Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc.
Form 10-Q
October 27, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
ý Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016
¨ Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Commission File Number: 001-36270
SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware	32-0414408
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1601 Elm Street, Suite 800, Dallas, Texas 75201
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code (214) 634-1110
Not Applicable
(Former name, former address, and formal fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No ¨
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation ST (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ¨ No ý
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Large accelerated filer ý Accelerated filer ¨

Non-accelerated filer ¨ Smaller reporting company ¨
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes ¨ No ý
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at October 19, 2016
Common Stock ($0.01 par value)	358,338,399 shares

INDEX

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information		3
PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION		6
Item 1.	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	6
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income	8
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity	9
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	10
	Note 1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation, and Significant Accounting Policies and Practices	11
	Note 2. Finance Receivables	13
	Note 3. Leases	16
	Note 4. Credit Loss Allowance and Credit Quality	17
	Note 5. Debt	23
	Note 6. Variable Interest Entities	26
	Note 7. Derivative Financial Instruments	28
	Note 8. Other Assets	31
	Note 9. Income Taxes	33
	Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies	33
	Note 11. Related-Party Transactions	36
	Note 12. Computation of Basic and Diluted Earnings per Common Share	40
	Note 13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments	41
	Note 14. Employee Benefit Plans	45
	Note 15. Shareholders' Equity	45
	Note 16. Investment Gains (Losses), Net	46
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	47
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	76
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	76
PART II: OTHER INFORMATION		81
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	81
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	82
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	83
Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities	83
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	83
Item 5.	Other Information	84
Item 6.	Exhibits	86
SIGNATURES		87
EXHIBITS		

Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, the use herein of the terms “ we,” “our,” “us,” “SC,” and the “Company” refer to Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information
This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, predictions, forecasts, objectives, assumptions, or future events or performance are not historical facts and may be forward-looking. These statements are often, but not always, made through the use of words or phrases such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “can,” “could,” “may,” “predicts,” “potential,” “should,” “will,” “estimate,” “plans,” “projects,” “continuing,” “ongoing,” “expects,” “ similar words or phrases. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, these statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties which are subject to change based on various important factors, some of which are beyond our control. For more information regarding these risks and uncertainties as well as certain additional risks that we face, refer to the Risk Factors detailed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2015, as well as factors more fully described in Part I, Item 2, “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and elsewhere in this report, including the exhibits hereto, and subsequent reports and registration statements filed from time to time with the SEC. Among the factors that could cause our financial performance to differ materially from that suggested by the forward-looking statements are:

- we operate in a highly regulated industry and continually changing federal, state, and local laws and regulations could materially adversely affect our business;
- our ability to remediate any material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting completely and in a timely manner;
- adverse economic conditions in the United States and worldwide may negatively impact our results;
- our business could suffer if our access to funding is reduced;
- we face significant risks implementing our growth strategy, some of which are outside our control;
- we may incur unexpected costs and delays in connection with exiting our personal lending business;
- our agreement with FCA may not result in currently anticipated levels of growth and is subject to certain performance conditions that could result in termination of the agreement;
- our business could suffer if we are unsuccessful in developing and maintaining relationships with automobile dealerships;
- our financial condition, liquidity, and results of operations depend on the credit performance of our loans;
- loss of our key management or other personnel, or an inability to attract such management and personnel, could negatively impact our business;
- we are directly and indirectly, through our relationship with Santander Holdings USA, Inc., subject to certain bank regulations, including oversight by the OCC, the CFPB, the European Central Bank, and the Federal Reserve, which oversight and regulation may limit certain of our activities, including the timing and amount of dividends and other limitations on our business; and
- future changes in our relationship with Santander could adversely affect our operations.

If one or more of the factors affecting our forward-looking information and statements proves incorrect, its actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, forward-looking information and statements. Therefore, we caution not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking information or statements. The effect of these factors is difficult to predict. Factors other than these also could adversely affect our results, and the reader should not consider these factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. New factors emerge from time to time, and management cannot assess the impact of any such factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Any forward-looking statements only speak as of the date of this document, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking information or statements, whether written or oral, to reflect any change, except as required by law. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by

these cautionary statements.

Glossary

The following is a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and commonly used terms used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

ABS	Asset-backed securities
Advance Rate	The maximum percentage of unpaid principal balance that a lender is willing to lend.
ALG	Automotive Lease Guide

APR	Annual Percentage Rate
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ASU	Accounting Standards Update
Bluestem	Bluestem Brands, Inc., an online retailer for whose customers SC provides financing
Board	SC’s Board of Directors
Capmark	Capmark Financial Group Inc., an investment company
CBP	Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania
CCAR	Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review
CCART	Chrysler Capital Auto Receivables Trust, a securitization platform
Centerbridge	Centerbridge Partners, L.P., a private equity firm
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFPB	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
Chrysler Agreement	Ten-year private-label financing agreement with FCA
Clean-up Call	The early redemption of a debt instrument by the issuer, generally when the underlying portfolio has amortized to 10% of its original balance
Commission	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Credit Enhancement	A method such as overcollateralization, insurance, or a third-party guarantee, whereby a borrower reduces default risk
DCA	Discounted Cash Flow Analysis
Dealer Loan	A floorplan line of credit, real estate loan, working capital loan, or other credit extended to an automobile dealer
Dodd-Frank Act	Comprehensive financial regulatory reform legislation enacted by the U.S. Congress on July 21, 2010
DOJ	U.S. Department of Justice
DRIVE	Drive Auto Receivables Trust, a securitization platform
ECOA	Equal Credit Opportunity Act
Employment Agreement	The amended and restated employment agreement, executed as of December 31, 2011, by and among SC, Banco Santander, S.A. and Thomas G. Dundon
Exchange Act	Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FCA	Fiat Chrysler Automobiles US LLC, formerly Chrysler Group LLC
FICO®	A common credit score created by Fair Isaac Corporation that is used on the credit reports that lenders use to assess an applicant’s credit risk. FICO® is computed using mathematical models that take into account five factors: payment history, current level of indebtedness, types of credit used, length of credit history, and new credit
FIRREA	Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989
Floorplan Loan	A revolving line of credit that finances inventory until sold
FRB	Federal Reserve Bank of Boston
FTC	Federal Trade Commission
GAP	Guaranteed Auto Protection
IPO	SC's Initial Public Offering
ISDA	International Swaps and Derivative Association
LendingClub	LendingClub Corporation, a peer-to-peer personal lending platform company from which SC acquired loans under terms of flow agreements
MSA	Master Service Agreement
Nonaccretable Difference	The difference between the undiscounted contractual cash flows and the undiscounted expected cash flows of a portfolio acquired with deteriorated credit quality
OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
Overcollateralization	

	A credit enhancement method whereby more collateral is posted than is required to obtain financing
OEM	Original equipment manufacturer

Private-label	Financing branded in the name of the product manufacturer rather than in the name of the finance provider
Remarketing	The controlled disposal of leased vehicles that have been reached the end of their lease term or of financed vehicles obtained through repossession
Residual Value	The future value of a leased asset at the end of its lease term
RSU	Restricted stock unit
Santander	Banco Santander, S.A.
SBNA	Santander Bank, N.A., a wholly-owned subsidiary of SHUSA. Formerly Sovereign Bank, N.A.
SC	Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries
SCRA	Servicemembers Civil Relief Act
SDART	Santander Drive Auto Receivables Trust, a securitization platform
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Separation Agreement	The Separation Agreement dated July 2, 2015 entered into by Thomas G. Dundon with SC, DDFS LLC, SHUSA, Santander Consumer USA Inc. (the wholly owned subsidiary of SC) and Banco Santander, S.A.
Shareholders Agreement	The Shareholders agreement dated January 28, 2014, by and among the Company, SHUSA, DDFS, Thomas G. Dundon, Sponsor Auto Finance Holdings Series LP, and, for the certain sections set forth therein, Banco Santander
SHUSA	Santander Holdings USA, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Santander and the majority owner of SC
Subvention	Reimbursement of the finance provider by a manufacturer for the difference between a market loan or lease rate and the below-market rate given to a customer
TDR	Troubled Debt Restructuring
Trusts	Special purpose financing trusts utilized in SC’s financing transactions
U.S. GAAP	U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
VIE	Variable Interest Entity
Warehouse Facility	A revolving line of credit generally used to fund finance receivable originations

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents - $2,754 and zero held at affiliates, respectively	$78,049	$ 18,893
Finance receivables held for sale, net	2,859,996	2,859,575
Finance receivables held for investment, net	23,477,426	23,367,788
Restricted cash - $16,303 and $39,436 held at affiliates, respectively	2,712,841	2,236,329
Accrued interest receivable	382,138	395,387
Leased vehicles, net	8,065,227	6,497,310
Furniture and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of $41,333 and $50,409, respectively	61,666	58,007
Federal, state and other income taxes receivable	73,506	267,636
Related party taxes receivable	85	71
Goodwill	74,056	74,056
Intangible assets, net of amortization of $32,253 and $28,422, respectively	33,681	33,016
Due from affiliates	53,000	58,599
Other assets	618,940	582,291
Total assets	$38,490,611	$ 36,448,958
Liabilities and Equity		
Liabilities:		
Notes payable — credit facilities	$7,005,116	$ 6,902,779
Notes payable — secured structured financings	22,493,245	20,872,900
Notes payable — related party	2,350,000	2,600,000
Accrued interest payable	31,045	22,544
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	327,157	413,269
Federal, state and other income taxes payable	2,532	2,462
Deferred tax liabilities, net	1,107,714	881,225
Due to affiliates	69,575	58,148
Other liabilities	227,515	263,082
Total liabilities	33,613,899	32,016,409
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5 and 10)		
Equity:		
Common stock, $0.01 par value — 1,100,000,000 shares authorized; 358,391,712 and 358,014,870 shares issued and 358,322,707 and 357,945,865 shares outstanding, respectively	3,583	3,579
Additional paid-in capital	1,649,557	1,644,151
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net	(50,766)	2,125
Retained earnings	3,274,338	2,782,694
Total stockholders' equity	4,876,712	4,432,549
Total liabilities and equity	$38,490,611	$ 36,448,958

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

The assets of consolidated VIEs, presented based upon the legal transfer of the underlying assets in order to reflect legal ownership, that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated VIE and the liabilities of these entities for which creditors (or beneficial interest holders) do not have recourse to our general credit were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Assets		
Restricted cash	$2,026,940	$ 1,842,877
Finance receivables held for sale, net	1,607,236	1,539,686
Finance receivables held for investment, net	22,386,326	22,658,626
Leased vehicles, net	8,065,227	6,497,310
Various other assets	580,634	630,017
Total assets	$34,666,363	$ 33,168,516
Liabilities		
Notes payable	$30,967,253	$ 30,611,019
Various other liabilities	90,097	85,844
Total liabilities	$31,057,350	$ 30,696,863

Certain amounts shown above are greater than the amounts shown in the corresponding line items in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets due to intercompany eliminations between the VIEs and other entities consolidated by the Company. For example, for most of its securitizations, the Company retains one or more of the lowest tranches of bonds. Rather than showing investment in bonds as an asset and the associated debt as a liability, these amounts are eliminated in consolidation as required by U.S. GAAP.

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Interest on finance receivables and loans	$1,271,741	$1,283,651	$2,557,936	$2,476,672
Leased vehicle income	368,358	243,857	698,150	475,473
Other finance and interest income	3,890	6,738	7,802	14,079
Total finance and other interest income	1,643,989	1,534,246	3,263,888	2,966,224
Interest expense — Including $28,997, $42,450, $60,683 and $86,466 to affiliates, respectively	198,594	150,622	383,329	299,478
Leased vehicle expense	243,140	168,767	464,500	343,620
Net finance and other interest income	1,202,255	1,214,857	2,416,059	2,323,126
Provision for credit losses	511,921	579,379	1,172,091	1,211,226
Net finance and other interest income after provision for credit losses	690,334	635,478	1,243,968	1,111,900
Profit sharing	17,846	21,501	29,240	35,017
Net finance and other interest income after provision for credit losses and profit sharing	672,488	613,977	1,214,728	1,076,883
Investment gains (losses), net	(101,309)	89,721	(170,365)	111,314
Servicing fee income — Including $5,055, $3,991, $9,131 and $9,015 from affiliates, respectively	42,988	28,043	87,482	52,846
Fees, commissions, and other — Including $225, $3,032, $450 and $8,881 from affiliates, respectively	95,623	96,936	197,743	200,734
Total other income	37,302	214,700	114,860	364,894
Compensation expense	123,344	110,973	243,186	211,513
Repossession expense	68,351	55,470	141,896	114,296
Other operating costs — Including $24, $5,307, $4,486 and $5,678 to affiliates, respectively	80,532	89,065	178,001	177,531
Total operating expenses	272,227	255,508	563,083	503,340
Income before income taxes	437,563	573,169	766,505	938,437
Income tax expense	154,218	208,454	274,861	331,277
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, net of tax of $8,745, ($2,063), $31,478 and $5,559, respectively	(14,701)	3,564	(52,891)	(9,279)
Comprehensive income	$268,644	$368,279	$438,753	$597,881
Net income per common share (basic)	$0.79	$1.03	$1.37	$1.72
Net income per common share (diluted)	$0.79	$1.02	$1.37	$1.71
Weighted average common shares (basic)	358,218,378	355,091,818	358,096,634	352,272,552
Weighted average common shares (diluted)	359,867,806	359,193,738	359,426,918	355,932,481

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(Unaudited) (In thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Retained	Total Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Income (Loss)	Earnings	Equity
Balance — January 1, 2015	348,978	$3,490	$1,560,519	$ 3,553	$1,958,654	$3,526,216
Stock issued in connection with employee incentive compensation plans	8,806	88	113,238	—	—	113,326
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	7,473	—	—	7,473
Tax sharing with affiliate	—	—	867	—	—	867
Net income	—	—	—	—	607,160	607,160
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	—	—	—	(9,279)	—	(9,279)
Balance — June 30, 2015	357,784	$3,578	$1,682,097	$ (5,726)	$2,565,814	$4,245,763
Balance — January 1, 2016	357,946	$3,579	$1,644,151	$ 2,125	$2,782,694	$4,432,549
Stock issued in connection with employee incentive compensation plans	377	4	1,945	—	—	1,949
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	3,853	—	—	3,853
Tax sharing with affiliate	—	—	(392)	—	—	(392)
Net income	—	—	—	—	491,644	491,644
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	—	—	—	(52,891)	—	(52,891)
Balance — June 30, 2016	358,323	$3,583	$1,649,557	$ (50,766)	$3,274,338	$4,876,712

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	$491,644	$607,160
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Derivative mark to market	8,576	9,609
Provision for credit losses	1,172,091	1,211,226
Depreciation and amortization	511,636	389,346
Accretion of discount	(190,187)	(153,056)
Originations and purchases of receivables held for sale	(2,441,846)	(2,238,753)
Proceeds from sales of and collections on receivables held for sale	1,604,050	1,694,575
Change in revolving personal loans	(310,103)	—
Investment losses (gains), net	170,365	(111,314)
Stock-based compensation	3,853	7,473
Deferred tax expense	262,732	98,566
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable	41	(36,004)
Accounts receivable	5,206	(7,738)
Federal income tax and other taxes	193,417	310,898
Other assets	(77,301)	(1,587)
Accrued interest payable	7,243	4,373
Other liabilities	(83,007)	45,396
Due to/from affiliates	(12,384)	9,151
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,316,026	1,839,321
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Originations of and disbursements on finance receivables held for investment	(6,460,531)	(9,320,752)
Purchases of portfolios of finance receivables held for investment	(290,020)	—
Collections on finance receivables held for investment	5,276,264	5,227,885
Proceeds from sale of loans held for investment	823,877	1,290,304
Leased vehicles purchased	(3,323,553)	(2,563,185)
Manufacturer incentives received	785,512	490,481
Proceeds from sale of leased vehicles	705,300	1,463,580
Change in revolving personal loans	259,977	(128,837)
Purchases of furniture and equipment	(18,063)	(11,583)
Sales of furniture and equipment	1,871	310
Change in restricted cash	(474,351)	(1,165,372)
Other investing activities	(4,496)	(3,182)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,718,213)	(4,720,351)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from notes payable related to secured structured financings — net of debt issuance costs	7,949,111	7,975,856
Payments on notes payable related to secured structured financings	(6,090,497)	(5,364,799)
Proceeds from unsecured notes payable	3,789,420	3,630,000
Payments on unsecured notes payable	(3,528,442)	(3,060,000)

Proceeds from notes payable	12,738,469	13,580,175
Payments on notes payable	(13,399,272)	(13,970,166)
Proceeds from stock option exercises, gross	2,554	86,123
Repurchase of stock - employee tax withholding	—	(430)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,461,343	2,876,759
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	59,156	(4,271)
Cash — Beginning of period	18,893	33,157
Cash — End of period	$78,049	$28,886

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

10

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation, and Significant Accounting Policies and Practices

Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc., a Delaware Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, SC or the Company), is the holding company for Santander Consumer USA Inc., an Illinois corporation, and subsidiaries, a specialized consumer finance company focused on vehicle finance and third-party servicing. The Company's primary business is the indirect origination and securitization of retail installment contracts principally through manufacturer-franchised dealers in connection with their sale of new and used vehicles to retail consumers.

In conjunction with a ten-year private label financing agreement (the Chrysler Agreement) with Fiat Chrysler Automobiles US LLC (FCA) that became effective May 1, 2013, the Company offers a full spectrum of auto financing products and services to FCA customers and dealers under the Chrysler Capital brand. These products and services include consumer retail installment contracts and leases, as well as dealer loans for inventory, construction, real estate, working capital and revolving lines of credit.

