

Patient Safety Technologies, Inc
Form 10-K
May 16, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 001-09727

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

13-3419202
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

27555 Ynez Road, Suite 330, Temecula, CA 92591
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (951) 587-6201

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, par value \$0.33 per share	N/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes o No x .

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o .

Indicate by check mark, if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

Edgar Filing: Patient Safety Technologies, Inc - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2) of the Act.

Yes No

As of May 8, 2007, 9,937,059 shares of the issuer's Common Stock were outstanding. The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates on May 8, 2007 was approximately \$10,229,000 based on the average of the bid and asked prices of the issuer's common stock in the over-the-counter market on such date as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board.

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR
ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006****TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	13
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	26
Item 2.	Properties	26
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	26
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	27
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	27
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	32
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	53
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	54
Item 9.	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	91
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	91
Item 9B.	Other Information	91
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	91
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	95
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	103
Item 13.	Certain Relationship and Related Transactions	106
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	111
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	112
SIGNATURES		118

**"SAFE HARBOR" STATEMENT UNDER
THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995**

We believe that it is important to communicate our plans and expectations about the future to our stockholders and to the public. Some of the statements in this report are forward-looking statements about our plans and expectations of what may happen in the future, including in particular the statements about our plans and expectations under the headings "Item 1. Business" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Statements that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the "safe-harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can sometimes identify forward-looking statements by our use of forward-looking words like "may," "should," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," or "continue" or the negative of these terms similar expressions.

Although we believe that the plans and expectations reflected in or suggested by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, those statements are based only on the current beliefs and assumptions of our management and on information currently available to us and, therefore, they involve uncertainties and risks as to what may happen in the future. Accordingly, we cannot guarantee you that our plans and expectations will be achieved. Our actual results and stockholder values could be very different from and worse than those expressed in or implied by any forward-looking statement in this report as a result of many known and unknown factors, many of which are beyond our ability to predict or control. These factors include, but are not limited to, those contained in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report. All written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements.

Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and should not be relied upon as representing our plans and expectations as of any subsequent date. Although we may elect to update or revise forward-looking statements at some time in the future, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so, even if our plans and expectations change.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Organizational History

Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. (referred to in this report as the “*Company*,” “*we*,” “*us*,” and “*our*”) (formerly known as Franklin Capital Corporation) is a Delaware corporation. Currently, the Company has two wholly-owned operating subsidiaries: (1) SurgiCount Medical, Inc., a California corporation; and (2) Automotive Services Group, Inc. (formerly known as Ault Glazer Bodnar Merchant Capital, Inc.) a Delaware corporation.

The Company, including SurgiCount Medical Inc. (“*SurgiCount*”), is engaged in the acquisition of controlling interests in companies and research and development of products and services focused primarily in the health care and medical products field, particularly the patient safety markets. SurgiCount is a developer and manufacturer of patient safety products and services. Automotive Services Group, Inc. (“*Automotive Services Group*”) holds the Company’s investment in Automotive Services Group, LLC (“*ASG*”), its wholly-owned subsidiary. In addition to the assets that are held in Automotive Services Group, the Company holds various other unrelated investments which it is in the process of liquidating. The unrelated investments are included in a separate segment, financial services and real estate. The Company purchased the remaining equity interest in ASG in March 2006 and during the fourth quarter of 2006 the Company began marketing for sale the assets held in ASG.

The Company was incorporated on March 31, 1987, under the laws of the state of Delaware. Beginning in July 1987 until March 31, 2005 we operated as an investment company registered pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “*1940 Act*”). In or about August 1997 our Board of Directors determined it would be in the best interest of the Company and our stockholders to elect to become a registered business development company (a “*BDC*”) under the 1940 Act. On September 9, 1997 our shareholders approved the proposal to be regulated as a BDC and on November 18, 1997 we filed a notification of election to become a BDC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“*SEC*”).

On March 30, 2005, stockholder approval was obtained to withdraw our election to be treated as a BDC and on March 31, 2005 we filed an election to withdraw our election with the Securities and Exchange Commission. At December 31, 2006, 8.9% of our assets, consisting of our investments in Alacra Corporation, on a consolidated basis with subsidiaries were comprised of investment securities within the meaning of the 1940 Act (“*Investment Securities*”). If the value of our assets that consist of Investment Securities were to exceed 40% of our total assets (excluding government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis we could be required to re-register as an investment company under the 1940 Act unless an exemption or exclusion applies. We continue to evaluate ways in which we can dispose of these Investment Securities and do not believe that the value of our Investment Securities will increase in an amount that would require us to re-register as a BDC. Registration as an investment company would subject us to restrictions that are inconsistent with our fundamental business strategy of equity growth through creating, building and operating companies in the patient safety medical products industry. Registration under the 1940 Act would also subject us to increased regulatory and compliance costs, and other restrictions on the way we operate and would change the method of accounting for our assets under GAAP.

Our operations currently focus on the acquisition of controlling interests in companies and research and development of products and services in the health care and medical products field, particularly the patient safety markets. In the past we also focused on the financial services and real estate industries. On October 2005 our Board of Directors authorized us to evaluate alternative strategies for the divestiture of our non-healthcare assets. As an extension on our prior focus on real estate, in March 2006 we acquired the remaining 50% equity interest in ASG and upon doing so we entered the business of developing properties for the operation of automated express car wash sites. However, on March 29, 2006, our Board of Directors determined to focus our business exclusively on the patient safety medical

products field. The Board of Directors established a special committee in January 2007 to evaluate potential divestiture transactions for ASG and our other real estate assets.

1

SurgiCount Medical, Inc., developer of the Safety-Sponge™ System, and Automotive Services Group, Inc. (formerly Ault Glazer Bodnar Merchant Capital, Inc.), a holding company for ASG, a company formed to develop properties for the operation of automated car wash sites, are wholly-owned operating subsidiaries, which were either acquired or created to enhance our ability to focus our efforts in each targeted industry. Currently, we are evaluating ways in which to monetize our non-patient safety related assets (the “*non-core assets*”).

SurgiCount’s Safety-Sponge™ System helps reduce the number of retained sponges and towels in patients during surgical procedures and allows for faster and more accurate counting of surgical sponges. The SurgiCount Safety-Sponge™ System is a patented turn-key array of modified surgical sponges, line-of-sight scanning SurgiCounters, and printPAD printers integrated together to form a comprehensive counting and documentation system. The Safety-Sponge System works much like a grocery store checkout process: Every surgical sponge and towel is affixed with a unique inseparable two-dimensional data matrix bar code and used with a SurgiCounter to scan and record the sponges during the initial and final counts. Because each sponge is identified with a unique code, a SurgiCounter will not allow the same sponge to be counted more than one time. When counts have been completed at the end of a procedure, the system will produce a printed report, or can be modified to work with a hospital's paperless system. By scanning the surgical dressings in at the beginning of a surgical procedure and then scanning them out at the end of the procedure, the sponges can be counted faster and more accurately than traditional methods which require two medical personnel manually counting the used and un-used sponges. The Safety-Sponge System is the only FDA 510k approved computer assisted sponge counting system. SurgiCount is the first acquisition in our plan to become a leader in the patient safety market.

Investments

A summary of our investment portfolio, which is valued at \$1,442,000 and represents 12.9% of our total assets, is reflected below. Excluding our real estate investments, our investment portfolio represents 9.0% of our total assets. The investment portfolio is classified as long-term investments.

	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Alacra Corporation	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Digicorp	10,969	3,025,398
IPEX, Inc.	—	1,243,550
Real Estate	430,564	481,033
Tuxis Corporation	—	746,580
Other	—	64,170
	\$ 1,441,533	\$ 6,560,731

At December 31, 2006, our investment in Alacra Corporation represented our only significant investment security.

Alacra Corporation

At December 31, 2006, we had an investment in Alacra Corporation (“*Alacra*”), valued at \$1,000,000, which represents 8.9% of our total assets. On April 20, 2000, we purchased \$1,000,000 worth of Alacra Series F Convertible Preferred Stock. Alacra has recorded revenue growth in every year since the Company’s original investment, further, Alacra is forecasting that 2007 revenues will be approximately \$19.2 million, which would represent an increase of 22% over 2006 unaudited revenues. At December 31, 2006, Alacra reported in their unaudited financial statement, total assets of approximately \$4.7 million with total liabilities of approximately \$7.4 million. Deferred revenue, which represents subscription revenues are amortized over the term of the contract, which is generally one year, and represented approximately \$3.7 million of the total liabilities. We have the right, subject to Alacra having

the available cash, to have the preferred stock redeemed by Alacra over a period of three years for face value plus accrued dividends beginning on December 31, 2006. Pursuant to this right, in December 2006 we informed management of Alacra that we were exercising our right to put back one-third of our preferred stock. If Alacra has a sufficient amount of cash to redeem our preferred stock we would expect the redemption to occur in the fourth quarter of 2007. In connection with this investment, the Company was granted observer rights on Alacra board of directors meetings.

Alacra, a privately held company based in New York, is a global provider of business and financial information. Alacra provides a diverse portfolio of online services that allow users to find, analyze, package and present business information. Alacra's customers include more than 750 financial institutions, management consulting, law and accounting firms and other corporations throughout the world. Currently, Alacra's largest customer segment is investment and commercial banking, followed closely by management consulting, law and multi-national corporations.

Alacra's online service allows users to search via a set of tools designed to locate and extract business information from the Internet and from Alacra's library of content. Alacra's team of information professionals selects, categorizes and indexes more than 45,000 sites on the Web containing the most reliable and comprehensive business information. Simultaneously, users can search more than 100 premium commercial databases that contain financial information, economic data, business news, and investment and market research. Alacra provides information in the required format, gleaned from such prestigious content partners as Thomson Financial™, Barra, The Economist Intelligence Unit, Factiva, Mergerstat® and many others.

The information services industry is intensely competitive and we expect it to remain so. Although Alacra has been in operation since 1996 they are significantly smaller in terms of revenue than a large number of companies offering similar services. Companies such as ChoicePoint, Inc. (NYSE: CPS), LexisNexis Group, and Dow Jones Reuters Business Interactive, LLC report revenues that range anywhere from \$100 million to several billion dollars, as reported by Hoovers, Inc. As such, Alacra's competitors can offer a far greater range of products and services, greater financial and marketing resources, larger customer bases, greater name recognition, greater global reach and more established relationships with potential customers than Alacra has. These larger and better capitalized competitors may be better able to respond to changes in the financial services industry, to compete for skilled professionals, to finance investment and acquisition opportunities, to fund internal growth and to compete for market share generally.

Real Estate Investments

At December 31, 2006, we had several real estate investments, valued in the aggregate at \$431,000, which represents 3.9% of our total assets. In the past we held our real estate investments in Ault Glazer Bodnar Capital Properties, LLC ("**AGB Properties**"). AGB Properties, which was closed during 2006, was a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly owned subsidiary. The real estate investments, consisting of approximately 8.5 acres of undeveloped land in Heber Springs, Arkansas and 0.61 acres of undeveloped land in Springfield, Tennessee, are currently being marketed for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we received payment on loans that were secured by real estate of \$50,000. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we liquidated properties with a cost basis of \$113,000, which resulted in a gain of \$28,000. We expect that any future gain or loss recognized on the liquidation of some or all of our real estate holdings would be insignificant primarily due to the short period of time that the properties were owned combined with the absence of any significant changes in property values in the real estate markets where the real estate holdings are located.

The Medical Products and Healthcare Solutions Industry

We believe that the healthcare delivery system is under tremendous pressure to identify and commercialize simple medical solutions quickly to lower costs, control infections, reduce liability and eliminate preventable errors. Increased litigation and a renewed focus on patient safety by regulators is spurring demand for new innovative medical devices. With the convergence of scientific, electronic and digital technologies, new breakthroughs in medical devices will play a critical role in solving problems in healthcare and enhancing patient safety in the future.

The medical community recognizes the importance of improving patient safety, not only to enhance the quality of care, but also to help manage medical costs and related litigation costs. We are confident the medical profession and healthcare professionals will rise to the occasion and help develop the medical solutions to revolutionize health care.

We are dedicated to leading this effort through the development and introduction of ground-breaking patient safety products such as our lead product, the patented Safety-Sponge™ System, which management believes will allow us to capture a significant portion of the United States and European surgical sponge sales. Based upon assumptions by our management that take into consideration factors such as the approximate number of hospitals and operating rooms in the United States and Europe, the approximate number of surgeries performed annually, and estimates for the average cost of surgical sponges per surgery, we believe that the existing market for surgical sponge sales in the United States and Europe represents a market opportunity equal to or in excess of \$650 million in annual sales. Such estimate assumes approximately 61 million surgeries performed annually in the United States and Europe, and an average cost of surgical sponges of \$10.60 per surgery. In addition, we believe that our Safety-Sponge™ System could save up to an estimated \$1.0 billion annually in retained sponge litigation. The estimated size of the surgical sponge market and actual savings derived from utilizing the Safety-Sponge™ System from retained sponge litigation is based on management's estimates and assumptions made by management. Although management took into consideration statistics from research and published articles by the American Hospital Association and New England Journal of Medicine, as well as various articles located through a search of retained sponge verdicts, the specific assumptions are management's interpretation of multiple sources. Further, management believes that a large amount of the litigation relating to medical malpractice claims are settled under the terms of confidential agreements, thus the actual amount of many settlements are never disclosed and therefore subject to speculation.

We intend to target hospitals, physicians, nurses and clinics as our initial source of customers. In addition, we plan to develop strategic alliances with universities, medical facilities and notable medical researchers around the United States that will provide research, development and promotional support for our products and services.

Customers and Distribution

On April 5, 2005, we entered into a consulting agreement with Health West Marketing Incorporated, a California corporation ("***Health West***"), pursuant to which Health West agreed to help us establish a comprehensive manufacturing and distribution strategy for the Safety-Sponge™ System worldwide. The initial term of the agreement was for a period of two years, however, the agreement was terminated with the appointment of Bill Adams, Health West's Chief Executive Officer, to the position of Chief Executive Officer of SurgiCount effective April 21, 2006. In consideration for Health West's services, the Company agreed to issue Health West 42,017 shares of the Company's common stock. Through December 31, 2006, the Company has issued 26,261 shares, valued at \$156,000, primarily for Health West's assistance in structuring a comprehensive manufacturing agreement with A Plus International Inc. ("***A Plus***"), which was entered into on August 17, 2005. The Company has agreed to issue the remaining 15,756 shares for Health West's services in assisting with the development of a regional distribution network to integrate the Safety-Sponge™ System into the existing acute care supply chain. The remaining shares will be issued during 2007. As an additional incentive, the Company granted Health West warrants to purchase a total of 175,000 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$5.95 per share.

On November 14, 2006, SurgiCount entered into a Supply Agreement with Cardinal Health 200, Inc. ("***Cardinal***"). Pursuant to the agreement, Cardinal shall act as the exclusive distributor of SurgiCount's products in the United States, with the exception that SurgiCount may sell its products to one other specified hospital supply company, solely for its sale/distribution to its hospital customers. Under the agreement, SurgiCount agrees to maintain a specified fill rate on all orders for products. The term of the agreement is 36 months, unless earlier terminated as set forth therein. Otherwise, the agreement automatically renews for successive 12 month periods.

If Cardinal receives an offer from another supplier to purchase any or all of the products supplied by SurgiCount under the agreement on more favorable terms and conditions, of better grade or quality, at a more favorable net price or with new or improved technology, Cardinal must provide SurgiCount with written notice of such offer. SurgiCount will have 15 days following the date of the notice to notify Cardinal that it agrees to meet or improve upon such offer. If SurgiCount fails to so notify Cardinal in writing that it will meet or improve upon such offer within such 15 day period, Cardinal may terminate the agreement with respect to the product in question upon written notice to SurgiCount, without further obligation or liability. SurgiCount's notice to Cardinal that it agrees to meet or improve upon such offer shall constitute an amendment to the agreement with respect to those products.

SurgiCount may not assign its interest under the agreement without Cardinal's prior written consent. Further as part of the agreement, SurgiCount executed a Continuing Guaranty agreeing, among other things, to indemnify Cardinal for any loss or claim a) for property damage on account of any SurgiCount product except as may be caused by gross negligence or reckless disregard on the part of Cardinal or any of its employees, or b) arising on account of any infringement by any SurgiCount product of any patent, trademark or other proprietary right of any other party

In addition, the agreement provides that if the Company decides to divest, spin-off or otherwise sell SurgiCount or any material assets of SurgiCount (such as intellectual property) during the term of the agreement, Cardinal shall have a right of first refusal to purchase SurgiCount.

Geographic Areas

We intend to market and sell our patient safety products and services in the United States and in Europe. However, the principal markets, products and methods of distribution will vary by country based on a number of factors, including healthcare regulations, insurance coverage and customer demographics. Business activities in some countries outside the United States are subject to higher risks than comparable U.S. activities because the business and commercial climate is influenced by restrictive economic policies and political uncertainties.

Product Development

Our Safety-Sponge™ System allows for faster and more accurate counting of surgical sponges. The Safety-Sponge™ System is a two-part system consisting of a handheld scanner/imager/computer and of SurgiCount supplied surgical dressings. Our sponges are unique in that they are individually labeled with a “bar code” at the point of manufacture. The sponges are scanned in by a handheld scanner at the beginning of a surgical procedure, and then scanned out at the end of a procedure after their use. Each sponge, having a unique bar code, can accurately be accounted for at the end of the procedure. Without using our Safety-Sponge™ System, in a typical surgical procedure, a nurse and a scrub tech manually count all sponges used and un-used. The core of the Safety-Sponge™ System is the ability to uniquely identify an individual dressing.

SurgiCount began developing the Safety-Sponge™ line of sponges in February 1994 and received confirmation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) that, due to the minor nature of the change in surgical sponges attributed to the Safety-Sponge™ line of sponges, a new product listing was not warranted and the Safety-Sponge™ product line was granted 510k exempt status on November 8, 1999. In 2005, SurgiCount requested, and received in March 2006, 510(k) clearance to market and sell its patented Safety-Sponge™ System, which included the Safety-Sponge™ line of sponges. The Safety-Sponge™ System is an integrated turn-key program of thermally affixed, data matrix tagged surgical sponges, line-of-sight scanning technology, and documentation that offers surgeons and hospitals a solution to gossypiboma - the term for surgical sponges accidentally left inside a human body after surgery. The Safety-Sponge™ System is the first computer-assisted program of counting sponges ever cleared by the FDA. The Safety-Sponge™ line of sponges has passed required FDA biocompatibility tests including ISO sensitization, cytotoxicity and skin irritation tests. The Center for Devices and Radiological Health (“CDRH”) handles the premarket notification process for medical devices at the FDA. The CDRH requires the

biological evaluation of medical devices to determine the potential toxicity resulting from contact of the component materials of the device with the human body. Evaluation of any new device intended for human use requires data from systemic testing to ensure that the benefits provided by the final product will exceed any potential risk produced by device materials. CDRH Blue Book Memo G95-1 provides guidance for required biocompatibility testing procedures for medical devices. SurgiCount requested specific guidance from the CDRH as to the required biocompatibility tests for the Safety-Sponge™ line of products. The CDRH specifically guided SurgiCount to three required biocompatibility tests for the Safety-Sponge™ line: Cytotoxicity, Sensitization and Irritation/Intracutaneous Reactivity. SurgiCount has performed and in 2003 passed all three of these required biocompatibility tests. Cytotoxicity testing is conducted to determine whether or not the materials used in a medical device are harmfully reactive to certain biological elements on a cellular level. Sensitization or hypersensitivity reactions usually occur as a result of prolonged contact with a chemical substance that interacts with the body's immune system. The tests are used to eliminate the possibility that patients will be exposed to strong sensitizing chemicals extracted from the medical device.

The tests were completed prior to our acquisition of SurgiCount, which occurred in February 2005. At the time the acquisition was completed we focused on developing the product for commercialization. Although passing the three biocompatibility tests was necessary to satisfy any questions as to whether or not the product was safe for use in the body it was only a part of the process required to commercialize the product. In order to utilize the product as designed, investment in specialized software, hardware as well as modification of current operating room procedures was needed.

At the time that we acquired SurgiCount we believed that sales of the Safety-Sponge™ System would begin to materialize during the first half of 2005, however, this expectation did not properly take into account the level of work required on software development. Software development, which was initially expected to take a few months, required approximately nine months for completion. Initially, we expected that basic modification to existing software would be sufficient; however, based upon feedback from third party users and consultants we abandoned our plan to modify existing software currently in use and developed our own proprietary software for the system. By developing our own proprietary software we extended the time required to bring Safety-Sponge™ System to market by approximately seven months.

We also did not adequately account for the level of testing that would be performed by the adopters of our Safety-Sponge™ System. Our expectation was that despite the pricing of our sponges, which is on average four times the cost of traditional sponges, hospitals would be eager to order the Safety-Sponge™ System solely because of the anticipated improved level of safety which we believe it provides patients undergoing surgery. Due to the nature of the medical products business, in spite of expectations for improved safety, any change in the procedures requires rigorous rounds of testing and review in every adopter. Demonstrations are given to relevant parties and small “in-service” (an in-hospital teaching of how to use the system to the relevant staff members) sessions are performed with the results evaluated. If the results are viewed positively a second larger in-service session is usually performed, which results are again reviewed. Assuming a positive outcome of the in-service sessions, the entire staff must then be trained to use the system prior to the placement of any order. We currently estimate that the rounds of testing by an adopter could range between one to three months before a final decision is made to purchase our Safety-Sponge™ System. We have seen several successful in-service sessions and began receiving orders for the Safety-Sponge™ System, in limited quantities, during the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

The Safety-Sponge™ System is presently in the optimization and commercialization phase. Development of the Safety-Sponge™ System has been completed and the system is currently being rolled out into the market as a commercial product. As an exhibitor at the 54th Annual AORN Congress (Association of Perioperative Nurses) we demonstrated the Safety-Sponge™ System to the Health Care Community and officially began the national rollout of the Safety-Sponge™ System.

