

SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
Form 10-Q  
August 08, 2013

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-13507

SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

---

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

34-1395608  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

401 Clinton Street, Defiance, Ohio 43512  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
(Zip Code)

(419) 783-8950

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large Accelerate Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Shares, without par value (class)	4,866,629 shares (Outstanding at August 8, 2013)
--	---

SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	41

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	42
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	42
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	42
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	42
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	42
Item 5.	Other Information	42
Item 6.	Exhibits	42
Signatures		43

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

SB Financial Group, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

(\$ in Thousands)	June 2013	December 2012
ASSETS	(unaudited)	
Cash and due from banks	\$10,750	\$19,144
Securities available for sale, at fair value	95,379	98,702
Other securities - FRB and FHLB Stock	3,748	3,748
Total investment securities	99,127	102,450
Loans held for sale	10,715	6,147
Loans, net of unearned income	464,035	463,389
Allowance for loan losses	(7,013 )	(6,811 )
Net loans	457,022	456,578
Premises and equipment, net	12,483	12,633
Purchased software	289	330
Cash surrender value of life insurance	12,742	12,577
Goodwill	16,353	16,353
Core deposits and other intangibles	913	1,219
Foreclosed assets held for sale, net	1,955	2,367
Mortgage servicing rights	4,613	3,775
Accrued interest receivable	1,575	1,235
Other assets	2,955	3,426
Total assets	\$631,492	\$638,234
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Deposits		
Non interest bearing demand	\$76,355	\$77,799
Interest bearing demand	118,957	117,289
Savings	61,513	57,461
Money market	78,487	80,381
Time deposits	176,066	194,071
Total deposits	511,378	527,001
Notes payable	1,148	1,702
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	30,000	21,000
Repurchase agreements	9,314	10,333
Trust preferred securities	20,620	20,620
Accrued interest payable	715	138
Other liabilities	3,930	4,156
Total liabilities	577,105	584,950

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Equity		
Preferred stock	-	-
Common stock	12,569	12,569
Additional paid-in capital	15,392	15,374
Retained earnings	27,648	25,280
Accumulated other comprehensive income	496	1,830
Treasury stock	(1,718 )	(1,769 )
Total equity	54,387	53,284
Total liabilities and equity	\$631,492	\$638,234

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

Note: The balance sheet at December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date

SB Financial Group, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(\$ in thousands, except share data)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 2013	June 2012	June 2013	June 2012
Interest income				
Loans				
Taxable	\$ 5,874	\$ 6,037	\$ 11,757	\$ 11,965
Nontaxable	16	24	40	47
Securities				
Taxable	296	403	626	802
Nontaxable	174	146	344	293
Total interest income	6,360	6,610	12,767	13,107
Interest expense				
Deposits	573	768	1,179	1,622
Other borrowings	12	(2 )	26	32
Repurchase Agreements	3	60	5	128
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	84	75	174	149
Trust preferred securities	338	441	741	1,033
Total interest expense	1,010	1,342	2,125	2,964
Net interest income	5,350	5,268	10,642	10,143
Provision for loan losses	200	200	499	650
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	5,150	5,068	10,143	9,493
Noninterest income				
Data service fees	458	576	872	1,219
Trust fees	652	607	1,295	1,249
Customer service fees	639	668	1,255	1,299
Gain on sale of mtg. loans & OMSR's	1,450	1,395	2,934	2,576
Mortgage loan servicing fees, net	418	(165 )	597	164
Gain on sale of non-mortgage loans	82	-	238	-
Net gain on sales of securities	-	-	20	-
Loss on sale or disposal of assets	(129 )	(50 )	(234 )	(106 )
Other income	250	177	410	388
Total non-interest income	3,820	3,208	7,387	6,789
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	3,688	3,597	7,127	7,096
Net occupancy expense	513	528	1,054	1,076
Equipment expense	703	712	1,458	1,423
FDIC insurance expense	94	223	203	437
Data processing fees	194	121	271	234
Professional fees	499	390	928	775

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Marketing expense	92	103	200	193
Printing and office supplies	151	67	197	145
Telephone and communication	158	139	316	283
Postage and delivery expense	209	200	424	429
State, local and other taxes	138	118	272	238
Employee expense	126	119	278	225
Other intangible amortization expense	153	158	306	315
OREO Impairment	-	58	33	58
Other expenses	362	338	683	620
Total non-interest expense	7,080	6,871	13,750	13,547
Income before income tax expense	1,890	1,405	3,780	2,735
Income tax expense	571	391	1,143	749
Net income	\$ 1,319	\$ 1,014	\$ 2,637	\$ 1,986
Common share data:				
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.41
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.41

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

SB Financial Group, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

(\$'s in thousands)	Three Months Ended Jun. 30,		Six Months Ended Jun. 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	\$1,319	\$1,014	\$2,637	\$1,986
Other comprehensive (loss)/income:				
Available-for-sale investment securities:				
Gross unrealized holding (loss) gain arising in the period	(1,708 )	336	(2,001 )	499
Related tax benefit (expense)	581	(114 )	680	(170 )
Less: reclassification adjustment for (loss) realized in income	-	-	(20 )	-
Related tax benefit	-	-	7	-
Net effect on other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,127 )	222	(1,334 )	329
Total comprehensive income	\$192	\$1,236	\$1,303	\$2,315

SB Financial Group, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity (Unaudited)

(\$'s in thousands)	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Total
Net Income				2,637			2,637
Other Comprehensive Income					(1,334 )		(1,334 )
Dividends on Common Stk., \$0.025 per share				(269 )			(269 )
Stock options exercised			(34 )			51	17
Expense of stock option plan			52				52
Balance, June 30, 2013	\$-	\$12,569	\$ 15,392	\$27,648	\$ 496	\$(1,718 )	\$54,387
Balance, January 1, 2012	\$-	\$12,569	\$ 15,323	\$20,466	\$ 1,343	\$(1,769 )	\$47,932
Net Income				1,986			1,986
Other Comprehensive Income					329		329



Expense of stock option plan			27				27
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$-	\$12,569	\$ 15,350	\$22,452	\$ 1,672	\$(1,769 )	\$50,274

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

SB Financial Group, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(\$'s in thousands)	Six Months Ended Jun.	
	2013	30, 2012
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net Income	\$2,637	\$1,986
Items (using)/providing cash		
Depreciation & amortization	501	642
Provision for loan losses	499	650
Expense of share-based compensation plan	52	27
Amortization of premiums and discounts on securities	543	693
Amortization of intangible assets	306	315
Amortization of originated mortgage servicing rights	535	603
Recapture of originated mortgage servicing rights impairment	(444 )	(419 )
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	150,295	144,542
Originations of loans held for sale	(153,912 )	(148,231 )
Gain from sale of loans	(3,172 )	(2,576 )
Gain on sales of available for sale securities	(20 )	-
Loss on sale of foreclosed assets	177	21
Income from bank owned life insurance	(165 )	(177 )
OREO impairment	33	-
Changes in		
Interest receivable	(340 )	38
Other assets	1,704	1,256
Interest payable and other liabilities	(226 )	399
Net cash used in operating activities	(997 )	(231 )
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(16,835 )	(17,859 )
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	-	(63 )
Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities	16,360	27,105
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	1,235	-
Net change in loans	(277 )	(10,254 )
Purchase of premises and equipment and software	(499 )	(955 )
Proceeds from sales or disposal of premises and equipment	239	701
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	828	219
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,051	(1,106 )
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Net increase in demand deposits, money market, interest checking and savings accounts	2,382	15,759
Net decrease in certificates of deposit	(18,005 )	(15,863 )
Net decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(1,019 )	(2,955 )
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	9,000	20,500
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	-	(15,776 )

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from stock options exercised	17	-
Dividends on common stock	(269 )	-
Repayment of notes payable	(554 )	(539 )
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(8,448 )	1,126
Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(8,394 )	(211 )
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year	19,144	14,846
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$10,750	\$14,636
Supplemental Cash Flows Information		
Interest paid	\$1,548	\$2,082
Income taxes paid	\$550	\$-
Transfer of loans to foreclosed assets	\$626	\$118

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE A—BASIS OF PRESENTATION

SB Financial Group, Inc. (the “Company”) is a bank holding company whose principal activity is the ownership and management of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, The State Bank and Trust Company (“State Bank”), RFCBC, Inc. (“RFCBC”), Rurbanc Data Services, Inc. dba RDSI Banking Systems (“RDSI”), Rurban Statutory Trust I (“RST I”), and Rurban Statutory Trust II (“RST II”). State Bank owns all the outstanding stock of Rurban Mortgage Company (“RMC”), Rurban Investments, Inc. (“RII”) and State Bank Insurance, LLC (“SBI”).

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, State Bank, RFCBC, RDSI, RMC, RII, and SBI. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. Those adjustments consist only of normal recurring adjustments. Results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of results for the complete year.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of that date.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

NOTE B—EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share (EPS) have been computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the periods presented. For the period ended June 30, 2013, share based awards totaling 151,349 common shares were not considered in computing diluted EPS as they were anti-dilutive. For the period ended June 30, 2012, share based awards totaling 303,224 common shares were not considered in computing diluted EPS as they were anti-dilutive. The average number of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share were:

(shares in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Basic earnings per share	4,866	4,862	4,864	4,862
Diluted earnings per share	4,870	4,862	4,870	4,862

## NOTE C - SECURITIES

The amortized cost and appropriate fair values, together with gross unrealized gains and losses, of securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

(\$'s in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Approximate Fair Value
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
June 30, 2013:				
U.S. Treasury and Government agencies	\$16,038	\$199	\$(160 )	\$16,077
Mortgage-backed securities	56,443	583	(304 )	56,722
State and political subdivisions	19,089	722	(289 )	19,522
Money Market Mutual Fund	3,035	-	-	3,035
Equity securities	23	-	-	23
	\$94,628	\$1,504	\$(753 )	\$95,379

(\$'s in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
December 31, 2012:				
U.S. Treasury and Government agencies	\$14,301	\$210	\$-	\$14,511
Mortgage-backed securities	62,661	1,136	(33 )	63,764
State and political subdivisions	16,789	1,462	(2 )	18,249
Money Market Mutual Fund	2,155	-	-	2,155
Equity securities	23	-	-	23
	\$95,929	\$2,808	\$(35 )	\$98,702

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale at June 30, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(\$'s in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Available for Sale Fair Value
June 30, 2013:		
Within one year	\$1,027	\$1,037
Due after one year through five years	4,476	4,502
Due after five years through ten years	11,250	11,424
Due after ten years	18,374	18,636
	35,127	35,599
Mortgage-backed securities, money market mutual funds & equity securities	59,501	59,780
Totals	\$94,628	\$95,379

The fair value of securities pledged as collateral, to secure public deposits and for other purposes, was \$58.2 million at June 30, 2013 and \$49.8 million at December 31, 2012. The fair value of securities delivered for repurchase agreements was \$15.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$16.2 million at December 31, 2012.

