

LINCOLN GOLD CORP
Form SB-2/A
April 14, 2006

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM SB-2/A
Amendment No. 2

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

LINCOLN GOLD CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

NEVADA

(State or jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

1040

(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)

88-0419475

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**Suite 350, 885 Dunsmuir Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6C 1N5**

(604) 688-7377

Fax (604) 688-7307

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

**Mr. Paul Saxton, President
Suite 350, 885 Dunsmuir Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6C 1N5**

(604) 688-7377

Fax (604) 688-7307

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

**with a copy to: Michael H. Taylor, Esq.
LANG MICHENER LLP
1500 Royal Centre, 1055 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 4N7
Tel: 604-689-9111
Fax: 604-685-7084**

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: From time to time after this Registration Statement is declared effective.

If any securities being registered on this form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. [X]

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. []

| Title of Securities to be Registered | Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price ⁽¹⁾ | Amount of Registration Fee |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| Units, each consisting of one share of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, and one half of one Class A Warrant and one Class B Warrant | \$1,000,000 | \$107 |
| Shares of Common Stock included as part of the Units ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Class A Warrants included as part of the Units ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | \$714,286 | \$76.42 |
| Shares of Common Stock underlying the Class A Warrants included in the Units ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | | |
| Class B Warrants included as part of the Units ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | \$3,857,143 | \$412.71 |
| Shares of Common Stock underlying the Class B Warrants included in the Units ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | | |
| Total | \$5,571,429 | \$596.13 |

- (1) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
- (2) Public offering of Units, each Unit consisting of one share of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, one half of one Class A Warrant and one Class B Warrant.
- (3) Pursuant to Rule 416, there are also being registered such indeterminable additional securities as may be issued as a result of the anti-dilution provisions contained in the warrants or options.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and we are not soliciting offers to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

**(Subject to Completion) Dated <>, 2005
PRELIMINARY PROSPECTUS**

**LINCOLN GOLD CORPORATION
a Nevada Corporation**

2,857,143 UNITS

We are offering up to 2,857,143 units at a price of \$0.35 per unit (the Offering). Each unit (each a Unit and together the Units) will consist of:

- (a) one share of our common stock (each a Share and together the Shares);
- (b) one half of one non-transferable Class A Warrant, each whole Class A Warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at a price of \$0.50 per share until 5:00 p.m. (New York time) on the date that is one year from the date of issuance (each a Class A Warrant and together the Class A Warrants); and
- (c) one non-transferable Class B Warrant, each whole Class B Warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at a price of \$1.35 per share until 5:00 p.m. (New York time) on the date that is four years from the date of issuance (each a Class B Warrant and together the Class B Warrants).

Our directors and officers will be selling the shares of our common stock that we are offering. We do not presently have any agreement with any underwriter. We are offering the Units on a self-underwritten basis without any minimum or maximum purchase requirements. There are no arrangements to place the funds received from sales of the Units in an escrow, trust or similar arrangement.

| | Price to Public | Net Proceeds to Company⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Per Unit | \$0.35 | \$0.35 |
| Total Offering ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |

(1) There is no minimum amount of Units to be sold or proceeds to be raised in the Offering.

(2) Assumes that all Units offered in the Offering are sold.

Our common shares are presently traded on the NASD Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol LGCP. The closing price of our common shares on April 5, 2006 was \$0.18 per share. Our common shares are not listed on any national securities exchange or the Nasdaq Stock Market.

Our principal offices are located at Suite 350, 885 Dunsmuir Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1N5. Our telephone number is 604-688-7377.

THE PURCHASE OF THE SECURITIES OFFERED THROUGH THIS PROSPECTUS INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE SECTION OF THIS PROSPECTUS ENTITLED RISK FACTORS ON PAGES 10 THROUGH 13 BEFORE BUYING ANY

OF OUR UNITS.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR PASSED UPON THE ADEQUACY OR ACCURACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

Page 3

The following table of contents has been designed to help you find important information contained in this prospectus. We encourage you to read the entire prospectus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------------------|
| <u>SUMMARY</u> | 7 |
| <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 10 |
| <u>Risks Related To Our Operating Results</u> | 10 |
| <u>If we do not obtain additional financing, our business plan will fail</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>If we are unable to maintain our interests in our Nevada mineral claims, then we will lose our interests in these mineral claims</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>Because we have only recently commenced preliminary exploration of our Nevada mineral claims, we face a high risk of business failure and this could result in a total loss of your investment.</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>Because we do not have any revenues, we expect to incur operating losses for the foreseeable future.</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>We have yet to attain profitable operations and because we will need additional financing to fund our exploration activities, our accountants believe there is substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>If our costs of exploration are greater than anticipated, then we will not be able to complete our planned exploration programs for our mineral claims without additional financing, of which there is no assurance that we would be able to obtain.</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>Because of the speculative nature of exploration of mining properties, there is substantial risk that no commercially exploitable minerals will be found and our business will fail</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>Because of the inherent dangers involved in mineral exploration, there is a risk that we may incur liability or damages as we conduct our business</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>If we discover commercial reserves of precious metals on any of our mineral properties, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to successfully advance the mineral claims into commercial production.</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>Because access to our mineral claims is often restricted by inclement weather, we may be delayed in our exploration and any future mining efforts</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>As we undertake exploration of our mineral claims, we will be subject to compliance with government regulation that may increase the anticipated time and cost of our exploration program</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>If we do not find a joint venture partner for the continued exploration of our mineral claims, we may not be able to advance the exploration work</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>Risks Relating To Our Common Stock</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>If we do not maintain an effective registration statement covering the warrants offered in our units, or comply with applicable state securities laws, you may not be able to exercise the warrants or you may be restricted from selling the underlying common stock</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>Purchasers of shares of common stock offered in this offering will suffer an immediate dilution due to this offering</u> | <u>12</u> |

| | |
|---|------------------|
| <u>Future sales of our common stock may depress our stock price thereby decreasing the value of your investment</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the SEC's penny stock regulations and the NADSD's sales practice requirements, which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>In the event that your investment in our shares is for the purpose of deriving dividend income or in expectation of an increase in market price of our shares from the declaration and payment of dividends, your investment will be compromised because we do not intend to pay dividends</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>DETERMINATION OF OFFERING PRICE</u> | <u>15</u> |
| <u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | <u>16</u> |
| <u>DILUTION</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | <u>20</u> |
| <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS</u> | <u>20</u> |
| <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>LEGAL MATTERS</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>EXPERTS</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>INTERESTS OF NAMED EXPERTS AND COUNSEL</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION POSITION ON INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>ORGANIZATION WITHIN LAST FIVE YEARS</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE</u> | <u>54</u> |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| <u>ANNUAL COMPENSATION</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>LONG TERM COMPENSATION</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE</u> | <u>54</u> |

| | |
|--|------------------|
| <u>ANNUAL COMPENSATION</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>LONG TERM COMPENSATION</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>PART II INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>66</u> |

SUMMARY

As used in this prospectus, unless the context otherwise requires, we, us, our or Lincoln Gold refers to Lincoln Corporation. The following summary is not complete and does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should read the entire prospectus before making an investment decision to purchase our common shares. All dollar amounts refer to US dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Our Business

We are engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties in the State of Nevada and in Mexico. We hold interests in five groups of mineral properties, with four properties located in Nevada and one property located in Mexico, as described below:

| Name of Property | Location |
|-------------------------|--|
| Buffalo Valley Property | Humboldt, Lander & Pershing Counties, Nevada |
| Hannah Property | Churchill County, Nevada |
| JDS Property | Eureka County, Nevada |
| Jenny Hill Property | Mineral & NYE Counties, Nevada |
| La Bufa Property | Mexico |

Our plan of operations is to carry out exploration of our mineral properties. Our specific exploration plan for each of our mineral properties, together with information regarding the location and access, history of operations, present condition and geology of each of our properties, is presented in this prospectus under the heading Description of Properties. All of our exploration programs are preliminary in nature in that their completion will not result in a determination that any of our properties contains commercially exploitable quantities of mineralization.

We are an exploration stage company. All of our projects are at the exploration stage and there is no assurance that any of our mining claims contain a commercially viable ore body. We plan to undertake further exploration of our properties. We anticipate that we will require additional financing in order to pursue full exploration of these claims. We do not have sufficient financing to undertake full exploration of our mineral claims at present and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain the necessary financing.

There is no assurance that a commercially viable mineral deposit exists on any of our mineral properties. Further exploration beyond the scope of our planned exploration activities will be required before a final evaluation as to the economic and legal feasibility of mining of any of our properties is determined. There is no assurance that further exploration will result in a final evaluation that a commercially viable mineral deposit exists on any of our mineral properties.

We have no revenues, have achieved losses since inception, have been issued a going concern opinion by our auditors and rely upon the sale of our securities to fund operations. We will not generate revenues even if our exploration program indicates that a mineral deposit may exist on our mineral claims. Accordingly, we will dependent on future additional financing in order to maintain our operations and continue our exploration activities.

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on February 17, 1999 under the name of Braden Technologies Inc.. Effective March 26, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Lincoln Gold Corp., a private company incorporated in the State of Nevada, USA, on September 25, 2003. On April 6, 2004, the Company and its subsidiary, Lincoln Gold Corp., merged to form Lincoln Gold Corporation. Our principal offices are located at Suite 350, 885 Dunsmuir Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1N5. Our telephone number is 604-688-7377.

The Offering

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| The Issuer: | Lincoln Gold Corporation |
| Securities Offered: | <p>Up to 2,857,143 Units consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one share of common stock, • one-half of one non-transferable Class A Warrant and • one non-transferable Class B Warrant. <p>Each whole Class A Warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at \$0.50 per share and will expire on the date that is one year from the date of issuance.</p> <p>Each whole Class B Warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at \$1.35 per share and will expire on the date that is four years from the date of issuance.</p> <p>Each of the Class A Warrants and the Class B Warrants will be subject to accelerated exercise provisions, as described below under Description of Securities .</p> |

| | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Offering Price: | \$0.35 per Unit |
| Common Stock Outstanding as of April 4, 2006 | 41,915,000 shares of common stock |
| Number of Units Offered | Up to 2,857,143 Units |
| Number of Shares of Common Stock Outstanding After Offering, assuming no Class A or Class B Warrants have been exercised | 44,722,143 Shares |
| Number of Class A Warrants Outstanding After Offering, if all Units are sold | 1,428,572 Class A Warrants |
| Number of Class B Warrants Outstanding After Offering, if all Units are sold | 2,857,143 Class B Warrants |

| | |
|--|--|
| Number of Shares of Common Stock Outstanding Assuming Exercise of all of the Class A Warrants and Class B Warrants | 49,007,858 Shares |
| Use of Proceeds | We expect to use the net proceeds from this offering to fund the exploration of our mineral properties and for general corporate purposes. See Use of Proceeds. |
| Dividend Policy | We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund the development and growth of our business. Therefore, we do not currently anticipate paying cash dividends. |
| Market for Our Common Stock: | Our common stock is presently traded on the NASD Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol LGCP . |
| Risk Factors: | See Risk Factors and the other information in this prospectus for a discussion of the factors you should consider before deciding to invest in our common shares. |

Summary of Financial Data

The following consolidated financial data has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the period from inception (September 25, 2003) to December 31, 2005, together with the notes to our financial statements and the section of this prospectus entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operation":

Balance Sheets

| | December 31, 2005 (Audited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 132,806 | \$ 127,785 |
| Total Assets | \$ 151,436 | \$ 127,785 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 140,651 | \$ 371,744 |
| Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit) | \$ 10,785 | \$ (\$243,959) |

Statements of Operations

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 (Audited) | Year Ended December 31, 2004 (Audited) | From inception (September 25, 2003) to December 31, 2005 (Audited) |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| Revenue | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Expenses | \$ (1,318,543) | \$ (1,672,304) | \$ (3,007,166) |
| Net Loss for the Period | \$ (1,294,546) | \$ (1,691,351) | \$ (3,002,216) |

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this prospectus before investing in our common stock. If any of the following risks occur, our business, operating results and financial condition could be seriously harmed. The trading price of our common stock, when and if we trade at a later date, could decline due to any of these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related To Our Operating Results

If we do not obtain additional financing, our business plan will fail.

As of December 31, 2005, we had cash on hand of \$132,806 and working capital of \$3,457. Our business plan calls for us to spend approximately \$1,275,000 in connection with the exploration of our mineral claims during the next twelve months, the maintenance of our interests in our mineral claims and our general and administrative expenses during the next twelve months. Based on our cash and working capital position, we will require additional financing in the approximate amount of \$1,275,000 in order to complete our plan of operations for the next twelve months. We currently do not have any arrangements for financing and we may not be able to obtain financing when required. Obtaining additional financing would be subject to a number of factors, including the market price of gold. These factors may make the timing, amount, terms or conditions of additional financing unavailable to us.

If we are unable to maintain our interests in our Nevada mineral claims, then we will lose our interests in these mineral claims.

We are required to make substantial payments in order to maintain our interests in certain of our Nevada mineral claims. Over the next twelve months, we must make payments totalling \$65,000 in lease and option payments in order to maintain our interests in our Buffalo Valley, Hanna and Jenny Hill mineral properties. We anticipate that our joint venture partners for the Buffalo Valley and Jenny Hill mineral properties will make property payments totalling \$50,000 on our behalf, however there is no assurance that our joint venture partners will not drop their interests in these properties with the result that we will have to make these payments. Our inability to make these payments due to a lack of financing or our determination not to make these payments will result in our losing our interests in these claims. If we are not able to maintain our interests in our mineral claims, then we will not be able to carry out our plan of operations.

Because we have only recently commenced preliminary exploration of our Nevada mineral claims, we face a high risk of business failure and this could result in a total loss of your investment.

We have not begun the initial stages of exploration of our mineral claims, and thus have no way to evaluate the likelihood whether we will be able to operate our business successfully. To date, we have been involved primarily in organizational activities, acquiring interests in mineral claims and in conducting preliminary exploration of mineral claims. We have not earned any revenues and have not achieved profitability as of the date of this prospectus. Potential investors should be aware of the difficulties normally encountered by new mineral exploration companies and the high rate of failure of such enterprises. The likelihood of success must be considered in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, complications and delays encountered in connection with the exploration of the mineral properties that we plan to undertake. These potential problems include, but are not limited to, unanticipated problems relating to exploration and additional costs and expenses that may exceed current estimates. We have no history upon which to base any assumption as to the likelihood that our business will prove successful, and we can provide no assurance to investors that we will generate any operating revenues or ever achieve profitable operations. If we are unsuccessful in addressing these risks, our business will likely fail and you will lose your entire investment in this offering.

Because we do not have any revenues, we expect to incur operating losses for the foreseeable future.

We have never earned revenues and we have never been profitable. Prior to completing exploration on the mineral property, we anticipate that we will incur increased operating expenses without realizing any revenues. We therefore expect to incur significant losses into the foreseeable future. If we are unable to generate financing to continue the exploration of our mineral claims, we will fail and you will lose your entire investment in this offering.

We have yet to attain profitable operations and because we will need additional financing to fund our exploration activities, our accountants believe there is substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern

We have incurred a net loss of \$3,002,216 for the period from September 25, 2003 (inception) to December 31, 2005, and have no revenues to date. Our ability to continue the exploration of our mineral claims is dependent upon our ability to obtain financing. These factors raise substantial doubt that we will be able to continue as a going concern.

Our financial statements included with this prospectus have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. Our auditors have made reference to the substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern in their audit report on our audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005. If we are not able to achieve revenues, then we may not be able to continue as a going concern and our financial condition and business prospects will be adversely affected.

If our costs of exploration are greater than anticipated, then we will not be able to complete our planned exploration programs for our mineral claims without additional financing, of which there is no assurance that we would be able to obtain.

We are proceeding with the initial stages of exploration on our mineral claims. We have prepared budgets for our exploration programs. However, there is no assurance that our actual costs will not exceed the budgeted costs. Factors that could cause actual costs to exceed budgeted costs include increased prices due to competition for personnel and supplies during the Nevada summer exploration season, unanticipated problems in completing the exploration programs and delays experienced in completing the exploration program. Increases in exploration costs could result in us not being able to carry out our exploration programs without additional financing. There is no assurance that we would be able to obtain additional financing in this event.

Because of the speculative nature of exploration of mining properties, there is substantial risk that no commercially exploitable minerals will be found and our business will fail.

We are in the initial stages of exploration of our mineral claims, and thus have no way to evaluate the likelihood that we will be successful in establishing commercially exploitable reserves of gold or other valuable minerals on our mineral claims. Potential investors should be aware of the difficulties normally encountered by new mineral exploration companies and the high rate of failure of such enterprises. The search for valuable minerals as a business is extremely risky. We may not find commercially exploitable reserves of gold or copper in any of our mineral claims. Exploration for minerals is a speculative venture necessarily involving substantial risk. The expenditures to be made by us on our exploration programs may not result in the discovery of commercial quantities of ore. The likelihood of success must be considered in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, complications and delays encountered in connection with the exploration of the mineral properties that we plan to undertake. Problems such as unusual or unexpected formations and other conditions are involved in mineral exploration and often result in unsuccessful exploration efforts. In such a case, we would be unable to complete our business plan.

Because of the inherent dangers involved in mineral exploration, there is a risk that we may incur liability or damages as we conduct our business.

The search for valuable minerals involves numerous hazards. In the course of carrying out exploration of our mineral claims, we may become subject to liability for such hazards, including pollution, cave-ins and other hazards against which we cannot insure or against which we may elect not to insure. We currently have no such insurance nor do we expect to get such insurance for the foreseeable future. If a hazard were to occur, the costs of rectifying the hazard may exceed our asset value and cause us to liquidate all of our assets, resulting in the loss of your entire investment in this offering.

If we discover commercial reserves of precious metals on any of our mineral properties, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to successfully advance the mineral claims into commercial production.

Our mineral properties do not contain any known bodies of ore. If our exploration programs are successful in establishing ore of commercial tonnage and grade on any of our mineral claims, we will require additional funds in order to advance the mineral claims into commercial production. In such an event, we may be unable to obtain any such funds, or to obtain such funds on terms that we consider economically feasible, and you may lose your entire investment in this offering.

Because access to our mineral claims is often restricted by inclement weather, we may be delayed in our exploration and any future mining efforts.

Access to certain of our mineral claims may be restricted to the period between April and November of each year due to snow and storms in the area. Inclement weather may result in significant delays in exploration efforts and may increase the costs of exploration, with the result that we may not be able to complete our exploration programs within the anticipated time frames or within our anticipated budgets.

As we undertake exploration of our mineral claims, we will be subject to compliance with government regulation that may increase the anticipated time and cost of our exploration program.

There are several governmental regulations that materially restrict the exploration of minerals. We will be subject to the mining laws and regulations as contained in the Nevada Statutes and Nevada Administrative Code as we carry out our exploration program. We may be required to obtain work permits, post bonds and perform remediation work for any physical disturbance to the land in order to comply with these regulations. While our planned exploration program budgets for regulatory compliance, there is a risk that new regulations could increase our time and costs of doing business and prevent us from carrying out our exploration program.

If we do not find a joint venture partner for the continued exploration of our mineral claims, we may not be able to advance the exploration work.

We may try to enter into joint venture agreements with potential partners for the further exploration and possible production of our mineral claims, particularly where we believe drilling of a mineral claim is warranted. We would face competition from other junior mineral resource exploration companies if we attempt to enter into a joint venture agreement with a partner. The possible partner could have a limited ability to enter into joint venture agreements with junior exploration programs and will seek the junior exploration companies who have the properties that they deem to be the most attractive in terms of potential return and investment cost. In addition, if we entered into a joint venture agreement, we would likely assign a percentage of our interest in the mineral claims to the joint venture partner. If we are unable to enter into a joint venture agreement with a partner, we may not be able to complete certain exploration work on certain of our properties, including planned drilling.

Risks Relating To Our Common Stock

If we do not maintain an effective registration statement covering the warrants offered in our units, or comply with applicable state securities laws, you may not be able to exercise the warrants or you may be restricted from selling the underlying common stock.

In order for you to exercise the Class A Warrants and the Class B Warrants, the shares of common stock underlying them must be covered by an effective registration statement filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission unless an exemption from such requirements is otherwise available. If the issuance of shares is not exempt under state securities laws, the shares must be properly registered with state securities regulators. At present, we plan to maintain an effective registration statement when the Class A Warrants and the Class B Warrants are exercised. However, we cannot provide any assurance that state exemptions will be available, the state authorities will permit us to register the underlying shares, or that an effective registration statement will be in place at all relevant times. These factors may limit your ability to exercise the Class A Warrants and Class B Warrants unless an applicable registration exemption is available. Even if such an exemption is available, the underlying shares of common stock may be subject to regulatory resale restrictions that would effectively limit your ability to sell the shares. The Class A Warrants and the Class B Warrants are non-transferable.

Purchasers of shares of common stock offered in this offering will suffer an immediate dilution due to this offering.

Purchasers of the shares of common stock offered hereby will incur an immediate and substantial dilution in the net tangible book value per share of the shares of common stock from the initial public offering price. "Dilution" per share to new investors in this offering represents the difference between the amount per share paid by new investors for a share of our common stock and the as-adjusted, net tangible book value per common share immediately following our offering. Set forth under the heading "Dilution" in this prospectus, we have provided information to new investors, assuming the successful sale of our units assuming the sale of the maximum number of units. In these calculations, we have counted one share per unit but have not included any of the warrants included in the units. After giving effect to the sale of 2,857,143 units, assuming we sold the maximum number of units offered at an offering price of \$0.35 per unit, the as adjusted, net tangible book value of our common stock would have been \$960,785 or \$0.02 per share at December 31, 2005. Although these calculations show an immediate increase in the pro forma net tangible book value per common share of \$0.02 per share, they also disclose the immediate dilution per common share purchased by new investors of \$0.33 per Unit or 94%. See "Dilution" below.

