

FOSTER L B CO
Form 10-K
March 16, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

Or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-10436

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania
(State of Incorporation)

25-1324733
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

415 Holiday Drive, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

15220
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (412) 928-3417

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 | NASDAQ Global Select Market |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing Yes No

requirements for the past 90 days.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). * Yes No

* The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$256,401,729.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding at February 21, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 | 10,281,138 shares |

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Portions of the Proxy Statement prepared for the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference in Items 10, 11, 12 and 14 of Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>14</u> |
| | <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | <u>16</u> |

PART II

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>89</u> |

PART III

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>90</u> |

PART IV

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>91</u> |
| | <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>91</u> |
| | <u>Financial Statement Schedule</u> | <u>91</u> |
| | <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>93</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>97</u> |
| | <u>Certifications</u> | |

EX 10.33.2

EX 10.34

EX 10.34.1

EX 10.45

EX 10.45.1

EX 10.45.2

EX 10.46

EX 23

EX 31.1

EX 31.2

3

Table of Contents

Forward Looking Statements

Disclosures in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Statements that do not relate strictly to historical or current facts are forward-looking and usually identified by the use of words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "could," "would," "will," "may," "forecasts," "approximate," "expect," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe" and other words of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial matters. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, forward-looking statements contained in this report include the matters discussed in the sections captioned "Outlook" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and the expectations of plans, strategies, objectives and growth and anticipated financial and operational performance of the Company and its subsidiaries, including statements related to the Company's acquisition of Portec Rail Products, Inc. These statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projected results. Accordingly, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. The L.B. Foster Company (the "Company" or "L.B. Foster") has based these forward-looking statements on current expectations and assumptions about future events. While the Company considers these expectations and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, regulatory and other risks and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the Company's control. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance and results of the Company's business and forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 1A, "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

The forward looking statements in this report are made as of the date of this report and we assume no obligation to update or revise any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Acquisition of Portec Rail Products, Inc.

On December 15, 2010, the Company acquired Portec Rail Products, Inc. (Portec). The Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Portec common stock for \$11.80 per share, or approximately \$113.3 million, in cash. Portec has served the railroad industry since 1906 by manufacturing, supplying and distributing a broad range of rail products, including rail anchors, rail spikes, friction management products, wayside data collection and data management systems and freight car securement systems. Portec's major product lines and services are further described below:

Friction Management. Friction Management represents a significant portion of Portec's business. Portec provides products and services that control the friction at the rail/wheel interface, helping to lower fuel usage and improve train-operating efficiency, extend the life of operating assets such as rail and wheels, reduce track stresses and lower related maintenance and operating costs for customers. Friction management products include mobile and wayside application systems that distribute lubricants and friction modifiers, lubricants, solid and liquid friction modifiers, along with a variety of field service and support capabilities including remote performance monitoring (RPM™) for wayside and mobile units. Portec's friction management products are designed, engineered, manufactured and assembled in the United States and by wholly-owned subsidiaries Portec Rail Products Ltd located in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, Kelsan Technologies Corp., located in North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada and Portec Rail Products (UK) Ltd. located in Sheffield, United Kingdom.

Wayside Data Collection and Data Management Systems. Portec, through a wholly-owned subsidiary Salient Systems, Inc., designs, manufactures and provides wayside measurement and detection products, services and support for the global railway transportation industry. Salient Systems' products are engineered to enhance its rail customers' equipment utilization by improving reliability and reducing maintenance expense.

Table of Contents

Securement Systems. Portec, through its Shipping Systems Division (SSD), engineers and sells load securement systems to the railroad industry. These systems secure a wide variety of products and lading onto freight cars. SSD's customers include railroads, railcar builders, railcar repair shops and railcar lessors. Most of the assembly work for SSD is performed at a facility located in Kenova, WV, although some manufacturing is subcontracted to independent third parties.

Track Components. Portec produces rail anchors and track spikes at its manufacturing plant in St. Jean, Quebec, primarily for the Canadian railroads, with some products exported to the United States and other international customers. Rail anchors and track spikes are devices used to secure rails to wooden ties to restrain the movement of the rail. Portec, through a United Kingdom subsidiary, Coronet Rail Ltd. (Coronet) designs and manufactures a complete line of rail joints including the epoxy (glue) insulated rail joints, nylon-encapsulated insulated joints, and also distributes a complete line of track fasteners to the United Kingdom railways and to international customers.

Summary Description of Businesses

L. B. Foster Company is a leading manufacturer, fabricator and distributor of products and services for the rail, construction, energy and utility markets. As used herein, "Foster" or the "Company" means L. B. Foster Company and its divisions and subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

For rail markets, Foster provides a full line of new and used rail, trackwork, and accessories to railroads, mines and industry. The Company also designs and produces concrete railroad ties, insulated rail joints, power rail, track fasteners, coverboards and special accessories for mass transit and other rail systems worldwide. The Company also engineers, manufactures and assembles friction management products, railway wayside data collection and management systems and engineers and sells securement systems and related products.

For the construction industry, the Company sells steel sheet piling, H-bearing piling, pipe piling and provides rental sheet piling for foundation requirements. In addition, Foster supplies precast concrete buildings, fabricated structural steel, bridge decking, bridge railing, expansion joints and other products for highway construction and repair.

For tubular markets, the Company supplies pipe coatings for natural gas pipelines and utilities. The Company also produces threaded pipe products for industrial water well and irrigation markets.

The Company has a joint venture, L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC, with L B Industries, Inc. The Company and L B Industries each have a 45% ownership interest. This venture manufactures, markets and sells various products for the energy, utility and construction markets.

The Company classifies its activities into three business segments: Rail products, Construction products, and Tubular products. Financial information concerning the segments is set forth in Item 8, Note 2. The following table shows, for the last three fiscal years, the net sales generated by each of the current business segments as a percentage of total net sales.

| | Percentage of Net Sales | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Rail Products | 45% | 46 % | 45 % |
| Construction Products | 49 | 49 | 48 |
| Tubular Products | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |

RAIL PRODUCTS

L. B. Foster Company's rail products include heavy and light rail, relay rail, concrete ties, insulated rail joints, rail spikes, rail anchors, rail accessories, transit products and friction management products. The Company is a major rail products supplier to industrial plants, contractors, railroads, mines and mass transit systems.

Table of Contents

The Company sells heavy rail mainly to transit authorities, industrial companies, and rail contractors for railroad sidings, plant trackage, and other carrier and material handling applications. Additionally, the Company sells some heavy rail to railroad companies and to foreign buyers. The Company sells light rail for mining and material handling applications.

Rail accessories include trackwork, ties, track spikes, bolts, angle bars and other products required to install or maintain rail lines. These products are sold to railroads, rail contractors, industrial customers, and transit agencies and are manufactured within the Company or purchased from other manufacturers.

The Company's Allegheny Rail Products (ARP) division engineers and markets insulated rail joints and related accessories for the railroad and mass transit industries. Insulated joints are manufactured at the Company's facilities in Pueblo, CO and Niles, OH.

The Company's Transit Products division supplies power rail, direct fixation fasteners, coverboards and special accessories primarily for mass transit systems. Most of these products are manufactured by subcontractors and are usually sold by sealed bid to transit authorities or to rail contractors, worldwide.

The Company's Trackwork division sells new and relay trackwork for industrial and export markets.

The Company's CXT subsidiary manufactures engineered concrete railroad ties for the railroad and transit industries at its facilities in Spokane, WA and Tucson, AZ.

The Company's Portec subsidiary engineers, manufactures and assembles friction management products, railway wayside data collection and management systems and engineers and sells securement systems and related products. It also engineers and manufactures stick friction modifiers and related application systems.

CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS

L. B. Foster Company's construction products consist of sheet, pipe and bearing piling, fabricated highway products, and precast concrete buildings.

Sheet piling products are interlocking structural steel sections that are generally used to provide lateral support at construction sites. Bearing piling products are steel H-beam sections which, in their principal use, are driven into the ground for support of structures such as bridge piers and high-rise buildings. Piling products are sourced from various manufacturers and either sold or rented to project owners and contractors.

Other construction products consist of precast concrete buildings, sold principally to national and state parks, and fabricated highway products. Fabricated highway products consist principally of fabricated structural steel, bridge decking, aluminum and steel bridge rail and other bridge products, which are fabricated by the Company. The major purchasers of these products are contractors for state, municipal and other governmental projects.

Sales of the Company's construction products are partly dependent upon the level of activity in the construction industry. Accordingly, sales of these products have traditionally been somewhat higher during the second and third quarters than during the first and fourth quarters of each year.

TUBULAR PRODUCTS

The Company provides fusion bond epoxy and other coatings for corrosion protection on oil, gas and other pipelines. The Company also supplies special pipe products such as water well casing, column pipe, couplings, and related products for agricultural, municipal and industrial water wells.

Table of Contents

JOINT VENTURE

In May 2009, the Company completed the formation of a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. for a period of 9.5 years. The Company and L B Industries each have a 45% ownership interest in the joint venture, L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC. The Company has made all of its mandatory capital contributions under the joint venture agreement totaling \$2.2 million.

This venture commenced operations in 2010 and manufactures, markets and sells various products for the energy, utility and construction markets.

MARKETING AND COMPETITION

L. B. Foster Company generally markets its rail, construction and tubular products directly in all major industrial areas of the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom through a sales force of 77 people. The Company's new wholly-owned Portec subsidiary uses a combination of employee sales personnel, third party sales representatives and distributors to call on customer purchasing managers, engineers and operations managers. Internationally, Portec utilizes a network of agents across Europe, South America and Asia to supplement its internal sales force to reach current customers and cultivate potential customers in these areas. The Company maintains 15 sales offices and 22 warehouses, plant and yard facilities located throughout United States, Canada and United Kingdom. During 2010, approximately 5% of the Company's total sales were for export.

The major markets for the Company's products are highly competitive. Product availability, quality, service and price are principal factors of competition within each of these markets. No other company provides the same product mix to the various markets the Company serves. There are one or more companies that compete with the Company in each product line. Therefore, the Company faces significant competition from different groups of companies.

RAW MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

Most of the Company's inventory is purchased in the form of finished or semi-finished product. With the exception of relay rail which is purchased from railroads or rail take-up contractors, the Company purchases most of its inventory from domestic and foreign steel producers. There are few domestic suppliers of new rail products and the Company could be adversely affected if a domestic supplier ceased making such material available to the Company. Additionally, the Company has an agreement with a steel mill to distribute steel sheet piling and bearing pile in North America. The Company also purchases cement and aggregate used in its concrete railroad tie and precast concrete building businesses from a variety of suppliers.

The Company's purchases from foreign suppliers are subject to the usual risks associated with changes in international conditions and to United States laws which could impose import restrictions on selected classes of products and anti-dumping duties if products are sold in the United States below certain prices.

BACKLOG

The dollar amount of firm, unfilled customer orders at December 31, 2010 and 2009 by business segment follows:

| | December 31, | |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | In thousands | |
| Rail Products | \$86,404 | \$54,505 |
| Construction Products | 102,173 | 120,845 |

| | | |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Tubular Products | 720 | 3,221 |
| Total | \$189,297 | \$178,571 |

Included within Rail Products in the above table is approximately \$16.0 million in backlog acquired from the acquisition of Portec. Approximately 4% of the December 31, 2010 backlog is related to projects that will extend beyond 2011.

7

Table of Contents

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Expenditures for research and development totaled \$0.3 million in 2010 and \$0.2 million in 2009.

PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Through our wholly-owned Portec subsidiary, the Company owns a number of United States, Canadian and international patents and trademarks. Portec has several patents on its friction management products, such as the Protector® IV application system, along with a significant number of patents related to its friction modifier product lines at Kelsan Technologies, which are of material importance to the business as a whole. We believe that, in the aggregate, our patents and trademarks give us a competitive advantage. We also rely on a combination of trade secrets and other intellectual property laws, non-disclosure agreements and other protective measures to establish and protect our proprietary rights in intellectual property.

ENVIRONMENTAL DISCLOSURES

It is not possible to quantify the potential impact of actions regarding environmental matters, particularly for future remediation and other compliance efforts. In the opinion of management, compliance with environmental protection laws will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, competitive position, or capital expenditures of the Company. However, the Company's efforts to comply with stringent environmental regulations may have an adverse effect on the Company's future earnings.

In February 2010, the Securities and Exchange Commission published guidance regarding its existing disclosure requirements as they apply to climate change matters. A number of governments or governmental bodies have introduced or are contemplating legislative and regulatory change in response to the potential impacts of climate change including pending U.S. legislation that, if enacted, would limit and reduce greenhouse gas emissions through a "cap and trade" system of allowances and credits, among other provisions. In addition, the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency has for the first time required large emitters of greenhouse gases to collect and report data with respect to their greenhouse gas emissions. Assessments of the potential impact, both positive or negative, of future climate change legislation, regulation and international treaties and accords are uncertain, given that these regulatory mechanisms may be either voluntary or legislated and may impact our operations directly or indirectly through our suppliers or customers.

See Item 3, Legal Proceedings, for information regarding the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act claim regarding property located in Troy, New York.

EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYEE RELATIONS

As of December 2010, the Company had 866 employees, of whom 100 were located in Canada, 50 were located in the United Kingdom with the remaining located in the United States. There were 457 hourly production workers and 409 salaried employees. Of these hourly production workers, 163 are represented by unions. The Company has not suffered any major work stoppages during the past five years and considers its relations with its employees to be satisfactory.

Substantially all of the Company's hourly paid employees are covered by one of the Company's noncontributory, defined benefit plans or defined contribution plans. Substantially all of the Company's salaried employees are covered by defined contribution plans.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Financial information concerning information about geographic areas is set forth in Item 8, Note 2.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS

Financial information concerning information about segments is set forth in Item 8, Note 2.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks and Uncertainties

We intend to pursue acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances that involve a number of inherent risks, any of which may cause us not to realize anticipated benefits.

We continue to evaluate acquisition opportunities that have the potential to support and strengthen our business. We can give no assurances that any opportunities will arise or if they do, that they will be consummated or that potential additional financing will be available. In addition, acquisitions involve inherent risks that the acquired business will not perform in accordance with our expectations. We may not be able to achieve the synergies and other benefits we expect from the integration as successfully or rapidly as projected, if at all. Our failure to integrate newly acquired operations could prevent us from realizing our expected rate of return on an acquired business and could have a material or adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The integration of our recently completed acquisitions could result in the loss of key employees or other workforce disruptions that may have a material adverse effect on our operations or profitability.

It is possible that the Portec merger could result in the loss of key employees, result in the disruption of one or more of its ongoing businesses or identify inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect one or more of its operations' ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers or creditors. Employee retention before, during or after the combination may be challenging as employees may experience uncertainty about future roles until strategies with regard to the combined company are announced or executed.

Prolonged unfavorable economic and market conditions could adversely affect our business.

We could be adversely impacted by prolonged negative changes in economic conditions affecting either our suppliers or customers as well as the capital markets. No assurances can be given that we will be able to successfully mitigate various prolonged uncertainties including materials cost variability, delayed or reduced customer payments and access to available capital resources outside of operations.

We may incur increased costs due to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

The majority of the Company's products and services are sold in the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom. Fluctuations in the relative values of the United States dollar, Canadian dollar and British pound will require adjustments in reported earnings and operations to reflect exchange rate translation in the Company's Canadian and United Kingdom sales and operations. If the United States dollar strengthens in value as compared to the value of the Canadian dollar or British pound, the Company's reported earnings in dollars from sales in those currencies will be unfavorable. Conversely, a favorable result will be reported if the United States dollar weakens in value as compared to the value of the Canadian dollar or British pound.

Our business operates in a highly competitive industry.

We face strong competition in all of the markets in which we participate. Our response to competitor pricing actions and new competitor entries into our product lines, could negatively impact our overall pricing in the marketplace. Efforts to improve pricing could negatively impact our sales volume in all product categories. Significant negative developments in these areas could adversely affect our financial results and condition.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property and prevent its improper use by third parties, our ability to compete may be harmed.

The Company owns a number of patents and trademarks under the intellectual property laws of the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom. These patent protections begin expiring in 2014. However, the Company has not perfected patent and trademark protection of our proprietary intellectual property in other countries. The failure to

obtain patent and trademark protection in other countries may result in other companies copying and marketing products that are based upon the Company's proprietary intellectual property. This could impede growth into new markets where the Company does not have such protections and result in greater supplies of similar products, which in turn could result in a loss of pricing power and reduced revenue.

Table of Contents

We are dependent upon key customers.

Foster could be adversely affected by changes in the business or financial condition of a customer or customers. No assurances can be given that a significant downturn in the business or financial condition of a customer, or customers, would not impact our results of operations and /or financial condition.

A significant decrease in capital spending by our railroad customers could negatively impact our product revenue. The Company's CXT Rail operation and ARP division are dependent on the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) for a significant portion of their business. The CXT Rail operation was awarded a long-term contract in 2005 from the UPRR for the supply of prestressed concrete railroad ties. CXT Rail expanded and modernized its Grand Island, NE plant in 2005, and completed construction of a new facility in Tucson, AZ in 2006 to accommodate the contract's requirements. UPRR has agreed to purchase minimum annual quantities from the Tucson, AZ facility through December 2012. In December 2010, the UPRR opted not to extend the supply agreement for the Grand Island, NE plant.

A substantial portion of our operations are heavily dependent on governmental funding of infrastructure projects. Many of these projects have "Buy America" or "Buy American" provisions. Significant changes in the level of government funding of these projects could have a favorable or unfavorable impact on our operating results. Additionally, government actions concerning "Buy America" provisions, taxation, tariffs, the environment, or other matters could impact our operating results.

A growing portion of our sales may be derived from our international operations, which exposes us to certain risks inherent in doing business on an international level.

Historically, substantially all of the Company's business has been conducted in the United States. With the Company's acquisition of Portec, the Company will be expanding into international markets, primarily Canada and the United Kingdom. Additionally, the Company is placing increased emphasis on the expansion of our international sales opportunities. Doing business outside the United States subjects the Company to various risks, including changing economic, climate and political conditions, work stoppages, exchange controls, currency fluctuations, armed conflicts and unexpected changes in United States and foreign laws relating to tariffs, trade restrictions, transportation regulations, foreign investments and taxation. Increasing sales to foreign countries will expose the Company to increased risk of loss from foreign currency fluctuations and exchange controls as well as longer accounts receivable payment cycles. The Company has little control over most of these risks and may be unable to anticipate changes in international economic and political conditions and, therefore, unable to alter its business practices in time to avoid the adverse effect of any of these possible changes.

Certain segments of our business depend on a small number of suppliers, the loss of any such supplier could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and result of operations.

In our rail and piling distributed products businesses, we rely on a limited number of suppliers for key products that we sell to our customers. No assurances can be given that a significant downturn in the business of one of these suppliers, a disruption in their manufacturing operations, an unwillingness to continue to sell to us or a disruption in the availability of existing and new piling and rail products would not adversely impact our financial results.

Fluctuations in the price, quality and availability of our primary raw materials used in our business could have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

Most of Foster's businesses utilize steel as a significant product component. The steel industry is cyclical and prices and availability are subject to these cycles as well as to international market forces. We also use significant amounts of cement and aggregate in our concrete railroad tie and our precast concrete building businesses. Cement and aggregate prices are subject to market conditions but this has not yet had a significant impact on the Company. No assurances can be given that our financial results would not be adversely affected if prices or availability of these

materials were to change in a significantly unfavorable manner.

Table of Contents

Our joint venture may require us to meet future capital commitments that may be burdensome or have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

We have a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. and another party to manufacture, market and sell various products for the energy, utility and construction markets. In connection with the joint venture agreement, we were required to make capital contributions of \$2.2 million, which the Company met in 2010. No assurances can be given that additional capital contributions will not be required or that the joint venture will perform in accordance with our expectations.

Labor disputes may have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

Four of the Company's manufacturing facilities are staffed by employees represented by labor unions. These 163 employees are currently working under three separate collective bargaining agreements.

In October 2007, we negotiated the renewal of the collective bargaining agreement with our Spokane, WA workforce represented by the United Steelworkers Local Number 338. This agreement, covering approximately 80 employees, expires in September 2011.

In October 2010, we negotiated the renewal of the collective bargaining agreement with our Bedford, PA workforce represented by the Shopman's Local Union Number 527. This agreement, covering approximately 50 employees, expires in March 2014.

The collective bargaining agreement with our St. Jean, Quebec, Canada workforce is represented by the Canadian Steel Workers Union Local Number 9443. This agreement, covering approximately 30 employees, expires in August 2013.

The existing collective bargaining agreements may not prevent a work stoppage at L. B. Foster's facilities.

An adverse outcome in any pending or future litigation could negatively impact our operations and profitability. Changes in our expectations of the outcome of certain legal actions could vary materially from our current expectations and adversely affect our financial results and/or financial condition.

We may not be able to collect future contingent payments in connection with the sale of our investment in DM&E. As part of the 2007 sale of our investment in the Dakota, Minnesota and Eastern Railroad (DM&E) to the Canadian Pacific Railway Limited (CP), we received the right to future contingent payments based on (i) construction commencing on the Powder River Basin Expansion Project (PRB) and (ii) certain PRB tonnage thresholds being surpassed. The CP is obligated to pay the DM&E's former equity holders an aggregate of \$350.0 million, plus interest at 5% per annum, if the CP commences construction of the PRB expansion prior to December 31, 2025. Additionally, CP shall cause the former equity holders to receive certain payments not to exceed \$707.0 million if the CP attains milestones, as set forth in the sales agreement, related to PRB coal tonnage thresholds prior to December 31, 2025.

Our share of any of this construction milestone payment or individual future coal milestone payments, if any such payments are made, prior to expenses and any offsets, is approximately 12¼%. No assurances can be given that any of these payments will be made and the Company does not know whether the CP will construct the PRB expansion.

Table of Contents

Future climate change regulation could result in increased operating costs, affecting the demand for our products or affect the ability of our critical suppliers to meet our needs.

In February 2010, the Securities and Exchange Commission published guidance regarding its existing disclosure requirements as they apply to climate change matters. A number of governments or governmental bodies have introduced or are contemplating legislative and regulatory change in response to the potential impacts of climate change including pending U.S. legislation that, if enacted, would limit and reduce greenhouse gas emissions through a “cap and trade” system of allowances and credits, among other provisions. In addition, the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency has for the first time required large emitters of greenhouse gases to collect and report data with respect to their greenhouse gas emissions. Assessments of the potential impact, both positive or negative, of future climate change legislation, regulation and international treaties and accords are uncertain, given that these regulatory mechanisms may be either voluntary or legislated and may impact our operations directly or indirectly through our suppliers or customers.

Our future performance and market value could cause future write-downs of intangible assets in future periods. The Company is required under generally accepted accounting principles to review its intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment at least annually. Factors that may be considered to be a change in circumstances indicating that the carrying value of the Company’s intangible assets may not be recoverable include, but are not limited to, a decline in stock price and market capitalization, a significant decrease in the market value of an asset or a significant decrease in operating or cash flow projections. No assurances can be given that the Company will not be required to record a significant, adverse charge to earnings during the period in which any impairment of its goodwill or intangible assets occurs.

We may not foresee or be able to control certain events that could adversely affect our business.

