

FPL GROUP INC  
Form 10-K  
February 28, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended

**December 31, 2007**

Commission  
File  
Number

Exact name of registrants as specified in their  
charters, address of principal executive offices and  
registrants' telephone number

IRS Employer  
Identification  
Number

1-8841

2-27612

**FPL GROUP, INC.**  
**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

700 Universe Boulevard  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408  
(561) 694-4000

59-2449419

**State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization:** Florida

Name of exchange  
on which registered

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

FPL Group, Inc.:

Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value

New York Stock Exchange

Florida Power & Light Company:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrants are well-known seasoned issuers, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933.

FPL Group, Inc. Yes  No

Florida Power & Light Company Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrants are not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

FPL Group, Inc. Yes  No

Florida Power & Light Company Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

FPL Group, Inc. Yes  No

Florida Power & Light Company Yes  No

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

FPL Group, Inc. Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Florida Power & Light Company Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes  No

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity of FPL Group, Inc. held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2007 (based on the closing market price on the Composite Tape on June 30, 2007) was \$23,019,093,277.

There was no voting or non-voting common equity of Florida Power & Light Company held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2007.

The number of shares outstanding of FPL Group, Inc. common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding at January 31, 2008: 407,370,071 shares.

As of January 31, 2008, there were issued and outstanding 1,000 shares of Florida Power & Light Company common stock, without par value, all of which were held, beneficially and of record, by FPL Group, Inc.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of FPL Group, Inc.'s Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III hereof.

This combined Form 10-K represents separate filings by FPL Group, Inc. and Florida Power & Light Company. Information contained herein relating to an individual registrant is filed by that registrant on its own behalf. Florida Power & Light Company makes no representations as to the information relating to FPL Group, Inc.'s other operations.

Florida Power & Light Company meets the conditions set forth under General Instruction (I)(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is therefore filing this form with reduced disclosure format.

---

## DEFINITIONS

Acronyms and defined terms used in the text include the following:

<b><u>Term</u></b>	<b><u>Meaning</u></b>
AFUDC	allowance for funds used during construction
BART	Best Available Retrofit Technology
capacity clause	capacity cost recovery clause, as established by the FPSC
charter	restated articles of incorporation, as amended, of FPL Group or FPL, as the case may be
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
Duane Arnold	Duane Arnold Energy Center
EMF	electric and magnetic field(s)
EMT	Energy Marketing & Trading
2005 Energy Act	Energy Policy Act of 2005
environmental clause	environmental compliance cost recovery clause, as established by the FPSC
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERCOT	Electric Reliability Council of Texas
FAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FGT	Florida Gas Transmission Company
FIN	FASB Interpretation No.
FMPA	Florida Municipal Power Agency
FPL	Florida Power & Light Company
FPL Energy	FPL Energy, LLC
FPL FiberNet	FPL FiberNet, LLC

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

FPL Group	FPL Group, Inc.
FPL Group Capital	FPL Group Capital Inc
FPSC	Florida Public Service Commission
fuel clause	fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, as established by the FPSC
Gulfstream	Gulfstream Natural Gas System, L.L.C.
Holding Company Act	Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
kv	kilovolt(s)
kwh	kilowatt-hour(s)
LIBOR	London InterBank Offered Rate
LTIP	FPL Group, Inc. Amended and Restated Long Term Incentive Plan
Management's Discussion	Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
mortgage	mortgage and deed of trust dated as of January 1, 1944, from FPL to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as supplemented and amended
mw	megawatt(s)
NEPOOL	New England Power Pool
Note ____	note ____ to consolidated financial statements
NOx	nitrogen oxide
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Nuclear Waste Policy Act	Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982
O&M expenses	other operations and maintenance expenses in the consolidated statements of income
PJM	PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
PMI	FPL Energy Power Marketing, Inc.
Point Beach	Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant
PTCs	production tax credits
PURPA	Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, as amended
qualifying facilities	non-utility power production facilities meeting the requirements of a qualifying facility under the PURPA
RFP	request for proposal
ROE	return on common equity
Seabrook	Seabrook Station
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
SEGS	Solar Electric Generating System
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
VIE	variable interest entity

FPL Group, FPL, FPL Group Capital and FPL Energy each have subsidiaries and affiliates with names that include FPL, FPL Energy, FPLE and similar references. For convenience and simplicity, in this report the terms FPL Group, FPL, FPL Group Capital and FPL Energy are sometimes used as abbreviated references to specific subsidiaries, affiliates or groups of subsidiaries or affiliates. The precise meaning depends on the context.

---

 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Definitions	2
Forward-Looking Statements	3
<b>PART I</b>	
Item 1. Business	4
Item 1A. Risk Factors	19
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 2. Properties	22
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	24
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	24
<b>PART II</b>	
Item 5. Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	25
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	26
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	48
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	97
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	97
Item 9B. Other Information	97
<b>PART III</b>	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	97
Item 11. Executive Compensation	97
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	97
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	98
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	98
<b>PART IV</b>	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	100
Signatures	107

## **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements that express, or involve discussions as to, expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions, future events or performance, climate change strategy or growth strategies (often, but not always, through the use of words or phrases such as will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, aim, believe, could, estimated, may, plan, potential, projection, target, outlook, predict, intend) are not statements of historical facts and may be forward-looking. Forward-looking statements involve estimates, assumptions and uncertainties. Accordingly, any such statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to important factors included in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors (in addition to any assumptions and other factors referred to specifically in connection with such forward-looking statements) that could have a significant impact on FPL Group's and/or FPL's operations and financial results, and could cause FPL Group's and/or FPL's actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of FPL Group and/or FPL in this combined Form 10-K, in presentations, on their respective websites, in response to questions or otherwise.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and FPL Group and FPL undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances, including unanticipated events, after the date on which such statement is made. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all of such factors, nor can it assess the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

## **PART I**

### **Item 1. Business**

#### **FPL GROUP**

FPL Group has two principal operating subsidiaries, FPL and FPL Energy. FPL is a rate-regulated utility engaged primarily in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electric energy. FPL Energy is FPL Group's competitive energy subsidiary which produces the majority of its electricity from clean and renewable fuels. FPL Group Capital, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Group, holds the capital stock of, or has equity interests in, FPL Group's operating subsidiaries, other than FPL, and provides funding for those subsidiaries, including FPL Energy. FPL Group was incorporated in 1984 under the laws of Florida. At December 31, 2007, FPL Group and its subsidiaries employed approximately 14,600 people. For financial information regarding FPL Group's business segments, see Note 17.

**Environmental.** Federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations cover air and water quality, land use, power plant and transmission line siting, EMF from power lines and substations, oil discharge from transformers, lead paint, asbestos, noise and aesthetics, solid waste, natural resources, wildlife mortality and other environmental matters. Compliance with these laws and regulations increases the cost of electric service by requiring, among other things, changes in the design and operation of existing facilities and changes or delays in the location, design, construction and operation of new facilities. Environmental laws and regulations are subject to change. The following is a discussion of emerging federal and state initiatives and rules that could potentially affect FPL Group. See FPL Operations - Environmental and FPL Energy Operations - Environmental for a discussion of potential impacts specific to those entities.

### *Climate Change*

– The U.S. Congress and certain states and regions are considering several legislative and regulatory proposals that would establish new regulatory requirements and reduction targets for greenhouse gases. Based on the most current reference data available from government sources, FPL Group is among the lowest emitters of greenhouse gases in the U.S. measured by its rate of emissions to generation in pounds per megawatt-hour. However, these legislative and regulatory proposals have differing methods of implementation and the impact on FPL's and FPL Energy's generating units and/or the financial impact (either positive or negative) to FPL Group and FPL could be material, depending on the eventual structure of any legislation enacted or specific implementation rules adopted.

In anticipation of the potential imposition of greenhouse gas emission limits on the electric industry in the future, FPL Group is involved in several climate change initiatives, including, but not limited to, the following:

- participation in various groups including working with the Governor of Florida on the Governor's Action Team on Energy and Climate Change, the FDEP and the FPSC in addressing executive orders issued in 2007 by the Governor of Florida (see FPL Operations - Environmental for additional information);
- voluntary reporting of its greenhouse gas emissions to the DOE under the Energy Policy Act of 1992;
- voluntary reporting of its greenhouse gas emissions and climate change strategy through the Carbon Disclosure Project (an investor-led initiative to identify climate change impacts on publicly-traded companies);
- participation in the U.S. Climate Action Partnership (an alliance made up of a diverse group of U.S.-based businesses and environmental organizations, which in early 2007 issued a set of principles and recommendations to address global climate change and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions);
- participation in the Clinton Global Initiative (an organization which seeks to foster shared commitment by individuals, businesses and governments to confront major world issues and achieve real change); and
- participation in the EPA's Climate Leaders Program to reduce greenhouse gas intensity in the United States by 18% by 2012, including reporting of emissions data annually. FPL Group has committed to a 2008 target emissions rate reduction of 18% below a 2001 baseline emission rate measured in pounds per megawatt-hour, which it expects to achieve by the end of 2008.

At the September 2007 Clinton Global Initiative session, FPL Group announced three initiatives aimed at increasing U.S. solar energy output and reducing greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to global warming: (i) plans to invest up to \$1.5 billion in new solar generating facilities in Florida and California from 2008 to 2014, (ii) plans by FPL to provide enhanced energy management capabilities to its customers and (iii) the launch by FPL Energy of a new renewable energy program. See FPL Operations - Environmental and FPL Energy Operations - Environmental for additional information regarding these initiatives.

### *Clean Air Act Mercury/Nickel Rule*

– During 2005, the EPA determined that new data indicated that nickel emissions from oil-fired units and mercury emissions from coal-fired units should not be regulated under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, which set Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards (MACT), and as a result the EPA published a final rule delisting nickel and mercury from the requirements of regulation under Section 112. In lieu of regulation under Section 112, the EPA issued a final rule (Clean Air Mercury Rule) to regulate mercury emissions from coal-fired electric utility steam generating units under Section 111 of the Clean Air Act. The mercury and nickel delisting rule, as well as the Clean Air Mercury Rule, were challenged by various states and environmental groups. In February 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia vacated both the EPA's mercury and nickel delisting rule and the Clean Air Mercury Rule. The EPA may appeal the decision to the U.S. Supreme Court, proceed with the MACT regulation or pursue a delisting of mercury and nickel under Section 112.

### *Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR)*

– In 2005, the EPA published a final rule that requires SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions reductions from electric generating units in 28 states, where the emissions from electric generating units are deemed to be transported to downwind states, allegedly resulting in fine particulate (PM 2.5) and ozone non-attainment. The final rule requires phased reductions in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 2010 and by 2015, and reductions in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by 2009 and by 2015, eventually reaching a nationwide reduction of 65% below a 2002 baseline emission rate for each. In the final rule, through the use of modeling data, the states in which FPL facilities are located were determined to be contributors of PM 2.5 and/or ozone production in downwind states. However, FPL Group believes that the emissions from most of its Florida generating facilities are not affecting the non-attainment status of downwind areas. In 2005, FPL Group filed a petition for reconsideration with the EPA and a lawsuit in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia challenging the inclusion of all Florida plants in the ozone compliance provisions of the rule and South Florida plants in the fine particulate compliance requirements of the rule; and challenging the SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> allowance allocation provisions in CAIR. In a separate lawsuit, FPL joined other Florida electric generating companies as the Florida Association of Electric Utilities to challenge the inclusion of all Florida plants in the ozone compliance provisions of the rule and South Florida plants in the fine particulate compliance requirements of the rule. In 2006, the EPA denied FPL Group's and other petitioners' requests to revise the final rule. Oral argument is scheduled for March 25, 2008 with a final decision by the appeals court expected later in 2008.

### *Clean Air Visibility Rule*

– In 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Visibility Rule to address regional haze in areas which include certain national park and wilderness areas through the installation of BART for electric generating units. BART eligible units include those built between 1962 and 1977 that have the potential to emit more than 250 tons of visibility-impairing pollution per year. The rule requires states to complete BART determinations by 2008 and allows for a five-year period to implement pollution controls.

### *Clean Water Act Section 316(b)*

– In 2004, the EPA issued a rule under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act to address location, design, construction and capacity of intake structures at existing power plants with once-through cooling water systems. The rule would have required FPL Group to demonstrate that it had met or would meet new impingement mortality (the loss of organisms against screens and other exclusion devices) and/or entrainment (the loss of organisms by passing through the cooling water system) reductions by complying with one of several alternatives, including the use of technology and/or operational measures. FPL Group has been conducting the necessary studies/analyses and planned to submit solutions for regulatory approval in early 2008. However, in 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled on a challenge to the rule by a number of environmental groups and six northeastern states. In its ruling, the court eliminated several of the compliance alternatives, including the use of restoration measures, from consideration and remanded the rule to the EPA for further rulemaking. As a result of the 2007 court decision, the EPA has suspended its rule under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act. During the period the rule is suspended, the EPA has directed its permitting agencies to address Section 316(b) compliance based on best professional judgment when issuing permits. The EPA is expected to initiate new Section 316(b) rulemaking in 2008 to rewrite the rule consistent with the court's decision.

**Website Access to SEC Filings.** FPL Group and FPL make their SEC filings, including the annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, available free of charge on FPL Group's internet website, [www.fplgroup.com](http://www.fplgroup.com), as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Information contained on FPL Group's website (or any of its subsidiaries' websites) is not incorporated by reference in this annual report on Form 10-K.

**FPL OPERATIONS**

**General.** FPL was incorporated under the laws of Florida in 1925 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Group. FPL supplies electric service to a population of more than 8.7 million throughout most of the east and lower west coasts of Florida. During 2007, FPL served approximately 4.5 million customer accounts. The percentage of FPL's operating revenues by customer class was as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Residential	54 %	54 %	55 %
Commercial	39	39	37
Industrial	3	3	3
Other, including deferred or recovered clause revenues, the net change in unbilled revenues, gas, transmission and wholesale sales and customer-related fees	4	4	5
	<u>100 %</u>	<u>100 %</u>	<u>100 %</u>

**Regulation.** FPL's retail operations provided approximately 99% of FPL's 2007 operating revenues. Retail operations are regulated by the FPSC, which has jurisdiction over retail rates, service territory, issuances of securities, planning, siting and construction of facilities and other matters. FPL is also subject to regulation by the FERC with respect to certain aspects of its operations, including, but not limited to, the acquisition and disposition of facilities, interchange and transmission services and wholesale purchases and sales of electric energy. In addition, FPL's nuclear power plants are subject to the jurisdiction of the NRC. NRC regulations govern the granting of licenses for the construction, operation and retirement of nuclear power plants and subject these plants to continuing review and regulation.

**Retail Ratemaking.** The underlying concept of utility ratemaking is to set rates at a level that allows the utility the opportunity to collect from customers total revenues (revenue requirements) equal to its cost of providing service, including a reasonable rate of return on invested capital. To accomplish this, the FPSC uses various ratemaking mechanisms.

In general, the basic costs of providing electric service, other than fuel and certain other costs, are recovered through base rates, which are designed to recover the costs of constructing, operating and maintaining the utility system. These basic costs include O&M expenses, depreciation and taxes, as well as a return on FPL's investment in assets used and useful in providing electric service (rate base). At the time base rates are determined, the allowed rate of return on rate base approximates FPL's estimated weighted-average cost of capital, which includes its costs for outstanding debt and, typically, an allowed ROE. The FPSC monitors FPL's actual regulatory ROE through a surveillance report that is filed monthly by FPL with the FPSC. The FPSC does not provide assurance that an allowed ROE will be achieved. Base rates are determined in rate proceedings or through negotiations, which occur at irregular intervals at the initiative of FPL, the FPSC, the State of Florida Office of Public Counsel or a substantially affected party.

In 2005, the FPSC approved a stipulation and settlement agreement regarding FPL's retail base rates (2005 rate agreement), signed by FPL and all of the interveners in its 2005 base rate proceeding. FPL expects the 2005 rate agreement to be in effect through December 31, 2009; thereafter, it shall remain in effect until terminated on the date new retail base rates become effective pursuant to an FPSC order. The 2005 rate agreement replaced a rate agreement that was effective April 15, 2002 through December 31, 2005 (2002 rate agreement).

The 2005 rate agreement provides that retail base rates will not increase during the term of the agreement except to allow recovery of the revenue requirements of any power plant approved pursuant to the Florida Power Plant Siting Act (Siting Act) that achieves commercial operation during the term of the 2005 rate agreement. Retail base rates increased on May 1, 2007 when a 1,144 mw natural gas-fired plant at FPL's Turkey Point site (Turkey Point Unit No. 5) was placed in service. The 2005 rate agreement also continues the revenue sharing mechanism in FPL's 2002 rate agreement, whereby revenues from retail base operations in excess of certain thresholds will be shared with customers on the basis of two-thirds refunded to customers and one-third retained by FPL. Revenues from retail base operations in excess of a second, higher threshold (cap) will be refunded 100% to customers. The revenue sharing threshold and cap are established by increasing the prior year's threshold and cap by the sum of the following: (i) the average annual growth rate in retail kwh sales for the ten-year period ending December 31 of the preceding year multiplied by the prior year's retail base rate revenue sharing threshold and cap and (ii) the amount of any incremental base rate increases for power plants approved pursuant to the Siting Act that achieve commercial operation during the term of the 2005 rate agreement. The revenue sharing threshold and cap for 2008 are estimated to be \$4,349 million and \$4,524 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2007, revenues from retail base operations did not exceed the 2007 thresholds.

6

---

Under the terms of the 2005 rate agreement: (i) FPL's electric property depreciation rates are based upon the comprehensive depreciation studies it filed with the FPSC in March 2005; however, FPL may reduce depreciation by up to \$125 million annually which was also permitted under the 2002 rate agreement, (ii) FPL suspended contributions of approximately \$79 million per year to its nuclear decommissioning fund beginning in September 2005, (iii) FPL suspended contributions of \$20.3 million per year to its storm and property insurance reserve beginning in 2006 and has the ability to recover prudently incurred storm restoration costs, either through securitization provisions pursuant to the Florida Statutes or through surcharges, and (iv) FPL will be allowed to recover through a cost recovery clause prudently incurred incremental costs associated with complying with an FPSC or FERC order regarding a regional transmission organization.

FPL does not have an authorized regulatory ROE under the 2005 rate agreement for the purpose of addressing earnings levels. For all other regulatory purposes, FPL has an ROE of 11.75%. Under the 2005 rate agreement, the revenue sharing mechanism described above is the appropriate and exclusive mechanism to address earnings levels. However, if FPL's regulatory ROE, as reported to the FPSC in FPL's monthly earnings surveillance report, falls below 10% during the term of the 2005 rate agreement, FPL may petition the FPSC to amend its base rates.

Fuel costs are recovered from customers through levelized charges per kwh established under the fuel clause. These charges are calculated annually based on estimated fuel costs and estimated customer usage for the following year, plus or minus a true-up adjustment to reflect the variance of actual costs and usage from the estimates used in setting the fuel adjustment charges for prior periods. An adjustment to the levelized charges may be approved during the course of a year to reflect a projected variance based on actual costs and usage. In 2007, approximately \$6.0 billion of costs were recovered through the fuel clause. FPL utilizes a risk management fuel procurement program which was approved by the FPSC at the program's inception. The FPSC reviews the program activities and results for prudence on an annual basis as part of its annual review of fuel costs. The program is intended to reduce the risk of unexpected fuel price volatility by locking in fuel prices for a portion of FPL's fuel requirements. See Energy Marketing and Trading, Management's Discussion - Results of Operations, Note 1 - Regulation and Note 4.

Capacity payments to other utilities and generating companies for purchased power are recovered from customers

through the capacity clause and base rates. In 2007, approximately \$526 million of costs were recovered through the capacity clause. Costs associated with implementing energy conservation programs totaled approximately \$206 million in 2007 and were recovered from customers through the energy conservation cost recovery clause. Costs of complying with federal, state and local environmental regulations enacted after April 1993 totaled \$24 million in 2007 and were recovered through the environmental clause to the extent not included in base rates.

In 2007, the FPSC approved a nuclear cost recovery rule that provides for the recovery of prudently incurred pre-construction costs and carrying charges (equal to the pretax AFUDC rate) on construction costs for new nuclear capacity through levelized charges under the capacity clause and for the recovery of construction costs, once the new capacity goes into service, through a base rate increase. As part of the FPSC's approval of the addition of approximately 400 mw of baseload capacity to FPL's existing nuclear units, FPL received approval to recover costs associated with the project through the nuclear cost recovery rule. See Nuclear Operations below.

FPL maintains a funded storm and property insurance reserve. FPL was affected by four hurricanes in 2005 and three hurricanes in 2004 which caused major damage in parts of FPL's service territory. Storm restoration costs incurred by FPL during 2005 and 2004 exceeded the amount in the storm and property insurance reserve, resulting in a storm reserve deficiency. In 2007, FPL formed a wholly-owned bankruptcy remote special purpose subsidiary for the purpose of issuing storm-recovery bonds, pursuant to the securitization provisions of the Florida Statutes and an FPSC financing order. In May 2007, the FPL subsidiary issued \$652 million aggregate principal amount of senior secured bonds (storm-recovery bonds) primarily for the after-tax equivalent of the total of FPL's unrecovered balance of the 2004 storm restoration costs, the 2005 storm restoration costs and approximately \$200 million to reestablish FPL's storm and property insurance reserve. The storm-recovery bonds, including interest and bond issuance costs, are being repaid through a surcharge to retail customers. Prior to the issuance of these storm-recovery bonds, FPL had been recovering the 2004 storm restoration costs from retail customers through a storm damage surcharge. See Management's Discussion - Results of Operations - FPL and Note 1 - Securitization.

During 2006, FPL introduced an initiative to enhance its electrical grid as a result of heightened hurricane activity and in response to concerns expressed by the community, state leaders and regulators. The estimated capital expenditures associated with this initiative, as well as the FPSC's approved storm preparedness plan (collectively, Storm Secure<sup>®</sup>) for 2008 through 2012 are included in FPL's projected capital expenditures. See Capital Expenditures below and Note 16 - Commitments. The estimated costs associated with Storm Secure, both capital expenditures and O&M expenses, are subject to change over time based on, among other things, productivity enhancements and prioritization.

In June 2007, the FPSC denied FPL's need petition for two ultra super critical pulverized coal generating units in Glades County, Florida. In July 2007, FPL filed a petition with the FPSC requesting authorization to defer, until the next retail base rate proceeding, approximately \$35 million of preconstruction costs associated with the coal units, with amortization over a five-year period beginning when new base rates are implemented. These costs are currently reflected in other assets on FPL Group's and FPL's consolidated balance sheets. Any portion of these costs not approved for recovery would be expensed. A decision is expected in April 2008.

---

The FPSC has the authority to disallow recovery of costs that it considers excessive or imprudently incurred. Such costs may include, among others, fuel and O&M expenses, the cost of replacing power lost when fossil and nuclear units are unavailable, storm restoration costs and costs associated with the construction or acquisition of new facilities.

