

LINDSAY CORP
Form 10-K
October 18, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-13419

Lindsay Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)</p> <p>2222 North 111th Street, Omaha, Nebraska (Address of principal executive offices)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">402-829-6800</p> | <p>47-0554096 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)</p> <p>68164 (Zip Code)</p> |
|--|---|

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Common Stock, \$1.00 par value | New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (Symbol LNN) |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | |
|--|--|
| Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of Common Stock of the registrant, all of which is voting, held by non-affiliates based on the closing sales price on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. on February 28, 2013 was \$1,073,694,887.

As of October 11, 2013, 12,872,801 shares of the registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement pertaining to the Registrant's 2013 annual stockholders' meeting are incorporated herein by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page(s) |
|---|---------|
| <u>Part I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 3-9 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 10-11 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 11 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 12 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 13 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 13 |
| <u>Part II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 13-14 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 15 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15-26 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 28-57 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 58 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 58-59 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 59 |
| <u>Part III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 60-61 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 62 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 62 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 62 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 62 |
| <u>Part IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 63-64 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 65 |

Table of Contents**PART I****ITEM 1 *Business*****INTRODUCTION**

Lindsay Corporation, along with its subsidiaries (collectively called Lindsay or the Company), is a global leader in providing a variety of proprietary water management and road infrastructure products and services. The Company has been involved in the manufacture and distribution of agricultural equipment since 1955 and has grown from a regional company to an international agribusiness and highway infrastructure firm with worldwide sales and distribution. Lindsay, a Delaware corporation, maintains its corporate offices in Omaha, Nebraska. The Company has operations which are categorized into two major reporting segments. Industry segment information about Lindsay is included in Note Q to the consolidated financial statements.

Irrigation Segment The Company's irrigation segment includes the manufacture and marketing of center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems which are used principally in the agricultural industry to increase or stabilize crop production while conserving water, energy and labor. The irrigation segment also manufactures and markets repair and replacement parts for its irrigation systems and controls, and designs and manufactures water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets. The Company continues to strengthen irrigation product offerings through innovative technology such as GPS positioning and guidance, variable rate irrigation, wireless irrigation management, and smartphone applications. On August 16, 2013, the Company acquired Claude Laval Corporation, which manufactures and distributes LAKOS® separators and filtration solutions for groundwater, agriculture, industrial and heat transfer markets, worldwide. The Company's principal irrigation manufacturing facilities are located in Lindsay, Nebraska, Hartland, Wisconsin, and Fresno, California. Internationally, the Company has production operations in Brazil, France, China, and South Africa as well as distribution operations in Australia and New Zealand. The Company also exports equipment from the U.S. to other international markets.

Infrastructure Segment The Company's infrastructure segment includes the manufacture and marketing of moveable barriers, specialty barriers, crash cushions and end terminals, road marking and road safety equipment, large diameter steel tubing, railroad signals and structures, and outsourced manufacturing services. The principal infrastructure manufacturing facilities are located in Rio Vista, California, Milan, Italy, and Omaha, Nebraska.

PRODUCTS BY SEGMENT**IRRIGATION SEGMENT**

Products - The Company manufactures and markets its center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems in the U.S. and internationally under its *Zimmatic*® brand. The Company also manufactures and markets separate lines of center pivot and lateral move irrigation equipment for use on smaller fields under its *Greenfield*® brand, and hose reel travelers under the *Perrot* and *Greenfield*® brands in Europe and South Africa. The Company also produces or markets irrigation controls, chemical injection systems and remote monitoring and control systems which it sells under its *GrowSmart*® brand. In addition to whole systems, the Company manufactures and markets repair and replacement parts for its irrigation systems and controls. The Company also designs and manufactures water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets and filtration solutions for groundwater, agriculture, industrial and heat transfer markets, worldwide.

The Company's irrigation systems are primarily of the standard sized center pivot type, with a small portion of its products consisting of the lateral move type. Both are automatic, continuous move systems consisting of sprinklers mounted on a water carrying pipeline which is supported approximately 11 feet off the ground by a truss system suspended between moving towers.

A typical center pivot is approximately 1,300 feet long and is designed to circle within a quarter-section of land, which comprises 160 acres, wherein it irrigates approximately 130 to 135 acres. A center pivot or lateral move system can also be custom designed and can irrigate from 25 to 600+ acres.

Table of Contents

A center pivot system represents a significant investment to a farmer. In a dry land conversion to center pivot irrigation, approximately one-half of the investment is for the pivot itself, and the remainder is attributable to installation of additional equipment such as wells, pumps, underground water pipes, electrical supply and a concrete pad upon which the pivot is anchored. The Company's center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems can be enhanced with a family of integrated proprietary products such as water pumping station controls.

The Company also manufactures and distributes hose reel travelers. Hose reel travelers are typically deployed in smaller or irregular fields and usually are easy to operate, easy to move from field to field, and a lower investment than a typical standard center pivot.

The Company also markets pivot monitoring and control systems, which include remote telemetry and a web or personal computer hosted data acquisition and monitoring application available through the Company's subscription-based service. These systems allow growers to monitor their pivot systems, accumulate data on the operation of the systems, and control the pivots from a remote location by logging onto an internet web site. The pivot monitoring and control systems are marketed under the *FieldNET*[®] product name.

Other Types of Irrigation Center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems compete with three other types of irrigation: flood, drip, and other mechanical devices such as hose reel travelers and solid set sprinklers. The bulk of the worldwide irrigation is accomplished by the traditional method of flood irrigation. Flood irrigation is accomplished by either flooding an entire field, or by providing a water source (ditches or a pipe) along the side of a field, which is planed and slopes slightly away from the water source. The water is released to the crop rows through gates in the ditch or pipe, or through siphon tubes arching over the ditch wall into some of the crop rows. It runs down through the crop row until it reaches the far end of the row, at which time the water source is moved and another set of rows are flooded. A significant disadvantage or limitation of flood irrigation is that it cannot be used to irrigate uneven, hilly, or rolling terrain or fields. In drip or low flow irrigation, perforated plastic pipe or tape is installed on the ground or buried underground at the root level. Several other types of mechanical devices, such as hose reel travelers, irrigate the remaining irrigated acres.

Center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel traveler irrigation offers significant advantages when compared with other types of irrigation. It requires less labor and monitoring; can be used on sandy ground, which, due to poor water retention ability, must have water applied frequently; can be used on uneven ground, thereby allowing previously unsuitable land to be brought into production; can also be used for the application of fertilizers, insecticides, herbicides, or other chemicals (termed fertigation or chemigation); and conserves water and chemicals through precise control of the amount and timing of the application.

Markets - Water is an essential and critical requirement for crop production, and the extent, regularity, and frequency of water application can be a critical factor in crop quality and yield. The fundamental factors which govern the demand for center pivot and lateral move systems are essentially the same in both the U.S. and international markets. Demand for center pivot and lateral move systems is determined by whether the value of the increased crop production attributable to center pivot or lateral move irrigation exceeds any increased costs associated with purchasing, installing, and operating the equipment. Thus, the decision to purchase a center pivot or lateral move system, in part, reflects the profitability of agricultural production, which is determined primarily by the prices of agricultural commodities and other farming inputs.

The current demand for center pivot systems has three sources: conversion to center pivot systems from less water efficient, more labor intensive types of irrigation; replacement of older center pivot systems, which are beyond their useful lives or are technologically obsolete; and conversion of dry land farming to irrigated farming. In addition, demand for center pivots and lateral move irrigation equipment depends upon the need for the particular operational

characteristics and advantages of such systems in relation to alternative types of irrigation, primarily flood. More efficient use of the basic natural resources of land, water, and energy helps drive demand for center pivot and lateral move irrigation equipment. Increasing global population not only increases demand for agricultural output, but also places additional and competing demands on land, water, and energy. The Company expects demand for center pivots and lateral move systems to continue to increase relative to other irrigation methods because center pivot and lateral move systems are preferred where the soil is sandy, the terrain is not flat, the land area to be irrigated is sizeable; there is a shortage of reliable labor; water supply is restricted and conservation is critical; and/or fertigation or chemigation will be utilized.

Table of Contents

United States Market In the United States, the Company sells its branded irrigation systems, including *Zimmatic*[®], to over 200 independent dealer locations, who resell to their customer, the farmer. Dealers assess their customer's requirements, assemble and erect the system in the field, and provide additional system components, primarily relating to water supply (wells, pumps, pipes) and electrical supply (on-site generation or hook-up to power lines). Lindsay dealers generally are established local agribusinesses, many of which also deal in related products, such as well drilling and water pump equipment, farm implements, grain handling and storage systems, and farm structures.

International Market Over the years, the Company has sold center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems throughout the world. The Company has production and sales operations in Brazil, France, China, and South Africa as well as distribution and sales operations in Australia and New Zealand serving the key South American, European, Chinese, African, Australian, and New Zealand markets. The Company also exports equipment from the U.S. to other international markets. Although the majority of the Company's U.S. export sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, there are approximately 19 percent of total Company sales conducted in local currencies outside of the U.S. dollar in fiscal 2013 and 2012. The Company generally ships against prepayments or U.S. bank confirmed irrevocable letters of credit or other secured means.

The Company's international markets differ with respect to the need for irrigation, the ability to pay, demand, customer type, government support of agriculture, marketing and sales methods, equipment requirements, and the difficulty of on-site erection. The Company's industry position is such that it believes that it will likely be considered as a potential supplier for most major international agricultural development projects utilizing center pivot or lateral move irrigation systems.

Competition Four primary manufacturers control a substantial majority of the U.S. center pivot irrigation system industry. The international irrigation market includes participation and competition by the leading U.S. manufacturers as well as various regional manufacturers. The Company competes in certain product lines with several manufacturers, some of whom may have greater financial resources than the Company. The Company competes by continuously improving its products through ongoing research and development activities. The Company continues to strengthen irrigation product offerings through innovative technology such as GPS positioning and guidance, variable rate irrigation, wireless irrigation management, and smartphone applications as well as through acquisition of products and services that allow the Company to provide a more comprehensive solution to growers' needs. The Company's engineering and research expenses related to irrigation totaled approximately \$8.1 million, \$6.0 million, and \$6.1 million for fiscal years 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Competition also occurs in areas of price and seasonal programs, product quality, durability, controls, product characteristics, retention and reputation of local dealers, customer service, and, at certain times of the year, the availability of systems and their delivery time. The Company believes it competes favorably with respect to all of these factors.

INFRASTRUCTURE SEGMENT

Products Quickchange[®] Moveable Barrier The Company's Quickchange[®] Moveable Barrier system, commonly known as the Road Zipper System, is composed of three parts: 1) T-shaped concrete barriers that are connected to form a continuous wall, 2) a Barrier Transfer Machine (BTM) capable of moving the barrier laterally across the pavement, and 3) the variable length barriers necessary for accommodating curves. A barrier element is approximately 32 inches high, 13-24 inches wide, 3 feet long and weighs 1,500 pounds. The barrier elements are interconnected by very heavy duty steel hinges to form a continuous barrier. The BTM employs an inverted S-shaped conveyor mechanism that lifts the barrier, moving it laterally before setting it back on the roadway surface.

In permanent applications, the Road Zipper System increases capacity and reduces congestion by varying the number of traffic lanes to match the traffic demand. Roadways with fixed medians have a set number of lanes in each direction

and cannot adjust to traffic demands that may change over the course of a day, or to capacity reductions caused by traffic incidents or road repair and maintenance. Applications include high volume highways where expansion may not be feasible due to lack of additional right-of-way, environmental concerns, or insufficient funding. The Road Zipper System is particularly useful in busy commuter corridors and at choke points such as bridges and tunnels. Road Zipper Systems can also be deployed at roadway or roadside construction sites to accelerate construction, improve traffic flow and safeguard work crews and motorists by positively separating the work area and traffic. Examples of types of work completed with the help of a Road Zipper System include highway reconstruction, paving and resurfacing, road widening, median and shoulder construction, and repairs to tunnels and bridges.

Table of Contents

The Company offers a variety of equipment lease options for Road Zipper Systems and BTM equipment used in construction applications. The leases extend for periods of three months or more for equipment already existing in the Company's lease fleet. Longer lease periods may be required for specialty equipment that must be built for specific projects.

These systems have been in use since 1987. Typical sales for a highway safety or road improvement project range from \$2.0 - \$20.0 million, making them significant capital investments.

Crash Cushions and End Terminals The Company offers a complete line of redirective and non-redirective crash cushions which are used to enhance highway safety at locations such as toll booths, freeway off-ramps, medians and roadside barrier ends, bridge supports, utility poles and other fixed roadway hazards. The Company's primary crash cushion products cover a full range of lengths, widths, speed capacities and application accessories and include the following brand names: TAU®, Universal TAU-II®, TAU-II-R, TAU-B_NR, ABSORB 350 and Walt. In addition to these products the Company also offers guardrail end terminal products such as the X-Tension and TESI systems. The crash cushions and end terminal products compete with other vendors in the world market. These systems are generally sold through a distribution channel that is domiciled in particular geographic areas.

Specialty Barriers The Company also offers specialty barrier products such as the SAB, ArmorGuard, PaveGuard and DR46 portable barrier and/or barrier gate systems. These products offer portability and flexibility in setting up and modifying barriers in work areas and provide quick opening, high containment gates for use in median or roadside barriers. The gates are generally used to create openings in barrier walls of various types for both construction and incident management purposes. The DR46 is an energy absorbing barrier to shield motorcyclists from impacting guardrail posts which is an area of focus for reducing the amount and severity of injuries.

Road Marking and Road Safety Equipment The Company also offers preformed tape and a line of road safety accessory products. The preformed tape is used primarily in temporary applications such as markings for work zones, street crossings, and road center lines or boundaries. The road safety equipment consists of mostly plastic and rubber products used for delineation, slowing traffic, and signaling. The Company also manages an ISO 17025 certified testing laboratory that performs full-scale impact testing of highway safety products in accordance with the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350, the Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH), and the European Norms (EN1317 Norms) for these types of products. The NCHRP Report 350 and MASH guidelines are procedures required by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration for the safety performance evaluation of highway features. The EN1317 Norms are being used to qualify roadway safety products for the European markets.

Other Products The Company's Diversified Manufacturing and Tubing business unit manufactures and markets large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures, and provides outsourced manufacturing and production services for other companies. The Company continues to develop new relationships for infrastructure manufacturing in industries outside of agriculture and irrigation. The Company's customer base includes certain large industrial companies and railroads. Each customer benefits from the Company's design and engineering capabilities as well as the Company's ability to provide a wide spectrum of manufacturing services, including welding, machining, painting, forming, galvanizing and assembling hydraulic, electrical, and mechanical components.

Markets The Company's primary infrastructure market includes moveable concrete barriers, delineation systems, crash cushions and similar protective equipment. The U.S. roadway infrastructure market includes projects such as new roadway construction, bridges, tunnels, maintenance and resurfacing, the purchase of rights-of-way for roadway expansion and development of technologies for relief of roadway congestion. Much of the U.S. highway infrastructure market is driven by government (state and federal) spending programs. For example, the U.S. government funds

highway and road improvements through the Federal Highway Trust Fund Program. This program provides funding to improve the nation's roadway system. Matching funding from the various states may be required as a condition of federal funding. In the long term, the Company believes that the federal program provides a solid platform for growth in the U.S. market, as it is generally acknowledged that additional funding will be required for infrastructure development and maintenance in the future.

Table of Contents

The global market for the Company's infrastructure products continues to be driven by population growth and the need for improved road safety. The international market is presently very different from country to country. The standardization in performance requirements and acceptance criteria for highway safety devices adopted by the European Committee for Standardization is expected to lead to greater uniformity and a larger installation program. Prevention programs put in place in various countries to lower highway traffic fatalities may also lead to greater demand. The Company has recently started distributing infrastructure products in South America, the Middle East and Asia. The Company will continue expanding in markets as populations grow and markets become more established.

Competition The Company competes in certain product lines with several manufacturers, some of whom may have greater financial resources than the Company. The Company competes by continuously improving its products through ongoing research and development activities. The Company's engineering and research expenses related to infrastructure products totaled approximately \$3.3 million, \$3.5 million and \$4.3 million for fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company competes with certain products and companies in its crash cushion business, but has limited competition in its moveable barrier line, as there is not another moveable barrier product today comparable to the Road Zipper System. However, the Company's barrier product does compete with traditional safety shaped concrete barriers and other safety barriers.

Distribution methods and channels The Company has production and sales operations in the United States and Italy. Sales efforts consist of both direct sales and sales programs managed by its network of distributors and third-party representatives. The sales teams have responsibility for new business development and assisting distributors and dealers in soliciting large projects and new customers. The distributor and dealer networks have exclusive territories and are responsible for developing sales and providing service, including product maintenance, repair and installation. The typical dealer sells an array of safety supplies, road signs, crash cushions, delineation equipment and other highway products. Customers include Departments of Transportation, municipal transportation road agencies, roadway contractors, subcontractors, distributors and dealers. Due to the project nature of the roadway construction and congestion management markets, the Company's customer base changes from year-to-year. Due to the limited life of projects, it is rare that a single customer will account for a significant amount of revenues in consecutive years. The customer base also varies depending on the type of product sold. The Company's moveable barrier products are typically sold to transportation agencies or the contractors or suppliers serving those agencies. In contrast, distributors account for a majority of crash cushion sales since those products have lower price points and tend to have shorter lead times.

GENERAL

Certain information generally applicable to both of the Company's reportable segments is set forth below.

