ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS LP Form 10-Q November 09, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission file number: 1-4998

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of

23-3011077 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

1550 Coraopolis Heights Road

Moon Township, Pennsylvania (Address of principal executive office)

15108 (Zip code)

X

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (412) 262-2830

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, a accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

The number of common units of the registrant outstanding on November 3, 2010 was 53,312,760.

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT

ON FORM 10-Q

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	PAGE 3
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Statement of Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	35
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	51
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	53
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	55
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	55
SIGNATU	RES .	58

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	•	September 30, 2010		ber 31, 09
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	166	\$	1,021
Accounts receivable	5	9,421	;	80,019
Current portion of derivative asset		3,611		998
Prepaid expenses and other	1	4,852		13,360
Current assets of discontinued operations			4	22,746
Total current assets	7	8,050	1	18,144
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,33	9,730	1,32	27,704
Intangible assets, net	13	2,154	14	49,481
Investment in joint venture	13	5,765	1.	32,990
Long-term portion of derivative asset				361
Other assets, net	2	3,564		30,253
Long-term assets of discontinued operations			3′	79,030
Total assets	\$ 1,70	9,263	\$ 2,13	37,963
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	206	\$	
Accounts payable affiliates	1	0,391		2,043
Accounts payable		9,919		19,556
Accrued liabilities	3	2,443		13,320
Accrued interest payable		2,340		9,652
Current portion of derivative liability		1,511		33,547
Accrued producer liabilities	5	8,143		57,430
Current liabilities of discontinued operations				13,181
Total current liabilities	12	4,953	14	48,729
Long-term portion of derivative liability		5,770		11,126
Long-term debt, less current portion	50	7,676	1,2	54,183
Other long-term liability		266		398
Commitments and contingencies				
Equity:				
General partner s interest	2	0,341		15,853

Class B preferred limited partner s interest	14,955	14,955
1		14,933
Class C preferred limited partner s interest	8,000	
Common limited partners interests	1,087,649	787,834
Investment in Class B cumulative preferred member units of Atlas Pipeline Holdings II, LLC (reported as		
treasury units)	(15,000)	(15,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13,635)	(49,190)
Total partners capital	1,102,310	754,452
Non-controlling interest	(31,712)	(30,925)
Total equity	1,070,598	723,527
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,709,263	\$ 2,137,963
1 7	. , ,	. , ,

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per unit data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009		Nine Mon Septem 2010	
Revenue:	2010	2009	2010	2002
Natural gas and liquids third parties	\$ 220,478	\$ 161,365	\$ 641,978	\$ 434,780
Transportation, processing and other fees third parties	9,810	11,518	29,472	32,025
Transportation, processing and other fees affiliates	141	384	472	16,881
Other income (loss), net third parties	(4,311)	3,014	10,576	(13,117)
Total revenue and other income (loss), net	226,118	176,281	682,498	470,569
Costs and expenses:				
Natural gas and liquids third parties	178,920	131,503	521,495	368,658
Plant operating	12,552	11,663	36,492	33,065
Transportation and compression	300	134	721	6,256
General and administrative	7,203	8,327	22,396	24,443
Compensation reimbursement affiliates	375	375	1,125	1,125
Depreciation and amortization	18,566	17,916	55,647	55,567
Interest	27,446	28,337	78,444	75,944
Total costs and expenses	245,362	198,255	716,320	565,058
Equity income in joint venture	1,787	1,430	4,137	2,140
Gain (loss) on asset sale	ĺ	(994)	ĺ	108,947
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(17,457)	(21,538)	(29,685)	16,598
Discontinued operations:				
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	311,492		311,492	51,078
Earnings from discontinued operations	(5,565)	9,215	9,192	30,163
Income from discontinued operations	305,927	9,215	320,684	81,241
Net income (loss)	288,470	(12,323)	290,999	97,839
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1,076)	(954)	(3,338)	(2,075)
Preferred unit dividends	(240)		(240)	(900)
Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners and the general partner	\$ 287,154	\$ (13,277)	\$ 287,421	\$ 94,864

Edgar Filing: ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

Allocation of net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners and the								
general partner:								
Common limited partner interest:								
Continuing operations		8,414)	\$ ((22,040)		(32,627)	\$	13,349
Discontinued operations	30	0,085		9,030	3	14,559		79,608
	28	1,671	((13,010)	2	81,932		92,957
General partner interest:								
Continuing operations		(359)		(452)		(636)		274
Discontinued operations		5,842		185		6,125		1,633
		5,483		(267)		5,489		1,907
		,						
Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners and the general								
partner:								
Continuing operations	(1	8,773)	((22,492)	((33,263)		13,623
Discontinued operations	-	5,927		9,215		20,684		81,241
•								
	\$ 28	7,154	\$ ((13,277)	\$ 2	87,421	\$	94,864
	7	,,	Τ,	(,)		,	-	, 1,00
Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners per unit:								
Basic:								
Continuing operations	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.45)	\$	(0.61)	\$	0.28
Discontinued operations		5.63		0.19		5.92		1.67
	\$	5.29	\$	(0.26)	\$	5.31	\$	1.95
Diluted:								
Continuing operations	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.45)	\$	(0.61)	\$	0.28
Discontinued operations Diluted		5.63		0.19		5.92		1.67
	\$	5.29	\$	(0.26)	\$	5.31	\$	1.95
	•		•	, ,				
Weighted average common limited partner units outstanding:								
Basic	5	3,277		49,127		53,115		47,554
		- , -		,		,		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Diluted	5	3,277		49,127		53,115		47,591
Diluttu)	3,411		77,141		55,115		T/,JJ1

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

(in thousands, except unit data)

(Unaudited)

Atlas	
Class Preferred Preferred Common Other Pipeline Non- Class B C Limited Limited General Comprehensive Holdings II, controlling Preferred Preferred Common Partner Partner Partner Partner (Loss) LLC Interest	-
Balance at January 1, 2010 15,000 50,517,103 \$ 14,955 \$ \$ 787,834 \$ 15,853 \$ (49,190) \$ (15,000) \$ (30,92)	5) \$ 723,527
January 1, 2010 15,000 50,517,103 \$ 14,955 \$ \$ 787,834 \$ 15,853 \$ (49,190) \$ (15,000) \$ (30,92) Issuance of common limited partner	5) \$ 123,321
units 2,689,765 15,319	15,319
Issuance of	
preferred units 8,000 8,000	8,000
Distributions to	
non-controlling	
interests (4,12	5) (4,125)
General partner	(1.001)
reimbursement (1,001)	(1,001)
Issuance of units under	
incentive plans 117,209 19	19
Repurchase and	19
retirement of	
common	
limited partner	
units (20,442) (246)	(246)
Unissued units	(= 10)
under incentive	
plans 2,791	2,791
Distribution	
payable (240)	(240)
Other	
comprehensive	
income 35,555	35,555
Net income 240 281,932 5,489 3,33	3 290,999
Balance at September 30, 2010 15,000 8,000 53,303,635 \$14,955 \$8,000 \$1,087,649 \$20,341 \$(13,635) \$(15,000) \$(31,71)	2) \$ 1,070,598

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

5

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Nine Mon Septem	ths Ended ber 30,
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 290,999	\$ 97,83
Less: Income from discontinued operations	320,684	81,24
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(29,685)	16,59
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	55,647	55,56
Equity income in joint venture	(4,137)	(2,14
Distribution received from joint venture	8,276	1,65
Gain on asset sale	ĺ	(108,94
Non-cash compensation expense	2,810	49
Amortization of deferred finance costs	9,088	6,44
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other	19,106	22,35
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	11,431	6,27
Derivative accounts payable and accounts receivable	(4,089)	23,98
Accounts payable and accounts receivable affiliates	8,348	9,76
Net cash provided by continuing operations	76,795	32,06
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	24,490	19,42
Net cash provided by operations	101,285	51,48
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(31,194)	(115,13
Capital contributions to joint venture	(6,914)	(110,10
Net proceeds from asset sale	(0,>1.)	87,79
Other	391	(1,98
Net cash used in continuing investing activities	(37,717)	(29,32
Net cash provided by discontinued investing activities	667,605	288,10
Net cash provided by investing activities	629,888	258,78
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings under credit facility	273.000	483.00
Repayments under credit facility	(587,000)	(470,00
Repayment of debt	(433,504)	(273,67
Principal payments on capital lease	(92)	(273,07
Net proceeds from issuance of common limited partner units	15,319	16,14
net proceeds from issuance of common infinited partities units	13,319	10,12

Net proceeds from issuance of preferred limited partner units	8	3,000		4,955
Redemption of Class A preferred units				(15,000)
General partner capital contributions				658
Purchase of Class B cumulative preferred units of Atlas Pipeline Holdings II, LLC				(15,000)
Distributions paid to common limited partners and the general partner				(26,349)
Net distributions (received from) to non-controlling interests	(4	4,125)		7
Other	(3	3,626)		(11,192)
Net cash used in financing activities	(732	2,028)	(.	306,454)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		(855)		3,816
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period]	1,021		1,445
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	166	\$	5,261

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P. (the Partnership) is a publicly-traded (NYSE: APL) Delaware limited partnership engaged in the gathering and processing of natural gas. The Partnership s operations are conducted through subsidiary entities whose equity interests are owned by Atlas Pipeline Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership. At September 30, 2010, Atlas Pipeline Partners GP, LLC (the General Partner), through its general partner interests in the Partnership and the Operating Partnership, owns a 1.9% general partner interest in the consolidated pipeline operations, through which it manages and effectively controls both the Partnership and the Operating Partnership. The remaining 98.1% ownership interest in the consolidated pipeline operations consists of limited partner interests. The General Partner also owns 5,754,253 common units in the Partnership and 15,000 \$1,000 par value 12% cumulative Class B preferred limited partner units. At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had 53,303,635 common units outstanding, including the 5,754,253 common units held by the General Partner, plus 15,000 \$1,000 par value 12% cumulative Class B preferred limited partner units held by Atlas Pipeline Holdings, L.P., the parent of the General Partner, and 8,000 \$1,000 par value 12% cumulative Class C preferred limited partner units held by Atlas Energy), a publicly-traded company (NASDAQ: ATLS) (see Note 6).

On March 31, 2010, the Partnership s limited partnership agreement was amended to provide a temporary waiver of a capital contribution required for the General Partner to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest in the Partnership, relative to the January 2010 issuance of common units for warrants exercised. The General Partner will not be required to make such capital contribution until it has received aggregate distributions from the Partnership, sufficient to fund the required capital contribution. During this waiver period the General Partner s general partner interest will be reduced by approximately 0.1% to 1.9% (see Note 5).

The General Partner is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Atlas Pipeline Holdings, L.P. (AHD), a publicly-traded partnership (NYSE: AHD). Atlas Energy, at September 30, 2010, owned a 64.3% ownership interest in AHD s common units, and 1,112,000 of the Partnership s common units, representing a 2.1% ownership interest in the Partnership, along with 8,000 \$1,000 par value 12% cumulative Class C preferred limited partner units (see Note 6).

The majority of the natural gas that the Partnership and its affiliates, including Laurel Mountain Midstream, LLC (Laurel Mountain), gather in Appalachia is derived from wells operated by Atlas Energy. Laurel Mountain, which was formed in May 2009, is a joint venture between the Partnership and The Williams Companies, Inc. (NYSE: WMB) (Williams) in which the Partnership has a 49% non-controlling ownership interest and Williams holds the remaining 51% ownership interest.

The Partnership has adjusted its consolidated financial statements and related footnote disclosures presented within this Form 10-Q from the amounts previously presented to reflect the following items:

On January 1, 2010, the Partnership reclassified a portion of its historical income, within its consolidated statements of operations, to Transportation, Processing and Other Fees for fee-based revenues which were previously reported within Natural Gas and Liquids. This reclassification was made in order to provide clarity between the revenue that is commodity-based and the revenue that is fee-based; and

On September 16, 2010, the Partnership completed the sale of its Elk City and Sweetwater, Oklahoma natural gas gathering systems (see Note 4). The Partnership has retrospectively adjusted its prior period consolidated financial statements to reflect the amounts related to the operations of Elk City as discontinued operations.

7

The accompanying consolidated financial statements, which are unaudited except that the balance sheet at December 31, 2009 is derived from audited financial statements, are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim reporting. They do not include all disclosures normally made in financial statements contained in Form 10-K. In management s opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Partnership s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods disclosed have been made. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto presented in the Partnership s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations for the full year ending December 31, 2010. Certain amounts in the prior year s consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In addition to matters discussed further within this note, a more thorough discussion of the Partnership s significant accounting policies is included in its audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009

Principles of Consolidation and Non-Controlling Interest

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Partnership, the Operating Partnership and the Operating Partnership s wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. The General Partner s interest in the Operating Partnership is reported as part of its overall 1.9% general partner interest in the Partnership. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

The Partnership s consolidated financial statements also include its 95% interest in joint ventures which individually own a 100% interest in the Chaney Dell natural gas gathering system and processing plants and a 72.8% undivided interest in the Midkiff/Benedum natural gas gathering system and processing plants. The Partnership consolidates 100% of these joint ventures and reflects the non-controlling 5% interest in the joint ventures as non-controlling interests on its statements of operations. The Partnership also reflects the 5% interest in the net assets of the joint ventures as non-controlling interests and as a component of equity on its consolidated balance sheets. The joint ventures have a \$1.9 billion note receivable from the holder of the 5% interest in the joint ventures, which is reflected within non-controlling interests on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets.

The Midkiff/Benedum joint venture has a 72.8% undivided joint venture interest in the Midkiff/Benedum system, of which the remaining 27.2% interest is owned by Pioneer Natural Resources Company (NYSE: PXD) (Pioneer). Due to the ownership of the Midkiff/Benedum system being in the form of an undivided interest, the Midkiff/Benedum joint venture proportionally consolidates its 72.8% ownership interest in the assets and liabilities and operating results of the Midkiff/Benedum system.

Equity Method Investments

The Partnership s consolidated financial statements include its 49% non-controlling ownership interest in Laurel Mountain, a joint venture which owns and operates the Partnership s former Appalachia Basin natural gas gathering systems, excluding the Partnership s northeastern Tennessee operations. The Partnership accounts for its investment in the joint venture under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, the Partnership records its proportionate share of the joint venture s net income (loss) as equity income on its consolidated statements of operations.

8

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Partnership s consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities that exist at the date of the Partnership s consolidated financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting periods. The Partnership s consolidated financial statements are based on a number of significant estimates, including revenue and expense accruals, depreciation and amortization, asset impairment, the fair value of derivative instruments, the probability of forecasted transactions, the allocation of purchase price to the fair value of assets acquired and other items. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The natural gas industry principally conducts its business by processing actual transactions at the end of the month following the month of delivery. Consequently, the most current month s financial results were recorded using estimated volumes and commodity market prices. Differences between estimated and actual amounts are recorded in the following month s financial results. Management believes that the operating results presented represent actual results in all material respects (see -Revenue Recognition accounting policy for further description).

Receivables

In evaluating the realizability of its accounts receivable, the Partnership performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and adjusts credit limits based upon payment history and the customer s current creditworthiness, as determined by the Partnership s review of its customers credit information. The Partnership extends credit on an unsecured basis to many of its customers. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Partnership recorded no allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable on its consolidated balance sheets.

Capitalized Interest

The Partnership capitalizes interest on borrowed funds related to capital projects only for periods that activities are in progress to bring these projects to their intended use. The weighted average rate used to capitalize interest on borrowed funds was 7.7% and 7.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 7.5% and 6.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amount of interest capitalized was \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.6 million and \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Intangible Assets

The Partnership has recorded intangible assets with finite lives in connection with certain consummated acquisitions. The following table reflects the components of intangible assets being amortized at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009 ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Useful Lives In Years
Customer Relationships:			
Gross carrying amount	205,313	205,313	7 20
Accumulated amortization	(73,159)	(55,832)	
Net carrying amount	132,154	149,481	

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

The Partnership amortizes intangible assets with finite useful lives over their estimated useful lives. If an intangible asset has a finite useful life, but the precise length of that life is not known, that intangible asset must be amortized over the best estimate of its useful life. At a minimum, the Partnership will assess the useful lives of all intangible assets on an annual basis to determine if adjustments are required. The estimated useful life for the Partnership s customer relationship intangible assets is based upon the estimated average length of non-contracted customer relationships in existence at the date of acquisition, adjusted for management s estimate of whether these individual relationships will continue in excess or less than the average length. Amortization expense on intangible assets was \$5.8 million for both the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, and \$17.3 million for both the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Amortization expense related to intangible assets is estimated to be as follows for each of the next five calendar years: 2010 to 2013 - \$23.1 million per year; and 2014 - \$19.5 million.

