

MATTEL INC /DE/
Form 10-K
February 23, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-05647

MATTEL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
333 Continental Blvd.

95-1567322
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

El Segundo, CA 90245-5012

(Address of principal executive offices)

(310) 252-2000

(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$1.00 par value	The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant calculated using the market price as of the close of business June 30, 2011 was \$9,463,557,552.

Number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock, \$1.00 par value, as of February 16, 2012:

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

338,998,144 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Mattel, Inc. 2012 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) within 120 days after the close of the registrant's fiscal year (incorporated into Part III).

Table of Contents

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	12
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	21
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	21
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	21
PART II	
Item 5. <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	22
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	25
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	26
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	49
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	52
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	100
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	100
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	100
PART III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	101
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	101
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	101
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	101
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	101
PART IV	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	102
<u>Signature</u>	110

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Mattel, Inc. ("Mattel") designs, manufactures, and markets a broad variety of toy products worldwide which are sold to its customers and directly to consumers. Mattel's vision is creating the future of play. Mattel's objectives are to grow its share in the marketplace, sustain gross margins of about 50%, leverage its scale to deliver cost savings and lower its selling and administrative costs, and create long-term stockholder value. To achieve these objectives, management has established the following strategies:

The first strategy is to deliver consistent growth by continuing the momentum in its core brands, optimizing entertainment partnerships, building new franchises, and working to expand and leverage its international footprint.

The second strategy is to optimize operating margins through sustaining gross margins of about 50% and delivering on cost savings initiatives.

The third strategy is to generate significant cash flow and continue its disciplined, opportunistic, and value-enhancing deployment.

Mattel believes its products are among the most widely recognized toy products in the world. Mattel's portfolio of brands and products are grouped in the following categories:

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands including Barbie® fashion dolls and accessories (Barbie®), Polly Pocket®, Little Mommy®, Disney Classics®, and Monster High® (collectively Other Girls Brands), Hot Wheels®, Matchbox®, and Tyco R/C® vehicles and play sets (collectively Wheels), and CARS®, Radica®, Toy Story®, Max Steel®, WWE® Wrestling, and Batman®, and games and puzzles (collectively Entertainment).

Fisher-Price Brands including Fisher-Price®, Little People®, BabyGear®, Imaginext®, and View-Master® (collectively Core Fisher-Price®), Dora the Explorer®, Go Diego Go!®, Thomas and Friends®, Mickey Mouse® Clubhouse, Sing-a-ma-jigs®, and See 'N Say® (collectively Fisher-Price® Friends), and Power Wheels®.

American Girl Brands including My American Girl®, the historical collection, and Bitty Baby®. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers via its catalogue, website, and proprietary retail stores. Its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers.

Mattel was incorporated in California in 1948 and reincorporated in Delaware in 1968. Its executive offices are located at 333 Continental Blvd., El Segundo, California 90245-5012, telephone number (310) 252-2000.

Business Segments

Mattel refers to Mattel, Inc. and its subsidiaries as a whole, unless the context requires otherwise. This narrative discussion applies to all segments except where otherwise stated. Mattel's reportable segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. The Domestic segment is further divided into Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, and American Girl Brands.

For additional information on Mattel's operating segment reporting, including revenues, segment income, and assets, see Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Operating Segment Results and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Segment Information. For additional information regarding geographic areas, see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 14 to

Table of Contents

the Consolidated Financial Statements Segment Information. For a discussion of the risks inherent in the foreign operations of Mattel, which affect each segment, see Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results.

Domestic Segment

The Domestic segment markets and sells toys through the Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, and American Girl Brands segments.

In the Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US segment, Barbie® includes brands such as Barbie® fashion dolls and accessories, and Polly Pocket®, Little Mommy®, Disney Classics®, and Monster High® are included within Other Girls Brands. Wheels includes Hot Wheels®, Matchbox®, and Tyco R/C® vehicles and play sets. Entertainment includes CARS®, Radica®, Toy Story®, WWE® Wrestling, and Batman®, as well as games and puzzles.

In 2012, for Mattel Girls Brands, Barbie® will be launching the most extensive, full year brand-wide campaign to date that invites girls to See What Happens when they play with Barbie®. The global campaign will be supported by extensive product introductions, TV and events, as well as a microsite for both girls and brand fans entitled barbiwow.com. In 2012, new products will be featured to support two full-length animated launches, *Barbie in a Mermaid Tale* 2 in spring 2012 and *Barbie® Princess and the Pop Star* in fall 2012. In addition, Barbie® is launching a new digital series entitled *Barbie®, Life in the Dreamhouse*. Monster High® will have new characters and products, as well as televised entertainment and webisodes throughout 2012. In addition, the first DVD, *Ghouls Rule*, will be released in fall 2012. Disney Princess® will have two tent pole events to support growth for 2012. In June 2012, new products will be featured to support the theatrical release of the feature film, Disney/Pixar's *Brave* and a broad range of dolls, fashions, and accessories will be released to support the Platinum DVD release of *Cinderella*.

In 2012, Hot Wheels® will continue its overarching brand campaign with Team Hot Wheels. Hot Wheels® will introduce new product lines such as Total Control Racing vehicles and track sets, as well as a global launch of Team Hot Wheels toys and consumer products. Matchbox® will launch a new play set product line in the spring, and a new product line of vehicles and figures in the fall called Big Boots. Tyco R/C® will introduce new products such as the new smart phone compatible Nitro Speeders. The Entertainment business will include new innovative products based on the DC Comics Batman® feature film, *The Dark Knight Rises*®. New product extensions of Disney/Pixar's CARS®, including the transforming die cast vehicles, CARS® Quick Changers® and CARS® Micro Drifters, and the *Toy Story*® franchise will be introduced. WWE® Wrestling will extend its product line with Flex Force Lightening Figures, Rumble® Blastin Breakdown set, and Brawlin Buddies®. For games and puzzles, Mattel will launch dice game product extensions of its existing UNO®, Phase 10®, Skip Bo®, and Apples to Apples® games, as well as launch new Kids games based on the Angry Birds®, Fruit Ninja®, and Cut the Rope® properties. Radica® will expand the Girl Tech® toy, Fijit Friends®, with new innovative offerings.

The Fisher-Price Brands US segment includes Fisher-Price®, Little People®, BabyGear®, Imaginext®, View-Master®, Dora the Explorer®, Go Diego Go!®, Thomas and Friends®, Mickey Mouse® Clubhouse, Sing-a-ma-jigs®, See N Say®, and Power Wheels®. In 2012, Fisher-Price® will continue its *Joy of Learning* advertising campaign. A fresh new focus on virtual and physical play dates will support the overarching theme that nothing is more amazing than sharing the joy of learning with your child. New product lines will be introduced supporting learning opportunities throughout the early childhood years. The launch of the new infant physical activity line, featuring the Cruise & Groove Ballapalooza, stands as the ultimate innovation for a baby's physical development. For preschool playtime, the popular Imaginext® and Little People® product lines will continue to encourage imagination, language, and social skills with the Imaginext® Eagle Talon Castle and Little People® Disney Princess® Songs Palace. In 2012, Fisher-Price® licensed brands will continue to expand interactive playtime with the introduction of Master Moves Mickey, which encourages children to start their own dance craze with their family. New product introductions for 2012 will also include an Appitivity line of cases for iPhone®, iPod touch®, and iPad® devices, the Kid-Tough® Portable DVR, which allows children to take their favorite shows with them, and Fantastic Gymnastics Dora®.

The American Girl Brands segment is a direct marketer, children's publisher, and retailer best known for its flagship line of historical dolls, books, and accessories, as well as the My American Girl® and Bitty Baby®

Table of Contents

brands. American Girl Brands also publishes best-selling Advice & Activity books and the award-winning *American Girl*® magazine. In January 2012, American Girl® introduced McKenna®, the newest Girl of the Year® doll. American Girl Brands products are sold only in the US and Canada.

International Segment

Products marketed by the International segment are generally the same as those developed and marketed by the Domestic segment, with the exception of American Girl Brands, although some are developed or adapted for particular international markets. Mattel's products are sold directly to retailers and wholesalers in most European, Latin American, and Asian countries, and in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand, and through agents and distributors in those countries where Mattel has no direct presence.

Mattel's International segment revenue represented 48% of worldwide consolidated gross sales in 2011. Within the International segment, Mattel operates in four regional groups that generated the following gross sales during 2011:

	Amount (In millions, except percentage information)	Percentage of International Gross Sales
Europe	\$ 1,656.7	51%
Latin America	991.4	30
Asia Pacific	384.2	12
Other	228.1	7
	\$ 3,260.4	100%

No individual country within the International segment exceeded 6% of worldwide consolidated gross sales during 2011.

The strength of the US dollar relative to other currencies can significantly affect the revenues and profitability of Mattel's international operations. Mattel enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts, primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies, to limit the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on its results of operations and cash flows. See Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Derivative Instruments. For financial information by geographic area, see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Segment Information.

2012 Changes to Segments

As part of Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 program, effective January 2012, Mattel has modified its organizational structure, which will result in changes to its operating segments. The new operating segments are: (i) North America, which will include Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, and Canada, (ii) American Girl, and (iii) International.

Manufacturing and Materials

Mattel manufactures toy products for all segments in both company-owned facilities and through third-party manufacturers. Products are also purchased from unrelated entities that design, develop, and manufacture those products. To provide greater flexibility in the manufacture and delivery of its products, and as part of a continuing effort to reduce manufacturing costs, Mattel has concentrated production of most of its core products in company-owned facilities and generally uses third-party manufacturers for the production of non-core products.

Table of Contents

Mattel's principal manufacturing facilities are located in China, Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia, and Mexico. To help avoid disruption of its product supply due to political instability, civil unrest, economic instability, changes in government policies, and other risks, Mattel produces its products in multiple facilities in multiple countries. Mattel believes that the existing production capacity at its own and its third-party manufacturers' facilities is sufficient to handle expected volume in the foreseeable future. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results.

Mattel bases its production schedules for toy products on customer orders and forecasts, taking into account historical trends, results of market research, and current market information. Actual shipments of products ordered and order cancellation rates are affected by consumer acceptance of product lines, strength of competing products, marketing strategies of retailers, changes in buying patterns of both retailers and consumers, and overall economic conditions. Unexpected changes in these factors could result in a lack of product availability or excess inventory in a particular product line.

The majority of Mattel's raw materials is available from numerous suppliers, but may be subject to fluctuations in price.

Competition and Industry Background

Competition in the manufacture, marketing, and sale of toys is based primarily on quality, play value, and price. Mattel offers a diverse range of products for children of all ages and families that include, among others, toys for infants and preschoolers, girls' toys, boys' toys, youth electronics, hand-held and other games, puzzles, educational toys, media-driven products, and fashion-related toys. The Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US segments compete with several large toy companies, including Bandai, Hasbro, Jakks Pacific, Leap Frog, Lego, MGA Entertainment, Spin Master, Tomy, and VTech, many smaller toy companies, and several manufacturers of video games and consumer electronics. American Girl Brands competes with companies that manufacture girls' toys and with children's book publishers and retailers. Mattel's International segment competes with global toy companies including Bandai, Hasbro, Lego, MGA Entertainment, Playmobile, Tomy, and VTech, other national and regional toy companies, and manufacturers of video games and consumer electronics. Foreign regions may include competitors that are strong in a particular toy line or geographical area, but do not compete with Mattel or other international toy companies worldwide.

Competition among the above companies is intensifying due to recent trends towards shorter life cycles for individual toy products and an increasing use of high technology in toys. In addition, as a result of the phenomenon of children getting older younger, resulting from children outgrowing toys at younger ages, Mattel competes with companies that sell products outside the toy aisle, such as electronic consumer products and video games. Competition is increased further by the fact that a small number of retailers account for a large portion of all toy sales, control the shelf space from which toys are viewed, and have direct contact with parents and children through in-store purchases, coupons, and print advertisements. Such retailers can and do promote their own private-label toys, facilitate the sale of competitors' toys, and allocate shelf space to one type of toys over another.

Seasonality

Mattel's business is highly seasonal, with consumers making a large percentage of all toy purchases during the traditional holiday season. A significant portion of Mattel's customers' purchasing occurs in the third and fourth quarters of Mattel's fiscal year in anticipation of such holiday buying. These seasonal purchasing patterns and requisite production lead times create risk to Mattel's business associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of less popular toys that do not match consumer demand. Retailers are also attempting to manage their inventories more tightly in recent years, requiring Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. These factors increase the risk that Mattel may not be able to meet demand for certain products at peak demand times or that Mattel's own inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed. Additionally, as retailers manage

Table of Contents

their inventories, Mattel experiences cyclical ordering patterns for products and product lines that may cause its sales to vary significantly from period to period.

In anticipation of retail sales in the traditional holiday season, Mattel significantly increases its production in advance of the peak selling period, resulting in a corresponding build-up of inventory levels in the first three quarters of its fiscal year. Seasonal shipping patterns result in significant peaks in the third and fourth quarters in the respective levels of inventories and accounts receivable, which result in seasonal working capital financing requirements. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Seasonal Financing and Debt.

Product Design and Development

Through its product design and development group, Mattel regularly refreshes, redesigns, and extends existing toy product lines and develops innovative new toy product lines for all segments. Mattel believes its success is dependent on its ability to continue this activity effectively. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results. Product design and development activities are principally conducted by a group of professional designers and engineers employed by Mattel. During 2011, 2010, and 2009, Mattel incurred expenses of \$179.0 million, \$173.9 million, and \$171.3 million, respectively, in connection with the design and development of products, exclusive of royalty payments. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Supplemental Financial Information.

Additionally, independent toy designers and developers bring concepts and products to Mattel and are generally paid a royalty on the net selling price of products licensed to Mattel. These independent toy designers may also create different products for other toy companies.

Advertising and Marketing

Mattel supports its product lines with extensive advertising and consumer promotions. Advertising takes place at varying levels throughout the year and peaks during the traditional holiday season. Advertising includes television and radio commercials, magazine, newspaper, and internet advertisements, and social media. Promotions include in-store displays, sweepstakes, merchandising materials, and major events focusing on products and tie-ins with various consumer products companies.

During 2011, 2010, and 2009, Mattel incurred expenses of \$699.2 million (11.2% of net sales), \$647.3 million (11.1% of net sales), and \$609.8 million (11.2% of net sales), respectively, for advertising and promotion.

Sales

Mattel's products are sold throughout the world. Products within the Domestic segment are sold directly to retailers, including discount and free-standing toy stores, chain stores, department stores, other retail outlets, and, to a limited extent, wholesalers by Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US. Mattel also operates several small retail outlets, generally near or at its corporate headquarters and distribution centers as a service to its employees and as an outlet for its products. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers and its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers. Mattel has eleven retail stores, American Girl Place® in Chicago, Illinois, New York, New York, and Los Angeles, California, and American Girl® stores in Alpharetta, Georgia, Dallas, Texas, Natick, Massachusetts, Bloomington, Minnesota, Lone Tree, Colorado, Overland Park, Kansas, McLean, Virginia, and Lynnwood, Washington, each of which features children's products from the American Girl Brands segment. American Girl Brands also has a retail outlet in Oshkosh, Wisconsin that serves as an outlet for its products. Products within the International segment are sold directly to retailers and wholesalers in most European, Latin American, and Asian countries, and in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand, and through agents and distributors in those countries where Mattel has no direct presence. Mattel also has retail outlets in Latin America and Europe that serve as outlets for its products. Additionally, Mattel sells certain of its products online through its website.

Table of Contents

During 2011, Mattel's three largest customers (Wal-Mart at \$1.2 billion, Toys R Us at \$0.7 billion, and Target at \$0.5 billion) accounted for approximately 38% of worldwide consolidated net sales in the aggregate. Within countries in the International segment, there is also a concentration of sales to certain large customers that do not operate in the US, none of which exceed 10% of net sales. The customers and the degree of concentration vary depending upon the region or nation. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Segment Information.

Licenses and Distribution Agreements

Mattel has license agreements with third parties that permit Mattel to utilize the trademark, characters, or inventions of the licensor in products that Mattel sells. A number of these licenses relate to product lines that are significant to Mattel's business and operations.

Mattel has entered into agreements to license entertainment properties from, among others, Disney Enterprises, Inc. (including ©Disney characters such as Mickey Mouse®, Disney Princess®, CARS® and Toy Story® from Pixar, Winnie the Pooh, and certain Disney films and television properties for use in Mattel's games), Viacom International, Inc. relating to its Nickelodeon properties (including Dora the Explorer and Go Diego Go!®), Warner Bros. Consumer Products (including Batman®, Superman®, Justice League®, and Green Lantern®), Sesame Workshop® through December 31, 2010 (relating to its Sesame Street® properties including Elmo), WWE® Wrestling, and HIT Entertainment relating to its Thomas and Friends® properties.

Royalty expense for 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$262.4 million, \$245.9 million, and \$188.5 million, respectively. See Commitments and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Commitments and Contingencies.

Mattel also licenses a number of its trademarks and other property rights to others for use in connection with the sale of non-toy products that do not compete with Mattel's products. Mattel distributes some third-party finished products that are independently designed and manufactured.

Trademarks, Copyrights and Patents

Most of Mattel's products are sold under trademarks, trade names, and copyrights and a number of these products incorporate patented devices or designs. Trademarks, copyrights, and patents are significant assets of Mattel in that they provide product recognition and acceptance worldwide.

Mattel customarily seeks trademark, copyright, and patent protection covering its products, and it owns or has applications pending for US and foreign trademarks, copyrights, and patents covering many of its products. A number of these trademarks, copyrights, and patents relate to product lines that are significant to Mattel's business and operations. Mattel believes its rights to these properties are adequately protected, but there can be no assurance that its rights can be successfully asserted in the future or will not be invalidated, circumvented, or challenged.

Commitments

In the normal course of business, Mattel enters into contractual arrangements for future purchases of goods and services to ensure availability and timely delivery, and to obtain and protect Mattel's right to create and market certain products. Certain of these commitments routinely contain provisions for guarantees or minimum expenditures during the term of the contracts. Current and future commitments for guaranteed payments reflect Mattel's focus on expanding its product lines through alliances with businesses in other industries. Additionally, Mattel routinely enters into noncancelable lease agreements for premises and equipment used in the normal course of business.

Table of Contents

Purchase and service agreements with terms extending through 2016 contain future minimum payments aggregating approximately \$406 million. Licensing and similar agreements with terms extending through 2016 and beyond contain provisions for future guaranteed minimum payments aggregating approximately \$314 million. Lease commitments with terms extending through 2016 and beyond contain future minimum obligations aggregating approximately \$473 million. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Commitments and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Commitments and Contingencies.

Backlog

Mattel ships products in accordance with delivery schedules specified by its customers, which usually request delivery within three months. In the toy industry, orders are subject to cancellation or change at any time prior to shipment. In recent years, a trend toward just-in-time inventory practices in the toy industry has resulted in fewer advance orders and therefore less backlog of orders. Mattel believes that the amount of backlog orders at any given time may not accurately indicate future sales.

Financial Instruments

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to market risk by monitoring its foreign currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange contracts primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. In addition, Mattel manages its exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations through the selection of currencies used for international borrowings. Mattel does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes.

For additional information regarding foreign currency contracts, see International Segment above, Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Derivative Instruments.

Seasonal Financing

See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Seasonal Financing and Debt.

Government Regulations and Environmental Quality

Mattel's products sold in the US are subject to the provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act, as amended by the Consumer Product Safety Improvements Act of 2008, the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, and the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008, and may also be subject to the requirements of the Flammable Fabrics Act or the Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act, and the regulations promulgated pursuant to such statutes. These statutes and the related regulations ban from the market consumer products that fail to comply with applicable product safety laws, regulations, and standards. The Consumer Product Safety Commission may require the recall, repurchase, replacement, or repair of any such banned products or products that otherwise create a substantial risk of injury and may seek penalties for regulatory noncompliance under certain circumstances. Similar laws exist in some US states.

Mattel's products sold worldwide are subject to the provision of similar laws and regulations in many jurisdictions including new product safety laws and regulations in the European Union and Canada.

Mattel maintains a quality control program to help ensure compliance with various US federal, state, and applicable foreign product safety requirements. Nonetheless, Mattel has experienced, and may in the future experience, issues in products that result in recalls, withdrawals, or replacements of products. A product recall

Table of Contents

could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's results of operations and financial condition, depending on the product affected by the recall and the extent of the recall efforts required. A product recall could also negatively affect Mattel's reputation and the sales of other Mattel products. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Product Recalls and Withdrawals.

Mattel's advertising is subject to the Federal Trade Commission Act, The Children's Television Act of 1990, the rules and regulations promulgated by the Federal Trade Commission, and the Federal Communications Commission, as well as laws of certain countries that regulate advertising and advertising to children. In addition, Mattel's websites that are directed towards children are subject to the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act of 1998.

Mattel's worldwide operations are subject to the requirements of various environmental laws and regulations in the jurisdictions where those operations are located. Mattel believes that it is in substantial compliance with those laws and regulations. Mattel's operations are from time to time the subject of investigations, conferences, discussions, and negotiations with various federal, state and local environmental agencies within and outside the United States with respect to the discharge or cleanup of hazardous waste. Mattel is not aware of any material cleanup liabilities.

Mattel is subject to various other federal, state, local and international laws and regulations applicable to its business. Mattel believes that it is in substantial compliance with these laws and regulations.

Employees

The total number of persons employed by Mattel and its subsidiaries at any one time varies because of the seasonal nature of its manufacturing operations. At December 31, 2011, Mattel's total number of employees was approximately 28,000.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The current executive officers of Mattel, all of whom are appointed annually by and serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors, are as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Executive Officer Since
Bryan G. Stockton	58	Chief Executive Officer and Director	2000
David Allmark	48	Executive Vice President, Fisher-Price Brands	2011
Ellen L. Brothers	56	Executive Vice President of Mattel and President, American Girl	2003
Thomas A. Debrowski	61	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations	2000
Kevin M. Farr	54	Chief Financial Officer	1996
Alan Kaye	58	Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer	2000
Timothy J. Kilpin	51	Executive Vice President, Mattel Brands El Segundo	2011
Geoff M. Massingberd	54	Executive Vice President, International	2007
Robert Normile	52	Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary	1999
Jean-Christophe Pean	47	Executive Vice President, North America	2012
Mandana Sadigh	52	Senior Vice President and Treasurer	2010
H. Scott Topham	51	Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller	2004

Table of Contents

Mr. Stockton has been Chief Executive Officer and a director since January 2012. He served as Chief Operating Officer from January 2011 to December 2011, as President, International from November 2007 to January 2011, as Executive Vice President, International from February 2003 to November 2007 and as Executive Vice President, Business Planning and Development from November 2000 until February 2003. From April 1998 until November 2000, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Basic Vegetable Products, the largest manufacturer of vegetable ingredients in the world. For more than 20 years prior to that, he was employed by Kraft Foods, Inc., the largest packaged food company in North America, and was President of Kraft North American Food Service from August 1996 to March 1998.

Mr. Allmark has been Executive Vice President, Fisher-Price Brands since February 2011. From January 2008 to February 2011, he served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of Mattel's United Kingdom, Canada, and Eastern European markets and, from October 2005 to December 2007, as Senior Vice President and General Manager of Fisher-Price Friends. Mr. Allmark served as a Vice President in Mattel's International group from August 2001 to October 2005 and as Marketing Director of Mattel's UK group from January 1999 to July 2001. From May 1995, he served as Director of UK Sales and Marketing of Bluebird Toys P.L.C., which was acquired by Mattel in 1998, and prior to that he spent 12 years working in sales and marketing for various companies in the toy industry.

Ms. Brothers has been Executive Vice President of Mattel and President, American Girl since July 2000. From November 1998 to July 2000, she was Senior Vice President of Operations, Pleasant Company (which merged with and into Mattel on December 31, 2003, followed immediately on January 1, 2004, by an asset transfer to Mattel's subsidiary American Girl). From January 1997 to November 1998, she was Vice President of the Catalogue Division, Pleasant Company. She joined Pleasant Company in 1995, prior to its acquisition by Mattel in July 1998, as Vice President of Catalogue Marketing.

Mr. Debrowski has been Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations since November 2000. From February 1992 until November 2000, he was Senior Vice President-Operations and a director of The Pillsbury Company. From September 1991 until February 1992, he was Vice President of Operations for the Baked Goods Division of The Pillsbury Company. Prior to that, he served as Vice President and Director of Grocery Operations for Kraft U.S.A.

Mr. Farr has been Chief Financial Officer since February 2000. From September 1996 to February 2000, he was Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller. From June 1993 to September 1996, he served as Vice President, Tax. Prior to that, he served as Senior Director, Tax from August 1992 to June 1993.

Mr. Kaye has been Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer since February 2011. From July 1997 to February 2011, he was Senior Vice President, Human Resources. From June 1996 to June 1997, he was President, Texas Division of Kaufman and Broad Homes, a home building company. From June 1991 to June 1996, he served as Senior Vice President, Human Resources for Kaufman and Broad Homes. Prior to that, he worked for two years with the Hay Group, a compensation consulting firm and for 12 years with IBM in various human resources positions.

Mr. Kilpin has been Executive Vice President, Mattel Brands El Segundo since February 2011. From February 2010 to February 2011, he served as General Manager and Senior Vice President, Mattel Brands El Segundo. Mr. Kilpin served as General Manager and Senior Vice President for the Girls, Boys and Games groups from November 2008 to February 2010 and for the Boys group from October 2005 to November 2008. Prior to rejoining Mattel as a Senior Vice President, Marketing in 2003, Mr. Kilpin was Executive Vice President of Studio Franchise Management at The Walt Disney Company. Mr. Kilpin first joined Mattel in August 1984 and until October 1999, held various marketing positions, culminating as Executive Vice President and General Manager of the then Character Brands business unit.

Mr. Massingberd has been Executive Vice President, International since February 2011. Before that, he served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Responsibility from September 2007 to February 2011. From

Table of Contents

February 1998 to August 2007, he served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of Mattel's International divisions in Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Asia, and Latin America and from August 1997 to February 1998, he was Vice President, Sales for Mattel Canada. Prior to joining Mattel, Mr. Massingberd spent 18 years with Nestle S.A. and served in various roles, including Vice President, Sales and head of Nestle Canada's Confectionery division.

Mr. Normile has been Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary since February 2011. From March 1999 to February 2011, he was Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. He served as Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Secretary from August 1994 to March 1999. From June 1992 to August 1994, he served as Assistant General Counsel. Prior to that, he was associated with the law firms of Latham & Watkins LLP and Sullivan & Cromwell LLP.

Mr. Pean has been Executive Vice President, North America since February 2012. He served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of Asia Pacific from December 2007 to January 2012, and Vice President and General Manager UK from August 2004 to December 2007. He began working at Mattel as Sales Director in 1998. Prior to joining Mattel, he spent 11 years with Colgate Palmolive.

Ms. Sadigh has been Senior Vice President and Treasurer since November 2010. She served as Senior Vice President, Mattel Brands Finance and Strategy from October 2005 to November 2010. From January 2001 to October 2005, she served as Senior Vice President in various leadership roles, including Corporate Strategic Planning, Sales Strategy, and International Finance. From December 1999 until January 2001, she served as Vice President and Assistant Controller. From August 1991 to December 1999, she served in various Corporate Finance positions. Prior to joining Mattel, Ms. Sadigh spent 8 years in the banking industry.

Mr. Topham has been Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller since September 2005. He served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer from March 2005 to August 2005 and as Vice President and Treasurer from March 2004 to March 2005. Prior to that, he served as Vice President and Assistant Controller from May 2001 to March 2004. From August 2000 to May 2001, he served as Vice President and Treasurer of Premier Practice Management, Inc. From June 1999 to August 2000, he served as Division Vice President of Dataworks, Inc., a specialized publishing company. Prior to that, he spent eight years with Total Petroleum (North America) Ltd., most recently as Vice President of Human Resources.

Available Information

Mattel files its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") with the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials that Mattel files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Mattel's Internet website address is <http://corporate.mattel.com>. Mattel makes available on its Internet website, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

(Cautionary Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)

Mattel is including this Cautionary Statement to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act") for forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words such as believes, expects, anticipates, estimates, intends, plans, seeks or words of similar meaning, or future or conditional verbs, such as will, should, could, may, aims projects. A forward-looking statement is neither a prediction nor a guarantee of future events or circumstances, and those future events or circumstances may not occur. Investors should not place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Form 10-K. These forward-looking statements are all based on currently available operating, financial, economic and competitive information and are subject to various risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual future results and trends may differ materially depending on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties discussed below.

Factors That May Affect Future Results

If Mattel does not successfully identify or satisfy consumer preferences, its results of operations may be adversely affected.

Mattel's business and operating results depend largely upon the appeal of its toy products. Consumer preferences, particularly among end users of Mattel's products children are continuously changing. Significant, sudden shifts in demand are caused by hit toys and trends, which are often unpredictable. Mattel offers a diverse range of products for children of all ages and families that includes, among others, toys for infants and preschoolers, girls' toys, boys' toys, youth electronics, digital media, hand-held and other games, puzzles, educational toys, media-driven products, and fashion-related toys. Mattel competes domestically and internationally with a wide range of large and small manufacturers, marketers and sellers of toys, video games, consumer electronics and other play products, as well as retailers, which means that Mattel's market position is always at risk. Mattel's ability to maintain its current product sales, and increase its product sales or establish product sales with new, innovative toys, will depend on Mattel's ability to satisfy play preferences, enhance existing products, develop and introduce new products, and achieve market acceptance of these products. These challenges are intensifying due to recent trends towards shorter life cycles for individual toy products, the phenomenon of children outgrowing traditional toys at younger ages, and an increasing use of more sophisticated technology in toys. If Mattel does not successfully meet the challenges outlined above in a timely and cost-effective manner, demand for its products could decrease, and Mattel's revenues, profitability and results of operations may be adversely affected.

High levels of competition make it difficult to achieve, maintain, or build upon the success of Mattel's brands, products, and product lines.

Mattel faces competitors who are also constantly monitoring and attempting to anticipate consumer tastes, seeking ideas which will appeal to consumers and introducing new products that compete with Mattel's products. In addition, competition for access to entertainment properties could lessen Mattel's ability to secure, maintain, and renew popular licenses to entertainment products developed by other parties and licensed to Mattel or require Mattel to pay licensors higher royalties and higher minimum guaranteed payments in order to obtain or retain these licenses. If demand for Mattel's brands, products and product lines is reduced as a result of these factors, Mattel's results of operations may be adversely affected.

