

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC
Form 10-K
February 23, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 001-31812

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

Delaware 58-2301143
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

210 Main Street West
Baudette, Minnesota 56623
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(218) 634-3500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--|---|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share | The NASDAQ Global Market |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
YES NO

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014, was \$263.2 million (based upon the last reported sale price of \$34.44 per share on June 30, 2014, on The NASDAQ Global Market).

As of February 12, 2015, 11,388,068 shares of common stock and 10,864 shares of Class C Special stock of the registrant were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement for the registrant's 2015 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed within 120 days after the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K are incorporated by reference into Part III of this annual report on Form 10-K.

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 2 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 14 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 33 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 33 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 33 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 33 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 34 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> | 34 |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 35 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 50 |
| Item 8. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 51 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 87 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 87 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 88 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 89 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 89 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 89 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 89 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 90 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 91 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 92 |

Available Information

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiary, ANIP Acquisition Company (together, “ANI,” the “Company,” “we,” “us,” or “our”) files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). We make available free of charge on our website (www.anipharmaceuticals.com) our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and any amendments to those filings as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Also posted on our website in the “Investors – Corporate Governance” section are our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics and the charters for the Audit and Finance, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Information on, or accessible through, our website is not a part of, and is not incorporated into, this report or any other SEC filing. Copies of our SEC filings or corporate governance materials are available without charge upon written request to Investor Relations, c/o ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 210 Main Street West, Baudette, Minnesota, 56623.

Any materials we file with the SEC are also publicly available through the SEC’s website (www.sec.gov) or may be read and copied at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

In this annual report, references to “ANI,” the “Company,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer, unless the context requires otherwise, to ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware c-corporation, and its consolidated subsidiary, ANIP Acquisition Company (“ANIP”). References to “named executive directors” refer to our current named executive officers, except where the context requires otherwise. References to the “Merger” refer to the merger of BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“BioSante”) and ANIP, completed on June 19, 2013, wherein ANI Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of BioSante, merged with and into ANIP with ANIP continuing as the surviving company and becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of BioSante. On July 17, 2013, BioSante changed its name to ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. References to the “reverse stock split” refer to the one-for-six reverse stock split effected on July 17, 2013.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K and certain information incorporated herein by reference contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Such statements include, but are not limited to, statements about future operations, products, financial position, operating results prospects, pipelines or potential markets therefor, and other statements that are not historical in nature, particularly those that utilize terminology such as “anticipates,” “will,” “expects,” “plans,” “potential,” “future,” “believes,” “intends,” “continue,” other words of similar meaning, derivations of such words and the use of future dates.

Uncertainties and risks may cause our actual results to be materially different than those expressed in or implied by such forward-looking statements. Uncertainties and risks include, but are not limited to, the risk that we may face with respect to importing raw materials, increased competition, delays or failure in obtaining product approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), general business and economic conditions, market trends, product development, regulatory, and other approvals and marketing.

These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with our other disclosures, including but not limited to the "Risk Factors" section in Part I, Item 1A. of this annual report on Form 10-K and in other cautionary statements and risks included in other reports we file with the SEC. New risks emerge from time to time. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks. The forward-looking statements contained in this document are made only as of the date of this document. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

NOTE REGARDING TRADEMARKS

Cortenema[®], Lithobid[®], Reglan[®], and Vancocin[®] are registered trademarks subject to trademark protection and are owned by ANI.

PART I

Item 1. Business

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiary, ANIP Acquisition Company (together, “ANI,” the “Company,” “we,” “us,” or “our”) is an integrated specialty pharmaceutical company developing, manufacturing, and marketing branded and generic prescription pharmaceuticals. Our targeted areas of product development currently include narcotics, oncolytics (anti-cancers), hormones and steroids, and complex formulations involving extended release and combination products. We have two pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities located in Baudette, Minnesota, which are capable of producing oral solid dose products, as well as liquids and topicals, narcotics, and potent products that must be manufactured in a fully-contained environment. Our strategy is to use our assets to develop, acquire, manufacture, and market branded and generic specialty prescription pharmaceuticals.

On June 19, 2013, pursuant to a merger agreement dated as of April 12, 2013, ANIP Acquisition Company d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("ANIP") became a wholly-owned subsidiary of BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“BioSante”) in an all-stock, tax-free reorganization (the "Merger"). The Merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition, pursuant to which ANIP was considered the acquiring entity for accounting purposes. We are operating under the leadership of the ANIP management team and our board of directors is comprised of two former directors from BioSante and five former ANIP directors. As such, ANIP's historical results of operations replace BioSante's historical results of operations for all periods prior to the Merger. The results of operations of both companies are included in our consolidated financial statements for all periods after completion of the Merger.

BioSante was a publicly-held pharmaceutical company focused on developing high value, medically-needed products. ANIP entered into the Merger to secure additional capital and gain access to capital market opportunities as a public company.

In addition, in July 2013, our stockholders approved and we subsequently effected (i) a one-for-six reverse stock split of our common stock and class C special stock, with a proportional reduction in the number of authorized shares of our common stock, class C special stock and blank check preferred stock, and (ii) a change of name from “BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc.” to “ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc.” Unless otherwise required by the context, references in this annual report on Form 10-K to the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation formed in April 2001, formerly known as BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Our principal executive offices are located at 210 Main Street West, Baudette, Minnesota, 56623, our telephone number is (218) 634-3500, and our website address is www.anipharmaceuticals.com.

Mission and Strategy

We are an integrated specialty pharmaceutical company, with our own research and development team, manufacturing facilities, and sales and regulatory compliance personnel. Our two facilities have a combined manufacturing, packaging and laboratory capacity totaling 173,000 square feet. The facilities are specialized with diverse capabilities, enabling us to manufacture liquid, powder, and oral solid-dose products, topicals, narcotics, and products required to be manufactured in a fully contained environment. We also perform contract manufacturing for other pharmaceutical companies.

In addition to laboratories that support the requirements of raw material, finished product, and stability testing, we have a 1,000 square foot pilot laboratory offering liquid, suspension and solid dose development capabilities. This pilot laboratory offers a full range of analytical capabilities including method development, validation and de-formulation, and is licensed by the Drug Enforcement Administration (“DEA”). Finally, a separate development suite located within our high-potency manufacturing facility offers additional capabilities for product development.

Our strategy is to use our assets to develop, acquire, manufacture, and market branded and generic specialty prescription pharmaceuticals. By executing this strategy, we believe we will be able to continue to grow the business, expand and diversify our product portfolio, and create long-term value for our investors.

Product Development Considerations

We consider a variety of criteria in determining which products to develop or acquire, all of which relate to the level of potential competition and expected profitability upon product launch. These criteria include:

Formulation Complexity. Our development and manufacturing capabilities enable us to manufacture pharmaceuticals that are difficult to produce, including highly potent, extended release, combination, and low dosage products. This ability to manufacture a variety of complex products is a competitive strength that we intend to leverage in selecting products to develop or manufacture.

Patent Status. We seek to develop products whose branded bioequivalents do not have long-term patent protection or existing patent challenges.

Market Size. When determining whether to develop or acquire an individual product, we review the current and expected market size for that product at launch, as well as forecasted price erosion upon conversion from branded to generic pricing. We endeavor to manufacture products with sufficient market size to enable us to enter the market with a strong likelihood of being able to price our product both competitively and at a profit.

Profit Potential. We research the availability and cost of active pharmaceutical ingredients in determining which products to develop or acquire. In determining the potential profit of a product, we forecast our anticipated market share, pricing, which includes expected price erosion caused by competition from other generic manufacturers, and the estimated cost to manufacture the products.

Manufacturing. We generally seek to develop and manufacture products at our own manufacturing plants in order to maximize the capacity and utilization of our facilities, to ensure quality control in our products, and to maximize profit potential.

Competition. When determining whether to develop or acquire an individual product, we research the existing and expected market share of generic competitors. We seek to develop products for which we can obtain a large market share, and may decline to develop a product if we anticipate that many generic competitors will be entering that product's market. Our highly specialized manufacturing facilities provide a means of entering niche markets, such as hormone therapies, in which fewer generic companies would be able to compete.

We believe our strategies are effective in leveraging our human and capital assets and will result in measurable growth of our business. Since 2011, we have successfully:

- Increased prescription product sales through market share gains on established products.
- Acquired the New Drug Application (“NDA”) for and began marketing Reglan.
- Developed two new contract manufacturing customer relationships.
- Established three external product development partnerships to bolster the internal pipeline.
- Filed six Abbreviated New Drug Applications (“ANDAs”) and developed and purchased a pipeline of eight additional ANDAs.
- Acquired from Teva ANDAs for 31 previously marketed generic drug products for \$12.5 million and a percentage of gross profits.
- Closed a public offering of common stock, netting \$46.7 million.
- Acquired the NDA for and began marketing Lithobid.

Acquired the NDA for and began marketing Vancocin.

Launched Methazolamide, the first of the Teva products.

Closed a public offering of \$143.8 million of convertible debt, with simultaneous bond hedge and warrant transactions.

We believe that our cash resources and forecasted cash flows from operations are sufficient to enable us to meet our operational needs for the foreseeable future.

Products and Markets

Products

As of December 31, 2014, our products include both branded and generic pharmaceuticals, specifically:

| Generic Products | Branded Products |
|---|-------------------------|
| Esterified Estrogen with Methyltestosterone | Cortenema |
| Fluvoxamine Maleate | Lithobid |
| Hydrocortisone Enema | Reglan |
| Methazolamide | |
| Metoclopramide | Vancocin |
| Opium Tincture | |

Esterified Estrogen with Methyltestosterone (“EEMT”) is used to treat moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms of menopause that are not improved by estrogen alone. For the year ended December 31, 2014, EEMT comprised 42% of our net sales, versus 33% of net sales in 2013. In the third quarter of 2013, a significant competitor stopped producing EEMT, which led to a material increase in our market share and enabled us to significantly increase the price we charge for the product. However, in the second quarter of 2014, the same competitor re-entered the market, which negatively impacted our EEMT unit sales and revenues during the period, which impact we expect will continue.

Fluvoxamine Maleate is used to treat patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. It is generally used when the obsessions and compulsions interfere with the patient’s ability to function socially and occupationally.

Hydrocortisone Enema and its branded equivalent, Cortenema are used for the treatment of ulcerative colitis, especially distal forms, including ulcerative proctitis, ulcerative proctosigmoiditis, and left-sided ulcerative colitis. The products have also proved useful in some cases involving the transverse and ascending colons.

Methazolamide is indicated in the treatment of ocular conditions where lowering intraocular pressure is likely to be of therapeutic benefit, such as chronic open-angle glaucoma, secondary glaucoma, and preoperatively in acute angle-closure glaucoma where lowering the intraocular pressure is desired before surgery.

Metoclopramide and its branded equivalent Reglan are prescribed for periods of four to twelve weeks in adults with symptomatic, documented gastroesophageal reflux who fail to respond to conventional therapy. The products relieve daytime heartburn and heartburn after meals and also help ulcers in the esophagus to heal. The products also relieve symptoms associated with acute and recurrent diabetic gastric stasis and help treat symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, heartburn, feeling full long after a meal, and loss of appetite.

Opium Tincture is used to treat diarrhea in adults by slowing the movement of the intestines and decreasing the number and frequency of bowel movements.

Lithobid is indicated in the treatment of manic episodes of bipolar disorder. Lithobid is also indicated as a maintenance treatment for individuals with a diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Maintenance therapy reduces the frequency and intensity of manic episodes.

Vancocin is indicated for the treatment of *C. difficile*-associated diarrhea, as well as enterocolitis caused by staphylococcus aureus (including methicillin-resistant strains). The capsules are not effective for other types of infections, as the drug is not systematically absorbed.

Markets

In determining which products to pursue for development, we target products that are complex to manufacture and therefore have higher barriers to entry. These factors provide opportunities for growth consistent with our competitive strengths at the same time that they decrease the number of potential competitors in the markets for these products. These markets currently include hormone and steroidal drugs, oncolytics, and narcotics and complex formulations, including extended release and combination products.

Hormone and Steroidal Drugs

The market for hormone and steroidal drugs includes hormone therapy to alleviate menopausal symptoms in women, contraceptives, testosterone replacement therapies for men, and therapies for treating hormone-sensitive cancers.

Hormone Therapy (“HT”) has been an accepted medical treatment for alleviating the symptoms of menopause since the 1930s, with formal FDA approval for that use granted in 1942. Initially, HT consisted of estrogen only, but has evolved to include combination therapies of estrogen, progesterone and androgens. We target niche products in the HT and steroidal products market for several reasons, including:

Hormone and steroid products are a core competency based on our manufacturing and product development teams' long history of manufacturing these types of products; and
The aging baby boom population, of which women represent a majority, is expected to support continued growth in the HT market.

Oncolytics

We are positioned to develop and manufacture niche oncolytic (anti-cancer) drugs due to the capabilities of our containment facility and our expertise in manufacturing segregation. In particular, we are targeting products subject to priority review by the FDA – those with no blocking patents and no generic competition. In addition to one such product for which we filed an ANDA with the FDA in 2014, we have identified additional priority review opportunities in oncolytics.

Narcotics

Our main manufacturing facility in Baudette, Minnesota is licensed by the DEA for the manufacture and distribution of Schedule II narcotics, i.e., drugs considered to have a high abuse risk but that also have safe and accepted medical uses. In addition to our existing pipeline of four ANDAs, we have identified additional product development opportunities in this market.

Contract Manufacturing

We manufacture pharmaceutical products for several branded and generic companies, who outsource production in order to:

- Free-up internal resources to focus on sales and marketing as well as research and development;
- Employ internal capacity to manufacture higher volume or more critical products; and
- Utilize our specialized equipment and expertise.

Given our highly specialized manufacturing capabilities, we are focused on attracting niche contract manufacturing opportunities that fill idle capacity and offer high margins.

Manufacturing, Suppliers and Raw Materials

We require a supply of quality raw materials, including active pharmaceutical ingredients (“API”), and components to manufacture and package our pharmaceutical products. In order to manufacture Opium Tincture, we must submit a request to the DEA each year for a quota to purchase the amount of opium needed to manufacture the product for the following year. Without an approved quota from DEA, we would not be able to purchase this ingredient from our supplier.

We source the raw materials for our products from both domestic and international suppliers that we select on the basis of their quality, reliability of supply, and long-term financial stability. Generally, we qualify only a single source of API for use in each product due to the cost and time required to validate and qualify a second source of supply. Any change in one of our API suppliers must usually be approved through a Prior Approval Supplement by the FDA. Certain of our API for our drug products, including those that are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs, such as EEMT, are sourced from international suppliers. From time to time, we have experienced temporary disruptions in the supply of certain of such imported API due to FDA inspections.

Government Regulation

The pharmaceutical industry is highly regulated by the federal government and we are subject to extensive and complex regulation, including physical inspection of our facilities, under multiple federal statutes, which are subject to revision from time to time. While we have experience with these regulations, there can be no assurance that we will be able to fully comply with all applicable regulations.

Branded and Generic Pharmaceutical Products

Prescription pharmaceutical products in the United States are generally marketed as either branded or generic drugs. Branded products are generally patent protected, which provides a period of market exclusivity during which time they are sold by the developer of the product with little or no competition for the compound, although typically there are other products in the same therapeutic area.

All prescription pharmaceutical products, whether branded or generic, must be approved by the FDA. All applications for FDA approval must contain information relating to product formulation, raw material suppliers, stability, manufacturing processes, packaging, labeling and quality control. Information to support the bioequivalence of generic drug products or the safety and effectiveness of new drug products for their intended use is also required to be

submitted. There are generally two types of applications used for obtaining FDA approval of new products:

New Drug Application (“NDA”)—An NDA is filed when approval is sought to market a newly developed branded product and, in certain instances, for a new dosage form, a new delivery system or a new indication for an approved drug. We market Cortenema, generic Hydrocortisone Enema, Lithobid, generic Fluvoxamine Maleate, Reglan, and Vancocin under approved NDAs.

*Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”)*An ANDA is filed when approval is sought to market a generic equivalent of a drug approved under an NDA. We market Metoclopramide and Methazolamide under approved ANDAs. We have submitted six ANDAs and had an additional eight ANDAs in our pipeline as of December 31, 2014.

The ANDA development process is generally less time-consuming and less complex than the NDA development process. It typically does not require new preclinical and clinical studies, because it relies on the studies establishing safety and efficacy conducted for the branded drug approved through the NDA process. The ANDA process, however, typically requires one or more bioequivalence studies to show that the ANDA drug is bioequivalent to the previously approved reference listed drug (“RLD”).

The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”) provides that generic drugs may enter the market after the approval of an ANDA, which requires (1) that bioequivalence to the branded product be demonstrated through clinical studies, and (2) either the expiration, invalidation or circumvention of any patents or the end of any other relevant market exclusivity periods related to the branded drug.

Accordingly, generic products generally provide a safe, effective, and cost-efficient alternative to users of branded products. Growth in the generic pharmaceutical industry has been driven by the increased market acceptance of generic drugs, as well as the number of branded drugs for which patent terms and/or other market exclusivities have expired.

Generic products are generally introduced to the marketplace after the expiration of patent protection for the branded product and after the end of a period of non-patent market exclusivity. In addition to patent exclusivity, the holder of the NDA may be entitled to a period of non-patent market exclusivity, during which the FDA cannot approve an application for a generic product. If the NDA also is a new chemical entity (“NCE”), the FDA may not approve an ANDA for a generic product for up to five years following approval of the NDA for the NCE. If it is not an NCE, but the holder of the NDA conducted clinical trials essential to approval of the NDA or a supplement thereto, the FDA may not approve an ANDA for the branded product before the expiration of three years. Certain other periods of exclusivity may be available if the branded drug is indicated for treatment of a rare disease or is studied for pediatric indications.

In order to obtain FDA approval of NDAs and ANDAs, our manufacturing procedures and operations must conform to FDA requirements and guidelines, generally referred to as “cGMP.” The requirements for FDA approval encompass all aspects of the production process, including validation and recordkeeping, the standards around which are continuously changing and evolving. As a result, we must consistently keep pace and comply with these changes.

Our facilities, procedures, operations and testing of products are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA, the DEA, and other authorities. In addition, the FDA conducts pre-approval and post-approval reviews and plant inspections to determine whether our systems and processes are in compliance with cGMP and other FDA regulations. Our suppliers are subject to similar regulations and periodic inspections.

Controlled Substances

The DEA regulates certain drug products containing controlled substances, pursuant to the U.S. Controlled Substances Act (“CSA”). Opium, which is a significant component of one of our current products, Opium Tincture, is classified as a controlled substance. The CSA and DEA regulations impose specific requirements on manufacturers and other entities that handle these substances including registration, recordkeeping, reporting, storage, security, and distribution.

Recordkeeping requirements include accounting for the amount of product received, manufactured, stored, and distributed. Companies handling controlled substances also are required to maintain adequate security and to report suspicious orders, thefts, and significant losses. The DEA periodically inspects facilities for compliance with the CSA and its regulations. Failure to comply with current and future regulations of the DEA could lead to a variety of sanctions, including revocation or denial of renewal of DEA registrations, injunctions, or civil or criminal penalties.

In addition, we must submit an annual request to the DEA for a quota to purchase the amount of opium we need to manufacture Opium Tincture for the year. Without an approved quota from DEA, we would not be able to purchase this ingredient from our supplier. As a result, we are dependent each year upon the DEA to approve a quota for opium large enough to support our continued manufacture of Opium Tincture.

Unapproved Products

Two of our products, EEMT and Opium Tincture, are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs. The FDA's policy with respect to the continued marketing of unapproved products appears in the FDA's September 2011 Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 440.100 titled "Marketed New Drugs without Approved NDAs or ANDAs." Under this policy, the FDA has stated that it will follow a risk-based approach with regard to enforcement against marketing of unapproved products. The FDA evaluates whether to initiate enforcement action on a case-by-case basis, but gives higher priority to enforcement action against products in certain categories, such as those with potential safety risks or that lack evidence of effectiveness. While we believe that, so long as we comply with applicable manufacturing standards, the FDA will not take action against us under the current enforcement policy, we can offer no assurances that the FDA will continue this policy or not take a contrary position with any individual product or group of products.

Medicaid/Medicare

Medicaid and Medicare, both United States federal health care programs, are major purchasers of pharmaceutical products, including those we produce.

Medicaid is administered by the states and jointly funded by the federal and state governments. Its focus is on low income populations. State drug coverage policies under Medicaid may vary significantly state by state. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA"), as amended by the Health Care and Education and Reconciliation Act of 2010, together known as the Affordable Care Act ("ACA"), required states to expand their Medicaid programs to individuals with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level. Although the United States Supreme Court in 2011 made the Medicaid expansion optional, many states are expanding their Medicaid programs. This expansion of Medicaid coverage may increase usage of pharmaceutical products.

On the other hand, the ACA also made changes to Medicaid law that could negatively impact us. In particular, pharmaceutical manufacturers must enter into rebate agreements with state Medicaid agencies, which require rebates based on the drugs dispensed to Medicaid beneficiaries. The ACA raised the rebate percentages for both generic and branded pharmaceuticals effective January 1, 2010. The required rebate is currently 13% of the average manufacturer price for sales of Medicaid-reimbursed products marketed under ANDAs. Sales of Medicaid-reimbursed products marketed under NDAs require manufacturers to rebate the greater of 23.1% of the average manufacturer price or the difference between the average manufacturer price and the "best price" (as defined in the Medicaid statute) during a specific period. We believe that federal and/or state governments may continue to enact measures aimed at reducing the cost of drugs to the Medicaid program.

Medicare is run entirely by the federal government and is largely focused on the elderly and disabled. The Medicare Modernization Act of 2003 ("MMA") created Medicare Part D to provide prescription drug coverage for Medicare beneficiaries. The MMA has increased usage of pharmaceuticals, which is a trend that we believe will continue to benefit the generic pharmaceutical industry. The ACA made some changes to Part D to make it easier for Medicare beneficiaries to obtain drugs, such as reducing coinsurance amounts. On the other hand, the ACA also required pharmaceutical companies to provide discounts to Medicare Part D beneficiaries for the cost of branded prescription drugs. Under the Medicare Coverage Gap Discount Program authorized by the ACA, any pharmaceutical product marketed under an NDA, regardless of whether the product is marketed as a "generic," is subject to the discount requirement. Our Hydrocortisone Enema and Fluvoxamine Maleate, while marketed as "generics," are actually marketed under approved NDAs and, therefore, are subject to the discount requirement. We benefit from Medicare changes that have reduced obstacles to drug usage. However, resulting sales increases may be offset by existing and future legislative efforts to curb the cost of drugs to the Medicare program.

Several of our products are covered by Medicaid and Medicare. Our reporting and payment obligations under the Medicaid rebate program and other governmental purchasing and rebate programs are complex and may involve subjective decisions. Any determination that we have failed to comply with those obligations could subject us to penalties and sanctions, and we could be subject to federal or state false claims litigation.

Research and Development

We develop new generic products through a combination of internal development and fee-for-service arrangements with other firms. Additionally, we license and co-develop products through collaborations with other companies as noted below. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, our research and development expenses were \$2.7 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

Dexcel

In June 2014, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Dexcel Pharma Technologies Ltd ("Dexcel") to commercialize and sell a generic drug product (the "June 2014 Dexcel Agreement"). The product is subject to FDA approval of an ANDA filing. In general, Dexcel will be responsible for the manufacturing and regulatory submission of the product, including obtaining approval of the ANDA, and we will provide payments based on the completion of certain milestones. Upon approval, Dexcel will manufacture the drug and we will be responsible for the marketing and distribution, under our label, of the product in the United States, providing a percentage of profits from sales of the drug to Dexcel.

Under the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement, Dexcel will own all the rights, title and interest in the product. During the term of the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, selling, or distributing any product in the United States that is identical or bioequivalent to the product covered under the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement. The June 2014 Dexcel Agreement can be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances. The June 2014 Dexcel Agreement has an initial term of five years from the launch of the product, which term can be renewed for two year terms if both parties agree, until either party terminates the agreement.

Sofgen Pharmaceuticals

August 2013 Sofgen Agreement

In August 2013, we entered into an agreement with Sofgen Pharmaceuticals ("Sofgen") to develop an oral soft gel prescription product indicated for cardiovascular health (the "August 2013 Sofgen Agreement"). The product will be subject to an ANDA filing once developed. In general, Sofgen will be responsible for the development, manufacturing and regulatory submission of the product, including preparation of the ANDA, and we will provide payments based on the completion of certain milestones. Upon approval, Sofgen will manufacture the drug and we will be responsible for the marketing and distribution, under our label, of the product in the United States, providing a percentage of profits

from sales of the drug to Sofgen.

Under the Sofgen Agreement, Sofgen will own all the rights, title and interest in the product. During the term of the Agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, manufacturing, selling or distributing any product in the United States that is identical or bioequivalent to the product covered under the Sofgen Agreement. The Sofgen Agreement may be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances.

April 2014 Sofgen Agreement

In April 2014, we entered into a second collaboration agreement with Sofgen to develop an oral soft gel prescription product (the "April 2014 Sofgen Agreement"). The product will also be subject to an ANDA filing once developed. In general, Sofgen will be responsible for the development, manufacturing and regulatory submission of the product, including preparation of the ANDA, and we will provide payments based on the completion of certain milestones. Upon approval, Sofgen will manufacture the drug and we will be responsible for the marketing and distribution, under our label, of the product in the United States, providing a percentage of profits from sales of the drug to Sofgen.

Under the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement, Sofgen will own all the rights, title and interest in the product. During the term of the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, selling or distributing any product in the United States that is identical or bioequivalent to the product covered under the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement. The April 2014 Sofgen Agreement can be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances. The April 2014 Sofgen Agreement has an initial term of ten years from the launch of the product, which term will automatically renew for two year terms until either party terminates the agreement.

RiconPharma LLC

In July 2011, we entered into a collaborative arrangement with RiconPharma LLC (“RiconPharma”). Under the parties' master product development and collaboration agreement (the “RiconPharma Agreement”), we and RiconPharma have agreed to collaborate in a cost, asset and profit sharing arrangement for the development, manufacturing, regulatory approval and marketing of pharmaceutical products in the United States.

