

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

Commission File Number 1-31565

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

06-1377322
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

615 Merrick Avenue, Westbury, New York 11590

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) (516) 683-4100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

437,348,593

Number of shares of common stock outstanding at

May 3, 2011

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

FORM 10-Q

Quarter Ended March 31, 2011

INDEX	Page No.
Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Condition as of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	37
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	77
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	77
Part II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	78
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	78
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	78
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	78
Item 4. <u>Removed and Reserved</u>	78
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	78
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	79
<u>Signatures</u>	80
Exhibits	

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

(in thousands, except share data)

	March 31, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010
ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,351,729	\$ 1,927,542
Securities:		
Available-for-sale (\$365,342 and \$500,811 pledged, respectively)	490,779	652,956
Held to maturity (\$4,084,011 and \$3,881,139 pledged, respectively) (fair value of \$4,326,541 and \$4,157,322, respectively)	4,304,218	4,135,935
Total securities	4,794,997	4,788,891
Non-covered loans held for sale	507,461	1,207,077
Non-covered loans held for investment, net of deferred loan fees and costs	24,024,733	23,707,494
Less: Allowance for losses on non-covered loans	(146,306)	(158,942)
Non-covered loans held for investment, net	23,878,427	23,548,552
Covered loans	4,141,082	4,297,869
Less: Allowance for losses on covered loans	(11,903)	(11,903)
Covered loans, net	4,129,179	4,285,966
Total loans, net	28,515,067	29,041,595
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	422,731	446,014
Premises and equipment, net	246,975	233,694
FDIC loss share receivable	777,183	814,088
Goodwill	2,436,159	2,436,159
Core deposit intangibles, net	70,349	77,734
Bank-owned life insurance	749,369	742,481
Other real estate owned (includes \$81,197 and \$62,412 covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements, respectively)	113,254	90,478
Other assets	569,131	592,013
Total assets	\$ 41,046,944	\$ 41,190,689
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Deposits:		
NOW and money market accounts	\$ 8,919,226	\$ 8,235,825
Savings accounts	3,949,970	3,885,785
Certificates of deposit	7,453,547	7,835,161
Non-interest-bearing accounts	1,875,404	1,852,280
Total deposits	22,198,147	21,809,051
Borrowed funds:		
Wholesale borrowings:		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	7,859,163	8,375,659
Repurchase agreements	4,125,000	4,125,000
Total wholesale borrowings	11,984,163	12,500,659

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Junior subordinated debentures	426,903	426,992
Other borrowings	608,496	608,465
Total borrowed funds	13,019,562	13,536,116
Other liabilities	288,649	319,302
Total liabilities	35,506,358	35,664,469
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock at par \$0.01 (5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued)		
Common stock at par \$0.01 (600,000,000 shares authorized; 437,341,143 shares and 435,646,845 shares issued and outstanding, respectively)	4,373	4,356
Paid-in capital in excess of par	5,290,868	5,285,715
Retained earnings	295,866	281,844
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax:		
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax	7,010	12,600
Net unrealized losses on the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses on securities, net of tax	(20,552)	(20,572)
Net unrealized loss on pension and post-retirement obligations, net of tax	(36,979)	(37,723)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(50,521)	(45,695)
Total stockholders' equity	5,540,586	5,526,220
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 41,046,944	\$ 41,190,689

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
INTEREST INCOME:		
Mortgage and other loans	\$ 415,942	\$ 413,675
Securities and money market investments	54,981	68,703
Total interest income	470,923	482,378
INTEREST EXPENSE:		
NOW and money market accounts	11,154	16,431
Savings accounts	4,127	5,745
Certificates of deposit	26,974	37,553
Borrowed funds	125,416	128,065
Total interest expense	167,671	187,794
Net interest income	303,252	294,584
Provision for losses on non-covered loans	26,000	20,000
Provision for losses on covered loans		
Net interest income after provisions for loan losses	277,252	274,584
NON-INTEREST INCOME:		
Total loss on OTTI of securities		(13,185)
Less: Non-credit portion of OTTI recorded in other comprehensive income (before taxes)		12,462
Net loss on OTTI recognized in earnings		(723)
Fee income	11,899	13,965
Bank-owned life insurance	6,889	7,401
Net gain (loss) on sales of securities	9,992	(8)
Gain on debt repurchase		293
Mortgage banking income	19,938	27,533
Other income	9,892	6,583
Total non-interest income	58,610	55,044
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:		
Operating expenses:		
Compensation and benefits	72,068	66,900
Occupancy and equipment	21,940	21,665
General and administrative	45,309	40,290
Total operating expenses	139,317	128,855

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Amortization of core deposit intangibles	7,385	7,892
Total non-interest expense	146,702	136,747
Income before income taxes	189,160	192,881
Income tax expense	65,984	68,732
Net income	123,176	124,149
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:		
Net change in unrealized losses on securities and non-credit portion of OTTI losses on securities	(5,570)	(4,783)
Change in pension and post-retirement obligations	744	834
Total comprehensive income, net of tax	\$ 118,350	\$ 120,200
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.29
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.29

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
COMMON STOCK (Par Value: \$0.01):	
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,356
Shares issued for exercise of stock options (85,294 shares)	1
Shares issued for restricted stock awards (1,609,004 shares)	16
Balance at end of period	4,373
PAID-IN CAPITAL IN EXCESS OF PAR:	
Balance at beginning of year	5,285,715
Shares issued for restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	(43)
Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards	3,612
Exercise of stock options	(55)
Tax effect of stock plans	1,639
Balance at end of period	5,290,868
RETAINED EARNINGS:	
Balance at beginning of year	281,844
Net income	123,176
Dividends paid on common stock (\$0.25 per share)	(109,154)
Balance at end of period	295,866
TREASURY STOCK:	
Balance at beginning of year	
Purchase of common stock (128,010 shares)	(2,381)
Exercise of stock options (126,514 shares)	2,354
Shares issued for restricted stock awards (1,496 shares)	27
Balance at end of period	
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS, NET OF TAX:	
Balance at beginning of year	(45,695)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:	
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale, net of tax of \$2,578	(3,837)
Amortization of the non-credit portion of OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$14	20
Change in pension and post-retirement obligations, net of tax of \$501	744
Less: Reclassification adjustment for sales of available-for-sale securities, net of tax of \$1,178	(1,753)
Total other comprehensive income loss, net of tax	(4,826)
Balance at end of period	(50,521)

Total stockholders' equity	\$ 5,540,586
----------------------------	--------------

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 123,176	\$ 124,149
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	26,000	20,000
Depreciation and amortization	5,891	4,935
Amortization of premiums, net	278	1,881
Amortization of core deposit intangibles	7,385	7,892
Net (gain) loss on sale of securities	(9,992)	8
Net gain on sale of loans	(15,902)	(7,558)
Stock plan-related compensation	3,612	4,057
Loss on OTTI of securities recognized in earnings		723
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Decrease in deferred tax asset, net	16,625	1,235
Decrease (increase) in other assets	16,703	(22,767)
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(29,408)	98,575
Origination of loans held for sale	(1,500,836)	(1,349,160)
Proceeds from sale of loans originated for sale	2,211,232	911,038
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	854,764	(204,992)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from repayment of securities held to maturity	224,978	332,077
Proceeds from repayment of securities available for sale	51,551	420,315
Proceeds from sale of securities held to maturity	227,039	
Proceeds from sale of securities available for sale	103,956	660
Purchase of securities held to maturity	(613,191)	(565,524)
Net redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	23,283	56,211
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(193,966)	86,544
Purchase of premises and equipment, net	(19,172)	(2,284)
Net cash acquired in business combination		140,895
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(195,522)	468,894
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net increase in deposits	389,095	24,168
Net decrease in short-term borrowed funds	(500,000)	
Net decrease in long-term borrowed funds	(16,554)	(333,340)
Tax effect of stock plans	1,639	657
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(109,154)	(108,157)
Treasury stock purchases	(2,381)	(1,706)
Net cash received from stock option exercises	2,300	2,573
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net		28,935
Net cash used in financing activities	(235,055)	(386,870)

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	424,187	(122,968)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,927,542	2,670,857
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 2,351,729	\$ 2,547,889
Supplemental information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 171,491	\$ 203,198
Cash (received from) paid for income taxes	(10,134)	18,819
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Transfers to other real estate owned from loans	46,218	1,634

Note: Excluding the core deposit intangible and FDIC loss share receivable, the fair values of non-cash assets acquired, and of liabilities assumed, in the acquisition of Desert Hills Bank on March 26, 2010 were \$230.5 million and \$442.5 million, respectively. See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1: Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

Formerly known as Queens County Bancorp, Inc., New York Community Bancorp, Inc. (on a stand-alone basis, the Parent Company or, collectively with its subsidiaries, the Company) was organized under Delaware law on July 20, 1993 and is the holding company for New York Community Bank and New York Commercial Bank (hereinafter referred to as the Community Bank and the Commercial Bank, respectively, and collectively as the Banks). In addition, for the purpose of these Consolidated Financial Statements, the Community Bank and the Commercial Bank refer not only to the respective banks but also to their respective subsidiaries.

The Community Bank is the primary banking subsidiary of the Company. Founded on April 14, 1859 and formerly known as Queens County Savings Bank, the Community Bank converted from a state-chartered mutual savings bank to the capital stock form of ownership on November 23, 1993, at which date the Company issued its initial offering of common stock (par value: \$0.01 per share) at a price of \$25.00 per share. The Commercial Bank was established on December 30, 2005.

Reflecting nine stock splits, the Company's initial offering price adjusts to \$0.93 per share. All share and per share data presented in this report have been adjusted to reflect the impact of the stock splits.

The Company changed its name to New York Community Bancorp, Inc. on November 21, 2000 in anticipation of completing the first of eight business combinations that expanded its footprint well beyond Queens County to encompass all five boroughs of New York City, Long Island, and Westchester County in New York, and seven counties in the northern and central parts of New Jersey. The Company expanded beyond this region to south Florida, northeast Ohio, and central Arizona through its FDIC-assisted acquisition of certain assets and its assumption of certain liabilities of AmTrust Bank (AmTrust) in December 2009, and extended its Arizona franchise through its FDIC-assisted acquisition of certain assets and its assumption of certain liabilities of Desert Hills Bank (Desert Hills) in March 2010.

Reflecting this strategy of growth through acquisitions, the Community Bank currently operates 242 branches, four of which operate directly under the Community Bank name. The remaining 238 branches operate through seven divisional banks Queens County Savings Bank, Roslyn Savings Bank, Richmond County Savings Bank, and Roosevelt Savings Bank (in New York), Garden State Community Bank in New Jersey, AmTrust Bank in Florida and Arizona, and Ohio Savings Bank in Ohio.

The Commercial Bank currently operates 34 branches in Manhattan, Queens, Brooklyn, Westchester County, and Long Island (all in New York), including 17 branches that operate under the name Atlantic Bank.

Basis of Presentation

The following is a description of the significant accounting and reporting policies that the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries follow in preparing and presenting their consolidated financial statements, which conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates that are particularly susceptible to change in the near term are used in connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses; the evaluation of goodwill for impairment; the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on securities; and the evaluation of the need for a valuation allowance on the Company's deferred tax assets. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these material estimates.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and other entities in which the Company has a controlling financial interest. All inter-company accounts and transactions are eliminated

in consolidation. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company currently has unconsolidated subsidiaries in the form of nine wholly-owned statutory business trusts, which were formed to issue guaranteed capital debentures (capital securities). Please see Note 6, Borrowed Funds, for additional information regarding these trusts.

When necessary, certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts to conform to the current-year presentation.

Note 2. Computation of Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Weighted-average common shares are adjusted to exclude unallocated Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) shares. Diluted EPS is computed using the same method as basic EPS, however, the computation reflects the potential dilution that would occur if outstanding in-the-money stock options were exercised and converted into common stock.

Unvested stock-based compensation awards containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends are considered participating securities and therefore are included in the two-class method for calculating EPS. Under the two-class method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to common shares and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends. The Company grants restricted stock to certain employees under its stock-based compensation plans. Recipients receive cash dividends during the vesting periods of these awards (i.e., including on the unvested portion of such awards). Since these dividends are non-forfeitable, the unvested awards are considered participating securities and will have earnings allocated to them.

The following table presents the Company's computation of basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 123,176	\$ 124,149
Less: Dividends paid on and earnings allocated to participating securities	(890)	(748)
Earnings applicable to common stock	\$ 122,286	\$ 123,401
Weighted average common shares outstanding	435,563,415	432,131,304
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.29
Earnings applicable to common stock	\$ 122,286	\$ 123,401
Weighted average common shares outstanding	435,563,415	432,131,304
Potential dilutive common shares ⁽¹⁾	849,934	315,370
Total shares for diluted earnings per share computation	436,413,349	432,446,674
Diluted earnings per common share and common share equivalents	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.29

- (1) Options to purchase 2,617,993 and 5,310,729 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock that were outstanding as of March 31, 2011 and 2010, at respective weighted average exercise prices of \$19.29 and \$17.72, were excluded from the respective computations of diluted EPS because their inclusion would have had an antidilutive effect.

Note 3: Securities

The following table summarizes the Company's portfolio of securities available for sale at March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	March 31, 2011		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	
Mortgage-Related Securities:				
GSE ⁽¹⁾ certificates	\$ 163,923	\$ 6,560	\$ 58	\$ 170,425
GSE CMOs ⁽²⁾	186,399	7,198		193,597
Private label CMOs	40,875	166	9	41,032
Total mortgage-related securities	\$ 391,197	\$ 13,924	\$ 67	\$ 405,054
Other Securities:				
GSE debentures	\$ 619	\$	\$ 5	\$ 614
State, county, and municipal	1,306	31	21	1,316
Capital trust notes	38,843	3,798	4,539	38,102
Preferred stock	18,124	454	11,964	6,614
Common stock	42,076	1,678	4,675	39,079
Total other securities	\$ 100,968	\$ 5,961	\$ 21,204	\$ 85,725
Total securities available for sale⁽³⁾	\$ 492,165	\$ 19,885	\$ 21,271	\$ 490,779

(1) Government-sponsored enterprises

(2) Collateralized mortgage obligations

(3) As of March 31, 2011, the non-credit portion of OTTI recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax (AOCL) was \$12.5 million (before taxes).

As of March 31, 2011, the amortized cost of marketable equity securities included perpetual preferred stock of \$18.1 million and common stock of \$42.1 million. Perpetual preferred stock consisted of an investment in a Florida-based diversified financial services firm that provides a variety of banking, wealth management, and outsourced business processing services to high net worth clients and premier financial institutions. Common stock primarily consisted of an investment in a large cap equity fund and certain other funds that are Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) eligible.

The following table summarizes the Company's portfolio of securities available for sale at December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	December 31, 2010		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	
Mortgage-Related Securities:				
GSE certificates	\$ 203,480	\$ 8,067	\$ 32	\$ 211,515
GSE CMOs	213,839	8,464		222,303
Private label CMOs	51,657	110	405	51,362
Total mortgage-related securities	\$ 468,976	\$ 16,641	\$ 437	\$ 485,180
Other Securities:				
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 57,859	\$ 694	\$	\$ 58,553

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

GSE debentures	620			620
Corporate bonds	4,814		564	4,250
State, county, and municipal	1,304	41	11	1,334
Capital trust notes	38,843	8,550	5,389	42,004
Preferred stock	30,574	2,129	11,964	20,739
Common stock	42,044	3,786	5,554	40,276
Total other securities	\$ 176,058	\$ 15,200	\$ 23,482	\$ 167,776
Total securities available for sale	\$ 645,034	\$ 31,841	\$ 23,919	\$ 652,956

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables summarize the Company's portfolio of securities held to maturity at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011				
	Amortized Cost	Carrying Amount	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
Mortgage-Related Securities:					
GSE certificates	\$ 230,641	\$ 230,641	\$ 10,838	\$ 1,861	\$ 239,618
GSE CMOs	2,385,741	2,385,741	37,818	19,329	2,404,230
Other mortgage-related securities	6,722	6,722			6,722
Total mortgage-related securities	\$ 2,623,104	\$ 2,623,104	\$ 48,656	\$ 21,190	\$ 2,650,570
Other Securities:					
GSE debentures	\$ 1,452,108	\$ 1,452,108	\$ 5,404	\$ 15,275	\$ 1,442,237
Corporate bonds	83,495	83,495	5,952		89,447
Capital trust notes	167,358	145,511	14,382	15,606	144,287
Total other securities	\$ 1,702,961	\$ 1,681,114	\$ 25,738	\$ 30,881	\$ 1,675,971
Total securities held to maturity⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,326,065	\$ 4,304,218	\$ 74,394	\$ 52,071	\$ 4,326,541

- (1) Held-to-maturity securities are reported at a carrying amount equal to amortized cost less the non-credit portion of OTTI recorded in AOCL. As of March 31, 2011, the non-credit portion recorded in AOCL was \$21.8 million (before taxes).

(in thousands)	December 31, 2010				
	Amortized Cost	Carrying Amount	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
Mortgage-Related Securities:					
GSE certificates	\$ 208,993	\$ 208,993	\$ 12,206	\$ 1,094	\$ 220,105
GSE CMOs	2,763,545	2,763,545	47,352	28,345	2,782,552
Other mortgage-related securities	6,777	6,777			6,777
Total mortgage-related securities	\$ 2,979,315	\$ 2,979,315	\$ 59,558	\$ 29,439	\$ 3,009,434
Other Securities:					
GSE debentures	\$ 924,663	\$ 924,663	\$ 4,524	\$ 10,592	\$ 918,595
Corporate bonds	86,483	86,483	8,647	13	95,117
Capital trust notes	167,355	145,474	11,410	22,708	134,176
Total other securities	\$ 1,178,501	\$ 1,156,620	\$ 24,581	\$ 33,313	\$ 1,147,888
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 4,157,816	\$ 4,135,935	\$ 84,139	\$ 62,752	\$ 4,157,322

The Company had \$422.7 million and \$446.0 million of Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company is required to maintain this investment in order to have access to funding resources provided by the FHLB.

The following table summarizes the gross proceeds, gross realized gains, and gross realized losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010:

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended	For the Year
	March 31, 2011	Ended December 31, 2010
Gross proceeds	\$ 103,956	\$ 660
Gross realized gains	2,941	
Gross realized losses	11	8

In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company sold held-to-maturity securities with gross proceeds totaling \$227.0 million and gross realized gains of \$7.1 million. These sales occurred as the Company either had collected a substantial portion (at least 85%) of the initial principal balance or there was evidence of significant deterioration in the issuer's creditworthiness.

Included in the capital trust note portfolio held at March 31, 2011 were three pooled trust preferred securities. The following table details the pooled trust preferred securities that had at least one credit rating below investment grade as of March 31, 2011:

(dollars in thousands)	INCAPS Funding I Class B-2 Notes	Alesco Preferred Funding VII Ltd. Class C-1 Notes	Preferred Term Securities II Mezzanine Notes
Book value	\$ 14,964	\$ 553	\$ 627
Fair value	18,465	725	1,076
Unrealized gain	3,501	172	449
Lowest credit rating assigned to security	CCC-	C	C
Number of banks/insurance companies currently performing	26	62	24
Actual deferrals and defaults as a percentage of original collateral	5%	29%	36%
Expected deferrals and defaults as a percentage of remaining performing collateral	25	28	0
Expected recoveries as a percentage of remaining performing collateral	0	0	0
Excess subordination as a percentage of remaining performing collateral	10	0	0

As of March 31, 2011, after taking into account the Company's best estimates of future deferrals, defaults, and recoveries, two of its pooled trust preferred securities had no excess subordination in the classes it owns and one had excess subordination of 10%. Excess subordination is calculated after taking into account the deferrals, defaults, and recoveries noted in the table above, and indicates whether there is sufficient additional collateral to cover the outstanding principal balance of the class owned, after taking into account these projected deferrals, defaults, and recoveries.

The following table presents a roll-forward, from December 31, 2010 through March 31, 2011, of the credit loss component of OTTI on debt securities for which a non-credit component of OTTI was recognized in AOCL. The beginning balance represents the credit loss component for debt securities for which OTTI occurred prior to January 1, 2011. For credit-impaired debt securities, OTTI recognized in earnings after that date is presented as an addition in two components, based upon whether the current period is the first time a debt security was credit-impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time a debt security was credit-impaired (subsequent credit impairment). There were no changes in the credit loss component of credit-impaired debt securities in the three months ended March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
Beginning credit loss amount as of December 31, 2010	\$ 201,854
Add: Initial other-than-temporary credit losses	
Subsequent other-than-temporary credit losses	
Less: Realized losses for securities sold	
Securities intended or required to be sold	
Increases in expected cash flows on debt securities	
Ending credit loss amount as of March 31, 2011	\$ 201,854

OTTI losses on securities totaled \$13.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010 and consisted entirely of trust preferred securities. The OTTI losses that were related to credit and, therefore, were recognized in earnings totaled \$723,000 during this period, and were determined through a present-value analysis of expected cash flows on the securities. The significant inputs that the Company used to determine these expected cash flows were the anticipated magnitude and timing of interest payment deferrals, if any, and the underlying creditworthiness of the individual issuers whose debt acts as collateral for these trust preferred securities. The discount rate used to estimate the fair value was determined by considering the weighted average of certain market credit spreads, as well as credit spreads interpolated using other market factors. The discount rate used in determining the credit portion of OTTI, if any, is the yield on the position at the time of purchase.

The following table summarizes the carrying amount and estimated fair value of held-to-maturity debt securities, and the amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale debt securities, at March 31, 2011 by contractual maturity. Mortgage-related securities held to maturity and available for sale, all of which have prepayment provisions, are distributed to a maturity category based on the ends of the estimated average lives of such securities. Principal and amortization prepayments are not shown in maturity categories as they occur, but are considered in the determination of estimated average life.

(dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount at March 31, 2011								Fair Value	
	Mortgage-Related Securities	Average Yield	U.S. Treasury and GSE Obligations	Average Yield	State, County, and Municipal	Average Yield ⁽¹⁾	Other Debt Securities ⁽²⁾	Average Yield		
Held-to-Maturity Securities:										
Due within one year	\$		% \$		% \$		% \$	8,763	7.79%	\$ 8,956
Due from one to five years								23,981	5.80	24,563
Due from five to ten years	83,961	5.27	1,452,108	3.89				20,024	5.98	1,548,175
Due after ten years	2,539,143	3.87						176,238	7.29	2,744,847
Total debt securities held to maturity	\$ 2,623,104	3.92%	\$ 1,452,108	3.89%	\$	\$	% \$ 229,006	7.04%	\$ 4,326,541	
Available-for-Sale Securities:⁽³⁾										
Due within one year	\$	3	2.78%	\$		% \$ 125	5.39%	\$	% \$	128
Due from one to five years	10,534	7.20				630	6.10			11,264
Due from five to ten years	3,684	3.33				551	6.56			4,416
Due after ten years	376,976	4.69	619	5.26				38,843	4.88	429,278
Total debt securities available for sale	\$ 391,197	4.75%	\$ 619	5.26%	\$ 1,306	6.22%	\$ 38,843	4.88%	\$ 445,086	

(1) Not presented on a tax-equivalent basis.

(2) Includes corporate bonds and capital trust notes. Included in capital trust notes are \$15.5 million and \$627,000 of pooled trust preferred securities available for sale and held to maturity, respectively, all of which are due after ten years. The remaining capital trust notes consist of single-issue trust preferred securities.

(3) As equity securities have no contractual maturity, they have been excluded from this table.

