ALSTOM Form 6-K January 13, 2004 Table of Contents

FORM 6-K

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of January 2004

Commission File Number: 1-14836

ALSTOM

(Translation of registrant s name into English)

25, avenue Kléber, 75116 Paris, France

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F

Form 20-F X x Form 40-F "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):
Yes " No x
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):
Yes " No x
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant, by furnishing the information contained in this Form, is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Yes " No x
If Yes is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the Registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b)
This Report on Form 6-K includes materials that make reference and relate in part to certain proposed issuances of securities by ALSTOM. The securities mentioned in these materials have not been and will not be registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or exemption from registration under the Securities Act.
These materials are not an offer to sell securities or the solicitation of an offer to buy securities, nor shall there be any offer or sale of securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer or sale would be unlawful.

Enclosures:

ALSTOM Update dated 17 November 2003 of the Reference Document in the form of an annual report filed with the Commission des Opérations de Bourse on 28 May 2003 under the number D.03-0814

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALSTOM

Date: January 13, 2004 By: /s/ Philippe Jaffré

Name: Philippe Jaffré Title: Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 99.1

Update dated 17 November 2003 of the Reference Document in the form of an annual report

filed with the Commission des Opérations de Bourse on 28 May 2003 under the number D.03-0814

This document is a free translation of the original French version of the update of the Reference Document available upon request

CONTENTS

1. Assets and liabilities Financial position Income (loss)	5
1.1. Interim consolidated financial statements at 30 September 2003	5
1.1.1.Management discussion and analysis	5
1.1.2.Interim consolidated financial statements at 30 September 2003	49
1.1.3.Statutory Auditors report on the interim consolidated financial statements	82
1.2. Pro forma datas at 31 March 2003 and at 30 September 2003	84
1.2.1.Pro forma consolidated financial statements for fiscal year closed at 31 March 2003 and half year ended 30	
September 2003	84
1.2.2.Statutory Auditors report on the pro forma financial statements	104
1.3. Consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003	106
1.3.1.Presentation of the amended consolidated financial statements as approved by the Ordinary and Extraordinary	
General Meeting held on 2 July 2003	106
1.3.2.Summary differences between Accounting Principles followed by the Group and US GAAP	107
1.3.3.Controls and procedures	138
1.4. Table of ALSTOM s subsidiaries and investments at 31 March 2003	139
2. Share capital	140
2.1. Share capital	140
2.2. Authorisations to increase the share capital	140
2.3. Potential share capital Securities and rights giving access to the share capital	143
2.4. Ownership of the share capital and voting rights	144
2.5. Changes in share capital since 31 March 2003	145
2.6. Authorisations to issue debt securities	145
2.7. Shareholders agreements	145
2.8. Stock market information	145
2 Dioko	147
3. Risks 3.1. Main risk factors	147
3.2. Claims and legal proceedings	158
3.2.1.Claims and legal proceedings: general	158
3.2.2.Claims and legal proceedings: asbestos	158
3.2.3. European Commission review of financing package and other transactions	160
3.2.4.Claims and proceedings linked to Royal Caribbean Cruises	162
3.2.5.Investigation by the Prosecutor of Milan	162
3.2.6.Investigation relating to ALSTOM Transportation Inc.	163
3.2.7. United States Putative Class Action Lawsuits	163
3.2.8.Other legal proceedings	163
3.3. Insurance	164
	_
4. Corporate Governance Administrative and control bodies	166
4.1. Composition and functioning of administrative and control bodies	166
4.2. Committees of the Board of Directors	167
4.3. Compensation of Executive and Non-Executive Directors and Members of the Executive Committee	170
4.4. Employee statutory profit sharing agreements	170
5. Recent developments and perspectives	171

3

Table of Contents

6. Person assuming responsibility for the update of the Reference Document and persons assuming	
responsibility for auditing the financial statements	174
6.1. Person assuming responsibility for the update of the Reference Document	174
6.2. Opinion of the person assuming responsibility for the update of the Reference Document	174
6.3. Persons assuming responsibility for auditing the financial statements	174
6.4. Opinion of the persons assuming responsibility for auditing the financial statements Annexes	175
Annex 1 Consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003 incorporating the amendments proposed by the Board of	
Directors and approved by the General Meeting of Shareholders held on 2 July 2003	179
Annex 2 Operating and Financial Review and Prospects updated by the Board of Directors	236
Annex 3 Other modified pages	291
Annex 4 Table of subsidiaries and investments of ALSTOM at 31 March 2003	296

4

- 1. Assets and liabilities Financial position Income (loss)
- 1.1. Interim Consolidated Financial Statements at 30 September 2003
- 1.1.1. Management Discussion and Analysis

You should read the following discussion together with the 30 September 2003 Interim Consolidated Financial Statements. During the periods discussed in this section, we undertook several significant transactions that affected the comparability of our financial results between periods. In order to allow you to compare the relevant periods, we present certain information both as it appears in our financial statements and adjusted for business composition and exchange rate variations to improve comparability. We describe these adjustments under Change in business composition and presentation of our accounts, non-GAAP measures Comparable basis below. The figures presented as unaudited under in the following discussion were the subject of either a limited review or a sincerity review by auditors.

STATUS ON OUR ACTION PLAN AND MAIN EVENTS OF FIRST HALF OF FISCAL YEAR 2004

On 12 March 2003, we presented our new strategy and action plan to overcome three key difficulties: an insufficient level of profitability and cash generation, past problems with the GT24/GT26 gas turbines and to a lesser extent the UK Trains contracts and a high level of debt. This plan has been launched throughout the Group. We have achieved significant progress during the first half of fiscal year 2004 and in particular we have:

built a more effective organisation;

secured [] 2.5 billion from the disposal programme;

achieved the expected progress in resolving specific operational problems (GT24/GT26 heavy duty gas turbines and UK trains issues);

launched the restructuring plans; and

agreed on a comprehensive financing package to strengthen our financial structure.

Building a more effective organisation

Implementation of a more effective organisation in the Sectors

Our former Power Sector, which accounted for more than half of Group sales in fiscal year 2003, was reorganised into three new Sectors on 1 April 2003; the former Power Sector management layer has been removed and the former Segments have been merged. The new structure is now fully in place and is reflected in the figures presented in this section.

On 7 October 2003, the management of our Transport Sector announced a new organisation, to be effective as of 1 January 2004. The Sector will be organised in four international regions, with strengthened customer focus and with clearer definition of responsibility for project execution.

A simpler and more reactive Group wide structure is being implemented, with clearer P&L accountability in the Sectors. Empowerment and full responsibility are given to the Sector management with a clearer relationship between business and country organisations.

Reorganisation of International Network and Corporate

Our objective is to reduce our overhead significantly, notably through the simplification of administrative processes and a reduction of management layers. Some central functions have been reallocated to the Sectors or eliminated. As a consequence, the Corporate and the International Network organisations have been reorganised and reduced by more than a third. Overall, savings are targeted to reach 35% of related costs as compared with fiscal year 2003 on an annual basis by March 2005. Vigorous plans have been launched in the Sectors where the target is to save 15% of overhead costs in each Sector by March 2005.

5

Stricter risk management

We are exercising a stricter control of the contractual terms and conditions and the margins in our order intake, notably with the creation of a central Risk Committee headed by the Chairman and CEO which was set up in March 2003 to review all major bids and contracts under execution. We are continuously improving the processes in the Sectors and are setting up a project database allowing more efficient central control. A new Risk Management Director has been appointed and new processes established to ensure more effective supervision of the Sectors.

Disposal programme

As part of our new plan, in March 2003 we increased our disposal programme target proceeds from \Box 1.6 billion as intended at the beginning of fiscal year 2003, to \Box 3.0 billion by March 2004. We maintain our objective of total proceeds of \Box 3 billion and have now secured \Box 2.5 billion, but in order to fully value the assets to be disposed, we have extended the period by one year to March 2005. Our disposal programme comprises:

☐600 million of targeted proceeds from real estate disposals, of which ☐415 million was achieved during fiscal year 2003 and the first half of fiscal year 2004; and

□2,400 million of targeted proceeds from business disposals, including both the T&D Sector and the Industrial Turbines businesses. □151 million of this target was achieved during fiscal year 2003 with the disposal of our activities in South Africa and of our captive insurance company. We expect that the sale of our Industrial Turbines businesses will generate net proceeds of approximately □950 million (of which □842 million has been received to date). We sold our T&D Sector for an enterprise value of □950 million, subject to closing adjustments. We expect to receive the major part of these proceeds in January 2004.

Disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses

On 26 April 2003, we signed binding agreements to sell our small gas turbines business and medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses in two transactions to Siemens AG.

The first transaction covered our small gas turbines business, and the second transaction covered our medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses.

The Industrial Turbines businesses accounted for approximately 10% of Power Sector revenues in fiscal year 2003. They include:

the small gas turbines business (3 MW 15 MW), based principally in the UK;

the medium-sized gas turbines business (15 MW 50 MW), based principally in Sweden; and

the industrial steam turbines (up to about 100 MW) business, with manufacturing sites in Sweden, Germany and the Czech Republic, and global customer service operations.

In the year ended 31 March 2003, Industrial Turbine businesses generated sales of approximately [1.25 billion and had an operating margin of approximately 7%. At 31 March 2003, these businesses employed around 6,500 people.

On 30 April 2003, we announced the closing of the sale of the small gas turbines business. Completion of this transaction followed receipt of a formal derogation from the European Commission under EC Merger Regulations, allowing ownership of the business to be transferred to Siemens AG with immediate effect. On 10 July 2003 we announced that the European Commission had granted formal clearance under EC Merger Regulations for the disposal of both the small gas turbines and the medium gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses.

6

On 1 August 2003 we announced that we had completed the major part of the disposal of the medium gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses. Completion of this second stage of the disposal followed approval from both the European Commission and US merger control authorities.

Certain minor sites of our Industrial Turbines business have yet to be transferred to Siemens pending completion of legal procedures, for example, relating to anti-competition laws in select jurisdictions. To date we have received net proceeds of [842] million from the disposal of these businesses and an additional [125] million is currently being held in escrow to cover certain post-closing adjustments and indemnities, if any. Unless otherwise used, 50% of these escrowed amounts are to be released to us on the business day following the first anniversary of the sale of the small gas turbines business (April 2004), and the remainder on the business day following the second anniversary of the sale (April 2005). See as well Note 4 to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Disposal of our T&D activities

The process to dispose of the T&D Sector was announced on 12 March 2003. On 25 September 2003, we signed a binding agreement to sell our T&D activities (excluding the Power Conversion business) to Areva for an entreprise value of ☐950 million, subject to closing adjustments. This transaction is expected to close in January 2004.

Disposal of Real Estate

In April 2003, we received proceeds of [138 million in respect of the disposal of 15 sites in France, Spain, Switzerland and Belgium and, in July 2003, we received proceeds of [10 million in respect of the disposal of one site in France. Total proceeds to date from our real estate programme have reached [10 million ([267 million received in fiscal year 2003 and [148 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004). Select further real estate disposal projects are currently progressing.

Progress on specific operational problems

GT24/GT26 heavy-duty gas turbines

During the first half of fiscal year 2004, we continued to implement technical improvements to our GT24/GT26 gas turbines. The new upgrade packages have been tested successfully and deployment in the field has started. The machines reliability has been demonstrated with 72 units in operation and the cumulation of more than 730,000 operating hours. In addition, the commercial situation is becoming clearer with all of the cases of client litigation, which affected 7 units as of March 2003, now resolved via satisfactory commercial settlements.

Related cash outflow over the first half of fiscal year 2004, [394 million, has decreased as compared with the second half of fiscal year 2003, [657 million. We expect our cash outflow (for Power Turbo-Systems and Power Service) to be around [800 million,

[600 million and [200 million in fiscal years 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively compared with [1,055 million spent on this matter in fiscal year 2003.

We reported on 31 March 2003 that we had retained provisions and accrued contract costs after taking into account mitigation targets of [454 million]. As of 30 September 2003, the mitigation target has been reduced by [118 million] to [336 million]. This reduction included [22 million] related to changes in exchange rates, [68 million] of achieved mitigation actions and certain planned mitigation actions which did not materialise resulting in a corresponding [28 million] of million charge in our operating income for the first half of fiscal year 2004. As of 30 September 2003, we retained [1,193 million] of related provisions and accrued contract costs outflow (for Power Turbo-Systems and Power Service). This amount does not include [336 million] of exposure, which we consider will be mitigated by appropriate action plans.

UK Trains

All 119 regional trains have been delivered and are now in service but costs related to the in service reliability improvement programme are still being incurred. On the West Coast Main Line contract, 28 of the 53 trains have been delivered in line with the customer s revised expectations. On completion of the WCML contract in September 2004, our UK new build activities will be halted as we will convert to a substantial service/maintenance base in the UK.

US Trains

On 30 June 2003, we announced that we were conducting an internal review, assisted by external lawyers and accountants, following receipt of anonymous letters alleging accounting improprieties on a railcar contract being executed at the New York facility of ALSTOM Transportation Inc. (ATI), one of our US subsidiaries. Following receipt of these letters, the SEC and the FBI began informal inquiries. We believe the FBI inquiry is currently dormant.

The Transport Sector s operating loss in fiscal year 2003 included an additional charge of [73 million, recorded following contract losses at ALSTOM Transportation Inc. (ATI). This charge was included in the Consolidated Financial Statements as approved at the General Shareholders Meeting on 2 July 2003.

In addition, following the discovery of accounting improprieties at ATI, we subsequently conducted reviews of other ATI contracts and, as a result, we recorded costs of $\square 102$ million ($\square 94$ million of contract provisions and write-down of receivables and $\square 8$ million of professional fees and other costs) in relation to the US Transport business. Slightly more than half of this amount related to a single equipment supply and maintenance project in the United States when we recorded significant provisions in respect of expected contract losses relating to a number of important performance related issues. The $\square 102$ million of costs is reflected in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the first half of fiscal year 2004.

Restructuring and cost reduction programmes

We have launched restructuring and cost-reduction programmes necessary to adapt our organisation and industrial base to current market conditions. We consider these programmes to be vital as we believe that the power market downturn is set to continue for some years before returning to the long term fundamental growth trend. We expect that these programmes will improve our operational performance. As we have accelerated our restructuring plans, we expect to accrue significantly more related charges in fiscal year 2004 than in fiscal year 2003.

We are currently informing and consulting with trade union representatives regarding the consequences of the overhead reduction and industrial restructuring plans. This process is expected to continue in the coming months. We have to date announced plans to reduce our workforce by approximately 7,300 employees in aggregate world wide. Of the proposed reduction in headcount, approximately 2,000 positions are outside Europe (mainly the US and Asia) and 5,300 positions are in Europe. The trade union consultation process at the European level has been completed, and local plans, country by country, are being implemented.

The announced reduction in employee numbers impacts mainly Power Turbo-Systems for approximately 3,300 employees, Transport for 2,000 employees, Power Environment for 1,000 employees, Power Service for 500 employees, and Head offices for 200 employees. We have not implemented restructuring plans in our Marine Sector other than the closure of our small yard in Saint-Malo already announced in fiscal year 2003, while some staff reduction has occurred by natural attrition (retirement, early retirement).

8

Financing package

Initial financing package

As part of our 12 March 2003 strategy and action plan, we reported that we needed to strengthen our financial base by conducting a capital increase and refinancing our debt. In the months following the announcement of our new plan, however, the markets for our products and services continued to deteriorate, resulting in reduced levels of orders. Furthermore, problems in obtaining contract performance bonds due to a general tightening of the bond market and concerns within that market on our position exacerbated the deterioration in order intake. Our worsening financial situation made negotiations with our main lending banks in connection with the proposed renewal of our credit lines and the capital increase more difficult. By the end of July 2003, we faced the risk of not being able to meet our short-term financial commitments, which led us to renegotiate with more than 30 of our banks with the support of the French State. We reached agreement on a comprehensive financing package for the Group, which was designed to provide adequate long term financing and short term liquidity.

This initial financing package announced on 6 August 2003 included:

a combined []600 million capital increase consisting of a []300 million underwritten capital increase with preferential subscription rights for existing shareholders, and a []300 million capital increase reserved for the French State;

a 1 billion issuance of bonds mandatorily reimbursable with shares (ORA, obligations remboursables en actions) with preferential subscription rights for existing shareholders;

subordinated loans with 6-year maturity totalling [1,200 million. A French State-controlled financial institution agreed to provide [200 million of the total amount of these subordinated loans;

short term facilities amounting to ☐600 million from a syndicate of banks and the French State.

We were informed on 8 and 14 August 2003 that the French State notified and provided information to the European Commission relating to its commitments under the proposed financing package, pursuant to European Community laws. As a result of this notification, the European Commission began a preliminary examination of the French State s measures described in the August notification. The uncertainty generated by this situation substantially worsened the concerns of our customers and suppliers as to our financial stability and our long term viability, and negatively impacted our commercial activity and sources of liquidity. Following the European Commission s preliminary examination of the French State s measures described in the August notification, it opened a formal investigation procedure under Article 88(2) of the EC Treaty on 17 September 2003. When opening this procedure, the Commission stated that it believed the conditions for the issuance of an injunction were present pursuant to applicable EU regulations. Specifically, the Commission threatened to oppose the implementation of certain parts of the financing package regarded as irreversible until it had reached a final decision on their State aid legitimacy and compatibility with the common market regulations. On 17 September 2003, the Commission announced that it had authorised the Competition Commissioner to issue an injunction unless the French authorities agreed not to participate in measures that would automatically

and irreversibly result in the French State s participation in our equity capital prior to clearance by the Commission of the financing package.

Revised financing package

As a consequence, we entered into new discussions with our banks, the French State and the European Commission towards designing a revised package to meet our financial needs while complying with European

9

Commission requirements. On 22 September 2003, we announced that we had reached a revised agreement on our financing package. While this revised package is still subject to European Commission review, the Commission has announced that it does not intend to issue an injunction against any parts of the package, and the implementation of the related transactions may go forward without delay.

On 8 November 2003, the European Commission announced formally, in the Official Journal of the European Union, that it was extending the procedure to determine whether the package is compatible with the common market.

The revised financing package includes:

□300 million of subordinated bonds with a 20 year maturity to be issued to the French State, which will be automatically reimbursable with shares upon the approval of the reimbursement with shares by the European Commission (TSDDRA or titres subordonnés à durée déterminée remboursables en actions). These subordinated bonds will carry an annual interest rate of 2% until a decision of the European Commission is obtained, at which point (if the decision is negative) the rate will be adjusted to EURIBOR plus 5%, of which 1.5% will be capitalised annually and paid upon reimbursement. The issue price for each bond will be □1.25, and each will be reimbursable with one share, subject to antidilution adjustments;

□200 million of subordinated bonds with a 15 year maturity to be issued to the French State (TSDD or titres subordonnées à durée déterminée). These subordinated bonds will carry an interest rate of EURIBOR plus 5%, of which 1.5% will be capitalised annually and paid upon reimbursement; and

The offerings described above are to be submitted for approval by our shareholders at an Ordinary and Extraordinary Meeting to be held on 18 November 2003 (on second call). The capital increase, ORA, TSDDRA and TSDD offerings will be implemented as soon as possible following shareholder approval.

Assuming that the offerings described above are approved for and take place, and that the European Commission approves the reimbursement with shares of the TSDDRA, the French State would own 31.5% of our shares and voting rights following the reimbursement of the TSDDRA, before taking into account the conversion or reimbursement of the ORAs. After taking into account the reimbursement of the ORAs, the French State would own 16.25% of our shares and voting rights.

The revised financing package also includes:

subordinated loans with 5-year maturity totalling approximately \$\preceq\$1,500 million (PSDD or \$pr\hat{e}t\$ subordonn\hat{e} \hat{a}\$ dur\hat{e}e d\hat{e}termin\hat{e}e). The banks have agreed to provide approximately \$\preceq\$1,200 million of the total amount of these subordinated loans, with the remainder to be provided by the French State. The loans may be increased by up to \$\preceq\$100 million subject to certain conditions. The rate of interest on these subordinated loans is EURIBOR plus 4.5%, of which 1.5% will be capitalised annually and paid upon reimbursement. The Subordinated Debt Facility Agreement relating to these loans was entered into on 30 September 2003; and

10

a contract bonding guarantee facility of $\square 3,500$ million provided by a syndicate of banks to support our continued commercial activity. A French State-controlled financial institution will counter guarantee 65% of the aggregate amount of these bonds. This facility was entered into on 29 August 2003, was amended on 1 October 2003 and is fully in place.

Pending our receipt of proceeds from the financing package and the disposal of our T&D activities, our short-term liquidity is being supported through the purchase of commercial paper (billets de trésorerie) by a syndicate of banks (for $\square 120$ million), and the purchase of commercial paper by the *Caisse des Dépôts et Consignations*, a financial institution controlled by the French State (for $\square 300$ million). This commercial paper will be rolled over until 12 months after the date of final issuance occurring before 8 February 2004. In addition, a syndicate of banks financed the early reimbursement to us of $\square 180$ million of debt due to us from two special purpose entities in connection with Marine vendor financing. Further, the *Caisse des Dépôts et Consignations* has also committed to provide us with up to $\square 900$ million in commercial paper financing which will be available to us until the long term portion of our financing package becomes available (expected in December 2003), except that $\square 100$ million may remain outstanding until we receive the main proceeds from the sale of our T&D activities (expected in January 2004). All these facilities are fully in place.

For information about our new liquidity profile, please see Liquidity and capital resources Maturity and liquidity below.

11

GENERAL COMMENTS ON ACTIVITY AND RESULTS

Key financial figures

The following tables set out, on a consolidated basis, some of our key financial and operating figures:

Total						
Group	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03		First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Full Year 2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in 🗌 n	nillion)		
Order backlog	33,611	30,330	30,330	27,174	(19%)	(10%)
Orders received	10,537	8,586	19,123	7,439	(29%)	(13%)
Sales	10,769	10,582	21,351	8,854	(18%)	(16%)
Operating						
income	542	(1,049)	(507)	132		
Operating						
margin	5.0%	(9.9%)	(2.4%)	1.5%		
EBIT	322	(1,451)	(1,129)	(296)		
Capital						
Employed	6,697	4,949	4,949	3,903		
ROCE	9.6%	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Net income	11	(1,443)	(1,432)	(624)		
Free Cash						
Flow ⁽¹⁾	75	(340)	(265)	(674)		
Total						
Group	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Comparable						
figures ⁽²⁾	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in 🏻 n	nillion)		
Order backlog	n/a	28,496	28,496	27,174	n/a	(5%)
Orders received	9,677	7,973	17,650	7,439	(23%)	(7%)
Sales	9,697	9,886	19,583	8,854	(9%)	(10%)
Operating	·	·		•	,	, ,
income	495	(998)	(503)	132		
Operating		,	,			
margin	5.1%	(10.1%)	(2.6%)	1.5%		
Total Group	First Half	2 nd Half	Full Year	First Half	% Variation	% Variation
Group	Sept. 02	March 03	2002/03	Sept. 03	Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/
Proforma figures ⁽³⁾	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
				nillion)		
Order backlog	n/a	26,351	26,351	24,844	n/a	(6%)

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Orders received	8,264	6,292	14,556	5,541	(33%)	(12%)
Sales	8,660	8,418	17,078	7,308	(16%)	(13%)
Operating						
income	433	(1,243)	(810)	34		
Operating						
margin	5.0%	(14.8%)	(4.7%)	0.5%		

- (1) See Change in business composition and presentation of our accounts, non-GAAP measures Use and reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures .
- (2) Adjusted for changes in business composition and exchange rates. See Change in business composition and presentation of our accounts, non-GAAP measures Comparable basis .
- (3) Adjusted to reflect the effect of the disposals of the T&D Sector, excluding the Power Conversion business, and our Industrial Turbines activities. For the derivation of pro-forma sales, operating income and operating margin, see our Pro-forma Consolidated Financial Statements year ended 31 March 2003 and half-year ended 30 September 2003 for more details. We derived order backlog and orders received in an analogous manner.

12

General comments on activity

Since 31 March 2003, we have continued to face market uncertainties, a tightening contract performance bond market and, more generally, a weak global economy. The power generation new equipment and cruise-ship markets remained at historically low levels. The transport market remained relatively healthy, but as a whole lower than the record level of the previous year. The power service market remained sound. Against these globally difficult market conditions, uncertainty regarding the Group s financial condition contributed to a significant decline in orders received during the first half of the fiscal year.

Orders received and backlog

In addition to weak markets for equipment and projects, the Group s commercial activity for the first half of fiscal year 2004 was significantly impacted by customer uncertainty as to our financial future, as well as by difficulties in issuing contract bonds. These two factors had a number of significant negative impacts on our commercial activity during the period preceding the announcement of our new financing package. In light of the long-term nature of many of our projects, customers delayed placing new orders or did not place orders with us and/or delayed making advance or progress payments pending clarification of our financial perspectives.

This contributed to the low level of orders received during the first half of fiscal year 2004. Orders decreased on a comparable basis by 23% and 7% compared with the first and second halves of fiscal year 2003 respectively (29% and 13% respectively on an actual basis). Our backlog was [27,174 million at 30 September 2003, representing approximately 18 months of sales.

Sales

On an actual basis, sales decreased by 18 % in the first half of fiscal year 2004 as compared with first half of fiscal year 2003. This is due to the decrease in orders received in fiscal year 2003, mainly in Power Turbo-Systems as a result of the strong decline in orders that started to materialise last year as well as to the disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses and the decline of the US dollar against the Euro. On a comparable basis, sales decreased by 9% versus the first half of fiscal year 2003.

Operating income

Following the discovery of accounting improprieties at ATI (as announced on 30 June 2003 just prior to the General Shareholders Meeting on 2 July 2003), we subsequently conducted reviews of other ATI contracts. They led management to make revised estimates of costs to complete contracts in our Transport Sector, leading to additional charges of []102 million in relation to the US Transport business. Separately, two key subcontractors on a utility boiler contract in the US declared bankruptcy, causing a charge estimated at []60 million for the Power Environment Sector. In addition, the decrease in sales, which was not completely offset by a corresponding decrease in operating expenses due to the time necessary to realise the benefits of our restructuring programmes, led to a decrease in our operating income.

As a consequence, our operating income in the first half of the fiscal year 2004 was []132 million or 1.5% of sales.

Net income/loss

Net loss after goodwill amortisation was \square 624 million as a result of the low level of operating income, higher financial expenses and restructuring charges and lower than anticipated deferred tax credits.

Free Cash Flow

Our free cash flow was [](674) million in the first half of fiscal year 2004 as a result of:

cash outflows of ☐394 million on the GT24/GT26 gas turbines (as compared with ☐1,055 million for the full fiscal year 2003);

13

higher restructuring and financial expenses; and

deterioration of our working capital, related among other things to the strong decline in orders received in the first half of fiscal year 2004 as a result of the uncertainty generated by our financial situation during the summer and to customers and suppliers seeking payment terms that were less favourable to us.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

There have been no major developments since 30 September 2003. However, we have seen an encouraging rebound in orders in our Sectors where orders received and secured (meaning the contract is signed but not formally registered in our backlog because it has not yet come into force) by the end of October represented approximately [1,400 million] (out of which [700 million] for Transport).

OUTLOOK

The timing of recovery in the power generation equipment and cruise-ship markets is uncertain over the short to medium-term, while we believe that the transport market should remain sound. We expect overall demand in power generation equipment to remain generally low over the coming months but we are confident that market fundamentals will lead, in the medium to long-term, to growing demand for both new equipment and service. We believe as well that the financing package announced in September 2003 will positively impact our commercial prospects. Sales should continue to decrease in the second half of fiscal year 2004 when compared with fiscal year 2003 due to the lower level of orders received in fiscal year 2003 mainly in the former Power Sector.

The current situation regarding our markets and the extent and timing of the impact of our financing package on our commercial operations make it difficult to predict our likely future financial results. For internal planning purposes, however, we have set a number of financial objectives, including achieving consolidated sales of more than \Box 15 billion (excluding our T&D and Industrial Turbines activities) and an operating margin of approximately 6% by fiscal year 2006. Our ability to achieve these objectives depends on the results of our extensive restructuring and cost reduction plans, the recovery and downsizing of Power Turbo-Systems, the closing out of the GT24/GT26 issue, the implementation of the financing package, the improvement of our Transport operating margin to 7%, and the progressive shift of our business mix towards more profitable after market and service activities.

We have also set internal objectives with respect to our free cash flow and our economic debt.

We expect our free cash flow to be negative through the end of fiscal year 2005, and we are currently anticipating approximately
□(1,200) million of free cash flow on a cumulative basis for fiscal years 2004 and 2005. This figure takes into account anticipated cash outflows linked to the GT24/GT26 gas turbines, which will continue in the second half of fiscal year 2004 and in fiscal year 2005, as well as significant restructuring costs. We have an objective to achieve positive cash flow once the cash outflows on the GT24/GT26 cease.

We have set as an objective to reduce our economic debt below $\square 2.5$ billion by March 2005 (on the basis of our current definition and before any change in accounting principles and without taking into account the conversion of the 20 year-bond reserved for the French State) through the proceeds from disposals, the $\square 300$ million capital increase and the issuance of mandatory convertible bonds, each of which is part of our financing package described above.

The foregoing are forward-looking statements, and as a result they are subject to uncertainties. The success of our strategy and action plan, our sales, operating margin and financial position could differ materially from the goals and targets expressed above if any of the risks we describe in our Annual Report for fiscal year 2003 as updated and in our Annual Report on Form 20F in the sections entitled Forward Looking Statements and Risk Factors, or other unknown risks, materialise.

14

CHANGE IN BUSINESS COMPOSITION AND PRESENTATION OF OUR ACCOUNTS, NON-GAAP MEASURES

Changes in business composition

Our results for the first half of fiscal year 2004 as compared with the first and second halves of fiscal year 2003 have been significantly impacted by the disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses and to a lesser extent by the disposal of our activities in South Africa in September 2002. Our Industrial Turbines were disposed of under two transactions: our small gas turbines business was sold with effect from 30 April 2003 and our medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses were sold with effect from 1 August 2003. Certain minor sites have yet to be transferred to Siemens pending completion of legal procedures relating, for example, to anti-competition laws in select jurisdictions.

Use and reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures

From time to time in this section, we disclose figures which are non-GAAP financial indicators. Under the rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Commission des Opérations de Bourse (COB), a non-GAAP financial indicator is a numerical measurement of our historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows that excludes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measurement calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP in our consolidated income statement, consolidated balance sheet or consolidated statement of cash flows; or includes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable measurement so calculated and presented. In this regard, GAAP refers to generally accepted accounting principles in France.

Free cash flow

We define free cash flow to mean net cash provided by (used in) operating activities less capital expenditures, net of proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment and Increase (decrease) in variation in existing receivables considered as a source of funding of our activity. Total proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows include proceeds from our real estate disposal programme designed under our strategy and action plan that we eliminate from the calculation of the free cash flow given that this programme is non-recurring and that we consider only the receipt of minor proceeds as part of our normal operations.

Free cash flow does not represent net cash provided by (used in) operating activities, as calculated under French GAAP. The most directly comparable financial measure to Free cash flows calculated and presented in accordance with French GAAP is Net cash provided by (used in) Operating activities, and a reconciliation of free cash flows and Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities is presented below.

Total Group	First Half	2 nd Half	Full Year	First Half
	Sept. 02	March 03	2002/03	Sept. 03
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

		(in 🏻 milli	on)	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating				
activities	83	(620)	(537)	(731)
Elimination of variation in existing receivables	152	509	661	144
Capital expenditures	(200)	(210)	(410)	(105)
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and				
equipment	40	212	252	166
Elimination of proceeds from our programme of				
disposal of real estate assets		(231)	(231)	(148)
				
Free Cash Flow	75	(340)	(265)	(674)
		(0.10)	, 55)	(61.1)

We use the free cash flow measure both for internal analysis purposes as well as for external communications, as we believe it provides more accurate insight into the actual amount of cash generated or used by our operations. Management believes the presentation of free cash flow is beneficial to investors for this reason.

Economic Debt

We define economic debt to mean net debt (or financial debt net of short term investments and cash and cash equivalents) plus cash proceeds from sale of trade receivables (securitisation of existing receivables). Economic debt does not represent our financial debt as calculated under French GAAP, and should not be considered as an indicator of our currently outstanding indebtedness, as trade receivables securitised are sold irrevocably and generally without recourse. The financial measure most directly comparable to economic debt calculated and presented in accordance with French GAAP is financial debt, and a reconciliation of economic debt and financial debt as measured in accordance with French GAAP is presented below.

Total Group	At 30 Sept. 2002		At 30 Sept. 2003
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	At 31 March 2003	(Unaudited)
		(in [] million)	
Financial Debt	4,312	6,331	6,076
Undated subordinated notes ⁽¹⁾	250		
Short term investments	(265)	(142)	(98)
Cash and cash equivalents	(2,126)	(1,628)	(1,671)
Cash proceeds from sale of trade receivables	884	357	212
Economic debt	3,055	4,918	4,519

⁽¹⁾ Our undated subordinated notes have been reclassified in Financial debt as at 31 March 2003.

We use the economic debt measure both for internal analysis purposes as well as for external communications, as we believe it provides a more accurate measure by which to analyse our total external sources of funding for our operations and its variation from one period to another.

Capital Employed/Return on Capital Employed (ROCE)

We define capital employed to mean fixed assets, net, plus current assets (excluding net amount of securitisation of existing receivables), less provisions for risks and charges and current liabilities. The main part of our other fixed assets is allocated to Corporate s capital employed because they are managed by Corporate; they mainly include loans in respect of Marine Vendor Financing and prepaid assets-pensions. Further, we use capital employed to calculate return on capital employed (ROCE), which we define as EBIT divided by capital employed. Capital employed does not represent current assets, as calculated under French GAAP. The most directly comparable financial measure to capital employed and presented in accordance with French GAAP is current assets, and a reconciliation of capital employed and current assets is presented below. Capital employed by Sector and for the Group as a whole are also presented in Note 16 to the 30 September 2003 Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Total Group	At 30 Sept. 2002	At 31 March	At 30 Sept. 2003
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	2003	(Unaudited)
		(in [] million)	
Current assets	13,010	11,728	11,031
Cash proceeds from sale of trade receivables	884	357	212
Current liabilities	(13,956)	(12,917)	(12,173)
Provisions for risk and charges	(3,197)	(3,698)	(3,500)
Fixed assets	9,955	9,478	8,333
Capital employed	6,696	4,948	3,903

We use the capital employed and ROCE measures both for internal analysis purposes as well as for external communications, as we believe they provide insight into the amount of financial resources employed by a Sector or the Group as a whole and the profitability of a Sector or the Group as a whole in regard to the resources employed. Management believes the presentation of capital employed and ROCE is useful to investors for this reason.

Comparable basis

The figures presented in this section include performance indicators presented on an actual basis and on a comparable basis. Figures have been given on a comparable basis in order to eliminate the impact of changes in business composition and changes resulting from the translation of our accounts into Euro following the variation of foreign currencies against the Euro. All figures provided on a comparable basis are non-GAAP measures. We use figures prepared on a comparable basis both for our internal analysis and for our external communications, as we believe they provide means by which to analyse and explain variations from one period to another. However, these figures provided on a comparable basis are unaudited and are not measurements of performance under either French or US GAAP.

To prepare figures on a comparable basis, we have performed the following adjustments to the corresponding figures presented on an actual basis:

restatement of the actual figures for the first and second halves of fiscal year 2003 using 30 September 2003 exchange rates for order backlog, orders received, sales and operating income and elements constituting our operating income; and

adjustments due to changes in business composition have then been made to the same line items for first and second halves of fiscal year 2003. More particularly contributions of material activities sold since 1 April 2003 have been excluded from the figures reported in the first and second halves of fiscal year 2003, mainly the South Africa business disposed of in September 2002 and Industrial Turbine businesses disposed of in the first half of fiscal year 2004.

17

The following table sets out the estimated impact of changes in exchange rates and in business composition (Scope impact) for all indicators disclosed in this document both on an actual basis and on a comparable basis for the first and second halves of fiscal year 2003. No adjustment has been made on figures disclosed for the first half of fiscal year 2004.

		1 st	Half			2 nd H	lalf		Full year	Full year	1 st Half
		Septemb	er 2002		March 2003				2002/03	Sept. 2003	
				Compar-				Compar-		Compar-	
Unaudited figures	Actual figures	Exchange rate	Scope impact	able figures	Actual figures	Exchange rate	Scope impact	able figures	Actual figures	able figures	Actual figures
						(in [] millio	on)				
Power						` _	,				
Turbo-systems	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3,445	(53)	0	3,392	3,445	3,392	3,027
Power Environment	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3,863	(42)	0	3,821	3,863	3,821	3,452
Power Service	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,793	(142)	0	2,651	2,793	2,651	2,860
Industrial Turbines	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,285	(29)	(1,256)	0	1,285	0	0
Power	13,599	n/a	n/a	n/a	11,386	(266)	(1,256)	9,864	11,386	9,864	9,339
T&D	2,960	(112)	0	2,848	2,694	(71)	0	2,623	2,694	2,623	2,894
Transport	14,784	(739)	0	14,045	14,675	(240)	0	14,435	14,675	14,435	13,795
Marine	2,229	0	0	2,229	1,523	0	0	1,523	1,523	1,523	1,041
Corporate and other	39	(1)	0	38	52	(1)	0	51	52	51	105
	00.044					(530)	(4.050)				07.474
ORDER BACKLOG Power	33,611	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,330	(578)	(1,256)	28,496	30,330	28,496	27,174
Turbo-systems	1,368	(65)	0	1,303	453	(9)	0	444	1,821	1,747	839
Power Environment	1,469	(116)	0	1,353	1,114	(14)	0	1,100	2,583	2,453	1,042
Power Service	1,686	(131)	0	1,555	1,248	(57)	0	1,191	2,934	2,746	1,368
Industrial Turbines	508	(18)	(170)	320	757	(16)	(421)	320	1,265	640	320
Power	5,031	(330)	(170)	4,531	3,572	(96)	(421)	3,055	8,603	7,586	3,569
T&D	2,067	(78)	(85)	1,904	1,664	(44)	0	1,620	3,731	3,524	1,801
Transport	3,300	(165)	0	3,135	3,112	(51)	0	3,061	6,412	6,196	1,672
Marine	26	0	0	26	137	0	0	137	163	163	340
Corporate and other	113	(3)	(29)	81	101	(1)	0	100	214	181	57
ORDERS											
RECEIVED	10,537	(576)	(284)	9,677	8,586	(192)	(421)	7,973	19,123	17,650	7,439
Power											
Turbo-systems	2,413	(144)	0	2,269	1,444	(29)	0	1,415	3,857	3,684	1,211
Power Environment	1,457	(142)	0	1,315	1,641	(48)	0	1,593	3,098	2,908	1,331
Power Service	1,350	(133)	0	1,217	1,328	(63)	0	1,265	2,678	2,482	1,361
Industrial Turbines	592	(16)	(366)	210	676	(16)	(450)	210	1,268	420	210
Power	5,812	(435)	(366)	5,011	5,089	(156)	(450)	4,483	10,901	9,494	4,113
T&D	1,778	(78)	(65)	1,635	1,827	(38)	0	1,789	3,605	3,424	1,562
Transport	2,339	(101)	0	2,238	2,733	(51)	0	2,682	5,072	4,920	2,297
Marine	725	0	0	725	843	0	0	843	1,568	1,568	822
Corporate and other	115	(1)	(26)	88	90	(1)	0	89	205	177	60
SALES	10,769	(615)	(457)	9,697	10,582	(246)	(450)	9,886	21,351	19,583	8,854
Power											
Turbo-systems	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	(1,399)		
Power Environment	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	224	213	24
Power Service	n/a		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	403	380	196
Industrial Turbines	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82	28	14
Power	270		2	241	(960)		(54)	(926)			
T&D	110	(3)	(9)	98	117	(1)	0	116	227	214	84
Transport	90	(5)	0	85	(114)	19	0	(95)	(24)	(10)	(37)

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Marine Corporate and other	16 56	0 (1)	0	16 55	8 (100)	0 (1)	0	(101)	24 (44)	24 (46)	4 (26)
OPERATING INCOME	542	(40)	(7)	495	(1,049)	105	(54)	(998)	(507)	(503)	132

A significant part of our sales and expenditures are realised and incurred in currencies other than the Euro. The principal currencies to which we had significant exposures in fiscal year 2004 were the US Dollar, British Pound, Swiss Franc, Mexican Peso and Brazilian Real. Our orders received and sales have been impacted by the translation of our accounts into Euros resulting from changes in value of the Euro against other currencies in fiscal year 2004. The impact was a decrease of approximately 6 % compared with first half of fiscal year 2003.

