

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC
Form DEF 14A
March 26, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Sonic Automotive, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

4401 Colwick Road

Charlotte, North Carolina 28211

March 22, 2013

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 10:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 17, 2013, at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina. We look forward to greeting personally those stockholders who are able to attend.

The accompanying formal Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement describe the matters on which action will be taken at the meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting on Wednesday, April 17, 2013, it is important that your shares be represented. To ensure that your vote will be received and counted, at your earliest convenience please follow the instructions for voting your shares provided in the accompanying Proxy Statement and proxy card, notice letter or the voting instructions you receive by e-mail or via the Internet. Your vote is important regardless of the number of shares you own.

On behalf of the Board of Directors

Sincerely,

O. BRUTON SMITH

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

You can help us make a difference by eliminating paper proxy mailings. With your consent, we will provide all future proxy materials electronically. Instructions for consenting to electronic delivery can be found on your proxy card or at www.proxydocs.com/SAH. Your consent to receive stockholder materials electronically will remain in effect until cancelled.

VOTING YOUR PROXY IS IMPORTANT

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

NOTICE OF MEETING

Charlotte, NC

March 22, 2013

The 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Sonic Automotive, Inc. (Sonic) will be held at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina on Wednesday, April 17, 2013, at 10:30 a.m. (the Annual Meeting), for the following purposes as described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

1. To elect nine directors;
2. To approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, Sonic s executive compensation as disclosed in the accompanying Proxy Statement;
3. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Sonic s independent public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2013; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements.

We currently are not aware of any other business to be brought before the Annual Meeting. Only holders of record of Sonic s Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock (collectively, the Common Stock) at the close of business on February 19, 2013 will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you are urged to vote. For specific voting instructions, please refer to the information provided in the accompanying Proxy Statement and your proxy card, notice letter or the voting instructions you receive by e-mail or that are provided via the Internet. Submitting your proxy does not deprive you of your right to attend the Annual Meeting and to vote your shares in person.

Stephen K. Coss
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

March 22, 2013

GENERAL

Introduction

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Sonic Automotive, Inc. will be held on Wednesday, April 17, 2013 at 10:30 a.m., at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Meeting. We refer to this meeting, together with any adjournments or postponements as the Annual Meeting. Only holders of record of Sonic's Class A Common Stock (the Class A Common Stock) and Class B Common Stock (the Class B Common Stock) at the close of business on February 19, 2013 (the Record Date) will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. This Proxy Statement and form of proxy are furnished to stockholders in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of proxies to be used at the Annual Meeting, and at any and all adjournments or postponements thereof, and are first being sent or made available to stockholders on or about March 29, 2013. References in this Proxy Statement to Sonic, the Company, we, us, our and similar terms refer to Sonic Automotive, Inc. We sometimes refer to our Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock as our Common Stock.

Each valid proxy received in time will be voted at the Annual Meeting. To be valid, a written proxy card must be properly executed, proxies voted by telephone or via the Internet must be properly completed pursuant to this solicitation and, in either case, the proxy must not be later timely revoked. If you specify your vote regarding any matter presented at the Annual Meeting, your proxy will be voted in accordance with your specification. If you do not specify your vote, proxies will be voted (i) in favor of electing Sonic's nine nominees to the Board of Directors; (ii) in favor of the proposal to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, Sonic's executive compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement; (iii) in favor of the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent accountants of Sonic and its subsidiaries for the year ending December 31, 2013; and (iv) in the discretion of the proxy holders on any other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors currently knows of no other business that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting.

Methods of Voting

If your shares of Class A Common Stock are registered directly in your name, you may vote by mail, by telephone, over the Internet or in person at the Annual Meeting. If your shares of Class A Common Stock are held in the name of your broker or other nominee, you may vote by mail, by telephone, over the Internet or in person at the Annual Meeting. If you are a registered holder of Class B Common Stock, you may vote by mail or in person at the Annual Meeting. Votes submitted by mail, by telephone or over the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern time, on Tuesday, April 16, 2013.

Voting by Mail. By signing the proxy card and returning it in the prepaid and addressed envelope enclosed with the proxy materials delivered by mail, you are authorizing the individuals named on the proxy card to vote your Voting Shares at the Annual Meeting in the manner you indicate.

Voting by Telephone or Over the Internet. To vote by telephone or over the Internet, please follow either the instructions included on your proxy card or notice letter or the voting instructions you receive by e-mail or via the Internet. If you vote by telephone or over the Internet, you do not need to complete and mail a proxy card. You may incur costs such as telephone and Internet access charges if you vote by telephone or over

the Internet. If you choose to vote by telephone or over the Internet, you must do so by 11:59 p.m., Eastern time, on Tuesday, April 16, 2013.

Voting in Person at the Annual Meeting. If you attend the Annual Meeting and plan to vote in person, we will provide you with a ballot at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered the stockholder of record and you have the right to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in the name of your broker or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name. As a beneficial owner, if you wish to vote at the Annual Meeting, you will need to bring to the Annual Meeting a legal proxy from your broker or other nominee authorizing you to vote those shares.

We encourage you to return a proxy card even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting so that your shares will be voted if you are unable to attend the Annual Meeting. If you receive more than one proxy card, it is an indication that your shares are held in multiple accounts. Please complete and return all proxy cards to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Revoking Your Proxy

Stockholders who execute written proxies may revoke them (i) at any time before they are exercised by delivering a written notice stating that the proxy is revoked to Stephen K. Coss, the Secretary of Sonic, at 4401 Colwick Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28211, or (ii) by delivering a later dated proxy signed by the same person who signed the earlier proxy to Stephen K. Coss, the Secretary of Sonic, at 4401 Colwick Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28211. Stockholders who vote by telephone or over the Internet may revoke their proxy by following the telephone and Internet procedures by 11:59 p.m., Eastern time, on Tuesday, April 16, 2013. If you are the stockholder of record, you may also revoke your proxy by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will not in and of itself constitute revocation of a proxy.

Ownership of Common Stock

Sonic currently has authorized under its Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the "Charter") 100,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, of which 40,982,054 shares were outstanding as of the Record Date and are entitled to be voted at the Annual Meeting, and 30,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, of which 12,029,375 shares were outstanding as of the Record Date and are entitled to be voted at the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, holders of Class A Common Stock will have one vote per share, and holders of Class B Common Stock will have ten votes per share. All outstanding shares of Common Stock are entitled to vote as a single class on all proposals submitted to a vote at the Annual Meeting. The presence at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy of a majority of the shares entitled to vote will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting.

A quorum being present, directors will be elected by the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast, the proposal on approval of executive compensation will be approved in a non-binding advisory vote if the votes cast in favor exceed the votes cast against approval and each of the other proposals referred to in the accompanying Notice of Meeting will be approved if a majority of the votes cast by shares entitled to vote on the proposal are cast in favor thereof. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, brokers who are voting shares held in street name have the discretion to vote shares on routine matters but not on non-routine matters. Routine matters include ratification of independent public accountants. Non-routine matters include the election of directors and the non-binding advisory vote to approve executive compensation. Broker non-votes and abstentions will be counted to determine a quorum. For elections of directors, withheld votes and broker non-votes will not be counted toward that nominee's achievement of a plurality. Abstentions and broker non-votes on other matters, including ratification of independent public accountants, are not considered to have been voted for or against such proposals and have the practical effect of reducing the number of affirmative votes required to achieve a majority by reducing the total number of shares from which the majority of votes cast is calculated.

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

A holder of Common Stock who signs a proxy card may withhold votes as to any director-nominee by writing the name of the nominee in the space provided on the proxy card. A holder of Common Stock may not vote for more than nine nominees.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of Sonic's Common Stock as of February 19, 2013, by (i) each stockholder known by Sonic to own beneficially more than five percent of a class of the outstanding Common Stock, (ii) each director and nominee to the Board of Directors of Sonic, (iii) each named executive officer of Sonic listed in the Summary Compensation Table, and (iv) all directors and executive officers of Sonic as a group. Except as otherwise indicated below, each of the persons named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the securities indicated as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable. Unless otherwise noted, the address for the beneficial owners is 4401 Colwick Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28211.

Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock(1)	Percentage of Outstanding Class A Common Stock	Number of Shares of Class B Common Stock	Percentage of Outstanding Class B Common Stock	Percentage of All Outstanding Voting Stock(2)
O. Bruton Smith (3)(4)	645,250	1.6%	11,052,500	91.9%	21.8%
Sonic Financial Corporation (3)(4)			8,881,250	73.8%	16.8%
B. Scott Smith (5)(6)	497,246	1.2%	976,875	8.1%	2.8%
David P. Cosper (7)	204,292	*			*
David Bruton Smith (5)	204,116	*			*
Jeff Dyke (8)	214,024	*			*
William R. Brooks (9)	68,050	*			*
William I. Belk (9)(10)	59,585	*			*
Victor H. Doolan (9)	33,840	*			*
Robert Heller (9)(11)	57,585	*			*
Robert L. Rewey (9)	56,585	*			*
David C. Vorhoff (9)	31,659	*			*
All directors and executive officers as a group (11 persons) (5)	2,002,546	4.8%	12,029,375	100.0%	25.9%
BlackRock, Inc. (12)	3,030,578	7.4%			5.7%
FMR LLC (and related persons) (13)	4,737,398	11.6%			8.9%
Paul P. Rusnak (14)	5,100,000	12.4%			9.6%

* Less than one percent.

- (1) Includes shares of Class A Common Stock and shares of restricted stock held by these individuals, including those shares of Class A Common Stock shown below as to which the following persons currently have a right, or will have the right within 60 days after February 19, 2013, to acquire beneficial ownership through the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock units: (i) Messrs. O. Bruton Smith, 570,721 shares; B. Scott Smith, 331,025 shares; Cosper, 98,020 shares; David Bruton Smith, 92,425 shares; Dyke, 145,804 shares; Brooks, 24,169 shares; Belk, 14,169 shares; Doolan, 4,169; Heller, 14,169 shares; Rewey, 24,169 shares; and Vorhoff, 4,169; and (ii) all directors and executive officers as a group, 1,323,009 shares.
- (2) The percentage of total voting power of Sonic is as follows: (i) Mr. O. Bruton Smith, 68.7%; Sonic Financial Corporation, 55.1%; Mr. B. Scott Smith, 6.4%; BlackRock, Inc., 1.9%; FMR LLC (and related persons), 2.9%; Paul P. Rusnak, 3.2%; and less than 1% for all other stockholders shown, and (ii) all directors and executive officers as a group, 75.3%.
- (3) The address for Mr. O. Bruton Smith and Sonic Financial Corporation (SFC) is 5401 East Independence Boulevard, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212.