The Company had no commitments to purchase securities at March 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables present held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities having a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and for twelve months or longer as of March 31, 2011:

At March 31, 2011 (in thousands)	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Temporarily Impaired Held-to-Maturity						
Debt Securities:						
GSE debentures	\$ 1,075,857	\$ 15,275	\$	\$	\$ 1,075,857	\$ 15,275
GSE certificates	83,647	1,861			83,647	1,861
GSE CMOs	975,867	19,329			975,867	19,329
Capital trust notes			73,798	15,606	73,798	15,606
Total temporarily impaired held-to-maturity debt securities	\$ 2,135,371	\$ 36,465	\$ 73,798	\$ 15,606	\$ 2,209,169	\$ 52,071
Temporarily Impaired Available-for-Sale Securities:						
Debt Securities:						
GSE certificates	\$ 5,341	\$ 58	\$	\$	\$ 5,341	\$ 58
Private label CMOs	7,729	9			7,729	9
GSE debentures	614	5			614	5
State, county, and municipal	390	21			390	21
Capital trust notes	2,042	48	9,645	4,491	11,687	4,539
Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale debt securities	\$ 16,116	\$ 141	\$ 9,645	\$ 4,491	\$ 25,761	\$ 4,632
Equity securities	79	11	25,296	16,628	25,375	16,639
Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities	\$ 16,195	\$ 152	\$ 34,941	\$ 21,119	\$ 51,136	\$ 21,271

The twelve months or longer unrealized losses of \$16.6 million relating to available-for-sale equity securities primarily consisted of two security positions. The first is a perpetual preferred stock of a Florida-based diversified financial services firm, which was evaluated under the debt model described earlier in this report. The second was a large cap equity fund. The respective twelve months or longer unrealized losses on the preferred stock and the large cap equity fund were \$12.0 million and \$4.0 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables present held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities having a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and for twelve months or longer as of December 31, 2010:

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Temporarily Impaired Held-to-Maturity						
Debt Securities:						
GSE debentures	\$ 569,361	\$ 10,592	\$	\$	\$ 569,361	\$ 10,592
GSE certificates	54,623	1,094			54,623	1,094
GSE CMOs	1,251,850	28,345			1,251,850	28,345
Corporate bonds	4,987	13			4,987	13
Capital trust notes			66,698	22,708	66,698	22,708
Total temporarily impaired held-to-maturity debt securities	\$ 1,880,821	\$ 40,044	\$ 66,698	\$ 22,708	\$ 1,947,519	\$ 62,752
Temporarily Impaired Available-for-Sale						
Securities:						
Debt Securities:						
GSE certificates	\$ 12,809	\$ 28	\$ 779	\$ 4	\$ 13,588	\$ 32
Private label CMOs			35,511	405	35,511	405
Corporate bonds			4,250	564	4,250	564
State, county, and municipal	399	11			399	11
Capital trust notes	1,988	102	8,848	5,287	10,836	5,389
Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale debt securities	\$ 15,196	\$ 141	\$ 49,388	\$ 6,260	\$ 64,584	\$ 6,401
Equity securities	79	11	25,339	17,507	25,418	17,518
Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities	\$ 15,275	\$ 152	\$ 74,727	\$ 23,767	\$ 90,002	\$ 23,919

An OTTI loss on impaired securities must be fully recognized in earnings if an investor has the intent to sell the debt security or if it is more likely than not that the investor will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost. However, even if an investor does not expect to sell a debt security, it must evaluate the expected cash flows to be received and determine if a credit loss has occurred. In the event that a credit loss occurs, only the amount of impairment associated with the credit loss is recognized in earnings. Amounts relating to factors other than credit losses are recorded in AOCL. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance also requires additional disclosures regarding the calculation of credit losses as well as factors considered by the investor in reaching a conclusion that an investment is not other than temporarily impaired.

Available-for-sale securities in unrealized loss positions are analyzed as part of the Company's ongoing assessment of OTTI. When the Company intends to sell such available-for-sale securities, the Company recognizes an impairment loss equal to the full difference between the amortized cost basis and the fair value of those securities. When the Company does not intend to sell available-for-sale equity or debt securities in an unrealized loss position, potential OTTI is considered based on a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost; adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, the geographic area, or financial condition of the issuer, or the underlying collateral of a security; the payment structure of the security; changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency; the volatility of the fair value changes; and changes in fair value of the security after the balance sheet date. For debt securities, the Company estimates cash flows over the remaining life of the underlying collateral to assess whether credit losses exist and, where applicable, to determine if any adverse changes in cash flows have occurred. The Company's cash flow estimates take into account expectations of relevant market and economic data as of the end of the reporting period. As of March 31, 2011, the Company did not intend to sell the securities with an unrealized loss position in AOCL, and it was more likely than not that the Company would not be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. The Company believes that the securities with an unrealized loss in AOCL were not other than temporarily impaired as of March 31, 2011.

Other factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include the length of time and the extent to which fair value has been below cost; the severity of the impairment; the cause of the impairment; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; activity in the market of the issuer that may indicate adverse credit conditions; and the forecasted recovery period using current estimates of volatility in market interest rates (including liquidity and risk premiums).

Management's assertion regarding its intent not to sell, or that it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery, considers a number of factors, including a quantitative estimate of the expected recovery period (which may extend to maturity) and management's intended strategy with respect to the identified security or portfolio. If management does have the intent to sell, or believes it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery, the unrealized loss is charged directly to earnings in the Consolidated Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income.

The unrealized losses on the Company's GSE debentures and GSE CMOs at March 31, 2011 were primarily caused by movements in market interest rates and spread volatility, rather than credit risk. The Company purchased these investments either at par or at a discount relative to their face amount, and the contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by the GSEs. Accordingly, it is expected that these securities would not be settled at a price that is less than the amortized cost of the Company's investment. Because the Company does not have the intent to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be at maturity, the Company did not consider these investments to be other than temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011.

The Company reviews quarterly financial information related to its investments in capital securities as well as other information that is released by each financial institution to determine the continued creditworthiness of the issuer of the securities. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit settling the securities at prices that are less than the amortized costs of the investments; therefore, the Company expects that these investments would not be settled at prices that are less than their amortized costs. The Company continues to monitor these investments and currently estimates that the present value of expected cash flows is not less than the amortized cost of the securities. Because the Company does not have the intent to sell the investments and it is not more likely than

not that the Company will be required to sell them before the anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be at maturity, it did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011. It is possible that these securities will perform worse than is currently expected, which could lead to adverse changes in cash flows from these securities and potential OTTI losses in the future. Events that may occur in the future at the financial institutions that issued these securities could trigger material unrecoverable declines in fair values for the Company's investments and therefore could result in future potential OTTI losses. Such events include, but are not limited to, government intervention, deteriorating asset quality and credit metrics, significantly higher levels of default and loan loss provisions, losses in value on the underlying collateral, deteriorating credit enhancement, net operating losses, and further illiquidity in the financial markets.

The unrealized losses on the Company's private label CMOs were insignificant at March 31, 2011. Current characteristics of each security owned, such as delinquency and foreclosure levels, credit enhancement, and projected losses and coverage, are reviewed periodically by management. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the Company's investment. Because the Company does not have the intent to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be at maturity, the Company did not consider these investments to be other than temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011. It is possible that the underlying loan collateral of these securities will perform worse than is currently expected, which could lead to adverse changes in cash flows from these securities and future OTTI losses. Events that could trigger material unrecoverable declines in fair values, and therefore potential OTTI losses for these securities in the future, include, but are not limited to, deterioration of credit metrics, significantly higher levels of default, loss in value on the underlying collateral, deteriorating credit enhancement, and further illiquidity in the financial markets.

At March 31, 2011, the Company's equity securities portfolio consisted of perpetual preferred and common stock, and mutual funds. The Company considers a decline in fair value of available-for-sale equity securities to be other than temporary if the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. In analyzing its investments in perpetual preferred stock for OTTI, the Company uses an impairment model that is applied to debt securities, consistent with guidance provided by the SEC, provided that there has been no evidence of deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuer. The unrealized losses on the Company's equity securities were primarily caused by market volatility. In addition, perpetual preferred stock was impacted by widening interest rate spreads across market sectors related to the continued illiquidity and uncertainty in the marketplace. The Company evaluated the near-term prospects of a recovery of fair value for each security in the portfolio, together with the severity and duration of impairment to date. Based on this evaluation, and the Company's ability and intent to hold these investments for a reasonable period of time sufficient to realize a near-term forecasted recovery of fair value, the Company did not consider these investments to be other than temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011. Nonetheless, it is possible that these equity securities will perform worse than is currently expected, which could lead to adverse changes in their fair values or the failure of the securities to fully recover in value as presently forecasted by management, causing the Company to record OTTI losses in future periods. Events that could trigger material declines in the fair values of these securities include, but are not limited to, deterioration in the equity markets; a decline in the quality of the loan portfolios of the issuers in which the Company has invested; and the recording of higher loan loss provisions and net operating losses by such issuers.

The investment securities designated as having a continuous loss position for twelve months or more at March 31, 2011 consisted of 13 capital trust notes and five equity securities. At December 31, 2010, the investment securities designated as having a continuous loss position for twelve months or more consisted of two mortgage-related securities, one corporate debt obligation, eleven capital trust notes, and seven equity securities. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the combined market value of these securities represented unrealized losses of \$36.4 million and \$46.5 million, respectively. At March 31, 2011, the fair value of securities having a continuous loss position for twelve months or more was 23.9% below their collective amortized cost of \$152.2 million. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of such securities was 24.0% below their collective amortized cost of \$193.5 million.

Note 4: Loans

The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	Percent of Non-Covered Loans Held for Investment	Amount	Percent of Non-Covered Loans Held for Investment
Non-Covered Loans Held for Investment:				
Mortgage Loans:				
Multi-family	\$ 16,898,020	70.32%	\$ 16,807,913	70.88%
Commercial real estate	5,702,677	23.73	5,439,611	22.94
Acquisition, development, and construction	554,761	2.31	569,537	2.40
One-to-four family	155,813	0.65	170,392	0.72
Total mortgage loans held for investment	23,311,271	97.01	\$ 22,987,453	96.94
Other Loans:				
Commercial and industrial	639,207	2.66	641,663	2.70
Other	79,668	0.33	85,559	0.36
Total other loans held for investment	718,875	2.99	727,222	3.06
Total non-covered loans held for investment	\$ 24,030,146	100.00%	\$ 23,714,675	100.00%
Net deferred loan origination fees	(5,413)		(7,181)	
Allowance for losses on non-covered loans	(146,306)		(158,942)	
Non-covered loans held for investment, net	23,878,427		23,548,552	
Covered loans	4,141,082		4,297,869	
Allowance for losses on covered loans	(11,903)		(11,903)	
Total covered loans, net	4,129,179		4,285,966	
Loans held for sale	507,461		1,207,077	
Total loans, net	\$ 28,515,067		\$ 29,041,595	

Non-Covered Loans***Non-Covered Loans Held for Investment***

The majority of the loans the Company originates for investment are multi-family loans, most of which are collateralized by non-luxury apartment buildings in New York City that feature below-market rents.

The Company also originates the following types of loans for investment: commercial real estate (CRE) loans, primarily in New York City, Long Island, and New Jersey; and, to a lesser extent, acquisition, development, and construction (ADC) loans and commercial and industrial (C&I) loans. ADC loans are primarily originated for multi-family and residential tract projects in New York City and Long Island, while C&I loans are made to small and mid-size businesses in New York City, Long Island, New Jersey, and Arizona, on both a secured and unsecured basis, for working capital, business expansion, and the purchase of machinery and equipment.

Payments on multi-family and CRE loans generally depend on the income produced by the underlying properties which, in turn, depends on their successful operation and management. The ability of the Company's borrowers to repay these loans may be impacted by adverse conditions in the local real estate market and the local economy. While the Company generally requires that such loans be qualified on the basis of the collateral property's current cash flows, appraised value, and debt service coverage ratio, among other factors, there can be no assurance that its

underwriting policies will protect the Company from credit-related losses or delinquencies.

ADC loans typically involve a higher degree of credit risk than financing on improved, owner-occupied real estate. The risk of loss on an ADC loan is largely dependent upon the accuracy of the initial appraisal of the property's value upon completion of construction or development; the estimated cost of construction, including interest; and the estimated time to complete and/or sell or lease such property. The Company seeks to minimize these risks by maintaining consistent lending policies and rigorous underwriting standards. However, if the estimate of value proves to be inaccurate, the cost of completion is greater than expected, the length of time to complete

and/or sell or lease the collateral property is greater than anticipated, or if there is a downturn in the local economy or real estate market, the property could have a value upon completion that is insufficient to assure full repayment of the loan. This could have a material adverse effect on the quality of the ADC loan portfolio, and could result in significant losses or delinquencies.

The Company seeks to minimize the risks involved in C&I lending by underwriting such loans on the basis of the cash flows produced by the business; by requiring that such loans be collateralized by various business assets, including inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable, among others; and by requiring personal guarantees. However, the capacity of a borrower to repay a C&I loan is substantially dependent on the degree to which his or her business is successful. In addition, the collateral underlying such loans may depreciate over time, may not be conducive to appraisal, or may fluctuate in value, based upon the results of operations of the business.

The markets served by the Company have been impacted by widespread economic weakness and high unemployment, which have contributed to a rise in charge-offs and non-performing assets. The ability of the Company's borrowers to repay their loans, and the value of the collateral securing such loans, could be further adversely impacted by continued or more significant economic weakness in its local markets as a result of increased unemployment, declining real estate values, or increased residential and office vacancies. This not only could result in the Company experiencing a further increase in charge-offs and/or non-performing assets, but also could necessitate an increase in the provision for loan losses. These events, if they were to occur, would have an adverse impact on the Company's results of operations and its capital.

One-to-Four Family Loans Originated for Sale

The Community Bank's mortgage banking subsidiary, NYCB Mortgage Company, LLC, is one of the 20 largest aggregators of one-to-four family loans for sale to GSEs in the United States. Approximately 1,000 community banks, credit unions, mortgage companies, and mortgage brokers use the subsidiary's proprietary web-accessible mortgage banking platform to originate one-to-four family loans in all 50 states.

Prior to December 2010, the Company originated one-to-four family loans in its branches and on its web site on a pass-through, or conduit, basis, and would sell the loans to the third-party conduit shortly after they closed. Since December 2010, the Company has been originating one-to-four family loans in its branches and on its web site through several selected clients of its mortgage banking operation, rather than through the single third-party conduit with which it previously worked. The agency-conforming one-to-four family loans produced for its customers are now aggregated with loans produced by its mortgage banking clients throughout the nation, and sold.

The Company also services mortgage loans for various third parties. At March 31, 2011, the unpaid principal balance of serviced loans amounted to \$11.2 billion. At December 31, 2010, the unpaid principal balance of serviced loans amounted to \$9.5 billion.

Asset Quality

The following table presents information regarding the quality of the Company's non-covered loans at March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	30-89 Days Past Due	Non- Accrual	90 Days or More Delinquent and Still Accruing Interest	Total Past Due Loans	Total Current Loans	Total Loans Receivable
Multi-family	\$ 18,510	\$ 388,352	\$	\$ 406,862	\$ 16,491,158	\$ 16,898,020
Commercial real estate	7,824	106,915		114,739	5,587,938	5,702,677
Acquisition, development, and construction	14,874	85,626		100,500	454,261	554,761
One-to-four family	2,586	16,625		19,211	136,602	155,813
Commercial and industrial	4,266	16,933		21,199	618,008	639,207
Other	652	2,353		3,005	76,663	79,668
Total	\$ 48,712	\$ 616,804	\$	\$ 665,516	\$ 23,364,630	\$ 24,030,146

At December 31, 2010, non-covered loans 30-89 days past due totaled \$151.0 million and non-covered non-accrual loans totaled \$624.4 million.

In accordance with GAAP, the Company is required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). In general, a modification or restructuring of a loan constitutes a TDR if the Company grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty. Loans modified in TDRs are placed on non-accrual status until the Company determines that future collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured, which generally requires that the borrower demonstrate performance according to the restructured terms for a period of at least six months.

The following table presents additional information regarding the Company's TDRs as of March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	Accruing	Non-Accrual	Total
Multi-family	\$ 124,149	\$ 157,878	\$ 282,027
Commercial real estate	3,885	62,280	66,165
Acquisition, development, and construction		17,666	17,666
Commercial and industrial		3,917	3,917
One-to-four family		1,520	1,520
Total	\$ 128,034	\$ 243,261	\$ 371,295

In an effort to proactively manage delinquent loans, the Company has selectively extended to certain borrowers concessions such as rate reductions, extension of maturity dates, and forbearance agreements. As of March 31, 2011, loans on which concessions were made with respect to rate reductions amounted to \$258.8 million; loans on which maturities were extended amounted to \$55.8 million; and loans in connection with which forbearance agreements were reached amounted to \$56.7 million.

Most of the Company's TDRs involve rate reductions and/or forbearance of arrears, which thus far have proven the most successful in enabling selected borrowers to emerge from delinquency and keep their loans current.

The eligibility of a borrower for work-out concessions of any nature depends upon the facts and circumstances of each transaction, which may change from period to period, and involve judgment by Company personnel regarding the likelihood that the concession will result in the maximum recovery for the Company.

The following table summarizes the Company's non-covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator at March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	Multi-Family	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition, Development, and Construction	One-to-Four Family	Total Mortgage Segment	Commercial and Industrial	Other	Total Other Loan Segment
Credit Quality Indicator:								
Pass	\$ 16,316,748	\$ 5,511,559	\$ 448,921	\$ 143,866	\$ 22,421,094	\$ 597,549	\$ 77,316	\$ 674,865
Special mention	56,236	63,713	6,650		126,599	19,909		19,909
Substandard	524,941	126,771	99,190	11,947	762,849	21,747	2,352	24,099
Doubtful	95	634			729			
Loss						2		2
Total	\$ 16,898,020	\$ 5,702,677	\$ 554,761	\$ 155,813	\$ 23,311,271	\$ 639,207	\$ 79,668	\$ 718,875

The preceding classifications follow regulatory guidelines and can be generally described as follows: pass loans are of satisfactory quality; special mention loans have a potential weakness or risk that may result in the deterioration of future repayment; substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged (these loans have a well defined weakness and there is a distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss); doubtful loans, based on existing circumstances, have weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable. In addition, residential loans are classified utilizing an inter-regulatory agency methodology that incorporates the extent of delinquency and the loan-to-value ratios. These classifications are the most current available and were generally updated within the last twelve months.

Covered Loans

The following table presents the balance of covered loans acquired in the AmTrust and Desert Hills acquisitions as of March 31, 2011:

(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Percent of Covered Loans
Loan Category:		
One-to-four family	\$ 3,735,103	90.2%
All other loans	405,979	9.8
 Total covered loans	 \$ 4,141,082	 100.0%

The Company refers to the loans acquired in the AmTrust and Desert Hills acquisitions as covered loans because the Company will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses on these loans under the terms of the FDIC loss sharing agreements. Covered loans are accounted for under FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-30, and initially measured at fair value, which includes estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the lives of the loans. Under ASC 310-30, purchasers are permitted to aggregate acquired loans into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the outstanding balance of covered loans (representing amounts owed to the Company) totaled \$5.0 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively. The carrying values of such loans were \$4.1 billion and \$4.3 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

At the respective acquisition dates, the Company estimated the fair values of the AmTrust and Desert Hills loan portfolios, which represented the expected cash flows from the portfolios discounted at market-based rates. In estimating such fair value, the Company (a) calculated the contractual amount and timing of undiscounted principal and interest payments (the undiscounted contractual cash flows); and (b) estimated the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal and interest payments (the undiscounted expected cash flows). The amount by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceed the estimated fair value (the accretible yield) is accreted into interest income over the lives of the loans. The difference between the undiscounted contractual cash flows and the undiscounted expected cash flows is referred to as the non-accretible difference. The non-accretible difference represents an estimate of the credit risk in the loan portfolios at the acquisition date.

The accretible yield is affected by changes in interest rate indices for variable rate loans, changes in prepayment assumptions, and changes in expected principal and interest payments over the estimated lives of the loans. Prepayments affect the estimated life of covered loans and could change the amount of interest income, and possibly principal, expected to be collected. Changes in the expected principal and interest payments over the estimated life are driven by the credit outlook and actions taken with borrowers. The Company periodically evaluates the estimates of cash flows expected to be collected. Expected future cash flows from interest payments are based on the variable rates at the time of the periodic evaluation. Estimates of expected cash flows that are impacted by changes in interest rate indices for variable rate loans and prepayment assumptions are treated as prospective yield adjustments included in interest income.

Changes in the accretible yield for acquired loans were as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	Accretible Yield
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,356,844
Reclassification from accretible yield	(16,036)
Accretion	(51,708)
 Balance at end of period	 \$ 1,289,100

In connection with the Desert Hills acquisition, the Company also acquired OREO, all of which is covered under an FDIC loss sharing agreement. Covered OREO was initially recorded at

its estimated fair value on the acquisition date, based on independent appraisals less the estimated selling costs. Any subsequent write-downs due to declines in fair value will be charged to non-interest expense, with a partially offsetting non-interest income item for the loss reimbursement under the FDIC loss sharing agreement. Any recoveries of previous write-downs are credited to non-interest expense with a corresponding charge to non-interest income for the portion of the recovery that is due to the FDIC.

The FDIC loss share receivable represents the present value of the estimated losses on covered loans to be reimbursed by the FDIC. The estimated losses were based on the same cash flow estimates used in determining the fair value of the covered loans. The FDIC loss share receivable will be reduced as losses are recognized on covered loans and loss sharing payments are received from the FDIC. Realized losses in excess of acquisition-date estimates will result in an increase in the FDIC loss share receivable. Conversely, if realized losses are less than acquisition-date estimates, the FDIC loss share receivable will be reduced.

The following table presents information regarding the Company's covered loans 90 days or more past due at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Covered Loans 90 Days or More Past Due:		
One-to-four family	\$ 321,145	\$ 310,929
Other loans	52,945	49,898
 Total covered loans 90 days or more past due	 \$ 374,090	 \$ 360,827

The following table presents information regarding the Company's covered loans that were 30 to 89 days past due at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Loans 30-89 Days Past Due:		
One-to-four family	\$ 106,409	\$ 108,691
Other loans	12,385	21,851
 Total loans 30-89 days past due	 \$ 118,794	 \$ 130,542

At March 31, 2011, the Company had \$118.8 million of covered loans that were 30 to 89 days past due, and covered loans of \$374.1 million that were 90 days or more past due but considered to be performing due to the application of the yield accretion method under ASC 310-30. The remaining portion of the Company's covered loan portfolio totaled \$3.6 billion at March 31, 2011 and is considered current. ASC 310-30 allows the Company to aggregate credit-impaired loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. Accordingly, loans that may have been classified as non-performing loans by AmTrust or Desert Hills are no longer classified as non-performing because, at the respective dates of acquisition, the Company believed that it would fully collect the new carrying value of these loans. The new carrying value represents the contractual balance, reduced by the portion expected to be uncollectible (referred to as the non-accretable difference) and by an accretable yield (discount) that is recognized as interest income. It is important to note that management's judgment is required in reclassifying loans subject to ASC 310-30 as performing loans, and is dependent on having a reasonable expectation about the timing and amount of the cash flows to be collected, even if the loan is contractually past due.

There was no provision for or recovery on losses on covered loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The Company determined that there was no change in the expected underlying cash flows that was attributable to credit deterioration or impairment.

Note 5: Allowance for Loan Losses

The following tables provide additional information regarding the Company's allowance for loan losses, based upon the method of evaluating loan impairment:

(in thousands)	\$26,861,902 Mortgage	\$26,861,902 Other	\$26,861,902 Total
Allowance for Loan Losses at March 31, 2011:			
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 10,354	\$ 1,017	\$ 11,371
Collectively evaluated for impairment	117,963	16,972	134,935
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	11,903		11,903
Total	\$ 140,220	\$ 17,989	\$ 158,209

(in thousands)	Mortgage	Other	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses at December 31, 2010:			
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 15,877	\$ 130	\$ 16,007
Collectively evaluated for impairment	124,957	17,978	142,935
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	11,903		11,903
Total	\$ 152,737	\$ 18,108	\$ 170,845

The following tables provide additional information regarding the methods used to evaluate the Company's loan portfolio for impairment:

(in thousands)	\$26,861,902 Mortgage	\$26,861,902 Other	\$26,861,902 Total
Loans Receivable at March 31, 2011:			
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 659,522	\$ 10,325	\$ 669,847
Collectively evaluated for impairment	22,651,749	708,550	23,360,299
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	3,735,103	405,979	4,141,082
Total	\$ 27,046,374	\$ 1,124,854	\$ 28,171,228

(in thousands)	Mortgage	Other	Total
Loans Receivable at December 31, 2010:			
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 747,869	\$ 12,929	\$ 760,798
Collectively evaluated for impairment	22,239,584	714,293	22,953,877
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	3,874,449	423,420	4,297,869
Total	\$ 26,861,902	\$ 1,150,642	\$ 28,012,544

Non-Covered Loans

The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for losses on non-covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	\$26,861,902 Mortgage	\$26,861,902 Other	\$26,861,902 Total
Beginning balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 140,834	\$ 18,108	\$ 158,942

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Charge-offs	(34,091)	(4,845)	(38,936)
Recoveries	287	13	300
Provision for loan losses	21,287	4,713	26,000
Ending balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 128,317	\$ 17,989	\$ 146,306

Non-accrual loans amounted to \$616.8 million and \$624.4 million, respectively, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. There were no loans over 90 days past due and still accruing interest at either of these dates.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents additional information regarding the Company's impaired loans at or for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Impaired loans with no related allowance:					
Multi-family	\$ 441,534	\$ 470,381	\$	\$ 444,335	\$ 2,281
Commercial real estate	63,916	68,510		92,002	902
Acquisition, development, and construction	59,936	65,523		62,695	
One-to-four family	3,984	4,080		3,798	5
Commercial and industrial	4,318	3,109		7,618	
Total impaired loans with no related allowance	\$ 573,688	\$ 611,603	\$	\$ 610,448	\$ 3,188
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:					
Multi-family	\$ 29,631	\$ 29,878	\$ 2,979	\$ 39,892	\$ 56
Commercial real estate	27,541	27,948	654	26,621	24
Acquisition, development, and construction	32,980	34,268	6,722	34,167	
One-to-four family				186	
Commercial and industrial	6,007	10,118	1,017	4,009	139
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$ 96,159	\$ 102,212	\$ 11,372	\$ 104,875	\$ 219
Total Impaired Loans:					
Multi-family	\$ 471,165	\$ 500,259	\$ 2,979	\$ 484,227	\$ 2,337
Commercial real estate	91,457	96,458	654	118,623	926
Acquisition, development, and construction	92,916	99,791	6,722	96,862	
One-to-four family	3,984	4,080		3,984	5
Commercial and industrial	10,325	13,227	1,017	11,627	139
Total impaired loans	\$ 669,847	\$ 713,815	\$ 11,372	\$ 715,323	\$ 3,407

The following table presents additional information regarding the Company's impaired loans at December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
Loans with no related allowance:			
Multi-family	\$ 447,137	\$ 464,011	\$
Commercial real estate	120,087	122,486	
Acquisition, development, and construction	65,453	71,541	
One-to-four family	3,611	3,707	
Commercial and industrial	10,919	15,197	
Total impaired loans with no related allowance	\$ 647,207	\$ 676,942	\$
Loans with an allowance recorded:			
Multi-family	\$ 50,153	\$ 52,209	\$ 6,756
Commercial real estate	25,700	25,894	1,555
Acquisition, development, and construction	35,355	37,634	7,553
One-to-four family	373	373	13
Commercial and industrial	2,010	2,010	130
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$ 113,591	\$ 118,120	\$ 16,007

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total Impaired Loans:			
Multi-family	\$ 497,290	\$ 516,220	\$ 6,756
Commercial real estate	145,787	148,380	1,555
Acquisition, development, and construction	100,808	109,175	7,553
One-to-four family	3,984	4,080	13
Commercial and industrial	12,929	17,207	130
Total impaired loans	\$ 760,798	\$ 795,062	\$ 16,007

The interest income recorded on these loans was not materially different from cash-basis interest income.

