LABARGE INC Form DEF 14A October 18, 2010

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A

### PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

	by the registrant [X] by a party other than the	registrant [ ]
Check	the appropriate box:	
	[ ] [ ] [X] [ ] [ ]	Preliminary proxy statement.  Confidential, for use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))  Definitive proxy statement.  Definitive additional materials.  Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12
		LaBarge, Inc.
		(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)
[X] ]	ent of filing fee (check t No fee required. See computed on table be	(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if Other Than the Registrant) he appropriate box): elow per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
(1)	Title of each class of se	ecurities to which transaction applies.
(2)	Aggregate number of se	ecurities to which transaction applies:
pursu	ant to Exchange Act Ru	nderlying value of transaction computed le 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the te how it was determined):
(4)	Proposed maximum agg	gregate value of transaction:
(5)	Total fee paid:	
[] Fe	e paid previously with p	oreliminary materials.
Rule (	0-11(a)(2) and identify to reviously. Identify the	ne fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act the filing for which the offsetting fee was previous filing by registration statement alle and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously P	aid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Re	gistration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:	
(4)	Date Filed:	

# NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT NOVEMBER 17, 2010

LaBarge, Inc.
Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders
NOVEMBER 17, 2010

To the Stockholders:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of LaBarge, Inc. (the "Company") will be held at the offices of the Company, 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri, on November 17, 2010, at 4:00 P.M., St. Louis time. Stockholders who do not attend the Annual Meeting in person are invited to listen to an audio recording of the meeting, which will be accessible on the Internet at <a href="http://www.labarge.com">http://www.labarge.com</a>.

At the Annual Meeting, Common Stockholders will be asked:

- 1. To elect two Class C Directors for a term ending in 2013;
- To consider and act upon the ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accountants for fiscal 2011;
- 3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Only Stockholders whose names appear of record at the Company's close of business on September 24, 2010, are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

#### We encourage you to vote via Internet or telephone, or you may mail your proxy.

If you receive more than one proxy card because you own shares registered in different names or at a different address, please vote each proxy as soon as possible by following the instructions on the proxy card regarding voting by Internet, telephone or mail.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

#### /s/DONALD H. NONNENKAMP

Donald H. Nonnenkamp

Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

October 15, 2010

ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING. WHETHER OR NOT YOU INTEND TO BE PRESENT, PLEASE VOTE YOUR PROXY. STOCKHOLDERS CAN HELP THE COMPANY AVOID UNNECESSARY EXPENSE AND DELAY BY PROMPTLY VOTING THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD. THE PRESENCE, IN PERSON OR BY PROPERLY SUBMITTED PROXY, OF A MAJORITY OF THE COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING ON THE RECORD DATE IS NECESSARY TO CONSTITUTE A QUORUM AT THE ANNUAL MEETING.

LaBarge, Inc. 9900 Clayton Road St. Louis, Missouri 63124

PROXY STATEMENT Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on November 17, 2010

#### Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting To Be Held on No

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010, are available at http://www.cfpproxy.com/51

This Proxy Statement and the enclosed form of proxy are being furnished to the Common Stockholders of LaBarge, Inc. (the "Company") on or about October 15, 2010, in connection with the solicitation of proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company, for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on November 17, 2010, at the time, place and for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting, and at any adjournment of that meeting.

Holders of shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (the "Common Stock"), of the Company at its close of business on September 24, 2010, (the "Record Date") will be entitled to receive notice of and vote at the Annual Meeting. On the Record Date, 15,958,839 shares of Common Stock were outstanding. Holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock held of record on the Record Date on each matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the voting power represented and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. The passage of any other proposal will be determined by the affirmative vote of the majority of the voting power represented and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. In the election of directors, abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome except in determining the presence of a quorum; they will not be counted toward the number of votes required for any nominee's election. An instruction to "abstain" from voting on any other proposal will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be considered as present and entitled to vote on the proposals. Therefore, under applicable Delaware law, broker non-votes will have no effect on the number of affirmative votes required to adopt such proposal.

Stockholders of record on the Record Date are entitled to cast their votes in person or by properly submitted proxy at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders wishing to vote their shares by proxy may do so either by (i) completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed envelope, (ii) voting via the Internet, or (iii) voting via telephone. Instructions for voting by Internet or telephone are contained on the proxy card. For additional information on how to obtain directions to be able to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, please write to the Company's Corporate Secretary at LaBarge, Inc., 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124 or call (314) 997-0800. The presence, in person or by properly submitted proxy, of a majority of the Common Stock outstanding on the Record Date is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. If a quorum is not present at the time the Annual Meeting is convened, the Company may adjourn the Annual Meeting.

All Common Stock represented at the Annual Meeting by properly submitted proxies received prior to or at the Annual Meeting and not properly revoked will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the instructions indicated in such proxies. If no instructions are indicated, such proxies will be voted FOR election of the Board's nominees as directors, FOR ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP, and, at the discretion of the named proxies, on any other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors of the Company does not know of any matters other than the matters described in the Notice of Annual Meeting attached to this Proxy Statement that will come before the Annual Meeting.

Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before it is voted. Proxies may be revoked by (i) filing with the Secretary of the Company, at or before the Annual Meeting, a written notice of revocation bearing a date later than the date of the proxy, (ii) submitting a new proxy with a later date, including a proxy given via the Internet or by telephone, or (iii) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person (although attendance at the Annual Meeting will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of a proxy). Any written notice revoking a proxy should be sent to: Corporate Secretary, LaBarge, Inc., 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124.

The proxies are solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. In addition to the use of the Internet, telephone and mail, proxies may be solicited personally or by facsimile transmission by directors, officers or regular employees of the Company. The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by the Company.

A copy of the Company's Annual Report for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010, is being mailed to each Stockholder along with this Proxy Statement.

The date of this Proxy Statement is October 15, 2010.

#### PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors of the Company is divided into three classes, designated as Class A, Class B and Class C. Each Director is elected for a three-year term and the term of each Class expires in a different year. Under the Company's bylaws, the Board of Directors has the authority to fix the number of directors. The number of directors currently is fixed at six.