Unexpected events including fires or explosions at facilities, natural disasters, war, unplanned outages, equipment failures, failure to meet product specifications, or a disruption in certain of our operations may cause our operating costs to increase or otherwise impact our financial performance.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The location and general description of the principal properties which are owned or leased by L. B. Foster Company, together with the segment of the Company's business using the properties, are set forth in the following table:

| Location | Function | Acres | Business Segment | Lease Expires |
|---|--|-------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Bedford, PA | Bridge component fabricating plant. | 10 | Construction | Owned |
| Birmingham, AL | Pipe coating facility. | 32 | Tubular | 2017 |
| Columbia City, IN | Rail processing facility and yard storage. | 22 | Rail | Owned |
| Georgetown, MA | Bridge component fabricating plant. | 11 | Construction | Owned |
| Grand Island, NE | CXT concrete tie plant. | 9 | Rail | 2011 |
| Hillsboro, TX | Precast concrete facility. | 9 | Construction | Owned |
| Houston, TX | Casing, upset tubing, threading, heat treating and painting. Yard storage. | 20 | Tubular, Rail and Construction | 2018 |
| Kenova, WV | Friction management products facility. | N/A | Rail | 2014 |
| Leicester, United Kingdom | Material handling manufacturing plant. | N/A | Rail | 2014 |
| Magnolia, TX | Joint venture manufacturing facility. | 35 | Tubular | Owned |
| Niles, OH | Rail fabrication. Yard storage. | 35 | Rail | Owned |
| North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada | Friction management products plant. | N/A | Rail | 2011 |
| Petersburg, VA | Piling storage facility. | 48 | Construction | Owned |
| Pueblo, CO | Rail joint manufacturing. | 9 | Rail | Owned |
| Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu, Quebec, Canada | Rail anchors and track spikes manufacturing plant. | 17 | Rail | Owned |
| Sheffield, United Kingdom | Track component and friction management products facility. | N/A | Rail | 2019 |
| Spokane, WA | CXT concrete tie plant. | 13 | Rail | 2015 |
| Spokane, WA | Precast concrete facility. | 5 | Construction | 2015 |
| Tucson, AZ | CXT concrete tie plant. | 19 | Rail | 2012 |

Included in the above property listing are locations for which there is no acreage included in the lease. These properties have been indicated as "N/A."

The lease covering the Grand Island, NE CXT concrete tie plant expired in December 2010 coinciding with the expiration of the concrete tie supply agreement at this facility with the UPRR. The UPRR opted not to extend the supply agreement for the Grand Island, NE plant. The production for the remaining orders was completed by the end of February 2011 and the Company expects the process to dismantle the facility, according to the terms of the long-term contract, will be completed during the third quarter of 2011.

Regarding the lease covering the North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada location expiring in 2011, the Company is in the process of relocating this office and has signed a new lease expiring in 2016.

Including the properties listed above, the Company has 15 sales offices, including its headquarters in Pittsburgh, PA and four business offices acquired through the Portec acquisition, and 22 warehouses, plant and yard facilities located throughout the United States, Canada and United Kingdom. The Company's facilities are in good condition.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In July 1999, Portec, Inc., the predecessor of Portec Rail Products, was named as a defendant in Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation v. Chevron, et al. venued in the United States District Court, Northern District of New York. The plaintiff, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (“Niagara Mohawk”) is seeking contribution from nine named defendants for costs it has incurred, and is expected to incur, in connection with the environmental remediation of property located in Troy, New York under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, also known as “CERCLA” or “Superfund,” and other causes of action. The basis of the action stems from Niagara Mohawk’s agreement with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, pursuant to an Order on Consent, to environmentally remediate property identified as the Troy Water Street Site. The defendants consist of companies that at the time were industrial in nature, or owners of companies industrial in nature, and who owned or operated their businesses on portions of the Troy Water Street site or on properties contiguous, or otherwise in close proximity, to the Troy Water Street Site. Niagara Mohawk alleges that the defendants either released hazardous materials directly to the Troy Water Street site or released hazardous materials that migrated onto the Troy Water Street Site, and therefore the defendants should be responsible for a portion of the costs of remediation.

The plaintiff seeks to recover costs, which it has incurred, and may continue to incur, to investigate and remediate its former property as required by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). Portec has not been named as a liable party by the NYSDEC and it believes it will have no liability to the plaintiff in the case. It filed a motion for summary judgment seeking a ruling to have Portec dismissed from the case. In November 2003, the motion for summary judgment was granted and Portec was dismissed from the case by the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York. In March 2004, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, appealing, in part, the District Court’s decision to dismiss all claims against Portec. In April 2005, the plaintiff’s appeal was dismissed by the Second Circuit Court without prejudice, and the matter was remanded to the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York for consideration in light of a recent United States Supreme Court decision. As a result, in June 2006, the District Court dismissed all claims brought by the plaintiff pursuant to CERCLA. In July 2006, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the Second Circuit. However, in early 2008, the plaintiff’s appeal was dismissed again by the Second Circuit Court without prejudice, and the matter was remanded to the District Court for consideration in light of another recent United States Supreme Court decision. In July 2008, The District Court decided that the United States Supreme Court decision did not necessitate any change in the District Court’s prior determinations in this case and held that all of its prior rulings stand. In August 2008, the plaintiff filed a third notice of appeal to the Second Circuit Court. On February 24, 2010, the Second Circuit issued its decision, reversing the order of the District Court which dismissed Portec from the litigation, stating that there were genuine issues of material fact. In addition, the Second Circuit reinstated the plaintiff’s CERCLA claims, stating that the plaintiff is entitled to bring a claim for contribution under Section 113(f)(3)(B) of CERCLA. Ongoing litigation may be protracted, and Portec may incur additional ongoing legal expenses, which are not estimable at this time. Should Portec ultimately be held liable, damages may be assessed by the Court in accordance with CERCLA.

The Company believes that Niagara Mohawk’s case against it is without merit. Because Niagara Mohawk is seeking unspecified monetary contribution from the defendants, no specific claim for contribution from Portec has been asserted. It is possible that such a contribution would have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition or results of operations. However, total clean up costs at the Troy Water Street site are expected to be substantial. If liability for a portion of these costs is attributed to Portec, such liability could be material. Furthermore, if Niagara Mohawk wins on appeal, ongoing litigation may be protracted and legal expenses may be material to the Company’s results of operations.

Table of Contents

In November 2005, the City of Clearfield, Utah, filed suit in the Second District Court, Davis County, Utah, against the Utah Department of Transportation, a general contractor, four design engineers and/or consultants, a bonding company and the Company. The City alleged that the design and engineering of an overpass in 2000 had been faulty and that the Company had provided the mechanical stabilized earth wall system for the project. The City alleged that the embankment to the overpass began, in 2001, to fail and slide away from the stabilized earth wall system, resulting in damage in excess of \$3,000,000. The City has agreed to settle its claims against several of the defendants and this settlement has been challenged by other defendants. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these claims, that the Company's products complied with all applicable specifications and that other factors accounted for any alleged failure. The Company has referred this matter to its insurance carrier, which, although it reserved its right to deny coverage, has undertaken the defense of this claim. The Company maintains a reserve of \$0.2 million for this matter.

On February 19, 2010, and through March 3, 2010, a total of five lawsuits initiated by purported shareholders of Portec were filed against several defendants including the Company and certain members of its Board of Directors. These lawsuits are directly related to the Agreement and Plan of Merger with L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company, which was signed on February 16, 2010.

The lawsuits alleged, among other things, that Portec's directors breached their fiduciary duties and L.B. Foster aided and abetted such alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. Based on these allegations, the lawsuits sought, among other relief, injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from consummating the Offer and the Merger. They also sought recovery of the costs of the action, including reasonable legal fees.

The three lawsuits filed in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania were consolidated and on March 22 and 23, 2010, hearings were held on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which sought to enjoin the transaction set forth in the Agreement and Plan of Merger. On April 21, 2010, the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "Court") entered an order in the matter captioned In Re Portec Rail Products, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, preliminarily enjoining Foster from completing the Offer until the Court determined that the Board of Directors of Portec has cured the breach of fiduciary duties as found by the Court and disclosed certain material information.

On June 24, 2010, the Court granted a Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction in the case styled In Re Portec Rail Products, Inc. Shareholders Litigation.

On November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs in the consolidated Pennsylvania lawsuit filed a motion for an award of payment of attorneys' fees and costs, relating to the injunction entered in March 2010. The Company filed objections to that motion and it was argued before the Court on January 31, 2011. The Court has not yet ruled on the motion.

In the two lawsuits pending in West Virginia, Motions to Dismiss the lawsuits were filed in April 2010 on behalf of the Company and the Director Defendants. In both West Virginia cases, Plaintiffs have filed Motions for Preliminary Injunctions, but as of the date of this filing, no hearings have been scheduled.

Ongoing litigation in these matters may be protracted, and the Company may incur additional ongoing legal expenses, which are not able to be estimated at this time.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information concerning the executive officers of the Company is set forth below.

| Name | Age | Position |
|-------------------------|-----|---|
| Stan L. Hasselbusch | 63 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Merry L. Brumbaugh | 53 | Vice President – Tubular Products |
| Samuel K. Fisher | 58 | Vice President – Rail Distribution |
| Donald L. Foster | 55 | Senior Vice President – Construction Products |
| Kevin R. Haugh | 54 | Vice President– CXT Concrete Products |
| John F. Kasel | 46 | Senior Vice President – Operations and Manufacturing |
| Brian H. Kelly | 51 | Vice President – Human Resources |
| Gregory W. Lippard | 42 | Vice President – Rail Product Sales |
| Konstantinos Papazoglou | 58 | Vice President – Friction Management |
| Linda K. Patterson | 61 | Controller |
| David J. Russo | 52 | Senior Vice President, Chief Financial and Accounting Officer and Treasurer |
| David R. Sauder | 40 | Vice President – Global Business Development |
| David L. Voltz | 58 | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary |

Mr. Hasselbusch has been Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company since January 2002, and President of the Company since March 2000. He served as Vice President – Construction and Tubular Products from December 1996 to December 1998 and as Chief Operating Officer from January 1999 until he was named Chief Executive Officer in January 2002.

Ms. Brumbaugh was elected Vice President – Tubular Products in November 2004, having previously served as General Manager, Coated Products since 1996. Ms. Brumbaugh has served in various capacities with the Company since her initial employment in 1980.

Mr. Fisher's title was changed to Vice President – Rail Distribution effective January 2011, as part of reorganizational changes within the Rail Products segment, having previously served as Senior Vice President – Rail since October 2002. From June 2000 until October 2002, Mr. Fisher served as Senior Vice President – Product Management. From October 1997 until June 2000, Mr. Fisher served as Vice President – Rail Procurement. Prior to October 1997, Mr. Fisher served in various other capacities with the Company since his employment in 1977.

Mr. Donald Foster was elected Senior Vice President – Construction Products in February 2005, after having served as Vice President – Piling Products since November 2004 and General Manager of Piling since September 2004. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Foster was President of Metalsbridge, a financed supply chain logistics entity. He served U.S. Steel Corporation as an officer from 1999 to 2003. During that time, Mr. Foster functioned as Vice President International, President of UEC Technologies and President, United States Steel International, Inc.

Mr. Haugh was elected Vice President – CXT Concrete Products in March 2008 after joining the organization in February 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Haugh served as Executive Vice President of CANAC, Inc., a subsidiary of Savage Services, and Senior Vice President of Savage Services from 2001 to 2008. His career also included President of Railserve, Inc. prior to 2001.

Mr. Kasel was elected Senior Vice President – Operations and Manufacturing in May 2005 having previously served as Vice President – Operations and Manufacturing since April 2003. Mr. Kasel served as Vice President of Operations for

Mammoth, Inc., a Nortek company from 2000 to 2003. His career also included General Manager of Robertshaw Controls and Operations Manager of Shizuki America prior to 2000.

Table of Contents

Mr. Kelly was elected Vice President, Human Resources in October 2006 after joining the organization in September 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kelly headed Human Resources for 84 Lumber Company from June 2004. Previously, he served as a Director of Human Resources for American Greetings Corp. from June 1994 to June 2004, and he began his career with Nabisco in 1984, serving in progressively responsible generalist human resources positions in both plants and headquarters.

Mr. Lippard was elected Vice President – Rail Product Sales in June 2000. Prior to re-joining the Company in 2000, Mr. Lippard served as Vice President – International Trading for Tube City, Inc. from June 1998. Mr. Lippard served in various other capacities with the Company since his initial employment in 1991.

Mr. Papazoglou was elected Vice President – Friction Management in March 2011. Prior to joining the Company in December 2010, Mr. Papazoglou served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for Portec Rail Products, Inc. from October 2006. Mr. Papazoglou served in various other capacities with Portec since his initial employment in 1978.

Ms. Patterson was elected Controller in February 1999, having previously served as Assistant Controller since May 1997 and Manager of Accounting since March 1988. Prior to March 1988, Ms. Patterson served in various other capacities with the Company since her employment in 1977.

Mr. Russo was elected Senior Vice President, Chief Financial and Accounting Officer and Treasurer in March 2010 having served previously as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since December 2002. From July 2002 to December 2002, Mr. Russo served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Russo was Corporate Controller of WESCO International Inc., a distributor of electrical and industrial MRO supplies and integrated supply services, from 1999 until joining the Company in 2002. Mr. Russo also served as Corporate Controller of Life Fitness Inc., an international designer, manufacturer and distributor of aerobic and strength training fitness equipment.

Mr. Sauder was elected Vice President – Global Business Development upon joining the Company in November 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Sauder was Director, Global Business Development at Joy Mining Machinery where he was responsible for leading mergers and acquisitions and new business initiatives from December 2007. Prior to that, he was Manager, Business Development for Eaton Corporation from April 2006 to December 2007. He previously held various positions of increasing responsibility at Duquesne Light Company from August 1998 to April 2006 and PNC Bank from February 1993 to August 1998.

Mr. Voltz was elected Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in December 1987. Mr. Voltz joined the Company in 1981.

Officers are elected annually at the organizational meeting of the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of stockholders.

Code of Ethics

L. B. Foster Company has a legal and ethical conduct policy applicable to all directors and employees, including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. This policy is posted on the Company's website, www.lbfoster.com. The Company intends to satisfy the disclosure requirement regarding certain amendments to, or waivers from, provisions of its policy by posting such information on the Company's website.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Stock Market Information

The Company had 511 common shareholders of record on February 1, 2011. Common stock prices are quoted daily through the NASDAQ Global Select Market quotation service (Symbol FSTR). The quarterly high and low bid price quotations for common shares (which represent prices between broker-dealers and do not include markup, markdown or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions) follow:

| Quarter | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First | \$32.49 | \$25.21 | \$33.14 | \$20.56 |
| Second | 32.48 | 25.92 | 33.15 | 25.40 |
| Third | 31.69 | 25.52 | 35.00 | 28.00 |
| Fourth | 41.00 | 28.09 | 31.37 | 27.29 |

Dividends

No cash dividends were paid on the Company's Common stock during 2010 and 2009. On March 15, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized a \$0.025 per share quarterly cash dividend on its issued and outstanding shares of Common stock beginning with the first quarter of 2011. The Company's ability to pay cash dividends is limited by its revolving credit agreement.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following table compares total shareholder returns for the Company over the last five years to the NASDAQ Composite Index and the Company's Peer Group assuming a \$100 investment made on December 31, 2005. Each of the three measures of cumulative total return assumes reinvestment of dividends. The stock performance shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

The Company's Peer Group is composed of Michael Baker Corp., A.M. Castle & Co., Greenbrier Cos., Inc., Northwest Pipe Co, Texas Industries Inc. and Wabtec Corporation. The Company's peer group was established by selecting similar companies in the rail, construction and steel industries.

| | Cumulative Total Return | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 12/05 | 12/06 | 12/07 | 12/08 | 12/09 | 12/10 |
| L.B. Foster Company | \$ 100.00 | \$ 174.20 | \$ 347.79 | \$ 210.30 | \$ 200.42 | \$ 275.25 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 111.74 | 124.67 | 73.77 | 107.12 | 125.93 |
| Peer Group | 100.00 | 117.09 | 129.39 | 97.06 | 99.50 | 125.66 |

Table of Contents

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2010 with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance.

| Plan Category | Number of securities | | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities to be issued upon exercise) |
|--|--|----|---|--|
| | to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | | | |
| Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders | 80,950 | \$ | 6.95 | 391,881 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders | - | | - | - |
| Total | 80,950 | \$ | 6.95 | 391,881 |

The Company awarded shares of its common stock to its outside directors on a biannual basis from June 2000 through January 2003 under an arrangement not approved by the Company's shareholders. A total of 22,984 shares of common stock were so awarded and this program has been terminated. At the Company's 2003 Annual Shareholders' Meeting, a new plan was approved by the Company's shareholders under which outside directors received 2,500 shares of the Company's common stock at each annual shareholder meeting at which such outside director was elected or re-elected, commencing with the Company's 2003 Annual Shareholders' Meeting. Through 2005 there were 30,000 shares issued under this plan. This plan was discontinued on May 24, 2006 when the Company's shareholders approved the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan. Under the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan, non-employee directors automatically are awarded 3,500 shares, or a lesser amount determined by the directors, of the Company's common stock at each annual shareholder meeting at which such non-employee director is elected or re-elected, commencing May 24, 2006. Through December 31, 2010, there were 68,000 fully vested shares issued under the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan to non-employee directors. Additionally, pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan, during 2008, the Company issued to its officers approximately 11,000 fully-vested shares in lieu of a cash payment earned under the Three Year Incentive Plan.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On May 12, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$25.0 million of the Company's common shares until June 30, 2010. On October 28, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$15.0 million of the Company's common shares until December 31, 2010. While purchases were not suspended, the Company did not purchase any of its equity securities during 2010. Authorization for purchases under any plan expired on December 31, 2010 with the Company having repurchased 951,673 shares totaling approximately \$28.3 million.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| Income Statement Data | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 (1) | 2009 (2) | 2008 (3) | 2007 (4) | 2006 (5) |
| | (All amounts are in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 475,050 | \$ 404,020 | \$ 539,236 | \$ 528,200 | \$ 406,050 |
| Operating profit | \$ 31,995 | \$ 24,357 | \$ 39,249 | \$ 38,980 | \$ 17,934 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 20,492 | \$ 15,727 | \$ 27,746 | \$ 110,724 | \$ 10,715 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | - | - | - | (31) | 2,815 |
| Net income | \$ 20,492 | \$ 15,727 | \$ 27,746 | \$ 110,693 | \$ 13,530 |
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 2.01 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 2.60 | \$ 10.39 | \$ 1.03 |
| Discontinued operations | - | - | - | - | 0.27 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 2.01 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 2.60 | \$ 10.39 | \$ 1.30 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 2.57 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 0.99 |
| Discontinued operations | - | - | - | - | 0.26 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 2.57 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 1.25 |

- (1) 2010 includes a pre-tax gain of \$1,364,000 associated with the remeasurement of the remaining Portec available-for-sale investment on the acquisition date.
- (2) 2009 includes a pre-tax gain of \$1,194,000 associated with the sale of available-for-sale marketable securities.
- (3) 2008 includes pre-tax gains of \$2,022,000 associated with the receipt of escrow proceeds related to the prior year sale of the Company's DM&E investment and \$1,486,000 from the sale and lease-back of our threaded products facility in Houston, TX.
- (4) 2007 includes \$8,472,000 in dividend income and a \$122,885,000 pre-tax gain due to the announcement and consummation, respectively, of the sale of the Company's investment in the DM&E.
- (5) 2006 includes a \$3,005,000 gain from the sale of the Company's former Geotechnical Division which was classified as discontinued operations.

| Balance Sheet Data | December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Total assets | \$378,716 | \$331,594 | \$332,120 | \$330,772 | \$235,833 |
| Working capital | 142,463 | 210,332 | 202,264 | 200,645 | 90,844 |
| Long-term debt | 2,399 | 13,197 | 21,734 | 28,056 | 54,273 |
| Stockholders' equity | 255,747 | 232,592 | 217,562 | 213,826 | 98,033 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Executive Level Overview

During 2010, we successfully completed two acquisitions totaling approximately \$120.2 million:

- Portec Rail Products, Inc. (Portec) - \$113.3 million.
- Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC (IDSI) - \$6.9 million.

The total amount of cash used to make these acquisitions, including amounts paid in 2011, was approximately \$120.8 million, including approximately \$2.4 million of acquisition costs. These expenditures were reduced by approximately \$16.5 million of cash acquired as well as a divestiture of a business acquired with Portec which resulted in proceeds of approximately \$10.2 million. While our acquisitions caused our cash and cash equivalents balances to decrease, the following items helped to maintain our financial position:

- We generated \$59.5 million of cash from operating activities, which includes the previously mentioned acquisition costs.
 - We kept investments in our plants and facilities consistent with prior year levels.
 - We repaid \$23.4 million of total debt, including the pay-off of our term loan.

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$74.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and a revolving credit facility with approximately \$60.2 million of availability while carrying only \$4.8 million in total debt.

2010 Developments

Acquisitions

Portec Rail Products, Inc.

On December 15, 2010, we closed our cash offer, originally announced on February 16, 2010 and amended on August 30, 2010, for all the issued and outstanding shares of Portec common stock at a purchase price of \$11.80 per share, or approximately \$113.3 million, including amounts paid in January 2011. On December 27, 2010, we completed the merger of Portec into a wholly-owned subsidiary.

The acquisition of Portec will help us become a strategic provider of products and services “below the wheel” for the Class I, transit, shortline and regional railroad and contractors in North America, as well as to railways, governmental agencies, passenger car manufacturers and rail contractors globally. It will broaden our offerings by adding Portec’s friction management and wayside detection products and services. This acquisition will also assist our international expansion of existing products as Portec currently has a strong presence in Canada and the United Kingdom through wholly-owned subsidiaries, and has continued to improve its presence in Europe, Brazil, Southeast Asia, China and Australia.

Portec will be reported through our Rail Products Segment. Since the acquisition date, Portec contributed \$4.8 million in net sales, \$0.6 million in gross profit and a net loss of \$(0.2) million for the period ended December 31, 2010. We do not believe the results generated from the two week period from the acquisition date through December 31, 2010 are indicative of Portec’s quarterly or annual results of operations.

Interlocking Deck Systems International LLC

On March 23, 2010, we purchased certain assets from IDSI. The purchase price was \$6.9 million and it was allocated to certain equipment, raw material inventory, other assets, proprietary software, intangibles, and goodwill related to IDSI's steel bridge decking business. We paid \$5.1 million in cash on the closing date and issued a note for the remaining purchase price, which is payable on the first and second anniversary of the closing in amounts of \$1.0 million on each anniversary. No liabilities were assumed in this acquisition.

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

In December 2010, the UPRR opted not to extend the supply agreement and lease renewal for the Grand Island, NE plant. Production for the remaining orders was completed during the first quarter of 2011. We believe the dismantling of the facility according to the terms of the supply agreement and the winding down of operations will be completed in the third quarter of 2011. Sales to the UPRR from this facility approximated \$20.4 million, \$11.5 million and \$12.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We do not believe that the closure of this facility will have a significant, adverse impact on our results of operations or our liquidity.

General

L.B. Foster Company is a leading manufacturer, fabricator and distributor of products and services for the rail, construction, energy and utility markets. The Company is comprised of three business segments: Rail products, Construction products and Tubular products.

The Company makes certain filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments and exhibits to those reports, available free of charge through its website, www.lbfoster.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with the SEC. These filings are also available through the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street N.E. Washington, D.C. 20549 or by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, these filings are available on the internet at www.sec.gov. The Company's press releases are also available on its website.

