

STEC, INC.  
Form 10-Q  
May 08, 2013  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

X **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

or

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 000-31623

**STEC, INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

**CALIFORNIA**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**3001 Daimler Street**  
**Santa Ana, CA**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**33-0399154**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**92705-5812**  
(Zip Code)

**(949) 476-1180**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer   
Non-Accelerated Filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company   
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001, as of May 1, 2013 was 46,832,203.

**Table of Contents**

sTec, Inc.

**INDEX TO FORM 10-Q FOR THE  
QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2013**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

ITEM 1.	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)</u>	1
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF MARCH 31, 2013 AND DECEMBER 31, 2012</u>	1
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 AND MARCH 31, 2012</u>	2
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 AND MARCH 31, 2012</u>	3
	<u>NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	4
ITEM 2.	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	14
ITEM 3.	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	23
ITEM 4.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	24

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

ITEM 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	24
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	24
ITEM 2.	<u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	40
ITEM 3.	<u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	40
ITEM 4.	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	40
ITEM 5.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	40
ITEM 6.	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	41
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	42

Except as otherwise noted in this report, sTec, the Company, we, us and our collectively refer to sTec, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

sTec, Inc.

**UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 132,932	\$ 158,232
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$5,688 at March 31, 2013 and \$6,248 at December 31, 2012	7,926	13,515
Inventory	42,090	41,760
Insurance claim receivable		20,563
Other current assets	7,957	10,212
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>190,905</b>	<b>244,282</b>
Leasehold interest in land	2,491	2,503
Property, plant and equipment, net	28,586	30,343
Goodwill	1,682	1,682
Long-term intangible assets, net	4,742	5,144
Other long-term assets	5,818	5,817
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 234,224</b>	<b>\$ 289,771</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 8,115	\$ 6,818
Accrued and other liabilities	18,100	51,586
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>26,215</b>	<b>58,404</b>
Other long-term liabilities	4,977	6,185
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000 shares authorized, 46,810 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and 46,805 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012	47	47
Additional paid-in capital	153,580	150,263
Retained earnings	49,405	74,872
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>203,032</b>	<b>225,182</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 234,224</b>	<b>\$ 289,771</b>

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****sTec, Inc.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS****(in thousands, except per share amounts)**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Net revenues	\$ 22,025	\$ 50,415
Cost of revenues	16,132	32,323
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>5,893</b>	<b>18,092</b>
Sales and marketing	6,554	6,656
General and administrative	12,102	9,214
Research and development	12,653	16,103
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>31,309</b>	<b>31,973</b>
Operating loss	(25,416)	(13,881)
Other income, net	41	231
<b>Loss from operations before income taxes</b>	<b>(25,375)</b>	<b>(13,650)</b>
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	92	(2,961)
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>(25,467)</b>	<b>(10,689)</b>
<b>Comprehensive loss</b>	<b>\$ (25,467)</b>	<b>\$ (10,689)</b>
Net loss per share:		
Basic	\$ (0.54)	\$ (0.23)
Diluted	\$ (0.54)	\$ (0.23)
Shares used in per share computation:		
Basic	46,808	46,140
Diluted	46,808	46,140

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****sTec, Inc.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Cash flow from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (25,467)	\$ (10,689)
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization	3,346	3,935
Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment	7	4
(Reversal of) provision for accounts receivable allowance	(515)	84
Deferred income taxes	(27)	(3,033)
Stock-based compensation expense	3,316	3,661
<b>Change in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accounts receivable	6,104	9,480
Inventory	(330)	20,708
Leasehold interest in land	12	11
Other assets	1,236	(150)
Accounts payable	1,557	(1,373)
Income taxes	61	2,526
Accrued and other liabilities	2,038	1,027
<b>Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities</b>	<b>(8,662)</b>	<b>26,191</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(1,454)	(1,820)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(1,454)</b>	<b>(1,820)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Payment to class action settlement fund	(15,188)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	5	522
Taxes paid related to net share settlement of equity awards	(1)	
<b>Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities</b>	<b>(15,184)</b>	<b>522</b>
<b>Net (decrease) increase in cash</b>	<b>(25,300)</b>	<b>24,893</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</b>	<b>158,232</b>	<b>180,853</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 132,932</b>	<b>\$ 205,746</b>

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****sTec, Inc.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 1 Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of sTec, Inc., a California corporation, and its subsidiaries (the Company), have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and each of its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the consolidated financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2013, the consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been included. These interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements and, therefore, should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in the Company's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The December 31, 2012 balances reported herein are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on March 14, 2013. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of total net revenue and expenses in the reporting periods. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions related to revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts, sales returns, inventory valuation, stock-based compensation expense, goodwill and purchased intangible asset valuations, deferred income tax asset valuation allowances, uncertain tax positions, tax contingencies, and litigation and other loss contingencies. These estimates and assumptions are based on current facts, historical experience and various other factors that the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the recording of revenue, costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results the Company experiences may differ materially and adversely from these estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and actual results, the Company's future results of operations will be affected.

**Note 2 Sales Concentration**

As shown in the table below, customer concentrations of revenues of greater than 10% were as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Customer A</b>	28%	14%
<b>Customer B</b>	10%	*
<b>Customer C</b>	*	27%
<b>Customer D</b>	*	13%
<b>Customer E</b>	*	11%

\* Less than 10%

Sales, which are derived from billings to customers, by geographic region are presented as a percentage of total revenues as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>United States</b>	61%	39%
<b>Singapore</b>	13%	41%
<b>Other*</b>	26%	20%



**Total**

100%

100%

\* Less than 10% by geographic region

4

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

No other single foreign country accounted for more than 10% of revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

**Note 3 Fair Value**

*Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis.* The following table presents the Company's assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Level 1:		
Money market funds	\$ 18,672	\$ 40,664

Level 1 assets consist of money market funds for which quoted prices are available in an active market and are included in cash and cash equivalents in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Note 4 Income Taxes**

The Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes and effective tax rates were as follows (in thousands, except tax rate):

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$ 92	\$ (2,961)
Effective tax rate	0.4%	-21.7%
Federal Statutory Rate	35.0%	35.0%

The difference between the Company's effective tax rate and the federal statutory rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013 resulted primarily from the effects of a full non-cash valuation allowance against all of the Company's U.S. deferred tax assets and foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the federal statutory rate. The difference between the Company's effective tax rate and the federal statutory rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 resulted primarily from foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the federal statutory rate and state tax benefits, partially offset by permanent differences between GAAP pre-tax income and taxable income. The Company's effective tax rate each quarter will differ from previous quarters due to various factors, such as tax legislation, the results of tax audits, the effectiveness of the Company's tax-planning strategies, discrete items, the effect from changes to the valuation allowance and the mix of domestic and foreign earnings. The change in the effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from the same period in 2012 was due primarily a full non-cash valuation allowance against all of the Company's US deferred tax assets that was established in the second quarter of 2012.

Each quarter, the Company exercises significant judgment when assessing its deferred tax asset to determine whether all or any portion of the asset is more likely than not unrealizable under ASC 740. The Company maintains a valuation allowance against any portion of the asset the Company concludes is more likely than not to be unrealizable. In assessing whether a valuation allowance is required or whether an existing valuation allowance should be maintained or reversed, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified.

In accordance with ASC 740, the Company considered key positive and negative evidence using a more likely than not realization standard in making the determination of whether the valuation allowance is required or whether an existing valuation allowance should be maintained or reversed. The evidence the Company considers includes the nature, frequency and severity of current or projected three-year historical cumulative losses, forecasts of the Company's future taxable income, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, the Company's utilization experience with operating losses, tax credit carryforwards and tax planning alternatives. As a result of the Company's analysis and based on the criteria outlined in ASC 740, the Company continues to believe that a full non-cash valuation allowance is required as of March 31, 2013 on the Company's net U.S. deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance does not have any impact on the Company's cash, nor does such an allowance preclude the Company from using its tax losses, tax credits or other deferred tax assets in future periods. To the extent that the Company is able to generate taxable income in the future to utilize its deferred tax assets, it will be able to reduce its effective tax rate by reducing all or a portion

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

of the full non-cash valuation allowance.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company's 2009 Form 1120F was selected by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) for examination. The examination was concluded in the first quarter of 2013 and no changes were proposed by the IRS. At this time, the Company is not under examination in any of its domestic or international jurisdictions. The Company's federal income tax return remains subject to examination for the tax years 2007, 2010 and 2011. The Company's state income tax returns generally remain subject to examination for the tax years 2008 through 2011. Although the statute of limitations in the Company's foreign jurisdictions vary in duration, the Company's foreign income tax returns generally remain subject to

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

examination for the tax years 2006 through 2011.

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes by foreign country in jurisdictions where the Company is assessed income tax consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Taiwan	\$ 154	\$ 178
India	129	96
United Kingdom	34	125
Japan	32	159
China	25	42
Italy	19	41
Germany	15	21
Austria	(23)	19
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 385</b>	<b>\$ 681</b>

The Company also had income (loss) from continuing operations in Malaysia and the Cayman Islands that was not subject to income tax as the Company has an income tax holiday in Malaysia effective through September 29, 2027 and there are no income taxes assessed on corporate income in the Cayman Islands.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company has not provided for U.S. taxes or foreign withholding taxes on approximately \$75.0 million of undistributed earnings from its foreign subsidiaries because such earnings are expected to be reinvested for the foreseeable future outside of the U.S. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability for temporary differences related to these undistributed earnings is not practicable; however if a majority of these earnings were distributed, the Company has sufficient U.S. net operating loss carryforwards, tax credit carryforwards, and additional tax deductions to offset the amount of resulting taxable income such that the Company would not be subject to recording significant incremental income tax expense.

As an incentive to establish operations in Malaysia, the Company was provided a tax holiday and certain grants by the Malaysian government subject to meeting certain conditions and is effective through September 29, 2027. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday on the Company's provision for income taxes and earnings per share is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Decrease in provision for income taxes	\$ 552	\$ 960
Benefit of income tax holiday on earnings per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02

**Note 5 Net Loss Per Share**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

**Three Months Ended March 31,**  
**2013**                      **2012**

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Numerator: Net loss	\$ (25,467)	\$ (10,689)
Denominator for net loss per share (basic)	46,808	46,140
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Stock awards		
Denominator for net loss per share (diluted)	46,808	46,140
Net loss per share:		
Basic	\$ (0.54)	\$ (0.23)
Diluted	\$ (0.54)	\$ (0.23)
Anti-dilutive shares excluded from net loss per share calculation	6,721	6,557

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 6 Supplemental Balance Sheet Information***Inventory:*

Inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Raw materials	\$ 29,809	\$ 28,899
Work-in-progress	42	208
Finished goods	12,239	12,653
Total	\$ 42,090	\$ 41,760

*Goodwill, Intangible Assets, and Other Long-Lived Assets:*

The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment analysis at December 31, 2012 and determined that no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was required. The Company's assessment resulted in a reporting unit fair value that was greater than its carrying value at December 31, 2012 by approximately 13%. Future decreases in the Company's stock price could indicate the impairment of goodwill, which could require the Company to record a material charge to operations.

Intangible assets with finite lives and other long-lived assets continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with ASC 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, (ASC 360). The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of its intangibles assets may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of ASC 360. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Long-term intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	As of March 31, 2013			As of December 31, 2012		
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Developed technology (five years)	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,180	\$ 165	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,166	\$ 179
Customer relationships (five years)	792	792		792	792	
Acquisition-related intangible assets	2,137	1,972	165	2,137	1,958	179
Technology licenses (three years)	8,557	3,980	4,577	8,557	3,592	4,965
Total	\$ 10,694	\$ 5,952	\$ 4,742	\$ 10,694	\$ 5,550	\$ 5,144

Amortization expense charged to cost of revenues was as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Amortization expense	\$ 402	\$ 281

Future estimated amortization expense of existing long-term intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>				
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
Amortization expense	\$ 1,533	\$ 1,857	\$ 1,096	\$ 256	\$

The Company's strategic investment was as follows (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2013</b>	<b>December 31, 2012</b>
Strategic investment	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000

The Company's strategic investment is in a privately held company where the Company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee. This strategic investment is carried at cost and included in other assets, net in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and is periodically analyzed to determine whether or not there are indicators of impairment.

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Accrued and Other Liabilities:*

Accrued and other liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Accrued payroll costs	\$ 8,574	\$ 8,714
Accrued legal expenses	2,857	1,058
Accrued legal settlements	250	36,000
Other	6,419	5,814
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 18,100</b>	<b>\$ 51,586</b>

**Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies***Class Action Litigation*

From November 6, 2009 through March 2, 2010, seven class action complaints were filed against the Company and several of its senior officers and directors in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The Court consolidated the complaints and appointed certain plaintiffs as the representative plaintiffs for the class (the *Lead Plaintiffs*). The Court replaced the former *Lead Plaintiffs* with a new *Lead Plaintiff*. The new *Lead Plaintiff* filed a consolidated amended complaint that the Court dismissed without prejudice. Thereafter, on February 22, 2011, the new *Lead Plaintiff* filed a second amended complaint, on behalf of all persons and entities who acquired the Company's common stock between June 16, 2009 and February 23, 2010. The second amended complaint alleges claims against the Company and several of its senior officers and directors for violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the *Exchange Act*) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and related claims against several of its senior officers and directors for violations of the control person provisions of Section 20A and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In addition, the second amended complaint alleges claims against the Company, several of its senior officers and directors, and four of its underwriters for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the *Securities Act*), and related claims against several of the Company's senior officers and directors for violations of the control person provisions of Section 15 of the Securities Act. The second amended complaint alleges generally that the Company and the individual defendants made materially false or misleading public statements and/or failed to disclose material facts in public statements relating to the Company and its business, in the case of the Exchange Act claims, during the period of June 16, 2009 through February 23, 2010, and, in the case of the Securities Act claims, in the Company's registration statement filed under the Securities Act. The second amended complaint seeks compensatory damages for all damages sustained as a result of the defendants' alleged actions and further seeks reasonable costs and expenses, rescission, counsel fees, and other relief the Court deems just and proper. The defendants filed motions to dismiss and on June 17, 2011, the Court entered an order granting the underwriters' motion to dismiss the Securities Act claims without prejudice and denying the Company's motion to dismiss the Exchange Act claims. The defendants answered the second amended complaint on July 15, 2011. On November 21, 2011, the new *Lead Plaintiff* filed a motion for class certification and appointment of class counsel. On January 12, 2012, the plaintiff in the class action lawsuit pending in the Superior Court of Orange County, California filed a motion for leave to intervene (as described in more detail below). The defendants opposed both of these motions. On March 7, 2012, the Court denied both motions without prejudice and stayed the action, other than discovery, to allow the new *Lead Plaintiff* to cure the issue that resulted in the order denying its motion for class certification. On March 23, 2012, the new *Lead Plaintiff* sought permission from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit to appeal from the order denying without prejudice its motion for class certification. The defendants opposed this request, which the Ninth Circuit denied on June 14, 2012. On June 19, 2012, the Court entered an order granting the new *Lead Plaintiff's* motion and certifying a class consisting of all persons and entities that, between June 16, 2009 and February 23, 2010, inclusive, purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly traded common stock of sTec, Inc., and were damaged thereby.