Inaccurately anticipating changes and trends in popular culture, media and movies, fashion, or technology can negatively affect Mattel's sales.

Successful movies and characters in children's literature affect play preferences, and many toys depend on media-based intellectual property licenses. Media-based licenses can cause a line of toys to gain immediate success among children, parents, or families. Trends in media, movies, and children's characters change swiftly and contribute to the transience and uncertainty of play preferences. In addition, certain developments in the entertainment industry, including labor strikes, could cause delay or interruption in the release of new movies and

Table of Contents

television programs and could adversely affect the sales of Mattel's toys based on such movies and television programs. Mattel responds to such trends and developments by modifying, refreshing, extending, and expanding its product offerings on an annual basis. If Mattel does not accurately anticipate trends in popular culture, movies, media, fashion, or technology, its products may not be accepted by children, parents, or families and Mattel's revenues, profitability, and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Mattel's business is highly seasonal and its operating results depend, in large part, on sales during the relatively brief traditional holiday season. Any events that disrupt Mattel's business during its peak demand times could significantly, adversely and disproportionately affect Mattel's business.

Mattel's business is subject to risks associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of toys that are less popular with consumers. Sales of toy products at retail are highly seasonal, with a majority of retail sales occurring during the period from September through December. As a result, Mattel's operating results depend, in large part, on sales during the relatively brief traditional holiday season. Retailers attempt to manage their inventories tightly, which requires Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. This in turn results in shorter lead times for production. Management believes that the increase in last minute shopping during the holiday season and the popularity of gift cards (which often shift purchases to after the holiday season) may negatively impact customer re-orders during the holiday season. These factors may decrease sales or increase the risks that Mattel may not be able to meet demand for certain products at peak demand times or that Mattel's own inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed.

In addition, as a result of the seasonal nature of Mattel's business, Mattel may be significantly and adversely affected, in a manner disproportionate to the impact on a company with sales spread more evenly throughout the year, by unforeseen events, such as terrorist attacks, economic shocks, earthquakes or other catastrophic events, that harm the retail environment or consumer buying patterns during its key selling season, or by events, such as strikes, disruptions in transportation or port delays, that interfere with the manufacture or shipment of goods during the critical months leading up to the holiday purchasing season.

Mattel has significant customer concentration, so that economic difficulties or changes in the purchasing policies or patterns of its key customers could have a significant impact on Mattel's business and operating results.

A small number of customers account for a large share of Mattel's net sales. In 2011, Mattel's three largest customers, Wal-Mart, Toys 'R Us, and Target, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 38% of net sales, and its ten largest customers, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 48% of net sales. While the concentration of Mattel's business with a relatively small number of customers may provide certain benefits to Mattel, such as potentially more efficient product distribution and decreased costs of sales and distribution, this concentration may expose Mattel to a material adverse effect if one or more of Mattel's large customers were to significantly reduce purchases for any reason, favor competitors or new entrants, or increase their direct competition with Mattel by expanding their private-label business. Customers make no binding long-term commitments to Mattel regarding purchase volumes and make all purchases by delivering one-time purchase orders. Any customer could reduce its overall purchases of Mattel's products, reduce the number and variety of Mattel's products that it carries and the shelf space allotted for Mattel's products, or otherwise seek to materially change the terms of the business relationship at any time. Any such change could significantly harm Mattel's business and operating results.

Liquidity problems or bankruptcy of Mattel's key customers could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel's sales to customers are typically made on credit without collateral. There is a risk that key customers will not pay, or that payment may be delayed, because of bankruptcy, contraction of credit availability to such

Table of Contents

customers, weak retail sales or other factors beyond the control of Mattel, which could increase Mattel's exposure to losses from bad debts. In addition, if key customers were to cease doing business as a result of bankruptcy or significantly reduce the number of stores operated, it could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Significant increases in the price of commodities, transportation, or labor, if not offset by declines in other input costs, or a reduction or interruption in the delivery of raw materials, components and finished products from Mattel's vendors could negatively impact Mattel's financial results.

Cost increases, whether resulting from rising costs of materials, transportation, services, labor or compliance with existing or future regulatory requirements could impact the profit margins realized by Mattel on the sale of its products. Because of market conditions, timing of pricing decisions, and other factors, there can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to offset any of these increased costs by adjusting the prices of its products. Increases in prices of Mattel's products may not be sustainable, and could result in lower sales. Mattel's ability to meet customer demand depends, in part, on its ability to obtain timely and adequate delivery of materials, parts and components from its suppliers and internal manufacturing capacity. Mattel has experienced shortages in the past, including shortages of raw materials and components. Although Mattel works closely with suppliers to avoid these types of shortages, there can be no assurance that Mattel will not encounter these problems in the future. A reduction or interruption in supplies or in the delivery of finished products, whether resulting from more stringent regulatory requirements, disruptions in transportation, port delays, labor strikes, lockouts, an outbreak of a severe public health pandemic, the occurrence or threat of wars or other conflicts, or otherwise, or a significant increase in the price of one or more supplies, such as fuel or resin (which is an oil-based product used in plastics), could negatively impact Mattel's financial results.

Significant changes in currency exchange rates or the ability to transfer capital across borders could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business and results of operations.

Mattel operates facilities and sells products in numerous countries outside the United States. During 2011, Mattel's net sales to international customers comprised 48% of Mattel's total consolidated net sales. Management expects that sales to international customers will continue to account for a significant portion of Mattel's sales. Furthermore, Mattel's net investment in its foreign subsidiaries and its results of operations and cash flows are subject to changes in currency exchange rates and regulations. Highly inflationary economies of certain foreign countries can result in foreign currency devaluation, which negatively impacts Mattel's profitability. Mattel seeks to mitigate the exposure of its results of operations to fluctuations in currency exchange rates by aligning its prices with the local currency cost of acquiring inventory, distributing earnings in US Dollars, and partially hedging this exposure using foreign currency forward exchange contracts. These contracts are primarily used to hedge Mattel's purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. Government action may restrict Mattel's ability to transfer capital across borders and may also impact the fluctuation of currencies in the countries where Mattel conducts business or has invested capital. Significant changes in currency exchange rates, reductions in Mattel's ability to transfer its capital across borders, and changes in government-fixed currency exchange rates, including the Chinese yuan and Venezuelan bolivar fuerte, could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business and results of operations.

If global economic conditions deteriorate, Mattel's business and financial results could be adversely affected.

Mattel designs, manufactures, and markets a wide variety of toy products worldwide through sales to customers and directly to consumers. Mattel's performance is impacted by the level of discretionary consumer spending, which remains relatively weak in the United States and in many countries around the world in which Mattel does business. Consumers' discretionary purchases of toy products may be impacted by job losses, foreclosures, bankruptcies, reduced access to credit, significantly falling home prices, lower consumer

Table of Contents

confidence, and other macroeconomic factors that affect consumer spending behavior. Any of these factors can reduce the amount which consumers spend on the purchase of Mattel's products. Deterioration of global economic conditions or disruptions in credit markets in the markets in which Mattel operates could potentially have a material adverse effect on Mattel's liquidity and capital resources, including increasing Mattel's cost of capital or its ability to raise additional capital if needed, or otherwise adversely affect Mattel's business and financial results.

In addition to experiencing potentially lower revenues during times of economic difficulty, in an effort to maintain sales during such times, Mattel may need to increase promotional spending or take other steps to encourage retailer and consumer purchase of its products. Those steps may lower net sales, increase costs and/or decrease operating margins.

Failure to successfully implement new initiatives could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel has announced, and in the future may announce, initiatives to reduce its costs, increase its efficiency, improve the execution of its core business, globalize and extend Mattel's brands, catch new trends, create new brands, and offer new innovative products, enhance product safety, develop people, improve productivity, simplify processes, maintain customer service levels, as well as initiatives designed to drive sales growth, capitalize on Mattel's scale advantage, and improve its supply chain. These initiatives involve investment of capital and complex decision-making as well as extensive and intensive execution, and the success of these initiatives is not assured. Failure to successfully implement any of these initiatives, or the failure of any of these initiatives to produce the results anticipated by management, could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Mattel's business depends in large part on the success of its vendors and outsourcers, and Mattel's brands and reputation may be harmed by actions taken by third-parties that are outside Mattel's control. In addition, any material failure, inadequacy, or interruption resulting from such vendors or outsourcings could harm Mattel's ability to effectively operate its business.

As a part of its efforts to cut costs, achieve better efficiencies and increase productivity and service quality, Mattel relies significantly on vendor and outsourcing relationships with third parties for services and systems including manufacturing, transportation, logistics and information technology. Any shortcoming of a Mattel vendor or outsourcer, particularly an issue affecting the quality of these services or systems, may be attributed by customers to Mattel, thus damaging Mattel's reputation, brand value, and potentially affecting its results of operations. In addition, problems with transitioning these services and systems to or operating failures with these vendors and outsourcers could cause delays in product sales, reduce efficiency of Mattel's operations, and significant capital investments could be required to remediate the problem.

Increases in interest rates, reduction of Mattel's credit ratings, contraction of credit availability, or the inability of Mattel to meet the debt covenant requirements in its credit facilities could negatively impact Mattel's ability to conduct its operations.

Mattel relies on external financing, including commercial paper and borrowings under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, to help fund its seasonal working capital needs. Increases in interest rates, both domestically and internationally, could negatively affect Mattel's cost of financing its operations. Any reduction in Mattel's credit ratings could increase the cost of obtaining financing. Mattel may be hindered from obtaining, or incur additional costs to obtain, additional credit in tight credit markets. Additionally, Mattel's ability to issue long-term debt and obtain seasonal financing could be adversely affected by factors such as market conditions and an inability to meet its debt covenant requirements, which include maintaining certain financial ratios. Mattel's ability to conduct its operations could be negatively impacted should these or other adverse conditions affect its ability to access these sources of liquidity.

Table of Contents

If Mattel is not able to adequately protect its proprietary intellectual property and information, its results of operations could be adversely affected.

The value of Mattel's business depends on its ability to protect its intellectual property and information, including its trademarks, trade names, copyrights, patents and trade secrets, in the US and around the world, as well as its customer, employee, and consumer data. Mattel may need to resort to litigation to protect its intellectual property rights, which could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources. If Mattel fails to protect its proprietary intellectual property and information, including any successful challenge to Mattel's ownership of its intellectual property or material infringements of its intellectual property, this failure could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Mattel has acquired certain intellectual properties from third parties. Declines in the profitability of these acquired brands may impact Mattel's ability to recover the carrying value of the related assets and could result in an impairment charge. Reduction in net earnings caused by impairment charges could harm Mattel's financial results.

Unfavorable resolution of pending and future litigation matters and disputes could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition.

Mattel is involved in a number of litigation and regulatory matters. An unfavorable resolution of these pending matters could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition and its operations. Regardless of its outcome, litigation may result in substantial costs and expenses, and significantly divert the attention of management. There can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to prevail in, or achieve a favorable settlement of, pending matters. In addition to the pending matters, future litigation, government proceedings, labor disputes, or environmental matters could lead to increased costs or interruption of Mattel's normal business operations.

Mattel is subject to various laws and government regulations in numerous jurisdictions, violation of which could subject it to sanctions. In addition, changes in such laws or regulations may lead to increased costs, changes in Mattel's effective tax rate, or the interruption of normal business operations that would negatively impact Mattel's financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel operates in a highly regulated environment in the US and international markets. US federal, state, and local governmental entities, and foreign governments regulate many aspects of Mattel's business, including its products and the importation and exportation of its products. These regulations may include accounting standards, taxation requirements (including changes in applicable income tax rates, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations), product safety and other safety standards, trade restrictions, duties and tariffs, and regulations regarding currency and financial matters, environmental matters, advertising directed toward children, product content, and privacy and data protection, as well as other administrative and regulatory restrictions. While Mattel takes all the steps it believes are necessary to comply with these laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that Mattel will be in compliance in the future. Failure to comply could result in monetary liabilities and other sanctions which could have a negative impact on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, changes in laws or regulations may lead to increased costs, changes in Mattel's effective tax rate, or the interruption of normal business operations that would negatively impact its financial condition and results of operations.

Issues with products may lead to product liability, personal injury or property damage claims, recalls, withdrawals, replacements of products, or regulatory actions by governmental authorities that could divert resources, affect business operations, decrease sales, increase costs, and put Mattel at a competitive disadvantage, any of which could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition.

Mattel has experienced, and may in the future experience, issues with products that may lead to product liability, personal injury or property damage claims, recalls, withdrawals, replacements of products, or regulatory

Table of Contents

actions by governmental authorities. Any of these activities could result in increased governmental scrutiny, harm to Mattel's reputation, reduced demand by consumers for its products, decreased willingness by retailer customers to purchase or provide marketing support for those products, adverse impacts on Mattel's ability to enter into licensing agreements for products on competitive terms, absence or increased cost of insurance, or additional safety and testing requirements. Such results could divert development and management resources, adversely affect Mattel's business operations, decrease sales, increase legal fees and other costs, and put Mattel at a competitive disadvantage compared to other manufacturers not affected by similar issues with products, any of which could have a significant adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition.

Mattel's current and future operating procedures and product requirements may increase costs, significantly and adversely affect its relationship with vendors, and make it more difficult for Mattel to produce, purchase, and deliver products on a timely basis to meet market demands. Future conditions may require Mattel to adopt further changes that may increase its costs and further affect its relationship with vendors.

Mattel's current operating procedures and product requirements, including testing requirements and standards, have imposed costs on both Mattel and the vendors from which it purchases products. Changes in business conditions, including those resulting from new legislative and regulatory requirements, have caused and in the future could cause further revisions in Mattel's operating procedures and product requirements. Changes in Mattel's operating procedures and product requirements may delay delivery of products and increase costs. Mattel's relationship with its existing vendors may be adversely affected as a result of these changes, making Mattel more dependent on a smaller number of vendors. Some vendors may choose not to continue to do business with Mattel or not to accommodate Mattel's needs to the extent that they have done in the past. In addition, rising production costs, contraction of credit availability, and labor shortages have caused a substantial contraction in the number of toy manufacturers in China, decreasing the number of potential vendors to manufacture Mattel's products. Because of the seasonal nature of Mattel's business and the demands of its customers for deliveries with short lead times, Mattel depends upon the cooperation of its vendors to meet market demand for its products in a timely manner. There can be no assurance that existing and future events will not require Mattel to adopt additional requirements and incur additional costs, and impose those requirements and costs on its vendors, which may adversely affect its relationship with those vendors and Mattel's ability to meet market demand in a timely manner.

Political developments, including trade relations, and the threat or occurrence of war or terrorist activities could adversely impact Mattel, its personnel and facilities, its customers and suppliers, retail and financial markets, and general economic conditions.

Mattel's business is worldwide in scope, including operations in 43 countries and territories. The deterioration of the political situation in a country in which Mattel has significant sales or operations, or the breakdown of trade relations between the US and a foreign country in which Mattel has significant manufacturing facilities or other operations, could adversely affect Mattel's business, financial condition, and results of operations. For example, a change in trade status for China could result in a substantial increase in the import duty of toys manufactured in China and imported into the US. In addition, the occurrence of war or hostilities between countries or threat of terrorist activities, and the responses to and results of these activities, could adversely impact Mattel, its personnel and facilities, its customers and suppliers, retail and financial markets, and general economic conditions.

Disruptions in Mattel's manufacturing operations due to political instability, civil unrest, or disease could negatively impact Mattel's business, financial position, and results of operations.

Mattel owns, operates and manages manufacturing facilities and utilizes third-party manufacturers throughout Asia, primarily in China, Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand. The risk of political instability and civil unrest exists in certain of these countries, which could temporarily or permanently damage Mattel's

Table of Contents

manufacturing operations located there. In the past, outbreaks of SARS have been significantly concentrated in Asia, particularly in Hong Kong, and in the Guangdong province of China, where many of Mattel's manufacturing facilities and third-party manufacturers are located. The design, development and manufacture of Mattel's products could suffer if a significant number of Mattel's employees or the employees of its third-party manufacturers or their suppliers contract SARS, avian flu or other communicable diseases, or otherwise are unable to fulfill their obligations to Mattel. While Mattel has developed contingency plans designed to help mitigate the impact of disruptions in its manufacturing operations, its business, financial position, and results of operations could be negatively impacted by a significant disruption to its manufacturing operations or suppliers.

Earthquakes or other catastrophic events out of Mattel's control may damage its facilities or those of its contractors and harm Mattel's results of operations.

Mattel has significant operations near major earthquake faults, including its corporate headquarters in Southern California. A catastrophic event where Mattel has important operations, such as an earthquake, tsunami, flood, typhoon, fire, or other natural or manmade disaster, could disrupt Mattel's operations or those of its contractors and impair production or distribution of its products, damage inventory, interrupt critical functions, or otherwise affect its business negatively, harming Mattel's results of operations.

The production and sale of private-label toys by Mattel's retail customers may result in lower purchases of Mattel-branded products by those retail customers.

In recent years, consumer goods companies generally, including those in the toy business, have experienced the phenomenon of retail customers developing their own private-label products that directly compete with the products of traditional manufacturers. Some retail chains that are customers of Mattel sell private-label toys designed, manufactured and branded by the retailers themselves. These toys may be sold at prices lower than comparable toys sold by Mattel and may result in lower purchases of Mattel-branded products by these retailers. In some cases, retailers who sell these private-label toys are larger than Mattel and may have substantially more resources than Mattel.

Mattel's failure to successfully market or advertise its products could have an adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Mattel's products are marketed worldwide through a diverse spectrum of advertising and promotional programs. Mattel's ability to sell products is dependent in part upon the success of these programs. If Mattel does not successfully market its products or if media or other advertising or promotional costs increase, these factors could have an adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Mattel depends on key personnel and may not be able to hire, retain, and integrate sufficient qualified personnel to maintain and expand its business.

Mattel's future success depends partly on the continued contribution of key executives, designers, technical, sales, marketing, manufacturing, and administrative personnel. The loss of services of any of Mattel's key personnel could harm Mattel's business. Recruiting and retaining skilled personnel is costly and highly competitive. If Mattel fails to retain, hire, train, and integrate qualified employees and contractors, Mattel may not be able to maintain or expand its business.

Mattel may engage in acquisitions, mergers, or dispositions, which may affect the profit, revenues, profit margins, debt-to-capital ratio, capital expenditures, or other aspects of Mattel's business. In addition, Mattel has certain anti-takeover provisions in its by-laws that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Mattel without its consent, which may adversely affect Mattel's stock price.

Mattel may engage in acquisitions, mergers or dispositions, which may affect the profit, revenues, profit margins, debt-to-capital ratio, capital expenditures, or other aspects of Mattel's business. There can be no

Table of Contents

assurance that Mattel will be able to identify suitable acquisition targets or merger partners or that, if identified, it will be able to acquire these targets on terms acceptable to Mattel and to potential merger partners. There can also be no assurance that Mattel will be successful in integrating any acquired company into its overall operations, or that any such acquired company will operate profitably or will not otherwise adversely impact Mattel's results of operations. Further, Mattel cannot be certain that key talented individuals at those acquired companies will continue to work for Mattel after the acquisition or that they will continue to develop popular and profitable products or services. In addition, Mattel has certain anti-takeover provisions in its by-laws that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Mattel without its consent, which may adversely affect Mattel's stock price.

Mattel relies extensively on information technology in its operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption, or security failure of that technology could harm its ability to effectively operate its business.

Mattel relies extensively on information technology systems, many of which are managed by third-party service providers, across its operations, including for management of its supply chain, sale and delivery of its products, and various other processes and transactions. Mattel's ability to effectively manage its business and coordinate the production, distribution, and sale of its products depends significantly on the reliability and capacity of these systems and third-party service providers. The failure of these systems to operate effectively, problems with transitioning to upgraded or replacement systems, or a breach in security of these systems could cause delays in product sales and reduced efficiency of its operations, and significant capital investments could be required to remediate the problem.

The level of returns on pension plan assets and the actuarial assumptions used for valuation purposes could affect Mattel's earnings in future periods. Changes in standards and government regulations could also affect its pension plan expense and funding requirements.

Assumptions used in determining projected benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets for Mattel's pension plan are evaluated by Mattel in consultation with outside actuaries. In the event that Mattel determines that changes are warranted in the assumptions used, such as the discount rate, expected long term rate of return, or health care costs, its future pension benefit expenses could increase or decrease. Due to changing market conditions or changes in the participant population, the actuarial assumptions that Mattel uses may differ from actual results, which could have a significant impact on its pension and postretirement liability and related costs. Funding obligations are determined based on the value of assets and liabilities on a specific date as required under relevant government regulations for each plan. Future pension funding requirements, and the timing of funding payments, could be affected by legislation enacted by the relevant governmental authorities.

If Mattel's goodwill becomes impaired, Mattel's results of operations could be adversely affected.

Mattel tests goodwill for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment may have occurred. For purposes of evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, goodwill is allocated to various reporting units, which are either at the operating segment level or one reporting level below the operating segment. Declines in profitability of Mattel's reporting units may impact the fair value of its reporting units, which could result in a write-down of its goodwill, negatively impacting its results of operations.

If any of the risks and uncertainties described in the cautionary factors listed above actually occurs, Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations could be significantly and adversely affected. The factors listed above are not exhaustive. Other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K include additional factors that could materially and adversely impact Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, Mattel operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict the impact of all of these factors on Mattel's business, financial condition or results of operations, or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors,

Table of Contents

may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not rely on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Any or all of the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any other public statement made by Mattel or its representatives may turn out to be wrong. Mattel expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new developments or otherwise.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Mattel owns its corporate headquarters in El Segundo, California, consisting of approximately 335,000 square feet, and an adjacent office building consisting of approximately 55,000 square feet. Mattel also leases buildings in El Segundo consisting of approximately 327,000 square feet. All segments use these facilities. Mattel's Fisher-Price® subsidiary owns its headquarters facilities in East Aurora, New York, consisting of approximately 535,000 square feet, which is used by the Fisher-Price Brands US segment and for corporate support functions. American Girl Brands owns its headquarters facilities in Middleton, Wisconsin, consisting of approximately 180,000 square feet, a warehouse in Middleton, consisting of approximately 215,000 square feet, and distribution facilities in Middleton, DeForest, and Wilmot, Wisconsin, consisting of a total of approximately 948,000 square feet, all of which are used by the American Girl Brands segment. Mattel also owns its principal manufacturing facilities located in Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia, and Mexico.

Mattel maintains leased sales offices in California, Illinois, Minnesota, New York, and Arkansas, and leased warehouse and distribution facilities in California, New Jersey, and Texas, all of which are used by the Domestic segment. Mattel has leased retail and related office space in Chicago, Illinois, New York, New York, and Los Angeles, California for its American Girl Place® stores, Dallas, Texas, Alpharetta, Georgia, Natick, Massachusetts, Bloomington, Minnesota, Lone Tree, Colorado, Overland Park, Kansas, McLean, Virginia, Lynnwood, Washington, and Chesterfield, Missouri for its American Girl® stores, leased retail space in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, which are used by the American Girl Brands segment, and Pomona, California, which is used by Mattel Brands. Mattel also has leased office space in Florida, which is used by the International segment. Internationally, Mattel has offices and/or warehouse space in Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bermuda, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Puerto Rico, Russia, South Korea, Spain, Switzerland, Taiwan, Turkey, the United Kingdom, and Venezuela, which are leased (with the exception of office and warehouse space in Chile and certain warehouse space in France that is owned by Mattel) and used by the International segment. Mattel also has leased retail and related office space and principal manufacturing facilities in China.

For leases that are scheduled to expire during the next twelve months, Mattel may negotiate new lease agreements, renew existing lease agreements, or utilize alternate facilities. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Commitments and Contingencies. Mattel believes that its owned and leased facilities, in general, are suitable and adequate for its present and currently foreseeable needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Commitments and Contingencies.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

For information regarding the markets in which Mattel's common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, is traded, see the cover page hereof. For information regarding the high and low closing prices of Mattel's common stock for the last two calendar years, see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Quarterly Financial Information.

Holders of Record

As of February 16, 2012, Mattel had approximately 34,000 holders of record of its common stock.

Dividends

During 2011, 2010, and 2009, Mattel paid total dividends per share of \$0.92, \$0.83, and \$0.75, respectively, to holders of its common stock. During 2011, the Board of Directors declared dividends on a quarterly basis, and Mattel paid the dividends during the quarter in which the dividends were declared. During 2010 and 2009, the Board of Directors declared the dividends annually in November of the respective years, and Mattel paid the dividends in December of the respective years. The payment of dividends on common stock is at the discretion of the Board of Directors and is subject to customary limitations.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the fourth quarter of 2011, Mattel did not sell any unregistered securities.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During 2011, Mattel repurchased 20.4 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$536.3 million. During 2010, Mattel repurchased 18.6 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$446.7 million. During 2009, Mattel did not repurchase any shares of its common stock. During both 2011 and 2010, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by \$500.0 million. At December 31, 2011, share repurchase authorizations of \$427.3 million had not been executed. Repurchases will take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

Table of Contents

This table provides certain information with respect to Mattel's purchases of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 - 31				
Repurchase program (1)	1,094,247	\$ 26.93	1,094,247	\$ 509,366,223
Employee transactions (2)	2,427	27.82	N/A	N/A
November 1 - 30				
Repurchase program (1)	1,152,798	27.81	1,152,798	477,302,850
Employee transactions (2)	13,637	28.50	N/A	N/A
December 1 - 31				
Repurchase program (1)	1,797,004	27.82	1,797,004	427,302,857
Employee transactions (2)	3,593	27.76	N/A	N/A
Total				
Repurchase program (1)	4,044,049	\$ 27.58	4,044,049	\$ 427,302,857
Employee transactions (2)	19,657	28.28	N/A	N/A

(1) Mattel announced its share repurchase program in July 2003. In October 2011, Mattel's Board of Directors authorized a \$500.0 million increase to Mattel's repurchase program. Repurchases will take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

(2) Includes the sale of restricted shares for employee tax withholding obligations that occur upon vesting.

N/A Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of Mattel common stock with that of the S&P 500 Index and the S&P 500 Consumer Staples Index. The Cumulative Total Return listed below assumes an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2006 and reinvestment of dividends.

Comparison of Five Year Cumulative Total Return

Mattel, Inc., S&P 500, and S&P 500 Consumer Staples Index

Cumulative Total Return	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Mattel, Inc.	\$ 87.33	\$ 76.30	\$ 99.54	\$ 130.83	\$ 147.55
S&P 500	105.48	66.93	84.28	96.78	98.81
S&P 500 Consumer Staples	86.90	58.05	81.71	104.25	110.68

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

	2011	2010	For the Year Ended December 31,			2007
			2009	2008		
	(In thousands, except per share and percentage information)					
Operating Results:						
Net sales	\$ 6,266,037	\$ 5,856,195	\$ 5,430,846	\$ 5,918,002		\$ 5,970,090
Gross profit	3,145,826	2,954,973	2,714,697	2,684,406		2,777,300
% of net sales	50.2%	50.5%	50.0%	45.4%		46.5%
Operating income	1,041,101	901,902	731,168	541,792		730,078
% of net sales	16.6%	15.4%	13.5%	9.2%		12.2%
Income before income taxes	970,673	846,825	660,047	487,964		703,398
Provision for income taxes (a)	202,165	161,962	131,343	108,328		103,405
Net income	\$ 768,508	\$ 684,863	\$ 528,704	\$ 379,636		\$ 599,993
Net income per common share basic	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.88	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.04		\$ 1.55
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 2.18	\$ 1.86	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.04		\$ 1.53
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.75		\$ 0.75
	2011	2010	December 31,	2008		2007
			2009			
	(In thousands)					
Financial Position:						
Total assets	\$ 5,671,638	\$ 5,417,733	\$ 4,780,555	\$ 4,675,039		\$ 4,805,455
Noncurrent liabilities	2,022,107	1,438,867	1,188,692	1,297,930		928,284
Stockholders equity	2,610,603	2,628,584	2,530,989	2,117,135		2,306,742

- (a) The provision for income taxes in 2011 was positively impacted by net tax benefits of \$6.8 million, primarily related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of current audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes. The provision for income taxes in 2010 was positively impacted by net tax benefits of \$16.8 million, primarily related to the release of a valuation allowance related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credit carryforwards, reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes, partially offset by the incremental tax cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been previously provided. The provision for income taxes in 2009 was positively impacted by net tax benefits of \$28.8 million related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes. The provision for income taxes in 2007 was positively impacted by net tax benefits of \$42.0 million related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits in various jurisdictions around the world, including settlements, partially offset by enacted tax law changes.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Overview

Mattel's vision is creating the future of play. Mattel's objectives are to grow its share in the marketplace, sustain gross margins of about 50%, leverage its scale to deliver cost savings and lower its selling and administrative costs, and create long-term stockholder value. To achieve these objectives, management has established the following strategies:

The first strategy is to deliver consistent growth by continuing the momentum in its core brands, optimizing entertainment partnerships, building new franchises, and working to expand and leverage its international footprint.

The second strategy is to optimize operating margins through sustaining gross margins of about 50% and delivering on cost savings initiatives.

The third strategy is to generate significant cash flow and continue its disciplined, opportunistic, and value-enhancing deployment.

2011 Overview

During 2011, Mattel delivered strong financial results with solid revenue growth across its portfolio of brands and markets, despite the soft global economic backdrop and the highly promotional environment. Mattel maintained momentum in its core brands such as Barbie®, Hot Wheels®, American Girl®, and its new franchise, Monster High®, as well as maximized key entertainment properties such as Disney Princess® and CARS 2®. Mattel sustained gross margins at its long-term target of approximately 50% and generated \$1.04 billion of operating income, or 16.6% of net sales, which is within its long-term goal range of operating margins of 15% to 20%. Mattel also generated significant cash flow, which it deployed to create value for its stockholders. More specifically:

Net sales increased to \$6.27 billion in 2011, up 7% from \$5.86 billion in 2010.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased to 50.2% in 2011 from 50.5% in 2010. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was driven primarily by higher product costs, higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties, and unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by price increases and net cost savings from Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 initiatives.