Gross gains of \$0.00 million and \$0.02 million resulting from sales of available-for-sale securities, were realized during the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2013, respectively. The \$0.02 million gain on sale was a reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income and is included in the net gain on sales of securities. The related \$0.007 million tax benefit is a reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income and is included in the income tax expense line item in the income statement. There were no realized gains or losses from sales of available-for-sale securities for the three or six month periods ending June 30, 2012.

Certain investments in debt securities are reported in the financial statements at an amount less than their historical cost. Total fair value of these investments was \$30.5 million at June 30, 2013, and \$6.02 million at December 31, 2012, which was approximately 32.0 and 6.1 percent, respectively, of the Company's available-for-sale investment portfolio at such dates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, including recent changes in market interest rates, credit rating information and information obtained from regulatory filings, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

Securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment class and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2013						
Available-for-Sale Securities:						
US Treasury and Government Agencies	\$5,887	\$(160)	\$-	\$-	\$5,887	\$(160)
Mortgage-backed securities	19,658	(304)	-	-	19,658	(304)
State and political subdivisions	4,994	(289)	-	-	4,994	(289)
	\$30,539	\$(753)	\$-	\$-	\$30,539	\$(753)

(\$ in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2012						
Available-for-Sale Securities:						
Mortgage-backed securities	\$5,202	\$(33)	\$342	\$-	\$5,544	\$(33)
State and political subdivisions	229	(1)	251	(1)	480	(2)
	\$5,431	\$(34)	\$593	\$(1)	\$6,024	\$(35)

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, interest rates increased from the quarter ended March 31, 2013. This increase in rates resulted in higher unrealized losses in the investment portfolio. Specifically, at June 30, 2013, 27 bonds in the portfolio (24%) have an unrealized loss. The investment portfolio duration for the Company is in line with peer banks and the percentage decrease in value was in line with our estimates for this level of interest rate increase. In addition, management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concern warrants such evaluation. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent of the Company to not sell the investment and whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost. Management has determined

there is no other-than-temporary-impairment on these securities.

9

---



#### NOTE D – LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future, or until maturity or payoffs, are reported at their outstanding principal balances adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, any deferred fees or costs on originated loans and unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans. Interest income is reported on the interest method and includes amortization of net deferred loan fees and costs over the loan term. Generally, all loan classes are placed on non-accrual status not later than 90 days past due, unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. All interest accrued, but not collected for loans that are placed on non-accrual or charged-off, is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to income. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the non-collectability of a loan balance is probable. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as new information becomes available.

The allowance consists of allocated and general components. The allocated component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For those loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers nonclassified loans and is based on historical charge-off experience and expected loss given default derived from the Company's internal risk rating process. Other adjustments may be made to the allowance for pools of loans after an assessment of internal or external influences on credit quality that are not fully reflected on the historical loss or risk rating data.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that State Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration each of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial, agricultural, and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

When State Bank moves a loan to non-accrual status, total unpaid interest accrued to date is reversed from income. Subsequent payments are applied to the outstanding principal balance with the interest portion of the payment recorded on the balance sheet as a contra-loan. Interest received on impaired loans may be realized once all contractual principal amounts are received or when a borrower establishes a history of six consecutive timely principal and interest payments. It is at the discretion of management to determine when a loan is placed back on accrual status upon receipt of six consecutive timely payments.

Large groups of smaller balance homogenous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, State Bank does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment measurements, unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement due to financial difficulties of the borrower.

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Categories of loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 include:

(\$ in thousands)	Total Loans		Non-Accrual Loans		Non-Accrual Percentage			
	Jun. 2013	Dec. 2012	Jun. 2013	Dec. 2012	Jun. 2013	Dec. 2012		
Commercial	\$85,061	\$81,767	982	1,246	1.15	%	1.52	%
Commercial real estate	199,796	201,392	519	782	0.26	%	0.39	%
Agricultural	38,552	42,276	-	-	0.00	%	0.00	%
Residential real estate	93,292	87,859	2,285	2,631	2.45	%	2.99	%
Consumer	47,438	50,223	600	646	1.26	%	1.29	%
Leasing	154	148	-	-	0.00	%	0.00	%
Total loans	464,293	463,665	\$4,386	\$5,305	0.94	%	1.14	%
Less								
Net deferred loan fees, premiums and discounts	(258)	(276)						
Loans, net of unearned income	\$464,035	\$463,389						
Allowance for loan losses	\$(7,013)	\$(6,811)						

The following tables present the activity in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012.

(\$'s in thousands)	Commercial						Total
	Commercial & Industrial	RE & Construction	Agricultural & Farmland	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity & Consumer	Other	

For the Three Months Ended  
June 30, 2013

Beginning balance	\$ 1,480	\$ 3,266	\$ 179	\$ 1,110	\$ 845	\$ 112	\$ 6,992
Charge Offs	(1)	-	-	(98)	(105)	(9)	(213)
Recoveries	11	2	1	19	1	-	34
Provision	57	(209)	-	152	206	\$(6)	200
Ending Balance	\$ 1,547	\$ 3,059	\$ 180	\$ 1,183	\$ 947	\$ 97	\$ 7,013

For the Six Months Ended  
June 30, 2013

Beginning balance	\$ 1,561	\$ 3,034	\$ 186	\$ 1,088	\$ 839	\$ 103	\$ 6,811
Charge Offs	(1)	(5)	-	(98)	(236)	(9)	(349)
Recoveries	14	15	2	19	2	-	52
Provision	(27)	15	(8)	174	342	\$ 3	499
Ending Balance	\$ 1,547	\$ 3,059	\$ 180	\$ 1,183	\$ 947	\$ 97	\$ 7,013

Loans Receivable at June 30, 2013

	Commercial				Home Equity
	Commercial	RE &	Agricultural	Residential	

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(\$'s in thousands)	& Industrial	Construction	& Farmland	Real Estate	& Consumer	Other	Total
Allowance:							
Ending balance:							
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 247	\$ 87	\$ -	\$ 299	\$ 289		\$ 922
Ending balance:							
collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,300	\$ 2,972	\$ 180	\$ 884	\$ 658	\$ 97	\$ 6,091
Loans:							
Ending balance:							
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 947	\$ 457	\$ -	\$ 2,371	\$ 718		\$ 4,493
Ending balance:							
collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 84,114	\$ 199,339	\$ 38,552	\$ 90,921	\$ 46,720	\$ 154	\$ 459,800

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

For the Three Months Ended

(\$'s in thousands)	Commercial						Total
	Commercial & Industrial	RE & Construction	Agricultural & Farmland	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity & Consumer	Other	
June 30, 2012							
Beginning balance	\$ 1,855	\$ 2,913	\$ 52	\$ 1,000	\$ 653	\$ 136	\$ 6,609
Charge Offs	-	(57 )	-	(14 )	(181 )	-	(252 )
Recoveries	25	19	-	11	6	-	61
Provision	(363 )	145	43	50	324	1	200
Ending Balance	\$ 1,517	\$ 3,020	\$ 95	\$ 1,047	\$ 802	\$ 137	\$ 6,618

For the Six Months Ended

June 30, 2012

Beginning balance	\$ 1,914	\$ 2,880	\$ 51	\$ 956	\$ 599	\$ 129	\$ 6,529
Charge Offs	(205 )	(99 )	-	(65 )	(341 )	(16 )	(726 )
Recoveries	28	42	1	82	8	4	165
Provision	(220 )	197	43	74	536	20	650
Ending Balance	\$ 1,517	\$ 3,020	\$ 95	\$ 1,047	\$ 802	\$ 137	\$ 6,618

Loans Receivable at December 31, 2012

(\$'s in thousands)	Commercial						Total
	Commercial & Industrial	RE & Construction	Agricultural & Farmland	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity & Consumer	Other	
Allowance:							
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 485	\$ 55	\$ -	\$ 386	\$ 195		\$ 1,121
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,076	\$ 2,979	\$ 186	\$ 702	\$ 644	\$ 102	\$ 5,690
Loans:							
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,232	\$ 725	\$ -	\$ 2,683	\$ 682		\$ 5,322

Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 80,535	\$ 200,667	\$ 42,276	\$ 85,176	\$ 49,541	\$ 148	\$ 458,343
--	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--------	------------

## Loans Receivable at June 30, 2012

## Commercial

	Commercial & Industrial	RE & Construction	Agricultural & Farmland	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity & Consumer	Other	Total
(\$'s in thousands)							

## Allowance:

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 551	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ 353	\$ 137		\$ 1,042
--	--------	------	------	--------	--------	--	----------

Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 966	\$ 3,020	\$ 94	\$ 694	\$ 665	\$ 137	\$ 5,576
--	--------	----------	-------	--------	--------	--------	----------

## Loans:

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,296	\$ 1,895	\$ 3	\$ 2,530	\$ 511		\$ 6,235
--	----------	----------	------	----------	--------	--	----------

Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 74,746	\$ 198,023	\$ 41,090	\$ 82,516	\$ 49,578	\$ 207	\$ 446,160
--	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--------	------------

The risk characteristics of each loan portfolio segment are as follows:

#### Commercial and Agricultural

Commercial and agricultural loans are primarily based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets, such as accounts receivable or inventory, and may include a personal guarantee. Short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

#### Commercial Real Estate including Construction

Commercial real estate loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of these loans is generally dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. The characteristics of properties securing the Company's commercial real estate portfolio are diverse, but with geographic location almost entirely in the Company's market area. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on collateral, geography and risk grade criteria. In general, the Company avoids financing single purpose projects unless other underwriting factors are present to help mitigate risk. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate versus non-owner-occupied loans.