**Competition.** FPL currently holds 176 franchise agreements to provide electric service in various municipalities and counties in Florida with varying expiration dates through 2037. Of the 176 franchise agreements, five expire in 2008, eight expire in 2009 and 163 expire during the period 2010 through 2037. Negotiations are ongoing to renew franchises with upcoming expirations. FPL considers its franchises to be adequate for the conduct of its business.

FPL currently faces competition from other suppliers of electrical energy to wholesale customers and from alternative energy sources and self-generation for other customer groups, primarily industrial customers. The FERC has jurisdiction over potential changes that could affect competition in wholesale transactions. In 2007, operating revenues from wholesale and industrial customers combined represented approximately 4% of FPL's total operating revenues. Various states, other than Florida, have enacted legislation or have state commissions that have issued orders designed to allow retail customers to choose their electricity supplier. Management believes it is unlikely there will be any state actions to restructure the retail electric industry in Florida in the near future. If the basis of regulation for some or all of FPL's business changes from cost-based regulation, existing regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off unless regulators specify an alternative means of recovery or refund. Further, other aspects of the business, such as generation assets and long-term power purchase commitments, would need to be reviewed to assess their recoverability in a changed regulatory environment. See Management's Discussion - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates - Regulatory Accounting.

The FPSC promotes cost competitiveness in the building of new steam generating capacity by requiring investor-owned electric utilities, such as FPL, to issue an RFP. The RFP process allows independent power producers and others to bid to supply the new generating capacity. If a bidder has the most cost-effective alternative, meets other criteria such as financial viability and demonstrates adequate expertise and experience in building and/or operating generation capacity of the type proposed, the investor-owned electric utility would seek to negotiate a power purchase agreement with the selected bidder and request that the FPSC approve the terms of the power purchase agreement and, if appropriate, provide the required authorization for the construction of the bidder's generation capacity. See Fossil Operations below regarding an RFP for additional power resources. Effective February 2007, the FPSC eliminated the requirement for utilities to issue an RFP for new nuclear power plants sited after June 2006. See Nuclear Operations below regarding a need petition FPL filed with the FPSC for two additional nuclear units.

**Environmental.** FPL is subject to environmental laws and regulations and is affected by emerging issues included in the discussion of FPL Group's business (see FPL Group - Environmental). Below is a discussion of the potential impact of these issues on FPL's business.

- Clean Air Act Mercury/Nickel Rule

- Depending on the EPA's response to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia's February 2008 decision, it is possible that certain FPL oil-fired units, Scherer Unit No. 4, St. Johns River Power Park (SJRPP) Units Nos. 1 and 2 and certain coal-fired units from which FPL purchases power will be required to add additional pollution control equipment. FPL cannot predict what the EPA's response to the court ruling may be, or the outcome of future pending regulation that may result from this court ruling.

- CAIR

- While the final CAIR requirements are uncertain, it is possible that the FPL generating facilities in Florida and Georgia may be required to add additional SO<sub>2</sub> and/or NO<sub>x</sub> controls or purchase emissions allowances to meet the compliance requirements of the final rule.

- Clean Air Visibility Rule

- Based on FPL's initial BART evaluation, Turkey Point Fossil Units Nos. 1 and 2 would be affected, and, while the impact of the final BART requirements of the Clean Air Visibility Rule are uncertain, these units may be required to add additional emissions controls or switch fuels to meet the BART compliance requirements. In 2007, the FDEP began the process to expand the population of units

## Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

covered under the "Reasonable Further Progress" provision of the Clear Air Visibility Rule in an effort to reduce emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> in areas which include certain national park and wilderness areas. The provision requires that control measures be in place by 2017. Six of FPL's generating facilities are affected under the Reasonable Further Progress provision (Manatee Units Nos. 1 and 2, Port Everglades Units Nos. 3 and 4 and Turkey Point Fossil Units Nos. 1 and 2). While the final requirements of the Reasonable Further Progress provision are uncertain, it is possible that these units may be required to add additional emission controls or switch fuels to meet the provision's emissions requirements.

- Clean Water Act Section 316(b)

- As a result of a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit eliminating several compliance alternatives contained in the EPA rule under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act, additional rulemaking by the EPA could impact eight of FPL's generating facilities (Cape Canaveral, Cutler, Fort Myers, Lauderdale, Port Everglades, Sanford, Riviera and St. Lucie).

FPL expects to seek recovery through the environmental clause for compliance costs associated with any new environmental laws and regulations.

8

---

In July 2007, the Governor of Florida issued three executive orders aimed at reducing Florida greenhouse gas emissions and improving Florida's energy efficiency. The orders state, among other things, that Florida utilities will be required to reduce emissions to 2000 levels by 2017; to 1990 levels by 2025; and to 20 percent of 1990 levels by 2050, and that the FPSC should begin the process of adopting a renewable portfolio standard that would require utilities to produce at least 20 percent of their generation from renewable sources, with an emphasis on wind and solar energy. The executive orders are expected to be implemented through rulemaking and/or legislation. The final requirements and their impact on FPL and FPL Group cannot be determined at this time.

In an effort to increase solar energy output and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in Florida, FPL has announced plans to build approximately 300 mw of solar generating facilities in Florida. FPL is evaluating a new solar technology, and intends to initially construct a 10 mw facility, with expansion of the project to a 300 mw facility subject to the receipt of regulatory and other approvals as well as the technology meeting agreed-upon cost and technical specifications. FPL has also announced plans to invest up to \$500 million from 2008 to 2013 for an advanced metering initiative that will provide enhanced energy management capabilities to its customers and enable it to develop better energy management programs. If the advanced metering initiative is proven successful in small geographic areas, it is expected to be broadened to cover FPL's service territory.

During 2007, FPL spent approximately \$80 million on capital additions to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations. FPL's capital expenditures to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations are estimated to be \$619 million for 2008 through 2010, including approximately \$189 million in 2008, and are included in estimated capital expenditures set forth in Capital Expenditures below.

**System Capability and Load.** At December 31, 2007, FPL's resources for serving load consisted of 25,100 mw, of which 22,135 mw were from FPL-owned facilities (see Item 2 – Generating Facilities) and 2,965 mw were available through purchased power contracts (see Note 16 - Contracts). FPL's projected reserve margin for the summer of 2008 is approximately 20.5%. This reserve margin is expected to be achieved through the combination of output from FPL's generating units, purchased power contracts and the capability to reduce peak demand through the implementation of load management, which was estimated to be capable of reducing demand by 1,668 mw at December 31, 2007. Occasionally, unusually cold temperatures during the winter months result in significant increases in electricity usage for short periods of time. However, customer usage and operating revenues are typically higher during the summer months, largely due to the prevalent use of air conditioning in FPL's service territory. The highest peak FPL has served to date was 22,361 mw, which occurred on August 17, 2005. FPL had adequate resources available at the time of this peak to meet customer demand.

See Fossil Operations and Nuclear Operations below regarding additional capacity currently under construction.

**Fuel Mix.** FPL's generating plants use a variety of fuels. The diverse fuel options, along with purchased power, enable FPL to shift between sources of generation to achieve a more economical fuel mix. See Fossil Operations, Nuclear Operations and Item 2 - Generating Facilities.

FPL's 2007 fuel mix based on kwh produced was as follows:

<u>Fuel Source</u>	<u>Percentage of kwh Produced</u>
Natural gas	52 %
Nuclear	19 %
Purchased power	15 %
Oil	8 %
Coal	6 %

**Fossil Operations.** FPL owns and operates 83 units that utilize fossil fuels such as natural gas and/or oil, and has a joint-ownership interest in three coal units. FPL's fossil units are out of service from time to time for routine maintenance or on standby during periods of mild weather. FPL is currently constructing two natural gas-fired combined-cycle units of approximately 1,220 mw each at its West County Energy Center in western Palm Beach County, Florida, which are expected to be in service by mid-2009 and 2010 at an estimated total cost of approximately \$1.3 billion (including AFUDC). The costs of the two new units yet to be incurred as of December 31, 2007 are included in estimated capital expenditures set forth in Capital Expenditures below. See Note 16 - Commitments. In December 2007, FPL issued an RFP for additional power resources beginning in 2011. FPL's self-build approach calls for adding a third natural gas-fired combined-cycle generating unit of approximately 1,220 mw to its West County Energy Center that would be operational in 2011. Responses to the RFP are being evaluated against FPL's self-build approach. By mid-March 2008, FPL will select the alternative determined to be the best and most cost-effective way to meet customers' needs.

Since June 2006, FPL has experienced different types of compressor blade failures in three combustion turbine compressors (CTCs) at two of its fossil generating plants, resulting in significant damage to the combustion turbines. FPL has 32 of this type of CTCs in its generating fleet, which were all made by the same manufacturer. Other companies in the electric industry have reported similar failures. The manufacturer of the CTCs has determined the root cause of the first failure experienced by FPL involving a rotating blade and a remedy is expected to be available by 2009. In the interim, FPL is conducting inspections of all rotating compressor blades in its generating fleet and replacing any blade sets found to have cracks. FPL Group is currently working with the manufacturer of the CTCs to determine the root cause of the other two failures in the stationary section of the compressor and how to remediate the issue. In the interim, FPL proactively replaced a portion of the stationary compressor blades it considers to be at higher risk of failure. Repairs to all three CTCs affected have been completed and the CTCs returned to service.

FPL has four firm transportation contracts in place with FGT and one firm transportation contract with Gulfstream that together are expected to satisfy substantially all of the anticipated needs for natural gas transportation at its existing units. The four existing FGT contracts expire between 2015 and 2022, while the Gulfstream contract expires in 2028. The two contracts expiring in 2015 may be extended by FPL until 2030. To the extent desirable, FPL can also purchase interruptible gas transportation service from FGT and Gulfstream based on pipeline availability. FPL has several short- and medium-term natural gas supply contracts to provide a portion of FPL's anticipated needs for

natural gas. The remainder of FPL's gas requirements is purchased under other contracts and in the spot market. FPL has a long-term agreement for the storage of natural gas that expires in 2013. In addition, FPL has entered into several long-term agreements for storage capacity and transportation of natural gas from facilities that have not yet begun, or if begun have not yet completed, construction. These agreements range from 12 to 25 years in length and contain firm commitments by FPL totaling up to approximately \$289 million annually or \$6.3 billion over the terms of the agreements. These firm commitments are contingent upon the occurrence of certain events, including approval by the FERC and completion of construction of the facilities in mid-2008 and 2011. See Note 16

– Contracts. FPL's oil requirements are obtained under short-term contracts and in the spot market.

FPL has, through its joint ownership interest in SJRPP Units Nos. 1 and 2, a coal supply and transportation contract for all of the 2008 fuel needs and a portion of the 2009 and 2010 fuel needs for those units. All of the transportation requirements and a portion of the coal supply needs for Scherer Unit No. 4 are covered by a series of annual and long-term contracts. FPL's remaining fuel requirements for these units will be obtained in the spot market. See Note 16 - Contracts.

**Nuclear Operations.** FPL owns, or has undivided interests in, and operates four nuclear units, two at Turkey Point and two at St. Lucie, with a total net generating capability of 2,939 mw. The nuclear units are periodically removed from service to accommodate normal refueling and maintenance outages, repairs and certain other modifications. Scheduled nuclear refueling outages typically require the unit to be removed from service for approximately 30 days. The following table summarizes the extended operating license expiration dates and next scheduled refueling outage dates for FPL's nuclear units:

Facility	Unit	Net Capability (mw)	Extended Operating License Expiration Dates	Next Scheduled Refueling Outage
St. Lucie	1	839	2036	October 2008
St. Lucie	2	714	2043	April 2009
Turkey Point	3	693	2032	March 2009
Turkey Point	4	693	2033	March 2008

FPL is in the process of adding approximately 400 mw of baseload capacity at its existing nuclear units at St. Lucie and Turkey Point, which additional capacity is projected to be in service by the end of 2012 at an estimated total cost of approximately \$1.8 billion. The construction costs of the 400 mw of baseload capacity yet to be incurred as of December 31, 2007 are included in estimated capital expenditures set forth in Capital Expenditures below. See Note 16 - Commitments. In October 2007, FPL filed a need petition with the FPSC for two additional nuclear units totaling between 2,200 mw and 3,040 mw of baseload capacity at its Turkey Point site, with projected in-service dates between 2018 and 2020. An FPSC decision is expected in March 2008. Additional approvals from other regulatory agencies will be required later in the process. In 2004, FPL joined NuStart Energy Development LLC (NuStart), a consortium of ten energy companies that was formed for the purpose of developing a construction and operating license to build a new nuclear facility under the DOE's Nuclear Power 2010 initiative. As of December 31, 2007, FPL's investment in NuStart was not significant.

During 2003, nuclear utilities other than FPL identified pressurizer heater sleeves made with a particular material

(alloy 600) that were experiencing penetration cracks and leaks as a result of primary water stress corrosion cracking. As a result, in 2004, the NRC issued a bulletin requesting utilities to identify and inspect all alloy 600 and weld materials in all pressurizer locations and connected steam space piping. Due to the amount of time and cost associated with correcting potential leaks, FPL replaced St. Lucie Unit No. 1's pressurizer during its fall 2005 outage. FPL will begin the modification of St. Lucie Unit No. 1's non-pressurizer penetrations that have alloy 600 weld materials during its fall 2008 outage and expects to complete the modifications by 2010. The St. Lucie Unit No. 2 pressurizer has 30 heater sleeves as compared to 120 heater sleeves in the St. Lucie Unit No. 1 pressurizer. Accordingly, FPL has decided to modify rather than replace St. Lucie Unit No. 2's alloy 600 pressurizer heater sleeves during its spring 2009 outage. During St. Lucie Unit No. 2's scheduled refueling outage in the fall of 2007, FPL inspected the pressurizer heater sleeves and began modifications of other pressurizer and non-pressurizer penetrations that have alloy 600 weld materials. The modifications to St. Lucie Unit No. 2's other penetrations are scheduled to be completed by 2010. The estimated cost of modifications for the St. Lucie units is included in FPL's estimated capital expenditures below. See Note 16 - Commitments. All pressurizer penetrations and welds at Turkey Point Units Nos. 3 and 4 utilize a different material.

FPL leases nuclear fuel for all four of its nuclear units. See Note 1 - Nuclear Fuel. FPL Group and FPL consolidate the lessor entity in accordance with FIN 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," as revised (FIN 46(R)). See Note 9 - FPL. The contracts for the supply, conversion, enrichment and fabrication of FPL's nuclear fuel have expiration dates ranging from 2008 through 2016. Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (NWPA), the DOE is responsible for the development of a repository for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. As required by the NWPA, FPL is a party to contracts with the DOE to provide for disposal of spent nuclear fuel from its Turkey Point and St. Lucie nuclear units. The DOE was required to construct permanent disposal facilities and take title to and provide transportation and disposal for spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998 for a specified fee based on current generation from nuclear power plants. Through December 2007, FPL has paid approximately \$584 million in such fees to the DOE's nuclear waste fund. The DOE did not meet its statutory obligation for disposal of spent nuclear fuel under the NWPA. In 1997, a federal court ruled, in response to petitions filed by utilities, state governments and utility commissions, that the DOE could not assert a claim that its delay was unavoidable in any defense against lawsuits by utilities seeking money damages arising out of the DOE's failure to perform its obligations. In 1998, FPL filed a lawsuit against the DOE seeking damages caused by the DOE's failure to dispose of spent nuclear fuel from FPL's nuclear power plants. The matter is pending. In October 2006, a federal court ruled in another utility's case that the 1997 court decision regarding DOE's unavoidable delay defense was not binding on this federal court. An appeal is pending in that case. The DOE has indicated it is planning to file a license application for a permanent disposal facility for spent nuclear fuel with the NRC by mid-2008. However, it is uncertain when a permanent disposal facility will be constructed and when it would be ready to begin receiving spent nuclear fuel shipments.

FPL currently stores all spent nuclear fuel generated by its nuclear generating facilities in on site storage pools. These spent nuclear fuel storage pools do not have sufficient storage capacity for the life of the respective units. FPL plans to begin using dry storage casks before loss of full core reserve at each of its nuclear units to store spent nuclear fuel, which would extend their capability to store spent fuel indefinitely. The following table summarizes the current status of FPL's on site spent fuel storage:

Facility	Unit	Date for Loss of Full Core Reserve <sup>(a)</sup>	Date Dry Storage Casks are Expected to be in Use <sup>(b)</sup>
St. Lucie	1	2008	2008
St. Lucie	2	2010	2009

Turkey Point	3	2010 (c)	2011
Turkey Point	4	2012 (c)	2011

- 
- (a) Represents when the on site storage pool will no longer have sufficient space to receive a full complement of fuel from the reactor core.
- (b) Cost for the dry storage casks is included in FPL's estimated capital expenditures set forth in Capital Expenditures below.
- (c) Degradation in a material used in the spent fuel pools could result in implementation of alternative spent fuel storage options sooner than projected. FPL expects to extend the storage capacity of Turkey Point Unit No. 3 to early 2012 by recovering storage cells in the spent fuel pools that are currently damaged or otherwise unusable.

The NRC's regulations require FPL to submit a plan for decontamination and decommissioning five years prior to the projected end of plant operation. FPL's current plans, under the extended operating licenses, provide for prompt dismantlement of Turkey Point Units Nos. 3 and 4 with decommissioning activities commencing in 2032 and 2033, respectively. Current plans provide for St. Lucie Unit No. 1 to be mothballed beginning in 2036 with decommissioning activities to be integrated with the prompt dismantlement of St. Lucie Unit No. 2 at the end of its useful life in 2043. See estimated decommissioning cost data in Note 1 - Decommissioning of Nuclear Plants, Dismantlement of Plants and Other Accrued Asset Removal Costs - FPL.

**Energy Marketing and Trading.** EMT, a division of FPL, buys and sells wholesale energy commodities, such as natural gas, oil and electricity. EMT procures natural gas and oil for FPL's use in power generation and sells excess gas, oil and electricity. EMT also uses derivative instruments, such as swaps, options and forwards, to manage the commodity price risk inherent in fuel and electricity sales and purchases. Substantially all of the results of EMT's activities are passed through to customers in the fuel or capacity clauses. See Retail Ratemaking, Management's Discussion - Results of Operations - FPL and Energy Marketing and Trading and Market Risk Sensitivity and Note 4.

---

**Capital Expenditures.** Capital expenditures at FPL include, among other things, the cost for construction or acquisition of additional facilities and equipment to meet customer demand, as well as capital improvements to and maintenance of existing facilities. FPL's capital expenditures totaled \$1.9 billion in 2007 (including AFUDC of approximately \$36 million), \$1.7 billion in 2006 (including AFUDC of approximately \$32 million) and \$1.8 billion in 2005 (including AFUDC of approximately \$41 million). At December 31, 2007, planned capital expenditures for 2008 through 2012 were estimated as follows:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(millions)					
Generation: <sup>(a)</sup>						
New <sup>(b) (c)</sup>	\$ 865	\$ 340	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,210
Existing	780	1,015	1,115	895	710	4,515
Transmission and distribution <sup>(d)</sup>	915	1,080	1,120	1,160	1,130	5,405
Nuclear fuel	125	165	200	175	195	860
General and other	150	150	175	165	165	805
Total	<u>\$ 2,835</u>	<u>\$ 2,750</u>	<u>\$ 2,615</u>	<u>\$ 2,395</u>	<u>\$ 2,200</u>	<u>\$ 12,795</u>

- 
- (a) Includes AFUDC of approximately \$54 million and \$55 million in 2008 and 2009, respectively.

- (b) Includes land, generating structures, transmission interconnection and integration, licensing and AFUDC.
- (c) Excludes essentially all estimated capital costs associated with FPL's announced plan to invest in solar generating facilities (see FPL Operations - Environmental). Also excludes capital expenditures for two proposed nuclear units at FPL's Turkey Point site (see FPL Operations
  - Nuclear Operations). These costs are not included in the table above because they are subject to, among other things, various regulatory and other approvals, as well as the solar generating facilities meeting certain performance standards on a smaller scale.
- (d) Includes estimated capital costs associated with Storm Secure. These capital costs are subject to change over time based on, among other things, productivity enhancements and prioritization.

These estimates are subject to continuing review and adjustment and actual capital expenditures may vary from these estimates. See Management's Discussion

– Liquidity and Capital Resources - Contractual Obligations and Planned Capital Expenditures and Note 16 – Commitments.

**Electric and Magnetic Fields.** EMF are present around electrical facilities, including, but not limited to, appliances, power lines and building wiring. Since the 1970s, there has been public, scientific and regulatory attention given to the question of whether EMF causes or contributes to adverse health effects. U.S. and international scientific organizations have evaluated the EMF research. Their reviews have generally concluded that while some epidemiology studies report an association with childhood leukemia, controlled laboratory studies do not support that association and the scientific studies overall have not demonstrated that EMF cause or contribute to any type of cancer or other disease.

The FDEP established EMF standards for electricity facilities in 1989. The FDEP regularly reviews the EMF science and has not made any changes in the state's EMF standards. FPL facilities comply with the FDEP standards. Future changes in the FDEP regulations could require additional capital expenditures by FPL for such things as increasing the width of right of ways or relocating or reconfiguring transmission facilities. It is not presently known whether any such expenditures will be required. Currently, there are no such changes proposed to the FDEP regulations.

**Employees.** FPL had approximately 10,500 employees at December 31, 2007. Approximately 32% of the employees are represented by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) under a collective bargaining agreement with FPL that expires October 31, 2008.

## FPL ENERGY OPERATIONS

**General.** FPL Energy, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Group Capital, was formed in 1998 to aggregate FPL Group's existing competitive energy business. It is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware. Through its subsidiaries, FPL Energy currently owns, develops, constructs, manages and operates domestic electric-generating facilities in wholesale energy markets. FPL Energy also provides full energy and capacity requirements services primarily to distribution utilities in certain markets and owns a retail electric provider based in Texas.

FPL Energy manages or participates in the management of approximately 95% of its projects, which represent approximately 99% of the net generating capacity in which FPL Energy has an ownership interest. At December 31, 2007, FPL Energy had ownership interests in operating independent power projects with a net generating capability totaling 15,543 mw (see Item 2 - Generating Facilities). Generation capacity spans various regions and is produced utilizing a variety of fuel sources, thereby reducing overall volatility related to varying market conditions and seasonality on a portfolio basis. At December 31, 2007, the percentage of capacity by geographic region was:

Geographic Region	Percentage of Generation Capacity
Central	47 %
Northeast	19 %
Mid-Atlantic	18 %
West	16 %
	12

Fuel sources for these projects were as follows:

Fuel Source	Percentage of Generation Capacity
Natural Gas	42 %
Wind	33 %
Nuclear	16 %
Oil	5 %
Hydro	2 %
Other	2 %

FPL Energy seeks to expand its portfolio through project development and acquisitions where economic prospects are attractive. FPL Energy expects its future portfolio capacity growth to come primarily from wind and solar development and from asset acquisitions. FPL Energy plans to add a total of 8,000 mw - 10,000 mw of new wind generation over the 2007 - 2012 period, of which 1,064 mw were added in 2007. FPL Energy expects to add at least 1,100 mw in 2008, of which approximately 700 mw are under construction. In addition, FPL Energy intends to pursue opportunities for new solar generating facilities. The wind and solar expansions are subject to, among other things, continued public policy support.

