decreasing revenues due to loss of customers. However, to realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, the Company must successfully combine the businesses of the Company and HomeTown. If the Company is not able to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger may not be realized fully, or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected.

The Company and HomeTown have operated, and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently. The success of the merger will depend, in part, on the Company’s ability to successfully combine the businesses of the Company and HomeTown. To realize these anticipated benefits, after the completion of the merger, the Company expects to integrate HomeTown’s business into its own. The integration process in the merger could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each party’s ongoing business, inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that affect adversely either party’s ability to maintain relationships with customers and employees or achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger. The loss of key employees could adversely affect the Company’s ability to successfully conduct its business in the markets in which HomeTown now operates, which could have an adverse effect on the Company’s financial results and the value of its common stock. If the Company experiences difficulties with the integration process, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized, fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected. As with any merger of financial institutions, there also may be disruptions that cause the Company and HomeTown to lose customers or cause customers to withdraw their deposits from HomeTown’s or the Company’s banking subsidiaries, or other unintended consequences that could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations or financial condition after the merger. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on each of HomeTown and the Company during this transition period and for an undetermined period after consummation of the merger.

The Company may not be able to effectively integrate the operations of HomeTown Bank into the Bank.

The future operating performance of the Company and the Bank will depend, in part, on the success of the merger of HomeTown Bank and the Bank (which is referred to as the "subsidiary bank merger" in the following risk factors) that is expected to occur at the time of or as soon as reasonably practicable after the merger of the Company and HomeTown. The success of the subsidiary bank merger will, in turn, depend on a number of factors, including the Company’s ability to: (i) integrate the operations and branches of HomeTown Bank and the Bank; (ii) retain the deposits and customers of HomeTown Bank and the Bank; (iii) control the incremental increase in noninterest expense arising from the subsidiary bank merger in a manner that enables the combined bank to improve its overall operating efficiencies; and (iv) retain and integrate the appropriate personnel of HomeTown Bank into the operations of the Bank, as well as reducing overlapping bank personnel. The integration of HomeTown Bank and the Bank following the subsidiary bank merger will require the dedication of the time and resources of the banks’ management and may temporarily distract managements’ attention from the day-to-day business of the banks. If the Bank is unable to successfully integrate HomeTown Bank, the Bank may not be able to realize expected operating efficiencies and eliminate redundant costs.

Regulatory approvals may not be received, may take longer than expected or may impose conditions that are not presently anticipated or that could have an adverse effect on the Company following the merger.

Before the merger of HomeTown into the Company, or the merger of HomeTown Bank into the Bank, may be completed, the Company and HomeTown must obtain approvals from certain bank regulatory authorities. Other approvals, waivers or consents from regulators may also be required. In determining whether to grant these approvals the regulators consider a variety of factors, including the regulatory standing of each party and the competitive effects of the contemplated transactions. An adverse development in either party’s regulatory standing or these factors could result in an inability to obtain approval or delay their receipt. The CRA and the regulations issued thereunder also

requires that the bank regulatory authorities, in deciding whether to approve the merger and the subsidiary bank merger, assess the records of performance of the Bank and HomeTown Bank in meeting the credit needs of the communities they serve, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. As part of the review process under the CRA, it is not unusual for the bank regulatory authorities to receive protests and other adverse comments from community groups and others. Any such protests or adverse comments could prolong the period during which the merger and the subsidiary bank merger are subject to review by the bank regulatory authorities.

These regulators may impose conditions on the completion of the merger or the subsidiary bank merger or require changes to the terms of the merger or the subsidiary bank merger. Such conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying or

preventing completion of the merger or the subsidiary bank merger or imposing additional costs on or limiting the revenues of the Company following the merger and the subsidiary bank merger, any of which might have an adverse effect on the Company following the merger.

The merger and the subsidiary bank merger may distract management of the Company and HomeTown from their other responsibilities.

The merger and the subsidiary bank merger could cause the respective management groups of the Company and HomeTown to focus their time and energies on matters related to the transaction that otherwise would be directed to their business and operations. Any such distraction on the part of either company's management could affect its ability to service existing business and develop new business and adversely affect the business and earnings of the Company or HomeTown before the merger, or the business and earnings of the Company after the merger.

Termination of the Merger Agreement could negatively impact the Company.

If the Merger Agreement is terminated, the Company's business may be impacted adversely by the failure to pursue other beneficial opportunities due to the focus of management on the merger, without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of completing the merger. Additionally, if the Merger Agreement is terminated, the market price of the Company's common stock could decline to the extent that the current market prices reflect a market assumption that the merger will be completed. Furthermore, costs relating to the merger, such as legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, must be paid even if the merger is not completed.

The Company and HomeTown will be subject to business uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the merger is pending.

Uncertainty about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on the Company and HomeTown. These uncertainties may impair the Company's and HomeTown's ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the merger is completed, and could cause customers and others that deal with the Company and HomeTown to seek to change existing business relationships with the Company and HomeTown. Retention of certain employees by the Company and HomeTown may be challenging while the merger is pending, as certain employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the Company or HomeTown. If key employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with the Company or HomeTown, the Company's or HomeTown's business, or the business of the combined company following the merger, could be harmed. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and HomeTown have each agreed to operate its business in the ordinary course prior to closing and refrain from taking certain specified actions until the merger occurs, which may prevent the Company or HomeTown from pursuing attractive business opportunities that may arise prior to completion of the merger.

If the merger is not completed, the Company will have incurred substantial expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

The Company has incurred and will incur substantial expenses in connection with the negotiation and completion of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, as well as the costs and expenses of filing, printing and mailing the joint proxy statement/prospectus to shareholders to approve the merger and all filing and other fees paid to the SEC in connection with the merger. If the merger is not completed, the Company would have to incur these expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

ITEM 2 – PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2018, the Company maintained twenty-four banking offices. The Company's Virginia banking offices are located in the cities of Danville, Martinsville, Lynchburg, and in the counties of Bedford, Campbell, Franklin, Halifax, Henry, Pittsylvania and Roanoke. In North Carolina, the Company's banking offices are located in the cities of Burlington, Graham, Greensboro, Mebane and Winston-Salem and in the counties of Alamance, Caswell, and Guilford. The Company also operates two loan production offices.

The principal executive offices of the Company are located at 628 Main Street in the business district of Danville, Virginia. This building, owned by the Company, has three floors totaling approximately 27,000 square feet.

The Company owns a building located at 103 Tower Drive in Danville, Virginia. This three-story facility serves as an operations center.

The Company has an office at 445 Mount Cross Road in Danville, Virginia where it consolidated two banking offices in January 2009 and gained additional administrative space.
The Company has an office at 3101 South Church Street in Burlington, North Carolina. This building serves as the head office for the Company's North Carolina operations.
The Company has an office at 3000 Ogden Road in Roanoke, Virginia. The building is approximately 14,000 square feet and serves as the Company's main office in the Roanoke market.
The Company owns fourteen other offices for a total of nineteen owned buildings. There are no mortgages or liens against any of the properties owned by the Company. The Company operates thirty-four ATMs on owned or leased facilities. The Company leases seven office locations and two storage warehouses.

ITEM 3 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of operations, the Company and the Bank are parties to various legal proceedings. Based upon information currently available, management believes that such legal proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, or results of operations of the Company.

ITEM 4 – MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None.

PART II

ITEM 5 – MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market and Dividend Information

The Company's common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "AMNB." At December 31, 2018, the Company had 3,053 shareholders of record.

The Company paid quarterly cash dividends of $0.25 per share during 2018. The Company’s future dividend policy is subject to the discretion of the Boards of Directors of the Company and the Bank and will depend upon a number of factors, including future earnings, financial condition, cash requirements and general business conditions. The Company and the Bank are also subject to certain restrictions imposed by the reserve and capital requirements of federal and state statutes and regulations. See "Part I, Item 1. Business - Supervision and Regulation - Dividends," for information on regulatory restrictions on dividends.

Stock Compensation Plans

Until its expiration date on February 18, 2018, the Company maintained the 2008 Stock Incentive Plan ("2008 Plan"), which was designed to attract and retain qualified personnel in key positions, provide employees with an equity interest in the Company as an incentive to contribute to the success of the Company, and reward employees for outstanding performance and the attainment of targeted goals. The Company's 2018 Stock Incentive Plan ("2018 Plan") was adopted by the Board of Directors of the Company on February 20, 2018 and approved by shareholders on May 15, 2018 at the Company's 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Plans and stock compensation in general are discussed in Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The following table summarizes information, as of December 31, 2018, relating to the Company's equity based compensation plans, pursuant to which grants of options to acquire shares of common stock have been and may be granted from time to time.

	December 31, 2018		
	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted-Average Per Share Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Stock Compensation Plans
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	13,200	$ 21.97	661,706
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	—	—	—
Total	13,200	$ 21.97	661,706

Stock Repurchase Program

On November 19, 2015, the Company filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce the approval by its Board of Directors of a stock repurchase program. The program authorized the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company's common shares over a two year period. The share purchase limit was equal to approximately 3.5% of the 8,622,000 common shares then outstanding at the time the Board of Directors approved the program. The program expired on November 19, 2017.

On January 19, 2018, the Company filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce the approval by its Board of Directors of another stock repurchase program. The program authorizes the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock over a two year period.

The Company did not repurchase any shares during 2018 and 2017.

Comparative Stock Performance

The following graph compares the Company's cumulative total return to its shareholders with the returns of two indexes for the five-year period ended December 31, 2018. The cumulative total return was calculated taking into consideration changes in stock price, cash dividends, stock dividends, and stock splits since December 31, 2013. The indexes are the Nasdaq Composite Index and the SNL Bank $1 Billion - $5 Billion Index, which includes bank holding companies with assets of $1 billion to $5 billion and is published by SNL Financial, LC.

American National Bankshares Inc.

	Period Ending					
Index	12/31/13	12/31/14	12/31/15	12/31/16	12/31/17	12/31/18
American National Bankshares Inc.	$100.00	$ 98.43	$105.69	$148.68	$167.96	$131.99
Nasdaq Composite	100.00	114.75	122.74	133.62	173.22	168.30
SNL Bank $1B-$5B	100.00	104.56	117.04	168.38	179.51	157.27

28

ITEM 6 - SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected financial data for the Company for the last five years:

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share information and ratios)

	December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Results of Operations:					
Interest income	$68,768	$63,038	$56,170	$55,169	$47,455
Interest expense	9,674	7,291	6,316	5,904	5,730
Net interest income	59,094	55,747	49,854	49,265	41,725
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(103)	1,016	250	950	400
Noninterest income	13,274	14,227	13,505	13,287	11,176
Noninterest expense	44,246	42,883	39,801	40,543	34,558
Income before income tax provision	28,225	26,075	23,308	21,059	17,943
Income tax provision	5,646	10,826	7,007	6,020	5,202
Net income	$22,579	$15,249	$16,301	$15,039	$12,741
Financial Condition:					
Assets	$1,862,866	$1,816,078	$1,678,638	$1,547,599	$1,346,492
Loans, net of unearned income	1,357,476	1,336,125	1,164,821	1,005,525	840,925
Securities	339,730	327,447	352,726	345,661	349,250
Deposits	1,566,227	1,534,726	1,370,640	1,262,660	1,075,837
Shareholders' equity	222,542	208,717	201,380	197,835	173,780
Shareholders' equity, tangible (1)	177,744	163,654	155,789	151,280	132,692
Per Share Information:					
Earnings per share, basic	$2.60	$1.76	$1.89	$1.73	$1.62
Earnings per share, diluted	2.59	1.76	1.89	1.73	1.62
Cash dividends paid	1.00	0.97	0.96	0.93	0.92
Book value	25.52	24.13	23.37	22.95	22.07
Book value, tangible (1)	20.38	18.92	18.08	17.55	16.86
Average common shares outstanding - basic	8,698,014	8,641,717	8,611,507	8,680,502	7,867,198
Average common shares outstanding - diluted	8,708,462	8,660,628	8,621,241	8,688,450	7,877,576
Selected Ratios:					
Return on average assets	1.24 %	0.87 %	1.02 %	0.99 %	0.97 %
Return on average equity (2)	10.56 %	7.34 %	8.07 %	7.65 %	7.40 %
Return on average tangible equity (1)(3)	13.49 %	9.59 %	10.85 %	10.62 %	10.31 %
Dividend payout ratio	38.54 %	54.98 %	50.71 %	53.65 %	56.80 %
Efficiency ratio (1)(4)	59.57 %	60.89 %	61.47 %	63.81 %	63.41 %
Net interest margin	3.49 %	3.50 %	3.52 %	3.69 %	3.66 %
Asset Quality Ratios:					
Allowance for loan losses to period end loans	0.94 %	1.02 %	1.10 %	1.25 %	1.48 %
Allowance for loan losses to period end non-performing loans	1,101.98 %	531.37 %	360.39 %	242.09 %	302.21 %
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.11 %	0.21 %	0.29 %	0.48 %	0.46 %
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.05 %	0.02 %	0.00 %	0.08 %	0.07 %

Capital Ratios:										
Total risk-based capital ratio	15.35	%	14.39	%	14.81	%	16.34	%	17.86	%
Common equity tier 1 capital ratio	12.55	%	11.50	%	11.77	%	12.88	%	n/a	
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.46	%	13.42	%	13.83	%	15.23	%	16.59	%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	11.62	%	10.95	%	11.67	%	12.05	%	12.16	%
Tangible equity to tangible assets ratio (1)(5)	9.78	%	9.24	%	9.54	%	10.08	%	10.00	%

29

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. See the Non-GAAP Presentations section of Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Form 10-K for reconciliation.
(2) Return on average common equity is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by average common equity.
(3) Return on average tangible common equity is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders plus amortization of intangibles tax effected by average common equity less average intangibles.
(4) The efficiency ratio is calculated by dividing noninterest expense excluding (i) gains or losses on the sale of other real estate owned and (ii) merger related expenses by net interest income including tax equivalent income on nontaxable loans and securities and noninterest income excluding (x) gains or losses on securities and (y) gains or losses on sale of premises and equipment.
(5) Tangible equity to tangible assets ratio is calculated by dividing period-end common equity less period-end intangibles by period-end assets less period-end intangibles.

ITEM 7 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The purpose of this discussion is to focus on significant changes in the financial condition and results of operations of the Company during the past three years. The discussion and analysis are intended to supplement and highlight information contained in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and the selected financial data presented elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RECLASSIFICATION

In certain circumstances, reclassifications have been made to prior period information to conform to the 2018 presentation. There were no material reclassifications.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Company conform with GAAP and they conform to general practices within the banking industry. The Company's critical accounting policies, which are summarized below, relate to (1) the allowance for loan losses, (2) mergers and acquisitions, (3) acquired loans with specific credit-related deterioration, (4) goodwill and intangible assets, (5) other real estate owned, (6) deferred tax assets and liabilities, (7) other-than-temporary impairment of securities, (8) the unfunded pension liability, and (9) derivative financial instruments. A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies is set forth in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The financial information contained within the Company's financial statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset, or relieving a liability. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The purpose of the allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") is to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses and by recoveries of previously charged-off loans. Loan charge-offs decrease the allowance.

The goal of the Company is to maintain an appropriate, systematic, and consistently applied process to determine the amounts of the ALLL and the provision for loan loss expense.

The Company uses certain practices to manage its credit risk. These practices include (1) appropriate lending limits for loan officers, (2) a loan approval process, (3) careful underwriting of loan requests, including analysis of borrowers, cash flows, collateral, and market risks, (4) regular monitoring of the portfolio, including diversification by type and geography, (5) review of loans by the Loan Review department, which operates independently of loan production (the Loan Review function consists of a co-sourced arrangement using both internal personnel and external vendors to provide the Company with a more robust review function of the loan portfolio), (6) regular meetings of the Credit Committee to discuss portfolio and policy changes and make decisions on large or unusual loan requests, and (7) regular meetings of the Asset Quality Committee which reviews the status of individual loans.

Risk grades are assigned as part of the loan origination process. From time to time, risk grades may be modified as warranted by the facts and circumstances surrounding the credit.

Calculation and analysis of the ALLL is prepared quarterly by the Finance Department. The Company's Credit Committee, Risk and Compliance Committee, Audit Committee, and the Board of Directors review the allowance for adequacy.

The Company's ALLL has two basic components: the formula allowance and the specific allowance. Each of these components is determined based upon estimates and judgments.

The formula allowance uses historical loss experience as an indicator of future losses, along with various qualitative factors, including levels and trends in delinquencies, nonaccrual loans, charge-offs and recoveries, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in underwriting standards, experience of lending staff, economic conditions, portfolio concentrations, regulatory, legal, competition, quality of loan review system, and value of underlying collateral. In the formula allowance for commercial and commercial real estate loans, the historical loss rate is combined with the qualitative factors, resulting in an adjusted loss factor for each risk-grade category of loans. The period-end balances for each loan risk-grade category are multiplied by the adjusted loss factor. Allowance calculations for residential real estate and consumer loans are calculated based on historical losses for each product category without regard to risk grade. This loss rate is combined with qualitative factors resulting in an adjusted loss factor for each product category.

The specific allowance uses various techniques to arrive at an estimate of loss for specifically identified impaired loans. These include:

- The present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The effective interest rate on a loan is the rate of return implicit in the loan (that is, the contractual interest rate adjusted for any net deferred loan fees or costs and any premium or discount existing at the origination or acquisition of the loan);
- The loan's observable market price; or
- The fair value of the collateral, net of estimated costs to dispose, if the loan is collateral dependent.

The use of these computed values is inherently subjective and actual losses could be greater or less than the estimates. No single statistic, formula, or measurement determines the adequacy of the allowance. Management makes subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, and different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. For analytical purposes, management allocates a portion of the allowance to specific loan categories and specific loans. However, the entire allowance is used to absorb credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, including identified and unidentified losses.

The relationships and ratios used in calculating the allowance, including the qualitative factors, may change from period to period as facts and circumstances evolve. Furthermore, management cannot provide assurance that in any particular period the Bank will not have sizable credit losses in relation to the amount reserved. Management may find it necessary to significantly adjust the allowance, considering current factors at the time.

Mergers and Acquisitions

Business combinations are accounted for under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, Business Combinations, using the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date measured at their fair values as of that date. To determine the fair values, the Company will rely on third party valuations, such as appraisals, or internal valuations based on discounted cash flow analysis or other valuation techniques. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Company will identify the acquirer and the closing date and apply applicable recognition principles and conditions.

Acquisition-related costs are costs the Company incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include advisory, legal, accounting, valuation, and other professional or consulting fees. Some other examples of costs to the Company include systems conversions, integration planning, consultants, and advertising costs. The Company will account for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception. The costs to issue debt or equity securities will be recognized in accordance with other applicable GAAP. These acquisition-related costs have been and will be included within the consolidated statements of income classified within the noninterest expense caption.

Acquired Loans with Specific Credit-Related Deterioration

Acquired loans with specific credit deterioration are accounted for by the Company in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30, Receivables - Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Certain acquired loans, those for which specific credit-related deterioration since origination is identified, are recorded at the amount paid, such that there is no

carryover of the seller's allowance for loan losses. Income recognition on these loans is based on a reasonable expectation about the timing and amount of cash flows to be collected.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company follows ASC 350, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which prescribes the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to initial recognition. Goodwill resulting from business combinations prior to January 1, 2009 represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill resulting from business combinations after January 1, 2009 is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events and circumstances exists that indicate that a goodwill impairment test should be performed. The Company has selected June 30 as the date to perform the annual impairment test. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which range from 8.25 to 10 years, to their estimated residual values. Goodwill is the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on the Company’s consolidated balance sheets. No indicators of impairment were identified during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, or 2016.

Other Real Estate Owned

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell at the date of foreclosure. Subsequent to foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations of the foreclosed assets based on updated appraisals, general market conditions, recent sales of similar properties, length of time the properties have been held, and the Company's ability and intention with regard to continued ownership of the properties. The Company may incur additional write-downs of foreclosed assets to fair value less costs to sell if valuations indicate a further deterioration in market conditions.

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

The realization of deferred income tax assets is assessed and a valuation allowance is recorded if it is "more likely than not" that all or a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. "More likely than not" is defined as greater than a 50% chance. Management considers all available evidence, both positive and negative, to determine whether, based on the weight of that evidence, a valuation allowance is needed.

Other-than-temporary Impairment of Securities

Impairment of securities occurs when the fair value of a security is less than its amortized cost. For debt securities, impairment is considered other-than-temporary and recognized in its entirety in net income if either (1) the Company intends to sell the security or (2) it is more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If, however, the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, the Company must determine what portion of the impairment is attributable to a credit loss, which occurs when the amortized cost basis of the security exceeds the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security. If there is no credit loss, there is no other-than-temporary impairment. If there is a credit loss, other-than-temporary impairment exists, and the credit loss must be recognized in net income and the remaining portion of impairment must be recognized in other comprehensive income.

