

STAG Industrial, Inc.
Form 10-Q
October 28, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 1-34907

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|---|--|
| Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 27-3099608 (IRS Employer Identification No.) |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| One Federal Street, 23rd Floor Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices) | 02110 (Zip Code) |
|---|---------------------|

(617) 574-4777
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common and preferred stock as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding at October 23, 2015 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Common Stock (\$0.01 par value) | 68,077,333 |
| 9.0 % Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value) | 2,760,000 |
| 6.625 % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value) | 2,800,000 |

Table of Contents

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <u>PART I. Financial Information</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>PART II. Other Information</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURE</u> | <u>58</u> |

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

| | September 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Rental Property: | | |
| Land | \$219,775 | \$191,238 |
| Buildings and improvements, net of accumulated depreciation of \$140,023 and \$105,789, respectively | 1,272,288 | 1,118,938 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$189,937 and \$146,026, respectively | 264,115 | 247,904 |
| Total rental property, net | 1,756,178 | 1,558,080 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,496 | 23,878 |
| Restricted cash | 8,540 | 6,906 |
| Tenant accounts receivable, net | 20,475 | 16,833 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 26,518 | 22,661 |
| Interest rate swaps | — | 959 |
| Total assets | \$1,824,207 | \$1,629,317 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Unsecured credit facility | \$177,750 | \$131,000 |
| Unsecured term loans | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Unsecured notes | 300,000 | 180,000 |
| Mortgage notes | 232,568 | 225,347 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 29,849 | 21,558 |
| Interest rate swaps | 6,956 | 873 |
| Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits | 13,208 | 11,480 |
| Dividends and distributions payable | 8,228 | 7,355 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,397 and \$6,565, respectively | 10,712 | 10,180 |
| Total liabilities | 929,271 | 737,793 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, Series A, 2,760,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and outstanding at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 | 69,000 | 69,000 |
| Series B, 2,800,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and outstanding at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 68,077,112 and 64,434,825 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively | 681 | 644 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,015,127 | 928,242 |
| Common stock dividends in excess of earnings | (289,413 |) (203,241 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (7,165 |) (489 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 858,230 | 864,156 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 36,706 | 27,368 |
| Total equity | 894,936 | 891,524 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,824,207 | \$1,629,317 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Rental income | \$47,731 | \$36,774 | \$136,201 | \$106,095 |
| Tenant recoveries | 8,063 | 5,399 | 23,135 | 17,094 |
| Other income | 127 | 185 | 410 | 594 |
| Total revenue | 55,921 | 42,358 | 159,746 | 123,783 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Property | 10,949 | 7,694 | 31,265 | 24,285 |
| General and administrative | 6,429 | 5,704 | 21,453 | 19,462 |
| Property acquisition costs | 1,006 | 2,190 | 2,511 | 3,437 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,656 | 21,983 | 82,042 | 62,606 |
| Loss on impairments | 5,733 | — | 8,378 | — |
| Other expenses | 226 | 181 | 892 | 611 |
| Total expenses | 52,999 | 37,752 | 146,541 | 110,401 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 2 | 3 | 7 | 11 |
| Interest expense | (9,317) |) (6,462) |) (26,260) |) (17,941) |
| Gain on sales of rental property | 1,713 | 2,104 | 1,713 | 2,153 |
| Total other income (expense) | (7,602) |) (4,355) |) (24,540) |) (15,777) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | \$(4,680) |) \$251 | \$(11,335) |) \$(2,395) |
| Net income (loss) | \$(4,680) |) \$251 | \$(11,335) |) \$(2,395) |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (359) |) (90) |) (951) |) (784) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$(4,321) |) \$341 | \$(10,384) |) \$(1,611) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | 2,712 | 2,712 | 8,136 | 8,136 |
| Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | 95 | 87 | 291 | 258 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$(7,128) |) \$(2,458) |) \$(18,811) |) \$(10,005) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding — basic and diluted | 67,799,700 | 55,354,125 | 65,803,304 | 51,157,219 |
| Loss per share — basic and diluted | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$(0.11) |) \$(0.04) |) \$(0.29) |) \$(0.20) |
| Loss per share — basic and diluted | \$(0.11) |) \$(0.04) |) \$(0.29) |) \$(0.20) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(unaudited, in thousands)

| | Three months ended September | | Nine months ended September | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | 30, 2015 | 2014 | 30, 2015 | 2014 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (4,680 |) \$ 251 | \$ (11,335 |) \$ (2,395) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Income (loss) on interest rate swaps | (7,636 |) 1,300 | (7,019 |) (1,959) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (7,636 |) 1,300 | (7,019 |) (1,959) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | (12,316 |) 1,551 | (18,354 |) (4,354) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | 359 | 90 | 951 | 784 |
| Other comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 371 | (47 |) 343 | 146 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$ (11,586 |) \$ 1,594 | \$ (17,060 |) \$ (3,424) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

| | Common Stock | | | | Common Stock Dividends in Excess of Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Stockholders' Equity | Noncontrolling Interest — | |
|--|--------------------|------------|--------|----------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | Preferred Stock | Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | | | | Unit Holders | Total Equity Operating Partnership |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | \$ 139,000 | 64,434,852 | \$ 644 | \$ 928,242 | \$(203,241) | \$(489) | \$ 864,156 | \$ 27,368 | \$ 891,524 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | — | 3,456,403 | 35 | 74,857 | — | — | 74,892 | — | 74,892 |
| Offering costs | — | — | — | (1,229) | — | — | (1,229) | — | (1,229) |
| Issuance of restricted stock, net | — | 83,756 | 1 | (1) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Issuance of common stock | — | 11,277 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Dividends and distributions, net | (8,136) | — | — | — | (67,652) | — | (75,788) | (3,614) | (79,402) |
| Non-cash compensation | — | — | — | 2,115 | — | — | 2,115 | 3,552 | 5,667 |
| Redemption of common units to common stock | — | 90,824 | 1 | 1,002 | — | — | 1,003 | (1,003) | — |
| Redemption of common units for cash | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (64) | (64) |
| Issuance of units | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 21,902 | 21,902 |
| Rebalancing of noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | 10,141 | — | — | 10,141 | (10,141) | — |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | (6,676) | (6,676) | (343) | (7,019) |
| Net income (loss) | 8,136 | — | — | — | (18,520) | — | (10,384) | (951) | (11,335) |
| Balance, September 30, 2015 | \$ 139,000 | 68,077,112 | \$ 681 | \$ 1,015,127 | \$(289,413) | \$(7,165) | \$ 858,230 | \$ 36,706 | \$ 894,936 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Nine months
ended September
30, 2014

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | \$ 139,000 | 44,764,377 | \$447 | \$577,039 | \$(116,877) | \$ 3,440 | \$ 603,049 | \$ 71,515 | \$ 674,564 |
| Proceeds from sales of common stock | — | 7,191,537 | 72 | 164,005 | — | — | 164,077 | — | 164,077 |
| Offering costs | — | — | — | (2,731) |) — | — | (2,731) |) — | (2,731) |
| Issuance of restricted stock, net | — | 101,934 | 1 | (1) |) — | — | — | — | — |
| Issuance of common stock | — | 9,488 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Issuance of equity pursuant to outperformance program | — | 43,657 | 1 | (1,491) |) — | — | (1,490) |) 1,015 | (475) |
| Dividends and distributions, net | (8,136) |) — | — | — | (50,227) |) — | (58,363) |) (3,572) | (61,935) |
| Non-cash compensation | — | — | — | 1,546 | — | — | 1,546 | 3,760 | 5,306 |
| Redemption of common units to common stock | — | 5,105,584 | 51 | 54,681 | — | — | 54,732 | (54,732) | — |
| Redemption of common units for cash | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (342) | (342) |
| Rebalancing of noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | (9,530) |) — | — | (9,530) |) 9,530 | — |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | (1,813) | (1,813) | (146) | (1,959) |
| Net income (loss) | 8,136 | — | — | — | (9,747) |) — | (1,611) | (784) | (2,395) |
| Balance, September 30, 2014 | \$ 139,000 | 57,216,577 | \$572 | \$783,518 | \$(176,851) | \$ 1,627 | \$ 747,866 | \$ 26,244 | \$ 774,110 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited, in thousands)

| | Nine months ended September | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 30, | |
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$(11,335 |) \$(2,395 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 82,042 | 62,606 |
| Non-cash portion of interest expense | 907 | 1,009 |
| Intangible amortization in rental income, net | 6,331 | 4,600 |
| Straight-line rent adjustments, net | (2,318 |) (2,314 |
| Dividends on forfeited equity compensation | 15 | 128 |
| Loss on impairments | 8,378 | — |
| Gain on sales of rental property | (1,713 |) (2,153 |
| Non-cash compensation expense | 5,667 | 5,337 |
| Change in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Tenant accounts receivable, net | (1,477 |) 934 |
| Restricted cash | (582 |) (596 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (4,407 |) (3,218 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 6,821 | 1,426 |
| Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits | 1,728 | 1,033 |
| Total adjustments | 101,392 | 68,792 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 90,057 | 66,397 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Acquisitions of land and buildings and improvements | (188,263 |) (228,063 |
| Additions to building and other capital improvements | (10,053 |) (5,038 |
| Proceeds from sales of rental property, net | 9,186 | 7,492 |
| Restricted cash | (1,052 |) 734 |
| Acquisition deposits, net | 1,425 | (1,920 |
| Acquisitions of deferred leasing intangibles | (56,102 |) (61,413 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (244,859 |) (288,208 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Redemption of common units for cash | (64 |) (342 |
| Proceeds from unsecured credit facility | 190,750 | 187,500 |
| Repayment of unsecured credit facility | (144,000 |) (162,000 |
| Proceeds from unsecured term loans | — | 50,000 |
| Proceeds from unsecured notes | 120,000 | 50,000 |
| Repayment of mortgage notes payable | (15,370 |) (3,321 |
| Payment of loan fees and costs | (3,019 |) (1,718 |
| Dividends and distributions | (78,541 |) (60,663 |
| Proceeds from sales of common stock | 74,892 | 164,077 |
| Offering costs | (1,228 |) (2,647 |
| Withholding taxes for settlement of outperformance program | — | (475 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 143,420 | 220,411 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (11,382 |) (1,400 |
| Cash and cash equivalents—beginning of period | 23,878 | 6,690 |
| Cash and cash equivalents—end of period | \$12,496 | \$5,290 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosure:

| | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|---|
| Cash paid for interest | \$22,445 | \$16,286 | |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities | | | |
| Issuance of units for acquisitions of land and buildings and improvements | \$16,873 | \$— | |
| Issuance of units for acquisitions of deferred leasing intangibles | \$5,029 | \$— | |
| Additions to building and other capital improvements | \$(565) |) \$— | |
| Transfer of other assets to building and other capital improvements | \$565 | \$— | |
| Acquisitions of land and buildings and improvements | \$(34,460) |) \$— | |
| Acquisitions of deferred leasing intangibles | \$(10,203) |) \$— | |
| Change in additions of land and building and improvements included in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities | \$(1,687) |) \$(3,913) |) |
| Assumption of mortgage notes payable | \$22,343 | \$— | |
| Fair market value adjustment to mortgage notes payable acquired | \$418 | \$— | |
| Change in loan fees and costs and offering costs included in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities | \$(64) |) \$(159) |) |
| Dividends and distributions declared but not paid | \$8,228 | \$6,565 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(unaudited)

1. Organization and Description of Business

STAG Industrial, Inc. (the “Company”) is an industrial real estate operating company focused on the acquisition and operation of single-tenant, industrial properties throughout the United States. The Company was formed as a Maryland corporation and has elected to be treated and intends to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). The Company is structured as an umbrella partnership REIT, commonly called an UPREIT, and owns substantially all of its assets and conducts substantially all of its business through its operating partnership, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the “Operating Partnership”). As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company owned a 95.14% and 96.36%, respectively, common equity interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. As used herein, the “Company” refers to STAG Industrial, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships, including the Operating Partnership, except where context otherwise requires.

As of September 30, 2015, the Company owned 281 buildings in 37 states with approximately 52.1 million square feet, consisting of 210 warehouse/distribution buildings, 50 light manufacturing buildings and 21 flex/office buildings. The Company also owned six vacant land parcels adjacent to six of the Company’s buildings. Subject to receipt of any required governmental permits, these vacant parcels may be used for building expansion or otherwise sold as developable parcels. The Company’s buildings were approximately 95.7% leased to 256 tenants as of September 30, 2015.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Interim Financial Information

The accompanying interim financial statements have been presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with GAAP. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company’s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Basis of Presentation

The Company’s consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries. Interests in the Operating Partnership not owned by the Company are referred to as “Noncontrolling Common Units.” These Noncontrolling Common Units are held by other limited partners in the form of common units (“Other Common Units”) and long term incentive plan units (“LTIP units”) issued pursuant to the STAG Industrial, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the “2011 Plan”). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation of entities. The financial statements of the Company are presented on a consolidated basis for all periods presented.

Reclassifications and New Accounting Pronouncements

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

In September of 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement Period Adjustments (Topic 805). ASU 2015-16 requires an acquirer in a business combination to recognize provisional amounts when measurements were incomplete as of the end of a reporting period as an adjustment in the reporting period in which the provisional amount is determined. Prior to this standard, the acquirer was required to adjust such provisional amounts by restating prior period financial statements. ASU 2015-16 is effective for the annual period ending December 31, 2016 and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter with early adoption permitted. The Company has elected to early adopt this standard effective with the interim period beginning July 1, 2015 and this standard did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In April of 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs (Subtopic 835-30). ASU 2015-03 requires incremental debt issuance costs paid to third parties other than the lender to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt liability. Prior to this standard, debt issuance costs paid to third parties other than the lender were presented as an asset on the balance sheet. ASU 2015-03 is effective for the annual period ending December 31, 2016 and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter with early adoption permitted. Upon the adoption of ASU 2015-03, the Company will present debt issuance costs paid to third parties other than the lender as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt liability. In August of 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements (Subtopic 835-30), which clarified the presentation of debt issuance costs related to credit facility arrangements, given the absence of authoritative guidance within ASU 2015-03. Under ASU 2015-15, debt issuance costs paid to third parties other than the lender related to credit facilities may be presented in the balance sheet as an asset, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the credit facility. Upon the adoption of ASU 2015-15, the Company will continue to present debt issuance costs paid to third parties other than the lender related to a credit facility as an asset on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In August of 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. ASU 2014-15 requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide certain disclosures when it is probable that the entity will be unable to meet its obligations as they become due within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. ASU 2014-15 is effective for the annual period ending December 31, 2016 and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 is not expected to materially impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May of 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU 2014-09 is a comprehensive new revenue recognition model requiring a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount reflecting the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. Revenue from a lease contract with a tenant is not within the scope of this revenue standard. In adopting ASU 2014-09, companies may use either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach.

Additionally, this guidance requires improved disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-09 is effective for the first interim period within annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted for the first interim period within annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact the adoption of ASU 2014-09 will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Tenant Accounts Receivable, net

Tenant accounts receivable, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, includes both tenant accounts receivable, net and accrued rental income, net. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant accounts receivable that is estimated to be uncollectible. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

The Company accrues rental income earned, but not yet receivable, in accordance with GAAP. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had accrued rental income of \$14.9 million and \$12.8 million, respectively. The Company maintains an allowance for estimated losses that may result from those revenues. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had an allowance for estimated losses on accrued rental income of \$0 and \$0, respectively.

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had a total of approximately \$6.2 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, of total lease security deposits available in the form of existing letters of credit, which are not

reflected on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$4.1 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, of lease security deposits available in cash, which are included in cash and cash equivalents on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, and \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of lease security deposits available in cash, which are included in restricted cash on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. These funds may be used to settle tenant accounts receivables in the event of a default under the related lease. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company's total liability associated with these lease security deposits was \$4.5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, and is included in tenant prepaid rent and security deposits on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Related Parties

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of amounts due from related parties, which are included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Tenant Recoveries

By the terms of their leases, certain tenants are obligated to pay directly the costs of their properties' insurance, real estate taxes, ground lease payments, and certain other expenses, and these costs are not reflected on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company does not recognize recovery revenue related to leases where the tenant has assumed the cost for real estate taxes, insurance, ground lease payments and certain other expenses. To the extent any tenant responsible for these costs under its respective lease defaults on its lease or it is deemed probable that the tenant will fail to pay for such costs, the Company will record a liability for such obligation. The Company estimates that real estate taxes, which are the responsibility of these certain tenants, were approximately \$2.7 million, \$7.8 million, \$2.6 million and \$7.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively. These amounts would have been the maximum expense of the Company had the tenants not met their contractual obligations for these periods.

Termination Income

On December 17, 2014, the Company entered into a first lease amendment with the tenant located at the Belfast, ME buildings. The terms of the lease amendment renewed 90,051 square feet of the premise and early terminated the remaining 228,928 square feet effective November 30, 2015. The tenant is required to pay a termination fee for the returned premise on or before October 31, 2015 in the amount of \$2.1 million. The Company received the termination fee payment in full on September 23, 2015. This termination fee along with the reimbursement of certain miscellaneous costs per the lease amendment was being recorded on a straight-line basis from December 17, 2014 through the relinquishment of the space on November 30, 2015. On May 18, 2015, the Company entered into a second lease amendment with the tenant. The terms of the second lease amendment accelerated the termination of 35,295 square feet to April 30, 2015. The Company recognized the termination fee associated with the 35,295 square feet through the shortened lease life of April 30, 2015. The Company continues to recognize the remaining termination fee over the shortened lease life of the remaining 193,633 square feet through November 30, 2015. The termination fee of \$0.5 million and \$1.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, is included in rental income on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Taxes

Federal Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 2011 and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. The Company is generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to its stockholders that it derives from its REIT qualifying activities. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership.

The Company will not be required to make distributions with respect to income derived from the activities conducted through subsidiaries that the Company elects to treat as taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS") for federal income tax purposes, nor will it have to comply with income, assets, or ownership restrictions inside of the TRS. Certain activities that the Company undertakes must or should be conducted by a TRS, such as performing non-customary services for its tenants and holding assets that it cannot hold directly. A TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes. On August 25, 2015, the Company's TRS acquired two vacant land parcels in connection with the Libertyville, IL

acquisition. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company's TRS recognized a net loss of \$7,000 and \$7,000, respectively, which has been included on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

State and Local Income, Excise, and Franchise Tax

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are subject to certain state and local income, excise, and franchise taxes. Taxes in the amount of \$0.2 million, \$0.8 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million have been recorded in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively.