The Board of Directors has nominated for election two Class C Directors: Messrs. Robert G. Clark and Jack E. Thomas, Jr. Each of the nominees is currently serving as a Director of the Company and has consented to continue to serve as a Director if elected. Unless proxy cards are marked to withhold authority to vote for any Director nominee, the proxies intend to vote all properly executed proxies FOR election of each of the Director nominees.

Below is biographical and other information about each nominee for election as a Director and each current Director whose term continues after the Annual Meeting. Following each nominee's or Director's biographical information is information concerning the particular experience, qualifications, attributes and/or skills that led the Nominating Committee and the Board to determine that each nominee should serve as a Director, or each Director should continue to serve as a Director, as the case may be.

<del>_</del>	Term Expiration		Director Since	Positions(s) with the Company
	Date	Age	Director Since	1 ositions(s) with the Company

<u>Class C Nominees</u>	_			_	
Robert G. Clark	20	3	51	2001	Director
Jack E. Thomas, Jr.	20	3	58	1997	Director
=					_
Continuing Class A Directors					_
Thomas A. Corcoran	20		66	2005	Director
Craig E. LaBarge	20:	1	59	1981	Chairman of the Board, CEO and President
Continuing Class B Directors					_
John G. Helmkamp, Jr.		2	63	1998	Director
Lawrence J. LeGrand	20		59	1998	Director

#### Class C Nominees

Mr. Clark became a Director in 2001 and serves as a member of the Human Resources Committee and the Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. Since 1984, he has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Clayco, Inc., a design/build construction, real estate and architectural firm doing business in the United States and Canada. Mr. Clark brings senior leadership, and strategic and business development expertise to the Board from his current position as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Clayco, Inc.

Mr. Thomas became a Director in 1997 and serves as Chairman of the Human Resources Committee and the Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. Since 1982, he has been Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Coin Acceptors, Inc., a world leader in the design and manufacture of coin mechanisms, bill validators, control systems and vending machines. Mr. Thomas is a Director of U.S. Bank, N.A., and of Maritz, Inc. He also serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Royal Vendors, Inc., and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Money Controls, Ltd. Mr. Thomas' professional background in various manufacturing companies brings valuable industry knowledge and experience to the Board. Mr. Thomas' legal education and experience also assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities related to the oversight of the Company's legal and regulatory compliance.

#### Continuing Class A Directors

Mr. Corcoran became a Director in 2005 and serves as a member of the Audit Committee, Human Resources Committee and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Corcoran is currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Corcoran Enterprises, LLC, a private management-consulting firm, and serves as senior advisor to The Carlyle Group, a Washington, D.C.-based private equity firm. Prior to joining The Carlyle Group, Mr. Corcoran served as President and Chief Executive Officer for Gemini Air Cargo, Inc., and Allegheny Teledyne Inc. He previously spent 32 years at Lockheed Martin Corporation and its predecessor companies, where he held various senior management positions, including President and Chief Operating Officer for the corporation's Space and Strategic Missiles and Electronics Systems sectors. Mr. Corcoran is a Director of L-3 Communications Holding LLC, GenCorp, Inc., AR Inc., Aer Lingus, PLC and Serco, PLC. Because of his service in senior management positions, Mr. Corcoran brings significant senior leadership experience to the Board. This provides the Board with experience and perspective in analyzing, shaping and overseeing the execution of important operational and policy issues at a senior level. In addition, Mr. Corcoran adds significant industry experience to the Board. Also, because of Mr. Corcoran's service on other public company boards of directors, Mr. Corcoran is able to offer advice and insights regarding the dynamics and operation of a board of directors, the relationship between a board and executive management, and oversight of a changing mix of strategic, operational and compliance-related matters.

Mr. LaBarge has been a Director since 1981. In September 2010, Mr. LaBarge was elected Chairman of the Board of Directors. He has also served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President since 1991. Prior to that time, he was Vice President-Marketing of the Electronics Division of the Company (1975 to 1979), President of the Electronics Division of the Company (1979 to 1986), Vice President of the Company (1981 to 1986) and President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company (1986 to 1991). Mr. LaBarge is a Director of U.S. Bank, N.A., in St. Louis. Mr. LaBarge's extensive experience in the electronics manufacturing industry enables him to bring valuable insight regarding the industry to the Board. In addition, his 29 years as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and his prior experience in various positions throughout the Company provide him with intimate knowledge of the Company's day-to-day operations, business and competitive environment, and the Company's opportunities, challenges and risks.

Mr. Helmkamp has been a Director since 1998 and serves as a member of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. He retired from the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Illinois State Bank and Trust in 1996, where he served in those capacities for more than five years. Mr. Helmkamp brings significant business, leadership and management insights into many aspects of the business. He also brings financial expertise to the Board, including through his service as a member of the audit committee of Magna Group, Inc., and as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Illinois State Bank and Trust.

Mr. LeGrand became a Director in 1998 and serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. He has been Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of Plancorp, Inc., a financial planning and investment advisory firm, since July 2010. Prior to this, he served as Executive Vice President of Plancorp, Inc. since 2001. Mr. LeGrand served as Executive Vice President of LMI Aerospace, Inc. from 1998 to

2001. Prior to that time, Mr. LeGrand was a partner of KPMG LLP for more than 20 years. Mr. LeGrand's extensive experience gained during his employment LMI Aerospace, Inc. brings the Board valuable industry expertise. In addition, Mr. LeGrand's background as a Certified Public Accountant, combined with his service as Chief Financial Officer and his experience as a partner of KPMG LLP, provide the Board with significant financial insight. Mr. LeGrand's knowledge of financial markets, financing and funding operations and accounting and financial reporting processes helps the Board in understanding, advising and overseeing the Company's capital structure, financing and investing activities, financial reporting and internal control of such activities.

The Board of Directors Recommends that You Vote "FOR" Election of its Nominees for Director.

#### Meetings of the Board of Directors and Fees

The Board of Directors of the Company held six meetings in fiscal year 2010. Each Director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and its Committees on which he served. It is the Company's policy that Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and at the last Annual Meeting, held on November 11, 2009, all Directors except Messrs. Clark and Corcoran were in attendance.