We intend to conduct further research and development to advance our products. However, we expect that any costs associated with R&D on our Safety-Sponge™ product will be insignificant and intend to outsource much of the R&D functions so that we may focus our direct efforts on optimizing the Safety-Sponge™ product and establishing distribution channels with strategic alliances with hospitals to deploy the product. We also seek qualified input from professionals in the healthcare profession as well as University hospitals such as Harvard and the University of California, San Francisco (“UCSF”). These physicians and researchers maintain medical practices primarily at University hospitals and are involved in various research and clinical development programs. We meet on an as needed basis to discuss medical, technology and development issues. Through direct contracts and sponsorship of studies, recommendations from these professionals have improved various aspects of the Safety-Sponge™ System. Examples where recommendations were utilized include: the ideal location for labels, label coarseness and thickness, improved operating room procedures, label structure and scanner functionality.

In the past we have relied on the professional advice of Dr. Jeffrey Pearl relating to operating room procedures and how to best adapt the Safety-Sponge™ for use in an operating room. Dr. Pearl is the Vice-chair of the Department of Surgery at UCSF, as well as the vice dean of the medical school and a highly respected medical researcher. In August of 2005, Dr. Pearl accepted a one-year consulting contract for continued services relating to operating room procedures and integration of the Safety-Sponge™ System. Integration of the Safety-Sponge™ System covers areas such as teaching nurses to use the system, optimum locations in the operating room, and optimum procedures for how to perform the count. The contract provided for a monthly cash payment of \$2,000 and warrants to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock.

We entered into a clinical trial agreement with Brigham and Women's Hospital, the teaching affiliate of Harvard Medical School, relating to SurgiCount's Safety-Sponge™ System. The clinical trial is the result of an on-going collaboration between Harvard and SurgiCount to refine the Safety-Sponge™ System in a clinical optimization study. Under terms of the agreement, Brigham and Women's Hospital collected data on how the Safety-Sponge System saves time, reduces costs and increases patient safety in the operating room. The study also assisted to refine the system's technical processes in the operating room to provide clear guidance and instruction to hospitals, easily integrating the Safety-Sponge™ System into operating rooms. Brigham and Women's Hospital received a non-exclusive license to use the Safety-Sponge™ System, while we will own all technical innovations and other intellectual properties derived from the study. We provided a research grant to Brigham and Women's Hospital over the course of the clinical trial in the aggregate amount of \$431,000 of which \$108,000 was paid in 2005. The clinical trials were completed around September 2006.

Manufacturing

We believe that the raw materials used in our products are readily available and can be purchased and/or produced by several different vendors and, therefore, we do not anticipate being dependent on any one vendor for our raw materials.

In order to meet the expected demand for bar-coded surgical dressings SurgiCount entered into an agreement on August 17, 2005 for A Plus to be the exclusive manufacturer and provider of the Safety-Sponge™ products, which includes bar coded gauze sponges, bar coded laparotomy sponges, bar coded O.R. towels and bar coded specialty sponges. Services to be provided by A Plus include manufacturing, packaging, sterilization, logistics and all related quality and regulatory compliance. During the term of the agreement, A Plus agreed not to manufacture, distribute or otherwise supply any bar coded gauze sponges, bar coded laparotomy sponges, bar coded O.R. towels or bar coded specialty sponges manufactured in China for any third party except for SurgiCount. A Plus was founded in 1988 and is a global manufacturer of surgical dressings, patient drapes and surgical gowns. A Plus provides OEM support to the largest healthcare manufacturers and distributors in the world. A Plus employs over 6,000 people in seven factories throughout China and maintains over 200,000 sq. ft. of warehouse space in the United States. While we believe the manufacturing capacity of A Plus will be sufficient to meet our expected demand, in the event A Plus cannot meet our requirements the agreement allows us to retain additional providers of the Safety-Sponge™ products. The term of the agreement was for a period of five years and automatically renewed for successive three-year periods. Either party had the right to terminate the agreement without cause at any time after eight years upon delivery of 90 days prior written notice.

On January 29, 2007, on behalf of SurgiCount, we entered into an Exclusive License and Supply Agreement (the "***Supply Agreement***") with A Plus. Pursuant to the agreement, A Plus agreed to act as the exclusive manufacturer for SurgiCount's products. A Plus was previously engaged in the manufacturing of SurgiCount's products under a Supply Agreement dated August 17, 2005, but was not previously granted the exclusive, world-wide license to manufacture and import SurgiCount's products. Pursuant to the Supply Agreement, A Plus was granted the exclusive, world-wide license to manufacture and import SurgiCount's products, including the right to sublicense to the extent necessary to carry out the grant. The Supply Agreement is a requirements contract, with projections of the maximum/minimum

level of required inventory to be provided to A Plus on a quarterly basis. The pricing schedule shall remain at its current price for the first three (3) years of the Supply Agreement; thereafter, the pricing schedule shall be based upon the Cotlook Index and the RMB exchange rate. The term of Supply Agreement is eight years.

7

In conjunction with entering into the Supply Agreement we also entered into a subscription agreement with A Plus, in which we sold to A Plus 800,000 shares of our Common Stock and a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock. The Warrant has a term of five (5) years and has an exercise price equal to \$2.00 per share. We received gross proceeds of \$500,000 in cash and will receive \$500,000 in product over the course of the next twelve (12) months. Pursuant to the subscription agreement with A Plus, we agreed to appoint Wayne Lin, the President and Founder of A Plus, to our Board of Directors.

Research and Development

Research and development activities are important to our business. However, at this time we do not have a research facility but rather focus our efforts on acquisitions of companies operating within our target industries that have demonstrated product viability through their own research and development activities. We intend to outsource much of the research and development activities related to improving our existing products or expanding our intellectual property to similar products or products that have similar characteristics in our target industries. We did not incur any costs during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 or 2005 relating to the development of new products, the improvement of existing products, technical support of products and compliance with governmental regulations for the protection of consumers. In the future, these costs will be charged directly to income in the year in which they are incurred.

Patents and Trademarks

We intend to make a practice of obtaining patent protection on our products and processes where possible. Our patents and trademarks are protected by registration in the United States and other countries where our products are marketed.

We currently own patents issued in the United States and Europe related to the Safety-Sponge™ System. This is covered by patent #5,931,824 registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and patent #1 032 911 B1 registered with the European Patent Office, which permits the holder to label or identify a dressing with a unique identifier. Patent #5,931,824 and #1 032 911 B1 will expire in August of 2019 and March of 2017, respectively. U.S. Patent Number 5,931,824 currently underwent a reexamination proceeding in the U.S. Patent Office. During 2007, we received notification from the U. S. Patent Office that a reexamination certificate will be granted affirming the validity of the reexamined patent with certain amendments to the claims. Our counsel has reviewed the amended claims and believes that they will cover the Safety-Sponge™ System as well as a broad range of commercially equivalent systems. In addition to the reexamined patent and the European patent, we have filed one additional U. S. Patent application and one international patent application covering improved methods and systems for the automated counting and tracking of surgical articles, that would provide the Company's Safety-Sponge™ System with an additional level of protection to prevent competitors from attempting to replicate and market a similar version of the Company's Safety-Sponge™ System.

Sales of the Safety-Sponge™ System in the future are expected to contribute a significant part of our total revenue. We consider these patents and trademarks in the aggregate to be of material importance in the operation of our business. The loss or expiration of any product patent or trademark could result in a loss of market exclusivity and can result in a significant reduction in sales.

Competition

The medical products and healthcare solutions industry is highly competitive. We expect that if our business strategy proves to be successful, our current competitors in the medical products and healthcare solutions market may duplicate our strategy and new competitors may enter the market. We compete against other medical products and healthcare solutions companies, some of which are much larger and have significantly greater financial resources than we do. We also compete against large companies that seek to license medical products and healthcare solutions technologies for themselves. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully compete against these competitors in the acquisition, development, or commercialization of any medical products and healthcare solutions, funding of medical products and healthcare solutions companies or marketing of our products and solutions.

Competition in research, involving the development of new products and processes and the improvement of existing products and processes, is particularly significant and results from time to time in product and process obsolescence. The development of new and improved products is important to our success in all areas of our business. This competitive environment requires substantial investments in continuing research, multiple sales forces and strategic alliances. In addition, the winning and retention of customer acceptance of our patient safety products involves heavy expenditures for health care regulatory compliance, advertising, promotion and selling.

Because we have only begun selling and generating revenue from our patient safety products, our competitive position in the medical products and healthcare solutions industry cannot be determined.

Competitive Advantages

We believe that we are well positioned to provide financing and research and development resources to medical products and health care-related companies for the following reasons:

- Focus on innovative technologies, products and services;
- Network of well respected industry affiliations and medical expertise; and
- Established deal sourcing network.

Though by the nature of our patents, we can have no direct competition, there are several existing individuals/companies that are trying to address the same issues as SurgiCount's Safety-Sponge System. Among these are a medical malpractice lawyer named Daniel Ballard and two radio frequency identification ("**RFID**")-based companies, RF Surgical and ClearCount Medical.

Mr. Ballard's invention and patent revolves around imbedding radio-opaque pellets (similar to BB's) into the sponges. These would be read by placing the used sponges into a special machine after a surgery that would count the pellets, and thus the sponges placed in the machine.

The RFID companies both have similar approaches to solving retained sponges. Their approach is to "impregnate" sponges with RFID tags. RFID-reading wands would be held over the patients at the end of surgeries to ensure that no sponges are left behind. It is our understanding from limited discussions with the principals of RF Surgical and ClearCount Medical, and from discussions with sponge manufacturers, that the RFID companies are still in the development stage with their competing products. SurgiCount has received FDA exemption for its Safety-Sponge System and its scanner is currently registered in the FDA's database as non-interfering medical equipment. Since SurgiCount's Safety-Sponge System is fully developed and ready for manufacturing and distribution, we believe this provides an advantage over the above competing products.

Real Estate Industry and Express Car Wash Business

We had originally intended for our real estate operations to include a mixture of commercial properties, residential land development projects and other unimproved land, all in various stages of development and all available for sale. Performance of this type of real estate operations would largely have been dependent upon the performance of the operating properties, the current status of our development projects and non-recurring gains or losses recognized when and if real estate assets are sold. The results of operations for these types of real estate operations generally are unpredictable and we probably would have experienced significant year-over-year fluctuations from such operations. The majority of our real estate holdings are owned by ASG, which is wholly owned by our subsidiary Automotive Services Group. During July 2005 we shifted the focus of our real estate operations to the identification of unimproved land for ASG to develop and operate automated car wash sites under the trade name "Bubba's Express Wash." ASG's first express car wash site, developed in Birmingham, Alabama, had its grand opening on March 8, 2006. On July 18, 2005 our wholly owned subsidiary Automotive Services Group (formerly AGB Merchant Capital, Inc.) purchased 50% of the outstanding equity interests of ASG from West Highland, LLC, an unrelated third party, in exchange for \$300,000. The remaining 50% interest in ASG was owned by Darrell W. Grimsley until March 15, 2006, when Automotive Services Group entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement to acquire the remaining 50% interest from Mr. Grimsley in exchange for agreeing to issue 200,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Grimsley. We have consolidated ASG's operations in our financial statements since we determined that we are the primary beneficiary of ASG, a variable interest entity as defined by FIN 46(R). Pursuant to ASG's operating agreement, Mr. Grimsley had exclusive control over ASG's operations from July 18, 2005 until Automotive Services Group purchased the remaining 50% interest on March 15, 2006. Automotive Services Group now owns 100% of the outstanding equity interests in ASG and has exclusive control over ASG as its sole managing member.

In addition to ASG, we had several real estate investments at December 31, 2005. These investments consisted of approximately 8.5 acres of undeveloped land in Heber Springs, Arkansas, and 0.61 acres of undeveloped land in Springfield, Tennessee. During 2005, we disposed of eight vacant single family buildings and two multi-unit buildings in Baltimore, Maryland and realized a gain of approximately \$28,000. Our real estate investments, other than ASG, are currently held by the Company. As of March 29, 2006, we have determined to dispose of all our real estate holdings, including ASG, in order to focus exclusively on patient safety medical products. Our Board of Directors is currently evaluating the available alternatives to determine the most beneficial method to dispose of our real estate holdings. As of December 31, 2006, we had not generated any revenue, nor do we expect to generate any recurring revenue during 2006, on any of our real estate holdings. In the event that we liquidate some or all of our real estate holdings we expect that any gain or loss recognized on the liquidation would be insignificant to us primarily due to the short period of time that the properties were owned combined with the absence of any significant changes in property values in the real estate markets where the real estate holdings are located.

Express Car Wash Business

While we continue to own ASG, we consolidate the operations of its express car wash business with our business. An express car wash is a hybrid of full service conveyor (tunnel) and self-service car wash facilities. At an express wash, customers pay via cash or credit card at an automated kiosk (similar to a drive thru ATM) at the site entrance. The customers remain in their cars while being directed onto a high speed wash tunnel conveyor (2½ minutes +/- to wash completion in tunnel), and have the option of utilizing free vacuum facilities on site prior to exit. Facilities are located at highly visible locations in high automobile traffic locations. Typical sites for a Bubba's Express Wash will require approximately one acre of land for construction of the tunnel and customer detail/vacuum areas. Facilities generally require two employees for operation. A fully staffed facility will typically require five employees (three full-time employees and two part-time employees).

As described above, ASG presently has one operating Bubba's Express Wash site which opened on March 8, 2006. As of March 29, 2006, our Board of Directors has determined to dispose of ASG along with all of our other non-patient

safety related assets. On April 4, 2007, ASG entered into an agreement for the sale of real property located in Tuscaloosa, Alabama (the "Tuscaloosa Undeveloped Land") to Twin Properties, LLC. Pursuant to the agreement, ASG agreed to sell the Tuscaloosa Undeveloped Land for a purchase price of \$965,000. On April 26, 2007, ASG entered into a binding term sheet to sell its express car wash and a parcel of real property, both located in Birmingham, Alabama, to Charles H. Dellaccio and Darrell Grimsley. Mr. Grimsley is the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Automotive Services Group. The aggregate purchase price for both properties is \$2.25 million. To the extent we are successful closing these transactions, we would expect to close on all of the transactions by July 2007.

The Board of Directors established a special committee in January 2007 to evaluate potential divestiture transactions for ASG and our other real estate assets. In conjunction with both the special committee and our Board of Directors, we are continuing to evaluate the available alternatives to determine the most beneficial method to dispose of our remaining non-patient safety related assets. Upon the closing of the above ASG transactions, the remaining assets would consist of two additional properties with a carrying value of \$430,000, as well as an investment in a privately held company, Alacra, Inc., valued at \$1 Million.

Competition

We have concentrations of investments in Heber Springs, Arkansas and Springfield, Tennessee. We compete with a large number of real estate property owners and developers in those regions. Principal factors of competition are attractiveness of location, the quality of the property and breadth and quality of available uses for the property. Since we have not generated any revenue from our real estate holdings, the relative competitive position of the properties cannot be determined. The potential value that we could realize upon disposing of our real estate holdings depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national and local economies, taxes, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends.

ASG's "express" car wash business competes with other car wash sites, which includes: (a) full service conveyor locations characterized by large numbers of employees required to deliver exterior and interior cleaning services; (b) in-bay automatic facilities (typically at gas stations or convenience stores); (c) self-serve locations (which in the past few years have begun to incorporate in-bay automatic facilities); and (d) full service detailing facilities. Prices generally correspond to the level of personnel required to deliver the service, with the highest prices at detail shops and full service conveyors, lower prices at in-bay facilities, and lowest prices at non-attended self-serve locations. ASG's express car wash business also competes with the ability of automobile owners to wash their vehicles using their home facilities. ASG competes for car wash business by offering superior value delivered quickly, conveniently and inexpensively. ASG believes its "express" car wash facility provides comparable quality to a full service tunnel or a full service detail shop and at considerably less cost to customers comparable to in-bay automatic facilities and self service locations. The "express" car wash facility is also designed to require less time than any of the competing car wash methods. ASG estimates there are less than 150 "express" car wash sites nationwide in the United States, but that this number continues to grow at a considerable rate. This compares with ASG's estimate that there are over 30,000 traditional car was facilities. ASG estimates that its express car wash business represents less than one percent of the car wash market in the United States.

Regulation of the Medical Products and Healthcare Industry

The healthcare industry is affected by extensive government regulation at the federal and state levels. In addition, our business may also be subject to varying degrees of governmental regulation in the countries in which operations are conducted, and the general trend is toward regulation of increasing stringency. In the United States, the drug, device, diagnostics and cosmetic industries have long been subject to regulation by various federal, state and local agencies, primarily as to product safety, efficacy, advertising and labeling. The exercise of broad regulatory powers by the Food and Drug Administration ("**FDA**") continues to result in increases in the amounts of testing and documentation required for FDA clearance of new drugs and devices and a corresponding increase in the expense of product introduction. Similar trends toward product and process regulation are also evident in a number of major countries outside of the United States, especially in the European Community where efforts are continuing to harmonize the internal regulatory systems.

The FDA administers the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (the “*FDC Act*”). Under the FDC Act, most medical devices must receive FDA clearance through the Section 510(k) notification process (“*510(k)*”) or the more lengthy premarket approval (“*PMA*”) process before they can be sold in the United States. All of our products, currently consisting only of the Safety-Sponge™ System, must receive 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The Center for Devices and Radiological Health (“*CDRH*”) handles the PMA approval process for medical devices at the FDA. The CDRH places medical devices into one of many predefined groups, then classifies each group into one of three classes (Class I, II or III) based on the level of controls necessary to assure the safety and effectiveness of the specific device group. Class I and II devices also have subsets of “exempt devices” which are exempt from the PMA approval requirement subject to certain limitations. 21 CFR 878.4450 (“Gauze/Sponge, Internal, X-Ray Detectable”) is the defined device group that the Safety-Sponge line of products falls into. This defined device group is specifically denoted as “exempt” from the premarket notification process. SurgiCount submitted specific information on its Safety-Sponge product directly to the CDRH and received confirmation of the 501(k) exempt status of this line of products.

To obtain 510(k) marketing clearance, a company must show that a new product is “substantially equivalent” in terms of safety and effectiveness to a product already legally marketed and which does not require a PMA. Therefore, it is not always necessary to prove the actual safety and effectiveness of the new product in order to obtain 510(k) clearance for such product. To obtain a PMA, we must submit extensive data, including clinical trial data, to prove the safety, effectiveness and clinical utility of our products. FDA’s quality system regulations also require companies to adhere to certain good manufacturing practices requirements, which include testing, quality control, storage, and documentation procedures. Compliance with applicable regulatory requirements is monitored through periodic site inspections by the FDA. In addition, we are required to comply with FDA requirements for labeling and promotion. The Federal Trade Commission also regulates most device advertising.

The costs of human health care have been and continue to be a subject of study, investigation and regulation by governmental agencies and legislative bodies in the United States and other countries. In the United States, attention has been focused on drug prices and profits and programs that encourage doctors to write prescriptions for particular drugs or recommend particular medical devices. Managed care has become a more potent force in the market place and it is likely that increased attention will be paid to drug and medical device pricing, appropriate drug and medical device utilization and the quality of health care.

The regulatory agencies under whose purview we operate have administrative powers that may subject us to such actions as product recalls, seizure of products and other civil and criminal sanctions. In some cases we may deem it advisable to initiate product recalls voluntarily. We are also subject to the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, which imposes certain reporting requirements on distributors in the event of an incident involving serious illness, injury or death caused by a medical device.

In addition, sales and marketing practices in the health care industry have come under increased scrutiny by government agencies and state attorney generals and resulting investigations and prosecutions carry the risk of significant civil and criminal penalties.

Changes in regulations and healthcare policy occur frequently and may impact our results, growth potential and the profitability of products we sell. There can be no assurance that changes to governmental reimbursement programs will not have a material adverse effect on the Company and our operations.

Regulation of the Real Estate Industry

The real estate development industry is subject to substantial environmental, building, construction, zoning and real estate regulations that are imposed by various federal, state and local authorities. In order to develop our properties, we must obtain the approval of numerous governmental agencies regarding such matters as permitted land uses, density, the installation of utility services (such as water, sewer, gas, electric, telephone and cable television) and the

dedication of acreage for various community purposes. Furthermore, changes in prevailing local circumstances or applicable laws may require additional approvals or modifications of approvals previously obtained. Delays in obtaining required approvals and authorizations could adversely affect the profitability of our projects.

Regulation of the Car Wash Industry

We are not aware of any existing or probable governmental regulations that may have a material effect on the normal operations of ASG's express car wash business. We also are not aware of any relevant environmental laws that require compliance by ASG that may have a material effect on the normal operations of its express car wash business.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Each executive officer and director as well as every employee of the Company is subject to the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "**Code of Ethics**") which was adopted by the Board of Directors on November 11, 2004 and is filed as Appendix D to the definitive proxy materials filed with the SEC on March 2, 2005. The Code of Ethics applies to all directors, officers and certain employees of the Company, including the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Code of Ethics may be obtained, without charge, upon a written request mailed to: Patient Safety Technologies, Inc., c/o Corporate Secretary, 27555 Ynez Road, Suite 330, Temecula, CA 92591. The Code of Ethics is also posted on our Internet website, which is located at www.patientsafetytechnologies.com.