Uncertain Tax Positions

Table of Contents

Tax benefits of uncertain tax positions are recognized only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained based solely on its technical merits, with the taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. The measurement of a tax benefit for an uncertain tax position that meets the “more likely than not” threshold is based on a cumulative probability model under which the largest amount of tax benefit recognized is the amount with a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority having full knowledge of all the relevant information. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, there were no liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

3. Rental Property

The following table summarizes the components of rental property as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$219,775 | \$191,238 |
| Buildings, net of accumulated depreciation of \$97,525 and \$75,116, respectively | 1,178,956 | 1,042,086 |
| Tenant improvements, net of accumulated depreciation of \$25,525 and \$20,943, respectively | 23,439 | 22,619 |
| Building and land improvements, net of accumulated depreciation of \$16,973 and \$9,730, respectively | 69,893 | 54,233 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$189,937 and \$146,026, respectively | 264,115 | 247,904 |
| Total rental property, net | \$1,756,178 | \$1,558,080 |

Acquisitions

The following table summarizes the acquisitions of the Company during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

| Location of property | Square Feet | Buildings | Purchase Price (in thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Burlington, NJ ⁽¹⁾ | 503,490 | 1 | \$34,883 |
| Greenville, SC | 157,500 | 1 | 4,800 |
| North Haven, CT | 824,727 | 3 | 57,400 |
| Three months ended March 31, 2015 | 1,485,717 | 5 | \$97,083 |
| Plymouth, MI | 125,214 | 1 | 6,000 |
| Oakwood Village, OH | 75,000 | 1 | 4,398 |
| Stoughton, MA | 250,213 | 2 | 10,675 |
| Oklahoma City, OK | 223,340 | 1 | 12,135 |
| Clinton, TN ⁽¹⁾ | 166,000 | 1 | 5,000 |
| Knoxville, TN | 108,400 | 1 | 4,750 |
| Fairborn, OH | 258,680 | 1 | 9,100 |
| El Paso, TX | 126,456 | 1 | 9,700 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 102,747 | 1 | 9,500 |
| Charlotte, NC | 123,333 | 1 | 7,500 |
| Machesney Park, IL | 80,000 | 1 | 5,050 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2015 | 1,639,383 | 12 | \$83,808 |
| Macedonia, OH | 201,519 | 1 | 12,192 |
| Novi, MI | 125,060 | 1 | 8,716 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|-----------|
| Grand Junction, CO | 82,800 | 1 | 5,254 |
| Tulsa, OK | 175,000 | 1 | 13,000 |
| Chattanooga, TN | 646,200 | 3 | 21,160 |
| Libertyville, IL ⁽¹⁾ | 287,102 | 2 | 11,121 |
| Greer, SC | 290,000 | 4 | 9,025 |
| Piedmont, SC | 400,000 | 3 | 12,000 |
| Belvidere, IL | 100,000 | 1 | 5,938 |
| Conyers, GA | 201,403 | 1 | 9,880 |
| Three months ended September 30, 2015 | 2,509,084 | 18 | \$108,286 |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | 5,634,184 | 35 | \$289,177 |

The Company also acquired a vacant land parcel adjacent to each of the buildings acquired. Subject to receipt of (1) any required governmental permits, these vacant parcels may be used for building expansion or otherwise sold as developable parcels.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the consideration paid at the date of acquisition during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 for the acquired assets and liabilities in connection with the acquisitions identified in the table above.

Table of Contents

| Acquired assets and liabilities (dollars in thousands) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 | Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Intangibles |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Land | \$31,618 | N/A |
| Buildings | 172,858 | N/A |
| Tenant improvements | 5,449 | N/A |
| Building and land improvements | 12,799 | N/A |
| Deferred leasing intangibles - In-place leases | 40,011 | 5.9 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles - Tenant relationships | 21,736 | 8.2 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles - Above market leases | 7,159 | 8.5 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles - Below market leases | (2,600 |) 5.4 |
| Above market assumed debt adjustment | (418 |) 1.4 |
| Other assets | 565 | N/A |
| Total purchase price | \$289,177 | |
| Less: Mortgage notes assumed | (22,343 |) N/A |
| Net assets acquired | \$266,834 | |

On January 22, 2015, the Company acquired a property located in Burlington, NJ for approximately \$34.9 million. As consideration for the property acquired, the Company (i) granted 812,676 Other Common Units with a fair value of approximately \$21.9 million, (ii) paid \$1.2 million in cash, (iii) and assumed an \$11.8 million mortgage note. The mortgage note was paid in full immediately subsequent to the acquisition. For a discussion of the method used to determine the fair value of the Other Common Units issued, see Note 7.

On June 25, 2015, the Company assumed a mortgage note of approximately \$4.9 million in connection with the acquisition of the property located in Charlotte, NC. On September 29, 2015, the Company assumed a mortgage note of approximately \$5.7 million in connection with the acquisition of the property located in Conyers, GA. For a discussion of the method used to determine the fair value of the mortgage notes, see Note 4.

The table below sets forth the results of operations for the properties acquired during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations from the date of acquisition:

| Results of operations (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, 2015 | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Revenue | \$5,712 | \$9,783 |
| Property acquisition costs | \$882 | \$2,156 |
| Net loss | \$(288 |) \$(1,362 |

The following tables set forth pro forma information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively. The below pro forma information does not represent what the actual results of operations of the Company would have been had the acquisitions outlined above occurred on the first day of the applicable reporting period, nor do they predict the results of operations of future periods. The pro forma information has not been adjusted for property sales.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | |
|--|---|------------------|
| Pro Forma | (in thousands) ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Total revenue | \$ 171,476 | |
| Net loss | \$(8,511 |) ⁽²⁾ |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$(16,124 |) |
| | Nine months ended September 30, 2014 | |
| Pro Forma | (in thousands) ⁽³⁾ | |
| Total revenue | \$ 160,083 | |
| Net loss | \$(6,544 |) ⁽²⁾ |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$(13,845 |) |

The pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is presented as if the acquisition of the (1) properties acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 had occurred at January 1, 2014, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

Table of Contents

The net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 excludes \$2.2 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of properties that closed during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, and the net (2) loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was adjusted to include these acquisition costs. Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 excludes \$3.3 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is presented as if the acquisition of the (3) properties acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the properties acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 had occurred at January 1, 2014 and January 1, 2013, respectively, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

Dispositions

The following tables summarize the dispositions made by the Company during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 (in millions, except for square feet and building count). All of the dispositions were accounted for under the full accrual method.

| Property Location | Square Feet | Buildings | Carrying Value | Sales Price | Net Proceeds | Gain (Loss) on Sale |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Hazelwood, MO | 242,630 | 1 | \$4.4 | \$4.4 | \$4.3 | \$(0.1) |
| Round Rock, TX | 79,180 | 1 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 1.8 |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | 321,810 | 2 | \$7.5 | \$9.6 | \$9.2 | \$1.7 |

Loss on Impairments

The Company recorded a loss on impairment for the three months ended June 30, 2015 related to a vacant building in Hazelwood, MO. The Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell this property prior to June 30, 2015 to a third party market participant. The Company tested the property for impairment at December 31, 2014 and no impairment was noted. The Company updated the impairment calculation quarterly for changes in assumptions as necessary. The Company tested the property for impairment as of June 30, 2015 and it was determined that the carrying value of the asset group, based on the Company's assessment of the various hold and sell scenarios, was not recoverable from the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Accordingly, the property was written down to its estimated fair value of \$4.4 million and the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015. This loss was recorded in loss on impairments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the property was based on unobservable inputs ("Level 3") and this was a non-recurring fair value measurement. This property was sold during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

The Company recorded a loss on impairment for the three months ended September 30, 2015 related to the property located in Canton, OH. The Company entered into a letter of intent to sell this property prior to September 30, 2015 to a third party market participant. The Company tested the property for impairment as of September 30, 2015 and it was determined that the carrying value of the asset group, based on the Company's assessment of the various hold and sell scenarios, was not recoverable from the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Accordingly, the property was written down to its estimated fair value of \$1.6 million and the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$2.9 million based on a discounted cash flow analysis. This loss was recorded in loss on impairments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the property is based on Level 3 inputs and this is a non-recurring fair value measurement. The fair value was calculated using the following key Level 3 inputs: discount rate of 9.0% and exit capitalization rate of 12.0%. The letter of intent for the property included various contingencies, and was terminated subsequent to September 30, 2015.

The Company recorded a loss on impairment for the three months ended September 30, 2015 related to the property located in Jefferson, NC. The Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell this property prior to September 30, 2015 to a third party market participant. The Company tested the property for impairment as of September 30, 2015 and it was determined that the carrying value of the asset group, based on the Company's assessment of the various hold and sell scenarios, was not recoverable from the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Accordingly, the property was written down to its estimated fair value of \$1.0 million based on pricing from market transactions for comparable properties and the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1.4 million. This loss was recorded in loss on impairments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the property is based on Level 3 inputs and this is a non-recurring fair value measurement. Since the purchase and sale agreement for the property includes various contingencies, the Company can make no assurance that it will sell the property or, if it does, what the timing of the sale will be.

The Company recorded a loss on impairment for the three months ended September 30, 2015 related to the property located in Milwaukee, WI. The Company entered into a letter of intent to sell this property prior to September 30, 2015 to a third party market participant. The Company tested the property for impairment as of September 30, 2015 and it was determined that the carrying value of the asset group, based on the Company's assessment of the various hold and sell scenarios, was not

Table of Contents

recoverable from the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Accordingly, the property was written down to its estimated fair value of \$3.9 million based on pricing from market transactions for comparable properties and the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1.4 million. This loss was recorded in loss on impairments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the property is based on Level 3 inputs and this is a non-recurring fair value measurement. Since the letter of intent for the property includes various contingencies, the Company can make no assurance that it will sell the property or, if it does, what the timing of the sale will be.

Deferred Leasing Intangibles

The Company allocates the purchase price of business combinations of properties based upon the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired, which generally consist of land, buildings, tenant improvements, mortgage debt assumed, and deferred leasing intangibles which includes in place leases, above market and below market leases, and tenant relationships. The portion of the purchase price that is allocated to above and below market leases is valued based on the present value of the difference between prevailing market rates and the in place rates measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease term plus the term of any bargain renewal options. The above and below market lease values are amortized into rental income over the remaining term plus the terms of bargain renewal options of the respective leases. The purchase price is further allocated to in place lease values and tenant relationships based on the Company's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and its overall relationship with the respective tenant. The value of in place lease intangibles and tenant relationships, which are included as components of deferred leasing intangibles, are amortized over the remaining lease term (and expected renewal periods of the respective lease for tenant relationships) as increases or decreases to depreciation and amortization expense. If a tenant terminates its lease, the unamortized portion of above and below market leases are accelerated into rental income and the in place lease value and tenant relationships are accelerated into depreciation or amortization expense over the shortened lease term.

The purchase price allocated to deferred leasing intangible assets are included in rental property on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and the purchase price allocated to deferred leasing intangible liabilities are included in the deferred leasing intangibles on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets under the liabilities section.

Deferred leasing intangibles on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2015 | | | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Above market leases | \$68,569 | \$(31,360) | \$37,209 | 63,830 | \$(25,381) | \$38,449 |
| Other intangible lease assets | 385,483 | (158,577) | 226,906 | \$330,100 | (120,645) | 209,455 |
| Total deferred leasing intangible assets | \$454,052 | \$(189,937) | \$264,115 | \$393,930 | \$(146,026) | \$247,904 |
| Below market leases | \$19,109 | \$(8,397) | \$10,712 | \$16,745 | \$(6,565) | \$10,180 |
| Total deferred leasing intangible liabilities | \$19,109 | \$(8,397) | \$10,712 | \$16,745 | \$(6,565) | \$10,180 |

The following table sets forth the amortization expense and the net decrease to rental revenue for the amortization of deferred leasing intangibles during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively (in millions):

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Deferred Leasing Intangibles Amortization | | | | |
| Net decrease to rental revenue related to above and below market lease amortization | \$2.0 | \$1.6 | \$6.3 | \$4.6 |
| Amortization expense of other intangible lease assets | \$15.3 | \$12.5 | \$44.3 | \$36.1 |

As of September 30, 2015, the amortization of deferred leasing intangibles over the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Amortization Expense of Other Intangible Lease Assets | Net Decrease to Rental Revenue of Above and Below Market Lease Amortization |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Remainder of 2015 | \$15,416 | \$1,952 |
| 2016 | \$54,544 | \$5,986 |
| 2017 | \$44,670 | \$4,284 |
| 2018 | \$34,870 | \$3,004 |
| 2019 | \$25,055 | \$2,666 |

4. Debt

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company's outstanding indebtedness, including borrowings under the Company's unsecured credit facility, unsecured term loans, unsecured notes and mortgage notes as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

| Loan | Principal outstanding as of September 30, 2015 | Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2014 | Interest Rate ⁽²⁾ | Current Maturity | Pre-payment Terms ⁽³⁾ |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Unsecured credit facility: | | | | | |
| \$450 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 177,750 | \$ 131,000 | L + 1.15% | Dec-18-2019 | i |
| Total unsecured credit facility | \$ 177,750 | \$ 131,000 | | | |
| Unsecured term loans: | | | | | |
| \$150 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 | L + 1.65% | Mar-31-2022 | ii |
| \$150 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B | — | — | L + 1.70% | Mar-21-2021 | ii |
| \$150 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C | — | — | L + 1.30% | Sep-29-2020 | i |
| Total unsecured term loans | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 | | | |
| Unsecured notes: | | | | | |
| \$50 Million Series A Unsecured Notes | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | 4.98 | % Oct-1-2024 | ii |
| \$50 Million Series B Unsecured Notes | 50,000 | 50,000 | 4.98 | % Jul-1-2026 | ii |
| \$80 Million Series C Unsecured Notes | 80,000 | 80,000 | 4.42 | % Dec-30-2026 | ii |
| \$100 Million Series D Unsecured Notes | 100,000 | — | 4.32 | % Feb-20-2025 | ii |
| \$20 Million Series E Unsecured Notes | 20,000 | — | 4.42 | % Feb-20-2027 | ii |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------|-------------------|
| Total unsecured notes | \$ 300,000 | | \$ 180,000 | | | |
| Mortgage notes (secured debt): | | | | | | |
| Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | \$ 3,285 | (4) | \$ 3,445 | (4) | 6.05 | % Jun-1-2016 iii |
| Webster Bank, National Association | 5,555 | | 5,677 | | 4.22 | % Aug-4-2016 iii |
| National Life Insurance Company | 4,817 | (4) | — | | 5.75 | % Aug-10-2016 iii |
| Union Fidelity Life Insurance Company | 5,843 | (4) | 6,103 | (4) | 5.81 | % Apr-30-2017 iv |
| Principal Life Insurance Company | 5,705 | (4) | — | | 5.73 | % May-05-2017 iii |
| Webster Bank, National Association | 2,968 | | 3,035 | | 3.66 | % May-29-2017 iii |
| Webster Bank, National Association | 3,197 | | 3,268 | | 3.64 | % May-31-2017 iii |
| Wells Fargo, National Association | 4,131 | (4) | 4,182 | (4) | 5.90 | % Aug-1-2017 v |
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company -1 Facility | 57,396 | | 58,050 | | 6.50 | % Feb-1-2018 vi |
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company -2 Facility | 58,335 | | 59,065 | | 5.75 | % Feb-1-2018 vi |
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company -3 Facility | 16,464 | | 16,647 | | 5.88 | % Feb-1-2018 vi |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association CMBS Loan | 64,315 | | 65,567 | | 4.31 | % Dec-1-2022 vii |
| Total mortgage notes | \$ 232,011 | | \$ 225,039 | | | |
| Total unamortized fair market value premiums | 557 | (5) | 308 | (5) | | |
| Total carrying value mortgage notes | \$ 232,568 | | \$ 225,347 | | | |
| Total / weighted average interest rate ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 860,318 | | \$ 686,347 | | 4.09 | % |

(1) On September 29, 2015, the capacity of the unsecured credit facility was increased from \$300.0 million to \$450.0 million.

Current interest rates as of September 30, 2015. At September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the one-month LIBOR (“L”) was 0.19300% and 0.17125%, respectively. The current interest rates presented in the table above are not adjusted to include the amortization of deferred financing fees incurred in obtaining debt or the unamortized fair market value premiums.

Table of Contents

Prepayment terms consist of (i) pre-payable with no penalty; (ii) pre-payable with penalty; (iii) pre-payable without penalty three months prior to the maturity date; (iv) pre-payable without penalty two months prior to the maturity date; (v) pre-payable without penalty three months prior to the maturity date, however can be defeased; (vi) pre-payable without penalty six months prior to the maturity date; and (vii) pre-payable without penalty three months prior to the maturity date, however can be defeased beginning January 1, 2016.

(4) The principal outstanding does not include an unamortized fair market value premium.

(5) Represents total unamortized fair market value premium for the mortgage notes referenced by Note (4) above.

The weighted average interest rate was calculated using the fixed interest rate swapped on the current notional amount of \$300 million of debt, and is not adjusted to include the amortization of deferred financing fees incurred in obtaining debt or the unamortized fair market value premiums.

The aggregate undrawn nominal commitments on the combined unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans as of September 30, 2015 was \$572.3 million. Our actual borrowing capacity at any given point in time may be less and is restricted to a maximum amount based on the Company's unencumbered assets.

On January 22, 2015, the Company assumed a mortgage note of approximately \$11.8 million in connection with the acquisition of the Burlington, NJ property. The mortgage note was paid in full immediately subsequent to the acquisition.

On February 20, 2015, the Company issued \$100 million of its 4.32% Series D 10-year unsecured notes and \$20 million of its 4.42% Series E 12-year unsecured notes.

On June 25, 2015, the Company assumed a mortgage note with National Life Insurance Company of approximately \$4.9 million in connection with the acquisition of the property located in Charlotte, NC, which serves as collateral for the debt. The debt matures on August 10, 2016 and bears interest at 5.75% per annum. The assumed debt was recorded at fair value and a fair value premium of approximately \$0.1 million was recorded. The fair value of debt was determined by discounting the future cash flows using the current rate of approximately 3.05% at which loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for loans with similar remaining maturities, similar terms, and similar loan-to-value ratios. The fair value of the debt is based on Level 3 inputs and is a non-recurring fair value measurement.

On September 29, 2015, the Company assumed a mortgage note with Principal Life Insurance Company of approximately \$5.7 million in connection with the acquisition of the property located in Conyers, GA, which serves as collateral for the debt. The debt matures on May 5, 2017 and bears interest at 5.73% per annum. The assumed debt was recorded at fair value and a fair value premium of approximately \$0.3 million was recorded. The fair value of debt was determined by discounting the future cash flows using the current rate of approximately 2.64% at which loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for loans with similar remaining maturities, similar terms, and similar loan-to-value ratios. The fair value of the debt is based on Level 3 inputs and is a non-recurring fair value measurement.