The following table describes the Company's total irrigation and infrastructure revenues for the past three years. United States export revenue is included in International based on the region of destination.

| \$ in millions | For the years ended August 31, | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Revenues | % of Total Revenues | Revenues | % of Total Revenues | Revenues | % of Total Revenues |
| United States | \$ 428.9 | 62 | \$ 354.6 | 64 | \$ 307.7 | 64 |
| International | \$ 261.9 | 38 | \$ 196.7 | 36 | \$ 171.2 | 36 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|
| Total Revenues | \$ 690.8 | 100 | \$ 551.3 | 100 | \$ 478.9 | 100 |
|----------------|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|

SEASONALITY

Irrigation equipment sales are seasonal by nature. Farmers generally order systems to be delivered and installed before the growing season. Shipments to customers located in Northern Hemisphere countries usually peak during the Company’s second and third fiscal quarters for the spring planting period. Sales of infrastructure products are traditionally higher during prime road construction seasons and lower in the winter. The primary construction season for Northern Hemisphere countries is from March until late September which corresponds to the Company’s third and fourth fiscal quarters.

CUSTOMERS

The Company is not dependent for a material part of either segment’s business upon a single customer or upon a limited number of customers. The loss of any one customer would not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

Table of Contents

ORDER BACKLOG

As of August 31, 2013, the Company has an order backlog of \$66.5 million compared with \$57.1 million at August 31, 2012. The Company's backlog can fluctuate from period to period due to the seasonality, cyclicity, timing and execution of contracts. Typically, the Company's backlog of firm orders at any point in time represents only a portion of the revenue it expects to realize during the following three-month period. However, the timing related to certain project oriented contracts may extend longer than three months.

RAW MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS

Raw materials used by the Company include coil steel, angle steel, plate steel, zinc, tires, gearboxes, concrete, rebar, fasteners, and electrical and hydraulic components (motors, switches, cable, valves, hose and stators). The Company has, on occasion, faced shortages of certain such materials. The Company believes it currently has ready access to adequate supplies of raw materials and components.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

Capital expenditures for fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011 were \$11.1 million, \$9.9 million and \$8.4 million, respectively. Capital expenditures for fiscal 2014 are estimated to be approximately \$20.0 million to \$25.0 million largely focused on manufacturing capacity expansion and productivity improvements. The Company's management does maintain flexibility to modify the amount and timing of some of the planned expenditures in response to economic conditions.

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, AND LICENSES

Lindsay's *Zimmat*[®], *Greenfield*[®], *GrowSmart*[®], *Perrot*, *Road Zipper System*, *Quickchange Moveable Barrier*, *ABSORB 350*[®], *FieldNET*[®], *TAU*[®], *Universal TAU-II*[®], *TAU-II-R*, *TAU-B_NR*, *X-Tension*, *CableGuard*, *TESI*, *SAB*, *ArmourGuard*, *PaveGuard*, *DR46*, *U-MAD*, *LAKOS* and other trademarks are registered or applied for in the major markets in which the Company sells its products. Lindsay follows a policy of applying for patents on all significant patentable inventions in markets deemed appropriate. Although the Company believes it is important to follow a patent protection policy, Lindsay's business is not dependent, to any material extent, on any single patent or group of patents.

EMPLOYEES

The number of persons employed by the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries at fiscal year ends 2013, 2012, and 2011 were 1,262, 1,082 and 999, respectively. None of the Company's U.S. employees are represented by a union. Certain of the Company's non-U.S. employees are unionized due to local governmental regulations.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND HEALTH AND SAFETY MATTERS

Like other manufacturing concerns, the Company is subject to numerous laws and regulations that govern environmental and occupational health and safety matters. The Company believes that its operations are substantially in compliance with all such applicable laws and regulations and that it holds all necessary permits in each jurisdiction in which its facilities are located. Environmental and health and safety regulations are subject to change and interpretation. In some cases, compliance with applicable regulations or standards may require the Company to make additional capital and operational expenditures. The Company, however, is not currently aware of any material capital expenditures required to comply with such regulations, other than as described in Note N, *Commitments and Contingencies*, to the Company's consolidated financial statements and does not believe that these matters,

individually or in the aggregate, are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition.

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT FOREIGN AND U.S. OPERATIONS

The Company's primary production facilities are located in the United States. The Company has smaller production and sales operations in Brazil, France, Italy, China, and South Africa as well as distribution and sales operations in Australia and New Zealand. Most of the Company's financial transactions are in U.S. dollars, although some export sales and sales from the Company's foreign subsidiaries are conducted in local currencies. Approximately 19 percent of total consolidated Company sales were conducted in local currencies in fiscal 2013 and 2012. To reduce the uncertainty of foreign currency exchange rate movements on these sales and purchase commitments conducted in local currencies, the Company monitors its risk of foreign currency fluctuations and, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the Company's operations.

In addition to the transactional foreign currency exposures mentioned above, the Company also has translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars. In order to reduce this translation exposure, the Company, at times, utilizes foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its net investment exposure in its foreign operations. For information on the Company's foreign currency risks, see Item 7A of Part II of this report.

INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE LINDSAY WEBSITE

The Company makes available free of charge on its website homepage, under the tab **Investor Relations** **SEC Filings**, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. The Company's internet address is <http://www.lindsay.com>; however, information posted on its website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following documents are also posted on the Company's website homepage, under the tabs **Investor Relations** **Governance** **Committees** and **Investor Relations Governance** **Ethics** :

Audit Committee Charter

Compensation Committee Charter

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Corporate Governance Principles

Code of Ethical Conduct

Employee Complaint Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters

Special Toll-Free Hotline Number and E-mail Address for Making Confidential or Anonymous Complaints

These documents are also available in print to any stockholder upon request, by sending a letter addressed to the Secretary of the Company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A - Risk Factors

The following are certain of the more significant risks that may affect the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's irrigation revenues are highly dependent on the agricultural industry and weather conditions. The Company's irrigation revenues are highly dependent upon the need for irrigated agricultural crop production which, in turn, depends upon many factors, including total worldwide crop production, the profitability of agricultural crop production, agricultural commodity prices, net farm income, availability of financing for farmers, governmental policies regarding the agricultural sector, water and energy conservation policies, the regularity of rainfall, regional climate change, and foreign currency exchange rates. As farm income decreases, farmers may postpone capital expenditures or seek less expensive irrigation alternatives.

Weather conditions, particularly during the planting and early growing season, can significantly affect the purchasing decisions of purchasers of irrigation equipment. Natural calamities such as regional floods, hurricanes or other storms, and droughts can have significant effects on seasonal irrigation demand. Drought conditions, which generally impact irrigation equipment demand positively over the long term, can adversely affect demand if water sources become unavailable or if governments impose water restriction policies to reduce overall water availability.

The Company's infrastructure revenues are highly dependent on government funding of transportation projects. The demand for the Company's infrastructure products depends to a large degree on the amount of government spending authorized to improve road and highway systems. For example, the U.S. government funds highway and road improvements through the Federal Highway Trust Fund Program and matching funding from states may be required as a condition of federal funding. If highway funding is reduced or delayed, it may reduce demand for the Company's infrastructure products.

The Company's profitability may be negatively affected by increases in the cost of raw materials, as well as in the cost of energy. Certain of the Company's input costs, such as the cost of steel, zinc, and other raw materials, may increase rapidly from time to time. Because there is a level of price competition in the market for irrigation equipment and certain infrastructure products, the Company may not be able to recoup increases in these costs through price increases for its products, which would result in reduced profitability. Whether increased operating costs can be passed through to the customer depends on a number of factors, including farm income and the price of competing products. The cost of raw materials can be volatile and is dependent on a number of factors, including availability, demand, and freight costs.

The Company's profitability may be negatively affected by the disruption or termination of the supply of parts, materials, and components from third-party suppliers. The Company uses a limited number of suppliers for certain parts, materials, and components in the manufacturing process. Disruptions or delays in supply or significant price increases from these suppliers could adversely affect the Company's operations and profitability. Such disruptions, terminations or cost increases could result in cost inefficiencies, delayed sales or reduced sales.

The Company's international equipment sales are highly dependent on foreign market conditions and are subject to additional risk and restrictions. For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, approximately 38 percent of the Company's consolidated revenues were generated from international sales and United States export revenue to international regions. Specifically, international revenues are primarily generated from Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Central and Western Europe, Mexico, the Middle East, Africa, China, Russia/Ukraine, and Central and South America. In addition to risks relating to general economic and political stability in these countries, the Company's international sales are affected by international trade barriers, including governmental policies on tariffs, taxes, import

or export licensing requirements, trade sanctions, and foreign currency exchange rates. Although the Company generally ships against prepayments or U.S. bank confirmed irrevocable letters of credit or other secured means, the ability to execute against these contracts in certain markets and local laws can affect the Company's ability to collect amounts when due. The Company does business in a number of countries that are particularly susceptible to disruption from changing social economic conditions as well as terrorism, political hostilities, sanctions, war and similar incidents.

Table of Contents

Compliance with applicable environmental and health and safety regulations or standards may require additional capital and operational expenditures. Like other manufacturing concerns, the Company is subject to numerous laws and regulations which govern environmental and occupational health and safety matters. The Company believes that its operations are substantially in compliance with all such applicable laws and regulations and that it holds all necessary permits in each jurisdiction in which its facilities are located. Environmental and health and safety regulations are subject to change and interpretation. Compliance with applicable regulations or standards may require the Company to make additional capital and operational expenditures.

The Company's Lindsay, Nebraska site was added to the list of priority superfund sites of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) in 1989. The Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan, under which the Company continues to work with the EPA to define and implement steps to better contain and remediate the remaining contamination. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs associated with remediation of the site, it is expected that additional testing and environmental monitoring and remediation could be required in the future as part of the Company's ongoing discussions with the EPA regarding the development and implementation of the remedial action plans. In addition, the current investigation has not yet been completed and does not include all potentially affected areas on the site. Due to the current stage of discussions with the EPA and the uncertainty of the remediation actions that may be required with respect to these affected areas, the Company believes that meaningful estimates of costs or range of costs cannot currently be made and accordingly have not been accrued. The Company's ongoing remediation activities at its Lindsay, Nebraska facility are described in Note N, *Commitments and Contingencies*, to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company's consolidated financial results are reported in U.S. dollars while certain assets and other reported items are denominated in the currencies of other countries, creating currency translation risk. The reporting currency for the Company's consolidated financial statements is the U.S. dollar. Certain of the Company's assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues are denominated in other countries' currencies. Those assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues are translated into U.S. dollars at the applicable exchange rates to prepare the Company's consolidated financial statements. Therefore, increases or decreases in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and those other currencies affect the value of those items as reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Substantial fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar compared to those other currencies could have a significant impact on the Company's results.

Expansion of the Company's business may result in unanticipated adverse consequences. The Company routinely considers possible expansions of the business, both domestically and in foreign locations. Acquisitions, partnerships, joint ventures or other similar major investments require significant managerial resources, which may be diverted from the Company's other business activities. The risks of any expansion of the business through investments, acquisitions, partnerships or joint ventures are increased due to the significant capital and other resources that the Company may have to commit to any such expansion, which may not be recoverable if the expansion initiative to which they were devoted is not fully implemented or is ultimately unsuccessful. As a result of these risks and other factors, including general economic risk, the Company may not be able to realize projected returns from any recent or future acquisitions, partnerships, joint ventures or other investments.

ITEM 1B *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2 - Properties**

The Company's facilities are well maintained, in good operating condition and are suitable for present purposes. These facilities, together with both short-term and long-term planned capital expenditures, are expected to meet the Company's manufacturing needs in the foreseeable future. The Company does not anticipate any difficulty in retaining occupancy of any leased facilities, either by renewing leases prior to expiration or by replacing them with equivalent leased facilities. The following are the Company's significant properties.

| Segment | Geographic Location (s) | Own/Lease | Lease Expiration | Square Feet | Property Description |
|----------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Corporate | Omaha, Nebraska | Lease | 2019 | 30,000 | Corporate headquarters |
| Irrigation | Lindsay, Nebraska | Own | N/A | 300,000 | Principal U.S. manufacturing plant consists of eight separate buildings located on 122 acres |
| Irrigation | Fresno, California | Own | N/A | 94,000 | Manufacturing plant consists of three separate buildings for filtration products |
| Infrastructure | Omaha, Nebraska | Own | N/A | 83,000 | Manufacturing plant for infrastructure products located on six acres |
| Irrigation | Hartland, Wisconsin | Own | N/A | 73,000 | Two commercial buildings on five acres for the design and manufacture of water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets |
| Irrigation | La Chapelle, France | Own | N/A | 72,000 | Manufacturing plant for irrigation products for the European markets, consists of three separate buildings situated on 3.5 acres |
| Irrigation | Mogi Mirim, Sao Paulo, Brazil | Lease | 2014 | 67,000 | Manufacturing plant for irrigation products for the Brazilian market, consists of two buildings |
| Irrigation | Tianjin, China and Beijing, China | Lease | 2015 | 58,400 | Manufacturing plant and office facilities for irrigation products for the Chinese market |
| Infrastructure | Milan, Italy | Own | N/A | 45,000 | Manufacturing plant for infrastructure products |
| Infrastructure | Rio Vista, California | Own | N/A | 30,000 | Manufacturing plant for infrastructure products located on seven acres |
| Irrigation | Pasco, Grandview, and Othello, Washington; Hermiston, Oregon | Lease | 2014 - 2022 | 28,900 | Retail irrigation operations in four leased buildings |
| Irrigation | Amarillo, Texas | Lease | 2017 | 22,000 | Warehouse facility for irrigation products |
| Irrigation | Kraaifontein, South Africa | Lease | 2016 | 23,900 | Manufacturing and warehouse facility for the sub-Saharan Africa markets |
| Irrigation | Milford, Nebraska; Sioux Falls, South Dakota | Lease | 2015 | 16,400 | Manufacturing, engineering, and office locations related to Digitec, Inc. |
| Irrigation | | Lease | 2014 - 2018 | 22,500 | |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------|------|--------|--|
| | Pasco, Washington; Hermiston, Oregon; Portland, Oregon | | | | Office and warehouse locations related to IRZ Consulting, LLC |
| Irrigation | Paul, Idaho | Lease | 2017 | 11,400 | Warehouse facility for irrigation products |
| Irrigation | Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia; Feilding, New Zealand | Lease | 2014 | 8,000 | Warehouse facilities for the Australian and New Zealand markets |

Table of Contents**ITEM 3 - Legal Proceedings**

In the ordinary course of its business operations, the Company is involved, from time to time, in commercial litigation, employment disputes, administrative proceedings, and other legal proceedings. No such current proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, are expected to have a material effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

ITEM 4 Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

PART II**ITEM 5 - Market For the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Lindsay Common Stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (NYSE) under the ticker symbol LNN. As of October 3, 2013, there were approximately 178 stockholders of record.

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the range of the high and low stock prices and dividends paid per share:

| | Fiscal 2013 Stock Price | | | Fiscal 2012 Stock Price | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | High | Low | Dividends | High | Low | Dividends |
| First Quarter | \$ 80.48 | \$ 64.52 | \$ 0.115 | \$ 63.40 | \$ 46.03 | \$ 0.090 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 94.90 | \$ 73.86 | \$ 0.115 | \$ 67.27 | \$ 49.17 | \$ 0.090 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 94.50 | \$ 73.43 | \$ 0.115 | \$ 70.13 | \$ 52.98 | \$ 0.090 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 82.23 | \$ 72.54 | \$ 0.130 | \$ 74.62 | \$ 52.68 | \$ 0.115 |
| Year | \$ 94.90 | \$ 64.52 | \$ 0.475 | \$ 74.62 | \$ 46.03 | \$ 0.385 |

The Company currently expects that cash dividends comparable to those paid historically will continue to be paid in the future, although there can be no assurance as to future dividends as they depend on future earnings, capital requirements and financial condition.

Purchases of equity securities by the issuer and affiliated purchases - The Company made no repurchases of its Common Stock under the Company's stock repurchase plan during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013; therefore, tabular disclosure is not presented. From time to time, the Company's Board of Directors has authorized management to repurchase shares of the Company's Common Stock. Under this share repurchase plan, management has existing authorization to purchase, without further announcement, up to 881,139 shares of the Company's Common Stock in the open market or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Peer Performance Table - The graph below compares the cumulative 5-year total return attained by stockholders on the Company's Common Stock relative to the cumulative total returns of the S&P Small Cap 600 Index and the S&P 600 Construction, Farm Machinery and Heavy Truck index for the five-year period ended August 31, 2013. An investment of \$100 (with the reinvestment of all dividends) is assumed to have been made in the Company's Common Stock and in each of the indexes on August 31, 2008 and the graph shows its relative performance through August 31, 2013.

| | 8/31/2008 | 8/31/2009 | 8/31/2010 | 8/31/2011 | 8/31/2012 | 8/31/2013 |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Lindsay Corporation | 100.00 | 51.11 | 45.81 | 77.70 | 82.15 | 96.11 |
| S&P Smallcap 600 | 100.00 | 79.27 | 85.46 | 106.34 | 124.32 | 157.50 |
| S&P SmallCap 600 Construction, Farm Machinery and Heavy Truck Index | 100.00 | 63.48 | 74.61 | 95.23 | 107.45 | 138.14 |

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6 Selected Financial Data**

| \$ in millions, except per share amounts | For the Years Ended August 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 690.8 | \$ 551.3 | \$ 478.9 | \$ 358.4 | \$ 336.2 |
| Gross profit | \$ 194.8 | \$ 148.5 | \$ 129.8 | \$ 98.9 | \$ 80.6 |
| Gross margin | 28.2% | 26.9% | 27.1% | 27.6% | 24.0% |
| Operating expenses | \$ 87.8 | \$ 83.0 | \$ 73.2 | \$ 61.1 | \$ 58.2 |
| Operating income | \$ 107.1 | \$ 65.5 | \$ 56.6 | \$ 37.8 | \$ 22.4 |
| Operating margin | 15.5% | 11.9% | 11.8% | 10.6% | 6.7% |
| Net earnings | \$ 70.6 | \$ 43.3 | \$ 36.8 | \$ 24.9 | \$ 13.8 |
| Net margin | 10.2% | 7.9% | 7.7% | 6.9% | 4.1% |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 5.47 | \$ 3.38 | \$ 2.90 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.11 |
| Cash dividends per share | \$ 0.475 | \$ 0.385 | \$ 0.345 | \$ 0.325 | \$ 0.305 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 65.1 | \$ 56.2 | \$ 58.5 | \$ 57.6 | \$ 59.6 |
| Total assets | \$ 512.3 | \$ 415.5 | \$ 381.1 | \$ 325.5 | \$ 307.9 |
| Long-term obligations | \$ | \$ | \$ 4.3 | \$ 8.6 | \$ 19.5 |
| Return on beginning assets ⁽¹⁾ | 17.0% | 11.4% | 11.3% | 8.1% | 4.2% |
| Diluted weighted average shares | 12,901 | 12,810 | 12,692 | 12,585 | 12,461 |

⁽¹⁾ Defined as net earnings divided by beginning of period total assets.

