

1ST CONSTITUTION BANCORP
Form DEF 14A
April 27, 2010
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

1st Constitution Bancorp
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2. Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3. Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4. Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5. Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Edgar Filing: 1ST CONSTITUTION BANCORP - Form DEF 14A

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1. Amount Previously Paid:
 2. Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 3. Filing Party:
 4. Date Filed:
-

1ST CONSTITUTION BANCORP
P.O. Box 634
2650 Route 130 North
Cranbury, New Jersey 08512

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD THURSDAY, MAY 27, 2010

To Our Shareholders:

The 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of 1st Constitution Bancorp will be held on Thursday, May 27, 2010 at 3:00 p.m. Eastern Time at the Forsgate Country Club, 375 Forsgate Drive, Monroe Township, New Jersey.

At the Annual Meeting, shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following matters:

1. The election of two directors to the Company's Board of Directors;
2. The ratification of the selection of ParenteBeard LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2010 fiscal year;
3. A non-binding advisory resolution on executive compensation; and
4. The conduct of other business if properly raised.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on April 6, 2010 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you contemplate attending the Annual Meeting, we suggest that you promptly execute the enclosed proxy and return it to the Company. You may revoke your proxy at any time prior to the exercise of the proxy by delivering to the Company a later-dated proxy or by delivering a written notice of revocation to the Company.

The Board of Directors of the Company believes that the election of the nominees and the proposals being submitted to the shareholders are in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders and urges you to vote in favor of the nominees and the proposals.

Important notice regarding the availability of proxy materials for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders: The Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and 2009 Annual Report to Shareholders are available at: <http://www.cfpproxy.com/4584>.

By Order of the Board of Directors

ROBERT F. MANGANO
President and Chief Executive Officer

Cranbury, New Jersey
April 27, 2010

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

To assure your representation at the Annual Meeting, please vote your proxy as promptly as possible, whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. The prompt return of proxies will save the Company the expense of further requests for proxies to ensure a quorum at the Annual Meeting. A stamped self-addressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

1ST CONSTITUTION BANCORP

P.O. Box 634
2650 Route 130 North
Cranbury, New Jersey 08512

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING
OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 27, 2010

GENERAL PROXY STATEMENT INFORMATION

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors" or the "Board") of 1st Constitution Bancorp (the "Company"), for use at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on May 27, 2010, at 3:00 p.m. Eastern Time, at the Forsgate Country Club, 375 Forsgate Drive, Monroe Township, New Jersey and at any postponement or adjournment of the Annual Meeting.

The first date on which this proxy statement and the enclosed form of proxy are being sent to the shareholders of the Company is on or about April 27, 2010.

The Company's principal executive office is P.O. Box 634, 2650 Route 130 North, Cranbury, New Jersey 08512. 1st Constitution Bank is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and is sometimes referred to as the "Bank."

Outstanding Securities and Voting Rights and Procedures

The Board of Directors fixed the close of business of the Company (5:00 p.m. Eastern Time) on April 6, 2010 as the record date and time for determining shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. Only shareholders of record as of that date and hour will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting.

On the record date, there were 4,526,107 shares of common stock of the Company outstanding and eligible to be voted at the Annual Meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter properly brought before the Annual Meeting. Other than Company common stock, there are no other outstanding securities of the Company entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If the enclosed proxy card is properly signed by a shareholder and is not revoked, the shares represented thereby will be voted at the Annual Meeting in the manner specified on the proxy. However, if a proxy solicited by the Board of Directors does not specify how it is to be voted, it will be voted as the Board recommends, that is, (a) "FOR" the election of the two nominees for director named in this proxy statement; (b) "FOR" the ratification of the selection of ParenteBeard LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2010 fiscal year; (c) "FOR" the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and (d) in connection with the conduct of other business, if properly raised, in accordance with the judgment of the person or persons voting the proxy. If, for any reason, either nominee for director is unable or unavailable to serve or for good cause will not serve, an event that we do not anticipate, the shares represented by the accompanying proxy will be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board or the size of the Board may be reduced.

If any other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, such as consideration of a motion to adjourn the Annual Meeting to another time or place, the persons named as proxies will have discretion to vote on those matters according to their best judgment to the same extent as the person delivering the proxy would be entitled to vote, unless the shareholder otherwise specifies in the proxy. At the date of this proxy statement, we do not anticipate that any other matters will be raised at the Annual Meeting.

Required Vote

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote generally is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker “non-votes” are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. A broker “non-vote” occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary power with respect to that item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Certain proposals, such as the ratification of the appointment of auditors and the non-binding advisory resolution on executive compensation, are considered “routine” matters and brokers generally may vote on behalf of beneficial owners who have not furnished voting instructions. For “non-routine” proposals, such as the election of directors, brokers may not vote on the proposals unless they have received voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

The affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to elect a director, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to ratify the selection of ParenteBeard LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2010 fiscal year and the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to approve the non-binding advisory resolution on executive compensation. Thus, an abstention or a broker non-vote will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on the election of a director or the ratification of the appointment of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm at the Annual Meeting or the advisory resolution on executive compensation.

Election inspectors appointed for the Annual Meeting will tabulate the votes cast by proxy or in person at the meeting. The election inspectors will determine whether or not a quorum is present. Votes will NOT be considered cast if the shares are not voted for any reason, including an abstention indicated as such on a written proxy or ballot, if directions are given in a written proxy to withhold votes, or if the votes are withheld by a broker.

Revocability of Proxies

Any shareholder giving a proxy has the right to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting in person. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, or other holder of record, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the holder of record to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting. If you submit a proxy and then wish to change your vote, you will need to revoke the proxy that you have submitted. You can revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised by voting in person at the Annual Meeting or by delivery of a properly executed, later-dated proxy or a written revocation of your proxy. A later-dated proxy or written revocation must be received before the meeting by the Corporate Secretary of the Company, at P.O. Box 634, 2650 Route 130 North, Cranbury, New Jersey 08512, or it must be delivered to the Corporate Secretary at the Annual Meeting before proxies are voted.

Multiple Copies of Annual Report and Proxy Statement

When more than one holder of Company common stock shares the same address, we may deliver only one annual report and one proxy statement to that address unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of those shareholders. Similarly, brokers and other intermediaries holding shares of Company common stock in “street name” for more than one beneficial owner with the same address may deliver only one annual report and one proxy statement to that address if they have received consent from the beneficial owners of the stock.