Covered Loans

Under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, covered loans are reported exclusive of the FDIC loss share receivable. The covered loans acquired in the AmTrust and Desert Hills acquisitions are, and will continue to be, reviewed for collectability based on the expectations of cash flows from these loans. As a result, if there is a decrease in expected cash flows due to an increase in estimated credit losses compared to the estimates made at the respective acquisition dates, the decrease in the present value of expected cash flows will be recorded as a provision for covered loan losses charged to earnings, and an allowance for covered loan losses will be established. A related credit to non-interest income and an increase in the FDIC loss share receivable will be recognized at the same time, and will be measured based on the loss sharing agreement percentages.

The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for losses on covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 11,903	\$
Provision for loan losses		11,903
Balance, end of period	\$ 11,903	\$ 11,903

Note 6: Borrowed Funds

The following table summarizes the Company's borrowed funds at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
FHLB advances	\$ 7,859,163	\$ 8,375,659
Repurchase agreements	4,125,000	4,125,000
Junior subordinated debentures	426,903	426,992
Senior notes	601,896	601,865
Preferred stock of subsidiaries	6,600	6,600
Total borrowed funds	\$ 13,019,562	\$ 13,536,116

At March 31, 2011, the Company had \$426.9 million of outstanding junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures (junior subordinated debentures) held by nine statutory business trusts (the Trusts) that issued guaranteed capital securities. The capital securities qualified as Tier 1 capital of the Company at that date. The Trusts are accounted for as unconsolidated subsidiaries in accordance with GAAP. The proceeds of each issuance were invested in a series of junior subordinated debentures of the Company and the underlying assets of each statutory business trust are the relevant debentures. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the obligations under each trust's capital securities to the extent set forth in a guarantee by the Company to each trust. The Trusts' capital securities are each subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the debentures at their stated maturity or earlier redemption. However, with the passage of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) in July 2010, the qualification of capital securities as Tier 1 capital is expected to be phased out over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2013 and ending January 1, 2016.

The following table provides a summary of the outstanding capital securities issued by each trust and the carrying amounts of the junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to each trust as of March 31, 2011:

Issuer	Interest Rate of Capital Securities and Debentures ⁽¹⁾	Junior Subordinated Debenture Carrying Amount (dollars in thousands)	Capital Securities Outstanding	Date of Original Issue	Stated Maturity	First Optional Redemption Date
Haven Capital Trust II	10.250%	\$ 23,333	\$ 22,550	May 26, 1999	June 30, 2029	June 30, 2009 ⁽²⁾
Queens County Capital Trust I	11.045	10,309	10,000	July 26, 2000	July 19, 2030	July 19, 2010 ⁽²⁾
Queens Statutory Trust I	10.600	15,464	15,000	September 7, 2000	September 7, 2030	September 7, 2010 ⁽²⁾
New York Community Capital Trust V	6.000	143,667	137,316	November 4, 2002	November 1, 2051	November 4, 2007 ⁽³⁾
New York Community Capital Trust X	1.910	123,712	120,000	December 14, 2006	December 15, 2036	December 15, 2011 ⁽⁴⁾
LIF Statutory Trust I	10.600	7,732	7,500	September 7, 2000	September 7, 2030	September 7, 2010 ⁽²⁾
PennFed Capital Trust II	10.180	12,472	12,100	March 28, 2001	June 8, 2031	June 8, 2011 ⁽²⁾
PennFed Capital Trust III	3.560	30,928	30,000	June 2, 2003	June 15, 2033	June 15, 2008 ⁽⁴⁾
New York Community Capital Trust XI	1.957	59,286	57,500	April 16, 2007	June 30, 2037	June 30, 2012 ⁽⁴⁾
		\$ 426,903	\$ 411,966			

(1) Excludes the effect of acquisition accounting adjustments.

(2) Callable at a premium from this date forward.

(3) Callable subject to certain conditions as described in the prospectus filed with the SEC on November 4, 2002.

(4) Callable from this date forward.

Note 7: Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company had mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) of \$133.7 million at March 31, 2011. MSRs are included in other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. The Company has two classes of MSRs (residential and securitized) for which it separately manages the economic risk.

Residential MSRs are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded as a component of non-interest income in each period. The Company uses various derivative instruments to mitigate the income statement-effect of changes in fair value due to changes in valuation inputs and assumptions regarding its residential MSRs. MSRs do not trade in an active open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the Company utilizes a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows. The model incorporates various assumptions, including estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rates, refinance rates, servicing costs, and ancillary income. The Company reassesses and periodically adjusts the underlying inputs and assumptions in the model to reflect market conditions and assumptions that a market participant would consider in valuing the MSR asset.

The value of MSRs is significantly affected by mortgage interest rates available in the marketplace, which influence mortgage loan prepayment speeds. In general, during periods of declining interest rates, the value of MSRs declines due to increasing prepayments attributable to increased mortgage refinancing activity. Conversely, during periods of rising interest rates, the value of MSRs generally increases due to reduced mortgage refinancing activity.

Securitized MSRs are carried at the lower of the initial carrying value, adjusted for amortization or fair value, and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing income. Such MSRs are periodically evaluated for impairment based on the difference between the carrying amount and current fair value. If it is determined that impairment exists, the resultant loss is charged against earnings.

The following table sets forth the changes in residential and securitized MSRs for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	
	Residential	Securitized	Residential	Securitized
Carrying value, beginning of year	\$ 106,186	\$ 1,192	\$ 8,617	\$ 1,965
Additions	26,118		100,767	
Change in fair value	344		(3,198)	
Amortization		(161)		(773)
Carrying value, end of period	\$ 132,648	\$ 1,031	\$ 106,186	\$ 1,192

Note 8. Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

The following table sets forth certain disclosures for the Company's pension and post-retirement plans for the periods indicated:

(in thousands)	Pension Benefits	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
		2011	2010
		Post-Retirement Benefits	Post-Retirement Benefits
Components of net periodic (credit) expense:			
Interest cost	\$ 1,491	\$ 180	\$ 1,515
Service cost		1	1
Expected return on plan assets	(3,133)		(2,866)
Unrecognized past service liability		(62)	49
Amortization of unrecognized loss	1,190	103	1,286
Net periodic (credit) expense	\$ (452)	\$ 222	\$ (16)

As discussed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company expects to contribute \$1.4 million to its post-retirement plan to pay premiums and claims for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. The Company does not expect to contribute to its pension plan in 2011.

Note 9: Stock-Based Compensation

At March 31, 2011, the Company had 2,995,358 shares available for grant as options, restricted stock, or other forms of related rights under the New York Community Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Stock Incentive Plan"), which was approved by the Company's shareholders at its Annual Meeting on June 7, 2006. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, 1,643,000 shares of restricted stock were granted under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, with average fair values of \$18.40 per share on the respective grant dates. The shares of restricted stock that were granted vest over a period of five years. Compensation cost related to the restricted stock grants is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, and totaled \$3.6 million and \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

A summary of activity with regard to restricted stock awards in the three months ended March 31, 2011 is presented in the following table:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at beginning of year	2,636,700	\$ 14.17
Granted	1,643,000	18.40
Vested	(236,500)	15.39

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Cancelled	(22,800)	16.45
Unvested at end of period	4,020,400	15.81

As of March 31, 2011, unrecognized compensation cost relating to unvested restricted stock totaled \$58.8 million. This amount will be recognized over a remaining weighted average period of 3.8 years.

In addition, the Company had nine stock option plans at March 31, 2011: the 1993 and 1997 New York Community Bancorp, Inc. Stock Option Plans; the 1993 Haven Bancorp, Inc. Stock Option Plan; the 1998 Richmond County Financial Corp. Stock Compensation Plan; the Roslyn Bancorp, Inc. 1997 and 2001 Stock-based Incentive Plans; the 1998 Long Island Financial Corp. Stock Option Plan; and the 2003 and 2004 Synergy Financial Group Stock Option Plans (all nine plans collectively referred to as the Stock Option Plans). All stock options granted under the Stock Option Plans expire ten years from the date of grant.

The Company uses the modified prospective approach to recognize compensation costs related to share-based payments at fair value on the date of grant, and recognizes such costs in the financial statements over the vesting period during which the employee provides service in exchange for the award. As there were no unvested options at any time during the three months ended March 31, 2011 or the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company did not record any compensation and benefits expense relating to stock options during those periods.

Currently, the Company issues new shares of common stock to satisfy the exercise of options. The Company may also use common stock held in Treasury to satisfy the exercise of options. In such event, the difference between the average cost of Treasury shares and the exercise price is recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings or paid-in capital on the date of exercise. At March 31, 2011, there were 12,120,663 stock options outstanding. The number of shares available for future issuance under the Stock Option Plans was 10,400 at March 31, 2011.

The status of the Stock Option Plans at March 31, 2011 and changes that occurred during the three months ended at that date are summarized below:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	
	Number of Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Stock options outstanding, beginning of year	12,443,676	\$ 15.75
Exercised	(259,013)	12.23
Forfeited	(64,000)	14.95
Stock options outstanding, end of period	12,120,663	15.83
Options exercisable, end of period	12,120,663	15.83

The intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2011 was \$22.8 million. The intrinsic values of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$1.6 million and \$597,000, respectively.

Note 10: Fair Value Measurements

The FASB has issued guidance that, among other things, defined fair value, established a consistent framework for measuring fair value, and expanded disclosure for each major asset and liability category measured at fair value on either a recurring or non-recurring basis. The standard clarified that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received when selling an asset, or paid when transferring a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants. Fair value is thus a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the standard established a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants use in pricing an asset or liability.

A financial instrument's categorization within this valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following tables present assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and that were included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Condition at those dates:

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2011 Using				
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Netting Adjustments	Total Fair Value
Mortgage-Related Securities Available for Sale:					
GSE certificates	\$	\$ 170,425	\$	\$	\$ 170,425
GSE CMOs		193,597			193,597
Private label CMOs		41,032			41,032
Total mortgage-related securities	\$	\$ 405,054	\$	\$	\$ 405,054
Other Securities Available for Sale:					
GSE debentures	\$	\$ 614	\$	\$	\$ 614
Corporate bonds					
U. S. Treasury obligations					
State, county, and municipal		1,316			1,316
Capital trust notes		16,869	21,233		38,102
Preferred stock		300	6,314		6,614
Common stock	39,079				39,079
Total other securities	\$ 39,079	\$ 19,099	\$ 27,547	\$	\$ 85,725
Total securities available for sale	\$ 39,079	\$ 424,153	\$ 27,547	\$	\$ 490,779
Other Assets:					
Loans held for sale	\$	\$ 507,351	\$	\$	\$ 507,351
Mortgage servicing rights			132,648		132,648
Derivative assets	1,319	1,831	1,524		4,674
Liabilities:					
Derivative liabilities	\$ 307	\$ 3,538	\$	\$	\$ 3,845

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using			Netting Adjustments	Total Fair Value
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
Mortgage-Related Securities Available for Sale:					
GSE certificates	\$	\$ 211,515	\$	\$	\$ 211,515
GSE CMOs		222,303			222,303
Private label CMOs		51,362			51,362
Total mortgage-related securities	\$	\$ 485,180	\$	\$	\$ 485,180
Other Securities Available for Sale:					
GSE debentures	\$	\$ 620	\$	\$	\$ 620
Corporate bonds		4,250			4,250
U. S. Treasury obligations	58,553				58,553
State, county, and municipal		1,334			1,334
Capital trust notes		16,134	25,870		42,004
Preferred stock		14,468	6,271		20,739
Common stock	40,276				40,276
Total other securities	\$ 98,829	\$ 36,806	\$ 32,141	\$	\$ 167,776
Total securities available for sale	\$ 98,829	\$ 521,986	\$ 32,141	\$	\$ 652,956
Other Assets:					
Loans held for sale	\$	\$ 1,203,844	\$	\$	\$ 1,203,844
Mortgage servicing rights			106,186		106,186
Derivative assets	152	14,067	53		14,272
Liabilities:					
Derivative liabilities	\$ (210)	\$ (3,908)	\$	\$	\$ (4,118)

The Company reviews and updates the fair value hierarchy classifications for its assets on a quarterly basis. Changes from one quarter to the next that are related to the observability of inputs to a fair value measurement may result in a reclassification from one hierarchy level to another.

A description of the methods and significant assumptions utilized in estimating the fair value of available-for-sale securities follows:

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid government securities and exchange-traded securities.

If quoted market prices are not available for the specific security, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. These pricing models primarily use market-based or independently sourced market parameters as inputs, including, but not limited to, yield curves, interest rates, equity or debt prices, and credit spreads. In addition to observable market information, models incorporate transaction details such as maturity and cash flow assumptions. Securities valued in this manner would generally be classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy and primarily include such instruments as mortgage-related securities and corporate debt.

The Company carries loans held for sale originated by the Residential Mortgage Banking segment at fair value, in accordance with applicable accounting guidance (the Fair Value Option). The fair value of held-for-sale loans is primarily based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. The changes in fair value of these assets are largely driven by changes in interest rates subsequent to loan funding and changes in the fair value of servicing associated with the mortgage loans held for sale. Loans held for sale are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. In valuing collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), which

include pooled trust preferred securities and income notes, and certain single-issue capital trust notes, each of which are classified within Level 3, the determination of fair value may require benchmarking to similar instruments or analyzing default and recovery rates. Therefore, CDOs and certain single-issue capital trust notes are valued using a model based on the specific collateral composition and cash flow structure of the securities. Key inputs to the model consist of market spread data for each credit rating, collateral type, and other relevant contractual features. In instances where quoted price information is available, that price is considered when arriving at the security's fair value. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around the inputs to the valuation of preferred stock, the valuation is based on a discounted cash flow model.

MSRs do not trade in an active open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the Company utilizes a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows. The model incorporates various assumptions, including estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rates, refinance rates, servicing costs, and ancillary income. The Company reassesses and periodically adjusts the underlying inputs and assumptions in the model to reflect market conditions and assumptions that a market participant would consider in valuing the MSR asset. MSR fair value measurements use significant unobservable inputs and, accordingly, are classified as Level 3.

Exchange-traded derivatives valued using quoted prices are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. The majority of the Company's derivative positions are valued using internally developed models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters. These are parameters that are actively quoted and can be validated by external sources, including industry pricing services. Where the types of derivative products have been in existence for some time, the Company uses models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry. These models reflect the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and market-based parameters such as interest rates, volatility, and the credit quality of the counterparty. Further, many of these models do not contain a high level of subjectivity, as the methodologies used in the models do not require significant judgment, and inputs to the models are readily observable from actively quoted markets, as is the case for plain vanilla interest rate swaps and option contracts. Such instruments are generally classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Derivatives that are valued based on models with significant unobservable market parameters, and that are normally traded less actively, have trade activity that is one-way, and/or are traded in less-developed markets, are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. For interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) for residential mortgage loans that the Company intends to sell, the fair value is based on internally developed models. The key model inputs primarily include the sum of the value of the forward commitment based on the loans expected settlement dates and the projected value of the MSRs, loan level price adjustment factors, and historical IRLC fall-out factors. Such derivatives are classified as Level 3.

While the Company believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with those of other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair values of certain financial instruments could result in different estimates of fair values at the reporting date.

The Company had no transfers in or out of Level 1 or 2 during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The Company reviews and updates the fair value hierarchy classifications for its assets on a quarterly basis. Changes from one quarter to the next that are related to the observability of inputs to a fair value measurement may result in a reclassification from one hierarchy level to another.

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The tables below include a roll-forward of the balance sheet amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy:

	Fair Value January 1, 2011	Total Realized/Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Recorded in Comprehensive Income		Purchases, Issuances, and Settlements, Gross	Transfers into Level 3	Fair Value at Mar. 31, 2011	Change in Unrealized Gains and (Losses) Related to Instruments Held at March 31, 2011
(in thousands)		Income	Income				
Available-for-sale capital securities and preferred stock	\$ 32,141	\$	\$ (4,594)	\$	\$	\$ 27,547	\$ (4,594)
Mortgage servicing rights	106,186	739		25,723		132,648	739
Derivatives, net	53	1,471				1,524	1,471

	Fair Value January 1, 2010	Total Realized/Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Recorded in Comprehensive Income		Purchases, Issuances, and Settlements, Gross	Transfers into Level 3	Fair Value at Mar. 31, 2010	Change in Unrealized Gains and (Losses) Related to Instruments Held at March 31, 2010
(in thousands)		Income	Income				
Available-for-sale capital securities and preferred stock	\$ 31,232	\$ (398)	\$ 2,635	\$	\$	\$ 33,469	\$ 2,237
Mortgage servicing rights	8,617	41		9,353		18,011	41
Derivatives, net	32	1,564				1,596	1,564

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Such instruments are subject to fair value adjustments under certain circumstances (e.g., when there is evidence of impairment). The following tables present assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and that were included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Condition at those dates:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2011 Using

(in thousands)	Quoted Prices in	Significant Other	Significant	Total Fair
	Active			
	Markets for	Inputs	Inputs	Value
	Identical	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	
	Assets			
	(Level			
	1)			
Loans held for sale	\$	\$ 110	\$	\$ 110
Certain impaired loans			222,028	222,028
	\$	\$ 110	\$ 222,028	\$ 222,138

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using

(in thousands)	Quoted	Significant	Significant	Total Fair
	Prices			
	in	Observable	Inputs	Value
	Active	Inputs	(Level 3)	
	Markets	(Level 2)		
	for			
	Identical			
	Assets			
	(Level			
	1)			
Loans held for sale	\$	\$ 3,233	\$	\$ 3,233
Certain impaired loans			237,975	237,975
	\$	\$ 3,233	\$ 237,975	\$ 241,208

The fair values of collateral-dependent impaired loans are determined using various valuation techniques, including consideration of appraised values and other pertinent real estate market data.

Other Fair Value Disclosures

Certain FASB guidance requires the disclosure of fair value information about the Company's on- and off-balance-sheet financial instruments. Quoted market prices, when available, are used as the measure of fair value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on present-value estimates or other valuation techniques. Such fair values are significantly affected by the assumptions used, the timing of future cash flows, and the discount rate.

Because assumptions are inherently subjective in nature, estimated fair values cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes. Furthermore, in many cases, the estimated fair values provided would not necessarily be realized in an immediate sale or settlement of such instruments.

The following table summarizes the carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Financial Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,351,729	\$ 2,351,729	\$ 1,927,542	\$ 1,927,542
Securities held to maturity	4,304,218	4,326,541	4,135,935	4,157,322
Securities available for sale	490,779	490,779	652,956	652,956
FHLB stock	422,731	422,731	446,014	446,014
Loans, net	28,515,067	28,833,655	29,041,595	29,454,199
Mortgage servicing rights	133,679	133,679	107,378	107,378
Derivatives	4,674	4,674	14,272	14,272
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 22,198,147	\$ 22,229,496	\$ 21,809,051	\$ 21,846,984
Borrowed funds	13,019,562	14,143,657	13,536,116	14,801,131
Derivatives	3,845	3,845	4,118	4,118

The methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair values for the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks and federal funds sold. The estimated fair values of cash and cash equivalents are assumed to equal their carrying values, as these financial instruments are either due on demand or have short-term maturities.

Securities Held to Maturity and Available for Sale

If quoted market prices are not available for a specific security, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. These pricing models primarily use market-based or independently sourced market parameters as inputs, including, but not limited to, yield curves, interest rates, equity or debt prices, and credit spreads. In addition to observable market information, pricing models also incorporate transaction details such as maturity and cash flow assumptions.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The fair value of FHLB stock approximates the carrying amount, which is at cost.

Loans

The loan portfolio is segregated into various components for valuation purposes in order to group loans based on their significant financial characteristics, such as loan type (mortgages or other) and payment status (performing or non-performing). The estimated fair values of mortgage and other loans are computed by discounting the anticipated cash flows from the respective portfolios. The discount rates reflect current market rates for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The estimated fair values of non-performing mortgage and other loans are based on recent collateral appraisals.

The methods used to estimate the fair value of loans are extremely sensitive to the assumptions and estimates used. While management has attempted to use assumptions and estimates that best reflect the Company's loan portfolio and current market conditions, a greater degree of subjectivity is inherent in these values than in those determined in active markets. Accordingly, readers are cautioned in using this information for purposes of evaluating the financial condition and/or value of the Company in and of itself or in comparison with any other company.

In addition, these methods of estimating fair value do not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value described in ASC Topic 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

Loans Held for Sale

Fair value is based on independent quoted market prices, where available, and adjusted as necessary for such items as servicing value, guaranty fee premiums, and credit spread adjustments.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

MSRs do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the Company utilizes a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows. The model incorporates various assumptions, including estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rates, refinance rates, servicing costs, and ancillary income. The Company reassesses and periodically adjusts the underlying inputs and assumptions in the model to reflect market conditions and assumptions that a market participant would consider in valuing the MSR asset.

Derivative Financial Instruments

For exchange-traded futures and exchange-traded options, the fair value is based on observable quoted market prices in an active market. For forward commitments to buy and sell loans and mortgage-backed securities, the fair value is based on observable market prices for similar securities in an active market. For IRLCs for one-to-four family mortgage loans that the Company intends to sell, the fair value is based on internally developed models. The key model inputs primarily include the sum of the value of the forward commitment based on the loans expected

settlement dates, the value of MSRs arrived at by an independent MSR broker, government agency price adjustment factors, and historical IRLC fall-out factors.

Deposits

The fair values of deposit liabilities with no stated maturity (i.e., NOW and money market accounts, savings accounts, and non-interest-bearing accounts) are equal to the carrying amounts payable on demand. The fair values of certificates of deposit (CDs) represent contractual cash flows, discounted using interest rates currently offered on deposits with similar characteristics and remaining maturities. These estimated fair values do not include the intangible value of core deposit relationships, which comprise a significant portion of the Company's deposit base.

Borrowed Funds

The estimated fair value of borrowed funds is based either on bid quotations received from securities dealers or the discounted value of contractual cash flows with interest rates currently in effect for borrowed funds with similar maturities and structures.

Off-Balance-Sheet Financial Instruments

The fair values of commitments to extend credit and unadvanced lines of credit are estimated based on an analysis of the interest rates and fees currently charged to enter into similar transactions, considering the remaining terms of the commitments and the creditworthiness of the potential borrowers. The estimated fair values of such off-balance-sheet financial instruments were insignificant at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Note 11: Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company's derivative financial instruments consist of financial forward and futures contracts, IRLCs, swaps, and options. These derivatives relate to mortgage banking operations, MSRs, and other risk management activities, and seek to mitigate or reduce the Company's exposure to losses from adverse changes in interest rates. These activities will vary in scope based on the level and volatility of interest rates, the type of assets held, and other changing market conditions.

The Company held derivatives not designated as hedges with a notional amount of \$2.9 billion at March 31, 2011. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are reflected in current-period earnings.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's derivative financial instruments at March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011		
	Notional Amount	Unrealized ⁽¹⁾	
		Gain	Loss
Treasury options	\$ 90,000	\$	\$ 116
Eurodollar futures	975,000	320	
Forward commitments to sell loans/mortgage-backed securities	876,925		3,598
Forward commitments to buy loans/mortgage-backed securities	475,000	1,892	
Interest rate lock commitments	446,886	1,524	
Total derivatives	\$ 2,863,811	\$ 3,736	\$ 3,714

(1) Derivatives in a net gain position are recorded as other assets and derivatives in a net loss position are recorded as other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Condition.

The Company uses various financial instruments, including derivatives, in connection with its strategies to reduce price risk resulting from changes in interest rates. Derivative instruments may include IRLCs entered into with borrowers or correspondents/brokers to acquire conforming fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans that will be held for sale. Other derivative instruments include Treasury options and Eurodollar futures. Gains or losses due to changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in current-period earnings.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The Company enters into forward contracts to sell fixed rate mortgage-backed securities to protect against changes in the prices of conforming fixed rate loans held for sale. Forward contracts are entered into with securities

dealers in an amount related to the portion of IRLCs that is expected to close. The value of these forward sales contracts moves inversely with the value of the loans in response to changes in interest rates.