FPL Energy's capital expenditures and investments totaled approximately \$3.1 billion, \$1.8 billion and \$0.9 billion in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. At December 31, 2007, planned capital expenditures for 2008 through 2012 were estimated as follows:

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
	(millions)					
Wind <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 2,085	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 2,105
Nuclear <sup>(b)</sup>	280	345	375	305	250	1,555
Gas	80	95	115	35	25	350
Other	45	40	25	20	20	150
Total	\$ 2,490	\$ 485	\$ 520	\$ 365	\$ 300	\$ 4,160

(a)

Capital expenditures for new wind projects are estimated through 2008, when eligibility for PTCs for new wind projects is scheduled to expire. FPL Energy expects to add approximately 1,500 to 2,000 mw of new wind generation per year from 2009 to 2012, subject to, among other things, continued public policy support, the cost of which is estimated to be approximately \$3 billion for 2009 and \$4 billion to \$5 billion in each of 2010, 2011 and 2012.

(b) Includes nuclear fuel.

These estimates are subject to continuing review and adjustment and actual capital expenditures may vary from these estimates. See Management's Discussion

– Liquidity and Capital Resources - Contractual Obligations and Planned Capital Expenditures and Note 16 – Commitments.

Additionally, FPL Energy through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Lone Star Transmission, LLC, has proposed to build, own and operate a 180 to 200 mile, high voltage, direct current, open access transmission line located between west Texas and the Dallas/Fort Worth area with a capacity of 2,000 mw. The construction of the transmission line is contingent upon, among other things, receipt of all applicable regulatory approvals. The estimated cost of the transmission line is expected to range from \$635 million to \$655 million. Due to the contingencies discussed above (including their impact on the timing of construction), these estimated costs are not included in the capital expenditures table above.

During 2006, other companies in the electric industry, including FPL, experienced different types of compressor blade failures in certain CTCs made by a single manufacturer. FPL Energy has 19 of these CTCs in its generating fleet. FPL Energy is conducting inspections of its rotating compressor blades in its generating fleet and replacing any blade sets found to have cracks. FPL Energy proactively replaced a portion of the stationary compressor blades it considered to be at higher risk of failure. See the discussion at FPL Operations - Fossil Operations.

**Regulation.** At December 31, 2007, FPL Energy had ownership interests in operating independent power projects that have received exempt wholesale generator status as defined under the Holding Company Act, which represent approximately 96% of FPL Energy's net generating capacity. Exempt wholesale generators own or operate a facility exclusively to sell electricity to wholesale customers. They are barred from selling electricity directly to retail customers. FPL Energy's exempt wholesale generators produce electricity from wind, hydropower, fossil fuels and nuclear facilities. In addition, approximately 4% of FPL Energy's net generating capacity has qualifying facility status under PURPA. FPL Energy's qualifying facilities generate electricity from wind, solar, fossil fuels or waste-product combustion. Qualifying facility status exempts the projects from, among other things, many of the provisions of the Federal Power Act, as well as state laws and regulations relating to rates and financial or organizational regulation of electric utilities. While projects with qualifying facility and exempt wholesale generator status are exempt from various restrictions, each project must still comply with other federal, state and local laws, including, but not limited to, those regarding siting, construction, operation, licensing, pollution abatement and other environmental laws.

Each of the markets in which FPL Energy operates is subject to regulation and specific rules. FPL Energy continues to evaluate regional market redesigns of existing operating rules for the purchase and sale of energy commodities. During 2006, revised market rules for capacity were approved in the NEPOOL and PJM regions. California is scheduled to implement a locational marginal price (LMP) market design in mid- to late 2008. ERCOT is also implementing an LMP market design currently scheduled to be implemented in December 2008. In the California and ERCOT markets, the final market design is not fully known at this time and FPL Energy is currently unable to determine the effects, if any, on its operations resulting from the implementation of the anticipated revised market designs.

**Competition.** Competitive wholesale markets in the United States continue to evolve and vary by geographic region. Revenues from electricity sales in these markets vary based on the prices obtainable for energy, capacity and other ancillary services. Some of the factors affecting success in these markets include the ability to operate generating assets efficiently and reliably, the price and supply of fuel, transmission constraints, wind, solar and hydro resources (weather conditions), competition from new sources of generation, effective risk management, demand growth and exposure to legal and regulatory changes.

Expanded competition in a frequently changing regulatory environment presents both opportunities and risks for FPL Energy. Opportunities exist for the selective acquisition of generation assets and for the construction and operation of efficient plants that can sell power in competitive markets. FPL Energy seeks to reduce its market risk by having a diversified portfolio by fuel type and location, as well as by contracting for the future sale of a significant amount of the electricity output of its plants. The major markets in which FPL Energy operates have shown signs of continued improvement since 2004, such as improved spark spreads and energy prices in the ERCOT and NEPOOL regions. The combination of new wind projects, expected increase in contribution from merchant assets and asset acquisitions are expected to be the key drivers supporting FPL Energy's growth over the next few years.

**Environmental.** FPL Energy is subject to environmental laws and regulations and is affected by emerging issues included in the discussion of FPL Group's business (see FPL Group - Environmental). Below is a discussion of the potential impact of these issues on FPL Energy's business.

- Clean Air Act Mercury/Nickel Rule

- Depending on the EPA's response to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia's February 2008 decision, it is possible that three of FPL Energy's oil-fired units in Maine will be required to add additional pollution control equipment.

- Clean Air Visibility Rule

- While the impact of final BART requirements of the Clean Air Visibility Rule are uncertain, it is possible that one of FPL Energy's BART eligible units located in Maine may be required to add additional emissions controls or switch fuels to meet the BART compliance requirements.

- Clean Water Act Section 316(b)

- As a result of a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit eliminating several compliance alternatives contained in the EPA rule under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act, additional rulemaking by the EPA could impact three FPL Energy plants (Seabrook, Point Beach and an oil-fired plant in Maine).

FPL Energy's plants operate in many states and regions that are in the process of developing legislation to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, including, but not limited to, the following:

- The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) is a greenhouse gas reduction initiative whereby ten Northeast and Mid-Atlantic member states have established a cap-and-trade program (a system by which affected generators buy and trade allowances under a set cap) for covered electric generating units in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Vermont, Maryland, Massachusetts and Rhode Island. RGGI members have agreed to stabilize power plant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at 2005 levels by 2015 and to further reduce the sector's emissions another 10% by 2019. The RGGI greenhouse gas reduction requirements will affect 12 FPL Energy fossil electric generating units, requiring those electric generating units to reduce emissions or to acquire CO<sub>2</sub> allowances for emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> beginning in 2009. Though most states in RGGI have not completed enacting their legislation or rulemaking, it is anticipated that FPL Energy will need to participate in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions allowance auctions beginning in mid-2008.

- The Western Climate Initiative is a greenhouse gas reduction initiative with a goal of reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 15% below 2005 levels by 2020 for participants (Arizona, California, Oregon, New Mexico, Washington and Utah, as well as British Columbia and Manitoba, Canada).
- The Midwestern Greenhouse Gas Reduction Accord (MGGRA) is an initiative to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through the establishment of targets for greenhouse gas reductions and the development of a cap-and-trade system. Participants in MGGRA are Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin and Manitoba.
- California Greenhouse Gas Regulation - California has enacted legislation to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the state to 1990 emissions levels by 2020. Pursuant to the legislation, the California Air Resources Board must implement multi-sector greenhouse gas reduction measures by January 1, 2012.

The final requirements to be enacted in connection with these initiatives are uncertain and the financial and operational impacts cannot be determined at this time. However, FPL Energy's portfolio in these regions is heavily weighted toward non-CO<sub>2</sub> emitting and low CO<sub>2</sub> emitting generation sources (wind, hydro, solar, nuclear and natural gas).

To support the U.S. effort to increase solar energy output and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, FPL Energy plans to invest in new solar generating facilities. In addition, FPL Energy has announced plans to launch a new renewable energy program in 2008 including a new consumer education program and new products that could increase renewable resources. The revenue generated from this program will be used to develop renewable energy sources.

During 2007, FPL Energy spent approximately \$8 million on capital additions to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations. FPL Energy's capital additions to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations are estimated to be \$7 million for 2008 through 2010, including approximately \$5 million in 2008, and are included in estimated capital expenditures set forth in General above.

**Portfolio by Category.** FPL Energy's assets can be categorized into the following three groups: wind, non-wind contracted and merchant.

*Wind Assets* – At December 31, 2007, FPL Energy had ownership interests in wind plants with a combined capacity of approximately 5,077 mw (net ownership), of which approximately 74% have long-term contracts with utilities and power marketers predominantly under fixed-price agreements with expiration dates ranging from 2011 to 2032. The expected output of the remaining 26% is substantially hedged against changes in commodity prices for at least five years. FPL Energy operates substantially all of these wind facilities. Approximately 93% of FPL Energy's net ownership in wind facilities has received exempt wholesale generator status as defined under the Holding Company Act. The remaining facilities have qualifying facility status under PURPA. FPL Energy's wind facilities are located in 16 states. FPL Energy expects to add at least 1,100 mw of new wind generation in 2008, of which approximately 700 mw are under construction.

*Contracted Assets* - At December 31, 2007, FPL Energy had 3,542 mw of non-wind contracted assets. The contracted

category includes all projects, other than wind, with contracts for substantially all of their output. Essentially all of these contracted assets were under power sales contracts with utilities, with contract expiration dates ranging from 2008 to 2033 and have firm fuel and transportation agreements with expiration dates ranging from 2008 to 2017. Approximately 1,825 mw of this capacity is gas-fired generation. The remaining 1,717 mw uses a variety of fuels and technologies such as nuclear, waste-to-energy, oil, solar, coal and petroleum coke. As of December 31, 2007, approximately 92% of FPL Energy's contracted generating capacity is from power plants that have received exempt wholesale generator status under the Holding Company Act, while the remaining 8% has qualifying facility status under PURPA.

*Merchant Assets* - At December 31, 2007, FPL Energy's portfolio of merchant assets includes 6,924 mw of owned nuclear, natural gas, oil and hydro generation, of which 2,700 mw is located in the ERCOT region, 2,752 mw in the NEPOOL region and 1,472 mw in other regions. The merchant assets include 965 mw of peak generating facilities. Merchant assets are plants that do not have long-term power sales agreements to sell their output and therefore require active marketing and hedging. Approximately 62% (based on net mw capability) of the natural gas fueled merchant assets have gas supply agreements or a combination of gas supply and transportation agreements to provide for on-peak gas requirements. Derivative instruments (primarily swaps, options and forwards) are used to lock in pricing and manage the commodity price risk inherent in power sales and fuel purchases. Managing market risk through these instruments introduces other types of risk, primarily counterparty and operational risks. See Energy Marketing and Trading below.

**Nuclear Operations.** FPL Energy wholly owns, or has undivided interests in, three nuclear power plants with a total net generating capability of 2,545 mw. FPL Energy is responsible for all plant operations and the ultimate decommissioning of the plants, the cost of which is shared on a pro-rata basis by the joint owners. See estimated decommissioning cost data in Note 1 - Decommissioning of Nuclear Plants, Dismantlement of Plants and Other Accrued Asset Removal Costs - FPL Energy. The nuclear units are periodically removed from service to accommodate normal refueling and maintenance outages, repairs and certain other modifications. The following table summarizes information related to FPL Energy's nuclear units.

<u>Facility</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Net Capability (mw)</u>	<u>Portfolio Category</u>	<u>Current Operating License Expiration Dates</u>	<u>Next Scheduled Refueling Outage</u>
Seabrook	New Hampshire	1,098	Merchant	2030 (a)	April 2008
Duane Arnold	Iowa	424	Contracted (b)	2014 (c)	January 2009
Point Beach Unit 1	Wisconsin	509	Contracted (d)	2030	October 2008
Point Beach Unit 2	Wisconsin	514	Contracted (d)	2033	April 2008

(a) FPL Energy intends to seek approval from the NRC to renew Seabrook's operating license for an additional 20 years.

(b) FPL Energy sells substantially all of its share of the output of Duane Arnold under a long-term contract expiring in 2014.

(c) In 2009, FPL Energy intends to seek approval from the NRC to renew Duane Arnold's operating license for an additional 20 years.

- (d) FPL Energy sells 100% of the output of Point Beach Unit 1 and Unit 2 under a long-term contract through the current license terms.

---

In 2004, the NRC issued a bulletin requesting utilities to identify and inspect all alloy 600 and weld materials in all pressurizer locations and connected steam space piping. This issue impacts some pressurizer and reactor vessel penetrations at Seabrook. In order to meet industry requirements, FPL Energy is planning to modify Seabrook's pressurizer penetrations that have alloy 600 weld materials during its April 2008 outage and begin inspections of the reactor vessel alloy 600 penetrations during the fall 2009 outage. The estimated cost of modifications is included in FPL Energy's estimated capital expenditures set forth in General above. All pressurizer penetrations at Point Beach Units 1 and 2 utilize a different material except for the Point Beach Unit 2 steam generator nozzles, which have already been modified to address the degradation concern. Additionally, Duane Arnold is not affected by this issue as it is a boiling water reactor.

FPL Energy's nuclear facilities have several contracts for the supply, conversion, enrichment and fabrication of nuclear fuel with expiration dates ranging from 2008 to 2018. See Note 16 - Contracts. Under the NWPA, the DOE is responsible for the development of a repository for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. As required by the NWPA, subsidiaries of FPL Energy are parties to contracts with the DOE to provide for disposal of spent nuclear fuel from its Seabrook, Duane Arnold and Point Beach nuclear units. The DOE was required to construct permanent disposal facilities and take title to and provide transportation and disposal for spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998 for a specified fee based on current generation from nuclear power plants. The total cumulative amount of such fees paid to the DOE's nuclear waste fund for Seabrook, Duane Arnold and Point Beach, including amounts paid by all joint owners, since the start of the plants' operations through December 2007, is approximately \$468 million, of which FPL Energy has paid approximately \$46 million since the date of the plants' acquisition. FPL Energy, through its ownership interest in Seabrook, Duane Arnold and Point Beach, is involved in litigation against the DOE seeking damages caused by the DOE's failure to dispose of spent nuclear fuel from the Seabrook, Duane Arnold and Point Beach facilities. The matter is pending. The DOE has indicated it is planning to file a license application for a permanent disposal facility for spent nuclear fuel with the NRC by mid-2008. However, it is uncertain when a permanent disposal facility will be constructed and when it would be ready to begin receiving spent nuclear fuel shipments. Based on current projections, FPL Energy will lose its ability to store spent fuel as early as 2009 at Seabrook, 2014 at Duane Arnold and 2024 at Point Beach. FPL Energy is proceeding with a dry cask storage system at Seabrook which will be placed into commercial operation in 2008, the cost of which is included in FPL Energy's estimated capital expenditures set forth in General above. This would allow for all of Seabrook's spent fuel to be stored on site, including spent fuel storage through its license extension period of 2050, if granted. Duane Arnold currently is using both a spent fuel pool and a dry cask storage system and is making plans for additional dry cask storage modules to increase on site storage capability beginning in 2009, the estimated cost of which is included in FPL Energy's estimated capital expenditures set forth in General above. Point Beach currently is using both a spent fuel pool and a dry cask storage system.

**Energy Marketing and Trading.** PMI, a subsidiary of FPL Energy, buys and sells wholesale energy commodities, such as natural gas, oil and electricity. Its primary role is to manage the commodity risk of FPL Energy's portfolio and to sell the output from FPL Energy's plants that has not been sold under long-term contracts. PMI procures natural gas and oil for FPL Energy's use in power generation, as well as substantially all of the electricity needs for FPL Energy's retail operations conducted primarily in Texas, which at December 31, 2007 served approximately 1,000 mw of peak load to approximately 158,600 customers. PMI uses derivative instruments such as swaps, options and forwards to manage the risk associated with fluctuating commodity prices and to optimize the value of FPL Energy's power generation assets. PMI also provides full energy and capacity requirements services primarily to distribution utilities in certain markets and engages in energy trading activities to take advantage of expected future favorable price movements. Full energy and capacity requirements services include load-following services, which require the supplier of energy to vary the quantity delivered based on the load demand needs of the customer, as well as various ancillary services. At December 31, 2007, PMI provided full energy and capacity requirements services totaling approximately 3,500 mw of peak load in the NEPOOL,

## Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

PJM and ERCOT markets. The results of PMI's activities are included in FPL Energy's operating results. See Management's Discussion - Energy Marketing and Trading and Market Risk Sensitivity, Note 1 - Energy Trading and Note 4.

**Employees.** FPL Energy had approximately 3,860 employees at December 31, 2007. Subsidiaries of FPL Energy have collective bargaining agreements with various unions which are summarized in the table below.

Union	Location	Contract Expiration Date	% of FPL Energy Employees Covered
IBEW	Wisconsin	June 2009 - August 2010 <sup>(a)</sup>	12 %
Utility Workers Union of America	New Hampshire	December 2008	6
IBEW	Iowa	May 2011	4
IBEW	Maine	February 2013	2
Security Police and Fire Professionals of America	Iowa	May 2012	2
<b>Total</b>			<b>26 %</b>

(a) Various employees at Point Beach are represented by the IBEW under four separate contracts with different expiration dates.

16

### OTHER FPL GROUP OPERATIONS

FPL Group's Corporate and Other segment represents other business activities, primarily FPL FiberNet, that are not separately reportable. See Note 17.

**FPL FiberNet.** FPL FiberNet, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Group Capital, was formed in 2000 to enhance the value of FPL Group's fiber-optic network assets that were originally built to support FPL operations. Accordingly, in 2000, FPL's existing fiber-optic lines were transferred to FPL FiberNet. FPL FiberNet is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware. FPL FiberNet leases wholesale fiber-optic network capacity and dark fiber to FPL and other customers, primarily telephone, internet and other telecommunications companies. FPL FiberNet's primary business focus is the Florida metropolitan (metro) market. Metro networks cover Miami, Fort Lauderdale, West Palm Beach, Tampa, St. Petersburg, Orlando and Jacksonville. FPL FiberNet also has a long-haul network within Florida that leases bandwidth at wholesale rates. At December 31, 2007, FPL FiberNet's network consisted of approximately 2,660 route miles, which interconnect major cities throughout Florida.

In 2006, as a result of significant changes in the business climate, FPL FiberNet performed an impairment analysis and concluded that an impairment charge related to its metro market assets was necessary. The business climate changes included customer consolidations, migration to a more efficient form of networking technology and lack of

future benefits to be achieved through competitive pricing, all of which had a negative impact on the value of FPL FiberNet's metro market assets. While the metro market business was expected to continue to generate positive cash flows, management's expectation of the rate of future growth in cash flows was reduced as a result of these business climate changes. Accordingly, FPL FiberNet recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$98 million (\$60 million after-tax) in 2006. See Note 5 - Corporate and Other.

At December 31, 2007, FPL Group's remaining investment in FPL FiberNet totaled approximately \$121 million. FPL FiberNet invested approximately \$17 million during 2007 and plans to invest a total of \$101 million over the next five years to meet customers' specific requirements and sustain its fiber-optic network.