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Unit

Basic net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners per unit is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners by the weighted average number of common limited partner units outstanding during the period. Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners is determined by deducting net income attributable to participating securities, if applicable, and net income (loss) attributable to the General Partner s and the preferred unitholder s interests. The General Partner s interest in net income (loss) is calculated on a quarterly basis based upon its 1.9% interest and incentive distributions to be distributed for the quarter (see Note 7), with a priority allocation of net income to the General Partner s incentive distributions, if any, in accordance with the partnership agreement, and the remaining net income (loss) allocated with respect to the General Partner s and limited partners ownership interests.

The Partnership presents net income (loss) per unit under the two-class method for master limited partnerships, which considers whether the incentive distributions of a master limited partnership represent a participating security when considered in the calculation of earnings per unit under the two-class method. The two-class method considers whether the partnership agreement contains any contractual limitations concerning distributions to the incentive distribution rights that would impact the amount of earnings to allocate to the incentive distribution rights for each reporting period. If distributions are contractually limited to the incentive distribution rights—share of currently designated available cash for distributions as defined under the partnership agreement, undistributed earnings in excess of available cash should not be allocated to the incentive distribution rights. Under the two-class method, management of the Partnership believes that the partnership agreement contractually limits cash distributions to available cash and, therefore, undistributed earnings are not allocated to the incentive distribution rights.

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and are included in the computation of earnings per unit pursuant to the two-class method. The Partnership s phantom unit awards, which consist of common units issuable under the terms of its long-term incentive plan and incentive compensation agreements (see Note 14), contain non-forfeitable rights to distribution equivalents of the Partnership. The participation rights result in a non-contingent transfer of value each time the Partnership declares a distribution or distribution equivalent right during the award s vesting period. However, unless the contractual terms of the participating securities require the holders to share in the losses of the entity, net loss is not allocated to the participating securities. As such, the net income (loss) utilized in the calculation of net income (loss) per unit must be after the allocation of only net income to the phantom units on a pro-rata basis.

10

The following is a reconciliation of net income (loss) from continuing operations and net income from discontinued operations allocated to the general partner and common limited partners for purposes of calculating net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners per unit (in thousands, except per unit data):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009 ⁽¹⁾		Nine Mont Septemb 2010	
Continuing operations:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (17,457)	\$ (21,538)	\$ (29,685)	\$ 16,598
Income attributable to non-controlling interest	(1,076)	(954)	(3,338)	(2,075)
Preferred unit dividends	(240)		(240)	(900)
Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners and the general				
partner	(18,773)	(22,492)	(33,263)	13,623
General partner s actual ownership interest	(358)	(452)	(636)	274
Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners	(18,415)	(22,040)	(32,627)	13,349
Less: Net income attributable to participating securities phantom units)				25
Net income (loss) utilized in the calculation of net income (loss) from	Φ (10 415)	¢ (22.040)	¢ (22 (27)	¢ 12 224
continuing operations attributable to common limited partners per unit	\$ (18,415)	\$ (22,040)	\$ (32,627)	\$ 13,324
Discontinued operations:				
Net income	\$ 305,927	\$ 9,215	\$ 320,684	\$ 81,241
Net income attributable to the general partner s ownership interests (2)	5,842	185	6,125	1,633
Net income utilized in the calculation of net income from discontinued operations attributable to common limited partners per unit	\$ 300,085	\$ 9,030	\$ 314,559	\$ 79,608

- (1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).
- (2) General partner ownership interest was 1.9% and 2.0% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively (see Note 1).
- (3) Net income attributable to common limited partners—ownership interest is allocated to the phantom units on a pro-rata basis (weighted average phantom units outstanding as a percentage of the sum of the weighted average phantom units and common limited partner units outstanding). For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, net loss attributable to common limited partners—ownership interest is not allocated to approximately 532,000 and 70,000 phantom units, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net loss attributable to common limited partners—ownership interest is not allocated to approximately 234,000 phantom units because the contractual terms of the phantom units as participating securities do not require the holders to share in the losses of the entity.

Diluted net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners per unit is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners by the sum of the weighted average number of common limited partner units outstanding, including participating securities, plus the dilutive effect of unit option awards, as calculated by the treasury stock method. Unit options consist of common units issuable upon payment of an exercise price by the participant under the terms of the Partnership s long-term incentive plan (see Note 14).

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the Partnership s weighted average number of common limited partner units used to compute basic net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners per unit with those used to compute diluted net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners per unit (in thousands):

			Three Months Ended September 30,		hs Ended ber 30,
		2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted average number of common limited partner units	basic	53,277	49,127	53,115	47,554
Add effect of participating securities phantom units)					
Add effect of dilutive option incentive awards ⁽²⁾					1
Add: effect of dilutive unit warrants					36
Weighted average number of common limited partner units	diluted	53,277	49,127	53,115	47,591

- (1) For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately 532,000 and 70,000 phantom units, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings attributable to common limited partners per unit and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, approximately 234,000 phantom units were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings attributable to common limited partners per unit, because the inclusion of such phantom units would have been anti-dilutive.
- (2) For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, 100,000 unit options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings attributable to common limited partners per unit because the inclusion of such unit options would have been anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, 100,000 unit options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings attributable to common limited partners per unit because the inclusion of such unit options would have been anti-dilutive.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and all other changes in the equity of a business during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. These changes, other than net income (loss), are referred to as other comprehensive income (loss) or OCI and for the Partnership only include changes in the fair value of unsettled derivative contracts which were accounted for as cash flow hedges (see Note 10). The following table sets forth the calculation of the Partnership s comprehensive income (loss) (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009 ⁽¹⁾		September 30, Septem	
Net income (loss)	\$ 288,470	\$ (12,323)	\$ 290,999	2009 ⁽¹⁾ \$ 97,839
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1,076)	(954)	(3,338)	(2,075)
Preferred unit dividends	(240)		(240)	(900)
Net income (loss) attributable to common limited partners and the general partner	287,154	(13,277)	287,421	94,864
Other comprehensive income:				
Changes in fair value of derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges		30		(2,268)
Add: adjustment for realized losses reclassified to net income	14,122	13,351	35,555	46,070
Total other comprehensive income	14,122	13,381	35,555	43,802
Comprehensive income	\$ 301,276	\$ 104	\$ 322,976	\$ 138,666

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

Revenue Recognition

The Partnership s revenue primarily consists of the fees earned from its gathering and processing operations. Under certain agreements, the Partnership purchases natural gas from producers and moves it into receipt points on its pipeline systems, and then sells the natural gas, or produced natural gas liquids (NGLs), if any, off of delivery points on its systems. Under other agreements, the Partnership gathers natural gas across its systems, from receipt to delivery point, without taking title to the natural gas. Revenue associated with the physical sale of natural gas is recognized upon physical delivery of the natural gas. In connection with the Partnership s gathering and processing operations, it enters into the following types of contractual relationships with its producers and shippers:

Fee-Based Contracts. These contracts provide a set fee for gathering and/or processing raw natural gas. Revenue is a function of the volume of natural gas that the Partnership gathers and processes and is not directly dependent on the value of the natural gas. The Partnership is also paid a separate compression fee on many of its systems. The fee is dependent upon the volume of gas flowing through its compressors and the quantity of compression stages utilized to gather the gas.

12

Percentage of Proceeds (POP) Contracts. These contracts provide for the Partnership to retain a negotiated percentage of the sale proceeds from residue natural gas and NGLs it gathers and processes, with the remainder being remitted to the producer. In this contract-type, the Partnership and the producer are directly dependent on the volume of the commodity and its value; the Partnership effectively owns a percentage of the commodity and revenues are directly correlated to its market value. POP Contracts may include a fee component which is charged to the producer.

Keep-Whole Contracts. These contracts require the Partnership, as the processor and gatherer, to gather or purchase raw natural gas at current market rates. The volume of gas gathered or purchased is based on the measured volume at an agreed upon location (generally at the wellhead). The volume of gas redelivered or sold at the tailgate of the Partnership's processing facility will be lower than the volume purchased at the wellhead primarily due to NGLs extracted when processed through a plant. The Partnership must make up or keep the producer whole for this loss in volume. To offset the make-up obligation, the Partnership retains the NGLs which are extracted and sells them for its own account. Therefore, the Partnership bears the economic risk (the processing margin risk) that (i) the volume of residue gas available for redelivery to the producer may be less than received from the producer; or (ii) the aggregate proceeds from the sale of the processed natural gas and NGLs could be less than the amount that the Partnership paid for the unprocessed natural gas. In order to help mitigate the risk associated with Keep-Whole contracts the Partnership generally imposes a fee to gather the gas that is settled under this arrangement. Also, because the natural gas volumes contracted under Keep-Whole agreements is often lower in BTU content and thus, can meet downstream pipeline specifications without being processed, the natural gas can be bypassed around the processing plants on these systems and delivered directly into downstream pipelines during periods of margin risk.

The Partnership accrues unbilled revenue due to timing differences between the delivery of natural gas, NGLs, and condensate and the receipt of a delivery statement. This revenue is recorded based upon volumetric data from the Partnership's records and management estimates of the related gathering and compression fees which are, in turn, based upon applicable product prices (see -Use of Estimates accounting policy for further description). The Partnership had unbilled revenues at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 of \$37.7 million and \$61.2 million, respectively, which are included in accounts receivable within its consolidated balance sheets.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, which provides enhanced disclosure requirements for activity in Levels 1, 2 and 3 fair value measurements. The update requires significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements to be reported separately and the reasons for such transfers to be disclosed. The update also requires information regarding purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements to be disclosed separately on a gross basis in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using unobservable inputs for all activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, the update clarifies that fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities must be disclosed as well as disclosures pertaining to the inputs and valuation techniques for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements in Levels 2 and 3. These requirements are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about

13

purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those requirements will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Partnership adopted these requirements on January 1, 2010 and it did not have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or related disclosures.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE

On May 31, 2009, the Partnership and subsidiaries of Williams completed the formation of Laurel Mountain, a joint venture which owns and operates the Partnership s previously owned Appalachia natural gas gathering system, excluding the Partnership s northeastern Tennessee operations. Williams contributed cash and a note receivable of \$25.5 million to the joint venture and owns 51% interest in Laurel Mountain. The Partnership contributed the Appalachia natural gas gathering system and owns a 49% interest in Laurel Mountain. The Partnership is required to make capital contributions to Laurel Mountain equal to 49% of any capital calls in order to maintain its current ownership interest in the joint venture. The Partnership is also entitled to preferred distribution rights relating to all payments on the note receivable, up to \$7.5 million per annual period. Williams performs the day to day operations of the joint venture.

The Partnership recognizes its 49% ownership interest in Laurel Mountain as an investment in joint venture on its consolidated balance sheet. The Partnership accounts for its ownership interest in Laurel Mountain under the equity method of accounting, with recognition of its ownership interest in the income of Laurel Mountain as equity income on its consolidated statements of operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Partnership utilized \$8.5 million and \$15.3 million of the

\$25.5 million note receivable, respectively, and made cash payments of \$1.3 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, to make capital contributions to Laurel Mountain. As of September 30, 2010, the Partnership has utilized \$17.0 million of the \$25.5 million note receivable.

The following table provides the joint venture s summarized statement of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and balance sheet data as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			Nine Mon	
	September 30, 2010		ember 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	ptember 30, 2009 ⁽¹⁾
Statement of Operations data:					
Total revenue	\$ 11,533	\$	9,622	\$ 33,046	\$ 12,690
Net income	2,349		2,386	6,441	3,664

(1) Represents the period from May 31, 2009, the date of initial formation, through September 30, 2009.

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Balance Sheet data:		
Current assets	\$ 14,815	\$ 12,193
Long-term assets	286,639	248,730
Current liabilities	16,656	19,724
Long-term liabilities	1,310	9,555
Net equity	283,488	231,644

NOTE 4 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On May 4, 2009, the Partnership completed the sale of its NOARK gas gathering and interstate pipeline system to Spectra Energy Partners OLP, LP (NYSE:SEP) (Spectra). The Partnership accounted for the earnings of the NOARK system assets as discontinued operations within its consolidated financial statements and recorded a gain of \$51.1 million on the sale of the NOARK assets within income from discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

14

On September 16, 2010, the Partnership completed the sale of its Elk City and Sweetwater, Oklahoma natural gas gathering systems, the related processing and treating facilities (including the Prentiss treating facility) and the Nine Mile processing plant (collectively, Elk City) to a subsidiary of Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. (NYSE: EEP) for \$682.0 million in cash, excluding any working capital adjustment or transactions costs. The Partnership accounted for the earnings of Elk City as discontinued operations within its consolidated financial statements and recorded a gain of \$311.5 million on the sale of Elk City within income from discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes the components included within income from discontinued operations on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended		nths Ended
	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
NOARK				
Total revenue and other loss, net	\$	\$	\$	\$ 21,274
Total costs and expenses				(9,857)
Gain on asset sale				51,078
Income from NOARK discontinued operations				62,495
Elk City				
Total revenue and other loss, net	29,912	43,764	129,928	127,466
Total costs and expenses	(35,477)	(37,042)	(120,736)	(111,213)
Gain on asset sale	311,492	2,493	311,492	2,493
Income from Elk City discontinued operations	305,927	9,215	320,684	18,746
Total income from discontinued operations	\$ 305,927	\$ 9,215	\$ 320,684	\$ 81,241

The following table summarizes the components included within total assets and liabilities of discontinued operations within the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009, related to Elk City.

	Dec	cember 31, 2009
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	
Accounts receivable		20,702
Prepaid expenses and other		2,044
Total current assets of discontinued operations		22,746
Property, plant and equipment, net		356,680
Intangible assets, net		18,610
Other assets, net		3,740
Total assets of discontinued operations	\$	401,776
Accounts payable	\$	3,372
Accrued liabilities		1,028

Accrued producer liabilities	8,781
Total current liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 13,181

NOTE 5 COMMON UNIT EQUITY OFFERING

In August 2009, the Partnership sold 2,689,765 common units in a private placement at an offering price of \$6.35 per unit, yielding net proceeds of approximately \$16.1 million. The Partnership also received a capital contribution from the General Partner of \$0.4 million for the General Partner to maintain its 2.0% general

partner interest in the Partnership. In addition, the Partnership issued warrants granting investors in its private placement the right to purchase an additional 2,689,765 common units at a price of \$6.35 per unit for a period of two years following the issuance of the original common units.

On January 7, 2010, the Partnership executed amendments to the warrants originally issued in August 2009. The amendments to the warrants provided that, for the period January 8 through January 12, 2010, the warrant exercise price was lowered to \$6.00 per unit from \$6.35 per unit. In connection with the amendments, the holders of the warrants exercised all of the warrants for cash, which resulted in net cash proceeds of approximately \$15.3 million to the Partnership. The Partnership utilized the net proceeds from the common unit offering to repay a portion of its indebtedness under its senior secured term loan (see Note 12) and to fund the early termination of certain derivative agreements (see Note 10).

The common units and warrants sold by the Partnership in the August 2009 private placement were subject to a registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the transaction. The registration rights agreement required the Partnership to (a) file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the privately placed common units and those underlying the warrants by September 21, 2009 and (b) cause the registration statement to be declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission by November 18, 2009. The Partnership filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in satisfaction of the registration requirements of the registration rights agreement on September 3, 2009, and the registration statement was declared effective on October 14, 2009.

On March 31, 2010, the Partnership and the Operating Partnership amended their respective partnership agreements to temporarily waive the requirement that the General Partner make aggregate cash contributions of approximately \$0.3 million, which was required in connection with the Partnership s issuance of 2,689,765 of its common units upon the exercise of warrants in January 2010. The waiver will remain in effect until the General Partner has received aggregate distributions from the Partnership sufficient to fund the required capital contribution. During the waiver period, the aggregate ownership percentage attributable to General Partner s general partner interest in the Partnership is reduced to 1.9%. Both amendments were approved by the Partnership s conflicts committee and managing board, and are effective as of January 11, 2010.

NOTE 6 PREFERRED UNIT EQUITY OFFERINGS

On June 30, 2010, the Partnership sold 8,000 newly-created 12% Cumulative Class C Preferred Units of limited partner interest (the Class C Preferred Units) to Atlas Energy for cash consideration of \$1,000 per Class C Preferred Unit (the Face Value). The Partnership used the proceeds from the sale of the Class C Preferred Units for general partnership purposes. The Class C Preferred Units are entitled to receive distributions of 12.0% per annum, paid quarterly on the same date as the distribution payment date for the Partnership s common units. The Class C Preferred Units are not convertible into common units of the Partnership. The Partnership has the right at any time to redeem some or all of the outstanding Class C Preferred Units (but not less than 2,500 Class C Preferred Units) for cash at an amount equal to the Class C Preferred Face Value being redeemed plus accrued but unpaid dividends.

The sale of the Class C Preferred Units to Atlas Energy was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. The Class C Preferred Units are reflected on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet as Class C preferred limited partners interest within Partners capital.