We will deliver promptly, upon written or oral request, a separate copy of the annual report and proxy statement to any shareholder, including a beneficial owner of stock held in “street name,” at a shared address to which a single copy of either of those documents was delivered. You may make such a request in writing to Joseph M. Reardon, Senior Vice President and Treasurer, 1st Constitution Bancorp, at P.O. Box 634, 2650 Route 130 North, Cranbury, New Jersey 08512, or by calling Mr. Reardon at (609) 655-4500. This proxy statement and the annual report are available at: <http://www.cfpproxy.com/4584>.

You may also contact Mr. Reardon at the address or telephone number above if you are a shareholder of record of the Company and you wish to receive a separate annual report and proxy statement in the future, or if you are currently receiving multiple copies of our annual report and proxy statement and want to request delivery of a single copy in the future. If your shares are held in “street name” and you want to increase or decrease the number of copies of our annual report and proxy statement delivered to your household in the future, you should contact the broker or other intermediary who holds the shares on your behalf.

Solicitation of Proxies

This proxy solicitation is being made by the Board. The cost of the solicitation will be borne by the Company. In addition to the use of the mails, proxies may be solicited personally or by telephone, facsimile, email, or other electronic means by officers, directors and employees of the Company. We will not specially compensate those persons for such solicitation activities. Although we do not expect to do so, we may retain a proxy-soliciting firm to assist us in soliciting proxies. If so, we would pay the proxy-soliciting firm a fee and reimburse it for certain out-of-pocket expenses. Arrangements may be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for forwarding solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of common stock held of record by such persons, and we will reimburse such persons for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding the materials.

Smaller Reporting Company

The Company has elected to prepare this proxy statement and other annual and periodic reports as a “smaller reporting company” consistent with rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) effective February 4, 2008.

ITEM 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's Board of Directors is divided into three separate classes of directors, designated as Class I, Class II, and Class III. Directors in Class I are serving a three-year term which expires in 2012; directors in Class II are serving a three-year term which expires in 2010; and the director in Class III is serving a three-year term which expires in 2011, and in each case until their successors are duly elected and qualified. At each annual meeting, one class of directors will be elected for terms of three years to succeed those directors in the class whose terms then expire.

The Company's certificate of incorporation requires each class of directors to consist as nearly as possible of one-third of the authorized number of directors. In the event that a nominee stands for election as a director at an annual meeting as a result of an increase by the Board of Directors of the authorized number of directors and such nominee is to serve in a class of directors whose term is not expiring at such annual meeting, the nominee, if elected, may stand for an initial term expiring concurrent with the expiration of the term of the directors in the class to which such nominee is elected as a director.

The director nominees for election at the Annual Meeting are the two nominees for election as Class II directors, William M. Rue and Frank E. Walsh, III, who, if elected, will serve a three-year term expiring in 2013 and until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

The number of nominees was determined by the Board of Directors pursuant to the Company's by-laws. If, for any reason, either nominee for director is unable or unavailable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the shares represented by the accompanying proxy will be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board or the size of the Board may be reduced. The Board believes that the named nominees are available, and, if elected, will be able to serve.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following tables set forth (i) the name, age and class of the nominees for election to director, the names, ages and classes of the directors whose terms extend beyond 2010 and the name and age of the executive officer of the Company who does not also serve as director of the Company, (ii) the other positions and offices presently held by such persons with the Company, if any, (iii) the period during which such persons have served on the Board of Directors, if applicable, (iv) the expiration of each director's term as director and (v) the principal occupations and employment of such persons during the past five years. Additional biographical information for each person follows the tables.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION AT 2010 ANNUAL MEETING

Name and Position with the Company, if any	Age	Class	Director Since	Expiration of Term	Principal Occupation
William M. Rue, Director and Corporate Secretary	62	II	1999	2010	President, Rue Insurance/Trenton, New Jersey and President, Rue Financial Services, Inc./Trenton, New Jersey
Frank E. Walsh, III, Director	43	II	1999	2010	Vice President, Jupiter Capital

Management/Morristown,
New Jersey

Director Nominees at 2010 Annual Meeting

Set forth below are the names of, and certain biographical information regarding, the director nominees.

William M. Rue has been President of Rue Insurance, an insurance agency, and Rue Financial Services, Inc., a financial services provider, each of which has its principal office in Trenton, New Jersey, since 1969 and 2002, respectively. Mr. Rue is also a director of Selective Insurance Group, Inc. Mr. Rue has been a Chartered Property Casualty Underwriter since 1972 and an Associate in Risk Management since 1994. Mr. Rue also serves as a trustee of Rider University, a director of the Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital at Hamilton and a director of the Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital at Hamilton Foundation. Mr. Rue is a Chartered Property Insurance Underwriter (CPCU), an Associate in Risk Management (ARM) and a Certified Insurance Counselor (CIC).

Mr. Rue is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors and brings valuable insight to our Board of Directors as a result of his broad range of business skills and his insurance and financial literacy and expertise. Mr. Rue honed these skills and expertise during his long and successful business career, in which he served as president of an insurance company and president of a financial services provider, as well as his service on non-profit boards of directors.

Frank E. Walsh, III has been a Vice President of Jupiter Capital Management based in Morristown, New Jersey, since 1990. Jupiter Capital Management and its affiliated entities make investments across numerous asset classes for their clients. Mr. Walsh is partner at WR Capital Partners, LLC, a private equity investment partnership, a successor to Wesray Capital which Mr. Walsh joined as an associate in 1990. Prior to joining such organization, Mr. Walsh was an analyst for Kidder Peabody, Inc., in New York City. Mr. Walsh serves as a director and as a member of the audit committee for West Point Terminals, a public company the shares of which trade on the Toronto Stock Exchange and as a director on a board of a charitable organization.

Mr. Walsh is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his business skills and experience, executive leadership expertise and investment acumen developed during his long career at Jupiter Capital Management and WR Capital Partners, LLC, and his service on other boards.

DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXTEND BEYOND THE 2010 ANNUAL MEETING

Name and Position with the Company, if any	Age	Class	Director Since	Expiration of Term	Principal Occupation
Charles S. Crow, III, Chairman of the Board	60	I	1999	2013	Attorney, Crow & Associates, Princeton, New Jersey
David C. Reed, Director	59	I	2004	2013	CEO, Mapleton Nurseries/ Kingston, New Jersey and Managing Director, Reed & Company/Princeton, New Jersey
Robert F. Mangano, Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	64	III	1999	2011	President and Chief Executive Officer, 1st Constitution Bank/Cranbury, New Jersey

Directors Whose Terms Extend Beyond the 2010 Annual Meeting

Set forth below are the names of, and certain biographical information regarding, the directors of the Company.

Robert F. Mangano is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and of the Bank. Prior to joining the Bank in 1996, Mr. Mangano was President and Chief Executive Officer of Urban National Bank, a community bank in the northern part of New Jersey for a period of three years and a Senior Vice President of another bank for one year. Prior to such time, Mr. Mangano held a senior position with Midlantic Corporation for 21 years. Mr. Mangano is Treasurer of the Englewood Hospital Medical Center and serves as Vice Chairman of the Board of Englewood Hospital Medical Center.

Mr. Mangano is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his business skills and experience, his extensive knowledge of financial and operational matters acquired from a long and illustrious career working for several banks in increasingly senior roles and leadership positions, and his deep understanding of the Company's and the Bank's people and products that he has acquired in over 14 years of service.

Charles S. Crow, III has served as the Chairman of the Board of the Company and of the Bank since March 2005. Mr. Crow is a partner in the law firm of Crow & Associates in Princeton, New Jersey. From January 1, 1992 to November 30, 1998, Mr. Crow was a partner in the law firm of Crow & Tartanella in Somerset, New Jersey. Mr. Crow serves as a director and member of the audit committee of each of Robeco-Sage Triton Fund, L.L.C. and Robeco-Sage Multi-Strategy Fund, L.L.C., each of which is a closed-end, non-diversified, management investment company that is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Mr. Crow is also a director of Robeco-Sage Capital International Ltd., Otus Fund Ltd., Siena Partners, Ltd., Blenheim Commodity Fund, Ltd., Blenheim Global Markets Fund, Ltd.

Mr. Crow is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his education, his business skills and expertise, and his extensive legal knowledge, acquired through the years from private legal practice as well as service on other boards.

David C. Reed is a Certified Public Accountant with senior executive experience. Mr. Reed has been the Chief Executive Officer, principal owner, and co-founder of Mapleton Nurseries, a wholesale nursery specializing in container-grown native and ornamental trees and shrubs in Kingston, New Jersey since 1998, and has served as Managing Director of Reed & Company, a privately held wealth management and consulting firm in Princeton, New Jersey since 1995. Since 2005, Mr. Reed has served as director and chair of the audit committee of Robeco-Sage Triton Fund, L.L.C. and Robeco-Sage Multi-Strategy Fund, L.L.C., each of which is a closed-end, non-diversified, management investment company that is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

Mr. Reed is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his accounting skills and his extensive experience with policy development and implementation, establishment and management of international operations, financial and tax planning, risk management, and systems analysis and development. These skills and expertise are the result of his training in accounting, his work experience in which he serves as chief executive officer and his service on other boards.

Directors

No director of the Company other than Messrs. Crow, Reed and Rue is also currently a director of any other company with a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or any company registered as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

All of the above directors of the Company also serve as directors of the Bank.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER WHO IS NOT A DIRECTOR

Name and Position with the Company	Age	Principal Occupation
Joseph M. Reardon, Senior Vice President and Treasurer	57	Senior Vice President and Treasurer 1st Constitution Bank/Cranbury, New Jersey

Executive Officer

Set forth below is the name of, and certain biographical information regarding, an executive officer of the Company who does not serve as a director of the Company.

Joseph M. Reardon is the Senior Vice President and Treasurer of the Company and the Bank. Prior to joining the Bank in May 2000, Mr. Reardon held financial executive positions with a number of firms including, most recently, 13 years with B.M.J. Financial Corp., a bank holding company until April 1997. Mr. Reardon briefly retired from April 1997 to April 1998. Mr. Reardon came out of retirement to serve as chief financial officer of the New Jersey State Aquarium at Camden, a position held by Mr. Reardon until April 2000.

Recommendation and Vote Required

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THE DIRECTOR NOMINEES.

A director will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast at the 2010 Annual Meeting, whether in person or by proxy.

ITEM 2 - RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected ParenteBeard, LLC (“ParenteBeard”) as the Company’s independent auditors for the 2010 fiscal year. ParenteBeard has served as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm since October 2, 2009, and its predecessor firm, Beard Miller Company LLP (“Beard Miller”) served as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm since April 22, 2008.

On October 1, 2009, the Company was notified that the audit practice of Beard Miller, an independent registered public accounting firm, was combined with ParenteBeard in a transaction pursuant to which Beard Miller combined its operations with ParenteBeard and certain of the professional staff and partners of Beard Miller joined ParenteBeard either as employees or partners of ParenteBeard. On October 1, 2009, Beard Miller resigned as the auditors of the Company and, on October 2, 2009, with the approval of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, ParenteBeard was engaged as its independent registered public accounting firm. The audit reports of Beard Miller on the Company’s consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the period from initial engagement on April 22, 2008 through October 1, 2009, the date of Beard Miller’s resignation, there were (1) no disagreements with Beard Miller on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Beard Miller, would have caused them to make reference to such disagreement in any future reports and (2) no reportable events (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

Prior to engaging ParenteBeard, the Company did not consult with ParenteBeard regarding (i) the application of accounting principles to a specific completed or proposed transaction or regarding the type of audit opinions that might be rendered by ParenteBeard on the Company’s financial statements, and ParenteBeard did not provide any written or oral advice that was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any such accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in paragraph 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions) or a reportable event (as described in paragraph 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

Grant Thornton LLP (“Grant Thornton”) served as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. On April 17, 2008, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors determined to dismiss Grant Thornton as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm and engaged Beard Miller as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2008 fiscal year. Grant Thornton was advised of such determination on April 21, 2008. The audit reports of Grant Thornton on the Company’s consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the year ended December 31, 2007 and through the date of dismissal of Grant Thornton, there were (1) no disagreements with Grant Thornton on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, that if not resolved to the satisfaction of Grant Thornton, would have caused them to make reference to such disagreements in its report on the Company’s financial statements for such periods, and (2) no reportable events (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K), except that in 2007, the Audit Committee discussed with Grant Thornton the existence of a material weakness in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, as more fully described in Item 9A of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2008. The Company authorized Grant Thornton to respond fully to inquiries from Beard Miller, if any, concerning such weakness.