Unfunded Pension Liability

The Company previously maintained a non-contributory, defined benefit pension plan for eligible full-time employees as specified by the plan. The Company froze its pension plan to new participants and converted its pension plan to a cash balance plan effective December 31, 2009. Plan assets, which consist primarily of mutual funds invested in marketable equity securities and corporate and government fixed income securities, are valued using market quotations. The Company’s actuary determines plan obligations and annual pension expense using a number of key assumptions. Key assumptions may include the discount rate, the interest crediting rate, the estimated future return on plan assets and the anticipated rate of future salary increases. Changes in these assumptions in the future, if any, or in the method under which benefits are calculated may impact pension assets, liabilities or expense.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives primarily to manage risk associated with changing interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments consist of interest rate swaps that qualify as cash flow hedges of the Company's trust preferred capital notes. The Company recognizes derivative financial instruments at fair value as either an other asset or other liability in the

32

consolidated balance sheets. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the Company's cash flow hedges is reported as a component of other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes, and is reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transactions affect earnings.

ANNOUNCED ACQUISITION

On October 1, 2018, the Company and HomeTown announced the signing of the Merger Agreement pursuant to which HomeTown will merge with and into the Company in a transaction valued at approximately $95.6 million at the time of the announcement. The proposed combination will deepen the Company's footprint in the Roanoke, Virginia metropolitan area and create a presence in the New River Valley with an office in Christiansburg, Virginia. Upon completion of the merger and with two office consolidations, the Company will have eight offices in the combined Roanoke/New River Valley market area. Based on reported financial results as of December 31, 2018, the combined company will have approximately $2.4 billion in assets, $1.8 billion in loans, and $2.0 billion in deposits across Virginia and North Carolina. Pursuant and subject to the terms of the Merger Agreement, as a result of the merger, the holders of shares of HomeTown common stock will receive 0.4150 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of HomeTown common stock held immediately prior to the effective date of the merger. Subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory and shareholder approvals, the merger is expected to close early in the second quarter of 2019. Following completion of the merger, HomeTown Bank, will be merged with and into the Bank. HomeTown Bank, which opened for business on November 14, 2005, offers a full range of banking services to small and medium-size businesses, real estate investors and developers, private investors, professionals and individuals. HomeTown Bank serves three markets including the Roanoke Valley, the New River Valley and Smith Mountain Lake through six branches, seven ATMs, HomeTown Mortgage and HomeTown Investments.

NON-GAAP PRESENTATIONS

Non-GAAP presentations are provided because the Company believes these may be valuable to investors. These include (1) the calculation of the efficiency ratio, (2) the analysis of net interest income presented on a taxable equivalent basis to facilitate performance comparisons among various taxable and tax-exempt assets, (3) return on average tangible equity, (4) tangible equity to tangible assets ratio, and (5) tangible book value.

The efficiency ratio is calculated by dividing noninterest expense excluding (1) gains or losses on the sale of other real estate owned ("OREO") and (2) merger related expenses by net interest income including tax equivalent income on nontaxable loans and securities and noninterest income excluding (x) gains or losses on securities and (y) gains or losses on sale of premises and equipment. The efficiency ratio for 2018, 2017, and 2016 was 59.57%, 60.89%, and 61.47%, respectively. The Company expects continued improvement in this ratio in 2019. This is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company believes provides investors with important information regarding operational efficiency. Such information is not prepared in accordance with GAAP and should not be construed as such. Management believes, however, such financial information is meaningful to the reader in understanding operating performance, but cautions that such information not be viewed as a substitute for GAAP. In addition, the Company's non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to non-GAAP financial measures of other companies. The Company, in referring to its net income, is referring to income under GAAP. The components of the efficiency ratio calculation are summarized in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Efficiency Ratio			
Noninterest expense	$44,246	$42,883	$39,801
Subtract: loss on sale OREO	(44)	(164)	(228)
Subtract: merger related expenses	(872)	—	—
	$43,330	$42,719	$39,573
Net interest income	$59,094	$55,747	$49,854
Tax equivalent adjustment	556	1,339	1,846
Noninterest income	13,274	14,227	13,505
Subtract: gain on securities	(123)	(812)	(836)
Add/Subtract: (gain)/loss on sale of fixed assets	(60)	(344)	9
	$72,741	$70,157	$64,378
Efficiency ratio	59.57 %	60.89 %	61.47 %

Net interest margin is calculated by dividing tax equivalent net interest income by total average earning assets. Because a portion of interest income earned by the Company is nontaxable, the tax equivalent net interest income is considered in the calculation of this ratio. Tax equivalent net interest income is calculated by adding the tax benefit realized from interest income that is nontaxable to total interest income then subtracting total interest expense. The tax rate utilized in calculating the tax benefit is 21% for 2018 and 35% for 2017 and 2016. The reconciliation of tax equivalent net interest income, which is not a measurement under GAAP, to net interest income, is reflected in the table below (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Reconciliation of Net Interest Income to Tax-Equivalent Net Interest Income			
Non-GAAP measures:			
Interest income - loans	$60,159	$55,581	$48,224
Interest income - investments and other	9,165	8,796	9,792
Interest expense - deposits	(8,086)	(5,794)	(5,103)
Interest expense - customer repurchase agreements	(164)	(142)	(5)
Interest expense - other short-term borrowings	(22)	(31)	(5)
Interest expense - long-term borrowings	(1,402)	(1,324)	(1,203)
Total net interest income	$59,650	$57,086	$51,700
Less non-GAAP measures:			
Tax benefit realized on non-taxable interest income - loans	$(192)	$(305)	$(253)
Tax benefit realized on non-taxable interest income - municipal securities	(364)	(1,034)	(1,593)
GAAP measures	$59,094	$55,747	$49,854

Return on average tangible common equity is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by average common equity.

	Years Ended December 31, 2018	2017
Return on average equity (GAAP basis)	10.56%	7.34%
Impact of excluding average goodwill and other intangibles	2.93 %	2.25%
Return on average tangible equity (non-GAAP)	13.49%	9.59%

Tangible equity to tangible assets ratio is calculated by dividing period-end common equity less period-end intangibles by period-end assets less period-end intangibles.

	As of December 31, 2018	2017
Equity to assets ratio	11.95 %	11.49 %
Impact of excluding goodwill and other intangibles	(2.17)%	(2.25)%
Tangible equity to tangible assets ratio	9.78 %	9.24 %

The Company presents book value per share (period-end shareholders' equity divided by period-end common shares outstanding) and tangible book value per share. In calculating tangible book value, the Company excludes goodwill and other intangible assets.

	As of December 31, 2018	2017
Book value per share (GAAP basis)	$25.52	$24.13
Impact of excluding goodwill and other intangibles	(5.14)	(5.21)
Tangible book value per share (non-GAAP)	$20.38	$18.92

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income for 2018 was $22,579,000 compared to $15,249,000 for 2017, an increase of $7,330,000 or 48.1%. Basic earnings per share were $2.60 for 2018 compared to $1.76 for 2017. Diluted earnings per share were $2.59 for 2018 compared to $1.76 for 2017. This net income produced for 2018 a return on average assets of 1.24%, a return on average equity of 10.56%, and a return on average tangible equity of 13.49%.

Earnings for 2018 were positively impacted by increased net interest income, resulting mostly from higher yields on the loan portfolio and greater loan volume. Earnings also increased due to a significant reduction in the loan loss provision. The need for a loan loss provision was reduced by three factors: loan balances, continued strong asset quality metrics, and improvements in various qualitative factors used in computing the allowance for loan losses. Lastly benefiting earnings was the substantial decrease in the corporate tax rate. The corporate tax rate reduction from 35% to 21%, enacted into law by the Tax Reform Act in late 2017, became effective in 2018.

Net income for 2017 was $15,249,000 compared to $16,301,000 for 2016, a decrease of $1,052,000 or 6.5%. Basic and diluted earnings per share were $1.76 for 2017 compared to $1.89 for 2016. This net income produced for 2017 a return on average assets of 0.87%, a return on average equity of 7.34%, and a return on average tangible equity of 9.59%.

Although the corporate tax rate reduction from 35% to 21% became effective in 2018, the enactment required companies to revalue their deferred tax assets at the new tax rate in 2017. Accordingly, in December 2017 the Company recognized a $2.7 million charge ($0.31 per share) to its deferred tax asset and a corresponding increase in income tax expense.

Earnings for 2018, 2017, and 2016 were favorably impacted by the 2011 acquisition of MidCarolina Financial Corporation ("MidCarolina") and the 2015 acquisition of MainStreet. The financial impact of the mergers was mostly a significant increase in earning assets.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets, primarily loans and securities, and interest expense on interest bearing liabilities, primarily deposits. Fluctuations in interest rates as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest bearing liabilities can materially impact net interest income. The 2011 acquisition of MidCarolina and the 2015 acquisition of MainStreet impacted net interest income positively for 2018, 2017, and 2016 through increased earning assets.

The following discussion of net interest income is presented on a taxable equivalent basis to facilitate performance comparisons among various taxable and tax-exempt assets, such as certain state and municipal securities. A tax rate of 21% was used in adjusting interest on tax-exempt assets to a fully taxable equivalent basis for 2018, and a tax rate of 35% was used for 2017 and 2016. Net interest income divided by average earning assets is referred to as the net interest margin. The net interest spread represents the difference between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities. All references in this section relate to average yields and rates and average asset and liability balances during the periods discussed.

Net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis increased $2,564,000, or 4.5%, in 2018 from 2017, following a $5,386,000, or 10.4%, increase in 2017 from 2016. The increase in net interest income in 2018 was primarily due to increased volumes of earning assets related to organic growth and increasing market interest rates.

Yields on loans were 4.51% in 2018 compared to 4.39% in 2017. Cost of funds was 0.82% in 2018 compared to 0.64% in 2017. Between 2018 and 2017, deposit rates for demand accounts remained the same at 0.02%, money market accounts increased to 0.89% from 0.50%, and time deposits increased to 1.20% from 1.05%. The increase in money market rates was related mainly to high dollar volume commercial and municipal customer accounts. Management regularly reviews deposit pricing and attempts to keep costs as low as possible, while remaining competitive. The net interest margin was 3.49% for 2018, 3.50% for 2017, and 3.52% for 2016.

During 2008, the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") of the FRB reduced the federal funds rate seven times from 4.25% to 0.25%, where it remained, unchanged, through mid-December 2015. On December 17, 2015, the FOMC raised the target federal funds rate from 0.25% to 0.50%. On December 15, 2016, the FOMC raised the target federal funds rate from 0.50% to 0.75%. The FOMC raised the target federal funds rate by 0.25% on each of March 15, June 14, and December 13, 2017, ending the year at 1.50%. In 2018, the FOMC raised the target federal funds rate by 0.25% on each of March 21, June 13, September 26, and December 19, ending the year at 2.50%. The increase in rates is expected to have a nominal positive impact on net interest income. Given recent economic and geopolitical events in late 2018 and early 2019, it is possible that the federal funds rate may be unchanged at year end 2019 compared to year end 2018.

Net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis increased $5,386,000, or 10.4%, in 2017 from 2016, following a $421,000 or 0.8% increase in 2016 from 2015. The increase in net interest income in 2017 was primarily due to increased volumes of earning assets related to organic growth.

Yields on loans were 4.39% in 2017 compared to 4.54% in 2016. Cost of funds was 0.64% in 2017 compared to 0.60% in 2016. Between 2017 and 2016, deposit rates for demand accounts decreased to 0.02% from 0.05%, money market accounts increased to 0.50% from 0.18%, and time deposits decreased to 1.05% from 1.14%. The net interest margin was 3.50% for 2017, 3.52% for 2016, and 3.69% for 2015.

The following presentation is an analysis of net interest income and related yields and rates, on a taxable equivalent basis, for the last three years. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balances. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recorded on a cash basis or when the loan returns to accrual status.

Net Interest Income Analysis
(in thousands, except yields and rates)

	Average Balance			Interest Income/Expense			Average Yield/Rate		
	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016
Loans:									
Commercial	$264,241	$229,239	$198,326	$10,579	$8,829	$7,856	4.00%	3.85%	3.96 %
Real estate	1,063,950	1,031,558	859,721	49,275	46,400	39,763	4.63	4.50	4.63
Consumer	4,676	4,652	5,230	305	352	605	6.52	7.57	11.57
Total loans	1,332,867	1,265,449	1,063,277	60,159	55,581	48,224	4.51	4.39	4.54
Securities:									
Federal agencies and GSEs	121,923	97,670	96,009	2,708	1,849	1,674	2.22	1.89	1.74
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	109,048	82,042	79,720	2,467	1,725	1,635	2.26	2.10	2.05
State and municipal	85,061	105,869	160,279	2,399	3,781	5,647	2.82	3.57	3.52
Other securities	14,950	15,796	15,953	718	707	560	4.80	4.48	3.51
Total securities	330,982	301,377	351,961	8,292	8,062	9,516	2.51	2.68	2.70
Deposits in other banks	45,434	65,027	55,410	873	734	276	1.92	1.13	0.50
Total interest earning assets	1,709,283	1,631,853	1,470,648	69,324	64,377	58,016	4.06	3.95	3.94
Nonearning assets	118,375	126,159	127,501						
Total assets	$1,827,658	$1,758,012	$1,598,149						
Deposits:									
Demand	$234,857	$217,833	$216,521	49	43	99	0.02	0.02	0.05
Money market	393,321	335,085	239,262	3,505	1,668	432	0.89	0.50	0.18
Savings	132,182	125,157	118,144	40	38	47	0.03	0.03	0.04
Time	374,152	383,444	396,801	4,492	4,045	4,525	1.20	1.05	1.14
Total deposits	1,134,512	1,061,519	970,728	8,086	5,794	5,103	0.71	0.55	0.53
Customer repurchase agreements	18,401	46,335	46,832	164	142	5	0.89	0.31	0.01
Other short-term borrowings	1,149	3,158	656	22	31	5	1.91	0.98	0.76
Long-term borrowings	27,874	36,887	37,640	1,402	1,324	1,203	5.03	3.59	3.20
Total interest bearing liabilities	1,181,936	1,147,899	1,055,856	9,674	7,291	6,316	0.82	0.64	0.60
Noninterest bearing demand deposits	421,527	392,663	330,315						
Other liabilities	10,374	9,643	9,904						
Shareholders' equity	213,821	207,807	202,074						
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$1,827,658	$1,758,012	$1,598,149						
Interest rate spread							3.24%	3.31%	3.34 %
Net interest margin							3.49%	3.50%	3.52 %
Net interest income (taxable equivalent basis)				59,650	57,086	51,700			
Less: Taxable equivalent adjustment[1]				556	1,339	1,846			

Net interest income	$59,094	$55,747	$49,854

(1) Calculated using the 21% statutory tax rate in 2018 and the 35% statutory tax rate in 2017 and 2016, respectively, due to tax rate change.

37

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense, and distinguishes between changes resulting from fluctuations in average balances of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities (volume), and changes resulting from fluctuations in average interest rates on such assets and liabilities (rate). Changes attributable to both volume and rate have been allocated proportionately (dollars in thousands):

Changes in Net Interest Income (Rate / Volume Analysis)

	2018 vs. 2017			2017 vs. 2016		
	Increase	Change Attributable to		Increase	Change Attributable to	
Interest income	(Decrease)	Rate	Volume	(Decrease)	Rate	Volume
Loans:						
Commercial	$1,750	$360	$1,390	$973	$(223)	$1,196
Real estate	2,875	1,396	1,479	6,637	(1,119)	7,756
Consumer	(47)	(49)	2	(253)	(192)	(61)
Total loans	4,578	1,707	2,871	7,357	(1,534)	8,891
Securities:						
Federal agencies and GSEs	859	353	506	175	146	29
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	742	139	603	90	42	48
State and municipal	(1,382)	(714)	(668)	(1,866)	76	(1,942)
Other securities	11	50	(39)	147	153	(6)
Total securities	230	(172)	402	(1,454)	417	(1,871)
Deposits in other banks	139	407	(268)	458	403	55
Total interest income	4,947	1,942	3,005	6,361	(714)	7,075
Interest expense						
Deposits:						
Demand	6	3	3	(56)	(57)	1
Money market	1,837	1,506	331	1,236	1,007	229
Savings	2	—	2	(9)	(12)	3
Time	447	547	(100)	(480)	(331)	(149)
Total deposits	2,292	2,056	236	691	607	84
Customer repurchase agreements	22	147	(125)	137	137	—
Other borrowings	69	506	(437)	147	90	57
Total interest expense	2,383	2,709	(326)	975	834	141
Net interest income	$2,564	$(767)	$3,331	$5,386	$(1,548)	$6,934

38

Noninterest Income

For the year ended December 31, 2018, noninterest income decreased $953,000 or 6.7% compared to the year ended December 31, 2017.

	Years Ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands)			
	2018	2017	$ Change	% Change
Noninterest income:				
Trust fees	$3,783	$3,926	$(143)	(3.6)%
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,455	2,426	29	1.2
Other fees and commissions	2,637	2,471	166	6.7
Mortgage banking income	1,862	2,208	(346)	(15.7)
Securities gains, net	123	812	(689)	(84.9)
Brokerage fees	795	829	(34)	(4.1)
Income from Small Business Investment Companies	637	236	401	169.9
Gains on premises and equipment, net	60	344	(284)	82.6
Other	922	975	(53)	(5.4)
Total noninterest income	$13,274	$14,227	$(953)	(6.7)%

A substantial portion of trust fees are earned based on account fair values, so changes in the equity markets may have a large and potentially volatile impact on revenue. Trust fees decreased slightly while service charges increased slightly for 2018 compared to 2017. Other fees and commissions were positively impacted by higher levels of debit card transaction volume. Mortgage banking income decreased in 2018, primarily due to lower demand. Secondary market mortgage loan volume for 2018 was $77,739,000 compared to $86,612,000 for 2017. Net securities gains were down $689,000, or 84.9%. Income from Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") investments, which is volatile and difficult to predict, increased $401,000 or 169.9% for 2018 compared to 2017. Net gains on premises and equipment decreased $284,000 for 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to a $337,000 gain from the 2017 sale of a bank owned commercial lot acquired in the MidCarolina acquisition.

	Years Ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands)			
	2017	2016	$ Change	% Change
Noninterest income:				
Trust fees	$3,926	$3,791	$ 135	3.6 %
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,426	2,467	(41)	(1.7)
Other fees and commissions	2,471	2,261	210	9.3
Mortgage banking income	2,208	1,713	495	28.9
Securities gains, net	812	836	(24)	(2.9)
Brokerage fees	829	843	(14)	(1.7)
Income from Small Business Investment Companies	236	463	(227)	(49.0)
Gains (losses) on premises and equipment, net	344	(9)	353	3,922.2
Other	975	1,140	(165)	(14.5)
Total noninterest income	$14,227	$13,505	$ 722	5.3 %

Trust fees increased slightly while service charges decreased slightly for 2017 compared to 2016. Other fees and commissions were positively impacted by higher levels of debit card transaction volume. Mortgage banking income increased significantly in 2017 compared to 2016 as a result of increases in the volume of originations. Also, the Bank added new mortgage originators in the Roanoke market in the fourth quarter of 2016 and the second quarter of 2017. Secondary market mortgage loan volume for 2017 was $86,612,000 compared to $78,330,000 for 2016. Income from SBIC investments decreased $227,000, or 49.0%, for 2017 compared to 2016. Net gains (losses) on premises and equipment increased $353,000 for 2017 compared to 2016 primarily due to a $337,000 gain from the sale of a bank owned commercial lot acquired in the MidCarolina acquisition. Other income decreased $165,000 for 2017 compared

to 2016 primarily due to the additional income from investments in limited partnerships in 2016.

Noninterest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2018, noninterest expense increased $1,363,000, or 3.2%, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2017.

	Years Ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands)			
	2018	2017	$ Change	% Change
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries	$20,509	$19,829	$680	3.4 %
Employee benefits	4,370	4,274	96	2.2
Occupancy and equipment	4,378	4,487	(109)	(2.4)
FDIC assessment	537	538	(1)	(0.2)
Bank franchise tax	1,054	1,072	(18)	(1.7)
Core deposit intangible amortization	265	528	(263)	(49.8)
Data processing	1,691	2,014	(323)	(16.0)
Software	1,279	1,144	135	11.8
Other real estate owned, net	122	303	(181)	(59.7)
Merger related expenses	872	—	872	—
Other	9,169	8,694	475	5.5
Total noninterest expense	$44,246	$42,883	$1,363	3.2 %

Salaries expense increased $680,000, or 3.4%, in 2018 compared to 2017 as a result of normal annual salary adjustments, additional employees, anticipated retirements and adjustments to fringe benefit accruals. Core deposit intangible amortization decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 as the amortization expense relating to the Company's acquisition of MidCarolina in July 2011 is recognized under the accelerated method and will be fully amortized in 2020. The primary driver of the increase in noninterest expense was merger related expenses pursuant to the pending acquisition of HomeTown; these nonrecurring expenses totaled $872,000 in the fourth quarter of 2018.