On July 30, 2012, the parties to the federal class action attended a mediation to explore a potential settlement. During the July 30 mediation, the parties considered a settlement that would create a fund for the benefit of the settlement class, with no admission or concession of wrongdoing by the Company or the other defendants, in exchange for a full and complete release of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the federal class action, including claims under both the Exchange Act and the Securities Act. On October 5, 2012, the Company entered into a



## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the Settlement Agreement ) to settle the federal class action. The Settlement Agreement provides for the resolution of all the pending claims in the federal class action litigation, without any admission or concession of wrongdoing by the Company or the other defendants. The Company and the other defendants have entered into the Settlement Agreement to eliminate the uncertainty, distraction, burden and expense of further litigation. The Settlement Agreement provides for a fund of \$35.8 million in exchange for a full and complete release of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the federal class action. During 2012 the Company had recorded an estimated settlement accrual of \$35.8 million and an insurance claim

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

receivable of \$20.6 million, resulting in a net charge of \$15.2 million recorded as a component of other expense. During the first quarter of 2013 the Company and its insurance carriers transferred \$15.2 million and \$20.6 million, respectively, into an escrow account in the custody of the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement remains subject to final court approval and certain other conditions, including an opportunity for class members to object to or opt out of the settlement. On February 11, 2013, the Court entered an order preliminarily approving the settlement. The final approval and fairness hearing is set for May 20, 2013. The Company has made an assessment of the probability of incurring any additional losses as remote and accordingly, no additional accrued liabilities have been recorded in sTec's consolidated financial statement for this federal class action. The Company expects the settlement of the federal class action will also result in a full release of the class claims asserted in the previously disclosed class action in the Superior Court of Orange County, California. The settlement does not resolve the related federal and state shareholder derivative litigation.

On July 1, 2011, a class action complaint was filed against the Company and several of its senior officers and directors in the Superior Court of Orange County, California. The complaint alleges claims against the Company, several of its senior officers and directors, and four of its underwriters for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act, and further alleges claims against several of the Company's senior officers and directors for violations of the control person provisions of Section 15 of the Securities Act. The complaint, which arises out of the same underlying factual allegations as the federal court class action discussed above, seeks compensatory damages and rescission or a rescissory measure of damages where applicable, reasonable costs and expenses, including counsel fees and expert fees, and other relief the Court may deem just and proper. On August 4, 2011, the defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The plaintiffs moved to remand and on October 7, 2011, the Court entered an order remanding the case back to the Superior Court of Orange County, California. On November 16, 2011, the defendants moved to stay the case pending the resolution of the class action lawsuit pending in federal court. On November 16, 2011, the defendants also filed a general demurrer to the complaint. On February 17, 2012, the Court granted the defendants' motion to stay and declined to rule on the defendants' general demurrer. The Company believes that the contemplated settlement of the federal class action, as described above, would result in a release of the class claims asserted in this Superior Court action. Accordingly, the Company has made an assessment of the probability of incurring any additional losses as remote and accordingly, no accrued liabilities have been recorded in sTec's consolidated financial statements for this Superior Court action.

***Shareholder Derivative Litigation***

From November 12, 2009 through December 3, 2009, four shareholder derivative actions were filed purportedly on the Company's behalf against several of its senior officers and directors in the Superior Court of Orange County, California. These cases were consolidated and stayed until further order by the Court. Despite the stay, the Company and the individual defendants each filed demurrers to the consolidated complaint on July 28, 2010, pursuant to court order. The plaintiffs moved to lift the stay and on September 9, 2011, the Court denied the plaintiffs' motion. Additionally, two shareholder derivative actions were filed purportedly on the Company's behalf against several of its senior officers and directors in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. These two federal lawsuits were consolidated on April 13, 2010, and stayed by order of the Court until a ruling was made on the defendants' motions to dismiss the second amended complaint in the federal securities class action lawsuit as discussed above. After the Court issued its ruling on the defendants' motions to dismiss the second amended complaint in the federal securities class action lawsuit, the defendants moved to stay the federal shareholder derivative case. On January 11, 2012, the Court granted the defendants' motion, staying the federal shareholder derivative case pending the resolution of the federal securities class action as discussed above. The consolidated complaints in both the state and federal shareholder derivative actions allege claims for breach of fiduciary duties for insider selling and misappropriation of information, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment and violations of the California Corporations Code (with respect to the state court action only) related to allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business and alleged illegal stock sales. The shareholder derivative actions generally seek compensatory damages for all alleged losses sustained as a result of the defendants' alleged actions, including interest, reasonable costs and expenses, corporate governance reforms, disgorgement of profits, treble damages (with respect to the state court action only), equitable relief (with respect to the federal court action only), and other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. While it is reasonably possible that the Company could, at some point in the future, incur a loss in connection with this matter, management at this time cannot provide a reasonable estimate of a range of any such potential loss due to the early stage of this lawsuit and the inherent uncertainties of litigation. Accordingly, no accrued liabilities have been recorded in sTec's consolidated financial statements for this matter.

***Shareholder Demand and Related Litigation***

From January 5, 2010 through August 2, 2010, the Company received letters from counsel for four purported shareholders



**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

demanding that the Company take action to remedy breaches of fiduciary duties by several of its senior officers and directors. The allegations in these letters are similar to those found in the shareholder derivative complaints filed in state and federal court, and demand that the Company take action to recover damages from its senior officers and directors and to correct alleged deficiencies in its internal controls. The demand letters state that if, within a reasonable time, the Company's board of directors has not commenced the requested action, or if the board of directors refuses to commence the requested action, the purported named shareholders will commence derivative actions. In evaluating the demand letters, the independent members of the Company's board of directors conducted a review of the issues and allegations raised by the purported shareholders. After considering a number of factors, including the legal and factual merits of the claims made in the demand letters, the independent members of the Company's board of directors unanimously determined that it would not be in the Company's best interests to pursue the claims alleged in the demand letters against any of the individuals mentioned therein. This determination was formally communicated to counsel for the four purported shareholders on December 17, 2010. Counsel for two of the purported shareholders responded by letter dated July 13, 2011, further demanding that the Company take action to remedy alleged breaches of fiduciary duties by several of its senior officers and directors. In evaluating the July 13, 2011 demand letter, the independent members of the Company's board of directors conducted a further review of the issues and allegations raised by the purported shareholders. After considering a number of factors, including the legal and factual merits of the claims made in the demand letter, the independent members of the Company's board of directors unanimously determined that it would not be necessary to retain separate independent counsel and again determined that it would not be in the Company's best interests to pursue the claims alleged in the demand letter against any of the individuals mentioned therein. This determination was formally communicated to counsel for the two purported shareholders on December 7, 2011. On February 8, 2012, the Company's board of directors received a further demand from counsel for one of the purported shareholders requesting the opportunity to inspect certain books and records to determine whether the Company's board of directors conducted a reasonable investigation and acted in good faith in refusing the shareholder's prior demands. The shareholder was offered the opportunity to inspect certain of the books and records he requested. Despite the Company's offer to allow for this shareholder's inspection, on March 9, 2012, the shareholder filed a petition for writ of mandate in the Superior Court for the County of Orange, California, seeking a court order that would allow him to inspect all of the books and records he requested. In addition, the shareholder seeks his costs, expenses, and reasonable attorney's fees. On October 25, 2012, one of the shareholders who submitted a demand letter to the Company's board of directors filed an individual and purported derivative lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, described further below. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself with respect to each of these matters. While it is reasonably possible that the Company could, at some point in the future, incur a loss in connection with this matter, management at this time cannot provide a reasonable estimate of a range of any such potential loss due to the early stage of this lawsuit and the inherent uncertainties of litigation. Accordingly, no accrued liabilities have been recorded in sTec's consolidated financial statements for this matter.

As mentioned above, on October 25, 2012, a purported shareholder filed an individual and purported derivative lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The defendants named in the suit include several of the Company's current and former senior officers and directors and three Moshayedi family trusts. The Company is named as a nominal defendant in the purported derivative claims asserted in the complaint. In addition to the factual allegations in the complaint that mirror the allegations in the federal class action and derivative actions described above, this lawsuit also alleges that certain of the Company's senior officers and directors did not appropriately investigate, review and consider the issues and allegations raised by the purported shareholder in its shareholder demand letter. On his own behalf individually, the plaintiff asserts claims against certain of the director and officer defendants (and not the Company) for alleged violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The plaintiff also purports to assert derivative claims, on the Company's behalf, against the director and officer defendants and the trust defendants for breach of fiduciary duty and waste, unjust enrichment, and alleged violation of the California Corporations Code. These derivative claims have been formally consolidated with the consolidated federal derivative litigation pending in the Central District of California, described above. The plaintiff seeks unspecified compensatory damages, including interest, reasonable costs and expenses, corporate governance reforms, disgorgement of profits, treble damages, equitable relief, and other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself with respect to this matter. While it is reasonably possible that the Company could, at some point in the future, incur a loss in connection with this matter, management at this time cannot provide a reasonable estimate of a range of any such potential loss due to the early stage of this lawsuit and the inherent uncertainties of litigation. Accordingly, no accrued liabilities have been recorded in sTec's consolidated financial statements for this matter.

***Patent Litigation***

On September 7, 2011, Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc., filed a complaint against the Company and several other defendants in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The lawsuit alleges that certain of the Company's products and/or services infringe certain patents

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

allegedly owned by Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc., including some or all of U.S. Patents: Nos. 6,341,085; 6,347,051; 6,370,059; 6,567,334; 6,701,471; 7,064,995; 7,234,087; 7,327,624; 7,366,016; 7,616,485;

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

7,721,165; and 7,746,697. According to the complaint and the patents, these patents relate to solid-state drives employing a controller chip and a plurality of NAND flash devices. The complaint also alleges that the Company induces and contributes to patent infringement by others. The complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages, attorney fees and expenses, and injunctive relief against the Company. On January 17, 2012, the Company filed an answer denying liability and asserting various defenses. On February 10, 2012, the Company filed a motion to sever itself from the other defendants in the lawsuit and to transfer the lawsuit between Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. and the Company to the Central District of California. On April 20, 2012, the Court held its first status conference, setting a claim construction hearing on January 9, 2013 and jury selection on July 1, 2013. On December 19, 2012, the parties resolved this matter pursuant to a confidential agreement that releases us from past claims and precludes the plaintiff from again claiming that the Company's products infringe its patents. The Company accounted for the settlement agreement as a multiple element arrangement and allocated the consideration paid to the identifiable elements based on their relative fair value. A portion of the settlement was allocated to payments related to alleged prior infringement, which was recorded as a charge to the Company's Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss). The remaining portion was allocated to the licensing of intellectual property for future use to be amortized to cost of sales using a method that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset is used. Although the Company continues to dispute that its products infringe any valid claims of patents, the settlement enables it to avoid further legal defense costs and the diversion of management resources, and the settlement should not be deemed an admission of any liability. On January 2, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas approved the parties' joint motion to dismiss the matter with prejudice.

As required under ASC 450, Contingencies, sTec accrues for contingencies when it believes that a loss is probable and that the Company can reasonably estimate the amount of any such loss. The Company has made an assessment of the probability of incurring any such losses and such amounts are reflected in accrued liabilities in sTec's consolidated financial statements. Except as otherwise indicated above, the Company believes that the outcomes in these matters are not probable and/or reasonably estimable at this time. The Company believes that it has valid defenses with respect to these legal matters. However, litigation is inherently unpredictable, and it is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies or because of the diversion of management's attention or uninsured legal defense costs.

***Other Legal Proceedings***

During 2009, the SEC commenced a formal investigation involving trading in the Company's securities. On July 19, 2011, the Company received a Wells Notice from the SEC, stating that the Staff of the SEC (the Staff) was considering recommending that the SEC initiate a civil injunctive action against the Company, Manouch Moshayedi, the Company's Founder, and Mark Moshayedi, the Company's CEO and President, charging them with violations of the antifraud and reporting provisions of the federal securities laws. On July 19, 2012, the SEC filed a civil action against Manouch Moshayedi. At the same time the SEC also notified the Company that it would not bring an enforcement action against the Company or any of its other executive officers. The SEC's civil complaint, filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, alleges that Manouch Moshayedi violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. The complaint seeks (1) an injunction against future violations of the federal securities laws; (2) disgorgement of any ill-gotten gains as well as pre-judgment interest; (3) civil monetary penalties; and (4) a bar from serving as an officer or director of a public company. Manouch Moshayedi has informed the Company that he believes that the SEC's complaint is without merit and that he intends to contest vigorously the enforcement action.

The Company is involved in other suits and claims in the ordinary course of business, and the Company may from time to time become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business.

As is common in the industry, the Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and certain of its officers pursuant to which the Company, subject to certain exceptions, has agreed to indemnify each such director and officer against certain payments and expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and settlements, as the result of a claim brought against such individual acting on behalf of the Company by reason of the fact that the person was an agent of the Company. Accordingly, the Company maintains director and officer insurance to limit its monetary exposure in these circumstances. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. However, the maximum potential amount of the future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification obligations is unlimited.

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

In addition, the Company currently has in effect a number of agreements in which it has agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless certain of its suppliers and customers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by the Company's products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. To date, there have been no known events or circumstances that have resulted in any material costs related to these indemnification agreements and no liabilities therefore

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

have been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. However, the maximum potential amount of the future payments the Company could be required to make under certain of these indemnification obligations is unlimited.