In general, RiconPharma is responsible for developing the products and we are responsible for manufacturing, sales, marketing and distribution of the products. The parties are jointly responsible for directing any bioequivalence studies. We are responsible for obtaining and maintaining all necessary regulatory approvals, including the preparation of all ANDAs.

Under the RiconPharma Agreement and unless otherwise specified in an amendment, the parties will own equally all the rights, title and interest in the products. To the extent permitted by applicable law, we will be identified on the product packaging as the manufacturer and distributor of the product. During the term of the agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, manufacturing, selling or distributing any products that are identical or bioequivalent to products covered under the RiconPharma Agreement. The agreement may be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances.

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

We own the trademark names for each of our branded products, Cortenema, Lithobid, Reglan, and Vancocin. Generally, the branded pharmaceutical business relies upon patent protection to ensure market exclusivity for the life of the patent. We do not own or license any patents associated with these products. Further, patent protection and market exclusivity for these branded products have expired. Therefore, we consider the trademark names to be of material value and we act to protect these rights from infringement. However, our business is not dependent upon any single trademark. Trademark protection continues in some countries as long as used; in other countries, as long as registered. Registration is for fixed terms and may be renewed indefinitely. We believe that sales of our branded products have benefited and will continue to benefit from the value of the product name.

We have licensed the right to manufacture and market Fluvoxamine Maleate, an authorized generic version of Luvox[®] IR, from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, which in turn acquired the rights to Luvox[®] IR from Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. This license is in addition to a manufacturing and supply agreement with Jazz Pharmaceuticals, under which we manufacture and supply Jazz Pharmaceuticals' requirements for Luvox[®] IR. Under the license agreement, Jazz Pharmaceuticals transferred responsibility for the related NDA to us. The license agreement may be terminated by Jazz Pharmaceuticals if the Solvay license agreement is terminated, if we breach or default in the performance or

observance of any material provisions of the agreement or the related supply agreement and such breach or default is not cured within 60 days after written notice is received, in the case of voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy filings by/against us, if we do not make royalty payments when due, or in the event we receive an adverse finding letter from the FDA relating to the NDA and is either not able to cure or provide evidence of a reasonable plan to cure within 30 days of receipt of such adverse finding letter, among other events. We may terminate the agreement with the consent of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

Customers

Our customers purchase and distribute our products. Our products are sold by four major retail pharmacy chains: Walgreens, CVS, RiteAid and Wal-Mart, and are included in the source programs of four major national wholesalers: Cardinal, McKesson, AmerisourceBergen and Morris Dickson, which are also wholesale customers. In addition, our customers include national mail order houses, including Anda, ExpressScripts, and Omnicare, as well as group purchasing organizations.

In recent years, the wholesale distributor network for pharmaceutical products has been subject to increasing consolidation, which has increased the concentration of our wholesale customers. In addition, the number of retail market chains and, in particular, the number of independent drug stores and small chains, has decreased as retail consolidation has occurred, also increasing the concentration of our retail customers. As a result of this trend toward consolidation, a smaller number of companies each control a larger share of pharmaceutical distribution channels. For the year ended December 31, 2014, approximately 65% of our net revenues were attributable to three key wholesalers: McKesson Corporation (27%), Cardinal Health, Inc. (21%), and AmerisourceBergen Corporation (17%). For the year ended December 31, 2013, these three wholesales accounted for approximately 55% of our net revenues. In addition, as noted below, our customers also distribute our products. The loss of any of these customers, including in their role as distributors, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Consistent with industry practice, we maintain a return policy that allows customers to return product within a specified period prior to and subsequent to the expiration date. Generally, product may be returned for a period beginning six months prior to its expiration date to up to one year after its expiration date. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition—Critical Accounting Estimates" for a discussion of our accruals for chargebacks, rebates, returns, and other allowances.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

We sell and market our products in the United States. Our products are distributed through the following channels:

Wholesalers. We have contracts with four major wholesalers in the United States: Cardinal, McKesson, AmerisourceBergen, and Morris Dickson, as well as access to their respective retail source programs.

Retail Market Chains. We conduct business with four major retail chains in the United States: Walgreens, CVS, RiteAid, and Wal-Mart.

Distributors and Mail Order Pharmacies. We have contracts with several major distributors and mail order pharmacies in the United States, including Anda, ExpressScripts, and Omnicare.

Hospital Market. We have contracts with group purchasing organizations in the United States, such as Premiere, MedAssets, Minnesota Multi-State, and the Federal Supply Schedule ("FSS").

Competition

Our products face limited competition due to complexities in formulation, active pharmaceutical ingredient sourcing, materials handling and manufacturing, and regulatory hurdles. Nevertheless, we compete with numerous other pharmaceutical companies, including large, global pharmaceutical manufacturers capable of addressing these complexities and hurdles with respect to products that we currently produce and products that are in our pipeline. In addition, our products are subject to competition from other generic products and non-prescription alternative

therapies.

Our branded pharmaceutical products currently face competition from generic products and may continue to face competition from generic products in the future. In order to launch a generic product, a manufacturer must apply to the FDA for an ANDA showing that the generic product is therapeutically equivalent to the RLD. (See “Government Regulation.”)

The primary means of competition among generic drug manufacturers are pricing, contract terms, service levels, and reliability. To compete effectively, we seek to consistently produce high-quality, reliable, and effective products. We also establish active working relationships with each of our customers, continually gather important market information in order to respond successfully to requests for proposals, maintain sufficient inventories to assure high service levels, and work to reduce product costs by sourcing and qualifying alternative suppliers whenever possible and rebidding product components on a routine basis.

Our sales can be impacted by new studies that indicate that a competitor's product has greater efficacy than one of our products. If competitors introduce new products with therapeutic or cost advantages, our products can be subject to progressive price reductions and/or decreased volume of sales.

Principal competitors for pharmaceutical markets in which we do business are as follows:

Hormones and Steroids. Competition for hormone and steroidal drugs is limited because of the small number of plants in the United States capable of safely manufacturing these high-potency compounds. Current generic participants in hormone and steroidal drugs include Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Creekwood Pharmaceuticals, Endo Pharmaceuticals, Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Watson Pharmaceuticals, and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA.

Oncolytics. Competitors for oncolytic products include both top-tier generic pharmaceutical companies as well as niche players. Current market participants include Mylan, Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Sandoz, the generic pharmaceuticals division of Novartis AG, Watson Pharmaceuticals, and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA.

Narcotics. Although market share in narcotic products is concentrated among two principal companies, i.e., Purdue Pharma and Mallinckrodt, several other companies with material market share in specific product categories within narcotics include Lannett, Endo Pharmaceuticals, Roxane Laboratories, and Watson Pharmaceuticals.

Pharmaceutical Industry Trends

In recent years, the pharmaceutical industry has experienced significant consolidation, particularly in distribution channels and amongst generic and brand drug companies.

The wholesale distributor network for pharmaceutical products has been subject to increasing consolidation, which has increased the concentration of our wholesale customers. In addition, the number of retail market chains and, in particular, the number of independent drug stores and small chains, has decreased as retail consolidation has occurred, also increasing the concentration of our retail customers. As a result of this trend toward consolidation, a smaller number of companies each control a larger share of pharmaceutical distribution channels.

In addition, consolidation amongst pharmaceutical companies has created opportunities by reducing the number of competitors. However, as competitors grow larger through consolidation, so do their resources. Larger competitors

may be able to aggressively decrease prices in order to gain market share on certain products and may have resources that would allow them to more effectively market their products to potential customers.

Product Liability

Product liability litigation represents an inherent risk to all firms in the pharmaceutical industry. We utilize traditional third-party insurance policies with regard to our product liability claims. Such insurance coverage at any given time reflects current market conditions, including cost and availability, when the policy is written.

All manufacturers of the drug Reglan and its generic equivalent metoclopramide, including ANI, are facing allegations from plaintiffs in various states, including California, New Jersey and Pennsylvania, claiming bodily injuries as a result of ingestion of metoclopramide or its brand name, Reglan, prior to the FDA's February 2009 Black Box warning requirement. In August 2012, we were dismissed with prejudice from all New Jersey cases. We consider our exposure to this litigation to be limited due to several factors: (1) the only generic metoclopramide that we manufactured prior to the implementation of the FDA's warning requirement was an oral solution introduced after May 28, 2008; (2) our market share for the oral solution was a very small portion of the overall metoclopramide market; and (3) once we received a request for change of labeling from the FDA, we submitted our proposed changes within 30 days, and such changes were subsequently approved by the FDA.

At the present time, we are unable to assess the likely outcome of the cases in the remaining states. Our insurance company has assumed the defense of this matter. However, our current product liability insurance policy contains absolute exclusions for claims related to Reglan and metoclopramide. We cannot provide assurances that the outcome of these matters will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. Furthermore, like all pharmaceutical manufacturers, we may be exposed to other product liability claims in the future, which could further limit our coverage under future insurance policies or cause those policies to become more expensive, which could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Backlog

We had a backlog of \$1.2 million and \$2.1 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, relating to contract manufacturing purchase orders from customers.

Employees

As of December 31, 2014 our workforce included 92 full-time employees, including 49 salaried employees, and a flexible direct labor pool of 40 experienced pharmaceutical manufacturing and packaging staff. Of the 92 full-time employees, 64 are in selling, general and administrative, 23 in production and five in research and development.

Seasonality of Business

We do not believe our business is subject to seasonality. However, our business can be subject to and affected by the business practices of our business partners. To the extent that the availability of inventory or materials from or development practices of our partners is seasonal, our sales may be subject to fluctuations quarter to quarter or year over year.

Segment Information

We operate in one segment and all our operations are in the United States. Total revenues from external customers for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$56.0 million and \$30.1 million, respectively. Net income for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$28.7 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Total assets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$263.7 million and \$44.5 million, respectively.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following are significant factors known to us that could materially harm our business, financial condition or operating results or could cause our actual results to differ materially from our anticipated results or other expectations, including those expressed in any forward-looking statement made in this report. The risks described are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us, or that we currently deem to be immaterial, also may adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, and operating results could suffer significantly. As a result, the market price of our common stock could decline and investors could lose all or part of their investment.

Risks Related to our Industry

Two of our products, which together comprised 53% of our total revenue in 2014, are marketed without approved New Drug Applications (“NDAs”) or Abbreviated New Drug Applications (“ANDAs”) and we can offer no assurances that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) will not require us to either seek approval for these products or withdraw them from the market. In either case, our business, financial position, and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Two of our products, Esterified Estrogen with Methyltestosterone (“EEMT”) and Opium Tincture, are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, revenues for EEMT were 42% and 33% of total revenue, respectively and revenues from Opium Tincture were 11% and 16% of total revenue, respectively.

The FDA's policy with respect to the continued marketing of unapproved products appears in the FDA's September 2011 Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 440.100 titled "Marketed New Drugs without Approved NDAs or ANDAs." Under this policy, the FDA has stated that it will follow a risk-based approach with regard to enforcement against marketing of unapproved products. The FDA evaluates whether to initiate enforcement action on a case-by-case basis, but gives higher priority to enforcement action against products in certain categories, such as those with potential safety risks or that lack evidence of effectiveness. While we believe that, so long as we comply with applicable manufacturing standards, the FDA will not take action against us under the current enforcement policy, we can offer no assurances that the FDA will continue this policy or not take a contrary position with any individual product or group of products.

In addition, we manufacture a group of products on behalf of a contract manufacturing customer and receive royalties on the customer's sales of products, which are marketed by that customer without an FDA-approved NDA or ANDA. If the FDA took enforcement action against such customer, the customer may be required to seek FDA approval for

the group of products or withdraw them from the market, which could materially adversely affect our contract manufacturing and royalty revenues. Our contract manufacturing revenues from this group of unapproved products for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were 2.2% and 6.5% of total revenues, respectively. Our royalties on the net sales of these unapproved products for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were 0.5% and 1.1% of total revenues, respectively.

Imported active pharmaceutical ingredients (“API”) are subject to inspection by the FDA and the FDA can refuse to permit the importation of API for use in products that are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs. We are entirely dependent on imported API to make EEMT. If the FDA detained or refused to allow the importation of such API, our revenues from EEMT would be reduced or eliminated and our business, financial position, and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

We source some of the API for our products, including those that are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs, from international suppliers. From time to time, due to FDA inspections, we have experienced temporary disruptions in the supply of imported API, including for EEMT. Any prolonged disruption in the supply of imported API could materially affect our ability to manufacture and distribute our products, such as EEMT, reduce or eliminate our revenues from EEMT, and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results. In addition, as regulatory fees and compliance oversight of API manufacturers increase, this could result in certain companies discontinuing their supply of API to ANI, which would materially affect ANI’s ability to manufacture its products.

The FDA does not provide guidance on safety labeling for products that are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs. As a result, we are dependent on our internal post-approval drug safety surveillance program to identify necessary safety-related changes to the labels for EEMT and Opium Tincture.

Pharmaceutical product labels contain important safety information including Black Box warnings, contraindications, dosing and administration, adverse reactions, drug interactions, use in specific populations such as pregnant women, pediatric, and geriatric patients, and other warnings and precautions. Pharmaceutical manufacturers may change product labels when post-approval drug safety surveillance programs identify previously unknown side-effects, drug interactions, and other risks. Manufacturers may also change product labels after conducting post-approval clinical studies and may receive or seek guidance from the FDA regarding updating safety labeling information. However, the FDA does not provide guidance on labeling for products that are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs. As a result, we are dependent on our internal post-approval drug safety surveillance program to identify necessary safety-related changes to the labels for EEMT and Opium Tincture, which could increase our potential liability with respect to failure-to-warn claims for these products. Such claims, even if successfully defended, could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, and operating results.

We are entirely dependent on periodic approval by the Drug Enforcement Administration for the supply of the API needed to make Opium Tincture and an inability to obtain such approval would reduce or eliminate our revenues from Opium Tincture, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results. In addition, we are subject to strict regulation by the Drug Enforcement Administration and are subject to sanctions if we are unable to comply with related regulatory requirements.

The Drug Enforcement Administration (“DEA”) regulates products containing controlled substances, such as opium, pursuant to the U.S. Controlled Substances Act (“CSA”). The CSA and DEA regulations impose specific requirements on manufacturers and other entities that handle these substances including registration, recordkeeping, reporting, storage, security and distribution. Recordkeeping requirements include accounting for the amount of product received, manufactured, stored and distributed. Companies handling controlled substances also are required to maintain adequate security and to report suspicious orders, thefts and significant losses. The DEA periodically inspects facilities for compliance with the CSA and its regulations. Failure to comply with current and future regulations of the DEA could lead to a variety of sanctions, including revocation or denial of renewal of DEA registrations, injunctions, or civil or criminal penalties.

In addition, each year, we must submit a request to the DEA for a quota to purchase the amount of API needed to manufacture Opium Tincture. Without an approved quota from DEA, we would not be able to purchase this ingredient from our supplier. As a result, we are entirely dependent upon the DEA to approve, on an annual basis, a quota of API that is sufficiently large to support our plans for the continued manufacture of Opium Tincture at commercial levels.

Pharmaceutical product quality standards are steadily increasing and all products, including those already approved, may need to meet current standards. If our products are not able to meet these standards, we may be required to discontinue marketing and/or recall such products from the market.

Steadily increasing quality standards are applicable to pharmaceutical products still under development and those already approved and on the market. These standards result from product quality initiatives implemented by the FDA, such as criteria for residual solvents, and updated U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention (“USP”) Reference Standards. The USP is a scientific nonprofit organization that sets standards for the identity, strength, quality, and purity of medicines, food ingredients, and dietary supplements manufactured, distributed, and consumed worldwide. Pharmaceutical products approved prior to the implementation of new quality standards, including those produced by us, may not meet these standards, which could require us to discontinue marketing and/or recall such products from the market, either of which could adversely affect our business, financial position, and operating results.

The continuing trend toward consolidation of customer groups could result in declines in the sales volume and prices of our products, and increased fees charged by customers, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Consolidation among wholesale distributors, chain drug stores, and group purchasing organizations has resulted in a smaller number of companies, each controlling a larger share of pharmaceutical distribution channels. For example, our net revenues are concentrated among three customers representing 27%, 21% and 17% of net revenues, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, accounts receivable from these three customers was approximately 73% of our net accounts receivable. Drug wholesalers and retail pharmacy chains, which represent an essential part of the distribution chain for generic pharmaceutical products, have undergone, and are continuing to undergo, significant consolidation. This consolidation may result in declines in our sales volumes if a customer is consolidated into another company that purchases products from a competitor. In addition, the consolidation of drug wholesalers and retail pharmacy chains could result in these groups gaining additional purchasing leverage and consequently increasing the product pricing pressures facing our business and enabling those groups to charge us increased fees. Additionally, the emergence of large buying groups representing independent retail pharmacies and the prevalence and influence of managed care organizations and similar institutions potentially enable those groups to extract price discounts on our products. The result of these developments may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our reporting and payment obligations under the Medicaid rebate program and other governmental purchasing and rebate programs are complex and may involve subjective decisions. Any determination that we have failed to comply with those obligations could subject us to penalties and sanctions, which could adversely affect our business, financial position, and operating results.

The regulations regarding reporting and payment obligations with respect to Medicaid rebates and other governmental programs are complex. Because our processes for these calculations and the judgments involved in making these calculations involve subjective decisions and complex methodologies, these calculations are subject to the risk of errors. Our calculations and methodologies are subject to review and challenge by governmental agencies, and it is possible that such reviews could result in changes. Any determination by governmental agencies that we have failed to comply with our reporting and payment obligations could subject us to penalties and sanctions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

We may become subject to federal and state false claims litigation brought by private individuals and the government.

We are subject to state and federal laws that govern the submission of claims for reimbursement. The Federal False Claims Act (“FFCA”), also known as Qui Tam, imposes civil liability and criminal fines on individuals or entities that knowingly submit, or cause to be submitted, false or fraudulent claims for payment to the government. Violations of

the FFCA and other similar laws may result in criminal fines, imprisonment, and civil penalties for each false claim submitted and exclusion from federally funded health care programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. The FFCA also allows private individuals to bring a suit on behalf of the government against an individual or entity for violations of the FFCA. These suits, also known as Qui Tam actions, may be brought by, with only a few exceptions, any private citizen who has material information of a false claim that has not yet been previously disclosed. These suits have increased significantly in recent years because the FFCA allows an individual to share in any amounts paid to the federal government from a successful Qui Tam action. If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of such laws or other applicable governmental regulations, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from federal health care programs, and/or the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial position, and operating results. Actions brought against ANI for violations of these laws, even if successfully defended, could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results.

We face significant uncertainty with respect to the litigation brought against us and other manufacturers of metoclopramide and cannot provide assurances that the outcome of the matter will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results. In addition, we may be exposed to other product liability claims in the future.

All manufacturers of the drug Reglan and its generic equivalent metoclopramide, including ANI, are facing allegations from plaintiffs in various states, including California, New Jersey and Pennsylvania, claiming bodily injuries as a result of ingestion of metoclopramide or its brand name, Reglan, prior to the FDA's February 2009 Black Box warning requirement. In August 2012, we were dismissed with prejudice from all New Jersey cases. We consider our exposure to this litigation to be limited due to several factors: (1) the only generic metoclopramide that we manufactured prior to the implementation of the FDA's warning requirement was an oral solution introduced after May 28, 2008; (2) our market share for the oral solution was a very small portion of the overall metoclopramide market; and (3) once we received a request for change of labeling from the FDA, we submitted our proposed changes within 30 days, and such changes were subsequently approved by the FDA.

At the present time, we are unable to assess the likely outcome of the cases in the remaining states. Our insurance company has assumed the defense of this matter. However, our current product liability insurance policy contains absolute exclusions for claims related to Reglan and metoclopramide. We cannot provide assurances that the outcome of these matters will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. Furthermore, like all pharmaceutical manufacturers, we may be exposed to other product liability claims in the future, which could further limit our coverage under future insurance policies or cause those policies to become more expensive, which could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

A proposed FDA rule allowing generic companies to distribute revised labels that differ from the corresponding reference listed drug ("RLD") could have an adverse effect on our operations because of a potential increase in litigation exposure.

On November 13, 2013, the FDA issued a proposed rule (Docket No. FDA-2013-N-0500) titled "Supplemental Applications Proposing Labeling Changes for Approved Drugs and Biologics." Pursuant to the proposed rule, the FDA is proposing to change existing regulations to allow generic drug application holders, in advance of the FDA's review, to distribute revised labeling, to reflect safety-related changes based on newly acquired information. Currently, the labels of generic drugs must conform to those of the corresponding RLD and any failure-to-warn claims against generic companies are preempted under U.S. Federal law. If this rule is issued, we could be found liable under such failure-to-warn claims. The FDA has not yet issued a final rule. If this proposed regulatory change is adopted, it could increase our potential liability with respect to failure-to-warn claims, which, even if successfully defended, could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, and operating results.

The use of legal, regulatory, and legislative strategies by competitors, both branded and generic, including "authorized generics," citizen's petitions, and legislative proposals, may increase the costs to develop and market our generic products, could delay or prevent new product introductions, and could reduce significantly our profit potential. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our competitors, both branded and generic, often pursue legal, regulatory, and/or legislative strategies to prevent or delay competition from generic alternatives to branded products. These strategies include, but are not limited to:

- entering into agreements whereby other generic companies will begin to market an authorized generic, a generic equivalent of a branded product, at the same time generic competition initially enters the market;
- launching a generic version of their own branded product at the same time generic competition initially enters the market;
- filing citizen's petitions with the FDA or other regulatory bodies, including timing the filings so as to thwart generic competition by causing delays of generic product approvals;
- seeking to establish regulatory and legal obstacles that would make it more difficult to demonstrate bioequivalence or meet other approval requirements;

- initiating legislative and regulatory efforts to limit the substitution of generic versions of branded pharmaceuticals;
- filing suits for patent infringement that may delay regulatory approval of generic products;
- introducing "next-generation" products prior to the expiration of market exclusivity for the reference product, which often materially reduces the demand for the first generic product;
- obtaining extensions of market exclusivity by conducting clinical trials of branded drugs in pediatric populations or by other potential methods;
- persuading regulatory bodies to withdraw the approval of branded name drugs for which the patents are about to expire, thus allowing the branded company to obtain new patented products serving as substitutes for the products withdrawn; and
- seeking to obtain new patents on drugs for which patent protection is about to expire.

If we cannot compete with such strategies, our business, financial position, and operating results could be adversely impacted.

If third-party payers deny coverage, substitute another company's product for our product, or offer inadequate levels of reimbursement, we may not be able to market our products effectively or we may be required to offer our products at prices lower than anticipated.

Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. For example, third-party payers may deny coverage, choose to provide coverage for a competitor's bioequivalent product rather than our product, or offer limited reimbursement if they determine that a prescribed product has not received appropriate clearances from the FDA, is not used in accordance with cost-effective treatment methods as determined by the third-party payer, or is experimental, unnecessary or inappropriate. Prices also could be driven down by health maintenance organizations that control or significantly influence purchases of healthcare services and products. If third-party payers deny coverage or limit reimbursement, we may not be able to market our products effectively or we may be required to offer our products at prices lower than anticipated.

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations, and complying with these may cause us to incur significant additional costs.

The pharmaceutical industry is subject to regulation by various federal authorities, including the FDA, the DEA, and state governmental authorities. Federal and state statutes and regulations govern or influence the testing, manufacturing, packing, labeling, storing, record keeping, safety, approval, advertising, promotion, sale, and distribution of our products. Noncompliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements can have a broad range of consequences, including warning letters, fines, seizure of products, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production and distribution, refusal to approve NDAs or other applications or revocation of approvals previously granted, withdrawal of product from marketing, injunctions, withdrawal of licenses or registrations necessary to conduct business, disqualification from supply contracts with the government, civil penalties, debarment and criminal prosecution.

All U.S. facilities where prescription drugs are manufactured, tested, packaged, stored, or distributed must comply with FDA current good manufacturing practices (“cGMPs”). All of our products are manufactured, tested, packaged, stored, and distributed according to cGMP regulations. The FDA performs periodic audits to ensure that our facilities remain in compliance with all applicable regulations. If it finds violations of cGMP, the FDA could make its concerns public and could impose sanctions including, among others, fines, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production and/or distribution, suspension of the FDA's review of product applications, injunctions and civil or criminal prosecution. If imposed, enforcement actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. Under certain circumstances, the FDA also has the authority to revoke previously granted drug approvals. Although we have internal compliance programs in place that we believe are adequate, the FDA may conclude that these programs do not meet regulatory standards. If compliance is deemed deficient in any significant way, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The U.S. government has enacted the Federal Drug Supply Chain Security Act ("DSCSA") that requires development of an electronic pedigree to track and trace each prescription drug at the salable unit level through the distribution system, which will be effective incrementally over a 10-year period. Compliance with DSCSA and future U.S. federal or state electronic pedigree requirements may increase the Company's operational expenses and impose significant administrative burdens.

Our research, product development, and manufacturing activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, and we may incur significant costs in complying with numerous laws and regulations. We are subject to laws and regulations enforced by the FDA, the DEA, and other regulatory statutes including the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA"), the Environmental Protection Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, and other current and potential federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of our products, materials used to develop and manufacture such products, and resulting waste products. For example, some of our products, including EEMT, must be manufactured in a fully contained environment due to their potency and/or toxicity, and compliance with related OSHA requirements is costly.

We cannot completely eliminate the risk of contamination or injury, by accident or as the result of intentional acts, from these materials. In the event of an accident, we could be held liable for any damages that result, and any resulting liability could exceed our resources. We may also incur significant costs in complying with environmental laws and regulations in the future. We are also subject to laws generally applicable to businesses, including but not limited to, federal, state and local regulations relating to wage and hour matters, employee classification, mandatory healthcare benefits, unlawful workplace discrimination and whistle-blowing. Any actual or alleged failure to comply with any regulation applicable to our business or any whistle-blowing claim, even if without merit, could result in costly litigation, regulatory action or otherwise harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Uncertainties associated with the impact of published studies regarding the adverse health effects of certain forms of hormone therapy could affect adversely the market for our hormone products.