Members of the Board of Directors who are not employees of the Company receive \$1,500 for each Board meeting attended, \$1,000 for each Audit Committee meeting attended, \$750 for each Human Resources Committee and Nominating Committee meeting attended, and \$500 for attendance at the Company's Annual Meeting. All Directors who are not employees receive a quarterly retainer of \$2,500, with Committee Chairs receiving an additional quarterly retainer of \$1,000. In addition, in recognition of the Directors' contribution to fiscal 2010 record sales and earnings, the independent Directors were paid an additional \$15,000. The following table discloses our non-employee Directors' compensation for fiscal year 2010:

#### 2010 Director Compensation

	Fees Earned or	Total
Name	Paid in Cash (\$)	(\$)
Robert G. Clark	34,000	34,000
Thomas A. Corcoran	40,250	40,250
John G. Helmkamp, Jr.	38,500	38,500
Lawrence J. LeGrand	42,500	42,500
Jack E. Thomas, Jr.	40,750	40,750

Pursuant to its charter, the Human Resources Committee has the responsibility of recommending to the Board of Directors the compensation for Board members, such as retainers, committee Chairman fees, stock options and other similar items, as appropriate. In performing this task, the Human Resources Committee considers recommendations by the Company's Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers as to Director compensation. The Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers evaluate the compensation paid to directors of other regional public company boards in forming their recommendation and make adjustments given the relative size of the Company as compared with others. The Human Resources Committee does not engage the services of any third-party consultants in determining the Director compensation.

#### **Board Leadership Structure**

Craig LaBarge, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President, currently serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors. The Board believes that combining the role of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer provides the most efficient and effective leadership model for the Company, as the Chief Executive Officer is most familiar with the Company's business and industry. To strengthen the Company's governance structure and assure independent oversight of the Board, the Board has appointed Lawrence LeGrand as Lead Independent Director of the Board. The Lead Independent Director leads executive sessions of the independent directors after every Board meeting, conducts an annual performance evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer together with the other independent directors, etc.

The Board believes that its current structure provides a balance between strategic development of the Company and independent supervision of management. The Board recognizes, however, that depending on the circumstances, other leadership structures may be appropriate. As such, the Board periodically reviews its leadership structure.

#### Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors and its committees have an active role in overseeing the management of the Company's risks. Management regularly presents to the Board information regarding the risks associated with the Company's operations. In addition, each of the Board committees considers the risks within its areas of responsibility. The Audit Committee, along with members of management, presents to the Board information with respect to the Company's financial risks, including internal controls, liquidity and other financial matters, as well as potential conflicts of interest. The Nominating Committee, together with the full Board, oversees risks relating to corporate governance matters of the Board and the Company as a whole. The Human Resources Committee is responsible for evaluating the risks relating to the Company's executive and Director compensation programs, as well as the Company's overall compensation practices and benefit plans.

#### **Committees**

The Board of Directors has standing Audit, Human Resources and Nominating Committees. The Audit Committee is composed of Messrs. Corcoran, Helmkamp and LeGrand (Chairman). The Human Resources Committee is composed of Messrs. Clark, Corcoran and Thomas (Chairman). The Nominating Committee is composed of Messrs. Clark, Corcoran and Thomas (Chairman).

The Board has determined in its business judgment that each of the following Directors and Director nominees is independent, as such term is defined in the NYSE Amex listing standards as set forth in the NYSE Amex Company Guide: Messrs. Clark, Corcoran, Helmkamp, LeGrand and Thomas. In addition, each of the members of the Audit Committee meets the more stringent independence standards and the financial literacy standards set forth in the rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the NYSE Amex listing standards. The Board has made an affirmative determination as to each independent Director that no relationships exist that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a Director. In making these determinations, the Directors reviewed and discussed information provided by the Directors and the Company with regard to each Director's business and personal activities as they may relate to the Company and management.

#### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, which met four times in fiscal 2010, oversees the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes, audits of the Company's financial statements and internal control matters, and appoints the independent registered public accountants to audit the Company's financial statements. The Committee's report on its activities for fiscal 2010 is on pages 19 and 20. Fees paid to the independent registered public accountants in fiscal 2010 are provided on page 18. The Committee's charter is available on the Company's Web site at <a href="http://www.labarge.com">http://www.labarge.com</a>.

#### Nominating Committee

The Nominating Committee, which met one time in fiscal 2010, is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become members of the Board of Directors, recommending Director nominees for each Annual Meeting and nominees to fill Board vacancies, and to address related matters. The Committee's charter is available on the Company's Web site at <a href="http://www.labarge.com">http://www.labarge.com</a>.

#### Human Resources Committee

The Human Resources Committee, which met three times in fiscal 2010, serves as the Board's compensation committee. The Committee's charter is available on the Company's Web site at http://www.labarge.com.

The Human Resources Committee oversees compensation for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives. In doing so, the Committee is responsible for considering and approving the annual salary and incentive compensation, including performance awards under the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan, and other benefits of executive management.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the 2010 fiscal year, Messrs. Clark, Corcoran and Thomas served as members of the Human Resources Committee. None of the Company's executive officers serves as a director or member of the compensation committee, or other committee serving an equivalent function, for any other entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of the Company's Board of Directors or the Human Resources Committee. None of Messrs. Clark, Corcoran or Thomas has ever been an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

#### Communication with the Board of Directors

Stockholders may communicate with any and all members of the Company's Board of Directors by transmitting correspondence by mail addressed to one or more Directors by name (or to the Chief Executive Officer, for a communication addressed to the entire Board of Directors) at the following address and fax number: Name of the Director(s), c/o Corporate Secretary, LaBarge, Inc., 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124; fax number: 314-812-9438. Communications from the Company's Stockholders to one or more Directors will be monitored by the Company's Corporate Secretary and the Chief Executive Officer, who will bring any significant issues to the attention of the appropriate Board members.

#### **Director Nominations**

Director candidates are nominated by the Board. The Nominating Committee recommends Director nominees to the Board. The Nominating Committee investigates and assesses the background and skills of potential candidates for Directors. The Committee does not have specific minimum qualifications that must be met by a candidate for election to the Board of Directors in order to be considered for nomination by the Committee, nor does the Committee have a formal policy with respect to the diversity of a Board member or candidate. When the Committee reviews a potential new candidate, the Committee looks specifically at the candidate's qualifications in light of the needs of the Board and the Company at that time given the current mix of Director attributes.

