

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC
Form 10-K
March 15, 2016

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS Form 10-K](#)

[Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk.](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

Or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 001-09279

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

13-3147497

(I.R.S. employer
Identification No.)

60 Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

11021

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(516) 466-3100**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share

Name of exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **NONE**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a
small reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2015 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter), the aggregate market value of all common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the price at which common equity was last sold on said date, was approximately \$270 million.

As of March 9, 2016, the registrant had 17,001,058 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders of One Liberty Properties, Inc., to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than April 29, 2016, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Form 10-K

| Item No. | | Page(s) |
|------------------------|---|----------------|
| <u><i>PART I</i></u> | | |
| <u>1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>20</u> |
| <u>2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u><i>PART II</i></u> | | |
| <u>5.</u> | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>28</u> |
| <u>6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>9.</u> | <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u><i>PART III</i></u> | | |
| <u>10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u><i>PART IV</i></u> | | |
| <u>15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>53</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>56</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

General

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, also known as a REIT. We were incorporated in Maryland on December 20, 1982. We acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio, consisting primarily of retail, industrial, flex and health and fitness properties, many of which are under long-term leases. Many of our leases are "net leases" and ground leases under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. As of December 31, 2015, we own 107 properties (excluding a portfolio of eight properties disposed of in February 2016) and participate in joint ventures that own five properties. These properties and the properties owned by our joint ventures are located in 30 states and have an aggregate of approximately 8.2 million square feet (including an aggregate of approximately 967,000 square feet at properties owned by our joint ventures).

As of December 31, 2015:

our 2016 contractual rental income (as described below) is \$57.3 million.

the occupancy rate of our properties is 98.4% based on square footage.

the occupancy rate of properties owned by our joint ventures is 97.6% based on square footage.

the weighted average remaining term of our mortgage debt is 9.1 years and the weighted average interest rate thereon is 4.71%.

the weighted average remaining term of the leases generating our 2016 contractual rental income and for the leases at properties owned by our joint ventures is 8.1 years and 3.6 years, respectively.

Our 2016 contractual rental income represents, after giving effect to any abatements, concessions or adjustments, the base rent payable to us in 2016 under leases in effect at December 31, 2015. Contractual rental income for 2016: (i) includes \$452,000 of base rent payable in 2016 by Sports Authority located in Greenwood Village, Colorado, which filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on March 2, 2016; and (ii) excludes approximately \$1.2 million of straight-line rent, amortization of approximately \$651,000 of intangibles, the base rent payable with respect to a portfolio of eight retail properties we sold in February 2016, and our share of the base rent payable to our joint ventures, which in 2016 is approximately \$2.7 million.

2015 Highlights and Recent Developments

In 2015:

our rental income, net, increased by \$2.3 million, or 4.1%, from 2014.

we acquired seven properties (including our partner's interest in an unconsolidated joint venture) for an aggregate purchase price of \$73.5 million, including new mortgage debt of \$26.9 million. The acquired properties account for \$6.7 million, or 11.8%, of our 2016 contractual rental income.

we acquired, through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% equity interest, a retail center located in Manahawkin, New Jersey for \$43.5 million, inclusive of \$26.1 million of new mortgage debt bearing an annual interest rate

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

of 4% and maturing in 2025.

we sold a retail center in Cherry Hill, NJ for \$16.0 million, net of closing costs, resulting in a gain of \$5.4 million, before giving effect to a swap termination fee of \$472,000 and the write-off

Table of Contents

of \$249,000 of the remaining deferred financing costs. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction is \$1.3 million.

we obtained (i) an aggregate of \$42.2 million from mortgage financings secured by properties acquired in 2015 and 2014 and (ii) \$29.2 million of net proceeds from financings and refinancings of mortgage debt secured by properties acquired prior to 2014.

we increased our quarterly dividend 5.1% to \$0.41 per share, commencing with the dividend declared in December 2015.

we raised \$6.5 million from the issuance of 295,000 shares of common stock pursuant to our at-the-market equity offering program.

On February 1, 2016, we sold a portfolio of eight retail properties located in Louisiana and Mississippi with an aggregate of 25,197 square feet for \$13.8 million and paid off the \$7.8 million mortgage. In the quarter ending March 31, 2016, we anticipate recognizing a \$785,000 gain on this sale and incurring a mortgage prepayment expense of \$380,000. In 2015, this portfolio accounted for 2.3% of rental income and 3.1% of mortgage interest expense.

In the narrative portion of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

the information with respect to our consolidated joint ventures is generally described as if such ventures are our wholly owned subsidiaries and information with respect to unconsolidated joint ventures is generally separately described,

except as otherwise indicated, all references to joint ventures refer to unconsolidated joint ventures,

except as otherwise indicated, all interest rates with respect to mortgage debt give effect to the related interest rate derivative, if any,

2016 contractual rental income derived from multiple properties leased pursuant to a master lease is allocated among such properties based on management's estimate of the appropriate allocations, and

the rental, operating, mortgage and statistical information, except as otherwise indicated herein, excludes the portfolio of eight retail properties sold in February 2016.

Acquisition Strategies

We seek to acquire properties throughout the United States that have locations, demographics and other investment attributes that we believe to be attractive. We believe that long-term leases provide a predictable income stream over the term of the lease, making fluctuations in market rental rates and in real estate values less significant to achieving our overall investment objectives. Our primary goal is to acquire single-tenant properties that are subject to long-term net or ground leases that include periodic contractual rental increases or rent increases based on increases in the consumer price index. Periodic contractual rental increases provide reliable increases in future rent payments and rent increases based on the consumer price index provide protection against inflation. Historically, long-term leases have made it easier for us to obtain longer-term, fixed-rate mortgage financing with principal amortization, thereby moderating the interest rate risk associated with financing or refinancing our property portfolio by reducing the outstanding principal balance over time. We may, however, acquire a property that is subject to a short-term lease when we believe the property represents a good opportunity for recurring income and residual value. Although the acquisition of single-tenant properties subject to net and ground leases is the focus of our investment strategy, we also consider investments in, among other things, (i) properties that can be re-positioned or re-developed, (ii) community shopping centers anchored by national or regional tenants and (iii) properties ground leased to operators of multi-family

Table of Contents

properties. We pay substantially all the operating expenses at community shopping centers, a significant portion of which is reimbursed by tenants pursuant to their leases.

Generally, we hold the properties we acquire for an extended period of time. Our investment criteria are intended to identify properties from which increased asset value and overall return can be realized from an extended period of ownership. Although our investment criteria favor an extended period of ownership, we will dispose of a property if we regard the disposition of the property as an opportunity to realize the overall value of the property sooner or to avoid future risks by achieving a determinable return from the property.

We identify properties through the network of contacts of our senior management and our affiliates, which includes real estate brokers, private equity firms, banks and law firms. In addition, we attend industry conferences and engage in direct solicitations.

Our charter documents do not limit the number of properties in which we may invest, the amount or percentage of our assets that may be invested in any specific property or property type, or the concentration of investments in any region in the United States. We do not intend to acquire properties located outside of the United States. We will continue to form entities to acquire interests in real properties, either alone or with other investors, and we may acquire interests in joint ventures or other entities that own real property.

It is our policy, and the policy of our affiliated entities, that any investment opportunity presented to us or to any of our affiliated entities that involves the acquisition of a net leased property, a ground lease or a community shopping center, will first be offered to us and may not be pursued by any of our affiliated entities unless we decline the opportunity. Further, to the extent our affiliates are unable or unwilling to pursue an acquisition of a multi-family property (including a ground lease of a multi-family property), we may pursue such transaction if it meets our investment objectives.

Investment Evaluation

In evaluating potential investments, we consider, among other criteria, the following:

the current and projected cash flow of the property;

the estimated return on equity to us;

an evaluation of the property and improvements, given its location and use;

local demographics (population and rental trends);

the terms of tenant leases, including co-tenancy provisions and the relationship between current rents and market rents;

the ability of a tenant, if a net leased property, or major tenants, if a shopping center, to meet operational needs and lease obligations;

the projected residual value of the property;

the potential to finance or refinance the property;

potential for income and capital appreciation;

occupancy of and demand for similar properties in the market area; and

alternate uses or tenants for the property.