**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF FPL GROUP** <sup>(a)</sup>

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>
Christopher A. Bennett	49	Vice President & Chief Strategy, Policy and Business Process Improvement Officer	February 15, 2008
Paul I. Cutler	48	Treasurer of FPL Group Assistant Secretary of FPL Group Treasurer of FPL Assistant Secretary of FPL	February 19, 2003 December 10, 1997 February 18, 2003 December 10, 1997
F. Mitchell Davidson	45	President of FPL Energy	December 15, 2006
K. Michael Davis	61	Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of FPL Group Vice President, Accounting and Chief Accounting Officer of FPL	May 13, 1991 July 1, 1991
Moray P. Dewhurst <sup>(b)</sup>	52	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FPL Group Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FPL	July 17, 2001 July 19, 2001
Robert H. Escoto	54	Vice President, Human Resources of FPL Group Assistant Secretary of FPL Group Senior Vice President, Human Resources of FPL  Assistant Secretary of FPL	January 25, 2005 November 9, 2004 February 21, 2005 January 25, 2005
Lewis Hay, III	52	Chief Executive Officer of FPL Group Chairman of the Board of FPL Group Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of FPL	June 11, 2001 January 1, 2002 January 1, 2002
Robert L. McGrath	54	Vice President, Engineering, Construction & Corporate Services of FPL Group	February 21,

			2005
		Senior Vice President, Engineering, Construction & Corporate Services of FPL	February 21, 2005
Armando J. Olivera	58	President of FPL	June 24, 2003
Armando Pimentel, Jr. (b)	45	Vice President, Finance of FPL Group Senior Vice President, Finance of FPL	February 15, 2008 February 15, 2008
James L. Robo	45	President and Chief Operating Officer of FPL Group	December 15, 2006
Antonio Rodriguez	65	Vice President, Power Generation Division of FPL Group Senior Vice President, Power Generation Division of FPL	January 1, 2007 July 1, 1999
John A. Stall	53	Vice President, Nuclear Division of FPL Group Senior Vice President, Nuclear Division of FPL	January 1, 2007 June 4, 2001
Edward F. Tancer	46	Vice President & General Counsel of FPL Group Assistant Secretary of FPL Group Senior Vice President & General Counsel of FPL Assistant Secretary of FPL	February 21, 2005 January 1, 1997 February 21, 2005 January 1, 1997

- (a) Information is as of February 27, 2008. Executive officers are elected annually by, and serve at the pleasure of, their respective boards of directors. Except as noted below, each officer has held his present position for five years or more and his employment history is continuous. Mr. Bennett was vice president, business strategy & policy of FPL Group from July 2007 to February 15, 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Bennett was vice president of Dean & Company, a management consulting and investment firm. Mr. Davidson was senior vice president of business management of FPL Energy from March 2005 to December 2006. He was vice president of business management of FPL Energy from June 2004 to March 2005. From March 2001 to September 2003, Mr. Davidson was senior vice president, energy management of Duke Energy North America (Duke) where his primary responsibility was for the overall direction, profitability, growth and risk mitigation for Duke's trading business. Mr. Davis was also controller of FPL from July 1991 to September 2007. Mr. Dewhurst was also vice president, finance of FPL Group and senior vice president, finance of FPL from July 2001 to February 15, 2008. Mr. Escoto was vice president, human resources of FPL from March 2004 to February 2005. Mr. Escoto was vice president, human resources of FPL Energy from April 2002 to November 2006. Mr. Hay was president of FPL Group from June 2001 to December 2006. Mr. McGrath was senior vice president, engineering and construction of FPL from November 2002 to February 2005. Mr. Olivera was senior vice president, power systems of FPL from July 1999 to June 2003. Mr. Pimentel was a partner of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, from June 1998 to February 9, 2008. Mr. Robo was president of FPL Energy from July 2002 to December 2006. He was also vice president, corporate development and strategy of FPL Group from March 2002 to December 2006. Mr. Tancer was associate general counsel of FPL Group from April 2003 to February 2005. He was also vice president and general counsel of FPL Energy from February 2001 to February 2005.
- (b) Mr. Dewhurst will relinquish, and Mr. Pimentel will assume, the title of chief financial officer of FPL Group and FPL on the day after the date on which FPL Group and FPL file their Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

**Risks Relating to FPL Group's and FPL's Business**

*FPL Group and FPL are subject to complex laws and regulations and to changes in laws and regulations as well as changing governmental policies and regulatory actions, including, but not limited to, initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the energy industry and environmental matters, including, but not limited to, matters related to the effects of climate change. FPL holds franchise agreements with local municipalities and counties, and must renegotiate expiring agreements. These factors may have a negative impact on the business and results of operations of FPL Group and FPL.*

- FPL Group and FPL are subject to complex laws and regulations, and to changes in laws or regulations, including, but not limited to, the PURPA, the Holding Company Act, the Federal Power Act, the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the 2005 Energy Act and certain sections of the Florida statutes relating to public utilities, changing governmental policies and regulatory actions, including, but not limited to, those of the FERC, the FPSC and the legislatures and utility commissions of other states in which FPL Group has operations, and the NRC, with respect to, among other things, allowed rates of return, industry and rate structure, operation of nuclear power facilities, construction and operation of plant facilities, construction and operation of transmission and distribution facilities, acquisition, disposal, depreciation and amortization of assets and facilities, recovery of fuel and purchased power costs, decommissioning costs, ROE and equity ratio limits, and present or prospective wholesale and retail competition (including, but not limited to, retail wheeling and transmission costs). The FPSC has the authority to disallow recovery by FPL of any and all costs that it considers excessive or imprudently incurred. The regulatory process generally restricts FPL's ability to grow earnings and does not provide any assurance as to achievement of earnings levels.
- FPL Group and FPL are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental statutes, rules and regulations, as well as the effect of changes in or additions to applicable statutes, rules and regulations relating to air quality, water quality, climate change, waste management, marine and wildlife mortality, natural resources and health and safety that could, among other things, restrict or limit the output of certain facilities or the use of certain fuels required for the production of electricity and/or require additional pollution control equipment and otherwise increase costs. There are significant capital, operating and other costs associated with compliance with these environmental statutes, rules and regulations, and those costs could be even more significant in the future.
- FPL Group and FPL operate in a changing market environment influenced by various legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation, regulation or restructuring of the energy industry, including, but not limited to, deregulation or restructuring of the production and sale of electricity, as well as increased focus on renewable energy sources. FPL Group and its subsidiaries will need to adapt to these changes and may face increasing competitive pressure.
- FPL Group's and FPL's results of operations could be affected by FPL's ability to renegotiate franchise agreements with municipalities and counties in Florida.

The operation and maintenance of transmission, distribution and power generation facilities, including nuclear facilities, involve significant risks that could adversely affect the results of operations and financial condition of FPL

Group and FPL.

- The operation and maintenance of transmission, distribution and power generation facilities involve many risks, including, but not limited to, start up risks, breakdown or failure of equipment, transmission and distribution lines or pipelines, the inability to properly manage or mitigate known equipment defects throughout FPL Group's and FPL's generation fleets and transmission and distribution systems unless and until such defects are remediated, use of new technology, the dependence on a specific fuel source, including the supply and transportation of fuel, or the impact of unusual or adverse weather conditions (including, but not limited to, natural disasters such as hurricanes and droughts), as well as the risk of performance below expected or contracted levels of output or efficiency. This could result in lost revenues and/or increased expenses, including, but not limited to, the requirement to purchase power in the market at potentially higher prices to meet contractual obligations. Insurance, warranties or performance guarantees may not cover any or all of the lost revenues or increased expenses, including, but not limited to, the cost of replacement power. In addition to these risks, FPL Group's and FPL's nuclear units face certain risks that are unique to the nuclear industry including, but not limited to, the ability to store and/or dispose of spent nuclear fuel and the potential payment of significant retrospective insurance premiums, as well as additional regulatory actions up to and including shutdown of the units stemming from public safety concerns, whether at FPL Group's and FPL's plants, or at the plants of other nuclear operators. Breakdown or failure of an operating facility of FPL Energy may prevent the facility from performing under applicable power sales agreements which, in certain situations, could result in termination of the agreement or incurring a liability for liquidated damages.

The construction of, and capital improvements to, power generation facilities, including nuclear facilities, involve substantial risks. Should construction or capital improvement efforts be unsuccessful, the results of operations and financial condition of FPL Group and FPL could be adversely affected.

19

---

- FPL Group's and FPL's ability to successfully and timely complete their power generation facilities currently under construction, those projects yet to begin construction or capital improvements to existing facilities within established budgets is contingent upon many variables, including, but not limited to, transmission interconnection issues and escalating costs for materials, labor and environmental compliance, and subject to substantial risks. Should any such efforts be unsuccessful, FPL Group and FPL could be subject to additional costs, termination payments under committed contracts, and/or the write-off of their investment in the project or improvement.

The use of derivative contracts by FPL Group and FPL in the normal course of business could result in financial losses that negatively impact the results of operations of FPL Group and FPL.

- FPL Group and FPL use derivative instruments, such as swaps, options and forwards to manage their commodity and financial market risks. FPL Group provides full energy and capacity requirements services primarily to distribution utilities and engages in energy trading activities. FPL Group could recognize financial losses as a result of volatility in the market values of these derivative instruments, or if a counterparty fails to perform. In the absence of actively quoted market prices and pricing information from external sources, the valuation of these derivative instruments involves management's judgment or use of estimates. As a result, changes in the underlying assumptions or use of alternative valuation methods could affect the reported fair value of these derivative instruments. In addition, FPL's use of such instruments could be subject to prudence challenges and if found imprudent, cost recovery could be disallowed by the FPSC.

FPL Group's competitive energy business is subject to risks, many of which are beyond the control of FPL Group, that may reduce the revenues and adversely impact the results of operations and financial condition of FPL Group.

- There are other risks associated with FPL Group's competitive energy business. In addition to risks discussed elsewhere, risk factors specifically affecting FPL Energy's success in competitive wholesale markets include, but are not limited to, the ability to efficiently develop and operate generating assets, the successful and timely completion of project restructuring activities, maintenance of the qualifying facility status of certain projects, the price and supply of fuel (including transportation), transmission constraints, competition from new sources of generation, excess generation capacity and demand for power. There can be significant volatility in market prices for fuel and electricity, and there are other financial, counterparty and market risks that are beyond the control of FPL Energy. FPL Energy's inability or failure to effectively hedge its assets or positions against changes in commodity prices, interest rates, counterparty credit risk or other risk measures could significantly impair FPL Group's future financial results. In keeping with industry trends, a portion of FPL Energy's power generation facilities operate wholly or partially without long-term power purchase agreements. As a result, power from these facilities is sold on the spot market or on a short-term contractual basis, which may affect the volatility of FPL Group's financial results. In addition, FPL Energy's business depends upon transmission facilities owned and operated by others; if transmission is disrupted or capacity is inadequate or unavailable, FPL Energy's ability to sell and deliver its wholesale power may be limited.

FPL Group's ability to successfully identify, complete and integrate acquisitions is subject to significant risks, including, but not limited to, the effect of increased competition for acquisitions resulting from the consolidation of the power industry.

- FPL Group is likely to encounter significant competition for acquisition opportunities that may become available as a result of the consolidation of the power industry, in general, as well as the passage of the 2005 Energy Act. In addition, FPL Group may be unable to identify attractive acquisition opportunities at favorable prices and to complete and integrate them successfully and in a timely manner.

Because FPL Group and FPL rely on access to capital markets, the inability to maintain current credit ratings and to access capital markets on favorable terms may limit the ability of FPL Group and FPL to grow their businesses and would likely increase interest costs.

- FPL Group and FPL rely on access to capital markets as a significant source of liquidity for capital requirements not satisfied by operating cash flows. The inability of FPL Group, FPL Group Capital and FPL to maintain their current credit ratings, as well as significant volatility in the financial markets, could affect their ability to raise capital on favorable terms, which, in turn, could impact FPL Group's and FPL's ability to grow their businesses and would likely increase their interest costs.

Customer growth in FPL's service area affects FPL Group's and FPL's results of operations.

- FPL Group's and FPL's results of operations are affected by the growth in customer accounts in FPL's service area. Customer growth can be affected by population growth as well as economic factors in Florida, including, but not limited, to job and income growth, housing starts and new home prices. Customer growth directly influences the demand for electricity and the need for additional power generation and power delivery facilities at FPL.

---

Weather affects FPL Group's and FPL's results of operations.

- FPL Group's and FPL's results of operations are affected by changes in the weather. Weather conditions directly influence the demand for electricity and natural gas, affect the price of energy commodities, and can affect the production of electricity at power generating facilities, including, but not limited to, wind, solar and hydro-powered facilities. FPL Group's and FPL's results of operations can be affected by the impact of severe weather which can be destructive, causing outages and/or property damage, may affect fuel supply, and could require additional costs

to be incurred. At FPL, recovery of these costs is subject to FPSC approval.

FPL Group and FPL are subject to costs and other effects of legal proceedings as well as changes in or additions to applicable tax laws, rates or policies, rates of inflation, accounting standards, securities laws and corporate governance requirements.

- FPL Group and FPL are subject to costs and other effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations and claims, as well as the effect of new, or changes in, tax laws, rates or policies, rates of inflation, accounting standards, securities laws and corporate governance requirements.

Threats of terrorism and catastrophic events that could result from terrorism, cyber attacks, or individuals and/or groups attempting to disrupt FPL Group's and FPL's business may impact the operations of FPL Group and FPL in unpredictable ways.

- FPL Group and FPL are subject to direct and indirect effects of terrorist threats and activities, as well as cyber attacks and disruptive activities of individuals and/or groups. Infrastructure facilities and systems, including, but not limited to, generation, transmission and distribution facilities, physical assets and information systems, in general, have been identified as potential targets. The effects of these threats and activities include, but are not limited to, the inability to generate, purchase or transmit power, the delay in development and construction of new generating facilities, the risk of a significant slowdown in growth or a decline in the U.S. economy, delay in economic recovery in the U.S., and the increased cost and adequacy of security and insurance.

The ability of FPL Group and FPL to obtain insurance and the terms of any available insurance coverage could be affected by national, state or local events and company-specific events.

- FPL Group's and FPL's ability to obtain insurance, and the cost of and coverage provided by such insurance, could be affected by national, state or local events as well as company-specific events.

FPL Group and FPL are subject to employee workforce factors that could affect the businesses and financial condition of FPL Group and FPL.

- FPL Group and FPL are subject to employee workforce factors, including, but not limited to, loss or retirement of key executives, availability of qualified personnel, inflationary pressures on payroll and benefits costs, collective bargaining agreements with union employees and work stoppage that could affect the businesses and financial condition of FPL Group and FPL.

The risks described herein are not the only risks facing FPL Group and FPL. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to FPL Group or FPL, or that are currently deemed to be immaterial, also may materially adversely affect FPL Group's or FPL's business, financial condition and/or future operating results.

#### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None

#### **Item 2. Properties**

FPL Group and its subsidiaries maintain properties which are adequate for their operations. At December 31, 2007, the electric generating, transmission, distribution and general facilities of FPL represented approximately 45%, 12%, 38% and 5%, respectively, of FPL's gross investment in electric utility plant in service.

**Generating Facilities.** At December 31, 2007, FPL Group had the following generating facilities:

FPL Facilities	Location	No. of Units	Fuel	Net Capability (mw) <sup>(a)</sup>
Nuclear				
St. Lucie	Hutchinson Island, FL	2	Nuclear	1,553 (b)
Turkey Point	Florida City, FL	2	Nuclear	1,386
Steam turbines				
Cape Canaveral	Cocoa, FL	2	Oil/Gas	792
Cutler	Miami, FL	2	Gas	204
Manatee	Parrish, FL	2	Oil/Gas	1,638
Martin	Indiantown, FL	2	Oil/Gas	1,678
Port Everglades	Port Everglades, FL	4	Oil/Gas	1,219
Riviera	Riviera Beach, FL	2	Oil/Gas	565
			Coal/Petroleum	
St. Johns River Power Park	Jacksonville, FL	2	Coke	250 (c)
Sanford	Lake Monroe, FL	1	Oil/Gas	138
Scherer	Monroe County, GA	1	Coal	646 (d)
Turkey Point	Florida City, FL	2	Oil/Gas	788
Combined-cycle				
Fort Myers	Fort Myers, FL	1	Gas	1,440
Lauderdale	Dania, FL	2	Gas/Oil	872
Manatee	Parrish, FL	1	Gas	1,104
Martin	Indiantown, FL	1	Gas/Oil	1,104
Martin	Indiantown, FL	2	Gas	956
Putnam	Palatka, FL	2	Gas/Oil	498
Sanford	Lake Monroe, FL	2	Gas	1,916
Turkey Point	Florida City, FL	1	Gas/Oil	1,144
Simple-cycle combustion turbines				
Fort Myers	Fort Myers, FL	1	Gas/Oil	324
Gas turbines/diesels				
Fort Myers	Fort Myers, FL	12	Oil	648
Lauderdale	Dania, FL	24	Oil/Gas	840
Port Everglades	Port Everglades, FL	12	Oil/Gas	420

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Turkey Point	Florida City, FL	5	Oil	12
TOTAL				<u>22,135 (e)</u>

- (a) Represents FPL's net ownership interest in plant capacity.
- (b) Excludes Orlando Utilities Commission's and the FMPA's combined share of approximately 15% of St. Lucie Unit No. 2.
- (c) Represents FPL's 20% ownership interest in each of SJRPP Units Nos. 1 and 2, which are jointly owned with JEA.
- (d) Represents FPL's approximately 76% ownership of Scherer Unit No. 4, which is jointly owned with JEA.
- (e) Substantially all of FPL's properties are subject to the lien of FPL's mortgage.

22

<u>FPL Energy Facilities</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Fuel</u>	<u>Net Capability (mw) <sup>(a)</sup></u>
Wind				
Cabazon	Riverside County, CA	53	Wind	40
Callahan Divide <sup>(b)</sup>	Taylor County, TX	76	Wind	114
Capricorn Ridge	Sterling & Coke Counties, TX	208	Wind	364
Cerro Gordo <sup>(b)</sup>	Cerro Gordo County, IA	55	Wind	41
Delaware Mountain	Culberson County, TX	38	Wind	28
Diablo Wind	Alameda County, CA	31	Wind	21
Endeavor Wind	Osceola County, IA	10	Wind	25
Gray County	Gray County, KS	170	Wind	112
Green Mountain	Somerset County, PA	8	Wind	10
Green Power	Riverside County, CA	22	Wind	17
Green Ridge Power	Alameda & Contra Costa Counties, CA	1,463	Wind	159
Hancock County <sup>(b)</sup>	Hancock County, IA	148	Wind	98
High Winds <sup>(b)</sup>	Solano County, CA	90	Wind	162
Horse Hollow Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Taylor County, TX	142	Wind	213
Horse Hollow Wind II <sup>(b)</sup>	Taylor & Nolan Counties, TX	130	Wind	299
Horse Hollow Wind III <sup>(b)</sup>	Nolan County, TX	149	Wind	224
Indian Mesa	Upton County, TX	125	Wind	83
King Mountain	Upton County, TX	214	Wind	278
Lake Benton II <sup>(b)</sup>	Pipestone County, MN	138	Wind	104
Langdon Wind	Cavalier County, ND	79	Wind	118
Logan Wind <sup>(c)</sup>	Logan County, CO	134	Wind	201
Meyersdale <sup>(b)</sup>	Somerset County, PA	20	Wind	30
Mill Run	Fayette County, PA	10	Wind	15

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Montfort <sup>(b)</sup>	Iowa County, WI	20	Wind	30
Mountaineer <sup>(b)</sup>	Preston & Tucker Counties, WV	44	Wind	66
Mower County Wind <sup>(c)</sup>	Mower County, MN	43	Wind	99
New Mexico Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Quay & DeBaca Counties, NM	136	Wind	204
North Dakota Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	LaMoure County, ND	41	Wind	62
Oklahoma / Sooner Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Harper & Woodward Counties, OK	68	Wind	102
Oliver County Wind I <sup>(c)</sup>	Oliver County, ND	22	Wind	51
Oliver County Wind II <sup>(c)</sup>	Oliver County, ND	32	Wind	48
Peeetz Table Wind <sup>(c)</sup>	Logan County, CO	133	Wind	199
Red Canyon Wind Energy <sup>(b)</sup>	Borden, Garza & Scurry Counties, TX	56	Wind	84
Sky River	Kern County, CA	342	Wind	77
Somerset Wind Power	Somerset County, PA	6	Wind	9
South Dakota Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Hyde County, SD	27	Wind	41
Southwest Mesa <sup>(b)</sup>	Upton & Crockett Counties, TX	107	Wind	75
Stateline <sup>(b)</sup>	Umatilla County, OR and Walla Walla County, WA	454	Wind	300
Vansycle <sup>(b)</sup>	Umatilla County, OR	38	Wind	25
Victory Garden	Kern County, CA	96	Wind	22
Waymart <sup>(b)</sup>	Wayne County, PA	43	Wind	65
Weatherford Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Custer & Washita Counties, OK	98	Wind	147
Wilton Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Burleigh County, ND	33	Wind	49
Windpower Partners 1991-92	Alameda & Contra Costa Counties, CA	279	Wind	28
Windpower Partners 1992	Alameda & Contra Costa Counties, CA	300	Wind	30
Windpower Partners 1993	Riverside County, CA	115	Wind	41
Windpower Partners 1993	Lincoln County, MN	73	Wind	26
Windpower Partners 1994	Culberson County, TX	109	Wind	39
Woodward Mountain	Upton & Pecos Counties, TX	242	Wind	160
Wyoming Wind <sup>(b)</sup>	Uinta County, WY	80	Wind	144
Investments in joint ventures	Various	969	(d)	98
Total Wind				<u>5,077</u>
Contracted				
Bayswater <sup>(b)</sup>	Far Rockaway, NY	2	Gas	56
Calhoun <sup>(b)</sup>	Eastaboga, AL	4	Gas	668

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Cherokee <sup>(b)</sup>	Gaffney, SC	2	Gas/Oil	98
Doswell <sup>(b)</sup>	Ashland, VA	6	Gas/Oil	708
Duane Arnold	Palo, IA	1	Nuclear	424 (e)
Jamaica Bay <sup>(b)</sup>	Far Rockaway, NY	2	Oil/Gas	54
Point Beach	Two Rivers, WI	2	Nuclear	1,023
Port of Stockton	Stockton, CA	1	Coal/Petroleum Coke	44
Investments in joint ventures:				
SEGS III-IX	Kramer Junction and Harper Lake, CA	7	Solar	148
Other	Various	9	(f)	319
Total Contracted				<u>3,542</u>

23

<u>FPL Energy Facilities</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Fuel</u>	<u>Net Capability (mw) <sup>(a)</sup></u>
Merchant				
Blythe Energy	Blythe, CA	3	Gas	507
Doswell - Expansion <sup>(b)</sup>	Ashland, VA	1	Gas/Oil	171
Forney	Forney, TX	8	Gas	1,700
Lamar Power Partners	Paris, TX	6	Gas	1,000
Maine - Cape, Wyman	Various - ME	6	Oil	743 (g)
Maine <sup>(b)</sup>	Various - ME	83	Hydro	361
Marcus Hook 50	Marcus Hook, PA	1	Gas	50
Marcus Hook 750 <sup>(b)</sup>	Marcus Hook, PA	4	Gas	744
RISEP	Johnston, RI	3	Gas	550
Seabrook	Seabrook, NH	1	Nuclear	1,098 (h)
Total Merchant				<u>6,924</u>
TOTAL				<u>15,543</u>

(a) Represents FPL Energy's net ownership interest in plant capacity.

(b) These consolidated generating facilities are encumbered by liens against their assets securing various financings.

(c) FPL Energy owns these wind facilities together with third party investors with differential membership interests. See Note 11 - Sale of Differential Membership Interests.

(d) Represents plants with no more than 50% ownership using wind technology.

(e) Excludes Central Iowa Power Cooperative and Cornbelt Power Cooperative's combined share of 30%.

(f) Represents plants with no more than 50% ownership using fuels and technologies such as gas, waste-to-energy and coal.

(g) Excludes seven other energy-related partners' combined share of 24%.

(h)

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Excludes Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company's, Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant's and Hudson Light & Power Department's combined share of 11.77%.

**Transmission and Distribution.** At December 31, 2007, FPL owned and operated the following electric transmission and distribution lines:

Nominal Voltage	Overhead Lines Pole Miles	Trench and Submarine Cables Miles
500 kv	1,106 (a)	-
230 kv	2,943	25
138 kv	1,609	50
115 kv	730	-
69 kv	164	14
Less than 69 kv	<u>41,690</u>	<u>25,053</u>
Total	<u>48,242</u>	<u>25,142</u>

(a) Includes approximately 75 miles owned jointly with JEA.

In addition, at December 31, 2007, FPL owned and operated 573 substations, one of which is jointly owned. See Note 8.

**Character of Ownership.** Substantially all of FPL's properties are subject to the lien of FPL's mortgage, which secures most debt securities issued by FPL. The majority of FPL Group's principal properties are held by FPL in fee and are free from other encumbrances, subject to minor exceptions, none of which is of such a nature as to substantially impair the usefulness to FPL of such properties. Some of FPL's electric lines are located on land not owned in fee but are covered by necessary consents of governmental authorities or rights obtained from owners of private property. The majority of FPL Energy's generating facilities are held in fee and a number of those facilities are encumbered by liens against their assets securing various financings. Additionally, some of FPL Energy's wind turbines are located on land leased from owners of private property. See Generating Facilities and Note 1 - Electric Plant, Depreciation and Amortization.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

FPL Group and FPL are parties to various lawsuits in the ordinary course of their respective businesses. For information regarding material lawsuits, see Note 16 - Litigation. Such descriptions are incorporated herein by reference.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

**Common Stock Data.** All of FPL's common stock is owned by FPL Group. FPL Group's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "FPL." The high and low sales prices for the common stock of FPL Group as reported in the consolidated transaction reporting system of the New York Stock Exchange for each quarter during the past two years are as follows:

Quarter	2007		2006	
	High	Low	High	Low
First	\$ 63.07	\$ 53.72	\$ 43.42	\$ 38.85
Second	\$ 66.52	\$ 56.18	\$ 41.97	\$ 37.81
Third	\$ 64.20	\$ 54.61	\$ 45.87	\$ 40.59
Fourth	\$ 72.77	\$ 60.26	\$ 55.57	\$ 44.97

**Approximate Number of Shareholders.** As of the close of business on January 31, 2008, there were 29,765 holders of record of FPL Group's common stock.

