PRICE LEGACY CORP Form 10-Q November 12, 2003

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003

Commission File Number 1-16637

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

33-0628740

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

17140 Bernardo Center Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92128

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(858) 675-9400

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES \acute{y} NO o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). YES \acute{y} NO o
The registrant had 34,732,157 shares of common stock, par value \$.0001 per share, outstanding at November 11, 2003.

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

		September 30 2003		December 31 2002
		(unaudited)		
ASSETS				
Real estate assets				
Land and land improvements	\$	487,133	\$	446,331
Building and improvements		686,536		659,469
Construction in progress		52,440		35,235
. 0		1,226,109		1,141,035
Less accumulated depreciation		(49,054)		(34,617)
·		1,177,055		1,106,418
Tours design to the control of the design of the design of the control of the con		0.657		26.010
Investment in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures		9,657		26,019
Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash		11,811		11,471
		13,036		8,787
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,640 and \$1,669 Notes receivable		6,598 20,339		6,036 62,788
Interest receivable		, , ,		
Deferred rents		3,160		16,032 9,460
Other assets		10,595 24,827		26,007
	ø	· ·	¢	
Total assets	\$	1,277,078	Э	1,273,018
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Liabilities				
Mortgages and notes payable	\$	495,050	\$	487,811
Revolving line of credit		64,700		60,300
Accounts payable and other liabilities		32,899		31,341
Total liabilities		592,649		579,452
Commitments				
Minority interests		1,608		595
Stockholders equity				
Series A preferred stock, cumulative, redeemable, \$0.0001 par value, 27,849,771 shares		200 (15		200 (15
authorized, 27,434,166 shares issued and outstanding Series B preferred stock, junior, convertible, redeemable, \$0.0001 par value, 27,458,855		399,615		399,615
shares authorized, 19,666,754 shares issued and outstanding		106,234		106,234
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value, 94,691,374 shares authorized, 36,999,157 and 37,255,748 issued and outstanding		4		4
Additional paid-in capital		183,942		184,720
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,648)		(921)
Retained (deficit) earnings		(5,326)		3,319
Total stockholders equity		682,821		692,971
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$		\$	1,273,018
, ,		, ,		, ,

See accompanying notes.

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited - amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Third (Three Mon Septem	ths En	ded	Year-t Nine Mon Septen	ths End	led
	2003		2002	2003		2002
Rental revenues	\$ 32,193	\$	29,840	\$ 96,095	\$	84,698
Expenses						
Operating and maintenance	6,353		5,879	19,256		15,902
Property taxes	3,851		3,501	11,475		9,797
Depreciation and amortization	5,426		4,453	15,606		12,440
General and administrative	5,706		4,357	9,519		8,813
Total expenses	21,336		18,190	55,856		46,952
Operating income	10,857		11,650	40,239		37,746
Interest and other						
Interest expense	(6,637)		(6,157)	(19,906)		(18,045)
Interest income	82		1,219	1,006		3,607
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	4		318	1,168		632
Total interest and other	(6,551)		(4,620)	(17,732)		(13,806)
Income from continuing operations	4,306		7,030	22,507		23,940
Discontinued operations:						
(Loss) income from operations	(7)		735	159		6,211
Provision for asset impairment	, í					(2,528)
Net gain (loss) on sale of real estate	20		9,991	(2,502)		9,148
Gain (loss) on discontinued operations	13		10,726	(2,343)		12,831
Net income before (loss) gain on sale of real estate	4,319		17,756	20,164		36,771
Net (loss) gain on sale of real estate and investments	(691)			(3)		291
Net income	3,628		17,756	20,161		37,062
Dividends to preferred stockholders	(12,486)		(12,241)	(37,270)		(36,549)
Net (loss) income applicable to common stockholders	\$ (8,858)	\$	5,515	\$ (17,109)	\$	513
Basic and diluted net (loss) income per common share	\$ (.24)	\$.14	\$ (.46)	\$.01
Weighted average common shares outstanding						
Basic	36,999		39,167	37,009		40,201
Diluted	36,999		39,325	37,009		40,442
Dividends per preferred share	\$.35	\$.35	\$ 1.05	\$	1.05

See accompanying notes.

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

$(unaudited \hbox{ -} amounts \hbox{ in thousands})$

Year-to-Date Nine Months Ended September 30

Departing activities		Septen	1001 50	
Net income \$ 20,161 \$ 37,062 Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Use of the provided of the provid	Operating activities	2003		2002
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amorization 15,684 13,415 14,135 12,135 14,135 1		***		2= 0.42
Depreciation and amortization 15,684 13,415 Net loss (gain) on sale of real estate 2,505 (9,439) Deferred rents (1,135) (2,175) Equity in earnings of joint venture (1,168) (632) Compensation expense related to retirement of officers notes and common shares 2,836 Asset impairment 2,282 Changes in operating assets and liabilities: 2,155 (9,430) Accounts receivable and other assets 2,155 (9,430) Accounts payable and other liabilities 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 4,641 (6,434) Investing activities (1,449) (6,434) Deposits to restricted cash (1,4249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions from real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures (6) (829) Repayments		\$ 20,161	\$	37,062
Net loss (gain) on sale of real estate 2,505 (9,439) Deferred rents (1,135) (2,175) Equity in earnings of joint venture (1,168) (632) Compensation expense related to retirement of officers notes and common shares 2,836 Asset impairment 2,258 Changes in operating assets and liabilities: 3,2155 (9,430) Accounts receivable and other assets 2,155 (9,430) Accounts payable and other liabilities 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities 2,3749 (6,434) Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets (36,608 60,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Purchase of treasury stock (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities <td></td> <td>15 (04</td> <td></td> <td>12.415</td>		15 (04		12.415
Deferred rents	•	· ·		
Equity in earnings of joint venture (1,168) (632) Compensation expense related to retirement of officers notes and common shares 2,836 Asset impairment 2,2528 Changes in operating assets and liabilities: 2,155 (9,430) Accounts receivable and other assets 2,155 (9,430) Accounts payable and other liabilities 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities Very constructed assets (4,249) (6,434) Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (1,4677) (2,954) Parchase of treasury stock (1,650) (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities (7,802) (28		· ·		
Compensation expense related to retirement of officers notes and common shares 2,836 Asset impairment 2,528 Changes in operating assets and liabilities: 2,155 (9,430) Accounts receivable and other assets 2,155 (9,430) Accounts payable and other liabilities 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities 5,500 5,500 Investing activities 5,500 5,500 Investing activities 5,600 6,634 Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities (7,482)				
Asset impairment 2,528 Changes in operating assets and liabilities: 3,430 Accounts receivable and other assets 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities (4,249) (6,434) Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets (6) (869) Porceeds from the sale of real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities (7,482) (25,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 76,097 (40,199) Divide		(1,168)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities: 2,155 (9,430) Accounts receivable and other assets 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities				· ·
Accounts receivable and other assets 2,155 (9,430) Accounts payable and other liabilities 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities Use of the cash provided by operating activities Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures (326) 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities 7,482 (92,713) Financing activities 7,482 (92,713) Financing activities 2,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (30,077)				2,328
Accounts payable and other liabilities 1,651 1,395 Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities 4(4,249) (6,434) Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities 47,482 (92,713) Financing activities 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (4		2.155		(0.420)
Net cash provided by operating activities 39,853 35,560 Investing activities (4,249) (6,434) Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (154,586) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) 869 Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) 28,806 Proceeds from exercise of stock options 303 Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 <t< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		•		
Investing activities		· ·		
Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (1,650) (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) 28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options 303 Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	The cash provided by operating activities	39,053		33,300
Deposits to restricted cash (4,249) (6,434) Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (1,650) (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) 28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options 303 Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	Investing activities			
Additions to real estate assets (23,749) (154,586) Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options 303 304 Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	-	(4.240)		(6.424)
Proceeds from the sale of real estate assets 16,608 69,176 Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable 18,265 3,436 Purchase of treasury stock (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 11,471 22,881				
Contributions to real estate joint ventures (6) (869) Distributions from real estate joint ventures 326 1,168 Advances on notes receivable (14,677) (2,954) Repayments on notes receivable Repayments on notes receivable (1,650) Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) (92,713) Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mo				
Distributions from real estate joint ventures Advances on notes receivable Advances on notes receivable Repayments on notes receivable Repayments on notes receivable Repayments on notes receivable Repayments of treasury stock Ret cash used in investing activities Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes		,		,
Advances on notes receivable Repayments on notes receivable Repayments on notes receivable Purchase of treasury stock Net cash used in investing activities (7,482) Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) Dividends paid (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	•	` ,		
Repayments on notes receivable Purchase of treasury stock Ret cash used in investing activities Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving	·			, i
Purchase of treasury stock Net cash used in investing activities Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and				
Net cash used in investing activities Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable 72,872 125,814 Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options 303 Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	• •	10,203		
Financing activities Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options 303 Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881		(7.482)		
Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881		(7,402)		(72,713)
Advances from revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	Financing activities			
Repayments of revolving line of credit, mortgages and notes payable (76,097) (40,199) Dividends paid (28,806) (28,806) Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881		72.872		125 814
Dividends paid Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period (28,806) (28,806) (30,031) (31,031) (41)				,
Proceeds from exercise of stock options Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881		i í í		
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities (32,031) 57,112 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 340 (41) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	Proceeds from exercise of stock options	(20,000)		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(32,031)		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881		(02,001)		37,112
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 11,471 22,881	Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	340		(41)
				(.1)
	Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	11.471		22.881
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 11,811 \$ 22,840		_, _,		,,
	Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 11,811	\$	22,840

Year-to-Date Nine Months Ended September 30

	2003	2002
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 22,328	\$ 19,652
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:		
Receipt of common shares in satisfaction of notes receivable	779	
Assumption of existing loans to acquire real estate assets		5,787
Reduction in note receivable to acquire real estate assets		3,543
Change in other assets and accounts payable for fair value of derivative instruments		10,368
Increase to treasury stock for reduction of officers loans		9,649
Decrease to officers loans and interest receivable		12,485
Net adjustment related to disposed real estate asset		733

See accompanying notes.

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Edgar Filing: PRICE LEGACY CORP - Form 10-Q (UNAUDITED)

September 30, 2003

Note 1 Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Price Legacy Corporation (Price Legacy) operates as a real estate investment trust (REIT) incorporated in the state of Maryland. Our principal business is to acquire, operate, and develop real property, primarily open-air shopping centers. On September 18, 2001, Price Legacy completed a merger between Price Enterprises, Inc. (PEI) and Excel Legacy Corporation (Excel Legacy) resulting in Excel Legacy becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of PEI (the Merger). The combined company operates as a REIT under the name Price Legacy Corporation.