Table of Contents

Our Business Objective

Our business objective is to maintain and increase, over time, the cash available for distribution to our stockholders by:

identifying opportunistic and strategic property acquisitions consistent with our portfolio and our acquisition strategies;

obtaining mortgage indebtedness (including refinancings) on favorable terms and maintaining access to capital to finance property acquisitions;

monitoring and maintaining our portfolio, including tenant negotiations and lease amendments with tenants having financial difficulty; and

managing assets effectively, including lease extensions and opportunistic and strategic property sales.

Typical Property Attributes

As of December 31, 2015, the properties in our portfolio and those owned by our joint ventures typically have the following attributes:

Net or ground leases. Substantially all of the leases are net and ground leases under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. We believe that investments in net and ground leased properties offer more predictable returns than investments in properties that are not net or ground leased;

Long-term leases. Many of our leases are long-term leases. Excluding leases relating to properties owned by our joint ventures, the weighted average remaining term of our leases is 8.1 years, leases representing approximately 40.7% of our 2016 contractual rental income expire between 2021 and 2024, and leases representing approximately 37.0% of our 2016 contractual rental income expire after 2024; and

Scheduled rent increases. Leases representing approximately 84.9% of our 2016 contractual rental income and leases representing 27.0% of our share of the base rent payable in 2016 with respect to properties owned by joint ventures provide for either periodic contractual rent increases or a rent increase based on the consumer price index.

Table of Contents**Our Tenants**

The following table sets forth information about the diversification of our tenants by industry sector as of December 31, 2015:

| Type of Property | Number of Tenants | Number of Properties | 2016 Contractual Rental Income | Percentage of 2016 Contractual Rental Income |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Retail General | 77 | 35 | \$ 16,186,334 | 28.3% |
| Industrial | 15 | 18 | 13,027,873 | 22.7 |
| Retail Furniture(1) | 4 | 14 | 5,821,981 | 10.2 |
| Retail Restaurant | 16 | 19 | 3,933,599 | 6.9 |
| Flex | 3 | 3 | 3,246,265 | 5.7 |
| Health & Fitness | 1 | 3 | 3,075,583 | 5.4 |
| Retail Office Supply(2) | 2 | 7 | 2,430,407 | 4.2 |
| Retail Supermarket | 2 | 2 | 2,402,194 | 4.2 |
| Other | 6 | 6 | 7,149,186 | 12.4 |
| | 126 | 107 | \$ 57,273,422 | 100.0% |

(1) Eleven properties are net leased to Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc., which we refer to as Haverty Furniture, pursuant to a master lease covering all such properties.

(2) Includes seven properties which are net leased to Office Depot pursuant to seven separate leases. Five of the Office Depot leases contain cross-default provisions. Also includes one property net leased to OfficeMax which was acquired by Office Depot in November 2013.

Many of our tenants (including franchisees of national chains) operate on a national basis and include, among others, Applebees, Barnes & Noble, CarMax, CVS, FedEx, Ferguson Enterprises, Kohl's, LA Fitness, Marshalls, Men's Wearhouse, Northern Tool, Office Depot, Party City, PetSmart, TGI Fridays, Sports Authority, Ross Stores, Shutterfly, Urban Outfitters, Walgreens, Wendy's and Whole Foods and some of our tenants operate on a regional basis, including Haverty Furniture, Giant Food Stores and hhgregg.

Our Leases

Many of our leases are net or ground leases (including the leases entered into by our joint ventures) under which the tenant, in addition to its rental obligation, typically is responsible for expenses attributable to the operation of the property, such as real estate taxes and assessments, water and sewer rents and other charges. The tenant is also generally responsible for maintaining the property and for restoration following a casualty or partial condemnation. The tenant is typically obligated to indemnify us for claims arising from the property and is responsible for maintaining insurance coverage for the property it leases and naming us an additional insured. Under some net leases, we are responsible for structural repairs, including foundation and slab, roof repair or replacement and restoration following a casualty event, and at several properties we are responsible for certain expenses related to the operation and maintenance of the property.

Our typical lease provides for contractual rent increases periodically throughout the term of the lease or for rent increases pursuant to a formula based on the consumer price index. Some of our leases provide for minimum rents supplemented by additional payments based on sales derived from the property subject to the lease (*i.e.*, percentage rent). Percentage rent contributed, and is expected to contribute, a nominal amount to 2015 rental income and 2016 rental income, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Generally, our strategy is to acquire properties that are subject to existing long-term leases or to enter into long-term leases with our tenants. Our leases generally provide the tenant with one or more renewal options.

The following table sets forth scheduled lease expirations of leases for our properties as of December 31, 2015:

| Year of Lease Expiration(1) | Number of Expiring Leases | Approximate Square Footage Subject to Expiring Leases | 2016 Contractual Rental Income Under Expiring Leases | Percent of 2016 Contractual Rental Income Represented by Expiring Leases |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 2016(2) | 11 | 271,040 | \$ 1,492,034 | 2.6% |
| 2017 | 20 | 138,672 | 2,525,827 | 4.4 |
| 2018(3) | 20 | 368,097 | 4,197,408 | 7.3 |
| 2019 | 10 | 124,648 | 1,665,909 | 2.9 |
| 2020 | 10 | 142,008 | 2,913,573 | 5.1 |
| 2021 | 13 | 438,564 | 3,836,682 | 6.7 |
| 2022 | 14 | 1,894,794 | 13,542,281 | 23.6 |
| 2023 | 7 | 562,820 | 4,046,758 | 7.1 |
| 2024 | 5 | 377,222 | 1,909,589 | 3.3 |
| 2025 and thereafter | 37 | 2,495,996 | 21,143,361 | 37.0 |
| | 147 | 6,813,861 | \$ 57,273,422 | 100.0% |

(1) Lease expirations assume tenants do not exercise existing renewal options.

(2) Subsequent to December 31, 2015, two leases accounting for an aggregate of \$460,642 of the \$1,492,034, or 30.9%, of 2016 contractual rental income associated with the leases scheduled to expire in 2016, were extended until 2021 and 2023.

(3) Subsequent to December 31, 2015, a lease that accounts for \$1,160,320 of the \$4,197,408, or 27.6%, of 2016 contractual rental income with respect to leases expiring in 2018, was extended until 2028.

Financing, Re-Renting and Disposition of Our Properties

Our charter documents do not limit the level of debt we may incur. Our revolving credit facility matures on December 31, 2018 and, among other things, limits total debt that we may incur to 70% of the value of our properties (as determined pursuant to the credit facility). We borrow funds on a secured and unsecured basis and intend to continue to do so in the future.

We mortgage specific properties on a non-recourse basis, subject to the standard carve-outs described under "Item 2. Properties Mortgage Debt", to enhance the return on our investment in a specific property. The proceeds of mortgage loans may be used for property acquisitions, investments in joint ventures or other entities that own real property, to reduce bank debt and for working capital purposes. The funds available pursuant to our credit facility may be used to payoff existing mortgages, fund the acquisition of additional properties, and to a more limited extent, invest in joint ventures and for working capital. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility.

With respect to properties we acquire on a free and clear basis, we usually seek to obtain long-term fixed-rate mortgage financing, when available at acceptable terms, shortly after the acquisition of such property to avoid the risk of movement of interest rates and fluctuating supply and demand in the mortgage markets. We also will acquire a property that is subject to (and will assume) a

Table of Contents

fixed-rate mortgage. Substantially all of our mortgages provide for amortization of part of the principal balance during the term, thereby reducing the refinancing risk at maturity. Some of our properties may be financed on a cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized basis, and we may collateralize a single financing with more than one property.

