OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORP Form 10-Q November 09, 2011

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D. C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

[x]Quarterly report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Security Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended: September 30, 2011 or

[ ]Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Security Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File

001-10607

Number:

# OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

No. 36-2678171 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

307 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois

60601

(Address of principal executive office)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 312-346-8100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes: x No: "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes: x No: "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one).

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes:" No:x

Class Common Stock / \$1 par value Shares Outstanding September 30, 2011 259,304,985

There are 43 pages in this report

# OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

# Report on Form 10-Q / September 30, 2011

# **INDEX**

		PAGE NO.
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
	CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS	3
	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME	4
	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	4
	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	5
	NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	6 - 15
	MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	16 - 39
	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK	40
	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	40
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION:	
	ITEM 1 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	41
	ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS	41
	ITEM 6 – EXHIBITS	41
SIGNATURE		42
EXHIBIT INDEX		43

# Old Republic International Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets (\$ in Millions, Except Share Data)

		naudited) tember 30, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010
Assets				
Investments:				
Available for sale:	Φ.	0.555.0	ф	0.522.2
Fixed maturity securities (at fair value) (amortized cost: \$7,938.9	\$	8,575.2	\$	8,532.2
and \$8,070.4)		495.2		672.4
Equity securities (at fair value) (adjusted cost: \$336.1 and \$402.8) Short-term investments (at fair value which approximates cost)		1,312.2		1,004.0
Miscellaneous investments		36.4		40.7
Total		10,419.1		10,249.4
Other investments		10.4		9.8
Total investments		10,429.5		10,259.3
		•		,
Other Assets:				
Cash		108.7		127.3
Securities and indebtedness of related parties		12.1		12.0
Accrued investment income		104.6		104.1
Accounts and notes receivable		1,081.7		1,022.9
Federal income tax recoverable: Current		56.9		44.6
Deferred		119.6		45.3
Prepaid federal income taxes		1.0		102.9
Reinsurance balances and funds held		217.4		205.4
Reinsurance Paid losses		89.4		96.0
recoverable:  Policy and claim reserves		3,107.6		3,166.4
Deferred policy acquisition costs		201.7		230.6
Sundry assets		468.5		465.3
Salidiy ussess		5,569.5		5,623.4
Total Assets	\$	15,999.1	\$	15,882.7
	·	,	•	,
Liabilities, Preferred Stock, and Common Shareholders' Equity Liabilities:				
Losses, claims, and settlement expenses	\$	8,668.0	\$	8,814.6
Unearned premiums		1,323.4		1,232.4
Other policyholders' benefits and funds		189.1		192.4
Total policy liabilities and accruals		10,180.7		10,239.5
Commissions, expenses, fees, and taxes		385.8		424.0
Reinsurance balances and funds		411.2		383.8
Debt		912.8		475.0
Sundry liabilities		280.4		238.8
Commitments and contingent liabilities		10 171 1		11.761.3
Total Liabilities		12,171.1		11,761.3

Preferred Stock (1)	-	-
Common Shareholders' Equity:		
Common stock (1)	259.3	259.2
Additional paid-in capital	654.2	649.6
Retained earnings	2,461.8	2,791.4
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	487.0	459.1
Unallocated ESSOP shares (at cost)	(34.4)	(38.0)
Treasury stock (at cost)(1)	-	-
Total Common Shareholders' Equity	3,827.9	4,121.4
Total Liabilities, Preferred Stock and Common Shareholders'	\$ 15,999.1	\$ 15,882.7
Equity		

(1) At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were 75,000,000 shares of \$0.01 par value preferred stock authorized, of which no shares were outstanding. As of the same dates, there were 500,000,000 shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value, authorized, of which 259,304,985 at September 30, 2011 and 259,222,360 at December 31, 2010 were issued. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were 100,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, authorized, of which no shares were issued. There were no common shares classified as treasury stock as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Old Republic International Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) (\$ in Millions, Except Share Data)

(\$ III WIIIIOIIS, EXC	epi Share Daia)		Quarter Septem			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
			2011	ioci .	2010	2011		2010	
Revenues:			2011		2010		2011		2010
Net premiums earn	ed	\$	917.1	\$	797.7	\$	2,730.5	\$	2,296.4
Title, escrow, and o			90.0		87.6		257.4		252.9
Total premiums and			1,007.2		885.4		2,988.0		2,549.3
Net investment inco			90.8		92.6		275.5		284.0
Other income			31.0		4.5		86.6		14.2
Total operating rev	enues		1,129.0		982.6		3,350.1		2,847.6
Realized investmen			,				7		,
From sales			26.5		5.1		38.1		80.9
From impairments			(42.1)		(1.2)		(50.2)		(1.2)
Total realized inves	stment gains		(15.5)		3.8		(12.0)		
(losses)	8		( )				( ''-')		79.7
Total revenues			1,113.5		986.5		3,338.0		2,927.3
Benefits, Claims an	nd Expenses:								
Benefits, claims an	-		729.5		602.4		2,050.2		
expenses	a settlement		129.3		002.1		2,030.2		1,596.7
Dividends to policyholders			3.8		2.4		11.0		6.6
Underwriting, acquisition, and			538.3		436.1		1,542.8		0.0
other expenses	~ -		220.2				1,6 .2.0		1,254.4
Interest and other c	harges		24.4		6.2		49.8		18.1
Total expenses	narges		1,296.2		1,047.3		3,654.0		2,875.9
Income (loss) before	re income taxes		(182.7)		(60.7)		(316.0)		2,072.7
(credits)			(10 <b>2.</b> )		(0011)		(81010)		51.3
Income Taxes (Cre	dits):								
Current	arts).		(25.8)		2.8		(21.0)		14.1
Deferred			(40.3)		(24.5)		(99.1)		(6.3)
Total			(66.1)		(21.7)		(120.2)		7.8
Total			(00.1)		(21.7)		(120.2)		7.0
Net Income (Loss)		\$	(116.5)	\$	(38.9)	\$	(195.7)	\$	43.5
Net Income (Loss)	Per Share:								
Basic		\$ \$	(.46)	\$	(.16)	\$	(.77)	\$	.18
Diluted		\$	(.46)	\$	(.16)	\$	(.77)	\$	.18
Average shares	Basic		255,137,235		236,697,304		254,961,965		
outstanding:									236,552,548
	Diluted		255,137,235		236,697,304		254,961,965		236,708,140
Dividends Per Com	nmon Share:								
Cash		\$	.1750	\$	.1725	\$	.5250	\$	.5175

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	Quarter Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ (116.5)	\$ (38.9)	\$ (195.7)	\$	43.5	
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Post-tax net unrealized gains (losses) on securities	30.0	128.4	39.2		210.0	
Other adjustments	(15.2)	2.7	(11.4)		4.0	
Net adjustments	14.8	131.2	27.8		214.0	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (101.7)	\$ 92.2	\$ (167.9)	\$	257.5	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Old Republic International Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (\$ in Millions)

Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:  Deferred policy acquisition costs  Premiums and other receivables  Unpaid claims and related items  28.6  (58.8)  13.6  (299.8)	(\$\phi  III IVIIIIOIIS)		nths Ende	ed
Net income (loss) \$ (195.7) \$ 43.5  Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:  Deferred policy acquisition costs 28.6 11.4  Premiums and other receivables (58.8) 13.6  Unpaid claims and related items (37.9) (299.8)		_		2010
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:  Deferred policy acquisition costs  Premiums and other receivables  Unpaid claims and related items  28.6  (58.8)  13.6  (299.8)	Cash flows from operating activities:			
net cash provided by operating activities:  Deferred policy acquisition costs  Premiums and other receivables  Unpaid claims and related items  28.6  (58.8)  13.6  (299.8)	Net income (loss)	\$ (195.7)	\$	43.5
Deferred policy acquisition costs28.611.4Premiums and other receivables(58.8)13.6Unpaid claims and related items(37.9)(299.8)	Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to			
Premiums and other receivables (58.8) 13.6 Unpaid claims and related items (37.9) (299.8)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Unpaid claims and related items (37.9) (299.8)				11.4
		, ,		
Unearned premiums and other policyholders' liabilities 37.8 (29.8)	•			
1 2	Unearned premiums and other policyholders' liabilities			(29.8)
Income taxes $(111.6)$ $(7.1)$				
Prepaid federal income taxes 101.9 118.5	-			
Reinsurance balances and funds 22.1 (14.3)				
Realized investment (gains) losses 12.0 (79.7)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other 73.9 70.8	- · ·			
Total (127.6) (172.9)	Total	(127.6)		(172.9)
Cash flows from investing activities:	Cash flows from investing activities:			
Fixed maturity securities:	Fixed maturity securities:			
Maturities and early calls 669.5 568.9	Maturities and early calls	669.5		568.9
Sales 401.6 718.7	Sales	401.6		718.7
Sales of:	Sales of:			
1 4	Equity securities			107.4
Other - net 27.4 5.9	Other - net	27.4		5.9
Purchases of:	Purchases of:			
Fixed maturity securities (952.8)		(952.8)		
Equity securities (49.8) (130.2)	= · ·	, ,		
Other-net $(39.8)$ $(21.1)$	Other-net	` /		
				(90.1)
Other-net (.3) 2.8				
Total (183.4) 305.5	Total	(183.4)		305.5
Cash flows from financing activities:	Cash flows from financing activities:			
Issuance of debentures and notes 537.0 215.0	Issuance of debentures and notes	537.0		215.0
Issuance of common shares 1.0 2.9	Issuance of common shares	1.0		2.9
Redemption of debentures and notes (112.1)	Redemption of debentures and notes	(112.1)		(218.2)
Dividends on common shares (133.8)	Dividends on common shares	(133.8)		(122.1)
Other-net .2 .6	Other-net	.2		.6
Total 292.4 (121.7)	Total	292.4		(121.7)
Increase (decrease) in cash: (18.6) 10.7	Increase (decrease) in cash:	(18.6)		10.7
				77.3
, 6 6 1	* * · ·	\$	\$	88.0

Supplemental cash flow information:

Cash paid (received) during the Interest

period for: \$ 28.7 \$ 13.8 Income taxes \$ (8.2) \$ 16.1

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (\$ in Millions, Except Share Data)

## 1. Accounting Policies and Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

Pertinent accounting and disclosure pronouncements issued from time to time by the FASB are adopted by the Company as they become effective. In October 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the deferral of acquisition costs incurred by insurance entities. The new guidance, which is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, limits the capitalization of acquisition costs to those that are incrementally or directly related to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts. The Company is currently evaluating this guidance, however at this time, it does not expect the impact to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements. In addition, the FASB issued authoritative guidance in September 2011 which simplifies how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. This guidance is effective in 2012 and its adoption is not expected to impact the consolidated financial statements.

The financial accounting and reporting process relies on estimates and on the exercise of judgment. In the opinion of management all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals necessary for a fair presentation of the results have been recorded for the interim periods. Amounts shown in the consolidated financial statements and applicable notes are stated (except as otherwise indicated and as to share data) in millions, which amounts may not add to totals shown due to truncation. Necessary reclassifications are made in prior periods' financial statements whenever appropriate to conform to the most current presentation.

#### 2. Common Share Data:

Earnings Per Share - Consolidated basic earnings per share excludes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents and is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares actually outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share are similarly calculated with the inclusion of dilutive common stock equivalents. The following table provides a reconciliation of net income (loss) and number of shares used in basic and diluted earnings per share calculations.

	Quarter Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Numerator:					
Net Income (loss)	\$ (116.5)	\$ (38.9) \$	(195.7)	\$	43.5
Numerator for basic earnings per share					
-					
income (loss) available to common					
stockholders	(116.5)	(38.9)	(195.7)		43.5
Adjustment for interest expense					
incurred on					
assumed conversions of convertible					
senior notes	-	-	-		-

Numerator for diluted earnings per				
share -				
income (loss) available to common				
stockholders				
after assumed conversions	\$ (116.5)	\$ (38.9) \$	(195.7)	\$ 43.5
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per				
share -				
weighted-average shares (a)	255,137,235	236,697,304	254,961,965	236,552,548
Effect of dilutive securities -				
stock based compensation awards	-	-	-	155,592
Effect of dilutive securities -				
convertible senior notes	-	-	-	-
Denominator for diluted earnings per				
share -				
adjusted weighted-average shares				
and assumed conversions (a)	255,137,235	236,697,304	254,961,965	236,708,140
Earnings per				
share: Basic	\$ (.46)	\$ (.16) \$	(.77)	\$ .18
Diluted	\$ (.46)	\$ (.16) \$	(.77)	\$ .18
Anti-dilutive common share				
equivalents				
excluded from earnings per share				
computations:				
Stock based compensation awards	16,019,921	16,090,404	16,049,634	14,354,415
Convertible senior notes	62,893,212	27,457,584	54,291,380	27,457,584
Total	78,913,133	43,547,988	70,341,014	41,811,999

<sup>(</sup>a) In calculating earnings per share, pertinent accounting rules require that common shares owned by the Company's Employee Savings and Stock Ownership Plan that are as yet unallocated to participants in the

plan be excluded from the calculation. Such shares are issued and outstanding, have the same voting and other rights applicable to all other common shares.

#### 3. Investments:

The Company may classify its invested assets in terms of those assets relative to which it either (1) has the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity, (2) has available for sale or (3) has the intention of trading. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, substantially all the Company's invested assets were classified as "available for sale."

Fixed maturity securities classified as "available for sale" and other preferred and common stocks (equity securities) are included at fair value with changes in such values, net of deferred income taxes, reflected directly in shareholders' equity. Fair values for fixed maturity securities and equity securities are based on quoted market prices or estimates using values obtained from independent pricing services as applicable.

The Company reviews the status and fair value changes of each of its investments on at least a quarterly basis during the year, and estimates of other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") in the portfolio's value are evaluated and established at each quarterly balance sheet date. In reviewing investments for OTTI, the Company, in addition to a security's market price history, considers the totality of such factors as the issuer's operating results, financial condition and liquidity, its ability to access capital markets, credit rating trends, most current audit opinion, industry and securities markets conditions, and analyst expectations to reach its conclusions. Sudden fair value declines caused by such adverse developments as newly emerged or imminent bankruptcy filings, issuer default on significant obligations, or reports of financial accounting developments that bring into question the validity of previously reported earnings or financial condition, are recognized as realized losses as soon as credible publicly available information emerges to confirm such developments. Absent issuer-specific circumstances that would result in a contrary conclusion, any equity security with an unrealized investment loss amounting to a 20% or greater decline for a six month period is considered OTTI. In the event the Company's estimate of OTTI is insufficient at any point in time, future periods' net income (loss) would be adversely affected by the recognition of additional realized or impairment losses, but its financial position would not necessarily be affected adversely inasmuch as such losses, or a portion of them, could have been recognized previously as unrealized losses in shareholders' equity. The Company recognized \$42.1 and \$50.2 of OTTI adjustments for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, while recognizing \$1.2 of such adjustments for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of fixed maturity securities are as follows:

Fixed Maturity Securities: September 30, 2011:		Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. & Canadian Governments Tax-exempt Corporates	\$ \$	1,221.6 \$ 1,145.1 5,572.1 7,938.9 \$	86.4 \$ 73.3 482.8 642.6 \$	6.3	1,308.0 1,218.5 6,048.6 8,575.2
December 31, 2010: U.S. & Canadian Governments Tax-exempt Corporates	\$	1,366.1 \$ 1,391.0 5,313.2	57.6 \$ 69.2 360.2	4.3 \$ .4 20.5	1,419.4 1,459.8 5,652.9

\$ 8,070.4 \$ 487.0 \$ 25.3 \$ 8,532.2

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2011, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities since borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

			Estimated	
	A	mortized	Fair	
		Cost		
Fixed Maturity Securities:				
Due in one year or less	\$	883.7 \$	898.9	
Due after one year through five years		4,058.9	4,326.1	
Due after five years through ten years		2,782.5	3,123.7	
Due after ten years		213.6	226.4	
	\$	7,938.9 \$	8,575.2	

A summary of the Company's equity securities reflecting reported adjusted cost, net of OTTI adjustments totaling \$295.1 at September 30, 2011 and \$245.4 at December 31, 2010 follows:

	A	djusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	
September 30, 2011	\$	336.1 \$	175.2 \$	16.1 \$	495.2	
December 31, 2010	\$	402.8 \$	271.7 \$	2.2 \$	672.4	

The following table reflects the Company's gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by category and length of time that individual securities have been in an unrealized loss position employing fair value comparisons with an issuer's adjusted cost at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

		12 Month	s or l	Less	Greater tha	Months	Total			
		Fair	Uı	nrealized	Fair	U	nrealized	Fair	Ur	realized
		Value		Losses	Value		Losses	Value	]	Losses
September 30, 2011:										
Fixed Maturity Securities:										
U.S. & Canadian	ı			-	-		-	1.0		-
Governments	\$	1.0	\$	\$	S	\$	\$		\$	
Tax-exempt		2.8		-	-		-	2.8		-
Corporates		201.8		6.0	1.7		.3	203.5		6.3
Subtotal		205.7		6.1	1.7		.3	207.4		6.4
Equity Securities		110.9		16.0	-		-	110.9		16.1
Total	\$	316.6	\$	22.1 \$	5 1.7	\$	.3 \$	318.4	\$	22.5
December 31, 2010:										
Fixed Maturity Securities:										
U.S. & Canadian	ì			4.3	-		-			4.3
Governments	\$	318.7	\$	\$	5	\$	\$	318.7	\$	
Tax-exempt		14.2		.4	-		-	14.2		.4
Corporates		729.5		19.3	26.0		1.2	755.5		20.5
Subtotal		1,062.4		24.1	26.0		1.2	1,088.5		25.3
Equity Securities		6.3		-	96.7		2.1	103.0		2.2
Total	\$	1,068.8	\$	24.1 \$	122.7	\$	3.4 \$	1,191.6	\$	27.5

At September 30, 2011, the Company held 85 fixed maturity and 9 equity securities in an unrealized loss position, representing 4.3% as to fixed maturities and 20.9% as to equity securities of the total number of such issues it held. At December 31, 2010, the Company held 283 fixed maturity and 3 equity securities in an unrealized loss position, representing 13.5% as to fixed maturities and 7.1% as to equity securities of the total number of such issues it held. Of the securities in an unrealized loss position, 2 and 5 fixed maturity securities and 1 and 2 equity securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The unrealized losses on these securities are primarily attributable to a post-purchase rising interest rate environment and/or a decline in the credit quality of some issuers. As part of its assessment of other-than-temporary impairments, the Company considers its intent to continue to hold and the likelihood that it will not be required to sell investment securities in an unrealized loss position until cost recovery, principally on the basis of its asset and liability maturity matching procedures.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants (an exit price) at the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy is established that prioritizes the sources ("inputs") used to measure fair value into three broad levels: inputs based on quoted market prices in active markets (Level 1); observable inputs based on corroboration with available market data (Level 2); and unobservable inputs based on uncorroborated market data or a reporting entity's own assumptions (Level 3). Following is a description of the valuation methodologies and general classification used for securities measured at fair value.