**Dividends.** Quarterly dividends have been paid on common stock of FPL Group during the past two years in the following amounts per share:

Quarter	2007	2006
First	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.375
Second	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.375
Third	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.375
Fourth	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.375

The amount and timing of dividends payable on FPL Group's common stock are within the sole discretion of FPL Group's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors reviews the dividend rate at least annually (generally in February) to determine its appropriateness in light of FPL Group's financial position and results of operations, legislative and regulatory developments affecting the electric utility industry in general and FPL in particular, competitive conditions and any other factors the board deems relevant. The ability of FPL Group to pay dividends on its common stock is dependent upon, among other things, dividends paid to it by its subsidiaries. There are no restrictions in effect that currently limit FPL's ability to pay dividends to FPL Group. In February 2008, FPL Group announced that it would increase its quarterly dividend on its common stock from \$0.41 to \$0.445 per share. See Management's Discussion

– Liquidity and Capital Resources - Covenants with respect to dividend restrictions and Note 12 – Common Stock Dividend Restrictions regarding dividends paid by FPL to FPL Group.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.** The following table presents information regarding purchases made by FPL Group of its common stock:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(a)</sup>	Average Price Paid Per Share <sup>(a)</sup>	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Program <sup>(b)</sup>
10/1/07 - 10/31/07	782	\$ 62.03	-	20,000,000
11/1/07 - 11/30/07	1,316	\$ 68.73	-	20,000,000
12/1/07 - 12/31/07	-	\$ -	-	20,000,000
Total	<u>2,098</u>		<u>-</u>	

(a) Shares of common stock purchased from employees to pay certain withholding taxes upon the vesting of stock awards granted to such employees under the LTIP.

(b) In February 2005, FPL Group's Board of Directors authorized a common stock repurchase plan of up to 20 million shares of common stock over an unspecified period, which authorization was ratified and confirmed by FPL Group's Board of Directors in December 2005.

25

#### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
SELECTED DATA OF FPL GROUP (millions, except per share amounts):					
Operating revenues	\$ 15,263	\$ 15,710	\$ 11,846	\$ 10,522	\$ 9,630
Income before cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles	\$ 1,312 (a)	\$ 1,281 (b)	\$ 901 (a)	\$ 896 (c)	\$ 906 (a)
Cumulative effect of adopting FIN 46, net of income taxes of \$2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (3)
Net income	\$ 1,312 (a)	\$ 1,281 (b)	\$ 901 (a)	\$ 896 (c)	\$ 903 (d)
Earnings per share of common stock - basic:					
Earnings per share before cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles	\$ 3.30 (a)	\$ 3.25 (b)	\$ 2.37 (e)	\$ 2.50 (c)	\$ 2.55 (a)
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.01)

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles					
Earnings per share	\$ 3.30 (a)	\$ 3.25 (b)	\$ 2.37	\$ 2.50 (c)	\$ 2.54 (d)
Earnings per share of common stock - assuming dilution:					
Earnings per share before cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles					
	\$ 3.27 (a)	\$ 3.23 (b)	\$ 2.34 (a)	\$ 2.48 (c)	\$ 2.54 (a)
Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles					
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.01 )
Earnings per share	\$ 3.27 (a)	\$ 3.23 (b)	\$ 2.34 (a)	\$ 2.48 (c)	\$ 2.53 (d)
Dividends paid per share of common stock	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.20
Total assets	\$ 40,123	\$ 35,822 (e)	\$ 32,599 (e)	\$ 28,324	\$ 26,955
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	\$ 11,280	\$ 9,591	\$ 8,039	\$ 8,027	\$ 8,723
SELECTED DATA OF FPL (millions):					
Operating revenues	\$ 11,622	\$ 11,988	\$ 9,528	\$ 8,734	\$ 8,293
Net income available to FPL Group	\$ 836	\$ 802	\$ 748	\$ 749	\$ 733
Total assets	\$ 24,044	\$ 22,970 (e)	\$ 22,347 (e)	\$ 19,114	\$ 17,817
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	\$ 4,976	\$ 4,214	\$ 3,271	\$ 2,813	\$ 3,074
Energy sales (kwh)	108,636	107,513	105,648	103,635	103,202
Energy sales:					
Residential	50.8 %	50.8 %	51.4 %	50.7 %	51.8 %
Commercial	42.3	41.4	41.1	40.6	40.1
Industrial	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.9
Interchange power sales	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.3
Other <sup>(f)</sup>	1.6	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9
Total	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>
Approximate 60-minute peak load (mw): <sup>(g)</sup>					
Summer season	21,962	21,819	22,361	20,545	19,668
Winter season	18,055	17,260	19,683	18,108	15,989
Average number of customer accounts (thousands):					
Residential	3,981	3,906	3,828	3,745	3,653
Commercial	493	479	470	458	445
Industrial	19	21	20	19	17
Other	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>

Total	<u>4,497</u>	<u>4,410</u>	<u>4,322</u>	<u>4,225</u>	<u>4,117</u>
Average price billed to customers (cents per kwh)	10.63	11.14	8.88	8.36	7.95

- 
- (a) Includes net unrealized mark-to-market gains or losses associated with non-qualifying hedges.
- (b) Includes expenses related to a terminated merger, net unrealized mark-to-market gains associated with non-qualifying hedges, impairment charges and an Indonesian project gain.
- (c) Includes impairment and restructuring charges and net unrealized mark-to-market losses associated with non-qualifying hedges.
- (d) Includes the cumulative effect of an accounting change and net unrealized mark-to-market gains associated with non-qualifying hedges.
- (e) Amounts have been adjusted to reflect the retrospective application of FASB Staff Position (FSP) FIN 39-1, "Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39." See Note 4.
- (f) Includes the net change in unbilled sales.
- (g) Winter season includes November and December of the current year and January to March of the following year (for 2007, through February 27, 2008).

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein. In the discussion of Results of Operations below, all comparisons are with the corresponding items in the prior year.

### Overview

FPL Group is one of the nation's largest providers of electricity-related services. It has two principal operating subsidiaries, FPL and FPL Energy. FPL serves more than 8.7 million people throughout most of the east and lower west coasts of Florida. FPL Energy, FPL Group's competitive energy subsidiary, produces electricity primarily utilizing natural gas, wind and nuclear resources. Together, FPL's and FPL Energy's generating assets represented approximately 37,700 mw of capacity at December 31, 2007. FPL FiberNet provides fiber-optic services to FPL, telecommunications companies and other customers throughout Florida.

---

FPL obtains its operating revenues primarily from the retail sale of electricity. FPL expects the 2005 rate agreement to be in effect through December 31, 2009. See Note 1 - Revenues and Rates. Over the last ten years, FPL's average annual customer growth has been 2.2% while usage growth per customer has been 0.6%. FPL has observed a decline in non-weather related usage per customer and, in the latter part of 2007, began to experience a slowdown in customer growth in its service territory. FPL suspects that weakness in the housing markets in both Florida and the rest of the United States has contributed to a slowdown in customer growth and, to a lesser extent, may have also contributed to the decline in non-weather related usage per customer. FPL's business strategy is to continue meeting the increased demands of customers in a safe, reliable, cost-effective manner while focusing on operating performance.

FPL Energy is in the competitive energy business with the majority of its operating revenues derived from wholesale electricity sales. Its business strategy is to maximize the value of its current portfolio, expand its U.S. market-leading wind position and build its portfolio through asset acquisitions. FPL Energy plans to add a total of 8,000 mw to 10,000 mw of new wind generation over the 2007 - 2012 period, of which 1,064 mw were added in 2007 and at least 1,100 mw are expected to be added in 2008. In addition, FPL Energy intends to pursue opportunities for new solar generating facilities. The wind and solar expansions are subject to, among other things, continued public policy support.

FPL Energy's market is diversified by region as well as by fuel source. FPL Energy sells a large percentage of its expected output to hedge against price volatility. Therefore, if FPL Energy's plants do not perform as expected, FPL Energy could be required to purchase power at potentially higher market prices to meet its contractual obligations. FPL Energy's energy marketing and trading business is focused on managing commodity price risk and extracting maximum value from its assets.

FPL Group and its subsidiaries segregate unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses on derivative transactions into two categories. The first category, referred to as trading activities, represents the net unrealized effect of actively traded positions entered into to take advantage of market price movements and to optimize the value of generation assets and related contracts. The second category, referred to as non-qualifying hedges, represents the net unrealized effect of derivative transactions entered into as economic hedges (but which do not qualify for hedge accounting under FAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended) and the ineffective portion of transactions accounted for as cash flow hedges. FPL Group uses derivative instruments to manage its commodity price and interest rate risk.

FPL Group's management uses earnings excluding certain items (adjusted earnings), which in 2007, 2006 and 2005 were the unrealized mark-to-market effect of non-qualifying hedges and, in 2006 also included merger-related costs, internally for financial planning, for analysis of performance, for reporting of results to the Board of Directors and as inputs in determining whether performance targets are met for performance-based compensation under FPL Group's employee incentive compensation plans. FPL Group also uses adjusted earnings when communicating its earnings outlook to investors. FPL Group's management believes adjusted earnings provide a more meaningful representation of the company's fundamental earnings power. Although the excluded amounts are properly included in the determination of net income in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, management believes that the amount and/or nature of such items make period to period comparisons of operations difficult and potentially confusing.

FPL Group and FPL adopted FSP FIN 39-1 effective December 31, 2007, which amended certain provisions of FIN 39, "Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts," and required the offsetting of amounts recognized for the right to reclaim and obligation to return cash collateral against amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement when such offsetting derivative positions are presented on a net basis. FSP FIN 39-1 required retrospective application and, accordingly, all prior period consolidated balance sheets of FPL Group and FPL have been adjusted to reflect this change. See Note 4.

## Results of Operations

### Summary

- Presented below is a summary of net income (loss) by reportable segment (see Note 17):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
		(millions)	
FPL	\$ 836	\$ 802	\$ 748
FPL Energy	540	610	203
Corporate and Other	(64 )	(131 )	(50 )
FPL Group Consolidated	<u>\$ 1,312</u>	<u>\$ 1,281</u>	<u>\$ 901</u>

27

FPL's 2007 improved results benefited from a retail base rate increase associated with Turkey Point Unit No. 5 and continued retail customer growth, partly offset by higher O&M and depreciation and amortization expenses recovered through base rates, a slight decline in retail customer usage and lower interest income on underrecovered fuel and storm costs. In addition, disallowed storm costs, net of certain interest, reduced 2006 net income by approximately \$27 million. FPL's 2006 improved results reflect lower depreciation and amortization expense and customer growth partly offset by the expensing of disallowed 2005 storm costs, higher O&M expenses and a slight decline in usage per retail customer.

FPL Energy's 2007 results reflect additional earnings from the existing portfolio, from new investments and from full energy and capacity requirements services and trading. These benefits were partially offset by higher interest, general and administrative expenses to support the growth in the business. FPL Energy's 2006 results reflect an approximately \$97 million gain (\$63 million after-tax) resulting from a court judgment relating to an Indonesian project that was suspended in 1998, additional earnings from new investments and improved results from the existing portfolio. In addition, FPL Group's and FPL Energy's net income for 2007 and 2005 reflect net unrealized after-tax losses from non-qualifying hedges of \$86 million and \$112 million, respectively, while 2006 net income reflects net unrealized after-tax gains from such hedges of \$92 million. The change in unrealized mark-to-market activity is primarily attributable to changes in forward power and natural gas prices, as well as the reversal of previously recognized unrealized mark-to-market gains/losses as the underlying transactions are realized. As a general rule, a gain (loss) in the non-qualifying hedge category is offset by decreases (increases) in the fair value of related physical asset positions in the portfolio or contracts, which are not marked to market under generally accepted accounting principles.

Results for Corporate and Other in 2007 reflect lower interest costs and higher interest income, partly offset by lower federal and state tax benefits. In addition, results for Corporate and Other in 2006 reflect a \$98 million (\$60 million after-tax) impairment charge related to FPL FiberNet's metro market assets as a result of significant changes in the business climate in which FPL FiberNet operates. In addition, Corporate and Other's 2006 results reflect approximately \$14 million of after-tax merger costs associated with the proposed merger between FPL Group and Constellation Energy Group, Inc. (Constellation Energy), which was terminated in October 2006. See Note 5 - Corporate and Other for FPL FiberNet impairment charges and Note 17 for segment information.

FPL Group's effective tax rate for all periods presented reflects PTCs for wind projects at FPL Energy. PTCs can significantly affect FPL Group's effective tax rate depending on the amount of pretax income and wind generation. See Note 1 - Income Taxes, Note 6 and Note 11 - Sale of Differential Membership Interests.

*FPL* - FPL's net income for 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$836 million, \$802 million and \$748 million, respectively, an increase in 2007 of \$34 million and an increase in 2006 of \$54 million. FPL's 2007 results benefited from a retail base rate increase associated with Turkey Point Unit No. 5 commencing commercial operation on May 1, 2007 and continued retail customer growth. These factors were partly offset by higher O&M and depreciation and amortization expenses recovered through base rates, a slight decline in usage per retail customer and lower interest income on underrecovered fuel and storm costs. In addition, disallowed storm costs, net of interest income recorded on 2005 storm restoration costs approved for recovery by the FPSC, reduced FPL's 2006 net income by approximately \$27 million. In 2006, when considering FPL's petition to recover 2005 storm costs, the FPSC applied a different standard for recovery of 2005 costs than was used for recovery of the 2004 storm costs. This resulted in certain adjustments and disallowances of storm costs that FPL sought to recover. FPL's 2006 net income benefited from lower depreciation and amortization expense, continued customer growth and certain federal income tax deductions and credits. These factors were partially offset by higher O&M and property tax expenses, the storm cost disallowance and a slight decline in usage per retail customer.

FPL's current retail base rates were approved by the FPSC in 2005 and are effective through December 31, 2009. The 2005 rate agreement replaced the 2002 rate agreement which was in effect from April 15, 2002 through December 31, 2005. The 2005 rate agreement provides that retail base rates will not increase during the term of the agreement except to allow recovery of the revenue requirements of any power plant approved pursuant to the Siting Act that achieves commercial operation during the term of the 2005 rate agreement. Retail base rates increased in 2007 when Turkey Point Unit No. 5 commenced commercial operation on May 1, 2007. The 2005 rate agreement also continues the revenue sharing mechanism in the 2002 rate agreement, whereby revenues from retail base operations in excess of certain thresholds will be shared with customers on the basis of two-thirds refunded to customers and one-third retained by FPL. Revenues from retail base operations in excess of a second, higher threshold (cap) will be refunded 100% to customers. The revenue sharing threshold and cap are adjusted each year. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, revenues from retail base operations did not exceed the thresholds for those years and FPL does not expect 2008 revenues to exceed the thresholds. See Note 1 - Revenues and Rates for information on the calculation of the threshold and cap and for information on FPL's regulatory ROE.

The 2005 rate agreement, among other things, requires FPL to use electric property depreciation rates based upon comprehensive depreciation studies filed with the FPSC in March 2005 and permits FPL to continue to reduce annual depreciation by up to \$125 million, which FPL has been doing since 2002. The 2005 rate agreement suspended contributions of approximately \$79 million per year to the nuclear decommissioning fund beginning in September 2005. The 2005 rate agreement also suspended, beginning in 2006, contributions of \$20.3 million per year to the storm and property insurance reserve but allows FPL to recover prudently incurred storm restoration costs, either through securitization provisions pursuant to a state financing statute or surcharges. See Note 1 - Securitization.

28

FPL's operating revenues consisted of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
		(millions)	
Retail base	\$ 3,796	\$ 3,657	\$ 3,658
Fuel cost recovery	6,162	6,573	4,283

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Other cost recovery clauses and pass-through costs	1,490	1,588	1,368
Other, primarily gas, transmission and wholesale sales and customer-related fees	174	170	219
Total	<u>\$ 11,622</u>	<u>\$ 11,988</u>	<u>\$ 9,528</u>

For the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase in the average number of customers of 2.0% increased retail base revenues by approximately \$71 million. During this period, usage per retail customer decreased 0.4%. This usage decrease, as well as other factors, decreased retail base revenues by approximately \$18 million. In addition, a base rate increase resulting from Turkey Point Unit No. 5 commencing commercial operation on May 1, 2007 increased retail base revenues by approximately \$86 million. Although the 2007 increase in the average number of customers was the same as the 2006 increase, the pattern of growth was considerably different. Much of the 2007 growth occurred early in the year and there was very little customer growth in the latter part of 2007. FPL suspects the weakness in the housing markets in both Florida and the rest of the United States has contributed to this slowdown in FPL's customer growth and, to a lesser extent, may have also contributed to a decline in non-weather related usage per customer.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase in the average number of customers of 2.0% increased retail base revenues by approximately \$74 million. During this period, usage per retail customer decreased 0.4% primarily due to warmer weather experienced in 2005 and the elasticity effect on customers of higher electricity prices in 2006 reflecting the increase in FPL's retail fuel clause recovery factor as discussed below. This decrease in usage per retail customer was partly offset by the absence of hurricane activity in 2006 compared to the 2005 activity that caused customer service interruptions throughout FPL's service territory. This usage decrease, as well as other factors, decreased retail base revenues by approximately \$23 million. In addition, under the 2005 rate agreement, FPL was authorized by the FPSC to collect, through a separate charge on a customer bill, the portion (approximately 1.5%) of gross receipts taxes that was previously embedded in base rates. This resulted in an approximately \$52 million reduction in retail base revenues with a corresponding increase in revenues from other cost recovery clauses and pass-through costs.

Revenues from fuel and other cost recovery clauses and pass-through costs, such as franchise fees, revenue taxes and storm-related surcharges, do not significantly affect net income; however, underrecovery or overrecovery of such costs can significantly affect FPL Group's and FPL's operating cash flows. Fluctuations in fuel cost recovery revenues are primarily driven by changes in fuel and energy charges which are included in fuel, purchased power and interchange expense, as well as by changes in energy sales. Fluctuations in revenues from other cost recovery clauses and pass-through costs are primarily driven by changes in storm-related surcharges, capacity charges, franchise fee costs, the impact of changes in O&M and depreciation expenses on the underlying cost recovery clause, as well as changes in energy sales. Capacity charges and franchise fee costs are included in fuel, purchased power and interchange and taxes other than income taxes, respectively, in the consolidated statements of income.

Ordinarily, the fuel charge is set annually based on estimated fuel costs and estimated customer usage, plus or minus a true-up for prior period estimates. FPL utilizes a risk management fuel procurement program which was approved by the FPSC at the program's inception. The FPSC reviews the program activities and results for prudence on an annual basis as part of its annual review of fuel costs. The program is intended to reduce the risk of unexpected fuel price volatility by locking in fuel prices for a portion of FPL's fuel requirements. In response to higher fuel prices, as well as the recovery of a portion of underrecovered fuel costs from 2005, in January 2006 the retail fuel clause recovery factor was increased approximately 46%, which was the primary contributor to the increase in fuel cost recovery revenues in 2006. The retail fuel clause recovery factor for 2007 was reduced approximately 7.2% in January 2007 primarily in response to expected fuel price changes. This factor declined again by another 2.3% during the second

quarter of 2007 when Turkey Point Unit No. 5 was placed in service, although a typical 1,000 kwh residential bill remained the same because the previously discussed base rate increase for this unit offset the fuel clause recovery factor decline.

In May 2007, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL issued \$652 million aggregate principal amount of storm-recovery bonds primarily for the after-tax equivalent of the total of FPL's unrecovered balance of the 2004 storm restoration costs, the 2005 storm restoration costs and approximately \$200 million to reestablish FPL's storm and property insurance reserve. The storm-recovery bonds, including interest and bond issuance costs, are being repaid through a surcharge to retail customers. Prior to the issuance of these storm-recovery bonds, FPL had been recovering from retail customers since February 2005, the 2004 storm restoration costs through a storm damage surcharge. Both the revenues from the 2004 storm damage surcharge and the storm-recovery bonds surcharge are included in other cost recovery clauses and pass-through costs and amounted to approximately \$94 million, \$151 million and \$155 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Revenues from other cost recovery clauses and pass-through costs also declined in 2007 due to the absence in 2007 of the recovery of a portion of litigation costs that FPL had been recovering since 2002 through the capacity clause. See further discussion, see Note 1 - Securitization and see discussion below of depreciation and amortization expense.

29

The decrease in other revenues in 2006 is primarily due to the transfer, effective January 1, 2006, of FPL's retail gas contracts to a subsidiary of FPL Group Capital, which also reduced FPL's fuel expense by approximately \$64 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The major components of FPL's fuel, purchased power and interchange expense are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
		(millions)	
Fuel and energy charges during the period	\$ 6,259	\$ 5,662	\$ 5,213
Recovery of costs incurred in a prior period	91	743	140
Net over (under) recovery of costs during the period	(142 )	194	(1,027 )
Other, primarily capacity charges net of any capacity deferral	518	517	584
Total	\$ 6,726	\$ 7,116	\$ 4,910

The increase in fuel and energy charges in 2007 reflects higher fuel and energy prices of approximately \$532 million and approximately \$65 million attributable to higher energy sales. The increase in fuel and energy charges in 2006 reflects higher fuel and energy prices of approximately \$415 million and approximately \$98 million attributable to higher energy sales, partly offset by approximately \$64 million related to the transfer of FPL's retail gas contracts. The recovery of costs incurred in a prior period represents the collection of underrecovered fuel costs the FPSC permitted FPL to start collecting at the beginning of the respective year. The net overrecovery (underrecovery) of costs during the period represents fuel clause collections from customers which were higher (lower) than fuel and energy costs incurred.

FPL's O&M expenses increased \$80 million in 2007 reflecting higher nuclear, fossil generation, distribution, customer service and employee benefits costs of approximately \$23 million, \$11 million, \$11 million, \$7 million and \$17 million, respectively. The increase in nuclear costs reflects plant improvement initiatives at Turkey Point Unit Nos. 3

and 4 while the fossil generation increase reflects costs associated with placing Turkey Point Unit No. 5 in service as well as costs associated with plant repair and a performance payment made to an owner of a jointly-owned plant. The distribution increase reflects higher Storm Secure costs partly offset by lower new service account costs reflecting a decline in housing starts in FPL's territory. The customer service increase reflects staffing increases related to customer growth and higher uncollectible accounts. Other changes in O&M expenses were primarily driven by pass-through costs which did not significantly affect net income. Management expects O&M expenses in 2008 to continue trending upward reflecting higher nuclear, fossil generation, customer service and employee benefit costs.