	Years Ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands)			
	2017	2016	$ Change	% Change
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries	$19,829	$17,568	$2,261	12.9 %
Employee benefits	4,274	3,829	445	11.6
Occupancy and equipment	4,487	4,246	241	5.7
FDIC assessment	538	647	(109)	(16.8)
Bank franchise tax	1,072	995	77	7.7
Core deposit intangible amortization	528	964	(436)	(45.2)
Data processing	2,014	1,828	186	10.2
Software	1,144	1,143	1	0.1
Other real estate owned, net	303	336	(33)	(9.8)
Other	8,694	8,245	449	5.4
Total noninterest expense	$42,883	$39,801	$3,082	7.7 %

Salaries expense increased $2,261,000, or 12.9%, in 2017 compared to 2016. The increase in salaries expense and employee benefits expense resulted primarily due to the addition of eight full time equivalent employees during 2017. The Bank added two mortgage loan originators, a trust officer, and several branch level personnel. On the support side of the Bank, additions were made to the credit function, risk, and loan review. The expense for FDIC assessment decreased in 2017 due to the reduction in FDIC assessment rates effective the third quarter of 2016. Core deposit intangible amortization decreased in 2017 compared to 2016 as the amortization expense relating to the Company's acquisition of MidCarolina in July 2011 is recognized under the accelerated method and will be fully amortized in 2020. The increase of $449,000 in other expenses for

2017 compared to 2016 is primarily due to increased marketing and printing for marketing campaigns and the de novo branch openings in 2017.

Income Taxes

Income taxes on 2018 earnings amounted to $5,646,000, resulting in an effective tax rate of 20.0%, compared to 41.5% in 2017 and 30.1% in 2016. Income tax expense for 2017 includes a one-time write-down of net deferred tax assets in the amount of $2.7 million, recorded as a result of the enactment of the Tax Reform Act on December 22, 2017. The Tax Reform Act reduced the federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018.
The effective tax rate is lowered by income that is not taxable for federal income tax purposes. The primary non-taxable income is from state and municipal securities and loans.

Fair Value Impact to Pretax Income

The July 2011 merger with MidCarolina and the January 2015 merger with MainStreet had a material and positive impact on earnings. The ongoing financial impact of the mergers was mostly the result of the increase in earnings assets. However, the specific financial impact of the fair value related accounting adjustments is reflected in the following tables. The tables present the actual effect of the accretable and amortizable fair value adjustments attributable to the mergers on net interest income and pretax income for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively (dollars in thousands):

	Income Statement Effect	Accretion (Amortization) for the Years Ended December 31,		
		2018	2017	2016
Interest income/(expense):				
Acquired performing loans	Income	$438	$695	$1,085
Purchased credit impaired loans	Income	952	1,541	1,357
FHLB advances	Expense	—	(20)	(22)
Junior subordinated debt	Expense	(102)	(102)	(102)
Net Interest Income		1,288	2,114	2,318
Non-interest expense				
Amortization of core deposit intangible	Expense	(265)	(528)	(964)
Net non-interest expense		(265)	(528)	(964)
Change in pretax income		$1,023	$1,586	$1,354

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The majority of assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature and therefore differ greatly from most commercial and industrial companies that have significant investments in fixed assets or inventories. The most significant effect of inflation is on noninterest expenses that tend to rise during periods of inflation. Changes in interest rates have a greater impact on a financial institution's profitability than do the effects of higher costs for goods and services. Through its balance sheet management practices, the Company has the ability to react to those changes and measure and monitor its interest rate and liquidity risk.

Market Risk Management

Effectively managing market risk is essential to achieving the Company's financial objectives. Market risk reflects the risk of economic loss resulting from changes in interest rates and market prices. The Company is generally not subject to currency exchange risk or commodity price risk. The Company's primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk; however, market risk also includes liquidity risk. Both are discussed in the following sections.

Interest Rate Risk Management

Interest rate risk and its impact on net interest income is a primary market risk exposure. The Company manages its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies approved by its Asset Liability Committee ("ALCO") and

Board of Directors, both of which receive and review periodic reports of the Company's interest rate risk position.

41

The Company uses computer simulation analysis to measure the sensitivity of projected earnings to changes in interest rates. Simulation takes into account current balance sheet volumes and the scheduled repricing dates, instrument level optionality, and maturities of assets and liabilities. It incorporates numerous assumptions including growth, changes in the mix of assets and liabilities, prepayments, and average rates earned and paid. Based on this information, management uses the model to project net interest income under multiple interest rate scenarios.

A balance sheet is considered asset sensitive when its earning assets (loans and securities) reprice faster or to a greater extent than its liabilities (deposits and borrowings). An asset sensitive balance sheet will produce relatively more net interest income when interest rates rise and less net interest income when they decline. Based on the Company's simulation analysis, management believes the Company's interest sensitivity position at December 31, 2018 is asset sensitive. As of early 2019, management expects that the general direction of market interest rates will be stable to up, though volatility, sometimes substantial, is anticipated in the short-term.

Earnings Simulation

The table below shows the estimated impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income as of December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands), assuming gradual and parallel changes in interest rates, and consistent levels of assets and liabilities. Net interest income for the following twelve months is projected to increase when interest rates are higher than current rates.

Estimated Changes in Net Interest Income

	December 31, 2018	
	Change in net interest income	
Change in interest rates	Amount	Percent
Up 4.0%	$9,311	12.3 %
Up 3.0%	7,025	9.2
Up 2.0%	4,769	6.3
Up 1.0%	2,495	3.3
Flat	—	—
Down 0.25%	(630)	(0.8)
Down 0.50%	(3,731)	(4.9)

Management cannot predict future interest rates or their exact effect on net interest income. Computations of future effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Certain limitations are inherent in such computations. Assets and liabilities may react differently than projected to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag changes in market interest rates. Interest rate shifts may not be parallel.

Changes in interest rates can cause substantial changes in the amount of prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities, which may in turn affect the Company's interest rate sensitivity position. Additionally, credit risk may rise if an interest rate increase adversely affects the ability of borrowers to service their debt.

Economic Value Simulation

Economic value simulation is used to calculate the estimated fair value of assets and liabilities over different interest rate environments. Economic values are calculated based on discounted cash flow analysis. The net economic value of equity is the economic value of all assets minus the economic value of all liabilities. The change in net economic value over different rate environments is an indication of the longer-term earnings capability of the balance sheet. The same assumptions are used in the economic value simulation as in the earnings simulation. The economic value simulation uses instantaneous rate shocks to the balance sheet.

The following table reflects the estimated change in net economic value over different rate environments using economic value simulation for the balances at the period ended December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands):

Estimated Changes in Economic Value of Equity

	December 31, 2018		
Change in interest rates	Amount	$ Change	% Change
Up 4%	$390,048	$75,631	24.1 %
Up 3%	378,366	63,949	20.3
Up 2%	362,945	48,528	15.4
Up 1%	342,399	27,982	8.9
Flat	314,417	—	—
Down 0.25%	305,494	(8,923)	(2.8)
Down 0.50%	273,177	(41,240)	(13.1)

Liquidity Risk Management

Liquidity is the ability of the Company in a timely manner to convert assets into cash or cash equivalents without significant loss and to raise additional funds by increasing liabilities. Liquidity management involves maintaining the Company's ability to meet the daily cash flow requirements of its customers, whether they are borrowers requiring funds or depositors desiring to withdraw funds. Additionally, the Company requires cash for various operating needs including dividends to shareholders, the servicing of debt, and the payment of general corporate expenses. The Company manages its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and liquidity needs through policies approved by the ALCO and Board of Directors, both of which receive periodic reports of the Company's interest rate risk and liquidity position. The Company uses a computer simulation model to assist in the management of the future liquidity needs of the Company.

Liquidity sources include on balance sheet and off balance sheet sources.

Balance sheet liquidity sources include cash, amounts due from banks, loan repayments, bond maturities and calls, and increases in deposits. Further, the Company maintains a large, high quality, very liquid bond portfolio, which is generally 50% to 60% unpledged and would, accordingly, be available for sale if necessary.

Off balance sheet sources include lines of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB"), federal funds lines of credit, and access to the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond's discount window.

The Company has a line of credit with the FHLB, equal to 30% of the Company's assets, subject to the amount of collateral pledged. Under the terms of its collateral agreement with the FHLB, the Company provides a blanket lien covering all of its residential first mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, commercial real estate loans and commercial construction loans. In addition, the Company pledges as collateral its capital stock in and deposits with the FHLB. At December 31, 2018, there were no principal advance obligations to the FHLB compared to $24,000,000 in variable-rate, short-term advances at December 31, 2017. The Company also had outstanding $190,250,000 in letters of credit at December 31, 2018 compared to $190,700,000 in letters of credit at December 31, 2017. The letters of credit provide the Bank with additional collateral for securing public entity deposits above FDIC insurance levels, thereby providing less need for collateral pledging from the securities portfolio and accordingly increasing the Company's balance sheet liquidity.

Short-term borrowing is discussed in Note 9 and long-term borrowing is discussed in Note 10 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The Company has federal funds lines of credit established with two correspondent banks in the amounts of $15,000,000 each and has access to the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond's discount window. There were no amounts outstanding under these facilities at December 31, 2018. The Company, through its subsidiary bank, has a relationship with Promontory Network, the sponsoring entity for the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service® ("CDARS"). Through CDARS, the Company is able to provide deposit customers with access to aggregate FDIC insurance in amounts far exceeding $250,000. This gives the Company the ability, as and when needed, to attract and retain large deposits from insurance sensitive customers. Under the EGRRCPA signed into law on May 24, 2018, a well-capitalized bank with a CAMELS rating of 1 or 2 may hold reciprocal deposits up to the lesser of 20% of its total

liabilities or $5 billion without those deposits being treated as brokered deposits. With CDARS, the Company has the option to keep deposits on balance sheet or sell them to other members of the network. Additionally, subject to certain limits, the Company can use CDARS to purchase cost-effective funding without collateralization and in lieu of generating funds through traditional brokered CDs or the FHLB. Thus, CDARS serves as a

43

deposit-gathering tool and an additional liquidity management tool. Deposits through the CDARS program as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 were $22,431,000 and $25,838,000, respectively.

The Bank also participates with the Promontory Network using Insured Cash Sweep®, a product which provides the Bank the capability of providing additional deposit insurance to customers in the context of a money market account arrangement. The product is analogous to the CDARS product discussed above.

Management believes that these sources provide sufficient and timely liquidity, both on and off balance sheet.

BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Securities

The securities portfolio generates income, plays a strategic role in the management of interest rate sensitivity, provides a source of liquidity, and is used to meet collateral requirements. The securities portfolio consists of high quality investments, mostly federal agency, mortgage-backed, and state and municipal securities.

The Company is cognizant of the continuing historically low and recently volatile interest rate environment and has elected to maintain a defensive asset liability strategy of purchasing high quality taxable securities of relatively short duration and somewhat longer term tax exempt securities, whose market values are not as volatile in rising rate environments as similar termed taxable investments.

The following table presents information on the amortized cost, maturities, and taxable equivalent yields of available for sale securities at the end of the last three years (dollars in thousands):

	As of December 31,								
	2018			2017			2016		
	Amortized Cost	Taxable Equivalent Yield		Amortized Cost	Taxable Equivalent Yield		Amortized Cost	Taxable Equivalent Yield	
Federal Agencies:									
Within 1 year	$—	—	%	$7,001	1.49	%	$9,392	1.16	%
1 to 5 years	68,786	2.27		48,789	1.91		27,039	1.39	
5 to 10 years	55,797	2.66		45,973	2.23		57,467	1.97	
Over 10 years	12,487	2.05		12,483	2.02		12,481	2.02	
Total	137,070	2.40		114,246	2.02		106,379	1.76	
Mortgage-backed:									
Within 1 year	72	3.14		—	—		1,919	4.57	
1 to 5 years	2,946	2.62		2,770	2.59		4,040	2.67	
5 to 10 years	36,241	2.44		22,849	2.29		15,242	2.29	
Over 10 years	74,624	2.54		80,544	2.28		58,716	1.99	
Total	113,883	2.51		106,163	2.29		79,917	2.15	
State and Municipal:									
Within 1 year	6,872	2.25		4,539	2.73		11,637	2.80	
1 to 5 years	46,287	2.93		52,975	3.52		73,558	3.26	
5 to 10 years	20,199	2.82		27,411	3.77		47,977	3.76	
Over 10 years	6,664	2.71		7,786	3.03		12,585	3.36	
Total	80,022	2.82		92,711	3.52		145,757	3.39	
Corporate Securities:									
Within 1 year	—	—		—	—		2,313	1.72	
1 to 5 years	500	2.42		1,042	1.50		4,279	1.85	
5 to 10 years	—	—		500	2.42		500	2.42	
Over 10 years	6,299	5.41		6,300	5.41		6,300	5.41	
Total	6,799	4.70		7,842	4.70		13,392	3.53	
Common Stock:									
No maturity	—	—		1,383	—		1,288	—	
Total	—	—		1,383	—		1,288	—	
Total portfolio	$337,774	2.59	%	$322,345	2.60	%	$346,733	2.60	%

The Company adopted ASU 2016-01 effective January 1, 2018 and had equity securities with a fair value of $1,830,000 at December 31, 2018 and recognized in income $42,000 of unrealized holding gains during 2018. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company sold $431,000 in equity securities at fair value.

Loans

The loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial and residential real estate loans, commercial loans to small and medium-sized businesses, construction and land development loans, and home equity loans.

Average loans increased $67,418,000 or 5.3% from 2017 to 2018. Average loans increased $202,172,000 or 19.0% from 2016 to 2017.

At December 31, 2018, total loans were $1,357,476,000, an increase of $21,351,000 or 1.6% from the prior year. Growth was muted in most of 2018 primarily because of over $40 million in large commercial loan payoffs during the

year.

45

Loans held for sale totaled $640,000 at December 31, 2018 and $1,639,000 at December 31, 2017. Loan production volume was $77,739,000 and $86,612,000 for 2018 and 2017, respectively. These loans were approximately 60% purchase, 40% refinancing.
Management of the loan portfolio is organized around portfolio segments. Each segment is comprised of various loan types that are reflective of operational and regulatory reporting requirements. The following table presents the Company's portfolio as of the dates indicated by segment (dollars in thousands):
Loans

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Real estate:					
Construction and land development	$97,240	$123,147	$114,258	$72,968	$50,863
Commercial real estate	655,800	637,701	510,960	430,186	391,472
Residential real estate	209,438	209,326	215,104	220,434	175,293
Home equity	103,933	109,857	110,751	98,449	91,075
Total real estate	1,066,411	1,080,031	951,073	822,037	708,703
Commercial and industrial	285,972	251,666	208,717	177,481	126,981
Consumer	5,093	4,428	5,031	6,007	5,241
Total loans	$1,357,476	$1,336,125	$1,164,821	$1,005,525	$840,925

The following table provides loan balance information by geographic regions. In some circumstances, loans may be originated in one region for borrowers located in other regions (dollars in thousands):
Loans by Geographic Region

	As of December 31, 2018		Percentage Change in Balance Since December 31, 2017
	Balance	Percentage of Portfolio	
Danville region	$219,326	16.2 %	(4.8)%
Central region	150,299	11.1	(4.4)
Southside region	70,743	5.2	(3.4)
Eastern region	93,314	6.9	(4.8)
Franklin region	110,688	8.1	2.6
Roanoke region	116,219	8.6	24.1
Alamance region	263,008	19.4	8.5
Guilford region	266,615	19.6	(6.7)
Winston-Salem region	67,264	4.9	41.4
Total loans	$1,357,476	100.0 %	1.6 %

The Danville region consists of offices in Danville, Virginia and Yanceyville, North Carolina. The Central region consists of offices in Bedford, Lynchburg, and the counties of Bedford and Campbell, Virginia. The Southside region consists of offices in Martinsville and Henry County, Virginia. The Eastern region consists of offices in South Boston and the counties of Halifax and Pittsylvania, Virginia. The Franklin region consists of offices in Rocky Mount, Union Hall, and Hardy, Virginia. The Roanoke region consists of an office in Roanoke County, Virginia. The Alamance region consists of offices in Burlington, Graham, and Mebane, North Carolina. The Guilford region consists of offices in Greensboro, North Carolina. The Winston-Salem region consists of an office in Winston-Salem, North Carolina.
The Company does not participate in or have any highly leveraged lending transactions, as defined by bank regulations. The Company has no foreign loans. There were no concentrations of loans to any individual, group of individuals, business, or industry that exceeded 10% of total loans at December 31, 2018 or 2017.

The following table presents the maturity schedule of selected loan types (dollars in thousands):
Maturities of Selected Loan Types
December 31, 2018

	Commercial and Industrial (1)	Construction and Land Development	Total
1 year or less	$ 54,614	$ 20,045	$74,659
1 to 5 years (2)	155,570	56,359	211,929
After 5 years (2)	75,788	20,836	96,624
Total	$ 285,972	$ 97,240	$383,212

(1) Includes agricultural loans.
(2) Of the loans due after one year, $228,551 have predetermined interest rates and $80,002 have floating or adjustable interest rates.

Provision for Loan Losses

The Company had a negative provision for loan losses of $103,000 for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to a provision for loan losses of $1,016,000 and $250,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The negative provision for 2018 related to favorable adjustments on the purchased credit impaired loan loss allowance. The larger provision for 2017 related to continued loan growth but was mitigated by continued strong asset quality metrics and improving local and national economic indicators. The smaller provision expense in 2016 related to improvement in various qualitative factors, notably asset quality, local economic conditions and continued decline in historical loss factors compared to 2015. Improvements in asset quality were apparent in declines in past due and nonaccrual loans, as well as in qualitative factors for asset quality and economic conditions, which were somewhat offset by additional factors assigned to unseasoned loans in new markets.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The purpose of the ALLL is to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses and by recoveries of previously charged-off loans. Loan charge-offs decrease the allowance.

The ALLL was $12,805,000, $13,603,000, and $12,801,000 at December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. The ALLL as a percentage of loans at each of those dates was 0.94%, 1.02%, and 1.10%, respectively.

The decrease in the allowance as a percentage of loans during 2018, 2017, and 2016 was primarily due to continued high asset quality, low charge-offs, and improvement in various qualitative factors, notably economic, used in the determination of the allowance.

In an effort to better evaluate the adequacy of its ALLL, the Company computes its ASC 450, Contingencies, loan balance by reducing total loans by acquired loans and loans that were evaluated for impairment individually or smaller balance nonaccrual loans evaluated for impairment in homogeneous pools. It also adjusts its ASC 450 loan loss reserve balance total by removing allowances associated with these other pools of loans.

The general allowance, ASC 450 (FAS 5) reserves to ASC 450 loans, was 0.94% at December 31, 2018, compared to 1.04% at December 31, 2017. On a dollar basis, the reserve was $12,560,000 at December 31, 2018, compared to $13,151,000 at December 31, 2017. The percentage of the reserve to total loans has declined due to improving local and national economic conditions and continued improvement in asset quality metrics. This segment of the allowance represents by far the largest portion of the loan portfolio and the largest aggregate risk.

The specific allowance, ASC 310-40 (FAS 114) reserves to ASC 310-40 loans, was 4.78% at December 31, 2018, compared to 5.18% at December 31, 2017. On a dollar basis, the reserve was $64,000 at December 31, 2018, compared to $167,000 at December 31, 2017. There is ongoing turnover in the composition of the impaired loan population, which decreased $1,883,000 from December 31, 2017.

The specific allowance does not include reserves related to acquired loans with deteriorated credit quality. This reserve was $181,000 at December 31, 2018, compared to $285,000 at December 31, 2017. This is the only portion of

the reserve related to

47

---

acquired loans. Cash flow expectations for these loans are reviewed on a quarterly basis and unfavorable changes in those estimates relative to the initial estimates can result in the need for specific loan loss provisions.
The following table presents the Company's loan loss and recovery experience for the past five years (dollars in thousands):
Summary of Loan Loss Experience

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Balance at beginning of period	$13,603	$12,801	$12,601	$12,427	$12,600
Charge-offs:					
Construction and land development	—	35	—	20	—
Commercial real estate	11	58	10	462	510
Residential real estate	—	159	21	15	121
Home equity	86	13	66	308	137
Total real estate	97	265	97	805	768
Commercial and industrial	787	282	40	175	101
Consumer	136	143	189	220	95
Total charge-offs	1,020	690	326	1,200	964
Recoveries:					
Construction and land development	4	43	11	81	28
Commercial real estate	6	17	21	43	38
Residential real estate	45	45	53	121	126
Home equity	104	40	15	18	65
Total real estate	159	145	100	263	257
Commercial and industrial	69	223	40	32	51
Consumer	97	108	136	129	83
Total recoveries	325	476	276	424	391
Net charge-offs	695	214	50	776	573
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(103)	1,016	250	950	400
Balance at end of period	$12,805	$13,603	$12,801	$12,601	$12,427

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by major portfolio segments for the past five years (dollars in thousands):
Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

	Year Ended December 31,									
	2018		2017		2016		2015		2014	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Commercial	$2,537	21.0 %	$2,413	18.8 %	$2,095	17.9 %	$2,065	17.7 %	$1,818	15.1 %
Commercial real estate	7,246	55.5	8,321	57.0	7,355	53.7	6,930	50.0	6,814	52.6
Residential real estate	2,977	23.1	2,825	23.9	3,303	28.0	3,546	31.7	3,715	31.7
Consumer	45	0.4	44	0.3	48	0.4	60	0.6	80	0.6
Total	$12,805	100.0%	$13,603	100.0%	$12,801	100.0%	$12,601	100.0%	$12,427	100.0%

% - represents the percentage of loans in each category to total loans.