The Company also originates vehicle loans through a Web-based direct lending program, purchases vehicle retail installment contracts from other lenders, and services automobile and recreational and marine vehicle portfolios for other lenders. Additionally, the Company has several relationships through which it provides personal loans, private-label credit cards and other consumer finance products.

As of June 30, 2016, the Company was owned approximately 58.9% by Santander Holdings USA, Inc. (SHUSA), a subsidiary of Banco Santander, S.A. (Santander), approximately 31.2% by public shareholders, approximately 9.8% by DDFS LLC, an entity affiliated with Thomas G. Dundon, the Company's former Chairman and CEO and approximately 0.1% by other holders, primarily members of senior management. Pursuant to a Separation Agreement with Mr. Dundon, SHUSA was deemed to have delivered, as of July 3, 2015, an irrevocable notice to exercise the call option with respect to all the shares of Company common stock owned by DDFS LLC and consummate the transactions contemplated by the call option notice, subject to required bank regulatory approvals and any other approvals required by law being obtained (the "Call Transaction"). Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, because the Call Transaction was not consummated prior to October 15, 2015 (the "Call End Date"), DDFS LLC is free to transfer any or all of its shares of Company common stock, subject to the terms and conditions of the Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of July 16, 2014, between DDFS LLC and Santander (Note 11).

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, including certain Trusts, which are considered VIEs. The Company also consolidates other VIEs for which it was deemed to be the primary beneficiary. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair statement of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2015.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, as of the date of the financial statements and the amount of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates and those differences may be material. These estimates

include the determination of credit loss allowance, discount accretion, impairment, fair value, expected end-of-term lease residual values, values of repossessed assets, and income taxes. These estimates, although based on actual historical trends and modeling, may potentially show significant variances over time.

Business Segment Information

The Company has one reportable segment: Consumer Finance, which includes the Company’s vehicle financial products and services, including retail installment contracts, vehicle leases, and dealer loans, as well as financial products and services related to motorcycles, recreational vehicles, and marine vehicles. It also includes the Company’s personal loan and point-of-sale financing operations.

Accounting Policies

There have been no material changes in the Company's accounting policies from those disclosed in Part II, Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2015, except as follows:

Retail Installment Contracts

Interest is accrued when earned in accordance with the terms of the retail installment contract. The accrual of interest is discontinued and reversed once a retail installment contract becomes more than 60 days past due, and is resumed 18 and reinstated if a delinquent account subsequently becomes 60 days or less past due. A Chrysler Capital retail installment contract is considered current if the borrower has made all prior payments in full and at least 90% of the payment currently due, and a non-Chrysler Capital retail installment contract is considered current if the borrower has made all prior payments in full and at least 50% of the payment currently due. Payments generally are applied to fees first, then interest, then principal, regardless of a contract's accrual status.

The amortization of discounts, subvention payments from manufacturers, and other origination costs on retail installment contracts held for investment acquired individually, or through a direct lending program, are recognized as adjustments to the yield of the related contract using the effective interest method. The Company estimates future principal prepayments in the calculation of the constant effective yield.

Change in Accounting Principle

The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually in accordance with the provisions of ASC 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2016, the Company changed the date of its annual impairment test from December 31 to October 1. This new testing date is preferable under the circumstances in order to align the Company’s policy with that of SHUSA. The Company has prospectively applied the change and confirmed the change in the annual impairment testing date did not delay, accelerate, or avoid an impairment charge.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This standard affects entities that issue share-based payments when the terms of an award stipulate that a performance target could be achieved after an employee completes the requisite service period. This guidance became effective for the Company January 1, 2016 and implementation of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-01, Income Statement - Extraordinary and Unusual Items. This standard simplifies income statement classification by removing the concept of extraordinary items from U.S. GAAP, and as a result, items that are both unusual and infrequent no longer will be separately reported net of tax after continuing operations. This guidance became effective for the Company January 1, 2016 and implementation of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Consolidation: Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. This ASU changes the analysis that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. This guidance became effective for the Company January 1, 2016 and implementation of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-05, Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement. This ASU clarifies when fees paid in a cloud computing arrangement pertain to the acquisition of a software license, services, or both. This guidance became effective for the Company January 1, 2016 and

implementation of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which provides guidance on a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers. The effective date for this ASU, which was deferred by ASU 2015-14 issued in August 2015, is for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, an amendment to the guidance in ASU 2014-09 that revises the structure of the indicators to provide indicators of when the entity is the principal or agent in a revenue transaction, and eliminated two of the indicators ("the entity’s consideration is in the form of a commission" and "the entity is not exposed to credit risk") in making that determination. This amendment also clarifies that each indicator may be more or less relevant to the assessment depending on the terms and conditions of the contract. In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, which clarifies the implementation guidance on identifying promised goods or services and on determining whether an entity's promise to grant a license with either a right to use the entity's intellectual property (which is satisfied at a point in time) or a right to access the entity's intellectual property (which is satisfied over time). Also, in May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12, which provides clarifying guidance in a few narrow areas and add some practical expedient to the guidance. The amendments are expected to reduce the degree of judgment necessary to comply with the revenue recognition topic.The amendments, collectively, should be applied retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or as a cumulative effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. Early adoption of the guidance is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2014-09 and the related updates on its financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which provides guidance for the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial assets and liabilities. The guidance will be effective for the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that year. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impacts of the adoption of this ASU.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which will, among other impacts, change the criteria under which leases are identified and accounted for as on- or off-balance sheet. The guidance will be effective for the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that year. Once effective, the new guidance must be applied for all periods presented. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impacts of the adoption of this ASU.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which is intended to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment award transactions. The guidance will be effective for the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that year. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impacts of the adoption of this ASU.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments-Credit Losses, which changes the criteria under which credit losses are measured. The amendment replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology in current U.S. GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to perform credit loss estimates. The guidance will be effective for the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within that year. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impacts of the adoption of this ASU.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows-Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, which provides guidance on several specific cash flow issues. The guidance will be effective for the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that year. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impacts of the adoption of this ASU.

2. Finance Receivables

Held For Investment

Finance receivables held for investment, net is comprised of the following at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Retail installment contracts acquired individually	$23,185,951	$23,004,065
Purchased receivables	191,071	239,551
Receivables from dealers	69,193	76,025
Personal loans	909	941
Capital lease receivables (Note 3)	30,302	47,206
	$23,477,426	$23,367,788

The Company's held for investment portfolio of retail installment contracts acquired individually, receivables from dealers, and personal loans was comprised of the following at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016		
	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers	Personal Loans
Unpaid principal balance	$27,224,925	$ 70,030	$ 909
Credit loss allowance (Note 4)	(3,422,736)	(837)	—
Discount	(678,114)	—	—
Capitalized origination costs and fees	61,876	—	—
Net carrying balance	$23,185,951	$ 69,193	$ 909

	December 31, 2015		
	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers	Personal Loans
Unpaid principal balance	$26,863,946	$ 76,941	$ 941
Credit loss allowance (Note 4)	(3,197,414)	(916)	—
Discount	(722,701)	—	—
Capitalized origination costs and fees	60,234	—	—
Net carrying balance	$23,004,065	$ 76,025	$ 941

Retail installment contracts are collateralized by vehicle titles, and the Company has the right to repossess the vehicle in the event the consumer defaults on the payment terms of the contract. Most of the Company's retail installment contracts held for investment are pledged against warehouse facilities or securitization bonds (Note 5). Most of the creditors on the Company's retail installment contracts held for investment are retail consumers; however, $723,847 and $1,087,024 of the unpaid principal balance represented fleet contracts with commercial borrowers as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, borrowers on the Company's retail installment contracts held for investment are located in Texas (17%), Florida (13%), California (10%), Georgia (5%) and other states each individually representing less than 5% of the Company's total.

Purchased receivables portfolios, which were acquired with deteriorated credit quality, were comprised of the following at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Outstanding balance	$282,958	$ 362,212
Outstanding recorded investment, net of impairment	$191,071	$ 239,551

Changes in accretable yield on the Company’s purchased receivables portfolios for the periods indicated were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Balance — beginning of period	$156,336	$247,944	$178,582	$268,927
Accretion of accretable yield	(19,615)	(21,474)	(40,944)	(49,680)
Reclassifications from (to) nonaccretable difference	1,026	57,990	109	65,213
Balance — end of period	$137,747	$284,460	$137,747	$284,460

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company did not acquire any vehicle loan portfolios for which it was probable at acquisition that not all contractually required payments would be collected. However, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized certain retail installment contracts with an unpaid principal balance of $191,671, held by non-consolidated securitization Trusts, under optional clean-up calls. Following the initial recognition of these loans at fair value, the performing loans in the portfolio are carried at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses. The Company elected the fair value option for all non-performing loans acquired (more than 60 days delinquent as of re-recognition date), for which it was probable that not all contractually required payments would be collected (Note 13).

Receivables from dealers held for investment includes a term loan with a third-party vehicle dealer and lender that operates in multiple states. The loan allowed committed borrowings of $50,000 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and the unpaid principal balance of the facility was $50,000 at each of those dates. The term loan will mature on December 31, 2018. The Company had accrued interest on this term loan of $151 and $156 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

The remaining receivables from dealers held for investment are all Chrysler Agreement-related. As of June 30, 2016, borrowers on these dealer receivables are located in Virginia (52%), New York (24%), Mississippi (12%), Missouri (9%), and other states each individually representing less than 5% of the Company’s total.

As of September 30, 2015, the Company determined that it no longer had the intent to hold its personal loans for investment and that classification of all of its personal loans as held for sale was appropriate as of that date. In connection with the reclassification to held for sale, the Company transferred the personal loan portfolio at the lower of cost or market, with the lower of cost or market adjustment being charged off against the credit loss allowance. Loan originations and purchases under the Company’s personal lending platform subsequent to September 30, 2015, also are classified as held for sale. Following the reclassification of personal loans to held for sale, further adjustments to the recorded investment in personal loans held for sale, whether due to customer default or changes in market value, are recorded in investment gains (losses), net, in the condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income (Note 16). On February 1, 2016, the Company sold personal loans with an unpaid principal balance of $869,349 to a third party for an immaterial gain to unpaid principal balance.

At December 31, 2015, the Company determined that its intent to sell certain non-performing personal installment loans had changed and now expects to hold these loans through their maturity. The Company recorded a lower of cost or market adjustment through investment gains (losses), net, immediately prior to transferring the loans to finance receivables held for investment at their new recorded investment. The carrying value of these loans was $909 and $941 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Held For Sale

The carrying value of the Company's finance receivables held for sale was comprised of the following at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Retail installment contracts acquired individually	$1,889,284	$ 905,161
Personal loans	970,712	1,954,414
	$2,859,996	$ 2,859,575

Sales of retail installment contracts to third parties and proceeds from sales of charged-off assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Sales of retail installment contracts to third parties	$659,224	$2,016,675	$1,519,179	$2,935,753
Proceeds from sales of charged-off assets	28,844	65,587	35,073	103,963

The Company retains servicing of retail installment contracts and leases sold to third parties. Total contracts sold to unrelated third parties and serviced as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Serviced balance of retail installment contracts and leases sold to third parties	$10,719,819	$12,155,844

3. Leases

The Company has both operating and capital leases, which are separately accounted for and recorded on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. Operating leases are reported as leased vehicles, net, while capital leases are included in finance receivables held for investment, net.

Operating Leases

Leased vehicles, net, which is comprised of leases originated under the Chrysler Agreement, consisted of the following as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Leased vehicles	$11,077,474	$8,836,710
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,950,295)	(1,510,414)
Depreciated net capitalized cost	9,127,179	7,326,296
Manufacturer subvention payments, net of accretion	(1,083,890)	(845,142)
Origination fees and other costs	21,938	16,156
Net book value	$8,065,227	$6,497,310

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company executed bulk sales of Chrysler Capital leases with an aggregate depreciated net capitalized cost of $755,624 and $1,316,958, respectively, and a net book value of $666,252 and $1,155,171, respectively, to a third party. The bulk sale agreements included certain provisions whereby the Company agreed to share in residual losses for lease terminations with losses over a specific percentage threshold (Note 10). The Company retained servicing on the sold leases. Due to the accelerated depreciation permitted for tax purposes, the sales generated large taxable gains that the Company deferred through a qualified like-kind exchange program. An immaterial amount of taxable gain that did not qualify for deferral was recognized upon expiration of the reinvestment period. No such bulk sales occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016.

The following summarizes the future minimum rental payments due to the Company as lessor under operating leases as of June 30, 2016:

Remainder of 2016	$735,653
2017	1,179,155
2018	609,990
2019	79,347
2020	1,000
Thereafter	—
Total	$2,605,145

Capital Leases

Certain leases originated by the Company are accounted for as capital leases, as the contractual residual values are nominal amounts. Capital lease receivables, net consisted of the following as of June 30, 2016 and December 31,

2015:

16

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Gross investment in capital leases	$55,403	$ 91,393
Origination fees and other	157	155
Less: unearned income	(12,506)	(24,464)
Net investment in capital leases before allowance	43,054	67,084
Less: allowance for lease losses	(12,752)	(19,878)
Net investment in capital leases	$30,302	$ 47,206

The following summarizes the future minimum lease payments due to the Company as lessor under capital leases as of June 30, 2016:

Remainder of 2016	$9,516
2017	18,963
2018	18,033
2019	7,240
2020	1,417
Thereafter	234
Total	$55,403

4. Credit Loss Allowance and Credit Quality

Credit Loss Allowance

The Company estimates the allowance for credit losses on individually acquired retail installment contracts and personal loans held for investment not classified as TDRs based on delinquency status, historical loss experience, estimated values of underlying collateral, when applicable, and various economic factors. In developing the allowance, the Company utilizes a loss emergence period assumption, a loss given default assumption applied to recorded investment, and a probability of default assumption based on a loss forecasting model. The loss emergence period assumption represents the average length of time between when a loss event is first estimated to have occurred and when the account is charged off. The recorded investment represents unpaid principal balance adjusted for unaccreted net discounts, subvention from manufacturers, and origination costs. Under this approach, the resulting allowance represents the expected net losses of recorded investment inherent in the portfolio. For loans classified as TDRs, impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate.

The Company maintains a general credit loss allowance for receivables from dealers based on risk ratings, and individually evaluates loans for specific impairment as necessary. As of June 30, 2016, the credit loss allowance for receivables from dealers is comprised of a general allowance of $837.

The activity in the credit loss allowance for individually acquired, dealer, and personal loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Three Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers	Personal Loans
Balance — beginning of period	$3,320,227	$ 1,403	$2,748,526	$ 1,130	$352,878
Provision for credit losses	514,755	(431)	454,467	(162)	121,118
Charge-offs	(1,032,517)	(135)	(803,763)	—	(97,218)
Recoveries	620,271	—	528,394	—	7,957
Balance — end of period	$3,422,736	$ 837	$2,927,624	$ 968	$384,735

17

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers	Personal Loans
Balance — beginning of period	$3,197,414	$ 916	$2,586,685	$ 674	$348,660
Provision for credit losses	1,177,881	56	987,481	294	218,821
Charge-offs	(2,183,145)	(135)	(1,691,155)	—	(196,908)
Recoveries	1,230,586	—	1,071,730	—	14,162
Transfers to held-for-sale	—	—	(27,117)	—	—
Balance — end of period	$3,422,736	$ 837	$2,927,624	$ 968	$384,735

The impairment activity related to purchased receivables portfolios for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Balance — beginning of period	$170,412	$181,024	$172,308	$186,126
Incremental provisions for purchased receivable portfolios	—	—	—	300
Incremental reversal of provisions for purchased receivable portfolios	(1,894)	(4,270)	(3,790)	(9,672)
Balance — end of period	$168,518	$176,754	$168,518	$176,754

The Company estimates lease losses on the capital lease receivable portfolio based on delinquency status and loss experience to date, as well as various economic factors. The activity in the lease loss allowance for capital leases for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Balance — beginning of period	$15,860	$15,182	$19,878	$9,589
Provision for lease losses	(509)	8,226	(2,056)	14,002
Charge-offs	(10,196)	(17,393)	(22,555)	(19,390)
Recoveries	7,597	9,555	17,485	11,369
Balance — end of period	$12,752	$15,570	$12,752	$15,570

Delinquencies

Retail installment contracts are classified as non-performing when they are greater than 60 days past due as to contractual principal or interest payments. Dealer receivables are classified as non-performing when they are greater than 90 days past due. At the time a loan is placed in non-performing status, previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against interest income. If an account is returned to a performing status, the Company returns to accruing interest on the contract.

A summary of delinquencies on our retail installment contracts held for investment portfolio as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is as follows:

	June 30, 2016		
	Retail Installment Contracts Held for Investment		
	Loans Acquired Individually	Purchased Receivables Portfolios	Total
Principal, 31-60 days past due	$2,440,339	$ 17,821	$2,458,160
Delinquent principal over 60 days	1,142,648	8,979	1,151,627
Total delinquent principal	$3,582,987	$ 26,800	$3,609,787

	December 31, 2015		
	Retail Installment Contracts Held for Investment		
	Loans Acquired Individually	Purchased Receivables Portfolios	Total
Principal, 31-60 days past due	$2,454,986	$ 30,442	$2,485,428
Delinquent principal over 60 days	1,191,567	17,297	1,208,864
Total delinquent principal	$3,646,553	$ 47,739	$3,694,292

The balances in the above tables reflect total unpaid principal balance rather than net recorded investment before allowance.

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were no receivables from dealers that were 31 days or more delinquent.

FICO® Distribution — A summary of the credit risk profile of the Company's retail installment contracts held for investment by FICO® distribution, determined at origination, as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was as follows:

FICO® Band	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Commercial (a)	2.6%	4.0%
No-FICOs	12.6%	12.2%
<540	22.9%	23.4%
540-599	31.2%	30.9%
600-639	17.4%	17.3%
>640	13.3%	12.2%

(a)No FICO score is obtained on loans to commercial borrowers

Commercial Lending Credit Quality Indicators — The credit quality of receivables from dealers, which are considered commercial loans, is summarized according to standard regulatory classifications as follows:

Pass — Asset is well-protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or guarantors, if any, or by the fair value less costs to acquire and sell any underlying collateral in a timely manner.