ITEM 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Concerning Forward-Looking Statements - This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains not only historical information, but also forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are not historical are forward-looking and reflect expectations for future Company performance. In addition, forward-looking statements may be made orally or in press releases, conferences, reports, on the Company's worldwide web site, or otherwise, in the future by or on behalf of the Company. When used by or on behalf of the Company, the words expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend and similar expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. The entire section entitled Market Conditions and Outlook should be considered forward-looking statements. For these statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those discussed in the Risk Factors section contained in Item 1A. Readers should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should recognize that the statements are predictions of future results which may not occur as anticipated. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements and from historical results, due to the risks and uncertainties described herein, as well as others not now anticipated. The risks and uncertainties described herein are not exclusive and further information concerning the Company and its businesses, including factors that potentially could materially affect the Company's financial results, may emerge from time to time. Except as required by law, the Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents**Overview**

The Company manufactures and markets *Zimmatic*[®], *Greenfield*[®] and *Perrot* center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems. The Company also produces and markets irrigation controls, chemical injection systems and remote monitoring and control systems which it sells under its *GrowSmart*[®] brand. These products are used by farmers to increase or stabilize crop production while conserving water, energy, and labor. Through its acquisitions, the Company has been able to enhance its capabilities in providing innovative, turn-key solutions to customers through the integration of its proprietary pump stations, controls and designs. The Company sells its irrigation products primarily to a world-wide independent dealer network, who resell to their customer, the farmer. The Company's primary production facilities are located in the United States. The Company has smaller production and sales operations in Brazil, France, China, and South Africa as well as distribution and sales operations in Australia and New Zealand. The Company also manufactures and markets various infrastructure products, including moveable barriers for traffic lane management, crash cushions, preformed reflective pavement tapes and other road safety devices, through its production facilities in the United States and Italy and has produced road safety products in irrigation manufacturing facilities in China and Brazil. In addition, the Company's infrastructure segment produces large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures, and provides outsourced manufacturing and production services for other companies.

For the business overall, the global, long-term drivers of water conservation, population growth, increasing importance of biofuels, and the need for safer, more efficient transportation solutions remain positive. Key factors which impact demand for the Company's irrigation products include agricultural commodity prices, net farm income, worldwide agricultural crop production, the profitability of agricultural crop production, availability of financing, governmental policies regarding the agricultural sector, water and energy conservation policies, the regularity of rainfall, regional climate change, and foreign currency exchange rates. A key factor which impacts demand for the Company's infrastructure products is the amount of spending authorized by governments to improve road and highway systems. Much of the U.S. highway infrastructure market is driven by government spending programs. For example, the U.S. government funds highway and road improvements through the Federal Highway Trust Fund Program. This program provides funding to improve the nation's roadway system. Matching funding from the various states may be required as a condition of federal funding.

The Company continues to have an ongoing, structured, acquisition process that it expects to generate additional growth opportunities throughout the world in water and infrastructure. Lindsay is committed to achieving earnings growth by global market expansion, improvements in margins, and strategic acquisitions. On August 16, 2013, the Company acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Claude Laval Corporation, a California corporation that manufactures and distributes LAKOS[®] separators and filtration solutions for groundwater, agriculture, industrial and heat transfer markets, worldwide. Since 2001, the Company has added to its operations in Europe, South America, South Africa, Australia, New Zealand and China. The addition of those operations has allowed the Company to strengthen its market position in those regions, yet they remain relatively small in scale. As a result, none of the international operations has achieved the operating margin of the United States based irrigation operations.

Table of Contents

New Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted

See Note B, *New Accounting Pronouncements*, to the Company's consolidated financial statements for information regarding recently issued accounting pronouncements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), management must make a variety of decisions which impact the reported amounts and the related disclosures. Such decisions include the selection of the appropriate accounting principles to be applied and the assumptions on which to base accounting estimates. In reaching such decisions, management applies judgment based on its understanding and analysis of the relevant facts and circumstances. Certain of the Company's accounting policies are critical, as these policies are most important to the presentation of the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. They require the greatest use of judgments and estimates by management based on the Company's historical experience and management's knowledge and understanding of current facts and circumstances. Management periodically re-evaluates and adjusts the estimates that are used as circumstances change.

Following are the accounting policies management considers critical to the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition:

Revenue Recognition

The Company's revenue recognition accounting policy is critical because it can significantly impact the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The Company's basic criteria necessary for revenue recognition are: 1) evidence of a sales arrangement exists, 2) delivery of goods has occurred, 3) the seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable, and 4) collectability is reasonably assured. The Company recognizes revenue when these criteria have been met and when title and risk of loss transfers to the customer. The Company generally has no post-delivery obligations to its independent dealers other than standard warranties. Revenues and gross profits on intercompany sales are eliminated in consolidation.

Revenues from the sale of the Company's products are recognized based on the delivery terms in the sales contract. If an arrangement involves multiple deliverables, the delivered items are considered separate units of accounting if the items have value on a stand-alone basis and there is objective and reliable evidence of their fair values. Revenues from the arrangement are allocated to the separate units of accounting based on their objectively determined fair value.

The Company offers a subscription-based service for wireless management and recognizes subscription revenue on a straight-line basis over the contract term. The Company leases certain infrastructure property held for lease to customers such as moveable concrete barriers and Road Zipper Systems™. Revenues for the lease of infrastructure property held for lease are recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. If an infrastructure project is completed ahead of schedule and prior to the lease term end date, the Company accelerates the lease term and the timing of recognized revenue once the Company is no longer required to perform under the lease contract.

The costs related to revenues are recognized in the same period in which the specific revenues are recorded. Shipping and handling fees billed to customers are reported in revenue. Shipping and handling costs incurred by the Company are included in cost of sales. Customer rebates, cash discounts and other sales incentives are recorded as a reduction of revenues at the time of the original sale. Estimates used in the recognition of operating revenues and cost of operating revenues include, but are not limited to, estimates for product warranties, product rebates, cash discounts and fair value of separate units of accounting on multiple deliverables.

Table of Contents

Inventories

The Company's accounting policy on inventories is critical because the valuation and costing of inventory is essential to the presentation of the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for the Company's Lindsay, Nebraska inventory and two warehouses in Idaho and Texas. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for inventory at operating locations in Nebraska, California, Wisconsin, China and Australia. Cost is determined by the weighted average cost method for inventory at the Company's other operating locations in Washington, Brazil, France, Italy and South Africa. At all locations, the Company reserves for obsolete, slow moving, and excess inventory by estimating the net realizable value based on the potential future use of such inventory.

Environmental Remediation Liabilities

The Company's accounting policy on environmental remediation is critical because it requires significant judgments and estimates by management, involves changing regulations and approaches to remediation plans, and any revisions could be material to the operating results of any fiscal quarter or fiscal year. The Company is subject to an array of environmental laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. In particular, the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site) with the EPA. The Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan, under which the Company continues to work with the EPA to define and implement steps to better contain and remediate the remaining contamination.

Environmental remediation liabilities include costs directly associated with site investigation and clean up, such as materials, external contractor costs and incremental internal costs directly related to the remedy. Estimates used to record environmental remediation liabilities are based on the Company's best estimate of probable future costs based on site-specific facts and circumstances. Estimates of the cost for the likely remedy are developed using internal resources or by third-party environmental engineers or other service providers. The Company records the undiscounted environmental remediation liabilities that represent the points in the range of estimates that are most probable or the minimum amount when no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount.

In fiscal 2013, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. The Company intends to complete additional investigation of the soil and groundwater on the site during the first half of fiscal 2014. The Company will then assess whether it will need to revise its remediation plan in order to come to an agreement with the EPA on how to proceed. The Company anticipates there could be revisions to the current remediation plan as a result of these activities and as additional information is obtained. Any revisions could be material to the operating results of any fiscal quarter or fiscal year. The Company does not expect such additional expenses would have a material adverse effect on its liquidity or financial condition.

The Company accrues the anticipated cost of environmental remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs associated with remediation of the site, it is expected that additional testing and environmental monitoring and remediation could be required in the future as part of the Company's ongoing discussions with the EPA regarding the development and implementation of the remedial action plans. In addition, the current investigation has not yet been completed and does not include all potentially affected areas on the site. Due to the current stage of discussions with the EPA and the uncertainty of the remediation actions that may be required with respect to these affected areas, the Company believes that meaningful estimates of costs or range of costs cannot currently be made and accordingly have not been accrued.

Table of Contents

Valuation of Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

The Company's accounting policy on valuation of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets is critical because it requires significant judgments and estimates by management and can significantly impact the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. Assessment of the potential impairment of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets is an integral part of the Company's normal ongoing review of operations. Testing for potential impairment of these assets is significantly dependent on numerous assumptions and reflects management's best estimates at a particular point in time. The dynamic economic environments in which the Company's businesses operate and key economic and business assumptions related to projected selling prices, market growth, inflation rates and operating expense ratios, can significantly affect the outcome of impairment tests. Estimates based on these assumptions may differ significantly from actual results. Changes in factors and assumptions used in assessing potential impairments can have a significant impact on the existence and magnitude of impairments, as well as the time in which such impairments are recognized.

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in a business combination. Acquired intangible assets are recognized separately from goodwill. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are tested for impairment at least annually at August 31 and whenever triggering events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying value may not be recoverable. The Company performs the impairment analysis at the reporting unit level using a two-step impairment test. Fair value is typically estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis, which requires the Company to estimate the future cash flows anticipated to be generated by the particular assets being tested for impairment as well as to select a discount rate to measure the present value of the anticipated cash flows. When determining future cash flow estimates, the Company considers historical results adjusted to reflect current and anticipated operating conditions. Estimating future cash flows requires significant judgment and assumptions by management in such areas as future economic conditions, industry-specific conditions, product pricing, and necessary capital expenditures. To the extent that the reporting unit is unable to achieve these assumptions, impairment losses may emerge. The Company updated its impairment evaluation of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives at August 31, 2013.

The estimated fair value of each of the Company's reporting units exceeded the respective carrying values by more than 10 percent. Accordingly, no impairment losses were indicated as a result of the annual impairment testing for fiscal years 2013, 2012, and 2011. If assumptions on discount rates and future cash flows change as a result of events or circumstances, and the Company believes that the long-term profitability may have declined in value, then the Company may record impairment charges, resulting in lower profits. Sales and profitability of each of the Company's reporting units may fluctuate from year to year and within a year. In the evaluation of the fair value of reporting units, the Company looks at the long-term prospects for the reporting unit and recognizes that current performance may not be the best indicator of future prospects or value, which requires management judgment.

Indefinite life intangible assets primarily consist of tradenames/trademarks. The fair value of these assets are determined using a relief from royalty analysis that determines the fair value of each trademark through use of a discounted cash flow model that incorporates an estimated royalty rate the Company would be able to charge a third party for the use of the particular trademark. When determining the future cash flow estimates, the Company must estimate future net sales and a fair market royalty rate for each applicable tradename at an appropriate discount rate to measure the present value of the anticipated cash flows. Estimating future net sales requires significant judgment by management in such areas as future economic conditions, industry-specific conditions, product pricing, and consumer trends.

Table of Contents

Executive Overview

Operating revenues for the year ended August 31, 2013 increased by 25 percent to \$690.8 million compared with \$551.3 million for the year ended August 31, 2012. The trend for fiscal 2013 included higher demand for irrigation systems stimulated by positive drivers in the agricultural economy and lower demand for infrastructure products due to government funding issues and project delays. The record sales in U.S. and international irrigation markets have led to record results driven by positive farmer sentiment toward capital investments, increased farm income, and concern over drought conditions. As drought conditions in the 2012 growing season across the U.S. pushed commodity prices higher, the recognition of the importance of efficient, mechanical irrigation rose, creating greater demand for irrigation equipment in fiscal 2013.

Gross margin was 28.2 percent for fiscal 2013 compared to 26.9 percent for the prior fiscal year as higher gross margins were realized in the irrigation segment, while infrastructure gross margins were relatively flat year over year. Irrigation segment gross margins increased by 1.0 percentage point primarily due to a strong pricing environment combined with increased manufacturing productivity and leverage.

Net earnings were \$70.6 million or \$5.47 per diluted share for the year ended August 31, 2013 compared with \$43.3 million or \$3.38 per diluted share for the same prior year period. The prior year period included a \$7.2 million accrual for environmental remediation at the Company's Lindsay, Nebraska facility, which lowered earnings by \$0.37 per diluted share.

Market Conditions and Outlook

Farm commodity prices declined during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013 on indications of strong yields in the current growing season and a decreasing impact of drought conditions in the U.S. Corn Belt. Despite these influences, order volumes remained high by historical fourth quarter standards, although less than those during the same time of the prior year. As of August 27, 2013, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) forecasted U.S. 2013 net farm income to be \$120.6 billion, which would be the highest on record and 63 percent higher than the ten-year average. At this point, the Company believes farmer sentiment regarding capital goods purchases is becoming more conservative than in fiscal 2013 due to lower commodity prices and the anticipated expiration of the Section 179 tax deduction, but this shift in sentiment is offset in part by strong balance sheets and high net farm income. Irrigation equipment demand for 2014 and beyond is currently unclear and will be driven by farmer sentiment and influenced by weather conditions, commodity prices, stock-to-use ratios, and farm income potential. Demand for the Company's irrigation equipment is closely aligned with net farm income and commodity prices and can fluctuate significantly on a quarterly or annual basis. Changing farm subsidies and capital investment tax benefits by the U.S. federal government may positively or adversely impact irrigation equipment demand.

The Company believes the most significant opportunities for growth over the next several years are in international markets, where irrigation use is significantly less developed, and demand is driven primarily by food security, water scarcity and population growth. In most of these international markets, mechanized irrigation represents substantial yield enhancement opportunities, while still having minimal market penetration. While the irrigation revenues in the developing regions are more variable and project based, they represent substantial long-term growth opportunities, facilitated by the Company's global presence.

Infrastructure demand, including for Road Zipper SystemTM projects, has proven to be challenging due to constricted government funding and project delays. The infrastructure segment continues to experience revenue and profit volatility due to the project nature of the Road Zipper SystemsTM and the fixed nature of some operating expenses. The Company believes in the opportunity for Road Zipper SystemsTM to drive significant profitability over the long

term as a superior solution to worldwide traffic congestion, lost productivity and energy waste.

Although the environment for infrastructure sales continues to be constrained by longer-term funding uncertainty, the Company remains focused on taking appropriate actions to rationalize its cost base and improve sales and marketing activities to improve profitability if and when the market eventually strengthens. The Company does not anticipate a strong turnaround in government infrastructure spending in fiscal 2014. However, the Company believes it has sizeable market penetration opportunities for road safety products and Road Zipper Systems™ in the future. Demand for the Company's transportation safety products continues to be driven by population growth and the need for improved road safety.

Table of Contents

As of August 31, 2013, the Company has an order backlog of \$66.5 million compared with \$57.1 million at August 31, 2012. The increase in current year backlog from August 31, 2012 reflects \$4.7 million carryover volume from the Iraq order announced in the second fiscal quarter. Backlog has increased year over year in international irrigation and infrastructure, while backlog in U.S. irrigation has decreased. The Company's backlog can fluctuate from period to period due to the seasonality, cyclicality, timing and execution of contracts. Typically, the Company's backlog at any point in time represents only a portion of the revenue it expects to realize during the following three month period. However, the timing related to certain project oriented contracts may extend longer than three months.

For the business overall, the global, long-term drivers of water conservation, population growth, increasing importance of biofuels, and the need for safer, more efficient transportation solutions remain positive. The Company is focused on seeking accretive, synergistic acquisitions. The Company is committed to creating value for shareholders through multiple strategies, including continual investment in core businesses, strategic acquisitions, dividends and share repurchases.

Results of Operations

The following Fiscal 2013 Compared to Fiscal 2012 and the Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011 sections present an analysis of the Company's consolidated operating results displayed in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and should be read together with the information in Note Q, *Industry Segment Information*, to the consolidated financial statements.