On September 29, 2015, the Company entered into an amendment to the unsecured credit facility to increase the capacity thereunder to \$450.0 million. Additionally, the accordion feature that allows the Company to request an increase in the aggregate commitments subject to satisfaction of conditions and lender consent was increased, such that if the accordion was exercised in full, total capacity would be \$800.0 million. The material terms of the agreement, including the financial covenants, are unchanged. The Company incurred \$1.0 million in deferred financing fees, which will be amortized over the remaining term of the unsecured credit facility.

On September 29, 2015, the Company closed a \$150.0 million unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo, N.A. ("Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C") with the following terms:

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Applicable Terms | Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C |
| Maturity Date: | Sep-29-2020 |
| Eurodollar Rate ⁽¹⁾ : | L + 130.0 bps - 190.0 bps |
| Base Rate ⁽¹⁾ : | Base rate + 30.0 bps - 90.0 bps |
| Terminated Commitments Fees ⁽²⁾ : | 50.0 bps |
| Unused Fees ⁽³⁾ : | 17.5 bps |
| Annual Fee: | \$50,000 |

(1) The spread over the applicable rate is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio, as defined in the loan agreement.

(2) The Company has until September 29, 2016 to draw the full \$150.0 million.

(3) The unused fees will begin to accrue on November 29, 2015 and are due and payable monthly until the earlier of all commitments having been drawn or September 29, 2016.

Table of Contents

The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C has an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase its borrowing capacity to \$250.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions and bank approval. The Company incurred \$1.0 million in deferred financing fees associated with the closing of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C, which will be amortized over its five year term. The agreement includes a delayed draw feature that allows the Company to draw up to six advances of at least \$25.0 million each. The Company and certain wholly owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership are guarantors of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C. The agreement also contains financial covenants substantially similar to the financial covenants in the unsecured credit facility.

Deferred financing fees, net of accumulated amortization were \$9.7 million and \$7.8 million as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, and are included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, amortization of deferred financing fees included in interest expense was \$0.4 million, \$1.1 million, \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Financial Covenant Considerations

The Company's unsecured credit facility, unsecured term loans, unsecured notes, and mortgage notes are subject to ongoing compliance with a number of financial and other covenants. The Company was in compliance with all financial covenants as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Fair Value of Debt

The fair value of the Company's debt was determined by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for loans with similar remaining maturities, similar terms, and similar loan-to-value ratios. The discount rates ranged from 1.34% to 4.39% and 1.32% to 4.27% at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, and were applied to each individual debt instrument. The fair value of the Company's debt is based on Level 3 inputs. The following table presents the aggregate principal outstanding of the Company's debt and the corresponding estimate of fair value as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Principal Outstanding | Fair Value | Principal Outstanding | Fair Value |
| Unsecured credit facility | \$177,750 | \$177,750 | \$131,000 | \$131,000 |
| Unsecured term loans | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Unsecured notes | 300,000 | 306,005 | 180,000 | 187,587 |
| Mortgage notes | 232,011 | 241,592 | 225,039 | 237,602 |
| Total principal amount | \$859,761 | \$875,347 | \$686,039 | \$706,189 |
| Total unamortized fair market value premium | 557 | | 308 | |
| Total carrying value | \$860,318 | | \$686,347 | |

5. Use of Derivative Financial Instruments

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company's use of derivative instruments is limited to the utilization of interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk exposure on existing and future liabilities and not for speculative purposes. The principal objective of such arrangements is to minimize the risks and related costs associated with the Company's operating and financial structure.

The following table details the Company's outstanding interest rate swaps as of September 30, 2015 (in thousands):

17

Table of Contents

| Interest Rate Derivative Counterparty | Trade Date | Effective Date | Current Notional Amount | Fair Value | Pay Fixed Interest Rate | Receive Variable Interest Rate | Maturity Date |
|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| PNC Bank, N.A. | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | \$10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Bank of America, N.A. | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| UBS AG | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Royal Bank of Canada | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| RJ Capital Services, Inc. | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7975 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Bank of America, N.A. | Sep-20-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 25,000 | \$(58) | 0.7525 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| RJ Capital Services, Inc. | Sep-24-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 25,000 | \$(46) | 0.7270 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Regions Bank | Mar-01-2013 | Mar-01-2013 | 25,000 | \$(217) | 1.3300 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Capital One, N.A. | Jun-13-2013 | Jul-01-2013 | 50,000 | \$(1,175) | 1.6810 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Capital One, N.A. | Jun-13-2013 | Aug-01-2013 | 25,000 | \$(611) | 1.7030 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Regions Bank | Sep-30-2013 | Feb-03-2014 | 25,000 | \$(929) | 1.9925 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Royal Bank of Canada | Jan-08-2015 | Mar-20-2015 | 25,000 | \$(527) | 1.7090 % | One-month L | Mar-21-2021 |
| The Toronto-Dominion Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Mar-20-2015 | 25,000 | \$(507) | 1.7105 % | One-month L | Mar-21-2021 |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | Jan-08-2015 | Mar-20-2015 | 25,000 | \$(535) | 1.8280 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| The Toronto-Dominion Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Sep-10-2017 | — | ⁽¹⁾ \$(1,641) | 2.2255 % | One-month L | Mar-21-2021 |
| The Toronto-Dominion Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Feb-14-2020 | — | ⁽¹⁾ \$(82) | 2.4535 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| Regions Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Feb-14-2020 | — | ⁽¹⁾ \$(230) | 2.4750 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| Capital One, N.A. | Jan-08-2015 | Feb-14-2020 | — | ⁽¹⁾ \$(238) | 2.5300 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| Total | | | \$300,000 | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ These interest rate swaps are forward starting swaps and thus have no current notional amounts outstanding. The total notional amount of these interest rate swaps is \$225.0 million.

Subsequent to September 30, 2015, on October 14, 2015, the Company entered into five interest rate swap agreements for a total notional amount of \$150.0 million to hedge the risk of changes in the interest related cash flows associated with the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C. The swaps were designated as cash flow hedges.

The fair value of the interest rate swaps outstanding as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was as follows (in thousands):

| Balance Sheet Line Item | Current Notional Amount September 30, 2015(1) | Fair Value September 30, 2015 | Current Notional Amount December 31, 2014 | Fair Value December 31, 2014 |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | Interest rate swaps-Asset | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 125,000 |
| Interest rate swaps-Liability | \$ 300,000 | \$(6,956) | \$ 100,000 | \$(873) |

⁽¹⁾Four of the interest rate swaps have no current notional amount outstanding.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate swaps are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. The Company uses interest rate swaps to fix the rate of its long term variable rate debt. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualified as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and will be reclassified to interest expense in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings on the Company's variable rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings into interest expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the Company recorded a loss of \$0.1 million, \$0, \$0 and \$0, respectively, of hedge ineffectiveness in interest expense due to short-term, partial mismatches in notional amounts. The loss on ineffectiveness recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is the reversal of a gain on ineffectiveness recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2015. The Company estimates that an additional \$3.1 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to interest expense over the next 12 months.

Table of Contents

The table below details the location in the financial statements of the gain or loss recognized on interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Amount of (gain)/loss recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on interest rate swaps (effective portion) | \$8,564 | \$(656) |) \$9,540 | \$3,825 |
| Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into income as interest expense (effective portion) | \$928 | \$644 | \$2,521 | \$1,866 |
| Amount of loss recognized in interest expense (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) | \$92 | \$— | \$— | \$— |

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with each of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where the Company could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Company's default on the indebtedness.

As of September 30, 2015, the fair values of all of the Company's 18 interest rate swaps were in a liability position of \$7.2 million, excluding any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements. The adjustment for nonperformance risk included in the fair value of the Company's net liability position was \$0.2 million as of September 30, 2015. Accrued interest expense for all interest rate swaps was \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2015. As of September 30, 2015, the Company had not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company had breached any of its provisions at September 30, 2015, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreement of the interest rate swaps in a liability position at their termination value of \$7.5 million.

Fair Value of Interest Rate Swaps

The Company's valuation of the interest rate swaps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined by using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such

as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following sets forth the Company's financial instruments that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| Balance Sheet Line Item | Fair Value September 30, 2015 | Fair Value Measurements as of September 30, 2015 Using(1) | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Interest rate swaps-Asset | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Interest rate swaps-Liability | \$ (6,956) | \$ — | \$ (6,956) | \$ — |

Table of Contents

(1) Level 1 defined as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Level 2 defined as significant other observable inputs. Level 3 defined as unobservable inputs.

| Balance Sheet Line Item | Fair Value December 31, 2014 | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2014 Using(1) | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Interest rate swaps-Asset | \$959 | \$— | \$959 | \$— |
| Interest rate swaps-Liability | \$(873) | \$— | \$(873) | \$— |

(1) Level 1 defined as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Level 2 defined as significant other observable inputs. Level 3 defined as unobservable inputs.

6. Stockholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

Pursuant to its charter, the Company is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

The table below sets forth the Company's two outstanding preferred stock issuances as of September 30, 2015:

| | Issuance Date | Number of Shares | Price and Liquidation Value per share | Interest rate | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--|---------------|---|
| Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽¹⁾ | November 2, 2011 | 2,760,000 | \$25.00 | 9.000 | % |
| Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽²⁾ | April 16, 2013 | 2,800,000 | \$25.00 | 6.625 | % |

(1) Herein defined as the "Series A Preferred Stock"

(2) Herein defined as the "Series B Preferred Stock"

Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock rank on parity with each other and both rank senior to the Company's common stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock have no stated maturity date and are not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, the Company is not permitted to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock prior to November 2, 2016 and April 16, 2018, respectively, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control.

The table below sets forth the dividends attributable to the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014:

| Quarter Ended 2015 | Declaration Date | Series A Preferred Stock | Series B Preferred Stock | Payment Date |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | Per Share | Per Share | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|
| September 30 | July 21, 2015 | \$ 0.5625 | \$ 0.4140625 | September 30, 2015 |
| June 30 | May 4, 2015 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | June 30, 2015 |
| March 31 | February 20, 2015 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | March 31, 2015 |
| Total | | \$ 1.6875 | \$ 1.2421875 | |

20

Table of Contents

| Quarter Ended 2014 | Declaration Date | Series A | Series B | Payment Date |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Preferred Stock Per Share | Preferred Stock Per Share | |
| December 31 | October 30, 2014 | \$0.5625 | \$0.4140625 | December 31, 2014 |
| September 30 | July 29, 2014 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | September 30, 2014 |
| June 30 | May 5, 2014 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | June 30, 2014 |
| March 31 | February 21, 2014 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | March 31, 2014 |
| Total | | \$2.2500 | \$1.6562500 | |

On October 22, 2015, the Company's board of directors declared the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B Preferred Stock dividend for the quarter ending December 31, 2015 at a quarterly rate of \$0.5625 per share and \$0.4140625 per share of preferred stock, respectively.

Common Stock

The Company utilizes its at-the-market ("ATM") program as its primary source of equity capital. As a supplement to the ATM activity, the Company has executed discrete marketed overnight common stock offerings. The Company will continue to rely on the ATM in the future as its primary source of equity.

The following sets forth the Company's ATM common stock offering program as of September 30, 2015, from which it may from time to time sell its common stock through sales agents:

| ATM Stock Offering Program (in thousands) | Date | Maximum Aggregate Offering Price | Aggregate Common Stock Available as of September 30, 2015 |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|
| 2014 \$200 million ATM | September 10, 2014 | \$200,000 | \$107,380 |

The table below sets forth the activity for the ATM common stock offering programs during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively (in millions, except share data):

| ATM Stock Offering Program | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | Shares Sold | Weighted Average Price Per Share | Gross Proceeds | Sales Agents' Fee | Net Proceeds |
| 2014 \$200 million ATM | 2,661,403 | \$21.63 | \$57.6 | \$0.9 | \$56.7 |
| 2014 \$150 million ATM | 795,000 | \$21.79 | 17.3 | 0.2 | 17.1 |
| Total/weighted average | 3,456,403 | \$21.67 | \$74.9 | \$1.1 | \$73.8 |
| ATM Stock Offering Program | Year ended December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| | Shares Sold | Weighted Average Price Per Share | Gross Proceeds | Sales Agents' Fee | Net Proceeds |
| 2014 \$200 million ATM | 1,658,795 | \$21.13 | \$35.0 | \$0.5 | \$34.5 |
| 2014 \$150 million ATM | 5,760,651 | \$23.03 | 132.7 | 2.0 | 130.7 |
| 2012 \$75 million ATM | 661,930 | \$22.47 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 14.7 |
| Total/weighted average | 8,081,376 | \$22.60 | \$182.6 | \$2.7 | \$179.9 |

Dividends

The table below sets forth the dividends attributable to the common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively:

21

Table of Contents

| | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Month Ended 2015 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Payment Date |
| September 30 | May 4, 2015 | \$0.1150 | October 15, 2015 |
| August 31 | May 4, 2015 | 0.1150 | September 15, 2015 |
| July 31 | May 4, 2015 | 0.1150 | August 17, 2015 |
| June 30 | February 20, 2015 | 0.1125 | July 15, 2015 |
| May 31 | February 20, 2015 | 0.1125 | June 15, 2015 |
| April 30 | February 20, 2015 | 0.1125 | May 15, 2015 |
| March 31 | October 30, 2014 | 0.1125 | April 15, 2015 |
| February 28 | October 30, 2014 | 0.1125 | March 16, 2015 |
| January 31 | October 30, 2014 | 0.1125 | February 17, 2015 |
| Total | | \$1.0200 | |
| Month Ended 2014 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Payment Date |
| December 31 | July 29, 2014 | \$0.110 | January 15, 2015 |
| November 30 | July 29, 2014 | 0.110 | December 15, 2014 |
| October 31 | July 29, 2014 | 0.110 | November 17, 2014 |
| September 30 | May 5, 2014 | 0.110 | October 15, 2014 |
| August 31 | May 5, 2014 | 0.110 | September 15, 2014 |
| July 31 | May 5, 2014 | 0.110 | August 15, 2014 |
| June 30 | February 21, 2014 | 0.105 | July 15, 2014 |
| May 31 | February 21, 2014 | 0.105 | June 16, 2014 |
| April 30 | February 21, 2014 | 0.105 | May 15, 2014 |
| March 31 | December 18, 2013 | 0.105 | April 15, 2014 |
| February 28 | December 18, 2013 | 0.105 | March 17, 2014 |
| January 31 | December 18, 2013 | 0.105 | February 17, 2014 |
| Total | | \$1.2900 | |

On July 21, 2015, the Company's board of directors declared the common stock dividend for the months ending October 31, 2015, November 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015 at a monthly rate of \$0.1150 per share of common stock. On October 22, 2015, the Company's board of directors declared the common stock dividend for the months ending January 31, 2016, February 29, 2016 and March 31, 2016 at a monthly rate of \$0.115833 per share of common stock.

Board of Director's Compensation

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company's independent directors are paid annual fees of \$50,000 each for serving as members of the Company's board of directors, plus certain lead director or chair fees. Lead director fees and chair fees range from \$7,500 to \$15,000. As discussed in Note 7, the independent directors also received an annual LTIP unit grants of \$65,000 each on January 12, 2015. All of the Company's independent directors elected to receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash for their fees for serving as members of the board and/or serving on various committees during 2015 and 2014. The shares of common stock are issued to the independent directors pursuant to the 2011 Plan. The number of shares of common stock granted is calculated based on the trailing 10-day average common stock price ending on the third business day preceding the grant date. The fair value of the shares of the common stock granted is calculated based on the closing stock price per the NYSE on the grant date multiplied by the number of shares of common stock granted. The table below sets forth the grants of common stock for the members' service during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014:

| Service During Quarter Ended 2015 | Grant Date | Shares | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------|------------|
| September 30 | October 15, 2015 | 4,593 | \$89,000 |
| June 30 | July 15, 2015 | 4,248 | \$89,000 |
| March 31 | April 15, 2015 | 3,731 | 84,000 |
| Total | | 12,572 | \$262,000 |
| Service During Quarter Ended 2014 | Grant Date | Shares | Fair Value |
| December 31 | January 15, 2015 | 3,298 | \$87,000 |
| September 30 | October 15, 2014 | 3,958 | 88,000 |
| June 30 | July 15, 2014 | 3,473 | 83,000 |
| March 31 | April 15, 2014 | 3,471 | 83,000 |
| Total | | 14,200 | \$341,000 |

Restricted Stock-Based Compensation

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the 2011 Plan, the Company grants shares of restricted common stock to certain employees of the Company. The shares of restricted common stock are subject to time-based vesting. Shares of restricted common stock granted on January 12, 2015, subject to the recipient's continued employment, will vest in three equal installments on each anniversary date of the grant. Holders of restricted stock have voting rights and rights to receive dividends. Restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise disposed of and is subject to a risk of forfeiture prior to the expiration of the applicable vesting period. The following table summarizes activity related to the Company's unvested restricted common stock:

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|
| | Shares | |
| Unvested at December 31, 2013 | 214,389 | |
| Granted | 103,149 | (1) |
| Vested | (51,885) | |
| Forfeited | (1,737) | |
| Unvested at December 31, 2014 | 263,916 | |
| Granted | 94,290 | (2) |
| Vested | (72,185) | |
| Forfeited | (10,534) | |
| Unvested at September 30, 2015 | 275,487 | |

(1) The grant date fair value per share was \$20.13.

(2) The grant date fair value per share was \$26.17.

The unrecognized compensation expense associated with the Company's restricted common stock at September 30, 2015 was \$4.2 million.

The following table summarizes the fair value at vesting date for the restricted common stock vested during the period (in millions, except share data):

| | For the Three months ended September 30, | | For the Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|--|------|---|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Vested shares of restricted common stock | — | — | 72,185 | 51,885 |
| Fair value of vested restricted common stock | \$— | \$— | \$1.8 | \$1.1 |

7. Noncontrolling Interest

The Company is structured as an UPREIT, and owns substantially all of its assets and conducts substantially all of its business through its Operating Partnership. The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries. Noncontrolling interest in the Company consists of LTIP units and Other Common Units in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company. The table below summarizes the activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014:

| | LTIP Units | Other Common Units | Total Noncontrolling Common Units | Noncontrolling Interest | |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 599,464 | 6,299,186 | 6,898,650 | 13.35 | % |
| Issuance/grant | 719,572 | — | 719,572 | N/A | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|--------------|-------|---|
| Forfeitures | — | — | — | N/A | |
| Conversions from LTIP units to Other Common Units | (12,000 |) 12,000 | — | N/A | |
| Redemptions from Other Common Units to common stock | — | (5,105,584 |) (5,105,584 |) N/A | |
| Redemption of Other Common Units for cash | — | (80,789 |) (80,789 |) N/A | |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 1,307,036 | 1,124,813 | 2,431,849 | 3.64 | % |
| Issuance/grant | 323,069 | 812,676 | 1,135,745 | N/A | |
| Conversions from LTIP units to Other Common Units | (20,000 |) 20,000 | — | N/A | |
| Redemptions from Other Common Units to common stock | — | (90,824 |) (90,824 |) N/A | |
| Redemption of Other Common Units for cash | — | (2,400 |) (2,400 |) N/A | |
| Balance at September 30, 2015 | 1,610,105 | 1,864,265 | 3,474,370 | 4.86 | % |

Table of Contents

The Company adjusts the carrying value of noncontrolling interest to reflect its share of the book value of the Operating Partnership when there has been a change in the Company's ownership of the Operating Partnership. Such adjustments are recorded to additional paid-in capital as a rebalancing of noncontrolling interest on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity.