Special Mention — Asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention, which, if left uncorrected, may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for an asset at some future date. Special Mention assets are not adversely classified.

Substandard — Asset is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the collateral pledged, if any. A well-defined weakness or weaknesses exist that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. The loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful — Exhibits the inherent weaknesses of a substandard credit. Additional characteristics exist that make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions

and values. Possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonable specific pending factors which may work to the advantage and strengthening of the credit, an estimated loss cannot yet be determined.
Loss — Credit is considered uncollectible and of such little value that it does not warrant consideration as an active asset. There may be some recovery or salvage value, but there is doubt as to whether, how much or when the recovery would occur.

The Company's risk department performs a commercial analysis and classifies certain loans over an internal threshold based on the classifications above. Fleet loan credit quality indicators for retail installment contracts held for investment with commercial borrowers as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Pass	$22,407	$ 39,270
Special mention	4,334	5,466
Substandard	244	—
Doubtful	—	—
Loss	—	—
Total (a)	$26,985	$ 44,736

(a) Fleet loans of $696,862 and $1,042,288 as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, were excluded from the commercial analysis as these loans did not meet the internal threshold for review.

Commercial loan credit quality indicators for receivables from dealers held for investment as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Pass	$68,057	$ 68,873
Special Mention	—	8,068
Substandard	1,973	—
Doubtful	—	—
Loss	—	—
Unpaid principal balance	$70,030	$ 76,941

Troubled Debt Restructurings

In certain circumstances, the Company modifies the terms of its finance receivables to troubled borrowers. Modifications may include a reduction in interest rate, an extension of the maturity date, rescheduling of future cash flows, or a combination thereof. A modification of finance receivable terms is considered a TDR if the Company grants a concession to a borrower for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor’s financial difficulties that would not otherwise have been considered. Management considers TDRs to include all individually acquired retail installment contracts that have been modified at least once, deferred for a period of 90 days or more, or deferred at least twice. Additionally, restructurings through bankruptcy proceedings are deemed to be TDRs. The purchased receivables portfolio, operating and capital leases, and loans held for sale, including personal loans, are excluded from the scope of the applicable guidance. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were no receivables from dealers classified as a TDR.

For loans not classified as TDRs, the Company generally estimates an appropriate allowance for credit losses based on delinquency status, the Company’s historical loss experience, estimated values of underlying collateral, and various economic factors. Once a loan has been classified as a TDR, it is assessed for impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate considering all available evidence.

The table below presents the Company's TDRs as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	Retail Installment Contracts	
Outstanding recorded investment	$5,061,608	$4,601,502
Impairment	(1,509,540)	(1,363,023)
Outstanding recorded investment, net of impairment	$3,552,068	$3,238,479

A summary of the Company's delinquent TDRs at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, is as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	Retail Installment Contracts	
Principal, 31-60 days past due	$995,368	$ 942,021
Delinquent principal over 60 days	501,731	510,015
Total delinquent TDR principal	$1,497,099	$ 1,452,036

A loan that has been classified as a TDR remains so until the loan is liquidated through payoff or charge-off. Consistent with the Company's other retail installment contracts, TDRs are placed on nonaccrual status when the account becomes past due more than 60 days, and returns to accrual status when the account is 60 days or less past due. Average recorded investment and income recognized on TDR loans are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts	Retail Installment Contracts	Personal Loans
Average outstanding recorded investment in TDRs	$4,897,481	$4,421,679	$17,774
Interest income recognized	$195,376	$149,915	$629

	Six months ended		
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts	Retail Installment Contracts	Personal Loans
Average outstanding recorded investment in TDRs	$4,798,821	$4,295,809	$17,634
Interest income recognized	$369,567	$331,325	$1,218

The following table summarizes the financial effects of TDRs that occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended		
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts	Retail Installment Contracts	Personal Loans
Outstanding recorded investment before TDR	$840,610	$927,804	$ 4,384
Outstanding recorded investment after TDR	$846,277	$933,655	$ 4,364

Number of contracts (not in thousands)	47,364	53,850	3,671

	Six months ended		
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts	Retail Installment Contracts	Personal Loans
Outstanding recorded investment before TDR	$1,533,538	$1,761,327	$ 9,778
Outstanding recorded investment after TDR	$1,545,563	$1,775,036	$ 9,720
Number of contracts (not in thousands)	86,744	102,742	8,139

A TDR is considered to have subsequently defaulted upon charge off, which for retail installment contracts is at the earlier of the date of repossession or the month in which the loan becomes greater than 120 days past due and for revolving personal loans is generally the month in which the receivable becomes greater than 180 days past due. Loan restructurings accounted for as TDRs within the previous twelve months that subsequently defaulted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are summarized in the following table:

	Three Months Ended		
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts	Retail Installment Contracts	Personal Loans
Recorded investment in TDRs that subsequently defaulted	$159,615	$171,855	$ 1,790
Number of contracts (not in thousands)	9,109	9,996	1,603

	Six months ended		
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts	Retail Installment Contracts	Personal Loans
Recorded investment in TDRs that subsequently defaulted	$359,477	$353,268	$ 3,201
Number of contracts (not in thousands)	20,511	20,737	3,014

5. Debt

Revolving Credit Facilities

The following table presents information regarding credit facilities as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016					
	Maturity Date(s)	Utilized Balance	Committed Amount	Effective Rate	Assets Pledged	Restricted Cash Pledged
Warehouse line (a)	July 2016	$266,084	$500,000	1.72%	$371,360	$—
Warehouse line (b)	Various	449,585	1,250,000	2.27%	621,893	18,030
Warehouse line (c)	July 2017	843,820	1,260,000	1.49%	957,299	26,983
Warehouse line (d)	July 2017	2,775,843	2,940,000	1.44%	4,234,607	60,400
Warehouse line	December 2017	576,777	1,800,000	2.39%	821,314	26,889
Repurchase facility (e)	May 2017	787,703	787,703	2.71%	—	31,763
Repurchase facility (e)	April 2017	235,509	235,509	1.79%	—	—
Warehouse line	March 2018	428,899	1,000,000	1.52%	609,417	18,123
Warehouse line (f)	November 2016	175,000	175,000	2.00%	—	—
Warehouse line (f)	November 2016	250,000	250,000	2.00%	—	2,502
Warehouse line (g)	June 2017	124,396	250,000	3.10%	300,020	25,055
Warehouse line	January 2018	91,500	400,000	3.08%	127,284	4,167
Total facilities with third parties (i)		7,005,116	10,848,212		8,043,194	213,912
Lines of credit with Santander and related subsidiaries (h):						
Line of credit	December 2016	500,000	500,000	2.75%	—	—
Line of credit	December 2018	—	500,000	3.50%	—	—
Line of credit	December 2016	1,000,000	1,000,000	2.71%	—	—
Line of credit	December 2018	550,000	1,000,000	2.80%	—	—
Line of credit	March 2017	300,000	300,000	1.99%	—	—
Line of credit	March 2019	—	1,500,000	4.45%	—	—
Total facilities with Santander and related subsidiaries		2,350,000	4,800,000		—	—
Total revolving credit facilities		$9,355,116	$15,648,212		$8,043,194	$213,912

(a) This warehouse was subsequently amended in July 2016 to extend the maturity date to January 2018.

(b) Half of the outstanding balance on this facility matures in March 2017 and half matures in March 2018.

(c) This line is held exclusively for financing of Chrysler Capital loans.

(d) This line is held exclusively for financing of Chrysler Capital leases.

(e) These repurchase facilities are collateralized by securitization notes payable retained by the Company. These facilities have rolling maturities of up to one year.

(f) These lines are collateralized by residuals retained by the Company.

(g) This warehouse was subsequently amended in July 2016 to extend the maturity date to July 2017.

These lines generally are also collateralized by securitization notes payable and residuals retained by the Company.

(h) As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, $1,420,584 of the aggregate outstanding balances on these facilities were unsecured.

(i) In October 2016, the Company executed a new warehouse line with an overall commitment limit of $300 million.

	December 31, 2015					
	Maturity Date(s)	Utilized Balance	Committed Amount	Effective Rate	Assets Pledged	Restricted Cash Pledged
Warehouse line	June 2016	$378,301	$500,000	1.48%	$535,737	$—
Warehouse line	Various	808,135	1,250,000	1.29%	1,137,257	24,942
Warehouse line	July 2017	682,720	1,260,000	1.35%	809,185	20,852
Warehouse line	July 2017	2,247,443	2,940,000	1.41%	3,412,321	48,589
Warehouse line	December 2017	944,877	2,000,000	1.56%	1,345,051	32,038
Repurchase facility	December 2016	850,904	850,904	2.07%	—	34,166
Warehouse line	September 2017	565,399	1,000,000	1.20%	824,327	15,759
Warehouse line	November 2016	175,000	175,000	1.90%	—	—
Warehouse line	November 2016	250,000	250,000	1.90%	—	2,501
Total facilities with third parties		6,902,779	10,225,904		8,063,878	178,847
Lines of credit with Santander and related subsidiaries:						
Line of credit	December 2016	500,000	500,000	2.65%	—	—
Line of credit	December 2018	—	500,000	3.48%	—	—
Line of credit	December 2016	1,000,000	1,750,000	2.61%	—	—
Line of credit	December 2018	800,000	1,750,000	2.84%	—	—
Line of credit	March 2017	300,000	300,000	1.88%	—	—
Total facilities with Santander and related subsidiaries		2,600,000	4,800,000		—	—
Total revolving credit facilities		$9,502,779	$15,025,904		$8,063,878	$178,847

Facilities with Third Parties

The warehouse lines and repurchase facility are fully collateralized by a designated portion of the Company's retail installment contracts (Note 2), leased vehicles (Note 3), securitization notes payables and residuals retained by the Company.

Certain of the credit facilities have covenants requiring timely filing of periodic reports with the SEC. The Company has obtained waivers of all such covenants such that the non-timely filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016 did not cause an event of default on any of the facilities.

Lines of Credit with Santander and Related Subsidiaries

Through its New York branch, Santander provides the Company with $3,000,000 of long-term committed revolving credit facilities. Through SHUSA, Santander provides the Company with an additional $300,000 of committed revolving credit, collateralized by residuals retained on the Company's own securitizations, and $1,500,000 of committed revolving credit that can be drawn on an unsecured basis.

The facilities offered through the New York branch are structured as three- and five-year floating rate facilities, with current maturity dates of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2018, respectively. These facilities currently permit unsecured borrowing but generally are collateralized by retail installment contracts and retained residuals. Any

secured balances outstanding under the facilities at the time of their maturity will amortize to match the maturities and expected cash flows of the corresponding collateral.

Secured Structured Financings

The following table presents information regarding secured structured financings as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016					
	Original Estimated Maturity Date(s)	Balance	Initial Note Amounts Issued	Initial Weighted Average Interest Rate	Collateral	Restricted Cash
2012 Securitizations	September 2018	$302,364	$2,525,540	0.92%-1.23%	$432,673	$80,215
2013 Securitizations	January 2019 - January 2021	1,599,172	6,689,700	0.89%-1.59%	1,971,966	250,693
2014 Securitizations	February 2020 - January 2021	2,216,438	6,391,020	1.16%-1.72%	3,039,302	291,817
2015 Securitizations	September 2019 - January 2023	5,555,725	9,317,032	1.33%-2.29%	7,333,152	557,133
2016 Securitizations (a)	April 2022 - August 2023	4,515,910	4,942,980	1.72%-2.46%	5,622,662	277,889
Securitizations (b)		14,189,609	29,866,272		18,399,755	1,457,747
2010 Private issuances	June 2011	145,452	516,000	1.29%	244,540	7,704
2011 Private issuances (c)	December 2018	408,958	1,700,000	1.46%	853,897	43,075
2013 Private issuances (d)	September 2018-September 2020	2,388,078	2,693,754	1.13%-1.38%	4,040,239	183,428
2014 Private issuances	March 2018 - December 2021	1,045,896	3,271,175	1.05%-1.40%	1,621,189	74,389
2015 Private issuances (e)	December 2016 - July 2019	2,146,329	2,605,062	0.88%-2.81%	2,110,269	135,925
2016 Private issuances (f)	May 2020 - June 2023	2,168,923	2,250,000	1.55%-2.86%	2,893,303	50,295
Privately issued amortizing notes		8,303,636	13,035,991		11,763,437	494,816
Total secured structured financings		$22,493,245	$42,902,263		$30,163,192	$1,952,563

(a) In October 2016, the Company executed a new public securitization for $1,269,810.

(b) Securitizations executed under Rule 144A of the Securities Act are included within this balance.

(c) In July 2016, the Company advanced an additional $176,125 on private issuances originally executed in 2011.

(d) In September 2016, the Company advanced an additional $906,996 on private issuances originally executed in 2013.

(e) In September 2016, the Company advanced an additional $110,000 on private issuances originally executed in 2015.

(f) In September 2016, the Company executed two new private issuances for an aggregate amount of $500,000.

	December 31, 2015					
	Original Estimated Maturity Date(s)	Balance	Initial Note Amounts Issued	Initial Weighted Average Interest Rate	Collateral	Restricted Cash
2012 Securitizations	September 2018	$433,771	$2,525,540	0.92%-1.23%	$580,581	$84,231
2013 Securitizations	January 2019 - January 2021	2,000,915	6,689,700	0.89%-1.59%	2,577,552	267,623
2014 Securitizations	February 2020 - January 2021	2,956,273	6,391,020	1.16%-1.72%	3,894,365	313,356
2015 Securitizations	September 2019 - January 2023	7,269,037	9,317,032	1.33%-2.29%	9,203,569	577,647
Securitizations		12,659,996	24,923,292		16,256,067	1,242,857
2010 Private issuances	June 2011	108,201	516,000	1.29%	240,026	6,855
2011 Private issuances	December 2018	708,884	1,700,000	1.46%	1,142,853	50,432
2013 Private issuances	September 2018-September 2020	2,836,420	2,693,754	1.13%-1.38%	4,311,481	143,450
2014 Private issuances	March 2018 - December 2021	1,541,970	3,271,175	1.05%-1.40%	2,192,495	95,325
2015 Private issuances	November 2016 - May 2020	3,017,429	3,548,242	0.88%-2.81%	3,608,497	161,778
Privately issued amortizing notes		8,212,904	11,729,171		11,495,352	457,840
Total secured structured financings		$20,872,900	$36,652,463		$27,751,419	$1,700,697

Most of the Company’s secured structured financings are in the form of public, SEC-registered securitizations. The Company also executes private securitizations under Rule 144A of the Securities Act and periodically issues private term amortizing notes, which are structured similarly to securitizations but are acquired by banks and conduits. The Company’s securitizations and private issuances are collateralized by vehicle retail installment contracts and loans or leases. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had private issuances of notes backed by vehicle leases totaling $3,891,117 and $3,228,240, respectively.

Unamortized debt issuance costs are amortized as interest expense over the terms of the related notes payable using the effective interest method and are classified as a discount to the related recorded debt balance. For securitizations, the term takes into consideration the expected execution of the contractual call option, if applicable. Amortization of premium or accretion of discount on acquired notes payable is also included in interest expense using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining life of the acquired notes. Total interest expense on secured structured financings for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was $102,582 and $70,328, respectively. Total interest expense on secured structured financings for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was $196,957 and $131,180, respectively.

6. Variable Interest Entities

The Company transfers retail installment contracts and leased vehicles into newly formed Trusts that then issue one or more classes of notes payable backed by the collateral. The Company’s continuing involvement with these Trusts is in the form of servicing the assets and, generally, through holding residual interests in the Trusts. These transactions are structured without recourse. The Trusts are considered VIEs under U.S. GAAP and, when the Company holds the residual interest, are consolidated because the Company has: (a) power over the significant activities of each entity as

servicer of its financial assets and (b) through the residual interest and in some cases debt securities held by the Company, an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from each VIE that are potentially significant to the VIE. When the Company does not retain any debt or equity interests in its securitizations or subsequently sells such interests, it records these transactions as sales of the associated retail installment contracts.

The collateral, borrowings under credit facilities and securitization notes payable of the Company's consolidated VIEs remain on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company recognizes finance charges, fee income, and provision for credit losses on the retail installment contracts, and leased vehicles and interest expense on the debt. All of the Trusts are separate legal entities and the collateral and other assets held by these subsidiaries are legally owned by them and are not available to other creditors.

Revolving credit facilities generally also utilize Trusts that are considered VIEs.
The Company also uses a titling trust to originate and hold its leased vehicles and the associated leases, in order to facilitate the pledging of leases to financing facilities or the sale of leases to other parties without incurring the costs and administrative burden of retitling the leased vehicles. This titling trust is considered a VIE.
On-balance sheet variable interest entities

The Company retains servicing for receivables transferred to the Trusts and receives a monthly servicing fee on the outstanding principal balance. Supplemental fees, such as late charges, for servicing the receivables are reflected in fees, commissions and other income. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company was servicing $28,592,178 and $27,995,907, respectively, of gross retail installment contracts that have been transferred to consolidated Trusts. The remainder of the Company’s retail installment contracts remain unpledged.