To manage the price risk associated with fixed rate non-conforming mortgage loans, the Company generally enters into forward contracts on mortgage-backed securities or forward commitments to sell loans to approved investors. Short positions in Eurodollar futures contracts are used to manage price risk on adjustable rate mortgage loans held for sale.

The Company also purchases put and call options to manage the risk associated with variations in the amount of IRLCs that ultimately close.

In addition, the Company mitigates a portion of the risk associated with changes in the value of MSRs. The general strategy for hedging the value of servicing assets is to purchase hedge instruments that gain value when interest rates fall, thereby offsetting the corresponding decline in the value of the MSRs. The Company purchases call options on Treasury futures and enters into forward contracts to purchase fixed rate mortgage-backed securities to offset the risk of declines in the value of MSRs.

The following table sets forth the effect of derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	Gain (Loss) Included in Mortgage Banking Income	
	For the Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010
Treasury options	\$ 2,812	\$ (753)
Eurodollar futures	408	(1,847)
Forward commitments to buy/sell loans/mortgage-backed securities	(17,864)	(28,065)
Total loss	\$ (14,644)	\$ (30,665)

Note 12: Segment Reporting

The Company's operations are divided into two reportable business segments: Banking Operations and Residential Mortgage Banking. These operating segments have been identified based on the Company's organizational structure. The segments require unique technology and marketing strategies and offer different products and services. While the Company is managed as an integrated organization, individual executive managers are held accountable for the operations of these business segments.

The Company measures and presents information for internal reporting purposes in a variety of ways. The internal reporting system presently used by management in the planning and measurement of operating activities, and to which most managers are held accountable, is based on organizational structure.

Unlike financial accounting, there is no comprehensive authoritative body of guidance for management accounting equivalent to GAAP. The performance of the segments is not comparable with the Company's consolidated results or with similar information presented by any other financial institution. Additionally, because of the interrelationships of the various segments, the information presented is not indicative of how the segments would perform if they operated as independent entities.

The management accounting process uses various estimates and allocation methodologies to measure the performance of the operating segments. To determine financial performance for each segment, the Company allocates capital, funding charges and credits, certain non-interest expenses, and income tax provisions to each segment, as applicable. Allocation methodologies are subject to periodic adjustment as the internal management accounting system is revised. Furthermore, business or product lines within the segments may change. In addition, because the development and application of these methodologies is a dynamic process, the financial results presented may be periodically revised.

The Company's overall objective is to maximize shareholder value by, among other things, optimizing return on equity and managing risk. Capital is assigned to each segment on an economic basis, using management's assessment of the inherent risks associated with the segment. Capital allocations are made to cover the following risk categories: credit risk, liquidity risk, interest rate risk, option risk, basis risk, market risk, and operational risk. Capital assignments are not equivalent to regulatory capital guidelines, and the total amount assigned to both segments typically varies from consolidated stockholders' equity.

The Company allocates expenses to the reportable segments based on various methodologies, including volume and amount of loans and the number of full-time equivalent employees. A portion of operating expenses is not allocated, but is retained in corporate accounts. Such expenses include parent company costs that would not be incurred if the segments were stand-alone businesses and other one-time items not aligned with the business segments. Income taxes are allocated to the various segments based on taxable income and statutory rates applicable to the segment.

Banking Operations Segment

Banking Operations serves individual and business customers by offering and servicing a variety of loan and deposit products and other financial services.

Residential Mortgage Banking Segment

The Residential Mortgage Banking segment originates and sells one-to-four family mortgage loans. Mortgage loan products include fixed- and adjustable-rate conventional loans for the purpose of purchasing or refinancing residential properties. The Residential Mortgage Banking segment earns interest on loans held in the warehouse and fee income from the origination of loans, and recognizes gains or losses from the sale of mortgage loans.

The following table provides a summary of the Company's segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2011, on an internally managed accounting basis.

(in thousands)	Banking Operations	Residential Mortgage Banking	Total Company
Non-interest revenue third party	\$ 42,854	\$ 15,756	\$ 58,610
Non-interest revenue inter-segment	142	(142)	
Total non-interest revenue	42,996	15,614	58,610
Net interest income	299,386	3,866	303,252
Total net revenue	342,382	19,480	361,862
Provision for loan losses	26,000		26,000
Non-interest expense ⁽¹⁾	133,143	13,559	146,702
Income before income tax expense	183,239	5,921	189,160
Income tax expense	63,616	2,368	65,984
Net income	\$ 119,623	\$ 3,553	\$ 123,176
Identifiable segment assets (period-end)	\$ 40,532,749	\$ 514,195	\$ 41,046,944

(1) Includes both direct and indirect expenses.

The following table provides a summary of the Company's segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2010, on an internally managed accounting basis.

(in thousands)	Banking Operations	Residential Mortgage Banking	Total Company
Non-interest revenue third party	\$ 38,455	\$ 16,589	\$ 55,044
Non-interest revenue inter-segment	(239)	239	
Total non-interest revenue	38,216	16,828	55,044
Net interest income	291,484	3,100	294,584
Total net revenue	329,700	19,928	349,628
Provision for loan losses	20,000		20,000
Non-interest expense ⁽¹⁾	124,542	12,205	136,747
Income before income tax expense	185,158	7,723	192,881
Income tax expense	65,594	3,138	68,732
Net income	\$ 119,564	\$ 4,585	\$ 124,149
Identifiable segment assets (period-end)	\$ 41,661,961	\$ 768,776	\$ 42,430,737

(1) Includes both direct and indirect expenses.

Note 13. Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. ASU 2011-02 clarifies which loan modifications constitute TDRs. It is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a TDR, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of TDRs. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of ASU 2011-02 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated statement of condition or results of operations.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. ASU 2011-03 is intended to improve financial reporting of repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The amendments in ASU 2011-03 remove from the assessment of effective control: (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. Other criteria applicable to the assessment of effective control are not changed by the amendments in ASU 2011-03. Those criteria indicate that the transferor is deemed to have maintained effective control over the financial assets transferred (and thus must account for the transaction as a secured borrowing) for agreements that both entitle and obligate the transferor to repurchase or redeem the financial assets before their maturity if all of the following conditions are met: (1) the financial assets to be repurchased or redeemed are the same or substantially the same as those transferred; (2) the agreement is to repurchase or redeem them before maturity, at a fixed or determinable price; and (3) the agreement is entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer. ASU 2011-03 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. ASU 2011-03 should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-03 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated statement of condition or results of operations.

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update 2010-20. The amendments in ASU 2011-01 temporarily delay the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in ASU 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses for public entities. The delay is intended to allow the FASB time to complete its deliberations on what constitutes a TDR. The effective date of the

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

new disclosures about TDRs for public entities and the guidance for determining what constitutes a TDR will then be coordinated. The deferral in ASU 2011-01 was effective upon issuance.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20 to improve the disclosures that an entity provides about the credit quality of its financing receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. As a result of these amendments, an entity is required to disaggregate, by portfolio segment or class, certain existing disclosures, and to provide certain new disclosures about its financing receivables and related allowance for credit losses. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The amendments in ASU No. 2010-20 encourage, but do not require, comparative disclosures for earlier reporting periods that ended before initial adoption. However, an entity should provide comparative disclosures for those reporting periods ending after initial adoption. For further details on the Company's credit quality disclosures, please refer to Note 4, Loans; and Note 5, Allowance for Loan Losses.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-18, Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset, which impacted ASC 310-30. Under the amendments, modifications of loans that are accounted for within a pool do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a TDR. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. This update became effective for the Company for the interim reporting period beginning after June 15, 2010, and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the purpose of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the words we, us, our, and the Company are used to refer to New York Community Bancorp, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries, including New York Community Bank and New York Commercial Bank (the Community Bank and the Commercial Bank, respectively, and collectively, the Banks).

Forward-Looking Statements and Associated Risk Factors

This report, like many written and oral communications presented by New York Community Bancorp, Inc. and our authorized officers, may contain certain forward-looking statements regarding our prospective performance and strategies within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of said safe harbor provisions.

Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies, and expectations of the Company, are generally identified by use of the words anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, plan, project, seek, strive, try, or future or such as will, would, should, could, may, or similar expressions. Our ability to predict results or the actual effects of our plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Accordingly, actual results may differ materially from anticipated results.

There are a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, that could cause actual conditions, events, or results to differ significantly from those described in our forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to:

general economic conditions, either nationally or in some or all of the areas in which we and our customers conduct our respective businesses;

conditions in the securities markets and real estate markets or the banking industry;

changes in interest rates, which may affect our net income, prepayment penalty income, mortgage banking income, and other future cash flows, or the market value of our assets, including our investment securities;

changes in deposit flows and wholesale borrowing facilities;

changes in the demand for deposit, loan, and investment products and other financial services in the markets we serve;

changes in our credit ratings or in our ability to access the capital markets;

changes in our customer base or in the financial or operating performances of our customers' businesses;

changes in real estate values, which could impact the quality of the assets securing the loans in our portfolio;

changes in the quality or composition of our loan or securities portfolios;

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

changes in competitive pressures among financial institutions or from non-financial institutions;

the ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel of any banks we may acquire into our operations, and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames;

our use of derivatives to mitigate our interest rate exposure;

our ability to retain key members of management;

our timely development of new lines of business and competitive products or services in a changing environment, and the acceptance of such products or services by our customers;

any interruption or breach of security resulting in failures or disruptions in customer account management, general ledger, deposit, loan, or other systems;

any breach in performance by the Community Bank under our loss sharing agreements with the FDIC;

any interruption in customer service due to circumstances beyond our control;

potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of companies we have acquired or target for acquisition;

the outcome of pending or threatened litigation, or of other matters before regulatory agencies, whether currently existing or commencing in the future;

environmental conditions that exist or may exist on properties owned by, leased by, or mortgaged to the Company;

operational issues stemming from, and/or capital spending necessitated by, the potential need to adapt to industry changes in information technology systems, on which we are highly dependent;

changes in our estimates of future reserves based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements;

changes in our capital management policies, including those regarding business combinations, dividends, and share repurchases, among others;

changes in legislation, regulation, policies, or administrative practices, whether by judicial, governmental, or legislative action, including, but not limited to, the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and other changes pertaining to banking, securities, taxation, rent regulation and housing, environmental protection, and insurance; and the ability to comply with such changes in a timely manner;

additional FDIC special assessments or required assessment prepayments;

changes in accounting principles, policies, practices or guidelines;

the ability to keep pace with, and implement on a timely basis, technological changes;

changes in the monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System;

war or terrorist activities; and

other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and geopolitical factors affecting our operations, pricing, and services. It should be noted that we routinely evaluate opportunities to expand through acquisitions and frequently conduct due diligence activities in connection with such opportunities. As a result, acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations, may take place at any time, and acquisitions involving cash or our debt or equity securities may occur.

Additionally, the timing and occurrence or non-occurrence of events may be subject to circumstances beyond our control.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained herein, which speak only as of the date of this report. Except as required by applicable law or regulation, we undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date on which such statements were made.

Reconciliations of Stockholders' Equity and Tangible Stockholders' Equity, Total Assets and Tangible Assets, and the Related Measures

Although tangible stockholders' equity, adjusted tangible stockholders' equity, tangible assets, and adjusted tangible assets are not measures that are calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), our management uses these non-GAAP measures in their analysis of our performance. We believe that these non-GAAP measures are important indications of our ability to grow both organically and through business combinations and, with respect to tangible stockholders' equity and adjusted tangible stockholders' equity, our ability to pay dividends and to engage in various capital management strategies.

We calculate tangible stockholders' equity by subtracting from stockholders' equity the sum of our goodwill and core deposit intangibles (CDI), and calculate tangible assets by subtracting the same sum from our total assets. To calculate our ratio of tangible stockholders' equity to tangible assets, we divide our tangible stockholders' equity by our tangible assets, both of which include an amount for accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax (AOCL). AOCL consists of after-tax net unrealized losses on securities; certain other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses on securities; and pension and post-retirement obligations, and is recorded in our Consolidated Statements of Condition. We also calculate our ratio of tangible stockholders' equity to tangible assets excluding AOCL, as its components are impacted by changes in market conditions, including interest rates, which fluctuate. This ratio is referred to below and later in this report as the ratio of adjusted tangible stockholders' equity to adjusted tangible assets.

Neither tangible stockholders' equity, adjusted tangible stockholders' equity, tangible assets, adjusted tangible assets, nor the related tangible and adjusted tangible capital measures should be considered in isolation or as a substitute for stockholders' equity or any other capital measure prepared in accordance with GAAP. Moreover, the manner in which we calculate these non-GAAP capital measures may differ from that of other companies reporting measures of capital with similar names.

Reconciliations of our stockholders' equity, tangible stockholders' equity, and adjusted tangible stockholders' equity; our total assets, tangible assets, and adjusted tangible assets; and the related capital measures at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 follow:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Total Stockholders' Equity	\$ 5,540,586	\$ 5,526,220
Less: Goodwill	(2,436,159)	(2,436,159)
Core deposit intangibles	(70,349)	(77,734)
Tangible stockholders' equity	\$ 3,034,078	\$ 3,012,327
Total Assets	\$ 41,046,944	\$ 41,190,689
Less: Goodwill	(2,436,159)	(2,436,159)
Core deposit intangibles	(70,349)	(77,734)
Tangible assets	\$ 38,540,436	\$ 38,676,796
Total stockholders' equity to total assets	13.50%	13.42%
Tangible stockholders' equity to tangible assets	7.87%	7.79%
Tangible Stockholders' Equity	\$ 3,034,078	\$ 3,012,327
Add back: Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	50,521	45,695
Adjusted tangible stockholders' equity	\$ 3,084,599	\$ 3,058,022
Tangible Assets	\$ 38,540,436	\$ 38,676,796
Add back: Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	50,521	45,695
Adjusted tangible assets	\$ 38,590,957	\$ 38,722,491
Adjusted stockholders' equity to adjusted tangible assets	7.99%	7.90%

Critical Accounting Policies

We consider certain accounting policies to be critically important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, since they require management to make complex or subjective judgments, some of which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. The inherent sensitivity of our consolidated financial statements to these critical accounting policies, and the judgments, estimates, and assumptions used therein, could have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

We have identified the following to be critical accounting policies: the determination of the allowance for loan losses; the determination of whether an impairment of securities is other than temporary; the determination of the amount, if any, of goodwill impairment; and the determination of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets.

The judgments used by management in applying these critical accounting policies may be influenced by a further and prolonged deterioration in the economic environment, which may result in changes to future financial results. In addition, the current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in our judgments, estimates, and assumptions.

Allowance for Loan Losses

For the purposes of this discussion, allowance for loan losses refers to the allowance for losses on non-covered loans held for investment and loans refers to non-covered loans held for investment. (Please see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our allowance for losses on covered loans as well as additional information about our allowance for losses on non-covered loans.)

The allowance for loan losses is increased by provisions for loan losses that are charged against earnings, and is reduced by net charge-offs and/or reversals, if any, that are credited to earnings. Loans are held by either the Community Bank or the Commercial Bank, and a separate loan loss allowance is established for each. In addition, except as otherwise noted below, the process for establishing the allowance for loan losses is the same for each of the Community Bank and the Commercial Bank. In determining the respective allowances for loan losses, management considers the Community Bank's and the Commercial Bank's current business strategies and credit processes, including compliance with conservative guidelines established by the respective Boards of Directors with regard to credit limitations, loan approvals, underwriting criteria, and loan workout procedures.

The allowances for loan losses are established based on our evaluation of the probable inherent losses in our portfolio in accordance with GAAP. The allowances for loan losses are comprised of both specific valuation allowances and general valuation allowances which are determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) accounting standards.

Specific valuation allowances are established based on our analyses of individual loans that are considered impaired. If a loan is deemed to be impaired, management measures the extent of the impairment and establishes a specific valuation allowance for that amount. A loan is classified as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect both the principal and interest due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. We apply this classification as necessary to loans individually evaluated for impairment in our portfolios of multi-family; commercial real estate; acquisition, development, and construction; and commercial and industrial loans. Smaller balance homogenous loans and loans carried at the lower of cost or fair value are evaluated for impairment on a collective rather than an individual basis. We generally measure impairment on an individual loan and the extent to which a specific valuation allowance is necessary by comparing the loan's outstanding balance to either the fair value of the collateral, less the estimated cost to sell, or the present value of expected cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. A specific valuation allowance is established when the fair value of the collateral, net of estimated costs to sell, or the present value of the expected cash flows, is less than the recorded investment in the loan.

We also follow a process to assign general valuation allowances to loan categories. General valuation allowances are established by applying our loan loss provisioning methodology, and reflect the inherent risk in outstanding held-for-investment loans. Our loan loss provisioning methodology considers various factors in determining the appropriate quantified risk factors to use to determine the general valuation allowances. The factors

assessed begin with the historical loan loss experience for each of the major loan categories we maintain. Our historical loan loss experience is then adjusted by considering qualitative or environmental factors that are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing portfolio to differ from historical loss experience, including, but not limited to, the following:

Changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices;

Changes in international, national, regional, and local economic and business conditions and developments that affect the collectability of the portfolio, including the condition of various market segments;

Changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and in the terms of loans;

Changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of non-accrual loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified or graded loans;

Changes in the quality of our loan review system;

Changes in the value of the underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans;

The existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations;

Changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; and

The effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing portfolio.

By considering the factors discussed above, we determine quantified risk factors that are applied to each non-impaired loan or loan type in the loan portfolio to determine the general valuation allowances.

In recognition of recent macroeconomic and real estate market conditions, the time periods considered for historical loss experience continue to be the last three years and the current period. We also evaluate the sufficiency of the overall allocations used for the loan loss allowance by considering the loss experience in the current calendar year.

The process of establishing the loan loss allowances also involves:

Periodic inspections of the loan collateral by qualified in-house property appraisers/inspectors, as applicable;

Regular meetings of executive management with the pertinent Board committee, during which observable trends in the local economy and/or the real estate market are discussed;

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Assessment by the pertinent members of the Boards of Directors of the aforementioned factors when making a business judgment regarding the impact of anticipated changes on the future level of loan losses; and

Analysis of the portfolio in the aggregate, as well as on an individual loan basis, taking into consideration payment history, underwriting analyses, and internal risk ratings.

In order to determine their overall adequacy, each of the respective loan loss allowances is reviewed quarterly by management and by the Mortgage and Real Estate Committee of the Community Bank's Board of Directors (the Mortgage Committee) or the Credit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Commercial Bank (the Credit Committee), as applicable.

We charge off loans, or portions of loans, in the period that such loans, or portions thereof, are deemed uncollectible. The collectability of individual loans is determined through an estimate of the fair value of the underlying collateral and/or an assessment of the financial condition and repayment capacity of the borrower.

The level of future additions to the respective loan loss allowances is based on many factors, including certain factors that are beyond management's control such as changes in economic and local market conditions, including declines in real estate values, and increases in vacancy rates and unemployment. Management uses the best available information to recognize losses on loans or to make additions to the loan loss allowances; however, the Community Bank and/or the Commercial Bank may be required to take certain charge-offs and/or recognize further

additions to their loan loss allowances, based on the judgment of regulatory agencies with regard to information provided to them during their examinations of the Banks.

Investment Securities

The securities portfolio primarily consists of mortgage-related securities and, to a lesser extent, debt and equity (together, other) securities. Securities that are classified as available for sale are carried at their estimated fair value, with any unrealized gains or losses, net of taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in stockholders' equity. Securities that we have the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost, less the non-credit portion of OTTI impairment recorded in AOCL.

The fair values of our securities and particularly our fixed-rate securities are affected by changes in market interest rates and credit spreads. In general, as interest rates rise and/or credit spreads widen, the fair value of fixed-rate securities will decline; as interest rates fall and/or credit spreads tighten, the fair value of fixed-rate securities will increase. We regularly conduct a review and evaluation of our securities portfolio to determine if the decline in the fair value of any security below its carrying amount is other than temporary. If we deem any decline in value to be other than temporary, the security is written down to its current fair value, creating a new cost basis, and the resultant loss (other than the OTTI on debt securities attributable to non-credit factors) is charged against earnings and recorded in non-interest income. Our assessment of a decline in fair value includes judgment as to the financial position and future prospects of the entity that issued the investment security, as well as a review of the security's underlying collateral. Broad changes in the overall market or interest rate environment generally will not lead to a write-down.

In accordance with OTTI accounting guidance, unless we have the intent to sell, or it is more likely than not that we may be required to sell a security before recovery, OTTI is recognized as a realized loss on the income statement to the extent that the decline in fair value is credit-related. If there is a decline in fair value of a security below its carrying amount and we have the intent to sell it, or it is more likely than not that we may be required to sell the security before recovery, the entire amount of the decline in fair value is charged to earnings.

Goodwill Impairment

Goodwill is presumed to have an indefinite useful life and is tested for impairment, rather than amortized, at the reporting unit level, at least once a year. As each of the Company's operating segments is comprised of only one component, goodwill is tested for impairment at the segment level. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step (Step 1) is used to identify potential impairment, and involves comparing each reporting segment's estimated fair value to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting segment exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value, there is an indication of potential impairment and the second step (Step 2) is performed to measure the amount.

Step 2 involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting segment for which impairment was indicated in Step 1. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, i.e., by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting segment, as determined in Step 1, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities, and identifiable intangibles, as if the reporting segment was being acquired in a business combination at the impairment test date. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying amount of goodwill assigned to the reporting segment, there is no impairment. If the carrying amount of goodwill assigned to a reporting segment exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. An impairment loss cannot exceed the carrying amount of goodwill assigned to a reporting segment, and the loss establishes a new basis in the goodwill. Subsequent reversal of goodwill impairment losses is not permitted.

Quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and are used as the basis for measurement, when available. Other acceptable valuation methods include present-value measurements based on multiples of earnings or revenues, or similar performance measures. Differences in the identification of reporting units and in valuation techniques could result in materially different evaluations of impairment.

For the purpose of goodwill impairment testing, management has determined that the Company has two reporting segments: Banking Operations and Residential Mortgage Banking. All of our recorded goodwill had resulted from prior acquisitions and, accordingly, was attributed to Banking Operations. There is no goodwill associated with Residential Mortgage Banking, as it was acquired in our FDIC-assisted AmTrust acquisition, which resulted in a bargain purchase gain. In order to perform our annual goodwill impairment test, we determined the carrying value of the Banking Operations segment as the carrying value of the Company and compared it to the fair value of the Banking Operations segment as the fair value of the Company.

We performed our annual goodwill impairment test as of December 31, 2010 and found no indication of goodwill impairment at that date.

Income Taxes

In estimating income taxes, management assesses the relative merits and risks of the tax treatment of transactions, taking into account statutory, judicial, and regulatory guidance in the context of our tax position. In this process, management also relies on tax opinions, recent audits, and historical experience. Although we use the best available information to record income taxes, underlying estimates and assumptions can change over time as a result of unanticipated events or circumstances such as changes in tax laws and judicial guidance influencing our overall or transaction-specific tax position.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and the carryforward of certain tax attributes such as net operating losses. A valuation allowance is maintained for deferred tax assets that we estimate are more likely than not to be unrealizable, based on available evidence at the time the estimate is made. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we estimate future taxable income, considering the feasibility of tax planning strategies and the realizability of tax loss carryforwards. Valuation allowances related to deferred tax assets can be affected by changes to tax laws, statutory tax rates, and future taxable income levels. In the event that we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or a portion of our net deferred tax assets in the future, we would reduce such amounts through a charge to income tax expense in the period in which that determination was made. Conversely, if we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net carrying amounts, we would decrease the recorded valuation allowance through a decrease in income tax expense in the period in which that determination was made. Subsequently recognized tax benefits associated with valuation allowances recorded in a business combination would be recorded as an adjustment to goodwill.

In July 2009, new tax laws were enacted that were effective for the determination of our New York City income tax liability for calendar year 2009. In general, these laws conformed the New York City tax rules to those of New York State. Included in these new tax laws is a provision which requires the inclusion of income earned by a subsidiary taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal tax purposes, regardless of the location in which the REIT subsidiary conducts its business or the timing of its distribution of earnings. As a result of certain earlier business combinations, we currently have six REIT subsidiaries. The law provided for 25% of such income to be excluded from tax in 2009 and 2010. Starting in 2011, there is no income exclusion for a subsidiary taxed as a REIT.

In August 2010, new tax laws were enacted by the State and City of New York that repealed the preferential deduction for bad debts that had been permitted in the determination of our New York State and City income tax liabilities. The laws applied retroactively to the determination of tax liability for calendar year 2010 as well as to subsequent years.

Dividend Payment

On April 18, 2011, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.25 per share, payable on May 17, 2011 to shareholders of record at the close of business on May 6, 2011.