LTIP Units

Pursuant to the 2011 Plan, the Company may grant LTIP units. LTIP units are granted to certain executive officers and senior employees of the Company as part of their compensation, and to independent directors for their service. LTIP units are valued by reference to the value of the Company's common stock and are subject to such conditions and restrictions as the compensation committee of the board of directors may determine, including continued employment or service, computation of financial metrics and achievement of pre-established performance goals and objectives. LTIP units granted on January 12, 2015 to certain executive officers and senior employees, subject to the recipient's continued employment, will vest quarterly over three years. LTIP units granted on January 12, 2015 to independent directors, subject to the recipient's continued service, will vest on the one year anniversary date of the grant. Vested LTIP units can be converted to Other Common Units on a one-for-one basis once a material equity transaction has occurred that results in the accretion of the members' capital account to the economic equivalent of an Other Common Unit. All LTIP units, whether vested or not, will receive the same monthly per unit distributions as Other Common Units, which equal per share dividends on common stock.

On May 4, 2015, the Company and the Operating Partnership and Benjamin S. Butcher, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board, entered into an amended and restated employment agreement. The amended and restated agreement is for an initial term of three years. The agreement automatically extends for successive one-year terms unless, not fewer than 60 days before the term's end, either party provides a notice of non-renewal to the other party. In connection with the amended and restated agreement, the compensation committee of the board of directors granted Mr. Butcher a retention award of 100,000 LTIP units that vest one-half on the third anniversary of the grant and one-sixth on the fourth, fifth and sixth anniversaries.

The LTIP units issued under the 2011 Plan were valued using the Monte Carlo lattice binomial option-pricing model at the grant date. The fair value of the LTIP units are based on Level 3 inputs and are non-recurring fair value measurements. The table below sets forth the assumptions used in valuing such LTIP units for nine months ended September 30, 2015.

| | Assumptions | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------|----------|---|
| | May 4, 2015 | January 12, 2015 | | |
| Grant date | May 4, 2015 | January 12, 2015 | | |
| Expected term | 10 years | 10 years | | |
| Expected volatility | 20.0 | % | 20.0 | % |
| Expected dividend yield | 6.0 | % | 6.0 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.6590 | % | 0.6159 | % |
| Fair value of LTIP units at issuance (in millions) | \$2.0 | | \$ 5.4 | |
| LTIP units at issuance | 100,000 | | 223,069 | |
| Fair value unit price per LTIP unit at issuance | \$20.38 | | \$ 24.43 | |

The following table summarizes activity related to the Company's unvested LTIP units:

| | LTIP Units |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Unvested at December 31, 2013 | 368,760 |
| Granted/Issued | 719,572 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Vested | (639,445) ⁽¹⁾ |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|
| Forfeited | — | |
| Unvested at December 31, 2014 | 448,887 | |
| Granted | 323,069 | |
| Vested | (188,789 |) |
| Forfeited | — | |
| Unvested at September 30, 2015 | 583,167 | (2) |

(1) On September 23, 2014, the Company issued 397,590 LTIP units, related to the settlement of the 2011 Outperformance Program.

(2) The unrecognized compensation expense associated with the Company's LTIP units at September 30, 2015 was \$10.9 million.

The following table summarizes the fair value at vesting date for the LTIP units vested during the period (in millions, except unit data):

Table of Contents

| | For the Three months ended September 30, | | For the Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|--|---------|---|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Vested LTIP units | 48,257 | 436,583 | 188,789 | 545,311 |
| Fair value of vested LTIP units | \$0.9 | \$9.2 | \$4.0 | \$11.8 |

Other Common Units

Other Common Units and shares of the Company's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics in that Other Common Units directly, and shares of the Company's common stock indirectly, through the Company's interest in the Operating Partnership, share equally in the total net income or loss distributions of the Operating Partnership. Subject to certain restrictions, investors who own Other Common Units have the right to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem any or all of their Other Common Units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of the Company's common stock, or, at the Company's election, shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. The market value of a share of common stock is calculated as the average common stock closing price on the NYSE for the 10 trading days immediately preceding the redemption notice date. Each Other Common Unit receives the same monthly distribution as a share of common stock.

As partial consideration for a property acquired on January 22, 2015, the Company granted 812,676 Other Common Units with a fair value of approximately \$21.9 million based on the Company's NYSE closing stock price on January 22, 2015. The number of Other Common Units granted was calculated based on the trailing 10-day average common stock closing price ending on the business day that immediately preceded the grant date. The fair value of the shares of the Other Common Units granted was calculated based on the closing stock price per the NYSE on the grant date multiplied by the number of Other Common Units granted. The issuance of the Other Common Units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the Other Common Units.

8. Equity Incentive Plan

Outperformance Program

On January 12, 2015, the compensation committee of the board of directors of the Company approved the 2015 Outperformance Program (the "2015 OPP") under the 2011 Plan, to provide certain key employees of the Company or its affiliates with incentives to contribute to the growth and financial success of the Company and its affiliates.

Recipients of awards under the 2015 OPP will share in an outperformance pool if the Company's total stockholder return, including both share appreciation and dividends, exceeds an absolute hurdle over a three year measurement period from January 1, 2015 to January 1, 2018 (the "measurement period"), based on a beginning value of \$24.49 per share of the Company's common stock, as well as a relative hurdle based on the MSCI US REIT Index. Provided the Company's increase in cumulative absolute total stockholder return over the measurement period equals or exceeds 25% (the "threshold percentage"), the outperformance pool consists of 10% of the excess total stockholder return above an absolute total stockholder return hurdle. The hurdle is equal to the total return of the MSCI US REIT Index plus five percentage points over the measurement period.

The aggregate reward for all recipients collectively is capped at the lesser of (i) 0.24% of the product of the total number of shares of common stock and Noncontrolling Common Units outstanding on January 1, 2018 and the average common stock price of the Company for the 20 trading days ending immediately prior to January 1, 2018, and

(ii) \$15.4 million.

Each participant's award under the 2015 OPP is designated as a specified percentage of the aggregate outperformance pool. If the threshold percentage and return hurdle were achieved at the end of the measurement period, the outperformance pool will be calculated and then allocated to the award recipients. The 2015 OPP provides that awards will be paid in the form of fully vested shares of the Company's common stock, or, at the Company's election and with the award recipient's consent, other securities or cash.

The 2015 OPP awards were valued at approximately \$1.6 million utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the probability of the conditions being satisfied. The Monte Carlo simulation used a statistical formula underlying the Black-Scholes and binomial formulas and such simulation was run approximately 500,000 times. For each simulation, the payoff is calculated at the settlement date, which is then discounted to the award date at a risk-free interest rate. The average of the values over all simulations is the expected value of the award on the award date. Assumptions used in the valuations included (i) factors associated with the underlying

25

Table of Contents

performance of the Company's stock price and total stockholder return over the term of the performance awards including total stock return volatility and risk free interest and (ii) factors associated with the relative performance of the Company's stock price and total stockholder return when compared to the MSCI US REIT Index. The valuation was performed in a risk neutral framework, so no assumption was made with respect to an equity risk premium. The fair value of the 2015 OPP awards was estimated on the date of grant using the following assumptions in the Monte Carlo valuation: expected price volatility for the Company and the MSCI US REIT Index of 20% and 13.6%, respectively, and a risk free rate of 0.9814%. The expense associated with the value of the 2015 OPP awards will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the measurement period.

Equity Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes the amount recorded in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the amortization of restricted stock, LTIP units, the 2015 OPP, the 2011 Outperformance Program that concluded on September 19, 2014, and the Company's board of directors' compensation:

| | For the Three months ended September 30, | | For the Nine months ended September 30, | | |
|---|--|-------|---|-------|-----|
| Equity compensation expense (in millions) | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Restricted stock | \$0.5 | \$0.3 | \$1.4 | \$0.9 | |
| LTIP units | 1.2 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 3.8 | (1) |
| Outperformance programs | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | (2) |
| Board of directors compensation (3) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Total equity compensation expense | \$1.9 | \$1.1 | \$5.7 | \$5.5 | |

(1) Inclusive of \$2.0 million of non-cash compensation during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 associated with the accounting for a consulting agreement with a former executive officer.

(2) Inclusive of \$0.2 million of non-cash compensation during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 associated with the accounting for a consulting agreement with a former executive officer.

(3) All of the Company's independent directors elected to receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash for their service during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014.

9. Earnings Per Share

The Company uses the two class method of computing earnings per common share, which is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for common stock and any participating securities according to dividends declared (whether paid or unpaid) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. A participating security is defined by GAAP as an unvested stock-based payment award containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends and must be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Unvested restricted stock awards are considered participating securities as these stock-based awards contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends, unless and until a forfeiture occurs. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, there were 275,663, 284,020, 264,438 and 270,530, respectively, unvested shares of restricted stock on a weighted average basis that were considered participating securities, which were not dilutive.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively (in thousands, except share data).

Three months
ended September
30, 2015

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|---|
| Numerator | | |
| Net loss | \$(4,680 |) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | 2,712 | |
| Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | 95 | |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (359 |) |
| Loss attributable to common stockholders | \$(7,128 |) |
| Denominator | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted | 67,799,700 | |
| Loss per share—basic and diluted | \$(0.11 |) |

26

Table of Contents

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 |
|--|---|
| Numerator | |
| Net loss | \$(11,335) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | 8,136 |
| Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | 291 |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (951) |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$(18,811) |
| Denominator | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted | 65,803,304 |
| Loss per share—basic and diluted | \$(0.29) |
| | Three months ended September 30, 2014 |
| Numerator | |
| Net income | \$251 |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | 2,712 |
| Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | 87 |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (90) |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$(2,458) |
| Denominator | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted | 55,354,125 |
| Loss per share—basic and diluted | \$(0.04) |
| | Nine months ended September 30, 2014 |
| Numerator | |
| Net loss | \$(2,395) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | 8,136 |
| Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | 258 |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (784) |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$(10,005) |
| Denominator | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted | 51,157,219 |
| Loss per share—basic and diluted | \$(0.20) |

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance subject to deductible requirements. Management believes that the ultimate settlement of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is subject to a one-time incentive fee based on aggregate performance thresholds of the acquired buildings sourced by Columbus Nova Real Estate Acquisition Group, LLC. At September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the fair value of the incentive fee was zero. The fair value was calculated using the following key

Level 3 inputs: discount rate of approximately 9.5% and exit capitalization rate of 9.8%.

11. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentrations of credit risk relevant to the Company may arise when a number of financing arrangements, including revolving credit facilities or derivatives, are entered into with the same lenders or counterparties, and have similar economic features that would cause their inability to meet contractual obligations. Concentration of credit risk may also arise when a number of tenants are engaged in similar business activities, are located in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their inability to meet contractual obligations, including those to the Company, to be similarly affected.

The Company mitigates the concentration of credit risk as it relates to financing arrangements by entering into loan syndications with multiple, reputable financial institutions and diversifying its debt counterparties. The Company also reduces exposure by diversifying its derivatives across multiple counterparties who meet established credit and capital guidelines.

Table of Contents

Concentrations of credit risk relevant to the Company may arise when the Company enters into leases with multiple tenants concentrated in the same industry, or into a significant lease or multiple leases with a single tenant. The Company regularly monitors its tenant base to assess potential concentrations of credit risk. Management believes the current credit risk portfolio is reasonably well diversified and does not contain any unusual concentration of credit risk. No single tenant accounted for more than approximately 2.7% of annualized base rental revenue, defined as the contractual monthly base rent as of September 30, 2015 multiplied by 12. If a tenant is in a free rent period as of September 30, 2015, the annualized base rental revenue is calculated based on the first contractual monthly base rent amount multiplied by 12. The Company has tenants concentrated in various industries that may experience adverse effects from certain economic conditions and the Company could be adversely affected if such tenants default on their leases. The Company has tenants concentrated in three industries, Air Freight & Logistics, Automotive, and Industrial Equipment, Component & Metals. There is no tenant industry in the Company's portfolio that is greater than approximately 11.8% of annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2015.

12. Subsequent Events

GAAP requires an entity to disclose certain events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued ("subsequent events"). There are two types of subsequent events. The first type consists of events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements ("recognized subsequent events"). No significant recognized subsequent events were noted.

The second type consists of events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date ("non-recognized subsequent events").

Other than the Company entering into five new interest rate swaps (see Note 5), no significant non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion with the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in Item 1 of this report and the audited financial statements and related notes thereto included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As used herein, except where the context otherwise requires, “Company,” “we,” “our” and “us,” refer to STAG Industrial, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships, including our operating partnership, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P. (“Operating Partnership”).

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the safe harbor from civil liability provided for such statements by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (set forth in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “expects,” “intends,” “may,” “plans,” “projects,” “seeks,” “should,” “will,” and variations of such words or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include, among others, statements about our future financial condition, results of operations, our business strategy and objectives, including our acquisition strategy, occupancy and leasing rates and trends, capitalization rates, and expected liquidity needs and sources (including capital expenditures and the ability to obtain financing or raise capital). Our forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects, which are based on the information currently available to us and on assumptions we have made. Although we believe that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects as reflected in or suggested by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies or prospects will be attained or achieved and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Furthermore, actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements and may be affected by a variety of risks and factors including, without limitation:

the factors included in this report and in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, including those set forth under the headings “Business,” “Risk Factors,” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;”

the competitive environment in which we operate;

real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in local markets and competition for tenants in such markets;

decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;

potential defaults (including bankruptcies or insolvency) on or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

acquisition risks, including our ability to identify and complete accretive acquisitions and/or failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections;

the timing of acquisitions and dispositions;

potential natural disasters and other potentially catastrophic events such as acts of war and/or terrorism;

international, national, regional and local economic conditions;

the general level of interest rates and currencies;

potential changes in the law or governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those laws and

regulations, including changes in real estate and zoning or real estate investment trust (“REIT”) tax laws, and potential increases in real property tax rates;

financing risks, including the risks that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments

of principal and interest and we may be unable to refinance our existing debt upon maturity or obtain new financing on attractive terms or at all;

•

credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the interest rate swaps and revolving and unfunded debt;

29

Table of Contents

- lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance;
- our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT;
- our ability to retain key personnel;
- litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and
- possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. New risks and uncertainties arise over time, and it is not possible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

We are a REIT focused on the acquisition and operation of single-tenant, industrial properties throughout the United States. We endeavor to (i) identify relative value investments across all locations, single-tenant industrial property types, and tenants through the principled application of our proprietary risk assessment model, (ii) operate our properties in an institutional manner, and (iii) capitalize our business appropriately given the characteristics of our assets. We are a Maryland corporation and our common stock is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the symbol “STAG.”

We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and generally are not subject to federal income tax to the extent we currently distribute our income to our stockholders and maintain our qualification as a REIT. We remain subject to state and local taxes on our income and property and to U.S. federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed income.

Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations

Our ability to increase revenues or cash flow will depend in part on our (i) external growth, specifically acquisition activity and (ii) internal growth, specifically occupancy and rental rates on our portfolio. A variety of other factors, including those noted below, also affect our future results of operations.

Outlook

The outlook for our business remains positive, albeit on a moderated basis in light of equity market turmoil and continued asset appreciation. While it is not a certainty, many market participants believe that interest rates are likely to rise in the near to medium term. If interest rates rise as a result of Federal Reserve policy action (short-term interest rates) or changes in market expectations and capital flows (long-term interest rates), we believe strengthening economic conditions are likely to accompany these changes. This strengthening of economic conditions combined with the currently favorable industrial supply demand environment should translate to a net positive result for our business. Specifically, our existing portfolio should benefit from rising rental rates and our acquisition activity should benefit from higher yields. Furthermore, we believe certain characteristics of our business should position us well in a rising interest rate environment including the fact that we have minimal floating rate debt exposure and that many of our competitors for the assets we purchase tend to be smaller local investors who are likely to be more heavily impacted by interest rate increases.

Other notable recent developments are the strength of the U.S. dollar versus competing currencies including the euro and yen, the Greek debt crisis, falling oil prices, and the slowdown in the Chinese economy. A strong U.S. dollar can harm U.S. exporters and U.S. multi-nationals; however, it can also benefit foreign multi-nationals positively which support U.S. subsidiaries and operate U.S. industrial properties. In Greece, the country's early summer acceptance of budget cuts, tax increases, and other economy policy changes in exchange for billions of dollars of aid from the International Monetary Fund and European Central Bank has tempered concerns about the impact of Greece financial troubles on the global financial system. We note U.S. exports to Greece are a very small percentage of total U.S. export volume and Greece's percentage of Eurozone's total gross domestic product ("GDP") is insignificant. Oil price declines have put significant pressure on oil and gas exploration and production companies while simultaneously benefiting many industries (e.g. automotive, freight) and consumers' disposable incomes. In China, a slowing growth rate is decreasing demand for commodities and creating some uncertainty in the U.S. stock market. We believe our direct exposure to tenants in the oil and gas industry and Chinese economy is limited. We will continue to monitor these trends for short-term and long-term impacts to our business.

Table of Contents

Several economic indicators and other factors provide insight into the U.S. economic environment and industrial demand. Presently, we believe the key factors include GDP growth rate, unemployment rate, non-farm payrolls, Conference Board consumer confidence index, manufacturing-purchasing manager index (“ISM”), the 10-year Treasury yield, U.S. total vehicle sales, and durable goods new orders. Below are recent trends in each of these factors:

| Economic Indicators ⁽¹⁾ | Q3 2015 | Q2 2015 | Q1 2015 | Q4 2014 | Q3 2014 |
|---|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| GDP Growth Rate ⁽²⁾ | N/A | 3.9% | 0.6 | % 2.1 | % 4.3 |
| | September 30, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | March 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | September 30, 2014 |
| Unemployment Rate | 5.1 | % 5.3 | % 5.5 | % 5.6 | % 5.9 |
| Change in Non-Farm Employment (in thousands) | 142.0 | 245.0 | 119.0 | 329.0 | 250.0 |
| Consumer Confidence Index | 103.0 | 99.8 | 101.4 | 93.1 | 89.0 |
| Purchasing Managers Index (ISM) ⁽³⁾ | 50.2 | % 53.5 | % 51.5 | % 55.1 | % 56.1 |
| 10-year Treasury Yield | 2.06 | % 2.35 | % 1.94 | % 2.17 | % 2.52 |
| Seasonally Adjusted Annualized Rate US Total Vehicle Sales (in thousands) | 18,500 | 17,400 | 17,500 | 17,200 | 16,800 |
| Manufacturing New Orders: Durable Goods (in thousands) ⁽²⁾ | N/A | 236,611 | 236,671 | 226,739 | 238,191 |

Sources: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Conference Board, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, U.S. Census Bureau, and Institute for Supply Management. Each statistic is the latest revision available at the time of publishing this report.