Rail Products

The Rail products segment is composed of several manufacturing and distribution businesses that provide a variety of products for railroads, transit authorities, industrial companies and mining applications throughout North America and the United Kingdom. Rail products has sales offices throughout the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom and frequently bids on rail projects where it can offer products manufactured by the Company or sourced from numerous suppliers. These products may be provided as a package to rail lines, transit authorities and construction contractors which reduces the customer's procurement efforts and provides value added, just in time delivery.

The Rail products segment designs and manufactures bonded insulated rail joints, cuts and drills rail and manufactures concrete cross ties and turnout ties. The Company has concrete tie manufacturing facilities in Spokane, WA and Tucson, AZ. The Company also has two facilities that design, test and fabricate rail products in Atlanta, GA and Niles, OH.

Through our Portec subsidiary, we engineer, manufacture and assemble friction management products, railway wayside data collection and management systems and engineer and sell securement systems and related products.

The Rail distribution business provides our customers with access to a variety of products including stick rail, continuous welded rail, specialty trackwork, power rail and various rail accessories. This is a highly competitive business that, once specifications are met, depends heavily on pricing. The Company maintains relationships with several rail manufacturers but procures the majority of the rail it distributes from one supplier. Rail accessories are sourced from a wide variety of suppliers.

Construction Products

The Construction products segment is composed of the following business units: piling, fabricated products, and precast concrete buildings.

The piling division, via a sales force deployed throughout the United States, markets and sells piling internationally. This division offers its customers various types and dimensions of structural beam piling, sheet piling, and pipe piling. These piling products are sourced from various suppliers. The Company is the primary distributor of domestic bearing pile and sheet piling for its primary supplier.

Table of Contents

The fabricated products unit manufactures a number of fabricated steel and aluminum products primarily for the highway, bridge and transit industries including grid reinforced concrete deck and open steel grid flooring systems, guardrails, and expansion joints and heavy structural steel fabrications.

The precast concrete buildings unit manufactures concrete buildings for national, state and municipal parks. This unit manufactures restrooms, concession stands and other protective storage buildings available in multiple designs, textures and colors. The Company believes it is the leading high-end supplier in terms of volume, product options and capabilities. The buildings are manufactured in Spokane, WA and Hillsboro, TX.

Tubular Products

The Tubular products segment has two discrete business units: coated pipe and threaded products.

The coated pipe unit, located in Birmingham, AL, coats the outer dimension and, to a lesser extent, the inner dimension of pipe primarily for natural gas transmission and, to a much lesser extent, oil transmission industries. Coated pipe partners with its primary customer, a pipe manufacturer, to market fusion bonded epoxy coatings, abrasion resistant coatings and internal linings for a wide variety of pipe dimensions for pipeline projects throughout North America.

The threaded products unit, located in Houston, TX, cuts, threads and paints pipe primarily for water well applications for the agriculture industry and municipal water authorities.

Joint Venture

In May 2009, the Company completed the formation of a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. for a period of 9.5 years. The Company and L B Industries each have a 45% ownership interest in the joint venture (JV), L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC. The Company has made all of its mandatory capital contributions under the joint venture agreement totaling \$2.2 million.

This venture commenced operations in 2010 and manufactures, markets and sells various products for the energy, utility and construction markets.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. When more than one accounting principle, or the method of its application, is generally accepted, management selects the principle or method that is appropriate in the Company's specific circumstance. Application of these accounting principles requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The following critical accounting policies relate to the Company's more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Goodwill – Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual impairment test as of October 1st and more frequently when indicators of impairment are present. The goodwill impairment test involves comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a second step is required to measure the goodwill impairment loss. This step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss equal to the excess is recorded as a component of continuing operations. The Company uses a combination of market approach and a discounted cash flow model (DCF model) to determine the current fair value of the business. A number of significant assumptions and estimates are involved in the application of the DCF model to forecast operating cash flows, including markets and market share, sales volume and pricing, costs to produce and working capital changes. The Company considers historical experience and available information at the time the fair values of its business are estimated. However, actual amounts realized may differ from those used to evaluate the impairment of goodwill. If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and judgments used in estimating future cash flows and asset fair values, the Company may be exposed to impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations. There were no goodwill impairments recorded during the three years ended December 31, 2010.

Asset impairment – The Company is required to test for asset impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset might not be recoverable. The Company applies the guidance in FASB ASC 360-10-35, and related guidance, in order to determine whether or not an asset is impaired. This guidance indicates that if the sum of the future expected cash flows associated with an asset, undiscounted and without interest charges, is less than the carrying value, an asset impairment must be recognized in the financial statements. The amount of the impairment is the difference between the fair value of the asset and the carrying value of the asset. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to asset impairment is a “critical accounting estimate” as it is highly susceptible to change from period to period and because it requires management to make assumptions about the existence of impairment indicators and cash flows over future years. These assumptions impact the amount of an impairment, which would have an impact on the income statement. There were no asset impairments recorded during the three years ended December 31, 2010.

Allowance for Bad Debts – The Company's operating segments encounter risks associated with the collection of accounts receivable. As such, the Company records a monthly provision for accounts receivable that are deemed uncollectible. In order to calculate the appropriate monthly provision, the Company reviews its accounts receivable aging and calculates an allowance through application of historic reserve factors to overdue receivables. This calculation is supplemented by specific account reviews performed by the Company's credit department. As necessary, the application of the Company's allowance rates to specific customers is reviewed and adjusted to more accurately reflect the credit risk inherent within that customer relationship. The reserve is reviewed on a monthly basis. An account receivable is written off against the allowance when management determines it is uncollectible.

The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to the allowance for bad debts is a “critical accounting estimate” because the underlying assumptions used for the allowance can change from period to period and the allowance could potentially cause a material impact to the income statement. Specific customer circumstances and general economic conditions may vary significantly from management's assumptions and may impact expected earnings. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company maintained an allowance for bad debts of \$1.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Product Liability – The Company maintains a current liability for the repair or replacement of defective products. For certain manufactured products, an accrual is made on a monthly basis as a percentage of cost of sales. For long-term construction projects, a liability is established when the claim is known and quantifiable. The product liability accrual is periodically adjusted based on the identification or resolution of known individual product liability claims. The

Company believes that this is a “critical accounting estimate” because the underlying assumptions used to calculate the liability can change from period to period. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the product liability was \$4.4 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. For additional information regarding the Company’s product liability, refer to Part II, Item 8, Footnote 22 “Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.”

Table of Contents

Slow-Moving Inventory – Slow-moving inventory is reviewed and adjusted routinely, taking into account numerous factors such as quantities-on-hand versus turnover, product knowledge, and physical inventory observations. This review is performed on a specific product basis and effectively establishes a new cost for the underlying product. The Company believes this is a “critical accounting estimate” because the underlying assumptions can change from period to period and could have a material impact on the income statement.

Revenue Recognition – The Company’s revenues are composed of product sales and products and services provided under long-term contracts. For product sales, the Company recognizes revenue upon transfer of title to the customer. Title generally passes to the customer upon shipment. In limited cases, title does not transfer and revenue is not recognized until the customer has received the products at its physical location. Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of goods sold. Revenues for products under long-term contracts are generally recognized using the percentage-of-completion method based upon the proportion of actual costs incurred to estimated total costs. For certain products, the percentage of completion is based upon actual labor costs to estimated total labor costs. Revenues recognized using percentage of completion were less than 10% of the Company’s consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010. With the addition of Portec, the contribution of revenues recognized using percentage of completion is expected to continue to decline in future periods.

Pension Plans – The calculation of the Company’s net periodic benefit cost (pension expense) and benefit obligation (pension liability) associated with its defined benefit pension plans (pension plans) requires the use of a number of assumptions that the Company deems to be “critical accounting estimates”. Changes in these assumptions can result in a different pension expense and liability amounts, and future actual experience can differ significantly from the assumptions. The Company believes that the two most critical assumptions are the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate.

The expected long-term rate of return reflects the average rate of earnings expected on funds invested or to be invested in the pension plans to provide for the benefits included in the pension liability. The Company establishes the expected long-term rate of return at the beginning of each fiscal year based upon information available to the Company at that time, including the plan’s investment mix and the forecasted rates of return on these types of securities. Any differences between actual experience and assumed experience are deferred as an unrecognized actuarial gain or loss. The unrecognized actuarial gains or losses are amortized in accordance with applicable guidance, generally FASB ASC 712, “Compensation – Nonretirement postemployment benefits.” The expected long-term rate of return determined by the Company for 2010 and 2009 pension expense was 7.75% in each year. Pension expense increases as the expected long-term rate of return decreases.

The assumed discount rate reflects the current rate at which the pension benefits could effectively be settled. In estimating that rate, applicable guidance requires that the Company looks to rates of return on high quality, fixed income investments. The Company’s pension liability increases as the discount rate is reduced. Therefore, the decline in the assumed discount rate has the effect of increasing the Company’s pension obligation and future pension expense. The weighted average assumed discount rate used by the Company was 5.50% and 6.00%, respectively, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Deferred Tax Assets – The recognition of deferred tax assets requires management to make judgments regarding the future realization of these assets. As prescribed by FASB ASC 740, “Income Taxes,” valuation allowances must be provided for those deferred tax assets for which it is more likely than not (a likelihood more than 50%) that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. This guidance requires management to evaluate positive and negative evidence regarding the recoverability of deferred tax assets. Determination of whether the positive evidence outweighs the negative and quantification of the valuation allowance requires management to make estimates and judgments of future financial results. The Company believes that these estimates and judgments are

“critical accounting estimates”.

26

Table of Contents

The Company evaluates all tax positions taken on the state and federal tax filings to determine if the position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. For positions that meet the more likely than not to be sustained criteria, an evaluation to determine the largest amount of benefit, determined on a cumulative probability basis that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement, is determined. A previously recognized tax position is derecognized when it is subsequently determined that a tax position no longer meets the more likely than not threshold to be sustained. The evaluation of the sustainability of a tax position and the probable amount that is more likely than not is based on judgment, historical experience and on various other assumptions. The results of these estimates, that are not readily apparent from other sources, form the basis for recognizing an uncertain tax position liability. Actual results could differ from those estimates upon subsequent resolution of identified matters.

Refer to Part II, Item 8, Footnote 16, "Income Taxes". The Company's ability to realize these tax benefits may affect the Company's reported income tax expense and net income.

Contingencies – The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. When a probable, estimable exposure exists, the Company accrues the estimate of the probable costs for the resolution of these matters. These estimates have been developed in consultation with legal counsel involved in the defense of these matters and are based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies. Future results of operations could be materially affected by changes in our assumptions or the outcome of these proceedings.

The Company's operations are subject to national, state, foreign, provincial, and/or local laws and regulations that impose limitations and prohibitions on the discharge and emission of, and establish standards for the use, disposal, and management of, regulated materials and waste, and that impose liability for the costs of investigating and cleaning up, and damages resulting from, present and past spills, disposals, or other releases of hazardous substances or materials. Liabilities are recorded when remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Estimates are not reduced by potential claims for recovery. Claims for recovery are recognized as agreements are reached with third parties or as amounts are received. Established reserves are periodically reviewed and adjusted to reflect current remediation progress, prospective estimates of required activity, and other factors that may be relevant, including changes in technology or regulations. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company maintained environmental and legal contingency reserves approximating \$2.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

Refer to Part II, Item 8, Footnote 22, "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities".

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued changes to the consolidation guidance applicable to a variable interest entity (VIE). FASB ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation," amends the guidance governing the determination of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, and is, therefore, required to consolidate an entity, by requiring a qualitative analysis rather than a quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis will include, among other things, consideration of who has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This standard also requires continuous reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Previously, FIN 46R required reconsideration of whether an enterprise was the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. FASB ASC 810 also requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with a VIE. The Company adopted the changes issued by the FASB to accounting for VIE's on January 1, 2010.

Table of Contents

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." This Update provides amendments to FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements," that requires entities to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the Update requires entities to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). The disclosures related to Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements are effective for the Company beginning in 2010 and the disclosures related to Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for the Company in 2011. The Update requires only new disclosures and had no impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents

Quarterly Results of Operations

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|--|--------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|---|
| | December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |

Net Sales:

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------|--|--|---|
| | December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 63,598 | \$ 40,953 | 43.0 % | 38.9 % | | | 55.3 % |
| Construction Products | 77,365 | 58,828 | 52.3 | 55.9 | | | 31.5 |
| Tubular Products | 7,020 | 5,390 | 4.7 | 5.1 | | | 30.2 |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 147,983 | \$ 105,171 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | | | 40.7 % |

| | Three Months Ended | | Gross Profit Percentage | | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|--|--------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|---|
| | December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |

Gross Profit:

| | Three Months Ended | | Gross Profit Percentage | | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------|--|--|---|
| | December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 7,837 | \$ 3,446 | 12.3 % | 8.4 % | | | 127.4 % |
| Construction Products | 11,580 | 9,086 | 15.0 | 15.4 | | | 27.4 |
| Tubular Products | 1,830 | (851) | 26.1 | (15.8) | | | 315.0 |
| LIFO Credit | 852 | 4,364 | 0.6 | 4.1 | | | (80.5) |
| Other | 54 | (459) | 0.0 | (0.4) | | | (111.8) |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 22,153 | \$ 15,586 | 15.0 % | 14.8 % | | | 42.1 % |

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|--|--------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|---|
| | December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |

Expenses:

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|--|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|---|
| | December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | \$ 12,763 | \$ 8,794 | 8.6 % | 8.4 % | | | 45.1 % |
| Interest Expense | 306 | 303 | 0.2 | 0.3 | | | 1.0 |
| Gain on Marketable Securities | (1,364) | - | (0.9) | - | | | ** |
| Equity in Gains of Nonconsolidated Investments | (59) | - | (0.0) | - | | | ** |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Interest Income | (108) | (113) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (4.4) |
| Other Expense | 3 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ** |
| Total Expenses | 11,541 | 8,994 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 28.3 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 10,612 | 6,592 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 61.0 |
| Income Tax Expense | 4,373 | 2,680 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 63.2 |
| Net Income | \$ 6,239 | \$ 3,912 | 4.2 % | 3.7 % | 59.5 % |

** Results of calculation are not meaningful for presentation purposes.

Fourth Quarter 2010 Compared to Fourth Quarter 2009 – Company Analysis

Net income for the fourth quarter of 2010 was \$0.60 per diluted share, compared to \$0.38 per diluted share for the fourth quarter of 2009. We account for a portion of our inventory under the LIFO method. While the LIFO reserve requirements had positive impacts for the current period, the credit to gross profit resulting from this LIFO adjustment was significantly lower than in 2009.

Table of Contents

Having a positive impact in the 2010 fourth quarter was the recognition, in accordance with applicable acquisition accounting guidance, of the \$1.4 million pre-tax gain associated with the remeasurement of our holding of Portec equity securities prior to the acquisition. Additionally, we recorded our share of the income from our equity investment in our JV, which is reported as “Equity in Gains of Nonconsolidated Investments.”

There were two primary drivers of increased selling and administration expenses in the fourth quarter of 2010, acquisition costs and incentive compensation. We recognized \$1.2 million in acquisition costs in the fourth quarter of 2010 related to our acquisition of Portec. Additionally, results-driven compensation costs increased approximately \$2.0 million over the prior year period. Finally, Portec contributed \$0.9 million in selling and administrative expenses since the acquisition date. The increased effective income tax rate for the fourth quarter of 2010 to 41.2% from 40.7% in the prior year quarter resulted primarily from nondeductible acquisition costs.

Results of Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Three Months Ended | | Increase/(Decrease) | | Percent | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------|---|
| | 2010 | December 31, 2009 | 2010 vs. 2009 | | 2010 vs. 2009 | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 63,598 | \$ 40,953 | \$ 22,645 | | 55.3 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 7,837 | \$ 3,446 | \$ 4,391 | | 127.4 | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 12.3 | % | 8.4 | % | 3.9 | % |
| | | | | | 46.4 | % |

Fourth Quarter 2010 Compared to Fourth Quarter 2009

Improved 2010 quarterly sales were reported across all of the divisions within our Rail Products segment, generally from improved volumes, over the prior year quarter. Our transit division’s growth in volumes continued to be aided by Federal stimulus spending. Due to increased 2010 purchases by the UPRR at both our Grand Island, NE and Tucson, AZ facilities, our sales of CXT concrete ties increased over the prior year quarter. Additionally, these two facilities had improved 2010 sales volumes from other customers. Finally, our new Portec subsidiary contributed \$4.8 million in additional net sales from the acquisition date.

While product mix led to our transit products division’s gross profit margin decreasing during the 2010 fourth quarter, the rest of our rail divisions experienced gross profit margin expansion over the 2009 period. Our rail distribution business and ARP division benefitted from reduced unabsorbed plant expenses while our relay rail distribution business was negatively impacted in the prior year quarter by lower of cost or market charges. Portec increased our Rail Products segment gross profit by approximately \$0.6 million, which included an increase to cost of goods sold of \$0.8 million related to recognition of a portion of the inventory step-up to fair value from Portec’s purchase price allocation.

Our CXT concrete tie division’s gross profit margin increased over the prior year quarter from a favorable \$1.8 million resolution of a supply chain dispute. Partially offsetting this were warranty charges of \$0.8 million recorded in the 2010 fourth quarter.

Table of Contents

Construction Products

| | Three Months Ended | | Increase/(Decrease) | | Percent | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| | December 31, | | 2010 vs. 2009 | | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 77,365 | \$ 58,828 | \$ 18,537 | | 31.5 % | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 11,580 | \$ 9,086 | \$ 2,494 | | 27.4 % | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 15.0 % | 15.4 % | (0.5) % | | (3.1) % | |

Fourth Quarter 2010 Compared to Fourth Quarter 2009

All of our Construction Products divisions reported improved sales during the 2010 fourth quarter. Our piling division drove the increase with improved sales volumes of sheet piling, which more than offset pricing declines experienced by all piling products. Our concrete buildings division benefitted from significantly increased sales to federal agencies, a significant portion of which was attributable to stimulus legislation. Our fabricated products division sales improvement came predominately from stronger bridge decking sales.

While our fabricated products division was able to leverage increased volumes into expanded gross profit margins, overall our 2010 Construction Products gross profit margins were comparatively flat with the 2009 period. Our piling division's sales growth in the current quarter came at the expense of lower pricing due to commodity price declines as well as an extremely competitive environment, while our concrete buildings division's gross profit margins were hampered by increased plant expenses and lower margin products typically purchased by the federal agencies that drove the sales increase previously noted.

Tubular Products

| | Three Months Ended | | Increase/(Decrease) | | Percent | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| | December 31, | | 2010 vs. 2009 | | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 7,020 | \$ 5,390 | \$ 1,630 | | 30.2 % | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 1,830 | \$ (851) | \$ 2,681 | | 315.0 % | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 26.1 % | (15.8) % | 41.9 % | | 265.1 % | |

Fourth Quarter 2010 Compared to Fourth Quarter 2009

Our coated products division continued to rebound from the recession driven downturn it experienced in the prior year, again more than doubling its 2009 quarter sales. This improvement was partially offset by reduced 2010 fourth quarter revenues from our threaded products division. This division's prior year period benefitted from a pricing strategy designed to accelerate our exit from the micropile market.

Our Tubular Products 2010 fourth quarter gross profit was significantly improved as our coated pipe division reported strong results and our threaded products division returned to profitability after being negatively impacted by reductions in pipe pricing, unfavorable manufacturing variances and slow moving inventory charges in the prior year period.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date Results of Operations

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | Percent of Total Net Revenues Year Ended December 31, | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | 2009 vs. 2008 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|---|---------|---------|---|----------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | | | |
| Net Sales: | | | | | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 212,240 | \$ 186,401 | \$ 244,494 | 44.7 % | 46.1 % | 45.3 % | 13.9 % | (23.8) % | |
| Construction Products | 235,591 | 196,480 | 259,247 | 49.6 | 48.6 | 48.1 | 19.9 | (24.2) | |
| Tubular Products | 27,219 | 21,139 | 35,495 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 28.8 | (40.4) | |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 475,050 | \$ 404,020 | \$ 539,236 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 17.6 % | (25.1) % | |

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | Gross Profit Percentage Year Ended December 31, | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | 2009 vs. 2008 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--------|--------|---|----------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Profit: | | | | | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 27,352 | \$ 16,056 | \$ 35,815 | 12.9 % | 8.6 % | 14.6 % | 70.4 % | (55.2) % | |
| Construction Products | 40,381 | 33,390 | 49,369 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 20.9 | (32.4) | |
| Tubular Products | 5,782 | 1,148 | 9,158 | 21.2 | 5.4 | 25.8 | 403.7 | (87.5) | |
| LIFO Credit/(Expense) | 2,276 | 11,039 | (12,710) | 0.5 | 2.7 | (2.4) | (79.4) | 186.9 | |
| Other | (1,208) | (1,775) | (1,414) | (0.3) | (0.4) | (0.3) | (31.9) | 25.5 | |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 74,583 | \$ 59,858 | \$ 80,218 | 15.7 % | 14.8 % | 14.9 % | 24.6 % | (25.4) % | |

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | Percent of Total Net Revenues Year Ended December 31, | | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | 2009 vs. 2008 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|-------|-------|---|----------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | \$ 42,588 | \$ 35,501 | \$ 40,969 | 9.0 % | 8.8 % | 7.6 % | 20.0 % | (13.3) % | |
| Interest Expense | 1,003 | 1,292 | 1,995 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | (22.4) | (35.2) | |
| Gain on Sale of DM&E Investment | - | - | (2,022) | - | - | (0.4) | - | (100.0) | |
| Gain on Sale of Houston, TX Property | - | - | (1,486) | - | - | (0.3) | - | (100.0) | |
| Gain on Marketable | (1,364) | (1,194) | - | (0.3) | (0.3) | - | 14.2 | ** | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Securities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity in Losses | | | | | | | | | | |
| of | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nonconsolidated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 213 | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | ** | - | | |
| Interest Income | (403) | (789) | (2,675) | (0.1) | (0.2) | (0.5) | (48.9) | (70.5) | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Income)/Expense | (196) | (435) | 158 | 0.0 | (0.1) | 0.0 | (54.9) | (375.3) | | |
| Total Expenses | 41,841 | 34,375 | 36,939 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 21.7 | (6.9) | | |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 32,742 | 25,483 | 43,279 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 28.5 | (41.1) | | |
| Income Tax Expense | 12,250 | 9,756 | 15,533 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 25.6 | (37.2) | | |
| Net Income | \$ 20,492 | \$ 15,727 | \$ 27,746 | 4.3 % | 3.9 % | 5.1 % | 30.3 % | (43.3) % | | |

** Results of calculation are not material for presentation purposes.

Table of Contents

The Year 2010 Compared to the Year 2009 – Company Analysis

Net income for 2010 was \$1.98 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$1.53 per diluted share for 2009. While the prior year period included a pre-tax gain of \$1.2 million on the sale of a portion of our investment in Portec, 2010 included a pre-tax gain of \$1.4 million recognized on the remaining available-for-sale investment due to the requirement to remeasure its fair value on the acquisition date. The 2010 improvement in gross profit is due, in part, to negative adjustments of approximately \$5.3 million taken in the 2009 period related to concrete tie issues as well as a significant current period improvement in manufacturing variances. Gross profit also increased over the prior year due to a favorable \$1.8 million resolution of a supply chain dispute. We account for a portion of our inventory under the LIFO method. The LIFO reserve requirements can be positively or negatively impacted by falling or rising prices. The credit to gross profit resulting from this LIFO adjustment was \$8.8 million lower in 2010 than in 2009, as there was greater price volatility in the prior year.