The Economic Environment

Unemployment rates improved year-over-year in all five states that constitute our footprint. In Arizona, Florida, New Jersey, New York, and Ohio, the respective unemployment rates were 9.3%, 10.6%, 9.6%, 8.0%, and

9.0% in March 2011, having declined from 9.4%, 12.0%, 10.2%, 8.8%, and 11.5%, respectively, in March 2010. The national unemployment rate declined to 8.8% in March 2011 from 9.7% during this time.

In New York City, where the vast majority of the properties securing our held-for-investment loans are located, unemployment declined to 8.4% in March 2011 from 8.6% in December 2010 and 10.0% in March 2010. Furthermore, in Manhattan, where 35.6% of the properties securing our held-for-investment multi-family and commercial real estate loans are located, the office vacancy rate improved to 11.8% from 11.9% linked-quarter, and was 90 basis points below the comparable rate in March 2010.

Nonetheless, home prices fell 3.3% nationally year-over-year through February 2011, and also declined in the primary markets we serve. Specifically, home prices fell 2.9%, 6.2%, 3.1%, and 8.4%, year-over-year, in greater Cleveland, greater Miami, the New York Metropolitan region, and greater Phoenix, respectively.

Executive Summary

In the first quarter of 2011, we generated earnings of \$123.2 million, or \$0.28 per diluted share. Among the highlights of our first quarter performance were a significant increase in the production of multi-family and commercial real estate (CRE) loans for investment; a linked-quarter improvement in certain of our asset quality measures; and the continued growth of our tangible capital, as detailed below:

In the first quarter of 2011, we originated \$1.9 billion of loans for investment, exceeding the year-earlier volume by \$1.1 billion and the trailing-quarter volume by \$279.6 million. Multi-family loans accounted for \$1.1 billion, or 59.0%, of the first quarter 2011 total, and CRE loans accounted for \$513.7 million, or 27.5%.

Total delinquencies fell \$106.0 million, or 13.2%, over the course of the quarter to \$697.6 million. The reduction was primarily due to a \$102.3 million, or 67.7%, decline in loans 30 to 89 days past due to \$48.7 million, and to a far lesser extent a \$3.6 million decrease in non-performing non-covered assets to \$648.9 million. The latter amount represented 1.76% of total non-covered assets, and was one basis point lower than the measure at December 31, 2010.

At \$3.0 billion, tangible stockholders equity was up \$21.8 million linked-quarter, and represented 7.87% of tangible assets, an eight-basis point increase. Excluding AOCL from the calculation, the ratio of adjusted tangible stockholders equity to adjusted tangible assets rose nine basis points linked-quarter, to 7.99%. (Please see the reconciliation of our GAAP and non-GAAP capital measures earlier in this report.)

Our first quarter 2011 performance was also highlighted by the relative stability of our net interest margin, and by our continued efficiency. At 3.58%, our margin was 17 basis points wider than the year-earlier measure and three basis points narrower than the measure in the fourth quarter of 2010. At 38.50%, our efficiency ratio was somewhat higher than the measures recorded in the trailing and year-earlier quarters, but nonetheless well below the SNL U.S. Bank & Thrift Index measure of 63.89%.

Notwithstanding the strength of our balance sheet and profitability measures, our first quarter 2011 earnings were \$26.7 million less than those reported in the trailing quarter and \$973,000 less than those we reported in the first quarter of 2010. On a diluted per share basis, our first quarter 2011 earnings were \$0.06 below the trailing-quarter level and \$0.01 below the year-earlier amount.

The following factors contributed to the linked-quarter difference in our first quarter 2011 earnings:

The production of one-to-four family homes for sale declined significantly in the quarter, as existing home owners refrained from refinancing and prospective home owners refrained from buying, in the face of continued weakness in the residential real estate market and rising residential mortgage interest rates. As the production of one-to-four family homes for sale declined, so too did the average balances of loans and interest-earning assets, and the level of mortgage banking income recorded in the first quarter of the year. Specifically, income from one-to-four family loan originations declined \$21.2 million linked-quarter, to \$15.8 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Although loan production increased significantly in our niche multi-family market, as intermediate-term interest rates moved lower and refinancing activity increased, the growth of the portfolio was limited by an increase in the volume of loans that were satisfied. Multi-family loans rose \$90.1 million to \$16.9 billion at the end of the current first quarter, accounting for 28.6% of the \$315.5 million linked-quarter increase in total held-for-investment loans.

Reflecting the aforementioned decline in loans held for sale and the limited extent of held-for-investment loan growth, the average balance of loans and interest-earning assets declined on a linked-quarter basis, contributing to a modest \$1.7 million reduction in net interest income and the three-basis point reduction in our margin, to 3.58%. These modest reductions were also due to declines in the yields on loans and interest-earning assets as new held-for-investment loans were largely put on at lower yields than those on the loans that were satisfied.

The linked-quarter reduction in net interest income and non-interest income was largely tempered by the following factors:

In connection with the increase in refinancing activity during the quarter, we recorded prepayment penalty income of \$19.6 million, representing a linked-quarter increase of \$4.5 million. In addition, prepayment penalty income added 23 basis points to our net interest margin, as compared to 18 basis points in the trailing three-month period.

Reflecting the strength of our liquidity and our retail core deposits, we continued to reduce our average and quarter-end balances of wholesale borrowings, as well as our balances of higher-cost certificates of deposit (CDs), and with them, the average cost of such funds. As a result, the linked-quarter decline in interest income was largely offset by a decline in interest expense.

The other primary factor contributing to the linked-quarter drop in our earnings was a \$9.0 million increase in our provision for losses on non-covered loans to \$26.0 million. Although total delinquencies declined substantially, as previously mentioned, the level of net charge-offs increased to \$38.6 million in the quarter, primarily reflecting the reappraisal of certain multi-family and CRE properties collateralizing certain large loan relationships.

Summary of Financial Condition at March 31, 2011

We recorded total assets of \$41.0 billion at March 31, 2011, \$143.7 million less than the balance recorded at December 31, 2010. Notwithstanding a \$317.2 million increase in non-covered loans held for investment, the balance of loans, net, declined by \$526.5 million, largely reflecting a \$699.6 million decrease in the balance of loans held for sale.

Liabilities declined \$158.1 million from the year-end 2010 amount, to \$35.5 billion, as a \$389.1 million increase in total deposits tempered the impact of a \$516.6 million reduction in borrowed funds. The net effect of the decline in total assets and total liabilities was a \$14.4 million increase in stockholders' equity to \$5.5 billion, representing 13.50% of total assets at March 31st.

Loans

Our loan portfolio has three categories: covered loans (i.e., loans acquired in the AmTrust and Desert Hills Bank, or Desert Hills, acquisitions that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements); non-covered loans held for investment (i.e., loans that we originated for our own portfolio and, to a lesser extent, loans that were acquired in our business combinations prior to 2009); and loans held for sale (i.e., loans that are originated by our mortgage banking subsidiary for sale, primarily to government-sponsored enterprises, or GSEs).

At March 31, 2011, the loan portfolio totaled \$28.5 billion and represented 69.5% of total assets, as compared to \$29.0 billion, representing 70.5% of total assets, at December 31, 2010.

Covered Loans

Covered loans represented \$4.1 billion, or 14.5%, of loans, net, at March 31, 2011, and were down \$156.8 million from the balance recorded at December 31, 2010. The March 31st balance consisted of mortgage loans of \$3.7 billion and other loans of \$406.0 million; at December 31, 2010, the respective balances were \$3.9 billion and \$423.4 million.

Covered one-to-four family loans include both fixed and adjustable rate loans that were made to subprime, Alt-A, and prime borrowers by the acquired institutions. Covered other loans consist of CRE loans; acquisition, development, and construction (ADC) loans; multi-family loans; commercial and industrial (C&I) loans; home equity lines of credit (HELOCs); and consumer loans.

The AmTrust loss sharing agreements require the FDIC to reimburse us for 80% of losses up to a specified threshold and for 95% of losses beyond that amount with respect to the covered loans we acquired. The Desert Hills loss sharing agreements require the FDIC to reimburse us for 80% of losses up to a specified threshold, and for 95% of losses beyond that amount with respect to the covered assets we acquired.

There was no provision for, or recovery on, losses on covered loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The Company determined that there was no change in the expected underlying cash flows that was attributable to credit deterioration or impairment.

Non-Covered Loans Held for Investment

In the first quarter of 2011, we originated \$1.9 billion of loans for investment, representing a linked-quarter increase of \$279.6 million and a \$1.1 billion increase year-over-year. Multi-family loans accounted for \$1.1 billion, or 59.0%, of the current first quarter volume, representing a linked-quarter increase of \$112.1 million and a year-over-year increase of \$658.9 million. CRE loan originations represented \$513.7 million, or 27.5%, of loans produced in the current first quarter and were up \$165.9 million and \$382.4 million, respectively, on a linked-quarter basis and year-over-year.

ADC loans accounted for \$28.4 million of loans originated for investment in the current first quarter, while other loans accounted for \$224.6 million. Of the latter amount, \$218.7 million were C&I loans.

Notwithstanding the significant increase in first quarter 2011 originations, loan growth was limited by a significant increase in the volume of satisfied loans.

Multi-Family Loans

At March 31, 2011, multi-family loans represented \$16.9 billion, or 70.3%, of loans held for investment, a \$90.1 million increase from the balance recorded at December 31, 2010. At March 31, 2011, the average multi-family loan had a principal balance of \$4.0 million, and the portfolio had an average loan-to-value (LTV) ratio at origination of 59.25%.

Multi-family loans are our principal asset, and the majority of the buildings collateralizing our loans are in New York City. The vast majority of our multi-family loans are made to long-time owners of apartment buildings that are subject to rent-regulation, and therefore feature below-market rents. Our borrowers typically use the funds we provide to make improvements to certain apartments, as a result of which they are able to increase the rents their tenants pay. In doing so, the borrower creates more cash flows that he or she may borrow against in future years. We also make loans to building owners seeking to expand their real estate holdings with the purchase of additional properties.

In addition to underwriting our multi-family loans on the basis of the buildings' income and condition, we consider the borrowers' credit history, profitability, and building management expertise. Borrowers are required to present evidence of their ability to repay the loan from the building's current rent rolls, their financial statements, and related documents.

Our multi-family loans typically feature a term of ten years, with a fixed rate of interest for the first five years of the loan, and an alternative rate of interest in years six through ten. The rate charged in the first five years is generally based on intermediate-term interest rates plus a spread. During years six through ten, the loan resets to an annually adjustable rate that is tied to the prime rate of interest, as reported in *The New York Times*, plus a spread. Alternatively, the borrower may opt for a fixed rate that is tied to the five-year fixed advance rate of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (the FHLB-NY), plus a spread. The fixed-rate option also requires the payment of an amount equal to one percentage point of the then-outstanding loan balance. In either case, the minimum rate at repricing is equivalent to the rate in the initial five-year term.

As the rent roll increases, the typical property owner seeks to refinance the mortgage, and generally does so before the loan reprices in year six. Reflecting a decline in intermediate-term interest rates, refinancing activity increased substantially in the current first quarter and, as a result, the expected weighted average life of the multi-family loan portfolio fell to 3.2 years at the end of March from 4.1 years at December 31, 2010.

Multi-family loans that refinance within the first five years are typically subject to an established prepayment penalty schedule. Depending on the remaining term of the loan at the time of prepayment, the penalties normally range from five percentage points to one percentage point of the then-current loan balance. If a loan extends past the fifth year and the borrower selects the fixed rate option, the prepayment penalties typically reset to a range of five points to one point over years six through ten.

Prepayment penalties are recorded as interest income and are therefore reflected in the average yields on our loans and assets, our interest rate spread and net interest margin, and the level of net interest income we record.

Our success in our primary lending niche partly reflects the solid relationships we have developed with the market's leading mortgage brokers, who are familiar with our lending practices, our underwriting standards, and our long-standing practice of basing our loans on the cash flows currently produced by the properties. Because the multi-family market is largely broker-driven, the process of producing such loans is expedited, with loans taking four to six weeks to process, and the related expenses being reduced.

At March 31, 2011, the vast majority of our multi-family loans were secured by rental apartment buildings. In addition, 76.6% of our multi-family loans were secured by buildings in New York City, with Manhattan accounting for the largest share.

Our emphasis on multi-family loans is driven by several factors, including their structure, which reduces our exposure to interest rate volatility to some degree. Another factor driving our focus on multi-family lending has been the comparative quality of the loans in our specific niche. Notwithstanding an increase in non-performing multi-family loans in the current credit cycle, the multi-family loans we have charged off to date generally have been non-niche loans. We attribute the difference between the amount of non-performing loans we record and the actual losses we take to our underwriting standards and the generally conservative LTV ratios on the multi-family loans we produce.

We primarily underwrite our multi-family loans based on the current cash flows produced by the building, with a reliance on the income approach to appraising the properties, rather than the sales approach. The sales approach is subject to fluctuations in the real estate market, as well as general economic conditions, and is therefore liable to be more risky in the event of a downward credit cycle. We also consider a variety of other factors, including the physical condition of the underlying property; the net operating income of the mortgaged premises prior to debt service and depreciation; the debt service coverage ratio, which is the ratio of the property's net operating income to its debt service; and the ratio of the loan amount to the appraised value of the property. The multi-family loans we are originating today generally represent no more than 75% of the lower of the appraised value or the sales price of the underlying property, and typically feature an amortization period of up to 30 years. In addition to requiring a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 120% on multi-family buildings, we obtain a security interest in the personal property located on the premises, and an assignment of rents and leases.

Accordingly, while our multi-family loan portfolio has not been immune to the downturn of the credit cycle, we continue to believe that the multi-family loans we produce involve less credit risk than certain other types of loans. In general, buildings that are subject to rent regulation have tended to be stable, with occupancy levels remaining more or less constant over time. Because the rents are typically below market and the buildings securing our loans are generally maintained in good condition, we believe that they are reasonably likely to retain their tenants in adverse economic times. In addition, we underwrite our multi-family loans on the basis of the current cash flows generated by the underlying properties, and exclude any J-51 tax benefits (partial property tax exemptions and abatement benefits offered through the NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development and the Department of Finance) received by the property owners.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

CRE loans represented \$5.7 billion, or 23.7%, of total loans held for investment at the close of the current first quarter, signifying a \$263.1 million increase from the balance recorded at December 31st. At the end of March, the average CRE loan had a principal balance of \$3.2 million. In addition, the portfolio of CRE loans had an average LTV ratio at origination of 53.41%.

The CRE loans we originate are secured by income-producing properties such as office buildings, retail centers, mixed-use buildings, and multi-tenanted light industrial properties, primarily in the New York Metropolitan region.

The pricing of our CRE loans is structured along the same lines as our multi-family credits, i.e., with a fixed rate of interest for the first five years of the loan that is generally based on intermediate-term interest rates plus a spread. During years six through ten, the loan resets to an annually adjustable rate that is tied to the prime rate of interest, as reported in *The New York Times*, plus a spread. Alternatively, the borrower may opt for a fixed rate that is tied to the five-year fixed advance rate of the FHLB-NY, plus a spread. The fixed-rate option also requires the payment of an amount equal to one percentage point of the then-outstanding loan balance. In either case, the minimum rate at repricing is equivalent to the rate in the initial five-year term.

Prepayment penalties also apply, with five percentage points of the then-current balance generally being charged on loans that refinance in the first year, scaling down to one percentage point of the then-current balance on loans that refinance in year five. Our CRE loans tend to refinance within five years of origination. Accordingly, the expected weighted average life of the portfolio was 3.5 years at the end of the current first quarter, down from 4.0 years at December 31, 2010. If a loan remains outstanding in the sixth year, and the borrower selects the fixed-rate option, a schedule of prepayment penalties ranging from five points to one point begins again in year six.

The repayment of loans secured by commercial real estate is often dependent on the successful operation and management of the underlying properties. To minimize our credit risk, we originate CRE loans in adherence with conservative underwriting standards, and require that such loans qualify on the basis of the property's current income stream and debt service coverage ratio. The approval of a loan also depends on the borrower's credit history, profitability, and expertise in property management, and generally requires a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 130% and a maximum LTV ratio of 65%. In addition, the origination of CRE loans typically requires a security interest in the furniture, fixtures, equipment, and other personal property of the borrower and/or an assignment of the rents and/or leases.

Acquisition, Development, and Construction Loans

The growth of our loan portfolio has been driven by multi-family and CRE lending and tempered by a reduction in ADC loans. In the interest of reducing our exposure to credit risk at a time when real estate values started declining, we have either limited our production of ADC loans to advances that were committed prior to the second half of 2007, or to loans that have limited market risk and low LTV ratios, and are made to reputable borrowers who have significant collateral. As a result, ADC loans represented \$554.8 million, or 2.3%, of loans held for investment, at the end of the current first quarter, a \$14.8 million reduction from the balance at December 31st.

At March 31, 2011, 97.4% of our ADC loans were secured by properties in the New York Metropolitan region. In addition, 61.6% of our ADC loans were for land acquisition and development, with the remaining 38.4% consisting of loans that were provided for the construction of owner-occupied homes and commercial properties. ADC loans are typically originated for terms of 18 to 24 months, and feature a floating rate of interest tied to prime, and a floor. They also generate origination fees that are recorded as interest income and amortized over the lives of the loans.

Because ADC loans are generally considered to have a higher degree of credit risk, especially during a downturn in the credit cycle, borrowers are required to provide a personal guarantee of repayment. As of March 31, 2011, we had not collected on any personal guarantees. The risk of loss on an ADC loan is largely dependent upon the accuracy of the initial appraisal of the property's value upon completion of construction; the estimated cost of construction, including interest; and the estimated time to complete and/or sell or lease such property. If the appraised value proves to be inaccurate, the cost of completion is greater than expected, or the length of time to

complete and/or sell or lease the collateral property is greater than anticipated, the property could have a value upon completion that is insufficient to assure full repayment of the loan. Reflecting the severity of the downturn in the current credit cycle, 15.4% of the loans in our ADC loan portfolio were non-performing at March 31, 2011.

When applicable, as a condition to closing an ADC loan, it is our practice to require that residential properties be pre-sold or that borrowers secure permanent financing commitments from a recognized lender for an amount equal to, or greater than, the amount of the loan. In some cases, we ourselves may provide permanent financing. We typically require pre-leasing for ADC loans on commercial properties.

One-to-Four Family Loans

Reflecting repayments, as well as our practice of originating loans for sale, the balance of one-to-four family loans held for investment fell \$14.6 million to \$155.8 million over the three months ended March 31, 2011. The majority of one-to-four family loans held for investment were acquired in our earlier business combinations or were originated by the Company prior to December 2000.

Other Loans

Other loans totaled \$718.9 million at March 31, 2011, and were down \$8.3 million from the balance at December 31, 2010. At \$639.2 million, C&I loans represented the bulk of the March 31st balance, and were down \$2.5 million from the balance at year-end.

The vast majority of our C&I loans are made to small and mid-size businesses in New York City and Long Island, and are tailored to meet the specific needs of our borrowers. The C&I loans we produce include term loans, demand loans, revolving lines of credit, letters of credit, and, to a lesser extent, loans that are partly guaranteed by the Small Business Administration. A broad range of C&I loans, both collateralized and unsecured, are made available to businesses for working capital (including inventory and accounts receivable), business expansion, the purchase of machinery and equipment, and other general corporate needs. In determining the tenor and structure of a C&I loan, several factors are considered, including its purpose, the collateral, and the anticipated sources of repayment. C&I loans are typically secured by business assets and personal guarantees of the borrower, and include financial covenants to monitor the borrower's financial stability.

The interest rates on C&I loans can be fixed or floating, with floating rate loans being tied to prime or some other market index, plus an applicable spread. Depending on the profitability of our relationship with the borrower, our floating rate loans may or may not feature a floor rate of interest. The decision to require a floor rate of interest on C&I loans depends on the level of competition we face for such loans from other institutions, the direction of market interest rates, and the profitability of our relationship with the borrower.

A benefit of C&I lending is the opportunity to establish full-scale banking relationships with our C&I customers. As a result, many of our borrowers provide us with deposits, and many take advantage of our cash management, investment, and trade finance services.

The remainder of the portfolio of other loans consists primarily of home equity loans and lines of credit, as well as a variety of consumer loans, most of which were originated by our pre-2009 merger partners prior to their joining the Company. We currently do not offer home equity loans or lines of credit.

Non-Covered Loans Held for Sale

Non-covered loans held for sale declined to \$507.5 million at March 31, 2011 from \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2010. The vast majority of the March 31st total consisted of agency-conforming one-to-four family loans that were originated for sale to GSEs by our mortgage banking subsidiary. A significantly smaller portion of the March 31st balance consisted of prime fixed-rate jumbo loans that were originated under contract in the quarter for sale to another financial institution.

The reduction in the balance of one-to-four family loans held for sale was attributable to a marked decline in refinancing activity and new purchases in the residential real estate market, as homeowners and new buyers were discouraged by continued weakness in the U.S. housing market and by an increase in residential mortgage interest rates. As a result, originations of loans for sale declined from \$3.9 billion in the trailing quarter to \$1.5 billion in

the first quarter of 2011. Furthermore, rate-lock volume (a leading indicator of expected future near-term loan funding levels) declined to \$1.6 billion in the current first quarter from \$2.6 billion in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Approximately 1,000 clients, including community banks, credit unions, mortgage companies, and mortgage brokers, utilize our proprietary web-accessible mortgage banking platform to originate agency-conforming, full-documentation, prime credit one-to-four family loans in all 50 states. We then package and sell the loans to institutional investors as whole loans or in the form of mortgage-backed securities issued and guaranteed by GSEs.

To mitigate the risks inherent in the activities of originating, acquiring, and reselling residential mortgage loans, we utilize processes, proprietary technologies, and third-party software application tools that seek to ensure that the loans meet investors' program eligibility, underwriting, and collateral requirements. In addition, compliance verification and fraud detection tools are utilized throughout the processing, underwriting, and loan closing stages to assist in the determination that the loans we originate and acquire are in compliance with applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations. Controlling, auditing, and validating the data upon which the credit decision is made (and the loan documents created) substantially mitigates the risk of our originating or acquiring a loan that subsequently is deemed to be in breach of loan sale representations and warranties made by us to loan investors.

We require the use of our proprietary processes, origination systems, and technologies for all loans we originate. Collectively, these tools and processes are known internally as our proprietary Gemstone system. By mandating usage of Gemstone for all loan originations, we are able to tightly control key risk aspects across the spectrum of loan origination activities. Our clients access Gemstone via secure internet protocols, and initiate the process by submitting required loan application data and other required income, asset, debt, and credit documents to us electronically. Key data is then verified by a combination of trusted third-party validations and internal reviews conducted by our loan underwriters and quality control specialists. Once key data is independently verified, it is locked down within the Gemstone system to further ensure the integrity of the transaction.

In addition, all trusted source third-party vendors are directly connected to the Gemstone system via secure electronic data interfaces. Within the Gemstone system, these trusted sources provide key risk and control services throughout the origination process, including ordering and receipt of credit report information, independent collateral appraisals, and private mortgage insurance, automated underwriting and program eligibility determinations, flood insurance determination, fraud detection, local/state/federal regulatory compliance, predatory or high cost loan reviews, and legal document preparation services. Our employees augment the automated system controls by performing audits during the process, which include the final underwriting of the loan file (credit decision), and various other pre-funding and post-funding quality control reviews.

In connection with the activities of our mortgage banking subsidiary, we enter into contingent commitments to fund residential mortgage loans by a specified future date at a stated interest rate and corresponding price. Such commitments, which are generally known as interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs), are considered to be financial derivatives and, as such, are carried at fair value.

To mitigate the interest rate risk associated with our IRLCs, we enter into forward commitments to sell mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities (MBS) collateralized with mortgage loans by a specified future date and at a specified price. These forward sale agreements are also carried at fair value. Such forward commitments to sell generally obligate us to complete the transaction as agreed, and therefore pose a risk to us if we are not able to deliver the loans or MBS pursuant to the terms of the applicable forward-sale agreement. For example, if we are unable to meet our obligation, we may be required to pay a make whole fee to the counterparty.

When we retain the servicing on the loans we sell, we capitalize a mortgage servicing right (MSR) asset. We estimate the fair value of the MSR asset based upon a number of factors, including the current and expected loan prepayment rates, economic conditions, and market forecasts, as well as relevant characteristics of the associated underlying loans. Generally, when market interest rates decline, loan prepayments increase as customers refinance their existing mortgages to more favorable interest rate terms. When a mortgage prepays, or when loans are expected to prepay earlier than originally expected, a portion of the anticipated cash flows associated with servicing these loans is terminated or reduced, which can result in a reduction in the fair value of

the capitalized MSR and a corresponding reduction in earnings. MSRs are recorded at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded as a component of non-interest income.

The agency-conforming one-to-four family loans we originate for sale to GSEs require that we make certain representations and warranties with regard to the underwriting, documentation, and legal/regulatory compliance, and we may be required to repurchase a loan or loans from the GSEs if it is found that a breach of the representations and warranties has occurred.

As governed by our agreements with the GSEs, these representations and warranties relate to, among other factors, the ownership of the loan; the validity of the lien securing the loan; the absence of delinquent taxes or liens against the property securing the loan as of its closing date; the process used to select the loan for inclusion in a transaction; and the loan's compliance with any applicable criteria, including underwriting standards, loan program guidelines, and compliance with applicable federal, state, and local laws. In such cases, we would be exposed to any subsequent credit loss on the mortgage loans, which might or might not be realized in the future.