Future sales of our common stock may depress our stock price thereby decreasing the value of your investment.

The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur. In addition, these factors could make it more difficult for us to raise funds through future offerings of common stock. There will be an aggregate of 44,722,143 shares of common stock outstanding immediately after this offering if the maximum amount is raised, assuming we sold the maximum number of units offered. All of the shares of common stock sold in the offering will be freely transferable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, except for any shares purchased by our affiliates, as defined in Rule 144 of the Securities Act.

Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the SEC's penny stock regulations and the NASD's sales practice requirements, which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-9 which generally defines "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and "accredited investors". The term "accredited investor" refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000, or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser, and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in and limit the marketability of our common stock.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the NASD has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low-priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, the NASD believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The NASD requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market for our shares.

Please read this prospectus carefully. You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. You should not assume that the information provided by the prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of this prospectus.

In the event that your investment in our shares is for the purpose of deriving dividend income or in expectation of an increase in market price of our shares from the declaration and payment of dividends, your investment

will be compromised because we do not intend to pay dividends.

We have never paid a dividend to our shareholders, and we intend to retain our cash for the continued development of our business. We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. As a result, your return on investment will be solely determined by your ability to sell your shares in a secondary market.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including statements regarding our capital needs, business plans and expectations. Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties regarding the market price of gold and copper, availability of funds, government regulations, operating costs, exploration costs, outcomes of exploration programs and other factors. Forward-looking statements are made, without limitation, in relation to operating plans, property exploration and development, availability of funds, environmental reclamation, operating costs and permit acquisition. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical facts may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may", "will", "should", "expect", "plan", "intend", "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "predict", "potential" or "continue", the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating these statements, you should consider various factors, including the risks outlined in this prospectus. These factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement. While these forward-looking statements, and any assumptions upon which they are based, are made in good faith and reflect our current judgment regarding our business plans, our actual results will almost always vary, sometimes materially, from any estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions or other future performance suggested herein. We do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results, except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States.

The safe harbour for forward-looking statements provided in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 does not apply to the offering made in this prospectus.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

We are offering up to 2,857,143 units at a price of \$0.35 per unit (the Offering). Each unit (each a Unit and together the Units) will consist of:

- (a) one share of our common stock (each a Share and together the Shares);
- (b) one half of one non-transferable Class A Warrant, each whole Class A Warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at a price of \$0.50 per share until 5:00 p.m. (New York time) on the date that is one year from the date of issuance (each a Class A Warrant and together the Class A Warrants); and
- (c) one non-transferable Class B Warrant, each whole Class B Warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at a price of \$1.35 per share until 5:00 p.m. (New York time) on the date that is four years from the date of issuance (each a Class B Warrant and together the Class B Warrants).

Each of the Class A Warrants and the Class B Warrants will be subject to an accelerated exercise period. If the closing price of our common stock is above \$0.55 per share for twenty consecutive trading days, then the expiry date for our Class A Warrants will be accelerated to the date that is thirty calendar days from the date that is the twentieth consecutive trading day above the price threshold. If the closing price of our common stock is above \$1.50 per share for twenty consecutive trading days, then the expiry date for the Class B Warrants will be accelerated to the date that is thirty calendar days from the date that is the twentieth consecutive trading day above the price threshold. Any warrants not exercised within the accelerated exercise period will expire.

Common Stock

Our authorized capital stock consists of 100,000,000 shares of common stock, with a par value of \$0.001 per share. As of April 4, 2006, there were 41,915,000 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding held by 89 shareholders of record.

Our common stock is entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders, including the election of directors. Except as otherwise required by law or as provided in any resolution adopted by our board of directors with respect to any series of preferred stock, the holders of our common stock will possess all voting power. Generally, all matters to be voted on by stockholders must be approved by a majority (or, in the case of election of directors, by a plurality) of the votes entitled to be cast by all shares of our common stock that are present in person or represented by proxy, subject to any voting rights granted to holders of any preferred stock. Holders of our common stock representing one-percent (1%) of our capital stock issued, outstanding and entitled to

vote, represented in person or by proxy, are necessary to constitute a quorum at any meeting of our stockholders. A vote by the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares is required to effectuate certain fundamental corporate changes such as liquidation, merger or an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation. Our Articles of Incorporation do not provide for cumulative voting in the election of directors.

The holders of shares of our common stock will be entitled to such cash dividends as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors from funds available therefor. See Dividend Policy.

The holders of shares of our common stock will be entitled to receive pro rata all of our assets available for distribution to such holders.

In the event of any merger or consolidation of our company with or into another company in connection with which shares of our common stock are converted into or exchangeable for shares of stock, other securities or property (including cash), all holders of our common stock will be entitled to receive the same kind and amount of shares of stock and other securities and property (including cash).

Holders of our common stock have no pre-emptive rights, no conversion rights and there are no redemption provisions applicable to our common stock.

DETERMINATION OF OFFERING PRICE

The offering price of \$0.35 per Unit has been determined arbitrarily based on the trading price of our common stock on the NASD Bulletin Board. The offering price does not have any relationship to any established criteria of value, such as book value or earning per share. Additionally, because we have no significant operating history and have not generated any revenues to date, the price of the common stock is not based on past earnings, nor is the price of the common stock indicative of the current market value of the assets owned by us. No valuation or appraisal has been prepared for our business and potential business expansion. Our common stock is presently traded on the NASD Bulletin Board.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We anticipate that the gross proceeds of the Offering will be \$1,000,000 if the maximum number of Units offered hereby are sold. We propose to use the proceeds from this Offering for the following business purposes and in the following order of priority:

| DESCRIPTION OF USE OF PROCEEDS | ESTIMATED USE OF PROCEEDS |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Exploration of the Buffalo Valley Property, Nevada | \$5,000 |
| 2. Exploration of the Hannah Property, Nevada | \$97,000 |
| 3. Exploration of the JDS Property, Nevada | \$133,000 |
| 4. Exploration of the Jenny Hill Property, Nevada | \$330,000 |
| 5. Reclamation of the Lincoln Flat Property, Nevada | \$15,000 |
| 6. Exploration of the La Bufa Property, Mexico | \$370,000 |
| Net Proceeds of the Offering: | \$950,000 |
| Expenses of the Offering (1) | \$50,000 |
| Gross Proceeds of the Offering: | \$1,000,000 |

(1) We anticipate expenses associated with the Offering, including legal, accounting and stock transfer agent expenses, will be approximately \$50,000.

If we sell less than all of the Units, then we have less funds available to fund our business operations. Our planned use of proceeds if we sell less than all of the Units is set forth below if 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of the Units offering being sold:

| Percentage of the Offering Completed: | If 25% of the Shares are Sold | If 50% of the Shares are Sold | If 75% of the Shares are Sold | If 100% of the Shares are Sold |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Buffalo Valley Property, Nevada | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| 2. Hannah Property, Nevada | \$8,000 | \$97,000 | \$97,000 | \$97,000 |
| 3. JDS Property, Nevada | \$9,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$133,000 |
| 4. Jenny Hill Property, Nevada | \$52,000 | \$120,000 | \$241,000 | \$330,000 |
| 5. Lincoln Flat Property, Nevada | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |
| 6. La Bufa Property, Mexico | \$111,000 | \$123,000 | \$252,000 | \$370,000 |
| Net Proceeds of the Offering: | \$200,000 | \$450,000 | \$700,000 | \$950,000 |
| Expenses of the Offering: | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| Gross Proceeds of the Offering: | \$250,000 | \$500,000 | \$750,000 | \$1,000,000 |

We may apply the proceeds of this Offering to the categories of expenses listed above in a manner that is different from the break-down of expenditures provided above. Factors that may cause us to re-allocate the proceeds of the Offering within these categories of expenditures are listed in the Use of Proceeds section include the following:

1. Our exploration expenses may be greater than anticipated;
2. We may determine to change our planned exploration programs on our mineral properties based on the results of our exploration activities, which may increase or decrease exploration expenses on any particular property;
3. Our general and administrative costs being greater than anticipated; and
4. The costs of this Offering being greater than anticipated.

The actual expenditures of the proceeds of the Offering within the categories of expenditures that we have provided will vary according to the expenditures deemed by us and our board of directors to be in the best interests of advancing the our business, based on the considerations described above and the amount of funds available to us. The actual expenditures will also vary from the estimated use of proceeds if less than all of the offered Units are sold.

Investors are referred to the description of our Plan of Operations under the section of this Prospectus entitled Description of Business for a more complete description of our capital requirements. See Risk Factors .

DILUTION

Investors who purchase the Units will suffer dilution as the offering price of the shares will exceed the per share net tangible book value of our common stock upon completion of the Offering. Our net tangible book value is the amount that results from subtracting our total liabilities and intangible assets from our total assets. The per share net tangible book value of our common stock is our net tangible book value divided by the number of shares of our common stock outstanding. Dilution that will be suffered by investors who purchase the Units is the difference between the offering price of the Shares comprising a part of the Units and the per share net tangible book value of our common stock upon completion of the Offering after giving effect to the receipt of the proceeds of the Offering. Dilution arises mainly as a result of our arbitrary determination of the offering price of the Units being offered. Dilution of the value of the Units purchased is also a result of the lower net tangible book value of the shares held by our existing stockholders.

Our net tangible book value prior to the Offering is stated below, with per share net tangible book value and the number of our shares of common stock outstanding. This information is presented based on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2005, being the date of our most recent balance sheet included with this Prospectus:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Net Tangible Book Value: | \$10,785 |
| Per Share Net Tangible Book Value: | \$0.00 per share |
| Total Number of Shares Outstanding: | 41,865,000 shares |

If we sell all Units offered at the offering price, our pro forma net tangible book value will be increased by \$950,000 to approximately \$960,785, being the proceeds of the Offering after deduction of expenses. See Use of Proceeds. Our net tangible book value after giving effect to the Offering if all Units are sold is stated below, with per share net tangible book value and the number of our shares of common stock outstanding. This information is presented on a pro forma basis based on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 after giving effect to our receipt of the net proceeds of the Offering and assumes no exercise of the Series A or Series B Warrants:

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Pro Forma Net Tangible Book Value: | \$960,785 |
| Pro Forma Per Share Net Tangible Book Value: | \$0.023 per share |
| Pro Forma Total Number of Shares Outstanding: | 44,722,143 shares |

As the pro forma per share net tangible book value is greater than the offering price, investors will suffer immediate dilution of approximately \$0.33 per share, or approximately 94%, if all Units are sold.

If less than the maximum number of Units are sold, dilution to participating investors will be higher. Dilution to participating investors will increase as the number of Units sold is reduced. The dilution to investors is illustrated below based on 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of the Units offered being sold.

| Percentage of the Offering Completed: | If 25% of the Units are Sold | If 50% of the Units are Sold | If 75% of the Units are Sold | If 100% of the Units are Sold |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Pro Forma Net Tangible Book Value: | \$210,785 | \$460,785 | \$760,785 | \$960,785 |
| | \$0.00 per share | \$0.01 per share | \$0.02 per share | |

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|------------------|
| Pro Forma Per Share Net Tangible Book Value: | | | | \$0.02 per share |
|--|--|--|--|------------------|

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Pro Forma Total Number of Shares Outstanding: | 42,579,286 shares | 43,293,571 shares | 44,007,857 shares | 44,722,143 shares |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Per Share Dilution to New Investors: | \$0.35 per share | \$0.34 per share | \$0.33 per share | \$0.33 per share |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Percentage Dilution to New Investors: | 100% | 97% | 94% | 94% |
|---------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION**The Offering**

We are offering up to 2,857,143 Units, as described above under Description of Securities .

Self-Underwritten Offering

Our officers and directors named below will be selling the common stock offered by us through this Prospectus:

| Name of Officer/ Director | Position |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Paul Saxton | Director, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer |
| Andrew Milligan | Director |
| Jeffrey L. Wilson | Vice-President - Exploration |

Our executive officers and directors will seek to sell our common stock in this Offering by contacting persons with whom they have a prior relationship and whom they believe will have an interest in the offering. These persons will be contacted through various methods, including mail, telephone and other means.

We will not be employing the services of an underwriter or placement agent in connection with this Offering. The common stock will be offered on a "best efforts" basis by our executive officers and directors without the payment of any commissions or other remuneration. In addition, we will not be paying any commissions or fees, directly or indirectly, to finder or dealer in connection with the solicitation of purchasers of our common stock being offered. We are therefore offering the shares on a self-underwritten basis.

We will rely on Rule 3a4-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which sets forth conditions under which a person associated with an issuer of securities may participate in the offering and not be deemed a broker-dealer. These conditions are as follows:

- (a) The person is not subject to a statutory disqualification, as that term is defined in Section 3(a)(39) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, at the of his participation;
- (b) The person is not compensated in connection with their participation by payment of commissions or other remuneration based either directly or indirectly on transactions in our common stock;
- (c) The person is not, at the time of his participation, an associated person of a broker-dealer; and
- (d) The person primarily performs, or is intended primarily to perform at the end of the offering, substantial duties for or on behalf of the issuer otherwise than in connection with transactions in securities; and has not been an associated person of a broker -dealer within the preceding twelve months and does not participate in offering and selling securities for any issue more than once every twelve months other than in reliance on Section 3(a)4-1.

Our executive officers and our directors satisfy all of the foregoing conditions of Rule 3(a)4-1.

No Minimum Number of Shares to be Sold

There is no minimum number of Units required to be sold in this Offering. There will be no arrangements for the return of funds to subscribers if all of the Units are not sold.

Term of the Offering

The Offering will be conducted on a continuous basis until all shares being offered are subscribed for or until the offering is terminated by us, or until March 31, 2006, whichever first occurs. We reserve the right to terminate this Offering at any time or to extend this Offering for an additional ninety (90) day period at our option without notice.

Investment Procedure

In order to subscribe for Units, an investor must complete and execute the form of subscription agreement attached to this Prospectus and deliver the executed subscription agreement to us together with payment of the purchase price for the Units payable to Lincoln Gold Corporation by cashier's or certified check.

We may reject or accept any subscription in whole or in part at our discretion. We may close the Offering or any portion of the Offering, without notice to subscribers. We may immediately use the proceeds obtained from the Offering for the uses set forth in the Use of Proceeds section of this prospectus, as described above.

Upon our acceptance of a subscription agreement, we will deliver to each subscriber a copy of the fully executed agreement evidencing the number of shares subscribed for. If we do not accept any subscription or any portion of a subscription, the amount of the subscription not accepted will be returned by us to the subscriber. We will deliver a share certificate representing the Units purchased within a reasonable period following the acceptance of any subscription.

There is no minimum investment or minimum number of shares of common stock that must be sold under this Offering. Accordingly, we may accept any subscription from a subscriber notwithstanding that the total number of Units offered has been sold.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We currently are not party to any material legal proceedings and, to our knowledge, no such proceedings are threatened or contemplated.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS

Our current executive officers and directors are:

| Name | Age | Position |
|---------------------------|-----|---|
| Andrew F. B. Milligan (1) | 83 | Director and Chairman of the Board |
| Paul F. Saxton (1) | 59 | Director, President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer |
| James Chapman | 52 | Director |
| Andrew Bowering | 46 | Director |
| Steven Chi (1) | 67 | Director |
| Jeffrey L. Wilson | 57 | Vice-President - Exploration |

(1) Member of our Audit Committee.

Set forth below is a brief description of the background and business experience of each of our executive officers and directors for the past five years:

Paul F. Saxton, President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Director

Mr. Saxton was appointed as a director of the Company on March 26, 2004. Our board of directors also appointed Mr. Saxton as our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer as of March 26, 2004. Paul Saxton is a mining engineer who also holds an MBA from the University of Western Ontario. He has been active in the mining industry

since 1969, holding various positions including mining engineer, mine superintendent, President and CEO of numerous Canadian mining companies. Following 10 years with Cominco, Paul became Vice President and President of Mascot Gold Mines Ltd., initially working on the design and construction of the Nickel Plate mine in BC. Subsequently Paul became a Vice-President of Corona Corporation where he was responsible for western operations and exploration for the company and was instrumental in the re-opening of the Nickel Plate. In 1989,

Paul was appointed Senior Vice President of Viceroy Resource Corporation where he was responsible for obtaining financing and the construction and operations of the Castle Mountain mine in California. As President of Loki Gold Corporation and Baja Gold Inc, Paul was responsible for bringing the Brewery Creek Gold mine into production. Following his departure from Viceroy in 1998, Paul became President of Standard Mining Corp., organizing the company and supervising its exploration activities until 2001, when Standard Mining Corp. was merged with Doublestar Resources Ltd.

Andrew F. B. Milligan, Chairman and Director

Mr. Andrew Milligan was appointed as one of our directors on March 26, 2004. Our board of directors also appointed Mr. Milligan as our chairman as of March 26, 2004. Mr. Milligan is a business executive who has concentrated on mining ventures over the past 25 years. From 1984 to 1986 he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Glamis Gold Ltd. In November 1986 he was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Cornucopia Resources Ltd. In 1998 and 1999 Cornucopia disposed of its gold mining interests and subsequently merged with three other companies to form Quest Investment Corporation. Mr. Milligan was a director of Quest until June, 2003. He is currently a director of several mining companies trading on both the American Stock Exchange and the TSX Venture Exchange.

James Chapman, Director

Mr. Chapman was appointed as one of our directors on April 12, 2004. Mr. Chapman graduated from the University of British Columbia in 1976 with a B.Sc. Geology degree and has focused on mineral exploration primarily for junior mining companies and consulting groups. This experience has incorporated all aspects of the industry from property evaluation, project generation through implementation and report preparation for owners, clients and regulatory authorities. Since 1982 he has operated as an independent consulting geologist on projects including precious and base metals, uranium, diamonds and phosphate, from reconnaissance level projects to deposit definition drill programs. He is a Qualified Person under Canadian regulations, as defined by National Instrument Policy 43.101.

Andrew W. Bowering, Director

Mr. Andrew Bowering was appointed as a director of the Company on August 20, 2004. Mr. Bowering is a corporate administrator with 17 years experience in the financing and management of exploration, development and start-up companies. He has held senior executive positions and directorships in numerous public companies involved in mineral exploration in Canada, the United States, Mexico and China. Mr. Bowering has directly raised over \$25 million for mineral exploration and development. He has led several large acquisition programs in Northwest British Columbia, Alberta and Central Mexico. In addition to mineral exploration activities, Mr. Bowering was a founder and principle of two publicly traded consumer product companies that operated worldwide. He has an in-depth knowledge of securities markets, regulatory affairs and investor/public relations.

From 2000 until 2003 Mr. Bowering was employed as an investor relations consultant/employee with Doublestar Resources Ltd., a mineral resource company which is publicly traded on the TSX Venture Exchange. Mr. Bowering has been the President and CEO of Pinnacle Mines Ltd. since 2003. Pinnacle is a publicly traded exploration, mine development company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange and has properties in Canada and China.

Steven Chi, Director

Mr. Chi was appointed as a director of the Company on August 20, 2004. Mr. Chi is a professional mining engineer with a career as an executive of the international mining and construction giant, Washington Group International (formerly Morrison Knudsen Company). He has traveled and worked worldwide, including the Americas, Asia and Europe. Mr. Chi currently serves on the board of administrators for a large lignite mine and power plant complex in Leipzig, Germany. Mr. Chi has extensive experience in all aspects of mining and development including gold and

precious metals mining and previously served on the board of NASDAQ-listed MK Gold Company.

Jeffrey L. Wilson, Vice-President - Exploration

Mr. Wilson has been appointed as our Vice President - Exploration on May 25, 2004. Mr. Wilson has twenty-seven years of professional exploration experience in the United States, Mexico and Central America with emphasis on gold. He served as Director of Exploration for Echo Bay Exploration Inc. for eleven years, first in western U.S. and later in Mexico and Central America. He earlier served as Exploration Manager, Western U.S., with Tenneco Minerals Company, with most projects in Nevada. Mr. Wilson earned his MSc. in Geology from the University of Southern California.

We also have consulting relationships with other geologists and persons that are included in our projects and properties from time to time.

TERMS OF OFFICE

Our directors are elected to hold office until the next annual meeting of our shareholders and until their respective successors have been elected and qualified. Our executive officers are appointed by our board of directors to hold office until their successors are appointed.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

We have an audit committee of our board of directors comprised of Andrew Milligan, our chairman, Steven Chi and Paul Saxton, our president, chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Mr. Saxton is not independent of our management.

At present, there is no person on our board of directors who would qualify as an audit committee expert. Accordingly, we anticipate that any audit committee that is appointed by our board of directors will not include an audit committee expert unless a new director who can meet these criteria is appointed to our board of directors.

CODE OF ETHICS

We have presently not adopted a code of ethics due to the fact that we are in the early stage of our operations and have only recently acquired our mineral properties. We have received a draft code of ethics prepared by our legal counsel for our review and consideration. Our board of directors is currently reviewing this draft code of ethics and anticipates adopting a code of ethics during the current fiscal year.

FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS

There are no family relationships among our directors or officers.

INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Our directors, executive officers and control persons have not been involved in any of the following events during the past five years:

1. any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
2. any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);
3. being subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; or
4. being found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the number of our common shares owned beneficially as of April 4, 2006 by: (i) each person (including any group) known to us to own more than five percent (5%) of our common stock, (ii) each of our directors and by each of our executive officers, and (iii) our executive officers and directors as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, the shareholders listed possess sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown.

| Title of Class | Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares of Common Stock | Percentage of Common Stock⁽¹⁾ |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Directors and Officers | | | |
| Common Stock | Paul F. Saxton, Director, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer | 5,930,000 ⁽²⁾ | 14.0% |
| Common Stock | Andrew F.B. Milligan, Director | 1,930,000 ⁽³⁾ | 4.6% |
| Common Stock | James Chapman, Director | 900,000 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2.1% |
| Common Stock | Andrew Bowering, Director | Nil | Nil% |
| Common Stock | Steven Chi, Director | 750,000 ⁽⁵⁾ | 1.8% |
| Common Stock | Jeffrey Wilson, Vice President Exploration | 1,180,000 ⁽⁶⁾ | 2.8% |
| Common Stock | All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (6 persons) | 10,690,000⁽⁷⁾ | 24.7% |
| 5% Stockholders | | | |
| Common Stock | Joe Eberhard Dorfstrasse #15 CH 8903, Birmensdorf Switzerland | 3,000,000 shares Direct | 7.2% |
| Common Stock | Michael Baybak ⁽⁸⁾ Suite 1200 750 West Pender Street Vancouver, B.C. | 2,500,000 shares Indirect | 6.0% |
| Common Stock | Sprott Asset Management Inc. ⁽⁹⁾ Suite 2700, South Tower, Royal Bank Plaza, Toronto, Ontario M5J 2J1 Canada | 3,400,000 shares | 7.8% |

- (1) Under Rule 13d-3, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares: (i) voting power, which includes the power to vote, or to direct the voting of shares; and (ii) investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of shares. Certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire the shares (for example, upon exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of these acquisition

rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in this table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual ownership or voting power with respect to the number of shares of common stock actually outstanding on March 24, 2006. As of March 24, 2006, there were 41,915,000 shares issued and outstanding.

- (2) Consists of 5,500,000 shares held by Mr. Saxton and 430,000 shares that can be acquired by Mr. Saxton upon exercise of options to purchase shares held by Mr. Saxton within 60 days of the date hereof.
- (3) Consists of 1,500,000 shares held by Mr. Milligan and 430,000 shares that can be acquired by Mr. Milligan upon exercise of options to purchase shares held by Mr. Milligan within 60 days of the date hereof.

- (4) Consists of 700,000 shares held by Mr. Chapman and 200,000 shares that can be acquired by Mr. Chapman upon exercise of options to purchase shares held by Mr. Chapman within 60 days of the date hereof.
- (5) Consists of 750,000 shares held by Mr. Chi.
- (6) Consists of 750,000 shares held by Mr. Wilson directly and 430,000 shares that can be acquired by Mr. Wilson upon exercise of options to purchase shares held by Mr. Wilson within 60 days of the date hereof.
- (7) Consists of 9,200,000 shares held by our directors and executive officers and 1,490,000 shares that can be acquired by our directors and executive officers upon exercise of options to purchase shares held by our directors and executive officers within 60 days of the date hereof.
- (8) Windsor Capital Corporation owns directly 2,500,000 shares in the capital of the Company. Michael Baybak beneficially owns a 100% interest in Windsor Capital Corporation.
- (9) Consists of 1,700,000 shares held by Sprott Asset Management Inc. and 1,700,000 shares issuable upon exercise of 1,700,000 share purchase warrants held by Sprott Asset Management Inc. which are exercisable within 60 days hereof.

Changes in Control

We are unaware of any contract, or other arrangement or provision of our Articles or by-laws, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control of our company.

LEGAL MATTERS

Lang Michener, Barristers and Solicitors, our independent legal counsel, has provided an opinion on the validity of the shares of our common stock that are the subject of this prospectus.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus and registration statement have been audited by Amisano Hanson, Chartered Accountants and by Manning Elliott, LLP, Chartered Accountants, independent public accounting firms, to the extent and for the periods set forth in their respective reports appearing elsewhere herein and in the registration statement. These consolidated financial statements are included in reliance upon the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

INTERESTS OF NAMED EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

No expert or counsel named in this prospectus as having prepared or certified any part of this prospectus or having given an opinion upon the validity of the securities being registered or upon other legal matters in connection with the registration or offering of the common stock was employed on a contingency basis, or had, or is to receive, in connection with the offering, a substantial interest, direct or indirect, in the registrant, nor was any such person connected with the registrant as a promoter, managing or principal underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer, or employee.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We are a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) and we file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. You may read and copy any material that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission at the public reference room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference room. The Securities and Exchange Commission also maintains a web site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy statements and information regarding issuers that file electronically with the Commission. This prospectus is part of a registration statement on Form SB-2 that we filed with the SEC.

The registration statement contains more information than this prospectus regarding us and the securities offered, including certain exhibits. You can obtain a copy of the registration statement from the SEC at any address listed above or from the SEC's Internet site.

DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION POSITION ON INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES

Our directors and officers are indemnified as provided by the Nevada Revised Statutes, our Articles of Incorporation and our Bylaws.

We have been advised that, in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities is asserted by one of our directors, officers, or controlling persons in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our legal counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit the question of whether such indemnification is against public policy to a court of appropriate jurisdiction. We will then be governed by the court's decision.

ORGANIZATION WITHIN LAST FIVE YEARS

Incorporation

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada as Braden Technologies, Inc. on February 17, 1999. We have been engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties since our inception.

Share Split

We completed a four-for-one split of our common stock effective March 10, 2004. As a result of this stock-split, our authorized capital increased from 25,000,000 shares to 100,000,000 shares of common stock. Concurrent with our stock split, the number of our issued and outstanding shares increased from 2,850,000 shares to 11,400,000 shares.

Acquisition of Lincoln Gold

We completed the acquisition of Lincoln Gold Corp., (Lincoln Gold) a Nevada corporation effective March 26, 2004. This acquisition was completed by our acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of Lincoln Gold from the former shareholders of Lincoln Gold. On closing of the acquisition, we issued 24,000,000 shares of our common stock to the shareholders of Lincoln Gold. As a result of this issuance, the number of our issued and outstanding shares increased from 11,400,000 shares to 35,400,000 shares, of which approximately 67.80% was owned by the former shareholders of Lincoln Gold upon the completion of the acquisition.

Merger with Lincoln Gold

Subsequent to our acquisition of Lincoln Gold, we merged with Lincoln Gold in a parent/ subsidiary merger in April 2004 under Chapter 92A of the Nevada Revised Statutes. We completed the change of our name from Braden Technologies Inc. to Lincoln Gold Corporation as part of this merger process.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The information in this prospectus contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including statements regarding our capital needs, business plans and expectations. Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties regarding the market price of gold, availability of funds, government regulations, common share prices, operating costs, capital costs, outcomes of ore reserve development and other factors. Forward-looking statements are made, without limitation, in relation to operating plans, property exploration and development, availability of funds, environmental reclamation, operating costs and permit acquisition. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical facts may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking

statements by terminology such as may , will , should , expect , plan , intend , anticipate , believe , e potential or continue , the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating these statements, you should consider various factors, including the risks outlined below, and, from time to time, in other reports we file with the SEC. These factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update these statements, or disclose any difference between our actual results and those reflected in these statements. The information constitutes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

CORPORATE ORGANIZATION

Incorporation

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada as Braden Technologies, Inc. on February 17, 1999. We have been engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties since our inception.

OVERVIEW

We are engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties in the State of Nevada. Our plan of operations for the next twelve months is to conduct exploration of our mineral properties in the State of Nevada.

We are an exploration stage company. All of our projects are at the exploration stage and there is no assurance that any of our mining claims contain a commercially viable ore body. We plan to undertake further exploration of our properties. We anticipate that we will require additional financing in order to pursue full exploration of these claims. We do not have sufficient financing to undertake full exploration of our mineral claims at present and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain the necessary financing.

There is no assurance that a commercially viable mineral deposit exists on any of our mineral properties. Further exploration beyond the scope of our planned exploration activities will be required before a final evaluation as to the economic and legal feasibility of mining of any of our properties is determined. There is no assurance that further exploration will result in a final evaluation that a commercially viable mineral deposit exists on any of our mineral properties.

MINERAL PROPERTIES AND PLAN OF OPERATIONS

We hold interests in five groups of mineral properties, with four properties located in Nevada and one property located in Mexico, as described below:

| Name of Property | Location |
|-------------------------|--|
| Buffalo Valley Property | Humboldt, Lander & Pershing Counties, Nevada |
| Hannah Property | Churchill County, Nevada |
| JDS Property | Eureka County, Nevada |
| Jenny Hill Property | Mineral & NYE Counties, Nevada |
| La Bufa | Mexico |

Our plan of operations is to carry out exploration of our mineral properties. Our specific exploration plan for each of our mineral properties, together with information regarding the location and access, history of operations, present condition and geology of each of our properties, is presented in the section of this prospectus entitled Description of Properties. All of our exploration programs are preliminary in nature in that their completion will not result in a determination that any of our properties contains commercially exploitable quantities of mineralization.

Our exploration programs will be directed by our management and will be supervised by Mr. Jeff Wilson, our vice-president of exploration. We will engage contractors to carry out our exploration programs under Mr. Wilson's supervision. Contractors that we plan to engage include project geologists, geochemical sampling crews and drilling companies, each according to the specific exploration program on each property. Our budgets for our exploration programs are set forth in the section of this prospectus entitled Description of Properties. We plan to solicit bids from drilling companies prior to selecting any drilling company to complete a drilling program. We anticipate paying normal industry rates for reverse-circulation drilling.

We plan to complete our exploration programs within the periods specified in the section of this prospectus entitled Description of Properties. Key factors that could delay completion of our exploration programs beyond the projected timeframes include the following.

- (a) Poor availability of drill rigs due to high demand in Nevada and Mexico;
- (b) Delays caused by permitting and bonding with the US Bureau of Land Management with respect to drilling programs;
- (c) Our inability to identify a joint venture partner and conclude a joint venture agreement where we anticipate a joint venture will be required due to the high costs of a drilling program;
- (d) Adverse weather, including heavy snow; and
- (e) Our inability to obtain sufficient funding.

Key factors that could cause our exploration costs to be greater than anticipated include the following:

- (a) adverse drilling conditions, including caving ground, lost circulation, the presence of artesian water, stuck drill steel and adverse weather precluding drill site access;
- (b) increased costs for contract geologists and geochemical sampling crews due to increased demand in Nevada; and
- (c) increased drill rig and crew rental costs due to high demand in Nevada and Mexico.

Our board of directors will make determinations as to whether to proceed with the additional exploration of our Nevada and Mexico mineral properties based on the results of the preliminary exploration that we undertake. In completing these determinations, we will make an assessment as to whether the results of the preliminary exploration are sufficiently positive to enable us to achieve the financing that would be necessary for us to proceed with more advanced exploration.

We may consider entering into joint venture arrangements on several of our mineral properties, as noted in the section of this prospectus entitled Description of Properties, to provide the required funding to pursue drilling and advanced exploration of our mineral claims. If we entered into a joint venture arrangement, we would likely have to assign a

percentage of our interest in our mineral claims to the joint venture partner. The assignment of the interest would be conditional upon contribution by the joint venture partner of capital to enable the advanced exploration on the mineral properties to proceed. We are presently in the process of attempting to locate a joint venture partner for our mineral claims, but we have not concluded any joint venture agreements to date. There is no assurance that any third party would enter into a joint venture agreement with us in order to fund exploration of our mineral claims.

We plan to continue exploration of our mineral claims for so long as the results of the geological exploration that we complete indicate the further exploration of our mineral claims is recommended and we are able to obtain the

additional financing necessary to enable us to continue exploration. We have renewed all of our Nevada mineral claims by making the required filings with the Bureau of Land Management by September 1, 2005. We further plan to renew all of our mineral claims by making the required filings with the Bureau of Land Management by September 1, 2006 except where we determine to abandon exploration of any mineral claim prior to September 1, 2006. All exploration activities on our mineral claims are presently preliminary exploration activities. Advanced exploration activities, including the completion of comprehensive drilling programs, will be necessary before we are able to complete any feasibility studies on any of our mineral properties. If our exploration activities result in an indication that our mineral claims contain potentially commercial exploitable quantities of gold, then we would attempt to complete feasibility studies on our property to assess whether commercial exploitation of the property would be commercially feasible. There is no assurance that commercial exploitation of our mineral claims would be commercially feasible even if our initial exploration programs show evidence of gold mineralization.

If we determine not to proceed with further exploration of any of our mineral claims due to results from geological exploration that indicate that further exploration is not recommended or due to our lack of financing, we will attempt to acquire additional interests in new mineral resource properties. Due to our limited finances, there is no assurance that we would be able to acquire an interest in a new property that merits further exploration. If we were to acquire an interest in a new property, then our plan would be to conduct resource exploration of the new property. In any event, we anticipate that our acquisition of a new property and any exploration activities that we would undertake will be subject to our achieving additional financing, of which there is no assurance.

PRIOR EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES

A summary of our exploration activities on properties that have been discontinued prior to January 1, 2005 is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Lincoln Flat Property

We previously held an option to acquire a 100% interest in the claims comprising the Lincoln Flat project, subject to a net smelter royalty, pursuant an option agreement dated December 24, 2003 between us and Larry and Susan McIntosh of Gardnerville, Nevada, as optionors. The Lincoln Flat property is comprised of twenty-seven (27) unpatented lode claims covering approximately 540 acres (0.84 sq miles) in Lyon and Douglas Counties Nevada. We had the option to acquire a 100% interest in the Lincoln Flat property by making aggregate payments to the optionors in the amount of \$210,000.

We commenced field exploration work on the Lincoln Flat property during the first quarter of 2005 with the objective of further exploring a gold-hematite breccia target and a fracture-controlled gold porphyry target. This field work included a soil sampling and rock-chip sampling program.

We submitted a Notice of Intent to Operate and Reclamation Bond to the U.S. Bureau of Land Management with the objective of drill testing the two target areas in June 2005. Permitting was approved by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. We drilled nine reverse-circulation drill holes during the summer of 2005 for a total footage of 5,145 ft. Drilling was conducted to test various gold soil anomalies and geologic targets. While scattered intercepts of gold were encountered, the results did not meet our expectations. As a result, we terminated the option agreement and the property has been returned to the owner. We have no further liabilities or obligations with respect to either the Lincoln Flat Project or the option agreement other than to complete approximately \$15,000 of reclamation work relating to the drilling that we completed. This reclamation work is required under our drilling permit.

New Opportunities

During 2005, we continued to review new prospective gold exploration opportunities in Nevada, Utah, Arizona, California, and Mexico. We plan to continue to review new opportunities on a case-by-case basis.

COMPETITION

We are a junior mineral resource exploration company. We compete with other mineral resource exploration companies for financing and for the acquisition of new mineral properties. Many of the mineral resource exploration companies with whom we compete have greater financial and technical resources than those available to us. Accordingly, these competitors may be able to spend greater amounts on acquisitions of mineral properties of merit, on exploration of their mineral properties and on development of their mineral properties. In addition, they may be able to afford more geological expertise in the targeting and exploration of mineral properties. This competition could result in competitors having mineral properties of greater quality and interest to prospective investors who

may finance additional exploration and development. This competition could adversely impact on our ability to achieve the financing necessary for us to conduct further exploration of our mineral properties.

We will also compete with other junior mineral exploration companies for financing from a limited number of investors that are prepared to make investments in junior mineral exploration companies. The presence of competing junior mineral exploration companies may impact on our ability to raise additional capital in order to fund our exploration programs if investors are of the view that investments in competitors are more attractive based on the merit of the mineral properties under investigation and the price of the investment offered to investors.

We will also compete with other junior and senior mineral companies for available resources, including, but not limited to, professional geologists, camp staff, helicopter or float planes, mineral exploration supplies and drill rigs.

GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

We will be required to obtain work permits from the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for any exploration work on our Nevada mineral properties that results in a physical disturbance to the land. We will not be required to obtain a work permit for any phase of our proposed mineral exploration programs that does not involve any physical disturbance to the mineral claims, such as data compilation, field work and geochemical surveys. We will be required to obtain work permits for all drilling operations that we plan to conduct on our mineral properties. Prior to commencing drilling operations on any of our properties, we must submit a Notice of Intent to Operate to the BLM and post a bond as security for our obligation to complete reclamation activities. We will be required by the Bureau of Land Management to undertake remediation work on any work that results in physical disturbance to the mineral claims, including drilling programs. We estimate that the cost of remediation work for our drilling programs will be approximately \$25,000 for each drilling program. The estimated amount of remediation work is included within our budgets for our exploration programs. The actual amount of reclamation cost will vary according to the degree of physical disturbance.

We have made all current Bureau of Land Management filings for our Nevada properties. All claims are in good standing until September 1, 2006. Applicable county fees have also been paid.

The La Bufa property is an exploration concession granted by a branch of the Mexican government and is for a three year term. Thereafter, the La Bufa property may be converted into an exploitation concession that would have a term of fifty years. The La Bufa property is presently beginning the second year of the term of its exploration concession. An annual fee of \$1.25 pesos per hectare is due to the Mexican federal government. The net area of the La Bufa exploration concession is 1040.75 hectares, thereby requiring an annual payment of \$1300.94 pesos.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURES

We have not spent any amounts on research and development activities since our inception. Our planned expenditures on our exploration programs are summarized under the section of this prospectus entitled Description of Properties.

EMPLOYEES

We have two employees, namely Paul Saxton, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, and Jeffrey Wilson, our vice-president of exploration. We carry out our exploration programs through contracts with third parties, including geologists, engineers, drilling companies.

SUBSIDIARIES

We do not have any subsidiaries.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

We maintain our head office located at Suite 350 885 Dunsmuir Street, Vancouver, B.C., V6C 1N5. These premises are located at the business premises of our president, Mr. Paul Saxton. We pay a proportionate share of rent and administrative expenses associated with these premises.

Our operations office is located at 325 Tahoe Drive, Carson City, Nevada, 89703. Our operations office is located in the home of Mr. Jeff Wilson, our vice-president of exploration. These premises are provided by Mr. Wilson at no cost to us.

Our current four groups of mineral properties located in the State of Nevada are described below:

BUFFALO VALLEY PROPERTY

1. Location and Access

The Buffalo Valley property is located in north-central Nevada, approximately 25 miles west of the small town of Battle Mountain, Nevada in Humboldt, Lander, and Pershing Counties. Access is good via US Interstate 80 to the north and numerous dirt and gravel ranch and mine roads. A map showing the location of and access to the Buffalo Valley property is presented below:

2. Ownership Interest

We have acquired a twenty year lease of the two hundred sixty-eight (268) unpatented lode claims that comprise the Buffalo Valley Property. We acquired our lease pursuant to a mining lease agreement dated July 9, 2004 between us and Nevada North Resources (U.S.A.), Inc., the underlying owner of the property (Nevada North). We paid to \$10,000 to Nevada North upon execution of the lease agreement. We are obligated to make the following advance minimum royalty payments to Nevada North in order to maintain our leasehold interest in the Buffalo Valley Property:

| Date of Payment | Amount of Advance Minimum Royalty |
|------------------------|--|
|------------------------|--|

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| July 9, 2005 (payment made) | \$20,000 |
| July 9, 2006 | \$20,000 |
| July 9, 2007 | \$40,000 |

Page 30

| Date of Payment | Amount of Advance Minimum Royalty |
|-----------------------------|---|
| July 9, 2008 | \$40,000 |
| July 9, 2009 | \$50,000 |
| July 9, 2010 | \$50,000 |
| July 9, 2011 | \$60,000 |
| July 9, 2012 | \$60,000 |
| July 9, 2013 | \$70,000 |
| July 9, 2014 | \$70,000 |
| Each Subsequent Anniversary | \$80,000, subject to adjustment for inflation increases with the beginning index being the index published for April 2015 |

We have committed to a two year option on the claims made up of the initial payment and the first year anniversary payment. Thereafter, Nevada North will be entitled to terminate if we do not make any subsequent payment. We will not be responsible or liable for advance royalty payments due subsequent to termination or expiration of the lease agreement.

In addition, we are obligated to pay to Nevada North a net smelter return (NSR) equal to a percentage of Net Revenue as defined and calculated under the lease agreement as follows:

| Price of Gold | Amount of NSR, as a percentage of Net Revenue |
|---|--|
| \$375 or less per ounce | 3.0% |
| More than \$375 but less than \$474 per ounce | 4.0% |
| \$474 or more per ounce | 5.0% |

The initial term of the lease is twenty years from July 9, 2004, subject to our making the required payments to Nevada North. The term of the lease will remain in effect thereafter for so long as mining, processing, construction of mine facilities, development or ore reserves or exploration activities continue on the Buffalo Valley Property or adjacent properties that we own or control.

The lease agreement entitles us to carry out mineral exploration of the Buffalo Valley Property during the term of the lease. We are obligated to pay for all Bureau of Land Management and county maintenance fees required in order to maintain the claims comprising the Buffalo Valley Property during the term of the lease. We do not have any minimum work or exploration requirements under the lease.

We completed the \$20,000 payment due pursuant to our lease agreement for the Buffalo Valley property to Nevada North Resources (U.S.A.), Inc. prior to the first anniversary, as required by the agreement. We have also paid all current BLM and County fees in the amount of \$35,866.50 that were required by October 1, 2006. We are not obligated to complete any exploration expenditures in order to maintain our lease interest in the Buffalo Valley property.

3. History of Operations

The Buffalo Valley Property and adjacent areas have been explored over the past 10 years by Uranerz, Cameco, Nevada North, Homestake, Anglo Gold, and Newcrest. Geophysical work and some drilling were conducted by

Uranerz/Cameco and Anglo Gold and perhaps others. Under Homestake's control, exploration of the property was advanced by assimilating the large data base and defining three shear zone targets along the Buffalo Valley axial fault. The targets were never drilled because Barrick bought out Homestake and the property was returned to Nevada North.