(2) GDP Growth Rate and Manufacturing New Orders: Durable Goods were not available at the time of publishing this report.

(3) ISM is a composite index based on a survey of over 300 purchasing and supply executives from across the country who respond to a monthly questionnaire about changes in production, new orders, new export orders, imports, employment, inventories, prices, lead-times, and timelines of supplier deliveries in their companies. When the index is over 50, it indicates expansion, while a reading below 50 signals contraction.

Currently, the positive non-farm payrolls, strong consumer confidence, an expansionary ISM index level, solid U.S. total vehicle sales, and low interest rates are positive fundamental signs for industrial demand. An expanding job count, ongoing drop in unemployment rate, and increasing consumer confidence suggest consumers will be spending more money in the foreseeable future. We expect this to lead to an increase in industrial activity and more demand for industrial space.

We believe the recovery of the U.S. economy and specifically the improving dynamic for U.S. industrial production is expected to continue to increase demand for industrial space in the United States. Several trends and other factors contribute to the expected demand increase, including:

- an increasing attractiveness of the U.S. as a manufacturing location because of the size of the consumer market, an increase in overseas labor costs, domestic energy price advantages, superior domestic infrastructure, and the overall

cost of supplying and shipping goods;

a trend in domestic manufacturing driven by recent overseas supply chain disruptions (Los Angeles port strike and the Fukushima nuclear disaster) to shorten and fatten supply chains; and
the rise of e-commerce (as compared to the traditional retail store distribution model) and the concomitant demand by e-commerce industry participants for well-located, functional distribution space.

Furthermore, the lack of material speculative development in our markets and the more broad failure of supply to keep pace with demand in our markets may improve occupancy levels and rental rates in our owned portfolio. We believe, however, that industrial supply, more so than other real estate property types, has historically had a short lead time and can appear quickly.

Conditions in Our Markets

The buildings in our portfolio are located in markets throughout the United States. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions, new supply, adverse weather conditions and natural disasters and other factors in these markets may affect our overall performance.

Table of Contents

Rental Revenue

We receive income primarily in the form of rental revenue from the tenants who occupy our buildings. The amount of rental revenue generated by the buildings in our portfolio depends principally on occupancy and rental rates. As of September 30, 2015, our buildings were approximately 95.7% leased and our lease rates as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) on new and renewal leases together grew 5.2% and 6.2% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets that impair our ability to renew or re-lease space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings. Our ability to lease our properties and the attendant rental rate is dependent upon, among other things, (i) the overall economy, regional, and local economy, (ii) the supply/demand dynamic in our markets, (iii) the quality of our properties, including age, clear height, and configuration, and (iv) our tenants’ ability to meet their contractual obligations to us.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we executed 21 leases totaling 1,660,776 square feet comprised of 357,028 square feet of new and expansion leases, 1,215,848 square feet of renewal leases, and 87,900 square feet of temporary leases. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we executed 45 leases totaling 4,306,849 square feet comprised of 909,523 square feet of new and expansion leases, 2,698,526 square feet of renewal leases, and 698,800 square feet of temporary leases. The following table provides a summary of leases executed for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. The table does not include month to month leases or leases with initial terms less than 12 months.

| | Square Feet | Cash Basis Rent Per Square Foot | GAAP Basis Rent Per Square Foot | Total Turnover Costs Per Square Foot ⁽¹⁾ | Cash Basis Rent Growth ⁽²⁾ | GAAP Basis Rent Growth ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | Weighted Average Lease Term ⁽⁴⁾ |
|---|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Three months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| New Leases | 357,028 | \$3.51 | \$3.43 | \$2.50 | 7.8 | % 6.0 | % 4.1 |
| Renewal Leases | 1,215,848 | \$4.08 | \$4.16 | \$0.58 | 0.7 | % 5.1 | % 3.3 |
| Total / weighted average | 1,572,876 | \$3.95 | \$3.99 | \$1.01 | 1.6 | % 5.2 | % 3.5 |
| Temporary Leases | 87,900 | | | | | | |
| Total Leasing Activity | 1,660,776 | | | | | | |
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| New Leases | 909,523 | \$4.16 | \$2.80 | \$2.09 | 20.3 | % 19.7 | % 5.9 |
| Renewal Leases | 2,698,526 | \$4.05 | \$4.20 | \$0.60 | (1.1) |)% 4.2 | % 4.0 |
| Total / weighted average | 3,608,049 | \$4.08 | \$4.19 | \$0.98 | 1.7 | % 6.2 | % 4.5 |
| Temporary Leases | 698,800 | | | | | | |
| Total Leasing Activity | 4,306,849 | | | | | | |

Turnover costs are comprised of the costs for improvements of vacant and renewal spaces, as well as the (1) commissions for leasing transactions. Turnover costs per square foot represent the total turnover costs expected to be incurred on the leases signed during the period and do not reflect actual expenditures for the period.

Excludes 141,134 square feet and 414,334 square feet of new leases, where there were no prior comparable leases, (2) due to extended downtime or materially different lease structures, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

(3) GAAP basis rent growth is a ratio of the change in base rent (including straight-line rent adjustments as required by GAAP) of the comparable lease.

(4) The lease term is expressed in years. Assumes no exercise of lease renewal or termination options, if any.

Certain leases contain rental concessions. Any such rental concessions are accounted for on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The following table provides a summary of leases with rental concessions executed for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. The table does not include month to month leases or leases with initial terms less than 12 months.

Table of Contents

| | Square Feet | Rental Concessions ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted Average Lease Term (years) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Three months ended September 30, 2015 | | | |
| New Leases | 340,033 | \$ 340,423 | 4.1 |
| Renewal Leases | — | — | — |
| Total/weighted average | 340,033 | \$ 340,423 | 4.1 |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | | | |
| New Leases | 742,528 | \$ 705,927 | 4.6 |
| Renewal Leases | 300,000 | 169,610 | 7.2 |
| Total/weighted average | 1,042,528 | \$ 875,537 | 5.4 |

(1) Represents the total concession for the entire lease term.

Of the 2.1 million square feet of leases that expired during the three months ended September 30, 2015, we have renewed 1.9 million square feet of leases, resulting in a 90.4% retention rate. Of the 4.1 million square feet of leases that expired during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we have renewed 3.0 million square feet of leases, resulting in a 73.8% tenant retention rate. For more detail on tenant retention, see “Tenant Retention” below.

Property Operating Expenses

Our rental expenses generally consist of utilities, real estate taxes, management fees, insurance and site repair and maintenance costs. For the majority of our tenants, our rental expenses are controlled, in part, by the triple net provisions in tenant leases. In our triple net leases, the tenant is responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term, including utilities, taxes, insurance and maintenance costs. However, we also have modified gross leases and gross leases in our building portfolio. The terms of those leases vary and on some occasions we may absorb building related expenses of our tenants. In our modified gross leases, we are responsible for some building related expenses during the lease term, but the cost of most of the expenses is passed through to the tenant for reimbursement to us. In our gross leases, we are responsible for all costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term. Our overall performance will be affected by the extent to which we are able to pass-through rental expenses to our tenants.

Scheduled Lease Expirations

Our ability to re-lease space subject to expiring leases will impact our results of operations and is affected by economic and competitive conditions in our markets and by the desirability of our individual buildings. Leases that comprise approximately 9.6% of our annualized base rental revenue will expire during the period from October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016, excluding month to month leases. As used herein, “annualized base rental revenue” refers to the contractual monthly base rent as of September 30, 2015 (which differs from rent calculated in accordance with GAAP) multiplied by 12. If a tenant is in a free rent period as of September 30, 2015, the annualized base rental revenue is calculated based on the first contractual monthly base rent amount multiplied by 12. We assume, based upon internal renewal probability estimates that some of our tenants will renew and others will vacate and the associated space will be re-let subject to downtime assumptions. In the vacate and re-let cases, we did not assume that market rents would grow from our current property estimates. Using the aforementioned assumptions, we expect that the rental rates on the respective new leases will generally be lower than the rates under existing leases, thereby resulting in lower revenue from the same space.

The following table sets forth a summary of lease expirations for leases in place as of September 30, 2015, plus available space, for each of the ten calendar years beginning with 2015 and thereafter in our portfolio. The information in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and no early termination rights.

Table of Contents

| Lease Expiration Year | Number of Leases Expiring | Total Rentable Square Feet ⁽¹⁾ | % of Occupied Square Feet | Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue (in thousands) | % of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue | Average Annualized Base Rental Revenue per Expiring Square Foot |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| Available | — | 2,260,983 | — | — | — | — |
| Month-to-month leases | 6 | 444,195 | 0.9 | % \$1,831 | 0.9 | % \$4.12 |
| 2015 | 5 | 875,913 | 1.8 | % 3,553 | 1.8 | % \$4.06 |
| 2016 | 43 | 5,504,500 | 11.0 | % 23,162 | 11.6 | % \$4.21 |
| 2017 | 50 | 7,209,749 | 14.5 | % 29,143 | 14.6 | % \$4.04 |
| 2018 | 54 | 9,340,288 | 18.7 | % 35,522 | 17.8 | % \$3.80 |
| 2019 | 39 | 7,119,053 | 14.3 | % 27,587 | 13.9 | % \$3.88 |
| 2020 | 28 | 5,164,829 | 10.3 | % 20,662 | 10.4 | % \$4.00 |
| 2021 | 26 | 4,493,387 | 9.0 | % 19,026 | 9.6 | % \$4.23 |
| 2022 | 16 | 2,251,264 | 4.5 | % 9,051 | 4.5 | % \$4.02 |
| 2023 | 9 | 2,180,666 | 4.4 | % 8,101 | 4.1 | % \$3.72 |
| 2024 | 7 | 1,423,071 | 2.8 | % 5,665 | 2.8 | % \$3.98 |
| Thereafter | 21 | 3,873,395 | 7.8 | % 15,858 | 8.0 | % \$4.09 |
| Total/weighted average | 304 | 52,141,293 | 100.0 | % \$199,161 | 100.0 | % \$3.99 |

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, we evaluated the rentable square feet in our portfolio, and (1) determined that, in management's opinion, approximately 219,000 square feet is not considered leasable to a third party tenant and therefore is no longer included in rentable square feet.

On December 17, 2014, Bank of America, N.A. signed a lease amendment that renewed 90,051 square feet and terminated 228,928 square feet of their premises effective November 30, 2015. Pursuant to the lease amendment, on or before October 31, 2015, Bank of America, N.A. will pay a termination fee in the amount of \$2.1 million. The termination fee was paid in full on September 23, 2015. This termination fee along with the reimbursement of certain miscellaneous costs per the lease amendment was being recorded on a straight-line basis from December 17, 2014 through the relinquishment of the space on November 30, 2015. On May 18, 2015, Bank of America, N.A. entered into a second lease amendment that accelerated the termination of 35,296 square feet to April 30, 2015, in order for a new tenant to lease the space. The termination fee associated with the 35,295 square feet was recognized through the shortened lease life of April 30, 2015. The remaining termination fee continues to be recorded on a straight-line basis through November 30, 2015.

As of September 30, 2015, for the period October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016, the Bank of America, N.A. lease discussed above is the only one of our top ten leases, based on annualized base rental revenue, that will be expiring.

Portfolio Summary

The characteristics of the properties within our portfolio as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are shown in the table below:

| Portfolio characteristics | September 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Number of Buildings | 281 | 248 |
| Square Feet | 52,141,293 | 47,024,076 |
| Average Building Size (square feet) | 185,556 | 189,613 |
| Average Building Age (years) | 28 | 29 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| Average Minimum and Maximum Clear Height (feet) ⁽¹⁾ | 26-29 | 26-29 |
| Number of Tenants | 256 | 227 |
| Number of Leases | 304 | 261 |
| Average Lease Size (square feet) | 164,080 | 170,890 |

(1) Excludes flex/office buildings

The following table sets forth information relating to diversification by property type in our portfolio based on total annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2015:

Table of Contents

| Building Type | Number of Buildings | Square Feet | Occupancy ⁽¹⁾ | Annualized Base Rental Revenue (in thousands) | % of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| Warehouse/Distribution | 210 | 45,429,632 | 95.7 | % \$168,983 | 84.8 % |
| Light Manufacturing | 50 | 5,562,993 | 98.6 | % 20,672 | 10.4 % |
| Flex/Office | 21 | 1,148,668 | 78.4 | % 9,506 | 4.8 % |
| Total/weighted average | 281 | 52,141,293 | 95.7 | % 199,161 | 100.0 % |

Calculated as the average economic occupancy weighted by each property's rentable square footage. As used (1) herein, economic occupancy includes all square footage where an existing lease is in place whether or not such square footage is physically occupied.

As of September 30, 2015, based upon our tenants' most recently available public filings and our tenants' own internally prepared financial data, our tenants or their parents (including parents not guaranteeing any tenant lease obligations) had the following characteristics based on annualized base rent:

| Credit | September 30, 2015 | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Tenants Publicly Rated | 55.8 | % |
| Tenants Rated Investment Grade | 26.6 | % |
| Tenant Revenue > \$100 Million | 88.6 | % |
| Tenant Revenue > \$1 Billion | 60.6 | % |

Portfolio Growth

The following tables summarize the acquisitions and dispositions during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

| Location of property | Square Feet | Buildings | Purchase Price (in thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Burlington, NJ(1) | 503,490 | 1 | \$34,883 |
| Greenville, SC | 157,500 | 1 | 4,800 |
| North Haven, CT | 824,727 | 3 | 57,400 |
| Three months ended March 31, 2015 | 1,485,717 | 5 | \$97,083 |
| Plymouth, MI | 125,214 | 1 | 6,000 |
| Oakwood Village, OH | 75,000 | 1 | 4,398 |
| Stoughton, MA | 250,213 | 2 | 10,675 |
| Oklahoma City, OK | 223,340 | 1 | 12,135 |
| Clinton, TN(1) | 166,000 | 1 | 5,000 |
| Knoxville, TN | 108,400 | 1 | 4,750 |
| Fairborn, OH | 258,680 | 1 | 9,100 |
| El Paso, TX | 126,456 | 1 | 9,700 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 102,747 | 1 | 9,500 |
| Charlotte, NC | 123,333 | 1 | 7,500 |
| Machesney Park, IL | 80,000 | 1 | 5,050 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2015 | 1,639,383 | 12 | \$83,808 |
| Macedonia, OH | 201,519 | 1 | 12,192 |
| Novi, MI | 125,060 | 1 | 8,716 |
| Grand Junction, CO | 82,800 | 1 | 5,254 |
| Tulsa, OK | 175,000 | 1 | 13,000 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|-----------|
| Chattanooga, TN | 646,200 | 3 | 21,160 |
| Libertyville, IL(1) | 287,102 | 2 | 11,121 |
| Greer, SC | 290,000 | 4 | 9,025 |
| Piedmont, SC | 400,000 | 3 | 12,000 |
| Belvidere, IL | 100,000 | 1 | 5,938 |
| Conyers, GA | 201,403 | 1 | 9,880 |
| Three months ended September 30, 2015 | 2,509,084 | 18 | \$108,286 |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | 5,634,184 | 35 | \$289,177 |

We also acquired a vacant land parcel adjacent to each of the buildings acquired. Subject to receipt of any required (1) governmental permits, these vacant parcels may be used for building expansion or otherwise sold as developable parcels.

Table of Contents

Dispositions

| Property Location | Square Feet | Buildings | Carrying Value | Sales Price | Net Proceeds | Gain (Loss) on Sale |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Hazelwood, MO | 242,630 | 1 | \$4.4 | \$4.4 | \$4.3 | \$(0.1) |
| Round Rock, TX | 79,180 | 1 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 1.8 |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | 321,810 | 2 | \$7.5 | \$9.6 | \$9.2 | \$1.7 |

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, the weighted estimated average capitalization rate for properties acquired was 8.2%. The weighted estimated average capitalization rate was calculated by dividing (i) our estimate of year one stabilized net cash flow from operations, which does not include termination income, miscellaneous other income, credit loss, vacancy loss, or rental concessions, by (ii) the acquisition price, as defined by GAAP. These capitalization rate estimates are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance, which may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties, and factors that are beyond our control, including those risk factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Geographic Diversification

The following table sets forth information relating to geographic diversification by region in our portfolio based on total annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2015:

| Region | Total Number of States | Total Number of Buildings | Region Occupancy | % of Total Leased Square Feet | % of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Midwest | 10 | 115 | 93.8 | % 38.4 | % 38.2 | % |
| East | 13 | 102 | 96.8 | % 36.3 | % 36.9 | % |
| South | 8 | 52 | 97.4 | % 21.2 | % 19.4 | % |
| West | 6 | 12 | 93.6 | % 4.1 | % 5.5 | % |
| Total/weighted average | 37 | 281 | 95.7 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |

The following map indicates the states (shaded blue) in which we own properties as of September 30, 2015:

Building by Market

While we invest in properties in all locations, our proprietary risk assessment model typically identifies the best relative value in primary and secondary markets.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2015, our investments in primary, secondary, and tertiary markets are as follows:

| Market Type ⁽¹⁾ | Number of Buildings | Square Footage | | Occupancy | Annualized Base Rental Revenue | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------|---|
| | | Amount | % | | (in thousands) | % | |
| Primary | 54 | 10,564,464 | 20.3 | % 99.7 | % \$41,692 | 20.9 | % |
| Secondary | 171 | 33,806,950 | 64.8 | % 94.6 | % 129,526 | 65.1 | % |
| Tertiary | 56 | 7,769,879 | 14.9 | % 94.7 | % 27,943 | 14.0 | % |
| Total/weighted average | 281 | 52,141,293 | 100.0 | % 95.7 | % \$199,161 | 100.0 | % |

We define primary markets as the 29 largest industrial metropolitan areas, which each have approximately 200 million or more in net rentable square footage. We define secondary industrial markets as markets with net rentable square footage ranging from 25 million to 200 million. We define tertiary markets as markets with less than 25 million square feet of net rentable square footage.

