FTI CONSULTING INC Form 10-K February 26, 2010 **Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Х ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

•• TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE **ACT OF 1934** to

For the transition period from

Commission file number 001-14875

FTI CONSULTING, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

777 Flagler Drive, Suite 1500,

West Palm Beach, Florida (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(561) 515-1900

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Name of Each Exchange on which Registered New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No $\ddot{}$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K ($\S229.405$ of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer xAccelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2.6 billion, based on the closing sales price of the registrant s common stock on June 30, 2009.

The number of shares of registrant s common stock outstanding on February 16, 2010 was 46,481,250.

52-1261113 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> 33401 (Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

FTI CONSULTING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Annual Report on Form 10-K

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009

INDEX

		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	21
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	33
Item 2.	Properties	33
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	33
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	33
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	34
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	36
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	39
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	66
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	68
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	118
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	118
Item 9B.	Other Information	118
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	119
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	119
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters .	119
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	119
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	119
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule	120

FTI CONSULTING, INC.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS Forward-Looking Information

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues, future results and performance, future capital expenditures, expectations, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions and other matters, business trends and other information that is not historical. Forward-looking statements often contain words such as *estimates, expects, anticipates, projects, plans, intends, believes, forecasts* and variations of such words or similar expressions. All forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, management s examination of historical operating trends, are based upon our historical performance and our current plans, estimates and expectations at the time we make them and various assumptions. There can be no assurance that management s expectations, beliefs and projections will result or be achieved. Our actual financial results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, any forward-looking statements. The inclusion of any forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by us will be achieved. Given these risks, uncertainties and other factors, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in, or implied by, this Annual Report. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Annual Report are set forth in this report, including under the heading Risk Factors in Part I Item 1A. They include risks and uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, financial results, financial condition, business prospects, growth strategy and liquidity, including the following:

changes in demand for our services;

our ability to attract and retain qualified professionals and senior management;

conflicts resulting in our inability to represent certain clients;

our former employees joining competing businesses;

our ability to manage our professionals utilization and billing rates and maintain or increase the pricing of our services and products;

our ability to make acquisitions and integrate the operations of acquisitions as well as the costs of integration;

our ability to adapt to and manage the risks associated with operating in non-U.S. markets;

our ability to replace senior managers and practice leaders who have highly specialized skills and experience;

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, negotiate advantageous terms and take advantage of opportunistic acquisition situations;

periodic fluctuations in revenues, operating income and cash flows;

damage to our reputation as a result of claims involving the quality of our services;

fee discounting or renegotiation, lower pricing, less advantageous contract terms and unexpected terminations of client engagements;

competition;

general economic factors, industry trends, restructuring and bankruptcy rates, capital market conditions, merger and acquisition activity, major litigation activity and other events outside of our control;

our ability to manage growth;

risk of non-payment of receivables;

our outstanding indebtedness; and

proposed changes in accounting principles.

There may be other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf apply only as of the date of this Annual Report and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included herein. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances and do not intend to do so.

When we use the terms FTI, we, us and our we mean FTI Consulting, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Company Overview

We are a leading global business advisory firm dedicated to helping organizations protect and enhance their enterprise value in difficult and increasingly complex economic, legal and regulatory environments. We operate through five business segments:

Corporate Finance/Restructuring;

Forensic and Litigation Consulting;

Economic Consulting;

Technology; and

Strategic Communications.

We work closely with our clients to help them anticipate, understand, manage and overcome complex business matters arising from such factors as the economy, financial and credit markets, governmental regulation and legislation and litigation. We assist clients in addressing a broad range of business challenges, such as restructuring (including bankruptcy), financing and credit issues and indebtedness, interim business management, forensic accounting and litigation services, mergers and acquisitions (M&A), antitrust and competition matters, electronic discovery, management and retrieval of electronically stored information, reputation management and strategic communications. We also provide services to help our clients to take advantage of economic, regulatory, financial and other business opportunities. We have expertise in highly specialized industries, including real estate and construction, automotive, telecommunications, healthcare, energy and utilities, chemicals, banking, insurance, pharmaceuticals, retail, information technology and communications, and media and entertainment. Our experienced professionals include many individuals who are widely recognized as experts in their respective fields. Our professionals include PhDs, MBAs,

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

JDs, CPAs, CPA-ABVs (who are CPAs accredited in business valuations), CPA-CFFs (who are CPAs certified in financial forensics), CRAs (certified risk analysts), Certified Turnaround Professionals, Certified Insolvency and Reorganization Advisors, Certified Fraud Examiners, ASAs (accredited senior appraisers), construction engineers and former senior government officials. Our clients include Fortune 500 corporations, FTSE 100 companies, law firms and local, state and federal governments and agencies in the United States and many other countries. We believe clients retain us because of our recognized expertise and capabilities in highly specialized areas, as well as our reputation for satisfying clients needs.

From December 31, 2008, we increased our number of revenue-generating professionals by approximately 5% to 2,638 as of December 31, 2009, and we increased our total number of employees by approximately 3% to 3,472 as of December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, we had operations across 35 U.S. cities and 21 foreign countries the United Kingdom (UK), Ireland, France, Germany, Spain, Belgium, Russia, Australia, China (including Hong Kong), Japan, Singapore, the United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, South Africa, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Panama, Mexico, Canada and the British Virgin Islands.

Our Business Segments

We discuss our five business segments in greater detail below.

Corporate Finance/Restructuring

Our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment focuses on strategic, operational, financial and capital needs of businesses around the world. We address the full spectrum of financial and transactional challenges facing our clients, which include companies, boards of directors, private equity sponsors, banks, lenders and other financing sources and creditor groups, as well as other parties-in-interest. We advise on a wide range of areas, including restructuring (including bankruptcy), financings, claims management, mergers and acquisitions (M&A), post-acquisition integration, valuations, tax issues and performance improvement. We also provide expert witness testimony, bankruptcy and insolvency litigation support and trustee and examiner services. We have particular expertise in the automotive, chemicals, communications, media and entertainment, energy and utilities, healthcare, real estate and financial services and retail industries.

A number of factors affect the demand for our corporate finance/restructuring services including general economic conditions, the availability of credit, leverage levels, lending activity, over-expansion of businesses, competition, M&A activity and management crises. The increased demand for restructuring (and bankruptcy) services that began in 2007 continued during 2009, as the economic recession, financial, credit, banking and real estate crises, and tight credit markets continued. We often see weak demand for one or more of our service offerings being counterbalanced by stronger demand for other service offerings. For example, demand for restructuring services may increase during a period of reduced demand for our transaction advisory or post-acquisition integration services. If demand for one or more of our corporate finance/restructuring services weakens, our objective is to manage utilization by shifting professionals to work on engagements in other service offerings or our other business segments, as needed.

In 2009, the services offered by our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment included:

Turnaround and Restructuring Services. We provide advisory services to debtors, creditors and other stakeholders of companies confronting liquidity problems, excessive leverage, underperformance, over-expansion or other business or financial issues. We lead and manage the financial aspects of in-court restructuring processes by offering services that help our clients assess the impact of a bankruptcy filing on their financial condition and operations. We assist our clients in planning for a smooth transition into and out of bankruptcy, facilitating sales of assets and arranging debtor-in-possession financing. We help our clients right-size infrastructure, improve working capital management, sell non-core assets or business units and recapitalize. We also perform due diligence reviews, financial statements and cash flow and EBITDA analyses, recommend credit alternatives, assist in determining optimal capital structure, monitor portfolios of assets, assess collateral and provide crisis credit and securitized transaction assistance.

Interim Management Services. Through FTI Palladium Partners, we provide interim management services to companies in crisis. Our professionals can fill the roles of chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief operating officer, chief restructuring officer and other key management positions to improve viability, stabilize financial position and protect enterprise value, resolve regulatory compliance issues, establish credibility with stakeholders and drive long-term positive change.

Equity Sponsor Services. Equity sponsors are under significant pressure to achieve investment return and liquidity expectations from their investments in portfolio companies and desired exit strategies. We help equity sponsors and company management take proactive steps toward revitalizing businesses, achieving investment expectations and strengthening inexperienced management and weak leadership, by assisting in the development, modification and execution of business plans and offering unbiased assessments, thereby allowing a sponsor to focus on new opportunities. Our services include providing professionals to enhance management by supplementing the existing management team with turnaround specialists and other interim executives, assisting with obtaining or modifying financing, providing credibility to support lender negotiations and credit concessions and a variety of other mission-critical services that may be key to a company s survival and success.

Transaction Advisory Services (M&A). Our Transaction Advisory Services (TAS) practice combines the disciplines of financial accounting, investment banking, tax advice, valuation services and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulatory experience to help our clients maximize value and minimize risk in mergers and acquisitions. We provide many services relating to business acquisitions that include: performing due diligence reviews, evaluating key value drivers and risk factors, advising on the most advantageous tax and accounting structure for the transaction and assessing quality of earnings, quality of balance sheet and working capital requirements. We identify value enhancers and value issues. We provide comprehensive tax consulting intended to maximize a client s return on investment. We help structure post-acquisition earn-outs and price adjustment mechanisms to allow a client to realize optimal value. We advise clients regarding regulatory and SEC requirements and internal controls and compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley). We help structure retention and exit strategies. We also perform services for clients involved in purchase price disputes such as assessing the consistent application of GAAP, earn-out issues, working capital issues, settlement ranges and allocation of purchase price for tax purposes. We have the capacity to provide investment banking services through our Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) registered subsidiary, which focuses on identifying and executing value-added transactions for public and private middle market companies in the communications and media and entertainment industries.

Real Estate and Financial Advisory Practice. In April 2008, the real estate and financial advisory services practice (real estate advisory) was formed in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment through the acquisition of The Schonbraun McCann Group (SMG). The real estate advisory practice bridges the gap between real estate and finance, by providing expertise in business consulting, financial, tax and real estate advisory services to clients within all sectors of the real estate industry. This practice represents real estate investment trusts, real estate operating companies, owners and developers from the private and public sectors, hospitality property owners, financial institutions, sovereign funds, hedge funds and pension fund advisors and corporations with significant real estate interests. Our real estate advisory services, underwriting and general due diligence services to owners, investors, lenders, governments, special interest groups and origination and securitization groups for both business and real estate assets, accounting outsourcing services to real estate and financial services organizations, including external financial and management reporting and accounting department oversight and the development, implementation and execution of solutions designed to gain competitive operating and investment advantage and to identify cost savings. Tax consulting advises clients on complex tax and deal structuring issues affecting the real estate industry.

In 2009, we expanded our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment with a new office in Germany. From December 31, 2008, we increased the number of revenue-generating professionals in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment by approximately 13% to 758 professionals as of December 31, 2009.

Forensic and Litigation Consulting

Our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment provides law firms, companies, government clients and other interested parties with dispute advisory, investigations, forensic accounting, business intelligence assessments and risk mitigation services. We assist our clients in all phases of government and regulatory investigations, inquiries and litigation, regardless of the subject matter of the proceeding or investigation,

including pre-filing assessments, discovery, trial preparation, expert testimony and investigation and forensic accounting services. We have particular expertise in the pharmaceutical, healthcare, construction and financial and insurance services industries. We have the capacity to provide our full array of services across jurisdictional boundaries around the world. In December 2009, the Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment launched a new insurance actuarial consulting practice.

A number of factors affect the demand for our forensic and litigation consulting services, including, the number of large complex litigations, governmental and regulatory investigations, class-action suits, business espionage and illegal or fraudulent activity. During 2009, we continued to see a general decline in active large complex litigation matters that negatively impacted demand for our trial services and general expert services, a slowdown in governmental and regulatory investigations, including Foreign Corrupt Practices Act cases, weakened demand for our investigation and forensic accounting services, and a decline in our Asia Pacific international risk practice due to the continuing impact of the U.S. economic recession on its financial customer base. These declines were partially off-set by investigations practice and our construction solutions business. If demand weakens for a particular service offering, our objective is to manage utilization by shifting professionals to work on engagements of our other business segments, as needed.

In 2009, the services offered by our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment included:

Forensic Accounting and Financial Investigations. We combine investigative accounting and financial reporting skills with business and practical experience to provide forensic accounting and financial investigations requested by boards of directors, audit committees, special litigation committees and other entities. We identify, collect, analyze and interpret financial and accounting data and information, applying the relevant data and information to identify and analyze legal and financial issues, identify options, make recommendations and render opinions. We employ investigative skills, establish document and database controls, prepare analytical models, perform forensic accounting, present expert testimony and prepare written reports. We have particular expertise providing consulting assistance and expert witness services to securities counsel and their clients regarding the Division of Enforcement of the SEC inquiries and investigations and we help clients institute the necessary internal controls to comply with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and to investigate suspected violations.

Global Risk and Investigations Practice (GRIP). We have experience in complex factual and regulatory investigations combining teams of former federal prosecutors and regulators, law enforcement and intelligence officials, forensic accountants, industry specialists and computer forensic specialists. Our capabilities and services include white collar defense intelligence and investigations, complex commercial and financial investigations, business intelligence and investigative due diligence, FCPA investigations, political risk assessments, fraud and forensic accounting investigations, computer forensics and electronics evidence, specialized fact-finding, domestic and international arbitration proceedings, asset searching and analysis, intellectual property and branding protection, anti-money laundering consulting and ethics and compliance program design.

Dispute Advisory Services. We provide pre-trial, in-trial and post-trial dispute advisory services, as well as dispute advisory services in a broad range of alternative dispute resolution forums, to help clients assess potential threatened and pending claims resulting from complex events and transactions. We analyze records and information, including electronic information, to locate assets, trace flows of funds, identify illegal or fraudulent activity, reconstruct events from incomplete and/or corrupt data, uncover vital evidence, quantify damages and prepare for trial or settlement. In many of our engagements we also act as an expert witness. Our services include:

Early Case Assessment. We help determine what really happened and when, to assist with case strategy and possible early settlement.

Discovery Assistance. We help to draft document requests, gather pertinent information and provide assistance during interrogatories and depositions.

Case Strategy Evaluation. We analyze financial records and business conduct to help counsel understand potential causes of action and quantify potential recoveries.

Damages Analyses. We provide damages quantification and expert testimony for a wide variety of cases including lost profits, breach of contract, purchase price disputes, business interruption, environmental claims, government contract matters and construction disputes and fraud cases.

Settlement Services. We help clients mitigate the cost of or avoid litigation by evaluating claims and risks, coordinating business expertise with legal and technical analysis, developing cost-effective settlement strategies and implementing successful business resolutions.

Intellectual Property. Our intellectual property team consists of professionals who are dedicated to intellectual property matters, including litigation support and damages quantification as well as intellectual property valuation, royalty compliance, licensing and technology and intellectual property management and commercialization.

Construction Services. Our construction services team offers a broad range of dispute resolution services to assist construction law firms, owners and contractors in preventing, mitigating and resolving construction disputes. We work with our clients to identify risks and help achieve a cost-effective, trouble-free project from planning to completion.

Trial Services. Our trial technology professionals advise and support clients in large and highly complex civil trials. Through the use of our proprietary information technology, we help control litigation costs, expedite the in-trial process and provide our clients with the ability to readily organize, access and present case-related data. Our proprietary TrialMax[®] software integrates documents, photographs, animations, deposition video, audio and demonstrative graphics into a single trial preparation and presentation tool. Our graphics consulting services select the most appropriate presentation formats to maximize impact and memorability, and then create persuasive graphic presentations that support, clarify and emphasize the key themes of a case. We provide illustrations and visual aids that help simplify complex technical subjects for jurors, through opening and closing statement consulting, witness presentations, research presentations, exhibit plans and outlines, hardboards, scale models, storyboards, timelines and technical and medical illustrations.

In 2009, we expanded our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment with an office in France. From December 31, 2008, we increased the number of revenue-generating professionals in our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment by approximately 4% to 667 professionals as of December 31, 2009.

Economic Consulting

Our Economic Consulting segment provides law firms, companies, government entities and other interested parties with analysis of complex economic issues for use in legal and regulatory proceedings, strategic decision making and public policy debates in the U.S. and around the world. We deliver sophisticated economic analysis and modeling of issues arising in mergers and acquisitions, complex antitrust litigation, commercial disputes, international arbitration, regulatory proceedings and securities litigation. Our statistical and economic experts help clients analyze complex economic issues such as the economic impact of deregulation on a particular industry or the amount of commercial damages suffered by a business as a result of particular events. We have deep industry experience in such areas as commercial and investment banking, telecommunications, media and entertainment, energy, transportation, healthcare, IT/Internet and pharmaceuticals. Our professionals regularly provide expert testimony on damages, rates and prices, valuations (including valuations of complex derivatives), competitive effects and intellectual property disputes. They also provide analyses and advice relating to antitrust and competition cases, regulatory proceedings, business valuations and public policy.

A number of factors affect the demand for our economic consulting services including M&A activity (particularly large mergers of firms that are perceived to compete with each other in providing goods and services), general economic conditions, competition and governmental investigations. During 2009, demand for strategic M&A and financial economic consulting services declined relative to 2008. This decline was partially offset by revenue from our recently formed European economic consulting practice based in London and two new offices in the U.S.

In 2009, our Economic Consulting segment included:

Compass Lexecon. Our Compass Lexecon practice provides economic and econometric consulting services to assist clients in public policy debates, regulatory proceedings, antitrust lawsuits and securities and commercial litigation. Our services include financial and economic analyses of policy, regulatory and litigation matters for corporations, governments and public-sector entities in the U.S. and around the world.

Network Industries Strategies. Our Network Industries Strategies practice advises major network industries such as telecommunications, electric power, energy and transportation on strategic and tactical challenges associated with transitioning from heavily regulated to more competitive environments.

Auction Solutions. In October 2008, we established our Auction Solutions practice, which advises clients on auction design and implementation, bidding strategies and related matters.

Energy Solutions. Our energy solutions practice advises clients regarding conflicting regulatory frameworks, power industry restructuring, contractual disputes and litigation to the gas, oil and other energy industries.

International Arbitration. Our international arbitration practice works with companies, governments and members of the international bar to provide independent advice and expert testimony, relating to valuation and damages in a wide variety of commercial and treaty disputes before international arbitration tribunals, including London, Washington D.C., Stockholm, Paris, Geneva and Dubai.

In 2009, we expanded our Economic Consulting segment with two new offices in the U.S. and with a headquarters in London and offices in Paris and Toronto. From December 31, 2008, we increased the number of revenue-generating professionals in our Economic Consulting segment by approximately 14% to 302 professionals as of December 31, 2009.

Technology

Our Technology segment is a leading electronic discovery and information management software and service provider. We provide products, services and consulting to companies, law firms, courts and government agencies worldwide. We assist with internal investigations, regulatory investigations, early case assessment, litigation and joint defense, antitrust and competition investigations, including second requests under the Hart Scott Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (HSR Act), and secure management analysis and use of critical corporate information. We provide a comprehensive suite of software and services to help clients locate, review and produce electronically stored information (ESI), including e-mail, computer files, voicemail, instant messaging, and financial and transactional data.

Our proprietary Ringtail[®] software is used for e-discovery and document review, including litigation support and secure information management. Ringtail[®] is also used in transactional settings to support information deal rooms and M&A activity. Our Ringtaitechnology is designed to ensure quality, reduce risk, increase productivity and support cost effective review, preparation and production of large amounts of ESI. Our proprietary Attenex[®] technology is used to collect, search and manage enterprise data. We have integrated Attenex[®] patented visual analytics technology with Ringtail[®] in a combined offering that enables rapid

identification of relevant content for litigation, investigations and regulatory response projects. In 2009, we introduced the Ringtail QuickCull[®] appliance for on-premises use by companies to assess, integrate and reduce ESI prior to legal review.

FTI e-discovery software can be deployed either on-premises by the company, law firm, government agency or other client, or on-demand as a hosted solution through FTI or its network of third-party service providers, which helps clients scale to the unique demands of their needs and helps maintain a consistent and cost-effective e-discovery process. A number of factors affect the demand for our technology services, including competing services and products, price and the number of large complex litigations, class action proceedings, merger and acquisition activity and governmental and internal investigations. Prior to 2006, we operated our financial and enterprise data analysis (FEDA) and other technology business as part of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment. We have made the decision to again operate our FEDA practice as part of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment beginning in 2010.

In 2009, the software and services offered by our Technology segment included:

Litigation Readiness. Our experienced professionals work with a wide variety of systems and sources of ESI across multiple industries and jurisdictions to better position organizations facing critical investigative, litigation or dispute related demands. Our litigation readiness services include the development of proactive information privacy and security programs, plain English records policies, retention schedules, litigation hold strategies, archiving software selection and backup tape disposition strategies.

Identification, Preservation and Collection. We assist companies facing time-sensitive demands placed upon electronic data, networks and systems. We help our clients meet requirements for uncovering, analyzing and producing data from a variety of sources, including e-mail, voicemail, backup tapes, shared server files and databases, often on multiple continents. We provide both proactive and reactive support using expert services, methodologies and tools that help companies and their legal advisers understand technology-related issues. Our technical experts work closely with our forensic accountants and financial investigation professionals to recover, organize and analyze ESI, regardless of the format or language of the data and forensically reconstruct complex transaction data.

Second Requests. Second requests refer to requests from the Department of Justice or Federal Trade Commission for additional information and documentary support relevant to the government s assessment under the HSR Act of proposed acquisitions and business combinations. A second request can probe every area of a company s operations and communications, including e-mail, electronic documents, products, markets, sales, customers, advertising, patents and trademarks, management and accounting systems data. We offer advanced technology and related services to identify, collect, process and review relevant electronic data and produce documents responsive to the government-based request. We also help determine what tools, software, document formats and metadata will satisfy the request.

Early Case Assessments. Our Technology segment offers a flexible and customizable set of early case assessment tools and services to help companies and their legal teams evaluate each case.

Software Products and Services. Our software products and services include the following e-discovery capabilities:

Data Acquisition and Conversion. Ringtail[®] provides clients with advanced electronic discovery and analysis techniques, as well as native format data processing services. These services can quickly extract e-mail and other data from a number of sources and provide the data in the client s specified format. Data can be delivered in Ringtal Legal format for use in the client s Ringtail on-premise system or hosted in a Ringtail[®] on-demand environment by FTI or an FTI service provider.

Data Culling. Ringtail[®] *QuickCull*[®], which was introduced in August 2009, is a software appliance designed for on-premises use by clients to index, search and select focused sub-populations of large data sets prior to processing and review, which saves time at the beginning of a matter by eliminating irrelevant data. We also provide Ringtail[®] QuickCull[®] as a hosted offering for clients that prefer an on-demand environment. FTI provides de-duplication and near-duplication detection services for Ringtail[®] on-demand clients to help reduce the document set prior to review. In some cases, Ringtail[®] incorporates third party software to provide these solutions. Attenex[®] Patterns[®] Workbench automate the process of preparing electronic content for review and includes patented suppression and de-duplication technology along with other features to help clients manage and reduce larger data sets.