FPL's O&M expenses increased \$67 million in 2006 primarily due to higher transmission and distribution costs and costs associated with Storm Secure totaling approximately \$39 million, higher employee benefit costs, primarily medical, of approximately \$10 million and higher customer service costs of approximately \$19 million reflecting additional staffing needs and higher uncollectible accounts as a result of higher customer bills. These factors were partially offset by the suspension in 2006 of approximately \$20 million of contributions to the storm and property insurance reserve in accordance with the 2005 rate agreement. Other changes in O&M expenses were primarily driven by pass-through costs which did not significantly affect net income.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased \$14 million. Depreciation and amortization expense in 2006 included approximately \$45 million of amortization of litigation costs that FPL had been recovering through cost recovery clauses over a five-year period that began January 1, 2002 and ended December 31, 2006. Depreciation and amortization expense in 2007 reflects higher depreciation on transmission and distribution facilities (approximately \$25 million) to support customer growth and demand and depreciation on Turkey Point Unit No. 5 (approximately \$18 million). The remaining change in depreciation and amortization expense is primarily due to lower depreciation on software that has been fully depreciated. Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased \$164 million primarily benefiting from lower depreciation rates and the elimination of the decommissioning accrual approved as part of the 2005 rate agreement (a collective benefit of approximately \$242 million). This reduction in depreciation rates applied to substantially all power plant assets including Turkey Point Units Nos. 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units Nos. 1 and 2, which have received 20-year license extensions. This was partially offset by FPL's continued investment in transmission and distribution facilities to support customer growth and demand (approximately \$31 million), depreciation from the addition of two new generating units at FPL's existing Martin and Manatee power plant sites which became operational on June 30, 2005 (approximately \$23 million) and increased nuclear depreciation related to plant additions (approximately \$24 million).

Taxes other than income taxes decreased \$13 million in 2007 but increased \$187 million in 2006 primarily due to changes in franchise fees and revenue taxes, which are pass-through costs. Franchise fees and revenue taxes vary as a result of fluctuations in fuel and other cost recovery clause revenues, which is discussed above under the operating revenue table. In addition, taxes other than income taxes in 2007 reflect lower property taxes primarily due to a property tax reduction enacted by the Florida legislature partly offset by higher property taxes due to growth in plant in service balances. In 2006, taxes other than income taxes include higher property taxes reflecting growth in plant in service balances.

---

Interest charges for 2007 reflect additional borrowings, including the \$652 million of storm-recovery bonds issued in May 2007. Interest charges for 2006 increased primarily due to higher average debt balances used to fund increased investment in generation, transmission and distribution expansion, and to pay for unrecovered fuel and storm restoration costs. Average interest rates in 2007 were approximately the same as the prior year while 2006 rates

increased approximately 20 basis points. The increase in AFUDC in 2007 is primarily attributable to additional AFUDC on two natural gas-fired combined-cycle units of approximately 1,220 mw each at FPL's West County Energy Center in western Palm Beach County, Florida, the steam generator replacement project at St. Lucie Unit No. 2 and nuclear spent fuel storage projects, partially offset by lower AFUDC on Turkey Point Unit No. 5, which was placed in service in 2007. The decline in AFUDC in 2006 is primarily attributable to the placement of the additional Martin and Manatee units in service on June 30, 2005, partially offset by increased AFUDC on Turkey Point Unit No. 5. Interest income in 2007 declined reflecting the cessation of interest on FPL's unrecovered balance of the storm reserve deficiency, which was collected with the issuance of the storm-recovery bonds in May 2007. Interest income increased in 2006 reflecting higher interest accrued on the unrecovered balance of the storm reserve deficiency.

FPL currently faces competition from other suppliers of electrical energy to wholesale customers and from alternative energy sources and self-generation for other customer groups, primarily industrial customers. The FERC has jurisdiction over potential changes that could affect competition in wholesale transactions. In 2007, operating revenues from wholesale and industrial customers combined represented approximately 4% of FPL's total operating revenues. Various states, other than Florida, have enacted legislation or have state commissions that have issued orders designed to allow retail customers to choose their electricity supplier. Management believes it is unlikely there will be any state actions to restructure the retail electric industry in Florida in the near future. If the basis of regulation for some or all of FPL's business changes from cost-based regulation, existing regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off unless regulators specify an alternative means of recovery or refund. Further, other aspects of the business, such as generation assets and long-term power purchase commitments, would need to be reviewed to assess their recoverability in a changed regulatory environment. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates - Regulatory Accounting.

In 2007, the FPSC denied FPL's need petition for two ultra super critical pulverized coal generating units in Glades County, Florida. FPL subsequently filed a petition with the FPSC requesting authorization to defer, until the next retail base rate proceeding, approximately \$35 million of preconstruction costs associated with the coal units, with amortization over a five-year period beginning when new base rates are implemented. These costs are currently reflected in other assets on FPL Group's and FPL's consolidated balance sheets. Any portion of these costs not approved for recovery would be expensed. A decision is expected in April 2008. FPL is currently constructing two natural gas-fired combined-cycle units of approximately 1,220 mw each at its West County Energy Center which are expected to be in service by mid-2009 and 2010. FPL is proposing to build a third natural gas-fired combined-cycle unit of approximately 1,220 mw at the same site that would be operational in 2011. FPL is in the process of adding approximately 400 mw of baseload capacity at its existing nuclear units at St. Lucie and Turkey Point, which is projected to be in service by the end of 2012. In addition, FPL is seeking FPSC approval for two additional nuclear units totaling between 2,200 mw and 3,040 mw of baseload capacity at its Turkey Point site with projected in-service dates between 2018 and 2020; an FPSC decision is expected in March 2008. Additional approvals from other regulatory agencies will also be required later in the process. In 2007, the FPSC approved a nuclear cost recovery rule that provides for the recovery of prudently incurred pre-construction costs and carrying charges (equal to the pretax AFUDC rate) on construction costs for new nuclear capacity through levelized charges under the capacity clause and for the recovery of construction costs, once the new capacity goes into service, through a base rate increase. As part of the FPSC's approval of the addition of approximately 400 mw of baseload capacity to FPL's existing nuclear units, FPL received approval to recover costs associated with the project through the nuclear cost recovery rule. The estimated costs of the new nuclear units are not included in the capital expenditures table in Note 16 - Commitments because the new units are subject to, among other things, various regulatory and other approvals.

respectively, a decrease in 2007 of \$70 million and an increase in 2006 of \$407 million. The primary drivers, on an after-tax basis, of these changes were as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
	(millions)	
New investments <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 78	\$ 112
Existing assets <sup>(a)</sup>	112	54
Full energy and capacity requirements services and trading	56	26
Restructuring activities and asset sales	(14 )	(20 )
Indonesian project gain	(63 )	63
Interest expense and other	(61 )	(32 )
Change in unrealized mark-to-market non-qualifying hedge activity <sup>(b)</sup>	(178 )	204
Net income increase (decrease)	<u>\$ (70 )</u>	<u>\$ 407</u>

(a) Includes PTCs on wind projects but does not include allocation of interest expense or corporate general and administrative expenses. See Note 1 - Income Taxes. Results from new projects are included in new investments during the first twelve months of operation. A project's results are included in existing assets beginning with the thirteenth month of operation.

(b) For discussion of derivative instruments, see Note 4 and Overview.

The increase in FPL Energy's 2007 results from new investment reflects the addition of over 3,400 mw of wind and nuclear generation during or after 2006. The 2006 increase reflects over 1,800 mw of wind, nuclear and solar generation during or after 2005.

In 2007, FPL Energy's existing asset portfolio benefited from improved market conditions in the NEPOOL and ERCOT regions and the absence of a refueling outage at the Seabrook nuclear facility. This was partially offset by lower wind resource, by the effect of the completion, in January 2007, of the amortization of deferred income under a power purchase agreement related to a combined-cycle plant in the NEPOOL region and by the reduction in the contracted capacity price at a combined-cycle plant in the PJM region. Results in the NEPOOL and PJM regions also benefited from new FERC-approved forward capacity markets that began in December 2006 and June 2007, respectively. In 2006, the existing portfolio benefited from improved market conditions in the NEPOOL, ERCOT and PJM regions and a higher wind resource. This was partially offset by the unfavorable impact of a longer refueling outage in 2006 as compared to 2005 at the Seabrook nuclear facility. In addition, included in the existing assets line item was a \$4 million after-tax (\$8 million pretax) impairment charge recorded by FPL Energy in 2006 related to a California coal plant as well as the favorable effect of prior contract restructurings. See Note 5 - FPL Energy.

FPL Energy's 2007 and 2006 financial results benefited from increased gains from its full energy and capacity requirements services and trading activities. Full energy and capacity requirements services include load-following services, which require the supplier of energy to vary the quantity delivered based on the load demand needs of the customer, as well as various ancillary services.

The decrease in 2007 of restructuring activities and asset sales is primarily due to the absence of a \$12 million after-tax gain recorded in 2006 on the sale of wind development rights. The 2006 amounts were lower than 2005 reflecting the absence of gains recorded in 2005 from asset sales and from a contract restructuring, partly offset by the 2006 gain on the sale of wind development rights. The Indonesian project gain reflects a \$63 million after-tax gain (\$97 million pretax) recorded by FPL Energy in 2006 as the result of a court judgment.

In both 2007 and 2006, interest expense and other reflects higher interest expense primarily due to higher debt balances as a result of growth in the business as well as an increase in average interest rates of approximately 9 basis points and 38 basis points for 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, interest and other in both 2007 and 2006 includes higher corporate general and administrative expenses to support the growth in the business.

In 2007 and 2005, FPL Energy recorded after-tax net unrealized mark-to-market losses on non-qualifying hedges of approximately \$86 million and \$112 million, respectively. During 2006, FPL Energy recorded after-tax net unrealized mark-to-market gains of approximately \$92 million. The change in unrealized mark-to-market activity for 2007 compared to 2006 is primarily attributable to increased forward power and natural gas prices, as well as the reversal of previously recognized unrealized mark-to-market gains as the underlying transactions were realized during 2007. The change in unrealized mark-to-market activity for 2006 compared to 2005 is primarily attributable to decreased forward power and natural gas prices, as well as the reversal of previously recognized unrealized mark-to-market losses as the underlying transactions were realized during 2006.

FPL Energy's operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased \$84 million reflecting \$342 million of unrealized mark-to-market losses from non-qualifying hedges compared to \$496 million of gains on such hedges in the 2006 period. Excluding this mark-to-market activity, revenues benefited from project additions, favorable market conditions in the NEPOOL and ERCOT regions, the absence of a refueling outage at the Seabrook nuclear facility and increased gains from its full energy and capacity requirements services, partially offset by unfavorable wind resource. Operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$1,337 million primarily due to gains of \$496 million on unrealized mark-to-market non-qualifying hedge activity in 2006 compared to losses on such hedges of \$249 million in the 2005 period. Excluding this mark-to-market activity, revenues benefited from project additions, favorable market conditions in the NEPOOL, ERCOT and PJM regions, and a higher wind resource, partially offset by the impact of the longer refueling outage in 2006 as compared to 2005 for the Seabrook nuclear facility. Also, operating revenues in 2006 include approximately \$12 million related to the settlement of certain operational performance issues with wind turbine equipment suppliers.

FPL Energy's operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased \$50 million reflecting \$198 million of unrealized mark-to-market gains from non-qualifying hedges compared to \$318 million of losses on such hedges in the 2006 period. Excluding these mark-to-market changes which are reflected in fuel, purchased power and interchange expense in FPL Group's consolidated statements of income, operating expenses increased primarily due to project additions, higher fuel costs and higher corporate general and administrative expenses to support the growth in the business. FPL Energy's operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$736 million reflecting \$318 million of unrealized mark-to-market losses from non-qualifying hedges compared to \$63 million of gains on such hedges in the 2005 period. Excluding these mark-to-market changes, operating expenses increased primarily due to project additions and increased fuel costs as a result of market conditions.

Equity in earnings of equity method investees decreased \$113 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to the absence of the \$97 million Indonesian project gain discussed above and due to the effect of the completion, in January 2007, of the amortization of deferred income under a power purchase agreement related to a combined-cycle plant in the NEPOOL region, partially offset by unrealized mark-to-market losses on non-qualifying hedges of \$26 million in 2006. In 2006, equity in earnings of equity method investees increased \$57 million primarily due to the \$97 million Indonesian project gain discussed above and the favorable effect on operating results from a prior contract restructuring. These factors were partially offset by unrealized mark-to-market losses of approximately \$26 million from non-qualifying hedge activity in 2006 compared to an approximately \$2 million gain on such hedges in 2005 and the absence of an approximately \$13 million pretax gain on a contract restructuring recorded in 2005.

FPL Energy's interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 increased \$43 million and \$46 million, respectively, reflecting higher average debt balances to support growth in the business and an increase in average interest rates. Gains (losses) on disposal of assets in FPL Group's consolidated statements of income for 2006 reflect an approximately \$20 million pretax gain for the sale of wind development rights. In 2005, this line item included approximately \$44 million of pretax gains on the sale of FPL Energy joint venture projects.

PTCs from FPL Energy's wind projects are reflected in FPL Energy's earnings. PTCs are recognized as wind energy is generated and sold based on a per kwh rate prescribed in applicable federal and state statutes, and amounted to approximately \$219 million, \$167 million and \$129 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In September 2007, FPL Energy completed the acquisition of Point Beach, a two-unit, 1,023 mw nuclear power plant located in Wisconsin from Wisconsin Electric Power Company (We Energies). FPL Energy purchased the plant, including nuclear fuel, inventory and other items, for a total of approximately \$933 million. All of the power from Point Beach is being sold under a long-term power purchase contract to We Energies through the current NRC license terms of 2030 for Unit 1 and 2033 for Unit 2. FPL Energy is responsible for management and operation of the plant, as well as for the ultimate decommissioning of the facility, and received \$390 million of decommissioning funds at closing. See Note 2.

FPL Energy expects its future portfolio capacity growth to come primarily from wind and solar development and from asset acquisitions. FPL Energy plans to add a total of 8,000 mw - 10,000 mw of new wind generation over the 2007 - 2012 period, of which 1,064 mw were added in 2007. FPL Energy expects to add at least 1,100 mw in 2008, of which approximately 700 mw are under construction. In addition, FPL Energy intends to pursue opportunities for new solar generating facilities. The wind and solar expansions are subject to, among other things, continued public policy support.

Competitive wholesale markets in the United States continue to evolve and vary by geographic region. Revenues from electricity sales in these markets vary based on the prices obtainable for energy, capacity and other ancillary services. Some of the factors affecting success in these markets include the ability to operate generating assets efficiently and reliably, the price and supply of fuel, transmission constraints, wind, solar and hydro resources (weather conditions), competition from new sources of generation, effective risk management, demand growth and exposure to legal and regulatory changes.

Expanded competition in a frequently changing regulatory environment presents both opportunities and risks for FPL Energy. Opportunities exist for the selective acquisition of generation assets and for the construction and operation of efficient plants that can sell power in competitive markets. FPL Energy seeks to reduce its market risk by having a diversified portfolio by fuel type and location, as well as by contracting for the future sale of a significant amount of the electricity output of its plants. The major markets in which FPL Energy operates have shown signs of continued improvement since 2004, such as improved spark spreads and energy prices in the ERCOT and NEPOOL regions. The combination of new wind projects, expected increase in contribution from merchant assets and asset acquisitions are expected to be the key drivers supporting FPL Energy's growth over the next few years.

FPL Energy's earnings are subject to variability due to, among other things, operational performance, commodity price exposure, counterparty performance, weather conditions and project restructuring activities. FPL Energy's exposure to commodity price risk is reduced by the degree of contract coverage obtained for 2008 and 2009. Therefore, if FPL Energy's plants do not perform as expected, FPL Energy could be required to purchase power at potentially higher market prices to meet its contractual obligations.

FPL Energy's results are affected by fluctuations in weather. In addition to the effect of temperature, which is reflected in commodity prices and demand, changes in weather affect production levels of the wind portfolio as well as the hydro units in Maine. In managing its exposure to commodity prices, FPL Energy is dependent upon its counterparties to perform under their contractual obligations. FPL Energy actively manages the trade-off between market risk and credit risk, as well as exposure with individual counterparties as a function of their creditworthiness. Substantially all of FPL Energy's 2008 contracted revenues are with investment grade counterparties.

*Corporate and Other* - Corporate and Other is primarily comprised of interest expense, the operating results of FPL FiberNet and other business activities as well as corporate interest income and expenses. Corporate and Other allocates interest charges to FPL Energy based on a deemed capital structure at FPL Energy of 50% debt for operating projects and 100% debt for projects under construction. Each subsidiary's income taxes are calculated based on the "separate return method," except that tax benefits that could not be utilized on a separate return basis, but are utilized on the consolidated tax return, are recorded by the subsidiary that generated the tax benefits. Any remaining consolidated income tax benefits or detriments are recorded at Corporate and Other. The major components of Corporate and Other results, on an after-tax basis, are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
	(millions)		
Interest expense	\$ (90 )	\$ (97 )	\$ (90 )
Interest income	22	6	18
FPL FiberNet impairment charges	(2 )	(60 )	-
Merger costs	-	(14 )	-
Federal and state tax benefits	3	30	23
Gains on sale and termination of leveraged lease agreements	-	-	6
Other	3	4	(7 )
Net loss	<u>\$ (64 )</u>	<u>\$ (131 )</u>	<u>\$ (50 )</u>

Interest expense decreased in 2007 reflecting lower average debt balances but increased in 2006 due to higher average debt balances and slightly higher rates. In 2007, interest income reflects earnings on temporary investments which had been accumulated to purchase Point Beach as well as net interest recorded on unrecognized tax benefits in accordance with FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." The decline in interest income in 2006 reflects the repayment of a loan by a third party in the fourth quarter of 2005. For discussion of FPL FiberNet's impairment charges, see Note 5 - Corporate and Other. The 2006 merger costs represent costs associated with the proposed merger between FPL Group and Constellation Energy, which was terminated in October 2006. The federal and state tax benefits are primarily due to FPL Energy's growth throughout the United States and other consolidating tax adjustments. The 2005 gains on sale and termination of leveraged lease agreements (\$10 million on a pretax basis) are included in gains on disposal of assets in FPL Group's consolidated statements of income. Other includes all other corporate income and expenses as well as other business activities.

Corporate and Other's operating revenues increased in 2006 primarily due to the transfer, effective January 1, 2006, of FPL's retail gas contracts to a subsidiary of FPL Group Capital. The increase in operating expenses in 2006 is primarily due to the \$98 million pretax impairment charge at FPL FiberNet as well as the transfer of FPL's retail gas contracts.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

FPL Group and its subsidiaries, including FPL, require funds to support and grow their businesses. These funds are used for working capital, capital expenditures and investments in or acquisitions of assets and businesses, to pay maturing debt obligations and, from time to time, to redeem outstanding debt and/or repurchase common stock. It is anticipated that these requirements will be satisfied through a combination of internally generated funds and the issuance, from time to time, of debt and equity securities, consistent with FPL Group's and FPL's objective of maintaining, on a long-term basis, a capital structure that will support a strong investment grade credit rating. Credit ratings and significant volatility in the financial markets can affect FPL Group's, FPL's and FPL Group Capital's ability to obtain short- and long-term financing, the cost of such financing and the execution of their respective financing strategies.

*Cash Flow* - The changes in cash and cash equivalents are summarized as follows:

	FPL Group			FPL		
	Years Ended December 31,			Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
	(millions)					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 3,593	\$ 2,498	\$ 1,547	\$ 2,163	\$ 1,668	\$ 1,238
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,578)	(3,807)	(2,165)	(2,214)	(1,933)	(1,816)
	655	1,399	923	50	273	569

Net cash provided by financing activities

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (330)	\$ 90	\$ 305	\$ (1)	\$ 8	\$ (9)
--	----------	-------	--------	--------	------	--------

FPL Group's cash and cash equivalents decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflecting capital investments by FPL and FPL Energy, the payment of common stock dividends to FPL Group shareholders and an increase in customer receivables. These outflows were partially offset by cash generated by net income, net issuances of both long- and short-term debt, the sale of independent power investments, the return of margin cash collateral from counterparties and a distribution from Karaha Bodas Company, LLC (KBC).

FPL Group's cash flows from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflect cash generated by net income, the receipt of distributions from equity method investees, including a distribution from KBC as a result of a court judgment and the return of margin cash collateral from counterparties, partially offset by an increase in customer receivables at FPL Energy. Fluctuations in recoveries under FPL's cost recovery clauses, which do not significantly affect net income, can have a significant effect on cash flow from operations and make year-to-year comparisons difficult.

FPL Group's cash flows from investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflect capital investments of approximately \$1.8 billion by FPL to expand and enhance its electric system and generating facilities to ensure continued reliable service to meet the power needs of present and future customers and independent power investments at FPL Energy of approximately \$2.9 billion, including the purchase of Point Beach. See Note 2. FPL Group's cash flows from investing activities also includes approximately \$700 million of cash generated from the sale of differential membership interests (see Note 11 - Sale of Differential Membership Interests) and amounts related to the purchase and sale of restricted securities held in the special use funds, including the reinvestment of fund earnings and new contributions, as well as other investment activity.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, FPL Group generated proceeds from financing activities, net of related issuance costs, of approximately \$3.3 billion, including the following debt issuances:

Company	Debt Issued	Interest Rate(s)	Principal Amount	Maturity Date(s)
			(millions)	
FPL	first mortgage bonds	5.55% and 5.85%	\$ 600	2017 and 2037
FPL subsidiary	storm-recovery bonds	5.0440% - 5.2555%	652	2013 - 2021 (a)
FPL Group Capital	term loan facility	variable	50	2009
FPL Group Capital	junior subordinated debentures (Series C-E)	6.65% - 7.45%	1,000	2067
FPL Energy subsidiaries	limited-recourse senior secured notes	6.31% - 7.26%	700	2015 - 2037
	term loan	variable	250	2012

FPL Energy  
subsidiaries

\$ 3,252

- (a) Although principal on the storm-recovery bonds is due on the final maturity date (the date by which the principal must be repaid to prevent a default) for each tranche, it is expected to be paid semiannually and sequentially beginning February 1, 2008, when the first semiannual interest payment became due.

35

During the year ended December 31, 2007, FPL Group paid out approximately \$2.6 billion for financing activities, including \$1.075 billion for maturing FPL Group Capital debentures, \$250 million for the early repayment of an FPL revolving term loan facility, \$541 million for debt maturities and principal repayment of FPL Energy subsidiary debt, a net decrease in short-term debt of \$80 million (net of a \$212 million increase at FPL) and \$654 million for the payment of dividends on FPL Group's common stock. In January 2008, FPL issued \$600 million principal amount of 5.95% first mortgage bonds maturing in 2038. Also, in January 2008, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Energy entered into an interest rate swap agreement to pay a fixed rate of 3.2050% on \$195 million of its variable rate limited recourse debt in order to limit cash flow exposure.