Available Information

Copies of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, annual reports on Form 10-K and current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to the foregoing, will be provided without charge to any shareholder submitting a written request to the Corporate Secretary, Patient Safety Technologies, Inc., 27555 Ynez Road, Suite 330, Temecula, CA 92591 or by calling (951) 587-6201. You may also obtain the documents filed by Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. with the SEC for free at the Internet website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov. The Company does not currently make these documents available on its website.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

An investment in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Before you invest in our securities you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this prospectus. Each of the following risks may materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. These risks may cause the market price of our common stock to decline, which may cause you to lose all or a part of the money you paid to buy our securities. We provide the following cautionary discussion of risks, uncertainties and possible inaccurate assumptions relevant to our business and our products. These are factors that we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected results.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS AND STRUCTURE

WE HAVE JUST BEGUN TO GENERATE SALES FROM OUR SAFETY-SPONGE(TM) SYSTEM AND THE REVENUES HAVE BEEN NOMINAL TO DATE. A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF OUR REVENUE DURING THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 IS FROM A RELATED PARTY. BECAUSE OF THIS, YOU SHOULD NOT RELY ON OUR HISTORICAL RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AS AN INDICATION OF OUR FUTURE PERFORMANCE.

We have not made any significant amount of sales or generated any significant amount of revenue to date from our Safety-Sponge(TM) System. Further, of our \$245,000 of revenue during fiscal 2006, \$104,000 was generated from a contract to provide management consulting services to one of our portfolio companies IPEX, Inc., which is considered a related party. Our future success is dependent on our ability to develop our patient-safety related assets into a successful business, which depends upon wide-spread acceptance of and commercializing our Safety-Sponge™ System. None of these factors is demonstrated by our historic performance to date and there is no assurance we will be able to accomplish them in order to sustain our operations. As a result, you should not rely on our historical results of operations as an indication of the future performance of our business.

WE RECENTLY RESTRUCTURED OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY AND OBJECTIVE AND HAVE LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY UNDER OUR NEW STRUCTURE. IF WE CANNOT SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT OUR NEW BUSINESS STRUCTURE THE VALUE OF YOUR INVESTMENT IN OUR BUSINESS COULD DECLINE.

Upon the change of control that occurred in October 2004, we restructured our business strategy and objective to focus on the medical products, healthcare solutions, financial services and real estate industries instead of the radio and telecommunications industries. Although we still own certain real estate assets, we are no longer focusing on the financial services and real estate industries. As of March 29, 2006, our Board of Directors determined to focus our business exclusively on the patient safety medical products field. We have a limited operating history under this new structure. Historically, we have not typically invested in these industries and therefore our historical results of operations should not be relied upon as an indication of our future financial performance. If we do not successfully implement our new business structure the value of your investment in our business could decline substantially.

WITHDRAWAL OF OUR ELECTION TO BE TREATED AS A BDC MAY INCREASE THE RISKS TO OUR SHAREHOLDERS SINCE WE ARE NO LONGER SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS OR FINANCIAL REPORTING BENEFITS OF THE 1940 ACT.

Since we withdrew our election to be treated as a BDC, we are no longer subject to regulation under the 1940 Act, which is designed to protect the interests of investors in investment companies. As a non-BDC, we are no longer subject to many of the regulatory, financial reporting and other requirements and restrictions imposed by the 1940 Act including, but not limited to, limitations on the amounts, types and prices at which we may issue securities, participation in related party transactions, the payment of compensation to executives, and the scope of eligible investments.

The nature of our business has changed from investing in radio and telecommunications companies with the goal of achieving gains on appreciation and dividend income, to actively operating businesses in the medical products and health care solutions industries, with the goal of generating income from the operations of those businesses. No assurance can be given that our business strategy or investment objectives will be achieved by withdrawing our election to be treated as a BDC.

Further, our election to withdraw as a BDC under the 1940 Act has resulted in a significant change in our method of accounting. BDC financial statement presentation and accounting utilizes the value method of accounting used by investment companies, which allows BDCs to recognize income and value their investments at market value as opposed to historical cost. As an operating company, the required financial statement presentation and accounting for securities held is either fair value or historical cost methods of accounting, depending on the classification of the investment and our intent with respect to the period of time we intend to hold the investment.

A change in our method of accounting could reduce the market value of our investments in privately held companies by eliminating our ability to report an increase in the value of our holdings as they occur. Also, as an operating company, we have to consolidate our financial statements with subsidiaries, thus eliminating the portfolio company reporting benefits available to BDCs.

TOGETHER WITH OUR SUBSIDIARIES, WE MAY HAVE TO TAKE ACTIONS THAT ARE DISRUPTIVE TO OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY TO AVOID REGISTRATION UNDER THE 1940 ACT.

The 1940 Act generally requires public companies that are engaged primarily in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities to register as investment companies. A company may be deemed to be an investment company if it owns "investment securities" with a value exceeding 40% of the value of its total assets (excluding government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis, unless an exemption or exclusion applies. Securities issued by companies other than majority-owned subsidiaries are generally counted as investment securities for purposes of the 1940 Act. While on an unconsolidated basis, our subsidiaries' assets which constitute investment securities have not approached 40%, as of December 31, 2006, 9.0% of our assets on a consolidated basis with subsidiaries were comprised of investment securities. If Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries were to own investment securities with a value exceeding 40% of its total assets it could require the subsidiary and/or Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act. Registration as an investment company would subject us to restrictions that are inconsistent with our fundamental business strategy of equity growth through creating, building and operating companies in the medical products and healthcare services industries, particularly the patient safety field. Moreover, registration under the 1940 Act would subject us to increased regulatory and compliance costs, and other restrictions on the way we operate. We may also have to take actions, including buying, refraining from buying, selling or refraining from selling securities, when we would otherwise not choose to do so in order to continue to avoid registration under the 1940 Act.

WE INTEND TO UNDERTAKE ADDITIONAL FINANCINGS TO MEET OUR GROWTH, OPERATING AND/OR CAPITAL NEEDS, WHICH MAY RESULT IN DILUTION TO YOUR OWNERSHIP AND VOTING RIGHTS.

We anticipate that revenue from our operations for the foreseeable future will not be sufficient to meet our growth, operating and/or capital requirements. We believe that in order to have the financial resources to meet our operating requirements for the next twelve months we will need to undertake additional equity or debt financings to allow us to meet our future growth, operating and/or capital requirements. We currently have no commitments for any such financings. Any equity financing may be dilutive to our stockholders, and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants or other adverse terms with respect to raising future capital and other financial and operational matters. We may not be able to obtain additional financing in sufficient amounts or on acceptable terms when needed, which could adversely affect our operating results and prospects. If we fail to arrange for sufficient capital in the future, we may be required to reduce the scope of our business activities until we can obtain adequate financing.

WE HAVE RECEIVED SHAREHOLDER APPROVAL TO SELL UP TO \$10 MILLION OF EQUITY AND/OR DEBT SECURITIES TO CERTAIN RELATED PARTIES WHICH MAY RESULT IN DILUTION TO YOUR OWNERSHIP AND VOTING RIGHTS OR MAY RESULT IN THE INCURRENCE OF SUBSTANTIAL DEBT.

We have received shareholder approval to sell equity and/or debt securities up to \$10 million in any calendar year to Milton "Todd" Ault, III, Lynne Silverstein, Louis Glazer, M.D., Ph.G., and Melanie Glazer. Mr. Ault is our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Silverstein is our Executive Vice-President and Secretary, Mr. Glazer is a Director and our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mrs. Glazer is the former Manager of our closed subsidiary Ault Glazer Bodnar Capital Properties, LLC and also is Mr. Glazer's spouse. If we propose to sell more than \$10 million of securities in a calendar year to such persons additional shareholder approval would be required. Although we do not currently anticipate selling equity or debt securities to these persons if we do sell any such securities it will result in dilution to your ownership and voting rights and/or possibly result in our incurring substantial debt. Any such equity financing would result in dilution to existing stockholders and may involve securities that have rights, preferences, or privileges that are senior to our common stock. Any such debt financing may be convertible into common stock which would result in dilution to our stockholders and would have rights that are senior to our common stock. Further, any debt financing must be repaid regardless of whether or not we generate profits or cash flows from our business activities, which could strain our capital resources.

SHOULD THE VALUE OF OUR PATENTS BE LESS THAN THEIR PURCHASE PRICE, WE COULD INCUR SIGNIFICANT IMPAIRMENT CHARGES.

At December 31, 2006, patents received in the acquisition of SurgiCount Medical, Inc., net of accumulated amortization, represented \$4,089,000, or 36.6%, of our total assets. We perform an annual review in the fourth quarter of each year, or more frequently if indicators of potential impairment exist to determine if the recorded amount of our patents is impaired. This determination requires significant judgment and changes in our estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and/or impairment of patents. We may incur charges for the impairment of our patents in the future if sales of our patient safety products, in particular our Safety-Sponge™ System, fail to achieve our assumed revenue growth rates or assumed operating margin results.

WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO EFFECTIVELY INTEGRATE OUR ACQUISITION TARGETS, WHICH WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO OUR BUSINESS.

On February 25, 2005, we purchased SurgiCount Medical, Inc., a holding company for intellectual property rights relating to our Safety-Sponge™ System. We anticipate seeking other acquisitions in furtherance of our plan to acquire assets and businesses in the patient safety medical products industry. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including potential difficulty in integrating operations, technologies, systems, and products and services of acquired companies, diversion of management's attention and disruption of operations, increased expenses and working capital requirements and the potential loss of key employees and customers of acquired companies. In addition, acquisitions involve financial risks, such as the potential liabilities of the acquired businesses, the dilutive effect of the issuance of additional equity securities, the incurrence of additional debt, the financial impact of transaction expenses and the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets involved in any transactions that are accounted for by using the purchase method of accounting, and possible adverse tax and accounting effects. Any of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect our business.

FAILURE TO PROPERLY MANAGE OUR POTENTIAL GROWTH WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO OUR BUSINESS.

Any growth in our operations will place a significant strain on our resources and increase demands on our management and on our operational and administrative systems, controls and other resources. There can be no assurance that our existing personnel, systems, procedures or controls will be adequate to support our operations in the future or that we will be able to successfully implement appropriate measures consistent with our growth strategy. As part of this growth, we may have to implement new operational and financial systems, procedures and controls to expand, train and manage our employee base and maintain close coordination among our technical, accounting, finance, marketing, and sales staffs. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so, or that if we are able to do so, we will be able to effectively integrate them into our existing staff and systems. We may fail to adequately manage our anticipated future growth. We will also need to continue to attract, retain and integrate personnel in all aspects of our operations. Failure to manage our growth effectively could hurt our business.

IF THE PROTECTION OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IS INADEQUATE, OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY COULD BE IMPAIRED.

In connection with our purchase of SurgiCount Medical, Inc., we acquired one registered U.S. patent and one registered international patent of the Safety-Sponge™ System. We regard our patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets and similar intellectual property as critical to our business. We rely on a combination of patent, trademark and copyright law and trade secret protection to protect our proprietary rights. Nevertheless, the steps we take to protect our proprietary rights may be inadequate. Detection and elimination of unauthorized use of our products is difficult. We may not have the means, financial or otherwise, to prosecute infringing uses of our intellectual property by third parties. Further, effective patent, trademark, service mark, copyright and trade secret protection may not be available

in every country in which we will sell our products and offer our services. If we are unable to protect or preserve the value of our patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets or other proprietary rights for any reason, our business, operating results and financial condition could be harmed.

Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims that our products infringe upon the proprietary rights of others or that proprietary rights that we claim are invalid. Litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could harm our business, operating results and financial condition regardless of the outcome of the litigation.

Other parties may assert infringement or unfair competition claims against us. We cannot predict whether third parties will assert claims of infringement against us, or whether any future claims will prevent us from operating our business as planned. If we are forced to defend against third-party infringement claims, whether they are with or without merit or are determined in our favor, we could face expensive and time-consuming litigation, which could distract technical and management personnel. If an infringement claim is determined against us, we may be required to pay monetary damages or ongoing royalties. Further, as a result of infringement claims, we may be required, or deem it advisable, to develop non-infringing intellectual property or enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements. Such royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may be unavailable on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. If a third party successfully asserts an infringement claim against us and we are required to pay monetary damages or royalties or we are unable to develop suitable non-infringing alternatives or license the infringed or similar intellectual property on reasonable terms on a timely basis, it could significantly harm our business.

THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH OUR OFFICERS, DIRECTORS AND OUR AFFILIATED ENTITIES WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS FROM OPERATIONS.

Certain of our officers, directors and/or their family members had existing responsibilities to act and/or provide services as executive officers, directors, owners and/or managers of Ault Glazer Asset Management (“**AG Management**”) (f/k/a Ault Glazer Bodnar & Company Investment Management LLC), its affiliates and/or some of the companies in which we had invested. We currently share office space with AG Management. William B. Horne, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, was a principal of AG Management. Mr. Horne devoted approximately 85% of his time to our business, based on a 60-hour, 6-day workweek. Accordingly, certain conflicts of interest may arise from time to time with our officers, directors and AG Management.

Certain conflicts of interest may also arise from time to time with our officers, directors and the companies in which we invest. Of our \$245,000 of revenue during the year ended December 31, 2006, \$104,000 was generated from a contract to provide management consulting services to our portfolio company IPEX, Inc. Mr. Ault, our former Chief Executive Officer is currently a director of IPEX, Inc. and he served as interim Chief Executive Officer of IPEX, Inc. from May 26, 2005 until July 13, 2005. From May 28, 2005 until approximately December 14, 2005 Mr. Ault held an irrevocable proxy to vote 67% of the outstanding shares of IPEX, Inc. owned by the former Chief Executive Officer and a founder of IPEX, Inc. Darrell W. Grimsley, Jr., Chief Executive Officer of Automotive Services Group, LLC, a subsidiary which is wholly owned by Automotive Services Group, Inc., served as a director of IPEX, Inc. and a member of its Audit Committee from August 30, 2005 until January 30, 2006. Ms. Campbell, our former director, served as a director of IPEX, Inc. and Chairman of its Audit Committee from September 23, 2005 until January 30, 2006. Mr. Horne is a director of our portfolio company Digicorp. From December 29, 2005 until April 20, 2007, Mr. Horne also served as Digicorp’s Chief Financial Officer and from September 30, 2005 until December 29, 2005, Mr. Horne also served as Digicorp's Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Digicorp's Board of Directors. One of our former directors, Alice Campbell, is currently a director of Digicorp. Mr. Ault served as Chief Executive Officer of Digicorp from April 26, 2005 until September 30, 2005 and Chairman of Digicorp's Board of Directors from July 16, 2005 until September 30, 2005. Ms. Silverstein served as Secretary of Digicorp from April 26, 2005 until December 29, 2005. Mr. Grimsley served as a director of Digicorp from July 16, 2005 until December 29, 2005.

Due to the potential conflicts of interest that could arise from the divestiture of our non-patient safety related assets as well as the anticipated restructuring of debt with related parties, the Board of Directors established a special committee in January 2007 to evaluate any potential divestiture or debt restructuring transaction. The special

committee evaluated several alternative divestiture transactions for ASG and determined that in some instances the most favorable transactions involved transactions with a related party. Specifically, ASG's sale of its express car wash and a parcel of real property to Charles H. Dellaccio and Darrell Grimsley. The special committee will also begin to evaluate the impact of restructuring debt with Ault Glazer Capital Partners, LLC, a portion of which is currently in default.

Because of these possible conflicts of interest, such individuals may direct potential business and investment opportunities to other entities rather than to us, which may not be in the best interest of our stockholders. We will attempt to resolve any such conflicts of interest in our favor. Our Board of Directors does not believe that we have experienced any losses due to any conflicts of interest with the business of AG Management, other than certain of our officers' responsibility to devote their time to provide management and administrative services to AG Management and its clients from time-to-time. Similarly, our Board of Directors does not believe that we have experienced any losses due to any conflicts of interest with the companies in which we hold investments other than certain of our officers' and directors' responsibility to devote their time to provide management services to some of such companies. However, subject to applicable law, we may engage in transactions with AG Management and other related parties in the future. These related party transactions may raise conflicts of interest and, although we do not have a formal policy to address such conflicts of interest, our Audit Committee intends to evaluate relationships and transactions involving conflicts of interest on a case-by-case basis and the approval of our Audit Committee is required for all such transactions. The Audit Committee intends that any related party transactions will be on terms and conditions no less favorable to us than terms and conditions reasonably obtainable from third parties and in accordance with applicable law.

OUR MANAGEMENT HAS LIMITED EXPERIENCE IN MANAGING AND OPERATING A PUBLIC COMPANY. ANY FAILURE TO COMPLY OR ADEQUATELY COMPLY WITH FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS COULD SUBJECT US TO FINES OR REGULATORY ACTIONS, WHICH MAY MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION.

Prior to the change in control that occurred in October 2004, members of our current senior management had limited experience operating a public company. Therefore, our senior management lacks practical experience operating a public company and relies in many instances on the professional experience and advice of third parties including its consultants, attorneys and accountants. Failure to comply or adequately comply with any laws, rules, or regulations applicable to our business may result in fines or regulatory actions, which may materially adversely affect our business, results of operation, or financial condition.

WE HAVE EXPERIENCED TURNOVER IN OUR CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER POSITION IN RECENT MONTHS AND IF WE ARE NOT ABLE TO RETAIN OUR NEW CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, WILLIAM HORNE, WE MAY HAVE DIFFICULTY IMPLEMENTING OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY.

Milton "Todd" Ault, III resigned as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer on January 9, 2006. On January 7, 2006, our Board of Directors appointed Louis Glazer, M.D., Ph.G. as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in anticipation of Mr. Ault's resignation. During March 2005, Dr. Glazer had indicated his intent to resign as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer at such time that we retain a suitable candidate for the position of Chief Executive Officer. Due to health concerns, Dr. Glazer resigned his position as Chief Executive Officer on July 11, 2006 and Milton "Todd" Ault, III was re-appointed Chief Executive Officer and a Director of the Company. On January 5, 2007, Milton "Todd" Ault, III resigned as our Chief Executive Officer and on January 9, 2007, Milton "Todd" Ault, III resigned as our Chairman. On January 9, 2007, our Board of Directors appointed William B. Horne as our Chief Executive Officer. Our future success is dependent on our ability to retain our Chief Executive Officer. Although we do not believe we have experienced any losses or negative effects from Mr. Ault's and Dr. Glazer's resignations and we do not expect any adverse consequences in the future, if we are not able to retain our current Chief Executive Officer we may have difficulty implementing our business strategy.

OUR FORMER CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CONTROLS A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF OUR OUTSTANDING COMMON STOCK AND HIS OWNERSHIP INTEREST MAY CONFLICT WITH OUR OTHER STOCKHOLDERS WHO MAY BE UNABLE TO INFLUENCE MANAGEMENT AND EXERCISE CONTROL OVER OUR BUSINESS.

As of May 8, 2007, Milton "Todd" Ault, III, our former Chief Executive Officer, beneficially owned approximately 31% of our common stock. As a result, Mr. Ault may be able to exert significant influence over our management and policies to:

- elect or defeat the election of our directors;
- amend or prevent amendment of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws;
- effect or prevent a merger, sale of assets or other corporate transaction; and
- control the outcome of any other matter submitted to the shareholders for vote.

Accordingly, our other stockholders may be unable to influence management and exercise control over our business.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR MEDICAL PRODUCTS AND HEALTHCARE-RELATED BUSINESS

WE RELY ON A THIRD PARTY MANUFACTURER AND SUPPLIER TO MANUFACTURE OUR SAFETY-SPONGE(TM) SYSTEM, THE LOSS OF WHICH MAY INTERRUPT OUR OPERATIONS.

On January 29, 2007, SurgiCount entered into an agreement for A Plus International Inc. to be the exclusive manufacturer and provider of SurgiCount's Safety-Sponge™ products and granted A Plus the exclusive, world-wide license to manufacture and import SurgiCount's products including the right to sublicense to the extent necessary to carry out the grant. A Plus was previously engaged in the manufacturing of SurgiCount's products under a Supply Agreement dated August 17, 2005, but was not previously granted the exclusive, world-wide license to manufacture and import the products. In the event A Plus International Inc. does not meet the requirements of the agreement, SurgiCount may seek additional providers of the Safety-Sponge™ products. While our relationship with A Plus International Inc. is currently on good terms, we cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain our relationship with A Plus International Inc. or secure additional suppliers and manufacturers on favorable terms as needed. Although we believe the raw materials used in the manufacture of the Safety-Sponge™ System are readily available and can be purchased and/or produced by multiple vendors, the loss of our agreement with A Plus International Inc., the deterioration of our relationship with A Plus International Inc., changes in the specifications of components used in our products, or our failure to establish good relationships with major new suppliers or manufacturers as needed, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

THE UNPREDICTABLE PRODUCT CYCLES OF THE MEDICAL DEVICE AND HEALTHCARE-RELATED INDUSTRIES AND UNCERTAIN DEMAND FOR PRODUCTS COULD CAUSE OUR REVENUES TO FLUCTUATE.