The Company uses quoted values and other data provided by a nationally recognized independent pricing source as inputs into its quarterly process for determining fair values of its fixed maturity and equity securities. To validate the techniques or models used by pricing sources, the Company's review process includes, but is not limited to: (i) initial and ongoing evaluation of methodologies used by outside parties to calculate fair value; and (ii) comparing the fair value estimates to its knowledge of the current market and to independent fair value estimates provided by the investment custodian. The independent pricing source obtains market quotations and actual transaction prices for securities that have quoted prices in active markets and uses its own proprietary method for determining the fair value of securities that are not actively traded. In general, these methods involve the use of "matrix pricing" in which the independent pricing source uses observable market inputs including, but not limited to,

investment yields, credit risks and spreads, benchmarking of like securities, broker-dealer quotes, reported trades and sector groupings to determine a reasonable fair value.

Level 1 securities include U.S. and Canadian Treasury notes, publicly traded common stocks, the quoted net asset value ("NAV") of mutual funds, and most short-term investments in highly liquid money market instruments. Level 2 securities generally include corporate bonds, municipal bonds, certain U.S. and Canadian government agency securities, and a restricted publicly traded common stock. Securities classified within Level 3 include non-publicly traded bonds, short-term investments, and common stocks. There were no significant changes in the fair value of assets measured with the use of significant unobservable inputs as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The following table shows a summary of assets measured at fair value segregated among the various input levels described above:

	Fair value measurements as of September 30, 2011:								
	]	Level 1		Level 2	L	Level 3		Total	
Available for sale:									
Fixed maturity securities:									
U.S. & Canadian Governments	\$	389.5	\$	918.5	\$	-	\$	1,308.0	
Tax-exempt		-		1,218.5		-		1,218.5	
Corporates		-		6,018.1		30.5		6,048.6	
Equity securities		419.5		74.7		.9		495.2	
Short-term investments	\$	1,306.8	\$	-	\$	5.3	\$	1,312.2	
		Fair v	alue n	neasurements	as of D	ecember 31,	2010:	:	
	]	Level 1	Level 2			Level 3		Total	
Available for sale:									
Fixed maturity securities:									
U.S. & Canadian Governments	\$	483.9	\$	935.4	\$	-	\$	1,419.4	
Tax-exempt		-		1,459.8		-		1,459.8	
Corporates		-		5,622.2		30.6		5,652.9	
Equity securities		525.0		145.0		2.4		672.4	
Short-term investments	\$	998.6	\$	-	\$	5.4	\$	1,004.0	

Investment income is reported net of allocated expenses and includes appropriate adjustments for amortization of premium and accretion of discount on fixed maturity securities acquired at other than par value. Dividends on equity securities are credited to income on the ex-dividend date. Realized investment gains and losses, which result from sales or write-downs of securities, are reflected as revenues in the income state—ment and are determined on the basis of amortized value at date of sale for fixed maturity securities, and cost in regard to equity securities; such bases apply to the specific securities sold. Unrealized investment gains and losses, net of any defer—red income taxes, are recorded directly as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. At September 30, 2011, the Company and its subsidiaries had no non-income producing fixed maturity securities.

The following table reflects the composition of net investment income, net realized gains or losses, and the net change in unrealized invest—ment gains or losses for each of the years shown.

	Quarters Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011		2010	2011		2010
Investment income from:						
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 89.1	\$	90.0 \$	268.9	\$	277.0
Equity securities	2.4		2.4	7.3		5.2
Short-term investments	.3		.5	1.1		1.2
Other sources	.8		.3	3.3		2.7
Gross investment income	92.7		93.4	280.7		286.2
Investment expenses (a)	1.9		.7	5.2		2.2
Net investment income	\$ 90.8	\$	92.6 \$	275.5	\$	284.0
Realized gains (losses) on:						
Fixed maturity securities:						
Gains	\$ 9.9	\$	9.9 \$	21.5	\$	50.4
Losses	(.1)		-	(1.6)		(.1)
Net	9.7		9.9	19.9		50.2
Equity securities & other long-term investments	(25.3)		(6.0)	(31.9)		29.4
Total	(15.5)		3.8	(12.0)		79.7
Income taxes (credits)(b)	(8.3)		1.3	(7.1)		27.8
Net realized gains (losses)	\$ (7.2)	\$	2.5 \$	(4.9)	\$	51.8
Changes in unrealized investment gains (losses) on:						
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 116.1	\$	125.4 \$	173.9	\$	260.6
Less: Deferred income taxes (credits)	40.4		43.8	60.6		91.0
Net changes in unrealized investment gains (losses)	\$ 75.7	\$	81.6 \$	113.2	\$	169.5
Equity securities & other long-term investments	\$ (70.2)	\$	72.0 \$	(113.8)	\$	62.2
Less: Deferred income taxes (credits)	(24.5)		25.2	(39.9)		21.7
Net changes in unrealized investment gains (losses)	\$ (45.6)	\$	46.8 \$	(73.9)	\$	40.4

<sup>(</sup>a) Investment expenses consist of personnel costs and investment management and custody service fees, as well as interest incurred on funds held of \$.5 and \$1.4 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and negligible amounts incurred in comparative periods of 2010.

# 4. Pension Plans:

As of September 30, 2011, the Company has four pension plans covering a portion of its work force. The four plans are the Old Republic International Employees Retirement Plan (the Old Republic Plan), the Bituminous Casualty Corporation Retirement Income Plan (the Bituminous Plan), the Old Republic National Title Group Pension Plan (the Title Plan), and the PMA Capital Corporation Pension Plan (the PMA Plan). The plans are defined benefit plans pursuant to which pension payments are based primarily on years of service and employee compensation near retirement. It is the Company's policy to fund the plans' costs as they accrue. With the exception of the PMA Plan,

<sup>(</sup>b) Reflects primarily the combination of fully taxable realized investment gains or losses and judgments about the recoverability of deferred tax assets.

these plans have been closed to new participants since December 31, 2004. The PMA Plan was frozen as of December 31, 2005. Under the terms of the freeze, the plan is closed to new participants and eligible employees retained all of their rights under the plan that they had vested as of December 31, 2005 but do not accrue any additional benefits thereafter. Plan assets are comprised principally of bonds, common stocks and short-term investments. Cash contributions of \$21.7 and \$32.7 were made to the pension plans in the current quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, and additional cash contributions of \$.9 are expected to be made in the remaining portion of calendar year 2011.

### 5. Information About Segments of Business:

The Company is engaged in the single business of insurance underwriting. It conducts its operations through a number of regulated insurance company subsidiaries organized into three major segments, namely its General Insurance (property and liability insurance), Mortgage Guaranty and Title Insurance Groups. The results of a small life & health insurance business are included with those of its corporate and minor service operations. Each of the Company's segments underwrites and services only those insurance coverages which may be written by it pursuant to state insurance regulations and corporate charter provisions. Segment results exclude net realized investment gains or losses and other-than-temporary impairments as these are aggregated in the consolidated totals. The contributions of Old Republic's insurance industry segments to consolidated totals are shown in the following table.

		Quarter Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2011	 2010	2011		2010	
General Insurance Group:							
Net premiums earned	\$	550.0	\$ 435.0 \$	,	\$	1,247.9	
Net investment income and other income		97.0	66.1	283.7		200.7	
Total revenues before realized gains or losses	\$	647.0	\$ 501.1 \$	1,885.2	\$	1,448.7	
Income (loss) before income taxes (credits) and							
realized investment gains or losses (a)	\$	64.7	\$ 25.8 \$		\$	124.5	
Income tax expense (credits) on above	\$	19.5	\$ 9.3 \$	62.1	\$	37.0	
Mortgage Guaranty Group:							
Net premiums earned	\$	110.4	\$ 120.3 \$	336.1	\$	385.7	
Net investment income and other income		15.4	22.1	49.2		69.3	
Total revenues before realized gains or losses	\$	125.9	\$ 142.4 \$	385.4	\$	455.1	
Income (loss) before income taxes (credits) and							
realized investment gains or losses (a)(c)	\$	(237.8)	\$ (94.0) \$	(514.9)	\$	(150.3)	
Income tax expense (credits) on above	\$	(79.6)	\$ (33.5) \$	(177.1)	\$	(55.6)	
Title Insurance Group:							
Net premiums earned	\$	240.3	\$ 224.1 \$	732.9	\$	600.7	
Title, escrow and other fees		90.0	87.6	257.4		252.9	
Sub-total Sub-total		330.4	311.8	990.3		853.6	
Net investment income and other income		7.2	6.9	22.0		20.7	
Total revenues before realized gains or losses	\$	337.7	\$ 318.7 \$	1,012.3	\$	874.3	
Income (loss) before income taxes (credits) and							
realized investment gains or losses (a)	\$	9.6	\$ 5.7 \$	17.8	\$	1.1	
Income tax expense (credits) on above	\$	3.3	\$ 1.9 \$	5.8	\$	-	
Consolidated Revenues:							
Total revenues of above Company segments	\$	1,110.6	\$ 962.4 \$	3,283.1	\$	2,778.2	
Other sources (b)		33.7	33.9	110.9		110.5	
Consolidated net realized investment gains							
(losses)		(15.5)	3.8	(12.0)		79.7	
Consolidation elimination adjustments		(15.3)	(13.7)	(43.9)		(41.1)	
Consolidated revenues	\$	1,113.5	\$ 986.5 \$	3,338.0	\$	2,927.3	
Consolidated Income (Loss) Before Taxes							
(Credits):							
Total income (loss) before income taxes (credits	)						
and realized investment gains or losses of							
above Company segments	\$	(163.4)	\$ (62.4) \$	(292.7)	\$	(24.7)	
Other sources – net (b)		(3.6)	(2.2)	(11.1)		(3.6)	
Consolidated net realized investment gains							
(losses)		(15.5)	3.8	(12.0)		79.7	
Consolidated income (loss)							
before income taxes (credits)	\$	(182.7)	\$ (60.7) \$	(316.0)	\$	51.3	

Consolidated Income Tax Expense (Credits):

Total income tax expense (credits)				
for above Company segments \$	(56.6) \$	(22.2) \$	(109.1) \$	(18.4)
Other sources – net (b)	(1.1)	(.8)	(3.9)	(1.5)
Income tax expense (credits) on				
consolidated net realized investment gains (losses)	(8.3)	1.3	(7.1)	27.8
Consolidated income tax expense (credits) \$	(66.1) \$	(21.7) \$	(120.2) \$	7.8

	Sep	tember 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Consolidated Assets:			
General	\$	12,324.2	\$ 12,189.8
Mortgage		2,097.5	2,537.9
Title		925.0	915.0
Other assets (b)		1,006.2	576.7
Consolidation elimination adjustments		(353.9)	(336.8)
Consolidated	\$	15,999.1	\$ 15,882.7

- (a) Income (loss) before taxes (credits) is reported net of interest charges on intercompany financing arrangements with Old Republic's holding company parent for the following segments: General \$6.6 and \$18.1 compared to \$5.3 and \$16.0 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; Mortgage \$2.0 and \$5.9 compared to \$1.8 and \$5.3 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; and Title \$1.3 and \$3.9 compared to \$1.2 and \$3.9 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (b) Represents amounts for Old Republic's holding company parent, minor corporate services subsidiaries, and a small life and health insurance operation.
- (c) Income (loss) before taxes (credits) for 2011 interim periods includes an accrual of employment severance and similar costs (\$5.3), elimination of previously deferred acquisition costs (\$29.1) no longer deemed recoverable in future run-off periods, and a write-off of the historical goodwill balance of \$10.7.

The material increases in mortgage guaranty insurance claims and loss payments that began in 2007 have substantially depleted and continue to erode the statutory capital base of the Company's mortgage insurance subsidiaries. Sixteen states have insurance laws or regulations which require a mortgage insurer to maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the level of risk in force. The most common measure applied allows for a maximum permitted risk to capital ratio of 25 to 1. The failure to maintain the prescribed minimum capital level in a particular state would generally require a mortgage insurer to immediately stop writing new business until it reestablishes the required level of capital or receives a waiver of the requirement from a state's insurance regulatory authority.

The Company's principal mortgage insurance subsidiary, Republic Mortgage Insurance Company ("RMIC"), breached the minimum capital requirement during the third quarter of 2010. RMIC had previously requested and, subsequently received waivers or forbearance of the minimum policyholder position requirements from the regulatory authorities in substantially all of the states. Following several brief extensions, the waiver from its domiciliary state of North Carolina expired on August 31, 2011, and RMIC voluntarily discontinued writing new business. By a subsequent agreement with the North Carolina Department of Insurance ("NCDOI"), RMIC and its sister company, Republic Mortgage Insurance Company of North Carolina ("RMIC-NC"), agreed to discontinue writing new business in all states and limit themselves to servicing the run-off of their existing business.

RMIC thereafter filed a proposed run-off plan with the NCDOI for its approval. Under the plan, RMIC and/or a successor entity would operate under the control and supervision of the NCDOI. The plan has not yet been approved and may not be approved. If the plan is not approved, RMIC is likely to become capital impaired and could be placed in receivership by the NCDOI. If the plan is approved, RMIC and/or a successor entity would most likely become capital impaired. In such circumstance there is also no assurance that the run-off would be successful, that all legitimate claims would be honored, and that the Company would be permitted to re-enter the mortgage guaranty business by the NCDOI and/or Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, both of which are currently in the conservatorship of the U.S. Government.

### 6. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities:

Legal proceedings against the Company and its subsidiaries routinely arise in the normal course of business and usually pertain to claim matters related to insurance policies and contracts issued by its insurance subsidiaries. Other, non-routine legal proceedings which may prove to be material to the Company or a subsidiary are discussed below.

Purported class action lawsuits are pending against the Company's principal title insurance subsidiary, Old Republic National Title Insurance Company ("ORNTIC"), in federal courts in two states – Pennsylvania (Markocki et al. v. ORNTIC, U.S. District Court, Eastern District, Pennsylvania, filed June 8, 2006), and Texas (Ahmad et al. v. ORNTIC, U.S. District Court, Northern District, Texas, Dallas Division, filed February 8, 2008). The plaintiffs allege that ORNTIC failed to give consumers reissue and/or refinance credits on the premiums charged for title insurance

covering mortgage refinancing transactions, as required by rate schedules filed by ORNTIC or by state rating bureaus with the state insurance regulatory authorities. The Pennsylvania suit also alleges violations of the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). The Court in the Texas suit dismissed similar RESPA allegations. Classes have been certified in both actions, but the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals has granted ORNTIC's motion appealing the Texas class certification.

