

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
Form 10-Q
May 16, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

þ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-32171

Bimini Capital Management, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

72-1571637
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3305 Flamingo Drive, Vero Beach, Florida 32963
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(772) 231-1400
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES þ NO ..

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted to its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES .. NO ..

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting

company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
YES NO

As of May 16, 2011, the number of shares outstanding of the registrant’s Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, was 9,842,099; the number of shares outstanding of the registrant’s Class B Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, was 31,938; and the number of shares outstanding of the registrant’s Class C Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, was 31,938.

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

INDEX

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| PART I. | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. | Financial Statements: | |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 | 1 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 | 2 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 | 3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 | 4 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 5 |
| | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. | 22 |
| ITEM 2. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 31 |
| ITEM 3. | Risk | 31 |
| ITEM 4. | Controls and Procedures | 31 |
| PART II. | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. | Legal Proceedings | 31 |
| ITEM 1A. | Risk Factors | 33 |
| | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 33 |
| ITEM 2. | Exhibits | 34 |
| ITEM 6. | SIGNATURES | 36 |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | (Unaudited) March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities – held for trading | | |
| Pledged to counterparties, at fair value | \$99,509,070 | \$117,253,931 |
| Unpledged, at fair value | 17,524,877 | 17,879,409 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | 117,033,947 | 135,133,340 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 5,198,903 | 2,830,584 |
| Restricted cash | 1,185,510 | 3,545,885 |
| Retained interests in securitizations | 3,116,902 | 3,927,777 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 950,773 | 1,049,577 |
| Property and equipment, net | 3,951,781 | 3,894,717 |
| Prepays and other assets, net | 6,311,932 | 6,609,043 |
| Total Assets | \$137,749,748 | \$156,990,923 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Repurchase agreements, net | \$94,926,935 | \$113,591,685 |
| Junior subordinated notes due to Bimini Capital Trust II | 26,804,440 | 26,804,440 |
| Accrued interest payable | 102,407 | 120,410 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other | 7,981,467 | 8,102,062 |
| Total Liabilities | 129,815,249 | 148,618,597 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; designated, 1,800,000 | | |
| shares as Class A Redeemable and 2,000,000 shares as Class B Redeemable; no shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | - | - |
| Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value; 98,000,000 shares designated: 9,842,099 | | |
| shares issued and outstanding as of March 31 2011 and 9,776,586 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010 | 9,842 | 9,777 |
| Class B Common Stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,000,000 shares designated, 31,938 shares | | |
| issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 32 | 32 |
| Class C Common Stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,000,000 shares designated, 31,938 shares | | |
| issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 32 | 32 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 334,532,207 | 334,459,072 |
| Accumulated deficit | (326,607,614) | (326,096,587) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 7,934,499 | 8,372,326 |

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 137,749,748 | \$ 156,990,923 |
|--|----------------|----------------|

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest income | \$1,609,839 | \$1,898,094 |
| Interest expense | (86,777) | (68,487) |
| Net interest income, before interest on junior subordinated notes | 1,523,062 | 1,829,607 |
| Interest expense on junior subordinated notes | (249,939) | (549,981) |
| Net interest income | 1,273,123 | 1,279,626 |
| Gains (losses) on trading securities | 239,186 | (1,902,802) |
| Gains on Eurodollar futures | 9,250 | - |
| Net portfolio income (deficiency) | 1,521,559 | (623,176) |
| Other (expense) income: | | |
| (Losses) gains on retained interests in securitizations | (55,204) | 1,384,150 |
| Other (expense) income | (27,282) | 75,477 |
| Total other (expense) income | (82,486) | 1,459,627 |
| Expenses: | | |
| Compensation and related benefits | 500,752 | 520,403 |
| Directors' fees and liability insurance | 149,516 | 128,260 |
| Audit, legal and other professional fees | 943,113 | 679,949 |
| Direct REIT operating expenses | 138,376 | 147,187 |
| Other administrative | 218,343 | 369,894 |
| Total expenses | 1,950,100 | 1,845,693 |
| Loss before income taxes | (511,027) | (1,009,242) |
| Income taxes | - | - |
| Net loss | \$(511,027) | \$(1,009,242) |
| Basic and Diluted Net loss Per Share of: | | |
| CLASS A COMMON STOCK | | |
| Basic and Diluted | \$(0.05) | \$(0.12) |
| CLASS B COMMON STOCK | | |
| Basic and Diluted | \$(0.05) | \$(0.12) |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | | |
| CLASS A COMMON STOCK | | |
| Basic and Diluted | 9,788,233 | 8,481,870 |
| CLASS B COMMON STOCK | | |
| Basic and Diluted | 31,938 | 31,938 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | |

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(Unaudited)
Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

| | Common Stock, Amounts at par value | | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Class A | Class B | Class C | | | |
| Balances, January 1, 2011 | \$9,777 | \$32 | \$32 | \$334,459,072 | \$(326,096,587) | \$8,372,326 |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | (511,027) | (511,027) |
| Issuance of Class A common shares for board compensation and equity plan exercises | 66 | - | - | 48,317 | - | 48,383 |
| Shares repurchased from employees in satisfaction of withholding requirements on equity plan distributions | (1) | - | - | (595) | - | (596) |
| Amortization of equity plan compensation | - | - | - | 25,413 | - | 25,413 |
| Balances, March 31, 2011 | \$9,842 | \$32 | \$32 | \$334,532,207 | \$(326,607,614) | \$7,934,499 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | | | | |

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| | Three Ended March 31, | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net loss | \$(511,027) | \$(1,009,242) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Stock based compensation and equity plan amortization | 73,796 | 47,599 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 32,147 | 61,552 |
| (Gains) losses on trading securities | (239,186) | 1,902,802 |
| Losses (gains) on retained interests in securitizations | 55,204 | (1,384,150) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 98,804 | 37,433 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 297,761 | 1,209,670 |
| Accrued interest payable | (18,003) | (26,522) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other | (120,595) | (4,303) |
| NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (331,099) | 834,839 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| From mortgage-backed securities investments: | | |
| Purchases | (3,107,253) | (44,610,199) |
| Sales | 14,257,755 | 57,784,680 |
| Principal repayments | 7,187,427 | 6,846,463 |
| Principal payments received on retained interests in securitizations | 755,671 | 1,727,651 |
| Decrease in restricted cash | 2,360,375 | 1,580,000 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (89,211) | (2,989) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES | 21,364,764 | 23,325,606 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from repurchase agreements | 107,357,587 | 120,839,955 |
| Principal payments on repurchase agreements | (126,022,337) | (144,391,729) |
| Dividends paid in cash | - | (1,877,927) |
| Stock repurchases | (596) | - |
| NET CASH USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES | (18,665,346) | (25,429,701) |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 2,368,319 | (1,269,256) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of the period | 2,830,584 | 6,469,795 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of the period | \$5,198,903 | \$5,200,539 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$354,719 | \$605,747 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|---------|
| Income taxes | - | 130,000 |
|--------------|---|---------|

**SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING
ACTIVITIES:**

| | | |
|--|-----|-------------|
| Securities acquisitions settled in later period | \$- | \$4,357,594 |
| Dividends paid in shares of Class A Common Stock | - | 16,861,973 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
March 31, 2011

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Business Description

Bimini Capital Management, Inc., a Maryland corporation (“Bimini Capital”), was originally formed in September 2003 for the purpose of creating and managing a leveraged investment portfolio consisting of residential mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”). Bimini Capital has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). As a REIT, Bimini Capital is generally not subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income provided that it distributes to its stockholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income on an annual basis. In addition, a REIT must meet other provisions of the Code to retain its special tax status. Bimini Capital’s website is located at <http://www.biminicapital.com>.

On November 3, 2005, Bimini Capital acquired Opteum Financial Services, LLC (“OFS”), and at closing, it became a wholly-owned taxable subsidiary of Bimini Capital. This entity was renamed Orchid Island TRS, LLC (“OITRS”) effective July 3, 2007 and then renamed Mortco TRS, LLC (“Mortco”) effective March 8, 2011. Hereinafter, any historical mention, discussion or references to Opteum Financial Services, LLC, Orchid Island TRS, LLC, OFS or to OITRS (such as in previously filed documents or Exhibits) now means Mortco TRS, LLC or “Mortco.”

As used in this document, discussions related to “Bimini Capital,” the parent company, the registrant, and to REIT qualifying activities or the general management of Bimini Capital’s portfolio of MBS refer to “Bimini Capital Management, Inc.” and its qualified REIT subsidiary. Discussions related to Bimini Capital’s taxable REIT subsidiary or non-REIT eligible assets refer to Mortco TRS, LLC (“Mortco”) and its consolidated subsidiaries. Discussions relating to “the Company” refer to the consolidated entity.

Liquidity

At March 31, 2011, Bimini Capital had an equity capital base of \$7.9 million and an MBS portfolio of \$117.0 million. The financial crisis of 2008/2009 and material losses in 2006 and 2007 attributable to the former mortgage origination operations of MortCo, have significantly reduced Bimini Capital’s equity capital base and the size of its MBS portfolio. Ongoing litigation costs stemming from the former operations of MortCo and Bimini itself cause the Company’s overhead to be high in relation to its portfolio size. In response, the Company has taken significant steps to reduce the leverage in its balance sheet, reduce its debt service costs, and alter its investment strategy for holding MBS securities. The Company’s alternative investment strategy that utilizes structured MBS has been elevated to a core element of its investment strategy and liquidity management. However, the Company’s ability to utilize this element of our investment strategy is limited by restrictions imposed under the Investment Company Act. Further, if cash resources are, at any time, insufficient to satisfy the Company’s liquidity requirements, such as when cash flow from operations are materially negative, the Company may be required to pledge additional assets to meet margin calls, liquidate assets, sell additional debt or equity securities or pursue other financing alternatives.

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates affecting the accompanying financial statements include the fair values of MBS, Eurodollar futures contracts, retained interests and asset valuation allowances.

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Bimini Capital and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Orchid Island Capital, Inc. (a qualified REIT subsidiary) and Mortco, as well as the wholly-owned subsidiaries of Mortco. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated from the consolidated financial statements.

As further described in Note 6, Bimini Capital has a common share investment in a trust used in connection with the issuance of Bimini Capital’s junior subordinated notes. Pursuant to the applicable accounting guidance for variable interest entities, Bimini Capital’s common share investment in the trust has not been consolidated in the financial statements of Bimini Capital, and accordingly, this investment has been accounted for on the equity method.

Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income, a statement of comprehensive income has not been included as the Company has no items of other comprehensive income. Comprehensive income (loss) is the same as net income (loss) for all periods presented.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on deposit with financial institutions and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. Restricted cash represents cash held on deposit as collateral with the repurchase agreement counterparties, which may be used to make principal and interest payments on the related repurchase agreements, and cash held by a broker as margin on Eurodollar futures contracts.

Cash and cash equivalents are maintained at financial institutions and, at times, balances may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has never experienced any losses related to these balances. All non-interest bearing cash balances were fully insured at March 31, 2011 due to a temporary federal program in effect from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. Under the program, there is no limit to the amount of insurance for eligible accounts. Beginning 2013, insurance coverage will revert to \$250,000 per depositor at each financial institution, and our non-interest bearing cash balances may again exceed federally insured limits. Interest-bearing amounts on deposit in excess of federally insured limits at March 31, 2011 approximated \$4.0 million.

Mortgage-Backed Securities

The Company invests primarily in mortgage pass-through (“PT”) certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations, interest only (“IO”) securities and inverse interest only (“IIO”) securities representing interest in or obligations backed by

pools of mortgage loans (collectively, MBS). MBS transactions are recorded on the trade date.

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 320, Investments - Debt and Equity Securities, the Company classifies its investments in MBS into one of three categories: trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. All MBS securities held by Bimini Capital are reflected in the Company's financial statements at their estimated fair value.

The fair value of the Company's investments in MBS is governed by FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The definition of fair value in FASB ASC Topic 820 focuses on the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability either occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability, or in the absence of a principal market, occurs in the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. Estimated fair values for MBS are based on the average of third-party broker quotes received and/or independent pricing sources when available.

Income on MBS pass-through securities is based on the stated interest rate of the security. Premiums or discounts present at the date of purchase are not amortized. For interest only securities, the income is accrued based on the carrying value and the effective yield. Cash received is first applied to accrued interest and then to reduce the carrying value. At each reporting date, the effective yield is adjusted prospectively from the reporting period based on the new estimate of prepayments and the contractual terms of the security. For inverse interest only securities, effective yield and income recognition calculations also take into account the index value applicable to the security. Changes in fair value of MBS during the period are recorded in earnings and reported as (losses) gains on trading securities in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Retained Interests

Retained interests in the subordinated tranches of securities created in securitization transactions were recorded at their fair value when issued by Mortco. Subsequent adjustments to fair value are reflected in earnings. Quoted market prices for these assets are generally not available, so the Company estimates fair value based on the present value of expected future cash flows using management's best estimates of the key assumptions—expected credit losses, prepayment speeds, weighted-average life, and discount rates commensurate with the inherent risks of the asset.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company has entered into derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk, facilitate asset/liability strategies, and manage other exposures, and it may continue to do so in the future. The Company has elected to not treat any of its derivative financial instruments as hedges. FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires that all derivative investments be carried at fair value.

Financial Instruments

FASB ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value, either in the body of the financial statements or in the accompanying notes. MBS, Eurodollar futures contracts, mortgage loans held for sale and retained interests in securitization transactions are accounted for at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets. The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value for these instruments are presented in Note 12 of the financial statements.

The estimated fair value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accrued interest receivable, net repurchase agreements, accrued interest payable and accounts payable and other liabilities generally approximates their carrying value as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments.

It is impractical to estimate the fair value of the Company's junior subordinated notes. Currently, there is a limited market for these types of instruments and the Company is unable to ascertain what interest rates would be available to the Company for similar financial instruments. Information regarding carrying amounts, effective interest rates and maturity dates for these instruments is presented in Note 6 to the financial statements.

Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment, net, consists of computer equipment with a depreciable life of 3 years, office furniture and equipment with depreciable lives of 8 to 20 years, land which has no depreciable life, and buildings and improvements with depreciable lives of 30 years. Property and equipment is recorded at acquisition cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Bimini Capital's property and equipment as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, is presented net of accumulated depreciation of approximately \$724,000 and \$692,000, respectively. Depreciation expense was approximately \$32,000 and \$22,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Repurchase Agreements

The Company finances the acquisition of its PT MBS through the use of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at their contractual amounts, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements. Although structured as a sale and repurchase obligation, a repurchase agreement operates as a financing under which securities are pledged as collateral to secure a short-term loan equal in value to a specified percentage (generally between 90 and 95 percent) of the market value of the pledged collateral. While used as collateral, the borrower retains beneficial ownership of the pledged collateral, including the right to distributions. At the maturity of a repurchase agreement, the borrower is required to repay the loan and concurrently receive the pledged collateral from the lender or, with the consent of the lender, renew such agreement at the then prevailing financing rate. Margin calls, whereby a lender requires that the Company pledge additional securities or cash as collateral to secure borrowings under its repurchase agreements with such a lender, are routinely experienced by the Company when the value of the MBS pledged as collateral declines or as a result of principal amortization or due to changes in market interest rates, spreads or other market conditions.

The Company's repurchase agreements typically have terms ranging from one month to six months at inception, with some having longer terms. Should a counterparty decide not to renew a repurchase agreement at maturity, the Company must either refinance with another lender or be in a position to satisfy the obligation. If, during the term of a repurchase agreement, a lender should file for bankruptcy, the Company might experience difficulty recovering its pledged assets which could result in an unsecured claim against the lender for the difference between the amount loaned to the Company plus interest due to the counterparty and the fair value of the collateral pledged to such lender. At March 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding balances under repurchase agreements with two lenders with a maximum amount at risk (the difference between the amount loaned to the Company, including interest payable, and the fair value of securities pledged by the Company as collateral, including accrued interest on such securities) of \$4.8 million.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company follows the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, to account for stock and stock-based awards. For stock and stock-based awards issued to employees, a compensation charge is recorded against earnings over the vesting period based on the fair value of the award. Payments pursuant to dividend equivalent rights, which are granted along with certain equity based awards, are charged to stockholders' equity when declared. The Company applies a zero forfeiture rate for its equity based awards, as such awards have been granted to a limited number of employees and historical forfeitures have been minimal. A significant forfeiture, or an indication that significant forfeitures may occur, would result in a revised forfeiture rate which would be accounted for prospectively as a change in an estimate. For transactions with non-employees in which services are performed in exchange for the Company's common stock or other equity instruments, the transactions are recorded on the basis of the fair value of the service received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more readily measurable at the date of issuance.

Reverse Stock Split

On March 12, 2010, the Company executed a one-for-ten reverse stock split of its Class A, Class B and Class C common stock. Prior to effecting the reverse split, at December 31, 2009 the Company had 27,637,789 shares of Class A common stock outstanding and 319,388 shares each of its Class B and Class C common stock outstanding. Further, in connection with the dividend paid on January 19, 2010, the Company issued an additional 72,414,462 shares of Class A common stock which brought the total shares outstanding of Class A common stock to 100,052,251 at January 19, 2010. Upon consummation of the reverse split, as of March 12, 2010 issued and outstanding shares of Class A, Class B and Class C common stock were 10,052,225, 31,938 and 31,938, respectively. All references in the accompanying financial statements to the number of common shares and per-share amounts for all periods have been restated to reflect the reverse stock split.

Earnings Per Share

The Company follows the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share, which requires companies with complex capital structures, common stock equivalents or two (or more) classes of securities that participate in the declared dividends to present both basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") on the face of the consolidated statement of operations. Basic EPS is calculated as income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is calculated using the "if converted" method for common stock equivalents. However, the common stock equivalents are not included in computing diluted EPS if the result is anti-dilutive.

Outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock, participating and convertible into Class A Common Stock, are entitled to receive dividends in an amount equal to the dividends declared on each share of Class A Common Stock if, as and when authorized and declared by the Board of Directors. Accordingly, shares of the Class B Common Stock are included in the computation of basic EPS using the two-class method and, consequently, are presented separately from Class A Common Stock.

The shares of Class C Common Stock are not included in the basic EPS computation as these shares do not have participation rights. The outstanding shares of Class B and Class C Common Stock are not included in the computation of diluted EPS for the Class A Common Stock as the conditions for conversion into shares of Class A Common Stock were not met.

All basic and diluted weighted average share and per-share amounts in these financial statements have been adjusted for all periods presented to reflect the March 12, 2010 reverse stock split.

Income Taxes

Bimini Capital, including its wholly-owned qualified REIT subsidiary, has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. Bimini Capital will generally not be subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income to the extent that Bimini Capital distributes its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and satisfies the ongoing REIT requirements, including meeting certain asset, income and stock ownership tests. A REIT must generally distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders, of which 85% generally must be distributed within the taxable year, in order to avoid the imposition of an excise tax. The remaining balance may be distributed up to the end of the following taxable year, provided the REIT elects to treat such amount as a prior year distribution and meets certain other requirements. At March 31, 2011, management believes that the Company has complied with Code requirements and Bimini Capital continues to qualify as a REIT. As further described in Note 10, Income Taxes, Mortco is a taxpaying entity for income tax purposes and is taxed separately from the REIT. The Company files federal and state tax returns for both the REIT and the taxable REIT subsidiary. Generally, returns for all periods after 2006 remain open for examination.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentations, including the reporting of Mortco as described below.

During the second quarter of 2007, the Company closed the mortgage wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels of Mortco and subsequently sold substantially all of the operating assets of Mortco. Mortco was reported after that date as a discontinued operation following applicable accounting standards. Through September 30, 2010, most of the remaining assets and liabilities were considered to be contingent and were held by Mortco pursuant to the terms of the disposal of the operations. The disposal of the retained interests asset has been delayed as a result of the lingering effects of the financial market crisis and a significant lack of investor interest in such securities, even though the Company has made efforts to market such securities to previously active market participants. Because Mortco continues to hold these net assets, and the Company has not been able to dispose of them, effective October 1, 2010, the related assets and liabilities previously classified as held for sale have been reclassified to held and used for all periods presented.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-03 ("ASU") regarding repurchase agreements. In a typical repurchase agreement transaction, an entity transfers financial assets to a counterparty in exchange for cash with an agreement for the counterparty to return the same or equivalent financial assets for a fixed price in the future. Previous to this ASU, one of the factors in determining whether sale treatment could be used was whether the transferor maintained effective control of the transferred assets and in order to do so, the transferor must have the ability to repurchase such assets. Based on this ASU, the Financial Accounting Standards Board concluded that the assessment of effective control should focus on a transferor's contractual rights and obligations with respect to transferred financial assets, rather than whether the transferor has the practical ability to perform in accordance with those rights or obligations. Therefore, this ASU removes the transferor's ability criterion from consideration of effective control. This ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Since the Company records repurchase agreements as secured borrowings and not sales, this ASU will have no effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2. MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

The following table presents the Company's MBS portfolio as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Pass-Through Certificates: | | |
| Hybrid Adjustable-rate Mortgages | \$2,472 | \$2,783 |
| Adjustable-rate Mortgages | 62,597 | 64,458 |
| Fixed-rate Mortgages | 34,440 | 50,013 |
| Total Pass-Through Certificates | 99,509 | 117,254 |
| Structured MBS Certificates: | | |
| Structured MBS | 17,525 | 17,879 |
| Totals | \$117,034 | \$135,133 |

The following table summarizes the Company's MBS portfolio as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, according to their contractual maturities. Actual maturities of MBS investments are generally shorter than stated contractual maturities and are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal, and prepayments of principal.