- (4) The amount of Class B Common Stock shown for Mr. O. Bruton Smith consists of 2,171,250 shares owned directly by him and 8,881,250 shares owned by SFC, of which 5,474,145 shares are pledged as security for loans. Mr. Smith controls a majority of SFC's outstanding voting stock and is the only executive officer of SFC and is deemed to have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of Class B Common Stock held by SFC.
- (5) Includes 69,686 shares of Class A Common Stock held by SMDA Development 1, LLC, in which Messrs. B. Scott Smith and David Bruton Smith are members. Each of Messrs. B. Scott Smith and David Bruton Smith disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest, if any, therein.
- (6) Includes 900,765 shares of Class B Common Stock held by BWI Financial, LLC, an entity controlled by Mr. B. Scott Smith. Approximately 900,765 shares of Class B Common Stock owned directly or indirectly by Mr. B. Scott Smith are pledged to secure loans and 20,875 shares of Class A Common Stock are held in a margin account.
- (7) Includes 44,247 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock that will vest 25% on March 31, 2013, 30% on March 2, 2014 and 45% on March 2, 2015, 12,833 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock that vested on February 26, 2013 and 20,940 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock that will vest half on March 18, 2013 and the remaining half on March 18, 2014.
- (8) Includes 46,504 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock that will vest 25% on March 31, 2013, 30% on March 2, 2014 and 45% on March 2, 2015, 13,750 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock that vested on February 26, 2013, and 22,000 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock that will vest half on March 18, 2013 and the remaining half on March 18, 2014.
- (9) Includes 4,169 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock for each of Messrs. Brooks, Belk, Doolan, Heller, Rewey and Vorhoff that will vest on April 16, 2013.
- (10) Includes 6,000 shares held by Mr. Belk's children. Mr. Belk disclaims beneficial ownership of all securities held by his children.
- (11) Approximately 26,000 shares are held in a margin account. Mr. Heller shares voting and dispositive power over 11,000 shares with his wife.
- (12) The address of this entity is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022. The Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. on or about February 5, 2013 indicates that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power and sole dispositive power as to all of the 3,030,578 shares shown.
- (13) The address of this entity is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109. The information provided is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by FMR LLC (and related persons) on or about February 14, 2013. That filing indicates that FMR LLC has sole voting power as to 441,116 of the shares shown. That filing also indicates that FMR LLC and Edward C. Johnson 3d have sole dispositive power as to 4,296,282 of the shares shown. That filing further indicates that Fidelity Management & Research Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and investment advisor registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficial owner of 4,296,282 of the shares, and Strategic Advisers, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and investment advisor registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, provides advisory services to individuals and, as such, beneficially owns 43,136 of the shares shown. The filing also indicates that Pyramis Global Advisors Trust Company (PGATC), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and a bank as defined in Section 3(a)(6) of the Exchange Act, is the beneficial owner of 397,980 of the shares shown as a result of its serving as investment manager of institution accounts owning such shares, with Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR LLC each having sole dispositive and voting power over these shares. The address for PGATC is 900 Salem Street, Smithfield, Rhode Island 02917. The filing also indicates that members of the family of Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3d, Chairman of FMR LLC, or trusts for their benefit, own shares representing 49% of the voting power of FMR, LLC and that, accordingly, members of Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3D's family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR, LLC.

- (14) The address of this owner is 325 W. Colorado Boulevard, PO Box 70489, Pasadena, California 91117-7489. The information provided is based on a Schedule 13D/A filed by Paul P. Rusnak on or about May 26, 2010 and his subsequent Form 4 filing on December 13, 2012. The Schedule 13D/A filing indicates that Paul P. Rusnak has sole voting power and sole dispositive power as to 5,000,000 of the shares shown.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees for Election as Directors of Sonic

Nine directors currently serve on Sonic's Board of Directors and each was elected by our stockholders at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Under our Bylaws, the director nominees are elected by the stockholders for a one-year term expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders. Any director appointed by the Board of Directors as a result of a newly created directorship or to fill a vacancy on the Board of Directors will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders. All directors' terms expire and their successors will be elected at the Annual Meeting and each annual meeting of stockholders thereafter.

Upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the NCG Committee), the Board nominated each of our current directors to stand for reelection for a new term expiring at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified. At the Annual Meeting, we intend to vote the proxies in the accompanying form for the election of O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David Bruton Smith, William I. Belk, William R. Brooks, Victor H. Doolan, Robert Heller, Robert L. Rewey and David C. Vorhoff to the Board of Directors. All of these individuals consented to be named in this Proxy Statement and have agreed to serve, if elected. Due to the passing of long-time director, William P. Benton, in February 2009, and the prior resignation of another director, two seats on the Board of Directors will be vacant following the Annual Meeting. Because the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the NCG Committee) of our Board of Directors has not selected a qualified candidate or candidates, the Board of Directors has elected not to fill these vacancies at the Annual Meeting. If for any reason any director nominee is unable to stand for reelection, we intend to vote proxies for the election of the other director nominees and the Board will designate a substitute nominee or reduce the number of directors in accordance with our Charter and Bylaws. If a substitute nominee is designated, proxies will be voted for the substitute nominee.

Directors

We have set forth below information regarding each of our directors. The NCG Committee and the Board believe that the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills of our directors described below and in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee section of this Proxy Statement, provide the Board with the ability to address the evolving needs of Sonic and represent the best interests of our stockholders.

O. Bruton Smith, 86, is the Founder of Sonic. He is also the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Sonic and has served as such since Sonic's organization in January 1997, and he currently is a director and executive officer of many of Sonic's subsidiaries. Mr. Smith has worked in the retail automobile industry since 1966. Mr. Smith is also the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, a director and controlling stockholder of Speedway Motorsports, Inc. (SMI). SMI is a public company whose shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE). Among other things, SMI owns and operates the following NASCAR racetracks: Atlanta Motor Speedway, Bristol Motor Speedway, Charlotte Motor Speedway, Sonoma Raceway, Las Vegas Motor Speedway, New Hampshire Motor Speedway, Texas Motor Speedway and Kentucky Speedway. He is also an executive officer or a director of most of SMI's operating subsidiaries.

B. Scott Smith, 45, is the Co-Founder of Sonic. He is also President, Chief Strategic Officer and a director of Sonic. Prior to his appointment as President in March 2007, Mr. Smith served as Sonic's Vice Chairman and Chief Strategic Officer since October 2002. Mr. Smith was President and Chief Operating Officer of Sonic from

April 1997 until October 2002. Mr. Smith has been a Sonic director since its organization in January 1997. Mr. Smith also serves as a director and executive officer of many of Sonic's subsidiaries. Mr. Smith, who is a son of O. Bruton Smith and the brother of David Bruton Smith, has been an executive officer of Town & Country Ford since 1993, and was a minority owner of both Town & Country Ford and Fort Mill Ford before Sonic's acquisition of those dealerships in 1997. Mr. Smith became the General Manager of Town & Country Ford in November 1992 where he remained until his appointment as President and Chief Operating Officer of Sonic in April 1997. Mr. Smith has over 22 years of experience in the automobile dealership industry.

David Bruton Smith, 38, is our Executive Vice President and a director since October 2008 and has served in Sonic's organization since October 2000. Prior to being named a director and Executive Vice President of Sonic, Mr. Smith, also a son of O. Bruton Smith and the brother of B. Scott Smith, served as Sonic's Senior Vice President of Corporate Development since March 2007. Prior to that appointment, Mr. Smith served as Sonic's Vice President of Corporate Strategy from October 2005 to March 2007, and also served prior to that time as Dealer Operator of Sonic's Arnold Palmer Cadillac dealership from January 2004 to October 2005, Sonic's Fort Mill Ford dealership from January 2003 to January 2004 and Sonic's Town and Country Ford dealership from October 2000 to December 2002.

William I. Belk, 63, has been a director of Sonic since March 1998. Mr. Belk is currently affiliated with Southeast Investments, NC, Inc., a FINRA member firm headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Mr. Belk's past professional experience includes serving as a North Carolina District Court Judge, serving as a partner in the investment banking firm Carolina Financial Group, Inc., and serving in the positions of Chairman and director for certain Belk stores, a retail department store chain. Mr. Belk has also previously served as a director of Monroe Hardware Co., Inc., a wholesaler of hardware materials. Mr. Belk is an attorney with an LL.M. in Taxation and a Masters in Business Administration.

William R. Brooks, 63, has been a director of Sonic since its organization in January 1997. Mr. Brooks also served as Sonic's initial Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Vice President and Secretary from January 1997 to April 1997. Since December 1994, Mr. Brooks has been the Vice President, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and a director of SMI, became Executive Vice President of SMI in February 2004 and became Vice Chairman in 2008. Mr. Brooks also serves as an executive officer and a director for various operating subsidiaries of SMI. Before the formation of SMI in December 1994, Mr. Brooks was the Vice President of Charlotte Motor Speedway (formerly Lowe's Motor Speedway) and a Vice President and director of Atlanta Motor Speedway.

Victor H. Doolan, 72, has been a director of Sonic since July 2005. Prior to being appointed as a director of Sonic, Mr. Doolan served for approximately three years as president of Volvo Cars North America until his retirement in March 2005. Prior to joining Volvo, Mr. Doolan served as the Executive Director of the Premier Automotive Group, the luxury division of Ford Motor Company from July 1999 to June 2002. Mr. Doolan also enjoyed a 23-year career with BMW, culminating with his service as President of BMW of North America from September 1993 to July 1999. Mr. Doolan has worked in the automotive industry for approximately 36 years. Mr. Doolan also served as a director of Blue Fire Ethanol Fuels Inc. until December 2010.

Robert Heller, 73, has been a director of Sonic since January 2000. Mr. Heller served as a director of FirstAmerica Automotive, Inc. from January 1999 until its acquisition by Sonic in December 1999. Mr. Heller was a director and Executive Vice President of Fair, Isaac and Company from 1994 until 2001, where he was responsible for strategic relationships and marketing. From 1991 to 1993, Mr. Heller was President and Chief Executive Officer of Visa U.S.A. Mr. Heller is a former Governor of the Federal Reserve System, and has had an extensive career in banking, international finance, government service and education. Mr. Heller currently serves as director of Bank of Marin Bancorp, a public company traded on the Nasdaq.

Robert L. Rewey, 74, has been a director of Sonic since December 2001. Mr. Rewey served as the Group Vice President of Ford Motor Company's North American Operations and Global Sales, Marketing and Customer Services from January 2000 until his retirement in April 2001. During his career with Ford, Mr. Rewey

also served as President of Lincoln Mercury Division and then Ford Division and Group Vice President of North American sales, marketing and customer service. He has served on the board of directors for Volvo Cars and Mazda Corporation. In his prior positions, Mr. Rewey was responsible for initiating Ford's global brand, motorsports and marketing executive development strategies. He also implemented innovations in Six Sigma for sales and marketing and developed short term vehicle leasing. Mr. Rewey has served as a member of the Board of Visitors, Fuqua School, Duke University and the Dean's Council, Fisher School of Business, Ohio State University. Mr. Rewey currently serves as a director of SMI and of LoJack Corporation, a public company traded on the Nasdaq.