Beginning in early February 2008, some 80 purported consumer class action lawsuits were filed against the title industry's principal title insurance companies, their subsidiaries and affiliates, and title insurance rating bureaus or associations in at least 10 states. ORNTIC was a named defendant in actions filed in 5 of the states. The suits were substantially identical in alleging that the defendant title insurers engaged in illegal price-fixing agreements to set artificially high premium rates and conspired to create premium rates which the state insurance regulatory authorities could not evaluate and therefore, could not adequately regulate. Most of the suits have since been dismissed, and the dismissals are currently being appealed. Of those remaining, ORNTIC is currently among the named defendants in only one of these actions, in California. The anti-trust allegations in the California action have been dismissed and only the allegations of improper business practices under state law remain. On June 28, 2011 the Federal District Court for the Northern District of California granted a motion to stay the litigation and compel arbitration of individual

claims, thus precluding the certification of a class action. The other suits in which ORNTIC was a named defendant have all been dismissed at the trial court level.

National class action suits have been filed against the Company's subsidiary, Old Republic Home Protection Company ("ORHP") in the California Superior Court, San Diego, and the U.S. District Court in Birmingham, Alabama. The California suit has been filed on behalf of all persons who made a claim under an ORHP home warranty contract from March 6, 2003 to the present. The suit alleges breach of contract, breach of the implicit covenant of good faith and fair dealing, violations of certain California consumer protection laws and misrepresentation arising out of ORHP's alleged failure to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation and processing of claims under its home warranty contracts. The suit seeks unspecified damages consisting of the rescission of the class members' contracts, restitution of all sums paid by the class members, punitive damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief. ORHP removed the action to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, and on January 6, 2011 the Court denied plaintiff's motion for class certification. The Alabama suit alleges that ORHP pays fees to the real estate brokers who market its home warranty contracts and that the payment of such fees is in violation of Section 8(a) of RESPA. The suit seeks unspecified damages, including treble damages under RESPA. No class has been certified in the Alabama action. Neither action is expected to result in any material liability to the Company.

On December 19, 2008, Old Republic Insurance Company and Old Republic Insured Credit Services, Inc., ("Old Republic") filed suit against Countrywide Bank FSB, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. ("Countrywide") and Bank of New York Mellon, BNY Mellon Trust of Delaware in the Circuit Court, Cook County, Illinois seeking declaratory judgment to rescind or terminate various credit indemnity policies issued to insure home equity loans and home equity lines of credit which Countrywide had securitized or held for its own account. In February of 2009, Countrywide filed a counterclaim alleging a breach of contract, bad faith and seeking a declaratory judgment challenging the factual and procedural bases that Old Republic had relied upon to deny or rescind coverage for individual defaulted loans under those policies. As of September 30, 2011, Old Republic had rescinded or denied coverage on more than 18,000 defaulted loans, based upon material misrepresentations either by Countrywide as to the credit characteristics of the loans or by the borrowers in their loan applications.

On November 3, 2010, Bank of America, N.A. ("B of A") filed suit against Old Republic Insurance Company ("ORIC") in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina alleging breach of contract, breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing and bad faith with respect to ORIC's handling of certain claims under a policy of credit indemnity insurance issued to B of A. The policy is not related to those issued to Countrywide, which are the subject of the above-noted separate litigation. The B of A suit seeks a declaratory judgment with respect to the interpretation of certain policy terms. B of A's compliance with certain terms and conditions of the policy and the propriety of certain positions and procedures taken by ORIC in response to claims filed by B of A. The suit also seeks money damages in excess of \$320, pre and post judgment interest and unspecified punitive damages.

On December 31, 2009, two of the Company's mortgage insurance subsidiaries, Republic Mortgage Insurance Company and Republic Mortgage Insurance Company of North Carolina (together "RMIC") filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, against Countrywide Financial Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, and Bank of America N.A. as successor in interest to Countrywide Bank, N.A. (together "Countrywide"). The suit relates to five mortgage insurance master policies (the "Policies") issued by RMIC to Countrywide or to the Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company as co-trustee for trusts containing securitized mortgage loans that were originated or purchased by Countrywide. RMIC has rescinded its mortgage insurance coverage on over 1,500 of the loans originally covered under the Policies based upon material misrepresentations of the borrowers in their loan applications or the negligence of Countrywide in its loan underwriting practices or procedures. Each of the coverage rescissions occurred after a borrower had defaulted and RMIC reviewed the claim and loan file submitted by Countrywide. The suit seeks the Court's review and interpretation of the Policies'

incontestability provisions and its validation of RMIC's investigation procedures with respect to the claims and underlying loan files.

On January 29, 2010, in response to RMIC's suit, Countrywide served RMIC with a demand for arbitration under the arbitration clauses of the same Policies. The demand raises largely the same issues as those raised in RMIC's suit against Countrywide, but from Countrywide's perspective, as well as Countrywide's and RMIC's compliance with the terms, provisions and conditions of the Policies. The demand includes a prayer for punitive, compensatory and consequential damages. RMIC filed a motion to stay the arbitration, and Countrywide filed a motion to dismiss RMIC's lawsuit and to compel the arbitration. On July 26, 2010, the Court granted Countrywide's motion, ordering the matters be submitted to arbitration and dismissing the lawsuit. The arbitration is proceeding.

After its First Amended Complaint was dismissed on May 4, 2011, on July 19, 2011, J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") filed a Second Amended Complaint against RMIC in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey arising out of RMIC's rescissions of coverage on approximately 377 mortgage loans. The new lawsuit abandons the earlier claim, which the Court dismissed, that RMIC could not unilaterally rescind coverage. Instead, Chase alleges that RMIC's rescissions were improper either because the coverage had become incontestable; or the rescissions relied upon evidence that was either improperly obtained or insufficient, unreliable or immaterial; or the rescissions were not permitted by applicable law. Based on these allegations, Chase asserts claims for breach of

contract, breach of good faith and fiduciary duties, negligence and violations of Colorado and Louisiana insurance laws and seeks declaratory relief and unspecified compensatory, treble and punitive damages.

On February 18, 2011, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as receiver of AmTrust Bank, filed a suit against Old Republic Insurance Company ("ORIC") in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio arising out of ORIC's termination of a credit indemnity policy issued to insure home equity loans made or held by AmTrust. The suit alleges breach of contract and seeks a declaratory judgment that ORIC's attempted termination and/or cancellation of the policy did not terminate coverage of the insured loans and that ORIC remains obligated to provide coverage for such loans under the policy. The suit seeks damages in excess of \$46, declaratory relief, pre-and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

Under GAAP, an estimated loss is accrued only if the loss is probable and reasonably estimable. The Company and its subsidiaries have defended and intend to continue defending vigorously against each of the aforementioned actions. The Company does not believe it probable that any of these actions will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows, though there can be no assurance in those regards. Nor are we able to make a reasonable estimate or range of estimates of any potential liability under these lawsuits, the counterclaim, and the arbitration, all of which seek unquantified damages, attorneys' fees, and expenses. It is also unclear what effect, if any, the run-off of RMIC and depletion of its capital will have in the actions against it.

7. Debt:

On March 9, 2011, the Company completed a public offering of \$550.0 aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes. The notes bear interest at a rate of 3.75% per year, mature on March 15, 2018, and are convertible at any time prior to maturity by the holder into 64.3407 shares of common stock per one thousand dollar note.

Consolidated debt of Old Republic and its subsidiaries is summarized below:

	September	r 30,	2011	December 31, 2010			
	Carrying		Fair	Carrying		Fair	
	Amount		Value	Amount		Value	
3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018	\$ 550.0	\$	514.2 \$	_	\$	_	
8.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2012	316.2		323.3	316.2		399.2	
ESSOP debt with an average yield of 3.72%							
and 3.77%, respectively	23.4		23.4	25.8		25.8	
Junior subordinated debt due 2037 and 2033 -							
2037,							
respectively, with average yields of 8.29%							
and 4.34% to 8.29%, respectively	20.0		20.0	62.5		62.5	
8.5% Senior Notes due 2018	-		-	56.4		56.4	
Surplus notes due 2035 with an							
average yield of 4.79%	-		-	10.0		10.0	
Other miscellaneous debt	3.2		3.2	4.0		4.0	
Total debt	\$ 912.8	\$	884.2 \$	475.0	\$	558.1	

On March 4, 2011, the Company terminated a \$150.0 one year commercial paper credit facility.

The Company's 3.75% and 8.0% Convertible Senior Notes ("the Notes") contain provisions defining certain events of default. The Notes provide that, among other events, the insolvency of a Significant Subsidiary of the Company constitutes an event of default. The Company's flagship mortgage guaranty insurance carrier, Republic Mortgage

Insurance Company, is defined as a Significant Subsidiary for purposes of the Notes. The capital adequacy issues discussed in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements relative to the flagship carrier could ultimately result in an event of default. If this were to occur, the outstanding principal of the Notes could become immediately due and payable. The Company believes that it would have most of the financial resources to pay the outstanding principal on the Notes if required to do so.

8. Income Taxes:

Tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return by the Company are recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not that the position would be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. To the best of management's knowledge, there are no tax uncertainties that are expected to result in significant increases or decreases to unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve month period. The Company views its income tax exposures as primarily consisting of timing differences whereby the ultimate deductibility of a taxable amount is highly certain but the timing of its deductibility is uncertain. Such differences relate principally to the timing of deductions for loss and premium reserves. As in prior examinations, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) could assert that claim reserve deductions were overstated thereby reducing the Company's statutory taxable income in any particular year. The Company believes that it establishes its reserves fairly and consistently at each balance

sheet date, and that it would succeed in defending its tax position in these regards. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, the possible accelerated payment of tax to the IRS would not necessarily affect the annual effective tax rate. The IRS is currently examining the Company's 2008 consolidated Federal income tax return, along with the Company's amended returns for years 2005 through 2007 relative to a claim for recovery of taxes previously paid. The Company's 2006 consolidated Federal income tax return has been examined and no significant adjustments have been identified. The Company classifies interest and penalties as income tax expense in the consolidated statement of income.

# OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

(\$ in Millions, Except Share Data)

#### **OVERVIEW**

This management analysis of financial position and results of operations pertains to the consolidated accounts of Old Republic International Corporation ("Old Republic" or "the Company"). The Company conducts its operations through three major regulatory segments, namely, its General (property and liability), Mortgage Guaranty, and Title insurance segments. A small life and health insurance business, accounting for 1.9% of consolidated operating revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 1.5% of consolidated assets as of that date, is included within the corporate and other caption of this report.

The consolidated accounts are presented in conformity with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). As a publicly held company, Old Republic utilizes GAAP largely to comply with the financial reporting requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). From time to time the FASB and the SEC issue various releases, most of which require additional financial statement disclosures and provide related application guidance. Of particular relevance to the Company's financial statements are recent disclosure requirements pertaining to uncertainties affecting income tax provisions, methodologies for establishing the fair value and recording of other-than-temporary impairments of securities, possible consolidation of variable interest entities, and composition of plan assets held by the Company's defined benefit plans. More recently, the FASB also issued new guidance relative to the calculation of deferred acquisition costs incurred by insurance entities and goodwill impairment. The requisite disclosures and explanations for these matters are covered in the pertinent sections of this Management Analysis and/or footnotes to the Company's consolidated financial statements regularly included in its quarterly and annual reports to the SEC on Forms 10-Q and/or 10-K, respectively.

As a state regulated financial institution vested with the public interest, however, business of the Company's insurance subsidiaries is managed pursuant to the laws, regulations, and accounting practices of the various states in the U.S. and the laws of a small number of other jurisdictions outside the U.S. in which they operate. In comparison with GAAP, the statutory accounting practices reflect greater conservatism and comparability among insurers, and are intended to address the primary financial security interests of policyholders and their beneficiaries. Additionally, these practices also affect a significant number of important factors such as product pricing, risk bearing capacity and capital adequacy, the determination of Federal income taxes payable currently, and the upstreaming of dividends by insurance subsidiaries to the parent holding company. The major differences between these statutory financial accounting practices and GAAP are summarized in Note 1(a) to the consolidated financial statements included in Old Republic's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The insurance business is distinguished from most others in that the prices (premiums) charged for various insurance products are set without certainty of the ultimate benefit and claim costs that will emerge or be incurred, often many years after issuance and expiration of a policy. This basic fact casts Old Republic as a risk-taking enterprise. Management therefore conducts the business with a primary focus on achieving favorable underwriting results over cycles, and on the maintenance of financial soundness in support of the insurance subsidiaries' long-term obligations to insurance beneficiaries. To achieve these objectives, adherence to insurance risk management principles is stressed, and asset diversification and quality are emphasized.

In addition to income arising from Old Republic's basic underwriting and related services functions, significant investment income is earned from invested funds generated by those functions and from shareholders' capital.

Investment management aims for stability of income from interest and dividends, protection of capital, and sufficient liquidity to meet insurance underwriting and other obligations as they become payable in the future. Securities trading and the realization of capital gains are not objectives. The investment philosophy is therefore best characterized as emphasizing value, credit quality, and relatively long-term holding periods. The Company's ability to hold both fixed maturity and equity securities for long periods of time is in turn enabled by the scheduling of maturities in contemplation of an appropriate matching of assets and liabilities.

In light of the above factors, the Company's affairs are necessarily managed for the long run and without significant regard to the arbitrary strictures of quarterly or even annual reporting periods that American industry must observe. In Old Republic's view, such short reporting time frames do not comport well with the long-term nature of much of its business. Management believes that the Company's operating results and financial condition can best be evaluated by observing underwriting and overall operating performance trends over succeeding five to ten year intervals. Such extended periods can encompass one or two economic and/or underwriting cycles, and thereby provide appropriate time frames for such cycles to run their course and for reserved claim costs to be quantified with greater finality and effect.

This management analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the footnotes appended to them.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Old Republic's 2011 consolidated operations remained unprofitable in the latest quarterly and year-to-date periods, whereas much lower consolidated operating losses were sustained in the same periods of 2010. From a business segment standpoint, year-to-date comparisons among these periods reflect more positive general and title insurance operating results in 2011. Mortgage guaranty performance, however, has continued to deteriorate as incurred claim costs have exacted a greater toll on Old Republic's overall bottom line.

Financial data for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 includes the accounts of PMA Capital Corporation ("PMA") whose merger with Old Republic occurred on October 1, 2010. The addition of PMA-related accounts in this year's third quarter and first nine months had a positive effect on consolidated operating revenues of \$144.2 and \$423.7, and on net operating results of \$3.8 and \$15.0, for the respective periods.

Consolidated Results – The major components of Old Republic's consolidated results and other data for the periods reported upon are shown below.

	Quarter	s Ende	d Septem	nber 30, Nine Months Ended September					ember 30,
	2011		2010	Change		2011	2	2010	Change
Operating revenues:				_					_
General insurance \$	647.0	\$	501.1	29.1%	\$	1,885.2	\$	1,448.7	30.1%
Mortgage guaranty	125.9		142.4	-11.6		385.4		455.1	-15.3
Title insurance	337.7		318.7	5.9		1,012.3		874.3	15.8
Corporate and other	18.4		20.2	-9.2		67.0		69.4	-3.4
Total \$	1,129.0	\$	982.6	14.9%	\$	3,350.1	\$	2,847.6	17.6%
Pretax operating income (loss):									
General insurance \$	64.7	\$	25.8	149.9%	\$	204.2	\$	124.5	64.1%
Mortgage guaranty	(237.8)		(94.0)	-152.9		(514.9)		(150.3)	-242.4
Title insurance	9.6		5.7	67.6		17.8		1.1	N/M
Corporate and other	(3.6)		(2.2)	-64.3		(11.1)		(3.6)	-207.6
Sub-total	(167.1)		(64.6)	-158.6		(303.9)		(28.3)	N/M
Realized investment gains (losses):									
From sales	26.5		5.1			38.1		80.9	
From impairments	(42.1)		(1.2)			(50.2)		(1.2)	
Net realized investment gains	(15.5)		3.8	N/M		(12.0)		79.7	-115.1
(losses)									
Consolidated pretax income (loss)	(182.7)		(60.7)	-200.7		(316.0)		51.3	N/M
Income taxes (credits)	(66.1)		(21.7)	-203.8		(120.2)		7.8	N/M
Net income (loss)	(116.5)	\$	(38.9)	-198.9%		\$ (195.7)	\$	43.5	N/M%
Consolidated underwriting ratio:									
Benefits and claim ratio	72	.8%	68.3%		69	.0% 62	2.9%		
Expense ratio	50	.1	48.3		48	.4 48	8.2		
Composite ratio	122	.9%	116.6%		117	.4% 111	1.1%		
Diluted earnings per share:									
Net operating income (loss)	\$ (0	0.43) \$	(0.17)	9	\$ (	0.75) \$	(0.04)	)	
Net realized investment gains (losses		0.03)	0.01			0.02)	0.22	•	
Net income (loss)		).46) \$	(0.16)	9	,	0.77) \$	0.18		
,		, '	` /		`	, .			