After termination or expiration of any lease relating to any of our properties, we will seek to re-rent or sell such property in a manner that will maximize the return to us, considering, among other factors, the income potential and market value of such property. We acquire properties for long-term investment for income purposes and do not typically engage in the turnover of investments. We will consider the sale of a property if a sale appears advantageous in view of our investment objectives. If there is a substantial tax gain, we may seek to enter into a tax deferred transaction and reinvest the proceeds in another property. Cash realized from the sale of properties, net of required payoffs of the related mortgage debt, if any, required paydowns of our credit facility, and distributions to stockholders, is available for general working capital purposes and the acquisition of additional properties.

Our Joint Ventures

As of December 31, 2015, we participated in five joint ventures that own an aggregate of five properties, with approximately 967,000 rentable square feet of space. Four of the properties are retail properties and one is an industrial property. We own 50% of the equity interest in all of these joint ventures. At December 31, 2015, our investment in joint ventures was approximately \$11.4 million.

Based on the leases in effect at December 31, 2015, we anticipate that our share of the base rent payable in 2016 to our joint ventures is approximately \$2.7 million. Leases for two properties are expected to contribute 88.4% of the aggregate projected base rent payable to all of our joint ventures in 2016. Leases with respect to 7.2%, 62.5% and 30.3% of the aggregate projected base rent payable to all of our joint ventures in 2016, is payable pursuant to leases expiring from 2016 to 2017, from 2018 to 2019, and thereafter, respectively.

Competition

We face competition for the acquisition of properties from a variety of investors, including domestic and foreign corporations and real estate companies, financial institutions, insurance companies, pension funds, investment funds, other REITs and individuals, some of which have significant advantages over us, including a larger, more diverse group of properties and greater financial and other resources than we have.

Our Structure

Nine employees, including Patrick J. Callan, Jr., our president and chief executive officer, Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr., our executive vice president and chief operating officer, Justin Clair, a vice-president, Karen Dunleavy, vice president-financial and five other employees, devote all of their business time to us. Our other executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel provide their services to us on a part-time basis pursuant to the compensation and services agreement described below.

We entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property Management Corp., effective as of January 1, 2007. Majestic Property is wholly-owned by our vice chairman of the board and it provides compensation to certain of our executive officers. Pursuant to this agreement, we pay an annual fee to Majestic Property and Majestic Property provides us with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel that we use on a part time basis, as well as property management services, property acquisition, sales and leasing and mortgage

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

brokerage services. The fees we pay Majestic Property are negotiated by us and Majestic Property and are approved by our audit committee and independent directors.

In 2015, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we paid Majestic Property a fee of approximately \$2.3 million (including \$892,500 for property management services) and \$196,000 for our share of all direct office expenses, including, among other expenses, rent, telephone, postage, computer services and internet usage. Effective January 1, 2016, in lieu of a fixed fee for the property management services provided pursuant to this agreement, we will pay Majestic Property 1.5% and 2.0% of the rental payments (including tenant reimbursements) actually received by us from net lease tenants and operating lease tenants, respectively, and will not pay Majestic Property property management fees with respect to properties managed by third parties. Based on our portfolio of properties at December 31, 2015, we estimate that the property management fee in 2016 will be approximately \$1.0 million.

We believe that the compensation and services agreement allows us to benefit from (i) access to, and from the services of, a group of senior executives with significant knowledge and experience in the real estate industry and our company, (ii) other individuals who perform services on our behalf, and (iii) general economies of scale. If not for this agreement, we believe that a company of our size would not have access to the skills and expertise of these executives at the cost that we have incurred and will incur in the future. For a description of the background of our management, please see the information under the heading "Executive Officers" in Part I of this Annual Report. See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for information regarding equity awards to individuals performing services on our behalf pursuant to the compensation and services agreement.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.onelibertyproperties.com. On the Investor Information page of our web site, we post the following filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"): our annual report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All such filings on our Investor Information Web page, which also includes Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available to be viewed free of charge.

On the Corporate Governance page of our web site, we post the following charters and guidelines: Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as amended and restated. All such documents on our Corporate Governance Web page are available to be viewed free of charge.

Information contained on our web site is not part of, and is not incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our other filings with the SEC. A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and those items disclosed on our Investor Information Web page and our Corporate Governance Web page are available without charge upon written request to: One Liberty Properties, Inc., 60 Cutter Mill Road, Suite 303, Great Neck, New York 11021, Attention: Secretary.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provision for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and

Table of Contents

include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "may," "will," "could," "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," or similar expressions or variations thereof. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

the financial condition of our tenants and the performance of their lease obligations;

general economic and business conditions, including those currently affecting our nation's economy and real estate markets;

the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity;

accessibility of debt and equity capital markets;

general and local real estate conditions, including any changes in the value of our real estate;

compliance with credit facility covenants;

increased competition for leasing of vacant space due to current economic conditions;

changes in governmental laws and regulations relating to real estate and related investments;

the level and volatility of interest rates;

competition in our industry; and

the other risks described under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this report and in any other public statements we make may turn out to be incorrect. Actual results may differ from our forward-looking statements because of inaccurate assumptions we might make or because of the occurrence of known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Many factors mentioned in the discussion below will be important in determining future results. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Actual future results may vary materially.

Except as may be required under the United States federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make in our reports that are filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Set forth below is a discussion of certain risks affecting our business. The categorization of risks set forth below is meant to help you better understand the risks facing our business and is not intended to limit your consideration of the possible effects of these risks to the listed categories. Any adverse effects arising from the realization of any of the risks discussed, including our financial condition and results of operation, may, and likely will, adversely affect many aspects of our business.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

In addition to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K, readers should carefully consider the following risk factors:

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Business

If we are unable to re-rent properties upon the expiration of our leases or if our tenants default or seek bankruptcy protection, our rental income will be reduced and we would incur additional costs.

Substantially all of our revenues are derived from rental income paid by our tenants. From 2016 through 2018, leases with respect to 51 tenants that account for 14.3% of our 2016 contractual rental income, expire. If our tenants, and in particular, our significant tenants, (i) do not renew their leases upon the expiration of same, (ii) default on their obligations or (iii) seek rent relief, lease renegotiation or other accommodations, our revenues could decline. At the same time, we would remain responsible for the payment of the mortgage obligations with respect to the related properties and would become responsible for the operating expenses related to these properties, including, among other things, real estate taxes, maintenance and insurance. In addition, we may incur expenses in enforcing our rights as landlord. Even if we find replacement tenants or renegotiate leases with current tenants, the terms of the new or renegotiated leases, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, or the expense of the reconfiguration of a single tenancy property for use by multiple tenants, may be less favorable than current lease terms and could reduce the amount of cash available to meet expenses and pay distributions. Since June 2015, a tenant at a Philadelphia, Pennsylvania property (*i.e.*, Pathmark) and a tenant at a Greenwood Village, Colorado property (*i.e.*, Sports Authority) have sought bankruptcy protection. While we believe we will find replacement tenants for these properties, no assurance can be given that we will be successful in this regard.

Approximately 53.8% of our 2016 contractual rental income is derived from tenants operating in the retail industry and the failure of those tenants to pay rent would significantly reduce our revenues.

Approximately 53.8% of our 2016 contractual rental income is derived from retail tenants, including 10.2% and 4.2%, from tenants engaged in retail furniture (*i.e.*, Haverty Furniture, which accounts for 8.0% of 2016 contractual rental income) and office supply activities (*i.e.*, Office Depot, which accounts for 4.2% of 2016 contractual rental income), respectively.

Various factors could cause our retail tenants to close their locations, including difficult economic conditions and corporate merger activity. Corporate merger activity, such as the proposed merger between Office Depot and Staples, may result in the closure of duplicate or geographically overlapping retail locations. Based on our analysis, three of our seven Office Depot properties will overlap geographically with Staples' properties as a result, the company resulting from this proposed merger, if it is completed, may determine to close one or more of such locations. The failure of our retail tenants to meet their lease obligations, including rent payment obligations, due to difficult economic conditions, corporate merger activity and otherwise, may make it difficult for us to satisfy our operating and debt service requirements, make capital expenditures and make distributions to stockholders.

Approximately 26.7% of our 2016 contractual rental income is derived from five tenants. The default, financial distress or failure of any of these tenants could significantly reduce our revenues.