NOTE 7 CASH DISTRIBUTIONS

The Partnership is required to distribute, within 45 days after the end of each quarter, all of its available cash (as defined in its partnership agreement) for that quarter to its common unitholders and the General Partner. If common unit distributions in any quarter exceed specified target levels, the General Partner will receive between 15% and 50% of such distributions in excess of the specified target levels. Common unit and General Partner distributions declared by the Partnership for the period from January 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010 were as follows:

		Cash	Total Cash	Total Cash
		Distribution	Distribution	Distribution
Date Cash		Per Common	to Common	to the
Distribution	For Quarter	Limited	Limited	General
Paid	Ended	Partner Unit	Partners	Partner

			(in thousands)	(in thousands)
February 13, 2009	December 31, 2008	\$ 0.38	\$ 17,463	\$ 358
May 15, 2009	March 31, 2009	0.15	7,149	147

The Partnership did not declare cash distributions for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 through June 30, 2010. On October 18, 2010, the Partnership declared a cash distribution of \$0.35 per unit on its outstanding common limited partner units, representing the cash distribution for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The \$19.0 million distribution, including \$0.4 million to the General Partner for its general partner interest, will be paid on November 12, 2010 to unitholders of record as of the close of business on November 8, 2010.

NOTE 8 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The following is a summary of property, plant and equipment (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009 ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Useful Lives in Years
Pipelines, processing and compression facilities	\$ 1,327,455	\$ 1,281,366	2 40
Rights of way	156,239	152,908	20 40
Buildings	8,047	8,047	40
Furniture and equipment	9,166	8,848	3 7
Other	12,491	11,633	3 10
	1,513,398	1,462,802	
Less accumulated depreciation	(173,668)	(135,098)	
	\$ 1,339,730	\$ 1,327,704	

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost or, upon acquisition of a business, at the fair value of the assets acquired. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Major renewals and improvements that extend the useful lives of property are capitalized. Depreciation and amortization expense is based on cost less the estimated salvage value primarily using the straight-line method over the asset s estimated useful life. The Partnership follows the composite method of depreciation and has determined the composite groups to be the major asset classes of its gathering and processing systems. Under the composite depreciation method, any gain or loss upon disposition or retirement of pipeline, gas gathering and processing components, is recorded to accumulated depreciation. When entire pipeline systems, gas plants or other property and equipment are retired or sold, any gain or loss is included in the Partnership s results of operations.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Partnership entered into capital lease arrangements having obligations of \$0.9 million at inception. Leased property and equipment meeting capital lease criteria are capitalized at the original cost of the equipment and are included within property plant and equipment on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets. Obligations under capital leases are accounted for as current and noncurrent liabilities and are included within debt on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets. Amortization is calculated on a straight-line method based upon the estimated useful lives of the assets. The Partnership did not enter into any capital lease arrangements during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and had no capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2009.

NOTE 9 OTHER ASSETS

The following is a summary of other assets (in thousands):

	Sept	tember 30, 2010	ember 31, 2009 ⁽¹⁾
Deferred finance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$34,400 and \$25,314 at			
September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	\$	21,035	\$ 27,331
Security deposits		2,529	2,922
	\$	23,564	\$ 30,253

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

Deferred finance costs are recorded at cost and amortized over the term of the respective debt agreement (see Note 12). In May 2009, the Partnership recorded \$2.3 million of accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs associated with the retirement of a portion of its term loan with the proceeds from the sale of its NOARK system (see Note 4). In September 2010, the Partnership recorded \$4.3 million of accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs associated with the retirement of its term loan with the proceeds from the sale of its Elk City assets (see Note 4). Total amortization expense of deferred finance costs was \$5.9 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$9.1 million and \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which is recorded within interest expense on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations. Amortization expense related to deferred finance costs is estimated to be as follows for each of the next five calendar years: 2010 - \$10.6 million; 2011 to 2012 - \$5.9 million per year; 2013 - \$3.9 million; 2014 - \$1.2 million.

NOTE 10 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Partnership uses a number of different derivative instruments, principally swaps and options, in connection with its commodity price and interest rate risk management activities. The Partnership enters into financial swap and option instruments to hedge its forecasted natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales against the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in market prices. It also previously entered into financial swap instruments to hedge certain portions of its floating interest rate debt against the variability in market interest rates. Swap instruments are contractual agreements between counterparties to exchange obligations of money as the underlying natural gas, NGLs and condensate are sold or interest payments on the underlying debt instrument are due. Under its swap agreements, the Partnership receives or pays a fixed price and receives or remits a floating price based on certain indices for the relevant contract period. Commodity-based option instruments are contractual agreements that grant the right, but not the obligation, to receive or pay a fixed price and receive or remit a floating price based on certain indices for the relevant contract period.

On July 1, 2008, the Partnership discontinued hedge accounting for certain existing qualified crude oil derivatives, utilized to hedge forecasted NGL production, due to significant ineffectiveness. The Partnership also discontinued hedge accounting for all of its other qualified commodity derivatives for consistency in reporting of all commodity-based derivatives. As such, subsequent changes in fair value of these derivatives are recognized immediately within other income (loss), net in its consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of these commodity derivative instruments at June 30, 2008, which was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss within Partners capital on the Partnership's consolidated balance sheet, will be reclassified to the Partnership's consolidated statements of operations in the future at the time the originally hedged physical transactions affect earnings.

The portion of any gain or loss in other comprehensive income related to originally forecasted transactions that are no longer expected to occur are removed from other comprehensive income and recognized within the statements of operations. In September 2010, the Partnership sold its Elk City assets (see Note 4),

18

thus the Partnership recognized a loss of \$10.6 million within discontinued operations in the Partnership s statements of operations with a corresponding decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss within Partners capital on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet, since the related originally forecasted transactions related to the Elk City operations are no longer expected to occur. The \$10.6 million loss reclassed from other comprehensive income includes \$1.4 million related to derivatives which were settled early and \$9.2 million related to derivatives which will settle in future periods.

Derivatives are recorded on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities at fair value. Premium costs for purchased options are recorded on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet as the initial value of the options. Changes in the fair value of the options are recognized within other income (loss), net as unrealized gain (loss) on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations. Premium costs are reclassified to realized gain (loss) within other income (loss), net at the time the option expires or is exercised. The Partnership reflected net derivative liabilities on its consolidated balance sheets of \$3.7 million and

\$43.3 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The Partnership will reclassify \$7.0 million of the \$13.6 million net loss in accumulated other comprehensive loss within Partners capital on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2010, to natural gas and liquids revenue on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations over the next twelve month period. Aggregate losses of \$6.6 million will be reclassified to natural gas and liquids revenue on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations in later periods. At September 30, 2010, no derivative instruments are designated as hedges for hedge accounting purposes.

The fair value of the Partnership s derivative instruments was included in the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets as follows (in thousands):

	ember 30, 2010	Dec	cember 31, 2009
Current portion of derivative asset	\$ 3,611	\$	998
Long-term derivative asset			361
Current portion of derivative liability	(1,511)		(33,547)
Long-term derivative liability	(5,770)		(11,126)
	\$ (3,670)	\$	(43,314)

The following table summarizes the Partnership s gross fair values of derivative instruments for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Contract Type	Balance Sheet Location September 2010		•		September 30, 2010		ember 31, 2009
Asset Derivatives							
Commodity contracts	Current portion of derivative asset	\$	5,460	\$	1,591		
Commodity contracts	Long-term derivative asset				361		
Commodity contracts	Current portion of derivative liability		1,303		6,562		
Commodity contracts	Long-term derivative liability		1,403		3,435		
			8,166		11,949		
<u>Liability Derivatives</u>							
Interest rate contracts	Current portion of derivative liability				(2,247)		
Interest rate contracts	Current portion of derivative asset				(593)		
Commodity contracts	Current portion of derivative asset		(1,849)				
Commodity contracts	Long-term derivative asset						
Commodity contracts	Current portion of derivative liability		(2,814)		(37,862)		
Commodity contracts	Long-term derivative liability		(7,173)		(14,561)		
			(11,836)		(55,263)		

Total Derivatives \$ (3,670) \$ (43,314)

19

As of September 30, 2010, the Partnership had no interest rate derivative contracts. The following table summarizes the Partnership s commodity derivatives as of September 30, 2010, none of which are designated for hedge accounting (dollars and volumes in thousands):

Fixed Price Swaps

Production Period Natural Gas	Purchased/ Sold	Commodity	Volumes ⁽²⁾	Average Fixed Price	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ Asset/ (Liability)
2010	Sold	Natural Gas Basis	1,140	\$ (0.700)	\$ (508)
2010	Purchased	Natural Gas Basis	1,140	(0.705)	514
2011	Sold	Natural Gas Basis	1,920	(0.728)	(828)
2011	Purchased	Natural Gas Basis	1,920	(0.758)	886
2012	Sold	Natural Gas Basis	720	(0.685)	(276)
2012	Purchased	Natural Gas Basis	720	(0.685)	276
Natural Gas Liquids					
2010	Sold	Propane	8,820	1.115	(823)
2010	Sold	Normal Butane	1,890	1.550	95
2010	Sold	Natural Gasoline	1,512	1.925	114
2011	Sold	Propane	2,016	1.150	(73)
Crude Oil					
2011	Sold	Crude Oil	78	92.870	710
Total Fixed Price Swa	ps				\$ 87

Options

Production Period	Purchased/ Sold	Туре	Commodity	Volumes ⁽²⁾	Average Strike Price		Fair /alue ⁽¹⁾ Asset/ .iability)
<u>Natural Gas</u> 2010	Purchased ⁽³⁾	Call	Natural Gas	2,100	\$ 6.500	\$	(674)
Crude Oil				,	·	·	` ′
2010	Purchased	Put	Crude Oil	150	74.40		224
2010	Sold	Call	Crude Oil	273	100.05		(38)
2010	Purchased(4)	Call	Crude Oil	87	120.00		1
2011	Purchased	Put	Crude Oil	420	89.00		4,316
2011	Sold	Call	Crude Oil	678	94.68		(3,666)
2011	Purchased ⁽⁴⁾	Call	Crude Oil	252	120.00		311
2012	Sold	Call	Crude Oil	498	95.83		(4,950)
2012	Purchased(4)	Call	Crude Oil	180	120.00		719
Total Options						\$	(3,757)
Total Fair Value						\$	(3,670)

- (1) See Note 11 for discussion on fair value methodology.
- (2) Volumes for Natural Gas are stated in MMBTU s. Volumes for NGLs are stated in gallons. Volumes for Crude Oil are stated in barrels.
- (3) Liabilities for purchased options are due to deferred premium payments, which will be paid at the time the options are settled.
- (4) Calls purchased for 2010 through 2012 represent offsetting positions for calls sold. These offsetting positions were entered into to limit the loss which could be incurred if crude oil prices continued to rise.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Partnership made net payments of \$25.3 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, related to the early termination of derivative contracts. The terminated derivative contracts were to expire at various times through the fourth quarter of 2010.

20

The following tables summarize the gross effect of all derivative instruments, including the transactions referenced above, on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations for the periods indicated (in thousands):

		For the Thi ended Sept 2010		For the Nine Months ended September 30, 2010 2009 ⁽¹⁾		
Gain (Loss) Recognized in Ac	cumulated OCI					
Contract Type						
Interest rate contracts ⁽²⁾		\$	\$ 30	\$	\$ (2,268)	
		\$	\$ 30	\$	\$ (2,268)	
Gain (Loss) Reclassified from	Accumulated OCI into Income					
Contract Type	Location					
Interest rate contracts ⁽²⁾	Interest expense	\$	\$ (3,057)	\$ (2,242)	\$ (8,912)	
Commodity contracts ⁽²⁾	Natural gas and liquids revenue	(2,411)	(7,409)	(13,159)	(23,987)	
Commodity contracts ⁽²⁾	Discontinued operations	(11,711)	(2,885)	(20,154)	(13,171)	
•	•		, , ,			
		\$ (14,122)	\$ (13,351)	\$ (35,555)	\$ (46,070)	
		ψ (11,122)	ψ (13,331)	ψ (33,333)	Ψ (10,070)	
Colo (Loss) Bossesia III. Is	(Darley Construction of Alexander Lands Lands)					
	come (Derivatives not designated as hedges)					
Contract Type Interest rate contracts ⁽²⁾	Location Other income (loss) not	\$	\$ (823)	\$ (6)	\$ (823)	
	Other income (loss), net		(/	(-)	()	
Commodity contracts	Other income (loss), net	(6,802)	1,314	3,139	(23,110)	
Commodity contracts	Discontinued operations	(1,555)	1,051	665	6,686	
		\$ (8,357)	\$ 1,542	\$ 3,798	\$ (17,247)	

⁽¹⁾ Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Derivative Instruments

The Partnership uses a valuation framework based upon inputs that market participants use in pricing an asset or liability, which are classified into two categories: observable inputs and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs represent market data obtained from independent sources, whereas unobservable inputs reflect the Partnership s own market assumptions, which are used if observable inputs are not reasonably available without undue cost and effort. These two types of inputs are further prioritized into the following hierarchy:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical, unrestricted assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability.

⁽²⁾ Hedges previously designated as cash flow hedges.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity s own assumptions about the assumption market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity but rather through particular valuation techniques.

The Partnership uses a fair value methodology to value the assets and liabilities for its outstanding derivative contracts (see Note 10). At September 30, 2010, all of the Partnership s derivative contracts are defined as Level 2, with the exception of the Partnership s NGL fixed price swaps and NGL options. The Partnership s Level 2 commodity derivatives include natural gas and crude oil swaps and options which are

21

calculated based upon observable market data related to the change in price of the underlying commodity. These swaps and options are calculated by utilizing the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) quoted price for futures and option contracts traded on NYMEX that coincide with the underlying commodity, expiration period, strike price (if applicable) and pricing formula. Valuations for the Partnership s NGL fixed price swaps are based on a forward price curve modeled on a regression analysis of quoted price curves for NGL s for similar locations, and therefore are defined as Level 3. Valuations for the Partnership s NGL options are based on forward price curves developed by financial institutions, and therefore are defined as Level 3.

The following table represents the Partnership s assets and liabilities recorded at fair value as of September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Assets					
Commodity swaps	\$	\$ 2,386	\$ 209	\$ 2,595	
Commodity options		5,571		5,571	
Total assets		7,957	209	8,166	
Liabilities					
Commodity swaps		(1,611)	(896)	(2,507)	
Commodity options		(9,329)		(9,329)	
Total liabilities		(10,940)	(896)	(11,836)	
Total derivatives	\$	\$ (2,983)	\$ (687)	\$ (3,670)	

The Partnership s Level 3 fair value amount relates to its derivative contracts on NGL fixed price swaps and NGL options. The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of the Partnership s Level 3 derivative instruments for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	NGL Fixed Price Swaps		NGL Put Options		Total
D-1 D	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Amount
Balance December 31, 2009		\$	43,470	\$ 1,268	\$ 1,268
New contracts	23,058		8,820		
Cash settlements ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	(8,820)	(272)	(52,290)	7,246	6,974
Net change in unrealized loss ⁽²⁾		(415)		(2,005)	(2,420)
Option premium recognition ⁽³⁾				(6,509)	(6,509)
Balance September 30, 2010	14,238	\$ (687)		\$	\$ (687)

- (1) Volumes for NGLs are stated in gallons.
- (2) Included within other income (loss), net on the Partnership s consolidated statements of operations.
- (3) Includes option premium cost reclassified from unrealized gain (loss) to realized gain (loss) at time of option expiration. Other Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of the Partnership s other financial instruments has been determined based upon its assessment of available market information and valuation methodologies. However, these estimates may not necessarily be indicative of the amounts that the Partnership could realize upon the sale or refinancing of such financial instruments.

The Partnership s current assets and liabilities on its consolidated balance sheets, other than the derivatives discussed above, are considered to be financial instruments for which the estimated fair values of these instruments approximate their carrying amounts due to their short-term nature.

The estimated fair values of the Partnership s total debt at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, which consists principally of the term loan (repaid in September 2010), the Senior Notes and borrowings under the revolving credit facility, was \$473.4 million and \$1,194.2 million, respectively, compared with the carrying amounts of \$507.9 million and \$1,254.2 million, respectively. The term loan and Senior Notes were valued based upon available market data for similar issues. The carrying value of outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility, which bear interest at a variable interest rate, approximates their estimated fair value.