Proforma figures

The figures presented in this section include financial measures and performance indicators presented on a proforma basis. Proforma figures have been adjusted to reflect the effect of the disposals of the T&D Sector, excluding the Power Conversion business, and our Industrial Turbines activities. For the derivation of pro-forma sales, operating income and operating margin, see our Pro-forma Consolidated Financial Statements year ended 31 March 2003 and half-year ended 30 September 2003 for more details. We derived order backlog and orders received in an analogous manner.

KEY GEOGRAPHICAL FIGURES FOR FIRST AND SECOND HALF OF FISCAL YEAR 2003 AND FIRST HALF OF FISCAL YEAR 2004

Geographical analysis of orders

The table below sets out, on an actual basis, the geographic breakdown of orders received by region of destination.

	Proforma F	igures					
First Half Sept. 02 (Unaudited)	% contrib.	2 nd Half March 03 (Unaudited)	% contrib.	First Half Sept. 03 (Unaudited)	% contrib.	First Half Sept. 03 (Unaudited)	% contrib.
			 (in [] mi	llion)			
5,180	49%	3,709	43%	3,819	51%	2,818	51%
2,138	20%	2,466	29%	1,034	14%	869	16%
603	6%	395	5%	314	4%	244	4%
1,638	16%	1,079	13%	1,193	16%	849	15%
978	9%	937	11%	1,079	15%	761	14%
10,537	100%	8,586	100%	7,439	100%	5,541	100%
	Sept. 02 (Unaudited) 5,180 2,138 603 1,638 978	Sept. 02 % (Unaudited) contrib. 5,180 49% 2,138 20% 603 6% 1,638 16% 978 9%	First Half Sept. 02 % (Unaudited) (Unaudited) (Unaudited) (Unaudited) 5,180 49% 3,709 2,138 20% 2,466 603 6% 395 1,638 16% 1,079 978 9% 937	Sept. 02 March 03 % (Unaudited) contrib. (Unaudited) contrib. 5,180 49% 3,709 43% 2,138 20% 2,466 29% 603 6% 395 5% 1,638 16% 1,079 13% 978 9% 937 11%	First Half Sept. 02	First Half Sept. 02 % March 03 % Sept. 03 % (Unaudited) contrib. (Unaudited) contrib. (Unaudited) contrib. (Unaudited) contrib. Contrib	First Half Sept. 02

For the first half of fiscal year 2004, the geographic breakdown showed a decrease of the North America s contribution as compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003. For other regions the breakdown was broadly equivalent. The decrease in North America was mainly due to the decrease in orders received by Transport, which were at exceptionally high levels last year, due to over-capacity in the power generation market and to the fall of the US dollar against the Euro.

Europe remained the largest market in terms of orders received representing 51% of the total. This region remained important for Transport as well as for Power Environment.

Activity in South and Central America remained low and markets were depressed in Brazil for Power Environment and Power Service.

The Asia / Pacific region remained stable, at 16% of the total. The level of orders received during the first half of fiscal year 2004 was lower as compared with last year although we believe the prospects for the future are positive.

The share of Middle East/Africa in orders received increased from 9% in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and 11% in the second half of fiscal year 2003, up to 15% in first half of fiscal year 2004, as a result of orders received by our Power Turbo-Systems Sector in Algeria and Bahrain.

19

Geographical analysis of sales by region of destination

The table below sets out, on an actual basis, the geographical breakdown of sales by region of destination.

	Actual Figures						Proforma Figures	
Total Group	First Half Sept. 02 (unaudited)	% contrib.	2nd Half March 03 (unaudited)	% contrib.	First Half Sept. 03 (unaudited)	% contrib.	First Half Sept. 03 (unaudited)	% contrib.
				 (in ∏ m	illion)			
Europe	4,303	40%	4,917	46%	4,161	47%	3,437	47%
North								
America	2,673	25%	2,046	19%	1,662	19%	1,435	20%
South and								
Central								
America	775	7%	759	7%	488	6%	410	6%
Asia / Pacific	1,833	17%	1,894	18%	1,875	21%	1,572	22%
Middle East /								
Africa	1,185	11%	967	9%	668	8%	454	6%
Sales by destination	10,769	100%	10,583	100%	8,854	100%	7,308	100%

Although the level of sales in Europe decreased in actual terms, Europe s share of total sales increased from 40% in the first half of last fiscal year to 46% in the first half of fiscal year 2004. This is the result of the significant decrease in sales in other areas such as North America and South and Central America.

North America decreased mainly as a result of the low level of sales in the field of power generation, reflecting the evolution of this market.

Asia/Pacific remained stable in absolute terms at about \square 1.9 billion, and its share of total sales increased as major Power plant deliveries were achieved in South East Asia.

POWER SECTORS

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for the former Power Sector:

Power	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Veen	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation	% Variation
Actual	((Upoudited)	Full Year 2002/03	(I Inc. dited)	Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/ March 03
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	Warch 03
			(in [] n	nillion)		
Order						
backlog	13,599	11,386	11,386	9,339	(31%)	(18%)
Orders						
received	5,031	3,572	8,603	3,569	(29%)	(0%)
Sales	5,812	5,089	10,901	4,113	(29%)	(19%)
Operating		4				
income	270	(960)	(690)	107		
Operating						
margin	4.6%	(18.9%)	(6.3%)	2.6%		
EBIT	133	(1,196)	(1,063)	(118)		
Capital						
Employed	3,529	2,383	2,383	1,679		
ROCE	7.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Power	First Half	2 nd Half	Full Year	First Half		
	Sept. 02	March 03	2002/03	Sept. 03	% Variation	% Variation
Comparable					Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in 🛚 n	nillion)		
Order					,	.=
backlog	n/a	9,864	9,864	9,339	n/a	(5%)
Orders					(5.154)	
received	4,531	3,055	7,586	3,569	(21%)	17%
Sales	5,011	4,483	9,494	4,113	(18%)	(8%)
Operating		(2.2.2)	()			
income	241	(926)	(685)	107		
Operating		(()	(-)			
margin	4.8%	(20.7%)	(7.2%)	2.6%		
Danner	Firet Half	Ond Half		Fire the M		
Power	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03		First Half Sept. 03	% Variation	% Variation
	3ept. 02	Maich 03	Full Year	3ept. 03	Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/
Proforma	(Lineudited)	(Lineudited)	2002/03	(I Improdited)	=	March 03
figures ⁽¹⁾	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	Warch 03
Ouden			(ın ∐ n	nillion)		
Order	/-	10 101	10 101	0.000	-/-	(00/)
backlog	n/a	10,101	10,101	9,339	n/a	(8%)
Orders	4.500	0.045	7 000	0.040	(000/)	4.50/
received	4,523	2,815	7,338	3,249	(28%)	15%
Sales	5,220	4,413	9,633	3,903	(25%)	(12%)
Operating	-/-	/-	(770)	00		
income	n/a	n/a	(772)	93		

Operating margin	n/a	n/a	(8.0%)	2.4%	
(1)	Proforma fig	ures excluding Industr	ial Turbines businesse	es.	

The Power Sector was reorganised into three new Sectors following the end of fiscal year 2003. The figures shown above and discussed below reflect this new organisation. However, due to the reorganisations, and to intra-segments/inter-segments transactions, the figures reported for fiscal year 2003 cover only full year operating income with no split of operating income by semester.

POWER TURBO-SYSTEMS

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for the Power Turbo-systems Sector:

Power Turbo- Systems	First Half	2 nd Half		First Half	% Variation	% Variation
	Sept. 02	March 03	Full Year	Sept. 03	Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in [] n	nillion)		
Order						
backlog	n/a	3,445	3,445	3,027	n/a	(12%)
Orders						
received	1,368	453	1,821	839	(39%)	85%
Sales	2,413	1,444	3,857	1,211	(50%)	(16%)
Operating						
income	n/a	n/a	(1,399)	(127)		
Operating						
margin	n/a	n/a	(36.3%)	(10.5%)		
EBIT	n/a	n/a	(1,527)	(219)		
Capital						
Employed	n/a	n/a	n/a	(1,466)		
ROCE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Power						
Turbo-						
Systems	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Comparable						
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			 (in ∏ n	nillion)		
Order						
backlog	n/a	3,392	3,392	3,027	n/a	(11%)
Orders						
received	1,303	444	1,747	839	(36%)	89%
Sales	2,269	1,415	3,684	1,211	(47%)	(14%)
Operating						
income	n/a	n/a	(1,306)	(127)		
Operating margin	n/a	n/a	(35.5%)	(10.5%)		
	, a	, 4	(33.373)	(1010/0)		

Orders received

The first half of fiscal year 2004 saw a continued downward trend in the power generation market due to a decline in US activity and a slowdown in other parts of the world, except for China. The uncertain global economic climate led to delayed decisions about new capital investments. Overall, North America experienced over-capacity and the new market forces shifted the focus away from favouring merchant power plants to regulated businesses (utilities). In Europe certain markets still show demand such as in Spain,

Italy and the northern countries; however sluggish economies may impact the progress of deregulation and unbundling in the power generation and transmission market. The regional demand in the Middle East remained sustained. Asia was much better oriented and economic growth is coming back after the crisis of the late 1990 s. China continued to develop a very large equipment ordering programme to meet with strong electricity demand but most of this is met by local suppliers.

The increased price volatility for fuel and electricity emanating from the liberalisation of markets re-emphasised the need for flexibility and diversity of power generation technologies.

On an actual basis, orders received by Turbo-Systems for the first half of fiscal year 2004 were 85% higher than the second half of fiscal year 2003, but 39% lower than for the first half of fiscal year 2003, reflecting the overall market environment described above.

During the first half of fiscal year 2004, Power Turbo-Systems booked the following major orders:

F Kirina, an open cycle plant in Algeria (2x GT13 E2 gas turbines);

Alba P4, a combined cycle plant (2x GT 13 E2) for Aluminium Bahrain; and

Several Steam turbine retrofit orders, both in Europe and in the US.

By geography, compared to the second half of fiscal year 2003, orders received significantly decreased by 20% in Europe, while North America dropped by 58%. Steam turbine retrofits remained active, essentially for

22

nuclear plants. South America was extremely depressed with a limited expected level of new infrastructure investments in the near future. Asia is still an important market, but no significant project materialised during the first half of fiscal year 2004. The most active region was Middle East, with a total amount of orders received for the 6 month period already higher than the full fiscal year 2003.

Sales

Sales by Power Turbo-Systems in the first half of fiscal year 2004 decreased by 50% compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003, on an actual basis, and by 47% on a comparable basis. This is mainly due to very high level achieved during the first half of last year, as a continuation of the exceptional level of orders received in the prior years. The lower level of orders received in the fiscal years 2002 and 2003, have had a significant negative impact on the current year s sales.

On a actual basis, sales for Power Turbo-Systems in the first half of fiscal year 2004 decreased by 16% compared with the second half of fiscal year 2003. The effect of the decline in orders started to materialise in the second half of last year, in particular for the sale of turbines to power plants.

By geography, compared to the second half of fiscal year 2003, North America decreased by 35%, Europe decreased by 22%, while Asia/Pacific increased by 51%. This is due to the high volumes traded on Power plant contracts, particularly in Malaysia, Vietnam and Singapore.

Operating income and operating margin

Power Turbo-Systems operating income was [127] million in the first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with [1,399] million in the full fiscal year 2003 on an actual basis. The main reasons for negative operating income in the first half of fiscal year 2004 were the low level of sales recognised in the period and a charge of 22 million, as certain anticipated achievements in our mitigation plan for the GT24/GT26 did not materialise.

Operating income in fiscal year 2003 was strongly impacted by the negative effects of the GT24/GT26 gas turbines problems and the related exceptional provisions and accrued contract costs, and by a sharp decrease in sales as compared with fiscal year 2002.

Update on GT24/GT26 Gas Turbine Issues

GT24 and GT26 gas turbines, with outputs of 180 MW and 260 MW, respectively, are the largest of our extensive range of gas turbines. The technology was originally developed by ABB in the mid-1990s, with most sales made prior to the acquisition by ALSTOM. These turbines are based on an advanced design concept. At the start of the commercial operation of the second generation, or B version turbines, in 1999 and 2000, a number of technical issues were identified, showing the turbines would not meet the contractual performance and lifetime obligations.

In response, we set in motion high-priority initiatives to design and implement modifications across the fleet. The first step of these initiatives was to de-rate the units so that they could operate in commercial service with lower efficiency and output, while we developed the technical solutions to allow full rating operation. We also embarked on a comprehensive programme to discuss and resolve any contractual issues with customers. Commercial settlements with customers were negotiated to deal with the consequences of the de-rating. Typically, what was proposed was a Performance Recovery Period of around 2-3 years, prior to implementing the life-time and performance upgrades, that we call a recovery package. This deferred the timing of the date at which provisional acceptance was achieved and related contractual remedies, including liquidated damages, applied. During that period, varying solutions were applied depending on the situation, however in general we replaced short life components at our cost and agreed on contractual amendments, including revised financial conditions, with each customer.

23

We have implemented several technical improvements to the turbines, which permit flexible and reliable operation of the fleet. The cumulative plant reliability since start of commercial operation is now 97% for the GT24/GT26 fleet. Operational reliability and flexibility are important ingredients for our customers, particularly for those in merchant markets.

Our confidence in the technology is being reinforced by the major progress achieved, as modifications aimed at delivering enhancements to output and efficiency have been designed, validated, tested and are being implemented as follows:

Compressor mass flow and efficiency increase for GT26 Successful demonstration of increased electrical output improvement at our full-scale test facility in Birr, Switzerland. Compressor mass flow and efficiency increase for GT24 successfully tested at a power plant in Mexico. Improved, validated and tested compressor upgrades have been installed on existing sites in USA, Spain and Ireland, and are being included in new applications. The fleet lead unit, with the new compressor, has now been in operation for more than 4,000 hours.

High fogging Inlet System Successful demonstration of an increase of more than 6% in electrical output in both the test facility and field validation units. The system can be applied to both existing and new gas turbine installations.

Dual Fuel Capability Successful demonstration in both the test facility and field validation units. The system is now available for commercial application on both existing and new gas installations.

Life-time package 5 engines have been fitted with the blade improvement package, and after 2,300 hours of operation the inspection shows a fully satisfactory behaviour.

The 72 machines in service had accumulated over 730,000 operating hours at high reliability levels as of 30 September 2003.

The commercial situation with respect to the GT24/GT26 gas turbines is also becoming clearer. Of the 80 units, 72 units are in commercial operation, 2 are in commissioning, 2 are in construction and for 4 the contract has been suspended. Commercial settlement is progressing well with several additional agreements concluded (68 settlements agreed or not needed as at 13 November 2003), and all of the 7 litigation cases have now been settled satisfactorily. Under agreements covering 31 of the units, we are committed to or otherwise have the opportunity to make upgrade improvements within agreed time periods. The remaining units, which are in commercial operation, are either in normal warranty or have had those warranty periods expire. The order backlog still includes \$\textstyle{1}498\$ million, in respect of a GT26 contract for 4 units currently suspended on which the owner has an option for termination. If this contract does not proceed, the orders in hand will need to be adjusted accordingly.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2003 unexpected setbacks and delays, now resolved, were experienced in validating and testing several important components of the recovery package, notably the compressor upgrade and full lifetime blades. These delays resulted in our being unable to implement certain scheduled performance recovery measures during the recovery periods agreed with certain of our customers.

In the current state of the energy wholesale markets, customers do not have the incentive to accept these machines. These delays therefore mean significantly increased exposure as customers are less inclined to agree to further extensions of the recovery periods and are invoking penalties and liquidated damages. We also incur additional costs because we have been forced to shut down the machines more frequently to replace short life components at our expense. Our previously expected targets were

therefore not achievable in the current context.

In fiscal year 2000, ABB ALSTOM Power, of which we owned 50% at that time, recorded a total of \square 519 million of provisions and accrued contract costs in respect of the GT24/GT26 gas turbines. In fiscal year 2001, we recorded a total of \square 1,068 million of provisions and accrued contract costs related to the turbines and retained

24

provisions and accrued contract costs of \$\Begin{array}\]1,530 million at 31 March 2001. In fiscal year 2002, we recorded an additional \$\Begin{array}\]1,075 million of provisions and accrued contract costs related to the turbines and retained provisions and accrued contract costs of \$\Begin{array}\]1,489 million at 31 March 2002, including a \$\Begin{array}\]49 million provision in respect of an option exercised on a contract after the bulk of the GT24/GT26 portfolio was sold. We recorded an additional \$\Begin{array}\]1,637 million of provisions and accrued contract costs related to these turbines in fiscal year 2003, including \$\Begin{array}\]83 million recorded in fiscal year 2003 in the Customer Service Segment in respect of contracts transferred to this Segment as part of our after market operations and on which we have no uncovered exposure. We therefore retained \$\Begin{array}\]1,655 million of provisions and accrued contract costs at 31 March 2003 in respect of these turbines after taking into account mitigation plans of \$\Begin{array}\]454 million.

As of 30 September 2003, the mitigation target has been reduced by \$\Bigcup 118\$ million to \$\Bigcup 336\$ million. This reduction included \$\Bigcup 22\$ million related to exchange rate movements, \$\Bigcup 68\$ million of achieved mitigation actions and certain planned mitigation actions which did not materialise resulting in a corresponding \$\Bigcup 28\$ million charge in our operating income for the first half of fiscal year 2004 (\$\Bigcup 22\$ million for Power Turbo-Systems and \$\Bigcup 6\$ million for Power Service). As of 30 September 2003, we retained \$\Bigcup 1,193\$ million of provisions and accrued contract costs. This amount does not include \$\Bigcup 336\$ million of exposure, which we consider the risks mitigated by appropriate action plans.

POWER ENVIRONMENT

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for the Power Environment Sector:

Power Environment	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03		First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Actual	осра од	maron oo	Full Year	осра оо	Copt. Co	осра: оо
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			/in □	-:!!!		
Order backlog	n/a	3,863	3,863	nillion) 3,452	n/a	(11%)
Orders	II/a	3,003	3,003	3,432	II/a	(1170)
received	1,469	1,114	2,583	1,042	(29%)	(6%)
Sales	1,457	1,641	3,098	1,331	(9%)	(19%)
Operating	,	,	,	,	,	,
income	n/a	n/a	224	24		
Operating						
margin	n/a	n/a	7.2%	1.8%		
EBIT	n/a	n/a	107	(29)		
Capital						
Employed	n/a	n/a	n/a	850		
ROCE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Power						
Environment	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Comparable figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
	<u> </u>	<u>`</u>	<u>`</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
			(in ∏ n	nillion)		
Order backlog	n/a	3,821	3,821	3,452	n/a	(10%)
	1,353	1,100	2,453	1,042	(23%)	(5%)

Orders received						
Sales	1,315	1,593	2,908	1,331	1%	(16%)
Operating						
income	n/a	n/a	213	24		
Operating						
margin	n/a	n/a	7.3%	1.8%		

Orders received

Fiscal year 2003 saw an abrupt market downturn in the US particularly in the combined cycle market following a boom during the prior two years. The first half of this year has shown a continuation of that trend. Latin America suffered from economic difficulties last year leading to a drop in the number of projects being built. The Brazilian market in particular was very weak, severely impacting the Hydro business. In Europe, the market remained active in some areas, in particular Germany for Waste to Energy contracts and Italy for Heat Recovery Steam Generators. In Asia, China continued to develop its capacity of electricity generation, with some awards in Hydro; however a large numbers of projects were awarded to local suppliers.

The increased price volatility for fuel and electricity emanating from the liberalisation of markets re-emphasised the need for flexibility and diversity of power generation technologies. Environmental policies are increasingly being integrated into market requirements favouring our environmental control equipment.

Orders received by Power Environment in the first half of fiscal year 2004 were lower than in the first half of 2003, due to the slow down in the markets across all businesses that commenced during the second half of last year. In the first half of fiscal year 2003, large contracts were booked in Utility Boilers (Santee Cooper) & Hydro (Victoria Falls).

On an actual basis, orders received by Power Environment for the first half of fiscal year 2004 decreased by 6% as compared with the second half of fiscal year 2003, and 29% as compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003.

During the first half of fiscal year 2004, Power Environment booked the following major orders:

In Germany, a combined heat and power plant in Sandreuth, two waste incineration boilers in Muenster, new firing systems for lignite-fired boilers in Schkopau; and

In China, a circulating fluidised bed (CFB) boiler in Baima, Sichuan Province.

By geography, orders were strongest in Europe this year. The strongest market has been Germany, primarily the Waste to Energy market, but we also booked orders in Italy and France. Some smaller orders were booked in China and India, and our prospects in Asia remain positive overall. Activity in North and South America continued to be low.

Sales

Sales of Power Environment in the first half of fiscal year 2004 fell 9% compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003, on an actual basis, and increased slightly on a comparable basis. Hydro Business sales were higher compared to first half of last year due to a large order booked in the second half of last year. Utility Boiler sales are significantly lower than first half last year due to low bookings in the second half of last year.

Operating income and operating margin

The operating income of Power Environment was \square 24 million for the first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with \square 224 million for the full fiscal year 2003. This low level included a charge of \square 60 million related to the revised cost of completion of a utility boiler contract in the US due to the bankruptcy of two key subcontractors.

POWER SERVICE

The following table sets forth some key financial and operating data for the Power Service Sector:

Power						
Service	First Half	2 nd Half		First Half		% Variation
	Sept. 02	March 03	March 03 Sept. 03 Full Year	% Variation Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/	
Actual					•	
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			 (in ∏	million)		
Order backlog	n/a	2,793	2,793	2,860	n/a	2%
Orders						
received	1,686	1,248	2,934	1,368	(19%)	10%
Sales	1,350	1,328	2,678	1,361	1%	2%
Operating						
income	n/a	n/a	403	196		
Operating						
margin	n/a	n/a	15.0%	14.4%		
EBIT	n/a	n/a	304	123		
Capital						
Employed	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,295		
ROCE	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.7%		

Table of Content	<u>s</u>					
Power Service	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation	% Variation
Comparable					Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in ∏ m	nillion)		
Order backlog	n/a	2,651	2,651	2,860	n/a	8%
Orders						
received	1,555	1,191	2,746	1,368	(12%)	15%
Sales	1,217	1,265	2,482	1,361	12%	8%
Operating						
income	n/a	n/a	380	196		
Operating						
margin	n/a	n/a	15.3%	14.4%		

Orders received

Fiscal year 2003 saw a continuation of trends already emerging in the last financial year in the service market for gas fired and combined cycle plants. Clients generally were more cost driven and continued to lower their maintenance budgets. Many markets showed impacts of generation over-capacity and high fuel prices leading to less operating hours and deferred spending, thus lowering the potential service business. Despite these difficult circumstances, the business maintained a good workload with regular service work and the development of the sale of service packages and system solutions in addition to parts and repair projects.

The increased price volatility for fuel and electricity following the liberalisation of markets has re-emphasised the need for flexibility and diversity of power generation technologies and environmental policies are increasingly being integrated into market requirements. These developments favour our upgrade solutions for existing equipment.

Complete power plant management solutions are mainly sold for new plants and are therefore directly affected by the market success of new gas fired and combined cycle plants sold by the Power Turbo-Systems Sector.

On a regional basis orders were strong in the US supported by the booking of several time and material contracts and our large installed base of coal fired plants, which have been running at high capacity.

Capacity increase projects in Italy, mainly conversions from Steam to combined cycle power plants, are ongoing. In Spain, new Combined Cycle Gas Turbines will continue to come online in 2003, offering some opportunities for the near future. The German market remained stable while the Eastern European markets were and continue to be slow. In Asia several long term service agreements were signed.

On a comparable basis, orders received for the first half of fiscal year 2004 were 15% higher than the second half of fiscal year 2003, but 12% lower than for the first half of fiscal year 2003. The decrease in orders received compared with the strong first half of fiscal year 2003 was mainly due to the delay in several larger Operation & Maintenance orders.

During the first half of fiscal year 2004, Power Service booked the following major orders:

In the US, an order for a Hot Gas Protection Plant for a GT24 Combined Cycle Plant for La Paloma and an order for a GT11N inspection in Camabalanche;

In Fortaleza, Brazil, an O&M order for a GT11N2 Power plant for CGTF; and

In Olkiluoto, Finland, a modernisation and design contract for new reheaters/moisture separators and High Pressure Turbine for a power plant.

By geography, on an actual basis, orders received decreased by 9% in Europe, essentially due to a decrease in France and UK to some extent compensated by a slight increase in Germany. North America increased by 20%, due to a strong US general construction business and high service volumes on our large installed fleet of coal plants. South America was low during this year while prospects in Asia remain good although volumes have been somewhat below last years level.

27

Sales

Sales booked by Power Service in the first half of fiscal year 2004 were stable as compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003, on an actual basis, and increased 12% on a comparable basis. This is mainly due to a healthy level of sales in the US supported by a strong opening backlog of Time & Material work for environmental contracts and increased sales on O&M contracts as more contracts were starting their operation phase this year.

On a comparable basis, sales for the first half of fiscal year 2004 increased by 8% for the Power Service Sector compared with the second half of fiscal year 2003. This increase was due to several reasons including slightly increasing construction sales in the US and a strong increase in Spain and Columbia supported by higher sales on O&M contracts.

Operating income and operating margin

Power Service operating income was \$\textsup 196\$ million or 14.4% of sales in the first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with \$\textsup 403\$ million or 15.0% of sales for the full fiscal year 2003. This slight decrease resulted from the integration within the Power Service Sector of two loss making activities transferred from the former Industrial Segment, to negative settlements on two old contracts, and to a charge of \$\textsup 6\$ million given that certain anticipated achievements in our mitigation plan for the GT24/GT26 relating to Power Service did not materialise.

INDUSTRIAL TURBINES

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for our Industrial Turbines businesses:

Industrial						
Turbines	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Vanu	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation
Actual	(Unaudited)	(Lineudited)	Full Year	(Unaudited)	Comt 00	Sept. 03/ March 03
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	- Warch 03
			(in ∏	million)		
Order backlog	n/a	1,285	1,285	0	n/a	(100%)
Orders						
received	508	757	1,265	320	(37%)	(58%)
Sales	592	676	1,268	210	(65%)	(69%)
Operating						
income	n/a	n/a	82	14		
Operating						
margin	n/a	n/a	6.5%	6.7%		
EBIT	n/a	n/a	53	7		

Our Industrial Turbines businesses were sold to Siemens in the first half of fiscal year 2004 pursuant to two transactions: our small gas turbines business with effect from 30 April 2003 and our medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses with effect from 1 August 2003. Certain minor sites have yet to be transferred to the buyer pending completion of legal procedures relating, for example, to competition regulations.

The scope of the businesses which we have sold is a sum of several management units, assets and investments for which it is very complex to reconstruct historical data for the first month of last year, for our small gas turbines business, and for the first four months of last year, for our medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses. For the presentation of ALSTOM s comparable consolidated figures for fiscal year 2003, we have taken the same data as for fiscal year 2004.

TRANSMISSION & DISTRIBUTION (T&D)

Our T&D Sector has been sold to Areva, excluding our Power Conversion business, with a completion of the transaction forecasted for the beginning of January 2004.

28

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for our T&D Sector:

T&D	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03		First Half Sept. 03	% Variation	% Variation
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Full Year 2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept. 03/ Sept 02	Sept. 03/ March 03
			(in 🏻 m	nillion)		
Order backlog	2,960	2,694	2,694	2,894	(2%)	7%
Orders						
received	2,067	1,664	3,731	1,801	(13%)	8%
Sales	1,778	1,827	3,605	1,562	(12%)	(15%)
Operating						
income	110	117	227	84		
Operating						
margin	6.2%	6.4%	6.3%	5.4%		
EBIT	61	20	81	6		
	,			•		
ROCE	11.9%	4.2%	8.4%	1.2%		
T&D	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation
Comparable						•
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in 🏻 m	nillion)		
Order backlog	2,848	2,623	2,623	2,894	2%	10%
Orders						
received	1,904	1,620	3,524	1,801	(5%)	11%
Sales	1,635	1,789	3,424	1,562	(4%)	(13%)
Operating						
income	98	116	214	84		
Operating						
margin	6.0%	6.5%	6.3%	5.4%		
Capital Employed ROCE T&D Comparable figures Order backlog Orders received Sales Operating income	1,028 11.9% First Half Sept. 02 (Unaudited) 2,848 1,904 1,635	963 4.2% 2nd Half March 03 (Unaudited) 2,623 1,620 1,789	963 8.4% Full Year 2002/03 (Unaudited) (in [n 2,623 3,524 3,424	1,008 1.2% First Half Sept. 03 (Unaudited) nillion) 2,894 1,801 1,562	Sept. 03/ Sept 02 2% (5%)	Sept. 03/ March 03

Orders received

Over the first half of fiscal year 2004, the transmission and distribution market stabilised after the previous years weak evolution, however at a relatively low level. This was due to macro-economic uncertainty following the Iraq war and political instability in South America. By region, Europe remained weak, especially in the industrial market. North America started to show first signs of recovery. Asia, especially China, continued to show strong growth despite SARS epidemic. India shows also positive perspectives for the coming period following the implementation of a new regulatory framework.

Orders received by T&D in the first half of fiscal year 2004 decreased by 13% compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003, mainly due to exchange rate variations and the impact of the disposal of our activities in South Africa in September 2002. On a comparable basis, the decrease was 5%. The level of orders received has decreased by 14% in Europe, particularly in Germany and the UK. This was partly offset by an increased order intake in Scandinavia and Russia. With total orders of \square 791 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, Europe remained the largest contributor to T&D activity (44% of T&D orders received).

Order intake in the Americas almost halved as compared to the first half of fiscal year 2003, as a result of the negative exchange rate impact and the lack of large orders received, in South America. In the US, there was a decrease in the activity of both Power Conversion and Energy Management Market Businesses.

The African/Middle Eastern market was lower than last year, with a 9% decrease in the level of orders received. This evolution was due to the phasing of large orders that are expected in the second half of the fiscal year. This area remains a growing market for T&D, with large projects being won in the Transmission Projects Business.

T&D s orders in Asia increased by 25% due to a high level of activity in China. The main contributor to this increase was Power Conversion, which booked several large projects in this region.

29

Sales

T&D sales amounted to ☐1,562 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, a decrease of 12% compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003. On a comparable basis, the decrease was limited to 4%.

Sales decreased particularly in the Middle East/Africa, notably due to the disposal of South African activities. This decrease was partially offset by sales in Algeria and Bahrain, where the trading of significant contracts started.

T&D s trading activity in Europe remained stable. This is principally due to the volume of system orders won at the beginning of fiscal year 2003 in Eastern Europe, where the projects won in Kazakhstan and Romania last year started to be traded.

Sales in the Americas dropped 28%. Sales in the Asian market decreased slightly, while the level of trading in China continued to increase mainly in the High Voltage Products business.

Operating income and operating margin

T&D operating income amounted to ☐ 84 million in first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with ☐110 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 on an actual basis, or ☐98 million on a comparable basis. The operating margin was 5.4% in the first half of fiscal year 2004 as compared with 6.2% in the first half of fiscal year 2003. T&D s operating margin was impacted by the low level of sales recorded in the first half of the year in both product and system activities. Despite the improvement in productivity in most of the businesses, as a result of the standardisation programme launched in medium voltage and power transformer activities and to the rationalisation of the production platform launched in Europe, the gross margin and the operating income declined.

TRANSPORT

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for the Transport Sector:

Transport	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in [] r	million)		
Order backlog	14,784	14,675	14,675	13,795	(7%)	(6%)
Orders received	3,300	3,112	6,412	1,672	(49%)	(46%)
Sales	2,339	2,733	5,072	2,297	(2%)	(16%)
Operating income	90	(114)	(24)	(37)		

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Operating margin	3.8%	(4.2%)	(0.5%)	(1.6%)		
EBIT	43	(156)	(113)	(150)		
Capital Employed	759	738	738	467		
ROCE	11.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Transport	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Comparable figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in ∏ mi	llion)		
			(111 📙 1111)	111011)		
Order backlog	14,045	14,435	14,435	13,795	(2%)	(4%)
Order backlog Orders received	14,045 3,135	14,435 3,061	, –	•	(2%) (47%)	(4%) (45%)
J	,	,	14,435	13,795	, ,	' '
Orders received	3,135	3,061	14,435 6,196	13,795 1,672	(4 7%)	(45%)

Orders received

During the first half of fiscal year 2004, the market remained active in Europe and Asia, which partially compensated the downturn in North America. The Tram market remained the most active, and we were awarded several contracts such as in Strasbourg, Valenciennes, and Grenoble in France, and Alicante in Spain. We believe that this market segment will remain active with additional orders in the coming months.

During the first half of the fiscal year 2004, we confirmed 2 significant Metro contracts, one being 84 new vehicles for the London Underground and the other being the supply of 168 new cars for the Yanpu line in Shanghai.

The Information Solutions segment still maintains a high level of potential, and we took advantage of our competitiveness with major orders in Chile, China, South Korea, and the Netherlands.

Several countries, such as Italy, Spain, Switzerland, UK and the Netherlands, are now expanding their high speed networks, which offer very significant business opportunities over the coming months.

The North East line in Singapore having successfully entered into service, represented a significant showcase for our automatic driver-less system capabilities world wide.

The Orders received by Transport in the first half of fiscal year 2004 amounted to ☐1,672 million compared with ☐3,135 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003, on a comparable basis. This decrease by 47% was due to lower order intake in the US where we received in fiscal year 2003 major orders and to some extent to the uncertainties pending the announcement by ALSTOM of our revised financing package. Since this announcement, we have experienced a positive response from the market illustrated by about ☐700 million worth of orders secured in October 2003, which we consider to be a clear sign of renewed customer confidence.

Services, which include maintenance and renovation contracts, represented 21% of the orders received for the first half of fiscal year 2004, as compared with 14% for the first half of fiscal year 2003.

As a percentage of total orders received, Asia & North America represented respectively 11% and 13% of the total orders received compared with 14% and 16% for the same period of last year. Europe continued to represent a very significant market with 72% of orders received.

The major orders received in first half of the fiscal year 2004 included:

28 car trainsets and 59 trailer cars for Jubilee line in London underground;

multi-year maintenance of freight locomotives in Mexico for Ferrosur;
35 Citadis trams in Strasbourg ;
21Citadis trams in Valenciennes ;
35 Citadis trams in Grenoble ;
168 metropolis cars for YangPu Metro Line in Shanghai ;
9 light train rails from the Spanish region of Alicante;
55 Coradia lint regional trains for DB Regional trains ;
60 additional trains four-car class 423 for Deutsche Bahn ;
ERTMS train control for Betuweroute rail line in Netherlands;
Signaling system for Santiago metro line 4.

Table of Contents 58

31

Sales

Sales in Transport decreased by 2% in first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with first half of fiscal year 2003, on an actual basis, and increased by 3% on a comparable basis. This was mainly due to the delivery of turnkey operations, especially in Greece (Athens suburban line), in Spain and in France (Trams Citadis), and to the maintenance and refurbishment activities located in Spain and Germany for locomotive renovation.

In first half of fiscal year 2004, Transport s sales breakdown by region was as follows: Europe 71%, the Americas 9% and Asia/Pacific 20%. Compared with the first half of fiscal year 2003, Europe increased from 62% to 71% whereas the Americas and Asia both decreased.

Operating income and operating margin

Project reviews led management to make revised estimates of costs to complete contracts in our Transport Sector, leading to additional charges of $\square 102$ million in relation to the US Transport business. As a consequence, operating income of Transport in the first half of fiscal year 2004 amounted to $\square (37)$ million, compared with $\square 90$ million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 on an actual basis. Transport s operating income was $\square (114)$ million in the second half of fiscal year 2003 due to provisions recorded on the UK Trains for $\square 140$ million and on the US Trains for $\square 73$ million.

UK Trains

On the West Coast Main Line (WCML) contract, the project experienced major delays due to changing specifications and the high level of uncertainty regarding upgrading of the WCML route and infrastructure. The major activity relates to Pendolino High Speed Tilting Trains. At the end of September 2003, 28 of the 53 trains had been delivered, in line with the latest agreement with the operator and the Strategic Rail Authority. Trains are being used on the infrastructure operating a restricted service and for crew and driver training. The next key milestone for the project is the award of the 125 miles per hour passenger safety case and the tilting system. This is expected by the end of November 2003. We expect that all trains will be in service by September 2004.