Haverty Furniture, LA Fitness, Northern Tool, Ferguson Enterprises and Office Depot account for approximately 8.0%, 5.4%, 4.8%, 4.3% and 4.2%, respectively, of our 2016 contractual rental income. The default, financial distress or bankruptcy of any of these tenants could cause interruptions in the receipt of, or the loss of, a significant amount of rental income and would require us to pay operating expenses (including real estate taxes) currently paid by the tenant. This could also result in the vacancy of the property or properties occupied by the defaulting tenant, which would significantly reduce our rental revenues and net income until the re-rental of the property or properties, and could decrease the ultimate sale value of the property.

Table of Contents

Declines in the value of our properties could result in impairment charges.

If we are presented with indications of an impairment in the value of a particular property or group of properties, we will be required to evaluate any such property or properties. If we determine that any of our properties at which indicators of impairment exist have a fair market value below the net book value of such property, we may be required to recognize an impairment charge for the difference between the fair value and the book value during the quarter in which we make such determination; such impairment charges may then increase in subsequent quarters. This evaluation may lead us to write off any straight-line rent receivable balance recorded with respect to such property. In addition, we may incur losses from time to time if we dispose of properties for sales prices that are less than our book value.

Competition that traditional retail tenants face from on-line retail sales could adversely affect our business.

Our retail tenants face increasing competition from on-line retailers. On-line retailers may be able to provide customers with better pricing and the ease and comfort of shopping from their home or office. Internet sales have been obtaining an increasing percentage of retail sales over the past few years and this trend is expected to continue. The continued growth of on-line sales could decrease the need for traditional retail outlets and reduce retailers' space and property requirements. This could adversely impact our ability to rent space at our retail properties and increase competition for retail tenants thereby reducing the rent we would receive at these properties and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to refinance our mortgage loans at maturity, we may be forced to sell properties at disadvantageous terms, which would result in the loss of revenues and in a decline in the value of our portfolio.

We had, as of December 31, 2015, \$326.6 million in mortgage debt outstanding, all of which is non-recourse (subject to standard carve-outs) and our ratio of mortgage debt to total assets was 51.2%. Our joint ventures had \$36.8 million in total mortgage indebtedness (all of which is non-recourse, subject to standard carve-outs). The risks associated with our mortgage debt and the mortgage debt of our joint ventures include the risk that cash flow from properties securing the indebtedness and our available cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest.

Generally, only a relatively small portion of the principal of our mortgage indebtedness will be repaid prior to or at maturity and we do not plan to retain sufficient cash to repay such indebtedness at maturity. Accordingly, to meet these obligations if they cannot be refinanced at maturity, we will have to use funds available under our credit facility, if any, and our available cash and cash equivalents to pay our mortgage debt or seek to raise funds through the financing of unencumbered properties, sale of properties or the issuance of additional equity. From 2016 through 2020, approximately \$103.8 million of our mortgage debt matures specifically, \$31.0 million in 2016, \$23.3 million in 2017, \$19.1 million in 2018, \$17.4 million in 2019 and \$13.0 million in 2020. With respect to our joint ventures, approximately \$7.9 million of mortgage debt matures from 2016 through 2020 specifically, \$866,000 in 2016, \$912,000 in 2017, \$4.3 million in 2018, \$877,000 in 2019, and \$911,000 in 2020. If we (or our joint ventures) are unsuccessful in refinancing or extending existing mortgage indebtedness or financing unencumbered properties, selling properties on favorable terms or raising additional equity, our cash flow (or the cash flow of a joint venture) will not be sufficient to repay all maturing mortgage debt when payments become due, and we (or a joint venture) may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms or convey properties secured by mortgages to the mortgagees, which would lower our revenues and the value of our portfolio.

Table of Contents

We may find that the value of a property could be less than the mortgage secured by such property. We may also have to decide whether we should refinance or pay off a mortgage on a property at which the mortgage matures prior to lease expiration and the tenant may not renew the lease. In these types of situations, after evaluating various factors, including among other things, the tenant's competitive position in the applicable submarket, our and our tenant's estimates of its prospects, consideration of alternative uses and opportunities to re-purpose or re-let the property, we may seek to renegotiate the terms of the mortgage, or to the extent that the loan is non-recourse and the terms of the mortgage cannot be satisfactorily renegotiated, forfeit the property by conveying it to the mortgagee and writing off our investment.

If our borrowings increase, the risk of default on our repayment obligations and our debt service requirements will also increase.

The terms of our revolving credit facility limit our ability to incur indebtedness, including limiting the total indebtedness that we may incur to an amount equal to 70% of the value (as defined in the credit facility) of our properties. Increased leverage could result in increased risk of default on our payment obligations related to borrowings and in an increase in debt service requirements, which could reduce our net income and the amount of cash available to meet expenses and to make distributions to our stockholders.

If a significant number of our tenants default or fail to renew expiring leases, or we take impairment charges against our properties, a breach of our revolving credit facility could occur.

Our revolving credit facility includes financial covenants that require us to maintain certain financial ratios and requirements. If our tenants default under their leases with us or fail to renew expiring leases, generally accepted accounting principles may require us to recognize impairment charges against our properties, and our financial position could be adversely affected causing us to be in breach of the financial covenants contained in our credit facility.

Failure to meet interest and other payment obligations under our revolving credit facility or a breach by us of the covenants to maintain the financial ratios would place us in default under our credit facility, and, if the banks called a default and required us to repay the full amount outstanding under the credit facility, we might be required to rapidly dispose of our properties, which could have an adverse impact on the amounts we receive on such disposition. If we are unable to dispose of our properties in a timely fashion to the satisfaction of the banks, the banks could foreclose on that portion of our collateral pledged to the banks, which could result in the disposition of our properties at below market values. The disposition of our properties at below our carrying value would adversely affect our net income, reduce our stockholders' equity and adversely affect our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders.

Impairment charges against owned real estate may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

Impairment charges are based on an evaluation of known risks and economic factors. The determination of an appropriate level of impairment charges is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of impairment charges of real estate is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions that are largely beyond our control. Any impairment charges that we may take may not be adequate to cover actual losses and we may need to take additional impairment charges in the future. Actual losses and additional impairment charges in the future could materially affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

If interest rates increase or credit markets tighten, it may be more difficult for us to secure financing, which may limit our ability to finance or refinance our real estate properties, reduce the number of properties we can acquire, and adversely affect your investment.

Increases in interest rates or reduced access to credit markets may make it difficult for us to finance or refinance mortgage debt, limit the mortgage debt available on properties we wish to acquire and limit the properties we can acquire. Even in the event that we are able to secure mortgage debt on, or otherwise finance our real estate properties, due to increased costs associated with securing financing and other factors beyond our control, we may be unable to refinance the entire outstanding loan balance or be subject to unfavorable terms (such as higher loan fees, interest rates and periodic payments) if we do refinance the loan balance. Either of these results could reduce income from those properties and reduce cash available for distribution, which may adversely affect the investment goals of our stockholders.

Interest rates have been at historically low levels the past several years. If we are required to refinance mortgage debt that matures over the next several years at higher interest rates than such mortgage debt currently bears, the funds available for distribution to stockholders may be significantly reduced. The following table sets forth scheduled principal (excluding amortization) mortgage payments due on our properties as of December 31, 2015 and the weighted average interest rate thereon (dollars in thousands):

| Year | Principal Payments Due | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 2016 | \$ 23,064 | 5.78% |
| 2017 | 14,282 | 5.41 |
| 2018 | 10,260 | 4.26 |
| 2019 | 8,332 | 4.31 |
| 2020 | 3,431 | 5.75 |
| 2021 and thereafter | 155,690 | 4.51 |

Certain of our net leases and our ground leases require us to pay property related expenses that are not the obligations of our tenants.