NOTE 12 DEBT

Total debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	Sep	tember 30, 2010	December 3: 2009		
Revolving credit facility	\$	12,000	\$	326,000	
Term loan				433,505	
8.125% Senior notes due 2015		272,039		271,628	
8.75% Senior notes due 2018		223,050		223,050	
Capital lease obligations		793			
Total debt		507,882		1,254,183	
Less current maturities		(206)			
Total long-term debt	\$	507,676	\$	1,254,183	

Cash payments for interest related to debt were \$11.4 million and \$12.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and were \$65.9 million and \$53.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility

At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had a senior secured credit facility with a syndicate of banks, which consisted of a \$380.0 million revolving credit facility that matures in July 2013. A \$425.8 million term loan, which was scheduled to mature in July 2014, was paid in full in September 2010 with proceeds received from the Elk City asset sale (see Note 4). Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at the Partnership s option, at either (i) adjusted LIBOR, subject to a floor of 2.0% per annum, plus the applicable margin, as defined, or (ii) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 0.5% or the Wachovia Bank prime rate (each plus the applicable margin). The weighted average interest rate on the outstanding revolving credit facility borrowings at September 30, 2010 was 7.8%. Up to \$50.0 million of the credit facility may be utilized for letters of credit, of which \$5.1 million was outstanding at September 30, 2010. These outstanding letter of credit amounts were not reflected as borrowings on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet. At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had \$362.9 million of remaining committed capacity under its credit facility, subject to covenant limitations.

Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by a lien on and security interest in all of the Partnership s property and that of its subsidiaries, except for the assets owned by Chaney Dell and Midkiff/Benedum joint ventures and Laurel Mountain; and by the guaranty of each of the Partnership s consolidated subsidiaries other than the joint venture companies. The credit facility contains customary covenants, including restrictions on the Partnership s ability to incur additional indebtedness; make certain acquisitions, loans or investments; make distribution payments to its unitholders if an event of default exists; or enter into a merger or sale of assets, including the sale or transfer of interests in its subsidiaries. The Partnership is also unable to borrow under its credit facility to pay distributions of available cash to unitholders because such borrowings would not constitute working capital borrowings pursuant to its partnership agreement. The Partnership is in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2010 and expects to be in compliance in future periods.

The events which constitute an event of default for the credit facility are also customary for loans of this size, including payment defaults, breaches of representations or covenants contained in the credit agreement, adverse judgments against the Partnership in excess of a specified amount, and a change of control of the Partnership s General Partner.

On September 1, 2010, the Partnership entered into an amendment to its credit facility agreement, which:

increased the annual capital contributions the Partnership is permitted to invest in Laurel Mountain from \$10.0 million to \$60.0 million, provided if less than \$60.0 million is paid in any given year that the shortfall may be carried over to the following year;

revised the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to provide for the add-back of charges relating to premiums associated with hedging agreements, not to exceed 15% of Consolidated EBITDA and to exclude the net gains or losses attributable to a disposition of assets other than in the ordinary course of business; and

effective upon the closing of the Partnership s sale of the Elk City system (see Note 4), adjusted the maximum ratio of funded debt (as defined in the credit facility) to Consolidated EBITDA to 4.75 to 1.0 from 7.0 to 1.0; the maximum ratio of senior secured funded debt (as defined in the credit facility) to Consolidated EBITDA to 2.75 to 1.0 from 4.25 to 1.0; and the minimum ratio of Consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense to 2.50 to 1.0 from 1.9 to 1.0.

As of September 30, 2010, the Partnership s leverage ratio was 3.16 to 1.0, its senior secured leverage ratio was 0.11 to 1.0, and its interest coverage ratio was 3.21 to 1.0.

Senior Notes

At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had \$223.1 million principal amount outstanding of 8.75% senior unsecured notes due on June 15, 2018 (8.75% Senior Notes) and \$275.5 million principal amount outstanding of 8.125% senior unsecured notes due on December 15, 2015 (8.125% Senior Notes ; collectively, the Senior Notes). The Partnership s 8.125% Senior Notes are presented combined with a net \$3.4 million of unamortized discount as of September 30, 2010. Interest on the Senior Notes in the aggregate is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15. The 8.75% Senior Notes are redeemable at any time after June 15, 2013, and the 8.125% Senior Notes are redeemable at any time after December 31, 2010, at certain redemption prices, together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. Prior to June 15, 2011, the Partnership may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 8.75% Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a stated redemption price. The Senior Notes in the aggregate are also subject to repurchase by the Partnership at a price equal to 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, upon a change of control or upon certain asset sales if the Partnership does not reinvest the net proceeds within 360 days. The Senior Notes are junior in right of payment to the Partnership s secured debt, including the Partnership s obligations under its credit facility.

Indentures governing the Senior Notes in the aggregate contain covenants, including limitations of the Partnership s ability to: incur certain liens; engage in sale/leaseback transactions; incur additional indebtedness; declare or pay distributions if an event of default has occurred; redeem, repurchase or retire equity interests or subordinated indebtedness; make certain investments; or merge, consolidate or sell substantially all of its assets. The Partnership is in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2010.

NOTE 13 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Partnership is a party to various routine legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. Management of the Partnership believes that the ultimate resolution of these actions, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

On February 26, 2010, the Partnership received notice from Williams, its joint venture partner in Laurel Mountain, alleging that certain title defects exist with respect to the real property contributed by the Partnership to Laurel Mountain. Under the Formation and Exchange Agreement with Williams (Formation Agreement): (i) Williams had nine months after closing (the Claim Date) to assert any alleged title defects, and (ii) the Partnership had 30 days following the Claim Date to correct the title defects asserted by Williams and 180 days following the Claim Date to cure those title defects. On March 26, 2010, the Partnership delivered notice,

24

Table of Contents

disputing Williams alleged title defects as well as the amounts claimed. By agreement dated August 24, 2010, Williams agreed to extend the cure period until December 31, 2010. Consequently, the Partnership is continuing its review of the alleged title defects, although it appears that some of the alleged deficiencies may be resolved by appropriate assignments from Atlas Energy, Inc. or its affiliates. At the end of the cure period, with respect to any remaining title defects, the Partnership may elect, at its option, to pay Williams for the cost of such defects, up to a total of \$3.5 million, or indemnify Williams with respect to such title defects. Williams also claims, in a letter dated August 26, 2010, that the alleged title defects violate the Partnership s representation with respect to sufficiency of the assets contributed to Laurel Mountain. If valid, this would make Williams title defect claims subject to a higher deductible (which is noted below). The Partnership believes its representations with respect to title are Williams sole and exclusive remedy with respect to title matters.

Additionally, in August 2010, Williams asserted additional indemnity claims under the Formation Agreement totaling approximately \$19.8 million. Williams claims are generally based on the Partnership's alleged failure to construct and maintain the assets contributed to Laurel Mountain in accordance with standard industry practice or applicable law. As a preliminary matter, the Partnership believes Williams has overstated its claim by forty-nine percent (49%), because, under Section 10.1 of the Formation Agreement, these claims are reduced on a pro-rata basis to equal Williams percentage ownership interest in Laurel Mountain. The Partnership is currently evaluating Williams claims and, in this regard, has requested additional information from Williams. Under the Formation Agreement, Williams indemnity claims are capped, in the aggregate, at \$27.5 million. In addition, the Partnership is entitled to indemnification from Atlas Energy with respect to some of Williams claims. Although an adverse outcome is reasonably possible, it is not currently possible to evaluate the amount that the Partnership may be required to pay with respect to Williams indemnity claims.

NOTE 14 BENEFIT PLANS

Generally, all share-based payments to employees, including grants of unit options and phantom units, which are not cash settled, are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values on the date of the grant.

A phantom unit entitles a grantee to receive a common limited partner unit upon vesting of the phantom unit. In tandem with phantom unit grants, participants may be granted a distribution equivalent right (DER), which is the right to receive cash per phantom unit in an amount equal to, and at the same time as, the cash distributions the Partnership makes on a common unit during the period the phantom unit is outstanding. Except for phantom units awarded to non-employee managing board members of the General Partner, a committee (the LTIP Committee) appointed by the General Partner s managing board determines the vesting period for phantom units.

A unit option entitles a grantee to purchase a common limited partner unit upon payment of the exercise price for the option after completion of vesting of the unit option. The exercise price of the unit option is equal to the fair market value of the common unit on the date of grant of the option. The LTIP Committee shall determine how the exercise price may be paid by the grantee. The LTIP Committee will determine the vesting and exercise period for unit options. Unit option awards expire 10 years from the date of grant.

Partnership s Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Partnership has a 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2004 LTIP) and a 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2010 LTIP and collectively with the 2004 LTIP, the LTIPs), in which officers, employees, non-employee managing board members of the General Partner, employees of the General Partner s affiliates, and consultants are eligible to participate. The LTIPs are administered by the LTIP Committee. On June 15, 2010, the Partnership s unitholders approved the terms of the 2010 LTIP, which provides for the grant of options, phantom units, unit awards, unit appreciation rights and distribution equivalent rights (DERs). Under the 2010 LTIP, the Committee may make awards of either phantom units or unit options for an aggregate of 3,000,000 common units, in addition to the 435,000 common units authorized in the 2004 LTIP. At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had 593,149 phantom units and unit options outstanding under the Partnership s LTIPs, with 2,508,459 phantom units and unit options available for grant.

25

Through September 30, 2010, phantom units granted to employees under the LTIPs generally had vesting periods of four years. In conjunction with the approval of the 2010 LTIP, the holders of 300,000 of the 375,000 equity indexed bonus units (Bonus Units), under the Partnership's subsidiary siplan discussed below, agreed to exchange their Bonus Units for an equivalent number of phantom units, effective as of June 1, 2010. These phantom units will vest over a two year period, with the first tranche vesting on June 1, 2010. Phantom units awarded to non-employee managing board members will vest over a four year period. Awards will automatically vest upon a change of control, as defined in the LTIPs. At September 30, 2010, there were 187,311 units outstanding under the LTIPs that will vest within the following twelve months. All phantom units outstanding under the LTIPs at September 30, 2010 include DERs granted to the participants by the Committee. The amounts paid with respect to LTIP DERs were \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. These amounts were recorded as a reduction of Partners capital on the Partnership is consolidated balance sheet. No DERs were paid for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The following table sets forth the Partnership s phantom unit activity for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009			Nine M 2010		ded September 30, 2009		
	Number of Units	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾	Number of Units	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾	Number of Units	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾	Number of Units	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾
Outstanding, beginning of period	603,774	\$ 12.24	76,721	\$ 40.88	52,233	\$ 39.72	126,565	\$ 44.22
Granted	500	13.90			564,000	10.34	2,000	4.75
Matured ⁽²⁾	(103,625)	11.15	(11,038)	41.71	(114,209)	14.10	(46,132)	45.91
Forfeited	(4,500)	14.83	(75)	44.55	(5,875)	21.65	(16,825)	48.48
Outstanding, end of period ⁽³⁾	496,149	\$ 12.43	65,608	\$ 40.73	496,149	\$ 12.43	65,608	\$ 40.73
Non-cash compensation expense recognized (in thousands) $^{(4)}$		\$ 763		\$ 235		\$ 2,788		\$ 491

- (1) Fair value based upon weighted average grant date price.
- (2) The intrinsic values for phantom unit awards exercised during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$1.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, and \$1.3 million and \$0.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (3) The aggregate intrinsic value for phantom unit awards outstanding at September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$8.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.
- (4) Non-cash compensation expense includes \$0.2 million and \$2.0 million related to Bonus Units converted to phantom units during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had approximately \$2.8 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested phantom units outstanding under the LTIPs based upon the fair value of the awards.

Through September 30, 2010, unit options granted under the Partnership s LTIP generally will vest 25% on each of the next four anniversaries of the date of grant. Awards will automatically vest upon a change of control of the Partnership, as defined in the Partnership s LTIPs. There are 25,000 unit options outstanding under the Partnership s LTIPs at September 30, 2010 that will vest within the following twelve months.

The following table sets forth the Partnership s unit option activity for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009			Nine Months Ended 2010			ed September 30, 2009		,			
	Number of Unit Options	Av Ex	eighted verage xercise Price	Number of Unit Options	A: E:	eighted verage xercise Price	Number of Unit Options	Ay Ex	eighted verage xercise Price	Number of Unit Options	Av Ex	eighted verage ercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of period	100,000	\$	6.24	100,000	\$	6.24	100,000	\$	6.24		\$	
Granted										100,000		6.24
Exercised ⁽¹⁾	(3,000)		6.24				(3,000)		6.24			
Outstanding, end of period ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	97,000	\$	6.24	100,000	\$	6.24	97,000	\$	6.24	100,000	\$	6.24
Options exercisable, end of period	22,000	\$	6.24				22,000	\$	6.24			
Fair value of options granted during the period Weighted average fair value of unit		\$			\$			\$		100,000	\$	0.14
Non-cash compensation expense recognized (in thousands)		\$	1		\$	2		\$	3		\$	5

- (1) The intrinsic values for option unit awards exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$0.1 million.
- (2) The weighted average remaining contractual life for outstanding and exercisable options at September 30, 2010 and 2009 was 8.3 years and 9.3 years, respectively.
- (3) The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding at September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. At September 30, 2010, the Partnership had approximately \$4,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested unit options outstanding under the Partnership s LTIPs based upon the fair value of the awards.

The Partnership used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the weighted average fair value of options granted. The following weighted average assumptions were used for the period indicated:

	Nine Months
	Ended September 30, 2009
Expected dividend yield	11.0%
Expected stock price volatility	20.0%
Risk-free interest rate	2.2%
Expected term (in years)	6.3

Employee Incentive Compensation Plan and Agreement

A wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership has an incentive plan (the Cash Plan) which allows for equity-indexed cash incentive awards to employees of the Partnership (the Participants), but expressly excludes as an eligible Participant any person that, at the time of the grant, is a Named Executive Officer of the Partnership (as such term is defined under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission). The Cash Plan is administered by a committee appointed by the president and chief executive officer of the General Partner. Under the Cash Plan, cash bonus units may be awarded to Participants at the discretion of the committee, which granted 325,000 bonus units during 2009. In addition, the

subsidiary granted an award of 50,000 bonus units to an executive officer on substantially the same terms as the bonus units available under the Cash Plan (the bonus units issued under the Cash Plan and under the separate agreement are, for purposes hereof, referred to as Bonus Units). A Bonus Unit entitles the employee to receive the cash equivalent of the then-fair market value of a common limited partner unit, without payment of an exercise price, upon vesting of the Bonus Units bonus Units vest ratably over a three year period from the date of grant and will automatically vest upon a change of control, death, or termination without cause, each as defined in the governing document.

27

Table of Contents

Vesting will terminate upon termination of employment with cause. In conjunction with the approval of the 2010 LTIP, the holders of 300,000 of the then outstanding 375,000 Bonus Units outstanding at June 16, 2010 agreed to exchange their Bonus Units for phantom units, effective as of June 1, 2010.

A total of 24,750 of the remaining 75,000 Bonus Units vested on June 1, 2010 and an additional 24,750 Bonus Units will vest within the following twelve months. The Partnership recognized compensation expense related to these awards based upon the fair value, which is re-measured each reporting period based upon the current fair value of the underlying common units. The Partnership recognized compensation expense related to the re-measurement of the outstanding Bonus Units of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and a credit of \$0.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and expense of \$0.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, which was recorded within general and administrative expense on its consolidated statements of operations. The Partnership had \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million, at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, included within accrued liabilities on its consolidated balance sheet with regard to these awards, which represents their fair value as of those dates.

NOTE 15 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Partnership does not directly employ any persons to manage or operate its business. These functions are provided by the General Partner and employees of Atlas Energy. The General Partner does not receive a management fee in connection with its management of the Partnership apart from its interest as general partner and its right to receive incentive distributions. The Partnership reimburses the General Partner and its affiliates for compensation and benefits related to its employees who perform services for the Partnership based upon an estimate of the time spent by such persons on activities for the Partnership. Other indirect costs, such as rent for offices, are allocated to the Partnership by Atlas Energy based on the number of its employees who devote their time to activities on the Partnership s behalf.

The partnership agreement provides that the General Partner will determine the costs and expenses that are allocable to the Partnership in any reasonable manner determined by the General Partner at its sole discretion. The Partnership reimbursed the General Partner and its affiliates \$0.4 million for both the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, and \$1.1 million for both the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, for compensation and benefits related to its employees. There were no reimbursements for direct expenses incurred by the General Partner and its affiliates for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The General Partner believes that the method utilized in allocating costs to the Partnership is reasonable.

NOTE 16 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Partnership has two reportable segments which reflect the way the Partnership manages its operations.

The Mid-Continent segment consists of the Chaney Dell, Velma and Midkiff/Benedum operations, which are comprised of natural gas gathering and processing assets servicing drilling activity in the Anadarko and Permian Basins. Mid-Continent revenues are primarily derived from the sale of residue gas and NGLs at the tailgate of its processing plants and the gathering of natural gas.

The Appalachia segment is comprised of natural gas transportation, gathering and processing assets located in the Appalachian Basin area of the northeastern United States and services drilling activity in the Marcellus Shale area in southwestern Pennsylvania. Effective May 31, 2009, the Appalachia operations were principally conducted through its Tennessee operations and the Partnership s 49% ownership interest in Laurel Mountain, a joint venture to which the Partnership contributed its natural gas transportation, gathering and processing assets located in northeastern Appalachia. The Partnership recognizes its ownership interest in Laurel Mountain under the equity method of accounting. Appalachia revenues are principally based on contractual arrangements with Atlas Energy and its affiliates.