Fiscal 2013 Compared to Fiscal 2012

The following table provides highlights for fiscal 2013 compared with fiscal 2012:

| \$ in thousands | For the Years Ended August 31, | | Percent Increase (Decrease) |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Consolidated | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 690,848 | \$ 551,255 | 25.3% |
| Cost of operating revenues | \$ 496,014 | \$ 402,737 | 23.2% |
| Gross profit | \$ 194,834 | \$ 148,518 | 31.2% |
| Gross margin | 28.2% | 26.9% | |
| Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 87,773 | \$ 83,008 | 5.7% |
| Operating income | \$ 107,061 | \$ 65,510 | 63.4% |
| Operating margin | 15.5% | 11.9% | |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ 246 | \$ (402) | 161.2% |
| Income tax expense | \$ 36,737 | \$ 21,831 | 68.3% |
| Effective income tax rate | 34.2% | 33.5% | |
| Net earnings | \$ 70,570 | \$ 43,277 | 63.1% |
| Irrigation Equipment Segment (See Note Q) | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 625,996 | \$ 475,299 | 31.7% |
| Operating income ⁽²⁾ | \$ 125,395 | \$ 80,259 | 56.2% |
| Operating margin ⁽²⁾ | 20.0% | 16.9% | |
| Infrastructure Products Segment (See Note Q) | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 64,852 | \$ 75,956 | (14.6%) |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Operating loss ⁽²⁾ | \$ (811) | \$ (11) | (7272.7%) |
| Operating margin ⁽²⁾ | (1.3%) | (0.0%) | |

- (1) Includes \$17.5 million and \$14.7 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively.
- (2) Excludes unallocated corporate general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents**Revenues**

Operating revenues in fiscal 2013 increased by 25 percent to \$690.8 million compared with \$551.3 million in fiscal 2012. The increase is attributable to a \$150.6 million increase in irrigation revenues offset in part by an \$11.1 million decrease in infrastructure revenues. The irrigation segment provided 91 percent of Company revenue in fiscal 2013 as compared to 86 percent of the same prior year period, due to growth in irrigation equipment revenues and the decline in infrastructure revenues.

U.S. irrigation revenues of \$385.7 million increased \$80.3 million or 26 percent compared to fiscal 2012. The increase in U.S. irrigation equipment revenues is primarily due to a 28 percent increase in the number of irrigation systems sold compared to the prior fiscal year, with the largest increases in the U.S. Corn Belt. Favorable economic conditions in U.S. agriculture markets continued to drive strong demand for irrigation equipment. Higher commodity prices and planted acres contributed to strong net farm income in 2012, which was projected to continue into 2013. As of August 27, 2013, the USDA projected 2013 net farm income to be \$120.6 billion, which would be 63 percent higher than the ten-year average, creating positive economic conditions for U.S. farmers.

International irrigation revenues of \$240.3 million increased \$70.3 million or 41 percent compared to fiscal 2012. The increase in international irrigation revenues is primarily due to a 35 percent increase in the number of irrigation systems sold compared to the prior year. Operating revenues increased in nearly all markets and most significantly in the Middle East and South America. In fiscal 2013, the Company recognized revenues of \$33.4 million on the Iraq contract consisting of irrigation machines and ancillary related equipment. In South America, irrigation equipment revenues in Brazil doubled over the prior year, fueled by proven success from mechanized irrigation and attractive interest rates offered by the Brazilian government for agricultural equipment.

Infrastructure products segment revenues of \$64.8 million decreased by \$11.1 million or 15 percent compared to the prior fiscal year. The decrease in infrastructure revenues was primarily due to lower sales across all product lines. Infrastructure demand, including for Road Zipper System™ projects, has proven to be challenging due to constricted government funding and project delays.

Gross Margin

Gross profit was \$194.8 million for fiscal 2013, an increase of \$46.3 million compared to fiscal 2012. The increase in gross profit was primarily attributable to a \$37.6 million increase on higher sales volume and an \$8.7 million gross profit increase from improvement in gross margin. Gross margin was 28.2 percent for fiscal 2013 compared to 26.9 percent for the prior fiscal year as higher gross margins were realized in the irrigation segment, while infrastructure gross margins were relatively flat year over year. Irrigation segment gross margins increased by 1.0 percentage point primarily due to a strong pricing environment combined with increased manufacturing productivity and fixed cost leverage from increased volume.

Operating Expenses

The Company's operating expenses of \$87.8 million for fiscal 2013 increased \$4.8 million compared to fiscal 2012 operating expenses of \$83.0 million. The increase in operating expenses is primarily related to an increase of \$5.8 million in personnel-related expenses, driven by higher incentive compensation and headcount to support growth, increases in selling expenses of \$3.0 million associated with growth, increases in research and development expenses of \$1.9 million, and increases in accounts receivable reserves of \$1.2 million. These increases were partially offset by the \$7.2 million decrease in environmental remediation expense. Operating expenses were 12.7 percent of sales for fiscal 2013 compared to 15.1 percent of sales for fiscal 2012 due to leverage of expenses on higher sales.

Operating margin was 15.5 percent for fiscal 2013 as compared to 11.9 percent for fiscal 2012.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$36.7 million and \$21.8 million for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively. The increase in income tax expense was primarily due to increases in pretax income. The effective income tax rate increased to 34.2 percent in fiscal 2013 compared to 33.5 percent in fiscal 2012. The increase in the annual effective income tax rate from August 2012 to August 2013 primarily related to incremental increases in foreign taxes less certain tax credits reinstated in fiscal 2013. The Company recorded no material discrete items in fiscal 2013.

Table of Contents**Net Earnings**

Net earnings for fiscal 2013 were \$70.6 million or \$5.47 per diluted share compared to \$43.3 million, or \$3.38 per diluted share for the prior fiscal year. The Company's operating income increased to \$107.1 million in fiscal 2013 compared to \$65.5 million during the prior fiscal year primarily due to an increase in revenues partially offset by higher operating expenses.

Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011

The following table provides highlights for fiscal 2012 compared with fiscal 2011:

| \$ in thousands | For the Years Ended August 31, | | Percent Increase (Decrease) |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Consolidated | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 551,255 | \$ 478,890 | 15.1% |
| Cost of operating revenues | \$ 402,737 | \$ 349,105 | 15.4% |
| Gross profit | \$ 148,518 | \$ 129,785 | 14.4% |
| Gross margin | 26.9% | 27.1% | |
| Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 83,008 | \$ 73,199 | 13.4% |
| Operating income | \$ 65,510 | \$ 56,586 | 15.8% |
| Operating margin | 11.9% | 11.8% | |
| Other (expense) income, net | \$ (402) | \$ (72) | 458.3% |
| Income tax expense | \$ 21,831 | \$ 19,712 | 10.7% |
| Effective income tax rate | 33.5% | 34.9% | |
| Net earnings | \$ 43,277 | \$ 36,802 | 17.6% |
| Irrigation Equipment Segment (See Note Q) | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 475,299 | \$ 369,930 | 28.5% |
| Operating income ⁽²⁾ | \$ 80,259 | \$ 59,703 | 34.4% |
| Operating margin ⁽²⁾ | 16.9% | 16.1% | |
| Infrastructure Products Segment (See Note Q) | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 75,956 | \$ 108,960 | (30.3%) |
| Operating (loss) income ⁽²⁾ | \$ (11) | \$ 11,901 | (100.1%) |
| Operating margin ⁽²⁾ | (0.0%) | 10.9% | |

(1) Includes \$14.7 million and \$15.0 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively.

(2) Excludes unallocated corporate general and administrative expenses.

Revenues

Operating revenues for fiscal 2012 increased by \$72.4 million to \$551.3 million compared with \$478.9 million in fiscal 2011. The increase is attributable to a \$105.4 million increase in irrigation equipment revenues offset in part by a \$33.0 million decrease in infrastructure segment revenues.

U.S. irrigation equipment revenues of \$305.4 million increased \$77.8 million or 34 percent compared to fiscal 2011. The increase in U.S. irrigation equipment revenues is primarily due to a 28 percent increase in the number of irrigation systems sold compared to the prior fiscal year. Favorable economic conditions in U.S. agriculture markets continued to drive strong demand for irrigation equipment. Despite the severity of the 2012 drought, shortfalls in crop production did not have a detrimental impact on sector-wide net farm income as shortages raised the prices farmers received for crops sold and crop insurance partially offset the impact of lower yields.

International irrigation revenues of \$169.9 million increased \$27.6 million or 19 percent from fiscal 2011 revenues of \$142.3 million driven by volume increases in the number of irrigation systems sold due to favorable economic conditions in most international regions. Operating revenues increased in nearly all international markets, most significantly in the Middle East, Latin America, China, Canada and Africa.

Table of Contents

Infrastructure products segment revenues of \$76.0 million decreased by \$33.0 million or 30 percent compared to the prior fiscal year. The decrease in infrastructure revenues was driven primarily by fewer Road Zipper System™ projects.

Gross Margin

Gross profit was \$148.5 million for fiscal 2012, an increase of \$18.7 million compared to fiscal 2011. Gross profit increased primarily due to a \$20.0 million increase in volume partially offset by \$1.3 million decrease in gross margin. Gross margin was 26.9 percent for fiscal 2012 compared to 27.1 percent for the prior fiscal year. Infrastructure segment gross margins decreased by 7.5 percentage points due to lower revenues of higher-margin Road Zipper System™ projects offset by higher pricing within road safety products and contract manufacturing. Irrigation segment gross margins increased by 1.2 percentage points driven by fixed cost leverage from increased volume.

Operating Expenses

The Company's operating expenses of \$83.0 million for fiscal 2012 increased \$9.8 million compared to fiscal 2011 operating expenses of \$73.2 million. Of the \$9.8 million increase in operating expenses, \$5.9 million is attributable to the increase in the Company's environmental remediation accrual over the same prior year period related to an updated remediation assessment completed in fiscal 2012. The remaining increase in operating expenses was primarily due to personnel related expenses of \$3.4 million driven by higher headcount to support growth and international markets, the inclusion of incremental expenses of an acquired business of \$1.9 million, and investments in sales and marketing of \$0.6 million, offset in part by decreases in research and development expenses of \$1.1 million and a reduction in consultant expenses associated with the implementation of a new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system implemented in the prior year of \$0.9 million.

Operating margin was 11.9 percent for fiscal 2012 as compared to 11.8 percent for fiscal 2011. Operating expenses were 15.1 percent of sales for fiscal 2012 compared to 15.3 percent of sales for fiscal 2011. Excluding environmental accruals, operating margin was 13.2 percent for fiscal 2012 as compared to 12.1 percent for fiscal 2011 and operating expenses were 13.8 percent of sales for fiscal 2012 compared to 15.0 percent of sales for fiscal 2011, an improvement attributable primarily to leverage from increased sales generated from substantially similar fixed expenses.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense of \$21.8 million for fiscal 2012 increased \$2.1 million compared to fiscal 2011 income tax expense of \$19.7 million. The increase in income tax expense was primarily due to increases in pretax income. The effective income tax rate decreased to 33.5 percent in fiscal 2012 compared to 34.9 percent in fiscal 2011. The effective income tax rate decreased 1.4 percentage points primarily due to a net reduction of uncertain tax positions compared to the previous year and a benefit resulting from finalizing the 2011 tax return calculations that were less than estimated in the 2011 income tax provision.

Net Earnings

Net earnings for fiscal 2012 were \$43.3 million or \$3.38 per diluted share compared to \$36.8 million, or \$2.90 per diluted share for the prior fiscal year. The Company's operating income increased to \$65.5 million in fiscal 2012 compared to \$56.6 million during the prior fiscal year primarily due to an increase in revenues partially offset by higher operating expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's cash and cash equivalents totaled \$151.9 million at August 31, 2013 compared with \$143.4 million at August 31, 2012. The Company requires cash for financing its receivables and inventories, paying operating expenses and capital expenditures, and for dividends and potential share repurchases. The Company meets its liquidity needs and finances its capital expenditures from its available cash and funds provided by operations along with borrowings under three credit arrangements that are described below. The Company believes its current cash resources, projected operating cash flow, and remaining capacity under its continuing bank lines of credit are sufficient to cover all of its expected working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, dividends, and other cash requirements, excluding potential acquisitions. Although the Company made no repurchases of its common stock during the year ended August 31, 2013, the Company does have existing authorization to purchase up to 881,139 shares of its common stock under the Company's share repurchase plan.

Table of Contents

The Company's total cash and cash equivalents held by foreign subsidiaries, in which earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested, was approximately \$26.2 million and \$18.1 million as of August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company considers these funds to be permanently reinvested, and would need to accrue and pay taxes if these funds were repatriated. The Company does not intend to repatriate the funds, and does not expect these funds to have a significant impact on the Company's overall liquidity.

Net working capital was \$266.7 million at August 31, 2013, as compared with \$218.4 million at August 31, 2012. The increase in net working capital mainly resulted from increased cash from earnings over the past year, increased inventory to support the increases in sales, especially in the irrigation segment, and increased receivables due to higher sales. Cash flows provided by operations totaled \$57.5 million during the year ended August 31, 2013 compared to \$52.4 million provided by operations during the same prior year period. Cash provided by operations increased by \$5.1 million compared to the prior year period primarily as a result of increased earnings and positive cash flow changes in other current liabilities offset in part by decreases due to negative cash flow changes in receivables, current taxes payable and inventories.

Cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$41.1 million during the year ended August 31, 2013 compared to \$6.8 million during the same prior year period. The increase in the net cash used in investing activities was primarily due to the \$29.0 million acquisition of Claude Laval Corporation and its LAKOS® brand of filtration products in 2013. Capital spending of \$11.1 million in fiscal 2013 increased compared to the prior year capital spending of \$9.9 million, primarily due to productivity improvements. In fiscal 2014, the Company expects capital expenditures of approximately \$20.0 million to \$25.0 million, largely focused on manufacturing capacity expansion and productivity improvements.

Cash flows used in financing activities totaled \$8.0 million during the year ended August 31, 2013 compared to \$8.8 million during the same prior year period. The \$0.8 million decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to an increase in proceeds from the exercise of stock options offset in part by additional dividends paid. The Company's total interest-bearing debt decreased from \$4.3 million at August 31, 2012 to zero at August 31, 2013 due to four quarterly principal payments that fully satisfied the debt.

Euro Line of Credit

The Company's wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe SAS, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow for working capital purposes up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately \$3.0 million U.S. dollars as of August 31, 2013 (the Euro Line of Credit). On February 8, 2013, the Company extended the Euro Line of Credit with Societe Generale through January 31, 2014. There were no borrowings outstanding on this credit agreement at August 31, 2013 and 2012. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 110 basis points (1.32 percent at August 31, 2013). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2014.

BSI Term Note

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, effective June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of Barrier Systems, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points (0.68 percent at August 31, 2013). The Company effectively fixed the economic effect of the variable interest rate at 6.05 percent through an interest rate swap as described in Note L, *Financial Derivatives*, to the consolidated financial statements. The BSI Term Note was repaid in full on its scheduled maturity date of June 10,

2013.

25

Table of Contents*Revolving Credit Agreement*

The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., which was amended on February 13, 2013 in order to extend the termination date from January 23, 2014 to February 13, 2016 (the Revolving Credit Agreement). The borrowings from the Revolving Credit Agreement may primarily be used for working capital purposes and funding acquisitions. At August 31, 2013 and 2012, there was no outstanding balance on the Revolving Credit Agreement. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 90 basis points (1.08 percent at August 31, 2013), subject to adjustment as set forth in the Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is paid on a monthly to quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25 percent on the unused portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement. Any unpaid principal and interest is due by February 13, 2016.

The Revolving Credit Agreement contains certain covenants relating to the Company's financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, a current ratio and a tangible net worth requirement. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants, including a change in control of the Company, all amounts due thereunder may be declared to be immediately due and payable. The BSI Term Note contained substantially similar covenants. At August 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company was in compliance with all loan covenants.

Inflation

The Company is subject to the effects of changing prices. During fiscal 2013, the Company realized pricing volatility for purchases of certain commodities, in particular steel and zinc products, used in the production of its products. While the cost outlook for commodities used in the production of the Company's products is not certain, management believes it can manage these inflationary pressures by introducing appropriate sales price adjustments and by actively pursuing internal cost reduction efforts, while further refining the Company's inventory and raw materials risk management system. However, competitive market pressures may affect the Company's ability to pass price adjustments along to its customers.

Contractual Obligations, Commercial Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into contracts and commitments which obligate the Company to make future payments. The Company uses off-balance sheet arrangements, such as leases accounted for as operating leases, standby letters of credit and performance bonds, where sound business principles warrant their use. The table below sets forth the Company's significant future obligations by time period.

\$ in thousands

| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 2-3 Years | 4-5 Years | More than 5 Years |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Operating lease obligations | \$ 8,526 | \$ 2,590 | \$ 3,164 | \$ 1,802 | \$ 970 |
| Pension benefit obligations | 6,881 | 557 | 1,073 | 1,045 | 4,206 |
| Total | \$ 15,407 | \$ 3,147 | \$ 4,237 | \$ 2,847 | \$ 5,176 |

(1) Total liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits as of August 31, 2013 were \$1.4 million and are classified on the Company's consolidated balance sheets within other noncurrent liabilities and are excluded from the table above. The Company does not have any additional off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on the Company's financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7A *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. The credit risk under these interest rate and foreign currency agreements is not considered to be significant. The Company attempts to manage market and credit risks associated with its derivative instruments by establishing and monitoring limits as to the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken, and by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties. As of August 31, 2013, the Company's derivative counterparty had investment grade credit ratings.

The Company has manufacturing operations in the United States, Brazil, France, Italy, South Africa and China. The Company has sold products throughout the world and purchases certain of its components from third-party international suppliers. Export sales made from the United States are principally U.S. dollar denominated. At times, export sales may be denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar. A majority of the Company's revenue generated from operations outside the United States is denominated in local currency. Accordingly, these sales are not typically subject to significant foreign currency transaction risk. The Company's most significant transactional foreign currency exposures are the Euro, the Brazilian real, the South African rand and the Chinese renminbi in relation to the U.S. dollar. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies create exposures, which can adversely affect the Company's results of operations. Based on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended August 31, 2013, the Company estimates the potential decrease in operating income from a 10 percent adverse change in the underlying exchange rates, in U.S. dollar terms, would be less than \$1.0 million.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of its operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, intercompany transactions and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. The Company had \$4.0 million of U.S. dollar equivalent cash flow forward exchange contracts and option contracts outstanding as of August 31, 2013.