Asset Quality Indicators

The following table provides certain qualitative indicators relevant to the Company's loan portfolio for the past five years.

Asset Quality Ratios

	As of or for the Years Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Allowance to loans*	0.94 %	1.02 %	1.10 %	1.25 %	1.48 %
ASC 450/general allowance	0.94	1.04	1.17	1.40	1.55
Net charge-offs to year-end allowance	5.43	1.57	0.39	6.16	4.61
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.07
Nonperforming assets to total assets*	0.11	0.21	0.29	0.48	0.46
Nonperforming loans to loans*	0.09	0.19	0.30	0.52	0.49
Provision to net charge-offs (recoveries)	(14.82)	474.77	500.00	122.42	69.81
Provision to average loans	(0.01)	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.05
Allowance to nonperforming loans*	1,101.98	531.37	360.39	242.09	302.21

* at year end.

Nonperforming Assets (Loans and Other Real Estate Owned)

Nonperforming loans include loans on which interest is no longer accrued and accruing loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more. Nonperforming loans include loans originated and loans acquired.

Nonperforming loans to total loans were 0.09% at December 31, 2018 compared to 0.19% at December 31, 2017. The decrease in nonperforming loans during 2018 was $1,398,000.

Nonperforming assets include nonperforming loans and foreclosed real estate. Nonperforming assets represented 0.11% at December 31, 2018 compared to 0.21% of total assets at December 31, 2017.

In most cases, it is the policy of the Company that any loan that becomes 90 days past due will automatically be placed on nonaccrual loan status, accrued interest reversed out of income, and further interest accrual ceased. Any payments received on such loans will be credited to principal. In some cases a loan in process of renewal may become 90 days past due. In these instances the loan may still be accruing because of a delayed renewal process in which the customer has not been billed. In accounting for acquired impaired loans, such loans are not classified as nonaccrual when they become 90 days past due. They are considered to be accruing because their interest income relates to the accretable yield and not to contractual interest payments.

Loans will only be restored to full accrual status after six consecutive months of payments that were each less than 30 days delinquent. The Company strictly adheres with this policy before restoring a loan to normal accrual status.

The following table presents the Company's nonperforming asset history, including acquired impaired loans as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

Nonperforming Assets

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Nonaccrual loans:					
Real estate	$1,007	$2,111	$2,928	$5,022	$4,111
Commercial	83	90	19	90	—
Consumer	—	—	18	2	1
Total nonaccrual loans	1,090	2,201	2,965	5,114	4,112
Loans past due 90 days and accruing interest:					
Real estate	72	359	587	84	—
Commercial	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	7	—
Total past due loans	72	359	587	91	—
Total nonperforming loans	1,162	2,560	3,552	5,205	4,112
Other real estate owned, net	869	1,225	1,328	2,184	2,119
Total nonperforming assets	$2,031	$3,785	$4,880	$7,389	$6,231

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The following table shows loans that were considered impaired, exclusive of purchased credit impaired loans, as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

Impaired Loans

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Accruing	$848	$1,016	$2,059	$1,171	$989
On nonaccrual status	486	2,201	2,785	3,536	3,548
Total impaired loans	$1,334	$3,217	$4,844	$4,707	$4,537

Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDRs")

TDRs exist whenever the Company makes a concession to a customer based on the customer's financial distress that would not have otherwise been made in the normal course of business.

There were $1,090,000 in TDRs at December 31, 2018 compared to $1,306,000 at December 31, 2017.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned is carried on the consolidated balance sheets at $869,000 and $1,225,000 as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Foreclosed assets are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell, at the date of foreclosure. Loan losses resulting from foreclosure are charged against the ALLL at that time. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value, less estimated costs to sell with any additional write-downs charged against earnings. For significant assets, these valuations are

typically outside annual appraisals. The following table shows OREO as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Construction and land development	$78	$318	$139	$886	$1,577
1-4 family residential	719	629	653	643	382
Commercial real estate	72	278	536	655	160
Total OREO	$869	$1,225	$1,328	$2,184	$2,119

Deposits

The Company's deposits consist primarily of checking, money market, savings, and consumer and commercial time deposits. Average deposits increased $101,857,000, or 7.0%, in 2018, after increasing $153,139,000, or 11.8%, in 2017. This growth is mostly in non-maturity, core deposits, the heart of the Company's balance sheet.

Period-end total deposits increased $31,501,000, or 2.1%, during 2018. The increase was primarily related to steady growth in core deposits, which is consistent with the Company's asset liability strategy. The Company has only a relatively small portion of its time deposits provided by wholesale sources. These include brokered time deposits, of which there were none at year end 2018, 2017, and 2016, and time deposits through the CDARS program, which at year end totaled $22,431,000 for 2018, $25,838,000 for 2017, and $23,445,000 for 2016. Management considers the CDARS deposits the functional, though not regulatory, equivalent of core deposits, because they relate to balances derived from customers with long standing relationships with the Company.

Average deposits and rates for the years indicated (dollars in thousands):

Deposits

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2018		2017		2016	
	Average Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate
Noninterest bearing deposits	$421,527	— %	$392,663	— %	$330,315	— %
Interest bearing accounts:						
NOW accounts	$234,857	0.02%	$217,833	0.02%	$216,521	0.05%
Money market	393,321	0.89	335,085	0.50	239,262	0.18
Savings	132,182	0.03	125,157	0.03	118,144	0.04
Time	374,152	1.20	383,444	1.05	396,801	1.14
Total interest bearing deposits	$1,134,512	0.71%	$1,061,519	0.55%	$970,728	0.53%
Average total deposits	$1,556,039	0.52%	$1,454,182	0.40%	$1,301,043	0.40%

Certificates of Deposit of $100,000 or More

Certificates of deposit at December 31, 2018 in amounts of $100,000 or more were classified by maturity as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2018
3 months or less	$ 24,331
Over 3 through 6 months	22,403
Over 6 through 12 months	49,526
Over 12 months	158,706
Total	$ 254,966

Certificates of Deposit of $250,000 or More

Certificates of deposit at December 31, 2018 in amounts of $250,000 or more were classified by maturity as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2018
3 months or less	$ 9,208
Over 3 through 6 months	12,993
Over 6 through 12 months	29,495
Over 12 months	108,300
Total	$ 159,996

Borrowed Funds

In addition to internal deposit generation, the Company also relies on borrowed funds as a supplemental source of funding. Borrowed funds consist of customer repurchase agreements, overnight borrowings from the FHLB and longer-term FHLB advances, and trust preferred capital notes. Customer repurchase agreements are borrowings collateralized by securities of the U.S. Government, its agencies, or Government Sponsored Enterprises ("GSEs") and generally mature daily. The Company considers these accounts to be a stable and low cost source of funds. The securities underlying these agreements remain under the Company's control. Refer to Notes 10 and 11 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of long-term debt.

The following table presents information pertaining to the Company's short-term borrowed funds as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

Short-Term Borrowings

	As of December 31,	
	2018	2017
Customer repurchase agreements	$35,243	$10,726
FHLB overnight borrowings	—	24,000
Total	$35,243	$34,726
Weighted interest rate	1.67 %	1.10 %
Average for the year ended:		
Outstanding	$19,550	$49,493
Interest rate	0.95 %	0.35 %
Maximum month-end outstanding	$39,157	$63,921

In the regular course of conducting its business, the Company takes deposits from political subdivisions of the states of Virginia and North Carolina. At December 31, 2018, the Bank's public deposits totaled $258,566,000. The Company is legally required to provide collateral to secure the deposits that exceed the insurance coverage provided by the FDIC. This collateral can be provided in the form of certain types of government agency bonds or letters of credit from the FHLB. At year-end 2018, the Company had $190,000,000 in letters of credit with the FHLB outstanding to supplement collateral for such deposits.

Shareholders' Equity

The Company's goal with capital management is to comply with all regulatory capital requirements and to support growth, while generating acceptable returns on equity and paying a high rate of dividends.

Shareholders' equity was $222,542,000 at December 31, 2018 and $208,717,000 at December 31, 2017.

The Company declared and paid quarterly dividends totaling $1.00 per share for 2018, $0.97 per share for 2017, and $0.96 per share for 2016. Cash dividends in 2018 totaled $8,702,000 and represented a 38.5% payout of 2018 net income, compared to a 55.0% payout in 2017, and a 50.7% payout in 2016.

Effective January 1, 2015, the Company and the Bank became subject to the Basel III Capital Rules. The Basel III Capital Rules require the Company and the Bank to comply with the following minimum capital ratios: (i) a ratio of

common equity Tier 1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer” (effectively resulting in a minimum

---

ratio of common equity Tier 1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7%), (ii) a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%), (iii) a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5%), and (iv) a leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets. The phase-in of the capital conservation buffer requirement began on January 1, 2016, at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets, increasing by the same amount each year until it was fully implemented at 2.5% on January 1, 2019. In addition, to be well capitalized under the "prompt corrective action" regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the FDIA, the Bank must have the following minimum capital ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of at least 6.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of at least 8.0%; (iii) a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of at least 10.0%; and (iv) a leverage ratio of at least 5.0%.

The following table represents the major regulatory capital ratios for the Company as of the dates indicated:

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Risk-Based Capital Ratios:					
Common equity tier 1 capital ratio	12.55%	11.50%	11.77%	12.88%	NA
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.46%	13.42%	13.83%	15.23%	16.59%
Total capital ratio	15.35%	14.39%	14.81%	16.34%	17.86%
Leverage Capital Ratios:					
Tier 1 leverage ratio	11.62%	10.95%	11.67%	12.05%	12.16%

Management believes the Company is in compliance with all regulatory capital requirements applicable to it and the Bank meets the requirements to be considered "well capitalized" under the prompt corrective action framework as of December 31, 2018 and 2017.

Stock Repurchase Programs

On November 19, 2015, the Company filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce the approval by its Board of Directors of a stock repurchase program. The program authorized the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock over a two year period. The share purchase limit was established at such number of shares equal to approximately 3.5% of the 8,622,000 common shares then outstanding at the time the Board of Directors approved the program. The program expired on November 19, 2017.

On January 19, 2018, the Company filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce the approval by its Board of Directors of another stock repurchase program. The program authorizes the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock over a two year period.

The Company did not repurchase any shares during 2018 and 2017.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following items are contractual obligations of the Company as of December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands):

	Payments Due By Period				
	Total	Under 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 years
Time deposits	$361,957	$140,563	$133,918	$83,204	$4,272
Repurchase agreements	35,243	35,243	—	—	—
Operating leases	5,543	883	1,481	1,291	1,888
Junior subordinated debt	27,927	—	—	—	27,927

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ACTIVITIES

The Company enters into certain financial transactions in the ordinary course of performing traditional banking services that result in off-balance sheet transactions. Other than AMNB Statutory Trust I, formed in 2006 to issue trust preferred securities, and MidCarolina Trust I and MidCarolina Trust II, the Company does not have any off-balance sheet subsidiaries. Refer to Note 11 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K

for a discussion

of junior subordinated debt. Off-balance sheet transactions were as follows as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
Off-Balance Sheet Commitments	2018	2017
Commitments to extend credit	$362,586	$341,760
Standby letters of credit	15,555	13,647
Mortgage loan rate-lock commitments	9,710	5,089

Commitments to extend credit to customers represent legally binding agreements with fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being funded, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future funding requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company guaranteeing the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements.

ITEM 7A – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

This information is incorporated herein by reference from Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 8 – FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Quarterly Financial Results
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

2018	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
Interest income	$16,668	$16,992	$17,217	$17,891	$68,768
Interest expense	2,125	2,204	2,466	2,879	9,674
Net interest income	14,543	14,788	14,751	15,012	59,094
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(44)	(30)	(23)	(6)	(103)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	14,587	14,818	14,774	15,018	59,197
Noninterest income	3,333	3,563	3,380	2,998	13,274
Noninterest expense	10,702	11,002	10,904	11,638	44,246
Income before income taxes	7,218	7,379	7,250	6,378	28,225
Income taxes	1,406	1,399	1,465	1,376	5,646
Net income	$5,812	$5,980	$5,785	$5,002	$22,579
Per common share:					
Net income - basic	$0.67	$0.69	$0.66	$0.57	$2.60
Net income - diluted	0.67	0.69	0.66	0.57	2.59
Cash dividends	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	1.00

2017	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
Interest income	$14,681	$15,603	$16,274	$16,480	$63,038
Interest expense	1,547	1,691	1,936	2,117	7,291
Net interest income	13,134	13,912	14,338	14,363	55,747
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	300	350	440	(74)	1,016
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	12,834	13,562	13,898	14,437	54,731
Noninterest income	3,271	3,348	3,804	3,804	14,227
Noninterest expense	10,441	10,711	10,710	11,021	42,883
Income before income taxes	5,664	6,199	6,992	7,220	26,075
Income taxes	1,601	1,920	2,205	5,100	10,826
Net income	$4,063	$4,279	$4,787	$2,120	$15,249
Per common share:					
Net income - basic	$0.47	$0.49	$0.55	$0.25	$1.76
Net income - diluted	0.47	0.49	0.55	0.25	1.76
Cash dividends	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.97

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
American National Bankshares Inc.
Danville, Virginia

Opinion on the Financial Statements
We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiary (the Company) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements (collectively, the financial statements). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013, and our report dated March 8, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion
These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Yount, Hyde & Barbour, P.C.

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2002.

Winchester, Virginia
March 8, 2019

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
American National Bankshares Inc.
Danville, Virginia

Opinion on the Internal Control over Financial Reporting
We have audited American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiary's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013. In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements of the Company and our report dated March 8, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion.

Basis for Opinion
The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting
A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become

57

---

inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Yount, Hyde & Barbour, P.C.

Winchester, Virginia
March 8, 2019

58

American National Bankshares Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
As of December 31, 2018 and 2017
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

ASSETS	2018	2017
Cash and due from banks	$29,587	$28,594
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	34,668	23,883
Equity securities, at fair value	1,830	—
Securities available for sale, at fair value	332,653	321,337
Restricted stock, at cost	5,247	6,110
Loans held for sale	640	1,639
Loans, net of unearned income	1,357,476	1,336,125
Less allowance for loan losses	(12,805)	(13,603)
Net loans	1,344,671	1,322,522
Premises and equipment, net	26,675	25,901
Other real estate owned, net of valuation allowance of $109 in 2018 and $147 in 2017	869	1,225
Goodwill	43,872	43,872
Core deposit intangibles, net	926	1,191
Bank owned life insurance	18,941	18,460
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	22,287	21,344
Total assets	$1,862,866	$1,816,078
LIABILITIES and SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Demand deposits -- noninterest bearing	$435,828	$394,344
Demand deposits -- interest bearing	234,621	226,914
Money market deposits	401,461	403,024
Savings deposits	132,360	126,786
Time deposits	361,957	383,658
Total deposits	1,566,227	1,534,726
Short-term borrowings:		
Customer repurchase agreements	35,243	10,726
Other short-term borrowings	—	24,000
Junior subordinated debt	27,927	27,826
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	10,927	10,083
Total liabilities	1,640,324	1,607,361
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, $5 par, 2,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding	—	—
Common stock, $1 par, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 8,720,337 shares outstanding at December 31, 2018 and 8,650,547 shares outstanding at December 31, 2017	8,668	8,604
Capital in excess of par value	78,172	76,179
Retained earnings	141,537	127,010
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(5,835)	(3,076)

Total shareholders' equity	222,542	208,717
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$1,862,866	$1,816,078

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

American National Bankshares Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
For the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2018	2017	2016
Interest and Dividend Income:			
Interest and fees on loans	$ 59,966	$ 55,276	$ 47,971
Interest and dividends on securities:			
Taxable	6,106	4,666	4,454
Tax-exempt	1,502	2,043	3,135
Dividends	321	319	334
Other interest income	873	734	276
Total interest and dividend income	68,768	63,038	56,170
Interest Expense:			
Interest on deposits	8,086	5,794	5,103
Interest on short-term borrowings	186	173	10
Interest on long-term borrowings	—	296	325
Interest on junior subordinated debt	1,402	1,028	878
Total interest expense	9,674	7,291	6,316
Net Interest Income	59,094	55,747	49,854
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(103)	1,016	250
Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses	59,197	54,731	49,604
Noninterest Income:			
Trust fees	3,783	3,926	3,791
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,455	2,426	2,467
Other fees and commissions	2,637	2,471	2,261
Mortgage banking income	1,862	2,208	1,713
Securities gains, net	123	812	836
Brokerage fees	795	829	843
Income from Small Business Investment Companies	637	236	463
Gains (losses) on premises and equipment, net	60	344	(9)
Other	922	975	1,140
Total noninterest income	13,274	14,227	13,505
Noninterest Expense:			
Salaries	20,509	19,829	17,568
Employee benefits	4,370	4,274	3,829
Occupancy and equipment	4,378	4,487	4,246
FDIC assessment	537	538	647
Bank franchise tax	1,054	1,072	995
Core deposit intangible amortization	265	528	964
Data processing	1,691	2,014	1,828
Software	1,279	1,144	1,143
Other real estate owned, net	122	303	336
Merger related expenses	872	—	—
Other	9,169	8,694	8,245
Total noninterest expense	44,246	42,883	39,801
Income Before Income Taxes	28,225	26,075	23,308
Income Taxes	5,646	10,826	7,007
Net Income	$ 22,579	$ 15,249	$ 16,301
Net Income Per Common Share:			

Basic	$ 2.60	$ 1.76	$ 1.89
Diluted	$ 2.59	$ 1.76	$ 1.89
Average Common Shares Outstanding:			
Basic	8,698,014	8,641,717	8,611,507
Diluted	8,708,462	8,660,628	8,621,241

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

American National Bankshares Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
For the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016
(Dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Net income	$22,579	$15,249	$16,301
Other comprehensive loss:			
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	(3,209)	35	(5,736)
Tax effect	745	(12)	2,007
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on securities	(81)	(812)	(836)
Tax effect	18	284	293
Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	(804)	—	—
Tax effect	180	—	—
Change in unfunded pension liability	1,291	(234)	166
Tax effect	(249)	82	(58)
Other comprehensive loss	(2,109)	(657)	(4,164)
Comprehensive income	$20,470	$14,592	$12,137

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

61

American National Bankshares Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
For the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2015	$ 8,605	$75,375	$111,565	$ 2,290	$ 197,835
Net income	—	—	16,301	—	16,301
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(4,164)	(4,164)
Stock repurchased (51,384 shares)	(51)	(1,241)	—	—	(1,292)
Stock options exercised (5,784 shares)	6	136	—	—	142
Vesting of restricted stock (5,510 shares)	5	(5)	—	—	—
Equity based compensation (41,644 shares)	13	811	—	—	824
Cash dividends paid, $0.96 per share	—	—	(8,266)	—	(8,266)
Balance, December 31, 2016	8,578	75,076	119,600	(1,874)	201,380
Net income	—	—	15,249	—	15,249
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(657)	(657)
Reclass "stranded" tax effects from tax rate change	—	—	545	(545)	—
Stock options exercised (4,950 shares)	5	108	—	—	113
Vesting of restricted stock (8,116 shares)	8	(8)	—	—	—
Equity based compensation (27,546 shares)	13	1,003	—	—	1,016
Cash dividends paid, $0.97 per share	—	—	(8,384)	—	(8,384)
Balance, December 31, 2017	8,604	76,179	127,010	(3,076)	208,717
Net income	—	—	22,579	—	22,579
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(2,109)	(2,109)
Reclassification for ASU 2016-01 adoption*	—	—	650	(650)	—
Stock options exercised (35,310 shares)	35	826	—	—	861
Vesting of restricted stock (12,712 shares)	13	(13)	—	—	—
Equity based compensation (34,480 shares)	16	1,180	—	—	1,196
Cash dividends paid, $1.00 per share	—	—	(8,702)	—	(8,702)
Balance, December 31, 2018	$ 8,668	$78,172	$141,537	$ (5,835)	$ 222,542

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
* See Note 1 for Comprehensive Income and Adoption of New Accounting Standards.