Cash dividends paid per share	\$ 0.1750 \$	0.1725	1.4%	\$ 0.5250	\$	0.5175	1.4%
Components of diluted							
earnings per share:							
Net operating income (loss):							
General insurance	\$ 0.18 \$	0.07		\$ 0.56	\$	0.37	
Mortgage guaranty	(0.62)	(0.26)		(1.32)		(0.40)	
Title insurance	0.02	0.02		0.05	-	-	
Corporate and other	(0.01)	-		(0.04)		(0.01)	
Subtotal	(0.43)	(0.17)		(0.75)		(0.04)	
Net realized investment gains (losses)	(0.03)	0.01		(0.02)		0.22	
Net income (loss)	\$ (0.46) \$	(0.16)		\$ (0.77)	\$	0.18	
N/M: Not meaningful							

The recognition of realized investment gains or losses can be highly discretionary and arbitrary due to such factors as the timing of individual securities sales, recognition of estimated losses from write-downs of impaired securities, tax-planning considerations, and changes in investment management judgments relative to the direction of securities markets or the future prospects of individual investees or industry sectors. Likewise, non-recurring items which may

emerge from time to time can distort the comparability of the Company's results from period to period. Accordingly, management uses net operating income, a non-GAAP financial measure, to evaluate and better explain operating performance, and believes its use enhances an understanding of Old Republic's basic business results. Operating income, however, does not replace net income determined in accordance with GAAP as a measure of total profitability.

The preceding tables therefore show both operating and net income or loss to highlight the effects of realized investment gain or loss recognition on period-to-period comparisons. The composition of such realized gains or losses follows:

	Quarters Ended September 30,			Nine Mos Septer		
	2011		2010	2011		2010
Realized gains (losses) from sales of						
previously impaired securities:						
Actual tax basis (loss) on sales	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$	(44.0)
Accounting adjustment for impairment						
charges taken in prior periods	-		-	.4		71.9
Net amount included herein	-		-	.4		27.9
Net realized gains from sales of all other securities	26.5		5.1	37.7		53.0
Net gain (loss) from actual sales	26.5		5.1	38.1		80.9
Net realized losses from impairments	(42.1)		(1.2)	(50.2)		(1.2)
Net realized investment gains (losses) reported herein	\$ (15.5)	\$	3.8 \$	(12.0)	\$	79.7

General Insurance Results – Operating earnings for 2011's third quarter and first nine months were affected positively by moderately lower claim costs and the above-noted inclusion of PMA's accounts. Key indicators of year-over-year performance follow:

		General Insurance Group										
		Quarters Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2	011	20	010	Change	20	011	2	010	Change		
Net premiums earned	\$	550.0	\$	435.0	26.4%	\$ 1	1,601.4	\$	1,247.9	28.3%		
Net investment income		67.5		63.6	6.2		202.2		192.9	4.8		
Benefits and claims costs		401.8		353.0	13.8		1,159.9		962.3	20.5		
Pretax operating income (loss)	\$	64.7	\$	25.8	149.9%	\$	204.2	\$	124.5	64.1%		
Claim ratio			73.	1%	81.2%		72.4%		77.1%			
Expense ratio			25.	9	26.1		25.9		26.9			
Composite ratio			99.	0%	107.3%		98.3%		104.0%			

Third quarter, 2011 inclusion of the PMA-related accounts resulted in approximate increases of \$112.5 in net premiums earned, \$4.7 in net investment income, \$82.7 in benefits and claims costs, and \$5.8 in pretax operating income. For the first nine months of this year these amounts were \$335.1, \$13.6, \$240.5, and \$22.7, respectively. Excluding PMA's contribution, the remainder of general insurance net premiums earned posted basically flat quarterly and year-to-date comparisons. As reported for the past several years, the combination of ongoing recessionary conditions and a soft pricing environment in the commercial insurance arena has constrained premium growth. Lessened economic activity affects such factors as insureds' sales and employment levels, both of which are important elements upon which Old Republic's insurance premiums are based.

Overall general insurance underwriting performance was relatively stable in the first nine months of this year. The consumer credit indemnity ("CCI") coverage which is in temporary run-off and thus reflects only renewal premiums from 2008 and prior years' production, continued to produce adverse but moderately lower underwriting losses. CCI claims experience consequently burdened the overall general insurance claim ratio by 1.8 and 13.8 percentage points in the third quarter of 2011 and 2010, and by 2.5 and 9.6 for the first nine months of these years, respectively.

While PMA's merger produced a meaningful addition to general insurance consolidated invested assets, net investment income did not grow commensurably. The lower yields available for newly investable funds and the relatively short maturity configuration of the investment portfolio are impeding revenue growth from this source.

Mortgage Guaranty Results – Operating performance in this year's third quarter and first nine months was affected negatively by higher claim costs and much lower investment income emanating from a smaller invested asset base. Key indicators of this segment's interim results follow:

	Mortgage Guaranty Group									
	Quarters	Ended Septer	mber 30,	Nine Months Ended September						
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change				
Net premiums earned	\$ 110.4	\$ 120.3	-8.2%	\$ 336.1	\$ 385.7	-12.9%				
Net investment income	15.0	20.7	-27.8	47.7	65.8	-27.6				
Claims costs	298.2	215.5	38.4	794.7	542.5	46.5				
Pretax operating income (loss)	\$ (237.8)	\$ (94.0)	-152.9%	\$ (514.9)	\$ (150.3)	-242.4%				
Claim ratio		270.0%	179.1%	236.4%	140.6%					
Expense ratio		47.3	14.8	26.0	14.0					
Composite ratio		317.3%	193.9%	262.4%	154.6%					

In the first nine months of 2010, Old Republic's mortgage guaranty subsidiaries had negotiated the terminations of various captive reinsurance and pool insurance contracts. From a financial accounting standpoint, premiums obtained upon terminations of captive reinsurance agreements are recognized as income when they are received rather than being deferred to future periods when the related claim costs are expected to arise. On the other hand terminations of pool insurance contracts cause a reduction of incurred claims due to the positive effect of reserves transferred, but negative cash flows ensue. As a result of these transactions, net premiums earned for the first nine months of 2010 were enhanced by \$13.4, net losses incurred were reduced by \$51.8, and net operating cash outflows of \$173.6 were sustained. No similarly significant transactions have occurred during 2011.

Since the advent of the current economic crisis, new mortgage guaranty production has not added significantly to the Company's net risk in force base. Ongoing weakness from the downturn in overall mortgage originations, lower industry-wide penetration of the nation's current mortgage market, and the effects of more selective underwriting guidelines employed since late 2007 have been contributing factors. Together with premium refunds related to claim rescissions and the above-noted termination of pool insurance contracts which effectively ended subsequent periods' premium inflows, these factors led to a continued decline in earned premiums in the latest quarterly and year-to-date periods.

Net investment income dropped as a result of the lower invested asset base brought about by the aggregate effect of higher claim disbursements, lower premium volume, termination of insured mortgage pools, and a low yield environment for quality securities to which the investment portfolio is directed.

The above-noted impact of captive and pool transactions on 2010 premiums and claims notwithstanding, recurring mortgage guaranty claim costs rose by 38.4% and 33.7% in this year's third quarter and first nine months, respectively. While newly reported defaults have continued in a downtrend, other offsetting factors have led to these higher costs. The combination of higher claim payments, reduced levels of actual and estimated claim rescissions or denials on new and previously reported defaults, and moderately higher claim severity is most accountable for the upward pressure on 2011 incurred claim costs. The following table shows the major components of the resulting claim ratios inclusive of the above-noted effects of captive reinsurance and pool insurance contract terminations for the periods shown.

	Mortgage Guaranty Group							
	Quarter	s Ended	Nine Mor	nths Ended				
	Septen	nber 30,	Septen	nber 30,				
	2011	2010	2011	2010				
Components of incurred claim ratio as a								
percent of earned premiums:								
Paid claims:								
Excluding captive and pool transactions	252.4%	247.6%	253.5%	164.9%				

Edgar Filing: OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Captive and pool transactions		-	-102.4	-0.9	42.3
Paid claim ratio		252.4	145.2	252.6	207.2
Claim reserve provisions:					
Excluding captive and p	pool transactions	17.6	-64.5	-16.5	-5.3
Captive and pool transa	ctions	-	98.4	0.3	-61.3
Claim reserve provision ratio		17.6	33.9	-16.2	-66.6
Incurred claim ratio:	As reported	270.0%	179.1%	236.4%	140.6%
	Excluding captive				
	and pool transactions	270.0%	183.1%	237.0%	159.6%

Recurring production and other expenses declined slightly during 2011. From an expense ratio standpoint, however, the beneficial effect of this decline was largely negated by ongoing reductions in the earned premium base, from a third quarter 2011 accrual of employment severance and similar costs (\$5.3), and from elimination (\$29.1) of previously deferred acquisition costs no longer deemed recoverable in future run-off periods. The segment's expenses also include a third quarter 2011 write-off of the historical goodwill balance of \$10.7.

As noted in prior periods' reports, the Company's flagship mortgage guaranty insurance carrier had been operating pursuant to a waiver of minimum state regulatory capital requirements since late 2009. This waiver expired on August

31, 2011. As a consequence, underwriting of new policies ceased and the existing book of business was voluntarily placed in run-off operating mode. In these circumstances the run-off will devolve within constraints of Old Republic's investment in the segment (\$296.6), thereby limiting ORI's possible future economic loss to this amount. Should this capital investment become fully depleted, the loss would represent 7.7% of Old Republic's consolidated shareholders' equity or \$1.16 per share outstanding at September 30, 2011.

The Company's standard model of projected results extending through 2020 continues to reflect ultimate profitability for the aggregate run-off book of business. While the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve is therefore unwarranted, the model nonetheless contemplates that this year's fourth quarter and years 2012-2013 will more likely than not reflect an operating loss. In this eventuality, capital committed to the flagship carrier (approximately \$155.7, or \$0.61 per ORI share outstanding at September 30, 2011), will continue on a path toward full depletion in relatively short order. In anticipation of this most likely turn of events, the Company is considering alternative run-off approaches to the achievement of its loss limiting objective. Implementation of any run-off plan will require approval by the North Carolina Department of Insurance as regulator of the flagship carrier.

The construction of any model of future quarterly and annual performance is predicated on a number of assumptions and is highly sensitive to a wide range of estimates. Many of the assumptions and estimates consider the conflicted interests of insured lending institutions, as well as general economic and industry-specific trends and occurrences over which the Company has no control. Importantly, the model cannot address with certainty the evolving or future social and economic policies of the U. S. Government vis-a-vis such critical sectors as the banking, mortgage lending, and housing industries, as well as its policies pertaining to financial intermediaries such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Recent years' poor mortgage guaranty results notwithstanding, Old Republic maintains a long-term strategic interest in this line of insurance. With necessary enterprise risk management revisions to the existing business model, it anticipates re-activating this segment by directing new premium production to a separately held and capitalized subsidiary. Achievement of this objective will depend largely on the requisite approvals of the Company's state insurance regulator and its two major policyholders, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. At this juncture, however, there is no assurance whether or when this planned re-activation of the business will occur.

Title Insurance Results – Old Republic's title insurance business continued to portray the positive operating momentum that first emerged in the second quarter of 2009. Key performance indicators are shown below:

	Title Insurance Group									
	Quarters Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011		2010		Change	2011		2010		Change
Net premiums and fees earned	\$	330.4	\$	311.8	6.0%	\$	990.3	\$	853.6	16.0%
Net investment income		6.7		6.5	3.3		20.3		19.8	2.7
Claims costs		25.6		26.0	-1.5		76.7		66.8	14.8
Pretax operating income (loss)	\$	9.6	\$	5.7	67.6%	\$	17.8	\$	1.1	N/M%
Claim ratio			7.8	8%	8.3%		7.8%	,	7.8%	
Expense ratio			91.0	)	91.9		92.1		94.4	
Composite ratio			98.8	3%	100.2%		99.9%	'n	102.2%	

Continued growth in premiums and fees benefitted mostly from market share gains emanating from title industry dislocations and consolidation during the past three years or so. The claim ratio for this year's first nine months was essentially unchanged from the prior year's level. While production and other expenses rose by 5.4% and 13.8% quarter-over-quarter and for the year-to-date period, respectively, the increase was lower than the 6.0% and 16.0% growth in premiums and fees revenues for these periods.

Corporate and Other Operations – The Company's small life and health business and the net costs associated with the parent holding company and its internal services subsidiaries produced losses for 2011 and 2010 interim periods. Variations in the results posted by these relatively minor elements of Old Republic's operations usually stem from volatility inherent to the small scale of its life and health business, fluctuations in the costs of external debt, and net interest expenses on intra-system financing arrangements.

	Corporate and Other Operations										
		Quarters Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	20	)11	2	010	Change	20	2011		010	Change	
Life & health premiums earned	\$	16.2	\$	18.1	-10.2%	\$	59.9	\$	61.9	-3.2%	
Net investment income		1.4		1.7	-14.9		5.1		5.3	-2.7	
Other income		.6		.3	72.9		1.8		2.0	-10.3	
Benefits and claims costs		7.6		10.2	-25.2		29.9		31.6	-5.3	
Insurance expenses		8.7		10.1	-13.7		32.3		33.2	-2.8	
Corporate and other											
expenses-net		5.6		2.0	171.7		15.8		8.1	96.1	
Pretax operating income (loss)	\$	(3.6)	\$	(2.2)	-64.3%	\$	(11.1)	\$	(3.6)	-207.6%	

Cash, Invested Assets, and Shareholders' Equity – The following table reflects Old Republic's consolidated cash and invested assets as well as shareholders' equity accounts at the dates shown:

			End of						% Change			
			Sep	tember	Dec	cember	Sept	ember	Sept '11 Sept 1			1'
			2	2011	2	2010	20	010	Dec '1	10	Sept 1	0'
Cash and investe	ed assets:	Fair value basis	\$	10,642.8	\$	10,490.7	\$ 9	,944.5	1.4	%	7.0	%
		Original cost basis	\$	10,156.5	\$	10,015.1	\$ 9	,302.7	1.4	%	9.2	%
Shareholders	s '											
equity:	Total		\$	3,827.9	\$	4,121.4	\$ 4	1,037.7	-7.1	%	-5.2	%
	Per commo	on share	\$	14.98	\$	16.16	\$	17.05	-7.3	%	-12.1	%
Composition of	shareholder	s' equity per share:										
Equity before ite		1 71	\$	13.07	\$	14.36	\$	14.65	-9.0	%	-10.8	%
Unrealized inves	stment gains	s (losses) and other										
accumulated cor	nprehensive	e income (loss)		1.91		1.80		2.40				
Total			\$	14.98	\$	16.16	\$	17.05	-7.3	%	-12.1	%

Consolidated cash flow from operating activities produced deficits of \$127.6 and \$172.9 for the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The consolidated investment portfolio reflects a current allocation of approximately 82% to fixed-maturity securities and 5% to equities. As has been the case for many years, Old Republic's invested assets are managed in consideration of enterprise-wide risk management objectives. These are intended to assure solid funding of its insurance subsidiaries' long-term obligations to policyholders and other beneficiaries, and the necessary long-term stability of capital accounts.

The investment portfolio contains no significant direct insurance risk-correlated asset exposures to real estate, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized debt obligations ("CDO's"), derivatives, junk bonds, hybrid securities, or illiquid private equity investments. In a similar vein, the Company does not engage in hedging or securities lending transactions, nor does it invest in securities whose values are predicated on non-regulated financial instruments exhibiting amorphous or unfunded counter-party risk attributes.

Old Republic's equity investments at September 30, 2011 include common stock holdings fair valued at an aggregate of \$25.3 for two publicly held mortgage guaranty businesses (MGIC Investment Corp. and The PMI Group). These securities were acquired in 2007 and 2008 as passive long-term investment additions to a core segment of Old Republic's business. These holdings were written down to their current aggregate fair values through impairments recorded between 2008 and September, 2011.

Substantially all changes in Old Republic's shareholders' equity account reflect the net income or loss, dividend payments to shareholders, and variations in market values of invested assets during the periods shown below:

		Shareholders' Equity Per Share							
	Quart	Quarter Ended September 30, 2011			Nine Months Ended				
	Septe				ber 30.	,			
					2010				
Beginning balance	\$	15.56	\$	16.16	\$	16.49			

(0.43)		(0.75)		(0.04)
0.08		0.11		0.22
(0.11)		(0.13)		-
(0.03)		(0.02)		0.22
0.12		0.15		0.89
0.09		0.13		1.11
(0.17)		(0.52)		(0.52)
(0.07)		(0.04)		0.01
(0.58)		(1.18)		0.56
\$ 14.98	\$	14.98	\$	17.05
\$	0.08 (0.11) (0.03) 0.12 0.09 (0.17) (0.07) (0.58)	0.08 (0.11) (0.03) 0.12 0.09 (0.17) (0.07) (0.58)	0.08       0.11         (0.11)       (0.13)         (0.03)       (0.02)         0.12       0.15         0.09       0.13         (0.17)       (0.52)         (0.07)       (0.04)         (0.58)       (1.18)	0.08       0.11         (0.11)       (0.13)         (0.03)       (0.02)         0.12       0.15         0.09       0.13         (0.17)       (0.52)         (0.07)       (0.04)         (0.58)       (1.18)

#### DETAILED MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

This section of the Management Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operations is additive to and should be read in conjunction with the Executive Summary which precedes it.

#### FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING POLICIES

The Company's annual and interim financial statements incorporate a large number and types of estimates relative to matters which are highly uncertain at the time the estimates are made. The estimation process required of an insurance enterprise is by its very nature highly dynamic inasmuch as it necessitates a continuous evaluation, analysis, and quantification of factual data as it becomes known to the Company. As a result, actual experienced outcomes can differ from the estimates made at any point in time, and thus affect future periods' reported revenues, expenses, net income or loss, and financial condition.

Old Republic believes that its most critical accounting estimates relate to: a) the determination of other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") in the value of fixed maturity and equity investments; b) the establishment of deferred acquisition costs which vary directly with the production of insurance premiums; c) the recoverability of reinsured paid and/or outstanding losses; and d) the establishment of reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses. The major assumptions and methods used in setting these estimates are discussed in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10K.

In recent years, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") has issued various releases requiring additional financial statement disclosures, and to provide guidance relative to the application of such releases. Of particular relevance to the Company's financial statements are recent disclosure requirements pertaining to uncertainties affecting income tax provisions, methodologies for establishing the fair value and recording of other-than-temporary impairments of securities, possible consolidation of variable interest entities, and composition of plan assets held by the Company's defined benefit plans. The requisite disclosures and explanations of these matters have been included in the footnotes to the Company's financial statements. More recently, the FASB also issued new guidance relative to the calculation of deferral of acquisition costs incurred by insurance entities and goodwill impairment. These matters are discussed further in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### FINANCIAL POSITION

The Company's financial position at September 30, 2011 reflected increases in assets and liabilities of .7% and 3.5%, respectively, and a decrease in common shareholders' equity of 7.1% when compared to the immediately preceding year-end. Cash and invested assets represented 66.5% and 66.1% of consolidated assets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, the invested asset base rose by 1.7% to \$10,429.5 principally from net proceeds of a public offering of convertible senior notes (see Note 7). This increase was offset by negative consolidated operating cash flows and the payment of cash dividends to common shareholders.

Investments - During the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, the Company committed the majority of investable funds to short to intermediate-term fixed maturity securities. At both September 30, 2011 and 2010, approximately 99% of the Company's investments consisted of marketable securities. Old Republic continues to adhere to its long-term policy of investing primarily in investment grade, marketable securities. The portfolio contains no significant insurance risk-correlated asset exposures to real estate, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized debt obligations ("CDO's"), derivatives, junk bonds, hybrid securities, or illiquid private equity investments. In a similar vein, the Company does not engage in hedging or securities lending transactions, nor does it invest in securities whose values are predicated on non-regulated financial instruments exhibiting amorphous or unfunded counter-party risk attributes. At September 30, 2011, the Company had no fixed maturity investments in default as to principal and/or

interest.

Relatively high short-term maturity investment positions continued to be maintained as of September 30, 2011. Such positions reflect a large variety of seasonal and intermediate-term factors including current operating needs, expected operating cash flows, quarter-end cash flow seasonality, debt maturities, and investment strategy considerations. Accordingly, the future level of short-term investments will vary and respond to the interplay of these factors and may, as a result, increase or decrease from current levels.

The Company does not own or utilize derivative financial instruments for the purpose of hedging, enhancing the overall return of its investment portfolio, or reducing the cost of its debt obligations. With regard to its equity portfolio, the Company does not own any options nor does it engage in any type of option writing. Traditional investment management tools and techniques are employed to address the yield and valuation exposures of the invested assets base. The long-term fixed maturity investment portfolio is managed so as to limit various risks inherent in the bond market. Credit risk is addressed through asset diversification and the purchase of investment grade securities. Reinvestment rate risk is reduced by concentrating on non-callable issues, and by taking asset-liability matching considerations into account. Purchases of mortgage and asset backed securities, which have variable principal prepayment options, are generally avoided. Market value risk is limited through the purchase of bonds of intermediate maturity. The combination of these investment management practices is expected to produce a more stable long-term fixed maturity investment portfolio that is not subject to extreme interest rate sensitivity and principal deterioration.

The fair value of the Company's long-term fixed maturity investment portfolio is sensitive, however, to fluctuations in the level of interest rates, but not materially affected by changes in anticipated cash flows caused by any prepayments. The impact of interest rate movements on the long-term fixed maturity investment portfolio generally affects net unrealized gains or losses. As a general rule, rising interest rates enhance currently available yields but typically lead to a reduction in the fair value of existing fixed maturity investments. By contrast, a decline in such rates reduces currently available yields but usually serves to increase the fair value of the existing fixed maturity investment portfolio. All such changes in fair value are reflected, net of deferred income taxes, directly in the shareholders' equity account, and as a separate component of the statement of comprehensive income. Given the Company's inability to forecast or control the movement of interest rates, Old Republic sets the maturity spectrum of its fixed maturity securities portfolio within parameters of estimated liability payouts, and focuses the overall portfolio on high quality investments. By so doing, Old Republic believes it is reasonably assured of its ability to hold securities to maturity as it may deem necessary in changing environments, and of ultimately recovering their aggregate cost.

Possible future declines in fair values for Old Republic's bond and stock portfolios would negatively affect the common shareholders' equity account at any point in time, but would not necessarily result in the recognition of realized investment losses. The Company reviews the status and fair value changes of each of its investments on at least a quarterly basis during the year, and estimates of other-than-temporary impairments in the portfolio's value are evaluated and established at each quarterly balance sheet date. In reviewing investments for other-than-temporary impairment, the Company, in addition to a security's market price history, considers the totality of such factors as the issuer's operating results, financial condition and liquidity, its ability to access capital markets, credit rating trends, most current audit opinion, industry and securities markets conditions, and analyst expectations to reach its conclusions. Sudden fair value declines caused by such adverse developments as newly emerged or imminent bankruptcy filings, issuer default on significant obligations, or reports of financial accounting developments that bring into question the validity of previously reported earnings or financial condition, are recognized as realized losses as soon as credible publicly available information emerges to confirm such developments. Absent issuer-specific circumstances that would result in a contrary conclusion, any equity security with an unrealized investment loss amounting to a 20% or greater decline for a six month period is considered other-than-temporarily-impaired. In the event the Company's estimate of other-than-temporary impairments is insufficient at any point in time, future periods' net income (loss) would be affected adversely by the recognition of additional realized or impairment losses, but its financial condition would not necessarily be affected adversely inasmuch as such losses, or a portion of them, could have been recognized previously as unrealized losses.

The following tables show certain information relating to the Company's fixed maturity and equity portfolios as of the dates shown:

Credit Quality Ratings of Fixed Maturity Securities (a)

	September 30,	December
		31,
	2011	2010
Aaa	18.8%	21.3%
Aa	18.4	20.6
A	33.0	29.9
Baa	28.6	26.9
Total investment grade	98.8	98.7
All other (b)	1.2	1.3
Total	100.0%	100.0%

- (a) Credit quality ratings used are those assigned primarily by Moody's for U.S. Governments, Agencies and Corporate issuers and by Standard & Poor's ("S&P") for U.S. and Canadian Municipal issuers, which are converted to equivalent Moody's ratings classifications.
  - (b) "All other" includes non-investment grade or non-rated issuers.

Gross Unrealized Losses Stratified by Industry Concentration for Non-Investment Grade Fixed Maturity Securities

		September 30, 2011					
			G	ross			
	Ame	ortized	Unr	realized Losses			
	(	Cost	Lo				
Fixed Maturity Securities by Industry Concentration:							
Industrial	\$	17.0	\$	1.0			
Services		1.9		.4			
Banking		6.4		.2			
Energy		5.7		.1			
Total	\$	31.2(c)	\$	1.9			

(c) Represents .4% of the total fixed maturity securities portfolio.

Gross Unrealized Losses Stratified by Industry Concentration for Investment Grade Fixed Maturity Securities

		September 30, 2011				
			G	Gross		
	Am	ortized	Unr	ealized		
		Losses				
Fixed Maturity Securities by Industry Concentration:						
Banking	\$	19.5	\$	1.0		
Retail		18.4		.9		
Finance		21.1		.5		
Consumer Non Durables		20.4		.5		
Other (includes 13 industry groups)		103.1		1.4		
Total	\$	182.6(d)	\$	4.5		

(d) Represents 2.3% of the total fixed maturity securities portfolio.

Gross Unrealized Losses Stratified by Industry Concentration for Equity Securities

		September 3	Unr	l Gross realized osses
	(	Cost		
Equity Securities by Industry Concentration:				
Index Funds	\$	121.6	\$	15.0
Banking		1.3		.7
Telecommunications		.7		.1
Finance		1.2		.1
Other (includes 2 industry groups)		2.0		-
Total	\$	127.0(e)	\$	16.1(f)

<sup>(</sup>e) Represents 37.8% of the total equity securities portfolio.

Gross Unrealized Losses Stratified by Maturity Ranges for All Fixed Maturity Securities

5	ep	tem	ber	30	), 2	201	П	
---	----	-----	-----	----	------	-----	---	--

				I	,	-			
		Amortiz	zed Cos	t					
	of Fixed Maturity Securities					Gross Unrealized Losses			
			1	Non-			N	on-	
			Inve	estment			Inve	stment	
	All		Grade Only		All		Grade Only		
Maturity Ranges:				•				·	
Due in one year or less	\$	5.6	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
Due after one year through five years		110.3		13.5		1.7		.8	

<sup>(</sup>f) Represents 4.8% of the adjusted cost of the total equity securities portfolio, while gross unrealized gains represent 52.1% of the portfolio.

Due after five years through ten years	85.8	15.6	3.6	.5
Due after ten years	12.0	1.9	.9	.4
Total	\$ 213.9	\$ 31.2	\$ 6.4	\$ 1.9

Gross Unrealized Losses Stratified by Duration and Amount of Unrealized Losses

September 30, 2011 Amount of Gross Unrealized Losses

Amount of Gross Unrealized Losses									
Les	ss than				Total Gross				
20	)% of	20%	20% to 50%		e than	Unrealized			
(	Cost	of	Cost	50%	of Cost	I	Loss		
\$	5.4	\$	.4	\$	-	\$	5.8		
	.2		-		-		.2		
	-		.2		-		.3		
\$	5.6	\$	.7	\$	-	\$	6.4		
\$	15.3	\$	-	\$	.7	\$	16.0		
	-		-		-		-		
	-		-		-		-		
\$	15.3	\$	-	\$	.7	\$	16.1		
	65		1		-		66		
	17		-		-		17		
	1		1		-		2		
	83		2		-		85(g)		
	7		-		1		8		
	-		-		-		-		
	-		-		1		1		
	7		-		2		9(g)		
	\$ \$ \$	Less than 20% of Cost  \$ 5.4 .2 .5.6 \$ 15.3 \$ 15.3	Less than 20% of Cost  \$ 5.4 \$ .2  \$ 5.6 \$  \$ 15.3 \$  \$ 15.3 \$  7	Less than 20% of Cost  \$ 5.4 \$ .4 .222 \$ 5.6 \$ .7  \$ 15.3 \$5 .5 .5 .7  \$ 15.3 \$7	Less than 20% of Cost  \$ 5.4 \$ .4 \$ .22 \$ .5.6 \$ .7 \$ \$ \$ \$ .5.3 \$ - \$ \$ .5.3 \$ - \$ \$ .5.3 \$ - \$ \$ .5.3 \$ - \$ \$ .5.3 \$ .7 \$ \$ \$ .7 \$ \$ \$ \$ .7 \$ \$ \$ \$ .7 \$ \$ \$ \$	Less than 20% of Cost  \$ 5.4 \$ .4 \$2	Less than 20% of 20% to 50% More than Cost of Cost 50% of Cost II  \$ 5.4 \$ .4 \$ - \$ .222223		

<sup>(</sup>g) At September 30, 2011, the number of issues in an unrealized loss position represent 4.3% as to fixed maturities, and 20.9% as to equity securities of the total number of such issues held by the Company.

The aging of issues with unrealized losses is based on balance sheet date fair value comparisons with an issue's original cost net of other-than-temporary impairment adjustments. The percentage reduction from such adjusted cost reflects the decline as of a specific point in time (September 30, 2011 in the above table) and, accordingly, is not indicative of a security's value having been consistently below its cost at the percentages and throughout the periods shown.

Age Distribution of Fixed Maturity Securities

	September 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Maturity Ranges:		
Due in one year or less	11.1%	10.5%
Due after one year through five years	51.1	52.2
Due after five years through ten years	35.0	34.6

Due after ten years through fifteen years	.9	1.3
Due after fifteen years	1.9	1.4
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Average Maturity in Years	4.5	4.6
Duration (h)	3.8	3.8

<sup>(</sup>h)Duration is used as a measure of bond price sensitivity to interest rate changes. A duration of 3.8 as of September 30, 2011 implies that a 100 basis point parallel increase in interest rates from current levels would result in a possible decline in the fair value of the long-term fixed maturity investment portfolio of approximately 3.8%.

### Composition of Unrealized Gains (Losses)

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
Fixed Maturity Securities:	ф	7.020.0	Ф	0.070.4		
Amortized cost	\$	7,938.9	\$	8,070.4		
Estimated fair value		8,575.2		8,532.2		
Gross unrealized gains		642.6		487.0		
Gross unrealized losses		(6.4)		(25.3)		
Net unrealized gains (losses)	\$	636.2	\$	461.7		
Equity Securities:						
Original cost	\$	631.3	\$	648.3		
Adjusted cost(*)		336.1		402.8		
Estimated fair value		495.2		672.4		
Gross unrealized gains		175.2		271.7		
Gross unrealized losses		(16.1)		(2.2)		
Net unrealized gains (losses)	\$	159.0	\$	269.5		

### (\*) net of OTTI adjustments

Other Assets - Among other major assets, substantially all of the Company's receivables are not past due. Reinsurance recoverable balances on paid or estimated unpaid losses are deemed recoverable from solvent reinsurers or have otherwise been reduced by allowances for estimated amounts unrecoverable. Deferred policy acquisition costs are estimated by taking into account the variable costs of producing specific types of insurance policies, and evaluating their recoverability on the basis of recent trends in claims costs. The Company's deferred policy acquisition cost balances have not fluctuated substantially from period-to-period, aside from the third quarter write-off of certain mortgage guaranty balances as discussed in the Executive Summary. Deferred policy acquisition costs do not represent significant percentages of assets or shareholders' equity.

Liquidity - The parent holding company meets its liquidity and capital needs principally through dividends paid by its subsidiaries. The insurance subsidiaries' ability to pay cash dividends to the parent company is generally restricted by law or subject to approval of the insurance regulatory authorities of the states in which they are domiciled. The Company can receive up to \$306.5 in dividends from its subsidiaries in 2011 without the prior approval of regulatory authorities. The liquidity achievable through such permitted dividend payments is considered adequate to cover the parent holding company's currently expected cash outflows represented mostly by interest and scheduled repayments on outstanding debt, quarterly cash dividend payments to shareholders, modest operating expenses, and the near-term capital needs of its operating company subsidiaries. From time to time additional cash needs are also met by accessing the debt and equity capital markets. At September 30, 2011, the Company's consolidated debt to equity ratio was 23.8%, a level it currently does not expect to exceed for the immediate future. Refer to Item 1A - Risk Factors for additional discussion regarding other liquidity matters.

Capitalization - Old Republic's total capitalization of \$4,740.8 at September 30, 2011 consisted of debt of \$912.8 and common shareholders' equity of \$3,827.9. Changes in the common shareholders' equity account reflect primarily operating results for the period then ended, dividend payments, and changes in market valuations of invested assets. Old Republic has paid cash dividends to its shareholders without interruption since 1942, and has increased the annual rate in each of the past 30 calendar years. The dividend rate is reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis each year. In establishing each year's cash dividend rate, the Company does not follow a strict formulaic approach. Rather, it favors a gradual rise in the annual dividend rate that is largely reflective of long-term

consolidated operating earnings trends. Accordingly, each year's dividend rate is set judgmentally in consideration of such key factors as the dividend paying capacity of the Company's insurance subsidiaries, the trends in average annual statutory and GAAP earnings for the five most recent calendar years, and management's long-term expectations for the Company's consolidated business.