Our target customer base includes hospitals, physicians, nurses and clinics. The medical device and healthcare-related industries are subject to rapid technological changes, short product life cycles, frequent new product introductions and evolving industry standards, as well as economic cycles. If the market for our products does not grow as rapidly as our management expects, our revenues could be less than expected. We also face the risk that changes in the medical device industry, for example, cost-cutting measures, changes to manufacturing techniques or production standards, could cause our manufacturing, design and engineering capabilities to lose widespread market acceptance. If our products do not gain market acceptance or suffer because of competing products, unfavorable regulatory actions, alternative treatment methods or cures, product recalls or liability claims, they will no longer have the need for our

products and we may experience a decline in revenues. Adverse economic conditions affecting the medical device and healthcare-related industries, in general, or the market for our products in particular, could result in diminished sales, reduced profit margins and a disruption in our business.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGES IN THE REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT IN THE HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

The healthcare industry in the United States continues to experience change. In recent years, the United States Congress and state legislatures have introduced and debated various healthcare reform proposals. Federal, state and local government representatives will, in all likelihood, continue to review and assess alternative healthcare delivery systems and payment methodologies, and ongoing public debate of these issues is expected. Cost containment initiatives, market pressures and proposed changes in applicable laws and regulations may have a dramatic effect on pricing or potential demand for medical devices, the relative costs associated with doing business and the amount of reimbursement by both government and third-party payors to persons providing medical services. In particular, the healthcare industry is experiencing market-driven reforms from forces within the industry that are exerting pressure on healthcare companies to reduce healthcare costs. Managed care and other healthcare provider organizations have grown substantially in terms of the percentage of the population in the United States that receives medical benefits through such organizations and in terms of the influence and control that they are able to exert over an increasingly large portion of the healthcare industry. Managed care organizations are continuing to consolidate and grow, increasing the ability of these organizations to influence the practices and pricing involved in the purchase of medical devices, including our products, which is expected to exert downward pressure on product margins. Both short-and long-term cost containment pressures, as well as the possibility of continued regulatory reform, may have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and operating results.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO GOVERNMENT REGULATION IN THE UNITED STATES AND ABROAD, WHICH CAN BE TIME CONSUMING AND COSTLY TO OUR BUSINESS.

Our products and operations are subject to extensive regulation by numerous governmental authorities, including, but not limited to, the FDA and state and foreign governmental authorities. In particular, we must obtain specific clearance or approval from the FDA before we can market new products or certain modified products in the United States. The FDA administers the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (the "FDC ACT"). Under the FDC Act, most medical devices must receive FDA clearance through the Section 510(k) notification process ("510(K)") or the more lengthy premarket approval ("PMA") process before they can be sold in the United States. All of our products, currently consisting only of the Safety-Sponge™ System, must receive 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The Safety-Sponge™ System has already received 501(k) exempt status from the FDA. To obtain 510(k) marketing clearance, a company must show that a new product is "substantially equivalent" in terms of safety and effectiveness to a product already legally marketed and which does not require a PMA. Therefore, it is not always necessary to prove the actual safety and effectiveness of the new product in order to obtain 510(k) clearance for such product. To obtain a PMA, we must submit extensive data, including clinical trial data, to prove the safety, effectiveness and clinical utility of our products. The process of obtaining such clearances or approvals can be time-consuming and expensive, and there can be no assurance that all clearances or approvals sought by us will be granted or that FDA review will not involve delays adversely affecting the marketing and sale of our products. FDA's quality system regulations also require companies to adhere to certain good manufacturing practices requirements, which include testing, quality control, storage, and documentation procedures. Compliance with applicable regulatory requirements is monitored through periodic site inspections by the FDA. In addition, we are required to comply with FDA requirements for labeling and promotion. The Federal Trade Commission also regulates most device advertising.

In addition, international regulatory bodies often establish varying regulations governing product testing and licensing standards, manufacturing compliance, such as compliance with ISO 9001 standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements, import restrictions, tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements and pricing and reimbursement levels. Our inability or failure to comply with the varying regulations or the imposition of new regulations could restrict our ability to sell our products internationally and thereby adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Failure to comply with applicable federal, state or foreign laws or regulations could subject us to enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, product seizures, injunctions, recalls, possible withdrawal of product clearances, civil penalties and criminal prosecutions, any one or more of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results. Federal, state and foreign laws and regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of medical devices are subject to future changes, as are administrative interpretations of regulatory requirements. Any such changes may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO INTENSE COMPETITION IN THE MEDICAL PRODUCTS AND HEALTH-CARE RELATED MARKETS, WHICH COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

The medical products and healthcare solutions industry is highly competitive. We compete against other medical products and healthcare solutions companies, some of which are much larger and have significantly greater financial resources, management resources, research and development staffs, sales and marketing organizations and experience in the medical products and healthcare solutions industries than us. In addition, these companies compete with us to acquire technologies from universities and research laboratories. We also compete against large companies that seek to license medical products and healthcare solutions technologies for themselves. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully compete against these competitors in the acquisition, development, or commercialization of any medical products and healthcare solutions, funding of medical products and healthcare solutions companies or marketing of our products and solutions. If we cannot compete effectively against our competitors, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

WE MAY BE SUBJECT TO PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS AND IF OUR INSURANCE IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO COVER PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS OUR BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION WILL BE MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

The nature of our business exposes us to potential product liability risks, which are inherent in the distribution of medical equipment and healthcare products. We may not be able to avoid product liability exposure, since third parties develop and manufacture our equipment and products. If a product liability claim is successfully brought against us or any third party manufacturer then we would experience adverse consequences to our reputation, we might be required to pay damages, our insurance, legal and other expenses would increase, we might lose customers and/or suppliers and there may be other adverse results.

Through our subsidiary SurgiCount Medical, Inc. we have general liability insurance to cover claims up to \$3,000,000. This insurance covers the clinical trial/time study relating to the bar coding of surgical sponges only. In addition, A Plus International, Inc., the manufacturer of our surgical sponges, maintains general liability insurance for claims up to \$4,000,000 that covers product liability claims against SurgiCount Medical, Inc. There can be no assurance that one or more liability claims will not exceed the coverage limits of any of such policies. If we or our manufacturer are subjected to product liability claims, the result of such claims could harm our reputation and lead to less acceptance of our products in the healthcare products market. In addition, if our insurance or our manufacturer's insurance is not sufficient to cover product liability claims, our business and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INVESTMENTS

WE MAY EXPERIENCE FLUCTUATIONS IN OUR QUARTERLY RESULTS DUE TO THE SUCCESS RATE OF INVESTMENTS WE HOLD.

We may experience fluctuations in our quarterly operating results due to a number of factors, including the success rate of our current investments, variations in and the timing of the recognition of realized and unrealized gains or losses, and general economic conditions. As a result of these factors, results for any period should not be relied upon as being indicative of performance in future periods.

WE HAVE INVESTED IN NON-MARKETABLE INVESTMENT SECURITIES WHICH MAY SUBJECT US TO SIGNIFICANT IMPAIRMENT CHARGES.

We have invested in illiquid equity securities acquired directly from issuers in private transactions. At December 31, 2006, 9.0% of our assets on a consolidated basis with subsidiaries was comprised of investment securities, the

majority of which are illiquid investments. Investments in illiquid, or non-marketable, securities are inherently risky and a number of the companies we invest in are expected to fail. We review all of our investments quarterly for indicators of impairment; however, for non-marketable equity securities, the impairment analysis requires significant judgment to identify events or circumstances that would likely have a material adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. The indicators we use to identify those events or circumstances include as relevant, the nature and value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings, the markets in which the portfolio company does business, comparison to valuations of publicly traded companies, comparisons to recent sales of comparable companies, the discounted cash flows of the portfolio company and other relevant factors. Because such valuations are inherently uncertain and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would be assessed if a ready market for these securities existed. Investments identified as having an indicator of impairment are subject to further analysis to determine if the investment is other than temporarily impaired, in which case we write the investment down to its impaired value. When a company in which we hold investments is not considered viable from a financial or technological point of view, we write down the entire investment since we consider the estimated fair market value to be nominal. We recognized impairment charges of \$1,445,000 and \$50,000 for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Since a significant amount of our assets are comprised of non-marketable investment securities, any future impairment charges from the write down in value of these securities will most likely have a material adverse affect on our financial condition.

ECONOMIC RECESSIONS OR DOWNTURNS COULD IMPAIR INVESTMENTS AND HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS.

Many of the companies in which we have made investments may be susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions. An economic slowdown may affect the ability of a company to engage in a liquidity event such as a sale, recapitalization, or initial public offering. Our nonperforming assets are likely to increase and the value of our investments is likely to decrease during these periods. These conditions could lead to financial losses in our investments and a decrease in our revenues, net income, and assets. Our investments also may be affected by current and future market conditions. Significant changes in the capital markets could have an effect on the valuations of private companies and on the potential for liquidity events involving such companies. This could affect the amount and timing of gains or losses realized on our investments.

INVESTING IN PRIVATE COMPANIES INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK.

Our assets include an investment in a private company, a 1.6% equity interest in Alacra Corporation. Investments in private businesses involve a high degree of business and financial risk, which can result in substantial losses and accordingly should be considered speculative. Because of the speculative nature and the lack of a public market for this investment, there is significantly greater risk of loss than is the case with traditional investment securities. We expect that some of our investments will be a complete loss or will be unprofitable and that some will appear to be likely to become successful but never realize their potential. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we wrote off our investment in the private company China Nurse LLC. The amount of the loss was \$50,000. We have in the past relied, and we continue to rely to a large extent, upon proceeds from sales of investments rather than investment income or revenue generated from operating activities to defray a significant portion of our operating expenses.

THE LACK OF LIQUIDITY IN OUR INVESTMENTS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

A portion of our investments consist of securities acquired directly from the issuer in private transactions. Some of these investments are subject to restrictions on resale and/or otherwise are illiquid. While most of these investments are in publicly traded companies, the trading volume in such companies' securities is low which reduces the liquidity of the investment. Additionally, many of such securities are not eligible for sale to the public without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, which could prevent or delay any sale by us of such investments or reduce the amount of proceeds that might otherwise be realized therefrom. Restricted securities generally sell at a price lower than similar securities not subject to restrictions on resale. The illiquidity of our investments may adversely affect our ability to dispose of debt and equity securities at times when it may be otherwise advantageous for us to liquidate such investments. In addition, if we were forced to immediately liquidate some or all of our investments, the proceeds of such liquidation may be significantly less than the value at which we acquired those investments.

WE MAY NOT REALIZE GAINS FROM OUR EQUITY INVESTMENTS.

Our investments are primarily in equity securities of other companies. These equity interests may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses we experience.

THERE IS UNCERTAINTY REGARDING THE VALUE OF OUR INVESTMENTS THAT ARE NOT PUBLICLY TRADED SECURITIES, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE DETERMINATION OF OUR ASSET VALUE.

The fair value of investments that are not publicly traded securities is not readily determinable. Therefore, we value these securities at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. The types of factors that our Board of Directors takes into account include, as relevant, the nature and value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings, the markets in which the portfolio company does business, comparison to valuations of publicly traded companies, comparisons to recent sales of comparable companies, the discounted value of the cash flows of the portfolio company and other relevant factors. Because such valuations are inherently uncertain and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would be assessed if a ready market for these securities existed.

WE BORROW MONEY, WHICH MAGNIFIES THE POTENTIAL FOR GAIN OR LOSS ON AMOUNTS INVESTED AND MAY INCREASE THE RISK OF INVESTING IN US.

Borrowings, also known as leverage, magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and, therefore, increase the risks associated with investing in our securities. We may borrow from and issue senior debt securities to banks, insurance companies, and other lenders. Lenders of these senior securities have fixed dollar claims on our consolidated assets that are superior to the claims of our common shareholders. If the value of our consolidated assets increases, then leveraging would cause the value of our consolidated assets to increase more sharply than it would have had we not leveraged. Conversely, if the value of our consolidated assets decreases, leveraging would cause the value of our consolidated net assets to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged. Similarly, any increase in our consolidated income in excess of consolidated interest payable on the borrowed funds would cause our net income to increase more than it would without the leverage, while any decrease in our consolidated income would cause net income to decline more sharply than it would have had we not borrowed.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS

THE VALUE OF REAL ESTATE FLUCTUATES DEPENDING ON CONDITIONS IN THE GENERAL ECONOMY AND THE REAL ESTATE BUSINESS. THESE CONDITIONS MAY LIMIT THE PROCEEDS FROM SALES OF OUR REAL ESTATE PROPERTIES AND AVAILABLE CASH.

The value of our real estate holdings is affected by many factors including, but not limited to: national, regional and local economic conditions; consequences of any armed conflict involving or terrorist attacks against the United States; our ability to secure adequate insurance; local conditions such as an oversupply of space or a reduction in demand for real estate in a particular area; competition from other available space; whether tenants consider a property attractive; the financial condition of tenants, including the extent of tenant bankruptcies or defaults; whether we are able to pass some or all of any increased operating costs through to tenants; how well we manage our properties; fluctuations in interest rates; changes in real estate taxes and other expenses; changes in market rental rates; the timing and costs associated with property improvements and rentals; changes in taxation or zoning laws; government regulation; potential liability under environmental or other laws or regulations; and general competitive factors. The proceeds we expect to receive may not materialize as a result of adverse changes in any of these factors. If expected proceeds fail to materialize, we generally would expect to have less cash available to pay our operating costs. In addition, some

expenses, including mortgage payments, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally do not decline when the related value of our real estate holdings decline.

OUR CURRENT REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS ARE CONCENTRATED IN HEBER SPRINGS, ARKANSAS AND SPRINGFIELD, TENNESSEE. ADVERSE CIRCUMSTANCES AFFECTING THESE AREAS GENERALLY COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

A significant proportion of our real estate investments are in Heber Springs, Arkansas and Springfield, Tennessee and are affected by the economic cycles and risks inherent to those regions. Like other real estate markets, the real estate markets in these areas have experienced economic downturns in the past, and we cannot predict how the current economic conditions will impact these markets in both the short and long term. Further declines in the economy or a decline in the real estate markets in these areas could hurt our financial performance and the value of our properties. The factors affecting economic conditions in these regions include: business layoffs or downsizing; industry slowdowns; relocations of businesses; changing demographics; and any oversupply of or reduced demand for real estate.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAR WASH BUSINESS

IF A COMPETING CAR WASH FACILITY IS OPENED WITHIN THE SERVICE AREA OF ONE OF OUR EXPRESS CAR WASH SITES OUR CAR WASH BUSINESS MAY LOSE REVENUE.

Our indirect wholly owned subsidiary Automotive Services Group, LLC ("ASG") is in the business of operating express car wash facilities. ASG's first express car wash site, developed in Birmingham, Alabama, had its grand opening on March 8, 2006. ASG chooses locations for its express car wash sites based on the locations' high visibility and proximity to high automobile traffic. Competitors may develop facilities offering similar services within the service area of ASG's express car wash facilities, which could cause ASG's car wash facilities to lose revenue. ASG will attempt to mitigate this risk during site due diligence, conducting discussions with local permitting and zoning personnel to determine if competing facilities have been planned or requested within the relevant service area. However, such due diligence, no matter how extensive, may not always reveal any planned competing businesses in a particular service area. In addition, a competing car wash site may be developed after ASG begins operating a car wash in a particular service area. If competing facilities are developed in the same service area as one or more of ASG's express car wash sites, it could cause ASG to lose a significant amount of revenue and may require ASG to close one or more express car wash sites.

ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS MAY CAUSE ASG'S EXPRESS CAR WAS SITES TO LOSE REVENUE.

Automobile owners generally do not wash their vehicles during extreme weather conditions. During rainy periods automobile owners do not generally wash their vehicles because rain and mud causes the vehicles to quickly become dirty again. During periods of severe drought automobile owners may not desire to wash their vehicles because they do not want to endure extreme outdoor temperatures. Further during severe drought conditions local governments tend to impose restrictions on when and in what amounts residents can use water. Any such adverse weather conditions may cause unpredictable business cycles for ASG and may cause ASG's express car wash sites to lose a significant amount of revenue.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

OUR HISTORIC STOCK PRICE HAS BEEN VOLATILE AND THE FUTURE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON STOCK MAY CONTINUE TO BE VOLATILE. FURTHER, THE LIMITED MARKET FOR OUR SHARES WILL MAKE OUR PRICE MORE VOLATILE. THIS MAY MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR YOU TO SELL OUR COMMON STOCK FOR A POSITIVE RETURN ON YOUR INVESTMENT.

The public market for our common stock has historically been very volatile. Over the past two fiscal years and the subsequent interim quarterly periods, the market price for our common stock has ranged from \$0.30 to \$7.33 (as

adjusted to reflect a 3:1 forward stock split effective April 5, 2005). Any future market price for our shares may continue to be very volatile. This price volatility may make it more difficult for you to sell shares when you want at prices you find attractive. We do not know of any one particular factor that has caused volatility in our stock price. However, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that often are unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies. Broad market factors and the investing public's negative perception of our business may reduce our stock price, regardless of our operating performance. Further, the market for our common stock is limited and we cannot assure you that a larger market will ever be developed or maintained. Our common stock is currently on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol PSTX. Prior thereto, the Company's common stock was traded on the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX") under the symbol "PST." As of May 8, 2007, the average daily trading volume of our common stock over the past three months was approximately 9,000 shares. The last reported sales price for our common stock on May 8, 2007, was \$1.70 per share. Market fluctuations and volatility, as well as general economic, market and political conditions, could reduce our market price. As a result, this may make it difficult or impossible for you to sell our common stock.

OUR COMMON STOCK IS SUBJECT TO THE "PENNY STOCK" RULES OF THE SEC, WHICH WOULD MAKE TRANSACTIONS IN OUR COMMON STOCK CUMBERSOME AND MAY REDUCE THE VALUE OF AN INVESTMENT IN OUR STOCK.

The SEC has adopted Rule 3a51-1 which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, Rule 15g-9 require:

- that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and
- the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

- obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and
- make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the SEC relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

- sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and
- that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

Generally, brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to the "penny stock" rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of our common stock and cause a decline in the market value of our stock.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

The Company has 22 unresolved comments relating to its prior periodic reports. The Company anticipates responding to these comments in May 2007.

Item 2. Properties.

We do not own any real estate or other physical properties materially important to our operation. Our headquarters are located at 27555 Ynez Road, Suite 330, Temecula, CA 92591. We are responsible for paying approximately \$4,300 per month for the lease expense associated with our headquarters. Our office space is currently approximately 2,000 square feet.

Our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, ASG owns property in Birmingham, Alabama and its immediate surrounding areas. Development on ASG's first automated car wash site was completed in March 2006 and ASG held its grand opening on March 8, 2006. The cost of this property, as carried in our financial statements, is approximately \$1.5 million. ASG also owns two other parcels of undeveloped land which were initially purchased to develop additional automated car wash sites. The cost of these two parcels of undeveloped land, as carried in our financial statements, is approximately \$1.7 million.

In addition, we also have several real estate investments. These investments range in cost, as carried in our financial statements, from \$180,000 to \$250,000 and are comprised of approximately 8.5 acres of undeveloped land in Heber Springs, Arkansas and 0.61 acres of undeveloped land in Springfield, Tennessee. Management does not currently believe that the Company's real estate holdings represent a material risk to the Company.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On July 28, 2005, Jeffrey A. Leve and Jeffrey Leve Family Partnership, L.P. filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of the State of California for the county of Los Angeles, Central District against us and five other defendants affiliated with Winstar Communications, Inc. The plaintiffs are attempting to collect a default judgment of \$5,014,000 entered against Winstar Global Media, Inc. ("**WGM**") by a federal court in New York, by attempting to enforce the judgment against us and the other defendants, none of whom are judgment debtors. Further, the plaintiffs are attempting to enforce their default judgment against us when their initial lawsuit in federal court against us was dismissed on the merits. The Court granted plaintiffs leave to amend the current Complaint after twice granting our motions to dismiss. Plaintiffs made some changes to their Complaint and dropped two other defendants. On April 18, 2007, we filed our Answer setting forth our numerous defenses. We believe the lawsuit is without merit and will be dismissed upon Summary Judgment. In any event we intend to vigorously defend against the lawsuit. However, an unfavorable outcome may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

On February 3, 2006, WGM filed a lawsuit against us in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. The WGM lawsuit attempts to collect upon the \$1,000,000 note between the Company and Winstar Communications, Inc. ("**Winstar**"). As part of the purchase price paid by us on August 28, 2001 for an investment in Excelsior Radio Networks, Inc., we issued a \$1,000,000 note to Winstar. This note was due February 28, 2002 with interest at 3.54% but in accordance with the terms of the purchase the Company had a right of offset against certain representations and warranties made by Winstar. On September 5, 2006, the Company reached a settlement agreement with WGM whereas the Company agreed to pay Winstar \$750,000, pursuant to an agreed upon payment schedule, on or before July 2, 2007. On November 7, 2006, The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, approved the Company's settlement agreement with WGM.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matters were submitted to our shareholders during the year ended December 31, 2006.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****Stock Transfer Agent**

Transfer Online, Inc., 317 SW Alder Street, 2nd Floor, Portland, OR 97204 (Telephone (503) 227-2950) serves as transfer agent for the Company's common stock. Certificates to be transferred should be mailed directly to the transfer agent, preferably by registered mail.