Industry Diversification

The following table sets forth information relating to tenant diversification by industry in our portfolio based on total annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2015:

| Industry | Total Number of Leases | Total Leased Square Feet | % of Total Leased Square Feet | Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue (in thousands) | % of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Air Freight & Logistics | 38 | 6,057,788 | 12.2 | % \$23,495 | 11.8 | % |
| Automotive | 39 | 5,736,025 | 11.5 | % 23,423 | 11.8 | % |
| Industrial Equipment, Component & Metals | 39 | 5,428,365 | 10.9 | % 22,491 | 11.3 | % |
| Food & Beverages | 24 | 4,780,761 | 9.6 | % 18,508 | 9.3 | % |
| Containers & Packaging | 20 | 4,894,452 | 9.8 | % 18,179 | 9.1 | % |
| Retail | 13 | 3,240,980 | 6.5 | % 11,139 | 5.6 | % |
| Personal Products | 10 | 2,601,482 | 5.2 | % 10,889 | 5.5 | % |
| Household Durables | 11 | 2,882,427 | 5.8 | % 10,160 | 5.1 | % |
| Office Supplies | 11 | 2,514,973 | 5.1 | % 9,621 | 4.8 | % |
| Business Services | 15 | 1,867,622 | 3.7 | % 9,091 | 4.5 | % |
| Healthcare | 16 | 1,892,145 | 3.8 | % 8,945 | 4.5 | % |
| Building Materials | 17 | 2,105,844 | 4.2 | % 8,168 | 4.1 | % |
| Aerospace & Defense | 10 | 1,181,540 | 2.4 | % 5,038 | 2.5 | % |
| Technology | 9 | 1,050,715 | 2.1 | % 3,994 | 2.0 | % |
| Finance | 3 | 396,602 | 0.8 | % 3,500 | 1.8 | % |
| Non-Profit/Government | 6 | 196,413 | 0.4 | % 1,919 | 1.0 | % |
| Media & Entertainment | 4 | 711,061 | 1.4 | % 1,792 | 0.9 | % |
| Education | 4 | 466,653 | 0.9 | % 1,694 | 0.8 | % |
| Recreational Goods | 4 | 306,387 | 0.6 | % 1,402 | 0.7 | % |
| Other | 11 | 1,568,075 | 3.1 | % 5,713 | 2.9 | % |
| Total | 304 | 49,880,310 | 100.0 | % \$199,161 | 100.0 | % |

Top Tenants

Our portfolio has a stable and diversified tenant base. As of September 30, 2015, our properties were approximately 95.7% leased to 256 tenants in a variety of industries, with no single tenant accounting for more than approximately 2.7% and no single industry accounting for more than approximately 11.8% of our total annualized base rental revenue. In addition, as of September 30, 2015 our ten largest tenants account for approximately 15.9% of our annualized based rental revenue. We intend to continue to maintain a diversified mix of tenants to limit our exposure to any single tenant or industry.

The following table sets forth information about the ten largest tenants in our portfolio based on total annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2015:

Table of Contents

| Top Ten Tenants | Number of Leases | Total Leased Square Feet | Annualized Base Rental Revenue (in thousands) | % of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| XPO Logistics Supply Chain Inc. | 4 | 1,157,684 | \$5,298 | 2.7 | % |
| Deckers Outdoor Corporation | 2 | 723,106 | 3,948 | 2.0 | % |
| Solo Cup Company | 1 | 1,035,249 | 3,810 | 1.9 | % |
| Exel Logistics | 4 | 1,094,694 | 3,704 | 1.9 | % |
| International Paper Company | 2 | 573,323 | 3,079 | 1.5 | % |
| Generation Brands, LLC | 1 | 503,490 | 2,634 | 1.3 | % |
| American Tire Distributors Inc. | 4 | 457,980 | 2,351 | 1.2 | % |
| Perrigo Holland | 2 | 669,000 | 2,336 | 1.2 | % |
| Spencer Gifts, LLC | 1 | 491,025 | 2,252 | 1.1 | % |
| Bank of America, N.A. | 1 | 283,684 | 2,192 | 1.1 | % |
| Total | 22 | 6,989,235 | \$31,604 | 15.9 | % |

Top Leases

The following table sets forth information about the ten largest leases in our portfolio based on total annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2015:

| Top Ten Leases | Leased Square Feet | % of Total Leased Square Feet | Annualized Base Rental Revenue (in thousands) | % of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenue | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Solo Cup Company | 1,035,249 | 2.1 | % \$3,810 | 1.9 | % |
| XPO Logistics Supply Chain Inc. | 528,997 | 1.1 | % 2,777 | 1.4 | % |
| Generation Brands, LLC | 503,490 | 1.0 | % 2,634 | 1.3 | % |
| International Paper Company | 465,323 | 0.9 | % 2,517 | 1.3 | % |
| Deckers Outdoor Corporation | 423,106 | 0.8 | % 2,310 | 1.2 | % |
| Spencer Gifts, LLC | 491,025 | 1.0 | % 2,252 | 1.1 | % |
| Bank of America, N.A. | 283,684 | 0.6 | % 2,192 | 1.1 | % |
| Closetmaid Corporation | 619,466 | 1.2 | % 2,053 | 1.0 | % |
| CareFusion 213, LLC | 360,134 | 0.7 | % 1,956 | 1.0 | % |
| Archway Marketing Serv., Inc. | 386,724 | 0.8 | % 1,858 | 0.9 | % |
| Total | 5,097,198 | 10.2 | % \$24,359 | 12.2 | % |

Tenant Retention

Our direct relationships with our tenants and our in-house expertise in leasing, asset management, engineering, and credit help us to manage all operational aspects of our portfolio, maintain occupancy, and increase rental rates. The following table provides a summary of our historical retention since our initial public offering:

| Historical Retention | Retention ⁽¹⁾ % | Expiring Square Feet | Renewal Square Feet ⁽²⁾ | Cash Rollover Rent Change | GAAP Rollover Rent Change | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| April 20, 2011 to December 31, 2011 | 73.9 | % 514,476 | 380,136 | 1.0 | % 1.9 | % |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | 82.0 | % 2,152,085 | 1,765,353 | (0.9 |)% 1.2 | % |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|---|------------|-----------|-----|---|-----|---|
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | 58.5 | % | 2,811,984 | 1,646,283 | 3.6 | % | 6.7 | % |
| Year ended December 31, 2014 | 69.7 | % | 3,467,769 | 2,418,076 | 5.1 | % | 9.4 | % |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | 73.8 | % | 4,132,356 | 3,048,240 | 4.6 | % | 8.9 | % |
| Total/weighted average | 70.8 | % | 13,078,670 | 9,258,088 | 3.1 | % | 6.4 | % |

(1) Retention is the square footage of expiring leases in the period that execute a lease renewal for a term greater than one year.

(2) Represents leases in which revenue recognition commenced during the period.

Results of Operations

Our results of operations are largely driven by our levels of occupancy as well as the rental rates we receive from tenants. Because we generally acquire assets that are 100% occupied and market occupancy is generally between 90% and 95%, we expect our assets to trend to market-based levels of occupancy over time. This trend puts negative, but anticipated, pressure on our same store

Table of Contents

(as defined below) results. From a rental rate standpoint, we have historically achieved overall rental increases in our tenant rollovers on a cash basis and GAAP basis.

The following discussion of our results of our same store net operating income (“NOI”) should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying footnotes. For a detailed discussion of NOI, including the reasons management believes NOI is useful to investors, see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below. We consider our same store portfolio to consist of only those buildings owned and operated at the beginning and at the end of both of the applicable periods presented. Same store results are considered to be useful to investors in evaluating our performance because they provide information relating to changes in building-level operating performance without taking into account the effects of acquisitions or dispositions. However, because we have generally acquired 100% occupied properties and grown the portfolio significantly every year since our initial public offering, our same store results do not represent a market portfolio with market occupancy. Because we have above market occupancy, our same store results may look unfavorable at times as we trend to market levels. We encourage the reader to not only look at our same store results, but also our total portfolio results, due to historic and future growth.

Comparison of three months ended September 30, 2015 to the three months ended September 30, 2014

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2015 and 2014 periods as described below. On July 1, 2014, we owned 221 buildings, and subsequent to July 1, 2014, we sold five buildings for which the results of operations are included in dispositions in the table below are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 216 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio (“three month same store”) in the analysis below. The three month same store occupancy decreased 0.7% to 94.8% as of September 30, 2015 compared to 95.5% as of September 30, 2014. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 65 buildings acquired after July 1, 2014.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our three month same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 (dollars in thousands). This table includes a reconciliation from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 with respect to the buildings acquired and disposed of after July 1, 2014.

Table of Contents

| | Same Store Portfolio | | | | Acquisitions/Dispositions | | | | Total Portfolio | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|--|
| | Three months ended September 30, | | Change | | Three months ended September 30, | | Three months ended September 30, | | Three months ended September 30, | | Change | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | \$ | % | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | \$ | % | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rental income | \$36,256 | \$35,883 | \$373 | 1.0 % | \$11,390 | \$891 | \$85 | \$— | \$47,731 | \$36,774 | \$10,957 | 29.8 % | |
| Tenant recoveries | 5,726 | 5,230 | \$496 | 9.5 % | 2,337 | 169 | \$— | \$— | \$8,063 | \$5,399 | \$2,664 | 49.3 % | |
| Other income | 25 | 41 | \$(16) | (39.0)% | 15 | 1 | \$87 | \$143 | \$127 | \$185 | \$(58) | (31.4)% | |
| Total operating revenue | 42,007 | 41,154 | 853 | 2.1 % | 13,742 | 1,061 | | | 55,921 | 42,358 | 13,563 | 32.0 % | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 8,505 | 7,348 | 1,157 | 15.7 % | 2,444 | 346 | \$— | \$— | 10,949 | 7,694 | 3,255 | 42.3 % | |
| Net operating income | \$33,502 | \$33,806 | \$(304) | (0.9)% | \$11,298 | \$715 | | | \$44,972 ⁽²⁾ | \$34,664 ⁽²⁾ | \$10,308 | 29.7 % | |
| Other expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General and administrative | | | | | | | | | 6,429 | 5,704 | 725 | 12.7 % | |
| Property acquisition costs | | | | | | | | | 1,006 | 2,190 | (1,184) | (54.1)% | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | | | 28,656 | 21,983 | 6,673 | 30.4 % | |
| Loss on impairments | | | | | | | | | 5,733 | — | 5,733 | 100.0 % | |
| Other expenses | | | | | | | | | 226 | 181 | 45 | 24.9 % | |
| Total other expenses | | | | | | | | | 42,050 | 30,058 | 11,992 | 39.9 % | |
| Total expenses | | | | | | | | | 52,999 | 37,752 | 15,247 | 40.4 % | |
| Other income (expense) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | | | | | | | | 2 | 3 | (1) | (33.3)% | |
| Interest expense | | | | | | | | | (9,317) | (6,462) | (2,855) | 44.2 % | |
| Gain on sales of rental property | | | | | | | | | 1,713 | 2,104 | (391) | (18.6)% | |
| Total other income (expense) | | | | | | | | | (7,602) | (4,355) | (3,247) | 74.6 % | |
| Net income (loss) | | | | | | | | | \$(4,680) | \$251 | \$(4,931) | (1,964.5)% | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (359) | (90) | (269) | 298.9 % |
| Net income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$ (4,321) | \$ 341 | \$ (4,662) | (1,367.2)% |

(1) Includes corporate sublease rental income and asset management fee income, which is separated for purposes of calculating NOI.

(2) NOI for the combined same store portfolio and acquisitions and dispositions for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 was \$44.8 million and \$34.5 million, respectively. For a detailed discussion of NOI, including the reasons management believes NOI is useful to investors, see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below.

Table of Contents

Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of (i) rental income and lease termination fees from our properties, and (ii) tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses (“tenant recoveries”).

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent and above and below market lease amortization increased by \$0.4 million or 1.0% to \$36.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$35.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. Approximately \$0.9 million of the increase was attributable to rental increases due to new leases, and renewals and expansions of existing tenants, and \$0.5 million related to termination income recognized at one of the properties. These increases were partially offset by an approximately \$0.6 million decrease due to a reduction of base rent due to tenants downsizing their spaces and vacancies. Same store rental income also decreased \$0.4 million related to an increase in amortization of net above market leases.

Same store tenant recoveries increased by \$0.5 million or 9.5% to \$5.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$5.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The increase is primarily due to increases in occupancy resulting in an increase in recoveries of \$0.3 million, as well as a \$0.6 million increase at two of our buildings where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of tenants who previously paid its taxes directly to the taxing authority and the tenants now reimburse us. These increases are partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$0.2 million due to reduced real estate tax recoveries as the related real estate taxes were reduced or abated by the taxing authority, and \$0.2 million due to tenants downsizing their spaces and vacancies.

Same store other income decreased by \$16,000 or 39.0% to \$25,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$41,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Same Store Operating Expenses

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$1.2 million or 15.7% to \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$7.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$0.6 million dollar increase in real estate tax expense at two buildings where we began paying real estate taxes on behalf of tenants where the tenant had previously been paying the taxes directly to the taxing authority. Same store expense also increased \$0.2 million due to real estate taxes for vacancies, \$0.5 million due to increases in real estate taxes levied by the taxing authority, and \$0.2 million for increased utility usage and other repairs and maintenance costs at multiple properties. These increases are partially offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million due to real estate taxes that were reduced or abated by the taxing authority.

Acquisitions and Dispositions Net Operating Income

The following table provides a summary of the impact on NOI as it relates to the 65 buildings acquired and the five buildings sold after July 1, 2014:

Table of Contents

| Acquisitions/Dispositions | Square Feet | Buildings | Purchase/Sale Price (in millions) | Occupancy | Contribution to NOI (in thousands) | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | 2015 | 2014 |
| Three months ended September 30, | | | | | | |
| Acquired during the quarter ended | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2015 | 2,509,084 | 18 | \$108.3 | 100.0 | % \$1,194 | \$— |
| June 30, 2015 | 1,639,383 | 12 | \$83.8 | 94.0 | % 1,617 | — |
| March 31, 2015 | 1,485,717 | 5 | \$97.1 | 100.0 | % 1,966 | — |
| December 31, 2014 | 2,730,940 | 12 | \$135.5 | 100.0 | % 2,931 | — |
| September 30, 2014 | 3,469,080 | 18 | \$171.6 | 96.5 | % 3,611 | 537 |
| Disposed during the quarter ended | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2015 | 321,810 | 2 | \$9.6 | 6.2 | % (21 |) (82 |
| December 31, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | 236,230 | 2 | \$5.9 | 62.8 | % — | 174 |
| September 30, 2014 | 181,838 | 1 | \$7.1 | 100.0 | % — | 86 |
| Total | | | | | | \$11,298 |
| | | | | | | \$715 |

On October 29, 2014, we entered into a lease termination agreement with the tenant located at the 148,298 square (1) foot building in Tavares, FL. The agreement provided that the tenant's lease termination was contingent upon the sale of the building. Therefore we consider the property to be 100% occupied at the time of sale.

Total Other Expenses

Total other expenses consist of general and administrative expense, property acquisition costs, depreciation and amortization, and loss on impairments.

Total other expenses increased \$12.0 million or 39.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2015 to \$42.1 million compared to \$30.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily related to an increase of \$6.7 million in depreciation and amortization as a result of the buildings acquired which increased the depreciable asset base. The increase is also attributable to a \$5.7 million impairment loss recorded at three of the properties (as discussed in Note 3 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Approximately \$0.7 million of the increase relates to an increase in general and administrative expenses primarily related to non-cash compensation expense, specifically related to the 2015 equity grants for employees and independent directors, and other costs attributable to an increased number of employees. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of property acquisition costs of \$1.2 million due to a lower cost per acquisition for the three months ended September 30, 2015 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Total Other Income (Expense)

Total other income (expense) consists of interest income, interest expense, and gain on sales of rental property. Interest expense includes interest incurred during the period as well as adjustments related to amortization of financing fees and amortization of fair market value adjustments associated with the assumption of debt.

Total other expense increased \$3.2 million or 74.6% to \$7.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$4.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$2.9 million increase in interest expense related to the increase in total average debt outstanding of \$812.6 million for

the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$618.5 million total average debt outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as well as an increase in the weighted average interest rate. This increase was primarily a result of the issuance of the unsecured notes (as discussed in “Indebtedness Outstanding” below) between October 1, 2014 and February 20, 2015.

Comparison of nine months ended September 30, 2015 to the nine months ended September 30, 2014

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2015 and 2014 periods as described below. On January 1, 2014, we owned 209 buildings, and subsequent to January 1, 2014, we sold six buildings for which the results of operations are included in dispositions in the table below are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 203 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio (“nine month same store”) in the analysis below. The nine month same store occupancy decreased 0.8% to 94.3% as of September 30, 2015 compared to 95.1% as of September 30, 2014. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 78 buildings acquired after January 1, 2014.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our nine month same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 (dollars in thousands). This table includes a reconciliation

Table of Contents

from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 with respect to the buildings acquired and disposed of after January 1, 2014.

43

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | Same Store Portfolio | | | | Acquisitions/Dispositions | | | | Total Portfolio | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------|
| | Nine months ended September 30, | | Change | | Nine months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | | Change | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | \$ | % | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | \$ | % |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rental income | \$100,337 | \$99,819 | \$518 | 0.5 % | \$35,677 | \$6,276 | \$187 | \$— | \$136,201 | \$106,095 | \$30,106 | 28.4 |
| Tenant recoveries | 15,677 | 15,938 | (261) | (1.6)% | 7,458 | 1,156 | \$— | \$— | 23,135 | \$17,094 | 6,041 | 35.3 |
| Other income | 84 | 102 | (18) | (17.6)% | 24 | 29 | \$302 | \$463 | 410 | \$594 | (184) | (31.0) |
| Total operating revenue | 116,098 | 115,859 | 239 | 0.2 % | 43,159 | 7,461 | | | 159,746 | 123,783 | 35,963 | 29.1 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 23,266 | 22,550 | 716 | 3.2 % | 7,999 | 1,735 | \$— | \$— | 31,265 | 24,285 | 6,980 | 28.7 |
| Net operating income | \$92,832 | \$93,309 | \$(477) | (0.5)% | \$35,160 | \$5,726 | | | \$128,481 ⁽²⁾ | \$99,498 ⁽²⁾ | \$28,983 | 29.1 |
| Other expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General and administrative | | | | | | | | | 21,453 | 19,462 | 1,991 | 10.2 |
| Property acquisition costs | | | | | | | | | 2,511 | 3,437 | (926) | (26.9) |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | | | 82,042 | 62,606 | 19,436 | 31.0 |
| Loss on impairments | | | | | | | | | 8,378 | — | 8,378 | 100.0 |
| Other expenses | | | | | | | | | 892 | 611 | 281 | 46.0 |
| Total other expenses | | | | | | | | | 115,276 | 86,116 | 29,160 | 33.9 |
| Total expenses | | | | | | | | | 146,541 | 110,401 | 36,140 | 32.7 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | | | | | | | | 7 | 11 | (4) | (36.4) |
| Interest expense | | | | | | | | | (26,260) | (17,941) | (8,319) | 46.4 |
| Gain on sales of rental property | | | | | | | | | 1,713 | 2,153 | (440) | (20.4) |
| Total other income (expense) | | | | | | | | | (24,540) | (15,777) | (8,763) | 55.5 |
| Net loss | | | | | | | | | \$(11,335) | \$(2,395) | \$(8,940) | 373.3 |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | | | | | | | | | (951) | (784) | (167) | 21.3 |
| Net loss attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | | | | | | | | | \$(10,384) | \$(1,611) | \$(8,773) | 544.6 |

(1) Includes corporate sublease rental income and asset management fee income, which is separated for purposes of calculating NOI.