Operating income increased from \$901.9 million in 2010 to \$1.04 billion in 2011, primarily due to higher net sales, lower incentive and share-based compensation expense, net cost savings from Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 program, and lower legal expenses, partially offset by higher employee-related costs.

Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 program resulted in cost savings before severance charges and investments of approximately \$94 million (or approximately \$71 million in net cost savings). The gross cost savings included approximately \$53 million of structural cost savings generated by the program and approximately \$41 million of legal cost savings.

Mattel paid total annual dividends of \$0.92 per share, an increase of 11% from the prior year, and repurchased 20.4 million shares of its common stock.

Table of Contents*2012 and Beyond*

In 2012, Mattel will continue to work to deliver consistent growth and financial performance by executing against its existing strategies through: (i) capitalizing on Fisher-Price's global opportunity, (ii) integrating HIT Entertainment, which was acquired in February 2012, into Mattel (iii) optimizing its entertainment partnerships (which for 2012 include Brave and Batman®), (iv) continuing to accelerate growth in Monster High®, (v) creating new franchises, (vi) expanding into new toy categories, and (vii) continuing to expand its international footprint. In 2012, Mattel is implementing a change to its organizational structure to include a North America division and global brand teams. This new structure recognizes the opportunity to move decision-making for the US business closer to its retail customers and its toy consumers. Additionally, it gives Mattel's brand teams the ability to approach the business and creative process more globally, recognizing the growth outside the US. In addition, Mattel plans to deliver another year of cost savings through its Operational Excellence 2.0 initiatives.

Results of Operations**2011 Compared to 2010***Consolidated Results*

Net sales for 2011 were \$6.27 billion, a 7% increase, as compared to \$5.86 billion in 2010, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point. Net income for 2011 was \$768.5 million, or \$2.18 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$684.9 million, or \$1.86 per diluted share, in 2010. As compared to 2010, net income for 2011 was positively impacted by higher net sales and higher operating income, partially offset by a higher effective tax rate, higher interest expense, and higher other non-operating expense.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased to 50.2% in 2011 from 50.5% in 2010. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was driven primarily by higher product costs, higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties, and unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by price increases and net cost savings from Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 initiatives.

The following table provides a summary of Mattel's consolidated results for 2011 and 2010 (in millions, except percentage and basis point information):

	2011		For the Year 2010		Year/Year Change	
	Amount	% of Net Sales	Amount	% of Net Sales	%	Basis Points of Net Sales
Net sales	\$ 6,266.0	100.0%	\$ 5,856.2	100.0%	7%	
Gross profit	\$ 3,145.8	50.2%	\$ 2,955.0	50.5%	6%	(30)
Advertising and promotion expenses	699.2	11.2	647.3	11.1	8%	10
Other selling and administrative expenses	1,405.5	22.4	1,405.8	24.0	0%	(160)
Operating income	1,041.1	16.6	901.9	15.4	15%	120
Interest expense	75.3	1.2	64.8	1.1	16%	10
Interest (income)	(8.1)	0.1	(8.4)	0.1	4%	
Other non-operating expense (income), net	3.2		(1.3)			
Income before income taxes	\$ 970.7	15.5%	\$ 846.8	14.5%	15%	100

Sales

Net sales for 2011 were \$6.27 billion, a 7% increase, as compared to \$5.86 billion in 2010, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point. Gross sales within the US increased 3% in 2011, as compared to 2010, and accounted for 52% of consolidated gross sales in 2011, as compared to 54% of

Table of Contents

consolidated gross sales in 2010. Gross sales in international markets increased 12% in 2011, including favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points.

Worldwide gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 13% in 2011 to \$4.15 billion, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point. Domestic gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 9% and international gross sales increased 17%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Barbie® increased 12%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Barbie® increased 8% and international gross sales increased 14%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Other Girls products increased 27%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, driven primarily by higher sales of Monster High® and Disney Princess® products, partially offset by lower sales of Polly Pocket® and Little Mommy® products. Worldwide gross sales of Wheels products increased 2%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, driven primarily by higher sales of Hot Wheels® products internationally. Worldwide gross sales of Hot Wheels® increased 6%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Entertainment products increased 16%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven primarily by higher sales of CARS 2® products, partially offset by lower sales of Toy Story® 3 products.

Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands decreased 3% in 2011 to \$2.16 billion, with no impact from changes in currency exchange rates. Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands were relatively flat with 2010, excluding sales related to the Sesame Street® license in 2010. Domestic gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands decreased 4% and international gross sales were flat with 2010, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® increased 2%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point. Domestic gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® increased 2% and international gross sales increased 3%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends decreased 19%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, driven primarily by the discontinuation of the Sesame Street® license. Domestic gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends decreased 26% and international gross sales decreased 10%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point.

American Girl Brands gross sales increased 5% to \$510.9 million in 2011, driven primarily by sales from the American Girl® virtual world and Kanani®, the 2011 Girl of the Year® doll, and the benefit of two new American Girl® stores in McLean, Virginia and Lynwood, Washington, which opened in June 2011 and July 2011, respectively.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was 49.8% in 2011, as compared to 49.5% in 2010. Cost of sales increased by \$219.0 million, or 8%, from \$2.90 billion in 2010 to \$3.12 billion in 2011, as compared to a 7% increase in net sales. Within cost of sales, product costs increased by \$192.5 million, or 8%, from \$2.34 billion in 2010 to \$2.53 billion in 2011; royalty expenses increased \$16.5 million, or 7%, from \$245.9 million in 2010 to \$262.4 million in 2011; and freight and logistics expenses increased by \$10.0 million, or 3%, from \$313.7 million in 2010 to \$323.7 million in 2011.

Gross Profit

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased to 50.2% in 2011 from 50.5% in 2010. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was driven primarily by higher product costs, higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties, and unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by price increases and savings from Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 initiatives.

Advertising and Promotion Expenses

Advertising and promotion expenses increased to 11.2% of net sales in 2011, as compared to 11.1% of net sales in 2010.

Table of Contents

Other Selling and Administrative Expenses

Other selling and administrative expenses were \$1.41 billion in both 2011 and 2010, or 22.4% of net sales in 2011, as compared to 24.0% of net sales in 2010. Decreases in other selling and administrative expenses from lower legal expenses, lower incentive compensation expense, lower share-based compensation expense, and net cost savings from Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 initiatives, were offset by higher employee-related expenses, investments in strategic growth initiatives, and acquisition-related expenses of approximately \$10 million.

Non-Operating Items

Interest expense was \$75.3 million in 2011, as compared to \$64.8 million in 2010, driven primarily by higher average borrowings resulting from the \$500.0 million of senior notes issued in September 2010 and the \$600.0 million of senior notes issued in November of 2011, partially offset by the repayment of \$250.0 million of maturing debt in 2011 and lower average interest rates. Interest income decreased from \$8.4 million in 2010 to \$8.1 million in 2011, driven primarily by lower average interest rates, partially offset by higher average invested cash balances. Other non-operating expense was \$3.2 million in 2011, as compared to other non-operating income of \$1.3 million in 2010, driven primarily by other investment losses.

Provision for Income Taxes

Mattel's effective tax rate on income before income taxes in 2011 was 20.8%, as compared to 19.1% in 2010. The 2011 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$6.8 million, primarily related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of current audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes. The 2010 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$16.8 million, primarily related to the release of a valuation allowance related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credit carryforwards, reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes, partially offset by the incremental tax cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been previously provided.

Business Segment Results

Mattel's reportable segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. The Domestic segment is further divided into Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, and American Girl Brands. Reportable segment results should be read in conjunction with Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Segment Information.

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US gross sales were \$1.78 billion in 2011, up \$149.5 million or 9%, as compared to \$1.63 billion in 2010. Within this segment, gross sales of Barbie® products increased 8% and gross sales of Other Girls products increased 23%, driven primarily by higher sales of Monster High® and Disney Princess® products, partially offset by lower sales of Little Mommy® and Polly Pocket® products. Gross sales of Wheels products decreased 5%, driven primarily by lower sales of Matchbox® products. Gross sales of Entertainment products increased 14%, driven primarily by higher sales of CARS 2® products, partially offset by lower sales of Toy Story® 3 products. Cost of sales increased 9% in 2011, as compared to a 10% increase in net sales, primarily due to higher product costs and higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties. Gross margins increased primarily due to price increases and product mix, partially offset by higher product costs.

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US segment income increased 18% to \$483.5 million in 2011 from \$409.4 million in 2010, driven primarily by higher net sales and higher gross margins.

Table of Contents*Fisher-Price Brands US*

Fisher-Price Brands US gross sales were \$1.29 billion in 2011, down \$58.9 million or 4%, as compared to \$1.35 billion in 2010. Fisher-Price US gross sales were relatively flat with 2010, excluding sales related to the Sesame Street® license in 2010. Within this segment, gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® products increased 2% and gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends products decreased 26%, driven primarily by the discontinuation of the Sesame Street® license. Cost of sales decreased by 2% in 2011, as compared to a 5% decrease in net sales, but increased as a percentage of net sales primarily due to higher product costs. Gross margins decreased primarily due to higher product costs, partially offset by price increases.

Fisher-Price Brands US segment income decreased 27% to \$161.7 million in 2011 from \$222.0 million in 2010, driven primarily by lower net sales, lower gross margins, and higher other selling and administrative expenses.

American Girl Brands

American Girl Brands gross sales were \$510.9 million in 2011, up \$24.3 million or 5%, as compared to \$486.6 million in 2010, driven primarily by sales from the American Girl® virtual world and Kanani®, the 2011 Girl of the Year® doll, and the benefit of two new American Girl® stores in McLean, Virginia and Lynwood, Washington, which opened in June 2011 and July 2011, respectively. Cost of sales increased by 5% in 2011, and net sales increased by 5%, which resulted in relatively flat gross margins.

American Girl Brands segment operating income increased to \$113.1 million in 2011 from \$112.9 million in 2010, driven primarily by higher net sales, partially offset by higher other selling and administrative expenses from retail expansion and higher advertising and promotion expenses.

International Segment

The following table provides a summary of percentage changes in gross sales within the International segment in 2011 versus 2010:

	% Change in Gross Sales	Impact of Change in Currency Rates (in % pts)
Non-US Regions:		
Total International	12	3
Europe	10	3
Latin America	14	1
Asia Pacific	15	5
Other	8	2

International gross sales were \$3.26 billion in 2011, up \$339.6 million or 12%, as compared to \$2.92 billion in 2010, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. Gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 17%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. Gross sales of Barbie® increased 14%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 31%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven primarily by higher sales of Monster High® and Disney Princess® products, partially offset by lower sales of Polly Pocket® and Little Mommy® products. Gross sales of Wheels products increased 9%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven primarily by higher sales of Hot Wheels® products. Gross sales of Entertainment products increased by 18%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points, driven primarily by higher sales of CARS 2® products, partially offset by lower sales of Toy Story® 3 products. Fisher-Price Brands gross sales were flat with 2010, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® products increased 3%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends products decreased 10%, with favorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, driven primarily by the discontinuation of the Sesame Street® license. Cost of sales increased by 13% in

Table of Contents

2011, as compared to a 12% increase in net sales, primarily due to higher product costs and higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties. Gross margins decreased primarily due to higher product costs, higher royalty expenses, and unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by price increases.

International segment income increased 17% to \$619.3 million in 2011 from \$531.0 million in 2010, driven primarily by higher net sales, partially offset by lower gross margins.

2010 Compared to 2009*Consolidated Results*

Net sales for 2010 were \$5.86 billion, an 8% increase, as compared to \$5.43 billion in 2009, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Net income for 2010 was \$684.9 million, or \$1.86 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$528.7 million, or \$1.45 per diluted share, in 2009. As compared to 2009, net income for 2010 was positively impacted by higher net sales, higher gross profit, and a lower effective tax rate, partially offset by higher advertising and promotion expenses, higher other selling and administrative expenses, and unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 50.5% in 2010 from 50.0% in 2009. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was primarily due to effective pricing and net cost savings related to the Global Cost Leadership program, partially offset by higher royalty expense as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties.

The following table provides a summary of Mattel's consolidated results for 2010 and 2009 (in millions, except percentage and basis point information):

	2010		For the Year		2009		Year/Year Change Basis Points of Net Sales
	Amount	% of Net Sales	Amount	% of Net Sales	Amount	% of Net Sales	
Net sales	\$ 5,856.2	100.0%	\$ 5,430.8	100.0%			8%
Gross profit	\$ 2,955.0	50.5%	\$ 2,714.7	50.0%			9%
Advertising and promotion expenses	647.3	11.1	609.8	11.2			6%
Other selling and administrative expenses	1,405.8	24.0	1,373.7	25.3			2%
Operating income	901.9	15.4	731.2	13.5			23%
Interest expense	64.8	1.1	71.8	1.3			10%
Interest (income)	(8.4)	0.1	(8.1)	0.1			4%
Other non-operating (income) expense, net	(1.3)		7.5				
Income before income taxes	\$ 846.8	14.5%	\$ 660.0	12.2%			28%

Sales

Net sales for 2010 were \$5.86 billion, an 8% increase, as compared to \$5.43 billion in 2009, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Gross sales within the US increased 9% in 2010, and accounted for 54% of consolidated gross sales in 2010 and 2009. Gross sales in international markets increased 6% in 2010, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points.

Worldwide gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 11% to \$3.66 billion in 2010, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 16% and international gross sales increased 8%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Barbie® increased 7%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Barbie® increased 14% and

Table of Contents

international gross sales increased 2%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 5 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 16%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven primarily by increased sales of Disney Princess® products and the launch of Monster High®. Worldwide gross sales of Wheels products decreased 2%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, driven primarily by decreased sales of Tyco R/C® products and other Wheels products that did not continue into 2010, partially offset by increased sales of Hot Wheels® products. Worldwide gross sales of Hot Wheels® increased 3%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point. Worldwide gross sales of Entertainment products increased 27%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points, driven primarily by increased sales of Toy Story® 3, WWE® Wrestling, and Radica® products.

Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands increased 2% to \$2.22 billion in 2010, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands increased 3% and international gross sales increased 1%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® decreased 3%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® decreased 2% and international gross sales decreased 3%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends increased 24%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven primarily by sales of products supporting the Thomas and Friends® property and the launch of Sing-a-ma-jigs®, partially offset by decreased sales of Sesame Street® and certain smaller licensed properties products. Domestic gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends increased 29% and international gross sales increased 17%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 6 percentage points.

American Girl Brands gross sales increased 5% to \$486.6 million in 2010, driven primarily by sales of Lanie®, the 2010 Girl of the Year® doll, and Felicity® dolls, the benefit of two new American Girl® stores in Lone Tree, Colorado and Overland Park, Kansas, and the launch of the American Girl® virtual world.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was 49.5% in 2010, as compared to 50.0% in 2009. Cost of sales increased by \$185.1 million, or 7%, from \$2.72 billion in 2009 to \$2.90 billion in 2010, as compared to an 8% increase in net sales. Within cost of sales, freight and logistics expenses increased by \$17.8 million, or 6%, from \$295.9 million in 2009 to \$313.7 million in 2010; royalty expenses increased \$57.4 million, or 30%, from \$188.5 million in 2009 to \$245.9 million in 2010; and other product costs increased by \$109.9 million, or 5%, from \$2.23 billion in 2009 to \$2.34 billion in 2010.

Gross Profit

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales increased from 50.0% in 2009 to 50.5% in 2010, driven primarily by pricing and net cost savings related to the Global Cost Leadership program, partially offset by higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties.

Advertising and Promotion Expenses

Advertising and promotion expenses decreased to 11.1% of net sales in 2010, as compared to 11.2% of net sales in 2009.

Other Selling and Administrative Expenses

Other selling and administrative expenses were \$1.41 billion in 2010, or 24.0% of net sales, as compared to \$1.37 billion in 2009, or 25.3% of net sales. The dollar increase in other selling and administrative expenses primarily reflects higher employee-related expenses, information technology and other infrastructure investments, and higher net legal and recall-related litigation expenses of approximately \$5 million, partially

Table of Contents

offset by savings related to the Global Cost Leadership program of approximately \$20 million, lower bad debt expense, and lower severance charges. The increase in employee-related costs includes approximately \$17 million in incremental share-based compensation expense, approximately \$10 million in incremental annual incentive expense, and approximately \$16 million related to annual merit increases that began during the second quarter of 2010.

Non-Operating Items

Interest expense was \$64.8 million in 2010, as compared to \$71.8 million in 2009, driven primarily by lower average borrowings, lower average interest rates, and the absence of domestic receivables factoring in 2010, partially offset by interest expense associated with the \$500 million of senior notes issued in 2010. Interest income increased from \$8.1 million in 2009 to \$8.4 million in 2010, driven primarily by higher average invested cash balances, partially offset by lower average interest rates. Other non-operating income was \$1.3 million in 2010, as compared to other non-operating expense of \$7.4 million in 2009, driven primarily by other investment gains and lower foreign currency exchange losses.

Provision for Income Taxes

Mattel's effective tax rate on income before income taxes in 2010 was 19.1%, as compared to 19.9% in 2009. The 2010 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$16.8 million, primarily related to the release of a valuation allowance related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credit carryforwards, reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes, partially offset by the incremental tax cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been previously provided. The 2009 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$28.8 million related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted law changes.

Domestic Segment

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US gross sales were \$1.63 billion in 2010, up \$224.2 million or 16%, as compared to \$1.40 billion in 2009. Within this segment, gross sales of Barbie® increased 14% and gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 26%, driven primarily by increased sales of Disney Princess® products and the launch of Monster High®. Gross sales of Wheels products decreased 4%, driven primarily by decreased sales of Tyco R/C®, other Wheels products that did not continue into 2010, and Hot Wheels® products. Gross sales of Hot Wheels® products decreased 1%. Gross sales of Entertainment products increased 33%, driven primarily by increased sales of Toy Story® 3, WWE® Wrestling, and Radica® products. Cost of sales increased by 12% in 2010, as compared to a 16% increase in net sales, primarily due to higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties. Gross margins increased primarily due to price increases, partially offset by higher royalty expenses.

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US segment income increased 40% to \$409.4 million in 2010 from \$293.4 million in 2009, driven primarily by higher net sales and higher gross margins, partially offset by higher advertising and promotion expenses.

Fisher-Price Brands US gross sales were \$1.35 billion in 2010, up \$42.0 million or 3%, as compared to \$1.31 billion in 2009. Within this segment, gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® products decreased 2% and gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends products increased 29%, driven primarily by sales of products supporting the Thomas and Friends® property and the launch of Sing-a-ma-jigs®, partially offset by decreased sales of Sesame Street® and certain smaller licensed properties products. Cost of sales increased by 8% in 2010, as compared to a 3% increase in net sales, primarily due to higher product costs and higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties. Gross margins decreased primarily due to higher product costs and higher royalty expenses, partially offset by price increases.

Table of Contents

Fisher-Price Brands US segment income decreased 4% to \$222.0 million in 2010 from \$231.9 million in 2009, driven primarily by lower gross margins, partially offset by lower other selling and administrative expenses.

American Girl Brands gross sales were \$486.6 million in 2010, up \$23.7 million or 5%, as compared to \$462.9 million in 2009, driven primarily by sales of Lanie®, the 2010 Girl of the Year® doll, and Felicity® dolls, the benefit of two new American Girl® stores in Lone Tree, Colorado and Overland Park, Kansas, and the launch of the American Girl® virtual world. Cost of sales increased by 5% in 2010, as compared to a 6% increase in net sales, primarily due to higher freight and logistics expenses. Gross margins increased slightly by 30 basis points.

American Girl Brands segment operating income increased 9% to \$112.9 million in 2010 from \$103.4 million in 2009, driven primarily by higher net sales and higher gross margins, partially offset by higher advertising and promotion expenses and higher other selling and administrative expenses.

International Segment

The following table provides a summary of percentage changes in gross sales within the International segment in 2010 versus 2009:

	% Change in Gross Sales	Impact of Change in Currency Rates (in % pts)
Non-US Regions:		
Total International	6	4
Europe	5	4
Latin America	1	8
Asia Pacific	23	7
Other	15	6

International gross sales were \$2.92 billion in 2010, up \$162.5 million or 6%, as compared to \$2.76 billion in 2009, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 8%, with unfavorable change in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Gross sales of Barbie® increased 2%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 5 percentage points. Gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 8%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points, driven primarily by increased sales of Disney Princess® products and the launch of Monster High®. Gross sales of Wheels remained flat in 2010, as compared to 2009, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven primarily by increased sales of Hot Wheels® products, partially offset by decreased sales of Tyco R/C® products and other Wheels products that did not continue into 2010. Gross Sales of Hot Wheels® products increased 6%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Gross sales of Entertainment products increased by 22%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 7 percentage points, driven primarily by increased sales of Toy Story® 3 and WWE® Wrestling products. Fisher-Price Brands gross sales increased 1%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® products decreased 3%, with unfavorable change in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points and gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends products increased 17%, with unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 6 percentage points, driven primarily by sales of products supporting the Thomas and Friends® property and the launch of Sing-a-ma-jigs®, partially offset by decreased sales of Sesame Street® and certain smaller licensed properties products. Cost of sales increased by 2% in 2010, as compared to a 6% increase in net sales, primarily due to higher royalty expenses as a result of increased sales of products tied to licensed properties. Gross margins increased primarily due to price increases, partially offset by higher royalty expenses.

International segment income increased 26% to \$531.0 million in 2010 from \$422.5 million in 2009, driven primarily by higher net sales and higher gross margins, partially offset by higher advertising and promotion expenses.

Table of Contents

Cost Savings Programs

During 2008, Mattel initiated the first phase of its cost savings program, Global Cost Leadership, which was designed to improve operating efficiencies and leverage Mattel's global scale to improve profitability and operating cash flows. The major initiatives within the Global Cost Leadership program included:

A global reduction in Mattel's professional workforce, which resulted in severance and other termination-related charges of approximately \$13 million and approximately \$32 million during 2010 and 2009, respectively.

A coordinated efficiency strategic plan that included structural changes designed to lower costs and improve efficiencies; for example, offshoring and outsourcing certain back office functions, and additional clustering of management in international markets.

Procurement initiatives designed to fully leverage Mattel's global scale in areas such as creative agency partnerships, legal services, and distribution, including ocean carriers and over-the-road freight vendors.

The Global Cost Leadership program was intended to generate approximately \$90 million to \$100 million of net cost savings in 2009, and approximately \$180 million to \$200 million of cumulative net cost savings by the end of 2010. Mattel exceeded its 2009 goal by realizing approximately \$164 million of gross cost savings before severance charges of approximately \$32 million (or approximately \$132 million in net cost savings). Of the gross cost savings realized in 2009, approximately \$88 million was reflected within other selling and administrative expenses, approximately \$62 million within gross profit, and approximately \$14 million within advertising and promotion expenses.

In addition, Mattel exceeded its 2010 goal by realizing approximately \$61 million of year-over-year incremental gross cost savings before severance charges of approximately \$13 million (or approximately \$48 million in net cost savings), for cumulative gross cost savings before severance charges of approximately \$225 million. Of the gross cost savings realized in 2010, approximately \$27 million was reflected within gross profit, approximately \$20 million within other selling and administrative expenses, and approximately \$14 million within advertising and promotion expenses.

During 2011, Mattel initiated the second phase of its cost savings program, Operational Excellence 2.0, which currently targets additional cumulative cost savings of approximately \$175 million by the end of 2012. The cost savings are expected to include a reduction of approximately \$75 million in legal costs, which will lower other selling and administrative expenses, and approximately \$100 million of structural cost savings executed through a handful of important initiatives, which will be reflected in gross profit, advertising and promotion expenses, and other selling and administrative expenses. The major initiatives within the Operational Excellence 2.0 program include:

The creation of global brand teams and reorganization to a North America division,

Additional procurement initiatives designed to fully leverage Mattel's global scale,

SKU efficiency, and

Packaging optimization.

During 2011, Mattel realized Operational Excellence 2.0 gross cost savings before severance charges and investments of approximately \$94 million (or approximately \$71 million in net cost savings). The gross cost savings included approximately \$53 million of structural cost savings and approximately \$41 million of legal cost savings. Of the gross cost savings realized in 2011, approximately \$67 million was reflected within other selling and administrative expenses, approximately \$15 million within gross profit, and approximately \$12 million within advertising and promotion expenses. Mattel continues to be on track to realize \$175 million in sustainable cumulative savings by the end of 2012.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Mattel's effective tax rate on income before income taxes in 2011 was 20.8%, as compared to 19.1% in 2010. The 2011 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$6.8 million, primarily related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of current audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes.

Mattel's effective tax rate on income before income taxes in 2010 was 19.1%, as compared to 19.9% in 2009. The 2010 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$16.8 million, primarily related to the release of a valuation allowance related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credit carryforwards, reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes, partially offset by the incremental tax cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been previously provided.

Mattel expects its full year 2012 and 2013 effective tax rate to be approximately 22% to 23%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Mattel's primary sources of liquidity are its cash and equivalents balances, access to short-term borrowing facilities, including its \$1.40 billion domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility ("Credit Facility"), and issuances of long-term debt securities. Cash flows from operating activities could be negatively impacted by decreased demand for Mattel's products, which could result from factors such as adverse economic conditions and changes in public and consumer preferences, or by increased costs associated with manufacturing and distribution of products or shortages in raw materials or component parts. Additionally, Mattel's ability to issue long-term debt and obtain seasonal financing could be adversely affected by factors such as global economic crises and tight credit environments, an inability to meet its debt covenant requirements, which include maintaining consolidated debt-to-earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") and interest coverage ratios, or a deterioration of Mattel's credit ratings. Mattel's ability to conduct its operations could be negatively impacted should these or other adverse conditions affect its primary sources of liquidity.

Current Market Conditions

Mattel is exposed to financial market risk resulting from changes in interest and foreign currency rates. Mattel believes that it has ample liquidity to fund its business needs, including beginning of year cash and equivalents, cash flows from operations, and access to the commercial paper markets and its Credit Facility, which it uses for seasonal working capital requirements. As of December 31, 2011, Mattel had available incremental borrowing resources totaling \$1.40 billion under the Credit Facility, and Mattel has not experienced any limitations on its ability to access this source of liquidity. Market conditions could affect certain terms of other debt instruments that Mattel enters into from time to time.

Mattel monitors the third-party depository institutions that hold the Company's cash and equivalents. Mattel's emphasis is primarily on safety and liquidity of principal, and secondarily on maximizing the yield on those funds. Mattel diversifies its cash and equivalents among counterparties and securities to minimize risks.

Mattel is subject to credit risks relating to the ability of its counterparties of hedging transactions to meet their contractual payment obligations. The risks related to creditworthiness and nonperformance have been considered in the fair value measurements of Mattel's foreign currency forward exchange contracts. Mattel closely monitors its counterparties and takes action, as necessary, to manage its counterparty credit risk.

Mattel expects that some of its customers and vendors may experience difficulty in obtaining the liquidity required to buy inventory or raw materials. Mattel monitors its customers' financial condition and their liquidity in order to mitigate Mattel's accounts receivable collectibility risks and customer terms and credit limits are

adjusted, if necessary. Additionally, Mattel uses a variety of financial arrangements to ensure collectibility of

Table of Contents

accounts receivable of customers deemed to be a credit risk, including requiring letters of credit, factoring, purchasing various forms of credit insurance with unrelated third parties, or requiring cash in advance of shipment.

Mattel sponsors defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans for its employees. Actual returns below the expected rate of return, along with changes in interest rates that affect the measurement of the liability, would impact the amount and timing of Mattel's future contributions to these plans.

Capital and Investment Framework

To guide future capital deployment decisions, with a goal of maximizing stockholder value, Mattel's Board of Directors established the following capital and investment framework:

To maintain approximately \$800 million to \$1 billion in year-end cash available to fund a substantial portion of seasonal working capital;

To maintain a year-end debt-to-capital ratio of about 35%;

To invest approximately \$180 million to \$200 million in capital expenditures annually to maintain and grow the business;

To make strategic opportunistic acquisitions; and

To return excess funds to stockholders through dividends and share repurchases.

Over the long term, assuming cash flows from operating activities remain strong, Mattel plans to use its free cash flows to invest in strategic acquisitions and to return funds to stockholders through cash dividends and share repurchases. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date and repurchases will take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. The ability to successfully implement the capital deployment plan is directly dependent on Mattel's ability to generate strong cash flows from operating activities. There is no assurance that Mattel will continue to generate strong cash flows from operating activities or achieve its targeted goals for investing activities.

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities were \$664.7 million during 2011, as compared to \$528.0 million during 2010 and \$945.0 million during 2009. The increase in cash flows from operating activities in 2011 from 2010 was primarily due to the decision not to factor \$300.0 million of domestic receivables in 2010 and higher net income, partially offset by higher working capital usage. The decrease in cash flows from operating activities in 2010 from 2009 was primarily due to the decision not to factor \$300.0 million of domestic receivables in 2010, as well as growth in accounts receivable due to increased net sales, and the rebuild of inventory to support point of sale momentum and customer service levels, partially offset by higher net income.

Investing Activities

Cash flows used for investing activities were \$174.5 million during 2011, as compared to \$146.7 million during 2010 and \$33.5 million during 2009. The increase in cash flows used for investing activities in 2011 from 2010 was primarily due to higher purchases of tools, dies, molds and other property, plant, and equipment, partially offset by higher net proceeds from settled foreign currency forward exchange contracts. The increase in cash flows used for investing activities in 2010 from 2009 was primarily due to lower proceeds received from the redemption of a money market investment fund, lower net proceeds from settled foreign currency forward exchange contracts, and higher purchases of tools, dies, and molds and other property, plant, and equipment.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

Cash flows used for financing activities were \$397.3 million during 2011, as compared to \$224.8 million during 2010 and \$376.1 million during 2009. The increase in cash flows used for financing activities in 2011 from 2010 was primarily due to repayments of long-term debt consistent with scheduled maturities, higher share repurchases, and higher dividend payments, partially offset by higher net proceeds from the issuance of senior notes and higher proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The decrease in cash flows used for financing activities in 2010 from 2009 primarily reflects net proceeds from the \$500.0 million issuance of senior notes in September 2010 and higher proceeds from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by higher share repurchases.