**Note 8 Shareholders Equity**

The Company's share-based award plan permits the granting of stock options, performance awards, dividend equivalents, deferred stock units, stock payments, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other incentive awards to employees, non-employee board members, and consultants. As of March 31, 2013 stock options and restricted stock units were the only awards outstanding.

As of March 31, 2013, there were 2,005,180 shares of common stock that remained available for grant under the Company's share-based award plan.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company received \$5,000 in cash proceeds for the exercise of 2,000 options.

Outstanding awards under the Company's share-based award plans were as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2013
Options outstanding	5,603
Restricted stock units outstanding	2,240

The following table sets forth total unrecognized expense related to share-based compensation arrangements and the period over which the Company expects to recognize such expense (in thousands, except years):

	March 31, 2013	
	Total Unrecognized Compensation Expense	Weighted Average Remaining Years to Vest
Non-vested stock options	\$ 13,509	2.2
Non-vested restricted stock units	\$ 15,753	2.9

The following table sets forth the total stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options and restricted stock units included in the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Cost of revenues	\$ 203	\$ 221
Sales and marketing	595	627
General and administrative	1,614	1,367
Research and development	904	1,446
<b>Total stock-based compensation expense</b>	<b>\$ 3,316</b>	<b>\$ 3,661</b>

**Note 9 Related Party Transactions**



## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

The Company occupies two leased facilities of approximately 24,500 and 48,600 square feet, respectively, in Santa Ana, California, which serve as its corporate headquarters. The leases expire in July 2017. In addition to the Company's executive offices, these facilities also contain engineering, administrative and sales and marketing personnel. The Company leases both facilities from MDC Land LLC ( "MDC" ), a limited liability company owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mark Moshayedi and Mike Moshayedi each of whom is a founder and major shareholder of the Company. In addition, Mark Moshayedi is an executive officer of the Company and Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are directors of the Company as of March 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth the monthly base rent for the two leased facilities (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2013	2012
24,500 square foot facility	\$ 21	\$ 21
48,600 square foot facility	\$ 36	\$ 36

Beginning August 1, 2009, and continuing for the remainder of the leases, base rents shall be adjusted every two years based

**Table of Contents****sTec, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

Rent expense for these two facilities was as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Rent expense	\$ 171	\$ 171

At March 31, 2013 and 2012, there was no outstanding facility rent owed to MDC.

**Note 10 Credit Facility**

On March 28, 2013, the Company's subsidiary, sTec Technology Sdn. Bhd. ( sTec Malaysia ), terminated its \$1.0 million aggregate principal short-term credit facility (the Short-term Facility ) with Deutsche Bank (Malaysia) Berhad. The purpose of the Short-term Facility was to facilitate general business transactions and fund working capital requirements for sTec Malaysia, on an as-needed basis.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*****Cautionary Statement***

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These forward-looking statements often can be, but are not always, identified by the use of words such as anticipate, assume, believe, continue, could, estimate, expect, goal, intend, may, might, ongoing, plan, potential, predict, project, seeks, show, or variations or the negative of such expressions. They include, but are not limited to: statements regarding our revenue growth initiatives; growing acceptance, adoption and qualification of solid-state drives ( SSDs ) within the enterprise-storage and enterprise-server markets; the evolving storage industry; changes in the average selling prices of our products; the impact of a loss of, or reduction in sales to, any of our key customers; sales to a limited number of customers continue to account for a majority of our revenues for the foreseeable future; our ability to deliver new and enhanced products on a timely basis; our ability to enter into new markets; statements concerning customer adoption and utilization of our technologies and solutions; the benefits, capabilities, performance, cost-savings and energy efficiencies of our products, solutions and other developing technologies; the adoption of our products into new applications and markets; our sales, operating results and anticipated cash flows; our ability to forecast customer demand; the seasonality of our business; the availability of certain components in our products that we obtain from a limited number of suppliers; competition from other companies in our industry; the impact of ongoing litigation against us or our directors and executive officers; our ability to attract and retain key employees; changes in political and economic conditions and local regulations, particularly outside of the United States; our ability to protect our intellectual property rights; and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

We base these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events, our assumptions regarding these events and our knowledge of facts at the time the statements are made. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the heading Risk Factors and in other filings we make from time to time with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Some of these risks and uncertainties may be outside our control and our actual results could differ materially from our projected results. Please see our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and the information contained in our subsequent SEC filings for a further discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties applicable to our business. We are not able to predict all of the factors that may affect future results. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Except as required by applicable laws or regulations, we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

***Overview***

sTec, Inc. (collectively with our subsidiaries, is referred to in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as sTec , we , our and us ) is a leading global provider of enterprise-class SSDs that are designed specifically for systems and applications that require high input and output ( IO ) capabilities with low latencies for fast access to critical user data.

We design and develop SSD solutions for our customers in a full range of industries and applications. We also design and develop SSD controllers, enhance them with proprietary firmware and integrate them with NAND flash media to manufacture high-performance SSDs, which provide a level of IO performance not currently possible with traditional hard disk drives ( HDDs ). We market our products to original equipment manufacturers ( OEMs ), OEM distributors, value added resellers, enterprises, and end user customers, leveraging our custom design capabilities to offer storage and server solutions to address their specific needs. Our SSDs are incorporated into products used in a variety of industries including cloud computing, defense, e-commerce, financial services, government, health care, transportation, virtualization and Web 2.0. We also manufacture small form factor Flash-based SSDs, cards and modules, as well as custom high-density dynamic random access memory ( DRAM ) modules for networking, communications and embedded industrial applications. In addition, we are continuing to invest in research and development and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers' most complex storage and server issues. This means that we are working to develop solutions that will ultimately help our customers to accelerate applications, retrieve data faster and run more efficiently. We are headquartered in Santa Ana, California and have operations in Penang, Malaysia. We also have sales and engineering offices located in the U.S., Europe and Asia, and a research design center in Pune, India.

## **Table of Contents**

Our business plan focuses on certain revenue growth initiatives, including:

Continuing to develop and qualify customized high-performance, high-endurance SSDs, including our ZeusIOPS® product;

Expanding our product portfolio to include software offerings that strategically utilize our solid-state storage technology;

Adding Peripheral Component Interconnect Express ( PCIe ) based SSD products to our portfolio that reside inside the server to enable the use of Flash storage closer to the system CPU and memory; and

Expanding new market opportunities that leverage our core SSD expertise by investing in our sales and marketing infrastructure to increase our channel presence and sales to enterprise and end user customers.

Over the past several years, we have expanded our custom design capabilities of Flash-based products for OEM applications. We have invested significantly in the design and development of customized SSD controllers, firmware and hardware. Also, as recently announced, the Company has targeted new market segments through its new direct sales and global channel programs, in which it added channel partners and expanded the technical and market expertise of its direct sales force to extend its reach in the enterprise and key vertical markets. Strategic acquisitions have also enabled us to improve our SSD controller design capabilities, expand our product offerings, add intellectual property to our technology portfolio and enhance our capabilities to use third-party controllers.

### ***Recent Litigation Developments***

Please see Part II, Item 1, *Legal Proceedings*, and Note 7 *Commitments and Contingencies* to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion about:

sTec's Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the *Settlement Agreement*) to settle and resolve all the pending claims in the federal class action litigation, without any admission or concession of wrongdoing by sTec or the other defendants and which remains subject to final court approval and certain other conditions, including notice to class members and an opportunity for class members to object to or opt out of the settlement.

SEC's Complaint filed against sTec's Founder on July 29, 2012. The legal costs of this litigation are indemnified by sTec and are expected to increase in the next three quarters leading up to trial in November 2013.

### ***Operating Results Volatility***

We have experienced volatility in our quarterly operating results and we expect this trend to continue for several reasons, including the following:

The majority of our sales are currently being generated from one product line (ZeusIOPS®) and a significant amount of our revenues is concentrated within a small number of customers. A loss or reduction of sales to any one of our major customers or a decrease in demand for our ZeusIOPS® products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The introduction of new and enhanced versions of our products may shorten the life cycle of our existing products, or replace sales of some of our current products, thereby offsetting the benefit of a successful product introduction, and may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products in anticipation of the new and enhanced versions. Conversely, customers may accelerate purchases

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

of existing products and defer purchases of new life cycle products due to the cost and lead times involved in the qualification of new products. For example, we believe that the primary reason for the decline in our sales in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 has been our transition to the next-generation of our ZeusIOPS® and MACH16 SSDs and gradual customer adoption of those products, in addition to increased competition, which has decreased our market share with certain customers.

Some customers may have the ability to change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. In the absence of a non-cancellable customer supply agreement, our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues comes from orders that are received just prior to or within the same quarter.

Substantially all of our sales are transacted through individual customer purchase orders as opposed to long-term contractual commitments. Each customer purchase order is unique and stands on its own and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional purchase orders under the same terms and dollar commitment levels with these customers in future quarters. As a result, it is difficult to forecast our customers' demand as purchases in one period may not be indicative of purchases in future periods.

The enterprise SSD market is still in its early stages, rapidly evolving and becoming increasingly competitive. Many of these competitors are large, conglomerate businesses with considerable resources. We are facing

## **Table of Contents**

competition from SSD suppliers with lower-cost drives. Despite the performance and latency advantages of our ZeusIOPS® drives, these lower cost alternatives have been gaining traction, which has resulted in a decrease in our market share with certain customers. Furthermore, competitive Serial Attached Small Computer Interface System ( SAS ) and/or Fibre Channel ( FC ) based SSDs have been qualified at certain of our customers, which has led to a reduction in orders of our ZeusIOPS® SSDs. A significant reduction in our market share as a result of SSD competition could have a material adverse effect on our business and result of operations.

In order to differentiate ourselves and our products in the market, we have continued to invest in personnel and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers' most complex storage and server issues. Until we further diversify our customer base and product offerings, we believe that these factors as discussed above will continue to impact quarterly operating results volatility and our business for the foreseeable future.

### ***Flash-based Products and Technologies***

Flash-based product revenues were \$20.9 million and \$48.9 million in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in Flash-based product revenues in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 was due primarily to a \$26.5 million decrease in Flash-based product sales to four customers. Sales of Flash-based products represented 95% and 97% of our total revenues in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A significant development in enterprise SSDs is the use of Multi Level Cell ( MLC ) Flash, which is more cost-effective than Single Level Cell ( SLC ) Flash. Incorporating MLC Flash into SSDs is increasingly important within the enterprise market given the growing need for cost-effective, high-performance enterprise solutions. Our MLC-based SSDs are enhanced by our proprietary technologies, including our CellCare technology, which increases endurance of MLC Flash to meet enterprise life requirement levels and our Secure Array of Flash Elements (S.A.F.E.) technology, which provides added data reliability. Our MLC-based SSDs also use our proprietary SSD ASIC controller technology to achieve fast write speeds and improved performance over the entire life of the drive.

A major area of our overall research and development investment has been applied to developing and advancing our SSD technologies. We believe the advantages of SSDs are currently being defined in several distinct markets including: a) enterprise-storage applications, b) enterprise-server applications, c) data center and cloud computing environments, and d) government and defense applications. We see opportunities to leverage our SSD expertise across each of these markets where we believe our technology can outperform existing HDD solutions.

From time to time, we may make strategic investments in order to promote our business and strategic objectives. In December 2012, we acquired a minority ownership interest in a privately held company specializing in software designed storage for approximately \$5.0 million. We believe this investment will further our efforts gain access to additional customers and leverage the benefits of our respective technologies to solve customer needs.

Although the enterprise Flash-based SSD market is still in its early stages, evolving and difficult to predict, we are encouraged by the variety of applications that our SSDs are able to support. The use of data-tiering software by storage OEMs is also helping to increase the use of SSDs by enhancing the overall performance level of enterprise-storage systems. In addition, the introduction of all flash arrays by both leading and emerging storage OEMs is increasing the use of flash in the enterprise. At the same time, the availability of caching software, when coupled with SSDs, is beginning to drive greater adoption in the server space. Also, we employ certain marketing programs and sales initiatives on a selective basis with our customers in an effort to advance our SSD products. As more customers and end-users experience the benefits of SSD technology, we believe the marketplace will continue to expand.

### ***Customers***

Historically, a limited number of customers has accounted for a significant percentage of our revenues. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 80% of our revenues during the first quarter of 2013, compared to 85% of our revenues during the first quarter of 2012. See Note 2, Sales Concentration, to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for a breakdown of customers accounting for more than 10% of our total revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships.

We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a majority of our revenues in the foreseeable future and believe that our financial results will depend in part upon the success of our customers. The composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our products changes and we expect this variability will continue in the future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by, any of our major customers would harm our business, financial condition and results of

operations. See Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors

**Table of Contents**

Historically, sales to a limited number of customers have comprised a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of, or significant reduction in purchases by, any key customer would harm our financial results.

**Revenues by Geographic Region**

Sales, which are derived from billings to customers, by geographic region are presented as a percentage of total revenues as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>United States</b>	61%	39%
<b>Singapore</b>	13%	41%
<b>Other*</b>	26%	20%
<b>Total</b>	100%	100.0%

\* Less than 10% by geographic region

Sales billed to customers in the United States as a percentage of total sales increased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due primarily to a decrease in product sales made to international customers, partially offset by a decrease in product sales to two customers in the United States. Sales billed to customers in Singapore as a percentage of total sales decreased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due primarily to a decrease in product sales to two customers. Increased competition in the market and a delay in introducing our next-generation of products have decreased our market share with certain international customers.

Substantially all of our foreign sales are shipped internationally through our facility in Malaysia. For additional information regarding our international sales, see Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

**Malaysia Income Tax Holiday**

As an incentive to establish operations in Malaysia, the Malaysian government provided us with a tax holiday and certain grants that are subject to meeting certain conditions and is effective through September 29, 2027. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday on our provision for income taxes and earnings per share is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Decrease in provision for income taxes	\$ 552	\$ 960
Benefit of income tax holiday on earnings per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02

**Seasonality**

We generally expect to experience some seasonality in our business resulting in lower sales in the first quarter and higher sales in the fourth quarter of each year due to corporate customers seeking to spend their full capital budgets before the end of each year. Due to the volatility of our business and concentration of our customers, seasonality may not always be a determining factor for our results of operations. For example, during the fourth quarter of 2012, we did not experience a seasonal increase in sales. We believe the decline in sales in the fourth quarter of 2012 was due primarily to increased competition and a delay in introducing our next-generation of products to market, rather than seasonality.