(2) NOI for the combined same store portfolio and acquisitions and dispositions for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 was \$128.0 million and \$99.0 million, respectively. For a detailed discussion of NOI, including the reasons management believes NOI is useful to investors, see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below.

Table of Contents

Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of (i) rental income and lease termination fees from our properties, and (ii) tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses (“tenant recoveries”).

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent and above and below market lease amortization increased by \$0.5 million or 0.5% to \$100.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$99.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Approximately \$2.0 million of the increase was attributable to rental increases due to new leases, and renewals and expansions of existing tenants, and \$1.7 million related to termination income recognized at one of the properties. These increases were partially offset by an approximately \$1.9 million decrease due to a reduction of base rent due to tenants downsizing their spaces and vacancies. Same store rental income also decreased \$1.3 million related to an increase in amortization of net above market leases.

Same store tenant recoveries decreased by \$0.3 million or 1.6% to \$15.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$15.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The decrease was primarily attributable to one building where during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the tenant’s lease terms changed and we began paying real estate taxes for the tenant who had previously been paying the expense to taxing authority directly. The real estate taxes were payable in arrears, and as such the expense recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 include 21 months of real estate taxes, which attributes to approximately \$0.6 million of the decrease in recoveries during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, in which nine months of real estate tax recoveries are recorded. Approximately \$0.4 million of the decrease is attributable to vacancies, where the tenants had previously been reimbursing us for the related expenses. Approximately \$0.3 million of the decrease related to a property where the tenant reimbursed us for deferred repair and maintenance that was necessary upon vacating the space at lease expiration for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, which did not recur during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Approximately \$0.2 million of the decrease in tenant recoveries related to reduced real estate tax recoveries as the related real estate taxes were reduced or abated by the taxing authority. These decreases were partially offset due to increases in occupancies resulting in an increase in recoveries of \$0.4 million, as well as a \$0.6 million increase at several of our buildings where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of tenants that had previously paid its taxes directly to the taxing authority or where those buildings had previously been vacant. Additionally, there was a \$0.2 million increase in tenant recoveries related to increases of real estate taxes levied by the related taxing authority.

Same store other income decreased by \$18,000 or 17.6% to \$84,000 or the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$102,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014

Same Store Operating Expenses

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$0.7 million or 3.2% to \$23.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$22.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to an increase of \$1.1 million in real estate taxes due to vacancies or to changes in lease terms where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of a tenant that previously paid its taxes directly. Same store expenses increased by \$0.6 million due to increased occupancy and increased utility usage and other repairs and maintenance costs at multiple properties, and \$0.2 million due to an increase of real estate taxes levied by the related taxing authority. Same store expenses also increased by \$0.2 million for bad debt expense and other related expenses recognized for two of our tenants. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million that is primarily attributable to one building where during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the tenant’s lease terms changed

and we began paying real estate taxes for the tenant who had previously been paying the expense to taxing authority directly. The real estate taxes were payable in arrears, and as such the expense recorded by us for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 include 21 months of real estate taxes, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015, in which nine months of real estate taxes are recorded. Approximately \$0.3 million of the decrease in tenant recoverable expenses related to changes in lease terms where tenants began paying expenses directly to third parties; therefore, the expenses and related recoveries are no longer recognized by us. Approximately \$0.3 million of the decrease related to a property where we performed deferred repair and maintenance for a tenant that was necessary upon vacating the space at lease expiration for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, which did not recur during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Same store expenses also decreased by \$0.2 million due to real estate taxes that were reduced or abated by the taxing authority.

Acquisitions and Dispositions Net Operating Income

The following table provides a summary of the impact on NOI as it relates to the 78 buildings acquired and the six buildings sold after January 1, 2014:

45

Table of Contents

| Acquisitions/Dispositions | Square Feet | Buildings | Purchase/Sale Price (in millions) | Occupancy | Contribution to NOI (in thousands) | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Contributed during the quarter ended | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2015 | 2,509,084 | 18 | \$ 108.3 | 100.0 | % \$ 1,194 | \$— | |
| June 30, 2015 | 1,639,383 | 12 | \$ 83.8 | 94.0 | % 2,278 | — | |
| March 31, 2015 | 1,485,717 | 5 | \$ 97.1 | 100.0 | % 4,595 | — | |
| December 31, 2014 | 2,730,940 | 12 | \$ 135.5 | 100.0 | % 8,748 | — | |
| September 30, 2014 | 3,469,080 | 18 | \$ 171.6 | 96.5 | % 10,642 | 537 | |
| June 30, 2014 | 2,130,958 | 9 | \$ 81.0 | 100.0 | % 5,233 | 2,584 | |
| March 31, 2014 | 975,275 | 4 | \$ 36.9 | 100.0 | % 2,660 | 1,998 | |
| Disposed during the quarter ended | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2015 | 321,810 | 2 | \$ 9.6 | 6.2 | % (190 |) (287 | |
| December 31, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | 236,230 | 2 | \$ 5.9 | 62.8 | % — | 511 | |
| September 30, 2014 | 181,838 | 1 | \$ 7.1 | 100.0 | % — | 375 | |
| March 31, 2014 | 15,085 | 1 | \$ 0.5 | 100.0 | % — | 8 | |
| Total | | | | | | \$ 35,160 | \$ 5,726 |

On October 29, 2014, we entered into a lease termination agreement with the tenant located at the 148,298 square (1)foot building in Tavares, FL. The agreement provided that the tenant's lease termination was contingent upon the sale of the building. Therefore we consider the property to be 100% occupied at the time of sale.

Total Other Expenses

Total other expenses consist of general and administrative expense, property acquisition costs, depreciation and amortization, and loss on impairment.

Total other expenses increased \$29.2 million or 33.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 to \$115.3 million compared to \$86.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily related to an increase of \$19.4 million in depreciation and amortization as a result of the buildings acquired which increased the depreciable asset base. The increase was also attributable to a \$8.4 million impairment loss recorded on four properties (as discussed in Note 3 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Approximately \$2.0 million of the increase relates to an increase in general and administrative expenses primarily related to non-cash compensation expense, specifically related to the 2015 equity grants for employees and independent directors, and other costs attributable to an increased number of employees. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in property acquisition costs of \$0.9 million due to four less acquisitions and a lower cost per acquisition for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Total Other Income (Expense)

Total other income (expense) consists of interest income, interest expense and gain on the sales of real estate. Interest expense includes interest incurred during the period as well as adjustments related to amortization of financing fees

and amortization of fair market value adjustments associated with the assumption of debt.

Total other expense increased \$8.8 million or 55.5% to \$24.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$15.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to an 8.3 million increase in interest expense related to the increase in total average debt outstanding of \$764.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$584.9 million total average debt outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as well as an increase in the weighted average interest rate. This increase was primarily a result of the issuance of the unsecured notes (as discussed in “Indebtedness Outstanding” below) between July 1, 2014 and February 20, 2015.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In this report, we disclose and discuss funds from operations (“FFO”) and NOI, which meet the definition of “non-GAAP financial measures” as set forth in Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. As a result, we are required to include in this report a statement of why management believes that presentation of these measures provides useful information to investors.

Table of Contents

Funds From Operations

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our performance, and we believe that to understand our performance further, FFO should be compared with our reported net income or net loss in accordance with GAAP, as presented in our consolidated financial statements included in this report.

We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”). FFO represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating buildings, impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs and fair market value of debt adjustment) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because it is a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs. FFO may be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our buildings that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our buildings, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. In addition, other REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs’ FFO. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, and is not indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our FFO attributable to common stockholders and unit holders for the periods presented to net loss, the nearest GAAP equivalent (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, 2015 | | Nine months ended September 30, 2014 | |
|--|---|-----------|--|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (4,680) |) \$ 251 | \$ (11,335) |) \$ (2,395) |
| Rental property depreciation and amortization | 28,610 | 21,900 | 81,910 | 62,444 |
| Loss on impairments | 5,733 | — | 8,378 | — |
| Gain on sales of rental property | (1,713) |) (2,104) |) (1,713) |) (2,153) |
| FFO | \$ 27,950 | \$ 20,047 | \$ 77,240 | \$ 57,896 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (2,712) |) (2,712) |) (8,136) |) (8,136) |
| Amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | (95) |) (87) |) (291) |) (258) |
| FFO attributable to common stockholders and unit holders | \$ 25,143 | \$ 17,248 | \$ 68,813 | \$ 49,502 |

Net Operating Income

We consider NOI to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure to net income because we believe it helps investors and management understand the core operations of our buildings. NOI is defined as rental revenue, including reimbursements, less property expenses and real estate taxes and insurance. NOI should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our financial performance since it excludes expenses which could materially impact our

results of operations. Further, our NOI may not be comparable to that of other real estate companies, as they may use different methodologies for calculating NOI.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our NOI for the periods presented to net loss, the nearest GAAP equivalent (in thousands):

47

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(4,680) |) \$251 | \$(11,335) |) \$(2,395) |
| Asset management fee income | (87) |) (143) |) (302) |) (463) |
| General and administrative | 6,429 | 5,704 | 21,453 | 19,462 |
| Property acquisition costs | 1,006 | 2,190 | 2,511 | 3,437 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,656 | 21,983 | 82,042 | 62,606 |
| Loss on impairments | 5,733 | — | 8,378 | — |
| Other expenses | 226 | 181 | 892 | 611 |
| Interest income | (2) |) (3) |) (7) |) (11) |
| Interest expense | 9,317 | 6,462 | 26,260 | 17,941 |
| Gain on sales of rental property | (1,713) |) (2,104) |) (1,713) |) (2,153) |
| Corporate sublease rental income | (85) |) — |) (187) |) — |
| Net operating income | \$44,800 | \$34,521 | \$127,992 | \$99,035 |

Cash Flows

Comparison of the nine months ended September 30, 2015 to the nine months ended September 30, 2014

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

| | Nine months ended September 30, | | Change | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|--------|----|
| | 2015 | 2014 | \$ | % | % |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$90,057 | \$66,397 | \$23,660 | 35.6 | % |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$244,859 | \$288,208 | \$(43,349) | (15.0) |)% |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$143,420 | \$220,411 | \$(76,991) | (34.9) |)% |

Net cash provided by operating activities. Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$23.7 million to \$90.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$66.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to incremental operating cash flows from property acquisitions completed after September 30, 2014, and operating performance at existing properties. These increases were partially offset by the loss of cash flows from property dispositions that occurred in 2014 and during the nine months ended September 30, 2015, fluctuations in working capital due to timing of payments and rental receipts, and a higher cash interest paid due to an increase in our total average indebtedness outstanding and weighted average interest rate.

Net cash used in investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$43.3 million to \$244.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$288.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The change is primarily attributable to the acquisition of 35 properties for a total cash consideration of \$244.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the acquisition of 31 properties for a total cash consideration of \$289.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Net cash provided by financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$77.0 million to \$143.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$220.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. This is primarily due to a decrease in proceeds of sale of common stock of \$89.2 million, a decrease of \$50.0 million of proceeds from our unsecured term loans, and an increase in dividends and distributions paid of \$17.9 million as a result of the increased number of shares and units outstanding as well as a \$0.065 increase

in the dividend paid per share during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Additionally, repayments of mortgage notes increased by \$12.0 million primarily as a result of the immediate repayment of the mortgage note payable that was assumed in connection with the acquisition of the Burlington, NJ property. These decreases were offset by an increase of proceeds of \$70 million from our unsecured notes as a result of the issuance of the \$100 million 4.32% Series D 10-year unsecured notes and the \$20 million 4.42% Series E 12-year unsecured notes on February 20, 2015, as well as decrease of \$21.3 million of net cash outflow from our unsecured credit facility.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that our liquidity needs will be satisfied through cash flows generated by operations, disposition proceeds, and financing activities. Operating cash flow is primarily rental revenue, expense recoveries from tenants, and other income from operations and is our principal source of funds that we use to pay operating expenses, debt service, recurring capital expenditures and the distributions required to maintain our REIT qualification. We look to the capital markets (new equity, debt and, potentially in the

Table of Contents

future, hybrid instruments) to fund our acquisition activity. We seek to increase cash flows from our properties by maintaining quality standards for our buildings that promote high occupancy rates and permit increases in rental rates while reducing tenant turnover and controlling operating expenses. We believe that our revenue, together with proceeds from building sales and debt and equity financings, will continue to provide funds for our short-term and medium-term liquidity needs.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our buildings, including interest expense, interest rate swap payments, scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, funding of property acquisitions under contract, general and administrative expenses, and capital expenditures for tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

Our long-term liquidity needs, in addition to recurring short-term liquidity needs as discussed above, consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for acquisitions, non-recurring capital expenditures, and scheduled debt maturities. We intend to satisfy our long-term liquidity needs through cash flow from operations, the issuance of equity or debt securities, other borrowings, property dispositions, or, in connection with acquisitions of certain additional buildings, the issuance of common units in the Operating Partnership.

As of September 30, 2015, we had total immediate liquidity of \$479.3 million, comprised of \$12.5 million of cash and \$466.8 million of immediate availability on our unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans. This liquidity is sufficient to address our remaining anticipated 2015 acquisitions.

In addition, we require funds for future dividends and distributions to be paid to our common and preferred stockholders and common unit holders in our Operating Partnership. The table below sets forth the dividends and distributions on our common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. These distributions on our common stock are voluntary (at the discretion of our board of directors), to the extent we have satisfied distribution requirements in order to maintain our REIT status for federal income tax purposes, and may be reduced or stopped if needed to fund other liquidity requirements or for other reasons.

| Month Ended 2015 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Payment Date |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| September 30 | May 4, 2015 | \$0.1150 | October 15, 2015 |
| August 31 | May 4, 2015 | 0.1150 | September 15, 2015 |
| July 31 | May 4, 2015 | 0.1150 | August 17, 2015 |
| June 30 | February 20, 2015 | 0.1125 | July 15, 2015 |
| May 31 | February 20, 2015 | 0.1125 | June 15, 2015 |
| April 30 | February 20, 2015 | 0.1125 | May 15, 2015 |
| March 31 | October 30, 2014 | 0.1125 | April 15, 2015 |
| February 28 | October 30, 2014 | 0.1125 | March 16, 2015 |
| January 31 | October 30, 2014 | 0.1125 | February 17, 2015 |
| Total | | \$1.0200 | |

On July 21, 2015, our board of directors declared the common stock dividend for the months ending October 31, 2015, November 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015 at a monthly rate of \$0.1150 per share of common stock. On

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

October 22, 2015, our board of directors declared the common stock dividend for the months ending January 31, 2016, February 29, 2016 and March 31, 2016 at a monthly rate of \$0.115833 per share of common stock.

We pay quarterly cumulative dividends on the 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “Series A Preferred Stock”) at a rate of 9.0% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$2.25 per share). We also pay quarterly cumulative dividends on the 6.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “Series B Preferred Stock”) at a rate of 6.625% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$1.65625 per share). The table below sets forth the dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B Preferred Stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

| Quarter Ended 2015 | Declaration Date | Series A Preferred Stock Per Share | Series B Preferred Stock Per Share | Payment Date |
|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| September 30 | July 21, 2015 | \$ 0.5625 | \$ 0.4140625 | September 30, 2015 |
| June 30 | May 4, 2015 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | June 30, 2015 |
| March 31 | February 20, 2015 | 0.5625 | 0.4140625 | March 31, 2015 |
| Total | | \$ 1.6875 | \$ 1.2421875 | |

Table of Contents

On October 22, 2015, our board of directors declared the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B Preferred Stock dividend for the quarter ending December 31, 2015 at a quarterly rate of \$0.5625 per share and \$0.4140625 per share of preferred stock, respectively.

Indebtedness Outstanding

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the indebtedness outstanding as of September 30, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| Loan | Principal outstanding as of September 30, 2015 | Interest Rate(2) | Current Maturity | Pre-payment Terms(3) |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Unsecured credit facility: | | | | |
| \$450 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Credit Facility(1) | \$ 177,750 | L + 1.15% | Dec-18-2019 | i |
| Total unsecured credit facility | \$ 177,750 | | | |
| Unsecured term loans: | | | | |
| \$150 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A | \$ 150,000 | L + 1.65% | Mar-31-2022 | ii |
| \$150 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B | — | L + 1.70% | Mar-21-2021 | ii |
| \$150 Million Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C | — | L + 1.30% | Sep-29-2020 | i |
| Total unsecured term loans | \$ 150,000 | | | |
| Unsecured notes: | | | | |
| \$50 Million Series A Unsecured Notes | \$ 50,000 | 4.98 | % Oct-1-2024 | ii |
| \$50 Million Series B Unsecured Notes | 50,000 | 4.98 | % Jul-1-2026 | ii |
| \$80 Million Series C Unsecured Notes | 80,000 | 4.42 | % Dec-30-2026 | ii |
| \$100 Million Series D Unsecured Notes | 100,000 | 4.32 | % Feb-20-2025 | ii |
| \$20 Million Series E Unsecured Notes | 20,000 | 4.42 | % Feb-20-2027 | ii |
| Total unsecured notes | \$ 300,000 | | | |
| Mortgage notes (secured debt): | | | | |
| Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | \$ 3,285 | (4) 6.05 | % Jun-1-2016 | iii |
| Webster Bank, National Association | 5,555 | 4.22 | % Aug-4-2016 | iii |
| National Life Insurance Company | 4,817 | (4) 5.75 | % Aug-10-2016 | iii |
| Union Fidelity Life Insurance Company | 5,843 | (4) 5.81 | % Apr-30-2017 | iv |
| Principal Life Insurance Company | 5,705 | (4) 5.73 | % May-05-2017 | iii |
| Webster Bank, National Association | 2,968 | 3.66 | % May-29-2017 | iii |
| Webster Bank, National Association | 3,197 | 3.64 | % May-31-2017 | iii |
| Wells Fargo, National Association | 4,131 | (4) 5.90 | % Aug-1-2017 | v |
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company -1 Facility | 57,396 | 6.50 | % Feb-1-2018 | vi |
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company -2 Facility | 58,335 | 5.75 | % Feb-1-2018 | vi |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------|---|------------|-----|
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company -3 Facility | 16,464 | 5.88 | % | Feb-1-2018 | vi |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association CMBS Loan | 64,315 | 4.31 | % | Dec-1-2022 | vii |
| Total mortgage notes | \$232,011 | | | | |
| Total unamortized fair market value premiums | 557 | (5) | | | |
| Total carrying value mortgage notes | \$232,568 | | | | |
| Total / weighted average interest rate(6) | \$860,318 | 4.09 | % | | |

(1) On September 29, 2015, the capacity of the unsecured credit facility was increased from \$300.0 million to \$450.0 million.