While our dispute on the WCML contract is currently in litigation, we continue to attempt to reach a settlement with the buyer and the operator regarding such claims. The conclusion of the Regional Train contracts, the conclusion of the WCML contract expected in the near future and the lack of orders in the UK have resulted in our decision to close the new build assembly facility in Washwood Heath. An initial redundancy of 220 was announced in July 2003 and consultation is currently underway with employee representatives. A key priority is to maintain a balance between the need to reduce cost and meet our commitments on the above

programmes.

32

MARINE

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for our Marine Sector:

Marine	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Veer	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Actual figures	(Unaudited) (Unau	(Unaudited)	Full Year 2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in □	million)		
Order			_	,		
backlog	2,229	1,523	1,523	1,041	(53%)	(32%)
Orders	,	·	·	·	,	, ,
received	26	137	163	340	1208%	148%
Sales	725	843	1,568	822	13%	(2%)
Operating			,			,
income	16	8	24	4		
Operating						
margin	2.2%	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%		
EBIŤ	15	(3)	12	(2)		
Capital		()		` ,		
employed	(220)	(343)	(343)	(593)		
ROCE	n/a	n/a	n/a	` n/a		

Orders received

Since 2001, Marine s main market, cruise-ship construction, has remained very weak world wide, due both to the high level of orders in the previous years (the year 2000 ended with a record orderbook of 50 ships under construction worldwide essentially in Europe) and to the uncertainties following September 2001 events. In 2001, there was only one new order worldwide (for ALSTOM), in 2002, there were only 3 new orders world wide, and in 2003, none until September 2003, when 3 new orders were booked by certain European yards. A number of observers of the cruise market see the September 2003 orders as the possible sign of an upcoming market recovery.

The LNG carrier market remained very active, but is jeopardised by the low pricing policy of the Korean yards. In June 2003, the European Commission extended to this segment the protective subsidies reserved to the market segments directly exposed to Korean detrimental prices.

Orders received by Marine during the first half of fiscal year 2004 reached [340 million comprising a trans-Channel car-ferry for Seafrance and a 153,500 m³ LNG carrier- for Gaz de France.

Sales

Sales amounted to ☐822 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004.	. Marine completed and delivered during the first half of fiscal year
2004 the following vessels :	

the cruise-ship Island Princess to P&O Princess (now Carnival plc);

the cruise-ship Crystal Serenity to NYK/Crystal Cruises;

a surveillance frigate to the Royal Moroccan Navy.

Operating income and operating margin

Operating income was [4 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004. Marine had to bear additional costs in the completion and delivery of a cruise-ship, and accrued contingency provisions on several other contracts obtained without subsidies. Marine also had to provide for indemnification of the owners of several recently-delivered cruise-ships propelled by podded drives.

Renaissance

We had undertaken vendor financing in support of the recovery plan for the Marine Sector from fiscal year 1996 to fiscal year 1998, which had helped us to obtain repeat orders for cruise-ships and increased the

33

productivity of the shipyard. We had provided guarantees to financial institutions relating to indebtedness incurred by certain purchasers of our cruise-ships and fast ferries. As at 30 September 2003, the remaining guarantees related to a total of fourteen ships, including six cruise-ships delivered to Renaissance Cruises (Renaissance) and eight ships for four other customers. In addition, two other cruise-ships had been supplied to Renaissance without vendor financing.

Renaissance filed for bankruptcy in September 2001. Thereafter, we and the lenders undertook actions to secure and maintain the ships and to restructure their financing. Our overall exposure to Renaissance vendor financing at 30 September 2001 was [684 million in guarantees of financing made in connection with the delivery of the six ships.

As part of the restructuring, which was completed in fiscal year 2002, ownership of the six ships, including four that were previously owned by four special purpose leasing entities in which we had an interest, was transferred to subsidiaries of Cruiseinvest (Jersey) Ltd., an entity in which we own no shares and on the management of which we have no control. Cruiseinvest financed this acquisition principally through bank borrowings, guaranteed in part by us. In addition, we purchased subordinated limited recourse notes issued by Cruiseinvest, agreed to provide Cruiseinvest with a line of credit and met certain of our commitments under our pre-existing guarantees. Interest on the subordinated limited recourse notes is payable only from amounts remaining after satisfaction of payments due on Cruiseinvest s bank borrowings.

In parallel, the remarketing of the ships commenced, with the objective to put the ships back into cruise operations as quickly as possible, through bare-boat or time charters, and eventually sell them to the new operators when normal conditions are restored on the second-hand market. One of these ships was chartered to Swan Hellenic, a subsidiary of P&O Princess and resumed operations in April 2003. Two other ships have been operated from summer 2003 by Oceania Cruise, a new cruise-operator. Two others have also been operated from spring 2003 by Pullmantur, with possibilities of extension. A long-term lease has also been finalised with the European operator Delphin Seereisen for one ship, which has resumed cruise operations from summer 2003. The two other ships supplied to Renaissance without vendor financing have also been taken over by P&O Princess pursuant to a forward sales contract for transfer of title in 2005, and resumed cruise operations in November and December 2002. In brief, all the eight former Renaissance ships had resumed cruise operations on or before July 2003.

Our overall exposure to Renaissance vendor financing was 344 million at 30 September 2003, as compared with 368 million at 31 March 2003.

In addition to our Renaissance vendor financing exposure, our other outstanding Marine vendor financing guarantees amounted to $\square 306$ million at 30 September 2003 compared with $\square 565$ million at 31 March 2003, relating to six cruise-ships and two high-speed ferries for four different customers. This decrease is mainly due to the early reimbursement to us of $\square 180$ million of debt due to us from two special purpose entities following the agreement with our lenders on our financing package.

Consequently, our total vendor financing exposure in relation to Marine amounted to ☐650 million at 30 September 2003 compared with ☐933 million at 31 March 2003.

The last shipbuilding contract having benefited from any type of vendor financing came into force in November 1999. There is no other vendor financing arrangement or commitment relating to any contract in Marine s order backlog.

As a result of the foregoing, we maintained a provision of \square 140 million at 30 September 2003 to cover risks associated with Marine vendor financing, unchanged compared with 31 March 2003.

34

CORPORATE AND OTHER

Corporate and Other comprises all units accounting for Corporate costs, the International Network and the overseas entities in Australia, New Zealand, South Africa (prior to its disposal) and India which are not reported by Sectors.

The following table sets out some key financial and operating data for our Corporate and Other organisation:

Corporate & Other	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year	First Half Sept. 03	% Variation Sept. 03/	% Variation Sept. 03/
Actual	// Pr D	41 P. B		// Pr D	•	•
figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
			(in □	million)		
Order backlog	39	52	52	105	169%	102%
Orders						
received	113	101	214	57	(50%)	(44%)
Sales	115	90	205	60	(48%)	(33%)
Operating						
income	56	(100)	(44)	(26)		
EBIT	70	(116)	(46)	(32)		
Capital						
employed	1,601	1,208	1,208	1,342		
Corporate						
& Other	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03		First Half Sept. 03	% Variation	% Variation
	3ept. 02	March 03	Full Year	Sept. 03	Sept. 03/	Sept. 03/
Comparable figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)	Sept 02	March 03
ilgules	(Ollaudited)	(Ollaudited)		(Orlaudited)		
			(in □	million)		
Order backlog	38	51	51	105	176%	106%
Orders						
received	81	100	181	57	(30%)	(43%)
Sales	88	89	177	60	(32%)	(33%)
Operating					,	,
income	55	(101)	(46)	(26)		

Operating income

Operating income included Corporate costs as well as the contribution of the International Network and the overseas entities, for the first half of fiscal year 2004, the operating income included as well some costs from the headquarters of the former Power Sector that have been allocated to Corporate. Operating income was [(26) million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with [(44) million for the full year 2003. Costs incurred in fiscal year 2004 include some former sector costs, which are now borne by Corporate for around [25 million for the full year 2003.

Capital employed

Capital employed were [1,342 million as at 30 September 2003, including the main part of our other fixed assets, net (See Note 9 to the 30 September 2003 Interim Consolidated Financial Statements).

35

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INCOME STATEMENT

The following table sets out, on a consolidated basis, the elements of our operating income both on an actual and on a comparable basis for the Group as a whole:

Total Group	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full year	First Half Sept. 03	
Actual figures	(unaudited)	(unaudited)	2002/03	(unaudited)	
		(in ∏ million)			
Sales	10,769	10,582	21,351	8,854	
Cost of sales	(8,905)	(10,282)	(19,187)	(7,577)	
Selling expenses	(515)	(455)	(970)	(435)	
R & D expenses	(319)	(303)	(622)	(239)	
Administrative expenses	(488)	(591)	(1,079)	(471)	
					
Operating income	542	(1,049)	(507)	132	
Operating margin	5.0%	(9.9%)	(2.4%)	1.5%	

Total Group	Full year 2002/03	First Half Sept. 03	
Proforma figures	(unaudited)	(unaudited)	
	(in ∏ mil	 (in ∏ million)	
Sales	17,078	7,308	
Cost of sales	(16,001)	(6,454)	
Selling expenses	(609)	(286)	
R & D expenses	(465)	(187)	
Administrative expenses	(813)	(347)	
Operating income	(810)	34	
Operating margin	(4.7%)	0.5%	

Sales

Sales were $\square 8,854$ million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with $\square 10,769$ million in the first half of fiscal year 2003, a decrease of 18%, due principally to exchange rate variations, to the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses, and to lower sales of Power Turbo-systems while sales in other sectors remained stable or slightly increased on a comparable basis. Sales in first half of fiscal year 2004 decreased by 16% as compared with sales in second half of fiscal year 2003.

Percentage of services in sales was 25% in first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with 21% and 26 % in first half of fiscal year 2003 and in second half of fiscal year 2003.

No single customer represented more than 10% of our sales in any of the three periods discussed.

Selling and administrative expenses

Selling and administrative expenses were \square 906 million in first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with \square 1,003 million in first half of fiscal year 2003 and \square 1,046 million in second half of fiscal year 2003. This decrease reflected the savings and the first impact of the restructuring programmes launched as part of our action plan.

Research and Development expenses

Research and Development expenses were □239 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, decreasing compared with □319 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and with □ 303 million in second half of fiscal

36

year 2003. This decrease was due to a decrease in expenses in connection with the GT24/GT26 gas turbines as the technology stabilised, and to the phasing of these expenses.

Operating income (loss) and operating margin

Operating income is measured before restructuring costs, goodwill and other acquired intangible assets, amortisation expenses and other items, which include foreign exchange gains and losses, gains and losses on sales of assets, pension costs and employee profit sharing and before taxes, interest income and expenses. Operating margin is calculated by dividing the operating income by the total annual sales.

Operating income and operating margin were \square 132 million and 1.5 % in the first half of fiscal year 2004, as compared with operating income of \square 542 million and margin of 5% in first half of fiscal year 2003, on an actual basis and an operating income of \square (1 049) million and margin of (9.9)% in the second half of fiscal year 2003.

In the second half of fiscal year 2003, exceptional provisions and accrued costs were booked for the GT24/GT26 heavy-duty gas turbines and for UK Trains.

Our operating margin in the first half of fiscal year 2004 was impacted by:

the lower level of sales which was not fully offset by corresponding decrease in operating expenses as the restructuring plans being launched in this first half year of 2004 did not yet have a material impact; and

additional costs amounting to [102 million for our Transport Sector and to [60 million for our Power Environment Sector respectively as a result of additional provisions made following project reviews in the US and of the bankruptcy of two major subcontractors in the US.

Earnings Before Interest and Tax (EBIT)

EBIT was □(296) million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with □322 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and □(1,451) million in the second half of fiscal year 2003.

The negative EBIT in the first half of fiscal year 2004 was due to:

the low level of operating income;

high restructuring costs amounting to \square 276 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with \square 80 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003. The increase in first half of fiscal year 2004 was due to additional restructuring plans in order to reduce our overheads significantly. During the first half of the year, restructuring plans were implemented and led to simplification of administrative processes and to a reduction of layers. Restructuring costs are accrued when management announces the reduction or closure of facilities, or a programme to reduce the workforce and when related costs are precisely determined. Such costs include employees severance and termination benefits, estimated facility closing costs and write-off of assets:

pension costs were [138 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with [97 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and [117 million in the second half of fiscal year 2003. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the amortisation of the unrecognised actuarial difference between pension obligations and the fair market value of the assets following the fall in the global stock market; and

partly offset by exceptional capital gains of $\square 49$ million mainly on the disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses and of real estate in first half of fiscal year 2004, whereas capital losses occurred in the first half of fiscal year 2003 for an amount of $\square 10$ million corresponding mainly to the disposal of South Africa operations and ALSTOM Power Insurance Ltd.

37

Financial expenses, net

The deterioration of our net financial expenses, ☐220 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with ☐128 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and ☐142 million in the second half of fiscal year 2003, was due to the increase in fees paid on credit lines in connection with the agreements reached in March 2003 for a bridge facility and extension of maturity for certain loans and to the amortisation of costs on securitisation of future receivables with the final delivery of cruise-ships as well as by foreign exchange losses (☐20 million) where gains of ☐35 million were recorded in the first half of fiscal year 2003.

Exceptional fees linked to restructuring of our debt (both for the negotiations in March 2003 for the new bridge facility and extended loans and for the refinancing package agreement in September 2003) should amount to a total of []94 million, which will be paid out over a number of years. The related charge in our income statement was around [] 4 million for the first half of fiscal year 2004, and an additional []8 million should be incurred during the second half of the fiscal year. The remaining amount will be amortised over the next five fiscal years.

Income tax

The income tax credit was □29 million for the first half of fiscal year 2004. In first half of fiscal year 2004, we recognised deferred tax credit for □77 million, partially offset by a current income tax charge of □48 million.

Our deferred tax assets amounted to \$\square\$1,884 million as of 30 September 2003. On the basis of our business plan, we expect our deferred tax assets will be recovered, in general within five years.

Goodwill amortisation

Goodwill amortisation amounted to [135 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with [144 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and [140 million in the second half of fiscal year 2003. The decrease was due to the disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses.

At 31 March 2003, we requested a third party valuer to provide an independent report, as part of our impairment tests, performed annually, on goodwill and other intangible assets. The valuation supported our opinion that our goodwill and other intangible assets were not impaired on a reporting unit basis. The review of our business plan during the summer has not caused us to conclude that triggering events have occurred that would lead to impairment testing at 30 September 2003. A similar independent third party valuation will be requested at 31 March 2004.

Net income (loss)

The net loss in first half of fiscal year 2004 amounted to ☐624 million, compared with a net income of ☐11 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and a net loss of ☐1,443 million in the second half of fiscal year 2003.

BALANCE SHEET

Goodwill, net

Goodwill, net decreased to $\square 3,931$ million at 30 September 2003 compared to $\square 4,440$ million at 31 March 2003 due to the amortisation of goodwill for $\square 135$ million and to the disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses which led to a decrease of the corresponding goodwill for $\square 371$ million.

Working capital

Working capital (defined as current assets less current liabilities and provisions for risks and charges) at 30 September 2003 was [(4,640)] million compared with [(4,886)] million as reported at 31 March 2003. This variation was due to a higher decrease of customers—deposits and advances and of trade payables as compared with inventories and contracts in progress and trade receivables.

38

Net effects on working capital due to foreign currency translation were positive by ☐115 million in the first half of fiscal year 2004.

Customer deposits and advances

We record customer deposits and advances on our balance sheet upon receipt as gross customer deposits and advances. The gross amounts were $\Box 12,781$ million and $\Box 12,689$ million at 30 September 2003 and 31 March 2003 respectively. At the balance sheet date, we apply these deposits first to reduce any related gross accounts receivable and then to reduce any inventories and contracts in progress relating to the project for which we received the deposit or advance. Any remaining deposit or advance is recorded as Customer deposits and advances on our balance sheet. As of 30 September 2003, our net customer deposits and advances were $\Box 3,085$ million, compared with $\Box 3,541$ million as of 31 March 2003.

The decrease of our customer cash deposits and advances of □456 million which occurred during first half of fiscal year 2004 included currency translation effects for □54 million and the impact of the disposal of our Industrial Turbines businesses for □181 million.

Provisions for risks and charges

At 30 September 2003, the provisions for risks and charges were \$\sum_{3,500}\$ million compared with \$\sum_{3,698}\$ million at 31 March 2003.

This net decrease was accounted mainly for by the following movements:

a decrease in provisions on contracts for 262 million (mainly the GT24/GT26 gas turbines);

an increase in restructuring provisions and other provisions of [170 million; and

a decrease of ☐106 million in foreign currency translation effects, change in scope and other adjustments.

Shareholders equity

Shareholders equity at 30 September 2003 was \square 277 million, including minority interests, compared with \square 853 million at 31 March 2003. This decrease was mainly due to the net loss for the period of \square 624 million, partly offset by the positive impact of cumulative translation adjustments for \square 49 million.

Financial debt and net debt

Securitisation of existing receivables

In order to fund our activity, we sell selected existing trade receivables within which we irrevocably and without any recourse transfer eligible receivables to a third party. The net cash proceeds from securitisation of existing trade receivables at 30 September 2003 was | 212 million compared with | 357 million at 31 March 2003.

Securitisation of future receivables

In order to finance working capital and to mitigate the cash-negative profiles of some contracts, we sell to third parties selected future receivables due from our customers. This securitisation of future receivables has the benefit of reducing our exposure to customers (since some future receivables are sold without recourse to us should the obligor under the receivable default for reasons other than our failure to meet our obligations under the relevant contract) and applies principally to Marine and Transport. The total securitisation of future receivables at 30 September 2003 was [522 million compared with [1,292 million at 31 March 2003. The

39

decrease in the first half of fiscal year 2004 compared with fiscal year 2003 is mainly due to the delivery of two cruise-ships by our Marine Sector. During the first half of the fiscal year 2004, we did not enter into any new securitisation of future receivables.

Financial debt

Our financial debt was \$\percurs 6,076\$ million at 30 September 2003, compared with \$\percurs 6,331\$ million at 31 March 2003. Our financial debt decreased due to the decrease of securitisation of future receivables by \$\procup 770\$ million partly offset by the increase of borrowings by \$\procup 507\$ million.

Net debt

We define net debt as financial debt less short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents. Net debt was [4,307 million at 30 September 2003, compared with [4,561 million at 31 March 2003. Our net debt decreased due to the proceeds on disposal of investments partly offset by net cash used in operations.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Consolidated statement of cash flows

The following table sets out selected figures concerning our consolidated statement of cash flows:

Total Group	First Half Sept. 02	2 nd Half March 03	Full Year	First Half Sept. 03
Actual figures	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	2002/03	(Unaudited)
		(in [] mi	llion)	
Net income after elimination of non cash items	408	(1,495)	(1,087)	(406)
Change in net working capital	(325)	875	550	(325)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	83	(620)	(537)	(731)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(347)	6	(341)	975
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	658	(37)	621	(2)
	394	(651)	(257)	242
Net effect of exchange rate		(41)	(41)	15

Other changes and reclassifications	(252)	(212)	(464)	(3)
				
Decrease (increase) in net debt	142	(904)	(762)	254

Net cash provided by operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities is defined as the net income after elimination of non-cash items plus working capital movements. Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities was \Box (731) million in the first half of fiscal year 2004 compared to \Box 83 million in the first half of fiscal year 2003 and \Box (620) million in the second half of fiscal year 2003.

Net income after elimination of non-cash items was [(406) million in the first half of fiscal year 2004. This amount represented the cash generated by the net income before working capital movements. As provisions are included in the definition of our working capital, provisions are not part of the elimination of non-cash items.

Change in net working capital was [(325) million. The principal movements in working capital were due to:

an increase of [355 million in trade and other receivables, mainly in Power Environment, T&D and to a lesser extent in Transport, Power Turbo-systems and Power Services;

a decrease of 144 million in sale of trade receivables (securitisation of existing receivables);

a decrease of □319 million in inventories and contracts in progress, mainly in Power Turbo-systems, Transport and Marine;

40

a decrease of 262 million in contract-related provisions, mainly due to the application of GT24/GT26 provisions partially offset by new provisions booked on other contracts not yet applied;

a decrease of ∏221 million in customer deposits and advances, mainly in Power Environment, Transport and T & D; and

an increase of []168 million in trade payables and accrued contract costs, resulting from a decrease in payables due to more difficult credit terms and to an increase in accrued contract costs due to the trading of contracts.

Net cash provided by investing activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$\int 975\$ million in the first half of fiscal year 2004. This amount comprised:

Proceeds of 166 million from disposals of property, plant and equipment (including 148 million from the disposal of real estate);

Capital expenditures for

☐105 million;

Decrease in other fixed assets of [145 million; including the proceeds from the early reimbursement of receivables due to us by two special purpose entities in connection with Marine vendor financing; and

Cash proceeds from the sale of investments, net of net cash sold for \$\textstyle{1}772\$ million, comprising of the proceeds from our Industrial Turbines businesses.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities was \Box 6 million in the second half of fiscal year 2003 and \Box (347) million in the first half of fiscal year 2003. The net cash outflow in fiscal year 2003 was mainly due to \Box 410 million of capital expenditures and \Box 154 million of cash expenditures for the acquisition of the remaining 49% in Fiat Ferroviaria Spa.

Net cash provided by financing activities

Net cash used by financing activities in first half of fiscal year 2004 was □2 million compared with □621 million of net proceeds in fiscal year 2003, including primarily proceeds from a capital increase completed in July 2002.

Decrease (increase) in net debt

Our net debt decreased by \square 254 million in first half of fiscal year 2004, compared with an increase of \square 762 million in the full fiscal year 2003.

MATURITY AND LIQUIDITY

We rely on a variety of sources of liquidity in order to finance our operations, including principally borrowings under revolving credit facilities, the issuance of commercial paper and asset disposals. Additional sources include customer deposits and advances and proceeds from the sale of trade receivables, including future trade receivables. In the past, we have also used the issuance of securities, including debt securities and preferred shares, as a source of liquidity.

41

In August 2003, we entered into a new financing package with more than 30 of our commercial lenders and the French State, and in September 2003 we amended this financial package, as described above under Status of our action plan and main events of first half of fiscal year 2004 Financing package. When this financing package is implemented, it will reduce and substantially change the maturity profile of our debt.

Cash receipts and debt repayment since 31 March 2003

Since 31 March 2003 through 30 September 2003, we have realised net cash proceeds of \$\int 148\$ million from real estate disposals and \$\int 842\$ million from the sale of the Industrial Turbines business. \$\int 30\$ million of the proceeds from the real estate disposals and \$\int 443\$ million of proceeds from the sale of Industrial Turbines were used to repay amounts outstanding under our \$\int 600\$ million bridge facility. As a result, at 30 September 2003, \$\int 127\$ million remained outstanding under this facility. We also reimbursed \$\int 254\$ million of syndicated loans maturing on 1 August 2003.

In August 2003 we received [120 million in commercial paper financing from bank syndicates and [300 million in commercial paper financing from the *Caisse des Dépôts et Consignations*, as well as [180 million from the early reimbursement of receivables due to us by two special purpose entities in connection with Marine vendor financing. We used part of these proceeds, as well as the remaining proceeds from real estate disposals and the sale of Industrial Turbines, to finance our activity and working capital requirements.

Expected impact of financing package and disposals

We expect that future proceeds from the financing package and disposals of assets will provide us with significant additional cash resources (approximately $\square 4,300$ million before price adjustments on disposals, if any). These are expected to include:

□300 million from a capital increase, with proceeds expected to be received in November 2003;

at least ☐900 million of bonds mandatorily reimbursable into shares, with proceeds expected to be received in December 2003:

approximately [1,500 million of new subordinated debt, of which the French State will provide [300 million. [650 million of proceeds is expected to be received in December 2003 and [850 million in February 2004;

200 million of new subordinated long term bonds to be issued to the French State, with proceeds expected in December 2003;

[300 million of subordinated bonds reimbursable with shares to be issued to the French State, with proceeds expected to be received in December 2003;

the major part of the proceeds from the sale of our T&D Sector (☐950 million before closing adjustments), currently expected to be received in January 2004; and

50% of the remaining 125 million (currently held in escrow) from the completion of the sale of our Industrial Turbines division (assuming no price adjustment), expected to be received in April 2004, and the remainder in April 2005.

The [1,500 million of new subordinated loans, as well as our new [3.5 billion Bonding Facility, are subject to financial covenants, which are described in Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

To strengthen our liquidity, in connection with our financing plan, the *Caisse des Dépôts et Consignations* has also committed to provide us with up to an additional ☐900 million in commercial paper financing which will be available to us until the long term portion of our financing package becomes available (expected in December 2003), except that up to ☐100 million may remain outstanding until we receive the proceeds from the sale of our T&D Sector (expected in January 2004). ☐300 million of this facility have been used as of 30 September 2003.

42

Assuming receipt as and when expected, we intend to use the proceeds of our financing package and disposals as follows:

Proceeds from the []900 million of mandatorily reimbursable bonds and []350 million of the new subordinated loans will be immediately used to reimburse our []1,250 million revolving credit facility maturing in April 2004. The early repayment of this credit is expected in December 2003.

□550 million of the new subordinated loans will be used to repay outstanding bonds maturing in February 2004;

☐127 million of proceeds from the ☐300 million capital increase will be used to reimburse the remaining amounts outstanding under the bridge facility;

□475 million of proceeds from the sale of our T&D sector will be used to repay amounts outstanding under the extended facilities; and

The remainder (approximately \$\Bigsig 1,700\$ million) will be used to reimburse short term facilities and commercial paper (\$\Bigsig 1,320\$ million) and increase liquidity available to the Group.

Maturity profile

The following table sets forth our outstanding financial debt obligations (including future receivables securitised) as of 30 September 2003 and taking into account the assumptions described above :

				Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	
	Oustanding lines as of 30 Sept. 03	Third quarter FY2004	Fourth quarter FY2004	Year 2004	Year 2005	Year 2006	Year 2007	After Fiscal Year 2007
			(U	naudited fig	jures)			
Redeemable preference shares	205					(205)		
Subordinated notes	250						(250)	
Subordinated loans (PSDD)		650(4)	850(4)	1,500				(1,500)
Subordinated long term bond (TSDD)		200(4)		200				(200)
Subordinated bonds reimbursable with shares (TSDDRA) ⁽⁵⁾		300(4)		300				
Bonds	1,200	,	(550)	(550)			(650)	
Syndicated loans	2,498	$(1,377)^{(2)}$	(400)	(1,777)			(721)	
Bilateral loans	358		(75)	(75)		(50)	(33)	(200)
Commercial paper Bank overdrafts/other	1,320(1)	(800)	(100)	(900)	(420)			
facilities/accrued interests	323 ₍₃₎	(281)		(281)	(16)	(3)	(3)	(20)

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Sub-total	6,154	(1,308)	(275)	(1,583)	(436)	(258)	(1,657)	(1,920)
Future receivables	522	(113)	(118)	(231)	(236)	(55)		
								-
Total	6,676	(1,421)	(393)	(1,814)	(672)	(313)	(1,657)	(1,920)
Capital increase		300(4)		300				
Bonds reimbursables with								
shares (ORA)		$900_{(4)}$		900				
Liquidity and other								
funding sources	6,676	(221)	(393)	(614)	(672)	(313)	(1,657)	(1,920)
Financial debt	6,076							
Available lines	600							

⁽¹⁾ Maximum availability under commitments provided by a syndicate of banks ([]120 million) and the Caisse des Dépôts et Consignation ([]1,200 million). Including a []600 million commercial paper that was not drawn at 30 September 2003.

- (2) Includes the expected early repayment of [1,250 million of syndicated loans in the third quarter of fiscal year 2004.
- (3) Facilities entered into by subsidiaries have been classified as being immediately due because such facilities are generally uncommitted.
- (4) Expected proceeds to ALSTOM.
- (5) Subordinated bonds reimbursable with shares (TSDDRA) will only be reimbursed in cash in the event the European Commission does not approve their reimbursement with shares. See « Status of our action plan and main events of first half of fiscal year 2004 Financing package ».

Total available unused credit lines together with cash available in the Group amounted to ☐2,369 million at 30 September 2003, compared with ☐2,370 million at 31 March 2003. The amounts consisted of:

Available lines at parent Group level, which were constituted of commercial paper from the French State for ☐600 million at 30 September 2003, compared with a bridge facility of ☐600 million at 31 March 2003;

Cash available at parent Group level was []443 million at 30 September 2003, compared with []610 million at 31 March 2003; and

Cash available at subsidiary level of [1,326 million at 30 September 2003, compared with [1,160 million at 31 March 2003.

ALSTOM, the parent company, may readily access some cash held by wholly owned subsidiaries through the payment of dividends or pursuant to intercompany lending arrangements. Local constraints can delay or restrict this access, however. Furthermore, while we have the power to control decisions of subsidiaries of which we are the majority owner, our subsidiaries are distinct legal entities and the payment of dividends and the making of loans, advances and other payments to us by them may be subject to statutory or contractual restrictions, be contingent upon their earnings or be subject to business or other constraints. These limitations include local financial assistance rules, corporate benefit laws and other legal restrictions. Our policy is to centralise liquidity of subsidiaries at the parent company level when possible.

OFF BALANCE SHEET COMMITMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Off Balance Sheet Commitments

The following table sets forth our off-balance sheet commitments, which are discussed further at Note 17 to the 30 September Interim Consolidated Financial Statements:

Total Group		At 30 Sept. 2003
	At 31 March	
Actual figures	2003	(unaudited)
	(in 🛘 mill	ion)
Guarantees related to contracts	9,465	8,206
Guarantees related to vendor financing	749	643
Discounted notes receivables	11	9
Commitments to purchase fixed assets	7	

Other guarantees	94	49
Off balance sheet commitments	10,326	8,907

Guarantees related to contracts

The overall amount given as guarantees on contracts decreased from $\square 9,465$ million in March 2003 to $\square 8,206$ million in September 2003, a decrease by 13% mainly due to exchange rate variations and to the decrease of our orders in hand by 5% on a comparable basis (and 6% due to exchange rates).

44

Vendor Financing Exposure

In some instances, we have provided financial support to institutions which finance some of our customers and also, in some cases, directly to our customers for their purchases of our products. We refer to this financial support as vendor financing . We have decided that we will no longer provide any additional vendor financing guarantees to our customers.

Vendor financing totalled \square 969 million at 30 September 2003 (of which \square 643 million was off balance sheet) compared to \square 1,259 million at 31 March 2003 (of which \square 749 million was off balance sheet). This decrease was mainly due to the early reimbursement to us of \square 180 million of debt due to us from two special purpose entities following the agreement with our lenders under our financing package.

Contractual Obligations

See Note 17 to the 30 September 2003 Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

General proceedings

We are involved in several legal proceedings as a plaintiff or a defendant, mostly contract related disputes, that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. Contract related disputes, often involving claims for contract delays or additional work, are common in the areas in which we operate, particularly for large, long-term projects. In some cases the amounts claimed against us in these proceedings and disputes are significant, ranging up to approximately US\$290 million (or approximately \(\preceq \) 248 million at 30 September 2003) and, if adversely determined, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operation. Some proceedings against us are without a specified amount including some relating to our large gas turbines. All claims and legal proceedings in which we are involved are reviewed regularly by project managers with their Sector management and are reviewed on a half year basis with our statutory auditors, in order to determine appropriate level of provisions.

Some entities of our group are bound by confidentiality agreements entered into in the normal course of their activities and that are normally linked to major contracts. Breach of such confidentiality obligations could lead to the payment of indemnities or other recourse that could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

Claims relating to Asbestos

We are subject to regulations, including in France, the US and the UK, regarding the control and removal of asbestos containing material and identification of potential exposure of employees to asbestos. It has been our policy for many years to abandon definitively the use of products containing asbestos by all of our operating units world-wide and to promote the application of this principle to all of our suppliers, including in those countries where the use of asbestos is permitted. In the past, however, we have used and sold some products containing asbestos, particularly in France in our Marine Sector and to a lesser extent in our other Sectors.

As of 30 September 2003, in France, we were aware of approximately 1,990 asbestos sickness related declarations accepted by the French Social Security authorities in France concerning our employees, former employees or from third parties, arising out of our activities in France. All of such cases are treated under the French Social Security system which pays the medical and other costs of those who are sick and which pays a lumpsum indemnity. Out of these 1,990 declarations, we were aware of approximately 156 asbestos-related cases in France from our employees, former employees or from third parties. These persons have instituted judicial proceedings against certain of our subsidiaries with the aim of obtaining a court decision holding these subsidiaries liable for an inexcusable fault (faute inexcusable) in order to obtain a supplementary compensation

45

above payments made by the French Social Security funds of related medical costs. All decisions rendered as of today by the Social Security Affairs Courts in proceedings involving our subsidiaries have found these subsidiaries liable on the grounds of inexcusable fault. Decisions of the Courts of Appeal have all confirmed these findings of inexcusable fault (25 decisions rendered as of 30 September 2003). We have appealed all of such decisions to the French Supreme Court. Even where we have been found liable on the grounds of inexcusable fault, we do not expect to suffer any material adverse financial consequences, because the financial consequences of any liability for our inexcusable fault have been attributed by court decision or by applicable regulations to the French Social Security (medical) funds. Thus, in 102 of the 156 proceedings before French courts at 15 September 2003, which concern our Marine Sector, the social security authorities have ruled that the financial consequences of any liabilities for inexcusable fault will not be attributed to our Marine Sector and will be borne by the Social Security authorities. Although as of 15 September 2003 we had not yet obtained a specific ruling from the relevant French Social Security authorities in respect of the remaining 54 proceedings, of which 40 concern our Power Sector, we believe the same principle affording us financial protection will apply to such proceedings and that, accordingly, we will not suffer any material adverse financial consequences as a result of such asbestos related litigations in France.

We therefore believe that compensation for most of the current 156 proceedings involving certain of our subsidiaries as of 30 September 2003, including cases where we may be found to be at fault, is or will be borne by the general French Social Security (medical) funds. Based on applicable legislation and current case law, we also believe that the publicly funded Indemnification Fund for Asbestos Victims (FIVA), created in 2001 and effective since 29 March 2002, does not increase our current risk exposure. The FIVA was implemented to compensate persons harmed by exposure to asbestos in France. Once a person has received an offer of compensation, the fund itself may then take action against the employer considered responsible. However this subrogatory right can only be exercised pursuant to and within the limits of French Social Security regulations. We believe that those cases where compensation may not be definitely borne by the general French Social Security (medical) funds or by the FIVA represent an immaterial exposure for which we have not made any provisions.

In addition to the foregoing, in the United States, as of 30 September 2003, we were subject to approximately 154 asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits which have their origin solely in the Company's purchase of some of ABB's power generation business, for which we are indemnified by ABB. We are also currently subject to two class action lawsuits in the United States asserting fraudulent conveyance claims against various ALSTOM and ABB entities in relation to Combustion Engineering, Inc. (CE), for which we have asserted indemnification against ABB. CE is a United States subsidiary of ABB, and its power activities were part of the power generation business purchased by us from ABB. In January 2003, CE filed a pre-packaged plan of reorganisation in United States bankruptcy court. This plan was recently confirmed by the bankruptcy court and a United States federal district court. The plan has been appealed and has not yet become effective; consummation of the plan is subject to certain other conditions specified therein. In addition to its protection under the ABB indemnity, ALSTOM believes that under the terms of the plan it would be protected against pending and future personal injury asbestos claims, or fraudulent conveyance claims, arising out of the past operations of CE.

As of 30 September 2003, we were also subject to approximately 38 other asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits in the United States involving approximately 533 claimants that, in whole or in part, assert claims against ALSTOM which are not related to ALSTOM s purchase of some of ABB s power generation business or as to which the complaint does not provide details sufficient to permit us to determine whether the ABB indemnity applies. Most of these lawsuits are in the preliminary stages of the litigation process and they each involve multiple defendants. The allegations in these lawsuits are often very general and difficult to evaluate at preliminary stages in the litigation process. In those cases where ALSTOM s defence has not been assumed by a third party and meaningful evaluation is practicable, we believe that we have valid defences and, with respect to a number of lawsuits, we are asserting rights to indemnification against a third party.

46

We have not in recent years suffered any adverse judgement, or made any settlement payment, in respect of any US personal injury asbestos claim. Between 31 October 2002 and 30 September 2003, a total of 139 cases involving approximately 17,672 claimants were voluntarily dismissed by plaintiffs, typically without prejudice (which is to say the plaintiffs may refile these cases in the future).

For purposes of the foregoing discussion of asbestos-related cases, we consider a claim to have been dismissed, and to no longer be pending against us, if the plaintiffs attorneys have executed a notice or stipulation of dismissal or non-suit, or other similar document.

We are also subject to a minor number of asbestos related or other employee personal injury related claims in other countries, mainly in the UK where we are currently subject to approximately 153 such claims.

While the outcome of the existing asbestos-related cases described above is not predictable, we believe that those cases will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. We can give no assurances that asbestos- related cases against us will not grow in number or that those we have at present, or may face in the future, may not have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

Claims from Royal Caribbean Cruises

In 1998, Cegelec (whose rights and obligations belong for the purposes of the proceedings described below to ALSTOM Power Conversion), and Kamewa AB (now Rolls-Royce AB) decided to jointly design, manufacture, market and sell podded propulsion units, or pods, through a consortium arrangement. Pods are a technology used in electric propulsion for ships that can be used instead of conventional inboard propulsion motors and rudders. Pods are found within an integrated propulsion unit that is mounted underneath the hull of the ship.

To date, 39 pods have been delivered by the consortium, 25 of them to ALSTOM Marine/Chantiers de I Atlantique. Chantiers de I Atlantique has to date delivered nine cruise ships equipped with podded drives to four cruise operators. Between June 2000 and May 2002, Chantiers de I Atlantique delivered four new cruise ships of the Millennium class to Celebrity Cruises, one of the brands of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (RCCL).

A number of the vessels delivered to RCCL experienced technical problems with their pods, and, as a result, some of them had to be temporarily removed from service to be repaired in dry-dock. This occurred more than once with respect to certain of the ships.

On 7 August 2003, RCCL and various RCCL group companies, including Celebrity Cruises, filed a lawsuit in the State Court of Miami, Florida, against Rolls-Royce plc, Rolls Royce AB, various U.S. members of the Rolls-Royce group, ALSTOM Power Conversion SA, ALSTOM Inc., ALSTOM Power Conversion Inc., Marine Service Partners Inc. and ALSTOM Marine US.

In this lawsuit, RCCL claims damages for a global estimated amount of approximately US\$290 million for alleged misrepresentations in the selling of the pods, and negligence in the design and manufacture of the pods. ALSTOM and Rolls-Royce are strongly contesting this claim.

While we believe the RCCL complaint is without merit, we cannot currently predict the outcome. Any related adverse court decisions could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

SEC Investigation relating to ALSTOM Transportation Inc.