Under the terms of substantially all of our net leases, in addition to satisfying their rent obligations, our tenants are responsible for the payment of real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. However, under the provisions of certain net and ground leases, we are required to pay some expenses, such as the costs of environmental liabilities, roof and structural repairs, insurance premiums, certain non-structural repairs and maintenance. If our properties incur significant expenses that must be paid by us under the terms of our leases, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected and the amount of cash available to meet expenses and to make distributions to holders of our common stock may be reduced.

Uninsured and underinsured losses may affect the revenues generated by, the value of, and the return from a property affected by a casualty or other claim.

Substantially all of our tenants obtain, for our benefit, comprehensive insurance covering our properties in amounts that are intended to be sufficient to provide for the replacement of the improvements at each property. However, the amount of insurance coverage maintained for any property may not be sufficient to pay the full replacement cost of the improvements at the property following a casualty event. In addition, the rent loss coverage under the policy may not extend for the full period of time that a tenant may be entitled to a rent abatement as a result of, or that may be

Table of Contents

required to complete restoration following, a casualty event. In addition, there are certain types of losses, such as those arising from earthquakes, floods, hurricanes and terrorist attacks, that may be uninsurable or that may not be economically insurable. Changes in zoning, building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also may make it impossible or impracticable for us to use insurance proceeds to replace damaged or destroyed improvements at a property. If restoration is not or cannot be completed to the extent, or within the period of time, specified in certain of our leases, the tenant may have the right to terminate the lease. If any of these or similar events occur, it may reduce our revenues, the value of, or our return from, an affected property.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio are affected by a number of factors that affect investments in real estate generally.

We are subject to the general risks of investing in real estate. These include adverse changes in economic conditions and local conditions such as changing demographics, retailing trends and traffic patterns, declines in the rental rates, changes in the supply and price of quality properties and the market supply and demand of competing properties, the impact of environmental laws, security concerns, prepayment penalties applicable under mortgage financings, changes in tax, zoning, building code, fire safety and other laws and regulations, the type of insurance coverage available in the market, and changes in the type, capacity and sophistication of building systems. Approximately 53.8% and 22.7% of our 2016 contractual rental income is from retail and industrial tenants, respectively, and we are vulnerable to economic declines that negatively impact these sectors of the economy, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio are affected by a number of factors that affect investments in leased real estate generally.

We are subject to the general risks of investing in leased real estate. These include the non-performance of lease obligations by tenants, leasehold improvements that will be costly or difficult to remove should it become necessary to re-rent the leased space for other uses, covenants in certain retail leases that limit the types of tenants to which available space can be rented (which may limit demand or reduce the rents realized on re-renting), rights of termination of leases due to events of casualty or condemnation affecting the leased space or the property or due to interruption of the tenant's quiet enjoyment of the leased premises, and obligations of a landlord to restore the leased premises or the property following events of casualty or condemnation. The occurrence of any of these events could adversely impact our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and their values may decline.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Therefore, we will be limited in our ability to reconfigure our real estate portfolio in response to economic changes. We may encounter difficulty in disposing of properties when tenants vacate either at the expiration of the applicable lease or otherwise. If we decide to sell any of our properties, our ability to sell these properties and the prices we receive on their sale may be affected by many factors, including the number of potential buyers, the number of competing properties on the market and other market conditions, as well as whether the property is leased and if it is leased, the terms of the lease. As a result, we may be unable to sell our properties for an extended period of time without incurring a loss, which would adversely affect our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Table of Contents

The concentration of our properties in certain regions may make our revenues and the value of our portfolio vulnerable to adverse changes in local economic conditions.

Many of the properties we own are located in the same or a limited number of geographic regions. Approximately 42.6% of our 2016 contractual rental income will be derived from properties located in five states Texas (11.3%), New York (9.5%), South Carolina (7.5%), Georgia (7.3%) and Pennsylvania (7.0%). At December 31, 2015, approximately 41.6% of the net book value of our real estate investments was located in five states Texas (11.3%), South Carolina (9.1%), Pennsylvania (8.2%), Maryland (6.7%) and Georgia (6.3%). As a result, a decline in the economic conditions in these regions (including a decline in Texas as a result of challenges facing the oil industry) or in regions where our properties may be concentrated in the future, may have an adverse effect on the rental and occupancy rates for, and the property values of, these properties, which could lead to a reduction in our rental income and in the results of operations.

We have been, and in the future will be, subject to significant competition and we may not be able to compete successfully for investments.

We have been, and in the future will be, subject to significant competition for attractive investment opportunities from other real estate investors, many of which have greater financial resources than us, including publicly-traded REITs, non-traded REITs, insurance companies, commercial and investment banking firms, private institutional funds, hedge funds, private equity funds and other investors. We may not be able to compete successfully for investments. If we pay higher prices for investments, our returns may be lower and the value of our assets may not increase or may decrease significantly below the amount we paid for such assets. If such events occur, we may experience lower returns on our investments.

We cannot assure you of our ability to pay dividends in the future.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our stockholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year is distributed. This, along with other factors, will enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected by the risk factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

If we reduce our dividend, the market value of our common stock may decline.

The level of our common stock dividend is established by our board of directors from time to time based on a variety of factors, including our cash available for distribution, funds from operations and maintenance of our REIT status. Various factors could cause our board of directors to decrease our dividend level, including insufficient income to cover our dividends, tenant defaults or bankruptcies resulting in a material reduction in our funds from operations or a material loss resulting from an adverse change in the value of one or more of our properties. If our board of directors determines to reduce our common stock dividend, the market value of our common stock could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Our current and future investments in joint ventures could be adversely affected by the lack of sole decision making authority, reliance on joint venture partners' financial condition, and any dispute that may arise between our joint venture partners and us.

A number of properties in which we have an interest are owned through joint ventures (including both consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures). Specifically, with respect to our (i) consolidated joint ventures, we own five properties that accounted for 4.5% of 2015 rental income with one joint venture partner and its affiliates (and we own one property with this same joint venture partner through an unconsolidated joint venture) and two properties that accounted for 3.4% of 2015 rental income with another joint venture partner and its affiliates, and (ii) unconsolidated joint ventures, we own three properties with one joint venture partner and its affiliates, which properties accounted in 2015 for \$107,000 of equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. We may continue to acquire properties through joint ventures and/or contribute some of our properties to joint ventures. Investments in joint ventures may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that joint venture partners might file for bankruptcy protection, or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Further, joint venture partners may have conflicting business interests or goals, and as a result there is the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale and the timing thereof. Any disputes that may arise between joint venture partners and us may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with joint venture partners might result in subjecting properties owned by the joint venture to additional risk.

Compliance with environmental regulations and associated costs could adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at the property and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and cleanup costs incurred in connection with contamination. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of hazardous or toxic substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate a property, may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow money using the property as collateral. In connection with our ownership, operation and management of real properties, we may be considered an owner or operator of the properties and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental fines and liability for injuries to persons and property, not only with respect to properties we own now or may acquire, but also with respect to properties we have owned in the past.

We cannot provide any assurance that existing environmental studies with respect to any of our properties reveal all potential environmental liabilities, that any prior owner of a property did not create any material environmental condition not known to us, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist, or may not exist in the future, as to any one or more of our properties. If a material environmental condition does in fact exist, or exists in the future, the remediation costs could have a material adverse impact upon our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act could be costly.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, all public accommodations must meet Federal requirements for access and use by disabled persons. A determination that our properties do not

Table of Contents

comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act could result in liability for both governmental fines and damages. If we are required to make unanticipated major modifications to any of our properties to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, which are determined not to be the responsibility of our tenants, we could incur unanticipated expenses that could have an adverse impact upon our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Our senior management and other key personnel are critical to our business and our future success depends on our ability to retain them.

We depend on the services of Matthew J. Gould, chairman of our board of directors, Fredric H. Gould, vice chairman of our board of directors, Patrick J. Callan, Jr., our president and chief executive officer, Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr., our executive vice president and chief operating officer, Karen Dunleavy, our vice president financial, and other members of our senior management to carry out our business and investment strategies. Only three of our senior officers, Messrs. Callan and Ricketts, and Ms. Dunleavy, devote all of their business time to us. The remainder of our senior management provides services to us on a part-time, as-needed basis. The loss of the services of any of our senior management or other key personnel, the inability or failure of the members of senior management providing services to us on a part-time basis to devote sufficient time or attention to our activities or our inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could impair our ability to carry out our business and investment strategies.