Construction loans are underwritten utilizing feasibility studies, independent appraisal reviews and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction loans are generally based on estimates of costs and value associated with the completed project. These estimates may be inaccurate. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment substantially dependent on the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed property or an interim loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing.

#### Residential and Consumer

Residential and consumer loans consist of two segments – residential mortgage loans and personal loans. Residential mortgage loans are secured by 1-4 family residences and are generally owner-occupied, and the Company generally establishes a maximum loan-to-value ratio and requires private mortgage insurance if that ratio is exceeded. Home equity loans are typically secured by a subordinate interest in 1-4 family residences, and consumer personal loans are secured by consumer personal assets, such as automobiles or recreational vehicles. Some consumer personal loans are unsecured, such as small installment loans and certain lines of credit. Repayment of these loans is primarily dependent on the personal income of the borrowers, which can be impacted by economic conditions in their market areas, such as unemployment levels. Repayment can also be impacted by changes in property values on residential properties. Risk is mitigated by the fact that these loans are of smaller individual amounts and spread over a large number of borrowers.





Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The following tables present the credit risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio based on rating category and payment activity as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

June 30, 2013	Commercial	Comm. RE	Agricultural	Residential	Home Equity &	Other	Total
Loan Grade (\$ in thousands)	& Industrial	& Construction	& Farmland	Real Estate	Consumer		
1-2	\$ 2,256	\$ 91	\$ 103	\$ -	\$ 2	\$-	\$2,452
3	24,950	47,009	6,741	83,383	42,769	17	204,869
4	55,590	137,458	31,691	5,947	3,774	137	234,597
Total Pass	82,796	184,558	38,535	89,330	46,545	154	441,918
Special Mention	73	12,725	-	1,457	142	-	14,397
Substandard	1,112	1,994	17	342	128	-	3,593
Doubtful	1,080	519	-	2,163	623	-	4,385
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 85,061	\$ 199,796	\$ 38,552	\$ 93,292	\$ 47,438	\$154	\$464,293

Dec. 31, 2012	Commercial	Comm. RE	Agricultural	Residential	Home Equity &	Other	Total
Loan Grade (\$ in thousands)	& Industrial	& Construction	& Farmland	Real Estate	Consumer		
1-2	\$ 1,108	\$ 101	\$ 109	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$1,318
3	23,028	55,175	7,938	77,221	45,063	17	208,442
4	54,871	129,846	34,195	6,285	4,223	131	229,551
Total Pass	79,007	185,122	42,242	83,506	49,286	148	439,311
Special Mention	88	12,370	-	1,186	190	-	13,834
Substandard	1,429	3,024	34	699	144	-	5,330
Doubtful	1,243	876	-	2,468	603	-	5,190
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 81,767	\$ 201,392	\$ 42,276	\$ 87,859	\$ 50,223	\$148	\$463,665

The Company evaluates the loan risk grading system definitions and allowance for loan loss methodology on an ongoing basis.

#### Credit Risk Profile

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes loans with an outstanding balance greater than \$100 thousand and non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention (5): Assets have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the Company's credit position at some future date. Special mention assets are not adversely classified and do not expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification. Ordinarily, special mention credits have characteristics which corrective management action would remedy.

Substandard (6): Loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful (7): Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loss (8): Loans are considered uncollectable and of such little value that continuing to carry them as assets on the Company's financial statement is not feasible. Loans will be classified Loss when it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off or reserving all or a portion of a basically worthless asset, even though partial recovery may be possible at some time in the future.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass (1-4) rated loans. Pass ratings are assigned to those borrowers that do not have identified potential or well-defined weaknesses and for which there is a high likelihood of orderly repayment. All other categories are updated on a quarterly basis.

The following tables present the Company's loan portfolio aging analysis as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

June 30, 2013 (\$ in thousands)	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable
Commercial & Industrial	\$ 10	\$ 244	\$ 370	\$ 624	\$ 84,437	\$ 85,061
Commercial RE	-	-	331	331	199,465	199,796
Agricultural & Farmland	6	-	-	6	38,546	38,552
Residential Real Estate	208	294	1,100	1,602	91,690	93,292
Home Equity & Consumer	102	68	278	448	46,990	47,438
Other	-	-	-	-	154	154
<b>Total Loans</b>	<b>\$ 326</b>	<b>\$ 606</b>	<b>\$ 2,079</b>	<b>\$ 3,011</b>	<b>\$ 461,282</b>	<b>\$ 464,293</b>

December 31, 2012 (\$ in thousands)	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable
Commercial & Industrial	\$ 26	\$ 2	\$ 497	\$ 525	\$ 81,242	\$ 81,767
Commercial RE	1,623	320	264	2,207	199,185	201,392
Agricultural & Farmland	-	-	-	-	42,276	42,276
Residential Real Estate	90	139	1,467	1,696	86,163	87,859
Home Equity & Consumer	319	76	280	675	49,548	50,223
Other	-	-	-	-	148	148
<b>Total Loans</b>	<b>\$ 2,058</b>	<b>\$ 537</b>	<b>\$ 2,508</b>	<b>\$ 5,103</b>	<b>\$ 458,562</b>	<b>\$ 463,665</b>

All loans past due 90 days are systematically placed on nonaccrual status.

A loan is considered impaired, in accordance with the impairment accounting guidance (ASC 310-10-35-16). When based on current information and events, it is probable State Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Impaired loans include nonperforming commercial loans but also include loans modified in troubled debt restructurings where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. These concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection.

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The following tables present impaired loan information as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012:

Three Months Ended

June 30, 2013

(\$'s in thousands)

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Commercial & Industrial	\$347	\$2,233	\$-	\$347	\$-
Commercial RE & Construction	202	202	-	202	-
Agricultural & Farmland	-	-	-	-	-
Residential Real Estate	1,030	1,083	-	1,160	11
Home Equity & Consumer	172	172	-	178	2
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	936	936	-	936	-
With a specific allowance recorded:					
Commercial & Industrial	600	600	247	648	-
Commercial RE & Construction	255	255	87	261	3
Agricultural & Farmland	-	-	-	-	-
Residential Real Estate	1,341	1,341	299	1,429	14
Home Equity & Consumer	546	546	289	570	8
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	-	-	-	-	-
Totals:					
Commercial & Industrial	\$947	\$2,833	\$247	\$995	\$-
Commercial RE & Construction	\$457	\$457	\$87	\$463	\$3
Agricultural & Farmland	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Residential Real Estate	\$2,371	\$2,424	\$299	\$2,589	\$25
Home Equity & Consumer	\$718	\$718	\$289	\$748	\$10
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	\$936	\$936	\$-	\$936	\$-

Six Months Ended

June 30, 2013

(\$'s in thousands)

	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:		
Commercial	\$347	\$-
Commercial Real Estate	202	-
Agricultural	-	-
Residential	1,162	23
Home Equity Consumer & Other	180	5
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	936	-
With a specific allowance recorded:		
Commercial	699	-
Commercial Real Estate	262	6
Agricultural	-	-
Residential	1,431	30
Home Equity Consumer & Other	574	16
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	-	-
Totals:		
Commercial	\$1,046	\$-

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Commercial Real Estate	\$464	\$6
Agricultural	\$-	\$-
Residential	\$2,593	\$53
Home Equity Consumer & Other	\$754	\$21
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	\$936	\$-

16

---

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Twelve Months Ended

December 31, 2012

(\$'s in thousands)

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial & Industrial	\$394	\$2,280	\$-
Commercial RE & Construction	527	1,529	-
Agricultural & Farmland	-	-	-
Residential Real Estate	1,122	1,204	-
Home Equity & Consumer	228	260	-
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	1,336	1,336	-
With a specific allowance recorded:			
Commercial & Industrial	838	944	485
Commercial RE & Construction	198	198	55
Agricultural & Farmland	-	-	-
Residential Real Estate	1,561	1,561	386
Home Equity & Consumer	454	454	195
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	-	-	-
Totals:			
Commercial & Industrial	\$1,232	\$3,224	\$485
Commercial RE & Construction	\$725	\$1,727	\$55
Agricultural & Farmland	\$-	\$-	\$-
Residential Real Estate	\$2,683	\$2,765	\$386
Home Equity & Consumer	\$682	\$714	\$195
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	\$1,336	\$1,336	\$-

June 30, 2012

(\$'s in thousands)

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial	\$348	\$2,234	\$-
Commercial Real Estate	1,895	2,897	-
Agricultural	-	-	-
Residential	904	904	-
Home Equity Consumer & Other	228	261	-
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	870	870	-
With a specific allowance recorded:			
Commercial	948	949	551
Commercial Real Estate	-	-	-
Agricultural	3	2	1
Residential	1,626	1,678	353
Home Equity Consumer & Other	283	283	137
Totals:			
Commercial	\$1,296	\$3,183	\$551
Commercial Real Estate	\$1,895	\$2,897	\$-
Agricultural	\$3	\$2	\$1
Residential	\$2,530	\$2,582	\$353
Home Equity Consumer & Other	\$511	\$544	\$137
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	\$870	\$870	\$-



Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(\$'s in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial	\$2,745	\$-	\$2,330	\$-
Commercial Real Estate	2,934	22	2,910	5
Agricultural	-	-	-	-
Residential	929	31	926	15
Home Equity Consumer & Other	396	7	393	5
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	870	-	870	-
With a specific allowance recorded:				
Commercial	950	3	949	(1 )
Commercial Real Estate	-	-	-	-
Agricultural	3	-	3	-
Residential	1,668	34	1,665	17
Home Equity Consumer & Other	292	8	291	4
Totals:				
Commercial	\$3,695	\$3	\$3,279	\$(1 )
Commercial Real Estate	\$2,934	\$22	\$2,910	\$5
Agricultural	\$3	\$-	\$3	\$-
Residential	\$2,597	\$65	\$2,591	\$32
Home Equity Consumer & Other	\$688	\$15	\$684	\$9
All Impaired Loans < \$100,000	\$870	\$-	\$870	\$-

Impaired loans less than \$100,000 are included in groups of homogenous loans. These loans are evaluated based on delinquency status.