American National Bankshares Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
For the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016
(Dollars in thousands)

	2018	2017	2016
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	$22,579	$15,249	$16,301
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(103)	1,016	250
Depreciation	1,775	1,877	1,892
Net accretion of acquisition accounting adjustments	(1,288)	(2,114)	(2,318)
Core deposit intangible amortization	265	528	964
Net amortization of securities	1,617	1,831	2,678
Net gain on sale or call of securities available for sale	(81)	(812)	(836)
Net unrealized holding gain on equity securities	(42)	—	—
Gain on sale of loans held for sale	(1,862)	(1,765)	(1,328)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	80,600	92,733	76,928
Originations of loans held for sale	(77,739)	(86,611)	(78,330)
Net loss on other real estate owned	14	22	72
Valuation allowance on other real estate owned	30	143	156
Net loss (gain) on sale of premises and equipment	(60)	(344)	9
Equity based compensation expense	1,196	1,016	824
Net change in bank owned life insurance	(481)	(297)	(505)
Deferred income tax expense	556	3,471	882
Net change in interest receivable	(218)	(148)	(967)
Net change in other assets	(100)	(379)	(1,390)
Net change in interest payable	121	51	(32)
Net change in other liabilities	723	284	867
Net cash provided by operating activities	27,502	25,751	16,117
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Proceeds from sales of equity securities	431	—	—
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	57,607	55,903	13,019
Proceeds from maturities, calls and paydowns of securities available for sale	30,607	52,397	140,483
Purchases of securities available for sale	(106,575)	(84,931)	(168,069)
Net change in restricted stock	863	114	(912)
Net increase in loans	(21,256)	(170,515)	(157,198)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	234	653	1
Purchases of premises and equipment	(2,723)	(2,648)	(3,613)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	911	1,171	923
Net cash used in investing activities	(39,901)	(147,856)	(175,366)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Net change in demand, money market, and savings deposits	53,202	159,283	126,435
Net change in time deposits	(21,701)	4,803	(18,455)
Net change in customer repurchase agreements	24,517	(28,440)	(1,445)
Net change in other short-term borrowings	(24,000)	4,000	20,000
Net change in long-term borrowings	—	(10,000)	—
Common stock dividends paid	(8,702)	(8,384)	(8,266)

Repurchase of common stock	—	—	(1,292)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	861	113	142
Net cash provided by financing activities	24,177	121,375	117,119
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	11,778	(730)	(42,130)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	52,477	53,207	95,337
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	$64,255	$52,477	$53,207

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

63

American National Bankshares Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016
Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
Nature of Operations and Consolidation
The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of American National Bankshares Inc. (the "Company") and its wholly owned subsidiary, American National Bank and Trust Company (the "Bank"). The Bank offers a wide variety of retail, commercial, secondary market mortgage lending, and trust and investment services which also include non-deposit products such as mutual funds and insurance policies.
The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, goodwill and intangible assets, unfunded pension liability, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, accounting for merger and acquisition activity, derivative financial instruments, accounting for acquired loans with specific credit-related deterioration, the valuation of other real estate owned ("OREO"), and the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities.
In April 2006, AMNB Statutory Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust (the "AMNB Trust") and an unconsolidated wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was formed for the purpose of issuing preferred securities (the "Trust Preferred Securities") in a private placement pursuant to an applicable exemption from registration. Proceeds from the securities were used to fund the acquisition of Community First Financial Corporation ("Community First") which occurred in April 2006.
In July 2011, and in connection with its acquisition of MidCarolina Financial Corporation, the Company assumed liabilities of MidCarolina Trust I and MidCarolina Trust II, two separate unconsolidated Delaware statutory trusts (the "MidCarolina Trusts"), which were also formed for the purpose of issuing preferred securities. Refer to Note 11 for further details concerning these entities.
All significant inter-company transactions and accounts are eliminated in consolidation, with the exception of the AMNB Trust and the MidCarolina Trusts, as detailed in Note 11.
Cash and Cash Equivalents
Cash includes cash on hand, cash with correspondent banks, and cash on deposit at the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond. Cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash with original maturities of three months or less and are subject to an insignificant risk of change in value. Cash and cash equivalents are carried at cost.
Interest-bearing Deposits in Other Banks
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks mature within one year and are carried at cost.
Securities
Certain debt securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as "held to maturity" and recorded at amortized cost. Trading securities are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value included in earnings. Debt securities not classified as held to maturity or trading are classified as "available for sale" and recorded at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method.
The Company does not currently have any securities in held to maturity or trading and has no plans to add any to either category. Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized

cost basis. If either of the criteria regarding intent or requirement

64

---

to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: (1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and, (2) OTTI related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis.

Equity securities with readily determinable fair values that are not held for trading are carried at fair value with the unrealized gains and losses included in n

Due to the nature and restrictions placed on the Company's investment in common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB") and the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, these securities have been classified as restricted equity securities and carried at cost.

Loans Held for Sale

Secondary market mortgage loans are designated as held for sale at the time of their origination. These loans are pre-sold with servicing released and the Company does not retain any interest after the loans are sold. These loans consist primarily of fixed-rate, single-family residential mortgage loans which meet the underwriting characteristics of certain government-sponsored enterprises (conforming loans). In addition, the Company requires a firm purchase commitment from a permanent investor before a loan can be committed, thus limiting interest rate risk. Loans held for sale are carried at fair value. Gains on sales of loans are recognized at the loan closing date and are included in noninterest income.

Derivative Loan Commitments

The Company enters into mortgage loan commitments whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Mortgage loan commitments are referred to as derivative loan commitments if the loan that will result from exercise of the commitment will be held for sale upon funding. Loan commitments that are derivatives are recognized at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets with net changes in their fair values recorded in other expenses. Derivative loan commitments resulted in no income or loss for 2018, 2017 or 2016.

The period of time between issuance of a loan commitment and sale of the loan generally ranges from 30 to 60 days. The Company protects itself from changes in interest rates through the use of best efforts forward delivery contracts, by committing to sell a loan at the time the borrower commits to an interest rate with the intent that the buyer has assumed the interest rate risk on the loan. As a result, the Company is not generally exposed to significant losses nor will it realize significant gains related to its rate lock commitments due to changes in interest rates. The correlation between the rate lock commitments and the best efforts contracts is very high due to their similarity.

The fair value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts is not readily ascertainable with precision because rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts are not actively traded in stand-alone markets. The Company determines the fair value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts by measuring the change in the estimated value of the underlying assets while taking into consideration the probability that the loan will be funded.

Loans

The Company makes mortgage, commercial, and consumer loans. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is secured by real estate. The ability of the Company's debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate market and general economic conditions in the Company's market area.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off, generally are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balance adjusted for the allowance for loan losses, and any deferred fees or costs. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the related loan yield using the interest method. The accrual of interest on loans is generally discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Loans are typically charged off when the loan is 120 days past due, unless secured and in process of collection. Loans are placed on nonaccrual status or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

Interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status or charged-off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash basis or cost recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

65

A loan is considered past due when a payment of principal or interest or both is due but not paid. Management closely monitors past due loans in timeframes of 30-59 days, 60-89 days, and 90 or more days past due.
These policies apply to all loan portfolio classes and segments.
Substandard and doubtful risk graded commercial, commercial real estate, and construction loans equal to or greater than $100,000 are reviewed for impairment. All troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"), regardless of dollar amount, are also evaluated for impairment. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment and establishing a specific allowance include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial, commercial real estate, and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.
Generally, large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans (residential real estate and consumer loans) are collectively evaluated for impairment. The Company's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans is consistent with its nonaccrual policy.
The Company's loan portfolio is organized by major segment. These include: commercial, commercial real estate, residential real estate and consumer loans. Each segment has particular risk characteristics that are specific to the borrower and the generic category of credit. Commercial loan repayments are highly dependent on cash flows associated with the underlying business and its profitability. They can also be impacted by changes in collateral values. Commercial real estate loans share the same general risk characteristics as commercial loans, but are often more dependent on the value of the underlying real estate collateral and, when construction is involved, the ultimate completion of and sale of the project. Residential real estate loans are generally dependent on the value of collateral and the credit worthiness of the underlying borrower. Consumer loans are very similar in risk characteristics to residential real estate.
In connection with mergers, certain loans were acquired which exhibited deteriorated credit quality since origination and for which the Company does not expect to collect all contractual payments. These purchased credit impaired loans are accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-30, Receivables - Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, and are recorded at the amount paid, such that there is no carryover of the seller's allowance for loan losses. After acquisition, losses are recognized by an increase in the allowance for loan losses.
Such purchased credit impaired loans are accounted for individually or aggregated into pools of loans based on common risk characteristics such as, credit score, loan type, and date of origination. The Company estimates the amount and timing of expected cash flows for each loan or pool, and the expected cash flows in excess of amount paid is recorded as interest income over the remaining life of the loan or pool (accretable yield). The excess of the loan's or pool's contractual principal and interest over expected cash flows is not recorded (nonaccretable difference).
Over the life of the loan or pool, expected cash flows continue to be estimated. If the present value of expected cash flows is less than the carrying amount, a loss is recorded as a provision for loan losses. If the present value of expected cash flows is greater than the carrying amount, it is recognized as part of future interest income.
Troubled Debt Restructurings
In situations where, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial condition, management may grant a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider, the related loan is classified as a TDR. Management strives to identify borrowers in financial difficulty early and work with them to modify their loan to more affordable terms before their loan reaches nonaccrual status. These modified terms may include rate reductions, principal forgiveness, payment forbearance and other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral. In cases where borrowers are granted new terms that provide for a reduction of either interest or principal, management measures any impairment on the restructuring as noted above for impaired loans.

The Company has $1,090,000 in loans classified as TDRs as of December 31, 2018 and $1,306,000 as of December 31, 2017.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The purpose of the allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") is to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses and by recoveries of previously charged-off loans. Loan charge-offs decrease the allowance.

The goal of the Company is to maintain an appropriate, systematic, and consistently applied process to determine the amounts of the ALLL and the provision for loan loss expense.

The Company uses certain practices to manage its credit risk. These practices include (1) appropriate lending limits for loan officers, (2) a loan approval process, (3) careful underwriting of loan requests, including analysis of borrowers, cash flows, collateral, and market risks, (4) regular monitoring of the portfolio, including diversification by type and geography, (5) review of loans by the Loan Review department, which operates independently of loan production (the Loan Review function consists of a co-sourced arrangement using both internal personnel and external vendors to provide the Company with a more robust review function of the loan portfolio), (6) regular meetings of the Credit Committees to discuss portfolio and policy changes and make decisions on large or unusual loan requests, and (7) regular meetings of the Asset Quality Committee which reviews the status of individual loans.

Risk grades are assigned as part of the loan origination process. From time to time risk grades may be modified as warranted by the facts and circumstances surrounding the credit.

Calculation and analysis of the allowance for loan losses is prepared quarterly by the Finance Department. The Company's Credit Committee, Risk and Compliance Committee, Audit Committee, and the Board of Directors review the allowance for adequacy.

The Company's allowance for loan losses has two basic components: the formula allowance and the specific allowance. Each of these components is determined based upon estimates and judgments.

The formula allowance uses historical loss experience as an indicator of future losses, along with various qualitative factors, including levels and trends in delinquencies, nonaccrual loans, charge-offs and recoveries, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in underwriting standards, experience of lending staff, economic conditions, and portfolio concentrations. In the formula allowance for commercial and commercial real estate loans, the historical loss rate is combined with the qualitative factors, resulting in an adjusted loss factor for each risk-grade category of loans. The period-end balances for each loan risk-grade category are multiplied by the adjusted loss factor. Allowance calculations for consumer loans are calculated based on historical losses for each product category without regard to risk grade. This loss rate is combined with qualitative factors resulting in an adjusted loss factor for each product category.

The specific allowance uses various techniques to arrive at an estimate of loss for specifically identified impaired loans. These include:

- The present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The effective interest rate on a loan is the rate of return implicit in the loan (that is, the contractual interest rate adjusted for any net deferred loan fees or costs and any premium or discount existing at the origination or acquisition of the loan);
- The loan's observable market price, or
- The fair value of the collateral, net of estimated costs to dispose, if the loan is collateral dependent.

The use of these computed values is inherently subjective and actual losses could be greater or less than the estimates. No single statistic, formula, or measurement determines the adequacy of the allowance. Management makes subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, and different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. For analytical purposes, management allocates a portion of the allowance to specific loan categories and specific loans. However, the entire allowance is used to absorb credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, including identified and unidentified losses.

The relationships and ratios used in calculating the allowance, including the qualitative factors, may change from period to period as facts and circumstances evolve. Furthermore, management cannot provide assurance that in any particular period the Company will not have sizeable credit losses in relation to the amount reserved. Management may find it necessary to significantly adjust the allowance, considering current factors at the time.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Premises and equipment are depreciated over their estimated useful lives ranging from three years to thirty-nine years;

leasehold improvements are amortized over the lives of the respective leases or the estimated useful lives of the improvements, whichever is less. Software is generally amortized over three years. Depreciation and amortization are recorded on the straight-line method.

Costs of maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Costs of replacing structural parts of major units are considered individually and are expensed or capitalized as the facts dictate. Gains and losses on routine dispositions are reflected in current operations.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair value based test. Additionally, acquired intangible assets (such as core deposit intangibles) are separately recognized if the benefit of the assets can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged, and amortized over their useful lives. Intangible assets related to branch transactions continued to amortize. The cost of purchased deposit relationships and other intangible assets, based on independent valuation, are being amortized over their estimated lives ranging from eight to ten years.

The Company records as goodwill the excess of purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. Impairment testing is performed annually, as well as when an event triggering impairment may have occurred. The Company performs its annual analysis as of June 30 each fiscal year. Accounting guidance permits preliminary assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether more substantial impairment testing is required. The Company chose to bypass the preliminary assessment and utilized a two-step process for impairment testing of goodwill. The first step tests for impairment, while the second step, if necessary, measures the impairment. No indicators of impairment were identified during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, or 2016.

Trust Assets

Securities and other property held by the trust and investment services segment in a fiduciary or agency capacity are not assets of the Company and are not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO represents real estate that has been acquired through loan foreclosures or deeds received in lieu of loan payments. Generally, such properties are appraised at the time acquired, and are recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance are included in noninterest expense.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

The Company has acquired bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") in connection with three acquisitions. The asset is reflected as the cash surrender value of the policies as provided by the insurer on a monthly basis.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company – put presumptively beyond reach of the transferor and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity or the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return specific assets.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the balance sheet method to account for deferred income tax assets and liabilities. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the tax effects of the temporary differences between the book and tax bases of the various balance sheet assets and liabilities and gives current recognition to changes in tax rates and laws.

When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated

with other positions. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2018 and 2017.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock compensation accounting guidance Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation, requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the grant date fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. The stock compensation accounting guidance covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including stock options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans.

The stock compensation accounting guidance requires that compensation cost for all stock awards be calculated and recognized over the employees' service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded-vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. A Black-Scholes model is used to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share represent income available to common shareholders divided by the average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share reflect the impact of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from the assumed issuance. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company consist solely of outstanding stock options, and are determined using the treasury method. Nonvested shares of restricted stock are included in the computation of basic earnings per share because the holder has voting rights and shares in non-forfeitable dividends during the vesting period.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is shown in a two statement approach; the first statement presents total net income and its components followed by a second statement that presents all the components of other comprehensive income which include unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities, unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedges, and changes in the funded status of the defined benefit postretirement plan.

In February 2018, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2018-02, Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI"). The Company early adopted this new standard as of December 31, 2017. ASU 2018-02 requires reclassification from AOCI to retained earnings for "stranded" tax effects resulting from the impact of the newly enacted federal corporate income tax rate on items included in AOCI. The amount of this reclassification in 2017 was $545,000 and is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' equity.

Advertising and Marketing Costs

Advertising and marketing costs are expensed as incurred, and were $365,000, $352,000, and $260,000 in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Mergers and Acquisitions

Business combinations are accounted for under ASC 805, Business Combinations, using the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date measured at their fair values as of that date. To determine the fair values, the Company relies on third party valuations, such as appraisals, or internal valuations based on discounted cash flow analyses or other valuation techniques. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Company identifies the acquirer and the closing date and applies applicable recognition principles and conditions. Acquisition-related costs are costs the Company incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include advisory, legal, accounting, valuation, and other professional or consulting fees. Some other examples of costs to the Company include systems conversions, integration planning consultants and advertising costs. The Company accounts for acquisition-related

costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception. The costs to issue debt or equity securities is recognized in accordance with other applicable

69

GAAP. These acquisition-related costs have been and will be included within the consolidated statements of income classified within the noninterest expense caption.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives primarily to manage risk associated with changing interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments consist of interest rate swaps that qualify as cash flow hedges of the Company's trust preferred capital notes. The Company recognizes derivative financial instruments at fair value as either an other asset or other liability in the consolidated balance sheets. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the Company's cash flow hedges is reported as a component of other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes, and is reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transactions affect earnings.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made in prior years financial statements to conform to classifications used in the current year. There were no material reclassifications.

Use of Estimates

In preparing consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, goodwill and intangible assets, unfunded pension liability, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, accounting for merger and acquisition activity, accounting for acquired loans with specific credit-related deterioration, the valuation of OREO, and the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2018, the Company adopted ASU 2016-01, "Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities," which amended the guidance on the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Upon adoption of ASU 2016-01, the Company reclassified $650,000 from accumulated other comprehensive loss to retained earnings for the difference in amortized cost and fair value. In 2018, the Company recognized the equity securities fair value change in net income. Previously, the fair value changes were recognized, net of tax, in other comprehensive loss. The adoption of ASU 2016-01 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2018, the Company adopted ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers", and all subsequent amendments to the ASU (collectively "ASC 606"), which (1) creates a single framework for recognizing revenue from contracts with customers that fall within its scope and (2) revises when it is appropriate to recognize a gain (loss) from the transfer of nonfinancial assets, such as OREO. The majority of the Company's revenue is from interest income, including loans and securities, that are outside the scope of the standard. The services that fall within the scope of the standard are presented within noninterest income on the consolidated statement of income and are recognized as revenue as the Company satisfies its obligations to the customer. The revenue that falls within the scope of ASC 606 is primarily related to service charges on deposit accounts, cardholder and merchant income, wealth advisory services income, other service charges and fees, sales of OREO, insurance commissions and miscellaneous fees. ASC 606 did not result in a change to the accounting for any in-scope revenue streams; as such, no cumulative effect adjustment was recorded.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)." Among other things, in the amendments in ASU 2016-02, lessees will be required to recognize the following for all leases (with the exception of short-term leases) at the commencement date: (1) a lease liability, which is a lessee‘s obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis; and (2) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee’s right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. Under the new guidance, lessor accounting is largely unchanged. Certain targeted improvements were made to align, where necessary, lessor accounting with the lessee accounting model and Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted upon issuance. Lessees (for capital and operating leases) and lessors (for sales-type, direct

financing, and operating leases) must apply a modified retrospective transition approach for leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. The modified retrospective approach would not require any transition accounting for leases that expired

70

before the earliest comparative period presented. Lessees and lessors may not apply a full retrospective transition approach. The FASB made subsequent amendments to Topic 842 in July 2018 through ASU 2018-10, "Codification Improvements to Topic 842, Leases," and ASU 2018-11, "Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements." Among these amendments is the provision in ASU 2018-11 that provides entities with an additional (and optional) transition method to adopt the new leases standard. Under this new transition method, an entity initially applies the new leases standard at the adoption date and recognizes a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. Consequently, an entity's reporting for the comparative periods presented in the financial statements in which it adopts the new leases standard will continue to be in accordance with current GAAP (Topic 840, Leases). The effect of adopting this standard on January 1, 2019 was an approximately $4.4 million increase in assets and liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, "Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments." The amendments in this ASU, among other things, require the measurement of all expected credit losses for financial assets held at the reporting date based on historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts. Financial institutions and other organizations will now use forward-looking information to better inform their credit loss estimates. Many of the loss estimation techniques applied today will still be permitted, although the inputs to those techniques will change to reflect the full amount of expected credit losses. In addition, the ASU amends the accounting for credit losses on available-for-sale debt securities and purchased financial assets with credit deterioration. The amendments in this ASU are effective for Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filers for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. The Company has implemented and completed a significant amount of a project plan with the assistance of an outside vendor. The Company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2016-13 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment". The amendments in this ASU simplify how an entity is required to test goodwill for impairment by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. Step 2 measures a goodwill impairment loss by comparing the implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. Instead, under the amendments in this ASU, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An entity still has the option to perform the qualitative assessment for a reporting unit to determine if the quantitative impairment test is necessary. Public business entities that are SEC filers should adopt the amendments in this ASU for annual or interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2017-04 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-08, "Receivables - Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs (Subtopic 310 20), Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities." The amendments in this ASU shorten the amortization period for certain callable debt securities purchased at a premium. Upon adoption of the standard, premiums on these qualifying callable debt securities will be amortized to the earliest call date. Discounts on purchased debt securities will continue to be accreted to maturity. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. Upon transition, entities should apply the guidance on a modified retrospective basis, with a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption and provide the disclosures required for a change in accounting principle. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2017-08 to have a material impact on consolidated financial statements.