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge a portion of its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. At August 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contracts to sell 29.2 million Euro at fixed prices expected to settle during the first quarter of fiscal 2014. Based on the net investments contracts outstanding at August 31, 2013, the Company estimates the potential decrease in fair value from a 10 percent adverse change in the underlying exchange rates would be approximately \$2.9 million. This decrease in fair value would be reflected as a reduction to other comprehensive income offsetting the translation exposure or adjustment of the international subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8 *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Lindsay Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Lindsay Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of August 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended August 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Lindsay Corporation and subsidiaries as of August 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended August 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated October 18, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Omaha, Nebraska

October 18, 2013

Table of Contents**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Years ended August 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 690,848 | \$ 551,255 | \$ 478,890 |
| Cost of operating revenues | 496,014 | 402,737 | 349,105 |
| Gross profit | 194,834 | 148,518 | 129,785 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Selling expense | 32,937 | 28,104 | 27,842 |
| General and administrative expense | 43,441 | 38,198 | 33,659 |
| Engineering and research expense | 11,395 | 9,481 | 10,403 |
| Environmental remediation expense | | 7,225 | 1,295 |
| Total operating expenses | 87,773 | 83,008 | 73,199 |
| Operating income | 107,061 | 65,510 | 56,586 |
| Interest expense | (304) | (492) | (762) |
| Interest income | 496 | 504 | 315 |
| Other income (expense), net | 54 | (414) | 375 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 107,307 | 65,108 | 56,514 |
| Income tax expense | 36,737 | 21,831 | 19,712 |
| Net earnings | \$ 70,570 | \$ 43,277 | \$ 36,802 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 5.50 | \$ 3.41 | \$ 2.93 |
| Diluted | \$ 5.47 | \$ 3.38 | \$ 2.90 |
| Shares used in computing earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | 12,830 | 12,704 | 12,560 |
| Diluted | 12,901 | 12,810 | 12,692 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.475 | \$ 0.385 | \$ 0.345 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| (\$ in thousands) | Years ended August 31, | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net earnings | \$ 70,570 | \$ 43,277 | \$ 36,802 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Defined benefit pension plan adjustment, net of tax | 260 | (408) | 69 |
| Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges, net of tax | 53 | 200 | 319 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of hedging activities and tax | (1,752) | (7,131) | 4,719 |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax (benefit) expense of (\$330), \$394 and \$440 | (1,439) | (7,339) | 5,107 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 69,131 | \$ 35,938 | \$ 41,909 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| (\$ and shares in thousands, except par values) | August 31, 2013 | August 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 151,927 | \$ 143,444 |
| Receivables, net of allowance of \$2,853 and \$1,717, respectively | 120,291 | 82,565 |
| Inventories, net | 68,607 | 52,873 |
| Deferred income taxes | 12,705 | 9,505 |
| Other current assets | 15,261 | 10,478 |
| Total current assets | 368,791 | 298,865 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 65,064 | 56,180 |
| Intangible assets, net | 36,007 | 25,070 |
| Goodwill | 37,414 | 29,961 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 5,020 | 5,455 |
| Total assets | \$ 512,296 | \$ 415,531 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 42,276 | \$ 31,372 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 4,285 |
| Other current liabilities | 59,816 | 44,781 |
| Total current liabilities | 102,092 | 80,438 |
| Pension benefits liabilities | 6,324 | 6,821 |
| Deferred income taxes | 15,415 | 9,984 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 7,827 | 7,450 |
| Total liabilities | 131,658 | 104,693 |
| Shareholders equity: | | |
| Preferred stock of \$1 par value - authorized 2,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock at \$1 par value - authorized 25,000 shares; 18,571 and 18,421 shares issued at August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively | 18,571 | 18,421 |
| Capital in excess of stated value | 49,764 | 43,140 |
| Retained earnings | 405,580 | 341,115 |
| Less treasury stock (at cost, 5,698 shares) | (90,961) | (90,961) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (2,316) | (877) |
| Total shareholders equity | 380,638 | 310,838 |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 512,296 | \$ 415,531 |
|--|------------|------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Shares of Common stock | Shares of Treasury stock | Common stock | Capital in excess of stated value | Retained earnings | Treasury stock | Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net | Total Shareholders equity |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Balance at August 31, 2010 | 18,185 | 5,698 | \$ 18,185 | \$ 30,756 | \$ 270,272 | \$ (90,961) | \$ 1,355 | \$ 229,607 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 36,802 | | | 36,802 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | | 5,107 | 5,107 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | 41,909 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.345) per share | | | | | (4,342) | | | (4,342) |
| Issuance of common shares under share compensation plans | 189 | | 189 | 2,547 | | | | 2,736 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | | | | 2,487 | | | | 2,487 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | | 3,268 | | | | 3,268 |
| Balance at August 31, 2011 | 18,374 | 5,698 | \$ 18,374 | \$ 39,058 | \$ 302,732 | \$ (90,961) | \$ 6,462 | \$ 275,665 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 43,277 | | | 43,277 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (7,339) | (7,339) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | 35,938 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.385) per share | | | | | (4,894) | | | (4,894) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|----|---------|
| Issuance of common shares under share compensation plans | 47 | 47 | (57) | | | | | | (10) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | | | | 374 | | | | | 374 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | | 3,765 | | | | | 3,765 |
| Balance at August 31, 2012 | 18,421 | 5,698 | \$ 18,421 | \$ 43,140 | \$ 341,115 | \$ (90,961) | \$ (877) | \$ | 310,838 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 70,570 | | | | 70,570 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (1,439) | | (1,439) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 69,131 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.475) per share | | | | | (6,105) | | | | (6,105) |
| Issuance of common shares under share compensation plans | 150 | 150 | (555) | | | | | | (405) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | | | | 2,800 | | | | | 2,800 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | | 4,379 | | | | | 4,379 |
| Balance at August 31, 2013 | 18,571 | 5,698 | \$ 18,571 | \$ 49,764 | \$ 405,580 | \$ (90,961) | \$ (2,316) | \$ | 380,638 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (\$ in thousands) | Years Ended August 31, | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 70,570 | \$ 43,277 | \$ 36,802 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,600 | 12,468 | 11,734 |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable | 1,543 | 379 | 388 |
| Deferred income taxes | (3,237) | (3,868) | (2,828) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 4,573 | 3,939 | 3,474 |
| Other, net | (1,014) | 959 | 208 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (36,557) | (7,570) | (12,626) |
| Inventories | (10,020) | (5,609) | (1,826) |
| Other current assets | (4,054) | (641) | (1,430) |
| Accounts payable | 9,188 | 723 | 4,780 |
| Other current liabilities | 14,578 | (1,602) | 8,223 |
| Current taxes payable | (892) | 5,408 | (2,327) |
| Other noncurrent assets and liabilities | 227 | 4,576 | (1,517) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 57,505 | 52,439 | 43,055 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (11,136) | (9,890) | (8,405) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 22 | 116 | 80 |
| Acquisitions of business, net of cash acquired | (29,007) | | (6,180) |
| Proceeds from settlement of net investment hedges | 1,944 | 3,378 | 142 |
| Payments for settlement of net investment hedges | (2,904) | (453) | (1,261) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (41,081) | (6,849) | (15,624) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 2,036 | 567 | 3,579 |
| Common stock withheld for payroll tax withholdings | (2,441) | (577) | (843) |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | (4,285) | (4,286) | (4,286) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 2,800 | 387 | 2,487 |
| Dividends paid | (6,105) | (4,894) | (4,342) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (7,995) | (8,803) | (3,405) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 54 | (1,510) | 723 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 8,483 | 35,277 | 24,749 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 143,444 | 108,167 | 83,418 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 151,927 | \$ 143,444 | \$ 108,167 |

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income taxes paid | \$ 38,328 | \$ 19,667 | \$ 22,057 |
| Interest paid | \$ 369 | \$ 561 | \$ 860 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Lindsay Corporation, along with its subsidiaries (collectively called Lindsay or the Company), is a global leader in providing a variety of proprietary water management and road infrastructure products and services. The Company has been involved in the manufacture and distribution of agricultural equipment since 1955 and has grown from a regional company to an international agribusiness and highway infrastructure firm with worldwide sales and distribution. Lindsay, a Delaware corporation, maintains its corporate offices in Omaha, Nebraska. The Company has operations which are categorized into two major reporting segments.

Irrigation Segment The Company's irrigation segment includes the manufacture and marketing of center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems which are used principally in the agricultural industry to increase or stabilize crop production while conserving water, energy and labor. The irrigation segment also manufactures and markets repair and replacement parts for its irrigation systems and controls, and designs and manufactures water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets. The Company continues to strengthen irrigation product offerings through innovative technology such as GPS positioning and guidance, variable rate irrigation, wireless irrigation management, and smartphone applications. On August 16, 2013, the Company acquired Claude Laval Corporation, which manufactures and distributes LAKOS® separators and filtration solutions for groundwater, agriculture, industrial and heat transfer markets, worldwide. The Company's principal irrigation manufacturing facilities are located in Lindsay, Nebraska, Hartland, Wisconsin, and Fresno, California. Internationally, the Company has production operations in Brazil, France, China, and South Africa as well as distribution operations in Australia and New Zealand. The Company also exports equipment from the U.S. to other international markets.

Infrastructure Segment The Company's infrastructure segment includes the manufacture and marketing of moveable barriers, specialty barriers, crash cushions and end terminals, road marking and road safety equipment, large diameter steel tubing, railroad signals and structures, and outsourced manufacturing services. The principal infrastructure manufacturing facilities are located in Rio Vista, California, Milan, Italy, and Omaha, Nebraska.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements describe various elements of the financial statements and the accounting policies, estimates, and assumptions applied by management. While actual results could differ from those estimated at the time of preparation of the consolidated financial statements, management believes that the accounting policies, assumptions, and estimates applied promote the representational faithfulness, verifiability, neutrality, and transparency of the accounting information included in the consolidated financial statements.

The significant accounting policies of the Company are as follows:

(1) Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

(2) Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior financial statements to conform to the current-year presentation.

(3) Stock Based Compensation

The Company recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values on the date of grant. The Company uses the straight-line amortization method over the vesting period of the awards. The Company has historically issued shares upon exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock units or performance stock units from new stock issuances.

The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations over the periods during which the employee or director is required to perform a service in exchange for the award.

Table of Contents

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (Black-Scholes model) as its valuation method for stock option awards. Under the Black-Scholes model, the fair value of stock option awards on the date of grant is estimated using an option-pricing model that is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the Company's expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance stock units issued under the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan will have a grant date fair value equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date less present value of expected dividends.

(4) Revenue Recognition

The Company's basic criteria necessary for revenue recognition are: 1) evidence of a sales arrangement exists, 2) delivery of goods has occurred, 3) the seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable, and 4) collectability is reasonably assured. The Company recognizes revenue when these criteria have been met and when title and risk of loss transfers to the customer. The Company generally has no post-delivery obligations to its independent dealers other than standard warranties. Revenues and gross profits on intercompany sales are eliminated in consolidation.

Revenues from the sale of the Company's products are recognized based on the delivery terms in the sales contract. If an arrangement involves multiple deliverables, the delivered items are considered separate units of accounting if the items have value on a stand-alone basis and there is objective and reliable evidence of their fair values. Revenues from the arrangement are allocated to the separate units of accounting based on their objectively determined fair value.

The Company offers a subscription-based service for wireless management and recognizes subscription revenue on a straight-line basis over the contract term. The Company leases certain infrastructure property held for lease to customers such as moveable concrete barriers and Road Zipper SystemsTM. Revenues for the lease of infrastructure property held for lease are recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. If an infrastructure project is completed ahead of schedule and prior to the lease term end date, the Company accelerates the lease term and the timing of recognized revenue once the Company is no longer required to perform under the lease contract.

The costs related to revenues are recognized in the same period in which the specific revenues are recorded. Shipping and handling fees billed to customers are reported in revenue. Shipping and handling costs incurred by the Company are included in cost of sales. Customer rebates, cash discounts and other sales incentives are recorded as a reduction of revenues at the time of the original sale. Estimates used in the recognition of operating revenues and cost of operating revenues include, but are not limited to, estimates for product warranties, product rebates, cash discounts and fair value of separate units of accounting on multiple deliverables.

(5) Receivables and Allowances

Trade receivables are reported on the balance sheet net of any doubtful accounts. Losses are recognized when it is probable that an asset has been impaired and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In estimating probable losses, the Company reviews specific accounts that are significant and past due, in bankruptcy or otherwise identified at risk for potential credit loss. Collectability of these specific accounts are assessed based on facts and circumstances of that customer, and an allowance for credit losses is established based on the probability of default. The allowance for credit losses attributable to the remaining accounts is established using probabilities of default and an estimate of associated losses based upon the aging of receivable balances, collection experience, economic conditions and credit risk quality. The evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is based on facts and circumstances available to the Company at the date of the consolidated financial statements and considers any significant changes in circumstances occurring through the date that the financial statements are issued.

(6) Warranty Costs

The Company's provision for product warranty reflects management's best estimate of probable liability under its product warranties. At the time a sale is recognized, the company records the estimated future warranty costs. The Company generally determines its total future warranty liability by applying historical claims rate experience to the amount of equipment that has been sold and is still within the warranty period. In addition, the Company records provisions for known warranty claims. This provision is periodically adjusted to reflect actual experience.

Table of Contents

(7) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly-liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

(8) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for the Company's Lindsay, Nebraska inventory and two warehouses in Idaho and Texas. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for inventory at operating locations in Nebraska, California, Wisconsin, China and Australia. Cost is determined by the weighted average cost method for inventory at the Company's other operating locations in Washington, Brazil, France, Italy and South Africa. At all locations, the Company reserves for obsolete, slow moving, and excess inventory by estimating the net realizable value based on the potential future use of such inventory.

(9) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant, equipment, and capitalized assets held for lease are stated at cost. The Company capitalizes major expenditures and charges to operating expenses the cost of current maintenance and repairs. Provisions for depreciation and amortization have been computed principally on the straight-line method for buildings and equipment. Rates used for depreciation are based principally on the following expected lives: buildings 15 to 30 years; equipment 3 to 7 years; leased barrier transfer machines 8 to 10 years; leased barriers 12 years; other 2 to 20 years and leasehold improvements shorter of the economic life or term of the lease. All of the Company's long-lived asset groups are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the sum of the expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset group, an impairment loss is recognized based upon the difference between the fair value of the asset and its carrying value. The cost and accumulated depreciation relating to assets retired or otherwise disposed of are eliminated from the respective accounts at the time of disposition. The resulting gain or loss is included in operating income in the consolidated statements of operations.

(10) Valuation of Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in a business combination. Acquired intangible assets are recognized separately from goodwill. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are tested for impairment at least annually at August 31 and whenever triggering events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying value may not be recoverable.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment of goodwill has occurred. Such indicators may include deterioration in general economic conditions, adverse changes in the markets in which an entity operates, increases in input costs that have negative effects on earnings and cash flows, or a trend of negative or declining cash flows over multiple periods, among others. The fair value that could be realized in an actual transaction may differ from that used to evaluate the impairment of goodwill.

In testing goodwill for impairment, the Company has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not (more than 50%) that the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the Company elects to perform a qualitative assessment and determine that an impairment is more likely than not, the Company is then required to perform a quantitative impairment test, otherwise no further analysis is required. The Company also may elect not to perform the qualitative assessment and, instead, proceed directly to the quantitative impairment test.

The Company performs the impairment analysis at the reporting unit level using a two-step impairment test. Fair value is typically estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis, which requires the Company to estimate the future cash flows anticipated to be generated by the particular assets being tested for impairment as well as to select a discount rate to measure the present value of the anticipated cash flows. When determining future cash flow estimates, the Company considers historical results adjusted to reflect current and anticipated operating conditions. Estimating future cash flows requires significant judgment and assumptions by management in such areas as future economic conditions, industry-specific conditions, product pricing, and necessary capital expenditures. To the extent that the reporting unit is unable to achieve these assumptions, impairment losses may emerge. The Company updated its impairment evaluation of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives at August 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

(11) Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for utilizing the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying value of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. These expected future tax consequences are measured based on currently enacted tax rates. The effect of tax rate changes on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income during the period that includes the enactment date.

(12) Net Earnings per Share

Basic net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period.

Employee stock options, nonvested shares and similar equity instruments granted by the Company are treated as potential common share equivalents outstanding in computing diluted net earnings per share. The Company's diluted common shares outstanding reported in each period includes the dilutive effect of restricted stock units, in-the-money options, and performance stock units for which threshold performance conditions have been satisfied and is calculated based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of excess tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in-capital when exercised are assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

(13) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(14) Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. All derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. The Company uses these derivative instruments only to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. On the date a derivative contract is entered into, the Company may elect to designate the derivative as a fair value hedge, a cash flow hedge, or the hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation.

The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivative that is used in the hedging transaction is highly effective. For those instruments that are designated as a cash flow hedge and meet certain documentary and analytical requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment, changes in the fair value for the effective portion are reported in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of related income tax effects, and are reclassified to the income statement when the effects of the item being hedged are recognized in the income statement. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that qualify as hedges of a net investment in foreign operations are recorded as a component of accumulated currency translation adjustment in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), net of related income tax effects. Changes in the fair value of undesignated hedges are recognized currently in earnings. All changes in derivative fair values due to ineffectiveness are recognized

currently in income.