Interest income recognized on a cash basis does not materially differ from interest income recognized on an accrual basis.

#### Troubled Debt Restructured (TDR) Loans

TDRs are modified loans where a concession was provided to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Loan modifications are considered TDRs when the concessions provided are not available to the borrower through either normal channels or other sources. However, not all loan modifications are TDRs.

#### TDR Concession Types

The Company's standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. Each potential loan modification is reviewed individually and the terms of the loan are modified to meet a borrower's specific circumstances at a point in time. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. The types of concessions provided to borrowers include:

Interest rate reduction: A reduction of the stated interest rate to a nonmarket rate for the remaining original life of the debt. The Company also may grant interest rate concessions for a limited timeframe on a case by case basis.

Amortization or maturity date change beyond what the collateral supports, including any of the following:



- (1) Lengthens the amortization period of the amortized principal beyond market terms. This concession reduces the minimum monthly payment and increases the amount of the balloon payment at the end of the term of the loan. Principal is generally not forgiven.
- (2) Reduces the amount of loan principal to be amortized. This concession also reduces the minimum monthly payment and increases the amount of the balloon payment at the end of the term of the loan. Principal is generally not forgiven.

(3) Extends the maturity date or dates of the debt beyond what the collateral supports. This concession generally applies to loans without a balloon payment at the end of the term of the loan. In addition, there may be instances where renewing loans potentially require non-market terms and would then be reclassified as TDRs.

Other: A concession that is not categorized as one of the concessions described above. These concessions include, but are not limited to: principal forgiveness, collateral concessions, covenant concessions, and reduction of accrued interest. Principal forgiveness may result from any TDR modification of any concession type.

The table below presents the newly restructured loans by type of modification during the six months ended June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2012.

(\$ in thousands)	Interest Only	June 30, 2013		Total Modification
		Term	Combination	
Residential Real Estate	\$-	\$14	\$-	\$ 14
Consumer	-	12	-	12
<b>Total Modifications</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$26</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$ 26</b>

The loans described above increased the ALLL by \$13,000 in the six month period ending June 30, 2013.

(\$ in thousands)	Interest Only	June 30, 2012		Total Modification
		Term	Combination	
Residential Real Estate	\$ -	\$ 82	\$ 192	\$ 274
Consumer	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Modifications</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 82</b>	<b>\$ 192</b>	<b>\$ 274</b>

The loans described above increased the ALLL by \$64,000 in the six month period ending June 30, 2012.

Troubled debt restructurings modified in the past 12 months that subsequently defaulted:

	Number of Contracts	Recorded Balance
Residential Real Estate	4	\$194
Consumer	-	-
	4	\$194

## NOTE E - NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.

The objective of this ASU is to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income.

The amendments in this update are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company has adopted these amendments and they do not have a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASU No. 2012-02, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment.

This ASU amends Topic 350 to allow the Company to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if the Company concludes otherwise, then it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform a quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount in accordance with Codification Subtopic 350-30, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other, General Intangibles Other than Goodwill.

The Company also has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and proceed directly to performing the quantitative impairment test. An entity will be able to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

The amendments in this update are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012. Management has determined that the adoption of ASU 2012-02 will not have a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs.

This ASU amends Topic 820 to add both additional clarifications to existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and changes to existing principles and disclosure guidance. Clarifications were made to the relevancy of the highest and best use valuation concept, measurement of an instrument classified in an entity's shareholder's equity and disclosure of quantitative information about the unobservable inputs for Level 3 fair value measurements. Changes to existing principles and disclosures included measurement of financial instruments managed within a portfolio, the application of premiums and discounts in fair value measurement, and additional disclosures related to fair value measurements. The updated guidance and requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2011, and should be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted. Management adopted ASU 2011-04 effective January 1, 2012, as required, without a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



ASU 2011-05, Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income.

This ASU amends Topic 220 to give an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income (OCI) either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. An entity is also required to present on the face of the financial statement reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from OCI to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of OCI are presented. The amendments do not change items that must be reported in OCI or when an item of OCI must be reclassified to net income, only the format for presentation. The updated guidance and requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the fiscal years, and the interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The amendments should be applied retrospectively. On October 21, 2011, the FASB issued a proposed deferral of the requirement that companies present reclassification adjustments for each component of OCI in both net income and OCI on the face of the financial statements. Early adoption is permitted. Management adopted ASU 2011-05 effective January 1, 2012, as required. The statements of comprehensive income have been included within this Form 10-Q.

ASC 2011-08 – Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment.

The ASU amends Topic 350 to permit an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (50% threshold) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before September 15, 2011, if an entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. Management anticipates this standard will have no material effect on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## NOTE F – SEGMENT INFORMATION

The reportable segments are determined by the products and services offered, primarily distinguished between banking and data processing operations. "Other" segment information includes the accounts of the holding company, SB Financial Group, which provides management and operational services to its subsidiaries. Information reported internally for performance assessment follows.

As of and for the three months ended June 30, 2013

Income statement information (\$'s in thousands)	Data			Total Segments	Intersegment Elimination	Consolidated Totals
	Banking	Processing	Other			
Net interest income (expense)	\$5,701	\$(12 )	\$(338 )	\$5,351	\$( 1 )	\$ 5,350
Other revenue - external customers	3,396	457	-	3,853	-	3,853
Other revenue - other segments	71	243	-	314	(347 )	(33 )
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>9,168</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>(338 )</b>	<b>9,518</b>	<b>(348 )</b>	<b>9,170</b>
Non-interest expense	6,445	703	244	7,392	(312 )	7,080
<b>Significant non-cash items:</b>						
Depreciation and amortization	184	29	1	214	-	214
Provision for loan losses	200	-	-	200	-	200
Income tax expense (benefit)	774	(5 )	(198 )	571	-	571
Segment profit (loss)	\$1,749	\$(10 )	\$(384 )	\$1,355	\$(36 )	\$ 1,319
<b>Balance sheet information</b>						
Total assets	\$627,623	\$2,180	\$1,405	\$631,208	\$ 284	\$ 631,492
Goodwill and intangibles	\$17,266	\$-	\$-	\$17,266	\$ -	\$ 17,266
Premises and equipment expenditures	\$553	\$-	\$-	\$553	\$ -	\$ 553

As of and for the three months ended June 30, 2012

Income statement information (\$'s in thousands)	Data			Total Segments	Intersegment Elimination	Consolidated Totals
	Banking	Processing	Other			
Net interest income (expense)	\$5,790	\$(69 )	\$(419 )	\$5,302	\$(34 )	\$ 5,268
	2,662	578	9	3,249		3,249

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Other revenue - external  
customers

Other revenue - other segments	80	251	60	391	(432 )	(41 )
--------------------------------	----	-----	----	-----	--------	-------

Total revenue	8,532	760	(350 )	8,942	(466 )	8,476
---------------	-------	-----	--------	-------	--------	-------

Non-interest expense	6,083	842	369	7,294	(423 )	6,871
----------------------	-------	-----	-----	-------	--------	-------

Significant non-cash items:

Depreciation and amortization	237	68	3	308	-	308
-------------------------------	-----	----	---	-----	---	-----

Provision for loan losses	200	-	-	200	-	200
---------------------------	-----	---	---	-----	---	-----

Income tax expense (benefit)	667	(28 )	(248 )	391	-	391
------------------------------	-----	-------	--------	-----	---	-----

Segment profit (loss)	\$1,582	\$(54 )	\$(471 )	\$1,057	\$(43 )	\$1,014
-----------------------	---------	---------	----------	---------	---------	---------

Balance sheet information

Total assets	\$626,889	\$2,684	\$7,066	\$636,639	\$(4,108 )	\$632,531
--------------	-----------	---------	---------	-----------	------------	-----------

Goodwill and intangibles	\$17,887	\$-	\$-	\$17,887	\$-	\$17,887
--------------------------	----------	-----	-----	----------	-----	----------

Premises and equipment expenditures	\$184	\$-	\$-	\$184	\$-	\$184
--	-------	-----	-----	-------	-----	-------