FPL Group's cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2006 benefited from net issuances of debt, the issuance of common stock and the recovery from customers of previously incurred fuel and storm costs at FPL, which were offset by an increase in FPL's customer receivables and the return of margin cash collateral and payment of margin cash collateral to counterparties. The funds generated were used to pay for capital expenditures at FPL, additional investments at FPL Energy, common stock dividends, storm-related costs at FPL and to carry an increase in fossil fuel inventory.

FPL Group's cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2005 reflect the benefit of net issuances of debt, the issuance of common stock, the receipt of payment of a secured third party loan, the receipt of margin cash collateral primarily from FPL's counterparties related to energy contracts and the recovery from customers of a portion of the 2004 storm restoration costs at FPL. The funds generated were used to pay for common stock dividends, capital expenditures at FPL, additional investments at FPL Energy, FPL storm restoration costs and to fund underrecovered fuel costs at FPL caused primarily by higher than anticipated fuel prices.

The following provides various metrics regarding FPL Group's (including FPL's) and FPL's outstanding debt:

	FPL Group		FPL	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Weighted-average annual interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	6.2 %	6.1 %	5.4 %	5.4 %
Weighted-average life (years)	17.4	13.1	16.2	17.9
Annual average of floating rate debt to total debt <sup>(a)</sup>	20 %	31 %	25 %	33 %

(a) Calculations include interest rate swaps.

*Contractual Obligations and Planned Capital Expenditures* - FPL Group's and FPL's commitments at December 31, 2007 were as follows:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(millions)						
Long-term debt, including interest: <sup>(a)</sup>							
FPL	\$ 521	\$ 521	\$ 291	\$ 292	\$ 293	\$ 8,773	\$ 10,691
FPL Energy	870	431	414	392	417	2,768	5,292
Corporate and Other	736	1,021	168	768	134	8,898	11,725
Purchase obligations:							
FPL <sup>(b)</sup>	6,395	5,095	3,480	3,145	2,945	5,565	26,625
FPL Energy <sup>(c)</sup>	1,572	107	94	57	57	730	2,617
Asset retirement activities: <sup>(d)</sup>							
FPL <sup>(e)</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	11,610	11,610
FPL Energy <sup>(f)</sup>	1	-	-	-	2	7,168	7,171
Other Commitments:							
FPL Energy <sup>(g)</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	351	351
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,095</b>	<b>\$ 7,175</b>	<b>\$ 4,447</b>	<b>\$ 4,654</b>	<b>\$ 3,848</b>	<b>\$ 45,863</b>	<b>\$ 76,082</b>

(a) Includes principal, interest and interest rate swaps. Variable rate interest was computed using December 31, 2007 rates.

(b) Represents required capacity and minimum payments under long-term purchased power and fuel contracts, the majority of which are recoverable through various cost recovery clauses (see Note 16 - Contracts), and projected capital expenditures through 2012 to meet, among other things, increased electricity usage and customer growth, capital improvements to and maintenance of existing facilities and estimated capital costs associated with Storm Secure. Estimated capital costs associated with Storm Secure are subject to change over time based on, among other things, productivity enhancements and prioritization. See Note 16 - Commitments.

(c) Represents firm commitments primarily in connection with the purchase of wind turbines and towers, natural gas transportation, purchase and storage, firm transmission service, nuclear fuel and a portion of its projected capital expenditures. See Note 16 - Commitments and Contracts.

(d) Represents expected cash payments adjusted for inflation for estimated costs to perform asset retirement activities.

(e) At December 31, 2007, FPL had approximately \$2,371 million in restricted trust funds for the payment of future expenditures to decommission FPL's nuclear units, which are included in FPL Group's and FPL's special use funds.

(f) At December 31, 2007, FPL Energy's 88.23% portion of Seabrook's and 70% portion of Duane Arnold's and its Point Beach's restricted trust funds for the payment of future expenditures to decommission its nuclear units totaled approximately \$982 million and are included in FPL Group's special use funds.

(g) Represents estimated cash distributions related to certain membership interests. See Note 11 - Sale of

## Differential Membership Interests.

In February 2008, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Group Capital committed to lend to a third party up to \$500 million under a construction and term loan. The loan provides for a single \$500 million draw, which is expected to occur in late 2009. The loan will initially bear interest at a variable rate and will be converted to a 20-year, fixed rate term loan upon completion of construction.

*Guarantees and Letters of Credit* - FPL Group and FPL obtain letters of credit and issue guarantees to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties and financings. At December 31, 2007, FPL Group had standby letters of credit of approximately \$733 million (\$63 million for FPL) and approximately \$7,947 million notional amount of guarantees (\$648 million for FPL), of which approximately \$5,511 million (\$63 million for FPL) have expirations within the next five years. An aggregate of approximately \$404 million of the standby letters of credit at December 31, 2007 were issued under FPL's and FPL Group Capital's credit facilities. See Available Liquidity below. These letters of credit and guarantees support the buying and selling of wholesale energy commodities, debt-related reserves, nuclear activities, the commercial paper program of FPL's consolidated VIE from which it leases nuclear fuel and other contractual agreements. Each of FPL Group and FPL believe it is unlikely that it would incur any liabilities associated with these letters of credit and guarantees. At December 31, 2007, FPL Group and FPL did not have any liabilities recorded for these letters of credit and guarantees. In addition, FPL Group has guaranteed certain payment obligations of FPL Group Capital, including most of its debt and all of its debentures and commercial paper issuances, as well as most of its payment guarantees, and FPL Group Capital has guaranteed certain debt and other obligations of FPL Energy and its subsidiaries. See Note 16 - Commitments.

Certain subsidiaries of FPL Energy have contracts that require certain projects to meet annual minimum generation amounts. Failure to meet the annual minimum generation amounts would result in the FPL Energy subsidiary becoming liable for liquidated damages. Based on past performance of these and similar projects and current forward prices, management believes that the exposure associated with these liquidated damages provisions is not material.

*Available Liquidity* - At December 31, 2007, FPL Group's total available net liquidity was approximately \$6.6 billion, of which FPL's was approximately \$2.8 billion. The components of each company's net available liquidity at December 31, 2007 were as follows:

	FPL	FPL Group Capital	FPL Group Consoli- dated	Maturity Date	
				FPL	FPL Group Capital
		(millions)			
Bank revolving lines of credit <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 2,500	\$ 4,000	\$ 6,500	2012	2012
Less letters of credit	46	358	404		
	<u>2,454</u>	<u>3,642</u>	<u>6,096</u>		
Revolving term loan facility	250	-	250	2011	
Less borrowings	-	-	-		
	<u>250</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>250</u>		

Cash and cash equivalents	63	227	290
Net available liquidity	<u>\$ 2,767</u>	<u>\$ 3,869</u>	<u>\$ 6,636</u>

(a) Provide for the issuance of letters of credit up to \$6.5 billion and are available to support the companies' commercial paper programs and to provide additional liquidity in the event of a loss to the companies' or their subsidiaries' operating facilities (including, in the case of FPL, a transmission and distribution property loss), as well as for general corporate purposes.

FPL Group (which guarantees the payment of FPL Group Capital's credit facilities pursuant to a 1998 guarantee agreement) is required to maintain a minimum ratio of funded debt to total capitalization under the terms of FPL Group Capital's credit facility. FPL is required to maintain a minimum ratio of funded debt to total capitalization under the terms of FPL's credit facility and revolving term loan facility. At December 31, 2007, each of FPL Group and FPL was in compliance with its respective ratio.

In addition to the amounts in the table above, FPL Group Capital and FPL have each established an uncommitted credit facility with a bank to be used for general corporate purposes. The bank may, at its discretion upon the request of FPL Group Capital or FPL, make a short-term loan or loans to FPL Group Capital or FPL in an aggregate amount determined by the bank, which is subject to change at any time. The terms of the specific borrowings under the uncommitted credit facilities, including maturity, are set at the time borrowing requests are made by FPL Group Capital or FPL. At December 31, 2007, there were no amounts outstanding for either FPL Group Capital or FPL under the uncommitted credit facilities.

In addition, at December 31, 2007, FPL had restricted funds set aside (included in special use funds on FPL Group's and FPL's consolidated balance sheets) that provide FPL the capacity to absorb up to approximately \$213 million in future prudently incurred storm restoration costs without seeking recovery through a rate adjustment from the FPSC. See Note 1 - Securitization. Also, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Energy has established a \$100 million letter of credit facility which expires in 2017 and serves as security for certain obligations under commodity hedge agreements entered into by the subsidiary.

*Shelf Registration* - In September 2006, FPL Group, FPL Group Capital, FPL and certain affiliated trusts filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC for an unspecified amount of securities. The amount of securities issuable by the companies is established from time to time by their respective board of directors. As of February 27, 2008, securities that may be issued under the registration statement, as subsequently amended, which became effective upon filing, include, depending on the registrant, senior debt securities, subordinated debt securities, first mortgage bonds, preferred trust securities, common stock, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units, preferred stock and guarantees related to certain of those securities. As of February 27, 2008, FPL Group and FPL Group Capital had \$2.5 billion (issuable by either or both of them up to such aggregate amount) of board-authorized available capacity, and FPL had \$900 million of board-authorized available capacity.

*Covenants* - FPL Group's charter does not limit the dividends that may be paid on its common stock. As a practical matter, the ability of FPL Group to pay dividends on its common stock is dependent upon, among other things, dividends paid to it by its subsidiaries.

## Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

During the first quarter of 2007, FPL Group increased its quarterly dividend on its common stock from \$0.375 to \$0.41 per share. In February 2008, FPL Group announced that it would increase its quarterly dividend on its common stock from \$0.41 to \$0.445 per share. FPL pays dividends to FPL Group in a manner consistent with FPL's long-term targeted capital structure. The mortgage securing FPL's first mortgage bonds contains provisions which, under certain conditions, restrict the payment of dividends to FPL Group and the issuance of additional first mortgage bonds. In light of FPL's current financial condition and level of earnings, management does not expect that planned financing activities or dividends would be affected by these limitations.

Under the mortgage, in some cases, the amount of retained earnings that FPL can use to pay cash dividends on its common stock is restricted. The restricted amount may change based on factors set out in the mortgage. Other than this restriction on the payment of common stock dividends, the mortgage does not restrict FPL's use of retained earnings. As of December 31, 2007, no retained earnings were restricted by these provisions of the mortgage.

FPL may issue first mortgage bonds under its mortgage subject to its meeting an adjusted net earnings test set forth in the mortgage, which generally requires adjusted net earnings to be at least twice the annual interest requirements on, or at least 10% of the aggregate principal amount of, FPL's first mortgage bonds including those to be issued and any other non-junior FPL indebtedness. As of December 31, 2007, after giving effect to the January 2008 issuance of \$600 million of 5.95% first mortgage bonds maturing in 2038, coverage for the 12 months ended December 31, 2007 would have been approximately 5.5 times the annual interest requirements and approximately 3.2 times the aggregate principal requirements. New first mortgage bonds are also limited to an amount equal to the sum of 60% of unfunded property additions after adjustments to offset property retirements, the amount of retired first mortgage bonds or qualified lien bonds and the amount of cash on deposit with the mortgage trustee. As of December 31, 2007, after giving effect to the January 2008 issuance of \$600 million of 5.95% first mortgage bonds maturing in 2038, FPL could have issued in excess of \$5.5 billion of additional first mortgage bonds based on the unfunded property additions and in excess of \$5.5 billion based on retired first mortgage bonds. As of December 31, 2007, no cash was deposited with the mortgage trustee for these purposes.

In September 2006, FPL Group and FPL Group Capital executed a Replacement Capital Covenant (September 2006 RCC) in connection with FPL Group Capital's offering of \$350 million principal amount of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2066 and \$350 million principal amount of Series B Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2066 (collectively, Series A and Series B junior subordinated debentures). The September 2006 RCC is for the benefit of persons that buy, hold or sell a specified series of long-term indebtedness (covered debt) of FPL Group Capital (other than the Series A and Series B junior subordinated debentures) or, in certain cases, of FPL Group. FPL Group Capital Trust I's 5 7/8% Preferred Trust Securities have been initially designated as the covered debt under the September 2006 RCC. The September 2006 RCC provides that FPL Group Capital may redeem, and FPL Group or FPL Group Capital may purchase, any Series A and Series B junior subordinated debentures on or before October 1, 2036, only to the extent that the redemption or purchase price does not exceed a specified amount of proceeds from the sale of qualifying securities, subject to certain limitations described in the September 2006 RCC. Qualifying securities are securities that have equity-like characteristics that are the same as, or more equity-like than, the Series A and Series B junior subordinated debentures at the time of redemption or purchase, which are sold within 180 days prior to the date of the redemption or repurchase of the Series A and Series B junior subordinated debentures.

In June 2007, FPL Group and FPL Group Capital executed a Replacement Capital Covenant (June 2007 RCC) in connection with FPL Group Capital's offering of \$400 million principal amount of its Series C Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 (Series C junior subordinated debentures). The June 2007 RCC is for the benefit of persons that buy, hold or sell a specified series of covered debt of FPL Group Capital (other than the Series C junior subordinated debentures) or, in certain cases, of FPL Group. FPL Group Capital Trust I's 5 7/8% Preferred Trust Securities have been initially designated as the covered debt under the June 2007 RCC. The June 2007 RCC provides that FPL Group

Capital may redeem or purchase, or satisfy, discharge or defease (collectively, defease), and FPL Group and any majority-owned subsidiary of FPL Group or FPL Group Capital may purchase, any Series C junior subordinated debentures on or before June 15, 2037, only to the extent that the principal amount defeased or the applicable redemption or purchase price does not exceed a specified amount raised from the issuance, during the 180 days prior to the date of that redemption, purchase or defeasance, of qualifying securities that have equity-like characteristics that are the same as, or more equity-like than, the applicable characteristics of the Series C junior subordinated debentures at the time of redemption, purchase or defeasance, subject to certain limitations described in the June 2007 RCC.

In September 2007, FPL Group and FPL Group Capital executed a Replacement Capital Covenant (September 2007 RCC) in connection with FPL Group Capital's offering of \$250 million principal amount of its Series D Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 and \$350 million principal amount of Series E Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 (collectively, Series D and Series E junior subordinated debentures). The September 2007 RCC is for the benefit of persons that buy, hold or sell a specified series of covered debt of FPL Group Capital (other than the Series D and Series E junior subordinated debentures) or, in certain cases, of FPL Group. FPL Group Capital Trust I's 5 7/8% Preferred Trust Securities have been initially designated as the covered debt under the September 2007 RCC. The September 2007 RCC provides that FPL Group Capital may redeem, purchase, or defease, and FPL Group and any majority-owned subsidiary of FPL Group or FPL Group Capital may purchase, any Series D and Series E junior subordinated debentures on or before September 1, 2037, only to the extent that the principal amount defeased or the applicable redemption or purchase price does not exceed a specified amount raised from the issuance, during the 180 days prior to the date of that redemption, purchase or defeasance, of qualifying securities that have equity-like characteristics that are the same as, or more equity-like than, the applicable characteristics of the Series D and Series E junior subordinated debentures at the time of redemption, purchase or defeasance, subject to certain limitations described in the September 2007 RCC.

*Credit Ratings* - Securities of FPL Group and its subsidiaries are currently rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P) and Fitch Ratings (Fitch). At February 27, 2008, Moody's, S&P and Fitch had assigned the following credit ratings to FPL Group, FPL and FPL Group Capital:

	<u>Moody's</u> <sup>(a)</sup>	<u>S&amp;P</u> <sup>(a)</sup>	<u>Fitch</u> <sup>(a)</sup>
FPL Group: <sup>(b)</sup>			
Corporate credit rating	A2	A	A
FPL: <sup>(b)</sup>			
Corporate credit rating	A1	A	A
First mortgage bonds	Aa3	A	AA-
Pollution control, solid waste disposal and industrial development revenue bonds	Aa3/VMIG-1	A	A+
Commercial paper	P-1	A-1	F-1
FPL Group Capital: <sup>(b)</sup>			
Corporate credit rating	N/A	A	A
Debentures	A2	A-	A
Junior subordinated debentures	A3	BBB+	A-
Commercial paper	P-1	A-1	F-1

- 
- (a) A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and should be evaluated independently of any other rating. The rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization.
- (b) The outlook indicated by each of Moody's, S&P and Fitch is stable.

FPL Group and its subsidiaries, including FPL, have no credit rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity dates of debt outstanding. A change in ratings is not an event of default under applicable debt instruments, and while there are conditions to drawing on the credit facilities maintained by FPL Group Capital and FPL, the maintenance of a specific minimum level of credit rating is not a condition to drawing upon those credit facilities. However, commitment fees and interest rates on loans under the credit facilities agreements are tied to credit ratings and increase or decrease when ratings change. A ratings downgrade also could reduce the accessibility and increase the cost of commercial paper issuances and additional or replacement credit facilities, and could result in the requirement that FPL Group subsidiaries, including FPL, post collateral under certain power purchase and other agreements. FPL Group subsidiaries, including FPL, may be required to post collateral in excess of collateral threshold amounts when FPL Group's exposure to the counterparty under the applicable trading agreement exceeds such threshold.

*Other* - In June 2005, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FPL Group completed the acquisition of Gexa Corp., a retail electric provider in Texas. Each share of Gexa Corp.'s outstanding common stock was converted into 0.1682 of a share of FPL Group common stock. Assuming the exercise of Gexa Corp.'s options and warrants net of cash to be received upon exercise, the aggregate value of the consideration for the acquisition of Gexa Corp. was approximately \$73 million, payable in shares of FPL Group common stock.

#### **New Accounting Rules and Interpretations**

*Fair Value Measurements* - In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements." See Note 1 - Fair Value Measurements.

*Accounting for Pensions and Other Postretirement Plans* - In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans." See Note 3.

*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* - In February 2007, the FASB issued FAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." See Note 1 - The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.

*Accounting for Business Combinations* - In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS 141(R), "Business Combinations." This statement retains the fundamental requirements in FAS 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (which FAS 141 called the purchase method) be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. This statement also establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed,

and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree; (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase and (iii) discloses the nature and financial effects of the business combination; and requires restructuring and acquisition-related costs to be expensed. FPL Group and FPL will be required to adopt FAS 141(R) for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009.

*Accounting for Noncontrolling Interests* - In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51," to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling (minority) interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. The standard clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements and establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation. FPL Group and FPL will be required to adopt FAS 160 on January 1, 2009. FAS 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of FAS 160 will be applied prospectively.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

FPL Group's and FPL's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, which were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Critical accounting policies are those that FPL Group and FPL believe are both most important to the portrayal of their financial condition and results of operations, and require complex, subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates and assumptions about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of those policies may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or using different assumptions.

FPL Group and FPL consider the following policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing their consolidated financial statements:

*Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities* - FPL Group and FPL use derivative instruments (primarily swaps, options and forwards) to manage the commodity price risk inherent in the purchase and sale of fuel and electricity, as well as interest rate risk associated with long-term debt. In addition, FPL Group, through FPL Energy, uses derivatives to optimize the value of power generation assets. FPL Energy provides full energy and capacity requirements services primarily to distribution utilities, which include load-following services and various ancillary services, in certain markets and engages in energy trading activities to take advantage of expected future favorable price movements. Accounting pronouncements, which require the use of fair value accounting if certain conditions are met, apply not only to traditional financial derivative instruments, but to any contract having the accounting characteristics of a derivative.

Derivative instruments, when required to be marked to market under FAS 133, as amended, are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Fair values for some of the longer-term contracts where liquid markets are not available are based on internally developed models based on the forward prices for electricity and fuel. Forward prices represent the price at which a buyer or seller could contract today to purchase or sell a commodity at a future date. In general, the models estimate the fair value of a contract by calculating the present value of the difference between the contract price and the forward prices. The near term forward market for electricity is generally liquid and therefore

the prices in the early years of the forward curves reflect observable market quotes. However, in the later years, the market is much less liquid and forward price curves must be developed using factors including the forward prices for the commodities used as fuel to generate electricity, the expected system heat rate (which measures the efficiency of power plants in converting fuel to electricity) in the region where the purchase or sale takes place, and a fundamental forecast of expected spot prices based on modeled supply and demand in the region. The assumptions in these models are critical since any changes therein could have a significant impact on the fair value of the contract. Substantially all changes in the fair value of derivatives held by FPL are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability until the contracts are settled. Upon settlement, any gains or losses will be passed through the fuel or capacity clauses. In FPL Group's non-rate regulated operations, predominantly FPL Energy, changes in derivative fair values are recognized in current earnings, unless the criteria for hedge accounting are met and the company elects to account for the derivative as a hedge. For those transactions accounted for as cash flow hedges, much of the effects of changes in fair value are reflected in other comprehensive income (OCI), a component of common shareholders' equity, rather than being recognized in current earnings. For those transactions accounted for as fair value hedges, the effects of changes in fair value are reflected in current earnings offset by changes in the fair value of the item being hedged.

---

Since FAS 133 became effective in 2001, the FASB has discussed and from time to time issued implementation guidance related to FAS 133. In particular, much of the interpretive guidance affects when certain contracts for the purchase and sale of power and certain fuel supply contracts can be excluded from the provisions of FAS 133. Despite the large volume of implementation guidance, FAS 133 and the supplemental guidance do not provide specific guidance on all contract issues. As a result, significant judgment must be used in applying FAS 133 and its interpretations. A result of changes in interpretation could be that contracts that currently are excluded from the provisions of FAS 133 would have to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in the statement of income.

Certain economic hedging transactions at FPL Energy do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in the fair value of those transactions are marked to market and reported in the statement of income, often resulting in earnings volatility. These changes in fair value are captured in the non-qualifying hedge category in computing adjusted earnings. This could be significant to FPL Energy's results because often the economic offset to the positions which are required to be marked to market (such as the physical assets from which power is generated) are not marked to market. As a consequence, net income reflects only the movement in one part of economically linked transactions. Because of this, FPL Group's management views results expressed excluding the unrealized mark-to-market impact of the non-qualifying hedges as a meaningful measure of current period performance. For additional information regarding derivative instruments, see Note 4 and also see Management's Discussion - Energy Marketing and Trading and Market Risk Sensitivity.

#### *Accounting for Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits -*

FPL Group sponsors a qualified noncontributory defined benefit pension plan for substantially all employees of FPL Group and its subsidiaries. FPL Group also has a supplemental executive retirement plan which includes a non-qualified supplemental defined benefit pension component that provides benefits to a select group of management and highly compensated employees. In addition to pension benefits, FPL Group sponsors a contributory postretirement plan for health care and life insurance benefits (other benefits plan) for retirees of FPL Group and its subsidiaries meeting certain eligibility requirements. The qualified pension plan has a fully funded trust dedicated to providing the benefits under the plan. The other benefits plan has a partially funded trust dedicated to providing benefits related to life insurance. FPL Group allocates net periodic benefit income or cost associated with the pension and other benefits plans to its subsidiaries annually using specific criteria.