Our subsidiaries include Excel Legacy Holdings, Inc., which has elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS). Other than some activities related to lodging and health care facilities, a TRS may generally engage in any business. A TRS is subject to federal income tax and state and local income tax, where applicable, as a regular C corporation.

Accounting Principles

We prepared the financial statements following the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim reporting. As permitted under those rules, certain footnotes or other financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) can be omitted. Certain prior year data have been reclassified to conform to the 2003 presentation.

We are responsible for the financial statements included in this document. The financial statements include all normal and recurring adjustments that are considered necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position and operating results. You should also read the financial statements and notes in our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended.

Revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities can vary during each quarter of the year. Therefore, the results and trends in these interim financial statements may not be the same as those for the full year.

Consolidation

We combine our financial statements with those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries as well as all affiliates in which we have control and present them on a consolidated basis. The consolidated financial statements do not include the results of transactions between us and our subsidiaries or among our subsidiaries.

We account for our investment in unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method of accounting, the net equity investment of the joint ventures is reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Consolidated Statements of Operations include our share of net income or loss from the unconsolidated joint ventures.

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51. This interpretation addresses the consolidation of business enterprises (variable interest entities) to which the usual condition (ownership of a majority voting interest) of consolidation does not apply, and focuses on financial interests that indicate control. It concludes that in the absence of clear control through voting interests, a company s exposure (variable interest) to the economic risks and potential reward from the variable interest entity s assets and activities are the best evidence of control. Variable interests are rights and obligations that convey economic gains or losses from changes in the values of the variable interest entity s assets and liabilities. Variable interests may arise from financial instruments, service contracts, nonvoting ownership interests and other arrangements. If an enterprise holds a majority of the variable interests of an entity, it would be considered the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary would be required to include assets, liabilities and the results of operations of the variable interest entity in its financial statements. FIN 46 is effective in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after December 15, 2003. We have adopted this standard and discuss it further in Note 3.

Real Estate Assets and Depreciation

We record real estate assets at historical costs and adjust them for recognition of impairment losses. In following purchase accounting, we adjusted the historical costs of Excel Legacy s real estate assets to fair value at the time of the Merger. Our Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 reflect the basis of those real estate assets.

We expense ordinary repairs and maintenance costs incurred, which include building painting, parking lot repairs, etc. We capitalize major replacements and improvements, which include HVAC equipment, roofs, etc., and depreciate them over their estimated useful lives.

We compute real estate asset depreciation on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, as follows:

Land improvements	40 years
Building and improvements	20 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	Lesser of the lease term or 10 years
Fixtures and equipment	3-7 years

We review long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in business conditions indicate that their full carrying value may not be recovered. We consider assets to be impaired and write them down to fair value if their expected associated future undiscounted cash flows are less than their carrying amounts. Generally, we estimate fair value using discounted cash flow, direct capitalization or market comparison analyses. The process of evaluating for impairment requires estimates as to future events and conditions, which are subject to varying market and economic factors.

We capitalize interest incurred during the construction period of certain assets and this interest is depreciated over the lives of those assets. The following table shows interest expense and the amount capitalized (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2003		2002		2003		2002
Interest incurred	\$ 7,018	\$	6,710	\$	21,290	\$	20,081
Interest capitalized	(381)		(424)		(1,384)		(1,517)

Pre-development costs that are directly related to specific construction projects are capitalized as incurred. We expense these costs to the extent they are unrecoverable or it is determined that the related project will not be pursued.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity of less than three months when purchased to be cash and cash equivalents.

We are required to maintain reserves with certain lenders for capital expenditures, insurance, real estate taxes and debt service. The aggregate amount of these reserves held by lenders was \$13.0 million at September 30, 2003 and \$8.8 million at December 31, 2002, and is shown as restricted cash on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Our restricted cash balances at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 also include \$2.4 million of funds which represent proceeds from the sale of vacant land at our property in

Hollywood/Oakwood Plaza, FL. The funds will be held by the property lender until the debt is repaid in 2009.

Investment in Securities

We review our investments in securities for possible impairment whenever the market value of the securities falls below cost and, in our opinion, such decline represents an other than temporary impairment. Factors considered in this review include:

duration and extent, as well as reasons for which the market value has been less than cost

financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee

our ability and intent to retain the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in market value

When an other than temporary impairment loss on an individual investment is considered to have occurred, we write down the cost basis of the security, and the charge is recorded in earnings.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We follow Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. SFAS 142 addresses the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets other than those acquired in a business combination. SFAS 142 was effective for the fiscal year beginning after December 31, 2001. In applying SFAS 142, we first considered the fair value of the land and improvements on an as-if-vacant basis. In estimating the fair value on an as-if-vacant basis, we considered a variety of factors including local market conditions for similar quality assets, historical occupancy and absorption history for similar assets in similar markets, and expected future cash flows (including absorption estimates). We then considered the impact of above-market, at-market and below-market operating leases in place at the property acquired. As a result of adopting SFAS 142, we recorded intangible assets in connection with the purchases of our properties in 2002. Intangible assets represent values of in-place leases at the time of purchase and are included in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002.

Comprehensive Income

SFAS No. 130 Reporting Comprehensive Income requires that all components of comprehensive income be reported in the financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. The components of comprehensive income at September 30, 2003 and 2002 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30						Nine Mont Septem	
		2003		2002		2003	2002	
Net income	\$	3,628	\$	17,756	\$	20,161	\$ 37,062	
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments		360		(857)		(823)	(857)	
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities		100		(20)		97	(37)	
Total comprehensive income	\$	4,088	\$	16,879	\$	19,435	\$ 36,168	

Use of Estimates

Preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. We continually review our estimates and make adjustments as necessary, but actual results could differ from what we anticipated when we made these estimates.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We follow SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. In the normal course of business, we may use derivative financial instruments to manage or hedge interest rate risk. When entered into, we formally designate and document the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, as well as the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge transactions. We assess, both at the inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the financial instruments that are used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in either the fair value or cash flows of the related underlying exposure. Because of the high degree of correlation between the hedging instrument and the underlying exposure being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the fair value or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument s change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. Virtually all of our derivatives are straightforward over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets.

To determine the fair value of derivative instruments, we use a variety of methods and assumptions that are based on market conditions and risks existing at each balance sheet date.

For the majority of financial instruments including most derivatives, long-term investments and long-term debt, standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models, replacement cost, and termination cost are used to determine fair value. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized.

Asset Disposal

We follow SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, which addresses financial accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, and report operations from properties sold in 2003 and 2002 as discontinued operations for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002.

New Accounting Standards

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of SFAS No. 123. SFAS 148 addresses transition provisions for a voluntary change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure

requirements of SFAS 123. This statement has not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

We do not record compensation expense for stock option grants and we granted no options in the first nine months of 2003. The following table summarizes results as if we had recorded compensation expense under the provisions of SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS 148, for the 2002 option grants (amounts in thousands, except per share data).

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Month Septemb	 ed
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net loss applicable to common stockholders:				
As reported	\$ (8,858)	\$ 5,515 \$	(17,109)	\$ 513
Deduct: stock based compensation expense				
determined under fair value method	(140)	(378)	(420)	(1,134)
Pro forma	\$ (8,998)	\$ 5,137 \$	(17,529)	\$ (621)
Net loss per share basic and diluted:				
As reported	\$ (.24)	\$.14 \$	(.46)	\$ 01
Pro forma	(.24)	.13	(.47)	(.02)

We discuss our stock option plan further in Note 10.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments and for hedging activities under SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. In particular, SFAS 149 (1) clarifies under

what circumstances a contract with an initial net investment meets the characteristic of a derivative discussed in paragraph 6(b) of Statement 133, (2) clarifies when a derivative contains a financing component, (3) amends the definition of an underlying to conform it to language used in FASB Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, and (4) amends certain other existing pronouncements. Those changes will result in more consistent reporting of contracts as either derivatives or hybrid instruments. SFAS 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003, except for certain provisions, and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. We have determined that SFAS 149 does not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. Financial instruments that are within the scope of the statement, which previously were often classified as equity, must now be classified as liabilities. This statement is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after December 15, 2003. We believe SFAS 150 will not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 Net Income Per Share

SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, requires presentation of two calculations of earnings per common share. Basic earnings per common share equals net income applicable to common stockholders divided by weighted average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share equals net income applicable to common stockholders divided by the sum of weighted average common shares outstanding during the period plus dilutive potential shares. Dilutive potential shares are shares assumed to be issued if outstanding stock options were exercised. All earnings per share amounts have been presented, and where appropriate, restated to reflect these calculations. The effect of common stock equivalents for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003 were antidilutive and therefore not presented.

	Three Months End	ed September	Nine Months Ende	d September
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Weighted average shares outstanding	36,999,157	39,167,431	37,008,940	40,201,106
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options		157,399		241,111
Weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	36,999,157	39,324,830	37,008,940	40,442,217

There were 19,666,754 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding at September 30, 2003 which may be exchanged on a one-to-one basis into common stock, subject to adjustment, starting in September 2003. As of September 30, 2003, the Series B Preferred stockholders were entitled to approximately 3.9 million additional Series B Preferred shares for unpaid dividends.

Note 3 Real Estate Assets

Our real estate properties are generally leased under noncancelable leases with remaining terms ranging from 1 to 25 years. Rental revenues include the following (amounts in thousands):

	Three Mo Septer	nths Er nber 30		Nine Mon Septem		
	2003		2002	2003		2002
Minimum rent	\$ 23,362	\$	21,843	\$ 69,509	\$	60,863
Straight-line accrual of future rent	697		729	1,905		2,925
Expense reimbursements	5,820		5,523	18,773		15,653
Percentage rent	181		51	755		683
Other revenues	2,133		1,694	5,153		4,574
Rental revenues	\$ 32,193	\$	29,840	\$ 96,095	\$	84,698

Acquisitions

During the first nine months of 2003 we acquired a parcel of undeveloped land at our Anaheim, CA development property for \$2.7 million.