During both 2011 and 2010, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by \$500.0 million. During 2011, Mattel repurchased 20.4 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$536.3 million, of which \$12.3 million was unsettled at December 31, 2011. During 2010, Mattel repurchased 18.6 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$446.7 million. During 2009, Mattel did not repurchase any shares of its common stock. At December 31, 2011, share repurchase authorizations of \$427.3 million had not been executed. Repurchases will take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

In 2011, 2010, and 2009, Mattel paid total dividends per share of \$0.92, \$0.83, and \$0.75, respectively, to holders of its common stock, respectively. During 2011, the Board of Directors declared the dividends on a quarterly basis, and Mattel paid the dividends during the quarter in which the dividends were declared. During 2010 and 2009, the Board of Directors declared the dividends in November of the respective years, and Mattel paid the dividends in December of the respective years. Dividend payments were \$316.5 million, \$291.3 million, and \$271.4 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Seasonal Financing

See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Seasonal Financing and Debt.

Financial Position

Mattel's cash and equivalents were \$1.37 billion at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$88.0 million from 2010. The increase was primarily driven by cash flows from operations, net proceeds from the \$600.0 million issuance of senior notes in November 2011, and proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The increase was partially offset by \$524.0 million of share repurchases, \$316.5 million of dividend payments, \$250.0 million of scheduled long-term debt repayments, and \$190.9 million of purchases of tools, dies, and molds, and other property, plant, and equipment.

Accounts receivable increased \$100.6 million from December 31, 2010 to \$1.25 billion at December 31, 2011, primarily due to higher net sales and a shift in sales mix to countries with longer sales terms.

Inventories increased \$23.2 million from December 31, 2010 to \$487.0 million at December 31, 2011, driven primarily by higher raw materials and higher product costs.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased \$94.7 million from December 31, 2010 to \$953.8 million at December 31, 2011, driven primarily by the timing and amount of payments to vendors and various accrued liabilities, including incentive compensation, royalties, and taxes other than income taxes.

As of December 31, 2011, Mattel had foreign short-term bank loans outstanding of \$8.0 million. As of December 31, 2010, Mattel had no foreign short-term bank loans outstanding. The current portion of long-term debt decreased \$200.0 million from December 31, 2010 to \$50.0 million at December 31, 2011, due to scheduled repayments of \$200.0 million of 2006 Senior Notes and \$50.0 million of Medium-term notes during 2011, partially offset by the reclassification of \$50.0 million of Medium-term notes to current.

Table of Contents

A summary of Mattel's capitalization is as follows:

	December 31, 2011 (In millions, except percentage information)				2010
Medium-term notes	\$ 50.0	1%	\$ 100.0	2%	
2008 Senior Notes	350.0	7	350.0	9	
2010 Senior Notes	500.0	11	500.0	12	
2011 Senior Notes	600.0	13			
Total noncurrent long-term debt	1,500.0	32	950.0	23	
Other noncurrent liabilities	522.1	12	488.9	12	
Stockholders' equity	2,610.6	56	2,628.6	65	
	\$ 4,632.7	100%	\$ 4,067.5	100%	

Total long-term debt increased \$550.0 million from December 31, 2010 to \$1.50 billion at December 31, 2011, due to the \$600.0 million issuance of senior notes in November 2011, partially offset by the reclassification of \$50.0 million of Medium-term notes to current. Mattel expects to satisfy its future long-term capital needs through the generation of corporate earnings and issuance of long-term debt instruments, as needed.

Stockholders' equity decreased \$18.0 million from December 31, 2010 to \$2.61 billion at December 31, 2011, primarily as a result of share repurchases, dividend payments, and the impact of foreign currency translation adjustments, partially offset by net income and the issuance of treasury stock for the exercise of stock options.

Mattel's debt-to-capital ratio, including short-term borrowings and the current portion of long-term debt, increased to 37.4% at December 31, 2011 from 31.3% at December 31, 2010, primarily due to the \$600.0 million issuance of senior notes in November 2011, partially offset by repayments of long-term debt. Mattel's objective is to maintain a year-end debt-to-capital ratio of about 35%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Mattel has no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on its financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Commitments

In the normal course of business, Mattel enters into debt agreements, and contractual arrangements to obtain and protect Mattel's right to create and market certain products and for future purchases of goods and services to ensure availability and timely delivery. These arrangements include commitments for future inventory and service purchases and royalty payments pursuant to licensing agreements. Certain of these commitments routinely contain provisions for guarantees or minimum expenditures during the term of the contracts. Additionally, Mattel routinely enters into noncancelable lease agreements for premises and equipment used, which contain minimum rental payments.

Table of Contents

	Total	2012	2013	2014 (In millions)	2015	2016	Thereafter
Long-term debt	\$ 1,550.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 400.0	\$	\$	\$ 300.0	\$ 800.0
Interest on long-term debt	1,109.8	75.5	63.4	50.2	50.2	49.0	821.5
Capital leases*	2.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.9
Operating leases	472.8	86.0	70.9	57.3	48.9	45.9	163.8
Minimum guarantees under licensing and similar agreements	314.0	55.2	100.0	83.9	60.9	13.7	0.3
Defined benefit and postretirement benefit plans	379.3	77.0	29.3	29.9	30.8	32.0	180.3
Purchases of inventory, other assets, and services	405.7	356.1	16.8	15.5	14.0	3.3	
Total	\$ 4,234.0	\$ 700.1	\$ 680.7	\$ 237.1	\$ 205.1	\$ 444.2	\$ 1,966.8

* Represents total obligation, including imputed interest of \$0.6 million.

Liabilities for uncertain tax positions for which a cash tax payment is not expected to be made in the next twelve months are classified as other noncurrent liabilities. Due to the uncertainty about the periods in which examinations will be completed and limited information related to current audits, Mattel is not able to make reasonably reliable estimates of the periods in which cash settlements will occur with taxing authorities for the noncurrent liabilities.

Subsequent Events

On January 31, 2012, Mattel announced that the Board of Directors declared a first quarter dividend of \$0.31 per common share. On February 1, 2012, Mattel completed its acquisition of HIT Entertainment for \$680.0 million. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Subsequent Events.

Litigation

The content of Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Commitments and Contingencies Litigation is hereby incorporated by reference in this Item 7.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation rates in the US and in major foreign countries where Mattel does business have not had a significant impact on its results of operations or financial position during 2011, 2010, or 2009. Mattel receives some protection from the impact of inflation from high turnover of inventories and its ability, under certain circumstances and at certain times, to pass on higher prices to its customers.

Employee Savings Plan

Mattel sponsors a 401(k) savings plan, the Mattel, Inc. Personal Investment Plan (the Plan), for its domestic employees. Contributions to the Plan include voluntary contributions by eligible employees and employer automatic and matching contributions by Mattel. The Plan allows employees to allocate both their voluntary contributions and their employer automatic and matching contributions to a variety of investment funds, including a fund that is fully invested in Mattel common stock (the Mattel Stock Fund). Employees are not required to allocate any of their Plan account balance to the Mattel Stock Fund, which allows employees to limit or eliminate their exposure to market changes in Mattel's stock price. Furthermore, the Plan limits the percentage of the employee's total account balance that may be allocated to the Mattel Stock Fund to 25%.

Table of Contents

Employees may generally reallocate their account balances on a daily basis. However, pursuant to Mattel's insider trading policy, employees classified as insiders and restricted personnel under Mattel's insider trading policy are limited to certain periods in which they may make allocations into or out of the Mattel Stock Fund.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Mattel makes certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. The accounting policies and estimates described below are those Mattel considers most critical in preparing its consolidated financial statements. Management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors, and the Audit Committee has reviewed the disclosures included below. These accounting policies and estimates include significant judgments made by management using information available at the time the estimates are made. As described below, however, these estimates could change materially if different information or assumptions were used instead.

Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements includes a summary of Mattel's significant accounting policies, estimates, and methods used in the preparation of Mattel's consolidated financial statements. In most instances, Mattel must use an accounting policy or method because it is the only policy or method permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. See Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data – Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Accounts Receivable – Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts represents adjustments to customer trade accounts receivable for amounts deemed partially or entirely uncollectible. Management believes the accounting estimate related to the allowance for doubtful accounts is a critical accounting estimate because significant changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimate could materially affect key financial measures, including other selling and administrative expenses, net income, and accounts receivable. In addition, the allowance requires a high degree of judgment since it involves estimation of the impact of both current and future economic factors in relation to its customers' ability to pay amounts owed to Mattel.

Mattel's products are sold throughout the world. Products within the Domestic segment are sold directly to retailers, including discount and free-standing toy stores, chain stores, department stores, other retail outlets and, to a limited extent, wholesalers, and directly to consumers. Products within the International segment are sold directly to retailers and wholesalers in most European, Latin American, and Asian countries, and in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand, and through agents and distributors in those countries where Mattel has no direct presence.

In recent years, the mass-market retail channel has experienced significant shifts in market share among competitors, causing some large retailers to experience liquidity problems. Mattel's sales to customers are typically made on credit without collateral and are highly concentrated in the third and fourth quarters due to the cyclical nature of toy sales, which results in a substantial portion of trade receivables being collected during the latter half of the year and the first quarter of the following year. There is a risk that customers will not pay, or that payment may be delayed, because of bankruptcy or other factors beyond the control of Mattel. This could increase Mattel's exposure to losses from bad debts.

A small number of customers account for a large share of Mattel's net sales and accounts receivable. In 2011, Mattel's three largest customers, Wal-Mart, Toys 'R Us, and Target, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 38% of net sales, and its ten largest customers, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 48% of net sales. As of December 31, 2011, Mattel's three largest customers accounted for approximately 46% of net accounts receivable, and its ten largest customers accounted for approximately 57% of net accounts receivable. The concentration of Mattel's business with a relatively small number of customers may expose Mattel to a material adverse effect if one or more of Mattel's large customers were to experience financial difficulty.

Table of Contents

Mattel has procedures to mitigate its risk of exposure to losses from bad debts. Revenue is recognized upon shipment or upon receipt of products by the customer, depending on the terms, provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; persuasive evidence of an agreement exists documenting the specific terms of the transaction; the sales price is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured. Value added taxes are recorded on a net basis, and are excluded from revenue. Credit limits and payment terms are established based on the underlying criteria that collectibility must be reasonably assured at the levels set for each customer. Extensive evaluations are performed on an ongoing basis throughout the fiscal year of each customer's financial performance, cash generation, financing availability, and liquidity status. Customers are reviewed at least annually, with more frequent reviews being performed, if necessary, based on the customer's financial condition and the level of credit being extended. For customers who are experiencing financial difficulties, management performs additional financial analyses prior to shipping to those customers on credit. Customer terms and credit limits are adjusted, if necessary, to reflect the results of the review. Mattel uses a variety of financial arrangements to ensure collectibility of accounts receivable of customers deemed to be a credit risk, including requiring letters of credit, factoring, purchasing various forms of credit insurance with unrelated third parties, or requiring cash in advance of shipment.

The following table summarizes Mattel's allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31:

	2011	2010	2009
	(In millions, except percentage information)		
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 26.3	\$ 21.8	\$ 24.5
As a percentage of total accounts receivable	2.1%	1.9%	3.2%

Mattel's allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the business environment, customers' financial condition, historical collection experience, accounts receivable aging, and customer disputes. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts reflect management's assessment of the factors noted above, including past due accounts, disputed balances with customers, and the financial condition of customers. The allowance for doubtful accounts is also affected by the time at which uncollectible accounts receivable balances are actually written off.

Mattel believes that its allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2011 is adequate and proper. However, as described above, Mattel's business is greatly dependent on a small number of customers. Should one or more of Mattel's major customers experience liquidity problems, then the allowance for doubtful accounts may not be sufficient to cover such losses. Any incremental bad debt charges would negatively affect the results of operations of one or more of Mattel's business segments.

Inventories Allowance for Obsolescence

Inventories, net of an allowance for excess quantities and obsolescence, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventory obsolescence reserves are recorded for damaged, obsolete, excess and slow-moving inventory. Inventory allowances are charged to cost of sales and establish a lower cost basis for the inventory. Management believes that the accounting estimate related to the allowance for obsolescence is a critical accounting estimate because changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimate could materially affect key financial measures, including gross profit, net income, and inventories. As more fully described below, valuation of Mattel's inventory could be impacted by changes in public and consumer preferences, demand for product, or changes in the buying patterns of both retailers and consumers and inventory management of customers.

In the toy industry, orders are subject to cancellation or change at any time prior to shipment since actual shipments of products ordered and order cancellation rates are affected by consumer acceptance of product lines, strength of competing products, marketing strategies of retailers, changes in buying patterns of both retailers and consumers, and overall economic conditions. Unexpected changes in these factors could result in excess inventory in a particular product line, which would require management to record a valuation allowance on such inventory.

Table of Contents

Mattel bases its production schedules for toy products on customer orders and forecasts, taking into account historical trends, results of market research, and current market information. Mattel ships products in accordance with delivery schedules specified by its customers, who usually request delivery within three months. In anticipation of retail sales in the traditional holiday season, Mattel significantly increases its production in advance of the peak selling period, resulting in a corresponding build-up of inventory levels in the first three quarters of its fiscal year. These seasonal purchasing patterns and requisite production lead times create risk to Mattel's business associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of toys that do not match consumer demand. Retailers are also attempting to manage their inventories more tightly, requiring Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. These factors increase inventory valuation risk since Mattel's inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed.

When current conditions in the domestic and global economies become uncertain, it is difficult to estimate the level of growth or contraction for the economy as a whole. It is even more difficult to estimate growth or contraction in various parts of the economy, including the economies in which Mattel participates. Because all components of Mattel's budgeting and forecasting are dependent upon estimates of growth or contraction in the markets it serves and demand for its products, economic uncertainty makes estimates of future demand for product more difficult. Such economic changes may affect the sales of Mattel's products and its corresponding inventory levels, which could potentially impact the valuation of its inventory.

At the end of each quarter, management within each business segment, Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands, and International, performs a detailed review of its inventory on an item-by-item basis and identifies products that are believed to be impaired. Management assesses the need for, and the amount of, an obsolescence reserve based on the following factors:

Customer and/or consumer demand for the item;

Overall inventory positions of Mattel's customers;

Strength of competing products in the market;

Quantity on hand of the item;

Standard retail price of the item;

Mattel's cost for the item; and

Length of time the item has been in inventory.

The time frame between when an estimate is made and the time of disposal depends on the above factors and may vary significantly. Generally, slow-moving inventory is liquidated during the next annual selling cycle.

The following table summarizes Mattel's obsolescence reserve at December 31:

	2011	2010	2009
	(In millions, except percentage information)		
Allowance for obsolescence	\$ 39.2	\$ 46.9	\$ 40.8
As a percentage of total inventory	7.5%	9.2%	10.3%

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Management believes that its allowance for obsolescence at December 31, 2011 is adequate and proper. However, the impact resulting from the aforementioned factors could cause actual results to vary. Any incremental obsolescence charges would negatively affect the results of operations of one or more of Mattel's business segments.

Recoverability of Goodwill and Nonamortizable Intangible Assets

Mattel tests goodwill and nonamortizable intangible assets for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment may have occurred. Management believes that the accounting

Table of Contents

estimates related to the fair value estimates of its goodwill and nonamortizable intangible assets are critical accounting estimates because significant changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimates could materially affect key financial measures, including net income, goodwill, and other intangible assets.

Assessing goodwill for impairment involves a high degree of judgment since the first step of the required impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of a reporting unit with its book value. Based on the assumptions underlying the valuation, impairment is determined by estimating the fair value of a reporting unit and comparing that value to the reporting unit's book value. If the fair value is more than the book value of the reporting unit, an impairment loss is not recognized. If an impairment exists, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of its assets and liabilities excluding goodwill, with the excess amount representing the fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the book value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the estimated fair value of that goodwill.

For purposes of evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, goodwill is allocated to various reporting units, which are either at the operating segment level or one reporting level below the operating segment. Mattel's reporting units are: Mattel Girls Brands US, Mattel Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands, and International. Goodwill is allocated to Mattel's reporting units based on an allocation of brand-specific goodwill to the reporting units selling those brands. Mattel utilizes the fair value based upon the discounted cash flows that the business can be expected to generate in the future (the Income Approach) when evaluating goodwill for impairment. The Income Approach valuation method requires Mattel to make projections of revenue, operating costs, and working capital investment for the reporting unit over a multi-year period. Additionally, management must make an estimate of a weighted average cost of capital that a market participant would use as a discount rate. Changes in these projections or estimates could result in a reporting unit either passing or failing the first step of the impairment model, which could significantly change the amount of any impairment ultimately recorded. During the third quarter, Mattel performed the annual impairment test for goodwill as required and determined that its goodwill was not impaired since, for each of the reporting units, the fair value of the reporting unit substantially exceeded its carrying value. Mattel also considered events and circumstances subsequent to the annual impairment tests in concluding there was no impairment at December 31, 2011.

Testing nonamortizable intangible assets for impairment also involves a high degree of judgment due to the assumptions that underlie the valuation. Mattel evaluates nonamortizable intangible assets, including trademarks and trade names, for impairment by comparing the estimated fair values with the carrying values. The fair value is measured using a multi-period royalty savings method, which reflects the savings realized by owning the trademarks and trade names, and thus not having to pay a royalty fee to a third party. During the third quarter, Mattel performed the annual impairment test for nonamortizable intangible assets as required and determined that its nonamortizable intangible assets were not impaired since the fair value of the nonamortizable intangible assets exceeded its carrying value. Mattel also considered events and circumstances subsequent to these impairment tests in concluding there was no impairment at December 31, 2011. However, during 2011, for one of Mattel's nonamortizable intangible assets with a carrying value of approximately \$113 million, the fair value did not exceed the carrying value by a significant margin. Future changes in estimates resulting in lower than currently anticipated future cash flows and fair value could negatively affect the valuation, which may result in Mattel recognizing an impairment charge in the future.

Sales Adjustments

Mattel routinely enters into arrangements with its customers to provide sales incentives, support customer promotions, and provide allowances for returns and defective merchandise. Such programs are based primarily on customer purchases, customer performance of specified promotional activities, and other specified factors such as sales to consumers. Accruals for these programs are recorded as sales adjustments that reduce gross revenue in the period the related revenue is recognized. Sales adjustments for such programs totaled \$575.1 million, \$530.4 million, and \$503.5 million during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

The above-described programs primarily involve fixed amounts or percentages of sales to customers. Accruals for such programs are calculated based on an assessment of customers' purchases and performance under the programs and any other specified factors. While the majority of sales adjustment amounts are readily determinable at period end and do not require estimates, certain of the sales adjustments require management to make estimates. In making these estimates, management considers all available information, including the overall business environment, historical trends, and information from customers. Management believes that the accruals recorded for customer programs at December 31, 2011 are adequate and proper.

Benefit Plan Assumptions

Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have retirement and other postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all employees of these companies. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Employee Benefit Plans.

Actuarial valuations are used in determining amounts recognized in the financial statements for certain retirement and other postretirement benefit plans. These valuations incorporate the following significant assumptions:

Weighted average discount rate to be used to measure future plan obligations and interest cost component of plan income or expense;

Rate of future compensation increases (for defined benefit pension plans);

Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets (for funded plans); and

Health care cost trend rates (for other postretirement benefit plans).

Management believes that these assumptions are critical accounting estimates because significant changes in these assumptions could impact Mattel's results of operations and financial position. Management believes that the assumptions utilized to record its obligations under its plans are reasonable based on the plans' experience and advice received from its outside actuaries. Mattel reviews its benefit plan assumptions annually and modifies its assumptions based on current rates and trends as appropriate. The effects of such changes in assumptions are amortized as part of plan income or expense in future periods.

At the end of each fiscal year, Mattel determines the weighted average discount rate used to calculate the projected benefit obligation. The discount rate is an estimate of the current interest rate at which the benefit plan liabilities could be effectively settled at the end of the year. The discount rate also impacts the interest cost component of plan income or expense. At December 31, 2011, Mattel determined the discount rate for its domestic benefit plans used in determining the projected and accumulated benefit obligations to be 4.5%, as compared to 5.2% and 5.6% for December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In estimating this rate, Mattel reviews rates of return on high-quality, corporate bond indices, which approximate the timing and amount of benefit payments. Assuming all other benefit plan assumptions remain constant, the decrease in the discount rate from 5.2% to 4.5% would result in an increase in benefit plan expense during 2012 of approximately \$3 million.

The rate of future compensation increases used by Mattel for the benefit obligation and the net periodic pension cost of its domestic defined benefit pension plans averaged 3.8% for 2011, 2010, and 2009, based on plan demographics. These assumptions are reviewed annually based on historical salary increases for participants in the defined benefit pension plans. This assumption impacts the service and interest cost components of plan income or expense.

The long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on management's expectation of earnings on the assets that secure Mattel's funded defined benefit pension plans, taking into account the mix of invested assets, the arithmetic average of past returns, economic and stock market conditions and future expectations, and the long-term nature of the projected benefit obligation to which these investments relate. The long-term rate of return is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets that is used in calculating pension income or expense. The difference between this expected return and the actual return on plan assets is deferred, net of tax, and is included

Table of Contents

in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The net deferral of past asset gains or losses affects the calculated value of plan assets and, ultimately, future pension income or expense. Mattel's long-term rate of return for its domestic defined benefit pension plans was 8.0% in 2011, 2010, and 2009. Assuming all other benefit plan assumptions remain constant, a one percentage point decrease in the expected return on plan assets would result in an increase in benefit plan expense during 2012 of approximately \$3 million.

The health care cost trend rates used by Mattel for its other postretirement benefit plans reflect management's best estimate of expected claim costs over the next ten years. These trend rates impact the service and interest cost components of plan expense. Rates ranging from 8% in 2011 to 5% in 2017, with rates assumed to stabilize in 2017 and thereafter, were used in determining plan expense for 2011. These rates are reviewed annually and are estimated based on historical costs for participants in the other postretirement benefit plans as well as estimates based on current economic conditions. As of December 31, 2011, Mattel adjusted the health care cost trend rates for its other postretirement benefit plan obligation to 7.5% reducing to 5% by 2017, with rates assumed to stabilize in 2017 and thereafter. Assuming all other postretirement benefit plan assumptions remain constant, a one percentage point increase in the assumed health care cost trend rates would increase benefit plan expense during 2012 by \$0.2 million.

A one percentage point increase/(decrease) in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each future year would impact the postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2011 by \$2.7 million and \$(2.4) million, respectively, while a one percentage point increase/(decrease) would impact the service and interest cost recognized for 2011 by \$0.1 million and \$(0.1) million, respectively.

Share-Based Payments

Mattel recognizes the cost of employee share-based payment awards on a straight-line attribution basis over the requisite employee service period, net of estimated forfeitures. In determining when additional tax benefits associated with share-based payment exercises are recognized, Mattel follows the ordering of deductions under the tax law, which allows deductions for share-based payment exercises to be utilized before previously existing net operating loss carryforwards.

Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the measurement date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility, and the expected dividends. Mattel estimates the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding and has been determined based on historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel's stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel's most recent actual annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues approximating the expected life. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of share-based awards that will be forfeited prior to vesting. Management believes that these assumptions are critical accounting estimates because significant changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimates could materially affect key financial measures, including net income.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$5.76, \$4.84, and \$3.71, respectively. The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining the fair value of options granted:

	2011	2010	2009
Expected life (in years)	5.1	5.0	4.9
Risk-free interest rate	1.4%	1.7%	2.5%
Volatility factor	34.0%	34.3%	33.6%
Dividend yield	3.5%	3.5%	4.3%

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the sensitivity of valuation assumptions within the calculation of stock option fair values, if all other assumptions are held constant:

	Increase in Assumption Factor	Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value (in % pts)
Expected life (in years)	1 year	4.2
Risk-free interest rate	1%	6.6
Volatility factor	1%	3.3
Dividend yield	1%	(11.1)

	(Decrease) in Assumption Factor	Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value (in % pts)
Expected life (in years)	(1) year	(5.9)
Risk-free interest rate	(1)%	(6.4)
Volatility factor	(1)%	(3.5)
Dividend yield	(1)%	12.1

Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$14.5 million, \$13.4 million, and \$13.0 million for stock options during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, which is included within other selling and administrative expenses. Compensation expense recognized related to grants of restricted stock units was \$39.0 million, \$53.8 million, and \$37.0 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, and is also included within other selling and administrative expenses. As of December 31, 2011, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments totaled \$70.6 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Income Taxes

Mattel's income tax provision and related income tax assets and liabilities are based on actual and expected future income, US and foreign statutory income tax rates, and tax regulations and planning opportunities in the various jurisdictions in which Mattel operates. Management believes that the accounting estimate related to income taxes is a critical accounting estimate because significant judgment is required in interpreting tax regulations in the US and in foreign jurisdictions, evaluating Mattel's worldwide uncertain tax positions, and assessing the likelihood of realizing certain tax benefits. Actual results could differ materially from those judgments, and changes in judgments could materially affect Mattel's consolidated financial statements.

Certain income and expense items are accounted for differently for financial reporting and income tax purposes. As a result, the tax expense reflected in Mattel's consolidated statements of operations is different than that reported in Mattel's tax returns filed with the taxing authorities. Some of these differences are permanent, such as expenses that are not deductible in Mattel's tax return, and some differences reverse over time, such as depreciation expense. These timing differences create deferred income tax assets and liabilities. Deferred income tax assets generally represent items that can be used as a tax deduction or credit in Mattel's tax returns in future years for which Mattel has already recorded a tax benefit in its consolidated statement of operations. Mattel records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred income tax assets if, based on the weight of available evidence, management believes expected future taxable income is not likely to support the use of a deduction or credit in that jurisdiction. Management evaluates the level of Mattel's valuation allowances at least annually, and more frequently if actual operating results differ significantly from forecasted results.

Mattel records unrecognized tax benefits for US federal, state, local, and foreign tax positions related primarily to transfer pricing, tax credits claimed, tax nexus, and apportionment. For each reporting period, management applies a consistent methodology to measure unrecognized tax benefits and all unrecognized tax

Table of Contents

benefits are reviewed periodically and adjusted as circumstances warrant. Mattel's measurement of its unrecognized tax benefits is based on management's assessment of all relevant information, including prior audit experience, the status of current audits, conclusions of tax audits, lapsing of applicable statutes of limitations, identification of new issues, and any administrative guidance or developments. Mattel recognizes unrecognized tax benefits in the first financial reporting period in which information becomes available indicating that such benefits will more-likely-than-not be realized.

Mattel's effective tax rate on income before income taxes in 2011 was 20.8%, as compared to 19.1% in 2010. The 2011 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$6.8 million, primarily related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of current audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes.

The 2010 income tax provision includes net tax benefits of \$16.8 million, primarily related to the release of a valuation allowance related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credit carryforwards, reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes, partially offset by the incremental tax cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been previously provided.

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state, local, and foreign tax authorities. The ultimate settlement of any particular issue with the applicable taxing authority could have a material impact on Mattel's consolidated financial statements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data – Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Non-GAAP Financial Measure

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Mattel includes a non-GAAP financial measure, gross sales, which it uses to analyze its operations and to monitor, assess and identify meaningful trends in its operating and financial performance. Net sales, as reported in the consolidated statements of operations, include the impact of sales adjustments such as trade discounts and other allowances. Gross sales represent sales to customers, excluding the impact of sales adjustments. Consistent with its segment reporting, Mattel presents changes in gross sales as a metric for comparing its aggregate, business unit, brand and geographic results to highlight significant trends in Mattel's business. Changes in gross sales are discussed because, while Mattel records the detail of such sales adjustments in its financial accounting systems at the time of sale, such sales adjustments are generally not associated with individual products, making net sales less meaningful.

A reconciliation of gross sales to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, net sales, is as follows:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 1,775,954	\$ 1,626,407	\$ 1,402,224
Fisher-Price Brands US	1,293,780	1,352,729	1,310,886
American Girl Brands	510,936	486,644	462,899
Total Domestic	3,580,670	3,465,780	3,176,009
International	3,260,417	2,920,830	2,758,315
Gross sales	6,841,087	6,386,610	5,934,324
Sales adjustments	(575,050)	(530,415)	(503,478)
Net sales	\$ 6,266,037	\$ 5,856,195	\$ 5,430,846

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Inventory transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, and Indonesian rupiah were the primary transactions that caused currency transaction exposure for Mattel during 2011, 2010, and 2009. Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to market risk by monitoring its currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange contracts primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. For those intercompany receivables and payables that are not hedged, the transaction gains or losses are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the exchange rate changes as part of operating income or other non-operating income/expense, net based on the nature of the underlying transaction. Transaction gains or losses on hedged intercompany inventory transactions are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the inventory is sold to customers. In addition, Mattel manages its exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations through the selection of currencies used for international borrowings. Mattel does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Mattel's financial position is also impacted by currency exchange rate fluctuations on translation of its net investment in subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies are translated into US dollars at fiscal year-end exchange rates. Income, expense, and cash flow items are translated at weighted average exchange rates prevailing during the fiscal year. The resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders' equity. Mattel's primary currency translation exposures during 2011 were related to its net investment in entities having functional currencies denominated in the Euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, and British pound sterling.

There are numerous factors impacting the amount by which Mattel's financial results are affected by foreign currency translation and transaction gains and losses resulting from changes in currency exchange rates, including, but not limited to, the level of foreign currency forward exchange contracts in place at a given time and the volume of foreign currency denominated transactions in a given period. However, assuming that such factors were held constant, Mattel estimates that a 1 percent change in the US dollar Trade-Weighted Index would impact Mattel's net sales by approximately 0.5% and its full year earnings per share by approximately \$0.01 to \$0.02.

Mattel's foreign currency forward exchange contracts that were used to hedge firm foreign currency commitments as of December 31, 2011 are shown in the following table. All contracts are against the US dollar and are maintained by reporting units with a US dollar functional currency, with the exception of the Indonesian rupiah contracts, which are maintained by entities with a rupiah functional currency.