Prior to engaging Beard Miller, the Company did not consult with Beard Miller regarding (i) the application of accounting principles to a specific completed or proposed transaction or regarding the type of audit opinions that might be rendered by Beard Miller on the Company's financial statements, and Beard Miller did not provide any written or oral advice that was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any such accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in paragraph 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions) or a reportable event (as described in paragraph 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

In addition to selecting ParenteBeard as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the Company's 2010 fiscal year, the Audit Committee has directed that management submit the selection of independent registered public accounting firm for ratification by the Company's shareholders at the Annual Meeting.

One or more representatives of ParenteBeard are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. The representatives will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Shareholder ratification of the selection of ParenteBeard as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is not required by the Company's by-laws or otherwise. However, the Board is submitting the selection of ParenteBeard to shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the shareholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Unless contrary instructions are given, the shares of common stock represented by the proxies being solicited will be voted "FOR" the ratification of the selection of ParenteBeard as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the Company's 2010 fiscal year.

Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the SEC auditor independence rules require all public accounting firms that audit issuers to obtain pre-approval from their respective audit committees in order to provide professional services without impairing independence.

The fees billed by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm relating to the 2009 and 2008 fiscal years were as follows:

Type of Service	2009(1)	2008(2)
Audit Fees(3)	\$ 123,957	114,587
Audit-Related Fees	--	--
Tax Fees (4)	38,696	20,000
All Other Fees (5)	--	2,510
Total	\$ 164,653	137,097

(1) Consists of fees billed by ParenteBeard for the year ended December 31, 2009.

- (2) Consists of fees billed by Beard Miller for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- (3) Includes fees for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements and review of consolidated financial statements included in Forms 10-Q, including out-of-pocket expenses.
 - (4) Comprised of services for tax advice.
- (5) In accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee established policies and procedures under which all audit and non-audit services performed by the Company's principal accountants must be approved in advance by the Audit Committee. As provided in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, all audit and non-audit services to be provided after May 6, 2003 must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with these policies and procedures.

Except as noted in footnote 5 above, all services described above were approved in accordance with the Audit Committee's Pre-Approval policy described directly below.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Procedures

The Audit Committee has adopted a formal policy concerning the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services to be provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The policy requires that all services to be performed by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, including audit services, audit-related services and permitted non-audit services, be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The policy permits the Audit Committee to delegate pre-approval authority to one or more members, provided that any pre-approval decisions are reported to the Audit Committee at its next meeting. Specific services being provided by the independent registered public accounting firm are regularly reviewed in accordance with the pre-approval policy. At subsequent Audit Committee meetings, the Audit Committee receives updates on services being provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, and management may present additional services for approval. Since the May 6, 2003 effective date of the SEC rules applicable to services being provided by the independent accountants, each new engagement of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm has been approved in advance by the Audit Committee.

Recommendation and Vote Required

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF PARENTEBEARD LLP AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE COMPANY'S 2010 FISCAL YEAR.

The affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast is required to ratify the Board's selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

ITEM 3

NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Section 111 of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“EESA”), requires the Company to permit an annual non-binding shareholder vote on the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers, as described in its proxy statement during the period in which any obligation arising from the Company’s participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program remains outstanding. Accordingly, you are asked to approve the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers as described under “Executive Compensation” and the tabular disclosure regarding named executive officer compensation (together with the accompanying narrative disclosure) in this proxy statement.

This proposal, commonly known as a “Say on Pay” proposal, gives you as a shareholder the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the compensation for the Company’s named executive officers by voting to approve or not approve such compensation as described in this proxy statement through the following resolution:

“Resolved, that the shareholders approve the compensation of the Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission in the compensation tables and any related material in this proxy statement.”

In voting to approve this resolution, shareholders may vote for the resolution, against the resolution or abstain from voting. This matter will be determined by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors and its Compensation Committee believe that the Company’s compensation policies and procedures are competitive, are focused on pay for performance principles and are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our shareholders. The Compensation Committee, in connection with the Company’s participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program, conducts a risk assessment and reviews, evaluates and discusses with the Company’s senior risk officer, the Company’s and the Bank’s employee compensation programs. See “Compensation Committee – Risk Assessment of Compensation Programs.”

The Board and the Compensation Committee believe that both the Company and its shareholders benefit from responsive corporate governance policies and constructive and consistent dialogue. As provided by EESA, this vote will not be binding on the Board of Directors and may not be construed as overruling a decision by the Board nor to create or imply any additional fiduciary duty by the Board. The Compensation Committee of the Board, however, may take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

Recommendation and Vote Required

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

The affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast will constitute approval of this non-binding advisory proposal.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

General

The Company is committed to establishing sound principles of corporate governance which promote honest, responsible and ethical business practices. The Company's corporate governance practices are actively reviewed and evaluated by the Board of Directors and, if requested by the Board of Directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. This review includes comparing the Board's current governance policies and practices with those suggested by authorities active in corporate governance as well as the practices of other public companies. Based upon this evaluation, the Board has adopted those policies and practices that it believes are the most appropriate corporate governance policies and practices for the Company.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

The Board is composed of Charles S. Crow, III (Chairman), Robert F. Mangano, David C. Reed, William M. Rue and Frank E. Walsh, III. Mr. Mangano is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and of the Bank. We have employed this same basic leadership structure since prior to the time that we became an SEC reporting company in 2001. We separate the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer in recognition of the differences between the two roles. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for implementing the strategic goals of the Company and for the day to day leadership, operations and performance of the Company, while the Chairman of the Board provides strategic guidance to the Chief Executive Officer, presides over meetings of the full Board and, if the Chairman is independent, presides over executive sessions of the independent directors and chairs meetings of stockholders.

Our Audit Committee is primarily responsible for overseeing the Company's risk management processes, and undertakes in its charter to discuss with management the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures, including the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies. The committee meets periodically with management to discuss policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management and to review the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. Each of our other Board committees also considers the risk within its area of responsibilities. For example, our Compensation Committee considers the risks that may be implicated by our executive compensation programs.