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

As of and for the six months ended June 30,  
2013

Income statement information (\$'s in thousands)	Data			Total Segments	Intersegment Elimination	Consolidated Totals
	Banking	Processing	Other			
Net interest income (expense)	\$11,409	\$(26 )	\$(741 )	\$10,642	\$ -	\$ 10,642
Non-interest income - external customers	6,560	872	-	7,432		7,432
Non-interest income - other segments	168	582	21	771	(816 )	(45 )
Total revenue	18,137	1,428	(720 )	18,845	(816 )	18,029
Non-interest expense	12,577	1,406	516	14,499	(749 )	13,750
Significant non-cash items:						
Depreciation and amortization	434	64	3	501	-	501
Provision for loan losses	499	-	-	499	-	499
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,556	7	(420 )	1,143	-	1,143
Segment profit (loss)	\$3,505	\$15	\$(816 )	\$2,704	\$(67 )	\$ 2,637
Balance sheet information						
Total assets	\$627,623	\$2,180	\$1,405	\$631,208	\$ 284	\$ 631,492
Goodwill and intangibles	\$17,266	\$-	\$-	\$17,266	\$ -	\$ 17,266
Premises and equipment expenditures	\$553	\$-	\$-	\$553	\$ -	\$ 553

As of and for the six months ended June 30,  
2012

Income statement information (\$'s in thousands)	Data			Total Segments	Intersegment Elimination	Consolidated Totals
	Banking	Processing	Other			
Net interest income (expense)	\$11,291	\$(82 )	\$(1,032 )	\$10,177	\$(34 )	\$ 10,143
Non-interest income - external customers	5,625	1,198	26	6,849		6,849



Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Non-interest income - other segments	160	1,065	114	1,339	(1,399 )	(60 )
Total revenue	17,076	2,181	(892 )	18,365	(1,433 )	16,932
Non-interest expense	12,530	1,725	664	14,919	(1,372 )	13,547
Significant non-cash items:						
Depreciation and amortization	462	174	6	642	-	642
Provision for loan losses	650	-	-	650	-	650
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,132	155	(538 )	749	-	749
Segment profit (loss)	\$2,764	\$301	\$(1,018 )	\$2,047	\$(61 )	\$1,986
Balance sheet information						
Total assets	\$626,889	\$2,684	\$7,066	\$636,639	\$(4,108 )	\$632,531
Goodwill and intangibles	\$17,887	\$-	\$-	\$17,887	\$-	\$17,887
Premises and equipment expenditures	\$953	\$2	\$-	\$955	\$-	\$955

## NOTE G – DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

### Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks primarily through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources and duration of its assets and liabilities and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing and duration of the Company's known or expected cash payments principally related to certain variable-rate assets.

### Non-designated Hedges

The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and result from a service the Company provides to certain customers. The Company executes interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are simultaneously hedged by offsetting interest rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. As of June 30, 2013, the notional amount of customer-facing swaps was approximately \$7.37 million. This amount is offset with third party counterparties, as described above.

The Company has minimum collateral posting thresholds with its derivative counterparties. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had posted cash as collateral in the amount of \$0.21 million.

## Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments, as well as their classification on the Balance Sheet, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(\$ in thousands)	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	June 30, 2013		June 30, 2013	
Derivatives not designated	Balance	Fair	Balance	Fair
as hedging instruments:	Sheet	Value	Sheet	Value
	Location		Location	
Interest rate contracts	Other	\$ 104	Other	\$ 104
	Assets		Liabilities	

  

	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2012	
Derivatives not designated	Balance	Fair	Balance	Fair
as hedging instruments:	Sheet	Value	Sheet	Value
	Location		Location	
Interest rate contracts	Other	\$ 254	Other	\$ 254
	Assets		Liabilities	

## Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Income Statement

The Company's derivative financial instruments had no net effect on the Income Statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013.

## NOTE H – FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A fair value measurement must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. There is a hierarchy of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

1

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities

2

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

3

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies and inputs used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, recognized in the accompanying balance sheets, as well as the general classifications of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.



## Available-for-Sale Securities

The fair values of available-for-sale securities are determined by various valuation methodologies. Level 1 securities include money market mutual funds. Level 1 inputs include quoted prices in an active market. Level 2 securities include U.S. treasury and government agencies, mortgage-backed securities, obligations of political and state subdivisions and equity securities. Level 2 inputs do not include quoted prices for individual securities in active markets; however, they do include inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable for the individual security being valued. Such observable inputs include interest rates and yield curves at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks and default rates. Also included are inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

## Interest Rate Contracts

The fair values of interest rate contracts are based upon the estimated amount the Company would receive or pay to terminate the contracts or agreements, taking into account underlying interest rates, creditworthiness of underlying customers for credit derivatives and, when appropriate, the creditworthiness of the counterparties.

The following table presents the fair value measurements of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(\$'s in thousands)	Description	Fair Values			
		at 6/30/2013	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-Sale Securities:					
	U.S. Treasury and Government Agencies	\$16,077	\$-	\$16,077	\$-
	Mortgage-backed securities	56,722	-	56,722	-
	State and political subdivisions	19,522	-	19,522	-
	Money Market Mutual Fund	3,035	3,035	-	-
	Equity securities	23	-	23	-
	Interest rate contracts	104	-	104	-

(\$'s in thousands)	Description	Fair Values			
		at 12/31/2012	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-Sale Securities:					
	U.S. Treasury and Government Agencies	\$14,511	\$-	\$14,511	\$-
	Mortgage-backed securities	63,764	-	63,764	-
	State and political subdivisions	18,249	-	18,249	-
	Money Market Mutual Fund	2,155	2,155	-	-
	Equity securities	23	-	23	-
	Interest rate contracts	254	-	254	-

Level 1 – Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets

Level 2 – Significant Other Observable Inputs

Level 3 – Significant Unobservable Inputs

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies and inputs used for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such

assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

## Collateral-dependent Impaired Loans, Net of ALLL

Loans for which it is probable the Company will not collect all principal and interest due according to contractual terms are measured for impairment. The estimated fair value of collateral-dependent impaired loans is based on the appraised value of the collateral, less estimated cost to sell. Collateral-dependent impaired loans are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. This method requires obtaining independent appraisals of the collateral, which are reviewed for accuracy and consistency by Credit Administration. These appraisers are selected from the list of approved appraisers maintained by management. The appraised values are reduced by applying a discount factor to the value based on the Company's loan review policy. All impaired loans held by the Company were collateral dependent at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

## Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, fair value is estimated using discounted cash flow models associated with the servicing rights and discounting the cash flows using discount market rates, prepayment speeds and default rates. The servicing portfolio has been valued using all relevant positive and negative cash flows including servicing fees, miscellaneous income and float; marginal costs of servicing; the cost of carry of advances; and foreclosure losses; and applying certain prevailing assumptions used in the marketplace. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, mortgage servicing rights are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy. These mortgage servicing rights are tested for impairment on a quarterly basis.

## Foreclosed Assets Held For Sale

Foreclosed assets held for sale are carried at the lower of fair value at acquisition date or current estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell when the real estate is acquired. Estimated fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale is based on appraisals or evaluations. Foreclosed assets held for sale are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Appraisals of foreclosed assets held for sale are obtained when the real estate is acquired and subsequently as deemed necessary by Credit Administration. These independent appraisals of the collateral are reviewed for accuracy and consistency by Credit Administration. The appraisers are selected from the list of approved appraisers maintained by management.

The following table presents the fair value measurements of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fell at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(\$'s in thousands)	Description	Fair Values	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		at 6/30/2013	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	Impaired loans	\$1,677	\$-	\$-	\$1,677
	Mortgage servicing rights	2,234	-	-	2,234
	Foreclosed assets	84	-	-	84

(\$'s in thousands)	Description	Fair Values	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		at 12/31/2012	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	Impaired loans	\$2,227	\$-	\$-	\$2,227

Edgar Filing: SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Mortgage servicing rights	2,667	-	-	2,667
Foreclosed assets	950	-	-	950

27

---



## Unobservable (Level 3) Inputs

The following table presents quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in recurring and nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements.

(\$'s in thousands)	Fair Value at 6/30/2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Collateral-dependent impaired loans	\$ 1,677	Market comparable properties	Comparability adjustments (%)	Not available
Mortgage servicing rights	2,234	Discounted cash flow	Discount Rate	9.75 %
			Constant prepayment rate	9.80 %
			P&I earnings credit	0.19 %
			T&I earnings credit	1.06 %
			Inflation for cost of servicing	1.50 %
Foreclosed assets	84	Market comparable properties	Marketability discount	10.00 %
(\$'s in thousands)	Fair Value at 12/31/2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Collateral-dependent impaired loans	\$ 2,227	Market comparable properties	Comparability adjustments (%)	Not available
Mortgage servicing rights	2,667	Discounted cash flow	Discount Rate	8.50 %
			Constant prepayment rate	15.60 %
			P&I earnings credit	0.21 %
			T&I earnings credit	0.81 %
			Inflation for cost of servicing	1.50 %
Foreclosed assets	950	Market comparable properties	Marketability discount	10.00 %

There were no changes in the inputs or methodologies used to determine fair value at June 30, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012.

The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's other financial instruments carried at other than fair value. The fair values of certain of these instruments were calculated by discounting expected cash flows, which involves significant judgments by management and uncertainties. Fair value is the estimated amount at which financial assets or liabilities could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Because no market exists for certain of these financial instruments, and because management does not intend to sell these financial instruments, the Company does not know whether the fair values shown below represent values at which the respective financial instruments could be sold individually or in the aggregate.



The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying balance sheets at amounts other than fair value.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents, Federal Reserve and Federal Home Loan Bank Stock and Accrued Interest Payable and Receivable

The carrying amount approximates the fair value.

#### Loans

The estimated fair value for loans receivable, including loans held for sale, net, is based on estimates of the rate State Bank would charge for similar loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, applied for the time period until the loans are assumed to re-price or be paid.

#### Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, fair value is estimated using discounted cash flow models associated with the servicing rights and discounting the cash flows using discount market rates, prepayment speeds and default rates. The servicing portfolio has been valued using all relevant positive and negative cash flows including servicing fees, miscellaneous income and float; marginal costs of servicing; the cost of carry of advances; and foreclosure losses; and applying certain prevailing assumptions used in the marketplace. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, mortgage servicing rights are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy. These mortgage servicing rights are tested for impairment on a quarterly basis.