A summary of the cash flows received from consolidated securitization trusts during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Assets securitized	$6,325,637	$6,085,282	$9,983,592	$10,067,137
Net proceeds from new securitizations (a)	$5,118,309	$4,918,906	$7,820,313	$7,975,856
Net proceeds from sale of retained bonds	128,798	—	128,798	—
Cash received for servicing fees (b)	200,071	173,641	394,436	335,603
Net distributions from Trusts (b)	761,480	616,342	1,391,206	1,072,395
Total cash received from Trusts	$6,208,658	$5,708,889	$9,734,753	$9,383,854

(a) Includes additional advances on existing securitizations.
(b) These amounts are not reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of cash flows because the cash flows are intra-company and eliminated in consolidation.

Off-balance sheet variable interest entities
The Company has completed sales to VIEs that met sale accounting treatment in accordance with the applicable guidance. Due to the nature, purpose, and activity of the transactions, the Company determined for consolidation purposes that it either does not hold potentially significant variable interests or is not the primary beneficiary as a result of the Company's limited further involvement with the financial assets. For such transactions, the transferred financial assets are removed from the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. In certain situations, the Company remains the servicer of the financial assets and receives servicing fees that represent adequate compensation, and may reacquire assets from the Trusts through the exercise of an optional clean-up call, as permitted through the respective servicing agreements. The Company also recognizes a gain or loss for the difference between the cash proceeds and carrying value of the assets sold.
During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company executed no off-balance sheet securitizations with VIEs with which it has continuing involvement. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company sold $768,561 of gross retail installment contracts to a VIE in an off-balance sheet securitization. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company was servicing $2,804,908 and $3,897,223, respectively, of gross retail installment contracts that have been sold in off-balance sheet securitizations and were subject to an optional clean-up call. Other than repurchases of sold assets due to standard representations and warranties, the Company has no exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with these VIEs.

A summary of the cash flows received from off-balance sheet securitization trusts during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Receivables securitized	$—	$768,561	$—	$768,561
Net proceeds from new securitizations	$—	$785,983	$—	$785,983
Cash received for servicing fees	13,157	6,319	28,858	11,623
Total cash received from securitization trusts	$13,157	$792,302	$28,858	$797,606

7. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company manages its exposure to changing interest rates using derivative financial instruments. In certain circumstances, the Company is required to hedge its interest rate risk on its secured structured financings and the borrowings under its revolving credit facilities. The Company uses both interest rate swaps and interest rate caps to satisfy these requirements and to hedge the variability of cash flows on securities issued by securitization Trusts and borrowings under the Company's warehouse facilities. Certain of the Company's interest rate swap agreements are designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), to the extent that the hedge relationships are effective, and amounts are reclassified from AOCI to earnings as the forecasted transactions impact earnings. Ineffectiveness, if any, associated with changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges is recorded currently in earnings.

The Company's remaining interest rate swap agreements, as well as its interest rate cap agreements and the corresponding options written in order to offset the interest rate cap agreements and a total return settlement agreement are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments not designated as hedges for accounting purposes are reflected in earnings.

The underlying notional amounts and aggregate fair values of these agreements at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, were as follows:

	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Notional	Fair Value	Notional	Fair Value
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	$9,156,700	$(82,428)	$9,150,000	$1,706
Interest rate swap agreements not designated as hedges	1,582,000	(7,225)	2,399,000	(1,306)
Interest rate cap agreements	8,851,540	6,547	10,013,912	32,951
Options for interest rate cap agreements	8,851,540	(6,550)	10,013,912	(32,977)
Total return settlement	1,404,726	(53,543)	1,404,726	(53,432)

The aggregate fair value of the interest rate swap agreements is included on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets in other assets or other liabilities, as appropriate. The interest rate cap agreements are included in other assets, and the related options in other liabilities, on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. See Note 13 for additional disclosure of fair value and balance sheet location of the Company's derivative financial instruments.

The Company is the holder of a warrant that gives it the right, if certain vesting conditions are satisfied, to purchase additional shares in a company in which it has a cost method investment. This warrant was issued in 2012 and is carried at its estimated fair value of zero at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

The Company is obligated to make purchase price holdback payments on a periodic basis to a third-party originator of loans that the Company has purchased, when losses are lower than originally expected. The Company also is obligated to make total return settlement payments to this third-party originator in 2016 and 2017 if returns on the purchased

loans are greater than originally expected. These purchase price holdback payments and total return settlement payments are considered to be derivatives, collectively referred to herein as “total return settlement,” and accordingly are marked to fair value each reporting period.

The Company enters into legally enforceable master netting agreements that reduce risk by permitting netting of transactions, such as derivatives and collateral posting, with the same counterparty on the occurrence of certain events. A master netting agreement allows two counterparties the ability to net-settle amounts under all contracts, including

any related collateral posted, through a single payment. The right to offset and certain terms regarding the collateral process, such as valuation, credit events and settlement, are contained in ISDA master agreements. The Company has elected to present derivative balances on a gross basis even if the derivative is subject to a legally enforceable master netting (ISDA) agreement. Collateral that is received or pledged for these transactions is disclosed within the "Gross amounts not offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet" section of the tables below. Information on the offsetting of derivative assets and derivative liabilities due to the right of offset was as follows, as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	Offsetting of Financial Assets					
				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets	Gross Amounts Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received	Net Amount
June 30, 2016						
Interest rate swaps - Santander & affiliates	$45	$ —	$ 45	$ —	$ —	$45
Interest rate swaps - third party	—	—	—	—	—	—
Interest rate caps - Santander & affiliates	1,886	—	1,886	—	—	1,886
Interest rate caps - third party	4,661	—	4,661	—	—	4,661
Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	6,592	—	6,592	—	—	6,592
Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total derivative assets	$6,592	$ —	$ 6,592	$ —	$ —	$6,592
Total financial assets	$6,592	$ —	$ 6,592	$ —	$ —	$6,592
December 31, 2015						
Interest rate swaps - Santander & affiliates	$4,607	$ —	$ 4,607	$ —	$ —	$4,607
Interest rate swaps - third party	3,863	—	3,863	—	—	3,863
Interest rate caps - Santander & affiliates	12,724	—	12,724	—	—	12,724
Interest rate caps - third party	20,227	—	20,227	—	—	20,227
Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	41,421	—	41,421	—	—	41,421
Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total derivative assets	$41,421	$ —	$ 41,421	$ —	$ —	$41,421
Total financial assets	$41,421	$ —	$ 41,421	$ —	$ —	$41,421

	Offsetting of Financial Liabilities					
				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Pledged (a)	Net Amount
June 30, 2016						
Interest rate swaps - Santander & affiliates	$22,063	$ —	$ 22,063	$—	$(22,063)	$—
Interest rate swaps - third party	67,635	—	67,635	—	(67,635)	—
Back to back - Santander & affiliates	1,886	—	1,886	—	(1,868)	18
Back to back - third party	4,664	—	4,664	—	(4,664)	—
Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	96,248	—	96,248	—	(96,230)	18
Total return settlement	53,543	—	53,543	—	—	53,543
Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	53,543	—	53,543	—	—	53,543
Total derivative liabilities	$149,791	$ —	$ 149,791	$—	$(96,230)	$53,561
Total financial liabilities	$149,791	$ —	$ 149,791	$—	$(96,230)	$53,561
December 31, 2015						
Interest rate swaps - Santander & affiliates	$4,977	$ —	$ 4,977	$—	$(3,430)	$1,547
Interest rate swaps - third party	3,093	—	3,093	—	(3,093)	—
Back to back - Santander & affiliates	12,724	—	12,724	—	(12,270)	454
Back to back - third party	20,253	—	20,253	—	(20,253)	—
Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	41,047	—	41,047	—	(39,046)	2,001
Total return settlement	53,432	—	53,432	—	—	53,432
Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	53,432	—	53,432	—	—	53,432
Total derivative liabilities	$94,479	$ —	$ 94,479	$—	$(39,046)	$55,433
Total financial liabilities	$94,479	$ —	$ 94,479	$—	$(39,046)	$55,433

(a) Cash collateral pledged is reported in Other assets or Due from affiliate, as applicable, in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The gross gains (losses) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to net income, and gains (losses) recognized in net income, are included as components of interest expense. The impacts on the condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016

	Recognized in Earnings	Gross Gains (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Gross Gains (Losses) Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to Interest Expense
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	$27	$ (36,006)	$ (12,561)
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges:			
Gains (losses) recognized in interest expense	$11,696		
Gains (losses) recognized in operating expenses	$(1,364)		

30

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Recognized in Earnings	Gross Gains (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Gross Gains (Losses) Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to Interest Expense
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	$—	$ (5,640)	$ (11,266)
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges:			
Gains (losses) recognized in interest expense	$6,852		
Gains (losses) recognized in operating expenses	$(2,078)		

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016		
	Recognized in Earnings	Gross Gains (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Gross Gains (Losses) Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to Interest Expense
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	$235	$ (109,011)	$ (24,643)
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges:			
Gains (losses) recognized in interest expense	$6,197		
Gains (losses) recognized in operating expenses	$(2,680)		

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Recognized in Earnings	Gross Gains (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Gross Gains (Losses) Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to Interest Expense
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	$223	$ (37,176)	$ (22,337)
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges:			
Gains (losses) recognized in interest expense	$4,423		
Gains (losses) recognized in operating expenses	$(14,033)		

The ineffectiveness related to the interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges was insignificant for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. The Company estimates that approximately $48,000 of unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) will be reclassified to interest expense within the next twelve months.

8. Other Assets

Other assets were comprised as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015

Vehicles (a)	$232,293	$ 203,906
Manufacturer subvention payments receivable (b)	111,823	132,856
Upfront fee (b)	102,500	110,000
Derivative assets (Note 7)	92,579	59,022
Prepaids	41,959	33,183
Accounts receivable	22,083	27,028
Other	15,703	16,296
	$618,940	$ 582,291

(a) Includes vehicles obtained through repossession as well as vehicles obtained due to lease terminations.

(b) These amounts relate to the Chrysler Agreement. The Company paid a $150,000 upfront fee upon the May 2013 inception of the agreement. The fee is being amortized into finance and other interest income over a ten-year term. As the preferred financing provider for FCA, the Company is entitled to subvention payments on loans and leases with below-market customer payments.

9. Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of $154,218 (35.2% effective tax rate) and $208,454 (36.4% effective tax rate) during the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of $274,861 (35.9% effective tax rate) and $331,277 (35.3% effective tax rate) during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company is a party to a tax sharing agreement requiring that the unitary state tax liability among affiliates included in unitary state tax returns be allocated using the hypothetical separate company tax calculation method. At June 30, 2016, the Company had a net receivable from affiliates under the tax sharing agreement of $85, which was included in Related party taxes receivable in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2015, the Company had a net receivable from affiliates under the tax sharing agreement of $71, which was included in Related party taxes receivable in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Significant judgment is required in evaluating and reserving for uncertain tax positions. Although management believes adequate reserves have been established for all uncertain tax positions, the final outcomes of these matters may differ. Management does not believe the outcome of any uncertain tax position, individually or combined, will have a material effect on the results of operations. The reserve for uncertain tax positions, as well as associated penalties and interest, is a component of the income tax provision.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is obligated to make purchase price holdback payments to a third-party originator of auto loans that the Company has purchased, when losses are lower than originally expected. The Company also is obligated to make total return settlement payments to this third-party originator in 2016 and 2017 if returns on the purchased loans are greater than originally expected. These obligations are accounted for as derivatives (Note 7).

The Company has extended revolving lines of credit to certain auto dealers. Under this arrangement, the Company is committed to lend up to each dealer's established credit limit. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there was an outstanding balance of $20,164 and $26,941, respectively and a committed amount of $20,792 and $27,385, respectively.

Under terms of agreements with LendingClub, the Company was committed to purchase, at a minimum, through June 30, 2016, the lesser of $30,000 per month or 50% of LendingClub’s aggregate "near-prime" (as that term is defined in the agreements) originations and, thereafter through July 2017, the lesser of $30,000 per month or 50% of LendingClub’s aggregate near-prime originations. This commitment could be reduced or canceled with 90 days' notice. On October 9, 2015, the Company sent a notice of termination to LendingClub, and, accordingly, ceased originations on this platform on January 7, 2016.

The Company is committed to purchase certain new advances on personal revolving financings originated by a third party retailer, along with existing balances on accounts with new advances, for an initial term ending in April 2020 and renewing through April 2022 at the retailer's option. Each customer account generated under the agreements generally is approved with a credit limit higher than the amount of the initial purchase, with each subsequent purchase automatically approved as long as it does not cause the account to exceed its limit and the customer is in good standing. As these credit lines do not have a specified maturity, but rather can be terminated at any time in the event of adverse credit changes or lack of use, the Company has not recorded an allowance for unfunded commitments. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company was obligated to purchase $12,445 and $12,486, respectively, in receivables that had been originated by the retailer but not yet purchased by the Company. The Company also is required to make a profit-sharing payment to the retailer each month if performance exceeds a specified return threshold. The retailer also has the right to repurchase up to 9.99% of the existing portfolio at any time during the term of the agreement, and, provided that repurchase right is exercised, has the right to retain up to 20% of new accounts subsequently originated.

Under terms of an application transfer agreement with an OEM other than FCA, the Company has the first opportunity to review for its own portfolio any credit applications turned down by the OEM's captive finance company. The agreement does not require the Company to originate any loans, but for each loan originated the Company pays the OEM a referral fee, comprised of a volume bonus fee and a loss betterment bonus fee. The loss betterment bonus fee

is calculated annually and is based on the amount by which losses on loans originated under the agreement are lower than an established percentage threshold.

The Company has agreements with SBNA to service recreational and marine vehicle portfolios. These agreements call for a periodic retroactive adjustment, based on cumulative return performance, of the servicing fee rate to inception of the contract. There were downward adjustments of zero and $836 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. There were downward adjustments of zero and $147 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively.

In connection with the sale of retail installment contracts through securitizations and other sales, the Company has made standard representations and warranties customary to the consumer finance industry. Violations of these representations and warranties may require the Company to repurchase loans previously sold to on- or off-balance sheet trusts or other third parties. As of June 30, 2016, there were no loans that were the subject of a demand to repurchase or replace for breach of representations and warranties for the Company's asset-backed securities or other sales. In the opinion of management, the potential exposure of other recourse obligations related to the Company’s retail installment contract sales agreements will not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Santander has provided guarantees on the covenants, agreements, and obligations of the Company under the governing documents of its warehouse facilities and privately issued amortizing notes. These guarantees are limited to the obligations of the Company as servicer.

Under terms of the Chrysler Agreement, the Company must make revenue sharing payments to FCA and also must make gain-sharing payments when residual gains on leased vehicles exceed a specified threshold. The Company had accrued $15,047 and $12,054 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, related to these obligations.

The Company has a flow agreement with Bank of America whereby the Company is committed to sell up to a specified amount of eligible loans to the bank each month through May 2018. Prior to October 1, 2015, the amount of this monthly commitment was $300,000. On October 1, 2015, the Company and Bank of America amended the flow agreement to increase the maximum commitment to $350,000 of eligible loans each month, and to change the required written notice period from either party, in the event of termination of the agreement, from 120 days to 90 days. On July 27, 2016, the Company and Bank of America further amended the flow agreement to reduce the maximum commitment to sell eligible loans each month to the original contractual amount of $300,000. On October 27, 2016, Bank of America notified the Company that it is terminating the flow agreement effective January 31, 2017. The Company retains servicing on all sold loans and may receive or pay a servicer performance payment based on an agreed-upon formula if performance on the sold loans is better or worse, respectively, than expected performance at time of sale. The Company had accrued $8,553 and $6,331 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, related to this obligation.

The Company has sold loans to CBP under terms of a flow agreement and predecessor sale agreements. On June 25, 2015, the Company and CBP amended the flow agreement to reduce, effective from and after August 1, 2015, CBP's committed purchases of Chrysler Capital prime loans from a maximum of $600,000 and a minimum of $250,000 per quarter to a maximum of $200,000 and a minimum of $50,000 per quarter, as may be adjusted according to the agreement. The Company retains servicing on the sold loans and will owe CBP a loss-sharing payment capped at 0.5% of the original pool balance if losses exceed a specified threshold, established on a pool-by-pool basis. The Company had accrued $2,310 and $3,375 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, related to the loss-sharing obligation.

The Company provided SBNA with the first right to review and approve consumer vehicle lease applications, subject to volume constraints, under terms of a flow agreement that was terminated on May 9, 2015. The Company has indemnified SBNA for potential credit and residual losses on $48,226 of leases that had been originated by SBNA under this program but were subsequently determined not to meet SBNA’s underwriting requirements. This indemnification agreement is supported by an equal amount of cash collateral posted by the Company in an SBNA bank account. The collateral account balance is included in restricted cash in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company additionally has agreed to indemnify SBNA for residual losses, up to a cap, on certain leases originated under the flow agreement between September 24, 2014 and May 9, 2015 for which SBNA and the Company had differing residual value expectations at lease inception.

The Company is party to a forward flow asset sale agreement with a third party under terms of which the Company is committed to sell charged off loan receivables in bankruptcy status on a quarterly basis until sales total at least $350,000 in proceeds. Any sale after the total sales have reached $275,000 is subject to a market price check. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the remaining aggregate commitment was $168,472 and $200,707, respectively.

In connection with the bulk sales of Chrysler Capital leases (including the sale described in Note 3), the Company is obligated to make quarterly payments to the purchaser sharing residual losses for lease terminations with losses over a specific percentage threshold. The estimated guarantee liability, net, was $964 and $2,893, net, as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Pursuant to the terms of a Separation Agreement among former CEO Thomas G. Dundon, the Company, DDFS LLC, SHUSA and Santander, upon satisfaction of applicable conditions, including receipt of required regulatory approvals, the Company will owe Mr. Dundon a cash payment of up to $115,139 (Note 11).

Legal Proceedings

Periodically, the Company is party to, or otherwise involved in, various lawsuits and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business.