Our transactions with affiliated entities involve conflicts of interest.

From time to time we have entered into transactions with persons and entities affiliated with us and with certain of our officers and directors. Such transactions involve a potential conflict of interest, and entail a risk that we could have obtained more favorable terms if we had entered into such transaction with an unaffiliated third party. Our policy for transactions with affiliates is to have these transactions approved by our audit committee. We entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property effective as of January 1, 2007. Majestic Property is wholly-owned by the vice-chairman of our board of directors and it provides compensation to certain of our part-time senior executive officers and other individuals performing services on our behalf. Pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we pay an annual fee to Majestic Property which provides us with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel that we use on a part time basis, as well as property management services, property acquisition, sales and leasing and mortgage brokerage services. In 2015, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we paid Majestic Property a fee of \$2.3 million and an additional \$196,000 for our share of all direct office expenses, including rent, telephone, postage, computer services, and internet usage. We also obtain our property insurance in conjunction with Gould Investors L.P., our affiliate, and in 2015, reimbursed Gould Investors \$520,000 for our share of the insurance premiums paid by Gould Investors. Gould Investors beneficially owns approximately 10.6% of our outstanding common stock and certain of our senior executive officers are also executive officers of the managing general partner of Gould Investors. See Note 11 of our consolidated financial statements for information regarding equity awards to individuals performing services on our behalf pursuant to the compensation and services agreement.

The failure of any bank in which we deposit our funds could have an adverse impact on our financial condition.

We have diversified our cash and cash equivalents between several banking institutions in an attempt to minimize exposure to any one of these entities. However, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation only insures accounts in amounts up to \$250,000 per depositor per insured bank. We currently have cash and cash equivalents deposited in certain financial institutions significantly in excess

Table of Contents

of federally insured levels. If any of the banking institutions in which we have deposited funds ultimately fails, we may lose our deposits over \$250,000. The loss of our deposits may have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Breaches of information technology systems could materially harm our business and reputation.

We collect and retain on information technology systems, certain financial, personal and other sensitive information provided by third parties, including tenants, vendors and employees. We also rely on information technology systems for the collection and distribution of funds. There can be no assurance that we will be able to prevent unauthorized access to sensitive information or the unauthorized distribution of funds. Any loss of this information or unauthorized distribution of funds as a result of a breach of information technology systems may result in loss of funds to which we are entitled, legal liability and costs (including damages and penalties), as well as damage to our reputation, that could materially and adversely affect our business and financial performance.

Risks Related to the REIT Industry

Failure to qualify as a REIT could result in material adverse tax consequences and could significantly reduce cash available for distributions.

We operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of technical and complex legal provisions for which there are limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In addition, no assurance can be given that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to federal, certain additional state and local income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates and would not be allowed a deduction in computing our taxable income for amounts distributed to stockholders. In addition, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost. The additional tax would reduce significantly our net income and the cash available for distributions to stockholders.

We are subject to certain distribution requirements that may result in our having to borrow funds at unfavorable rates.

To obtain the favorable tax treatment associated with being a REIT, we generally are required, among other things, to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income (subject to certain adjustments) each year. To the extent that we satisfy these distribution requirements, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income we will be subject to Federal corporate tax on our undistributed taxable income.

As a result of differences in timing between the receipt of income and the payment of expenses, and the inclusion of such income and the deduction of such expenses in arriving at taxable income, and the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves and the timing of required debt service (including amortization) payments, we may need to borrow funds in order to make the distributions necessary to retain the tax benefits associated with qualifying as a REIT, even if we believe that then prevailing market conditions are not generally favorable for such borrowings. Such borrowings could reduce our net income and the cash available for distributions to holders of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to maximize profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Accordingly, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we must also ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and real estate assets. Any investment in securities cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, no more than 5% of the value of our assets can consist of the securities of any one issuer, other than a qualified REIT security. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we must dispose of such portion of these securities in excess of these percentages within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter in order to avoid losing our REIT status and suffering adverse tax consequences. This requirement could cause us to dispose of assets for consideration that is less than their true value and could lead to an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Properties.**

As of December 31, 2015, we own 107 properties with an aggregate net book value of \$562.3 million and participate in joint ventures that own five properties. Our occupancy rate, based on total rentable square footage, was 98.4% and 98.3% as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The occupancy rate of our joint venture properties, based on total rentable square footage, was 97.6% and 100% as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Our Properties

The following table summarizes as of December 31, 2015 information about our properties:

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of 2016 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Square Footage of Building | 2016 Contractual Rental Income per Leased Square Foot |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|
| Fort Mill, SC | Industrial | 4.8% | 701,595 | \$ 3.90 |
| Baltimore, MD | Industrial | 4.3 | 367,000 | 6.72 |
| Royersford, PA(1) | Retail | 3.5 | 194,600 | 10.24 |
| Round Rock, TX | Assisted Living Facility | 3.4 | 87,560 | 21.93 |
| Hauppauge, NY | Flex | 3.0 | 149,870 | 11.30 |
| Greensboro, NC | Theater | 2.8 | 61,213 | 25.93 |
| W. Hartford, CT | Retail Supermarket | 2.7 | 47,174 | 32.97 |
| Littleton, CO(2) | Retail | 2.4 | 101,596 | 13.72 |
| Delpport, MO | Industrial | 2.4 | 339,094 | 4.06 |
| Secaucus, NJ | Health & Fitness | 2.4 | 44,863 | 30.40 |
| Lincoln, NE | Retail | 2.1 | 112,260 | 10.75 |
| Brooklyn, NY | Office | 2.1 | 66,000 | 18.15 |
| McCalla, AL | Industrial | 2.1 | 294,000 | 4.02 |
| Knoxville, TN | Retail | 2.0 | 35,330 | 32.84 |
| Lakemoor, IL(3) | Apartments | 1.9 | 480,684 | 2.29 |
| Philadelphia, PA | Industrial | 1.9 | 166,000 | 6.53 |
| Fort Mill, SC | Flex | 1.9 | 303,188 | 3.55 |
| Tucker, GA | Health & Fitness | 1.7 | 58,800 | 16.67 |
| El Paso, TX(4) | Retail | 1.6 | 109,205 | 8.32 |
| Kansas City, MO | Retail | 1.4 | 88,807 | 8.81 |
| Hamilton, OH | Health & Fitness | 1.3 | 38,000 | 19.25 |
| Cedar Park, TX | Retail Furniture | 1.2 | 50,810 | 13.88 |
| Columbus, OH | Retail Furniture | 1.2 | 96,924 | 7.27 |
| Indianapolis, IN | Theater | 1.2 | 57,688 | 12.01 |
| Indianapolis, IN | Industrial | 1.2 | 125,622 | 5.35 |
| Lake Charles, LA(5) | Retail | 1.2 | 54,229 | 12.23 |
| Sandy Springs, GA(3) | Apartments | 1.1 | 215,124 | 3.02 |
| Houston, TX(6) | Retail | 1.1 | 42,446 | 14.53 |
| Ft. Myers, FL | Retail | 1.1 | 29,993 | 20.17 |
| Columbus, OH | Industrial | 1.0 | 100,220 | 5.71 |
| Champaign, IL(7) | Retail | .9 | 50,530 | 10.53 |
| Chicago, IL | Retail Office Supply | .9 | 23,939 | 22.16 |
| Wichita, KS | Retail Furniture | .9 | 88,108 | 5.99 |
| Clemmons, NC | Retail | .9 | 96,725 | 5.40 |
| New Hope, MN | Industrial | .9 | 122,461 | 4.18 |
| Melville, NY | Industrial | .9 | 51,351 | 9.67 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of 2016 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Square Footage of Building | 2016 Contractual Rental Income per Leased Square Foot |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|
| Athens, GA(8) | Retail | .8 | 41,280 | 11.63 |
| Ronkonkoma, NY(9) | Flex | .8 | 89,629 | 8.00 |
| Tyler, TX | Retail Furniture | .8 | 72,000 | 6.36 |
| Greenwood Village, CO(10) | Retail | .8 | 45,000 | 10.04 |
| Louisville, KY | Industrial | .8 | 125,370 | 3.60 |
| Onalaska, WI | Retail | .8 | 63,919 | 7.00 |
| Cary, NC | Retail Office Supply | .8 | 33,490 | 13.29 |
| Fayetteville, GA | Retail Furniture | .8 | 65,951 | 6.57 |
| Houston, TX | Retail | .7 | 25,005 | 16.70 |
| Niles, IL | Retail | .7 | 33,089 | 12.49 |
| Highlands Ranch, CO | Retail | .7 | 43,480 | 9.12 |
| New Hyde Park, NY | Industrial | .7 | 38,000 | 10.36 |
| Kennesaw, GA | Retail | .7 | 32,138 | 12.03 |
| Richmond, VA | Retail Furniture | .7 | 38,788 | 9.93 |
| Amarillo, TX | Retail Furniture | .7 | 72,027 | 5.32 |
| Virginia Beach, VA | Retail Furniture | .7 | 58,937 | 6.44 |
| Selden, NY | Retail | .7 | 14,550 | 26.05 |
| Deptford, NJ | Retail | .7 | 25,358 | 14.90 |
| Eugene, OR | Retail Office Supply | .6 | 24,978 | 14.88 |
| Newark, DE | Retail | .6 | 23,547 | 15.40 |
| Lexington, KY | Retail Furniture | .6 | 30,173 | 11.78 |
| Saco, ME | Industrial | .6 | 91,400 | 3.88 |
| Woodbury, MN | Retail | .6 | 49,406 | 7.00 |
| Duluth, GA | Retail Furniture | .6 | 50,260 | 6.88 |
| El Paso, TX | Retail Office Supply | .6 | 25,000 | 13.81 |
| Newport News, VA | Retail Furniture | .6 | 49,865 | 6.69 |
| Houston, TX | Retail | .5 | 20,087 | 15.50 |
| Hyannis, MA | Retail | .5 | 9,750 | 30.07 |
| Greensboro, NC | Retail | .5 | 12,950 | 22.08 |
| Hauppauge, NY | Retail Restaurant | .5 | 7,000 | 40.73 |
| Batavia, NY | Retail Office Supply | .5 | 23,483 | 12.10 |
| Somerville, MA | Retail | .5 | 12,054 | 23.23 |
| Gurnee, IL | Retail Furniture | .5 | 22,768 | 12.21 |
| Naples, FL | Retail Furniture | .5 | 15,912 | 17.00 |
| Crystal Lake, IL | Retail | .5 | 32,446 | 8.27 |
| Pinellas Park, FL | Industrial | .5 | 53,064 | 5.03 |
| Bluffton, SC | Retail Furniture | .5 | 35,011 | 7.47 |
| Carrollton, GA | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 6,012 | 42.79 |
| Island Park, NY | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 6,125 | 40.05 |
| Cartersville, GA | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 5,635 | 43.08 |
| Richmond, VA | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 9,367 | 24.46 |
| Greensboro, NC | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 6,655 | 34.27 |
| Bolingbrook, IL | Retail | .4 | 33,111 | 6.74 |
| W. Hartford, CT(11) | Retail | .4 | | |
| Ann Arbor, MI | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 7,945 | 27.47 |
| Kennesaw, GA | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 4,051 | 49.20 |
| Cape Girardeau, MO | Retail | .3 | 13,502 | 14.71 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of 2016 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Square Footage of Building | 2016 Contractual Rental Income per Leased Square Foot |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|
| Myrtle Beach, SC | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 6,734 | 29.39 |
| Miamisburg, OH | Industrial | .3 | 35,707 | 5.48 |
| Everett, MA | Retail | .3 | 18,572 | 10.39 |
| Lawrenceville, GA | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 4,025 | 47.44 |
| Killeen, TX | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 7,470 | 24.98 |
| Concord, NC | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 4,749 | 38.99 |
| Houston, TX | Retail | .3 | 12,000 | 14.00 |
| Indianapolis, IN | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 12,820 | 12.86 |
| Marston Mills, MA | Retail | .3 | 8,775 | 18.00 |
| Monroeville, PA | Retail | .3 | 6,051 | 25.30 |
| Gettysburg, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,944 | 46.76 |
| Hanover, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,702 | 49.72 |
| West Palm Beach, FL | Industrial | .2 | 10,361 | 11.98 |
| Palmyra, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,798 | 43.91 |
| Reading, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,551 | 47.58 |
| Reading, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,754 | 43.39 |
| Trexlertown, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 3,004 | 38.97 |
| Durham, NC | Industrial | .2 | 46,181 | 2.30 |
| Lawrence, KS | Retail | .2 | 8,600 | 12.21 |
| Seattle, WA | Retail | .1 | 3,038 | 23.04 |
| Rosenberg, TX | Retail | .1 | 8,000 | 7.99 |
| Louisville, KY | Industrial | .1 | 9,642 | 3.79 |
| Joppa, MD(12) | Industrial | | 258,710 | |
| Philadelphia, PA(13) | Vacant | | 57,653 | |
| | | 100.0% | 7,188,418 | |

-
- (1) This property is leased to twelve tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 2,200 vacant square feet. Approximately 27.9% of the square footage is leased to a supermarket.
 - (2) This property, a community shopping center, is leased to 26 tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 8,120 vacant square feet.
 - (3) This property is ground leased to a multi-unit apartment complex owner/operator. See note 5 of our consolidated financial statements.
 - (4) Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 16,593 vacant square feet. Subsequent to December 31, 2015, 13,500 square feet at such property was leased to a new tenant.
 - (5) This property has three tenants. Approximately 43.4% of the square footage is leased to a retail office supply operator.
 - (6) This property, a community shopping center, has 16 tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 1,380 vacant square feet.

- (7) This property has two tenants.
- (8) This property has two tenants. Approximately 48.4% of the square footage is leased to a retail office supply operator.
- (9) Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 29,901 vacant square feet.

Table of Contents

- (10) Sports Authority, the tenant at this property, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in March 2016.
- (11) This property provides additional parking for the W. Hartford, CT, retail supermarket.
- (12) The lease for this property expired on December 31, 2015. On January 7, 2016, a tenant leased this property for approximately 10 years.
- (13) This property was operated as a Pathmark supermarket. The tenant filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, rejected the lease and in late September 2015, vacated the property. At December 31, 2015, the property is vacant.

Properties Owned by Joint Ventures

The following table summarizes as of December 31, 2015 information about the properties owned by joint ventures in which we are a venture partner. We own a 50% economic interest in each joint venture:

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of Rent Payable in 2016 Contributed by the Applicable Joint Venture(1) | Approximate Square Footage of Building(2) | 2016 Contractual Rental Income per Leased Square Foot |
|-------------------|------------------|---|---|---|
| Manahawkin, NJ(3) | Retail | 67.9% | 319,349 | \$ 11.69 |
| Milwaukee, WI | Industrial | 20.5 | 492,644 | 2.28 |
| Savannah, GA | Retail | 6.7 | 45,973 | 7.95 |
| Savannah, GA | Retail | 4.5 | 101,550 | 2.44 |
| Savannah, GA | Retail | .4 | 7,959 | 2.97 |
| | | 100.0% | 967,475 | |

-
- (1) Represents the base rent payable in 2016 with respect to such joint venture property, expressed as a percentage of the aggregate base rent payable in 2016 with respect to all of our joint venture properties.
- (2) Approximate square footage indicated represents the total rentable square footage of the building owned by the joint venture.
- (3) This property, a community shopping center, is leased to 26 tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 23,568 vacant square feet.