Data Review and Coding. Our Ringtail[®] Legal product is a scalable and configurable web-centric platform to facilitate rapid review and coding of documents. Clients can install Ringtail[®] Legal on their own servers or quickly launch a case from dedicated FTI or third party servers with the FTI Ringtail[®] on-demand product. Ringtail[®] provides multi-lingual support as one of the distinctive aspects of its capabilities. Attenex[®] Patterns[®] Document Mapper (Document Mapper) groups similar documents together to help reviewers make faster and more accurate document decisions. Document Mapper is a component of the Ringtail[®] analytics module, which provides clients with ways to review and organize large sets of data for review.

Data Production. Ringtail[®] Legal has the power and flexibility to scale and meet large and small document production needs and produce documents in all electronic formats for its clients.

Financial and Data Enterprise Analysis (FEDA). Increases in the volume of transactional and company data require companies to take new approaches to assessing and prioritizing what is relevant when managing complex cases and issues. Our structured data experts deliver strategic business solutions for clients requiring in-depth analysis of large, disparate sets of financial, operational and transactional data. Among the services offered are:

identifying, acquiring, synthesizing, mining, analyzing and reporting upon relevant data;

identifying the relationships among multiple sources and types of data;

designing and implementing accounting, economic and financial settlement or damages models;

transforming large-scale data sets into workable databases;

distributing or sharing information among interested parties such as experts, corporate and outside counsel and codefendants; and

developing dashboards and summary analysis to enhance the productivity related to subsequent analysis and use of the information. In addition, our professionals provide e-discovery process consulting and project management, by assisting clients to manage the various phases of e-discovery, develop cost estimates to support excess burden claims, publish litigation holds, select e-discovery and information management technology and develop defensible and repeatable procedures for handling ESI. In addition, we provide strategic discovery advice to counsel and conduct system inventories to develop data map and provide expert testimony. Prior to 2006, we operated FEDA as part of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment.

From December 31, 2008, we decreased the number of revenue-generating professionals in our Technology segment by approximately 5% to 338 professionals as of December 31, 2009.

Strategic Communications

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

We provide advice and consulting services relating to financial communications, brand communications, public affairs and reputation management and business consulting. We believe that we have developed a unique, integrated offering that incorporates a broad scope of services, diverse sector coverage and global reach that

distinguishes us from other strategic communications consultancies. We are able to advise clients from almost every major business center in the world. We combine our core investor relations, public relations and public affairs capabilities with other services to present clients with integrated business communications solutions.

A number of factors affect the demand for our strategic communications services, including merger and acquisition activity, public stock offerings, business crises and governmental legislation and regulation. During 2009, demand for our strategic communications services declined due to the global economic recession and financial and real estate crises, particularly due to the decline in mergers and acquisitions, stock offerings and capital market transactions and companies reductions of discretionary spending on such services as branding, communications, marketing and media and investor relations.

In 2009, the services provided by our Strategic Communications segment included:

Strategic Business Consulting. Our strategic business consulting sub-practice helps solve and manage business problems that companies face. Our services include business plan development, market sizing and discovery research, marketing segmentation research and analysis, change management advice, surveys and polling.

Strategic Financial Communications and Investor Relations. We specialize in advising clients on capital markets communications strategies, whether through ongoing investor relations advice and support, shareholder targeting, peer group analysis, financial news management or other activities crucial to maintaining a fair valuation. Our services include the research and analysis of shareholder demographics, investor targeting, road show management, financial conference support, investor perception audits, financial news and calendar management, disclosure policy assistance, peer monitoring and analysis and advice on governance issues.

Restructuring and Recapitalization Communications. Our restructuring and recapitalization communications practice offers an integrated consultancy service to clients that are facing various levels of financial distress. We advise our clients on the communications aspects surrounding a range of situations from renegotiating credit facilities to rebuilding balance sheets through access to the equity markets, downsizing business activities and bankruptcy or insolvency. Our offerings in this area provide a unique ability to handle complex cross-border multi-stakeholder communications programs, which align our capabilities in disciplines such as financial communications, crisis management, employee communications and public and regulatory affairs.

Reputation Management and Public Affairs. We help clients respond quickly and effectively to developments that threaten to damage reputation or market share. We counsel clients regarding strategic communications, litigation communications, shareholder and other activist activity, community relations, corporate social responsibility, media relations, information monitoring and analysis, political intelligence, policy formation, political and media campaigns, third party and coalition mobilization, state aid issues and monopoly, antitrust and competition regulatory affairs.

Corporate Positioning and Brand Communications. We provide creative services to build consumer and business-to-business brands, including corporate brand positioning advice, strategic marketing advice, business-to-business marketing consultancy, consumer communications, media relations, qualitative and quantitative research, sponsorship consultancy, thought leadership consultancy and launch and event management.

Mergers and Acquisitions, Business Combinations and Capital Market Communications. We act as a communications advisor to clients affected by business combinations and restructurings, proxy contests, regulatory investigations, shareholder activism, initial public stock offerings and other capital markets events. Our services include strategic boardroom advice, financial calendar support, financial and business media relations, corporate governance and socially responsible investment advice, capital market intelligence, investor relations and strategic communications advice.

Proprietary Research Tools and Strategic Planning. Our dedicated research group includes professionals from across many disciplines, including public relations, investor relations and public affairs, who conduct customized research to identify perceptions, trends and opportunities within key stakeholder audiences. Our services include reputation benchmarking, peer analysis, benchmarking and financial market valuations, brand awareness studies and brand extension audits, including customer focus groups, shareholder analysis and investor targeting, consumer trend analysis, public opinion polling and policymaker perception audits.

Corporate Social Responsibility and Strategic Philanthropy. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is one of the most powerful drivers of business culture and brand value. We help clients develop creative and multi-dimensional CSR campaigns to assure they are aligned with business objectives, brand position and the needs of all stakeholders. Our approach includes defining corporate and brand positioning, surveying the audience to gauge social sentiments and needs, selecting a program that resonates with the marketplace, building the communications plan, launching the initiative for maximum visibility and evaluating the success of the program.

Internal Communications and Employee Alignment. We provide advice and services relating to re-branding, culture change, restructuring, facility closures, workforce rationalization and mergers and takeovers. Our services include communications infrastructure consultancy, attitudinal research, event management, intranets/extranets consultancy, management and staff training, corporate restructuring and culture change.

Design and Marketing Communications. Our design and marketing teams specialize in brand identity development, website development, advertising and using new digital media channels to enhance the impact of traditional communications and marketing channels. We help clients with print and digital communications with a focus on corporate identity, website development, advertising, interactive marketing campaigns, video and animation, brochures, fact sheets, testimonials and other marketing materials and annual report development.

Media Relations. Our media relations services advise and assist clients with respect to financial, business and trade media programs, broadcast placement, market commentary, executive visibility, consumer/lifestyle media, regional media programs, Op Ed placement, media monitoring and intelligence gathering and online media programs.

Media and Presentation Training. We provide training courses aimed at directors and senior management in media communications, executive presentation, speech writing and conference management and facilitation.

Consumer Affairs. We help clients to engage and understand the public and to champion their issues. We specialize in brand turnarounds and issues campaigns. Our services include strategic planning services, consumer affairs impact campaigns, research and development services and direct marketing services.

From December 31, 2008, we decreased the number of revenue-generating professionals in our Strategic Communications segment by approximately 3% to 573 professionals as of December 31, 2009.

Our Business Drivers

Factors that drive demand for our services include:

Financial Markets and the Economy. Rapidly changing financial markets and the economy drive demand for many of our services. The economic recession, deteriorating and volatile financial markets, restrictive credit and financing conditions, high corporate debt levels and increasing default rates drive demand for certain services offered by our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment, such as credit advisory, restructuring, bankruptcy, turnaround, creditor rights and related consulting services. Companies facing covenant compliance problems and similar difficulties have been less likely to be able to amend existing facilities or refinance without incurring substantial costs and significantly more restrictive terms. In addition, tightening credit markets force companies and lenders into more frequent negotiations as borrowers experience covenant or liquidity issues and lenders express greater concern

over protecting their positions. Companies that invest in sub-prime loans or debt or security instruments collateralized by less credit worthy collateral also have faced losses that jeopardize their financial results and operations. Demand for our services has been strong in industry sectors such as automotive, real estate and housing, retail, banking and financial services, airlines, technology and other business that relied heavily on third party financing or issued or invested in sub-prime credit instruments and/or debt or securities of industries that rely heavily on credit. As credit becomes more available, we assist clients to restructure debt and modify covenants. In addition, when the economy is good or improving, capital markets and M&A transactions increase, which benefits our Economic Consulting and Strategic Communications segments.

Operational Challenges and Opportunities. Businesses face significant challenges that necessitate continual evaluation and reevaluation of strategy, risks and opportunities both as a result of crisis driven situations and in the normal course of business. These challenges include enterprise risk management, global expansion, competition from both established companies and emerging economies and new and changing regulatory requirements and legislation. Management, companies and their boards need outside help to recognize, understand and evaluate such events and effect change, which drives demand for independent expertise that can combine general business acumen with specialized technical expertise driving demand for our Corporate Finance/Restructuring, Economic Consulting and Forensic and Litigation Consulting segments.

Global Demand for Independent Expertise. As a result of increased public scrutiny, regulatory complexity and complex disputes and litigation, businesses, boards of directors, creditors, stakeholders, regulators and their advisors increasingly engage independent consulting firms to provide objective and expert analyses and advice. This is particularly true in highly complex and sophisticated areas such as restructurings, bankruptcies, economic consulting, forensic accounting, corporate mismanagement and fraud-related investigations and high-stakes litigation and regulatory proceedings. Stockholder activism and limitations on the ability of traditional accounting firms to provide certain consulting services, especially after enactment of Sarbanes-Oxley, has contributed to the demand for independent expertise. A desire to avoid actual and perceived conflicts of interest also drives the use of consultants and experts who are unaffiliated with a company s management and outside legal, accounting and other advisors.

Regulatory Complexity, Public Scrutiny and Investigations. Increasingly complex global regulations and legislation, greater scrutiny of corporate governance, instances of corporate malfeasance and more stringent and complex reporting requirements drive demand for our services. The need to understand and address the impact of regulation and legislation as well as the increasing costs of doing business have prompted companies to focus on better assessing and managing risks and opportunities. In addition, boards of directors, audit committees and independent board committees have been increasingly tasked with conducting internal investigations of financial wrongdoing, regulatory non-compliance and other issues. These factors drive demand for independent consultants and experts to investigate and provide analyses and to support the work of outside legal counsel, accountants and other advisors. The current environment also increasingly demands the use of multiple disciplinary service offerings like ours, which combine skills and expertise, such as financial reporting skills, forensic accounting investigative skills like those offered by our Corporate Finance/Restructuring and Forensic and Litigation Consulting segments and technology management services like those offered by our Technology segment, with business and practical experience. In efforts to advance legislative and policy objectives, clients also increasingly rely on our Economic Consulting segment to provide substantive economic analyses and white papers that demonstrate the economic effects of various alternative scenarios.

Financial Fraud. Governmental agencies and prosecutors have been under increasing pressure to uncover and investigate financial misconduct and recover illegal gains amid public demand for crackdowns on Wall Street misdeeds. On November 17, 2009, the U.S. government announced the creation of a new interagency task force to crack down on financial fraud. The task force led by the

Department of Justice and Treasury Department is aimed at investigating and prosecuting mortgage, securities and corporate fraud, as well as recovering funds for victims. In addition, the weak economy has made it increasingly difficult for persons to perpetuate fraudulent activities without detection. The pace at which alleged and actual fraudulent activities are being investigated and coming to light has put significant strain on the resources of law enforcement and other agencies. As a result, outside resources are being tapped to assist law enforcement and prosecutors identify and recover illegal financial and other benefits and prosecute the perpetrators. These factors have driven significant demand for forensic account investigative skills like those offered by our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment and electronic discovery tools like those offered by our Technology segment in 2009.

M&A Activity. The overall strength of the economy and M&A activity are important drivers for our businesses. In a weak economy and during periods of decreased M&A activity, we experience weaker demand for our economic consulting experts and our forensic and litigation consulting and transaction advisory services as transactions are delayed or abandoned. However, companies may need our services if transactions are renegotiated, or transactions that have been completed do not perform as expected. In times of strong economic growth and increased merger and acquisition activity, companies and regulators engage our Economic Consulting segment for advice on issues such as antitrust regulations and enforcement and intellectual property matters. Merger and acquisition clients utilize our Strategic Communications segment for services such as public relations, media and investor communications. They also employ our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment for services such as due diligence investigations, asset valuations and financing advice.

Litigation and Disputes. The volume of litigation and business disputes, the complexity of the issues presented, and the amount of potential damages and penalties drive demand for our Technology, Forensic and Litigation Consulting and Economic Consulting segments. Law firms and their clients as well as government regulators and other interested third parties rely on independent outside resources to evaluate claims, facilitate discovery, assess damages, provide expert reports and testimony, manage the pre-trial and in-trial process and effectively present evidence. During 2009, the expected increase in the number of large litigation and class action litigation cases and regulatory actions and investigations did not materialize, resulting in weak demand for such services.

Market Environment Drives Strategic Communications Services. A number of factors affect the demand for our Strategic Communications segment, including merger and acquisition activity, public stock offerings, business crises, governmental legislation and regulation and the need for an integrated and consultative approach covering different aspects of communications, During 2009, demand for our Strategic Communications segment declined due to the global economic recession and financial and real estate crises, particularly due to the decline in mergers and acquisitions, stock offerings and capital market transactions and companies reductions of discretionary spending on such services as branding, communications, marketing and media and investor relations. There have been companies, however, that face reputational risk issues, which also drive demand for the services offered by our Strategic Communications segment.

Growth of Multinational Firms and Changes in Non-U.S. Markets. The growth of multi-national firms and global consolidation can precipitate increased antitrust and competition scrutiny and the spread internationally of issues and practices that historically have been more common in the U.S., such as increased and complex litigation, government regulation and corporate restructuring activities. These developments help drive demand for the services offered by our Corporate Finance/Restructuring, Forensic and Litigation Consulting, Economic Consulting and Technology segments. The need to store, retrieve and transmit data among different jurisdictions that have different languages, privacy and other laws also drives demand for the services offered by our Technology segment. Multinational firms also need to establish global branding, investor relations and communications strategies, which drive demand for our strategic communications services.

Growth of Companies in the Developing World. Growth companies in the developing world are seeking access to markets in developed countries. The recognition by such companies that best practice communications advice is a key component in achieving this objective also drives demand for the services offered by our Strategic Communications segment. **Our Competitive Strengths**

We compete primarily on the basis of the breadth of our services, the quality of our work, the prominence of our professionals, our geographic reach, our reputation and performance record, our brand recognition, our ability to staff multiple significant engagements across different disciplines in multiple locations, and our strong client relationships. We believe our success is driven by a combination of long-standing competitive strengths, including:

Preeminent Practices and Professionals. We believe that our business segments include some of the preeminent practices and professionals in our industry today. In December 2009, The Deal LLC s Bankruptcy League Tables ranked our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment as both the number one crisis management firm and debtor crisis management firm based on the number of active cases as of September 30, 2009. Our Strategic Communications segment remained the most active global public relations adviser on M&A transactions, topping Mergermarket s 2009 global, European and Asia-Pacific league tables by volume. Our Strategic Communications segment also maintained its global leadership position in middle-market transactions by both value and volume as reported by Mergermarket s 2009 global European and Asia-Pacific league tables. In December 2009, our Technology segment was named a strong positive vendor in Gartner Research s MarketScope for E-Discovery Software Product Vendors, 2009 report. In October 2009, our Ringtail[®] Legal e-discovery software was named by KM World Magazine to its Trend-Setting Product Award of 2009 list. Our Economic Consulting segment includes six former chief economists of the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice, as well as numerous other high-profile academic affiliates, including three Nobel Prize winners.

Diversified Revenue Sources. We believe we offer a diversified portfolio of services, which we have organized into five business segments. We began to separately manage our technology business in January 2006 and our Strategic Communications segment was created with the acquisition of the FD group of companies in October 2006. We believe that our broad service offerings and diversity of our revenue streams help to manage fluctuations due to market conditions in any one of our segments. Currently we have operations across 35 U.S. cities and in 21 foreign countries the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Spain, Belgium, Russia, Australia, China (including Hong Kong), Japan, Singapore, the United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, South Africa, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Panama, Mexico, Canada and the British Virgin Islands. We believe our diversity helps to mitigate the impact on our business of events and changes in a particular service sector or country.

Diversified Portfolio of Elite Clients. We provide services to a diverse group of clients, including global Fortune 500 companies, FTSE 100 companies, global banks, and local, state and national governments and agencies in the U.S. and other countries. Among our top ten clients in 2009 were four internationally recognized law firms, which engaged us to assist with the representation of multiple clients on multiple matters.

High Level of Repeat and Referral Business and Attractive, Financial Model. We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from repeat clients or referrals. Many of our client relationships are long-standing and include multiple contact points within an organization, increasing the depth and continuity of these relationships. We cultivate critical relationships with financial institutions and law firms, which have served as entry points into significant, high-profile and reputation-enhancing engagements. In addition, our Strategic Communications segment has a financial model that includes recurring retainer based engagements. Clients of this segment are typically billed on a project-based billing system that reflects the value added by the business rather than on a time and materials basis.

Premium Brand Names with Leading Market Positions. We believe that the FTI brand and the other brands under which we conduct business are some of the most recognized brand names in our industry. In addition, we believe we had leading market positions in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment based on number of active cases as of September 30, 2009, as reported in The Deal LLC s Bankruptcy Insider quarterly deal tables published in December 2009. The reputations of our individually recognized professionals, many of whom are leading members of their respective fields, strengthen our brand and market positions. We also have benefited from our strategy of acquiring leading practitioners and, in select cases, continuing to use their brand names, either independently or coupled with the FTI brand, such as FD, Ringtail[®], Attenex[®], Compass Lexecon, Cambio Health Solutions and The Schonbraun McCann Group.

Strong Cash Flow. Our business model has several characteristics that produce consistent cash flows including high margins, and a relatively low level of capital expenditures. Our strong cash flow supports business operations, capital expenditures, research and development efforts in our Technology segment, and our ability to service our indebtedness and pursue our acquisition and growth strategies.

Our Business Strategy

We build long-term repeat client relationships based on the quality of our services, our reputation and the recognition of our professionals. We provide diverse complimentary services to meet our clients needs around the world. We emphasize client service and satisfaction. We aim to build strong brand recognition. The following are key elements of our business strategy:

Leverage Our Relationships and Expertise. We work hard to maintain our existing client relationships and develop new ones. We believe that the strength of our existing client relationships and the quality of our reputation coupled with our recognized industry expertise, successful track record and size are the most critical elements in a decision to retain us. We believe the significant amount of repeat business and referrals that we receive from our clients demonstrates this. We strive to build client relationships on a company-wide basis and encourage cross-selling among our business segments. Many of our professionals are recognized experts in their respective fields. By successfully leveraging our reputation, experience and broad client base and the expertise of our professionals, we expect to continue to obtain engagements from both existing and new clients.

Expand the Breadth of Our Services and Geographic Presence. We strive to offer our clients comprehensive solutions to their most complex problems, wherever they are in the world. Increasingly, our clients demand expertise across multiple markets and continents. To meet this demand, we provide our clients with a complete suite of services across all five business segments. We have increased our presence in Europe, Asia, Latin America, the Middle East and other international locations to better serve our clients and to capitalize on markets for our services in those regions. In 2009, we expanded our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment with a new office in Germany; our global Economic Consulting segment with two new offices in the U.S., a headquarters in London, and offices in Paris and Toronto; and our forensic and litigation consulting practice with a new office in France.

Selectively Acquire Companies and Integrate Our New Professionals and Capabilities. We follow a disciplined approach to executing and integrating acquisitions, targeting those that complement our business strategy or operate in an attractive specialized niche. From 2002 through December 31, 2009, we have completed 38 acquisitions that have enhanced and expanded our businesses. In June 2009, we acquired the 50% equity interest in our Strategic Communications segment s German joint venture owned by our joint venture partner. We intend to continue to selectively pursue strategic acquisitions. We seek to integrate acquisitions in a way that fosters organic growth and provides synergies or cross-segment, cross-service or cross-geographic growth opportunities. We typically structure our acquisitions to retain the services of key individuals from the acquired companies.

Attract and Retain Highly Qualified Professionals. Our professionals are crucial to delivering our services to clients and generating new business. As of December 31, 2009, we employed 2,638 revenue-generating professionals, many of whom have established and widely recognized names in their respective practice areas. Through our substantial staff of highly qualified professionals, we can handle a number of large, complex assignments simultaneously. To attract and retain highly qualified senior managing directors and managing directors, we offer significant compensation opportunities, including sign-on bonuses, forgivable loans, incentive bonuses and equity compensation, along with a competitive benefits package and the chance to work on challenging engagements with other highly skilled professionals. We have employment arrangements with substantially all of our senior managing directors that include non-competition and non-solicitation obligations.

Optimize Utilization and Billing Rates of FTI Professionals who Bill on an Hourly Basis. The professionals in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring, Economic Consulting and Forensic and Litigation Consulting segments primarily bill on an hourly basis. Our goal is to manage growth to maintain high utilization rates rather than intermittently expand our staff in anticipation of short-term increased demand. We carefully monitor and strive to attain utilization rates that allow us to maintain our profitability, make us less vulnerable to fluctuations in our workload and minimize seasonal factors affecting utilization. A significant number of our professionals have skill sets that allow us to reassign them to new engagements in different business segments or practices within segments as staffing needs may arise. The nature of our services also allows us to bill premium rates for the services of certain revenue-generating professionals or with respect to certain engagements, which enhances our profitability. As we have expanded our business offerings and our mix of business has changed, utilization has become a less meaningful measure of productivity and profitability, particularly with respect to our Strategic Communications segment, which receives retainer based compensation, and our Technology segment, which also bills on a unit basis or derives revenues from license fees.