We record a liability for estimated losses relating to these representations and warranties, which is included in other liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Condition. The related expense is included in general and administrative expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the respective liabilities for estimated possible future losses relating to these representations and warranties were \$3.9 million and \$3.5 million. The methodology used to estimate the liability for representations and warranties is a function of the representations and warranties given and considers a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, actual default experience; estimated future defaults; historical loan repurchase rates and the frequency and severity of default associated with prior repurchased loans; probability that a repurchase request will be received; and the probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased.

There may be a range of reasonably possible losses in excess of the estimated liability that cannot be estimated with confidence. Because the level of mortgage loan repurchase losses is dependent on economic factors, investor demand strategies, and other external conditions that may change over the lives of the underlying loans, the level of the liability for mortgage loan repurchase losses is difficult to estimate and requires considerable management judgment.

In the first quarter of 2011, we began to originate prime jumbo one-to-four family loans for sale under contract to another financial institution. While we do not currently expect this expansion of our mortgage banking business to contribute materially to our earnings in 2011, we do believe it represents a logical extension of this business, with the potential to benefit our revenue stream.

Geographical Analysis of the Portfolio of Non-Covered Loans Held for Investment ⁽¹⁾

The following table presents a geographical analysis of the multi-family, CRE, and ADC loans in our held-for-investment portfolio at March 31, 2011:

(dollars in thousands)	Multi-Family Loans		At March 31, 2011 Commercial Real Estate Loans		Acquisition, Development, and Construction Loans	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total
New York City:						
Manhattan	\$ 5,593,114	33.10%	\$ 2,462,049	43.17%	\$ 202,143	36.44%
Brooklyn	3,077,838	18.21	404,950	7.10	74,530	13.43
Bronx	2,349,121	13.90	220,119	3.86	26,686	4.81
Queens	1,803,138	10.67	571,352	10.02	64,297	11.59
Staten Island	123,177	0.73	71,258	1.25	13,912	2.51
Total New York City	\$ 12,946,388	76.61%	\$ 3,729,728	65.40%	\$ 381,568	68.78%
Long Island	604,247	3.58	890,647	15.62	114,205	20.59
Other New York State	484,907	2.87	120,684	2.12	7,458	1.34
New Jersey	1,373,063	8.13	529,006	9.27	37,111	6.69
Pennsylvania	538,029	3.18	273,667	4.80		
All other states	951,386	5.63	158,945	2.79	14,419	2.60
Total	\$ 16,898,020	100.00%	\$ 5,702,677	100.00%	\$ 554,761	100.00%

(1) The vast majority of other loans held for investment are secured by properties and/or businesses in the Metro New York region.
Geographical Analysis of the Covered Loan Portfolio ⁽¹⁾

The following table presents a geographical analysis of our covered loan portfolio at March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	
California	\$ 716,400
Florida	680,684
Arizona	447,530
Ohio	255,257
Massachusetts	184,259
Michigan	177,921
Illinois	140,800
New York	117,557
Nevada	112,813
Texas	111,899
Maryland	94,810
Washington	91,611
Colorado	90,211
All other states	919,330
Total covered loans	\$ 4,141,082

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(1) At March 31, 2011, \$3.7 billion, or 90.2%, of the covered loan portfolio consisted of one-to-four family loans. The remaining \$406.0 million, or 9.8%, of the covered loan portfolio consisted of multi-family, CRE, ADC, C&I, consumer loans, and HELOCs.

Asset Quality: Non-Covered Loans and Non-Covered Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Reflecting a substantial decline in loans 30 to 89 days past due and a more modest decline in non-performing non-covered assets, total delinquencies fell \$106.0 million, or 13.2%, on a linked-quarter basis to \$697.6 million at March 31, 2011.

Non-covered loans 30 to 89 days past due totaled \$48.7 million at the end of March, representing a linked-quarter reduction of \$102.3 million, primarily reflecting a \$102.7 million decline in past due multi-family loans to \$18.5 million. Although past due ADC loans rose \$9.7 million during this time, to \$14.9 million, past due CRE, one-to-four family, and other loans declined by \$383,000, \$3.1 million, and \$5.8 million, respectively, to \$7.8 million, \$2.6 million, and \$4.9 million over the three-month period.

The significant reduction in multi-family loans 30 to 89 days past due includes a \$42.2 million loan relationship that was brought current during the quarter and a \$19.6 million loan that transitioned to non-accrual status. Additional details about the latter loan appear in the discussion of our five largest non-performing loans later in this report.

Non-performing non-covered assets declined \$3.6 million over the course of the quarter, to \$648.9 million, representing 1.76% of total non-covered assets, down one basis point from the measure at December 31, 2010. Although non-covered OREO rose \$4.0 million during this time, to \$32.1 million, this increase was exceeded by a reduction in non-performing non-covered loans.

Non-performing non-covered loans declined to \$616.8 million, or 2.57% of total non-covered loans, at the end of the current first quarter from \$624.4 million, or 2.63%, of total non-covered loans, at December 31, 2010. Included in non-performing non-covered loans at March 31st were non-accrual mortgage loans of \$597.5 million and non-accrual other loans of \$19.3 million.

A loan generally is classified as a non-accrual loan when it is over 90 days past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, we cease the accrual of interest owed, and previously accrued interest is reversed and charged against interest income. A loan is generally returned to accrual status when the loan is less than 90 days past due and we have reasonable assurance that the loan will be fully collectible.

We monitor non-accrual loans both within and beyond our primary lending area in the same manner. Monitoring loans generally involves inspecting and re-appraising the collateral properties; holding discussions with the principals and managing agents of the borrowing entities and/or retained legal counsel, as applicable; requesting financial, operating, and rent roll information; confirming that hazard insurance is in place or force-placing such insurance; monitoring tax payment status and advancing funds as needed; and appointing a receiver, whenever possible, to collect rents, manage the operations, provide information, and maintain the collateral properties.

It is our policy to order updated appraisals for all non-performing loans, irrespective of loan type, that are collateralized by multi-family buildings, CRE properties, or land, in the event that such a loan exceeds 90 days past due, and if the most recent appraisal on file for the property is more than one year old. Annual appraisals are ordered until such time as the loans become performing and are returned to accrual status. It is not our policy to obtain updated appraisals for performing loans. However, appraisals may be ordered for performing loans when a borrower requests an increase in the loan amount, or when a borrower requests an extension of a maturing loan. We do not analyze current LTV ratios on a portfolio-wide basis. We believe that disclosing the average LTV ratios at origination for our multi-family and CRE loan portfolios provides insight into the quality of these portfolios, as well as our stringent underwriting standards.

Although non-performing non-covered multi-family loans rose \$60.5 million from the balance at year-end 2010, to \$388.4 million, this increase was exceeded by the combination of a \$55.5 million reduction in non-performing CRE loans to \$106.9 million, and smaller reductions in non-performing ADC and other loans totaling \$11.4 million.

The increase in the balance of non-performing non-covered multi-family loans was primarily due to four large lending relationships, including a \$35.9 million relationship with the owner of 15 residential properties in the Bronx, Brooklyn, and Connecticut; a \$24.8 million relationship with the owner of two residential properties in Jersey City, New Jersey; a \$19.6 million loan to the owner of a 237-unit apartment building in the Bronx; and an \$18.6 million loan to the owner of a 191-unit apartment building in Brooklyn. The increase in non-accrual multi-family loans was partly offset by certain loan dispositions of \$39.2 million.

The \$55.5 million reduction in non-performing non-covered CRE loans was primarily due to a \$50.0 million loan disposition which was secured by a property in Manhattan.

Non-performing loans are reviewed regularly by management and reported on a monthly basis to the Mortgage Committee, the Credit Committee, and the Boards of Directors of the Banks. In accordance with our charge-off policy, non-performing loans are written down to their current appraised values, less certain transaction costs. Workout specialists from our Loan Recovery Unit actively pursue borrowers who are delinquent in repaying

their loans in an effort to collect payment. In addition, outside counsel with experience in foreclosure proceedings are retained to institute such action with regard to such borrowers.

Properties that are acquired through foreclosure are classified as OREO, and are recorded at the lower of the unpaid principal balance or fair value at the date of acquisition, less the estimated cost of selling the property. It is our policy to require an appraisal and environmental assessment of properties classified as OREO before foreclosure, and to re-appraise the properties on an as-needed basis until they are sold. We dispose of such properties as quickly and prudently as possible, given current market conditions and the property's condition.

Although the reasons for a loan to default will vary from credit to credit, our multi-family and CRE loans, in particular, have not typically resulted in significant losses. Such loans are generally originated at conservative LTV ratios; furthermore, in the case of multi-family loans, the cash flows generated by the properties generally have significant value.

To mitigate the potential for credit risk, we underwrite our loans in accordance with credit standards that we consider prudent. In the case of multi-family and CRE loans, we look first at the consistency of the cash flows being generated by the property to determine its economic value, and then at the market value of the property that collateralizes the loan. The amount of the loan is then based on the lower of the two values, with the economic value more typically used.

The condition of the collateral property is another critical factor. Multi-family buildings and CRE properties are inspected from rooftop to basement as a prerequisite to approval by management and the Mortgage or Credit Committee, as applicable. A member of the Mortgage or Credit Committee participates in inspections on multi-family loans to be originated in excess of \$4.0 million. Similarly, a member of the Mortgage or Credit Committee participates in inspections on CRE loans to be originated in excess of \$2.5 million. Furthermore, independent appraisers, whose appraisals are carefully reviewed by our experienced in-house appraisal officers, perform appraisals on collateral properties.

In addition, we work with a select group of mortgage brokers who are familiar with our credit standards and whose track record with our lending officers is typically greater than ten years. In addition, in New York City, where the majority of the buildings securing our multi-family loans are located, the rents that tenants may be charged on certain apartments is typically restricted under certain rent-control or rent-stabilization laws. As a result, the rents that tenants pay in such apartments are generally lower than current market rents. Buildings with a preponderance of such rent-regulated apartments are less likely to experience vacancies in times of economic adversity.

To further manage our credit risk, our lending policies limit the amount of credit granted to any one borrower, and typically require a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 120% for multi-family loans and 130% for CRE loans. Although we typically will lend up to 75% of the appraised value on multi-family buildings and up to 65% on commercial properties, the average LTV ratios of such credits, as previously noted, were below those amounts at March 31, 2011. Exceptions to these LTV limitations are reviewed on a case-by-case basis, requiring the approval of the Mortgage or Credit Committee, as applicable.

The repayment of loans secured by commercial real estate is often dependent on the successful operation and management of the underlying properties. To minimize our credit risk, we originate CRE loans in adherence with conservative underwriting standards, and require that such loans qualify on the basis of the property's current income stream and debt service coverage ratio. The approval of a loan also depends on the borrower's credit history, profitability, and expertise in property management; in addition, the origination of CRE loans typically requires an assignment of the rents and/or leases.

The Boards of Directors also take part in the ADC lending process, with all ADC loans requiring the approval of the Mortgage or Credit Committee, as applicable. In addition, a member of the pertinent committee participates in inspections when the loan amount exceeds \$2.5 million. ADC loans primarily have been made to well-established builders who have worked with us in the past. We typically lend up to 75% of the estimated as-completed market value of multi-family and residential tract projects; however, in the case of home construction loans to individuals, the limit is 80%. With respect to commercial construction loans, which are not our primary focus, we typically lend up to 65% of the estimated as-completed market value of the property. Credit risk is also

managed through the loan disbursement process. Loan proceeds are disbursed periodically in increments as construction progresses, and as warranted by inspection reports provided to us by our own lending officers and/or consulting engineers.

Our loan portfolio has been structured to manage our exposure to both credit and interest rate risk. The vast majority of the loans in our portfolio are intermediate-term credits, with multi-family and CRE loans typically repaying or refinancing within three to five years of origination, and the duration of ADC loans ranging up to 36 months, with 18 to 24 months more the norm. Furthermore, our multi-family loans are largely secured by buildings with rent-regulated apartments that tend to maintain a high level of occupancy, regardless of economic conditions in our marketplace.

C&I loans are typically underwritten on the basis of the cash flows produced by the borrower's business, and are generally collateralized by various business assets, including, but not limited to, inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. As a result, the capacity of the borrower to repay is substantially dependent on the degree to which the business is successful. Furthermore, the collateral underlying the loan may depreciate over time, may not be conducive to appraisal, and may fluctuate in value, based upon the operating results of the business. Accordingly, personal guarantees are also a normal requirement for C&I loans.

The procedures we follow with respect to delinquent loans are generally consistent across all categories, with late charges assessed, and notices mailed to the borrower, at specified dates. We attempt to reach the borrower by telephone to ascertain the reasons for delinquency and the prospects for repayment. When contact is made with a borrower at any time prior to foreclosure or recovery against collateral property, we attempt to obtain full payment, and will consider a repayment schedule to avoid taking such action. Delinquencies are addressed by our Loan Recovery Unit and every effort is made to collect rather than initiate foreclosure proceedings.

Fair values for all multi-family buildings, CRE properties, and land are determined based on the appraised value. If an appraisal is more than one year old and the loan is classified as non-performing, then an updated appraisal is required to determine fair value. Estimated disposition costs are deducted from the fair value of the property to determine estimated net realizable value. In the instance of an outdated appraisal on an impaired loan, we adjust the original appraisal by using a CRE transaction-based value to determine the extent of impairment until an updated appraisal is received.

While we strive to originate loans that will perform fully, the severity of the credit cycle has resulted in a greater number of loans transitioning to non-accrual status, and a greater volume of net charge-offs, than has been the norm. Although total delinquencies fell substantially in the first quarter of this year, the volume of net charge-offs rose \$24.7 million linked-quarter, to \$38.6 million, largely in connection with the reappraisal of properties that serve as collateral for certain large loan relationships. Multi-family and CRE loans represented \$26.0 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, of net charge-offs in the current first quarter, while ADC, one-to-four family, and other loans accounted for \$665,000, \$42,000, and \$4.8 million, respectively.

In view of the increase in net charge-offs, the continued weakness of the economy, and the still-high level of unemployment, we increased our loan loss provision to \$26.0 million in the current first quarter from \$17.0 million and \$20.0 million, respectively, in the trailing and year-earlier three months. Reflecting the current first quarter provision and the quarter's net charge-offs, the allowance for losses on non-covered loans equaled \$146.3 million at March 31, 2011, as compared to \$158.9 million at December 31, 2010. The March 31st amount was equivalent to 23.72% of non-performing non-covered loans and 0.61% of total non-covered loans; at December 31st, the comparable measures were 25.45% and 0.67%, respectively.

The manner in which the allowance for loan losses is established, and the assumptions made in that process, are considered critical to our financial condition and results. Such assumptions are based on judgments that are difficult, complex, and subjective regarding various matters of inherent uncertainty. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these judgments. Accordingly, the policies that govern our assessment of the allowance for loan losses are considered Critical Accounting Policies and are discussed under that heading earlier in this report.

Based upon all relevant and available information at March 31, 2011, management believes that the allowance for loan losses at that date was appropriate.

Historically, our level of charge-offs has been relatively low in adverse credit cycles, even when the volume of non-performing loans has increased. This distinction has largely been due to the nature of our primary lending niche (multi-family loans collateralized by non-luxury apartment buildings in New York City that feature below-market rents); and to our conservative underwriting practices that require, among other things, low LTV ratios.

Despite the level of our non-performing multi-family loans, we would not expect to see a comparable increase in losses. This is primarily due to the strength of the underlying collateral for these loans and the collateral structure upon which these loans are based. Low LTV ratios provide a greater likelihood of full recovery and reduce the possibility of incurring a severe loss on a credit. Furthermore, in many cases, low LTV ratios result in our having fewer loans with a potential for the borrower to walk away from the property. Although borrowers may default on loan payments, they have a greater incentive to protect their equity in the collateral property and to return the loan to performing status.

Similarly, an increase in non-performing CRE loans would not necessarily be expected to result in a corresponding increase in losses on such credits. At March 31, 2011, CRE loans represented 23.7% of non-covered loans outstanding and charge-offs were \$7.1 million in the three months ended at that date. We believe this favorable loan loss experience is due to our historical practice of underwriting CRE loans in accordance with standards similar to those we follow in underwriting our multi-family loans.

We continue to de-emphasize the production of ADC and other loans, as well as one-to-four family loans for portfolio, in order to mitigate credit risk. At March 31, 2011, ADC loans, other loans, and one-to-four family loans represented 2.31%, 2.99%, and 0.65%, respectively, of total non-covered loans held for investment, as compared to 2.40%, 3.06%, and 0.72%, respectively, at December 31, 2010. At March 31st, 15.4%, 2.7%, and 10.7% of ADC loans, other loans, and one-to-four family loans, respectively, were non-performing.

In view of these factors, we do not believe that the level of our non-performing loans will result in a comparable level of loan losses and will not necessarily require a significant increase in our loan loss provision or allowance in any given period. As indicated, while non-performing non-covered loans represented 2.57% of total non-covered loans at the close of the quarter, the ratio of net charge-offs to average loans for the three months ended at that date was 0.14% (unannualized).

The following tables present the number and amount of non-accrual CRE and multi-family loans by originating bank at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

As of March 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands)	Non-Performing Commercial Real Estate Loans		Non-Performing Multi-Family Loans	
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount
	New York Community Bank	49	\$ 74,042	144
New York Commercial Bank	10	32,873	3	1,043
Total for New York Community Bancorp	59	\$ 106,915	147	\$ 388,352

As of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands)	Non-Performing Commercial Real Estate Loans		Non-Performing Multi-Family Loans	
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount
	New York Community Bank	53	\$ 130,132	128
New York Commercial Bank	12	32,268	3	4,141
Total for New York Community Bancorp	65	\$ 162,400	131	\$ 327,892

The following table presents information about our five largest non-performing loans as of March 31, 2011:

	Loan No. 1	Loan No. 2	Loan No. 3	Loan No. 4	Loan No. 5
Type of loan	Multi-Family	Multi-Family	Construction	Construction	Multi-Family
Origination date	6/29/2005	1/12/2006	10/14/2005	12/21/2005	8/17/2007
Origination balance	\$41,116,000	\$35,680,000	\$25,000,000	\$21,462,500	\$19,600,000
Full commitment balance	41,698,570	35,680,000	25,000,000	21,462,500	19,600,000
Balance at 3/31/2011	41,582,418	33,155,000	25,000,000	21,452,576	19,564,563
Associated loan loss allowance	None	None	5,905,000	None	294,858
Non-accrual date	2/2009	4/2010	6/2009	2/2010	2/2011
LTV at origination	76%	85%	54%	83%	73%
Current LTV	96	95	83	95	72
Last appraisal	12/2010	5/2010	9/2010	3/2010	7/2007

The following is a description of the five loans identified in the preceding table. It should be noted that no allocation for the non-covered loan loss allowance was needed for loans 1, 2, or 4, as determined by using the fair value of collateral method defined in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Nos. 310-10 and -40.

- Loan No. 1: The borrower is an owner of real estate throughout the nation and is based in New Jersey. This loan is collateralized by a complex of four multi-family buildings containing 672 residential units and four commercial units in Washington, D.C.
- Loan No. 2: The borrower is an owner of real estate and is based in New York. This loan is collateralized by a multi-family complex containing 494 residential units and 12 commercial retail units in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Loan No. 3: The borrower is an owner of real estate and is based in New York. This loan is collateralized by a 95,000 square foot industrial building that is fully occupied and has been rezoned for residential development. As of right buildable area for the subject site is 267,966 square feet. By adjusting the appraisal for certain assumptions using the fair value of collateral method of ASC 310-10 and -40, it was determined that a \$5,905,000 allocation to the non-covered loan loss allowance was necessary.
- Loan No. 4: The borrower is an owner of real estate and is based in New York. This loan is collateralized by a vacant loft building in Manhattan, New York, which is prime for development.
- Loan No. 5: The borrower is an owner of real estate and is based in New York. This loan is collateralized by a complex of four multi-family buildings containing 237 residential units and three professional units in the Bronx, New York. By adjusting the existing appraisal for certain assumptions using the fair value of collateral method of ASC 310-10 and -40, it was determined that a \$294,858 allocation to the non-covered loan loss allowance was necessary. An updated appraisal has been ordered.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

In accordance with GAAP, we are required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as TDRs. In general, the modification or restructuring of a loan constitutes a TDR when we grant a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty. Loans modified in TDRs are placed on non-accrual status until we determine that future collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured, which generally requires that the borrower demonstrate performance according to the restructured terms for a period of at least six months.

Loans modified in TDRs totaled \$371.3 million at March 31, 2011, as compared to \$357.5 million at December 31, 2010. Of the loans modified as TDRs at March 31st, loans of \$243.3 million were on non-accrual status and loans of \$128.0 million had been restored to accrual status as a result of their continued performance in accordance with their modified terms. At December 31, 2010, the comparable amounts were \$204.8 million and \$152.7 million, respectively.

In an effort to proactively address our delinquent loans, we have selectively extended to certain borrowers concessions such as rate reductions, forbearance of arrears, and extension of maturity dates. As of March 31, 2011, concessions made with respect to rate reductions amounted to \$258.8 million; forbearance agreements amounted to \$56.7 million; and maturity extensions amounted to \$55.8 million.

Most of our TDRs involve rate reductions and/or forbearance of arrears, which thus far have proven the most successful in enabling selected borrowers to emerge from delinquency and keep their loans current.

The eligibility of a borrower for work-out concessions of any nature depends upon the facts and circumstances of each transaction, which may change from period to period, and involve judgment by our personnel regarding the likelihood that the concession will result in the maximum recovery for the Company.

The following table presents additional information regarding our TDRs as of March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	Accruing	Non-Accrual	Total
Multi-family	\$ 124,149	\$ 157,878	\$ 282,027
Commercial real estate	3,885	62,280	66,165
Acquisition, development, and construction		17,666	17,666
Commercial and industrial		3,917	3,917
One-to-four family		1,520	1,520
Total	\$ 128,034	\$ 243,261	\$ 371,295

Asset Quality Analysis (Excluding Covered Loans, Covered OREO, and Non-Covered Loans Held for Sale)

The following table presents information regarding our consolidated allowance for losses on non-covered loans, our non-performing non-covered assets, and our non-covered loans 30 to 89 days past due at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Covered loans are considered to be performing due to the application of the yield accretion method, as discussed elsewhere in this report. Therefore, covered loans are not reflected in the amounts or ratios provided in this table.

(dollars in thousands)	At or For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	At or For the Year Ended December 31, 2010
Allowance for Losses on Non-Covered Loans:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 158,942	\$ 127,491
Provision for losses on non-covered loans	26,000	91,000
Charge-offs:		
Multi-family	(26,085)	(27,042)
Commercial real estate	(7,086)	(3,359)
Acquisition, development, and construction	(878)	(9,884)
One-to-four family	(42)	(931)
Other loans	(4,845)	(19,569)
Total charge-offs	(38,936)	(60,785)
Recoveries	300	1,236
Balance at end of period	\$ 146,306	\$ 158,942
Non-Performing Non-Covered Assets:		
Non-accrual non-covered mortgage loans:		
Multi-family	\$ 388,352	\$ 327,892
Commercial real estate	106,915	162,400
Acquisition, development, and construction	85,626	91,850
One-to-four family	16,625	17,813
Total non-accrual non-covered mortgage loans	597,518	599,955
Other non-accrual non-covered loans	19,286	24,476
Total non-performing non-covered loans ⁽¹⁾	616,804	624,431
Other real estate owned ⁽²⁾	32,057	28,066
Total non-performing non-covered assets	\$ 648,861	\$ 652,497
Asset Quality Ratios:		
Non-performing non-covered loans to total non-covered loans	2.57%	2.63%
Non-covered non-performing assets to total non-covered assets	1.76	1.77
Allowance for losses on non-covered loans to non-performing non-covered loans	23.72	25.45
Allowance for losses on non-covered loans to total non-covered loans	0.61	0.67
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.14 ⁽³⁾	0.21
Loans 30-89 Days Past Due:		
Multi-family	\$ 18,510	\$ 121,188
Commercial real estate	7,824	8,207
Acquisition, development, and construction	14,874	5,194
One-to-four family	2,586	5,723
Other loans	4,918	10,728

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total loans 30-89 days past due ⁽⁴⁾	\$	48,712	\$	151,040
--	----	--------	----	---------

- (1) The March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 amounts exclude loans 90 days or more past due of \$374.1 million and \$360.8 million, respectively, that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements.
- (2) The March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 amounts exclude OREO totaling \$81.2 million and \$62.4 million, respectively, that are covered by an FDIC loss sharing agreement.
- (3) Presented on a non-annualized basis.
- (4) The March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 amounts exclude loans 30 to 89 days past due of \$118.8 million and \$130.5 million, respectively, that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements.