(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Less than one year | \$125 | \$- |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 1,087 | 1,600 |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 8,298 | 9,077 |
| Greater than or equal to ten years | 107,524 | 124,456 |
| Totals | \$117,034 | \$135,133 |

NOTE 3. RETAINED INTERESTS IN SECURITIZATIONS

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the Company's residual interests in asset backed securities as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)

| Series | Issue Date | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| HMAC 2004-1 | March 4, 2004 | \$617 | \$430 |
| HMAC 2004-2 | May 10, 2004 | 791 | 921 |
| HMAC 2004-3 | June 30, 2004 | 728 | 911 |
| HMAC 2004-4 | August 16, 2004 | 299 | 558 |
| HMAC 2004-5 | September 28, 2004 | 682 | 1,108 |
| Total | | \$3,117 | \$3,928 |

NOTE 4. REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

As of March 31, 2011, Bimini Capital had outstanding net repurchase obligations of approximately \$94.9 million with a net weighted average borrowing rate of 0.33%. These agreements were collateralized by MBS with a fair value,

including accrued interest, of approximately \$99.8 million. As of December 31, 2010, Bimini Capital had outstanding repurchase obligations of approximately \$113.6 million with a net weighted average borrowing rate of 0.32%. These agreements were collateralized by MBS with a fair value of approximately \$117.6 million.

On occasion, the Company may enter into reverse repurchase agreements to facilitate the sale of selected positions in its PT MBS portfolio without unwinding an existing repurchase agreement. In accordance with the terms of a master repurchase agreement with the counterparty, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements are reported net of each other in the consolidated balance sheet. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding a reverse repurchase agreement with one counterparty of approximately \$12.4 million.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Bimini Capital's net repurchase agreements had remaining maturities as summarized below:

(in thousands)

| | OVERNIGHT (1 DAY OR LESS) | BETWEEN 2 AND 30 DAYS | BETWEEN 31 AND 90 DAYS | GREATER THAN 90 DAYS | TOTAL |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Agency Backed Mortgage--Backed Securities: | | | | | |
| Fair market value of securities pledged, including | | | | | |
| accrued interest receivable | \$ - | \$- | \$84,446 | \$15,360 | \$99,806 |
| Repurchase agreement liabilities associated with | | | | | |
| these securities | \$ - | \$- | \$80,422 | \$14,505 | \$94,927 |
| Net weighted average borrowing rate | - | - | 0.34 % | 0.33 % | 0.33 % |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Agency Backed Mortgage--Backed Securities: | | | | | |
| Fair market value of securities pledged, including | | | | | |
| accrued interest receivable | \$ - | \$75,175 | \$42,415 | \$- | \$117,590 |
| Repurchase agreement liabilities associated with | | | | | |
| these securities | \$ - | \$73,014 | \$40,578 | \$- | \$113,592 |
| Net weighted average borrowing rate | - | 0.31 % | 0.33 % | - | 0.32 % |

Summary information regarding the Company's amounts at risk with individual counterparties greater than 10% of the Company's equity at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

(in thousands)

| Repurchase Agreement Counterparties | Amount at Risk(1) | Weighted Average Maturity of Repurchase Agreements in Days |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| March 31, 2011 | | |
| MF Global, Inc. | \$4,161 | 63 |
| December 31, 2010 | | |

MF Global, Inc.

\$3,444

25

(1) Equal to the fair value of securities sold and accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities and accrued interest expense.

NOTE 5. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In connection with the Company's interest rate risk management strategy, during the second quarter of 2010, the Company economically hedged a portion of its interest rate risk by entering into derivative financial instrument contracts. The Company did not elect hedging treatment under GAAP, and as such all gains and losses on these instruments are reflected in earnings for all periods presented.

As of March 31, 2011, such instruments are comprised entirely of Eurodollar futures contracts. Eurodollar futures are cash settled futures contracts on an interest rate, with gains and losses credited and charged to the Company's account on a daily basis. A minimum balance, or "margin", is required to be maintained in the account on a daily basis. The Company is exposed to the changes in value of the futures by the amount of margin held by the broker. The total amount of margin at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was approximately \$328,000 and \$224,000, respectively, and is reflected in restricted cash.

The Company's Eurodollar futures contracts with a notional amount of \$26 million are used to attempt to achieve a fixed interest rate related to its junior subordinated notes. The junior subordinated notes had a fixed-rate of interest until December 15, 2010, of 7.8575% and thereafter, through maturity in 2035, the rate will float at a spread of 3.50% over the prevailing three-month LIBOR rate. The Eurodollar futures contracts serve to effectively lock in a fixed LIBOR rate for a specified period of time. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has effectively locked in a weighted-average fixed LIBOR rate of 1.76% on \$26 million of its junior subordinated notes through March 2013. The effective interest rate for the junior subordinated notes is 5.26%.

The Company also used Eurodollar futures contracts with a notional amount of \$20 million to attempt to achieve a fixed interest rate related to a portion of its repurchase agreement obligations. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has effectively locked in a weighted-average fixed LIBOR rate of 0.97% on \$20 million of its repurchase agreement obligations through December 2012.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded gains of approximately \$9,000 on Eurodollar futures contracts. The Company did not hold any Eurodollar futures contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

NOTE 6. TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES

During 2005, Bimini Capital sponsored the formation of a statutory trust, known as Bimini Capital Trust II ("BCTII") of which 100% of the common equity is owned by Bimini Capital. It was formed for the purpose of issuing trust preferred capital securities to third-party investors and investing the proceeds from the sale of such capital securities solely in junior subordinated debt securities of Bimini Capital. The debt securities held by BCTII are the sole assets of BCTII.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the outstanding principal balance on the junior subordinated debt securities owed to BCTII was \$26.8 million. The BCTII trust preferred securities and Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes have a rate of interest that floats at a spread of 3.50% over the prevailing three-month LIBOR rate. As of March 31, 2011, the interest rate was 3.81%. The BCTII trust preferred securities and Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes require quarterly interest distributions and are redeemable at Bimini Capital's option, in whole or in part and without penalty, beginning December 15, 2010. Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes are subordinate and junior in right of payment of all present and future senior indebtedness.

The trust is a variable interest entity pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 810 because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have adequate decision making ability over the trust's activities. Since Bimini Capital's investment in the trust's common equity securities was financed directly by the applicable trust as a result of its loan of the proceeds to Bimini Capital, that investment is not considered to be an equity investment at risk. Since Bimini Capital's common share investments in BCTII are not a variable interest, Bimini Capital is not the primary beneficiary of BCTII. Therefore, Bimini Capital has not consolidated the financial statements of BCTII into its financial statements.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements present Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes issued to the trust as a liability and Bimini Capital's investment in the common equity securities of BCTII as an asset.

For financial statement purposes, Bimini Capital records payments of interest on the Junior Subordinated Notes issued to BCTII as interest expense.

NOTE 7. CAPITAL STOCK

Reverse Stock Split

On March 12, 2010, the Company executed a one-for-ten reverse stock split of its Class A, Class B and Class C common stock. All references in the accompanying financial statements to the number of common shares and per-share amounts for all periods presented have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

Issuances of Common Stock

The table below presents information related to Class A Common Stock issued to its independent directors for the payment of director fees and to employees pursuant to the terms of its stock incentive plan grants for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| Directors' Compensation | 53,168 | 30,874 |
| Incentive Plan | 13,000 | - |
| | 66,168 | 30,874 |

In addition, on January 19, 2010, the Company issued 7,241,446 shares of its Class A common stock in connection with a special dividend described more fully below.

There were no issuances of the Company's Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Stock Repurchases

On June 29, 2010, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$1.0 million of the Company's Class A common stock. Through March 31, 2011, the Company had repurchased 403,715 shares under the plan, for a total of \$349,344. As of March 31, 2011 the remaining amount authorized under the plan was \$650,656.

In addition, during 2011, the Company acquired 655 shares of Class A common stock in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations on vested incentive plan shares.

Dividends

The table below presents information related to dividends declared by the Company's Board of Directors during 2011 and 2010.

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| Declaration Date | Record Date | Payment Date | Per Share Amount | Total |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| 2011 | | | | |
| April 1, 2011 | April 15, 2011 | April 29, 2011 | \$0.0325 | \$334 |
| 2010 | | | | |
| April 1, 2010 | April 15, 2010 | April 30, 2010 | \$0.0300 | \$314 |
| June 29, 2010 | July 15, 2010 | July 30, 2010 | 0.0300 | 316 |
| October 4, 2010 | October 15, 2010 | October 29, 2010 | 0.0300 | 315 |

December 8, 2010

December 23, 2010

December 30, 2010

0.0325

332

NOTE 8. STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

On December 18, 2003, Bimini Capital adopted the 2003 Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan (the “2003 Plan”) to provide Bimini with the flexibility to use stock options and other awards as part of an overall compensation package to provide a means of performance-based compensation to attract and retain qualified personnel. The 2003 Plan was amended and restated in March 2004. Key employees, directors and consultants are eligible to be granted stock options, restricted stock, phantom shares, dividend equivalent rights and other stock-based awards under the 2003 Plan. Subject to adjustment upon certain corporate transactions or events, a maximum of 1,448,050 shares of the Class A Common Stock (but not more than 10% of the Class A Common Stock outstanding on the date of grant) may be subject to stock options, shares of restricted stock, phantom shares and dividend equivalent rights under the 2003 Plan.

Phantom share awards represent a right to receive a share of Bimini's Class A Common Stock. These awards do not have an exercise price and are valued at the fair value of Bimini Capital's Class A Common Stock at the date of the grant. The grant date value is amortized to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the respective award. The phantom shares vest, based on the employees' continuing employment, following a schedule as provided in the individual grant agreements, for periods through March 15, 2015. Compensation expense recognized for phantom shares was approximately \$25,000 and \$8,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Dividends paid on unsettled awards are charged to stockholders' equity when declared.