28

The following summarizes the Partnership s reportable segment data for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Apj	palachia	Mid- Continent	Corporate and Other	Co	nsolidated
Three Months Ended September 30, 2010:						
Revenue:						
Revenues third party)	\$	143	\$ 235,047	\$ (9,213)	\$	225,977
Revenues affiliates		141				141
Total revenue and other income (loss), net		284	235,047	(9,213)		226,118
Costs and Expenses:						
Operating costs and expenses third party		300	191,472			191,772
General and administrative ⁽²⁾				7,578		7,578
Depreciation and amortization		150	18,416			18,566
Interest expense ⁽²⁾				27,446		27,446
Total costs and expenses		450	209,888	35,024		245,362
Equity income		1,787				1,787
Net income (loss) from continuing operation		1,621	25,159	(44,237)		(17,457)
Income from discontinued operations				305,927		305,927
Net income (loss)	\$	1,621	\$ 25,159	\$ 261,690	\$	288,470
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 ⁽³⁾ :						
Revenue:						
Revenues third party)	\$	1,146	\$ 181,634	\$ (6,883)	\$	175,897
Revenues affiliates		384	Ψ 101,00 .	ψ (0,000)	Ψ.	384
Total revenue and other income (loss), net		1,530	181,634	(6,883)		176,281
Costs and expenses:						
Operating costs and expenses third party		123	143,177			143,300
General and administrative ⁽²⁾			,	8,702		8,702
Depreciation and amortization		153	17,763			17,916
Interest expense ⁽²⁾				28,337		28,337
Total costs and expenses		276	160,940	37,039		198,255
Equity income		1,430				1,430
Loss on sale of assets		(994)				(994)
Net income (loss) from continuing operation		1,690	20,694	(43,922)		(21,538)
Income from discontinued operations				9,215		9,215
Net income (loss)	\$	1,690	\$ 20,694	\$ (34,707)	\$	(12,323)

29

	Appalachia	Mid-Continent	Corporate and Other	Consolidated
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010:				
Revenue:				
Revenues third part ^(y)	\$ 254	\$ 691,798	\$ (10,026)	\$ 682,026
Revenues affiliates	472			472
Total revenue and other income (loss), net	726	691,798	(10,026)	682,498
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating costs and expenses third party	721	557,987		558,708
General and administrative ⁽²⁾ `			23,521	23,521
Depreciation and amortization	451	55,196		55,647
Interest expense ⁽²⁾			78,444	78,444
Total costs and expenses	1,172	613,183	101,965	716,320
Equity income	4,137			4,137
Net income (loss) from continuing operation	3,691	78,615	(111,991)	(29,685)
Income from discontinued operations	3,071	70,013	320,684	320,684
Net income (loss)	\$ 3,691	\$ 78,615	\$ 208,693	\$ 290,999
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 ⁽³⁾ :				
Revenue:				
Revenues third part(y)	\$ 2,743	\$ 498,986	\$ (48,041)	\$ 453,688
Revenues affiliates	16,881		, , ,	16,881
Total revenue and other income (loss), net	19,624	498,986	(48,041)	470,569
Costs and expenses:				
Operating costs and expenses third party	6,515	401,464		407,979
General and administrative ⁽²⁾			25,568	25,568
Depreciation and amortization	3,452	52,115		55,567
Interest expense ⁽²⁾			75,944	75,944
Total costs and expenses	9,967	453,579	101,512	565,058
Equity income	2,140			2,140
Gain on sale of assets	108,947			108,947
Net income (loss) from continuing operation	120,744	45,407	(149,553)	16,598
Income from discontinued operations			81,241	81,241
Net income (loss)	\$ 120,744	\$ 45,407	\$ (68,312)	\$ 97,839

⁽¹⁾ Derivative contracts are held at the corporate level and are reported accordingly.

⁽²⁾ The Partnership notes that interest and general and administrative expenses have not been allocated to its reportable segments as it would be unfeasible to reasonably do so for the periods presented.

(3) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

		Three Months Ended September 30,		ths Ended iber 30,
	2010	2009(1)	2010	2009(1)
<u>Capital Expenditures</u> :				
Mid-Continent	\$ 11,340	\$ 5,453	\$ 32,078	\$ 87,745
Appalachia		34		9,737
	\$ 11,340	\$ 5,487	\$ 32,078	\$ 97,482

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009 ⁽¹⁾
Balance Sheet		
Total assets:		
Mid-Continent	\$ 1,538,549	\$ 1,563,082
Appalachia	146,068	143,601
Discontinued operations		401,776
Corporate other	24,646	29,504
	\$ 1,709,263	\$ 2,137,963

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).

The following table summarizes the Partnership s natural gas and liquids revenues by product or service for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Mor Septem	ber 30,	Septem	,
	2010	2009(1)(2)	2010	2009(1)(2)
Natural gas and liquids:				
Natural gas	\$ 72,421	\$ 58,427	\$ 226,806	\$ 184,446
NGLs	134,294	93,538	383,962	229,757
Condensate	12,526	8,280	30,895	17,243
Other	1,237	1,120	315	3,334
Total	\$ 220,478	\$ 161,365	\$ 641,978	\$ 434,780

- (1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to the Partnership s sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see Note 4).
- (2) Restated to reflect amount reclassified from Natural Gas and Liquids to Transportation, Processing and other fees (see Note 1).

NOTE 17 SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Partnership s term loan and revolving credit facility is guaranteed by its wholly-owned subsidiaries. The guarantees are full, unconditional, joint and several. The Partnership s consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include the financial statements of Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent WestOk, LLC (Chaney Dell LLC) and Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent WestTex, LLC (Midkiff/Benedum LLC), entities in which the Partnership has 95% interests. Under the terms of the term loan and revolving credit facility, Chaney Dell LLC and Midkiff/Benedum LLC are non-guarantor subsidiaries as they are not wholly-owned by the Partnership. The following supplemental condensed consolidating financial information reflects the Partnership s stand-alone accounts, the combined accounts of the guarantor subsidiaries, the combined accounts of the non-guarantor subsidiaries, the consolidating adjustments and eliminations and the Partnership s consolidated accounts as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. For the purpose of the following financial information, the Partnership s investments in its subsidiaries and the guarantor subsidiaries investments in their subsidiaries are presented in accordance with the equity method of accounting (in thousands):

Balance Sheet		September 30, 2010 Non-					
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated		
Assets				J			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 166	\$	\$	\$ 166		
Accounts receivable affiliates	1,371,012			(1,371,012)			
Current portion of derivative asset		3,611			3,611		
Other current assets	8	14,972	59,293		74,273		
Total current assets	1,371,020	18,749	59,293	(1,371,012)	78,050		
Property, plant and equipment, net		243,984	1,095,746		1,339,730		
Notes receivable		_ 10,501	1,852,927	(1,852,927)	2,222,720		
Equity investments	198,223	(634,515)	, , -	436,292			
Investment in joint venture		135,765		, -	135,765		
Intangible assets, net		,	132,154		132,154		
Other assets, net	21,033	1,774	757		23,564		
	\$ 1,590,276	\$ (234,243)	\$ 3,140,877	\$ (2,787,647)	\$ 1,709,263		
Liabilities and Equity							
Accounts payable affiliates	\$	\$ 1,244,518	\$ 136,885	\$ (1,371,012)	\$ 10,391		
Current portion of derivative liability		1,511			1,511		
Other current liabilities	12,589	31,465	68,997		113,051		
Total current liabilities	12,589	1,277,494	205,882	(1,371,012)	124,953		
Long-term derivative liability		5,770			5,770		
Long-term debt, less current portion	507,089		587		507,676		
Other long-term liability		266			266		
Equity	1,070,598	(1,517,773)	2,934,408	(1,416,635)	1,070,598		
	\$ 1,590,276	\$ (234,243)	\$ 3,140,877	\$ (2,787,647)	\$ 1,709,263		
Balance Sheet]	December 31, 20	09			
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 1,021	\$	\$	\$ 1,021		
Accounts receivable affiliates	1,383,871			(1,383,871)			
Current portion of derivative asset		998			998		
Other current assets		19,711	73,668		93,379		
Current assets of discontinued operations		22,746			22,746		
Total current assets	1,383,871	44,476	73,668	(1,383,871)	118,144		
Property, plant and equipment, net		231,968	1,095,736		1,327,704		
Notes receivable			1,852,928	(1,852,928)			
Equity investments	568,320	237,991		(806,311)			
Investment in joint venture		132,990			132,990		
Intangible assets, net			149,481		149,481		
Long-term derivative asset		361			361		
Other assets, net	27,332	1,785	1,136		30,253		
Long term assets of discontinued operations		379,030	,		379,030		

\$ 1,979,523 \$ 1,028,601 \$ 3,172,949 \$ (4,043,110) \$ 2,137,963

Liabilities and Equity					
Accounts payable affiliates	\$	\$ 1,251,468	\$ 134,446	\$ (1,383,871)	\$ 2,043
Current portion of derivative liability		33,547			33,547
Other current liabilities	1,813	33,069	65,076		99,958
Current liabilities of discontinued operations		13,181			13,181
Total current liabilities	1,813	1,331,265	199,522	(1,383,871)	148,729
Long-term derivative liability		11,126			11,126
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,254,183				1,254,183
Other long-term liability		398			398
Equity	723,527	(314,188)	2,973,427	(2,659,239)	723,527
•					
	\$ 1,979,523	\$ 1,028,601	\$ 3,172,949	\$ (4,043,110)	\$ 2,137,963

Statement of Operations	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Non-					
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated	
Total revenue and other income, net	\$	\$ 36,959	\$ 189,159	\$	\$ 226,118	
Total costs and expenses	(11,047)	(71,844)	(162,471)		(245,362)	
Equity income	294,137	29,017		(321,367)	1,787	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	283,090	(5,868)	26,688	(321,367)	(17,457)	
Income from discontinued operations	,	305,927	-,	(= ,= =, =	305,927	
·		·			·	
Net income	\$ 283,090	\$ 300,059	\$ 26,688	\$ (321,367)	\$ 288,470	
Statement of Operations		Three Mor	nths Ended Septe	ember 30, 2009		
Total revenue and other income, net	\$	\$ 27,480	\$ 148,801	\$	\$ 176,281	
Total costs and expenses	(28,291)	(44,691)	(125,273)		(198,255)	
Equity income (loss)	69,799	25,514		(93,883)	1,430	
Gain on asset sale		(994)			(994)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	41,508	7,309	23,528	(93,883)	(21,538)	
Income from discontinued operations		9,215			9,215	
Net income (loss)	\$ 41,508	\$ 16,524	\$ 23,528	\$ (93,883)	\$ (12,323)	
Statement of Operations	ф		ths Ended Septer		Φ (92.400	
Total revenue and other income, net	(22.051)	\$ 123,513	\$ 558,985	\$	\$ 682,498	
Total costs and expenses	(32,951)	(205,165)	(478,204)	(206.067)	(716,320)	
Equity income	316,616	84,488		(396,967)	4,137	
Income (lose) from continuing operations	202 665	2,836	90 791	(206.067)	(20.695)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	283,665	320,684	80,781	(396,967)	(29,685) 320,684	
income from discontinued operations		320,064			320,064	
Net income (loss)	\$ 283,665	\$ 323,520	\$ 80,781	\$ (396,967)	\$ 290,999	
		N' M	41 . 15 . 1 . 1 . 1	. 1 . 20 2000		
Statement of Operations Total revenue and other income not	¢		ths Ended Septer \$ 420,518		¢ 470.560	
Total revenue and other income, net Total costs and expenses	\$ (75,820)	\$ 50,051 (130,644)	(358,594)	\$	\$ 470,569 (565,058)	
Equity income (loss)	172,996	65,478	(336,334)	(236,334)	2,140	
Gain on asset sale	172,990	108,947		(230,334)	108,947	
Gain on asset sale		100,947			100,747	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	97,176	93,832	61,924	(236,334)	16,598	
Income from discontinued operations		81,241			81,241	
Net income (loss)	\$ 97,176	\$ 175,073	\$ 61,924	\$ (236,334)	\$ 97,839	

Statement of Cash Flows	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Non-				
	D 4	Guarantor	Guarantor	Consolidating	C 121.4.1
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing operating	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
activities	\$ 361,840	\$ 15,291	\$ 144,031	\$ (444,367)	\$ 76,795
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	Ψ 301,010	24,490	Ψ 111,031	ψ (111,3 <i>07)</i>	24,490
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	361,840	39,781	144,031	(444,367)	101,285
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing investing activities	370,097	861,369	(26,580)	(1,242,603)	(37,717)
Net cash provided by discontinued investing activities	,	667,605	(1,1 - 1,	(, , , , , , , , , , , ,	667,605
The cash provided by also minute in resumg activities		007,000			007,002
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	370,097	1,528,974	(26,580)	(1,242,603)	629,888
Net cash provided by (used in) continued financing					
activities	(731,937)	(1,569,610)	(117,451)	1,686,970	(732,028)
		(0. 5.5)			(O. 7. 7.)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		(855)			(855)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		1,021			1,021
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	\$ 166	\$	\$	\$ 166
Statement of Cash Flows		Nine Mont	ths Ended Septen	abor 30, 2000	
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing operations	\$ 167,028	\$ 32,222	\$ 108,827	\$ (276,015)	\$ 32,062
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	Ψ 107,020	19,420	Ψ 100,027	Ψ (270,013)	19,420
r		,			, ,
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	167,028	51,642	108,827	(276,015)	51,482
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing investing					
activities	139,436	(17,935)	(47,848)	(102,974)	(29,321)
Net cash provided by discontinued investing activities		288,109			288,109
	100 100			(102.05.1)	•••
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	139,436	270,174	(47,848)	(102,974)	258,788
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(306,464)	(318,000)	(60,979)	378,989	(306,454)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		3,816			3,816
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	7	1,438			1,445
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	/	1,430			1, 11 3
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 7	\$ 5,254	\$	\$	\$ 5,261

NOTE 18 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 18, 2010, the Partnership declared a cash distribution of \$0.35 per unit on its outstanding common limited partner units. Of the \$19.0 million distribution, the General Partner will receive \$0.4 million for its general partner interest and \$2.0 million for its common limited partner units. On March 31, 2010, the Partnership s limited partnership agreement was amended to provide a temporary waiver of a capital contribution required for the General Partner to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest in the Partnership, relative to the January 2010 issuance of common units for warrants exercised. The General Partner plans to make such capital contribution upon receipt of the declared distributions from the Partnership, which will allow the general partner interest to be restored to 2.0% (see Note 5).

On November 8, 2010, the Partnership, Atlas Energy and Atlas Energy Resources, LLC (ATN), a wholly owned subsidiary of Atlas Energy, entered into a definitive agreement pursuant to which the Partnership agreed to sell its 49% non-controlling interest in Laurel Mountain to ATN for \$403 million in cash, subject to certain closing adjustments. The transaction will close upon the satisfaction of certain closing conditions, including the concurrent completion of Chevron Corporation s acquisition of Atlas Energy and the sale of certain assets from Atlas Energy to AHD, approval of the Partnership s lenders under its revolving credit facility and other customary closing conditions. The Partnership intends to utilize the proceeds from the sale to repay its indebtedness under its revolving credit facility, to fund future capital expenditures, and for general corporate purposes.

34

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Form 10-Q, the words believes, anticipates, expects and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties more particularly described in Item 1A, under the caption Risk Factors , in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from the results stated or implied in this document. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to forward-looking statements which we may make to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-Q or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

General

The following discussion provides information to assist in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report.

Overview

We are a publicly-traded Delaware limited partnership formed in 1999 whose common units are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol APL. We are a leading provider of natural gas gathering services in the Anadarko and Permian Basins located in the southwestern and mid-continent United States and the Appalachian Basin in the northeastern United States. In addition, we are a leading provider of natural gas processing and treating services in Oklahoma and Texas.

We conduct our business in the midstream segment of the natural gas industry through two reportable segments: Mid-Continent and Appalachia.

Our Mid-Continent operations, as of September 30, 2010, own, have interests in and operate five natural gas processing plants with aggregate capacity of approximately 520 MMCFD. These facilities are connected to approximately 8,300 miles of active natural gas gathering systems located in Oklahoma, Kansas and Texas, which gathers gas from wells and central delivery points to our natural gas processing and treating plants, as well as third-party pipelines.

Our Appalachia operations are conducted principally through our 49% ownership interest in the Laurel Mountain Midstream, LLC joint venture (Laurel Mountain), which owns and operates approximately 1,800 miles of natural gas gathering systems in the Appalachian Basin located in the northeastern United States. We also own and operate approximately 80 miles of active natural gas gathering pipelines in northeastern Tennessee.