Build Brand Recognition. We continue to invest in our FTI brand and our visibility to reinforce recognition of our brand in the marketplace. Our branding initiatives include investment in corporate sponsorships, such as our sponsorship arrangement with professional golfer Padraig Harrington, which started in late 2008, strategic placement of print media in specialty journals, the publication of the FTI Journal, a dedicated magazine that is available on the Internet and free of charge to our clients and stakeholders, which began publication in the Fall of 2009, and FTI TV, a web-based video broadcaster of information relating to FTI expertise and of interest to the global business community, brand placement in strategic locations where our clients are likely to congregate, and participation in high profile conferences and seminars, which also started in 2009. We have also advertised on select network and cable television programs and in select sports venues that we believe are of interest to the companies that use or have need of our services. Our professionals are also widely published. For example, one of our Technology segment thought leaders has been instrumental in co-authoring two books on e-discovery practice and has worked closely with the judiciary in helping to craft the federal electronic discovery rules.

Our Employees

Our success depends on our ability to attract and retain our expert professional work force. Our professionals include PhDs, MBAs, JDs, CPAs, CPA-ABVs (who are CPAs accredited in business valuations), CPA-CFFs (who are CPAs certified in financial forensics), CRAs (certified risk analysts), Certified Turnaround Professionals, Certified Insolvency and Reorganization Advisors, Certified Fraud Examiners, ASAs (accredited senior appraisers), construction engineers and former senior government officials. During the period from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009, we increased the number of revenue-generating professionals by approximately 5% to 2,638 and we increased our total number of employees by approximately 3% to 3,472. We also engage independent contractors to supplement our professionals on client engagements as needed. Most of our professionals have many years of experience in their respective fields of practice, and are well recognized for their expertise and experience. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining contracts or represented by a union. We believe our relationship with our employees is good.

Employment Agreements

As of December 31, 2009, we had written employment agreements with 189 of our 281 senior managing directors and senior vice presidents in the segments, who we are collectively referring to as SMDs. We do not have written employment agreements with substantially all of our professionals below the SMD level. The employment agreements with our SMDs expire between 2010 and 2019, with 12 SMD agreements expiring in 2010, 53 SMD agreements expiring in 2011 and 42 SMD agreements expiring in 2012 primarily as a result of our 2006 and 2007 initiatives to renegotiate long-term employment arrangements with certain SMDs who participate in our senior managing director incentive compensation program (SMD IC Program). These long-term employment arrangements and the SMD IC Program are discussed below.

The employment agreements with employees at the SMD and equivalent level generally provide for fixed salary and participation in incentive payment programs (which in some cases may be based on financial measures such as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA)). They may also provide for long-term equity incentives in the form of stock options and/or restricted stock awards. In some cases, we extend unsecured general recourse forgivable loans to professionals. We believe that the loan arrangements enhance our ability to attract and retain professionals. Some or all of the principal amount and accrued interest of the loans we make to employees will be forgiven by us upon the passage of time, provided that the professional is an employee on the forgiveness date, and upon other specified events, such as death or disability, as applicable to such loan. Our executive officers are not eligible to receive loans and no loans have been made to them.

Generally, our employment agreements with SMDs provide for salary continuation benefits, accrued bonuses and other benefits beyond the termination date if such professional leaves our employ for specified reasons prior to the expiration date of the employment agreement. The length and amount of payments to be paid by us following the termination or resignation of a professional varies depending on whether the person resigned for good reason or was terminated by us with cause, resigned without good reason or was terminated by us without cause, died or became disabled, or was terminated as a result of a change in control (all such terms as defined in such professional s employment agreement). These employment agreements contain non-competition and non-solicitation covenants, which under specified circumstances may extend beyond the expiration or termination of the employment term. Under the non-competition covenants, the professional generally agrees not to offer or perform services of the type performed during his employment with us, directly or indirectly through another person or entity, in competition with us, within specified geographic areas, subject, in some cases, to specified exceptions. Generally, such professionals also agree not to solicit business regarding any case, matter or client with or on which such professional worked on our behalf, or to solicit, hire, or influence the departure of any of our employees, consultants or independent contractors. In these employment agreements, the professionals also agree to maintain the confidentiality of our proprietary information and affirm that we are the owners of copyrights, trademarks, patents and inventions developed during the course of their employment.

Senior Managing Director Incentive Compensation Program and Employment Terms

In 2006, we implemented our SMD IC Program, which is designed to align the interests of SMDs with the interests of our company and its stakeholders. As of December 31, 2009, there were 66 SMDs participating in the SMD IC Program from our Corporate Finance/Restructuring, Forensic and Litigation Consulting, Economic Consulting and Technology segments, representing approximately 28%, 26%, 4% and 53% of the total SMDs in each such segment, respectively. Senior management designates the participants in the SMD IC Program, subject to approval by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. As current written employment agreements approach their expiration date and as part of our annual performance evaluation process, we consider admitting other SMDs into the program. Each year we also evaluate whether current participants should be eligible for additional upfront awards under this program. Our executive officers are not eligible to participate in the SMD IC Program.

The benefits under our SMD IC Program include a cash payment in the form of an unsecured general recourse forgivable loan. We also provide significant additional payments up-front and during the term of the

employment agreement in the form of stock options and restricted stock awards or, alternatively, cash payments if we do not have adequate equity securities available under stockholder approved equity plans, upon admission to the program and execution of a new employment agreement or upon moving up to a higher tier in the SMD IC Program.

We intend to continue to admit SMDs from our business segments into the SMD IC Program on a case by case basis.

We funded unsecured, general recourse forgivable loans in an aggregate amount of approximately \$23.0 million in 2006, \$22.0 million in 2007, \$7.3 million in 2008 and \$7.9 million in 2009 to SMDs participating in the SMD IC Program. In each of those years ,we also funded approximately \$8.0 million, \$13.0 million, \$19.0 million and \$31.3 million, respectively, of unsecured forgivable loans to other key professionals. In February 2010, additional loans in the aggregate amount of \$8.5 million were authorized to 12 new SMDs who have been designated to participate at a higher tier, in the SMD IC Program, subject to management s final discretion whether to admit such SMDs into the program or to a higher tier. We continue to fund forgivable loans to new hires and professionals who join us in connection with acquisitions as well as current employees on a case-by-case basis. The amount of forgivable loans we make could be significant.

We awarded stock options to purchase an aggregate of 685,000 shares of our common stock and awarded 99,500 shares of restricted stock in 2006, stock options to purchase an aggregate of 730,000 shares of our common stock and 140,000 shares of restricted stock in 2007, stock options to purchase an aggregate of 117,000 shares of common stock and 19,620 shares of restricted stock in 2008, and stock options to purchase an aggregate of 219,000 shares of common stock and 37,500 shares of restricted stock in 2009 to SMDs upon their first joining the SMD IC Program or qualifying to move up to a higher participation tier. We also awarded additional stock options to purchase an aggregate of approximately 42,000 shares of our common stock and approximately 46,000 shares of restricted stock in 2007, stock options to purchase an aggregate of approximately 61,480 shares of our common stock and approximately 94,840 shares of restricted stock in 2008, and stock options to purchase an aggregate of approximately 117,750 shares of our common stock and approximately 177,178 shares of restricted stock in 2009 in substitution of a portion of such year s annual bonus payments and as matching equity awards to SMDs participating in the SMD IC Program. In February 2010, awards of stock options exercisable for an aggregate of 228,000 shares of common stock, and 31,000 shares of restricted stock were authorized for award to 12 new participants invited to join and three current participants who qualify for higher participation tiers in the SMD IC Program, subject to management s final discretion whether to admit such SMDs into the program or to a higher tier. Additional SMD IC Program awards will also be granted in 2010 and years thereafter to previously admitted participants based on each participant s annual bonus award for the prior bonus year and as SMDs join or move to higher tiers under the program. We also anticipate making equity awards to members of management and other employees during 2010 but are not able to estimate the type and number of shares that will be subject to those awards at this time, although they may be significant.

Marketing and Sales

We rely primarily on our senior professionals to identify and pursue business opportunities. Referrals from clients, law firms and other intermediaries and our reputation from prior engagements are also key factors in securing new business. Our professionals often learn about new business opportunities from their frequent contacts and close working relationships with clients. In marketing our services, we emphasize our experience, the quality of our services and our professionals particular areas of expertise, as well as our ability to quickly staff new and large engagements. While we aggressively seek new business opportunities, we maintain high professional standards and carefully evaluate potential new client relationships and engagements before accepting them.

We have a staff of seven marketing professionals who are tasked primarily with marketing the services of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting, Strategic Communications and Technology segments. Our segments also directly market their services.

We have been investing in our FTI brand and our visibility to reinforce recognition of our brand in the marketplace and plan to continue to make such expenditures in 2010. Our branding initiatives include investment in corporate sponsorships, such as our recent sponsorship arrangement with Padraig Harrington, which started in late 2008, strategic placement of print media in specialty journals, the publication of the FTI Journal, a dedicated magazine that is available on the Internet and free of charge to our clients and stakeholders, which began publication in the Fall of 2009. Also introduced in 2009 was FTI TV, a web-based video broadcaster of information relating to FTI expertise and of interest to the global business community, and brand placement in strategic locations where our clients are likely to congregate. In 2009, we advertised on select network and cable television programs that we believe were of interest to the companies that use or have need of our services and expect to continue selective advertising in 2010. We also host and participate in seminars, conferences and other events. These events help us promote brand recognition, discuss events of interest to our clients and target client groups, discuss our company and business segments, facilitate client development, and strengthen our relationships with existing clients.

Clients

We provide services to a diverse group of clients, including global Fortune 500 companies, FTSE 100 companies, major law firms and local, state and national governments and agencies in the U.S. and other countries throughout the world.

A substantial portion of our revenues are derived from repeat or referral business. In 2009, no single client accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. No single client accounted for more than 10% of the 2009 revenues of any of our business segments, except for one client that accounted for approximately 17% of the revenues of our Technology segment and one client that accounted for approximately 11% of the revenues of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment. The loss of such client by such segment would not have a material adverse effect on FTI and our subsidiaries as a whole but could have a material adverse effect on such segment if that business was not quickly replaced. Among our top ten clients were four internationally recognized law firms, which engaged us to assist with the representation of multiple clients on multiple matters. In some cases, we may have engagements with law firms that represent a larger percentage of our overall revenue or the revenue of a segment; however, each law firm engages us on behalf of multiple clients. For this purpose, we recognize the ultimate client of the law firm as our client.

Competition

We do not compete against the same companies across all of our segments or services. Instead we compete with different companies or businesses of companies depending on the particular nature of a proposed engagement and the requested service(s). Our businesses are highly competitive. Our competitors include large organizations, such as the global accounting firms and large management and financial consulting companies, which offer a broad range of consulting services, investment banking firms, consulting and software companies, which offer niche services that are the same or similar to services or products offered by one or more of our segments, and small firms and independent contractors that provide one or more specialized services.

We compete primarily on the basis of institutional and individual reputations, ability to immediately staff a significant engagement, performance record and quality of work, range of services provided, geographic reach and existing client relationships. Our Technology segment, and to a lesser extent our other segments, may also compete on price, although the critical nature of our services, particularly those provided by our Corporate Finance/Restructuring, Forensic and Litigation Consulting and Economic Consulting segments, typically makes price a secondary consideration. Since our businesses depend in a large part on professional relationships, there are low barriers of entry for professionals, including our professionals electing to work independently, start their own firms or change employers.

Our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment primarily competes with global accounting firms, investment banks and specialty boutiques providing restructuring or M&A services. Our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment primarily competes with other large consulting companies with service offerings similar to ours. Our Economic Consulting segment primarily competes with individually recognized economists, specialty boutiques and large consulting companies with service offerings similar to ours. Our Economic Segment primarily competes with individually recognized economists, specialty boutiques and large consulting companies with service offerings similar to ours. Our Technology segment primarily competes with consulting and software providers specializing in the discovery of specific electronic information and the management of electronic content. In the past year, new and existing competitors have competed more aggressively against the Technology segment on the basis of price, particularly with respect to hosting and e-discovery services. Our Strategic Communications segment competes with the large public relations firms and boutique merger and acquisition and crisis management communications firms.

Some service providers are larger than we are and on certain engagements may have an advantage over us with respect to one or more competitive factors. Specialty boutiques or smaller local or regional firms, while not offering the range of services we provide, may compete with us on the basis of geographic proximity, specialty service or price advantage.

Patents, Licenses and Trademarks

We consider the Ringtail[®] Casebook and Ringta[®] Legal software and other technologies and software that we acquired in connection with the acquisition of Ringtail in 2005 to be proprietary and confidential. We have also developed other Ringtail products such as Ringtail[®] QuickCull[®] that we consider proprietary and confidential. We consider our TrialMax[®] comprehensive trial preparation software to be proprietary and confidential. The Ringtail[®] and TrialMax[®] software and technology are not protected by patents. We rely upon non-disclosure agreements and contractual agreements and internal controls, including confidentiality and invention disclosure agreements with our employees and independent contractors, and license agreements with third parties, to protect our proprietary information. Despite these safeguards, there is a risk that competitors may obtain and seek to use such intellectual property.

In July 2008, we acquired Attenex[®] and the Attenex[®] family of products, including Attenex[®] Patterns[®] Document Mapper, Attenex[®] Patterns[®] Workbench and Attenex[®] Patterns[®] Matter Manager, all of which we consider to be proprietary and confidential. We hold 21 U.S. patents and have 16 U.S. patents pending, covering various aspects of certain software products of our Technology segment. We also hold five non-U.S. patents issued in Canada and Europe, 14 non-U.S. patent applications pending in Canada and Europe, and no additional patent applications have been issued or are pending in other countries, covering various aspects of software of our Technology segment. We have three patents pending relating to services of our Economic Consulting segment. We also rely upon non-disclosure, license and other agreements to protect our interests in these products.

We believe that the FTI brand and other brands under which we conduct business are some of the most recognized brand names in our industry. We also have benefited from our strategy of acquiring leading practitioners and, in select cases, continuing to use their brand names, either independently or coupled with the FTI brand, such as FD, Ringtail[®], Attenex[®], Patterns[®], TrialMax[®], Compass Lexecon, Cambio Health Solutions and The Schonbraun McCann Group. We have also developed marketing language such as The Company Behind the Headlines and When the Game Changes, and logos and designs that we have registered or taken steps to register and protect. In some cases, but not all, the trademarks have been registered in the U.S. and/or foreign jurisdictions, or, in some cases, applications have been filed and are pending. In the case of FTI, we use the trademark pursuant to a Consent and Coexistence Agreement entered into in May 2003. We believe we take the appropriate steps to protect our trademarks and brands.

We believe that our non-patented software and intellectual property, particularly some of our process software and intellectual property, are important to our Forensic and Litigation Consulting and Technology segments.

Corporate Information

FTI Consulting, Inc. is a Maryland corporation, incorporated in 1982. We are a publicly traded company with common stock listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol FCN.

Our executive offices are located at 777 Flagler Drive, Suite 1500, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, telephone no. 561-515-1900. Our website is *http://www.fticonsulting.com*.

Financial Information on Industry Segments and Geographic Areas

We manage and report operating results through five reportable segments and two geographic areas. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 19 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of revenues, net income and total assets by business segment and revenues for the U.S. and all foreign countries as a group.

Available Information

We are subject to the information requirements of the Exchange Act. Therefore, we file periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. Such reports, proxy statements and other information may be obtained by visiting the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 E Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site (*http://www.sec.gov*) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically.

We make available, free of charge, on or through our website at *www.fticonsulting.com*, our annual, quarterly and current reports and any amendments to those reports, as well as our other filings with the SEC, as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing them with the SEC. Information posted on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report filed with the SEC in satisfaction of the requirements of the Exchange Act. Copies of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as other periodic reports filed with the SEC may also be requested at no charge from our Corporate Secretary, FTI Consulting, Inc. 500 East Pratt Street, Suite 1400, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, telephone no. 410-951-4800.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

All of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition to the risks discussed below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial could, in the future, materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and financial results.

Risks Related to Our Business Segments

Changes in capital markets, M&A activity and legal or regulatory requirements and general economic or other factors beyond our control could reduce demand for our services, in which case our revenues and profitability could decline.

A number of factors outside of our control affect demand for our services. These include:

the 2008/2009 economic recession;

the U.S. and global economy in general;

the U.S. and global financial markets, including the availability, costs and terms of credit;

the level of leverage incurred by companies;

merger and acquisition activity;

over-expansion by businesses;

business and management crises;

new and complex laws and regulations;

other economic and geographic factors; and

general business conditions.

Our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment provides various restructuring and restructuring-related services to companies in financial distress or their creditors or other stakeholders. In 2009, as the U.S. and global economic decline continued, we worked on large bankruptcy and restructuring engagements. That reversed the trend that the segment had been experiencing between 2005 and 2007, which saw a decline in large cases and resulted in a greater portion of that segment s business being comprised of bankruptcy and restructuring engagements involving mid-size companies. In our experience, mid-size bankruptcy and restructuring engagements are more susceptible to cyclical factors such as holidays and vacations and lower utilization during those periods.

Factors outside of our control also drive demand for the services of our other business segments. For example, decreases in litigation filings, class action suits and regulatory investigations and settlements of proceedings may adversely affect our Forensic and Litigation Consulting, Economic Consulting and Technology segments. Our Economic Consulting segment, which provides antitrust and competition advice and damages consulting, has experienced decreased utilization due to fewer large mergers and acquisitions, and client imposed delays in authorizing major work on engagements until later in the litigation cycle. Our Strategic Communications segment has seen utilization decline and retainer revenues decrease across the full range of its services, primarily as a result of decreased M&A and public stock offering activity, and client decisions to postpone or curtail discretionary spending.

We are not able to predict the positive or negative effects that future events or changes to the U.S. or global economy, financial markets and business environment could have on our operations. Changes to any of the factors described above as well as other events, including by way of example, continuing contractions of world economies, banking and credit markets and real estate and retail industries, changes to laws and regulations, including changes to the bankruptcy code, tort reform , banking reform, or a decline in government enforcement or litigation or monetary damages or remedies that are sought, may have adverse effects on one or more of our segments.

Our revenues, operating income and cash flows are likely to fluctuate.

We have experienced periodic fluctuations in our revenues, operating income and cash flows and expect that this will continue to occur in the future. We experience fluctuations in our annual or quarterly revenues and operating income because of the timing of our client assignments, utilization of our revenue-generating professionals, the types of assignments we are working on at different times, new hiring, business and asset acquisitions, decreased productivity because of vacations taken by our professionals and economic factors beyond our control. Our profitability is likely to decline if we experience an unexpected variation in the number or timing of client assignments or in the utilization rates of our professionals, especially during the third quarter when substantial numbers of our professionals take vacations. We may also experience future fluctuations in our cash flows because of increases in employee compensation, including changes to our incentive compensation structure and the timing of incentive payments, which we generally pay during the first quarter of each year. Also, the timing of future acquisitions and the cost of integrating them may cause fluctuations in our operating results.

Our segments may face risks of fee non-payment, clients may seek to renegotiate existing fees and contract arrangements, and clients may not accept billable rate increases, which could result in loss of clients, fee write-offs, reduced revenues and less profitable business.

Our segments are engaged by clients who are experiencing or anticipate experiencing financial distress or are facing complex challenges that could result in financial liabilities. This is particularly true in light of the 2008/2009 economic recession, the current economy and the credit and real estate crises. Such clients may not have sufficient funds to continue operations or to pay for our services. We typically do not receive retainers before we begin performing services on a client s behalf in connection with a significant number of engagements in our Forensic and Litigation Consulting and Economic Consulting segments and with respect to bankruptcy engagements in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment. In the cases where we have received retainers, we cannot assure the retainers will adequately cover our fees for the services we perform on behalf of these clients. With respect to bankruptcy cases, bankruptcy courts have the discretion to require us to return all, or a portion of, our fees.

We have received requests to discount our fees or to negotiate lower rates for our services and to agree to contract terms relative to the scope of services and other terms that may limit the size of an engagement or our ability to pass through costs. We consider these requests on a case-by-case basis. We have been receiving these types of requests and negotiations more frequently as the economy has deteriorated. In addition, our clients and prospective clients may not accept rate increases that we have recently put into effect or plan to implement in the future. Fee discounts, pressure to not increase or even decrease our rates and less advantageous contract terms, could result in the loss of clients, lower revenues and operating income, higher costs and less profitable engagements. More write-offs than we expect in any period would have a negative impact on our results of operations. There is no assurance that significant client engagements will be renewed or replaced in a timely manner or if at all, or that engagements will generate the same volume of work or revenues, and be as profitable as past engagements.

Our Technology segment has recently experienced significant price competition from lower cost competitors. The clients of our Technology segment increasingly prefer fixed and other alternative fee arrangements that place cost ceilings or other limitations on our fee structure. The Technology segment s ability to service clients with these fee arrangements at a cost that does not directly correlate to time and materials may negatively impact or result in a loss of the profitability of such engagement, adversely affecting the financial results of the segment.

Our Technology segment faces certain risks, including the risk that (i) its proprietary software products may be subject to technological changes and obsolescence, which would make it more difficult for us to compete, and (ii) we may not effectively protect the intellectual property used by that segment.

The success of our technology business and its ability to compete depends, in part, upon our technology and other intellectual property, including our proprietary Ringtail[®], Attenex[®] and TrialMax[®] software and other proprietary information and intellectual property rights. The software and products of our Technology segment are subject to rapid technological innovation. There is no assurance that we will successfully develop new versions of our Ringtail[®] and Attenex[®] software, or other products. Our software may not keep pace with industry changes and innovation. There is no assurance that new, innovative or improved software or products will be developed, compete effectively with the software and technology developed and offered by competitors, or be accepted by our clients or the marketplace. If our Technology segment is unable to develop and offer competitive software and products or is otherwise unable to capitalize on market opportunities, the revenues, net income and growth of the Technology segment and the Company could decline.

We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark, patent laws, trade secrets, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect these assets. Our Ringtail[®] and TrialMax[®] software and related documentation are protected principally under trade secret and copyright laws, which afford only limited protection, and the laws of some foreign jurisdictions provide less protection for our proprietary rights than the laws of the U.S.

Certain aspects of our Attenex[®] software are protected by patents granted in the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. Unauthorized use and misuse of our intellectual property could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and the legal remedies available to us may not adequately compensate us for the damages caused by unauthorized use.

We may not manage our growth effectively and our profitability may suffer.

We have experienced rapid growth in recent years. This rapid expansion of our business may strain our management team, human resources and information systems. We cannot assure that we can successfully manage the integration of the companies and assets we acquire or that they will result in the financial, operational and other benefits that we anticipate. To manage our growth successfully, we may need to add qualified managers and employees and periodically update our operating, financial and other systems, as well as our internal procedures and controls. We also must effectively motivate, train and manage a larger professional staff. Some acquisitions may not be immediately accretive to earnings and some expansion may result in significant expenditures, which may adversely affect profitability in the near term. If we fail to add qualified managers and employees, estimate costs or manage our growth effectively, our business, financial results and financial condition may be harmed.

Risks Related to Our Operations

If we do not effectively manage the utilization of our professionals our financial results could decline.

If we fail to manage the utilization of our professionals who bill on an hourly basis or maintain or increase the hourly rates we charge our clients for our services, we may experience adverse consequences, such as non- or lower-revenue-generating professionals, the loss of clients and engagements or the inability to appropriately staff engagements. In such event, our financial results may decline. A number of factors affect the utilization of our professionals. Some of these factors we cannot predict with certainty, including general economic and financial market conditions, the number, size and timing of client engagements, demand for our services, appropriate professional staffing levels, utilization of professionals across segments, acquisitions and staff vacations. Factors that could negatively affect utilization in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment include the completion of bankruptcy proceedings, completion of current engagements, fewer and smaller bankruptcy cases, a recovering or strong economy, easy credit availability, low interest rates and less M&A activity. Factors that could negatively affect utilization, fewer and less complex legal disputes, fewer class action suits, the timing of the completion of engagements, less government regulation or fewer regulatory investigations and the timing of government investigations and litigation. Factors that could adversely affect utilization in our Economic Consulting segment include less M&A activity, fewer regulatory filings and less litigation, reduced antitrust and competition regulation, fewer government investigations and timing of client utilization of our services. Our global expansion into new locations where we are not well known or where demand for our services is not well developed could also contribute to low or lower utilization rates in certain locations.

We calculate the utilization rate for our professionals that bill on an hourly basis by dividing the number of hours worked on client assignments during a period by the total available working hours, assuming a 40-hour work week and a 52-week year. Available working hours include vacation and professional training days, but exclude holidays. The hourly rates we charge our clients for our services and the number of hours our professionals are able to charge our clients for our services are also affected by the level of expertise and experience of the professionals working on a particular engagement and, to a lesser extent, the pricing and staffing policies of our competitors.

Our Technology segment derives revenue from recurring licensing fees and the amount of data hosted for a client. Factors that could adversely affect our Technology segment s revenues include the settlement of litigation and a decline in and less complex litigation proceedings and governmental investigations. Our Strategic Communications segment derives revenues from fixed monthly fee and retainer based contracts. Factors that

could adversely affect our Strategic Communications segment s revenues include a decline in merger and acquisition activity, fewer event driven crises affecting businesses, fewer public securities offerings and general economic decline that may reduce certain discretionary spending by clients. In addition, lower priced competition could adversely affect the revenues of these segments.

Our international operations involve special risks.

Primarily as a result of acquisitions, we operate in 21 countries in addition to the U.S. We expect to continue our international expansion, and our international revenues are expected to account for an increasing portion of our revenues in the future. In the year ended December 31, 2009, operations outside of the U.S. accounted for approximately 18% of our total revenues, of which approximately 47% were generated by our Strategic Communications segment.

Our international operations involve financial and business risks that differ from or are in addition to those faced by our U.S. operations, including:

cultural and language differences;

limited brand recognition of FTI in non-U.S. markets;

employment laws and rules and related social and cultural factors that could result in lower utilization rates and cyclical fluctuations in utilization and revenues;

currency fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies that could adversely affect financial and operating results;

different regulatory requirements and other barriers to conducting business;

greater difficulties in resolving the collection of receivables when legal proceedings are necessary;

greater difficulties in managing our non-U.S. operations in certain locations;

higher operating costs;

longer sales cycles;

restrictions or adverse tax consequences for the repatriation of earnings;

differing accounting principles and standards;

potentially adverse tax consequences, such as trapped foreign losses;

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

different or less stable political and economic environments; and

civil disturbances or other catastrophic events that reduce business activity. If we are not able to quickly adapt to our new geographic markets outside of the U.S., our business prospects and results of operations could be negatively impacted.

Risks Related to Our People

Our failure to retain and recruit qualified professionals could negatively affect our financial results and our ability to staff client engagements, maintain relationships with clients and drive future growth.

We deliver sophisticated professional services to our clients. To attract and retain clients, we need to demonstrate professional acumen and build trust and strong relationships. Our professionals have highly specialized skills. They also develop strong bonds with the clients they serve. Our continued success depends upon our ability to attract and retain professionals who have expertise, reputations and client relationships critical to maintaining and developing our business. We face intense competition in recruiting and retaining highly qualified professionals to drive our organic growth and support expansion of our services and geographic

footprint. We cannot assure that we will be able to attract and retain enough qualified professionals to maintain or expand our business. Moreover, competition has been increasing our costs of retaining and hiring qualified professionals, a trend which could adversely affect our operating margins and financial results.

As of December 31, 2009, we had written employment agreements with 189 of our 281 SMDs. These employment agreements expire between 2010 and 2019, with 12 SMD agreements expiring in 2010, 53 SMD agreements expiring in 2011 and 42 SMD agreements expiring in 2012 primarily as a result of our 2006 and 2007 initiatives to renegotiate long term employment arrangements with certain SMDs who have been designated as participants in our SMD IC Program. In an effort to reduce risk, we have included a renewal provision in most of the employment agreements that provides that the agreements will renew for one year, from year to year, beginning at the end of their initial terms unless a party provides written notice of non-renewal to the other party at least 90 days prior to the date of the expiration of the initial term or any extended term. Despite the renewal provisions, we could face retention issues at the end of the terms of those agreements with other SMDs, although that is our intention. We monitor contract expirations carefully to commence dialogues with professionals regarding their employment well in advance of the actual contract expiration dates. Our goal is to renew employment agreements when advisable and to stagger the expirations of the agreements if possible. Because of the concentration of contract expirations in certain years, we may experience high turnover or other adverse consequences, such as higher costs, loss of clients and engagements or difficulty staffing engagements, if we are unable to renegotiate employment arrangements or the costs of retaining qualified professionals become higher. The admission of additional SMDs into the SMD IC Program may result in the concentration of expirations in future years.

We incur substantial costs to hire and retain our professionals and we expect these costs to continue and grow.

We make unsecured general recourse forgivable loans and grant stock option and restricted stock awards to attract and retain our professional employees. In 2006, we implemented our SMD IC Program, which is designed to align the interests of our professionals with the interests of our company and its stakeholders. The cost of implementing and retaining our SMD IC Program has been significant. Participants receive cash payments in the form of unsecured general recourse forgivable loans. We also make forgivable loans to new hires and professionals who join us in connection with acquisitions as well as current employees on a case-by-case basis. Some or all of the principal amount and accrued interest of the loans we make to employees will be forgiven by us upon the passage of time, provided that the professional is an employee on the forgiveness date, and upon other specified events, such as death, disability, termination by us without cause or termination by the employee with good reason, as may be applicable to such loan grant. We expect to continue issuing significant amounts of unsecured general recourse forgivable loans. We also provide significant additional payments under the SMD IC Program in the form of stock options and restricted stock awards or, alternatively, cash if we do not have adequate equity securities available under stockholder approved equity plans.

In addition, our Economic Consulting segment has contracts with its economists that provide for compensation equal to such professionals annual collected client fees plus a percentage of the annual fees generated by junior professionals working on such management, which results in compensation expense for that segment being a higher percentage of revenues and EBITDA than the compensation paid by other segments. We expect that these arrangements will continue and that the Company will enter into similar arrangements with other economists hired by the Company.

We rely heavily on our executive officers and the heads of our business segments for the success of our business.

We rely heavily on our executive officers and the heads of our business segments to manage our segments and operations. Given the highly specialized nature of our services and the scale of our operations, our executive officers and senior managers must have a thorough understanding of our service offerings as well as the skills

and experience necessary to manage a large organization. If one or more members of our management team leaves and we cannot replace them with suitable candidates quickly, we could experience difficulty in managing our business properly. This could harm our business prospects, client relationships, employee morale and financial results.

We may not have, or may choose not to pursue, legal recourse against professionals who leave our company to form or join competitors.

Our professionals typically have close relationships with the clients they serve, based on their expertise and bonds of personal trust and confidence. Although our clients generally contract for services with us as a company, and not with individual professionals, in the event that professionals leave, such clients may decide that they prefer to continue working with a professional rather than with our company. Substantially all of our written employment arrangements with our SMDs include non-competition and non-solicitation covenants. These restrictions have generally been drafted to comply with state reasonableness standards. However, states generally interpret restrictions on competition narrowly and in favor of employees. Therefore, a state may hold certain restrictions on competition to be unenforceable. In the case of employees outside of the U.S., we draft non-competition provisions in an effort to comply with applicable foreign law. In the event an employee departs and acts in a way that we believe violates his or her non-competition or non-solicitation agreement, we will consider any legal remedies we may have against such person on a case-by-case basis. We may decide that preserving cooperation and a professional relationship with the former employee or client, or other concerns, outweigh the benefits of any possible legal recourse. We may also decide that the likelihood of success does not justify the costs of pursuing a legal remedy. Therefore, we may decide not to pursue legal action, even if it is available to us.

Risks Related to Our Client Relationships

If we are unable to accept client engagements due to real or perceived relationship issues, our revenues, growth, client engagements and prospects may be negatively affected.

Our inability to accept engagements from clients or prospective clients, represent multiple clients in connection with the same or competitive engagements, and any requirement that we resign from client engagements may negatively impact our revenues, growth and financial results. While we follow internal practices to assess real and potential issues in the relationships between and among our clients, engagements, practices and professionals, such concerns cannot always be avoided. For example, we generally will not represent parties adverse to each other in the same matter. Under federal bankruptcy rules, we generally may not represent both a debtor and its creditors in the same proceeding, and we are required to notify the U.S. Trustee of real or potential conflicts. Even if we begin a bankruptcy-related engagement, the U.S. Trustee could find that we no longer meet the disinterestedness standard because of real or potential changes in our status as a disinterested party, and order us to resign, which could result in disgorgement of fees. Acquisitions may require us to resign from a current client engagement because of relationship issues that are not currently identifiable. In addition, businesses that we acquire or employees who join us may not be free to accept engagements they could have accepted prior to our acquisition or hire because of relationship issues.

Claims involving our services could harm our overall professional reputation and our ability to compete and attract business and hire and retain qualified professionals.

Our engagements involve matters that may result in a severe impact on a client s business, cause the client a substantial monetary loss or prevent the client from pursuing business opportunities. Our ability to attract new clients and generate new and repeat engagements depends upon our ability to maintain a high degree of client satisfaction as well as our reputation among industry professionals. As a result, any claims against us involving the quality of our services may be more damaging than similar claims against businesses in other industries.

We may incur significant costs and may lose engagements as a result of claims by our clients regarding our services.

Many of our engagements involve complex analysis and the exercise of professional judgment, including litigation and governmental investigatory matters where we act as experts. Our Technology segment may host or act as a repository for confidential and proprietary client information, the loss or disclosure of which could result in significant losses and damages. Therefore, we are subject to the risk of professional liability. Although we believe we maintain an appropriate amount of liability insurance it is limited. Any claim by a client or a third party against us could expose us to professional or other liabilities in excess of the amount of our insurance limits. Damages and/or expenses resulting from any successful claims against us, for indemnity or otherwise, in excess of the amount of insurance coverage we maintain, would have to be borne directly by us and could harm our profitability and financial resources.

Our clients may terminate our engagements with little or no notice and without penalty, which may result in unexpected declines in our utilization and revenues.

Our engagements center on transactions, disputes, litigation and other event-driven occurrences that require independent analysis or expert services. Transactions may be postponed or cancelled, litigation may be settled or be dismissed, and disputes may be resolved, in each case with little or no prior notice to us. If we cannot manage our backlog, our professionals may be underutilized until we can reassign them or obtain new engagements, which can adversely affect financial results.

The engagement letters that we typically enter into with clients do not obligate them to continue to use our services. Typically, our engagement letters permit clients to terminate our services at any time without penalties. In addition, our business involves large client engagements that we staff with a substantial number of professionals. At any time, one or more client engagements may represent a significant portion of a segment s revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2009, one client of our Technology segment accounted for approximately 17% of that segment s annual revenues and one client of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment accounted for approximately 11% of that segment s annual revenues. If we are unable to replace clients or revenues as engagements end, clients unexpectedly cancel engagements with us or curtail the scope of our engagements, and we are unable to replace the revenues from those engagements, eliminate the costs associated with those engagements or find other engagements to utilize our professionals, the financial results and profitability of a segment or the Company could be adversely affected.

We may not have, or may choose not to pursue, legal remedies against clients who terminate their engagements.

The engagement letters that we typically have with clients do not obligate them to continue to use our services and permit them to terminate the engagement without penalty at any time. Even if the termination of an ongoing engagement by a client could constitute a breach of the client s engagement agreement, we may decide that preserving the overall client relationship is more important than seeking damages for the breach, and for that or other reasons, decide not to pursue any legal remedies against a client, even though such remedies may be available to us. We make the determination whether to pursue any legal actions against a client on a case-by-case basis.

Failure to protect our client confidential information could subject us to claims or impair our reputation and ability to obtain new client engagements, and governmental focus on data security could increase our costs of operations.

If we do not maintain the confidentiality of client information, we may be exposed to claims and potential liability. Our reputation may be damaged by a compromise of data security, unauthorized disclosure of confidential information or accidental loss or theft of client data in our possession. If our reputation is damaged due to a data security breach, our ability to attract new engagements may be impaired, which could negatively impact our businesses, financial condition or results of operations.

In reaction to publicized incidents in which electronically stored information has been lost, illegally accessed or stolen, many states have adopted breach of data security statutes and regulations. In addition, many non-U.S. jurisdictions have data privacy laws applicable to personal information. Continued governmental focus on data security may lead to additional legislative and regulatory action. The increased emphasis on information security and the requirements to comply with applicable U.S. and foreign data privacy laws and regulations may increase our costs of doing business and negatively impact our results of operations.

Risks Related to Competition

If we fail to compete effectively, we may miss new business opportunities or lose existing clients and our revenues and profitability may decline.

The market for our consulting services is highly competitive. We do not compete against the same companies across all of our segments or services. Instead we compete with different companies or businesses of companies depending on the particular nature of a proposed engagement and the requested service(s). Our businesses are highly competitive. Our competitors include large organizations, such as the global accounting firms and the large management and financial consulting companies that offer a broad range of consulting services, investment banking firms, consulting and software companies, which offer niche services that are the same or similar to services or products offered by one or more of our segments, and small firms and independent contractors that focus on specialized services. Some of our competitors have significantly more financial resources, a larger national or international presence, larger professional staffs and greater brand recognition than we do. Some have lower overhead and other costs and can compete through lower cost service offerings. Since our business depends in large part on professional relationships, our business has low barriers of entry for professionals electing to start their own firms or work independently. In addition, it is relatively easy for professionals to change employers. If we cannot compete effectively with our competitors or if the costs of competing, including the costs of retaining and hiring professionals, becomes too expensive, our expected revenue growth and financial results may differ materially from our expectations.

We may face competition from parties who sell us their businesses and from professionals who cease working for us.

In connection with our acquisitions, we generally obtain non-solicitation agreements from the professionals we hire, as well as non-competition agreements from senior managers and professionals. The agreements prohibit such individuals from competing with us during the term of their employment and for a fixed period afterwards and seeking to solicit our employees or clients. In some cases, but not all, we may obtain non-competition or non-solicitation agreements from parties who sell us their business or assets. The duration of post-employment non-competition and non-solicitation agreements typically range from six- to 12-months. Non-competition agreements with the sellers of businesses or assets that we acquire typically continue longer than 12 months. Certain activities may be carved out of or otherwise may not be prohibited by these arrangements. We cannot assure that one or more of the parties from whom we acquire assets or a business and who do not join us or leave our employment will not compete with us or solicit our employees or clients in the future. Such persons, because they have worked for our company or a business that we acquire, may be able to compete more effectively with us, or be more successful in soliciting our employees and clients, than unaffiliated third parties.

Risks Relating to our Acquisition Strategy

If we fail to find suitable acquisition candidates, or if we are unable to take advantage of opportunistic acquisition situations, our ability to expand our business may be slowed or curtailed.

If the competition for acquisitions increases, or if the cost of acquiring businesses or assets becomes too expensive, the number of suitable acquisition opportunities may decline, the cost of making an acquisition may increase or we may be forced to agree to less advantageous acquisition terms for the companies that we are able to acquire. Alternatively, at the time an acquisition opportunity presents itself, internal and external pressures

(including, but not limited to, borrowing capacity under our amended and restated senior secured bank credit facility or the availability of alternative financing), may cause us to be unable to pursue or complete an acquisition. Our ability to grow our business, particularly through acquisitions, may depend on our ability to raise capital by selling equity or debt securities or obtaining additional debt financing. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain financing when we need it or on terms acceptable to us. As a result of these factors, we may be unable to grow our business or expand our client offerings as quickly as we have in the past or as we currently plan.

We may have difficulty integrating our acquisitions or convincing clients to allow assignment of their engagements to us, which can reduce the benefits we receive from acquisitions.

A substantial amount of our growth has resulted from acquisitions. The process of managing and integrating our acquisitions into our existing operations may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and may require significant financial, operational and managerial resources that would otherwise be available for the operation, development and expansion of our existing business. To the extent that we misjudge our ability to integrate and properly manage acquisitions, we may have difficulty achieving our operating, strategic and financial objectives.

Acquisitions also may involve a number of special financial, business and operational risks, such as:

difficulties in integrating diverse corporate cultures and management styles;

disparate company policies and practices;

client relationship issues;

decreased utilization during the integration process;

loss of key existing or acquired personnel;

increased costs to improve or coordinate managerial, operational, financial and administrative systems;

dilutive issuances of equity securities, including convertible debt securities to finance acquisitions;

the assumption of legal liabilities;

amortization of acquired intangible assets;

future earn-out payments or other price adjustments; and

potential write-offs relating to the impairment of goodwill.

In addition to the integration challenges mentioned above, our acquisitions of non-U.S. companies offer distinct integration challenges relating to non-U.S. GAAP financial reporting, foreign laws and governmental regulations, including tax and employee benefit laws, and other factors relating to operating in countries other than the U.S., which have been discussed above in the discussion regarding the difficulties we may face operating globally.

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

Asset transactions may require us to seek client consents to the assignment of their engagements to us or a subsidiary. All clients may not consent to an assignment. In certain cases, such as government contracts and bankruptcy engagements, the consents of clients cannot be solicited until after the acquisition has closed. Further, such engagements may be subject to security clearance requirements or bidding provisions with which we might not be able to comply. There is no assurance that local, state, federal or foreign governments will agree to novate their contracts to us.

Strategic acquisitions in the Technology segment may not be accretive in the near term.

To compete for strategic acquisitions that complement our Technology segment, competitive market conditions may require us to pay prices that represent a higher multiple of revenues or profits than acquisitions

we make in other business sectors. Because technology companies often are high-growth businesses, they typically can command higher purchase price multiples. As a result of these competitive dynamics, certain acquisitions with strategic importance to the future growth and profitability of our Technology segment may not be accretive to our overall financial results in the near term.

We may have a different system of governance and management from the companies we acquire or their parents, which could cause professionals who join us from acquired companies to leave us.

Our governance and management practices and policies do not mirror the policies and practices of acquired companies or their parents. In some cases, different management practices and policies may lead to workplace dissatisfaction on the part of acquired professionals. Some professionals may choose not to join our company or leave after joining us. Existing professionals may leave us as well. The loss of key professionals may harm our business and results of operations and cause us not to realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisition.

Due to fluctuations in our stock price, acquisition candidates may be reluctant to accept shares of our common stock as purchase price consideration, use of our shares as purchase price consideration may be more dilutive, and the owners of certain companies we seek to acquire may insist on stock price guarantees.

We structure many acquisitions to pay a portion of the purchase price in shares of our common stock. The number of shares issued as consideration is typically based on an average closing price per share of our common stock for a number of days prior to the closing of such acquisition. We believe that payment in the form of FTI shares of common stock provides the acquired entity and its principals with a vested interest in the future success of the acquisition and FTI. The recent extreme volatility of stock markets and the recent decline and volatility of the price per share of FTI common stock may result in acquisition candidates being reluctant to accept our shares as consideration. In such cases, we may have to issue more shares, if stock constitutes part of the consideration, pay the entire purchase price in cash, or negotiate an alternative price structure. The result may be an increase in the cost of an acquisition.

Certain acquisition related agreements contain stock price guarantees that may result in cash payments in the future if our price per share falls below a specified per share market value on the date restrictions lapse. Acquisition candidates may continue to negotiate stock price guarantees, particularly in light of our recent stock price volatility, which may increase the cash paid for an acquisition.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and business operations.

We have a significant amount of indebtedness. As of December 31, 2009, the principal amount of our outstanding borrowings totaled \$566.1 million of which \$564.9 million is under our $7^{5}/8\%$ senior notes due 2013, $3^{3}/4\%$ senior subordinated convertible notes due 2012 and $7^{3}/4\%$ senior notes due 2016 (collectively, our notes) and \$3.8 million of outstanding letters of credit under our senior secured bank revolving credit facility. We have an additional \$171.2 million of revolving credit available under our senior secured bank credit facility.

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences. For example, it could:

make it more difficult to satisfy our other financial obligations;

increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, research and development efforts and other general corporate purposes;

limit our ability to borrow additional funds; or

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

limit our ability to make future acquisitions.

Our notes and senior secured bank credit facility contain restrictive covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that we may feel would be beneficial to our business. In addition, our failure to comply with those covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all of our outstanding indebtedness.

To service our indebtedness, we require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness and to fund capital expenditures and acquisitions depends on our ability to generate cash from our operations. This, to a certain extent, is subject to economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

Based on our current level of operations, we believe our cash flows from operations, available cash and available borrowings under our senior secured bank credit facility will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for the next 12 months. We cannot provide assurance, however, that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations, that cost savings and operating improvements will be realized or that future borrowings will be available to us. Our senior secured bank credit facility is scheduled to mature on September 30, 2011. We cannot provide assurance that we will be able to obtain alternative or additional third party credit facilities or financing or refinance any of our existing indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all, particularly in light of the current economy and credit situation, in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness and fund our other cash needs and business growth.

We may be required to pay substantial amounts in cash to holders of our convertible notes at the time of conversion prior to maturity.

Our 3 ³/4% senior subordinated convertible notes will mature on July 15, 2012. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007, our convertible notes became convertible at the option of the holders, and will continue to be convertible until the trading price of our common stock falls below the conversion price during a conversion measurement period, as specified in the indenture governing the convertible notes. We may be required to pay substantial amounts in cash to holders of our convertible notes prior to their stated maturity due to conversions, and there is no assurance we will have sufficient cash on hand or be otherwise able to borrow funds to make such payments when due.

The indentures governing our senior notes generally allow for these payments, and our senior secured bank credit facility permits these payments in some, but not all, circumstances. However, payments of our convertible notes upon conversion could be construed to be a prepayment of principal on subordinated debt, and our existing and future senior debt may prohibit us from making those payments, or may restrict our ability to do so by requiring that we satisfy certain covenants relating to the making of restricted payments. If we are prohibited from paying the conversion consideration, we could seek consent from our senior creditors to make the payment. If we are unable to obtain their consent, we could attempt to refinance the senior debt. If we were unable to obtain consent or refinance the debt, we may be unable to pay the cash portion of the conversion consideration, in which case we could have an event of default under the indenture governing our Senior notes and senior secured bank credit facility.

The indenture governing the convertible notes provides that the convertible notes are convertible upon the occurrence of certain events; therefore, we are not able to control the timing of any conversion of the convertible notes. As a result of making cash payments on the convertible notes, we may not have sufficient cash to pay the principal of, or interest on, our other indebtedness and fund our other cash needs. We may attempt to borrow under our senior secured bank credit facility to help fund such payments, but there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient availability under that or any successor facility or that our credit facility lenders will allow us to draw on that facility for the purpose of making payments on our notes.

Our indebtedness is guaranteed by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and will be required to be guaranteed by future subsidiaries including those organized or that join us in connection with acquisitions.

Substantially all of our U.S. subsidiaries guarantee our obligations under our notes. They also guarantee and pledge their assets to secure our senior secured bank credit facility. Future subsidiaries formed or incorporated in the U.S., including those organized or acquired by us in connection with acquisitions, will be required to guarantee the notes and our senior secured bank debt and to pledge their assets as collateral for our senior secured credit facility. If we default on any indebtedness, our U.S. subsidiaries could be required to make payments under their guarantees, and our senior secured lenders could foreclose on their assets to satisfy unpaid obligations, which would materially adversely affect our business and financial results.

We may be able to incur substantially more debt, which could exacerbate the risks associated with our substantial leverage.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. The terms of our current senior secured bank credit facility and the indentures governing our notes do not prohibit the incurrence of additional debt. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$175.0 million of revolving availability under our senior secured bank credit facility, subject to \$3.8 million of outstanding letters of credit. If new debt is added to both our and our subsidiaries current debt levels, the related risks that we and they now face due to our existing debt level could increase.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our executive offices located in West Palm Beach, Florida consist of 16,103 square feet under a lease expiring August 2018. Under various leases expiring through August 2017, we lease 56,714 square feet of office space for our principal corporate facilities located in Annapolis, Maryland. We also lease offices to support our operations in 33 other cities across the U.S., including New York, Chicago, Denver, Houston, Dallas, Los Angeles, San Francisco and Washington, D.C., and we lease office space to support our international locations in 21 countries the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Spain, Belgium, Russia, Australia, China (including Hong Kong), Japan, Singapore, the United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, South Africa, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Panama, Mexico, Canada and the British Virgin Islands. We believe our existing facilities are adequate to meet our current requirements and that suitable space will be available as needed.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time in the ordinary course of business, we are subject to claims, asserted or unasserted, or named as a party to lawsuits or investigations. Litigation, in general, and intellectual property and securities litigation in particular, can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with any certainty and in the case of more complex legal proceedings, such as intellectual property and securities litigation, the results are difficult to predict at all. We are not aware of any asserted or unasserted legal proceedings or claims that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of our operations.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to our stockholders for consideration during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2009.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Price of and Dividends on Our Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Market Information. Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol FCN. The following table lists the high and low sale prices per share for our common stock based on the closing sales price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for the periods indicated.

	20	2009		08
	High	Low	High	Low
Quarter Ended				
March 31	\$ 50.38	\$ 36.54	\$71.79	\$ 52.20
June 30	55.99	46.99	70.99	55.47
September 30	56.13	42.61	74.19	64.86
December 31	48.00	40.81	71.69	41.16

Number of Stockholders of Record. As of January 30, 2010, the number of record holders of our common stock was 273.

Dividends. We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our shares of common stock in the foreseeable future because we intend to retain our earnings, if any, to finance the expansion of our business, make acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. Moreover, our senior secured bank credit facility and the indentures governing our senior notes restrict our ability to pay dividends. See Note 14 Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations to our consolidated financial statements for more information.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table lists information regarding outstanding stock options and authorized shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009. None of the plans have outstanding warrants or rights other than options, except for stock awards, including shares of restricted and unrestricted stock, and deferred stock awards, including stock units and restricted stock units. We have not issued any shares of our common stock to employees as compensation under plans that have not been approved by our security holders. The number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights included in Column (a) of the following table excludes:

218,573 shares of common stock issued as unvested stock awards under our 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (as Amended and Restated Effective as of May 14, 2008);

654,923 shares of common stock issued as unvested stock awards under our 2006 Global Long-Term Incentive Plan (as Amended and Restated Effective as of May 14, 2008);

21,968 shares of common stock issued as unvested stock awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards under our 2009 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (f/k/a the FTI Consulting, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Employees and Non-Employee Directors (as Amended and Restated Effective as of May 14, 2008 (2009 Omnibus Plan)); and

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

137,895 shares of common stock sold under our 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (ESPP), and 1,255,735 shares deregistered with the SEC on January 30, 2009 upon termination of our ESPP, which was effective January 1, 2009.

Equity Compensation Plan Information as of December 31, 2009

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (in thousands)	(b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a) (in thousands)
Equity compensation plans approved by our security holders Equity compensation plans not approved by our security holders	4,762 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 31.96	1,285 ⁽²⁾
Total	4,762	\$ 30.96	1,285

(1) Includes 860,855 shares of common stock issuable upon vesting and exercise of outstanding stock options granted under our 1997 Stock Option Plan, 1,860,869 shares of common stock issuable upon vesting and exercise of outstanding stock options granted under our 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, 2,004,253 shares of common stock issuable upon vesting and exercise of outstanding stock options granted under our 2006 Global Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, and 36,376 shares of common stock issuable upon vesting and exercise of outstanding stock options granted under our 2009 Omnibus Plan.

(2) Includes 118,319 shares of common stock available for issuance under our 2006 Global Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, including 34,243 shares of common stock available for stock awards and 1,167,161 shares of common stock available for issuance under our 2009 Omnibus Plan, including 877,045 shares of common stock available for stock awards (including deferred stock and restricted stock unit awards).

Issuances of Unregistered Securities

None

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides information with respect to purchases we made of our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2009 (in thousands except per share amounts).

	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Approximate Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program ⁽²⁾
October 1 through October 31, 2009	9	\$ 42.23		\$ 50,000
November 1 through November 30, 2009	3,504	51.28	3,504	250,000
December 1 through December 31, 2009	1,407	51.18	1,371	250,000
Total	4,920		4,875	

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

- ⁽¹⁾ The difference between the total number of shares purchased and the number of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced program is 45,650 shares of common stock withheld to cover payroll tax withholdings related to the lapse of restrictions on restricted stock.
- ⁽²⁾ On November 4, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized a two-year stock repurchase program of up to \$500.0 million and terminated the \$50.0 million stock repurchase program authorized in February 2009. On

November 9, 2009, we entered into an accelerated share buyback (ASB) agreement (ASB Agreement). On the same day, we and an investment bank executed a supplemental confirmation to effect a \$250.0 million ASB transaction under the ASB Agreement. On November 12, 2009, FTI paid \$250.0 million to the investment bank and repurchased 3,504,205 shares of our common stock. On December 7, 2009, we repurchased 1,370,602 additional shares of our common stock bringing the total shares delivered to 4,874,807 shares in 2009. On January 27, 2010, we received an additional 580,784 shares of common stock, bringing the total number of repurchased shares to 5,455,591 and the ASB transaction entered into on November 9, 2009 was completed. All of the repurchased shares have been cancelled and retired.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We derived the selected financial data presented below for the periods or dates indicated from our consolidated financial statements. Our consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, and 2006 were audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Our consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005 were audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. The data below should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information appearing in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Our previously reported financial results for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 have been revised to reflect the impact of the correction of an immaterial error related to the accounting for certain contingent acquisition payments. The impact of the error was a decrease to net income and diluted earnings per share of \$2.1 million and \$0.04 per share; \$3.5 million and \$0.08 per share; and \$0.8 million and \$0.02 per share for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. See Note 2 Revision of Previously Reported Financial Information, to our consolidated financial statements for more information.

On January 1, 2009, we retrospectively adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options* (formerly FSP APB 14-1) (ASC 470-20) for convertible debt instruments that have cash settlement features. This new guidance applies to our $3^{3}/4\%$ senior subordinated convertible notes due 2012 (Convertible Notes) issued in August 2005. The impact of the adoption of this change in accounting principle was a decrease to net income and diluted earnings per share of \$2.4 million and \$0.05 per share, \$2.3 million and \$0.05 per share, \$2.1 million and \$0.05 per share, and \$0.8 million and \$0.02 per share for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. See Note 2 Revision of Previously Reported Financial Information, to our consolidated financial statements for more information.

A number of factors have caused our results of operations and financial position to vary significantly from one year to the next and can make it difficult to evaluate period-to-period comparisons because of a lack of comparability. The most significant of these factors are as follows:

Acquisitions

Our results of operations and financial position were impacted by our significant acquisition activities during 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005. See Note 8 Acquisitions to our consolidated financial statements for more information on the 2008 and 2007 acquisitions.

Share-Based Payments

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted new accounting principles for share based payments on a prospective basis. Share based payments to employees and non-employee directors were recognized in our financial statements based on their grant date fair values for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Share based payments to employees and non-employee directors were recognized using the intrinsic value method for the year ended December 31, 2005 which resulted in significantly lower share based compensation expense in 2005.

Revenues

In December 2005, we received a \$22.5 million success fee in connection with the resolution of a legal case involving a bankrupt estate for which we served as fiduciary for several years. We used approximately \$9.5 million of the proceeds to compensate professionals primarily in the Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment who participated in the assignment and to provide incentive compensation for other employees. This amount was recorded as accrued compensation in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005.

Special Charges

Special charges primarily consist of severance and other contractual employee related costs associated with reductions in workforce. During the third quarter of 2006, we recorded special charges totaling \$23.0 million. The charges reflect actions we took to address certain underperforming operations. In particular, we restructured our Corporate Finance/Restructuring UK operations and consolidated certain of our non-core practices in the U.S., primarily through reductions in workforce.

Stockholders Equity

In the fourth quarter of 2009 we repurchased 4.9 million shares of common stock for \$250 million under an accelerated stock buyback transaction using cash on hand. The repurchase of shares was accounted for as a share retirement resulting in a reduction in stockholders equity of \$250.0 million. See Note 17 Stockholders Equity to our consolidated financial statements for more information.

In October 2007, we closed on a public offering of 4,830,000 shares of the Company s common stock, which included 630,000 shares sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriter s option to purchase additional shares, at a price to the public of \$50.00 per share, less the underwriting discount and commissions. The net proceeds of the offering were \$231.4 million, after payment of the underwriting discounts, commissions and offering expenses. We used the net proceeds from the offering for general corporate purposes, including the continuation of our strategic acquisition program.

	Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007 2006 (in thousands, except per share data)						2005	
INCOME STATEMENT DATA								
Revenues	\$ 1,399,946	\$ 1	1,293,145	\$ 1	,001,270	\$ 707,933	\$ 5	539,545
Operating Expenses								
Direct cost of revenues	767,387		708,783		552,347	389,089	2	291,592
Selling, general and administrative expense	344,318		330,191		255,876	179,361	1	27,727
Special charges						22,972		
Amortization of other intangible assets	24,701		18,824		10,615	11,175		6,534
	1,136,406	1	1,057,798		818,838	602,597	2	125,853
Operating income	263,540		235,347		182,432	105,336	1	13,692
Interest income and other	8,158		8,840		8,091	2,198		145
Interest expense	(44,923)		(45,105)		(47,639)	(32,441)		(16,375)
Litigation settlement (losses) gains, net	250		(661)		(1,002)	(187)		(1,629)
Income from continuing operations, before income tax								
provision	227,025		198,421		141,882	74,906		95,833
Income tax provision	83,999		77,515		55,548	35,744		40,277
Net income	\$ 143,026	\$	120,906	\$	86,334	\$ 39,162	\$	55,556
Earnings per common share basic	\$ 2.86	\$	2.46	\$	2.01	\$ 0.99	\$	1.36
Earnings per common share diluted	\$ 2.70	\$	2.26	\$	1.88	\$ 0.97	\$	1.33
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding								
Basic	49,963		49,193		43,028	39,741		40,947
Diluted	53,044		53,603		45,974	40,526		41,787

	2009	2008	December 31, 2007 (in thousands)	2006	2005
BALANCE SHEET DATA					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 118,872	\$ 191,842	\$ 360,463	\$ 91,923	\$ 153,383
Working capital ⁽¹⁾	93,713	147,774	304,306	122,509	193,208
Total assets	2,077,338	2,083,577	1,858,997	1,392,352	958,358
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, including					
current portion and fair value hedge adjustments	555,498	551,507	551,172	544,154	318,566
Stockholders equity	1,104,214	1,127,557	978,274	577,100	471,526

⁽¹⁾ Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following is a discussion and analysis of our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 and significant factors that could affect our prospective financial condition and results of operations. You should read this discussion together with our consolidated financial statements and notes included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Historical results and any discussion of prospective results may not indicate our future performance. This section contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws that involve risks and uncertainties, including statements regarding our plans, objectives, goals, strategies and financial performance. Our actual results could differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

Business Overview

We are a leading global business advisory firm dedicated to helping organizations protect and enhance their enterprise value. We work closely with our clients to help them anticipate, understand, manage and overcome complex business matters arising from such factors as the economy, financial and credit markets, governmental regulation and legislation and litigation. We assist clients in addressing a broad range of business challenges, such as restructuring (including bankruptcy), financing and credit issues and indebtedness, interim business management, forensic accounting and litigation services, mergers and acquisitions (M&A), antitrust and competition matters, electronic discovery, management and retrieval of electronically stored information, reputation management and strategic communications. We also provide services to help our clients to take advantage of economic, regulatory, financial and other business opportunities. Our experienced teams of professionals include many individuals who are widely recognized as experts in their respective fields. We believe clients retain us because of our recognized expertise and capabilities in highly specialized areas as well as our reputation for satisfying client needs.

We report financial results for the following five operating segments:

Our **Corporate Finance/Restructuring** segment focuses on strategic, operational, financial and capital needs of businesses around the world and provides consulting and advisory services on a wide range of areas, including restructuring (including bankruptcy), financings, claims management, mergers and acquisitions (M&A), post-acquisition integration, valuations, tax issues and performance improvement.

Our Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment provides law firms, companies, government clients and other interested parties with dispute advisory, investigations, forensic accounting, business intelligence assessments and risk mitigation services.

Our **Economic Consulting** segment provides law firms, companies, government entities and other interested parties with analysis of complex economic issues for use in legal and regulatory proceedings, strategic decision making and public policy debates in the U.S. and around the world.

Our **Technology** segment is a leading electronic discovery and information management software and service provider. It provides products, services and consulting to companies, law firms, courts and government agencies worldwide. Its comprehensive suite of software and services help clients locate, review and produce electronically stored information (ESI), including e-mail, computer files, voicemail, instant messaging, and financial and transactional data.

Our **Strategic Communications** segment provides advice and consulting services relating to financial communications, brand communications, public affairs and reputation management and business consulting.

We derive substantially all of our revenues from providing professional services to both U.S. and global clients. Over the past several years the growth in our revenues and profitability has resulted from our ability to attract new and recurring engagements and the acquisitions we have completed.

Most of our services are rendered under time and expense arrangements that require the client to pay us a fee for the hours that we incur at agreed upon rates. Under this arrangement we also bill our clients for reimbursable expenses, which may include the cost of producing our work product and other direct expenses that we incur on behalf of the client, such as travel costs. We also render services for which the client is required to pay us a fixed fee or recurring retainer. These arrangements are generally cancellable at any time. Some of our engagements contain performance-based arrangements in which we earn a success fee when and if certain predefined outcomes occur. This type of success fee may supplement a time-and-expense or fixed-fee arrangement. Success fee revenues may cause significant variations in our revenues and operating results due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria. In our Technology segment, certain clients are also billed based on the amount of data stored on our electronic systems, the volume of information processed and the number of users licensing our Ringtail[®] and Attenex[®] software products for installation within their own environments. We license these products directly to end users as well as indirectly through our channel partner relationships. While our business has evolved over the last several years, seasonal factors, such as the timing of our employees and clients vacations and holidays, continue to impact the timing of our revenues.

Our financial results are primarily driven by:

the number, size, and type of engagements we secure;

the rate per hour or fixed charges we charge our clients for services;

the utilization rates of the revenue-generating professionals we employ;

the number of revenue-generating professionals;

fees from clients on a retained basis or other; and

licensing of our software products and other technology services.

We define EBITDA as operating income before depreciation and amortization of intangible assets plus non-operating litigation settlements. Although EBITDA is not a measure of financial condition or performance determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), we believe that it can be a useful operating performance measure for evaluating our results of operations as compared from period to period and as compared to our competitors. EBITDA is a common alternative measure of operating performance used by investors, financial analysts and credit rating agencies to value and compare the financial performance of companies in our industry. We use EBITDA to evaluate and compare the operating performance of our segments and it is one of the primary measures used to determine employee bonuses. We also use EBITDA to value the businesses we acquire or anticipate acquiring. EBITDA is not defined in the same manner by all companies and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies unless the definition is the same. This non-GAAP measure should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for or superior to, the information contained in our statements of income.

We evaluate the performance of our operating segments based on operating income excluding depreciation, amortization of other intangible assets, unallocated corporate expenses and including non-operating litigation settlement gains and losses, which we refer to as segment EBITDA. Segment EBITDA consists of the revenues generated by that segment, less the direct costs of revenues and selling, general and administrative costs that are incurred directly by that segment as well as an allocation of certain centrally managed direct costs, such as information technology services, accounting, marketing, human resources and facility costs. Although segment EBITDA is not a measure of financial condition or performance determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we use it to evaluate and compare the operating performance of our segments and it is one of the primary measures used to determine segment employee cash incentive compensation. Unallocated

corporate expenses include primarily indirect costs related to centrally managed administrative functions which have not been allocated to the segments. These administrative costs include costs related to executive management, legal, corporate office support costs, information technology, accounting, marketing, human resources, and company-wide business development functions.

We define acquisition growth as the results of operations of acquired companies in the first year following the effective date of an acquisition. Our definition of organic growth is the change in the results of operations excluding the impact of acquisitions.

Executive Highlights

		Year Ended December 31,					
	2009		2008	% Growth			
	(dollars i	n thousand	s, except per	share amounts)			
Revenues	\$ 1,399,9	46 \$	1,293,145	8.3%			
Operating income	\$ 263,5	40 \$	235,347	12.0%			
EBITDA	\$ 317,2	55 \$	279,547	13.5%			
Net income	\$ 143,0	26 \$	120,906	18.3%			
Earnings per common share diluted	\$ 2.	70 \$	2.26	19.5%			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 250,7	69 \$	197,480	27.0%			
Total number of employees at December 31,	3,4	72	3,382	2.7%			

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased 8.3% to \$1.4 billion, compared to \$1.3 billion in the prior year. Our revenue grew through a combination of organic growth of approximately 3% and contributions from companies acquired of approximately 5%. Revenues from acquisitions contributed primarily to the revenues of the Corporate Finance/Restructuring and Strategic Communications segments and, to a lesser extent, the Technology and Forensic and Litigation Consulting segments. The estimated impact of the net appreciation of the U.S. Dollar against other currencies had the effect of reducing revenue by approximately \$25 million, or 1.9%, for the full year.

Late in the year we began to experience a transition in the drivers of our business from the credit crisis and recession, which predominated the first three quarters, to the early stages of economic recovery. Our countercyclical activities, such as bankruptcy and restructuring, grew at robust rates for the full year but slowed significantly in the fourth quarter compared to the first three quarters. Our pro-cyclical activities, such as certain strategic communications and economic consulting services as well as other services that are primarily driven by discretionary corporate spending or capital markets and M&A activity began to show signs of stabilizing and, in some cases, resumption of growth as the year concluded.

Operating income increased by \$28.2 million, or 12.0%, to \$263.5 million compared to \$235.3 million in the same period last year. EBITDA, as previously defined, increased by \$37.8 million, or 13.5%, to \$317.3 million compared to \$279.5 million in the same period last year. EBITDA was 22.7% of revenue in 2009 compared to 21.6% of revenue in 2008. The higher operating income and EBITDA was driven by exceptional growth in the Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment, a slight increase in the Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment and strong management of corporate expenses. These served to offset lower operating income and EBITDA in the Strategic Communications and Economic Consulting segments. The operating income of the Technology segment decreased relative to the prior year but EBITDA improved, because year over year growth in depreciation and amortization of intangible assets, which are excluded from the calculation of EBITDA, were the drivers of the decline in operating income.

Net income increased \$22.1 million, or 18.3%, to \$143.0 million from \$120.9 million. Diluted earnings per common share were \$2.70 per diluted share, or a 19.5% increase over the prior year of \$2.26 per diluted share, reflective of the Company s higher operating earnings supplemented by a 1.0% decrease in average weighted

shares. Exercise of stock options, shares issued for acquisitions and increases in the dilution adjustments for the company s convertible notes and options were offset by shares of common stock that we repurchased pursuant to an accelerated stock buyback transaction in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2009 was \$250.8 million, an increase of \$53.3 million over the prior year, reflective of our higher earnings and improved cash collection efforts in 2009. Our fourth quarter collections remained strong. The principal use of cash was to fund the accelerated stock buyback transaction and acquisitions. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments at December 31, 2009 were \$133.9 million.

Headcount increased by 90, or 2.7%, to 3,472 largely in the Corporate Finance/Restructuring, Economic and Forensic and Litigation Consulting segments through a combination of hiring to support the growth of the businesses and the addition of employees who joined the Company through acquired businesses. Headcount declined in the Strategic Communications and Technology segments due to actions taken to bring resources into line with the current demand for their services.

Operational Highlights

Organic revenue growth remained exceptionally strong throughout the first three quarters for our Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment, which benefited directly from the worldwide economic and financial challenges. The Corporate Finance/Restructuring segment was active in restructuring assignments in a broad range of industries impacted by the global credit crisis such as financial services, retail, automotive and the homebuilding/real estate/construction markets. Segment growth was also enhanced by increasing revenue from our UK restructuring practice, which has gained greater market acceptance, increased headcount and expanded its range of offerings to meet demand for its services, and the initial contribution from the segment s Canadian and Latin American practices, which were launched in late-2008 and early-2009. Momentum in the segment slowed in the fourth quarter due to the reduced volume of large bankruptcy filings and restructurings and improved credit market conditions which have allowed companies to arrange for debt relief.

Revenues of the Forensic and Litigation Consulting segment, which relies on litigation and regulatory investigations and proceedings, increased compared to last year despite a slow demand environment as corporations continue to control expenses and defer litigation. Continued contributions from several large financial fraud investigations and strong performances by our intellectual property, regulated industry and Latin American investigations practices were partially offset by lower revenues in our Trial Services practice, which was negatively impacted by the weak litigation activity. EBITDA margins were comparable to the prior year as cost controls offset higher internal allocations of corporate costs incurred in direct support of segment operations.

The Economic Consulting segment generated higher revenues in 2009, with accelerating momentum through the year due to increasing activity in strategic M&A transactions, rising activity levels in the financial economics and network industries practices, increasing contributions from the segment s new offices in New York and Los Angeles, and acceleration in the level of engagement work in our recently-formed European practice based in London. Margins in the segment declined in comparison to a strong performance in the prior year due to the cost of expansion into new service offerings and geographic markets and the hiring of additional professionals to meet anticipated higher demand, which began to occur in the latter part of the year.

Revenues in the Technology segment decreased year-over-year as contributions from large investigations, bankruptcy cases and M&A second requests were offset by significant declines in revenues from product liability cases and lower pricing compared to a year ago. Segment EBITDA margins improved compared to a year ago as lower direct expenses from improved operating efficiencies and cost controls offset the decline in revenues.

The Strategic Communications segment was challenged by the dramatically slower volume of capital markets activity and M&A transactions, and the continued impact of the global recession on discretionary spending, which caused a decline in revenues related to M&A engagements and pressure on fees from retained

clients. Margins for the year declined compared to a year ago due to the lower revenues. In addition, the segment was the most impacted by foreign exchange fluctuations, which reduced revenue by 6.0% and EBITDA by 7.7% for the year.

Critical Accounting Policies

General. Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which we have prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We evaluate our estimates, including those related to bad debts, goodwill, income taxes and contingencies on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. These results form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe that the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the related services are provided, the price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. We generate the majority of our revenues from providing professional services under four types of billing arrangements: time-and-expense, fixed-fee, performance-based and unit-based.

Time-and-expense billing arrangements require the client to pay based on the number of hours worked by our revenue-generating professionals at contractually agreed-upon rates. We recognize revenues for our professional services rendered under time-and-expense engagements based on the hours incurred at agreed-upon rates as work is performed.

In fixed-fee billing arrangements, we agree to a pre-established fee in exchange for a pre-determined set of professional services. Generally, the client agrees to pay a fixed fee every month over the specified contract term. These contracts are for varying periods and generally permit the client to cancel the contract before the end of the term. We recognize revenues for our professional services rendered under these fixed-fee billing arrangements monthly over the specified contract term.

In performance-based or contingent billing arrangements, fees are tied to the attainment of contractually defined objectives. Often this type of arrangement supplements a time-and-expense or fixed-fee engagement, where payment of a performance-based fee is deferred until the conclusion of the matter or upon the achievement of performance-based criteria. We do not recognize revenues under performance-based billing arrangements until all related performance criteria are met and collection of the fee is reasonably assured.

In our Technology segment, unit-based revenues are based on either the amount of data stored or processed, the number of concurrent users accessing the information, or the number of pages or images processed for a client. We recognize revenues for our professional services rendered under unit-based engagements as the services are provided based on agreed-upon rates. We also generate certain revenue from software licenses and maintenance. We have vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value for support and maintenance separate from software for the majority of our products. Accordingly, when licenses of certain offerings are included in an arrangement with support and maintenance, we recognize the license revenue upon delivery of the license and recognize the support and maintenance revenue over the term of the maintenance service period. Substantially all of our software license agreements do not include any acceptance provisions. If an arrangement allows for

customer acceptance of the software, we defer revenue until the earlier of customer acceptance or when the acceptance provisions lapse. Hosting revenues from hosting fees are recognized ratably over the term of the hosting agreement. We have certain arrangements with clients in which we provide multiple elements of services under one engagement contract. Revenues under these types of arrangements are accounted for in accordance ASC 605-25, *Multiple-Element Arrangements*, and recognized pursuant to the criteria described above.

Some clients pay us retainers before we begin any work for them. We hold retainers on deposit until we have completed the work. We generally apply these retainers to final billings and refund any excess over the final amount billed to clients, as appropriate, when we complete our work. If the client is in bankruptcy, fees for our services may be subject to approval by the court. In some cases, a portion of the fees to be paid to us by a client is required by a court to be held until completion of our work and final fee settlements have been negotiated. We make a determination whether to record all or a portion of such holdback as revenue prior to collection on a case-by-case basis.

If at the outset of an arrangement we determine that the arrangement fee is not fixed or determinable, revenue is deferred until all criteria for recognizing revenue are met. Reimbursable expenses, including those relating to travel, out-of pocket expenses, outside consultants and other similar costs, are generally included in revenues, and an equivalent amount of reimbursable expenses is included in costs of services in the period in which the expense is incurred. Any taxes assessed on revenues relating to services provided to our clients are recorded on a net basis. Revenues recognized, but not yet billed to clients, have been recorded as unbilled receivables in the consolidated balance sheets.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Unbilled Services. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of clients to pay our fees or for disputes that affect our ability to fully collect our billed accounts receivable, as well as potential fee reductions negotiated by clients or imposed by bankruptcy courts. Even if a bankruptcy court approves our services, it has the discretion to require us to refund all or a portion of our fees due to the outcome of the case or a variety of other factors. We estimate the allowance for all receivable risks by reviewing the status of each matter account and recording reserves based on our experience and knowledge of the particular client and historical collection patterns. However, our actual experience may vary significantly from our estimates. If the financial condition of our clients were to deteriorate, resulting in their inability or unwillingness to pay our fees, or bankruptcy courts requires us to refund certain fees, we may need to record additional allowances or write-offs in future periods. This risk is mitigated to the extent that we may receive retainers from some of our clients prior to performing services.

The provision for doubtful accounts is recorded after the related work has been billed to the client and we discover full collectability is not assured. It is classified in Selling, general and administrative expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income and totaled \$19.9 million, \$22.5 million, and \$11.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The provision for unbilled services is normally recorded prior to customer billing and is recorded as a reduction to revenues. This provision normally relates to fee adjustments, estimates of fee reductions that may be imposed by bankruptcy courts and other discretionary pricing adjustments.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill represents the purchase price of acquired businesses in excess of the fair market value of net assets acquired. Other intangible assets include trade names, customer relationships, contract backlog, non-competition agreements and software.

We test our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually as of the first day of the fourth quarter or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important that could trigger an interim impairment review include, but are not limited to, the following:

significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

a significant change in the manner of our use of the acquired asset or the strategy for our overall business;

a significant negative industry or economic trend; and or

our market capitalization relative to net book value.

We assess our goodwill for impairment using a fair value approach at the reporting unit level. The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step (Step 1) involves determining whether the estimated fair value of the reporting units exceeds the respective book values. If the fair value exceeds the book value, goodwill of that reporting unit is not impaired. However, if the book value exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, goodwill may be impaired and additional analysis is required. The second step (Step 2) of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit is goodwill to its carrying value. The implied fair value of goodwill is derived by performing a hypothetical purchase price allocation for the reporting unit as of the measurement date, allocating the reporting unit is estimated fair value to its assets and liabilities. The residual amount from performing this allocation represents the implied fair value of goodwill. To the extent this amount is below the carrying value of goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded.

In performing the Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test, we compared the carrying amount of our reporting units to their estimated fair values. When available and as appropriate, we use market multiples derived from a set of competitors with comparable market characteristics to establish fair values (a market approach) for a particular reporting unit. If a set of comparables is not available, we estimate fair value using discounted cash flows (an income approach).

The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is highly subjective and requires significant judgment and estimates, as our businesses operate in a number of markets and geographical regions. The assumptions utilized in the evaluation of the impairment of goodwill under the market approach include the selection of comparable companies, which are subject to change based on the economic characteristics of our reporting units. The assumptions utilized in the evaluation of the impairment of goodwill and EBITDA (earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization), tax rates, capital expenditures, weighted average cost of capital (WACC) and related discount rates and expected long-term growth rates. The assumptions which have the most significant effect on our valuations derived using a discounted cash flows methodology are: (1) the expected long-term growth rate of our reporting units cash flows and (2) the discount rate.

The cash flows employed in the income approach are based on our most recent budgets, forecasts and business plans as well as various growth rate assumptions for years beyond the current business plan period. Long-term growth rates represent the expected long-term growth rate for the company, considering the industry in which we operate and the global economy. Discount rate assumptions are based on an assessment of the risk inherent in the future revenue streams and cash flows and our WACC. The risk adjusted discount rate used represents the estimated WACC for our reporting units. The WACC is comprised of (1) a risk free rate of return, (2) an equity risk premium that is based on the rate of return on equity of publicly traded companies with business characteristics comparable to our reporting units, (3) the current after-tax market rate of return on debt of companies with business characteristics similar to our reporting units, each weighted by the relative market value percentages of our equity and debt, and (4) a size premium based on the equity capitalization of our company.

We evaluate the reasonableness of the fair value calculations of our reporting units by reconciling the total of the fair values of all of our reporting units to our total market capitalization, taking into account a reasonable control premium.

The results of the Step 1 process indicated that the fair value of our reporting units exceeded their respective book values. As a result, Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test did not need to be performed and therefore no

impairment charge was recorded for 2009. We believe that the procedures performed and the estimates and assumptions used in the Step 1 analyses for each reporting unit are reasonable and in accordance with the authoritative guidance. Based on our 2009 impairment assessment at October 1, 2009, we believe we have no at-risk goodwill.

There can be no assurance however that the estimates and assumptions used in our goodwill impairment testing will prove to be accurate predictions of the future. If our assumptions regarding forecasted cash flows are not achieved, we may be required to record goodwill impairment charges in future periods, whether in connection with our next annual impairment test or prior to that, if a triggering event occurs outside of the quarter during which the annual goodwill impairment test is performed. It is not possible at this time to determine if any future impairment charge would result or, if it does, whether such charge would be material.

Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives and reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate an asset s carrying value may not be recoverable. We amortize our acquired definite-lived intangible assets on a straight-line basis over periods ranging primarily from 1 to 15 years.

Share-Based Compensation. We recognize share-based compensation using a fair value based recognition method. Share-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period or performance period of the award. The amount of share-based compensation expense recognized at any date must at least equal the portion of grant date value of the award that is vested at that date.

We use the Black-Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options on the dates of grant. The Black-Scholes pricing model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including volatility and expected term, which are based on our historical experience. We also make assumptions regarding the risk-free interest rate and the expected dividend yield. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury interest rates whose term is consistent with the expected term of the share-based award. The dividend yield on our common stock is assumed to be zero since we do not pay dividends and have no current plans to do so in the future.

Restricted stock is measured based on the fair market values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant. Awards with performance-based vesting conditions require the achievement of specific financial targets at the end of the specified performance period and the employee s continued employment. We recognize the estimated fair value of performance-based awards as share based compensation expense over the performance period. We consider each performance period separately, based upon our determination of whether it is probable that the performance target will be achieved. At each reporting period, we reassess the probability of achieving the performance targets. If a performance target is not met, no compensation cost is ultimately recognized against that target, and, to the extent previously recognized, compensation expense is reversed. For all our share-based awards, we estimate the expected forfeiture rate and recognize expense only for those shares expected to vest. We estimate the forfeiture rate based on historical experience. Groups of share-based award holders that have similar historical behavior with regard to option exercise timing and forfeiture rates are considered separately for valuation and attribution purposes.

Share-based awards granted to non-employees (primarily consultants) are measured at the estimated fair value on the grant date of the award. The fair value of the awards is then remeasured at each reporting date until the award vests. The stock-based compensation expense related to these grants will fluctuate as the estimated fair value of the common stock fluctuates over the period from the grant date to the vesting date.

Income Taxes. Our income tax provision consists principally of federal, state and international income taxes. We generate income in a significant number of states located throughout the U.S. as well as foreign countries in which we conduct business. Our effective income tax rate may fluctuate due to changes in the mix of earnings between higher and lower state or country tax jurisdictions and the impact of non-deductible expenses.

Additionally, we record deferred tax assets and liabilities using the asset and liability method of accounting which requires us to measure these assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

Significant New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-17, *Consolidations: Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities* (ASU 2009-17). This update amends guidance included in ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation* as a result of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 167, which was issued by the FASB in June 2009. ASU 2009-17 amends previous guidance set forth by FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities to address the elimination of the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. It also replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the entity. ASU 2009-17 requires additional disclosures aimed at providing more timely and useful information about an enterprise s involvement with a variable interest entity. These new provisions will become effective as of January 1, 2010 for calendar year-end companies. We will adopt the new provisions in January 2010, and do not anticipate any material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* (ASU 2009-13), which affects ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. ASU 2009-13 amends the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. It eliminates the requirement under previous guidance that all undelivered elements have vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or third-party evidence (TPE) of fair value before recognizing a portion of revenue related to the delivered items, and establishes that revenue be allocated to each element based on its relative selling price, as determined by VSOE, TPE, or the entity's estimated selling price if neither of the aforementioned is available. Additionally, ASU 2009-13 eliminates the residual method of allocation and expands required disclosures about multiple-element revenue arrangements. We are required to adopt the amendments in ASU 2009-13 prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified beginning January 1, 2011, with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this ASU on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment and Consolidated Operating Results:

		Year	led December	ver 31,		
		2009		2008	,	2007
		(in thousand	ls, ex	cept per shar	e amo	unts)
Revenues						
Corporate Finance/Restructuring	\$	514,260	\$	374,504	\$	261,625
Forensic and Litigation Consulting		259,204		253,918		217,028
Economic Consulting		234,723		219,883		174,447
Technology		211,680		220,359		162,837
Strategic Communications		180,079		224,481		185,333
Total revenues	\$ 1	,399,946	\$ 3	1,293,145	\$ 1	,001,270
Operating income						
Corporate Finance/Restructuring	\$	165,757	\$	108,013	\$	70,412
Forensic and Litigation Consulting		54,456		52,118		53,135
Economic Consulting		43,928		55,123		42,861
Technology		55,599		58,090		55,053
Strategic Communications		16,455		43,976		38,315
Segment operating income		336,195		317,320		259,776
Unallocated corporate expenses		(72,655)		(81,973)		(77,344)
Operating income		263,540		235,347		182,432
Other income (expense)						
Interest income and other		8,158		8,840		8,091
Interest expense		(44,923)		(45,105)		(47,639)
Litigation settlement losses, net		250		(661)		(1,002)
		(36,515)		(36,926)		(40,550)
Income before income tax provision		227,025		198.421		141,882
Income tax provision		83,999		77,515		55,548
		- ,		,-		
Net income	\$	143,026	\$	120,906	\$	86,334
Earnings per common share basic	\$	2.86	\$	2.46	\$	2.01
Earnings per common share diluted	\$	2.70	\$	2.26	\$	1.88
Reconciliation of Operating Income to EBITDA:	Ψ		¥		¥	1.00

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,			
	2009	2008	2007		
		(in thousands)			
Operating income	\$ 263,540	\$ 235,347	\$ 182,432		
Add back: depreciation	28,764	26,037	19,351		
Add back: amortization of other intangible assets	24,701	18,824	10,615		
Litigation settlement losses, net	250	(661)	(1,002)		

EBITDA	\$ 317,255	\$ 279,547	\$ 211,396

Year Ended December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues and Operating Income

See Segment Results for an expanded discussion of segment operating revenues and operating income.

Unallocated Corporate Expenses

Unallocated corporate expenses decreased \$9.3 million, or 11.4%, to \$72.7 million for 2009 from \$82.0 million for 2008, primarily due to the following:

Allocations to our operating segments increased \$22.7 million, mainly for direct costs of information technology and systems and segment marketing;

Salaries, bonuses, and benefits increased \$6.0 million due to hiring of additional corporate employees to support our growing organization;

Marketing and business development expenses increased \$9.8 million, primarily due to the launch of our new corporate branding strategy; and

Professional services related to our proposed initial public offering (IPO) of stock of our Technology segment that was contemplated in 2008, which were \$2.6 million in 2008. No Technology segment IPO offering related expenses were incurred in 2009. *Interest Income and Other*

Interest income and other, which includes foreign currency transaction gains and losses, decreased by \$0.6 million to \$8.2 million for 2009 from \$8.8 million for 2008. The decrease is primarily due to lower interest rates earned on cash balances in 2009 relative to 2008 which resulted in a \$1.8 million decrease in interest income. In addition, there was a \$0.3 million net negative impact relative to 2008 from foreign exchange transaction gains and losses due to the remeasurement of receivables and payables required to be settled in a currency other than an entity s functional currency. Interest income and other also included a \$2.3 million remeasurement gain in 2009 related to the acquisition of the remaining 50% equity interest in a German joint venture owned by our Strategic Communications segment, partially offset by a decrease in investment income related to the same joint venture which was accounted for as an equity investment prior to its consolidation in the third quarter of 2009.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$0.2 million to \$44.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$45.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to the favorable impact of lower interest rates on interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges on \$60 million of 7 $\frac{5}{8\%}$ senior notes due 2013. The counterparties to the interest rate swaps exercised their right to terminate the interest rate swaps as of June 15, 2009 which resulted in a \$2.3 million gain on termination. This gain has been recorded in Long-term debt and capital lease obligations on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and will be amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the 7 $\frac{5}{8\%}$ Notes, resulting in an effective interest rate of 6.5% per annum on \$60.0 million of the 7 $\frac{5}{8\%}$ senior notes due 2013.

Income Tax Provision

Our effective tax rate was 37.0% for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to 39.1% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in the effective tax rate from the previous year is primarily due to a decline in non deductible expenses and changes in estimate related to the prior year tax provisions. These benefits were partially offset by higher state income taxes. The changes in estimate were primarily attributable to the completion of tax projects with respect to our ability to qualify for technical income tax positions surrounding certain tax credits and deductions.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues and Operating Income

See Segment Results for an expanded discussion of segment operating revenues and operating income.

Unallocated Corporate Expenses

Unallocated corporate expenses increased \$4.7 million, or 6.0%, to \$82.0 million in 2008 from \$77.3 million in 2007. The increase was primarily due to the following:

Net compensation cost increased \$1.7 million primarily due to the hiring of additional corporate employees to support our growing organization;

Professional services increased \$1.4 million primarily due to transaction related expenses, partially offset by lower legal services related to the global tax planning initiative completed in 2007; and

Rent and occupancy expenses increased \$1.2 million primarily due to expansion of our corporate facilities to support our growing organization.

Interest Income and Other

Interest income and other, which includes foreign currency transaction gains and losses, increased \$0.7 million, to \$8.8 million in 2008 from \$8.1 million in 2007. The increase is primarily due to a \$1.7 million net positive impact from foreign exchange transaction gains and losses relative to 2007, largely related to the remeasurement of receivables and payables required to be settled in a currency other than an entity s functional currency.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$2.5 million to \$45.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$47.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily due to the favorable impact of lower interest rates on our variable interest rate hedge contracts and slightly lower debt balances in 2008.

Income Tax Provision

Our effective tax rate for 2008 decreased to 39.1% from 39.2% in 2007. The decrease in the rate is primarily due to a decrease in non deductible expenses which were largely offset by a non-recurring benefit that was recognized in 2007. The non-recurring benefit in 2007 related to a change in our international tax structure that reduced the amount of foreign earnings that will be subject to U.S. Federal income tax.

SEGMENT RESULTS

Segment EBITDA

We evaluate the performance of our operating segments based on segment EBITDA which is a non-GAAP measure. The following table reconciles segment operating income to segment EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007 (in thousands)

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

Segment operating income	\$ 336,195	\$ 317,320	\$ 259,776
Add back: depreciation	22,737	20,342	14,582
Add back: amortization of other intangible assets	24,701	18,824	10,615
Less: Non-operating litigation settlement losses, net		(436)	(798)
Total Segment EBITDA	\$ 383,633	\$ 356,050	\$ 284,175

Other Segment Operating Data

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2009	2008	2007	
Number of revenue-generating professionals: (at period end)				
Corporate Finance/Restructuring	758	669	406	
Forensic and Litigation Consulting	667	639	430	
Economic Consulting	302	264	236	
Technology	338	357	344	
Strategic Communications	573	592	538	
Total revenue-generating professionals	2,638	2,521	1,954	
Utilization rates of billable professionals: ⁽¹⁾				
Corporate Finance/Restructuring	73%	75%	80%	
Forensic and Litigation Consulting	73%	70%	75%	
Economic Consulting	76%	83%	85%	
Average billable rate per hour: ⁽²⁾				
Corporate Finance/Restructuring	\$ 439	\$ 438	\$ 409	
Forensic and Litigation Consulting	333	330	321	
Economic Consulting	456	446	412	

(1) We calculate the utilization rate for our billable professionals by dividing the number of hours that all of our billable professionals worked on client assignments during a period by the total available working hours for all of our billable professionals during the same period, assuming a 40-hour work week and a 52-week year. Available working hours include vacation and professional training days, but exclude holidays. Utilization rates are presented for our segments that primarily bill clients on an hourly basis. Where presented, utilization is based on a 2,032 hour year. We have not presented a utilization rate for our Technology segment and Strategic Communications segment as most of the revenues of these segments are not generated on an hourly basis.

(2) For engagements where revenues are based on number of hours worked by our billable professionals, average billable rate per hour is calculated by dividing revenues for a period by the number of hours worked on client assignments during the same period. We have not presented an average billable rate per hour for our Technology segment and Strategic Communications segment as most of the revenues of these segments are not generated on an hourly basis.