**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain consolidated statement of operations data reflected as a percentage of revenues.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Net revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	73.2	64.1
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>26.8</b>	<b>35.9</b>
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	29.8	13.2
General and administrative	54.9	18.3
Research and development	57.5	31.9
Total operating expenses	142.2	63.4
Operating loss	(115.4)	(27.5)
Other income, net	0.2	0.4
<b>Loss from operations before income taxes</b>	<b>(115.2)</b>	<b>(27.1)</b>

**Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012**

*Net Revenues.* Our revenues decreased 56% from \$50.4 million in the first quarter of 2012 to \$22.0 million in the first quarter of 2013 due primarily to a \$28.0 million, or 57%, decrease in Flash-based product sales, which primarily is a result of a delay in the introduction of our next-generation products and increased competition. Within Flash-based product sales, shipments of our ZeusIOPS® SSDs into the enterprise market decreased 66% from \$40.3 million in the first quarter of 2012 to \$13.9 million in the first quarter of 2013.

Our reported revenues are net of allowances for price protection, sales returns and sales and marketing incentives. With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships. Some customers may have the ability to change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. In the absence of a non-cancellable customer supply agreement, our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues comes from orders that are received just prior to or within the same quarter.

*Gross Profit.* Our gross profit was \$5.9 million in the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$18.1 million in the same period in 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues was 26.8% in the first quarter of 2013, compared to 35.9% in the first quarter of 2012. The decrease in gross profit in absolute dollars was due primarily to a decrease in sales of Flash-based products. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was due primarily to the decrease in revenues as discussed above, an increase in fixed production overhead and labor costs as a percentage of total revenues, and a decrease in the average selling price of certain SSD products, partially offset by a decrease in Flash component costs.

*Sales and Marketing.* Sales and marketing expenses are comprised primarily of payroll and payroll-related expenses for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees, marketing and advertising related expenses, and expenses for trade shows. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 1% from \$6.7 million in the first quarter of 2012 to \$6.6 million in the first quarter of 2013. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 13.2% in the first quarter of 2012 to 29.8% in the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$608,000 decrease in sales commissions due to lower revenue, partially offset by a \$430,000 increase in payroll and payroll-related costs due to an increase in direct enterprise sales force employee headcount and stock-based compensation. The increase in sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of sales and marketing costs such as certain payroll and payroll-related expenses, excluding sales commissions, and the decrease in revenues.

*General and Administrative.* General and administrative expenses are comprised primarily of payroll and payroll-related expenses for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses increased 32% from \$9.2

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

million in the first quarter of 2012 to \$12.1 million in the first quarter of 2013. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 18.3% in the first quarter of 2012 to 54.9% in the first quarter of 2013. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to a \$2.7 million increase in litigation costs related primarily to class action and SEC litigation matters. The increase in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues was also due to the fixed nature of certain general and administrative costs and the decrease in revenues.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Research and Development.* Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of payroll and payroll-related expenses for our engineering staff, product design costs, consulting fees and material costs related to new product development. Research and development expenses decreased 21% from \$16.1 million in the first quarter of 2012 to \$12.7 million in the first quarter of 2013. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 31.9% in the first quarter of 2012 to 57.5% in the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in research and development expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$2.1 million decrease in payroll and payroll-related costs primarily due to a decrease in research and development employee headcount from 395 as of March 31, 2012 to 333 as of March 31, 2013, and a \$1.4 million decrease in new product development expenses. The increase in research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of certain research and development costs such as payroll and payroll-related expenses and the decrease in revenues.

*Other Income, net.* Other income, net was \$41,000 and \$231,000 in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and was comprised of interest income and Malaysian government grant income, partially offset by losses on foreign currency remeasurements.

*Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes.* We recorded a provision for income taxes of \$92,000 in the first quarter of 2013 and a benefit for income taxes of \$3.0 million in the first quarter of 2012. Our effective tax rate was a 0.4% provision in the first quarter of 2013 and a 21.7% benefit in the first quarter of 2012. Our effective tax rate each quarter will differ from that of previous quarters due to various factors, such as tax legislation, the results of tax audits, the effectiveness of our tax-planning strategies, discrete items, the effect from changes to the valuation allowance and the mix of domestic and foreign earnings. The change in our effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2013 from the same period in 2012 was due primarily to the effects of a full non-cash valuation allowance against all of our net U.S. deferred tax assets that was first established in the second quarter of 2012.

We operate under an income tax holiday in Malaysia, which is effective through September 29, 2027 subject to meeting certain conditions. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday decreased our provision for income taxes by \$552,000 and \$960,000 in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The benefit of the income tax holiday on earnings per share was \$0.01 and \$0.02 in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We have not provided for U.S. taxes or foreign withholding taxes on approximately \$75.0 million of undistributed earnings from our foreign subsidiaries because such earnings are expected to be reinvested for the foreseeable outside of the U.S. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability for temporary differences related to these undistributed earnings is not practicable; however, if a majority of these earnings were distributed, we have sufficient U.S. net operating loss carryforwards, tax credit carryforwards, and additional tax deductions to offset the amount of resulting taxable income such that we would not be subject to recording significant incremental income tax expense.

Each quarter, we exercise significant judgment when assessing our deferred tax asset to determine whether all or any portion of the asset is more likely than not unrealizable under ASC 740. We are required to establish or maintain a valuation allowance against any portion of the asset we conclude is more likely than not to be unrealizable. In assessing whether a valuation allowance is required or whether an existing valuation allowance should be maintained or reversed, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified.

In accordance with ASC 740, we considered key positive and negative evidence using a more likely than not realization standard in making the determination of whether a valuation allowance is required or whether an existing valuation allowance should be maintained or reversed. The evidence we considered includes the nature, frequency and severity of current or projected three-year historical cumulative losses, forecasts of our future taxable income, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our utilization experience with operating losses, tax credit carryforwards and tax planning alternatives. As a result of our analysis and based on the criteria outlined in ASC 740, we continue to believe that a full non-cash valuation allowance is appropriate as of March 31, 2013 on our net U.S. deferred tax assets. The full valuation allowance on our U.S. deferred tax assets does not have any impact on our cash, nor does such an allowance preclude us from using our tax losses, tax credits or other deferred tax assets in future periods. To the extent that we are able to generate taxable income in the future to utilize our deferred tax assets, we will be able to reduce our effective tax rate by reducing all or a portion of the full non-cash valuation allowance.

*Net Loss.* Net loss was \$25.5 million and \$10.7 million in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in net loss was due primarily to a \$12.2 million decrease in gross profit and a \$3.1 million increase in income tax expense.

**Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 to Three Months Ended December 31, 2012**

*Net Revenues.* Our revenues decreased 37% from \$35.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 to \$22.0 million in the first quarter of 2013 due primarily to a \$12.9 million, or 38%, decrease in Flash-based product sales, which primarily is a result of



**Table of Contents**

a delay in the introduction of our next-generation products, increased competition, and a \$6.0 million decrease in product sales to a single customer. Within Flash-based product sales, shipments of our ZeusIOPS® SSDs into the enterprise market decreased 45% from \$25.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 to \$13.9 million in the first quarter of 2013.

*Gross Profit.* Our gross profit was \$5.9 million in the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$11.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues was 26.8% in the first quarter of 2013, compared to 32.2% in the fourth quarter of 2012. The decrease in gross profit in absolute dollars was due primarily to a decrease in sales of Flash-based products. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was due primarily to the decrease in revenues as discussed above, an increase in fixed production overhead and labor costs as a percentage of total revenues, and a decrease in the average selling price of certain SSD products, partially offset by a decrease in Flash component costs.

*Sales and Marketing.* Sales and marketing expenses are comprised primarily of payroll and payroll-related expenses for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees, marketing and advertising related expenses, and expenses for trade shows. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 10% from \$7.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 to \$6.6 million in the first quarter of 2013. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 20.9% in the fourth quarter of 2012 to 29.8% in the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$343,000 decrease in tradeshow expenses and a \$201,000 decrease in sales commissions due to lower revenues. The increase in sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of sales and marketing costs such as certain payroll and payroll-related expenses, excluding sales commissions, and the decrease in revenues.

*General and Administrative.* General and administrative expenses are comprised primarily of payroll and payroll-related expenses for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses increased 3% from \$11.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 to \$12.1 million in the first quarter of 2013. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 33.6% in the fourth quarter of 2012 to 54.9% in the first quarter of 2013. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars was primarily due to a \$170,000 increase in litigation costs related primarily to class action and SEC litigation matters. The increase in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues was due to the fixed nature of certain general and administrative costs and the decrease in revenues.

*Research and Development.* Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of payroll and payroll-related expenses for our engineering staff, product design costs, consulting fees and material costs related to new product development. Research and development expenses decreased 21% from \$16.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 to \$12.7 million in the first quarter of 2013. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 45.9% in the fourth quarter of 2012 to 57.5% in the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in research and development expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$1.8 million decrease in new product development expenses and a \$1.6 million decrease in payroll and payroll-related costs primarily due to a decrease in research and development employee headcount from 362 as of December 31, 2012 to 333 as of March 31, 2013. The increase in research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of certain research and development costs such as payroll and payroll-related expenses and the decrease in revenues.

*Other Income, net.* Other income, net was \$41,000 and \$821,000 in the first quarter of 2013 and the fourth quarter of 2012, respectively, and was comprised of interest income and Malaysian government grant income, partially offset by losses on foreign currency remeasurements. The decrease in other income was due primarily to a \$687,000 decrease in grant income.

*Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes.* We recorded a provision for income taxes of \$92,000 for the first quarter of 2013 and benefit for income taxes of \$14,000 for the fourth quarter of 2012. Our effective tax rate was a 0.4% provision in the first quarter of 2013 and a 0.1% benefit in the fourth quarter of 2012. Our effective tax rate each quarter will differ from previous quarters due to various factors, such as tax legislation, the results of tax audits, the effectiveness of our tax-planning strategies, discrete items, the effect of changes to the valuation allowance, and the mix of domestic and foreign earnings.

We operate under an income tax holiday in Malaysia, which is effective through September 29, 2027 subject to meeting certain conditions. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday decreased our provision for income taxes by \$552,000 and \$784,000 for the first quarter of 2013 and fourth quarter of 2012, respectively. The benefit of the income tax holiday on earnings per share was \$0.01 in the first quarter of 2013 and fourth quarter of 2012.

*Net Loss.* Net loss was \$25.5 million and \$23.2 million in the first quarter of 2013 and fourth quarter of 2012, respectively. The quarterly increase in net loss was due primarily to a \$5.4 million decrease in gross margin and a \$780,000 decrease in other income, partially offset by a \$4.0 million decrease in operating expenses.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Liquidity and Capital Resources***

#### ***Working Capital, Cash and Cash Equivalents***

As of March 31, 2013, we had working capital of \$164.7 million, including \$132.9 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to working capital of \$185.9 million, including \$158.2 million of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2012, and working capital of \$249.9 million, including \$205.7 million of cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2012. Current assets were 7.3 times current liabilities as of March 31, 2013, compared to 4.2 times current liabilities as of December 31, 2012 and 11.3 times current liabilities as of March 31, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013, of the \$132.9 million of aggregate cash and cash equivalents held by us, the amount of cash and cash equivalents held by our foreign subsidiaries was \$65.8 million. If these funds are needed for our operations in the U.S., we would be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, our intent is to reinvest these funds for the foreseeable future outside of the U.S. Further, our current liquidity position and business plan do not demonstrate a need to repatriate the foreign-held funds for our U.S. operations. However, if we are not able to achieve the planned improvements in the financial performance of our U.S. operations, which is contemplated in our business plan, we may need to re-assess our conclusion that these foreign funds will not need to be remitted to the U.S. in the foreseeable future.

#### ***Operating Activities***

Net cash used in operating activities was \$8.7 million in the first three months of 2013 and resulted primarily from a net loss of \$25.5 million, partially offset by a \$5.6 million decrease in accounts receivable, net of allowances, a \$2.0 million increase in accrued and other liabilities, a \$1.6 million increase in accounts payable, \$3.3 million of non-cash depreciation and amortization, and \$3.3 million of non-cash stock-based compensation expense. Accounts receivable decreased due primarily to a decrease in revenues in the first quarter of 2013, compared to the fourth quarter of 2012.

#### ***Investing Activities***

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.5 million in the first three months of 2013 resulting from purchases of property, plant and equipment related to engineering equipment at our Malaysia and U.S. facilities.

As of March 31, 2013, we have made capital expenditures of approximately \$47 million in connection with our Malaysia facility primarily related to building construction costs, acquisition of land and purchases of production equipment. We estimate that total additional investments in property, plant and equipment will be approximately \$38 million over the next five years ending March 31, 2018. We expect that the substantial majority of these estimated investments will relate to our Malaysia operations.

#### ***Financing Activities***

Net cash used in financing activities was \$15.2 million in the first three months of 2013 and resulted primarily from the transfer of \$15.2 million into an escrow account in the custody of the United States District Court for the Central District of California in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement as described in Note 7- Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

From time to time, our board of directors has authorized various programs to repurchase shares of our common stock depending on market conditions and other factors. Currently we do not have any repurchase programs in effect and did not have such programs in effect in the first three months of 2013.

On March 28, 2013, the Company's subsidiary, sTec Technology Sdn. Bhd. ( sTec Malaysia ), terminated its \$1.0 million aggregate principal short-term credit facility (the Short-term Facility ) with Deutsche Bank (Malaysia) Berhad. The purpose of the Short-term Facility was to facilitate general business transactions and fund working capital requirements for sTec Malaysia, on an as-needed basis.

We believe that our existing assets, cash and cash equivalents on hand will be sufficient to meet our capital needs for at least the next twelve months. However, it is possible that we may need or elect to raise additional cash to fund our activities beyond the next twelve months, to expand our operations, or to consummate acquisitions of other businesses, products or technologies. We could consider raising such funds by accessing the capital or credit markets to issue equity or debt securities, or by borrowing money. In addition, even though we may not need additional funds, we may still elect to sell additional equity securities or obtain additional credit facilities for other reasons. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional funds on commercially favorable terms, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing more equity or new convertible debt securities, the ownership percentages of existing shareholders would be reduced. In addition, the





**Table of Contents**

equity or debt securities that we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our common stock.