A summary of phantom share activity during the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------|--|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
| Nonvested, at January 1 | 401,000 | \$1.12 | 102,000 | \$1.58 |
| Vested | (13,000) | 0.97 | - | - |
| Nonvested, at March 31 | 388,000 | \$1.13 | 102,000 | \$1.58 |

As of March 31, 2011, there was approximately \$322,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested phantom share awards. This cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 43.7 months. The intrinsic value of the outstanding phantom shares as of March 31, 2011 and 2010 is \$299,000 and \$101,000, respectively. All of the remaining outstanding unvested awards at March 31, 2011 were granted with dividend participation rights.

NOTE 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Outstanding Litigation

The Company is involved in various lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that it has asserted against others, in which monetary and other damages are sought. These lawsuits and claims relate primarily to contractual disputes arising out of the ordinary course of the Company's business. The outcome of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable. However, management believes that, in the aggregate, the outcome of all lawsuits and claims involving the Company will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or liquidity; however, any such outcome may be material to the results of operations of any particular period in which costs, if any, are recognized.

A complaint by a note-holder in Preferred Term Securities XX (“PreTSL XX”) was filed on July 16, 2010 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, against Bimini Capital Management, Inc. (“Bimini”), the Bank of New York Mellon (“BNYM”), PreTSL XX, Ltd. and Hexagon Securities, LLC (“Hexagon”). The complaint, filed by Hildene Capital Management, LLC and Hildene Opportunities Fund, Ltd. (“Hildene”), alleges that Hildene suffered losses as a result of Bimini’s repurchase of all outstanding fixed/floating rate capital securities of Bimini Capital Trust II for less than par value from PreTSL XX in October 2009. Hildene has alleged claims against BNYM for breach of the Indenture, breach of fiduciary duties and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and claims against Bimini for tortious interference with contract, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and “rescission/illegality”. Plaintiff also alleges derivative claims brought in the name of Nominal Defendant BNYM (On May 2, 2011, Hexagon and Nominal Defendant PreTSL XX were voluntarily dismissed without prejudice by Hildene.) On January 11, 2011, the Court so ordered the parties’ stipulation agreeing to stay this action pending resolution of a previously-filed federal action related to the same transaction. The federal action was dismissed by stipulation of the parties on March 23, 2011. Bimini denies that the repurchase was improper and intends to defend the suit vigorously.

On June 14, 2007, a complaint was filed in the Circuit Court of the Twelfth Judicial District in and for Manatee County, Florida by Coast Bank of Florida (“Coast”) against Mortco seeking monetary damages and specific performance and alleging breach of an alleged oral contract for allegedly failing to convert approximately fifty construction loans to permanent financing. Mortco denies that there was an agreement and has several defenses to Coast's claims. The parties attempted mediation and conducted limited discovery but the case had been relatively inactive for several years. On May 3, 2011, the Court set a non-jury trial to begin on January 17, 2012. The parties will conduct fact and expert discovery through 2011. Mortco plans to continue to defend this matter vigorously.

On March 2, 2011, Orchid Island TRS, LLC, formerly known as Opteum Financial Services, LLC and presently known as Mortco TRS, LLC (“Opteum Financial”) and Opteum Mortgage Acceptance Corporation (“Opteum Acceptance”) (collectively referred to herein as “Opteum”) received a cover letter dated March 1, 2011 from Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company (“Mass Mutual”) enclosing a draft complaint against Opteum. In summary, Mass Mutual alleges that it purchased residential mortgage-backed securities offered by Opteum in August 2005 and the first quarter of 2006 and that Opteum made false representations and warranties in connection with the sale of the securities in violation of Mass Gen. Laws Ch. 110A § 410(a)(2) (the “Massachusetts Blue Sky Law”). In its cover letter, Mass Mutual claims it is entitled to damages of \$25 million. However, no monetary demand is contained within the enclosed draft complaint, and the actual damages Mass Mutual claims to have incurred is uncertain.

Mass Mutual has not filed the complaint or initiated litigation. Pursuant to its request, on March 14, 2011 Mass Mutual and Opteum entered into a Tolling Agreement so that Mass Mutual could address its allegations against Opteum without incurring litigation costs. Mass Mutual has not yet contacted Opteum to schedule such discussions.

Opteum denies Opteum Financial or Opteum Acceptance, individually or collectively, made false representations and warranties in connection with the sale of securities to Mass Mutual. As of May 16, 2011, Mass Mutual has taken no action to prosecute its claim against Opteum, and the range of loss, if any, or potential loss cannot reasonably be estimated. Should Mass Mutual initiate litigation, Opteum will defend such litigation vigorously.

Loans Sold to Investors.

Generally, Mortco was not exposed to significant credit risk on its loans sold to investors. In the normal course of business, Mortco provided certain representations and warranties during the sale of mortgage loans which obligated it to repurchase loans which are subsequently unable to be sold through the normal investor channels. The repurchased loans were secured by the related real estate properties, and can usually be sold directly to other permanent investors. There can be no assurance, however, that Mortco will be able to recover the repurchased loan value either through

other investor channels or through the assumption of the secured real estate.

Mortco recognized a liability for the estimated fair value of this obligation at the inception of each mortgage loan sale based on the anticipated repurchase levels and historical experience. Changes in this liability for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance - Beginning of period | \$5,087 | \$5,149 |
| Provision | - | 48 |
| Balance - End of Period | \$5,087 | \$5,197 |

NOTE 10. INCOME TAXES

REIT Activities

As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal income tax on REIT taxable income distributed to its shareholders. REIT taxable income or loss, as generated by Bimini Capital's qualifying REIT activities, is computed in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code, which is different from the Company's financial statement net income or loss as computed in accordance with GAAP. Depending on the number and size of the various items or transactions being accounted for differently, the differences between the Company's REIT taxable income or loss and its financial statement net income or loss can be substantial and each item can affect several years.

As of March 31, 2011, Bimini Capital has approximately \$59.3 million of remaining capital loss carryforwards available to offset future capital gains and a REIT tax net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$6.5 million that is immediately available to offset future REIT taxable income. The capital loss carryforwards expire in years beginning in 2012 through 2016, and the net operating loss carryforwards expire in years beginning in 2028 through 2031.

Taxable REIT Subsidiary

As a taxable REIT subsidiary, Mortco is a tax paying entity for income tax purposes and is taxed separately from Bimini Capital. Therefore, Mortco separately reports an income tax provision or benefit based on its own taxable activities. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, Mortco had a taxable loss of \$0.3 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, Mortco had no taxable income due to the utilization of \$1.1 million of NOL carryforwards.

The income tax provisions for the periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 differ from the amount determined by applying the statutory Federal rate of 35% to the pre-tax income or loss due primarily to the recording of, and adjustments to, the deferred tax asset valuation allowance. The table below presents deferred tax assets and the related valuation allowances at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred tax assets | | |
| Net operating loss carryovers | \$98,187 | \$98,067 |
| Reserve for uncollectible accounts - Servicing advances | 100 | 100 |
| Interest expense accrued and unpaid | 1,298 | 1,298 |
| Reserve for loan repayment demands | 1,984 | 1,984 |
| Other expense accruals | 56 | 56 |
| Total | \$101,625 | \$101,505 |
| Valuation Allowance | \$(101,625) | \$(101,505) |

As of March 31, 2011, Mortco had an estimated federal tax NOL carryforward of approximately \$273.2 million, and estimated available state NOLs of approximately \$64.0 million, which begin to expire in 2025, and are fully available to offset future taxable income.

The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income within Mortco. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, management believed that it was more likely than not that the Company will not realize the full benefits of all of the federal and state tax NOL carryforwards, which are the primary deferred tax assets of Mortco; therefore, an allowance for the full amount of the net deferred tax assets has been recorded in both years. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income or losses, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

NOTE 11. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Shares of Class B Common Stock, participating and convertible into Class A Common Stock, are entitled to receive dividends in an amount equal to the dividends declared on each share of Class A Common Stock if, and when, authorized and declared by the Board of Directors. Following the provisions of FASB ASC 260, the Class B Common Stock is included in the computation of basic EPS using the two-class method, and consequently is presented separately from Class A Common Stock. Shares of Class B Common Stock are not included in the computation of diluted Class A EPS as the conditions for conversion to Class A Common Stock were not met at March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Shares of Class C Common Stock are not included in the basic EPS computation as these shares do not have participation rights. Shares of Class C Common Stock are not included in the computation of diluted Class A EPS as the conditions for conversion to Class A Common Stock were not met at March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The Company has dividend eligible stock incentive plan shares that were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The basic and diluted per share computations include these unvested incentive plan shares if there is income available to Class A common shares, as they have dividend participation rights. The stock incentive plan shares have no contractual obligation to share in losses. Since there is no such obligation, the incentive plan shares are not included in the basic and diluted EPS computations when no income is available to Class A Common Stock even though they are considered participating securities.

As discussed in Note 7, on November 9, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors declared a special dividend giving stockholders the option to make an election to receive payment of the dividend in cash or in shares of its Class A Common Stock, subject to an aggregate cash limitation. These shares were not included in the calculation of basic earnings per share until January 19, 2010 because they were not yet issued. They were not included in the diluted per

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-Q

share calculation prior to issuance because their inclusion prior to this date would have been anti-dilutive. The table below reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS.

(in thousands, except per-share information)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Basic and diluted EPS per Class A common share: | | |
| Loss available to Class A common shares: | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$(509) | \$(1,006) |
| Weighted average common shares: | | |
| Class A common shares outstanding at the balance sheet date | 9,842 | 10,036 |
| Effect of weighting | (54) | (1,554) |
| Weighted average shares-basic and diluted | 9,788 | 8,482 |
| Loss per Class A common share: | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$(0.05) | \$(0.12) |

(in thousands, except per-share information)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Basic and diluted EPS per Class B common share: | | |
| Loss available to Class B common shares: | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$(2) | \$(3) |
| Weighted average common shares: | | |
| Class B common shares outstanding at the balance sheet date | 32 | 32 |
| Effect of weighting | - | - |
| Weighted average shares-basic and diluted | 32 | 32 |
| Loss per Class B common share: | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$(0.05) | \$(0.12) |

NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE

Authoritative accounting literature establishes a framework for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities and defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) as opposed to the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability (an entry price). A fair value measure should reflect the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including the assumptions about the risk inherent in a particular valuation technique, the effect of a restriction on the sale or use of an asset and the risk of nonperformance. Required disclosures include stratification of balance sheet amounts measured at fair value based on inputs the Company uses to derive fair value measurements. These stratifications are:

- Level 1 valuations, where the valuation is based on quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets (which include exchanges and over-the-counter markets with sufficient volume),
- Level 2 valuations, where the valuation is based on quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market, and

- Level 3 valuations, where the valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market, but observable based on Company-specific data. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company's own estimates for assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques typically include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, but may also include the use of market prices of assets or liabilities that are not directly comparable to the subject asset or liability.