On 30 June 2003, we announced that we were conducting an internal review, assisted by external lawyers and accountants, following receipt of anonymous letters alleging accounting improprieties on a railcar contract

47

being executed at the New York facility of ALSTOM Transportation Inc. (ATI), one of our US subsidiaries. Following receipt of these letters, the SEC and the FBI began informal inquiries. We believe the FBI inquiry is currently dormant.

We also announced that the internal review had identified that losses had been significantly understated in the ATI accounts, in substantial part due to accounting improprieties. As a result an additional charge of ☐73 million was recorded in ATI s accounts for the year ended 31 March 2003 and was recorded in the Company s consolidated financial statements approved by shareholders on 2 July 2003.

On 11 August 2003, we announced that we had been advised that the SEC had issued a formal order of investigation in connection with its review.

We have fully cooperated with the SEC and the FBI in this matter and intend to continue to do so. The SEC s investigation is ongoing, and we cannot predict when it will be completed or its outcome. Any adverse developments in connection with this matter, including, but not limited to, any enforcement action against us or any of our personnel, could result in civil or criminal sanctions against us, which could limit our ability to obtain governmentally-funded transportation contracts in the United States, or could otherwise materially negatively impact us and our business. Our management has spent, and may in future be required to spend, considerable time and effort dealing with the internal and external actions relating to ATI.

United States Putative Class Action Lawsuits

ALSTOM, and certain of its current and former officers, recently have been named as defendants in a number of purported shareholder class action lawsuits filed on behalf of various alleged classes of purchasers of American Depositary Receipts or other ALSTOM securities between various dates between 17 November 1998 and 30 June 2003. The actions seek to allege violations of United States federal securities laws, specifically Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, on the basis of various allegations that there were untrue statements of materials facts, and/or omissions to state material facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading, in various ALSTOM public communications regarding our business, operations and prospects, causing the putative classes to purchase ALSTOM securities at artificially inflated prices. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, class action certification, compensatory damages in an unspecified amount, and an award of costs and expenses, including counsel fees. We intend to defend vigorously against these actions.

Other legal proceedings

A judicial investigation is currently being conducted by a judge of the *Tribunal de Grande Instance* (trial court) of Paris regarding allegations of an illegal payment approved by former senior officers of the company to government officials in connection with the transfer in 1994 of the headquarters of the Transport Sector. ALSTOM has elected to join the civil proceedings in order to seek recovery of any such payment.

Senior officials of ALSTOM have been interviewed by inspectors of the French *Commission des opérations de bourse* (the COB) in connection with the COB s investigation regarding public disclosures by the Group and trading of ALSTOM shares since 30 December 2001. ALSTOM is co-operating fully with the COB in these inquiries. As of the date hereof, it is not possible to predict

the outcome of such investigation with any certainty.

ACCOUNTS OF THE PARENT COMPANY, ALSTOM

ALSTOM, the parent company, has no industrial or commercial activity and, consequently its revenue includes mainly fees invoiced to its subsidiaries for the use of the ALSTOM name, dividends and other financial income.

Income amounted to 43 million for the first half of fiscal year 2004 and 74 million for the first half of fiscal year 2003.

48

1.1.2. Interim Consolidated Financial Statements as of 30 September 2003

INTERIM CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

		Half-year Septe		Year ended 31 March
	Note	2002	2003	2003
			(in ☐ million)	
SALES	(16)	10,769	8,854	21,351
Of which products	()	8,514	6,602	16,374
Of which services		2,255	2,252	4,977
Cost of sales		(8,905)	(7,577)	(19,187)
Of which products		(7,182)	(5,805)	(15,504)
Of which services		(1,723)	(1,772)	(3,683)
Selling expenses		(515)	(435)	(970)
Research and development expenses		(319)	(239)	(622)
Administrative expenses		(488)	(471)	(1,079)
OPERATING INCOME	(16)	542	132	(507)
Other income (expense), net	(4)	(188)	(397)	(555)
Other intangible assets amortisation	(8)	(32)	(31)	(67)
· ·	,			
EARNINGS BEFORE INTEREST AND TAX	(16)	322	(296)	(1,129)
Financial income (expense), net	(5)	(128)	(220)	(270)
(4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	(-)	(1-0)	(===)	(=)
PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS)		194	(516)	(1,399)
Income tax (charge) credit	(6)	(36)	29	263
Share in net income (loss) of equity investments	()	` ź		3
Minority interests		(5)	(2)	(15)
Goodwill amortisation	(7)	(144)	(135)	(284)
	,			
NET INCOME (LOSS)		11	(624)	(1,432)
,				
Earnings per share in Euro				
Basic			(2.2)	(5.4)
Diluted			(2.2)	(5.4)
5.10.00			()	(5.4)

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

INTERIM CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	Note	At 31 March 2003	At 30 September 2003
		(in [] million)
ASSETS			
Goodwill, net	(7)	4,440	3,931
Other intangible assets, net	(8)	1,168	974
Property, plant and equipment, net		2,331	1,940
Investments in equity method investees and other investments, net		245	249
Other fixed assets, net	(9)	1,294	1,239
Tangible, intangible and other fixed assets, net		9,478	8,333
Deferred taxes	(6)	1,831	1,884
Inventories and contracts in progress, net		4,608	3,744
Trade receivables, net	(10)	4,855	4,686
Other accounts receivables, net		2,265	2,602
Current assets		11,728	11,032
Short term investments		142	98
Cash and cash equivalents		1,628	1,671
Short term investments and cash and cash equivalents		1,770	1,769
TOTAL ASSETS		24,807	23,018
LIABILITIES			
Shareholders equity		758	183
Minority interests		95	94
Provisions for risks and charges	(12)	3,698	3,500
Accrued pension and retirement benefits	(13)	972	937
Financial debt	(14)	6,331	6,076
Deferred taxes	(6)	37	55
Customers deposits and advances	(15)	3,541	3,085
Trade payables		4,629	4,132
Accrued contract costs, other payables and accrued expenses		4,746	4,956
Current liabilities		12,916	12,173
TOTAL LIABILITIES		04.007	00.010
TOTAL LIABILITIES		24,807	23,018
Commitments and contingencies	(17)&(18)		

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year ended 31 March	Half year ended 30 September
	2003	2003
		□ million)
Net income (loss)	(1,432)	(624)
Minority interests	15	2
Depreciation and amortisation	754	337
Changes in provision for pension and retirement benefits, net	22	47
Net (gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets and investments	(19)	(91)
Share in net income (loss) of equity investees (net of dividends received)	(3)	(77)
Changes in deferred tax	(424)	(77)
Net income after elimination of non cash items	(1,087)	(406)
Decrease (increase) in inventories and contracts in progress, net	415	319
Decrease (increase) in trade and other receivables, net	650	(355)
Increase (decrease) in sale of trade receivables, net	(661)	(144)
Increase (decrease) in contract related provisions,	160	(262)
Increase (decrease) in other provisions,	(49)	33
Increase (decrease) in restructuring provisions,	(29)	137
Increase (decrease) in customers deposits and advances	(98)	(221)
Increase (decrease) in trade and other payables, accrued contract costs and accrued	100	100
expenses Change in not working conital (2)	162	168
Changes in net working capital (2)	<u> </u>	(325)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(537)	(731)
not out promute by (accam) operating activities		
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	252	166
Capital expenditures	(410)	(105)
Decrease(increase) in other fixed assets, net	(55)	14 5
Cash expenditures for acquisition of investments, net of net cash acquired	(166)	(3)
Cash proceeds from sale of investments, net of net cash sold	38	772
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(341)	975
Capital increase	622	
Dividends paid including minorities	(1)	(2)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	621	(2)
The country for the by (account) micholing delivines		
Net effect of exchange rate	(41)	15
Other changes (3)	(464)	(3)
Carlot stillingss	(101)	
Decrease (increase) in net debt	(762)	254
Net debt at the beginning of the period (1)	(3,799)	(4,561)
Not dobt at the and of the navied (1)	(4.504)	(4.007)
Net debt at the end of the period ⁽¹⁾	(4,561)	(4,307)

Cash paid for income taxes	70	50
Cash paid for net interest	172	129

(1) Net debt includes short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents net of financial debt.

(2) See Note 11

(3) Included in year ended 31 March 2003 is the reclassification of redeemable preference shares of a subsidiary and undated subordinated notes totalling []455 million.

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

51

INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

	Number of		Additional		Cumulated	
	outstanding		Paid-in	Retained	Translation	Shareholders
	shares	Capital	Capital (in ∏ m	Earnings	Adjustment	Equity
At 1 April 2002	215,387,459	1,292	85	516	(141)	1,752
Capital increase	66,273,064	398	224		,	622
Changes in cumulative translation						
adjustments					(184)	(184)
Net income				(1,432)	,	(1,432)
At 31 March 2003	281,660,523	1,690	309	(916)	(325)	758
Capital decrease		(1,338)	(309)	1,647		
Changes in cumulative translation		,	` ,			
adjustments					49	49
Net income				(624)		(624)
At 30 September 2003	281,660,523	352		107	(276)	183

In July 2002, an issue of shares was made and 66,273,064 shares having a par value of \square 6 were subscribed. Related costs net of tax of \square 15 million were charged against additional paid-in of \square 239 million.

At 31 March 2003, the issued paid-up share capital of the parent company, ALSTOM, amounted to \Box 1,689,963,138 and was divided into 281,660,523 shares having a par value of \Box 6.

At the Ordinary General Shareholders Meeting held on 2 July 2003, it was decided that no dividend be paid.

The ALSTOM shareholders equity at 31 march 2003 constituted less than 50% of its share capital. Therefore, in accordance with article L. 225-248 of the French Code de commerce, the shareholders were requested, at the General Shareholders Meeting held on 2 July 2003, to decide not to liquidate the company by anticipation. Further, it was decided at such General Shareholders Meeting, to reduce ALSTOM s share capital, due to losses, from [] 1,689,963,138 to [] 352,075,653.75. This reduction in the share capital was implemented through the reduction in the nominal value of ALSTOM ordinary share from [] 6 per share to [] 1.25 per share.

At 30 September 2003, the share capital amounted to \square 352,075,653.75 consisting of 281,660,523 shares with a nominal value of \square 1.25 per share. All of the shares are fully paid up.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Description of business and basis of preparation

(a) Description of business

ALSTOM (the Group) is a provider of energy and transport infrastructure. The energy market is served through activities in the fields of power generation and power transmission and distribution, power conversion, and the transport market through rail and marine activities. A range of components, systems and services is offered by the Group covering design, manufacture, commissioning, long-term maintenance, system integration, management of turnkey projects and applications of advanced technologies. The Group s business is not materially affected by seasonality.

(b) Basis of preparation

The interim consolidated financial statements for the six months ended 30 September 2003 have been prepared on the basis of the accounting policies and methods of computation as set out in Note 2.

The Group in preparing its interim consolidated financial statements has assumed the successful implementation of the financing package set out in Note 19.

Note 2 Summary of accounting policies

(a) Consolidation methods

Investments over which the Group has direct or indirect control of more than 50% of the outstanding voting shares, or over which it exercises effective control, are fully consolidated. Control exists where the Group has the power, directly or indirectly, to govern the

financial and operating policies of an enterprise so as to obtain benefits from its activities. Joint ventures in companies in which the Group has joint control are consolidated by the proportionate method with the Group s share of the joint ventures results, assets and liabilities recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Investments in which the Group has an equity interest of 20% to 50% and over which the Group exercises significant influence, but not control, are accounted for under the equity method.

Results of operations of subsidiaries acquired or disposed of during the year are recognised in the Consolidated Income Statements as from the date of acquisition or up to the date of disposal, respectively.

Inter company balances and transactions are eliminated on consolidation.

A list of the Group s major consolidated businesses and investees and the applicable method of consolidation is provided in Note 21.

53

(b) Use of estimates

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent gains and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of the revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management reviews estimates on an ongoing basis using currently available information. Costs to date are considered, as are estimated costs to complete and estimated future costs of warranty obligations. Estimates of future cost reflect management s current best estimate of the probable outflow of financial resources that will be required to settle contractual obligations. The assumptions to calculate present obligations take into account current technology as well as the commercial and contractual positions, assessed on a contract by contract basis.

The introduction of technologically advanced products exposes the Group to risks of product failure significantly beyond the terms of standard contractual warranties applying to suppliers of equipment only. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in actual financial consequences different from the estimates.

(c) Revenue and cost recognition

Revenue on contracts which are of less than one year duration, substantially the sale of manufactured products, is recognised upon transfer of title, including the risks and rewards of ownership, which generally occurs on delivery to the customer.

Revenue on construction type contracts of more than one year, long term contracts, is recognised on the percentage of completion method, measured either by segmented portions of the contract contract milestones or costs incurred to date compared to estimated total costs.

Claims are recognised as revenue when it is probable that the claim will result in additional revenue and the amount can be reasonably estimated, which generally occurs upon agreement by the customer. Government subsidies relating to the shipbuilding sector are added to the related contract value and are recognised as revenue using the percentage of completion method.

For long term service contracts, revenues are generally recognised on a straight line basis over the term of the contract.

Total estimated costs at completion include direct (such as material and labour) and indirect contract costs incurred to date as well as estimated similar costs to complete, including warranty accruals and costs to settle claims or disputes that are considered probable. Selling and administrative expenses are charged to expense as incurred. As a result of contract review, accruals for losses on contracts and other contract related provisions are recorded as soon as they are probable in the line item. Cost of sales in the Consolidated Income Statement. Adjustments to contract estimates resulting from job conditions and performance, as well as changes in estimated profitability, are recognised in. Cost of Sales as soon as they occur.

Cost of sales is computed on the basis of percentage of completion applied to total estimated costs. The excess of that amount over the cost of sales reported in prior periods is the cost of revenues for the period. Contract completion accruals are recorded for future expenses to be incurred in connection with the completion of contracts or of identifiable portions of contracts. Warranty provisions are estimated on the basis of contractual agreement and available statistical data.

(d) Translation of financial statements denominated in foreign currencies

The functional currency of the Group s foreign subsidiaries is the applicable local currency. Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries located outside the Euro zone are translated into euros at the period end rate of exchange, and their income statements and cash flow statements are converted at the average rate of exchange for the period. The resulting translation adjustment is included as a component of shareholders equity.

54

(e) Foreign currency transactions

Foreign currency transactions are translated into local currency at the rate of exchange applicable to the transaction (market rate or forward hedge rate). At period end, foreign currency assets and liabilities to be settled are translated into local currency at the rate of exchange prevailing at that date or the forward hedge rate. Resulting exchange rate differences are included in the Consolidated Income Statement.

(f) Financial instruments

The Group operates internationally giving rise to exposure to market risks and changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates. Financial instruments are utilised by the Group to reduce those risks. The Group s policy is to hedge currency exposures by holding or issuing financial instruments.

The Group enters into various interest rate swaps, forward rate agreements (FRA) and floors to manage its interest rate exposures. Net interest is accrued as either interest receivable or payable with the offset recorded in financial interest.

The Group enters into forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge foreign currency transactions. Realised and unrealised gains and losses on these instruments are deferred and recorded in the carrying amount of the related hedged asset, liability or firm commitment.

The Group also uses export insurance contracts to hedge its currency exposure on certain long-term contracts during the open bid time as well as when the commercial contract is signed. If the Group is not successful in signing the contract, the Group incurs no additional liability towards the insurance company except the prepaid premium. As a consequence, during the open bid period, these insurance contracts are accounted for as such, the premium being expensed when incurred. When the contract is signed, the insurance contract is accounted for as described above for forward foreign exchange contracts.

In addition, the Group may enter into derivatives in order to optimise the use of some of its existing assets. Such a decision is taken on a case by case basis and is subject to approval by the management.

(g) Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. Initial estimates of fair values are finalised at the end of the financial year following the year of acquisition. Thereafter, releases of unrequired provisions for risks and charges resulting from the purchase price allocation are applied to goodwill. Goodwill is amortised on the straight-line basis over a period of twenty years in all sectors due to the long-term nature of the contracts and activities involved.

(h) Other intangible assets

The Group recognises other intangible assets such as technology, licensing agreements, installed bases of customers, etc. These acquired intangible assets are amortised on the straight-line basis over a period of twenty years in all sectors due to the long-term nature of the contracts and activities involved.

(i) Impairment

At the balance sheet date, whenever events or changes in markets indicate a potential impairment to goodwill, other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and deferred tax assets, the carrying amount of such assets is reduced to their estimated recoverable value. Impairment tests are performed annually.

55

(j) Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at historical cost to the Group. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Estimated useful

	lite in years
Buildings	25
Machinery and equipment	10
Tools, furniture, fixtures and others	3-7

Assets financed through capital lease are not capitalised (see Note 17 (b)).

(k) Other investments

Other investments are recorded at the lower of historical cost or net realisable value, assessed on an individual investment basis. The net realisable value is calculated using the following parameters: equity value, profitability and expected cash flow from the investment.

(I) Other fixed assets

Other fixed assets are recorded at the lower of historical cost or net realisable value, assessed on an individual investment basis.

(m) Inventories and contracts in progress

Raw materials and supplies, work and contracts in progress, and finished products are stated at the lower of cost, using the weighted average cost method, or net realisable value. Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less the estimated costs of completion and selling expenses. Inventory cost includes costs of acquiring inventories and bringing them to their existing location and condition. Finished goods and work and contract in progress inventory includes an allocation of applicable manufacturing overheads.

(n) Short-term investments

Short-term investments include debt and equity securities and deposits with an initial maturity greater than three months but available for sale. Short-term investments are recorded at the lower of cost or market value, on a line by line basis.

(o) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of less than three months.

(p) Deferred taxation

Deferred taxes are calculated for each taxable entity for temporary differences arising between the tax value and book value of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised where timing differences are expected to reverse in future years. Deferred tax assets are recorded up to their expected recoverable amount. Deferred tax amounts are adjusted for changes in the applicable tax rate upon enactment.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are netted first by legal entity and then by tax grouping.

No provision is made for income taxes on accumulated earnings of consolidated businesses or equity method investees for whom no distribution is planned.

56

(q) Customer deposits and advances

Customer deposits and advances are shown net, and represent amounts received from customers in advance of work being undertaken on their behalf. Where trading has taken place under the long term contract trading rules, but provisional acceptance of the contract has not taken place, the related customer advance is shown as a deduction from the related receivables.

If any balance of customer deposits and advances is still outstanding and where work is undertaken on behalf of customers before sale, the related customer advance, termed a progress payment is deducted from Inventories and Contracts in Progress on a contract by contract basis.

(r) Provisions for risks and charges

A provision is recognised when the Group has a present legal or constructive obligation of uncertain timing or amount as a result of a past event and it is probable that an outflow of economic resources will be required to settle the obligation and such outflow can be reliably estimated.

Provisions for warranties are recognised based on contract terms. Warranty periods may extend up to five years. The provisions are based on historical warranty data and a weighting of all possible outcomes against their associated probabilities. Provisions for contract losses are recorded at the point where the loss is first determined. Provisions are recorded for all penalties and claims based on management s assessment of the likely outcome.

(s) Stock options

Stock options are not recorded by the Group at the date of grant. However, upon exercise of stock options, the Group records the issuance of the common shares as an equity transaction based on the amount of cash received from the holders.

(t) Research and development

Internally generated research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

(u) Employee benefits

The estimated cost of providing benefits to employees is accrued during the years in which the employees render services.

For single employer defined benefit plans, the fair value of plan assets is assessed annually and actuarial assumptions are used to determine cost and benefit obligations. Liabilities and prepaid expenses are accrued over the estimated term of service of employees using actuarial methods. Experience gains and losses, as well as changes in actuarial assumptions and plan assets and provisions are amortised over the average future service period of employees.

For defined contribution plans and multi-employer pension plans, expenses are recorded as incurred.

(v) Restructuring

Restructuring costs are accrued when management announces the reduction or closure of facilities, or a program to reduce the workforce and when related costs are precisely determined. Such costs include employees severance and termination benefits, estimated facility closing costs and write-off of assets.

57

(w) Financial income (expense)

Financial income (expense) is principally comprised of interest payable on borrowings, interest receivable on funds invested, foreign exchange gains and losses, and gains and losses on hedging instruments that are recognised in the income statement.

Interest income is recognised in the income statement as it accrues, taking into account the effective yield on the asset.

Dividend income is recognised in the income statement on the date that the dividend is declared.

All interest and other costs incurred in connection with borrowings are expensed as incurred as part of net financing costs.

(x) Earnings per share

Basic Earnings per share are computed by dividing the period net income (loss) by the weighted average number of outstanding shares during the financial year.

Diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing the period net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the effect of any dilutive instruments.

For the diluted earnings per share calculation, Net income (loss) is not adjusted as the Group has no interest bearing dilutive instruments.

(y) Exchange rates used for the translation of main currencies

	At 30 September 2002		At 31 March 2003		At 30 September 2003	
☐ for 1 monetary unit	Average	Closing	Average	Closing	Average	Closing
British pound	1.579384	1.588562	1.549571	1.450116	1.427823	1.431434
Swiss franc	0.683094	0.684416	0.682536	0.677323	0.650886	0.649182
US dollar	1.038550	1.014199	0.997990	0.917852	0.879388	0.858222
Canadian dollar	0.668892	0.642426	0.646284	0.623558	0.634476	0.636254
Australian dollar	0.573345	0.552456	0.563472	0.553220	0.573704	0.584761

Note 3 Changes in consolidated companies

(1) Disposal of Industrial Turbine businesses

In April 2003, the Group signed binding agreements to sell its small gas turbines business and medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses in two transactions.

The first transaction covers the small gas turbines business, and the second transaction covers medium-sized gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses.

On 30 April 2003, the closing of the sale of the small gas turbines business was announced. On 1 August 2003 completion of the major part of the disposal of the medium gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses was announced following approval from both the European Commission and US merger control authorities.

Total proceeds are 🛮 967 million (subject to closing price adjustments) of which 🖺 125 million is held in escrow.

These businesses have been de-consolidated at the respective dates of each transaction.

58

(2) Disposal of Transmission & Distribution sector (Excluding the Power Conversion Business)

On 25 September 2003, the Group concluded an agreement to sell its Transmission & Distribution sector excluding the power conversion business to Areva with closing expected in early January 2004. The sold businesses will be deconsolidated from this date. Proceeds are \square 950 million, subject to closing price adjustments.

Note 4 Other Income (Expense), net

	Half-yea 30 Septe 2002	0	Year ended 31 March 2003
Net gain (loss) on disposal of fixed assets (1)	(1)	14	29
Net gain (loss) on disposal of investments (2)	(9)	35	(35)
Restructuring costs (3)	(80)	(276)	(268)
Employees profit sharing	(8)	(9)	(18)
Pension costs (4)	(97)	(138)	(214)
Others, net	7	(23)	(49)
Other income (expense), net	(188)	(397)	(555)

⁽¹⁾ In the half-year ended 30 September 2003 and in the year ended 31 march 2003 it mainly corresponds to the net gain on the disposal of real state portfolio in Western Europe.

(2) In the year ended 31 March 2003, it mainly corresponds to the net losses on the disposal of South Africa operations and Alstom Power Insurance Ltd.

In the Half Year ended 30 September 2003 it corresponds to the net gain on the disposal of the Industrial Turbine businesses (See Note 3). The Group has disposed of its Industrial Turbines businesses in a two part transaction with effect from, respectively, 30 April 2003 and 31 July 2003. As a result, the consolidation packages prepared for each unit disposed of for the last month of activity prior to sale were prepared under the control of the acquirer. The Group made certain adjustments to the consolidation packages received to ensure conformity with Group accounting principles and judgements, consistently applied. These adjustments resulted in no impact on Earnings Before Interest and Taxation on or Net income, but did result in a reclassification reducing the gain on disposal included within other income (expense), net and increasing operating income by \Box 67 million. The quantum of this reclassification remains subject to subsequent confirmation. However, the Group considers the amount of \Box 67 million to be a best estimate of the adjustment required.

(3) See Note 12 Provisions for risks and charges

(4) See Note 13 Accrued pension and retirement obligations

Note 5 Financial Income (Expense), net

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

	Half-yea	ar ended	
	3	80	Year ended
	Septe	September	
	2002	2003	2003
		(in 🛮 millio	on)
Net interest income (expense)	(84)	(136)	(182)
Securitisation expenses	(56)	(16)	(82)
Foreign currency gain (loss) (1)	35	(20)	55
Other financial income (expense) (2)	(23)	(48)	(61)
Financial income (expense)	(128)	(220)	(270)

⁽¹⁾ The Foreign currency gain in the half-year ended 30 September 2002 and in the year ended 31 March 2003 mainly results from the unwinding of forward sale contracts of US dollars against Euros following reassessment of the financing structure in USA.

⁽²⁾ Other financial income (expenses), net included fees paid on guarantees, syndicated loans and other financing facilities of [] 18 million and [] 57 million for the half-year ended 30 September 2002 and 30 September 2003 respectively and [] 41 million for the year ended 31 March 2003.

Note 6 Income tax

(a) Analysis

of income tax charge

		Half-year ended 30 September	
	2002	2003 (in [] million)	2003
Current income tax (charge)	(51)	(48)	(153)
Deferred tax income (charge)	15		416
Income tax charge	(36)	29	263
Effective tax rate	18.4%	5.6%	18.8%

(b) Effective income tax rate

The effective income tax rate can be analysed as follows:

	Half-year ended 30 September 2003
Pre-tax income (loss)	(516)
Statutory income tax rate of the parent company	35.43%
Expected tax credit (charge)	183
Impact of :	
disposals	(78)
(non recognition) recognition of tax loss carryforwards	(59)
net change in estimate of tax liabilities	5
intangible assets amortisation	(10)
other permanent differences	(11)
non recoverable withholding taxes, etc	(9)
differences in rates	8
Income tax credit (charge)	29
Effective tax rate	5.6%

(c) Deferred tax assets, net

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

	At 31 March 2003	At 30 September 2003
Tax loss carryforwards, net of valuation allowance	1,390	1,223
Provisions and other expenses not currently deductible	535	497
Others	665	791
Gross deferred tax assets	2,590	2,511
Netting by tax grouping or by legal entity	(759)	(627)
Deferred tax assets after netting	1,831	1,884
Deferred tax liabilities after netting	(37)	(55)
Deferred tax assets, net	1,794	1,829

On the basis of the Group s business plan, the Group has the capacity to generate sufficient levels of taxable profit in the coming years to recover its net tax loss carry forward in general within five years.

Note 7 Goodwill, net

adjustments and	
	At 3
other changes	

Translation

				adjustments and	
	At 31 March 2003 ⁽²⁾	Acquisitions/ Disposals	Amortisation (in ☐ million)	other changes	At 30 September 2003
Power Environment	755		(22)		733
Power Turbo-Systems	115		(4)		111
Power Service (1)	2,166	(47)	(64)		2,055
Power Industrial Turbines (1)	329	(324)	(5)		
Transmission & Distribution	515	` '	(22)	(1)	492
Transport	558		(18)	(2)	538
Marine	2		,	()	2
Goodwill, net	4,440	(371)	(135)	(3)	3,931

⁽¹⁾ On 28 April 2003, the Group announced the completion of the disposal of its small gas turbine business and on 1 August 2003, the completion of the disposal of the medium gas turbines and industrial steam turbines business was announced, both to Siemens. The related Service activities were sold in the same transactions. The result of these transactions is a decrease of Goodwill of [] 371 million (see Note 3).

At 31 March 2003, the Group requested a third party valuer to provide an independent report as part of its impairment test, performed annually, on goodwill and other intangible assets. This valuation focused primarily but not exclusively on the two Sectors (Power and Transport) which account for the majority of the Group s goodwill and other intangibles.

The valuation in use was determined primarily by focusing on the discounted cash flow methodology which captured the potential of the net asset base to generate future profits and cash flow and was based on the following factors:

The Group's internal three year Business Plan prepared as part of its annual budget exercise,

Extrapolation of the three year Business Plan over 10 years,

Terminal value at the end of the ten year period representing approximately 55% of total enterprise value.

The Group s Weighted Average Cost of Capital, post-tax, of 10.5 % to 11.5 %.

⁽²⁾ From 1 April 2003, the former Power Sector was reorganised into three new sectors, Power Turbo-Systems, Power Service and Power Environment (See Note 16). Consequently, the Goodwill, net allocated to the former Sector is now presented to reflect the current reporting structure.

The valuation supported the Group s opinion that its goodwill and other intangible assets were not impaired on a reporting unit basis.

The review made during the summer of the business plan has not caused the Group to conclude that triggering events have occurred that would lead to impairment testing at 30 September 2003.

A similar independent third party valuation will be requested at 31 March 2004.

Note 8 Other intangible assets, net

				Translation	At
	At 31 March	Acquisitions /		adjustments and	30 September
	2003	Amortisation	Disposals (in [] million)	other changes	2003
Gross value	1,354		(193)		1,161
Amortisation	(186)	(31)	30		(187)
Other intangible assets, net	1,168	(31)	(163)		974

Other intangible assets mainly result from the allocation of the purchase price following the acquisition of ABB s 50% shareholding in Power. It includes technology, an installed base of customers and licensing agreements.

The decrease of [] 163 million results from the disposal of the small and medium gas turbine business and the industrial steam turbine business (see Note 3).

Note 9 Other fixed assets, net

	At 31 March 2003	At 30 September 2003 (in ∏ million)
Long term loans, deposits and retentions (1)	814	796
Prepaid assets pensions (see Note 13)	397	363
Others	83	80
		
Other fixed assets, net	1,294	1,239

⁽¹⁾ Include loans and cash deposits in respect of Marine vendor financing for total amounts of [] 510 and [] 326 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003 respectively (see Note 17 (a)(2)). At 30 September 2003, it also includes [] 125 million held in escrow following the disposal of the small and medium gas turbine businesses and the industrial steam turbines business.

Note 10 Sale of trade receivables

The following table shows net proceeds from sale of trade receivables:

	At 31 March 2003	At 30 September 2003 (in [] million)
Trade receivables sold	357	212
Retained interests		
Net cash proceeds from securitisation of trade receivables	357	212

The Group sold, irrevocably and without recourse, trade receivables to third parties. The Group generally continues to service, administer, and collect the receivables on behalf of the purchasers.

Note 11 Changes in net working capital

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

	At 31 March 2003	Cash flow	Translation adjustments (in ☐ million)	Changes in scope and others	At 30 September 2003
Inventories and contract in progress, net	4,608	(319)	(83)	(462)	3,744
Trade and other receivables, net (1)	7,477	355	(75)	(258)	7,499
Sale of trade receivables, net	(357)	144	1		(212)
Contract related provisions	(3,264)	262	84	24	(2,894)
Other provisions	(296)	(33)	(1)	(12)	(342)
Restructuring provisions	(138)	(137)	1	12	(262)
Customers deposits and advances	(3,541)	221	54	181	(3,085)
Trade and other payables	(9,375)	(168)	134	321	(9,088)
Net working capital	(4,886)	325	115	(194)	(4,640)

62

Before impact of net proceeds from sale of trade receivables.

(1)

Note 12 Provisions for risks and charges

	At 31 March 2003	Additions	Releases	Applied (in	Translation adjustments and other	At 30 September 2003
Warranties	815	230	(66)	(155)	(26)	798
Penalties and claims	1,766	139	(45)	(268)	(96)	1,496
Contract loss	412	140	(19)	(239)	23	317
Other risks on contracts	271	92	(37)	(34)	(7)	285
Provisions on contracts	3,264	601	(167)	(696)	(106)	2,896
Restructuring	138	280	(4)	(139)	(13)	262
Other provisions	296	48	(2)	(13)	13	342
Total	3,698	929	(173)	(848)	(106)	3,500

Provisions on contracts

GT24/GT26 heavy-duty gas turbines

During the six-month period, the Group utilised provisions and accrued contract costs of \square 433 million and now retains, after exchange rate effects, \square 1,193 million as provisions and accrued contract costs in respect of these turbines. These amounts do not include \square 336 million of exposure for which the Group considers the risks mitigated by appropriate action plans.

UK Trains

At 30 September 2003, provisions and accrued contract cost of \square 64 million are retained in respect of UK train equipment supply contracts.

Actual costs incurred may exceed the amounts of provisions and accrued contract costs retained at 30 September 2003 as, among other items, the outcome of claims made by or against the Group are at such an early stage that no meaningful assessment of amounts which may become due to or by the Group is possible. On one of the UK contracts, the West Coast Main Line, any settlement will require the approval of the Strategic Rail Authority.

Alstom Transportation Inc.

During the first half year ended 30 September 2003 and after the General Meeting held on 2 July 2003, the Group identified and recognised additional provisions for contract losses following the re-estimation of costs to complete on several contracts in Alstom Transportation Inc. The additional provisions concern two North East Corridor (NEC) line contracts together with receivables write-down and accrued contract costs and other payables.

NEC Contracts

On the two NEC contracts, new build and maintenance, all parties agreed to enter a mediation phase starting June 2003. This mediation initially scheduled to end in late September 2003 has failed and the outcome of the litigation is difficult to predict at this stage. Major uncertainties remain on those contracts.

Restructuring expenditures and provisions

During the year ended 31 March 2003, restructuring expenditure amounted to 297 million. New plans were adopted during the period in Power, Transmission & Distribution and Transport, for which provisions have been recorded.

63

During the six-month period ended 30 September 2003, restructuring expenditure amounted to \square 139 million. New plans were adopted and provisions were retained in all Sectors excluding Marine.

Other provisions

Other provisions include [] 140 million at March 2003 and September 2003 to cover Marine vendor financing exposure.

Note 13 Accrued pension and retirement obligations

The Group provides various types of retirement, termination benefits and post retirement benefits (including healthcare and medical cost) to its employees. The type of benefits offered to an individual employee is related to local legal requirements as well as the historical operating practices of the specific subsidiaries and involves the Group in the operation of or participation in various retirement plans.

These plans are either defined contributions or defined benefits.

For the defined contributions plans, the level of Group contribution to independent administered funds is fixed at a set percentage of employees pay. The pension costs charged in the Profit and Loss account represent contributions payable by the Group to the funds.

For the defined benefits plans, which the Group operates, benefits are based on employee pensionable remuneration and length of service. These are either externally funded or unfunded, with provisions maintained in the Group balance sheet. All are subject to regular actuarial review. Actuarial valuations are carried out by external actuaries employed by the Group using the projected unit method. The actuarial assumptions used to calculate the benefit obligation vary according to the country in which the plan is situated.

Most defined-benefit pension liabilities are funded through separate pension funds. Pension plan assets related to funded plans are invested mainly in equity and debt securities.

Other supplemental defined-benefit pension plans sponsored by the Group for certain employees are funded from the Group s assets as they become due.

The Group reviews annually plan assets and obligations. Differences between actual and expected returns on assets together with the effects of any changes in actuarial assumptions are assessed. If this difference exceeds 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligations or the market value of plan assets, the resulting unrecognised gains/losses are amortised over the average

remaining service life of active employees.

The Group also provides post-retirement benefits (mainly post-retirement medical benefits plans) to a number of retired employees in certain countries principally in the United-States under plans which are predominantly unfunded.

The balance sheet position of these liabilities and assets, which are predominantly long term, are presented below:

	At 31 March	At 30 September
	2003	2003 <i>million</i>)
Accrued pension and retirement benefits	(972)	(937)
Prepaid assets pensions (see note 9)	397	363
Net (accrued) prepaid benefit cost	(575)	(574)

64

The components of the pension cost are the following:

	Half-yea	r ended	Year ended
	30 Sept	tember	31 March
	2002	2003 (in 🛭 millio	2003 on)
Service cost	56	51	109
Expected interest cost	106	100	211
Expected return on plan assets	(96)	(74)	(193)
Amortisation of unrecognised prior service cost			2
Amortisation of actuarial net loss (gain)	13	32	17
Curtailments / Settlements		(32)	9
Total defined benefits net periodic pension cost	79	`77	155
Other defined contributions and multi-employer	18	29	59
Curtailments/Settlements effects included in Net gain on disposal of investments (see Note			
4) (1)		32	
Pension cost	97	138	214

⁽¹⁾ Disposal of small and medium gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses.

Note 14 Financial Debt

(a) Analysis by nature

	At 31 March	At 30 September
	2003 (in∏	2003 <i>million</i>)
Redeemable preference shares (1)	205	205
Subordinated notes (2)	250	250
Bonds (3)	1,200	1,200
Syndicated loans (4)	2,627	2,498
Bilateral loans	358	358
Bank overdraft and other facilities	266	265
Commercial paper (5)	83	720
Accrued interest	50	58
Total	5,039	5,554
Future receivables securitised, net (6)	1,292	522
Financial debt (7)	6,331	6,076

Long-term	3,647	2,390
Short-term	2,684	3,686

(1) On 30 March 2001, a wholly owned subsidiary of ALSTOM Holdings issued perpetual, cumulative, non voting, preference shares for a total amount of ☐ 205 million.

The preference shares have no voting rights. They were not redeemable, except at the exclusive option of the issuer, in whole but not in part, on or after the 5th anniversary of the issue date or at any time in case of certain limited specific pre identified events. Included in those events, are changes in tax laws and the issuance of new share capital.

In July 2002 an issue of shares was made triggering the contractual redemption of the preferred shares at 31 March 2006 at a price equal to par value together with dividends accrued, but not yet paid.

(2) The Group issued, on September 2000, ☐ 250 million Auction Rate Coupon Undated Subordinated Notes.

In March 2003, the terms of redemption were amended and the notes are redeemable in September 2006. They retain their subordinated nature and rank pari passu with holders of other subordinated indebtedness. Interest is payable quarterly, at variable rates based on EURIBOR.

(3) On July 26, 1999, the Group issued bonds for a principal amount of ☐ 650 million with a 7 year maturity, listed on the Paris and Luxembourg Stock Exchanges, bearing a 5 % coupon and to be redeemed at par on 26 July 2006.

On February 6, 2001, the Group issued bonds for a principal amount of [] 550 million with a 3 year maturity, listed on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange, bearing a 5.625 % coupon and to be redeemed at par on 6 February 2004.

65

(4) Syndicated loans.

Financial covenants applicable at 31 March 2003

In March 2003 an agreement was signed with a consortium of banks, the lenders , to extend until 21 January 2004 the maturity of a revolving credit facility of \square 400 million and two bilateral loans totalling \square 75 million out of the \square 358 million of bilateral loans at 31 March 2003 that were originally scheduled to mature in March and April 2003. A new bridge facility of \square 600 million maturing 15 December 2003 was signed under similar terms and conditions.