During the first nine months of 2002, we acquired the following properties:

Location	Description	Date Acquired	Purchase Price (000 s)	Mortgage (000 s)
Ocala, FL	Shopping Center	5/3/02	\$ 7,163	\$
Fort Lauderdale, FL	Pad	6/4/02	700	

Phoenix, AZ	Shopping Center	6/6/02	9,816	5,787(1)
		14		

Location	Description	Date Acquired	Purchase Price (000 s)	Mortgage (000 s)
Columbia, SC	Shopping Center	6/7/02	8,035	
Greenville, SC	Shopping Center	6/28/02	29,500	
Sterling, VA	Shopping Center	8/30/02	76,192	49,500(2)

⁽¹⁾ Mortgage assumed

(2) Amount financed with purchase

We funded these acquisitions using proceeds from tax-deferred exchange transactions on properties we sold in 2001 and 2002, by mortgage financing, by borrowing on our unsecured line of credit, and assuming mortgages.

Dispositions

During the first nine months of 2003, we sold the following properties for a net loss of \$2.4 million:

Location	Description	Date Sold	 les Price (000 s)
Scottsdale, AZ	Land, Restaurant	3/31/03	\$ 3,000
Inglewood, CA	Warehouse Building	4/29/03	4,000
New Britain, CT	Warehouse Building	5/15/03	3,529
Northridge, CA	Shopping Center	6/27/03	5,850
Tucson, AZ	Land	8/22/03	416

Also during 2003, we received payment on three notes receivable related to the sale of our self storage development properties in 2002. We deferred the gain of \$0.7 million on the sales until 2003, when we received payment on the notes.

During the first nine months of 2002, we sold the following properties for a net gain of \$9.4 million:

Location	Description	Date Sold	1	Sales Price (000 s)
Hollywood, FL	Land	1/31/02	\$	1,410
Tucson/Marana, AZ	Land	1/31/02		684
Hollywood, FL	Land	4/19/02		1,028
San Diego/Pacific Beach, CA (1)	Self Storage Development	6/1/02		11,632
Walnut Creek, CA (1)	Self Storage Development	6/1/02		7,708
San Juan Capistrano, CA (1)	Self Storage Development	6/1/02		6,918
Glen Burnie, MD	Shopping Center	6/21/02		15,200
San Diego/Murphy Canyon, CA	Self Storage	8/29/02		29,688

Location	Description	Date Sold	Sales Price (000 s)
Solana Beach, CA	Self Storage	8/29/02	16,282
Azusa, CA	Self Storage	9/30/02	6,537

⁽¹⁾ Our equity in the development was exchanged for notes receivable with a participating interest

Variable Interest Entities

On July 1, 2003, we adopted FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51. This interpretation addresses the consolidation of business enterprises (variable interest entities) to which the usual condition (ownership of a majority voting interest) of consolidation does not apply. This interpretation focuses on financial interests that indicate control. It concludes that in the absence of clear control through voting interests, a company s exposure (variable interest) to the economic risks and potential reward from the variable interest entity s assets and activities are the best evidence of control. Variable interests are rights and obligations that convey economic gains or losses from changes in the values of the variable interest entity s assets and liabilities. Variable interests may arise from financial instruments, service contracts, nonvoting ownership interests and other arrangements. If an enterprise holds a majority of the variable interests of an entity, it would be considered the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary would be required to include assets, liabilities and the results of operations of the variable interest entity in its financial statements. Our analysis related to FIN 46 indicates we are the primary beneficiary of three variable interest entities which require consolidation in our financial statements (amounts in thousands):

		Assets	Liabilities	Minority Interest
1.	Orlando Business Park LLC	\$ 28,563	\$ 10,476	\$ 1,013
2.	Los Arcos Development LLC	48,145		
3.	First Street Investments	5,444	4,388	

- 1. <u>Orlando Business Park LLC</u>, previously classified as a joint venture on our balance sheet, owns land in Orlando, FL held for development or sale. We guarantee the \$10.5 million in debt on the property. Beginning December 2003, the debt requires monthly principal repayments of \$1.1 million. We anticipate funding these repayments on behalf of the partnership.
- 2. <u>Los Arcos Development LLC</u> (an affiliate of the Ellman Companies), previously classified as notes receivable on our balance sheet, owns land in Scottsdale, AZ.

Commencing in 1996, loans were made to Los Arcos Development, LLC to acquire the Scottsdale real property. These loans are evidenced by a note receivable of approximately \$26.5 million and mature on the earlier of the sale of the Scottsdale property or December 20, 2003. The note receivable is collateralized by a pledge of the ownership interests in Los Arcos Development, LLC. We assumed these loans and related interest receivable in the Merger. In order, among other things, to facilitate the development of the Scottsdale property, we are engaged in on-going negotiations with the Ellman Companies under a signed letter agreement to extend the maturity date of this note receivable to December 31, 2004, and in connection therewith to collateralize the note receivable with a second priority deed of trust on the Scottsdale property. The Ellman Companies currently plan to develop a shopping center on the Scottsdale property. In July 2003, the City of Scottsdale entered into a development agreement with the Ellman Companies to govern the parties actions going forward with respect to the development of the shopping center on the Scottsdale property, however, the Ellman Companies have yet to resolve some occupancy and purchase agreement issues with the anticipated major tenants of the shopping center. The Ellman Companies have received the necessary government entitlements but have yet to receive additional required government approvals to commence construction of the shopping center. In addition, lawsuits have been filed by residents of the City of Scottsdale challenging some actions by the City in approving and executing the development agreement, and these claims, as a practical matter, will need to be resolved to facilitate the financing and development of the property. As a consequence of a ruling relating to one of these lawsuits, the development agreement may be subject to a public referendum. This ruling will be appealed. We are not in a position to assess the merits of any of the claims filed by the residents of the City of Scottsdale (including the merits of any appeals filed by the City relating to such claims). Further, a note receivable from Los Arcos Development, LLC acquired by one of our affiliates in February 2003 in the aggregate principal amount of \$13.0 million matured on July 10, 2003. This note receivable is collateralized by a first priority deed of trust on the Scottsdale property. As of September 30, 2003, the outstanding principal balance on the notes receivable from Los Arcos Development, LLC relating to the Scottsdale property was \$36.5 million and the outstanding accrued interest on the note receivable was approximately \$11.5 million. We did not accrue interest on these notes in 2003 or 2002.

On March 9, 2003, we signed a letter agreement with the Ellman Companies which calls for, among other things, the establishment of a schedule and budget with respect to the future development of the Scottsdale property and, upon our approval of same, the extension of maturity dates of the applicable notes receivable from the Ellman Companies. We are in on-going negotiations with the Ellman Companies to implement the terms of this letter agreement.

3. First Street Investments LP (an affiliate of the Ellman Companies), previously classified as notes receivable on our balance sheet, owns an office building in Phoenix, AZ. Commencing in 1997, loans were made to First Street Investments LP to assist in its efforts to acquire and refurbish an office building located in Phoenix, AZ. On March 9, 2003, we signed an agreement with the Ellman Companies to acquire the Phoenix property via a deed in lieu of foreclosure agreement. In the fourth quarter of 2002 we wrote down the value of the two notes to \$1.0 million, which was the estimated fair value of the office building net of debt. We have not accrued any interest on these notes. One of the two notes receivable matured in December of 2002 and the second note receivable has a maturity date of the earlier of the sale of the Phoenix property and May 28, 2004. These notes receivable are non-recourse obligations, but are secured by a junior deed of trust on the Phoenix property. In connection with the development of the Phoenix property, the borrower under these two notes receivable obtained an additional loan from a third party lender. The outstanding principal amount of the loan is approximately \$4.4 million and the loan has a maturity date of April 5, 2004, is secured by a first priority deed of trust on the Phoenix property and is guaranteed by us.

Note 4 Provisions for Asset Impairment

We record real estate assets at historical costs and adjust them for recognition of impairment losses. During the second quarter ended June 30, 2002, we recognized an impairment loss of \$2.5 million on our Inglewood, CA property. The tenant, House 2 Home, filed for bankruptcy and vacated, and the property no longer generated income. The loss was determined based on the estimated fair value of the property was sold during the second quarter ended June 30, 2003.

Note 5 Discontinued Operations

Included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations are the discontinued operations of our properties at Scottsdale, AZ, Inglewood, CA, New Britain, CT, and Northridge, CA sold in 2003; and Glen Burnie, MD, and our self storage properties in San Diego/Murphy Canyon, CA, Solana Beach, CA and Azusa, CA which were sold in 2002. Discontinued operations are summarized as follows (amounts in thousands except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30					Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2	0003		2002		2003		2002
Rental revenue	\$	(5)	\$	1,187	\$	508	\$	8,313
Expenses								
Operating and maintenance		2		47		136		276
Property taxes				62		135		332
Depreciation and amortization				214		78		975
Interest expense				129				519
		2		452		349		2,102
(Loss) income from operations		(7)		735		159		6,211
Provision for asset impairment								(2,528)
Net gain (loss) on sale of real estate		20		9,991		(2,502)		9,148
Net income (loss)	\$	13	\$	10,726	\$	(2,343)	\$	12,831
(Loss) earnings per common share								
Basic	\$	0.00	\$	0.27	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.32
Diluted		0.00		0.27		(0.06)		0.32

Note 6 Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Ventures

As of September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, we had the following investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, which we account for under the equity method of accounting (amounts in thousands):

Joint Venture	Ownership%	September 30 2003]	December 31 2002
Orlando Business Park LLC (1)	50%\$		\$	16,020
Old Mill District Shops, LLC	50%	3,941		3,999
3017977 Nova Scotia Company	50%	3,163		3,148
Blackstone Ventures I	50%	2,509		2,546
Other	Various	44		306
Total	\$	9,657	\$	26,019

(1) This joint venture was consolidated as a variable interest entity at July 1, 2003, under the provisions of FIN 46.

19

Cash distributions and profits are typically allocated based on the above ownership percentages, adjusted for certain preferred returns for capital contributions which are made in excess of each partners ownership percentages. The joint ventures are primarily in the business of operating real estate. Their accounting principles are consistent with ours.