The market for hormone therapy products has been affected negatively by the Women's Health Initiative ("WHI") study and other studies that have found that the overall health risks from the use of certain hormone therapy products may exceed the benefits from the use of those products among postmenopausal women. In July 2002, the National Institutes of Health ("NIH") released data from its WHI study on the risks and benefits associated with long-term use of oral hormone therapy by women. The NIH announced that it was discontinuing the arm of the study investigating the use of oral estrogen/progestin combination hormone therapy products after an average follow-up period of 5.2 years because the product used in the study was shown to cause an increase in the risk of invasive breast cancer. The study also found an increased risk of stroke, heart attacks and blood clots and concluded that overall health risks exceeded benefits from use of combined estrogen plus progestin among postmenopausal women. Also, in July 2002, results of an observational study sponsored by the National Cancer Institute on the effects of estrogen therapy were announced. The main finding of the study was that postmenopausal women who used estrogen therapy for 10 or more years had a higher risk of developing ovarian cancer than women who never used hormone therapy. In October 2002, a significant

hormone therapy study being conducted in the United Kingdom also was halted. In March 2004, the NIH announced that the estrogen-alone study was discontinued after nearly seven years because the NIH concluded that estrogen alone does not affect (either increase or decrease) heart disease, the major question being evaluated in the study. The findings indicated a slightly increased risk of stroke as well as a decreased risk of hip fracture and breast cancer. Preliminary data from the memory portion of the WHI study suggested that estrogen alone may possibly be associated with a slight increase in the risk of dementia or mild cognitive impairment.

Researchers continue to analyze data from both arms of the WHI study and other studies. Some reports indicate that the safety of estrogen products may be affected by the age of the woman at initiation of therapy. The markets for female hormone therapies for menopausal symptoms declined as a result of these published studies. The release of any follow-up or other studies that show adverse effects from hormone therapy, including in particular, hormone therapies similar to the our products, also could adversely affect our business, financial position, and operating results.

Continuing studies of our products could produce negative results, which could require us to implement risk management programs, or discontinue product marketing. In addition, ongoing post-approval drug safety surveillance of our products could result in the submission of adverse event reports to the FDA.

Studies of the proper utilization, safety, and efficacy of pharmaceutical products are being conducted by the industry, government agencies, and others on a continuous basis. Such studies, which increasingly employ sophisticated methods and techniques, can call into question the utilization, safety, and efficacy of current and previously marketed products, including those that we produce. In addition, we are required by the FDA to submit reports of adverse events involving the use of our products. In some cases, studies and safety surveillance programs have resulted, and in the future may result, in the one or more of the following:

- product label changes including FDA-mandated Black Box warnings;
- risk management programs such as patient registries;
- reduced product sales due to concerns among patients and physicians; and
- discontinuance of product marketing.

These situations, should they occur with respect to any of our products, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Companies with greater resources than us could lobby Congress and other regulators for additional regulations that would benefit their businesses and negatively affect us.

We are at the early stages of growth and currently do not engage in lobbying activities. In the U.S., some companies have lobbied Congress for amendments to the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (the “Hatch-Waxman Act”) that would give them additional advantages over generic competitors. For example, although the term of a company's drug patent can be extended to reflect a portion of the time an NDA is under regulatory review, some companies have proposed extending the patent term by the full amount of time spent in clinical trials rather than by only one half of the time that is currently permitted.

If proposals like these were to become effective, our entry into the market and our ability to generate revenues associated with new products may be delayed, reduced, or eliminated, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Healthcare reform legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

In recent years, there have been numerous initiatives on the federal and state levels for comprehensive reforms affecting the payment for, the availability of, and reimbursement for healthcare services in the U.S., and it is likely that federal and state legislatures and health agencies will continue to focus on health care reform in the future. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”) and the Health Care and Education and Reconciliation Act of 2010, which amends the PPACA (collectively, “the ACA”), were signed into law in March 2010. While the ACA may increase the number of patients who have insurance coverage for our products and may otherwise increase drug coverage, it also includes provisions such as, among others, the assessment of a pharmaceutical manufacturer fee, the requirement that manufacturers provide discounts to Medicare beneficiaries through the Medicare Coverage Gap Discount program, and an increase in the amount of rebates that manufacturers pay for coverage of their drugs by Medicaid programs.

The cost-containment measures that government programs and healthcare insurers are instituting both as a result of general cost pressure in the industry and healthcare reforms contained in the ACA may prevent us from maintaining prices for our products that are sufficient for us to realize profits and may otherwise harm our business, financial condition, and operating results. In addition, to the extent that our products are marketed outside of the U.S., foreign government pricing controls and other regulations may prevent us from maintaining prices for such products that are sufficient for us to realize profits and may otherwise harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

We are unable to predict the future course of federal or state healthcare legislation. The ACA and further changes in the law or regulatory framework that reduce our revenues or increase our costs could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Risks Related to our Business

63% of our net revenues in the third and fourth quarters of 2014 resulted from sales of EEMT, Lithobid, and Vancocin. During the same period, these products accounted for only 13% of our cost of sales. If we experience increased competition for EEMT, or increased prescription erosion for Lithobid or Vancocin, our profitability could be reduced significantly and our business, financial position, and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

We sell EEMT without an approved NDA or ANDA and can provide no assurances that the FDA will not require us to seek approval for the product or withdraw it from the market. If the FDA required us to obtain an approved NDA or ANDA in order to sell EEMT, our business, financial condition, and operating results would be materially adversely affected. The costs of and time involved in obtaining an approved NDA or ANDA would be significant and we may determine not to pursue such approvals. Unless we were successful in increasing sales of other products to replace any revenue lost from the sale of our EEMT product, whether due to competition, FDA actions or otherwise, our business, financial condition, and operating results would be materially harmed.

Lithobid and Vancocin are no longer patent-protected and face intense competition from lower-priced generics. In addition, both products compete with different drugs that treat the same conditions. These factors have resulted in a consistent rate of decline in the number of prescriptions for Lithobid and Vancocin. The introduction of additional competing generic and branded products could result in an even faster rate of prescription erosion, which could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

We depend on a limited number of suppliers for API. Generally, only a single source of API is qualified for use in each product due to the costs and time required to validate a second source of supply. Changes in API suppliers must usually be approved through a Prior Approval Supplement by the FDA.

Our ability to manufacture and distribute products is dependent, in part, upon ingredients and components supplied by others, including entities based outside the U.S. We purchased approximately 42% and 37% of our inventory from two suppliers during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Any disruption in the supply of these ingredients or components or any problems in their quality could materially affect our ability to manufacture and distribute our products and could result in legal liabilities that could materially affect our ability to realize profits or otherwise harm our business, financial, and operating results. Virtually all of our contracts for the supply of

pharmaceutical products to customers contain "failure to supply" clauses. Therefore, our ability to source sufficient quantities of API for manufacturing is critical. We source the raw materials for our products, including API from both domestic and international suppliers. As the API typically comprises the majority of a product's manufactured cost, and qualifying an alternative is costly and time-consuming, API suppliers must be selected carefully based on quality, reliability of supply and long-term financial stability.

Our anticipated revenue growth and profitability, if achieved, is dependent upon our ability to develop, license, or acquire, and commercialize new products on a timely basis in relation to our competitors' product introductions, and to address all regulatory requirements applicable to the development and commercialization of new products. Our failure to do so successfully could impair our growth strategy and plans and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our future revenues and profitability are dependent upon our ability to successfully develop, license or acquire, and commercialize, pharmaceutical products in a timely manner. Product development is inherently risky and time-consuming. Likewise, product licensing involves inherent risks, including uncertainties due to matters that may affect the achievement of milestones, as well as the possibility of contractual disagreements with regard to the supply of product meeting specifications and terms such as license scope or termination rights. The development and commercialization process also requires substantial time, effort and financial resources. We may not be successful in commercializing products on a timely basis, if at all, which could adversely affect our business, financial position, and operating results.

The FDA must approve any new prescription product before it can be marketed in the U.S. The process of obtaining regulatory approval to manufacture and market branded and generic pharmaceutical products is rigorous, time consuming, costly and largely unpredictable. We may be unable to obtain requisite approvals on a timely basis for branded or generic products that we may develop, license or acquire. Moreover, if we obtain regulatory approval for a drug, we may be limited with respect to the indicated uses and delivery methods for which the drug may be marketed, which in turn could restrict the potential market for the drug. Also, for products pending approval, we may obtain raw materials or produce batches of inventory. In the event that regulatory approval is denied or delayed, we could be exposed to the risk of any such inventory becoming obsolete. The timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals could adversely affect our product introduction plans, business, financial position, and operating results.

The approval process for generic pharmaceutical products often results in the FDA granting simultaneous final approval to a number of generic pharmaceutical products at the time a patent claim for a corresponding branded product or other market exclusivity expires. This often forces a generic firm to face immediate competition when it introduces a generic product into the market. Additionally, further generic approvals often continue to be granted for a given product subsequent to the initial launch of the generic product. These circumstances generally result in significantly lower prices, as well as reduced margins, for generic products compared to branded products. New generic market entrants generally cause continued price and margin erosion over the generic product life cycle. As a result, we could be unable to grow or maintain market share with respect to our generic pharmaceutical products, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to market that product profitably and on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Furthermore, if we are unable to address all regulatory requirements applicable to the development and commercialization of new products in a timely manner, our product introduction plans, business, financial position, and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

The FDA regulates and monitors all promotion and advertising of prescription drugs after approval. All promotion must be consistent with the conditions of approval and submitted to the agency. Failure to adhere to FDA promotional requirements can result in enforcement letters, warning letters, changes to existing promotional material, and corrective notices to healthcare professionals. Promotion of a prescription drug for uses not approved by the FDA can have serious consequences and result in lawsuits by private parties, state governments and the federal government, significant civil and criminal penalties, and compliance agreements that require a company to change current practices and prevent unlawful activity in the future.

Future acquisitions and investments could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition and operating results.

Our growth will depend, in part, on our continued ability to develop, commercialize, and expand our products, including in response to changing regulatory and competitive pressures. In some circumstances, we may determine to

accelerate our growth through the acquisition of complementary businesses and technologies rather than through internal development. The identification of suitable acquisition candidates or products can be difficult, time-consuming and costly, and we may not be able to successfully complete or successfully execute strategies for identified acquisitions. The risks faced in connection with acquisitions include:

- diversion of management time and focus from operating our business to addressing acquisition and/or product integration challenges;
- coordination of research and development and sales and marketing functions;
- retention of key employees from the acquired company;
- integration of the acquired company's accounting information, management, human resources and other administrative systems;
- the need to implement or improve controls, procedures, and policies at a business that prior to the acquisition may have lacked effective controls, procedures and policies;

liability for activities of the acquired company and/or products before the acquisition, including patent infringement claims, violations of laws, commercial disputes, tax liabilities and other known and unknown liabilities; unanticipated write-offs or charges; and litigation or other claims in connection with the acquired company or product, including claims from product users, former stockholders or other third parties.

In any acquisition that we may undertake, our failure to address these risks or other problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions and investments could cause us to fail to realize the anticipated benefits of these acquisitions or investments, cause us to incur unanticipated liabilities, and harm our business generally. Future acquisitions could also result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of additional debt, contingent liabilities, amortization expenses, incremental operating expenses or the write-off of goodwill, any of which could harm our financial condition or operating results.

Our Medicaid rebate accruals have increased significantly due to our acquisitions of Lithobid and Vancocin and the estimates on which our accruals are based are subject to change. Any such change could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our Medicaid rebate accruals have increased significantly due to our acquisitions of Lithobid and Vancocin. We accrue for these rebates at the time of sale based on our estimates of the amount of our product that will be prescribed to Medicaid beneficiaries. The resulting accruals are significant, and as Medicaid utilization trends change, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to continue to estimate these rebates accurately. In addition, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”) included a significant expansion of state Medicaid programs. As more individuals become eligible for coverage under these programs, Medicaid utilization of our products could increase, resulting in a corresponding increase in our rebate payments. Increases in Medicaid rebate payments could decrease our revenues from product sales, including Lithobid and Vancocin, which in turn could adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Although our male testosterone gel is approved by the FDA, it does not have a bioequivalence rating that allows automatic substitution for the RLD. If Teva does not begin to market or sell the product, or is not successful in such efforts, the value of our associated intangible asset could be impaired.

We licensed our male testosterone gel product to Teva and pursuant to the license, we would receive royalties from Teva's sales of our male testosterone gel. The FDA did not grant the product a bioequivalency rating that allows automatic substitution for the RLD. As a result, we are uncertain as to when or if Teva will begin to market and sell the product and thus when or if we would begin to receive royalties from such sales. Our intangible asset related to the Teva license has a carrying value of \$9.4 million at December 31, 2014. If Teva does not begin to market or sell the product, or is not successful in such efforts, the fair value of the intangible asset may be less than its carrying value, which could result in an impairment charge that could have a material negative impact on our business, financial position, and operating results.

We face vigorous competition from other pharmaceutical manufacturers that threatens the commercial acceptance and pricing of our products. If we are unable to successfully compete, such competition could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

The generic pharmaceutical industry is highly competitive. We face intense competition from U.S. and foreign manufacturers, many of whom are significantly larger than us. Our competitors may be able to develop products and processes competitive with or superior to ours for many reasons, including but not limited to the possibility that they may have:

- greater financial resources;
- proprietary processes or delivery systems;
- larger research and development and marketing staffs;
- larger production capabilities;
- more products; or
- more experience in developing new drugs.

Any of our significant competitors, due to one or more of these and other factors, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our approved products may not achieve commercialization at levels of market acceptance that allow us to achieve profitability, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

We seek to develop, license or acquire products that we can commercialize at levels of market acceptance that would allow us to recoup our costs, grow market share, and achieve profitability. Even if we are able to obtain regulatory approvals for our pharmaceutical products, if we fail to predict accurately demand for such products, our business, financial position, and operating results could be adversely affected. Levels of market acceptance for our products could be impacted by several factors, including but not limited to:

- availability of alternative products from our competitors;
- our products' pricing relative to that of our competitors;
- our marketing effectiveness relative to that of our competitors;
- timing of our market entry;
- our ability to market our products effectively to the retail level; and
- acceptance of our products by government and private formularies.

Some of these factors are outside of our control and, if any arise, our profitability, business, financial position, and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

We expect to spend a significant amount of resources on research and development efforts that may not result in marketable products. Failure to successfully introduce products into the market could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

We conduct research and development primarily to enable us to manufacture and market approved products in accordance with applicable regulations. Research and development is expensive and time-consuming. As we seek to develop new products, our research expenses will increase, potentially significantly, and may not result in the successful introduction of new products. Further, after submitting an ANDA for a generic product, the FDA may change its ANDA requirements and/or request that we conduct additional studies and, as a result, we may incur costs in excess of what we anticipated. Finally, we cannot be certain that we will recover our investment in a product, even if that product is commercialized. If we spend significant resources on research and development efforts and are not able to introduce new products, our business, financial position, and operating results may be materially adversely affected.

We have entered into several collaborative arrangements that may not result in marketable products.

We have entered into several collaborative arrangements to develop generic products for us to market in the U.S. We can offer no assurances that these arrangements will result in additional approved products, or that we will be able to market the products at a profit. In addition, any expenses related to clinical trials, or additional studies required by the FDA, that we may incur in connection with these collaborative arrangements may negatively affect our business, financial position, and operating results. Specifically:

- clinical trials could be more costly than we anticipate;
- formulation development could take longer and be more costly than we expect; and
- we may be required to obtain specialized equipment in order to manufacture products on a commercial scale.

Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

We own two manufacturing facilities that produce the majority of our products. Production at either or both of these facilities could be interrupted, which could cause us to fail to deliver sufficient product to customers on a timely basis and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our manufacturing operations are based in two facilities. While these facilities are sufficient for our current needs, the facilities are highly specialized and any damage to or need for replacement of all or any significant function of our facilities could be very costly and time-consuming and could impair or prohibit production and shipping. A significant disruption at either of the facilities, even on a short-term basis, whether due to a labor strike, adverse quality or compliance observation, vandalism, natural disaster, storm or other environmental damage, or other events could impair our ability to produce and ship products on a timely basis and, among other consequences, could subject us to “failure to supply” claims from our customers, as discussed below. Although we believe we carry commercially reasonable business interruption and liability insurance, we might suffer losses because of business interruptions that exceed the coverage available under our insurance policies or for which we do not have coverage. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Virtually all our contracts for the supply of products to our customers contain "failure to supply" clauses. Under these clauses, if we are unable to supply the requested quantity of product within a certain period after receipt of a customer's purchase order, the customer is entitled to procure a substitute product elsewhere and we must reimburse the customer for the difference between our contract price and the price the customer was forced to pay to procure the substitute product. This difference can be substantial because of the much higher spot price at which the customer must cover its requirements, and can be far in excess of the revenue that we would otherwise have received on the sale of our own product. Therefore, our ability to produce and ship a sufficient quantity of product on a consistent basis is critical. Failure to deliver products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and

operating results.

We rely on third parties to assist with our clinical studies. If these third parties do not perform as required or expected, or if they are not in compliance with FDA rules and regulations, our clinical studies may be extended, delayed or terminated, or may need to be repeated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize the products being tested in such studies. Further, we may be required to audit or redo previously completed trials or recall already-approved commercial products.

We rely on third parties, such as medical institutions, clinical investigators and contract laboratories, to assist with our clinical studies. We are responsible for confirming that our studies are conducted in accordance with applicable regulations and that each of our clinical studies is conducted in accordance with our general investigational plan and protocol. The FDA requires us to comply with regulations and standards, commonly referred to as good clinical practices for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical studies, to assure that data and reported results are accurate and that the clinical study participants are adequately protected. Our reliance on these third parties does not relieve us of these responsibilities. If the third parties assisting us with our clinical studies do not perform their contractual duties or obligations, do not meet expected deadlines, fail to comply with the FDA's good clinical practice regulations, do not adhere to our protocols or otherwise fail to generate reliable clinical data, we may need to enter into new arrangements with alternative third parties and our clinical studies may be extended, delayed or terminated or may need to be repeated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize the products being tested in such studies. For our already-approved commercial products, we may be required to audit or redo previously completed trials or recall our products from the market.

We do not own or license any material patents associated with our products, and our ability to protect and control unpatented trade secrets, know-how, and other technological innovation is limited.

Generally, the branded pharmaceutical business relies upon patent protection to ensure market exclusivity for the life of the patent. We do not own or license any material patents associated with our products and therefore do not enjoy the same level of intellectual property protection with respect to such products as would a pharmaceutical manufacturer that markets a patented product. We have limited ability to protect and control trade secrets, know-how, and other technological innovation, all of which are unpatented. Others independently may develop similar or better proprietary information and techniques and disclose them publicly. In addition, others may gain access to our trade secrets, and we may not be able to protect our rights to our unpatented trade secrets. In addition, confidentiality agreements and other measures may not provide protection for our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. Failure to protect and control such trade secrets, know-how and innovation could harm the value of our trade secrets, know-how and other technological innovation.

Inability to protect our intellectual property in the U.S. and foreign countries could negatively affect sales of our branded products.

We own trademarks for each of our branded products, including Cortenema, Reglan, Lithobid, and Vancocin. While we will seek to protect those trademarks through timely renewal in applicable jurisdictions, we may not be able to renew our trademarks in a timely manner or to prevent third parties from using our trademarks.

We have very limited staffing and are dependent upon key employees, the loss of whom could adversely affect our operations. Competition for talent is intense, especially in northern Minnesota, where the population is small. If we cannot attract and retain qualified personnel, the growth and success of our business could be adversely affected.

Our success is dependent upon the efforts of a relatively small management team and staff. We have employment arrangements in place with our executive and other officers, but none of these executive and other officers are bound legally to remain employed with ANI for any specific term. We do not have key person life insurance policies covering our executive and other officers or any of our other employees. If key individuals were to leave ANI, our business could be affected adversely if suitable replacement personnel are not recruited quickly. The population in northern Minnesota, where our manufacturing resources are located, is small, and as a result, there is a limited number qualified personnel available in all functional areas, which could make it difficult to retain and attract the qualified personnel necessary for the development and growth of our business.

Our Vancocin product and its generic equivalent, vancomycin, are manufactured by a third party, which we cannot control.

We rely on a third party to manufacture our Vancocin product and its generic equivalent, vancomycin, on which we receive royalties. We expect our reliance on third party manufacturers to increase in the future as we receive approvals for new products to be manufactured through our collaborative arrangements, and as we seek additional growth opportunities outside of the capabilities of our current manufacturing facilities. If we are unable to secure third-party manufacturers for these products on commercially acceptable terms, we may not be able to market and distribute such products at a profit. Any delays or difficulties with third-party manufacturers could adversely affect the marketing and distribution of Vancocin and future products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results

We rely significantly on information technology and any failure, inadequacy, interruption or security lapse of that technology, including any cybersecurity incidents, could harm our ability to operate the business effectively.

We rely significantly on our information technology and manufacturing infrastructure to effectively manage and maintain inventory and financial reports, manufacture and ship products, and invoice customers in a timely manner. Any failure, accidents, inadequacy, or interruption of that infrastructure or security lapse of that technology, including cybersecurity incidents, could harm our ability to operate our business effectively. Our ability to manage and maintain inventory and financial reports, manufacture and ship products, and invoice customers timely depends significantly on our general ledger, our contracted electronic data interface system, and other information systems. Cybersecurity attacks in particular are evolving and include, but are not limited to, malicious software, attempts to gain unauthorized access to data and other electronic security breaches that could lead to disruptions in systems, misappropriation of confidential or otherwise protected information and corruption of data. Cybersecurity incidents resulting in the failure of our information systems to operate effectively or to integrate with other systems, or a breach in security or other unauthorized access of these systems, may affect our ability to manage and maintain inventory and financial reports, and result in delays in product fulfillment and reduced efficiency of operations. A breach in security, unauthorized access resulting in misappropriation, theft, or sabotage with respect to proprietary and confidential information, including research or clinical data could require significant capital investments to remediate any such failure, problem or breach, all of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Risks Related to Accounting, Tax, and SEC Rules and Regulations

Our ability to utilize our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in the future is subject to substantial limitations and we may not be able to use some identified net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, which could result in increased tax payments in future periods.

Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, if a corporation undergoes an ownership change (generally defined as a greater than 50% change (by value) in its equity ownership over a three-year period), the corporation's ability to use its pre-change net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards and other pre-change tax attributes to offset its post-change income may be limited. On June 19, 2013, BioSante experienced an ownership change. Accordingly, our ability to utilize BioSante's NOL and tax credit carryforwards attributable to periods prior to June 19, 2013 is subject to substantial limitations. In addition, as a result of our common stock offering that closed on March 10, 2014, we believe that ANIP Acquisition Company experienced an ownership change. Accordingly, our ability to utilize ANIP Acquisition Company's NOL and tax credit carryforwards attributable to periods prior to the offering is subject to substantial limitations. These limitations, in turn, could result in increased future tax payments, which could be material.

We use a variety of estimates, judgments, and assumptions in preparing our consolidated financial statements. Estimates, judgments, and assumptions are inherently subject to change, and any such changes could result in

corresponding changes to the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and income. Any such changes could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) requires us to make estimates, judgments, and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the period. There are inherent uncertainties involved in estimates, judgments and assumptions, and any changes in estimates, judgments and assumptions used could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

In the consolidated financial statements included in the periodic reports filed with the SEC, estimates, judgments, and assumptions are used for, but not limited to, revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, accruals for chargebacks, rebates, returns and other allowances, allowance for inventory obsolescence, stock-based compensation, valuation of financial instruments and intangible assets, allowances for contingencies and litigation, deferred tax valuation allowance, and the depreciable lives of fixed and intangible assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subject to change in the future, and any such changes could result in corresponding changes to the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and income. Any such changes could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Changes in estimates regarding the fair value of goodwill or intangible assets may result in an adverse impact to our business, financial position, and operating results.

We test goodwill for impairment annually, or more frequently if changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of goodwill might not be recoverable. Judgment is used in determining when these events and circumstances arise. We perform our review of goodwill based on our one reporting unit. If we determine that the carrying value of our assets may not be recoverable, we assess, using judgment and estimates, the fair value of our assets and to determine the amount of any impairment loss, if any. Changes in judgments and estimates may result in the recognition of an impairment loss, which could have a material negative impact on our business, financial position, and operating results. While our testing in fiscal 2014 did not result in an impairment charge related to goodwill, there can be no assurances that our goodwill won't be impaired in the future.

Our material definite-lived intangible assets consist of product rights for the 31 previously marketed generic products we acquired from Teva, product rights for our branded products Lithobid and Vancocin, and the Teva license related to our male testosterone gel. These assets are being amortized over their useful lives of ten to 11 years. For these definite-lived intangible assets, we perform an impairment analysis when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if, based on our impairment analysis, the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable and its carrying amount exceeds its fair value. Any significant change in market conditions, estimates or judgments used to determine expected future cash flows that indicate a reduction in carrying value may give rise to impairment in the period that the change becomes known. An impairment charge could have a material negative impact on our business, financial position, and operating results. While we did not recognize an impairment charge related to our intangible assets in 2014, there can be no assurances that our intangible assets won't be impaired in the future.

Our management is required to devote substantial time to comply with public company regulations. If we are unable to comply with these regulations, investors could lose confidence in us, which could have a material adverse affect on our stock price, business, financial position, and operating results.

As a public company, we are required to comply with significant legal, accounting and other requirements that ANIP Acquisition Company did not face as a private company and as such, has incurred significant regulatory compliance-related expenses. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act as well as rules implemented by the SEC and The NASDAQ Global Market, impose various requirements on public companies, including those related to corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel devote a substantial amount of time to these requirements. Some members of management do not have significant experience in addressing these requirements. Moreover, these rules and regulations have increased our legal and financial compliance costs relative to those of previous years and make some activities more time consuming and costly.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal controls for financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) provides a framework for companies to assess and improve their internal control systems. Our compliance with these requirements has required that we incur substantial accounting and related expenses and expend significant management efforts. Moreover, if we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, are unable to assert that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective, or identify deficiencies that are deemed to be material weaknesses, investors could lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, the market price of our common stock could decline and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by The NASDAQ Global Market, the SEC or other regulatory authorities. Any of these events could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

Our policies regarding returns, allowances and chargebacks, and marketing programs adopted by wholesalers may reduce revenues in future fiscal periods.