FPL Group adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of FAS 158 effective December 31, 2006. The measurement date provisions of FAS 158 require that FPL Group measure plan assets and liabilities as of its year end

no later than December 31, 2008 with any resulting adjustments to plan assets, benefit obligations, and accumulated other comprehensive income recorded to retained earnings. Since FPL Group is the plan sponsor, and its subsidiaries do not have separate rights to the plan assets or direct obligations to their employees, the results of implementing all provisions of FAS 158 are reflected at FPL Group and not allocated to the subsidiaries. The portion of previously unrecognized actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits and transition assets or obligations related to the recognition provision of FAS 158 that were estimated to be allocable to FPL as net periodic benefit (income) cost in future periods and that otherwise would have been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income were classified as regulatory assets and liabilities at FPL Group in accordance with regulatory treatment. In addition, adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income as a result of implementing the measurement date provisions of FAS 158 that are estimated to be allocable to FPL will be recorded as an adjustment to the previously established regulatory assets and liabilities.

FPL Group currently uses a measurement date of September 30 for its pension and other benefits plans. In lieu of rereasuring plan assets and obligations as of January 1, 2008, FPL Group has elected to calculate the net periodic benefit (income) cost for the fifteen-month period from September 30, 2007 to December 31, 2008 using the September 30, 2007 measurement date. Upon adoption of the measurement date provisions, FPL Group will record an adjustment to increase 2008 beginning retained earnings by approximately \$13 million representing three-fifteenths of net periodic benefit (income) cost for the fifteen-month period from September 30, 2007 to December 31, 2008. Included in the adjustment to retained earnings is approximately \$1 million related to the reduction in accumulated other comprehensive income and approximately \$3 million related to the reduction in net regulatory liabilities.

---

FPL Group's income from its pension plan, net of the cost of the other benefits plan, was approximately \$69 million, \$65 million and \$52 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The corresponding amounts allocated to FPL were \$51 million, \$52 million and \$39 million, respectively. Pension income and the cost of the other benefits plan are included in O&M expenses, and are calculated using a number of actuarial assumptions. Those assumptions include an expected long-term rate of return on qualified plan assets of 7.75% for all years for the pension plan and 8.00%, 7.75% and 7.75% for the other benefits plan for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively, assumed increases in future compensation levels of 4% for all years, and weighted-average discount rates of 5.85%, 5.50% and 5.50% for the pension plan and 5.90%, 5.50% and 5.50% for the other benefits plan for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Based on current health care costs (as related to other benefits), the projected 2008 trend assumption used to measure the expected cost of health care benefits covered by the plans for all age groups are 7.5% for medical benefits and 9.5% for prescription drug benefits. These rates are assumed to decrease over the next nine years to the ultimate trend rate of 5.5% and remain at that level thereafter. The ultimate trend rate is assumed to be reached in 2012 for medical costs and 2016 for prescription drug costs. In developing these assumptions, FPL Group evaluated input from its actuaries, as well as information available in the marketplace. For the expected long-term rate of return on fund assets, FPL Group considered 10-year and 20-year historical median returns for a portfolio with an equity/bond asset mix similar to its funds. FPL Group also considered its funds' historical compounded returns. FPL Group believes that 7.75% and 8.00% are reasonable long-term rates of return on its pension plan and other benefits plan assets, respectively. FPL Group will continue to evaluate all of its actuarial assumptions, including its expected rate of return, at least annually, and will adjust them as necessary.

FPL Group bases its determination of pension and other benefits plan expense or income on a market-related valuation of assets, which reduces year-to-year volatility. This market-related valuation recognizes investment gains or losses over a five-year period from the year in which they occur. Investment gains or losses for this purpose are the difference between the expected return calculated using the market-related value of assets and the actual return

realized on those assets. Since the market-related value of assets recognizes gains or losses over a five-year period, the future value of assets will be affected as previously deferred gains or losses are recognized. Such gains and losses together with other differences between actual results and the estimates used in the actuarial valuations are deferred and recognized in determining pension and other benefits plan expense and income only when they exceed 10% of the greater of projected benefit obligations or the market-related value of assets.

The following table illustrates the effect on cost of changing the critical actuarial assumptions discussed above, while holding all other assumptions constant:

	Change in Assumption	Increase in 2007 Net Periodic Cost	
		FPL Group	FPL
		(millions)	
Expected long-term rate of return	(0.5 )%	\$ 16	\$ 13
Discount rate	(0.5 )%	\$ 5	\$ 4
Salary increase	0.5 %	\$ 7	\$ 5
Health care cost trend rate <sup>(a)</sup>	1.0 %	\$ -	\$ -

- (a) Assumed healthcare cost trend rates can have a significant effect on the amounts reported for postretirement plans providing health care benefits. However, this effect is somewhat mitigated by the retiree cost sharing structure incorporated in FPL Group's other benefits plan.

The fair value of plan assets has increased from \$3.2 billion at September 30, 2006 to \$3.6 billion at September 30, 2007 for the pension plan and increased from \$48 million at September 30, 2006 to \$49 million at September 30, 2007 for the other benefits plan. Management believes that, based on the actuarial assumptions and the well funded status of the pension plan, FPL Group will not be required to make any cash contributions to the qualified pension plan in the near future. In December 2007, \$28 million was transferred from the qualified pension plan as reimbursement for eligible retiree medical expenses paid by FPL Group during the year pursuant to the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. FPL Group anticipates paying approximately \$30 million for eligible retiree medical expenses on behalf of the other benefits plan during 2008 with substantially all of that amount being reimbursed through a transfer of assets from the qualified pension plan. See Note 3.

*Carrying Value of Long-Lived Assets* - FPL Group evaluates on an ongoing basis the recoverability of its assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable as described in FAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets."

Under that standard, an impairment loss is required to be recognized if the carrying value of the asset exceeds the undiscounted future net cash flows associated with that asset. The impairment loss to be recognized is the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived asset exceeds the asset's fair value. In most instances, the fair value is determined by discounting estimated future cash flows using an appropriate interest rate.

The amount of future net cash flows, the timing of the cash flows and the determination of an appropriate interest rate all involve estimates and judgments about future events. In particular, the aggregate amount of cash flows determines whether an impairment exists, and the timing of the cash flows is critical in determining fair value. Because each assessment is based on the facts and circumstances associated with each long-lived asset, the effects of changes in assumptions cannot be generalized.

In 2006, FPL FiberNet performed an impairment analysis and concluded that an impairment charge related to its metro market assets was necessary. The critical assumptions and estimates used in the analysis include revenue additions, projected capital expenditures and a discount rate. A 10% increase in the revenue growth rate or a 10% decrease in projected capital expenditures would have resulted in no impairment, while a 10% decrease in the revenue growth rate or a 10% increase in projected capital expenditures would increase the impairment charge by less than \$5 million. An increase or decrease of 1% in the discount rate would have a corresponding change to the impairment charge of approximately \$3 million. See Note 5 - Corporate and Other.

*Nuclear Decommissioning and Fossil Dismantlement* - FPL Group and FPL each account for asset retirement obligations and conditional asset retirement obligations under FAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" and FIN 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations." FAS 143 and FIN 47 require that a liability for the fair value of an asset retirement obligation (ARO) be recognized in the period in which it is incurred with the offsetting associated asset retirement costs capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived assets. See Note 1 - Decommissioning of Nuclear Plants, Dismantlement of Plants and Other Accrued Asset Removal Costs and Note 15.

For ratemaking purposes, FPL accrues and funds for nuclear plant decommissioning costs over the expected service life of each unit based on studies that are filed with the FPSC at least every five years. The most recent studies, filed in 2005, indicate that FPL's portion of the future cost of decommissioning its four nuclear units, including spent fuel storage, is \$10.9 billion, or \$2.2 billion in 2007 dollars. The studies reflect, among other things, the 20-year license extensions of FPL's nuclear units and support the suspension, effective September 2005, of the \$79 million annual decommissioning accrual. At December 31, 2007, \$2,658 million was accrued for nuclear decommissioning, of which \$1,624 million was recorded as an ARO, \$54 million was recorded as a capitalized net asset related to the ARO, \$887 million was recorded as a regulatory liability and \$201 million was included in accrued asset removal costs (a regulatory liability) on the consolidated balance sheets.

FPL accrues the cost of dismantling its fossil plants over the expected service life of each unit based on studies filed with the FPSC. Unlike nuclear decommissioning, fossil dismantlement costs are not funded. The most recent studies, which became effective January 1, 2007, indicated that FPL's portion of the ultimate cost to dismantle its fossil units is \$707 million. The majority of the dismantlement costs are not considered AROs. At December 31, 2007, \$335 million was accrued for fossil dismantlement costs, of which \$24 million was recorded as an ARO, \$8 million was recorded as a capitalized net asset related to the ARO, \$34 million was recorded as a regulatory liability and \$285 million was included in accrued asset removal costs (a regulatory liability) on the consolidated balance sheets.

FPL Energy records a liability for the present value of its expected decommissioning costs in accordance with FAS 143 and FIN 47 which is determined using various internal and external data. FPL Energy's portion of the ultimate cost of decommissioning its nuclear plants, including costs associated with spent fuel storage, is approximately \$6.6 billion, or \$1.4 billion expressed in 2007 dollars. The liability is being accreted using the interest method through the date decommissioning activities are expected to be complete. At December 31, 2007, the ARO for nuclear

decommissioning of FPL Energy's nuclear plants totaled approximately \$456 million.

The calculation of the future cost of retiring long-lived assets, including nuclear decommissioning and fossil dismantlement costs, involves estimating the amount and timing of future expenditures and making judgments concerning whether or not such costs are considered a legal obligation under FAS 143 and FIN 47. Estimating the amount and timing of future expenditures includes, among other things, making projections of when assets will be retired and how costs will escalate with inflation. In addition, FPL Group and FPL also make interest rate and rate of return projections on their investments in determining recommended funding requirements for nuclear decommissioning costs. Periodically, FPL Group and FPL will be required to update these estimates and projections which can affect the annual expense amounts recognized, the liabilities recorded and the annual funding requirements for nuclear decommissioning costs. For example, an increase of 0.25% in the assumed escalation rates would increase FPL Group's and FPL's ARO as of December 31, 2007 by \$212 million and \$166 million, respectively.

*Regulatory Accounting* - FPL follows the accounting practices set forth in FAS 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." FAS 71 indicates that regulators can create assets and impose liabilities that would not be recorded by non-rate regulated entities. Regulatory assets and liabilities represent probable future revenues that will be recovered from or refunded to customers through the ratemaking process. If FPL were no longer subject to cost-based rate regulation, the existing regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off unless regulators specify an alternative means of recovery or refund. In addition, the FPSC has the authority to disallow recovery of costs that it considers excessive or imprudently incurred. Such costs may include, among others, fuel and O&M expenses, the cost of replacing power lost when fossil and nuclear units are unavailable, storm restoration costs and costs associated with the construction or acquisition of new facilities. The continued applicability of FAS 71 is assessed at each reporting period.

FPL Group's and FPL's regulatory assets and liabilities are as follows:

	FPL Group		FPL	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(millions)			
Regulatory assets:				
Current:				
Deferred clause and franchise expenses	\$ 103	\$ 167	\$ 103	\$ 167
Securitized storm-recovery costs/storm reserve deficiency	\$ 59	\$ 106	\$ 59	\$ 106
Derivatives	\$ 117	\$ 921	\$ 117	\$ 921
Other	\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ -
Noncurrent:				
Securitized storm-recovery costs/storm reserve deficiency	\$ 756	\$ 762	\$ 756	\$ 762
Deferred clause expenses	\$ 121	\$ -	\$ 121	\$ -
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	\$ 36	\$ 39	\$ 36	\$ 39
Other	\$ 95	\$ 80	\$ 72	\$ 37

Regulatory liabilities:

Current:

Deferred clause and franchise revenues	\$ 18	\$ 37	\$ 18	\$ 37
Pension	\$ 24	\$ 17	\$ -	\$ -

Noncurrent:

Accrued asset removal costs	\$ 2,098	\$ 2,044	\$ 2,098	\$ 2,044
Asset retirement obligation regulatory expense difference	\$ 921	\$ 868	\$ 921	\$ 868
Pension	\$ 696	\$ 531	\$ -	\$ -
Other	\$ 236	\$ 209	\$ 235	\$ 209

See Note 1 for a discussion of FPL Group's and FPL's other significant accounting policies.

**Energy Marketing and Trading and Market Risk Sensitivity**

*Energy Marketing and Trading* - Certain of FPL Group's subsidiaries, including FPL and FPL Energy, use derivative instruments (primarily swaps, options and forwards) to manage the commodity price risk inherent in the purchase and sale of fuel and electricity. In addition, FPL Group, through FPL Energy, uses derivatives to optimize the value of power generation assets. FPL Energy provides full energy and capacity requirements services primarily to distribution utilities, which include load-following services and various ancillary services, in certain markets and engages in energy trading activities to take advantage of expected future favorable price movements.

Derivative instruments, when required to be marked to market under FAS 133, as amended, are recorded on FPL Group's and FPL's consolidated balance sheets as either an asset or liability measured at fair value. At FPL, substantially all changes in fair value are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability until the contracts are settled. Upon settlement, any gains or losses are passed through the fuel clause or the capacity clause. For FPL Group's non-rate regulated operations, predominantly FPL Energy, essentially all changes in the derivatives' fair value for power purchases and sales and trading activities are recognized on a net basis in operating revenues; fuel purchases and sales are recognized net in fuel, purchased power and interchange expense; and the equity method investees' related activity is recognized in equity in earnings of equity method investees in FPL Group's consolidated statements of income unless hedge accounting is applied. See Note 4.

The changes in the fair value of FPL Group's consolidated subsidiaries' energy contract derivative instruments were as follows:

<u>Hedges on Owned Assets</u>				
<u>Trading</u>	<u>Non- Qualifying</u>	<u>OCI</u>	<u>FPL Cost Recovery Clauses</u>	<u>FPL Group Total</u>
(millions)				

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2005	\$ 2	\$ (176)	\$ (373)	\$ 757	\$ 210
Reclassification to realized at settlement of contracts	26	107	56	325	514
Effective portion of changes in fair value recorded in OCI	-	-	261	-	261
Ineffective portion of changes in fair value recorded in earnings	-	31	-	-	31
Changes in fair value excluding reclassification to realized	<u>(23)</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(2,003)</u>	<u>(1,980)</u>
Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2006	5	8	(56)	(921)	(964)
Reclassification to realized at settlement of contracts	(8)	(95)	39	870	806
Value of contracts purchased/previously not consolidated	-	23	-	-	23
Effective portion of changes in fair value recorded in OCI	-	-	(92)	-	(92)
Ineffective portion of changes in fair value recorded in earnings	-	3	-	-	3
Changes in fair value excluding reclassification to realized	<u>5</u>	<u>(77)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(68)</u>	<u>(140)</u>
Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2007	2	(138)	(109)	(119)	(364)
Net option premium payments (receipts)	(27)	22	-	-	(5)
Net cash collateral paid	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>39</u>
Total mark-to-market energy contract net liabilities at					
December 31, 2007	<u>\$ (16)</u>	<u>\$ (103)</u>	<u>\$ (107)</u>	<u>\$ (104)</u>	<u>\$ (330)</u>

FPL Group's total mark-to-market energy contract net assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2007 shown above are included in the consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	December 31, 2007
	<u>(millions)</u>
Current derivative assets	\$ 182
Noncurrent other assets	98
Current derivative liabilities	(280)
Noncurrent derivative liabilities	<u>(330)</u>
FPL Group's total mark-to-market energy contract net liabilities	<u>\$ (330)</u>

The sources of fair value estimates and maturity of energy contract derivative instruments at December 31, 2007 were

as follows:

	Maturity						Total
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	
Trading:	(millions)						
Actively quoted (i.e., exchange traded) prices	\$ 3	\$ 18	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 26
Prices provided by other external sources	(8)	3	-	-	-	-	(5)
Modeled	8	(20)	(7)	-	-	-	(19)
Total	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>(7)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
Owned Assets - Non-Qualifying:							
Actively quoted (i.e., exchange traded) prices	(34)	-	(28)	(32)	(26)	(25)	(145)
Prices provided by other external sources	37	6	-	1	-	(52)	(8)
Modeled	32	(1)	(7)	(1)	(2)	(6)	15
Total	<u>35</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>(35)</u>	<u>(32)</u>	<u>(28)</u>	<u>(83)</u>	<u>(138)</u>
Owned Assets - OCI:							
Actively quoted (i.e., exchange traded) prices	(31)	(37)	(24)	(11)	-	-	(103)
Prices provided by other external sources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modeled	(6)	-	-	-	-	-	(6)
Total	<u>(37)</u>	<u>(37)</u>	<u>(24)</u>	<u>(11)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(109)</u>
Owned Assets - FPL Cost Recovery Clauses:							
Actively quoted (i.e., exchange traded) prices	(111)	-	-	-	-	-	(111)
Prices provided by other external sources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modeled	(3)	(5)	-	-	-	-	(8)
Total	<u>(114)</u>	<u>(5)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(119)</u>
Total sources of fair value	<u>\$ (113)</u>	<u>\$ (36)</u>	<u>\$ (66)</u>	<u>\$ (41)</u>	<u>\$ (26)</u>	<u>\$ (82)</u>	<u>\$ (364)</u>

*Market Risk Sensitivity* - Financial instruments and positions affecting the financial statements of FPL Group and FPL described below are held primarily for purposes other than trading. Market risk is measured as the potential loss in fair value resulting from hypothetical reasonably possible changes in commodity prices, interest rates or equity prices over the next year. Management has established risk management policies to monitor and manage market risks. With respect to commodities, FPL Group's Exposure Management Committee (EMC), which is comprised of certain members of senior management, is responsible for the overall approval of market risk management policies and the delegation of approval and authorization levels. The EMC receives periodic updates on market positions and related

exposures, credit exposures and overall risk management activities.

FPL Group and its subsidiaries are also exposed to credit risk through their energy marketing and trading operations. Credit risk is the risk that a financial loss will be incurred if a counterparty to a transaction does not fulfill its financial obligation. FPL Group manages counterparty credit risk for its subsidiaries with energy marketing and trading operations through established policies, including counterparty credit limits, and in some cases credit enhancements, such as cash prepayments, letters of credit, cash and other collateral and guarantees. Credit risk is also managed through the use of master netting agreements. FPL Group's credit department monitors current and forward credit exposure to counterparties and their affiliates, both on an individual and an aggregate basis.

Commodity price risk - FPL Group uses a value-at-risk (VaR) model to measure market risk in its trading and mark-to-market portfolios. The VaR is the estimated nominal loss of market value based on a one-day holding period at a 95% confidence level using historical simulation methodology. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the VaR figures are as follows:

	Trading			Non-Qualifying Hedges and Hedges in OCI and FPL Cost Recovery Clauses (a)			Total		
	FPL	FPL Energy	FPL Group	FPL	FPL Energy	FPL Group	FPL	FPL Energy	FPL Group
	(millions)								
December 31, 2006	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 89	\$ 57	\$ 54	\$ 89	\$ 60	\$ 56
December 31, 2007	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 51	\$ 31	\$ 37	\$ 51	\$ 28	\$ 39
Average for the period ended									
December 31, 2007	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 69	\$ 35	\$ 45	\$ 69	\$ 34	\$ 46

(a) Non-qualifying hedges are employed to reduce the market risk exposure to physical assets or contracts which are not marked to market. The VaR figures for the non-qualifying hedges and hedges in OCI and FPL cost recovery clauses category do not represent the economic exposure to commodity price movements.

Interest rate risk - FPL Group and FPL are exposed to risk resulting from changes in interest rates as a result of their respective issuances of debt, investments in special use funds and interest rate swaps. FPL Group and FPL manage their respective interest rate exposure by monitoring current interest rates, entering into interest rate swaps and adjusting their variable rate debt in relation to total capitalization.

The following are estimates of the fair value of FPL Group's and FPL's financial instruments:

December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
-------------------	-------------------

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>
FPL Group:		(millions)		
Long-term debt, including current maturities	\$ 12,681	\$ 12,642 (a)	\$ 11,236	\$ 11,314 (a)
Fixed income securities:				
Special use funds	\$ 2,025	\$ 2,025 (b)	\$ 1,430	\$ 1,430 (b)
Other investments	\$ 111	\$ 111 (b)	\$ 93	\$ 93 (b)
Interest rate swaps - net unrealized gain (loss)	\$ (28 )	\$ (28 ) <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 6	\$ 6 (c)
FPL:				
Long-term debt, including current maturities	\$ 5,217	\$ 5,185 (a)	\$ 4,214	\$ 4,208 (a)
Fixed income securities:				
Special use funds	\$ 1,436	\$ 1,436 (b)	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,235 (b)

(a) Based on market prices provided by external sources.

(b) Based on quoted market prices for these or similar issues.

(c) Based on market prices modeled internally.

The special use funds of FPL Group and FPL consist of restricted funds set aside to cover the cost of storm damage for FPL and for the decommissioning of FPL Group's and FPL's nuclear power plants. A portion of these funds is invested in fixed income debt securities carried at their market value. At FPL, adjustments to market value result in a corresponding adjustment to the related liability accounts based on current regulatory treatment. The market value adjustments of FPL Group's non-rate regulated operations result in a corresponding adjustment to OCI, except for impairments deemed to be other-than-temporary which are reported in current period earnings. Because the funds set aside by FPL for storm damage could be needed at any time, the related investments are generally more liquid and, therefore, are less sensitive to changes in interest rates. The nuclear decommissioning funds, in contrast, are generally invested in longer-term securities, as decommissioning activities are not scheduled to begin until at least 2014 (2032 at FPL).

FPL Group and its subsidiaries use a combination of fixed rate and variable rate debt to manage interest rate exposure. Interest rate swaps are used to adjust and mitigate interest rate exposure when deemed appropriate based upon market conditions or when required by financing agreements. At December 31, 2007, the estimated fair value for FPL Group interest rate swaps was as follows:

46

<u>Notional Amount</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>	<u>Rate Paid</u>	<u>Rate Received</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>
(millions)					(millions)
Cash flow hedges - FPL Energy:					
\$ 164		June 2008	4.255 %	Variable (a)	\$ -

Edgar Filing: FPL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	February 2005				
\$ 70	December 2003	December 2017	4.245 %	Variable (a)	-
\$ 23	April 2004	December 2017	3.845 %	Variable (a)	-
\$ 207	December 2005	November 2019	4.905 %	Variable (a)	(5 )
\$ 527	J a n u a r y 2007	January 2022	5.390 %	Variable (b)	(23 )