The foremost causes of the increased Selling and Administrative costs for the 2010 year-to-date period were \$2.4 million for acquisition costs, \$2.1 million for compensation expenses and \$0.8 million for bad debt expense, due to a recovery recorded in 2009.

For 2010, we recorded a \$0.2 million loss from our JV equity method investment. Our effective income tax rate for the 2010 period, 37.4% decreased compared to the 2009 period, 38.3%, due to increased domestic manufacturing credits partially offset by certain acquisition costs that were not deductible for tax purposes.

The Year 2009 Compared to the Year 2008 – Company Analysis

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$1.53 per diluted share which compares to net income of \$2.57 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in 2009 net income is the pre-tax gain associated with the sale of marketable securities (\$1.2 million). Included in net income for the prior year were pre-tax gains from the receipt of escrow proceeds related to the sale of our investment in the DM&E Railroad (\$2.0 million) and the sale-leaseback of our Houston, TX facility (\$1.5 million).

Due to the general declining price environment and reduced volumes caused by the recession in 2009, our gross profit was negatively impacted by market-related inventory adjustments and increased unfavorable manufacturing variances. These negative impacts were entirely mitigated by reduced LIFO reserve requirements for the full year 2009, while the prior year period included increased reserve requirements, and led to flat gross profit margins year over year.

The primary reasons for reduced selling and administrative costs were reduced incentive compensation, travel and entertainment expenses and bad debt expense. Interest expense decreased from the prior year period due primarily to reduced borrowings and secondarily lower interest rates. Sharply reduced interest rates resulted in lower interest income earned on our various cash and cash equivalent instruments. We sold a portion of our investment in marketable securities and realized a pre-tax gain of \$1.2 million. Income taxes in 2009 were recorded at approximately 38.3%, as compared to the prior year period rate of 35.9%, due to a lower manufacturing credit, state return to provision adjustments and reduced tax exempt interest income.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Twelve Months Ended | | | | | | Percent | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------------------|--|---------------|--|
| | December 31, | | | | | | Increase/(Decrease) | | | |
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2010 vs. 2009 | | 2009 vs. 2008 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 212,240 | \$ 186,401 | \$ 244,494 | \$ 25,839 | \$ (58,093) | 13.9 % | (23.8) % | | | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 27,352 | \$ 16,056 | \$ 35,815 | \$ 11,296 | \$ (19,759) | 70.4 % | (55.2) % | | | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 12.9 % | 8.6 % | 14.6 % | 4.3 % | (6.0) % | 49.6 % | (41.2) % | | | |

The Year 2010 Compared to the Year 2009

The leader of sales growth within our Rail Products segment was our CXT concrete tie division. In addition to increased purchases by the UPRR in 2010, prior year sales by this division were reduced by \$2.8 million when the UPRR refused to accept certain ties produced at our Grand Island, NE facility due to the alleged quality of certain raw materials used in the manufacturing process. Both our ARP and transit divisions benefitted from improved volumes over the prior year period. Finally, our new Portec subsidiary contributed \$4.8 million in additional net sales since the acquisition date.

Improvements in our Rail Products gross profit were due primarily to the prior year charges of \$5.3 million related to concrete tie issues which occurred in 2009. Gross profit also increased over the prior year due to a favorable \$1.8 million resolution of a supply chain dispute. Additionally, improvements in selling margins including improved material variances, as well as improved manufacturing variances, had a positive impact on 2010 reported results. Lastly, while Portec increased our Rail Products segment gross profit by approximately \$0.6 million, it had no impact on our gross profit margin. Portec's gross profit included an increase to cost of goods sold of \$0.8 million related to recognition of a portion of the inventory step-up to fair value from Portec's purchase price allocation.

Excluding the \$5.3 million in concrete tie gross profit charges, our Rail Products segment gross profit would have increased approximately 140 basis points compared to the 2009 period. Our 2011 expectations for our Rail Products segment include:

- Increased capital expenditure spending by the Class I railroads.
 - A continued soft industrial market.
- Challenges from reduced sales related to the loss of the UPRR contract at our Grand Island, NE tie facility.
 - Sales and gross profit growth resulting from the Portec acquisition.

The Year 2009 Compared to the Year 2008

Reduced market demand within our rail distribution business drove the overall decrease in Rail Products sales for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to 2008. Depressed market conditions reduced sales in our transit products division compared to its record sales year in 2008.

Market conditions and low UPRR purchasing levels led to overall lower demand and sales of our CXT concrete ties throughout 2009. Also, our Grand Island, NE facility was negatively impacted by below-specification raw materials

that led the UPRR to reject certain concrete ties.

Table of Contents

Gross profit margin declined across most of our divisions within our Rail Products segment. Two causes of our reduced gross profit related to the following two specific issues at our concrete tie division. The first is the cumulative impact during 2009 of approximately \$2.7 million in warranty charges related to in-track failures of our prestressed concrete railroad ties. The second is the \$2.6 million of gross profit charges associated with the rejection by the UPRR of concrete railroad ties which contained below-specification raw materials. The remainder of the divisions experienced margin contraction during 2009 associated, generally, with demand and pricing declines with the exception of our ARP division. The demand declines resulted in decreased production volumes and caused increased unabsorbed plant expenses and increased charges for slow-moving and obsolete inventory. Notable unfavorable slow-moving and obsolete inventory charges were \$0.7 million recorded by our rail distribution business and \$0.6 million by our concrete tie businesses. The pricing declines contributed to unfavorable inventory adjustments.

Construction Products

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | Increase/(Decrease) | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 235,591 | \$ 196,480 | \$ 259,247 | \$ 39,111 | \$ (62,767) | 19.9 % | (24.2) % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 40,381 | \$ 33,390 | \$ 49,369 | \$ 6,991 | \$ (15,979) | 20.9 % | (32.4) % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 17.1 % | 17.0 % | 19.0 % | 0.1 % | (2.0) % | 0.9 % | (10.8) % |

The Year 2010 Compared to the Year 2009

All of the divisions within our Construction Products segment experienced strong sales increases in 2010. Our piling division's robust 2010 growth in sales volumes of sheet piling more than offset any pricing declines experienced by other piling products. Additionally, our concrete building division, realizing benefits from Federal spending partially due to the stimulus legislation, delivered sales growth in 2010. Finally, our fabricated products division continued to benefit from customer preference for our bridge decking solution. While sales have improved somewhat in 2010 from their 2009 levels, the backlog within this division is up approximately 52% over 2009.

Our Construction Products gross profit margin improved in all divisions with the exception of piling. Our piling division has experienced margin compression due to an intensely competitive bidding environment and a weak industrial market.

We continue to see a mixed outlook in our heavy civil and public works construction markets as we experience increased volumes that have been partially offset by declines in pricing. Also, we do not believe that our Construction Products segment will have the opportunities generated from the stimulus bill in 2011 as it did in 2010. A number of other factors are likely to impact our Construction Products segment sales and gross profit. Negative factors impacting these results include:

- Approximately 46 states currently facing, or are projecting to have, fiscal year 2011 budget deficits.
- 2005 federal legislation, SAFETEA-LU, authorizing transportation construction funding which expired in September 2009 and has been temporarily extended through September 30, 2011.
- The heavy civil and public works construction market that we participate in remains moderate nationwide leading to increased competition.

Table of Contents

The Year 2009 Compared to the Year 2008

Improved sales performance from both our fabricated products and concrete buildings divisions was entirely offset by significant sales declines by our piling division. Reduced demand caused by the nationwide softening in our heavy civil and public works construction markets led to reductions in sales volumes and pricing across most of our piling product offerings. Strong bridge activity drove the sales increases within our fabricated products division while increased new orders and sales provided growth within our concrete buildings division.

The impact of volume declines within our piling division and a declining price environment also contributed to the reduction of our Construction Products gross profit margin. Exclusive of the negative gross profit margin impacts from our piling division, the margins of the other divisions within this segment remained comparatively flat over the 2008 period.

Tubular Products

| | Twelve Months Ended | | | Increase/(Decrease) | | Percent | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | December 31, | | | 2010 vs. | | 2009 vs. | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 27,219 | \$ 21,139 | \$ 35,495 | \$ 6,080 | \$ (14,356) | 28.8 % | (40.4) % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 5,782 | \$ 1,148 | \$ 9,158 | \$ 4,634 | \$ (8,010) | 403.7 % | (87.5) % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 21.2 % | 5.4 % | 25.8 % | 15.8 % | (20.4) % | 291.2 % | (79.0) % |

The Year 2010 Compared to the Year 2009

The Tubular Products segment sales increase was driven primarily by our coated products division that rebounded from the recession driven downturn it experienced in 2009 as well as to sales increases in our threaded products division. Our Tubular Products 2010 gross profit was significantly improved as our threaded products division returned to profitability after being negatively impacted by reductions in pipe pricing, unfavorable manufacturing variances and slow moving inventory charges in the prior year period and, to a lesser extent, sales increases in our coated products division.

While the energy markets we participate in are getting stronger, they will be challenged by lower natural gas prices throughout 2011. As a result, our Tubular Products segment heads into 2011 with a significantly reduced backlog compared to when it entered 2010.

The Year 2009 Compared to the Year 2008

Significant weakness in the energy markets served by our Tubular Products segment resulted in reductions in sales volumes as well as profitability.

Reductions in pipe pricing and unfavorable manufacturing variances have had significant, adverse affects to the gross profit margins in our threaded products division. Although our threaded products facility reported a temporary increase in volumes from our strategy involved with exiting the micropile market, due to falling prices and reduced demand, we recorded a lower of cost or market adjustment of approximately \$0.8 million. Also, due to the slow down in market conditions, we recorded a charge of approximately \$0.5 million in this division related to slow-moving

inventory.

36

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table sets forth L.B. Foster's capitalization:

| | December 31, | |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Debt: | In millions | |
| Term loan, due May 2011 | \$- | \$13.1 |
| Capital leases | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| IDSI acquisition notes | 1.9 | - |
| Total Debt | 4.8 | 18.6 |
| Equity | 255.7 | 232.6 |
| Total Capitalization | \$260.5 | \$251.2 |

The Company's need for liquidity relates primarily to seasonal working capital requirements, capital expenditures, joint venture capital obligations, potential additional strategic acquisitions and debt service obligations.

The following table summarizes the impact of these items during the past three years:

| | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|---------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Liquidity needs: | In millions | | |
| Working capital and other assets and liabilities | \$28.7 | \$2.9 | \$(6.7) |
| Common stock purchases | - | (1.9) | (26.5) |
| Capital expenditures | (6.2) | (6.1) | (4.8) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (90.8) | - | - |
| Investments/capital contributions | (0.8) | (1.4) | (1.7) |
| Repayments of term loan | (13.1) | (2.9) | (3.1) |
| Other long-term debt repayments | (10.3) | (6.1) | (3.6) |
| Cash interest paid | (0.8) | (1.1) | (1.9) |
| Net liquidity requirements | (93.3) | (16.6) | (48.3) |
| Liquidity sources: | | | |
| Internally generated cash flows before interest paid | 31.7 | 24.1 | 32.7 |
| Proceeds from the sale of DM&E investment | - | - | 2.0 |
| Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities | - | 2.1 | - |
| Proceeds from asset sales | 10.2 | - | 6.6 |
| Equity transactions | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| Net liquidity sources | 43.3 | 26.4 | 42.3 |
| Net Change in Cash | \$(50.0) | \$9.8 | \$(6.0) |

Cash Flow from Operating Activities

During 2010, cash flow from operations provided \$59.5 million, an increase of \$33.7 million compared to 2009. Net income and adjustments to net income provided \$30.7 million for 2010. This amount was supplemented with cash provided by working capital and other assets and liabilities of \$28.7 million. Even though 2010 fourth quarter sales increased over the prior year comparable period, we were able to reduce accounts receivable and inventory substantially, exclusive of the effects of the Portec acquisition.

During 2009, cash flow from operations provided \$25.7 million, an increase of \$1.6 million compared to 2008. Net income and adjustments to net income provided \$22.9 million for 2009. This amount was supplemented with cash provided by working capital and other assets and liabilities of \$2.9 million. Decreased 2009 fourth quarter sales from the prior year comparable period decreased accounts receivable while declining prices reduced inventory levels. Reduced incentive compensation decreased accrued payroll and employee benefits.

Table of Contents

During 2008, cash flows from operations provided \$24.1 million, an increase of \$28.7 million compared to 2007. Net income and adjustments to net income provided \$30.8 million for 2008. Offsetting this amount was cash used by working capital and other assets and liabilities of \$6.7 million. Higher 2008 fourth quarter sales over the prior comparable period increased accounts receivable while the settlement of the 2005-2007 Three Year Incentive Plan decreased accrued payroll and employee benefits. Partially offsetting these changes was an increase in trade accounts payable due to commodity cost increases.

Cash Flow from Investing Activities

Investing activities used \$87.6 million during 2010 primarily from our acquisitions of Portec and IDSI, net of Portec cash acquired. Capital expenditures totaled \$6.2 million. Also, we contributed an additional \$0.8 million to our joint venture. These uses were partially offset by the receipt of \$10.2 million in proceeds related to the DOJ required divestiture of the Portec rail joint business.

Investing activities used \$5.4 million during 2009 primarily from capital expenditures totaling \$6.1 million. Also, our capital contributions to our newly formed corporate joint venture used \$1.4 million in 2009. These uses were partially offset by \$2.1 million in proceeds provided by the sale of a portion of our investment in marketable securities. Capital spending during 2009 was primarily for the acquisition of land and construction of a building that will be leased to our joint venture. To a lesser extent, spending was for plant and equipment maintenance and other land uses as well as information technology infrastructure improvements.

In 2008, proceeds of \$6.6 million and \$2.0 million from the aforementioned threaded products facility and DM&E railroad sales, respectively, led to net cash being provided by continuing investing activities. Partially reducing these proceeds were our uses of cash for the purchase of available-for-sale equity securities of \$1.7 million and capital expenditures of \$4.8 million. Spending during 2008 was primarily for maintenance capital, productivity improvement and equipment spending at our manufacturing facilities and information technology enhancements.

Cash Flow from Financing Activities

In connection with the receipt of the rail joint business divestiture proceeds, we repaid all outstanding debt of Portec, approximating \$7.5 million. Additionally, we repaid our term loan of approximately \$13.1 million in December 2010.

Scheduled term loan repayments totaled \$2.9 million in 2009 while repayments of other debt, including the acceleration of repayment of certain other long-term debt instruments that had high interest rates and/or no prepayment penalties, totaled \$6.1 million. Additionally, during 2009 we used \$1.9 million to repurchase additional shares of our Common stock under share repurchase programs which began in the prior year period.

In 2008, purchases of our Common stock under applicable share repurchase programs of \$26.5 million was the primary use of cash for financing activities. Additionally, term loan repayments of \$3.1 million and repayments of other long-term debt of \$3.6 million contributed to net cash used by financing activities.

Financial Condition

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$74.8 million in cash and cash equivalents after our predominately cash acquisitions of Portec and IDSI, which approximated \$90.8 million, net of cash acquired, and a revolving credit facility with approximately \$60.2 million of availability while carrying only \$4.8 million in total debt. We believe this capacity will afford us the flexibility to take advantage of opportunities that we may encounter as well as weather the continued weak economy while working to successfully integrate the operations of Portec.

Table of Contents

Included within cash and cash equivalents are primarily investments in tax-free and taxable money market funds. The money market funds include municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities as well as government agency obligations and corporate bonds all of which maintain AAA credit ratings. Our priority continues to be the maintenance of our principal balances.

Our revolving credit agreement, which expires in May 2011, provides for up to \$90.0 million in borrowings to support our working capital and other liquidity requirements. Borrowings under this agreement are secured by substantially all the trade receivables and inventory owned by us, and are limited to 85% of eligible receivables and 60% of eligible inventory. We are currently negotiating a new credit agreement with a consortium of banks that we expect to have completed by April 2011.

Revolving credit facility borrowings placed in LIBOR contracts are priced at prevailing LIBOR rates, plus 1.25%. Borrowings placed in other tranches are priced at the prevailing prime rate, minus 1.00%. The term loan base rate spread is fixed at prime minus 0.75% and the LIBOR spread is fixed at plus 1.50%. We paid off the term loan in December 2010. As of December 31, 2010 we were in compliance with all of the Agreement's covenants.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

A summary of the Company's required payments under financial instruments and other commitments at December 31, 2010 are presented in the following table:

| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | More than 5 years |
|--|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Contractual Obligations | In thousands | | | | |
| IDSI acquisition notes (1) | \$1,920 | \$995 | \$925 | \$- | \$- |
| Imputed interest on IDSI acquisition notes (1) | 30 | 25 | 5 | - | - |
| Capital leases (2) | 2,881 | 1,408 | 1,460 | 13 | - |
| Interest on capital leases (2) | 221 | 160 | 60 | 1 | - |
| Portec acquisition (3) | 8,952 | 8,952 | - | - | - |
| Pension contributions | 10,991 | 891 | 1,869 | 2,078 | 6,153 |
| Operating leases | 12,804 | 3,128 | 4,546 | 3,114 | 2,016 |
| Purchase obligations not reflected in the financial statements | 29,434 | 29,434 | - | - | - |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$67,233 | \$44,993 | \$8,865 | \$5,206 | \$8,169 |
| Other Financial Commitments | | | | | |
| Standby letters of credit | \$1,112 | \$1,112 | \$- | \$- | \$- |

(1) In connection with the acquisition of IDSI, the Company issued promissory notes of \$1.0 million payable on the first and second anniversary of the closing. Imputed interest was calculated at a rate of 2.10%

(2) Capital lease obligations are payable in installments through 2014 and have interest rates, payable monthly, ranging from 1.74% to 8.55%.

(3) This represents the final payment to the former shareholders of Portec common stock which was made in January 2011 pursuant to the completion of the short-form merger.

Other long-term liabilities include items such as income taxes which are not contractual obligations by nature. The Company can not estimate the settlement years for these items and has excluded them from the above table.

Management believes its internal and external sources of funds are adequate to meet anticipated needs, including those disclosed above, for the foreseeable future.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements include the operating leases, purchase obligations and standby letters of credit disclosed in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section in the contractual obligations table. These arrangements provide the Company with increased flexibility relative to the utilization and investment of cash resources.

Table of Contents

Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad

During 2007, we sold our investment in the DM&E. When this transaction closed, we reserved approximately \$2.1 million of the proceeds which were held in escrow to secure certain of the DM&E's obligations. This amount was fully reserved due to the uncertainty surrounding the amount of any future payout as well as the timing of such payout.

During the first quarter of 2008, upon completion of the buyer's working capital audit, the applicable proceeds were released from escrow pursuant to a favorable working capital adjustment. We recognized a pre-tax gain of approximately \$2.0 million related to the receipt of these proceeds.

Outlook

Our businesses and results of operations have been impacted by the downturn in the global economy. We believe that the recession, continued credit concerns and expected reductions in federal and state government spending will present challenges to many of the end markets to which we sell. As a result of continued soft demand for certain of our products as well as heightened competition, we expect to battle margin compression for at least the next six months. We expect to be challenged in 2011 by moderating sales volumes, production volumes and heightened competition caused by the recessionary economic environment. However, we also expect to be profitable and to generate positive cash flow. We believe that when conditions do improve the markets we participate in will be poised to benefit.

We completed the acquisition of Portec in December 2010. Possible impacts from the merger could result in the loss of key employees, result in the disruption of one or more of its ongoing businesses or identify inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect one or more of its operations' ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers or creditors. Employee retention before, during or after the combination may be challenging as employees may experience uncertainty about future roles until strategies with regard to the combined company are announced or executed.

The application of acquisition accounting guidance required us to write-up Portec inventory by approximately \$3.2 million to fair value less costs to sell. This will negatively impact our Rail Products segments gross profit as this increase is recognized in cost of goods sold. We recognized approximately \$0.8 million of this reduction in 2010. We expect this temporary reduction in gross profit, based on our preliminary estimate of the fair value of inventory less costs to sell, will last through the first quarter of 2011.

We have received increased orders from the UPRR for concrete ties at our Tucson, AZ facility increasing capacity utilization to approximately 90%.

As of December 31, 2010, we maintained a warranty reserve approximating \$2.2 million for potential warranty claims associated with concrete railroad ties. While we believe this is a reasonable estimate of our potential warranty claims, there can be no assurance that future potential costs pertaining to this claim or other potential future claims will not have a material impact on our results of operations.

Our agreements with the UPRR called for their purchasing concrete ties from our Grand Island, NE facility through 2010 and from our Tucson, AZ facility through 2012. In December 2010, the UPRR opted not to exercise their right to extend the supply agreement for the Grand Island, NE plant. We do not believe that the closure of this facility will have a significant, adverse impact on our results of operations or our liquidity.

Our ARP facilities in Niles, OH and Pueblo, CO have contracts with Class 1 railroads that are periodically subject to renewal which account for a significant portion of this division's business. If we are unable to successfully renew these contracts, our results of operations and financial position could be negatively impacted. Our two largest customers for this business have recently renewed their agreements for the next five years.

Table of Contents

Certain of our businesses rely heavily on spending authorized by the federal highway and transportation funding bill, SAFETEA-LU, enacted in August 2005. This legislation authorized \$286 billion for United States transportation improvement spending over a six-year period and expired in September 2009. On March 4, 2011, the United States Congress extended this legislation through September 30, 2011. While certain estimates of the amounts that may be authorized under successor legislation to SAFETEA-LU range from \$250 to \$550 billion, there is significant uncertainty as to the timing of the renewal of this multi-year surface transportation legislation and the potential impact it may have on our markets. SAFETEA-LU was not approved until nearly two years after the previous authorization expired. This delay had a materially detrimental impact upon the demand and spending levels in certain markets where we participated during 2003 to 2005.

We entered into a joint venture to manufacture, market and sell various products for the energy, utility and construction markets. In connection with the amended joint venture agreement we were required to make capital contributions of \$2.2 million. No assurances can be given that additional capital contributions will not be required or that the joint venture will perform in accordance with our expectations.

Although backlog is not necessarily indicative of future operating results, total Company backlog at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$189.3 million. The following table provides the backlog by business segment:

| | December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In thousands | | |
| Backlog: | | | |
| Rail Products | \$86,404 | \$54,505 | \$69,912 |
| Construction Products | 102,173 | 120,845 | 58,013 |
| Tubular Products | 720 | 3,221 | 6,527 |
| Total Backlog | \$189,297 | \$178,571 | \$134,452 |

Included within Rail Products in the above table is approximately \$16.0 million in backlog acquired from the acquisition of Portec.

We continue to evaluate the performance of our various operations. A decision to sell, down-size or terminate an existing operation could have a material adverse effect on near-term earnings but would not be expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company.

We completed the merger with Portec in December 2010. No assurances can be given that we will achieve the synergies and other benefits we expect from the integration as successfully or rapidly as projected, if at all.

Statements relating to the value of the Company's share of potential future contingent payments related to the DM&E merger with the Canadian Pacific Railway Limited (CP) are forward-looking statements and are subject to numerous contingencies and risk factors. The CP has stated that it may take several years for it to determine whether to construct the Powder River Basin Expansion Project.

Our businesses could be affected adversely by significant changes in the price of steel, concrete, and other raw materials or the availability of existing and new piling and rail products. Our operating results may also be affected negatively by adverse weather conditions.