We determine our future capital and operating requirements and liquidity based, in large part, upon our projected financial performance, and we regularly review and update these projections due to changes in general economic conditions, our current and projected operating and financial results, the competitive landscape and other factors. Although we believe we have sufficient capital to fund our activities for at least the next twelve months, our future capital requirements may vary materially from those now planned. The amount of capital that we will need in the future will depend on many factors, including:

General economic and political conditions and specific conditions in the markets we address, including the continuing volatility in the technology sector and semiconductor industry and fluctuation in the global economy;

The inability of certain of our customers who depend on credit to have access to their traditional sources of credit to finance the purchase of products from us, which may lead them to reduce their level of purchases or to seek credit or other accommodations from us;

Whether our revenues increase or decrease substantially;

Our relationships with suppliers and customers;

The market acceptance of our products;

Expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;

Price discounts on our products to our customers;

Our pursuit of strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;

Our business, product, capital expenditure and research and development plans and product and technology roadmaps;

The levels of inventory and accounts receivable that we maintain;

Our entrance into new markets;

Capital improvements to new and existing facilities;

Technological advances; and

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our responses to competitive products.

### *Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

Other than lease commitments incurred in the normal course of business (see Contractual Obligation table below), we do not have any material off-balance sheet financing arrangements or liabilities, guarantee contracts, retained or contingent interest in transferred assets, or any obligations arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity. We do not have any majority-owned subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidated financial statements. Additionally, we do not have any interest in, or relationship with, any special purpose entities.

In the ordinary course of business, we may provide indemnities of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners and other parties with respect to certain matters, including, but not limited to, losses arising out of our breach of such commercial agreements, services to be provided by us, or from intellectual property infringement claims made by third parties. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain of our officers that will require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover certain liabilities arising from our obligation to indemnify our directors and officers in certain circumstances. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Such indemnification agreements may not be subject to maximum loss clauses.

**Table of Contents**

Set forth in the table below is our estimate of our significant contractual obligations as of March 31, 2013 (in thousands).

<b>Contractual Obligation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1-3 years</b>	<b>3-5 years</b>	<b>More than 5 years</b>
Non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments	\$ 4,980	\$ 4,980	\$	\$	\$
Operating lease obligations	4,930	1,277	2,499	1,154	
Non-cancelable capital equipment purchase commitments	210	210			
Other non-cancelable purchase commitments	685	685			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,805</b>	<b>\$ 7,152</b>	<b>\$ 2,499</b>	<b>\$ 1,154</b>	<b>\$</b>

***New Accounting Pronouncements***

We have implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that may impact our consolidated financial statements, and we do not believe that there are any other new accounting pronouncements that have been issued that might have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

***Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates***

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and include the accounts of sTec, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries. All accounts and transactions among sTec and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses for each period. Information with respect to our critical accounting policies which we believe could have the most significant effect on our reported results and require subjective or complex judgments is contained in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to that which was previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK*****Interest Rate Risk***

As of March 31, 2013, our cash and cash equivalents were \$132.9 million, invested primarily in bank deposit accounts, money market accounts and money market funds. Our cash and cash equivalents are not subject to significant interest rate risk. As of March 31, 2013, the carrying value of our cash and cash equivalents approximated fair value. Our main investment objectives are the preservation of investment capital and the maximization of after-tax returns on our investment portfolio. Consequently, we invest in securities that meet high credit quality standards and we limit the amount of our credit exposure to any one issuer.

At any time, fluctuations in interest rates could affect interest earnings on our cash and cash equivalents. We believe that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near-term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows would not be material. Currently, we do not hedge these interest rate exposures.

In a declining interest rate environment, as short-term investments mature, reinvestment occurs at less favorable market rates. Given the short-term nature of certain investments, the current interest rate environment may negatively impact our investment income.

Global economic conditions have had widespread negative effects on the financial markets. Due to credit concerns and lack of liquidity in the short-term funding markets, we have shifted a larger percentage of our portfolio to high credit quality money market funds, which may negatively impact our investment income, particularly in the form of declining yields.

***Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk***

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

More than 95% of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. Consequently, if the value of the U.S. dollar increases relative to a particular foreign currency, our products could become relatively more expensive. In addition, we purchase substantially all of our integrated circuits ( IC ) in U.S. dollars from local distributors of Japanese, Korean and Taiwanese suppliers. To date, we have not entered into any derivative instruments to manage risks related to interest rate or foreign currency exchange rates.

**Table of Contents****Equity Price Risk**

In December 2012, we invested in a privately held company that specializes in software-defined storage. This investment is carried at cost and included in other assets, net in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and, as of March 31, 2013, the total carrying amount of this investment was approximately \$5.0 million. Privately held companies in which we invested or will consider for investment are typically in the startup or development stages. These investments are inherently risky because the markets for the technologies or products these companies are developing are usually in the early stages and may never materialize. We could lose our entire investment in these companies. Our evaluation of investments in privately held companies is based on the fundamentals of the businesses invested in, including, among other factors, the nature of their technologies and potential for financial return.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and, in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation as of March 31, 2013, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon their evaluation and subject to the foregoing, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2013, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management determined that as of March 31, 2013, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter then ended that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The information set forth under Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

*Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before deciding to purchase, hold, or sell our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described below in addition to the cautionary statements and risks described elsewhere and the other information contained in this Report and in our other filings with the SEC, including subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations or financial condition. The descriptions below include any material changes to and supersede the description of the risk factors affecting our business previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.*

*If any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity could be seriously harmed, which could cause our actual results to vary materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results. In*

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

*addition, the trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties, and you could lose all or part of your investment.*

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Risks Related to Our Business and Industry**

#### ***The introduction and acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products may cause fluctuations in our operating results.***

Our ability to compete depends in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced versions of our products. The introduction of new and enhanced versions of our products may shorten the life cycle of our existing products, or replace sales of some of our existing products, thereby offsetting the benefits of even a successful product introduction, and may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products in anticipation of the new and enhanced versions. For example, we believe that in addition to increased competition in the enterprise market, the decline in our sales in the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012 was significantly impacted by delays in the transition to the next-generation of our ZeusIOPS® and MACH16 SSDs and gradual customer adoption of those products. Conversely, when we introduce new and enhanced versions of our products, customers may accelerate purchases of existing products and defer purchases of new life cycle products due to the cost and lead times involved in the qualification of new products. Any of these instances may cause fluctuations in our inventory levels. The complexity and difficulties in managing product transitions at the end-of-life stage of a product can also create excess inventory associated with the outgoing product that can also lead to product obsolescence and increased expenses. Any or all of the above responses to the introduction of new and enhanced versions of our products could cause volatility in our operating results or have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### ***We may be less competitive if we fail to develop new and enhanced products and introduce them in a timely manner and gain market acceptance.***

We compete in the enterprise-storage, enterprise-server, and government, defense and industrial applications markets. These markets are subject to rapid technological change, product obsolescence, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, changes in end-user requirements and evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete in these markets will depend in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis and to respond to changing customer requirements. Delays in the development and introduction of our new or enhanced products could provide a competitor a first-to-market opportunity and allow a competitor to take market share. Once a customer designs a competitor's product into its product offering, it becomes significantly more difficult for us to sell our products to that customer for that product offering because changing suppliers involves significant cost, time, effort and risk for the customer.

Our product development is inherently risky because it is difficult to foresee developments in technology, anticipate the adoption of new industry standards and identify and eliminate design flaws. Defects or errors found in our products after commencement of production could result in significant delays and damage our reputation and competitive position. New products, even if first introduced by us, may not gain market acceptance or result in future profitability. Lack of market acceptance for our new or enhanced products will jeopardize our ability to recoup research and development expenditures, hurt our reputation and harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may also seek to develop products with new standards for our industry; however, it will take time for these new standards and products to be adopted, for customers to accept and transition to these new products and for significant sales to be generated from them, if this happens at all. There can be no assurances that any new products or standards we develop will be commercially successful.

In addition, after we have developed a new product, if selected, our OEM customers will usually test and evaluate our products for three or more months before potentially qualifying them for production and an additional three or more months to begin volume production of the equipment that incorporates our products. Even if an OEM customer selects our product to incorporate into its equipment, we have no assurance that the customer will ultimately bring its product to market or that such effort by our customer will be successful.

#### ***We may not be successful with respect to our expansion into new markets.***

Our growth strategy includes diversifying our customer base, including targeting enterprise end user customers, and improving the availability of our products worldwide. The entry into new markets presents challenges, including new distribution and service levels compared to those of our traditional OEM channels. In addition, we have less experience selling to these alternative customers and markets. Other challenges of targeting new markets include the need to devote additional resources to develop new sales and marketing strategies, including hiring a new sales team; the expenditure of significant resources and the efforts and attention of our management; the ability to anticipate demand and monitor, control and manage inventory; the need to develop relationships with new customers; and the need to provide additional or different levels of service and support than what we have historically provided. The failure to successfully manage the risks associated with the expansion into new markets, particularly because of our inexperience in these channels, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.





**Table of Contents**

*The length of our sales cycle depends on many factors outside of our control and could cause us to incur significant expenses without offsetting revenues, or revenues that vary significantly from quarter to quarter.*

The sales cycle for our products is lengthy and unpredictable. Our customers often undertake a significant evaluation process in the qualification of new products, which contributes to a lengthy sales cycle. Our sales efforts involve communicating with our customers about the technical capabilities, use and benefits of our products and the potential cost savings achievable by customers choosing our products. In addition, a customer's decision to purchase our products typically requires a lengthy approval process undertaken by several decision makers at the customer. Typically, our sales cycle for OEM customers is six to nine months, but may be longer in some cases. Our sales cycle for direct enterprise customers may be shorter depending upon the user environment. With both customer profiles we may spend substantial time, effort and money in our sales efforts without being successful in producing any sales. Moreover, providing any additional functionality at the request of a customer may prolong the sales cycle. The lengthy and variable sales cycle may also have a negative impact on the timing of our revenues, causing our revenues and results of operations to vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

*Historically, sales to a limited number of customers have comprised a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of, or significant reduction in purchases by, any key customer would harm our financial results.*

The major industries in which we have participated are dominated by a limited number of OEM companies. As a result, historically, sales to a relatively limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenues. In the first quarter of 2013 and first quarter of 2012, sales to our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 80.1% and 84.6%, respectively, of our total revenues.

The percentage of our revenues from our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our customers' products changes, and we expect this variability to continue in the future. In addition, the industries in which many of our customers compete have experienced, and may continue to experience, consolidation, which may result in increased customer concentration and/or the loss of customers. Most of our customers are not required to purchase any specific volumes, and may discontinue their relationships with us at any time. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by, any of our major customers or significant pricing and margin pressures exerted by any of our significant customers could materially harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

*We may not be able to maintain or improve our competitive position because of the intense competition in the memory and storage industry.*

We conduct business in an industry characterized by intense competition. We primarily compete with Fusion-io, Hitachi GST, Intel, LSI, Micron, OCZ, Samsung, SanDisk, Seagate, SMART Modular, Toshiba and Western Digital in connection with the sale of our products. Our competitors include many large U.S. and international companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources, broader product lines, lower cost structures, greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers and suppliers. The recently closed mergers between Hitachi GST and Western Digital and between Samsung's HDD business and Seagate could exacerbate the degree of competition that we face. Our larger, more heavily resourced competitors may be in a better position than we are to influence or respond to new or emerging technologies or standards and changes in customer requirements. Our competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of products and may be able to deliver more competitive products, or products more quickly or at a lower price.

In addition, some of our competitors earn a significant portion of their revenue from business units outside the storage industry. Because they do not depend solely on sales of storage products to achieve profitability, they may sell storage devices at lower prices and operate their storage business unit at a loss over an extended period of time while choosing to offset these losses with profits from other business units.

Moreover, some of our customers have integrated and may continue to integrate lower cost, lower performance Serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA) drives with a SAS or FC connectivity bridge instead of our native SAS and Fibre Channel ZeusIOPS products, thereby offering a lower cost alternative to our products and negatively affecting the sales of our products to those customers. Furthermore, lower-priced SAS and/or FC based SSDs sold by some of our competitors have already been qualified at certain of our customers, which has led to a reduction in orders of our ZeusIOPS® SSDs. Our operating results may continue to be adversely affected if we cannot successfully compete with the pricing by these companies.

Some of our significant suppliers, including Samsung and Toshiba, are also our competitors, and have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration. In addition, these suppliers may reduce the supply of memory chips available to the industry or us. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally. Competition may



---

## **Table of Contents**

arise from new and emerging companies or from the development of cooperative relationships among our current and potential competitors or third parties to develop products that address the needs of our customers. We expect our competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their prices and introduce new products that may offer greater performance, or otherwise render our technology or products obsolete or uncompetitive, any of which could cause a decline in our operating results or loss of market acceptance of our products.

*It is difficult to forecast customer demand, in part because the market for enterprise Flash-based SSD products is still rapidly evolving, and becoming increasingly competitive.*

The enterprise Flash-based SSD market is still rapidly evolving and becoming increasingly competitive. As a result, it is difficult to predict, with any precision, customer demand for our products or the future growth rate and size of this market. In addition, our customers' demand for our products depends on demand for their product, inventory levels and other factors, and can vary considerably from quarter to quarter, therefore it is difficult for us to forecast our customers' demand beyond the current quarter as purchases in one period may not be indicative of purchases in future periods.

*Our dependence on a small number of component suppliers, including integrated circuit device suppliers, and our inability to obtain a sufficient supply of these components on a timely basis could harm our ability to fulfill orders.*

Typically, integrated circuit ( IC ) devices represent more than 80% of the component costs of our products. We are dependent on a small number of suppliers that supply key components and important component-related information used in the development and manufacture of our products. Since we have no long-term supply contracts, there is no assurance that our suppliers will agree to supply the quantities of components we may need to meet our production requirements or the component information required to optimize these components in our finished products. In recent years, Hynix, Samsung, and Toshiba supplied substantially all of the Flash IC devices used in our Flash-based products while Micron and Samsung supplied substantially all of the DRAM IC devices used in our DRAM products.