The Nominating Committee seeks to create a Board that will bring a broad range of experience, knowledge and judgment to the Company. The Committee considers the entirety of each candidate's credentials, including his or her professional background and experiences, education, skill set and expertise, and the extent to which he or she would fill a current or anticipated need on the Board. With respect to the nomination of continuing directors for re-election, the Committee also considers the individual's past contributions to the Board.

Upon identifying a candidate for serious consideration, one or more members of the Nominating Committee initially interview the candidate. If a candidate merits further consideration, all other Committee members (individually or as a group) interview the candidate, and the candidate meets the Company's executive officers and ultimately meets many of the other Directors. The Nominating Committee elicits feedback from all persons who met the candidate and then determines whether or not to nominate the candidate. The process is the same whether the candidate is recommended by a Stockholder, another Director, management or otherwise. The Company does not pay a fee to any third party for the identification or evaluation of candidates.

Stockholders who wish to recommend Director candidates for consideration by the Nominating Committee for the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders should notify the Nominating Committee no later than March 18, 2011. Submissions are to be addressed to the Nominating Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, LaBarge, Inc., 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124, which submissions will then be forwarded to the Committee. The Nominating Committee would then evaluate the possible nominee using the criteria established by it and would consider such person in comparison to all other candidates. The Nominating Committee is not obligated to nominate any such individual for election. No Stockholder nominations have been received by the Company for this year's Annual Meeting. Accordingly, no rejections or refusals of such candidates have been made by the Company.

#### **Executive Officers**

The following table sets forth certain information, as of September 24, 2010, with respect to the executive officers of the Company.

Name	Age	Position(s) with the Company
Craig E. LaBarge	59	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and
		President
Randy L. Buschling	50	Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	58	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
William D. Bitner	55	Vice President, Operations
Teresa K. Huber	47	Vice President, Operations
John R. Parmley	56	Vice President, Business Development

Mr. LaBarge — For biographical information, see "Proposal 1: Election of Directors" beginning on page 2.

Mr. Buschling joined the Company in 1998. He is currently Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, serving in this capacity since 2002. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Buschling was General Manager of Watlow Electric Manufacturing Company's Systems Division for more than five years.

Mr. Nonnenkamp joined the Company in 1999 and currently serves as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. Previously, he was Vice President and Treasurer for Esco Technologies, Inc. from 1993 to 1999. Prior to joining Esco, Mr. Nonnenkamp served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Clark Oil and Refining Corporation.

Mr. Bitner joined the Company in 2007 serving as Vice President, Operations. Prior to joining LaBarge, Mr. Bitner was Vice President and General Manager of Burger Boat Company, designer and builder of custom motor yachts, from 2005 to 2006. Previously, he was employed by Rolls-Royce Corporation, an aerospace manufacturing company, in various management positions from 2000 to 2005.

Ms. Huber joined the Company in 2004 through the acquisition of Pinnacle Electronics LLC, a contract manufacturing company, in 2004 and became Vice President, Operations in 2005. Prior to joining LaBarge, Ms. Huber was Chief Operating Officer of Pinnacle Electronics from 2002 to 2004. Previously, Ms. Huber was employed by Sony Electronics, Inc. in various management positions from 1992 to 2002.

Mr. Parmley joined the Company in 1997 and became Vice President, Business Development in September 2010. Prior to that he has served as Vice President, Sales and Marketing since 1999. He was Account Manager, Aerospace and Defense Business Unit from 1997 to 1999. Previously, Mr. Parmley was employed by Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc., where he served in various sales and management positions from 1991 to 1997.

#### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

#### Executive Compensation Objectives and Philosophy

The fundamental objectives of our executive compensation program are to attract and retain talented executives; align executive compensation with the interests of our stockholders; foster and promote the short-term and long-term financial success of the Company; materially increase stockholder value by motivating performance through incentive compensation; and encourage executive ownership in the Company. These objectives are furthered by a compensation philosophy that is based on the following:

Competition with peer companies: Compensation packages should be competitive and consistent with the general market. As such, the Human Resources Committee works with its compensation and benefits consultant to design compensation packages that fall within certain ranges, compared with competitive companies, with sufficient flexibility to address individual responsibilities and performance.

Accountability and recognition for individual and Company performance: Compensation should depend, in part, on each executive officer's performance in order to motivate and reward success. The Committee has provided for a sizeable portion of the overall compensation packages to be tied to performance through the payment of short-term incentive awards in the form of cash bonuses and the grant of long-term incentive awards in the form of performance units that are settled in restricted Common Stock.

Alignment of Stockholder interests: Certain elements of the compensation packages should be tied to stock performance to align our executive officers' interests with those of our Stockholders. In order to create this tie, a portion of the compensation packages include stock-based awards in the form of performance units that are settled in restricted Common Stock.

#### **Compensation Determination Process**

Our Human Resources Committee serves as our compensation committee and is responsible for considering and approving the annual salary, incentive compensation and other benefits of our Chief Executive Officer and other members of executive management. The Committee is made up of independent Directors and typically meets following each fiscal year-end to: (i) consider and approve salary changes and annual incentive bonuses, if any; (ii) determine and approve long-term incentive awards, if any; and (iii) establish goals for both the annual and long-term incentive programs.

To assist the Committee in evaluating and determining executive compensation, the Company, at the direction of the Committee, utilizes the services of Hay Group, a highly regarded international independent compensation and benefits consulting firm. Hay Group periodically evaluates the executive officer positions, measuring each position on the practical and technical skills required; problem-solving environment and challenges faced; and accountability and decision-making impact. The evaluation of all of these factors results in a point total for each executive officer position ("Hay Points"), which allows the Committee and Hay Group to rank the positions and measure the distance between jobs within the Company.