Recent Events

On September 1, 2010, we entered into an amendment to our credit facility agreement, which:

increased the annual capital contributions we are permitted to invest in Laurel Mountain from \$10.0 million to \$60.0 million, provided if less than \$60.0 million is paid in any given year that the shortfall may be carried over to the following year;

revised the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to provide for the add-back of charges relating to premiums associated with hedging agreements, not to exceed 15% of Consolidated EBITDA and to exclude the net gains or losses attributable to a disposition of assets other than in the ordinary course of business; and

effective upon the closing of our sale of the Elk City system, adjusted the maximum ratio of funded debt (as defined in the credit facility) to Consolidated EBITDA to 4.75 to 1.0 from 7.0 to 1.0; the maximum ratio of senior secured funded debt (as defined in the credit facility) to Consolidated EBITDA to 2.75 to 1.0 from 4.25 to 1.0; and the minimum ratio of Consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense to 2.50 to 1.0 from 1.9 to 1.0.

On September 16, 2010, we completed the sale of our Elk City and Sweetwater, Oklahoma natural gas gathering systems, the related processing and treating facilities (including the Prentiss treating facility) and the Nine Mile processing plant (collectively Elk City) to a subsidiary of Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. (NYSE: EEP) for \$682 million in cash, excluding working capital adjustments and transaction costs (See Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 4). We utilized the proceeds from the sale to repay our senior secured term loan and a portion of our indebtedness under the revolving credit facility (see Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility).

Subsequent Events

On October 18, 2010, we declared a cash distribution of \$0.35 per unit on our outstanding common limited partner units. Of the \$19.0 million distribution, the General Partner will receive \$0.4 million for its general partner interest and \$2.0 million for its common limited partner units. On March 31, 2010, our limited partnership agreement was amended to provide a temporary waiver of a capital contribution required for the General Partner to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest in us, relative to the January 2010 issuance of common units for warrants exercised. The General Partner plans to make such capital contribution upon receipt of the declared distributions from us, which will allow the general partner interest to be restored to 2.0%.

On November 8, 2010, we entered into a definitive agreement with Atlas Energy and Atlas Energy Resources, LLC (ATN), a wholly owned subsidiary of Atlas Energy, pursuant to which we agreed to sell our 49% non-controlling interest in Laurel Mountain to ATN for \$403 million in cash, subject to certain closing adjustments. The transaction will close upon the satisfaction of certain closing conditions, including the concurrent completion of Chevron Corporation sacquisition of Atlas Energy and the sale of certain assets from Atlas Energy to AHD, approval of our lenders under our revolving credit facility and other customary closing conditions. We intend to utilize the proceeds from the sale to repay our indebtedness under our revolving credit facility, to fund future capital expenditures, and for general corporate purposes.

Contractual Revenue Arrangements

Our principal revenue is generated from the gathering and sale of natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGLs). Variables that affect our revenue are:

the volume of natural gas we gather and process which, in turn, depends upon the number of wells connected to our gathering systems, the amount of natural gas they produce, and the demand for natural gas and NGLs;

the price of the natural gas we gather and process and the NGLs we recover and sell, which is a function of the relevant supply and demand in the mid-continent, mid-Atlantic and northeastern areas of the United States;

the NGL and British Thermal Unit (BTU) content of the gas that is gathered and processed;

the contract terms with each producer; and

the efficiency of our gathering systems and processing plants.

Revenue consists of the fees earned from our gathering and processing operations. Under certain agreements, we purchase natural gas from producers and move it into receipt points on our pipeline systems and then sell the natural gas and NGLs off of delivery points on our systems. Under other agreements, we gather natural gas across our systems, from receipt to delivery point, without taking title to the natural gas. Revenue associated with the physical sale of natural gas is recognized upon physical delivery of the natural gas.

36

In our Appalachia segment, substantially all of the natural gas we gather via Laurel Mountain is for Atlas Energy under contracts in which Laurel Mountain earns a fee equal to a percentage, generally 16%, of the gross sales price for natural gas, inclusive of the effects of financial and physical hedging, subject, in most cases, to a minimum of \$0.35 per thousand cubic feet, or MCF, depending on the ownership of the well. The balance of the natural gas gathered by Laurel Mountain and our Tennessee operations is for third-party operators generally under fixed-fee contracts. See Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 2 -Revenue Recognition for further discussion of contractual revenue arrangements.

Recent Trends and Uncertainties

The midstream natural gas industry links the exploration and production of natural gas and the delivery of its components to end-use markets and provides natural gas gathering, compression, dehydration, treating, conditioning, processing, fractionation and transportation services. This industry group is generally characterized by regional competition based on the proximity of gathering systems and processing plants to natural gas producing wells.

We face competition in obtaining natural gas supplies for our processing and related services operations. Competition for natural gas supplies is based primarily on the location of gas gathering facilities and gas processing plants, operating efficiency and reliability, and the ability to obtain a satisfactory price for products recovered. Competition for customers is based primarily on price, delivery capabilities, quality of assets, flexibility, service history and maintenance of high-quality customer relationships. Many of our competitors operate as master limited partnerships and enjoy a cost of capital comparable to and, in some cases lower than, ours. Other competitors, such as major oil and gas and pipeline companies, have capital resources and control supplies of natural gas substantially greater than ours. Smaller local distributors may enjoy a marketing advantage in their immediate service areas. We believe the primary difference between us and some of our competitors is that we provide an integrated and responsive package of midstream services, while some of our competitors provide only certain services. We believe that offering an integrated package of services, while remaining flexible in the types of contractual arrangements that we offer producers, allows us to compete more effectively for new natural gas supplies in our regions of operations.

As a result of our Percentage of Proceeds and Keep-Whole contracts, our results of operations and financial condition substantially depend upon the price of natural gas and NGLs (see Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 2 -Revenue Recognition). We believe that future natural gas prices will be influenced by supply deliverability, the severity of winter and summer weather and the level of United States economic growth. Based on historical trends, we generally expect NGL prices to follow changes in crude oil prices over the long term, which we believe will in large part be determined by the level of production from major crude oil exporting countries and the demand generated by growth in the world economy. However, energy market uncertainty has negatively impacted North American drilling activity in the recent past. Lower drilling levels and shut-in wells over a sustained period would have a negative effect on natural gas volumes gathered and processed.

We are exposed to commodity prices as a result of being paid for certain services in the form of natural gas, NGLs and condensate rather than cash. We closely monitor the risks associated with commodity price changes on our future operations and, where appropriate, use various commodity based derivative instruments such as natural gas, crude oil and NGL contracts to hedge a portion of the value of our assets and operations from such price risks. See Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk -Commodity Price Risk for further discussion of commodity price risk.

Currently, there is an extremely significant level of uncertainty in the financial markets. This uncertainty presents additional potential risks to us. These risks include the availability and costs associated with our borrowing capabilities and ability to raise additional capital, and an increase in the volatility of the price of our common units. While we have no definitive plans to access the capital markets, should we decide to do so in the near future, the terms, size, and cost of new debt or equity could be less favorable than in previous transactions.

37

Results of Operations

The following table illustrates selected volumetric information related to our reportable segments for the periods indicated:

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009		ths Ended aber 30, 2009
Pricing:				
Mid-Continent Weighted Average Prices:				
NGL price per gallon Conway hub	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.62
NGL price per gallon Mt. Belvieu hub	0.95	0.81	1.04	0.71
Natural gas sales (\$/Mcf):				
Velma	4.03	2.90	4.35	2.99
Elk City	4.10	2.95	4.17	3.02
Chaney Dell	4.01	2.92	4.35	3.01
Midkiff/Benedum	3.99	3.02	4.30	3.11
Weighted Average	4.01	2.95	4.31	3.03
NGL sales (\$/gallon):				
Velma	0.80	0.65	0.87	0.60
Elk City	0.91	0.70	0.91	0.63
Chaney Dell	0.91	0.70	0.92	0.62
Midkiff/Benedum	0.94	0.84	1.00	0.73
Weighted Average	0.89	0.72	0.93	0.64
Condensate sales (\$/barrel):				
Velma	74.92	66.34	76.19	55.06
Elk City	71.28	61.76	72.96	48.76
Chaney Dell	68.73	63.46	71.33	50.19
Midkiff/Benedum	74.82	66.58	74.06	55.29
Weighted Average	72.75	65.79	73.42	53.91

38

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009		Nine Mont Septem 2010	
Volumes ⁽¹⁾ :				
Appalachia:				
Laurel Mountain system:				
Average throughput volume mcfd)	114,878	96,315	104,484	96,581
Tennessee system				
Average throughput volume mcfd	9,142	9,674	8,767	7,428
Mid-Continent:				
Velma system:				
Gathered gas volume mcfd	90,377	81,562	81,107	75,919
Processed gas volume mcfd	84,255	78,714	75,531	73,351
Residue gas volume mcfd	68,713	62,219	61,559	57,959
NGL volume bpd	10,231	8,922	8,749	8,158
Condensate volume bpd	369	389	410	383
Chaney Dell system:				
Gathered gas volume mcfd	225,395	268,723	223,511	282,756
Processed gas volume mcfd	211,533	202,516	197,197	216,407
Residue gas volume mcfd	187,024	218,420	177,245	238,167
NGL volume bpd	11,561	13,376	11,785	13,574
Condensate volume bpd	599	750	661	861
Midkiff/Benedum system:				
Gathered gas volume mcfd	188,960	166,423	175,985	160,631
Processed gas volume mcfd	170,988	152,314	161,474	149,516
Residue gas volume mcfd	109,167	104,895	104,742	103,078
NGL volume bpd	28,557	19,926	26,533	21,006
Condensate volume bpd	1,867	1,942	1,353	1,426
Discontinued Operations ⁽³⁾ :				
Elk City/Sweetwater system:				
Gathered gas volume mcfd	265,744	211,287	254,298	228,630
Processed gas volume mcfd	224,982	200,182	208,952	223,438
Residue gas volume mcfd	198,072	181,011	194,228	203,034
NGL volume bpd	12,899	10,792	11,396	11,361
Condensate volume bpd	434	260	477	374

⁽¹⁾ Mcf represents thousand cubic feet; Mcfd represents thousand cubic feet per day; Bpd represents barrels per day.

Financial Presentation

On September 16, 2010, we completed the sale of Elk City (see Recent Events). As such, we have adjusted the prior period consolidated financial information presented to reflect the amounts related to the operations of Elk City as discontinued operations.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

Revenue. The following table details the revenue changes between the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

Three Months Ended
September 30, Percent
2010 2009(1) Change Change

⁽²⁾ Includes 100% of the throughput volume of Laurel Mountain.

⁽³⁾ Includes Elk City/Sweetwater volumes through September 16, 2010, due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events)

Edgar Filing: ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

Revenues:				
Natural gas and liquids	\$ 220,478	\$ 161,365	\$ 59,113	36.6%
Transportation, processing and other fee revenue	9,951	11,902	(1,951)	(16.4)%
Other income (loss), net	(4,311)	3,014	(7,325)	(243.0)%
Total Revenues	\$ 226,118	\$ 176,281	\$ 49,837	28.3%

⁽¹⁾ Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).

Natural gas and liquids revenue was \$220.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$59.1 million from \$161.4 million for the prior year comparable period. The increase was primarily attributable to a favorable price change as a result of higher realized commodity prices, partially offset by lower production volumes at the Chaney Dell system.

The Midkiff/Benedum system s NGL production volume for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was 28,557 BPD, an increase of 43.3% when compared to the prior year period, representing an increase in production efficiency due to the start-up of the new Consolidator plant, which provides greater recoveries, increasing the liquid volumes extracted from the natural gas stream. The Chaney Dell system had NGL production volume of 11,561 BPD for the three months ended September 30, 2010, a 13.6% decrease when compared to the prior year period of 13,376 BPD. Decreased NGL volumes for the Chaney Dell system were partially a result of ethane rejection during the current period.

Transportation, processing and other fee revenue decreased to \$10.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$11.9 million for the prior year period. This \$1.9 million decrease was primarily due to a \$1.6 million decrease from the Chaney Dell system due to lower gathered volumes, as a result of decreased number of well connects over the past year, resulting from lower capital spending.

Other income (loss), net, including the impact of certain gains and losses recognized on derivatives, was a loss of \$4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, which represents an unfavorable movement of \$7.3 million from the prior year period gain of \$3.0 million. We enter into derivative instruments principally to hedge our forecasted natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales against the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in market prices. See further discussion of derivatives under Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

40

Costs and Expenses. The following table details the costs and expenses changes between the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Percent
	2010	2009(1)	Change	Change
Costs and Expenses:				
Natural gas and liquids	\$ 178,920	\$ 131,503	\$ 47,417	36.1%
Plant operating	12,552	11,663	889	7.6%
Transportation and compression	300	134	166	123.9%
General and administrative	7,578	8,702	(1,124)	(12.9)%
Depreciation and amortization	18,566	17,916	650	3.6%
Interest expense	27,446	28,337	(891)	(3.1)%
Total Costs and Expenses	\$ 245,362	\$ 198,255	\$ 47,107	23.8%

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).

Natural gas and liquids cost of goods sold of \$178.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 represented an increase of \$47.4 million from the prior year period due primarily to an increase in average commodity prices, as discussed above in revenues.

Plant operating expenses of \$12.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 represented an increase of \$0.9 million from the prior year period mainly due to a \$0.5 million increase associated with our Midkiff/Benedum system resulting from higher compressor rentals and labor costs related to the new Consolidator gas plant.

General and administrative expense, including amounts reimbursed to affiliates, decreased \$1.1 million to \$7.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$8.7 million for the prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to a \$1.4 million decrease in salaries and wages resulting mainly from an allocation of direct costs associated with the sale of Elk City, partially offset by a \$0.5 million increase in equity based compensation.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$0.7 million to \$18.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 due primarily to expansion capital expenditures incurred at our Midkiff/Benedum system subsequent to September 30, 2009.

Interest expense decreased to \$27.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with \$28.3 million for the prior year period. This \$0.9 million decrease was primarily due to a \$3.1 million decrease in interest rate swap expense, plus a \$2.1 million decrease in interest expense on our term loan and revolving credit facility, partially offset by a \$4.1 million increase in amortized deferred finance costs. The decreased interest rate swap expense is due to the unfavorable impact of interest rate swaps in the prior year period. The decreased interest expense on our term loan and revolving credit facility is primarily due to the reduction of principal related to the retirement of the term loan and the majority of the revolving credit facility with proceeds from the sale of our Elk City system (see Recent Events). The increased amortization of deferred finance costs was due principally to accelerated amortization associated with the retirement of our term loan.

Other income items. The following table details the changes between the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 for other income items (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Percent
	2010	2009(1)	Change	Change
Equity income in joint venture	\$ 1,787	\$ 1,430	\$ 357	25.0%
Loss on asset sale		(994)	994	100.0%
Income from discontinued operations	305,927	9,215	296,712	3,219.9%
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1,076)	(954)	(122)	12.8%

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).

Equity income of \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, which represents our ownership interest in the net income of Laurel Mountain, increased \$0.4 million from the prior year period.

Loss on asset sale of \$1.0 million from the prior year period is an adjustment, for post-closing costs, to the gain recognized on our contribution of a 51% ownership interest in our Appalachia natural gas gathering system to Laurel Mountain, which closed on May 31, 2009.

Income of \$305.9 million from discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 represents a \$311.5 million gain on sale associated with the Elk City system (see Recent Events), which was sold on September 16, 2010, offset by a \$5.6 million loss related to the income of Elk City. The \$5.6 million loss is a decrease of \$14.8 million from the prior year period, primarily due to a \$13.2 million unfavorable impact of certain gains and losses recognized on derivatives mainly resulting from the recognition of \$10.6 million of losses reclassified from other comprehensive income, due to the sale of Elk City.

Income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$1.0 million for the prior year period. This change was primarily due to higher net income for the Midkiff/Benedum joint venture, which was formed to accomplish our acquisition of control of the system. The increase in net income of the Midkiff/Benedum joint venture was principally due to higher gross margins on the sale of commodities, resulting from higher prices and volumes. The non-controlling interest expense represents Anadarko Petroleum Corporation s interest in the net income of the Chaney Dell and Midkiff/Benedum joint ventures.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

Revenue. The following table details the revenue changes between the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2010	$2009^{(1)}$	Change	Change
Revenues:				
Natural gas and liquids	\$ 641,978	\$ 434,780	\$ 207,198	47.7%
Transportation, processing and other fee revenue	29,944	48,906	(18,962)	(38.8)%
Other income (loss), net	10,576	(13,117)	23,693	180.6%
Total Revenues	\$ 682,498	\$ 470,569	\$ 211,929	45.0%

(1)

Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see $\,$ -Recent Events $\,$).