As part of the qualification process for our products, our customers typically qualify the specific controller and Flash and DRAM ICs that are components in our products. If any of our suppliers experience quality control problems, our products that utilize that supplier's ICs may be disqualified by one or more of our customers. A supplier disqualification would disrupt our supply of ICs, reduce the number of suppliers available to us and adversely affect our ability to fulfill our customers' product orders. In some instances, we may be required to qualify a new supplier's ICs, which could negatively impact our revenues during the new qualification process. There can be no assurance that we would be able to find and successfully qualify new suppliers in a timely manner or obtain ICs from new suppliers on commercially reasonable terms, which could damage our relationships with existing or potential customers and could materially harm our operating results.

Moreover, from time to time, our suppliers experience shortages in IC devices and foundry services which have resulted in placing their customers, including us, on component allocation. This means that while we may have customer orders, we may not be able to obtain the materials that we need to fill those orders in a timely manner or at competitive prices. As a result, our reputation could be harmed, we may lose business from our customers, our revenues may decline, and we may lose market share to our competitors.

In addition to Flash and DRAM ICs, a number of other components that we use in our products are available from only a single or limited number of suppliers. Furthermore, our suppliers rely upon certain rare earth materials that are necessary for the manufacturing of components for some of our products, and our business could be harmed if these suppliers experience shortages or delays of these rare earth materials. In addition, in the development of application-specific ICs ( ASICs ), we also depend on certain foundry subcontractors to manufacture these ASICs as well as on certain third-party subcontractors to assemble, obtain packaging materials for and test these ASICs.

Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of supply expose us to a number of risks, including:

The inability to obtain an adequate supply of components;

The inability to obtain component information that is used in the optimization of a supplier's components in our finished products;

Price increases, late deliveries and poor component quality;

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

An unwillingness of a supplier to supply components to us;

A key supplier's or sub-supplier's inability to access credit or other financial resources necessary to operate its business;

A supplier's or sub-supplier's inability to source certain necessary rare earth materials to build its products;

## Table of Contents

Failure of a key supplier to remain in business or adjust to market conditions;

Consolidation among suppliers, resulting in some suppliers exiting the industry or discontinuing the manufacture of components;

An inability to directly control component delivery schedules; or

Failure of a supplier to meet our quality, yield or production requirements.

Since we have no long-term supply contracts with these third-party foundry subcontractors, there is no guarantee that they will devote sufficient resources to manufacture our components. If we are unable to maintain or increase the capacity of our current subcontractors or qualify and engage additional subcontractors, we may not be able to meet demand for our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***If our products fail to meet our or our customers' specifications for quality and reliability, our results of operations may be adversely impacted and our competitive position may suffer.***

Although we place great emphasis on product quality, we may from time to time experience problems with the performance of our products, which could result in one or more of the following:

Increased costs related to fulfillment of our warranty obligations;

The reduction, delay or cancellation of orders or the return of a significant amount of products;

Focused failure analysis causing distraction of the sales, operations and management teams; or

The loss of reputation in the market and customer goodwill.

These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***Demand for storage capacity may not continue to grow at current industry estimates, which may lower the prices our customers are willing to pay for new products.***

Our customers' demand for storage capacity may not continue to grow at current industry estimates as a result of developments in the regulation and enforcement of digital rights management, the emergence of processes such as cloud computing, data de-duplication and storage virtualization, or otherwise. These factors could lead to a situation in which our customers are not willing to pay higher prices for our products, thereby decreasing our revenue. Even with increasing aggregate demand for storage capacity, our average selling prices could decline, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***Our business could be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry.***

The semiconductor industry, including the memory markets in which we compete, is highly cyclical and characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving standards and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. The industry has experienced significant downturns often connected with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles of both semiconductor companies and their customers' products and declines in general economic conditions.

These industry downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average sales prices, which have negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and earnings. Any future industry downturns could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

*Further industry consolidation could harm our business by increasing the resources of our competitors, reducing the number of suppliers available to us for our product components and increasing competition for customers.*

The storage industry has experienced consolidation over the past several years. Consolidation by our competitors may enhance their capacities, abilities and resources and lower their cost structure, causing us to be at a competitive disadvantage. Additionally, continued industry consolidation may lead to a reduction in the number of suppliers and uncertainty in areas such as component availability, which could negatively impact our cost structure. Further consolidation among our customers may harm our business by increasing competition for customers and reducing the number of customer-purchasing decisions.

---

**Table of Contents**

***Global economic conditions and the global financial crisis may have an impact on our business and financial condition in ways that we currently cannot predict.***

As a result of the prolonged downturn in global economic activity and the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe, spending on information technology has deteriorated significantly in the U.S. and many other countries and may remain depressed for the foreseeable future. Uncertainty in the financial and credit markets has caused many of our customers to postpone or cancel purchases. These worldwide economic conditions make it extremely difficult for our customers, our vendors and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities, and they could cause our customers to further reduce or slow spending on our products, which would delay and lengthen sales cycles.

Furthermore, during challenging economic times, our customers may face issues in attempting to gain timely access to sufficient credit, which could result in an impairment of their ability to make timely payments to us. If that were to occur, we may experience increased collection times or write-offs, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flow. Similarly, our vendors may also face issues in attempting to gain timely access to sufficient credit, which could result in an impairment of their ability to supply us with components that are needed in the manufacture of our products. If that were to occur, we may experience delays in our production and increased costs associated with our qualification of additional new vendors and replacement of their components, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flow.

Finally, our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted, which could have an impact on our flexibility to pursue additional expansion opportunities and maintain our desired level of revenue growth in the future. These and other economic factors could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services and on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***We have been named as a party to class action lawsuits and purported shareholder derivative actions, and we may be named in additional litigation, all of which could require significant management time and attention and result in significant legal expenses. An unfavorable outcome in one or more of these lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

As described in detail in Note 7 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, a class action is pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, alleging, among other things, that sTec and certain of our senior officers and directors violated the federal securities laws by issuing materially false and misleading statements. On October 5, 2012, we entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the Settlement Agreement) to settle the federal class action. The Settlement Agreement provides for the resolution of all the pending claims in the federal class action litigation, without any admission or concession of wrongdoing by sTec or the other defendants. sTec and the other defendants have entered into the Settlement Agreement to eliminate the uncertainty, distraction, burden and expense of further litigation. The Settlement Agreement provides for a fund of \$35.8 million in exchange for a full and complete release of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the federal class action. The Settlement Agreement remains subject to court approval and certain other conditions, including notice to class members and an opportunity for class members to object to or opt out of the settlement. At this time, there can be no assurance that the conditions to effect the settlement will be met, that the Settlement Agreement will receive the required court and other approvals or that the settlement will become final. We expect the settlement of the federal class action will also result in a full release of the class claims asserted in the previously disclosed class action in the Superior Court of Orange County, California.

The amount of the settlement exceeds the liability coverage available currently under our insurance policies and thus has negatively impacted our results of operations. See Part I, Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for details. Our contribution to the settlement was made at the end of the first quarter of 2013, which negatively impacted our cash flows in the first quarter of 2013.

In addition, purported shareholder derivative actions are pending in the Superior Court for the County of Orange, California and the United States District Court for the Central District of California against certain of our senior officers and directors based on allegations substantially similar to those set forth in the class action. In the lawsuit filed on October 25, 2012, in addition to derivative claims, the plaintiff has also asserted individual claims against certain of our senior officers and directors. Finally, a shareholder has filed a petition for a writ of mandate in the Superior Court for the County of Orange, California, seeking a court order that would require sTec to allow the shareholder to inspect certain books and records and to pay his costs, expenses, and attorney’s fees.

Regardless of the merits, the cost of defending the litigation described above and any future litigation to which we may be subject if our insurance carriers fail to cover, or provide adequate coverage given policy limits, and the diversion of management’s attention from the day-to-day operations of our business, could adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows. An unfavorable outcome in any such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.





**Table of Contents**

***Our Founder's litigation with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission could require him to spend significant time and attention on his defense of the case and could result in significant legal expenses. An unfavorable outcome could bar him from returning to service as our CEO or as a Director and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

During 2009, the SEC commenced a formal investigation involving trading in our securities. On July 19, 2011, we received a Wells Notice from the SEC stating that the Staff of the SEC was considering recommending that the SEC initiate a civil injunctive action against sTec, our founder, Manouch Moshayedi, and our CEO and President, Mark Moshayedi, for violations of the antifraud and reporting provisions of the federal securities laws. On July 19, 2012, the SEC filed a civil action against Manouch Moshayedi. At the same time, the SEC also notified us that it would not bring an enforcement action against sTec or any of our other executive officers. The SEC's civil complaint, filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, alleges that Manouch Moshayedi violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. The complaint seeks (1) an injunction against future violations of the federal securities laws; (2) disgorgement of any ill-gotten gains as well as pre-judgment interest; (3) civil monetary penalties; and (4) a bar from serving as an officer or director of a public company. Manouch Moshayedi has informed us that he believes that the SEC's complaint is without merit and that he intends to contest vigorously the enforcement action. Regardless of the merits, the cost of defending such claims and the diversion of management's attention from the day-to-day operations of our business, could adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows.

***We are involved from time to time in claims and litigation over intellectual property rights, which may adversely affect our ability to manufacture and sell our products.***

Our industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights. It may be necessary, from time to time, to initiate litigation against third parties to preserve our intellectual property rights. In addition, a third party could claim that our products infringe or contribute to the infringement of a patent or other proprietary right. From time to time, we have received, and may continue to receive in the future, notices that claim we have infringed upon, misappropriated or misused other parties' proprietary rights. Any of the foregoing events or claims could result in litigation. For example, as described in detail in Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, on September 7, 2011, Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against us and several other defendants alleging that certain of our products and/or services infringe certain patents allegedly owned by Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. On December 19, 2012, the parties resolved this matter pursuant to a confidential agreement that releases us from past claims and precludes the plaintiff from again claiming that our products infringe its patents. Although we continue to dispute that our products infringe any valid claims of patents, the settlement enables us to avoid further legal defense costs and the diversion of management resources, and the settlement should not be deemed an admission of any liability. On January 2, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas approved the parties' joint motion to dismiss the matter with prejudice.

From time to time, we receive letters from third parties suggesting that some or all of our products may infringe third party patents. In each instance, our management determines whether the allegations in the letters have sufficient justification and specificity to require a response. When we believe it is appropriate to do so, our management seeks the advice of counsel on these matters. In the event of an adverse outcome from such claims, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of certain products, expend significant resources to develop, license or acquire non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of certain processes or obtain licenses to use the infringed technology.

Our failure to obtain a required license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, could cause us to incur substantial costs and suspend manufacturing products using the infringed technology. If we obtain a license, we would likely be required to pay license fees or make royalty payments for sales under the license. Such payments would increase our cost of revenues and reduce our gross margins and gross profit. If we are unable to obtain a license from a third party for technology, we could incur substantial liabilities or be required to expend substantial resources redesigning our products to eliminate the infringement. We may not be successful in redesigning our products. Product development related to redesigning our products or license negotiating would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel.

Some of our suppliers and licensors have generally agreed to provide us with various levels of intellectual property indemnification for products and technology that we purchase or license from them. However, our suppliers' and licensors' obligation to indemnify us for intellectual property infringement may be insufficient or inapplicable to any such litigation or other claims of intellectual property infringement.

---

**Table of Contents**

***Our indemnification obligations for products that infringe the intellectual property rights of others could require us to pay substantial damages.***

We currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and expenses that may arise from the infringement by our products and services of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. Although the scope of such indemnity varies, the term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual and the maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is generally unlimited. We may periodically have to respond to claims and litigate these types of indemnification obligations. Given that our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement, any such indemnification claims could require us to pay substantial damages that may result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***Our insurance coverage is limited, and any incurred liability resulting from inadequately covered claims could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

Our insurance policies may not be adequate to fully offset losses from covered claims, and we do not have coverage for certain losses. We believe our existing insurance coverage is consistent with common practice and economic and availability considerations. Nevertheless, as described in Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the settlement amount under the terms of the Settlement Agreement with the federal class action Lead Plaintiff is in excess of our director and officer insurance coverage limits for the applicable insurance claim period, and has negatively impacted our results of operations. See Item 2, Part I Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for details. Our contribution to the settlement was made at the end of the first quarter of 2013, which negatively impacted our cash flows in the first quarter of 2013. If our insurance coverage for future insurance claim periods is inadequate to protect us against catastrophic losses or unpredictable liabilities, any uncovered losses or claims could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***If we do not successfully manage any reductions in workforce, our business could be disrupted and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

We have recently implemented changes to reduce operating expenditures, including certain reductions in workforce. Our inability to successfully manage these changes, and detect and address any issues that may arise, including retention of our remaining employees, could disrupt our business and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Moreover, these steps may not reduce our cost structure to a level sufficient to enable us to be profitable.

***Disruptions to our operations could substantially harm our business.***

Substantially all of our manufacturing operations are located in Penang, Malaysia. In addition, a substantial amount of our supply chain is dependent on international suppliers and a significant amount of our products are shipped to international customers. Further, we undertake various research and development, sales and marketing activities through regional offices in a number of foreign countries. These international operations are subject to many inherent risks, including but not limited to, government intervention, political instability, acts of terrorism, power failures, health pandemics and natural disasters, including earthquakes, tsunamis, fires or floods, and disruptions in global transportation arising from labor difficulties, natural disasters and political instability. Our U.S. operations are also subject to many of these inherent risks. For example, our headquarters and various U.S.-based research and development activities are located in Southern California, an area with above average seismic activity due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines. In addition, our Santa Ana, California headquarters and our facility in Penang, Malaysia are located within close proximity to airports and flight paths, and we may be more susceptible to potential disruptions from aviation related issues.