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item, the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, or management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. In situations in which the Company does not elect hedge accounting or hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative is retained, the Company carries or continues to carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet and recognizes any subsequent changes in its fair value through earnings. The Company manages market and credit risks associated with its derivative instruments by establishing and monitoring limits as to the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken, and by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties. As of August 31, 2013, the Company's derivative counterparty had investment grade credit ratings.

Table of Contents

(15) Fair Value Measurements

The Company's disclosure of the fair value of assets and liabilities is based on a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. Inputs refers broadly to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk. The categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation techniques are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 inputs to the valuation techniques are other than quoted prices but are observable for the assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly

Level 3 inputs to the valuation techniques are unobservable for the assets or liabilities

(16) Treasury Stock

When the Company repurchases its outstanding stock, it records the repurchased shares at cost as a reduction to shareholders' equity. The weighted average cost method is utilized for share re-issuances. The difference between the cost and the re-issuance price is charged or credited to a capital in excess of stated value treasury stock account to the extent that there is a sufficient balance to absorb the charge. If the treasury stock is sold for an amount less than its cost and there is not a sufficient balance in the capital in excess of stated value treasury stock account, the excess is charged to retained earnings.

(17) Contingencies

The Company's accounting for contingencies covers a variety of business activities including contingencies for legal exposures and environmental exposures. The Company accrues these contingencies when its assessments indicate that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and an amount can be reasonably estimated. The Company's estimates are based on currently available facts and its estimates of the ultimate outcome or resolution. Actual results may differ from the Company's estimates resulting in an impact, positive or negative, on earnings.

(18) Environmental Remediation Liabilities

Environmental remediation liabilities include costs directly associated with site investigation and clean up, such as materials, external contractor costs and incremental internal costs directly related to the remedy. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of environmental remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Estimates used to record environmental remediation liabilities are based on the Company's best estimate of probable future costs based on site-specific facts and circumstances. Estimates of the cost for the likely remedy are developed using internal resources or by third-party environmental engineers or other service providers. The Company records the undiscounted environmental remediation liabilities that represent the points in the range of estimates that are most probable or the minimum amount when no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount.

(19) Translation of Foreign Currency

The Company's portion of the assets and liabilities related to foreign investments are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenue and expenses are translated at the average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Unrealized gains or losses are reflected within common shareholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss.

Table of Contents**B. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS*****New Accounting Standards Issued but not yet adopted***

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-11, *Amendments to Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The objective of ASU No. 2011-11 is to provide enhanced disclosures that will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on its financial position. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, *Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, which clarifies what instruments and transactions are subject to the offsetting disclosure requirements established by ASU No. 2011-11. Derivative instruments accounted for in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements, securities borrowing, and securities lending transactions are subject to ASU No. 2011-11 disclosure requirements. The effective date for ASU No. 2011-11 and ASU No. 2013-01 will be the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. The Company does not expect the adoption of these standards to impact its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The objective of ASU No. 2013-02 is to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). Entities are required to disclose changes in AOCI balances by component and significant items reclassified out of AOCI. The effective date for ASU No. 2013-02 will be the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to impact its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-05, *Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity*. The objective of ASU No. 2013-05 is to clarify the applicable guidance for the release of the cumulative translation adjustment under U.S. GAAP. The effective date for ASU No. 2013-05 will be the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to impact its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**C. ACQUISITIONS**

The Company pursues accretive, synergistic acquisitions that further differentiate the Company's market positions and add new growth opportunities. The Company accounts for business combinations in accordance with ASC 805 *Business Combinations*, which requires the recognition of the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, goodwill, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. In addition, the Company expenses all acquisition-related costs in the period in which the costs are incurred and the services received.

Claude Laval Corporation

On August 16, 2013, the Company acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Claude Laval Corporation (CLC), a California corporation that manufactures and distributes LAK® Separators and filtration solutions for groundwater, agriculture, industrial and heat transfer markets, worldwide. Total consideration paid was \$29.0 million which was financed with cash on hand. The allocation of purchase price for CLC is considered preliminary because the closing balance sheet under the terms of the purchase agreement and the valuation of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed will not be finalized until the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2014. However, the Company does not anticipate any material changes from the amounts presented in the table below showing identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

The following table summarizes the consideration paid for CLC and the preliminary amounts of estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

| \$ in thousands | Amount |
|--|------------------|
| Identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed: | |
| Current assets | \$ 8,686 |
| Property and equipment | 7,604 |
| Intangible assets | 13,700 |
| Other long-term assets | 481 |
| Current liabilities | (1,784) |
| Long-term debt | (1,400) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (5,537) |
| Total identifiable net assets acquired | 21,750 |
| Goodwill | 7,257 |
| Total | \$ 29,007 |

The acquired intangible assets include amortizable intangible assets of \$7.2 million and indefinite-lived intangible assets of \$6.5 million related to tradenames. The amortizable intangible assets have a weighted-average useful life of approximately 8 years. The following table summarizes the identifiable intangible assets at estimated fair value.

| \$ in thousands | Weighted Average Useful Life in Years | Fair Value of Identifiable Asset |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Intangible assets: | | |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------|---------------|
| Tradenames | N/A | \$ | 6,500 |
| Patents | 10.0 | | 4,600 |
| Customer relationships | 5.0 | | 1,700 |
| Non-compete agreements | 5.0 | | 500 |
| Other | 1.3 | | 400 |
| Total intangible assets | | \$ | 13,700 |

Goodwill related to the acquisition of CLC primarily relates to intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition, including the experience and knowledge of CLC management, its assembled workforce, and its intellectual capital and specialization within the filtration solutions industry. Goodwill recorded in connection with this acquisition is non-deductible for income tax purposes. Pro forma information related to this acquisition was not included because the impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements was not considered to be material.

Table of Contents**D. NET EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The following table shows the computation of basic and diluted net earnings per share for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| (\$ and shares in thousands, except per share amounts) | For the years ended August 31, | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 70,570 | \$ 43,277 | \$ 36,802 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 12,830 | 12,704 | 12,560 |
| Diluted effect of stock equivalents | 71 | 106 | 132 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution | 12,901 | 12,810 | 12,692 |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$ 5.50 | \$ 3.41 | \$ 2.93 |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 5.47 | \$ 3.38 | \$ 2.90 |

Certain stock options and restricted stock units were excluded from the computation of diluted net earnings per share because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. Performance stock units are excluded from the calculation of dilutive potential common shares until the threshold performance conditions have been satisfied. Items excluded from the calculation were not significant for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

E. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss is included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets in the shareholders' equity section, and consists of the following components:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss: | | |
| Defined benefit pension plan, net of tax of \$1,396 and \$1,554 | \$ (2,287) | \$ (2,547) |
| Cash flow hedges, net of tax of \$0 and \$33 | | (53) |
| Foreign currency translation, net of hedging activities, net of tax of \$1,588 and \$2,093 | (29) | 1,723 |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (2,316) | \$ (877) |

The following is a rollforward of the balances in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax.

| Defined benefit | Unrealized gain (loss) on | Foreign currency translation | Accumulated other comprehensive |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|

| \$ in thousands | pension plan adjustment | cash flow hedges | adjustment | income (loss) |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Balance at August 31, 2011 | \$ (2,139) | \$ (253) | \$ 8,854 | \$ 6,462 |
| Current-period change | (408) | 200 | (7,131) | (7,339) |
| Balance at August 31, 2012 | (2,547) | (53) | 1,723 | (877) |
| Current-period change | 260 | 53 | (1,752) | (1,439) |
| Balance at August 31, 2013 | \$ (2,287) | \$ | \$ (29) | \$ (2,316) |

Table of Contents**F. INCOME TAXES**

For financial reporting purposes earnings before income taxes include the following components:

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| United States | \$ 99,781 | \$ 57,884 | \$ 53,879 |
| Foreign | 7,526 | 7,224 | 2,635 |
| | \$ 107,307 | \$ 65,108 | \$ 56,514 |

Significant components of the income tax provision are as follows:

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 33,498 | \$ 21,694 | \$ 18,705 |
| State | 2,303 | 1,026 | 1,309 |
| Foreign | 4,173 | 2,979 | 2,526 |
| Total current | 39,974 | 25,699 | 22,540 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (1,554) | (3,829) | (1,484) |
| State | (178) | 614 | (29) |
| Foreign | (1,505) | (653) | (1,315) |
| Total deferred | (3,237) | (3,868) | (2,828) |
| Total income tax provision | \$ 36,737 | \$ 21,831 | \$ 19,712 |

Total income tax provision resulted in effective tax rates differing from that of the statutory United States Federal income tax rates. The reasons for these differences are:

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| U.S. statutory rate | \$ 37,558 | 35.0 | \$ 22,788 | 35.0 | \$ 19,780 | 35.0 |
| State and local taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 1,365 | 1.3 | 1,337 | 2.0 | 889 | 1.6 |
| Foreign tax rate differences | (103) | (0.1) | (338) | (0.5) | (257) | (0.5) |
| Domestic production activities deduction | (2,638) | (2.5) | (1,900) | (2.9) | (1,301) | (2.3) |
| Research and development and fuel tax credits | (289) | (0.3) | (105) | (0.2) | (239) | (0.4) |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| Other | 844 | 0.8 | 49 | 0.1 | 840 | 1.5 |
| Effective rate | \$ 36,737 | 34.2 | \$ 21,831 | 33.5 | \$ 19,712 | 34.9 |

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Deferred rental revenue | \$ 835 | \$ 382 |
| Employee benefits liability | 1,191 | 1,282 |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 21 | 284 |
| Defined benefit pension plan | 1,396 | 1,554 |
| Share-based compensation | 2,299 | 2,284 |
| State tax credits | 98 | 48 |
| Inventory | 909 | 633 |
| Warranty | 2,449 | 1,686 |
| Vacation | 182 | 224 |
| Accrued expenses and allowances | 8,043 | 6,610 |
| Total deferred tax assets | \$ 17,423 | \$ 14,987 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Intangible assets | (11,160) | (6,195) |
| Property, plant and equipment | (8,493) | (8,210) |
| Inventory | (89) | (115) |
| Other | (229) | (296) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (19,971) | (14,816) |
| Net deferred tax (liabilities) assets | \$ (2,548) | \$ 171 |

In assessing the ability to realize deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences. Accordingly, a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets at August 31, 2013 and 2012 has not been established.

The Company does not intend to repatriate earnings of its foreign subsidiaries and accordingly, has not provided a U.S. deferred income tax liability on these undistributed earnings that are indefinitely reinvested. The Company would recognize a deferred income tax liability if the Company were to determine that such earnings are no longer indefinitely reinvested. At August 31, 2013, undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries amounted to approximately \$16.7 million. Determination of the estimated amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability on these undistributed earnings is not practicable.

The Company recognizes tax benefits only for tax positions that are more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely to be realized upon settlement. Unrecognized tax benefits are tax benefits claimed in the Company's tax returns that do not meet these recognition and measurement standards.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of changes in pre-tax unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits at September 1 | \$ 1,309 | \$ 1,565 |
| Increases for positions taken in current year | 68 | 2 |
| Increases for positions taken in prior years | 346 | 61 |
| Decreases for positions taken in prior years | | (44) |
| Settlements with taxing authorities | (6) | (42) |
| Reduction resulting from lapse of applicable statute of limitations | (352) | (173) |
| Other increases (decreases) | 10 | (60) |
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits at August 31 | \$ 1,375 | \$ 1,309 |

The net amount of unrecognized tax benefits at August 31, 2013 and 2012 that, if recognized, would impact the Company's effective tax rate was \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Recognition of these tax benefits would have a favorable impact on the Company's effective tax rate.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. Total accrued pre-tax liabilities for interest and penalties included in the unrecognized tax benefits liability were \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million for the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to examination by tax authorities in most jurisdictions for years prior to 2010.

While it is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next twelve months as a result of the expiration of statutes of limitations, the Company does not expect this change to have a significant impact on its results of operations or financial position.

G. INVENTORIES

| (\$ in thousands) | August 31, | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Raw materials and supplies | \$ 19,369 | \$ 9,818 |
| Work in process | 5,665 | 4,427 |
| Finished goods and purchased parts | 50,038 | 45,540 |
| Total inventory value before LIFO adjustment | 75,072 | 59,785 |
| Less adjustment to LIFO value | (6,465) | (6,912) |
| Inventories, net | \$ 68,607 | \$ 52,873 |

Table of Contents**H. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

| \$ in thousands | August, 31 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Operating property, plant and equipment: | | |
| Land | \$ 3,342 | \$ 2,541 |
| Buildings | 34,066 | 28,652 |
| Equipment | 85,689 | 75,097 |
| Other | 9,037 | 9,003 |
| Total operating property, plant and equipment | 132,134 | 115,293 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (76,508) | (70,596) |
| Total operating property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 55,626 | \$ 44,697 |
| Property held for lease: | | |
| Machines | 3,965 | 3,945 |
| Barriers | 17,323 | 17,457 |
| Total property held for lease | \$ 21,288 | \$ 21,402 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (11,850) | (9,919) |
| Total property held for lease, net | \$ 9,438 | \$ 11,483 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 65,064 | \$ 56,180 |

Depreciation expense was \$9.8 million, \$9.6 million and \$9.0 million for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

I. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS*Goodwill*

The carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment for the year ended August 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

| \$ in thousands | Irrigation | Infrastructure | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Balance as of August 31, 2011 | \$ 13,682 | \$ 17,261 | \$ 30,943 |
| Foreign currency translation | (206) | (776) | (982) |
| Balance as of August 31, 2012 | 13,476 | 16,485 | 29,961 |
| Acquisition of CLC | 7,257 | | 7,257 |
| Foreign currency translation | (66) | 262 | 196 |
| Balance as of August 31, 2013 | \$ 20,667 | \$ 16,747 | \$ 37,414 |

Table of Contents*Other Intangible Assets*

The components of the Company's identifiable intangible assets at August 31, 2013 and 2012 are included in the table below.

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Weighted Average Years | 2013 Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Weighted Average Years | 2012 Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| Amortizable intangible assets: | | | | | | |
| Patents | 12.8 | \$ 30,266 | \$ (12,364) | 12.7 | \$ 25,637 | \$ (10,458) |
| Customer relationships | 7.4 | 8,034 | (4,238) | 8.4 | 6,313 | (3,477) |
| Non-compete agreements | 5.6 | 1,331 | (611) | 5.5 | 846 | (478) |
| Other | 1.7 | 517 | (54) | 10.0 | 202 | (90) |
| Unamortizable intangible assets: | | | | | | |
| Tradenames | N/A | 13,126 | | N/A | 6,575 | |
| Total | | \$ 53,274 | \$ (17,267) | | \$ 39,573 | \$ (14,503) |

Amortization expense for amortizable intangible assets was \$2.8 million, \$2.9 million and \$2.8 million for 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Amortizable intangible assets are being amortized using the straight-line method over an average term of approximately 10.0 years.

Future estimated amortization of intangible assets for the next five years is as follows:

| Fiscal Years | \$ in thousands |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 3,939 |
| 2015 | 3,438 |
| 2016 | 3,020 |
| 2017 | 2,881 |
| 2018 | 2,694 |
| Thereafter | 20,035 |
| | \$ 36,007 |

The Company updated its impairment evaluation of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives at August 31, 2013. The estimated fair value of all of the Company's reporting units each exceeded the respective carrying values by more than 10 percent. Accordingly, no impairment losses were indicated as a result of the annual impairment testing for fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011. The Company does not include a roll forward of impairment losses because the Company has not had an impairment loss.

J. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Other current liabilities: | | |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ 18,471 | \$ 14,438 |
| Dealer related liabilities | 7,134 | 3,622 |
| Warranty | 6,695 | 4,848 |
| Other | 27,516 | 21,873 |
| Total other current liabilities | \$ 59,816 | \$ 44,781 |

Table of Contents**K. CREDIT ARRANGEMENTS***Euro Line of Credit*

The Company's wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe SAS, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow for working capital purposes up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately \$3.0 million U.S. dollars as of August 31, 2013 (the Euro Line of Credit). There were no borrowings outstanding on this credit agreement at August 31, 2013 or 2012. Under the terms of the Euro line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 110 basis points, (1.32 percent at August 31, 2013). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2014. The Company intends to renew the Euro Line of Credit upon expiration of its term.

BSI Term Note

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, effective June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of Barrier Systems, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points (0.68 percent at August 31, 2013). The Company effectively fixed the economic effect of the variable interest rate at 6.05 percent through an interest rate swap as described in Note L, *Financial Derivatives*. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven year period that began in September of 2006. The BSI Term Note was repaid in full on its scheduled maturity date of June 10, 2013.

Revolving Credit Agreement

The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., which was amended on February 13, 2013 in order to extend the termination date from January 23, 2014 to February 13, 2016 (the Revolving Credit Agreement). The borrowings from the Revolving Credit Agreement may primarily be used for working capital purposes and funding acquisitions. At August 31, 2013 and 2012, there was no outstanding balance on the Revolving Credit Agreement. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 90 basis points (1.08 percent at August 31, 2013), subject to adjustment as set forth in the Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is paid on a monthly to quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25 percent on the unused portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by February 13, 2016.

The Revolving Credit Agreement contains certain covenants relating to the Company's financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, a current ratio and a tangible net worth requirement at specified levels. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants, including a change in control of the Company, all amounts due thereunder may be declared to be immediately due and payable. The BSI Term Note contained substantially similar covenants. At August 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company was in compliance with all loan covenants.