While our Audit Committee oversees the Company's risk management processes with input from our Board, management is responsible for day-to-day risk management processes. We believe this division of responsibilities is the most effective approach for addressing the risks facing our Company and that our Board leadership structure supports this approach.

Committee Memberships

There are three standing committees of the Board of Directors: the Audit Committee (the "Audit Committee"); the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the "Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee"); and the Compensation Committee (the "Compensation Committee"). The table below provides current membership for each of the Board committees.

Name	Nominating and Corporate Governance		
	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Governance Committee
Charles S. Crow, III	X	X	X
David C. Reed	X*	X	X
William M. Rue	X	X	X*
Frank E. Walsh, III	X	X*	X

X = Committee
member; * =
Chairperson

Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that a majority of the directors and all current members of the Audit Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee are “independent” within the meaning of the Nasdaq independence standards, that the members of the Audit Committee are also “independent” for purposes of Section 10A(m)(3) of the Exchange Act and that each member of the Compensation Committee is an “outside director” within the meaning of Regulation 1.162-27 under Section 162(m) (“Code Section 162(m)”) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) and is a “non-employee director” within the meaning of Rule 16b-3(b)(3) promulgated under the Exchange Act (“Exchange Act Rule 16b-3”).

The Board has affirmatively determined that each of Messrs. Crow, Reed, Rue and Walsh has no material relationship with the Company affecting his independence as a director and that each is “independent” within the meaning of the independence standards established by Nasdaq. In making each of these independence determinations, the Board considered and broadly assessed, from the standpoint of materiality and independence, all of the information provided by each director in response to detailed inquiries concerning his independence and any direct or indirect business, family, employment, transactional or other relationship or affiliation of such director with the Company and considered the deposit and other banking relationships with each director. In making the independence determinations with respect to the directors, the Board also considered the following relationships: (i) with respect to Mr. Rue, the Board considered the fact that Rue Insurance, which is owned and controlled by Mr. Rue, acts as the Company’s insurance broker and that Mr. Rue owns 25% of a real estate partnership which owns property that is subject to a mortgage in favor of the Bank; (ii) with respect to Mr. Crow, the board considered the fact that Mr. Crow has a home equity loan with the Bank and that certain entities owned or controlled by Mr. Crow are customers of, and conduct banking transactions with, the Bank in the ordinary course of business on customary terms.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is comprised of Messrs. David C. Reed (Chairman), Charles S. Crow, III, William M. Rue and Frank E. Walsh, III. The Audit Committee serves as a communication point among non-Audit Committee directors, internal auditors, the independent accountants and Company management as their respective duties relate to financial accounting, financial reporting and internal controls. The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to accounting policies, internal controls, financial and operating controls, standards of corporate conduct and performance, financial reporting practices and sufficiency of auditing.

The principal functions of the Audit Committee include:

- assisting the Board in the oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements and its financial reporting processes and systems of internal controls;
- overseeing the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes and the audits of the Company's financial statements; and
- appointing and retaining, compensating and overseeing the work of any independent registered public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company.

The Board has determined that all Audit Committee members are able to read and understand financial statements and at least one member has accounting or related financial management expertise in accordance with the applicable Nasdaq rules. The Board has also determined that David C. Reed qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" and he serves as the Company's "audit committee financial expert." No member of the Audit Committee received any compensation from the Company during fiscal 2009 other than compensation for services as a director.

The Audit Committee charter is not available to security holders on the Company's website. The Audit Committee charter is included as Appendix A to this proxy statement.

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee of the Company is comprised of four independent directors appointed by the Board of Directors (each of whom is independent for purposes of audit committee membership under applicable Nasdaq and SEC rules). The Audit Committee operates under the Audit Committee charter, which was adopted in March 2004. The Audit Committee charter provides that the Audit Committee shall have the sole authority to appoint or replace the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal controls, and procedures designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm performs an annual independent audit of the financial statements and expresses an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes and report its findings to the full Board. The Audit Committee assists the Board in monitoring:

- the integrity of the financial statements of the Company;
- the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence;
- the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm; and
- the compliance by the Company with legal and regulatory requirements.

The Audit Committee reviews the results of the Company's audit, of its interim quarterly reviews, the overall quality of the Company's accounting policies and other required communications, including those described in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, "Communications with Audit Committees". The Company's independent registered public accounting firm assists management, as necessary, in updating the Audit Committee concerning new accounting developments and their potential impact on the Company's financial reporting. The Audit Committee also meets five times a year with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm without management

present.

15

The Audit Committee reviews and discusses with management the Company's annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements, including the Company's disclosures under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The Audit Committee also meets with Company management, without the Company's independent registered public accounting firm present, to discuss management's evaluation of the performance of the independent registered public accounting firm.

With respect to fiscal 2009, the Audit Committee:

- met with management and ParenteBeard LLP to review and discuss the Company's audited financial statements and to discuss significant accounting issues;
 - periodically met with management to review and discuss quarterly financial results;
 - discussed with ParenteBeard LLP the scope of its services, including its audit plan;
 - reviewed the Company's internal control processes and procedures;
- discussed with ParenteBeard LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T;
- received and reviewed the written disclosures and letter from ParenteBeard LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and discussed with ParenteBeard LLP their independence from management and the Company;
- implemented various changes and actions in response to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, SEC regulations, and Nasdaq corporate governance standards, as they impact the Audit Committee, the financial reporting process and internal controls procedures; and
 - reviewed and approved all audit and non-audit services provided by ParenteBeard LLP during fiscal 2009.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for filing with the SEC.

Members of the Audit Committee

DAVID C. REED (Chair)
CHARLES S. CROW, III
WILLIAM M. RUE
FRANK E. WALSH, III

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Frank E. Walsh, III (Chairman), Charles S. Crow, III, David C. Reed and William M. Rue, each of whom has been determined by the Board to be “independent” within the meaning of the Nasdaq independence standards, and each of whom is an “outside director” within the meaning of Code Section 162(m) and is a “non-employee director” within the meaning of Exchange Act Rule 16b-3.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the compensation arrangements for the Company’s executives and outside directors. The Compensation Committee administers the Company’s equity incentive plans and makes awards pursuant to those plans.