David C. Vorhoff, 57, has been a director of Sonic since April 2007. Mr. Vorhoff is a co-founding Partner of McColl Partners, LLC, and has served as a Managing Director of the firm since its founding in 2001. Headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, McColl Partners provides investment banking services to middle-market companies and financial institutions, and advises clients in three primary areas: mergers and acquisitions; raising private capital; and strategic advisory and valuation assignment. Prior to 2001, Mr. Vorhoff was a Managing Director of Banc of America Securities' Health Care Group and of NationsBanc Montgomery Securities Health Care Group in New York, and of NationsBank Capital Markets' mergers and acquisitions group in Charlotte. Mr. Vorhoff also served as a director of Star Scientific, a public company traded on the Nasdaq, from October 2005 to September 2007.

Board and Committee Member Independence

Because Mr. Bruton Smith holds more than 50% of the voting power of Sonic's Common Stock, Sonic qualifies as a controlled company for purposes of the NYSE's listing standards and is, therefore, not required to comply with all of the requirements of those listing standards, including the requirement that a listed company have a majority of independent directors. Nevertheless, Sonic is committed to having its board membership in favor of independent directors as evidenced by Sonic's Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Our Board of Directors has determined that currently a majority of Sonic's directors, including Messrs. Belk, Doolan, Heller, Rewey and Vorhoff, and all of the members of Sonic's board committees, are independent directors within the meaning of the NYSE's current listing standards and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Board's determination was based in part on its assessment of each director's relationship with Sonic and the materiality of that relationship in light of all relevant facts and circumstances, not only from the standpoint of the director in his or her individual capacity, but also from the standpoint of the persons to which the director is related and organizations with which the director is affiliated. The Board of Directors applied Categorical Standards for Determination of Director Independence, which the Board adopted to assist it in evaluating the independence of each of its directors, and also considered the following transactions, relationships or arrangements. For Mr. Doolan, the Board of Directors considered his former position as a non-employee director of Fisker Automotive, Inc., a privately-held vehicle manufacturer. Mr. Doolan has informed Sonic that he resigned from his position as a non-employee director of Fisker effective March 31, 2012. The Board of Directors also considered Mr. Doolan's former position as a non-employee director of True Car, Inc. (formerly ZAG, Inc.), a privately-held marketing company serving the automotive industry and any transactions between Sonic and its subsidiaries with True Car. Mr. Doolan has informed Sonic that he resigned from his position as a non-employee director of True Car effective January 31, 2012. For Mr. Rewey, the Board of Directors considered his position as a non-employee director of SMI and any transactions between Sonic and its subsidiaries and SMI and its subsidiaries. The Board of Directors also considered Mr. Rewey's position as a non-employee director of LoJack and any transactions between LoJack and Sonic and its subsidiaries and Mr. Rewey's engagement as a consultant by Dealer Tire, LLC and any relationships between Dealer Tire, LLC and Sonic and its subsidiaries or its executive officers. The Board of Directors determined that none of these transactions, relationships or arrangements impaired any of these individuals' independence and that each of the independent directors met the Categorical Standards for Determination of Director Independence.

Board Meetings and Committees of the Board

Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings. Our Board of Directors held five meetings during 2012. Each of the directors attended 75% or more of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and committees of the Board on which the director served.

Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors. The non-management directors meet in executive session without members of management present prior to or after each board meeting. Mr. Belk, as lead independent director, presides over these executive sessions of non-management directors.

Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders. Pursuant to the Board of Directors' policy, all directors are strongly encouraged to attend our annual stockholders meetings. All of our directors attended last year's annual stockholders meeting.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight. Sonic's principal executive officer, Mr. O. Bruton Smith, also serves as the chairman of Sonic's Board of Directors. Because of Mr. O. Bruton Smith's extensive business experience (and in particular the automotive industry), his founding of Sonic and his significant equity ownership in Sonic, and in light of the majority of independent directors on Sonic's board, Sonic has determined it is appropriate that Mr. Smith serve in both roles. Sonic's lead independent director, Mr. William I. Belk, presides over executive sessions of non-management directors without the presence of management, and coordinates feedback to the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of the non-employee directors regarding business issues and Board management.

It is management's responsibility to manage risk and bring to the Board of Directors' attention the most material risks to Sonic. Sonic's Board of Directors, including through Board Committees comprised solely of independent directors, regularly reviews various areas of significant risk to Sonic, and advises and directs management on the scope and implementation of policies, strategic initiatives and other actions designed to mitigate various types of risks. Specific examples of risks primarily overseen by the full Board of Directors include competition risks, industry risks, economic risks, liquidity risks, business operations risks and risks related to acquisitions and dispositions. Sonic's Audit Committee regularly reviews with management and the independent auditors significant financial risk exposures and the processes management has implemented to monitor, control and report such exposures. Specific examples of risks primarily overseen by the Audit Committee include risks related to the preparation of Sonic's financial statements, disclosure controls and procedures, internal controls and procedures required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, accounting, financial and auditing risks, treasury risks (insurance, interest rate hedging, credit and debt), matters reported to the Audit Committee through the Internal Audit Department and through anonymous reporting procedures, risks posed by significant litigation matters, cyber risks and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Sonic's NCG Committee monitors compliance with Sonic's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, evaluates proposed affiliate transactions for compliance with Sonic's Charter and applicable contracts, and reviews compliance with applicable laws and regulations related to corporate governance. Sonic's Compensation Committee reviews and evaluates potential risks related to the attraction and retention of talent, and risks related to the design of compensation programs established by the Compensation Committee for Sonic's executive officers.

Committees of the Board of Directors and their Charters. The Board of Directors of Sonic has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the NCG Committee. Each of these committees acts pursuant to a written charter, which was adopted by the Board of Directors and most recently amended in February 2013 for the Audit Committee, February 2006 for the NCG Committee and December 2007 for the Compensation Committee.

The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Heller (chairman), Belk, Doolan and Vorhoff. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Rewey (chairman), Belk, Doolan and Heller. The NCG Committee currently consists of Messrs. Vorhoff (chairman), Doolan and Rewey. Set forth below is a summary of the principal functions of each committee.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee appoints Sonic's independent accountants, reviews and approves the scope and results of audits performed by them and the Company's internal auditors, and reviews and approves the independent accountant's fees for audit and non-audit services. It also reviews certain corporate compliance matters and reviews the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's internal accounting and financial controls, its significant accounting policies, and its financial statements and related disclosures. A more detailed description of the Audit Committee's duties and responsibilities can be found in its charter. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Heller, Belk, Doolan and Vorhoff qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the current rules of the SEC, is financially literate as that term is defined by the rules of the NYSE, has accounting or related financial management expertise and is independent under the rules and regulations of the SEC, including as defined in Rule 10A-3(b)(1), and the current listing standards of the NYSE. The Audit Committee met eleven times during 2012.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to Sonic's accounting policies, reporting policies, internal controls, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, and the integrity of Sonic's financial reports. The Audit Committee manages Sonic's relationship with Sonic's independent accountants, who are ultimately accountable to the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate as such term is defined by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and independent as such term is defined by the current rules of the NYSE and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of Sonic with management and Ernst & Young LLP, Sonic's independent accountants. Management has the responsibility for preparing the financial statements, certifying that Sonic's financial statements are complete, accurate, and prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and implementing and maintaining internal controls and attesting to internal control over financial reporting. The independent accountants have the responsibility for performing an independent audit of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee also discussed and reviewed with the independent accountants all matters required to be discussed by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in SAS No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1 AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in Rule 3200T. With and without management present, the Audit Committee discussed and reviewed the results of the independent accountants' audit of the financial statements.

During 2012, the Audit Committee met eleven times, including meetings to discuss the interim financial information contained in each quarterly earnings announcement for the quarters ended December 31, 2011, March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012 with the chief financial officer and the independent accountants prior to public release. In addition, the Audit Committee regularly monitored the progress of management and the independent accountants in assessing Sonic's compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, including their findings, required resources and progress throughout the year.

In discharging its oversight responsibility as to the audit process, the Audit Committee received from the independent accountants the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence. The Audit Committee met separately with management, internal auditors and the independent accountants to discuss, among other things, the adequacy and effectiveness of Sonic's internal accounting and financial controls, the internal audit function's organization, responsibilities, budget and staffing and reviewed with both the independent accountants and the internal auditors their audit plans, audit scope, and identification of audit risks.

Based on these reviews and discussions with management and the independent accountants, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board and the Board approved that Sonic's audited financial statements be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Committee also recommended the appointment of the independent accountants, Ernst & Young LLP, as Sonic's independent accountants for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the Board concurred in such recommendation.

Robert Heller, Chairman

William I. Belk

Victor H. Doolan

David C. Vorhoff

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee administers certain compensation and employee benefit plans of Sonic and annually reviews and determines compensation of all executive officers of Sonic. The Compensation Committee administers the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "Stock Option Plan"), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Amended and Restated Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Incentive Compensation Plan"), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2004 Stock Incentive Plan"), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the "SERP"), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2012 Stock Incentive Plan") and certain other employee stock plans, approves individual grants of equity-based compensation under the plans it administers and periodically reviews Sonic's executive compensation programs and takes action to modify programs that yield payments or benefits not closely related to Sonic's or its executives' performance. The Compensation Committee also periodically reviews compensation of non-management directors and makes recommendations to the full Board, who determines the amount of such compensation. In formulating its recommendation to the full Board, the Compensation Committee considers the recommendations of management and, from time to time, independent consulting firms that specialize in executive compensation. The Board of Directors has determined that all Compensation Committee members are independent as defined in the current listing standards of the NYSE and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Compensation Committee met six times during 2012.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The NCG Committee is responsible for identifying individuals who are qualified to serve as directors of Sonic and for recommending qualified nominees to the Board of Directors for election or re-election as directors of Sonic. The NCG Committee will consider director nominees submitted by stockholders in accordance with the provisions of Sonic's Bylaws. The NCG Committee is also responsible for recommending committee members and chairpersons of committees of our Board of Directors and for establishing a system for, and monitoring the process of, performance reviews of the Board of Directors and its committees. Finally, the NCG Committee is responsible for developing and recommending to the Board of Directors a set of corporate governance principles applicable to Sonic and for monitoring compliance with Sonic's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The Board of Directors has determined that all NCG Committee members are independent as defined in the current listing standards of the NYSE and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The NCG Committee met three times during 2012.

The NCG Committee has a process of identifying and evaluating potential nominees for election as members of the Board of Directors, which includes considering recommendations by directors and management and may include engaging third party search firms to assist the NCG Committee in identifying and evaluating potential nominees. The NCG Committee has adopted a policy that stockholder nominees for director will be treated the same as nominees submitted by other directors or management.

As set forth in Sonic's Bylaws, Sonic's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charter of Sonic's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the NCG Committee considers potential nominees for directors from all sources, develops information from many sources concerning the potential nominee, and makes a decision whether to recommend any potential nominee for consideration for election as a member of the Board of Directors. Sonic's qualification standards for directors are set forth in its Corporate Governance Guidelines. These standards include the director's or nominee's:

independent judgment;

ability to qualify as an independent director (as defined under applicable SEC rules and regulations and NYSE listing standards);

ability to broadly represent the interests of all stockholders and other constituencies;

maturity and experience in policy making decisions;

time commitments, including service on other boards of directors;

business skills, background and relevant expertise that are useful to Sonic and its future needs;

willingness and ability to serve on committees of the board of directors; and

other factors relevant to the NCG Committee's determination.