Under state insurance regulations, the Company's three mortgage guaranty insurance subsidiaries are required to operate at a maximum risk to capital ratio of 25:1 or otherwise hold minimum amounts of capital based on specified formulas. As noted in prior periods' reports, the Company's flagship mortgage guaranty insurance carrier had been operating pursuant to a waiver of minimum state regulatory capital requirements since late 2009. This waiver expired on August 31, 2011. As a consequence, underwriting of new policies ceased and the existing book of business was voluntarily placed in run-off operating mode. See Executive Summary under Mortgage Guaranty Results for a current update of this matter.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Revenues: Premiums & Fees

Pursuant to GAAP applicable to the insurance industry, revenues are recognized as follows:

Substantially all general insurance premiums pertain to annual policies and are reflected in income on a pro-rata basis in association with the related benefits, claims and expenses. Earned but unbilled premiums are generally taken into income on the billing date, while adjustments for retrospective premiums, commissions and similar charges or credits are accrued on the basis of periodic evaluations of current underwriting experience and contractual obligations.

The Company's mortgage guaranty premiums primarily stem from monthly installments paid on long-duration, guaranteed renewable insurance policies. Substantially all such premiums are written and earned in the month coverage is effective. With respect to relatively few annual or single premium policies, earned premiums are largely recognized on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies. As described more fully in the Mortgage Guaranty Group's risk factors discussion on premium income and long-term claim exposures in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 1A - Risk Factors, there is a risk that the revenue recognition for insured loans is not appropriately matched to the risk exposure and the consequent recognition of both normal and catastrophic loss occurrences.

Title premium and fee revenues stemming from the Company's direct operations (which include branch offices of its title insurers and wholly owned agency subsidiaries) represent 32.3% of 2011 consolidated title business revenues. Such premiums are generally recognized as income at the escrow closing date which approximates the policy effective date. Fee income related to escrow and other closing services is recognized when the related services have been performed and completed. The remaining 67.7% of consolidated title premium and fee revenues is produced by independent title agents and underwritten title companies. Rather than making estimates that could be subject to significant variance from actual premium and fee production, the Company recognizes revenues from those sources upon receipt. Such receipts can reflect a three to four month lag relative to the effective date of the underlying title policy, and are offset concurrently by production expenses and claim reserve provisions.

The major sources of Old Republic's consolidated earned premiums and fees for the periods shown were as follows:

		Earned Premiums and Fees												
		General	Mortgage		ortgage Title		Other		Total		% Change from prior period			
Years Ended Dece	mber													
31:														
2008	\$	1,989.3	\$	592.5	\$	656.1	\$	80.1	\$	3,318.1	-7.9%			
2009		1,782.5		644.5		888.4		73.3		3,388.9	2.1			
2010		1,782.1		498.8		1,211.0		81.4		3,573.5	5.4			
Nine Months Er	n d e d													
September 30:														
2010		1,247.9		385.7		853.6		61.9		2,549.3	.8			
2011		1,601.4		336.1		990.3		59.9		2,988.0	17.2			
Quarters Ended Septe	ember													
30:														
2010		435.0		120.3		311.8		18.1		885.4	-5.7			
2011	\$	550.0	\$	110.4	\$	330.4	\$	16.2	\$	1,007.2	13.8%			

The percentage allocation of net premiums earned for major insurance coverages in the General Insurance Group was as follows:

	General Insurance Earned Premiums by Type of Coverage												
	Commercial												
	Automobile			Marine									
	(mostly	Workers'	Financial	and	General								
	trucking)	Compensation	Indemnity	Property	Liability	Other							
Years Ended December	r												
31:													
2008	34.9%	21.0%	16.1%	9.7%	7.5%	10.8%							
2009	36.6	21.7	13.5	9.5	8.0	10.7							
2010	38.0	25.2	11.2	8.9	6.4	10.3							
Nine Months Ended	1												
September 30:													
2010	40.0	20.8	11.7	9.3	7.0	11.2							
2011	32.7	36.7	7.7	7.6	5.8	9.5							
Quarters Ended	1												
September 30:													
2010	39.3	20.3	13.7	8.8	6.8	11.1							
2011	32.3%	37.2%	7.5%	7.6%	5.6%	9.8%							

Earned premiums included in the above table within the Financial Indemnity Coverages category and related risk in force pertaining to the Company's consumer credit indemnity ("CCI") coverage have reflected a generally declining trend since 2008. The decline is largely due to a temporary discontinuation of active sales efforts due to the lack of market demand for the Company's current offerings. The following table shows CCI net premiums earned during the indicated

periods and the maximum calculated risk in force at the end of the respective periods. Net earned premiums include additional premium adjustments arising from the variable claim experience of individual policies subject to retrospective rating plans. Risk in force reflects estimates of the maximum risk exposures at the inception of individual policies adjusted for cumulative claim costs and the lower outstanding loan balances attributed to such policies through the end of the periods shown below.

Net CCI Earned Premiums								
	% of General							
	Insurance	Risk in Force						
Amount	Group							
204.3	10.3%	\$	2,613.8					
121.4	6.8		2,004.8					
87.9	4.9		1,518.6					
61.5	4.9		1,605.4					
44.1	2.8	\$	1,347.0					
31.2	7.2							
5 14.7	2.7%							
	Amount  204.3 121.4 87.9 61.5 44.1 31.2	Amount Group  204.3 10.3% 121.4 6.8 87.9 4.9  61.5 4.9 44.1 2.8  31.2 7.2	% of General Insurance Group  S 204.3 10.3% \$ 121.4 6.8 87.9 4.9  61.5 4.9 44.1 2.8 \$ 31.2 7.2					

The following tables provide information on production and related risk exposure trends for Old Republic's Mortgage Guaranty Group:

	 Guaranty raditional	Productio	n by Ty	ype					
New Insurance Written:	Primary		Bulk			Other		Total	
Years Ended December 31:									
2008	\$ 20,861.9	\$	3	3.5	\$	1,123.5	\$	21,989.0	
2009	7,899.2	2		-		.5		7,899.8	
2010	3,990.2	2		-		-		3,990.2	
Nine Months Ended September 30:									
2010	2,810.5	5		-		-		2,810.5	
2011	2,087.7	7		-		-		2,087.7	
Quarters Ended September 30:									
2010	1,097.9	)		-		-		1,097.9	
2011	\$ 619.2	2 \$		-	\$	-	\$	619.2	
	Trac	ditional							
New Risk Written by Type:		imary	Bulk			Other		Total	
Years Ended December 31:		- J							
2008	\$	4,815.0	\$	.6	5 \$	11.8	\$	4,827.5	
2009		1,681.7		-	-	-		1,681.7	
2010		930.0		-	-	-		930.0	
Nine Months Ended September 30:									
2010		659.4		-	-	-		659.4	
2011		507.9		-	-	-		507.9	
Quarters Ended September 30:									
2010		258.7		-	-	-		258.7	

2011 \$ 154.4 \$ - \$ - \$ 154.4

	]	Earned Pr		Persistency		
Premium and Persistency Trends by Type:				,	Traditional	
Years Ended December 31:	Dire	ect	Ne	et	Primary	Bulk
2008	\$	698.4	\$	592.5	83.9%	88.4%
2009		648.6		644.5	82.8	88.3
2010		529.5		498.8	82.1	88.0
Nine Months Ended September 30:						
2010		410.4		385.7	83.4	88.2
2011		353.5		336.1	82.4%	82.9%
Quarters Ended September 30:						
2010		126.9		120.3		
2011	\$	116.3	\$	110.4		

As more fully discussed in the Executive Summary, the Company's flagship mortgage guaranty insurance carrier ceased the underwriting of new policies effective August 31, 2011 and the existing book of business was voluntarily placed in run-off operating mode.

While there is no consensus in the marketplace as to the precise definition of "sub-prime", Old Republic generally views loans with credit (FICO) scores less than 620, loans underwritten with reduced levels of documentation and loans with loan to value ratios in excess of 95% as having a higher risk of default. Risk in force concentrations by these attributes are disclosed in the following tables for both traditional primary and bulk production. Premium rates for loans exhibiting greater risk attributes are typically higher in anticipation of potentially greater defaults and claim costs. Additionally, bulk insurance policies, which represent 6.5% of total net risk in force as of September 30, 2011, are frequently subject to deductibles and aggregate stop losses which serve to limit the overall risk on a pool of insured loans. As the decline in the housing markets has accelerated and mortgage lending standards have tightened, rising defaults and the attendant increases in reserves and paid claims on higher risk loans have become more significant drivers of increased claim costs.

		Net R	isk ir	1 Force	2					
	Τ	raditiona	ıl							
Net Risk in Force By Type:		Primary	Bulk				Other		Total	
As of December 31:										
2008	\$	20,463		\$	2,05			57.0	\$ 22,9	
2009		18,72			1,77	76.7		97.2	20,8	01.9
2010		16,55	7.4		1,18	37.0	25	56.1	18,0	00.6
As of September 30:										
2010		17,160	6.5		1,23	38.8	26	60.8	18,6	66.1
2011	\$	15,160	0.0	\$	1,06	53.4 \$	21	11.0	\$ 16,4	34.5
	1	Analysis	of Ri	sk in F	orce					
		,					FICO	С		
		FICO le	ess		FICO 6	520	Great		Unscor	ed/
Risk in Force Distribution By FICO	than 620				to 680		than 6		Jnavaila	
Scores:										
Traditional Primary:										
As of December 31:										
2008		7.0	%		30.5	%	60.5	%	2.0	%
2009		6.5			28.8		63.1		1.6	
2010		6.4			27.5		64.7		1.4	
As of September 30:										
2010		6.4			27.8		64.3		1.5	
2011		6.2	%		26.7	%	65.8	%	1.3	%
Bulk(a):										
As of December 31:										
2008		18.2	%		33.7	%	47.9	%	.2	%
2009		17.6			33.1		49.2		.1	
2010		23.2			32.1		44.6		.1	
As of September 30:										
2010		22.9			32.0		45.0		.1	
2011		23.8	%		32.1	%	43.9	%	.2	%
				LT	ΓV	LTV		LTV	LTV	7
					5.0	85.01		90.01	Great	
					elow	To 90.0		Го 95.0	than 95	

Risk in Force Distribution By Loan to Value ("LTV") Ratio:

Traditional	Primary(b):

Traditional Timaly(0).								
As of December 31:								
2008	5.1	%	35.5	%	31.6	%	27.8	%
2009	5.4		36.9		31.2		26.5	
2010	5.3		37.0		31.9		25.8	
As of September 30:								
2010	5.3		37.1		31.7		25.9	
2011	5.1	%	36.5	%	32.7	%	25.7	%
Bulk(a):								
As of December 31:								
2008	63.5	%	20.1	%	8.6	%	7.8	%
2009	65.9		18.4		7.8		7.9	
2010	57.7		22.8		9.6		9.9	
As of September 30:								
2010	57.5		22.8		9.7		10.0	
2011	57.1	%	22.9	%	9.8	%	10.2	%

<sup>(</sup>a) Bulk pool risk in-force, which represented 31.0% of total bulk risk in-force at September 30, 2011, has been allocated pro-rata based on insurance in-force.

(b) The LTV distribution reflects base LTV ratios which are determined prior to the impact of single premiums financed and paid at the time of loan origination. Prior to the second quarter of 2011, LTV distributions were presented on the basis of total LTV which included the financed single premium portion of the loan amount. Prior period data has been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Risk in Force Distribution By Top Ten States:

					Γraditiona					
A CD 1 21	FL	TX	GA	IL	OH	CA	NJ	PA	VA	NC
As of December 31: 2008	8.3%	8.1%	5.2%	5.2%	3.2%	5.5%	3.1%	3.8%	2.9%	4.4%
2009	8.1	8.5	5.2	5.1	3.2	5.5	3.1	4.0	2.9	4.5
2010	7.5	8.7	5.2	5.0	3.3	5.1	3.1	4.2	2.9	4.7
As of September 30:										
2010	7.6	8.6	5.2	5.0	3.2	5.2	3.1	4.2	2.9	4.7
2011	7.4%	8.8%	5.1%	5.0%	3.3%	5.0%	3.2%	4.3%	3.0%	4.8%
					Bul	k (a)				
	FL	TX	GA	IL	ОН	CA	NJ	PA	AZ	NY
As of December 31:										
2008	10.0%	4.6%	4.0%	3.9%	3.1%	18.2%	3.4%	2.7%	4.3%	5.4%
2009	10.4	4.6	4.0	4.0	3.2	17.8	3.5	2.6	4.1	5.4
2010	9.9	5.3	4.3	4.0	3.9	15.8	3.3	3.1	3.5	6.0
As of September 30: 2010	10.0	5.2	4.3	4.0	3.8	16.0	3.3	3.0	3.6	5.9
2010	10.0%	5.4%	4.4%	4.0%	3.9%	15.2%	3.4%	3.1%	3.3%	6.3%
								212,1	212,1	0.0 / 1
							Full		Reduced	
Risk in Force Distrib	ution By I	Level of I	Document	tation:			Docu		Docu	
T 1'.' 1 D '							Mentation	. N	<b>I</b> entation	
Traditional Primary: As of December 31:										
2008							90.0%		10.0%	
2009							91.1		8.9	
2010							92.4		7.6	
As of September 30:										
2010							92.1		7.9	
2011							92.8%		7.2%	
Bulk (a):										
As of December 31:										
2008							49.1%		50.9%	
2009							49.4		50.6	
2010							57.7		42.3	
As of September 30:										
2010							57.4		42.6	
2011							58.3%		41.7%	
Risk in Force Distribution										
	on By Lo:	an Type:						Fixed Ra	te	
	on By Loa	an Type:						Fixed Ra & ARM		ARMS

	with resets >= 5 years	with resets < 5 years
Traditional Primary:	>= 3 years	< 5 years
As of December 31:		
2008	95.8%	4.2%
2009	96.3	3.7
2010	96.8	3.2
As of September 30:		
2010	96.7	3.3
2011	97.0%	3.0%
Bulk (a): As of December 31: 2008 2009 2010 As of September 30: 2010 2011	74.4% 75.4 69.6 69.7 70.5%	25.6% 24.6 30.4 30.3 29.5%
30		

(a) Bulk pool risk in-force, which represented 31.0% of total bulk risk in-force at September 30, 2011, has been allocated pro-rata based on insurance in-force.

The following table shows the percentage distribution of Title Group premium and fee revenues by production sources:

Title Premium and Fee Production by Source

		Independent
		Title
	Direct	Agents &
	Operations	Other
Years Ended December 31:		
2008	36.8%	63.2%
2009	38.5	61.5
2010	35.6	64.4
Nine Months Ended September 30:		
2010	36.5	63.5
2011	32.3	67.7
Quarters Ended September 30:		
2010	35.1	64.9
2011	33.8%	66.2%

Revenues: Net Investment Income

Net investment income is affected by trends in interest and dividend yields for the types of securities in which the Company's funds are invested during each reporting period. The following tables reflect the segmented and consolidated invested asset bases as of the indicated dates, and the investment income earned and resulting yields on such assets. Since the Company can exercise little control over fair values, yields are evaluated on the basis of investment income earned in relation to the cost of the underlying invested assets, though yields based on the fair values of such assets are also shown in the statistics below.

As of Decemb		General	N	Invested	Asse	ts at Adju	Co	Cost rporate d Other		Total		Fair Value Adjust- ment		Invested Assets at Fair Value
31:														
2009	\$	5,670.9	\$	2,466.3	\$	615.2	\$	355.2	\$	9,107.8	\$	580.6	\$	9,688.4
2010		6,451.2		2,039.2		636.0		394.1		9,520.5		738.7		10,259.3
As of Septemb	er													
30:														
2010		5,606.2		2,206.5		605.3		428.2		8,846.4		904.5		9,751.0
2011	\$	6,474.1	\$	1,676.5	\$	655.3	\$	823.9	\$	9,629.9	\$	799.6	\$	10,429.5
	Net Investment Income											Yi	eld	at
							C	orporate			(	Original		Fair
		General	N	Mortgage 1		Title	an	d Other		Total		Cost		Value
Years Ended														

Years Ended December 31:

Edgar Filing: OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

2008	\$	253.6	\$ 86.8	\$ 25.1	\$ 11.6	\$ 377.3	4.27%	4.33%
2009		258.9	92.0	25.2	7.2	383.5	4.15	4.17
2010		260.1	84.9	26.5	7.3	379.0	3.94	3.80
Nine Mont	h s							
Ended								
September 30:								
2010		192.9	65.8	19.8	5.3	284.0	4.08	3.90
2011		202.2	47.7	20.3	5.1	275.5	3.73	3.55
Quarters Ended								
September 30:								
2010		63.6	20.7	6.5	1.7	92.6	4.06	3.83
2011	\$	67.5	\$ 15.0	\$ 6.7	\$ 1.4	\$ 90.8	3.65%	3.48%

Revenues: Net Realized Gains (Losses)

The Company's investment policies are not designed to maximize or emphasize the realization of investment gains. Rather, these policies aim for a stable source of income from interest and dividends, protection of capital, and the providing of sufficient liquidity to meet insurance underwriting and other obligations as they become payable in the future. Dispositions of fixed maturity securities generally arise from scheduled maturities and early calls; for the first nine months of both 2011 and 2010, 62.5% and 44.2%, respectively, of all such dispositions resulted from these occurrences.