The most comprehensive geological report in our possession is an exploration drilling proposal prepared for Homestake which recommended the drilling of 10 exploration holes with attending budget. The drilling program

was approved by Homestake's senior management but the holes were never drilled due to the acquisition of Homestake Mining by Barrick.

We also have obtained several CD disks containing geological and geophysical data, including important information from Anglo Gold. We are in the process of organizing and evaluating this information.

4. Present Condition of the Property and Proposed Exploration Program

The Buffalo Valley Property is in the early stage of exploration and presently contains no known gold or silver resources. There is no plant or equipment on the Buffalo Valley Property. The property consists of barren land with no improvements.

We executed a letter to intent to joint venture the Buffalo Valley Property with Agnico-Eagle (USA) Ltd., effective July 26, 2005. Under the terms of the letter agreement, Agnico-Eagle is obligated to keep the property in good standing with the BLM and local Counties and to make the underlying payments to the Owner, Nevada North Resources (USA), Inc. Upon completing US\$3.0 million in work expenditures on the Property, Agnico-Eagle will have earned a 60% interest in the property and a joint venture will be formed. Agnico-Eagle will then have 180 days to elect to earn an additional 10% by preparing and presenting to the Company a feasibility study for the development of a mine on the property. On completion of the feasibility study, Agnico-Eagle will have earned a 70% interest in the property. If the joint venture decides to develop a mine, Agnico-Eagle may loan or help arrange financing for our portion of the required capital in consideration of an additional 5% interest in the joint venture. Exercise of this option would result in Agnico-Eagle holding 75% and us holding 25% of the joint venture. If Agnico-Eagle elects to drop the Property before June 1 in any given year, the lease payment obligations and government claim holding costs shall revert back to us.

The current exploration plans for the Buffalo Valley Property is set forth below. All work will be conducted by Agnico-Eagle and the Company will have no involvement in any exploration contractual work. Our vice-president of exploration will monitor all work completed by Agnico-Eagle.

| Stage of Exploration | Anticipated Timetable for Completion | Estimated Cost of Completion |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Possible geophysical programs. | First quarter of 2006 through the second quarter of 2006 | \$1,500 |
| Phase one exploration drilling on structural intersections with magnetic lows and evaluation of exploration data | First quarter of 2006 through the fourth quarter of 2006 | \$250,000 |

It is possible that Agnico-Eagle will complete their phase one drilling and data evaluation and return the property to us prior to June 1, 2006. If so, we would be obligated to make the annual claim maintenance payments to the BLM and local Counties to keep the property in good standing.

Agnico-Eagle will be required to submit a Notice of Intent to Operate plus post a Reclamation Bond (est. \$25,000 cash bond) prior to undertaking any drilling on the Buffalo Valley property.

5. Geology

The Buffalo Valley Property lies within the northern portion of the Battle Mountain-Eureka Gold Trend in the broad, north-northeast-trending Buffalo Valley. Although much of the bedrock in Buffalo Valley is concealed by alluvium,

past exploration drilling has revealed favourable stratigraphy for Carlin-type, Cove-type and skarn deposits containing gold and silver mineralization beneath valley fill. Potential host rocks above the Golconda Thrust include the Triassic Star Peak Group (Cove host) and the Carboniferous Havallah Sequence (partial Lone Tree host; Converse host). Host rocks beneath the Golconda Thrust are the Penn-Permian Antler Sequence (Lone Tree & Marigold hosts). District ore controls appear to be north-trending faults, favourable stratigraphy, and +/- 41-39 million year old intrusive rocks. Geophysical interpretations indicate that a swarm of north-trending faults are present within the claims controlled by us. Gossanous alteration and elevated pathfinder elements have been encountered on the property in past drilling. Targets in covered areas are typically defined by intersecting structures and magnetic lows.

HANNAH PROPERTY, CHURCHILL COUNTY, NEVADA

1. Location and Access

The Hannah Property is located approximately 55 miles east of Reno, Nevada in the southern portion of the Trinity Range north of Interstate 80 in Churchill County. Access is east from Reno via Interstate 80 and then north on gravel and dirt roads from Hot Springs Flat to the Property. A map showing the location of and access to the Hannah property is presented below:

2. Ownership Interest

The Hannah property is comprised of twenty-three (23) unpatented lode claims covering approximately 460 acres (0.72 sq. miles) in Churchill County, Nevada.

We have an option to acquire a 100% interest in the claims comprising the Hannah project, subject to a net smelter royalty, pursuant to an option agreement dated December 24, 2003 between us and Larry and Susan McIntosh of Gardnerville, Nevada, as optionors. We have the option to acquire a 100% interest in the Hannah property by making aggregate payments to the optionors in the amount of \$210,000. We may exercise this option at any time prior to the ten year anniversary of the effective date of the agreement, being December 24, 2013. We are obligated to make the following option payments in order to maintain our option agreement in good standing:

| Date of Payment | Amount of Option Payment |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| December 24, 2003 | \$5,000 (paid) |
| January 10, 2005 | \$5,000 (paid) |

| Date of Payment | Amount of Option Payment |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| January 10, 2006 | \$10,000 (paid) |
| January 10, 2007 | \$15,000 |
| January 10, 2008 | \$25,000 |
| January 10, 2009 | \$25,000 |
| January 10, 2010 | \$25,000 |
| January 10, 2011 | \$25,000 |
| January 10, 2012 | \$25,000 |
| January 10, 2013 | \$50,000 |

We will be deemed to have exercised the option upon completion of the above option payments at which time we will be entitled to a 100% interest in the Hannah property, subject to the payment of a net smelter royalty to the optionors. The net smelter royalty will be calculated as 3% of net smelter returns, as defined in the option agreement, if the price of gold is less than or equal to \$400 per ounce, and 4% of net smelter returns if the price of gold is greater than \$400 per ounce. If we exercise the option, we will have the right to reduce the net smelter royalty by 1%, up to a maximum of 2%, upon the payment of \$500,000 to the optionors for each 1% of reduction as set out in the table below:

| Gold Price (US\$ per ounce) | Net Smelter Royalty payable on execution of the Agreement | Net Smelter Royalty payable after first payment of \$500,000 | Net Smelter Royalty payable after second payment of \$500,000 |
|--|--|---|--|
| Less than or equal to \$400 | 3% | 2% | 1% |
| Greater than \$400 | 4% | 3% | 2% |

If we complete a positive feasibility study for the development or mining of mineral products on the Hannah property and obtains all government approvals, consents, licenses and permits to construct, develop or operate a mine on the Hannah property prior to January 10, 2013, we will be obligated purchase the Hannah property prior to the commencement of mining of mineral products. In this event, the purchase price for the Hannah property shall be the sum of all unpaid option payments due to the optionors through January 10, 2013.

We have the exclusive right to conduct exploration on the Hannah property during the term of the option agreement, provided that we make the required option payments. We are obligated to make all federal and county claim maintenance fees in a timely manner to keep the claims in good standing during the term of the option agreement. In the event that we do not make any required option payment, then the optionors will be entitled to terminate the agreement and we will lose our interest in the property. However, we will not have any obligation to make further option payments in the event of termination due our inability to make any required option payment. We may surrender our interest in the property and terminate the agreement at our election upon written notice to the optionors. In this event, the optionors will retain all option payments paid pursuant to the agreement.

We have paid \$3,074.50 for BLM and County annual claim maintenance fees that were required to be paid by October 1, 2005. We will be required pay approximately \$3,075 for BLM and County annual claim maintenance fees by September 1, 2006. We are not obligated to complete any minimum exploration expenditures or other work commitment in order to maintain our option on the Hannah property.

3. History of Operations

Various old shafts, adits, and numerous small prospects are on the Hannah Property from prospecting in the early 1900 s. Cominco was active in the general area in the 1960 s and Chevron drilled three scattered holes on the claim block in the 1980 s. None of Chevron s holes tested the Hannah gold target. Four backhoe trenches were dug by Cordex in the late 1990 s, however no follow-up work was conducted. NDT Ventures held the property in 2002 but conducted no significant work. A total of 50 soil samples and 329 rock-chip samples have been collected from the property and assayed.

4. Present Condition of the Property and Current State of Exploration

The Hannah Property is in the early stage of exploration and presently contains no known gold or silver resources. Our current state of exploration consists of geologic mapping and sampling.

There is no plant or equipment on the Hannah Property other than some scattered remnants of past prospecting. The property consists of barren land with no improvements with the exception of dirt roads.

We have no formal geologic reports on the Hannah Property. However, we do have all past soil and rock-chip sample results plus preliminary maps from geologic mapping.

We commenced field exploration work on our Hannah property during the first quarter of 2005. The field work included obtaining soil samples as part of a soil sampling program. Results from 132 new soil samples were combined with results from 50 previous samples to define a conspicuous soil gold anomaly approximately 3000 feet in length and locally over 500 feet in width. We believed that this identified anomaly warrants more advanced exploration. As a result, we submitted a Notice of Intent to Operate and a Reclamation Bond for drilling 10 exploration holes to the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (the BLM). The BLM approved our submission and we commenced track-mounted, reverse-circulation drilling on identified gold geochemical targets in May. This drilling program was completed in early June. Eleven (11) holes were completed for a total footage of 4,815 ft. Two holes, H-11 and H-1, encountered encouraging gold-silver mineralization in the western portion of the target area. Although strong alteration was encountered elsewhere to the east, the remaining holes were barren.

We have determined that follow up drilling is warranted on the Hannah Property based on the results of the initial eleven hole drilling program that we completed on the Hannah Property, as described above.

Our plan of exploration for the Hannah Property is as follows:

| Description of Phase of Exploration | Description of Exploration Work Required |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Pediment Electromagnetic Survey | Conduct geophysical survey in pediment covered area west of mineralized breccia to identify the trace of target zone. |
| Phase 2 Exploration Drilling | Drill ten shallow reverse-circulation drill holes to test the breccia zone and possible extensions under pediment gravels. Estimate 4000 ft of drilling. |
| Bottle-Roll Metallurgical Tests | Conduct several bottle-roll metallurgical tests conducted on as received drill cuttings from mineralized zones. |
| Data Evaluation | Evaluate drilling and metallurgical results. |

The anticipated timetable and estimated budget for completion for each stage of exploration is as follows:

| Stage of Exploration | Anticipated Timetable for Completion | Estimated Cost of Completion |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Pediment Electromagnetic Survey | 3 rd Qtr | \$20,000 |
| Phase 2 Exploration Drilling | 3 rd Qtr | \$130,000 |
| Bottle-Roll Metallurgical Tests | 3 rd Qtr | \$1,500 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| Data Evaluation | 4 th Qtr | \$7,500 |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------|

All work will be conducted on our behalf by contractors who will anticipate will include a project geologist, Zonge Geoscience for the electro-magnetic survey, a local bulldozer operator for site preparation and reclamation, and a drilling company. Cost estimate for a contract geologist is \$375 per day plus travel expenses. No contracts have been let. Normal industry rates are expected for the geophysical survey, site preparation and reclamation, and reverse-circulation drilling. The program will be supervised by our vice-president of exploration.

In addition, we will continue our efforts to locate a joint venture partner to share the costs of exploration of the Hannah Property.

5. Geology

The Hannah Property lies in exotic metamorphic terrain comprised of Triassic metavolcanics and various Tertiary intrusive rocks and lakebeds (no formation names). A highly oxidized, gold-bearing shear zone cuts the metavolcanics and extends in an east-west direction across the southern portion of the claim block. The trace of the fault zone is uncertain but it is believed to dip to the north and may be up to 100 ft in thickness. The fault is locally exposed as a conspicuous, iron-stained, bleached breccia zone that extends to the west under pediment gravels.

JDS PROPERTY, EUREKA COUNTY, NEVADA

1. Location and Access

The JDS property is located in central Nevada, approximately 40 miles northwest of the small town of Eureka in Eureka County. The property is in Denay Valley adjacent to the northern end of the Simpson Park Mountains. Access is fair to good during good weather via the Tonkin Road (dirt/gravel) that traverses through the property. A map showing the location of and access to the JDS property is presented below:

2. Ownership Interest

We are the owner of the seventy-seven (77) unpatented lode claims comprising the JDS project which covers approximately 1,540 acres (2.04 sq miles). We staked and recorded the mineral claims. These mineral claims are registered in our name and are not subject to underlying lease payments or royalties. The JDS property is subject only to annual claim maintenance fees payable to the BLM and Eureka County. We must pay approximately \$12,500 in BLM and Eureka County annual claim maintenance fees by September 1, 2006 in order to maintain our interest in these properties.

3. History of Operations

There have been no previous operations of any type on the property.

4. Present Condition of the Property and Current State of Exploration

No significant exploration has been conducted on the JDS Property. The property is in early stage exploration and presently contains no known gold resources.

There is no plant or equipment on the JDS Property. The property consists of barren land with no improvements.

We have one geologic report on the JDS Property that was written by Kenneth D. Cunningham, Wyoming Professional Geologist PG-1636, and dated February 9, 2004. The report reviews the potential for Carlin-type gold deposits on the JDS Property.

During the first quarter of 2005, we interpreted newly acquired geophysical data that corroborated the presence of a possible large intrusive body or dike swarm along the north-western perimeter of the claim block. We believe that this is a favorable geologic environment for gold mineralization.

During 2005, we conducted a mercury soil gas survey across the northwest portion of the JDS Property. The survey consisted of 240 samples in eight lines with 50-meter sample stations. A strong soil gas anomaly was identified. Subsequently, a detail gravity line was surveyed across the soil gas anomaly area. The gravity data revealed a conspicuous structural bedrock platform buried under gravels. Regional gravity interpretations indicate intersecting faults in the subsurface platform.

Based on the results of this geological survey, we plan to complete a drilling program on the JDS Property.

Our plan of exploration for the JDS Property is as follows:

| Description of Phase of Exploration | Description of Exploration Work Required |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Phase 1 Drilling | Drill three reverse-soil gas circulation holes to test the mercury anomaly. Estimated total footage: 3,000 to 4,000 ft |
| Data Evaluation | Evaluate drill data |

The anticipated timetable and estimated budget for completion if each stage of exploration are as follows:

| Stage of Exploration | Anticipated Timetable for Completion | Estimated Cost of Completion |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Phase 1 Drilling | Second and third quarter of 2006 | \$150,000 |
| Data Evaluation | Third quarter of 2006 | \$7,000 |

All work will be conducted on our behalf by contractors who will include a project geologist and a drilling company. Cost estimate for a contract geologist is \$375 per day plus travel expenses. To date, no bids have been solicited from drilling companies; normal industry rates are expected for reverse-circulation drilling. The program will be supervised by our vice-president of exploration.

We plan to seek a joint venture partner to help finance further exploration of the property, including the contemplated drilling program described above. There is no assurance that we will be able to locate a joint venture partner to fund

the contemplated drilling program on the JDS property. If we are unable to enter into any joint venture arrangement, then we will proceed with the drilling program provided we have sufficient funding.

5. Geology

The JDS Property lies within the Cortez Trend in the southern portion of the Battle Mountain-Eureka Mineral Belt. Although covered by valley fill, the geology of the JDS Property is believed to be an extension of favourable lower

plate rocks of the Roberts Mountains Thrust that are known to host large Carlin-type gold deposits. Potential Devonian host rocks are exposed in the nearby Simpson Park Mountains and are believed concealed under shallow cover at JDS. Similar Devonian strata host very large gold deposits at Pipeline and Cortez to the northwest of the JDS Property. Available gravity data at JDS suggest shallow depth to bedrock and north-trending faults that converge in the northwestern portion of the claim block. The combination of favourable lower plate bedrock and converging faults indicate exploration potential for Carlin-type gold deposit(s). A strong mercury soil gas anomaly has also been identified in the northwest portion of the JDS Property.

JENNY HILL PROPERTY, MINERAL & NYE COUNTIES, NEVADA

1. Location and Access

The Jenny Hill Property is located in west-central Nevada approximately 16 miles due west of the small town of Gabbs in the Black Hills portion of the southern Monte Cristo Mountains. The claims are in Mineral and Nye Counties. Access to the property is via paved State Highway 361 south of Gabbs to the Rawhide Road (dirt) that extends westerly to the vicinity of the southern tip of the Black Hills. A map showing the location of and access to the Jenny Hill property is presented below:

2. Ownership Interest

The Jenny Hill project is comprised of ninety-seven (97) unpatented lode claims covering approximately 1,940 acres (3.03 sq miles) in Mineral and Nye Counties, Nevada. These mineral claims are held by us subject a lease with option to purchase agreement dated September 28, 2004 between us and Larry and Susan McIntosh of Gardnerville, Nevada. The Agreement is a binding letter agreement that governs pending the execution of a definitive agreement. We are presently negotiating a definitive mining lease with option to purchase agreement with the owners, as contemplated in

the letter agreement.

We have the option to acquire a 100% interest in the Jenny Hill project, subject to a net smelter royalty, by making aggregate payments to the owners in the amount of \$1,500,000. We may exercise this option at any time prior to

the seven year anniversary of the effective date of the agreement, being September 28, 2011. We are obligated to make the following required advance royalty payments, each of which may be credited towards the exercise price of the option, pending the exercise of option as lease payments:

| Date of Payment | Amount of Advance Royalty Payment |
|------------------------|--|
| September 28, 2004 | \$7,000 (paid) |
| September 28, 2004 | \$13,000 (paid) |
| September 28, 2005 | \$25,000 (paid) |
| September 28, 2006 | \$30,000 |
| September 28, 2007 | \$60,000 |
| September 28, 2008 | \$70,000 |
| September 28, 2009 | \$80,000 |
| September 28, 2010 | \$90,000 |
| September 28, 2011 | \$1,125,000 |

We are obligated to complete exploration work on the property in the minimum amount of \$50,000 by September 28, 2005 and \$100,000 in each successive year of the term of the agreement. We have completed the initial \$50,000 of exploration work that was required to be completed by September 28, 2005. We are also obligated to make all federal and county claim maintenance fees in a timely manner to keep the claims in good standing.

We have the exclusive right to conduct exploration on the Jenny Hill property, provided that we make the required advance royalty payments and complete the required exploration expenditures. In the event that we do not make the required advance royalty payments or complete the required exploration expenditures, then the owners will be entitled to terminate the agreement and we will lose our interest in the property. However, we will not have any obligation to make further advance royalty payments or payments in lieu of exploration expenditures in the event of termination due our inability to make the required advance royalty payments or complete the required exploration expenditures. We may surrender our interest in the property and terminate the agreement at our election upon written notice to the owners. In this event, the owners will retain all payments and royalties paid pursuant to the agreement.

In the event that mineral production is commenced on the property, we will be obligated to pay to the owners a 2% net smelter return royalty. The definition of net smelter returns is to be agreed upon in the definitive agreement. We have the right of first refusal to purchase any interest in the property should the owners determine to sell any interest in the property. The owners have also granted to us an area of interest of approximately 1 mile surrounding the Jenny Hill claims. Under this right, any additional mineral claims acquired by the owners that are contiguous or within one mile of the Jenny Hill claims will be subject to our lease and option to purchase agreement.

We are presently proceeding with the preparation of a final definitive agreement between ourselves and the owners which will supersede the September 28, 2005 letter agreement. We have agreed to pay 50% of attorney fees for preparation of this final agreement. We anticipate that the agreement will be completed during the second quarter of 2005.

We completed the payment of \$12,983 for BLM and County annual claim maintenance fees that were required to be paid by September 1, 2005. In addition, we must pay \$30,000 to the owners and complete at least \$100,000 in exploration work on the Property by September 28, 2006.

We executed a letter of intent to joint venture the Jenny Hill property with Kinross Gold Corporation on December 9, 2005. Under the terms of the letter agreement, Kinross is obligated to keep the property in good standing with the

BLM and local counties and will make the underlying payments to the owner. Upon completing \$3.0 million in work expenditures on the property, Kinross will have earned a 60% interest in the property and a joint venture will be formed between us and Kinross. At Kinross' discretion, Kinross may earn an additional 10% interest by producing a feasibility study on the project. Upon the joint venture reaching a positive construction decision for a mine, Kinross will have the option to earn an additional 5% interest by arranging financing instruments on our behalf. Kinross may earn a total interest of 75% in the Property, in which event we would hold a 25% interest. If Kinross elects to drop the property before July 1 in any given year, the lease payment obligations and government claim holding costs shall revert back to us.

3. History of Operations

There are abundant old gold workings and prospect pits on the Jenny Hill property and remnants of a small mill in the Black Hills dating back to the 1880 s. Minor gold production also came from the Black Hills by local prospectors in the 1960 s. Comaplex Minerals and NDT Ventures were independently active on the southern portion of the claim block in 2000-2004. To date, 303 soil samples and 377 rock-chip samples have been collected and analyzed yielding conspicuous gold. Comaplex Minerals completed 39.14 line miles of ground magnetometer survey (contractor Zonge Geosciences, Inc.) on the southern portion of the claim block. We acquired our interest in the Jenny Hill Property in October 2004.

4. Present Condition of the Property and Current State of Exploration

The Jenny Hill Property is in the early stage of exploration and presently contains no known gold or silver resources. Our current state of exploration consists of geologic mapping and sampling.

There is no plant or equipment on the Jenny Hill Property other than some scattered remnants of past prospecting and mining activities in the Black Hills. The property consists of barren land with no improvements other than a well-maintained county dirt road.