As stated in Sonic's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board of Directors should be composed ideally of persons having a diversity of skills, background and expertise that are useful to Sonic and its future and ongoing needs. With this goal in mind, when considering potential nominees for the Board of Directors, the NCG Committee considers the standards above and each potential nominee's individual qualifications in light of the composition and needs of the Board of Directors at such time and its anticipated composition and needs in the future, but a director nominee should not be chosen nor excluded based on race, color, gender, national origin or sexual orientation.

Based on this process, the NCG Committee identified and recommended that Messrs. O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David Bruton Smith, William I. Belk, William R. Brooks, Victor H. Doolan, Robert Heller, Robert L. Rewey and David C. Vorhoff be nominated for re-election to the Board of Directors. In determining each nomination was appropriate and that each is qualified to serve on the Board of Directors, the NCG Committee considered the following:

Mr. William I. Belk: Mr. Belk has extensive consumer retail experience, serving in many positions of responsibility over a lengthy previous career in Belk Stores, a retail department store chain in the Southeastern U.S. controlled by the Belk family; has served on Sonic's Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee since March 1998; and has further served as Sonic's Lead Independent Director since August 2002.

Mr. William R. Brooks: Mr. Brooks has significant accounting and financial management expertise, having served as Chief Financial Officer of SMI, a publicly traded corporation, since 1994; has further served on Sonic's Board of Directors since the company's inception in 1997; and further serves as an officer and director of SFC, which is the largest stockholder of Sonic.

Mr. Victor H. Doolan: Mr. Doolan has significant expertise in the automotive industry, and particularly in manufacturing, sales and marketing, serving previously as President of Volvo Cars North America, as Executive Director of the Premier Automotive Group (the luxury division of Ford Motor Company during his tenure), and a 23-year career with BMW culminating with his service as President of BMW of North America; and has served on Sonic's Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since July 2005, and on Sonic's Compensation Committee since December 2009.

Mr. Robert Heller: Mr. Heller has significant expertise in economics, business, banking and consumer finance, having served previously as a Governor of the Federal Reserve System, President and Chief Executive Officer of Visa U.S.A., and as a director and Executive Vice President of Fair, Isaac and Company; and has served on Sonic's Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee since January 2000.

Mr. Robert L. Rewey: Mr. Rewey has significant expertise in the automotive industry, and particularly in manufacturing, sales and marketing; during a lengthy and distinguished career with Ford Motor Company, Mr. Rewey held numerous positions of authority, including Group Vice President of Ford Motor Company's North American Operations and Global Sales, Marketing and Customer Services, President of the Ford Division, President of the Lincoln Mercury Division; and has served on Sonic's Board of Directors, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since December 2001.

Mr. B. Scott Smith: Mr. Smith is the Co-Founder of Sonic; has served as an executive officer and director of Sonic since the company's inception in 1997; has over 23 years of experience working in the automobile dealership industry; is the son of Mr. O. Bruton Smith, the Chairman, CEO and controlling stockholder; and owns, directly and indirectly, a substantial percentage of Sonic's outstanding common stock that provides him with a significant level of voting power of Sonic.

Mr. David Bruton Smith: Mr. Smith has over 13 years of experience working in the automobile dealership industry; has served in several key roles as a manager and officer of Sonic over his almost 12 years of employment with the company; and is the son of Mr. O. Bruton Smith, the Chairman, CEO and controlling stockholder of Sonic.

Mr. O. Bruton Smith: Mr. Smith is the Founder of Sonic; has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sonic since the company's inception in 1997; owns, directly and indirectly, a significant percentage of Sonic's outstanding common stock that provides him with majority voting power of Sonic; and has extensive expertise in the automotive dealership industry, having worked in the industry since 1966.

Mr. David C. Vorhoff: Mr. Vorhoff has significant expertise in investment banking and mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Vorhoff is a co-founding Partner of McColl Partners, LLC, an investment banking firm headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, and has served as a Managing Director of the firm since its founding in 2001; prior investment banking experience as a Managing Director of Banc of America Securities Health Care Group and of NationsBanc Montgomery Securities Health Care Group in New York, and of NationsBank Capital Markets mergers and acquisitions group in Charlotte; and has served on Sonic's Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since April 2007.

How to Communicate with the Board of Directors and Non-Management Directors. Stockholders or interested parties wishing to communicate with our Board of Directors, or any of our individual directors, including the lead independent director presiding over non-management executive sessions, may do so by sending a written communication addressed to the respective director(s), or in the case of communications to the entire Board of Directors addressed to the attention of Sonic's Corporate Secretary, in care of Sonic Automotive, Inc., 4401 Colwick Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28211. Stockholders or interested parties wishing to communicate with our non-management directors as a group may do so by sending a written communication to William I. Belk, as lead independent director, at this address. Any communication addressed to any director that is received at Sonic's principal office will be delivered or forwarded to the respective director(s) as soon as practicable. Any communication addressed to the Board of Directors, in general, will be promptly delivered or forwarded to each director.

Stockholder Nominations of Directors

Stockholders may recommend a director candidate for consideration by the NCG Committee by submitting the candidate's name in accordance with provisions of our Bylaws that require advance notice to Sonic and certain other information. In general, under the Bylaws, the written notice must be received by Sonic's

Corporate Secretary not less than sixty (60) and not more than ninety (90) days prior to the annual meeting. The notice must contain, among other things, the nominee's name, date of birth, business and residential addresses and the information that would be required to be disclosed about the nominee pursuant to the SEC's rules in a proxy statement and, with respect to the stockholder submitting the nomination and anyone acting in concert with that stockholder, the name and business addresses of the stockholder and the person acting in concert with the stockholder, a representation that the stockholder is a record holder of Common Stock, a description of all arrangements, understandings or relationships between or among the stockholder, any person acting in concert with the stockholder and the nominee and the class and number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by the stockholder and any person acting in concert with that stockholder. A stockholder who is interested in recommending a director candidate should request a copy of Sonic's Bylaw provisions by writing to Stephen K. Coss, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, at Sonic's principal executive offices.

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We are requesting your advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and the narrative discussion set forth on pages 15 to 34 of this Proxy Statement.

Our compensation policies and procedures are competitive, are focused primarily on pay for performance principles and are intended to align with the long-term interests of our stockholders. We also believe that both the Company and stockholders benefit from responsive corporate governance policies and constructive and consistent dialogue. We are providing Sonic's stockholders an opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote on our compensation program at the Annual Meeting. This proposal, commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal, gives you, as a Sonic stockholder, an opportunity to endorse or not endorse the compensation we pay to our named executive officers.

We were pleased to have received a favorable vote for our compensation practices at our last annual meeting of stockholders, with approximately 99.8% of votes cast approving these practices. Our Compensation Committee considered these voting results a strong affirmation of stockholder support for our compensation practices. Our Compensation Committee will continue to consider the outcome of Sonic's say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers.

We encourage you to carefully review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 15 of this Proxy Statement for additional details on Sonic's executive compensation, including Sonic's compensation philosophy and objectives, as well as the processes our Compensation Committee used to determine the structure and amounts of the compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal 2012.

We are asking you to indicate your support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this Proxy Statement. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices used to structure compensation, which are described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we are asking you to vote, on a non-binding advisory basis, **FOR** the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to Sonic Automotive, Inc.'s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's compensation disclosure rules, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion set forth on pages 15 to 34 of this Proxy Statement, is hereby approved.

Your vote is advisory and will not be binding upon our Board of Directors. However, the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote in deciding whether to take any action as a result of the vote and when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP to serve as the principal independent registered public accounting firm of Sonic for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. Other than from May 14, 2012 to May 25, 2012, Ernst & Young LLP has acted in such capacity for Sonic since the Audit Committee approved the engagement of Ernst & Young LLP on June 9, 2008.

Ernst & Young LLP's reports on the financial statements for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Stockholder ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise. Nevertheless, the Board is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice and will reconsider whether to retain Ernst & Young LLP if the stockholders fail to ratify the Audit Committee's selection. In addition, even if the stockholders ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit Committee may in its discretion appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that a change is in the best interests of Sonic.

Fees and Services

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, fees for services provided by Ernst & Young LLP were as follows:

	2011	2012
Audit Fees (1)		
Recurring Audit and Quarterly Reviews	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 2,150,000
Registration Statements and Related Services	—	490,780
Audit-Related Fees (2)		369,067
Tax Fees (3)		
Tax Compliance Services		
Tax Planning and Advice	54,491	166,656
All Other Fees (4)		1,995

- (1) Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered in connection with or related to the audit of our consolidated annual financial statements, for the review of interim consolidated financial statements in Form 10-Qs, for service normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, including registration statements, and for services related to compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Certain of Ernst & Young LLP's fees will be billed in 2013 as services are rendered in connection with the audit of Sonic's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.
- (2) Audit-related fees consist of fees billed in the respective year for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our audited or interim consolidated financial statements and are not reported under the heading Audit Fees.
- (3) Tax fees consist of fees billed in the respective year for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

(4) All other fees consist of fees billed in the respective year for products and services other than the services reported in other categories. The Audit Committee considers the provision of these non-audit services to be compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

Pre-approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving all services provided by Sonic's independent registered public accounting firm and pre-approved all of the services provided in 2012. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee has delegated its pre-approval authority to its chairman. The chairman in turn reports to the Audit Committee at least quarterly on audit and non-audit services he pre-approved since his last report.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

2012 Executive Officer Compensation Program

The policy of the Compensation Committee is to:

link executive compensation to Sonic's business strategy and performance to attract, retain and reward key executive officers;

provide performance incentives and equity-based compensation intended to align the long-term interests of executive officers with those of Sonic's stockholders; and

offer salaries, incentive performance pay opportunities and perquisites that are competitive in the marketplace.

Sonic's executive compensation program is comprised primarily of two components: annual cash compensation, paid in the form of annual salary and performance-based bonuses, and long-term compensation, paid principally in the form of performance-based restricted shares of, performance-based restricted stock units convertible into shares of, and options to purchase, Sonic's Class A Common Stock. This compensation program is designed to place emphasis on performance-based compensation. The Compensation Committee typically reviews and adjusts base salaries and awards of cash bonuses and equity-based compensation in the first quarter of each year based on several factors, including management's recommendations approved by the Chief Executive Officer. Management's recommendations are developed under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer through a collaborative process involving members of Sonic's senior management team. The President, Chief Financial Officer and other members of senior management presented management's written recommendations, reports and proposals on 2012 compensation to the Compensation Committee. These recommendations and proposals addressed topics such as base salaries, overall structure, target levels and payout levels for the annual cash bonus program under Sonic's Incentive Compensation Plan, equity awards to executive officers, and management's rationale for these recommendations. The Compensation Committee considered these recommendations before determining compensation.