A disruption to our facility in Penang, Malaysia could substantially limit our manufacturing capabilities. In addition, natural disasters in Southeast Asia may threaten the ability of our suppliers to provide us with required components or customers to obtain a sufficient supply of components required for their end products, causing them to postpone or cancel orders for our products. Any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***The operation of our business is dependent on effective and secure information systems.***

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store electronically sensitive data, including our intellectual property, our proprietary business information and that of our customers, suppliers and business partners and employee data. The secure maintenance, use and transmission of this information are critical to our operations. Despite our security measures, our information technology systems and

infrastructure may be vulnerable to cyber-security attacks by hackers or

---

## **Table of Contents**

breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access, or to sabotage systems, change frequently, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. Any such breach could compromise our information technology systems and networks, and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, disrupt our operations (including supply chain) and the services we provide to customers and damage our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***We may make acquisitions and strategic investments that are dilutive to existing shareholders, resulting in unanticipated accounting charges or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.***

We may decide to grow our business through business combinations or other acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that allow us to complement our existing product offerings, expand our market coverage, increase our engineering workforce or enhance our technological capabilities. If we make any future acquisitions:

We could issue stock that would dilute our current shareholders' percentage ownership;

We may incur substantial debt, reduce our cash reserves and/or assume contingent liabilities; and

Such acquisitions may result in material charges, adverse tax consequences, substantial depreciation, deferred compensation charges, in-process research and development charges, and the amortization of amounts related to deferred compensation and identifiable purchased intangible assets or impairment of goodwill.

Any of these could negatively impact our results of operations. In addition, we have made and expect that we may continue to make strategic investments in early-stage companies. These investments may require us to consolidate or record our share of the earnings or losses of those companies. Furthermore, we may have little or no influence over the early-stage companies in which we have made or may make strategic investments. Each of these investments in pre-public companies involves a high degree of risk, and we may not achieve liquidity in these investments for a number of years after the date of the investment, if at all. We may not be successful in achieving the financial, technological or commercial advantage upon which any given investment is premised, and failure by the early-stage company to achieve its own business objectives or to raise capital needed on acceptable economic terms could result in a loss of all or part of our invested capital. Any losses or impairments arising from our investment in these early-stage companies may adversely affect our financial results until we exit from or reduce our exposure to these investments.

***If the return on our investments in our core technologies, certain revenue growth initiatives and complementary new technologies is lower or develops more slowly than we expect, or if we are required to invest substantially more resources than anticipated, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.***

In order to remain competitive, we have been realigning and are dedicating significant resources to focus on our core technologies, certain revenue growth initiatives and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers' most complex storage and server issues. There is no assurance that our investment in these areas will lead to successful results. We could also be required to devote substantially more resources than anticipated due to the entry of new competitors, technological advances by competitors or other competitive factors. If the return on these investments is lower or develops more slowly than we expect, or if we are required to devote substantially more resources than anticipated without a corresponding increase in revenue, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

***Our limited experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies may make it difficult for us to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions we may undertake.***

Our experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies is limited. The attention of our management team may be diverted from our core business if we undertake any future acquisitions. Any potential future acquisition could involve numerous risks, including, among others:

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Problems or delays in successfully closing the acquisition;

Problems or delays assimilating and integrating the purchased operations, personnel, technologies, products and information systems;

Unanticipated costs and expenditures associated with the acquisition, including any need to infuse significant capital into the acquired operations;

Adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers, customers and strategic partners;

---

**Table of Contents**

Risks associated with entering markets and foreign countries in which we have limited or no prior experience;

Contractual, intellectual property or employment issues;

Potential loss of key employees of purchased organizations; and

Potential litigation arising from the acquired company's operations before the acquisition.

These risks could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and employees, harm our reputation and increase our expenses. Our inability to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisition could further divert the attention of management, utilize scarce corporate resources and otherwise harm our business. These challenges may be magnified as the size of an acquisition increases. In addition, we may not realize the intended benefits of any acquisition.

We may not be able to find suitable acquisition opportunities and even if we do find acquisition opportunities we believe are suitable, we may not be able to consummate the acquisitions on commercially acceptable terms or realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisitions we do undertake.

***Ineffective management of inventory levels or product mix, order cancellations, product returns and inventory write-downs could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships. Some customers may have the ability to change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. It is difficult to accurately predict what or how many products our customers will need in the future. Anticipating demand is challenging because our customers face volatile pricing and unpredictable demand for their products and are increasingly focused on cash preservation and tighter inventory management. We have experienced cancellations of orders and changes in order levels from period-to-period, and we expect to continue to experience similar cancellations and changes in the future, which could result in fluctuations in our revenues.

If we are unable to properly monitor, control and manage our inventory and maintain an appropriate level and mix of products to support our customers' needs, we may incur increased and unexpected costs associated with our inventory. If we manufacture products in anticipation of future demand that does not materialize, or if a customer cancels outstanding orders, we could experience an unanticipated increase in our inventory that we may be unable to sell in a timely manner, if at all. If demand does not meet our expectations, we could incur increased expenses associated with writing off excess or obsolete inventory.

We also maintain third-party inventory hubbing arrangements with certain of our customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, we deliver products to a customer or a designated third-party warehouse based upon the customer's projected needs but do not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed our product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products. If a customer does not take our products under a hubbing arrangement in accordance with the schedule it originally provided us, our predicted future revenue stream could vary substantially from our forecasts and our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, because we own inventory that is physically located in a third party's warehouse, our ability to effectively manage inventory levels may be impaired, causing our total inventory turns to decrease, which could increase expenses associated with excess and obsolete inventory and negatively impact our cash flow.

In addition, while we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relationships with our customers. Product returns would increase our inventory and reduce our revenues. Alternatively, we could end up with too little inventory and we may not be able to satisfy demand, which could have a material adverse effect on our customer relationships. Our risks related to inventory management are exacerbated by our strategy of closely matching inventory levels with product demand, leaving limited margin for error. We have had to in the past and may need to in the future write down inventory for reasons such as obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs. These inventory write-downs can have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***Declines in our average sales prices may result in declines in our revenues and gross profit.***

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our industry is competitive and historically has been characterized by declines in average sales prices. Our average sales prices may decline due to several factors, including competition, overcapacity in the worldwide supply of DRAM and Flash memory components as a result of worldwide economic conditions, increased manufacturing efficiencies, implementation of new manufacturing processes and expansion of manufacturing capacity by component suppliers. In the past, overcapacity has resulted in significant declines in component prices, which in turn has negatively impacted our average

---

## **Table of Contents**

sales prices, revenues and gross profit. In addition, because a large percentage of our sales are to a small number of customers that are primarily distributors and large OEMs, these customers have exerted, and we expect they will continue to exert, pressure on us to make price concessions. If not offset by increases in volume of sales or the sales of newly-developed products with higher margins, decreases in average sales prices may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***The manufacturing of our products is complex and subject to production output yield problems, which could decrease available supply and increase costs.***

The manufacture of our SSD controllers, Flash-based products and stacked DRAM products is a complex process, and it is often difficult for companies such as ours to achieve, after completed assembly and testing, acceptable production output yields (i.e., the ratio of usable product output to expected output based on given component inputs). Reduced production yields could decrease available supply and increase costs. SSD controller production output yields depend on both our product design and the manufacturing process technology unique to our semiconductor foundry partners. Because low yields may result from either design defects or process difficulties, we may not identify yield problems until well into the production cycle, when an actual product defect exists and can be analyzed and tested. In addition, many of these yield problems are difficult to diagnose and time consuming or expensive to remedy.

***The execution of our business strategy depends on our ability to retain key personnel, including our executive officers, and to attract qualified personnel.***

Competition for employees in our industry is intense. We have had and may continue to have difficulty hiring the necessary engineering, sales and marketing and management personnel to support our business strategy. The successful implementation of our business model and business strategy depends on the continued contributions of our senior management and other key research and development, sales and marketing and operations personnel, including Mark Moshayedi, our CEO and President and a Director; Manouch Moshayedi, our Founder and a Director; and Raymond D. Cook, our Chief Financial Officer.

We believe that our ability to offer competitive equity packages to new and existing employees is important to our continued growth and success and to hiring and retaining key employees. Our shareholders approved an increase to the share reserve under our 2010 Incentive Award Plan at our 2012 annual meeting. If our shareholders fail to approve future increases, our ability to continue to offer competitive equity packages will be negatively impacted. Furthermore, in such event, we may need to instead offer material cash-based incentives to compete for talent, which may not be competitive and would have a negative impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The inability to hire and retain key employees, the loss of any key employee, the failure of any key employee to perform in his or her current position or the inability of our officers and key employees to expand, train and manage our employee base would have an adverse effect on the execution of our business strategy.

***Our efforts to expand our business internationally may not be successful and may expose us to additional risks that may not exist in the United States.***

We sell our products to customers in foreign countries and seek to increase our level of international business activity through the expansion of our operations into select markets, including Asia and Europe. Such strategy may include opening sales offices in foreign countries, outsourcing of manufacturing operations, establishing joint ventures with foreign partners and establishing additional manufacturing operations in foreign countries.

A failure to successfully and timely integrate these operations into our global infrastructure could have a negative impact on our overall operations, cause us to delay or forego some of the original perceived benefits of operating internationally, such as lower average production and engineering labor costs, better access to global markets, improved supply chain efficiency, reduced lead times, increased manufacturing efficiency through investments in new state-of-the-art equipment and a lower overall long-term effective tax rate. Establishing and running operations in a foreign country or region presents numerous risks, including:

Difficulties and costs of staffing and managing operations in certain foreign countries;

Foreign laws and regulations, which may vary country by country, and may impact how we conduct our business;



## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Higher costs of doing business in certain foreign countries, including different employment laws;

Difficulty protecting our intellectual property rights from misappropriation or infringement;

Political or economic instability;

Changes in import/export duties;

**Table of Contents**

Necessity of obtaining government approvals;

Trade restrictions;

Work stoppages or other changes in labor conditions;

Difficulties in collecting accounts receivables on a timely basis or at all;

Changes in local tax regulations;

Changes to or untimely terminations in government incentives;

Longer payment cycles and foreign currency fluctuations; and

Seasonal reductions in business activity in some parts of the world, such as Europe.

In addition, changes in policies and/or laws of the U.S. or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on fund transfers or the expropriation of private enterprises, could reduce the anticipated benefits of our international expansion. Moreover, as a result of our international operations, we are subject to tax in multiple jurisdictions. If any taxing authority (in the U.S. or otherwise) were to successfully challenge our interpretation of the applicable tax laws or our determination of the income and expenses attributable to operations in a specific jurisdiction, we could be required to pay additional taxes, interest and penalties. Furthermore, any actions by countries in which we conduct business to reverse policies that encourage foreign trade or investment could adversely affect our business. If we fail to realize the anticipated revenue growth of our future international operations, our business and operating results could suffer.

We expect that our strategy to expand our international operations will require the expenditure of significant resources and the efforts and attention of our management. Unlike some of our competitors, we have limited experience operating our business in foreign countries. Some of our competitors may have a substantial advantage over us in attracting customers in certain foreign countries due to earlier established operations in such countries, greater knowledge with respect to cultural differences of customers residing in such countries and greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers in such countries. If our international expansion efforts in any foreign country are unsuccessful, it could harm our reputation and cause us to incur expenses and losses, and we may decide to cease these foreign operations.

***Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected, which could harm our competitive position.***

Our intellectual property is critical to our success. As of May 1, 2013, we owned 49 patents and 83 additional patent applications were pending. Although we protect our intellectual property rights through patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and employee disclosure and invention assignment agreements, it is possible that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not:

Prevent the challenge, invalidation or circumvention of our existing patents;

Prevent our competitors from independently developing similar products, duplicating our products or designing around the patents owned by us;

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Prevent third-party patents from having an adverse effect on our ability to do business;

Prevent third parties from copying or otherwise obtaining and making unauthorized use of our technologies;

Provide adequate protection for our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disputes with third parties regarding ownership of our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and know-how to third parties or into the public domain; and

Result in patents from any of our pending applications.

In addition, despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights and confidential information, third parties could copy or otherwise obtain and make unauthorized use of our technologies or independently develop similar technologies. Furthermore, if any of our patents are challenged and found to be invalid, our ability to exclude competitors from making, using or selling the same or similar products related to such patents would cease.

On September 16, 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (the Leahy-Smith Act ) was signed into law. The Leahy-Smith Act includes a number of significant changes to the U.S. patent laws, such as, among other things, changing from a first to invent to a first inventor to file system, establishing new procedures for challenging patents and establishing different methods for invalidating patents. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is still in the process of implementing regulations relating to these changes, and the courts have yet to address many of the new provisions of the Leahy-Smith Act. Some of these changes or potential changes may not be advantageous to us, and it may become more

---

## **Table of Contents**

difficult to obtain adequate patent protection or to enforce our patents against third parties. While we cannot predict the impact of the Leahy-Smith Act at this time, these changes or potential changes could increase the costs and uncertainties surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and adversely affect our ability to protect our intellectual property.

We have, on at least one occasion, applied for and may in the future apply for patent protection in foreign countries. The laws of foreign countries, however, may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Many U.S. companies have encountered substantial infringement problems in foreign countries. Because we sell some of our products overseas, we have exposure to foreign intellectual property risks.

### ***Our indemnification obligations to our customers and suppliers for product defects could require us to pay substantial damages.***

A number of our product sales and product purchase agreements provide that we will defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from product warranty claims or claims for injury or damage resulting from defects in our products. We maintain insurance to protect against certain claims associated with the use of our products, but our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all or any part of the claims asserted against us. A successful claim brought against us that is in excess of, or excluded from, our insurance coverage could substantially harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

### ***Failure to comply with governmental laws and regulations could harm our business.***

Our business is subject to regulation by various U.S. federal, state, local and foreign governmental authorities and agencies. Such regulation includes employment and labor laws, workplace safety, product safety, environmental laws, consumer protection laws, import/export controls, federal securities laws and tax laws.

As a global company, we are subject to varied and complex laws, regulations and customs domestically and internationally. These laws and regulations relate to a number of aspects of our business, including trade protection, import and export control, data and transaction processing security, records management, gift policies, employment and labor relations laws, workplace safety, product safety, environmental laws, federal securities laws, tax regulations and other regulatory requirements affecting our operations. The application of these laws and regulations to our business is often unclear and may at times conflict. Compliance with these laws and regulations may involve significant costs or require changes in our business practices that result in reduced revenue and profitability. We incur additional legal compliance costs associated with our global operations and could become subject to legal penalties in foreign countries if we do not comply with local laws and regulations, which may be substantially different from those in the U.S. In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it may be common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by U.S. and other regulations applicable or that may be applicable to us, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with these laws, certain of our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain aspects of our business operations, including those based in foreign countries where practices, which violate such laws and regulations may be customary, may take actions in violation of our internal policies.