Jenny Hill is an early-stage exploration property. There are no formal geologic reports available at this time. However, we do have copies of all past soil and rock-chip sampling data including maps and results. Most sample analyses were conducted by ALS Chemex. We also have access to the ground magnetometer survey report by Zonge Geosciences, Inc. that was conducted on behalf of Comaplex Minerals.

We staked eighty-five (85) new lode claims during the first quarter of 2005 in order to expand the Jenny Hill property to cover additional property that we believe is prospective for gold exploration. We now control 182 contiguous lode claims that cover approximately 3,640 acres. We initiated limited field exploration work during our first quarter of 2005 which consisted largely of reconnaissance sampling on the newly acquired ground and detail geologic mapping and sampling in the northern portion of the claim block.

We initiated a large, GPS-based, ground magnetometer survey in late April 2005. The survey was completed by a Reno-based geophysical contractor in early May. The survey was conducted to help identify structures related to mineralization and skarn. The magnetometer lines were combined with a previous survey (same contractor) for a total of 68 lines on approximately 100 meter spacing for a total of 105 line-kilometers of data acquisition. The entire claim block is now covered by the magnetometer survey. Subsequent data was interpreted by a certified, Reno-based geophysicist who produced maps showing structure, geologic units, and mineral targets. These data will be used with newly acquired soil geochemical data to help identify drill targets.

Six gravity meter lines and one tie line were also surveyed by the same contractor on the northern portion of the claim block. The survey was conducted to identify depth to bedrock in covered areas and also to help identify concealed structures and rock types. Data interpretation remains in progress. This portion of the claim block has potential for Carlin-type gold hosted in Triassic sedimentary rocks.

We have completed our geophysical programs and are continuing geologic mapping with the objective of identifying drill targets.

Our for the Jenny Hill Property is to minor the exploration work to be conducted by Kinross Gold Corporation during 2006. We understand that Kinross will undertake the following exploration activities during 2006, with a minimum anticipated expenditure amount of \$200,000:

| Description of Phase of Exploration | Description of Exploration Work Required |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Geologic Mapping & Sampling | Continue mapping and sampling program |
| Target Identification | Compile all data and identify high-priority gold targets |
| Phase 1 Drilling | Drill targets Specifics unknown at this time |
| Data Evaluation | Evaluate drill data |

5. Geology

The Jenny Hill Property is located along the eastern margin of the northwest-trending Walker Lane Mineral Belt. Bedrock consists of a mass of Jurassic granitic rock resting on a thrust fault, Cretaceous (?) dikes and sills, and sedimentary strata of Triassic Luning Formation. Potential for a Carlin-type gold deposit(s) is present in the altered siltstones of the Luning Formation at the northern end of the claim block. Outcrops yield a Carlin-type geochemical signature with anomalous gold. Potential for gold-bearing skarn exists on the southern portion of the claim block where Cretaceous dikes have cut and altered the Luning Formation adjacent to a large thrust sheet of Cretaceous granitic rock. Anomalous gold is present at the surface in skarn exposures. The northern and southern areas of the property appear linked by a major north-trending fault that may be related to gold mineralization. The property has never been drilled.

LA BUFA PROPERTY

1. Location and Access

The La Bufa exploration concession is located in the southwest extremity of the state of Chihuahua, Mexico and is centered on the small town (mining district) of Guadalupe y Calvo in the Sierra Madre Occidental. The single exploration concession adjoins and surrounds other concessions within the district. Net area is 1040.75 hectares (approximately 2571 net acres). The nearest commercial airport is in the city of Chihuahua, 480 km by road from the property. All-season vehicle access to the property is excellent. The town of Guadalupe y Calvo is the terminus of the paved, well-maintained Mexico Highway 24 which winds 270 kilometers from mining town of Hidalgo del Parral to the northeast. Access on the concession is via dirt roads. A map showing the location and access to the La Bufa property is presented below.

2. Ownership Interest

The La Bufa Property consists of three contiguous Mexican Exploration Concessions, La Bufa (No. 219036), La Bufa 1 (No. 222724), and La Bufa 2 (No. 223165) totalling 1,916.21 hectares, as follows:

| Name | Type | Title | File | Area Hect. | Issued | Expired | Tax Rate | Pesos | US\$ |
|---------|---------|--------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|
| La Bufa | Explor. | 219036 | 16/31696 | 1040.7594 | 31/Jan/03 | 30-Jan-09 | \$6.0100 | \$6,256 | \$585 |
| La Bufa | Explor. | 222724 | 16/32275 | 485.0000 | 27-Aug-04 | 26-Aug-10 | \$6.0100 | \$2,916 | \$273 |
| La Bufa | Explor. | 223165 | 16/32529 | 765.5000 | 28-Oct-04 | 27-Oct-10 | \$6.0100 | \$4,602 | \$430 |

The concessions were issued by the Direccion General de Minas in 2003 and 2004 to Minera Gavilan, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican subsidiary controlled 100% by Almaden Minerals Ltd., a publicly traded Canadian junior listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange (AMM). On August 8, 2005, we executed a letter of intent to joint venture the property with Almaden whereby we can earn a 51% interest in the property by undertaking exploration expenditures in the minimum amount of US\$2.0 million over 4 years. This letter of intent has not been executed by Almaden to date, however we anticipate that this letter of intent will be executed shortly subsequent to the filing of this prospectus. Under this letter of intent, we will be able to earn an additional 9% interest by undertaking exploration expenditures in the minimum amount of an additional US\$1.0 million over a further 18 months. As consideration, we issued to Almaden 50,000 shares of our common stock upon signing of the letter of intent and have agreed to issue to Almaden 60,000 shares on the first anniversary, 70,000 shares on the second anniversary, 80,000 shares on the third anniversary, 90,000 shares on the fourth anniversary, and 100,000 shares upon our electing to acquire the additional 9% interest. In all, we will be acquire a 60% interest in the La Bufa property by undertaking \$3.0 million in exploration work and issuing 450,000 shares of our common stock to Almaden, with Almaden retaining a 40% interest.

3. History of Operations

Gold was discovered in the Guadalupe y Calvo district in 1835 with extended periods of production up to 1939. The discovered gold-silver veins were exploited largely by underground operations. A mint was constructed in 1844 by the Mexican government to take advantage of the precious metals production in the district.

Modern exploration work in the district has centered largely in the area of past production which is surrounded completely by the La Bufa concession. Although the vein system extends beyond the area of the old workings, little exploration work has been conducted. Asarco drilled two core holes in the 1970's on La Bufa ground but the drill hole locations and results remain unknown.

A previous joint venture on the La Bufa Property between Almaden Minerals Ltd. and Grid Capital Corporation resulted in the drilling of five angle core holes (666.15 m) in three locations during December 2004. Hole GUD04-03 returned encouraging gold-silver-lead-zinc assays from multiple, narrow-vein intercepts (Almaden Minerals News Release, Jan. 24, 2005). However, Grid Capital backed out of the joint venture for undisclosed reasons. We have since entered into a new joint venture with Almaden to explore the La Bufa concession.

4. Present Condition of the Property and Proposed Exploration Program

The La Bufa Property is in the early stage of exploration and presently contains no known gold or silver resources. There is no plant or equipment on the Property. The property encompasses the town of Guadalupe y Calvo. The area of potential gold-silver mineralization lies largely along the eastern side of the town in low, forested and brushed covered hills.

In 2004, Grid Capital Corporation entered into a joint venture with Almaden and drilled five angle core holes for 666.15 meters between the Montecristo and Chipto workings. One hole was lost in historic workings. One hole encountered gold and silver mineralization. Grid Capital turned the property back to Almaden in 2005 for unknown reasons. The core remains stored on the property.

The plan for exploration of the La Bufa Concession is as follows:

| Stage of Exploration | Anticipated Timetable for Completion | Estimated Cost of Completion |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Construct Base Map | 2 nd Qtr | \$25,000 |
| Property Acquisition | 2 nd Qtr | \$30,000 |
| Data Base Management | 2 nd Qtr | \$10,000 |
| Geologic Mapping | 2 nd Qtr | \$45,000 |
| Soil Survey | 2 nd Qtr | \$45,000 |
| Phase 1 Drilling | 3 rd Qtr | \$225,000 |
| Metallurgy | 4 th Qtr | \$5,000 |
| Data Evaluation | 4 th Qtr | \$15,000 |
| | | TOTAL: \$ 400,000 |

There are several key factors that can delay completion of the exploration program:

- 1) Delay in the creation of a Mexican business entity under which work must be conducted.
 - 2) Delays in permit approval for drilling.
 - 3) Limited availability of core rigs in Mexico.
 - 4) Lack of funding
- Factors that could cause exploration costs to be greater than anticipated are largely from drilling conditions to include the following:
- 1) Caving ground
 - 2) Lost Circulation
 - 3) Artesian water
 - 4) Stuck drill steel
 - 5) Drilling in near proximity to the town (special compensation, noise, etc.)

The exploration program will be managed on site by Richard Bybee, P. Geol. State of Wyoming (PG-1505) with extensive experience in Latin America. The Company's V.P. of Exploration, Jeffrey L. Wilson, P. Geol. State of Utah, will oversee the project. Additional seasoned geologists will be used as warranted. No contracts have been let. Potential drilling companies include Layne, Major, and Dateline. ALS Chemex will likely be the analytical laboratory utilized.

We will have to comply with the following government regulation in undertaking exploration of the La Bufa property during 2006.

| Description of Government Regulation to be Complied With | Manner and Cost of Compliance |
|--|-------------------------------|
|--|-------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

| | |
|---|---|
| Biannual concession payments to the Mexican Government in January and July of each year | Less than US\$1,000; payments made through Almaden Minerals |
| Set up Mexican Business Entity | Estimate US\$2,500 |
| Drill Permitting | Estimate US\$5,000 |
| Reclamation | Estimate US\$15,000 |

Page 43

5. Geology

The La Bufa Property lies within the Guadalupe y Calvo district which is one of many epithermal gold-silver districts in the Sierra Madre Occidental of western Mexico. The Sierra Madre Occidental is characterized by deeply incised mountains, and has a total relief of about 3,000 meters. Most of the bedrock exposed in the vicinity of Guadalupe y Calvo consists of an upper volcanic series of bedrock which is commonly hundreds of meters in thickness. However, erosional exposures of a lower volcanic series of rock, which is favourable to mineralization and occurs in ranges up to 1,000 meters in thickness, are exposed along the eastern flank and central portions of the northwest-trending Guadalupe River Valley that traverses the La Bufa concession. The contact between the upper and lower volcanic series of rock is rarely exposed.

District mineralization occurs as northwest-trending, epithermal gold-silver-lead-zinc quartz veins and breccia veins with local attending stockworks. The veins occur only in the lower volcanic series. Veins typically range from 1 to 3+ meters in true thickness and are generally steeply dipping but may also have shallow dips. Historic production in the district encountered local mineralized zones measuring tens of meters in thickness. Past mining on the Rosario vein extended for a continuous strike length of over 600 meters on seven levels. The vein system appears to consist of multiple strands and extends southeastward for a distance of at least 1700 meters across the La Bufa Concession. The main paved road entering the town has a road cut that exposes a 70-meter zone containing multiple quartz veins.

GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS

Mexico Property:

| Term | Definition |
|----------------------|--|
| Epithermal | Said of a hydrothermal mineral deposit formed within 1 km of the surface at temperatures of 50°-200°C, occurring mainly as veins. |
| Quartz Breccia | Quartz vein material that is broken into angular fragments |
| Quartz Stockworks | A three-dimensional network of planar to irregular quartz veinlets closely enough spaced that the whole mass can be mined. |
| gpt | Grams per metric tonne |
| Volcanics | Generally finely crystalline or glassy igneous rocks ejected explosively or extruded at or near the Earth's surface through volcanic action |
| Dacite | Fine-grained extrusive volcanic rock with the same composition as andesite but having less calcic plagioclase and more quartz |
| Andesite | A dark to grayish colored, fine-grained extrusive volcanic rock that may contain phenocrysts of sodic plagioclase. |
| Angular Unconformity | Contact between two groups of rocks where the underlying rocks dip in a different angle than the younger overlying rocks |
| Tuff | A general term for consolidated volcanic ash |
| Artesian Water | Ground water under pressure |
| Caving Ground | A drilling term that refers to rock formations that break when penetrated by a drill and produce rock fragments that may block the borehole and/or contaminate the drill cuttings. |

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Lost Circulation | The loss of drilling fluids through open faults, fractures, and/or permeable rock |
|------------------|---|

Nevada Properties:

| Term | Definition |
|----------------|---|
| Artesian water | Ground water under pressure |
| Carboniferous | Geologic Period referring to rocks 286 to 360 million years old |

| Term | Definition |
|-------------------------|--|
| Carlin-type deposit | Gold deposits hosted in sedimentary rocks with disseminated gold occurring as micron or submicron particles (invisible gold), typically with very little to no silver. Very large deposits of this type are found in the Carlin Trend in north-central Nevada. |
| Caving ground | A drilling term that refers to rock formations that break when penetrated by a drill and produce rock fragments that may block the borehole and/or contaminate the drill cuttings. |
| Cove-type deposit | Gold-silver deposits hosted in sedimentary rocks with significant amounts of precious metals mineralization hosted in veinlets. The Cove deposit is located in the northern portion of the Battle Mountain-Eureka Trend. |
| Cretaceous | Geologic Period referring to rocks 66.4 to 144 million years old. |
| Devonian | Geologic Period referring to rocks 360 to 408 million years old. |
| Dikes and sills | Generally narrow bodies of igneous rock implaced as magma along faults across bedding (dike) or along zones parallel to bedding (sill). |
| Geochemical survey | A sampling program focusing on trace elements that are commonly found associated with mineral deposits. Common trace elements for gold are mercury, arsenic, and antimony. |
| Geologic mapping | The process of mapping geologic formations, associated rock characteristics and structural features. |
| Geophysical survey | The systematic measurement of electrical, gravity, seismic, magnetic, or other properties as a tool to help identify rock type(s), faults, structures and minerals. |
| Golconda thrust | A major, flat-lying fault that has transposed older rocks over younger rocks. |
| Gossanous | Refers to an iron-bearing material that typically overlies a sulfide-bearing mineralized zone. It forms by the oxidation and leaching out of sulfur and most metals leaving hydrated iron oxides. |
| Gravimeter survey | A survey using a sensitive instrument that can detect density differences in geologic formations. |
| Hematite breccia | Refers to a rock composed of angular rock fragments with conspicuous iron-oxide minerals in the matrix and fractures. |
| Intrusive rock | Refers to any igneous rock (e.g. granite) that was implaced as a magma. |
| Jurassic | Geologic Period referring to rocks 144 to 208 million years old. |
| Lost circulation | The loss of drilling fluids through open faults, fractures, and/or permeable rock. |
| Magnetometer survey | A survey using a sensitive instrument that can detect the distortion of the Earth's magnetic field by different geologic formations. |
| Mercury soil gas survey | A geochemical sampling survey in which mercury vapor is sampled and measured. Mercury is typically associated with gold deposits in the Great Basin and is a pathfinder for finding gold deposits. |
| Metamorphic rock | Pre-existing rock that has been physically changed by temperature, pressure, shearing stress, or chemical environment, generally at depth in the Earth's crust |
| Pathfinder elements | Trace elements that are typically associated with gold deposits. Common pathfinder elements are mercury, arsenic and antimony. |

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Penn-Permian | Geologic Periods referring to rocks ranging from 245 to 320 million years old. |
| Permo-Triassic | Geologic Periods referring to rocks ranging from 208 to 286 million years old. |
| Reverse-circulation drilling | A drilling method that minimizes contamination of drill cuttings. |

| Term | Definition |
|--------------------------|--|
| Roberts Mountains Thrust | A major, flat-lying fault that has transposed older rocks over younger rocks. |
| Rock-chip sampling | The process of chipping off rock samples from outcrops for chemical analysis. |
| Schist | A metamorphic rock that is highly foliated and readily splits into flakes or slabs commonly due to a high content of mica. |
| Skarn deposit | Mineralization formed at the flanks and in contact with intrusive rocks. |
| Stratigraphy | The sequence of stratified rocks. |
| Subcrop | Bedrock just below the surface and usually contributing weathered rock material to the surficial debris. |
| Tertiary | Geologic Period referring to rocks ranging in age from 1.6 to 66.4 million years old. |
| Thrust sheet | A block of rock underlain by a flat-lying fault that originated from compressional forces. |
| Triassic | Geologic Period referring to rocks 208 to 245 million years old |
| Tuff | Volcanic ash that has been solidified into rock. |

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS

Our plan of operations for the next twelve months is to continue with the exploration of our Nevada and Mexican mineral properties. Our planned geological exploration programs are described in detail in Item 2 of this prospectus entitled Description of Properties.

Our planned exploration expenditures for the next twelve months on our Nevada mineral properties and our Mexican La Bufa property, together with amounts due to maintain our interest in these claims, are summarized as follows:

| Name of Property | Planned Exploration Expenditures | Amounts of Claims Maintenance Due | Amount of Property Payment Due | Total |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Exploration of Buffalo Valley Property, Nevada | \$Nil (1) | \$Nil (1) | \$Nil (1) | \$Nil (1) |
| Exploration of Hannah Property, Nevada | \$159,000 | \$3,075 | \$15,000 | \$177,075 |
| Exploration of JDS Property, Nevada | \$157,000 | \$12,500 | \$Nil | \$169,500 |
| Exploration of Jenny Hill Property, Nevada | \$Nil (2) | \$Nil (2) | \$Nil (2) | \$Nil (2) |
| | \$423,500 | (3) | \$Nil | \$423,500 |

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Exploration of La Bufo Property, Mexico | | | | |
| Administration Nevada | \$195,000 | - | - | \$195,000 |
| Administration - Vancouver | \$300,000 | - | - | \$300,000 |
| Total | \$1,234,500 | \$15,575 | \$15,000 | \$1,265,075 |

- (1) We anticipate Agnico Eagle will undertake exploration expenditures in the amount of approximately \$251,500 on the Buffalo Valley property. Further, Agnico Eagle will be required to pay \$35,867 to the BLM and local counties and \$20,000 to the property owner. These exploration expenditures are to be undertaken and paid for by Agnico Eagle pursuant to our letter of intent to our letter of intent to joint venture the property with Agnico Eagle. However, if Agnico Eagle determines to return the property to us prior to the time when the property payments and/ or maintenance payments are due, then we will be obligated to make the annual claim maintenance payments to the BLM and local counties and the property payments required to maintain the property in good standing.
- (2) We anticipate that Kinross will undertake exploration expenditures in the estimated minimum amount of \$200,000 on the Jenny Hill property. Further, Kinross will be required to pay \$12,983 to the BLM and local counties and \$30,000 to the property owner. These exploration expenditures are to be undertaken and paid for by Kinross pursuant to our letter of intent to our letter of intent to joint venture the property with Kinross. However, if Kinross determines to return the property to us prior to the time when the property payments and/ or maintenance payments are due, then we will be obligated to make the annual claim maintenance payments to the BLM and local counties and the property payments required to maintain the property in good standing.

(3) Included in Planned Exploration Expenditures .

The general and administrative expenses for the year will consist primarily of professional fees for the audit and legal work relating to our regulatory filings throughout the year, as well as transfer agent fees, management fees, investor relations and general office expenses.

We had cash in the amount of \$132,806 and working capital in the amount of \$3,457 as of December 31, 2005. Based on our planned expenditures, we will require a minimum of approximately \$1,275,000 to proceed with our plan of operations over the next twelve months. We anticipate that we will require additional financing in order to pursue our exploration programs beyond the preliminary exploration programs for our mineral properties that are outlined above. If we achieve less than the full amount of financing that we require, we will scale back our exploration programs on our mineral properties and will proceed with scaled back exploration plans based on our available financial resources.

During the next twelve month period, we anticipate that we will not generate any revenue. Accordingly, we will be required to obtain additional financing in order to continue our plan of operations. We believe that debt financing will not be an alternative for funding additional phases of exploration as we do not have tangible assets to secure any debt financing. We anticipate that additional funding will be in the form of equity financing from the sale of our common stock. However, we do not have any financing arranged and we cannot provide investors with any assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient funding from the sale of our common stock to fund our exploration programs. In the absence of such financing, we will not be able to continue exploration of our mineral claims. Even if we are successful in obtaining equity financing to fund our exploration programs, there is no assurance that we will obtain the funding necessary to pursue any advanced exploration of our mineral claims following the completion of preliminary exploration. If we do not continue to obtain additional financing, we will be forced to abandon our properties and our plan of operations.

We may consider entering into a joint venture arrangement to provide the required funding to pursue drilling and advanced exploration of our mineral claims. Even if we determined to pursue a joint venture partner, there is no assurance that any third party would enter into a joint venture agreement with us in order to fund exploration of our mineral claims. If we entered into a joint venture arrangement, we would likely have to assign a percentage of our interest in our mineral claims to the joint venture partner.