On August 26, 2014, a purported securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, captioned Steck v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. et al., No. 1:14-cv-06942 (the Deka Lawsuit). On October 6, 2014, another purported securities class action lawsuit was filed in the District Court of Dallas County, State of Texas, captioned Kumar v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings, et al., No. DC-14-11783, which was subsequently removed to the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, and re-captioned Kumar v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings, et al., No. 3:14-CV-3746 (the Kumar Lawsuit).

Both the Deka Lawsuit and the Kumar Lawsuit were brought against the Company, certain of its current and former directors and executive officers and certain institutions that served as underwriters in the Company's IPO on behalf of a class consisting of those who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities between January 23, 2014 and June 12, 2014. In February 2015, the Kumar Lawsuit was voluntarily dismissed with prejudice. In June 2015, the venue of the Deka Lawsuit was transferred to the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas. In September 2015, the court granted a motion to appoint lead plaintiffs and lead counsel, and the Deka Lawsuit is now captioned Deka Investment GmbH et al. v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. et al., No. 3:15-cv-2129-K.

The amended class action complaint in the Deka Lawsuit alleges that the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus and certain subsequent public disclosures contained misleading statements concerning the Company’s ability to pay dividends and the adequacy of the Company’s compliance systems and oversight. The amended complaint asserts claims under Sections 11, 12(a) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and seeks damages and other relief. On December 18, 2015, the Company and the individual defendants moved to dismiss the amended class action complaint and on June 13, 2016, the motion to dismiss was denied.

On October 15, 2015, a shareholder derivative complaint was filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, captioned Feldman v. Jason A. Kulas, et al., C.A. No. 11614 (the Feldman Lawsuit). The Feldman Lawsuit names as defendants current and former members of the Company’s Board, and names the Company as a nominal defendant. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the current and former director defendants breached their fiduciary duties in connection with overseeing the Company’s subprime auto lending practices, resulting in harm to the Company. The complaint seeks unspecified damages and equitable relief. On December 29, 2015, the Feldman Lawsuit was stayed pending the resolution of the Deka Lawsuit.

On March 18, 2016, a purported securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, captioned Parmelee v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. et al., No. 3:16-cv-783 (the Parmelee Lawsuit). On April 4, 2016, another purported securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, captioned Benson v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. et al., No. 3:16-cv-919 (the Benson Lawsuit). Both the Parmelee Lawsuit and the Benson Lawsuit were filed against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and executive officers on behalf of a class consisting of all

those who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities between February 3, 2015 and March 15, 2016. The

complaints in the Parmelee Lawsuit and Benson Lawsuit allege that the Company made false or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts, in prior Annual and Quarterly Reports filed under the Exchange Act and certain other public disclosures, in connection with the Company's change in its methodology for estimating its allowance for credit losses and correction of such allowance for prior periods in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The complaints assert claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and seek damages and other relief. On May 25, 2016, the Benson Lawsuit was consolidated into the Parmelee Lawsuit, with the consolidated case captioned as Parmelee v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. et al., No. 3:16-cv-783.

On September 27, 2016, a shareholder derivative complaint was filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, captioned Jackie888, Inc. v. Jason Kulas, et al., C.A. # 12775 (the Jackie888 Lawsuit). The Jackie888 Lawsuit names as defendants current and former members of the Company's Board, and names the Company as a nominal defendant. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties in connection with the Company's accounting practices and controls. The complaint seeks unspecified damages and equitable relief.

Further, the Company is party to, or is periodically otherwise involved in, reviews, investigations, and proceedings (both formal and informal), and information-gathering requests, by government and self-regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve, the CFPB, the DOJ, the SEC, the FTC and various state regulatory agencies. Currently, such proceedings include a civil subpoena from the DOJ, under FIRREA, requesting the production of documents and communications that, among other things, relate to the underwriting and securitization of nonprime auto loans since 2007, and from the SEC requesting the production of documents and communications that, among other things, relate to the underwriting and securitization of nonprime auto loans since 2013. The Company also has received civil subpoenas from various state Attorneys General requesting similar documents and communications. The Company is complying with the requests for information and document preservation and continues to discuss these matters with the relevant government authorities.

On November 4, 2015, the Company entered into an Assurance of Discontinuance (AOD) with the Office of Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (the Massachusetts AG). The Massachusetts AG alleged that the Company violated the maximum permissible interest rates allowed under Massachusetts law due to the inclusion of GAP charges in the calculation of finance charges. Among other things, the AOD requires the Company, with respect to any loan that exceeded the maximum rates, to issue refunds of all finance charges paid to date and to waive all future finance charges. The AOD also requires the Company to undertake certain remedial measures, including ensuring that interest rates on its loans do not exceed maximum rates (when GAP charges are included) in the future, and provides that the Company pay $150 to the Massachusetts AG to reimburse its costs of implementing the AOD.

On February 25, 2015, the Company entered into a consent order with the DOJ, approved by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, that resolves the DOJ's claims against the Company that certain of its repossession and collection activities during the period of time between January 2008 and February 2013 violated the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA). The consent order requires the Company to pay a civil fine in the amount of $55, as well as at least $9,360 to affected servicemembers consisting of $10 per servicemember plus compensation for any lost equity (with interest) for each repossession by us, and $5 per servicemember for each instance where the Company sought to collect repossession-related fees on accounts where a repossession was conducted by a prior account holder, as well as requires the Company to undertake certain additional remedial measures.

On July 31, 2015, the CFPB notified the Company that it had referred to the DOJ certain alleged violations by the Company of the ECOA regarding statistical disparities in markups charged by automobile dealers to protected groups on loans originated by those dealers and purchased by the Company and the treatment of certain types of income in the Company's underwriting process. On September 25, 2015, the DOJ notified the Company that it has initiated, based on the referral from the CFPB, an investigation under the ECOA of the Company's pricing of automobile loans.

The Company does not believe that there are any proceedings, threatened or pending, that, if determined adversely, would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity of the Company.

11. Related-Party Transactions

Related-party transactions not otherwise disclosed in these footnotes to the condensed consolidated financial statements include the following:

Interest expense, including unused fees, for affiliate lines/letters of credit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Line of credit agreement with Santander - New York Branch (Note 5)	$16,123	$26,151	$36,396	$51,635
Line of credit agreement with SHUSA (Note 5)	6,005	1,313	8,869	2,603

Accrued interest for affiliate lines/letters of credit at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, was as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Line of credit agreement with Santander - New York Branch (Note 5)	$ 5,092	$ 6,015
Line of credit agreement with SHUSA (Note 5)	933	267

In 2015, under an agreement with Santander, the Company began incurring a fee of 12.5 basis points (per annum) on certain warehouse lines, as they renew, for which Santander provides a guarantee of the Company's servicing obligations. The Company recognized guarantee fee expense of $1,589 and $3,167 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had $5,448 and $2,282 of related fees payable to Santander, respectively.

The Company has derivative financial instruments with Santander and affiliates with outstanding notional amounts of $9,726,500 and $13,739,000 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively (Note 7). The Company had a collateral overage on derivative liabilities with Santander and affiliates of $22,584 and $20,775 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Interest expense and mark-to-market adjustments on these agreements totaled $4,016 and $14,986 for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and $14,166 and $32,228 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company is required to permit SBNA first right to review and assess Chrysler Capital dealer lending opportunities. Prior to April 15, 2016, SBNA paid the Company a relationship management fee based upon the performance and yields of Chrysler Capital dealer loans held by SBNA; on April 15, 2016, the relationship management fee was replaced with an origination fee and annual renewal fee for each loan. The Company recognized zero and $1,448 of relationship management fee income for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and $419 and $3,071 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had relationship management fees receivable from SBNA of zero and $419, respectively. For each of the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized $1,283 and $113 of origination and renewal fee income, respectively. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had origination and annual renewal fees receivable from SBNA of $312 and zero, respectively.

All Chrysler Capital receivables from dealers, including receivables held by SBNA and by the Company, are serviced by SBNA. Servicing fee expense to SBNA for the Company's Chrysler Capital receivables from dealers totaled $18 and $84 for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and $52 and $170 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had $21 and $37, respectively, of servicing fees payable to SBNA. The Company may provide advance funding for dealer loans originated by SBNA, which is reimbursed to the Company by SBNA. The Company had no outstanding receivable from SBNA as of June 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015 for such advances.

Under the agreement with SBNA, the Company may originate retail consumer loans in connection with sales of vehicles that are collateral held against floorplan loans by SBNA. Upon origination, the Company remits payment to SBNA, who settles the transaction with the dealer. The Company owed SBNA $2,307 and $2,737 related to such originations as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

The Company is amortizing a $9,000 referral fee received in connection with the dealer lending arrangements into income over a ten-year period, ending on the July 1, 2022 termination date of the governing agreements. As of

June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the unamortized fee balance was $6,300 and $6,750, respectively. The Company recognized $225 and $450 of income related to the referral fee for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company also has agreements with SBNA to service auto retail installment contracts and recreational and marine vehicle portfolios. Servicing fee income recognized under these agreements totaled $1,209 and $689 for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and $3,317 and $2,633 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Other information on the serviced auto loan and retail installment contract portfolios for SBNA as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Total serviced portfolio	$607,538	$ 692,291
Cash collections due to owner	22,712	19,302
Servicing fees receivable	1,368	1,476

Until May 9, 2015, the Company was party to a flow agreement with SBNA whereby SBNA had the first right to review and approve Chrysler Capital consumer vehicle lease applications. The Company could review any applications declined by SBNA for the Company's own portfolio. The Company received an origination fee and continues to provide servicing on all leases originated under this agreement. Pursuant to the Chrysler Agreement, the Company pays FCA on behalf of SBNA for residual gains and losses on the flowed leases. The Company also services leases it sold to SBNA in 2014. Origination fee income recognized under the agreement totaled $2,807 and $8,431 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively. Servicing fee income recognized on leases serviced for SBNA totaled $2,450 and $1,854 for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and $3,999 and $3,311 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Other information on the consumer vehicle lease portfolio serviced for SBNA as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Total serviced portfolio	$1,706,154	$ 2,198,519
Cash collections due to owner	122	132
Servicing fees receivable	782	784
Revenue share reimbursement receivable	1,985	1,370

On June 30, 2014, the Company entered into an indemnification agreement with SBNA whereby SC indemnifies SBNA for any credit or residual losses on a pool of $48,226 in leases originated under the flow agreement. The covered leases are non-conforming units because they did not meet SBNA's credit criteria at origination. At the time of the agreement, SC established a $48,226 collateral account with SBNA in restricted cash that will be released over time to SBNA, in the case of losses, and SC, in the case of payments and sale proceeds. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the balance in the collateral account was $16,003 and $34,516, respectively. For the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2015, the Company recognized an indemnification expense of $2,576. For the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized an indemnification expense of zero. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had a recorded liability of $2,691 related to the residual losses covered under the agreement.

In December 2015, the Company formed a new wholly-owned subsidiary, Santander Consumer International Puerto Rico, LLC (SCI), and SCI opened deposit accounts with Banco Santander Puerto Rico, an affiliated entity. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, SCI had cash of $3,054 and $4,920, respectively, on deposit with Banco Santander Puerto Rico.

During 2015, Santander Investment Securities Inc. (SIS), an affiliated entity, purchased a portion of the Class B notes of SDART 2013-3, a consolidated securitization Trust, with a principal balance of $725. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the unpaid note balance of the Class B notes owned by SIS was zero and $510, respectively. In addition, during 2015, SIS purchased an investment of $2,000 in the Class A3 notes of CCART 2013-A, a securitization Trust formed by the Company in 2013. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the unpaid note balance of the Class A3 notes owned by SIS was $17 and $743, respectively. Although CCART 2013-A is not a

consolidated entity of the Company, the Company continues to service the assets of the associated trust. SIS also serves as co-manager on certain of the Company's securitizations. Amounts paid to SIS as co-manager for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, totaled $949 and $200, respectively, and totaled $1,049 and $300 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. These co-manager fees are accounted for as debt issuance costs in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Produban Servicios Informaticos Generales S.L., a Santander affiliate, is under contract with the Company to provide professional services, telecommunications, and internal and/or external applications. Expenses incurred, which are included as a component of other operating costs, totaled $24 and $21 for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and $48 and $123 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company is party to an MSA with a company in which it has a cost method investment and holds a warrant to increase its ownership if certain vesting conditions are satisfied. The MSA enables SC to review credit applications of retail store customers. Under terms of the MSA, the Company had net originations of personal revolving loans of $10,662 and $18,288, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, this cost method investment was carried at a value of zero in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets as it had been fully impaired. Effective April 11, 2016, the Company ceased funding new originations from most of the retailers for which it reviews credit applications and the Company has provided notice to the single remaining retailer that it will cease funding new originations effective August 17, 2016.
On July 2, 2015, the Company announced the departure of Thomas G. Dundon from his roles as Chairman of the Board and CEO of the Company, effective as of the close of business on July 2, 2015. In connection with his departure, and subject to the terms and conditions of his Employment Agreement, including Mr. Dundon's execution of a release of claims against the Company, Mr. Dundon became entitled to receive certain payments and benefits under his Employment Agreement.
Also in connection with his departure, Mr. Dundon entered into a Separation Agreement with the Company, DDFS LLC, SHUSA and Santander. The Separation Agreement provided, among other things, that Mr. Dundon resign as Chairman of the Board, as CEO of the Company and as an officer and/or director of any of the Company’s subsidiary companies. Mr. Dundon would continue to serve as a Director of the Company's Board, and would serve as a consultant to the Company for twelve months from the date of the Separation Agreement at a mutually agreed rate, subject to required bank regulatory approvals. Also subject to applicable regulatory approvals and law, Mr. Dundon’s outstanding stock options would remain exercisable until the third anniversary of his resignation, and subject to certain time limitations, Mr. Dundon would be permitted to exercise such options in whole, but not in part, and settle such options for a cash payment equal to the difference between the closing trading price of a share of Company common stock as of the date immediately preceding such exercise and the exercise price of such option. Mr. Dundon exercised this cash settlement option on July 2, 2015. The Separation Agreement also provided for the modification of terms for certain other equity-based awards (Note 14), subject to limitations of banking regulators and applicable law. As of June 30, 2016, the Company has not made any payments to Mr. Dundon, nor recorded any liability or obligation arising from or pursuant to the terms of the Separation Agreement. If all applicable conditions are satisfied, including receipt of required regulatory approvals, the Company will be obligated to make a cash payment to Mr. Dundon of up to $115,139. This amount would be recorded as compensation expense in the condensed consolidated statement of income and comprehensive income in the period in which approval is obtained.

Also, in connection with, and pursuant to, the Separation Agreement, on July 2, 2015, Mr. Dundon, the Company, DDFS LLC, SHUSA and Santander entered into an amendment to the Shareholders Agreement (the Second Amendment). The Second Amendment amended, for purposes of calculating the price per share to be paid in the event that a put or call option was exercised with respect to the shares of Company Common Stock owned by DDFS LLC in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Shareholders Agreement, the definition of the term “Average Stock Price” to mean $26.83. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, SHUSA was deemed to have delivered as of July 3, 2015 an irrevocable notice to exercise the call option with respect to all 34,598,506 shares of our Common Stock owned by DDFS and consummate the transactions contemplated by such call option notice, subject to the receipt of required bank regulatory approvals and any other approvals required by law (the Call Transaction). Because the Call Transaction was not consummated prior to the Call End Date, DDFS LLC is free to transfer any or all shares of Company Common Stock it owns, subject to the terms and conditions of the Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of July 16, 2014, between DDFS LLC and Santander (the Loan Agreement). The Loan Agreement provides

for a $300,000 loan, which, as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, had an unpaid principal balance of $290,000. Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, 29,598,506 shares of the Company's common stock owned by DDFS LLC are pledged as collateral under a related pledge agreement (the Pledge Agreement). Because the Call Transaction was not completed on or before the Call End Date, interest began accruing on the price paid per share in the Call Transaction at the overnight LIBOR rate on the third business day preceding the consummation of the Call Transaction plus 100 basis points with respect to any shares of Company Common Stock ultimately sold in the Call Transaction. The Shareholder Agreement further provides that Santander may, at its option, become the direct beneficiary of the Call

Option. If consummated in full, SHUSA would pay DDFS LLC $928,278 plus interest that has accrued since the Call End Date. To date, the Call Transaction has not been consummated and remains subject to receipt of applicable regulatory approvals.

Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, if at any time the value of the Common Stock pledged under the Pledge Agreement is less than 150% of the aggregate principal amount outstanding under the Loan Agreement, DDFS LLC has an obligation to either (a) repay a portion of such outstanding principal amount such that the value of the pledged collateral is equal to at least 200% of the outstanding principal amount, or (b) pledge additional shares of Company Common Stock such that the value of the additional shares of Common Stock, together with the 29,598,506 shares already pledged under the Pledge Agreement, is equal to at least 200% of the outstanding principal amount. The value of the pledged collateral is less than 150% of aggregate principal amount outstanding under the Loan Agreement, and DDFS LLC has not taken any of the collateral posting actions described in clauses (a) or (b) above. If Santander declares the borrower's obligations under the Loan Agreement due and payable as a result of an event of default (including with respect to the collateral posting obligations described above), under the terms of the Loan Agreement and the Pledge Agreement, Santander's ability to rely upon the shares of Company Common Stock subject to the Pledge Agreement is, subject to certain exceptions, limited to the exercise by SHUSA and/or Santander of the right to deliver the call option notice and to consummate the Call Transaction at the price specified in the Shareholders Agreement. If the borrower fails to pay obligations under the Loan Agreement when due, including because of Santander's declaration of such obligations as due and payable as a result of an event of default, a higher default interest rate will apply to such overdue amounts.