Asset Quality: Covered Loans and Covered OREO

The credit risk associated with the assets acquired in our AmTrust and Desert Hills transactions has been substantially mitigated by our loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Under the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC will reimburse us for 80% of losses (and share in 80% of any recoveries) up to a specified threshold with respect to the loans acquired in the AmTrust transaction; and will reimburse us for 80% of losses (and share in 80% of any recoveries) up to a specified threshold with respect to the loans and OREO acquired in the Desert Hills transaction. In each case, the FDIC will reimburse us for 95% of any losses (and share in 95% of any recoveries) with respect to the acquired assets beyond the initial amounts. The loss sharing (and reimbursement) agreements applicable to one-to-four family mortgage loans and HELOCs are effective for a ten-year period. The loss sharing agreements applicable to other loans and OREO provide for the FDIC to reimburse us for losses for a five-year period; the period for sharing in recoveries on other loans and OREO extends for a period of eight years.

We consider our covered loans to be performing due to the application of the yield accretion method under Codification Topic 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Codification Topic 310-30 allows us to aggregate credit-impaired loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. Accordingly, loans that may have been classified as non-performing loans by AmTrust or Desert Hills are no longer classified as non-performing because, at the dates of acquisition, we believed that we would fully collect the new carrying value of these loans. The new carrying value represents the contractual balance, reduced by the portion expected to be uncollectible (referred to as the non-accretable difference) and by an accretable yield (discount) that is recognized as interest income. It is important to note that management's judgment is required in reclassifying loans subject to Codification Topic 310-30 as performing loans, and is dependent on having a reasonable expectation about the timing and amount of the cash flows to be collected, even if a loan is contractually past due.

In connection with the loss sharing agreements, we established FDIC loss share receivables of \$740.0 million with regard to AmTrust and \$69.6 million with regard to Desert Hills, which were the acquisition date fair values of the respective loss sharing agreements (i.e., the expected reimbursements from the FDIC over the terms of the agreements). The loss share receivables may increase if the losses increase, and may decrease if the losses fall short of the expected amounts. Increases in estimated reimbursements will be recognized in income in the same period that they are identified and that the allowance for losses on the related loans is recognized.

Decreases in estimated reimbursements from the FDIC, if any, will be recognized in income prospectively over the life of the related covered loans (or, if shorter, over the remaining term of the loss sharing agreement); related additions to the accretable yield on the covered loans will be recognized in income prospectively over the lives of the loans. Gains and recoveries on covered assets will offset losses, or be paid to the FDIC at the applicable loss share percentage at the time of recovery.

The loss share receivables may also increase due to accretion, which was \$9.1 million in the first quarter of this year. Accretion of the FDIC loss share receivable related to the difference between the discounted, versus the undiscounted, expected cash flows of covered loans subject to the FDIC loss sharing agreements. These cash flows were discounted to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursements from the FDIC. In the first quarter of 2011, we received FDIC reimbursements of \$46.0 million, which resulted in a decrease in the combined balance of the FDIC loss share receivables.

Asset Quality Analysis (Including Covered Loans and Covered OREO)

The following table presents information regarding our non-performing assets and loans past due at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, including covered loans and covered OREO (collectively, covered assets):

(dollars in thousands)	At or For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	At or For the Year Ended December 31, 2010
Covered Loans 90 Days or More Past Due:		
Multi-family	\$ 811	\$ 410
Commercial real estate	14,023	12,060
Acquisition, development, and construction	12,541	12,664
One-to-four family	321,145	310,929
Other	25,570	24,764
Total covered loans 90 days or more past due	374,090	360,827
Covered other real estate owned	81,197	62,412
Total covered non-performing assets	\$ 455,287	\$ 423,239
Total Non-Performing Assets (including covered assets):		
Non-performing loans:		
Multi-family	\$ 389,163	\$ 328,302
Commercial real estate	120,938	174,460
Acquisition, development, and construction	98,167	104,514
One-to-four family	337,770	328,742
Other non-performing loans	44,856	49,240
Total non-performing loans	990,894	985,258
Other real estate owned	113,254	90,478
Total non-performing assets (including covered assets)	\$ 1,104,148	\$ 1,075,736
Asset Quality Ratios (including covered loans and the allowance for losses		
on covered loans):		
Total non-performing loans to total loans	3.52%	3.52%
Total non-performing assets to total assets	2.69	2.61
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	15.97	17.34
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.56	0.61
Covered Loans 30-89 Days Past Due:		
Multi-family	\$ 136	\$ 402
Commercial real estate	2,592	9,095
Acquisition, development, and construction	1,165	1,172
One-to-four family	106,409	108,691
Other loans	8,492	11,182
Total covered loans 30-89 days past due	\$ 118,794	\$ 130,542

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total Loans 30-89 Days Past Due (including covered loans):

Multi-family	\$	18,646	\$	121,590
Commercial real estate		10,416		17,302
Acquisition, development, and construction		16,039		6,366
One-to-four family		108,995		114,414
Other loans		13,410		21,910
Total loans 30-89 days past due (including covered loans)	\$	167,506	\$	281,582

Geographic Analysis of Non-Performing Loans (Covered and Non-Covered)

The following table presents a geographical analysis of our non-performing loans at March 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	
New York	\$ 397,353
New Jersey	146,376
Florida	96,690
Arizona	78,493
Pennsylvania	47,053
Washington, D.C.	41,777
California	37,099
Ohio	18,781
Nevada	16,422
Massachusetts	16,286
Connecticut	15,814
All other states	78,750
Total non-performing loans	\$ 990,894

Securities

Securities represented \$4.8 billion, or 11.7%, of total assets, at the end of the current first quarter, a nominal increase from the balance recorded at December 31, 2010. GSE securities represented 92.5% of the portfolio at March 31, 2011, comparable to the percentage at year-end.

Held-to-maturity securities rose \$168.3 million during this time, to \$4.3 billion, representing 89.8% of the total securities portfolio. Included in the March 31st amount were mortgage-related securities of \$2.6 billion and other securities of \$1.7 billion. At March 31, 2011, the respective fair values of mortgage-related securities and other securities held to maturity were, respectively, \$2.7 billion and \$1.7 billion, representing 101.05% and 99.69% of their respective carrying amounts.

Available-for-sale securities declined \$162.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, to \$490.8 million, representing 10.2% of the total securities portfolio. The portfolio had an estimated average life of 4.1 years at the end of the current first quarter, as compared to 3.8 years at December 31, 2010. The estimated weighted average life of available-for-sale mortgage-related securities was 3.5 years and 3.6 years, respectively, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Funding Sources

The Parent Company (i.e., the Company on an unconsolidated basis) has four primary funding sources for the payment of dividends, share repurchases, and other corporate uses: capital raised through the issuance of stock; dividends paid to the Company by the Banks; funding raised through the issuance of debt instruments; and repayments of, and income from, investment securities.

On a consolidated basis, our funding primarily stems from the cash flows generated through the repayment of loans and securities; the cash flows generated through the sale of loans and securities; the deposits we acquire in our business combinations or gather through our branch network, as well as brokered deposits; and the use of borrowed funds, primarily in the form of wholesale borrowings.

Cash flows from the repayment and sale of loans totaled \$3.9 billion in the current first quarter, down from \$5.7 billion in the fourth quarter of 2010. Included in the first quarter 2011 amount were \$1.7 billion from repayments of loans held for investment and \$2.2 billion from the sale of one-to-four family loans. In the trailing quarter, repayments of loans held for investment generated cash flows of \$1.7 billion, while the sale of one-to-four family loans generated cash flows of \$4.0 billion.

Securities generated first quarter 2011 cash flows of \$607.5 million, as compared to \$684.8 million in the trailing quarter, and included \$276.5 million from repayments and \$331.0 million from sales.

Deposits

Deposits rose \$389.1 million over the course of the quarter to \$22.2 billion, representing 54.1% of total assets at March 31st. While core deposits (defined as NOW and money market accounts, savings accounts, and non-interest-bearing accounts) rose \$770.7 million during this time, to \$14.7 billion, deposit growth was somewhat tempered by a \$381.6 million reduction in certificates of deposit (CDs) to \$7.5 billion. Core deposits thus represented 66.4% of total deposits, while CDs represented the remaining 33.6%. The decline in CDs was largely strategic in nature, reflecting management's unwillingness to compete for such deposits by paying higher rates of interest on such accounts.

The three-month increase in core deposits stemmed from a \$683.4 million increase in NOW and money market accounts to \$8.9 billion; a \$64.2 million increase in savings accounts to \$3.9 billion; and a \$23.1 million increase in non-interest-bearing accounts to \$1.9 billion. In keeping with our practice of utilizing brokered funds to supplement our funding, brokered money market accounts represented \$3.6 billion of total NOW and money market accounts at the end of the current first quarter, as compared to \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2010.

Borrowed Funds

Borrowed funds consist primarily of wholesale borrowings (i.e., FHLB advances, repurchase agreements, and federal funds purchased) and, to a lesser extent, junior subordinated debentures and other borrowed funds (consisting primarily of preferred stock of subsidiaries and senior notes).

Borrowed funds totaled \$13.0 billion at March 31, 2011, reflecting a \$516.6 million decline from the balance recorded at December 31, 2010. Wholesale borrowings accounted for the bulk of the reduction, having declined to \$12.0 billion from \$12.5 billion over the three-month period. Wholesale borrowings thus represented 29.2% of total assets, down from 30.3% at December 31, 2010.

The reduction in wholesale borrowings was attributable to a decline in the balance of FHLB advances, to \$7.9 billion from \$8.4 billion at December 31, 2010. While the bulk of our FHLB advances are with the FHLB-NY, we also have FHLB-Cincinnati advances of \$668.6 million stemming from the AmTrust acquisition. The Community Bank and the Commercial Bank are both members of, and have lines of credit with, the FHLB-NY. Pursuant to blanket collateral agreements with the Banks, our FHLB advances and overnight line-of-credit borrowings are secured by a pledge of certain eligible collateral in the form of loans and securities.

Also included in wholesale borrowings at March 31, 2011 were repurchase agreements of \$4.1 billion, consistent with the balance at December 31, 2010. Repurchase agreements are contracts for the sale of securities owned or borrowed by the Banks with an agreement to repurchase those securities at an agreed-upon price and date. Our repurchase agreements are primarily collateralized by GSE obligations, and may be entered into with the FHLB-NY or certain brokerage firms. The brokerage firms we utilize are subject to our ongoing internal financial review to ensure that we borrow funds only from those dealers whose financial strength will minimize the risk of loss due to default. In addition, a master repurchase agreement must be executed and on file for the brokerage firms we use.

A significant portion of our wholesale borrowings at quarter-end consisted of callable advances and callable repurchase agreements. At March 31, 2011, \$11.4 billion of our wholesale borrowings were callable in 2011. Given the current interest rate environment, we do not expect these borrowings to be called.

The remainder of our borrowed funds consisted of junior subordinated debentures of \$426.9 million and other borrowings of \$608.5 million, comparable to the respective balances at December 31, 2010. Included in other borrowings at both period-ends were \$602.0 million of fixed rate senior notes that were issued under the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program in December 2008. Of this amount, \$512.0 million are due at December 16, 2011 and \$90.0 million are due at June 22, 2012.

Asset and Liability Management and the Management of Interest Rate Risk

We manage our assets and liabilities to reduce our exposure to changes in market interest rates. The asset and liability management process has three primary objectives: to evaluate the interest rate risk inherent in certain balance sheet accounts; to determine the appropriate level of risk, given our business strategy, operating

environment, capital and liquidity requirements, and performance objectives; and to manage that risk in a manner consistent with guidelines approved by the Boards of Directors of the Company, the Community Bank, and the Commercial Bank, as applicable.

Market Risk

As a financial institution, we are focused on reducing our exposure to interest rate volatility, which represents a significant market risk. Changes in market interest rates represent the greatest challenge to our financial performance, because such changes can have a significant impact on the level of income and expense recorded on a large portion of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and on the market value of all interest-earning assets, other than those possessing a short term to maturity. To reduce our exposure to changing rates, the Board of Directors and management monitor interest rate sensitivity on a regular and as needed basis so that adjustments in the asset and liability mix of each entity can be made when deemed appropriate.

The actual duration of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities can be significantly impacted by changes in prepayment levels and market interest rates. The volume of prepayments may be impacted by a variety of factors, including the economy in the region where the underlying mortgages were originated; seasonal factors; demographic variables; and the assumability of the underlying mortgages. However, the largest determinants of prepayments are market interest rates and the availability of refinancing opportunities.

To manage our interest rate risk in the current first quarter, we continued to pursue certain core components of our business model: (1) We emphasized the origination and retention of intermediate-term assets, primarily in the form of multi-family and CRE loans; (2) We not only utilized our cash flows to fund our loan production but also to reduce our balance of wholesale borrowings; and (3) We continued to reduce our cost of interest-bearing deposits.

Our mortgage banking operation makes certain IRLCs to fund residential mortgage loans at specified rates and for a specific period of time. When the loans are funded, they are typically held in inventory for approximately 15 days before being sold to GSEs. During the time an IRLC is outstanding, as well as during the time the funded loan is held in inventory, we are exposed to interest rate risk. To minimize this interest rate risk, we enter into forward commitments to sell mortgages, mostly with primary dealers. To the extent we are not able to deliver loans on the appropriate delivery dates, we may be required to pay a fee to the buyer. The forward commitments are used to offset interest rate risk on the IRLCs and loan inventory while options on U.S. Government treasuries are used to offset the delivery risk posed by the potential fall-out on the IRLCs. We may also use Eurodollar futures and other financial instruments to minimize these risks, depending on market conditions.

We may retain the servicing on loans that we sell, in which case we would recognize a servicing asset, or MSR. We estimate prepayment rates based on current interest rate levels, other economic conditions, and market forecasts, as well as relevant characteristics of the underlying loans held in the MSR portfolio. Generally, when market interest rates decline, prepayments increase as customers refinance their existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. When a mortgage prepays, or when loans are expected to prepay earlier than estimated, the anticipated cash flows associated with servicing these loans are terminated or reduced, resulting in a reduction in the fair value of the capitalized MSRs. Similarly, when interest rates rise, cash flows from the MSR asset are likely to be greater than anticipated, thereby increasing the fair value of the capitalized MSRs.

We also invest in exchange-traded derivative financial instruments which are expected to experience opposite and offsetting changes in fair value as related to the value of the MSRs. MSRs are recorded at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded currently in earnings.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The matching of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which such assets and liabilities are interest rate sensitive and by monitoring a bank's interest rate sensitivity gap. An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time frame if it will mature or reprice within that period of time. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets maturing or repricing within a specific time frame and the amount of interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within that same period of time.

In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a negative gap would generally be expected, absent the effects of other factors, to experience a greater increase in the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities than it would in the yield on its interest-earning assets, thus producing a decline in its net interest income. Conversely, in a declining rate environment, an institution with a negative gap would generally be expected to experience a lesser reduction in the yield on its interest-earning assets than it would in the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities, thus producing an increase in its net interest income.

In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a positive gap would generally be expected to experience a greater increase in the yield on its interest-earning assets than it would in the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities, thus producing an increase in its net interest income. Conversely, in a declining rate environment, an institution with a positive gap would generally be expected to experience a lesser reduction in the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities than it would in the yield on its interest-earning assets, thus producing a decline in its net interest income.

At March 31, 2011, our one-year gap was a positive 5.07%, as compared to a positive 1.72% at the end of December. The movement in our one-year gap was primarily attributable to the increase in refinancing activity in our loan portfolios, which resulted in the expected weighted average life of our multi-family loan portfolio declining from 4.1 years to 3.2 years and the expected weighted average life of our CRE loan portfolio declining from 4.0 years to 3.5.

The following table sets forth the amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at March 31, 2011 which, based on certain assumptions stemming from our historical experience, are expected to reprice or mature in each of the future time periods shown. Except as stated below, the amounts of assets and liabilities shown as repricing or maturing during a particular time period were determined in accordance with the earlier of (1) the term to repricing, or (2) the contractual terms of the asset or liability. The table provides an approximation of the projected repricing of assets and liabilities at March 31, 2011 on the basis of contractual maturities, anticipated prepayments, and scheduled rate adjustments within a three-month period and subsequent selected time intervals. For loans and mortgage-related securities, prepayment rates were assumed to range up to 22% annually. Savings accounts, Super NOW accounts, and NOW accounts were assumed to decay at an annual rate of 5% for the first five years and 15% for the years thereafter. With the exception of those accounts having specified repricing dates, money market accounts were assumed to decay at an annual rate of 20% for the first five years and 50% in the years thereafter.

Prepayment and deposit decay rates can have a significant impact on our estimated gap. While we believe our assumptions to be reasonable, there can be no assurance that the assumed prepayment and decay rates noted above will approximate actual future loan prepayments and deposit withdrawal activity.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

Based on the information and assumptions in effect at March 31, 2011, the following table sets forth the estimated percentage change in future net interest income for the next twelve months, assuming a gradual increase or decrease in interest rates during such time:

(dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2011						Total
	Three Months or Less	Four to Twelve Months	More Than One Year to Three Years	More Than Three Years to Five Years	More Than Five Years to 10 Years	More Than 10 Years	
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS:							
Mortgage and other loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,424,249	\$ 6,352,699	\$ 10,060,083	\$ 5,868,364	\$ 905,311	\$ 445,766	\$ 28,056,472
Mortgage-related securities ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	213,853	531,786	1,134,227	574,116	503,927	70,249	3,028,158
Other securities and money market investments ⁽²⁾	2,723,399	16,875	282,330	670,669	612,372	65,328	4,370,973
Total interest-earning assets	7,361,501	6,901,360	11,476,640	7,113,149	2,021,610	581,343	35,455,603
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES:							
NOW and money market accounts	3,771,945	576,390	1,147,832	783,138	1,920,482	719,439	8,919,226
Savings accounts	592,530	127,498	314,919	284,215	1,463,504	1,167,304	3,949,970
Certificates of deposit	2,612,350	2,935,833	1,680,818	218,036	6,510		7,453,547
Borrowed funds	877,628	687,008	928,361	1,883,311	8,324,682	318,572	13,019,562
Total interest-bearing liabilities	7,854,453	4,326,729	4,071,930	3,168,700	11,715,178	2,205,315	33,342,305
Interest rate sensitivity gap per period ⁽⁴⁾	\$ (492,952)	\$ 2,574,631	\$ 7,404,710	\$ 3,944,449	\$ (9,693,568)	\$ (1,623,972)	\$ 2,113,298
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap	\$ (492,952)	\$ 2,081,679	\$ 9,486,389	\$ 13,430,838	\$ 3,737,270	\$ 2,113,298	
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap as a percentage of total assets	(1.20)%	5.07%	23.11%	32.72%	9.10%	5.15%	
Cumulative net interest-earning assets as a percentage of net interest-bearing liabilities	93.72%	117.09%	158.37%	169.15%	112.00%	106.34%	

(1) For the purpose of the gap analysis, non-performing non-covered loans and the allowance for loan losses have been excluded.

(2) Mortgage-related and other securities, including FHLB stock, are shown at their respective carrying amounts.

(3) Expected amount based, in part, on historical experience.

(4) The interest rate sensitivity gap per period represents the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the preceding Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of the market, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate loans, have features that restrict changes in interest rates both on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Furthermore, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate from those assumed in calculating the table. Finally, the ability of some borrowers to repay their adjustable-rate loans may be adversely impacted by an increase in market interest rates.

Management also monitors interest rate sensitivity through the use of a model that generates estimates of the change in our net portfolio value (NPV) over a range of interest rate scenarios. NPV is defined as the net present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities, and off-balance-sheet contracts. The model makes assumptions regarding estimated loan prepayment rates, reinvestment rates, and deposit decay rates.

To monitor our overall sensitivity to changes in interest rates, we model the effect of instantaneous increases and decreases in interest rates on our assets and liabilities. While the NPV analysis provides an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to, and do not, provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income, and may very well differ from actual results.

Based on the information and assumptions in effect at March 31, 2011, the following table reflects the estimated percentage change in our NPV, assuming the changes in interest rates noted:

Change in Interest Rates (in basis points) ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Percentage Change in Net Portfolio Value
+200	(11.72)%
+100	(6.63)

(1) The impact of 100- and 200-basis point reductions in interest rates is not presented in view of the current level of the federal funds rate and other short-term interest rates.

We also utilize an internal net interest income simulation to manage our sensitivity to interest rate risk. The simulation incorporates various market-based assumptions regarding the impact of changing interest rates on future levels of our financial assets and liabilities. The assumptions used in the net interest income simulation are inherently uncertain. Actual results may differ significantly from those presented in the table below, due to the frequency, timing, and magnitude of changes in interest rates; changes in spreads between maturity and repricing categories; and prepayments, among other factors, coupled with any actions taken to counter the effects of any such changes.

Based on the information and assumptions in effect at March 31, 2011, the following table reflects the estimated percentage change in future net interest income for the next twelve months, assuming the changes in interest rates noted:

Change in Interest Rates (in basis points) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Estimated Percentage Change in Future Net Interest Income
+200 over one year	1.35%
+100 over one year	0.33

(1) In general, short- and long-term rates are assumed to increase in parallel fashion across all four quarters and then remain unchanged.

(2) The impact of 100- and 200-basis point reductions in interest rates is not presented in view of the current level of the federal funds rate and other short-term interest rates.

Future changes in our mix of assets and liabilities may result in greater changes to our gap, NPV, and/or net interest income simulation.

Our mortgage banking operation makes certain IRLCs to fund residential mortgage loans at a specified interest rate and price for a specific period of time (typically 10 to 60 days forward). When the loans are funded, they are held in inventory for approximately 30 days before being

sold to GSEs or private investors. During the

time an IRLC is outstanding, as well as during the time the funded loan is held in inventory, we are exposed to interest rate risk. To minimize this interest rate risk, we enter into forward commitments to sell mortgage loans (agreements to deliver/sell mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities at a specific interest rate and price as of a pre-determined forward settlement date). To the extent we are not able to deliver loans on the specified settlement dates, we may be required to pay a fee to the buyer. The forward commitments are used to partially offset market value changes of the IRLCs and loan inventory, while options on U.S. Government treasuries are used to offset the delivery risk posed by the potential fall-out on the IRLCs. We may also use Eurodollar futures and other financial instruments to minimize these risks, depending on market conditions and the underlying product type (e.g., adjustable rate loans).

We may retain the servicing on loans that we sell, in which case we would recognize a servicing asset, or MSR. We estimate prepayment rates based on current interest rate levels, other economic conditions, and market forecasts, as well as relevant characteristics of the underlying loans held in the MSR portfolio. Generally, when market interest rates decline, prepayments increase as customers refinance their existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. When a mortgage prepays, or when loans are expected to prepay earlier than estimated, the anticipated cash flows associated with servicing these loans are terminated or reduced, resulting in a reduction in the fair value of the capitalized MSRs. Similarly, when interest rates rise, cash flows from the MSR asset are likely to be greater than anticipated, thereby increasing the fair value of the capitalized MSRs.

To mitigate the prepayment risk inherent in MSRs, we invest in exchange-traded derivative financial instruments which are expected to experience directionally opposite and partially offsetting changes in the capitalized fair value of the MSRs. The fair value of the MSR asset and any related derivatives are recorded at fair value at inception, while subsequent current period changes in fair value of the MSR and related derivatives are recognized through earnings.

Liquidity, Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Commitments, and Capital Position

Liquidity

We manage our liquidity to ensure that cash flows are sufficient to support our operations, and to compensate for any temporary mismatches between sources and uses of funds caused by variable loan and deposit demand.

We monitor our liquidity daily to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet our financial obligations. Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents, which totaled \$2.4 billion at March 31, 2011, as compared to \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2010. In the first three months of 2011, our loan and securities portfolios continued to be significant sources of liquidity, with proceeds from the sale of loans originated for sale totaling \$2.2 billion, and the repayment and sale of securities generating cash flows of \$276.5 million and \$331.0 million, respectively.

Additional liquidity stems from the deposits we acquire or gather through our branches and from our use of wholesale funding sources, including brokered deposits and wholesale borrowings. We also have access to the Banks' approved lines of credit with various counterparties, including the FHLB-NY.

Our primary investing activity is loan production and, in the first three months of 2011, the volume of loans originated exceeded the volume of loan repayments received. During this time, the net cash used in investing activities totaled \$195.5 million. In addition, our financing activities used net cash of \$235.1 million and our operating activities provided net cash of \$854.8 million.

CDs due to mature in one year or less from March 31, 2011 totaled \$5.5 billion, representing 74.4% of total CDs at that date. Our ability to retain these CDs and to attract new deposits depends on numerous factors, including customer satisfaction, the rates of interest we pay on our deposits, the types of products we offer, and the attractiveness of their terms. There are times that we may choose not to compete for deposits, depending on the availability of lower-cost funding, the competitiveness of the market and its impact on pricing, and our need for such deposits to fund loan demand.

On a stand-alone basis, the Company is a separate legal entity from each of the Banks and must provide for its own liquidity. In addition to operating expenses and any share repurchases, the Company is responsible for paying any dividends declared to our shareholders. The ability of the Community Bank and the Commercial Bank to pay dividends and other capital distributions to the Parent Company is generally limited by New York State banking

law and regulations, and by certain regulations of the FDIC. In addition, the New York State Superintendent of Banks (the Superintendent), the FDIC, and the Federal Reserve Bank, for reasons of safety and soundness, may prohibit the payment of dividends that are otherwise permissible by regulations.