Market Prices

The Company's common stock has been quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board since February 16, 2007 under the symbol PSTX. Prior thereto, the Company's common stock was traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "PST." The following table sets forth the range of the high and low selling price of the Company's common stock during each quarter of the last two fiscal years, as reported by the American Stock Exchange.

Fiscal Quarter	Fiscal 2006		Fiscal 2005	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter Ended March 31	\$ 4.70	\$ 2.27	\$ 7.33	\$ 4.18
Second Quarter Ended June 30	\$ 4.30	\$ 2.60	\$ 6.23	\$ 3.20
Third Quarter Ended September 30	\$ 3.25	\$ 1.45	\$ 3.90	\$ 2.90
Fourth Quarter Ended December 31	\$ 3.97	\$ 0.57	\$ 4.64	\$ 3.21

Dividends

The Company paid nil, \$19,163, and \$76,650 in dividends to preferred stockholders during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and has not paid any dividends to common stockholders during the past three years. Dividends to preferred stockholders are cumulative and paid at the rate of 7% a year. We currently have no intention of paying dividends on our common stock.

Stockholders

As of May 8, 2007, there were approximately 634 holders of record of the Company's common stock. The Company has 25,000,000 shares of common stock authorized, of which 9,937,059 were issued and outstanding at May 8, 2007. The Company has 1,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock authorized, of which 10,950 were issued and outstanding at May 8, 2007.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On January 12, 2006, ASG entered into a Secured Promissory Note with Steven J. Caspi in the principal amount of \$1,000,000. As additional consideration for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Caspi received warrants to purchase 30,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price of \$4.50 per share. The issuance of the above warrants to Mr. Caspi was exempt from

registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “*Securities Act*”), and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Caspi represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On February 8, 2006, we entered into a Secured Promissory Note with AGB Acquisition Fund in the principal amount of \$687,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note AGB Acquisition Fund received warrants to purchase 20,608 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$3.86. The issuance of the above warrants to AGB Acquisition Fund was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Caspi represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On February 13, 2006, the Company issued 175,000 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$3.95 per share to a consultant. The warrants vested immediately and have a three-year life. The warrants were valued at \$405,000 and were expensed during the three months ended March 31, 2006. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On March 15, 2006 the Company, Automotive Services Group, ASG and Darell W. Grimsley, Jr. entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement for Automotive Services Group to purchase a 50% equity interest (the "**Membership Interest**") in ASG from Mr. Grimsley. As consideration for the Membership Interest the Company issued Mr. Grimsley 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Mr. Grimsley will continue to act as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Automotive Services Group. The issuance of the above shares to Mr. Grimsley was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Grimsley represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On May 19, 2006, the Company issued 32,120 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$3.50 per share to a consultant. The warrants vested immediately and have a one-year life. The warrants were valued at approximately \$31,000 and are being expensed over the warrant term. These securities will be issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On June 6, 2006 we entered into a Secured Convertible Promissory Note with Alan Morelli in the principal amount of \$1,100,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured convertible promissory note, Mr. Morelli received warrants to purchase 401,460 shares of our common stock. On August 17, 2006, we sold shares of our common stock at \$1.25 per share thereby requiring modifications to Mr. Morelli's secured convertible promissory note and warrant. These modifications resulted in an adjustment to the conversion price of the Morelli Note from \$2.74 to \$1.25 per share, an adjustment to the exercise price of the Morelli Warrant, and an increase in the number of shares of common stock available to purchase upon exercise of the Morelli Warrant from 401,460 to 976,351. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an adjusted exercise price equal to \$1.25. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Morelli was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Morelli represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On July 12, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note with Charles J. Kalina, III in the principal amount of \$250,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Kalina received warrants to purchase 85,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$2.69. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Kalina was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Kalina represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

In August 2006, we entered into subscription agreements with two unaffiliated accredited investors, pursuant to which we sold 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock, \$0.33 par value per share, at a price of \$1.25 per share. We received gross proceeds of approximately \$250,000 from the sale of our common stock to the accredited investors. Pursuant to the subscription agreement, we granted the accredited investors piggy back registration rights to register the resale of the shares of common stock. The sale was made in a private placement exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

On September 8, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note with Steven J. Caspi in the principal amount of \$1,495,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Caspi received warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$1.25. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Caspi was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Caspi represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

Between September 15, 2006 and November 1, 2006, the Company issued 70,694 shares of Common Stock to two employees and a consultant. The Common Stock was issued for accrued salaries and services. The Common Stock was valued at approximately \$190,000. These shares were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On November 1, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note with Michael G. Sedlak in the principal amount of \$71,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Sedlak received warrants to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$1.25. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Sedlak was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Sedlak represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On November 1, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note with James Sveinson in the principal amount of \$102,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Sveinson received warrants to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$1.25. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Sveinson was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Sveinson represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On November 3, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note with Charles J. Kalina, III in the principal amount of \$400,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Kalina received warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$1.25. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Kalina was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Caspi represented that he is an accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On November 13, 2006 we entered into a Promissory Note with Herbert Langsam, a Director of the Company, in the principal amount of \$100,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Mr. Langsam received warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$1.25. The issuance of these securities to Mr. Langsam was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Mr. Langsam represented that he is an

accredited investor and that he is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

29

On November 18, 2006, the Company issued 12,500 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share to a consultant. The warrants vested immediately and have a three-year life. The warrants were valued at approximately \$2,000 and were expensed at the time of issuance. These securities will be issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Between November 30, 2006 and December 15, 2006, the Company entered into a subscription agreement and sold an aggregate of 238,000 shares of its Common Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 119,000 shares of its Common Stock in a private placement transaction to certain accredited investors. The warrants are exercisable for a period of three years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company's common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. The Company received aggregate gross proceeds of \$298,000. These securities were sold in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the safe harbor of Rule 506 under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom represented to the Company that they are accredited investors, and transfer of the securities is restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On December 26, 2006 we entered into a Promissory Note with Maroon Creek Capital, LP ("**Maroon**"), a California limited partnership, in the principal amount of \$81,000. As an inducement for entering into the secured promissory note, Maroon received warrants to purchase 30,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and have an exercise price equal to \$2.00. The issuance of these securities to Maroon was exempt from registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities and Maroon represented that it is an accredited investor and that it is able to bear the economic risk of his investment.

On December 31, 2006, the Company issued 56,340 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$1.25 per share to the Company's placement agent, Ault Glazer & Co., LLC, (the "**Placement Agent**"). The warrants vested immediately and have a five-year life. The warrants were valued at approximately \$62,000 and were expensed at the time of issuance. These securities will be issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On December 31, 2006, the Company issued 19,040 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share to the Company's Placement Agent. The warrants vested immediately and have a five-year life. The warrants were valued at approximately \$17,000 and were expensed at the time of issuance. These securities will be issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Between January 1, 2007 and April 6, 2007, the Company issued 79,138 shares of Common Stock to various employees, directors, consultants and creditors. The Common Stock was issued for services and payment of accrued interest. The Common Stock was valued at approximately \$131,000. These shares were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 29, 2007, the Company entered into a subscription agreement and sold an aggregate of 800,000 shares of its Common Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 300,000 shares of its Common Stock in a private placement transaction to A Plus, an accredited investor. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company's common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. The Company received gross proceeds of \$500,000 in cash and will receive \$500,000 in product over the course of the next twelve (12) months. These

securities were sold in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the safe harbor of Rule 506 under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom represented to the Company that they are accredited investors, and transfer of the securities is restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On January 29, 2007, the Company entered into a subscription agreement with several unaffiliated accredited investors in a private placement exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The Company issued and sold to these accredited investors an aggregate of 104,000 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 52,000 shares of its common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company's common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. These issuances resulted in aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of \$130,000. These securities were sold in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the safe harbor of Rule 506 under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom represented to the Company that they are accredited investors, and transfer of the securities is restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On January 30, 2007, the Company issued 8,320 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share to the Company's Placement Agent. The warrants vested immediately and have a five-year life. The warrants were valued at approximately \$8,000 and were expensed at the time of issuance. These securities will be issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Between March 7, 2007 and April 5, 2007, the Company entered into a subscription agreement with several accredited investors in a private placement exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The Company issued and sold to these accredited investors an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 1,000,000 shares of its common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company's common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. These issuances resulted in aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of \$2,500,000. We are required to use our reasonable best efforts to cause the registration statement to become effective within 120 days after the Closing Date, April 5, 2007. If the registration statement has not been filed on or prior to the 120th day after the Closing Date, we will issue, as liquidated damages, to the purchasers of the 2,000,000 shares of our Common Stock and the warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our Common Stock warrants with a term of five years and an exercise price of \$2.00 per share to purchase shares of our Common Stock equal to 2.5% of the number of shares of Common Stock purchased by the purchasers. We intend to use the net proceeds from this private placement transaction primarily for general corporate purposes and repayment of existing liabilities. These securities were sold in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the safe harbor of Rule 506 under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom represented to the Company that they are accredited investors, and transfer of the securities is restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On April 5, 2007, the Company issued 89,600 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share to the Company's Placement Agent. The warrants vested immediately and have a five-year life. The warrants were valued at approximately \$81,000 and were expensed at the time of issuance. These securities will be issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. These warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following selected financial data for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are derived from our financial statements which have been audited by Ernst & Young, LLP (December 31, 2002 and 2003), Rothstein Kass (December 31, 2004 and 2005), and Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP (December 31, 2006), our independent registered public accounting firms. The data should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes thereto and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included elsewhere in this report.

BALANCE SHEET DATA

as of December 31,	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Total assets	\$ 11,181,446	\$ 16,033,865	\$ 6,934,243	\$ 3,258,032	\$ 4,632,338
Liabilities	\$ 9,638,092	\$ 6,659,923	\$ 3,367,974	\$ 1,233,894	\$ 1,364,798
Net assets	\$ 1,543,354	\$ 9,120,950	\$ 3,566,269	\$ 2,024,138	\$ 3,267,540
Shares outstanding	6,561,195	5,672,445	4,670,703	3,060,300	3,148,800

OPERATING DATA

for the year ended December 31,	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Revenues from related parties	\$ 103,875	\$ 562,374	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$ 450,000
Interest, dividend income and other, net	\$ 2,251	\$ 42,476	\$ 11,056	\$ 3,159	\$ 5,081
Operating expenses	\$ 7,850,090	\$ 8,384,525	\$ 2,923,983	\$ 1,236,623	\$ 1,950,049
Realized (loss) gains on investments, net	\$ (1,541,506)	\$ 2,014,369	\$ 1,591,156	\$ 430,883	\$ 237,327
Unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities, net	\$ 16,901	\$ 32,335	\$ (1,054,702)	\$ (475,605)	\$ 1,663,304
Net gain (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (13,699,802)	\$ (5,983,223)	\$ (2,485,407)	\$ (1,217,741)	\$ 255,110
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ (2.15)	\$ (1.11)	\$ (0.75)	\$ (0.39)	\$ 0.08

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and the related notes thereto contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. All statements regarding future events, our future financial performance and operating results, our business strategy and our financing plans are forward-looking statements. In many cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology, such as “may,” “should,” “expects,” “intends,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential,” or “continue” or the negative of such terms and other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions.

Known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements. In evaluating these statements, you should specifically consider various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth under “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this report on Form 10-K.

The following “Overview” section is a brief summary of the significant issues addressed in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”). Investors should read the relevant sections of the MD&A for a complete discussion of the issues summarized below. The entire MD&A should be read in conjunction with Item 6. Selected Financial Data and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Overview

Until March 31, 2005, Patient Safety Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation (referred to herein as the “*Company*,” “*we*,” “*us*,” and “*our*”), elected to be a Business Development Company (“*BDC*”) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “*1940 Act*”). On March 30, 2005, stockholder approval was obtained to withdraw our election to be treated as a BDC and on March 31, 2005 we filed an election to withdraw our election with the Securities and Exchange Commission. At December 31, 2006, 8.9% of our assets, consisting primarily of our investment in Alacra Corporation, on a consolidated basis with subsidiaries were comprised of investment securities within the meaning of the 1940 Act (“*Investment Securities*”). If the value of our assets that consist of Investment Securities were to exceed 40% of our total assets (excluding government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis we could be required to re-register as an investment company under the 1940 Act unless an exemption or exclusion applies. We continue to evaluate ways in which we can dispose of these Investment Securities and do not believe that the value of our Investment Securities will increase in an amount that would require us to re-register as a BDC. Registration as an investment company would subject us to restrictions that are inconsistent with our fundamental business strategy of equity growth through creating, building and operating companies in the patient safety medical products industry. Registration under the 1940 Act would also subject us to increased regulatory and compliance costs, and other restrictions on the way we operate and would change the method of accounting for our assets under GAAP.

We have two wholly-owned operating subsidiaries: (1) SurgiCount Medical, Inc., a California corporation; and (2) Automotive Services Group, Inc., (formerly known as Ault Glazer Bodnar Merchant Capital, Inc.) a Delaware corporation.

We are engaged in the acquisition of controlling interests in companies and research and development of products and services focused primarily in the health care and medical products field, particularly the patient safety markets. SurgiCount is a developer and manufacturer of patient safety products and services. In the past we also focused on the financial services and real estate industries, however, on March 29, 2006, our Board of Directors determined to focus our business on the patient safety medical products field. Automotive Services Group holds our investment in ASG, its wholly-owned express car wash subsidiary. In addition to the assets that are held in Automotive Services Group, we hold various other unrelated investments which we are in the process of liquidating. The unrelated investments are included in a separate segment, financial services and real estate. We purchased the remaining equity interest in ASG in March 2006 and during the fourth quarter of 2006 we began marketing for sale the assets held in ASG.

SurgiCount’s Safety-Sponge™ System helps reduce the number of retained sponges and towels in patients during surgical procedures and allows for faster and more accurate counting of surgical sponges. The SurgiCount Safety-Sponge™ System is a patented turn-key array of modified surgical sponges, line-of-sight scanning SurgiCounters, and printPAD printers integrated together to form a comprehensive counting and documentation system. The Safety-Sponge System works much like a grocery store checkout process: Every surgical sponge and towel is affixed with a unique inseparable two-dimensional data matrix bar code and used with a SurgiCounter to scan and record the sponges during the initial and final counts. Because each sponge is identified with a unique code, a SurgiCounter will not allow the same sponge to be counted more than one time. When counts have been completed at the end of a procedure, the system will produce a printed report, or can be modified to work with a hospital’s paperless system. By scanning the surgical dressings in at the beginning of a surgical procedure and then scanning them out at the end of the procedure, the sponges can be counted faster and more accurately than traditional methods which require two medical personnel manually counting the used and un-used sponges. The Safety-Sponge System is the only FDA 510k approved computer assisted sponge counting system. SurgiCount is the first acquisition in our plan to become a leader in the patient safety market.

Our principal executive offices are located at 27555 Ynez Road, Suite 330, Temecula, CA 92591. Our telephone number is (951) 587-6201. Our website is located at <http://www.patientsafetytechnologies.com> .

Critical accounting policies and estimates

The below discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon the accompanying financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those that are both important to the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, complex, or subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our most critical accounting policy relates to the valuation of our investments in non-marketable equity securities, valuation of our intangible assets and stock based compensation.

Valuation of Non-Marketable Equity Securities

In the past we invested in illiquid equity securities acquired directly from issuers in private transactions. These investments are generally subject to restrictions on resale or otherwise are illiquid and generally have no established trading market. Additionally, our investment in Alacra, our only remaining investment in a privately held company, will not be eligible for sale to the public without registration under the Securities Act of 1933. Because of the type of investments that we made and the nature of our business, our valuation process requires an analysis of various factors.

Investments in non-marketable securities are inherently risky and the one remaining privately held company that we have invested in may fail. Its success (or lack thereof) is dependent upon product development, market acceptance, operational efficiency and other key business success factors. In addition, depending on its future prospects, it may not be able to raise additional funds when needed or it may receive lower valuations, with less favorable investment terms than in previous financings, likely causing our investments to become impaired.

We review all of our investments quarterly for indicators of impairment; however, for non-marketable equity securities, the impairment analysis requires significant judgment to identify events or circumstances that would likely have a material adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. The indicators that we use to identify those events or circumstances includes as relevant, the nature and value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings, the markets in which the portfolio company does business, comparison to valuations of publicly traded companies, comparisons to recent sales of comparable companies, the discounted value of the cash flows of the portfolio company and other relevant factors. Because such valuations are inherently uncertain and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would be assessed if a liquid market for these securities existed.

Investments identified as having an indicator of impairment are subject to further analysis to determine if the investment is other than temporarily impaired, in which case we write the investment down to its impaired value. When a portfolio company is not considered viable from a financial or technological point of view, we write down the entire investment since we consider the estimated fair market value to be nominal. If a portfolio company obtains additional funding at a valuation lower than our carrying amount or requires a new round of equity funding to stay in operation and the new funding does not appear imminent, we presume that the investment is other than temporarily impaired, unless specific facts and circumstances indicate otherwise. We recognized \$1,445,000, \$50,000 and nil, in impairments during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Security investments which are publicly traded on a national securities exchange or over-the-counter market are stated at the last reported sale price on the day of valuation or, if no sale was reported on that date, then the securities are stated at the last quoted bid price. Our Board may determine, if appropriate, to discount the value where there is an

impediment to the marketability of the securities held.

34

Valuation of Intangible Assets

We assess the impairment of intangible assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or the asset grouping may not be recoverable. Factors that we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant under-performance of a product line in relation to expectations, significant negative industry or economic trends, and significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets. Recoverability of intangible assets that will continue to be used in our operations is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset grouping to our estimate of the related total future net cash flows. If an asset grouping's carrying value is not recoverable through the related cash flows, the asset grouping is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by the difference between the asset grouping's carrying amount and its fair value, based on the best information available, including market prices or discounted cash flow analysis. Impairments of intangible assets are determined for groups of assets related to the lowest level of identifiable independent cash flows. Due to our limited operating history and the early stage of development of some of our intangible assets, we must make subjective judgments in determining the independent cash flows that can be related to specific asset groupings. To date we have not recognized impairments on any of our intangible assets related to the Safety Sponge™ System.

Stock-Based Compensation

We have adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, effective January 1, 2005 using the modified retrospective application method as provided by SFAS 123(R) and accordingly, financial statement amounts for the prior periods in which the Company granted employee stock options have been restated to reflect the fair value method of expensing prescribed by SFAS 123(R). The fair value of each option grant, nonvested stock award and shares issued under the employee stock purchase plan were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and various inputs to the model. Expected volatilities were based on historical volatility of our stock. The expected term represents the period of time that grants and awards are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate approximates the U.S. treasury rate corresponding to the expected term of the option, and dividends were assumed to be zero. These inputs are based on our assumptions, which include complex and subjective variables. Other reasonable assumptions could result in different fair values for our stock-based awards.

Stock-based compensation expense, as determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, is recognized on a straight line basis over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures. Forfeiture estimates are based on historical data. To the extent actual results or revised estimates differ from the estimates used, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period that estimates are revised.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. FIN 48 will be effective beginning January 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of implementation on our consolidated financial statements but we do not believe the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires expanded disclosure about the information used to measure fair value. This statement is effective for us in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The statement applies whenever other statements require, or permit, assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. We do not expect the adoption of this statement to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for a complete discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

35

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash and marketable securities were \$4,000 at December 31, 2006, versus \$79,000 at December 31, 2005. Total current liabilities were \$5,700,000 at December 31, 2006, versus \$4,000,000 at December 31, 2005. Included in current liabilities at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is a note payable, and accrued interest on such note, payable to Winstar Communications, Inc. (“*Winstar*”) in the amount of \$450,000 and \$939,000, respectively. The due date on the note payable to Winstar was February 28, 2002. However, as a result of the lawsuits filed against us by Jeffrey A. Leve and Jeffrey Leve Family Partnership, L.P. the due date of the note was extended until the lawsuit is settled. However, on February 3, 2006 Winstar Global Media, Inc. (“*WGM*”) filed a lawsuit claiming that we were in default under the terms of the note. On September 5, 2006, subject to the approval of The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, which was granted on November 7, 2006, the Company reached a settlement agreement with WGM whereas the Company agreed to pay Winstar \$750,000, pursuant to an agreed upon payment schedule, on or before July 2, 2007. On November 7, 2006, The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, approved the Company’s settlement agreement with WGM. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the Company made payments of \$300,000 during 2006 and the remaining \$450,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2007. The Company recorded a gain of \$191,000 on the elimination of principal and interest in excess of the settlement amount.

During 2005 our Board authorized us to invest our cash balances in the public equity and debt markets as appropriate to maximize the short-term return on such assets. Such investments are typically short-term and focus on what we believe to be mispriced domestic public equities and instruments.

In August 2005, we entered into an agreement with the financial institution IXIS Derivatives Inc. to borrow against securities which we held. The agreement, which extended for 53 weeks, was subject to a premium of up to 6% of the amount of the borrowings which is amortized on a straight line basis over the term of the agreement. At December 31, 2005, we terminated the August 2005 agreement with IXIS Derivatives Inc. To the extent the agreement was terminated early, we did not incur a premium for the amount of time that the agreement was terminated. The agreement also provided that in addition to the securities held by the financial institution, we pledge a total of 25% of the value of the securities in cash. The pledged cash was reduced daily by the amount of the earned premium and protected the financial institution from decreases in the market value of the securities. Any decrease in the market value of the pledged securities in excess of 5% over the securities notional value would require us to fund additional monies, such that 25% of the initial borrowing, as adjusted by the earned premium, was covered. If we failed to fund additional monies the financial institution had the right to liquidate the pledged securities. In the event the proceeds from liquidation were insufficient to cover the amount of the borrowings, the financial institution’s sole recourse was against the pledged cash. During January 2006, we renewed our August 2005 agreement with IXIS Derivatives Inc. to borrow against securities and during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 terminated this agreement and the agreement was closed upon our liquidation of the underlying assets. We currently have no plans to renew this form of financing in the future.