In addition to management's recommendations and proposals, in 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged Towers Watson, an independent consulting firm that specializes in executive compensation, to provide an analysis of the competitiveness of base salaries, annual cash bonus programs, total cash compensation (base salary plus cash bonus), long-term incentives and total direct compensation (total cash compensation plus the value of long-term incentives), paid by Sonic to its executive officers in comparison to similarly-situated executive officers of certain publicly traded automotive retail peer companies (Asbury Automotive Group, Inc., AutoNation, Inc., CarMax, Inc., Group 1 Automotive, Inc., and Penske Automotive Group, Inc.) and certain

other companies recommended by Towers Watson as appropriate for comparison based on similarity in size and nature of business (Advance Auto Parts, Inc., AutoZone, Inc., Avis-Budget Group, Inc., Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc., Dollar Tree, Inc., Dollar General Corporation, Family Dollar Stores, Inc., Genuine Parts Co., Hertz Global Holdings, Inc., O'Reilly Automotive, Inc., and Pep Boys - Manny, Moe and Jack). Towers Watson also took into account the Company's performance as compared to the companies in the comparison groups. In its reports, dated January 25, 2012 and February 7, 2012, Tower Watson provided a comparison of base salary, cash bonus, target and actual total cash compensation, long-term incentives and target and actual total direct compensation of each executive officer, a competitive analysis of the compensation structure of Sonic's executive officers compared to similarly situated executive officers of the publicly traded automotive retail peer group and extended peer group, and a comparison of the Company's performance. The Compensation Committee referred to this report and management's recommendations in determining executive compensation for 2012.

The Compensation Committee also considered the affirmative stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation disclosed in the proxy statement at the Company's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders (approximately 99.8% of the votes cast by our stockholders at the 2012 annual meeting were in favor of the proposal to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, our executive compensation) as one of the many factors it considered in connection with its determining executive compensation.

Annual Cash Compensation

Annual cash compensation for Sonic's executive officers consists of a base salary and the potential for an annual performance-based cash bonus. The annual cash compensation paid by Sonic to its executive officers during 2012 was targeted to be competitive principally in relation to other automotive retailing companies (such as those listed above included in the Peer Group Index in the performance graph appearing in our annual report to stockholders). While the Compensation Committee analyzes the competitiveness of annual cash compensation paid by Sonic to its executives in comparison to data from comparable companies, the Compensation Committee has not adopted any specific benchmarks for compensation of Sonic's executives in comparison to other companies.

Annual Salary

The base salaries of Sonic's executive officers and adjustments to executive officers' base salaries are generally based upon a subjective evaluation of the executive's performance by the Compensation Committee, executive compensation of comparable companies and management's recommendations. The Compensation Committee's evaluation is based upon non-quantitative factors such as the current responsibilities of each executive officer, the compensation of similarly situated executive officers of comparable companies, the performance of each executive officer during the prior calendar year (including subjective and objective evaluations of the performance of business units and functions under the particular executive's supervision), Sonic's strong operating and financial performance during the 2011 calendar year, the period of time that had lapsed since each of the respective officers last received an increase in base salary, and competitive factors and retention purposes. In March 2012, the Compensation Committee concurred with management's recommendations regarding base salaries for the executive officers and determined it was appropriate to increase the base salaries of each of the executive officers by three percent (3%) effective as of March 1, 2012.

Performance-Based Cash Bonuses

During 2012, Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, Dyke and David Bruton Smith participated in the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Incentive Compensation Plan"). Compensation under the Incentive Compensation Plan is intended to provide highly-qualified executives and other key employees with an incentive to devote their best efforts to Sonic and enhance the value of Sonic for the benefit of stockholders. After consideration of management's recommendations, on March 2, 2012, the Compensation Committee established objective, performance-based goals and potential bonus award amounts for

Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cospers, Dyke and David Bruton Smith for the performance period beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2012, with annual cash bonuses (if any) to be paid as soon as administratively practicable following the Compensation Committee's determination of the extent to which the specified performance goals were achieved. The amount of potential performance-based cash bonus for these individuals was based on a percentage of their respective annual base salary during the performance period. The Compensation Committee established two categories of performance goals for each of the executive officers: defined earnings per share (EPS) levels and customer satisfaction performance for Sonic's dealerships. In establishing the potential bonus awards for each executive officer, the Compensation Committee chose to more heavily weight the EPS component to more closely tie the executive's bonus to the profitability of the Company.

EPS was selected as the primary performance goal with the objective to closely align the executive officers' cash bonuses with profitability realized by the Company during 2012. For purposes of the Incentive Compensation Plan performance goals in 2012, EPS was defined as (A) Sonic's net income determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), adjusted to fix the income tax rate on continuing and discontinued operations at 40.0% and to take into account the timing of the disposition of dealerships during 2012 such that the budget and actual performance of dealerships disposed of during 2012 shall be included in the calculation only for the period up to the date of such disposition, and excluding the effects of (i) any gain or loss recognized by Sonic on the disposition of dealerships (including asset or lease impairment charges related to a decision to sell a specific dealership), (ii) asset write-downs and impairment charges, (iii) debt restructuring charges and costs, (iv) litigation judgments or settlements attributable to two identified lawsuits in which Sonic or a subsidiary of Sonic is a party, (v) any assessed withdrawal liability or settlement against Sonic and/or any of Sonic's subsidiaries with respect to any of Sonic's dealership subsidiaries that participate in or have participated in a specified multiemployer pension plan, and (vi) the cumulative effect of any changes in GAAP during 2012, divided by (B) a diluted weighted average share count of 40,000,000 shares. The Committee determined that for the 2012 cash bonus program, the EPS minimum, target and maximum objectives would be determined by reference to the actual volume of industry-wide new vehicles sold in the United States during the 2012 calendar year as reported by the National Automobile Dealers Association (the 2012 Industry Volume Level). The performance objectives established for defined EPS levels applicable to each of Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cospers, Dyke and David Bruton Smith were established by the Compensation Committee on March 2, 2012 as follows: for a 2012 Industry Volume Level of 12.5 million, the minimum EPS objective was \$1.63, the target EPS objective was \$2.04, and the maximum EPS objective was \$2.24; for a 2012 Industry Volume Level of 13.5 million, the minimum EPS objective was \$1.85, the target EPS objective was \$2.32 and the maximum EPS objective was \$2.55; and for a 2012 Industry Volume Level of 14.5 million, the minimum EPS objective was \$2.08, the target EPS objective was \$2.60 and the maximum objective was \$2.86. For 2012 Industry Volume Level between 12.5 million and 13.5 million, or between 13.5 million and 14.5 million, the minimum objective, target objective and maximum objective would be a pro rata amount between the respective objectives for such 2012 Industry Volume Level determined on a linear basis. For 2012 Industry Volume Level below 12.5 million, the minimum objective, target objective and maximum objective would be correspondingly reduced on a linear basis. For 2012 Industry Volume Level above 14.5 million, the minimum objective, target objective and maximum objective would be correspondingly increased on a linear basis. The EPS-based bonus payable was computed as a percentage of the respective executive officer's annual base salary earned during the performance period, with no bonus paid for performance below the minimum objective for defined EPS for the applicable 2012 Industry Volume Level; a bonus of 40% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for achieving the minimum objective for defined EPS for the applicable 2012 Industry Volume Level; a bonus of 100% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for achieving the target objective for defined EPS for the applicable 2012 Industry Volume Level; and a maximum bonus of 147.5% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for achieving the maximum objective for defined EPS for the applicable 2012 Industry Volume Level. For performance achieved that fell between two defined objectives for the applicable 2012 Industry Volume Level, the Compensation Committee determined that the bonus payable would equal a pro rata amount of the percentage bonus level between the two applicable defined objectives. The bonus payable would not exceed 147.5% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for performance achieved above the maximum EPS

objective. In addition, if Sonic's achieved defined EPS for 2012 was less than the break-even level of \$0.00, or a loss, no EPS bonus would be paid pursuant to the Incentive Compensation Plan, regardless of the 2012 Industry Volume Level. The target objective for defined EPS established by the Committee at specified 2012 Industry Volume Levels were selected to align closely with the mid-point of the range of management's internal forecast at such time for net income from continuing operations for 2012 at the specified 2012 Industry Volume Levels, giving effect to management's internal forecast at such time for anticipated loss from discontinued operations for 2012, and other anticipated relevant information. After establishing the target objectives for the specified 2012 Industry Volume Levels, the minimum objectives for defined EPS at the specified 2012 Industry Volume Levels were established at 80% of the target objective at such specified 2012 Industry Volume Level, and the maximum objectives for defined EPS at the specified 2012 Industry Volume Levels were established at 110% of the target objective at such specified 2012 Industry Volume Level. In establishing these bonus award amounts and performance goals, the Compensation Committee expressly reserved the right to reduce bonus awards in the event that the Compensation Committee determined that subjective or other factors warranted a reduction.

Customer satisfaction (CSI) performance was selected as the other performance goal in order to align the executive officers' cash bonuses with two other important company goals: (i) meeting the expectations of our dealership customers and (ii) meeting the expectations of our manufacturers. The CSI performance objective was based on the percentage of Sonic's dealerships, as reported by the applicable manufacturer, which for the performance period met or exceeded their applicable manufacturer's objective CSI standard applicable to the particular dealership as of December 31, 2012. Only dealerships owned by Sonic for the entire 2012 fiscal year were to be included in determining achievement of the CSI performance goals. The performance objectives established for CSI performance applicable to each of Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, Dyke and David Bruton Smith were established by the Compensation Committee on March 2, 2012 as follows: no bonus paid for performance below the minimum objective of 65% of Sonic's dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance; a bonus of 5% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for achieving the minimum objective of 65% of Sonic's dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance; a bonus of 15% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for achieving the target objective of 70% of Sonic's dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance; and a maximum bonus of 25% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for achieving the maximum objective of 75% of Sonic's dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance. For performance achieved that fell between two defined objectives, the Compensation Committee determined that the bonus payable would equal a pro rata amount of the bonus level between the two applicable defined objectives. For performance achieved above the maximum objective, the bonus payable for the CSI component would be capped at 25% of annual base salary earned during the performance period. In establishing these bonus award amounts and performance goals, the Compensation Committee expressly reserved the right to reduce bonus awards in the event that the Compensation Committee determined that subjective or other factors warranted a reduction. Consistent with the terms of the Incentive Compensation Plan, the Compensation Committee also capped the aggregate cash bonus payable to any executive officer at a \$3.0 million maximum amount.