We, like other generic drug manufacturers, have agreements with customers allowing chargebacks, product returns, administrative fees, and other rebates. Under many of these arrangements, we may match lower prices offered to customers by competitors. If we choose to lower our prices, we generally give the customer a credit on the products that the customer is holding in inventory, which could reduce sales revenue and gross margin for the period the credit is provided. Like our competitors, we also give credits for chargebacks to wholesalers with whom we have contracts for their sales to hospitals, group purchasing organizations, pharmacies or other customers. A chargeback is the difference between the price at which we invoice the wholesaler and the price that the wholesaler's end-customer pays for a product. Although we establish reserves based on prior experience and our best estimates of the impact that these policies may have in subsequent periods, we cannot ensure that our reserves are adequate or that actual product returns, allowances, and chargebacks will not exceed our estimates.

Risks Related to our Debt

Making principal and interest payments on our Convertible Senior Notes due 2019 (the "Notes"), which were issued as of December 10, 2014, will require a significant amount of cash, and we may not have sufficient cash flows from our business to make those payments.

Our ability to make scheduled principal and interest payments or to refinance our indebtedness, including the Notes, depends on our future performance, which is subject to economic, financial, competitive, and other factors beyond our control. Our business may not continue to generate cash flows from operations sufficient to service our debt and make necessary capital expenditures. If we are unable to generate such cash flows, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may not be able to engage in any of these activities or engage in these activities on desirable terms, which could result in a default on our debt obligations, including the Notes, which would have a material adverse affect on our business, financial position, and operating results.

The conditional conversion feature of the Notes, if triggered, may adversely affect our financial results. In addition, if we were to undergo a fundamental change, we would need to repurchase the Notes, which could adversely affect our financial results.

In the event the conditional conversion feature of the Notes is triggered, holders of Notes will be entitled to convert the Notes at any time during specified periods at their option. If one or more holders elect to convert their Notes, or if

one or more holders elect to require us to repurchase their Notes in case of a fundamental change, as described below, unless we elect to satisfy our conversion obligation by delivering solely shares of our common stock (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional shares), we would be required to settle a portion or all of our conversion obligation through the payment of cash, which could adversely affect our liquidity.

In addition, holders of the Notes have the right to require us to repurchase their Notes upon the occurrence of a fundamental change, as at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. A “fundamental change” is deemed to occur if: (i) a person or group, other than us, directly or indirectly becomes the beneficial owner of common equity representing more than 50% of our voting power, (ii) consummation of a transaction that would result in the conversion or exchange of our common stock into other securities, cash, or assets, (iii) the sale of substantially all our assets, (iv) a change in the majority of our board of directors, (v) our stockholders approve a plan of liquidation, or (vi) our common stock ceases to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the Nasdaq Global Select Market, or the Nasdaq Global Market. If one or more holders requires us to repurchase their Notes, unless we elect to satisfy our conversion obligation by delivering solely shares of our common stock (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional shares), we would be required to make cash payments as a result of the Notes being converted, which could adversely affect our liquidity. However, we may not have enough available cash or be able to obtain financing at the time we are required to repurchase the Notes surrendered or being converted. In addition, our ability to repurchase the Notes or to pay cash upon conversions of the Notes may be limited by law, by regulatory authority, or by agreements governing any future indebtedness. Our failure to repurchase Notes at a time when the repurchase is required by the indenture or to pay any cash payable on future conversions of the Notes as required by the indenture would constitute a default under the indenture. If the repayment of the related indebtedness were accelerated after any applicable notice or grace periods, we may not have sufficient funds to repay the indebtedness and repurchase the Notes or make cash payments upon conversions thereof, which would have a negative impact on our business, financial position, and operating results

Provisions in the indenture for the Notes may deter or prevent a business combination.

If a fundamental change occurs prior to the maturity date of the Notes, holders of the Notes will have the right, at their option, to require us to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes. In addition, if a fundamental change occurs prior to the maturity date of Notes, we will in some cases be required to increase the conversion rate for a holder that elects to convert its Notes in connection with such fundamental change. Also, the indenture for the Notes prohibits us from engaging in certain mergers or acquisitions unless, among other things, the surviving entity assumes our obligations under the Notes. These and other provisions could prevent or deter a third party from acquiring us even where the acquisition could be beneficial to our stockholders.

The convertible note hedge and warrant transactions may affect the value of our common stock.

In connection with the pricing of the Notes, we entered into a convertible note hedge transaction with Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. (“Nomura”). The convertible note hedge transaction reduces the potential dilution to our common stock upon any conversion of Notes and/or offsets any cash payments we are required to make in excess of the principal amount of converted Notes, as the case may be. We also entered into a warrant transaction with Nomura. The warrant transaction could separately have a dilutive effect on our common stock to the extent that the market price of our common stock exceeds the applicable strike price of the warrants.

Nomura, or an affiliate thereof, established its initial hedge position on the convertible note hedge and warrant transactions by entering into various derivative transactions with respect to our common stock concurrently with or shortly after the pricing of the Notes. Nomura, or an affiliate thereof, may modify its hedge position by entering into or unwinding various derivatives with respect to our common stock and/or purchasing or selling our common stock or other securities of ours in secondary market transactions at any time prior to the maturity of the Notes (and is likely to do so during any observation period related to a conversion of Notes). This activity could either cause or help avoid an increase or a decrease in the market price of our common stock.

Accounting for the Notes could have a material effect on our reported financial results.

Accounting for the Notes will impact our balance sheet, income statement, and earnings per share. In accounting for the Notes, we will recognize non-cash interest expense, which will reduce our net income and earnings per share.

In addition, under certain circumstances, convertible debt instruments (such as the Notes) that may be settled entirely or partly in cash are accounted for utilizing a modified treasury stock method to determine diluted earnings per share, the effect of which is that the shares issuable upon conversion of the Notes are not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share except to the extent that the conversion value of the Notes exceeds their principal amount. Under the modified treasury stock method, for diluted earnings per share purposes, the transaction is treated as if the number of shares of common stock that would be necessary to settle such excess, if we elected to settle such excess in shares, are issued. Under the current standards, if we were to settle some or all of the Notes with shares of our common stock instead of with cash, we would be unable to use the treasury method. If we are unable to use the treasury stock method in accounting for the shares issuable upon conversion of the Notes, then our diluted earnings per share would be adversely affected.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

Our principal stockholders, directors, and executive officers own a significant percentage of our stock and will be able to exercise meaningful influence over our business.

Our current principal stockholders, directors and executive officers beneficially own approximately 32% of our outstanding capital stock entitled to vote as of December 31, 2014. As a result, these stockholders, if acting together, would be able to influence or control matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers, acquisitions or other extraordinary transactions. They may also have interests that differ from stockholders generally and may vote in a way with which other stockholders disagree and which may be adverse to their interests. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing, or deterring a change of control of ANI, could deprive stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale of ANI, and might ultimately affect the market price of our common stock.

Shares of our common stock are relatively illiquid which may affect the market price of our common stock.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, the average daily trading volume of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select market was approximately 210 thousand shares. Because of our relatively small public float, our common stock may be less liquid than the stock of companies with broader public ownership and trading of a relatively small volume of our common stock may have a greater impact on the market price for our shares than would be the case if our public float were larger.

Raising additional funds by issuing additional equity securities may cause dilution to our current stockholders. Raising additional funds by issuing new debt financing may restrict our operations.

We may seek to raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or equity-linked securities. If we were to raise funds through the issuance of equity or equity-linked securities, the percentage ownership of our stockholders could be diluted, potentially significantly, and these newly issued securities may have rights, preferences, or privileges senior to those of our existing stockholders. In addition, the issuance of any equity securities could be at a discount to the then-prevailing market price of our common stock.

If we require new debt financing, there is no assurance that such a transaction will be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. In addition, we could be subject to onerous repayment terms or covenants that restrict our ability to operate our business and make distributions to our stockholders. These restrictive covenants may include limitations

on additional borrowing and specific restrictions on the use of our assets, as well as prohibitions on our ability to create liens, pay dividends, redeem our stock, or make investments. We can offer no assurance that any equity or debt financing transaction will be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

The market price of our common stock has been volatile, and an investment in our common stock could decline in value.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated in the past, has increased significantly since the completion of the Merger, and is likely to continue to fluctuate in the future. From time to time, the securities of small capitalization, pharmaceutical companies, including ANI, experience significant market price fluctuations, often unrelated to these companies' operating performance. In particular, the market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control and that may not be related to our operating performance, including, but not limited to:

- general stock market and general economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad, even if not directly related to our business;
- any inability to manufacture EEMT, whether due to FDA determinations or otherwise;
- disruptions in the supply of API and other ingredients used in our current and future products;
- actual or anticipated governmental agency actions, including decisions or actions by the FDA or FDA advisory committee panels with respect to our current products, products in development, or our competitors' products;
- changes in anticipated or actual timing of our product development programs;

competition in our industry;
the entering into of new strategic partnering arrangements or termination of existing strategic partnering arrangements;
public concern as to the safety or efficacy of our products;
our need and ability to obtain additional financing;
changes in laws or regulations applicable to our products or business;
period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results;
changes in key management;
issuance of shares of our common stock or sales of our common stock by our stockholders;
failure of securities analysts to initiate and maintain coverage on our business and, with respect to any analyst coverage, our failure to meet analyst estimates or the expectations of investors;
announcements by us or our competitors of new products or services;
the public's reaction to our press releases, other public announcements and filings with the SEC;
rumors and market speculation involving us or other companies in our industry;
actual or anticipated changes in our operating results or fluctuations in our operating results;
actual or anticipated developments in our business, our competitors' businesses or the competitive landscape generally;
litigation involving us, our industry or both, or investigations by regulators into our operations or those of our competitors;
announced or completed acquisitions of businesses or products by us or by our competitors;
new laws or regulations or new interpretations of existing laws or regulations applicable to our business;
changes in accounting standards, policies, guidelines, interpretations or principles; and
slow or negative growth of our products or markets.

In addition, the occurrence of any of the risks described in this report or in subsequent reports we file with the SEC could have a material adverse impact on the market price of our common stock. Securities class action litigation is sometimes brought against a company following periods of volatility in the market price of its securities or for other reasons. Securities litigation, whether with or without merit, could result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources, which could harm our business and financial condition, as well as the market price of our common stock.

Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage or prevent a takeover, even if such a transaction would be beneficial to our stockholders.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire ANI, even if doing so would be beneficial to our stockholders. These provisions include:

authorizing the issuance of "blank check" preferred shares that could be issued by our board of directors to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt;

prohibiting cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates;
advance notice provisions in connection with stockholder proposals and director nominations that may prevent or hinder any attempt by our stockholders to bring business to be considered by our stockholders at a meeting or replace our board of directors; and
as a Delaware corporation, we are also subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation law, which prevents certain stockholders holding more than 15% of our outstanding common stock from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of at least two-thirds of our outstanding common stock not held by such 15% or greater stockholder.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our corporate offices are located at 210 Main Street West, Baudette, Minnesota 56623. The facility, which we own, includes oral solid dose and liquid manufacturing and packaging, warehouse facilities, analytical, stability and microbiological laboratory space, and employee, office and mechanical space. We also own a manufacturing facility that includes oral solid dose manufacturing and packaging for pharmaceutical products that must be manufactured in a fully contained environment, warehouse facilities, and employee, office and mechanical space. This facility is also located in Baudette, Minnesota.

We have leased office space for our financial headquarters in Wilmington, Delaware. The lease will expire in September 2018. We also lease office space in Laguna Beach, California for an executive office. This lease will expire in February 2016.

We consider our leased and owned properties suitable and adequate for our current and foreseeable needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

A discussion of legal matters as of December 31, 2014 follows:

Louisiana Medicaid Lawsuit

On September 11, 2013, the Attorney General of the State of Louisiana filed a lawsuit in Louisiana state court against numerous pharmaceutical companies, including us, under various state laws, alleging that each defendant caused the state's Medicaid agency to provide reimbursement for drug products that allegedly were not approved by the FDA and therefore allegedly not reimbursable under the federal Medicaid program. The lawsuit relates to three cough and cold prescription products manufactured and sold by our former Gulfport, Mississippi operation, which was sold in

September 2010. Through its lawsuit, the state seeks unspecified damages, statutory fines, penalties, attorneys' fees and costs. On October 15, 2013, the defendants removed the lawsuit to the U.S. District Court. On November 14, 2013, the state filed a motion to remand the lawsuit to the Louisiana state court. On September 30, 2014, the U.S. District Court remanded the case from the federal to the state court. While we cannot predict the outcome of the lawsuit at this time, we could be subject to material damages, penalties and fines. We intend to vigorously defend against all claims in the lawsuit.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

All manufacturers of the drug Reglan and its generic equivalent metoclopramide, including ANI, are facing allegations from plaintiffs in various states, including California, New Jersey and Pennsylvania, claiming bodily injuries as a result of ingestion of metoclopramide or its brand name, Reglan, prior to the FDA's February 2009 Black Box warning requirement. In August 2012, we were dismissed with prejudice from all New Jersey cases. We consider our exposure to this litigation to be limited due to several factors: (1) the only generic metoclopramide that we manufactured prior to the implementation of the FDA's warning requirement was an oral solution introduced after May 28, 2008; (2) our market share for the oral solution was a very small portion of the overall metoclopramide market; and (3) once we received a request for change of labeling from the FDA, we submitted our proposed changes within 30 days, and such changes were subsequently approved by the FDA.

At the present time, we are unable to assess the likely outcome of the cases in the remaining states. Our insurance company has assumed the defense of this matter. However, our current product liability insurance policy contains absolute exclusions for claims related to Reglan and metoclopramide. We cannot provide assurances that the outcome of these matters will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. Furthermore, like all pharmaceutical manufacturers, we may be exposed to other product liability claims in the future, which could further limit our coverage under future insurance policies or cause those policies to become more expensive, which could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "ANIP." The following table shows the high and low sales price for ANIP common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market for each quarter in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, as adjusted for the one-for-six reverse stock split that occurred on July 17, 2013:

| | Common Stock Price | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$38.74 | \$18.52 | \$9.48 | \$6.60 |
| Second Quarter | \$37.74 | \$19.90 | \$8.64 | \$4.80 |
| Third Quarter | \$38.17 | \$25.17 | \$9.94 | \$5.46 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$61.43 | \$24.24 | \$23.00 | \$9.75 |

Stockholder Information

As of February 12, 2015, there were approximately 200 shareholders of record of our common stock, which does not include stockholders that beneficially own shares held in a "nominee" or in "street" name, and six holders of record of Class C stock.

Dividends

We have not paid cash dividends in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the near term.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities and Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

None.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

Performance Graph

Not required due to Smaller Reporting Company status.

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

Not required due to Smaller Reporting Company status.

34

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Please read the following discussion in conjunction with Item 1A. ("Risk Factors") and our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. Some of the statements in the following discussion are forward-looking statements. See the discussion about forward-looking statements in Item 1. ("Business").

Executive Overview

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiary, ANIP Acquisition Company (together, "ANI," the "Company," "we," "us," or "our") is an integrated specialty pharmaceutical company developing, manufacturing, and marketing branded and generic prescription pharmaceuticals. Our targeted areas of product development currently include narcotics, oncolytics (anti-cancers), hormones and steroids, and complex formulations involving extended release and combination products. We have two pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities located in Baudette, Minnesota that are capable of producing oral solid dose products, as well as liquids and topicals, narcotics, and potent products that must be manufactured in a fully-contained environment.

Our strategy is to use our assets to develop, acquire, manufacture, and market branded and generic specialty prescription pharmaceuticals. By executing this strategy, we believe we will be able to continue to grow the business, expand and diversify our product portfolio, and create long-term value for our investors.

On June 19, 2013, BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("BioSante") acquired ANIP Acquisition Company ("ANIP") in an all-stock, tax-free reorganization (the "Merger"), in which ANIP became a wholly-owned subsidiary of BioSante. BioSante was subsequently renamed ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The Merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition pursuant to which ANIP was considered the acquiring entity for accounting purposes. As such, ANIP's historical results of operations replace BioSante's historical results of operations for all periods prior to the Merger. The results of operations of both companies are included in our consolidated financial statements for all periods after completion of the Merger.

In 2014 we achieved the following:

- Acquired ANDAs for 31 generic products.
- Entered into development agreements for generic drugs with Sterling Pharmaceutical Services.
- Completed a follow-on public offering of common stock yielding net proceeds of \$46.7 million.
- Entered into a collaborative arrangement for a second generic drug product with Sofgen Pharmaceuticals.

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

- Entered into a collaborative arrangement for a generic drug product with Dexcel Pharma Technologies Ltd.
 - Acquired the Lithobid NDA.
 - Acquired the Vancocin NDA and related ANDAs.
- Filed an ANDA with the FDA for an anti-cancer drug, which was granted an expedited review.
 - Launched Methazolamide USP 25 mg and 50 mg oral tablets.
- Closed a public offering of \$143.8 million of 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2019 (the “Notes”), with simultaneous bond hedge and warrant transactions.

General

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net revenues | \$ 55,970 | \$ 30,082 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 11,473 | 9,974 |
| Research and development | 2,678 | 1,712 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 17,935 | 16,388 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,878 | 1,110 |
| Operating income from continuing operations | 20,006 | 898 |
| Interest expense | (787) | (467) |
| Other income/(expense) | 160 | (305) |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 19,379 | 126 |
| Benefit/(provision) for income taxes | 9,368 | (20) |
| Net income from continuing operations | 28,747 | 106 |
| Gain on discontinued operation, net of provision for income taxes | - | 195 |
| Net income | \$ 28,747 | \$ 301 |

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the percentage that items in our consolidated statements of operations bear to net revenues.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----|-------|----|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| Net revenues | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % |
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 20.5 | % | 33.2 | % |
| Research and development | 4.8 | % | 5.7 | % |
| Selling, general and administrative | 32.1 | % | 54.5 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6.9 | % | 3.6 | % |
| Operating income from continuing operations | 35.7 | % | 3.0 | % |
| Interest expense | (1.4 |)% | (1.6 |)% |
| Other income/(expense) | 0.3 | % | (1.0 |)% |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 34.6 | % | 0.4 | % |
| Benefit/(provision) for income taxes | 16.8 | % | - | % |
| Net income from continuing operations | 51.4 | % | 0.4 | % |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|------|---|-----|---|
| Gain on discontinued operation, net of provision for income taxes | - | % | 0.6 | % |
| Net income | 51.4 | % | 1.0 | % |

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013***Net Revenues***

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | % Change | |
| Generic pharmaceutical products | \$ 35,852 | \$ 19,281 | \$16,571 | 85.9 | % |
| Branded pharmaceutical products | 11,010 | 3,370 | 7,640 | 226.7 | % |
| Contract manufacturing | 5,931 | 6,018 | (87) | (1.4) | % |
| Contract services and other income | 3,177 | 1,413 | 1,764 | 124.8 | % |
| Total net revenues | \$ 55,970 | \$ 30,082 | \$25,888 | 86.1 | % |

We derive substantially all of our revenues from sales of generic and branded pharmaceutical products, contract manufacturing, and contract services, which include product development services, laboratory services, and royalties on net profits of certain products.

Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$56.0 million compared to \$30.1 million for the same period in 2013, an increase of \$25.9 million, or 86.1%, primarily as a result of the following factors:

Net revenues for generic pharmaceutical products were \$35.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of 85.9% compared to \$19.3 million for the same period in 2013. The primary reason for the increase was a \$13.8 million increase in sales of EEMT, which was the result of increases in both market share and prices. In addition, we experienced increased sales for our Opium Tincture, HC Enema, and Fluvoxamine products. In the third quarter of 2013, a significant competitor stopped producing EEMT, which led to an increase in our market share and enabled us to significantly increase the price we charge for the product. However, in the first half of 2014, the same competitor re-entered the market, which negatively impacted our EEMT unit sales beginning in the second quarter of 2014, which impact may continue in 2015. EEMT revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 also were reduced by \$3.9 million in charges related to price protection contract obligations.

As described in Item 1. Business – Government Regulations – Unapproved Products, we market EEMT and Opium Tincture without FDA-approved NDAs. The FDA's policy with respect to the continued marketing of unapproved products appears in the FDA's September 2011 Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 440.100 titled "Marketed New Drugs without Approved NDAs or ANDAs." Under this policy, the FDA has stated that it will follow a risk-based approach with regard to enforcement against marketing of unapproved products. The FDA evaluates whether to initiate enforcement action on a case-by-case basis, but gives higher priority to enforcement action against products in certain categories, such as those with potential safety risks or that lack evidence of effectiveness. While we believe that, so

long as we comply with applicable manufacturing standards, the FDA will not take action against us under the current enforcement policy, we can offer no assurances that the FDA will continue this policy or not take a contrary position with any individual product or group of products. Our combined net revenues for these products for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$29.8 million and \$14.6 million, respectively.

Net revenues for branded pharmaceutical products were \$11.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of 226.7% compared to \$3.4 million for the same period in 2013. The primary reasons for the increase were \$3.3 million of sales from our Lithobid product, representing six months of sales and \$4.4 million of sales from our Vancocin product, representing five months of sales. The product rights to Lithobid and Vancocin were acquired during the third quarter of 2014. These increase were partially offset by a decrease in sales of Reglan.

Contract manufacturing revenues were \$5.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, a decrease of 1.4% compared to \$6.0 million for the same period in 2013, due to decreased orders from contract manufacturing customers during 2014. As described in Item 1. Business – Government Regulations – Unapproved Products, we contract manufacture a group of products on behalf of a customer that are marketed by that customer without an FDA-approved NDA. If the FDA took enforcement action against such customer, the customer may be required to seek FDA approval for the group of products or withdraw them from the market. Our contract manufacturing revenues for the group of unapproved products for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

Contract services and other income were \$3.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of 124.8% from \$1.4 million for the same period in 2013, due primarily to royalties received on sales of the authorized generic of Vancocin, the product rights to which were acquired in the third quarter of 2014. This increase was partially offset by a \$0.5 million non-recurring payment in December 2013 from Teva in relation to the Teva license agreement acquired in the Merger, as well as decreased contract services.

As described in Item 1. Business – Government Regulations – Unapproved Products, we receive royalties on the net sales of a group of contract-manufactured products, which are marketed by the customer without an FDA-approved NDA. If the FDA took enforcement action against such customer, the customer may be required to seek FDA approval for the group of products or withdraw them from the market. Our royalties on the net sales of these unapproved products were \$0.3 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Cost of Sales (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization)

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | % Change |
| Cost of sales (excl. depreciation and amortization) | \$ 11,473 | \$ 9,974 | \$ 1,499 | 15.0 % |

Cost of sales consists of direct labor, including manufacturing and packaging, active and inactive pharmaceutical ingredients, freight costs, and packaging components. Cost of sales does not include depreciation and amortization expense, which is reported as a separate component of operating expenses on our consolidated statements of operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, cost of sales increased to \$11.5 million from \$10.0 million for the same period in 2013, an increase of \$1.5 million or 15.0%, primarily as a result of an increase in sales of generic pharmaceutical products, as well as royalties due on proceeds from sales of Vancocin and its authorized generic. The contractual requirement to pay these royalties ended December 31, 2014. Cost of sales as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 20.5% during the year ended December 31, 2014, from 33.2% during same period in 2013, primarily as a result of a favorable shift in product mix toward higher margin products, including our two new branded products, Lithobid and Vancocin, and price increases for EEMT.

We source the raw materials for our products, including active pharmaceutical ingredients (“API”), from both domestic and international suppliers. As discussed in Item 1. Business – Manufacturing, Suppliers and Raw Materials, only a single source of API is qualified for use in each product due to the cost and time required to validate a second source of supply. Changes in API suppliers usually must be approved by the FDA, which can take 18 months or longer. As a result, we are dependent upon our current vendors to reliably supply the API required for ongoing product manufacturing. In addition, certain of our API for our drug products, including those that are marketed without approved NDAs or ANDAs, are sourced from international suppliers. From time to time, we have experienced temporary disruptions in the supply of certain of such imported APIs due to FDA inspections. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we purchased 42% of our inventory from two suppliers. As of December 31, 2014, amounts payable to these suppliers were immaterial. In the year ended December 31, 2013, we purchased 37% of our inventory from three suppliers.

We have supply agreements with three vendors that include purchase minimums. Pursuant to these agreements, we will be required to purchase a total of \$5.8 million of API from these three vendors during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Each year, we must submit a request to the DEA for a quota to purchase the amount of API needed to manufacture Opium Tincture. Without an approved quota from the DEA, we would not be able to purchase API from our supplier. As a result, we are dependent upon the DEA to annually approve a sufficient quota of API to support the continued manufacture of Opium Tincture.

Other Operating Expenses

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | | % Change | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | | |
| Research and development | \$ 2,678 | \$ 1,712 | \$ 966 | 56.4 | % |
| Selling, general and administrative | 17,935 | 16,388 | 1,547 | 9.4 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,878 | 1,110 | 2,768 | 249.4 | % |
| Total other operating expenses | \$ 24,491 | \$ 19,210 | \$ 5,281 | 27.5 | % |

Other operating expenses consist of research and development costs, selling, general and administrative expenses, and depreciation and amortization.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, other operating expenses increased to \$24.5 million from \$19.2 million for the same period in 2013, an increase of \$5.3 million, or 27.5%, primarily as a result of the following factors:

Research and development expenses increased from \$1.7 million to \$2.7 million, an increase of 56.4%, due primarily to work on new development projects, including the Teva products, internally-developed products, new collaborations, and a filing fee for an ANDA submission of an anti-cancer drug. We anticipate that research and development costs will continue to increase in support of our strategy to expand our product portfolio.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased slightly, from \$16.4 million to \$17.9 million, an increase of 9.4%, primarily due to the increases in personnel and consulting, legal, and other fees related to becoming a public company, as well as increased stock-based compensation expense, including a \$1.3 million catch-up charge for non-cash stock-based compensation, which was recognized upon shareholder approval of an increase in shares available for issuance under our stock compensation plan. These increases were partially offset by the lack of \$6.2 million of Merger-related expenses incurred in the prior year. We expect selling, general and administrative expenses to continue to increase in the future to support anticipated additional revenue growth.

Depreciation and amortization increased from \$1.1 million to \$3.9 million, an increase of 249.4%, due to amortization of the ANDAs purchased from Teva in the first quarter of 2014, amortization of product rights for Lithobid and Vancocin purchased during the third quarter of 2014, and a full year of amortization of the Teva license acquired in the Merger. We expect depreciation and amortization expense to increase in 2015 as we recognize a full year of amortization expense related to the Lithobid and Vancocin product rights.