Table of Contents

	Contract Amount	Buy Weighted Average Contract Rate	Fair Value (In thousands of US dollars)	Contract Amount	Sell Weighted Average Contract Rate	Fair Value
Australian dollar*	\$ 51,737	1.01	\$ 793	\$ 6,765	1.03	\$ 98
British pound sterling*	19,447	1.57	(149)			
Canadian dollar*	10,687	0.97	91	28,351	1.01	1,010
Czech koruna	3,101	19.51	(29)			
Danish krone	2,507	5.68	(24)			
Euro*	374,915	1.31	(3,415)	400,556	1.40	29,689
Hungarian forint	394	230.21	(20)			
Indonesian rupiah	75,851	9,371.69	1,049			
Japanese yen	16,017	77.70	178	19,132	77.72	(188)
Mexican peso				18,958	13.81	135
New Turkish lira				5,026	1.89	(18)
New Zealand dollar*	11,924	0.77	115			
Norwegian krone	9,146	5.90	(100)			
Polish zloty				3,039	3.41	25
Russian ruble				574	31.47	12
Singapore dollar	382	1,305.00	3			
Swedish krona	7,081	6.85	(31)			
Swiss franc	25,200	0.93	(188)			
Taiwan dollar				11,349	30.54	(114)
	\$ 608,389		\$ (1,727)	\$ 493,750		\$ 30,649

* The weighted average contract rate for these contracts is quoted in US dollar per local currency.

For the purchase of foreign currencies, fair value reflects the amount, based on dealer quotes, that Mattel would pay at maturity for contracts involving the same notional amounts, currencies and maturity dates, if they had been entered into as of December 31, 2011. For the sale of foreign currencies, fair value reflects the amount, based on dealer quotes, that Mattel would receive at maturity for contracts involving the same notional amounts, currencies and maturity dates, if they had been entered into as of December 31, 2011. The differences between the market forward amounts and the contract amounts are expected to be fully offset by currency transaction gains and losses on the underlying hedged transactions.

In addition to the contracts involving the US dollar detailed in the above table, Mattel also had contracts to sell British pound sterling for the purchase of Euro. As of December 31, 2011, these contracts had a contract amount of \$37.6 million and a fair value of \$(1.3) million.

Had Mattel not entered into hedges to limit the effect of currency exchange rate fluctuations on its results of operations and cash flows, its income before income taxes would have increased by approximately \$5 million in 2011, increased by approximately \$4 million in 2010, and decreased by approximately \$13 million in 2009.

Venezuelan Operations

Since January 1, 2010, Mattel has accounted for Venezuela as a highly inflationary economy as the three-year cumulative inflation rate for Venezuela exceeded 100%. Accordingly, Mattel's Venezuelan subsidiary uses the US dollar as its functional currency, and monetary assets and liabilities denominated in Venezuelan bolivar fuertes generate income or expense for changes in value associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations against the US dollar. Mattel's Venezuelan subsidiary uses the Sistema de Transacciones con Titulos en Moneda Extranjera (SITME) rate, which is controlled by the Central Bank of Venezuela, to remeasure

Table of Contents

monetary assets and liabilities denominated in Venezuelan bolivar fuertes. The SITME rate was quoted at 5.30 Venezuelan bolivar fuertes per US dollar at December 31, 2011.

Mattel's Venezuelan subsidiary represented less than 0.1% of Mattel's consolidated net sales in 2011 and had approximately \$34 million of net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivar fuertes as of December 31, 2011. For every \$10 million of net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivar fuertes, a 10% increase/(decrease) in the foreign currency exchange rate would decrease/(increase) Mattel's pre-tax income by approximately \$1 million.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)). Mattel's management, including Bryan G. Stockton, its principal executive officer, and Kevin M. Farr, its principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of Mattel's internal control over financial reporting using the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that Mattel's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011. The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Mattel, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mattel, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 8. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 23, 2012

Table of Contents

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2011 (In thousands, except share data)	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 1,369,113	\$ 1,281,123
Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$26.3 million and 21.8 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively	1,246,687	1,146,106
Inventories	487,000	463,838
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	340,907	335,543
Total current assets	3,443,707	3,226,610
Property, plant, and equipment, net	523,941	484,705
Goodwill	822,139	824,007
Other noncurrent assets	881,851	882,411
Total Assets	\$ 5,671,638	\$ 5,417,733
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 8,018	\$
Current portion of long-term debt	50,000	250,000
Accounts payable	334,999	406,270
Accrued liabilities	618,801	642,211
Income taxes payable	27,110	51,801
Total current liabilities	1,038,928	1,350,282
Noncurrent Liabilities		
Long-term debt	1,500,000	950,000
Other noncurrent liabilities	522,107	488,867
Total noncurrent liabilities	2,022,107	1,438,867
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 13)		
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock \$1.00 par value, 1.0 billion shares authorized; 441.4 million shares issued	441,369	441,369
Additional paid-in capital	1,690,405	1,706,461
Treasury stock at cost; 104.4 million shares and 92.3 million shares in 2011 and 2010, respectively	(2,242,522)	(1,880,692)
Retained earnings	3,167,996	2,720,645
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(446,645)	(359,199)
Total stockholders' equity	2,610,603	2,628,584
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 5,671,638	\$ 5,417,733

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	2011	For the Year 2010	2009
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Net Sales	\$ 6,266,037	\$ 5,856,195	\$ 5,430,846
Cost of sales	3,120,211	2,901,222	2,716,149
Gross Profit	3,145,826	2,954,973	2,714,697
Advertising and promotion expenses	699,247	647,270	609,753
Other selling and administrative expenses	1,405,478	1,405,801	1,373,776
Operating Income	1,041,101	901,902	731,168
Interest expense	75,332	64,839	71,843
Interest (income)	(8,093)	(8,434)	(8,083)
Other non-operating expense (income), net	3,189	(1,328)	7,361
Income Before Income Taxes	970,673	846,825	660,047
Provision for income taxes	202,165	161,962	131,343
Net Income	\$ 768,508	\$ 684,863	\$ 528,704
Net Income Per Common Share Basic	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.88	\$ 1.45
Weighted average number of common shares	344,669	360,615	360,085
Net Income Per Common Share Diluted	\$ 2.18	\$ 1.86	\$ 1.45
Weighted average number of common and potential common shares	348,424	364,570	361,510
Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.75

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 768,508	\$ 684,863	\$ 528,704
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:			
Depreciation	147,458	149,977	152,065
Amortization	13,840	15,831	17,765
Asset impairments		15,444	11,146
Deferred income taxes	49,368	(3,871)	(21,971)
Tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(24,199)	(7,530)	(36,726)
Share-based compensation	53,476	67,138	49,962
(Decrease) increase from changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(175,526)	(394,688)	154,909
Inventories	(40,015)	(106,182)	137,072
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(22,689)	(5,464)	(5,350)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and income taxes payable	(87,021)	109,061	(10,472)
Other, net	(18,507)	3,391	(32,063)
Net cash flows from operating activities	664,693	527,970	945,041
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Purchases of tools, dies, and molds	(102,193)	(81,405)	(76,994)
Purchases of other property, plant, and equipment	(88,721)	(55,249)	(43,493)
Payments for intangible assets acquired	(2,005)	(15,761)	(3,299)
Proceeds (payments) from foreign currency forward exchange contracts	16,432	(7,322)	15,774
Proceeds from sale of investments		10,549	73,132
Proceeds from sale of other property, plant, and equipment	1,983	2,538	1,351
Net cash flows used for investing activities	(174,504)	(146,650)	(33,529)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Payments of short-term borrowings	(236,811)	(7,404)	(451,815)
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	244,829	5,454	453,090
Payments of long-term borrowings	(250,000)	(50,000)	(150,000)
Net proceeds from long-term borrowings	591,801	493,175	
Payment of credit facility renewal costs	(6,917)		(11,452)
Share repurchases	(524,009)	(446,704)	
Payment of dividends on common stock	(316,503)	(291,256)	(271,353)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	115,611	73,364	30,896
Tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	24,199	7,530	36,726
Other, net	(39,508)	(8,975)	(12,182)
Net cash flows used for financing activities	(397,308)	(224,816)	(376,090)
Effect of Currency Exchange Rate Changes on Cash	(4,891)	7,622	(36,119)
Increase in Cash and Equivalents	87,990	164,126	499,303
Cash and Equivalents at Beginning of Year	1,281,123	1,116,997	617,694

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Cash and Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 1,369,113	\$ 1,281,123	\$ 1,116,997
--	--------------	--------------	--------------

Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

Cash paid during the year for:

Income taxes, gross	\$ 173,625	\$ 149,327	\$ 131,333
Interest	76,502	53,023	69,503

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Total Stockholders Equity
	(In thousands)					
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 441,369	\$ 1,642,092	\$ (1,621,264)	\$ 2,085,573	\$ (430,635)	\$ 2,117,135
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				528,704		528,704
Change in net unrealized gains/losses on derivative instruments, net of tax					(19,805)	(19,805)
Defined benefit pension plans, net prior service cost, and net actuarial loss, net of tax					18,696	18,696
Currency translation adjustments					52,210	52,210
Comprehensive income				528,704	51,101	579,805
Issuance of treasury stock for stock option exercises		(17,219)	48,115			30,896
Other issuance of treasury stock		(209)	209			
Restricted stock units		(26,658)	18,566			(8,092)
Deferred compensation			(672)	(323)		(995)
Share-based compensation		49,962				49,962
Tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		36,726				36,726
Dividend equivalents for restricted stock units				(3,095)		(3,095)
Dividends				(271,353)		(271,353)
Balance, December 31, 2009	441,369	1,684,694	(1,555,046)	2,339,506	(379,534)	2,530,989
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				684,863		684,863
Change in net unrealized gains/losses on derivative instruments, net of tax					11,749	11,749
Defined benefit pension plans, net prior service cost, and net actuarial loss, net of tax					7,703	7,703
Currency translation adjustments					883	883
Comprehensive income				684,863	20,335	705,198
Purchase of treasury stock			(446,704)			(446,704)
Issuance of treasury stock for stock option exercises		(20,623)	93,987			73,364
Other issuance of treasury stock		15	85			100
Restricted stock units		(32,293)	21,746			(10,547)
Deferred compensation			5,240	(426)		4,814
Share-based compensation		67,138				67,138
Tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		7,530				7,530
Dividend equivalents for restricted stock units				(3,342)		(3,342)
Dividends				(291,256)		(291,256)
Adjustment for adoption of ASU 2010-11, net of tax				(8,700)		(8,700)
Balance, December 31, 2010	441,369	1,706,461	(1,880,692)	2,720,645	(359,199)	2,628,584
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				768,508		768,508
Change in net unrealized gains/losses on derivative instruments, net of tax					27,743	27,743
Defined benefit pension plans, net prior service cost, and net actuarial loss, net of tax					(38,084)	(38,084)
Currency translation adjustments					(77,105)	(77,105)
Comprehensive income				768,508	(87,446)	681,062
Purchase of treasury stock			(536,318)			(536,318)

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Issuance of treasury stock for stock option exercises	(9,758)	125,369		115,611
Restricted stock units	(84,631)	48,530		(36,101)
Deferred compensation		589	(439)	150
Share-based compensation	53,476			53,476
Tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	24,199			24,199
Dividend equivalents for restricted stock units	658		(4,215)	(3,557)
Dividends			(316,503)	(316,503)

Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 441,369	\$ 1,690,405	\$ (2,242,522)	\$ 3,167,996	\$ (446,645)	\$ 2,610,603
-----------------------------------	------------	--------------	----------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Preparation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mattel, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("Mattel"). All wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries are consolidated and included in Mattel's consolidated financial statements. Mattel does not have any minority stock ownership interests in which it has a controlling financial interest that would require consolidation. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

Preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could ultimately differ from those estimates.

Cash and Equivalents

Cash and equivalents include short-term investments, which are highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Such investments are stated at cost, which approximates market value.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Credit is granted to customers on an unsecured basis. Credit limits and payment terms are established based on extensive evaluations made on an ongoing basis throughout the fiscal year of the financial performance, cash generation, financing availability, and liquidity status of each customer. Customers are reviewed at least annually, with more frequent reviews performed as necessary, based on the customer's financial condition and the level of credit being extended. For customers who are experiencing financial difficulties, management performs additional financial analyses before shipping to those customers on credit. Mattel uses a variety of financial arrangements to ensure collectibility of accounts receivable of customers deemed to be a credit risk, including requiring letters of credit, factoring, purchasing various forms of credit insurance with unrelated third parties, or requiring cash in advance of shipment.

Mattel records an allowance for doubtful accounts based on management's assessment of the business environment, customers' financial condition, historical collection experience, accounts receivable aging, and customer disputes.

Inventories

Inventories, net of an allowance for excess quantities and obsolescence, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventory allowances are charged to cost of sales and establish a lower cost basis for the inventory. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out method.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 10 to 30 years for buildings, 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment, and 10 to 20 years, not to exceed the lease term, for leasehold improvements. Tools, dies, and molds are amortized using the straight-line method over 3 years.

Table of Contents

Estimated useful lives are periodically reviewed and, where appropriate, changes are made prospectively. The carrying value of property, plant, and equipment is reviewed when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Any potential impairment identified is assessed by evaluating the operating performance and future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying assets. When property is sold or retired, the cost of the property and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the consolidated balance sheet and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is allocated to various reporting units, which are either at the operating segment level or one reporting level below the operating segment, for purposes of evaluating whether goodwill is impaired. Mattel's reporting units are: Mattel Girls Brands US, Mattel Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands, and International. Mattel tests goodwill for impairment annually in the third quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may exceed its fair value.

Mattel tests its nonamortizable intangible assets, including trademarks and trade names, for impairment by comparing the estimated fair values of the nonamortizable intangible assets with the carrying values. Mattel tests nonamortizable intangible assets for impairment annually in the third quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may exceed its fair value. The fair value of trademark and trade name intangibles is measured using a multi-period royalty savings method, which reflects the savings realized by owning the trademarks and trade names, and thus not having to pay a royalty fee to a third party.

Mattel also tests its amortizable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recovered.

Foreign Currency Translation Exposure

Mattel's reporting currency is the US dollar. The translation of its net investment in subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies subjects Mattel to currency exchange rate fluctuations in its results of operations and financial position. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies are translated into US dollars at year-end exchange rates. Income, expense, and cash flow items are translated at weighted average exchange rates prevailing during the year. The resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders' equity. Mattel's primary currency translation exposures in 2011 were related to its net investment in entities having functional currencies denominated in the Euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, and British pound sterling.

Foreign Currency Transaction Exposure

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Mattel's currency transaction exposures include gains and losses realized on unhedged inventory purchases and unhedged receivables and payables balances that are denominated in a currency other than the applicable functional currency. Gains and losses on unhedged inventory purchases and other transactions associated with operating activities are recorded in the components of operating income in the consolidated statement of operations. Gains and losses on unhedged intercompany loans and advances are recorded as a component of other non-operating income/expense, net in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the currency exchange rate changes. Inventory transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, and Indonesian rupiah were the primary transactions that cause foreign currency transaction exposure for Mattel in 2011.

Derivative Instruments

Mattel uses foreign currency forward exchange contracts as cash flow hedges primarily to hedge its purchases and sales of inventory denominated in foreign currencies. At the inception of the contracts, Mattel designates these derivatives as cash flow hedges and documents the relationship of the hedge to the underlying

Table of Contents

transaction. Hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and throughout the life of the hedge to ensure the hedge qualifies for hedge accounting. Changes in fair value associated with hedge ineffectiveness, if any, are recorded in the results of operations. Changes in fair value of cash flow hedge derivatives are deferred and recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive loss in stockholders' equity until the underlying transaction affects earnings. In the event that an anticipated transaction is no longer likely to occur, Mattel recognizes the change in fair value of the derivative in its results of operations in the period the determination is made.

Additionally, Mattel uses foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge intercompany loans and advances denominated in foreign currencies. Due to the short-term nature of the contracts involved, Mattel does not use hedge accounting for these contracts, and as such, changes in fair value are recorded in the period of change in the consolidated statements of operations.

Revenue Recognition and Sales Adjustments

Revenue is recognized upon shipment or upon receipt of products by the customer, depending on terms, provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; persuasive evidence of an agreement exists documenting the specific terms of the transaction; the sales price is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured. Management assesses the business environment, the customer's financial condition, historical collection experience, accounts receivable aging, and customer disputes to determine whether collectibility is reasonably assured. If collectibility is not considered reasonably assured at the time of sale, Mattel does not recognize revenue until collection occurs. Value added taxes are recorded on a net basis, and are excluded from revenue. Mattel routinely enters into arrangements with its customers to provide sales incentives, support customer promotions, and provide allowances for returns and defective merchandise. Such programs are based primarily on customer purchases, customer performance of specified promotional activities, and other specified factors such as sales to consumers. The costs of these programs are recorded as sales adjustments that reduce gross revenue in the period the related revenue is recognized.

Advertising and Promotion Costs

Costs of media advertising are expensed the first time the advertising takes place, except for direct-response advertising, which is capitalized and amortized over its expected period of future benefits. Direct-response advertising consists primarily of catalog production and mailing costs, which are generally amortized within three months from the date the catalogs are mailed.

Product Recalls and Withdrawals

Mattel establishes a reserve for product recalls and withdrawals on a product-specific basis when circumstances giving rise to the recall or withdrawal become known. Facts and circumstances related to the recall or withdrawal, including where the product affected by the recall or withdrawal is located (e.g., with consumers, in customers' inventory, or in Mattel's inventory), cost estimates for shipping and handling for returns, cost estimates for communicating the recall or withdrawal to consumers and customers, and cost estimates for parts and labor if the recalled or withdrawn product is deemed to be repairable, are considered when establishing a product recall or withdrawal reserve. These factors are updated and reevaluated each period and the related reserves are adjusted when these factors indicate that the recall or withdrawal reserve is either not sufficient to cover or exceeds the estimated product recall or withdrawal expenses.

Design and Development Costs

Product design and development costs primarily include employee compensation and outside services, and are charged to the results of operations as incurred.

Employee Benefit Plans

Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have retirement and other postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all employees of these companies. Actuarial valuations are used in determining amounts recognized

Table of Contents

in the financial statements for certain retirement and other postretirement benefit plans (see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Employee Benefit Plans).

Share-Based Payments

Mattel recognizes the cost of employee share-based payment awards on a straight-line attribution basis over the requisite employee service period, net of estimated forfeitures. In determining when additional tax benefits associated with share-based payment exercises are recognized, Mattel follows the ordering of deductions under the tax law, which allows deductions for share-based payment exercises to be utilized before previously existing net operating loss carryforwards.

Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the measurement date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility, and the expected dividends. Mattel estimates the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding and has been determined based on historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel's stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel's most recent actual annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues approximating the expected life. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of share-based awards that will be forfeited prior to vesting.

Income Taxes

Certain income and expense items are accounted for differently for financial reporting and income tax purposes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, applying enacted statutory income tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state, local, and foreign tax authorities. The ultimate settlement of any particular issue with the applicable taxing authority could have a material impact on Mattel's consolidated financial statements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in US GAAP and IFRSs*. ASU 2011-04 clarifies some existing concepts, eliminates wording differences between US GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), and in some limited cases, changes some principles to achieve convergence between US GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between US GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 also expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. ASU 2011-04 will be effective for Mattel for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Mattel does not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-04 to have a material effect on its operating results or financial position.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*, which

Table of Contents

defers specific requirements to present reclassification adjustments for each component of accumulated other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 will be retroactively effective for Mattel for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Mattel does not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-05 to have a material effect on its operating results or financial position.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, which permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. ASU 2011-08 will be effective for Mattel for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Mattel does not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-08 to have a material effect on its operating results or financial position.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosure about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, which requires an entity to include additional disclosures about financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position, as well as financial instruments subject to a master netting agreement or similar arrangement. ASU 2011-11 will be retroactively effective for Mattel for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Mattel does not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-11 to have a material effect on its operating results or financial position.

Note 2 Goodwill and Other Intangibles

The change in the carrying value of goodwill by reporting unit for 2011 and 2010 is shown below. Brand-specific goodwill held by foreign subsidiaries is allocated to the US reporting units selling those brands, thereby causing foreign currency translation impact to the US reporting units.

	Mattel Girls Brands US	Mattel Boys Brands US	Fisher- Price Brands US	American Girl Brands	International	Total
	(In thousands)					
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 32,082	\$ 130,737	\$ 216,080	\$ 207,571	\$ 241,998	\$ 828,468
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	(1,011)	(79)	(201)		(3,170)	(4,461)
Balance at December 31, 2010	31,071	130,658	215,879	207,571	238,828	824,007
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	(125)	(9)	(24)		(1,710)	(1,868)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 30,946	\$ 130,649	\$ 215,855	\$ 207,571	\$ 237,118	\$ 822,139

In 2011, Mattel performed the annually required impairment tests and determined that its goodwill was not impaired. Mattel has not recorded any goodwill impairment subsequent to its initial adoption of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 350-20, *Goodwill*, on January 1, 2002.

Identifiable intangibles include the following:

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Nonamortizable identifiable intangibles	\$ 122,223	\$ 122,223
Identifiable intangibles (net of amortization of \$55.5 million and \$64.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively)	84,486	91,359
	\$ 206,709	\$ 213,582

Table of Contents

In October 2010, Mattel acquired the intellectual property rights related to Phase 10® for \$15.8 million, including acquisition costs, which is included within amortizable identifiable intangibles.

Mattel tests nonamortizable intangible assets, including trademarks and trade names, for impairment annually in the third quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may exceed its fair value. During 2011 and 2010, Mattel performed the annual impairment tests and determined that its nonamortizable intangible assets were not impaired. However, during 2011, for one of Mattel's nonamortizable intangible assets with a carrying value of approximately \$113 million, the fair value did not exceed the carrying value by a significant margin. Future changes in estimates resulting in lower than currently anticipated future cash flows and fair value could negatively affect the valuation, which may result in Mattel recognizing an impairment charge in the future.

Mattel also tests its amortizable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. As a result of these impairment tests, Mattel recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$8 million during 2010, which is reflected within other selling and administrative expenses. Amortizable intangible assets were determined to not be impaired during 2011.

Note 3 Income Taxes

Consolidated pre-tax income consists of the following:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
US operations	\$ 169,706	\$ 124,160	\$ 107,593
Foreign operations	800,967	722,665	552,454
	\$ 970,673	\$ 846,825	\$ 660,047

The provision (benefit) for current and deferred income taxes consists of the following:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Current			
Federal	\$ 15,933	\$ 14,057	\$ 9,251
State	5,268	8,686	9,975
Foreign	131,596	143,090	134,088
	152,797	165,833	153,314
Deferred			
Federal	49,853	(10,894)	564
State	(2,629)	10,599	(8,828)
Foreign	2,144	(3,576)	(13,707)
	49,368	(3,871)	(21,971)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 202,165	\$ 161,962	\$ 131,343

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes are provided principally for tax credit carryforwards, research and development expenses, net operating loss carryforwards, employee compensation-related expenses and certain other reserves that are recognized in different years for financial statement and income tax reporting purposes. Mattel's deferred income tax assets (liabilities) are composed of the following:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(In thousands)	
Tax credit carryforwards	\$ 124,404	\$ 134,044
Research and development expenses	183,270	184,132
Loss carryforwards	54,351	54,747
Allowances and reserves	133,068	138,938
Deferred compensation	100,122	115,822
Postretirement benefits	76,587	63,707
Other	56,185	49,395
Gross deferred income tax assets	727,987	740,785
Intangible assets	(132,320)	(116,919)
Other	(10,563)	(8,649)
Gross deferred income tax liabilities	(142,883)	(125,568)
Deferred income tax asset valuation allowances	(42,286)	(44,917)
Net deferred income tax assets	\$ 542,818	\$ 570,300

Net deferred income tax assets are reported in the consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(In thousands)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 110,422	\$ 135,612
Other noncurrent assets	473,832	477,320
Accrued liabilities	(194)	(319)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(41,242)	(42,313)
	\$ 542,818	\$ 570,300

As of December 31, 2011, Mattel has federal and foreign loss carryforwards totaling \$140.4 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$124.4 million, which does not include carryforwards that do not meet the threshold for recognition in the financial statements. Utilization of these loss and tax credit carryforwards is subject to annual limitations. Mattel's loss and tax credit carryforwards expire in the following periods:

	Loss Carryforwards	Tax Credit Carryforwards
	(In millions)	
2012 - 2016	\$ 65.4	\$ 72.7
Thereafter	2.2	46.1
No expiration date	72.8	5.6

Total	\$ 140.4	\$ 124.4
-------	----------	----------

Table of Contents

Management considered all available evidence under existing tax law and anticipated expiration of tax statutes and determined that a valuation allowance of \$38.0 million was required as of December 31, 2011 for those loss and tax credit carryforwards that are not expected to provide future tax benefits. In addition, management determined that a valuation allowance of \$4.3 million was required as of December 31, 2011 for those deferred tax assets for which there is not sufficient evidence as to its ultimate utilization, primarily related to certain foreign affiliates. Changes in the valuation allowance for 2011 include increases in the valuation allowance for 2011 foreign losses without benefits, and decreases in the valuation allowance for expiration and projected utilization of tax loss and tax credit carryforwards. Management believes it is more-likely-than-not that Mattel will generate sufficient taxable income in the appropriate future periods to realize the benefit of the remaining net deferred income tax assets of \$542.8 million. Changes in enacted tax laws, audits in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements or acquisitions, could negatively impact Mattel's ability to fully realize all of the benefits of its remaining net deferred tax assets.

Differences between the provision for income taxes at the US federal statutory income tax rate and the provision in the consolidated statements of operations are as follows:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Provision at US federal statutory rates	\$ 339,736	\$ 296,389	\$ 231,016
(Decrease) increase resulting from:			
Foreign earnings taxed at different rates, including withholding taxes	(139,476)	(138,352)	(82,029)
Foreign losses without income tax benefit	2,883	5,398	6,148
State and local taxes, net of US federal benefit	4,833	12,535	5,486
Adjustments to previously accrued taxes	(6,800)	(638)	(28,840)
Foreign tax credit benefit, net of cost to repatriate foreign earnings		(16,200)	
Other	989	2,830	(438)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 202,165	\$ 161,962	\$ 131,343

In assessing whether uncertain tax positions should be recognized in its financial statements, Mattel first determines whether it is more-likely-than-not (a greater than 50 percent likelihood) that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. In evaluating whether a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, Mattel presumes that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. For tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, Mattel measures the amount of benefit recognized in the financial statements at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Mattel recognizes unrecognized tax benefits in the first financial reporting period in which information becomes available indicating that such benefits will more-likely-than-not be realized.

Mattel records unrecognized tax benefits for US federal, state, local, and foreign tax positions related primarily to transfer pricing, tax credits claimed, tax nexus, and apportionment. For each reporting period, management applies a consistent methodology to measure unrecognized tax benefits and all unrecognized tax benefits are reviewed periodically and adjusted as circumstances warrant. Mattel's measurement of its unrecognized tax benefits is based on management's assessment of all relevant information, including prior audit experience, the status of current audits, conclusions of tax audits, lapsing of applicable statutes of limitations, identification of new issues, and any administrative guidance or developments.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	2011	2010 (In millions)	2009
Unrecognized tax benefits at January 1	\$ 252.6	\$ 230.0	\$ 80.3
Increases for positions taken in current year	13.5	14.8	9.4
Increases for positions taken in a prior year	2.3	14.9	194.3
Decreases for positions taken in a prior year	(1.0)	(4.3)	(30.2)
Decreases for settlements with taxing authorities	(1.4)	(1.7)	(23.0)
Decreases for lapses in the applicable statute of limitations	(3.4)	(1.1)	(0.8)
Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31	\$ 262.6	\$ 252.6	\$ 230.0

Of the \$262.6 million of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2011, \$254.5 million would impact the effective tax rate if recognized, however a valuation allowance would likely be recorded against certain capital losses included in this amount.

During 2011, Mattel recognized \$1.4 million of interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits, which is reflected in provision for income taxes in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2011, Mattel had accrued \$12.9 million in interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits. Of this balance, \$12.4 million would impact the effective tax rate if recognized.

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state, local and foreign tax authorities. The IRS is currently auditing Mattel's 2008 and 2009 federal income tax returns. The IRS audit plan calls for the completion of the current examination in the second quarter of 2012. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the IRS issued several Notices of Proposed Adjustments (NOPA) related to its examination. The NOPAs are not final, as the IRS has not issued its final examination report. Mattel is currently in discussions with the IRS in an effort to reach a resolution of all issues. Mattel files multiple state and local income tax returns and remains subject to examination in various of these jurisdictions, including California for the 2005 through 2011 tax years, New York for the 2004 through 2011 tax years, and Wisconsin for the 2008 through 2011 tax years. Mattel files multiple foreign income tax returns and remains subject to examination in major foreign jurisdictions, including Hong Kong and Venezuela for the 2005 through 2011 tax years, and Brazil, Mexico and Netherlands for the 2006 through 2011 tax years. Based on the current status of the IRS audit, there is insufficient information to quantify any significant changes in unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months. Based on the current status of state and foreign audits, Mattel may recognize a benefit of up to approximately \$10 million related to the settlement of tax audits and/or the expiration of statutes of limitations in the next twelve months. The ultimate settlement of any particular issue with the applicable taxing authority could have a material impact on Mattel's consolidated financial statements.

In the first quarter of 2010, Mattel reached a resolution with the IRS regarding all open issues relating to the examination of Mattel's US federal income tax returns for the years 2006 and 2007. The resolution did not have a material impact on Mattel's 2010 consolidated financial statements.

In 2011, income was positively impacted by net tax benefits of \$6.8 million, primarily related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of current audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes. In 2010, income was positively impacted by net tax benefits of \$16.8 million. The August 2010 enactment of the foreign tax credit provisions in the Education Jobs and Medicaid Assistance Act (EJMA) will impair Mattel's ability to utilize certain foreign tax credits expected to be generated in future years, which will provide Mattel with greater capacity in future years to utilize excess foreign tax credit carryforwards from prior years. As a result of the EJMA and other elements of Mattel's current US tax position, Mattel formalized a plan to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries in order to be able to fully utilize excess foreign tax credit carryforwards from prior years. The

Table of Contents

combination of these events resulted in the recognition of a discrete gross tax benefit of \$59.1 million related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credits carryforwards, for which a valuation allowance had previously been provided, partially offset by a discrete tax expense of \$42.9 million related to the incremental cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which taxes had not been previously provided. In addition, Mattel also recognized discrete tax benefits of \$0.6 million related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes.

The cumulative amount of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that Mattel intends to indefinitely reinvest and upon which no deferred US income taxes have been provided is approximately \$4.5 billion as of December 31, 2011. Management periodically reviews the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries and reassesses the intent to indefinitely reinvest such earnings.