#### Deposits, Short-term borrowings, Notes payable & FHLB advances

Deposits include demand deposits, savings accounts, NOW accounts and certain money market deposits. The carrying amount approximates the fair value. The estimated fair value for fixed-maturity time deposits, as well as borrowings, is based on estimates of the rate State Bank could pay on similar instruments with similar terms and maturities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

#### Loan Commitments

The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. The estimated fair values for other financial instruments and off-balance-sheet loan commitments approximate cost at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and are not considered significant to this presentation.

## Trust Preferred Securities

The fair value for Trust Preferred Securities is estimated by discounting the cash flows using an appropriate discount rate.

June 30, 2013 (\$'s in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$10,750	\$10,750	\$-	\$-
Loans held for sale	10,715	-	10,985	-
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	457,022	-	-	460,308
Federal Reserve and FHLB Bank stock	3,748	-	3,748	-
Mortgage Servicing Rights	4,613	-	-	5,544
Accrued interest receivable	1,575	-	1,575	-
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Deposits	\$511,378	\$-	\$514,112	\$-
Notes payable	1,148	-	1,168	-
FHLB advances	30,000	-	29,983	-
Short-term borrowings	9,314	-	9,314	-
Trust preferred securities	20,620	-	13,313	-
Accrued interest payable	715	-	715	-
December 31, 2012 (\$'s in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$19,144	\$ 19,144	\$ -	\$ -
Loans held for sale	6,147	-	6,350	-
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	456,578	-	-	462,773
Federal Reserve and FHLB Bank stock	3,748	-	3,748	-
Mortgage Servicing Rights	3,775	-	-	4,329
Accrued interest receivable	1,235	-	1,235	-
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Deposits	\$527,001	\$ -	\$ 530,097	\$ -
Notes payable	1,702	-	1,731	-
FHLB advances	21,000	-	21,274	-
Short-term borrowings	10,333	-	10,333	-
Trust preferred securities	20,620	-	7,353	-
Accrued interest payable	138	-	138	-

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains certain forward-looking statements that are provided to assist in the understanding of anticipated future financial performance. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations or forecasts of future events and are not guarantees of future performance. Examples of forward-looking statements include: (a) projections of income or expense, earnings per share, the payments or non-payments of dividends, capital structure and other financial items; (b) statements of plans and objectives of the Company or our management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services; (c) statements of future economic performance; and (d) statements of assumptions underlying such statements. Words such as "anticipates", "believes", "plans", "intends", "expects", "projects", "estimates", "should", "may", "would be", "will allow", "will likely result", "will continue", "will remain", or other expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying those statements. Forward-looking statements are based on management's expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. Risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially include, without limitation, changes in interest rates, changes in the competitive environment, and changes in banking regulations or other regulatory or legislative requirements affecting bank holding companies. Additional detailed information concerning a number of important factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is available in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the disclosure under the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Undue reliance should not be placed on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Except as may be required by law, the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect unanticipated events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made.

### Overview of SB Financial

SB Financial Group, Inc. ("SB Financial" or the "Company") is a bank holding company registered with the Federal Reserve Board. The name of the Company was changed to SB Financial Group, Inc. from Rurban Financial Corp. effective April 18, 2013. SB Financial's wholly-owned subsidiary, The State Bank and Trust Company ("State Bank"), is engaged in commercial banking. SB Financial's technology subsidiary, Rurbanc Data Services, Inc. ("RDSI"), provides item processing services to community banks and businesses.

Rurban Statutory Trust I ("RST") was established in August 2000. In September 2000, RST completed a pooled private offering of 10,000 Trust Preferred Securities with a liquidation amount of \$1,000 per security. The proceeds of the offering were loaned to the Company in exchange for junior subordinated debentures of the Company with terms substantially similar to the Trust Preferred Securities. The sole assets of RST are the junior subordinated debentures, and the back-up obligations, in the aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the obligations of RST.

Rurban Statutory Trust II ("RST II") was established in August 2005. In September 2005, RST II completed a pooled private offering of 10,000 Trust Preferred Securities with a liquidation amount of \$1,000 per security. The proceeds of the offering were loaned to the Company in exchange for junior subordinated debentures of the Company with terms substantially similar to the Trust Preferred Securities. The sole assets of RST II are the junior subordinated debentures, and the back-up obligations, in the aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the obligations of RST II.

RFCBC, Inc. (“RFCBC”) is an Ohio corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company that was incorporated in August 2004. RFCBC operates as a loan subsidiary in servicing and working out problem loans.

Rurban Investments, Inc. (“RII”) is a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of State Bank that was incorporated in January 2009. RII holds agency, mortgage backed and municipal securities.

State Bank Insurance, LLC (“SBI”) is an Ohio corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of State Bank that was incorporated in June of 2010. SBI is an insurance company that engages in the sale of insurance products to retail and commercial customers of State Bank.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, all references herein to “SB Financial”, “we”, “us”, “our”, or the “Company” refer to SB Financial Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

#### Recent Regulatory Developments

##### Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

The Dodd-Frank Act established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the “CFPB”), which regulates consumer financial products and services and certain financial services providers. The CFPB is authorized to prevent unfair, deceptive and abusive acts or practices and seeks to ensure consistent enforcement of laws so that consumers have access to fair, transparent and competitive markets for consumer financial products and services. The CFPB has rulemaking and interpretive authority.

##### Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010

The Dodd-Frank Act was enacted into law on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act is significantly changing the regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry. Because the Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of regulations with significant discretion, many of the details of the new law and the effects they will have on the Company will not be known for months and even years.

Among the provisions already implemented pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the following provisions have or may have an effect on the business of the Company and its subsidiaries:

the CFPB has been formed with broad powers to adopt and enforce consumer protection regulations;

the federal law prohibiting the payment of interest on commercial demand deposit accounts was eliminated effective July 21, 2011;

the standard maximum amount of deposit insurance per customer was permanently increased to \$250,000;

the assessment base for determining deposit insurance premiums has been expanded from domestic deposits to average assets minus average tangible equity; and

public companies in all industries are required to provide shareholders the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation.

Additional provisions not yet implemented that may have an effect on the Company and its subsidiaries include the following:

new capital regulations for bank holding companies will be adopted, which may impose stricter requirements, and any new trust preferred securities issued after May 19, 2010 will no longer constitute Tier I capital; and

new corporate governance requirements applicable generally to all public companies in all industries will require new compensation practices and disclosure requirements, including requiring companies to “claw back” incentive compensation under certain circumstances, to consider the independence of compensation advisors and to make additional disclosures in proxy statements with respect to compensation matters.



Many provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act have not yet been implemented and will require interpretation and rule making by federal regulators. As a result, the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the Company cannot yet be determined. However, it is likely that the implementation of these provisions will increase compliance costs and fees paid to regulators, along with possibly restricting the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries.

#### Executive and Incentive Compensation

In June 2010, the Federal Reserve Board, the OCC and the FDIC issued joint interagency guidance on incentive compensation policies (the “Joint Guidance”) intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. This principles-based guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization’s incentive compensation arrangements should (a) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization’s ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (b) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management and (c) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization’s board of directors.

Pursuant to the Joint Guidance, the Federal Reserve Board will review as part of a regular, risk-focused examination process, the incentive compensation arrangements of financial institutions such as the Company. Such reviews will be tailored to each organization based on the scope and complexity of the organization’s activities and the prevalence of incentive compensation arrangements. The findings of the supervisory initiatives will be included in reports of examination and deficiencies will be incorporated into the institution’s supervisory ratings, which can affect the institution’s ability to make acquisitions and take other actions. Enforcement actions may be taken against an institution if its incentive compensation arrangements, or related risk-management control or governance processes, pose a risk to the organization’s safety and soundness and prompt and effective measures are not being taken to correct the deficiencies.

On February 7, 2011, federal banking regulatory agencies jointly issued proposed rules on incentive-based compensation arrangements under applicable provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act (the “Proposed Rules”). The Proposed Rules generally apply to financial institutions with \$1.0 billion or more in assets that maintain incentive-based compensation arrangements for certain covered employees. The Proposed Rules (i) prohibit covered financial institutions from maintaining incentive-based compensation arrangements that encourage covered persons to expose the institution to inappropriate risk by providing the covered person with “excessive” compensation; (ii) prohibit covered financial institutions from establishing or maintaining incentive-based compensation arrangements for covered persons that encourage inappropriate risks that could lead to a material financial loss, (iii) require covered financial institutions to maintain policies and procedures appropriate to their size, complexity and use of incentive-based compensation to help ensure compliance with the Proposed Rules and (iv) require covered financial institutions to provide enhanced disclosure to regulators regarding their incentive-based compensation arrangements for covered person within 90 days following the end of the fiscal year.

Pursuant to rules adopted by the stock exchanges and approved by the SEC in January 2013 under the Dodd-Frank Act, public companies are required to implement “clawback” procedures for incentive compensation payments and to disclose the details of the procedures which allow recovery of incentive compensation that was paid on the basis of erroneous financial information necessitating a restatement due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements. This clawback policy is intended to apply to compensation paid within a three-year look-back window of the restatement and would cover all executives who received incentive awards. Public company compensation committee members are also required to meet heightened independence requirements and to consider the independence of compensation consultants, legal counsel and other advisors to the compensation committee. The compensation committees must have the authority to hire advisors and to have the company fund reasonable

compensation of such advisors.

33

---

## Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 describes the significant accounting policies used in the development and presentation of the Company's financial statements. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. The Company's financial position and results of operations can be affected by these estimates and assumptions and are integral to the understanding of reported results. Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are the most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and they require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective, or complex.