Other Income/(Expense)

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | % Change |
| Interest expense | \$ (787) | \$ (467) | \$ (320) | 68.5 % |
| Other income/(expense) | 160 | (305) | 465 | (152.5)% |
| Total other income/(expense) | \$ (627) | \$ (772) | \$ 145 | (18.8)% |

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized other expense of \$0.6 million versus other expense of \$0.8 million for the same period in 2013, a decrease of \$0.1 million, or 18.8%. This change resulted primarily from the following factors:

Interest expense increased from \$0.5 million to \$0.8 million as a result of \$0.8 million of interest expense incurred on our Notes, issued in December 2014. This interest expense was partially offset by interest earned on our cash balance in 2014. Interest expense in the year ended December 31, 2013 included a termination fee and accelerated amortization of deferred loan costs associated with retiring our revolving line of credit in conjunction with the Merger.

Other income/(expense) changed from \$0.3 million of other expense to \$0.2 million of other income, due primarily to the absence of payments of \$0.4 million to certain of our investors for monitoring and advisory fees in 2013. Upon completion of the Merger, our obligation to pay monitoring and advisory fees was terminated. Other income of \$0.2 million related primarily to the receipt of an abatement for prior year property taxes resulting from a reassessment of the property value for our manufacturing facilities and the reimbursement, pursuant to a legal settlement, of legal fees incurred in prior years.

Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | % Change |
| Benefit/(Provision) for income taxes | \$ 9,368 | \$ (20) | \$ 9,388 | (47,175.4)% |

The benefit/(provision) for income taxes consists of our current tax provision and our deferred income tax provision, which includes changes in our deferred tax assets (“DTAs”), deferred tax liabilities (“DTLs”), and our valuation allowance.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized a \$9.4 million income tax benefit, compared with a \$20 thousand income tax provision for the same period in 2013, a change of \$9.4 million, primarily as a result of a \$16.7 million reversal of the valuation allowance previously recorded against our DTAs. This reversal was the result of our determination that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefits of our DTAs as a result of our expectation of future profitability, among other factors. The reversal of the allowance was partially offset by a \$5.1 million current income tax provision for the 2014 fiscal year, and \$2.3 million of changes to our DTAs and DTLs.

Gain on Discontinued Operation

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | Change | % Change |
|--|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Gain on discontinued operation, net of tax | \$ - | \$ 195 | \$ (195) | (100.0)% |

Gain on discontinued operation consists of revenue and expenses associated with our over-the-counter pharmaceutical products operation in Gulfport, Mississippi. This operation was sold in September 2010.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the gain on discontinued operation, net of \$38 thousand of tax, resulted from finalizing a portion of the discontinued operation's remaining liabilities.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table highlights selected liquidity and working capital information from our consolidated balance sheets.

| (in thousands) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$169,037 | \$11,105 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 17,297 | 12,513 |
| Inventories | 7,518 | 3,518 |
| Deferred Tax Assets, net of valuation allowance | 7,643 | 0 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,983 | 580 |
| Total current assets | \$203,478 | \$27,716 |
| Accounts payable | \$2,654 | \$1,429 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,269 | 327 |
| Accrued compensation and related expenses | 1,348 | 773 |
| Accrued income taxes | 4,253 | 20 |
| Accrued Medicaid rebates | 2,264 | 253 |
| Returned goods reserve | 1,445 | 736 |
| Accrued royalties | - | - |
| Deferred revenue | - | 47 |
| Total current liabilities | \$13,233 | \$3,538 |

At December 31, 2014, we had \$169.0 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. At December 31, 2013, we had \$11.1 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. We received net proceeds of \$122.6 million from our December 2014 issuance of the Notes and related purchased bond hedge and warrants issued in conjunction with the debt issuance, net proceeds of \$46.7 million from a follow-on public offering that closed in March 2014, and generated \$22.0 million of cash from operations in the year ended December 31, 2014. In the first quarter of 2014, we acquired ANDAs related to 31 products for \$12.5 million from Teva. In the third quarter of 2014, we acquired the intellectual property rights and NDA associated with Lithobid, as well as raw material inventory, for \$11.0 million, not including the \$1.0 million contingent payment that was paid in January 2015, and also acquired the U.S. intellectual property rights and NDA associated with Vancocin, two related ANDAs, and certain equipment and inventory for \$11.0 million.

We believe that the combination of our current cash and cash equivalents and other financial resources, consisting of cash proceeds from issuance of the Notes, current working capital and anticipated future operating revenue, will be sufficient to enable us to meet our working capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. If our assumptions underlying estimated revenue and expenses are wrong, or if our cash requirements change materially as a result of shifts in our business or strategy, we could require additional financing. If in the future we do not remain profitable or generate cash from operations as anticipated and additional capital is needed to support operations, we may be unable to obtain such financing, or obtain it on favorable terms, in which case we may be required to curtail development of new products, limit expansion of operations or accept financing terms that are not as attractive as desired.

Our primary cash requirements are to fund operations, including research and development programs and collaborations, to support general and administrative activities, and to expand our business and product pipeline through acquisitions of products and companies. We are continually evaluating potential asset acquisitions and business combinations. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to:

- product mix and pricing for product sales and contract manufacturing;
- pricing and payment terms with customers;
- costs of raw materials and payment terms with suppliers;
- capital expenditures and equipment purchases to support product launches; and
- business and product acquisitions.

Consolidation among wholesale distributors, chain drug stores and group purchasing organizations has resulted in a smaller number of companies each controlling a larger share of pharmaceutical distribution channels. Our net revenues were concentrated among three customers representing 27%, 21%, and 17% of net revenues, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, accounts receivable from these three customers totaled approximately 73% of our net accounts receivable. As a result, negotiated payment terms with these customers have a material impact on our liquidity and working capital.

Two of our generic pharmaceutical products, EEMT and Opium Tincture, accounted for approximately 42% and 11% of our net revenues in 2014, respectively, versus 33% and 16% of net revenues in 2013, respectively. As a result, market pricing for these products, combined with the costs of raw materials and payment terms with suppliers, have a material impact on our liquidity and working capital. The increase in revenue related to EEMT has had a significant impact on our financial results and if revenues from EEMT were to decrease substantially or entirely, it would have a material, negative impact on our cash flows and liquidity.

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a basis that assumes that we will continue as a going concern and which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. These statements do not include any adjustments that might result if the carrying amount of recorded assets and liabilities are not realized.

Sources and Uses of Cash

Debt Financing

In December 2014, we issued \$143.8 million of 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes in a registered public offering (the “December 2014 Offering”), which includes the \$18.8 million of Notes issued pursuant to the full exercise of the over-allotment option granted to the underwriters in the December 2014 Offering. After deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses (including the net cost of the bond hedge and warrant, discussed below), the net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$122.6 million. The Notes were issued in order to raise funds to research, develop and commercialize our drug products; to acquire complementary businesses, products, and technologies that we may identify from time to time; and for other working capital and general corporate purposes. The Notes pay 3.0% interest semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year, starting on June 1, 2015. The Notes are convertible into 2,068,792 shares of common stock, based on an initial conversion price of \$69.48 per share.

A portion of the offering proceeds was used to simultaneously enter into “bond hedge” (or purchased call) and “warrant” (or written call) transactions with an affiliate of one of the offering underwriters (collectively, the “Call Option Overlay”). We entered into the Call Option Overlay to synthetically raise the initial conversion price of the Notes to \$96.21 per share and reduce the potential common stock dilution that may arise from the conversion of the Notes. The exercise price of the bond hedge is \$69.48 per share, with an underlying 2,068,792 common shares; the exercise price of the warrant is \$96.21 per share, also with an underlying 2,068,792 common shares.

At December 31, 2013, we had no debt outstanding.

Equity Financing

In March 2014, we completed a follow-on public offering of 1.6 million shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$31.00 per share (the “March 2014 Offering”). We received gross proceeds of \$50.0 million, or net proceeds of \$46.7 million after deducting costs of \$3.3 million, including the underwriters’ fees and commissions, as well as expenses directly related to the March 2014 Offering. The 1.6 million shares sold in the March 2014 Offering includes the exercise in full by the underwriters of their option to purchase an additional 0.2 million shares of common stock.

Warrant Exercises

In January 2014, a warrant-holder exercised warrants to purchase 20 thousand shares at \$9 per share. We received \$0.2 million as a result of this exercise. In December 2014, a warrant-holder exercised warrants to purchase 63 thousand shares at \$9 per share. We received \$0.5 million as a result of this exercise.

Uses of Cash

In the first quarter of 2014, we acquired ANDAs related to 31 products for \$12.5 million from Teva. In the third quarter of 2014, we acquired the intellectual property rights and NDA associated with Lithobid, as well as raw material inventory, for \$11.0 million, not including the \$1.0 million contingent payment that was paid in January 2015, and also acquired the U.S. intellectual property rights and NDA associated with Vancocin, two related ANDAs, and certain equipment and inventory for \$11.0 million.

Discussion of Cash Flows

The following table summarizes the net cash and cash equivalents provided by/(used in) operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the periods indicated:

| (in thousands) | Years ended | |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Operating Activities | \$22,033 | \$(5,484) |
| Investing Activities | \$(35,754) | \$20,267 |
| Financing Activities | \$171,653 | \$(3,689) |

Net Cash Provided By/Used In Operations

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$22.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$5.5 million used in the same period in 2013, a change of \$27.5 million between the periods. This increase was primarily due to the increase in net income from 2013 to 2014 and to changes in current assets and current liabilities. There was a \$16.1 million increase in cash provided by net income from continuing operations, after adjusting for non-cash expenses. This increase was primarily due to the \$28.4 million increase in net income in 2014, as well as increases in non-cash expenses, including an increase of \$3.4 million in stock-based compensation expense over 2013 and \$2.8 million more in depreciation and amortization expense than the prior year. These increases were partially offset by non-cash changes to deferred tax assets of \$14.5 million and the absence of \$4.4 million of non-cash expenses related to the Merger in 2013.

Increases in current assets and decreases in current liabilities (in each case a use of cash) for the year ended December 31, 2014 totaled \$0.1 million compared to \$11.4 million for the same period in 2013, a decrease of approximately \$11.3 million between the periods. Accounts receivable increased by \$2.3 million less in 2014 than 2013. Accrued compensation, income taxes, and Medicaid rebates increased by \$3.4 million, \$4.2 million, and \$1.9 million more than in the prior year, respectively. Accounts payable and other accrued expenses increased by \$0.8 million and \$1.7 million more than in the prior year, respectively. These increases in cash provided by operations were partially offset by increases in inventory and prepaid expenses, which increased by \$2.8 million and \$0.4 million more in the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively, than in the prior year periods.

Net Cash Used in/Provided by Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$35.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, principally due to the \$12.5 million asset acquisition of the Teva ANDA products, an \$11.0 million asset purchase related to Lithobid, an \$11.0 million asset purchase related to Vancocin, and \$1.1 million of capital expenditures during the period. Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$20.3 million, principally due to \$18.2 million of cash acquired in the Merger and the release of \$2.2 million of restricted cash held for severance payments, partially offset by \$0.2 million of capital expenditures during the period.

Net Cash Provided/Used in by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$171.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, resulting primarily from \$122.6 million of net proceeds received for the Notes issued in our December 2014 offering and \$46.7 million of net proceeds received in our March 2014 Offering. We also received \$0.8 million of proceeds for stock options exercised in 2014 and \$0.8 million of proceeds for warrants exercised in 2014. Net cash used in financing activities was \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, resulting primarily from the \$4.1 million repayment in June 2013 of our revolving line of credit in connection with the Merger and \$0.4 million of treasury stock repurchases, partially offset by \$0.8 million of proceeds received for a warrant exercised in December 2013.

Critical Accounting Estimates

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and

disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. In our consolidated financial statements, estimates are used for, but not limited to, stock-based compensation, allowance for doubtful accounts, accruals for chargebacks, Medicaid rebates, returns, and other allowances, allowance for inventory obsolescence, valuation of financial instruments and intangible assets, accruals for contingent liabilities, fair value of long-lived assets, deferred taxes and valuation allowance, and the depreciable lives of long-lived assets.

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate these estimates and assumptions, including those described below. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates and assumptions form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Due to the estimation processes involved, the following summarized accounting policies and their application are considered to be critical to understanding our business operations, financial condition and operating results.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized for product sales and contract manufacturing product sales upon passing of risk and title to the customer, when estimates of the selling price and discounts, rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments are reasonably determinable, collection is reasonably assured, and we have no further performance obligations. Contract manufacturing arrangements are typically less than two weeks in duration, and therefore the revenue is recognized upon completion of the aforementioned factors rather than using a proportional performance method of revenue recognition. The estimates for discounts, rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments reduce gross revenues to net revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, and are presented as current liabilities or reductions in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (see “Accruals for Chargebacks, Rebates, Returns, and Other Allowances”). Historically, we have not entered into revenue arrangements with multiple elements.

Occasionally, we engage in contract services, which include product development services, laboratory services, and royalties on net sales of certain contract manufactured products. For these services, revenue is recognized according to the terms of the agreement with the customer, which sometimes include substantive, measurable risk-based milestones, and when we have a contractual right to receive such payment, the contract price is fixed or determinable, the collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured, and we have no further performance obligations under the agreement. We recognized \$3.2 million and \$1.4 million of revenue related to contract services in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Our revenue recognition accounting methodologies contain uncertainties because they require management to make assumptions and to apply judgment to estimate the amount of discounts, rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments, which are accounted for as reductions to revenue. We make these estimates based on historical experience.

We have not made any material changes to our revenue recognition policies during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We believe it is unlikely that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to measure estimates for discounts, rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments. However, if actual results were not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to losses or gains that could be material, as any changes to these estimates could cause an increase or decrease in revenue recognized during the year. For example, if there were a 10% change to these adjustments throughout the year, Net Revenues and Net Income from Continuing Operations before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes for the year ended December 31, 2014 would be affected by \$4.7 million.

Accruals for Chargebacks, Rebates, Returns and Other Allowances

Our generic and branded product revenues are typically subject to agreements with customers allowing chargebacks, Medicaid rebates, product returns, administrative fees, and other rebates and prompt payment discounts. We accrue for these items at the time of sale based on the estimates and methodologies described below. In the aggregate, these accruals, reflected as a decrease to gross sales, exceed 50% of generic and branded gross product sales, reduce gross revenues to net revenues in the consolidated statements of operations, and are presented as current liabilities or reductions in accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets. We continually monitor and re-evaluate the accruals as additional information becomes available, which includes, among other things, updates to trade inventory levels, customer product mix, and trends in Medicaid rebates experience. We make adjustments to the accruals at the end of each reporting period, to reflect any such updates to the relevant facts and circumstances. Accruals are relieved upon receipt of payment from or issuance of credit to the customer, or payment of rebates and fees to customer and state Medicaid programs.

Chargebacks

As discussed in Note 1 of Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements, we estimate the amount of chargebacks based on our actual historical experience. A number of factors influence current period chargebacks by impacting the average selling price (“ASP”) of products, including customer mix, negotiated terms, product sales mix, volume of off-contract purchases, and wholesale acquisition cost (“WAC”).

We have not made any material changes to our policy for estimating chargeback accruals during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We believe it is unlikely that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to measure chargeback estimates. However, if actual results were not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to losses or gains that could be material, as changes to chargeback estimates could cause an increase or decrease in revenue recognized during the year and increase or decrease accounts receivable. If there were a 10% change in the chargeback estimates throughout the year, our Net Revenues and Net Income from Continuing Operations before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes would be affected by \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Medicaid Rebates

As discussed in Note 1 of Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements, our estimate for Medicaid rebates is based upon our average manufacturer price, best price, product mix, levels of inventory in the distribution channel that we expect to be subject to Medicaid rebates, and historical experience, which are invoiced in arrears by state Medicaid programs. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimation in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future rebate experience, and trends in Medicaid enrollment and which products are covered by Medicaid could change.

We have not made any material changes to our policy for estimating Medicaid rebates during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. While we anticipate that we will have further increases in our quarterly Medicaid rebate amounts related to sales of our Lithobid and Vancocin products, we do not believe that our assumptions used to measure estimates for Medicaid rebates will change materially. However, if actual results were not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to losses or gains that could be material, as changes to Medicaid rebate estimates could cause an increase or decrease in revenue recognized during the year and decrease or increase the Medicaid rebate reserve. If there were a 10% change in the Medicaid rebate estimates throughout the year, our Net Revenues and Net Income from Continuing Operations before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes would be affected by \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Returns

As discussed in Note 1 of Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements, our estimate for returns is based upon our historical experience with actual returns. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimation in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future returns.

We have not made any material changes to our policy for estimating returns during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We believe it is unlikely that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions

used to measure estimates of goods returned. However, if actual results were not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to losses or gains that could be material, as changes to returns estimates could cause an increase or decrease in revenue recognized during the year and decrease or increase the returned goods reserve. If there were a 10% change in the returns estimates throughout the year, our Net Revenues and Net Income from Continuing Operations before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes would be affected by \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Administrative Fees and Other Rebates

As discussed in Note 1 of Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements, we accrue for fees and rebates by product by wholesaler, at the time of sale based on contracted rates, ASPs, and on-hand inventory counts obtained from wholesalers.

We have not made any material changes to our policy for estimating administrative fee accruals during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We believe it is unlikely that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to measure estimates of administrative fees. However, if actual results were not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to losses or gains that could be material, as changes to these estimates could cause an increase or decrease in revenue recognized during the year and increase or decrease accounts receivable. If there were a 10% change in the administrative fees estimates throughout the year, our Net Revenues and Net Income from Continuing Operations before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes would be affected by \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Prompt Payment Discounts

As discussed in Note 1 of Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements, we reserve for sales discounts based on invoices outstanding, assuming, based on past experience, that 100% of available discounts will be taken.

We have has not made any material changes to our policy for estimating prompt payment discounts accruals during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We believe that it is unlikely that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to measure estimates of prompt payment discounts. If customers do not take 100% of available discounts as we estimate, we could need to re-adjust our methodology for calculating the prompt payment discount reserve. If there were a 10% decrease in the prompt payment discounts estimates throughout the year, our Net Revenues and Net Income from Continuing Operations before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes would increase by \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Intangible Assets

Our definite-lived intangible assets have a carrying value of \$42.1 million as of December 31, 2014. These assets include product rights for the 31 previously marketed generic products we acquired from Teva, product rights for our branded products Lithobid and Vancocin, fully amortized product rights for Reglan and a generic product, and the Teva license related to our male testosterone gel. These intangible assets were recorded at fair value and are stated net of accumulated amortization.

The rights and licenses are amortized over their remaining estimated useful lives, ranging from two to 11 years, based on the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives directly impact the amount of amortization expense recorded for these assets on a quarterly and annual basis.

In addition, we test for impairment of definite-lived intangible assets when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. Judgment is used in determining when these events and circumstances arise. If we determine that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable, judgment and estimates are used to assess the fair value of the assets and to determine the amount of any impairment loss. No events or circumstances arose in 2014 that would indicate that the carrying value of any of our definite-lived intangible assets may not be recoverable.

Goodwill

Goodwill relates to the Merger and represents the excess of the total purchase consideration over the fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities, using the purchase method of accounting. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to periodic review for impairment. As a result, the amount of goodwill is directly impacted by the estimates of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

In addition, goodwill is reviewed annually, as of October 31, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the goodwill might not be recoverable. Judgment is used in determining when these events and circumstances arise. We perform our review of goodwill on our one reporting unit. If we determine that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable, judgment and estimates are used to assess the fair value of the assets and to determine the amount of any impairment loss.

The carrying value of goodwill at December 31, 2014 was \$1.8 million. We believe it is unlikely that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to test for impairment losses on goodwill. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to an impairment charge that could be material.

Stock-Based Compensation

We have a stock-based compensation plan that includes stock options and restricted stock, which are awarded in exchange for employee and non-employee director services. We recognize the estimated fair value of stock-based awards and classify the expense where the underlying salaries are classified. For the year ended December 31, 2014, \$0.1 million of expense related to stock-based awards was classified as cost of goods sold, \$0.1 million was classified as research and development expense, and \$3.2 million was classified as sales, general and administrative expense in the accompanying statements of operations. Stock-based compensation cost for stock options is determined at the grant date using an option pricing model and stock-based compensation cost for restricted stock is based on the closing market price of the stock at the grant date. The value of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the employee's requisite service period.

Valuation of stock awards requires us to make assumptions and to apply judgment to determine the fair value of the awards. These assumptions and judgments include estimating the future volatility of our stock price, dividend yields, future employee turnover rates, and future employee stock option exercise behaviors. Changes in these assumptions can affect the fair value estimate.

Estimation of awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment for the amounts that will be forfeited due to failure to fulfill service conditions. To the extent actual results or updated estimates differ from current estimates, such amounts are recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised. Changes in estimates could affect compensation expense within individual periods. If there were to be a 10% change in our stock-based compensation expense for the year, our net earnings would be affected by \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that such tax rate changes are enacted. The measurement of a deferred tax asset is reduced, if necessary, by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

We use a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. We have not identified any uncertain income tax positions that could have a material impact to the consolidated financial statements. We are subject to taxation in various U.S. jurisdictions and remain subject to examination by taxing jurisdictions for the years 1998 and all subsequent periods due to the availability of net operating loss carryforwards. To the extent we prevail in matters for which a liability has been established, or are required to pay amounts in excess of our established liability, our effective income tax rate in a given financial statement period could be materially affected. An unfavorable tax settlement generally would require use of our cash and may result in an increase in our effective income tax rate in the period of resolution. A favorable tax settlement may reduce our effective income tax rate and would be recognized in the period of resolution.

We consider potential tax effects resulting from discontinued operations and record intra-period tax allocations, when those effects are deemed material. Our effective income tax rate is also affected by changes in tax law, our level of earnings, and the results of tax audits.

Although we believe that the judgments and estimates discussed herein are reasonable, actual results could differ, and we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance requiring management to evaluate on a regular basis whether any conditions or events have arisen that could raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The guidance 1) provides a definition for the term "substantial doubt," 2) requires an evaluation every reporting period, interim periods included, 3) provides principles for considering the mitigating effect of management's plans to alleviate the substantial doubt, 4) requires certain disclosures if the substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of management's plans, 5) requires an express statement, as well as other disclosures, if the substantial doubt is not alleviated, and 6) requires an assessment period of one year from the date the financial statements are issued. The standard is effective for our reporting year beginning January 1, 2017 and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance for revenue recognition for contracts, superseding the previous revenue recognition requirements, along with most existing industry-specific guidance. The guidance requires an entity to review contracts in five steps: 1) identify the contract, 2) identify performance obligations, 3) determine the transaction price, 4) allocate the transaction price, and 5) recognize revenue. The new standard will result in enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue arising from contracts with customers. The standard is effective for our reporting year beginning January 1, 2017 and early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance for the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss ("NOL") carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The guidance requires an entity to present in the financial statements an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for an NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. If the NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the jurisdiction or the tax law of the jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit will be presented in the financial statements as a liability and will not be combined with deferred tax assets. This guidance does not require any additional recurring disclosures and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this standard in 2014 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of each of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

Not required due to Smaller Reporting Company status.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As of December 31, 2014, our only debt obligation was related to our Notes. In order to reduce the potential equity dilution that would result upon conversion of the Senior Convertible Notes we issued in December 2014, we entered into note hedge transactions with a financial institution affiliated with one of the underwriters of the Senior Convertible Note offering. The note hedge transactions are expected generally, but not guaranteed, to reduce the potential dilution to our common stock and/or offset the cash payments we are required to make in excess of the principal amount upon any conversion of Senior Convertible Notes, in the event that the market price per share of our common stock, as measured under the terms of the Convertible Note Hedge Transactions, is greater than the conversion price of the Senior Convertible Notes, which is initially approximately \$69.48. In addition, in order to partially offset the cost of the note hedge transactions, we issued warrants to the hedge counterparty to purchase approximately 2.1 million shares of our common stock at a strike price of \$96.21. The warrants would separately have a dilutive effect to the extent that the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the warrants. In addition, non-performance by the counterparties under the hedge transactions would potentially expose us to dilution of our common stock to the extent our stock price exceeds the conversion price.

Interest on the Notes accrues at a fixed rate of 3.0% on the outstanding principal amount of the Notes and is paid semi-annually every December 1st and June 1st until the Notes mature on December 1, 2019. Since the interest rate is fixed, we have no interest-rate market risk related to the Notes. However, if our stock price increases, the fair value of our Notes, and their likelihood of being converted, will increase accordingly.

We are exposed to risks associated with changes in interest rates. The returns from certain of our cash and cash equivalents will vary as short-term interest rates change. A 100 basis-point adverse movement (decrease) in short-term

interest rates would decrease the interest income earned on our cash balance in the year ended December 31, 2014 by approximately \$2 thousand.