The additional US income tax on unremitted foreign earnings, if repatriated, would be offset in part by foreign tax credits. The extent of this offset would depend on many factors, including the method of distribution, and specific earnings distributed.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that tax benefits related to the exercise of nonqualified stock options and vesting of other stock compensation awards be credited to additional paid-in-capital in the period in which such amounts reduce current taxes payable. The exercise of nonqualified stock options and vesting of other stock compensation awards resulted in an increase to additional paid-in-capital for related income tax benefits totaling \$24.2 million, \$7.5 million, and \$36.7 million, in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Note 4 Product Recalls and Withdrawals

During 2007, Mattel recalled products with high-powered magnets that may become dislodged and other products, some of which were produced using non-approved paint containing lead in excess of applicable regulatory and Mattel standards. During the second half of 2007, additional products were recalled, withdrawn from retail stores, or replaced at the request of consumers as a result of safety or quality issues (collectively, the 2007 Product Recalls). In the second quarter of 2008, Mattel determined that certain products had been shipped into foreign markets in which the products did not meet all applicable regulatory standards for those markets. None of these deficiencies related to lead or magnets. Mattel withdrew these products from retail stores in these markets and, although not required to do so, also withdrew the products from the US and other markets because they did not meet Mattel's internal standards (the 2008 Product Withdrawal).

The following table summarizes Mattel's reserves and reserve activity for the 2007 Product Recalls and the 2008 Product Withdrawal:

	Product Returns/ Redemptions	Other (In thousands)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 3,605	\$ 1,338	\$ 4,943
Reserves used	(1,297)	(311)	(1,608)
Changes in estimates	(2,370)	707	(1,663)
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	77	(26)	51
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 15	\$ 1,708	\$ 1,723
Reserves used	(15)	(1,180)	(1,195)
Changes in estimates		(528)	(528)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	\$	\$

Table of Contents

Following the announcement of the 2007 Product Recalls, a number of lawsuits were filed against Mattel with respect to the recalled products. During 2009, Mattel recorded charges of \$27.4 million, which are included in other selling and administrative expenses, to reserve for the settlement of the product liability-related litigation. During 2010, Mattel reduced its estimate of these settlement costs, which had the effect of reducing other selling and administrative expenses by \$8.7 million, primarily based on actual experience under the settlement program. Additionally, Mattel recorded a \$4.8 million benefit and \$6.0 million benefit during 2010 and 2009, respectively, from insurance recoveries of costs incurred in connection with the product liability-related litigation. During 2011, there were no changes to the reserve estimates for the product liability-related litigation.

On September 30, 2010, Fisher-Price, Inc., a subsidiary of Mattel, in cooperation with the US Consumer Product Safety Commission and Health Canada, voluntarily recalled certain products in the US and international markets. These recalls resulted in a total reduction to operating income of \$7.6 million in 2010, which was based on estimates such as the expected levels of affected products at retail and historical consumer return rates.

Although management is not aware of any additional quality or safety issues that are likely to result in material recalls or withdrawals, there can be no assurance that additional issues will not be identified in the future.

Note 5 Restructuring Charges

During 2008, Mattel initiated the first phase of its cost savings program, Global Cost Leadership, which was designed to improve operating efficiencies and leverage Mattel's global scale to improve profitability and operating cash flows. The major initiatives within the Global Cost Leadership program included:

A global reduction in Mattel's professional workforce during 2008 and 2009,

A coordinated efficiency strategic plan that included structural changes designed to lower costs and improve efficiencies; for example, offshoring and outsourcing certain back office functions, and additional clustering of management in international markets, and

Procurement initiatives designed to further leverage Mattel's global scale in areas such as creative agency partnerships, legal services, and distribution, including ocean carriers and over-the-road freight vendors.

During 2011, Mattel initiated the second phase of its cost savings program, Operational Excellence 2.0. The major initiatives within the Operational Excellence 2.0 program include:

The creation of global brand teams and reorganization to a North America division,

Additional procurement initiatives designed to fully leverage Mattel's global scale,

SKU efficiency, and

Packaging optimization.

Table of Contents

In connection with these cost savings programs, Mattel recorded severance and other termination-related charges of \$14.9 million, \$13.0 million, and \$31.5 million during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, which are included within other selling and administrative expenses. The following table summarizes Mattel's severance and other termination costs activity:

	Severance	Other Termination Costs (In thousands)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 17,115	\$ 881	\$ 17,996
Charges	31,176	324	31,500
Payments	(29,508)	(980)	(30,488)
Balance at December 31, 2009	18,783	225	19,008
Charges	12,951	10	12,961
Payments	(26,463)	(90)	(26,553)
Balance at December 31, 2010	5,271	145	5,416
Charges	14,806	54	14,860
Payments	(15,747)	(40)	(15,787)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 4,330	\$ 159	\$ 4,489

Note 6 Employee Benefit Plans

Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have qualified and nonqualified retirement plans covering substantially all employees of these companies. These plans include defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution retirement plans, postretirement benefit plans, and deferred compensation and excess benefit plans. In addition, Mattel makes contributions to government-mandated retirement plans in countries outside the US where its employees work.

A summary of retirement plan expense is as follows:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In millions)	2009
Defined contribution retirement plans	\$ 36.9	\$ 33.3	\$ 33.4
Defined benefit pension plans	37.6	31.7	27.7
Deferred compensation and excess benefit plans	0.7	4.6	6.0
Postretirement benefit plans	1.6	1.9	2.6
	\$ 76.8	\$ 71.5	\$ 69.7

Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

Mattel provides defined benefit pension plans for eligible domestic employees, which are intended to comply with the requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). Some of Mattel's foreign subsidiaries have defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of their eligible employees. Mattel funds these plans in accordance with the terms of the plans and local statutory requirements, which differ for each of the countries in which the subsidiaries are located. Mattel also has unfunded postretirement health insurance plans covering certain eligible domestic employees.

Table of Contents

A summary of the components of Mattel's net periodic benefit cost and other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans			Postretirement Benefit Plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
	(In thousands)					
Net periodic benefit cost:						
Service cost	\$ 13,610	\$ 12,441	\$ 11,153	\$ 73	\$ 76	\$ 82
Interest cost	28,433	27,934	26,606	1,576	1,820	2,263
Expected return on plan assets	(25,714)	(24,581)	(24,330)			
Amortization of prior service cost	1,776	2,453	1,815			
Recognized actuarial loss (gain)	19,492	13,499	12,502	(48)	52	237
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 37,597	\$ 31,746	\$ 27,746	\$ 1,601	\$ 1,948	\$ 2,582
Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income:						
Net loss (gain)	\$ 62,687	\$ 482	\$ (26,705)	\$ (1,249)	\$ (9,502)	\$ (3,609)
Prior service cost (credit)	2	(675)	347			
Amortization of prior service cost	(1,776)	(2,453)	(1,815)			
Total recognized in other comprehensive income (a)	\$ 60,913	\$ (2,646)	\$ (28,173)	\$ (1,249)	\$ (9,502)	\$ (3,609)
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$ 98,510	\$ 29,100	\$ (427)	\$ 352	\$ (7,554)	\$ (1,027)

(a) Amounts exclude related tax (benefit) expense of \$(21.6) million, \$4.4 million, and \$13.1 million, during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, which are also included in other comprehensive income.

Net periodic benefit cost for Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans was calculated on January 1 of each year using the following assumptions:

	For the Year		
	2011	2010	2009
Defined benefit pension plans:			
Discount rate	5.2%	5.6%	5.4%
Weighted average rate of future compensation increases	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%
Long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Postretirement benefit plans:			
Discount rate	5.2%	5.6%	5.4%
Annual increase in Medicare Part B premium	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Health care cost trend rate:			
Pre-65	8.0%	6.0%	7.0%
Post-65	8.0%	8.0%	9.0%
Ultimate cost trend rate (pre- and post-65)	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate cost trend rate:			
Pre-65	2017	2011	2011
Post-65	2017	2013	2013

Discount rates, weighted average rates of future compensation increases, and long-term rates of return on plan assets for Mattel's foreign defined benefit pension plans differ from the assumptions used for Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension plans due to differences in local economic conditions from which the non-US

Table of Contents

plans are based. The rates shown in the preceding table are indicative of the weighted average rates of all Mattel's defined benefit pension plans given the relative insignificance of the foreign plans to the consolidated total.

The estimated net actuarial loss and prior service cost for the domestic defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year is \$13.2 million. The estimated net actuarial loss for the domestic postretirement benefit plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net period benefit cost over the next fiscal year is \$0.1 million.

Mattel used a measurement date of December 31, 2011 for its defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans. A summary of the changes in benefit obligation and plans assets is as follows:

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Benefit Plans	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(In thousands)			
Change in Benefit Obligation:				
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$ 545,927	\$ 513,307	\$ 35,081	\$ 46,472
Service cost	13,610	12,441	73	76
Interest cost	28,433	27,934	1,576	1,820
Participant contributions		31		
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	(1,785)	(3,518)		
Actuarial loss (gain)	61,052	20,797	(1,297)	(9,460)
Benefits paid	(37,221)	(25,065)	(3,099)	(3,827)
Benefit obligation, end of year	\$ 610,016	\$ 545,927	\$ 32,334	\$ 35,081
Change in Plan Assets:				
Plan assets at fair value, beginning of year	\$ 316,795	\$ 296,828	\$	\$
Actual return on plan assets	5,182	31,831		
Employer contributions	53,859	15,162	3,099	3,827
Participant contributions		31		
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	(534)	(1,992)		
Benefits paid	(37,221)	(25,065)	(3,099)	(3,827)
Plan assets at fair value, end of year	\$ 338,081	\$ 316,795	\$	\$
Net Amount Recognized in Consolidated Balance Sheets:				
Funded status, end of year	\$ (271,935)	\$ (229,132)	\$ (32,334)	\$ (35,081)
Current accrued benefit liability	(23,215)	(4,418)	(2,700)	(2,600)
Noncurrent accrued benefit liability	(248,720)	(224,714)	(29,634)	(32,481)
Total accrued benefit liability	\$ (271,935)	\$ (229,132)	\$ (32,334)	\$ (35,081)
Amounts recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (a):				
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 273,691	\$ 211,004	\$ (1,106)	\$ 143
Prior service cost	138	1,912		
	\$ 273,829	\$ 212,916	\$ (1,106)	\$ 143

(a)

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Amounts exclude related tax benefits of \$100.4 million and \$78.8 million for December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which are also included in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Table of Contents

The accumulated benefit obligation differs from the projected benefit obligation in that it assumes future compensation levels will remain unchanged. Mattel's accumulated benefit obligation for its defined benefit pension plans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$553.6 million and \$510.0 million, respectively.

The assumptions used in determining the projected and accumulated benefit obligations of Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>Defined benefit pension plans:</i>		
Discount rate	4.5%	5.2%
Weighted average rate of future compensation increases	3.8%	3.8%
<i>Postretirement benefit plans:</i>		
Discount rate	4.5%	5.2%
Annual increase in Medicare Part B premium	6.0%	6.0%
<i>Health care cost trend rate:</i>		
Pre-65	7.5%	8.0%
Post-65	7.5%	8.0%
Ultimate cost trend rate (pre- and post-65)	5.0%	5.0%
<i>Year that the rate reaches the ultimate cost trend rate:</i>		
Pre-65	2017	2017
Post-65	2017	2017

A one percentage point increase/(decrease) in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each future year would impact the postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2011 by \$2.7 million and \$(2.4) million, respectively, while a one percentage point increase/(decrease) would impact the service and interest cost recognized for 2011 by \$0.1 million and \$(0.1) million, respectively.

The estimated future benefit payments for Mattel's defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans	Postretirement Benefit Plans Before Subsidy (In thousands)	Benefit of Medicare Part D Subsidy
2012	\$ 44,464	\$ 2,900	\$ (200)
2013	26,782	2,700	(200)
2014	27,398	2,700	(200)
2015	28,344	2,700	(200)
2016	29,456	2,700	(200)
2017 - 2021	168,950	12,500	(1,100)

Mattel expects to make cash contributions totaling approximately \$57 million to its defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans in 2012, which includes approximately \$27 million for benefit payments for its unfunded plans.

Mattel periodically commissions a study of the plans' assets and liabilities to determine an asset allocation that would best match expected cash flows from the plans' assets to expected benefit payments. Mattel monitors the returns earned by the plans' assets and reallocates investments as needed. Mattel's overall investment strategy is to achieve an adequately diversified asset allocation mix of investments that provides for both near-term benefit payments as well as long-term growth. The assets are invested in a combination of indexed and actively managed funds. The target allocations for Mattel's domestic plan assets, which comprise 80% of Mattel's total

Table of Contents

plan assets, are 35% in US equities, 35% in non-US equities, 20% in US long-term bonds, and 10% in US Treasury inflation protected securities. The US equities are benchmarked against the S&P 500 and the non-US equities are benchmarked against a combination of developed and emerging markets indexes. Fixed income securities are long-duration bonds intended to closely match the duration of the liabilities and include US government treasuries and agencies, corporate bonds from various industries, and mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities.

Mattel's defined benefit pension plan assets are measured and reported in the financial statements at fair value using inputs, which are more fully described in Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Fair Value Measurements, as follows:

	Level 1	December 31, 2011 Level 2 Level 3 (In thousands)		Total
Collective trust funds:				
US equity securities	\$	\$ 101,747	\$	\$ 101,747
International equity securities		101,956		101,956
International fixed income		36,128		36,128
US government and US government agency securities		51,897		51,897
US corporate debt instruments		19,346		19,346
International corporate debt instruments		5,887		5,887
Mutual funds	4,883			4,883
Other		16,237		16,237
Total	\$ 4,883	\$ 333,198	\$	\$ 338,081

	Level 1	December 31, 2010 Level 2 Level 3 (In thousands)		Total
Collective trust funds:				
US equity securities	\$	\$ 97,885	\$	\$ 97,885
International equity securities		115,114		115,114
International fixed income		28,309		28,309
Short-term investments		1,452		1,452
US government and US government agency securities		37,718		37,718
US corporate debt instruments		16,301		16,301
International corporate debt instruments		5,005		5,005
Mutual funds	3,082			3,082
Other		11,929		11,929
Total	\$ 3,082	\$ 313,713	\$	\$ 316,795

The fair value of collective trust funds and mutual funds shares are determined based on the net asset value of shares held at year-end. The fair value of US government securities, US government agency securities, and corporate debt instruments are determined based on quoted market prices, or are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows.

Mattel's defined benefit pension plan assets are not directly invested in Mattel common stock. Mattel believes that the long-term rate of return on plan assets of 8.0% as of December 31, 2011 is reasonable based on historical returns.

Table of Contents

During 1999, Mattel amended the Fisher-Price Pension Plan to convert it from a career-average plan to a cash balance plan and applied for a determination letter from the IRS. In 2003 and 2011, Mattel amended the Fisher-Price Pension Plan to reflect changes in regulations and court cases associated with cash balance plans and submitted applications for a determination letter to the IRS. Mattel received a favorable determination letter in February 2012 and plans to convert the Fisher-Price Pension Plan to a cash balance plan in 2012.

Defined Contribution Retirement Plans

Domestic employees are eligible to participate in a 401(k) savings plan, the Mattel, Inc. Personal Investment Plan (the Plan), sponsored by Mattel, which is a funded defined contribution plan intended to comply with ERISA's requirements. Contributions to the Plan include voluntary contributions by eligible employees and employer automatic and matching contributions by Mattel. The Plan allows employees to allocate both their voluntary contributions and their employer automatic and matching contributions to a variety of investment funds, including a fund that is fully invested in Mattel common stock (the Mattel Stock Fund). Employees are not required to allocate any of their Plan account balance to the Mattel Stock Fund, which allows employees to limit or eliminate their exposure to market changes in Mattel's stock price. Furthermore, the Plan limits the percentage of the employee's total account balance that may be allocated to the Mattel Stock Fund to 25%. Employees may generally reallocate their account balances on a daily basis. However, pursuant to Mattel's insider trading policy, employees classified as insiders and restricted personnel under Mattel's insider trading policy are limited to certain periods in which they may make allocations into or out of the Mattel Stock Fund.

Certain non-US employees participate in other defined contribution retirement plans with varying vesting and contribution provisions.

Deferred Compensation and Excess Benefit Plans

Mattel maintains a deferred compensation plan that permits certain officers and key employees to elect to defer portions of their compensation. The deferred compensation plan, together with certain contributions made by Mattel and participating employees to an excess benefit plan, earns various rates of return. The liability for these plans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$51.6 million and \$48.3 million, respectively, and is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the market value of the participant selected investment options are recorded as retirement plan expense within other selling and administrative expenses. Separately, Mattel has purchased group trust-owned life insurance contracts designed to assist in funding these programs. The cash surrender value of these policies, valued at \$65.9 million and \$64.5 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, are held in an irrevocable grantor trust, the assets of which are subject to the claims of Mattel's creditors and are included in other noncurrent assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Incentive Compensation Plans

Mattel has annual incentive compensation plans under which officers and key employees may earn incentive compensation based on Mattel's performance and subject to certain approvals of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For 2011, 2010, and 2009, \$75.3 million, \$106.7 million, and \$96.6 million, respectively, was charged to expense for awards under these plans.

Mattel has had two long-term incentive program (LTIP) performance cycles in place for the time period between 2009 and 2011: (i) a January 1, 2008–December 31, 2010 performance cycle, which was established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors in March 2008, and (ii) a January 1, 2011–December 31, 2013 performance cycle, which was established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors in March 2011.

For the January 1, 2008–December 31, 2010 LTIP, Mattel granted performance restricted stock units (RSUs) under the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan to officers and certain employees providing

Table of Contents

services to Mattel. Performance RSUs are units that may become payable in shares of Mattel's common stock at the end of the three-year performance cycle. The performance RSUs granted under this performance cycle were earned based on an initial target number with the final number of performance RSUs payable being determined based on the product of the initial target number of performance RSUs multiplied by a performance factor based on measurements of Mattel's performance with respect to: (i) annual operating result targets for each year in the performance period using a net operating profit after taxes less capital charge measure (the 2008-2010 performance-related component), and (ii) Mattel's total stockholder return (TSR) for the three-year performance period relative to the TSR realized by companies comprising the S&P 500 as the first day of the performance cycle (the 2008-2010 market-related component). For the January 1, 2008-December 31, 2010 LTIP, 1.3 million shares were earned relating to the performance-related component and 0.7 million shares were earned relating to the market-related component, resulting in a total of 2.0 million shares that vested in February 2011.

For the January 1, 2008-December 31, 2010 LTIP, the weighted average grant date fair value of the performance-related and market-related components of the performance RSUs were \$22.02 and \$3.99 per share, respectively, for 2010, and \$10.36 and \$3.99 per share, respectively, for 2009. During 2010, \$17.7 million was charged to expense relating to the 2008-2010 performance-related component as the 2010 actual results exceeded the 2010 performance threshold. During 2009, \$3.4 million was charged to expense relating to the 2008-2010 performance-related component as the 2009 actual results exceeded the 2009 performance threshold. Additionally, during both 2010 and 2009, Mattel recognized share-based compensation expense of \$1.9 million for the market-related component.

For the January 1, 2011-December 31, 2013 LTIP, Mattel granted performance RSUs under the Mattel, Inc. 2010 Equity and Long-Term Compensation Plan to officers and certain employees providing services to Mattel. Performance RSUs granted under this program are earned based on an initial target number, adjusted for dividends declared during the three-year performance period, with the final number of performance RSUs payable being determined based on the product of the initial target number of performance RSUs multiplied by a performance factor based on measurements of Mattel's performance with respect to: (i) annual operating result targets for each year in the performance period using a net operating profit after taxes less capital charge measure and a net sales performance measure (the 2011-2013 performance-related components), and (ii) Mattel's TSR for the three-year performance period relative to the TSR realized by companies comprising the S&P 500 as the first day of the performance cycle (the 2011-2013 market-related component). For the 2011-2013 performance-related components, the range of possible outcomes is that between zero and 0.6 million shares that can be earned for each of the three years during the three-year performance period. For the 2011-2013 market-related component, the possible outcomes range from an upward adjustment of 0.9 million shares to a downward adjustment of 0.9 million shares to the results of the performance-related components over the three-year performance period.

For the January 1, 2011-December 31, 2013 LTIP, the weighted average grant date fair value of the performance-related and market-related components of the performance RSUs were \$24.67 and \$4.22 per share, respectively, for 2011. During 2011, \$7.1 million was charged to expense relating to the performance-related components as the 2011 actual results exceeded the 2011 performance threshold. Additionally, during 2011, Mattel recognized share-based compensation expense of \$1.2 million for the market-related component.

The fair value of the 2008-2010 performance-related component was based on the closing stock price of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant, reduced by the present value of estimated dividends to be paid during the performance period as the awards were not credited with dividend equivalents for actual dividends paid on Mattel's common stock. The fair value of the 2011-2013 performance-related components was based on the closing stock price of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant. The fair values of the market-related components were estimated at the grant date using a Monte Carlo valuation methodology. Share-based compensation is recognized as expense over the performance period using a straight-line expense attribution approach reduced for estimated forfeitures.

Table of Contents

Note 7 Seasonal Financing and Debt

Seasonal Financing

In November 2011, Mattel issued \$300.0 million of unsecured 2.50% senior notes (2.50% Senior Notes) due November 1, 2016 and \$300.0 million of unsecured 5.45% senior notes (5.45% Senior Notes) due November 1, 2041 (collectively, 2011 Senior Notes). Interest on the 2011 Senior Notes is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning May 1, 2012. Mattel may redeem all or part of the 2.50% Senior Notes at any time or from time to time at its option, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date, and (ii) a make-whole amount based on the yield of a comparable US Treasury security plus 25 basis points. Mattel may redeem all or part of the 5.45% Senior Notes at any time or from time to time at its option prior to May 1, 2041 (six months prior to the maturity date of the 5.45% Senior Notes), at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date, and (ii) a make-whole amount based on the yield of a comparable US Treasury security plus 35 basis points. Mattel may redeem all or part of the 5.45% Senior Notes at any time or from time to time at its option on or after May 1, 2041 (six months prior to the maturity date for the 5.45% Senior Notes), at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date.

In September 2010, Mattel issued \$250.0 million of unsecured 4.35% senior notes (4.35% Senior Notes) due October 1, 2020 and \$250.0 million of unsecured 6.20% senior notes (6.20% Senior Notes) due October 1, 2040 (collectively, 2010 Senior Notes). Interest on the 2010 Senior Notes is payable semi-annually on October 1 and April 1 of each year. Mattel may redeem all or part of the 2010 Senior Notes at any time or from time to time at its option at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, and (ii) a make-whole amount based on the yield of a comparable US Treasury security plus 25 basis points in respect of the 4.35% Senior Notes and 40 basis points in respect of the 6.20% Senior Notes.

Mattel maintains and periodically amends or replaces its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility (Credit Facility) with a commercial bank group that is used as a back-up facility to Mattel's commercial paper program, which is used as the primary source of financing for the seasonal working capital requirements of its domestic subsidiaries. The Credit Facility was amended and restated on March 8, 2011 to, among other things, (i) extend the maturity date of the Credit Facility to March 8, 2015, (ii) increase aggregate commitments under the Credit Facility to \$1.40 billion, with an accordion feature, which allows Mattel to increase the aggregate availability under the Credit Facility to \$1.60 billion under certain circumstances, (iii) decrease the applicable interest rate margins to a range of 0.25% to 1.50% above the applicable base rate for base rate loans, and 1.25% to 2.50% above the applicable London Interbank Borrowing Rate for Eurodollar rate loans, in each case depending on Mattel's senior unsecured long-term debt rating, and (iv) decrease commitment fees to a range of 0.15% to 0.40% of the unused commitments under the Credit Facility.

The borrowing capacity of the amended Credit Facility is \$1.40 billion for four years, which exceeded the \$1.10 billion for one year remaining on the Credit Facility prior to the March 2011 amendment. The proportion of unamortized debt issuance costs from the prior Credit Facility renewal related to creditors involved in both the prior Credit Facility and amended Credit Facility, and borrowing costs incurred as a result of the amendment were deferred and will be amortized over the term of the amended Credit Facility.

In connection with the execution of the amendment of the Credit Facility, Mattel terminated its \$300.0 million domestic receivables sales facility, which was a sub-facility of Credit Facility.

Mattel is required to meet financial covenants at the end of each quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified in the Credit Facility agreement to calculate the ratios. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year in 2011. As of December 31, 2011, Mattel's consolidated

Table of Contents

debt-to-EBITDA ratio, as calculated per the terms of the Credit Facility agreement, was 1.3 to 1 (compared to a maximum allowed of 3.0 to 1) and Mattel's interest coverage ratio was 16.0 to 1 (compared to a minimum required of 3.50 to 1).

The Credit Facility is a material agreement and failure to comply with the financial covenant ratios may result in an event of default under the terms of the facility. If Mattel defaulted under the terms of the Credit Facility, its ability to meet its seasonal financing requirements could be adversely affected.

Mattel believes its cash on hand, amounts available under its Credit Facility, and its foreign credit lines will be adequate to meet its seasonal financing requirements in 2012.

To finance seasonal working capital requirements of certain foreign subsidiaries, Mattel avails itself of individual short-term credit lines with a number of banks. As of December 31, 2011, foreign credit lines totaled approximately \$187 million. Mattel expects to extend the majority of these credit lines throughout 2012.

During 2009, sales of receivables pursuant to the domestic receivables sales facility occurred periodically, generally quarterly. The receivables were sold by Mattel Sales Corp., Fisher-Price, Inc., and Mattel Direct Import, Inc. to Mattel Factoring, who then sold such receivables to the bank group at a slight discount, and Mattel acted as a servicer for such receivables. Mattel designated Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. as sub-servicers, as permitted by the facility. Mattel's appointment as a servicer was subject to termination events that were customary for such transactions. The domestic receivables sales facility was also subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature.

Mattel did not sell receivables pursuant to the domestic receivables facility in 2011 or 2010. Mattel's aggregate losses on receivables sold under the domestic and other trade receivables facilities were \$0.5 million, \$1.8 million, and \$7.4 million during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

The outstanding amounts of accounts receivable that have been sold under other factoring arrangements were \$25.9 million and \$60.6 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These amounts have been excluded from Mattel's consolidated balance sheets.

In May 2011, a major credit rating agency changed Mattel's long-term credit rating from BBB+ to A-, and maintained its short-term credit rating of F-2 and outlook at stable. In April 2011, another major credit rating agency changed Mattel's long-term credit rating from BBB to BBB+, and maintained its short-term credit rating of A-2 and outlook at stable. Additionally, in April 2011, a major credit rating agency changed Mattel's long-term credit rating from Baa2 to Baa1, and maintained its short-term credit rating of P-2 and outlook at stable.

Short-Term Borrowings

As of December 31, 2011, Mattel had foreign short-term bank loans outstanding of \$8.0 million. As of December 31, 2010, Mattel had no foreign short-term bank loans outstanding. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, Mattel had no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility.

During 2011 and 2010, Mattel had average borrowings of \$15.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, under its foreign short-term bank loans, and \$599.7 million and \$196.9 million, respectively, under the Credit Facility and other short-term borrowings, to help finance its seasonal working capital requirements. The weighted average interest rate on foreign short-term bank loans during 2011 and 2010 was 11.4% and 3.4%, respectively. The weighted average interest rate on the Credit Facility and other short-term borrowings during both 2011 and 2010 was 0.4%.

Table of Contents*Long-Term Debt*

Mattel's long-term debt consists of the following:

	December 31, 2011 2010 (In thousands)	
Medium-term notes due July 2012 to November 2013	\$ 100,000	\$ 150,000
2006 Senior Notes		200,000
2008 Senior Notes due March 2013	350,000	350,000
2010 Senior Notes due October 2020 and October 2040	500,000	500,000
2011 Senior Notes due November 2016 and November 2041	600,000	
	1,550,000	1,200,000
Less: current portion	(50,000)	(250,000)
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 950,000

Mattel's Medium-term notes bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 6.5% to 7.25%, with a weighted average interest rate of 6.89% and 6.99% as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Mattel's 2008 Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.625%.

During 2011, Mattel repaid the remaining \$200.0 million of its 2006 Senior Notes in connection with its scheduled maturity. During 2011 and 2010, Mattel repaid \$50.0 million of its Medium-term notes in connection with their maturities.

The aggregate amount of long-term debt maturing in the next five years and thereafter is as follows:

	Medium- Term Notes	2008 Senior Notes	2010 Senior Notes (In thousands)	2011 Senior Notes	Total
2012	\$ 50,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 50,000
2013	50,000	350,000			400,000
2014					
2015					
2016				300,000	300,000
Thereafter			500,000	300,000	800,000
	\$ 100,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 1,550,000

Note 8 Stockholders' Equity*Preference Stock*

Mattel is authorized to issue up to 20.0 million shares of \$0.01 par value preference stock, of which none is currently outstanding.

Preferred Stock

Mattel is authorized to issue up to 3.0 million shares of \$1.00 par value preferred stock, of which none is currently outstanding.

Table of Contents*Common Stock Repurchase Program*

During 2011, Mattel repurchased 20.4 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$536.3 million. During 2010, Mattel repurchased 18.6 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$446.7 million. During 2009, Mattel did not repurchase any shares of its common stock. During both 2011 and 2010, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by \$500.0 million. At December 31, 2011, share repurchase authorizations of \$427.3 million had not been executed. Repurchases will take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

Dividends

During 2011, 2010, and 2009, Mattel paid total dividends per share of \$0.92, \$0.83, and \$0.75, respectively, to holders of its common stock. During 2011, the Board of Directors declared the dividends on a quarterly basis, and Mattel paid the dividends during the quarter in which the dividends were declared. During 2010 and 2009, the Board of Directors declared the dividends annually in November of the respective years, and Mattel paid the dividends in December of the respective years. The payment of dividends on common stock is at the discretion of the Board of Directors and is subject to customary limitations.