Natural gas and liquids revenue was \$642.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$207.2 million from \$434.8 million for the prior year comparable period. The increase was primarily attributable to a favorable price change as a result of higher realized commodity prices combined with lower qualified hedge losses. Gains and losses within other comprehensive income related to previously designated hedges are recorded within natural gas and liquids revenue, while all other gains and losses related to derivative instruments are recorded within other income (loss), net. We enter into derivative instruments solely to hedge our forecasted natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales against the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in market prices. See further discussion of derivatives under Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The Midkiff/Benedum system s NGL production volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was 26,533 BPD, an increase of 26.3% when compared to the prior year period, representing an increase in production efficiency, primarily due to the start-up of the new Consolidator plant, which provides greater recoveries, increasing the liquid volumes extracted from the natural gas stream. Processed natural gas volume on the Chaney Dell system was 197.2 MMCFD for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of 8.9% compared to 216.4 MMCFD for the prior year. The Chaney Dell system had NGL production volume of 11,785 BPD for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a 13.2% decrease when compared to the prior year period of 13,574 BPD. Decreased volumes for the Chaney Dell system were a result of weather related downtime at the facilities and a decreased number of well connects over the past year, resulting from lower capital spending.

Transportation, processing and other fee revenue decreased to \$29.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$48.9 million for the prior year period. This \$19.0 million decrease was primarily due to a \$17.7 million decrease from the Appalachia system as a result of our May 2009 contribution of the majority of the system to Laurel Mountain, a joint venture in which we have a 49% ownership interest. After the contribution, we recognized our ownership interest in the net income of Laurel Mountain as equity income on our consolidated statements of operations.

Other income (loss), net, including the impact of certain gains and losses recognized on derivatives, was a gain of \$10.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, which represents a favorable movement of \$23.7 million from the prior year period loss of \$13.1 million.

Costs and Expenses. The following table details the costs and expenses changes between the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	- 1	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009(1)	Change	Change
Costs and Expenses:				
Natural gas and liquids	\$ 521,495	\$ 368,658	\$ 152,837	41.5%
Plant operating	36,492	33,065	3,427	10.4%
Transportation and compression	721	6,256	(5,535)	(88.5)%
General and administrative	23,521	25,568	(2,047)	(8.0)%
Depreciation and amortization	55,647	55,567	80	0.1%
Interest expense	78,444	75,944	2,500	3.3%
Total Costs and Expenses	\$ 716,320	\$ 565,058	\$ 151,262	26.8%

Natural gas and liquids cost of goods sold of \$521.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 represented an increase of \$152.8 million from the prior year period due primarily to an increase in average commodity prices partially offset by lower volumes in comparison to the prior year period, as discussed above in revenues.

⁽¹⁾ Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).

43

Plant operating expenses of \$36.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 represented an increase of \$3.4 million from the prior year period due partially due to a \$2.5 million increase associated with our Midkiff/Benedum system resulting from higher compressor rentals and labor costs related to the new Consolidator gas plant.

Transportation and compression expenses decreased to \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$6.3 million for the prior year period due to our contribution of the Appalachia system to Laurel Mountain.

General and administrative expense, including amounts reimbursed to affiliates, decreased \$2.0 million to \$23.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$25.6 million for the prior year period. The decrease was primarily related to a \$1.7 million decrease in salaries and wages resulting partially from non-recurring severance expense incurred during the prior year period.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$0.1 million to \$55.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Depreciation in the Mid-Continent segment increased \$3.1 million due primarily to expansion capital expenditures incurred subsequent to September 30, 2009, offset by a decrease of \$3.0 million in the Appalachia segment due to the sale of assets in the second quarter of 2009.

Interest expense increased to \$78.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with \$75.9 million for the prior year period. This \$2.5 million increase was primarily due to a \$3.4 million increase in interest expense associated with outstanding borrowings on our revolving credit facility, a \$2.6 million higher amortization of deferred finance costs, \$1.7 million of lower interest capitalized as a component of capital expenditures and a \$1.6 million increase in interest expense associated with our term loan, offset by a \$6.8 million decrease in interest rate swap expense. The higher interest expense on our revolving credit facility and term loan is due to higher weighted average interest rates of 6.9% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to average rates of 5.9% in the prior year period. The increased amortization of deferred finance costs was due principally to accelerated amortization associated with the retirement of our term loan with the proceeds from the sale of the Elk City system (see Recent Events). The lower capitalized interest is a result of fewer capital projects in the current period.

Other income items. The following table details the changes between the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 for other income items (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Percent
	2010	2009(1)	Change	Change
Equity income in joint venture	\$ 4,137	\$ 2,140	\$ 1,997	93.3%
Gain on sale of assets		108,947	(108,947)	(100.0)%
Income from discontinued operations	320,684	81,241	239,443	294.7%
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	(3,338)	(2,075)	(1,263)	(60.9)%

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).

Equity income of \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 represents our ownership interest in the net income of Laurel Mountain and is an increase of \$2.0 million from the prior year period, which only included four months of operations.

Gain on asset sale of \$108.9 million from the prior year period is the gain recognized on our contribution of a 51% ownership interest in our Appalachia natural gas gathering system to Laurel Mountain.

Income from discontinued operations of \$320.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$239.4 million compared with \$81.2 million for the prior year period. The increase is primarily due to the \$311.5 million gain on sale of the Elk City system in the current year period, compared to the \$51.1 million gain on sale of the NOARK gas gathering and interstate pipeline which was sold in May 2009.

Income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared with \$2.1 million for the prior year period. This change was primarily due to higher net income for the Chaney Dell and Midkiff/Benedum joint ventures, which were formed to accomplish our acquisition of control of the respective systems. The increase in net income of the Chaney Dell and Midkiff/Benedum joint ventures was principally due to higher gross margins on the sale of commodities, resulting from higher prices. The non-controlling interest expense represents Anadarko Petroleum Corporation s interest in the net income of the Chaney Dell and Midkiff/Benedum joint ventures.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash generated from operations and borrowings under our credit facility. Our primary cash requirements, in addition to normal operating expenses, are for debt service, capital expenditures and quarterly distributions to our common unitholders and General Partner. In general, we expect to fund:

cash distributions and maintenance capital expenditures through existing cash and cash flows from operating activities;

expansion capital expenditures and working capital deficits through the retention of cash and additional borrowings; and

debt principal payments through operating cash flows and additional borrowings as they become due or by the issuance of additional limited partner units or asset sales.

At September 30, 2010, we had \$12.0 million of outstanding borrowings under our \$380.0 million senior secured credit facility and \$5.1 million of outstanding letters of credit, which are not reflected as borrowings on our consolidated balance sheet, with \$362.9 million of remaining committed capacity under the credit facility, subject to covenant limitations (see -Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility). We were in compliance with the credit facility s covenants at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$46.9 million compared with a

\$30.6 million working capital deficit at December 31, 2009. We believe that we will have sufficient liquid assets, cash from operations and borrowing capacity to meet our financial commitments, debt service obligations, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures for at least the next twelve-month period. However, we are subject to business, operational and other risks that could adversely affect our cash flow. We may need to supplement our cash generation with proceeds from financing activities, including borrowings under our credit facility and other borrowings, the issuance of additional limited partner units and sales of our assets.

Recent instability in the financial markets, as a result of recession or otherwise, has caused volatility in the markets and may impact the availability of funds from those markets. This may affect our ability to raise capital and reduce the amount of cash available to fund our operations. We rely on our cash flow from operations and our credit facility to execute our growth strategy and to meet our financial commitments and other short-term liquidity needs. We cannot be certain that additional capital will be available to the extent required and on acceptable terms.

Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

The following table details the cash flow changes between the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Percent	
	2010	2009	Change	Change	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 101,285	\$ 51,482	\$ 49,803	96.7%	
Net cash provided by investing activities	629,888	258,788	371,100	143.4%	
Net cash used in financing activities	(732,028)	(306,454)	(425,574)	(138.9)%	
No. 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	ф (055 <u>)</u>	Φ 2.016	Φ (4.671)	(122.4)6	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (855)	\$ 3,816	\$ (4,671)	(122.4)%	

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$101.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 represented an increase of \$49.8 million from \$51.5 million of net cash provided by operating activities for the prior year period. The increase was derived from a \$54.3 million favorable gross margin in continuing operations related to the sale of natural gas and liquids, as a result of higher prices.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$629.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$371.1 million from \$258.8 million of net cash provided by investing activities for the prior year period. This increase was principally due to the net proceeds of \$674.4 million received from the sale of the Elk City system in the current period compared to \$292.0 million received from the sale of the NOARK gas gathering and interstate pipeline system in the prior year period combined with the \$110.4 million received from the sale of our 51% interest in the Appalachia assets in the prior year period, and due to an

\$83.9 million decrease in capital expenditures compared to the prior year period (see further discussion of capital expenditures under Liquidity and Capital Resources -Capital Requirements).

Net cash used in financing activities was \$732.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$425.6 million from \$306.4 million of net cash used in financing activities for the prior year period. This increase was mainly due to a \$327.0 million net increase in repayments of the outstanding principal balance on our revolving credit facility and a \$159.8 million increase in repayments of our term loan, partially offset by a \$26.3 million decrease in distributions paid. The increase in repayments of the outstanding principal balance on our term loan and revolving credit facility is due to the retirement of the term loan and a portion of our revolving credit facility with proceeds from the sale of the Elk City system.

Capital Requirements

Our operations require continual investment to upgrade or enhance existing operations and to ensure compliance with safety, operational, and environmental regulations. Our capital requirements consist primarily of:

maintenance capital expenditures to maintain equipment reliability and safety and to address environmental regulations; and

expansion capital expenditures to acquire complementary assets and to expand the capacity of our existing operations. The following table summarizes maintenance and expansion capital expenditures, excluding amounts paid for acquisitions, for the periods presented (in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30,

Nine Months Ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

	2010	2009(1)	2010	2009(1)
Maintenance capital expenditures	\$ 2,595	\$ 762	\$ 6,478	\$ 1,732
Expansion capital expenditures	8,745	4,725	25,600	95,750
Total	\$ 11,340	\$ 5,487	\$ 32,078	\$ 97,482

(1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).

Expansion capital expenditures increased to \$8.7 million for the three ended September 30, 2010, compared with \$4.7 million for the prior year comparable period, partially due to enhancements to the Woolsey Compression Station within the Chaney Dell system. Expansion capital expenditures decreased to \$25.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared with \$95.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, due partially to the construction of the Madill to Velma pipeline and compressor upgrades in the prior year periods, compounded by a reduction of well connects in the current periods. The increase in maintenance capital expenditures for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 when compared with the comparable prior year periods was partially due to planned maintenance expense at the Waynoka plant plus fluctuations in the timing of other scheduled maintenance activity. As of September 30, 2010, we have approved expenditures of approximately \$25.2 million on pipeline extensions, compressor station upgrades and processing facility upgrades.

Partnership Distributions

Subject to the restrictions noted below, our partnership agreement requires that we distribute 100% of available cash to our common unitholders and our General Partner within 45 days following the end of each calendar quarter in accordance with their respective percentage interests. Available cash consists generally of all of our cash receipts, less cash disbursements and net additions to reserves, including any reserves required under debt instruments for future principal and interest payments.

Our General Partner is granted discretion by our partnership agreement to establish, maintain and adjust reserves for future operating expenses, debt service, maintenance capital expenditures, and distributions for the next four quarters. These reserves are not restricted by magnitude, but only by type of future cash requirements with which they can be associated. When our General Partner determines our quarterly distributions, it considers current and expected reserve needs along with current and expected cash flows to identify the appropriate sustainable distribution level.

Available cash is initially distributed 98.1% to our common limited partners and 1.9% to our General Partner. These distribution percentages are modified to provide for incentive distributions to be paid to our General Partner if quarterly distributions to common limited partners exceed specified targets. Incentive distributions are generally defined as all cash distributions paid to our General Partner that are in excess of 1.9% of the aggregate amount of cash being distributed. During July 2007, our General Partner, holder of all of our incentive distribution rights, agreed to allocate up to \$3.75 million of its incentive distribution rights per quarter back to us after the General Partner receives the initial \$7.0 million of incentive distribution rights per quarter. No incentive distributions were declared for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Our senior secured credit facility restricted us from paying cash distributions through the end of 2009. Commencing with the quarter ending March 31, 2010, cash distributions can be paid, only if our senior secured leverage ratio meets certain thresholds and we have minimum liquidity (both as defined in the credit agreement) of at least \$50.0 million at the end of the quarter (see -Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility). We met the requirements of the credit agreement for the quarter ending September 30, 2010. On October 18, 2010, we declared a cash distribution of \$0.35 per unit on our outstanding common limited partner units, representing the cash distribution for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The distribution will be paid on November 12, 2010 to unitholders of record as of the close of business on November 8, 2010.

47

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2010, our off balance sheet arrangements are limited to our letters of credit, issued under the provisions of our revolving credit facility, totaling \$5.1 million. These are in place to support various performance obligations as required by (i) statutes within the regulatory jurisdictions where we operate, (ii) surety and (iii) counterparty support.

Common Equity Offerings

In August 2009, we sold 2,689,765 common units in a private placement at an offering price of \$6.35 per unit, yielding net proceeds of approximately \$16.1 million. We also received a capital contribution from the General Partner of \$0.4 million for the General Partner to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest in us. In addition, we issued warrants granting investors in our private placement the right to purchase an additional 2,689,765 common units at a price of \$6.35 per unit for a period of two years following the issuance of the original common units. We utilized the net proceeds from the common unit offering to repay a portion of our indebtedness under our senior secured term loan and revolving credit facility (see -Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility) and to fund the early termination of certain derivative agreements. See Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 10 .

On January 7, 2010, we executed amendments to the warrants which were originally issued in August 2009. The amendments to the warrants provided that, for the period January 8 through January 12, 2010, the warrant exercise price was lowered to \$6.00 per unit from \$6.35 per unit. In connection with the amendments, the holders of the warrants exercised all of the warrants for cash, which resulted in net cash proceeds of approximately \$15.3 million. We utilized the net proceeds from the common unit offering to repay a portion of our indebtedness under our senior secured term loan and credit facility (see -Term Loan and Credit Facility) and to fund the early termination of certain derivative agreements. See Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 10 .

The common units and warrants sold by us in the August 2009 private placement were subject to a registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the transaction. The registration rights agreement required us to (a) file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the privately placed common units and those underlying the warrants by September 21, 2009 and (b) cause the registration statement to be declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission by November 18, 2009. We filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in satisfaction of the registration requirements of the registration rights agreement on September 3, 2009, and the registration statement was declared effective on October 14, 2009.

Preferred Units

On June 30, 2010, we sold 8,000 newly-created 12% Cumulative Class C Preferred Units of limited partner interest (the Class C Preferred Units) to Atlas Energy for cash consideration of \$1,000 per Class C Preferred Unit (the Face Value), for total proceeds of \$8.0 million. We used the proceeds from the sale of the Class C Preferred Units for general partnership purposes. See Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 6 .

Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility

At September 30, 2010, we had a senior secured credit facility with a syndicate of banks which consisted of a \$380.0 million revolving credit facility which matures in July 2013. The term loan, which was a part of the credit facility, was paid in full in September 2010. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest,

48

Table of Contents

at our option, at either (i) adjusted LIBOR, subject to a floor of 2% per annum, plus the applicable margin, as defined, or (ii) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 0.5% or the Wachovia Bank prime rate (each plus the applicable margin). The weighted average interest rate on the outstanding revolving credit facility borrowings at September 30, 2010 was 7.8%. Up to \$50.0 million of the credit facility may be utilized for letters of credit, of which \$5.1 million was outstanding at September 30, 2010. These outstanding letter of credit amounts were not reflected as borrowings on our consolidated balance sheet.

Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by a lien on and security interest in all of our property and that of our subsidiaries, except for the assets owned by the Chaney Dell and Midkiff/Benedum joint ventures and the Laurel Mountain joint venture. Borrowings are also secured by the guaranty of each of our consolidated subsidiaries other than the joint venture companies. The credit facility contains customary covenants, including restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness; make certain acquisitions, loans or investments; make distribution payments to our unitholders if an event of default exists; or enter into a merger or sale of assets, including the sale or transfer of interests in our subsidiaries. We are also unable to borrow under our credit facility to pay distributions of available cash to unitholders because such borrowings would not constitute working capital borrowings pursuant to our partnership agreement. We are in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2010 and expect to be in compliance in future periods.

The events which constitute an event of default for our credit facility include payment defaults, breaches of representations or covenants contained in the credit agreement, adverse judgments against us in excess of a specified amount, and a change of control of our General Partner.

On September 1, 2010, we entered into an amendment to the credit facility agreement to:

increase the amount we are permitted to invest in Laurel Mountain from \$10.0 million to \$60.0 million per year, with any unused amount being carried forward to the next year;

revise the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to include premiums associated with permitted hedging agreements, not to exceed 15% of Consolidated EBITDA, and to exclude net after-tax gains or losses attributable to a disposition of assets other than in the ordinary course of business; and

effective upon the closing of our sale of the Elk City system (see Recent Events), adjusted the maximum ratio of funded debt (as defined in the credit facility) to Consolidated EBITDA to 4.75 to 1.0 from 7.0 to 1.0; the maximum ratio of senior secured funded debt (as defined in the credit facility) to Consolidated EBITDA to 2.75 to 1.0 from 4.25 to 1.0; and the minimum ratio of Consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense to 2.50 to 1.0 from 1.9 to 1.0.