Both extended and bridge facilities were subject to compliance with new financial covenants, which had also replaced former covenants in the two other existing syndicated revolving credit facilities (totalling at 31 March 2003 \Box 1, 250 million maturing in April 2004 and \Box 977 million of which \Box 255 million maturing in August 2003 and was paid and \Box 722 million will mature in August 2006).

Total debt contractually defined as the sum of the gross financial debt and the net amount of sale of trade receivables (see Note 10) should have been tested on the last day of each month until maturity and should have not exceeded at respectively 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003 amounts of $\[\] 7,000 \]$ million and $\[\] 6,800 \]$ million. At 31 March 2003, the total debt amounted to $\[\] 6,688 \]$ million.

Economic debt contractually defined as the sum of the net financial debt and the net amount of sale of trade receivables (see Note 10) should have been tested on the last day of each month until maturity and should not have exceed at respectively 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003 amounts of $\[\] 5,300 \]$ million, $\[\] 5,500 \]$ million. At 31 March 2003, the economic debt amounted to $\[\] 4,918 \]$ million.

Consolidated net worth contractually defined as the sum of shareholders equity and minority interests should not have been lower at respectively 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003 than \square 800 million and \square 500 million. At 31 March 2003, the consolidated net worth amounted to \square 853 million.

Financial covenants mentioned above also applied to the [] 1,250 million and [] 977 million syndicated revolving credit facilities. At 31 March 2004, total debt—should not have exceeded [] 4,800 million,—Economic Debt—should not have exceeded [] 3,600 million and—Consolidated Net worth should not have been lower than [] 500 million. Interest cover, the ratio between EBITDA² and consolidated net financial expenses³, should not have been lower than 1.8 at 31 March 2004. These financial covenants applied in the periods up to the last maturity in 2006.

Financial covenants applicable at 30 September 2003

As set out in Note 19, the Group reached an agreement with all interested parties on a new financing package (the Financing package) which was announced on 22 September 2003.

The Financing package includes a Subordinated Debt Facility signed on 30 September 2003 with a syndicate of banks and financial institutions for an amount up to 1,563 million to be made available as described below. This subordinated debt facility will be subject to new financial covenants amending the one applicable at 31 March 2003.

In addition, as part of the negotiation of the financing package it was agreed with all interested parties that the financial covenants described above applicable to the syndicated and bilateral loans did not apply at 30 September 2003.

New financial covenants

The 5 year subordinated debt facility negotiated as part of the financing package is divided between the term loan Part A of []1,200 million and the revolving credit Part B of []263 million, which may be subsequently increased by up to [] 100 million. The amount of this increase, if any, shall be up to the amount by which the guarantee of the signatory banks to that agreement in connection with the issuance of the ORAs will not be called into play, and the amount received by such banks resulting from the exercise of the purchase warrants in connection with the capital increase. No drawdown may occur under the subordinated debt facility unless, among other things, certain actions contemplated under the financing package agreement are completed.

The Part A will be available in two advances. The first advance being used, to the extent of the amounts made available by lenders other than CFDI (*Caisse Française de Développement Industriel*), to repay part of the outstanding balance of the \Box 1,250 million Multicurrency Revolving Credit Agreement and, to the extent of the amounts made available by CFDI, towards the repayment or prepayment of \Box 300 million of commercial paper (*billets de trésorerie*). The second advance of the Part A will not become available earlier than 30 January 2004 and will be used for the repayment and cancellation of the \Box 550,million bonds in full. The Part B will be available from 20 January 2004 until 29 September 2008.

Under this agreement, upon the occurrence and continuation of events that qualify as events of default (or early repayment events), the lenders may cancel all commitments and declare all outstanding amounts to be immediately due and payable. For example, an event of default will be triggered if the Group fail to meet certain financial covenants, each as described below:

- 1 Additional flexibility of ☐ 500 million is granted at the two month-ends following this date.
- 2 EBITDA is defined as Earnings Before Interest and Tax plus depreciation and amortisation as set out in Consolidated Statements of Cash flow less goodwill amortisation and less capital gain on disposal of investments (see Note 4).
- 3 Consolidated net financial expenses are defined as net interest income/expenses plus securitisation expenses (see Note 5).

66

Covenants	Minimum Interest Cover ^(a)	Minimum Consolidated net worth (including TSDDRA *) ^(b)	Maximum Total debt (excluding TSDDRA *) ^(c)	Maximum Net debt leverage ^(d)	Minimum EBITDA ^(e)
		(in ☐ million)	(in 🛘 million)		(in ☐ million)
December 2003			5,550		
March 2004		1,400	4,750		100
June 2004			4,850		
September 2004		1,000	4,800		230
December 2004			4,600		
March 2005	1.2	1,100	4,450	8.0	
June 2005			4,650		
September 2005	1.6	850	4,650	7.5	
December 2005			4,600		
March 2006	2.5	1,150	4,450	4.0	
June 2006			4,400		
September 2006	2.5	1,150	4,400	3.6	
December 2006			4,400		
March 2007	2.5	1,150	4,400	3.6	
June 2007			4,400		
September 2007	2.5	1,150	4,400	3.6	
December 2007			4,400		
March 2008	2.5	1,150	4,400	3.6	
June 2008			4,400		

^{*} TSDDRA, or titres subordonnés à durée déterminée remboursables en actions .

- (a) Ratio of EBITDA (see (e) below) to consolidated net financial expense (interest expense plus securitisation expenses less interest income).
- (b) Sum of shareholders equity and minority interests (this covenant will not apply if and for as long as ALSTOM s long term unsecured, unsubordinated debt is assigned a credit rating of at least Baa3 by Moody s or BBB- by Standard & Poor s). For purposes of this financial covenant, consolidated net worth shall include the TSDDRA.
- (c) Sum of the financial debt and the net amount of sale of trade receivables (this covenant will not apply if and for as long as ALSTOM s long term unsecured, unsubordinated debt is assigned a credit rating of at least Baa3 by Moody s or BBB- by Standard & Poor s). For purposes of this financial covenant, total debt is to be calculated excluding the TSDDRA.
- (d) Ratio of total net debt (total financial debt less short term investments and cash and cash equivalents) to EBITDA (see (e) below). For purposes of this financial covenant, total financial debt is contractually to be calculated excluding the TSDDRA.

(e)	Earnings Before Interest and Tax plus depreciation and amortisation as set out in Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow less goodwill amortisation and less capital gain on disposal of investments.
(5)	The total authorised commercial paper program is \square 2,500 million, availability being subject to market conditions.
(6)	The Group sold, in several transactions, the right to receive payment from certain customers for future receivables for a net amount of [1,292 million and [] 522 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively and is split as follows between the Marine and Transport Sectors:
	Marine Sector : ☐ 581 million and ☐ 32 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively ;
	Transport Sector : 🛮 711 million and 🖺 490 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively.
(7)	The financial debt in Euro currency amounts to \square 6,205 million and \square 6,038 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively.
	81 March 2003, in addition to drawn down amounts of syndicated loans, the Group has unused confirmed credit lines of \square 600 million resulting in ridge credit facility which a group of banks executed in march 2003 and maturing 15 December 2003.

67

At 30 September 2003, out of the \square 900 million commercial paper facility obtained from the Caisse des dépôts et Consignations as part of the financing package in addition to the \square 300 million formerly obtained, \square 600 million remain unused.

(b) Analysis by maturity and interest rate

		Short Term			L	ong Term		Average rate
	TOTAL	Within 1 year (in	1-2 years	2-3 years	3-4 years <i>(in</i>	4-5 years	Over 5 years	of interest- Long Term (1)
Redeemable preference		, _ ,			•			
shares	205			205				5.2%
Subordinated notes	250			250				14.5%
Bonds	1,200	550		650				4.5%
Syndicated loans	2,498	1,777		721				4.1%
Bilateral loans	358	75		50	233			3.1%
Bank overdraft and other								
facilities	265	223	16	3	3	3	17	4.3%
Commercial Paper	720	720						4.1%
Accrued interests	58	58						
Total	5,554	3,403	16	1,879	236	3	17	
Future receivables								
securitised, net (2)	522	283	235	4				5.0%
Financial debt	6,076	3,686	251	1,883	236	3	17	

⁽¹⁾ Including the effects of interest rate swaps associated with the underlying debt.

(2) The reimbursement of which will come from the direct payment of the customer to the investor to whom the Group sold the right to receive the payment.

	At 30 September	er 2003
	Amount before	Amount after
	Hedging	Hedging ⁽¹⁾
	(in 🗌 millio	n)
Financial debt at fixed rate	1,320	967
Financial debt at floating rate (2)	4,756	5,109
-		
Total	6,076	6,076

(1) After taking into accounts [] 353 million of interest swaps.

(2) Floating rates are based on EURIBOR and LIBOR.

Note 15 Queen Mary II Financing

In the year ended 31 March 2003 the Group s marine subsidiary entered into a construction finance contract in respect of one ship presently under construction. Under the terms of this contract finance is made available against commitments to suppliers and to work in progress. The amounts financed are secured against the ship involved and the future receivable is collaterised by way of a guarantee of the prefinancement.

Cash received has firstly been applied against amounts included in trade receivables then against work in progress and where commitments made have not yet become work in progress cash is shown as part of customer deposits and advances.

At 31 March 2003 cash received on this pre-financing was \[\] 453 million, of which \[\] 434 million has been applied and the remaining balance of \[\] 19 million included in customer deposits and advances.

At 30 September 2003 cash received on this pre-financing was \square 462 million fully applied against the corresponding trade receivables.

68

Note 16 Sector and geographic data

a) Sector data

The Group is managed through Sectors of activity and has determined its reportable segments accordingly.

Starting from 1 April 2003, the Group is organised in six sectors:

The Former Power sector has been reorganised into three new sectors. Starting from 1 April 2003, the former Gas Turbine and Steam Power Plant segments have been merged into Power Turbo-systems, the Boiler & Environment and Hydro segment have been merged into Power Environment, the Customer Service segment has been renamed Power Service.

Power Turbo-Systems Sector

Power Turbo-Systems provides steam turbines, generators and power plant engineering and construction and mid-range gas turbines.

Power Environment Sector

Power Environment focus on emissions control equipment in the power generation, petrochemical and industrial markets; demand for upgrades and modernisation of existing power plants; hydro power plant refurbishment; small-scale hydro plants; and large-scale irrigation projects.

Power Service Sector

Power Service promotes the service activities relating to the Power Turbo Systems Sector and the Power Environment Sector and services to customers in all geographic markets. The Segment supplies the following products and services:

portfolio of services from spare parts and field services to full operation and maintenance packages;

refurbishment and modernisation of existing plants;

technical consultancy services;

tailor-made services and value packages (integrated solutions designed to achieve improved plant availability and reliability; improved plant efficiency and capacity; lower production costs and enhanced environmental compatability); and

new service product development.

Transmission & Distribution Sector

The Transmission & Distribution Sector offers equipment and customer support for the transmission and distribution of electrical energy.

From 1 April 2002 Power Conversion has been integrated within the Transmission & Distribution Sector and provides solutions for manufacturing processes and supplies high-performance products including motors, generators, propulsion systems for Marine and drives for a variety of industrial applications.

This sector, excluding Power Conversion, is presently in the process of being sold.

Transport Sector

Transport offers equipment, systems, and customer support for rail transportation including passenger trains, locomotives, signalling equipment, rail components and service.

69

Marine Sector

Marine designs and manufactures cruise and other speciality ships.

The composition of the Sectors may vary slightly from time to time. As part of any change in the composition of its sectors, Group management may also modify the manner in which it evaluates and measures profitability.

It evaluates internally their performance on Operating income and Free Cash Flow.

Some units, not material to the sector presentation, have been transferred between sectors. The revised segment composition has not been reflected on a retroactive basis as the Group determined it was not practicable to do so.

	Half-year ended		Year ended	
	30 September		31 March	
	2002	2003 (in [] million)	2003	
Orders received				
Power Environment	1,469	1,042	2,583	
Power Turbo Systems	1,368	839	1,821	
Power Service	1,686	1,368	2,934	
Power Industrial Turbines	508	320	1,265	
Total Power	5,031	3,569	8,603	
Transmission & Distribution	2,067	1,801	3,731	
Transport	3,300	1,672	6,412	
Marine	26	340	163	
Corporate & others (1)	113	57	214	
TOTAL	10,537	7,439	19,123	

	Half-year ended 30 September		Year ended 31 March
	2002	2003 (in [] million)	2003
Sales			
Power Environment	1,457	1,331	3,098
Power Turbo Systems	2,413	1,211	3,857
Power Service	1,350	1,361	2,678
Power Industrial Turbines	592	210	1,268
Total Power	5,812	4,113	10,901

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Transmission & Distribution	1,778	1,562	3,605
Transport	2,339	2,297	5,072
Marine	725	822	1,568
Corporate & others (1)	115	60	205
TOTAL	10,769	8,854	21,351

<u>Table of Contents</u>			
		Half-year ended 30 September	
	2002	2003 (in	2003
Operating income			
Power Environment	n/a	24	224
Power Turbo Systems	n/a n/a	(127)	(1,399)
Power Service Power Industrial Turbines	n/a	196 14	403 82
Tower industrial raibilies			
Total Power	270	107	(690)
Transmission & Distribution	110	84	227
Transport	90	(37)	(24)
Marine	16	4	24
Corporate & others (1)	56	(26)	(44)
TOTAL	542	132	(507)
			
	Half-yea 30 Sep		Year ended 31 March
			2003
	2002	2003 (in [] million)	
EBIT		()	
Power Environment	n/a ,	(29)	107
Power Turbo Systems Power Service	n/a n/a	(219) 123	(1,527) 304
Power Industrial Turbines	n/a	7	53
Tower industrial rurbines			
Total Power	133	(118)	(1,063)
Transmission & Distribution	61	6	81
Transport	43	(150)	(113)
Marine	15	(2)	12
Corporate & others (1)	70	(32)	(46)
TOTAL	322	(296)	(1,129)
	_		
	Half-year en Septen		Year ended 31 March
	Зерген	iibei	
	2002	2003 (in [] million)	2003
Capital employed (2)	-/-	0E0	·- /-
Power Environment Power Turbo Systems	n/a	850 (1.466)	n/a
Power Turbo Systems Power Service	n/a n/a	(1,466) 2,295	n/a n/a
Power Industrial Turbines	n/a	۷,290	n/a
I OWO IIIQUOLIIAI I UIDIIICO			II/a
Total Power	0.500	1.670	0.000
Total Power Transmission & Distribution	3,529 1,028	1,679 1,008	2,383 963
Transmission a distribution	1,020	1,000	903

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Transport	759	467	738
Marine	(220)	(593)	(343)
Corporate & others (1)	1,601	1,342	1,208
TOTAL	6,697	3,903	4,949

⁽¹⁾ Corporate & others include all units accounting for Corporate costs, the International Network and the overseas entities in Australia, New Zealand, South Africa (before disposal) and India, that are not allocated to Sectors.

⁽²⁾ Capital employed is defined as the closing position of the total of tangible, intangible and other fixed assets net, current assets (excluding net amount of securitisation of existing receivables) less current liabilities and provisions for risks and charges.

b) Geographic data

The table below set forth the geographic breakdown of Sales by country of destination

	Half-year ended 30 September 2002 2003 (in ∏ million)		Year ended 31 March 2003	
Sales		, _ ,		
Europe	4,303	4,160	9,219	
North America	2,673	1,662	4,719	
South & Central America	775	489	1,534	
Asia / Pacific	1,833	1,875	3,727	
Middle East / Africa	1,185	668	2,152	
TOTAL	10,769	8,854	21,351	

The table below set forth the geographic breakdown of Sales by country of origin

		Half-year ended 30 September 2002 2003 (in ☐ million)	
Sales		(III IIIIIIOII)	
Europe	7,167	6,521	14,762
North America	2,207	1,332	3,935
South & Central America	330	229	601
Asia / Pacific	905	703	1,833
Middle East / Africa	160	69	220
TOTAL	10,769	8,854	21,351

Note 17 Off balance sheet commitments and other obligations

a) Off balance sheet commitments

	At 31 March 2003	At 30 September 2003
	(in	□ million)
Guarantees related to contracts (1)	9,465	8,206
Guarantees related to Vendor financing (2)	749	643
Discounted notes receivable	11	9

Commitments to purchase fixed assets	7	
Other guarantees	94	49
Total	10,326	8,907
(1) Guarantees related to contracts.		

In accordance with industry practice guarantees of performance under contracts with customers and under offers on tenders are given.

Such guarantees can, in the normal course, extend from the tender period until the final acceptance by the customer, and the end of the warranty period and may include guarantees on project completion, of contract specific defined performance criteria or plant availability.

The guarantees are provided by banks or surety companies by way of performance bonds, surety bonds and letters of credit and are normally for defined amounts and periods.

72

The Group provides a counter indemnity to the bank or Surety Company.

The projects for which the guarantees are given are regularly reviewed by management and when it becomes probable that payments pursuant to performance guarantees will require to be made accruals are recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement at that time.

Guarantees given by parent or group companies relating to liabilities included in the consolidated accounts are not included.

(2) Vendor financing

The Group has provided financial support, referred to as vendor financing, to financial institutions and granted financing to certain purchasers of its cruise-ships for ship-building contracts signed up to fiscal year 1999 and other equipment. The total vendor financing is \square 1,259 million at 31 March 2003 and \square 969 million at 30 September 2003.

The table below sets forth the breakdown of the outstanding vendor financing by Sector at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003 :

	Balance	At 31 March 2003		At 30 Balance	September 200	3
	sheet (1)	Off balance sheet (2)	Total <i>(in</i> ∏ <i>m</i> .	sheet (1)	Off balance sheet (2)	Total
Marine	510	423	933	326	324	650
Cruiseinvest/ Renaissance	261	107	368	251	93	344
Leasing entities	223	128	351	49	105	154
Others	26	188	214	26	126	152
Transport		317	317		310	310
European metro operator		257	257		253	253
Others		60	60		57	57
Power		5	5		5	5
T&D		4	4		4	4
TOTAL	510	749	1,259	326	643	969

⁽¹⁾ Balance sheets items are included in « other fixed assets » (Note 9).

Marine

⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet figures correspond to the total guarantees and commitments, net of related cash deposits, which are shown as balance-sheet items.

Cruiseinvest / Renaissance

The vendor financing granted to Cruiseinvest relating to Renaissance Cruises amounts to ☐ 368 million and ☐344 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively. The decrease is due to the appreciation of Euro against US Dollar during the period.

Leasing entities

The Group finances and guarantees the financing of three special leasing entities relating to three cruise-ships for an amount of \square 351 million and \square 154 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively. The decrease is mainly due to the repayment of part of the financing granted to two leasing entities for an amount of approximately \square 180 million.

Other ships

The Group has guaranteed the financing arrangements of three cruise-ships and two high speed ferries delivered to three customers for an amount of \square 214 million and \square 152 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively. One of these guarantees is supported by a cash deposit amounting to \square 26 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003. The decrease is due to the release by a French state company of the counter guarantee obtained from the Group at delivery of one cruise-ship.

73

The provision retained in respect of Marine Vendor financing is 140 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003.

Transport

Guarantees given as part of vendor financing arrangements in Transport Sector amount to \square 317 million and \square 310 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively.

Included in this amount are guarantees given as part of a leasing scheme involving a major European metro operator as described in Note 17 (b). If the metro operator decides in year 2017 not to extend the initial period the Group has guaranteed to the lessors that the value of the trains and associated equipment at the option date should not be less than GBP 177 million (\square 257 million and \square 253 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively).

b) Capital and operating lease obligations

	Total	Within 1 year <i>(in</i> [1 to 5 years] <i>million)</i>	Over 5 years
Long term rental (1)	655	9	65	581
Capital leases obligation (2)	335	35	119	181
Operating leases (3)	478	70	205	203
Total of future payments	1,468	114	389	965

(1) Long term rental

Pursuant to a contract signed in 1995 with a major European metro operator, the Group has sold 103 trains and associated equipment to two leasing entities. These entities have entered into an agreement by which the Group leases back the trains and associated equipment from the lessors for a period of 30 years. The trains are made available for use by the metro operator for an initial period of 20 years, extendible at the option of the operator for a further ten year period. The trains are being maintained and serviced by the Group.

These commitments are in respect of the full lease period and are covered by payments due to the Group from the metro operator.

If this lease was capitalised it would increase long-term assets and long-term debt by \square 667 million and \square 655 million at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003, respectively.

(2) Capital leases

If capital leases had been capitalised, it would have had the following effects on the consolidated balance sheet:

	At 31 March 2003	At 30 September 2003
		(in 🛘 million)
Increase of long term assets (property plant and equipment)	212	244
Increase of long term financial debt	216	246
Decrease of shareholder is equity	(4)	(2)
• •	• •	• •

(3) Operating leases

A number of these operating leases have renewal options. Rent expense was 🛘 44 million in the six month period ended 30 September 2003.

No material commitments are omitted in this note in accordance with current accounting rules.

74

Note 18 Contingencies

Litigation

The Group is engaged in several legal proceedings, mostly contract disputes that have arisen in the normal course of business. Contract disputes, often involving claims for contract delays or additional work, are common in the areas in which the Group operates, particularly for large, long-term projects. In some cases, the amounts claimed against us in these proceedings and disputes are significant ranging up to \square 248 million. Amounts retained in respect of litigation, considered as reasonable estimates of probable liabilities are included in provisions for risks and charges and accrued contract costs. Actual costs incurred may exceed the amount of provisions for litigation because of a number of factors including the inherent uncertainties of the outcome of litigation.

Claim from Royal Caribbean Cruises Limited

On 7 August 2003, RCCL and various RCCL group companies filed a lawsuit in Florida, USA against Rolls Royce and various Rolls Royce group companies and against various ALSTOM group companies claiming damages for a global amount of approximately 248 million (US\$290 million) for alleged misrepresentations in the selling of pods, and negligence in the design and manufacture of pods. The Group will strongly contest this claim.

Environmental, health and safety

The Group is subject to a broad range of environmental laws and regulations in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates. These laws and regulations impose increasingly stringent environmental protection standards regarding, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, the use and handling of hazardous waste or materials, waste disposal practices and the remediation of environmental contamination. These standards expose the Group to the risk of substantial environmental costs and liabilities, including liabilities associated with divested assets and past activities. In most of the jurisdictions in which operations take place, industrial activities are subject to obtaining permits, licenses or/and authorisations, or to prior notification. Most facilities must comply with these permits, licenses or authorisations and are subject to regular administrative inspections.

Significant amounts are invested to ensure that activities are conducted in order to reduce the risks of impacting the environment and capital expenditures are regularly incurred in connection with environmental compliance requirements. Although involved in the remediation of contamination of certain properties and other sites, the Group believes that its facilities are in compliance with its operating permits and that operations are generally in compliance with environmental laws and regulations.

The outcome of environmental matters cannot be predicted with certainty and there can be no assurance that the amounts budgeted and provided will be adequate. In addition, future developments, such as changes in law or environmental conditions, could result in increased environmental costs and liabilities that could have a material effect on the financial condition or results of operations. To date, no significant liability has been asserted against us, and compliance with environmental regulations has not has a material effect on the results of operations.

Asbestos

The Group is subject to regulations, including in France, the US and the UK, regarding the control and removal of asbestos-containing material and identification of potential exposure of employees to asbestos. It has been the Group s policy for many years to abandon definitively the use of products containing asbestos by all of our operating units world-wide and to promote the application of this principle to all of our suppliers, including in those countries where the use of asbestos is permitted. In the past, however, the Group has used and sold some products containing asbestos, particularly in France in its Marine Sector and to a lesser extent in the other Sectors.

75

As of 30 September 2003, in France, the Group was aware of approximately 1,990 asbestos sickness related declarations accepted by the French Social Security authorities in France concerning our employees, former employees or from third parties, arising out of our activities in France. All of such cases are treated under the French Social Security system which pays the medical and other costs of those who are sick and which pays a lump sum indemnity. Out of these 1,990 declarations, we were aware of approximately 156 asbestos-related cases in France from our employees, former employees or from third parties. These persons have instituted judicial proceedings against certain of our subsidiaries with the aim of obtaining a court decision holding these subsidiaries liable for an inexcusable fault (faute inexcusable) in order to obtain a supplementary compensation above payments made by the French Social Security funds of related medical costs. All decisions rendered as of today by the Social Security Affairs Courts in proceedings involving ALSTOM s subsidiaries have found these subsidiaries liable on the grounds of inexcusable fault. Decisions of the Courts of Appeal have all confirmed these findings of inexcusable fault (25 decisions rendered as of 30 September 2003). The Group has appealed all of such decisions to the French Supreme Court. Even where the Group has been found liable on the grounds of inexcusable fault, it does not expect to suffer any material adverse financial consequences, because the financial consequences of any liability for inexcusable fault have been attributed by court decision or by applicable regulations to the French Social Security (medical) funds. Thus, in 102 of the 156 proceedings before French courts at 30 September 2003, which concern the Marine Sector, the social security authorities have ruled that the financial consequences of any liabilities for inexcusable fault will not be attributed to the Marine Sector and will be borne by the Social Security authorities. Although as of 30 September 2003 the Group had not yet obtained a specific ruling from the relevant French Social Security authorities in respect of the remaining 54 proceedings, of which 40 concern the Power Sector, the Group believes the same principle affording us financial protection will apply to such proceedings and that, accordingly, it will not suffer any material adverse financial consequences as a result of such asbestos related litigations in France.

The Group therefore believes that compensation for most of the current 156 proceedings involving certain of its subsidiaries as of 30 September 2003, including cases where we may be found to be at fault, is or will be borne by the general French Social Security (medical) funds. Based on applicable legislation and current case law, the Group also believes that the publicly funded Indemnification Fund for Asbestos Victims (FIVA), created in 2001 and effective since 29 March 2002, does not increase its current risk exposure. The FIVA was implemented to compensate persons harmed by exposure to asbestos in France. Once a person has received an offer of compensation, the fund itself may then take action against the employer considered responsible. However this subrogatory right can only be exercised pursuant to and within the limits of French Social Security regulations. The Group believes that those cases where compensation may not be definitely borne by the general French Social Security (medical) funds or by the FIVA represent an immaterial exposure for which it has not made any provisions.

In addition to the foregoing, in the United States, as of 30 September 2003, the Group was subject to approximately 154 asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits which have their origin solely in the Company s purchase of some of ABB s power generation business, for which it is indemnified by ABB.

The Group is also currently subject to two class action lawsuits in the United States asserting fraudulent conveyance claims against various ALSTOM and ABB entities in relation to Combustion Engineering, Inc. (CE), for which it has asserted indemnification against ABB. CE is a United States subsidiary of ABB, and its power activities were part of the power generation business purchased by us from ABB. In January 2003, CE filed a pre-packaged plan of reorganisation in United States bankruptcy court. This plan was recently confirmed by the bankruptcy court and a United States federal district court. The plan has been appealed and has not yet become effective; consummation of the plan is subject to certain other conditions specified therein. In addition to its protection under the ABB indemnity, ALSTOM believes that under the terms of the plan it would be protected against pending and future personal injury asbestos claims, or fraudulent conveyance claims, arising out of the past operations of CE.

76

As of 30 September 2003, the Group was also subject to approximately 38 other asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits in the United States involving approximately 533 claimants that, in whole or in part, assert claims against ALSTOM which are not related to ALSTOM s purchase of some of ABB s power generation business or as to which the complaint does not provide details sufficient to permit us to determine whether the ABB indemnity applies. Most of these lawsuits are in the preliminary stages of the litigation process and they each involve multiple defendants. The allegations in these lawsuits are often very general and difficult to evaluate at preliminary stages in the litigation process. In those cases where ALSTOM s defense has not been assumed by a third party and meaningful evaluation is practicable, we believe that we have valid defenses and, with respect to a number of lawsuits, the Group is asserting rights to indemnification against a third party.

The Group has not in recent years suffered any adverse judgment, or made any settlement payment, in respect of any US personal injury asbestos claim. Between 31 October 2002 and 30 September 2003, a total of 139 cases involving approximately 17,672 claimants were voluntarily dismissed by plaintiffs, typically without prejudice (which is to say the plaintiffs may refile these cases in the future).

For purposes of the foregoing discussion of asbestos-related cases, the Group considers a claim to have been dismissed, and to no longer be pending against it, if the plaintiffs attorneys have executed a notice or stipulation of dismissal or non-suit, or other similar document.

The Group is also subject to a minor number of asbestos related or other employee personal injury related claims in other countries, mainly in the UK where it is currently subject to approximately 153 such claims.

While the outcome of the existing asbestos-related cases described above is not predictable, the Group believes that those cases will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition. ALSTOM can give no assurances that asbestos- related cases against it will not grow in number or that those the group has at present, or may face in the future, may not have a material adverse impact on its financial condition.

Product liability

The Group designs, manufactures, and sells several products of large individual value that are used in major infrastructure projects. In this environment, product-related defects have the potential to create liabilities that could be material. If potential product defects become known, a technical assessment occurs whereby products of the affected type are quantified and studied. If the results of the study indicate that a product liability exists, provisions are recorded. The Group believes that it has made adequate provisions to cover currently known product-related liabilities, and regularly revises its estimates using currently available information. Neither the Group nor any of its businesses are aware of product-related liabilities, which would exceed the amounts already recognised and believes it has provided sufficient amounts to satisfy its litigation, environmental and product liability obligations to the extent they can be estimated.

SEC investigation

On 11 August 2003, the Group announced that the SEC is conducting a formal investigation, and the Group has conducted its own internal review, into certain matters relating to ALSTOM Transportation Inc. (ATI), one of the Group's subsidiaries. These actions followed receipt of anonymous letters alleging accounting improprieties on a railcar contract being executed at ATI's New York facility. Following receipt of these letters, the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation (the FBI) also began an informal inquiry. The Group has fully cooperated with the SEC and the FBI in this matter and intends to continue to do so.

The internal review identified that losses had been significantly understated in the ATI accounts, in substantial part due to accounting improprieties. As a result an additional charge of \square 51 million (after tax) was recorded in ATI s accounts for the year-ended 31 March 2003 and was recorded in the Group s consolidated financial statements approved by shareholders on 2 July 2003.

77

COB Investigation

Senior officials of the Group have been interviewed by inspectors of the French *Commission des opérations de bourse* (the COB) in connection with the COB s investigation regarding public disclosures by the Group and trading of the Group s shares since 31 December 2001.

United States Putative Class Action Lawsuits

The Group and certain of its current and former officers, recently have been named as defendants in a number of purported shareholder class action lawsuits filed on behalf of various alleged classes of purchasers of American Depositary Receipts or other ALSTOM securities between various dates between 17 November 1998 and 30 June 2003. The actions seek to allege violations of United States federal securities laws, specifically Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, on the basis of various allegations that there were untrue statements of materials facts, and/or omissions to state material facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading, in various ALSTOM public communications regarding our business, operations and prospects, causing the putative classes to purchase ALSTOM securities at artificially inflated prices. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, class action certification, compensatory damages in an unspecified amount, and an award of costs and expenses, including counsel fees. The Group intend to vigorously defend these actions.

Note 19 Financing package and liquidity risk

Financing package

Subsequent to the shareholder s meeting held on 2 July 2003, the Group engaged in negotiations with its banks on a capital increase and the refinancing of the Group. The Group reached an agreement with its banks and the French State, announced on 6 August 2003. This was subsequently modified in order to satisfy certain requirements of the European Commission. The modified agreement (the Financing Package) was announced on 22 September 2003.

The principal features of the Financing Package are set out below.

The French State and a syndicate of banks will make funds available to the Group as follows:

	In 🗌
	million
Equity and bonds reimbursable in shares	1,200
Share capital increase with preferential rights	300
Issue of bonds mandatorily reimbursable in shares (ORA)¹with a five year maturity	900
Long-term debt instruments	500

Subordinated bonds to be provided by the French State with a 20 year maturity (TSDDRA) ²	300
Subordinated bonds to be provided by the French State with a 15 year maturity (TSDD) ³	200
Medium-term loans	1,500
Subordinated loan to be provided by the French State with a five year maturity	300
Subordinated loans to be provided by a syndicate of banks with a five year maturity	1,200
TOTAL	3,200
	, i

⁽¹⁾ ORA or Obligations remboursable en actions , may be increased up to □1,000 million, with the banks subordinated loans correlatively reduced to □1,100 million.

(3) TSDD or Titres subordonnés à durée déterminée .

The Financing Package also provides for short-term facilities being made available in an amount of \Box 1,500 million, of which the share of the French State is \Box 1,200 million, until the long term part of the Financing Package is fully implemented.

In addition a syndicate of banks is providing a contract bonding and guarantee facility of [3,500 million, counter-guaranteed in part (65%) by the French State, to allow the Group to cover its normal business activity.

78

⁽²⁾ TSDDRA or Titres subordonnés à durée déterminée remboursable en actions , converted into shares immediately subject to European Commission review and approval.

The Group will have to return to the market for bonding in the fourth quarter of calendar year 2004, when its balance sheet structure will reflect the benefit of the implementation of the financing package thereby facilitating access to the bonding market.

Under the Financing package the new maturity profile of the Group s liquidity will be as follows:

		Princip	al amounts re	eceived /(d	ue)		
	Q3	Q4	Total			Fiscal	
Outstanding	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	year	After
	,	year	year	year	year	0007	fiscal
	2004	2004	2004	2005		2007	year 2007
					(203)	(250)	
200	650 ³	8503	1 500			(230)	(1,500)
		000					(200)
							(3 3)
	300 ³		300				
1,200		(550)	(550)			(650)	
2,498	$(1,377)^2$	(400)	(1,777)			(721)	
358		(75)	(75)		(50)	(33)	(200)
1,320 ¹	(800)	(100)	(900)	(420)			
323	(281)		(281)	(16)	(3)	(3)	(20)
6,154	(1,308)	(275)	(1,583)	(436)	(258)	(1,657)	(1,920)
522	(113)	(118)	(231)	(236)	(55)		
6,676	(1,421)	(393)	(1,814)	(672)	(313)	(1,657)	(1,920)
	300^{3}		300				
	900^{3}		900				
	(221)	(393)	(614)	(672)	(313)	(1,657)	(1,920)
	30 September 2003 205 250 1,200 2,498 358 1,320¹ 323 6,154 522	Outstanding 30 September 2003	Outstanding 30 September 2003 Fiscal year year 2004 2004 205 250 6503 8503 2003 1,200 (550) 2,498 (1,377)2 (400) 358 (75) 1,3201 (800) (100) 323 (281) 6,154 (1,308) (275) 522 (113) (118) 6,676 (1,421) (393) 3003 9003	Outstanding 30 September 2003 Q3 Fiscal year year year year year 2004 Fiscal year year year year year 2004 Fiscal year year year year 2004 205 250 650³ 850³ 1,500 200 300³ 300° 300° 200° 2,498 (1,377)² (400) (1,777) 358 (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (100) (100) (900) 323 (281) (281) (281) 6,154 (1,308) (113) (118) (231) (231) 6,676 (1,421) (393) (1,814) 300° 900° 300° 900°	Outstanding 30 September 2003 Fiscal year year year year year year year year	Outstanding 30 September 2003 Fiscal year year year year year year year year	Outstanding 30 September 2003 Fiscal Fiscal Fiscal year year year year year year year year

⁽¹⁾ Maximum availability under commitments provided by a syndicate of banks (☐ 120 million) and the Caisse des dépôts et Consignation (☐ 1,200 million), including a ☐600 million commercial paper that was not drawn at 30 September 2003.

(3) Expected proceeds to the Group.

The Group is confident in its ability to successfully implement the Financing Package:

New covenants have been negotiated (see Note 14). The continued availability of debt financing will be dependent on banking covenants being respected or waivers being granted. This will in part be dependent on the Group s ability to

⁽²⁾ Includes the expected early repayment of \$\Bigcap 1,250\$ of syndicated loans in the third quarter of fiscal year 2004.

⁽⁴⁾ Subordinated bonds reimbursable with shares (TSDDRA) will only be reimbursed in cash in the event the European Commission does not approve their reimbursement with shares.

generate operating profit and cash flow.

The short term financing and the bonding facilities have been put in place and are fully available to the Group. The subordinated loan facility of \square 1.2 billion to be provided by the banks has been signed and commitment letters relating to the ORA have been received from all banks involved.

Shareholders will be asked to approve the Financing Package at a general meeting called for 18 November 2003 on second call. A quorum of 25 % of shareholders entitled to vote is required for this general meeting to be held. The Group has always been able to reach such a quorum in the past, on second call.

The European Commission has announced it will not object to the implementation of the Financing Package. It has at the same time and as is usual in such circumstances announced that it has opened a formal investigation to determine whether the Financing Package and certain other transactions with entities controlled by the French State are compatible with laws of the European common market.

79

Note 20 Post balance sheet events

On 12 November 2003, the Group learned that the mediation in relation to the NEC contracts had failed and litigation is likely to follow (see Note 12).

Note 21 Major companies included in the scope of consolidation

The major companies are selected according to the following criteria:

Holding companies

Sales above [] 90 million in year ended 31 March 2003 but excluding company s which had a significant decrease in sales during the six-month period ended September 2003.

		Ownership	Consolidation
Companies	Country	%	Method
ALSTOM	France		Parent company
ALSTOM Holdings	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Gmbh (holding)	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM UK Ltd (holding)	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Inc (holding)	United-States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM NV (holding)	Netherlands	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Mexico SA de CV (holding)	Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Espana IB (holding)	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM (Switzerland) Ltd	Switzerland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Australia Ltd	Australia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Belgium SA	Belgium	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Brasil Ltda	Brazil	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Canada Inc	Canada	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Controls Ltd	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM DDF SA	France	98.8	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Energietechnik GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Ferroviaria Spa	Italy	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM K.K.	Japan	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM LHB GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Ltd	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power sro	Czech Republic	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd	Malaysia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Boiler GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Centrales	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Conversion GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Conversion SA France	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Generation AG	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Hydraulique	France	100.0	Full consolidation

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

ALSTOM Power Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Italia Spa	Italy	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power ltd	Australia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Norway AS	Norway	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power O&M Ltd	Switzerland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power SA	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Service	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Sp Zoo	Poland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Sweden AB	Sweden	100.0	Full consolidation

80

		Ownership	Consolidation
Companies	Country	%	Method
ALSTOM Power Turbinen GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Turbomachines	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Projects India Ltd	India	68.5	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Signalling Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM T&D Inc.	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM T&D SA	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM T&D SA de CV	Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transport SA	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transporte SA de CV	Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transportation Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transporte	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
Chantiers de l'Atlantique	France	100.0	Full consolidation
EUKORAIL Ltd	South Korea	100.0	Full consolidation
West Coast Traincare	United Kingdom	76.0	Full consolidation

A list of all consolidated companies is available upon request at the head office of the Group.