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-12, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities." The amendments in this ASU modify the designation and measurement guidance for hedge accounting as well as provide for increased transparency regarding the presentation of economic results on both the financial statements and related footnotes. Certain aspects of hedge effectiveness assessments will also be simplified upon implementation of this update. The amendments are effective for annual periods, including interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in any interim period. This ASU was further amended in October 2018 by ASU 2018-16, which adds the

Overnight Index Swap rate as a U.S. benchmark interest rate. These amendments will be effective concurrently with ASU 2017-12. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2017-12 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-07, "Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting." The amendments expand the scope of Topic 718 to include share-based payments issued to non-employees for goods or services, which were previously excluded. The amendments will align the accounting for share-based payments to nonemployees and employees more similarly. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2018-07 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-13, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework - Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement." The amendments in this ASU modify the disclosure requirements in Topic 820 to add disclosures regarding changes in unrealized gains and losses, the range and weighted average of significant unobservable inputs used to develop Level 3 fair value measurements and the narrative description of measurement uncertainty. Certain disclosure requirements in Topic 820 are also removed or modified. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Certain of the amendments are to be applied prospectively while others are to be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2018-13 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-14, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits-Defined Benefit Plans-General (Subtopic 715-20): Disclosure Framework - Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Defined Benefit Plans." The amendments in this ASU modify the disclosure requirements for employers that sponsor defined benefit pension or other postretirement plans. Certain disclosure requirements have been deleted while the following disclosure requirements have been added: the weighted-average interest crediting rates for cash balance plans and other plans with promised interest crediting rates and an explanation of the reasons for significant gains and losses related to changes in the benefit obligation for the period. The amendments also clarify the disclosure requirements in paragraph 715-20-50-3, which state that the following information for defined benefit pension plans should be disclosed: the projected benefit obligation (PBO) and fair value of plan assets for plans with PBOs in excess of plan assets and the accumulated benefit obligation (ABO) and fair value of plan assets for plans with ABOs in excess of plan assets. The amendments are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2018-14 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 – Restrictions on Cash

The Company is a member of the Federal Reserve System and is required to maintain certain levels of its cash and cash equivalents as reserves based on regulatory requirements. The gross reserve requirement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond was $3.0 million and $2.8 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Due to vault cash that exceeded the gross reserve requirement, the required balance to be maintained with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond was zero at both year ends.

The Company maintains cash accounts in other commercial banks. The amount on deposit with correspondent institutions at December 31, 2018 exceeded the insurance limits of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation by $197,000.

Note 3 - Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in securities at December 31, 2018 and 2017 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2018			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities available for sale:				
Federal agencies and GSEs	$137,070	$ 442	$ 3,473	$134,039
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	113,883	385	2,401	111,867
State and municipal	80,022	411	531	79,902
Corporate	6,799	68	22	6,845
Total securities available for sale	$337,774	$ 1,306	$ 6,427	$332,653

The Company adopted ASU 2016-01 effective January 1, 2018 and had equity securities with a fair value of $1,830,000 at December 31, 2018 and recognized in income $42,000 of unrealized holding gains during 2018. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company sold $431,000 in equity securities at fair value.

	December 31, 2017			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities available for sale:				
Federal agencies and GSEs	$114,246	$ 8	$ 2,127	$112,127
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	106,163	293	1,140	105,316
State and municipal	92,711	1,262	347	93,626
Corporate	7,842	234	14	8,062
Equity securities	1,383	823	—	2,206
Total securities available for sale	$322,345	$ 2,620	$ 3,628	$321,337

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in debt securities at December 31, 2018, by contractual maturity, are shown in the following table. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Because mortgage-backed securities have both known principal repayment terms as well as unknown principal repayments due to potential borrower pre-payments, it is difficult to accurately predict the final maturity of these investments. Mortgage-backed securities are shown separately (dollars in thousands):

	Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	$6,872	$6,868
Due after one year through five years	115,573	114,672
Due after five years through ten years	75,996	74,952
Due after ten years	25,450	24,294
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	113,883	111,867
	$337,774	$332,653

Gross realized gains and losses on and the proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Gross realized gains	$342	$825	$844
Gross realized losses	(261)	(13)	(8)
Proceeds from sales of securities	57,607	55,903	13,019

Securities with a carrying value of approximately $143,064,000 and $166,284,000 at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, and for other purposes as required by law. FHLB letters of credit were used as additional collateral in the amounts of $190,250,000 at December 31, 2018 and $190,700,000 at December 31, 2017.

Temporarily Impaired Securities

The following table shows estimated fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2018. The reference point for determining when securities are in an unrealized loss position is month-end. Therefore, it is possible that a security's market value exceeded its amortized cost on other days during the past twelve-month period.

Available for sale securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Total		Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Federal agencies and GSEs	$103,797	$ 3,473	$14,982	$ 8	$88,815	$ 3,465
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	86,852	2,401	5,473	15	81,379	2,386
State and municipal	39,755	531	7,199	18	32,556	513
Corporate	484	22	—	—	484	22
Total	$230,888	$ 6,427	$27,654	$ 41	$203,234	$ 6,386

Federal agencies and GSEs: The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in 23 government sponsored entities ("GSEs") were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2018.

Mortgage-backed securities: The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in 70 GSE mortgage-backed securities were caused by interest rate increases. Sixty of these securities were in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The contractual cash flows of those investments are guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the Company's investments. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2018.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations: The unrealized loss associated with two private GSE collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs") is due to normal market fluctuations. One of these securities has been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The contractual cash flows of those investments are guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the Company's investments. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2018.

State and municipal securities: The unrealized losses on 62 state and municipal securities were caused by interest rate increases and not credit deterioration. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2018.

Corporate securities: The unrealized loss on one corporate security was caused by interest rate increases and not credit deterioration. The contractual terms of the investment does not permit the issuer to settle the security at a price less than the amortized cost basis of the investment. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investment and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider the investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2018.

Due to restrictions placed upon the Bank's common stock investment in the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and FHLB, these securities have been classified as restricted equity securities and carried at cost. These restricted securities are not subject to the investment security classifications and are included as a separate line item on the

Company's consolidated balance sheet. The FHLB requires the Bank to maintain stock in an amount equal to 4.25% of outstanding borrowings and a specific percentage of the Bank's total assets. The Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond requires the Bank to maintain stock with a par value equal to 3.0% of its outstanding capital and an additional 3.0% is on call. Restricted equity securities consist of Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond stock in the amount of $3,621,000 and $3,587,000 as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and FHLB stock in the amount of $1,626,000 and $2,523,000 as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The table below shows gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	Total		Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Federal agencies and GSEs	$99,133	$ 2,127	$45,474	$ 321	$53,659	$ 1,806
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	90,806	1,140	64,449	533	26,357	607
State and municipal	34,550	347	27,442	159	7,108	188
Corporate	1,529	14	495	5	1,034	9
Total	$226,018	$ 3,628	$137,860	$ 1,018	$88,158	$ 2,610

Other-Than-Temporary-Impaired Securities

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, there were no securities classified as other-than-temporary impaired.

Note 4 – Loans

Loans, excluding loans held for sale, at December 31, 2018 and 2017 were comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2018	2017
Commercial	$285,972	$251,666
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	97,240	123,147
Commercial real estate	655,800	637,701
Residential real estate:		
Residential	209,438	209,326
Home equity	103,933	109,857
Consumer	5,093	4,428
Total loans	$1,357,476	$1,336,125

Net deferred loan (fees) costs included in the above loan categories are $720,000 for 2018 and $463,000 for 2017. Overdraft deposits were reclassified to consumer loans in the amount of $127,000 and $114,000 for 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Acquired Loans

The outstanding principal balance and the carrying amount of these loans, including ASC 310-30 loans, included in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2018	2017
Outstanding principal balance	$63,619	$79,523
Carrying amount	58,886	73,796

The outstanding principal balance and related carrying amount of purchased credit impaired loans, for which the Company applies ASC 310-30 to account for interest earned, at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2018	2017
Outstanding principal balance	$24,500	$27,876
Carrying amount	20,611	23,430

The following table presents changes in the accretable yield on purchased credit impaired loans, for which the Company applies ASC 310-30, for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	2018	2017	2016
Balance at January 1	$4,890	$6,103	$7,299
Accretion	(2,362)	(3,117)	(3,232)
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference	956	1,006	2,197
Other changes, net	1,149	898	(161)
Balance at December 31	$4,633	$4,890	$6,103

Past Due Loans

The following table shows an analysis by portfolio segment of the Company's past due loans at December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands):

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days + Past Due and Still Accruing	Non-Accrual Loans	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
Commercial	$20	$—	$ —	$83	$103	$285,869	$285,972
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	—	—	—	27	27	97,213	97,240
Commercial real estate	42	—	—	197	239	655,561	655,800
Residential:							
Residential	456	157	72	659	1,344	208,094	209,438
Home equity	126	—	—	124	250	103,683	103,933
Consumer	21	3	—	—	24	5,069	5,093
Total	$665	$160	$ 72	$1,090	$1,987	$1,355,489	$1,357,476

The following table shows an analysis by portfolio segment of the Company's past due loans at December 31, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days + Past Due and Still Accruing	Non-Accrual Loans	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
Commercial	$92	$ —	$ —	$90	$182	$251,484	$251,666
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	—	—	—	36	36	123,111	123,147
Commercial real estate	86	—	280	489	855	636,846	637,701
Residential:							
Residential	282	71	79	1,343	1,775	207,551	209,326
Home equity	141	16	—	243	400	109,457	109,857
Consumer	21	5	—	—	26	4,402	4,428
Total	$622	$ 92	$ 359	$2,201	$3,274	$1,332,851	$1,336,125

Impaired Loans

The following table presents the Company's impaired loan balances by portfolio segment, excluding acquired impaired loans, at December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands):

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Commercial	$ 28	$ 28	$ —	$ 44	$ 14
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	376	373	—	542	36
Residential:					
Residential	646	646	—	875	29
Home equity	49	49	—	108	10
Consumer	—	—	—	2	—
	$ 1,099	$ 1,096	$ —	$ 1,571	$ 89
With a related allowance recorded:					
Commercial	$ 62	$ 58	$ 55	$ 354	$ 40
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development*	—	—	—	21	—
Commercial real estate*	—	—	—	18	—
Residential					
Residential	173	173	9	342	9
Home equity*	—	—	—	128	1
Consumer*	—	—	—	—	—
	$ 235	$ 231	$ 64	$ 863	$ 50
Total:					
Commercial	$ 90	$ 86	$ 55	$ 398	$ 54
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	—	—	—	21	—
Commercial real estate	376	373	—	560	36
Residential:					
Residential	819	819	9	1,217	38
Home equity	49	49	—	236	11
Consumer	—	—	—	2	—
	$ 1,334	$ 1,327	$ 64	$ 2,434	$ 139

*Allowance is reported as zero in the table due to presentation in thousands and rounding.

In the table above, recorded investment may exceed unpaid principal balance due to acquired loans with a premium and loans with unearned costs that exceed unearned fees.

The following table presents the Company's impaired loan balances by portfolio segment, excluding acquired impaired loans, at December 31, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Commercial	$ 4	$ 4	$ —	$ 19	$ 1
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	—	—	—	56	4
Commercial real estate	791	789	—	1,069	66
Residential:					
Residential	717	719	—	575	41
Home equity	142	142	—	109	10
Consumer	5	5	—	6	1
	$ 1,659	$ 1,659	$ —	$ 1,834	$ 123
With a related allowance recorded:					
Commercial	$ 202	$ 201	$ 154	$ 150	$ 16
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development*	37	37	—	56	—
Commercial real estate*	34	32	—	126	11
Residential:					
Residential	1,022	1,022	12	1,174	27
Home equity	263	261	1	251	1
Consumer*	—	—	—	5	—
	$ 1,558	$ 1,553	$ 167	$ 1,762	$ 55
Total:					
Commercial	$ 206	$ 205	$ 154	$ 169	$ 17
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	37	37	—	112	4
Commercial real estate	825	821	—	1,195	77
Residential:					
Residential	1,739	1,741	12	1,749	68
Home equity	405	403	1	360	11
Consumer	5	5	—	11	1
	$ 3,217	$ 3,212	$ 167	$ 3,596	$ 178

---

*Allowance is reported as zero in the table due to presentation in thousands and rounding.
In the table above, recorded investment may exceed unpaid principal balance due to acquired loans with a premium and loans with unearned costs that exceed unearned fees.

The following table shows the detail of loans modified as TDRs during the year ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, included in the impaired loan balances (dollars in thousands):

	Loans Modified as TDRs for the Year Ended December 31, 2018		
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Commercial	—	$ —	$ —
Commercial real estate	—	—	—
Home equity	—	—	—
Residential real estate	1	11	11
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	1	$ 11	$ 11

	Loans Modified as TDRs for the Year Ended December 31, 2017		
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Commercial	5	$ 212	$ 212
Commercial real estate	—	—	—
Home equity	2	57	57
Residential real estate	1	36	36
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	8	$ 305	$ 305

	Loans Modified as TDRs for the Year Ended December 31, 2016		
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Commercial	2	$ 24	$ 24
Commercial real estate	2	1,005	1,003
Home equity	—	—	—
Residential real estate	4	322	312
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	8	$ 1,351	$ 1,339

During the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2016, the Company had no loans that subsequently defaulted within twelve months of modification. During the year ended December 31, 2017, there were three commercial loans with a total recorded investment of $109,000 and one residential real estate loan with a recorded investment of $143,000 that defaulted within twelve months of modification. The Company defines default as one or more payments that occur more than 90 days past the due date, charge-off or foreclosure subsequent to modification. Any charge-offs resulting in default were adjusted through the allowance for loan losses.

The following table summarizes the primary reason certain loan modifications were classified as TDRs and includes newly designated TDRs as well as modifications made to existing TDRs. Rate modifications include TDRs made with below market interest rates that also include modifications of loan structures (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2018			2017			2016		
	Type of Modification		ALLL	Type of Modification		ALLL	Type of Modification		ALLL
	Rate	Structure	Impact	Rate	Structure	Impact	Rate	Structure	Impact
Commercial	$ —	$ —	$ —	$ —	$ 212	$ 137	$ —	$ 24	$ —
Commercial real estate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,003	—
Home equity	—	—	—	—	57	1	—	—	—
Residential real estate	—	11	—	—	36	—	—	312	1
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	$ —	$ 11	$ —	$ —	$ 305	$ 138	$ —	$ 1,339	$ 1

The Company had $112,000 in residential real estate loans in the process of foreclosure at December 31, 2018 and $719,000 and $629,000 in residential OREO at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

Risk Ratings

The following table shows the Company's loan portfolio broken down by internal risk grading as of December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands):

Commercial and Consumer Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	Commercial	Construction and Land Development	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity
Pass	$ 285,092	$ 93,000	$ 647,519	$ 204,261	$ 103,541
Special Mention	154	1,840	4,403	1,685	—
Substandard	726	2,400	3,878	3,492	392
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—
Total	$ 285,972	$ 97,240	$ 655,800	$ 209,438	$ 103,933

Consumer Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity

	Consumer
Performing	$ 5,093
Nonperforming	—
Total	$ 5,093

Loans classified in the Pass category typically are fundamentally sound and risk factors are reasonable and acceptable.

Loans classified in the Special Mention category typically have been criticized internally, by loan review or the loan officer, or by external regulators under the current credit policy regarding risk grades.

Loans classified in the Substandard category typically have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt; they are typically characterized by the possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Loans classified in the Doubtful category typically have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified as substandard, plus the added characteristic the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions,

and values highly questionable and improbable. However, these loans are not yet rated as loss because certain events may occur that may salvage the debt.

Consumer loans are classified as performing or nonperforming. A loan is nonperforming when payments of interest and principal are past due 90 days or more, or payments are less than 90 days past due, but there are other good reasons to doubt that payment will be made in full.

The following table shows the Company's loan portfolio broken down by internal risk grading as of December 31, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

Commercial and Consumer Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	Commercial	Construction and Land Development	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity
Pass	$ 248,714	$ 114,502	$ 625,861	$ 200,405	$ 107,705
Special Mention	1,763	7,114	6,914	4,438	1,325
Substandard	1,189	1,531	4,926	4,483	827
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—
Total	$ 251,666	$ 123,147	$ 637,701	$ 209,326	$ 109,857

Consumer Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity

	Consumer
Performing	$ 4,415
Nonperforming	13
Total	$ 4,428

Note 5 – Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

Changes in the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, are presented below (dollars in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Allowance for Loan Losses			
Balance, beginning of year	$ 13,603	$ 12,801	$ 12,601
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(103)	1,016	250
Charge-offs	(1,020)	(690)	(326)
Recoveries	325	476	276
Balance, end of year	$ 12,805	$ 13,603	$ 12,801

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments			
Balance, beginning of year	$ 206	$ 203	$ 184
Provision for unfunded commitments	11	3	19
Charge-offs	—	—	—
Balance, end of year	$ 217	$ 206	$ 203

The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included in other liabilities, and the provision for (recovery of) unfunded commitments is included in noninterest expense. The following table presents the Company's allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and the related loan balance total by segment for the year ended December 31, 2018 (dollars in thousands):

	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses					
Balance at December 31, 2017	$ 2,413	$ 8,321	$ 2,825	$ 44	$ 13,603
Charge-offs	(787)	(11)	(86)	(136)	(1,020)
Recoveries	69	10	149	97	325
Provision	842	(1,074)	89	40	(103)
Balance at December 31, 2018	$ 2,537	$ 7,246	$ 2,977	$ 45	$ 12,805
Balance at December 31, 2018:					
Allowance for Loan Losses					
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 55	$ —	$ 9	$ —	$ 64
Collectively evaluated for impairment	2,482	7,211	2,822	45	12,560
Purchased credit impaired loans	—	35	146	—	181
Total	$ 2,537	$ 7,246	$ 2,977	$ 45	$ 12,805
Loans					
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 90	$ 376	$ 868	$ —	$ 1,334
Collectively evaluated for impairment	285,431	742,365	302,657	5,078	1,335,531
Purchased credit impaired loans	451	10,299	9,846	15	20,611
Total	$ 285,972	$ 753,040	$ 313,371	$ 5,093	$ 1,357,476

The following table presents the Company's allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and the related loan balance total by segment for the year ended December 31, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses					
Balance at December 31, 2016	$ 2,095	$ 7,355	$ 3,303	$ 48	$ 12,801
Charge-offs	(282)	(93)	(172)	(143)	(690)
Recoveries	223	60	85	108	476
Provision	377	999	(391)	31	1,016
Balance at December 31, 2017	$ 2,413	$ 8,321	$ 2,825	$ 44	$ 13,603
Balance at December 31, 2017:					
Allowance for Loan Losses					
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 154	$ —	$ 13	$ —	$ 167
Collectively evaluated for impairment	2,259	8,203	2,645	44	13,151
Purchased credit impaired loans	—	118	167	—	285
Total	$ 2,413	$ 8,321	$ 2,825	$ 44	$ 13,603
Loans					
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 206	$ 862	$ 2,144	$ 5	$ 3,217
Collectively evaluated for impairment	251,185	747,819	306,066	4,408	1,309,478
Purchased credit impaired loans	275	12,167	10,973	15	23,430
Total	$ 251,666	$ 760,848	$ 319,183	$ 4,428	$ 1,336,125

The allowance for loan losses is allocated to loan segments based upon historical loss factors, risk grades on individual loans, portfolio analysis of smaller balance, homogenous loans, and qualitative factors. Qualitative factors include trends in delinquencies, nonaccrual loans, and loss rates; trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in risk selection, underwriting standards, and lending policies; experience of lending officers, other lending staff and loan review; national, regional, and local economic trends and conditions; legal, regulatory and collateral factors; and concentrations of credit.

Note 6 – Premises and Equipment

Major classifications of premises and equipment at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2018	2017
Land	$ 6,509	$ 6,583
Buildings	28,075	26,713
Leasehold improvements	1,011	981
Furniture and equipment	17,521	17,677
	53,116	51,954
Accumulated depreciation	(26,441)	(26,053)
Premises and equipment, net	$ 26,675	$ 25,901

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was $1,775,000, $1,877,000, and $1,892,000, respectively.

The Company has entered into operating leases for several of its branch and ATM facilities. The minimum annual rental payments under these leases at December 31, 2018 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year	Minimum Lease Payments
2019	$ 883
2020	747
2021	734
2022	708
2023	583
2024 and after	1,888
	$ 5,543

Lease expense, a component of occupancy and equipment expense, for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was $919,000, $961,000, and $897,000, respectively.

Note 7 – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company records as goodwill the excess of purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. Impairment testing is performed annually, as well as when an event triggering impairment may have occurred. The Company performs its annual analysis as of June 30 each fiscal year. Accounting guidance permits preliminary assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether more substantial impairment testing is required. The Company chose to bypass the preliminary assessment and utilized a two-step process for impairment testing of goodwill. The first step tests for impairment, while the second step, if necessary, measures the impairment. No indicators of impairment were identified during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, or 2016.

Core deposit intangibles resulting from the MidCarolina acquisition in July 2011 were $6,556,000 and are being amortized on an accelerated basis over 108 months. Core deposit intangibles resulting from the MainStreet acquisition were $1,839,000 and are being amortized on an accelerated basis over 120 months.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill and intangibles for the twelve months ended December 31, 2018, are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Goodwill	Intangibles
Balance at December 31, 2017	$ 43,872	$ 1,191
Amortization	—	(265)
Balance at December 31, 2018	$ 43,872	$ 926

Goodwill and intangible assets at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follow (dollars in thousands):

	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
December 31, 2018			
Core deposit intangibles	$ 11,508	$ (10,582)	$ 926
Goodwill	43,872	—	43,872
December 31, 2017			
Core deposit intangibles	$ 11,508	$ (10,317)	$ 1,191
Goodwill	43,872	—	43,872

Amortization expense of core deposit intangibles for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was $265,000, $528,000, and $964,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2018, the estimated future amortization expense of core deposit intangibles is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year	Amount
2019	$ 219
2020	207
2021	197
2022	155
2023	127
2024 and after	21
Total	$ 926

Note 8 - Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits in denominations of $250,000 or more at December 31, 2018 and 2017 was $159,996,000 and $162,781,000, respectively.