We had a working capital deficit of approximately \$5,433,000 at December 31, 2006 and we continue to have recurring losses. In the past we have relied upon private placements of equity and debt securities and we may rely on private placements to fund our capital requirements in the future. We have received shareholder approval to sell equity and/or debt securities of the Company up to \$10 million in any calendar year to our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Milton “Todd” Ault, III, to the Company’s Executive Vice President and Secretary, Lynne Silverstein, to another former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Louis Glazer, and to the former Manager of our closed subsidiary Ault Glazer Bodnar Capital Properties, LLC and Mr. Glazer’s spouse, Melanie Glazer. If we proposed to sell more than \$10 million of securities in a calendar year to such persons additional shareholder approval would be required. We do not currently anticipate selling equity or debt securities to these persons and, in the event we elected to pursue such an investment, we cannot guarantee that such persons would be willing to further invest in the Company. We have, however, received funding from Ault Glazer Capital Partners, LLC (formerly AGB Acquisition

Fund) (the “**Fund**”). AG Management is the managing member of the Fund. The managing member of AG Management is The Ault Glazer Group, Inc. (“**The AG Group**”) (f/k/a Ault Glazer Bodnar & Company, Inc.). The Company’s former Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer, Milton “Todd” Ault, III, is Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of The AG Group.

On January 12, 2006, Steven J. Caspi ("*Caspi*") loaned \$1,000,000 to ASG. As consideration for the loan, ASG issued Caspi a promissory note in the principal amount of \$1,000,000 (the "*Caspi Note*") and granted Caspi a mortgage on certain real estate owned by ASG and a security interest on all personal property and fixtures located on such real estate as security for the obligations under the Caspi Note. In addition, we entered into an agreement guaranteeing ASG's obligations pursuant to the Caspi Note and Caspi received warrants to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$4.50 per share. We recorded debt discount in the amount of \$92,000 as the estimated value of the warrants. The debt discount was amortized as non-cash interest expense over the initial term of the debt using the effective interest method. The Caspi Note initially accrued interest at the rate of 10% per annum, which together with principal, was due to be repaid on July 13, 2006. The Caspi Note was not repaid by the scheduled maturity and to date has not been extended. The Caspi Note is in default and therefore accruing interest at the rate of 18% per annum. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company had incurred interest expense of \$130,000 on the Caspi Note, of which \$75,000 is accrued at December 31, 2006.

From September 8, 2006 through September 19, 2006, Caspi loaned the Company a total of \$1,495,000, all of which is outstanding at December 31, 2006. As consideration for the loan, the Company issued Caspi a Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$1,495,000 (the "*Second Caspi Note*"). The Second Caspi Note bears interest at the rate of 12% per annum and is due upon the earlier of March 31, 2008 or, the occurrence of an event of default, and is convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at \$1.25 per share. As security for the performance of the Company's obligations pursuant to the Second Caspi Note, the Company granted Caspi a security interest in certain real property. Caspi received warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share as additional consideration for entering into the loan agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company had incurred interest expense, excluding amortization of debt discount, of \$56,000 on the Second Caspi Note, all of which is accrued at December 31, 2006.

As the effective conversion price of the Second Caspi Note on the date of issuance was below the fair market value of the underlying common stock, the Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$769,000 based on the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature of the note.

The warrant issued to Mr. Caspi in conjunction with the Second Caspi Note will expire after September 8, 2011. The Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$231,000 based on the estimated fair value of the warrants. The debt discount as a result of the beneficial conversion feature of the note and the estimated fair value of the warrants will be amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. Through December 31, 2006, interest expense of \$123,000 has been recorded from the debt discount amortization.

From January 11, 2006 through September 30, 2006 AGB Acquisition Fund, a related party, loaned the Company a total of \$443,000 to cover a portion of our operating expenses, all of which was repaid.

On February 8, 2006, AGB Acquisition Fund loaned \$687,000 to ASG (the "*ASG Note*") to purchase land for an additional car wash facility. The loan was evidenced by a secured promissory note accompanied by a real estate mortgage relating to certain real property located in Jefferson County, Alabama (the "Property"). The ASG Note, as amended, bears interest at the rate of 10% per annum and was due on September 15, 2006. The ASG Note is in default and classified with current liabilities on the balance sheet. AGB Acquisition Fund received warrants to purchase 20,608 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.86 per share as additional consideration for entering into the loan agreement. We recorded debt discount in the amount of \$44,000 based on the estimated fair value of the warrants. The debt discount was amortized as non-cash interest expense over the initial term of the debt using the effective interest method. As additional security for the performance of ASG's obligations pursuant to the ASG Note, ASG granted AGB Acquisition Fund a security interest in all personal property and fixtures located at the ASG Property. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company incurred interest expense, excluding amortization of debt discount, of \$61,000 on the ASG Note.

In addition, as of December 31, 2006, AGB Acquisition Fund had loaned an aggregate of \$1,495,000 to ASG to pay for the land and constructions costs of ASG's first car wash facility, pursuant to the terms of a Real Estate Note dated July 27, 2005, as amended (the "**Real Estate Note**"). The Real Estate Note bears interest at the rate of 3% above the Prime Rate as published in the Wall Street Journal (8.25% at December 31, 2006). All unpaid principal, interest and charges under the Real Estate Note are due in full on July 31, 2010. The Real Estate Note is collateralized by a mortgage on certain real estate owned by ASG pursuant to the terms of a Future Advance Mortgage Assignment of Rents and Leases and Security Agreement dated July 27, 2005 between ASG and AGB Acquisition Fund. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company incurred interest expense of \$160,000 and 29,000, respectively, on the Real Estate Note, all of which is accrued at December 31, 2006.

From March 7, 2006 through October 16, 2006, the Fund loaned the Company a total of \$524,000, of which \$130,000 was repaid. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2006 is \$394,000. The loans were advanced to us pursuant to a Revolving Line of Credit Agreement (the "**Revolving Line of Credit**") entered into with AGB Acquisition Fund on March 7, 2006. The Revolving Line of Credit allows us to request advances of up to \$500,000 from AGB Acquisition Fund and will be primarily used to cover our operating expenses. The initial term of the Revolving Line of Credit is for a period of six months and may be extended for one or more additional six month periods upon mutual agreement of the parties. Each advance under the Revolving Line of Credit is evidenced by a secured promissory note and a security agreement. The secured promissory notes issued pursuant to the Revolving Line of Credit must be repaid with interest at the Prime Rate plus 1% within 60 days from issuance and are convertible into shares of our common stock at the option of AGB Acquisition Fund at a price of \$3.10 per share. Our obligations pursuant to such secured promissory notes are secured by our assets, personal property and fixtures, inventory, products and proceeds therefrom. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company had incurred interest expense of \$16,000 on the Revolving Line of Credit, of which \$13,000 is accrued at December 31, 2006.

On May 1, 2006, Herbert Langsam, a Class II Director of the Company, loaned the Company \$500,000. The loan is documented by a \$500,000 Secured Promissory Note (the "**Langsam Note**"). The Langsam Note accrues interest at the rate of 12% per annum and had a maturity date of November 1, 2006. The Langsam Note is in default and classified with current liabilities on the balance sheet. In the event of breach or default on any provision of the Langsam Note, the interest rate will increase to 16% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of a Security Agreement dated May 1, 2006, the Company granted the Herbert Langsam Revocable Trust a security interest in all of the Company's assets as collateral for the satisfaction and performance of the Company's obligations pursuant to the Langsam Note.

On November 13, 2006, Mr. Langsam loaned the Company an additional \$100,000. The loan is documented by a \$100,000 Secured Promissory Note (the "**Second Langsam Note**"). The Second Langsam Note accrues interest at the rate of 12% per annum and had a maturity date of May 13, 2007. The Second Langsam Note is in default and classified with current liabilities on the balance sheet. In the event of breach or default on any provision of the Second Langsam Note, the interest rate will increase to 16% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of a Security Agreement dated November 13, 2006, the Company granted the Herbert Langsam Revocable Trust a security interest in all of the Company's assets as collateral for the satisfaction and performance of the Company's obligations pursuant to the Second Langsam Note. Mr. Langsam received warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share as additional consideration for entering into the loan agreement. The Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$17,000 as the estimated value of the warrants. The debt discount will be amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

On June 6, 2006 the Company entered into a Secured Convertible Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the "**Purchase Agreement**") pursuant to which the Company sold a \$1,100,000 principal amount Secured Convertible Promissory Note (the "**Morelli Note**") and a warrant to purchase 401,460 shares of the Company's common stock (the "**Morelli Warrant**") to Alan E. Morelli.

The Morelli Note accrued interest at the rate of 12% per annum through July 6, 2006, after which the interest rate increased to 15% per annum from July 6, 2006 through the date the loan is repaid. The principal amount of the Morelli Note and any accrued but unpaid interest was due to be paid on October 6, 2006, or the occurrence of an event of default. On August 15, 2006 the Company received a notice of default regarding the Morelli Note. The notice of default specifically cited the Company's failure to obtain the prior written consent of Mr. Morelli to the incurrence of indebtedness and failure to repay the obligations owing under the Morelli Note in an amount equal to the proceeds of the indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of an event of default the interest rate increase to 19% per annum. During September 2006, from the proceeds of the Second Caspi Note, the Company repaid the outstanding principal amount and accrued interest of \$51,000.

In August 2006, prior to the repayment of the Morelli Note, the Company sold shares of its common stock at \$1.25 per share thereby requiring modifications to both the Morelli Warrant and Morelli Note. These modifications resulted in an adjustment to the conversion price of the Morelli Note, an adjustment to the exercise price of the Morelli Warrant and an increase in the number of shares of common stock available to purchase upon exercise of the Morelli Warrant. These modifications were sufficiently different from the initial terms of the Morelli Note and Morelli Warrant, requiring the Company to account for the change in conversion terms as a substantial modification of terms in accordance with EITF Issue No. 96-19, “*Debtor’s Accounting and Modification on Exchange of Debt Instruments*”.

The original notes converted into common stock at a rate of \$2.74 per share with 401,460 warrants to purchase common stock exercisable at \$3.04 per share. The new terms provided conversion into common stock at \$1.25 per share with 976,351 warrants to purchase common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share. On extinguishment of the original terms of the Morelli Note and Morelli Warrant, the Company recorded non-cash interest expense of \$880,000 as the estimated difference in the fair value of the Morelli Warrant under the original terms to that of the modified terms and further recorded entries to record discounts related to the beneficial conversion feature totaling \$572,000 which was amortized as non-cash interest expense.

On July 12, 2006 we executed a Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$250,000 (the “*Kalina Note*”) and a warrant for the Purchase of 85,000 Shares of our common stock (the “*Kalina Warrant*”) in favor of Charles J. Kalina, III (“*Kalina*”), an existing shareholder of the Company. The Kalina Note accrues interest at the rate of 12% per annum throughout the term of the loan. The principal amount of the Kalina Note and any accrued but unpaid interest was due to be paid upon the earlier of October 10, 2006, or the occurrence of an event of default. Principal and interest on the Kalina Note was convertible into our shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$3.00. The Kalina Warrant has an exercise price of \$ 2.69 per share and will expire on July 11, 2011. We recorded debt discount in the amount of \$161,000 based on the estimated fair value of the Kalina Warrants. The debt discount was amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

On November 3, 2006, Kalina converted the Kalina Note into a new Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$400,000 (the “*Second Kalina Note*”) and a warrant for the purchase of 100,000 Shares of our common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share (the “*Second Kalina Warrant*”). The Second Kalina Note bears interest at the rate of 12% per annum, is due to be paid on January 31, 2008, and is convertible into shares of the Company’s common stock at \$1.25 per share. As the effective conversion price of the Second Kalina Note on the date of issuance was below the fair market value of the underlying common stock, the Company will record debt discount in the amount of \$77,000 based on the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature of the note. The Second Kalina Warrant will expire after November 3, 2011. The Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$29,000 based on the estimated fair value of the warrants. The debt discount will be amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

On November 1, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$71,000 (the “*Sedlak Note*”) and a warrant for the purchase of 20,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share (the “*Sedlak Warrant*”) in favor of Michael G. Sedlak. The Sedlak Note bears interest at the rate of 12% per annum, is due to be paid on January 31, 2008, and is convertible into shares of the Company’s common stock at \$1.25 per share. As the effective conversion price of the Sedlak Note on the date of issuance was below the fair market value of the underlying common stock, the Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$22,000 based on the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature of the note. The Sedlak Warrant will expire after November 1, 2011. The Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$14,000 based on the estimated fair value of the warrants. The debt discount will be amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method

On November 1, 2006 we entered into a Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$102,000 (the “*Sveinson Note*”) and a warrant for the purchase of 20,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share (the “*Sveinson Warrant*”) in favor of James Sveinson. The Sveinson Note bears interest at the rate of 12% per annum, is due to be paid on January 31, 2008, and is convertible into shares of the Company’s common stock at \$1.25 per share. As the effective conversion price of the Sveinson Note on the date of issuance was below the fair market value of the underlying common stock, the Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$27,000 based on the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature of the note. The Sveinson Warrant will expire after November 1, 2011. The Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$14,000 based on the estimated fair value of the warrants. The debt discount will be amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method

On March 15, 2006 the Company, Automotive Services Group, ASG and Darell W. Grimsley, Jr. entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement for Automotive Services Group to purchase a 50% equity interest (the “*Membership Interest*”) in ASG from Mr. Grimsley. As consideration for the Membership Interest the Company issued Mr. Grimsley 200,000 shares of the Company’s common stock. Mr. Grimsley will continue to act as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Automotive Services Group.

In August 2006, we entered into subscription agreements with two unaffiliated accredited investors, pursuant to which we sold 200,000 shares of the Company’s common stock, \$0.33 par value per share, at a price of \$1.25 per share. We received gross proceeds of approximately \$250,000 from the sale of our common stock to the accredited investors. Pursuant to the subscription agreement, we granted the accredited investors piggy back registration rights to register the resale of the shares of common stock.

Between November 30, 2006 and December 15, 2006, the Company entered into a subscription agreement and sold an aggregate of 238,000 shares of its Common Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 119,000 shares of its Common Stock in a private placement transaction to certain unaffiliated accredited investors. The warrants are exercisable for a period of three years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company’s common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. Pursuant to the subscription agreement, we granted the accredited investors piggy back registration rights to register the resale of the shares of common stock. We received aggregate gross proceeds of \$298,000.

On December 26, 2006 we entered into a Promissory Note with Maroon Creek Capital, LP (“*Maroon*”), a California limited partnership, in the principal amount of \$81,000. The loan is documented by an \$81,000 Secured Promissory Note (the “*Maroon Note*”) payable to the Maroon. The Maroon Note accrues interest at a rate equal to the prime rate published in *The Wall Street Journal* from time to time, and currently 8.25%, and has a maturity date of April 26, 2007. In the event of breach or default on any provision of the Maroon Note, the interest rate will increase to 12% per annum and we shall be required to issue to Maroon a warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of the Company’s common stock, exercisable for a period of five years, at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. Maroon received warrants to purchase 30,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share as additional consideration for entering into the loan agreement. The Company recorded debt discount in the amount of \$28,000 as the estimated value of the warrants. The debt discount will be amortized as non-cash interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

On January 29, 2007, the Company entered into a subscription agreement and sold an aggregate of 800,000 shares of its Common Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 300,000 shares of its Common Stock in a private placement transaction to A Plus, an accredited investor. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company’s common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. The Company received gross proceeds of \$500,000 in cash and will receive \$500,000 in product over the course of the next twelve (12) months. We used the

net proceeds from the private placement transaction primarily for general corporate purposes and repayment of existing liabilities. Pursuant to the subscription agreement, we granted the accredited investors piggy back registration rights to register the resale of the shares of common stock.

On January 29, 2007, the Company entered into a subscription agreement with several unaffiliated accredited investors in a private placement exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The Company issued and sold to these accredited investors an aggregate of 104,000 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 52,000 shares of its common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company's common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. These issuances resulted in aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of \$130,000. We used the net proceeds from the private placement transaction primarily for general corporate purposes. Pursuant to the subscription agreement, we granted the accredited investors piggy back registration rights to register the resale of the shares of common stock.

Between March 7, 2007 and April 5, 2007, the Company entered into a subscription agreement with several accredited investors in a private placement exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The Company issued and sold to these accredited investors an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 1,000,000 shares of its common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years, have an exercise price equal to \$2.00, and 50% of the warrants are callable upon the occurrence of any one of a number of specified events when, after any such specified occurrence, the average closing price of the Company's common stock during any period of five consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.00 per share. These issuances resulted in aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of \$2,500,000. We are required to use our reasonable best efforts to cause the registration statement to become effective within 120 days after the Closing Date, April 5, 2007. If the registration statement has not been filed on or prior to the 120th day after the Closing Date, we will issue, as liquidated damages, to the purchasers of the 2,000,000 shares of our Common Stock and the warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our Common Stock warrants with a term of five years and an exercise price of \$2.00 per share to purchase shares of our Common Stock equal to 2.5% of the number of shares of Common Stock purchased by the purchasers. We intend to use the net proceeds from this private placement transaction primarily for general corporate purposes and repayment of existing liabilities.

On April 4, 2007, ASG entered into an agreement for the sale of real property located in Tuscaloosa, Alabama for \$965,000 and on April 26, 2007, ASG entered into a binding term sheet to sell its express car wash and a parcel of real property, both located in Birmingham, Alabama, to Charles H. Dellaccio and Darrell Grimsley for \$2.25 million. Mr. Grimsley is the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Automotive Services Group. There can be no guarantee that the execution of these contracts will result in the consummation of the transactions. However, to the extent we are successful in consummating these transactions then we would expect to receive proceeds from the transactions in July 2007. If all the properties are sold, we will receive gross proceeds of approximately \$3.2 Million. By selling these assets we will be better positioned to aggressively pursue the market for surgical sponges in the United States and Europe, which we believe represents a market opportunity equal to or in excess of \$650 million in annual sales.

Management is currently seeking additional financing and believes that it will be successful. However, in the event management is not successful in obtaining additional financing, existing cash resources, together with proceeds from investments and anticipated revenues from operations, may not be adequate to fund our operations for the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2006. However, ultimately long-term liquidity is dependent on our ability to attain future profitable operations. We intend to undertake additional debt or equity financings to better enable us to grow and meet future operating and capital requirements.

As of December 31, 2006, other than our office lease and employment agreements with key executive officers, we had no commitments not reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Cash decreased by \$76,000 to \$4,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to a decrease of \$767,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Operating activities used \$2,794,000 of cash for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to using \$1,719,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2006, exclusive of changes in operating assets and liabilities, used \$4,870,000 of cash, as the Company's net cash used in operating activities of \$2,794,000 included non-cash charges for depreciation and amortization of \$461,000, debt discount of \$2,983,000, goodwill impairment of \$971,000, gain on debt extinguishment of \$191,000, realized gains of \$1,542,000, unrealized gains of \$17,000 and stock based compensation of \$3,301,000. For the year ended December 31, 2005, operating activities, exclusive of changes in operating assets and liabilities, used \$3,900,000 of cash, as the Company's net cash used in operating activities of \$1,719,000 included non-cash charges for depreciation and amortization of \$286,000, realized gains of \$2,014,000, unrealized gains of \$32,000 and stock based compensation of \$4,504,000.

Changes in operating assets and liabilities provided cash of \$1,895,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006, principally due to net proceeds received from marketable securities, decreases in our receivables from investments and increases in the level of accounts payable and accrued liabilities which were partially offset by decreases in the amounts due to our broker. The amount due to our broker is directly attributable to purchases of marketable investment securities that were purchased on margin or to securities that were margined subsequent to their purchase. During the first quarter of 2006 and for the entire year of 2005, the Company actively invested its cash balances in the public equity and debt markets in an attempt to maximize the short-term return on such assets. The amount due to our broker varied throughout the year depending upon the aggregate amount of marketable investment securities held by us and the level of borrowing against our available-for-sale securities. The actual amount of marketable investment securities held was influenced by several factors, including but not limited to, our expectations of potential returns available from what we considered to be mispriced securities as well as the cash needs of our operating activities. Consistent with our emphasis on the patient safety products field, we no longer invest in the public equity and debt markets. During the year ended December 31, 2005, changes in operating assets and liabilities provided cash of \$2,181,000 primarily due to net proceeds received from marketable securities, and increases in the level of accounts payable and accrued liabilities and amounts due to broker which were partially offset by increases in accounts receivable.

The principal factor in the \$2,016,000 of cash used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2006 was the purchase of land of \$1,697,000, capitalized construction costs of \$381,000 related to ASG, and capitalized costs of \$148,000 related to the ongoing development of software related to our Safety-Sponge™ System offset by proceeds from the sale of long-term investments of \$289,000. The principal factor in the \$794,000 of cash used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2005 was caused from our initial cash investments in ASG and SurgiCount of \$300,000 and \$432,000, respectively, and an investment in the construction of our first automated car wash site by our subsidiary, ASG, in the amount of \$604,000. These investments were offset by proceeds received from the sale of long-term investments, primarily from our stock appreciation rights in our holding in Excelsior for \$847,000, repayment of loans secured by real estate of \$350,000 and the sale of real estate valued at \$67,000.

Cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2006, of \$4,735,000 resulted from the net proceeds from notes payable of \$4,207,000 and the proceeds from the issuance of common stock and warrants for \$528,000. Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2005, of \$1,746,000 resulted primarily from the net proceeds from notes payable of \$1,526,000 and the net proceeds from issuance of common stock and warrants of \$250,000 and payment of preferred dividends of \$19,000.

Investments

Our financial condition is partially dependent on the success of our existing investments. In the past, short selling was a component of our investment strategy and these trades ranged, in any particular month, from 0% to 20% of total trading activity. The making of such investments entailed significant risk that the price of a security may increase resulting in the loss of or negative return on the investment. We have not engaged in the practice of short selling since the quarter ended September 30, 2005, and do not expect to participate in short selling in the future. On March 29, 2006 our Board of Directors directed us to liquidate all of our investments and other assets that do not relate to the patient safety medical products business. Some of our investments are subject to restrictions on resale under federal securities laws and otherwise are illiquid, which will make it difficult to dispose of the securities quickly. Since we will be forced liquidate some or all of the investments on an accelerated timeline, the proceeds of such liquidation may be significantly less than the value at which we acquired the investments. The following is a discussion of our most significant investments at December 31, 2006.

A summary of our investment portfolio, which is valued at \$1,442,000 and represents 12.9% of our total assets, is reflected below. Excluding our real estate investments, our investment portfolio represents 9.0% of our total assets. The investment portfolio is classified as long-term investments.

	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Alacra Corporation	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Digicorp	10,969	3,025,398
IPEX, Inc.	—	1,243,550
Real Estate	430,564	481,033
Tuxis Corporation	—	746,580
Other	—	64,170
	\$ 1,441,533	\$ 6,560,731

Alacra Corporation

At December 31, 2006, we had an investment in Alacra Corporation ("**Alacra**"), valued at \$1,000,000, which represents 8.9% of our total assets. On April 20, 2000, we purchased \$1,000,000 worth of Alacra Series F Convertible Preferred Stock. Alacra has recorded revenue growth in every year since the Company's original investment, further, Alacra is forecasting that 2007 revenues will be approximately \$19.2 million, which would represent an increase of 22% over 2006 unaudited revenues. At December 31, 2006, Alacra reported in their unaudited financial statements total assets of approximately \$4.7 million with total liabilities of approximately \$7.4 million. Deferred revenue, which represents subscription revenues are amortized over the term of the contract, which is generally one year, and represented approximately \$3.7 million of the total liabilities. We have the right, subject to Alacra having the available cash, to have the preferred stock redeemed by Alacra over a period of three years for face value plus accrued dividends beginning on December 31, 2006. Pursuant to this right, in December 2006 we informed management of Alacra that we were exercising our right to put back one-third of our preferred stock. If Alacra has a sufficient amount of cash to redeem our preferred stock we would expect the redemption to occur in the fourth quarter of 2007. In connection with this investment, the Company was granted observer rights on Alacra board of directors meetings.

Alacra, a privately held company based in New York, is a global provider of business and financial information. Alacra provides a diverse portfolio of fast, sophisticated online services that allow users to quickly find, analyze, package and present business information. Alacra's customers include more than 750 leading financial institutions, management consulting, law and accounting firms and other corporations throughout the world. Currently, Alacra's

largest customer segment is investment and commercial banking, followed closely by management consulting, law and multi-national corporations.

43

Alacra's online service allows users to search via a set of tools designed to locate and extract business information from the Internet and from Alacra's library of content. Alacra's team of information professionals selects, categorizes and indexes more than 45,000 sites on the Web containing the most reliable and comprehensive business information. Simultaneously, users can search more than 100 premium commercial databases that contain financial information, economic data, business news, and investment and market research. Alacra provides information in the required format, gleaned from such prestigious content partners as Thomson Financial™, Barra, The Economist Intelligence Unit, Factiva, Mergerstat® and many others.

The information services industry is intensely competitive and we expect it to remain so. Although Alacra has been in operation since 1996 they are significantly smaller in terms of revenue than a large number of companies offering similar services. Companies such as ChoicePoint, Inc. (NYSE: CPS), LexisNexis Group, and Dow Jones Reuters Business Interactive, LLC report revenues that range anywhere from \$100 million to several billion dollars, as reported by Hoovers, Inc. As such, Alacra's competitors can offer a far greater range of products and services, greater financial and marketing resources, larger customer bases, greater name recognition, greater global reach and more established relationships with potential customers than Alacra has. These larger and better capitalized competitors may be better able to respond to changes in the financial services industry, to compete for skilled professionals, to finance investment and acquisition opportunities, to fund internal growth and to compete for market share generally.

Digicorp

At December 31, 2006, the Company held 96,269 shares of Digicorp common stock valued at \$10,970. Prior to December 31, 2005, the Company accounted for its investment in Digicorp under the equity method of accounting and the Company's proportionate share of income or losses from this investment was recorded in equity in income (loss) of investee. However, on December 29, 2005, Digicorp completed the purchase of all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of Rebel Crew Films, Inc. ("**Rebel Crew**"), a California corporation. Digicorp issued approximately 21 million shares of its common stock to the shareholders of Rebel Crew which decreased our ownership interest from approximately 20% at September 30, 2005, to approximately 7.5% at December 29, 2005, and accordingly, the Company began accounting for the investment under the cost method. During 2006, the Company sold 2,654,092 shares of Digicorp common stock, of which 2,421,292 shares were sold to the Chief Executive Officer of Digicorp. The Company received cash of \$121,065 and a 4 year non-interest bearing promissory note in the principal amount of \$121,064, or total gross proceeds of \$242,129. The Company recognized a loss of \$33,753 on this transaction. Digicorp's common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, which reported a closing price, at December 31, 2006, of \$0.18 per share. The Company has valued its holdings in Digicorp at an approximate 37% discount to the \$0.18 closing price, due to the limited average number of shares traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

We are required to purchase 224,000 shares of Digicorp common stock from certain selling shareholders of Digicorp at a price of \$0.145 per share at such time that Digicorp registers the resale of the shares with the SEC. During December 2005 we extended loans of approximately \$32,500 to these selling shareholders from our working capital. Such loans represented the amount of the remaining obligation to purchase 224,000 shares of Digicorp common stock and are secured by the 224,000 shares of Digicorp common stock presently held by such selling shareholders. On July 20, 2005, William B. Horne, our Chief Executive Officer, was appointed as a director and as Chief Financial Officer of Digicorp. On September 30, 2005, Mr. Horne was appointed as the interim Chief Executive Officer. On December 29, 2005, William B. Horne resigned as Chief Executive Officer and on April 20, 2007 he resigned as the Chief Financial Officer.

IPEX, Inc.

At December 31, 2006, we held 950,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 787,500 shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share of IPEX, Inc. ("**IPEX**") in long-term investments. The Company acquired 450,000 shares of the common stock and all of the warrants directly from IPEX in March 2005 and received 500,000 shares of the common stock for consulting services. On December 15, 2006, IPEX's Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial and Accounting Officer, and director, resigned citing that IPEX no longer had any operations, and was no longer conducting business as the reason for his resignation. As IPEX is no longer conducting business operations, the carrying value of this investment has been written down to zero and a related loss of \$1,106,000 has been recognized during 2006. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2006, we sold 95,000 shares of IPEX common stock that we held in marketable securities. We sold these 95,000 shares for \$8,440, resulting in a realized loss of \$339,000.

Investments in Real Estate

At December 31, 2006, we had several real estate investments, valued at \$431,000, which represents 3.9% of our total assets. In the past we held our real estate investments in Ault Glazer Bodnar Capital Properties, LLC ("**AGB Properties**"). AGB Properties, which was closed during 2006, was a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly owned subsidiary. The real estate investments, consisting of approximately 8.5 acres of undeveloped land in Heber Springs, Arkansas and 0.61 acres of undeveloped land in Springfield, Tennessee, are currently being marketed for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we received payment on loans that were secured by real estate of \$50,000. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we liquidated properties with a cost basis of \$113,000, which resulted in a gain of \$28,000. We expect that any future gain or loss recognized on the liquidation of some or all of our real estate holdings would be insignificant primarily due to the short period of time that the properties were owned combined with the absence of any significant changes in property values in the real estate markets where the real estate holdings are located.

Tuxis Corporation

At December 31, 2005, we held 108,200 shares of common stock of Tuxis Corporation ("**Tuxis**") valued at \$746,580. Tuxis' common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange, which reported a closing price, at December 31, 2005, of \$7.50. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company sold its entire holdings in Tuxis for \$733,000, resulting in a realized loss of \$144,000.

Results of Operations

We account for our operations under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The principal measure of our financial performance is captioned "Net loss attributable to common shareholders," which is comprised of the following:

- "Revenues," which is the amount we receive from sales of our products;
- "Operating expenses," which are the related costs and expenses of operating our business;
- "Interest, dividend income and other, net," which is the amount we receive from interest and dividends from our short term investments and money market accounts, and our proportionate share of income or losses from investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting;
- "Realized gains (losses) on investments, net," which is the difference between the proceeds received from dispositions of investments and their stated cost; and

- “Unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities, net,” which is the net change in the fair value of our marketable securities, net of any (decrease) increase in deferred income taxes that would become payable if the unrealized appreciation were realized through the sale or other disposition of the investment portfolio.

“Realized gains (losses) on investments, net” and “Unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities, net” are directly related. When a security is sold to realize a gain, the net unrealized gain decreases and the net realized gain increases. When a security is sold to realize a loss, the net unrealized gain increases and the net realized gain decreases.

We generally earn interest income from loans, preferred stock, corporate bonds and other fixed income securities. The amount of interest income varies based upon the average balance of our fixed income portfolio and the average yield on this portfolio.

Revenues

We recognized revenues of \$245,000, \$562,000, and nil for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Of these revenues, only \$141,000 related to sales of our Safety-Sponge™ System. As expected, these initial revenues did not have a significant impact on our results of operations, however, we expect revenues will increase significantly during 2007 and the revenues from our Safety-Sponge™ System will in all probability become a continual source of funds to cover a portion of our operating costs.

Of the revenue earned during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, 104,000 and \$562,000, respectively, was the result of a consulting agreement, consented to by IPEX, whereby the majority shareholder of IPEX and former President, former Chief Executive Officer and former director of IPEX (“*Majority Shareholder*”), retained us to serve as a business consultant to IPEX. In consideration for the services, during December 2005, the Majority Shareholder personally transferred us 500,000 shares of common stock of IPEX as a non-refundable consulting fee. Services stipulated under the terms of the consulting agreement included, but were not limited to,: (i) a review of the business and operations (ii) advice in connection with IPEX’s purchase of certain intellectual property assets; (iii) the hiring by IPEX of a new Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and a Vice President of Research & Development; and (iv) IPEX’s appointment of two new members to its Board of Directors. This consulting agreement reflected our prior focus in the financial services and real estate industries. Since we now only focus our efforts on the patient safety markets, we do not expect to revenue from these types of consulting agreements to be a source of recurring revenue.

On November 14, 2006, SurgiCount entered into a Supply Agreement with Cardinal Health 200, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“*Cardinal*”). Pursuant to the agreement, Cardinal shall act as the exclusive distributor of SurgiCount’s products in the United States, with the exception that SurgiCount may sell its products to one other hospital supply company, named in the agreement, solely for its sale/distribution to its hospital customers. The term of the agreement is 36 months, unless earlier terminated as set forth therein. Otherwise, the agreement automatically renews for successive 12 month periods. We cannot reasonably predict or estimate the financial impact of the agreement with Cardinal but believes it will have a material impact on our results of operations.

Expenses

Operating expenses were \$7,850,000, 8,385,000, and \$2,924,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Year 2006 compared to Year 2005

The decrease in operating expenses of \$535,000, for the year ended December 31, 2006 when compared to December 31, 2005, was primarily the result of salaries and employee benefits, which decreased by \$460,000. Our Compensation Committee, currently comprised of two independent directors, determines and recommends to our Board the cash and stock based compensation to be paid to our executive officers and also reviews the amount of salary and bonus for each of our other officers and employees. The most significant component of employee compensation is stock based compensation expense.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded \$1,118,000 related to grants of nonqualified stock options, of which \$114,000 was attributed to grants of nonqualified stock options to Darrell W. Grimsley, the Chief Executive Officer of our discontinued car wash segment. For comparison purposes, stock based compensation expense attributed to the discontinued car wash segment will not be considered in an analysis of stock based compensation annual variances since expenses attributed to the discontinued operations are included as a separate line in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss - Loss from discontinued car wash segment. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we also recorded \$1,105,000 related to restricted stock awards to our employees and non-employee directors. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded \$1,597,000 relating to grants of nonqualified stock options and \$1,520,000 related to restricted stock awards to our employees and non-employee directors. The issuance of stock options and restricted stock awards to our employees and non-employee directors, excluding the value of the grant to Mr. Grimsley, resulted in a decrease in stock based compensation expense of \$1,008,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Therefore, excluding stock based compensation, salaries and employee benefits increased by \$548,000.

The increase in employee compensation of \$548,000 is attributed to a combination of factors. During the six months ended June 30, 2005 we did not incur any salary expense on four highly compensated employees. During the quarter ended September 30, 2005 we entered into employment agreements with three of these highly compensated employees, which reflected annualized salaries of \$450,000 and during the quarter ended June 30, 2006 we entered into the fourth employment contract with an annualized salary of \$300,000. Excluding benefits, the absence of salary expense on these four highly compensated employees for either all or part of 2005 resulted in an increase of \$436,000. In January 2006 we also entered into a non-recurring severance package of \$180,000 that was paid to Milton "Todd" Ault III, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. This severance package represented a \$30,000 increase over Mr. Ault's 2005 salary. In July 2006, subsequent to the payment of Mr. Ault's severance package, Mr. Ault was re-appointed as our Chief Executive Officer at a nominal salary.

At December 31, 2006, four of our executives were covered under employment agreements. Our Chief Executive Officer, William B. Horne, is covered under a two year employment agreement with annual base compensation of \$150,000; our Chief Executive Officer of SurgiCount Medical, Inc., Bill Adams is covered under a three year employment agreement with annual base compensation of \$300,000; our President of Sales and Marketing of SurgiCount Medical, Inc., Richard Bertran, is covered under a three year employment agreement with annual base compensation of \$200,000 and; our Chief Operating Officer of SurgiCount Medical, Inc., James Schafer, is covered under a two year employment agreement with annual base compensation of \$100,000. As discussed above, the addition of these employment contracts effectively increased employee compensation during the year ended December 31, 2006 by \$436,000. The remaining increase in employee compensation is attributed to an overall increase in benefits associated with the individuals that are covered under employment contracts. None of our other executives currently covered under an employment agreement, therefore, we are under no financial obligation, other than monthly salaries, for our other executive officers. Currently, monthly gross salaries for all of our employees are \$135,000. We believe, as with all our operating expenses, that our existing cash resources, together with proceeds from investments, anticipated financings and expected revenues from our operations, should be adequate to fund our salary obligations.

The second largest component of our operating expenses is professional fees, which decreased by \$362,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the amount reported during the previous year. This decrease is primarily comprised of decreases in stock based compensation to outside consultants of \$489,000 offset by an overall increase in cash payments to consultants who are utilized to generate awareness and train health care professionals in the use of our Safety-Sponge™ System. As in employee compensation, stock based compensation expense is the most significant component of professional fees. During the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, professional fees included stock based compensation related to the issuances of restricted stock and warrants of \$898,000 and \$1,388,000, respectively. The decrease in stock based compensation of \$490,000 paid to our outside consultants is the primary component of our decrease in professional fees. This \$490,000 decrease was primarily caused from warrant

issuances during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, of \$593,000 and \$918,000, respectively, a decrease of \$325,000. A significant amount of the warrants issued during the year ended December 31, 2006, relate to a consulting agreement that we entered into in February 2006 with Analog Ventures, LLC (“**Analog Ventures**”) whereby Analog Ventures agreed to consult with us on matters relating primarily to the divestiture of our non-core assets and assist us in our efforts to focus our business exclusively on the patient safety medical products field. As an incentive for entering into the agreement, we agreed to issue Analog Ventures a warrant to purchase 175,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.95, exercisable for 3 years. We recognized an expense of \$405,000 related to these warrants. In addition to the stock based compensation from the Analog Ventures warrant, we issued 75,380 warrants to purchase shares of our common stock at prices ranging from \$1.25 to \$2.00 per share to our placement agent, Ault Glazer & Co., LLC, (the “**Placement Agent**”). These warrants, which were valued at \$79,000, were issued to the Placement Agent for their successful efforts in assisting us with raising debt and equity financing.

During the year ended December 31, 2005 the primary amount of the warrants issued related to a consulting agreement with Health West Marketing Incorporated (“*Health West*”) that we entered into in April 2005. As an incentive for entering into the agreement, we agreed to issue Health West a callable warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$5.95, exercisable for 5 years. We recognized an expense of \$528,000 related to these warrants. In addition to the stock based compensation that we recognized as a result of our agreement with Health West, we issued additional warrants during the year ended December 30, 2005, valued at \$361,000, to purchase shares of common stock to two consultants performing investor relations services.

In the past we have also issued shares of our common stock to consultants for payment of professional services. Pursuant to the April 2005 consulting agreement with Health West, we have recognized expenses of \$250,000 related to the issuance 26,261 shares and future issuance of 15,756 shares of our common stock to Health West. We recognized \$94,000 in 2006 as a result of Health West’s assistance in developing a regional distribution network to integrate the Safety-Sponge™ System into the existing acute care supply chain. The remaining \$156,000 was recognized in 2005, a percentage upon the execution of our consulting agreement with Health West and the remaining amount upon our entering into a comprehensive manufacturing agreement with A Plus Manufacturing, Inc. The \$62,000 decrease in expense from the issuance and future issuances of common stock to Health West combined with the \$325,000 decrease in expense from warrants is the primary cause of the decrease in professional fees.

All of our stock based compensation issued to employees, non-employee directors and consultants were expensed in accordance with SFAS 123(R). We valued the nonqualified stock options and warrants using the Black-Scholes valuation model assuming expected dividend yield, risk-free interest rate, expected life and volatility of 0%, 3.00% to 4.50%, three to five years and 63% to 102%, respectively. The restricted stock awards were valued at the closing price on the date the restricted shares were granted.

The increase in cost of sales of \$159,000 reflects a shift in our revenue mix from revenue generated primarily through consulting services which do not have any costs of sales to that of sales of our Safety-Sponge™ System.

The increase in amortization expense, which reflected an increase of \$54,000, of our patents was caused by the full quarter of amortization during the three months ended March 31, 2006 as opposed to a partial quarter during the three months ended March 31, 2005. The entire capitalized costs of SurgiCount’s patents, valued at \$4,685,000, are being amortized over their approximate useful life of 14.4 years. Since the SurgiCount patents were not acquired until the end of February 2005, amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was only \$27,000 as opposed to \$81,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

General and administrative expenses experienced an increase of \$60,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006 over the prior year. Travel related expenses are a large component of general and administrative expenses and represented an increase of \$187,000. This increase was attributed to expenses incurred in marketing our Safety-Sponge™ System to hospitals throughout the United States, attendance at trade shows and conventions to promote the Company’s Safety-Sponge™ System, and travel abroad to inspect the manufacturing facilities for our Safety-Sponge™ System. The offsetting decrease in general and administrative expenses is a combination of a several types of expenses, none of which are significant individually.

Year 2005 compared to Year 2004

The increase in operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 when compared to December 31, 2004, was primarily the result of stock based compensation expenses, and to a lesser extent printing, stock exchange and transfer agent fees. Until October 22, 2004, the date our shareholders approved certain proposals relating to our restructuring plan to change from a business development company to an operating company, our principal activities involved the management of existing investments. As such, compensation expense during 2004 was primarily the salaries of our Chief Executive Officer and to a lesser extent the Chief Financial Officer. Since the restructuring plan, we have aggressively focused on expanding into the health care and medical products field, particularly the patient safety markets. A significant component of this strategy has resulted in the acquisition of assets. We have hired personnel in order to meet the increased needs of our current business focus which has resulted in increases in almost every expense category.

Printing, Amex stock exchange, and transfer agent fees for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased by \$50,000, \$62,000 and \$55,000, respectively, over the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase is primarily attributable to work performed on our proxy statements, registration statements, annual report and related annual meeting of shareholders. All of these reports required a significant amount of additional time to prepare due to our change from a business development company to an operating company. Printing fees increased as a direct result of the greater number of printed documents, including business cards and stationary, as well as revisions to those documents. Amex stock exchange fees primarily increased as a result of a non-recurring fee associated with our 3 for 1 stock split.

Printing, Amex stock exchange, and transfer agent fees are a component of the \$835,000 increase reflected in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005. An increase in travel related expenses of \$240,000, sample product of \$62,000, and a research grant to Brigham and Women's Hospital of \$108,000, also contributed to the overall increase in general and administrative expenses. Travel related expenses increased as a result of expenses incurred in identifying and reviewing investment opportunities and attendance at trade shows and conventions to promote our patient safety products. Travel related expenses also increased because of the need to visit prospective customers and demonstrate our Safety-Sponge™ System. These demonstrations created a need to order sample product for distribution at trade shows as well as to prospective customers.