Table of Contents

A substantial portion of our operations are heavily dependent on governmental funding of infrastructure projects. Many of these projects have “Buy America” or “Buy American” provisions. Significant changes in the level of government funding of these projects could have a favorable or unfavorable impact on our operating results. Additionally, government actions concerning “Buy America” provisions, taxation, tariffs, the environment, or other matters could impact our operating results.

Unexpected events including production delays or other problems encountered at our manufacturing facilities, equipment failures, failure to meet product specifications, additional concrete railroad tie defects and the availability of existing and new piling and rail products may cause our operating costs to increase or otherwise impact our financial performance.

/s/ David J. Russo
David J. Russo
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial and Accounting Officer,
and Treasurer

/s/Linda K. Patterson
Linda K. Patterson
Controller

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within other income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in a derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the interest rate being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The Company is subject to exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company manages its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions. The Company did not engage in significant foreign currency hedging transactions during the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, and no foreign currency hedges remained outstanding as of December 31, 2010. Realized gains or losses from foreign currency hedges did not exceed \$0.1 million in any of the three years ended December 31, 2010. However, with the acquisition of Portec, the Company may increase its foreign currency hedging activity in future periods.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
L. B. Foster Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2011, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 16, 2011

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
L. B. Foster Company

We have audited L.B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Portec Rail Products, Inc., which is included in the December 31, 2010 consolidated financial statements of L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries and constituted \$152.8 million of total assets as of December 31, 2010 and \$4.8 million of net sales for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Portec Rail Products, Inc.

In our opinion, L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 16, 2011

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | In thousands, except share data | |
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$74,800 | \$124,845 |
| Accounts receivable - net | 69,697 | 61,178 |
| Inventories - net | 90,367 | 98,982 |
| Current deferred tax assets | 911 | 3,678 |
| Prepaid income tax | 972 | 248 |
| Other current assets | 2,535 | 1,161 |
| Total Current Assets | 239,282 | 290,092 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT - NET | 46,336 | 37,407 |
| Goodwill | 44,369 | 350 |
| Other intangibles - net | 45,079 | 25 |
| Investments | 1,987 | 3,358 |
| Other assets | 1,663 | 362 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$378,716 | \$331,594 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Current maturities of other long-term debt | \$2,402 | \$2,787 |
| Current maturities of term loan | - | 2,619 |
| Accounts payable - trade | 45,533 | 47,849 |
| Deferred revenue | 16,868 | 13,990 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | 9,054 | 6,106 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 22,962 | 6,409 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 96,819 | 79,760 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT, TERM LOAN | - | 10,476 |
| OTHER LONG-TERM DEBT | 2,399 | 2,721 |
| DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES | 11,863 | 319 |
| OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES | 11,888 | 5,726 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (Note 22) | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Common stock, issued 10,277,138 shares in 2010 and 10,163,964 shares in 2009 | 111 | 111 |
| Paid-in capital | 47,286 | 47,660 |
| Retained earnings | 233,279 | 212,787 |
| Treasury stock - at cost, Common stock, 814,249 shares in 2010 and 927,423 shares in 2009 | (23,861) | (27,574) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1,068) | (392) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 255,747 | 232,592 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$378,716 | \$331,594 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

46

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR
THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | In thousands, except per share data | | |
| NET SALES | \$ 475,050 | \$ 404,020 | \$ 539,236 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES: | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 400,467 | 344,162 | 459,018 |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 42,588 | 35,501 | 40,969 |
| Interest expense | 1,003 | 1,292 | 1,995 |
| Equity in losses of nonconsolidated investment | 213 | - | - |
| Gain on marketable securities | (1,364) | (1,194) | - |
| Gain on sale of DM&E investment | - | - | (2,022) |
| Gain on sale of Houston, TX property | - | - | (1,486) |
| Interest income | (403) | (789) | (2,675) |
| Other (income) expense | (196) | (435) | 158 |
| | 442,308 | 378,537 | 495,957 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 32,742 | 25,483 | 43,279 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 12,250 | 9,756 | 15,533 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 20,492 | \$ 15,727 | \$ 27,746 |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE | \$ 2.01 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 2.60 |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 2.57 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial
Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR
THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------------|----------|-----------|
| | In thousands | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income | \$20,492 | \$15,727 | \$27,746 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities: | | | |
| Gain on sale of marketable securities | (1,364) | (1,194) | - |
| Gain on sale of DM&E investment | - | - | (2,022) |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,130 | (675) | (3,029) |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | (961) | (128) | (171) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,489 | 8,720 | 8,901 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment | 10 | 32 | (1,473) |
| Deferred gain amortization on sale-leaseback | (215) | (215) | (179) |
| Equity in losses of nonconsolidated investments | 213 | - | - |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,944 | 653 | 948 |
| Unrealized loss on derivative mark-to-market | 11 | 22 | 76 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 11,397 | 3,135 | (10,703) |
| Inventories | 30,606 | 3,934 | (469) |
| Other current assets | 10 | 60 | (90) |
| Prepaid income taxes | 462 | (120) | - |
| Other noncurrent assets | 88 | 40 | 2 |
| Accounts payable – trade | (13,158) | (773) | 8,923 |
| Deferred revenue | 667 | - | - |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | (1,396) | (1,894) | (4,289) |
| Other current liabilities | 897 | (1,379) | (1,039) |
| Other liabilities | (840) | (205) | 965 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 59,482 | 25,740 | 24,097 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Acquisitions, net of cash received | (90,807) | - | - |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets, property, plant and equipment | 10,179 | 1 | 6,621 |
| Capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment | (6,160) | (6,107) | (4,836) |
| Capital contributions to equity method investment | (800) | (1,400) | - |
| Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities | - | 2,115 | - |
| Purchase of investments | - | - | (1,734) |
| Proceeds from the sale of DM&E investment | - | - | 2,022 |
| Net Cash (Used) Provided by Investing Activities | (87,588) | (5,391) | 2,073 |

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR
THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | In thousands | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Repayments of term loan | (13,095) | (2,857) | (3,095) |
| Repayments of other long-term debt | (10,288) | (6,051) | (3,641) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock awards | 451 | 65 | 854 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 961 | 128 | 171 |
| Treasury stock acquisitions | (17) | (1,863) | (26,482) |
| Net Cash Used by Financing Activities | (21,988) | (10,578) | (32,193) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 49 | - | - |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (50,045) | 9,771 | (6,023) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 124,845 | 115,074 | 121,097 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$74,800 | \$124,845 | \$115,074 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | |
| Interest Paid | \$806 | \$1,122 | \$1,887 |
| Income Taxes Paid | \$10,805 | \$13,381 | \$18,848 |
| Portec Available-for-Sale Securities Redeemed as part of Acquisition | \$2,158 | \$- | \$- |
| Capital Expenditures Funded through Capital Leases | \$199 | \$- | \$- |
| Accrued Portec Purchase Price Funded in January 2011 | \$8,952 | \$- | \$- |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. Foster Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2010

| | Common Stock | Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | Total |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|------------|
| In thousands, except share data | | | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2008 | \$ 109 | \$ 45,147 | \$ 169,314 | \$ - | \$ (744) | \$ 213,826 |
| Net income | | | 27,746 | | | 27,746 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Pension liability adjustment | | | | | (769) | (769) |
| Unrealized derivative loss on cash flow hedges | | | | | 76 | 76 |
| Market value adjustments for investments | | | | | 725 | 725 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 27,778 |
| Purchase of 865,532 Common shares for Treasury | | | | (26,482) | | (26,482) |
| Issuance of 176,342 Common shares, net of forfeitures | 2 | 2,438 | | | | 2,440 |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 111 | 47,585 | 197,060 | (26,482) | (712) | 217,562 |
| Net income | | | 15,727 | | | 15,727 |
| Other comprehensive income net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Pension liability adjustment | | | | | 292 | 292 |
| Unrealized derivative loss on cash flow hedges | | | | | 23 | 23 |
| Market value adjustments for investments | | | | | 5 | 5 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 16,047 |
| Purchase of 86,141 Common shares for Treasury | | | | (1,863) | | (1,863) |
| Issuance of 24,250 Common shares, net of forfeitures | | 75 | | 771 | | 846 |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 111 | 47,660 | 212,787 | (27,574) | (392) | 232,592 |
| Net income | | | 20,492 | | | 20,492 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Pension liability adjustment | | | | | (74) | (74) |
| Unrealized derivative gain on cash flow hedges | | | | | 11 | 11 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 117 | 117 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Market value adjustments for investments | | | | (730) | | (730) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 19,816 |
| Purchase of 493 Common shares for Treasury | | | | (17) | | (17) |
| Issuance of 113,667 Common shares, net of forfeitures | | (374) | | 3,730 | | 3,356 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 111 | \$ 47,286 | \$ 233,279 | \$ (23,861) | \$ (1,068) | \$ 255,747 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Note 1.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of financial statement presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, ventures and partnerships in which a controlling interest is held. Inter-company transactions have been eliminated. The Company utilizes the equity method of accounting for companies where its ownership is less than or equal to 50% and significant influence exists.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers cash and other instruments with maturities of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash and cash equivalents.

Cash equivalents principally consist of investments in money market funds at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The Company invests available funds in a manner to maximize returns, preserve investment principle and maintain liquidity while seeking the highest yield available.

The following table summarizes the Company's investment in money market funds at December 31:

| | 2010 | |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | Cost | Fair Value |
| | In thousands | |
| Federated Municipal Obligations – Institutional | \$ 41,403 | \$ 41,403 |
| BlackRock Liquidity Temporary Fund - Institutional | 14,556 | 14,556 |
| | \$ 55,959 | \$ 55,959 |
| | 2009 | |
| | Cost | Fair Value |
| | In thousands | |
| Federated Municipal Obligations - Institutional | \$ 52,462 | \$ 52,462 |
| Federated Tax Free Obligations Fund - Institutional | 25,554 | 25,554 |
| Fidelity Prime Money Market Fund | 19,824 | 19,824 |
| BlackRock Liquidity Municipal Fund - Institutional | 10,727 | 10,727 |
| BlackRock Liquidity Temporary Fund - Institutional | 564 | 564 |
| | \$ 109,131 | \$ 109,131 |

The above investments are all tax-free money market funds with municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities all of which maintained AAA credit agency ratings. The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

Cash equivalents additionally consisted of investment in bank certificates of deposit of approximately \$15,115,000 at December 31, 2009. There were no such instruments as of December 31, 2010. The carrying amounts approximated fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

Inventories

Certain inventories are valued at the lower of the last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market. Approximately 59% in 2010 and 51% in 2009, of the Company's inventory is valued at average cost or market, whichever is lower. Slow-moving inventory is reviewed and adjusted regularly, based upon product knowledge, physical inventory observation, and the age of the inventory.

Table of Contents

Property, plant and equipment

Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are charged to operations as incurred. Major renewals and betterments which substantially extend the useful life of the property are capitalized at cost. Upon sale or other disposition of assets, the costs and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss, if any, is reflected in income.

Depreciation and amortization are provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of 25 to 40 years for buildings and 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over 2 to 7 years which represent the lives of the respective leases or the lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter. The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

The Company capitalizes interest costs on long-term assets constructed for its own use. Interest is capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful lives of those assets. There was no capitalized interest in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded to reflect the ultimate realization of the Company's accounts receivable and includes assessment of the probability of collection and the credit-worthiness of certain customers. Reserves for uncollectible accounts are recorded as part of selling and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company records a monthly provision for accounts receivable that are considered to be uncollectible. In order to calculate the appropriate monthly provision, the Company reviews its accounts receivable aging and calculates an allowance through application of historic reserve factors to overdue receivables. This calculation is supplemented by specific account reviews performed by the Company's credit department. As necessary, the application of the Company's allowance rates to specific customers are reviewed and adjusted to more accurately reflect the credit risk inherent within that customer relationship.

Investments

Investments in marketable equity securities are classified as "available-for-sale" and are recorded at fair value with unrealized gains and temporary losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, respectively. The Company regularly reviews its available-for-sale investments to determine whether a decline in fair value below the cost basis is other than temporary. If the decline in fair value is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of the security is written down to fair value and the amount of the write-down is included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company utilizes the specific identification method to determine the cost of the securities sold. As of December 31, 2010, the Company did not hold any available-for-sale equity securities.

Investments in companies in which the Company has the ability to exert significant influence, but not control, over operating and financial policies (generally 20% to 50% ownership) are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method, investments are initially recorded at cost and adjusted for dividends and undistributed earnings and losses. The equity method of accounting requires a company to recognize a loss in the value of an equity method investment that is other than a temporary decline.

Table of Contents

Goodwill and other intangible assets

In accordance with FASB ASC 350 “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other,” and applicable guidance, goodwill is tested annually for impairment or more often if there are indicators of impairment. The goodwill impairment test involves comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a second step is required to measure the goodwill impairment loss. This step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill to the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss equal to the excess is recorded as a component of continuing operations. The Company performs its annual impairment tests as of October 1st. No goodwill impairment was recognized during 2010, 2009 or 2008.

As required by applicable guidance, the Company reassessed the useful lives of its identifiable intangible assets and determined that no changes were required. The Company has no indefinite-lived intangible assets. All intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives ranging from 5 to 25 years, with a total weighted average amortization period of approximately twenty years, as of December 31, 2010.

See Note 4, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets,” for additional information including regarding the Company’s goodwill and other intangible assets.

Environmental remediation and compliance

Environmental remediation costs are accrued when the liability is probable and costs are estimable. Environmental compliance costs, which principally include the disposal of waste generated by routine operations, are expensed as incurred. Capitalized environmental costs are depreciated, when appropriate, over their useful life. Reserves are not reduced by potential claims for recovery. Claims for recovery are recognized as agreements are reached with third parties or as amounts are received. Reserves are periodically reviewed and adjusted to reflect current remediation progress, prospective estimates of required activity, and other factors that may be relevant, including changes in technology or regulations. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company maintained environmental reserves approximating \$2,599,000 and \$625,000, respectively.

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by using the weighted average of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and restricted stock utilizing the treasury stock method.

Revenue recognition

The Company’s revenues are composed of product sales and products and services provided under long-term contracts. For product sales, the Company recognizes revenue upon transfer of title to the customer. Title generally passes to the customer upon shipment. In limited cases, title does not transfer and revenue is not recognized until the customer has received the products at its physical location. Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of goods sold. Revenues for products under long-term contracts are generally recognized using the percentage-of-completion method based upon the proportion of actual costs incurred to estimated total costs. For certain products, the percentage of completion is based upon actual labor costs to estimated total labor costs. Revenues recognized using percentage of completion were less than 10% of the Company’s consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Revenues from contract change orders and claims are recognized when the settlement is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Contract costs include all direct material, labor, subcontract costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance. Costs in excess of billings are classified as work-in-process inventory and generally comprise less than 5% of the Company's inventory at cost.

Table of Contents

Fair value of financial instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, investments, accounts payable, short-term and long-term debt, and foreign currency forward contracts.

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2010 and 2009 approximate fair value. See Note 20, "Fair Value Measurements," for additional information.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Stock-based compensation

The Company applies the provisions of FASB ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation," to account for the Company's share-based compensation. Under the guidance, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award. The expense is recognized over the employees' requisite service period, generally the vesting period of the award.

Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within Other Income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in the derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the risk being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

The Company is subject to exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company manages its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions. The Company did not engage in significant foreign currency hedging transactions during the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, and no foreign currency hedges remained outstanding as of December 31, 2010. Realized gains or losses from foreign currency hedges did not exceed \$0.1 million in any of the three years ended December 31, 2010.

For more information concerning the fair value measurements of these instruments, refer to Note 20, "Fair Value Measurements."

Product Liability

The Company maintains a current liability for the repair or replacement of defective products. For certain manufactured products, an accrual is made on a monthly basis as a percentage of cost of sales. For long-term construction products, a liability is established when the claim is known and quantifiable. The product liability accrual

is periodically adjusted based on the identification or resolution of known individual product liability claims. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the product liability was \$4,413,000 and \$3,367,000, respectively. See Note 22, "Commitments and Contingencies" for additional information regarding the product liability.

Table of Contents

Asset retirement obligations

In conjunction with the leases of the Grand Island, NE and Tucson, AZ concrete railroad tie facilities, the Company maintains liabilities for asset retirement obligations (ARO).

A reconciliation of our liability for ARO's at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|-------|
| | In thousands | |
| Asset retirement obligation at beginning of year | \$912 | \$861 |
| Liabilities incurred | - | - |
| Revisions in estimated cash flows | 287 | - |
| Accretion expense | 48 | 51 |
| Asset retirement obligation at end of year | \$1,247 | \$912 |

Of the total ARO, approximately \$677,000 was recorded in "Other Current Liabilities" with the remainder recorded in "Other Long-Term Liabilities."

Income Taxes

The Company makes judgments regarding the recognition of deferred tax assets and the future realization of these assets. As prescribed by FASB ASC 740 "Income Taxes" and applicable guidance, valuation allowances must be provided for those deferred tax assets for which it is more likely than not (a likelihood more than 50%) that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The guidance requires the Company to evaluate positive and negative evidence regarding the recoverability of deferred tax assets. Determination of whether the positive evidence outweighs the negative and quantification of the valuation allowance requires the Company to make estimates and judgments of future financial results.

The Company evaluates all tax positions taken on the state and federal tax filings to determine if the position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. For positions that meet the more likely than not to be sustained criteria, an evaluation to determine the largest amount of benefit, determined on a cumulative probability basis that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement, is determined. A previously recognized tax position is derecognized when it is subsequently determined that a tax position no longer meets the more likely than not threshold to be sustained. The evaluation of the sustainability of a tax position and the probable amount that is more likely than not is based on judgment, historical experience and on various other assumptions. The results of these estimates, that are not readily apparent from other sources, form the basis for recognizing an uncertain tax position liability. Actual results could differ from those estimates upon subsequent resolution of identified matters. The Company accrues interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in its provision for income taxes.

Foreign Currency Translation

The assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries are measured using the local currency as the functional currency and are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates as of the balance sheet date. Income statement amounts are translated at the weighted-average rates of exchange during the year. The translation adjustment is accumulated as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in determining net income. Included in net income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was a foreign currency transaction loss of approximately \$60,000. There were no such gains or losses for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Reclassifications

Certain accounts in the prior year consolidated financial statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform with the presentation in the current year consolidated financial statements.

55

Table of Contents

New accounting pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued changes to the consolidation guidance applicable to a variable interest entity (VIE). FASB ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation," amends the guidance governing the determination of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, and is, therefore, required to consolidate an entity, by requiring a qualitative analysis rather than a quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis will include, among other things, consideration of who has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This standard also requires continuous reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Previously, FIN 46R required reconsideration of whether an enterprise was the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. FASB ASC 810 also requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with a VIE. The Company adopted the changes issued by the FASB to accounting for VIE's on January 1, 2010.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." This Update provides amendments to FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements," that requires entities to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the Update requires entities to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). The disclosures related to Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements are effective for the Company beginning in 2010 and the disclosures related to Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for the Company in 2011. The Update requires only new disclosures and had no impact on the Company's financial statements.

Note 2.

Business Segments

L.B. Foster Company is organized and evaluated by product group, which is the basis for identifying reportable segments.

The Company is engaged in the manufacture, fabrication and distribution of rail, construction and tubular products.

The Company's Rail segment provides a full line of new and used rail, trackwork and accessories to railroads, mines and industry. The Rail segment also designs and produces concrete railroad ties, insulated rail joints, power rail, track fasteners, coverboards and special accessories for mass transit and other rail systems. The Company also engineers, manufactures and assembles friction management products and railway wayside data collection and management systems.

The Company's Construction segment sells and rents steel sheet piling, H-bearing pile, and other piling products for foundation and earth retention requirements. In addition, the Company's Fabricated Products division sells bridge decking, bridge railing, structural steel fabrications, expansion joints and other products for highway construction and repair. The Buildings division produces precast concrete buildings.

The Company's Tubular segment supplies pipe coatings for natural gas pipelines and utilities. Additionally, this segment produces threaded pipe products for industrial water well and irrigation markets. This segment sells micropile for construction foundation repair and slope stabilization.

The Company markets its products directly in all major industrial areas of the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom, primarily through an internal sales force.

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates net sales, profits, assets, depreciation/amortization and expenditures for long-lived assets of the Company by segment. Segment profit is the earnings before income taxes and includes internal cost of capital charges for assets used in the segment at a rate of, generally 1% per month. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that the Company accounts for inventory on a First-In, First-Out (FIFO) basis at the segment level compared to a Last-In, First-Out (LIFO) basis at the consolidated level.

| | 2010 | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Net Sales In thousands | Segment Profit | Segment Assets | Depreciation/ Amortization | Expenditures for Long-Lived Assets |
| Rail Products | \$ 212,240 | \$ 11,904 | \$ 208,446 | \$ 6,177 | \$ 3,094 |
| Construction Products | 235,591 | 20,600 | 91,019 | 1,738 | 1,638 |
| Tubular Products | 27,219 | 3,949 | 6,207 | 765 | 543 |
| Total | \$ 475,050 | \$ 36,453 | \$ 305,672 | \$ 8,680 | \$ 5,275 |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| | Net Sales In thousands | Segment (Loss)Profit | Segment Assets | Depreciation/ Amortization | Expenditures for Long-Lived Assets |
| Rail Products | \$ 186,401 | \$ (281) | \$ 86,591 | \$ 6,310 | \$ 1,197 |
| Construction Products | 196,480 | 13,714 | 104,506 | 1,392 | 2,142 |
| Tubular Products | 21,139 | (1,086) | 9,777 | 498 | 1,906 |
| Total | \$ 404,020 | \$ 12,347 | \$ 200,874 | \$ 8,200 | \$ 5,245 |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| | Net Sales In thousands | Segment Profit | Segment Assets | Depreciation/ Amortization | Expenditures for Long-Lived Assets |
| Rail Products | \$ 244,494 | \$ 16,310 | \$ 113,367 | \$ 6,410 | \$ 2,332 |
| Construction Products | 259,247 | 28,736 | 94,709 | 1,509 | 1,184 |
| Tubular Products | 35,495 | 6,873 | 13,870 | 562 | 490 |
| Total | \$ 539,236 | \$ 51,919 | \$ 221,946 | \$ 8,481 | \$ 4,006 |

In 2009, one customer accounted for approximately 10.3% of consolidated net sales. Sales to this customer were recorded in the Rail and Construction segments and were approximately \$39,370,000 during 2009. During 2010 and 2008 no single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated net sales. Sales between segments are immaterial.

Table of Contents

Reconciliations of reportable segment net sales, profits, assets, depreciation/amortization, and expenditures for long-lived assets to the Company's consolidated totals are illustrated as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net Sales from Continuing Operations: | In thousands | | |
| Total for reportable segments | \$475,050 | \$404,020 | \$539,236 |
| Other net sales | - | - | - |
| Total | \$475,050 | \$404,020 | \$539,236 |
| Income from Continuing Operations: | | | |
| Total for reportable segments | \$36,453 | \$12,347 | \$51,919 |
| Adjustment of inventory to LIFO | 2,276 | 11,039 | (12,710) |
| Unallocated acquisition costs | (2,413) | (8) | - |
| Unallocated gain on sale of marketable securities | 1,364 | 1,194 | - |
| Unallocated equity in losses of nonconsolidated investments | (213) | - | - |
| Unallocated gain on sale of DM&E investment | - | - | 2,022 |
| Unallocated gain on sale of Houston, TX property | - | - | 1,486 |
| Unallocated interest income | 403 | 789 | 2,675 |
| Other unallocated amounts | (5,128) | 122 | (2,113) |
| Income before income taxes | \$32,742 | \$25,483 | \$43,279 |
| Assets: | | | |
| Total for reportable segments | \$305,672 | \$200,874 | \$221,946 |
| Unallocated corporate assets | 75,196 | 135,651 | 128,296 |
| LIFO and corporate inventory reserves | (8,226) | (10,677) | (21,516) |
| Unallocated property, plant and equipment | 6,074 | 5,746 | 3,394 |
| Total assets | \$378,716 | \$331,594 | \$332,120 |
| Depreciation/Amortization: | | | |
| Total for reportable segments | \$8,680 | \$8,200 | \$8,481 |
| Other | 809 | 520 | 420 |
| Total | \$9,489 | \$8,720 | \$8,901 |
| Expenditures for Long-Lived Assets: | | | |
| Total for reportable segments | \$5,275 | \$5,245 | \$4,006 |
| Expenditures financed under capital leases | 199 | - | - |
| Other expenditures | 686 | 862 | 830 |
| Total | \$6,160 | \$6,107 | \$4,836 |

Approximately 95%, 96% and 94% of the Company's total net sales during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively were to customers in the United States, and a majority of the remaining sales were to customers located in other North American countries.

At December 31, 2010, approximately 78%, 21% and 1% of the Company's long-lived assets were located in the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom, respectively. At December 31, 2009, all of the Company's long-lived assets were located in the United States.

Table of Contents

Note 3.

Acquisitions

Portec Rail Products, Inc.

On February 16, 2010, the Company, Foster Thomas Company, a West Virginia corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Purchaser), and Portec Rail Products, Inc., a West Virginia corporation (Portec), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement). The consummation of the Merger was conditioned, among other matters, upon the receipt of necessary approvals under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (HSR Act).

The Antitrust Division of the US Department of Justice (DOJ) raised antitrust concerns to the Company's acquisition of Portec. The DOJ expressed concern that the proposed acquisition may have a potentially anti-competitive effect with respect to the rail joint business. As a condition to the proposed acquisition, the DOJ required the sale of certain assets associated with Portec's rail joint business.

On December 9, 2010, Portec entered into an asset purchase agreement with the Company and Koppers, Inc. (Koppers) pursuant to which Koppers agreed to purchase certain assets related to Portec's manufacture of insulated joints and related products at its Huntington, West Virginia facility (Purchased Assets).

The asset purchase agreement was executed in order to assist the Company in obtaining federal antitrust clearance from the DOJ with respect to the Company's acquisition of all of the outstanding common stock of Portec pursuant to the Offer by the Purchaser. On December 23, 2010, the asset purchase agreement closed and Koppers paid a total purchase price of \$10,179,000, less the amount of assumed liabilities, for the purchased assets. The purchase price is subject to post-closing adjustments related to the final determination of certain liabilities and the book value of certain tangible personal property, certain inventory and certain prepaid items.

On December 15, 2010, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia signed the Hold Separate Stipulation and Order and the Company closed its previously announced Offer, through Purchaser, and immediately launched a subsequent offering period.

Portec became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, through the merger of Purchaser with and into Portec, with Portec surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Merger was consummated pursuant to Section 31D-11-1105 of the West Virginia Business Corporation Act without a vote or meeting of Portec's stockholders. In the Merger, all outstanding shares of common stock of Portec were canceled and converted into the right to receive consideration equal to \$11.80 per Share, net to the holder in cash, without interest thereon. The total consideration paid in cash by the Company for the Shares was approximately \$113,322,000, including \$8,952,000 paid in January 2011. This amount is recorded within "Other accrued liabilities" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

The Company recorded its acquisition of Portec in accordance with ASC 805, "Business Combinations." In connection with the guidance in ASC 805-10-25, the Company remeasured its previously held equity interest in Portec at the acquisition date fair value, \$2,158,000, and recognized a gain of \$1,364,000 on December 15, 2010. The Company used quoted market prices to determine the fair value of its available-for-sale securities. These instruments consisted of exchange-traded equity securities and were classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

The Company is in the process of completing its fair market appraisals, including the valuation of property, plant & equipment and certain identified intangible assets. Accordingly, the preliminary purchase price allocation is subject to change. The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the aggregate purchase price based on estimated fair values:

| | In thousands |
|---|--------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,455 |
| Accounts receivable | 19,857 |
| Inventories | 21,048 |
| Assets held for sale – insulated joint business | 10,179 |
| Other current assets | 3,009 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 10,998 |
| Identified intangible assets | 43,670 |
| Other assets | 411 |
| Total identifiable assets acquired | 125,627 |
| Debt obligations | (7,492) |
| Accounts payable – trade | (10,885) |
| Deferred revenue | (2,211) |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | (4,373) |
| Other accrued liabilities | (8,156) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (7,066) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (13,280) |
| Net identifiable assets acquired | 72,164 |
| Goodwill | 41,158 |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 113,322 |

Due to the timing of the closing, the above purchase price allocation is based on a preliminary valuation. The measurement period for purchase price allocations ends as soon as information on the facts and circumstances becomes available. If new information is obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the measurement recognized for assets or liabilities assumed, the Company will retrospectively adjust the amounts recognized as of the acquisition date.

The \$43,670,000 of acquired identified intangible assets will be amortized over their respective, expected useful lives. Of the amount preliminarily allocated to identifiable intangible assets, \$6,280,000 was assigned to trademarks (8-20 year useful lives), \$18,880,000 was assigned to acquired technology (8-25 year useful lives), \$18,160,000 was assigned to customer relationships (25-year useful life), and \$350,000 was assigned to supplier relationships (5-year useful life). The fair value of the acquired identifiable intangible assets is preliminary pending completion of the final valuations for these assets.

The Company repaid all of the outstanding debt of Portec in December 2010.

The acquisition of Portec will help the Company become a strategic provider of products and services below the wheel for the Class I, transit, shortline and regional railroad and contractors in North America, as well as to governmental agencies and rail contractors globally. It will broaden the Company's offerings by adding Portec's friction management and wayside detection products and services. This acquisition will also assist the Company's international expansion as Portec currently has a strong presence in Canada and the United Kingdom, and has continued to improve its presence in Europe, Brazil, Southeast Asia, China and Australia.

The amount allocated to goodwill reflects the premium paid for the right to control Portec. More information regarding goodwill can be found in Note 4, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets."

Table of Contents

The results of the operations of Portec are included in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations for the period from December 15, 2010 to December 31, 2010. Net revenues and net loss resulting from Portec that were included in the Company's operating results were \$4,822,000 and (\$213,000), respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The unaudited pro forma results for the periods presented below are prepared as if the transaction occurred as of January 1, 2009. Pro forma adjustments exclude operating results of the divested rail joint business, and include depreciation and amortization and other adjustments in connection with the acquisition.

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | In thousands, except per share data | |
| Total net sales | \$564,028 | \$478,280 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$32,557 | \$27,132 |
| Net income | \$21,817 | \$17,778 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$2.13 | \$1.75 |
| Dilutive earnings per share | \$2.11 | \$1.73 |

Acquisition costs were approximately \$2,413,000 for the period ended December 31, 2010 and were classified as "Selling and administrative expenses."

Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC

On March 23, 2010, the Company purchased, pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement (Purchase Agreement), certain assets of Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC (IDSI) for approximately \$7,000,000. The purchase price was \$5,050,000 in cash paid on the closing date and \$1,000,000 payable on the first anniversary of the closing, as defined in the Purchase Agreement, and \$950,000 payable on the second anniversary of the closing, with the deferred payment obligations being embodied in a promissory note. No liabilities were assumed in this acquisition. The pro forma results for this acquisition are not material to the Company's financial results.

The acquisition of IDSI will strengthen the Company's position as a supplier of steel bridge decking. The acquisition has been accounted for in accordance with ASC 805, "Business Combinations." The following table presents the allocation of the aggregate purchase price based on estimated fair values:

| | In thousands |
|--|--------------|
| Equipment | \$ 1,241 |
| Inventory | 918 |
| Proprietary software | 90 |
| Non-compete agreements and other intangible assets | 1,830 |
| Net identifiable assets acquired | 4,079 |
| Goodwill | 2,861 |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 6,940 |

The amount allocated to goodwill reflects the premium paid for the right to control the business acquired and synergies the Company expects to realize from expanding its steel bridge decking business and from eliminating redundant selling and administrative responsibilities and workforce efficiencies. The goodwill is deductible for tax

purposes and has been allocated to the Construction Products Segment. More information regarding goodwill can be found in Note 4, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets."

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, other than the specifically identified assets included above, no other assets or liabilities of IDSI were included in the acquisition. Acquisition costs were approximately \$27,000 for the period ended December 31, 2010 and were classified as "Selling and administrative expenses."

Table of Contents

Note 4.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill during 2010 by reporting segment is as follows:

| | December 31, 2009 | Acquired Goodwill | December 31, 2010 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | In thousands | | |
| Rail Products | \$- | \$41,158 | \$41,158 |
| Construction Products | 350 | 2,861 | 3,211 |
| Tubular Products | - | - | - |
| Total | \$350 | \$44,019 | \$44,369 |

The increase in goodwill within our Rail Products segment is the excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired resulting from the acquisition of Portec in December 2010, while the increase within our Construction Products segment is the excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired resulting from the acquisition of IDSI in March 2010.

The Portec acquisition resulted in \$41,158,000 million of acquired goodwill. As part of our procedures to determine fair values of all acquired assets, we preliminarily determined the fair value of technology, intellectual property, goodwill and other intangible assets.

The components of the Company's intangible assets are as follows:

| | Weighted Average Amortization Period In Years | December 31, 2010 | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Gross | | Net |
| | | Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Carrying Amount |
| In thousands | | | | |
| Non-compete agreements | 5 | \$ 380 | \$ (355) | \$ 25 |
| Patents | 10 | 125 | (113) | 12 |
| Customer relationships | 23 | 19,960 | (316) | 19,644 |
| Supplier relationships | 5 | 350 | (3) | 347 |
| Trademarks | 17 | 6,280 | (16) | 6,264 |
| Technology | 18 | 18,880 | (93) | 18,787 |
| | 20 | \$ 45,975 | \$ (896) | \$ 45,079 |

December 31, 2009

| | Weighted Average Amortization Period In Years | December 31, 2009 | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Gross | | Net |
| | | Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Carrying Amount |

In thousands

| | | | | |
|------------------------|----|--------|-----------|-------|
| Non-compete agreements | 5 | \$ 350 | \$ (350) | \$ - |
| Patents | 10 | 125 | (100) | 25 |
| | 6 | \$ 475 | \$ (450) | \$ 25 |

The identified intangible assets acquired through the IDSI acquisition of \$1,830,000 are allocated to the Company's Construction Products segment. The identified intangible assets acquired through the Portec acquisition of \$43,670,000 are allocated to the Company's Rail Products segment.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with the acquisition of Portec, the Company recorded the fair values of the acquired intangible assets in accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations. As part of our procedures to determine fair values of all acquired assets the Company is evaluating the technology and intellectual property along with other intangible assets that could be assigned a fair value under the acquisition. The Company developed historical and projected cash flows of the technology-based product lines along with an estimate of future costs to maintain these technologies. These estimates and other assumptions were used to determine the present value of the discounted cash flows of these various technologies. In addition, the Company evaluated the future lives of the identified intangible assets to determine if they have definite or indefinite lives.

As a result of the Portec acquisition, the Company preliminarily assigned a fair value of \$6,280,000 to trademarks, \$18,880,000 to technology-based product lines, \$18,160,000 to customer relationships and \$350,000 to a supplier relationship. Several factors were considered that contributed to the fair value of these intangible assets, including, but not limited to, the Company's position within the global railway supply industry, the level of competition that exists, the life-cycle of the product categories, and the acceptance of the technologies within the global railway supply industry. These identified intangible assets are being amortized over their respective useful lives identified in the above table. The fair values of these identified intangible assets are estimates as of December 31, 2010 and are subject to adjustment during the measurement period.

Intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives ranging from 5 to 25 years, with a total weighted average amortization period of approximately 20 years. Amortization expense for years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$447,000, \$13,000 and \$13,000, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense over the succeeding five years and thereafter is as follows:

| | In thousands |
|------------|--------------|
| 2011 | \$ 2,755 |
| 2012 | 2,742 |
| 2013 | 2,742 |
| 2014 | 2,557 |
| 2015 | 2,376 |
| Thereafter | 31,907 |
| | \$ 45,079 |

Note 5.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts Receivable at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Trade | \$68,509 | \$60,117 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,601) | (1,055) |
| | 66,908 | 59,062 |
| Other | 2,789 | 2,116 |
| | \$69,697 | \$61,178 |

Bad debt expense/ (recovery) was \$247,000, (\$564,000) and \$1,461,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company's customers are principally in the Rail, Construction and Tubular segments of the economy. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, from customers in these markets were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------|--------------|----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Rail | \$35,687 | \$13,927 |
| Construction | 28,474 | 41,495 |
| Tubular | 2,747 | 3,640 |
| | \$66,908 | \$59,062 |

Credit is extended on an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and generally collateral is not required.

Note 6.

Inventories

Inventories at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Finished goods | \$ 71,634 | \$ 85,396 |
| Work-in-process | 5,346 | 7,814 |
| Raw materials | 21,387 | 16,049 |
| Total inventories at current costs | 98,367 | 109,259 |
| Less current cost over LIFO stated values | (8,000) | (10,277) |
| | \$ 90,367 | \$ 98,982 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the LIFO carrying value of inventories for book purposes exceeded the LIFO value for tax purposes by approximately \$11,973,000 and \$5,916,000, respectively. During 2010, inventory quantities were reduced resulting in a liquidation of certain LIFO inventory layers carried at costs which were higher than the costs of current purchases. The effect of these reductions in 2010 was to increase cost of goods sold by \$1,046,000. During 2009 and 2008, liquidation of LIFO layers carried at costs that were lower than current purchases resulted in a decrease to cost of goods sold of \$34,000, and \$2,384,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 7.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Land | \$5,094 | \$4,135 |
| Improvements to land and leaseholds | 19,238 | 18,124 |
| Buildings | 11,445 | 6,919 |
| Machinery and equipment, including equipment under capitalized leases | 80,017 | 71,552 |
| Construction in progress | 3,944 | 1,559 |
| | 119,738 | 102,289 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization, including accumulated amortization of capitalized leases | (73,402) | (64,882) |
| | \$46,336 | \$37,407 |

Depreciation expense, including amortization of assets under capital leases, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 amounted to \$8,707,000, \$8,656,000, and \$8,840,000, respectively.

Note 8.

Investments

Investments of the Company at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized in the following table:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | In thousands | |
| Nonconsolidated equity method investments | \$1,987 | \$1,400 |
| Available-for-sale marketable equity securities | - | 1,958 |
| | \$1,987 | \$3,358 |

The Company recorded its acquisition of Portec in accordance with ASC 805. (Refer to Note 3, "Acquisitions"). In connection with ASC 805-10-25, the Company remeasured its previously held equity interest in Portec at the acquisition date fair value and recognized a gain of \$1,364,000 on December 15, 2010.

During 2009, the Company sold shares of its marketable equity securities with an original cost of approximately \$921,000, for cash proceeds of approximately \$2,115,000 and recognized a gain of approximately \$1,194,000.

Additional information regarding the Company's marketable securities is as follows:

| December 31, 2009 | |
|-------------------|-------|
| Gross | Gross |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

| | Cost In thousands | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Available-for-sale marketable equity securities | \$794 | \$1,164 | \$- | \$1,958 |

More information regarding the Company's investment in its nonconsolidated equity method investment can be found in Note 9, "Investment in Joint Venture."

Table of Contents

The Company maintained investments in the stock of the Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Corporation (DM&E). In September 2007, the DM&E announced it had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger under which an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of the Canadian Pacific Railway Limited (CP) would be merged with the DM&E; the DM&E being the surviving corporation. In October 2007, this merger was consummated. In March 2008, the Company received and recognized a gain of approximately \$2,022,000 related to the receipt of escrow proceeds from a favorable working capital adjustment.

Note 9.

Investment in Joint Venture

Beginning in May 2009, the Company completed the formation of a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. and James Legg for a period of 9.5 years. The Company and L B Industries, Inc. each have a 45% ownership interest in the joint venture, L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC (JV), which commenced operations in January 2010. The venture manufactures, markets and sells various products for the energy, utility and construction markets. Under the terms of the JV agreement, as amended, the Company was required to make capital contributions totaling \$2,200,000. The Company fulfilled these commitments during 2010.

Under applicable guidance for variable interest entities in ASC 810, "Consolidation," the Company determined that the JV is a variable interest entity, as the JV has not demonstrated that it has sufficient equity to support its operations without additional financial support. The Company concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity, as the Company does not have a controlling financial interest and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the JV. Accordingly, the Company concluded that the equity method of accounting remains appropriate.

The Company recorded equity in the losses of the JV of approximately \$213,000 for 2010.

The Company's exposure to loss results from its capital contributions, net of the Company's share of the venture's gains or losses, and its net investment in the direct financing lease covering the facility used by the JV for its operations. The carrying amounts with the maximum exposure to loss of the Company at December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | In thousands |
|--|--------------|
| Equity method investment | \$ 1,987 |
| Net investment in direct financing lease | 1,018 |
| | \$ 3,005 |

The Company is leasing 5 acres of land and the facility to the JV over a period of 9.5 years, with a 5.5 year renewal period. Monthly rent over the term of the lease is approximately \$10,000, with a balloon payment of approximately \$488,000 which is required to be paid either at the termination of the lease, allocated over the renewal period or during the initial term of the lease. This lease qualifies as a direct financing lease under the applicable guidance in ASC 840-30, "Leases." At December 31, 2010, the Company maintained a net investment in this direct financing lease of approximately \$1,018,000.

The following is a schedule of the direct financing minimum lease payments for the next five years and thereafter:

| | In thousands |
|------|--------------|
| 2011 | \$ 47 |
| 2012 | 51 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

| | |
|---------------------|----------|
| 2013 | 54 |
| 2014 | 58 |
| 2015 | 63 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 745 |
| | \$ 1,018 |

66

Table of Contents

Note 10.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of customer payments received for which the sales process has been substantially completed but the right to recognize revenue has not yet been met. Deferred revenue as of December 31, 2010 related primarily to one customer. The Company has significantly fulfilled its obligations under the contract and the customer has paid, but due to the Company's continuing involvement with the material while in storage, revenue is precluded from being recognized until the customer takes possession.

Note 11.

Borrowings

United States

The Company's maximum credit line is \$90,000,000 under a fourth amendment to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with a syndicate of three banks led by PNC Bank, N.A. The revolving credit facility is secured by substantially all of the trade receivables and inventory owned by the Company. Revolving credit facility availability under the Agreement is limited by the amount of eligible accounts receivable and inventory, applied against certain advance rates, and is limited to 85% of eligible receivables and 60% of eligible inventory. Additionally, the fourth amendment established a \$20,000,000 term loan that was immediately applied to pay down existing amounts outstanding on the revolving credit facility. The term loan was being amortized on a term of seven years with a balloon payment on the remaining outstanding principal due at the maturity of the Agreement, May 2011. If average availability should fall below \$10,000,000 over a 30-day period, any loans would become immediately secured by a lien on the Company's equipment that is not encumbered by other liens.

Revolving credit facility borrowings placed in LIBOR contracts are priced at prevailing LIBOR rates, plus 1.25%. Borrowings placed in other tranches are priced at the prevailing prime rate, minus 1.00%. The term loan base rate spread is fixed at prime minus 0.75% and the LIBOR spread is fixed at plus 1.50%.

The Company is permitted to use various additional debt instruments to finance capital expenditures, outside of borrowings under the Agreement, under limitations as defined in the Agreement. Under the amended Agreement, the Company maintains dominion over its cash at all times, as long as excess availability stays over \$5,000,000 and there is no uncured event of default.

In March 2009, the Company entered into a fifth amendment to the Agreement which became effective as of December 31, 2008 and changed certain financial covenants included in the Agreement by creating an exclusion standard in the Agreement. This standard, which is met by the Company when revolving credit facility borrowings do not exceed \$20,000,000 and unused borrowing commitment is at least \$50,000,000, allows for certain items, as defined in the amendment, to be excluded in determining the fixed charge coverage ratio. Additionally, the amendment redefines the Company's calculation of earnings before interest and taxes by excluding any charges and credits related to the Company's LIFO method of accounting for inventory.

The fifth amendment also includes a revised minimum net worth covenant and a revised maximum level for annual consolidated capital expenditures of \$15,000,000.

In November 2009, the Company entered into a sixth amendment to the Agreement. This amendment permits the Company to spend up to \$15,000,000, subject to overall limitations on acquisitions, to acquire non-domestic entities which do not become a borrower, as defined by the Agreement, to the Agreement. This amendment also raised the limit to \$15,000,000 on the amount of assets, as defined in the Agreement, which the Company is permitted to sell. Additionally, the sixth amendment eliminated the unscheduled prepayments of debt from the calculation of the fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined in the agreement.

Table of Contents

In December 2010, the Company entered into a seventh amendment to the Agreement. This amendment permitted the acquisition of Portec and waived any default under the Agreement's covenants due to the acquisition two days prior to the seventh amendment. Additionally, the amendment provides for Portec to join the Agreement as a borrower upon the six month anniversary of the amendment, or if certain other financial triggers occur.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of the Agreement's covenants.

Under the term loan, the Company had no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2009 the Company had \$13,095,000 outstanding of which \$10,476,000 was noncurrent.

At December 31, 2010, there were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and the Company had approximately \$60,162,000 in unused borrowing availability.

The Company's ability to pay cash dividends is limited by the Agreement.

United Kingdom

The Company has a working capital facility with NatWest Bank for its United Kingdom operations which includes an overdraft availability of \$2,200,000 (£1,500,000 pounds sterling). This credit facility supports the working capital requirements and is collateralized by substantially all of the assets of its United Kingdom operations. The interest rate on this facility is the financial institution's base rate plus 1.50%. As of December 31, 2010, the base rate was 0.5%. Outstanding performance bonds reduce our availability under this credit facility. There were no borrowings or performance bonds outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2010. The expiration date of this credit facility is August 31, 2011.

The Company's United Kingdom loan agreements contain certain financial covenants that require it to maintain senior interest and cash flow coverage ratios. The Company was in compliance with these financial covenants as of December 31, 2010.

Note 12.

Long-Term Debt and Related Matters

Long-term debt at December 31, 2010 and 2009 consists of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Term loan with an interest rate of 1.74% at December 31, 2009, paid on December 30, 2010 | \$- | \$13,095 |
| Lease obligations payable in installments through 2014 with a weighted average interest rate of 6.97% at December 31, 2010 and 7.17% at December 31, 2009 | 2,881 | 5,508 |
| Promissory notes issued in connection with the acquisition of IDSIS with imputed interest rates of 2.10% | 1,920 | - |
| | 4,801 | 18,603 |
| Less current maturities | 2,402 | 5,406 |
| | \$2,399 | \$13,197 |

Table of Contents

The maturities of long-term debt for each of the succeeding four years subsequent to December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| Year | In thousands |
|-------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$2,402 |
| 2012 | 2,359 |
| 2013 | 27 |
| 2014 | 13 |
| Total | \$4,801 |

Note 13.

Stockholders' Equity

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had authorized shares of 20,000,000 in Common stock and 5,000,000 in Preferred stock. No Preferred stock has been issued. The Common stock has a par value of \$.01 per share. No par value has been assigned to the Preferred stock.