Hay Group uses the Hay Points to compare the compensation of the Company's executive officers with the compensation of officers who hold positions with similar Hay Points in companies comprising the Hay General Industry Market, a group of approximately 800 industrial companies throughout the United States, 40% of which are manufacturing companies. The names of the companies comprising the Hay General Industry Market are included as Appendix A to this proxy statement. Using this comparison, Hay Group constructs ranges of base salaries, base salaries plus annual incentive bonus opportunities ("Total Cash Compensation") and total compensation, which includes Total Cash Compensation and long-term incentives, for each of the Company's executive officer positions. The actual compensation of the Company's executive officers, and the relative position within the ranges, is dependent on individual performance, Company performance versus established goals and other factors, as described herein.

The Committee believes that base salaries, Total Cash Compensation and total compensation should approximate the median of the Hay General Industry Market for performance at target levels, in furtherance of our compensation principles noted above. Other than the specific Company

performance criteria considered in determining the annual and long-term incentive payments, our compensation programs are flexible, although we do rely on the Hay Group market analyses to ensure that our compensation practices remain equitable and competitive. For example, individual performance, expertise and experience are all considered when determining each element of total compensation.

Annual and long-term incentive compensation is awarded primarily based on the Company's achievement of certain performance objectives, as later discussed. Goals relating to the Company's annual and long-term incentives are set at levels the Committee believes are appropriately aggressive and balanced between short-term and long-term while not encouraging the named executive officers to take undue risk in their responsibilities and decision-making with respect to the Company and its operations. These goals, individually or together, are designed to be challenging and, as such, the Committee believes that the Company's goals would not be achieved all of the time. Historically, this has been true. Furthermore, the Committee believes that reaching maximum levels, and therefore the maximum payout, would be achieved less often than reaching the target performance levels, but recognizes that the payout should be appropriate for the performance, regardless of how often it may happen.

The mix of our cash and non-cash compensation, and short- and long-term compensation, is not subject to a specific policy. Instead, the Committee considers the current trends in the market based on the Hay Group data in light of our compensation philosophies and objectives outlined above, and makes gradual changes over time as necessary to further these compensation goals.

Each year, taking into account the evaluations of Hay Group, current performance and the Company's compensation objectives, the Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Committee regarding proposed salary changes, annual incentive bonuses and long-term incentive awards, if any, for each executive officer. The Chief Executive Officer also assists the Committee in setting Company performance goals on which part of each officer's total compensation is based. The Committee considers this input from the Chief Executive Officer and the recommendations of the Hay Group, as well as other factors it believes are relevant, and determines the compensation packages of the executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer.

#### Elements of Compensation

#### Base Salaries:

In line with the Company's philosophy that compensation should be competitive, the Committee aims for base salaries to approximate the median of the Hay General Industry Market. As such, changes in executive officer salaries are, in part, market-driven. However, salaries are also dependent on individual evaluations conducted each year by the Committee. During this process, the Chief Executive Officer provides input to the Committee. As relating to base salaries, no specific individual performance criteria are or have been established by the Committee. Rather, each executive officer, including the Chief Executive Officer, is evaluated based on (i) general individual performance over the past year; (ii) the scope of each officer's duties and responsibilities; and (iii) experience and expertise.

Fiscal 2010 salaries for the named executive officers are disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table later in this proxy statement. The salaries of the executive officers approximated the median of the Hay General Industry Market. In response to the economic downturn, effective May 4, 2009, the Company reduced the salaries of all executive officers by 5% together with the implementation of other Company cost savings initiatives. These reduced salaries remained in effect throughout fiscal 2010.

At the end of fiscal 2010, the Committee established the fiscal 2011 salaries for the named executive officers. The fiscal 2011 salaries are as follows:

Table 1 2011 Salaries:

Name	Fiscal 2011 Salaries
Craig E. LaBarge	\$571,500
Randy L. Buschling	\$390,000
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	\$327,500
John R. Parmley	\$268,5.00
Teresa K. Huber	\$260,000

#### Annual Incentives:

Executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, have the opportunity to earn annual incentive bonuses in the form of cash payments following the end of each fiscal year. Annual incentive bonuses depend, in part, on each executive officer's individual performance during the fiscal year and other circumstances considered during the annual evaluation, as discussed above.

The main consideration of the Committee in awarding annual incentive bonuses is the achievement of certain Company performance goals established at the beginning of each fiscal year. The measurements used may vary among the executive officers and relate to each officer's specific job responsibilities, changing each year as the Committee, with the input of the Chief Executive Officer, sees fit. Annually, goals and correlating annual incentive bonus opportunities are established at the threshold, target and maximum levels. The Committee's goal is that, for performance at the target level, Total Cash Compensation will approximate the median of the Hay General Industry Market. However, such levels serve as a guide rather than strict payout formulas, as payouts vary depending on individual circumstances. In any case, payout will be zero if threshold performance levels are not met and will not exceed the maximum payout, or 150% of the payout for target performance.

The Company's annual incentive bonus arrangements provide that all bonus payouts are conditioned upon the Company's achievement of a base net income level. While the bonuses for the named executive officers are tied to the achievement of other goals, as later discussed, no bonuses are paid unless the Company meets a net income of at least the base level. For fiscal 2010, the base net income level was \$12.75 million.

Once the Company reaches its base net income level, the Committee looks to the specific goals for each named executive officer in order to determine annual bonus payouts. Each specific performance goal is weighted differently and correlates independently to a threshold, target and maximum cash bonus payout. For example, using Mr. LaBarge's goals below in Table 2, he would be eligible to receive the corresponding threshold, target or maximum bonus tied to bookings as long as he meets the required bookings threshold, target or maximum goal, even if no other performance goal is met, as long as the Company has reached its base net income level. The Committee, in its discretion, evaluates those goals that are not quantitatively measured. Also, adjustments to the bonus payouts are made by the Committee from time to time.

Table 2 Criteria used for bonus payouts for fiscal 2010:

Name	Performance Measure	Threshold Goal/Corresponding Payout	Target Goal/Corresponding Payout	Maximum Goal/Corresponding Payout
Craig E. LaBarge	_Net Income	\$13.5 million / \$55,000	\$14.5 million / \$110,000	\$15.5 million / \$165,000
	Bookings	\$300.0 million/ \$27,500	\$310.0 million / \$55,000	\$320.0 million / \$82,500
	Total Adjusted Net _Debt	\$34.0 million / \$27,500	\$31.0 million / \$55,000	\$28.0 million / \$82,500
	Investor Relations _Strategy1	** / \$27,500	** / \$55,000	** / \$82,500
Randy L. Buschling	_Net Income	\$13.5 million / \$33,000	\$14.5 million / \$66,000	\$15.5 million / \$99,000
	Bookings	\$300.0 million / \$33,000	\$310.0 million / \$66,000	\$320.0 million / \$99,000
	Total Adjusted Net _Debt	\$34.0 million / \$16,500	31.0 million / \$33,000	\$28.0 million / \$49,500
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	_Net Income	\$13.5 million / \$26,000	\$14.5 million / \$52,000	\$15.5 million / \$78,000
	Total Adjusted Net _Debt	\$34.0 million / \$22,750	\$31.0 million / \$45,500	\$28.0 million / \$68,250
	Investor Relations Strategy <sup>1</sup>	** / \$16,250	** / \$32,500	** / \$48,750

Table 2 continued on page 10.

	Threshold	Target Goal/Corresponding	Maximum	
Performance	Goal/Corresponding Payout	Payout	<b>Goal/Corresponding Payout</b>	

Name	Measure			
John R. Parmley	Net Income	\$13.5 million / \$14,250	\$14.5 million / \$28,500	\$15.5 million / \$42,750
,	Bookings	\$300.0 million / \$23,750	\$310.0 million / \$47,500	\$320.0 million / \$71,250
	Total Adjusted Net Debt	\$34.0 million / \$9,500	\$31.0 million / \$19,000	\$28.0 million / \$28,500
Teresa K. Huber	_Net Income	\$13.5 million / \$9,500	\$14.5 million / \$19,000	\$15.5 million / \$28,500
	Bookings <sup>3</sup>	\$150.0 million / \$14,250	\$165.0 million / \$28,500	\$180.0 million / \$42,750
	Operating Income <sup>3</sup>	\$11.4 million / \$14,250	\$12.5 million / \$28,500	\$13.6 million / \$42,750
	Pretax Cash Flow <sup>3</sup>	\$3.5 million / \$9,500	\$5.2 million / \$19,000	\$6.9 million / \$28,500

<sup>\*\*</sup>No quantitative measurement.

- 1) Includes evaluating and updating, where appropriate, the Company's investor relations strategy.
- 2) Includes the definition, development and implementation of a marketing and promotion strategy.
- 3) Goal is tied to the performance of those Company plants over which Ms. Huber has supervisory responsibility only. The Committee believes these performance targets are appropriate to motivate the named executive officers but does not believe they encourage such officers to take undue risk with respect to their responsibilities and decision-making relating to the Company and its operations.

#### **Long-Term Incentives:**

Executive officers are eligible to earn long-term incentive awards pursuant to the Company's 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), the terms of which are more fully described later in this proxy statement. Under the terms of the Plan, the Company has the flexibility to utilize a variety of vehicles in designing appropriate long-term incentives. Since the Plan was approved in 2004, the Committee has elected to utilize performance units, which pay out in shares of restricted Common Stock. The number of shares of restricted Common Stock, if any, that each executive officer will receive is tied to the Company's fiscal year net income performance and the closing sales price of the Common Stock on the last trading day of the fiscal year.

The Committee meets following each fiscal year-end to approve net income goals at threshold, target and maximum levels for three years hence (the "Performance Period"). At this meeting, the Committee also enters into performance unit award agreements with each executive officer, pursuant to which each executive officer is granted a specified number of performance units, the value of which will be determined based on the Company's actual net income performance for the Performance Period. The number of performance units granted to each named executive officer is based on comparisons of the long-term incentive awards granted to named executive officers of companies within the Hay General Industry Market. The Committee aims for the number of performance units to approximate the median of long-term incentive awards of the Hay General Industry Market.

As noted above, the value of the performance units is dependent on the Company's actual net income results for the Performance Period. If actual net income results are less than the threshold goal for the Performance Period, the value of each performance unit will be zero. If actual net income results are at threshold, the value of each performance unit will be \$0.50. If actual net income results are at target, the value of each performance unit will be \$1.00. If actual net income results are at or above maximum, the value of each performance unit will be \$1.50. If actual results are greater than threshold but less than target, or greater than target but less than maximum, the value of each performance unit will be adjusted proportionately. Target long-term incentive opportunities are designed to approximate the median of the Hay General Industry Market for target net income performance and also take into account the individual circumstances considered in the annual evaluations.

Following the end of the Performance Period, the Committee meets to determine the value of each performance unit (the "Unit Value") based upon the net income results for the Performance Period. Each executive officer is issued a number of shares of restricted Common Stock equal to the Unit Value times the number of performance units granted to him or her for the Performance Period, divided by the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as of the last trading day of the Performance Period. The restricted Common Stock then vests upon the first to occur

of: (i) two additional years of employment; (ii) death or Disability; or (iii) a Change in Control (all as defined in the Plan).

The design of the Company's long-term incentive program provides that each executive officer will have three outstanding grants of performance units at all times. For example, the Committee met following the end of fiscal 2010 to establish threshold, target and maximum net income goals for fiscal 2012 and grant each executive officer performance units, the value of which will be determined based on the Company's net income results for fiscal 2012. Therefore, in the current fiscal year (fiscal 2011), each executive officer has outstanding performance units for fiscal years 2011, 2012 and 2013. These performance units will be valued, and restricted stock will be issued, once the net income results for these fiscal years are calculated. The Committee believes that the practice of setting three-year rolling grants is appropriate for the business, as it provides incentive for the executive officers to focus on the long-term health of the Company and serves as a retention tool. The Committee further believes that converting performance units to restricted Common Stock has certain advantages, including: (i) encouraging ownership of the Company's Common Stock by executive officers; (ii) providing a retention incentive through the two-year vesting period; and (iii) allowing for lower dilution, as compared to the granting of stock options.