Mortgage-backed securities, retained interests, Eurodollar futures contracts and mortgage loans held for sale were recorded at fair value on a recurring basis during 2011 and 2010. When determining fair value measurements, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset. When possible, the Company looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets. When identical assets are not traded in active markets, the Company looks to market observable data for similar assets. Fair value measurements for the retained interests are generated by a model that requires management to make a significant number of assumptions.

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)

| | Fair Value Measurements | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 117,034 | \$- | \$117,034 | \$ - |
| Eurodollar futures contracts | 328 | 328 | - | - |
| Mortgage Loans Held For Sale | 40 | - | - | 40 |
| Retained interests | 3,117 | - | - | 3,117 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 135,133 | \$- | \$135,133 | \$ - |
| Eurodollar futures contracts | 224 | 224 | - | - |
| Mortgage Loans Held For Sale | 40 | - | - | 40 |
| Retained interests | 3,928 | - | - | 3,928 |

The following table illustrates a rollforward for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)

| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Retained Interests | Mortgage Loans Held For Sale | Retained Interests | Mortgage Loans Held For Sale |
| Balance, January 1 | \$3,928 | \$40 | \$5,934 | \$207 |
| (Loss) gain included in earnings | (55) | - | 1,384 | (16) |
| Collections, sale and settlements | (756) | - | (1,727) | 14 |

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|
| Balance, March 31 | \$3,117 | \$40 | \$5,591 | \$205 |
|-------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no transfers of financial assets or liabilities between levels 1, 2 or 3.

NOTE 13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Frank E. Jaumot is a shareholder in an accounting firm from which the Company receives accounting and tax services. Mr. Jaumot is both a director and a shareholder of Bimini Capital. Professional fees incurred with this firm were \$44,000 and \$43,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On May 3, 2011, Orchid Island Capital, Inc. (“Orchid”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, filed a Form S-11 Registration Statement (the “Registration Statement”) with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) in connection with a proposed initial public offering (the “Offering”) of its Class A common stock (the “Shares”). The number of shares of Class A common stock and the price range of the offering have not yet been determined. On April 20, 2011, the Company’s Board of Directors authorized a second investment in Orchid, which has not been made as of May 16, 2011, in addition to the \$7.5 million invested by the Company in Orchid as of March 31, 2011 that is expected to be a private placement of Orchid’s Class B common stock concurrently with the completion of the Offering (the “Private Placement”). The net proceeds of the Offering and the Private Placement are expected to be used to purchase pass-through Agency residential mortgage-backed securities and structured Agency residential mortgage-backed securities. Orchid intends to elect to be taxed as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes.

The Company, through Bimini Advisors, Inc., its taxable REIT subsidiary formed on April 22, 2011 (the “Manager”), expects to provide management services to Orchid pursuant to the terms of a Management Agreement that is expected to be entered into by Orchid and the Manager upon completion of the Offering (the “Management Agreement”). The terms of the Management Agreement have not yet been finalized.

The Registration Statement referenced above has been filed by Orchid with the SEC but has not yet become effective. The Company cannot assure you that the Offering will be completed, or if completed, the terms thereof. The securities of Orchid may not be sold nor may offers to buy be accepted prior to the time the Registration Statement becomes effective. The offering of the Shares will be made only by means of a prospectus when made available by the underwriters of the Offering to potential investors.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

When used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in future filings with the SEC or in press releases or other written or oral communications, statements which are not historical in nature, including those containing words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "should," "expect," "believe," "intend" and similar expressions, are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those described or incorporated by reference in "Part II - Item 1A - Risk Factors" of this Form 10-Q. These and other risks, uncertainties and factors, including those described in reports that the Company files from time to time with the Commission, could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

INTRODUCTION

As used in this document, references to "Bimini Capital," the parent company, the registrant, and to real estate investment trust ("REIT") qualifying activities or the general management of Bimini Capital's portfolio of MBS refer to "Bimini Capital Management, Inc." and its wholly-owned qualified REIT subsidiary. Further, references to Bimini Capital's taxable REIT subsidiary or non-REIT eligible assets refer to Mortco TRS, LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries. This entity, which was previously named Opteum Financial Services, LLC, (referred to as "OFS") was renamed Orchid Island TRS, LLC (referred to as "OITRS") effective July 3, 2007 and then renamed Mortco TRS, LLC effective March 8, 2011. Hereinafter, any historical mention, discussion or references to Opteum Financial Services, LLC, Orchid Island TRS, LLC, OFS or to OITRS (such as in previously filed documents or Exhibits) now means Mortco TRS, LLC or "Mortco." References to the "Company" refer to the consolidated entity (the combination of Bimini Capital and its qualified REIT subsidiary, Orchid Island Capital, Inc., and Mortco).

Bimini Capital Management, Inc. (formerly Opteum Inc. and Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc.), was formed in September 2003 to invest primarily in but not limited to, residential mortgage related securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association (more commonly known as Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (more commonly known as Freddie Mac) and the Government National Mortgage Association (more commonly known as Ginnie Mae). Bimini Capital will deploy its capital into two core strategies. The two strategies are a levered MBS portfolio and an unlevered structured MBS portfolio. The leverage applied to the MBS portfolio will typically be less than twelve to one. Bimini Capital manages its portfolio of agency MBS and structured MBS to generate income derived from the net interest margin of its MBS portfolio, levered predominantly under repurchase agreement funding, net of associated hedging costs, and the interest income derived from its unlevered portfolio of structured mortgage-backed securities. Bimini Capital treats its remaining junior subordinated notes as an equity capital equivalent. Bimini Capital is self-managed and self-advised and has elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

On April 18, 2007, the Board of Managers of Mortco, at the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the Company, approved the closure of the wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels. Both channels ceased accepting new applications for mortgage loans on April 20, 2007. On May 7, 2007, Mortco signed a binding agreement, later amended, to sell its retail mortgage loan origination channel to a third party. The transaction closed on June 30, 2007 and Mortco has not operated a mortgage loan origination business since that date. Since the second quarter of 2007, Mortco has been reported as a discontinued operation following applicable accounting standards. Through September 30, 2010, most of the remaining assets and liabilities were considered to be contingent and were held by Mortco pursuant to the terms of the disposal of the operations. The disposal of the retained interests asset has been delayed as a result of the lingering effects of the financial market crisis and a significant lack of investor interest in such securities, even though the Company has made efforts to market such securities to previously active market participants. Because Mortco continues to hold these net assets, and the Company has not been able to dispose of them, the remnants of the old mortgage banking business are no longer classified as discontinued operations effective October 1, 2010, and the related assets and liabilities previously classified as held for sale have been reclassified to held and used for all periods presented.

DIVIDENDS TO STOCKHOLDERS

In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT, Bimini Capital is required (among other provisions) to annually distribute dividends to its stockholders in an amount at least equal to, generally, 90% of Bimini Capital's REIT taxable income. REIT taxable income is a term that describes Bimini Capital's operating results calculated in accordance with rules and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code.

Bimini Capital's REIT taxable income is computed differently from net income as computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP net income"), as reported in the Company's accompanying consolidated financial statements. Depending on the number and size of the various items or transactions being accounted for differently, the differences between REIT taxable income and GAAP net income can be substantial and each item can affect several reporting periods. Generally, these items are timing or temporary differences between years; for example, an item that may be a deduction for GAAP net income in the current year may not be a deduction for REIT taxable income until a later year. The most significant differences are as follows: the results of the Company's taxable REIT subsidiary do not impact REIT taxable income, unrealized gains or losses on the trading securities portfolio do not impact REIT taxable income, and interest income on structured MBS securities is computed differently for REIT taxable income and GAAP.

As a REIT, Bimini Capital may be subject to a federal excise tax if Bimini Capital distributes less than 85% of its taxable income by the end of the calendar year. Accordingly, Bimini Capital's dividends are based on its taxable income, as determined for federal income tax purposes, as opposed to its net income computed in accordance with GAAP (as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Described below are the Company's results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the Company's results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Net Loss Summary

Consolidated net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$0.5 million, or \$0.05 basic and diluted loss per share of Class A Common Stock, as compared to consolidated net loss of \$1.0 million, or \$0.12 basic and diluted loss per share for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The components of net loss for the three months ended March

31, 2011 and 2010, along with the changes in those components are presented in the table below:

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | March 31, 2010 | Change |
| Net portfolio interest | \$ 1,523 | \$ 1,830 | \$(307) |
| Interest expense on junior subordinated notes | (250) | (550) | 300 |
| Gains (losses) | 248 | (1,903) | 2,151 |
| Net portfolio income (deficiency) | 1,521 | (623) | 2,144 |
| Other (expense) income | (82) | 1,460 | (1,542) |
| Expenses | (1,950) | (1,846) | (104) |
| Net loss | \$(511) | \$(1,009) | \$498 |

Net Portfolio Income

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the REIT generated \$1.5 million of net portfolio interest income, consisting of \$1.6 million of interest income from MBS assets offset by \$0.09 million of interest expense on repurchase liabilities. For the three month period ended March 31, 2010, the REIT generated \$1.8 million of net portfolio interest income, consisting of \$1.9 million of interest income from MBS assets offset by \$0.07 million of interest expense on repurchase liabilities.

The table below provides information on our portfolio average balances, interest income, yield on assets, average repurchase agreement balances, interest expense, cost of funds, net interest income and net interest rate for each quarter in 2011 and 2010.

(dollars in thousands)

| Three Months Ended, | Average | | Yield | | Average | | Net | | Net Interest Spread |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------------------|
| | MBS Securities Held | Interest Income | on Average MBS Securities | Average Repurchase Agreements | Interest Expense | Average Cost of Funds | Portfolio Interest Income | | |
| March 31, 2011 | \$ 126,084 | \$ 1,610 | 5.11 % | \$ 104,259 | \$ 87 | 0.33 % | \$ 1,523 | 4.77 % | |
| December 31, 2010 | 132,955 | 1,497 | 4.51 % | 110,433 | 88 | 0.32 % | 1,409 | 4.19 % | |
| September 30, 2010 | 114,165 | 1,262 | 4.42 % | 89,440 | 69 | 0.31 % | 1,193 | 4.11 % | |
| June 30, 2010 | 99,856 | 1,810 | 7.25 % | 74,163 | 53 | 0.29 % | 1,757 | 6.96 % | |
| March 31, 2010 | 110,914 | 1,898 | 6.85 % | 88,495 | 68 | 0.31 % | 1,830 | 6.54 % | |

Portfolio yields and costs of borrowings presented in the table above and the tables on page 25 are calculated based on the average balances of the underlying investment portfolio/repurchase agreement balances and are annualized for the quarterly and year to date periods presented. Average balances for quarterly periods are calculated using two data points, the beginning and ending balances.