Under New York State Banking Law, a New York State-chartered stock-form savings bank or commercial bank may declare and pay dividends out of its net profits, unless there is an impairment of capital. However, the approval of the Superintendent is required if the total of all dividends declared in a calendar year would exceed the total of a bank's net profits for that year, combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years. In the first quarter of 2011, the Banks paid dividends totaling \$120.0 million to the Parent Company, leaving \$356.4 million that they could dividend to the Parent Company without regulatory approval at March 31st. In addition, the Parent Company had \$84.6 million in cash and cash equivalents at March 31st, together with \$6.2 million of available-for-sale securities. If either of the Banks applies to the Superintendent for approval to make a dividend or capital distribution in excess of the dividend amounts permitted under the regulations, there can be no assurance that such an application will be approved by the regulatory authorities.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Commitments

At March 31, 2011, we had outstanding loan commitments of \$2.0 billion and outstanding letters of credit totaling \$139.0 million. In addition, we continue to be obligated under numerous non-cancelable operating lease and license agreements. The amounts involved in our operating lease and license agreements at the close of the current quarter were comparable to the amounts at December 31, 2010, as disclosed in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In addition, we use various financial instruments, including derivatives, in connection with our strategies to reduce price risk resulting from changes in interest rates. Our derivative financial instruments consist of financial forward and futures contracts, IRLCs, swaps, and options. These derivatives relate to our mortgage banking operations, MSRs, and other risk management activities, and seek to mitigate or reduce our exposure to losses from adverse changes in interest rates. These activities will vary in scope, based on the level and volatility of interest rates, the types of assets held, and other changes in market conditions. At March 31, 2011, we held derivative financial instruments with a notional value of \$2.9 billion.

Capital Position

Stockholders' equity totaled \$5.5 billion at March 31, 2011, representing a \$14.4 million increase from the balance recorded at December 31, 2010. The March 31st amount was equivalent to 13.50% of total assets, reflecting an eight-basis point increase, while book value per share equaled \$12.67, representing a \$0.02 decline.

Tangible stockholders' equity (defined as stockholders' equity less the sum of goodwill and core deposit intangible assets) rose \$21.8 million from the balance at year-end 2010 to \$3.0 billion, and represented 7.87% of tangible assets, an eight-basis point increase. Excluding AOCL of \$50.5 million from the calculation, the ratio of adjusted tangible stockholders' equity to adjusted tangible assets rose nine basis points linked-quarter, to 7.99% at March 31, 2011. (Please see the reconciliations of stockholders' equity, tangible stockholders' equity, and adjusted tangible stockholders' equity; total assets, tangible assets, and adjusted tangible assets; and the related capital measures provided earlier in this report.)

Consistent with our historical performance, our capital levels exceeded the minimum federal requirements for a bank holding company at March 31, 2011. On a consolidated basis, our leverage capital equaled \$3.5 billion, representing 9.19% of adjusted average assets, and our Tier 1 and total risk-based capital equaled \$3.5 billion and \$3.7 billion, representing 13.70% and 14.32%, respectively, of risk-weighted assets. At December 31, 2010, our leverage capital, Tier 1 risk-based capital, and total risk-based capital amounted to \$3.5 billion, \$3.5 billion, and

\$3.7 billion, equivalent to 9.07% of adjusted average assets, 13.69% of risk-weighted assets, and 14.36% of risk-weighted assets, respectively.

In addition, as of March 31, 2011, both the Community Bank and the Commercial Bank were categorized as well capitalized under the FDIC's regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, a bank must maintain a minimum leverage capital ratio of 5.00%, a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.00%, and a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 10.00%.

The following regulatory capital analyses set forth the leverage, Tier 1 risk-based, and total risk-based capital levels at March 31, 2011 for the Company, the Community Bank, and the Commercial Bank, each in comparison with the minimum federal requirements.

Regulatory Capital Analysis (the Company)

(dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2011					
	Leverage Capital		Risk-Based Capital			
	Amount	Ratio	Tier 1 Amount	Ratio	Total Amount	Ratio
Total capital	\$ 3,523,346	9.19%	\$ 3,523,346	13.70%	\$ 3,681,554	14.32%
Regulatory capital requirement	1,533,409	4.00	1,028,616	4.00	2,057,232	8.00
Excess	\$ 1,989,937	5.19%	\$ 2,494,730	9.70%	\$ 1,624,322	6.32%

Regulatory Capital Analysis (New York Community Bank)

(dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2011					
	Leverage Capital		Risk-Based Capital			
	Amount	Ratio	Tier 1 Amount	Ratio	Total Amount	Ratio
Total capital	\$ 3,205,668	8.96%	\$ 3,205,668	13.25%	\$ 3,349,709	13.84%
Regulatory capital requirement	1,430,809	4.00	967,798	4.00	1,935,597	8.00
Excess	\$ 1,774,859	4.96%	\$ 2,237,870	9.25%	\$ 1,414,112	5.84%

Regulatory Capital Analysis (New York Commercial Bank)

(dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2011					
	Leverage Capital		Risk-Based Capital			
	Amount	Ratio	Tier 1 Amount	Ratio	Total Amount	Ratio
Total capital	\$ 291,356	11.79%	\$ 291,356	15.56%	\$ 306,093	16.35%
Regulatory capital requirement	98,840	4.00	74,892	4.00	149,784	8.00
Excess	\$ 192,516	7.79%	\$ 216,464	11.56%	\$ 156,309	8.35%

Earnings Summary for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

We generated net income of \$123.2 million, or \$0.28 per diluted share, in the current first quarter, as compared to \$149.8 million, or \$0.34 per diluted share, in the trailing quarter and \$124.1 million, or \$0.29 per diluted share, in the first quarter of 2010.

Net interest income totaled \$303.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, representing a year-over-year increase of \$8.7 million and a linked-quarter reduction of \$1.7 million. Similarly, our net interest margin rose 17 basis points year-over-year, but declined three basis points linked-quarter, to 3.58% in the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The year-over-year increases were primarily due to a continued decline in the cost of our interest-bearing deposits, and to a rise in prepayment penalty income, as refinancing activity increased in our multi-family market. The linked-quarter reductions reflect a decline in the average balances of loans and interest-earning assets, together with a modest decline in average loan and asset yields.

While the production of loans for investment rose substantially over the quarter, as refinancing activity increased, the benefit was largely offset by an increase in loan satisfactions during the same time. Of greater importance, during this time, the production of one-to-four family loans for sale was constrained by a decline in

applications, as existing home owners and new buyers were discouraged from refinancing and new purchases by continued weakness in the residential real estate market.

The reduction in one-to-four family loans produced for sale also impacted our non-interest income, as income from mortgage originations fell \$21.2 million, or 57.3%, over the course of the quarter, and \$844,000, or 5.1%, over the course of the year. Reflecting these declines, as well as modest reductions in fee income, non-interest income totaled \$58.6 million in the current first quarter, as compared to \$103.3 million in the trailing quarter and \$55.0 million in the first quarter of 2010. The linked-quarter reduction also reflects a decline in net securities gains from \$22.4 million in the trailing quarter to \$10.0 million in the first quarter of this year. The respective amounts were equivalent to \$13.5 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, on an after-tax basis, or \$0.03 and \$0.01 per diluted share.

Non-interest expense totaled \$146.7 million in the current first quarter, reflecting a \$1.6 million decrease from the trailing-quarter level and a \$10.0 million increase year-over-year. Operating expenses accounted for \$139.3 million of the current first quarter total, reflecting a linked-quarter reduction of \$1.3 million and a year-over-year increase of \$10.5 million. In the three months ended March 31, and December 31, 2010, our operating expenses were increased by acquisition-related costs of \$2.7 million and \$6.3 million, equivalent to \$1.7 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, after-tax. No such expenses were recorded in the first quarter of this year.

Income tax expense declined \$15.2 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, from the levels recorded in the trailing and year-earlier quarters, to \$66.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is our primary source of income. Its level is largely a function of the average balance of our interest-earning assets, the average balance of our interest-bearing liabilities, and the spread between the yield on such assets and the cost of such liabilities. These factors are influenced by the pricing and mix of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities which, in turn, may be impacted by such external factors as economic conditions, competition for loans and deposits, market interest rates, and the monetary policy of the Federal Open Market Committee (the FOMC) of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors.

The cost of our deposits and borrowed funds is largely based on short-term rates of interest, the level of which is partially impacted by the actions of the FOMC. The FOMC reduces, maintains, or increases the target federal funds rate the rate at which banks borrow funds overnight from one another as it deems necessary. Since the fourth quarter of 2008, the target federal funds rate has been maintained at a range of zero to 0.25%.

While the federal funds rate generally impacts the cost of our short-term borrowings and deposits, the yields on our held-for-investment loans and other interest-earning assets are typically impacted by intermediate-term market interest rates.

Net interest income is also influenced by the level of prepayment penalty income recorded, primarily in connection with the prepayment of multi-family and CRE loans. Since prepayment penalty income is recorded as interest income, an increase or decrease in its level will also be reflected in the average yields on our loans and interest-earning assets, and therefore, in our interest rate spread and net interest margin.

Reflecting a significant increase in refinancing activity, prepayment penalty income contributed \$19.6 million to net interest income in the current first quarter, representing a linked-quarter increase of \$4.5 million and a far more significant increase of \$18.4 million from the year-earlier amount.

In the first quarter of 2011, we generated net interest income of \$303.3 million, representing a year-over-year increase of \$8.7 million and a linked-quarter reduction of \$1.7 million. The year-over-year increase was largely due to the rise in prepayment penalty income and to the continued decline of our funding costs.

Among the factors contributing to the linked-quarter decline were a marked reduction in the average balance of loans held for sale, which impacted the average balances of loans and interest-earning assets, together with a decline in the average yield on loans.

Year-Over-Year Comparison

The year-over-year increase in net interest income was the result of an \$11.5 million decline in interest income to \$470.9 million and a \$20.1 million decline in interest expense to \$167.7 million.

Loans generated interest income of \$415.9 million in the current first quarter, representing a \$2.3 million increase from the year-earlier amount. The increase was the net effect of a \$201.3 million rise in the average balance of loans to \$28.5 billion and a one-basis point decline in the average yield to 5.84%. Prepayment penalty income contributed \$19.6 million to interest income in the current first quarter in contrast to \$1.3 million in the year-earlier three months.

The benefit of the increase in the interest income generated by loans was exceeded by the impact of a \$13.7 million decline in the interest income produced by securities and money market investments to \$55.0 million, as the average balance of such assets declined \$867.9 million to \$5.2 billion and the average yield declined by 30 basis points to 4.25%.

As a result of these factors, the average balance of interest-earning assets fell \$666.6 million year-over-year to \$33.7 billion, accompanied by a two-basis point decline in the average yield to 5.60%.

The year-over-year decline in interest expense was the net effect of a \$2.0 billion reduction in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities to \$33.0 billion and an 11-basis point reduction in the average cost of funds to 2.06%. Interest-bearing deposits generated interest expense of \$42.3 million in the current first quarter, down \$17.5 million from the year-earlier level, primarily reflecting a \$10.6 million decrease in the interest expense produced by CDs. Year-over-year, the average balance of CDs declined by \$1.3 billion to \$7.6 billion, while the average cost of such funds fell 27 basis points to 1.43%.

The interest expense produced by borrowed funds fell \$2.6 million year-over-year, to \$125.4 million, the net effect of an \$861.1 million decline in the average balance to \$13.0 billion, and a 16-basis point increase in the average cost of such funds to 3.89%.

Linked-Quarter Comparison

The modest linked-quarter decline in net interest income was the net effect of a \$9.9 million decrease in interest income and an \$8.1 million decrease in interest expense.

During the quarter, the interest income produced by securities and money market investments rose \$3.0 million, as the average balance of such assets increased by \$369.9 million, tempering the impact of a seven-basis point drop in the average yield. However, the interest income produced by loans fell \$12.9 million over the course of the quarter, as the benefit of a \$4.5 million increase in prepayment penalty income was far exceeded by the impact of a \$602.9 million decline in the average balance and a five-basis point drop in the average yield. Reflecting these factors, the average balance of interest-earning assets fell \$233.0 million in the current first quarter, and the average yield fell seven basis points.

The linked-quarter decline in interest expense reflects a \$149.5 million decrease in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities and a four-basis point reduction in the average cost of funds. During the quarter, the interest expense produced by interest-bearing deposits fell \$3.8 million, as the average balance declined by \$52.7 million and the average cost dropped by five basis points. Similarly, the interest expense produced by borrowed funds fell \$4.3 million during the quarter, as the average balance of such funds declined by \$96.9 million and the average cost declined by three basis points.

Interest Rate Spread and Net Interest Margin

In the first quarter of 2011, our interest rate spread and net interest margin were impacted by the same factors that impacted our net interest income. At 3.54%, our spread was three basis points narrower than the trailing-quarter measure but nine basis points wider than the year-earlier spread. Similarly, our margin was three basis points narrower on a linked-quarter basis, but 17 basis points wider than the measure in the first quarter of 2010.

Prepayment penalty income added 23 basis points to our spread and margin in the current first quarter, in contrast to 18 basis points in the fourth quarter of 2010. In the first quarter of 2010, prepayment penalty income added one basis point to our spread and two basis points to our margin.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our average balance sheets for the periods indicated, including the average yields on our interest-earning assets and the average costs of our interest-bearing liabilities. Average yields are calculated by dividing the interest income produced by the average balance of interest-earning assets. Average costs are calculated by dividing the interest expense produced by the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities. The average balances for the period are derived from average balances that are calculated daily. The average yields and costs include fees that are considered adjustments to such average yields and costs.

Net Interest Income Analysis (Year-Over-Year Comparison)

(dollars in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Mortgage and other loans, net ⁽¹⁾	\$ 28,491,025	\$ 415,942	5.84%	\$ 28,289,706	\$ 413,675	5.85%
Securities and money market investments ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	5,176,631	54,981	4.25	6,044,545	68,703	4.55
Total interest-earning assets	33,667,656	470,923	5.60	34,334,251	482,378	5.62
Non-interest-earning assets	7,045,388			8,178,813		
Total assets	\$ 40,713,044			\$ 42,513,064		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity:						
Interest-bearing deposits:						
NOW and money market accounts	\$ 8,430,376	\$ 11,154	0.54%	\$ 8,363,939	\$ 16,431	0.80%
Savings accounts	3,910,617	4,127	0.43	3,820,433	5,745	0.61
Certificates of deposit	7,642,160	26,974	1.43	8,977,527	37,553	1.70
Total interest-bearing deposits	19,983,153	42,255	0.86	21,161,899	59,729	1.14
Borrowed funds	13,047,968	125,416	3.89	13,909,058	128,065	3.73
Total interest-bearing liabilities	33,031,121	167,671	2.06	35,070,957	187,794	2.17
Non-interest-bearing deposits	1,811,249			1,696,176		
Other liabilities	358,704			381,693		
Total liabilities	35,201,074			37,148,826		
Stockholders equity	5,511,970			5,364,238		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 40,713,044			\$ 42,513,064		
Net interest income/interest rate spread		\$ 303,252	3.54%		\$ 294,584	3.45%
Net interest margin			3.58%			3.41%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities			1.02x			0.98x

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) Amounts are net of net deferred loan origination costs/(fees) and the allowance for loan losses, and include loans held for sale and non-performing loans.
- (2) Amounts are at amortized cost.
- (3) Includes FHLB stock.

Net Interest Income Analysis (Linked-Quarter Comparison)

(dollars in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended					
	March 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Mortgage and other loans, net ⁽¹⁾	\$ 28,491,025	\$ 415,942	5.84%	\$ 29,093,967	\$ 428,850	5.89%
Securities and money market investments ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	5,176,631	54,981	4.25	4,806,688	51,949	4.32
Total interest-earning assets	33,667,656	470,923	5.60	33,900,655	480,799	5.67
Non-interest-earning assets	7,045,388			7,147,137		
Total assets	\$ 40,713,044			\$ 41,047,792		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity:						
Interest-bearing deposits:						
NOW and money market accounts	\$ 8,430,376	\$ 11,154	0.54%	\$ 8,209,423	\$ 11,605	0.56%
Savings accounts	3,910,617	4,127	0.43	3,892,312	4,464	0.46
Certificates of deposit	7,642,160	26,974	1.43	7,934,080	29,989	1.50
Total interest-bearing deposits	19,983,153	42,255	0.86	20,035,815	46,058	0.91
Borrowed funds	13,047,968	125,416	3.89	13,144,827	129,751	3.92
Total interest-bearing liabilities	33,031,121	167,671	2.06	33,180,642	175,809	2.10
Non-interest-bearing deposits	1,811,249			1,963,577		
Other liabilities	358,704			380,631		
Total liabilities	35,201,074			35,524,850		
Stockholders equity	5,511,970			5,522,942		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 40,713,044			\$ 41,047,792		
Net interest income/interest rate spread		\$ 303,252	3.54%		\$ 304,990	3.57%
Net interest margin			3.58%			3.61%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities			1.02x			1.02x

(1) Amounts are net of net deferred loan origination costs/(fees) and the allowance for loan losses, and include loans held for sale and non-performing loans.

(2) Amounts are at amortized cost.

(3) Includes FHLB stock.

Provision for Losses on Non-Covered Loans

The provision for losses on non-covered loans is based on management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for losses on non-covered loans which, in turn, is based on its evaluation of inherent losses in the non-covered loan portfolio in accordance with GAAP. This evaluation considers several factors, including the current and historical performance of the non-covered loan portfolio; its inherent risk characteristics; the

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

level of non-performing non-covered loans and charge-offs; delinquency levels and trends; local economic and market conditions; declines in real estate values; and the levels of unemployment and vacancy rates.

The provision for losses on non-covered loans was \$26.0 million in the current first quarter, representing a \$9.0 million increase from the trailing-quarter level and a \$6.0 million increase from the year-earlier amount. Reflecting the current first quarter provision, and net charge-offs of \$38.6 million, the allowance for loan losses was reduced to \$146.3 million at March 31, 2011 from \$158.9 million at December 31, 2010. The March 31st amount was equivalent to 23.72% of non-performing non-covered loans and 0.61% of total non-covered loans at that date.

Please see [Critical Accounting Policies](#) earlier in this report for a detailed discussion of the factors considered by management in determining the allowance for loan losses, together with the discussion of asset quality that appears in the balance sheet summary.

Non-Interest Income

The Company has four ongoing sources of non-interest income: mortgage banking income; fee income; income from bank-owned life insurance (BOLI); and other income. Non-interest income from these ongoing sources totaled \$48.6 million in the current first quarter, as compared to \$69.2 million in the trailing quarter and \$55.5 million in the first quarter of 2010.

These reductions were largely due to a decrease in mortgage banking income to \$19.9 million from \$40.4 million and \$27.5 million, respectively, in the trailing and year-earlier three months. The linked-quarter reduction was primarily due to a \$21.2 million decline in income from originations to \$15.8 million, tempered by a \$709,000 increase in income from servicing. The year-over-year reduction in mortgage banking income was the result of an \$844,000 decrease in income from originations and a \$6.8 million reduction in income from servicing.

In addition to income from originations and fees collected from servicing loans for others, mortgage banking income includes the net gain on the sale of loans, the change in the value of IRLCs outstanding, derivative activity results, and the fair market value fluctuations of residential MSRs. MSRs are carried at fair value with the net activity included in mortgage banking income.

To a much lesser extent, the decline in our first quarter 2011 non-interest income was due to a modest decrease in fee income, which was exceeded by a modest increase in other income, primarily reflecting revenues from the sale of alternative investment products and from our investment advisory firm, Peter B. Cannell & Co., Inc. (PBC).

In the first quarter of 2011 and the fourth quarter of 2010, our non-interest income was increased by respective net securities gains of \$10.0 million and \$22.4 million, while our first quarter 2010 non-interest income was reduced by a modest net loss on securities sales.

The following table summarizes the components of non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Fee income	\$ 11,899	\$ 13,128	\$ 13,965
BOLI income	6,889	7,047	7,401
Net gain (loss) on sale of securities	9,992	22,438	(8)
Gain on debt repurchase		275	293
Loss on OTTI of securities			(723)
FDIC indemnification income		11,308	
Mortgage banking income	19,938	40,386	27,533
Other income:			
PBC	3,630	3,495	3,180
Third-party investment product sales	3,171	2,660	1,857
Other	3,091	2,523	1,546
Total other income	9,892	8,678	6,583
Total non-interest income	\$ 58,610	\$ 103,260	\$ 55,044

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense consists of operating expenses (comprised of compensation and benefits, occupancy and equipment, and general and administrative, or G&A, expenses); and the amortization of core deposit intangibles (CDI).

In the first quarter of 2011, non-interest expense totaled \$146.7 million, representing a linked-quarter reduction of \$1.6 million and a year-over-year increase of \$10.0 million. Operating expenses accounted for \$139.3 million of the current first quarter total, reflecting a linked-quarter decline of \$1.3 million and a year-over-year increase of \$10.5 million. CDI accounted for \$7.4 million of non-interest expense in the current first quarter, down \$288,000 on a linked-quarter basis and \$507,000 year-over-year.

At \$72.1 million, compensation and benefits expense accounted for the bulk of operating expenses, and was up \$4.8 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, from the trailing-quarter and year-earlier amounts. The increase in compensation and benefits expense largely reflects normal salary increases, stock award grants, and the impact of the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Desert Hills on March 26, 2010.

At \$21.9 million, occupancy and equipment expense was fairly consistent with the levels recorded in the trailing and year-earlier three months.

Although G&A expense rose \$5.0 million year-over-year, to \$45.3 million, the linked-quarter comparison reflects a \$5.8 million decline. The year-over-year increase in G&A expense largely reflects the expansion of the Company's branch network and an increase in expenses in connection with the management and sale of OREO. Acquisition-related costs added \$2.7 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, to G&A expense in the year-earlier and trailing quarters; there were no comparable expenses in the first quarter of 2011.

Effective April 1, 2011, the formula utilized to calculate the premiums paid by FDIC-insured banks and thrifts to the Bank Insurance Fund was modified in accordance with FDIC requirements. Reflecting this change, our FDIC insurance premiums are expected to increase beginning in the second quarter of 2011.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense consists of federal, state, and local taxes, and totaled \$66.0 million in the current first quarter, representing a linked-quarter decrease of \$15.2 million and a year-over-year decrease of \$2.7 million. Pre-tax income fell \$41.9 million to \$189.2 million on a linked-quarter basis and was down \$3.7 million year-over-year. In addition, the effective tax rate declined to 34.9% in the current first quarter from 35.1% and 35.6%, respectively, in the trailing and year-earlier three months, partially reflecting the receipt of interest relating to a tax refund and the decline in pre-tax income.

For additional information about our income tax expense, please see the discussion entitled "Income Taxes" under "Critical Accounting Policies" earlier in this report.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about the Company's market risk were presented on pages 91-95 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 1, 2011. Subsequent changes in the Company's market risk profile and interest rate sensitivity are detailed in the discussion entitled Asset and Liability Management and the Management of Interest Rate Risk in this quarterly report.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b), as adopted by the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

(b) Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as such factors could materially affect the Company's business, financial condition, or future results. There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risks described in the Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks that the Company faces. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company, or that the Company currently deems to be immaterial, also may have a material adverse impact on the Company's business, financial condition, or results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**Share Repurchase Program**

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company allocated \$2.4 million toward the repurchase of shares of its common stock, as outlined in the following table:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased ⁽¹⁾	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾
Month #1:				
January 1, 2011 through				
January 31, 2011	120,248	\$ 18.61	120,248	867,357
Month #2:				
February 1, 2011 through				
February 28, 2011	4,058	18.62	4,058	863,299
Month #3:				
March 1, 2011 through				
March 31, 2011	3,704	18.48	3,704	859,595
Total	128,010	\$ 18.61	128,010	

- (1) All shares were purchased in privately negotiated transactions.
- (2) On April 20, 2004, the Board authorized the repurchase of up to an additional five million shares. Of this amount, 859,595 shares were still available for repurchase at March 31, 2011. Under said authorization, shares may be repurchased on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6. **Exhibits**

- Exhibit 3.1: Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation ⁽¹⁾
- Exhibit 3.2: Certificates of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation ⁽²⁾
- Exhibit 3.3: Bylaws, as amended and restated ⁽³⁾
- Exhibit 4.1: Specimen Stock Certificate ⁽⁴⁾
- Exhibit 4.2: Registrant will furnish, upon request, copies of all instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt instruments of the registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries.
- Exhibit 31.1: Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- Exhibit 31.2: Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- Exhibit 32: Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
- Exhibit 101*: The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Furnished, not filed.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibits filed with the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 11, 2001 (File No. 000-22278).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibits filed with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 001-31565).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibits filed with the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 20, 2007 (File No. 001-31565).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibits filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-66852).

NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

New York Community Bancorp, Inc.
(Registrant)

DATE: May 10, 2011

BY: /s/ JOSEPH R. FICALORA
Joseph R. Ficalora

**President, Chief Executive Officer,
and Director**

DATE: May 10, 2011

BY: /s/ THOMAS R. CANGEMI
Thomas R. Cangemi

**Senior Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer**