

OPTI INC
Form 10-Q
November 16, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**
For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2009

**.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**
For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-21422

OPTi Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: OPTI INC - Form 10-Q

CALIFORNIA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0220697
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3430 W. Bayshore Road, Suite 103 Palo Alto, California
(Address of principal executive office)

94303
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (650) 213-8550

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of October 31, 2009 was 11,641,903.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

INDEX

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1:	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
	<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
ITEM 2:	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	9
	Overview	
	Results of Operations	
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	12
ITEM 3:	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	13
ITEM 4:	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	13

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1:	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	14
ITEM 1A:	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	15
ITEM 2:	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	
ITEM 3:	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	
ITEM 4:	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	
ITEM 5:	OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 6:	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	17
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	18

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****OPTi Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	September 30, 2009	March 31, 2009*
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,674	\$ 7,032
Accounts receivable		750
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	55	46
Total current assets	5,729	7,828
Property and equipment, at cost		
Machinery and equipment	57	48
Furniture and fixtures	17	17
	74	65
Accumulated depreciation	(63)	(60)
	11	5
Total assets	\$ 5,740	\$ 7,833
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 247	\$ 1,107
Accrued expenses	839	569
Accrued employee compensation	139	238
Total current liabilities	1,225	1,914
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value		
Authorized shares 5,000		
No shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock		
Authorized shares 50,000		
Issued and outstanding 11,642 at September 30, and March 31, 2009	13,539	13,539
Accumulated deficit	(9,024)	(7,620)
Total stockholders' equity	4,515	5,919
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,740	\$ 7,833

Edgar Filing: OPTI INC - Form 10-Q

- * The balance sheet as of March 31, 2009 has been derived from the audited financial statements.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OPTi Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(unaudited)****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Sales				
License and royalties	\$	\$ 3,750	\$	\$ 3,750
Net sales		3,750		3,750
Costs and expenses				
Selling, general and administrative	1,164	2,798	3,439	4,489
Total costs and expenses	1,164	2,798	3,439	4,489
Operating income (loss)	(1,164)	952	(3,439)	(739)
Interest income and other income, net	1,902	249	2,034	344
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	738	1,201	(1,405)	(395)
Income tax provision				
Net income (loss)	\$ 738	\$ 1,201	\$ (1,405)	\$ (395)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.03)
Shares used in computing basic per share amounts	11,642	11,642	11,642	11,642
Shares used in computing diluted per share amounts	11,646	11,644	11,642	11,642

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OPTi Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,405)	\$ (395)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	3	4
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(9)	(24)
Accounts receivable	750	(3,955)
Accounts payable	(860)	1,284
Accrued expenses	270	(25)
Accrued employee compensation	(98)	
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,349)	(3,111)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of equipment	(9)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(9)	
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,358)	(3,111)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	7,032	6,843
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 5,674	\$ 3,732

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OPTi Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****September 30, 2009****(unaudited)****1. Basis of Presentation**

The information at September 30, 2009 and for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, are unaudited, but include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which the Company's management believes to be necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2009, which are included in the annual report on Form 10-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

2. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months ended September 30,		Six Months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$ 738	\$ 1,201	\$ (1,405)	\$ (395)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	11,642	11,642	11,642	11,642
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.03)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	11,642	11,642	11,642	11,642
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options	4	2		
Denominator for diluted net income (loss) per share	11,646	11,644	11,642	11,642
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.03)

The Company has excluded options for the purchase of 8,000 and 108,000 shares of common stock from the calculation of diluted net loss per share in the six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, because all such securities are anti-dilutive for the respective periods.

Table of Contents**OPTi Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****September 30, 2009****(unaudited)****3. Taxes**

The Company recorded no tax provision for the three and six-months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. The Company's effective tax rate differed from the federal and state statutory rates during all periods presented due to the uncertainty of the Company returning to profitability.

Due to uncertainty associated with the Company's prospective ability to realize the benefits of its tax assets, the Company has fully reserved the value of its deferred tax assets. In addition, utilization of the net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and similar state provisions. The annual limitations may result in the expiration of net operating loss carryforwards before utilization.

4. Comprehensive income (loss)

Total comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and other comprehensive income or loss. During the three and six-month period ended September 30, 2008, the Company recorded a temporary investment gain of \$70,000 and a loss of approximately (\$30,000) relating to its investments in auction rate securities. The total comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 were \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, and the total comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 were \$(1.4) million and \$(0.4) million, respectively.

5. Cash and Cash Equivalents

The following is a summary as of September 30 and March 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Cash	\$ 100	\$ 100
Money markets funds	5,574	6,932
	\$ 5,674	\$ 7,032

ASC 820 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: (Level I) observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; (Level II) inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly; and (Level III) unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop its own assumptions. This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. On a recurring basis, the Company measures its investments and marketable securities at fair value.

As of September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company has cash and investments in money market funds of \$5.7 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, in cash equivalents classified as Level I of the fair market hierarchy and no Level II or Level III investments.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2009

(unaudited)

6. Subsequent Events

On October 1, 2009 the Company entered into a Settlement and License Agreement with VIA Technologies, Inc (VIA). The agreement suspends the lawsuit that the Company filed against VIA in the Eastern District of Texas.

In exchange for the Company agreeing to dismiss its lawsuit against VIA without prejudice and to refrain from re-filing the lawsuit for a specified period of time, VIA has agreed to make payments in the aggregate amount of \$650,000 to OPTi. The Company will record \$650,000 as revenue in the quarter ended December 31, 2009, pursuant to its policy on revenue recognition.

The Company has evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after September 30, 2009 up through November 16, 2009, the date we issued these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Information set forth in this report constitutes and includes forward looking information made within the meaning of Section 27A of the Security Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including the Company's ongoing efforts to enforce its intellectual property rights including its current litigation efforts, the willingness of the parties it believes are infringing its patents to settle its claims against them, the amount of litigation costs the Company must incur in pursuing its patent infringement claims, the degree to which technology subject to the Company's intellectual property rights is used by other companies in the personal computer and semiconductor industries and our ability to obtain license revenues from them, changes in intellectual property law in such industries and in general and other matters.

OPTi Inc. a California corporation (OPTi or the Company), was founded in 1989, as an independent supplier of semiconductor products to the personal computer (PC) and embedded marketplaces.

From inception through 1995, OPTi's principal business was its core logic products for desktop personal computers and the Company has employed as many as 235 employees over the years. However, over time, OPTi faced increasingly tight competition from companies with substantially greater financial, technical, distribution and marketing resources. In February 1999, the Company completely ceased further development of core logic products, although OPTi continued to ship such products to customers until September 2002. The Company's annual net sales declined from \$163.7 million in 1995 to no revenue in fiscal year 2006. During the years ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2007, the Company recorded net revenue of approximately \$3.8 million and \$11 million relating to a license with NVIDIA Corporation (NVIDIA).

In September 2002, the Company sold its product fabrication, distribution and sales operations to Opti Technologies, Inc., an unrelated third party, and the Company ceased manufacturing, marketing and sales operations. However, the Company believes that certain of its patented technology is in widespread unlicensed use and the Company has been engaged in perfecting its intellectual property rights, investigating unlicensed use of its technology and developing and validating a strategy to pursue product licenses from unlicensed users.

OPTi holds a majority of its liquid assets in cash and cash equivalents for the purpose of financing its efforts to pursue licenses and claims relating to its intellectual property.

The Company's current strategy is to pursue licensing opportunities to resolve potential infringement of its proprietary intellectual property in the core logic area. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2000, the Company entered into a one-time licensing arrangement for \$13,311,000 on the core logic technology that the Company had developed during its existence. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company also entered into a one-time license arrangement for \$425,000 on its patented technology. The Company believes that there may be additional companies that may be infringing its patents. The Company is actively working to explore all possible arrangements to settle such infringements.

On October 19, 2004, the Company announced that it filed a complaint against NVIDIA, in the Eastern District of Texas, for infringement of five U.S. patents relating to its Predictive Snooping chipset technology. See Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings below.

On April 24, 2006, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas issued a ruling in the ongoing patent infringement action between OPTi and NVIDIA, which arose from a special proceeding required under U.S. patent law called a Markman hearing, where both sides present their arguments to the court as to how they believe certain claims at issue in the lawsuit should be interpreted.

Table of Contents

On August 3, 2006, the Company entered into a license and settlement agreement with NVIDIA (the License Agreement). Under the License Agreement the Company agreed to dismiss its patent infringement lawsuit against NVIDIA and licensed certain patents to NVIDIA. NVIDIA made a non-refundable, non-creditable fully earned payment of \$11 million to the Company. There is no future performance obligation. In accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policy \$11 million was recorded as revenue during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 as persuasive evidence that an agreement existed, delivery of payment had occurred and there were no future performance obligations.

The License Agreement also requires that NVIDIA make quarterly royalty payments to the Company of \$750,000, so long as NVIDIA continues to use the Company's Predictive Snoop technology, commencing in February 2007 up to a maximum of 12 such payments in exchange for a license for future use of the Pre-Snoop patents. Royalties will be recorded as revenue when earned and received, when fees are fixed or determinable, or when collectibility is reasonably assured.

On February 5, 2007 the Company announced that it received a letter from NVIDIA stating that NVIDIA had discontinued the use of the Predictive Snooping technology that it had licensed from the Company pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement. The letter from NVIDIA also stated that NVIDIA would not be remitting to the Company the quarterly royalty payment originally scheduled for February 2007.

On October 17, 2007 the Company initiated an arbitration against NVIDIA because the Company believed that NVIDIA breached the terms of the License Agreement. The Company sought payment for the past due quarters that OPTi believed NVIDIA had continued to use the Pre-Snoop technology. The arbitrator in September 2008 ruled in OPTi's favor and awarded the Company a total of five quarterly royalty payment of \$750,000 each for an aggregate amount of \$3,750,000. This amount was recognized as revenue in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

On November 15, 2006, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD) for infringement of three U.S. patents relating to its Predictive Snooping technology. See *Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings* below. The AMD case is a continuing part of the Company's strategy for pursuing its patent infringement claims and its outcome will have a significant effect on the Company's ability to realize ongoing licensing revenue through its intellectual property licensing efforts. Jury selection for this trial will begin the first week of February 2010, and the trial will begin later that month.

On January 16, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Apple Inc. (Apple) for infringement of three U.S. patents. The three patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,710,906, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,036 and U.S. Patent No. 6,405,291, which are all entitled Predictive Snooping of Cache Memory for Master-Initiated Accesses. The Company alleges that Apple has infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering for sale desktop and portable computers and servers incorporating Predictive Snooping technology.

On April 23, 2009 a jury from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas ruled in OPTi's favor in the patent infringement action between OPTi Inc and Apple Inc. The jury ruled on the following four issues:

In the matter of willful infringement, the jury ruled that Apple willfully infringed OPTi's patent;

In the matter of Apple's defense that OPTi's patent was invalid due to obviousness, the jury ruled that OPTi's patent was valid;

Table of Contents

In the matter of Apple's defense that the patent was invalid due to anticipation, the jury ruled that the OPTi's patent was valid;

In the matter of damages, the jury awarded OPTi \$19 million for Apple's infringement of OPTi's patent. The court had ruled previously that Apple had infringed the OPTi patent on issue on April 3, 2009. Apple has filed a number of post-trial motions seeking to reverse the jury verdict or to secure a new trial on a variety of issues. These motions are currently being briefed.

On July 3, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against eight companies for infringement of two U.S. patents. The two patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,944,807 and U.S. Patent No. 6,098,141, both entitled "Compact ISA-Bus Interface". The Company alleges that AMD, Atmel Corporation, Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc., Standard Microsystems Corporation (SMSC), STMicroelectronics and VIA Technologies, Inc. have infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering one or more of the following products: core logic chipsets, Super I/O devices, Trusted Platform Modules, certain flash memory devices, certain I/O controllers and other semiconductor products incorporating Compact ISA-Bus Interface technology. The Company settled with Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. and STMicroelectronics during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. During the first seven months of fiscal year 2010 the Company settled with Atmel Corporation, SMSC and VIA. The settlement amount received from Atmel Corporation of \$125,000 is included in other income for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The settlement amount received from SMSC of \$1,900,000 is included in other income for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter for the remaining defendant, AMD. The case against AMD relating to the Compact ISA-Bus Interface technology is currently scheduled for August 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company has had no changes in critical accounting policies as described in our Form 10-K filed on June 29, 2009.

Results of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2009 Compared to the Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2008.

Revenues

The Company had no revenue for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and \$3.8 million for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008. The revenue in the three and six-month periods ended in September 2008 relate to an arbitration award from NVIDIA. The Company's future revenues depend on the success of our strategy of pursuing license claims on our intellectual property position.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 were \$1.2 million as compared to \$2.8 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. The decrease in general and administrative costs for the three-month period ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the comparable period ended September 30, 2008 was mainly attributable to decreased litigation costs relating to the preparation of the AMD and Apple trials and the NVIDIA arbitration. General and administrative expenses for the six-month period ended September 30, 2009 were \$3.4 million as compared to \$4.5 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008. The decrease in general and administrative costs related to lower legal related costs in preparation for the AMD trial and NVIDIA arbitration, offset, in part, by higher costs related to the Apple trial in April 2009.

Table of Contents

Interest and Other Income, Net

Net interest and other income for the three-month period ending September, 30, 2009 was \$1.9 million as compared to \$0.2 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2008. The increase in net interest and other income in the three-month period ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the comparable period in 2008 was due to the standstill agreement with SMSC signed during the quarter, offset in part, by a decrease in interest income due to lower average cash balances and lower interest rates during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Net interest and other income for the six-month period ending September, 30, 2009 was \$2.0 million as compared to \$0.3 million for the six-months ended September 30, 2008. The increase in net interest and other income in the six-month period ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the comparable period in 2008 was due to the standstill agreements with SMSC and Atmel Corporation signed during the six-month period, offset in part, by a decrease in interest income due to lower average cash balances and lower interest rates during the six months ended September 30, 2009.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded no tax provision for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. The Company's effective tax rate differed from the federal and state statutory rates during all periods presented due to the uncertainty of the Company returning to profitability.

Due to uncertainty associated with the Company's prospective ability to realize the benefits of its tax assets, the Company has fully reserved the value of its deferred tax assets. In addition, utilization of the net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and similar state provisions. The annual limitations may result in the expiration of net operating loss carryforwards before utilization.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents decreased to \$5.7 million at September 30, 2009 from \$7.0 million at March 31, 2009. Working capital as of September 30, 2009 decreased to \$4.5 million from \$5.9 million at March 31, 2009. During the six-month period ended September 30, 2009, operating activities used approximately \$1.4 million of cash due primarily to the net loss during the period. The Company had insignificant investing activity in the six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, and no financing activity for the six-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

As of September 30, 2009, the Company's principal sources of liquidity included cash, cash equivalents of approximately \$5.7 million and working capital of approximately \$4.5 million. The Company believes that the existing sources of liquidity will satisfy the Company's projected working capital and other cash requirements through at least the next twelve months.

The Company's current building lease agreement is scheduled to end on December 31, 2009. The total remaining commitment under the lease at September 30, 2009 is approximately \$27,000.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
Interest Rate Sensitivity

We maintain our cash and cash equivalents primarily in money market funds. We do not have any derivative financial instruments. As of September 30, 2009, all of our investments mature in less than one month. Accordingly, we do not believe that our investments have significant exposure to interest rate risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- (a) We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 as of the end of the Company's quarter ended September 30, 2009. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer along with our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

- (b) There have been no material changes (including corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies or material weaknesses) in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation referenced in paragraph (a) above.

We intend to review and evaluate the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures on an ongoing basis and to improve our controls and procedures over time and to correct any deficiencies that we may discover in the future. Our goal is to ensure that our senior management has timely access to all material financial and non-financial information concerning our business. While we believe the present design of our disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve our goal, future events affecting our business may cause us to significantly modify our disclosure controls and procedures.