At December 31, 2018, the scheduled maturities and amounts of certificates of deposits (included in "time" deposits on the consolidated balance sheet) were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year	Amount
2019	$140,563
2020	45,811
2021	88,107
2022	44,944
2023	38,260
2024 and after	4,272
Total	$361,957

There were no brokered time deposits at December 31, 2018 or December 31, 2017. Time deposits through the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") program totaled $22,431,000 at December 31, 2018 compared to $25,838,000 at December 31, 2017. Deposits through the CDARS program are generated from major customers with substantial relationships to the Bank.

Note 9 – Short-term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings consist of customer repurchase agreements and overnight borrowings from the FHLB. The Company has federal funds lines of credit established with two correspondent banks in the amounts of $15,000,000 each, and, additionally, has access to the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond's discount window. Customer repurchase agreements are collateralized by securities of the U.S. Government, its agencies or GSEs. They mature daily. The interest rates are generally fixed but may be changed at the discretion of the Company. The securities underlying these agreements remain under the Company's control. FHLB overnight borrowings contain floating interest rates that may change daily at the discretion of the FHLB. Short-term borrowings consisted solely of the following at December 31, 2018 and 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
Customer repurchase agreements	$35,243	1.67 %	$10,726	0.01 %
FHLB borrowings	—	— %	24,000	1.59 %
Total short-term borrowings	$35,243		$34,726	

85

Note 10 – Long-term Borrowings

Under the terms of its collateral agreement with the FHLB, the Company provides a blanket lien covering all of its residential first mortgage loans, second mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, and commercial real estate loans. In addition, the Company pledges as collateral its capital stock in the FHLB and deposits with the FHLB. The Company has a line of credit with the FHLB equal to 30% of the Company's assets, subject to the amount of collateral pledged. As of December 31, 2018, $558,231,000 in eligible collateral was pledged under the blanket floating lien agreement which covers both short-term and long-term borrowings.

There were no long-term borrowings as of December 31, 2018 or 2017.

In the regular course of conducting its business, the Company takes deposits from political subdivisions of the states of Virginia and North Carolina. At December 31, 2018, the Bank's public deposits totaled $258,566,000. The Company is required to provide collateral to secure the deposits that exceed the insurance coverage provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. This collateral can be provided in the form of certain types of government or agency bonds or letters of credit from the FHLB. At December 31, 2018, the Company had $190,000,000 in letters of credit with the FHLB outstanding as well as $93,073,000 in agency, state, and municipal securities to provide collateral for such deposits.

Note 11 – Junior Subordinated Debt

On April 7, 2006, AMNB Statutory Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, issued $20,000,000 of preferred securities in a private placement pursuant to an applicable exemption from registration. The Trust Preferred Securities mature on June 30, 2036, but may be redeemed at the Company's option beginning on September 30, 2011. Initially, the securities required quarterly distributions by the trust to the holder of the Trust Preferred Securities at a fixed rate of 6.66%. Effective September 30, 2011, the rate resets quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus 1.35%. Distributions are cumulative and will accrue from the date of original issuance, but may be deferred by the Company from time to time for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods. The Company has guaranteed the payment of all required distributions on the Trust Preferred Securities. The proceeds of the Trust Preferred Securities received by the trust, along with proceeds of $619,000 received by the trust from the issuance of common securities by the trust to the Company, were used to purchase $20,619,000 of the Company's junior subordinated debt securities (the "Trust Preferred Capital Notes"), issued pursuant to a junior subordinated debenture entered into between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. The proceeds of the Trust Preferred Securities were used to fund the cash portion of the merger consideration to the former shareholders of Community First in connection with the Company's acquisition of that company, and for general corporate purposes.

On July 1, 2011, in connection with the MidCarolina merger, the Company assumed $8,764,000 in junior subordinated debentures to the MidCarolina Trusts, to fully and unconditionally guarantee the preferred securities issued by the MidCarolina Trusts. These long-term obligations, which currently qualify as Tier 1 capital, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the MidCarolina Trusts' obligations. The MidCarolina Trusts are not consolidated in the Company's financial statements.

In accordance with ASC 810-10-15-14, Consolidation - Overall - Scope and Scope Exceptions, the Company did not eliminate through consolidation the Company's $619,000 equity investment in AMNB Statutory Trust I or the $264,000 equity investment in the MidCarolina Trusts. Instead, the Company reflected this equity investment in the "Accrued interest receivable and other assets" line item in the consolidated balance sheets.

A description of the junior subordinated debt securities outstanding payable to the trusts is shown below (dollars in thousands):

				Principal Amount As of December 31,	
Issuing Entity	Date Issued	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	2018	2017
AMNB Trust I	4/7/2006	Libor plus 1.35%	6/30/2036	$20,619	$20,619
MidCarolina Trust I	10/29/2002	Libor plus 3.45%	11/7/2032	4,377	4,322

MidCarolina Trust II	12/3/2003	Libor plus 2.95%	10/7/2033	2,931	2,885
				$27,927	$27,826

The principal amounts reflected for the MidCarolina Trusts as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, are net of fair value marks of $778,000 and $678,000, respectively. The original fair value marks of $1,197,000 and $1,021,000 were recorded as a result

86

of the merger with MidCarolina on July 1, 2011 and are being amortized into interest expense over the remaining lives of the respective borrowings.

Note 12 - Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses derivative financial instruments ("derivatives") primarily to manage risks associated with changing interest rates. The Company's derivatives are hedging instruments in a qualifying hedge accounting relationship (cash flow or fair value hedge).

The Company designates derivatives as cash flow hedges when they are used to manage exposure to variability in cash flows on variable rate borrowings such as the Company's trust preferred capital notes. The Company uses interest rate swap agreements as part of its hedging strategy by exchanging variable-rate interest payments on a notional amount equal to the principal amount of the borrowings for fixed-rate interest payments, with such interest rates set based on benchmarked interest rates.

All interest rate swaps were entered into with counterparties that met the Company's credit standards and the agreements contain collateral provisions protecting the at-risk party. The Company believes that the credit risk inherent in these derivative contracts is not significant.

Terms and conditions of the interest rate swaps vary and amounts receivable or payable are recognized as accrued under the terms of the agreements. The Company assesses the effectiveness of each hedging relationship on a periodic basis. In accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, the effective portions of the derivatives' unrealized gains or losses are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. Based on the Company's assessment, its cash flow hedges are highly effective, but to the extent that any ineffectiveness exists in the hedge relationships, the amounts would be recorded in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2018				
	Notional Amount	Positions	Assets	Liabilities	Cash Collateral Pledged
Cash flow hedges:					
Interest rate swaps:					
Variable-rate to fixed-rate swaps with counterparty	$28,500	3	$ —	$ 804	$ 650

Note 13 – Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's 2018 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2018 Plan") was adopted by the Board of Directors of the Company on February 20, 2018 and approved by shareholders on May 15, 2018 at the Company's 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The 2018 Plan provides for the granting of restricted stock awards, incentive and non-statutory options, and other equity-based awards to employees and directors at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The 2018 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 675,000 shares of common stock. The 2018 Plan replaced the Company's stock incentive plan that was approved by the shareholders at the 2008 Annual Meeting that expired in February 2018 (the "2008 Plan").

Stock Options

Accounting guidance requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements with measurement based upon the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued.

A summary of stock option transactions for the year ended December 31, 2018 is as follows:

	Option Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value ($000)
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	50,985	$ 24.09		
Granted	—	—		
Exercised	(35,310)	24.37		
Forfeited	—	—		
Expired	(2,475)	31.31		
Outstanding at December 31, 2018	13,200	$ 21.97	0.05 years	$ 97
Exercisable at December 31, 2018	13,200	$ 21.97	0.05 years	$ 97

The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the amount by which the current fair value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2018. This amount changes based on changes in the fair value of the Company's common stock.

The total proceeds of the in-the-money options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 were $861,000, $113,000, and $142,000, respectively. Total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was $732,000, $287,000, and $11,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, there was no recognized or unrecognized compensation expense attributable to the outstanding stock options.

The following table summarizes information related to stock options outstanding on December 31, 2018:

Options Outstanding and Exercisable

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Outstanding Options	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
$20.00 to $25.00	13,200	0.05 years	$ 21.97

No stock options were granted in 2018, 2017 and 2016.

Restricted Stock

The Company from time-to-time grants shares of restricted stock to key employees and non-employee directors. These awards help align the interests of these employees and directors with the interests of the shareholders of the Company by providing economic value directly related to increases in the value of the Company's common stock. The value of the stock awarded is established as the fair market value of the stock at the time of the grant. The Company recognizes expense, equal to the total value of such awards, ratably over the vesting period of the stock grants. Restricted stock granted in 2018 cliff vests at the end of a 36-month period beginning on the date of grant.

Nonvested restricted stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2018 is summarized in the following table:

Restricted Stock	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2017	46,501	$ 26.28
Granted	19,492	39.38
Vested	(12,712)	23.50
Forfeited	(483)	34.70
Nonvested at December 31, 2018	52,798	31.71

As of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, there was $647,000, $538,000, and $568,000, respectively, in unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock granted under the 2008 Plan and the 2018 Plan. This cost is expected to be recognized over the next 12 to 36 months. The share based compensation expense for nonvested restricted stock was $610,000, $532,000, and $444,000 during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Starting in 2010, the Company began offering its outside directors alternatives with respect to director compensation. The regular monthly board retainer can be received quarterly in the form of immediately vested, but restricted stock, with a market value of $7,500. Monthly meeting fees can be received as $725 per meeting in cash or $900 in immediately vested, but restricted stock. For 2018, 12 of the 13 outside directors elected to receive stock in lieu of cash for either all of part of their retainer or meeting fees. Only outside directors receive board fees. The Company issued 15,471, 13,093 and 13,166 shares and recognized share based compensation expense of $586,000, $484,000, and $380,000 during 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Note 14 – Income Taxes

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and the states of Virginia and North Carolina. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state, and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2015.

The components of the Company's net deferred tax assets (liabilities) were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2018	2017
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	$2,868	$3,047
Nonaccrual loan interest	460	444
Other real estate owned valuation allowance	69	150
Deferred compensation	832	835
Net unrealized losses on securities	1,147	226
Acquisition accounting adjustments	734	934
Accrued pension liability	36	170
Other	420	488
Total deferred tax assets	6,566	6,294
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	759	761
Accretion of discounts on securities	24	24
Core deposit intangibles	208	267
Other	238	201
Total deferred tax liabilities	1,229	1,253
Net deferred tax assets	$5,337	$5,041

The provision for income taxes consists of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Current tax expense	$5,090	$7,355	$6,125
Deferred tax expense	556	724	882
Deferred tax asset adjustment for tax rate change	—	2,747	—
Total income tax expense	$5,646	$10,826	$7,007

A reconcilement of the "expected" Federal income tax expense to reported income tax expense is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Expected federal tax expense	$5,927	$9,126	$8,158
Tax impact from enacted change in tax rate	—	2,747	—
Nondeductible interest expense	69	85	94
Tax-exempt interest	(504)	(949)	(1,265)
State income taxes	337	296	296
Other, net	(183)	(479)	(276)
Total income tax expense	$5,646	$10,826	$7,007

Income tax expense for 2017 includes a downward adjustment of net deferred tax assets in the amount of $2,747,000, recorded as a result of the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act on December 22, 2017 (the "Tax Reform Act"). The Tax Reform Act reduced the corporate federal tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018.

Note 15 – Earnings Per Common Share

The following shows the weighted average number of shares used in computing earnings per common share and the effect on the weighted average number of shares of potentially dilutive common stock. Potentially dilutive common stock had no effect on income available to common shareholders. Nonvested restricted shares are included in the computation of basic earnings per share as the holder is entitled to full shareholder benefits during the vesting period including voting rights and sharing in nonforfeitable dividends.

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2018		2017		2016	
	Shares	Per Share Amount	Shares	Per Share Amount	Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic earnings per share	8,698,014	$ 2.60	8,641,717	$ 1.76	8,611,507	$ 1.89
Effect of dilutive securities - stock options	10,448	(0.01)	18,911	—	9,734	—
Diluted earnings per share	8,708,462	$ 2.59	8,660,628	$ 1.76	8,621,241	$ 1.89

Outstanding stock options on common stock which were not included in computing diluted earnings per share in 2018, 2017, and 2016 because their effects were anti-dilutive, were zero shares, 330 shares, and 11,397 shares, respectively.

Note 16 – Off-Balance Sheet Activities

The Company is party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if applicable, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer.

The Company's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

The following off-balance sheet financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk were outstanding at December 31, 2018 and 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2018	2017
Commitments to extend credit	$362,586	$341,760
Standby letters of credit	15,555	13,647
Mortgage loan rate lock commitments	9,710	5,089

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. These commitments generally consist of unused portions of lines of credit issued to customers. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those letters of credit are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers.

At December 31, 2018, the Company had locked-rate commitments to originate mortgage loans amounting to approximately $9,710,000 and loans held for sale of $640,000. Risks arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts, though the Company has never experienced a failure of one of its counterparties to perform. If a loan becomes past due 90 days within 180 days of sale, the Company would be required to repurchase the loan.

Note 17 – Related Party Transactions

In the ordinary course of business, loans are granted to executive officers, directors, and their related entities. Management believes that all such loans are made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans to similar, unrelated borrowers, and do not involve more than a normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, none of these loans was restructured, past due, or on nonaccrual status.

An analysis of these loans for 2018 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2017	$14,221
Additions	16,058
Repayments	(12,205)
Balance at December 31, 2018	$18,074

Related party deposits totaled $18,280,000 at December 31, 2018 and $22,077,000 at December 31, 2017.

Note 18 – Employee Benefit Plans

Defined Benefit Plan

The Company previously maintained a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan which covered substantially all employees who were 21 years of age or older and who had at least one year of service. The Company froze its pension plan to new participants and converted its pension plan to a cash balance plan effective December 31, 2009. Each year, existing participants will receive, with some adjustments, income based on the yield of the 10 year U.S. Treasury Note in December of the preceding year. Information pertaining to the activity in the plan is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	As of and for the Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Change in Benefit Obligation:			
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	$8,313	$7,932	$8,453
Service cost	—	—	—
Interest cost	235	237	269
Actuarial (gain) loss	(782)	611	352
Settlement gain	(120)	(3)	(51)
Benefits paid	(1,834)	(464)	(1,091)
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	5,812	8,313	7,932
Change in Plan Assets:			
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	7,556	7,647	8,428
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	(69)	373	310
Benefits paid	(1,834)	(464)	(1,091)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	5,653	7,556	7,647
Funded Status at End of Year	$(159)	$(757)	$(285)
Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets			
Other liabilities	$(159)	$(757)	$(285)
Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss			
Net actuarial loss	$1,594	$2,886	$2,652
Deferred income taxes	(357)	(606)	(928)
Amount recognized	$1,237	$2,280	$1,724

	As of and for the Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Service cost	$—	$—	$—
Interest cost	235	237	269
Expected return on plan assets	(353)	(353)	(385)
Recognized net loss due to settlement	540	135	315
Recognized net actuarial loss	272	218	228
Net periodic benefit cost	$694	$237	$427
Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive (Income) Loss			
Net actuarial (gain) loss	$(1,291)	$234	$(166)
Amortization of prior service cost	—	—	—

Total recognized in other comprehensive (income) loss	$(1,291)	$234	$(166)
Total Recognized in Net Periodic Benefit Cost and Other Comprehensive (Income) Loss	$(597)	$471	$261

92

The accumulated benefit obligation as of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was $5,812,000, $8,313,000, and $7,932,000, respectively. The rate of compensation increase is no longer applicable since the defined benefit plan was frozen and converted to a cash balance plan.

The plan sponsor selected the expected long-term rate-of-return-on-assets assumption in consultation with their investment advisors and actuary. This rate was intended to reflect the average rate of earnings expected to be earned on the funds invested or to be invested to provide plan benefits. Historical performance is reviewed, especially with respect to real rates of return (net of inflation), for the major asset classes held or anticipated to be held by the trust, and for the trust itself. Undue weight is not given to recent experience that may not continue over the measurement period, with higher significance placed on current forecasts of future long-term economic conditions.

Because assets are held in a qualified trust, anticipated returns are not reduced for taxes. Further, solely for this purpose, the plan is assumed to continue in force and not terminate during the period in which assets are invested. However, consideration is given to the potential impact of current and future investment policy, cash flow into and out of the trust, and expenses (both investment and non-investment) typically paid from plan assets (to the extent such expenses are not explicitly estimated within periodic cost).

Below is a description of the plan's assets. The plan's weighted-average asset allocations by asset category are as follows as of December 31, 2018 and 2017:

Asset Category	December 31, 2018	2017
Fixed Income	68.0 %	61.7 %
Equity	25.2 %	29.5 %
Cash and Accrued Income	6.8 %	8.8 %
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The investment policy and strategy for plan assets can best be described as a growth and income strategy. Diversification is accomplished by limiting the holding of any one equity issuer to no more than 5% of total equities. Exchange traded funds are used to provide diversified exposure to the small capitalization and international equity markets. All fixed income investments are rated as investment grade, with the majority of these assets invested in corporate issues. The assets are managed by the Company's Trust and Investment Services Division. No derivatives are used to manage the assets. Equity securities do not include holdings in the Company.

The fair value of the Company's pension plan assets at December 31, 2018 and 2017, by asset category are as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2018 using		
Asset Category	Balance at December 31, 2018	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Cash	$ 359	$359	$ —	$ —
Fixed income securities				
Government sponsored entities	2,119	—	2,119	—
Municipal bonds and notes	1,513	—	1,513	—
Corporate bonds and notes	237	—	237	—
Equity securities				
U.S. companies	1,227	1,227	—	—
Foreign companies	198	198	—	—

$ 5,653	$1,784	$ 3,869	$	—

93

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2017 using		
Asset Category	Balance at December 31, 2017	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Cash	$ 617	$617	$ —	$ —
Fixed income securities				
Government sponsored entities	1,892	—	1,892	—
Municipal bonds and notes	1,931	—	1,931	—
Corporate bonds and notes	880	—	880	—
Equity securities				
U.S. companies	1,768	1,768	—	—
Foreign companies	468	468	—	—
	$ 7,556	$2,853	$ 4,703	$ —

Projected benefit payments for the years 2019 to 2028 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year	Amount
2019	$ 844
2020	436
2021	639
2022	587
2023	1,018
2024-2028	2,486

401(k) Plan

The Company maintains a 401(k) plan that covers substantially all full-time employees of the Company. The Company matches a portion of the contribution made by employee participants after at least one year of service. The Company contributed $778,000, $763,000, and $623,000 to the 401(k) plan in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. These amounts are included in employee benefits expense for the respective years.

Deferred Compensation Arrangements

The Company has historically maintained deferred compensation agreements with certain current and former employees providing for annual payments to each ranging from $25,000 to $50,000 per year for ten years upon their retirement. The liabilities under these agreements are being accrued over the officers' remaining periods of employment so that, on the date of their retirement, the then-present value of the annual payments would have been accrued. As of December 31, 2018, the Company only had one remaining agreement under which payments are being made to a former officer. The liabilities were $300,000 and $350,000 at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The expense for these agreements was $0, $3,000, and $6,000 for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Incentive Arrangements

The Company maintains a cash incentive compensation plan for officers based on the Company's performance and individual officer goals. The total amount charged to salary expense for this plan was $1,784,000, $1,243,000, and $916,000 for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Note 19 – Fair Value Measurements

Determination of Fair Value

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with the fair value measurements and disclosures topic of FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However,

in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with this guidance, the Company groups its financial assets and financial liabilities generally measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

Level 1 –	Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
Level 2 –	Valuation is based on observable inputs including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in less active markets, and model-based valuation techniques for which significant assumptions can be derived primarily from or corroborated by observable data in the market.
Level 3 –	Valuation is based on model-based techniques that use one or more significant inputs or assumptions that are unobservable in the market.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements:

Securities available for sale and equity securities: Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, when available (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using pricing models that consider observable market data (Level 2).

Derivative asset (liability) - cash flow hedges: Cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Cash flow hedges are valued by a third party using significant assumptions that are observable in the market and can be corroborated by market data. All of the Company's cash flow hedges are classified as Level 2.

The following table presents the balances of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the period (dollars in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2018 Using		
	Balance as of December 31,	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
Description	2018	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				

Securities available for sale:				
Federal agencies and GSEs	$ 134,039	$ —	$ 134,039	$ —
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	111,867	—	111,867	—
State and municipal	79,902	—	79,902	—
Corporate	6,845	—	6,845	—
Total securities available for sale	$ 332,653	$ —	$ 332,653	$ —
Equity securities	$ 1,830	$ —	$ 1,830	$ —
Liabilities:				
Derivative - cash flow hedges	$ 804	$ —	$ 804	$ —

95

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2017 Using		
Description	Balance as of December 31, 2017	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Assets:				
Securities available for sale:				
Federal agencies and GSEs	$ 112,127	$ —	$ 112,127	$ —
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	105,316	—	105,316	—
State and municipal	93,626	—	93,626	—
Corporate	8,062	—	8,062	—
Equity Securities	2,206	—	2,206	—
Total securities available for sale	$ 321,337	$ —	$ 321,337	$ —

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements:

Loans held for sale: Loans held for sale are carried at fair value. These loans currently consist of one-to-four family residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market. Fair value is based on the price secondary markets are currently offering for similar loans using observable market data which is not materially different than cost due to the short duration between origination and sale (Level 2). As such, the Company records any fair value adjustments on a nonrecurring basis. No nonrecurring fair value adjustments were recorded on loans held for sale during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017. Gains and losses on the sale of loans are recorded within mortgage banking income on the consolidated statements of income.