The Company's Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of up to \$40,000,000 in shares of its Common stock through share repurchase programs announced in May and October 2008 at prevailing market prices or privately negotiated transactions. There were no such repurchases of common stock under these programs during 2010. During 2009 the Company purchased 86,141 shares of common stock for approximately \$1,863,000. The authorization expired on December 31, 2010.

No cash dividends on Common stock were paid in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Note 14.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | In thousands | |
| Pension liability adjustment | \$(1,185) | \$(1,111) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 117 | - |
| Market value adjustments for investments | - | 730 |
| Unrealized derivative losses on cash flow hedges | - | (11) |
| | \$(1,068) | \$(392) |

Table of Contents

Note 15.

Earnings Per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In thousands, except per share amounts | | |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share-net income available to common stockholders: | \$20,492 | \$15,727 | \$27,746 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average shares | 10,219 | 10,169 | 10,670 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per common share | 10,219 | 10,169 | 10,670 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | |
| Employee stock options | 67 | 99 | 131 |
| Other stock compensation plans | 62 | 36 | 10 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 129 | 135 | 141 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per common share-adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions | 10,348 | 10,304 | 10,811 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$2.01 | \$1.55 | \$2.60 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$1.98 | \$1.53 | \$2.57 |

There were no antidilutive shares in 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents

Note 16.

Income Taxes

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Goodwill and other intangibles | \$(14,100) | \$- |
| Foreign currency translation | (1,947) | - |
| Depreciation | (2,141) | (1,458) |
| Inventories | (3,015) | - |
| Unrepatriated earnings of foreign subsidiary | (428) | - |
| Available-for-sale securities | - | (435) |
| Other-net | - | (199) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (21,631) | (2,092) |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Pension liability | 4,616 | 709 |
| Warranty reserve | 1,627 | 1,256 |
| Deferred compensation | 1,146 | 668 |
| Accounts receivable | 845 | 768 |
| Contingent liabilities | 1,410 | 244 |
| Deferred gain on sale / leaseback | 593 | 684 |
| Inventories | - | 649 |
| Goodwill | - | 229 |
| State tax incentives | 56 | 153 |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 100 | 91 |
| Foreign tax credit carryforwards | 196 | - |
| Other-net | 90 | - |
| Total deferred tax assets | 10,679 | 5,451 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | - | - |
| Deferred tax assets | 10,679 | 5,451 |
| Net deferred tax (liability) / asset | \$(10,952) | \$3,359 |

Significant components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------|--------------|---------|----------|
| | In thousands | | |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$10,300 | \$8,990 | \$16,605 |
| State | 789 | 1,441 | 1,957 |
| Foreign | 31 | - | - |
| Total current | 11,120 | 10,431 | 18,562 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 1,204 | (783) | (3,240) |
| State | 111 | 108 | 211 |
| Foreign | (185) | - | - |
| Total deferred | 1,130 | (675) | (3,029) |

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| Total income tax expense | \$12,250 | \$9,756 | \$15,533 |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|----------|

Table of Contents

The reconciliation of income tax computed at statutory rates to income tax expense is as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Statutory rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| State income tax | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| Nondeductible expenses | 0.2 | (1.0) | (2.6) |
| Other | - | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| | 37.4 % | 38.3 % | 35.9 % |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the tax benefit of net operating loss carryforwards available for state income tax purposes was approximately \$100,000 and \$91,000, respectively. The net operating loss carryforwards will expire in 2017 through 2024.

The following table provides a reconciliation of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|--------|
| | In thousands | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of period: | \$ 119 | \$ 142 |
| Portec acquisition | 581 | - |
| Increases/(decreases) based on tax positions for prior periods | - | - |
| Increases based on tax positions related to current period | - | - |
| Decreases related to settlements with taxing authorities | - | - |
| Decreases as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations | (55) | (23) |
| Balance at end of period | \$645 | \$ 119 |

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is \$645,000 at December 31, 2010. The Company accrues interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in its provision for income taxes. At December 31, 2010, the Company had accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits of \$321,000.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States and in various state, local and foreign jurisdictions. At December 31, 2010, the Company had been examined by the Internal Revenue Service through calendar year 2004, while the 2008 federal return of its Portec subsidiary is currently under examination. Additionally, the Canadian Revenue Agency is still reviewing the 2008 return for Kelsan Technologies. The Company is subject to federal income tax examinations for the period 2006 forward. With respect to the state, local and foreign filings, the Company is generally subject to income tax examinations for the periods 2005 forward.

Note 17.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company applies the provisions of FASB ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation," to account for the Company's share-based compensation. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award and is recognized over the employees' requisite service period. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$1,944,000, \$653,000 and \$948,000 for the periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to stock option awards, restricted stock awards and performance unit awards as discussed below.

Table of Contents

Stock Options/Awards

The Company has three equity compensation plans: The 1985 Long-Term Incentive Plan (1985 Plan), the 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan for Officers and Directors (1998 Plan) and the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan (2006 Plan). The 1985 Plan expired on January 1, 2005. Although no further awards can be made under the 1985 Plan, prior awards are not affected by the termination of the Plan.

The 1998 Plan, amended and restated in May 2001, provides for the award of options to key employees and directors to purchase up to 900,000 shares of Common stock at no less than 100% of fair market value on the date of the grant. The 1998 Plan provides for the granting of “nonqualified options” and “incentive stock options” with a duration of not more than ten years from the date of grant. The Plan also provides that, unless otherwise set forth in the option agreement, options are exercisable in installments of up to 25% annually beginning one year from date of grant. Outside directors were automatically awarded fully vested, nonqualified stock options to acquire 5,000 shares of the Company’s Common stock on each date the outside directors were elected at an annual shareholders’ meeting to serve as directors. The 1998 Plan was amended in May 2006 to remove the automatic awarding of options to outside directors.

The 2006 Plan, approved In May 2006, allows for the issuance of 500,000 shares of Common stock through the granting of stock options or stock awards (including performance units convertible into stock) to key employees and directors at no less than 100% of fair market value on the date of the grant. The 2006 Plan provides for the granting of “nonqualified options” with a duration of not more than ten years from the date of grant. The 2006 Plan also provides that, unless otherwise set forth in the option agreement, options are exercisable in installments of up to 25% annually beginning one year from the date of grant. No options have been granted under the 2006 Plan.

There was no stock compensation expense related to stock options recorded in 2010. The Company recorded stock compensation expense related to stock option awards of \$25,000 and \$104,000 for the periods ending December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, Common stock options outstanding under the Plans had option prices ranging from \$2.75 to \$14.77, with a weighted average price of \$6.95, \$5.60 and \$5.54 per share, respectively.

The weighted average remaining contractual life of the stock options outstanding for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are: 2010-2.7 years; 2009-2.7 years; and 2008-3.6 years.

The weighted average exercise price per share of these exercised options in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$4.51, \$4.73 and \$5.49, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$2,483,000, \$359,000 and \$5,403,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

Certain information for the three years ended December 31, 2010 relative to employee stock options is summarized as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Number of shares under Incentive Plan: | | | |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 180,950 | 194,700 | 349,900 |
| Granted | - | - | - |
| Canceled | - | - | - |
| Exercised | (100,000) | (13,750) | (155,200) |
| Outstanding at end of year | 80,950 | 180,950 | 194,700 |
| Exercisable at end of year | 80,950 | 180,950 | 182,200 |
| Number of shares available for future grant: | | | |
| Beginning of year | 443,566 | 471,627 | 509,375 |
| End of year | 391,881 | 443,566 | 471,627 |

The total intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$2,751,000, \$4,381,000 and \$5,012,000, respectively.

There were no non-vested options at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The fair value of non-vested options at December 31, 2008 was \$71,000, with weighted average, grant date fair values of \$5.71. At December 31, 2008, there was \$25,000 of compensation expense related to nonvested awards which was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.3 years.

Certain information for the year ended December 31, 2010 relative to employee stock options at respective exercise price ranges is summarized as follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding and Exercisable | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Life | Weighted Exercise Price |
| \$2.75 - \$3.65 | 21,000 | 0.3 | \$3.48 |
| \$4.10 - \$5.50 | 16,000 | 2.0 | 4.62 |
| \$7.81 - \$8.97 | 30,000 | 3.9 | 8.39 |
| \$9.29 - \$14.77 | 13,950 | 4.4 | 11.75 |
| | 80,950 | 2.7 | \$6.95 |

Shares issued as a result of stock option exercise generally will be from previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares.

Restricted Stock Awards

The 2006 Plan provides for the award of up to 500,000 shares of Common stock through the granting of stock options or stock awards to key employees and directors. The awards will be fully vested at the end of the two year period

commencing from the date of the grant, unless otherwise determined by the underlying restricted stock agreement. The fair value of each award is equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

Non-employee directors are automatically awarded 3,500 fully vested shares, or a lesser amount determined by the directors, of the Company's Common stock on each date the outside directors are elected at an annual shareholders' meeting to serve as directors.

Table of Contents

The outside directors were granted a total of 12,000, 10,500 and 10,500 fully vested restricted stock awards for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Compensation expense recorded by the Company related to restricted stock awards to non-employee directors was approximately \$340,000, \$314,000 and \$342,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition to the 12,000 shares of restricted stock granted to the outside directors in 2010, the Company granted, pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended, approximately 2,000 fully-vested shares to key employees. The grant date fair value of these restricted stock grants was \$34.73. The weighted average fair value of all the restricted stock grants awarded was \$29.10, \$29.89 and \$32.61 per share for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Shares issued as a result of stock option exercise generally will be from previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares.

For the periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company granted approximately 40,000 and 18,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock to individuals who are not outside directors:

| Grant Date | Units | Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Fair Value | Vesting Date |
|------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| March 6, 2008 | 7,756 | \$40.03 | \$310,473 | March 6, 2012 |
| June 2, 2008 | 3,850 | 34.19 | 131,632 | June 2, 2012 |
| November 3, 2008 | 5,000 | 28.28 | 141,400 | November 3, 2011 |
| March 3, 2009 | 17,561 | 20.63 | 362,283 | March 3, 2013 |
| March 3, 2010 | 12,185 | 31.92 | 388,945 | March 3, 2014 |
| May 28, 2010 | 2,500 | 28.07 | 70,175 | February 28, 2012 |
| May 28, 2010 | 17,500 | 28.07 | 491,225 | May 28, 2014 |
| October 21, 2010 | 7,500 | 31.04 | 232,800 | October 21, 2014 |

In addition to the approximately 17,000 shares of restricted stock granted in 2008 noted above, the Company granted, pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended, approximately 11,000 fully-vested shares during 2008 in lieu of a portion of the cash payment earned under the 2005 – 2007 Three Year Incentive Plan. This non-cash transaction of \$467,000 was reflected as an increase to “Paid-in capital” in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2008. The number of shares awarded under this plan was determined using an average share price of \$43.91 over a ten day period in February 2008. When these shares were awarded on March 6, 2008, the grant date fair value per share was \$40.03.

Table of Contents

Performance Unit Awards

Under separate three year incentive plans pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended, the Company granted the following performance units during the periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| Incentive Plan | Grant Date | Units | Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Fair Value | Vesting Date |
|----------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 2008 – 2010 | March 6, 2008 | 23,273 | \$ 40.03 | \$ 931,618 | March 6, 2011 |
| 2009 – 2011 | March 3, 2009 | 52,672 | 20.63 | 1,086,623 | March 3, 2012 |
| 2010 – 2012 | March 2, 2010 | 36,541 | 31.83 | 1,163,100 | March 2, 2013 |

These awards can be earned based upon the Company's performance relative to performance measures as defined in the plan. These awards are subject to forfeiture, cannot be transferred until four years after their grant date and will be converted into common stock of the Company based upon conversion multiples as defined in the underlying plan. The aggregate fair value in the above table is based upon reaching 100% of the performance targets as defined in the underlying plan. The number of shares awarded under the 2010 – 2012 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$29.39 over a ten day period in February 2010. The number of shares awarded under the 2009 – 2011 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$23.21 over a ten day period in February 2009. The number of shares awarded under the 2008 – 2010 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$43.91 over a ten day period in February 2008.

Excluding the restricted stock awards granted to non-employee directors, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$1,604,000, \$314,000 and \$495,000, respectively, for the periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 related to restricted stock and performance unit awards. These forfeitable restricted stock awards time-vest after a four year holding period, unless indicated otherwise by the underlying agreement. Shares issued as a result of restricted stock awards generally are previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares or authorized but previously unissued common stock.

The excess tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from stock-based compensation approximated \$961,000, \$128,000, and \$171,000, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. This excess tax benefit is included in cash flows from financing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Note 18.

Retirement Plans

With the acquisition of Portec, the Company has six plans which cover its hourly and salaried employees in the United States; three defined benefit plans (one active / two frozen) and three defined contribution plans. Employees are eligible to participate in the appropriate plan based on employment classification. The Company's funding to the defined benefit and defined contribution plans are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), applicable plan policy and investment guidelines. The Company policy is to contribute at least the

minimum funding standards of ERISA.

Portec maintains two defined contribution plans for its employees in Canada, as well as a post-retirement benefit plan. In the United Kingdom, Portec maintains both a defined contribution plan and a defined benefit plan. These plans are discussed in further detail below.

76

Table of Contents

United States Defined Benefit Plans

The following tables present a reconciliation of the changes in the benefit obligation, the fair market value of the assets and the funded status of the plans:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | In thousands | |
| Changes in benefit obligation: | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$4,493 | \$4,381 |
| Service cost | 31 | 28 |
| Interest cost | 264 | 258 |
| Actuarial losses (gains) | 268 | (28) |
| Portec acquisition | 10,051 | - |
| Benefits paid | (152) | (146) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | 14,955 | 4,493 |
| Change to plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of assets at beginning of year | 3,634 | 2,926 |
| Actual gain on plan assets | 342 | 549 |
| Employer contribution | 333 | 305 |
| Portec acquisition | 7,276 | - |
| Benefits paid | (152) | (146) |
| Fair value of assets at end of year | 11,433 | 3,634 |
| Funded status at end of year | \$(3,522) | (859) |
| Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position consist of: | | |
| Other long-term liabilities | \$(3,522) | \$(859) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss consist of: | | |
| Net loss | \$1,921 | \$1,820 |
| Prior service cost | 6 | 1 |
| | \$1,927 | \$1,821 |

The actuarial loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss that will be recognized in net periodic pension cost during 2011 is \$112,000, before taxes. The transition asset and prior service cost expected to be recognized in net periodic pension cost in 2011 is immaterial.

Net periodic pension costs for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--------------|--------|--------|
| | In thousands | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$31 | \$28 | \$19 |
| Interest cost | 264 | 258 | 251 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (286) | (225) | (288) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | - | 3 | 7 |
| Recognized net actuarial gain | 106 | 138 | 49 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$115 | \$202 | \$38 |

The weighted average assumptions used to measure the projected benefit obligation for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| 2010 | 2009 |
|------|------|
|------|------|

| | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.50% | 6.00% |
|---------------|-------|-------|

Table of Contents

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs for the three years ended December 31, 2010:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 6.00% | 6.00% | 6.25% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets | 7.75% | 7.75% | 7.75% |

The expected long-term rate of return is based on numerous factors including the target asset allocation for plan assets, historical rate of return, long-term inflation assumptions, and current and projected market conditions. Different asset category compositions between the two defined benefit plans lead to two different expected rates of return on plan assets in 2010.

Amounts applicable to the Company's pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | In thousands | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 14,955 | \$ 4,493 | \$ 4,381 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 14,955 | 4,493 | 4,381 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 11,433 | 3,634 | 2,926 |

Plan assets consist primarily of various fixed income and equity investments. The Company's primary investment objective is to provide long-term growth of capital while accepting a moderate level of risk. The investments are limited to cash and equivalents, bonds, preferred stocks and common stocks. The investment target ranges and actual allocation of pension plan assets by major category at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| Asset Category | Target | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------|----------|------|------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | 0 - 10% | 6% | 19% |
| Total fixed income funds | 30 - 50% | 28 | 25 |
| Total mutual funds / equities | 50 - 70% | 66 | 56 |
| Total | | 100% | 100% |

In accordance with the fair value disclosure requirements with FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," the following assets were measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2010 and 2009. Additional information regarding FASB ASC 820 and the fair value hierarchy can be found in Note 20, Fair Value Measurements.

| Asset Category | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | In thousands | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 640 | \$ 693 |
| Fixed income funds: | | |
| Government bonds | 1,012 | 154 |
| Corporate bonds | 2,254 | 769 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Total fixed income funds | 3,266 | 923 |
| Equity funds and equities: | | |
| Mutual funds | 2,161 | 371 |
| Common stock | 5,366 | 1,647 |
| Total equity funds and equities | 7,527 | 2,018 |
| Total | \$ 11,433 | \$ 3,634 |

78

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of these investments in interest-bearing cash accounts and they are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

Fixed income funds. Investments within the fixed income funds category consist of fixed income corporate debt and US government and various state agency obligations. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of these fixed income funds. These instruments consist of exchange-traded government and corporate bonds and are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Equity funds and equities. The valuation of investments in registered investment companies is based on the underlying investments in securities. Securities traded on security exchanges are valued at the latest quoted sales price. Securities traded in the over-the-counter market and listed securities for which no sale was reported on that date are valued at the average of the last reported bid and ask quotations. These investments are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company expects to contribute \$610,000 to its U.S. defined benefit plans in 2011.

The following benefit payments are expected to be paid:

| | Pension Benefits In thousands |
|-------------------|--|
| 2011 | \$694 |
| 2012 | 701 |
| 2013 | 730 |
| 2014 | 755 |
| 2015 | 775 |
| Years 2016 - 2020 | 4,741 |

Portec Rail Products (UK) Limited Pension Plan (Portec Rail Plan):

During 2010, the Conveyors International Limited Pension Plan (Conveyors plan) was merged with the Portec Rail Products (UK) Limited Pension Plan (Portec Rail Plan) a defined benefit pension plan in the United Kingdom. The combined Portec Rail Plan covers some current employees, former employees and retirees of the original Portec Rail Plan along with former employees of the Conveyors plan. The Portec Rail Plan has been frozen to new entrants since April 1, 1997 and also covers the former employees of the Conveyors plan after January 2002. Benefits under the Portec Rail Plan, including the former Conveyors plan, were based on years of service and eligible compensation during defined periods of service. Our funding policy for the Portec Rail Plan is to make minimum annual contributions required by applicable regulations. Contributions of \$53,611 were made to the plan on December 31, 2010. We expect to contribute \$219,000 to the Portec Rail plan during 2011.

The funded status of the United Kingdom defined benefit plan at year end is as follows:

| | December 31, 2010 In thousands |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 6,227 |
| Fair value of assets at end of year | \$ 5,293 |

| | |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Funded status at end of year | \$ (934 |
|------------------------------|---------|

Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position consist of:

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Other long-term liabilities | \$(934) |
|-----------------------------|----------|

The discount rate and expected rate of return was 5.4% and 5.21%, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company has estimated the long-term rate of return on plan assets based primarily on historical returns on plan assets, adjusted for changes in target portfolio allocations and recent changes in long-term interest rates based on publicly available information.

Plan assets are invested by the trustees in accordance with a written statement of investment principles. This statement permits investment in equities, corporate bonds, United Kingdom government securities, commercial property and cash, based on certain target allocation percentages.

Asset allocation is primarily based on a strategy to provide steady growth without undue fluctuations. The target asset allocation percentages for 2010 are as follows:

| | Portec Rail Plan |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Equity securities | Up to 100% |
| Commercial property | Not to exceed 50% |
| U.K. Government securities | Not to exceed 50% |
| Cash | Up to 100% |

Substantially all plan assets held within Portec's United Kingdom defined benefit pension plan consists of marketable securities and are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

The plan assets by category for the years ended December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| Asset Category | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3 | % |
| Equity securities | 44 | |
| Bonds | 19 | |
| Commercial property | 25 | |
| Other | 9 | |
| Total | 100 | % |

The following estimated future benefits payments are expected to be paid under the Portec Rail Plan:

| | Pension Benefits In thousands |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 197 |
| 2012 | 203 |
| 2013 | 235 |
| 2014 | 258 |
| 2015 | 290 |

Years 2016 – 2019

1,412

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company maintains a defined contribution plan for its non-union hourly and salaried employees. This defined contribution plan contains a matched savings provision that permits both pretax and after-tax employee contributions. Participants can contribute, subject to statutory limitations, between 1% and 75% of eligible pre-tax pay and 1% and 100% of eligible after-tax pay.

80

Table of Contents

The Company's employer match is 100% of the first 1% of deferred eligible compensation and up to 50% of the next 6%, based on years of service, of deferred eligible compensation, for a total maximum potential match of 4%. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to the plan. The expense associated with this plan was \$1,700,000 in 2010, \$1,570,000 in 2009, and \$1,797,000 in 2008.

Portec also has a qualified defined contribution 401(k) plan covering substantially all of its United States employees. Under the terms of the plan, Portec contributes 3% of each employee's monthly compensation as a non-elective contribution and may also contribute up to 50% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed to the plan as an annual profit sharing match, depending on certain profitability thresholds. No contributions were made subsequent to the acquisition. The Portec plan will be merged with the Company plan in 2011.

Portec maintains a defined contribution plan covering all non-union employees at its Montreal, Quebec, Canada location. Under the terms of this plan, Portec may contribute 4% of each employee's compensation as a non-elective contribution and may also contribute 30% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed to the plan. No contributions were made subsequent to the acquisition.

Portec also maintains a defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees of Portec Rail Products (UK) Ltd. Benefits under this plan are provided under no formal written agreement. Under the terms of the defined contribution plan, Portec may make non-elective contributions of between 3% and 10% of each employee's compensation. There are no matching contributions. No contributions were made subsequent to the acquisition.

In July 2009, Portec created a new defined contribution plan covering substantially all the employees of Kelsan Technologies Corp. Under the terms of this plan, Portec makes a non-elective contribution of 4% of each employee's compensation and may also contribute 30% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed to the plan. No contributions were made subsequent to the acquisition.

The Company also has a defined contribution plan for union hourly employees with contributions made by both the participants and the Company based on various formulas. The expense associated with this plan was \$34,000 in 2010, \$33,000 in 2009, \$34,000 in 2008.

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plan

At Portec's operation near Montreal, Quebec, Canada, it maintains a post-retirement benefit plan, which provides retiree life insurance, health care benefits and, for a closed group of employees, dental care. Retiring employees with a minimum of 10 years of service are eligible for the plan benefits. The plan is not funded. Cost of benefits earned by employees is charged to expense as services are rendered. No expense was incurred for this plan subsequent to the acquisition. Portec's accrued benefit obligation per the actuarial valuation was \$759,000 as of December 31, 2010. This amount is recognized within other long-term liabilities. The weighted average assumptions used to measure the benefit obligation for the year ended December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| | 2010 |
|---|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.70% |
| Weighted average health care trend rate | 7.30% |

The weighted average health care rate trends downward to an ultimate rate of 4.70% in 2024.

Table of Contents

Note 19.

Rental and Lease Information

The Company has capital and operating leases for certain plant facilities, office facilities, and equipment. Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 amounted to \$3,674,000, \$3,672,000 and \$3,767,000, respectively. Generally, land and building leases include escalation clauses.