Summarized unaudited financial information for the joint ventures is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Total Assets				D	ebt		Total Equity			
As of	September 30 2003	I	December 31 2002	Sep	2003	D	ecember 31 2002	September 30 2003	Ď	2002	
Orlando Business Park											
LLC	\$	\$	26,069	\$		\$	11,162	\$	\$	16,024	
Old Mill District Shops,											
LLC	23,95	3	23,897		17,456		17,356	6,197		6,314	
3017977 Nova Scotia											
Company	9,22	1	10,157		5,934		5,131	3,163		3,148	
Blackstone Ventures I	12,18	2	12,369		9,919		9,982	2,031		2,071	
Other	4	4	306					44		306	
	\$ 45,40	0 \$	71,077	\$	33,309	\$	43,631	\$ 11,435	\$	27,863	

	Total Ro	evenu	ies	Net Incor	ne (L	oss)	Company Incom	
Quarter ended September 30	2003		2002	2003		2002	2003	2002
Orlando Business Park LLC	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		\$
Old Mill District Shops,								
LLC	639		646	(76)		(338)	(38)	(169)
3017977 Nova Scotia								
Company	412		387	(83)		24	(46)	13
Blackstone Ventures I	610		320	245		490	122	474
Other							(34)	
	\$ 1,661	\$	1,353	\$ 86	\$	176	4	\$ 318

Note 7 Notes Receivable

As of September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, we had the following notes receivable outstanding related to various real estate developments and related businesses:

Note Receivable	Sep	tember 30 2003	December 31 2002	
Los Arcos Development, LLC (1)	\$:	\$ 22,8	354
Arizona Hockey Management/Ellman Holdings		19,300	19,3	300
Price Self Storage Holdings			12,5	565
Zonapar, LLC			3,5	579
Other		1,039	3,4	490
First Street Investments (1)			1,0	000
Total	\$	20,339	\$ 62,7	788

(1) These notes were consolidated as variable interest entities at July 1, 2003, under the provisions of FIN 46.

The notes generally do not require cash payments of interest until specified future dates, typically when developments are completed or sold. As of September 30, 2003, we had \$3.2 million of accrued but unpaid interest on these notes. We typically do not recognize interest income on non-recourse notes receivable related to development projects until the projects begin operations or the notes are repaid.

Of the \$20.3 million in notes receivable, as of September 30, 2003, the notes receivable from companies owned by or affiliated with Steven Ellman (the Ellman Companies) had an aggregate outstanding principal balance of approximately \$19.5 million and the aggregate outstanding accrued interest on such notes receivable was approximately \$3.2 million. These three notes receivable from affiliates of the Ellman Companies relate to the Ellman Companies ownership in the Phoenix Coyotes hockey team. These loans were made at a time when the Ellman Companies intended to relocate the hockey team to an arena that was to be constructed on the Scottsdale property owned by Los Arcos Development LLC. Two of the three notes receivable matured in December 2002 and the principal balance and outstanding accrued interest on such loans is approximately \$10.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. The remaining note receivable matures in December 2003. The notes receivable are generally non-recourse obligations, but are typically collateralized by pledges of ownership interests in the borrower or affiliated entities. We are in on-going negotiations with the Ellman Companies to extend the maturity dates of these notes receivable to December 31, 2004 under a signed letter agreement.

In February 2001, we entered into a letter agreement to govern the repayment of the loans described above relating to the Scottsdale property and the acquisition of the Phoenix Coyotes by the Ellman Companies. Shortly thereafter and as a result of delays at the Scottsdale property (Note 3), the Ellman Companies elected to pursue an alternative site for the hockey arena in

Glendale, AZ. In December 2002, the Ellman Companies and the other investors involved in the ownership of the Phoenix Coyotes entered into a series of transactions in which the Phoenix Coyotes hockey team, the proposed arena and related real estate development projects were consolidated into a single holding company for the purpose of assisting the combined entity in obtaining additional financing. It is unclear at this time the impact the structure will have on the repayment of our loans made to the Ellman Companies in connection with their acquisition of the Phoenix Coyotes. We stopped accruing interest on the loans when the development projects were consolidated into the holding company. The sale of equity interests in the holding company is expected to generate proceeds to help repay our notes.

Note 8 Debt

Mortgages and Notes Payable

We had the following mortgages and notes payable outstanding at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 (amounts in thousands):

	;	September 30 2003]	December 31 2002
Mortgages on five properties in Florida bearing interest ranging from 8.18% to 9.00%. The loans are collateralized by the properties and mature February 2009 and January 2010	\$	159,060	\$	160,152
Mortgage payable with GMAC Commercial Mortgage Corporation, bearing interest at LIBOR plus 98 basis points (2.10% at September 30, 2003). The mortgage is collateralized by five of our properties and matures July 2004		121,375		121,375
Mortgages and notes payable on six properties bearing interest ranging from 3.17% to 8.45%. The loans are collateralized by the properties and mature on various dates between December 2006 and February 2017		89,331		101,948
Revolving \$100.0 million credit facility bearing interest at LIBOR plus 150 to 200 basis points (2.87% at September 30, 2003), maturing September 2004		64,700		60,300
Capital lease arrangements with an individual on two properties. The capital leases have effective interest rates of 4.43% and 7.36% and mature on December 2004 and June 2005		31,001		34,052
Construction loan outstanding bearing interest at LIBOR plus 310 basis points (4.22% at September 30, 2003). The loan is due March 2004 and is collateralized by a retail center in Newport, KY (see below)		28,500		38,500
Mortgage on a property in Orlando, FL bearing interest at LIBOR plus 130 basis points (2.42% at September 30, 2003). The loan is collateralized by the property and matures June 2008		22,100		21,888
Construction loan payable to a bank bearing interest at LIBOR plus 150 basis points (2.62% at September 30, 2003). The loan matures November 2004 and is collateralized by the project		16,465		5,159
Note payable to a bank bearing interest at LIBOR plus 375 basis points. Beginning in December 2003, principal and interest is due at a rate of \$1.1 million per month until paid in full on June 2004. The loan is collateralized by land in Orlando, FL		10,476		
Construction loan payable to a bank bearing interest at LIBOR plus 185 basis points (2.97% at September 30, 2003). The loan matures March 2005 and is collateralized by the project		7,617		
Note payable outstanding on a \$4.7 million facility related to Newport, KY (see below), bearing interest at Prime plus 50 basis points (4.50% at September 30, 2003), matures March 2004		4,737		4,737
Note payable to a bank bearing interest at LIBOR (with a floor of 2%) plus 275 (4.75% at September 30, 2003). The note is collateralized by an office building in Phoenix, AZ and matures in April 2004.		4,388		
Total	\$	559,750	\$	548,111

We were in compliance with all covenants on our credit facility at September 30, 2003. In 2003 we plan to use cash flow from operations to fund our recurring debt service obligations.

We have a 65% interest in Newport on the Levee, LLC (Newport) that is developing a retail project in Newport, KY. In addition to the \$28.5 million and \$4.7 million notes in the above table, the City of Newport has issued two series of public improvement bonds. The Series 2000a tax exempt bonds total \$44.2 million and are broken down as follows: (a) \$18.7 million

maturing 2018 with interest at 8.375%; (b) \$20.5 million maturing 2027 with interest at 8.5%; and (c) \$5.0 million maturing 2027 with interest at 8.375%. The Series 2000b bonds are taxable and have a par amount of \$11.6 million with interest at 11% due 2009. The bonds are guaranteed by us, by Newport, and the third party co-developers of the project. Newport has drawn on \$48.6 million of the bonds at September 30, 2003.

Summarized debt information for our unconsolidated joint ventures and the amount guaranteed by us at September 30, 2003 is as follows (amounts in thousands):

Joint Venture	September 30 2003		Debt Guaranteed		Maturity Date
Old Mill District Shops, LLC	\$	17,456	\$	13,973	12/22/03
Blackstone Ventures I		9,919		3,098	10/1/04
3017977 Nova Scotia Company		5,934			6/15/04
	\$	33,309	\$	17,071	

Note 9 Financial Instruments: Derivatives and Hedging

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the effect of changes in interest rates. We limit these risks by following established risk management policies and procedures including the use of derivatives. For interest rate exposures, derivatives are used primarily to manage the cost of borrowing obligations.

We have a policy of only entering into derivative contracts with major financial institutions based upon their credit ratings and other factors. When viewed in conjunction with the underlying and offsetting exposure that the derivatives are designed to hedge, we have not sustained a material loss from those instruments nor do we anticipate any material adverse effect on our net income or financial position in the future from the use of derivatives.

To manage interest rate risk, we may employ options, forwards, swaps, caps and floors, or a combination thereof, depending on the underlying exposure. We undertake a variety of borrowings from lines of credit to medium and long-term financings. To manage overall costs, we currently use derivative instruments to cap our exposure to variability in interest rates or to convert a portion of our variable-rate debt to fixed-rate debt. In July 2002, we paid \$3.4 million for forward-starting, LIBOR-based interest rate caps with a combined notional value of \$152 million and a strike of 7.0% to cap our exposure to interest rate variability on anticipated

floating-rate debt. The interest rate caps are effective July 1, 2004, and continue through 2009 to 2010. The interest rate caps are included with other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We also use derivatives to protect the fair value of existing or anticipated fixed-rate debt. During 2002, we had five amortizing swaps with approximately \$161 million current notional value protecting the fair value of approximately \$161 million fixed-rate debt from changes in value attributable to interest rate movement. In October 2002, we sold our five Interest Rate Swap Agreements back to the counter party for \$13.8 million and will amortize the gain over the fixed-rate debt s remaining life through 2009 to 2010.

Hedges that are designated as fair value hedges mitigate risk on changes in the fair value of fixed-rate debt. The unrealized gains/losses in the fair value of these hedges are reported in earnings with an offsetting adjustment through earnings to the carrying value of the hedged debt. Adjustments to the carrying value of the hedged debt are amortized to earnings beginning no later than when the hedged debt ceases to be adjusted for changes in its fair value attributable to the interest rate risk being hedged.

Cash flow hedges hedge the future cash outflows of current or forecasted debt. Interest rate caps protect against variability in interest cash outflows above the cap strike rate. The changes in the fair value of these hedges are reported on the balance sheet with a corresponding adjustment to either Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income or in earnings depending on the hedging relationship. Unrealized gains and losses held in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income will be reclassified to earnings in the same period or periods that the hedged cash flows affect earnings. As of September 30, 2003, the balance in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss relating to derivatives was \$1.6 million. Within the next nine months, we do not expect to reclassify any of this balance to earnings as the caps are forward starting and take effect July 1, 2004.

We hedge our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions other than interest-related cash flows over a maximum period of 12 months. During the forecasted period, unrealized gains and losses in the hedging instrument will be reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. Once the hedged transaction takes place, the hedge gains and losses will be reported in earnings during the same period in which the hedged item is recognized in earnings. We are not currently hedging exposure to variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions other than interest-related cash flows on future anticipated debt.