Interest Income and Average Earning Asset Yield

Interest income was \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Average MBS were \$126.1 million and \$110.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The \$0.3 million decrease in interest income was due to the net effect of a 174 basis point decrease in yields and a \$15.2 million increase in average MBS.

The table below presents the average portfolio, income and yields of our respective sub-portfolios, consisting of structured MBS and PT MBS.

(dollars in thousands)

| Three Months Ended, | Average MBS Held | | | Interest Income | | | Realized Yield on Average MBS | | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|---------|-------------------------------|------------|--------|
| | PT | Structured | Total | PT | Structured | Total | PT | Structured | Total |
| | MBS | MBS | | MBS | MBS | | MBS | MBS | |
| March 31, 2011 | \$108,382 | \$17,702 | \$126,084 | \$929 | \$681 | \$1,610 | 3.43 % | 15.39 % | 5.11 % |
| December 31, 2010 | 114,570 | 18,385 | 132,955 | 995 | 502 | 1,497 | 3.48 % | 10.92 % | 4.51 % |
| September 30, 2010 | 93,579 | 20,586 | 114,165 | 834 | 428 | 1,262 | 3.56 % | 8.31 % | 4.42 % |
| June 30, 2010 | 79,691 | 20,165 | 99,856 | 763 | 1,047 | 1,810 | 3.83 % | 20.76 % | 7.25 % |
| March 31, 2010 | 94,493 | 16,421 | 110,914 | 1,051 | 847 | 1,898 | 4.45 % | 20.64 % | 6.85 % |

Interest Expense on Repurchase Agreements and the Cost of Funds

Average outstanding repurchase agreements were \$104.3 million and total interest expense was \$0.09 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, average outstanding repurchase agreements were \$88.5 million and total interest expense was \$0.07 million. Our average cost of funds was 0.33% and 0.31% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The modest increase in interest expense reflects the \$15.8 million increase in average outstanding repurchase agreements and the 2 basis point increase in the average cost of funds for the three months ended March 31, 2011 when compared to the same period ended March 31, 2010.

Since all of our repurchase agreements are short term, changes in market rates directly affect our interest expense. Our average cost of funds was 7 basis points above average one-month LIBOR and 13 basis points below average six-month LIBOR for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The average term to maturity of the outstanding repurchase agreements increased from 28 days at December 31, 2010 to 63 days at March 31, 2011.

(dollars in thousands)

| Three Months Ended, | Average Balance of Repurchase Agreements | Interest Expense | Average Cost of Funds | Average | | Average Cost of Funds Relative to Average One-Month LIBOR | Average Cost of Funds Relative to Average Six-Month LIBOR |
|---------------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | | | | One-Month LIBOR | Six-Month LIBOR | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2011 | \$104,259 | \$87 | 0.33 % | 0.26 % | 0.46 % | 0.07 % | (0.13) % |
| December 31, 2010 | 110,433 | 88 | 0.32 % | 0.26 % | 0.47 % | 0.06 % | (0.15) % |
| September 30, 2010 | 89,440 | 69 | 0.31 % | 0.30 % | 0.61 % | 0.01 % | (0.30) % |
| June 30, 2010 | 74,163 | 53 | 0.29 % | 0.29 % | 0.58 % | 0.00 % | (0.29) % |
| March 31, 2010 | 88,495 | 68 | 0.31 % | 0.24 % | 0.43 % | 0.07 % | (0.12) % |

Junior Subordinated Notes

Interest expense on the Company's junior subordinated debt securities was \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 million compared to \$0.5 million for the comparable period in 2010. The junior subordinated debt securities had a fixed-rate of interest until December 15, 2010, of 7.86% and thereafter, through maturity in 2035, the rate floats at a spread of 3.50% over the prevailing three-month LIBOR rate. As of March 31, 2011, the interest rate was 3.81%. The average rate of interest paid, including the amortization of debt issuance costs, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 3.85% compared to 8.46% for the comparable period in 2010. Interest expense decreased \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010 due to the 461 basis point decrease in interest rates, and amortization of debt issuance costs during the 2010 period.

Gains and Losses

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company reported gains of \$0.2 million on trading securities compared to losses of \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded a gain of \$0.3 million from fair value adjustments compared to a loss of \$0.01 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company realized losses of \$0.02 million on sales proceeds of \$14.3 million compared to losses of \$1.9 million on sales proceeds of \$57.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded losses of \$0.1 million on retained interests in securitizations compared to gains of \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The retained interests in securitizations represent residual interests in loans originated or purchased by Mortco prior to securitization. Fluctuations in value of retained interests are primarily driven by projections of future interest rates (the forward LIBOR curve), the discount rate used to determine the present value of the residual cash flows and prepayment and loss estimates on the underlying mortgage loans.

Operating Expenses

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, Bimini Capital's total operating expenses were approximately \$1.95 million compared to approximately \$1.85 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The table below provides a breakdown of operating expenses for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| Direct REIT operating expenses | \$ 138 | \$ 147 | \$(9) |
| Compensation and benefits | 501 | 521 | (20) |
| Accounting and auditing fees | 184 | 150 | 34 |
| Legal fees | 759 | 530 | 229 |
| Directors' fees and liability insurance | 150 | 128 | 22 |
| Other G&A expenses | 218 | 370 | (152) |
| | \$ 1,950 | \$ 1,846 | \$ 104 |

Financial Condition:

Mortgage-Backed Securities

As of March 31, 2011, the MBS portfolio consisted of \$117.0 million of agency or government MBS at fair value and had a weighted average coupon on assets of 3.70%. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we received principal repayments of \$7.2 million compared to \$6.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The average prepayment speeds for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were 17.2% and 28.8%, respectively. (See table below for additional prepayment data).

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-Q

The following tables summarize certain characteristics of Bimini Capital's agency and government mortgage related securities as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)

| Asset Category | Fair Value | Percentage of Entire Portfolio | Weighted Average Coupon | Weighted Average Maturity in Months | Longest Maturity | Weighted Average Reset in Months | Weighted Average Lifetime Cap | Weighted Average Periodic Cap |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable Rate MBS | \$ 62,597 | 53.5% | 2.48% | 276 | 1-Jan-41 | 4.13 | 10.19% | 2.00% |
| Fixed Rate MBS | 34,440 | 29.4% | 4.83% | 177 | 1-Apr-36 | NA | NA | NA |
| Hybrid Adjustable Rate MBS | 2,472 | 2.1% | 5.17% | 292 | 1-Aug-35 | 15.03 | 10.17% | 2.00% |
| Total Mortgage-backed Pass-through | 99,509 | 85.0% | 3.36% | 242 | 1-Jan-41 | 4.55 | 10.19% | 2.00% |
| Structured MBS | 17,525 | 15.0% | 5.64% | 265 | 16-Nov-39 | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Mortgage Assets | \$ 117,034 | 100.0% | 3.70% | 246 | 1-Jan-41 | 4.55 | NA | 2.00% |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable Rate MBS | \$ 64,458 | 47.7% | 2.83% | 279 | 1-Jan-41 | 2.72 | 10.19% | 2.00% |
| Fixed Rate MBS | 50,013 | 37.0% | 4.90% | 178 | 1-Apr-36 | NA | NA | NA |
| Hybrid Adjustable Rate MBS | 2,783 | 2.1% | 5.18% | 295 | 1-Aug-35 | 18.03 | 10.18% | 2.00% |
| Total Mortgage-backed Pass-through | 117,254 | 86.8% | 3.77% | 236 | 1-Jan-41 | 3.35 | 10.19% | 2.00% |
| Structured MBS | 17,879 | 13.2% | 5.83% | 272 | 16-Nov-39 | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Mortgage Assets | \$ 135,133 | 100.0% | 4.04% | 241 | 1-Jan-41 | 3.35 | NA | 2.00% |

(in thousands)

| Agency | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | Fair Value | Percentage of Entire Portfolio | | Fair Value | Percentage of Entire Portfolio | |
| Fannie Mae | \$87,418 | 74.69 | % | \$103,568 | 76.64 | % |
| Freddie Mac | 24,521 | 20.95 | % | 25,710 | 19.03 | % |
| Ginnie Mae | 5,095 | 4.35 | % | 5,855 | 4.33 | % |
| Total Portfolio | \$117,034 | 100.00 | % | \$135,133 | 100.0 | % |
| Entire Portfolio | | | | | | |
| Weighted Average Pass Through Purchase Price | | | | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | |
| Weighted Average Structured Purchase Price | | | | \$104.3 | \$104.44 | |
| Weighted Average Pass Through Current Price | | | | \$5.57 | \$5.46 | |
| Weighted Average Structured Current Price | | | | \$105.12 | \$105.29 | |
| Effective Duration (1) | | | | \$6.35 | \$5.98 | |
| | | | | 0.938 | 1.024 | |

(1) Effective duration of 0.938 indicates that an interest rate increase of 1.0% would be expected to cause a 0.938% decrease in the value of the MBS in the Company's investment portfolio at March 31, 2011. An effective duration of 1.024 indicates that an interest rate increase of 1.0% would be expected to cause a 1.024% decrease in the value of the

MBS in the Company's investment portfolio at December 31, 2010. These figures include the structured securities in the portfolio.

The following table presents the CPR experienced on our structured and pass-through (“PT”) Mortgage-Backed Securities sub-portfolios, on an annualized basis, for the quarterly periods presented.

| | PT MBS Sub- Portfolio | Structured MBS Sub- Portfolio | Total Portfolio |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Three Months Ended, | | | |
| March 31, 2011 | 12.0 | 19.1 | 17.2 |
| December 31, 2010 | 11.7 | 34.5 | 28.3 |
| September 30, 2010 | 17.2 | 35.0 | 30.6 |
| June 30, 2010 | 27.8 | 44.9 | 42.1 |
| March 31, 2010 | 9.2 | 33.3 | 28.8 |

Bimini Capital’s portfolio of PT MBS will typically be comprised of adjustable-rate MBS, fixed-rate MBS and hybrid adjustable-rate MBS. Bimini Capital seeks to acquire low duration assets that offer high levels of protection from mortgage prepayments. Although the duration of an individual asset can change as a result of changes in interest rates, Bimini Capital strives to maintain a PT MBS portfolio with an effective duration of less than 2.0. The stated contractual final maturity of the mortgage loans underlying Bimini Capital’s portfolio of PT MBS generally ranges up to 30 years. However, the effect of prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans tends to shorten the resulting cash flows from Bimini Capital’s investments substantially. Prepayments occur for various reasons, including refinancing of underlying mortgages and loan payoffs in connection with home sales.