1.1.3. Statutory Auditors report on the interim consolidated financial statements

(Free translation of a French language original prepared for convenience purpose only. Accounting principles and auditing standards and their application in practice vary from one country to another. The accompanying financial statements are not intended to present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles and practices generally accepted in countries other than France. In addition, the procedures and practices followed by the statutory auditors in France with respect to such financial statements included in a prospectus may differ from those generally accepted and applied by auditors in other countries. Accordingly, the French financial statements and the auditors report of which a translation is presented in this document for convenience only are for use by those knowledgeable about French accounting procedures, auditing standards and their application in practice.)

BARBIER FRINAULT & AUTRES ERNST & YOUNG 41, rue Ybry 92576 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU 185, avenue Charles-de-Gaulle B.P. 136 92203 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex

Independent auditors review report on the

interim consolidated financial statements

Period from 1 April 2003 to 30 September 2003

Pursuant to article L. 232-7 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*), we have reviewed the accompanying interim consolidated financial statements of ALSTOM (the Group) covering the period from 1 April 2003 to 30 September 2003 and the information contained in the half year management report.

The interim consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the board of directors. Our responsibility is to issue a report on them based on our review.

We have conducted our review in accordance with professional standards applicable in France. These standards require that we perform limited procedures, to obtain moderate assurance, which is less than obtained in an audit, as to whether the interim consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. We have not performed an audit, as a review is limited primarily to analytical procedures and to inquiries of group management and knowledgeable personnel on information as we deemed necessary.

Based on our review, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the accompanying interim consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in France, do not give a true and fair view of the financial position and the assets and liabilities of the Group as at 30 September 2003 and of the results of its operations for the six month period then ended.

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that ALSTOM will continue as a going concern. ALSTOM has incurred significant operating losses and a high level of indebtedness as a result of which its ability to meet its financial needs depends on the successful execution of its action plans. As part of these action plans and as explained in Note 19, ALSTOM has reached agreement with its banks and with the Republic of France, the implementation of which depends on certain future events set out in Note 19 and Note 14. The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to assets and liabilities, in particular goodwill, other intangible assets and deferred tax assets that are stated on the balance sheet as of 30 September 2003 for, respectively, $\ 3.931, 974$ and $\ 1.884$ million (see Notes 7, 8 and 6), that may possibly result from a negative outcome to the uncertainty related to going concern arising through the matters described above.

82

Table of Contents

As set out in Note 6, independent of the success of the action plans, the capacity of ALSTOM to continue to support the carrying value of its deferred tax assets depends on the Group s ability to generate an adequate level of taxable income over the coming years.

We have also reviewed, in accordance with professional standards applicable in France, the information contained in the management report accompanying the interim consolidated financial.

We have no comment to make as to its consistency with the interim consolidated financial statements or the fair presentation of the information contained in the management report.

Neuilly-sur-Seine, 13 November 2003

The Independent Auditors

BARBIER FRINAULT & AUTRES Gilles Puissochet DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU Alan Glen

83

1.2. Pro forma datas at 31 March 2003 and at 30 September 2003

1.2.1. Pro forma consolidated financial statements for fiscal year closed 31 March 2003 and half year ended 30 September 2003

PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

	Year ende	Year ended 31 March		ar ended otember
	2003	2003	2003	2003
	Published ⁽¹⁾	Pro forma ⁽¹⁾ (in [] m	Published ⁽¹⁾	Pro forma ⁽¹⁾
SALES	21,351	17,078	8,854	7,308
Of which products	16,374	12,815	6,602	5,247
Of which services	4,977	4,263	2,252	2,061
Cost of sales	(19,187)	(16,001)	(7,577)	(6,454)
Of which products	(15,504)	(12,864)	(5,805)	(4,821)
Of which services	(3,683)	(3,137)	(1,772)	(1,633)
Selling expenses	(970)	(609)	(435)	(286)
Research and development expenses	(622)	(465)	(239)	(187)
Administrative expenses	(1,079)	(813)	(471)	(347)
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(507)	(810)	132	34
Other income (expense), net	(555)	(274)	(397)	(361)
Other intangible assets amortisation	(67)	(57)	(31)	(29)
EARNINGS BEFORE INTEREST AND TAX	(1,129)	(1,141)	(296)	(356)
Financial income (expense), net	(270)	(174)	(220)	(193)
, , ,				
PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS)	(1,399)	(1,315)	(516)	(549)
Income tax (charge) credit	263	191	29	8 1
Share in net income (loss) of equity investments	3	3		
Minority interests	(15)	(12)	(2)	(1)
Goodwill amortisation	(284)	(229)	(135)	(112)
				
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(1,432)	(1,362)	(624)	(581)
Earnings per share in Euro				
Basic	(5.4)	(5.1)	(2.2)	(2.1)
Diluted	(5.4)	(5.1)	(2.2)	(2.1)

⁽¹⁾ See Note 3 to the pro forma consolidated financial information for the reconciliation of the consolidated income statements between the published and the pro forma consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2003 and the half-year ended 30 September 2003.

84

PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	At 31 March 2003		At 30 Se 2003	eptember 2003
	Published ⁽¹⁾	Pro forma ⁽¹⁾	Published ⁽¹⁾	Pro forma ⁽¹⁾
		(in 🛚 m	illions)	
ASSETS				
Goodwill, net	4,440	3,633	3,931	3,523
Other intangible assets, net	1,168	1,004	974	974
Property, plant and equipment, net	2,331	1,857	1,940	1,625
Equity method investments and other investments, net	245	245	249	249
Other fixed assets, net	1,294	1,405	1,239	1,222
Fixed assets, net	9,478	8,144	8,333	7,593
Deferred taxes	1,831	1,642	1,884	1,731
Inventories and contracts in progress, net	4,608	3,535	3,744	3,214
Trade receivables, net	4,855	3,982	4,686	3,981
Other accounts receivables, net	2,265	2,047	2,602	2,431
Current assets	11,728	9,564	11,032	9,626
Short term investments	142	142	98	98
Cash and cash equivalents	1,628	1,577	1,671	1,671
TOTAL ASSETS	24,807	21,069	23,018	20,719
LIABILITIES				
Shareholders equity	758	823	183	295
Minority interests	95	72	94	72
Provisions for risks and charges	3,698	3,420	3,500	3,290
Accrued pension and retirement benefits	972	825	937	822
Financial debt	6,331	4,734	6,076	5,125
Deferred taxes	37	36	55	54
Customers deposits and advances	3,541	3,097	3,085	2,780
Trade payables	4,629	4,098	4,132	3,758
Accrued contract costs and other payables	4,746	3,964	4,956	4,523
Current liabilities	12,916	11,159	12,173	11,061
TOTAL LIABILITIES	24,807	21,069	23,018	20,719

⁽¹⁾ See Note 4 to the pro forma consolidated financial information for the reconciliation of consolidated balance sheets between the published and the pro forma consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003.

⁽²⁾ See Note 6 to the pro forma consolidated financial information.

PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year ended 31 March 2003 2003		Half-yea 30 Sep 2003		
	Published ⁽³⁾	Pro forma ⁽³⁾ (in ☐ m	Published ⁽³⁾	Pro forma ⁽³⁾	
Net income (loss)	(1,432)	(1,362)	(624)	(581)	
Minority interests	15	12	2	1	
Depreciation and amortisation	754	604	337	281	
Changes in provision for pension and retirement benefits, net	22	15	47	42	
Net (gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets and investments	(19)	(187)	(91)	(54)	
Share in net income (loss) of equity investees (net of dividends					
received)	(3)	(3)			
Changes in deferred tax	(424)	(321)	(77)	(120)	
Net income after elimination of non cash items	(1,087)	(1,242)	(406)	(431)	
Decrease (increase) in inventories and contracts in progress, net	415	341	319	290	
Decrease (increase) in trade and other receivables, net	650	583	(355)	(46)	
Increase (decrease) in sale of trade receivables, net	(661)	(767)	(144)	(144)	
Increase (decrease) in contract related provisions	160	209	(262)	(234)	
Increase (decrease) in other provisions	(49)	(50)	33	33	
Increase (decrease) in restructuring provisions	(29)	(18)	137	122	
Increase (decrease) in customers deposits and advances	(98)	(1)	(221)	(308)	
Increase (decrease) in trade and other payables, accrued contract costs					
and accrued expenses	162	176	168	127	
Changes in net working capital	550	473	(325)	(160)	
				-	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(537)	(769)	(731)	(591)	
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	252	228	166	135	
Capital expenditures	(410)	(325)	(105)	(50)	
Decrease (increase) in other fixed assets, net	(55)	(50)	145 [°]	147	
Cash expenditures for acquisition of investments, net of net cash	, ,	, ,			
acquired	(166)	(173)	(3)	(3)	
Cash proceeds from sale of investments, net of net cash sold	38	1 759	772	(5)	
·					
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(341)	1,439	975	224	
Capital increase	622	622	0.0		
Dividends paid including minorities	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	
2 mashas para mishashig minoritios	(.)	(.)	(=)	(0)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	621	621	(2)	(3)	
Net effect of exchange rate	(41)	(51)	15	7	
Other changes ⁽²⁾	(464)	(456)	(3)	22	
Office Changes	(404)	(430)	(5)		
Decrease (in correct) in web dalet	(700)	704	054	(0.44)	
Decrease (increase) in net debt	(762)	784	254	(341)	
Net debt at the beginning of the period ⁽¹⁾	(3,799)	(3,799)	(4,561)	(3,015)	
Net debt at the end of the period ⁽¹⁾	(4,561)	(3,015)	(4,307)	(3,356)	
Cash paid for income taxes	70	46	50	43	
Cash paid for net interest	172	95	129	104	
each paid for fire interest	1,,_	00	120	101	

⁽¹⁾ The net debt is the sum of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments less the financial debt.

- (2) Including at 31 March 2003 the reclassification of redeemable preference shares and undated subordinated notes for a total of ☐455 million as described in Note 22 (a) of the consolidated financial statements as at 31 March 2003.
- (3) See Note 5 to the pro forma consolidated financial information for the reconciliation of the consolidated statements of cash flows between the published and the Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 March 2003 and the half-year ended 30 September 2003.

86

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Basis of preparation

a) Objective of the pro forma consolidated financial statements

The pro forma consolidated financial statements as at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003 aim to disclose the effects of the disposals of the Transmission & Distribution sector (T&D), excluding the Power Conversion business, and the Industrial Turbines businesses (small and medium industrial gas turbines and industrial steam turbines) as if those disposals had occurred on 1 April 2002.

The disposal of the small and medium industrial gas turbines and industrial steam turbines businesses has been concluded on 30 April 2003 for the first transaction and on 31 July 2003 for the second transaction.

On 25 September, the Group has signed a binding agreement to sell its T&D sector to Areva, excluding the Power Conversion business, with a completion of the transaction forecasted for beginning January 2004. These activities will be deconsolidated as from that date. The sale price is $\square 950$ million, before closing price adjustments.

Those pro forma consolidated financial statements have been prepared for information purpose only and are not representative of the situation or of the performances that would have been realised if the T&D and Industrial Turbines activities had been sold on 1 April 2002.

b) Basis of preparation

The pro forma consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003 have been prepared on the basis of the consolidated financial statements modified and approved by the General Shareholders Meeting held on 2 July 2003.

The pro forma consolidated financial statements at 30 September 2003 have been prepared on the basis of the half-year consolidated financial statements approved by the Board of Directors on 12 November 2003. The Group in preparing its interim consolidated financial statements has assumed the successful implementation of the financing package set out in Note 19 of the half-year financial statements.

Those financial statements have been prepared on the basis of the accounting policies and methods of computation described in Note 2.

Assumptions

c)

The following assumptions have been taken to prepare these pro forma consolidated financial statements:

- the disposal of T&D Sector, excluding the Power Conversion business, is considered to have occurred on 1 April 2002 for a price of ∏950 million,
- the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses is considered to have occurred on 1 April 2002 for an amount of []967 million (subject to final price adjustments) including a []125 million deposit in an escrow account and disclosed in Other fixed assets, net ,
- the net incomes (losses) and the cash flows related to the sold businesses during the fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 and the half year ended 30 September 2003 have been deconsolidated,
- the net result on disposal amounts to ☐148 million before tax effects and is disclosed as Other income (expenses), net in the pro forma financial consolidated statements for fiscal year ended 31 March 2003. This net result on disposal, calculated on the basis of the net assets as of 1 April 2002, is neither

87

representative of the net gain recorded as part of for the deconsolidation of the Industrial Turbines businesses in the consolidated financial statements for the half-year ended 30 September 2003, nor of the net result that will be recorded as part of the deconsolidation of the T&D Sector, excluding the Power Conversion business. According to the agreement, the net assets exclude the net cash or net debt and the outstanding amount of sale of trade receivables of Industrial Turbines businesses and T&D Sector excluding Power Conversion, except for $\Box 47$ million of cash in the Industrial Turbines businesses. The final net result on disposal will differ according to the evolution of the net assets sold between the 1 April 2002 and the effective respective deconsolidation dates, the currency rates at those dates and the additional selling costs relating to the T&D sector.

- the Group intends to refinance the programme of sale of trade receivables of the T&D sector. Nevertheless, as part of the preparation of these pro forma consolidated financial statements, the Group has considered the conservative hypothesis that the outstanding amount of the programmes relating to the disposed activities at 1 April 2002 was reimbursed,
- the □1,792 million of total proceeds from the disposed activities, except for the □125 million under escrow account, have been fully dedicated to the immediate reimbursement of the financial debt,
- the Group has estimated, on the basis of its debt structure, that this anticipated reimbursement would have generated a saving of interest expenses of around □77 million for the fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 and □25 million for the half year ended 30 September 2003,
- the goodwill and other intangible assets amortisation related to the disposed activities have been cancelled from the pro forma consolidated financial statements,
- the related selling costs as incurred at 30 September 2003 have been taken into account, as their amount was defined at the date of preparation of these pro forma consolidated financial statements. The costs related to the T&D sector disposal, excluding the Power Conversion business, have not been taken into account, as the amount was not fully determined at the date of preparation of these pro forma consolidated financial statements.
- the tax effects on the pro forma result on disposal, the savings on interest expenses and the costs related to the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses have been taken into account.
- the effects of potential price adjustments related to the disposal of the T&D sector (excluding the Power Conversion business) and the Industrial Turbines businesses haven to been taken into account.

Note 2 Summary of accounting policies

The pro forma consolidated statements of the Group are prepared in accordance with French Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and Réglements 99-02 & 00-06 of the Comité de Réglementation Comptable (French consolidation methodology). Benchmark treatments are generally used. Capital lease arrangements and long term rentals are not capitalised. If capital leases had been capitalised, the impact would have been an increase of property plant and equipment, net of [182 million and [211 million, an increase of financial debt of [186 million and [213 million and a decrease of shareholders equity of [4 million and [2 million in the pro forma financial statements as at 31 March and 30 September 2003, respectively. If long term rentals had been consolidated, the impact would have been an increase of long-term lease receivable and an increase of long-term lease payable of [667 million and [655 million in the pro forma statements as at 31 March and 30 September 2003, respectively (Note 6 (b)).

Consolidation methods

(a)

Investments over which the Group has direct or indirect control of more than 50% of the outstanding voting shares, or over which it exercises effective control, are fully consolidated. Control exists where the Group has the power, directly or indirectly, to govern the financial and operating policies of an enterprise so as to obtain benefits from its activities.

88

Joint ventures in companies in which the Group has joint control are consolidated by the proportionate method with the Group s share of the joint ventures results, assets and liabilities recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investments in which the Group has an equity interest of 20% to 50% and over which the Group exercises significant influence, but not control, are accounted for under the equity method.

Results of operations of subsidiaries acquired or disposed of during the year are recognised in the Consolidated Income Statements as from the date of acquisition or up to the date of disposal, respectively.

Inter company balances and transactions are eliminated on consolidation.

(b) Use of estimates

The preparation of the pro forma consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent gains and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of the revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management reviews estimates on an ongoing basis using currently available information. Costs to date are considered, as are estimated costs to complete and estimated future costs of warranty obligations. Estimates of future cost reflect management is current best estimate of the probable outflow of financial resources that will be required to settle contractual obligations. The assumptions to calculate present obligations take into account current technology as well as the commercial and contractual positions, assessed on a contract by contract basis.

The introduction of technologically advanced products exposes the Group to risks of product failure significantly beyond the terms of standard contractual warranties applying to suppliers of equipment only. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in actual financial consequences different from the estimates.

(c) Revenue and cost recognition

Revenue on contracts which are of less than one year duration, substantially the sale of manufactured products, is recognised upon transfer of title, including the risks and rewards of ownership, which generally occurs on delivery to the customer.

Revenue on construction type contracts of more than one year, long term contracts, is recognised on the percentage of completion method, measured either by segmented portions of the contract contract milestones or costs incurred to date compared to estimated total costs.

Claims are recognised as revenue when it is probable that the claim will result in additional revenue and the amount can be reasonably estimated, which generally occurs upon agreement by the customer. Government subsidies relating to the shipbuilding sector are added to the related contract value and are recognised as revenue using the percentage of completion method.

For long term service contracts, revenues are generally recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract.

Total estimated costs at completion include direct (such as material and labour) and indirect contract costs incurred to date as well as estimated similar costs to complete, including warranty accruals and costs to settle claims or disputes that are considered probable. Selling and administrative expenses are charged to expense as incurred. As a result of contract review, accruals for losses on contracts and other contract related provisions are recorded as soon as they are probable in the line item. Cost of sales in the Consolidated Income Statement. Adjustments to contract estimates resulting from job conditions and performance, as well as changes in estimated profitability, are recognised in. Cost of Sales as soon as they occur.

89

Cost of sales is computed on the basis of percentage of completion applied to total estimated costs. The excess of that amount over the cost of sales reported in prior periods is the cost of revenues for the period. Contract completion accruals are recorded for future expenses to be incurred in connection with the completion of contracts or of identifiable portions of contracts. Warranty provisions are estimated on the basis of contractual agreement and available statistical data.

(d) Translation of financial statements denominated in foreign currencies

The functional currency of the Group s foreign subsidiaries is the applicable local currency. Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries located outside the Euro zone are translated into euros at the period end rate of exchange, and their income statements and cash flow statements are converted at the average rate of exchange for the period. The resulting translation adjustment is included as a component of shareholders equity.

(e) Foreign currency transactions

Foreign currency transactions are translated into local currency at the rate of exchange applicable to the transaction (market rate or forward hedge rate). At period end, foreign currency assets and liabilities to be settled are translated into local currency at the rate of exchange prevailing at that date or the forward hedge rate. Resulting exchange rate differences are included in the Consolidated Income Statement.

(f) Financial instruments

The Group operates internationally giving rise to exposure to market risks and changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates. Financial instruments are utilised by the Group to reduce those risks. The Group s policy is to hedge currency exposures by holding or issuing financial instruments.

The Group enters into various interest rate swaps, forward rate agreements (FRA) and floors to manage its interest rate exposures. Net interest is accrued as either interest receivable or payable with the offset recorded in financial interest.

The Group enters into forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge foreign currency transactions. Realised and unrealised gains and losses on these instruments are deferred and recorded in the carrying amount of the related hedged asset, liability or firm commitment.

The Group also uses export insurance contracts to hedge its currency exposure on certain long-term contracts during the open bid time as well as when the commercial contract is signed. If the Group is not successful in signing the contract, the Group incurs no additional liability towards the insurance company except the prepaid premium. As a consequence, during the open bid period, these insurance contracts are accounted for as such, the premium being expensed when incurred. When the contract is signed, the insurance contract is accounted for as described above for forward foreign exchange contracts.

In addition, the Group may enter into derivatives in order to optimise the use of some of its existing assets. Such a decision is taken on a case by case basis and is subject to approval by the management.

(g) Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. Initial estimates of fair values are finalised at the end of the financial year following the year of acquisition. Thereafter, releases of unrequired provisions for risks and charges resulting from the purchase price allocation are applied to goodwill. Goodwill is amortised on the straight-line basis over a period of twenty years in all sectors due to the long-term nature of the contracts and activities involved.

90

(h) Other intangible assets

The Group recognises other intangible assets such as technology, licensing agreements, installed bases of customers, etc. These acquired intangible assets are amortised on the straight-line basis over a period of twenty years in all sectors due to the long-term nature of the contracts and activities involved.

(i) Impairment

At the balance sheet date, whenever events or changes in markets indicate a potential impairment to goodwill, other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and deferred tax assets, the carrying amount of such assets is reduced to their estimated recoverable value. In any event, impairment tests are performed annually.

(j) Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at historical cost to the Group. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Estimated useful life

	in years
Buildings	25
Machinery and equipment	10
Tools, furniture, fixtures and others	3-7

Assets financed through capital lease are not capitalised (see Note 6 (b)).

(k) Other investments

Other investments are recorded at the lower of historical cost or net realisable value, assessed on an individual investment basis. The net realisable value is calculated using the following parameters: equity value, profitability and expected cash flow from the investment.

(I) Other fixed assets

Other fixed assets are recorded at the lower of historical cost or net realisable value, assessed on an individual investment basis.

(m) Inventories and contracts in progress

Raw materials and supplies, work and contracts in progress, and finished products are stated at the lower of cost, using the weighted average cost method, or net realisable value. Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less the estimated costs of completion and selling expenses. Inventory cost includes costs of acquiring inventories and bringing them to their existing location and condition. Finished goods and work and contract in progress inventory includes an allocation of applicable manufacturing overheads.

(n) Short-term investments

Short-term investments include debt and equity securities and deposits with an initial maturity greater than three months but available for sale. Short-term investments are recorded at the lower of cost or market value (on a line by line basis).

(o) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of less than three months.

91

(p) Deferred taxation

Deferred taxes are calculated for each taxable entity for temporary differences arising between the tax value and book value of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised where timing differences are expected to reverse in future years. Deferred tax assets are recorded up to their expected recoverable amount. Deferred tax amounts are adjusted for changes in the applicable tax rate upon enactment. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are netted first by legal entity and then by tax grouping.

No provision is made for income taxes on accumulated earnings of consolidated businesses or equity method investments for whom no distribution is planned.

(q) Customer deposits and advances

Customer deposits and advances are shown net, and represent amounts received from customers in advance of work being undertaken on their behalf. Where trading has taken place under the long term contract trading rules, but provisional acceptance of the contract has not taken place, the related customer advance is shown as a deduction from the related receivables.

If any balance of customer deposits and advances is still outstanding and where work is undertaken on behalf of customers before sale, the related customer advance, termed a progress payment is deducted from Inventories and Contracts in Progress on a contract by contract basis.

(r) Provisions for risks and charges

A provision is recognised when the Group has a present legal or constructive obligation of uncertain timing or amount as a result of a past event and it is probable that an outflow of economic resources will be required to settle the obligation and such outflow can be reliably estimated.

Provisions for warranties are recognised based on contract terms. Warranty periods may extend up to five years. The provisions are based on historical warranty data and a weighting of all possible outcomes against their associated probabilities. Provisions for contract losses are recorded at the point where the loss is first determined. Provisions are recorded for all penalties and claims based on management s assessment of the likely outcome.

(s) Stock options

Stock options are not recorded by the Group when granted. However, upon exercise of stock options, the Group records the issuance of the common shares as an equity transaction based on the amount of cash received from the holders.

Research and development

Internally generated research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

(u) Employee benefits

(t)

The estimated cost of providing benefits to employees is accrued during the years in which the employees render services.

For single employer defined benefit plans, the fair value of plan assets is assessed annually and actuarial assumptions are used to determine cost and benefit obligations. Liabilities and prepaid expenses are accrued over the estimated term of service of employees using actuarial methods. Experience gains and losses, as well as changes in actuarial assumptions and plan assets and provisions are amortised over the average future service period of employees.

For defined contribution plans and multi-employer pension plans, expenses are recorded as incurred.

92

(v) Restructuring

Restructuring costs are accrued when management announces the reduction or closure of facilities, or a programme to reduce the workforce and when related costs are precisely determined. Such costs include employees severance and termination benefits, estimated facility closing costs and write-off of assets.

(w) Financial income (expense)

Financial income (expense) is principally comprised of interest payable on borrowings, interest receivable on funds invested, foreign exchange gains and losses, and gains and losses on hedging instruments that are recognised in the income statement.

Interest income is recognised in the income statement as it accrues, taking into account the effective yield on the asset. Dividend income is recognised in the income statement on the date that the dividend is declared.

All interest and other costs incurred in connection with borrowings are expensed as incurred as part of net financing costs.

(x) Earnings per share

Basic Earnings per share are computed by dividing the period net income (loss) by the weighted average number of outstanding shares during the financial year.

Diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing the period net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the effect of any dilutive instruments.

For the diluted earnings per share calculation, Net income (loss) is not adjusted as the Group has no interest bearing dilutive instruments.

(y) Exchange rates used for the translation of main currencies

	At 31 Mai	At 30 September 2003		
☐ for 1 monetary unit	Average	Closing	Average	Closing
British pound	1.549571	1.450116	1.427823	1.431434
Swiss franc	0.682536	0.677323	0.650886	0.649182
US dollar	0.997990	0.917852	0.879388	0.858222
Canadian dollar	0.646284	0.623558	0.634476	0.636254
Australian dollar	0.563472	0.553220	0.573704	0.584761

93

Note 3 Reconciliation of the consolidated income statements between the published and pro forma consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2003 and the half-year ended 30 September 2003

(a) Reconciliation between published consolidated income statement and pro forma consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 March 2003

	Year ended		Disposal of T&D sector		Year ended
	31 March 2003	Disposal of Industrial	(excluding Power		31 March 2003
	Published	Turbines businesses ⁽¹⁾	Conversion)(2) (in [] million)	Pro forma adjustments	Pro forma
SALES	21,351	(1,268)	(3,005)		17,078
Of which products	16,374	(1,004)	(2,555)		12,815
Of which services	4,977	(264)	(450)		4,263
Cost of sales	(19,187)	1,005	2,181		(16,001)
Of which products	(15,504)	828	1,812		(12,864)
Of which services	(3,683)	177	369		(3, 137)
Selling expenses	(970)	70	291		(609)
Research and development expenses	(622)	53	104		(465)
Administrative expenses	(1,079)	58 	208		(813)
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(507)	(82)	(221)		(810)
Other income (expense), net	(555)	19	114	148(3)	(274)
Other intangible assets amortisation	(67)	10		(0)	(57)
EARNINGS BEFORE INTEREST AND TAX	(1,129)	(53)	(107)	148	(1,141)
Financial income (expense), net	(270)		19	77(4)	(174)
PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS)	(1,399)	(53)	(88)	225	(1,315)
Income tax (charge) credit	263	` ,	`21	(93) ⁽⁵⁾	191
Share in net income (loss) of equity				` ,	
investments	3				3
Minority interests	(15)		3		(12)
Goodwill amortisation	(284)	22	33		(229)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(1,432)	(31)	(31)	132	(1,362)
Earnings per share in Euro					
Basic	(5.4)	(0.2)	(0.2)	0.7	(5.1)
Diluted	(5.4)	(0.2)	(0.2)	0.7	(5.1)

⁽¹⁾ Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the Industrial Turbines businesses.

⁽²⁾ Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the T&D sector, excluding the Power Conversion business.

⁽³⁾ This net result on disposal is not representative of the net result that will be recorded when these activities will effectively be deconsolidated. The final net result on disposal will differ according to the evolution of the net assets sold between the 1 April 2002 and the effective deconsolidation dates, the currency rates at those dates and the additional selling costs relating to the T&D sector disposal.

⁽⁴⁾ The interest expenses for the fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 has been reduced by ☐77 million to take into account the estimated savings generated from the allocation of the ☐1,792 million (☐1,917 million proceeds net of ☐125 million of escrow account) proceeds from the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses and the T&D sector to the reimbursement of the net debt at 1 April 2002.

⁽⁵⁾ The pro forma deferred tax charges adjustments amount to □93 million of which □66 million relate to the net result on disposals and □27 million relate to the interests expenses saved.

94

(b) Reconciliation between published consolidated income statements and pro forma consolidated income statements for the half-year ended 30 September 2003

		Disposal of	Disposal of		
	Half-year ended	Industrial	T&D sector		Half-year ended
	. iaii you. oiidod	odot.id.	(excluding		rian year ended
	30 September 2003	Turbines	Power		30 September 2003
	Published	businesses ⁽¹⁾	Conversion) ⁽²⁾ (in [] million)	Pro forma adjustments	Pro forma
SALES	8,854	(210)	(1,336)		7,308
Of which products	6,602	(206)	(1,149)		5,247
Of which services	2,252	(4)	(187)		2,061
Cost of sales	(7,577)	162	961		(6,454)
Of which products	(5,805)	159	825		(4,821)
Of which services	(1,772)	3	136		(1,633)
Selling expenses	(435)	13	136		(286)
Research and development					
expenses	(239)	7	45		(187)
Administrative expenses	(471)	14	110		(347)
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	132	(14)	(84)		34
Other income (expense), net	(397)	. 5	66	$(35)^{(3)}$	(361)
Other intangible assets	,			` '	` ,
amortisation	(31)	2			(29)
EARNINGS BEFORE					
INTEREST AND TAX	(296)	(7)	(18)	(35)	(356)
Financial income (expense), net	(220)	(')	2	25 ₍₄₎	(193)
((), politica (), rice					
PRE-TAX INCOME(LOSS)	(516)	(7)	(16)	(10)	(549)
Income tax (charge) credit	29	` ′	7	45(5)	81
Share in net income (loss) of				()	
equity investments					
Minority interests	(2)		1		(1)
Goodwill amortisation	(135)	5	18		(112)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(624)	(2)	10	35	(581)
Earnings per share in Euro					
Basic	(2.2)			0.1	(2.1)
Diluted	(2.2)			0.1	(2.1)
	(=· =)				(=)

⁽¹⁾ Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the Industrial Turbines businesses.

⁽²⁾ Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the T&D sector excluding the Power Conversion business.

⁽³⁾ Cancellation of net result from the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses recorded in the interim consolidated financial statements for the half-year ended 30 September 2003.

⁽⁴⁾ The interest expense for the half-year ended 30 September 2003 has been reduced by □25 million to take into account the estimated savings generated from the allocation of the □1,792 million net proceeds from disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses and the T&D Sector to the reimbursement of the net debt at 1 April 2002. The savings on interest expenses recorded in the interim consolidated financial statements for the half-year ended 30 September 2003 following the reception of □842 million (net of the □125 million escrow account) have been restated.

⁽⁵⁾ The ☐54 million deferred tax charge recorded into the interim consolidated financial statements as at 30 September 2003 related to net result from the disposal the Industrial Turbines businesses has been cancelled. A reduction of the deferred tax assets of ☐9 million has also been recorded relating to the estimated interest savings mentioned above.

95

Note 4 Reconciliation of the consolidated balance sheets between the published and the pro forma consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003 and 30 September 2003

(a) Reconciliation between published consolidated balance sheet and pro forma consolidated balance sheet at 31 March 2003

			Disposal of T&D sector		
	At				At
	31 March 2003	Disposal of Industrial	(excluding Power	5 (31 March 2003
	Published	Turbines businesses ⁽¹⁾	Conversion) ⁽²⁾ (in [] million)	Pro forma adjustments	Pro forma
ASSETS					
Goodwill, net	4,440	(379)	(428)		3,633
Other intangible assets, net	1,168	(164)			1,004
Property, plant and equipment, net	2,331	(146)	(328)		1,857
Equity method investments and other					
investments, net	245				245
Other fixed assets, net	1,294		(14)	125(3)	1,405
<u>-</u>	0.470	(000)	(770)		
Fixed assets, net	9,478	(689)	(770)	125	8,144
Deferred taxes	1,831	(504)	(96)	(93) ⁽⁴⁾	1,642
Inventories and contracts in progress, net	4,608	(504)	(569)	000	3,535
Trade receivables, net	4,855	(292)	(819)	238	3,982
Other accounts receivables, net	2,265	(79)	(139)		2,047
Current assets	11,728	(875)	(1,527)	238	9.564
Short term investments	142	(/	()- /		142
Cash and cash equivalents	1,628	(51)			1,577
TOTAL ASSETS	24,807	(1,615)	(2,393)	270	21,069
TOTAL AGGLTG	24,007	(1,013)	(2,000)	210	21,003
LIABILITIES					
Shareholders equity	758	(869)	(948)	1,882	823 ₍₆₎
Minority interests	95		(23)		72
Provisions for risks and charges	3,698	(55)	(223)		3,420
Accrued pension and retirement benefits	972	(34)	(113)		825
Financial debt	6,331	(2)	17	(1,612) ⁽⁵⁾	4,734
Deferred taxes	37	(1)			36
Customers deposits and advances	3,541	(217)	(227)		3,097
Trade payables	4,629	(193)	(338)		4,098
Accrued contract costs and other payables	4,746	(244)	(538)		3,964
Current liabilities	12,916	(654)	(1,103)		11,159
TOTAL LIABILITIES	24,807	(1,615)	(2,393)	270	21,069

⁽¹⁾ Reversal of the Industrial Turbines businesses contribution at 31 March 2003.

⁽²⁾ Reversal of the T&D sector contribution, excluding the Power Conversion business, at 31 March 2003.

⁽⁴⁾ Reflects the reduction of the deferred tax assets following the utilisation as part of the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses, the recognition of estimated interest savings and the Industrial Turbines businesses disposal related costs.

⁽⁵⁾ Reflects:

the proceeds from the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses and the T&D sector (excluding the Power Conversion business) for []1,792 million.

- the estimated interest expenses saved for []77 million .
- the costs related to the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses for $\square(19)$ million .
- the reimbursement of the outstanding amount of sale of trade receivables of the disposed activities at 1 April 2002 for □(238) million
- (6) The reconciliation of the shareholders equity between the published and pro forma consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003 is the following:

Published shareholders equity at 31 March 2003	758
Cancellation of the net income of the T&D Sector (excluding Power Conversion) and Industrial Turbines businesses	(62)
Recognition of the estimated result on disposal, net of tax effects and related costs	82
Recognition of the estimated interest savings, net of tax effects	50
Change in currency translation adjustment	(5)
Pro forma shareholders equity at 31 March 2003	823

96

(b) Reconciliation between the published consolidated balance sheet and the pro forma consolidated balance sheet at 30 September 2003

	At		Disposal of T&D sector		At
	30 September 2003	Disposal of Industrial Turbines	(excluding Power	Pro forma	30 September 2003
	Published	businesses ⁽⁵⁾	Conversion) ⁽¹⁾ (in ☐ million)	Adjustments	Pro forma
ASSETS			` _ ,		
Goodwill, net	3,931		(408)		3,523
Other intangible assets, net	974				974
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,940	(9)	(306)		1,625
Equity method investments and other					
investments, net	249				249
Other fixed assets, net	1,239		(17)		1,222
Fixed assets, net	8,333	(9)	(731)		7,593
Deferred taxes	1,884		(105)	$(48)^{(2)}$	1,731
Inventories and contracts in progress, net	3,744	(21)	(509)		3,214
Trade receivables, net	4,686	(14)	(929)	238	3,981
Other accounts receivables, net	2,602	(2)	(169)		2,431
Current assets	11,032	(37)	(1,607)	238	9,626
Short term investments	98				98
Cash and cash equivalents	1 671				1,671
TOTAL ASSETS	23,018	(46)	(2,443)	190	20,719
LIABILITIES					
Shareholders equity	183		(892)	1 004	295(4)
Minority interests	94		`(22)		72
Provisions for risks and charges	3,500	(2)	(208)		3,290
Accrued pension and retirement benefits	937		(115)		822
Financial debt	6,076		(137)	(814) ⁽³⁾	5 125
Deferred taxes	55		(1)		54
Customers deposits and advances	3 085	(4)	(301)		2,780
Trade payables	4 132	(15)	(359)		3,758
Accrued contract costs and other payables	4 956	(25)	(408)		4,523
Current liabilities	12,173	(44)	(1,068)		11,061
TOTAL LIABILITIES	23,018	(46)	(2,443)	190	20,719

Reversal of the T&D sector contribution, excluding the Power Conversion business at 31 March 2003.

⁽²⁾ Reflects the reduction of the deferred tax assets following the utilisation as part of the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses, the recognition of estimated interest savings and the Industrial Turbines businesses disposal related costs.

⁽³⁾ Reflects:

⁻ the estimated interest expense saved for [102 million over a 18 month period

the reimbursement of the outstanding amount of sale of trade receivables of the disposed activities at 1 April 2002 for □(238) million

⁽⁴⁾ The reconciliation of the shareholders equity between published and pro forma consolidated financial statements at 30 September 2003 is the following:

Published shareholders equity at 30 September 2003	183
Cancellation of net income (loss) from the T&D Sector (excluding Power Conversion) and Industrial Turbines businesses	
(∐(62) at 31 March 2003 and ∐8 million at 30 September 2003)	(54)
Recognition of the estimated interest savings, net of tax effect. (102 of interests and 36) million of tax effects	66
Adjustment of the estimated result on disposal, net of tax and related costs (□82 million at 31 March 2003; □19 million at	
30 September 2003)	101
Change in currency translation adjustment	(1)
Pro forma shareholders equity at 30 September 2003	295

(5) Reversal of the contribution of a US entity not yet sold at 30 September 2003. The buyer has a firm purchasing option on this entity.