No Compensation Committee member participates in any of the Company’s employee compensation programs. The Board has determined that none of the current Compensation Committee members has any material business relationships with the Company.

The Compensation Committee charter is not available to security holders on the Company’s website. The Compensation Committee charter was included as Appendix B to this proxy statement.

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors. Subject to the final review and approval by the Board, the Compensation Committee evaluates, determines and approves the compensation of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer, its principal accounting officer and outside directors. The Compensation Committee administers the Company’s equity plans. The Compensation Committee also has overall responsibility for monitoring, on an ongoing basis, the executive compensation policies, plans and programs of the Company. The Compensation Committee may delegate its authority relating to non-employee director compensation to a subcommittee consisting of one or more members when appropriate.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, requires that our Compensation Committee be comprised solely of independent directors and that it meet at least semiannually to review and evaluate (i) the compensation plans of the senior executive officers to ensure that these plans do not encourage the senior executive officers to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the Company; (ii) all employee compensation plans for risks posed by these plans and methods to reduce these risks, and (iii) all employee compensation plans to eliminate any features of these plans that would encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of the Company to enhance the compensation of any employee.

Compensation Committee Process and Role of Management

The Compensation Committee generally holds two regularly scheduled in-person meetings a year and additional meetings as appropriate either in person or by telephone. Generally, the Compensation Committee chair works with management in establishing the agenda for Compensation Committee meetings. Management also prepares and submits information during the course of the year for the consideration of the Compensation Committee, such as management’s proposed recommendations to the Compensation Committee for performance measures and proposed financial targets, management’s proposed recommendations to the Compensation Committee for salary increases, management’s performance evaluations of executive officers, and other data and information, if requested by the Compensation Committee.

Although many of the compensation decisions are made during the Compensation Committee's annual review process, the compensation planning process spans throughout the year. Subject to the final review and approval by the Board, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves the Company's goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of those goals and objectives at least once per year and determines the Chief Executive Officer's compensation level based on this evaluation. The Chief Executive Officer is not present during voting or deliberations with respect to his compensation. On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee also reviews and approves base salary, annual incentive compensation and long-term equity-based compensation of the other executive officer of the Company.

Risk Assessment of Compensation Programs

The Compensation Committee discusses, evaluates and reviews with the Company's senior risk officer all of our employee compensation programs in light of the risks posed to us by such programs and how to limit such risks and to assess whether any aspect of these programs would encourage any employees to manipulate reported earnings to enhance their compensation and assess whether any aspect of these programs would encourage the Company's senior executive officers to take any unnecessary or excessive risks that could threaten the value of the Company. Included in the analysis are such factors as (i) the appropriate levels of "fixed" and "variable" or "at risk" compensation, (ii) the appropriate levels of long-term incentive compensation between service-based and performance-based compensation, and (iii) the risk and performance criteria, if any, attached to the awards under employee compensation plans.

Compensation Committee Advisors

The Compensation Committee charter grants the Compensation Committee full authority to engage compensation consultants and other advisors to assist it in the performance of its responsibilities. A compensation consultant retained by the Committee reports directly to the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee relies on management and outside advisers for staff work and technical guidance in conducting its affairs. It retains full authority to engage independent third party advisers and in 2009, retained IFM Group (the "Compensation Consultant") to conduct independent studies and provide objective advice on executive compensation. The Compensation Consultant's primary role with the Company is as independent adviser to the Compensation Committee on executive compensation matters. The services the Compensation Consultant provides include advising the Compensation Committee on the principal aspects of the Company's executive compensation programs and evolving best practices, providing market information and analysis regarding the competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation opportunities, and aggregate equity compensation practices of the Company's peers. From time to time, the Compensation Consultant works directly with management with the consent of the Compensation Committee; however, total fees paid for advisory work to management were well under \$120,000.

Director Compensation Process

A discussion of the Company's determination of director compensation is included in the "Director Compensation" section of this proxy statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The Compensation Committee certifies that it has reviewed with senior risk officers the senior executive officer incentive compensation arrangements and has made reasonable efforts to ensure that such arrangements do not encourage senior executive officers to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the Company.

CHARLES S. CROW, III
DAVID C. REED
WILLIAM M. RUE
FRANK E. WALSH, III

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. William M. Rue (Chairman), Frank E. Walsh, III, Charles S. Crow, III and David C. Reed. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for recommending for consideration by the Board candidates to serve as directors of the Company as well as the re-election of current directors. The committee also reviews recommendations from shareholders regarding corporate governance and director candidates. The procedure for submitting recommendations of director candidates is set forth below under the caption "Selection of Director Candidates."

In accordance with the marketplace rules of the Nasdaq Global Market, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is currently, and was during 2009, composed entirely of independent, non-management members of the Board of Directors.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee charter is not available to security holders on the Company's website. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee charter is included as Appendix C to this proxy statement.

Selection of Director Candidates

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has established a policy regarding the consideration of director candidates, including those recommended by shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, together with the President and other Board members, will from time to time as appropriate identify the need for new Board members. Particular proposed director candidates who satisfy the criteria set forth below and otherwise qualify for membership on the Board will be identified by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. In identifying candidates, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will seek input and participation from the President, other Board members, and other appropriate sources, to ensure that all points of view can be considered and the best possible candidates can be identified. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also, as appropriate, engage a search firm to assist it in identifying potential candidates. Members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the President and other Board members, as appropriate, may personally interview selected director candidates and provide input to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will determine which candidate(s) are to be recommended to the Board for approval.

Diversity is one of the factors that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers in identifying nominees for director. This means that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks nominees who

bring a variety of business backgrounds, experiences and perspectives to the Board. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a broad diversity of experience, professions, skills, geographic representations, knowledge and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities. In selecting director nominees the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers all aspects of a potential nominee's background, including educational background, gender, business and professional experience, and particular skills and other qualities. The goal of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to identify individuals who will enhance and add valuable perspective to the board and who will help us capitalize on business opportunities in a challenging and highly competitive market. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not adopted a formal diversity policy with regard to the selection of director nominees.