On August 31, 2016, Mr. Dundon, DDFS, the Company, Santander and SHUSA entered into a Second Amendment to the Separation Agreement, and Mr. Dundon, DDFS, Santander and SHUSA entered into a Third Amendment to the Shareholders Agreement, whereby the price per share to be paid to DDFS in connection with the Call Transaction was reduced from $26.83 to $26.17, the arithmetic mean of the daily volume-weighted average price for a share of Company common stock for each of the ten consecutive complete trading days immediately prior to July 3, 2015, the date on which the call option was exercised.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company paid certain expenses incurred by Mr. Dundon in the operation of a private plane in which he owns a partial interest when used for SC business within the contiguous 48 states. Under this practice, payment was based on a set flight time hourly rate, and the amount of reimbursement was not subject to a maximum cap per fiscal year. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company paid $125 and $308, respectively, to Meregrass, Inc., the Company managing the plane's operations, with an average rate of $5.8 per hour.

Under an agreement with Mr. Dundon, the Company is provided access to a suite at an event center that is leased by Mr. Dundon, and which the Company uses for business purposes. The Company reimburses Mr. Dundon for the use of this space on a periodic basis.

As of June 30, 2016, Jason Kulas, the Company's CEO, Mr. Dundon, and a Santander employee who was a member of the SC Board until the second quarter of 2015, each had a minority equity investment in a property in which the Company leases 373,000 square feet as its corporate headquarters. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded $1,246 and $1,180, respectively, in lease expenses on this property. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded $2,471 and $2,496, respectively, in lease expenses on this property. Future minimum lease payments for the 12-year term of the lease total $72,267. The Company subleases approximately 13,000 square feet of its corporate office space to SBNA. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded $41, in sublease revenue on this property. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded $82, in sublease revenue on this property.

The Company is party to certain agreements with Bluestem whereby the Company is committed to purchase receivables originated by Bluestem for an initial term ending in April 2020 and renewable through April 2022 at Bluestem's option. Bluestem is owned by Capmark, a company in which affiliates of Centerbridge own an interest. Centerbridge decreased its ownership in SC from approximately 1% as of January 1, 2015, to zero as of June 30, 2015. Further, an individual that was a member of SC's Board until July 15, 2015, is a member of Centerbridge management and also serves on the board of directors of Capmark. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company advanced $250,687 and $408,916, respectively, to the retailer, and received $249,583 and $526,943, respectively, in payments on receivables originated under its agreements with the retailer.

12. Computation of Basic and Diluted Earnings per Common Share

Earnings per common share (EPS) is computed using the two-class method required for participating securities. Restricted stock awards whereby the holders of such shares have non-forfeitable dividend rights in the event of a declaration of a dividend on the Company's common shares are considered to be participating securities.

The calculation of diluted EPS excludes 1,466,891 and 48,800 employee stock option awards for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and 1,466,891 and 1,307,113 for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as the effect of those securities would be anti-dilutive.

The following table represents EPS numbers for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Earnings per common share				
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding before restricted participating shares (in thousands)	357,868	354,625	357,747	351,806
Weighted average number of participating restricted common shares outstanding (in thousands)	350	467	350	467
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (in thousands)	358,218	355,092	358,097	352,273
Earnings per common share	$0.79	$1.03	$1.37	$1.72
Earnings per common share - assuming dilution				
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (in thousands)	358,218	355,092	358,097	352,273
Effect of employee stock-based awards (in thousands)	1,650	4,102	1,330	3,659
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - assuming dilution (in thousands)	359,868	359,194	359,427	355,932
Earnings per common share - assuming dilution	$0.79	$1.02	$1.37	$1.71

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value measurement requires that valuation techniques maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs and also establishes a fair value hierarchy that categorizes into three levels the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that can be accessed as of the measurement date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2 inputs are those other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3 inputs are those that are unobservable for the asset or liability and are used to measure fair value to the extent relevant observable inputs are not available.

Fair value estimates, methods, and assumptions are as follows:

	Level	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
		Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents (a)	1	$78,049	$ 78,049	$18,893	$ 18,893
Finance receivables held for sale, net (b)	3	2,859,996	2,902,063	2,859,575	2,872,354
Finance receivables held for investment, net (c)	3	23,477,426	25,200,286	23,367,788	24,943,560
Restricted cash (a)	1	2,712,841	2,712,841	2,236,329	2,236,329
Notes payable — credit facilities (d)	3	7,005,116	7,005,116	6,902,779	6,902,779
Notes payable — secured structured financings (e)	2	22,493,245	22,639,426	20,872,900	20,917,733
Notes payable — related party (f)	3	2,350,000	2,350,000	2,600,000	2,600,000

(a) Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash — The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash, is at an approximated fair value as the instruments mature within 90 days or less and bear interest at market rates.

(b) Finance receivables held for sale, net — Finance receivables held for sale, net are comprised of retail installment contracts acquired individually and personal loans and are carried at the lower of cost or market, as determined on an aggregate basis for each type of receivable.

- Retail installment contracts acquired individually — The estimated fair value is calculated based on a discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis in which the Company uses significant unobservable inputs on key assumptions, including expected default rates, prepayment rates, recovery rates, and discount rates reflective of the cost of funds and appropriate rate of returns.
- Personal loans — The estimated fair value for personal loans held for sale is calculated based on a combination of estimated cash flows and market rates for similar loans with similar credit risks and a DCF analysis in which the Company uses significant unobservable inputs on key assumptions, including historical default rates and adjustments to reflect prepayment rates, discount rates reflective of the cost of funding, and credit loss expectations.

(c) Finance receivables held for investment, net — Finance receivables held for investment, net are carried at amortized cost, net of an allowance. The estimated fair value for the underlying financial instruments are determined as follows:

- Retail installment contracts held for investment, net — The estimated fair value is calculated based on a DCF in which the Company uses significant unobservable inputs on key assumptions, including historical default rates and adjustments to reflect prepayment rates, expected recovery rates, discount rates reflective of the cost of funding, and credit loss expectations.
- Receivables from dealers held for investment and Capital lease receivables, net — Receivables from dealers held for investment and capital lease receivables are carried at amortized cost, net of credit loss allowance and gross investments, net of unearned income and allowance for lease losses, respectively. Management believes that the terms of these credit agreements approximate market terms for similar credit agreements.

(d) Notes payable — credit facilities — The carrying amount of notes payable related to revolving credit facilities is estimated to approximate fair value. Management believes that the terms of these credit agreements approximate market terms for similar credit agreements as the facilities are subject to short-term floating interest rates that approximate rates available to the Company.

(e) Notes payable — secured structured financings — The estimated fair value of notes payable related to secured structured financings is calculated based on market quotes for the Company's publicly traded debt and estimated market rates currently available from recent transactions involving similar debt with similar credit risks.

(f) Notes payable — related party — The carrying amount of notes payable to a related party is estimated to approximate fair value as the facilities are subject to short-term floating interest rates that approximate rates available to the Company.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy:

42

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2016			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Other assets — trading interest rate caps (a)	$4,661	$ —	$ 4,661	$ —
Due from affiliates — trading interest rate caps (a)	1,886	—	1,886	—
Due from affiliates — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	45	—	45	—
Other liabilities — trading options for interest rate caps (a)	4,664	—	4,664	—
Due to affiliates — trading options for interest rate caps (a)	1,886	—	1,886	—
Other liabilities — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	64,109	—	64,109	—
Due to affiliates — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	18,364	—	18,364	—
Other liabilities — trading interest rate swaps (a)	3,526	—	3,526	—
Due to affiliates — trading interest rate swaps (a)	3,699	—	3,699	—
Other liabilities — total return settlement (a)	53,543	—	—	53,543
Retail installment contracts acquired individually (b)	12,602	—	—	12,602

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2015			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Other assets — trading interest rate caps (a)	$20,227	$ —	$ 20,227	$ —
Due from affiliates — trading interest rate caps (a)	12,724	—	12,724	—
Other assets — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	3,863	—	3,863	—
Due from affiliates — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	3,431	—	3,431	—
Due from affiliates — trading interest rate swaps (a)	1,176	—	1,176	—
Other liabilities — trading options for interest rate caps (a)	20,253	—	20,253	—
Due to affiliates — trading options for interest rate caps (a)	12,724	—	12,724	—
Other liabilities — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	3,093	—	3,093	—
Due to affiliates — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	2,496	—	2,496	—
Due to affiliates — trading interest rate swaps (a)	2,481	—	2,481	—
Other liabilities — total return settlement (a)	53,432	—	—	53,432
Retail installment contracts acquired individually (b)	6,770	—	—	6,770

(a) The valuation is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including a DCF on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivative, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to

appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurement of its derivatives. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings and guarantees. The Company utilizes the exception in ASC 820-10-35-18D (commonly referred to as the "portfolio exception") with respect to measuring counterparty credit risk for instruments (Note 7).

(b) For certain retail installment contracts reported in finance receivables held for investment, net, the Company has elected the fair value option. The fair values of the retail installment contracts are estimated using a DCF model. When estimating the fair value using this model, the Company uses significant unobservable inputs on key assumptions, which includes historical default rates and adjustments to reflect prepayment rates based on available data from a comparable market securitization of similar assets, discount rates reflective of the cost of funding of debt issuance and recent historical equity yields, and recovery rates based on the average severity utilizing reported severity rates and loss severity utilizing available market data from a comparable securitized pool. Accordingly, retail installment contracts held for investment are classified as Level 3.

The table below presents the changes in all Level 3 balances for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016:

	Retail Installment Contracts Held for Investment		Total Return Settlement Derivative	
	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2016		June 30, 2016	
Balance — beginning of period	$4,139	$6,770	$53,793	$53,432
Losses recognized in earnings	—	—	1,364	2,680
Net collection activities	(3,411)	(6,042)	—	—
Additions / issuances	11,874	11,874	—	—
Settlements	—	—	(1,614)	(2,569)
Balance — end of period	$12,602	$12,602	$53,543	$53,543

	Total Return Settlement Derivative	
	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2015	
Balance — beginning of period	$59,588	$48,893
Settlements	(2,601)	(3,861)
Losses recognized in earnings	2,078	14,033
Balance — end of period	$59,065	$59,065

The Company did not have any transfers between Levels 1 and 2 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. There were no amounts transferred into or out of Level 3 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2016				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Lower of cost or fair value adjustment (c)
Other assets — vehicles (a)	$232,293	$ —	$ 232,293	$ —	$ —
Personal loans held for sale (b)	970,712	—	—	970,712	158,980
	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2015				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Lower of cost or fair value adjustment (c)

		Identical Assets (Level 1)			
Other assets — vehicles (a)	$ 203,906	$ —	$ 203,906	$ —	$ —
Personal loans held for sale (b)	1,954,414	—	—	1,954,414	613,994

(a) Represents vehicles in repossession or lease termination status at period-end, which have been charged off against credit loss allowance at fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of its vehicles, which are obtained either through repossession or lease termination, using historical auction rates and current market levels of used car prices.

(b) Represents the portion of the portfolio specifically impaired as of period-end. The estimated fair value for personal loans held for sale is calculated based on a combination of estimated market rates for similar loans with similar credit risks and a DCF analysis in which the Company uses significant unobservable inputs on key assumptions, including historical default rates and adjustments to reflect prepayment rates, discount rates reflective of the cost of funding, and credit loss expectations.

(c) The lower of cost or fair value adjustment for personal loans held for sale includes customer default activity and adjustments related to the net change in the portfolio balance during the reporting period.

14. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has granted stock options to certain executives, other employees, and independent directors under the 2011 Management Equity Plan (the Plan), which enabled the Company to make stock awards up to a total of approximately 29 million common shares (net of shares canceled and forfeited), and expired on January 31, 2015. The Company has granted stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units (RSUs) under the Omnibus Incentive Plan, which was established in 2013 and enables the Company to grant awards of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, RSUs, and other awards that may be settled in or based upon the value of the Company's common stock up to a total of 5,192,640 common shares.

Stock options granted have an exercise price based on the estimated fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The stock options expire ten years after grant date and include both time vesting options and performance vesting options. The fair value of the stock options is amortized into income over the vesting period as time and performance vesting conditions are met.

Compensation expense related to the 583,890 shares of restricted stock the Company has issued to certain executives is recognized over a five-year vesting period, with $180 and $611 recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and $361 and $1,216 recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

A summary of the Company's stock options and related activity as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2016 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at January 1, 2016	5,675,327	$ 12.30	6.5	$ 20,151
Granted	48,000	13.77	—	—
Exercised	(279,468)	9.36	—	736
Expired	(492,622)	10.46	—	—
Forfeited	(258,329)	14.58	—	—
Options outstanding at June 30, 2016	4,692,908	12.56	5.9	—
Options exercisable at June 30, 2016	2,686,848	10.83	5.6	—

In connection with compensation restrictions imposed on certain executive officers and other employees by the European Central Bank under the Capital Requirements Directive IV prudential rules, which require a portion of such officers' and employees' variable compensation to be paid in the form of equity, the Company periodically grants RSUs. Such RSUs were granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016. Under the Omnibus Incentive Plan, a portion of these RSUs vest immediately upon grant, and a portion vest annually over the following three years. The Company also has granted certain officers RSUs that vest over a three-year period, with vesting dependent on Banco Santander performance over that time. After vesting, stock obtained by employees and officers through RSUs must be held for one year. The Company also has granted certain directors RSUs that vest either upon the earlier of the first anniversary of grant date or the first annual meeting following the grant date.

On July 2, 2015, Mr. Dundon exercised a right under the Separation Agreement to settle his vested options for a cash payment. Subject to limitations of banking regulators and applicable law, Mr. Dundon's Separation Agreement also provided that his unvested stock options would vest in full and his unvested restricted stock awards would continue to vest in accordance with their terms as if he remained employed by the Company. In addition, any service-based vesting requirements that were applicable to Mr. Dundon's outstanding RSUs in respect of his 2014 annual bonus were waived, and such RSUs continue to vest and be settled in accordance with the underlying award agreement. However, because the Separation Agreement did not receive the required regulatory approvals within 60 days of Mr. Dundon's termination without cause, both the vested and unvested stock options are considered to have expired.

15. Shareholders' Equity
Treasury Stock

45

The Company had 69,005 shares of treasury stock outstanding, with a cost of $1,250 as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. These shares include 3,154 shares the Company repurchased prior to the IPO as a result of an employee leaving the Company, and 65,851 shares withheld to cover income taxes related to the vesting of RSUs awarded to certain executive officers. The value of the treasury stock is immaterial and included within additional paid-in capital.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

A summary of changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Beginning balance, unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges	$(36,065)	$(9,290)	$2,125	$3,553
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(22,578)	(3,458)	(68,340)	(23,249)
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (a)	7,877	7,022	15,449	13,970
Ending balance, unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	$(50,766)	$(5,726)	$(50,766)	$(5,726)

(a) Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consist of the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	
Reclassification	Amount reclassified	Income statement line item	Amount reclassified	Income statement line item
Cash flow hedges:				
Settlements of derivatives	$12,561	Interest expense	$11,266	Interest expense
Tax benefit	(4,684)		(4,244)	
Net of tax	$7,877		$7,022	

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	
Reclassification	Amount reclassified	Income statement line item	Amount reclassified	Income statement line item
Cash flow hedges:				
Settlements of derivatives	$24,643	Interest expense	$22,337	Interest expense
Tax benefit	(9,194)		(8,367)	
Net of tax	$15,449		$13,970	

Dividend Restrictions

The Dodd-Frank Act requires certain banks and bank holding companies, including SHUSA, to perform stress testing and submit a capital plan to the Federal Reserve on an annual basis. On June 29, 2016, the FRB informed SHUSA that, based on qualitative concerns, the FRB objected to SHUSA's capital plan pursuant to CCAR that SHUSA had previously submitted to the FRB. This objection followed the FRB's objections to the capital plans submitted in previous years, following which SHUSA entered into a written agreement with the FRB memorializing discussions under which, among other things, SHUSA is prohibited from allowing its non-wholly-owned nonbank subsidiaries, including the Company, to declare or pay any dividend, or to make any capital distribution, until such time as SHUSA has submitted to the FRB a capital plan and the FRB has issued a written non-objection to the plan, or the FRB otherwise issues its written non-objection to the proposed capital action. The Company will not pay any future dividends until such time as the FRB issues a written non-objection to a capital plan submitted by SHUSA or the FRB otherwise issues its written non-objection to the payment of a dividend by the Company.

16. Investment Gains (Losses), Net

When the Company sells individually acquired retail installment contracts, personal loans or leases, the Company recognizes a gain or loss for the difference between the cash proceeds and carrying value of the assets sold. The gain

or loss is recorded in investment gains (losses), net. Lower of cost or market adjustments on the recorded investment of finance receivables held for sale are also recorded in investment gains (losses), net.

Investment gains (losses), net was comprised of the following for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Gain (loss) on sale of loans and leases	$788	$89,721	$2,396	$111,314
Lower of cost or market adjustments	(94,767)	—	(158,980)	—
Other gains, losses and impairments, net	(7,330)	—	(13,781)	—
	$(101,309)	$89,721	$(170,365)	$111,314

The lower of cost or market adjustments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 included $97,169 and $198,516 in customer default activity and favorable adjustments of $2,402 and $39,536, respectively, related to net changes in the unpaid principal balance on the personal lending portfolio, which has been classified as held for sale since September 30, 2015.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) (2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K) and in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included elsewhere in this report. Additional information, not part of this filing, about the Company is available on the Company's website at www.santanderconsumerusa.com. The Company's recent annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, proxy statements, as well as other filings with the SEC, are available free of charge through the Company's website by clicking on the "Investors" page and selecting "All SEC Filings." The SEC's website also contains current reports, information statements, and other information regarding the Company at www.sec.gov.