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------------------|----|----------|
| BSI Term Note | \$ | \$ 4,285 |
| Less current portion | | (4,285) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ | \$ |

Interest expense was \$0.3 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**L. FINANCIAL DERIVATIVES**

Financial derivatives consist of the following:

| \$ in thousands | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Values of Derivative Instruments | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Asset (Liability) | |
| | | August 31, 2013 | August 31, 2012 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other current assets | \$ 151 | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other current liabilities | (258) | (436) |
| Interest rate swap | Other current liabilities | | (90) |
| Interest rate swap | Other noncurrent liabilities | | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ (107) | \$ (526) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other current assets | 78 | 12 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other current liabilities | (33) | (37) |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | \$ 45 | \$ (25) |

In addition, accumulated other comprehensive income included gains, net of related income tax effects of \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million at August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments.

Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

In order to reduce interest rate risk on the BSI Term Note, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that was designed to effectively convert or hedge the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to achieve a net fixed rate of 6.05 percent per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company received variable interest rate payments and made fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note (see Note K, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap designated as the hedging instrument that effectively offset the variability of cash flows associated with the variable-rate, long-term debt obligation are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional

currency for certain of its operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. Changes in fair value of the forward exchange contracts or option contracts designated as hedging instruments that effectively offset the hedged risks are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects.

Net Investment Hedging Relationships

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge a portion of its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. These foreign currency forward contracts qualify as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations. Changes in fair value of the net investment hedge contracts are reported in OCI as part of the currency translation adjustment, net of tax.

| \$ in thousands | Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives For the years ended August 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (357) | \$ 1,677 | \$ (800) |

- ⁽¹⁾ Net of tax (benefit) expense of (\$0.3 million), \$1.0 million and (\$0.5 million) for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company settled Euro foreign currency forward contracts resulting in after-tax net (losses) gains of (\$0.6 million), \$1.8 million and (\$0.7 million), respectively, which were included in OCI as part of a currency translation adjustment. There were no amounts recorded in the consolidated statement of operations related to ineffectiveness of Euro foreign currency forward contracts for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Accumulated currency translation adjustment in AOCI at August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 reflected realized and unrealized after-tax gains of \$2.0 million, \$2.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

At August 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contracts to sell 29.2 million Euro and 26.5 million Euro, respectively, at fixed prices to settle during the next fiscal quarter. At August 31, 2013, the Company also had an outstanding foreign currency forward contract to sell 43.0 million South African Rand at fixed prices to settle during the next fiscal quarter. The Company's foreign currency forward contracts qualify as hedges of a net investment in foreign operations.

M. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value based upon the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall, as of August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, 2013 | | | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 151,927 | \$ | \$ | \$ 151,927 |
| Derivative assets | \$ | \$ 229 | \$ | \$ 229 |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ | \$ (291) | \$ | \$ (291) |

| \$ in thousands | August 31, 2012 | | | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 143,444 | \$ | \$ | \$ 143,444 |
| Derivative assets | \$ | \$ 12 | \$ | \$ 12 |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ | \$ (563) | \$ | \$ (563) |

The carrying amount of long-term debt (including current portion), which represented fair value, was zero and \$4.3 million as of August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Fair value of long-term debt (including current portion) is estimated by discounting the future estimated cash flows of each instrument at current market interest rates for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities and credit quality. There were no required fair value adjustments for goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets for the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012. No impairment losses were indicated as a result of the annual impairment testing for fiscal years 2013, 2012, and 2011.

N. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of its business operations, the Company is involved, from time to time, in commercial litigation, employment disputes, administrative proceedings and other legal proceedings. The Company has established accruals for certain proceedings based on an assessment of probability of loss. The Company believes that any potential loss in excess of the amounts accrued would not have a material effect on the business or its consolidated financial statements. Such proceedings are exclusive of environmental remediation matters which are discussed separately below.

Environmental Remediation

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site). The site was added to the EPA's list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the soil and groundwater. To date, the remediation process has consisted primarily of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration.

Table of Contents

In fiscal 2012, the Company undertook an investigation to assess further potential site remediation and containment actions. In connection with the receipt of preliminary results of this investigation and other evaluations, the Company estimated that it would incur \$7.2 million in remediation and operating costs and accrued that undiscounted amount as an operating expense in fiscal 2012. The EPA has not approved the Company's remediation plan.

In fiscal 2013, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. The Company intends to complete additional investigation of the soil and groundwater on the site during the first half of fiscal 2014. The Company will then assess whether it will need to revise its remediation plan in order to come to an agreement with the EPA on how to proceed. During fiscal 2013, the Company did not accrue any additional incremental costs related to environmental remediation liabilities. The Company anticipates there could be revisions to the current remediation plan as a result of these activities and as additional information is obtained. Any revisions could be material to the operating results of any fiscal quarter or fiscal year. The Company does not expect such additional expenses would have a material adverse effect on its liquidity or financial condition.

The Company accrues the anticipated cost of investigation and remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs associated with remediation of the site, it is expected that additional testing and environmental monitoring and remediation could be required in the future as part of the Company's ongoing discussions with the EPA regarding the development and implementation of the remedial action plans. In addition, the current investigation has not yet been completed and does not include all potentially affected areas on the site. Due to the current stage of discussions with the EPA and the uncertainty of the remediation actions that may be required with respect to these affected areas, the Company believes that meaningful estimates of costs or range of costs cannot currently be made and accordingly have not been accrued.

The following table summarizes the undiscounted environmental remediation liability classifications included in the balance sheet as of August 31, 2013 and 2012:

| Environmental Remediation Liabilities | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| \$ in thousands | August 31, | August 31, |
| Balance Sheet Location | 2013 | 2012 |
| Other current liabilities | \$ 1,740 | \$ 2,414 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 5,200 | 5,200 |
| Total environmental remediation liabilities | \$ 6,940 | \$ 7,614 |

Leases

The Company leases land, buildings, machinery, equipment, and computer equipment under various noncancelable operating lease agreements. At August 31, 2013, future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases were as follows:

| Fiscal Years | \$ in thousands |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 2,590 |
| 2015 | 1,904 |
| 2016 | 1,260 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2017 | 1,011 |
| 2018 | 791 |
| Thereafter | 970 |
| | \$ 8,526 |

Lease expense was \$3.9 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.4 million for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**O. RETIREMENT PLANS**

The Company has defined contribution profit-sharing plans covering substantially all of its full-time U.S. employees. Participants may voluntarily contribute a percentage of compensation, but not in excess of the maximum allowed under the Internal Revenue Code. The plan provides for a matching contribution by the Company. The Company's total contributions charged to expense under this plan were \$1.0 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

A supplementary non-qualified, non-funded retirement plan for six former executives is also maintained. Plan benefits are based on the executive's average total compensation during the three highest compensation years of employment. This unfunded supplemental retirement plan is not subject to the minimum funding requirements of ERISA. The Company has purchased life insurance policies on certain former executives named in this supplemental retirement plan to provide funding for this liability.

As of August 31, 2013 and 2012, the funded status of the supplemental retirement plan was recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company utilizes an August 31 measurement date for plan obligations related to the supplemental retirement plan. As this is an unfunded retirement plan, the funded status is equal to the benefit obligation.

The funded status of the plan and the net amount recognized in the accompanying balance sheets as of August 31 is as follows:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Change in benefit obligation: | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 7,378 | \$ 6,787 |
| Interest cost | 266 | 325 |
| Actuarial loss | (206) | 823 |
| Benefits paid | (557) | (557) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 6,881 | \$ 7,378 |

Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position consist of:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Other current liabilities | \$ 557 | \$ 557 |
| Pension benefit liabilities | 6,324 | 6,821 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 6,881 | \$ 7,378 |

The before-tax amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of August 31 consists of:

| \$ in thousands | August 31, | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ (3,683) | \$ (4,101) |

For the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company assumed a discount rate of 4.75 percent and 3.75 percent, respectively, for the determination of the liability. The assumptions used to determine benefit obligations and costs are selected based on current and expected market conditions. The discount rate is based on a hypothetical portfolio of long-term corporate bonds with cash flows approximating the timing of expected benefit payments.

Table of Contents

For the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company assumed a discount rate of 3.75 percent, 5.00 percent and 5.00 percent, respectively, for the determination of the net periodic benefit cost. The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the supplemental retirement plan for the years ended August 31 are as follows:

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest cost | \$ 266 | \$ 325 | \$ 334 |
| Net amortization and deferral | 212 | 166 | 164 |
| Total | \$ 478 | \$ 491 | \$ 498 |

The estimated actuarial loss for the supplemental retirement plan that will be amortized, on a pre-tax basis, from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit cost during fiscal 2013 will be \$0.2 million.

The Company's future annual contributions to the supplemental retirement plan will be equal to expected net benefit payments since the plan is unfunded. The following net benefit payments are expected to be paid:

| Fiscal Years | \$ in thousands |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 557 |
| 2015 | 540 |
| 2016 | 533 |
| 2017 | 526 |
| 2018 | 519 |
| Thereafter | 4,206 |
| | \$ 6,881 |

P. WARRANTIES*Product Warranties*

The Company generally warrants its products against certain manufacturing and other defects. These product warranties are provided for specific periods and/or usage of the product. The accrued product warranty costs are for a combination of specifically identified items and other incurred, but not identified, items based primarily on historical experience of actual warranty claims. This reserve is classified within other current liabilities.

The following tables provide the changes in the Company's product warranties:

**For the years ended
August 31,**

| \$ in thousands | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Warranties: | | |
| Product warranty accrual balance, beginning of period | \$ 4,848 | \$ 3,651 |
| Liabilities accrued for warranties during the period | 6,938 | 4,922 |
| Warranty claims paid during the period | (5,091) | (3,725) |
| Product warranty accrual balance, end of period | \$ 6,695 | \$ 4,848 |

Warranty costs were \$6.9 million, \$4.9 million, and \$4.9 million for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**Q. INDUSTRY SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Company manages its business activities in two reportable segments:

Irrigation

This reporting segment includes the manufacture and marketing of center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems as well as various water pumping stations, controls, and filtration solutions. The irrigation reporting segment consists of thirteen operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria, including similar products, production processes, type or class of customer and methods for distribution.

Infrastructure

This reporting segment includes the manufacture and marketing of moveable barriers, specialty barriers and crash cushions; providing outsource manufacturing services and the manufacturing and selling of large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures. The infrastructure reporting segment consists of two operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria.

The accounting policies of the two reportable segments are described in the Accounting Policies section of Note A. The Company evaluates the performance of its reportable segments based on segment sales, gross profit, and operating income, with operating income for segment purposes excluding unallocated corporate general and administrative expenses, interest income, interest expense, other income and expenses, and income taxes. Operating income for segment purposes does include general and administrative expenses, selling expenses, engineering and research expenses and other overhead charges directly attributable to the segment. There are no inter-segment sales.

The Company has no single major customer representing 10 percent or more of its total revenues during fiscal 2013, 2012, or 2011.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following tables:

| \$ in thousands | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Operating revenues: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 625,996 | \$ 475,299 | \$ 369,930 |
| Infrastructure | 64,852 | 75,956 | 108,960 |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 690,848 | \$ 551,255 | \$ 478,890 |
| Operating income: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 125,395 | \$ 80,259 | \$ 59,703 |
| Infrastructure | (811) | (11) | 11,901 |
| Segment operating income | \$ 124,584 | \$ 80,248 | \$ 71,604 |
| Unallocated general and administrative expenses | (17,523) | (14,738) | (15,018) |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | 246 | (402) | (72) |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 107,307 | \$ 65,108 | \$ 56,514 |

Total Capital Expenditures:

| | | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Irrigation | \$ 10,687 | \$ 7,942 | \$ 5,490 |
| Infrastructure | 449 | 1,948 | 2,915 |
| | \$ 11,136 | \$ 9,890 | \$ 8,405 |

Total Depreciation and Amortization:

| | | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Irrigation | \$ 7,147 | \$ 6,959 | \$ 6,009 |
| Infrastructure | 5,453 | 5,509 | 5,725 |
| | \$ 12,600 | \$ 12,468 | \$ 11,734 |

Total Assets:

| | | | |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Irrigation | \$ 391,527 | \$ 303,741 | \$ 267,275 |
| Infrastructure | 120,769 | 111,790 | 113,869 |
| | \$ 512,296 | \$ 415,531 | \$ 381,144 |

Table of Contents

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's geographical areas is shown in the following tables.

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Revenues | % of Total Revenues | Revenues | % of Total Revenues | Revenues | % of Total Revenues |
| United States | \$ 428,929 | 62 | \$ 354,649 | 64 | \$ 307,694 | 64 |
| International | 261,919 | 38 | 196,606 | 36 | 171,196 | 36 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 690,848 | 100 | \$ 551,255 | 100 | \$ 478,890 | 100 |

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Long-Lived Tangible Assets | % of Total Long-Lived Tangible Assets | Long-Lived Tangible Assets | % of Total Long-Lived Tangible Assets | Long-Lived Tangible Assets | % of Total Long-Lived Tangible Assets |
| United States | \$ 53,894 | 83 | \$ 45,100 | 80 | \$ 45,091 | 77 |
| International | 11,170 | 17 | 11,080 | 20 | 13,374 | 23 |
| Total Long-Lived Assets | \$ 65,064 | 100 | \$ 56,180 | 100 | \$ 58,465 | 100 |

R. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION*Share Based Compensation Program*

Share based compensation is designed to reward employees for their long-term contributions to the Company and provide incentives for them to remain with the Company. The number and frequency of share grants are based on competitive practices, operating results of the Company, and individual performance. As of August 31, 2013, the Company's share-based compensation plan was the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The 2010 Plan was approved by the shareholders of the Company, and became effective on January 25, 2010, and replaced the Company's 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan. At August 31, 2013 the Company had share based awards outstanding under its 2001, 2006 and 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plans.

The 2010 Plan provides for awards of stock options, restricted shares, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance shares and performance stock units to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. The maximum number of shares as to which stock awards may be granted under the 2010 Plan is 435,000 shares, exclusive of any forfeitures from the 2001 and 2006 Long Term Incentive Plans. At August 31, 2013, 229,767 shares of common stock (including forfeitures from prior plans) remained available for issuance under the 2010 Plan. All stock awards will be counted against the 2010 Plan in a 1 to 1 ratio. If options, restricted stock units or performance stock units awarded under the 2006 Plan or the 2001 Plan terminate without being fully vested or exercised, those shares will be available again for grant under the 2010 Plan. The 2010 Plan also limits the total awards that may be made to any individual.

Table of Contents*Share Based Compensation Information*

Stock Options Stock option awards granted under the 2010 Plan have an exercise price equal to the closing price on the date of grant, expire no later than ten years from the date of grant and vest over a four-year period at 25 percent per year. The fair value of stock option awards is estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The table below shows the annual weighted-average assumptions used for valuation purposes.

| <i>Weighted-Average Assumptions</i> | Grant Year | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.2% | 1.7% |
| Dividend yield | 0.6% | 0.6% |
| Expected life (years) | 7 | 7 |
| Volatility | 56.3% | 55.9% |
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted | \$ 40.09 | \$ 31.04 |

There were no stock option awards granted in fiscal 2011. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant; the dividend yield is calculated as the ratio of dividends paid per share of common stock to the stock price on the date of grant; the expected life is based on historical and expected exercise behavior; and volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price over the expected life of the option.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding as of and for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | Number of stock options | Average Exercise Price | Average Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value ('000s) |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Stock options outstanding at August 31, 2011 | 136,575 | \$ 23.17 | 3.1 | \$ 5,331 |
| Granted | 36,294 | \$ 58.10 | | |
| Exercised | (23,588) | \$ 24.10 | | \$ 971 |
| Forfeitures | (2,983) | \$ 58.10 | | |
| Stock options outstanding at August 31, 2012 | 146,298 | \$ 30.97 | 3.9 | \$ 5,031 |
| Granted | 24,684 | \$ 75.68 | | |
| Exercised | (89,390) | \$ 22.77 | | \$ 4,960 |
| Forfeitures | (2,454) | \$ 67.03 | | |
| Stock options outstanding at August 31, 2013 | 79,138 | \$ 53.06 | 6.4 | \$ 1,817 |
| Exercisable at August 31, 2011 | 136,575 | \$ 23.17 | 3.1 | \$ 5,331 |
| Exercisable at August 31, 2012 | 112,987 | \$ 22.97 | 2.4 | \$ 4,789 |
| Exercisable at August 31, 2013 | 31,927 | \$ 32.70 | 3.0 | \$ 1,383 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

There were 8,330 and 6,750 outstanding stock options that vested during the fiscal years ended August 31, 2013 and 2011, respectively. There were no outstanding stock options that vested during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012. Additional information regarding stock option exercises is summarized in the table below.

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | August 31, | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Intrinsic value of stock options exercised | \$ 4,960 | \$ 971 | \$ 7,001 |
| Cash received from stock option exercises | \$ 2,036 | \$ 567 | \$ 3,579 |
| Tax benefit realized from stock option exercises | \$ 1,817 | \$ 368 | \$ 2,628 |
| Aggregate grant-date fair value of stock options vested | \$ 31.04 | \$ N/A | \$ 8.13 |

Table of Contents

Restricted stock units - The restricted stock units granted to employees and directors under the 2010 Plan have a grant date fair value equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date less present value of expected dividends. The restricted stock units granted to employees vest over a three-year period at approximately 33 percent per year. The restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors generally vest over a nine-month period.