Impaired loans: Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements will not be collected when due. The measurement of loss associated with impaired loans can be based on either the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The vast majority of the Company's collateral is real estate. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing a market valuation approach based on an appraisal, of one year or less, conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser using observable market data (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction or if an appraisal of the property is more than one year old and not solely based on observable market comparables or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value, then a Level 3 valuation is considered to measure the fair value. The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal, of one year or less, if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business's financial statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivables collateral are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Impaired loans allocated to the allowance for loan losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for loan losses on the consolidated statements of income.

Other real estate owned: Measurement for fair values for other real estate owned are the same as impaired loans. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as a valuation allowance against OREO with the associated expense included in OREO expense, net on the consolidated statements of income.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period (dollars in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2018 Using		
Description	Balance as of December 31, 2018	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Assets:				
Loans held for sale	$ 640	$ —	$ 640	$ —
Impaired loans, net of valuation allowance	171	—	—	171
Other real estate owned, net	869	—	—	869

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2017 Using		
Description	Balance as of December 31, 2017	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Assets:				
Loans held for sale	$ 1,639	$ —	$ 1,639	$ —
Impaired loans, net of valuation allowance	1,391	—	—	1,391
Other real estate owned, net	1,225	—	—	1,225

Quantitative Information About Level 3 Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2018 and 2017:

Assets	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Rate
Impaired loans	Discounted appraised value	Selling cost	8.00%
Impaired loans	Discounted cash flow analysis	Market rate for borrower (discount rate)	3.25% - 9.80%
Other real estate owned	Discounted appraised value	Selling cost	8.00%

FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure about fair value of financial instruments, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not required to be measured and reported at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. ASC 825 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company. Additionally, in accordance with ASU 2016-01, which the Company adopted January 1, 2018 on a prospective basis, the Company uses the exit price notion, rather than the entry price notion, in calculating the fair values of financial instruments not measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2018 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2018 Using				
	Carrying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3	Fair Value Balance
Financial Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	$64,255	$64,255	$—	$ —	$64,255
Equity securities	1,830	—	1,830	—	1,830
Securities available for sale	332,653	—	332,653	—	332,653
Restricted stock	5,247	—	5,247	—	5,247
Loans held for sale	640	—	640	—	640
Loans, net of allowance	1,344,671	—	—	1,334,236	1,334,236
Bank owned life insurance	18,941	—	18,941	—	18,941
Accrued interest receivable	5,449	—	5,449	—	5,449
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits	$1,566,227	$—	$1,570,721	$ —	$1,570,721
Repurchase agreements	35,243	—	35,243	—	35,243
Junior subordinated debt	27,927	—	—	22,577	22,577
Accrued interest payable	795	—	795	—	795
Derivative - cash flow hedges	804	—	804	—	804

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2017 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2017 Using				
	Carrying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3	Fair Value Balance
Financial Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	$52,477	$52,477	$—	$ —	$52,477
Securities available for sale	321,337	—	321,337	—	321,337
Restricted stock	6,110	—	6,110	—	6,110
Loans held for sale	1,639	—	1,639	—	1,639
Loans, net of allowance	1,322,522	—	—	1,317,737	1,317,737
Bank owned life insurance	18,460	—	18,460	—	18,460
Accrued interest receivable	5,231	—	5,231	—	5,231
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits	$1,534,726	$—	$1,527,956	$ —	$1,527,956

Repurchase agreements	10,726	—	10,726	—	10,726
Other short-term borrowings	20,000	—	20,000	—	20,000
Junior subordinated debt	27,826	—	—	28,358	28,358
Accrued interest payable	674	—	674	—	674

98

Note 20 – Dividend Restrictions and Regulatory Capital

The approval of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency is required if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year exceeds the bank's retained net income, as defined, for that year combined with its retained net income for the preceding two calendar years. Under this formula, the Bank can distribute as dividends to the Company, without the approval of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, $24,374,000 as of December 31, 2018. Dividends paid by the Bank to the Company are the only significant source of funding for dividends paid by the Company to its shareholders.

Federal bank regulators have issued substantially similar guidelines requiring banks and bank holding companies to maintain capital at certain levels. In addition, regulators may from time to time require that a banking organization maintain capital above the minimum levels because of its financial condition or actual or anticipated growth. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can trigger certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Federal Reserve and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency have adopted rules to implement the Basel III capital framework as outlined by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Basel III Capital Rules"). The Basel III Capital Rules require banks and bank holding companies to comply with certain minimum capital ratios, plus a "capital conservation buffer," as set forth in the table below. The capital conservation buffer requirement was phased in beginning on January 1, 2016, at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets, and increased by the same amount each year until it was fully implemented at 2.5% on January 1, 2019. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress and is applicable to all ratios except the leverage capital ratio.

The Company meets the eligibility criteria of a small bank holding company in accordance with the Federal Reserve's Small Bank Holding Company Policy Statement (the "SBHC Policy Statement"). Under the SBHC Policy Statement, qualifying bank holding companies, such as the Company, have additional flexibility in the amount of debt they can issue and are also exempt from the Basel III Capital Rules. However, the Company does not currently intend to issue a material amount of debt or take any other action that would cause its capital ratios to fall below the minimum ratios required by the Basel III Capital Rules. The SBHC Policy Statement does not apply to the Bank and the Bank must comply with the Basel III Capital Rules. The Bank must also comply with the capital requirements set forth in the "prompt corrective action" regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. The minimum capital ratios to be considered "well capitalized" are set forth in the table below.

Management believes that as of December 31, 2018, the Company and Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. At year-end 2018 and 2017, the most recent regulatory notifications categorized the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

Actual and required capital amounts (in thousands) and ratios are presented below at year-end:

	Actual		Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes*		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2018						
Common Equity Tier 1						
Company	$183,579	12.55%	$65,843	>4.50 %		
Bank	198,991	13.68	92,740	>6.375	$94,559	>6.50 %
Tier 1 Capital						
Company	211,506	14.46	87,791	>6.00		
Bank	198,991	13.68	114,561	>7.875	116,380	>8.00
Total Capital						
Company	224,528	15.35	117,054	>8.00		
Bank	212,013	14.57	143,656	>9.875	145,475	>10.00
Leverage Capital						
Company	211,506	11.62	72,817	>4.00		
Bank	198,991	10.99	72,422	>4.00	90,528	>5.00
December 31, 2017						
Common Equity Tier 1						
Company	$166,968	11.50%	$83,476	>5.75 %		
Bank	184,656	12.79	83,024	>5.75	$93,854	>6.50 %
Tier 1 Capital						
Company	194,794	13.42	105,253	>7.25		
Bank	184,656	12.79	104,683	>7.25	115,512	>8.00
Total Capital						
Company	208,973	14.39	134,288	>9.25		
Bank	198,465	13.75	133,561	>9.25	144,390	>10.00
Leverage Capital						
Company	194,794	10.95	71,128	>4.00		
Bank	184,656	10.43	70,796	>4.00	88,495	>5.00

* Except with regard to the Company's and the Bank's leverage capital ratio, includes the phased-in portion of the Basel III Capital Rule's capital conservation buffer.

Note 21 – Segment and Related Information

The Company has two reportable segments, community banking and trust and investment services.
Community banking involves making loans to and generating deposits from individuals and businesses. All assets and liabilities of the Company are allocated to community banking. Investment income from securities is also allocated to the community banking segment. Loan fee income, service charges from deposit accounts, and non-deposit fees such as automated teller machine fees and insurance commissions generate additional income for the community banking segment.

Trust and investment services include estate planning, trust account administration, investment management, and retail brokerage. Investment management services include purchasing equity, fixed income, and mutual fund investments for customer accounts. The trust and investment services segment receives fees for investment and administrative services.

100

Amounts shown in the "Other" column include activities of the Company which are primarily debt service on Trust Preferred Securities and corporate items.

Segment information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, is shown in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	2018				
	Community Banking	Trust and Investment Services	Other	Intersegment Eliminations	Total
Interest income	$ 68,388	$ —	$ 380	$ —	$ 68,768
Interest expense	8,272	—	1,402	—	9,674
Noninterest income	8,619	4,579	76	—	13,274
Income (loss) before income taxes	28,000	2,165	(1,940)	—	28,225
Net income (loss)	22,381	1,731	(1,533)	—	22,579
Depreciation and amortization	2,030	10	—	—	2,040
Total assets	1,853,057	—	251,434	(241,625)	1,862,866
Goodwill	43,872	—	—	—	43,872
Capital expenditures	2,723	—	—	—	2,723

	2017				
	Community Banking	Trust and Investment Services	Other	Intersegment Eliminations	Total
Interest income	$ 62,697	$ —	$ 341	$ —	$ 63,038
Interest expense	6,263	—	1,028	—	7,291
Noninterest income	9,224	4,756	247	—	14,227
Income (loss) before income taxes	24,828	2,521	(1,274)	—	26,075
Net income (loss)	14,456	1,486	(693)	—	15,249
Depreciation and amortization	2,393	12	—	—	2,405
Total assets	1,806,647	—	236,644	(227,213)	1,816,078
Goodwill	43,872	—	—	—	43,872
Capital expenditures	2,637	11	—	—	2,648

	2016				
	Community Banking	Trust and Investment Services	Other	Intersegment Eliminations	Total
Interest income	$ 56,076	$ —	$ 94	$ —	$ 56,170
Interest expense	5,438	—	878	—	6,316
Noninterest income	8,848	4,634	23	—	13,505
Income (loss) before income taxes	22,230	2,623	(1,545)	—	23,308
Net income (loss)	15,486	1,835	(1,020)	—	16,301
Depreciation and amortization	2,845	11	—	—	2,856
Total assets	1,669,629	—	229,241	(220,232)	1,678,638
Goodwill	43,872	—	—	—	43,872
Capital expenditures	3,609	4	—	—	3,613

Note 22 – Parent Company Financial Information

Condensed Parent Company financial information is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
Condensed Balance Sheets	2018	2017
Cash	$3,596	$1,597
Equity securities, at fair value	1,830	—
Securities available for sale, at fair value	6,361	8,740
Investment in subsidiaries	239,413	226,452
Due from subsidiaries	170	46
Other assets	64	31
Total Assets	$251,434	$236,866
Junior subordinated debt	$27,927	$27,826
Other liabilities	965	323
Shareholders' equity	222,542	208,717
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	$251,434	$236,866

	Years Ended December 31,		
Condensed Statements of Income	2018	2017	2016
Dividends from subsidiary	$11,000	$6,000	$16,000
Other income	456	588	117
Expenses	2,396	1,862	1,662
Income tax benefit	(407)	(581)	(526)
Income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary	9,467	5,307	14,981
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary	13,112	9,942	1,320
Net Income	$22,579	$15,249	$16,301

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows	Years Ended December 31, 2018	2017	2016
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	$22,579	$15,249	$16,301
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Gain on sale of securities	—	(221)	—
Equity in (undistributed) distributions of subsidiary	(13,112)	(9,942)	(1,320)
Net change in other assets	(194)	83	(57)
Net change in other liabilities	136	(82)	163
Net cash provided by operating activities	9,409	5,087	15,087
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchases of securities available for sale	—	(373)	(6,588)
Sales of equity securities	431	—	—
Sales of securities available for sale	—	500	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	431	127	(6,588)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Common stock dividends paid	(8,702)	(8,384)	(8,266)
Repurchase of common stock	—	—	(1,292)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	861	113	142
Net cash used in financing activities	(7,841)	(8,271)	(9,416)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,999	(3,057)	(917)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,597	4,654	5,571
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$3,596	$1,597	$4,654

Note 23 – Concentrations of Credit Risk

Substantially all of the Company's loans are made within its market area, which includes Southern and Central Virginia and the northern portion of Central North Carolina. The ultimate collectibility of the Company's loan portfolio and the ability to realize the value of any underlying collateral, if necessary, are impacted by the economic conditions and real estate values of the market area.

Loans secured by real estate were $1,066,411,000, or 78.6% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2018, and $1,080,031,000, or 80.8% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2017. Loans secured by commercial real estate represented the largest portion of loans at $655,800,000 at December 31, 2018 and $637,701,000 at December 31, 2017, 48.3% and 47.7%, respectively, of total loans. There were no concentrations of loans to any individual, group of individuals, business, or industry that exceeded 10% of total loans at December 31, 2018 or 2017.

Note 24 – Supplemental Cash Flow Information

(dollars in thousands)	For the Years ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Supplemental Schedule of Cash and Cash Equivalents:			
Cash and due from banks	$29,587	$28,594	$20,268
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	34,668	23,883	32,939
	$64,255	$52,477	$53,207
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid for:			
Interest on deposits and borrowed funds	$9,553	$7,240	$6,348
Income taxes	5,056	7,653	6,477
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	599	1,233	295
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale	(3,290)	(777)	(6,572)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges	(804)	—	—
Change in unfunded pension liability	1,291	(234)	166

Note 25 – Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI") were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Securities	Unrealized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Adjustments Related to Pension Benefits	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance at Balance at December 31, 2015	$ 4,122	$ —	$ (1,832)	$ 2,290
Net unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, $(2,007)	(3,729)	—	—	(3,729)
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on securities, net of tax, $(293)	(543)	—	—	(543)
Change in unfunded pension liability, net of tax, $58	—	—	108	108
Balance at December 31, 2016	(150)	—	(1,724)	(1,874)
Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, $12	23	—	—	23
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on securities, net of tax, $(284)	(528)	—	—	(528)
Change in unfunded pension liability, net of tax, $(82)	—	—	(152)	(152)
Reclassification of "stranded" tax effects from tax rate change	(141)	—	(404)	(545)
Balance at December 31, 2017	(796)	—	(2,280)	(3,076)
Net unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, $(745)	(2,464)	—	—	(2,464)
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on securities, net of tax, $(18)	(63)	—	—	(63)
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of tax, $(180)	—	(624)	—	(624)
Change in unfunded pension liability, net of tax, $249	—	—	1,042	1,042
Reclassification for ASU 2016-01 adoption	(650)	—	—	(650)
Balance at December 31, 2018	$ (3,973)	$ (624)	$ (1,238)	$ (5,835)

The following table provides information regarding reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (dollars in thousands):

Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For the Three Years Ending December 31, 2018

Details about AOCI Components	Amount Reclassified from AOCI Years Ended December 31,			Affected Line Item in the Statement of Where Net Income is Presented
	2018	2017	2016	
Available for sale securities:				
Realized gain on sale of securities	$81	$812	$836	Securities gains, net
	(18)	(284)	(293)	Income taxes
	$63	$528	$543	Net of tax
Reclassification of "stranded" tax effects from tax rate change	—	141	—	(1)
Reclassification for ASU-2016-01 adoption	650	—	—	(2)
Employee benefit plans:				
Reclassification of "stranded" tax effects from tax rate change	—	404	—	(1)
Total reclassifications	$713	$1,073	$543	

(1) Reclassification from AOCI to retained earnings for "stranded" tax effects resulting from the impact of the newly enacted federal corporate income tax rate on items included in AOCI.

(2) Reclassification from AOCI to retained earnings for unrealized holding gains on equity securities due to adoption of ASU 2016-01.

Note 26 - Proposed Merger

On October 1, 2018, the Company announced that it had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated October 1, 2018 (the "Merger Agreement"), with HomeTown Bankshares Corporation ("HomeTown"), pursuant to which the Company will acquire HomeTown in a business combination transaction valued at approximately $95.6 million at the time of the announcement. The proposed combination will deepen the Company’s footprint in the Roanoke, Virginia metropolitan area and create a presence in the New River Valley with an office in Christiansburg, Virginia. Upon completion of the merger and with two office consolidations, the Company will have eight offices in the combined Roanoke/New River Valley market area. The Company expects that it will have approximately $2.4 billion in assets upon completion of the merger, based on each company’s reported financial results as of December 31, 2018.

Pursuant and subject to the terms of the Merger Agreement, as a result of the merger, the holders of shares of HomeTown common stock will receive 0.4150 shares of the Company’s common stock for each share of HomeTown common stock held immediately prior to the effective date of the merger.

Subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory and shareholder approvals, the Company expects the merger to close early in the second quarter of 2019. Following completion of the merger, HomeTown's subsidiary bank, HomeTown Bank, will be merged with and into the Bank.

ITEM 9A – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of December 31, 2018. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2018 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management regularly monitors its internal control over financial reporting, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. This assessment was based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013. Based on this evaluation under the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f).

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Yount, Hyde and Barbour, P.C., has audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, as stated in their report included herein. Yount, Hyde and Barbour, P.C. also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018.

/s/ Jeffrey V. Haley
Jeffrey V. Haley
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ William W. Traynham
William W. Traynham
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

March 8, 2019

PART IV

ITEM 15 – EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements. See Item 8 for reference.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules. All applicable financial statement schedules required under Regulation S-X have been included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(a)(3) Exhibits. The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed below.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Location
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated August 24, 2014, between American National Bankshares Inc. and MainStreet BankShares, Inc.	Exhibit 2.1 on Form 8-K filed August 28, 2014
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated October 1, 2018, between American National Bankshares Inc. and HomeTown Bankshares Corporation	Exhibit 2.1 on Form 8-K filed October 5, 2018
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended	Exhibit 3.1 on Form 10-Q filed July 5, 2011
3.2	Bylaws, as amended	Exhibit 3.2 on Form 8-K filed January 5, 2015
10.1	Deferred Compensation Agreement between American National Bank and Trust Company, and Charles H. Majors dated December 31, 2008	Exhibit 10.1 on Form 10-K filed March 16, 2009
10.2	Employment Agreement between American National Bankshares Inc. and Jeffrey V. Haley dated March 2, 2015	Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed March 4, 2015
10.3	Employment Agreement between American National Bankshares Inc. and William W. Traynham dated March 2, 2015	Exhibit 10.2 on Form 8-K filed March 4, 2015
10.4	Employment Agreement between American National Bankshares Inc. and H. Gregg Strader dated March 2, 2015	Exhibit 10.3 on Form 10-Q filed May 11, 2015
10.5	Employment Agreement between American National Bank and Trust Company, and Charles T. Canaday, Jr., dated December 15, 2010	Exhibit 10.9 on Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4 filed March 29, 2011
10.6	Employment Agreement between American National Bank and Trust Company and Edward C. Martin dated September 21, 2016	Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed December 29, 2016
10.7	Executive Severance Agreement between American National Bankshares Inc., American National Bank and Trust Company, and Charles T. Canaday, Jr. dated December 15, 2010	Exhibit 10.10 on Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4 filed March 29, 2011
10.8	Employment Agreement between American National Bank and Trust Company and John H. Settle, Jr. dated February 8, 2017	Filed herewith

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Location
10.9	American National Bankshares Inc. 2008 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 99.0 on Form S-8 filed May 30, 2008
10.10	American National Bankshares Inc. 2018 Equity Compensation Plan	Appendix A of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 15, 2018, filed on April 12, 2018
10.11	Adoption Agreement for Virginia Bankers Association Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives of American National Bank & Trust Company	Exhibit 10.11 on Form 10-K filed March 15, 2016
21.1	Subsidiaries of the registrant	Filed herewith
23.1	Consent of Yount, Hyde & Barbour, P.C.	Filed herewith
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Jeffrey V. Haley, President and Chief Executive Officer	Filed herewith
31.2	Section 302 Certification of William W. Traynham, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Filed herewith
32.1	Section 906 Certification of Jeffrey V. Haley, President and Chief Executive Officer	Filed herewith
32.2	Section 906 Certification of William W. Traynham, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	
101.PRE	SBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date:	
March 8, 2019	AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC.
	By: /s/ Jeffrey V. Haley
	President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 8, 2019.

/s/ Jeffrey V. Haley Jeffrey V. Haley	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)		
/s/ Charles H. Majors Charles H. Majors	Director and Chairman		
/s/ Fred A. Blair Fred A. Blair	Director	/s/ John H. Love John H. Love	Director
/s/ Frank C. Crist, Jr. Frank C. Crist, Jr.	Director	/s/ Franklin W. Maddux Franklin W. Maddux	Director
/s/ Tammy M. Finley Tammy M. Finley	Director	/s/ Claude B. Owen, Jr. Claude B. Owen, Jr.	Director
/s/ Michael P. Haley Michael P. Haley	Director	/s/ Ronda M. Penn Ronda M. Penn	Director
/s/ Charles S. Harris Charles S. Harris	Director	/s/ Dan M. Pleasant Dan M. Pleasant	Director
/s/ F. D. Hornaday, III F. D. Hornaday, III	Director	/s/ Joel R. Shepherd Joel R. Shepherd	Director
/s/ Cathy W. Liles Cathy W. Liles	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	/s/ William W. Traynham William W. Traynham	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)

110