Overview

Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. is the holding company for Santander Consumer USA Inc., a full-service, technology-driven consumer finance company focused on vehicle finance and third-party servicing. We are majority-owned (as of June 30, 2016, approximately 58.9%) by SHUSA, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Santander. The Company is managed through a single reporting segment, Consumer Finance, which includes our vehicle financial products and services, including retail installment contracts, vehicle leases, and dealer loans, as well as financial products and services related to motorcycles, RVs, and marine vehicles. It also includes our personal loan and point-of-sale financing operations.

Since May 1, 2013, we have been the preferred provider for FCA's consumer loans and leases and dealer loans under terms of a ten-year agreement. Business generated under terms of the Chrysler Agreement is branded as Chrysler Capital. In conjunction with the Chrysler Agreement, the Company offers a full spectrum of auto financing products and services to FCA customers and dealers under the Chrysler Capital brand. These products and services include consumer retail installment contracts and leases, as well as dealer loans for inventory, construction, real estate, working capital and revolving lines of credit.

Under the terms of the Chrysler Agreement, the parties agreed to certain standards, including SC meeting specified penetration rates that escalate over the first five years, and FCA treating SC in a manner consistent with comparable OEMs' treatment of their captive providers, primarily in regard to sales support. The failure of either party to meet its obligations under the agreement could result in the agreement being terminated. The targeted and actual penetration rates under the terms of the Chrysler Agreement are as follows:

	Program Year (a)				
	1	2	3	4	5-10
Retail	20%	30%	40%	50%	50%

Lease	11 %	14 %	14 %	14 %	15 %
Total	31 %	44 %	54 %	64 %	65 %
Actual Penetration	33 %	29 %	26 %	21 % (b)	—

(a) Program years run from May 1 to April 30. Retail and lease penetration is based on a percentage of FCA retail sales.

47

(b) As of June 30, 2016.

The target penetration rate as of April 30, 2016 (the end of the third year of the Chrysler Agreement) was 54%. Our actual penetration rate as of June 30, 2016 was 22%, due to the competitive landscape and low interest rates causing our subvented loan offers not to be materially more attractive than other lenders' offers. While we have not achieved the target penetration rates to date, Chrysler Capital continues to be a focal point of our strategy; we continue to work with FCA to improve penetration rates and we remain confident about the ongoing success of the Chrysler Agreement. We recently partnered with FCA to roll out two pilot programs, including a dealer rewards program and a nonprime subvention program. Since its May 1, 2013, launch, Chrysler Capital has originated $35.1 billion in retail loans and $15.3 billion in leases, and facilitated the origination of $3.0 billion in leases and dealer loans for an affiliate.
The Company also originates vehicle loans through a Web-based direct lending program, purchases vehicle retail installment contracts from other lenders, and services automobile and recreational and marine vehicle portfolios for other lenders. Additionally, the Company has several relationships through which it has provided personal loans, private-label credit cards and other consumer finance products. In October 2015, we announced our planned exit from the personal lending business, and in February 2016, we completed the sale of $869 million in loans from that platform.
We have flow agreements and dedicated financing facilities in place for our Chrysler Capital business. We periodically sell consumer retail installment contracts through these flow agreements, and, when market conditions are favorable, we will access the ABS market through securitizations of consumer retail installment contracts. We also periodically enter into bulk sales of consumer vehicle leases with a third party. We typically retain servicing of loans and leases sold or securitized, and may also retain some residual risk in sales of leases. We have also entered into an agreement with a third party whereby we will periodically sell charged-off loans.
Economic and Business Environment
The U.S. economy has continued its slow-paced recovery into 2016. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, unemployment declined from 5.0% at the beginning of the year to 4.9% as of June 30, 2016. In December 2015, the Federal Reserve raised its key interest rate by 25 basis points, the first increase since rates bottomed out in 2008, in an effort to stimulate the economy and boost the housing market. The increase in interest rates, which had been signaled by the Federal Reserve throughout 2015, indicates that the economy continues to strengthen. The Federal Reserve has signaled that additional interest rate increases could be on the short-term horizon. New cars are selling at a pace to exceed an annualized 16 million for 2016.
The following table shows the percentage of unpaid principal balance on our retail installment contracts by state concentration. Total unpaid principal balance of retail installment contracts held for investment was $27,506,188 and $27,223,768 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Retail Installment Contracts Held for Investment			
Texas	17.0	%	16.9	%
Florida	13.4	%	12.8	%
California	9.8	%	9.7	%
Georgia	5.2	%	5.1	%
Illinois	3.7	%	3.8	%
North Carolina	3.7	%	3.8	%
New York	3.6	%	3.6	%
Pennsylvania	2.8	%	2.8	%
Louisiana	2.5	%	2.6	%
Arizona	2.5	%	2.5	%

Other states	35.8 %	36.4 %
	100.0 %	100.0 %

48

Regulatory Matters

The U.S. lending industry is highly regulated under various U.S. federal laws, including the Truth-in-Lending, Equal Credit Opportunity, Fair Credit Reporting, Fair Debt Collection Practices, SCRA, and Unfair, Deceptive, or Abusive Acts or Practices, Credit CARD, Telephone Consumer Protection, FIRREA, and Gramm-Leach-Bliley Acts, as well as various state laws. We are subject to inspections, examinations, supervision, and regulation by the Commission, the CFPB, the FTC, the DOJ and by regulatory agencies in each state in which we are licensed. In addition, we are directly and indirectly, through our relationship with SHUSA, subject to certain bank regulations, including oversight by the OCC, the European Central Bank, and the Federal Reserve, which has the ability to limit certain of our activities, such as the timing and amount of dividends and certain transactions that we might otherwise desire to enter into, such as merger and acquisition opportunities, or to impose other limitations on our growth.

Regulation AB II

On August 27, 2014, the Commission unanimously voted to adopt final rules known as Regulation AB II, that, among other things, expanded disclosure requirements and modified the offering and shelf registration process. All offerings of publicly registered ABS and all reports under the Exchange Act for outstanding publicly registered ABS must comply with the new rules and disclosures on or after November 23, 2015, except asset-level disclosures. These rules affect the Company's public securitization platform. Compliance with the new rules regarding asset-level disclosures is required for all offerings of publicly registered ABS on or after November 23, 2016.

The Dodd-Frank Act also included risk retention requirements. In 2014, six federal agencies approve a final rule implementing these requirements. The rule generally requires sponsors of ABS to retain not less than five percent of the credit risk of the assets collateralizing the ABS issuance. The rule also sets forth prohibitions on transferring or hedging the credit risk that the sponsor is required to retain. Compliance with the risk retention rules is required with respect to offerings of ABS (other than ABS collateralized by residential mortgages) beginning December 24, 2016.

Additional legal and regulatory matters affecting the Company's activities are further discussed in Part I, Item 1A - Risk Factors of our 2015 annual report on Form 10-K/A.

How We Assess Our Business Performance

Net income, and the associated return on assets and equity, are the primary metrics by which we judge the performance of our business. Accordingly, we closely monitor the primary drivers of net income:

- Net financing income — We track the spread between the interest and finance charge income earned on our assets and the interest expense incurred on our liabilities, and continually monitor the components of our yield and our cost of funds. In addition, we monitor external rate trends, including the Treasury swap curve and spot and forward rates.
- Net credit losses — We perform net credit loss analysis at the vintage level for individually acquired retail installment contracts, loans and leases, and at the pool level for purchased portfolios, enabling us to pinpoint drivers of any unusual or unexpected trends. We also monitor recovery rates, both industry-wide and our own. Additionally, because delinquencies are an early indicator of future net credit losses, we analyze delinquency trends, adjusting for seasonality, to determine whether or not our loans are performing in line with our original estimation.
- Other income — The various flow agreements in connection with our Chrysler Agreement have resulted in a growing portfolio of assets serviced for others. These assets provide a steady stream of servicing income and may provide a gain or loss on sale. We monitor the size of the portfolio and average servicing fee rate and gain. Additionally, due to the classification of our personal lending portfolio as held for sale upon our decision to exit the personal lending line of business, adjustments to record this portfolio at the lower of cost or market are included in investment gains (losses), net, which is a component of Other income (losses).
- Operating expenses — We assess our operational efficiency using our cost-to-managed assets ratio. We perform extensive analysis to determine whether observed fluctuations in operating expense levels indicate a trend or are the nonrecurring impact of large projects. Our operating expense analysis also includes a loan- and portfolio-level review of origination and servicing costs to assist us in assessing profitability by pool and vintage.

Because volume and portfolio size determine the magnitude of the impact of each of the above factors on our earnings, we also closely monitor origination and sales volume along with APR and discounts (including subvention and net of dealer participation).

Second Quarter 2016 Summary of Results

Key highlights of our performance in the second quarter of 2016 included:

- Decline of 1.0% in net finance and other interest income compared to the same quarter in 2015;
- Net income of $283.3 million compared with $364.7 million for the same quarter in 2015, or a 22.3% decrease year-over-year;
- Originations of $5.4 billion, down from $6.8 billion in the prior quarter and down from $7.6 billion originated in the same quarter in 2015;
  - Chrysler Capital prime retail and lease originations up 2%;
  - Non-prime retail originations down 44%
- Asset sales of $0.7 billion, a decrease from $1.7 billion in the prior quarter, and a decrease from $2.8 billion in the same quarter in 2015;
- Serviced for others portfolio of $13.0 billion, down from $14.2 billion in the prior quarter and down from $13.1 billion in the same period last year;
- Expense ratio of 2.0%, down from 2.2% in the prior quarter and down from 2.1% in the same quarter last year.

Recent Developments and Other Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Personal Lending

As a result of the strategic evaluation of our personal lending portfolio, in the third quarter of 2015, we began reviewing strategic alternatives for exiting our personal loan portfolios. In connection with this review, on October 9, 2015, we delivered a 90-day notice of termination of our loan purchase agreement with LendingClub. On February 1, 2016, we completed the sale of substantially all of our LendingClub loans to a third-party buyer at an immaterial premium to par value. The portfolio was comprised of personal installment loans with an unpaid principal balance of $869 million as of the date of the sale.

Our other significant personal lending relationship is with Bluestem. We continue to perform in accordance with the terms and operative provisions of agreements under which we are obligated to purchase personal revolving loans originated by Bluestem for a term ending in 2020, or 2022 if extended at Bluestem's option. These and other, smaller, revolving loan portfolios are carried as held for sale in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, we have recorded $159 million year-to-date in lower of cost or market adjustments on these portfolios, and there may be further such adjustments required in future periods' financial statements. We are currently evaluating alternatives for the Bluestem portfolio, which had a carrying value of $1.0 billion at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Dividend Restrictions

The Dodd-Frank Act requires certain banks and bank holding companies, including SHUSA, to perform stress testing and submit a capital plan to the Federal Reserve on an annual basis. On June 29, 2016, the FRB informed SHUSA that, based on qualitative concerns, the FRB objected to SHUSA’s capital plan pursuant to CCAR that SHUSA had previously submitted to the FRB. This objection followed the FRB's objections to the capital plans submitted in previous years, following which SHUSA entered into a written agreement with the FRB memorializing discussions under which, among other things, SHUSA is prohibited from allowing its non-wholly-owned nonbank subsidiaries, including the Company, to declare or pay any dividend, or to make any capital distribution, until such time as SHUSA has submitted to the FRB a capital plan and the FRB has issued a written non-objection to the plan, or the FRB otherwise issues its written non-objection to the proposed capital action. The Company will not pay any future dividends until such time as the FRB issues a written non-objection to a capital plan submitted by SHUSA or the FRB otherwise issues its written non-objection to the payment of a dividend by the Company.

Volume

Our originations of individually acquired loans and leases, including net balance increases on revolving loans, average APR, and discount during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)			
Retained Originations				
Retail installment contracts	$3,176,087	$4,765,800	$7,482,180	$9,054,701
Average APR	14.0 %	17.2 %	14.9 %	17.6 %
Average FICO® (a)	624	586	609	579
Discount	0.2 %	1.8 %	0.5 %	2.5 %
Personal loans	$9,272	$257,915	$9,281	$424,407
Average APR	25.0 %	19.4 %	25.0 %	18.9 %
Discount	—	—	—	—
Leased vehicles	$1,694,829	$1,424,308	$3,311,909	$2,554,423
Capital lease receivables	$1,805	$8,073	$3,658	$63,803
Total originations retained	$4,881,993	$6,456,096	$10,807,028	$12,097,334
Sold Originations				
Retail installment contracts	$547,007	$927,586	$1,403,717	$2,234,410
Average APR	3.6 %	4.3 %	3.0 %	5.1 %
Average FICO® (b)	754	745	758	736
Total SC originations	$5,429,000	$7,383,682	$12,210,745	$14,331,744
Facilitated Originations				
Leased vehicles	$—	$228,572	$—	$632,471
Total originations	$5,429,000	$7,612,254	$12,210,745	$14,964,215

(a) Unpaid principal balance excluded from the weighted average FICO score is $509 million and $933 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as the borrowers on these loans did not have FICO scores at origination. Of these amounts, $99 million and $170 million, respectively, were commercial loans. Unpaid principal balance excluded from the weighted average FICO score is $1.3 billion and $1.8 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as the borrowers on these loans did not have FICO scores at origination. Of these amounts, $296 million and $314 million, respectively, were commercial loans.

(b) Unpaid principal balance excluded from the weighted average FICO score is $64 million and $94 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as the borrowers on these loans did not have FICO scores at origination. Unpaid principal balance excluded from the weighted average FICO score is $175 million and $218 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as the borrowers on these loans did not have FICO scores at origination. Of these amounts, zero and $25 million, respectively, were commercial loans.

Our originations of individually acquired retail installment contracts and leases by vehicle type during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2016		June 30, 2015		June 30, 2016		June 30, 2015	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)							
Retail installment contracts								
Car	$1,495,766	40.2 %	$2,787,108	49.0 %	$3,757,203	42.3 %	$5,512,076	48.8 %
Truck and utility	1,902,390	51.1 %	2,508,146	44.1 %	4,464,100	50.2 %	4,968,504	44.0 %
Van and other (a)	324,938	8.7 %	398,132	6.9 %	664,594	7.5 %	808,531	7.2 %
	$3,723,094	100.0%	$5,693,386	100.0%	$8,885,897	100.0%	$11,289,111	100.0%
Leased vehicles								
Car	$196,467	11.6 %	$253,741	15.4 %	$328,678	9.9 %	$567,196	17.8 %
Truck and utility	1,333,903	78.7 %	1,266,635	76.6 %	2,643,896	79.8 %	2,368,241	74.3 %
Van and other (a)	164,459	9.7 %	132,504	8.0 %	339,335	10.3 %	251,457	7.9 %
	$1,694,829	100.0%	$1,652,880	100.0%	$3,311,909	100.0%	$3,186,894	100.0%
Total originations by vehicle type								
Car	$1,692,233	31.2 %	$3,040,849	41.4 %	$4,085,881	33.5 %	$6,079,272	42.0 %
Truck and utility	3,236,293	59.7 %	3,774,781	51.4 %	7,107,996	58.3 %	7,336,745	50.7 %
Van and other (a)	489,397	9.1 %	530,636	7.2 %	1,003,929	8.2 %	1,059,988	7.3 %
	$5,417,923	100.0%	$7,346,266	100.0%	$12,197,806	100.0%	$14,476,005	100.0%

(a) Other primarily consists of commercial vehicles.

Our asset sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)			
Retail installment contracts	$659,224	$2,016,675	$1,519,179	$2,935,753
Average APR	3.5 %	5.6 %	2.9 %	5.3 %
Average FICO®	758	722	762	729
Personal loans	$—	$—	$869,349	$—
Average APR	—	—	17.9 %	—
Leased vehicles	$—	$755,624	$—	$1,316,958
Total asset sales	$659,224	$2,772,299	$2,388,528	$4,252,711

Our portfolio of retail installment contracts held for investment and leases by vehicle type as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are as follows:

	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)			
Retail installment contracts				
Car	$15,306,376	55.6 %	$15,095,256	55.4 %
Truck and utility	10,634,597	38.7 %	10,276,231	37.7 %
Van and other (a)	1,565,216	5.7 %	1,852,281	6.9 %
	$27,506,189	100.0%	$27,223,768	100.0%
Leased vehicles				
Car	$1,265,700	13.9 %	$1,224,830	16.7 %
Truck and utility	6,993,038	76.6 %	5,428,189	74.1 %
Van and other (a)	868,441	9.5 %	673,277	9.2 %
	$9,127,179	100.0%	$7,326,296	100.0%
Total by vehicle type				
Car	$16,572,076	45.2 %	$16,320,086	47.2 %
Truck and utility	17,627,635	48.1 %	15,704,420	45.5 %
Van and other (a)	2,433,657	6.6 %	2,525,558	7.3 %
	$36,633,368	100.0%	$34,550,064	100.0%

(a) Other primarily consists of commercial vehicles.

The unpaid principal balance, average APR, and remaining unaccreted discount of our held for investment portfolio as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)	
Retail installment contracts (a)	$27,506,188	$27,223,768
Average APR	16.7 %	16.8 %
Discount	2.5 %	2.7 %
Personal loans	$909	$941
Average APR	24.1 %	20.9 %
Receivables from dealers	$70,030	$76,941
Average APR	4.7 %	4.6 %
Leased vehicles	$9,127,179	$7,326,296
Capital leases	$42,897	$66,929

(a) Of this balance as of June 30, 2016, $5.7 billion, $11.0 billion, $5.5 billion, and $3.6 billion was originated during the six months ended June 30, 2016, and the years ended 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

We record interest income from individually acquired retail installment contracts, personal loans and receivables from dealers in accordance with the terms of the loans, generally discontinuing and reversing accrued income once a loan becomes more than 60 days past due, except in the case of revolving personal loans, for which we continue to accrue interest until charge-off, in the month in which the loan becomes 180 days past due, and receivables from dealers, for which we continue to accrue interest until the loan becomes more than 90 days past due. Receivables from dealers and term personal loans generally are not acquired at a discount. We amortize discounts, subvention payments from

manufacturers, and origination costs as adjustments

53

to income from individually acquired retail installment contracts using the effective yield method. We amortize the discount, if applicable, on revolving personal loans straight-line over the estimated period over which the receivables are expected to be outstanding.