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during our last quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On November 15, 2006, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against AMD for infringement of three U.S. patents relating to its Predictive Snooping technology. The AMD case is a continuing part of the Company's strategy for pursuing its patent infringement claims and its outcome will have a significant effect on the Company's ability to realize ongoing licensing revenue through its intellectual property licensing efforts. Jury selection for this trial will begin the first week of February 2010, and the trial will begin later that month.

On January 16, 2007, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Apple for infringement of three U.S. patents. The three patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,710,906, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,036 and U.S. Patent No. 6,405,291, which are all entitled Predictive Snooping of Cache Memory for Master-Initiated Accesses. The Company alleges that Apple has infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering for sale desktop and portable computers and servers incorporating Predictive Snooping technology.

On April 23, 2009 a jury from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas ruled in OPTi's favor in the patent infringement action between OPTi and Apple. The jury ruled on the following four issues:

In the matter of willful infringement, the jury ruled that Apple willfully infringed OPTi's patent;

In the matter of Apple's defense that OPTi's patent was invalid due to obviousness, the jury ruled that OPTi's patent was valid;

In the matter of Apple's defense that the patent was invalid due to anticipation, the jury ruled that the OPTi's patent was valid;

In the matter of damages, the jury awarded OPTi \$19 million for Apple's infringement of OPTi's patent.

The court had ruled previously that Apple had infringed the OPTi patent at issue on April 3, 2009. Apple has filed a number of post-trial motions seeking to reverse the jury verdict or to secure a new trial on a variety of issues. These motions are currently being briefed.

On July 3, 2007, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against eight companies for infringement of two U.S. patents. The two patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,944,807 and U.S. Patent No. 6,098,141, both entitled Compact ISA-Bus Interface. The Company alleges that AMD, Atmel Corporation, Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc., SMSC, STMicroelectronics and VIA Technologies, Inc. have infringed that patents by making, selling, and offering one or more of the following products: core logic chipsets, Super I/O devices, Trusted Platform Modules, certain flash memory devices, certain I/O controllers and other semiconductor products incorporating Compact ISA-Bus Interface technology. The Company settled with Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. and STMicroelectronics during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. During the first seven months of fiscal year 2010 the Company settled with Atmel Corporation, SMSC and VIA. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter for the remaining defendant, AMD.

The AMD, Apple and the Compact ISA-Bus Interface cases are a continuing part of the Company's strategy for pursuing its patent infringement claims and their outcomes will have a significant effect on the Company's ability to realize ongoing licensing revenue through its intellectual property licensing efforts.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Trading of OPTi Common Stock on the OTC Bulletin Board

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. Some investors may be less likely to invest in stocks that are not traded on recognized national markets and listing services such as Nasdaq. Therefore, investors in our common stock may experience reduced liquidity when attempting to trade shares of our common stock.

Dependence on Intellectual Property Position

The success of the Company's current strategy of resolving potential infringement of its patented core logic technology can be affected by new developments in intellectual property law generally and with respect to semiconductor patents in particular and upon the Company's success in defending its patent position. It is difficult to predict developments and changes in intellectual property law. However, such changes could have an adverse impact on the Company's ability to pursue infringement claims on its previously developed technology.

Uncertain Revenue Stream

Although the Company has commenced legal action and continues to pursue license revenues relating to the unauthorized use of its intellectual property, there can be no assurances whether or when revenues will result from the pursuit of such claims.

In addition, the Company's focus on pursuing claims related to its intellectual property position can result in one time payments that may increase revenues during a single fiscal period but may not be repeated in future periods. For example, in the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company reached a settlement of certain claims and counterclaims with NVIDIA that included, among other things, a one-time cash payment to the Company. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company was to receive future payments from NVIDIA if they continued to use the patented technology. Consequently, settlements of these claims will cause our operating results to fluctuate from period to period and revenues that we may receive from such a settlement should not be viewed as indicative of future trends in our operating results.

Outcome of AMD, Apple and Compact ISA-Bus Legal Actions

On November 15, 2006, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against AMD for infringement of a U.S. patents relating to its Predictive Snooping technology. See *Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings* above. The AMD case itself is a continuing part of the Company's strategy for pursuing its patent infringement claims and its outcome will have a significant effect on the Company's ability to realize ongoing licensing revenue through its intellectual property licensing efforts. Jury selection for this trial will begin the first week of February 2010, and the trial will begin later than month.