Non-compliance with applicable regulations or requirements could subject us or our employees to investigations, sanctions, mandatory product recalls, enforcement actions, disgorgement of profits, fines, damages, civil and criminal penalties or injunctions, prohibitions on the conduct of our business and damage to our reputation. These actions could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If any governmental sanctions or fines are imposed, or if we do not prevail in any possible civil or criminal litigation, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. In addition, responding to any action will likely result in a significant diversion of management's attention and resources and an increase in professional fees.

In addition, from time to time, we have received, and expect to continue to receive, complaints from former employees who threaten to bring claims against us alleging that we have violated one or more labor and employment regulations. In certain of these instances, the former employees have brought formal claims against us and we expect that we will encounter similar actions against us in the future. An adverse outcome in any such litigation or resulting settlement could require us to pay contractual damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

### ***Compliance with evolving environmental regulations and standards could harm our business.***

We may be required to meet and adjust to evolving environmental requirements relating to the material composition of our products. As environmental requirements change, substituting particular components with conforming components to meet new environmental standards may prove to be difficult or costly and additional redesign efforts could result in production delays. Our operations may be affected by significant changes to existing or future environmental laws and regulations, including those imposed in response to climate change concerns and other actions commonly referred to as



---

**Table of Contents**

green initiatives. Although we cannot predict the ultimate impact of any such new laws and regulations, they may result in additional costs or decreased revenue, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***Foreign currency fluctuations could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.***

The volatility of general global economic conditions and fluctuations in currency exchange rates affect the prices of our products and the prices of the components used in our products. International sales, which are derived from billings to foreign customers, accounted for 39% and 61%, of our total revenues in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. In first quarter of 2013 and 2012, 13% and 41% of our revenues were derived from billings to customers in Singapore. For the first quarter of both 2013 and 2012 more than 95% of our international sales were denominated in U.S. dollars, and if the U.S. dollar experiences significant appreciation relative to the currency of a specific country, the prices of our products will rise in such country and our products may be less competitive. In addition, our international customers may not be willing to continue to place orders denominated in U.S. dollars. If they do not, our revenues and results of operations will be subject to greater variations from foreign exchange fluctuations, which could harm our business. We do not hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks.

Furthermore, we purchase a majority of the Flash and DRAM components used in our products from foreign suppliers. Although our purchases of Flash and DRAM components are currently denominated in U.S. dollars, a devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to the currency of a foreign supplier would result in an increase in our cost of Flash and DRAM components.

***We may have exposure to greater than anticipated tax liabilities.***

We operate in different countries throughout the world and are subject to taxation in a variety of jurisdictions. Our future income taxes could be adversely affected if earnings are higher than anticipated in jurisdictions where we are subject to higher statutory tax rates, by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, or changes in tax laws, regulations, accounting principles, or interpretations thereof. For example, recent proposals for changes in U.S. tax laws that may be considered or adopted in the future could subject us to higher taxes or result in changes to tax law provisions that currently provide favorable tax treatment.

A substantial portion of our assets, including employees of our foreign subsidiaries are located outside of the U.S. The earnings generated by our foreign subsidiaries are needed to fund the working capital needs of our foreign subsidiaries. As such, we have not provided for U.S. taxes or foreign withholding taxes on our undistributed earnings from our foreign subsidiaries because such earnings are expected to be reinvested indefinitely. Based on our current liquidity position and business plan, we do not anticipate a need to utilize these funds for our U.S. operations in the foreseeable future. However, if we are not able to achieve the planned improvements in the financial performance of our U.S. operations, which is contemplated in our business plan, we may need to re-assess our conclusion that these foreign funds will not need to be remitted to the U.S. in the foreseeable future. If this change in circumstance were to occur and it became apparent that some or all of the undistributed earnings will be remitted in the foreseeable future for which we have not recognized income taxes, we may be required to accrue additional income tax expense attributable to the portion of the remittance for which we do not have sufficient U.S. net operating loss carryforwards, tax credit carryforwards, and additional tax deductions available to offset the additional taxable income.

Further, as an incentive to establish operations in Malaysia, we were provided a tax holiday effective through September 29, 2027. The eventual expiration or an early revocation of our tax holiday in Malaysia, if certain conditions are not met, may result in an increase to our future tax liabilities.

In addition, we evaluate our deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis to determine whether all or any portion of the asset is more likely than not realizable under ASC 740. We are required to establish or maintain a valuation allowance against any portion of the asset we conclude is more likely than not to be unrealizable. In assessing whether a valuation allowance is required or whether an existing valuation allowance should be maintained or reversed, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verifiable. In accordance with ASC 740, we consider key positive and negative evidence using a more likely than not realization standard in making the determination of whether a valuation allowance is required or whether an existing valuation allowance should be maintained or reversed. The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends primarily on our ability to generate future taxable income during the periods in which the related temporary differences become deductible. The assessment of a valuation allowance includes giving appropriate consideration to all positive and negative evidence related to the realization of the deferred tax asset. This assessment considers, among other things, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future taxable income, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our utilization experience with operating losses and tax credit carryforwards and tax planning alternatives. Significant judgment is required in determining the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized



## **Table of Contents**

in our consolidated financial statements and/or tax returns. Differences between anticipated and actual outcomes of these future tax consequences could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We are also subject to regular review and audit by both domestic and foreign tax authorities. Any adverse outcome of such a review or audit could negatively impact our operating results and financial condition. The determination of our global provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities requires significant judgment and in the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Additionally, our calculations of income taxes are based on our interpretations of applicable tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we file. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may differ from the amounts recorded in our financial statements and may materially affect our financial results in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

*We could be required to record impairment charges relating to goodwill and other intangible assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.*

In accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other ( ASC 350 ), goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization but are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Subsequent to the sale of the Consumer Division in 2007, we operate in one operating segment and have one reporting unit. We assess potential impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets on an annual basis on the last day of the year and compare the market capitalization of the reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill. A future decrease in our stock price could indicate the impairment of goodwill, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Intangible assets with finite lives and other long-lived assets continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with ASC 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment ( ASC 360 ). We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of our intangible assets may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of ASC 360. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. Inherent in our fair value determinations are certain judgments and estimates, including projections of future cash flows, the discount rate reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, the interpretation of current economic indicators and market valuations, and strategic plans with regard to operations. A change in these underlying assumptions could cause a change in the results of the tests and could require us to record impairment charges to our other long-lived assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

### **Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

*Proxy contests could be disruptive and costly and the possibility that there may be change on or control of our Board of Directors could cause uncertainty about the direction of our business.*

For the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, two shareholders have jointly provided notice to us of their nomination of seven persons for election as directors on our Board of Directors and have filed preliminary proxy materials relating to such nomination. Proxy contests can be costly and time-consuming, disrupt our operations and divert the attention of management and our employees from executing our strategic plan. The proxy contest could result in a change on or control of our Board of Directors, and a change in our senior management team. In addition, perceived uncertainties as to our future direction as a result of changes to the composition of the Board of Directors or otherwise in response to the proxy contest may lead to concerns of a change in the direction of the business or instability or lack of continuity in our business, which may be exploited by our competitors, cause concern to our current or potential customers, and make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel.

*Our stock price is highly volatile.*

Our common stock has been publicly traded since September 2000. The market price of our common stock has fluctuated substantially in the past and is likely to continue to be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations. From January 1, 2011 to May 1, 2013 our common stock has traded at prices as low as \$3.32 and as high as \$25.44 per share. These fluctuations have occurred and may continue to occur in response to various factors, many of which we cannot control. The stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices of securities, particularly securities of technology companies. This volatility has significantly affected the market





**Table of Contents**

prices of securities of many technology companies for reasons frequently unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. As a result of volatility, the market price of our common stock may materially decline, regardless of our operating performance. Accordingly, you may not be able to resell your shares of common stock at or above the price you paid.

In the past, we and other companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their securities have been, and we currently are, the subject of securities class action litigation. Litigation of this type is often expensive, diverts management's attention and resources and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and market price of our common stock.

***Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past, and we expect our quarterly operating results to continue to fluctuate in future periods, which could cause our stock price to fluctuate or decline.***

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past, and we believe they will continue to do so in the future. Some of these fluctuations may be more pronounced than they were in the past due to the uncertain current global economic environment. Fluctuations in our operating results may be due to a number of factors, including, but not limited to, those identified throughout this "Risk Factors" section and the following:

Impact of changing and recently volatile U.S. and global economic conditions, including the ongoing European debt crisis and resulting instability (and potential dissolution) of the Euro;

The downgrade by Standard & Poor's of the United States' sovereign credit rating and its impact on financial markets and companies' ability to raise capital;

Our suppliers' production levels of the components used in our products;

Our ability to procure required components;

Market acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products;

Expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;

The timing of the introduction of new products or components and enhancements to existing products or components by us, our competitors or our suppliers;

Fluctuations in the cost of components and changes in the average sales prices of our products;

Fluctuating market demand for our products;

Changes in our customer or product revenue mix;

The loss of one or more of our customers;

## Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our ability to successfully integrate any acquired businesses or assets;

Expenses associated with the startup of new operations or divisions;

Order cancellations, product returns, inventory buildups by customers and inventory write-downs;

Manufacturing inefficiencies associated with the start-up of new manufacturing operations, new products and volume production;

Expenses associated with strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;

Our ability to adequately support potential future rapid growth;

Our ability to absorb manufacturing overhead if revenues decline;

The effects of litigation; and

Increases in our sales and marketing and research and development expenses in connection with decisions to pursue new product initiatives.

Due to such factors, quarterly revenues and results of operations are difficult to forecast, and period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be predictive of future performance.

***Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could cause our investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information and operating results and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.***

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires that we maintain effective internal control over financial reporting that meets applicable standards. We may err in the design or operation of our controls, and all internal control systems, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control

---

**Table of Contents**

system are met. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, there can be no absolute assurance that all control issues have been or will be detected. In addition, a failure to maintain such controls could result in misstatements in our financial statements. If we are unable, or are perceived as unable, to produce reliable financial reports due to internal control deficiencies, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information and operating results, which could result in a negative market reaction.

*Two of our largest shareholders are executives and directors of the Company and their interests may diverge from other shareholders.*

Mark Moshayedi and Manouch Moshayedi are brothers who (along with a third brother Mike Moshayedi) founded sTec. They have owned a substantial amount of the Company's shares since its inception. As of March 31, 2013 Mark and Manouch Moshayedi beneficially owned, in the aggregate, approximately 14% of our outstanding common stock. This beneficial ownership percentage does not include shares that were gifted by Mark Moshayedi to an irrevocable trust for the benefit of his descendants and shares that were gifted by Manouch Moshayedi to an irrevocable trust for the benefit of his descendants, which totals to approximately 5% of our outstanding common stock, but to which Mark and Manouch Moshayedi each disclaim beneficial ownership. As shareholders, Mark and Manouch Moshayedi may have interests that diverge from those of other holders of our common stock. In addition, Mark Moshayedi is our CEO and President and Manouch Moshayedi is our founder, and both are sTec Board members. As a result, they have the potential or perceived ability to influence all matters requiring approval by our shareholders, including approval of significant corporate transactions and the decision of whether a change in control will occur. This potential or perceived influence could affect the price that certain investors may be willing to pay for shares of our common stock.

*Certain provisions in our charter documents and equity incentive plans could prevent or delay a change in control and, as a result, negatively impact our shareholders.*

Certain provisions in our charter documents and equity incentive plans could have the effect of discouraging a takeover attempt. For example, provisions in our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions also could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

These provisions include:

Limitations on how special meetings of shareholders can be called;

Advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by shareholders at shareholder meetings;

Elimination of cumulative voting in the election of directors;

The right of a majority of directors in office to fill vacancies on the board of directors; and

The ability of our board of directors to issue, without shareholder approval, blank check preferred stock to increase the number and type of outstanding shares.

In addition, provisions of our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and 2010 Incentive Award Plan allow for the automatic vesting of all outstanding equity awards granted under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and 2010 Incentive Award Plan upon a change in control under certain circumstances. Such provisions may have the effect of discouraging a third party from acquiring us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporation by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit	Filing Date
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation	S-1/A	3.1	July 3, 2000
3.1.1	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated August 31, 2000	S-1/A	3.3	September 27, 2000
3.1.2	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated May 1, 2001	10-Q	3.1	May 14, 2001
3.1.3	Certificate of Ownership as filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2007	8-K	3.1	March 8, 2007
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws	8-K	3.2	September 27, 2011
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate	10-K	4.2	March 30, 2007
10.01	Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated March 11, 2013, entered into between the Registrant and Raymond D. Cook	10-K	10.18	March 14, 2013
10.02	Severance and Change in Control Agreement, effective March 11, 2013 entered into between the Registrant and Robert M. Saman	10-K	10.19	March 14, 2013
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Filed herewith
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Furnished herewith
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Furnished herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document			
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document			
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document			
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document			

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

- \* The information in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act (including this Report), unless the Registrant specifically incorporates the foregoing information into those documents by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

sTec, Inc.,

a California corporation

Date: May 8, 2013

/s/ RAYMOND D. COOK

**Raymond D. Cook**

**Chief Financial Officer**

**(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Signatory)**

**Table of Contents****sTec, Inc.****Index to Exhibits**

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporation by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit	Filing Date
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation	S-1/A	3.1	July 3, 2000
3.1.1	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated August 31, 2000	S-1/A	3.3	September 27, 2000
3.1.2	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated May 1, 2001	10-Q	3.1	May 14, 2001
3.1.3	Certificate of Ownership as filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2007	8-K	3.1	March 8, 2007
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws	8-K	3.2	September 27, 2011
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate	10-K	4.2	March 30, 2007
10.01	Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated March 11, 2013, entered into between the Registrant and Raymond D. Cook	10-K	10.18	March 14, 2013
10.02	Severance and Change in Control Agreement, effective March 11, 2013 entered into between the Registrant and Robert M. Saman	10-K	10.19	March 14, 2013
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Filed herewith
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Furnished herewith
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Furnished herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document			
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document			
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document			
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document			

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

\* The information in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act (including this Report), unless the Registrant specifically incorporates the foregoing information into those documents by reference.