97

Note 5 Reconciliation of the consolidated statements of cash flows between the published and the pro forma consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2003 and the half-year ended 30 September 2003

(a) Reconciliation between the published consolidated statement of cash flows and the pro forma consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended 31 March 2003

	Year ended		Disposal of T&D sector		Voor onded
	rear ended				Year ended
	31 March 2003	Disposal of Industrial Turbines	(excluding Power	Pro forma	31 March 2003
	Published	businesses ⁽¹⁾	Conversion) ⁽²⁾ (in ☐ million)	adjustments	Pro forma
Net income (loss)	(1,432)	(31)	(31)	132(3)	(1,362)
Minority interests	15		(3)		12
Depreciation and amortisation	754	(57)	(93)		604
Changes in provision for pension and retirement benefits, net	22	(4)	(3)		15
Net (gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets					
and investments	(19)	1	(21)	(148)	(187)
Share in net income (loss) of equity					
investees (net of dividends received)	(3)				(3)
Changes in deferred tax	(424)		10	93	(321)
Net income after elimination of non cash					
items	(1,087)	(91)	(141)	77	(1,242)
Decrease (increase) in inventories and					
contracts in progress, net	415		(74)		341
Decrease (increase) in trade and other					
receivables, net	650	(27)	(40)		583
Increase (decrease) in sale of trade					
receivables, net	(661)	66	66	(238)	(767)
Increase (decrease) in contract related					
provisions	160	1	48		209
Increase (decrease) in other provisions	(49)		(1)		(50)
Increase (decrease) in restructuring					
provisions	(29)	(1)	12		(18)
Increase (decrease) in customers					
deposits and advances	(98)	77	20		(1)
Increase (decrease) in trade and other					
payables, accrued contract costs and					
accrued expenses	162	(34)	48		176
Changes in net working capital	550	82	79	(238)	473
Net cash provided by (used in)					
operating activities	(537)	(9)	(62)	(161) ⁽⁴⁾	(769)
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant	(001)	(0)	(02)	(101)	(100)
and equipment	252	(16)	(8)		228
Capital expenditures	(410)	24	61		(325)
Decrease (increase) in other fixed assets,	(410)	2-7	O1		(020)
net	(55)		5		(50)
Cash expenditures for acquisition of investments, net of net cash acquired	(166)		(7)		(173)
Cash proceeds from sale of investments,	,		• ,		,
net of net cash sold	38	(47)	(5)	1,773	1,759
Net cash provided by (used in) investing	·				_
activities	(341)	(39)	46	1,773(5)	1,439
Capital increase	622	()	,	, - (-)	622
•					-

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Dividends paid including minorities	(1)				(1)
					
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	621				621
Net effect of exchange rate	(41)		(10)		(51)
Other changes	(464)	(1)	9		(456)
Decrease (increase) in net debt	(762)	(49)	(17)	1,612	784
					
Net debt at the beginning of the period	(3,799)				(3,799)
Net debt at the end of the period	(4,561)	(49)	(17)	1,612	(3,015)

⁽¹⁾ Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the Industrial Turbines businesses.

98

⁽²⁾ Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the T&D sector excluding the Power Conversion business.

⁽³⁾ See Note 3 (a) (3) (4) (5) of the pro forma consolidated financial statements.

⁽⁴⁾ The net cash used in operating activities reflects the estimated interest savings of \$\textstyle{177}\$ million, decreased by the reimbursement of the outstanding amount of sale of trade receivables of the disposed activities at 1 April 2002 for \$\textstyle{1}(238)\$ million.

⁽⁵⁾ The net cash provided by investing activities reflects the proceeds from the disposal of the Industrial Turbines business and the T&D sector (excluding the Power Conversion business) for ☐1,792 million net of related costs.

(b) Reconciliation between the published consolidated statement of cash flows and the pro forma consolidated statement of cash flows for the half-year ended 30 September 2003

	Half-year ended		Disposal of T&D sector		Half-year ended
	30 September 2003	Disposal of Industrial Turbines	(excluding Power	Pro forma	30 September 2003
(in [] million)	Published	businesses ⁽¹⁾	Conversion) ⁽²⁾	Adjustments	Pro forma
			(in [] million)		
Net income (loss)	(624)	(2)	10	35(3)	(581)
Minority interests	2	()	(1)	= (0)	1
Depreciation and					
amortisation	337	(12)	(44)		281
Changes in provision for pension and retirement					
benefits, net	47		(5)		42
Net (gain) loss on disposal	77		(0)		72
of fixed assets and					
investments	(91)		2	35	(54)
Share in net income (loss) of equity investees (net of					
dividends received)					
Changes in deferred tax	(77)		2	(45)	(120)
Net income after					
elimination of non cash items	(406)	(14)	(36)	25	(431)
Decrease (increase) in	(400)	(14)	(30)	25	(431)
inventories and contracts					
in progress, net	319	(2)	(27)		290
Decrease (increase) in					
trade and other	(055)	140	101		(40)
receivables, net Increase (decrease) in	(355)	148	161		(46)
sale of trade receivables,					
net	(144)				(144)
Increase (decrease) in					
contract related provisions	(262)	(5)	33		(234)
Increase (decrease) in	33				20
other provisions Increase (decrease) in	33				33
restructuring provisions	137		(15)		122
Increase (decrease) in			(15)		·
customers deposits and					
advances	(221)	(14)	(73)		(308)
Increase (decrease) in					
trade and other payables, accrued contract costs					
and accrued expenses	168	(57)	16		127
Changes in net working		(01)			
capital	(325)	70	95		(160)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating					
activities	(731)	56	59	25(4)	(591)
Proceeds from disposals					
of property, plant and	100	(04)			105
equipment Capital expenditures	166 (105)	(31) 32	23		135 (50)
Sapital Saportalitation	145	0 <u>L</u>	2		147
	0		_		,

Decrease (increase) in other fixed assets, net					
Cash expenditures for					
acquisition of investments,					
net of net cash acquired	(3)				(3)
Cash proceeds from sale					
of investments, net of net					
cash sold	772	47	(1)	(823)	(5)
Net cash provided by					
(used in) investing	075	40	04	(000\/E)	004
activities	975	48	24	(823)(5)	224
Capital increase Dividends paid including					
minorities	(2)		(1)		(3)
minoritico					
Net cash provided by					
(used in) financing					
activities	(2)		(1)		(3)
Net effect of exchange					
rate	15	(4)	(4)		7
Other changes	(3)	(51)	76		22
Decrease (increase) in					
net debt	254	49	154	(798)	(341)
Net debt at the					
beginning of the period	(4,561)	(49)	(17)	1,612	(3,015)
Net debt at the end of					
the period	(4,307)		137	814	(3,356)

⁽¹⁾ (2) (3) Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the Industrial Turbines businesses.

99

Effects of the deconsolidation at 1 April 2002 of the T&D sector excluding the Power Conversion business.

See Note 3 (b) (3) (4) (5) of the pro forma consolidated financial statements.

The net cash provided by operating activities reflects the 25 million estimated interest savings of the period. (4)

⁽⁵⁾ The net cash used in investing activities of [823 million reflects the reversal of the proceeds from the disposal of the Industrial Turbines businesses ([842 million) and related costs.

Note 6 Pro forma off balance sheet commitments and other obligations

a) Off balance sheet commitments

	At 31 N	At 31 March		ptember
	2003 2003		2003	2003
	Published	Pro forma	Published	Pro forma
		(in 🛮 m	illion)	
Guarantees related to contracts ⁽¹⁾	9,465	9,039	8,206	7,837
Guarantees related to Vendor financing ⁽²⁾	749	745	643	639
Discounted notes receivable	11	11	9	9
Commitments to purchase fixed assets	7	3		
Other guarantees	94	88	49	47
Total	10,326	9,886	8,907	8,532

(1) Guarantees related to contracts

In accordance with industry practice guarantees of performance under contracts with customers and under offers on tenders are given.

Such guarantees can, in the normal course, extend from the tender period until the final acceptance by the customer, and the end of the warranty period and may include guarantees on project completion, of contract specific defined performance criteria or plant availability.

The guarantees are provided by banks or surety companies by way of performance bonds, surety bonds and letters of credit and are normally for defined amounts and periods.

The Group provides a counter indemnity to the bank or surety company.

The projects for which the guarantees are given are regularly reviewed by management and when it becomes probable that payments pursuant to performance guarantees will require to be made accruals are recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement at that time.

Guarantees given by parent or group companies relating to liabilities included in the consolidated accounts are not included.

(2) Vendor financing

The Group has provided financial support, referred to as vendor financing, to financial institutions and granted financing to certain purchasers of its cruise-ships for ship-building contracts signed up to fiscal year 1999 and other equipment.

The total vendor financing is not significantly impacted by the disposal of the T&D sector (excluding the Power Conversion business) and the Industrial Turbines businesses.

100

b) Capital and operating lease obligations

	As at 3	As at 31 March		September
	2003	2003 2003		2003
	Published	Pro forma	Published	Pro forma
		(in 🗌 n	nillion)	
Long term rental ⁽¹⁾	667	667	655	655
Capital leases obligation ⁽²⁾	278	230	335	284
Operating leases ⁽³⁾	534	446	478	426
Total of future payments	1,479	1,343	1,468	1,365

(1) Long term rental

Pursuant to a contract signed in 1995 with a major European metro operator, the Group has sold 103 trains and associated equipment to two leasing entities. These entities have entered into an agreement by which the Group leases back the trains and associated equipment from the lessors for a period of 30 years. The trains are made available for use by the metro operator for an initial period of 20 years, extendible at the option of the operator for a further ten year period. The trains are being maintained and serviced by the Group.

These commitments are in respect of the full lease period and are covered by payments due to the Group from the metro operator.

If this lease was capitalised it would increase long-term assets and long-term debt by \square 667 million and \square 655 million at 31 March and 30 September 2003, respectively.

(2) Capital leases

If capital leases had been capitalised, it would have had the following effects on the consolidated balance sheets:

	As at 31 March		As at 30 S	September	
	2003 2003		2003	2003	
	Published	Pro forma	Published	Pro forma	
		(in 🛚 m	illion)		
Increase of fixed assets, net	212	182	244	211	
Increase of long term financial debt	216	186	246	213	
Decrease in shareholders equity	(4)	(4)	(2)	(2)	

(3) Operating leases

Rent expense was \square 110 million and \square 44 million for the published financial statements of fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 and half-year ended 30 September 2003, respectively.

Rent expense was $\square 95$ millions and $\square 37$ million for the pro forma financial statements of fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 and half-year ended 30 September 2003, respectively.

A number of these operating leases have renewal options.

101

Note 7 Major companies included in the pro forma scope of consolidation

Major companies included in the pro forma scope of consolidation at 31 March 2003

The major companies are selected according to the following criteria:

- holding companies
- sales above

 90 million
- Companies which will be sold or which will be less important due to the business disposals are not included in the pro forma scope.

Consolidation

Companies	Country	Ownership %	Method
ALSTOM	France		Parent company
ALSTOM Holdings	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Gmbh (holding)	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM UK Ltd (holding)	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Inc (holding)	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM NV (holding)	Netherlands	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Mexico SA de CV (holding)	Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Espana IB (holding)	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM (Switzerland) Ltd	Switzerland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Australia Ltd	Australia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Belgium SA	Belgium	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Brasil Ltda	Brazil	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Canada Inc	Canada	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Controls Ltd	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM DDF SA	France	98.8	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Ferroviaria Spa	Italy	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM K.K.	Japan	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM LHB GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Ltd	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power sro	Czech Republic	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd	Malaysia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Boiler GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Centrales	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Conversion GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Conversion SA France	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Generation AG	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Hydraulique	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Italia Spa	Italy	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Itd	Australia	100.0	Full consolidation

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Norway	100.0	Full consolidation
Switzerland	100.0	Full consolidation
Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
France	100.0	Full consolidation
Poland	100.0	Full consolidation
Sweden	100.0	Full consolidation
Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
France	100.0	Full consolidation
India	68.5	Full consolidation
Taiwan	100.0	Full consolidation
United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
United States	100.0	Full consolidation
France	100.0	Full consolidation
Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
United States	100.0	Full consolidation
Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
France	100.0	Full consolidation
Japan	60.0	Full consolidation
South Korea	100.0	Full consolidation
United Kingdom	76.0	Full consolidation
	Switzerland Spain France Poland Sweden Germany France India Taiwan United Kingdom United States France Mexico United States Spain France Japan South Korea	Switzerland 100.0 Spain 100.0 France 100.0 Poland 100.0 Sweden 100.0 Germany 100.0 India 68.5 Taiwan 100.0 United Kingdom 100.0 United States 100.0 France 100.0 United States 100.0 Spain 100.0 France 100.0 Japan 60.0 South Korea 100.0

102

Major companies included in the pro forma scope of consolidation at 30 September 2003

The major companies are selected according to the following criteria:

- Holding companies
- Sales above ☐90 million in year ended 2003 but excluding companies which had a significant decrease in sales during the six-month period ended September 2003.
- Companies which will be sold or which will be less important due the business disposals are not included in the pro forma scope.

Consolidation

Companies	Country	Ownership %	Method
ALSTOM	France		Parent company
ALSTOM Holdings	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Gmbh (holding)	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM UK Ltd (holding)	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Inc (holding)	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM NV (holding)	Netherlands	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Mexico SA de CV (holding)	Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Espana IB (holding)	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM (Switzerland) Ltd	Switzerland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Australia Ltd	Australia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Belgium SA	Belgium	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Brasil Ltda	Brazil	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Canada Inc	Canada	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Controls Ltd	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM DDF SA	France	98.8	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Ferroviaria Spa	Italy	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM K.K.	Japan	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM LHB GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Ltd	United Kingdom	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power sro	Czech Republic	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd	Malaysia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Boiler GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Centrales	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Conversion GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Conversion SA France	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Generation AG	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Hydraulique	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Italia Spa	Italy	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power ltd	Australia	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Norway AS	Norway	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power O&M Ltd	Switzerland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power SA	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Service	France	100.0	Full consolidation

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

ALSTOM Power Sp Zoo	Poland	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Sweden AB	Sweden	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Turbinen GmbH	Germany	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Power Turbomachines	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Projects India Ltd	India	68.5	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Signalling Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transport SA	France	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transporte SA de CV	Mexico	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transportation Inc	United States	100.0	Full consolidation
ALSTOM Transporte	Spain	100.0	Full consolidation
Chantiers de l'Atlantique	France	100.0	Full consolidation
EUKORAIL Ltd	South Korea	100.0	Full consolidation
West Coast Traincare	United Kingdom	76.0	Full consolidation

103

1.2.2. Statutory Auditors report on the pro forma financial statements

Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

BARBIER FRINAULT & AUTRES ERNST & YOUNG 41, rue Ybry 92576 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU 185, avenue Charles-de-Gaulle B.P. 136 92203 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex

(Free translation of a French language original prepared for convenience purpose only. Accounting principles and auditing standards and their application in practice vary from one country to another. The accompanying financial statements are not intended to present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles and practices generally accepted in countries other than France. In addition, the procedures and practices followed by the statutory auditors in France with respect to such financial statements included in a prospectus may differ from those generally accepted and applied by auditors in other countries. Accordingly, the French financial statements and the auditors report of which a translation is presented in this document for convenience only are for use by those knowledgeable about French accounting procedures, auditing standards and their application in practice.)

Independent Auditors Report on the

Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements

Periods from 1 April 2002 to 31 March 2003 and from 1 April 2003 to 30 September 2003

To the Chairman of the Board of Directors

You have asked us to examine the pro forma financial statements covering the periods from 1 April 2002 to 31 March 2003 and from 1 April 2003 to 30 September 2003, attached to this present report, prepared due to the disposal of the first tranche on 30 April 2003 and the second tranche on 31 July 2003 of the Small and Medium Industrial Gas Turbines and Industrial Steam Turbines to Siemens and to the conclusion on 25 September 2003 of a binding agreement to dispose the T&D Sector (excluding the Power Conversion business) to Areva, as if those disposals had occurred as of 1 April 2002.

The pro forma consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Chairman of the Board of Directors and have been prepared on 14 November 2003 from:

the interim consolidated financial statements covering the period from 1 April 2003 to 30 September 2003, which have been reviewed by us in accordance with professional standards applicable in France. These standards require that we perform limited procedures, to obtain a moderate assurance, which is less than obtained in an audit, as to whether the interim consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. We have made the following matters of emphasis in our review report on these accounts:

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that ALSTOM will continue as a going concern. ALSTOM has incurred significant operating losses and a high level of indebtedness as a result of which its ability to meet its financial needs depends on the successful execution of its action plans. In the framework of these action plans and as explained in Note 19, ALSTOM has reached an agreement with its banks and the Republic of France which implementation depends on certain future events described in Notes 19 and 14. The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustment on assets and liabilities valuation, in particular goodwill, other intangible assets and deferred tax assets that are included in the balance sheet as of 30 September 2003 for respectively 3,931, 974 and 1,884 million euros (see Notes 7, 8 and 6), that may eventually result from a negative outcome of the uncertainty on going concern generated by the elements described above.

In addition, beyond the positive implementation of action plans and as presented in Note 6, maintaining deferred tax assets in the balance sheet depends on ALSTOM capacity to generate sufficient levels of taxable profit in the following years.

the consolidated financial statements for the year-ended 31 March 2003, approved by the Board of Directors, modified on proposal of the Board of Directors on 2 July 2003 and adopted by the Annual General Meeting of the same day, and which have been subject, by us to an audit performed in accordance with professional

104

standards applicable in France. Those standards require that we perform procedures, to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position and the assets and liabilities of the Group as at 31 March 2003 and the results of its operations for the year then ended in accordance with rules and accounting principles generally accepted in France. Without qualifying our opinion, we have made the following matter of emphasis:

We draw attention to the Note 1(b) Basis of preparation of the consolidated accounts which highlights the fundamental principles, the main assumptions and certain additional matters used by the Board of Directors to approve the consolidated accounts.

In our supplementary report to our report dated 14 May 2003, dated 2 July 2003, without qualifying our opinion, we have made the following observations:

We draw attention to the main modifications to the consolidated accounts approved on 13 May 2003 by the Board of Directors: Compared to the accounts approved on 13 May 2003, the principal changes are a reduction of shareholders equity from \square 805m to \square 758m, an increase of the loss of the year from \square 1 381m to \square 1,432m and a reduction of the operating result of Transport Sector from \square 49m (positive) to \square 24m (negative).

We draw attention to the fact that in his presentation of 2 July 2003 to the Annual Shareholders Meeting, the Chairman updated the shareholders on the action plan related to the indebtedness, financing and progress made on the asset disposal plan of the Company. The successful completion of this plan is essential to the debt reduction programme. We have indicated that if these plans were to suffer from a significant, unfavourable outcome, which the Company does not expect, the application of accounting principles generally applicable where the going concern principle is applicable might no longer be appropriate.

We performed a review of the pro-forma financial statements in accordance with accounting standards applicable in France. These standards require an assessment of the procedures implemented for selecting the accounting conventions and preparing the reconstituted pro formas, the pro forma financial statements and for performing of tests to assess whether the conventions used are consistent, to determine how they are reflected in the figures and to ensure that the accounting methods used are compatible with those followed for drawing up ALSTOM s consolidated financial statements as at 31 March 2003 and its interim consolidated financial statements as at 30 September 2003.

The pro forma financial statements are intended to express how a given transaction or event would effect the historical financial information prior to the date on which the transaction or event actually occurred. However, they are not necessarily representative of the financial position or performance which would have been observed if the transaction or event had occurred on a date previous to its actual or foreseen occurrence.

In our opinion, the conventions used constitute a reasonable basis for presenting the effects of the disposal of the Small and Medium gas turbines and Industrial turbines to Siemens and of the disposal of the Transmission & Distribution Sector (except the Power Conversion Business) to Areva in the proforma financial statements covering the period from 1st April 2002 to 31 March 2003 and from 1st April 2003 to 30 September 2003. The figures are appropriate and the accounting methods used are consistent with those followed in preparing the consolidated financial statements as at 31 March 2003 and the interim consolidated financial statements as at 30 September 2003.

Neuilly-sur-Seine France, 17 November 2003

The Independent Auditors

BARBIER FRINAULT & AUTRES Gilles Puissochet DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU Alan Glen

105

1.3 Consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003

1.3.1. Presentation of the amended consolidated financial statements as approved by the Ordinary and Extraordinary Shareholders General Meeting held on 2 July 2003

Following receipt of letters alleging accounting improprieties on a railcar contract ALSTOM launched an internal review in Hornell, the New York facility of ALSTOM Transportation Inc. (ATI), a US subsidiary of ALSTOM where the contract are executed. This internal review, assisted by external lawyers and accountants, has identified a significant understatement of losses in ATI s accounts. This understatement is in substantial part due to accounting improprieties related to non recognition of realised costs, of which certain were about to be transferred on other contracts, and also an underestimation of forecasted costs on the contract. The concerned contracts were concluded at a fixed sale price, so ALSTOM s customers have not suffered any financial damage. Those events were subject to a press release on 30 June 2003. Additional informations are included in the Management and Discussion analysis on interim consolidated financial statements at 30 September 2003 Section 1.1.1. and Claims and litigations Section 3.2.

The Ordinary and Extraordinary Shareholders General Meeting held on 2 July 2003 approved the amendments to the consolidated financial statements for fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 which include an additional expense after tax of ☐ 51 million.

The General Meeting also approved the amendments to the Operating and Financial Review and Prospects approved by the Board of Directors held on 13 May 2003, to take into account the events known at that date.

The supplementary statutory auditors report on statutory and consolidated financial statements, which is additional to statutory auditors report from page 91 of the Document de Référence, is included in annex 1 to this update.

The consolidated financial statements at 31 March 2003 including the amendments proposed by the Board of Directors and approved by Ordinary and Extraordinary Shareholders Meeting held on the 2 July 2003 are included in their entirety in annex 1 to this update.

The amended Operating and Financial Review and Prospects is included in its entirety in annex 2 to this update.

Moreover, the following pages of the Document de Référence have been updated to take into account modifications of the consolidated financial statements:

Chairman & CEO s message (page 2 of the Document de Référence)

In the first sentence, the unprecedented [] 1.38 billion net loss has been replaced by the unprecedented [] 1.43 billion net loss.

In the third paragraph, line 3, the significant net loss of \square 1.38 billion has been replaced by the significant net loss of \square 1.43 billion .

Description of activities (page 27 of the Document de Référence)

In the first table, in the line corresponding to operating income in million of euros for the fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 49 has been replaced by (24) .

Risks Main risk factors (page 151 of the Document de Référence)

 4^{th} paragraph, 1^{st} line, At 31 March 2003, we had \square 3,631 million of provisions for risks and charges has been replaced by At 31 March 2003, we had \square 3,698 million of provisions for risks and charges .

Those amended pages are included in annex 3 to this update.

106

Finally, the following corrections are underlined in annex 3:

Comparable figures: see table of comparable figures, page 4 of annex 3.

Working capital : see page 5 of annex 3.

1.3.2. Summary of differences between Accounting Principles followed by the Group and US GAAP

The Note 33 mentioned below is included in the Annual report on form 20-F at 31 March 2003 available on the Group s web site.

The Consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles described in Note 2 above (French GAAP) which differ in certain significant respects from those applicable in the United States of America (US GAAP). These differences relate mainly to the items which are described below and which are summarized in the following tables. Such differences affect both the determination of net income and shareholders equity, as well as the classification and format of the consolidated financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

French law stipulates that annual financial statements are final once they have been approved by the Board of Directors and the General Meeting of shareholders, and that, from then, they can not be modified.

ALSTOM s annual report on form 20-F has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on 15 October 2003 while the French financial statements have been approved by the General Meeting of shareholders held on 2 July 2003. The US GAAP rules require that companies take into account events occurred or changes of assumption decided after the approval of accounts, if they are material and give additional information on an existing situation at the date of closing.

ALSTOM has identified at the end of July 2003, on the basis of project reviews updated at this date, an increase in costs to complete certain contracts in the Transport Sector for \square 56 million after tax effect. This increase due to additional information related to an existing situation at closing date, had to be taken into account in the 20-F.

According to Securities and Exchange Commission rules, this increase of costs to complete these contracts was taken into account through a modification of primary accounts, as disclosed in the 20-F.

As stipulated in French law, this change in assumption of costs to complete certain contracts subsequent to the General Meeting which approved the annual consolidated financial statements filed with the Tribunal de Commerce, affected the net loss published under French GAAP for the half-year ended 30 September 2003.

- A) Items affecting net income (loss) and shareholders equity
- (a) Business combinations Acquisition of ABB ALSTOM Power Power

As described in Note 7, the Group finalized its acquisition of ABB s 50% shareholding in ABB ALSTOM Power (re-named the Power Sector) on 11 May 2000. Up to that date, the Group consolidated by the proportionate consolidation method its 50% share in Power under French GAAP. From 11 May 2000 the results of Power are fully consolidated in French GAAP. Under US GAAP, Power would have been accounted for as an equity method investment until 11 May 2000, at which time full consolidation would begin.

March 2001 purchase accounting

For the year ended 31 March 2001, the Group recorded various adjustments under French GAAP in connection with its acquisition of ABB s 50% shareholding in Power and the related purchase price allocation. These adjustments reflected the Group s estimate of the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired and, under French GAAP, such adjustments were recorded as part of the purchase price allocation process and created additional goodwill to be amortized in future years.

107

Under US GAAP, certain of these adjustments did not meet the criteria for recognition as purchase price allocation adjustments. The Group identified the period in which events or changes in conditions occurred that resulted in a different estimation of the value of certain assets and liabilities.

Specifically, the Group determined whether the income statement charges to be recorded existed before or after the 11 May 2000 acquisition date. Income statement adjustments recorded prior to 11 May 2000 are reflected in the consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2001 based on the Group s previously existing 50% equity interest in Power. Adjustments in respect of the period after 11 May 2000 are recorded in the Group s income statement based on its 100% shareholding in Power.

During the year ended 31 March 2001, and following the consolidation of ABB ALSTOM Power (AAP) starting 11 May 2000, the Group has reversed, under U.S. GAAP, purchase accounting entries that were recognized as such under French GAAP. As a consequence, the Group has recorded an additional charge to the income statement of \square 1,497 million under U.S. GAAP that was essentially made up of the following:

Contract provisions

At 31 March 2001 the preliminary purchase price allocation recorded under French GAAP included accruals for [] 1,276 million on contract provisions mainly on but not limited to GT24/GT26 gas and steam turbines issues. Under U.S. GAAP, the amount of any necessary adjustments is reported in the period in which the trends, events or changes in operations and conditions occurred. A valuation and estimation of the performance issues and associated performance penalties, warranty and contract liability costs related to those contracts was already previously made by AAP and reflected in the AAP financial statements prior to the acquisition date of the remaining 50 % of AAP.

The contract provisions recorded at both 31 December 1999 and 31 March 2000 (pre acquisition dates) reflected in accordance with SOP 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-types and certain production-types contracts* the best estimate of the liability based on the information available at that time.

In July 2000, subsequent to the 11 May 2000 acquisition of ABB s 50% stake in AAP, further performance issues with contracts (mainly GT24/GT26) arose following scheduled inspections on some machines. Under U.S. GAAP an adjustment to the provision of contract losses is required to be recognized in the period in which the loss becomes evident pursuant to SOP 81-1. Therefore, the additional contract provisions recorded to reflect the estimated costs that will be incurred are considered a change in estimate subsequent to the 11 May 2000 acquisition date.

Under U.S. GAAP this change in estimate is recorded in the period of the change and therefore the [] 1,276 million is recorded as a charge in the income statement in the year ended 31 March 2001.

Write down of certain assets

As of 31 March 2000, AAP recorded assets of certain pre-contract costs that were capitalized under U.S. GAAP pursuant to SOP 81-1. During the 12-month period ended 31 March 2001 the Group concluded such costs were no longer probable of recovery. Under U.S. GAAP the write off of these pre-contract costs has been charged to the income statement in the 12-month period ended 31 March 2001 for an amount of \square 13 million. In addition, certain assets including property and equipment and inventories that were written off in French GAAP, hence increasing goodwill, were expensed *for* an amount of \square 101 million under U.S. GAAP as they were not following the provisions of SFAS 38, *Accounting for Preacquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises*.

Adjustments to the value of financial instruments

During the year ended 31 March 2001 contracts in foreign currency signed before July 1999 and contributed by ABB to AAP were cancelled. Such event required that foreign exchange derivatives, which formerly served as hedges of these contractual arrangements be recorded at their market value. For U.S. GAAP, the Group has recorded a charge to income of \square 68 million reflecting the change in market value of these instruments.

108

March 2002 purchase accounting

Pursuant to French GAAP requirements, the Group finalized the purchase price allocation of Power prior to 31March 2002, the end of the first fiscal year subsequent to the 11 May 2000 acquisition period.

Under US GAAP, the allocation period defined as being the period required to identify and quantify the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed should usually not exceed one year from the consummation of the business combination. For US GAAP purposes, the purchase price allocation was finalized prior to 11 May 2001 and additional liability adjustments made after with respect to the French GAAP allocation period are recorded in the Group s income statement.

During the year ended 31 March 2002, the Group has recorded an additional charge to the income statement of [453 million under US GAAP made up of accruals on contracts ([389 million) and other costs which were not considered to be liabilities under US GAAP at 31 March 2001 ([64 million)]. For purposes of French GAAP these liabilities were included as a component of the cost of acquisition and charged against goodwill as part of the purchase price allocation at 31 March 2002 and 31 March 2001, respectively. In addition, the Group has reversed a portion of the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets following the implementation of tax planning strategies in Switzerland ([103 million)).

In addition, US GAAP adjustments result in a reduction in goodwill amortization charged for the year ended 31March 2001 and 2002 of \square 62 million and \square 87 million, respectively.

In the year ended 31 March 2001, the above described adjustments reduce operating income (loss) by []1,435million. In the year ended 31 March 2002, the operating income (loss) is reduced by [] 366 million and deferred tax income increased by [] 103 million.

At 31 March 2001, the above described adjustments reduce goodwill, net by $\[\]$ 1,321 million, deferred tax assets by $\[\]$ 21 million, shareholders equity by $\[\]$ 1,277 million (net of $\[\]$ 158 million positive tax effect) and provisions for risks and charges by $\[\]$ 65 million.

At 31 March 2002, the above described adjustments reduce goodwill, net by \square 1,419 million and shareholders equity by \square 1,419 million (net of \square 279 million positive tax effect).

(b) Impairment

Impairment of goodwill

In the Group s consolidated financial statements prepared under French GAAP, goodwill is generally amortized over its estimated life, not to exceed 20 years.

Under US GAAP, the Group has evaluated its existing goodwill relating to prior business combinations and has determined that an adjustment or reclassification to intangible assets as of 31 March 2002 was not required in order to conform to the new criteria in SFAS 141, *Business Combinations*.

Beginning 1 April 2002, the Group adopted SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. As a result, goodwill is no longer amortized. Instead the Group periodically evaluate goodwill for recoverability.

Goodwill is also evaluated whenever events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable from its estimated future cash flows. Upon adoption, the Group established reporting units based on its current reporting structure. Goodwill was assigned to the reporting units, as well as other assets and liabilities, to the extent that they relate to the reporting unit. The first step of the goodwill impairment test was completed on adoption and as at 31 March 2003, the annual testing date, the Group has determined that no potential goodwill impairment exists. As a result, the Group did not recognize transitional impairment loss in fiscal year 2003 in connection with the adoption of SFAS 142.

109

The goodwill impairment test is based on fair value and performed at reporting unit level which is either operating segments (Sectors) or one level below (Businesses).

Other intangible assets recorded by the Group have a finite useful life.

The first application of SFAS 142 for the year-ended 31 March 2003 was to increase net income (loss) as a result of non amortization of goodwill by ☐ 284 million.

Impairment of long-lived assets (other than Goodwill)

Under US GAAP, the Group reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If these events or changes in circumstances indicate that such amounts could not be recoverable, the Group estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, the Group recognizes an impairment loss for the difference between the carrying value of the asset and its fair value. At 31 March 2002, as described in Note 33(A) (m) the Group has recorded an impairment under US GAAP related to the Cruiseinvest Cruise ships.

(c) Restructuring

Until 31 December 2002, the Group accounted for the restructuring liabilities when restructuring programs have been finalized and approved by management and have been announced before the closing date. With this respect, the Group applies EITF 94-3, EITF 95-3, SFAS 88 and SFAS 112 for the purposes of preparing the US GAAP reconciliation.

Starting 1 January 2003, the Group applied prospectively and for all new plans initiated after this date SFAS 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*. This statement requires that a liability for costs associated with exit or disposal activities to be recognized at fair value when the liability is incurred rather than at the date an entity commits to a plan of restructuring.

The table below reconciles the restructuring expense as determined under French and US GAAP, before tax effects.

Year ended		
31 March		
2001	2002 (in [] million)	2003
80	227	268
		31 March 2001 2002 (in □ million)

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Adjustments required for US GAAP purposes: 4 New plan provisions and adjustments to prior year estimates⁽¹⁾ 158 15 Costs charged against goodwill under French GAAP(2) 11 112 64 Impact on income of US GAAP restatement (before goodwill amortization) 270 79 15 US GAAP income statement expense (before goodwill amortization)* 350 306 283 Impact on goodwill amortization(2) (11)(9) **US GAAP income statement expense** 339 297 283

^{*} of which impact of the discontinued operations is [69 million, [85 million and [91 million for the years-ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Note references are to the notes to the table below.

The table below details the pre-tax impact of restructuring adjustments on shareholders equity pursuant to US GAAP.

	2001	At 31 March 2002 (in [] million)	2003
Provisions per US GAAP	186	163	138
Provisions per French GAAP (Note 18)	285	178	138
Difference between U.S. and French GAAP provisions	99	15	
Restructuring costs charged against goodwill under French GAAP and which do not qualify under EITF 95-3 ⁽²⁾	(70)	(11)	
Cumulative adjustment on new plan provisions and adjustment on prior estimates ⁽¹⁾	29	4	
Cumulative costs originally charged against goodwill (French GAAP) and subsequently expensed under US GAAP ⁽²⁾ Effect of deconsolidation of Contracting and GTRM ⁽³⁾	(245)	(309) 39	(320)
Cumulative goodwill amortization ⁽²⁾	36	45	45
Cumulative goodwin amortization /	4	4	43
Minority interests	(10)		
Impact on shareholders equity of US GAAP restructuring restatement	(186)	(217)	(232)

⁽¹⁾ Prior to 31 March 2001, under French GAAP, the Group recorded restructuring liabilities during the period when decisions have been approved by the appropriate level of management. Since 1 April 2001, under French GAAP, as disclosed in Note 2(w), the Group records a restructuring liability during the period when the plan is finalized, approved by management and announced before the closing of the financial statements. Under US GAAP, until 31 December 2002, the Group has applied the SFAS 112, Employer s Accounting for Post Employment Benefits and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (EITF 94-3) in accounting for its employee redundancy and restructuring costs. Under EITF 94-3, a provision for restructuring can only be recorded during the period when certain conditions are satisfied, including the specific identification and approval by the appropriate level of management of the operations and activities to be restructured, and timely notification to the employees who are to be made redundant. In addition, costs associated with an exit plan are recognized as restructuring provisions only if the related costs are not associated with or do not benefit continuing activities of the Group. The foregoing creates a timing difference between (i) the recording of provisions of French GAAP charges to the extent that such provisions are not accrued for US GAAP purposes, (ii) restructuring charges accrued under US GAAP that were expensed for French GAAP purposes in a prior period, and (iii) changes in estimates on prior year French GAAP provisions that did not qualify for accrual under US GAAP. Starting 1 January 2003, the Group applied prospectively and for all new plans initiated after this date SFAS 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. This statement requires that a liability for costs associated with exit or disposal activities to be recognized at fair value when the liability is

111

⁽²⁾ For the purpose of the US GAAP reconciliation, the Group has applied EITF No. 95-3 Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination in accounting for restructuring costs associated with businesses it has acquired. As discussed in (1)above, the requirements for recording restructuring costs and liabilities are more strict under US GAAP. Therefore, certain restructuring provisions included in the purchase price allocation related to businesses acquired under French GAAP are not accruable under US GAAP, generating a difference in goodwill and liabilities assumed for restructuring costs charged against goodwill under French GAAP. Certain restructuring charges originally charged against goodwill under French GAAP are subsequently expensed under US GAAP once the US GAAP criteria have been satisfied for recording the costs. Furthermore, a reduction in goodwill amortization results from the US GAAP treatment until 31 March 2002.

⁽³⁾ During the fiscal year ended 31 March 2002 the Group disposed of its Contracting Sector and GTRM. At the time of the acquisition, the goodwill computed differed by ☐ 39 million between French and US GAAP, mainly because of French GAAP restructuring provisions that did not meet the criteria of EITF 95-3 *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection With a Purchase Business Combination* resulting from the lower goodwill under US GAAP. In the year end 31 March 2002 the counterparty effect is an increase of the capital gain of ☐ 39 million as reported in Note 33 (n).

US GAAP additional restructuring disclosures are presented in Note 33 (D) (d).

(d) Pension, termination and post-retirement benefits defined benefit plans

The Group determines its costs and accruals in accordance with actuarial techniques compliant with the methodology stated by SFAS 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions* and SFAS 106, *Employers Accounting for Post Retirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*. Minimum liability adjustments (MLA) are not recognized in the Group's financial statements as under French GAAP the recognition of these adjustments is not required.

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets are the following:

		At 31 March		
	2001	2002 (in [] million)	2003	
Projected benefit obligation	964	1,378	3,211	
Accumulated benefit obligation	850	1,291	3,070	
Fair value of plan assets	109	536	1,934	

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets:

In accordance with SFAS 87, a minimum liability corresponding to the unfunded Accumulated Benefit Obligation (Accumulated Benefit Obligation Fair Value of Plan Assets) should be recognized in the balance sheet.

Recognition of an additional minimum liability is required if an unfunded Accumulated Benefit Obligation exists and:

an asset has been recognised as prepaid pension cost, or, the liability already recognized is less than the unfunded Accumulated Benefit Obligation.

The counterparties of this recognition are:

Intangible assets to the extent of the unrecognized prior service cost, The reduction of equity, net of any tax benefits.

The total net position thus recognised in the balance sheet in respect of pensions corresponds to the difference between the Group's discounted obligation (with no salary increase) and the fair value of plan assets.

112

The amounts recorded for at 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 in the accompanying balance sheets can be compared with balances determined under US GAAP as follows:

Amounts recorded in the balance sheet

	Р	ension ben At 31 Mare		_	ther benefi at 31 Marcl			Total At 31 March	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
					(in [] milli	on)			
Accrued benefit liability (including MLA)	(899)	(980)	(1,602)	(203)	(208)	(168)	(1,102)	(1,188)	(1,770)
Prepaid benefit cost	416	469	<u>397</u>				416	469	397
Net amount recorded under US GAAP	(483)	(511)	(1,205)	(203)	(208)	(168)	(686)	(719)	(1,373)
impact of transition obligation, of prior service cost and of actuarial gains recognized with a different timing under regulation local	25						25		
Minimum Liability Adjustment	49	194	798				49	194	798
Net amount recorded in consolidated Financial									
statements	(409)	(317)	(407)	(203)	(208)	(168)	(612)	(525)	(575)
Accrued Prepaid	(855) 446	(786) 469	(804) 397	(203)	(208)	(168)	(1,058) 446	(994) 469	(972) 397

Regarding the other benefit plans, a one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	1-Percentage-Point Increase	1-Percentage-Point Decrease
	(in ☐ mil	lion)
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	0.06	(0.05)
Effect on the post retirement benefit obligation	0.75	(0.64)

(e) Short term investments

The Group holds various debt and equity securities and deposits, which are classified on the balance sheet as short-term investments available for sale. These investments are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. French GAAP does not permit

upward adjustments to the value of these investments to reflect their fair value. Under US GAAP, these investments are measured at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses net of tax effects excluded from earnings and reported as a component of shareholders equity (other comprehensive income).