CORPORATE FINANCE/RESTRUCTURING

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007		
	(dollars in thousands, except rate per hour)				
Revenues	\$ 514,260	\$ 374,504	\$ 261,625		
Operating expenses:					
Direct cost of revenues	276,694	208,170	149,955		
Selling, general and administrative expense	65,477	54,759	41,096		
Amortization of other intangible assets	6,332	3,562	162		
	348,503	266,491	191,213		
Segment operating income	165,757	108,013	70,412		
Litigation settlement losses			(526)		
Add back: depreciation and amortization of intangible assets	9,794	6,165	1,743		
Segment EBITDA	\$ 175,551	\$ 114,178	\$ 71,629		
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	\$ 237,566	\$ 166,334	\$ 111,670		
Gross profit margin ⁽²⁾	46.2%	44.4%	42.79		
Segment EBITDA as a percent of revenues	34.1%	30.5%	27.49		
Number of revenue generating professionals (at period end)	758	669	406		
Utilization rates of billable professionals	73%	75%	809		
Average billable rate per hour	\$ 439	\$ 438	\$ 409		

(1) Revenues less direct cost of revenues

⁽²⁾ Gross profit as a percent of revenues

Year ended December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues increased \$139.8 million, or 37.3%, to \$514.3 million in 2009 from \$374.5 million in 2008. Revenue growth from acquisitions was approximately \$40 million, or 10% due to acquisitions completed in 2008. Organic revenue growth was approximately \$100 million, or 27%. Excluding the negative impact of foreign currency translation, which was primarily due to the weakening of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar, organic revenue growth would have been approximately 28%. Organic revenue increased due to significant business in the U.S. restructuring practice for both bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy cases, which offset declines in capital markets and M&A related engagements. From an industry perspective, the demand for restructuring services was broad based in 2009 with significant engagements in the financial services, automotive, retail, real estate, communications and media and construction sectors. This is in contrast to the demand for restructuring services in the prior year which was primarily concentrated in the mortgage, monoline insurance, financial institution and housing related markets. The demand for restructuring services began to decline in the fourth quarter of 2009 as the economy began to recover and is expected to continue to decline in the coming year as the economy improves and the number of troubled companies and large bankruptcy filings are anticipated to decline. We believe that any decreased demand for restructuring services will be partially offset by a gradual increase in the demand for real estate and other transaction advisory services as the economy improves.

Gross profit increased \$71.3 million to \$237.6 million in 2009 from \$166.3 million in 2008. Gross profit margin increased to 46.2% for 2009 from 44.4% for 2008. Higher billing rates and a more profitable mix of restructuring revenue offset the impact of the addition of our general advisory real estate subpractice and lower utilization. Correspondingly, revenue generating headcount increased by 89 at December 31, 2009 relative to December 31, 2008. However, headcount is down from peak levels earlier in 2009 in response to the decreased demand for restructuring services in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form 10-K

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense increased \$10.7 million, or 19.6% to \$65.5 million in 2009 from \$54.8 million in 2008. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expense was 12.7% for 2009, down from

14.6% in 2008. The increase in SG&A expense in 2009 was primarily due to higher internal allocations of corporate costs incurred in direct support of segment operations, the addition of a full year of expenses relating to our general advisory real estate sub-practice which was acquired in April 2008 and an increase in rent and occupancy costs partially offset by lower bad debt expense. Bad debt expense was 0.5% of revenues for 2009 versus 1.8% for the 2008.

Amortization of other intangible assets increased by \$2.7 million to \$6.3 million in 2009 from \$3.6 million in 2008 due to the amortization of intangible assets acquired in business combinations completed in 2008.

Segment EBITDA increased \$61.4 million, or 53.8%, to \$175.6 million for 2009 from \$114.2 million for 2008.

Year ended December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues increased \$112.9 million, or 43.1%, to \$374.5 million in 2008 from \$261.6 million in 2007. Revenue growth from acquisitions was approximately \$33 million, or 13%. Our 2008 acquisitions were primarily focused on the addition of a general advisory real estate subpractice. Organic revenue growth was approximately \$80 million, or 30%. Excluding the negative impact of foreign currency translation which was primarily due to the weakening of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar, organic revenue growth would have been approximately 31%. The demand for restructuring consulting was strong in 2008 due to continued instability in the sub-prime mortgage, automotive, monoline insurers, financial institution and housing related markets. The key drivers of the organic revenue growth were an increase in chargeable hours due to the addition of approximately 100 revenue generating professionals other than through acquisitions in 2008, and to a lesser extent, bill rate increases that went into effect in the first quarter of 2008. While professional staff utilization increased for much of the segment, a decline in utilization in our Transaction Advisory and our general advisory Real Estate subpractices caused by the market dislocation in the latter half of 2008 resulted in a year over year decline in the total segment utilization rate.

Gross profit increased \$54.6 million, or 49.0% to \$166.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$111.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Gross profit margin increased 1.7 percentage points to 44.4% in 2008 from 42.7% in 2007. The improvement in gross profit margin was primarily due to a higher level of success fees and improved leverage from a favorable staff mix in 2008.

SG&A expense increased \$13.7 million, or 33.2% to \$54.8 million in 2008 from \$41.1 million in 2007. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A was 14.6% in 2008, down favorably from 15.7% in 2007. The increase in SG&A expense in 2008 was primarily due to \$7.0 million from businesses acquired in 2008, higher travel expenses and facility costs and higher bad debt expense, at 1.8% of revenue versus 1.6% in the prior year.

Amortization of other intangible assets increased by \$3.4 million to \$3.6 million in 2008 from \$0.2 million in 2007 primarily due to the amortization of intangible assets acquired in a business combination completed in the second quarter of 2008.

Segment EBITDA increased \$42.6 million, or 59.4%, to \$114.2 million in 2008 from \$71.6 million in 2007.

FORENSIC AND LITIGATION CONSULTING

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,							
	2009	2008	2007						
	(dollars in t	(dollars in thousands, except rate per hour)							
Revenues	\$ 259,204	\$ 253,918	\$ 217,028						
Operating expenses:									
Direct cost of revenues	148,794	148,310	119,282						
Selling, general and administrative expense	53,148	50,597	42,509						
Amortization of other intangible assets	2,806	2,893	2,102						
	204,748	201,800	163,893						
Segment operating income	54,456	52,118	53,135						
Litigation settlement losses			(175)						
Add back: depreciation and amortization of intangible assets	5,125	5,375	4,332						
Segment EBITDA	\$ 59,581	\$ 57,493	\$ 57,292						
0									
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	\$ 110,410	\$ 105,608	\$ 97,746						
Gross profit margin ⁽²⁾	42.6%	41.6%	45.0%						
Segment EBITDA as a percent of revenues	23.0%	22.6%	26.4%						
Number of revenue generating professionals (at period end)	667	639	430						
Utilization rates of billable professionals ⁽³⁾	73%	70%	75%						
Average billable rate per hour	\$ 333	\$ 330	\$ 321						
Tronge officie for four	φ 555	φ 550	φ 521						

(1) Revenues less direct cost of revenues

⁽²⁾ Gross profit as a percent of revenues

⁽³⁾ The calculation for utilization and average billable rate per hour excludes the impact of revenue billed on a basis other than time and materials and the impact of certain newly acquired businesses.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues increased \$5.3 million, or 2.1%, to \$259.2 million for 2009 from \$253.9 million for 2008, due to revenue growth attributable to our acquisitions completed in 2008 of approximately \$6 million, or 3%, primarily driven by our UK forensic accounting and construction consulting practices. Organic revenues declined approximately \$1 million. Excluding the negative impact of foreign currency translation, which was primarily due to the weakening of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar, organic revenue increased approximately 1%. Our construction services business has continued to expand, and our Latin American investigations and North American consulting practice revenue has also grown over the prior year. This revenue growth was partially offset by a decline in revenue from trial services and our Asia Pacific international risk practice. Revenue from our North American consulting practice benefited from revenue associated with two high profile fraud cases which began in the first quarter of 2009 and replaced a significant amount of the revenues generated in other investigation cases for the same period in the prior year. Revenue generating headcount increased relative to 2008 due to strategic hires in the U.S. and the addition of staff in Europe, the Middle East, Africa and Latin America, which were partially offset by staff reductions in our Asia Pacific international risk practice in response to contracting markets in the region.

Gross profit increased \$4.8 million, or 4.5% to \$110.4 million for 2009 from \$105.6 million for 2008. Gross profit margin increased to 42.6% for 2009 from 41.6% for 2008. The primary drivers of the margin improvement were higher utilization as the segment continued its focus on

specialized practices and industry expertise combined with cost containment efforts.

SG&A expense increased \$2.5 million, or 5.0% to \$53.1 million for 2009 from \$50.6 million for 2008. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expense was 20.5% for 2009, up from 19.9% for 2008. The increase in SG&A

expense in 2009 was primarily due to higher internal allocations of corporate costs incurred in direct support of segment operations and higher bad debt partially offset by lower personnel related costs. Bad debt expense increased to 2.2% of revenues for 2009 versus 2.0% for 2008.

Amortization of other intangible assets decreased by \$0.1 million to \$2.8 million in 2009 from \$2.9 million in 2008.

Segment EBITDA increased \$2.1 million, or 3.6%, to \$59.6 million for 2009 from \$57.5 million for 2008.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues increased \$36.9 million, or 17.0%, to \$253.9 million in 2008 from \$217.0 million in 2007. Revenue growth from acquisitions was approximately \$31 million, or 14%. Our acquisitions were focused on expansion both domestically and internationally of our existing lines of business, primarily in construction services, investigations and forensic accounting. Organic revenue growth was approximately \$6 million, or 3%. Organic revenue growth from our North American consulting practice was approximately \$6 million with continued strength in both investigation and dispute driven projects for regulated industries (e.g. pharmaceutical, healthcare and insurance). Organic revenue growth from our Latin American Investigations and business was approximately \$5 million due to global demand for our Foreign Corrupt Practices Act investigations, general fraud investigations and business intelligence services. Our construction services group had organic revenue growth of approximately \$4 million due to additional headcount and higher utilization. Offsetting this revenue growth was a decline in organic revenue from Trial Services of approximately \$6 million. Utilization is down significantly in Trial Services as a result of fewer large litigation matters in 2008 prompted by the current economic environment. In addition, our Asia Pacific practice has experienced a decline in organic revenue of approximately \$3 million. Our financial institution clients have been impacted by the U.S. economic downturn and credit crisis resulting in less investigative due diligence work in Asian markets.

The number of revenue generating professionals increased by 209 in 2008 primarily due to professionals added as a result of acquisitions. The average billable rate per hour increased due to yearly billing rate increases that took place in September 2007 and September 2008 and some slight effect of a differing mix of professionals utilized.

Gross profit increased \$7.9 million, or 8.0% to \$105.6 million for 2008 from \$97.7 million for 2007. Gross profit margin decreased by 3.4 percentage points to 41.6% in 2008 from 45.0% in 2007. Acquisitions contributed 1.5 percentage points to the decline due to higher short term integration expenses, including facility costs and retention payments. In addition, market pressures in Asia Pacific and Europe similar to those experienced in the U.S. impacted these acquired businesses. The gross profit margin on our legacy business declined approximately 1.9 percentage points in 2008 primarily due to lower utilization.

SG&A expense increased \$8.1 million, or 19.0% to \$50.6 million in 2008, from \$42.5 million in 2007. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A was almost flat at 19.9% compared to 19.6% in 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in SG&A expense in 2008 was primarily due to \$6.5 million from businesses acquired in 2008, and higher bad debt expense at 2.0% of revenue versus 1.6% in the prior year.

Segment EBITDA increased \$0.2 million, or 0.4%, to \$57.5 million in 2008 from \$57.3 million in 2007.

ECONOMIC CONSULTING

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2009	2008	2007					
	(dollars in thousands, except rate per hour)							
Revenues	\$ 234,723	\$ 219,883	\$ 174,447					
Operating expenses:								
Direct cost of revenues	152,932	136,322	106,174					
Selling, general and administrative expense	35,744	26,157	21,960					
Amortization of other intangible assets	2,119	2,281	3,452					
	190,795	164,760	131,586					
	,	,	,					
Segment operating income	43,928	55,123	42,861					
Add back: depreciation and amortization of intangible assets	3,917	3,897	5,224					
Segment EBITDA	\$ 47,845	\$ 59,020	\$ 48,085					
0								
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	\$ 81,791	\$ 83,561	\$ 68,273					
Gross profit margin ⁽²⁾	34.8%	38.0%	39.19					
Segment EBITDA as a percent of revenues	20.4%	26.8%	27.69					
Number of revenue generating professionals (at period end)	302	264	236					
Utilization rates of billable professionals	76%	83%	859					
Average billable rate per hour	\$ 456	\$ 446	\$ 412					

(1) Revenues less direct cost of revenues

⁽²⁾ Gross profit as a percent of revenues

Year ended December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues increased \$14.8 million, or 6.7%, to \$234.7 million for 2009 from \$219.9 million for 2008. Revenue growth was primarily organic arising from our two new offices in the U.S. and our recently formed European practice based in London. This market expansion resulted in an increase in revenue generating professionals and offset declines in strategic M&A and financial economic consulting engagements relative to 2008. Financial economic consulting includes consulting related to issues such as class action securities litigation, material adverse change clauses, purchase price premiums, ERISA lawsuits, complex valuations of assets including financial instruments and criminal cases related to financial dealings. Financial economic consulting declined significantly in the first half of 2009, then began to rebound by the end of the year. There was also a noticeable drop off in strategic M&A consulting in the beginning of 2009, followed by slow but steady growth in the second half of 2009. Conversely, antitrust litigation consulting in 2009 continued at a higher level than 2008.

Gross profit decreased \$1.8 million, or 2.1% to \$81.8 million for 2009 from \$83.6 million for 2008. Gross profit margin decreased to 34.8% for 2009 from 38.0% for 2008. Margin declines resulted from lower utilization due to the slower than expected start-up of new engagements experienced in the first nine months of 2009; from the opening of new offices in the U.S., UK, Canada and France, and from the effects of variable share-based compensation expense. Entering new markets with a build strategy tends to result in margin compression until operations grow to a scale at which revenues and staff leverage offset recruitment payments paid to the senior hires generally made earliest in the process.

SG&A expense increased \$9.5 million, or 36.7% to \$35.7 million for 2009 from \$26.2 million for 2008. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expense was 15.2% for 2009 versus 11.9% for 2008. The increase in SG&A expense in 2009 was primarily due to higher internal allocations of corporate costs incurred in direct support of segment operations, higher bad debt expense, and higher technology infrastructure costs. Bad debt expense was 2.6% of revenue for 2009 versus 1.7% for 2008.

Amortization of other intangible assets decreased by \$0.2 million to \$2.1 million in 2009 from \$2.3 million in 2008.

Segment EBITDA declined \$11.2 million, or 18.9%, to \$47.8 million for 2009 from \$59.0 million for 2008.

Year ended December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues increased \$45.5 million, or 26.0%, to \$219.9 million in 2008 from \$174.4 million in 2007. Revenue growth was primarily due to improved pricing for our services in 2008, due to billing rate increases in January 2008 and October 2008 for most of the Economic Consulting segment. In addition, increased demand for our services in 2008 prompted an increase of 28 revenue generating professionals which resulted in more chargeable hours. There was a strong market for antitrust litigation consulting throughout 2008, while strategic M&A and financial economic consulting experienced high demand in the early part of 2008 and returned to more normal levels toward the end of the year. Utilization in 2008 was slightly lower than the prior year at 83%.

Gross profit increased \$15.3 million, or 22.4% to \$83.6 million for 2008 from \$68.3 million for 2007. Gross profit margin decreased 1.1 percentage points to 38.0% in 2008 from 39.1% in 2007. The decrease in gross profit margin was primarily due to an increase in incentive compensation as a percentage of revenues which was required to attract and retain key management personnel who are in high demand in the marketplace.

SG&A expense increased \$4.2 million, or 19.1% to \$26.2 million in 2008 from \$22.0 million in 2007. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A was 11.9% in 2008, down favorably from 12.6% in 2007. The increase in SG&A expense in 2008 was driven primarily by an increase of \$2.7 million of bad debt expense in 2008 at 1.7% of revenue in 2008 versus 0.6% in the prior year. In addition, employee related and occupancy costs have increased as a result of employee growth.

Segment EBITDA increased 22.7%, to \$59.0 million in 2008 from \$48.1 million in 2007.

TECHNOLOGY

Year Ended December 31,				
2009	2008	2007		
(0	lollars in thousands)		
\$ 211,680	\$ 220,359	\$ 162,837		
77,337	87,659	75,619		
70,501	69,586	30,920		
8,243	5,024	1,245		
156,081	162,269	107,784		
55,599	58,090	55,053		
	(235)			
20,116	15,651	7,868		
\$ 75,715	\$ 73,506	\$ 62,921		
\$ 134,343	\$ 132,700	\$ 87,218		
63.5%	60.2%	53.6%		
35.8%	33.4%	38.6%		
338	357	344		
	2009 (a \$ 211,680 77,337 70,501 8,243 156,081 55,599 20,116 \$ 75,715 \$ 134,343 63.5% 35.8%	2009 2008 (dollars in thousands) \$ 211,680 \$ 220,359 \$ 211,680 \$ 220,359 77,337 87,659 70,501 69,586 8,243 5,024 156,081 162,269 55,599 58,090 (235) 20,116 15,651 \$ 75,715 \$ 75,715 \$ 73,506 \$ 134,343 \$ 132,700 63.5% 60.2% 35.8% 33.4%		

(1) Revenues less direct cost of revenues

⁽²⁾ Gross profit as a percent of revenues

Year Ended December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues decreased \$8.7 million, or 3.9% to \$211.7 million for 2009 from \$220.4 million for 2008. Revenue growth from acquisitions completed in 2008 was approximately \$8 million, or 4%. Organic revenue declined approximately \$17 million, or 8%. Approximately 1% of this decline was due to the negative impact of foreign currency translation, which was primarily due to the weakening of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar. The decline in revenue relative to 2008 was primarily due to a decrease in revenues generated by several large product liability cases, which was partially offset by revenues from several large financial fraud matters and bankruptcy related consulting in 2009. While lower pricing has continued to impact our on-demand unit based revenue compared to the prior year, this has been partially offset by higher volumes. The number of revenue generating professionals has decreased to 338 at December 31, 2009 from 357 at December 31, 2008 due to actions taken to bring resources into line with the current demand for our services.

Unit based revenue is defined as revenue billed on a per-item, per-page, or some other unit based method and includes revenue from data processing and storage, software usage and software licensing. Unit based revenue includes revenue associated with our proprietary software that is made available to customers, either via a web browser (on-demand) or installed at our customer or partner locations (on-premise). On-demand revenue is charged on a unit or monthly basis and includes, but is not limited to, processing and review related functions. On-premise revenue is comprised of up-front license fees, with recurring support and maintenance.

Gross profit increased \$1.6 million, or 1.2% to \$134.3 million for 2009 from \$132.7 million for 2008. Gross profit margin increased to 63.5% for 2009 from 60.2% for 2008. Gross profit margin for 2009 benefited from a change in the classification of certain costs from direct cost of revenues to SG&A expense. If presented on a comparable basis, the gross profit margin for 2008 would have been 63.3%. The 2009 gross profit margin remained comparable to the 2008 gross profit margin because higher margin direct and channel revenues from software products acquired in a merger completed in the third quarter of 2008 offset the negative impact of pricing pressures on our on-demand services.

SG&A expense increased \$0.9 million, or 1.3% to \$70.5 million for 2009 from \$69.6 million for 2008. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expense was 33.3% for 2009, versus 31.6% for 2008. The increase in SG&A expense in 2009 is primarily due to the change in classification of certain costs from direct cost of revenues to SG&A expense in 2009, an increase in research and development (R&D) activities and higher internal allocations of corporate costs incurred in direct support of segment operations partially offset by lower bad debt expense and discretionary expenses in 2009. Bad debt expense was 1.0% of revenues for 2009 versus 2.2% for 2008.

Amortization of other intangible assets increased by \$3.2 million, or 64.1% to \$8.2 million for 2009 from \$5.0 million for 2008. The increase in amortization expense was primarily due to the amortization of intangible assets acquired in an acquisition completed in the third quarter of 2008.

Segment EBITDA increased \$2.2 million, or 3.0%, to \$75.7 million for 2009 from \$73.5 million for 2008.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues increased \$57.6 million, or 35.3%, to \$220.4 million in 2008 from \$162.8 million in 2007. Revenue growth from acquisitions was approximately \$23 million, or 14%. Organic revenue growth was approximately \$35 million, or 21%. Organic revenue growth was driven by an increased volume of unit based revenue and other sales primarily attributable to product liability engagements. In the second half of 2008 we experienced softness in demand for M&A related technology processing services and consulting services and a decrease in certain fact finding projects as a result of the general economic downturn. In addition, the market s demand for increased efficiency in large scale management, processing, review and production of electronically stored information (ESI) and increased competition has resulted in lower unit based pricing.

Gross profit margins increased by 6.6 percentage points to 60.2% in 2008 from 53.6% in 2007. In 2008, all R&D expenses were classified as SG&A expense. However, in 2007 approximately \$5.3 million of R&D expense was included under direct costs. On a comparable basis, 2007 gross profit margins would have been 56.8% yielding an increase of 3.4 percentage points from 2007 to 2008. Of this increase, acquisitions accounted for 1.0 percentage points. The remaining 2.4 percentage point increase was due to favorable impacts from unit volume increases and a more profitable mix as the business generated proportionally more higher-margin unit-based revenue, even though unfavorably impacted by unit-based pricing declines.

SG&A expense increased \$38.7 million to \$69.6 million in 2008 from \$30.9 million for 2007. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expense was 31.6% of revenue in 2008 versus 19.0% of revenue in 2007. R&D spending in support of our Ringtail[®] and Attenex[®] products accounted for \$15.7 million of the increase in SG&A expense. The remaining increase in SG&A included \$11.6 million of increased infrastructure spending to support our growing domestic and international customer base, including investments in customer support, sales, marketing, human resource and finance functions, \$7.9 million related to current year acquisitions, and \$2.3 million of higher bad debt expense at 2.2% of revenue versus 1.3% in the prior year.

Amortization of intangible assets increased \$3.8 million to \$5.0 million in 2008 from \$1.2 million in 2007. The increase in amortization expense was primarily due to the amortization of intangible assets acquired in a business combination completed in the third quarter of 2008.

Segment EBITDA increased \$10.6 million, or 16.8%, to \$73.5 million in 2008 from \$62.9 million in 2007.

STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007			
	(d	ollars in thousands)			
Revenues	\$ 180,079	\$ 224,481	\$ 185,333			
Operating expenses:						
Direct cost of revenues	111,630	128,322	101,317			
Selling, general and administrative expense	46,793	47,119	42,047			
Amortization of other intangible assets	5,201	5,064	3,654			
	163,624	180,505	147,018			
			,			
Segment operating income	16,455	43,976	38,315			
Litigation settlement losses		(201)	(97)			
Add back: depreciation and amortization of intangible assets	8,486	8,078	6,030			
Segment EBITDA	\$ 24,941	\$ 51,853	\$ 44,248			
~ .8	+,		+,			
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	\$ 68,449	\$ 96,159	\$ 84,016			
Gross profit margin ⁽²⁾						
	38.0%	42.8%	45.3%			
Segment EBITDA as a percent of revenues	13.9%	23.1%	23.9%			
Number of revenue generating professionals (at period end)	573	592	538			

(1) Revenues less direct cost of revenues

⁽²⁾ Gross profit as a percent of revenues

Year Ended December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues decreased \$44.4 million, or 19.8%, to \$180.1 million for 2009 from \$224.5 million for 2008. Revenue growth from acquisitions completed in 2008 and the acquisition of the remaining equity interest in our German joint venture in June 2009 was approximately \$13 million, or 6%. Organic revenues declined

approximately \$57 million, or 26%. Excluding the negative impact of foreign currency translation, which was primarily due to the weakening of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar, organic revenue declined approximately 20%. This decrease in organic revenue is due to the global slowdown in general M&A and capital markets activity which has reduced project based revenues and success fees coupled with lower retained revenues. The decline is also partially attributable to pricing pressures and a reduction in corporate communications spending.

Gross profit decreased \$27.8 million, or 28.9% to \$68.4 million for 2009 from \$96.2 million for 2008. Gross profit margin decreased by 4.8 percentage points to 38.0% for 2009 from 42.8% for 2008. The gross profit margin decline was due to a change in revenue mix in 2009 with a higher percentage of revenue from pass through costs and lower revenue from M&A and Initial Public Offering (IPO) advisory engagements, partially mitigated by lower direct costs as a result of cost reduction efforts, which resulted in a decrease in revenue generating professionals relative to 2008.

SG&A expense decreased \$0.3 million to \$46.8 million for 2009 from \$47.1 million for 2008. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expense was 26.0% for 2009, an increase from 21.0% for 2008. The decrease in SG&A expense for 2009 was primarily due to the favorable impact of foreign currency translation of approximately \$2 million, the absence of the litigation provision recorded in 2008 of \$1.7 million and lower personnel costs, which were mostly offset by an increase in SG&A expense from higher internal allocations of corporate costs incurred in direct support of segment operations, an increase in bad debt expense and severance expense. Bad debt expense was 1.7% of revenues for 2009 versus 0.9% for 2008.

Amortization of other intangible assets increased \$0.1 million to \$5.2 million for 2009 from \$5.1 million for 2008.

Segment EBITDA decreased \$27.0 million, or 51.9%, to \$24.9 million for 2009 from \$51.9 million for 2008.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues increased \$39.2 million, or 21.1%, to \$224.5 million in 2008 from \$185.3 million in 2007. Revenue growth from acquisitions was approximately \$31 million, or 17%. Organic revenue growth was approximately \$8 million, or 4%. Excluding the negative impact of foreign currency translation, which was primarily due to the weakening of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar, organic revenue growth would have been approximately 9%. Organic revenue growth was driven by an increase in retained revenues of approximately \$3 million (including a growing contribution from offices outside of the core UK and U.S. operations) and an increase in direct costs passed through to clients of approximately \$4 million. Project based revenue increased approximately \$1 million from the prior year. The decline M&A transactions and collapse in the IPO markets, particularly in the UK and Ireland, resulted in less project based capital markets work in 2008. However, the decline in M&A work was offset by our engagement on a series of major financial crisis management projects during the year.

Gross profit increased \$12.2 million, or 14.5% to \$96.2 million for 2008 from \$84.0 million for 2007. Gross profit margin decreased 2.5 percentage points to 42.8% in 2008 from 45.3% in 2007. The decline in gross profit margin was due to a combination of the decline in higher margin capital markets projects as a proportion of total revenue, an increase in lower margin pass through revenues as a proportion of total revenue and higher employee related expenses.

SG&A expense increased \$5.1 million to \$47.1 million in 2008 from \$42.0 million in 2007. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A was 21.0% in 2008, down favorably from 22.7% in 2007. The increase in SG&A expense in 2008 was primarily due to approximately \$5 million in SG&A expense from businesses acquired in 2008. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, SG&A expense increased \$0.1 million, including a positive impact from

foreign currency translation of approximately \$2 million. The increase before the impact of foreign currency translation included a \$1.7 million provision for the settlement of a litigation case related to the bankruptcy of a past client, higher facility costs and slightly higher bad debt expense, at 0.9% of revenue versus 0.6% in the prior year.

Amortization of intangible assets increased \$1.4 million to \$5.1 million in 2008 from \$3.7 million in 2007. The increase in amortization expense was primarily due to the amortization of intangible assets acquired in business combinations completed in 2008 and the second half of 2007.

Segment EBITDA increased \$7.7 million, or 17.2%, to \$51.9 million in 2008 from \$44.2 million in 2007.

The number of revenue generating professionals increased by 54 over 2007, with approximately 67 professionals added as a result of acquisitions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,					
	2009	2008	2007				
	(d	(dollars in thousands)					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 250,769	\$ 197,480	\$ 68,350				
Net cash used in investing activities	(89,888)	(374,140)	(67,797)				
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(240,278)	20,251	269,653				

We have generally financed our day to day operations and capital expenditures solely through cash flows from operations. During the first quarter of our fiscal year, our working capital needs generally exceed our cash flows from operations due to the payments of annual incentive compensation and acquisition related contingent payment amounts. Our operating cash flows generally improve subsequent to the first quarter of each year.

Our operating assets and liabilities consist primarily of billed and unbilled accounts receivable, notes receivable (largely employee forgivable loans), accounts payable, accrued expenses and accrued compensation expense. The timing of billings and collections of receivables as well as payments for compensation arrangements affect the changes in these balances.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Cash provided by operating activities increased \$53.3 million, to \$250.8 million in 2009 from \$197.5 million in 2008. This increase was attributable to higher net income and improved cash collections in 2009 as compared to 2008 and lower U.S. income tax payments, partially offset by higher annual compensation and employee forgivable loan payments as well as a lack of current year payroll tax withholding inflows related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which was terminated as of December 31, 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2009 was \$89.9 million as compared to \$374.1 million for 2008. This decrease was primarily due to fewer new acquisitions and lower contingent acquisition payments. Cash used in investing activities for 2009 included contingent payments for prior years acquisitions of \$42.5 million and \$4.2 million of payments to fund current year acquisitions and a \$15.1 million outflow for the purchase of short-term investments, net of sales. Cash used in investing activities for 2008 included \$299.9 million paid to fund acquisitions and \$43.3 million of contingent acquisition payments.

Capital expenditures were \$28.6 million for 2009 as compared to \$35.7 million for 2008. Capital expenditures in both 2009 and 2008 primarily related to leasehold improvements and the purchase of data processing equipment.

Our financing activities for 2009 included the repurchase of \$250.0 million in common stock, as discussed below and, \$15.7 million received from the issuance of common stock under equity compensation plans offset by \$13.8 million to repay notes payable, primarily to former shareholders of an acquired business. Our financing activities for 2008 included \$20.6 million received from the issuance of common stock under equity compensation plans offset by \$13.8 million to repay notes payable, primarily to former shareholders of an acquired business.

On November 4, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized a two-year stock repurchase program of up to \$500.0 million and terminated the \$50.0 million stock repurchase program authorized in February 2009. On November 9, 2009, we entered into an accelerated share buyback agreement (ASB Agreement) with an investment bank. On the same day, we and the investment bank executed a supplemental confirmation to effect a \$250.0 million accelerated stock buyback transaction under the ASB Agreement.

On November 12, 2009, FTI paid \$250.0 million to the investment bank and as of January 27, 2010 has received all of the shares to be delivered in the accelerated buyback transaction. The stock repurchase was funded using cash on hand.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2007

Cash provided by operating activities increased by \$129.1 million, to \$197.5 million from \$68.4 million in 2007. The increase was primarily due to an increase in net income (adjusted for noncash items) of approximately \$100.2 million and a decrease in our net investment in working capital of approximately \$28.9 million. Year-over-year working capital reductions were primarily impacted by strong accounts receivable performance as we collected our accounts receivable more quickly during 2008 as compared to 2007, a higher level of advanced billings and a decrease in forgivable loan fundings in 2008. These reductions were partially offset by higher year-over-year compensation and bonus payments and an increase in income tax payments in 2008 as compared to 2007.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2008 was \$374.1 million as compared to cash used in investing activities of \$67.8 million for 2007. The increase in cash used in investing activities is primarily due to an increase in cash used to fund acquisitions. For 2008, net cash used in investing activities included \$299.9 million paid to fund acquisitions and \$43.3 million of acquisition contingent payments. For 2007, net cash used in investing activities included \$5.3 million used to acquire the remaining 3% of share capital of FD, \$8.3 million of acquisition contingent payments and \$18.4 million related to other acquisition activities.

Capital expenditures were \$35.7 million for 2008 as compared to \$36.4 million for 2007. Capital expenditures in 2008 primarily related to leasehold improvements, the purchase of software and the purchase of data processing equipment. Capital expenditures in 2007 primarily related to leasehold improvements to support the expansion and renovation of our offices, investment in infrastructure to support our Technology segment and investment in corporate information technology equipment and software. We had no material outstanding purchase commitments as of December 31, 2008.

Our financing activities consisted principally of borrowings and repayments under long-term debt arrangements as well as issuances of common stock. During 2008, our financing activities consisted of \$20.6 million of cash received from the issuance of common stock under equity compensation plans and an \$8.7 million repayment of notes payable, primarily to former shareholders of an acquired business. During 2007, our financing activities consisted of the \$231.4 million of proceeds from a public offering of 4,830,000 shares of the Company s common stock, our borrowing and repayment of \$25.0 million on our senior secured bank line of credit, \$18.1 million of cash used to repurchase shares of our common stock under equity compensation plans.

Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2009, our capital resources included \$118.9 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$15.0 million of short-term investments and available borrowing capacity of \$171.2 million under a \$175 million revolving line of credit under our senior secured bank credit facility (bank credit facility).

The availability of borrowings under our bank credit facility is subject to specified borrowing conditions. We may choose to repay outstanding borrowings under the bank credit facility at any time before maturity without penalty. Debt under bank credit facility bears interest at an annual rate equal to the Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin or an alternative base rate defined as the higher of (1) the lender s announced prime rate or (2) the federal funds rate plus the sum of 50 basis points and an applicable margin. Under the bank credit facility, the lenders have a security interest in substantially all of our assets.

Our amended and restated bank credit facility, as further amended, provides for a \$175.0 million revolving line of credit with a maturity date of September 30, 2011. Letters of credit reduce the availability under that line of credit. We use letters of credit primarily as security deposits for our office facilities. As of December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding indebtedness under our revolving line of credit, however, \$3.8 million of outstanding letters of credit reduced the availability of borrowings under that line of credit.

Our bank credit facility and the indentures governing our 7⁵/8% due 2013, 3³/4% senior subordinated convertible notes due 2012 and 7³/4% senior notes due 2016 (collectively, our senior notes) contain covenants which limit our ability to: incur additional indebtedness; create liens; pay dividends on our capital stock, make distributions or repurchases of our capital stock or make specified other restricted payments; consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets; guarantee obligations of other entities; enter into hedging agreements; and enter into transactions with affiliates or related persons or engage in any business other than our current and other consulting related businesses. The bank credit facility requires compliance with financial ratios, including total indebtedness to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to specified charges; and the maintenance of a minimum net worth, each as defined under the senior secured bank credit facility. At December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants as stipulated in the senior secured bank credit facility and the indentures governing our senior notes.

Future Capital Needs

We anticipate that our future capital needs will principally consist of funds required for:

operating and general corporate expenses relating to the operation of our businesses;

capital expenditures, primarily for information technology equipment, office furniture and leasehold improvements;

debt service requirements;

funds required to compensate designated senior managing directors under our senior managing director incentive compensation program;

discretionary funding of our stock repurchase program;

potential earn-out obligations related to our acquisitions; and

potential acquisitions of businesses that would allow us to diversify or expand our service offerings.

We currently anticipate capital expenditures will be about \$38 million to \$44 million to support our organization during 2010, including direct support for specific client engagements. Our estimate takes into consideration the needs of our existing businesses but does not include the impact of any purchases that we may be required to make as a result of future acquisitions or specific client engagements that are not currently contemplated. Our capital expenditure requirements may change if our staffing levels or technology needs change significantly from what we currently anticipate, if we are required to purchase additional equipment specifically to support a client engagement or if we pursue and complete additional acquisitions.

In certain business combinations consummated prior to January 1, 2009, a portion of our purchase price is in the form of contingent consideration, often referred to as earn-outs. The use of contingent consideration allows us to shift some of the valuation risk, inherent at the time of acquisition, to the seller based upon the outcome of

future financial targets that the seller contemplates in its valuation. Contingent consideration is payable annually as agreed upon performance targets are met and is generally subject to a maximum amount within a specified time period. Our obligations change from period to period primarily as a result of payments made during the current period, changes in the acquired entities performance and changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These differences could be significant.

In connection with our required adoption of the new accounting principles for business combinations, contingent purchase price obligations included in business combinations consummated subsequent to December 31, 2008 would be recorded as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet and re-measured at fair value at each subsequent reporting date with an offset to current period earnings. We have no such obligations accounted for under the new accounting principles for business combinations at December 31, 2009.

Holders of our 3³/4% senior subordinated convertible notes (Convertible Notes) may convert them only under certain circumstances, including certain stock price related conversion contingencies. Upon conversion, the principal portion of the Convertible Notes will be paid in cash and any excess of the conversion value over the principal portion of the Convertible Notes will be paid either in cash, shares of our common stock or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock at our option. The conversion value of each note is the average closing price of our shares over the conversion reference period, as defined in the indenture, multiplied by the initial conversion rate of 31.998 shares of our common stock for each \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, subject to adjustment upon specified events.

The Convertible Notes are currently convertible at the option of the holders through April 15, 2010 as provided in the indenture covering the notes. The notes are convertible as a result of the closing price per share of our common stock exceeding the conversion threshold price of \$37.50 per share (120% of the applicable conversion price of \$31.25 per share) for at least 20 days in the 30 consecutive trading days in period ended January 15, 2010.

Upon surrendering any Convertible Note for conversion, in accordance with the indenture, the holder of such note shall receive cash in the amount of the lesser of (i) the \$1,000 principal amount of such Note or (ii) the conversion value of the note as defined in the Indenture. The conversion feature results in a premium over the face amount of the notes equal to the difference between our stock price as determined by the calculation set forth in the indenture and the conversion price of \$31.25 times the conversion ratio of 31.998 shares of our common stock for each \$1,000 principal amount of the notes. We retain our option to satisfy any conversion value in excess of each \$1,000 principal amount of the Stock price calculation defined in the indenture. Assuming conversion of both cash and shares. The premium will be calculated using the stock price calculation defined in the indenture. Assuming conversion of the full \$149.9 million principal amount of the Convertible Notes, for every \$1.00 the market price of our common stock exceeds \$31.25 per share, we will be required, at our option, either to pay an additional \$4.8 million or to issue shares of our common stock with a then market price equivalent to \$4.8 million to settle the conversion feature.

The Convertible Notes are registered securities. As of December 21, 2009, the last trade date before December 31, 2009, the Convertible Notes had a market price of \$1,595 per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Notes, compared to an estimated conversion value of approximately \$1,509 per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Notes. Because the Convertible Notes have historically traded at market prices above the estimated conversion values, we do not anticipate holders will elect to convert their Convertible Notes in the near future unless the value ratio should change. However, we believe we have adequate capital resources to fund potential conversions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases and we have not entered into any transactions involving unconsolidated subsidiaries or special purpose entities.

Future Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our estimates as to the amounts and timing of contractual payments for our most significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009. The information in the table reflects future unconditional payments and is based on the terms of the relevant agreements, appropriate classification of items under GAAP currently in effect and certain assumptions such as interest rates. Future events could cause actual payments to differ from these amounts.

Future contractual obligations related to our long-term debt assume that payments will be made based on the current payment schedule and exclude any additional revolving line of credit borrowings or repayments subsequent to December 31, 2009 and prior to the September 30, 2011 maturity date.

The interest obligation on our long-term debt assumes that our senior notes will bear interest at their stated rates. Our Convertible Notes are convertible prior to their stated maturity upon the occurrence of certain events beyond our control. Upon conversion, the principal is payable in cash.

Future contractual obligations related to our operating leases are net of contractual sublease receipts. Long-term debt that is callable by the holder has been classified as maturing in 2010 on the following table and includes the \$149.9 million principal amount of Convertible Notes and \$1.1 million of notes payable to shareholders of an acquired business.

Contractual Obligations]	Fotal	2010	20)11 (ii	2012 n thousands)	2013	2014	Th	ereafter
Long-term debt	\$	566,072	\$ 151,072	\$		\$	\$ 200,000	\$	\$	215,000
Interest on long-term debt		179,520	37,549	37	7,536	34,959	23,653	16,663		29,160
Capital lease obligations		814	524		241	49				
Operating leases		297,385	38,625	36	5,761	32,620	28,893	26,354		134,132
Total obligations	\$1,	043,791	\$ 227,770	\$ 74	1,538	\$ 67,628	\$ 252,546	\$ 43,017	\$	378,292

Future Outlook

We believe that our anticipated operating cash flows and our total liquidity, consisting of our cash on hand, short-term investments and \$171.2 million of availability under our revolving bank line of credit are sufficient to fund our capital and liquidity needs for at least the next twelve months. In making this assessment, we have considered:

our \$118.9 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$15.0 million of short-term investments at December 31, 2009;

funds required for debt service payments, including interest payments on our long-term debt;

funds required for capital expenditures during 2010 of about \$38 million to \$44 million;

funds required to satisfy earn-out and other obligations in relation to our acquisitions;

funds required to compensate designated senior managing directors and other key professionals by issuing unsecured forgivable employee loans;

the discretionary funding of our share repurchase program;

the funds required to satisfy conversion of the Convertible notes; and

other known future contractual obligations.

For the last several years, our cash flows from operations have exceeded our cash needs for capital expenditures and debt service requirements. We believe that our cash flows from operations, supplemented by short-term borrowings under our revolving bank line of credit, as necessary, will provide adequate cash to fund our long-term cash needs from normal operations.

Our conclusion that we will be able to fund our cash requirements by using existing capital resources and cash generated from operations does not take into account the impact of any acquisition transactions anticipated or any unexpected changes in significant numbers of employees. The anticipated cash needs of our business could change significantly if we pursue and complete additional business acquisitions, if our business plans change, if economic conditions change from those currently prevailing or from those now anticipated, or if other unexpected circumstances arise that may have a material effect on the cash flow or profitability of our business. Any of these events or circumstances, including any new business opportunities, could involve significant additional funding needs in excess of the identified currently available sources and could require us to raise additional debt or equity funding to meet those needs. Our ability to raise additional capital, if necessary, is subject to a variety of factors that we cannot predict with certainty, including:

our future profitability;

the quality of our accounts receivable;

our relative levels of debt and equity;

the volatility and overall condition of the capital markets; and

the market prices of our securities.

Any new debt funding, if available, may be on terms less favorable to us than our bank credit facility or the indentures that govern our senior notes.

Effect of Inflation. Inflation is not generally a material factor affecting our business. General operating expenses such as salaries, employee benefits and lease costs are, however, subject to normal inflationary pressures.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates, changes in the price of our common stock and changes in foreign exchange rates.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk related to debt obligations outstanding. Interest rate changes expose our fixed rate long-term borrowings to changes in fair value and expose our variable rate borrowings to changes in our interest expense. From time to time, we use derivative instruments, primarily consisting of interest rate swap agreements, to manage our interest rate exposure by achieving a desired proportion of fixed rate versus variable rate borrowings. All of our derivative transactions are entered into for non-trading purposes.

The following table presents principal cash flows and related interest rates by year of maturity for our fixed rate senior notes and a comparison of the fair value of the debt at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Our Convertible Notes which are callable by the holder have been shown as maturing in 2010. The fair values have been determined based on quoted market prices for our senior notes.

	Year of Maturity						Decembe	r 31, 2009	Decembe	er 31, 2008
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter dollars in thousa	Total ands)	Fair Value	Total	Fair Value
Long-term debt										
Fixed rate	\$ 149,940	\$	\$	\$ 200,000	\$	\$ 215,000	\$ 564,940	\$662,841	\$ 564,951	\$ 583,427

Average interest rate	4%	%	%	8%	%	8%	7%	7%

Equity Price Sensitivity

We currently have outstanding \$149.9 million in principal amount of 3 ³/4% convertible senior subordinated notes due July 15, 2012. We are subject to equity price risk related to the convertible feature of this debt. The Convertible Notes are currently convertible at the option of the holder. Upon conversion, the principal portion of the Convertible Notes will be paid in cash and any excess of the conversion value over the principal portion will be paid either in cash, shares of our common stock or a combination of shares of our common stock and cash at our option. Upon normal conversions, for every \$1.00 the market price of our common stock exceeds \$31.25 per share, we will be required to pay either an additional \$4.8 million in cash or to issue shares of our common stock with a then market price equivalent to \$4.8 million, at our option, to settle the conversion feature. If a specified fundamental change event occurs, the conversion price of our convertible notes may increase depending on our common stock price at that time. However, the number of shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion of a note may not exceed the maximum conversion rate of 41.5973 per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes are currently convertible at the option of the holders through April 15, 2010 as provided in the indenture covering the notes.

The high and low sale prices per share for our common stock based on the closing sales price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange during 2009 were \$56.13 and \$36.54.

Certain acquisition related restricted stock agreements contain stock price guarantees that may result in cash payments in the future if our share price falls below a specified per share market value on the date the stock restrictions lapse (the determination date). The future settlement of any contingency related to security price will be recorded as an adjustment to additional paid-in capital. The following table details by year the cash outflows that would result from the price protection payments if, on the applicable determination dates, our common stock price was at, 20% above or 20% below our common stock price on December 31, 2009 of \$47.16 per share.

	2010	2011	2012 in thousan	2013 ds)	Total
Cash outflow, assuming:				ĺ.	
Closing share price of \$47.16 at December 31, 2009	\$ 315	\$ 10,625	\$ 475	\$ 902	\$ 12,317
20% decrease in share price	573	15,105	740	1,669	18,087
20% increase in share price	57	6,146	209	211	6,623
Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk					

Exchange Rate Risk

We consider our direct exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuations to be minimal at this time. Our foreign currency exposure primarily relates to monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our subsidiaries. Gains or losses from foreign currency transactions are included in interest income and other on our Consolidated Statements of Income and to date have not been significant.

Translation of Financial Results

Most of our foreign subsidiaries operate in a functional currency other than the United States dollar (USD); therefore, increases or decreases in the value of the USD against other major currencies will affect our net operating revenues, operating income and the value of balance sheet items denominated in foreign currencies. Changes in the exchange rate between the British pound and the U.S. dollar have the most significant