The duration of Bimini Capital’s interest only (“IO”) and inverse interest only (“IIO”) portfolio will vary greatly owing to the structural features of the securities. While prepayment activity will always affect the cash flows associated with the securities, the interest only nature of IO’s may cause their durations to become extremely negative when prepayments are high, and less negative when prepayments are low. With respect to IIO’s, prepayments affect their durations in a similar fashion to that of IO’s, but the floating rate nature of their coupon (which is inversely related to the level of one month LIBOR) cause their price movements – and model duration - to be affected by changes in both prepayments and one month LIBOR – both current and anticipated levels. As a result, the duration of IIO securities will also vary greatly.

Prepayments on the loans underlying Bimini Capital’s MBS can alter the timing of the cash flows from the underlying loans to the Company. As a result, Bimini Capital gauges the interest rate sensitivity of its assets by measuring their effective duration. While modified duration measures the price sensitivity of a bond to movements in interest rates, effective duration captures both the movement in interest rates and the fact that cash flows to a mortgage related security are altered when interest rates move. Accordingly, when the contract interest rate on a mortgage loan is substantially above prevailing interest rates in the market, the effective duration of securities collateralized by such loans can be quite low because of expected prepayments. Although some of the fixed-rate MBS in Bimini Capital’s portfolio are collateralized by loans with a lower propensity to prepay when the contract rate is above prevailing rates, their price movements track securities with like contract rates and therefore exhibit similar effective duration.

Bimini Capital faces the risk that the market value of its assets will increase or decrease at different rates than that of its liabilities, including its hedging instruments. Accordingly, the Company assesses its interest rate risk by estimating the duration of its assets and the duration of its liabilities. Bimini Capital generally calculates duration using various third party models. However, empirical results and different third party models may produce different duration numbers for the same securities.

The following sensitivity analysis shows the estimated impact on the fair value of Bimini Capital's interest rate-sensitive investments as of March 31, 2011, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points ("bps"), rise 100 bps and rise 200 bps:

(in thousands)

| | Fair Value | \$ Change in Fair Value | | | % Change in Fair Value | | |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | -100BPS | +100BPS | +200BPS | -100BPS | +100BPS | +200BPS |
| Adjustable Rate | | | | | | | |
| MBS | \$62,597 | \$1,011 | \$(1,011) | \$(2,021) | 1.61 % | (1.61)% | (3.23)% |
| Hybrid | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable Rate | | | | | | | |
| MBS | 2,472 | 39 | (39) | (77) | 1.56 % | (1.56)% | (3.12)% |
| Fixed Rate MBS | 34,440 | 1,168 | (1,168) | (2,336) | 3.39 % | (3.39)% | (6.78)% |
| Structured MBS | 17,525 | (1,120) | 1,120 | 2,239 | (6.39)% | 6.39 % | 12.78 % |
| Portfolio Total | \$117,034 | \$1,098 | \$(1,098) | \$(2,195) | 0.94 % | (0.94)% | (1.88)% |

The table below reflects the same analysis presented above but with the figures in the columns that indicate the estimated impact of a 100 bps fall or rise adjusted to reflect the impact of convexity.

(in thousands)

| | Fair Value | \$ Change in Fair Value | | | % Change in Fair Value | | |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | -100BPS | +100BPS | +200BPS | -100BPS | +100BPS | +200BPS |
| Adjustable Rate | | | | | | | |
| MBS | \$62,597 | \$684 | \$(1,167) | \$(2,680) | 1.09 % | (1.86)% | (4.28)% |
| Hybrid | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable Rate | | | | | | | |
| MBS | 2,472 | 2 | (47) | (107) | 0.09 % | (1.90)% | (4.34)% |
| Fixed Rate MBS | 34,440 | 896 | (1,305) | (2,720) | 2.60 % | (3.79)% | (7.90)% |
| Structured MBS | 17,525 | (2,073) | 400 | (531) | (11.83)% | 2.28 % | (3.03)% |
| Portfolio Total | \$117,034 | \$(491) | \$(2,119) | \$(6,038) | (0.42)% | (1.81)% | (5.16)% |

The Company has economically hedged a portion of its interest rate risk by entering into Eurodollar futures contracts. The Company did not elect hedging treatment under the applicable accounting standards, and as such, all gains and losses on these instruments are reflected in earnings. The table below reflects the impact on operations as of March 31, 2011, assuming rates fall 100 bps, rise 100 bps and rise 200 bps:

(in thousands)

| | Notional Amount | \$ Change in Fair Value | | | % Change in Fair Value | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | -100BPS | +100BPS | +200BPS | -100BPS | +100BPS | +200BPS |
| Repurchase Agreement | | | | | | | |
| Hedges | \$20,000 | \$(264) | \$350 | \$700 | (1.32)% | 1.75 % | 3.50 % |
| Junior Subordinated | | | | | | | |
| Debt Hedges | 26,000 | (408) | 520 | 1,040 | (1.57)% | 2.00 % | 4.00 % |
| Portfolio Total | \$46,000 | \$(672) | \$870 | \$1,740 | (1.46)% | 1.89 % | 3.78 % |

In addition to changes in interest rates, other factors impact the fair value of Bimini Capital's interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest

rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of Bimini Capital's assets would likely differ from that shown above and such difference might be material and adverse to Bimini Capital's stockholders.

Repurchase Agreements

As of March 31, 2011, Bimini Capital had established borrowing facilities in the repurchase agreement market with four counterparties which we believe provide borrowing capacity in excess of our needs. None of these lenders are affiliated with Bimini Capital. As of March 31, 2011, we had funding in place with two of those counterparties. These borrowings are secured by Bimini Capital's MBS and bear interest rates that are based on a spread to LIBOR.

As of March 31, 2011, Bimini Capital had obligations outstanding under the net repurchase agreements of approximately \$94.9 million with a net weighted average borrowing cost of 0.33%. The remaining maturity of Bimini Capital's outstanding repurchase agreement obligations ranged from 40 to 98 days, with a weighted average maturity of 63 days. Securing the repurchase agreement obligation as of March 31, 2011, are MBS with an estimated fair value, including accrued interest, of \$99.8 million and a weighted average maturity of 242 months. Through May 16, 2011, the Company has been able to maintain its repurchase facilities with its counterparties with comparable terms to those that existed at March 31, 2011 with maturities through September 7, 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is our ability to turn non-cash assets into cash, purchase additional investments, repay principal and interest on borrowings, fund overhead, fulfill margin calls and pay dividends. Our principal immediate sources of liquidity include cash balances and unused borrowing capacity under repurchase agreements. Our balance sheet also generates liquidity on an on-going basis through payments of principal and interest we receive on our MBS portfolio, and from cash flows received by Mortco from the residual interests and the collection of servicing advances. Because our MBS portfolio consists entirely of government and agency securities, we do not anticipate having difficulty converting our assets to cash should our liquidity needs ever exceed our immediately available sources of cash.

Bimini Capital's master repurchase agreements have no stated expiration, but can be terminated at any time at Bimini Capital's option or at the option of the counterparty. However, once a definitive repurchase agreement under a master repurchase agreement has been entered into, it generally may not be terminated by either party. A negotiated termination can occur, but may involve a fee to be paid by the party seeking to terminate the repurchase agreement transaction.

Under our repurchase agreements, we may be required to pledge additional assets to our repurchase agreement counterparties in the event the estimated fair value of the existing pledged collateral under such agreements declines and such lenders demand additional collateral, which may take the form of additional securities or cash. Similarly, if the estimated fair value of our pledged collateral increases due to changes in market interest rates or market factors, lenders may release collateral back to us. Through March 31, 2011, we did not have any margin calls on our repurchase agreements that we were not able to satisfy with either cash or additional pledged collateral. However, should prepayment speeds and/or market interest rates suddenly increase on the mortgages underlying our MBS, margin calls on our repurchase agreements could result, causing an adverse change in our liquidity position.

As a result of losses incurred during and after the period when Mortco operated a mortgage loan origination business, the Company was forced to materially downsize its investment portfolio to raise cash, and was left with a depleted capital base. This period covered the years 2006, 2007 and 2008. One consequence of this development was the Company had progressively less access to funding via repurchase agreements and from fewer counterparties. The Company therefore opted to augment its existing leveraged MBS portfolio with alternative sources of income. The Company developed an alternative investment strategy utilizing structured MBS with comparable borrower and prepayment characteristics to the securities historically held in the portfolio. Such securities are not funded in the repurchase market but instead are purchased directly, thus reducing – but not eliminating - the Company's reliance on access to repurchase agreement funding. The leverage inherent in the securities replaces the leverage obtained by acquiring PT securities and funding them in the repurchase market. This structured MBS strategy is now a core element of the Company's overall investment strategy.

In October 2005, Bimini Capital completed a private offering of \$51.5 million of trust preferred securities of Bimini Capital Trust II ("BCTII") resulting in the issuance by Bimini Capital of an additional \$51.5 million of junior subordinated notes. On October 21, 2009, the Company purchased \$24 million of trust preferred capital securities issued by BCT II. The total cost for the transaction, including fees was approximately \$14.5 million. The Company

cancelled the trust preferred capital securities and the \$24.74 million of its junior subordinated notes issued to BCT II. As of March 31, 2011, \$26.8 million of the trust preferred securities of BCT II remain outstanding.

Outlook

During 2009, the Company executed two transactions whereby \$74 million of its \$100 million trust preferred debt was extinguished early. As a result, the Company's balance sheet is substantially less leveraged and the associated interest charges have been reduced accordingly. Following the financial crisis of 2008/2009, the repurchase agreement funding markets have returned to a level of functionality so that most firms managing levered agency PT MBS portfolios have adequate access to funding. Further, the Company has been able to reduce the necessity to access the repo funding market with its alternative investment strategy. The current growth rate of the U.S. economy has not been sufficient to allow the Federal Reserve to remove accommodations made available to the economy, namely low levels of the Federal Funds rate. This directly impacts the funding levels available to the Company. The combination of the low funding rate levels and the alternative investment strategy has enabled the Company to continue to generate net interest margins ("NIM") above our historical average.