Note 10 Stock Option Plan

In 2001, we established a Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the Plan) and we may grant stock options to any employee or director under this plan. As of September 30, 2003, we reserved 3,630,000 shares for issuance under the Plan. Options generally vest over three years and expire ten years after the grant date. Once exercisable, the employee or director can purchase shares of our stock at the market price on the date we granted the option.

In connection with the Merger, each outstanding option to purchase Excel Legacy common stock automatically became an option to purchase our common stock. The number of shares of our common stock which may be purchased with these options and the exercise price was adjusted to reflect the exchange ratio.

As we stated in Note 1, we follow the provisions for APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. We implemented the disclosure provisions required by SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of SFAS No.123, respectively, for our stock option plans. SFAS 123 requires pro forma net income and earnings per share information, which is calculated assuming we had accounted for our stock option plans under the fair value method described in that statement. We estimated the fair value of the 2002 options grants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, modified for dividends and using the following assumptions:

	2002
Risk free interest rate	3.98%
Annual dividend rate	0.00%
Volatility factor of the stock price	40.15%
Weighted average expected life (years)	10

We do not record compensation expense for stock option grants, and no options were granted in the first nine months of 2003. The table in Note 1 summarizes results as if we had recorded compensation expense for the 2002 option grants.

Note 11 Series B Preferred Stock

In 2001, PEI entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Warburg, Pincus Equity Partners, L.P. and certain of its affiliates (Warburg Pincus), pursuant to which PEI agreed to sell to Warburg Pincus for an aggregate purchase price of \$100,000,000:

17,985,612 shares of a new class of preferred stock, 9% Series B Junior Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (the Series B Preferred Stock) at \$5.56 per share

a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 2.5 million shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$8.25 per share (the Warburg Investment)

Also in 2001, PEI and Sol Price, a significant stockholder of PEI and Excel Legacy through various trusts, agreed to convert an existing Excel Legacy loan payable to a trust controlled by Sol Price of approximately \$9.3 million into 1,681,142 shares of the Series B Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 233,679 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.25 per share.

Price Legacy issued the Series B Preferred Stock and warrants to Warburg Pincus and Sol Price concurrently with the completion of the Merger.

The Series B Preferred Stock is junior to the Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividend, liquidation and other rights, and is convertible under certain conditions into Price Legacy common stock at a one-to-one ratio, which may be adjusted under certain circumstances, starting in September 2003. The 9% coupon will be paid with additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock at \$5.56 per share for the first 45 months from issuance (until June 2005), at which time the coupon will increase to 10% payable in cash thereafter. While no additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock have been issued, 3,908,061 shares have accumulated through September 30, 2003. These shares will be issued in the future.

In September 2003, Warburg Pincus agreed to sell all Price Legacy securities owned by them, including the Series B Preferred Stock, to The Price Group and/or its assignees. The sale is expected to occur on January 5, 2004.

Note 12 Subsequent Events

Gary B. Sabin, Richard B. Muir, Graham R. Bullick and S. Eric Ottesen, former members of our senior management, resigned their positions with us effective October 15, 2003, including the resignation of Messrs. Sabin and Muir from our board of directors.

27

In connection with their resignations, we entered into a master separation agreement with Messrs. Sabin, Muir, Bullick and Ottesen that established certain arrangements between Price Legacy and the resigning officers, including:

the purchase by us of 2.3 million shares of our common stock held by Mr. Sabin and certain related entities for a purchase price of \$4.00 per share, which occurred on October 23, 2003, and the application of the proceeds to pay the purchase price of the real estate transactions described below

the sublease of our interest in our San Diego/Rancho Bernardo office building and a grant of the right to purchase all of our rights and interest in the office building for an aggregate purchase price equal to \$14.7 million

the immediate vesting of all stock options held by former management and expiring October 15, 2004

the sale by us of real estate known as the Redhawk II land, including the assignment of all plans and entitlements related to the Redhawk II land, for an aggregate purchase price equal to approximately \$4.8 million

a lease by us of the office space in the San Diego/Rancho Bernardo office building that we use for our corporate headquarters at market rates and other terms mutually agreed by the parties

We will record a charge of \$1.5 million in the fourth quarter related to the purchase of our shares of common stock and the acceleration of stock options. Jack McGrory, chairman of our board, assumed the additional position of president and chief executive officer and Robert Siordia became our chief operating officer.

On November 6, 2003, we agreed to pay \$4.0 million to settle a lawsuit filed by the Bank of NT Butterfield & Sons in June 2002 related to the guarantee agreement for a promissory note on the Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. We agreed to settle the matter to mitigate interest and other carrying costs. We recorded the charge in the third quarter of 2003.

Edgar Filing: PRICE LEGACY CORP - Form 10-Q
ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Introduction

This report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 which provides a safe harbor for these types of statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by forward-looking words such as believe, may, could, will, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, seek, plan, expect, should, would and simila on Form 10-Q. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions about Price Legacy, including, among other things:

the effect of economic, credit and capital market conditions in general and on real estate companies in particular, including changes in interest rates

our ability to compete effectively

developments in the retail industry

the financial stability of our tenants, including our reliance on major tenants

our ability to successfully complete real estate acquisitions, developments and dispositions

the financial performance of our properties, joint ventures and investments

government approvals, actions and initiatives, including the need for compliance with environmental requirements

our ability to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT

The factors identified above are believed to be some, but not all, of the important factors that could cause actual events and results to be significantly different from those that may be expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements should also be considered in light of the information provided in Factors That May Affect Future Performance located in our Form 10-K filing for the 2002 fiscal year. We assume no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K filed with the SEC. Our Form 10-K filing for the 2002 fiscal year listed various important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expected and historic results.

In Management s Discussion and Analysis we explain our general financial condition and results of operations including:

why revenues, costs and earnings changed from the prior period

funds from operations (FFO)

how we used cash for capital projects and dividends and how we expect to use cash in the remainder of

2003

where we plan on obtaining cash for future dividend payments and future capital expenditures

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

General

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Preparation of our financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the related notes. We believe that the following accounting policies are critical because they affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the 2002 fiscal year.

Consolidation

We combine our financial statements with those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries as well as all affiliates in which we have control and present them on a consolidated basis. The consolidated financial statements do not include the results of transactions between us and our subsidiaries or among our subsidiaries.

We account for our investment in unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method of accounting, the net equity investment of the joint ventures is reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Consolidated Statements of Operations includes our share of net income or loss from the unconsolidated joint ventures.

We also follow the guidelines of FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46). This interpretation addresses the consolidation of business enterprises (variable interest entities) to which the usual condition (ownership of a majority voting interest) of consolidation does not apply, and focuses on financial interests that indicate control. It concludes that in the absence of clear control through voting interests, a company s exposure (variable interest) to the economic risks and potential reward from the variable interest entity s assets and activities are the best evidence of control. Variable interests are rights and obligations that convey economic gains or losses from changes in the values of the variable interest entity s assets and liabilities. Variable interests may arise from financial instruments, service contracts, nonvoting ownership interests and other arrangements. If an enterprise holds a majority of the variable interests of an entity, it would be considered the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary would be required to include assets, liabilities and the results of operations of the variable interest entity in its financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Recognition of revenue is dependent upon the quality and ability of our tenants to pay their rent in a timely manner. Rental revenues include: (1) minimum annual rentals, adjusted for the straight-line method for recognition of fixed future increases; (2) additional rentals, including

recovery of property operating expenses, and certain other expenses which we accrue in the period in which the related expense occurs; and (3) percentage rents based on the level of sales achieved by the lessee, which we recognize when earned.

Gain or loss on sale of real estate is recognized when the sales contract is executed, title has passed, payment is received, and we no longer have continuing involvement in the asset.

Real Estate Assets and Depreciation

We record real estate assets at historical costs and adjust them for recognition of impairment losses. In following purchase accounting, we adjusted the historical costs of Excel Legacy s real estate assets to fair value at the time of the Merger. Our Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 reflect the new basis of those real estate assets.

We expense as incurred ordinary repairs and maintenance costs, which include building painting, parking lot repairs, etc. We capitalize major replacements and betterments, which include HVAC equipment, roofs, etc., and depreciate them over their estimated useful lives.

We compute real estate asset depreciation on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, as follows:

Land improvements	40 years
Building and improvements	20 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	Lesser of the lease term or 10 years
Fixtures and equipment	3-7 years

We review long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in business conditions indicate that their full carrying value may not be recovered. We consider assets to be impaired and write them down to fair value if their expected associated future undiscounted cash flows are less than their carrying amounts.

We capitalize interest incurred during the construction period of certain assets and this interest is depreciated over the lives of those assets.

Pre-development costs that are directly related to specific construction projects are capitalized as incurred. We expense these costs to the extent they are unrecoverable or it is determined that the related project will not be pursued.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We follow the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. In the normal course of business, we may use derivative financial instruments to manage or hedge interest rate risk. When entered into, we formally designate and document the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, as well as the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge transactions. We assess, both at the inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the financial instruments that are

used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in either the fair value or cash flows of the related underlying exposure. Because of the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the underlying exposure being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the fair value or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument s change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. Virtually all of our derivatives are straightforward over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets.

To determine the fair values of derivative instruments, we use a variety of methods and assumptions that are based on market conditions and risks existing at each balance sheet date. For the majority of financial instruments including most derivatives, long-term investments and long-term debt, standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models, replacement cost, and termination cost are used to determine fair value. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized.

Asset Disposal

We follow SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, which addresses financial accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, and report discontinued operations for the quarter and nine month periods ended September 30, 2003 and September 30, 2002.