(2) Current interest rate as of September 30, 2015. At September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the one-month LIBOR (“L”) was 0.19300% and 0.17125%, respectively. The current interest rate presented in the table above are not adjusted to include the amortization of deferred financing fees incurred in obtaining debt or the unamortized fair market value premium.

(3) Prepayment terms consist of (i) pre-payable with no penalty; (ii) pre-payable with penalty; (iii) pre-payable without penalty three months prior to the maturity date; (iv) pre-payable without penalty two months prior to the maturity date; (v) pre-payable without penalty three months prior to the maturity date, however can be defeased; (vi) pre-payable without penalty six months prior to the maturity date; and (vii) pre-payable without penalty three months prior to the maturity date, however can be defeased beginning January 1, 2016.

(4) The principal outstanding does not include an unamortized fair market value premium.

(5) Represents total unamortized fair market value premium for the mortgage notes referenced by Note (4) above.

Table of Contents

The weighted average interest rate was calculated using the fixed interest rate swapped on the current notional (6) amount of \$300 million of debt, and is not adjusted to include the amortization of deferred financing fees incurred in obtaining debt or the unamortized fair market value premiums.

The aggregate undrawn nominal commitments on the combined unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans as of September 30, 2015 was \$572.3 million. Our actual borrowing capacity at any given point in time may be less and is restricted to a maximum amount based on the Company's unencumbered assets.

On January 22, 2015, we assumed a mortgage note of approximately \$11.8 million in connection with the acquisition of the Burlington, NJ property. The mortgage note was paid in full immediately subsequent to the acquisition.

On February 20, 2015, we issued \$100 million of our 4.32% Series D 10-year unsecured notes and \$20 million of our 4.42% Series E 12-year unsecured notes.

On June 25, 2015, we assumed a mortgage note with National Life Insurance Company of approximately \$4.9 million in connection with the acquisition of the property located in Charlotte, NC, which serves as collateral for the debt. The debt matures on August 10, 2016 and bears interest at 5.75% per annum.

On September 29, 2015, we assumed a mortgage note with Principal Life Insurance Company of approximately \$5.7 million in connection with the acquisition of the property located in Conyers, GA, which serves as collateral for the debt. The debt matures on May 5, 2017 and bears interest at 5.73% per annum.

On September 29, 2015, we entered into an amendment to the unsecured credit facility to increase the capacity thereunder to \$450 million. Additionally, the accordion feature that allows us to request an increase in the aggregate commitments subject to satisfaction of conditions and lender consent was increased, such that if the accordion was exercised in full, total capacity would be \$800.0 million. The material terms of the agreement, including the financial covenants, are unchanged.

On September 29, 2015, we closed a \$150.0 million unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo, N.A. ("Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C") with the following terms:

| | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Applicable Terms | Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C |
| Maturity Date: | Sep-29-2020 |
| Eurodollar Rate ⁽¹⁾ : | L + 130.0 bps - 190.0 bps |
| Base Rate ⁽¹⁾ : | Base rate + 30.0 bps - 90.0 bps |
| Terminated Commitments Fees ⁽²⁾ : | 50.0 bps |
| Unused Fees ⁽³⁾ : | 17.5 bps |
| Annual Fee: | \$50,000 |

(1) The spread over the applicable rate is based on our consolidated leverage ratio, as defined in the loan agreement.

(2) We have until September 29, 2016 to draw the full \$150.0 million.

(3) The unused fees will begin to accrue on November 29, 2015 and are due and payable monthly until all commitments have been drawn or September 29, 2016.

The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C has an accordion feature that allows us to increase its borrowing capacity to \$250.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions and bank approval. The agreement includes a delayed draw feature that allows us to draw up to six advances of at least \$25.0 million each. Our Company and certain wholly owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership are guarantors of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C. The agreement also contains financial covenants substantially similar to the financial covenants in the unsecured credit

facility.

Our unsecured credit facility, unsecured term loans, unsecured notes, and mortgage notes are subject to ongoing compliance with a number of financial and other covenants. As of September 30, 2015, we were in compliance with all financial covenants.

The chart below details our debt capital structure and financial ratios:

51

Table of Contents

| | Debt Capital Structure and Financial Ratios | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---|
| | September 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | |
| Total Debt (in thousands) | \$860,318 | \$ 686,347 | |
| Weighted Average Duration (years) | 6.5 | 6.9 | |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 4.09 | % 4.04 | % |
| % Secured | 27 | % 33 | % |
| % Maturing Next 12 Months | 2 | % — | % |
| Net Debt to Real Estate Cost Basis ⁽²⁾ | 41 | % 37 | % |
| Total Debt to Enterprise Value ⁽³⁾ | 37 | % 28 | % |

The weighted average interest rate was calculated using the fixed interest rate swapped on the current notional (1) amount of \$300 million of debt, and is not adjusted to include the amortization of deferred financing fees incurred in obtaining debt or the unamortized fair market value premiums.

Net debt is defined as our amounts outstanding under our unsecured credit facility, unsecured term loans, (2) unsecured notes, and mortgage notes, less cash and cash equivalents on hand. Real estate cost basis is defined as the book value of rental property and deferred leasing intangibles, exclusive of the related accumulated depreciation and amortization.

Enterprise value is defined as the market value of our common stock (based on the period-end closing price on the (3) NYSE) plus the liquidation value of our preferred stock plus the amounts outstanding under our unsecured credit facility, unsecured term loans, unsecured notes, and mortgage notes.

We regularly pursue new financing opportunities to ensure an appropriate balance sheet position. As a result of these dedicated efforts, we are confident in our ability to meet future debt maturities and building acquisition funding needs. We believe that our current balance sheet is in an adequate position at the date of this filing, despite possible volatility in the credit markets.

Our interest rate exposure as it relates to interest expense payments on our floating rate debt is managed through our use of interest rate swaps, which fix the rate of our long term floating rate debt. For a detailed discussion on our use of interest rate swaps, see “Interest Rate Risk” below.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2015, specifically our obligations under long-term debt agreements and ground lease agreements (dollars in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Payments by Period | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | Total | Remaining 2015 | 2016 - 2017 | 2018 - 2019 | Thereafter |
| Principal payments ⁽³⁾ | \$859,761 | \$1,277 | \$43,349 | \$308,686 | \$506,449 |
| Interest payments—Fixed rate debt ⁽⁴⁾ | 178,476 | 6,605 | 50,608 | 33,197 | 88,066 |
| Interest payments —Variable rate debt ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 57,348 | 2,187 | 17,947 | 20,328 | 16,886 |
| Property lease ⁽⁴⁾ | 4,315 | 269 | 1,504 | 1,552 | 990 |
| Ground leases ⁽⁴⁾ | 8,657 | 91 | 726 | 748 | 7,092 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 263 | 38 | 225 | — | — |
| Total | \$1,108,820 | \$10,467 | \$114,359 | \$364,511 | \$619,483 |

(1) From time-to-time in the normal course of our business, we enter into various contracts with third parties that may obligate us to make payments, such as maintenance agreements at our buildings. Such contracts, in the aggregate, do not represent material obligations, are typically short-term and cancellable within 90 days and are not included in the table above.

The terms of the loan agreements for each of the CIGNA facilities also stipulate that general reserve escrows be funded monthly in an amount equal to eight basis points of the principal of the loans outstanding at the time.

(2) Additionally, the Wells Fargo CMBS loan calls for a monthly leasing escrow payment of approximately \$0.1 million and the balance of the reserve is capped at \$2.1 million. The cap was met at September 30, 2015 and the balance at September 30, 2015 was \$2.2 million. The funding of these reserves is not included in the table above.

(3) The total payments do not include approximately \$0.6 million of unamortized fair market value premium associated with certain mortgage notes.

(4) Not included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets included in this report.

(5) Amounts include interest rate payments on the \$300.0 million current notional amount of our interest rate swaps, as discussed below.

(6) Amounts relate to a credit monitoring fee paid to the affiliates of Columbus Nova Real Estate Acquisition Group, Inc. (“Columbus Nova”).

Table of Contents

Equity

Preferred Stock

The table below sets forth our two outstanding preferred stock issuances:

| | Issuance Date | Number of Shares | Price and Liquidation Value per share | Interest Rate | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Series A Preferred Stock | November 2, 2011 | 2,760,000 | \$25.00 | 9.000 | % |
| Series B Preferred Stock | April 16, 2013 | 2,800,000 | \$25.00 | 6.625 | % |

Common Stock

We continue to utilize our at-the-market (“ATM”) program as our primary source of equity capital. As a supplement to the ATM activity, we have also executed marketed overnight common stock offerings. We expect to rely on the ATM in the future as our primary source of equity.

The following sets forth our ATM common stock offering programs as of September 30, 2015, from which we may from time to time sell our common stock through sales agents:

| ATM Stock Offering Program (in thousands) | Date | Maximum Aggregate Offering Price | Aggregate Common Stock Available as of September 30, 2015 |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 2014 \$200 million ATM | September 10, 2014 | \$200,000 | \$107,380 |

The table below sets forth the activity for the ATM common stock offering programs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively (in millions, except share data):

| ATM Stock Offering Program | Three months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Shares Sold | Weighted Average Price Per Share | Gross Proceeds | Sales Agents' Fee | Net Proceeds |
| 2014 \$200 million ATM | 151,006 | \$20.30 | \$3.1 | \$0.1 | \$3.0 |
| Total/ weighted average | 151,006 | 20.30 | \$3.1 | \$0.1 | \$3.0 |
| ATM Stock Offering Program | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | |
| | Shares Sold | Weighted Average Price Per Share | Gross Proceeds | Sales Agents' Fee | Net Proceeds |
| 2014 \$200 million ATM | 2,661,403 | \$21.63 | \$57.6 | \$0.9 | \$56.7 |
| 2014 \$150 million ATM | 795,000 | \$21.79 | 17.3 | 0.2 | 17.1 |
| Total/weighted average | 3,456,403 | \$21.67 | \$74.9 | \$1.1 | \$73.8 |

Noncontrolling Interest

We own our interests in all of our properties and conduct substantially all of our business through our Operating Partnership. We are the sole member of the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. As of September 30, 2015, we owned approximately 95.14% of the common units of our Operating Partnership, and our executive officers, directors and their affiliates, and third parties who contributed properties to us in exchange for common units in our Operating Partnership, owned the remaining 4.86%.

On January 22, 2015, we acquired a property located in Burlington, NJ. As partial consideration for the property acquired, we granted 812,676 common units with a fair value of approximately \$21.9 million based on our NYSE closing stock price on January 22, 2015. The number of common units granted was calculated based on the trailing 10-day average common stock price ending on the business day that immediately preceded the grant date. The fair value of the shares of the common units granted was calculated based on the closing stock price per the NYSE on the grant date multiplied by the number of common units granted.

Table of Contents

The issuance of the common units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the common units.

Interest Rate Risk

We use interest rate swaps to fix the rate of our variable rate debt. As of September 30, 2015, all of our outstanding variable rate debt, with the exception of \$27.8 million of our unsecured credit facility, was fixed with interest rate swaps.

We recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. If the derivative is designated as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive loss, which is a component of equity. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges must be adjusted to fair value and the changes in fair value must be reflected as income or expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, we had short-term, partial mismatches between swap notional amounts and the aggregate principal amounts of the designated debt, which resulted in a loss of \$0.1 million and \$0, respectively, of hedge ineffectiveness being recorded in earnings. The loss on ineffectiveness recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is the reversal of a gain on ineffectiveness recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2015.

We have established criteria for suitable counterparties in relation to various specific types of risk. We only use counterparties that have a credit rating of no lower than investment grade at swap inception from Moody's Investor Services, Standard & Poor's, or Fitch Ratings or other nationally recognized rating agencies.

The following table details our outstanding interest rate swaps as of September 30, 2015 (in thousands):

| Interest Rate Derivative Counterparty | Trade Date | Effective Date | Current Notional Amount | Fair Value | Pay Fixed Interest Rate | Receive Variable Interest Rate | Maturity Date |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| PNC Bank, N.A. | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | \$10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Bank of America, N.A. | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| UBS AG | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Royal Bank of Canada | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7945 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| RJ Capital Services, Inc. | Sep-14-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 10,000 | \$(32) | 0.7975 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Bank of America, N.A. | Sep-20-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 25,000 | \$(58) | 0.7525 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| RJ Capital Services, Inc. | Sep-24-2012 | Oct-10-2012 | 25,000 | \$(46) | 0.7270 % | One-month L | Sep-10-2017 |
| Regions Bank | Mar-01-2013 | Mar-01-2013 | 25,000 | \$(217) | 1.3300 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Capital One, N.A. | Jun-13-2013 | Jul-01-2013 | 50,000 | \$(1,175) | 1.6810 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Capital One, N.A. | Jun-13-2013 | Aug-01-2013 | 25,000 | \$(611) | 1.7030 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Regions Bank | Sep-30-2013 | Feb-03-2014 | 25,000 | \$(929) | 1.9925 % | One-month L | Feb-14-2020 |
| Royal Bank of Canada | Jan-08-2015 | Mar-20-2015 | 25,000 | \$(527) | 1.7090 % | One-month L | Mar-21-2021 |
| The Toronto-Dominion Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Mar-20-2015 | 25,000 | \$(507) | 1.7105 % | One-month L | Mar-21-2021 |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | Jan-08-2015 | Mar-20-2015 | 25,000 | \$(535) | 1.8280 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| The Toronto-Dominion Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Sep-10-2017 | — | (1) \$(1,641) | 2.2255 % | One-month L | Mar-21-2021 |
| | Jan-08-2015 | Feb-14-2020 | — | (1) \$(82) | 2.4535 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |

The Toronto-Dominion
Bank

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Regions Bank | Jan-08-2015 | Feb-14-2020 | — | (1) \$(230) | 2.4750 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| Capital One, N.A. | Jan-08-2015 | Feb-14-2020 | — | (1) \$(238) | 2.5300 % | One-month L | Mar-31-2022 |
| Total | | | | \$300,000 | | | |

(1) These interest rate swaps are forward starting swaps and thus have no current notional amounts outstanding.

Subsequent to September 30, 2015, on October 14, 2015, we entered into five interest rate swaps for a total notional amount of \$150.0 million, thereby fixing the interest rate for the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan C.

The swaps outlined in the above table were all designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk, and all are valued as Level 2 financial instruments. Level 2 financial instruments are defined as significant other observable inputs. As of September 30, 2015, the fair values of all of our 18 interest rate swaps were in a liability position of \$7.0 million, including any adjustment for counterparty nonperformance risk related to these agreements.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2015, we had \$327.8 million of variable rate debt. As of September 30, 2015, all of our outstanding variable rate debt, with the exception of \$27.8 million of our unsecured credit facility, was fixed with interest rate swaps. To the extent interest rates increase, interest costs on our floating rate debt not fixed with interest rate swaps (including, any unhedged future variable rate debt) also will increase, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our security holders. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Inflation

Our business could be impacted in multiple ways due to inflation. We believe, however, that we are well positioned to be able to manage our business in an inflationary environment. Specifically, our average lease duration is 4.1 years and, on average, 10-20% of our leases will roll annually over the next few years. We expect that this lease roll will allow us to capture inflationary increases in rent on a relatively efficient basis. Furthermore, we are a growth company, as evidenced by our portfolio growth since IPO. As we continue our annual asset growth, we will acquire material amounts of assets in the prevailing interest rate and capitalization rate environment. If capitalization rates rise in an inflationary environment, our effective capitalization rate on our portfolio will also rise over time as our newly acquired assets contribute to the portfolio. In addition, we have long term liabilities averaging 6.5 years when excluding our unsecured credit facility. Our variable rate debt has been fully swapped to fixed rates through maturity with the exception of the unsecured credit facility. Therefore, as rents rise and increase our operating cash flow, this positive impact will flow more directly to the bottom line without the offset of higher in place debt costs. Lastly, while inflation will likely lead to increases in the operating costs of our portfolio, such as real estate taxes, utility expenses, and other operating expenses, the majority of our leases are either triple net leases or otherwise provide for tenant reimbursement for costs related to these expenses. Therefore, the increased costs in an inflationary environment would generally be passed through to our tenant.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2015, we had no material off-balance sheet arrangements other than those disclosed in the table under “Liquidity and Capital Resources—Contractual Obligations” above.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The primary market risk we are exposed to is interest rate risk. We have used derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings, primarily through interest rate swaps.

As of September 30, 2015, we had \$327.8 million of outstanding variable rate debt, all of which, with the exception of \$27.8 million of our unsecured credit facility, was fixed with interest rate swaps. To the extent we undertake additional variable rate indebtedness, if interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our security holders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures or significantly increase our future interest expense. From time to time, we enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the

agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under guidance included in ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts third parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. If interest rates increased by 100 basis points and assuming we had an outstanding balance of \$27.8 million on the unsecured credit facility (the portion outstanding at September 30, 2015 not fixed by interest rate swaps) for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, our interest expense would have increased by \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

55

Table of Contents

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we have evaluated, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of September 30, 2015. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures for the periods covered by this report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by our Company in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

There was no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to any legal proceedings which, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations if determined adversely to our Company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 23, 2015.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document |
|-------------------|---|
| 10.1 | Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 10.2 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 10.3 | First Amendment to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 31.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 * | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101 * | The following materials from STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |

*Filed herewith.

(1) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 1, 2015

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

Date: October 27, 2015

BY: /s/ GEOFFREY G. JERVIS
Geoffrey G. Jervis
Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President
and Treasurer (Duly Authorized Officer and
Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document |
|----------------|---|
| 10.1 | Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 10.2 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 10.3 | First Amendment to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2015, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., as Borrower, STAG Industrial, Inc., as a Guarantor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. (1) |
| 31.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 * | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101 * | The following materials from STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |

*Filed herewith.

(1) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 1, 2015