Our exploration plans will be continually evaluated and modified as exploration results become available. Modifications to our plans will be based on many factors, including: results of exploration, assessment of data, weather conditions, exploration costs, the price of gold and available capital. Further, the extent of our exploration

programs that we undertake will be dependent upon the amount of financing available to us.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We were incorporated as Braden Technologies Inc. Effective March 26, 2004, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. by issuing 24,000,000 shares of our common stock. We subsequently merged with Lincoln Gold Corp. and changed our name to Lincoln Gold Corporation. Since the acquisition transaction resulted in the former shareholders of Lincoln Gold Corp. owning the majority of our issued and

outstanding shares, the transaction, which is referred to as a reverse take-over, has been treated for accounting purposes as an acquisition by Lincoln Gold Corp. of the net assets and liabilities of Braden Technologies Inc. Under this purchase method of accounting, the results of operations of Braden Technologies Inc. are included in these consolidated financial statements from March 26, 2004. Our date of inception is the date of inception of Lincoln Gold Corp., being September 25, 2003 and our financial statements are presented with reference to the date of inception of Lincoln Gold Corp.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 are presented below:

| | Accumulated For the Period September 25, 2003 (Date of Inception) To December 31, 2005 \$ | Year Ended December 31, 2005 \$ | Year Ended December 31, 2004 \$ |
|---|--|--|--|
| Revenue | - | - | - |
| Expenses | | | |
| Depreciation | 1,978 | 1,978 | - |
| Foreign exchange loss | 3,790 | 2,115 | 1,675 |
| General and administrative | 2,142,746 | 730,433 | 1,407,503 |
| Impairment loss on mineral acquisition costs | 55,000 | 55,000 | - |
| Mineral exploration | 803,652 | 529,017 | 263,126 |
| Total Expenses | 3,007,166 | 1,318,543 | 1,672,304 |
| Net Loss From Operations | (3,007,166) | (1,318,543) | (1,672,304) |
| Other Income (Expense) | | | |
| Accounts payable written off | 33,564 | 33,564 | - |
| Interest income | 8,414 | 8,414 | - |
| Interest expense | (37,028) | (17,981) | (19,047) |
| Net Loss | (3,002,216) | (1,294,546) | (1,691,351) |

Our exploration expenditures increased substantially for fiscal 2005 compared to fiscal 2004. This increase attributable largely to our increased exploration activities during fiscal 2005, as described in detail in Item 2 of this prospectus entitled "Description of Properties". Our loss for fiscal 2005 decreased in comparison to fiscal 2004 due to a decrease in general and administrative expenses resulting from decreased stock based compensation expense. We anticipate that our expenses and net loss will continue to increase throughout the current fiscal year in comparison with 2005 as a result of our planned exploration activities and as a result of payments required to maintain our interests in our mineral properties. In addition, we anticipate continued increased professional fees as we comply with our obligations as a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We anticipate that we will not earn any revenues during the current fiscal year or in the foreseeable future as we are presently engaged in the exploration of our mineral properties.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash position at December 31, 2005 was \$132,806 compared to \$127,785 as of December 31, 2004. We had working capital of \$3,457 as of December 31, 2005 compared to a working capital deficit of \$43,959 as of December 31, 2004.

March 2005 Private Placement Financing

We completed a private placement financing in March 2005 for net proceeds of \$901,290. The private placement financing was comprised of the issue of an aggregate of 3,145,000 units (each a Unit) at a price of \$0.30 per Unit to an aggregate of 53 purchasers for total proceeds of \$943,500. Each Unit is comprised of one share of common stock and one share purchase warrant (a Warrant). Each Warrant entitles the investor to purchase one additional

share of common stock for a two year period at a price of \$0.40 per share during the period from the date of issue to the date that is one year from the date of issue and at a price of \$0.50 per share during the period from the date that is one year from the date of issue to the date that is two years from the date of issue. During our second quarter, we completed the filing of a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in order to register the resale by the investors of the private placement shares and the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants.

Plan of Operations

We estimate that our total expenditures over the next twelve months will therefore be approximately \$1,500,000, as outlined above under the heading **Plan of Operations** . We anticipate that we will need to raise a minimum of approximately \$1,275,000 in financing to proceed with our plan of operations over the next twelve months. In addition, we anticipate that we will require additional financing in order to pursue our exploration programs beyond the preliminary exploration programs for our mineral properties that are outlined above.

If we are unable to achieve the necessary additional financing, then we plan to reduce the amounts that we spend on our exploration activities and administrative expenses in order to be within the amount of capital resources that are available to us. Specifically, we anticipate that we would defer drilling programs pending our obtaining additional financing. Given our plan to scale back our operations if we do not achieve additional financing, we anticipate that our current cash and working capital will be sufficient to enable us to sustain our operations and our interests in our mineral properties for the next twelve months.

Outstanding Convertible Note

We arranged for a \$200,000 convertible note during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. On September 15, 2005 we completed an agreement whereby we repaid \$100,000 of the convertible note along with \$35,000 accrued interest and agreed to repay the remaining \$100,000 within sixty days. With the completion of the first payment both the conversion of debt to common stock along with the warrants was cancelled. The amount of \$100,000 was outstanding as of December 31, 2005 and remains outstanding.

Going Concern

We have not attained profitable operations and are dependent upon obtaining financing to pursue any extensive exploration activities. For these reasons our auditors stated in their report that they have substantial doubt we will be able to continue as a going concern.

Future Financings

We will require additional financing in order to proceed with the exploration of our mineral properties. We plan to complete private placement sales of our common stock in order to raise the funds necessary to pursue our plan of operations and to fund our working capital deficit. Issuances of additional shares will result in dilution to our existing shareholders. We currently do not have any arrangements in place for the completion of any private placement financings and there is no assurance that we will be successful in completing any private placement financings.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no significant off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to stockholders.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Mineral Property Acquisition Payments and Exploration Costs

We have been in the exploration stage since our formation on September 25, 2003 and we have not yet realized any revenues from our planned operations. We are primarily engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mining properties. Mineral property exploration costs are expensed as incurred. Mineral property acquisition costs are initially capitalized when incurred using the guidance in EITF 04-02, *Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible or Intangible Assets* . We assess the carrying costs for impairment under SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets* at each fiscal quarter end. When we have determined that a mineral property can

be economically developed as a result of establishing proven and probable reserves, the costs then incurred to develop such property, are capitalized. Such costs will be amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable reserve. If mineral properties are subsequently abandoned or impaired, any capitalized costs will be charged to operations. During the year ended December 31, 2005, mineral exploration acquisition payments totalling \$55,000 were impaired as there are no proven or probable reserves on these properties.

Stock Based Compensation

Stock options granted to employees and non-employees are accounted for under SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), which establishes a fair value based method of accounting for stock based awards, and recognizes compensation expense based on the fair value of the stock award or fair value of the goods and services received, whichever is more reliably measurable.

Foreign Currency Translation

Our functional and reporting currency is the United States dollar. Foreign currency transactions are primarily undertaken in Canadian dollars and are translated into United States dollars using exchange rates at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are re-measured at each balance sheet date at the exchange rate prevailing at the balance sheet date. Foreign currency exchange gains and losses are charged to operations. We have not, to the date of our December 31, 2005 financial statements, entered into derivative instruments to offset the impact of foreign currency fluctuations.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Except as described below, none of the following persons has any direct or indirect material interest in any transaction to which we were or are a party during the past two years, or in any proposed transaction to which the Company proposes to be a party:

- (A) any director or officer;
- (B) any proposed nominee for election as a director;
- (C) any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, shares carrying more than 5% of the voting rights attached to our common stock; or
- (D) any relative or spouse of any of the foregoing persons, or any relative of such spouse, who has the same house as such person or who is a director or officer of any parent or subsidiary.

Acquisition of Lincoln Gold

The following of our directors, officers and 5% shareholders were issued the number of shares of our common stock set forth below in consideration of their sale of the number of shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. set forth below in connection with our acquisition of Lincoln Gold Corp., as more particularly described the section of this Prospectus entitled Description of Business .

| Name | Number of Shares of Common Stock Issued | Original Number of Shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. held and transferred | Cost of purchase of Shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. |
|---|---|--|--|
| Paul Saxton Director, President, Chief | 5,500,000 | 550,000 | \$5,500 |

| | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|---------|
| Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer | | | |
| Andrew Milligan Director | 1,500,000 | 150,000 | \$1,500 |

| Name | Number of Shares of Common Stock Issued | Original Number of Shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. held and transferred | Cost of purchase of Shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. |
|---|---|--|--|
| James Chapman Director | 1,500,000 (1) | 150,000 | \$1,500 |
| Joe Eberhard Dorfstrasse #15 CH 8903, Birmensdorf Switzerland | 3,000,000 | 300,000 | \$3,000 |
| Michael Baybak Suite 1200 750 West Pender Street Vancouver, B.C. | 2,500,000 | 250,000 | \$2,500 |

(1) Subsequently transferred 750,000 shares to Jeffrey Wilson.

Grant of Stock Options

Our directors and officers were granted the options to purchase shares of our common stock during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, as follows:

| Name | Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted | % of Total Options Granted to Employees ⁽¹⁾ | Exercise Price (per Share) | Expiration Date |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Paul Saxton Director, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer | 430,000 | 21.4% | \$0.60 | May 25, 2007 |
| Andrew Milligan Director | 430,000 | 21.4% | \$0.60 | May 25, 2007 |
| James Chapman Director | 200,000 | 9.9% | \$0.60 | May 25, 2007 |
| Jeffrey Wilson Vice-President Exploration | 430,000 | 21.4% | \$0.60 | May 25, 2007 |

Private Placement of Units

Sprott Asset Management Inc. purchased 1,700,000 units at a price of \$0.30 per unit in December 2004 for an aggregate purchase price of \$510,000. Each unit is comprised of one share of common stock and one share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the investor to purchase one additional share of common stock for a two year period at a price of \$0.40 per share during the period from the date of issue to the date that is one year from the date of issue and at a price of \$0.50 per share during the period from the date that is one year from the date of issue to the date that

is two years from the date of issue. We have agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 in order to register the resale by the investor of the shares and the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants. We agreed to file the registration statement within 120 days from the date of completion of the sale of the units.

Executive Compensation

We paid \$34,240 to a company of which Paul Saxton is a director in respect of management fees payable for the services of Mr. Saxton during fiscal 2005. We paid management fees of \$68,598 to Jeffrey Wilson, our vice-president of exploration, during fiscal 2005.

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**MARKET INFORMATION****OTC Bulletin Board**

Shares of our common stock are quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol LGCP. The following table indicates the high and low bid prices of our common stock during the periods indicated:

| QUARTER ENDED | HIGH BID | LOW BID |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| December 31, 2005 | \$0.27 | \$0.15 |
| September 30, 2005 | \$0.54 | \$0.23 |
| June 30, 2005 | \$0.90 | \$0.44 |
| December 31, 2004 | \$0.51 | \$0.31 |
| October 30, 2004 | \$0.90 | \$0.42 |
| June 30, 2004 | \$0.90 | \$0.28 |
| March 31, 2004 | \$0.28 | \$0.21 |

The source of the high and low bid information is the NASD OTC Bulletin Board. The market quotations provided reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

Penny Stock

Our common stock is considered penny stocks under the rules the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The SEC has adopted rules that regulate broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in penny stocks. Penny stocks are generally equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00, other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the Nasdaq system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in such securities is provided by the exchange or quotation system. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Commission, that: (a) contains a description of the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading; (b) contains a description of the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and of the rights and remedies available to the customer with respect to a violation to such duties or other requirements of Securities' laws; (c) contains a brief, clear, narrative description of a dealer market, including bid and ask prices for penny stocks and the significance of the spread between the bid and ask price; (d) contains a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary actions; (e) defines significant terms in the disclosure document or in the conduct of trading in penny stocks; and (f) contains such other information and is in such form, including language, type, size and format, as the Commission shall require by rule or regulation. The broker-dealer also must provide, prior to effecting any transaction in a penny stock, the customer with: (a) bid and offer quotations for the penny stock; (b) the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction; (c) the number of shares to which such bid and ask prices apply, or other comparable information relating to the depth and liquidity of the market for such stock; and (d) a monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from those rules; the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written acknowledgement of the receipt of a risk disclosure statement, a written agreement to transactions involving penny stocks, and a signed and dated copy of a written suitability statement.

These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the trading activity in the secondary market for our stock if it becomes subject to these penny stock rules. Therefore, if our common stock becomes subject to the penny stock rules, stockholders may have difficulty selling those securities.

HOLDERS OF COMMON SHARES

As at February 6, 2006, we had eighty-nine registered holders of our common stock.

DIVIDENDS

There are no restrictions in our articles of incorporation or bylaws that prevent us from declaring dividends. The Nevada Revised Statutes, however, do prohibit us from declaring dividends where, after giving effect to the distribution of the dividend:

1. We would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or
 2. Our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed to satisfy the rights of shareholders who have preferential rights superior to those receiving the distribution.
- We have not declared any dividends and we do not plan to declare any dividends in the foreseeable future.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION.

As at December 31, 2005, we had two equity compensation plans under which our common shares have been authorized for issuance to our officers, directors, employees and consultants, namely our 2004 Stock Option Plan and our 2005 Stock Option Plan. Neither of our 2004 Stock Option Plan or our 2005 Stock Option Plan have been approved by our shareholders. We do not have any equity compensation plans that have been approved by our shareholders.

The following summary information is presented for our 2004 Stock Option Plan and our 2005 Stock Option Plan as of December 31, 2005.

| | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in column (a)) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Plan Category | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved By Security Holders | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved By Security Holders | 2,390,000 Shares of Common Stock | \$0.60 per Share | 2,110,000 Shares |

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth compensation information as to our chief executive officer, Mr. Paul Saxton, for the past three fiscal years. None of our executive officers earned more than \$100,000 during our most recently completed fiscal year. Mr. Saxton is our named executive officer. No other compensation was paid to any such officer or

directors other than the cash and stock option compensation set forth below.

| SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|------|---------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Name | Title | Year | ANNUAL COMPENSATION | | | LONG TERM COMPENSATION | | | |
| | | | Salary | Bonus | Other Annual Compensation | AWARDS | | PAYOUTS | All Other Compensation |
| | | | | | | Restricted Stock Awarded | Options/SARs * (#) | LTIP payouts (\$) | |
| Paul Saxton (1) | President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director | 2005 | \$34,240 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 2004 | \$4,500 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 430,000 | 0 | 0 |

- (1) Mr. Saxton was appointed as a director and as our president, chief executive officer and chief financial officer on March 26, 2004. We paid a management fee of \$4,500 to a private holding company of Mr. Saxton during fiscal 2004 and a management fee of \$34,240 during fiscal 2005.

STOCK OPTION GRANTS

We did not grant any stock options to any of our executive officers or directors during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

EXERCISES OF STOCK OPTIONS AND YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

The following is a summary of the share purchase options exercised by our directors and officers, including our named executive officers, during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2005:

| SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|------|---------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Name | Title | Year | ANNUAL COMPENSATION | | | LONG TERM COMPENSATION | | | |
| | | | Salary | Bonus | Other Annual Compensation | AWARDS | | PAYOUTS | All Other Compensation |
| | | | | | | Restricted Stock Awarded | Options/SARs * (#) | LTIP payouts (\$) | |
| Paul Saxton (1) | President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director | 2005 | \$34,240 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 2004 | \$4,500 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 430,000 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Director | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

(1) Mr. Saxton was appointed as a director and as our president, chief executive officer and chief financial officer on March 26, 2004. We paid a management fee of \$4,500 to a private holding company of Mr. Saxton during fiscal 2004 and a management fee of \$34,240 during fiscal 2005.

STOCK OPTION GRANTS

We did not grant any stock options to any of our executive officers or directors during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

EXERCISES OF STOCK OPTIONS AND YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

The following is a summary of the share purchase options exercised by our directors and officers, including our named executive officers, during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2005:

| |
|--|
| AGGREGATED OPTION/SAR EXERCISES DURING THE LAST FINANCIAL YEAR END AND FINANCIAL YEAR-END OPTION/SAR VALUES |
|--|

| Name (#) | Common Shares Acquired on Exercise | Value Realized (\$) | Unexercised Options at Financial Year-End (#) exercisable/ unexercisable | Value of Unexercised In-The-Money Options/SARs at Financial Year- End (\$) exercisable/ unexercisable |
|---|--|------------------------|---|---|
| Paul Saxton Director, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer | NIL | NIL | 430,000/NIL | \$NIL/\$NIL |
| Andrew Milligan Director | NIL | NIL | 430,000/NIL | \$NIL/\$NIL |
| James Chapman Director | NIL | NIL | 200,000/NIL | \$NIL/\$NIL |
| Jeffrey Wilson Vice-President Exploration | NIL | NIL | 430,000/NIL | \$NIL/\$NIL |

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS

We do not have any long-term incentive plans, pension plans, or similar compensatory plans for our directors or executive officers.

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

We do not have any employment contracts with any of our officers or directors.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following consolidated financial statements of Lincoln Gold listed below are included with this prospectus. These financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and are expressed in U.S. dollars.

| | PAGE |
|---|------------|
| <u>Auditors' Report - Manning Elliott LLP</u> | <u>F-1</u> |
| <u>Auditors' Report - Amisano Hanson</u> | <u>F-2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the period from inception (September 25, 2003) to December 31, 2005</u> | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the period from inception (September 25, 2003) to December 31, 2005</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the period from inception (September 25, 2003) to December 31, 2005</u> | <u>F-6</u> |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-7</u> |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Directors and Stockholders
Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Lincoln Gold Corporation as of December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders' equity for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The accompanying balance sheet of Lincoln Gold Corporation as of December 31, 2004 and the related statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders' deficit for the year then ended and accumulated for the period from September 25, 2003 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2004, were audited by other auditors in their report dated April 14, 2005. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and included an explanatory paragraph describing the substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Lincoln Gold Corporation as of December 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has not generated any revenue and has incurred significant operating losses since inception. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also discussed in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Manning Elliott LLP

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Vancouver, Canada

March 20, 2006

**A PARTNERSHIP OF INCORPORATED
PROFESSIONALS**

**AMISANO HANSON
CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS**

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders,
Lincoln Gold Corporation
(formerly Braden Technologies Inc.)

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Lincoln Gold Corporation (formerly Braden Technologies Inc.) (An Exploration Stage Company) as of December 31, 2004 and the related statements of operations, stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, these financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Lincoln Gold Corporation as of December 31, 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements referred to above have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company is in the exploration stage and has no established source of revenue and is dependent on its ability to raise capital from shareholders or other sources to sustain operations. These factors, along with other matters as set forth in Note 1, raise substantial doubt that the Company will be able to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Vancouver, Canada
April 14, 2005

“Amisano Hanson”
Chartered Accountants

750 WEST PENDER STREET, SUITE 604
VANCOUVER CANADA
V6C 2T7

TELEPHONE: 604-689-0188
FACSIMILE: 604-689-9773
E-MAIL: amishan@telus.net

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|------------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash | 132,806 | 127,785 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | 11,302 | - |
| Total Current Assets | 144,108 | 127,785 |
| Property and Equipment (Note 4) | 7,328 | - |
| Total Assets | 151,436 | 127,785 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | 20,474 | 84,458 |
| Accrued liabilities | 12,097 | 28,807 |
| Due to related parties (Note 6) | 8,080 | 12,479 |
| Loan payable (Note 7) | - | 46,000 |
| Note payable (Note 8) | 100,000 | - |
| Total Current Liabilities | 140,651 | 171,744 |
| Note Payable (Note 8) | - | 200,000 |
| Total Liabilities | 140,651 | 371,744 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 1 and 5) | | |
| Stockholders Equity (Deficit) | | |
| Common Stock, 100,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.001 par value, 41,865,000 and 38,400,000 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 41,865 | 38,400 |
| Additional Paid-in Capital | 3,092,488 | 2,074,663 |
| Stock Subscriptions Receivable | - | (528,000) |
| Deficit Accumulated During the Exploration Stage | (3,123,568) | (1,829,022) |
| Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit) | 10,785 | (243,959) |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity (Deficit) | 151,436 | 127,785 |

F-3

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

| | Accumulated For the Period September 25, 2003 (Date of Inception) To December 31, 2005 \$ | Year Ended December 31, 2005 \$ | Year Ended December 31, 2004 \$ |
|--|--|--|--|
| Revenue | - | - | - |
| Expenses | | | |
| Depreciation | 1,978 | 1,978 | - |
| Foreign exchange loss | 3,790 | 2,115 | 1,675 |
| General and administrative (Note 6(a)) | 2,142,746 | 730,433 | 1,407,503 |
| Impairment loss on mineral properties (Note 2(h)) | 55,000 | 55,000 | - |
| Mineral exploration | 803,652 | 529,017 | 263,126 |
| Total Expenses | 3,007,166 | 1,318,543 | 1,672,304 |
| Loss From Operations | (3,007,166) | (1,318,543) | (1,672,304) |
| Other Income (Expense) | | | |
| Accounts payable written off | 33,564 | 33,564 | - |
| Interest income | 8,414 | 8,414 | - |
| Interest expense | (37,028) | (17,981) | (19,047) |
| Net Loss | (3,002,216) | (1,294,546) | (1,691,351) |
| Net Loss Per Share Basic and Diluted | | (0.03) | (0.06) |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | | 41,079,000 | 30,228,000 |

F-4

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

| | Accumulated For the Period From September 25, 2003 (Date of Inception) To December 31, 2005 \$ | Year Ended December 31, 2005 \$ | Year Ended December 31, 2004 \$ |
|--|--|---|---|
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net loss | (3,002,216) | (1,294,546) | (1,691,351) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Accounts payable written off | (33,564) | (33,564) | |
| Depreciation | 1,978 | 1,978 | - |
| Impairment loss on mineral properties | 55,000 | 55,000 | - |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,145,663 | 108,000 | 1,037,663 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | (11,302) | (11,302) | - |
| Account payable and accrued liabilities | (36,235) | (47,130) | 3,820 |
| Due to related parties | 8,080 | (219) | - |
| Net Cash Used in Operating Activities | (1,872,596) | (1,221,783) | (649,868) |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Cash acquired on acquisition of subsidiary | 68 | - | 68 |
| Mineral property acquisition costs | (55,000) | (55,000) | - |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (9,306) | (9,306) | - |
| Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities | (64,238) | (64,306) | 68 |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Advances from related parties | 4,180 | - | 4,180 |
| Repayment of advances from related parties | (4,180) | (4,180) | |
| Proceeds from loan payable | 46,000 | - | 46,000 |
| Repayment of loan payable | (46,000) | (46,000) | - |
| Issuance of note payable | 200,000 | - | 200,000 |
| Repayment of note payable | (100,000) | (100,000) | - |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock/stock subscriptions received | 1,969,640 | 1,441,290 | 512,000 |
| Net Cash Flows From Financing Activities | 2,069,640 | 1,291,110 | 762,180 |
| Increase in Cash | 132,806 | 5,021 | 112,380 |
| Cash Beginning of Period | - | 127,785 | 15,405 |
| Cash End of Period | 132,806 | 132,806 | 127,785 |
| Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities | - | - | - |
| Supplemental Disclosures | | | |
| Interest paid | 35,000 | 35,000 | - |
| Income tax paid | - | - | - |