On January 16, 2007, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Apple for infringement of three U.S. patents. The three patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,710,906, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,036 and U.S. Patent No. 6,405,291, which are all entitled Predictive Snooping of Cache Memory for Master-Initiated Accesses. The Company alleges that Apple has infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering for sale desktop and portable computers and servers incorporating Predictive Snooping technology.

Table of Contents

On April 23, 2009 a jury from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas ruled in OPTi's favor in the patent infringement action between OPTi and Apple. The jury ruled on the following four issues:

In the matter of willful infringement, the jury ruled that Apple willfully infringed OPTi's patent;

In the matter of Apple's defense that OPTi's patent was invalid due to obviousness, the jury ruled that OPTi's patent was valid;

In the matter of Apple's defense that the patent was invalid due to anticipation, the jury ruled that the OPTi's patent was valid;

In the matter of damages, the jury awarded OPTi \$19 million for Apple's infringement of OPTi's patent. The court had ruled previously that Apple had infringed the OPTi patent at issue on April 3, 2009. Apple has filed a number of post-trial motions seeking to reverse the jury verdict or to secure a new trial on a variety of issues. These motions are currently being briefed.

On July 3, 2007, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against eight companies for infringement of two U.S. patents. The two patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,944,807 and U.S. Patent No. 6,098,141, both entitled "Compact ISA-Bus Interface". The Company alleges that AMD, Atmel Corporation, Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc., SMSC, STMicroelectronics and VIA Technologies, Inc. have infringed those patents by making, selling, and offering one or more of the following products: core logic chipsets, Super I/O devices, Trusted Platform Modules, certain flash memory devices, certain I/O controllers and other semiconductor products incorporating Compact ISA-Bus Interface technology. The Company settled with Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. and STMicroelectronics during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. During the first seven months of fiscal year 2010 the Company settled with Atmel Corporation, SMSC and VIA. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter for the remaining defendant, AMD.

The outcomes in the AMD, Apple and the Compact ISA-Bus legal actions will have significant effects on the Company's ability to realize ongoing license revenue.

Fluctuations in Operating Results

The Company has experienced significant fluctuations in its operating results in the past and expects that it will experience such fluctuations in the future. In the past, these fluctuations have been caused by a variety of factors including increased competition, price competition, changes in customer demand, ability to continue to sell existing products, inventory adjustments, changes in the availability of foundry capacity, changes in the mix of products sold and litigation expenses. In the future, the Company's operating results will largely be dependent on its ability to generate revenue from its pursuit of license and patent infringement claims.

Limited Trading Volume

Daily trading volume in our shares has varied from zero to over one hundred thousand shares during the last two years. Therefore, investors in our stock may find liquidity in our shares to be limited and difficult to predict.

Table of Contents

Possible Volatility of Stock Price

There can be no assurances as to the Company's operating results in any given period. The Company expects that the trading price of its common stock will continue to be subject to significant volatility.

Uncertainty of Future Distributions to Shareholders

From time to time, the Company has made distributions to its shareholders of funds that it believed unlikely to be required for the pursuit of its legal strategy. On April 9, 2007 the Company paid a dividend of \$0.50 per share of common stock to its shareholders. Its most recent previous cash distribution had occurred in 2002. The amount and frequency of future distributions to shareholders depends upon a number of factors including the Company's ability to achieve future revenues from its patent infringement claims, the amount of the Company's legal, operating and compensation costs, tax treatment of such dividends and changes to the Company's intellectual property position or strategy. Accordingly, there can be no assurance regarding the amount or frequency of future distributions or whether they may occur at all.

Item 6. Exhibits

10.1 Settlement and License Agreement between OPTi Inc and VIA Technologies, Inc., dated as of October 1, 2009.

31.1 and 31.2 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in accordance with 8 U.S. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 and 32.2 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in accordance with rule 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 16, 2009

OPTi Inc.

By:

/s/ MICHAEL MAZZONI
Michael Mazzone
Signed on behalf of the Registrant and as
Chief Financial Officer