Shareholders wishing to submit a director candidate for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee must submit the recommendation to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o President and Chief Executive Officer, 1st Constitution Bancorp, P.O. Box 634, 2650 Route 130 North, Cranbury, New Jersey 08512 in writing, not less than 90 days prior to the first anniversary date of the preceding year's annual meeting. The request must be accompanied by the same information concerning the director candidate and the recommending shareholder as described in Article I, Section 9 of the Company's by-laws for shareholder nominations for director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also request any additional background or other information from any director candidate or the recommending shareholder as it may deem appropriate. Nothing above shall limit a shareholder's right to propose a nominee for director at an annual meeting in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Company's by-laws.

All directors play a critical role in guiding the Company's long-term business strategy and in overseeing the management of the Company. Board candidates are considered based on various criteria which may change over time and as the composition of the Board changes. The following factors, at a minimum, are considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as part of its review of all director candidates and in recommending potential director candidates to the Board:

- appropriate mix of educational background, professional background and business experience to make a significant contribution to the overall composition of the Board;
 - global business and social perspective;
- if the Committee deems it applicable, whether the candidate would be considered a financial expert or financially literate as described in SEC or Nasdaq rules or an audit committee financial expert as defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;
- if the Committee deems it applicable, whether the candidate would be considered independent under Nasdaq rules and the Board's additional independence guidelines set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- demonstrated character and reputation, both personal and professional, consistent with the image and reputation of the Company;
 - willingness to apply sound and independent business judgment;
 - ability to work productively with the other members of the Board; and
 - availability for the substantial duties and responsibilities of a director of the Company.

Attendance at Board Meetings, Committee Meetings, and Annual Meetings

In fiscal 2009, the Board of Directors held 11 meetings (11 of which included a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Bank), the Audit Committee held eight meetings, the Compensation Committee held three meetings and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held no meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees of which such director was a member.

Our current director attendance policy provides that unless there are mitigating circumstances, such as medical, family or business emergencies, Board members are expected to participate in all Board meetings and all committee meetings of which the director is a member and to attend the Annual Meeting. All Board members attended last year's annual meeting of shareholders.

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines adopted in March of 2004 require non-management directors to meet in executive sessions at least two times per year. At each executive session, the non-management directors will select a director to preside at the meeting. Seven executive sessions of non-management directors were held in 2009.

Shareholder Communications Process

The Board of Directors provides a process for security holders to send communications to the Board. Information regarding the Company's process for shareholders to communicate with the Board of Directors and the manner in which such communications are forwarded is available on the Company's website located at www.1stconstitution.com, under "About Us."

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code of Conduct") which applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and principal financial and accounting officer and to all other Company directors, officers and employees. The Company filed its Code of Conduct as an exhibit to its 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 25, 2004. The Company will disclose any substantive amendments to, or waivers from, provisions of the Code of Conduct made with respect to the chief executive officer or principal financial and accounting officer in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

The Company has also adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which are intended to provide guidelines for the governance of the Company by the Board and its committees.

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT
AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information concerning the beneficial ownership of the Company common stock as of April 6, 2010 by each director/nominee, by the Company's named executive officers, by all directors and executive officers as a group, and by any individual or group owning 5% or more of Company common stock, if any. Except as noted below, the Company knows of no person or group that beneficially owns 5% or more of Company common stock. Unless otherwise specified, all persons listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to their shares of Company common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Amount and nature of beneficial ownership		Percent of Class	
	(2)			
Robert F. Mangano	261,758	(3)	5.73	%
Frank E. Walsh, III	199,898	(4)	4.42	%
William M. Rue	160,848	(5)	3.55	%
Joseph M. Reardon	44,577	(6)	*	
Charles S. Crow, III	43,467	(7)	*	
David C. Reed	11,120	(8)	*	
All Directors and Executives Officers of the Company as a Group (6 Persons)	721,668	(9)	15.69	%

* Less than 1%

- (1) All correspondence to beneficial owners listed in this table is sent care of the Company to its principal executive office at P.O. Box 634, 2650 Route 130 North, Cranbury, New Jersey 08512.
- (2) The securities "beneficially owned" by an individual are determined in accordance with the definition of "beneficial interest" set forth in SEC regulations and, accordingly, may include securities owned by or for, among others, the wife and/or minor children of the individual and any other relative who has the same home as the individual, as well as other securities as to which the individual has or shares voting or investment power. Beneficial ownership may be disclaimed as to some of the shares. A person is also deemed to beneficially own shares of Company common stock which such person does not own but has a right to acquire presently or within sixty days after April 6, 2010. As of April 6, 2010, there were 4,526,107 shares of Company common stock outstanding.
- (3) Includes 223,496 shares owned directly by Mr. Mangano, options to purchase 6,642 shares of Company common stock all of which are currently exercisable, and 31,620 shares of restricted stock issued to Mr. Mangano under the Company's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, which may be voted immediately upon grant.
- (4) Includes 12,703 shares owned directly by Mr. Walsh and 187,195 shares of Company common stock owned by Mulligan Holdings, L.P., over which Mr. Walsh may be deemed to have beneficial ownership.
- (5) Includes 91,908 shares owned directly by Mr. Rue, 34,392 shares owned jointly with Mr. Rue's wife, 30,111 shares held by Mr. Rue's wife, 2,864 shares held by Charles E. Rue & Sons, Inc., and options to purchase 1,573 shares of Company common stock, all of which are currently exercisable.
- (6) Mr. Reardon owns 16,606 shares directly. The amount in the table also includes options to purchase 20,784 shares of Company common stock, all of which are currently exercisable and 7,187 shares of restricted stock issued to Mr. Reardon under the Company's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, which may be voted immediately upon grant.

(7)Includes 15,344 shares owned directly by Mr. Crow, 19,373 shares of Company common stock held by Crow & Associates Profit Sharing Plan, 3,647 shares of Company common stock held by Crow Family Associates, LLC, and 5,103 shares held by a deceased family member.

(8) Includes 7,781 shares owned jointly with Mr. Reed's wife and options to purchase 3,249 shares that are currently exercisable.

(9) Includes options to purchase 32,248 shares of Company common stock, all of which are currently exercisable, and 38,807 shares of restricted stock which may be voted immediately upon grant.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers, directors and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Based on a review of the copies of reports furnished to the Company, the Company believes that during the year ended December 31, 2009, all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and 10% beneficial owners were met.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table details the compensation paid to our non-employee directors for the year ended December 31, 2009.

NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (1)	Option Awards (\$) (2)	All Other Compensation (\$) (3)	Total (\$)
Charles S. Crow, III	14,500	4,062	--	341	18,903
David C. Reed	9,500	2,708	--	313	12,521
William M. Rue	7,000	677	--	412	8,089
Frank E. Walsh, III	7,500	677	--	99	8,276

(1) The amounts listed in this column reflect the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes, calculated in accordance with FAS 123R. A discussion of the assumptions used in calculating these values may be found in Note 1 and Note 15 to our audited financial statements in the Form 10-K for fiscal 2009.

No stock awards were outstanding for our directors at the end of fiscal 2009.

(2) At the end of fiscal 2009, the aggregate number of option awards outstanding for our directors were as follows: Mr. Reed, 3,249 options; and Mr. Rue, 1,573 options. Neither Mr. Crow and Mr. Walsh had any options outstanding at the end of fiscal 2009.

(3) The amounts listed in this column reflect the imputed income for our directors under the Directors' Insurance Plan described in the narrative below.

Processes, Procedures and Rationale

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the appropriateness and competitiveness of the compensation of non-employee directors. Subject to approval by the Board of Directors, the Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing policies that govern non-employee director compensation and for implementing, administering and interpreting non-employee director compensation plans, programs and policies. The Compensation Committee may delegate its authority relating to non-employee director compensation to a subcommittee consisting of one or more members when appropriate.

As part of this process, the Compensation Committee regularly reviews the structure, composition and operation of the Board and its committees and annually asks for comments from all directors concerning the Board's performance. The Board also considers the significant amount of time spent by the directors in their duties for the Company. The Board, in consultation with the Compensation Committee, then determines the form and amount of non-employee directors' compensation.

Compensation Paid to Board Members

Non-employee directors receive a combination of cash and equity compensation. Mr. Mangano, currently the only management director on the Board, does not receive any separate compensation for his services as a director.

Cash Compensation

During 2009, non-employee directors of the Company and non-employee directors of the Bank were compensated for services rendered in such capacities at the rate of \$500 per Board meeting and \$500 per Board committee meeting attended. Directors serving on the Board of the Company who also serve on the Board of the Bank do not receive additional compensation for attending a Bank Board meeting that is held on a date upon which the director attends a Company Board meeting.

Non-employee directors of the Company are also eligible to participate in the Directors' Insurance Plan and Messrs. Charles S. Crow, III, William M. Rue, Frank E. Walsh, III and David C. Reed currently participate in the plan. See "Directors' Insurance Plan" below. No cost of this benefit is allocable to any individual director.

Stock Grants

The Company maintains the 1st Constitution Bancorp 2006 Directors Stock Plan, an equity plan for its non-employee directors (which is discussed under the heading "Equity Plans" below) (the "2006 Directors Plan"). In 2009, each non-employee director received a grant of 100 shares of common stock under the 2006 Directors Plan. Mr. Crow received a grant of an additional 500 shares of common stock for his service as Chairman of the Board. Mr. Reed received a grant of an additional 300 shares of common stock for his service as Chairman of the Audit Committee. Unless the Board determines otherwise at the time of grant, all shares granted under the 2006 Directors Plan vest immediately upon grant.

Directors' Insurance Plan

The Company adopted the 1st Constitution Bancorp Directors' Insurance Plan (the "Directors' Insurance Plan"), which was effective as of October 1, 2002 and amended as of February 19, 2004 and June 16, 2005. The Directors' Insurance Plan covers all individuals who were members of the Board of Directors of the Company or of the Bank (who were not also employees of the Company or the Bank) on the effective date. Thereafter, members of the Board of Directors of the Company or of the Bank shall become participants in the Directors' Insurance Plan after completion of ten years

of service as a member of the applicable Board of Directors (provided that they are not then employed by the Company or the Bank) or at such earlier time as determined by the Board of Directors of the Company.

Under the Directors' Insurance Plan, a covered individual is provided with term insurance coverage in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars. Coverage will remain in effect even if the individual's service as a member of the Board of Directors ceases.

The premiums for the Directors' Insurance Plan and the Company's Executive Life Insurance Program (which is discussed below under "Executive Life Insurance Program") were paid by the Company in October 2002 and supplemented in October 2005. The Company has all ownership rights to the policies and all cash values thereunder.

The Directors' Insurance Plan may be amended, suspended or terminated at any time, except that (i) any amendment, suspension or termination of the Directors' Insurance Plan with respect to a particular director that is not applicable to all other participants does not require the approval of the particular director, and no such amendment, suspension or termination with respect to a particular director shall become effective with respect to the particular director without his or her approval unless "Cause" (as defined in the Directors' Insurance Plan) exists with respect to a particular director, and (ii) termination may not occur without the consent of an affected director following a "Change of Control" (as defined in the Directors' Insurance Plan). The Directors' Insurance Plan may be terminated or suspended (in whole or in part) nevertheless at any time if failure to terminate or suspend the Plan would subject the Company, its officers or its directors to sanctions by a regulatory agency.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This section of the proxy statement explains our compensation program for our principal executive officer and our other most highly-compensated executive officer, whom we refer to collectively in this proxy statement as our "named executive officers." The Company has elected to use the "smaller reporting company" rules issued by the SEC regarding the disclosure of executive compensation. Under these rules, the Company provides executive compensation disclosure for our named executive officers, including a Summary Compensation Table for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2009 and 2008, an Outstanding Equity Awards at Year End Table and certain narrative disclosures.

Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of the Company's named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (1) (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (2) (\$)	Option Awards (2) (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert F. Mangano President and CEO	2009	470,000	150,000	198,600	9,780	64,700(3)	893,080
	2008	435,000	75,000	0	0	80,640	590,640
Joseph M. Reardon Senior Vice President and Treasurer	2009	162,500	22,500	49,000	15,375	9,324(4)	258,699
	2008	152,500	22,500	0	0	8,782	183,782

(1) In fiscal 2009, our named executive officers deferred the following amounts into the Company's 401(k) Plan: Mr. Mangano - \$22,000; Mr. Reardon - \$11,526. In fiscal 2008, our named executive officers deferred the following amounts into the Company's 401(k) Plan: Mr. Mangano - \$20,500; Mr. Reardon - \$10,460.