For individually acquired retail installment contracts, personal loans, capital leases, and receivables from dealers, we also establish a credit loss allowance. We estimate probable losses based on contractual delinquency status, historical loss experience, expected recovery rates from sale of repossessed collateral, bankruptcy trends, and general economic conditions such as unemployment rates. For loans within these portfolios that are classified as TDRs, impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate.

We classify most of our vehicle leases as operating leases. The net capitalized cost of each lease is recorded as an asset, which is depreciated straight-line over the contractual term of the lease to the expected residual value. Lease payments due from customers are recorded as income until and unless a customer becomes more than 60 days delinquent, at which time the accrual of revenue is discontinued and reversed. The accrual is resumed and reinstated if a delinquent account subsequently becomes 60 days or less past due. Subvention payments from the manufacturer, down payments from the customer, and initial direct costs incurred in connection with originating the lease are amortized straight-line over the contractual term of the lease.

Historically, our primary means of acquiring retail installment contracts has been through individual acquisitions immediately after origination by a dealer. We also periodically purchase pools of receivables and had significant volumes of these purchases during the credit crisis. While we continue to pursue such opportunities when available, we did not purchase any pools during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. However, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, we recognized certain retail installment contracts with an unpaid principal balance of $191,671, held by non-consolidated securitization Trusts, under optional clean-up calls. Following the initial recognition of these loans at fair value, the performing loans in the portfolio are carried at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses. We elected the fair value option for all non-performing loans acquired (more than 60 days delinquent as of re-recognition date), for which it was probable that not all contractually required payments would be collected. For our existing purchased receivables portfolios, which were acquired at a discount partially attributable to credit deterioration since origination, we estimate the expected yield on each portfolio at acquisition and record monthly accretion income based on this expectation. We periodically re-evaluate performance expectations and may increase the accretion rate if a pool is performing better than expected. If a pool is performing worse than expected, we are required to continue to record accretion income at the previously established rate and to record impairment to account for the worsening performance.

Selected Financial Data

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Income Statement Data	(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)			
Interest on individually acquired retail installment contracts	$1,170,237	$1,149,507	$2,336,437	$2,201,056
Interest on purchased receivables portfolios	19,615	21,474	40,944	49,680
Interest on receivables from dealers	648	1,158	1,646	2,468
Interest on personal loans	81,241	111,512	178,909	223,468
Interest on finance receivables and loans	1,271,741	1,283,651	2,557,936	2,476,672
Net leased vehicle income	125,218	75,090	233,650	131,853
Other finance and interest income	3,890	6,738	7,802	14,079
Interest expense	198,594	150,622	383,329	299,478
Net finance and other interest income	1,202,255	1,214,857	2,416,059	2,323,126
Provision for credit losses on individually acquired retail installment contracts	514,755	454,467	1,177,881	987,481
Increase (decrease) in impairment related to purchased receivables portfolios	(1,894)	(4,270)	(3,790)	(9,372)
Provision for credit losses on receivables from dealers	(431)	(162)	56	294
Provision for credit losses on personal loans	—	121,118	—	218,821
Provision for credit losses on capital leases	(509)	8,226	(2,056)	14,002
Provision for credit losses	511,921	579,379	1,172,091	1,211,226
Profit sharing	17,846	21,501	29,240	35,017
Other income	37,302	214,700	114,860	364,894
Operating expenses	272,227	255,508	563,083	503,340
Income before tax expense	437,563	573,169	766,505	938,437
Income tax expense	154,218	208,454	274,861	331,277
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Share Data				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding				
Basic	358,218,378	355,091,818	358,096,634	352,272,552
Diluted	359,867,806	359,193,738	359,426,918	355,932,481
Earnings per share				
Basic	$0.79	$1.03	$1.37	$1.72
Diluted	$0.79	$1.02	$1.37	$1.71
Balance Sheet Data				
Finance receivables held for investment, net	$23,477,426	$24,800,991	$23,477,426	$24,800,991
Finance receivables held for sale, net	2,859,996	1,564,905	2,859,996	1,564,905
Goodwill and intangible assets	107,737	110,898	107,737	110,898
Total assets	38,490,611	36,079,510	38,490,611	36,079,510
Total borrowings	31,848,361	30,612,702	31,848,361	30,612,702
Total liabilities	33,613,899	31,833,747	33,613,899	31,833,747
Total equity	4,876,712	4,245,763	4,876,712	4,245,763
Allowance for credit losses	3,436,325	3,328,897	3,436,325	3,328,897

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
Other Information	(Dollar amounts in thousands)			
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on individually acquired retail installment contracts	$412,246	$275,369	$952,559	$619,425
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on purchased receivables portfolios	(1,037)	(5,116)	(1,061)	(7,666)
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on receivables from dealers	135	—	135	—
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on personal loans	—	89,261	—	182,746
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on capital leases	2,599	7,838	5,070	8,021
Total charge-offs, net of recoveries	413,943	367,352	956,703	802,526
End of period delinquent principal over 60 days, individually acquired retail installment contracts held for investment	1,142,648	869,190	1,142,648	869,190
End of period personal loans delinquent principal over 60 days	168,020	153,485	168,020	153,485
End of period delinquent principal over 60 days, loans held for investment	1,151,627	1,052,561	1,151,627	1,052,561
End of period assets covered by allowance for credit losses	27,338,761	28,507,008	27,338,761	28,507,008
End of period gross individually acquired retail installment contracts held for investment	27,224,925	26,027,676	27,224,925	26,027,676
End of period gross personal loans	1,391,859	2,261,726	1,391,859	2,261,726
End of period gross finance receivables and loans held for investment	27,577,127	29,020,270	27,577,127	29,020,270
End of period gross finance receivables, loans, and leases held for investment	36,747,203	34,862,316	36,747,203	34,862,316
Average gross individually acquired retail installment contracts	29,015,183	27,000,474	28,624,094	26,117,672
Average gross purchased receivables portfolios	297,663	612,821	317,789	689,472
Average Gross receivables from dealers	71,576	99,369	73,706	100,690
Average Gross personal loans	1,376,633	2,184,577	1,550,680	2,162,490
Average Gross capital leases	48,161	136,973	54,179	124,045
Average Gross finance receivables and loans	30,809,216	30,034,214	30,620,448	29,194,369
Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases	39,516,716	35,957,522	38,858,731	35,041,765
Average managed assets	53,237,279	48,113,052	53,050,984	46,266,080
Average total assets	38,089,236	35,176,930	37,576,941	34,210,310
Average debt	31,576,856	29,977,311	31,227,922	29,242,830
Average total equity	4,726,601	4,036,518	4,609,561	3,868,722
Ratios				
Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts	16.1 %	17.0 %	16.3 %	16.9 %
Yield on purchased receivables portfolios	26.4 %	14.0 %	25.8 %	14.4 %
Yield on receivables from dealers	3.6 %	4.7 %	4.5 %	4.9 %
Yield on personal loans (1)	23.6 %	20.4 %	23.1 %	20.7 %
Yield on earning assets (2)	14.2 %	15.2 %	14.4 %	15.0 %
Cost of debt (3)	2.5 %	2.0 %	2.5 %	2.0 %
Net interest margin (4)	12.2 %	13.5 %	12.4 %	13.3 %
Expense ratio (5)	2.0 %	2.1 %	2.1 %	2.2 %
Return on average assets (6)	3.0 %	4.1 %	2.6 %	3.5 %
Return on average equity (7)	24.0 %	36.1 %	21.3 %	31.4 %
Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts (8)	5.7 %	4.1 %	6.7 %	4.7 %

Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios (8)	(1.4)%	(3.3)%	(0.7)%	(2.2)%
Net charge-off ratio on receivables from dealers (8)	0.8 %	—	0.4 %	—
Net charge-off ratio on personal loans (8)	—	16.3 %	—	16.9 %
Net charge-off ratio (8)	5.6 %	4.9 %	6.6 %	5.5 %
Delinquency ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts held for investment, end of period (9)	4.2 %	3.3 %	4.2 %	3.3 %
Delinquency ratio on personal loans, end of period (9)	12.1 %	6.8 %	12.1 %	6.8 %
Delinquency ratio on loans held for investment, end of period (9)	4.2 %	3.6 %	4.2 %	3.6 %
Equity to assets ratio	12.7 %	11.8 %	12.7 %	11.8 %
Tangible common equity to tangible assets (10)	12.4 %	11.5 %	12.4 %	11.5 %
Common stock dividend payout ratio (11)	—	—	—	—
Allowance ratio (12)	12.6 %	11.7 %	12.6 %	11.7 %
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio (13)	12.6 %	10.9 %	12.6 %	10.9 %

(1) Includes finance and other interest income; excludes fees

(2) “Yield on earning assets” is defined as the ratio of annualized Total finance and other interest income, net of Leased vehicle expense, to Average gross finance receivables, loans and leases

(3) “Cost of debt” is defined as the ratio of annualized Interest expense to Average debt

(4) “Net interest margin” is defined as the ratio of annualized Net finance and other interest income to Average gross finance receivables, loans and leases
(5) "Expense ratio" is defined as the ratio of annualized Operating expenses to Average managed assets
(6) “Return on average assets” is defined as the ratio of annualized Net income to Average total assets
(7) “Return on average equity” is defined as the ratio of annualized Net income to Average total equity
(8) “Net charge-off ratio” is defined as the ratio of annualized Charge-offs, measured on a recorded investment basis, net of recoveries, to average unpaid principal balance of the respective portfolio.
(9) “Delinquency ratio” is defined as the ratio of End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days to End of period gross balance of the respective portfolio, excludes capital leases
(10) “Tangible common equity to tangible assets” is defined as the ratio of Total equity, excluding Goodwill and intangible assets, to Total assets, excluding Goodwill and intangible assets. Our Board utilizes this non-GAAP financial measure to assess and monitor the adequacy of our capitalization. This additional information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the numbers prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures used by other financial institutions. A reconciliation from GAAP to this non-GAAP measure for the periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows:

	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)	
Total equity	$4,876,712	$4,245,763
Deduct: Goodwill and intangibles	107,737	110,898
Tangible common equity	$4,768,975	$4,134,865
Total assets	$38,490,611	$36,079,510
Deduct: Goodwill and intangibles	107,737	110,898
Tangible assets	$38,382,874	$35,968,612
Equity to assets ratio	12.7 %	11.8 %
Tangible common equity to tangible assets	12.4 %	11.5 %

(11) “Common stock dividend payout ratio” is defined as the ratio of Dividends declared per share of common stock to Earnings per share.
(12) “Allowance ratio” is defined as the ratio of Allowance for credit losses, which excludes impairment on purchased receivables portfolios, to End of period assets covered by allowance for credit losses.
(13) "Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ratio" is defined as the ratio of Total common equity tier 1 capital to Total risk-weighted assets.

The following tables present an analysis of net yield on interest earning assets:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2016			2015		
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)					
	Average Balances	Interest Income/Interest Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balances	Interest Income/Interest Expense	Yield/Rate
Assets						
Retail installment contracts acquired individually	$29,015,183	$ 1,170,237	16.1 %	$27,000,474	$ 1,149,507	17.0 %
Purchased receivables	297,663	19,615	26.4 %	612,821	21,474	14.0 %
Receivables from dealers	71,576	648	3.6 %	99,369	1,158	4.7 %
Personal loans	1,376,633	81,241	23.6 %	2,184,577	111,512	20.4 %
Capital lease receivables	48,161	3,890	32.3 %	136,973	6,738	19.7 %
	30,809,216	1,275,631	16.6 %	30,034,214	1,290,389	17.2 %

Finance receivables held for investment, net								
Leased vehicles, net	8,707,500	125,218	5.8	%	5,923,308	75,090	5.1	%
Other assets	2,049,302	—	—		2,543,836	—	—	
Allowance for credit losses	(3,476,782)	—	—		(3,324,428)	—	—	
Total assets	$38,089,236	$ 1,400,849			$35,176,930	$ 1,365,479		
Liabilities and equity								
Liabilities:								
Notes payable	$31,576,856	$ 198,594	2.5	%	$29,977,311	$ 150,622	2.0	%
Other liabilities	1,785,780	—	—		1,163,101	—	—	
Total liabilities	33,362,636	198,594			31,140,412	150,622		
Total stockholders' equity	4,726,601	—			4,036,518	—		
Total liabilities and equity	$38,089,236	$ 198,594			$35,176,930	$ 150,622		

	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2016			2015		
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)					
	Average Balances	Interest Income/Interest Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balances	Interest Income/Interest Expense	Yield/Rate
Assets						
Retail installment contracts acquired individually	$28,624,094	$ 2,336,437	16.3 %	$26,117,672	$ 2,201,056	16.9 %
Purchased receivables	317,789	40,944	25.8 %	689,472	49,680	14.4 %
Receivables from dealers	73,706	1,646	4.5 %	100,690	2,468	4.9 %
Personal loans	1,550,680	178,909	23.1 %	2,162,490	223,468	20.7 %
Capital lease receivables	54,179	7,802	28.8 %	124,045	14,079	22.7 %
Finance receivables held for investment, net	30,620,448	2,565,738	16.8 %	29,194,369	2,490,751	17.1 %
Leased vehicles, net	8,238,283	233,650	5.7 %	5,847,396	131,853	4.5 %
Other assets	2,121,391	—	—	2,364,030	—	—
Allowance for credit losses	(3,403,181)	—	—	(3,195,485)	—	—
Total assets	$37,576,941	$ 2,799,388		$34,210,310	$ 2,622,604	
Liabilities and equity						
Liabilities:						
Notes payable	$31,227,922	$ 383,329	2.5 %	$29,242,830	$ 299,478	2.0 %
Other liabilities	1,739,458	—	—	1,098,758	—	—
Total liabilities	32,967,380	383,329		30,341,588	299,478	
Total stockholders' equity	4,609,561	—		3,868,722	—	
Total liabilities and equity	$37,576,941	$ 383,329		$34,210,310	$ 299,478	

58

Results of Operations

The following table presents our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)			
Interest on finance receivables and loans	$1,271,741	$1,283,651	$2,557,936	$2,476,672
Leased vehicle income	368,358	243,857	698,150	475,473
Other finance and interest income	3,890	6,738	7,802	14,079
Total finance and other interest income	1,643,989	1,534,246	3,263,888	2,966,224
Interest expense	198,594	150,622	383,329	299,478
Leased vehicle expense	243,140	168,767	464,500	343,620
Net finance and other interest income	1,202,255	1,214,857	2,416,059	2,323,126
Provision for credit losses	511,921	579,379	1,172,091	1,211,226
Net finance and other interest income after provision for credit losses	690,334	635,478	1,243,968	1,111,900
Profit sharing	17,846	21,501	29,240	35,017
Net finance and other interest income after provision for credit losses and profit sharing	672,488	613,977	1,214,728	1,076,883
Total other income	37,302	214,700	114,860	364,894
Total operating expenses	272,227	255,508	563,083	503,340
Income before income taxes	437,563	573,169	766,505	938,437
Income tax expense	154,218	208,454	274,861	331,277
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Net income	$283,345	$364,715	$491,644	$607,160
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, net of tax	(14,701)	3,564	(52,891)	(9,279)
Comprehensive income	$268,644	$368,279	$438,753	$597,881

59

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

Interest on Finance Receivables and Loans

	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
	June 30,		Increase (Decrease)		June 30,		Increase (Decrease)	
	2016	2015	Amount	Percent	2016	2015	Amount	Percent
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)							
Income from individually acquired retail installment contracts	$1,170,237	$1,149,507	$20,730	2 %	$2,336,437	$2,201,056	$135,381	6 %
Income from purchased receivables portfolios	19,615	21,474	(1,859)	(9)%	40,944	49,680	(8,736)	(18)%
Income from receivables from dealers	648	1,158	(510)	(44)%	1,646	2,468	(822)	(33)%
Income from personal loans	81,241	111,512	(30,271)	(27)%	178,909	223,468	(44,559)	(20)%
Total interest on finance receivables and loans	$1,271,741	$1,283,651	$(11,910)	(1)%	$2,557,936	$2,476,672	$81,264	3 %

Income from individually acquired retail installment contracts increased $21 million, or 2%, from the second quarter of 2015 to the second quarter of 2016, and increased $135 million, or 6%, from the six months ended June 30, 2015 to the six months ended June 30, 2016, less than the 7% and 10% growth respectively, in the average outstanding balance of our portfolio of these contracts due to the higher average credit quality.

Income from purchased receivables portfolios decreased $2 million, or 9%, from the second quarter of 2015 to the second quarter of 2016, and decreased $9 million, or 18%, from the six months ended June 30, 2015 to the six months ended June 30, 2016 due to the continued runoff of the portfolios, as we have made no portfolio acquisitions accounted for under ASC 310-30 since 2012. The average balance of the portfolios decreased from $613 million in the second quarter of 2015, to $298 million in the second quarter of 2016, and decreased from $689 million from the six months ended June 30, 2015 to $318 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Income from personal loans decreased $30 million, or 27%, from the second quarter of 2015 to the second quarter of 2016, and decreased $45 million, or 20%, from the six months ended June 30, 2015 to the six months ended June 30, 2016 given the sale of the LendingClub loans in February 2016. The average balance of the portfolios decreased from $2.2 billion in the second quarter of 2015, to $1.4 billion in the second quarter of 2016, and decreased from $2.2 billion from the six months ended June 30, 2015 to $1.6 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Leased Vehicle Income and Expense

Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
June 30,		Increase (Decrease)	June 30,	Increase (Decrease)
2016	2015	Amount		