Item 8. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014. The financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”), and our report dated February 23, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ EisnerAmper LLP

New York, New York

February 23, 2015

51

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

We have audited ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary's (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become

inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of ANI Pharmaceutical, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated February 23, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ EisnerAmper LLP

New York, New York

February 23, 2015

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 169,037 | \$ 11,105 |
| Accounts receivable, net of \$8,708 and \$5,104 of adjustments for chargebacks and other allowances at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively | 17,297 | 12,513 |
| Inventories, net | 7,518 | 3,518 |
| Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance | 7,643 | - |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,983 | 580 |
| Total Current Assets | 203,478 | 27,716 |
| Property and equipment, net | 5,223 | 4,537 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 3,307 | - |
| Deferred tax asset, net of valuation allowance | 7,796 | - |
| Intangible assets, net | 42,067 | 10,409 |
| Goodwill | 1,838 | 1,838 |
| Total Assets | \$ 263,709 | \$ 44,500 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 2,654 | \$ 1,429 |
| Accrued expenses and other | 1,269 | 327 |
| Accrued compensation and related expenses | 1,348 | 773 |
| Current income taxes payable | 4,253 | 20 |
| Accrued Medicaid rebates | 2,264 | 253 |
| Returned goods reserve | 1,445 | 736 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 13,233 | 3,538 |
| Long-term Liabilities | | |
| Convertible notes, net of discount | 110,691 | - |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 123,924 | \$ 3,538 |

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 12)

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value, 33,333,334 shares authorized; 11,387,860 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014; 9,629,174 shares issued and 9,619,941 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 1 | 1 |
| Class C Special Stock, \$0.0001 par value, 781,281 shares authorized; 10,864 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively | - | - |
| Preferred Stock, \$0.0001 par value, 1,666,667 shares authorized; 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively | - | - |
| Treasury stock, 0 shares of common stock at December 31, 2014 and 9,233 shares of common stock, at cost, at December 31, 2013 | - | (68) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 159,509 | 89,501 |
| Accumulated deficit | (19,725) | (48,472) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 139,785 | 40,962 |
| | | |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$263,709 | \$44,500 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net Revenues | \$ 55,970 | \$ 30,082 |
| Operating Expenses | | |
| Cost of sales (excluding depreciation and amortization) | 11,473 | 9,974 |
| Research and development | 2,678 | 1,712 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 17,935 | 16,388 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,878 | 1,110 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 35,964 | 29,184 |
| Operating Income from Continuing Operations | 20,006 | 898 |
| Other Income/(Expense) | | |
| Interest expense | (787) | (467) |
| Other income/(expense) | 160 | (305) |
| Income from Continuing Operations Before Benefit/(Provision) for Income Taxes | 19,379 | 126 |
| Benefit/(Provision) for income taxes | 9,368 | (20) |
| Net Income from Continuing Operations | 28,747 | 106 |
| Discontinued Operation | | |
| Gain on discontinued operation, net of provision for income taxes | - | 195 |
| Net Income | \$ 28,747 | \$ 301 |
| Computation of Income/(Loss) from Continuing Operations Attributable to Common Stockholders and Participating Securities: | | |
| Net Income from Continuing Operations | \$28,747 | \$106 |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | (4,975) |
| Income/(Loss) from Continuing Operations Attributable to Common Stockholders and Participating Securities | \$28,747 | \$(4,869) |
| Basic Income/(Loss) Per Share: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 2.61 | \$ (0.96) |
| Discontinued operation | - | 0.04 |
| Basic Income/(Loss) Per Share | \$ 2.61 | \$ (0.92) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|---------|------------|
| Basic Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding | 10,941 | 5,071 |
| Diluted Income/(Loss) Per Share: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 2.59 | \$ (0.96) |
| Discontinued operation | - | 0.04 |
| Diluted Income/(Loss) Per Share | \$ 2.59 | \$ (0.92) |
| Diluted Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding | 11,053 | 5,071 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands)

| | Common Stock Par Value | Common Shares | Class C Special Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Treasury Stock Shares | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | - | 4,070 | - | 1,083 | - | - | (43,798) | (42,715) |
| Preferred Stock Dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | (4,975) | (4,975) |
| Non-cash Compensation Relating to Business Combination | - | - | - | 4,418 | - | - | - | 4,418 |
| Cancellation of Convertible Preferred Stock | - | - | - | 53,726 | - | - | - | 53,726 |
| Shares Issued in Merger | 1 | 5,469 | - | 29,794 | - | - | - | 29,795 |
| Stock-based Compensation Expense | - | - | - | 36 | - | - | - | 36 |
| Purchase of Common Stock for Treasury | - | - | - | - | 59 | (433) | - | (433) |
| Issuance of Common Stock upon Warrant Exercise | - | 90 | - | 809 | - | - | - | 809 |
| Treasury Stock Shares Issued as Restricted Stock | - | - | - | (365) | (50) | 365 | - | - |
| Net Income | - | - | - | - | - | - | 301 | 301 |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | \$ 1 | 9,629 | \$ - | \$ 89,501 | 9 | \$ (68) | \$ (48,472) | \$ 40,962 |
| Stock-based Compensation Expense | - | - | - | 3,423 | - | - | - | 3,423 |
| Issuance of Common Stock in Equity Offering | - | 1,613 | - | 46,680 | - | - | - | 46,680 |
| Allocation of proceeds from sale of Convertible Notes to Embedded Conversion Option | - | - | - | 20,195 | - | - | - | 20,195 |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|------|------------|------|------|--------------|------------|
| Cost of Bond-hedge, Net of Proceeds from Sale of Warrant | - | - | - | (2,575) | - | - | - | (2,575) |
| Issuance of Common Stock upon Warrant Exercise | - | 83 | - | 750 | - | - | - | 750 |
| Issuance of Common Stock upon Stock Option Exercise | - | 43 | - | 819 | - | - | - | 819 |
| Issuance of Common Stock as Restricted Stock | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Treasury Stock Shares Issued as Restricted Stock | - | - | - | (68) | (9) | 68 | - | - |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation awards | - | - | - | 784 | - | - | - | 784 |
| Net Income | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28,747 | 28,747 |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | \$ 1 | 11,388 | \$ - | \$ 159,509 | - | \$ - | \$ (19,725) | \$ 139,785 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(in thousands)

| For the years ended December 31, | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$28,747 | \$301 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash and cash equivalents provided by/(used in) operating activities: | | |
| Stock-based compensation | 3,423 | 36 |
| Deferred taxes | (14,459) | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,878 | 1,110 |
| Non-cash interest relating to equity-linked securities and loan cost amortization | 559 | 217 |
| Non-cash compensation relating to business combination | - | 4,418 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of those acquired in business combination: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (4,784) | (7,081) |
| Inventories | (3,468) | (708) |
| Prepaid expenses | (558) | (188) |
| Accounts payable | 225 | (565) |
| Accrued compensation and related expenses | 575 | (2,854) |
| Current income taxes payable | 4,233 | 20 |
| Accrued Medicaid rebates | 2,011 | 72 |
| Accrued expenses, returned goods reserve and other | 1,651 | (67) |
| Net Cash and Cash Equivalents Provided by/(Used in) Continuing Operations | 22,033 | (5,289) |
| Net Cash Used in Discontinued Operation | - | (195) |
| Net Cash and Cash Equivalents Provided by/(Used in) Operating Activities | 22,033 | (5,484) |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities | | |
| Cash acquired in business combination | - | 18,198 |
| Acquisition of product rights and other related assets | (34,634) | - |
| Release of restricted cash | - | 2,260 |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (1,120) | (191) |
| Net Cash and Cash Equivalents (Used in)/Provided by Investing Activities | (35,754) | 20,267 |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities | | |
| Net proceeds from equity offering | 46,680 | - |
| Net proceeds from convertible debt offering | 138,243 | - |
| Purchase of call option overlay, net | (15,623) | - |
| Repayment of line of credit, net | - | (4,065) |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises | 819 | - |
| Proceeds from warrant exercise | 750 | 809 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation awards | 784 | - |
| Treasury stock purchases | - | (433) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Net Cash and Cash Equivalents Provided by/(Used in) Financing Activities | 171,653 | (3,689) |
| Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 157,932 | 11,094 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 11,105 | 11 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$169,037 | \$11,105 |
| Supplemental disclosure for cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$- | \$250 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$147 | \$- |
| Supplemental non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with business combination | \$- | \$40,034 |
| Cancellation of Series D, Series C, Series B, and Series A preferred stock | \$- | \$53,726 |
| Acquired non-cash net assets | \$- | \$11,597 |
| Preferred stock dividends accrued | \$- | \$4,975 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Business

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiary, ANIP Acquisition Company (together, “ANI,” the “Company,” “we,” “us,” or “our”) is a specialty pharmaceutical company, developing and marketing generic and branded prescription products. ANI was organized as a Delaware corporation in April 2001. At our two facilities located in Baudette, Minnesota, which have a combined manufacturing, packaging and laboratory capacity totaling 173,000 square feet, we manufacture oral solid dose products, as well as liquids and topicals, including those that must be manufactured in a fully contained environment due to their potency. We also perform contract manufacturing for other pharmaceutical companies.

On June 19, 2013, BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“BioSante”) acquired ANIP Acquisition Company (“ANIP”) in an all-stock, tax-free reorganization (the “Merger”) (Note 2), in which ANIP became a wholly-owned subsidiary of BioSante. BioSante was renamed ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The Merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition pursuant to which ANIP was considered the acquiring entity for accounting purposes. As such, ANIP's historical results of operations replace BioSante's historical results of operations for all periods prior to the Merger. The results of operations of both companies are included in our consolidated financial statements for all periods after completion of the Merger.

Our operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties including, among others, current and potential competitors with greater resources, dependence on significant customers, lack of operating history and uncertainty of future profitability and possible fluctuations in financial results. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets, and satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. The propriety of using the going-concern basis is dependent upon, among other things, the achievement of future profitable operations, the ability to generate sufficient cash from operations, and potential other funding sources, including cash on hand, to meet our obligations as they become due. We believe the going-concern basis is appropriate for the accompanying consolidated financial statements based on our current operating plan through December 31, 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”). Certain prior period information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, ANIP. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. In the accompanying consolidated financial statements, estimates are used for, but not limited to, stock-based compensation, allowance for doubtful accounts, accruals for chargebacks, Medicaid rebates, returns and other allowances, allowance for inventory obsolescence, valuation of financial instruments and intangible assets, accruals for contingent liabilities, fair value of long-lived assets, deferred taxes and valuation allowance, and the depreciable lives of long-lived assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

Credit Concentration

Our customers are primarily wholesale distributors, chain drug stores, group purchasing organizations, and other pharmaceutical companies.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, three customers represented approximately 27%, 21%, and 17% of net revenues, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, accounts receivable from these customers totaled 73% of net accounts receivable. During the year ended December 31, 2013, three customers represented approximately 27%, 18%, and 10% of net revenues, respectively.

Vendor Concentration

We source the raw materials for its products, including active pharmaceutical ingredients (“API”), from both domestic and international suppliers. Generally, only a single source of API is qualified for use in each product due to the costs and time required to validate a second source of supply. As a result, we are dependent upon our current vendors to supply reliably the API required for ongoing product manufacturing. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we purchased approximately 42% of our inventory from two suppliers. As of December 31, 2014, amounts payable to these suppliers were immaterial. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we purchased approximately 37% of our inventory from three suppliers.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized for product sales and contract manufacturing product sales upon passing of risk and title to the customer, when estimates of the selling price and discounts, rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments are reasonably determinable, collection is reasonably assured, and we have no further performance obligations. Contract manufacturing arrangements are typically less than two weeks in duration, and therefore the revenue is recognized upon completion of the aforementioned factors rather than using a proportional performance method of revenue recognition. The estimates for discounts, rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments reduce gross revenues to net revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, and are presented as current liabilities or reductions in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (see “Accruals for Chargebacks, Rebates, Returns, and Other Allowances”). Historically, we have not entered into revenue arrangements with multiple elements.

Occasionally, we engage in contract services, which include product development services, laboratory services, and royalties on net sales of certain contract manufactured products. For these services, revenue is recognized according to the terms of the agreement with the customer, which sometimes include substantive, measurable risk-based milestones, and when we have a contractual right to receive such payment, the contract price is fixed or determinable, the collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured, and we have no further performance obligations under the agreement.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid instruments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. All interest bearing and non-interest bearing accounts are guaranteed by the FDIC up to \$250 thousand. We may maintain cash balances in excess of FDIC coverage. We consider this to be a normal business risk.

In conjunction with the Merger, we acquired restricted cash, none of which remained at December 31, 2013 or 2014.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

Accounts Receivable

We extend credit to customers on an unsecured basis. We use the allowance method to provide for doubtful accounts based on our evaluation of the collectability of accounts receivable, whereby we provide an allowance for doubtful accounts equal to the estimated uncollectible amounts. Our estimate is based on historical collection experience and a review of the current status of trade accounts receivable. We determine trade receivables to be delinquent when greater than 30 days past due. Receivables are written off when it is determined that amounts are uncollectible. We determined that no allowance for doubtful accounts was necessary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Accruals for Chargebacks, Rebates, Returns and Other Allowances

Our generic and branded product revenues are typically subject to agreements with customers allowing chargebacks, Medicaid rebates, product returns, administrative fees, and other rebates and prompt payment discounts. We accrue for these items at the time of sale based on the estimates and methodologies described below. In the aggregate, these accruals exceed 50% of generic and branded gross product sales and reduce gross revenues to net revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, and are presented as current liabilities or reductions in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. We continually monitor and re-evaluate the accruals as additional information becomes available, which includes, among other things, updates to trade inventory levels, claims data from recent periods, and customer product mix. We make adjustments to the accruals at the end of each reporting period, to reflect any such updates to the relevant facts and circumstances. Accruals are relieved upon receipt of payment from or upon issuance of credit to the customer.

Chargebacks

Chargebacks, primarily from wholesalers, result from arrangements we have with indirect customers establishing prices for products which the indirect customer purchases through a wholesaler. Alternatively, we may pre-authorize wholesalers to offer specified contract pricing to other indirect customers. Under either arrangement, we provide a chargeback credit to the wholesaler for any difference between the contracted price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price, typically Wholesale Acquisition Cost ("WAC").

Chargeback credits are calculated as follows:

Prior period chargebacks claimed by wholesalers are analyzed to determine the actual average selling price ("ASP") for each product. This calculation is performed by product by wholesaler. ASPs can be affected by several factors such as:

- A change in customer mix
- A change in negotiated terms with customers
- A change in product sales mix
- A change in the volume of off-contract purchases
- Changes in WAC

As necessary, we adjust ASPs based on anticipated changes in the factors above.

The difference between ASP and WAC is recorded as a reduction in both gross revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets, at the time we recognize revenue from the product sale.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

To evaluate the adequacy of our chargeback accruals, we obtain on-hand inventory counts from the wholesalers. This inventory is multiplied by the chargeback amount, the difference between ASP and WAC, to arrive at total expected future chargebacks, which is then compared to the chargeback accruals. We continually monitor chargeback activity and adjust ASPs when we believe that actual selling prices will differ from current ASPs.

Medicaid Rebates

We participate in certain qualifying federal and state Medicaid rebate programs whereby discounts and rebates are provided to participating programs after the final dispensing of the product by a pharmacy to a Medicaid plan participant. Medicaid rebates are typically billed up to 135 days after the product is shipped. Medicaid rebate amounts per product unit are established by law, based on the Average Manufacturer Price (“AMP”), which is reported on a monthly and quarterly basis, and, in the case of branded products, best price, which is reported on a quarterly basis. Our Medicaid reserves are based on expected claims from state Medicaid programs. Estimates for expected claims are driven by patient usage, sales mix, calculated AMP or best price, as well as inventory in the distribution channel that will be subject to a Medicaid rebate. As a result of the delay between selling the products and rebate billing, our Medicaid rebate reserve includes both an estimate of outstanding claims for end-customer sales that occurred but for which the related claim has not been billed as well as an estimate for future claims that will be made when inventory in the distribution channel is sold through to plan participants.

To evaluate the adequacy of our Medicaid rebate reserve, we review the reserve on a quarterly basis against actual claims data to ensure the liability is fairly stated. We continually monitor our Medicaid rebate reserve and adjust our estimates if we believe that actual Medicaid rebates may differ from our established accruals. Accruals for Medicaid rebates are recorded as a reduction to gross revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and as an increase to the Medicaid rebate reserve in the consolidated balance sheets.

Returns

We maintain a return policy that allows customers to return product within a specified period prior to and subsequent to the expiration date. Generally, product may be returned for a period beginning six months prior to its expiration date to up to one year after its expiration date. Our product returns are settled through the issuance of a credit to the customer. Our estimate for returns is based upon historical experience with actual returns. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimation in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future returns. We continually monitor our estimates for returns and make adjustments when we believe that actual product returns may differ from the established accruals. Accruals for returns are recorded as a reduction to gross revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and as an increase to the return goods reserve in the consolidated balance sheets.

Administrative Fees and Other Rebates

Administrative fees or rebates are offered to wholesalers, group purchasing organizations and indirect customers. We accrue for fees and rebates, by product by wholesaler, at the time of sale based on contracted rates and ASPs.

To evaluate the adequacy of our administrative fee accruals, we obtain on-hand inventory counts from the wholesalers. This inventory is multiplied by the ASPs to arrive at total expected future sales, which is then multiplied by contracted rates. The result is then compared to the administrative fee accruals. We continually monitor administrative fee activity and adjust our accruals when we believe that actual administrative fees will differ from the accruals. Accruals for administrative fees and other rebates are recorded as a reduction in both gross revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)***Prompt Payment Discounts*

We often grant sales discounts for prompt payment. The reserve for sales discounts is based on invoices outstanding. We assume, based on past experience, that all available discounts will be taken. Accruals for prompt payment discounts are recorded as a reduction in both gross revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table summarizes activity in the consolidated balance sheets for accruals and allowances for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | Accruals for Chargebacks, Returns and Other Allowances | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----------|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | Chargebacks | Returns | Medicaid Rebates | Administrative Fees and Other Rebates | Prompt Payment Discounts |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 5,662 | \$ 411 | \$ 180 | \$ 231 | \$ 242 |
| Accruals/Adjustments | 28,009 | 1,595 | 333 | 2,355 | 1,129 |
| Credits Taken Against Reserve | (29,595) | (1,270) | (260) | (1,851) | (1,039) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 4,076 | \$ 736 | \$ 253 | \$ 735 | \$ 332 |
| Accruals/Adjustments | 35,740 | 1,493 | 2,692 | 5,212 | 1,820 |
| Credits Taken Against Reserve | (32,951) | (784) | (681) | (4,460) | (1,681) |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 6,865 | \$ 1,445 | \$ 2,264 | \$ 1,487 | \$ 471 |

Inventories

Inventories consist of raw materials, packaging materials, work-in-progress, and finished goods. Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost or net realizable value. We periodically review and adjust standard costs, which generally

approximate weighted average cost.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Buildings and improvements | 20 - 40 years |
| Machinery, furniture and equipment | 3 - 10 years |

Construction in progress includes the cost of construction and other direct costs attributable to the construction, along with capitalized interest, if any. Depreciation is not recorded on construction in progress until such time as the assets are placed in service.

We review property and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of the long-lived asset is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. Assets held for disposal are reportable at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. We determined that no assets were impaired and no assets were held for disposal as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets were acquired as part of the Merger and several asset acquisition transactions. These assets include product rights for the 31 previously marketed generic products we acquired from Teva, product rights for our branded products Lithobid and Vancocin, fully amortized product rights for Reglan and a generic product, and the Teva license related to our male testosterone gel. These intangible assets originally were recorded at fair value and are stated net of accumulated amortization.

The rights and licenses are amortized over their remaining estimated useful lives, ranging from 2 to 11 years, based on the straight-line method. Management reviews definite-lived intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, in a manner similar to that for property and equipment.

Goodwill

Goodwill relates to the Merger and represents the excess of the total purchase consideration over the fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities, using the purchase method of accounting. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to periodic review for impairment. Goodwill is reviewed annually, as of October 31, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the goodwill might not be recoverable. We perform our review of goodwill on our one reporting unit.

Before employing detailed impairment testing methodologies, we first evaluate the likelihood of impairment by considering qualitative factors relevant to our reporting unit. When performing the qualitative assessment, we evaluate events and circumstances that would affect the significant inputs used to determine the fair value of the goodwill. Events and circumstances evaluated include: macroeconomic conditions that could affect us, industry and market

considerations for the generic pharmaceutical industry that could affect us, cost factors that could affect our performance, our financial performance (including share price), and consideration of any company-specific events that could negatively affect us, our business, or the fair value of our business. If we determine that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired, we will then apply detailed testing methodologies. Otherwise, we will conclude that no impairment has occurred.

Detailed impairment testing involves comparing the fair value of our one reporting unit to its carrying value, including goodwill. Fair value reflects the price a market participant would be willing to pay in a potential sale of ANI. If the fair value exceeds carrying value, then it is concluded that no goodwill impairment has occurred. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a second step is required to measure possible goodwill impairment loss. The second step includes hypothetically valuing the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities of our one reporting unit as if it had been acquired in a business combination. Then, the implied fair value of our one reporting unit's goodwill is compared to the carrying value of that goodwill. If the carrying value of our one reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, we recognize an impairment loss in an amount equal to the excess, not to exceed the carrying value.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)

Collaborative Arrangements

At times, we have entered into arrangements with various commercial partners to further business opportunities. In collaborative arrangements such as these, when we are actively involved and exposed to the risks and rewards of the activities and are determined to be the principal participant in the collaboration, we classify third party costs incurred and revenues in the consolidated statements of operations on a gross basis. Otherwise, third party revenues and costs generated by collaborative arrangements are presented on a net basis. Payments between us and the other participants are recorded and classified based on the nature of the payments.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred and primarily consist of expenses relating to product development. Research and development costs totaled \$2.7 million and \$1.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

We have a stock-based compensation plan that includes stock options and restricted stock, which are awarded in exchange for employee and non-employee director services. We recognize the estimated fair value of stock-based awards and classify the expense where the underlying salaries are classified. For the year ended December 31, 2014, \$0.1 million of expense related to stock-based awards was classified as cost of goods sold, \$0.1 million was classified as research and development expense and \$3.2 million was classified as sales, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2013, all stock-based awards were classified as sales, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Stock-based compensation cost for stock options is determined at the grant date using an option pricing model and stock-based compensation cost for restricted stock is based on the closing market price of the stock at the

grant date. The value of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the employee's requisite service period.

Valuation of stock awards requires us to make assumptions and to apply judgment to determine the fair value of the awards. These assumptions and judgments include estimating the future volatility of our stock price, dividend yields, future employee turnover rates, and future employee stock option exercise behaviors. Changes in these assumptions can affect the fair value estimate.

Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that such tax rate changes are enacted. The measurement of a deferred tax asset is reduced, if necessary, by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. We calculate income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation arrangements using the with and without method.

We use a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. We have not identified any uncertain income tax positions that could have a material impact to the consolidated financial statements. We are subject to taxation in various jurisdictions in the U.S. and remain subject to examination by taxing jurisdictions for the years 1998 and all subsequent periods due to the availability of net operating loss carryforwards.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

We recognize interest and penalties accrued on any unrecognized tax exposures as a component of income tax expense. We did not have any such amounts accrued as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

We consider potential tax effects resulting from discontinued operations and record intra-period tax allocations, when those effects are deemed material.

Earnings/(Loss) per Share

Basic earnings/(loss) per share is computed by dividing net income/(loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period.

Our unvested restricted shares and certain of our outstanding warrants contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends, and therefore are considered to be participating securities; the calculation of basic and diluted earnings/(loss) per share excludes from the numerator net income (but not net loss) attributable to the unvested restricted shares and to the participating warrants, and excludes the impact of those shares from the denominator.

For purposes of determining diluted earnings/(loss) per share, we have elected a policy to assume that the principal portion of the Notes (see Note 3) is settled in cash. As such, the principal portion of the Notes has no effect on either the numerator or denominator when determining diluted earnings/(loss) per share. Any conversion gain is assumed to be settled in shares and is incorporated in diluted earnings/(loss) per share using the treasury method. The warrants issued in conjunction with the issuance of the Notes (see Note 3) are considered to be dilutive when they are in-the-money relative to our average stock price during the period; the bond hedge purchased in conjunction with the issuance of the Notes is always considered to be anti-dilutive.

For periods of net income, and when the effects are not anti-dilutive, we calculate diluted earnings per share by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding plus the impact of all potential dilutive common shares, consisting primarily of common stock options, unvested restricted stock awards, stock purchase warrants, and any conversion gain on our 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes due December 1, 2019 (the "Notes," see Note 3), using the treasury stock method. For periods of net loss, diluted loss per share is calculated similarly to basic loss per share.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

The numerator for earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 are calculated for basic and diluted earnings per share as follows:

| (in thousands) | Basic Year ended December 31, | | Diluted Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income | \$28,747 | \$106 | \$28,747 | \$106 |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | (4,975) | | (4,975) |
| Net income allocated to restricted stock | (159) | - | (158) | - |
| Net income/(loss) from continuing operations allocated to common shares | \$28,588 | \$(4,869) | \$28,589 | \$(4,869) |
| Gain on discontinued operations | \$- | \$195 | \$- | \$195 |
| Net gain on discontinued operations allocated to warrants | - | (1) | - | (2) |
| Net gain on discontinued operations allocated to restricted stock | - | - | - | - |
| Gain on discontinued operations allocated to common shares | \$- | \$194 | \$- | \$193 |
| Basic Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding | 10,941 | 5,071 | 10,941 | 5,071 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | | | 71 | - |
| Dilutive effect of warrants | | | 41 | - |
| Diluted Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding | | | 11,053 | 5,071 |
| Earnings Per share from Continuing Operations | \$2.61 | \$(0.96) | \$2.59 | \$(0.96) |
| Earnings Per share from Discontinued Operation | - | 0.04 | - | 0.04 |
| Earnings/(Loss) Per Share | \$2.61 | \$(0.92) | \$2.59 | \$(0.92) |

Anti-dilutive shares consist of out-of-the-money Class C Special stock, out-of-the-money common stock options, common stock options that are anti-dilutive when calculating the impact of the potential dilutive common shares using the treasury stock method, out-of-the-money warrants exercisable for common stock, and certain participating securities, if the effect of including both the income allocated to the participating security and the impact of the potential common shares would be anti-dilutive. The number of anti-dilutive shares, which have been excluded from

the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share and which include the shares underlying the Notes, were 4.7 million and 2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

As of December 31, 2014, we had 0.5 million common stock options, 0.1 million unvested restricted stock awards, and 2.5 million warrants exercisable for common stock outstanding.

Stock Splits and Other Reclassifications

In July 2013, our Board of Directors and stockholders approved a resolution to effect a one-for-six reverse stock split of our common stock and Class C Special stock with no corresponding change to the par values. The number of authorized shares of common stock, Class C Special stock and blank check preferred stock was reduced proportionally. Common stock and Class C Special stock for all periods presented have been adjusted retrospectively to reflect the one-for-six reverse stock split.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our consolidated balance sheets include various financial instruments (primarily cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other current liabilities) that are carried at cost and that approximate fair value. The fair value of our long-term indebtedness is estimated based on the quoted prices for the same or similar issues, or on the current rates we have been offered for debt of the same remaining maturities. Fair value is the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability assuming an orderly transaction in the most advantageous market at the measurement date. U.S. GAAP establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of observability of inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include:

Level 1—Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2—Observable market-based inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 3—Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

See Note 7 for additional information regarding fair value.