**Allowance for Loan Losses** - The allowance for loan losses provides coverage for probable losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. Management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses each quarter based on changes, if any, in underwriting activities, loan portfolio composition (including product mix and geographic, industry or customer-specific concentrations), trends in loan performance, regulatory guidance and economic factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires the use of significant management estimates. Many factors can affect management's estimates of specific and expected losses, including volatility of default probabilities, rating migrations, loss severity and economic and political conditions. The allowance is increased through provisions charged to operating earnings and reduced by net charge-offs.

The Company determines the amount of the allowance based on relative risk characteristics of the loan portfolio. The allowance recorded for commercial loans is based on reviews of individual credit relationships and an analysis of the migration of commercial loans and actual loss experience. The allowance recorded for homogeneous consumer loans is based on an analysis of loan mix, risk characteristics of the portfolio, fraud loss and bankruptcy experiences, and historical losses, adjusted for current trends, for each homogeneous category or group of loans. The allowance for credit losses relating to impaired loans is based on the loan's observable market price, the collateral for certain collateral-dependent loans, or the discounted cash flows using the loan's effective interest rate.

Regardless of the extent of the Company's analysis of customer performance, portfolio trends or risk management processes, certain inherent but undetected losses are probable within the loan portfolio. This is due to several factors, including inherent delays in obtaining information regarding a customer's financial condition or changes in their unique business conditions, the subjective nature of individual loan evaluations, collateral assessments and the interpretation of economic trends. Volatility of economic or customer-specific conditions affecting the identification and estimation of losses for larger non-homogeneous credits and the sensitivity of assumptions utilized to establish allowances for homogenous groups of loans are also factors. The Company estimates a range of inherent losses related to the existence of these exposures. The estimates are based upon the Company's evaluation of imprecise risk associated with the commercial and consumer allowance levels and the estimated impact of the current economic environment. To the extent that actual results differ from management's estimates, additional loan loss provisions may be required that could adversely impact earnings for future periods.

**Goodwill and Other Intangibles** - The Company records all assets and liabilities acquired in purchase acquisitions, including goodwill and other intangibles, at fair value as required. Goodwill is subject, at a minimum, to annual tests for impairment. Other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives using straight-line or accelerated methods, and are subject to impairment if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount. The initial goodwill and other intangibles recorded and subsequent impairment analysis requires management to make subjective judgments concerning estimates of how the acquired asset will perform in the future. Events and factors that may significantly affect the estimates include, among others, customer attrition, changes in revenue growth trends, specific industry conditions and changes in competition. A decrease in earnings resulting from

these or other factors could lead to an impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings for future periods.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

**Net Income:** Net income for the second quarter of 2013 was \$1.32 million, or \$0.27 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$1.01 million, or \$0.21 per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2012. For the quarter, the Banking Group (consisting primarily of State Bank), had net income of \$1.78 million, which is up 12.7 percent compared to net income of \$1.58 million from the year ago second quarter. RDSI reported a net loss of \$10 thousand compared to a net loss of \$54 thousand from the year ago second quarter.

**Provision for Loan Losses:** The second quarter provision for loan losses was \$0.20 million compared to \$0.20 million for the year-ago quarter. Net charge-offs for the quarter were \$0.18 million compared to \$0.19 million for the year-ago quarter. Total delinquent loans ended the quarter at \$3.01 million, which is down \$1.94 million, or 39.2 percent, from the prior year.

Asset Quality Review – For the Period Ended (\$'s in Thousands)	December		June 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
	June 30, 2013	31, 2012		
Net charge-offs	\$179	\$1,068		\$190
Nonaccruing loans	4,386	5,305		5,315
Accruing Trouble Debt Restructures	1,262	1,258		1,837
Nonaccruing and restructured loans	5,648	6,563		7,152
OREO / OAO	1,955	2,367		1,708
Nonperforming assets	7,603	8,930		8,860
Nonperforming assets/Total assets	1.20	% 1.40	%	1.40 %
Allowance for loan losses/Total loans	1.51	% 1.47	%	1.46 %
Allowance for loan losses/Nonperforming loans	124.2	% 103.8	%	92.5 %

**Consolidated Revenue:** Total revenue, consisting of net interest income fully taxable equivalent (FTE) and noninterest income, was \$9.27 million for the second quarter of 2013, an increase of \$0.70 million, or 8.2 percent, from the \$8.56 million generated during the 2012 second quarter.

Net interest income (FTE) was \$5.45 million, which is up \$0.92 million from the prior year second quarter's \$5.36 million. The Company's earning assets increased \$1.9 million, but this was offset by a 19 basis point decrease in the yield on earning assets. The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2013 was 3.86 percent compared to 3.81 percent for the second quarter of 2012.

Noninterest income was \$3.82 million for the 2013 second quarter compared to \$3.21 million for the prior year period. Excluding data service fees, which are contributed by RDSI, the remaining noninterest income is generated by the Banking Group. RDSI fees continue to trail the prior year due to client losses.

Mortgage origination activity continued at a high level in the second quarter of 2013. State Bank originated \$81.9 million of mortgage loans compared to \$79.9 million for the second quarter of 2012. These second quarter 2013 originations and subsequent sales resulted in \$1.45 million of gains, which compares to gains of \$1.40 million for the second quarter of 2012. Compared to the prior year second quarter, total sales into the secondary market have decreased by \$8.18 million. Net mortgage banking revenue was \$1.87 million due to the recapture of OMSR impairment and higher margin on loan sales in the current year.

**Consolidated Noninterest Expense:** Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2013 was \$7.08 million, compared to \$6.87 million in the prior-year second quarter. Expense for FDIC insurance was down \$0.13 million from the prior year. Offsetting the FDIC expense were higher compensation costs and various costs related to our rebranding

activities in the quarter.

35

---

**Income Taxes:**Income taxes for the second quarter of 2013 were \$0.57 million compared to \$0.39 million for the second quarter of 2012. The increase was due primarily to the increase in pre-tax income compared to the prior year.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

**Net Income:** Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$2.64 million, or \$0.54 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$1.99 million, or \$0.41 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2012. For the year, the Banking Group (consisting primarily of State Bank), had net income of \$3.54 million, which is up 28.0 percent compared to net income of \$2.76 million the prior year. RDSI reported net income of \$15 thousand for the six month period compared to net income of \$301 thousand from the first six months of the prior year.

**Provision for Loan Losses:** The provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$0.50 million compared to \$0.65 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Net charge-offs for the first six months were \$0.30 million compared to \$0.56 million for the first six months of the prior year.

**Consolidated Revenue:** Total revenue, consisting of net interest income fully taxable equivalent (FTE) and noninterest income, was \$18.23 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of \$1.12 million, or 6.5 percent, from the \$17.11 million generated during the first six months of 2012.

Net interest income (FTE) was \$10.84 million, which is up \$0.52 million from the \$10.32 million for the prior year first six months. The Company's earning assets increased \$4.29 million, but this was offset by a 15 basis point decrease in the yield on earning assets. The net interest margin for the six months ended June 20, 2013 was 3.86 percent compared to 3.70 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Noninterest income was \$7.39 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$6.79 million for the prior year six months ended. Gain on sale of loans were higher in 2013 compared to 2012 due to higher sales in residential mortgage (\$0.36 million) and FSA/SBA loans (\$0.24 million). In addition, recapture of OMSR impairment increased mortgage servicing fees by \$0.43 million compared to the prior year six month period.

**Consolidated Noninterest Expense:** Noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$13.75 million, compared to \$13.55 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012. Expenses related to our rebranding project increased costs compared to the prior year six month period. These higher costs were offset by lower FDIC premiums.

**Income Taxes:** Income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$1.14 million compared to \$0.75 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase was due primarily to the increase in pre-tax income compared to the prior year.

#### Changes in Financial Condition

Total assets at June 30, 2013 were \$631.5 million, a decrease of \$6.74 million, or 1.06 percent, since 2012 year end. Total loans, net of unearned income, were \$464.0 million as of June 30, 2013, up \$0.65 million from year end, an increase of 0.14 percent.

Total deposits at June 30, 2013 were \$511.4 million, a decrease of \$15.6 million as compared to December 2012 balances. Borrowed funds (consisting of notes payable, FHLB advances, and REPOs) totaled \$40.5 million at June 30, 2013. This is up 22.5 percent from year end when borrowed funds totaled \$33.0 million. Total equity for the Company of \$54.4 million now stands at 8.61 percent of total assets, which is up slightly from the December 31, 2012 level of 8.35 percent.





## Capital Resources

At June 30, 2013, actual capital levels and minimum required levels were as follows (\$'s in thousands):

	Actual		Minimum Required For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum Required To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$62,263	13.1	% \$38,143	8.0	% \$-	N/A
State Bank	\$58,965	12.4	% 38,131	8.0	% \$47,664	10.0 %

Both the Company and State Bank were categorized as well capitalized at June 30, 2013.

## LIQUIDITY

Liquidity relates primarily to the Company's ability to fund loan demand, meet deposit customers' withdrawal requirements and provide for operating expenses. Assets used to satisfy these needs consist of cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions, securities available-for-sale and loans held for sale. These assets are commonly referred to as liquid assets. Liquid assets were \$116.8 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$124.0 million at December 31, 2012.

Liquidity risk arises from the possibility that the Company may not be able to meet the Company's financial obligations and operating cash needs or may become overly reliant upon external funding sources. In order to manage this risk, the Board of Directors of the Company has established a Liquidity Policy that identifies primary sources of liquidity, establishes procedures for monitoring and measuring liquidity and quantifies minimum liquidity requirements. This policy designates the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") as the body responsible for meeting these objectives. The ALCO reviews liquidity regularly and evaluates significant changes in strategies that affect balance sheet or cash flow positions. Liquidity is centrally managed on a daily basis by the Company's Chief Financial Officer and Asset Liability Manager.