Rental Revenues

Rental Revenues 58

	Amount	Change	Percent Change
	(000 s)	(000 s)	
3rd Quarter 2003	\$ 32,193	\$ 2,353	8%
3rd Quarter 2002	29,840		
Year-to-Date 2003	96,095	11,397	13%
Year-to-Date 2002	84,698		

Revenues increased \$2.4 million to \$32.2 million in the third quarter of 2003 compared to the same period in 2002 primarily because:

properties we acquired during 2002 generated \$1.9 million of additional revenues

properties we owned both years generated \$0.5 million of additional revenues primarily due to additional leasing activity at our Newport, KY and Moorestown, NJ properties and the opening of our Temecula, CA property. These increases were partially offset by vacancies at our Westbury NY property due to Kmart s bankruptcy and our Wayne, NJ property due to Today s Man and The Wiz bankruptcies

Revenues increased \$11.4 million to \$96.1 million in the nine month year-to-date period of 2003 compared to the same period in 2002 primarily because:

properties we acquired during 2002 generated \$9.6 million of additional revenues

32

Rental Revenues 59

properties we owned both years generated \$1.8 million of additional revenues primarily due to additional leasing activity at our Newport, KY and Moorestown, NJ properties and the opening of our Temecula, CA property. These increases were partially offset by vacancies at our Westbury NY property due to Kmart s bankruptcy and our Wayne, NJ property due to Today s Man and The Wiz bankruptcies

Expenses

	A	Amount	Change	Percent Change
		(000 s)	(000 s)	
3rd Quarter 2003	\$	21,336 \$	3,146	8%
3rd Quarter 2002		18,190		
Year-to-Date 2003		55,856	8,904	13%
Year-to-Date 2002		46,952		

Expenses increased \$3.1 million to \$21.3 million in the third quarter of 2003 compared to 2002 primarily because:

general and administrative expenses increased \$1.3 million, primarily due to our agreement to pay \$4.0 million to a bank as settlement of a lawsuit related to our former Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. This increase was partially offset by additional non-cash compensation expense of \$2.8 million in 2002 to record the difference between the officers notes receivable book value and the market value of the related shares as required by Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 00-23

properties we owned in both years generated an additional \$1.0 million of expenses, primarily due to increased depreciation expense at our Newport, KY location and increased expenses due to the opening of our Temecula, CA property

properties we acquired in 2002 generated \$0.8 million of additional expenses

Expenses increased \$8.9 million to \$55.9 million for the year-to-date period ended September 2003 compared to 2002 primarily because:

expenses from properties we owned in both years increased by \$4.7 million, primarily due to additional depreciation at our Newport, KY location, increased bad debt expense at our Wayne, NJ location due to the bankruptcies of Today s Man and The Wiz, and increased expenses due to the opening of our Temecula, CA property

properties we acquired in 2002 generated \$3.4 million of additional expenses

general and administrative expenses increased \$0.7 million, primarily due to our agreement to pay \$4.0 million to a bank as settlement of a lawsuit related to our former Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. This increase was partially offset by additional compensation expense in 2002 of \$2.8 million to record the difference between the officers notes receivable book value and the value of the related shares.

Operating Income

	 mount (000 s)	Change (000 s)	Percent Change
3rd Quarter 2003	\$ 10,857	\$ (793)	-7%
3rd Quarter 2002	11,650		
Year-to-Date 2003	40,239	2,493	7%
Year-to-Date 2002	37,746		

Operating income decreased for the third quarter and increased for the year-to-date periods of 2003 compared to the same periods in the prior year primarily because of the changes in Rental Revenues and Expenses discussed above.

Interest Expense

	A	mount	Chan	ge	Percent Change
	((000 s)	(000	s)	
3rd Quarter 2003	\$	6,637	\$	480	8%
3rd Quarter 2002		6,157			
Year-to-Date 2003		19,906		1,861	10%
Year-to-Date 2002		18,045			

Interest expense increased \$0.5 million in the third quarter of 2003 because we had an average of \$549.6 million debt outstanding compared to \$524.1 million in the third quarter of 2002. Interest expense increased \$1.9 million for the year-to-date period compared to 2002 because during the third quarter of 2003 we had an average of \$557.8 million debt for the nine month year-to-date period of 2003 compared to \$503.3 million for the same period in 2002. The increase in interest expense due to the amount of debt outstanding was partially offset by a decrease in interest rates on our variable rate debt.

The weighted average interest rate on our variable rate debt decreased to 2.8% on September 30, 2003 from 3.5 % on September 30, 2002. We discuss our outstanding debt further in Liquidity and Capital Resources located elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Interest Income

		Percent		
Amount	Change	Change		

Edgar Filing: PRICE LEGACY CORP - Form 10-Q

	(000) s)	(000 s)	
3rd Quarter 2003	\$	82	\$ (1,137)	-93%
3rd Quarter 2002		1,219		
Year-to-Date 2003		1.006	(2,601)	-72%
Year-to-Date 2002		3,607	(2,001)	1270

Interest income decreased \$1.1 million in the third quarter of 2003 compared to 2002 primarily because:

we stopped accruing interest on certain notes receivable when development projects were added as collateral in 2002 to repay the notes, which decreased interest income \$0.9 million

in the third quarter of 2002, we retired the notes receivable from certain of our officers which were collateralized by our common shares. EITF 00-23 required us to account for these loans as being retired and the shares as being repurchased. This decreased interest income \$0.2 million

Interest income decreased \$2.6 million in the nine month year-to-date period ended September 30, 2003 compared to the same period in 2002 primarily because:

we stopped accruing interest on certain notes receivable when development projects were added as collateral in 2002 to repay the notes, which decreased interest income \$1.9 million

officers notes receivable, which we retired in 2002, decreased interest income \$0.6 million

interest income on our outstanding cash balances decreased \$0.1 million

Gain/Loss on Sale of Real Estate and Investments

During the first nine months of 2003, we sold the following operating properties for a net loss of \$2.5 million. This loss is recorded as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in accordance with SFAS No. 144:

Location	Description	Date Sold	 les Price (000 s)
Scottsdale, AZ	Land, Restaurant	3/31/03	\$ 3,000
Inglewood, CA	Warehouse Building	4/29/03	4,000
New Britain, CT	Warehouse Building	5/15/03	3,529
Northridge, CA	Shopping Center	6/27/03	5,850

Also during the first nine months of 2003, we sold the following non-depreciable real estate and investments for a net loss of \$0.7 million:

Location	Description	Date Sold	 es Price 000 s)
N/A	Joint ventures, notes receivable	8/13/03	\$ 300
Tucson, AZ	Land	8/22/03	416
N/A	Stock	9/30/03	35

Also during 2003, we received payment on three notes receivable related to the sale of our self storage development properties in 2002. We recognized a gain of \$0.7 million on the sales.

During the first nine months of 2002, we sold the following operating properties and recorded a net gain of \$9.1 million. This gain is recorded as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in accordance with SFAS No.144.

35

		Date		
Location	Description	Sold	Sa	les Price
				(000 s)
Glen Burnie, MD	Shopping Center	6/21/02	\$	15,200
San Diego/Murphy Canyon, CA	Self Storage	8/29/02		29,688
Solana Beach, CA	Self Storage	8/29/02		16,282
Azusa, CA	Self Storage	9/30/02		6,537

Also during the first nine months of 2002, we sold the following non-depreciable properties for a net gain of \$0.3 million:

Location	Description	Date Sold	 es Price
Hollywood, FL	Land	1/31/02	\$ 1,410
Tucson/Marana, AZ	Land	1/31/02	684
Hollywood, FL	Land	4/19/02	1,028
San Diego/Pacific Beach, CA	Self Storage Development	6/1/02	11,632
Walnut Creek, CA	Self Storage Development	6/1/02	7,708
San Juan Capistrano, CA	Self Storage Development	6/1/02	6,918

Funds From Operations (amounts in thousands)

		Three Months Ended September 30			Nine M Ended Sep		
		2003		2002	2003		2002
							(restated)
Net income	\$	3,628	\$	17,756 \$	20,161	\$	37,062
Depreciation and amortization		5,426		4,453	15,606		12,440
Depreciation and amortization of discontinued operations				214	78		975
Price Legacy s share of joint venture depreciation	l	629		171	1,146		477
Depreciation of non-real estate assets		(16)		(36)	(78)		(120)
Net loss on sale of investments		86			86		
Net loss (gain) on sale of real estate		605			(83)		
Net (gain) loss on sale of discontinued operations		(20)		(9,991)	2,502		(9,148)
FFO before preferred dividends		10,338		12,567	39,418		41,686
Preferred dividends		(12,486)		(12,241)	(37,270)		(36,549)
FFO	\$	(2,148)	\$	326 \$	2,148	\$	5,137
Net cash provided by (used in):							
Operating activities	\$	17,744	\$	11,772 \$	39,853	\$	35,560
Investing activities		(7,970)		(34,074)	(7,482)		(92,713)
Financing activities		(15,672)		38,690	(32,031)		57,112

Significant non-cash items:

_				
Deferred rents	\$ 698	\$ 828 \$	1,896	\$ 3,403
Series B Preferred dividends	2,884	2,639	8,464	7,743
Compensation expense		2,836		2,836
Provision for asset impairment				2,528

Our Company, as well as real estate industry analysts, generally considers FFO as another measurement of economic profitability for real estate-oriented companies. The Board of Governors of the National Association for Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as net income in accordance with GAAP, excluding depreciation and amortization expense and

36

gains (losses) from sales of depreciable operating real estate. We calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition which also excludes gains (losses) from the sale of investments, and adjust for preferred dividends. Effective July 1, 2003, we began excluding provisions for asset impairments as an add-back to FFO. Our FFO for the nine month period ended September 30, 2002 has been restated to reflect this change. We believe that FFO is helpful to investors as a measure of our financial performance because, along with cash flow from operating activities, financing activities and investing activities, FFO provides investors with an indication of the ability of a REIT to incur and service debt, to make capital expenditures and to fund other cash needs. In addition, we believe that FFO provides useful information about our performance when compared to other REITs since FFO is generally recognized as the industry standard for reporting the operations of REITs. FFO does not represent the cash flows from operations defined by GAAP, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Excluded from FFO are significant components in understanding our financial performance.