Nonetheless, the reduced size of the portfolio in relation to the Company's operating expenses will constrain the earnings potential of the Company in the near term. Given the reduced size of its portfolio, even with the benefit of the alternative investment strategy, no assurance can be made of the Company's ability to generate sufficient net interest income to cover all of its costs. The Company's ability to generate sufficient net interest income to cover its fixed and variable operating costs will also be impacted by funding costs, which in turn will depend on how long funding rates will remain at current low levels.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Company's accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

GAAP requires the Company's management to make some complex and subjective decisions and assessments. The Company's most critical accounting policies involve decisions and assessments which could significantly affect reported assets and liabilities, as well as reported revenues and expenses. The Company believes that all of the decisions and assessments upon which its financial statements are based were reasonable at the time made based upon information available to it at that time. There have been no changes to our accounting policies as discussed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not Applicable.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report (the "evaluation date"), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("the CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("the CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, were effective as of the evaluation date (1) in ensuring that information regarding the Company and its subsidiaries is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, by our employees,

as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and (2) in providing reasonable assurance that information the Company must disclose in its periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods prescribed by the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are involved in various lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that we have asserted against others, in which monetary and other damages are sought. Except as described below, these lawsuits and claims relate primarily to contractual disputes arising out of the ordinary course of our business. The outcome of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable. However, we believe that, in the aggregate, the outcome of all lawsuits and claims involving us will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or liquidity; however, any such outcome may be material to the results of operations of any particular quarterly reporting period in which costs, if any, are recognized. See also Note 9 to our accompanying consolidated financial statements.

A complaint by a note-holder in Preferred Term Securities XX ("PreTSL XX") was filed on July 16, 2010 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, against Bimini Capital Management, Inc. ("Bimini"), the Bank of New York Mellon ("BNYM"), PreTSL XX, Ltd. and Hexagon Securities, LLC ("Hexagon"). The complaint, filed by Hildene Capital Management, LLC and Hildene Opportunities Fund, Ltd. ("Hildene"), alleges that Hildene suffered losses as a result of Bimini's repurchase of all outstanding fixed/floating rate capital securities of Bimini Capital Trust II for less than par value from PreTSL XX in October 2009. Hildene has alleged claims against BNYM for breach of the Indenture, breach of fiduciary duties and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and claims against Bimini for tortious interference with contract, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and "rescission/illegality". Plaintiff also alleges derivative claims brought in the name of Nominal Defendant BNYM (On May 2, 2011, Hexagon and Nominal Defendant PreTSL XX were voluntarily dismissed without prejudice by Hildene.) On January 11, 2011, the Court so ordered the parties' stipulation agreeing to stay this action pending resolution of a previously-filed federal action related to the same transaction. The federal action was dismissed by stipulation of the parties on March 23, 2011. Bimini denies that the repurchase was improper and intends to defend the suit vigorously.

On June 14, 2007, a complaint was filed in the Circuit Court of the Twelfth Judicial District in and for Manatee County, Florida by Coast Bank of Florida ("Coast") against Mortco seeking monetary damages and specific performance and alleging breach of an alleged oral contract for allegedly failing to convert approximately fifty construction loans to permanent financing. Mortco denies that there was an agreement and has several defenses to Coast's claims. The parties attempted mediation and conducted limited discovery but the case had been relatively inactive for several years. On May 3, 2011, the Court set a non-jury trial to begin on January 17, 2012. The parties will conduct fact and expert discovery through 2011. Mortco plans to continue to defend this matter vigorously.

On March 2, 2011, Orchid Island TRS, LLC, formerly known as Opteum Financial Services, LLC and presently known as Mortco TRS, LLC ("Opteum Financial") and Opteum Mortgage Acceptance Corporation ("Opteum Acceptance") (collectively referred to herein as "Opteum") received a cover letter dated March 1, 2011 from Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company ("Mass Mutual") enclosing a draft complaint against Opteum. In summary, Mass Mutual alleges that it purchased residential mortgage-backed securities offered by Opteum in August 2005 and the first quarter of 2006 and that Opteum made false representations and warranties in connection with the sale of the securities in violation of Mass Gen. Laws Ch. 110A § 410(a)(2) (the "Massachusetts Blue Sky Law"). In its cover letter, Mass Mutual claims it is entitled to damages in excess of \$25 million. However, no monetary demand is

contained within the enclosed draft complaint, and the actual damages Mass Mutual claims to have incurred is uncertain.

Mass Mutual has not filed the complaint or initiated litigation. Pursuant to its request, on March 14, 2011 Mass Mutual and Opteum entered into a Tolling Agreement so that Mass Mutual could address its allegations against Opteum without incurring litigation costs. Mass Mutual has not yet contacted Opteum to schedule such discussions.

Opteum denies Opteum Financial or Opteum Acceptance, individually or collectively, made false representations and warranties in connection with the sale of securities to Mass Mutual. As of May 16, 2011, Mass Mutual has taken no action to prosecute its claim against Opteum, and the range of loss or potential loss, if any, cannot reasonably be estimated. Should Mass Mutual initiate litigation, Opteum will defend such litigation vigorously.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The materialization of any risks and uncertainties identified in our forward looking statements contained in this report together with those previously disclosed in the Form 10-K or those that are presently unforeseen could result in significant adverse effects on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 31,594 and 21,574 shares of Class A Common Stock to Robert J. Dwyer and Frank E. Jaumot, respectively, in consideration for their service on the Company's Board of Directors and on certain committees of the Board of Directors. The shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, contained in Section 4(2) thereof.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The table below presents share repurchase activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

| | Class A | | Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs(b) | Remaining Buyback Authority(b) | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| | Common | Shares Repurchased (a) | | Weighted-average Per Share Price | Amounts | Shares |
| January 2011 | - | - | \$ - | - | \$650,656 | - |
| February 2011 | - | - | - | - | 650,656 | - |
| March 2011 | 655 | 0.91 | - | - | 650,656 | - |
| Three Months ended March 31, 2011 | 655 | \$ 0.91 | - | - | | |

a Consists of shares acquired by the Corporation in connection with the satisfaction of tax withholding obligations on vested equity incentive compensation shares.

b On June 29, 2010, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to buy up to \$1 million of its Class A Common Stock.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

Exhibit No.

- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form S-11/A, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2004
- 3.2 Articles Supplementary, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 3, 2005, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2005
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 10, 2006, filed with the SEC on February 15, 2006
- 3.4 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 24, 2007, filed with the SEC on September 24, 2007
- 3.5 Certificate of Notice, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 28, 2008, filed with the SEC on February 1, 2008
- 3.6 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 27, 2008, filed with the SEC on May 29, 2008
- 3.7 Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 24, 2007, filed with the SEC on September 24, 2007
- 3.8 Articles Supplementary, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 19, 2010, filed with the SEC on January 22, 2010
- 3.9 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2010
- 3.10 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2010
- 3.11 Articles Supplementary, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2010
- †10.1 Bimini Capital Management, Inc. 2003 Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended September 28, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2007
- †10.2 Bimini Capital Management, Inc. 2004 Performance Bonus Plan, as amended September 28, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2007
- †10.3 Form of Phantom Share Award Agreement incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2007
- †10.4 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2007
- †10.5 Separation Agreement and General Release, dated as of June 29, 2007, by and among Opteum Inc., Opteum Financial Services, LLC and Peter R. Norden, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on July 5, 2007
- †10.6 Separation Agreement and General Release by and between Bimini Capital Management, Inc. and Jeffrey J. Zimmer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 14, 2008, filed with the SEC on April 16, 2008
- †10.7 Retention and Severance Agreement between Bimini Capital Management, Inc. and G. Hunter Haas, IV, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-Q

- 8-K, dated April 18, 2008, filed with the SEC on April 18, 2008
- †10.8 Retention and Severance Agreement between Bimini Capital Management, Inc. and J. Christopher Clifton, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2008, filed with the SEC on April 18, 2008
- †10.9 Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2006, by and between Opteum Inc. and J. Christopher Clifton, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 11, 2008, filed with the SEC on August 11, 2008
- †10.10 Agreement dated as of June 30, 2009, by and between Bimini Capital Management, Inc. and Robert E. Cauley, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 2009, filed with the SEC on July 2, 2009
- †10.11 Agreement dated as of June 30, 2009, by and between Bimini Capital Management, Inc. and G. Hunter Haas, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 2009, filed with the SEC on July 2, 2009
- 10.12 Voting Agreement, among certain stockholders of Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc., Jeffrey J. Zimmer, Robert E. Cauley, Amber K. Luedke, George H. Haas, IV, Kevin L. Bespolka, Maureen A. Hendricks, W. Christopher Mortenson, Buford H. Ortale, Peter Norden, certain of Mr. Norden's affiliates, Jason Kaplan, certain of Mr. Kaplan's affiliates and other former owners of Opteum Financial Services, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(D) to the Schedule 13D, dated November 3, 2005, filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005
- 10.13 Membership Interest Purchase, Option and Investor Rights Agreement among Opteum Inc., Opteum Financial Services, LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated as of December 21, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 21, 2006, filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006
- 10.14 Seventh Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Orchid Island TRS, LLC, dated as of July 20, 2007, made and entered into by Opteum Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2007
- 10.15 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated May 7, 2007, by and among Opteum Financial Services, LLC, Opteum Inc. and Prospect Mortgage Company, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 7, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2007
- 10.16 First Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated June 30, 2007, by and among Metrocities Mortgage, LLC – Opteum Division, Opteum Financial Services, LLC and Opteum Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on July 5, 2007
- 10.17 Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated May 27, 2008, by and among Bimini Capital Management, Inc., Orchid Island TRS, LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 27, 2008, filed with the SEC on May 29, 2008
- 10.18 Eighth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company of Orchid Island TRS, LLC, dated as of May 27, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 27, 2008, filed with the SEC on May 29, 2008
- 10.19 Amended and Restated Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of September 26, 2005, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as trustee.
- 10.20 Second Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2005, among the Company, as depositor, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as property trustee, Chase Bank USA, National Association, as Delaware trustee and the Administrative Trustees named therein.
- 10.21 Indenture, dated as of October 5, 2005, between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, as debenture trustee.

10.22 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of October 5, 2005, by and among Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as institutional trustee, the Company, as sponsor, and Jeffrey J. Zimmer, Robert E. Cauley and Amber K. Luedke, as administrators.

*31.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

*31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

*32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

*32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Filed herewith.

† Management compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

Date: May 16, 2011 By: /s/ Robert E. Cauley
Robert E. Cauley
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 16, 2011 By: /s/ G. Hunter Haas
G. Hunter Haas IV
President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief
Investment Officer and Treasurer (Principal
Financial Officer and Principal Accounting
Officer)