Comprehensive Income

The changes in the components of comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Net income	\$ 768,508	\$ 684,863	\$ 528,704
Currency translation adjustments	(77,105)	883	52,210
Defined benefit pension plans, net prior service cost and net actuarial loss	(38,084)	7,703	18,696
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses)	17,900	8,725	(29,602)
Reclassification adjustment for realized losses included in net income	9,843	3,024	9,797
	27,743	11,749	(19,805)
	\$ 681,062	\$ 705,198	\$ 579,805

For 2011, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net loss of \$77.1 million, with losses from the weakening of the Euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, and British pound sterling against the US dollar. For 2010, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net gain of \$0.9 million, with gains from the strengthening of the Mexican peso, Brazilian real, and Chilean peso against the US dollar, partially offset by the weakening of the Euro and British pound sterling against the US dollar. For 2009, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net gain of \$52.2 million, with gains from the strengthening of the Brazilian real, Euro, Chilean peso, and British pound sterling against the US dollar.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows:

	December 31, 2011	2010 (In thousands)
Currency translation adjustments	\$ (298,863)	\$ (221,758)
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, net of tax	(172,398)	(134,314)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments, net of tax	24,616	(3,127)
	\$ (446,645)	\$ (359,199)

Table of Contents

Note 9 Share-Based Payments

Mattel Stock Option Plans

In May 2010, Mattel's stockholders approved the Mattel, Inc. 2010 Equity and Long-Term Compensation Plan (the "2010 Plan"). Upon approval of the 2010 Plan, Mattel terminated its 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the "2005 Plan"), except with respect to grants then outstanding under the 2005 Plan. Outstanding RSU awards made under the 2005 Plan continue to vest pursuant to the terms of their respective grant agreements. Outstanding stock option grants under the 2005 Plan that have not expired or have not been terminated continue to be exercisable under the terms of their respective grant agreements. The terms of the 2010 Plan are substantially similar to the 2005 Plan.

Under the 2010 Plan, Mattel has the ability to grant nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, RSUs, dividend equivalent rights, performance awards, and shares of common stock to officers, employees, and other persons providing services to Mattel. Generally, options vest and become exercisable contingent upon the grantees' continued employment or service with Mattel. Nonqualified stock options are granted at not less than 100% of the fair market value of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant, expire no later than ten years from the date of grant, and vest on a schedule determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, generally during a period of three years from the date of grant. In the event of a retirement of an employee aged 55 years or greater with 5 or more years of service, or the death or disability of an employee, that occurs in each case at least 6 months after the grant date, nonqualified stock options become fully vested. Similar provisions exist for non-employee directors. Time-vesting RSUs granted under the 2010 Plan are generally accompanied by dividend equivalent rights and generally vest over a period of three years from the date of grant. In the event of the involuntary termination of an employee aged 55 years or greater with 5 or more years of service, or the death or disability of an employee, that occurs at least 6 months after the grant date, RSUs become fully vested. The 2010 Plan also contains provisions regarding grants of equity compensation to the non-employee members of the Board of Directors. The 2010 Plan expires on March 25, 2020, except as to any grants then outstanding.

The number of shares of common stock available for grant under the 2010 Plan is subject to an aggregate limit of the sum of (i) 48 million shares, (ii) the number of shares that remained available for issuance under the 2005 Plan on May 12, 2010, and (iii) any shares subject to awards outstanding under the 2005 Plan that on or after May 12, 2010 are forfeited or otherwise terminate or expire without the issuance of shares to the holder of the award. The 2010 Plan is further subject to detailed share-counting rules. As a result of such share-counting rules, full-value grants such as grants of restricted stock or RSUs count against shares remaining available for grant at a higher rate than grants of stock options and stock appreciation rights. Each stock option or stock appreciation right grant is treated as using one available share for each share actually subject to such grant, whereas each restricted stock or RSU grant is treated as using three available shares for each share actually subject to such full-value grant. At December 31, 2011, there were approximately 38 million shares of common stock available for grant remaining under the 2010 Plan.

As of December 31, 2011, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments totaled \$70.6 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Stock Options

Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$14.5 million, \$13.4 million, and \$13.0 million for stock options during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, which is included within other selling and administrative expenses. Income tax benefits related to stock option compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during 2011, 2010, and 2009 totaled \$4.8 million, \$4.3 million, and \$4.4 million, respectively.

The fair value of options granted has been estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding, and has

Table of Contents

been determined based on historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel's stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel's most recent actual annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues approximating the expected life. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$5.76, \$4.84, and \$3.71, respectively. The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining the fair value of options granted:

	2011	2010	2009
Expected life (in years)	5.1	5.0	4.9
Risk-free interest rate	1.4%	1.7%	2.5%
Volatility factor	34.0%	34.3%	33.6%
Dividend yield	3.5%	3.5%	4.3%

The following is a summary of stock option information and weighted average exercise prices for Mattel's stock options:

	2011 Number	2011 Weighted Average Exercise Price	2010 Number	2010 Weighted Average Exercise Price	2009 Number	2009 Weighted Average Exercise Price
	(In thousands, except weighted average exercise price)					
Outstanding at January 1	23,265	\$ 19.48	25,285	\$ 18.45	25,400	\$ 18.15
Granted	2,211	26.38	3,097	21.52	3,708	17.57
Exercised	(5,977)	19.34	(4,761)	15.41	(2,450)	12.61
Forfeited	(163)	20.50	(232)	19.29	(181)	20.11
Canceled	(37)	17.46	(124)	17.73	(1,192)	21.18
Outstanding at December 31	19,299	\$ 20.30	23,265	\$ 19.48	25,285	\$ 18.45
Exercisable at December 31	14,359	\$ 19.39	16,630	\$ 19.30	18,601	\$ 18.20

The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of an option. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$43.5 million, \$34.6 million, and \$12.4 million, respectively. At December 31, 2011, options outstanding had an intrinsic value of \$144.0 million, with a weighted average remaining life of 5.6 years. At December 31, 2011, options exercisable had an intrinsic value of \$120.3 million, with a weighted average remaining life of 4.4 years. At December 31, 2011, stock options vested or expected to vest totaled 18.8 million shares, with a total intrinsic value of \$140.8 million, weighted average exercise price of \$20.29, and weighted average remaining life of 5.5 years. During 2011, approximately 3 million stock options vested. The total grant date fair value of stock options vested during 2011, 2010, and 2009 was approximately \$14 million, \$12 million, and \$13 million, respectively.

Mattel uses treasury shares purchased under its share repurchase program to satisfy stock option exercises. Cash received from stock options exercised during 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$115.6 million, \$73.4 million, and \$30.9 million, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

RSUs are valued at the market value on the date of grant and the expense is evenly attributed to the periods in which the restrictions lapse, which is three years from the date of grant.

Compensation expense recognized related to grants of RSUs was \$30.7 million, \$34.2 million, and \$31.7 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, and is included within other selling and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Income tax benefits related to RSU compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during 2011, 2010, and 2009 totaled \$9.0 million, \$10.3 million, and \$9.5 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the number and weighted average grant date fair value of Mattel's unvested RSUs during the year:

	2011		2010		2009	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (In thousands, except weighted average grant date fair value)	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1	4,274	\$ 19.49	4,449	\$ 19.36	3,927	\$ 21.03
Granted	1,663	26.38	1,643	21.58	2,113	17.41
Vested	(1,740)	19.01	(1,598)	21.45	(1,408)	20.96
Forfeited	(465)	21.53	(220)	18.17	(183)	20.53
Unvested at December 31	3,732	\$ 22.53	4,274	\$ 19.49	4,449	\$ 19.36

At December 31, 2011, total RSUs expected to vest totaled 3.5 million shares, with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$22.55. The total grant date fair value of RSUs vested during 2011, 2010, and 2009 totaled \$33.1 million, \$34.3 million, and \$29.5 million, respectively.

In addition to the expense and share amounts described above, Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$8.3 million for performance RSUs granted in connection with its January 1, 2011–December 31, 2013 Long-Term Incentive Program, as more fully described in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements–Employee Benefit Plans. Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$19.6 million and \$5.3 million during 2010 and 2009, respectively, for performance RSUs granted in connection with its January 1, 2008–December 31, 2010 Long-Term Incentive Program, also more fully described in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements–Employee Benefit Plans. Income tax benefits related to performance RSU compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during 2011, 2010, and 2009 totaled \$3.1 million, \$7.4 million, and \$2.0 million, respectively.

Note 10 Earnings Per Share

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and are included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Certain of Mattel's RSUs are considered participating securities because they contain nonforfeitable rights to dividend equivalents.

Table of Contents

Under the two-class method, net income is reduced by the amount of dividends declared in the period for each class of common stock and participating securities. The remaining undistributed earnings are then allocated to common stock and participating securities as if all of the net income for the period had been distributed. Basic earnings per common share excludes dilution and is calculated by dividing net income allocable to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income allocable to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares for the period, as adjusted for the potential dilutive effect of non-participating share-based awards. The following table reconciles earnings per common share:

	For the Year		
	2011	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Basic:			
Net income	\$ 768,508	\$ 684,863	\$ 528,704
Less net income allocable to participating RSUs	(8,821)	(7,912)	(5,992)
Net income available for basic common shares	\$ 759,687	\$ 676,951	\$ 522,712
Weighted average common shares outstanding	344,669	360,615	360,085
Basic net income per common share	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.88	\$ 1.45
Diluted:			
Net income	\$ 768,508	\$ 684,863	\$ 528,704
Less net income allocable to participating RSUs	(8,765)	(7,863)	(5,981)
Net income available for diluted common shares	\$ 759,743	\$ 677,000	\$ 522,723
Weighted average common shares outstanding	344,669	360,615	360,085
Weighted average common equivalent shares arising from:			
Dilutive stock options and non-participating RSUs	3,755	3,955	1,425
Weighted average number of common and potential common shares	348,424	364,570	361,510
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 2.18	\$ 1.86	\$ 1.45

The calculation of potential common shares assumes the exercise of dilutive stock options and vesting of non-participating RSUs, net of assumed treasury share repurchases at average market prices. Nonqualified stock options totaling 1.0 million shares, 1.6 million shares, and 19.0 million shares were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per common share for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, because they were antidilutive.

Note 11 Derivative Instruments

Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to foreign currency transaction risk by monitoring its foreign currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange contracts. Mattel uses foreign currency forward exchange contracts as cash flow hedges primarily to hedge its purchases and sales of inventory denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. These derivative instruments have been designated as effective cash flow hedges, whereby the unsettled hedges are reported in Mattel's consolidated balance sheets at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the hedges reflected in other comprehensive income (OCI). Realized gains and losses for these contracts are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the inventory is sold to customers. Additionally, Mattel uses foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge intercompany loans and advances denominated in foreign currencies. Due to the short-term nature of the contracts involved, Mattel does not use hedge accounting for these contracts, and as such, changes in fair value are recorded in the period of change in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, Mattel held foreign currency forward exchange contracts with notional amounts of \$1.14 billion and \$1.05 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table presents Mattel's derivative assets and liabilities:

	Balance Sheet Classification	Asset Derivatives	
		Fair Value	
		December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
(In thousands)			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 29,043	\$ 8,200
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Other noncurrent assets	2,853	579
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 31,896	\$ 8,779
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$	\$ 8,799
Total		\$ 31,896	\$ 17,578

	Balance Sheet Classification	Liability Derivatives	
		Fair Value	
		December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
(In thousands)			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Accrued liabilities	\$ 1,347	\$ 11,082
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Other noncurrent liabilities	35	101
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 1,382	\$ 11,183
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Accrued liabilities	\$ 2,930	\$
Total		\$ 4,312	\$ 11,183

Table of Contents

The following tables present the location and amount of gains and losses, net of tax, from derivatives reported in the consolidated statements of operations:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI to Statements of Operations	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI to Statements of Operations	Statements of Operations Classification
		(In thousands)			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	\$ 17,900	\$ (9,843)	\$ 8,725	\$ (3,024)	Cost of sales

The net losses of \$9.8 million and \$3.0 million reclassified from accumulated OCI to the consolidated statements of operations during 2011 and 2010, respectively, are offset by the changes in cash flows associated with the underlying hedged transactions.

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in the Statements of Operations		
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	Statements of Operations Classification
	(In thousands)		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	\$ 3,955	\$ (3,797)	Non-operating income/expense
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	747	3,052	Cost of sales
Total	\$ 4,702	\$ (745)	

The net gain of \$4.7 million and net loss of \$0.7 million recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during 2011 and 2010, respectively, is offset by foreign currency transaction gains/losses on the related hedged balances.

Note 12 Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents information about Mattel's assets and liabilities measured and reported in the financial statements at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized to determine such fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable, supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

Mattel's financial assets and liabilities include the following:

	Level 1	December 31, 2011		
		Level 2	Level 3	Total
		(In thousands)		
Assets:				
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts (a)	\$	\$ 31,896	\$	\$ 31,896
Auction rate securities (b)			15,630	15,630
Total assets	\$	\$ 31,896	\$ 15,630	\$ 47,526
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts (a)	\$	\$ 4,312	\$	\$ 4,312

	Level 1	December 31, 2010		
		Level 2	Level 3	Total
		(In thousands)		
Assets:				
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts (a)	\$	\$ 17,578	\$	\$ 17,578
Auction rate securities (b)			21,000	21,000
Total assets	\$	\$ 17,578	\$ 21,000	\$ 38,578
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts (a)	\$	\$ 11,183	\$	\$ 11,183

(a) The fair value of the foreign currency forward exchange contracts is based on dealer quotes of market forward rates and reflects the amount that Mattel would receive or pay at their maturity dates for contracts involving the same notional amounts, currencies, and maturity dates.

(b) The fair value of the auction rate securities is estimated using a discounted cash flow model based on (i) estimated interest rates, timing, and amount of cash flows, (ii) credit spreads, recovery rates, and credit quality of the underlying securities, and (iii) illiquidity considerations.

The following table presents information about Mattel's assets measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis using significant Level 3 inputs:

	Level 3 (In thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$
Transfers to Level 3	21,000
Balance at December 31, 2010	21,000
Unrealized losses	(5,370)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 15,630

During 2010, Mattel adopted ASU 2010-11, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives*, and elected the fair value option under this standard, which resulted in an \$8.7 million, net of tax, adjustment to beginning retained earnings relating to auction rate securities that contain embedded credit derivatives, that were previously reported at amortized cost. The unrealized losses recognized during 2011 relating to these auction rate securities are reflected within other non-operating expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Mattel tests its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable or that the carrying value may exceed its fair value. During 2010, the right to license a certain product line was not renewed resulting in a reduction of its estimated useful life. As a result, Mattel recognized an impairment charge of approximately \$8 million, which reduced the value of the intangible asset to approximately \$1 million. This intangible asset was fully amortized by the end of 2010. In addition, certain leasehold improvements were fully impaired during 2010, resulting in an impairment charge of approximately \$8 million.

During 2009, Mattel fully impaired certain intangible assets relating to product lines that were discontinued, resulting in an impairment charge of approximately \$4 million. In addition, during 2009, Mattel recognized an impairment charge of approximately \$6 million related to a nonamortizable intangible asset, which reduced the value of the intangible asset to approximately \$9 million.

These impairment charges are reflected within other selling and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The estimated fair values of the long-lived assets described above were based on discounted cash flow analyses using Level 3 inputs.

During 2011, 2010, and 2009, Mattel did not have any other assets or liabilities measured and reported at fair value on a non-recurring basis in periods subsequent to initial recognition.

Other Financial Instruments

Mattel's financial instruments include cash and equivalents, accounts receivable and payable, short-term borrowings, and accrued liabilities. The carrying value of these instruments approximates fair value because of their short-term nature.

The estimated fair value of Mattel's long-term debt, including the current portion, is \$1.63 billion (compared to a carrying value of \$1.55 billion) as of December 31, 2011 and \$1.23 billion (compared to a carrying value of \$1.20 billion) as of December 31, 2010. The estimated fair value has been calculated based on broker quotes or rates for the same or similar instruments.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies***Leases*

Mattel routinely enters into noncancelable lease agreements for premises and equipment used in the normal course of business. Certain of these leases include escalation clauses that adjust rental expense to reflect changes in price indices, as well as renewal options. In addition to minimum rental payments, certain of Mattel's leases require additional payments to reimburse the lessors for operating expenses such as real estate taxes, maintenance, utilities, and insurance. Rental expense is recorded on a straight-line basis, including escalating minimum payments. The American Girl Place® leases in Chicago, Illinois, New York, New York, and Los Angeles, California and American Girl® store leases in Dallas, Texas, Alpharetta, Georgia, Natick, Massachusetts, Bloomington, Minnesota, Lone Tree, Colorado, Overland Park, Kansas, McLean, Virginia, Lynnwood, Washington, and Chesterfield, Missouri also contain provisions for additional rental payments based on a percentage of the sales of each store after reaching certain sales benchmarks. Contingent rental expense is recorded in the period in which the contingent event becomes probable. During 2011, 2010, and 2009, contingent rental expense was not material. The following table shows the future minimum obligations under lease commitments in effect at December 31, 2011:

	Capitalized Leases	Operating Leases
	(In thousands)	
2012	\$ 294	\$ 85,950
2013	294	70,941
2014	294	57,269
2015	294	48,850
2016	294	45,914
Thereafter	910	163,849
	\$ 2,380(a)	\$ 472,773

(a) Includes \$0.6 million of imputed interest.

Rental expense under operating leases amounted to \$113.3 million, \$117.8 million, and \$121.9 million for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, net of sublease income of \$0.9 million, \$0.5 million, and \$0.1 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Commitments

In the normal course of business, Mattel enters into contractual arrangements to obtain and protect Mattel's right to create and market certain products, and for future purchases of goods and services to ensure availability and timely delivery. Such arrangements include royalty payments pursuant to licensing agreements and commitments primarily for future inventory purchases. Certain of these commitments routinely contain provisions for guarantees or minimum expenditures during the term of the contracts. Current and future commitments for guaranteed payments reflect Mattel's focus on expanding its product lines through alliances with businesses in other industries.

Table of Contents

Licensing and similar agreements in effect at December 31, 2011 contain provisions for future minimum payments as shown in the following table:

	Licensing and Similar Agreements (In thousands)
2012	\$ 55,170
2013	100,000
2014	83,943
2015	60,937
2016	13,704
Thereafter	254
	\$ 314,008

Royalty expense for 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$262.4 million, \$245.9 million, and \$188.5 million, respectively.

The following table shows the future minimum obligations for purchases of inventory, other assets, and services at December 31, 2011:

	Other Purchase Obligations (In thousands)
2012	\$ 356,117
2013	16,766
2014	15,480
2015	13,983
2016	3,336
	\$ 405,682

Insurance

Mattel has a wholly owned subsidiary, Far West Insurance Company, Ltd. (Far West), that was established to insure Mattel's workers compensation, general, automobile, product liability, and foreign property risks. Far West insures the first \$1.0 million per occurrence for workers' compensation risks, the first \$0.5 million for general and automobile liability risks, the first \$2.0 million per occurrence and \$2.0 million per year for product liability risks, and \$0.5 million per occurrence for foreign property risks. Various insurance companies, that have an

A or better AM Best rating at the time the policies are purchased, reinsure Mattel's risk in excess of the amounts insured by Far West. Mattel's liability for reported and incurred but not reported claims at December 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$16.3 million and \$16.9 million, respectively, and is included in other noncurrent liabilities. Loss reserves are accrued based on Mattel's estimate of the aggregate liability for claims incurred.

Table of Contents

Litigation

With regards to the claims against Mattel described below, Mattel intends to defend itself vigorously. Management cannot reasonably determine the scope or amount of possible liabilities that could result from an unfavorable settlement or resolution of these claims, and no reserves for these claims have been established as of December 31, 2011. However, it is possible that an unfavorable resolution of these claims could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition and results of operations, and there can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to achieve a favorable settlement or resolution of these claims.

Litigation Related to Carter Bryant and MGA Entertainment, Inc.

In April 2004, Mattel filed a lawsuit in Los Angeles County Superior Court against Carter Bryant (Bryant), a former Mattel design employee. The suit alleges that Bryant aided and assisted a Mattel competitor, MGA Entertainment, Inc. (MGA), during the time he was employed by Mattel, in violation of his contractual and other duties to Mattel. In September 2004, Bryant asserted counterclaims against Mattel, including counterclaims in which Bryant sought, as a putative class action representative, to invalidate Mattel's Confidential Information and Proprietary Inventions Agreements with its employees. Bryant also removed Mattel's suit to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In December 2004, MGA intervened as a party-defendant in Mattel's action against Bryant, asserting that its rights to Bratz properties are at stake in the litigation.

Separately, in November 2004, Bryant filed an action against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The action sought a judicial declaration that Bryant's purported conveyance of rights in Bratz was proper and that he did not misappropriate Mattel property in creating Bratz.

In April 2005, MGA filed suit against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. MGA's action alleges claims of trade dress infringement, trade dress dilution, false designation of origin, unfair competition, and unjust enrichment. The suit alleges, among other things, that certain products, themes, packaging, and/or television commercials in various Mattel product lines have infringed upon products, themes, packaging, and/or television commercials for various MGA product lines, including Bratz. The complaint also asserts that various alleged Mattel acts with respect to unidentified retailers, distributors, and licensees have damaged MGA and that various alleged acts by industry organizations, purportedly induced by Mattel, have damaged MGA. MGA's suit alleges that MGA has been damaged in an amount believed to reach or exceed tens of millions of dollars and further seeks punitive damages, disgorgement of Mattel's profits and injunctive relief.

In June 2006, the three cases were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. On July 17, 2006, the Court issued an order dismissing all claims that Bryant had asserted against Mattel, including Bryant's purported counterclaims to invalidate Mattel's Confidential Information and Proprietary Inventions Agreements with its employees, and Bryant's claims for declaratory relief.

In November 2006, Mattel asked the Court for leave to file an Amended Complaint that included not only additional claims against Bryant, but also included claims for copyright infringement, RICO violations, misappropriation of trade secrets, intentional interference with contract, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty and breach of duty of loyalty, and unfair competition, among others, against MGA, its CEO Isaac Larian, certain MGA affiliates and an MGA employee. The RICO claim alleged that MGA stole Bratz and then, by recruiting and hiring key Mattel employees and directing them to bring with them Mattel confidential and proprietary information, unfairly competed against Mattel using Mattel's trade secrets, confidential information, and key employees to build their business. On January 12, 2007, the Court granted Mattel leave to file these claims as counterclaims in the consolidated cases, which Mattel did that same day.

Mattel sought to try all of its claims in a single trial, but in February 2007, the Court decided that the consolidated cases would be tried in two phases, with the first trial to determine claims and defenses related to

Table of Contents

Mattel's ownership of Bratz works and whether MGA infringed those works. On May 19, 2008, Bryant reached a settlement agreement with Mattel and is no longer a defendant in the litigation. In the public stipulation entered by Mattel and Bryant in connection with the resolution, Bryant agreed that he was and would continue to be bound by all prior and future Court Orders relating to Bratz ownership and infringement, including the Court's summary judgment rulings.

The first phase of the first trial, which began on May 27, 2008, resulted in a unanimous jury verdict on July 17, 2008 in favor of Mattel. The jury found that almost all of the Bratz design drawings and other works in question were created by Bryant while he was employed at Mattel; that MGA and Isaac Larian intentionally interfered with the contractual duties owed by Bryant to Mattel, aided and abetted Bryant's breaches of his duty of loyalty to Mattel, aided and abetted Bryant's breaches of the fiduciary duties he owed to Mattel, and converted Mattel property for their own use. The same jury determined that defendants MGA, Larian, and MGA Entertainment (HK) Limited infringed Mattel's copyrights in the Bratz design drawings and other Bratz works, and awarded Mattel total damages of approximately \$100 million against the defendants. On December 3, 2008, the Court issued a series of orders rejecting MGA's equitable defenses and granting Mattel's motions for equitable relief, including an order enjoining the MGA party defendants from manufacturing, marketing, or selling certain Bratz fashion dolls or from using the Bratz name. The Court stayed the effect of the December 3, 2008 injunctive orders until further order of the Court and entered a further specified stay of the injunctive orders on January 7, 2009.

The parties filed and argued additional motions for post-trial relief, including a request by MGA to enter judgment as a matter of law on Mattel's claims in MGA's favor and to reduce the jury's damages award to Mattel. Mattel additionally moved for the appointment of a receiver. On April 27, 2009, the Court entered an order confirming that Bratz works found by the jury to have been created by Bryant during his Mattel employment were Mattel's property and that hundreds of Bratz female fashion dolls infringe Mattel's copyrights. The Court also upheld the jury's award of damages in the amount of \$100 million and ordered an accounting of post-trial Bratz sales. The Court further vacated the stay of the December 3, 2008 orders, except to the extent specified by the Court's January 7, 2009 modification.

MGA appealed the Court's equitable orders to the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On December 9, 2009, the Ninth Circuit heard oral argument on MGA's appeal and issued an order staying the District Court's equitable orders pending a further order to be issued by the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit opinion vacating the relief ordered by the District Court was issued on July 22, 2010. The Ninth Circuit stated that, because of several jury instruction errors it identified, a significant portion if not all of the jury verdict and damage award should be vacated.

In its opinion, the Ninth Circuit found that the District Court erred in concluding that Mattel's Invention agreement unambiguously applied to ideas; that it should have considered extrinsic evidence in determining the application of the agreement; and if the conclusion turns on conflicting evidence, it should have been up to the jury to decide. The Ninth Circuit also concluded that the District Judge erred in transferring the entire brand to Mattel based on misappropriated names and that the Court should have submitted to the jury, rather than deciding itself, whether Bryant's agreement assigned works created outside the scope of his employment and whether Bryant's creation of the Bratz designs and sculpt was outside of his employment. The Court then went on to address copyright issues which would be raised after a retrial, since Mattel might well convince a properly instructed jury that it owns Bryant's designs and sculpt. The Ninth Circuit stated that the sculpt itself was entitled only to thin copyright protection against virtually identical works, while the Bratz sketches were entitled to broad protection against substantially similar works; in applying the broad protection, however, the Ninth Circuit found that the lower court had erred in failing to filter out all of the unprotectable elements of Bryant's sketches. This mistake, the Court said, caused the lower court to conclude that all Bratz dolls were substantially similar to Bryant's original sketches.

Judge Stephen Larson, who presided over the first trial, retired from the bench during the course of the appeal, and the case was transferred to Judge David O. Carter. After the transfer, Judge Carter granted Mattel

Table of Contents

leave to file a Fourth Amended Answer and Counterclaims which focused on RICO, trade secret and other claims, and added additional parties, and subsequently granted in part and denied in part a defense motion to dismiss those counterclaims. Later, on August 16, 2010, MGA asserted several new claims against Mattel in response to Mattel's Fourth Amended Answer and Counterclaims, including claims for alleged trade secret misappropriation, an alleged violation of RICO, and wrongful injunction. Mattel moved to strike and/or dismiss these claims, as well as certain MGA allegations regarding Mattel's motives for filing suit. The Court granted that motion as to the wrongful injunction claim, which it dismissed with prejudice, and as to the allegations about Mattel's motives, which it struck. The Court denied the motion as to MGA's trade secret misappropriation claim and its claim for violations of RICO.

The Court resolved summary judgment motions in late 2010. Among other rulings, the Court dismissed both parties' RICO claims; dismissed Mattel's claim for breach of fiduciary duty and portions of other claims as preempted by the trade secrets act; dismissed MGA's trade dress infringement claims; dismissed MGA's unjust enrichment claim; dismissed MGA's common law unfair competition claim; and dismissed portions of Mattel's copyright infringement claim as to later generation Bratz dolls.

Trial of all remaining claims began in early January 2011. During the trial, and before the case was submitted to the jury, the Court granted MGA's motions for judgment as to Mattel's claims for aiding and abetting breach of duty of loyalty and conversion. The Court also granted a defense motion for judgment on portions of Mattel's claim for misappropriation of trade secrets relating to thefts by former Mattel employees located in Mexico.

The jury reached verdicts on the remaining claims in April 2011. In those verdicts, the jury ruled against Mattel on its claims for ownership of Bratz-related works, for copyright infringement, and for misappropriation of trade secrets. The jury ruled for MGA on its claim of trade secret misappropriation as to 26 of its claimed trade secrets and awarded \$88.5 million in damages. The jury ruled against MGA as to 88 of its claimed trade secrets. The jury found that Mattel's misappropriation was willful and malicious.

In early August 2011, the Court ruled on post-trial motions. The Court rejected MGA's unfair competition claims and also rejected Mattel's equitable defenses to MGA's misappropriation of trade secrets claim. The Court reduced the jury's damages award of \$88.5 million to \$85.0 million. The Court awarded MGA an additional \$85.0 million in punitive damages and approximately \$140 million in attorney's fees and costs. The Court entered a judgment which totals approximately \$310 million in favor of MGA.

Mattel has appealed the judgment, and expects to file its opening appeal brief by February 27, 2012. Mattel does not believe that it is probable that any of the damages awarded to MGA will be sustained based on the evidence presented at trial and, accordingly, a liability has not been accrued for this matter.

In February 2011, MGA commenced litigation in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that Mattel's conduct in response to MGA's sale of Bratz violated both a federal antitrust statute and the California Business & Professions Code, and constituted abuse of process under California law. On October 20, 2011, the Court granted Mattel's motion to dismiss MGA's claims on the grounds, among others, that they are barred by the doctrine of res judicata and should have been brought in the prior proceeding. The Court gave MGA leave to file an amended complaint in compliance with its Order.

On November 10, 2011, MGA filed a first amended complaint which included a single claim for alleged violations of a federal antitrust statute. Mattel has filed a motion to dismiss MGA's amended complaint, on the grounds, among others, that it continues to be barred by the doctrine of res judicata. Mattel believes this complaint is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against it.

Table of Contents

Note 14 Segment Information

Description of Segments

Mattel's operating segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. Mattel's domestic operating segments include:

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands including Barbie® fashion dolls and accessories (Barbie®), Polly Pocket®, Little Mommy®, Disney Classics®, and Monster High® (collectively Other Girls Brands), Hot Wheels®, Matchbox®, and Tyco R/C® vehicles and play sets (collectively Wheels), and CARS®, Radica®, Toy Story®, Max Steel®, WWE® Wrestling, and Batman®, and games and puzzles (collectively Entertainment).

Fisher-Price Brands including Fisher-Price®, Little People®, BabyGear®, Imaginext®, and View-Master® (collectively Core Fisher-Price®), Dora the Explorer®, Go Diego Go!®, Thomas and Friends®, Mickey Mouse® Clubhouse, Sing-a-ma-jigs®, and See N Say® (collectively Fisher-Price® Friends), and Power Wheels®.