The following is a schedule, by year, of the future minimum payments under capital and operating leases, together with the present value of the net minimum payments as of December 31, 2010:

| Year ending December 31, | Capital | Operating |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | Leases | Leases |
| | In thousands | |
| 2011 | \$1,568 | \$3,128 |
| 2012 | 1,492 | 2,486 |
| 2013 | 28 | 2,060 |
| 2014 | 14 | 1,737 |
| 2015 and thereafter | - | 3,393 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 3,102 | \$12,804 |
| Less amount representing interest | 221 | |
| Total present value of minimum payments | 2,881 | |
| Less current portion of such obligations | 1,408 | |
| Long-term obligations with interest rates ranging from 1.74% to 8.55% | \$1,473 | |

Assets recorded under capital leases are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | In thousands | |
| Land Improvements | \$6,372 | \$6,372 |
| Machinery and equipment at cost | 6,355 | 6,146 |
| Buildings | 399 | 399 |
| | 13,126 | 12,917 |
| Less accumulated amortization | 9,808 | 5,177 |
| Net capital lease assets | \$3,318 | \$7,740 |

Note 20.

Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 does not require any new fair value measurements, but it does apply to existing accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The Company applies the provisions of ASC 820 to all its assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis.

Table of Contents

ASC 820 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow) and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). ASC 820 enables readers of financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy, which prioritizes those inputs used, for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. The standard requires that each asset and liability carried at fair value be classified into one of the following categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

The Company has an established process for determining fair value for its financial assets and liabilities, principally cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities and foreign exchange contracts. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on assumptions that use as inputs market-based parameters. The following sections describe the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified. Where appropriate the description includes details of the key inputs to the valuations and any significant assumptions.

Cash equivalents. Included within “Cash and cash equivalents” are principally investments in tax-free and taxable money market funds with municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities as well as government agency obligations and corporate bonds, all of which maintain AAA credit ratings. Also included within cash equivalents, at December 31, 2009, were our investments in bank certificates of deposit. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of these investments and they are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

Available-for-sale equity securities. The Company used quoted market prices to determine the fair value of its available-for-sale securities. These instruments consisted of exchange-traded equity securities, were included within “Investments” and were classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Unrealized gains and temporary unrealized losses were included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, respectively. In connection with the acquisition of Portec, the Company realized the gain and removed its investment in available-for-sale equity securities. Refer to Note 3, Acquisitions, for more information.

IDSi acquisition notes. The Company issued non-interest bearing notes associated with its acquisition of IDSi. The Company determined the fair value of these notes by computing the present value of the note payments using an interest rate formula applicable to the Company’s long-term debt. The short-term note is included within “Current maturities of other long-term debt”, the long-term note is included within “Other long-term debt” and are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative contracts. The Company uses significant other observable inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets to determine the fair value of its derivative contracts. These instruments consist of foreign exchange contracts, are included within “Other accrued liabilities,” and are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and reclassified into earnings as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. There were no such instruments as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following assets and liabilities of the Company were measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of ASC Topic 820 at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| | December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | In thousands | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 55,959 | \$ 55,959 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 55,959 | 55,959 | - | - |
| Total Assets | \$ 55,959 | \$ 55,959 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| IDSi acquisition short-term note | \$ (955) | \$ - | \$ (955) | \$ - |
| Total current maturities of other long-term debt | (955) | - | (955) | - |
| IDSi acquisition long-term note | (925) | - | (925) | - |
| Total other long-term debt | (925) | - | (925) | - |
| Total Liabilities | \$ (1,880) | \$ - | \$ (1,880) | \$ - |

| | December 31, 2009 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | In thousands | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 109,131 | \$ 109,131 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Bank certificates of deposit | 15,115 | 15,115 | - | - |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 124,246 | 124,246 | - | - |
| Available-for-sale equity securities | 1,958 | 1,958 | - | - |
| Total investments | 1,958 | 1,958 | - | - |
| Total Assets | \$ 126,204 | \$ 126,204 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ (18) | \$ - | \$ (18) | \$ - |
| Total other accrued liabilities | (18) | - | (18) | - |

Total Liabilities \$ (18) \$ - \$ (18) \$ -

Information regarding the fair value disclosures associated with the assets of the Company's defined benefit plans can be found in Note 18, Retirement Plans.

Table of Contents

Note 21.

Sale-Leaseback

On March 3, 2008, the Company sold approximately 63 acres of real estate located in Houston, TX used primarily by the Company's Tubular Products segment for approximately \$6,500,000 and recorded a gain of \$1,486,000.

Pursuant to this sale, the Company entered into a sale-leaseback transaction, as amended on April 30, 2008, with the purchaser of the Houston, TX real estate for approximately 20 acres of the real estate and certain other assets for a ten year term at a monthly rental rate of \$1,000 per acre with annual 3% increases. The April 30, 2008 amendment added approximately 9 acres of real estate (Temporary Premises) on a month to month term basis. The January 6, 2009 amendment terminated the lease of approximately 4 acres of the Temporary Premises. The lease is a "net" lease with the Company being responsible for taxes, maintenance, insurance and utilities. The Company uses the leased property for its threaded product operations.

This lease is being accounted for as an operating lease with an interest rate of 5.25% for the transaction. The transaction qualifies as a sale-leaseback under applicable guidance included in ASC Topic 840-40, "Leases, Sale-Leaseback Transactions," and the Company recorded as a deferred gain the present value of the minimum lease payments of the operating lease, \$2,146,000. This deferred gain is being amortized over the term of the lease, 120 months.

Note 22.

Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

The Company is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, and the Company's efforts to comply with environmental regulations may have an adverse effect on its future earnings. In the opinion of management, compliance with the present environmental protection laws will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, competitive position, or capital expenditures of the Company.

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect the financial condition or liquidity of the Company. The resolution, in any reporting period, of one or more of these matters could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations for that period.

In July 1999, Portec, Inc., the predecessor of Portec Rail Products, was named as a defendant in Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation v. Chevron, et al. venued in the United States District Court, Northern District of New York. The plaintiff, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation ("Niagara Mohawk") is seeking contribution from nine named defendants for costs it has incurred, and is expected to incur, in connection with the environmental remediation of property located in Troy, New York under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, also known as "CERCLA" or "Superfund," and other causes of action. The basis of the action stems from Niagara Mohawk's agreement with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, pursuant to an Order on Consent, to environmentally remediate property identified as the Troy Water Street Site. The defendants consist of companies that at the time were industrial in nature, or owners of companies industrial in nature, and who owned or operated their businesses on portions of the Troy Water Street site or on properties contiguous, or otherwise in close proximity, to the Troy Water Street Site. Niagara Mohawk alleges that the defendants either released hazardous materials directly to the Troy Water Street site or released hazardous materials that migrated onto the Troy Water Street Site, and therefore the defendants should be responsible for a portion of the costs of remediation.

Table of Contents

The plaintiff seeks to recover costs, which it has incurred, and may continue to incur, to investigate and remediate its former property as required by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). Portec has not been named as a liable party by the NYSDEC and it believes it will have no liability to the plaintiff in the case. Portec filed a motion for summary judgment seeking a ruling to have it dismissed from the case. In November 2003, the motion for summary judgment was granted and Portec was dismissed from the case by the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York. In March 2004, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, appealing, in part, the District Court's decision to dismiss all claims against it. In April 2005, the plaintiff's appeal was dismissed by the Second Circuit Court without prejudice, and the matter was remanded to the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York for consideration in light of a recent United States Supreme Court decision. As a result, in June 2006, the District Court dismissed all claims brought by the plaintiff pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA or Superfund). In July 2006, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the Second Circuit. However, in early 2008, the plaintiff's appeal was dismissed again by the Second Circuit Court without prejudice, and the matter was remanded to the District Court for consideration in light of another recent United States Supreme Court decision. In July 2008, The District Court decided that the United States Supreme Court decision did not necessitate any change in the District Court's prior determinations in this case and held that all of its prior rulings stand. In August 2008, the plaintiff filed a third notice of appeal to the Second Circuit Court. On February 24, 2010, the Second Circuit issued its decision, reversing the order of the District Court which dismissed Portec from the litigation, stating that there were genuine issues of material fact. In addition, the Second Circuit reinstated the plaintiff's CERCLA claims, stating that the plaintiff is entitled to bring a claim for contribution under Section 113(f)(3)(B) of CERCLA. Ongoing litigation may be protracted, and Portec may incur additional ongoing legal expenses, which are not estimable at this time. Should Portec ultimately be held liable, damages may be assessed by the Court in accordance with CERCLA.

Portec believes that Niagara Mohawk's case against Portec, Inc. is without merit. Because Niagara Mohawk is seeking unspecified monetary contribution from the defendants, it is unable to determine the extent to which Portec would have to make a contribution, or whether such contribution would have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. However, total clean up costs at the Troy Water Street site are expected to be substantial. If liability for a portion of the clean up costs is attributed to Portec, such liability could be material. Furthermore, if Niagara Mohawk wins on appeal, ongoing litigation may be protracted and legal expenses may be material to the Company's results of operations.

The Company's operations are subject to national, state, foreign, provincial, and/or local laws and regulations that impose limitations and prohibitions on the discharge and emission of, and establish standards for the use, disposal, and management of, regulated materials and waste, and that impose liability for the costs of investigating and cleaning up, and damages resulting from, present and past spills, disposals, or other releases of hazardous substances or materials.

In November 2005, the City of Clearfield, Utah, filed suit in the Second District Court, Davis County, Utah, against the Utah Department of Transportation, a general contractor, four design engineers and/or consultants, a bonding company and the Company. The City alleged that the design and engineering of an overpass in 2000 had been faulty and that the Company had provided the mechanical stabilized earth wall system for the project. The City alleged that the embankment to the overpass began, in 2001, to fail and slide away from the stabilized earth wall system, resulting in damage in excess of \$3,000,000. The City has agreed to settle its claims against several of the defendants and this settlement has been challenged by other defendants. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these claims, that the Company's products complied with all applicable specifications and that other factors accounted for any alleged failure. The Company has referred this matter to its insurance carrier, which, although it reserved its right to deny coverage, has undertaken the defense of this claim.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company maintained environmental and litigation reserves approximating \$2,799,000 and \$825,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

On February 19, 2010, and through March 3, 2010, a total of five lawsuits initiated by purported shareholders of Portec Rail were filed against several defendants including the Company and certain members of its Board of Directors. These lawsuits are directly related to the Agreement and Plan of Merger with L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company, which was signed on February 16, 2010.

The lawsuits alleged, among other things, that Portec's directors breached their fiduciary duties and L.B. Foster and Purchaser aided and abetted such alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. Based on these allegations, the lawsuits sought, among other relief, injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from consummating the Offer and the Merger. They also sought recovery of the costs of the action, including reasonable legal fees.

The three lawsuits filed in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania were consolidated and on March 22 and 23, 2010, hearings were held on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which sought to enjoin the transaction set forth in the Agreement and Plan of Merger. On April 21, 2010, the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "Court") entered an order in the matter captioned In Re Portec Rail Products, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, preliminarily enjoining Foster from completing the Offer until the Court determines that the Board of Directors of Portec cured the breach of fiduciary duties as found by the Court and disclosed certain material information.

On June 24, 2010, the Court granted a Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction in the case styled In Re Portec Rail Products, Inc. Shareholders Litigation.

On November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs in the consolidated Pennsylvania lawsuit filed a motion for an award of payment of attorneys' fees and costs, relating to the injunction entered in March 2010. The Company and Foster filed objections to that motion and it was argued before the Court on January 31, 2011. The Court has not yet ruled on the motion.

In the two lawsuits pending in West Virginia, Motions to Dismiss the lawsuits were filed in April 2010 on behalf of the Company and the Director Defendants. In both West Virginia cases, Plaintiffs have filed Motions for Preliminary Injunctions, but as of the date of this filing, no hearings have been scheduled.

During 2009, the Company discovered that some of the prestressed concrete railroad ties manufactured in 2004 and 2005 by its CXT Rail operation in Grand Island, NE had failed in track. The Company believes the cause was related to equipment fatigue on one production line at its Grand Island, NE facility before it was retrofitted with new equipment in the fall of 2005. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded warranty charges approximating \$2,724,000 within cost of goods sold for the Company's estimate of cracked concrete ties.

During 2010, the Company, along with customer personnel, inspected additional in track failures related to ties manufactured by the same equipment as noted above. As a result of this inspection, the Company recorded an additional cumulative charge of \$750,000 within cost of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

For certain manufactured products, the Company maintains a product liability accrual which is adjusted on a monthly basis as a percentage of cost of sales. This product liability accrual is periodically adjusted based on the identification or resolution of known individual product liability claims. The following table illustrates the Company's product warranty accrual:

| (in thousands) | In thousands |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$1,632 |
| Additions to warranty liability | 4,280 |
| Warranty liability utilized | (2,545) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 3,367 |
| Additions to warranty liability | 2,064 |
| Portec acquisition | 1,139 |
| Warranty liability utilized | (2,157) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$4,413 |

Included within the above table are concrete tie warranty reserves of approximately \$2,243,000 as of December 31, 2010. While the Company believes this is a reasonable estimate of this potential warranty claim, this estimate could change due to new information and future events. There can be no assurance at this point that future potential costs pertaining to this claim or other potential future claims will not have a material impact on our results of operations.

At December 31, 2010 the Company had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$1,112,000.

Note 23.

Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is presented below:

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | 2010 Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter (1) | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | In thousands, except per share amounts | | | | |
| Net sales | \$82,002 | \$119,504 | \$125,561 | \$147,983 | \$475,050 |
| Gross profit | \$12,073 | \$20,315 | \$20,042 | \$22,153 | \$74,583 |
| Net income | \$1,753 | \$5,987 | \$6,513 | \$6,239 | \$20,492 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.17 | \$0.59 | \$0.64 | \$0.61 | \$2.01 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.17 | \$0.58 | \$0.63 | \$0.60 | \$1.98 |

(1) Includes a pre-tax gain of \$1,364,000 associated with the remeasurement of the remaining Portec available-for-sale investment on the acquisition date.

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | 2009 Third Quarter (2) | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|--------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | In thousands, except per share amounts | | | | |
| Net sales | \$101,613 | \$99,348 | \$97,888 | \$105,171 | \$404,020 |
| Gross profit | \$13,682 | \$12,832 | \$17,758 | \$15,586 | \$59,858 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Net income | \$3,019 | \$2,652 | \$6,144 | \$3,912 | \$15,727 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.30 | \$0.26 | \$0.60 | \$0.38 | \$1.55 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.29 | \$0.26 | \$0.60 | \$0.38 | \$1.53 |

(2) Includes a pre-tax gain of \$1,194,000 associated with the sale of investments in marketable securities.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

L. B. Foster Company (the Company) carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a – 15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. There were no significant changes in internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of L. B. Foster Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a – 15(f). L. B. Foster Company's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Accordingly, even effective controls can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

L. B. Foster Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, management used criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010.

As previously discussed in Note 3—Acquisitions of this Form 10-K, L. B. Foster Company completed the acquisition of Portec Rail Products, Inc. on December 15, 2010. The acquired business accounts for \$152,827,000 of total assets as of December 31, 2010 and \$4,822,000 of net sales for the year then ended. Management's assessment and conclusion of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 excludes an assessment of the internal controls over financial reporting for Portec.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young's attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting appears in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

89

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Pursuant to instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, the information required by Item 401 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement.

The information required by Item 10 with respect to the Executive Officers of the Company has been included in Part I of this Form 10-K (as Item 4A) in reliance on Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K and Instruction 3 to Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K.

Pursuant to instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, information required by Item 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement.

Pursuant to instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, the information concerning compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 by officers and directors of the Company set forth under the heading entitled "Section 16(a) Beneficial Reporting Compliance" in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days following the end of the fiscal year covered by this report is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement.

Information regarding our Code of Ethics set forth under the caption "Code of Ethics" in Part I of this Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K and paragraphs (e)(4) and (e)(5) of Item 407 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K and by Item 403 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

None.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 404 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as a part of this Report:

(a)(1). Financial Statements

The following Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, consolidated financial statements, and accompanying notes are included in Item 8 of this Report:

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(a)(2). Financial Statement Schedule

Schedules for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.

The remaining schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions upon which they are required.

(a)(3). Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying index to exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

| | Balance at Beginning of Year | Additions | | | Balance at End of Year |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Charged to Costs and Expenses | (1) Other | (2) Deductions | |
| In thousands | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | |
| Deducted from assets to which they apply: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,055 | \$ 247 | \$ 299 | \$ - | \$ 1,601 |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Deducted from assets to which they apply: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 2,637 | \$ (564) | \$ - | \$ 1,018 | \$ 1,055 |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Deducted from assets to which they apply: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,504 | \$ 1,461 | \$ - | \$ 328 | \$ 2,637 |

(1) Other additions relate to the Portec acquisition.

(2) Notes and accounts receivable written off as uncollectible.

Table of Contents

3. Exhibits

The Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith. All exhibits are incorporated herein by reference:

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated February 16, 2010, by and among L.B. Foster Company, Foster Thomas Company and Portec Rail Products, Inc. filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on February 17, 2010.
- 2.1 First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 13, 2010, by and among Portec Rail Products, Inc., L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on May 13, 2010.
- 2.1 Second Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 30, 2010, by and among Portec Rail Products, Inc., L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on August 30, 2010.
- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.
- 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended and filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 4.0 Rights Amendment, dated as of May 15, 1997 between L. B. Foster Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, including the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto, filed as Exhibit 4.0 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 4.1 Rights Amendment, dated as of October 24, 2006, between L. B. Foster Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, including the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto, filed as Exhibit 4B to Form 8-K on October 27, 2006.
- 10.0 Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated May 5, 2005, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.0.1 First Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated September 13, 2005, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0.1 to Form 8-K on September 14, 2005.
- 10.0.3 Third Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated February 8, 2007, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0.3 to Form 8-K on February 9, 2007.
- 10.0.5 Fifth Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated March 4, 2009, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0.5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

- 10.1 Seventh Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated December 17, 2010, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K on December 21, 2010.
- 10.1 Form of Tender and Voting Agreement, dated February 16, 2010, by and among L.B. Foster Company, Foster Thomas Company and identified persons for the indicated number of shares of Portec Rail Products, Inc. filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K on February 17, 2010.

Table of Contents

- 10.1 Lease between Salient Systems, Inc. and Schottenstein Stores Corporation, dated October 12, 2004, filed as exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K on October, 12, 2004.
- 10.12 Lease between CXT Incorporated and Pentzer Development Corporation, dated April 1, 1993, filed as Exhibit 10.12 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.12.1 Second Amendment dated March 12, 1996 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, successor, filed as Exhibit 10.12.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.12.2 Third Amendment dated November 7, 2002 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
 - 10.12.3 Fourth Amendment dated December 15, 2003 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
 - 10.12.4 Fifth Amendment dated June 29, 2004 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.12.5 Sixth Amendment dated May 9, 2006 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.5 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
 - 10.12.6 Seventh Amendment dated April 28, 2008 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.6 to Form 8-K on May 2, 2008.
 - 10.12.7 Eighth Amendment dated July 6, 2010 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC filed as Exhibit 10.12.7 to Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.
- 10.13 Lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, dated December 20, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.13.1 Amendment dated June 29, 2001 between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, filed as Exhibit 10.13.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 10.14 Lease of property in Tucson, AZ between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company dated May 27, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.15 Lease of property in Grand Island, NE between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company, dated May 27, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
 - 10.15.1 Industry Track Contract between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company, dated May 27, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

- 10.16 Lease Agreement dated March 3, 2008 between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas and filed as Exhibit 10.16 to Form 8-K on March 7, 2008.
- 10.16.1 First Amendment dated April 1, 2008 to lease between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas, filed as Exhibit 10.16.1 to Form 8-K on May 1, 2008.

Table of Contents

- 10.16.2 Second Amendment dated January 6, 2009 to lease between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas, filed as Exhibit 10.16.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- 10.17 Lease between Registrant and the City of Hillsboro, TX dated February 22, 2002, and filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 10.19 Lease between Registrant and American Cast Iron Pipe Company for pipe-coating facility in Birmingham, AL, dated December 11, 1991, filed as Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.19.1 Amendment to Lease between Registrant and American Cast Iron Pipe Company for pipe-coating facility in Birmingham, AL dated November 15, 2000, and filed as Exhibit 10.19.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
- 10.19.2 Third Amendment dated July 6, 2010 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC filed as Exhibit 10.19.2 to Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.
- ^10.21 Agreement for Purchase and Sales of Concrete Ties between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad dated January 24, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.21 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- ^10.21.1 Amendment to Agreement for Purchase and Sales of Concrete Ties between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad dated October 28, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.21.1 to Form 8-K on November 14, 2005.
- 10.24 Asset Purchase Agreement by and between the Registrant and The Reinforced Earth Company dated February 15, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.24 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
- 10.25 Asset Purchase Agreement between Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC and the Registrant dated March 23, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.25 to Form 8-K on March 29, 2010.
- *10.33.2 Amended and Restated 1985 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.33.2. **
- *10.34 Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.34. **
- *10.34.1 Amendment, effective May 24, 2006, to Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.34.1. **
- *10.45 Medical Reimbursement Plan (MRP1) effective January 1, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.45. **

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

*10.45.1 Medical Reimbursement Plan (MRP2) effective January 1, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.45.1. **

*10.45.2 Amendments to MRP2 filed as Exhibit 10.45.2. **

*10.46 Leased Vehicle Plan as amended and restated on September 1, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.46. **

10.51 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan as Amended and Restated on January 1, 2009, filed as Exhibit 10.51 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. **

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------|--|
| 10.53 | Directors' resolution dated March 6, 2008, under which directors' compensation was established, filed as Exhibit 10.53 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. ** |
| 10.55 | Management Incentive Compensation Plan for 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.55 to Form 8-K on March 8, 2007. ** |
| 10.57.1 | 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended and restated March 6, 2008, filed as exhibit 10.57.1 to Form 8-K on March 12, 2008. ** |
| 10.58 | Special Bonus Arrangement, effective May 24, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.58 to Form 8-K on May 31, 2006. ** |
| 10.59 | Executive Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.59 to Form 8-K on March 12, 2008. ** |
| 10.60 | Letter agreement on Lee B. Foster II's retirement, filed as Exhibit 10.59 to Form 8-K on April 22, 2008. ** |
| 10.61 | Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and Stan L. Hasselbusch dated May 28, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.61 to Form 8-K on June 1, 2010. ** |
| 10.62 | Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and David J. Russo dated May 28, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.62 to Form 8-K on June 1, 2010. ** |
| 19 | Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith. |
| *23 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| *31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.0 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| <hr/> | |
| * | Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith. |
| ** | Identifies management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit. |

Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY

Date: March 16, 2011

By: /s/ Stan L. Hasselbusch
(Stan L. Hasselbusch,
President and Chief Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Name | Position | Date |
|---|---|-------------------|
| By: /s/Lee B. Foster II (Lee B. Foster II) | Chairman of the Board and Director | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/Stan L. Hasselbusch (Stan L. Hasselbusch) | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/Peter McIlroy II (Peter McIlroy II) | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/G. Thomas McKane (G. Thomas McKane) | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/Diane B. Owen (Diane B. Owen) | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/Linda K. Patterson (Linda K. Patterson) | Controller | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/William H. Rackoff (William H. Rackoff) | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| By: /s/Suzanne B. Rowland | Director | March 16, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-K

(Suzanne B. Rowland)

By: /s/David J. Russo Senior Vice President, Chief Financial and

March 16,
2011

(David J. Russo) Accounting Officer and Treasurer

Table of Contents