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock units as of and for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | Number of restricted stock units | Weighted- Average Grant- Date Fair Value |
|---|---|---|
| Restricted stock units outstanding at August 31, 2011 | 71,826 | \$ 47.99 |
| Granted | 40,212 | 58.27 |
| Vested | (37,381) | 47.87 |
| Forfeited | (7,122) | 51.16 |
| Restricted stock units outstanding at August 31, 2012 | 67,535 | \$ 54.35 |
| Granted | 30,551 | 77.46 |
| Vested | (37,534) | 51.82 |
| Forfeited | (3,121) | 65.52 |
| Restricted stock units outstanding at August 31, 2013 | 57,431 | \$ 68.06 |

Restricted stock units are generally settled with the issuance of shares with the exception of certain restricted stock units awarded to internationally-based employees that are settled in cash. At August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, outstanding restricted stock units included 4,496, 4,873 and 5,658 units, respectively, that will be settled in cash. The vesting date fair value of restricted stock units that vested was \$1.9 and \$1.7 million for each of the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Performance stock units - The performance stock units granted to employees under the 2010 Plan have a grant date fair value equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date less present value of expected dividends. The performance stock units granted to employees cliff vest after a three-year period and a specified number of shares of common stock will be awarded under the terms of the performance stock units, if performance measures relating to revenue growth and a return on net assets are achieved.

The table below summarizes the status of the Company's performance stock units as of and for the year ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| Number of performance stock units | Weighted- Average Grant- |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| | | Date Fair Value |
|---|----------|----------------------------|
| Performance stock units outstanding at August 31, 2011 | 98,625 | \$ 42.21 |
| Granted | 19,386 | 57.09 |
| Forfeited | (38,987) | 43.30 |
| Performance stock units outstanding at August 31, 2012 | 79,024 | \$ 45.32 |
| Granted | 13,072 | 74.31 |
| Vested | (36,634) | 32.81 |
| Forfeited | (2,663) | 60.65 |
| Performance stock units outstanding at August 31, 2013 | 52,799 | \$ 60.41 |

Table of Contents

In connection with the performance stock units, the performance goals are based upon revenue growth and a return on net assets during the performance period. The awards actually earned will range from zero to two hundred percent of the targeted number of performance stock units and will be paid in shares of common stock. Shares earned will be distributed upon vesting on the first day of November following the end of the three-year performance period. The Company is accruing compensation expense based on the estimated number of shares expected to be issued utilizing the most current information available to the Company at the date of the financial statements. If defined performance goals are not met, no compensation cost will be recognized and any previously recognized compensation expense will be reversed. Performance stock units that vested in fiscal 2013 represented 56,944 actual shares of common stock issued. No shares vested in fiscal 2012 as performance measures were not met. The vesting date fair value of performance stock units that vested was \$1.9 million for the year ended August 31, 2013.

As of August 31, 2013, there was \$4.9 million pre-tax of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| \$ in thousands | For the years ended August 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Share-based compensation expense included in cost of operating revenues | \$ 214 | \$ 225 | \$ 157 |
| Research and development | 233 | 189 | 120 |
| Sales and marketing | 547 | 524 | 574 |
| General and administrative | 3,579 | 3,001 | 2,623 |
| Share-based compensation expense included in operating expenses | 4,359 | 3,714 | 3,317 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | 4,573 | 3,939 | 3,474 |
| Tax benefit | (1,733) | (1,493) | (1,317) |
| Share-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ 2,840 | \$ 2,446 | \$ 2,157 |

S. QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

| \$ in thousands, except per share amounts | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Year ended August 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 147,370 | \$ 175,539 | \$ 219,542 | \$ 148,397 |
| Cost of operating revenues | \$ 104,513 | \$ 125,175 | \$ 156,506 | \$ 109,820 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 22,383 | \$ 29,488 | \$ 39,750 | \$ 15,686 |
| Net earnings | \$ 14,728 | \$ 19,351 | \$ 26,063 | \$ 10,428 |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.50 | \$ 2.01 | \$ 0.81 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

| Year ended August 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Operating revenues | \$ 119,205 | \$ 132,134 | \$ 172,099 | \$ 127,817 |
| Cost of operating revenues | \$ 88,957 | \$ 95,640 | \$ 123,071 | \$ 95,069 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 4,441 | \$ 19,427 | \$ 28,587 | \$ 12,653 |
| Net earnings | \$ 2,921 | \$ 12,774 | \$ 18,823 | \$ 8,759 |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 0.23 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.47 | \$ 0.68 |

57

Table of Contents

ITEM 9 - *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

ITEM 9A *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in enabling the Company to record, process, summarize and report information required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings within the required time period.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2013, based on the criteria for effective internal control described in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its assessment, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of August 31, 2013.

The Audit Committee has engaged KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, to attest to and report on management's evaluation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The report of KPMG LLP is included herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Lindsay Corporation:

We have audited Lindsay Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Lindsay Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying management's report on internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether

effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Table of Contents

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Lindsay Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Lindsay Corporation and subsidiaries as of August 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended August 31, 2013, and our report dated October 18, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Omaha, Nebraska

October 18, 2013

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended August 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The Company will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement) not later than 120 days after the close of its fiscal year ended August 31, 2013. Information about the Board of Directors required by Items 401 and 407 of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference to the discussion responsive thereto under the captions Board of Directors and Committees and Corporate Governance in the Proxy Statement.

The executive officers and significant employees of the Company, their ages, positions and business experience are set forth below. All executive officers of the Company are appointed by the Board of Directors annually and have employment agreements. There are no family relationships between any director or executive officer. There are no arrangements or understandings between any executive officer and any other person pursuant to which they were selected as an officer.

| | Age | Position |
|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Richard W. Parod | 60 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Eric R. Arneson* | 39 | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary |
| David B. Downing | 58 | President Irrigation Segment |
| James C. Raabe | 53 | Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Mark A. Roth* | 38 | Vice President Corporate Development and Treasurer |
| Barry A. Ruffalo | 43 | President Infrastructure Segment |
| Reuben P. Srinivasan* | 50 | Vice President Human Resources |
| Lori L. Zarkowski* | 38 | Chief Accounting Officer |

* The employee is not an executive officer of the Registrant.

Mr. Richard W. Parod is President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Company, and has held such positions since April 2000. Prior to that time and since 1997, Mr. Parod was Vice President and General Manager of the Irrigation Division of The Toro Company. Mr. Parod was employed by James Hardie Irrigation from 1993 through 1997, becoming President in 1994. Mr. Parod has been a Director since April 2000, when he began his employment with the Company.

Mr. Eric R. Arneson is Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of the Company and has held such positions since April 2008, when he joined the Company. Prior to that time and since January 1999, Mr. Arneson practiced law with the law firm of Kutak Rock LLP, and was most recently a partner of the firm.

Mr. David B. Downing is President Irrigation Segment of the Company and has held such position since October 2013. Between March 2008 and October 2013, Mr. Downing served as President International operations of the Company. Between March 2009 and June 2011, Mr. Downing served as both Chief Financial Officer and President International Operations of the Company. Previously he was Senior Vice President-Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of the Company and held such positions from August 2004, when he joined the Company, to March 2008. Prior to August 2004, Mr. Downing served as the President of FPM L.L.C., a heat-treating company based in Elk Grove Village, Illinois, after joining that company in January 2001 as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Previously, Mr. Downing served as Vice President and Controller for Thermo-King, which manufactured

transport refrigeration equipment.

Mr. James C. Raabe is Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and has held such positions since June 2011. Prior to joining Lindsay and since April 1999, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Select Comfort Corporation. From September 1997 to April 1999, Mr. Raabe served as the Controller for Select Comfort Corporation. From May 1992 to September 1997, he served as Vice President Finance of ValueRx, Inc., a pharmacy benefit management provider. Mr. Raabe held various positions with KPMG LLP from August 1982 to May 1992.

Table of Contents

Mr. Mark A. Roth is Vice President – Corporate Development and Treasurer of the Company. Mr. Roth joined Lindsay in 2004, as Director of Corporate Development and was promoted to Vice President – Corporate Development in March 2007, adding Treasurer to his role in April 2008. From March 2001 through 2004 when he joined the Company, Mr. Roth was an Associate with McCarthy Group, Inc., a Midwest-based investment bank and private equity fund. From January 1998 through February 2001, Mr. Roth was a Senior Credit Analyst at US Bancorp.

Mr. Barry A. Ruffalo is President – Infrastructure Segment of the Company and has held such position since October 2013. Between March 2007 and October 2013, Mr. Ruffalo served as President – Irrigation Business of the Company. Prior to joining Lindsay and since February 2007, Mr. Ruffalo was most recently a Director of North American Operations for Joy Global Inc. Prior to that time and since 1996, Mr. Ruffalo held various positions of increasing responsibility with Case New Holland; the last five years were spent in Operations Management within the Tractor and the Hay and Forage divisions for both the Case IH and New Holland brands.

Mr. Reuben P. Srinivasan is Vice President – Human Resources and joined the Company in January 2013. Mr. Srinivasan was formerly Director (Global), Human Resources at Trimble Navigation Limited, a provider of advanced location-based solutions based in Sunnyvale, California, from 2006 to 2013. Prior to that time and since 1997, Mr. Srinivasan held positions of increasing responsibility with Volkswagen Group of America, the last six years of which were as Manager of Human Resources with the Audi brand.

Ms. Lori L. Zarkowski is Chief Accounting Officer of the Company, and has held such position since August 2011. Ms. Zarkowski joined Lindsay in June 2007 as Corporate Reporting Manager and was promoted to Corporate Controller in April 2008. Prior to joining the Company and since 1997, Ms. Zarkowski was most recently an Audit Senior Manager with Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance - Item 405 of Regulation S-K calls for disclosure of any known late filing or failure by an insider to file a report required by Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act. The information required by Item 405 is incorporated by reference to the discussion responsive thereto under the caption *Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance* in the Proxy Statement.

Code of Ethics – Item 406 of Regulation S-K calls for disclosure of whether the Company has adopted a code of ethics applicable to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The Company has adopted a code of ethics applicable to the Company’s principal executive officer and senior financial officers known as the Code of Ethical Conduct (Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers). The Code of Ethical Conduct (Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers) is available on the Company’s website. In the event that the Company amends or waives any of the provisions of the Code of Ethical Conduct applicable to the principal executive officer and senior financial officers, the Company intends to disclose the same on the Company’s website at www.lindsay.com. No waivers were provided for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**ITEM 11 - Executive Compensation**

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the discussion responsive thereto under the captions Executive Compensation , Compensation Discussion and Analysis , Pension Benefits , Nonqualified Deferred Compensation , Report of the Compensation Committee on Executive Compensation , Compensation of Directors and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12 - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this Item relating to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated by reference to the discussion responsive thereto under the caption Voting Securities and Beneficial Ownership Thereof by Principal Stockholders, Directors and Officers in the Proxy Statement.

Equity Compensation Plan Information - The following equity compensation plan information summarizes plans and securities approved by security holders as of August 31, 2013 (there were no equity compensation plans not approved by security holders as of August 31, 2013):

| Plan category | (a) | (b) | (c) |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ | 184,872 | \$ 53.06 | 229,767 |
| Total | 184,872 | \$ 53.06 | 229,767 |

(1) Plans approved by stockholders include the Company's 2001, 2006 and 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plans. While certain share based awards remain outstanding under the Company's 2001 and 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plans, no future equity compensation awards may be granted under such plans.

(2) Column (a) includes (i) 52,799 shares that could be issued under performance stock units (PSU) outstanding at August 31, 2013, and (ii) 52,935 shares that could be issued under restricted stock units (RSU) outstanding at August 31, 2013. The PSUs are earned and Common Stock issued if certain predetermined performance criteria are met. Actual shares issued may be equal to, less than or greater than (but not more than 200 percent of) the number of outstanding PSUs included in column (a), depending on actual performance. The RSUs vest and are

payable in Common Stock after the expiration of the time periods set forth in the related agreements. Column (b) does not take these PSU and RSU awards into account because they do not have an exercise price.

ITEM 13 - *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the discussion responsive thereto under the captions *Corporate Governance* and *Corporate Governance - Related Party Transactions* in the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14 - *Principal Accounting Fees and Services*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the discussion responsive thereto under the caption *Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm* in the Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15 *Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules*

a(1) Financial Statements

The following financial statements of Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries are included in Part II Item 8.

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 28 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011</u> | 29 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011</u> | 30 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at August 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 31 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011</u> | 32 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011</u> | 33 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 34-57 |
| <u>Valuation and Qualifying Accounts - Years ended August 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011</u> | 64 |

Financial statements and schedules other than those listed are omitted for the reason that they are not required, are not applicable or that equivalent information has been included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Table of Contents**a(2) Exhibit****Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****Years ended August 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

| (in thousands) | Balance at beginning of period | Additions Charges to costs and expenses | Charged to other accounts | Deductions | Balance at end of period |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------|---|
| Year ended August 31, 2013: | | | | | |
| Deducted in the balance sheet from the assets to which they apply: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts (a) | \$ 1,717 | \$ 1,543 | \$ | \$ 407 | \$ 2,853 |
| Allowance for inventory obsolescence (b) | \$ 1,648 | \$ 2,632 | \$ 71 | \$ 1,262 | \$ 3,089 |
| Year ended August 31, 2012: | | | | | |
| Deducted in the balance sheet from the assets to which they apply: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts (a) | \$ 2,340 | \$ 379 | \$ | \$ 1,002 | \$ 1,717 |
| Allowance for inventory obsolescence (b) | \$ 2,167 | \$ 1,114 | \$ (126) | \$ 1,507 | \$ 1,648 |
| Year ended August 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Deducted in the balance sheet from the assets to which they apply: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts (a) | \$ 2,244 | \$ 388 | \$ | \$ 292 | \$ 2,340 |
| Allowance for inventory obsolescence (b) | \$ 2,045 | \$ 426 | \$ (2) | \$ 302 | \$ 2,167 |

(a) Deductions consist of uncollectible items written off, less recoveries of items previously written off.

(b) Deductions consist of obsolete items sold or scrapped.

a(3) Exhibits. The list of the Exhibits in the Exhibit Index is incorporated into this item by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 18th day of October, 2013.

LINDSAY CORPORATION

By: /s/ JAMES C. RAABE
 Name: James C. Raabe
 Title: *Vice President and Chief Financial Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on this 18th day of October, 2013.

| | | |
|--|-----|---|
| /s/ RICHARD W. PAROD Richard W. Parod | | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer <i>(Principal Executive Officer)</i> |
| /s/ JAMES C. RAABE James C. Raabe | | Vice President and Chief Financial Officer <i>(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)</i> |
| /s/ MICHAEL N. CHRISTODOLOU Michael N. Christodolou | (1) | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| /s/ ROBERT E. BRUNNER Robert E. Brunner | (1) | Director |
| /s/ HOWARD G. BUFFETT Howard G. Buffett | (1) | Director |
| /s/ W. THOMAS JAGODINSKI W. Thomas Jagodinski | (1) | Director |
| /s/ J. DAVID MCINTOSH J. David McIntosh | (1) | Director |
| /s/ MICHAEL C. NAHL Michael C. Nahl | (1) | Director |
| /s/ MICHAEL D. WALTER Michael D. Walter | (1) | Director |
| /s/ WILLIAM F. WELSH II | (1) | Director |

William F. Welsh II

(1) By: /s/ RICHARD W. PAROD
Richard W. Parod, *Attorney-In-Fact*

Table of Contents**a(3) EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2006. |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2011. |
| 4.1 | Specimen Form of Common Stock Certificate incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2006. |
| 10.1 | Lindsay Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan and forms of award agreements, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2011. |
| 10.2 | Lindsay Manufacturing Co. 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan and forms of award agreements, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007. |
| 10.3 | Lindsay Manufacturing Co. 2001 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2001. |
| 10.4 | Amendment to Lindsay Manufacturing Co. 2001 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005. |
| 10.5 | Lindsay Corporation Management Incentive Umbrella Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended February 28, 2009. |
| 10.6** | Lindsay Corporation Management Incentive Plan (MIP), 2013 Plan Year, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2012. |
| 10.7 | Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its Officers and Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2008. |
| 10.8 | Employment Agreement between the Company and Richard W. Parod effective March 8, 2000, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2000. |
| 10.9 | First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated May 2, 2003, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 (a) of Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2003. |
| 10.10 | Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated December 22, 2004, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 27, 2004. |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.11 Third Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated March 20, 2007, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2007.
- 10.12 Fourth Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated December 22, 2008, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2009.
- 10.13 Fifth Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated January 26, 2009, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

- 10.14 Restated Sixth Amendment, effective February 25, 2010, by and between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended February 28, 2010.
- 10.15 Seventh Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated January 31, 2011, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2011.
- 10.16 Eighth Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 29, 2012, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 4, 2012.
- 10.17 Employment Agreement, dated May 5, 2011, between the Company and James Raabe, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2011.
- 10.18 Employment Agreement dated February 19, 2009, by and between the Company and David B. Downing, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 25, 2009.
- 10.19 Employment Agreement, dated February 19, 2009, by and between the Company and Barry A. Ruffalo, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 25, 2009.
- 10.20 Employment Agreement, dated August 13, 2010, by and between the Company and Steve Cotariu, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.
- 10.21 Revolving Credit Note, dated January 24, 2008, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2008.
- 10.22 Revolving Credit Agreement, dated January 24, 2008, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2008.
- 10.23 First Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated January 23, 2010, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2010.
- 10.24 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated January 23, 2011, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2011.
- 10.25 Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated February 13, 2013, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 19, 2013.
- 10.26 Lindsay Corporation Policy on Payment of Directors Fees and Expenses, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2012.
- 21* Subsidiaries of the Company
- 23* Consent of KPMG LLP
- 24*

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-K

The Power of Attorney authorizing Richard W. Parod to sign the Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2013 on behalf of non-management directors.

- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------|--|
| 32* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. |
| 101.INS*** | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit hereto pursuant to Item 15(b) of Form 10-K.

* Filed herein.

** Certain confidential portions of this Exhibit were omitted by means of redacting a portion of the text. This Exhibit has been filed separately with the Secretary of the Commission with the redacted text pursuant to the Company's application requesting confidential treatment under Rule 24b-2 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

*** Furnished herewith. Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these Sections.