On March 22, 2013, based on management's report regarding Sonic's performance against the performance-based goals, the Compensation Committee certified that the objective, performance-based criteria for the defined EPS component had been met at a level between the target objective and the minimum objective because Sonic achieved a defined EPS of \$2.32 for a 2012 Industry Volume Level of 14.4 million, and the CSI component had been met at the maximum objective level because 86.6% of the applicable dealerships had met or exceeded the requisite CSI performance. This resulted in bonuses for each criterion as follows: 71.7% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for the defined EPS component and 25% of annual base salary earned during the performance period for the CSI component. As a result, the Compensation Committee authorized award amounts for each of the executive officers for the specified levels of achievement within those performance categories in the following amounts: \$1,091,183 for Bruton Smith, \$942,385 for Scott Smith, \$729,109 for David Cosper, \$766,308 for Jeff Dyke and \$600,150 for David Bruton Smith. During its deliberations regarding awards payable under the Incentive Compensation Plan, the Compensation Committee also concluded that the amount of the awards did not adequately compensate the executive officers

for their efforts that led to Sonic's strong operating and financial results in 2012. In reaching this conclusion, the Committee noted that Sonic's earnings per share had increased significantly in 2012 as compared to 2011, but that application of the Incentive Compensation Plan terms for 2012 yielded significantly lower Incentive Compensation Plan cash bonus amounts to the executive officers than had been awarded for Sonic's performance during 2011. In recognition of these efforts and to more closely align each of these executive officer's cash performance bonus with Sonic's 2012 performance, the Compensation Committee awarded supplemental discretionary cash bonuses to the named executive officers in the following amounts: O. Bruton Smith, \$318,400; B. Scott Smith, \$274,982; David Bruton Smith, \$175,120; David P. Cospers, \$212,749; and Jeff Dyke, \$223,603. The Compensation Committee approved payment of the Incentive Compensation Plan cash bonuses and supplemental discretionary cash bonuses in March 2013.

Long-term Equity Compensation

The Compensation Committee believes that equity-based compensation is an effective means of aligning the long-term interests of Sonic's key officers and employees with those of its stockholders, to provide incentives to, to attract and retain and to encourage equity ownership by, key officers and employees providing service to Sonic and its subsidiaries upon whose efforts Sonic's success and future growth depends. Sonic's long-term compensation program is based principally upon awards of (a) performance-based restricted shares of Sonic's Class A Common Stock, (b) performance-based restricted stock units convertible into shares of Sonic's Class A Common Stock, and (c) options to purchase Sonic's Class A Common Stock under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Awards of stock options, restricted stock or restricted stock units are based generally upon a subjective evaluation of the executive's performance by the Compensation Committee, executive compensation of comparable companies and management's recommendations submitted to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee's evaluation considers a number of non-quantitative factors, including the responsibilities of the individual officers for and contribution to Sonic's operating results (in relation to other recipients of Sonic equity awards), and their expected future contributions, as well as prior awards to the particular executive officer.

On March 2, 2012, the Compensation Committee determined it was in the best interests of Sonic's stockholders to grant performance-based restricted shares of Class A Common Stock and restricted stock units to executive officers of Sonic for the 2012 calendar year under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan in the following amounts: Mr. O. Bruton Smith, 66,219 restricted stock units; Mr. B. Scott Smith, 57,189 restricted stock units; Mr. David Cospers, 44,247 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock; Mr. Jeff Dyke, 46,504 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock; and Mr. David Bruton Smith, 36,421 restricted stock units.

These restricted shares and restricted stock units were subject to forfeiture based upon Sonic's achievement of defined EPS levels for the 2012 calendar year, under the same criteria as established by the Compensation Committee for the defined EPS component of the executive officers' Incentive Compensation Plan cash bonus terms for 2012 (see Performance-Based Cash Bonuses above). The Compensation Committee chose the defined EPS-based performance criteria for the restricted share and restricted stock unit grants for the same reasons as it was chosen to be the primary performance criteria for performance-based cash bonuses, as set forth above. The performance-based restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards vest in three annual installments, with twenty-five percent (25%) vesting on March 31, 2013, thirty percent (30%) vesting on March 2, 2014 and forty-five percent (45%) vesting on March 2, 2015. Nevertheless, the Compensation Committee chose to establish a one-year defined EPS performance condition primarily because of the difficulty of providing an accurate forecast for Sonic's EPS for a three-year future period. The specific performance objectives for the restricted share and restricted stock unit grants to Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cospers, Dyke and David Bruton Smith were as follows. For achievement of defined EPS in 2012 below 75% of the applicable EPS target objective established by the Committee, the restricted stock grants and restricted stock unit grants were to be forfeited in their entirety. For achievement of defined EPS in 2012 at or above 75% of the applicable EPS target objective established by the Committee, the number of restricted shares and restricted stock units that would remain outstanding would equal (a) the number of restricted shares or restricted stock units granted multiplied by (b) Sonic's actual defined EPS for the 2012 fiscal year expressed as a percentage of the

applicable EPS target objective, but such percentage would not exceed 100% for purposes of this grant, and the remaining restricted shares and restricted stock units would be forfeited.

As a result of the Company's EPS for fiscal year 2012, the Compensation Committee reduced the awards described above to the following amounts: Mr. O. Bruton Smith, 59,994 restricted stock units; Mr. B. Scott Smith, 51,813 restricted stock units; Mr. David P. Cosper, 40,088 restricted shares; Mr. Jeff Dyke, 42,133 restricted shares; and Mr. David Bruton Smith, 32,997 restricted stock units. In addition, during its deliberations regarding the 2012 equity-based awards under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee concluded that the amount of the awards did not adequately compensate the executive officers for their efforts that led to Sonic's strong operating and financial results in 2012. In reaching this conclusion, the Committee noted that Sonic's earnings per share had increased significantly in 2012 as compared to 2011. In recognition of these efforts and to more closely align each of these executive officer's long-term equity compensation with Sonic's 2012 performance, the Compensation Committee awarded supplemental performance-based equity awards to the named executive officers in the following amounts: O. Bruton Smith, 21,423 restricted stock units; B. Scott Smith, 18,403 restricted stock units; David Bruton Smith, 11,674 restricted stock units; and Jeff Dyke, 15,010 restricted stock units. These awards are subject to a one-year defined ESP performance condition, and if met, the restricted stock units will vest on March 31, 2014. The Compensation Committee approved the supplemental performance-based equity awards in March 2013.

For additional details concerning the options and restricted stock granted to and held by the executive officers during the 2012 calendar year, see Compensation of Executive Officers, Grants of Plan-Based Awards During 2012, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2012 Year-End and Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2012.

Deferred Compensation Plan and Other Benefits

Executive officers of Sonic (including the Chief Executive Officer) were also eligible to participate in the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Plan) during the 2012 calendar year. For 2012, executive officers could elect to defer a portion of their annual cash compensation, up to 75% of base salary and up to 100% of eligible incentive bonus amounts. For plan years prior to January 1, 2010, Sonic made matching contributions of 20% of the amount deferred by each employee, not to exceed \$10,000 per plan year in matching contributions, but Sonic subsequently suspended such cash matching contributions under the Deferred Plan beginning with the 2010 plan year. Sonic may also make supplemental contributions for eligible employees to make up for the additional matching contributions the employees would have received under Sonic's 401(k) plan in the absence of legal limitations on the amount of compensation that could be considered under the 401(k) plan (e.g., \$250,000 for 2012). Sonic's contributions generally vest based on an employee's full years of Deferred Plan participation with 20% vesting for each year so that an employee is fully vested after five years of participation. Participation in the Deferred Plan is offered annually to a select group of our management and highly compensated employees. Contributions by participants in the Deferred Plan, including the executive officers, are credited with a rate of return (positive or negative) based on deemed investments selected by a participant from among several different investment funds, with such deemed earnings determined by the actual market performance of the investment funds selected by the participant. Mr. Cosper was a participant in the Deferred Plan during 2012. Please see the discussion under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2012 for further information about the Deferred Plan.

Each of the executive officers of Sonic was also afforded the use of company demonstrator vehicles for personal use during 2012. Personal use of company vehicles is a common competitive perquisite afforded to executives in the automobile dealership industry with both publicly-held and privately-owned dealership companies. During 2012, each of Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, David Bruton Smith and Dyke was afforded the use of Company vehicles for personal use, the imputed value of which was \$98,250 for Mr. Bruton Smith, \$67,975 for Mr. Scott Smith, \$20,370 for Mr. Cosper, \$27,386 for Mr. David Bruton Smith and \$33,504 for Mr. Dyke, each as reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the particular executive officer in Compensation of Executive Officers Summary Compensation Table.

Executive officers of Sonic (including the Chief Executive Officer) were also eligible in 2012 to participate in various benefit plans on similar terms to those provided to other employees of Sonic, including matching contributions under Sonic's 401(k) plan. These benefit plans provided to employees of Sonic, including the executive officers, are intended to provide a safety net of coverage against various events, such as death, disability and retirement. Mr. Cosper received matching contributions under Sonic's 401(k) plan for 2012, the amounts of which are reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the particular executive officer in Compensation of Executive Officers Summary Compensation Table.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Sonic Automotive, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP) was adopted effective as of January 1, 2010. The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that is considered unfunded for federal tax purposes and intended for a select group of management or highly compensated employees. The Compensation Committee adopted the SERP in order to attract and retain key employees by providing a retirement benefit in addition to the benefits provided by Sonic's tax-qualified and other nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The Compensation Committee selects the employees who will become SERP participants and designates each such employee as a Tier 1 participant, Tier 2 participant or Tier 3 participant. Messrs. David P. Cosper and Jeff Dyke were designated as Tier 1 participants in the SERP effective as of January 1, 2010.

Subject to a specified vesting schedule, the SERP generally provides a retirement benefit in the form of an annual payment for a period of 15 years, with the annual payment based on a specified percentage of the participant's final average salary. The annual payment for a Tier 1 participant is based on 50% of final average salary. The annual payment for a Tier 2 participant is based on 40% of final average salary. The annual payment for a Tier 3 participant is based on 35% of final average salary. Final average salary generally means the average of the participant's highest three annual base salaries during the last five plan years prior to the participant's separation from service with Sonic. A participant is generally eligible for the vested portion of his or her SERP benefit upon normal retirement after reaching age 65 or age 55 with at least 10 years of employment with Sonic. If a participant leaves Sonic before qualifying for normal retirement, the participant's SERP benefit generally is reduced for early retirement (in addition to application of the vesting schedule). The vested benefit is reduced by 10% for each year the participant's payment commencement date precedes the earliest date the participant would have been eligible for normal retirement. The reduction for early retirement does not apply to Mr. Cosper. Please see the discussion under Pension Benefits for 2012 for further information about the SERP.

Federal Income Tax Considerations

As noted above, the compensation paid to Sonic's executive officers is based primarily on the performance of Sonic. The Compensation Committee considers the potential effect of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) in designing our executive compensation program, along with other factors in the context of our overall approach to executive compensation. Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits Sonic's annual federal income tax deduction for compensation paid to certain covered employees (generally, the Chief Executive Officer and certain other executive officers subject to Section 162(m) of the Code) to \$1.0 million with respect to each such executive officer, unless the compensation meets the various technical requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code. Executive officer compensation attributable to stock options granted under the Stock Option Plan, 2004 Stock Incentive Plan or 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, awards of performance-based restricted stock or performance-based restricted stock units pursuant to the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan or 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, and annual cash bonuses paid under the Incentive Compensation Plan generally are intended to meet the requirements for deductible performance-based compensation. The Compensation Committee intends to continue to manage Sonic's executive compensation program in a manner that is intended to preserve material federal income tax deductions when appropriate and if deductibility can be achieved without sacrificing flexibility and other important elements of the overall compensation program. However, the Compensation Committee also must approach executive compensation in a manner which will attract, motivate and retain key personnel.