FFO before preferred dividends during the third quarter of 2003 decreased \$2.2 million or 17.7% to \$10.3 million compared to the third quarter of 2002 primarily because:

general and administrative expenses increased \$1.3 million over the prior year, primarily due to our agreement to pay \$4.0 million to a bank in settlement of a lawsuit related to our former Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. This increase was partially offset by a one-time non cash charge to compensation expense in 2002 to record the difference between the officers notes receivable book value and the value of the related shares

interest income decreased \$1.1 million

properties sold, which contributed \$1.1 million to FFO in the previous year

interest expense increased \$0.5 million

partially offsetting these decreases are increases to FFO for the following:

properties we acquired during 2002 contributed an additional \$1.3 million to FFO

properties we owned in both years contributed an additional \$0.3 million to FFO

joint ventures contributed an additional \$0.2 million to FFO

FFO before preferred dividends during the nine month year-to-date period of 2003 decreased \$2.3 million or 5.4% to \$39.4 million compared to the third quarter of 2002 primarily because:

properties sold, which contributed \$7.5 million to FFO in the previous year

interest income decreased \$2.6 million

interest expense increased \$1.9 million

properties owned in both years contributed an additional \$0.9 million to FFO in the prior year, primarily due to additional operating expenses in the current year as previously discussed

37

general and administrative expenses increased \$0.7 million over the prior year, primarily due to our agreement to pay \$4.0 million to a bank as settlement of a lawsuit related to our former Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. This increase was partially offset by the one-time non cash charge to compensation expense in 2002 to record the difference between the officers notes receivable book value and the value of the related shares as previously discussed

partially offsetting these decreases are increases to FFO for the following:

properties we acquired during 2002 contributed an additional \$7.4 million to FFO

we recognized an asset impairment loss of \$2.5 million in the second quarter of the prior year. Effective July 1, 2003, we began excluding provisions for asset impairments as an add-back to FFO

joint ventures contributed an additional \$1.2 million to FFO

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity refers to our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the short and long-term cash requirements of our business operations. Capital resources represent those funds used or available to be used to support our business operations and consist of stockholders equity and debt.

Cash flow from operations has been the principal source of capital to fund our ongoing operations and dividend payments, while asset sales and use of our credit facilities and mortgage financing have been the principal sources of capital required to fund our growth. While we are positioned to finance our business activities through a variety of sources, we expect to satisfy short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations and through borrowings.

Dividends

As a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our taxable income, excluding capital gains, in dividends. Our Series A Preferred Stock requires a quarterly dividend payment of \$9.6 million, an annual total of \$38.4 million. In connection with the Merger, we assumed a net operating loss (NOL) of approximately \$17.1 million, which could be used to offset federal taxable income. In the future, if our Series A Preferred dividend is less than 90% of our taxable income (after applying any applicable NOLs), we have two options to meet the distribution requirement:

- We can declare a Series B Preferred Stock dividend by issuing additional Series B Preferred shares. The Series B Preferred Stock dividends accumulate at a rate of 9%, compounded quarterly, payable in additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock until June 2005, and 10%, compounded quarterly, payable in cash thereafter. As of September 30, 2003, the Series B Preferred stockholders were entitled to approximately 3.9 million additional shares.
- 2) We can declare a dividend to our common stockholders.

If our taxable income is less than the Series A Preferred Stock dividends, we are still obligated to pay them. If we are unable to pay these dividends when due, they accumulate until paid.

Debt

In September 2001, we obtained a \$100.0 million unsecured credit facility with Fleet Bank as agent. The facility has a three-year term and has a current interest rate of LIBOR plus 175 basis points. The rate may vary between 150 and 200 basis points based on our leverage and other financial ratios. At September 30, 2003, we had \$64.7 million outstanding on the facility at a 2.9% interest rate.

Our credit facility requires us to comply with specified financial covenants, the most restrictive of which relate to fixed charge coverage and leverage. Covenants in some of our construction loans are also tied to our credit facility. We were in compliance with all covenants in our credit facility at September 30, 2003. To the extent that we violate any of these covenants in the future, we would need to obtain waivers from our lenders to maintain compliance. We cannot assure that any such waivers would be forthcoming.

In 2003 we had or will have the following significant debt maturities:

In February 2003, a \$12.9 million bank loan related to a development project in Scottsdale, AZ matured. We have a note receivable to a developer related to this project and guaranteed the bank loan. On February 27, 2003, we purchased the loan from the lender for an aggregate purchase price of \$13.0 million, which was funded through borrowing on our credit facility.

In April 2003, a \$38.5 million construction loan related to our project in Newport, KY matured. We extended the loan for another year and paid-down \$10.0 million on the outstanding amount. The current loan balance is \$28.5 million.

In April 2003, a \$22 million loan related to our property in Orlando, FL matured. We refinanced this debt with a new loan which matures in June 2008.

In May 2003, a \$4.7 million loan related to our property in Newport, KY matured. The lender extended this loan for another year.

In June 2003, a \$3.2 million loan related to our property in Terre Haute, IN matured. We repaid this loan with available cash.

In August 2003, we repaid a \$3.1 million capital lease obligation related to our Scottsdale, AZ office building at the lessor s request. We repaid this obligation with available cash.

In September 2003, a \$6.3 million note related to our Anaheim project matured. We repaid this note with available cash.

We have a \$10.3 million loan related to land we own in Orlando, FL. This loan is guaranteed by us and by our partner. Beginning December 2003, the loan requires monthly principal repayments of \$1.1 million. We anticipate

funding these repayments on behalf of the partnership. In April 2003, we repaid \$1.0 million on this loan with funds we received as a non-refundable deposit from a potential buyer.

39

Expenses 73

The following table summarizes all of our long-term contractual obligations, excluding interest, to pay third parties as of September 30, 2003:

Contractual Cash Obligations

	2003		2004		2005		2006		2007		Thereafter		Total	
Mortgages and notes payable	\$	731	\$	265,800	\$	30,671	\$	33,011	\$	3,343	\$	226,194	\$	559,750
Capital lease obligations		200		796		796		796		796		15,455		18,839
Total	\$	931	\$	266,596	\$	31,467	\$	33,807	\$	4,139	\$	241,649	\$	578,589

In 2003 we plan to use cash flow from operations to fund our recurring debt service obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing Matters

The City of Newport, KY in 1999 issued two series of public improvement bonds related to our project in Newport, KY. The Series 2000a tax exempt bonds total \$44.2 million and are broken down as follows: (a) \$18.7 million maturing 2018 with interest at 8.375%; (b) \$20.5 million maturing 2027 with interest at 8.375%. The Series 2000b bonds are taxable and have a par amount of \$11.6 million with interest at 11% due 2009. The bonds are guaranteed by the Newport project, the Company, and the project s third party developers. As of September 30, 2003, Newport had drawn on \$48.6 million of the bonds for construction incurred prior to that date.

Summarized debt information for our unconsolidated joint ventures and the amount guaranteed by us at September 30, 2003 is as follows:

Joint Venture	Se	ptember 30 2003	Debt Guaranteed	Maturity Date		
Old Mill District Shops, LLC	\$	17,456	\$ 13,973	12/22/03		
Blackstone Ventures I		9,919	3,098	10/1/04		
3017977 Nova Scotia Company		5,934		6/15/04		
	\$	33,309	\$ 17,071			

Development

We have a significant retail project in Newport, KY. The majority of the construction was completed in October 2001, with all of the primary buildings completed except for one out parcel yet to be leased. The project opened in October 2001. At September 30, 2003, the project was approximately 78% occupied, excluding ground leases. As the project becomes fully leased, there may be capital required to fund the remaining tenant improvements.

The Anaheim GardenWalk project in Anaheim, CA, located adjacent to Disney s two Theme Parks on Harbor Boulevard and Disney s new proposed Theme Park on Katella Avenue, is expected to consist of a 626,000 square foot open-air retail center and four hotels. Total cost of the retail portion of this project will be approximately \$250 million with an estimated cost of \$200 million remaining to complete construction over the next eight years for all phases. We anticipate that the first phase of the project will cost approximately \$125 million. We are currently evaluating our options on this project.

We also have retail development projects in which construction will continue through 2004. The Temecula, CA project is an open-air retail shopping center with Wal-Mart, Kohl s and other tenants. We estimate that there is an additional \$0.6 million remaining to complete construction. We expect to fund the remaining cost through a construction loan. In December 2002, we purchased additional land adjacent to this development project to develop an additional open-air retail center. In October 2003, we sold this additional land to former executives for \$4.8 million, which is the approximate book value of the land.

In November 2002, we purchased land adjacent to our property in Orlando, FL to develop an open-air retail center. We estimate the total cost of this development to be approximately \$21 million with an estimated \$3.8 million remaining to complete construction, which we will fund through a construction loan.

Los Arcos Development LLC (an affiliate of the Ellman Companies), previously classified as notes receivable on our balance sheet, owns land in Scottsdale, AZ. Commencing in 1996 loans were made to Los Arcos Development, LLC to acquire real estate located in Scottsdale, AZ. The Ellman Companies currently plan to develop a shopping center on the Scottsdale property. As of September 30, 2003, the outstanding principal balance on the note receivable relating to the Scottsdale property was approximately \$36.5 million and the outstanding accrued interest on the note receivable was approximately \$11.5 million. We plan to contribute an additional \$2.5 million during the next six months for pre-development expenses related to this project.

Notes Receivable

We had \$20.3 million in principal amount of third party notes receivable outstanding as of September 30, 2003 related to various real estate developments and related businesses. The notes bear interest ranging from 2.0% to 15% per annum. The notes generally do not require cash payments of interest until specified future dates, typically when developments are completed or sold. As of September 30, 2003, we had \$3.2 million of accrued but unpaid interest on these notes. Of the \$20.3 million in notes receivable, as of September 30, 2003, the notes receivable from companies owned by or affiliated with Steven Ellman (the Ellman Companies) had an aggregate outstanding principal balance of approximately \$19.5 million and the aggregate outstanding accrued interest on such notes receivable was approximately \$3.2 million. These notes receivable from affiliates of the Ellman Companies relate to the Ellman Companies ownership in the Phoenix Coyotes hockey team. These loans were made at a time when the Ellman Companies intended to relocate the hockey team to an arean that was to be constructed on the Scottsdale property. Two of the three notes receivable matured in December 2002 and the principal balance and outstanding accrued interest on such loans is approximately \$10.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. The remaining note receivable matures in December 2003. The notes receivable are generally non-recourse obligations, but are typically collateralized by pledges of ownership interests in the borrower or affiliated entities. On-going negotiations with the Ellman Companies contemplate an extension of the maturity dates of these notes receivable to December 31, 2004.

Growth

We continue to evaluate various properties for acquisition or development and continue to evaluate other investment opportunities. We anticipate borrowing available amounts on our credit facility or mortgages to fund these acquisition and development opportunities. We also anticipate obtaining construction loans to fund our development activities. During the third quarter of 2003, we purchased a parcel of land in Anaheim, CA for \$2.7 million. We did not purchase any properties during the first six months of 2003.

From time to time we will consider selling properties to better align our portfolio with our geographic and tenant composition strategies. We may also participate in additional tax-deferred exchange transactions, which allow us to dispose of properties and reinvest the proceeds in a tax efficient manner. During the nine months ended September 30, 2003 we sold five properties and a land parcel for \$16.8 million. When we sell an operating property, we anticipate a temporary reduction in operating income due to the time lag between selling a property and reinvesting the proceeds.