American Girl Brands including My American Girl®, the historical collection, and Bitty Baby®. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers via its catalogue, website, and proprietary retail stores. Its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers.

Additionally, the International segment sells products in all toy categories, except American Girl Brands.

Table of Contents*Segment Data*

The following tables present information about revenues, income, and assets by segment. Mattel does not include sales adjustments such as trade discounts and other allowances in the calculation of segment revenues (referred to as gross sales). Mattel records these adjustments in its financial accounting systems at the time of sale to each customer, but the adjustments are not allocated to individual products. For this reason, Mattel's chief operating decision maker uses gross sales by segment as one of the metrics to measure segment performance. Such sales adjustments are included in the determination of segment income from operations based on the adjustments recorded in the financial accounting systems. Segment income from operations represents operating income, while consolidated income from operations represents income from operations before income taxes as reported in the consolidated statements of operations. The corporate and other category includes costs not allocated to individual segments, including charges related to incentive compensation, share-based payments, and corporate headquarters functions managed on a worldwide basis, and the impact of changes in foreign currency rates on intercompany transactions.

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Revenues			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 1,775,954	\$ 1,626,407	\$ 1,402,224
Fisher-Price Brands US	1,293,780	1,352,729	1,310,886
American Girl Brands	510,936	486,644	462,899
Total Domestic	3,580,670	3,465,780	3,176,009
International	3,260,417	2,920,830	2,758,315
Gross sales	6,841,087	6,386,610	5,934,324
Sales adjustments	(575,050)	(530,415)	(503,478)
Net sales	\$ 6,266,037	\$ 5,856,195	\$ 5,430,846
Segment Income			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 483,530	\$ 409,445	\$ 293,366
Fisher-Price Brands US	161,652	222,046	231,855
American Girl Brands	113,058	112,923	103,446
Total Domestic	758,240	744,414	628,667
International	619,278	531,003	422,505
	1,377,518	1,275,417	1,051,172
Corporate and other expense (a)	(336,417)	(373,515)	(320,004)
Operating income	1,041,101	901,902	731,168
Interest expense	75,332	64,839	71,843
Interest (income)	(8,093)	(8,434)	(8,083)
Other non-operating expense (income), net	3,189	(1,328)	7,361
Income before income taxes	\$ 970,673	\$ 846,825	\$ 660,047

(a) Corporate and other expense includes (i) incentive compensation expense of \$75.3 million, \$106.7 million, and \$96.6 million for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, (ii) \$14.9 million, \$21.0 million, and \$31.5 million of charges related to severance and other termination-related costs for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, (iii) share-based compensation expense of \$53.5 million, \$67.1 million,

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

and \$50.0 million for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, (iv) charges to establish a legal settlement reserve for product liability-related litigation totaling \$27.4 million for 2009, a reduction to the legal settlement reserve of \$8.7 million for 2010, and benefits from insurance recoveries of costs incurred in connection with product liability-related

Table of Contents

litigation of \$4.8 million and \$6.0 million for 2010 and 2009, respectively, (v) legal fees associated with the product recall-related litigation, (vi) \$7.5 million Gunther-Wahl Productions legal settlement for 2011, and (vii) legal fees associated with MGA litigation matters.

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Depreciation/Amortization			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 38,083	\$ 38,978	\$ 38,804
Fisher-Price Brands US	27,313	26,653	27,632
American Girl Brands	15,011	13,182	13,032
Total Domestic	80,407	78,813	79,468
International	64,306	64,998	64,908
	144,713	143,811	144,376
Corporate and other	16,585	21,997	25,454
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 161,298	\$ 165,808	\$ 169,830

Segment assets are comprised of accounts receivable and inventories, net of applicable reserves and allowances.

	2011	December 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Assets		
Domestic:		
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 384,439	\$ 380,998
Fisher-Price Brands US	308,894	322,134
American Girl Brands	72,606	67,435
Total Domestic	765,939	770,567
International	861,741	779,875
	1,627,680	1,550,442
Corporate and other	106,007	59,502
Accounts receivable and inventories, net	\$ 1,733,687	\$ 1,609,944

Mattel sells a broad variety of toy products, which are grouped into three major categories: Mattel Girls & Boys Brands, Fisher-Price Brands, and American Girl Brands. The table below presents worldwide revenues by category:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Worldwide Revenues			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands	\$ 4,152,048	\$ 3,660,547	\$ 3,286,335
Fisher-Price Brands	2,159,178	2,220,499	2,168,161
American Girl Brands	510,936	486,644	462,899
Other	18,925	18,920	16,929

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Gross sales	6,841,087	6,386,610	5,934,324
Sales adjustments	(575,050)	(530,415)	(503,478)
Net sales	\$ 6,266,037	\$ 5,856,195	\$ 5,430,846

Table of Contents*Geographic Information*

The tables below present information by geographic area. Revenues are attributed to countries based on location of customer. Long-lived assets principally include goodwill, property, plant, and equipment, net, and identifiable intangibles, net.

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In thousands)	2009
Revenues			
United States	\$ 3,580,670	\$ 3,465,780	\$ 3,176,009
International:			
Europe	1,656,684	1,508,356	1,442,473
Latin America	991,435	867,557	860,492
Asia Pacific	384,150	333,273	271,310
Other	228,148	211,644	184,040
Total International	3,260,417	2,920,830	2,758,315
Gross sales	6,841,087	6,386,610	5,934,324
Sales adjustments	(575,050)	(530,415)	(503,478)
Net sales	\$ 6,266,037	\$ 5,856,195	\$ 5,430,846

	December 31, 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Long-Lived Assets		
United States	\$ 1,050,229	\$ 1,035,813
International	703,870	677,990
Consolidated total	\$ 1,754,099	\$ 1,713,803

Major Customers

Sales to Mattel's three largest customers accounted for 38%, 41%, and 40% of worldwide consolidated net sales for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, as follows:

	2011	For the Year 2010 (In billions)	2009
Wal-Mart	\$ 1.2	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.0
Toys 'R Us	0.7	0.8	0.7
Target	0.5	0.5	0.5

The Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US segments sell products to each of Mattel's three largest customers. The International segment sells products to Wal-Mart and Toys 'R Us. The American Girl Brands segment sells its children's publications to Wal-Mart and Target.

2012 Changes to Segments

As part of Mattel's Operational Excellence 2.0 program, effective January 2012, Mattel has modified its organizational structure, which will result in changes to its operating segments. The new operating segments are: (i) North America, which will include Mattel Girls & Boys Brands

US, Fisher-Price Brands US, and Canada, (ii) American Girl, and (iii) International.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Supplemental Financial Information**

	2011	December 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Inventories include the following:		
Raw materials and work in process	\$ 88,489	\$ 68,095
Finished goods	398,511	395,743
	\$ 487,000	\$ 463,838
Property, plant, and equipment, net include the following:		
Land	\$ 26,616	\$ 26,796
Buildings	262,537	249,542
Machinery and equipment	851,833	809,723
Tools, dies, and molds	633,818	589,156
Capital leases	23,271	23,271
Leasehold improvements	187,545	177,141
	1,985,620	1,875,629
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,461,679)	(1,390,924)
	\$ 523,941	\$ 484,705
Other noncurrent assets include the following:		
Deferred income taxes	\$ 473,832	\$ 477,320
Nonamortizable identifiable intangibles	122,223	122,223
Identifiable intangibles (net of amortization of \$55.5 million and \$64.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively)	84,486	91,359
Other	201,310	191,509
	\$ 881,851	\$ 882,411
Accrued liabilities include the following:		
Royalties	\$ 85,637	\$ 95,785
Incentive compensation	77,915	107,541
Advertising and promotion	75,508	59,586
Taxes other than income taxes	59,861	68,686
Other	319,880	310,613
	\$ 618,801	\$ 642,211
Other noncurrent liabilities include the following:		
Benefit plan liabilities	\$ 278,354	\$ 257,195
Noncurrent tax liabilities	103,938	113,526
Other	139,815	118,146
	\$ 522,107	\$ 488,867

2011	For the Year 2010	2009
------	----------------------	------

	(In thousands)		
Currency transaction gains/(losses) included in:			
Operating income	\$ 34,299	\$ 39,376	\$ 78,732
Other non-operating expense, net	(1,848)	(2,972)	(4,828)
Net transaction gains	\$ 32,451	\$ 36,404	\$ 73,904
Other selling and administrative expenses include the following:			
Design and development	\$ 178,982	\$ 173,927	\$ 171,279
Identifiable intangible asset amortization	8,880	10,362	13,027
Bad debt expense	6,160	4,464	21,483

Table of Contents**Note 16 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)**

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Year Ended December 31, 2011:				
Net sales	\$ 951,856	\$ 1,161,667	\$ 1,998,760	\$ 2,153,754
Gross profit	473,147	556,241	956,074	1,160,364
Advertising and promotion expenses	101,849	116,167	219,864	261,367
Other selling and administrative expenses	334,540	330,807	338,640	401,491
Operating income	36,758	109,267	397,570	497,506
Income before income taxes	21,261	94,888	385,671	468,853
Net income (a)	16,607	80,529	300,795	370,577
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.08
Weighted average number of common shares	349,072	347,133	343,191	339,386
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.07
Weighted average number of common and potential common shares	352,707	350,944	346,792	343,492
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23
Common stock market price:				
High	\$ 25.85	\$ 27.49	\$ 28.00	\$ 29.19
Low	23.51	25.23	22.94	25.07
Year Ended December 31, 2010:				
Net sales	\$ 880,082	\$ 1,018,503	\$ 1,833,056	\$ 2,124,554
Gross profit	431,852	489,616	937,531	1,095,974
Advertising and promotion expenses	94,169	101,850	201,636	249,615
Other selling and administrative expenses	292,456	318,330	377,264	417,751
Operating income	45,227	69,436	358,631	428,608
Income before income taxes	33,282	62,077	346,619	404,847
Net income (a)	24,842	51,575	283,262	325,184
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.90
Weighted average number of common shares	363,231	362,819	360,608	355,699
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.89
Weighted average number of common and potential common shares	366,144	365,851	363,483	360,636
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.83
Common stock market price:				
High	\$ 23.27	\$ 23.96	\$ 23.76	\$ 26.23
Low	19.35	20.40	20.66	22.33

- (a) Net income for the second quarter of 2011 included net tax benefits of \$6.4 million, primarily related to reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of current audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes. Net income for the third quarter of 2010 included net tax benefits of \$16.8 million, primarily related to the release of a valuation allowance related to the anticipated utilization of excess foreign tax credit carryforwards, reassessments of prior years' tax liabilities based on the status of audits and tax filings in various jurisdictions around the world, settlements, and enacted tax law changes, partially offset by the incremental tax cost to repatriate earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been previously provided.

Table of Contents

Note 17 Subsequent Events

On January 31, 2012, Mattel announced that the Board of Directors declared a first quarter dividend of \$0.31 per common share. The dividend is payable on March 9, 2012 to stockholders of record on February 23, 2012.

On February 1, 2012, Mattel completed its acquisition of Helium Holdings 1A Ltd, a private limited company existing under the laws of Jersey (" HIT Entertainment "), pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2011, between the Company ' s wholly owned subsidiary, Mattel Entertainment Holdings Limited, a private limited company existing under the laws of England and Wales (the " Purchasing Sub "), HIT Entertainment ' s parent company, HIT Entertainment Scottish Limited Partnership, a limited partnership existing under the laws of Scotland and majority owned by a consortium of funds led by Apax Partners, LLP and its affiliates (the " Selling Stockholder ") and, with respect to certain provisions thereof, the Company (the " Purchase Agreement ").

Pursuant to the terms set forth in the Purchase Agreement, the Company indirectly acquired, through the Purchasing Sub, 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of HIT Entertainment from the Selling Stockholder for \$680.0 million subject to customary adjustments.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2011, Mattel's disclosure controls and procedures were evaluated to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Mattel in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to management, as appropriate, in a timely manner that would alert them to material information relating to Mattel that would be required to be included in Mattel's periodic reports and to provide reasonable assurance that such information was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Based on this evaluation, Bryan G. Stockton, Mattel's principal executive officer, and Kevin M. Farr, Mattel's principal financial officer, concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2011.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The report called for by Item 308(a) of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference to Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, included in Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The report called for by Item 308(b) of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference to Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, included in Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Mattel continues to implement a conversion to new and upgraded financial and human resources information technology systems that began in the fourth quarter of 2002. Mattel has evaluated the effect on its internal control over financial reporting of this conversion for the three months ended December 31, 2011, and determined that this conversion has not materially affected, and is not reasonably likely to materially affect, Mattel's internal control over financial reporting. Mattel has not made any significant changes to its internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to December 31, 2011.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to sections entitled Proposal 1 Election of Directors ; Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance ; The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Board Committees Audit Committee ; and Report of the Audit Committee in the Mattel 2012 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2011 (the Proxy Statement). Information with respect to the executive officers of Mattel appears under the heading Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I herein. Mattel has adopted the Mattel Code of Conduct (the Code of Conduct), which satisfies the listing rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market (NASDAQ) regarding code of business conduct and ethics and satisfies the SEC rules regarding disclosure of a code of ethics for the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. The Code of Conduct is publicly available on Mattel s corporate website at <http://corporate.mattel.com>, and the text of the Code of Conduct will be updated on the website to reflect any amendment. A copy may also be obtained free of charge by mailing a request in writing to: Secretary, Mail Stop M1-1516, Mattel, Inc., 333 Continental Blvd., El Segundo, CA 90245-5012. If Mattel grants any waiver from a provision of the Code of Conduct for any executive officer or director, or makes any substantive amendment to the SEC-mandated code of ethics that applies to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Controller, Mattel will make disclosures to the extent required by applicable laws, regulations and stock exchange listing standards on its corporate website or in a Current Report on Form 8-K. Mattel has posted the Board of Directors corporate governance guidelines and the charters of its Audit, Compensation and Governance and Social Responsibility Committees of the Board of Directors on its corporate website at <http://corporate.mattel.com>. Copies of the corporate governance guidelines and committee charters may be obtained free of charge by mailing a request to the address noted above.

Mattel has filed the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 certifications of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as Exhibit 31.0 and Exhibit 31.1 hereto, respectively.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to sections entitled Compensation Disclosure and The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Board Committees Compensation Committee in the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to sections entitled Principal Stockholders ; Security Ownership of Management ; and Compensation Disclosure Equity Compensation Plan Information in the Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to sections entitled Certain Transactions with Related Persons and The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Director Independence in the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the section entitled Proposal 4 Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in the Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. *Financial Statements*

The following financial statements are filed as part of this report under Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

	Page
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	52
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	53
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	55
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	56
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	57
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	58

2. *Financial Statement Schedules for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009*

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Allowances

All other Financial Statement Schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

3. *Exhibits (Listed by numbers corresponding to Item 601 of Regulation S-K)*

		Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit					
No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
2.0	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 23, 2011, by and among Mattel Entertainment Holdings Limited, Helium Holdings 1A Ltd, HIT Entertainment Scottish Limited Partnership, and solely with respect to <u>Section 11.12</u> , Mattel, Inc. Mattel has omitted from Exhibit 2.0 schedules and exhibits pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K, and agrees to furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted schedule or exhibit to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request	8-K	001-05647	2.1	October 24, 2011
3.0	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mattel	8-K	001-05647	99.0	May 21, 2007
3.1	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mattel	10-Q	001-05647	3.1	July 20, 2011
4.0	Specimen Stock Certificate with respect to Mattel's Common Stock	10-Q	001-05647	4.0	August 3, 2007
4.1	Indenture, dated as of February 15, 1996, between Mattel and Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company, National Association, formerly	10-K	001-05647	4.1	March 28, 2002

Chemical Trust Company of California, as Trustee

Table of Contents

Exhibit		Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
4.2	Indenture, dated as of February 15, 1996, between Mattel and Chemical Trust Company of California (now known as J. P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association) relating to Senior Debt Securities	S-3ASR	333-134740	4.1	June 5, 2006
4.3	Form of Indenture between Mattel and J. P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, relating to Subordinated Debt Securities	S-3ASR	333-134740	4.2	June 5, 2006
4.4	Indenture, dated as of September 23, 2010, between Mattel and Union Bank, N.A. relating to Senior Debt Securities	S-3ASR	333-169539	4.1	September 23, 2010
4.5	Form of Indenture between Mattel and Union Bank, N.A. relating to Subordinated Debt Securities	S-3ASR	333-169539	4.2	September 23, 2010
4.6	Form of 6.125% Notes due June 15, 2011	8-K	001-05647	4.2	June 12, 2006
4.7	Form of Supplemental Indenture between Mattel and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A.	8-K	001-05647	1.2	March 7, 2008
4.8	Form of 5.625% Notes due March 15, 2013	8-K	001-05647	1.2	March 7, 2008
4.9	Form of 4.35% Notes due 2020	8-K	001-05647	4.1	September 28, 2010
4.10	Form of 6.20% Notes due 2040	8-K	001-05647	4.2	September 28, 2010
4.11	Form of 2.500% Notes due 2016	8-K	001-05647	4.1	November 8, 2011
4.12	Form of 5.450% Notes due 2041	8-K	001-05647	4.2	November 8, 2011
10.0	Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 8, 2011, by and among Mattel, Inc., as Borrower, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citibank, N.A., Société Générale, The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. and Royal Bank of Canada, as Co-Documentation Agents, and the other financial institutions party thereto	8-K	001-05647	10.1	March 11, 2011
10.1	First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 20, 2002 among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	10-K	001-05647	10.1	March 28, 2002

Table of Contents

		Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit					
No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 19, 2004, among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	May 7, 2004
10.3	Amendment No. 2 to First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 23, 2005, among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	8-K	001-05647	99.1	March 29, 2005
10.4	Amendment No. 3 to First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 23, 2009, by and among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	10-Q	001-05647	10.4	April 29, 2009
10.5	Form of Indemnity Agreement between Mattel and certain of its directors	10-K	001-05647	10.9	March 28, 2001
10.6	Executive Employment Agreement dated October 18, 2000 between Mattel and Robert A. Eckert	10-K	001-05647	10.10	March 28, 2001
10.7	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between Mattel and Robert A. Eckert, effective as of December 31, 2008	10-K	001-05647	10.11	February 26, 2009
10.8	Letter Agreement between Mattel and Neil B. Friedman dated January 28, 2011 regarding separation from Mattel	8-K	001-05647	10.1	February 3, 2011
10.9	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement dated March 28, 2000 between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr	10-K	001-05647	10.33	March 28, 2001
10.10	Amendment to Employment Agreement dated March 6, 2002 between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr	10-K	001-05647	10.30	March 28, 2002
10.11	Amendment to Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr, effective as of December 31, 2008	10-K	001-05647	10.23	February 26, 2009
10.12	Notice to Kevin M. Farr dated June 30, 2009 regarding non-renewal of employment agreement	8-K	001-05647	10.1	July 2, 2009
10.13	Letter agreement between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr dated June 30, 2009 regarding Mr. Farr's participation in the Mattel, Inc. Executive Severance Plan	8-K	001-05647	10.5	July 2, 2009
10.14	Letter agreement between Mattel and Thomas A. Debrowski dated June 30, 2009 regarding Mr. Debrowski's participation in the Mattel, Inc. Executive Severance Plan	8-K	001-05647	10.6	July 2, 2009

Table of Contents

Exhibit		Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.15	Letter agreement between Mattel and Bryan G. Stockton dated June 30, 2009 regarding Mr. Stockton's participation in the Mattel, Inc. Executive Severance Plan	8-K	001-05647	10.7	July 2, 2009
10.16	Letter Agreement between Mattel and Bryan G. Stockton, dated January 4, 2011, regarding Mr. Stockton's promotion to Chief Operating Officer	10-K	001-05647	10.36	February 24, 2011
10.17*	Letter Agreement between Mattel and Bryan G. Stockton, dated November 21, 2011, regarding Mr. Stockton's promotion to Chief Executive Officer				
10.18*	Letter Agreement between Mattel and Geoff Massingberd, dated June 25, 2008, regarding Mr. Massingberd's relocation to Mattel's headquarters				
10.19	Mattel Incentive Plan	DEF 14A	001-05647	Appendix E	April 12, 2007
10.20	Amendment No. 1 to the Mattel Incentive Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.32	February 26, 2009
10.21	Mattel, Inc. Deferred Compensation and PIP Excess Plan	S-8	333-89458	4.1	May 31, 2002
10.22	Mattel, Inc. Deferred Compensation and PIP Excess Plan (Post-2004)	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	October 24, 2008
10.23	Mattel, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2009)	10-K	001-05647	10.35	February 26, 2009
10.24	Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2009)	10-K	001-05647	10.36	February 26, 2009
10.25	Mattel, Inc. Executive Severance Plan	8-K	001-05647	10.4	July 2, 2009
10.26	The Fisher-Price Section 415 Excess Benefit Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.42	February 26, 2008
10.27	The Fisher-Price Excess Benefit Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2009	10-K	001-05647	10.46	February 26, 2009
10.28*	Mattel, Inc. Personal Investment Plan, January 1, 2011 Restatement				
10.29	Amended and Restated Mattel, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan)	10-K	001-05647	10.58	March 28, 2002
10.30	Amendment to the 1996 Plan	S-8	333-75145	4.2	March 26, 1999
10.31	Amendment No. 2 to the 1996 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.42	March 10, 2000
10.32	Amendment No. 3 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	May 3, 2000
10.33	Amendment No. 4 to the 1996 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.68	March 28, 2001
10.34	Amendment No. 5 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	October 26, 2001
10.35	Amendment to the 1996 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.64	March 28, 2002
10.36	Amendment No. 6 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	August 9, 2002
10.37	Amendment No. 7 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	November 12, 2002

Table of Contents

		Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit					
No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.38	Form of Option Grant Agreement for Outside Directors (Initial Grant) under the 1996 Plan, as amended	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	August 14, 2003
10.39	Form of Option Grant Agreement for Outside Directors (Annual Grant) under the 1996 Plan, as amended	10-Q	001-05647	99.2	August 14, 2003
10.40	Form of Option Grant Agreement (Three Year Vesting) under the 1996 Plan, as amended	10-Q	001-05647	99.3	August 14, 2003
10.41	Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan)	DEF 14A	001-05647	Appendix C	April 13, 2005
10.42	Amendment No. 1 to the 2005 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.76	February 26, 2009
10.43	Amendment No. 2 to the 2005 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	April 29, 2009
10.44	Mattel, Inc. 2010 Equity and Long-Term Compensation Plan (the 2010 Plan)	DEF 14A	001-05647	Appendix A	March 30, 2010
10.45	Form of Grant Agreement as of August 1, 2005 for grants to employees of Non-Qualified Stock Options (NQSOs) under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	August 5, 2005
10.46	Form of Grant Agreement as of August 1, 2006 for grants to employees of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.0	August 4, 2006
10.47	Form of Grant Agreement as of May 17, 2007 for grants to employees of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.0	May 18, 2007
10.48	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for RSUs to Non-Employee Directors under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	July 28, 2010
10.49	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for NQSOs to Employees under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	October 27, 2010
10.50	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for RSUs to Employees under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.2	October 27, 2010
10.51	Form of Grant Agreement for Long-Term Incentive Program Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units for Senior Executives under the 2010 Plan for Certain Executive Officers with Employment Agreements and Certain Executive Officers Participating in the Mattel, Inc. Executive Severance Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	April 27, 2011
10.52	Form of Grant Agreement for Long-Term Incentive Program Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units for Senior Executives under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.2	April 27, 2011

Table of Contents

Exhibit		Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.53	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for NQSOs to Certain Executive Officers with Employment Agreements under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.5	October 27, 2010
10.54	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for NQSOs to Participants in the Severance Plan under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.6	October 27, 2010
10.55	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for RSUs to Participants in the Severance Plan under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.7	October 27, 2010
10.56	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Robert A. Eckert of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.2	August 5, 2005
10.57	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 and August 1, 2007 grants to Robert A. Eckert of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	August 4, 2006
10.58	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for NQSOs to Robert A. Eckert under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.3	October 27, 2010
10.59	Form of Notice of Grant and Grant Agreement for RSUs to Robert A. Eckert under the 2010 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.4	October 27, 2010
10.60	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Thomas A. Debrowski of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	August 5, 2005
10.61	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Thomas A. Debrowski of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.2	August 4, 2006
10.62	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2007 grant to Thomas A. Debrowski of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	May 18, 2007
10.63	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Kevin M. Farr of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.5	August 5, 2005
10.64	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Kevin M. Farr of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.3	August 4, 2006
10.65	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2007 grant to Kevin M. Farr of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.3	May 18, 2007
10.66	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Neil B. Friedman of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.6	August 5, 2005
10.67	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Neil B. Friedman of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	August 4, 2006
10.68	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2007 grant to Neil B. Friedman of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.2	May 18, 2007

Table of Contents

Exhibit		Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.69	Form of Grant Agreement as of March 16, 2006 for Initial Grant to Outside Director of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	March 17, 2006
10.70	Form of Grant Agreement as of May 17, 2007 for Initial Grant to Outside Director of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.6	May 18, 2007
10.71	Form of Grant Agreement for May 19, 2005 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	August 3, 2005
10.72	Form of Grant Agreement for May 11, 2006 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	May 12, 2006
10.73	Form of Grant Agreement for May 18, 2007 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.8	May 18, 2007
10.74	Form of Grant Agreement for May 13, 2009 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.2	April 29, 2009
10.75	Form of Grant Agreement for Special Retention Award of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	October 28, 2009
10.76	Mattel, Inc. Key Executive Life Insurance Plan (for Robert A. Eckert)	10-K	001-05647	10.109	February 26, 2007
10.77*	Mattel, Inc. Summary of Compensation of the Non-Employee Members of the Board of Directors				
12.0*	Computation of Earnings to Fixed Charges				
21.0*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant as of December 31, 2011				
23.0*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm				
24.0*	Power of Attorney (on page 110 of Form 10-K)				
31.0*	Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated February 23, 2012 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				
31.1*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated February 23, 2012 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				
32.0**	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer dated February 23, 2012, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ⁽¹⁾				

Table of Contents

Exhibit		Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
No.	Exhibit Description				
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document				
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document				
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document				
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document				
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document				
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document				

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

(1) This exhibit should not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act.

Mattel has not filed certain long-term debt instruments under which the principal amount of securities authorized to be issued does not exceed 10% of its total assets. Copies of such agreements will be provided to the SEC upon request.

(b) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

See Item (3) above.

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

See Item (2) above.

Copies of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (including Exhibit 24.0) and Exhibits 12.0, 21.0, 23.0, 31.0, 31.1, and 32.0 are available to stockholders of Mattel without charge. Copies of other exhibits can be obtained by stockholders of Mattel upon payment of twelve cents per page for such exhibits. Written requests should be sent to: Secretary, Mail Stop M1-1516, Mattel, Inc., 333 Continental Blvd., El Segundo, California 90245-5012.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MATTEL, INC.

Registrant

By: /s/ KEVIN M. FARR
Kevin M. Farr

Chief Financial Officer

Date: February 23, 2012

POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned directors and officers of Mattel, Inc. do hereby severally constitute and appoint Bryan G. Stockton, Robert Normile, Andrew Paalborg, and Christopher A. Sinclair, and each of them, our true and lawful attorneys and agents, to do any and all acts and things in our name and behalf in our capacities as directors and officers and to execute any and all instruments for us and in our names in the capacities indicated below, which said attorneys and agents, or any of them, may deem necessary or advisable to enable said Corporation to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any rules, regulations and requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, in connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including specifically, but without limitation, power and authority to sign for us or any of us, in our names in the capacities indicated below, any and all amendments hereto; and we do each hereby ratify and confirm all that said attorneys and agents, or any one of them, shall do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ BRYAN G. STOCKTON Bryan G. Stockton	Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	February 23, 2012
/s/ KEVIN M. FARR Kevin M. Farr	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	February 23, 2012
/s/ H. SCOTT TOPHAM H. Scott Topham	Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller (principal accounting officer)	February 23, 2012
/s/ MICHAEL J. DOLAN Michael J. Dolan	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ ROBERT A. ECKERT Robert A. Eckert	Chairman of the Board	February 23, 2012

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

/s/ DR. FRANCES D. FERGUSON

Director

February 23, 2012

Dr. Frances D. Fergusson

Director

February 23, 2012

Tully M. Friedman

/s/ DOMINIC NG

Director

February 23, 2012

Dominic Ng

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ VASANT M. PRABHU Vasant M. Prabhu	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ ANDREA L. RICH Andrea L. Rich	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ DEAN A. SCARBOROUGH Dean A. Scarborough	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ CHRISTOPHER A. SINCLAIR Christopher A. Sinclair	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ G. CRAIG SULLIVAN G. Craig Sullivan	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ DIRK VAN DE PUT Dirk Van De Put	Director	February 23, 2012
/s/ KATHY WHITE LOYD Kathy White Loyd	Director	February 23, 2012

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND ALLOWANCES

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions Charged to Operations	Net Deductions	Balance at End of Year
(In thousands)				
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:				
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 21,775	\$ 6,160	\$ (1,604)(a)	\$ 26,331
Year ended December 31, 2010	24,530	4,464	(7,219)(a)	21,775
Year ended December 31, 2009	25,894	21,483	(22,847)(a)	24,530
Allowance for Inventory Obsolescence:				
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 46,876	\$ 33,027	\$ (40,656)(b)	\$ 39,247
Year ended December 31, 2010	40,816	31,118	(25,058)(b)	46,876
Year ended December 31, 2009	59,124	22,579	(40,887)(b)	40,816
Income Tax Valuation Allowances:				
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 44,917	\$ 7,394	\$ (10,025)(c)	\$ 42,286
Year ended December 31, 2010	112,048	12,120	(79,251)(c)	44,917
Year ended December 31, 2009	150,963	280	(39,195)(c)	112,048

(a) Includes write-offs, recoveries of previous write-offs, and currency translation adjustments.

(b) Primarily represents relief of previously established reserves resulting from the disposal of related inventory, raw materials, write-downs and currency translation adjustments.

(c) Primarily represents the utilization and write-offs of loss carryforwards for 2011 and the change in the projected utilization of loss carryforwards due to tax law changes extending the carryover periods in 2011. Primarily represents projected utilization of foreign tax credits and utilization and write-offs of loss carryforwards for 2010. Primarily represents expiration of foreign tax credits and the utilization and write-offs of loss carryforwards for 2009.