Dispositions of securities at a realized gain or loss reflect such factors as ongoing assessments of issuers' business prospects, rotation among industry sectors, changes in credit quality, and tax planning considerations. Additionally, the amount of net realized gains and losses registered in any one accounting period are affected by the aforementioned assessments of securities' values for other-than-temporary impairment. As a result of the interaction of all these factors and considerations, net realized investment gains or losses can vary significantly from period-to-period, and in the Company's view are not indicative of any particular trend or result in the basics of its insurance business.

The following table reflects the composition of net realized gains or losses for the periods shown. The 2010 realized gains on fixed maturity securities reflect the sale of certain tax-exempt municipal bonds. The gains on equity securities generally reflect the recovery of value realized upon the subsequent sale of common stocks originally impaired in 2008. All sales proceeds were redirected to taxable bonds with higher investment yields and a diversified portfolio of equity securities, with concentrations within the utility and energy industries.

		Realiz	zed Gai	ins (Losse	es) o	n									
		Disp	osition	of Secur	ities			Impairment Losses on Securities							
			Ec	quity			Equity								
			sec	urities			securities						Net		
	]	Fixed and miscell-				Fixed and miscell-						realized			
	m	•		eous			n	naturity		aneous			gains		
	se	curities	inves	stments	,	Total	se	curities	inv	estments		Total	(	losses)	
Years Ended													`	Í	
December 31:															
2008	\$	(25.0)	\$	20.9	\$	(4.1)	\$	(11.5)	\$	(470.7)	\$	(482.3)	\$	(486.4)	
2009		4.2		11.7		15.9		(1.5)		(8.0)		(9.5)		6.3	
2010		79.1		31.2		110.3		-		(1.2)		(1.2)		109.1	
Nine Months Ended	l														
September 30:															
2010		50.2		30.6		80.9		-		(1.2)		(1.2)		79.7	
2011		19.9		18.2		38.1		-		(50.2)		(50.2)		(12.0)	
Quarters Ended															
September 30:															
2010		9.9		(4.8)		5.1		-		(1.2)		(1.2)		3.8	
2011	\$	9.7	\$	16.8	\$	26.5	\$	-	\$	(42.1)	\$	(42.1)	\$	(15.5)	

Expenses: Benefits and Claims

The Company records the benefits, claims and related settlement costs that have been incurred during each accounting period. Total claim costs are affected by the amount of paid claims and the adequacy of reserve estimates established for current and prior years' claim occurrences at each balance sheet date.

The following table shows a breakdown of gross and net of reinsurance claim reserve estimates for major types of insurance coverages as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Claim and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves									
		Septembe	r 30, 2	2011		Decembe	2010			
		Gross		Net		Gross		Net		
Commercial automobile (mostly trucking) Workers' compensation	\$	1,137.4 3,444.5	\$	953.2 1,798.2	\$	1,111.8 3,508.5	\$	917.5 1,823.0		

General liability	1,319.0	647.1	1,317.3	650.4
Other coverages	599.4	383.8	624.6	387.9
Unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves	176.2	141.2	191.0	149.1
Total general insurance reserves	6,676.8	3,923.8	6,753.5	3,928.1
Mortgage guaranty	1,639.6	1,559.5	1,729.7	1,614.0
Title	305.7	305.7	281.2	281.2
Life and health	19.8	16.1	24.2	20.0
Unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves -				
other coverages	25.9	25.9	25.8	25.8
Total claim and loss adjustment expense	\$ 8,668.0	\$ 5,831.2	\$	\$
reserves			8,814.6	5,869.3
Asbestosis and environmental claim reserves				
included				
in the above general insurance reserves:				
Amount	\$ 183.8	\$ 135.2	\$ 195.7	\$ 144.9
% of total general insurance reserves	2.8%	3.4%	2.9%	3.7%
32				

Changes in aggregate claim and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates are shown in the following table:

	Niı	ded September 30,		
		2011		2010
Net reserve increase (decrease):				
General Insurance	\$	(4.3)	\$	(49.9)
Mortgage Guaranty		(54.6)		(256.8)
Title Insurance		24.7		6.9
Other		(3.8)		.1
Total	\$	(38.0)	\$	(299.6)

Net reserves for claims that have been incurred but not yet reported ("IBNR") carried in each segment were as follows:

	Sept	ember 30,	De	cember 31,
		2011		2010
General Insurance	\$	1,930.4	\$	1,905.1
Mortgage Guaranty		64.3		46.2
Title Insurance		253.1		216.5
Other		4.4		5.0
Total	\$	2,252.3	\$	2,172.9

The Company's reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses represents the accumulation of estimates of ultimate losses, including incurred but not reported losses and loss adjustment expenses. The establishment of claim reserves by the Company's insurance subsidiaries is a reasonably complex and dynamic process influenced by a large variety of factors as further discussed below. Consequently, reserves established are a reflection of the opinions of a large number of persons, of the application and interpretation of historical precedent and trends, of expectations as to future developments, and of management's judgment in interpreting all such factors. At any point in time, the Company is exposed to possibly higher or lower than anticipated claim costs and the resulting changes in estimates are recorded in operations of the periods during which they are made. Increases to prior reserve estimates are often referred to as unfavorable development whereas any changes that decrease previous estimates of the Company's ultimate liability are referred to as favorable development. Most of the decline in mortgage guaranty reserves at September 30, 2010 resulted from the previously discussed cancellation of certain pool insurance policies.

#### Overview of Loss Reserving Process

The Company's reserve setting process reflects the nature of its insurance business and the decentralized basis upon which it is conducted. Old Republic's general insurance operations encompass a large variety of lines or classes of commercial insurance; it has negligible exposure to personal lines such as homeowners or private passenger automobile insurance that exhibit wide diversification of risks, significant frequency of claim occurrences, and high degrees of statistical credibility. Additionally, the Company's insurance subsidiaries do not provide significant amounts of insurance protection for premises; most of its property insurance exposures relate to cargo, incidental property, and insureds' inland marine assets. Consequently, the wide variety of policies issued and commercial insurance customers served require that loss reserves be analyzed and established in the context of the unique or different attributes of each block or class of business produced by the Company. For example, accident liability claims emanating from insured trucking companies or from general aviation customers become known relatively quickly, whereas claims of a general liability nature arising from the building activities of a construction company may emerge over extended periods of time. Similarly, claims filed pursuant to errors and omissions or directors and officers' ("E&O/D&O") liability coverages are usually not prone to immediate evaluation or quantification inasmuch as many

such claims may be litigated over several years and their ultimate costs may be affected by the vagaries of judged or jury verdicts. Approximately 90% of the general insurance group's claim reserves stem from liability insurance coverages for commercial customers which typically require more extended periods of investigation and at times protracted litigation before they are finally settled. As a consequence of these and other factors, Old Republic does not utilize a single, overarching loss reserving approach.

The Company prepares periodic analyses of its loss reserve estimates for its significant insurance coverages. It establishes point estimates for most losses on an insurance coverage line-by-line basis for individual subsidiaries, sub-classes, individual accounts, blocks of business or other unique concentrations of insurance risks such as directors and officers' liability, that have similar attributes. Actuarially or otherwise derived ranges of reserve levels are not utilized as such in setting these reserves. Instead the reported reserves encompass the Company's best point estimates at each reporting date and the overall reserve level at any point in time therefore represents the compilation of a very large number of reported reserve estimates and the results of a variety of formula calculations largely driven by statistical analysis of historical data. Reserve releases or additions are implicitly covered by the point estimates incorporated in total reserves at each balance sheet date. The Company does not project future variability or make an explicit provision for uncertainty when determining its best estimate of loss reserves. Over the most recent decade actual incurred losses have developed within a reasonable range of their original estimates.

Aggregate loss reserves consist of liability estimates for claims that have been reported ("case") to the Company's insurance subsidiaries and reserves for claims that have been incurred but not yet reported or whose ultimate costs may not become fully apparent until a future time. Additionally, the Company establishes unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves for loss settlement costs that are not directly related to individual claims. Such reserves are based on prior years' cost experience and trends, and are intended to cover the unallocated costs of claim departments' administration of case and IBNR claims over time. Long-term, disability-type workers' compensation reserves are discounted to present value based on interest rates that range from 3.5% to 4.0%.

A large variety of statistical analyses and formula calculations are utilized to provide for IBNR claim costs as well as additional costs that can arise from such factors as monetary and social inflation, changes in claims administration processes, changes in reinsurance ceded and recoverability levels, and expected trends in claim costs and related ratios. Typically, such formulas take into account so-called link ratios that represent prior years' patterns of incurred or paid loss trends between succeeding years, or past experience relative to progressions of the number of claims reported over time and ultimate average costs per claim.

Overall, reserves pertaining to several hundred large individual commercial insurance accounts that exhibit sufficient statistical credibility, and at times may be subject to retrospective premium rating plans or the utilization of varying levels or types of self-insured retentions through captive insurers and similar risk management mechanisms are established on an account by account basis using case reserves and applicable formula-driven methods. Large account reserves are usually set and analyzed for groups of coverages such as workers' compensation, commercial auto and general liability that are typically underwritten jointly for many customers. For certain so-called long-tail categories of insurance such as retained or assumed excess liability or excess workers' compensation, officers and directors' liability, and commercial umbrella liability relative to which claim development patterns are particularly long, more volatile, and immature in their early stages of development, the Company judgmentally establishes the most current accident years' loss reserves on the basis of expected loss ratios. Such expected loss ratios typically reflect currently estimated loss ratios from prior accident years, adjusted for the effect of actual and anticipated rate changes, actual and anticipated changes in coverage, reinsurance, mix of business, and other anticipated changes in external factors such as trends in loss costs or the legal and claims environment. Expected loss ratios are generally used for the two to three most recent accident years depending on the individual class or category of business. As actual claims data emerges in succeeding interim and annual periods, the original accident year loss ratio assumptions are validated or otherwise adjusted sequentially through the application of statistical projection techniques such as the Bornhuetter/Ferguson method which utilizes data from the more mature experience of prior years to arrive at a likely indication of more recent years' loss trends and costs.

Mortgage guaranty insurance reserves for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses are recognized only upon an instance of default. The latter is defined as an insured mortgage loan that has missed two or more consecutive monthly payments. Loss reserves are therefore based on statistical calculations that take into account the number of reported insured mortgage loan defaults as of each balance sheet date, as well as experience-based estimates of loan defaults that have occurred but have not as yet been reported. Further, the loss reserve estimating process takes into account a large number of variables including trends in claim severity, potential salvage recoveries, expected cure rates for reported loan delinquencies at various stages of default, the level of coverage rescissions and claims denials due to material misrepresentation in key underwriting information or non-compliance with prescribed underwriting guidelines, and management judgments relative to future employment levels, housing market activity, and mortgage loan interest costs, demand, and extensions. Historically, coverage rescissions and claims denials as a result of material misrepresentation in key underwriting information or non-compliance with terms of the master policy have not been material; however, they have increased significantly since early 2008.

Title insurance and related escrow services loss and loss adjustment expense reserves are established as point estimates to cover the projected settlement costs of known as well as IBNR losses related to premium and escrow

service revenues of each reporting period. Reserves for known claims are based on an assessment of the facts available to the Company during the settlement process. The point estimates covering all claim reserves take into account IBNR claims based on past experience and evaluations of such variables as changing trends in the types of policies issued, changes in real estate markets and interest rate environments, and changing levels of loan refinancing, all of which can have a bearing on the emergence, number, and ultimate costs of claims.

#### **Incurred Loss Experience**

Management believes that the Company's overall reserving practices have been consistently applied over many years. For at least the past ten years, previously established aggregate reserves have produced reasonable estimates of the cumulative ultimate net costs of claims incurred. However, there are no guarantees that such outcomes will continue, and, accordingly, no representation is made that ultimate net claim and related costs will not develop in future years to be greater or lower than currently established reserve estimates. In management's opinion, however, such potential development is not likely to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, although it could affect materially its consolidated results of operations for any one annual or interim reporting period. See further discussion in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 1A - Risk Factors.

During 2010's second half, various news accounts cited possible widespread issues pertaining to the loan foreclosure procedures of lending institutions. Basically, these news reports point to faulty documentation of such foreclosure procedures. In the Company's opinion, the possible impact on its operating segments from foreclosure delays is summarized as follows: General Insurance -- the CCI coverage is largely unaffected because foreclosure is not a condition precedent to the filing of a claim by an insured lending institution. Mortgage guaranty -- a delay in the foreclosure proceedings will have the effect of delaying the filing and ultimate payment of claims. It is not anticipated that this will increase the number of delinquent loans that ultimately go to claim but will result in distressed loans remaining in the later stage of delinquency until the ultimate foreclosure is resolved. Title insurance -- the current foreclosure issues could impact this line of business by legal costs associated with defending title issues created by flaws in the foreclosure proceedings. In an extreme case, a title company could be forced to reimburse the buyer of the home as a result of a faulty foreclosure proceeding. In this event, the Company would look to the protections afforded it in the policy and seek remedies from the foreclosing lender. It is unlikely that these issues would have a material financial impact on our title insurance company.

The percentage of net claims, benefits and related settlement expenses incurred as a percentage of premiums and related fee revenues of the Company's three major operating segments and for its consolidated results were as follows:

	General	Mortgage	Title	Consolidated
Years Ended December 31:				
2008	73.0%	199.3%	7.0%	81.8%
2009	76.3	176.0	7.9	76.7
2010	76.4	153.6	8.0	63.4
Nine Months Ended September 30:				
2010	77.1	140.6	7.8	62.9
2011	72.4	236.4	7.8	69.0
Quarters Ended September 30:				
2010	81.2	179.1	8.3	68.3
2011	73.1%	270.0%	7.8%	72.8%

The percentage of net claims, benefits and related settlement expenses measured against premiums earned by major types of general insurance coverage were as follows:

	General Insurance Claims Ratios by Type of Coverage										
		Commercial		Inland							
		Automobile			Marine						
	All	(mostly	Workers'	Financial	and	General					
	Coverages	trucking)	Compensation	Indemnity	Property	Liability	Other				
Years Ended											
December 31:											
2008	73.0%	76.1%	69.4%	95.0%	60.5%	64.4%	53.9%				
2009	76.3	71.5	74.9	117.8	63.0	65.6	60.1				
2010	76.4	73.0	70.7	126.9	62.8	64.6	67.1				
Nine Months											
Ended											
September 30:											
2010	77.1	72.8	71.2	131.9	60.9	62.1	66.8				
2011	72.4	74.5	71.4	84.6	68.8	65.5	63.2				
Quarters Ended											
September 30:											

2010	81.2	71.1	66.2	149.0	69.8	74.4	66.1
2011	73.1%	74.3%	67.6%	73.1%	78.1%	92.7%	68.2%

Excluding the impact of Old Republic's CCI business, the overall general insurance claims ratio shows reasonably consistent trends for the past three years. To a large extent, this major cost factor reflects pricing and risk selection improvements that have been applied since 2001, together with elements of reduced loss severity and frequency. The higher claim ratio for financial indemnity coverages in the periods shown was driven principally by greater claim frequencies experienced in Old Republic's CCI coverage. Even though consumer loan delinquency rates have subsided fairly steadily over the past year, CCI claims costs in 2011 continue to reflect the retention of higher reserve levels until there is greater certainty around these indicated trends.

The following table shows CCI claims related trends for the periods shown:

							Effect on			
							General	Reported		
			CCI Cla	im (	Costs		Insurance	Delinquency	Claim	
		Pa	aid	Incurred		Claim	Rate at End	Res	scissions	
	A	mount	Ratio (a)	A	mount	Ratio (a)	Ratio (b)	of Period	and	Denials
Years Ended										
December 31:										
2008	\$	250.4	122.6%	\$	258.6	126.6%	6.1%	6.0%	\$	268.9
2009		256.9	211.6		214.7	176.9	7.3	6.8		974.0
2010		265.4	301.8		212.5	241.7	8.6	4.6		621.5
Nine Months Ended										
September 30:										
2010		207.4	336.8		161.6	262.4	9.6	5.3		534.8
2011		75.9	172.0		72.0	163.1	2.5	4.5%		132.6
Quarters Ended										
September 30:										
2010		97.3	311.7		80.9	259.0	13.8			116.7
2011	\$	22.1	149.9%	\$	20.2	137.3%	1.8%		\$	29.5

Percent of net CCI earned premiums.