Segment Information

We currently operate in a single business segment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance requiring management to evaluate on a regular basis whether any conditions or events have arisen that could raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The guidance 1) provides a definition for the term "substantial doubt," 2) requires an evaluation every reporting period, interim periods included, 3) provides principles for considering the mitigating effect of management's plans to alleviate the substantial doubt, 4) requires certain disclosures if the substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of management's plans, 5) requires an express statement, as well as other disclosures, if the substantial doubt is not alleviated, and 6) requires an assessment period of one year from the date the financial statements are issued. The standard is effective for our reporting year beginning January 1, 2017 and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance for revenue recognition for contracts, superseding the previous revenue recognition requirements, along with most existing industry-specific guidance. The guidance requires an entity to review contracts in five steps: 1) identify the contract, 2) identify performance obligations, 3) determine the transaction price, 4) allocate the transaction price, and 5) recognize revenue. The new standard will result in enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue arising from contracts with customers. The standard is effective for our reporting year beginning January 1, 2017 and early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)**

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued guidance for the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The guidance requires an entity to present in the financial statements an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for an NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. If the NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the jurisdiction or the tax law of the jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit will be presented in the financial statements as a liability and will not be combined with deferred tax assets. This guidance does not require any additional recurring disclosures and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this standard in 2014 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

We have evaluated all other issued and unadopted Accounting Standards Updates and believe the adoption of these standards will not have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

2. BUSINESS COMBINATION

On June 19, 2013, BioSante acquired ANIP in an all-stock, tax-free reorganization. We are operating under the leadership of the ANIP management team and the board of directors is comprised of two former directors from BioSante and five former ANIP directors.

BioSante issued to ANIP stockholders shares of BioSante common stock such that the ANIP stockholders owned 57% of the combined company’s shares outstanding, and the former BioSante stockholders owned 43%. In addition, immediately prior to the Merger, BioSante distributed to its then current stockholders contingent value rights (“CVR”) providing payment rights arising from a future sale, transfer, license or similar transaction(s) involving BioSante’s LibiGel® (female testosterone gel).

The Merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition pursuant to which ANIP was considered the acquiring entity for accounting purposes. As such, ANIP's historical results of operations replace BioSante's historical results of operations for all periods prior to the Merger. BioSante, the accounting acquiree, was a publicly-traded pharmaceutical company focused on developing high value, medically-needed products. ANIP entered into the Merger to secure additional capital and gain access to capital market opportunities as a public company.

The results of operations of both companies are included in our consolidated financial statements for all periods after completion of the Merger.

Transaction Costs

In conjunction with the Merger, we incurred approximately \$7.1 million in transaction costs, which were expensed in the periods in which they were incurred. These costs include:

| Category | (in thousands) |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Legal fees | \$ 1,227 |
| Accounting fees | 122 |
| Consulting fees | 119 |
| Monitoring and advisory fees | 390 |
| Transaction bonuses | 4,801 |
| Other | 429 |
| Total transaction costs | \$ 7,088 |

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****2. BUSINESS COMBINATION (Continued)**

Of the total expenses, \$6.2 million was incurred and expensed in the year ended December 31, 2013, \$5.5 million as selling, general and administrative expense, \$0.3 million as interest expense, and \$0.4 million as other expense, in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. No transaction-related expenses were incurred in the year ended December 31, 2014.

Purchase Consideration and Net Assets Acquired

The fair value of BioSante's common stock used in determining the purchase price was \$1.22 per share, the closing price on June 19, 2013, which resulted in a total purchase consideration of \$29.8 million. The fair value of all additional consideration, including the vested BioSante stock options and CVRs, was immaterial. The following presents the final allocation of the purchase consideration to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on June 19, 2013:

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | (in thousands) |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 29,795 |
| Assets acquired | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 18,198 |
| Restricted cash | 2,260 |
| Teva license intangible asset | 10,900 |
| Other tangible assets | 79 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | - |
| Goodwill | 1,838 |
| Total assets | 33,275 |
| Liabilities assumed | |
| Accrued severance | 2,965 |
| Other liabilities | 515 |
| Total liabilities | 3,480 |

Total net assets acquired \$ 29,795

The Teva license is related to our generic male testosterone gel product and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life of 11 years. Goodwill, which is not tax deductible since the transaction was structured as a tax-free exchange, is considered an indefinite-lived asset and relates primarily to intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition. As a result of purchase accounting related to the Merger, we established deferred tax assets of \$9.6 million, deferred tax liabilities of \$3.9 million, and a valuation allowance of \$5.7 million, netting to deferred tax assets of \$0.

Former BioSante operations generated no revenue and no expense in the year ended December 31, 2014. Former BioSante operations generated \$0.5 million of revenue in a non-recurring payment related to the Teva license, and no expense from the acquisition date through December 31, 2013.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****2. BUSINESS COMBINATION (Continued)****Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information (unaudited)**

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information summarizes the results of operations for 2013 as if the Merger had been completed as of January 1, 2012. Pro forma information reflects adjustments relating to (i) elimination of the interest on ANIP's senior and equity-linked securities, (ii) elimination of monitoring and advisory fees payable to two ANIP investors, (iii) elimination of transaction costs, and (iv) amortization of intangibles acquired. The pro forma amounts do not purport to be indicative of the results that would have actually been obtained if the Merger had occurred as of January 1, 2012 or that may be obtained in the future.

| (in thousands) | Year ended December 31, 2013 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Net revenues | \$ 30,228 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 89 |

3. INDEBTEDNESS***Convertible Senior Notes***

In December 2014, we issued \$143.8 million of our Notes in a registered public offering. After deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses (including the net cost of the bond hedge and warrant, discussed below), the net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$122.6 million. The Notes pay 3.0% interest semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year, starting on June 1, 2015 and are due December 1, 2019. The Notes are convertible into 2,068,793 shares of common stock, based on an initial conversion price of \$69.48 per share.

The Notes are convertible at the option of the holder (i) during any calendar quarter beginning after March 31, 2015, if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day, (ii) during the five business days after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes for each trading day of such period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; and (iii) on or after June 1, 2019 until the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date.

Upon conversion by the holders, we may elect to settle such conversion in shares of our common stock, cash, or a combination thereof. As a result of our cash conversion option, we separately accounted for the value of the embedded conversion option as a debt discount (with an offset to APIC) of \$33.6 million. The value of the embedded conversion option was determined based on the estimated fair value of the debt without the conversion feature, which was determined using market comparables to estimate the fair value of similar non-convertible debt (see Note 7); the debt discount is being amortized as additional non-cash interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the Notes.

Offering costs of \$5.5 million have been allocated to the debt and equity components in proportion to the allocation of proceeds to the components, as deferred financing costs and equity issuance costs, respectively. The deferred financing costs of \$4.2 million are being amortized as additional non-cash interest expense using the straight-line method over the term of the debt, since this method was not significantly different from the effective interest method. The \$1.3 million portion allocated to equity issuance costs was charged to APIC.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****3. INDEBTEDNESS (Continued)**

A portion of the offering proceeds was used to simultaneously enter into “bond hedge” (or purchased call) and “warrant” (or written call) transactions with an affiliate of one of the offering underwriters (collectively, the “Call Option Overlay”). We entered into the Call Option Overlay to synthetically raise the initial conversion price of the Notes to \$96.21 per share and reduce the potential common stock dilution that may arise from the conversion of the Notes. The exercise price of the bond hedge is \$69.48 per share, with an underlying 2,068,792 common shares; the exercise price of the warrant is \$96.21 per share of our common stock, also with an underlying 2,068,792 common shares. Because the bond hedge and warrant are both indexed to our common stock and otherwise would be classified as equity, we recorded both elements as equity, resulting in a net reduction to APIC of \$15.6 million.

The carrying value of the Notes is as follows as of December 31:

| (in thousands) | 2014 |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Principal amount | \$ 143,750 |
| Unamortized debt discount | (33,059) |
| Net Carrying value | \$ 110,691 |

The following table sets forth the components of total “interest expense” related to the Notes recognized in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31:

| (in thousands) | 2014 |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Contractual coupon | \$252 |
| Amortization of debt discount | 489 |
| Amortization of finance fees | 70 |
| | \$811 |

The effective interest rate on the Notes is 7.7%, on an annualized basis.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following as of December 31:

| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Raw materials | \$5,056 | \$1,480 |
| Packaging materials | 794 | 766 |
| Work-in-progress | 411 | 162 |
| Finished goods | 1,368 | 1,152 |
| | 7,629 | 3,560 |
| Reserve for excess/obsolete inventories | (111) | (42) |
| Inventories, net | \$7,518 | \$3,518 |

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****5. PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT**

Property, Plant and Equipment consist of the following as of December 31:

| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Land | \$87 | \$87 |
| Buildings | 3,682 | 3,682 |
| Machinery, furniture and equipment | 4,822 | 3,736 |
| Construction in progress | 426 | 229 |
| | 9,017 | 7,734 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (3,794) | (3,197) |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, net | \$5,223 | \$4,537 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$597 thousand and \$534 thousand, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, there was no material interest capitalized into construction in progress.

6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS**Goodwill**

As a result of the Merger (Note 2), we recorded goodwill of \$1.8 million in our one reporting unit. We assess the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill on an annual basis as of October 31 of each year, and whenever events occur or circumstances changes that would, more likely than not, reduce the fair value of our reporting unit below its carrying value.

For the goodwill impairment analysis performed at October 31, 2014, we performed a qualitative assessment to determine whether it was more likely than not that our goodwill asset was impaired in order to determine the necessity of performing a quantitative impairment test, under which management would calculate the asset's fair value. When performing the qualitative assessment, we evaluate events and circumstances that would affect the significant inputs used to determine the fair value of the goodwill. Events and circumstances evaluated include: macroeconomic conditions that could affect us, industry and market considerations for the generic pharmaceutical industry that could affect us, cost factors that could affect our performance, our financial performance (including share price), and consideration of any company-specific events that could negatively affect us, our business, or our fair value. Based on our assessment of the aforementioned factors, it was determined that it was more likely than not that the fair value of our one reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount as of October 31, 2014, and therefore no quantitative testing for impairment was required.

In addition to the qualitative impairment analysis performed at October 31, 2014, there were no events or changes in circumstances that could have reduced the fair value of our reporting unit below its carrying value from October 31, 2014 to December 31, 2014. No impairment loss was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the gross and net balance of goodwill was \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

Acquisition of Abbreviated New Drug Applications

On December 26, 2013, we entered into an agreement to purchase (the “Teva Purchase Agreement”) Abbreviated New Drug Applications (“ANDAs”) to produce 31 generic drug products from Teva Pharmaceuticals (“Teva”) for \$12.5 million in cash and a percentage of future gross profits from product sales. According to the terms of the Teva Purchase Agreement, Teva was required to provide soft copy materials and transfer ownership of the ANDAs to us within five business days of signing the Teva Purchase Agreement, and we were required to pay the first installment of \$8.5 million upon receipt thereof. Teva provided the soft copy materials and transferred ownership of the ANDAs to us on January 2, 2014 and we paid the first installment of \$8.5 million to Teva on January 2, 2014. Teva was also required to provide hard copy materials to us within 90 days of signing the Teva Purchase Agreement. Teva provided the hard copy materials on March 5, 2014 and we paid the \$4.0 million balance on March 6, 2014.

The drug products include 20 solid-oral immediate release products, four extended release products and seven liquid products. The ANDAs are being amortized in full over their useful lives, averaging 10 years.

Acquisition of Lithobid Product Rights

In July 2014, we entered into an agreement to purchase (the “Lithobid Purchase Agreement”) the product rights to Lithobid from Noven Therapeutics, LLC (“Noven”) for \$11.0 million in cash at closing, and \$1.0 million in cash if certain approvals are received from the FDA on or before June 30, 2015. This \$1.0 million contingent payment was paid in January 2015 and is included in accounts payable at December 31, 2014 in the consolidated balance sheet. Pursuant to the terms of the Lithobid Purchase Agreement, we acquired the intellectual property rights and NDA associated with Lithobid, as well as a small amount of raw material inventory. The \$12.0 million product rights intangible asset is being amortized over its estimated useful life of 10 years.

Acquisition of Vancocin Product Rights

In August 2014, we entered into an agreement to purchase (the “Vancocin Purchase Agreement”) the product rights to Vancocin from Shire ViroPharma Incorporated (“Shire”) for \$11.0 million in cash at closing. Pursuant to the terms of the Vancocin Purchase Agreement, we acquired the U.S. intellectual property rights and NDA associated with Vancocin, two related ANDAs, and certain equipment and inventory. The \$10.5 million product rights intangible asset is being amortized over its estimated useful life of 10 years.

Definite-lived Intangible Assets

The components of net definite-lived intangible assets are as follows:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | Weighted Average Amortization Period |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Acquired ANDA intangible assets | \$ 12,577 | \$ (1,312) | \$ 60 | \$ (55) | 10 years |
| Product rights | 22,522 | (1,133) | 100 | (100) | 10 years |
| Teva license intangible asset | 10,900 | (1,487) | 10,900 | (496) | 11 years |
| | \$ 45,999 | \$ (3,932) | \$ 11,060 | \$ (651) | |

Our acquired ANDA intangible assets consist of the exclusive rights, including all of the applicable technical data and other relevant information, to produce certain pharmaceutical products, including those acquired pursuant to the Teva Purchase Agreement. The product rights assets consist of the exclusive rights, including all of the applicable technical data and other relevant information, to produce certain branded pharmaceutical products that we acquired from various companies, including those acquired pursuant to the Lithobid Purchase Agreement and the Vancocin Purchase Agreement. The Teva license was acquired as part of the Merger (Note 2). Intangible assets are stated at the lower of cost or fair value, net of amortization using the straight line method over the expected useful lives of the assets. Amortization expense was \$3.3 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

We test for impairment of definite-lived intangible assets when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. No such triggering events were identified in 2014 and 2013, and therefore no impairment loss was recognized during those periods.

Expected future amortization expense is as follows for the years ending December 31:

| (in thousands) | |
|---------------------|----------|
| 2015 | \$4,485 |
| 2016 | 4,485 |
| 2017 | 4,485 |
| 2018 | 4,485 |
| 2019 | 4,485 |
| 2020 and thereafter | 19,642 |
| Total | \$42,067 |

7. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Fair value is the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability assuming an orderly transaction in the most advantageous market at the measurement date. U.S. GAAP establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of observability of inputs used in measuring fair value.

Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The inputs used in measuring the fair value of cash and cash equivalents are considered to be Level 1 in accordance with the three-tier fair value hierarchy. The fair market values are based on period-end statements supplied by the

various banks and brokers that held the majority of our funds.

Our CVRs (Note 2) are considered to be contingent consideration and are classified as liabilities. As such, the CVRs were recorded as purchase consideration at their estimated fair value, using Level 3 inputs, and are marked to market each reporting period until settlement. The fair value of CVRs is estimated using the present value of management's projection of the expected payments pursuant to the terms of the CVR agreement, which is the primary unobservable input. If our projection or expected payments were to increase substantially, the value of the CVRs could increase as a result. The present value of the liability was calculated using a discount rate of 15%. We determined that the fair value of the CVRs was immaterial as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. We also determined that the changes in such fair value were immaterial, for the year ended December 31, 2014 and period from the date of the Merger to December 31, 2013.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****7. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES (Continued)**

Prior to the Merger, ANIP's warrants to purchase common and preferred stock were classified as derivative liabilities and were measured at fair value using level 3 inputs. The fair value of stock purchase warrants was determined using a two-step process that included valuing ANIP's equity using both market and discounted cash flow methods, and then apportioning that value, using an equity allocation model, to each of ANIP's classes of stock. These models require the use of unobservable inputs such as fair value of ANIP's common and preferred stock, expected term, anticipated volatility, future interest and interest rates, expected cash flows and the number of outstanding common and preferred shares as of a future date. All such stock purchase warrants expired in connection with the Merger.

The following table presents our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, by level within the fair value hierarchy:

(in thousands)

| Description | Fair Value at December 31, 2014 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Liabilities | | | | |
| CVRs | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

| Description | Fair Value at December 31, 2013 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Liabilities | | | | |
| CVRs | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

Financial Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

In December 2014, we issued \$143.8M of Notes (see Note 3). Because we have the option to cash settle the potential conversion of the Notes in cash, we separated the embedded conversion option feature from the debt feature and account for each component separately, based on the fair value of the debt component assuming no conversion option. The calculation of the fair value of the debt component required the use of Level 3 inputs, and was determined by calculating the fair value of similar non-convertible debt, using a theoretical interest rate of 9%. The theoretical interest rate was determined from market comparables to estimate what the interest rate would have been if there was no conversion option embedded in the Notes. The fair value of the embedded conversion option was calculated using the residual value method and is classified as equity. As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of the Notes approximates their carrying value of \$110.7 million.

A portion of the offering proceeds was used to simultaneously enter into “bond hedge” (or purchased call) and “warrant” (or written call) transactions with an affiliate of one of the offering underwriters (see Note 3). The exercise price of the bond hedge is \$69.48 per share, with an underlying 2,068,792 common shares; the exercise price of the warrant is \$96.21 per share of our common stock, also with an underlying 2,068,792 common shares. We calculated the fair value of the bond hedge based on the price we paid to purchase the call. We calculated the fair value of the warrant based on the price at which the affiliate purchased the warrants from us. Because the bond hedge and warrant are both indexed to our common stock and otherwise would be classified as equity, we recorded both elements as equity, resulting in a net reduction to APIC of \$15.6 million.

Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

We have no non-financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

7. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES (Continued)

Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

We measure our long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and goodwill, at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets are recognized at fair value when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. No such fair value impairment was recognized in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Acquired Non-Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value

In July 2014, we acquired from Noven the product rights associated with Lithobid, as well as a small amount of raw material inventory, for total consideration of \$12.0 million (Note 6). In addition, we capitalized \$45 thousand of legal costs directly related to the transaction. These assets were recorded at their relative fair values, which were determined based on Level 3 unobservable inputs. In order to determine the fair value of the product rights, we used the present value of the estimated cash flows related to the product rights, using a discount rate of 10%. The \$12.0 million of product rights will be amortized over their 10 year useful life, and will be tested for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. No such triggering events were identified during the period from the date of acquisition to December 31, 2014 and therefore no impairment loss was recognized in 2014. We recorded \$86 thousand of inventory. The value of the raw material inventory was determined based on the most recent purchase price of the material.

In August 2014, we acquired from Shire the U.S. product rights associated with Vancocin, certain equipment, and inventory, for total consideration of \$11.0 million (Note 6). In addition, we capitalized \$0.1 million of legal costs directly related to the transaction. These assets were recorded at their relative fair values, which were determined based on Level 3 unobservable inputs. In order to determine the fair value of the product rights, we used the present value of the estimated cash flows related to the product rights, using a discount rate of 10%. The \$10.5 million of value assigned to the product rights will be amortized over their 10 year useful life, and will be tested for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. No such triggering events were identified during the period from the date of acquisition to December 31, 2014 and therefore no

impairment loss was recognized in 2014. The \$0.2 million of value assigned to the equipment was determined based on the amount for which we believe we would be able to sell the equipment. The equipment will be depreciated over its estimated 10 year useful life, and would be re-valued at the fair value if deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. We recorded \$0.4 million of inventory. The value of the raw material inventory was determined based on the most recent purchase price of the material. The value of the finished goods inventory was determined based on the estimated sales to be generated from the finished goods, less costs to sell, including a reasonable margin.

8. SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY

Authorized shares

We are authorized to issue up to 33.3 million shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share, 0.8 million shares of class C special stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share, and 1.7 million shares of undesignated preferred stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share at December 31, 2014.

There were 11.4 million and 9.6 million shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

8. SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (Continued)

There were 11 thousand shares of class C special stock issued and outstanding as of both December 31, 2014 and 2013. Each share of class C special stock entitles its holder to one vote per share. Each share of class C special stock is exchangeable, at the option of the holder, for one share of our common stock, at an exchange price of \$90.00 per share, subject to adjustment upon certain capitalization events. Holders of class C special stock are not entitled to receive dividends or to participate in the distribution of our assets if we were to liquidate, dissolve, or wind-up the company. The holders of class C special stock have no cumulative voting, preemptive, subscription, redemption or sinking fund rights.

There were no shares of undesignated preferred stock outstanding as of December 31, 2014 or 2013.

Equity Offerings

On March 10, 2014, we completed a follow-on public offering of 1.6 million shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$31.00 per share (the "March 2014 Offering"). We received gross proceeds of \$50.0 million, or net proceeds of \$46.7 million after deducting costs of \$3.3 million, including the underwriters' fees and commissions, as well as expenses directly related to the March 2014 Offering. The number of shares sold in the March 2014 Offering includes the exercise in full by the underwriters of their option to purchase an additional 0.2 million shares of common stock.

Warrants

Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2.5 million shares (as adjusted for the July 17, 2013 one-for-six reverse split, when applicable) of our common stock were outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2014:

(in thousands, except per share price)

| Issue Date | Number of Underlying Shares Of Common Stock | Per Share Exercise Price | Expiration Date |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| March 8, 2010 | 145 | \$ 74.88 | September 8, 2015 |
| June 23, 2010 | 99 | \$ 88.20 | June 23, 2015 |
| June 23, 2010 | 6 | \$ 94.68 | June 9, 2015 |
| December 30, 2010 | 147 | \$ 72.00 | December 30, 2015 |
| December 30, 2010 | 9 | \$ 76.50 | June 9, 2015 |
| December 4, 2014 | 1,799 | \$ 96.21 | March 1, 2020 |
| December 5, 2014 | 270 | \$ 96.21 | March 1, 2020 |

All outstanding warrants are classified as equity. In December 2014, we issued 2.1 million warrants in conjunction with the issuance of the Notes (see Note 3). In January 2014, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 20 thousand shares of common stock were exercised at \$9.00 per share. In December 2014, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 63 thousand shares of common stock were exercised at \$9.00 per share. Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 198 thousand shares of common stock expired unexercised during the year ended December 31, 2014.

During 2013, we issued no warrants. In December 2013, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 90 thousand shares of common stock were exercised. During 2013, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 13 thousand shares of common stock expired unexercised.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

All equity-based service awards are granted under the ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan"). As of December 31, 2014, 0.6 million shares of our common stock remained available for issuance under the 2008 Plan.

We measure the cost of equity-based service awards based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The cost is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award or the requisite service period. We recognize stock-based compensation expense ratably over the vesting periods of the awards, adjusted for estimated forfeitures. We incurred \$3.4 million and \$36 thousand of non-cash, stock-based compensation cost for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively in connection with the 2008 Plan. In 2014, \$0.1 million of the stock-based compensation cost was recognized as cost of sales, \$0.1 million was recognized as research and development expense, and \$3.2 million was recognized as sales, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. All of the 2013 stock-based compensation cost was included in sales, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. An income tax benefit related to \$4.4 million of stock-based compensation tax deductions was recognized in our 2014 consolidated statements of operations. No income tax benefit was recognized in our 2013 consolidated statements of operations for stock-based compensation arrangements.

Stock Options

Outstanding employee stock options generally vest over a period of three or four years and have 10-year contractual terms. Upon exercise of an option, we issue new shares of our common stock.

For 2014 and 2013, the fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, using the following weighted average assumptions:

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Expected option life (years) | 5.39 - 6.25 | 6.25 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.55% - 2.03% | 1.72 % |
| Expected stock price volatility | 50.6% - 55.1% | 55.00% |
| Dividend yield | — | — |

We use the simplified method to estimate the life of options. In 2014, 0.3 million options granted by the board of directors but requiring shareholder approval were approved at the May 22, 2014 shareholder's meeting. As a result, the fair values of these options were calculated using the simplified method less the time between the grant date and the date of the approval, or 5.39 years. The risk-free interest rate used is the yield on a U.S. Treasury note as of the grant date with a maturity equal to the estimated life of the option. We calculated an estimated volatility rate based on the closing prices of several competitors that manufacture similar products. We have not issued a cash dividend in the past nor do we have any current plans to do so in the future; and therefore, an expected dividend yield of zero was used. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised through a cumulative catch-up adjustment in the period of change if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we used an estimated forfeiture rate of zero for directors and officers and a forfeiture rate of 5.1% for non-officer employees.

On August 20, 2014, the Board of Directors approved a grant of options to purchase 25 thousand shares of common stock to one of our officers.

On April 1, 2014, the Board of Directors approved grants of options to purchase 59 thousand shares of common stock to our officers and options to purchase 16 thousand shares of common stock to non-employee directors.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)**

On July 12, 2013 and August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors approved grants to employees of stock options to purchase 0.3 million shares of ANI stock under the 2008 Plan, subject to shareholder approval of an increase in the total shares available for issuance under the 2008 Plan. The increase in total shares was approved by shareholders at the May 22, 2014 annual meeting, at which time we began recognizing stock-based compensation expense related to these awards.

In 2013, the Board of Directors granted options to purchase 21 thousand shares of common stock and 50 thousand shares of restricted stock to non-officer directors under the 2008 Plan.

A summary of stock option activity under the Plan during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is presented below:

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Option Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Outstanding December 31, 2012 | - | - | - | \$ — |
| Net BioSante stock options assumed | 99 | \$ 59.59 | | |
| Granted | 21 | \$ 6.36 | | |
| Exercised | - | - | | — |
| Forfeited or expired | - | - | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2013 | 120 | \$ 50.35 | 2.4 | \$ 81 |
| Granted | 120 | \$ 31.59 | | |
| Options previously granted, approved by shareholders | 325 | 6.39 | | |
| Exercised | (43) | 19.45 | | 638 |
| Forfeited | (4) | 6.36 | | |
| Expired | (60) | 73.96 | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2014 | 458 | \$ 14.44 | 8.7 | \$ 19,472 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2014 | 83 | \$ 14.35 | 8.1 | \$ 3,755 |
| Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2014 | 452 | \$ 14.44 | 8.7 | \$ 19,211 |

As of December 31, 2014, there was \$6.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we received \$0.8 million in cash from the exercise of stock options and recorded a \$0.6 million tax benefit related to these exercises.