The number of performance units to be awarded to each named executive officer for fiscal year 2010 were granted in fiscal 2007 and were valued based on fiscal year 2010 net income performance, pursuant to the process described above. The Company's net income performance goals for fiscal 2010 were as follows:

	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Adjusted Net Income	\$13,000,000	\$15,000,000	\$17,000,000

As is customary, the Committee met following the end of fiscal year 2010 to determine the value of performance units based on fiscal 2010 actual results. The Company exceeded its target net income goal for fiscal 2010 long-term incentive awards. As such, the value of each performance unit was prorated between \$1.00 and \$1.50. The following table discloses the number of shares of restricted Common Stock issued to each named executive officer based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the last trading day of fiscal 2010, which was \$12.30.

	Number of
	Shares of
Name	Restricted
	Common
	Stock Based
	on Fiscal
	2010 Net
	Income
	Performance
Craig E. LaBarge	43,906
Randy L.	28,1.00
Buschling	
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	18,880
John R. Parmley	9,484
Teresa K. Huber	9,484

#### Perquisites and Other Benefits

Our executive officers receive the following benefits in addition to the compensation discussed above: (i) eligibility to participate in the Company's 401(k) plan; (ii) Company-paid interest on deferred compensation; (iii) life insurance policies; (iv) use of Company-leased vehicles, or a vehicle allowance; (v) club dues; and (vi) limited financial planning services. The Committee believes these perquisites are reasonable and competitive and considers the value to be modest. As such, the Committee has not given significant weight to the value of perquisites when designing executive compensation packages.

Executive officers are eligible to receive the same health, dental, disability and group life insurance benefits, and participate in the 401(k) on the same terms, as are available to all other full-time employees of the Company.

As part of the Company's cost savings initiatives, the Company temporarily suspended its 401(k) matching contributions in April 2009. This suspension applies to employees company wide, including the named executive officers. This suspension was reversed effective with the beginning of fiscal year 2011.

#### Retirement and Other Post-Termination Benefits

We maintain Executive Severance Agreements with each of our named executive officers. Each Executive Severance Agreement and the Plan contain Change in Control provisions, pursuant to which executive officers receive the benefits more fully described below.

Both the Executive Severance Agreements and the Plan define "Change in Control" as the first to occur of any of the following events: (i) any merger, consolidation, share exchange, or other combination or reorganization involving the Company, irrespective of which party is the surviving entity, excluding any merger, consolidation, share exchange, or other combination involving the Company solely in connection with the acquisition by the Company of any other entity; (ii) any sale, lease, exchange, transfer, or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company; (iii) any acquisition (other than pursuant to will, the laws of descent and distribution, gift to a parent, child, spouse or descendant, or pursuant to an employee benefit plan) or agreement to acquire by any person or entity, directly or indirectly, beneficial ownership of 25% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the Company; (iv) during any period of two consecutive years during the term hereof, individuals who at the date of the Agreement, in the case of Executive Severance Agreements, or the Plan, in the case of the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan, constitute the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Incumbent Directors") cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority thereof, unless the election of each director at the beginning of such director's term has been approved by at least two-thirds of the Incumbent Directors then in office; any such director so approved shall thereafter be an Incumbent Director; (v) a majority of the Board or a majority of the stockholders of the Company approve, adopt, agree to recommend, or accept any agreement, contract, offer, or other arrangement providing for any of the transactions described above; (vi) any series of transactions resulting in any of the transactions described above; or (vii) any other set of circumstances which the Board of Directors deems to constitute a Change in Control.

#### **Executive Severance Agreements**

Each Executive Severance Agreement provides that, following a Change in Control, the Company will continue to employ the executive officer for a period not less than one year at his or her place of employment immediately prior to the Change in Control or within 50 miles thereof.

During the Change in Control payment period, the executive officer would be entitled to a base salary in the amount not less than the annualized base salary paid or payable to him or her during the month immediately preceding the month in which the Change in Control occurs. He or she would also be entitled to an annual bonus equal to the same percentage of his or her base salary as the average bonuses paid to the executive officer in each of the five fiscal years most recently ended were to his or her base salary in those years, after disregarding the highest and lowest of such percentages. The executive officer would also be entitled, during such one-year period, to all pension, welfare and other employee benefits, fringe benefits and perquisites in amounts and on terms no less favorable than those to which he or she was entitled on the date of the Change in Control. The Agreements also provide that, in the event of termination of the executive officer's employment during such one-year period for reasons other than death, Disability, or Cause (as defined by the Agreements) or voluntarily by the executive officer without Good Reason (as defined by the Agreements), the executive officer would be entitled to a lump sum payment from the Company equal to the sum of: (i) his or her salary and other compensation not yet paid by the Company through the date of termination; (ii) a bonus prorated for the portion of the year through the date of termination; (iii) the product of three times the sum of (x) the executive officer's salary plus (y) the bonus to which he or she would have been entitled for the full fiscal year; plus (iv) accrued vacation pay. The Company would also be required to provide to the executive officer for three years after termination all medical, hospitalization, disability and certain other benefits in amounts and on terms not less favorable than those to which he or she was entitled at the time of termination. If the foregoing amounts were not paid when due, they would bear interest at the rate of 15% per annum. The Agreements provide for appropriate adjustments of such payments if they would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

#### 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan

As noted above, executive officers receive performance units to be settled in restricted Common Stock, pursuant to the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan, as part of the Company's long-term incentive portion of compensation packages. Once issued, the restricted Common Stock vests upon the first to occur of: (i) two additional years of employment; (ii) death or Disability; or (iii) a Change in Control, as defined above. If a Change in Control occurs at any time prior to the end of the second fiscal year following the Performance Period (as defined above), the restricted Common Stock shall become fully vested and immediately transferable. If a Change in Control occurs at any time before the end of the Performance Period, the net income goals with respect to the Performance Period shall be deemed to have been achieved at the maximum level as of the date of the Change in Control, whether or not the Performance Period is complete, and the performance units shall be distributable at the end of the twelve-month period immediately following the Change in Control, subject to forfeiture upon termination of employment other than Retirement, death, Disability or for Good Reason, as defined in the Plan.

#### **Deferred Compensation Plan**

Executive officers are also eligible to participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation plan that allows them to defer payments of portions of their salaries and annual bonuses until post-retirement. If an executive officer retires from the Company and has participated in the deferred compensation plan for at least five years, is at least 50 years old and has a deferred compensation balance of at least \$50,000, he or she will receive monthly payments from the Company over a three- or fifteen-year period, at the individual's option, the amount of which depends on his or her balance. If all of the above criteria are not met, the executive officer will receive his or her plan balance in one lump sum upon retirement.

#### Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatments of Executive Compensation

#### **Accounting Treatment:**

The Committee has taken into account certain accounting consequences and rules when determining the types of awards that executive officers should receive as part of their long-term incentive components of compensation packages. As such, the Committee makes awards of performance units under the Plan that are settled in shares of restricted Common Stock that the executive officers receive upon vesting.

#### Tax Treatment:

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, generally imposes a \$1.0 million limit on the amount that public companies may deduct from compensation paid to the named executive officers. In fiscal 2010, no executive officer received compensation that triggered the applicability of Section 162(m).

#### Report of the Human Resources Committee

The Company's Human Resources Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K. Based upon such review and discussions, the Human Resources Committee has recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Committee Members: Jack E. Thomas, Jr., Chairman

Robert G. Clark Thomas A. Corcoran

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of the named executive officers for the fiscal years ended June 27, 2010, June 28, 2009, and June 29, 2008.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus _ (\$) (a)	Stock Awards (\$) (b)	Change in Pension Value Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (c)	All Other Compensation (\$) (d)	Total (\$)
Craig E. LaBarge	2010	527,306	305,000			30,960	863,266
Chairman of the Board,	2009	550,780		675,000		35,185	1,260,965
Chief Executive Officer and President	2008	534,506	405,000	528,991		34,112	1,502,609
Randy L. Buschling	2010	356,278	247,500			29,441	633,219
Vice President and	2009	372,140		450,000		28,698	850,838
Chief Operating Officer	2008	352,011	232,500_	352,661_	6,325	28,902	972,399
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	2010	299,286	155,000			26,167	480,453
Vice President, Chief	2009	312,596		300,000		31,639	644,235
Financial Officer and Secretary	2008	300,011	180,000	235,107	3,160	33,237	751,515
John R. Parmley	2010	247,000	142,500			27,057	416,557
Vice President, Business	2009	258,000	·	150,000		29,959	437,959
Development	2008	246,505	150,000_	117,554_	6,006	29,363	549,428
Teresa K. Huber	2010	218,530	118,500			12,405	349,435
Vice President,	2009	228,254		135,000		14,545	377,799
_ Operations	2008	214,005	140,000_	94,043_		12,323	460,371_

- (a) Bonus amounts are earned in the fiscal year shown and paid in the subsequent fiscal year.
- (b) Amounts shown equal the grant-date fair values of awards granted under the Company's 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan calculated in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 ("FASB ASC Topic 718"). See Note 17 to the audited financial statements included as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010, for a discussion of the achievement and recognition of these amounts under FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (c) Represents the above-market or preferential earnings on compensation that is deferred on a basis that is not tax-qualified, including such earnings on non-qualified defined contribution plans. Amounts shown represent interest earned at a rate that exceeded 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate. Mr. LaBarge and Ms. Huber do not defer any portion of their compensation.
- (d) Amounts shown include 401(k) employer match, use of auto, club dues, financial planning and life insurance premiums.

#### 2010 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth additional information about plan-based awards granted in the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(#) (a)			
		Target			
Craig E. LaBarge	8/26/2009	500,000			
Randy L. Buschling	8/26/2009	320,000			
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	8/26/2009	215,000			
John R. Parmley	8/26/2009	108,000			
Teresa K. Huber	8/26/2009	108,000			

(a) Represents awards under the Company's 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan granted in fiscal year 2010 and are applicable for performance during fiscal year 2012. Awards are made in the form of performance units, the value of which will be determined based on the Company's net income performance in fiscal 2012, as more fully described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section. The performance units will be paid out in restricted Common Stock based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on June 29, 2012. If the threshold net income performance goal for fiscal 2012 is not achieved, no shares of restricted Common Stock will be issued. If the threshold net income performance goal is achieved each unit will be valued at between \$0.50 and \$1.50.

As discussed above and in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, performance units granted under the Company's 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan are paid out in restricted Common Stock depending on the achievement of net income levels and the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the last day of the fiscal year during which net income performance is measured. Any restricted Common Stock that is issued is subject to a two-year vesting schedule as discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. During the restriction period, executive officers may exercise full voting rights and are entitled to dividends and other distributions paid with respect to the shares on the same terms as those of the Company's Common Stockholders.

#### Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information on outstanding options and stock awards held by the named executive officers as of June 27, 2010, including the number of shares underlying both exercisable and unexercisable portions of each stock option, as well as the exercise price and the expiration date of each outstanding option, and the market value of stock awards:

Option Awards	_	Stock Awards

<u>Name</u>	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Performance Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (a)		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Performance Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (b)
Craig E. LaBarge	92,900	2.85	8/22/2011	500,000	(c)	250,000
	65,000	3.56	8/11/2013	500,000	(d)	250,000
	62,552	8.54	8/24/2014			
Randy L. Buschling	20,000	2.85	8/22/2011	320,000	(c)	160,000
				320,000	(d)	160,000
Donald H. Nonnenkamp	32,000	3.56	8/11/2013	215,000	(c)	107,500
	36,600	8.54	8/24/2014	215,000	(d)	107,500
John R. Parmley	24,500	8.54	8/24/2014	108,000	(c)	54,000
				108,000	(d)	54,000
Teresa K. Huber	15,000	8.54	8/24/2014	108,000	(c)	54,000
				108,000	(d)	54,000

- (a) Performance units awarded under the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan for fiscal years 2011 and 2012.
- (b) Unearned and unvested performance units are valued assuming the Company achieves in fiscal years 2011 and 2012 the threshold net income targets.
- (c) Represents performance units awarded under the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan. The restricted stock may be earned based on fiscal year 2011 performance, as discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section. The restricted stock will then be subject to a two-year vesting schedule.
- (d) Represents performance units awarded under the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan. The restricted stock may be earned based on fiscal year 2012 performance, as discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section. The restricted stock will then be subject to a two-year vesting schedule.

#### 2010 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth the exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards during fiscal 2010 for the named executive officers.