We are contemplating purchasing various properties and selling certain other properties. As we sell properties, our cash flows from operations may decrease until the proceeds are reinvested into new properties.

Litigation

On June 13, 2002, the Bank of NT Butterfield & Sons Limited filed a lawsuit against Price Legacy and Excel Legacy in Bermuda for \$6.1 million plus other costs of approximately \$3.0 million related to a guarantee agreement for a promissory note on the Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. The bank claimed that Excel Legacy did not fully complete the project in accordance with the guarantee agreement. The trial, which commenced in Bermuda in June 2003, was suspended due to illness of counsel and was scheduled to be reset for November 2003. On November 6, 2003, we agreed to pay \$4.0 million to settle the lawsuit. We agreed to settle the matter to eliminate our exposure and mitigate interest and other carrying costs.

On or about February 13, 2001, Lewis P. Geyser filed a lawsuit against Excel Legacy in Santa Barbara County Superior Court, Anacapa Division, Case No. 01038577. The suit arose out of an Operating Agreement for Destination Villages, LLC, an entity which is owned jointly by Excel Legacy and Mr. Geyser, under which Destination Villages, LLC would develop certain eco-tourism resorts. The complaint included causes of action for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud and negligent misrepresentation. The lawsuit included a prayer for compensatory and punitive damages. Excel Legacy had also filed a cross-complaint against Mr. Geyser for breach of contract, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty and other related claims.

The trial of this matter began February 26, 2002 and concluded on March 19, 2002. The trial judge dismissed both the complaint and cross-complaint, and granted nothing to Mr. Geyser under any of his allegations. On June 5, 2002, Mr. Geyser filed an appeal and Excel Legacy subsequently filed a cross-appeal against Mr. Geyser. On May 12, 2003, the appellate court

reversed the judgment of dismissal on the complaint and cross-complaint and remanded the case for retrial. The retrial of the matter has been set for April 2004.

Other

On October 15, 2003 Messrs Sabin, Muir, Bullick and Ottesen resigned as officers and directors of our Company. In connection with these resignations, we also entered into a master separation agreement with Messrs. Sabin, Muir, Bullick and Ottesen that established certain additional arrangements between Price Legacy and the resigning officers, including:

the purchase by us of 2.3 million shares of common stock held by the Mr. Sabin and certain related entities for a purchase price of \$4.00 per share, which occurred on October 23, 2003, and the application of the proceeds to pay the purchase price of the real estate transactions described below

the sublease of our interest in the San Diego/Rancho Bernardo office building and a grant of the right to purchase all of our rights and interest in the office building for an aggregate purchase price equal to \$14.7 million

the sale by us of real estate known as the Redhawk II land, including the assignment by Price Legacy of all plans and entitlements related to the Redhawk II land, for an aggregate purchase price equal to \$4.7 million

a lease by us of the office space in the San Diego/Rancho Bernardo office building that we use for our corporate headquarters at market rates and other terms to be mutually agreed by the parties

In April 2002, we entered into five Interest Rate Swap Agreements with Fleet Bank that are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and sold them in October 2002. The combined notional amount was approximately \$161 million and the maturities ranged from 2009 to 2010. We paid monthly interest of LIBOR plus 3.08% to 3.77% and Fleet Bank assumed our fixed rates of 8.18% to 9.00%. These swaps hedged the fair value of fixed-rate debt. In October 2002, we sold the five swaps back to the counter party for \$13.8 million and will amortize the gain over the fixed-rate debt s remaining life through 2009 to 2010.

In July 2002, we entered into four Interest Rate Cap Agreements with Wells Fargo Bank and Fleet Bank that are also accounted for under SFAS No. 133. The combined notional amount is \$152.0 million and the maturities range from 2009 to 2010. The agreements cap our variable rate risk on one month LIBOR interest at 7%.

Inflation

Because a substantial number of our leases contain provisions for rent increases based on changes in various consumer price indices, based on fixed rate increases, or based on percentage rent if tenant sales exceed certain base amounts, we do not expect inflation to have a material

impact on future net income or cash flow from developed and operating properties. In addition, substantially all retail leases are triple net, which means specific operating expenses and property taxes are passed through to the tenant.

ITEM 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risks relating to our operations result primarily from changes in short-term LIBOR interest rates. We do not have any significant foreign exchange or other material market risk.

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our variable interest rate debt. We enter into variable rate debt obligations to support general corporate purposes, including acquisitions, capital expenditures and working capital needs. We continuously evaluate our level of variable rate debt with respect to total debt and other factors, including our assessment of the current and future economic environment.

We had \$247.5 million in variable rate debt outstanding at September 30, 2003. Based upon these year-end debt levels, a hypothetical increase in interest rates by 100 basis points would increase interest expense by approximately \$2.5 million on an annual basis, and likewise decrease our earnings and cash flows. We cannot predict market fluctuations in interest rates and their impact on our variable rate debt, nor can there be any assurance that fixed rate long-term debt will be available to us at favorable rates, if at all. Consequently, future results may differ materially from the estimated adverse changes discussed above.

In July 2002, in order to mitigate our variable interest rate exposure, we acquired four interest rate caps, which hedge our exposure on \$152 million of variable rate debt. The hedges limit our exposure to the one-month LIBOR index associated with certain of our outstanding debt at 7%. To the extent the one-month LIBOR index exceeds 7%, the counter parties on the hedges will pay us the difference between the actual index and 7%.

The following table presents the scheduled principal payments on notes receivable and the scheduled principal payments on mortgages payable over the next five years and thereafter. The table also includes the average interest rates of the financial instruments during each respective year and the fair value of the notes receivable and mortgages payable. We determine the fair value of financial instruments through the use of discounted cash flows analysis using current interest rates for notes receivable with terms and credit characteristics similar to our existing portfolio and borrowings under terms similar to our existing mortgages payable.

Expected Maturity Date (dollar amounts in thousands)

	2003		2004		2005		2006	2007		Thereafter			Total		Fair Value
Notes															
receivable,															
including															
notes from															
affiliates	\$ 8,868	\$	11,471									\$	20,339	\$	20,339
Average															
interest rate	10%)	14%	,									12%)	
Mortgages and															
notes payable	\$ 731	\$	265,800	\$	30,671	\$	33,011	\$	3,343	\$	226,194	\$	559,750	\$	575,721
Average															
interest rate	7%		7% 3%		6%		4%		8%		7%		5%		

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b), under the supervision and with the participation of certain management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There have been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In July 2003, a lawsuit was filed by four members of our Board of Directors elected by holders of the Series A Preferred Stock against a director elected by Warburg, Pincus Equity Partners, L.P., a significant holder of the Series B Preferred Stock. The lawsuit sought a declaration that the plaintiff directors are not required to vote with respect to a proposed redemption of the Series A Preferred Stock in a manner that would be detrimental to the interests of holders of Series A Preferred Stock and are entitled to vote in a manner that reflects and supports those interests rather than prefer the interests of other classes of Price Legacy s equity securities. The plaintiff

directors also sought a declaration that their ownership of shares of Series A Preferred Stock does not disqualify them from considering, voting or otherwise acting on matters affecting the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, including the redemption of that stock. Price Legacy was not a party to the lawsuit. This suit was dismissed in September 2003.

On or about February 13, 2001, Lewis P. Geyser filed a lawsuit against Excel Legacy in Santa Barbara County Superior Court, Anacapa Division, Case No. 01038577. The suit arose out of an Operating Agreement for Destination Villages, LLC, an entity which is owned jointly by Excel Legacy and Mr. Geyser, under which Destination Villages, LLC would develop certain eco-tourism resorts. The complaint included causes of action for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud and negligent misrepresentation. The lawsuit included a prayer for compensatory and punitive damages. Excel Legacy had also filed a cross-complaint against Mr. Geyser for breach of contract, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty and other related claims.

The trial of this matter began February 26, 2002 and concluded on March 19, 2002. The trial judge dismissed both the complaint and cross-complaint, and granted nothing to Mr. Geyser under any of his allegations. On June 5, 2002, Mr. Geyser filed an appeal and Excel Legacy subsequently filed a cross-appeal against Mr. Geyser. On May 12, 2003, the appellate court reversed the judgment of dismissal on the complaint and cross-complaint and remanded the case for retrial. The retrial of the matter has been set for April 2004.

On June 13, 2002, the Bank of NT Butterfield & Sons Limited filed a lawsuit against Price Legacy and Excel Legacy in Bermuda for \$6.1 million plus other costs of approximately \$3.0 million related to a guarantee agreement for a promissory note on the Destination Villages Daniel s Head project in Bermuda. The bank claimed that Excel Legacy did not fully complete the project in accordance with the guarantee agreement. The trial, which commenced in Bermuda in June 2003, was suspended due to illness of counsel and was scheduled to be reset for November 2003. On November 6, 2003, we agreed to pay \$4.0 million to settle the lawsuit. We agreed to settle the matter to eliminate our exposure and mitigate interest and other carrying costs.

We are not party to any other legal proceedings other than various claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business that, in the opinion of our management, are not individually or in the aggregate material to our business.

ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) The following exhibits are included herein or incorporated by reference:

10.1(1)	Master Separation Agreement dated as of October 15, 2003 among Price Legacy Corporation, Gary B.
	Sabin, Richard B. Muir, Graham R. Bullick and S. Eric Ottesen
10.2(1)	Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and
	Gary B. Sabin and the other parties listed on the signature page thereto
10.3(1)	Resignation and Release Agreement dated as of October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and
	Gary B. Sabin
10.4	Resignation and Release Agreement dated as of October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and
	Richard B. Muir
10.5	Resignation and Release Agreement dated as of October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and
	Graham R. Bullick
10.6	Resignation and Release Agreement dated as of October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and S.
	Eric Ottesen
10.7	Letter Agreement dated October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and Mark T. Burton
10.8	Letter Agreement dated October 15, 2003 between Price Legacy Corporation and James Y. Nakagawa
31.1	Certifications Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to Schedule 13D/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Gary B. Sabin on October 24, 2003 (File No. 005-43425)

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

We filed reports on Form 8-K on July 23, 2003, September 10, 2003, and September 23, 2003.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PRICE LEGACY CORPORATION

Registrant

Date: November 11, 2003

/s/ Jack McGrory
Jack McGrory
Chief Executive Office

Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 11, 2003

/s/ James Nakagawa James Y. Nakagawa Chief Financial Officer