(b) Represents the percentage point increase in the general insurance claim ratio.

During the three most recent calendar years, the general insurance group experienced favorable development of prior year loss reserves primarily due to the commercial automobile, general aviation, and the E&O/D&O (financial indemnity) lines of business; these were partially offset by unfavorable development in workers' compensation coverages, by ongoing development of asbestos and environmental ("A&E") claim reserves, and by unfavorable development of the CCI reserves.

CCI claims ratios in the above table include only those costs actually or expected to be paid by the Company and exclude claims not paid by virtue of coverage rescissions and claims denials as well as unsubstantiated claim submissions. Certain claim rescissions and denials may from time to time become the subject of disagreements between the Company and certain individual insureds. Possible future reversals of such rescissions and denials, however, may not necessarily affect the adequacy of previously established claim reserve levels nor fully impact operating results. These effects could be fully or partially negated by the imposition of additional retrospective premiums and/or the limiting effects of maximum policy limits.

Unfavorable developments attributable to A&E claim reserves are due to periodic re-evaluations of such reserves as well as subsequent reclassifications of other coverages' reserves, typically workers' compensation, deemed assignable to A&E category of losses. Except for a small portion that emanates from ongoing primary insurance operations, a large majority of the A&E claim reserves posted by Old Republic stem mainly from its participations in assumed reinsurance treaties and insurance pools which were discontinued fifteen or more years ago and have since been in run-off status. With respect to the primary portion of gross A&E reserves, Old Republic administers the related claims through its claims personnel as well as outside attorneys, and posted reserves reflect its best estimates of ultimate claim costs. Claims administration for the assumed portion of the Company's A&E exposures is handled by the claims departments of unrelated primary or ceding reinsurance companies. While the Company performs periodic reviews of certain claim files managed by third parties, the overall A&E reserves it establishes respond to the paid claim and case

reserve activity reported to the Company as well as available industry statistical data such as so-called survival ratios. Such ratios represent the number of years' average paid losses for the three or five most recent calendar years that are encompassed by an insurer's A&E reserve level at any point in time. According to this simplistic appraisal of an insurer's A&E loss reserve level, Old Republic's average five year survival ratios stood at 6.2 years (gross) and 9.2 years (net of reinsurance) as of September 30, 2011 and 5.9 years (gross) and 10.0 years (net of reinsurance) as of December 31, 2010. The survival ratios are presented on a pro forma basis as if PMA had been consolidated with ORI for all periods presented. Fluctuations in this ratio between years can be caused by the inconsistent pay out patterns associated with these types of claims. Incurred net losses for A&E claims have averaged .6% of general insurance group net incurred losses for the five years ended December 31, 2010.

The mortgage guaranty claim ratios in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 were affected mostly by higher claim payments, reduced levels of claim rescissions and denials and higher claims severity. As indicated in the above Executive Summary, Old Republic's mortgage guaranty subsidiaries negotiated the termination of various captive reinsurance and pool insurance contracts during the first half of 2010. Taken together all of these transactions reduced the incurred claims ratio for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 by approximately 4.0 and 19.0 percentage points, respectively. These claim ratios had risen through year-end 2009 principally as a result of higher reserve provisions and paid losses. Reserve provisions have been impacted by the levels of reported delinquencies emanating from the downturn in the national economy, widespread stress in housing and mortgage finance markets, and increasing unemployment. Trends in expected and actual claim frequency and severity have been impacted to varying degrees by several factors including, but not limited to, significant declines in home prices which limit a troubled borrower's ability to sell the mortgaged property in an amount sufficient to satisfy the remaining debt obligation, more restrictive mortgage

lending standards which limit a borrower's ability to refinance the loan, increases in housing supply relative to recent demand, historically high levels of coverage rescissions and claims denials as a result of material misrepresentation in key underwriting information or non-compliance with prescribed underwriting guidelines, and changes in claim settlement costs. The latter costs are influenced by the amount of unpaid principal outstanding on delinquent loans as well as the rising expenses of settling claims due to higher investigations costs, legal fees, and accumulated interest expenses.

In common with all other insurance lines, mortgage guaranty paid and incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses include only those costs actually or expected to be paid by the Company, and exclude claims not paid by virtue of coverage rescissions and claim denials shown in the table below. In a similar vein, changes in mortgage guaranty aggregate case, IBNR, and loss adjustment expense reserves entering into the determination of incurred claim costs take into account a large number of variables one of which relates to changes in claim cost estimates for anticipated coverage rescissions and claims denials. The effect of changes in anticipated coverage rescissions and claims denials on period end claim reserve estimates resulted in increases to incurred losses of \$310.0 and \$955.5 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Certain mortgage guaranty average claims related trends are listed below:

	Average Paid Claim			Reported I				
	Amount (c)				Ratio at Er	Claim		
	Traditional			Traditional			Rescissions	
	P	rimary		Bulk	Primary	Bulk	and	Denials
Years Ended December 31:								
2008	\$	43,532	\$	56,481	10.34%	17.17%	\$	211.0
2009		48,492		59,386	16.83	30.81		719.5
2010		47,954		58,184	15.55	24.54		748.8
Nine Months Ended September 30:								
2010		46,754		57,787	16.04	24.29		559.8
2011		48,388		55,911	14.30%	23.80%		246.4
Quarters Ended September 30:								
2010		45,657		56,469				188.0
2011	\$	49,609	\$	56,405			\$	59.4

	(c)			Amounts are in whole dollars.									
		Traditional Primary Delinquency Ratios fo						raditional Primary Delinquency Ratios for Top Ten States (d):					
	FL	TX	GA	IL	OH	CA	NJ	PA	VA	NC			
As of December 31:													
2008	21.9%	7.1%	11.1%	10.8%	11.0%	19.8%	11.4%	7.7%	8.1%	7.6%			
2009	34.1	10.6	18.8	19.5	16.4	30.5	21.1	11.6	13.9	12.3			
2010	32.6	9.6	17.3	19.2	16.0	22.6	20.7	11.5	11.7	11.9			
As of September 30:													
2010	33.3	10.1	18.2	19.4	16.1	24.9	21.0	11.3	12.6	12.2			
2011	31.0%	7.9%	15.0%	19.5%	14.9%	17.5%	22.3%	11.4%	11.2%	11.6%			
			Bulk	x Delinque	ency Ratio	s for Top	Ten State	s (d):					
A CD 1 21	FL	TX	GA	IL	ОН	CA	NJ	PA	NY	AZ			
As of December 31:													

2008	27.0%	10.2%	16.3%	19.1%	17.1%	22.4%	16.0%	19.8%	13.8%	18.2%
2009	46.5	16.3	27.6	35.7	23.4	41.3	33.3	21.7	26.8	37.5
2010	37.0	15.2	22.3	28.6	23.2	27.7	27.9	20.6	23.2	24.6
As of September 30:										
2010	36.4	14.5	23.9	28.8	20.9	27.9	27.6	19.9	22.1	25.4
2011	36.2%	15.1%	23.8%	28.0%	21.7%	24.3%	28.8%	20.9%	24.2%	21.2%
		Total Del	linquency	Ratios for	Top Ten	States (in	cludes "ot	her" busin	ess) (d):	
	FL	TX	GA	IL	OH	CA	NJ	PA	VA	NC
As of December 31:										
2008	21.3%	7.2%	11.2%	10.2%	11.4%	17.2%	12.1%	8.1%	7.3%	6.8%
2009	36.4	11.2	19.4	20.5	17.2	33.9	24.1	12.9	14.0	11.5
2010	32.1	9.9	17.1	19.1	16.6	23.2	21.5	12.1	10.6	10.9
As of September 30:										
2010										
2010	32.6	10.3	18.0	19.3	16.4	24.8	21.7	11.9	11.4	11.2

<sup>(</sup>d) As determined by risk in force as of September 30, 2011, these 10 states represent approximately 49.9%, 58.9%, and 50.2%, of traditional primary, bulk, and total risk in force, respectively.

Title insurance loss ratios have remained in the single digits for a number of years due to a continuation of favorable trends in claims frequency and severity for business underwritten since 1992 in particular. Though still reasonably contained, claim ratios have risen for the most recent three years due to the continuing downturn and economic stresses in the housing and related mortgage lending industries.

#### Reinsurance Programs

To maintain premium production within its capacity and limit maximum losses and risks for which it might become liable under its policies, Old Republic may cede a portion or all of its premiums and liabilities on certain classes of insurance, individual policies, or blocks of business to other insurers and reinsurers. Further discussion of the Company's reinsurance programs can be found in Part 1 of the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Expenses: Underwriting Acquisition and Other Expenses

The following table sets forth the expense ratios registered by each major business segment and in consolidation for the periods shown:

	General	Mortgage	Title	Consolidated
Years Ended December 31:				
2008	24.2%	15.7%	103.6%	39.1%
2009	25.8	12.6	93.8	41.8
2010	26.6	14.4	93.0	48.0
Nine Months Ended September 30:				
2010	26.9	14.0	94.4	48.2
2011	25.9	26.0	92.1	48.4
Quarters Ended September 30:				
2010	26.1	14.8	91.9	48.3
2011	25.9%	47.3%	91.0%	50.1%

Variations in the Company's consolidated expense ratios reflect a continually chang-ing mix of coverages sold and attendant costs of producing business in the Company's three largest business segments. To a significant degree, expense ratios for both the general and title insurance segments are mostly reflective of variable costs, such as commissions or similar charges, that rise or decline along with corresponding changes in premium and fee income. Moreover, general operating expenses can contract or expand in differing proportions due to varying levels of operating efficiencies and expense management opportunities in the face of changing market conditions. The 2011 mortgage guaranty expense ratios reflect a third quarter accrual of employment severance and similar costs, and the elimination of previously deferred acquisition costs as discussed in the Executive Summary.

Expenses: Total

The composite ratios of the above summarized net claims, benefits and underwriting expenses that reflect the sum total of all the factors enumerated above have been as follows:

	General	Mortgage	Title	Consolidated
Years Ended December 31:				
2008	97.2%	215.0%	110.6%	120.9%
2009	102.1	188.6	101.7	118.5
2010	103.0	168.0	101.0	111.4
Nine Months Ended September 30:				

Edgar Filing: OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

2010	104.0	154.6	102.2	111.1
2011	98.3	262.4	99.9	117.4
Quarters Ended September 30:				
2010	107.3	193.9	100.2	116.6
2011	99.0%	317.3%	98.8%	122.9%

**Expenses: Income Taxes** 

The effective consolidated income tax rates (credits) were (36.2)% and (38.0)% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, compared to (35.8)% and 15.2% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2010. The rates for each period reflect primarily the varying proportions of pretax operating income (loss) derived from partially tax sheltered investment income (principally state and municipal tax-exempt interest), the combination of fully taxable investment income, realized investment gains or losses, and underwriting and service income, and judgments about the recoverability of deferred tax assets.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

Reference is here made to "Information About Segments of Business" appearing elsewhere herein.

Historical data pertaining to the operating results, liquidity, and other performance indicators applicable to an insurance enterprise such as Old Republic are not necessarily indicative of results to be achieved in succeeding years. In addition to the factors cited below, the long term nature of the insurance business, seasonal and annual patterns in premium production and incidence of claims, changes in yields obtained on invested assets, changes in government policies and free markets affecting inflation rates and general economic conditions, and changes in legal precedents or the application of law affecting the settlement of disputed and other claims can have a bearing on period-to-period comparisons and future operating results.

Some of the oral or written statements made in the Company's reports, press releases, and conference calls following earnings releases, can constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Of necessity, any such forward-looking statements involve assumptions, uncertainties, and risks that may affect the Company's future performance. With regard to Old Republic's General Insurance segment, its results can be affected, in particular, by the level of market competition, which is typically a function of available capital and expected returns on such capital among competitors, the levels of interest and inflation rates, and periodic changes in claim frequency and severity patterns caused by natural disasters, weather conditions, accidents, illnesses, work-related injuries, and unanticipated external events. Mortgage Guaranty and Title Insurance results can be affected by similar factors and by changes in national and regional housing demand and values, the availability and cost of mortgage loans, employment trends, and default rates on mortgage loans. Mortgage Guaranty results, in particular, may also be affected by various risk-sharing arrangements with business producers as well as the risk management and pricing policies of government sponsored enterprises. Life and health insurance earnings can be affected by the levels of employment and consumer spending, variations in mortality and health trends, and changes in policy lapsation rates. At the parent holding company level, operating earnings or losses are generally reflective of the amount of debt outstanding and its cost, interest income on temporary holdings of short-term investments, and period-to-period variations in the costs of administering the Company's widespread operations.

A more detailed listing and discussion of the risks and other factors which affect the Company's risk-taking insurance business are included in Part I, Item 1A – Risk Factors, of the Company's 2010 Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission, which Item is specifically incorporated herein by reference.

Any forward-looking statements or commentaries speak only as of their dates. Old Republic undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any and all such comments, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, and accordingly they may not be unduly relied upon.

#### OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

### Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments as a result of changes in interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. Old Republic's primary market risks consist of interest rate risk associated with investments in fixed maturities and equity price risk associated with investments in equity securities. The Company has no material foreign exchange or commodity risk.

Old Republic's market risk exposures at September 30, 2011, have not materially changed from those identified in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's principal executive officer and its principal accounting officer have evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective for the above referenced evaluation period.

### Changes in Internal Control

During the three month period ended September 30, 2011, there were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

### OLD REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION FORM 10-Q PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1 – Legal Proceedings

The information contained in Note 6 "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements filed as Part 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A – Risk Factors

There have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors disclosed in the Company's 2010 Annual report on Form 10-K except as follows:

#### Convertible Senior Notes

The Company's 3.75% and 8.0% Convertible Senior Notes ("the Notes") contain provisions defining certain events of default. The Notes provide that, among other events, the insolvency of a Significant Subsidiary of the Company constitutes an event of default. The Company's flagship mortgage guaranty insurance carrier, Republic Mortgage Insurance Company, is defined as a Significant Subsidiary for purposes of the Notes. The capital adequacy issues discussed in the Executive Summary and elsewhere herein, under "Discontinuation of New Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Production" herein, relative to the flagship carrier could ultimately result in an event of default. If this were to occur, the outstanding principal of the Notes could become immediately due and payable. The Company believes that it would have most of the financial resources to pay the outstanding principal on the Notes if required to do so.

### Discontinuation of New Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Production

The material increases in mortgage guaranty insurance claims and loss payments that began in 2007 have substantially depleted and continue to erode the statutory capital base of the Company's mortgage insurance subsidiaries. Sixteen states have insurance laws or regulations which require a mortgage insurer to maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the level of risk in force. The most common measure applied allows for a maximum permitted risk to capital ratio of 25 to 1. The failure to maintain the prescribed minimum capital level in a particular state would generally require a mortgage insurer to immediately stop writing new business until it reestablishes the required level of capital or receives a waiver of the requirement from a state's insurance regulatory authority.

The Company's principal mortgage insurance subsidiary, Republic Mortgage Insurance Company ("RMIC"), breached the minimum capital requirement during the third quarter of 2010. RMIC had previously requested and, subsequently received waivers or forbearance of the minimum policyholder position requirements from the regulatory authorities in substantially all of the states. Following several brief extensions, the waiver from its domiciliary state of North Carolina expired on August 31, 2011, and RMIC voluntarily discontinued writing new business. By a subsequent agreement with the North Carolina Department of Insurance ("NCDOI"), RMIC and its sister company, Republic Mortgage Insurance Company of North Carolina ("RMIC-NC"), agreed to discontinue writing new business in all states and limit themselves to servicing the run-off of their existing business.

RMIC thereafter filed a proposed run-off plan with the NCDOI for its approval. Under the plan, RMIC and/or a successor entity would operate under the control and supervision of the NCDOI. The plan has not yet been approved and may not be approved. If the plan is not approved, RMIC is likely to become capital impaired and could be placed

in receivership by the NCDOI. If the plan is approved, RMIC and/or a successor entity would also most likely become capital impaired. In such circumstance there is also no assurance that the run-off would be successful, that all legitimate claims would be honored, and that the Company would be permitted to re-enter the mortgage guaranty business by the NCDOI and/or Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, both of which are currently in the conservatorship of the U.S. Government.

### Item 6 – Exhibits

#### (a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification by Aldo C. Zucaro, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by Karl W. Mueller, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification by Aldo C. Zucaro, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by Karl W. Mueller, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

### **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Old Republic International Corporation (Registrant)

Date: November 7, 2011

/s/ Karl W. Mueller
Karl W. Mueller
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer, and
Principal Accounting Officer

### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

## Exhibit No. Description 31.1 Certification by Aldo C. Zucaro, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 31.2 Certification by Karl W. Mueller, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32.1 Certification by Aldo C. Zucaro, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32.2 Certification by Karl W. Mueller, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.