Accordingly, the Compensation Committee retains the ability to evaluate performance and compensate Sonic's executive officers appropriately in the Committee's judgment, even if it may result in certain compensation that may not be deductible under Section 162(m) of the Code. For example, the Compensation Committee considered Sonic's strong operating and financial results in 2012 and awarded supplemental discretionary cash bonuses to the executive officers for 2012 that do not meet the requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code. The Compensation Committee believes that the flexibility to award such compensation serves the interests of Sonic and its stockholders by allowing the Compensation Committee to compensate executive officers appropriately in its discretion as circumstances warrant.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of SEC Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in Sonic's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and this Proxy Statement.

Robert L. Rewey, Chairman

William I. Belk

Victor H. Doolan

Robert Heller

Compensation of Executive Officers

The following table sets forth compensation paid by or on behalf of Sonic to the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of Sonic and to Sonic's other named executive officers for services rendered during Sonic's fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012:

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position(s)	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total(\$)
O. Bruton Smith Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2012	\$ 1,127,500	\$ 318,400	\$ 1,228,362	\$	\$ 1,091,183	\$	\$ 98,250(3)	\$ 3,863,695
	2011	1,100,000		614,290		1,760,000		95,390	3,569,680
	2010	1,100,000		623,150		1,760,000		85,896	3,569,046
(principal executive officer)									
B. Scott Smith President, Chief Strategic Officer and Director	2012	\$ 973,750	\$ 274,982	\$ 1,060,856	\$	\$ 942,385	\$	\$ 67,975(4)	\$ 3,319,948
	2011	950,000		530,642		1,520,000		61,900	3,062,542
	2010	950,000		538,175		1,520,000		53,355	3,061,530
David P. Cospier Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$ 753,375	\$ 212,749	\$ 820,782	\$	\$ 729,109	\$ 432,166	\$ 45,041(5)	\$ 2,993,222
	2011	735,000		410,529		1,176,000	717,127	18,889	3,057,545
	2010	729,167		396,550		1,166,667	2,436,302	28,102	4,756,788
(principal financial officer)									
David Bruton Smith Executive Vice President and Director	2012	\$ 620,125	\$ 175,120	\$ 675,610	\$	\$ 600,150	\$	\$ 27,386(6)	\$ 2,098,391
	2011	605,000		\$ 337,990		968,000		39,180	1,950,170
	2010	605,000		342,733		968,000		27,816	1,943,549
Jeff Dyke Executive Vice President of Operations	2012	\$ 791,218	\$ 223,603	\$ 862,649	\$	\$ 766,308	\$ 432,082	\$ 50,504(7)	\$ 3,126,364
	2011	772,500		431,310		1,236,000	752,839	32,754	3,225,403
	2010	768,750		424,875		1,230,000	2,014,550	14,521	4,452,696

- (1) Both Stock and Option Awards are valued based on the grant date fair value as calculated under the provisions of Stock Compensation in the Accounting Standards Codification (the ASC). The Stock and Option Awards vest in various increments over a three-year period. See Note 10 to Sonic's Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for the valuation assumptions used in determining the fair value of the awards.
- (2) The amount shown for 2012 represents the change in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the SERP from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The amount shown for 2011 represents the change in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the SERP from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011. The amount shown for 2010 represents the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the SERP as of December 31, 2010, which is shown because the SERP was not effective until January 1, 2010. The amounts shown for David P. Cospers and Jeff Dyke assume retirement at the earliest age at which unreduced benefits could be paid. Messrs. Cospers and Dyke are not fully vested in their SERP benefits. See Pension Benefits for 2012 for further information about the SERP, including the assumptions used for these calculations.
- (3) The perquisites for O. Bruton Smith represent the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The imputed value of the demo vehicles was \$98,250. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (4) The perquisites for B. Scott Smith represent the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The imputed value of the demo vehicles was \$67,975. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (5) The perquisites for David P. Cospers include the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company, Company matching contributions under the 401(k) plan and Company contributions under the Deferred Plan. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (6) The perquisites for David Bruton Smith represent the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The imputed value of the demo vehicles was \$27,386. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (7) The perquisites for Jeff Dyke represent the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The imputed value of the demo vehicles was \$33,504. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards During 2012

The following table sets forth information regarding all grants of awards made to the named executive officers during 2012 under any plan.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(6)			All Other Awards: or Exercise of Securities of Stock Underlying Option Awards(2)		Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(2)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Number of Shares or Option Units(2)	Base Price (\$/Sh)(2)	
O. Bruton Smith	3/02/2012(3)	\$ 507,375	\$ 1,296,625	\$ 1,944,938						
	3/02/2011(4)				49,664	66,219	66,219			\$ 1,228,362(5)
B. Scott Smith	3/02/2012(3)	\$ 438,188	\$ 1,119,813	\$ 1,679,719						
	3/02/2011(4)				42,892	57,189	57,189			1,060,856(5)
David P. Cospers	3/02/2012(3)	\$ 339,019	\$ 866,381	\$ 1,299,572						
	3/02/2011(4)				33,185	44,247	44,247			820,782(5)
David Bruton Smith	3/02/2012(3)	\$ 279,056	\$ 713,144	\$ 1,069,716						
	3/02/2011(4)				27,316	36,421	36,421			675,610(5)
Jeff Dyke	3/02/2012(3)	\$ 356,048	\$ 909,901	\$ 1,364,851						
	3/02/2011(4)				34,878	46,504	46,504			862,649(5)

(1) Amounts earned in 2012 are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

(2) There were no stock options granted in 2012.

(3) Grants issued pursuant to the Incentive Compensation Plan.

(4) Grants issued pursuant to the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

(5) Stock Awards are valued based on the grant date fair value as calculated under the provisions of Stock Compensation in the ASC.

(6) The amounts of these awards were adjusted based on final certification of performance targets to the following amounts: O. Bruton Smith from 66,219 to 59,994; B. Scott Smith from 57,189 to 51,813; David P. Cospers from 44,247 to 40,088; David Bruton Smith from 36,421 to 32,997; and Jeff Dyke from 46,504 to 42,133.

For a description of additional terms of the compensation and grants disclosed in the tables above, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Employment Agreements

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

Sonic has an employment agreement (the "Employment Agreement") with Mr. Cosper. Under the Employment Agreement, Sonic agreed to employ Mr. Cosper through March 2, 2009, subject to automatic extension for successive one-year periods. The Employment Agreement sets forth the basic terms of employment for Mr. Cosper, including provisions for annual base salary, annual performance-based cash bonus and eligibility to participate in Sonic's equity compensation plans and benefit programs.

The Employment Agreement contains restrictive covenants that prohibit, during periods defined in the Employment Agreement and subject to certain limited exceptions, Mr. Cosper from (i) competing with Sonic, (ii) employing or soliciting Sonic's employees, (iii) interfering with Sonic's relationships with its customers or vendors and (iv) disclosing or using in an unauthorized manner any of Sonic's confidential or proprietary information. Sonic will not be obligated to pay Mr. Cosper any applicable severance if he violates the non-competition provisions of his Employment Agreement. These restrictive covenants generally apply for a period of two years following the later of the expiration or termination of employment under the Employment Agreement. The restrictive covenants limit Mr. Cosper's competitive activities within any Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or county in which Sonic has a place of business on the date of expiration or termination of the Employment Agreement.

For a description of additional terms of the Employment Agreement, see [Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control](#).

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2012 Year-End

Name	Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End					Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested
	Award Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$ (3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (4)
O. Bruton Smith	2/19/2004	100,000			\$ 23.78	2/19/2014			
	4/21/2005	100,000			\$ 19.23	4/21/2015			
	2/9/2006	90,000			\$ 23.94	2/9/2016			
	3/19/2007	45,000			\$ 28.04	3/19/2017			
	3/30/2009	183,333			\$ 1.81	3/30/2019			
	2/26/2010					20,166	\$ 421,268		
	3/18/2011					31,333	\$ 654,546		
	3/2/2012							66,219	\$ 1,383,315
B. Scott Smith	2/19/2004	50,000			\$ 23.78	2/19/2014			
	4/21/2005	75,000			\$ 19.23	4/21/2015			
	2/9/2006	72,000			\$ 23.94	2/9/2016			
	3/19/2007	36,000			\$ 28.04	3/19/2017			
	3/30/2009	52,778			\$ 1.81	3/30/2019			
	2/26/2010					17,416	\$ 363,820		
	3/18/2011					27,066	\$ 565,409		
	3/2/2012							57,189	\$ 1,194,678
David P. Cosper	3/19/2007	20,000			\$ 28.04	3/19/2017			
	2/26/2010					12,833	\$ 268,081		
	3/18/2011					20,940	\$ 437,437		

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

	3/2/2012					44,247	\$ 924,320
David Bruton Smith	4/21/2003	2,000	\$ 15.90	4/21/2013			
	10/23/2003	2,000	\$ 26.36	10/23/2013			
	4/21/2004	10,000	\$ 23.42	4/21/2014			
	4/21/2005	8,000	\$ 19.23	4/21/2015			
	10/19/2005	20,000	\$ 21.23	10/19/2015			
	4/19/2006	14,405	\$ 26.42	4/19/2016			
	4/18/2007	7,203	\$ 30.07	4/18/2017			
	2/26/2010				11,091	\$ 231,691	
	3/18/2011				17,240	\$ 360,144	
	3/2/2012					36,421	\$ 760,835
Jeff Dyke	10/19/2005	20,000	\$ 21.23	10/19/2015			
	4/19/2006	33,500	\$ 26.42	4/19/2016			
	4/18/2007	10,050	\$ 30.07	4/18/2017			
	2/26/2010				13,750	\$ 287,238	
	3/18/2011				22,000	\$ 459,580	
	3/2/2012					46,504	\$ 971,469

- (1) Options granted on April 21, 2003, October 23, 2003, April 21, 2004, April 21, 2005, October 19, 2005 and March 30, 2009 vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted on April 18, 2007 cliff vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted on February 19, 2004 vest 1/3 on the first anniversary of the date of grant and the remaining 2/3 on December 22, 2005. Options granted on February 9, 2006 and April 19, 2006 vest in two equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted on March 19, 2007 vest on March 19, 2008.