As of September 30, 2010, our leverage ratio was 3.16 to 1.0, our senior secured leverage ratio was 0.11 to 1.0, and our interest coverage ratio was 3.21 to 1.0.

Senior Notes

At September 30, 2010, we had \$223.1 million principal amount outstanding of 8.75% senior unsecured notes due on June 15, 2018 (8.75% Senior Notes) and \$275.5 million principal amount outstanding of 8.125% senior unsecured notes due on December 15, 2015 (8.125% Senior Notes ; collectively, the Senior Notes). Our 8.125% Senior Notes are presented combined with a net \$3.4 million of unamortized discount as of September 30, 2010. Interest on the Senior Notes in the aggregate is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15. The 8.75% Senior Notes are redeemable at any time after June 15, 2013, and the 8.125% Senior Notes are redeemable at any time after December 31, 2010, at certain redemption prices, together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. Prior to June 15, 2011, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 8.75% Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a stated

Table of Contents

redemption price. The Senior Notes in the aggregate are also subject to repurchase by us at a price equal to 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, upon a change of control or upon certain asset sales if we do not reinvest the net proceeds within 360 days. The Senior Notes are junior in right of payment to our secured debt, including our obligations under our credit facility.

Indentures governing the Senior Notes in the aggregate contain covenants, including limitations of our ability to: incur certain liens; engage in sale/leaseback transactions; incur additional indebtedness; declare or pay distributions if an event of default has occurred; redeem, repurchase or retire equity interests or subordinated indebtedness; make certain investments; or merge, consolidate or sell substantially all of our assets. We are in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires making estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of actual revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Although we base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, actual results may differ from the estimates on which our financial statements are prepared at any given point of time. Changes in these estimates could materially affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Significant items that are subject to such estimates and assumptions include revenue and expense accruals, depreciation and amortization, asset impairment, fair value of derivative instruments, the probability of forecasted transactions and the allocation of purchase price to the fair value of assets acquired. A discussion of our significant accounting policies we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is included within our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and there have been no material changes to these policies through September 30, 2010.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We use a valuation framework based upon inputs that market participants use in pricing an asset or liability, which are classified into two categories: observable inputs and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs represent market data obtained from independent sources, whereas unobservable inputs reflect our own market assumptions, which are used if observable inputs are not reasonably available without undue cost and effort. These two types of inputs are further prioritized into the following hierarchy:

The hierarchy defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical, unrestricted assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity s own assumptions about the assumption market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity but rather through particular valuation techniques.

We use a fair value methodology to value the assets and liabilities for our outstanding derivative contracts (see Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) -Note 10). At September 30, 2010, all of our derivative contracts are defined as Level 2, with the exception of our NGL fixed price swaps and NGL options. Our Level 2 commodity hedges are calculated based on observable market data related to the change in price of the underlying commodity. Valuations for our NGL fixed price swaps are based on a forward

Table of Contents

price curve modeled on a regression analysis of quoted price curves for NGL s for similar locations and therefore are defined as Level 3. Valuations for our NGL options are based on forward price curves developed by financial institutions, and therefore are defined as Level 3.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, to provide enhanced disclosure requirements for activity in Levels 1, 2 and 3 fair value measurements. The update requires significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements to be reported separately and the reasons for such transfers to be disclosed. The update also requires information regarding purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements to be disclosed separately on a gross basis in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using unobservable inputs for all activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, the update clarifies that fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities must be disclosed as well as disclosures pertaining to the inputs and valuation techniques for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements in Levels 2 and 3. These requirements are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those requirements will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. We adopted these requirements on January 1, 2010 and it did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or related disclosures.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about our potential exposure to market risks. The term market risk refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates and oil and natural gas prices. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses, but rather indicators of reasonable possible losses. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how we view and manage our ongoing market risk exposures. All of our market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for purposes other than trading.

General

All of our assets and liabilities are denominated in U.S. dollars, and as a result, we do not have exposure to currency exchange risks.

We are exposed to various market risks, principally fluctuating interest rates and changes in commodity prices. These risks can impact our results of operations, cash flows and financial position. We manage these risks through regular operating and financing activities and periodical use of derivative instruments. The following analysis presents the effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial position as if the hypothetical changes in market risk factors occurred on September 30, 2010. Only the potential impact of hypothetical assumptions is analyzed. The analysis does not consider other possible effects that could impact our business.

Current market conditions elevate our concern over counterparty risks and may adversely affect the ability of these counterparties to fulfill their obligations to us, if any. The counterparties to our commodity derivative contracts are banking institutions currently participating in our revolving credit facility. We may choose to do business with counterparties outside of our credit facility in the future. The creditworthiness of our counterparties is constantly monitored, and we are not aware of any inability on the part of our counterparties to perform under our contracts.

Interest Rate Risk. At September 30, 2010, we had a \$380.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (\$12.0 million outstanding). Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option at either (i)

51

Table of Contents

adjusted LIBOR plus the applicable margin, as defined, or (ii) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 0.5% or the Wachovia Bank prime rate (each plus the applicable margin). On May 29, 2009, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured revolving credit facility agreement which, among other changes, set a floor for the LIBOR interest rate of 2.0% per annum. The weighted average interest rate for the revolving credit facility borrowings was 7.8% at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2010, we had no interest rate derivative contracts. Holding all other variables constant, a 100 basis-point, or 1%, change in interest rates would not change our annual interest expense.

Commodity Price Risk. We are exposed to commodity prices as a result of being paid for certain services in the form of natural gas, NGLs and condensate rather than cash. For gathering services, we receive fees or commodities from the producers to bring the raw natural gas from the wellhead to the processing plant. For processing services, we either receive fees or commodities as payment for these services, based on the type of contractual agreement. We use a number of different derivative instruments in connection with our commodity price risk management activities. We enter into financial swap and option instruments to hedge our forecasted natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales against the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in market prices. Swap instruments are contractual agreements between counterparties to exchange obligations of money as the underlying natural gas, NGLs and condensate are sold. Under swap agreements, we receive or pay a fixed price and receive or remit a floating price based on certain indices for the relevant contract period. Commodity-based option instruments are contractual agreements that grant the right, but not the obligation, to receive or pay a fixed price and receive or remit a floating price based on certain indices for the relevant contract period. See Item 1. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

-Note 10 for further discussion of our derivative instruments. Average estimated 2010 market prices for NGLs, natural gas and condensate, based upon New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) forward price curves as of October 6, 2010, are \$1.05 per gallon, \$4.30 per million BTU and \$85.65 per barrel, respectively. A 10% change in these prices would change our forecasted gross margin for the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2011 by approximately \$15.0 million.

52

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we made net payments of \$25.3 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, related to the early termination of derivative contracts. The terminated derivative contracts were to expire at various times through the fourth quarter of 2010. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, and 2009, we recognized the following derivative activity related to the early termination of these derivative instruments within our consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

Early termination of derivative contracts		tree Months otember 30, 2009 ⁽¹⁾	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009 ⁽¹⁾	
Cash paid for early termination	\$	\$	\$ (25,315)	\$ (5,000)
Equity applied to prior period early termination			(8,421)	
Total realized loss at early termination ⁽²⁾	\$	\$	\$ (33,736)	\$ (5,000)
Net cash derivative gain included within natural gas and liquids revenue	\$	\$	\$ 12,198	\$
Net cash derivative expense included within other income (loss), net			(34,599)	(2,260)
Net cash derivative expense included within discontinued operations			(11,335)	(2,740)
Total realized loss at early termination ⁽²⁾			(33,736)	(5,000)
Recognition of deferred hedge loss from prior periods included within				
natural gas and liquids revenue ⁽³⁾	(2,519)	(13,565)	(23,216)	(33,629)
Recognition of deferred hedge gain from prior periods included within				
other income (loss), net ⁽³⁾	2,911	11,262	32,150	24,148
Recognition of deferred hedge gain from prior periods included within				
discontinued operations ⁽³⁾	(1,325)	(2,185)	4,137	(9,854)
Total realized loss from early termination recognized in current period ⁽²⁾	\$ (933)	\$ (4,488)	\$ (20,665)	\$ (24,335)

- (1) Restated to reflect amounts reclassified to discontinued operations due to our sale of the Elk City gas gathering and processing systems (see -Recent Events).
- (2) Realized gain (loss) represents the gain/loss recognized when the derivative contract is settled. A portion of realized gain (loss) recognized in other income (loss), net is a reclassification of unrealized gain (loss) previously recognized as a factor of recording the changes in the fair value of the derivatives prior to settlement.
- (3) Non-Cash recognition of deferred hedge gain (loss) includes (i) theoretical premiums related to calls sold in conjunction with puts purchased in costless collars in which the puts were sold as part of the equity unwinds in 2008 and (ii) the effective portion of hedges deferred to OCI.

In addition, we will recognize, in our consolidated statement of operations, \$5.8 million net income, related to derivative contracts terminated in 2008, during the periods for which the hedged physical transactions are forecasted to be settled, with

\$0.7 million of income to be recognized during the remainder of the year ending December 31, 2010 and \$2.8 million and

\$2.3 million of income to be recognized during the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our General Partner s Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only

reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision of our General Partner s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and with the participation of our disclosure committee appointed by such officers, we have carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our General Partner s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of September 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

53

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

54

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the factors discussed elsewhere in this report, including the financial statements and related notes, the investor should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described in this item and under Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. These risks and uncertainties could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If any of these risks or uncertainties were to occur, our business, financial condition or results of operation could be materially adversely affected.

The recent adoption of derivatives legislation by the United States Congress could have an adverse effect on the Partnership's ability to use derivative instruments to reduce the effect of commodity price, interest rate and other risks associated with its business.

The United States Congress recently adopted comprehensive financial reform legislation that establishes federal oversight and regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market and entities, such as the Partnership, that participate in that market. The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) has also proposed regulations to set position limits for certain futures and option contracts in the major energy markets, although it is not possible at this time to predict whether or when the CFTC will adopt those rules or include comparable provisions in its rulemaking under the new legislation. The financial reform legislation may require the Partnership to comply with margin requirements and with certain clearing and trade-execution requirements, although the application of those provisions to the Partnership is uncertain at this time. The financial reform legislation may also require the counterparties to the Partnership s derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to a separate entity, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparty. The new legislation and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts (including through requirements to post collateral); materially alter the terms of derivative contracts; reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks the Partnership encounters; reduce the Partnership s ability to monetize or restructure its existing derivative contracts; and increase the exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If the Partnership reduces its use of derivatives as a result of the legislation and regulations, the Partnership s results of operations may become more volatile and its cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect the Partnership's ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures. Finally, the legislation was intended, in part, to reduce the volatility of oil and natural gas prices, which some legislators attributed to speculative trading in derivatives and commodity instruments related to oil and natural gas. The Partnership s revenues could therefore be adversely affected if a consequence of the legislation and regulations is to lower commodity prices. Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership, its financial condition, and its results of operations.

55

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated July 27, 2010, by and among Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent, LLC, Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., Enbridge Pipelines (Texas Gathering) L.P. and Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. (25)
3.1	Certificate of Limited Partnership ⁽¹⁾
3.2(a)	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽²⁾
3.2(b)	Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽³⁾
3.2(c)	Amendment No. 2 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽⁴⁾
3.2(d)	Amendment No. 3 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽⁵⁾
3.2(e)	Amendment No. 4 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽⁶⁾
3.2(f)	Amendment No. 5 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽⁹⁾
3.2(g)	Amendment No. 6 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽¹¹⁾
3.2(h)	Amendment No. 7 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership ⁽²¹⁾
3.2(i)	Amendment No. 8 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (22)
3.3	Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation for 12% Cumulative Class B Preferred Units ⁽¹¹⁾
3.4	Certificate of Designation for 12% Cumulative Class C Preferred Units ⁽²²⁾
4.1	Common unit certificate ⁽¹⁾
4.2	8 ¹ /8% Senior Notes Indenture dated December 20, 2005 ⁽¹⁰⁾
4.3	8 ³ /4% Senior Notes Indenture dated June 27, 2008 ⁽⁷⁾
10.1(a)	Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement dated July 27, 2007 by and among Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., Wachovia Bank, National Association and the several guarantors and lenders hereto ⁽⁴⁾
10.1(b)	Amendment No. 1 and Agreement to the Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement, dated June 12, 2008 ⁽⁶⁾
10.1(c)	Amendment No. 2 to Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement, dated May 29, 2009 (12)
10.1(d)	Amendment No. 3 to Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement, dated September 1, 2010 (26)
10.2	$Amendment\ No.\ 3\ to\ Amended\ and\ Restated\ Agreement\ of\ Limited\ Partnership\ of\ Atlas\ Pipeline\ Operating\ Partnership, \\ L.P.^{(21)}$
10.3	Form of Warrant to purchase common units dated August 20, 2009 ⁽¹³⁾
10.4	Form of First Amendment to Warrant to purchase common units dated January 7, 2010 ⁽¹⁹⁾
10.5	Long-Term Incentive Plan ⁽²⁰⁾
10.6	2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan ⁽²³⁾
10.7	Form of Grant of Phantom Units in Exchange for Bonus Units(23)
10.8	Form of 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan Phantom Unit Grant Letter ⁽²⁴⁾
10.9	Form of Grant of Phantom Units to Non-Employee Managers
10.10	Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent, LLC 2009 Equity-Indexed Bonus Plan ⁽²⁰⁾
10.11	Form of Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent, LLC 2009 Equity-Indexed Bonus Plan Grant Agreement ⁽²⁰⁾

10.12	Formation and Exchange Agreement dated March 31, 2009 between Williams Field Services Group, LLC, Williams Laurel Mountain, LLC, Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., Atlas Pipeline Operating Partnership, L.P. and APL Laurel Mountain, LLC ⁽¹⁴⁾
10.13	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 15, 2009, between Atlas America, Inc. and Eugene N. Dubay ⁽¹⁴⁾
10.14	Securities Purchase Agreement dated April 7, 2009, by and between Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent, LLC and Spectra Energy Partners OLP, LP ⁽¹⁵⁾
10.15	Atlas Pipeline Holdings II, LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement(16)
10.16	ATN Option Agreement dated as of June 1, 2009, by and among APL Laurel Mountain, LLC, Atlas Pipeline Operating Partnership, L.P. and Atlas Energy Resources, LLC ⁽¹⁷⁾
10.17	$Amended \ and \ Restated \ Limited \ Liability \ Company \ Agreement \ of \ Laurel \ Mountain \ Midstream, \ LLC \ dated \ as \ of \ June \ 1, \ 2009^{(17)}$
10.18	Letter Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2009, between Atlas America, Inc. and Eric Kalamaras ⁽¹⁸⁾
12.1	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification
32.1	Section 1350 Certification
32.2	Section 1350 Certification

56

Table of Contents

- (1) Previously filed as an exhibit to registration statement on Form S-1 on January 20, 2000.
- (2) Previously filed as an exhibit to registration statement on Form S-3 on April 2, 2004.
- (3) Previously filed as an exhibit to quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
- (4) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on July 30, 2007.
- (5) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on January 8, 2008.
- (6) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 16, 2008.
- (7) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 27, 2008.
- (8) [Intentionally omitted]
- (9) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on January 6, 2009.
- (10) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on December 21, 2005.
- (11) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on April 3, 2009.
- (12) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 1, 2009.
- (13) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on August 20, 2009.
- (14) Previously filed as an exhibit to quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.
- (15) Previously filed as an exhibit to quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.
- (16) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 2, 2009.
- (17) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 5, 2009.
- (18) Previously filed as an exhibit to quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.
- (19) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on January 8, 2010.
- (20) Previously filed as an exhibit to annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- (21) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on April 2, 2010.
- (22) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.
- (23) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 17, 2010.
- (24) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on June 23, 2010.
- (25) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on July 29, 2010.
- (26) Previously filed as an exhibit to current report on Form 8-K on September 1, 2010.

Table of Contents 84

57

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ATLAS PIPELINE PARTNERS, L.P.

By: Atlas Pipeline Partners GP, LLC,

its General Partner

Date: November 9, 2010 By: /s/ EUGENE N. DUBAY

Eugene N. Dubay

Chief Executive Officer, President and Managing Board

Member of the General Partner

Date: November 9, 2010 By: /s/ ERIC T. KALAMARAS

Eric T. Kalamaras

Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner

Date: November 9, 2010 By: /s/ ROBERT W. KARLOVICH, III

Robert W. Karlovich, III

Chief Accounting Officer of the General Partner

58