(f) Asset revaluations

At the formation of GEC ALSTHOM N.V. in 1989, certain businesses contributed by its shareholders were revalued and restated to amounts different than the historical carrying values used by the shareholders. Under US GAAP, the values of such contributed businesses is recorded by the Group at the shareholders historical value.

Under French GAAP, assets can be revalued periodically and carried at fair value subsequent to initial recognition. Revaluation increases are credited directly to equity as revaluation surpluses. Under US GAAP, assets are recorded at historical cost and are not revalued unless acquired in a purchase business combination.

113

At 31 March 2001, this difference decreases land by \square 115 million, buildings, net by \square 76 million, increases goodwill, net by \square 19 million and decreases shareholders equity by \square 172 million.

At 31 March 2002, this difference decreases land by \square 91 million, buildings, net by \square 72 million, increases goodwill, net by \square 17 million and decreases shareholders equity by \square 146 million.

At 31 March 2003, this difference decreases land by \square 39 million, buildings, net by \square 53 million, increases goodwill, net by \square 17 million and decreases shareholders equity by \square 75 million.

The impact of the change in asset values results in an adjustment of amortization/depreciation expense between French GAAP and US GAAP. Additionally the difference in the carrying value of assets favorably impacts the amount of gain or loss on sales/disposals of assets under US GAAP.

The impact on operating income (loss) is \square 3 million, \square 26 million and \square 71 million for the years ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 respectively.

(g) Capital and sales type leases

The Group has assets financed through capital leases and sells through sales-type lease arrangements. The Group accounts for payments made or received under these contracts as expenses or revenues. The related commitments are presented as off-balance sheet items. Under US GAAP, assets financed through capital leases are capitalized and the related financing is presented as debt on the balance sheet; for sales-type leases, the selling price of the product is recorded in revenues and the rentals to be received are recorded as net investment in sales type leases, net of the related unearned income. This presentation increases property, plant and equipment by \square 54 million, \square 112 million and \square 206 million; net investment in sales type leases by \square 754 million, \square 757 million and \square 667 million; financial debt by \square 809 million, \square 876 million and \square 877 million and decreases shareholders equity by \square 1 million, \square 7 million and \square 3 million at 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

In the year ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, it increases operating income (loss) by \square 8 million, \square 12million and \square 13 million, respectively, and decreases financial income (expense) by \square 8 million, \square 18 million and \square 10 million, respectively.

(h) Derivative instruments and hedging activities

On 1 April 2001, the Group adopted SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* and SFAS 138, *Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities*, an amendment of SFAS 133. These statements significantly differ from French GAAP, as they require the Group to recognize all derivatives, other than certain derivatives indexed to the Group s own stock, on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If the derivative is designated as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair

value of derivatives will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative s change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. Formal documentation designating the relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged underlying transaction must be in place at inception, and hedge effectiveness must be reassessed regularly.

Foreign currency risk

The Group uses derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to the effects of currency fluctuations. There must be a relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged underlying transaction. The change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged foreign currency risk is recorded to offset the mark to

114

market of the derivative hedging instrument designated and qualifying as a fair value hedging instrument. Changes in the derivative s fair value and related change in fair value of the hedged item are recognized in the income statement as a fair value hedge. Derivative instruments used to cover commercial bids are economic hedges but do not qualify for hedge accounting because the realization of the forecasted transactions are not considered individually sufficiently probable. As a result, the change in fair value of the derivative is recorded through income.

Interest rate risk

Derivative instruments are used to reduce exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Derivative instruments used to cover debt (interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps) are economic hedges but they do not all qualify for hedge accounting. Therefore the change in fair value of the derivative instrument which is recognized in earnings is only partly offset by the change in fair value of the covered items

The effect on net income of the first application of SFAS 133 as of 31 March 2001 is \square 37 million. In the year ended 31 March 2002, it results in a decrease by \square 20 million of operating income (expense) and a decrease of financial income (expense) of \square 6 million. In the year ended 31 March 2003, it results in an increase by \square 73million of operating income (expense) and an increase by \square 26 million of financial income (expense).

(i) Stock based compensation

Employee stock purchase plan

During the year ended 31 March 2001, under an employee stock purchase plan, the Group issued shares reserved to its employees and 1,689,056 shares were subscribed. These shares were offered to substantially all employees at a discount to the market price. French GAAP does not require the recording of compensation expense for stock based compensation.

APB 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees requires the recognition of compensation expense on non compensatory employee stock purchase plans where common stock of the Group is offered to employees at a discount greater than would be reasonable in an offer of stock to shareholders or others.

For purposes of US GAAP, the Group recorded additional compensation expense of [] 15 million for the year-ended 31 March 2001 not affecting the shareholders equity reconciliation.

Stock option plans

As mentioned in Note 30, the Group granted stock options during the years ended 31 March 2000, 2002 and 2003 to certain employees. Under French GAAP, such stock option plans are not compensatory.

Under US GAAP, the options awarded in the 24 July 2001 plan (n° 3), the 8 January 2002 plan (n° 5) and the 7 January 2003 plan (n° 6) are considered fixed compensatory plans. All stock options plans are accounted for according to APB No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees using the intrinsic value method to measure compensation expense associated with grants of stock options to employees.

Accordingly, as either (i) the performance conditions of respectively the April 1999 and 24 July 2001 plans have not been met at 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 or (ii) the stock options granted during the year ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 were granted with no discount, no compensation cost has been recognized in the years then ended.

(j) Start-up costs

Start-up costs capitalized by the Group under French GAAP and expensed under US GAAP pursuant to SOP 98-5, *Reporting of the Costs of Start-Up Activities* amounted to \square 39 million, \square 41 million and \square 21 million at 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The impact of the change in assets values results in an adjustment to amortization expense between French GAAP and US GAAP.

115

The resulting impact of the above adjustments is a decrease by \square 30 million and \square 2 million and an increase by \square 16 million of operating income (loss) for the years-ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The increase in fiscal year 2003 results from the fact that certain start up costs previously capitalized were expensed under French GAAP during the period.

(k) ALSTOM Ferroviaria 49% minority interests

As disclosed in Note 7, in connection with the acquisition of 51% of Fiat Ferroviaria (now renamed ALSTOM Ferroviaria) in October 2000, the Group and FIAT entered into a put and call agreement on the remaining 49% interest in this company. Under French GAAP, the Group recorded the acquisition of the 51% of ALSTOM Ferroviaria and reported minority interests for the remaining 49%. Under U.S GAAP, as the exercise price and other terms of the options were already determined and essentially similar, the Group was required to report a 100% acquisition of ALSTOM Ferroviaria together with a financing of the 49% not yet legally acquired.

On 16 April 2002, the put option requiring the Group to purchase the remaining 49 % of the capital was exercised by Fiat Spa for an amount of \square 154 million resulting in an increase of goodwill of \square 158 million under French GAAP.

At 31 March 2001, this difference increases goodwill, net by \square 100 million, decreases shareholders equity by \square 2 million, decreases minority interests by \square 44 million and increases financial debt by \square 146 million.

At 31 March 2002, this difference increases goodwill, net by \square 152 million, decreases shareholders equity by \square 5 million, increases minority interests by \square 3 million and increases financial debt by \square 154 million.

At 31 March 2003, this difference decreases goodwill, net by ☐ 5 million and decreases shareholders equity by ☐ 5 million.

The impact on net income was [(2) million and [(3) million for the years-ended 31 March 2001 and 2002, respectively.

(I) Other differences

Under a put and call agreement two ships sold to a customer may be returned to the Group to be resold. Under French GAAP, the margin on the sale is recognized on a percentage of completion basis. Under US GAAP, the sale and the cost of sale have been deferred until the expiration of the option for an amount of \square 163 million and \square 161 million, respectively.

The Group entered into an agreement to sell land to third parties in several steps in the year ended 31 March 1999. Under French GAAP, this sale is recognized in income at the signature of the contract. Under US GAAP, such a sale is recognized only when certain sale recognition criteria are met. Consequently a net gain on the sale was not recognized at the time of sale. During the

years ended 31 March 2001, 2002 the net gain has been recognized for an amount of \square 16 million, \square 1 million respectively as the required criteria were satisfied.

Under French GAAP, the Group recorded during the year ended March 31,1999 a liability against shareholders equity for a potential tax risk related to the reorganization that occurred prior to the initial public offering. The risk of loss was and is considered reasonably possible of occurrence and therefore, under US GAAP, pursuant to SFAS 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, only disclosure of the contingent liability is appropriate

(m) Basis of consolidation Controlled entities and investees

Special purpose entities

Certain special purpose leasing entities have not been consolidated under French GAAP (see Note 25 (a)) because the Group owns no shares in such entities. Under US GAAP, these entities are consolidated because the Group retains some of the risks and rewards of ownership.

116

The Cruiseinvest structure of which the main assets are six cruise ships has not been consolidated under French GAAP as the Group owns no shares in such structure. As the Group is one of the primary beneficiaries, this special purpose entity is consolidated under US GAAP (see Note 25 (b)).

Under US GAAP, the carrying value of the ships has been impaired pursuant to SFAS 144 at 31 March 2002 for an amount of 126 million US dollars (

144 million at 31 March 2002 and

115 million at 31 March 2003). This loss is compensated by the reversal, due to Cruiseinvest consolidation under US GAAP of vendor financing provision recorded under French GAAP to cover Group s share of Cruiseinvest exposure.

At 31 March 2002, the additional US GAAP net losses of \square 19 million resulting from the Cruiseinvest consolidation are allocated to the Group. At 31 March 2003, out of the total cumulated net losses of \square 54 million resulting from the Cruiseinvest consolidation, \square 15 million are allocated to the Group and \square 39 million to minority interests.

Losses incurred as of 31 March 2002 and 2003, respectively including impairment losses related to ships pursuant to application of SFAS 144 have been allocated between the Group and other minority interests in Cruiseinvest consistent with the amounts that the Group is exposed to, based on existing guarantees and its current investment.

For the year ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 the consolidation of the special purpose leasing entities mentioned above and Cruiseinvest results in the following adjustments to Consolidated balance sheets line items:

	2001	At 31 March 2002 (in [] million)	2003
Other fixed assets, net	(126)	(377)	(365)
Cruise ships, net	,	872	791
Net investment in sales type leases	1,663	923	770
Other accounts receivables	103	79	57
Total assets adjustments	1,640	1,497	1,253
Shareholder s equity		(19)	(15)
Minority interests		, ,	(39)
Provisions for risks and charges		(144)	(140)
Financial debt	1,640	1,660	1,444
Other payables			3
Total liabilities adjustments	1,640	1,497	1,253

The consolidation of the special purpose entities mentioned above reduces the total vendor financing exposure disclosed in Note 27 (a) (2) by \square 218 million, \square 826 million and \square 719 million at 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Other entities

For the year ended 31 March 2001, with no significant effect on net income, total assets and shareholders equity, the Group has accounted for, for practical reasons, certain controlled entities using the equity method, has not consolidated certain controlled entities and has accounted for certain entities over which it exercises significant influence (but not control) at cost.

For the year ended 31 March 2002, with no significant effect on net income, total assets and shareholders equity, the Group has accounted for, for practical reasons, certain entities over which it exercises significant influence (but no control) at cost.

117

Under US GAAP, entities over which significant influence is exercised are accounted for using the equity method.

(n) Capital gain on disposed activities

During the fiscal year ended 31 March 2002 the Group disposed of its Contracting Sector and GTRM. At the time of the acquisition, the goodwill computed differed between French and US GAAP, mainly because of French GAAP restructuring provisions that did not meet the criteria of EITF 95-3 *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection With a Purchase Business Combination* resulting in a reduced goodwill under US GAAP. Accordingly, the recorded capital gain is increased by \square 39 million as a result of the lower cost basis of the Contracting Sector and GTRM under US GAAP (see Note 33 (A) (c)).

(o) Sale and lease-back accounting

During the fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 the Group disposed of part of its real estate portfolio.

These premises were leased back over periods of up to 15 years. Under French GAAP a capital gain of ☐ 59 million was recorded. Under US GAAP, the lower carrying value of these assets due to the reversal of the 1989 revaluation (see Note 33 (A) (f)) increased the capital gain by ☐ 50 million.

Under US GAAP, these lease back transactions were substantially all classified as capital leases and the resulting capital gain amortized over the lease term. In the year ended 31 March 2003, the US GAAP capital gain was deferred for an amount of \square 106 million.

(p) Creation of jointly controlled business

The creation of the AAP joint venture in July 1999 was made on the basis of historical book values in the financial statements of the Group. However, the difference in the historical book values and the Group s investment basis in AAP due to the cash payment by the Group to ABB, led to the recognition of goodwill under French GAAP. Under US GAAP, this difference did not qualify as goodwill because it relates to the creation of a joint venture and not a purchase business combination.

Under US GAAP this difference was allocated to the corresponding underlying assets of the joint venture and amortized over their respective useful lives. In the present case, the amortization period of the underlying assets is estimated at approximately 20 years and consequently does not generate any significant difference between French and US GAAP in the amortization charge for the period.

The reconciliation to US GAAP of net income (loss) and shareholders equity for the years ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 are as follows :

		Year er	nded 31 March	
	2001	2002	2003	2003(*)
	r:			(in millions
Not income (leas) in French CAAD as appreciate by respective Conerel	(1	n 🛮 million)		of US dollars)
Net income (loss) in French GAAP as approved by respective General	004	(400)	(4.400)	/4 EC4\
Meetings	204	(139)	(1,432)	(1,561)
Adjustments booked for 20F purposes filed on 15 October 2003	20.4	(400)	(56)	(61)
Net income (loss) adjusted for 20F purposes filed on 15 October 2003	204	(139)	(1,488)	(1,622)
(a) Business combinations	(1,435)	(263)		
(b) Goodwill amortization			284	310
(c) Restructuring	(259)	(70)	(15)	(16)
(d) Pension, termination and post-retirement benefits	9			
(f) Asset revaluations	3	26	71	77
(g) Capital and sales type leases		(6)	3	3
(h) Derivative instruments and hedging activities	(24)	11	99	108
(i) Employee stock purchase plan	(15)			
(j) Start-up costs	(30)	(2)	16	17
(k) Alstom Ferroviaria minority interests	(2)	(3)		
(I) Other differences	16	1		
(m) Basis of consolidation		(19)	(1)	(1)
(n) Capital gain on disposed activities		39		
(o) Sale and lease back accounting			(106)	(116)
Tax effects of the US GAAP adjustments	258	129	(4)	(4)
Net income (loss) according to US GAAP	(1,275)	(296)	(1,141)	(1,244)

^(*) Translated solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of US dollar 1.09 to 🛘 1.00, the Noon Buying Rate on 31 March 2003.

		At	31 March	
	2001	2002	2003	2003(*)
		(in ∏ million)		(in millions of US dollars)
Shareholders equity in French GAAP as approved by respective		(111 🛮 1111111011)		or oo donars)
General Meetings	2,090	1,752	758	826
Adjustments booked for 20F purposes filed on 15 October 2003	,	•	(51)	(55)
Shareholders equity adjusted for 20F purposes filed on 15 October			` ,	` ,
2003	2,090	1,752	707	771
(a) Business combinations	(1,435)	(1,698)	(1,698)	(1,851)
(b) Goodwill amortization			284	310
(c) Restructuring	(186)	(217)	(232)	(253)
(d) Pension, termination and post-retirement benefits	(74)	(194)	(798)	(870)
(e) Short term investments	2	2	1	1
(f) Asset revaluation	(172)	(146)	(75)	(82)
(g) Capital and sales type-leases	(1)	(7)	(4)	(4)
(h) Derivative instruments and hedging activities	(45)	(34)	65	71
(j) Start-up costs	(39)	(41)	(21)	(23)
(k) ALSTOM Ferroviaria minority interests	(2)	(5)	(5)	(5)
(I) Other differences	14	13	13	14
(m) Basis of consolidation		(19)	(15)	(16)
(o) Sale and lease back accounting			(106)	(116)
Tax effects of the US GAAP adjustments	268	435	635	692

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

Shareholders equity according to	US GAAP	420	(159)	(1,249)	(1,361)

^(*) Translated solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of US dollar 1.09 to \square 1.00, the Noon Buying Rate on 31 March 2003.

119

The reconciliation of US GAAP shareholders equity for the years ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 is as follows:

	At 31 March			
	2001	2002	2003	2003(*)
				(in millions
		(in 🛮 million)		of US dollars)
Opening Shareholders equity according to US GAAP	1,844	420	(159)	(173)
Net income	(1,275)	(296)	(1,141)	(1,244)
Other comprehensive income				
Currency translation adjustments	(41)	(80)	(170)	(185)
Minimum liability adjustment	(36)	(145)	(604)	(658)
Unrealized gain on securities	1		(1)	(1)
Tax effect of the above adjustments	12	60	204	222
Dividends paid	(118)	(118)		
Capital increase	33		622	678
Closing Shareholders equity according to US GAAP	420	(159)	(1,249)	(1,361)

^(*) Translated solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of US dollar 1.09 to ☐1.00, the Noon Buying Rate on 31 March 2003.

B) Items affecting presentation of Consolidated financial statements

(a) Discontinued operations

Under French GAAP, discontinued operations are recognized only when selling agreements have been signed before the balance sheet date and transfer of control and influence is effective prior to the closing of the books. Discontinued operations have no impact on the presentation of balance sheets and income statements prior to the date of the disposition or abandonment.

Under US GAAP, the disposal of a business segment or component of a business segment requires presentation as discontinued operations from the date certain criteria under SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or disposal of long-lived assets* are met, involving a formal commitment to sale at authorized management level and active actions undertaken to effect the disposal plan (without necessarily requiring a binding selling agreement prior to the closing date).

Revenues and expenses of discontinued operations are presented under a separate caption of the income statements retrospectively all over the periods presented and assets and liabilities are segregated from other assets and liabilities on the face of the latest balance sheet presented.

Contracting Sector

On 20 July 2001, the Group s signed a binding agreement to sell its Contracting Sector to CDC Equity Capital. In the year ended 31 March 2002, the net gain under US GAAP on the disposal of the Contracting Sector was [] 145 million ([] 106 million under French GAAP) and included the net income from 1 April 2001 to 30 July 2001.

Transmission and Distribution Sector

Before year ended 31 March 2003, the Group s management announced as part of its strategy and action plan to sell the Transmission and Distribution Sector. On 25 September 2003, the Group signed a binding agreement to sell its Transmission and Distribution Sector to Areva for proceeds (subject to closing price adjustments) of []950 million. The Power Conversion Business is not included in the agreement.

120

Industrial Turbine business

Before 31 March 2003, the Group s management announced its intention to sell the Industrial Turbine businesses. On 26 April 2003, the Group signed a binding agreement to sell its small gas turbines business and medium-sized gas turbines business and industrial steam turbines business in two transactions to Siemens AG. The Industrial Turbine business was integrated in the Power sector.

Expected net proceeds are of []950 million, of which []125 million is held in escrow by Siemens.

The effect of the discontinued operations is to reduce, on a US GAAP basis, assets and liabilities at 31 March 2003 by the amounts presented below:

Assets and liabilities held for sale

	At 31 March 2003 (in ☐ million)
Cash and cash equivalents	114
Short term investments	10
Trade and other accounts receivables	1,307
Inventories and contract in progress	1,011
Property plant and equipment, net	505
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	983
Other assets	172
Assets held for sale	4,102
Trade and other accounts payable	1,402
Customers deposits and advances	390
Other liabilities	580
Financial debt	64
Minority interests	
Liabilities held for sale	2,436

121

The effect of the disposal of the Contracting Sector and the decision to dispose of the Transmission and Distribution Sector and the Industrial Turbine business is to reduce, on a US GAAP basis, revenues and expenses for the year end 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 by the amounts presented below:

Revenues and expenses

	2001	Year ended 31 March 2002	2003
Sales	6,355	(in □ million) 5,025	4,322
Of which products	3,246	3,581	3,464
Of which services	3,109	1,444	858
Cost of sales	(5,045)	(3,904)	(3,267)
Of which products	(2,406)	(2,756)	(2,648)
Of which services	(2,639)	(1,148)	(619)
Selling expenses	(435)	(388)	(366)
Research and development expenses	(155)	(162)	(153)
Administrative expenses	(348)	(328)	(257)
Restructuring expenses	(69)	(85)	(91)
Goodwill and intangible assets amortization	(108)	(83)	(11)
Capital gain on disposal of investments	,	,	(2)
Other income (expenses)	(33)	3	(7)
Operating income	162	78	168
Financial income (expense), net	24	(1)	(15)
Income tax	(105)	(38)	(15)
Share in net income (loss) of equity Investments	(1)	,	1
Minority interests	(2)	(2)	(2)
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	78	37	137

As the disposals of the T&D Sector and the Industrial Turbine businesses are still subject to final adjustment, the final net assets to be disposed of will differ, on a US GAAP basis, from those included in the disclosures presented.

(b) Operating cycle

The Group s major infrastructure contracting activities are generally performed under fixed-price contracts. The length of such contracts varies but is typically between one and five years. Under US GAAP, a non-classified balance sheet would be shown because the contract-related items in the balance sheet have realization and liquidation periods extending beyond one year.

(c) Contract accounting

Under French GAAP loss provisions are presented under the caption Provisions; under US GAAP, loss provisions are deducted from related accumulated cost on the balance sheet.

This presentation reduces contract in progress and provisions for risks and charges by \square 113 million, \square 306 million and \square 185 million at 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

122

d) Operating income (loss)

Certain items such as restructuring expenses, pension costs, net gains (losses) on disposal of fixed assets and investments, employees profit sharing and other non recurring provisions and costs classified as other income (expense) are classified as part of the operating income (loss) under US GAAP. Additionally, goodwill and intangible assets amortization are also classified as part of the operating expenses.

In addition to US GAAP adjustments affecting operating income (loss) described in Note 33 (A), the above mentioned reclassifications decrease operating income (loss) by \square 525 million, \square 740 million and \square 622 million in the years-ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

e) Redeemable preference shares of a subsidiary

On 30 March 2001, a wholly owned subsidiary of ALSTOM Holdings issued perpetual, cumulative, non voting, preference shares for a total amount of \square 205 million. French GAAP requires that redeemable preference shares be presented as long term capital between shareholder s equity and financial debt in the consolidated balance sheet. Accordingly, the dividend applicable to the preference shareholders was presented on a specific line after Pre-Tax Income (loss) in the consolidated statement of income. For US GAAP, redeemable preference shares of a consolidated subsidiary issued to third parties is reflected as minority interest on the consolidated balance sheet with the related dividends expensed through minority interest on the consolidated statement of income.

The effect of the above difference on the French GAAP consolidated balance sheet was to increase minority interest by \square 205 million at 31 March 2001 and 2002, respectively. The result of minority interests were reduced by \square 14 million in the year-ended 31 March 2002.

As disclosed in Note 22, the July 2002 capital increase triggered the contractual redemption of the preferred shares at 31 March 2006. Consequently, this instrument has been re-classified both in French and US GAAP as long term debt and its related interest has been classified as interest and shown as Net interest income (expense).

f) Consolidated statements of cash flows

Under French GAAP, the consolidated statement of cash flows reconciles the movements in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments net of movement in financial debt. Under US GAAP, it reconciles the movement in cash and cash equivalents. For the year ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 it results in the following adjustments to the French GAAP Consolidated statements of cash flows:

Year ended 31 March 2001 2002 2003

Edgar Filing: ALSTOM - Form 6-K

		(in ☐ million)	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		· - ·	
Cash expenditures for acquisition of investments, net of net cash acquired	383		
Cash proceeds from sale of investments, net of net cash sold		(60)	112
Adjustment on net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	383	(60)	112
Decrease (increase) in short term investments	(224)	143	20
Increase (decrease) in financial debt (1)	1,052	(266)	(12)
Adjustment on net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	828	(123)	8
Adjustment on net effect of exchange rates and other changes	(29)	152	365
Total adjustment	1,182	(31)	485
•			
Opening adjustment to reconcile net debt with cash and cash equivalents	4,553	5,735	5,704
· • •	<u> </u>		
Closing adjustment to reconcile net debt with cash and cash equivalents	5,735	5,704	6,189

⁽¹⁾ Including increase of future receivables, net of \square 408 million and \square 161 million in the year ended 31 March 2001 and 31 March 2002, respectively following the changes in presentation described in Note 2 (a).

C) Summarised US GAAP Consolidated financial statements

(a) Consolidated income statements

	Year ended 31 March			
	2001	2002	2003	2003(*)
		(□ million)		(in millions of US dollars)
Sales	18,158	18,428	17,029	18,562
Of which products	14,286	13,960	12,910	14.072
Of which services	3,872	4,468	4,119	4,490
Cost of sales	(16,930)	(16,431)	(16,189)	(17,646)
Of which products	(13,902)	(13,097)	(13,125)	(14,306)
Of which services	(3,028)	(3,334)	(3,064)	(3,340)
Selling expenses	(704)	(690)	(604)	(658)
Research and development expenses	(474)	(413)	(469)	(511)
Administrative expenses	(861)	(896)	(809)	(882)
Restructuring expenses	(282)	(221)	(192)	(209)
Goodwill and intangible assets amortization	(182)	(185)	(56)	(61)
Capital gain (loss) on disposal of investments	(1)	146	(33)	(36)
Other income (expenses)	48	(17)	(44)	(49)
, ,				
Operating income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	(1,228)	(279)	(1,367)	(1,490)
Financial income (expense), net	(279)	(326)	(251)	(273)
Income tax	189	262	312	340
Share in net income (loss) of equity Investments	(3)	1	2	2
Minority interests	(32)	(28)	26	28
Net income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative				
effect of changes in accounting principles	(1,353)	(370)	(1,278)	(1,393)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities		37		
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations ⁽²⁾	78	37	137	149
Net income (loss)	(1,275)	(296)	(1,141)	(1,244)

Translated solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of US dollars 1.09 to \square 1.00, the Noon Buying Rate on 31 March 2003.

124

⁽¹⁾ (2) see Notes 33 (A) (b), (c), (f), (g), (h), (k), (l), (j), (m), (n) and (o) and Notes 33 (B) (a) and (d). see Note 33 (B) (a).

(b) Consolidated balance sheets

		At 31 March			
	2001	2002(1)	2003	2003(*)	
		(in ∏ million)		(in millions of US dollars)	
Cash and cash equivalents	2.524	1.905	1,514	1,650	
Short term investments	498	333	133	1,000	
Trade and other accounts receivables	9,945	8,111	5,908	6,440	
Inventories and contract in progress ⁽²⁾	5,937	5,287	3,412	3,719	
Property plant and equipment, net ⁽³⁾	2,610	3,567	2,710	2,954	
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net ⁽⁴⁾	5,035	4,301	3,270	3,564	
Other assets ⁽⁵⁾	5,057	4,579	4,686	5,108	
Assets held for sale ⁽⁶⁾	,	,	4,102	4,471	
Total assets	31,606	28,083	25,735	28,051	
Trade and other accounts payable	10,120	10,137	8,103	8,832	
Customers deposits and advances	6,205	4,221	3,151	3,435	
Other liabilities ⁽⁷⁾	5,762	4,858	4,650	5,068	
Financial debt ⁽⁸⁾	8,826	8,727	8,588	9,361	
Minority interests ⁽⁹⁾	273	299	56	61	
Liabilities held for sale ⁽⁶⁾			2,436	2,655	
Shareholders equity:					
Common shares	1,292	1,292	1,690	1,842	
Paid-in surplus	85	85	309	337	
Retained earnings	(844)	(1,258)	(2,399)	(2,615)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(113)	(278)	(849)	(925)	
Total shareholders equity	420	(159)	(1,249)	(1,361)	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	31,606	28,083	25,735	28,051	
	,,,,,,,	-,			

^(*) (1) Translated solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of US dollar 1.09 to 1.00, the Noon Buying Rate on 31 March 2003.

(9) See Note 33(A)(m).

125

See Note 2(a).

See Note 33(B)(c).

See Notes 33(A)(f),(g),(j) and (m).

⁽²⁾ (3) (4) (5) See Note 33(D)(a).

See Notes 33(A)(g) and (m). See Note 33(B)(a).

⁽⁶⁾ (7) See Notes 33(A)(d),(m) and (I) and Note 33(B)(c).

⁽⁸⁾ See Note 33(D)(h).

(c) Earnings Per Share

Under US GAAP, earnings per share are required to be computed in accordance with SFAS 128, *Earnings Per Share* as set out below:

Year ended 31 March
2001 2002 2003
(in | million except number of shares and

	per share data)				
Numerator					
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	(1,353)	(370)	(1,278)		
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations	78	37	137		
Impact of change in accounting principles		37			
Net earnings (loss) US GAAP basis	(1,275)	(296)	(1,141)		
Denominator (share amounts)					
Weighted average number of shares outstanding basic and					
diluted	214,683,686	215,387,459	265,092,257		
Basic and diluted earnings per share					
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	(6.30)	(1.71)	(4.82)		
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations	0.36	0.17	0.52		
Impact of change in accounting principles		0.17			
Net earnings (loss) per share US GAAP basis	(5.94)	(1.37)	(4.30)		

The Group uses Treasury stock method for purposes of determining the number of shares to be issued in conjunction with the Group s stock incentive plan.

The diluted share base for the twelve months ended 31 March 2003 excludes incremental shares of 57,100 related to employee stock options. These shares are excluded due to their antidilutive effect as a result of the Group s loss from continuing operations during the year ended 31 March 2003.

D) Additional US GAAP disclosures

(a) SFAS 142 disclosures

SFAS 142 requires disclosure of what reported net income and earnings per share before extraordinary items and net income would have been in all periods presented excluding amortization expense (including any related tax effect) recognized in those periods related to goodwill that is no longer amortized.

	2001	Year ended 31 March 2002 (in [] million except	2003
		per share data)	
Net income (loss) according to U.S. GAAP	(1,275)	(296)	(1,141)
Goodwill amortization	235	204	
Adjusted net income (loss)	(1,040)	(92)	(1,141)
Basic Earnings per share	(5.94)	(1.37)	(4.30)
Goodwill amortization	1.09	0.95	
Adjusted earnings per share	(4.85)	(0.42)	(4.30)

The changes during fiscal year 2003 in the carrying value of goodwill, net by Sector are presented below:

	Power	T&D	Transport (in ∏ mi	Marine	Other	Total
At 1 April 2002	1,971	481	604	2	73	3,131
Goodwill acquired / disposed during the year	(2)	4			(10)	(8)
Translation adjustment and other changes	51	(15)	(11)		(63)	(38)
At 31 March 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	2,020	470	593	2		3,085

⁽¹⁾ including [] 819 million relating to discontinued operations as presented in Note 33 (B) (a).

(2) see Notes 33 (A) (a) (b) (c) (f) and (k)

Other identifiable intangible assets, net under US GAAP are as follows:

	At 31 March 2003 (in ☐ million)
Technology	823
Installed customer base	497
Licensing agreements	34
Gross value	1,354
Accumulated depreciation	(186)
Other intangible assets, net(*)	1,168

^(*) including 🛘 164 million relating to discontinued operations as presented in Note 33 (B) (a).

The amortization expense for the year ended 31 March 2003 is [] 67 million including [] 11 million relating to discontinued operations and is expected to remain stable for the next five years.

(b) Stock-based compensation

Pursuant to the disclosure provisions of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* the Group has provided pro forma information, had the Group measured the fair market value of the options at the date of grant.

Had the Group used the fair value method in accordance with SFAS 123 to measure compensation, the Group s net income (loss) according to US GAAP, basic, and diluted earnings per share for the years ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 would have been [] (1,288) million [] (331) million, [] (1,173) million and [] (6.00), [] (1.54), [] (4.42) per share (both basic and diluted), respectively. Compensation cost calculated using the fair value method is recognized over the vesting period of each plan.

Years ended 31 March
2001 2002 2003
(in ☐ million except number of shares and

	per share data)			
Net income (loss)				
US GAAP	(1,275)	(296)	(1,141)	
Pro forma	(1,288)	(331)	(1,173)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding				
Basic and diluted	214,683,686	215,387,459	265,092,257	
Pro forma earnings (loss) per share				
Basic and diluted	(6.00)	(1,54)	(4.42)	

The fair value of the stock options granted on 22 April 1999, 14 February 2000, 24 July 2001 and 8 January 2002 have been estimated on their respective date of grant using the Monte Carlo option-pricing model or the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following characteristics have been used:

	2000		
	Stock options plans	2002 Stock options plans	2003 Stock options plans
Interest rate	6%	4%	3%
Expected life	7 years	5 years	6 years
Expected volatility	26.5%	52%	81%
Expected dividends	2%	2%	

The weighted average fair value of options granted during the fiscal year ended 31 March 2003 is ☐ 3.8 per award.

(c) Income taxes

The Group recognizes deferred tax assets on tax losses carried forward and on temporary differences when it is reasonably certain that the asset will be recovered in future years. Under US GAAP, such deferred tax assets are recognized when it is more likely than not that the related tax assets will be recovered. The Group does not record certain deferred tax liabilities which are judged to be essentially permanent in nature, until it becomes likely that the liability will be incurred.

Realization is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income in order to recover the deferred tax balances. Management believes it is more likely than not that all of the net deferred tax assets will be realized in subsequent years. The amount of the net deferred tax assets considered realizable could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income in the related taxing jurisdiction are reduced.

Under French GAAP, deferred tax assets are disclosed based on the net estimated recoverable amount. Pursuant to SFAS 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes*, US GAAP requires the deferred tax assets to be disclosed at the gross amount and reduced by the appropriate valuation allowance. The table below sets forth the gross deferred tax assets and related valuation allowance:

	2001(1)	At 31 March 2002 ⁽¹⁾ (in [] million)	2003(1)
Deferred tax assets	1,594	1,922	2,527
Valuation allowances	(421)	(270)	(280)
Net deferred tax assets ⁽²⁾	1,173	1,652	2,247
			_

(1) See Note 2(a).

(2) including at 31 March 2003, [] 150 million relating to discontinued operations as presented in Note 33 (B) (a).

The valuation allowance in each of the three years ended 31 March 2001, 2002 and 2003 relates primarily to tax losses carried forward for which the Group has determined that realization is not more likely than not.

During the year ended 31 March 2001, net deferred tax assets increased by \square 546 million mainly due to the 100% consolidation of Power and the recognition of deferred tax assets on temporary differences arising from provisions not deductible.

During the year ended 31 March 2002, net deferred tax assets increased by \square 479 million due to both the increase in recognized taxable losses and the reduction of valuation allowances arising from the adoption of a tax plan to utilize losses against which provisions had previously been made.

128

During the year ended 31 March 2003, net deferred tax assets increased by \square 595 million mainly due to the recognition of deferred tax income associated with exceptional provisions recorded during the period and the recognition of deferred tax assets related to the variation of pension minimum liability adjustment (MLA) recorded in fiscal year 2003.

(d) Restructuring

The table below presents the movements in the restructuring provision as determined under US GAAP for the year ended 31 March 2001. All amounts are expressed in \square million, unless otherwise stated:

	At 31 March 2000	Current year expense, net	Charged to cost and expensed (In million)	Recognition (Removal) upon business combination	At 31 March 2001
Employee termination benefits	126	65	(251)	178	118
Other costs		103	(103)		
Power	126	168	(354)	178(*)	118
Employee termination benefits	65	62	(89)		38
Other costs	15		(6)		9
T&D ^(***)	80	62	(95)		47
Employee termination benefits	9	38	(44)		3
Other costs	3	45	(47)		1
Transport	12	83	(91)		4
Employee termination benefits	43	37	(64)		16
Other costs	1				1
Others	44	37	(64)		17
US GAAP provisions	262	350	(604)	178	186(**)

^(*) Mainly resulting from the consolidation of second 50 % of Power, corresponding approximately to 3,500 employees. (**) Including ☐ 46 million of termination benefits and other costs related to exit plans.

Including Power Conversion integrated in T&D since 1st April 2002.

129

During the year ended 31 March 2001 restructuring provisions of approximately \square 350 million (net of changes in estimates on prior period plans of \square 20 million), related to approximately 6,000 employees were recorded. Such restructuring costs related primarily to operations in France, Germany, the United Kingdom and included the following major actions by sector:

	Year ended 31 March 2001 (In ☐ million)
Power	168
Rationalization of the production capacity following the creation of the ABB ALSTOM Power joint venture. The rationalization consisted primarily of the elimination of over capacity and duplication of activities among businesses contributed to the JV. Costs that did not qualify under EITF 94-3 to be accrued for in 2000 were expensed when incurred during the year ended March 31, 2001, or accrued for if they met the EITF 94-3 criteria at that time.	
T&D	62
Various downsizing plans in France, Germany and the UK to ensure continuing competitiveness.	
Transport	83
Downsizing resulting from the current market conditions that led to the reduction of production capacity. Restructuring expenses that were incurred during fiscal year 2001 related to 31 sites.	
Others	37
Restructuring expense	350

At 31 March 2001 restructuring provisions for redundancy costs were \square 186 million and corresponded to approximately 2,900 employees. Group plans for restructuring may include voluntary termination benefits as part of the overall restructuring plan. In that situation, the Group has followed the provisions of EITF 94-3 for involuntary termination benefits. Incremental voluntary termination benefits are recognized in accordance with SFAS 88 when the employees accept the offer. As of 31 March 2001, voluntary termination benefits accrued for were approximately \square 10 million.

The 186 million restructuring provisions determined in accordance with US GAAP at 31 March 2001 can be analyzed, as follows:

	Number
	of Employees
Power (on a 100 % basis)	2,000
T&D	750
Transport Other	30
Other	120
	2,900

Under US GAAP, the annual cash payments for restructuring provisions recorded at 31 March 2001 are expected to be approximately the following percentages, 70%, 30% for the years ending 31 March 2002 and 2003, respectively.

130

The table below presents the movements in the restructuring provision as determined under US GAAP for the year ended 31 March 2002. All amounts are expressed in million euros, unless otherwise stated:

	At 31 March 2001	Current year expense, net	Charged to cost and expensed (in	Recognition (Removal) upon business combination million	Currency translation adjustments and others ^(*)	At 31 March 2002
Employee termination						
benefits	118	40	(110)		15	63
Other costs		75	(76)		1	
Power	118	115	(186)		16	63
Employee termination			, ,			
benefits	38	107	(76)	3	2	74
Other costs	9		(4)			5
T&D ^(***)	47	107	(80)			