- (2) The remaining non-vested equity incentive plan award shares or units granted on February 26, 2010 vest on February 26, 2013. The remaining non-vested equity incentive plan award shares or units granted on March 18, 2011 vest half on March 18, 2013 and the remaining half on March 18, 2014.
- (3) Market value based on the December 31, 2012 closing market price of our Class A Common Stock of \$20.89 per share.
- (4) The unearned, non-vested equity incentive award shares and units granted on March 2, 2012 vest 25% on March 31, 2013, 30% on March 2, 2014 and 45% on March 2, 2015. The amounts of these awards were adjusted based on final certification of performance targets to the following amounts: O. Bruton Smith from 66,219 to 59,994; B. Scott Smith from 57,189 to 51,813; David P. Cosper from 44,247 to 40,088; David Bruton Smith from 36,421 to 32,997; and Jeff Dyke from 46,504 to 42,133.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2012

The following table sets forth information concerning each exercise of stock options and each vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units during 2012 for each of the named executive officers on an aggregated basis.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise \$(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
O. Bruton Smith	100,000(2)	\$ 126,000	20,167	\$ 335,176(3)
B. Scott Smith	15,667	\$ 280,596(4)	17,417	\$ 289,471(3)
	105,555	\$ 1,721,539	13,534	\$ 242,394(4)
	9,505	\$ 17,204		
	3,642	\$ 6,556		
David P. Cosper	800	\$ 1,448		
	36,053	\$ 68,140	12,833	\$ 213,284(3)
	38,889	\$ 634,280	10,470	\$ 187,518(4)
David Bruton Smith	14,393	\$ 233,023	11,092	\$ 184,349(3)
	300	\$ 4,857	8,620	\$ 154,384(4)
	100	\$ 180		
	18,918	\$ 306,282		
Jeff Dyke	2,900	\$ 5,220		
	10,548	\$ 191,868	13,750	\$ 228,525(3)
	31,120	\$ 566,073	11,000	\$ 197,010(4)

- (1) Represents pre-tax gain on exercise.
- (2) The exercise price of these options was paid using net-share settlement. Mr. O. Bruton Smith received 7,216 shares of Class A Common Stock following the exercise of these options.
- (3) Represents aggregate dollar amount realized upon vesting based on the closing price of the Class A Common Stock on the date of vesting of restricted stock units or restricted stock as follows: for Messrs. O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David P. Cosper, David Bruton Smith and Jeff Dyke on February 26, 2012 at a closing price of \$16.62 per share.
- (4) Represents aggregate dollar amount realized upon vesting based on the closing price of the Class A Common Stock on the date of vesting of restricted stock units or restricted stock as follows: for Messrs. O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David P. Cosper, David Bruton Smith and Jeff Dyke on March 31, 2012 at a closing price of \$17.91 per share.

Pension Benefits for 2012

The following table sets forth information regarding pension benefits for Sonic's named executive officers as of December 31, 2012.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)(1)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)(2)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
O. Bruton Smith (3)	N/A			
B. Scott Smith (3)	N/A			
David P. Cospers	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	N/A	3,585,595(4)(5)	
David Bruton Smith (3)	N/A			
Jeff Dyke	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	N/A	3,199,471(4)	

- (1) Benefits under the SERP are based on a percentage of final average salary and the percentage does not increase based on years of credited service. Vesting under the SERP is based on years of participation in the SERP. Messrs. Cospers and Dyke each have three years of participation in the SERP. Normal retirement under the SERP is age 65 or age 55 with at least 10 years of service with Sonic. Vested benefits are reduced for early retirement, although the reduction for early retirement does not apply to Mr. Cospers. As of December 31, 2012, Mr. Cospers has 6 5/6 years of service with Sonic and Mr. Dyke has 7 1/4 years of service with Sonic.
- (2) The accumulated benefit is based on salary considered by the SERP for the period through December 31, 2012. The present value of the accumulated benefit has been calculated assuming that the named executive officers remain in service through the earliest date as of which they could receive unreduced benefits and that the benefit will be payable in the form of an annual payment for 15 years. Other assumptions used to determine the present value of accumulated benefits are described in the summary below.
- (3) Messrs. O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith and David Bruton Smith are not participants in the SERP.
- (4) Messrs. Cospers and Dyke are not fully vested in their SERP benefits. Actual benefits will be determined at termination of employment based on actual salary and years of SERP participation and, in the case of Mr. Dyke, years of service with Sonic.
- (5) As previously announced, Mr. Cospers will retire from Sonic on March 31, 2013. At that time, Mr. Cospers will be 20% vested in his SERP benefits. When he retires, Mr. Cospers will be entitled to annual payments of \$74,235 for 15 years beginning in 2013 (the present value of which is \$717,119 using a 3.85% discount rate).

On December 7, 2009, the Compensation Committee adopted the SERP to be effective as of January 1, 2010. In connection with the adoption of the SERP, the Compensation Committee authorized the establishment of an irrevocable grantor trust known as a "rabbi trust" for the purpose of accumulating assets from which SERP liabilities may be paid. The following is a brief description of certain material terms of the SERP.

The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that is considered unfunded for federal tax purposes and intended for a select group of management or highly compensated employees. The SERP is subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). The purpose of the SERP is to attract and retain key employees by providing a retirement benefit in addition to the benefits provided by Sonic's tax-qualified and other nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The Compensation Committee selects the employees who will become SERP participants and designates each such employee as a Tier 1 participant, Tier 2 participant or Tier 3 participant.

David P. Cosper, Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, and Jeff Dyke, Executive Vice President of Operations, were designated as Tier 1 participants in the SERP effective as of January 1, 2010, in each case subject to execution of a participation agreement. Amounts reported in the Pension Benefits table above as the actuarial present value of accumulated benefit under the SERP are calculated assuming that the benefit is in the form of an annual payment for 15 years and assuming that Mr. Cosper and Mr. Dyke remain in service with Sonic until the earliest age at which unreduced benefits would be payable, which is age 63 for Mr. Cosper and age 55 for Mr. Dyke. (However, as previously announced, Mr. Cosper will retire from Sonic on March 31, 2013. See Footnote 5 to the Pension Benefits Table above.) The present value of accumulated benefit is calculated using the discount rate assumption that Sonic also uses for its financial statement disclosures, which at December 31, 2012 was 3.85%. Mr. Cosper's and Mr. Dyke's actual years of participation in the SERP and actual years of service with Sonic are indicated in a footnote to the Pension Benefits table above. No additional years of service have been credited to the named executive officers under the SERP.

Subject to the vesting schedule described below, the SERP generally provides a retirement benefit in the form of an annual payment for a period of 15 years, with the annual payment based on a specified percentage of the participant's final average salary. The annual payment for a Tier 1 participant is based on 50% of final average salary. The annual payment for a Tier 2 participant is based on 40% of final average salary. The annual payment for a Tier 3 participant is based on 35% of final average salary. Final average salary generally means the average of the participant's highest three annual base salaries during the last five plan years prior to the participant's separation from service with Sonic. A participant is generally eligible for the vested portion of his or her SERP benefit upon normal retirement after reaching age 65 or age 55 with at least 10 years of employment with Sonic.

As noted above, participants are subject to a vesting schedule for their SERP benefits based on their Years of Plan Service (i.e., a 365-day period of employment beginning on the effective date of SERP participation and each anniversary thereof). Unless otherwise specified by the Compensation Committee, participants vest in their SERP benefits as follows:

Years of Plan Service	Percent Vested
Less than 1	0%
At least 1 but less than 2	20%
At least 2 but less than 3	40%
At least 3 but less than 4	60%
At least 4 but less than 5	80%
5 or more	100%

However, Mr. Cosper vests in his SERP benefits as follows:

Years of Plan Service	Percent Vested
Less than 2	0%
At least 2 but less than 4	20%
At least 4 but less than 6	40%
At least 6 but less than 8	75%
8 or more	100%

Participants also become 100% vested if they die or become disabled (as defined in the SERP) while employed with Sonic, or upon a change in control (as defined in the SERP) while employed with Sonic.

If a participant leaves Sonic before qualifying for normal retirement, the participant's SERP benefit generally is reduced for early retirement (in addition to application of the vesting schedule). The vested benefit is reduced by 10% for each year the participant's payment commencement date precedes the earliest date the participant would have been eligible for normal retirement. However, the reduction for early retirement does not apply to Mr. Cosper. Mr. Cosper may retire without a reduction in his vested benefits.

A participant earns his or her SERP benefit over a period from the later of age 45 or the participant's effective date of SERP participation, to the later of the participant's normal retirement date or the date he or she becomes 100% vested in his or her SERP benefit.

Generally, benefit payments begin the first of the month following the month in which normal retirement or early retirement occurs. If the participant is a specified employee under Section 409A of the Code, the first payment following normal or early retirement generally must be postponed for six months following termination. Subsequent annual payments will be made on the anniversary of the date the initial installment otherwise would have been made.

If a participant terminates employment with Sonic within 2 years after a change in control, the participant will receive the vested portion of his normal retirement benefit or reduced early retirement benefit, as applicable, in a lump sum payment based on the present value of his unpaid, vested accrued benefit.

If a participant dies during the 15-year payment period, payments continue to the participant's surviving spouse (if any). If a participant dies before terminating employment with Sonic, the lump sum value of his accrued benefit (calculated as if the date of death were the date of normal retirement) will be paid to his designated beneficiary. If a participant becomes disabled while employed with Sonic, the participant will be entitled to a regular SERP benefit payable for 15 years (calculated as if the date of disability were the date of normal retirement).

If a participant is terminated for cause or it is discovered after termination that the participant could have been terminated for certain reasons constituting cause, the participant will forfeit all benefits under the SERP, including any remaining unpaid benefits if already in pay status. Under the SERP, reasons constituting cause include material breach of the participant's obligations in any employment agreement which is not timely remedied, the participant's breach of any applicable restrictive covenants, conviction of a felony, actions involving moral turpitude, willful failure to comply with reasonable and lawful directives of Sonic's Board of Directors or the participant's superiors, chronic absenteeism, willful or material misconduct, illegal use of controlled substances, and if applicable, the final and non-appealable determination by a court of competent jurisdiction that the participant willfully and knowingly filed a fraudulent certification under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

In addition, the SERP provides that benefits are forfeited if a participant fails to comply with certain restrictive covenants related to Sonic and its business, including any remaining unpaid benefits if already in pay status. Subject to limited exceptions, these restrictive covenants generally prohibit (i) disclosing or using in any unauthorized manner any of Sonic's confidential or proprietary information, (ii) employing or soliciting employees of Sonic, its affiliates or subsidiaries, (iii) interfering with Sonic's relationships with its vendors, (iv) competing with Sonic within any Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or county in which Sonic or any of its subsidiaries has a place of business, and (v) disparaging Sonic, its subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, business or products. These restrictive covenants generally apply while a participant in the SERP, and if later, during the two-year period following separation from service with Sonic (except that the confidentiality and non-disparagement restrictions do not expire).

In the case of either termination without cause or failure to comply with the restrictive covenants, the SERP also provides that the participant must repay Sonic all benefit amounts previously received.

If a rabbi trust exists when a change in control of Sonic occurs, the SERP requires that Sonic contribute, at the time of the change in control and then on each anniversary thereof, cash or liquid securities sufficient so that the value of assets in the rabbi trust at least equals the total value of all accrued benefits under the SERP. The assets of the rabbi trust are available to the general creditors of Sonic in the event of its insolvency. Participants are unsecured general creditors of Sonic with respect to their SERP benefits and do not have an ownership interest in rabbi trust assets or in any other specific assets of Sonic.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2012

The following table sets forth information concerning contributions and other activity for each named executive officer under the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Deferred Plan") during 2012:

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY \$(1)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY \$(2)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
O. Bruton Smith	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
B. Scott Smith	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
David P. Cosper					