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
For the period from September 25, 2003 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

| | Common Stock Number of Shares | Amount | Stock Subscriptions Receivable | Additional Paid-in Capital | Deficit Accumulated During the Exploration Stage | Total |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------|
| Balance September 25, 2003 (Date of Inception) | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Shares issued for cash at \$0.001 per share | 850,000 | 850 | - | - | - | 850 |
| Shares issued for cash at \$0.01 per share | 1,550,000 | 1,550 | - | 13,950 | - | 15,500 |
| Net loss for the period | - | - | - | - | (16,319) | (16,319) |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 2,400,000 | 2,400 | - | 13,950 | (16,319) | 31 |
| Adjustment to number of shares issued and outstanding as a result of the acquisition of Lincoln Gold Corp.: Remove shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. | (2,400,000) | (2,400) | - | 2,400 | - | - |
| Add shares of Lincoln Gold Corporation (formerly Braden Technologies Inc.) Fair value of shares issued in connection with the acquisition of Lincoln Gold Corp. | 11,400,000 | 11,400 | - | (11,400) | - | - |
| Net asset deficiency of legal | 24,000,000 | 24,000 | - | (4,950) | (19,050) | - |

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| parent at date of reverse | | | | | | | |
| take-over | - | - | - | - | (102,302) | (102,302) | |
| transaction | | | | | | | |
| Shares issued for cash at \$0.50 | | | | | | | |
| per share | 700,000 | 700 | - | 349,300 | - | 350,000 | |
| Shares issued for cash at \$0.30 | | | | | | | |
| per share | 2,300,000 | 2,300 | (528,000) | 687,700 | - | 162,000 | |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | - | 1,037,663 | - | 1,037,663 | |
| Net loss for the year | - | - | - | - | (1,691,351) | (1,691,351) | |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 38,400,000 | 38,400 | (528,000) | 2,074,663 | (1,829,022) | (243,959) | |
| Proceeds from stock subscriptions | | | | | | | |
| receivable | - | - | 528,000 | - | - | 528,000 | |
| Shares issued for cash at \$0.30 | | | | | | | |
| per share, net of issuance costs | 3,145,000 | 3,145 | - | 898,145 | - | 901,290 | |
| Shares issued for cash at \$0.60 | | | | | | | |
| per share pursuant to the exercise of stock options | 20,000 | 20 | - | 11,980 | - | 12,000 | |
| Shares issued for services at | | | | | | | |
| \$0.36 per share | 300,000 | 300 | - | 107,700 | - | 108,000 | |
| Net loss for the year | - | - | - | - | (1,294,546) | (1,294,546) | |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 41,865,000 | \$ 41,865 | \$ - | \$ 3,092,488 | \$ (3,123,568) | \$ 10,785 | |

F-6

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2005 and 2004
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

1. Nature of Operations and Continuance of Business

The Company was incorporated in the State of Nevada, USA, on February 17, 1999 under the name of Braden Technologies Inc. Effective March 26, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Lincoln Gold Corp., a private company incorporated in the State of Nevada, USA, on September 25, 2003. On April 6, 2004, the Company and its subsidiary, Lincoln Gold Corp., merged to form Lincoln Gold Corporation.

The Company is an Exploration Stage Company, as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 7 *Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises* . The Company's principal business is the acquisition and exploration of mineral resources. The Company has not presently determined whether its properties contain mineral reserves that are economically recoverable.

These financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which implies the Company will continue to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has never generated revenues since inception and has never paid any dividends and is unlikely to pay dividends or generate earnings in the immediate or foreseeable future. The continuation of the Company as a going concern is dependent upon the continued financial support from its shareholders, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary equity financing to continue operations and to determine the existence, discovery and successful exploitation of economically recoverable reserves in its resource properties, confirmation of the Company's interests in the underlying properties, and the attainment of profitable operations. As at December 31, 2005, the Company has never generated any revenues and has accumulated losses of \$3,123,568 since inception. These financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. These factors raise substantial doubt regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

As at December 31, 2005, the Company has working capital of \$3,457. Management plans to complete private placement sales of the Company's shares in order to raise the funds necessary to pursue its plan of operation and fund working capital.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

a) Basis of Presentation

These financial statements and related notes are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and are expressed in U.S. dollars. The Company's fiscal year-end is December 31.

b) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from

those estimates.

c) Basic and Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The Company computes net income (loss) per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128 *Earnings per Share*. SFAS No. 128 requires presentation of both basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) on the face of the income statement. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders (numerator) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding (denominator) during the period. Diluted EPS gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method and convertible preferred stock using the if-covered method. In computing diluted EPS, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be purchased from the exercise of stock options or warrants. Diluted EPS excludes all dilutive potential shares if their effect is anti dilutive.

F-7

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2005 and 2004
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

d) Comprehensive Loss

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive loss and its components in the financial statements. As at December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company has no items that represent a comprehensive loss and, therefore, has not included a schedule of comprehensive loss in the financial statements.

e) Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with maturity of three months or less at the time of issuance to be cash equivalents.

f) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of office equipment and fixtures, computer software, and computer hardware and is recorded at cost. Depreciation is based on a straight line basis over the following periods: Office equipment and fixtures five years; computer software two years; and computer hardware three years.

g) Long-lived Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the carrying value of intangible assets and other long-lived assets is reviewed on a regular basis for the existence of facts or circumstances that may suggest impairment. The Company recognizes an impairment when the sum of the expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Impairment losses, if any, are measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value.

h) Mineral Property Costs

The Company has been in the exploration stage since its formation on September 25, 2003 and has not yet realized any revenues from its planned operations. It is primarily engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mining properties. Mineral property exploration costs are expensed as incurred. Mineral property acquisition costs are initially capitalized when incurred using the guidance in EITF 04-02, *Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible or Intangible Assets*. The Company assesses the carrying costs for impairment under SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets* at each fiscal quarter end. When it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed as a result of establishing proven and probable reserves, the costs then incurred to develop such property, are capitalized. Such costs will be amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable reserve. If mineral properties are subsequently abandoned or impaired, any capitalized costs will be charged to operations. During the year ended December 31, 2005, mineral exploration acquisition payments totaling \$55,000 were impaired as there are no proven or probable reserves on these properties.

i) Financial Instruments

The fair values of cash, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, due to related parties and note payable approximate their carrying values due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments.

j) Income Taxes

Potential benefits of income tax losses are not recognized in the accounts until realization is more likely than not. The Company has adopted SFAS No. 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes* as of its inception. Pursuant to SFAS No. 109 the Company is required to compute tax asset benefits for net operating losses carried forward. The potential benefits of net operating losses have not been recognized in these financial statements because the Company cannot be assured it is more likely than not it will utilize the net operating losses carried forward in future years.

F-8

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2005 and 2004
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

k) Foreign Currency Translation

The Company's functional and reporting currency is the United States dollar. Foreign currency transactions are primarily undertaken in Canadian dollars and are translated into United States dollars using exchange rates at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are remeasured at each balance sheet date at the exchange rate prevailing at the balance sheet date. Foreign currency exchange gains and losses are charged to operations. The Company has not, to the date of these financial statements, entered into derivative instruments to offset the impact of foreign currency fluctuations.

l) Stock-based Compensation

Stock options granted to employees and non-employees are accounted for under SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), which establishes a fair value based method of accounting for stock based awards, and recognizes compensation expense based on the fair value of the stock award or fair value of the goods and services received, whichever is more reliably measurable.

m) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections - A Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and SFAS No. 3*. SFAS 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle and applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. The provisions of SFAS No. 154 are effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153 *Exchanges of Non-monetary assets - An amendment of APB Opinion No. 29*. The guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Non-monetary Transactions*, is based on the principle that exchanges of non-monetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. The guidance in that Opinion, however, included certain exceptions to that principle. SFAS No. 153 amends Opinion No. 29 to eliminate the exception for non-monetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of non-monetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A non-monetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. The provisions of SFAS No. 153 are effective for non-monetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Early application is permitted and companies must apply the standard prospectively. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Lincoln Gold Corporation
 (An Exploration Stage Company)
 Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
 December 31, 2005 and 2004
 (Expressed in U.S. dollars)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

m) Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share Based Payment*. SFAS No. 123R is a revision of SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123R establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods and services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods and services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. SFAS No. 123R focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. SFAS No. 123R requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award—the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). SFAS No. 123R requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. Public entities that file as small business issuers will be required to apply SFAS No. 123R in the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In March, 2005, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) to give guidance on the implementation of SFAS No. 123R. The Company will consider SAB 107 during implementation of SFAS No. 123R.

n) Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year's financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation.

| | | |
|-------------------------|----|-----------|
| Current Assets | \$ | 68 |
| Current Liabilities | | (102,370) |
| Net Liabilities Assumed | \$ | (102,302) |

F-10

Lincoln Gold Corporation
 (An Exploration Stage Company)
 Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
 December 31, 2005 and 2004
 (Expressed in U.S. dollars)

4. Property and Equipment

| | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation | December 31, 2005 Net Carrying Value | December 31, 2004 Net Carrying Value |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Computer hardware | 4,676 | 902 | 3,774 | |
| Computer software | 1,345 | 616 | 729 | |
| Office equipment and fixtures | 3,285 | 460 | 2,825 | |
| | 9,306 | 1,978 | 7,328 | |

5. Mineral Property Interests

a) Hannah Property

On December 24, 2003, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in twenty-three unpatented lode claims situated in Churchill County, Nevada, USA. The option agreement called for net smelter royalties of 1% to 4% upon production. Pursuant to the option agreement, the Company is required to make option payments totaling \$210,000 as follows:

- i. \$5,000 upon signing the agreement (paid);
- ii. \$5,000 on January 10, 2005 (paid);
- iii. \$10,000 on January 10, 2006 (paid subsequently);
- iv. \$15,000 on January 10, 2007;
- v. \$25,000 on January 10th of each year from 2008 to 2012; and
- vi. \$50,000 on January 10, 2013.

b) Lincoln Flat Property

On December 24, 2003 the Company entered into an option agreement for the acquisition of a 100% interest in twelve mineral claims situated in Lyon and Douglas Counties, Nevada, USA. The option agreement called for net smelter royalties of 1% - 4% upon production. Pursuant to the option agreement, the Company was required to make option payments totaling \$210,000 as follows:

- i. \$5,000 upon signing the agreement (paid);
- ii. \$5,000 on January 10, 2005 (paid);
- iii. \$10,000 on January 10, 2006;
- iv. \$15,000 on January 10, 2007;
- v. \$25,000 on January 10th of each year from 2008 to 2012; and
- vi. \$50,000 on January 10, 2013.

During fiscal 2005, the Company decided not to proceed with the option agreement.

c) JDS Property

In fiscal 2004, the Company acquired, by staking, a 100% interest in seventy-seven mineral claims in Eureka County, Nevada, USA.

F-11

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2005 and 2004
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

5. Mineral Property Interests (continued)

d) Basin Property

On February 12, 2004, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in ten mineral claims situated in Nye County, Nevada, USA. The option agreement called for net smelter royalties upon production. Pursuant to the option agreement, the Company was required to make option payments totaling \$94,200 as follows:

- i. \$3,200 upon signing the agreement (paid);
- ii. \$1,000 by August 1, 2004 (paid);
- iii. \$15,000 by March 1, 2006;
- iv. \$25,000 by March 1, 2007; and
- v. \$50,000 by March 1, 2008

In addition, the Company agreed to a drill program. The Company decided not to proceed with the drill program as it wanted to focus its efforts on the exploration of its other mineral properties. As a result, the Company's interest in the property lapsed on August 15, 2005.

e) Hercules Property

On April 24, 2004, the Company entered into an option agreement under which it can earn up to a 60% interest in the project located in Nevada, USA. To earn its interest, the Company had to pay \$10,000 (paid) and complete a work program on the property of \$75,000 or 3,600 feet of drilling by September 18, 2004. After completing an initial work program, the Company decided not to pursue the option agreement any further.

f) Buffalo Valley Property

On July 9, 2004, the Company entered into a mining lease agreement for a term of twenty years. The agreement calls for the Company to make advance minimum royalties to the Lessor over the term as follows:

- i. \$10,000 upon exercise of the lease (paid);
- ii. \$20,000 by July 9, 2005 (paid);
- iii. \$20,000 by July 9, 2006;
- iv. \$40,000 by July 9, 2007;
- v. \$40,000 by July 9, 2008;
- vi. \$50,000 by July 9, 2009;
- vii. \$50,000 by July 9, 2010;
- viii. \$60,000 by July 9, 2011;
- ix. \$60,000 by July 9, 2012;

- x. \$70,000 by July 9, 2013;
- xi. \$70,000 by July 9, 2014; and
- xii. \$80,000 plus inflation by July 9 of each year from 2015 to 2024.

The agreement is subject to a net smelter return (“NSR”) ranging from 3% to 5% (dependent on the price of gold). The Company has the right to reduce the NSR by purchasing a portion of the Lessor’s NSR such that the Lessor retains minimum a 3% NSR. The purchase price shall be \$250,000 for each 0.25% NSR.

On July 26, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement whereby it granted the right to earn up to a 75% interest in the property to an Optionee. To earn a 60% interest, the Optionee has a work commitment (includes maintaining the underlying leases and claims in good standing) of \$3,000,000 over a five year period as follows:

- i. \$50,000 in year one;
- ii. \$250,000 in year two;
- iii. \$400,000 in year three;
- iv. \$800,000 in year four; and
- v. \$1,500,000 in year five.

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2005 and 2004
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

5. Mineral Property Interests (continued)

e) Buffalo Valley Property (continued)

The Optionee is also obligated to pay remaining advance minimum royalties as described in the schedule above. The Optionee can earn an additional 10% interest for producing a feasibility study and a final 5% interest for loaning or arranging for financing of the Company's share of capital required for mine development and construction costs.

f) Jenny Hill Property

On September 28, 2004, the Company entered into a mining lease and option to purchase agreement comprising ninety-seven mineral claims situated in Mineral and Nye Counties, Nevada for a term of seven years. The agreement calls for the Company to make option payments \$1,500,000 over a seven year period as follows:

- v. \$20,000 upon signing the agreement (paid);
- vi. \$25,000 by September 28, 2005 (paid);
- vii. \$30,000 by September 28, 2006;
- viii. \$60,000 by September 28, 2007;
- ix. \$70,000 by September 28, 2008;
- x. \$80,000 by September 28, 2009;
- xi. \$90,000 by September 28, 2010; and
- xii. \$1,125,000 by September 28, 2011.

The Company must also complete a work program on the property of \$50,000, in the first lease year and \$100,000 for the second and each subsequent lease year until the option is exercised and completed.. The agreement is subject to a net smelter return of 2%.

On December 9, 2005 the Company entered into a non-binding agreement whereby it offered the right to earn a 60% interest in the property to an Optionee. The Optionee can earn a 60% interest by spending \$3,000,000 in exploration work on the property over a five year period with a minimum expenditure of \$200,000 to be spent during the first year. In addition, the Optionee can earn an additional 10% interest by completing a feasibility study on the project and an additional 5% interest (for a total of 75%) by arranging financing on behalf of the Company for its share of the construction costs as a result of

both parties reaching a positive construction decision for a mine operation on the project. A formal agreement is to be signed by both parties within ninety days (extended).

F-13

Lincoln Gold Corporation
(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2005 and 2004
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

8. Note Payable

On January 28, 2004, the Company issued a \$200,000 convertible note with 5,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock of the Company at \$0.04 per share which expire on January 28, 2006. The note carries an interest rate of 10% compounded monthly and is due on January 28, 2006. The interest is payable annually with the second year interest payment due with the principal amount. The holder can convert any portion of the debt to common stock at the value of \$0.04 per share until January 28, 2006. Warrants can be exercised in minimum amounts of 1,000 shares at a conversion price of \$0.04 per share. In accordance with EITF 00-27 "Application of Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments" and EITF 98-5 "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios", there was determined to be minimal fair value related to the warrants issued and there was no beneficial conversion feature amount.

On September 15, 2005 the Company completed an agreement whereby the Company repaid \$100,000 of the convertible note along with \$35,000 accrued interest and agreed to repay the remaining \$100,000 within sixty days. With the completion of the first payment, both the conversion of debt to common stock along with the warrants was cancelled.

9. Common Stock

- a) On March 10, 2005, the Company issued 3,145,000 units at \$0.30 per unit for total cash proceeds of \$943,500 pursuant to a private placement. Each unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share at \$0.40 during the first year and at \$0.50 per share during the second year. The Company paid commissions of \$42,210 in connection with this offering which were deducted from the proceeds.
- b) On March 31, 2005, the Company issued 20,000 common shares at \$0.60 per share for total cash proceeds of \$12,000 pursuant to the exercise of stock options.
- c) On August 15, 2005, the Company issued 300,000 shares of common stock at a fair value of \$108,000 in consideration for providing investor relations and shareholder communication services.
- d) On December 20, 2004, the Company issued 2,300,000 units at \$0.30 per unit for total cash proceeds of \$690,000, of which \$162,000 was received as at December 31, 2004 and the remaining balance of \$528,000 was received in fiscal 2005. Each unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share at \$0.40 during the first year and at \$0.50 per share during the second year.
- e) On May 31, 2004, the Company issued 700,000 common shares at \$0.50 per share for total cash proceeds of \$350,000.
- f) On March 26, 2004, the Company issued 24,000,000 common shares to acquire 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. as described in Note 3.

Weighted

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN GOLD CORP - Form SB-2/A

| | Number of shares | average exercise price \$ |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | - | - |
| Issued | 7,300,000 | 0.16 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 7,300,000 | 0.16 |
| Issued | 3,145,000 | 0.40 |
| Cancelled | (5,000,000) | 0.04 |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 5,445,000 | 0.40 |

F-14

Lincoln Gold Corporation
 (An Exploration Stage Company)
 Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
 December 31, 2005 and 2004
 (Expressed in U.S. dollars)

10. Share Purchase Warrants (continued)

As at December 31, 2005 the following share purchase warrants were outstanding:

| Number of Warrants | Exercise Price | Expiry Date |
|--------------------|----------------|--|
| 2,300,000 | \$0.50 | December 20, 2006 (the exercise price increased from \$0.40 in final year) |
| 3,145,000 | \$0.40 | March 10, 2007 (the exercise price increases to \$0.50 in the final year) |
| 5,445,000 | | |

11. Stock Options

In fiscal 2004, the Board of Directors approved the 2004 Stock Option Plan for a maximum of 2,500,000 shares available to be granted to directors, officers, employees and consultants. The stock option exercise price is set at the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. The term of the stock options, once granted, is not to exceed ten years. The vesting period of the stock options is set at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

On February 23, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the 2005 Stock Option Plan for a maximum of 2,000,000 shares available to be granted to directors, officers, employees and consultants. The stock option exercise price is set at the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. The term of the stock options, once granted, is not to exceed ten years. The vesting period of the stock options is set at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

A summary of the Company's stock option activity is as follows:

| | December 31, 2005 | | December 31, 2004 | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Balance, beginning of year | 2,410,000 | \$0.60 | - | - |
| Granted | - | - | 2,410,000 | \$0.60 |
| Exercised | (20,000) | \$0.60 | - | - |
| Balance, end of year | 2,390,000 | \$0.60 | 2,410,000 | \$0.60 |

Additional information regarding options outstanding as at December 31, 2005 is as follows:

| Outstanding and Exercisable | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Exercise price | Number of shares | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Weighted average exercise price |
| \$ | shares | (years) | \$ |
| | | | |

| | | | |
|------|-----------|-----|------|
| 0.60 | 2,390,000 | 1.4 | 0.60 |
|------|-----------|-----|------|

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company recorded \$1,037,663 for the fair value of stock options granted in accordance with SFAS No. 123.

The fair value of stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2004 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model assuming a dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 115%, risk free interest rate of 2.5%, and weighted average expected option life of two years. The weighted average grant date fair value of the stock options was \$0.43 per share.

F-15

Lincoln Gold Corporation
 (An Exploration Stage Company)
 Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
 December 31, 2005 and 2004
 (Expressed in U.S. dollars)

12. Income Taxes

Potential benefits of income tax losses are not recognized in the accounts until realization is more likely than not. The Company has incurred cumulative net operating losses of approximately \$1,772,000 which commence expiring in 2023. Pursuant to SFAS No. 109 the Company is required to compute tax asset benefits for net operating losses carried forward. The potential benefits of net operating losses have not been recognized in these financial statements because the Company cannot be assured it is more likely than not it will utilize the net operating losses carried forward in future years. During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the valuation allowance established against the deferred tax assets increased by \$415,300 and \$199,200, respectively.