The Company's commercial real estate, first mortgage residential and multi-family mortgage portfolio of \$293.1 million at June 30, 2013 and \$289.3 million at December 31, 2012, which can and has been used to collateralize borrowings, is an additional source of liquidity. Management believes the Company's current liquidity level, without these borrowings, is sufficient to meet its liquidity needs. At June 30, 2013, all eligible commercial real estate, first mortgage residential and multi-family mortgage loans were pledged under an FHLB blanket lien.

The cash flow statements for the periods presented provide an indication of the Company's sources and uses of cash, as well as an indication of the ability of the Company to maintain an adequate level of liquidity. A discussion of the cash flow statements for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 follows.

The Company experienced negative cash flows from operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. Net cash used in operating activities was \$1.00 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$0.23 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Highlights for the current year include \$153.9 million in proceeds from the sale of loans, which is up \$5.7 million from the prior year. Originations of loans held for sale was a use of cash of \$150.3 million, which is also up from the prior year, by \$5.75 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, there was a net recapture of Origination Mortgage Servicing Rights (OMSR) impairment of \$0.44 million,

and gain on sale of loans of \$3.17 million.

The Company experienced positive cash flows from investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and negative cash flows from investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Net cash flows provided by investing activities were \$1.05 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and net cash flows used in investing activities were \$1.11 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Highlights for the six months ended June 30, 2013 include \$16.8 million in purchases of available-for-sale securities. These cash payments were offset by \$17.6 million in proceeds from maturities and sales of securities, which is down \$9.51 million from the prior six month period. The Company experienced a \$0.28 million decrease in loans compared to the \$10.25 million decrease from the prior year six month period. Sales of foreclosed assets provided cash of \$0.83 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

The Company experienced negative cash flows from financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and positive cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$8.45 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and cash flows provided by financing activities were \$1.13 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Highlights for the current period include a \$2.4 million increase in transaction deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2013, which is down from the \$15.8 million increase in transaction deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Certificates of deposit declined by \$18.0 million in the current year compared to a decline of \$15.9 million for the prior year. FHLB advances increased by \$9.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

ALCO uses an economic value of equity (“EVE”) analysis to measure risk in the balance sheet incorporating all cash flows over the estimated remaining life of all balance sheet positions. The EVE analysis calculates the net present value of the Company’s assets and liabilities in rate shock environments that range from -100 basis points to +400 basis points. The results of this analysis are reflected in the following tables for June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

June 30, 2013  
Economic Value of Equity  
(\$’s in thousands)

Change in Rates	\$ Amount	\$ Change	% Change
+400 basis points	102,586	12,049	13.31
+300 basis points	101,304	10,767	11.89
+200 basis points	99,195	8,658	9.56
+100 basis points	95,772	5,235	5.78
Base Case	90,537	-	-
-100 basis points	83,647	(6,890 )	(7.61 )

December 31, 2012  
Economic Value of Equity  
(\$’s in thousands)

Change in Rates	\$ Amount	\$ Change	% Change
+400 basis points	95,056	14,010	14.12
+300 basis points	92,811	12,648	12.75
+200 basis points	89,642	10,362	10.44
+100 basis points	84,980	6,583	6.63
Base Case	77,514	-	-
-100 basis points	68,231	(9,283 )	(11.98 )

Off-Balance-Sheet Borrowing Arrangements:

Significant additional off-balance-sheet liquidity is available in the form of FHLB advances and unused federal funds lines from correspondent banks. Management expects the risk of changes in off-balance-sheet arrangements to be immaterial to earnings.

The Company’s commercial real estate, first mortgage residential and multi-family mortgage portfolios of \$293.1 million have been pledged to meet FHLB collateralization requirements as of June 30, 2013. Based on the current collateralization requirements of the FHLB, the Company had approximately \$11.1 million of additional borrowing capacity at June 30, 2013. The Company also had \$33.9 million in unpledged securities that may be used to pledge for additional borrowings.



At June 30, 2013, the Company had unused federal funds lines totaling \$11.5 million, with a zero balance outstanding.

The Company's contractual obligations as of June 30, 2013 were comprised of long-term debt obligations, other debt obligations, operating lease obligations and other long-term liabilities. Long-term debt obligations are comprised of FHLB Advances of \$30.0 million. Other debt obligations are comprised of Trust Preferred securities of \$20.6 million and Notes Payable of \$1.15 million. The operating lease obligations consist of a lease on the RDSI-North building of \$162 thousand per year and a lease on the DCM-Lansing facility of \$105 thousand per year. Total time deposits at June 30, 2013 were \$176.1 million, of which \$92.7 million matures beyond one year.

Also, as of June 30, 2013, the Company had commitments to sell mortgage loans totaling \$10.7 million. The Company believes that it has adequate resources to fund commitments as they arise and that it can adjust the rate on savings certificates to retain deposits in changing interest rate environments. If the Company requires funds beyond its internal funding capabilities, advances from the FHLB of Cincinnati and other financial institutions are available.

## ASSET LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Asset liability management involves developing, executing and monitoring strategies to maintain appropriate liquidity, maximize net interest income and minimize the impact that significant fluctuations in market interest rates would have on current and future earnings. The business of the Company and the composition of its balance sheet consist of investments in interest-earning assets (primarily loans, mortgage-backed securities, and securities available for sale) which are primarily funded by interest-bearing liabilities (deposits and borrowings). With the exception of specific loans which are originated and held for sale, all of the financial instruments of the Company are for other than trading purposes. All of the Company's transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars with no specific foreign exchange exposure. In addition, the Company has limited exposure to commodity prices related to agricultural loans. The impact of changes in foreign exchange rates and commodity prices on interest rates are assumed to be insignificant. The Company's financial instruments have varying levels of sensitivity to changes in market interest rates resulting in market risk. Interest rate risk is the Company's primary market risk exposure; to a lesser extent, liquidity risk also impacts market risk exposure.

Interest rate risk is the exposure of a banking institution's financial condition to adverse movements in interest rates. Accepting this risk can be an important source of profitability and shareholder value; however, excessive levels of interest rate risk could pose a significant threat to the Company's earnings and capital base. Accordingly, effective risk management that maintains interest rate risks at prudent levels is essential to the Company's safety and soundness.

Evaluating a financial institution's exposure to changes in interest rates includes assessing both the adequacy of the management process used to control interest rate risk and the organization's quantitative level of exposure. When assessing the interest rate risk management process, the Company seeks to ensure that appropriate policies, procedures, management information systems and internal controls are in place to maintain interest rate risks at prudent levels of consistency and continuity. Evaluating the quantitative level of interest rate risk exposure requires the Company to assess the existing and potential future effects of changes in interest rates on its consolidated financial condition, including capital adequacy, earnings, liquidity and asset quality (when appropriate).

The Federal Reserve Board together with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Company adopted a Joint Agency Policy Statement on interest rate risk effective June 26, 1996. The policy statement provides guidance to examiners and bankers on sound practices for managing interest rate risk, which will form the basis for ongoing evaluation of the adequacy of interest rate risk management at supervised institutions. The policy statement also outlines fundamental elements of sound management that have been identified in prior Federal Reserve guidance and discusses the importance of these elements in the context of managing interest rate risk. Specifically, the guidance emphasizes the need for active board of director and senior management oversight and a comprehensive risk management process that effectively identifies, measures and controls interest rate risk.

Financial institutions derive their income primarily from the excess of interest collected over interest paid. The rates of interest an institution earns on its assets and owes on its liabilities generally are established contractually for a period of time. Since market interest rates change over time, an institution is exposed to lower profit margins (or losses) if it cannot adapt to interest rate changes. For example, assume that an institution's assets carry intermediate or long-term fixed rates and that those assets are funded with short-term liabilities. If market interest rates rise by the time the short-term liabilities must be refinanced, the increase in the institution's interest expense on its liabilities may not be sufficiently offset if assets continue to earn at the long-term fixed rates. Accordingly, an institution's profits could decrease on existing assets because the institution will either have lower net interest income or possibly, net interest expense. Similar risks exist when assets are subject to contractual interest rate ceilings, or rate-sensitive assets are funded by longer-term, fixed-rate liabilities in a declining rate environment.

There are several ways an institution can manage interest rate risk including: 1) matching repricing periods for new assets and liabilities, for example, by shortening or lengthening terms of new loans, investments, or liabilities; 2) selling existing assets or repaying certain liabilities; and 3) hedging existing assets, liabilities, or anticipated transactions. An institution might also invest in more complex financial instruments intended to hedge or otherwise change interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps, futures contracts, options on futures contracts, and other such derivative financial instruments can be used for this purpose. Because these instruments are sensitive to interest rate changes, they require management's expertise to be effective. The Company has not purchased derivative financial instruments in the past but may purchase such instruments in the future if market conditions are favorable.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Management believes there has been no material change in the Company's market risk from the information contained in the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

With the participation of the President and Chief Executive Officer (the principal executive officer) and the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (the principal financial officer) of the Company, the Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on that evaluation, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that:

information required to be disclosed by the Company in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and other reports which the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act would be accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure;

information required to be disclosed by the Company in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and other reports which the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act would be recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and

the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

##### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the Company's fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, the Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various legal actions which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. Although the ultimate outcome and amount of liability, if any, with respect to these legal actions cannot presently be ascertained with certainty, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are certain risks and uncertainties in our business that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. A detailed discussion of our risk factors is included in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of Part I of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no material changes to the risk factors as presented in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) Not applicable
- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Repurchases of Common Shares: The Company did not have any repurchases of common shares during the three or six months ended June 30, 2013.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

### Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

### Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

### Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

31.1– Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer)

31.2– Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Financial Officer)

32.1– Section 1350 Certification (Principal Executive Officer)

32.2– Section 1350 Certification (Principal Financial Officer)



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

SB FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

Date: August 8, 2013

By /s/ Mark A. Klein  
Mark A. Klein  
President & Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Anthony V. Cosentino  
Anthony V. Cosentino  
Executive Vice President &  
Chief Financial Officer