On April 26, 2005 we entered into a clinical trial agreement with Brigham and Women's Hospital, the teaching affiliate of Harvard Medical School, relating to our Safety-Sponge™ System. The clinical trial is the result of an on-going collaboration between Harvard and us to refine the Safety-Sponge™ System in a clinical optimization study. Under terms of the agreement, Brigham and Women's Hospital collected data on how the Safety-Sponge™ System saves time, reduces costs and increases patient safety in the operating room. The study also assists us to refine the system's technical processes in the operating room to provide clear guidance and instruction to hospitals, easily integrating the Safety-Sponge™ System into operating rooms. Brigham and Women's Hospital received a non-exclusive license to use the Safety-Sponge™ System, while we will own all technical innovations and other intellectual properties derived from the study. Unless the clinical trial agreement is terminated by either us or Brigham and Women's Hospital, we will provide a research grant to Brigham and Women's Hospital over the course of the clinical trial in the aggregate amount of \$431,000 of which \$108,000 was paid in 2005. We anticipate that the remaining amount of the research grant, of \$323,000 will be paid during the year ended December 31, 2007. The remaining increase in general and administrative expenses is a direct result of an overall increase in business activity associated with being an operating company with increased personnel. These expenses, which are not significant individually, include but are not limited to office supplies, research material, postage, marketing and maintenance costs.

A majority of our operating expenses consist of employee compensation, which increased by \$3,200,000. The most significant component of employee compensation is stock based compensation expense. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded approximately \$1,597,000 relating to grants of nonqualified stock options and \$1,520,000

related to restricted stock awards to our employees and non-employee directors, all of which were expensed in accordance with SFAS 123(R). During the year ended December 31, 2004, our total stock based compensation expense, which was caused from the issuance of 26,250 options to members of our Board of Directors, was \$5,000. Thus, the increase in expenses related to the issuance of stock options and restricted stock awards to our employees and non-employee directors amounted to \$3,112,000. The remaining increase in employee compensation of \$171,000 is attributed to an increase in salaries and benefits of \$662,000, attributed to the increased number of employees, offset by the lack of severance payments. At December 31, 2005, we had 13 full time employees as opposed to 7 full time employees at December 31, 2004. Further, of our full time employees at December 31, 2004, 3 were hired during the three months ended December 31, 2004. Included in compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2004, was a non-recurring severance package paid to Stephen L. Brown, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, of \$483,000.

The second largest component of our operating expenses is professional fees, which increased by \$1,039,000. As in employee compensation, stock based compensation expense is the most significant component of professional fees for year ended December 31, 2005. We incurred approximately \$918,000 relating to the issuance of warrants and \$470,000 related to restricted stock awards to our consultants performing services for us.

As discussed in our analysis of *Year 2006 compared to Year 2005*, a significant amount of stock based compensation expense during the year ended December 31, 2005 was attributed to the warrants issued to Health West, valued at \$528,000, combined with warrant issued to two consultants performing investor relations services, valued at \$361,000. Conversely, during the year ended December 31, 2004, we did not incur any charges related to warrant issuances to outside consultants.

During 2005 we also issued 150,000 warrants, valued at \$537,000, to Aegis Securities Corp., a nonaffiliated consultant, for providing advisory services in connection with the acquisition of SurgiCount Medical, Inc. The services provided by Aegis Securities Corp. included an evaluation of and oversight over completion of the transaction. The value of the warrants, along with the purchase price and direct costs incurred as a result of the transaction, were capitalized. The entire capitalized costs, valued at \$4,685,000, have been allocated to SurgiCount's patents, with an approximate useful life of 14.4 years. Amortization expense related to the patents, for the year ended December 31, 2005, was approximately \$270,000 as opposed to no expense during the year ended December 31, 2004.

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, all of our stock based compensation issued to employees, non-employee directors and consultants were expensed in accordance with SFAS 123(R). We valued the nonqualified stock options and warrants using the Black-Scholes valuation model assuming expected dividend yield, risk-free interest rate, expected life and volatility of 0%, 3.00% to 4.50%, three to five years and 63% to 102%, respectively. The restricted stock awards were valued at the closing price on the date the restricted shares were granted.

Interest, dividend income and other, net

We had interest income of \$2,000, \$42,000 and \$11,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

The decrease in interest income for the year ended December 31, 2006 when compared to December 31, 2005 was primarily the result of a decreased amount of fixed income investments held throughout the period, primarily during the first quarter of 2005. At March 31, 2005, we held in marketable securities approximately \$2.5 million in U.S. Treasuries as opposed to no investments in U.S. Treasuries during the year ended December 31, 2006. Interest income recognized during the year ended December 31, 2004 was generated primarily from during December 2004 from the proceeds of our equity financing in which we received net proceeds of \$3,925,000. Based upon our current cash position and future cash requirements we only expect to generate an immaterial amount of interest income during the current year.

Interest expense

We had interest expense of \$3,156,000, \$135,000 and \$32,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The increase in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 when compared to the prior years is primarily attributable to the non-cash interest charges incurred as a result of the debt discount associated with our short-term debt financings. During the year ended December 31, 2006 we recorded \$2,983,000 in non-cash interest charges of which \$136,000 related to loans at our discontinued car wash segment. Thus, non-cash interest charges, excluding those of our discontinued car wash segment, resulted in an increase of \$2,847,000 and represented the primary cause of the increase in interest expense. These charges resulted from the issuance of debt that either had conversion prices on the date of issuance that was below the fair market value of the underlying common stock or required the issuance of warrants to purchase shares of our common stock, which required us to record an expense based on the estimated fair value of the warrant.

Realized gains (losses) on investments, net

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we realized net losses of \$1,542,000 which primarily related to our investment in IPEX. During 2006, we sold 95,000 shares of IPEX common stock for \$8,000 and, because IPEX is no longer conducting business operations, we wrote down the carrying value of 950,000 shares of IPEX common stock. Our investment in IPEX had a cost basis of \$1,458,000.

During the years ended December 31, 2005, we realized net gains of \$2,014,000 primarily from our stock appreciation rights in our holding in Excelsior for \$1,747,000.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, we realized net gains of \$1,591,000. We realized a gain of \$1,448,000 from the sale of 908,804 shares and warrants to purchase 87,111 shares of Excelsior common stock. Additionally, we realized a net gain of \$143,000 from the sale of marketable securities.

Unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities, net

Unrealized appreciation of investments increased by \$17,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006. Of this increase, \$16,000 related to the sale of 108,200 shares of Tuxis Corporation and 95,000 shares of IPEX common stock. At December 31, 2005, both of these investments were classified as trading securities and while Tuxis Corporation had unrealized depreciation of \$134,000 IPEX had unrealized appreciation of \$118,000, which resulted in net unrealized depreciation of \$16,000. When we exit an investment and realize a loss, we make an accounting entry to reverse any unrealized depreciation we had previously recorded to reflect the depreciated value of the investment.

Unrealized appreciation of investments increased by \$32,000 during the year ended December 31, 2005, due to the price appreciation of our marketable securities.

Unrealized appreciation of investments decreased by \$1,055,000 during the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily due to the sale of 908,804 shares and warrants to purchase 87,111 shares of Excelsior common stock for a realized gain. When we exit an investment and realize a gain, we make an accounting entry to reverse any unrealized appreciation we had previously recorded to reflect the appreciated value of the investment.

Loss from discontinued car was segment

The loss from our discontinued car was segment increased by \$1,585,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006 from a loss of \$62,000 during the year ended December 31, 2005, its first year of operations. In response to the

financial constraints stemming from our unsuccessful efforts to raise the necessary capital to continue the planned build-out on the additional car wash facilities, coupled with our emphasis on the patient safety markets, we evaluated alternative methods to divest the car wash services segment. Recognizing that revenues and cash flows would be lower than expected from the car wash services segment, we determined that a triggering event had occurred and conducted an interim goodwill impairment analysis in the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006. As a result of our goodwill impairment analyses, we recorded goodwill impairment charges of \$971,000 and nil during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. This goodwill impairment related to goodwill that resulted from the Company's acquisition of ASG. The fair value of our reporting units were estimated using the expected present value of future cash flows and the valuation employed a combination of present value techniques to measure fair value and considered market factors.

The remaining increase in loss of \$614,000 is primarily attributed to interest expense at the discontinued car wash segment of \$458,000. The increase in interest expense was a combination of both non-cash interest charges of \$136,000 incurred as a result of the debt discount associated with our short-term debt financings and interest expense of \$322,000 attributable to the overall increase in borrowings that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Unrealized gains (losses) on our investments designated as available-for-sale are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. At December 31, 2005, we classified our restricted holdings in Digicorp and IPEX as available-for-sale. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we had disposed of or written-off these investments. At December 31, 2005, the unrealized gains (losses) on our restricted holdings in IPEX and Digicorp amounted to (\$328,000) and \$2,703,000, respectively. The cumulative decrease in net unrealized gains amounts to \$2,375,000. We did not hold any investments classified as available-for-sale at December 31, 2004.

Taxes

We are taxed subject to federal income tax on a portion of our taxable income. At December 31, 2006, we had a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$20.4 million to offset future taxable income for federal income tax purposes. The utilization of the loss carryforward to reduce any future income taxes will depend on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of the net operating loss carryforwards. The carryforward expires beginning in 2011.

A change in the ownership of a majority of the fair market value of our common stock can delay or limit the utilization of existing net operating loss carryforwards pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 382. We believe that such a change occurred during the year ended December 31, 2004. Based upon an analysis of purchase transactions of our equity securities during 2004, we believe that our net operating loss carryforward utilization is limited to approximately \$755,000 per year.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth information relating to our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006:

Contractual obligations	Payments Due by Period			
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years
Operating lease obligations	\$ 63,996	\$ 63,996	\$ —	\$ —
Notes Payable to Steven J. Caspi	2,495,281	1,000,000	1,495,281	—
Note Payable to Winstar	450,000	450,000	—	—
Notes Payable to Ault Glazer Capital Partners, LLC	2,575,528	1,080,528	—	1,495,000
Notes Payable to Herb Langsam	600,000	600,000	—	—
Note Payable to Charles Kalina III	400,000	—	400,000	—
Other Notes Payable	598,232	426,934	171,298	—
Employment Agreements	1,745,833	783,333	962,500	—
Clinical Trial Research Grant	322,885	322,885	—	—
Total	\$ 9,251,755	\$ 4,727,676	\$ 3,029,079	\$ 1,495,000

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Our business activities contain elements of market risk. We consider a principal type of market risk to be valuation risk. Investments and other assets are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors.

We have invested a substantial portion of our assets in private development stage or start-up companies. These private businesses tend to be thinly capitalized, unproven, small companies that lack management depth and have not attained profitability or have no history of operations. Because of the speculative nature and the lack of public market for these investments, there is significantly greater risk of loss than is the case with traditional investment securities. We expect that some of our venture capital investments will be a complete loss or will be unprofitable and that some will appear to be likely to become successful but never realize their potential.

Because there is no public market for the equity interests of some of the small companies in which we have invested, the valuation of such the equity interests is subject to the estimate of our Board of Directors. In making its determination, the Board may consider valuation information provided by an independent third party or the portfolio company itself. In the absence of a readily ascertainable market value, the estimated value of our equity investments may differ significantly from the values that would be placed on them if a liquid market for the equity interests existed. Any changes in valuation are recorded in our consolidated statements of operations as either "Unrealized losses on marketable securities, net" or "Other comprehensive income."

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

**PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Report of Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP	55
Report of Rothstein, Kass & Company, P.C.	56
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005	57
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	58
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	59 - 60
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	61
Notes to Financial Statements	62 - 90

The schedules for which provision is made in the applicable regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instruction or are inapplicable and, therefore, have been omitted

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Patient Safety Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2006, and the related statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation, effective January 1, 2005, as a result of the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, *Share-Based Payments*.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has reported recurring losses from operations through December 31, 2006 and has a significant accumulated deficit and a significant working capital deficit at December 31, 2006. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans as to these matters are described in Note 1. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ SQUAR, MILNER, PETERSON, MIRANDA & WILLIAMSON, LLP

San Diego, California
May 16, 2007

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. (formerly known as Franklin Capital Corporation) and Subsidiaries (collectively the, "Company") as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Patient Safety Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1, the Company has a significant accumulated deficit and working capital deficit, and has incurred a significant net loss from operations. Further, the Company has yet to generate revenues from its medical products and healthcare solutions segments. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Rothstein, Kass & Company, P.C.

Roseland, New Jersey
April 10, 2006

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 3,775	\$ 79,373
Accounts receivable	65,933	—
Receivables from investments	—	934,031
Marketable securities	—	923,800
Inventories	42,825	77,481
Prepaid expenses	78,834	112,734
Other current assets	13,125	113,594
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	204,492	2,241,013
Restricted certificate of deposit	87,500	87,500
Notes receivable	153,668	—
Property and equipment, net	328,202	239,417
Assets held for sale, net	3,189,674	1,727,686
Goodwill	1,687,527	1,687,527
Patents, net	4,088,850	4,413,791
Long-term investments	1,441,533	5,636,931
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 11,181,446	\$ 16,033,865
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Notes payable, current portion	\$ 3,517,149	\$ 1,796,554
Accounts payable	1,295,849	785,507
Accrued liabilities	824,466	569,116
Due to broker	—	801,863
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	5,637,464	3,953,040
Notes payable, less current portion	2,527,562	1,116,838
Deferred tax liabilities	1,473,066	1,590,045
MINORITY INTEREST	—	252,992
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		

Edgar Filing: Patient Safety Technologies, Inc - Form 10-K

Convertible preferred stock, \$1.00 par value, cumulative 7% dividend: 1,000,000 shares authorized; 10,950 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (Liquidation preference \$1,190,813)	10,950	10,950
Common stock, \$0.33 par value: 25,000,000 shares authorized; 7,489,026 shares issued and 6,874,889 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2006; 6,995,276 shares issued and 5,672,445 shares outstanding at December 31, 2005	2,471,379	2,308,441
Additional paid-in capital	29,654,341	22,600,165
Accumulated other comprehensive income	—	2,374,858
Accumulated deficit	(29,483,910)	(15,784,108)
	2,652,760	11,510,306
Less: 614,137 and 1,322,831 shares of treasury stock, at cost, at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively	(1,109,406)	(2,389,356)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	1,543,354	9,120,950
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 11,181,446	\$ 16,033,865

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	For The Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
REVENUES	\$ 244,529	\$ 562,374	\$ —
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Cost of sales	158,902	—	—
Salaries and employee benefits	3,722,822	4,182,466	982,261
Professional fees	2,161,044	2,523,035	1,484,143
Rent	131,129	88,368	76,276
Insurance	87,674	113,921	64,083
Taxes other than income taxes	101,536	104,238	50,697
Amortization of patents	324,942	270,785	—
General and administrative	1,162,041	1,101,712	266,523
Total operating expenses	7,850,090	8,384,525	2,923,983
Operating loss	(7,605,561)	(7,822,151)	(2,923,983)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)			
Interest, dividend income and other	2,251	42,476	11,056
Equity in loss of investee	—	(74,660)	—
Realized gain (loss) on investments, net	(1,541,506)	2,014,369	1,591,156
Gain on debt extinguishment	190,922	—	—
Interest expense	(3,155,853)	(135,414)	(32,284)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities, net	16,901	32,335	(1,054,702)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(12,092,846)	(5,943,045)	(2,408,757)
Income tax benefit	116,979	97,482	—
Loss from continuing operations	(11,975,867)	(5,845,563)	(2,408,757)
Loss from discontinued operations	(1,647,285)	(61,960)	—
Net loss	(13,623,152)	(5,907,523)	(2,408,757)
Preferred dividends	(76,650)	(75,700)	(76,650)
Loss available to common shareholders	\$ (13,699,802)	\$ (5,983,223)	\$ (2,485,407)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share			
Continuing operations	\$ (1.89)	\$ (1.10)	\$ (0.75)
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.01)	\$ —
Net loss	\$ (2.15)	\$ (1.11)	\$ (0.75)

Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	6,362,195	5,373,318	3,300,973
Comprehensive loss:			
Net loss	\$ (13,623,152)	\$ (5,907,523)	\$ (2,408,757)
Other comprehensive (loss) gain, unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments	(2,374,858)	2,374,858	—
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (15,998,010)	\$ (3,532,665)	\$ (2,408,757)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	For The Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (13,623,152)	\$ (5,907,523)	\$ (2,408,757)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation	136,056	14,943	863
Amortization of patents	324,942	270,785	—
Non-cash interest	2,983,417	—	—
Goodwill impairment	971,036	—	—
Realized (gain) loss on investments, net	1,541,506	(2,014,369)	(1,591,156)
Gain on debt extinguishment	(190,922)	—	—
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities	(16,901)	(32,335)	1,054,702
Stock-based compensation to employees and directors	2,403,173	3,116,674	5,094
Stock-based compensation to consultants	898,294	1,387,612	—
Stock received for services	—	(666,249)	—
Loss on investee	—	74,660	—
Income tax benefit	(116,979)	(97,482)	—
Minority interest	—	(47,008)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	—	(87,500)	—
Accounts receivable	(65,933)	—	—
Receivables from investments	934,031	(934,031)	—
Marketable securities, net	809,260	2,439,665	(232,379)
Inventories	34,656	(77,481)	—
Prepaid expenses	33,900	43,278	—
Other current assets	105,269	(38,896)	(201,392)
Notes receivable	(32,603)	—	—
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	878,372	494,918	456,188
Due to broker	(801,863)	341,087	460,776
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,794,441)	(1,719,252)	(2,456,061)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,305,657)	(829,537)	—
Purchase of Surgicount	—	(432,398)	—
Proceeds from sale of long-term investments	289,409	1,371,522	—
Purchases of long-term investments	—	(903,173)	(788,518)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,016,248)	(793,586)	(788,518)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and warrants	527,850	250,000	3,924,786
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	—	26,250	39,375

Edgar Filing: Patient Safety Technologies, Inc - Form 10-K

Cash proceeds related to 16B filing	—	—	2,471
Purchases of treasury stock	—	(36,931)	—
Payments of preferred dividends	—	(19,163)	(76,650)
Proceeds from notes payable	7,549,683	1,621,627	—
Payments and decrease on notes payable	(3,342,442)	(95,976)	(23,224)
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,735,091	1,745,807	3,866,758
(Decrease) increase in cash	(75,598)	(767,031)	622,179
Cash at beginning of period	79,373	846,404	224,225
Cash at end of period	\$ 3,775	\$ 79,373	\$ 846,404

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (continued)**

	For The Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 216,779	\$ 61,593	\$ 2,452
Supplemental schedule of non cash investing and financing activities:			
Dividends accrued	\$ 76,650	\$ 75,700	\$ 19,163
Issuance of common stock and warrants in connection with Surgicount acquisition	\$ —	\$ 4,232,178	\$ —
Issuance of common stock in connection with asset purchase agreement	\$ —	\$ 66,895	\$ —
Issuance of common stock in connection with land acquisition	\$ —	\$ 85,619	\$ —
Issuance of common stock in connection with purchase of marketable securities	\$ —	\$ 101,640	\$ 55,812
Issuance of common stock in connection with prepaid asset	\$ 50,000	\$ —	\$ —
Accrued purchase price of investment	\$ —	\$ (165,240)	\$ 165,240
Assumption of accrued liabilities	\$ —	\$ 15,000	\$ —
Capitalized interest	\$ —	\$ 28,840	\$ —
Reclassification of other current asset to purchase of Surgicount	\$ —	\$ 20,000	\$ —

Purchase of the remaining 50% interest in ASG, through issuance of common stock, resulting in the following asset acquired and liabilities assumed during 2006 as follows:

	ASG	
Goodwill	\$ 357,008	\$ —
Common stock issued	\$ (610,000)	\$ —
Minority interest	\$ 252,992	\$ —

In connection with the Company's acquisitions of Surgicount and ASG, equity instruments were issued and liabilities assumed during 2005 as follows:

	Surgicount	ASG
Fair value of assets acquired	6,372,103	1,095,211
Cash paid	(452,398)	(300,000)
Equity instruments issued	(4,232,178)	—
Minority interest	—	(300,000)
Liabilities assumed	1,687,527	495,211

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PATIENT SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

For the Three Years Ended December 31, 2006

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Paid-In Capital	Other Comprehensive Income (loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Treasury Stock	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				Shares	Amount
BALANCES, January 1, 2004	10,950	\$ 10,950	4,517,664	\$ 1,490,829	\$ 10,454,669	\$	—	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Preferred Dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Compensation expense due to stock option issuances	—	—	—	—	5,094	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stockfor:									
Cash	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exercise of stock options	—	—	78,750	25,988	13,387	—	—	—	—
Purchase of investment	—	—	13,953	4,604	51,208	—	—	—	—
BALANCES, December 31, 2004	10,950	\$ 10,950	6,128,067	\$ 2,022,262	\$ 13,950,774	\$	—	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Preferred Dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stockfor:									
Cash	—	—	—	—	129,904	—	—	—	—
Purchase of investments/Surgicount acquisition	—	—	600,000	198,000	3,579,916	—	—	—	—
Exercise of stock options	—	—	—	—	16,150	—	—	—	—
Services	—	—	96,961	31,998	408,220	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	—	918,132	—	—	—	—

Compensation expense
due to warrant
issuances

Compensation expense
due to restricted stock
issuances

Compensation expense
due to stock option
issuances

—	—	170,248	56,181	1,463,666	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	1,596,825	—	—	—