The components of the net deferred tax asset as at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the statutory tax rate, the effective tax rate and the elected amount of the valuation allowance are indicated below:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Net Operating Losses | 1,772,000 | 585,500 |
| Statutory Tax Rate | 35% | 35% |
| Effective Tax Rate | | |
| Deferred Tax Asset | 620,200 | 204,900 |
| Valuation Allowance | (620,200) | (204,900) |
| Net Deferred Tax Asset | | |

F-16

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS

Engagement of Manning Elliott LLP

We engaged Manning Elliott LLP, Chartered Accountants as our principal independent accountant effective May 4, 2005. We dismissed Amisano Hanson, Chartered Accountants ("Amisano Hanson") as our principal independent accountant effective May 4, 2005. The decision to change principal independent accountants has been approved by our board of directors.

Amisano Hanson's report dated April 14, 2005 on the balance sheets of Lincoln Gold Corporation as at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the statements of operations, stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for the periods from inception (September 25, 2003) to December 31, 2004 and 2003 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor was it modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

In connection with the audits of the two fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the subsequent interim period through to April 14, 2005, there were no disagreements with Amisano Hanson on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements if not resolved to the satisfaction of Amisano Hanson would have caused them to make reference thereto in their reports on our audited financial statements.

We provided Amisano Hanson with a copy of the foregoing disclosures and requested in writing that Amisano Hanson furnish us with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether or not they agree with such disclosures. We received the requested letter from Amisano Hanson wherein they have confirmed their agreement to our disclosures. A copy of Amisano Hanson's letter has been filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 10, 2005.

Engagement of Amisano Hanson

We dismissed DeMello & Company, Chartered Accountants ("DeMello & Company") as our principal independent accountant effective February 14, 2005. We engaged Amisano Hanson, Chartered Accountants as our principal independent accountant effective February 14, 2005. The decision to change principal independent accountants has been approved by our board of directors.

DeMello & Company's report dated February 23, 2004 on the balance sheets of Braden Technologies Inc. as at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the statements of operations, stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor was it modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

In connection with the audits of the two fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the subsequent interim period through to February 14, 2005, there were no disagreements with DeMello & Company on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements if not resolved to the satisfaction of the DeMello & Company would have caused them to make reference thereto in their reports on our audited financial statements.

We provided DeMello & Company with a copy of the foregoing disclosures and requested in writing that DeMello & Company furnish us with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether or not they agree with such disclosures. We received the requested letter from DeMello & Company wherein they have confirmed their agreement to our disclosures. A copy of DeMello & Company's letter has been filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2005.

PART II
INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 24. INDEMNIFICATION OF OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

Our officers and directors are indemnified as provided by the Nevada Revised Statutes, our articles of incorporation and our bylaws.

Nevada Revised Statutes

Section 78.138 of the NRS provides for immunity of directors from monetary liability, except in certain enumerated circumstances, as follows:

Except as otherwise provided in NRS 35.230, 90.660, 91.250, 452.200, 452.270, 668.045 and 694A.030, or unless the articles of incorporation or an amendment thereto, in each case filed on or after October 1, 2003, provide for greater individual liability, a director or officer is not individually liable to the corporation or its stockholders or creditors for any damages as a result of any act or failure to act in his capacity as a director or officer unless it is proven that:

- (a) His act or failure to act constituted a breach of his fiduciary duties as a director or officer; and
- (b) His breach of those duties involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law.

Section 78.5702 of the NRS provides as follows:

1. A corporation may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, except an action by or in the right of the corporation, by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, against expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the action, suit or proceeding if he:

- (a) Is not liable pursuant to NRS 78.138; or
- (b) Acted in good faith and in a manner which he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

2. A corporation may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action or suit by or in the right of the corporation to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise against expenses, including amounts paid in settlement and attorneys' fees actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the defense or settlement of the action or suit if he:

- (a) Is not liable pursuant to NRS 78.138; or
- (b) Acted in good faith and in a manner which he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation.

3. To the extent that a director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any action, suit or proceeding referred to in subsections 1 and 2, or in

defense of any claim, issue or matter therein, the corporation shall indemnify him against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the defense.

Our Articles of Incorporation

Our articles of incorporation do not limit the automatic director immunity from liability under the NRS.

Our articles of incorporation further provide that, to the fullest extent permitted by NRS 78, a director or officer of the Corporation will not be personally liable to the Corporation or its stockholders for damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director or officer, provided that this article will not eliminate or limit the liability of a director or officer for:

- (a) acts or omissions which involve intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law; or
- (b) the payment of distributions in violation of NRS 78.300, as amended.

Our articles of incorporation further provide that:

1. we will indemnify to the fullest extent permitted by law any person (the Indemnitee) made or threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (whether or not by or in the right of the Corporation) by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director of the Corporation or is or was serving as a director, officer, employee or agent of another entity at the request of the Corporation or any predecessor of the Corporation against judgments, fines, penalties, excise taxes, amounts paid in settlement and costs, charges and expenses (including attorneys' fees and disbursements) that he or she incurs in connection with such action or proceeding.

2. we will, from time to time, reimburse or advance to any Indemnitee the funds necessary for payment of expenses, including attorneys' fees and disbursements, incurred in connection with defending any proceeding for which he or she is indemnified by the Corporation, in advance of the final disposition of such proceeding; provided that the Corporation has received the undertaking of such director or officer to repay any such amount so advanced if it is ultimately determined by a final and unappealable judicial decision that the director or officer is not entitled to be indemnified for such expenses.

Our Bylaws

Our bylaws provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent not prohibited by Nevada law; provided, however, that we may modify the extent of such indemnification by individual contracts with our directors and officers; and, provided, further, that we shall not be required to indemnify any director or officer in connection with any proceeding (or part thereof) initiated by such person unless:

- (1) such indemnification is expressly required to be made by law;
- (2) the proceeding was authorized by our Board of Directors;
- (3) such indemnification is provided by us, in our sole discretion, pursuant to the powers vested us under Nevada law; or
- (4) such indemnification is required to be made pursuant to the bylaws.

Our bylaws provide that we will advance to any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact that he is or was a director or officer, of the company, or is or was serving at the request of the company as a director or executive officer of another company, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, prior to the final disposition of the proceeding, promptly following request therefore, all expenses incurred

by any director or officer in connection with such proceeding upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of such person to repay said amounts if it should be determined ultimately that such person is not entitled to be indemnified under our bylaws or otherwise.

Our bylaws provide that no advance shall be made by us to an officer of the company, except by reason of the fact that such officer is or was a director of the company in which event this paragraph shall not apply, in any action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, if a determination is reasonably and promptly made: (a) by the board of directors by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to the proceeding, or (b) if such quorum is not obtainable, or, even if obtainable, a quorum of disinterested directors so

directs, by independent legal counsel in a written opinion, that the facts known to the decision-making party at the time such determination is made demonstrate clearly and convincingly that such person acted in bad faith or in a manner that such person did not believe to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the company.

Opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission

We have been advised that, in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities is asserted by one of our directors, officers, or controlling persons in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our legal counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit the question of whether such indemnification is against public policy to a court of appropriate jurisdiction. We will then be governed by the court's decision.

ITEM 25. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION

The following is a list of the expenses to be incurred by Lincoln Gold in connection with the preparation and filing of this Registration Statement. All amounts shown are estimates except for the SEC registration fee:

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee | \$16.04 |
| Accounting fees and expenses | \$5,000 |
| Legal fees and expenses | \$25,000 |
| Transfer agent and registrar fees | \$1,500 |
| Fees and expenses for qualification under state securities laws | \$0 |
| Miscellaneous (including Edgar filing fees) | \$2,000 |
| Total | \$28,500.00 |

We are paying all expenses of the offering listed above. No portion of these expenses will be borne by the selling shareholders. The selling shareholders, however, will pay any other expenses incurred in selling their common stock, including any brokerage or underwriting discounts or commissions paid by the selling shareholders to broker-dealers in connection with the sale of their shares.

ITEM 26. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

We have completed the following issuances of securities without registration under the Securities act of 1933 (the 1933 Act) during the past three years:

March 26, 2004

We completed the acquisition of Lincoln Gold Corp. on March 26, 2004. The Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Lincoln Gold Corp. from the former shareholders of Lincoln Gold Corp. on closing of the acquisition. The Company issued 24,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock to the shareholders of Lincoln Gold Corp. in exchange for an aggregate of 2,400,000 shares of common stock of Lincoln Gold Corp. Of the shares issued, 5,000,000 shares were issued to four investors who are accredited investors, as defined in Rule 501 or Regulation D, pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D. The balance of the 19,000,000 shares were issued to eight shareholders who are non-U.S persons, as defined in Regulation S, pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S. All securities issued were issued as restricted securities and all certificates representing the shares issued will be endorsed with a legend confirming that transfer is restricted unless pursuant to a registration statement under the 1933 Act or pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the 1933 Act.

May 31, 2004

On May 31, 2004, we completed a private placement with two investors of 700,000 shares at a price of \$0.50 per share for total proceeds of \$350,000 pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act. We did not pay any commissions or other fees in connection with the completion of the offering. We completed the offering of the

shares pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act on the basis that the sale of the shares was completed in an offshore transaction , as defined in Rule 902(h) of Regulation S. We did not engage in any directed selling efforts, as defined in Regulation S, in the United States in connection with the sale of the shares. The investors represented to us that the investors were not U.S. persons, as defined in Regulation S, and were not acquiring the shares for the account or benefit of a U.S. person. The subscription agreements executed between us and the investors included statements that the securities had not been registered pursuant to the Act and that the securities may not be offered or sold in the United States unless the securities are registered under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from the Act. The investors agreed by execution of the subscription agreement for the shares: (i) to resell the securities purchased only in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S, pursuant to registration under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Act; (ii) that we are required to refuse to register any sale of the securities purchased unless the transfer is in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S, pursuant to registration under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Act; and (iii) not to engage in hedging transactions with regards to the securities purchased unless in compliance with the Act. All securities issued were endorsed with a restrictive legend confirming that the securities had been issued pursuant to Regulation S of the Act and could not be resold without registration under the Act or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Act.

December 20, 2004

On December 20, 2004, we completed a private placement with three investors of 2,040,000 units at a price of \$0.30 per unit for total proceeds of \$612,000 pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act. Each Unit is comprised of one share of common stock and one share purchase warrant (a Warrant). Each Warrant entitles the investor to purchase one additional share of common stock for a two year period at a price of \$0.40 per share during the period from the date of issue to the date that is one year from the date of issue and at a price of \$0.50 per share during the period from the date that is one year from the date of issue to the date that is two years from the date of issue. We did not pay any commissions or other fees in connection with the completion of the offering. We completed the offering of the units pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act on the basis that the sale of the units was completed in an offshore transaction , as defined in Rule 902(h) of Regulation S. We did not engage in any directed selling efforts, as defined in Regulation S, in the United States in connection with the sale of the units. The investors represented to us that the investors were not U.S. persons, as defined in Regulation S, and were not acquiring the shares for the account or benefit of a U.S. person. The subscription agreements executed between us and the investors included statements that the securities had not been registered pursuant to the Act and that the securities may not be offered or sold in the United States unless the securities are registered under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from the Act. The investors agreed by execution of the subscription agreement for the units: (i) to resell the securities purchased only in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S, pursuant to registration under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Act; (ii) that we are required to refuse to register any sale of the securities purchased unless the transfer is in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S, pursuant to registration under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Act; and (iii) not to engage in hedging transactions with regards to the securities purchased unless in compliance with the Act. All securities issued were endorsed with a restrictive legend confirming that the securities had been issued pursuant to Regulation S of the Act and could not be resold without registration under the Act or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Act.

On December 20, 2004, we completed a private placement with two investors of 260,000 units at a price of \$0.30 per unit for total proceeds of \$78,000 pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act. Each Unit is comprised of one share of common stock and one share purchase warrant (a Warrant). Each Warrant entitles the investor to purchase one additional share of common stock for a two year period at a price of \$0.40 per share during the period from the date of issue to the date that is one year from the date of issue and at a price of \$0.50 per share during the period from the date that is one year from the date of issue to the date that is two years from the date of issue. We did not pay any commissions or other fees in connection with the completion of the offering. The sales were completed pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act on the basis that each investor is an accredited investor , as defined under Rule 501(a) of Regulation D of the Act. Each investor represented to us their intent to acquire the securities for investment purposes for their own account. No general solicitation or general advertising was undertaken in connection with the

offering. All securities issued were endorsed with a restrictive legend confirming that the securities could not be resold without registration under the Act or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Act.

We have agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 in order to register the resale by the investors of the shares and the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants in each of the December 20, 2004 private placements of Units completed pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S and Rule 506 of Regulation D . We have agreed to file the registration statement within 120 days from the date of completion of the sale of the Units.

March 10, 2005

On March 10, 2005, we completed a private placement with forty-three investors of 2,045,000 units at a price of \$0.30 per unit for total proceeds of \$613,500 pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act. Each Unit is comprised of one share of common stock and one share purchase warrant (a Warrant). Each Warrant entitles the investor to purchase one additional share of common stock for a two year period at a price of \$0.40 per share during the period from the date of issue to the date that is one year from the date of issue and at a price of \$0.50 per share during the period from the date that is one year from the date of issue to the date that is two years from the date of issue. We paid total commissions equal to \$38,010 in connection with the completion of the offering. We completed the offering of the units pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act on the basis that the sale of the units was completed in an offshore transaction , as defined in Rule 902(h) of Regulation S. We did not engage in any directed selling efforts, as defined in Regulation S, in the United States in connection with the sale of the units. The investors represented to us that the investors were not U.S. persons, as defined in Regulation S, and were not acquiring the shares for the account or benefit of a U.S. person. The subscription agreements executed between us and the investors included statements that the securities had not been registered pursuant to the Act and that the securities may not be offered or sold in the United States unless the securities are registered under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from the Act. The investors agreed by execution of the subscription agreement for the units: (i) to resell the securities purchased only in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S, pursuant to registration under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Act; (ii) that we are required to refuse to register any sale of the securities purchased unless the transfer is in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S, pursuant to registration under the Act or pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Act; and (iii) not to engage in hedging transactions with regards to the securities purchased unless in compliance with the Act. All securities issued were endorsed with a restrictive legend confirming that the securities had been issued pursuant to Regulation S of the Act and could not be resold without registration under the Act or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Act.

On March 10, 2005, we completed a private placement with ten investors of 1,100,000 units at a price of \$0.30 per unit for total proceeds of \$330,000 pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act. Each Unit is comprised of one share of common stock and one share purchase warrant (a Warrant). Each Warrant entitles the investor to purchase one additional share of common stock for a two year period at a price of \$0.40 per share during the period from the date of issue to the date that is one year from the date of issue and at a price of \$0.50 per share during the period from the date that is one year from the date of issue to the date that is two years from the date of issue. We paid total commissions equal to \$4,200 to a registered broker-dealer in connection with the completion of the offering. The sales were completed pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act on the basis that each investor is an accredited investor , as defined under Rule 501(a) of Regulation D of the Act. Each investor represented to us their intent to acquire the securities for investment purposes for their own account. No general solicitation or general advertising was undertaken in connection with the offering. All securities issued were endorsed with a restrictive legend confirming that the securities could not be resold without registration under the Act or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Act.

We have agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 in order to register the resale by the investors of the shares and the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants in each of the March 10, 2005 private placements of Units completed pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S and Rule 506 of Regulation D. We agreed to file the registration statement within 120 days from the date of completion of the sale of the Units.

August 15, 2005

On August 15, 2005, we issued 300,000 shares of our common stock to two consultants. The shares were issued to the consultants as consideration for services provided by consultants. The shares were issued pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act). The consultants executed an investment agreement with us whereby they represented their status as sophisticated purchasers, confirmed their intention to acquire the shares for investment

purposes and acknowledged that the shares were restricted securities within the meaning of the Act. All certificates representing the shares were endorsed with a restrictive legend.

Almaden Minerals

We issued 50,000 shares of our common stock to Almaden Minerals in March 2006 in accordance with our obligations under the letter of intent entered into between us and Almaden Minerals for the La Bufa property. The issuance of the shares was completed pursuant to Rule 903 of Regulation S of the Act on the basis that the sale of the shares was completed in an offshore transaction, as defined in Rule 902(h) of Regulation S. We did not

engage in any directed selling efforts, as defined in Regulation S, in the United States in connection with the sale of the shares. Almaden Minerals represented to us that it is not a U.S. person, as defined in Regulation S, and was not acquiring the shares for the account or benefit of a U.S. person. All certificates representing the shares issued were endorsed with a restrictive legend confirming that the securities had been issued pursuant to Regulation S of the Act and could not be resold without registration under the Act or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Act.

ITEM 27. EXHIBITS.

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation ⁽¹⁾ |
| 3.2 | Bylaws, as amended ⁽¹⁾ |
| 3.3 | Articles of Merger between Braden Technologies Inc. and Lincoln Gold Corp. ⁽³⁾ |
| 4.1 | Form of Series A Warrant Certificate ⁽⁷⁾ |
| 4.2 | Form of Series B Warrant Certificate ⁽⁷⁾ |
| 4.3 | Form of Subscription Agreement ⁽⁷⁾ |
| 5.1 | Opinion of Lang Michener LLP, with consent to use, regarding the legality of the securities being registered ⁽⁷⁾ |
| 10.1 | Form of Share Purchase Agreement dated March 15, 2004 between the Company and the U.S. Shareholders of Lincoln Gold Corp. ⁽²⁾ |
| 10.2 | Form of Share Purchase Agreement dated March 15, 2004 between the Company and the Non-U.S. Shareholders of Lincoln Gold Corp. ⁽²⁾ |
| 10.3 | Convertible Note executed by Lincoln Gold Corp. in favour of Alexander Holtermann dated January 28, 2004 ⁽³⁾ |
| 10.4 | Hercules Joint Venture Agreement dated April 18, 2004 between the Company and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. and Miranda Gold Corp. ⁽³⁾ |
| 10.5 | 2004 Stock Option Plan ⁽³⁾ |
| 10.6 | Letter Agreement on Mining Lease Terms for Buffalo Valley Property dated July 9, 2004 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| 10.7 | Letter Agreement on Mining Lease Terms for the Jenny Hill Project dated September 28, 2004 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| 10.8 | Property Option Agreement for the Hannah project between Lincoln Gold Corp. and Larry McIntosh and Susan K. McIntosh dated December 24, 2003 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| 10.9 | Property Option Agreement for the Lincoln Flat project between Lincoln Gold Corp. and Larry McIntosh and Susan K. McIntosh dated December 24, 2003 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| 10.10 | 2005 Stock Option Plan ⁽⁸⁾ |
| <u>23.1</u> | <u>Consent of Independent Auditors, Amisano Hanson ⁽⁹⁾</u> |
| <u>23.2</u> | <u>Consent of Independent Auditors, Manning Elliott LLP ⁽⁹⁾</u> |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (Included on the signature page of this registration statement) ⁽⁷⁾ |

(1) Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-SB Registration Statement originally filed on April 20, 1999, as amended.

(2) Previously filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2004.

- (3) Previously filed as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the six months ended March 31, 2004 filed May 24, 2004.
- (4) Previously filed as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10QSB for the six months ended June 30, 2004 filed August 6, 2004.
- (5) Previously filed as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the nine months ended October 30, 2004 filed November 15, 2004.
- (6) Previously filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed on April 18, 2005.
- (7) Filed as an exhibit to this Amendment No. 1 to Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on February 16, 2006.
- (8) Filed as an Exhibit to this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed on March 31, 2006.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to this Amendment No. 2 to Form SB-2.

ITEM 28. UNDERTAKINGS.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:

1. To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:

- (a) To include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933;
- (b) To reflect in the prospectus any facts or events which, individually or together, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in this registration statement; provided that any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in the volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the Calculation of Registration Fee table in the effective registration statement.
- (c) To include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution, provided, however, that paragraphs (a) and (b) do not apply if the information required to be included in a post-effective amendment by those paragraphs is contained in periodic reports filed by the Registrant pursuant to Section 13 or Section 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

2. That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered herein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

3. To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered hereby which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.

4. That, for the purpose of determining liability of the undersigned small business issuer under the Securities

Act to any purchaser in the initial distribution of the securities, the undersigned small business issuer undertakes that in a primary offering of securities of the undersigned small business issuer pursuant to this registration statement, regardless of the underwriting method used to sell the securities to the purchaser, if the securities are offered or sold to such purchaser by means of any of the following communications, the undersigned small business issuer will be a seller to the purchaser and will be considered to offer or sell such securities to such purchaser:

- (i)

Any preliminary prospectus or prospectus of the undersigned small business issuer relating to the offering required to be filed pursuant to Rule 424 of Regulation C of the Securities Act;

- (ii) Any free writing prospectus relating to the offering prepared by or on behalf of the undersigned small business issuer or used or referred to by the undersigned small business issuer;
- (iii) The portion of any other free writing prospectus relating to the offering containing material information about the undersigned small business issuer or its securities provided by or on behalf of the undersigned small business issuer; and

- (iv) Any other communication that is an offer in the offering made by the undersigned small business issuer to the purchaser.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the provisions above, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, and is, therefore, unenforceable.

In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities, other than the payment by us of expenses incurred or paid by one of our directors, officers, or controlling persons in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding, is asserted by one of our directors, officers, or controlling persons in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, and we will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

Each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than registration statements relying on Rule 430B or other than prospectuses filed in reliance on Rule 430A, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form SB-2 and has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Vancouver, Province of British Columbia on April 5, 2006.

LINCOLN GOLD CORPORATION

By:

/s/ Paul Saxton

Paul Saxton

**President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief
Financial Officer (Principal Executive Officer
and Principal Accounting Officer)**

Page 66