Restricted Stock Awards

On April 1, 2014, the Board of Directors approved grants of 30 thousand shares of restricted stock to our officers. The restricted stock was granted subject to shareholder approval of an increase in the total restricted stock available for grant under the 2008 Plan. The increase in total restricted stock available for grant under the 2008 Plan was approved by shareholders at the May 22, 2014 annual meeting and the restricted stock was granted as of May 22, 2014. The RSAs vest one-fourth per year, over four years on the anniversary of the grant date, provided that the officer continues to serve as an ANI employee on each of the vesting dates. Shares of our common stock delivered to the officers will be unrestricted upon vesting. During the vesting period, the recipient of the restricted stock has full voting rights as a stockholder and would receive dividends, if declared, even though the restricted stock remains subject to transfer restrictions and will generally be forfeited upon termination of the officer prior to vesting. The fair value of each RSA is based on the market value of our stock on the date of grant.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)**

On November 1, 2013, Board of Directors approved grants of 50 thousand restricted stock awards (“RSAs”) to the non-officer directors under the 2008 Plan. The RSAs vest one-third per year, over three years on the anniversary of the grant date, provided that the director continues to serve as an ANI director on each of the vesting dates. Shares of our common stock delivered to the directors will be unrestricted upon vesting. During the vesting period, the recipient of the restricted stock has full voting rights as a stockholder and would receive dividends, if declared, even though the restricted stock remains subject to transfer restrictions and will generally be forfeited upon termination of the director from the board prior to vesting. The fair value of each RSA is based on the market value of our stock on the date of grant.

A summary of RSA activity under the Plan during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is presented below:

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Weighted Average Remaining Term (years) |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--|---|
| Unvested at December 31, 2012 | - | \$ - | |
| Granted | 50 | \$ 10.20 | |
| Vested | - | \$ - | |
| Forfeited | - | \$ - | |
| Unvested at December 31, 2013 | 50 | \$ 10.20 | 2.84 |
| Granted | 30 | \$ 29.61 | |
| Vested | (17) | \$ 10.20 | |
| Forfeited | - | \$ - | |
| Unvested at December 31, 2014 | 63 | \$ 19.34 | 2.57 |

As of December 31, 2014, there was \$1.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested RSAs granted under the Plan, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****10. INCOME TAXES**

Our total provision (benefit) from income taxes consists of the following for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------|-------|
| Current income tax provision (benefit): | | |
| Federal | \$4,818 | \$20 |
| State | 273 | - |
| Total | 5,091 | 20 |
| Deferred income tax provision (benefit): | | |
| Federal | 2,113 | 635 |
| State | 154 | (221) |
| Total | 2,267 | 414 |
| Change in valuation allowance | (16,726) | (414) |
| Tax provision (benefit) from continuing operations | (9,368) | 20 |
| Tax provision from discontinued operation | - | 38 |
| Total provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$(9,368) | \$58 |

The difference between our expected income tax provision (benefit) from applying federal statutory tax rates to the pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations and actual income tax provision (benefit) from continuing operations relates primarily to the effect of the following:

| | As of December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| US Federal statutory rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| State taxes, net of Federal benefit | 1.0 % | 1.0 % |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|--------|---|---------|---|
| Non-deductible expenses | - | % | 245.9 | % |
| Change in valuation allowance | (86.5) | % | (300.4) | % |
| Change in tax rates and other | (1.2) | % | 34.6 | % |
| Stock-based compensation – windfall tax benefits | 4.0 | % | - | % |
| Other | (0.7) | % | - | % |
| Total income tax provision (benefit) | (48.4) | % | 16.1 | % |

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****10. INCOME TAXES (Continued)**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of differences between the bases of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and income tax purposes. Our deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following:

| (in thousands) | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Accruals and advances | \$2,149 | \$802 |
| Bond hedge | 12,677 | - |
| Accruals for chargebacks and returns | 1,107 | 358 |
| Net operating loss carryforward | 11,798 | 16,409 |
| Other | 1,292 | 418 |
| Total deferred tax assets | \$29,023 | \$17,987 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | \$(587) | \$(220) |
| Debt discount | (11,892) | - |
| Intangible assets | (467) | (526) |
| Other | (496) | (374) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | \$(13,442) | \$(1,120) |
| Valuation allowance | (142) | (16,867) |
| Total deferred tax asset (liability), net | \$15,439 | \$- |

As of December 31, 2014, we had Federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$32.9 million, which expire beginning in 2018, and a portion of which arose as a result of the Merger. The utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards are limited in future years as prescribed by Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code; our current annual limitation of the Federal net operating loss is approximately \$11.2 million per year.

We are required to establish a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in

which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income in previous periods, we had provided a full valuation allowance as of December 31, 2013. In the fourth quarter of 2014, we determined that certain deferred tax assets that had previously been reserved would now more likely than not be realized through the generation of future taxable income and, as a result, recognized an income tax benefit of \$16.7 million related to the reversal of the majority of the valuation allowance. As of December 31, 2014, we have provided a valuation allowance against certain state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$0.1 million.

We are subject to income taxes in numerous jurisdictions in the U.S. Significant judgment is required in evaluating our tax positions and determining our provision for income taxes. We establish liabilities for tax-related uncertainties based on estimates of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. These liabilities are established when we believe that certain positions might be challenged despite our belief that our tax return positions are fully supportable. We adjust these liabilities in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the outcome of a tax audit. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of changes to the liability that is considered appropriate. We identified no material uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

10. INCOME TAXES (Continued)

We are subject to income tax audits in all jurisdictions for which we file tax returns. Tax audits by their nature are often complex and can require several years to complete. Neither ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. nor any of its subsidiaries is currently under audit in any jurisdiction. All of our income tax returns remain subject to examination by tax authorities due to the availability of net operating loss carryforwards.

11. COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

RiconPharma LLC

In July 2011, we entered into a collaborative arrangement with RiconPharma LLC (“RiconPharma”). Under the parties' master product development and collaboration agreement (the “RiconPharma Agreement”), we and RiconPharma have agreed to collaborate in a cost, asset and profit sharing arrangement for the development, manufacturing, regulatory approval and marketing of pharmaceutical products in the U.S.

In general, RiconPharma is responsible for developing the products and we are responsible for manufacturing, sales, marketing and distribution of the products. The parties are jointly responsible for directing any bioequivalence studies. We are responsible for obtaining and maintaining all necessary regulatory approvals, including the preparation of all ANDAs.

Under the RiconPharma Agreement and unless otherwise specified in an amendment, the parties will own equally all the rights, title and interest in the products. To the extent permitted by applicable law, we will be identified on the product packaging as the manufacturer and distributor of the product. During the term of the agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, manufacturing, selling or distributing any products that are identical or bioequivalent to products covered under the RiconPharma Agreement.

We recognize the costs incurred with respect to this agreement as expense and classify the expenses based on the nature of the costs. In the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we incurred \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million in research and development expenses related to the RiconPharma Agreement. No revenue has yet been recognized.

Sofgen Pharmaceuticals

August 2013 Sofgen Agreement

In August 2013, we entered into an agreement with Sofgen Pharmaceuticals (“Sofgen”) to develop an oral soft gel prescription product indicated for cardiovascular health (the “August 2013 Sofgen Agreement”). The product will be subject to an ANDA filing once developed. In general, Sofgen will be responsible for the development, manufacturing and regulatory submission of the product, including preparation of the ANDA, and we will provide payments based on the completion of certain milestones. Upon approval, Sofgen will manufacture the drug and we will be responsible for the marketing and distribution, under the ANI label, of the product in the U.S., providing a percentage of profits from sales of the drug to Sofgen.

Under the August 2013 Sofgen Agreement, Sofgen will own all the rights, title and interest in the products. During the term of the agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, manufacturing, selling or distributing any product that is identical or bioequivalent to the product covered under the Sofgen Agreement in the U.S. The agreement may be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances.

We recognize the costs incurred with respect to the August 2013 Sofgen Agreement as expense and classify the expenses based on the nature of the costs. In the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we incurred \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million in research and development expenses related to the August 2013 Sofgen Agreement. No revenue has yet been recognized.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

11. COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS (Continued)

April 2014 Sofgen Agreement

In April 2014, we entered into a second collaboration agreement with Sofgen to develop an oral soft gel prescription product (the “April 2014 Sofgen Agreement”). The product will be subject to an ANDA filing once developed. In general, Sofgen will be responsible for the development, manufacturing and regulatory submission of the product, including preparation of the ANDA, and we will provide payments based on the completion of certain milestones. Upon approval, Sofgen will manufacture the drug and we will be responsible for the marketing and distribution, under our label, of the product in the United States, providing a percentage of profits from sales of the drug to Sofgen.

Under the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement, Sofgen will own all the rights, title and interest in the product. During the term of the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, selling or distributing any product in the United States that is identical or bioequivalent to the product covered under the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement. The April 2014 Sofgen Agreement can be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances. The April 2014 Sofgen Agreement has an initial term of ten years from the launch of the product, which term will automatically renew for two year terms until either party terminates the agreement.

We recognize the costs incurred with respect to the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement as expense and classify the expenses based on the nature of the costs. We have recorded \$0.1 million of research and development expense related to the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement from the inception of the agreement through December 31, 2014. No revenue has yet been recognized with respect to the April 2014 Sofgen Agreement.

Dexcel Pharma Technologies Ltd

In June 2014, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Dexcel Pharma Technologies Ltd (“Dexcel”) to commercialize and sell a generic drug product (the “June 2014 Dexcel Agreement”). The product is subject to FDA approval of an ANDA filing. In general, Dexcel will be responsible for the manufacturing and regulatory submission

of the product, including obtaining approval of the ANDA, and we will provide payments based on the completion of certain milestones. Upon approval, Dexcel will manufacture the drug and we will be responsible for the marketing and distribution, under our label, of the product in the United States, providing a percentage of profits from sales of the drug to Dexcel.

Under the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement, Dexcel will own all the rights, title and interest in the product. During the term of the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement, both parties are prohibited from developing, selling, or distributing any product in the United States that is identical or bioequivalent to the product covered under the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement. The June 2014 Dexcel Agreement can be terminated or amended under certain specified circumstances. The June 2014 Dexcel Agreement has an initial term of five years from the launch of the product, which term can be renewed for two year terms if both parties agree, until either party terminates the agreement.

We recognize the costs incurred with respect to the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement as expense and classify the expenses based on the nature of the costs. We have not yet incurred any expense related to the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement and no revenue has yet been recognized with respect to the June 2014 Dexcel Agreement.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

We lease equipment under operating leases that expire in May 2017. We also lease office space under operating leases that expire beginning in February 2016 through September 2018.

For the annual periods after December 31, 2014, approximate minimum annual rental payments under non-cancelable leases are presented below:

(in thousands)

| Year | Minimum Annual Rental Payments |
|------------|---|
| 2015 | \$ 78 |
| 2016 | 53 |
| 2017 | 44 |
| 2018 | 29 |
| 2019 | - |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | \$ 204 |

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$70 thousand and \$36 thousand, respectively.

Vendor Purchase Minimums

We have supply agreements with three vendors that include purchase minimums. Pursuant to these agreements, we will be required to purchase a total of \$5.8 million of API from these three vendors during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Monitoring and Advisory Fees

Prior to the Merger, we were required to pay monitoring and advisory fees to two investors. A total of \$0.5 million was included in other expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. These fees were paid quarterly in advance on the first business day of each calendar quarter.

Included in the \$0.5 million above and in conjunction with the Merger, we paid additional monitoring and advisory fees totaling \$0.4 million to the same two investors (Note 2). Upon completion of the Merger, our obligation to pay monitoring and advisory fees was terminated.

Government Regulation

Our products and facilities are subject to regulation by a number of federal and state governmental agencies. The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), in particular, maintains oversight of the formulation, manufacture, distribution, packaging and labeling of all of our products. The Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") maintains oversight over our products that are considered controlled substances.

Unapproved Products

Two of our products, Esterified Estrogen with Methyltestosterone ("EEMT") and Opium Tincture, are marketed without approved New Drug Applications ("NDAs") or Abbreviated New Drug Applications ("ANDAs"). During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, net revenues for these products totaled \$29.8 million and \$14.6 million, respectively.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

The FDA's policy with respect to the continued marketing of unapproved products is stated in the FDA's September 2011 Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 440.100 titled "Marketed New Drugs without Approved NDAs or ANDAs." Under this policy, the FDA has stated that it will follow a risk-based approach with regard to enforcement against such unapproved products. The FDA evaluates whether to initiate enforcement action on a case-by-case basis, but gives higher priority to enforcement action against products in certain categories, such as those marketed as unapproved drugs with potential safety risks or that lack evidence of effectiveness. We believe that, so long as we comply with applicable manufacturing standards, the FDA will not take action against us under the current enforcement policy. There can be no assurance, however, that the FDA will continue this policy or not take a contrary position with any individual product or group of products. If the FDA were to take a contrary position, we may be required to seek FDA approval for these products or withdraw such products from the market. If we decide to withdraw the products from the market, our net revenues for generic pharmaceutical products would decline materially, and if we decide to seek FDA approval, we would face increased expenses and might need to suspend sales of the products until such approval was obtained, and there are no assurances that we would receive such approval.

In addition, one group of products that we manufacture on behalf of a contract customer is marketed by that customer without an approved NDA. If the FDA took enforcement action against such customer, the customer may be required to seek FDA approval for the group of products or withdraw them from the market. Our contract manufacturing revenues for the group of unapproved products for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

We received royalties on the net sales of a group of contract-manufactured products, which are marketed by the contract customer without an approved NDA. If the FDA took enforcement action against such customer, the customer may be required to seek FDA approval for the group of products or withdraw them from the market. Our royalties on the net sales of these unapproved products were \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Louisiana Medicaid Lawsuit

On September 11, 2013, the Attorney General of the State of Louisiana filed a lawsuit in Louisiana state court against numerous pharmaceutical companies, including us, under various state laws, alleging that each defendant caused the state's Medicaid agency to provide reimbursement for drug products that allegedly were not approved by the FDA and therefore allegedly not reimbursable under the federal Medicaid program. The lawsuit relates to three cough and cold prescription products manufactured and sold by our former Gulfport, Mississippi operation, which was sold in September 2010. Through its lawsuit, the state seeks unspecified damages, statutory fines, penalties, attorneys' fees and costs. On October 15, 2013, the defendants removed the lawsuit to the U.S. District Court. On November 14, 2013, the state filed a motion to remand the lawsuit to the Louisiana state court. On September 30, 2014, the U.S. District Court remanded the case from the federal to the state court. While we cannot predict the outcome of the lawsuit at this time, we could be subject to material damages, penalties and fines. We intend to vigorously defend against all claims in the lawsuit.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

All manufacturers of the drug Reglan and its generic equivalent metoclopramide, including ANI, are facing allegations from plaintiffs in various states, including California, New Jersey and Pennsylvania, claiming bodily injuries as a result of ingestion of metoclopramide or its brand name, Reglan, prior to the FDA's February 2009 Black Box warning requirement. In August 2012, we were dismissed with prejudice from all New Jersey cases. We consider our exposure to this litigation to be limited due to several factors: (1) the only generic metoclopramide that we manufactured prior to the implementation of the FDA's warning requirement was an oral solution introduced after May 28, 2008; (2) our market share for the oral solution was a very small portion of the overall metoclopramide market; and (3) once we received a request for change of labeling from the FDA, we submitted our proposed changes within 30 days, and such changes were subsequently approved by the FDA.

ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

At the present time, we are unable to assess the likely outcome of the cases in the remaining states. Our insurance company has assumed the defense of this matter. However, our current product liability insurance policy contains absolute exclusions for claims related to Reglan and metoclopramide. We cannot provide assurances that the outcome of these matters will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. Furthermore, like all pharmaceutical manufacturers, we may be exposed to other product liability claims in the future, which could further limit our coverage under future insurance policies or cause those policies to become more expensive, which could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

On June 19, 2013, after completion of the Merger, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors dismissed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm and appointed EisnerAmper LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013, both with immediate effect. We initially reported this change in a Form 8-K dated June 19, 2013 and filed with the SEC on June 21, 2013.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of December 31, 2014. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by a company's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of its assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013).

Based on this assessment, our management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 has been audited by EisnerAmper LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their attestation report, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The text of our Code of Ethics, which applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions, is posted on our website, www.anipharmaceuticals.com, under the “Corporate Governance” subsection of the “Investors” section of the site. We will disclose on our website amendments to, and, if any are granted, waivers of, our Code of Ethics for our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, or principal accounting officer, controller, or persons performing similar functions.

Information required by this item with respect to our directors will be set forth under the caption “Election of Directors” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item with respect to our executive officers will be set forth under the caption “Executive Officers of the Company” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act will be set forth under the caption “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item with respect to our audit committee, our audit committee financial expert, and any material changes to the way in which our security holders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors will be set forth under the caption “Corporate Governance” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required by this item with respect to executive compensation will be set forth under the caption “Executive Compensation” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required by this item with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management will be set forth under the captions “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners” and “Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information required by this item with respect to certain relationships and related transactions and director independence will be set forth under the captions “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and “Corporate Governance” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information required by this item with respect to principal accounting fees and services will be set forth under the caption “Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accountants” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year, and is incorporated herein by reference.

90

PART IV.

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

Documents filed as part of this report on Form 10-K:

(a) Financial Statements:

The consolidated balance sheets of the Registrant as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the footnotes thereto, and the reports of EisnerAmper LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, are filed herewith.

(b) Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(c) Exhibits

Exhibits included or incorporated by reference herein: see Exhibit Index on page 93.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By: /S/ Arthur S. Przybyl
 Arthur S. Przybyl
 President and Chief Executive Officer
 (principal executive officer)
 Date: February 23, 2015

By: /S/ Charlotte C. Arnold
 Charlotte C. Arnold
 Vice President, Finance and
 Chief Financial Officer
 (principal financial officer)
 Date: February 23, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Name | Capacity | Date |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /S/ Arthur S. Przybyl Arthur S. Przybyl | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer | February 23, 2015 |
| /S/ Robert E. Brown, Jr. Robert E. Brown, Jr. | Director and Chairman of the Board of Directors | February 23, 2015 |
| /S/ Fred Holubow Fred Holubow | Director | February 23, 2015 |
| /S/ Ross Mangano Ross Mangano | Director | February 23, 2015 |
| /S/ Tracy L. Marshbanks, Ph.D. Tracy L. Marshbanks, Ph.D. | Director | February 23, 2015 |
| /S/ Thomas A. Penn | Director | February 23, 2015 |

Thomas A. Penn

/S/ Daniel Raynor
Daniel Raynor

Director

February 23, 2015

92

**ANI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
EXHIBIT INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|--|
| 2.1 | Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 12, 2013, by and among BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc., ANI Merger Sub, Inc. and ANIP Acquisition Company (1) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 12, 2013 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 2.2 | Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 26, 2013, by and between ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated as of July 17, 2013, Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated as of June 1, 2012, and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2013 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 11, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 4.1 | Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. with an Initial Exercise Date of September 2010 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 4.2 | Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. with an Initial Exercise Date of June 2010 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 21, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 4.3 | Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. with an Initial Exercise Date of December 2010 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2010 |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|---|---|
| 4.4 | Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. with an Initial Exercise Date of August 2012 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 17, 2012 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 4.5 | Indenture, dated December 10, 2014, between ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 4.6 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated December 10, 2014, between ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (including the form of the 3.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2019) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 4.7 | Form of Note (included in Exhibit 4.10) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.1* | ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Fourth Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to ANI's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.2* | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Fourth Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (included in Exhibit 10.1) | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to ANI's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.3* | Form of Non-Statutory Option Agreement under the ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Fourth Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (included in Exhibit 10.1) | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to ANI's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.4* | BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Amended and Restated 1998 Stock Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2006 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.5* | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement Under the BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Amended and Restated 1998 Stock Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 001-31812) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|---|
| 10.6* | Form of Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreement Under the BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Amended and Restated 1998 Stock Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.7* | Form of Indemnification Agreement between BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and each of its Directors and Executive Officers | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.8 | License Agreement, dated June 13, 2000, between Permatec Technologie, AG (renamed as Antares Pharma IPL AG) and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.9 | Amendment No. 1 to the License Agreement, dated May 20, 2001, by and between Antares Pharma IPL AG and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.10 | Amendment No. 2 to the License Agreement, dated July 5, 2001, between Antares Pharma IPL AG and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB40 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 0-28637) |
| 10.11 | Amendment No. 3 to the License Agreement, dated August 30, 2001, between Antares Pharma IPL AG and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.12 | Amendment No. 4 to the License Agreement, dated August 8, 2002, between Antares Pharma IPL AG and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.13 | Amendment No. 5 to the License Agreement, dated December 30, 2002, between Antares Pharma IPL AG and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year |

ended December 31, 2010 (File
No. 001-31812)

- 10.14 Amendment No. 6 to the License Agreement, dated October 20, 2006, between Antares Pharma IPL AG and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Letters, dated October 27, 2006, November 6, 2006, and November 7, 2006, from BioSante Pharmaceuticals to Antares Pharma IPL AG Regarding the License Agreement (2)
- Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 001-31812)

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|---|--|
| 10.15 | License Agreement, dated December 3, 2008, by and between BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Azur Pharma International II Limited (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K/A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 7, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.16 | Amendment No. 1 to License Agreement and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated November 30, 2009, by and between BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Azur Pharma International II Limited (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K/A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 7, 2010 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.17 | Development and License Agreement, dated December 27, 2002, between BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.18 | First Amendment to Development and License Agreement, dated March 13, 2003, between BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.19 | Letter Agreement, dated June 4, 2007, between BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. Regarding Development and License Agreement between Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. effective December 27, 2002 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.20 | Third Amendment to Development and License Agreement, effective October 18, 2012, by and between Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.21 | Department of Veterans Affairs Federal Supply Schedule Contract Award to ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., effective July 15, 2012, and Product Number Change Request, dated August 22, 2012 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.22 | Sublicense Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2009, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|--|
| 10.23 | Master Product Development and Collaboration Agreement, dated as of July 11, 2011, by and among ANIP Acquisition Company d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and RiconPharma LLC (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to ANI's Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2013 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.24 | Amended and Restated Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated as of June 10, 2008, between ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Alaven Pharmaceuticals, LLC. and Addendum No. 1 thereto, dated as of December 1, 2010, and Addendum No. 2 thereto, dated as of July 10, 2012 (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.25 | Generic Wholesale Service Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2006, between ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Cardinal Health, First Amendment to Generic Wholesale Service Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2008, Letter Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2008, regarding assignment of the Generic Wholesale Service Agreement to ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Letter from Cardinal Health, dated December 22, 2008 Regarding Increase in Base Service Fee, and Second Amendment to Generic Wholesale Service Agreement, dated May 7, 2012 (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.26 | Development, Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated as of February 5, 2009, by and between ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and County Line Pharmaceuticals, LLC, and Addendum to Development, Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated as of June 12, 2012 (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.27 | Manufacturing Transfer and Supply Agreement, dated March 31, 2010, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and County Line Pharmaceuticals, LLC, and Addendum to Manufacturing Transfer and Supply Agreement, dated as of June 12, 2012 (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.28* | Employment Letter Agreement, dated February 25, 2009, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Arthur S. Przybyl | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |

10.29* Employment Letter Agreement, dated May 6, 2009, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company, d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Charlotte C. Arnold

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391)

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|---|--|
| 10.30* | Employment Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2007, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and James Marken | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.64 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.31* | Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated September 22, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Arthur Przybyl | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.32* | Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated September 22, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Charlotte Arnold | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.66 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.33* | Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated September 22, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and James Marken | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.34* | Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated September 22, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Robert Jamnick | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.35 | Letter Agreement regarding fee payment, dated as of October 3, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and MVP Management Company | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.69 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.36 | Letter Agreement regarding fee payment, dated as of October 3, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Healthcare Value Capital LLC | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.70 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|---|--|
| 10.37 | Loan and Security Agreement, dated June 6, 2012, between Alostar Bank of Commerce and ANIP Acquisition Company | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.71 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.38 | Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2011, between ANIP Acquisition Company, Meridian Venture Partners II, L.P. and the other parties thereto | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.72 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2012 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.39* | Amendment No. 1 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated December 28, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Arthur S. Przybyl | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.73 to ANI's Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2013 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.40* | Amendment No. 1 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated December 28, 2012, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Charlotte Arnold | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.74 to ANI's Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2013 (File No. 333-185391) |
| 10.41* | Amendment No. 2 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated April 12, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Arthur Przybyl | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.81 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013 (File No. 333-188174) |
| 10.42* | Amendment No. 2 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated April 12, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Charlotte Arnold | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.82 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013 (File No. 333-188174) |
| 10.43* | Amendment No. 1 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated April 12, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and James Marken | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.83 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013 (File No. 333-188174) |
| 10.44* | Amendment No. 1 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated April 12, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Robert Jammick | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.84 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013 (File No. 333-188174) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|---|
| 10.45 | First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of April 11, 2013, between Alostar Bank of Commerce and ANIP Acquisition Company | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.85 to ANI's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013 (File No. 333-188174) |
| 10.46* | Amendment No. 3 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated as of June 18, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Arthur S. Przybyl | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 21, 2013 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.47* | Amendment No. 3 to Transaction Bonus Agreement, dated as of June 18, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company and Charlotte Arnold | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 21, 2013 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.48* | Employment Letter Agreement, dated July 12, 2013, by and between ANIP Acquisition Company d/b/a ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Robert Schrepfer | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to ANI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.49 | Asset Purchase Agreement between Noven Therapeutics, LLC and ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated as of July 1, 2014 (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.50 | Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2014, among ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Shire Viropharma Incorporated (2) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ANI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.51 | Convertible note hedge transaction confirmation, dated December 4, 2014, by and between Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. and ANI | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 8, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.52 | Warrant transaction confirmation, dated December 4, 2014, by and between Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. and ANI | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 8, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 10.53 | Additional convertible note hedge transaction confirmation, dated December 5, 2014, by and between Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. and ANI | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |

Edgar Filing: ANI PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|--|
| 10.54 | Additional warrant transaction confirmation, dated December 5, 2014, by and between Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. and ANI | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to ANI's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2014 (File No. 001-31812) |
| 21 | List of subsidiaries | Filed herewith |
| 23.1 | Consent of EisnerAmper LLP | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14 | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14 | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith |
| 101 | The following materials from ANI Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the audited consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the audited consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the audited consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity; (iv) the audited consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to consolidated Financial Statements. | Filed herewith |

(1) All exhibits to this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. ANI will furnish the omitted exhibits to the SEC upon request by the SEC.

(2) Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to redacted portions of this document.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this annual report on Form 10 K pursuant to Item 15(a).