Table of Contents**Geographic Concentration**

As of December 31, 2015, the 107 properties owned by us are located in 30 states. The following table sets forth information, presented by state, related to our properties as of December 31, 2015:

| State | Number of Properties | 2016 Contractual Rental Income | Percentage of 2016 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Building Square Feet |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Texas | 12 | \$ 6,485,624 | 11.3% | 531,610 |
| New York | 9 | 5,452,729 | 9.5 | 446,008 |
| South Carolina | 4 | 4,272,232 | 7.5 | 1,046,528 |
| Georgia | 10 | 4,165,802 | 7.3 | 483,276 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 | 3,982,350 | 7.0 | 441,057 |
| North Carolina | 7 | 3,358,765 | 5.9 | 261,963 |
| Illinois | 7 | 3,347,691 | 5.8 | 676,567 |
| Maryland | 2 | 2,466,630 | 4.3 | 625,710 |
| Missouri | 3 | 2,358,804 | 4.1 | 441,403 |
| Colorado | 3 | 2,242,528 | 3.9 | 190,076 |
| Ohio | 4 | 2,204,383 | 3.8 | 270,851 |
| Connecticut | 2 | 1,777,376 | 3.1 | 47,174 |
| New Jersey | 2 | 1,741,460 | 3.0 | 70,221 |
| Indiana | 3 | 1,529,574 | 2.7 | 196,130 |
| Virginia | 4 | 1,327,289 | 2.3 | 156,957 |
| Florida | 4 | 1,266,411 | 2.2 | 109,330 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 1,207,188 | 2.1 | 112,260 |
| Alabama | 1 | 1,180,655 | 2.1 | 294,000 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 1,160,320 | 2.0 | 35,330 |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 924,121 | 1.6 | 49,151 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 857,639 | 1.5 | 171,867 |
| Kentucky | 3 | 843,178 | 1.5 | 165,185 |
| Louisiana | 1 | 663,124 | 1.2 | 54,229 |
| Kansas | 2 | 632,940 | 1.1 | 96,708 |
| Other | 6 | 1,824,609 | 3.2 | 214,827 |
| | 107 | \$ 57,273,422 | 100.0% | 7,188,418 |

The following table sets forth information, presented by state, related to the properties owned by our joint ventures as of December 31, 2015. We own a 50% economic interest in each joint venture:

| State | Number of Properties | Our Share of Rent Payable in 2016 to Our Joint Ventures | Approximate Building Square Feet |
|------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| New Jersey | 1 | \$ 1,866,732 | 319,349 |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 562,500 | 492,644 |
| Georgia | 3 | 318,360 | 155,482 |
| | 5 | \$ 2,747,592 | 967,475 |

Table of Contents**Mortgage Debt**

At December 31, 2015, we had:

64 first mortgages secured by 80 of our 107 properties; and

\$326.6 million of mortgage debt outstanding with a weighted average interest rate of 4.71% and a weighted average remaining maturity of approximately 9.1 years. Substantially all of such mortgage debt bears fixed interest at rates ranging from 3.13% to 7.81% and contains prepayment penalties.

The following table sets forth scheduled principal (including amortization) mortgage payments due on our properties as of December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| YEAR | PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS DUE |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2016 | \$ 30,970(1) |
| 2017 | 23,367 |
| 2018 | 19,099 |
| 2019 | 17,398 |
| 2020 | 12,987 |
| Thereafter | 222,793 |
| Total | \$ 326,614 |

(1)

From February through March 2016, \$8.6 million of such debt bearing weighted average interest rate of 5.3% was paid off. In addition, in March 2016, \$12.2 million of mortgage debt maturing in 2016 and bearing an interest rate of 6.1% was refinanced with new debt of \$18.0 million, bearing an interest rate of 3.38% and maturing in 2028.

At December 31, 2015, our joint ventures had first mortgages on four properties with outstanding balances aggregating approximately \$36.8 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 3.49% to 5.81% with a weighted average interest rate of 3.90%. Substantially all of these mortgages contain prepayment penalties. The following table sets forth the scheduled principal mortgage payments due for properties owned by our joint ventures as of December 31, 2015:

| YEAR | PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS DUE (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--------------|--|
| 2016 | \$ 866 |
| 2017 | 912 |
| 2018 | 4,281 |
| 2019 | 877 |
| 2020 | 911 |
| Thereafter | 28,987 |
| Total | \$ 36,834 |

The mortgages on our properties are generally non-recourse, subject to standard carve-outs. The term "standard carve-outs" refers to recourse items to an otherwise non-recourse mortgage and are customary to mortgage financing. While carve-outs vary from lender to lender and transaction to transaction, the carve-outs may include, among other things, voluntary bankruptcy filings, environmental liabilities, the sale,

financing or encumbrance of the property in violation of loan documents, damage to property as a result of intentional misconduct or gross negligence, failure to pay valid taxes and other

Table of Contents

claims which could create liens on property and the conversion of security deposits, insurance proceeds or condemnation awards.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**Part II****Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "OLP." The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the high and low prices for our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange and the per share distributions declared on our common stock.

| Quarter Ended | 2015 | | | 2014 | | |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| | High | Low | Dividend Per Share(1) | High | Low | Dividend Per Share(1) |
| March 31 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 22.45 | \$.39 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 19.70 | \$.37 |
| June 30 | 24.77 | 21.15 | .39 | 22.74 | 21.13 | .37 |
| September 30 | 23.25 | 21.00 | .39 | 21.95 | 20.20 | .37 |
| December 31 | 24.19 | 20.99 | .41 | 24.50 | 20.11 | .39 |

(1) The dividends in the fourth quarter of 2015 and 2014 were distributed on January 5, 2016 and January 7, 2015, respectively.

As of March 9, 2016, there were approximately 308 holders of record of our common stock.

We qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. In order to maintain that status, we are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our annual ordinary taxable income. The amount and timing of future distributions will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition, earnings, business plan, cash flow and other factors. We intend to make distributions in an amount at least equal to that necessary for us to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust for Federal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of our common stock with the Standard and Poor's 500 index and a peer group index of publicly traded equity real estate investment trusts prepared by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. As indicated, the graph assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2010 in our common stock and assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among One Liberty Properties, Inc., the S&P 500 Index, and the FTSE NAREIT
Equity REITs Index

*\$100
invested on 12/31/10 in stock or index, including reinvestment or dividends.

Fiscal
year ending December 31.

| | December 31, | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| OLP | \$ 100 | \$ 107.46 | \$ 141.69 | \$ 149.91 | \$ 188.53 | \$ 183.37 |
| S&P 500 | 100 | 102.11 | 118.45 | 156.82 | 178.29 | 180.75 |
| FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index | 100 | 108.29 | 127.85 | 131.01 | 170.49 | 175.94 |

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any shares of our outstanding common stock in October, November or December 2015.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table sets forth on a historical basis our selected financial data. This information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| | As of and for the Year Ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| OPERATING DATA | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 65,711(1) | \$ 60,477(1) | \$ 50,979 | \$ 43,793 | \$ 40,874 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | 5,392(2) | 10,180(2) | 4,705 | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 412 | 533 | 651 | 1,368 | 914 |
| Income from continuing operations | 21,907 | 22,197 | 17,409 | 11,328 | 11,088 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 13 | 515 | 20,980(3) | 2,632(3) |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | 20,517 | 22,116 | 17,875 | 32,320 | 13,724 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 15,971 | 15,563 | 14,948 | 14,427 | 13,801 |
| Diluted | 16,079 | 15,663 | 15,048 | 14,527 | 13,851 |
| Net income per common share - basic | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.12 | \$.77 | \$.77 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | .03 | 1.41(3) | .19 |
| Net income | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 2.18 | \$.96 |
| Net income per common share - diluted | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.11 | \$.76 | \$.77 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | .03 | 1.40(3) | .19 |
| Net income | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 2.16 | \$.96 |
| Cash distributions per share of common stock | \$ 1.58 | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.32 |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA | | | | | |
| Real estate investments, net | \$ 562,257 | \$ 504,850 | \$ 496,187 | \$ 405,161 | \$ 370,617 |
| Properties held-for-sale | 12,259 | 10,176 | 5,177 | 5,364 | 22,481 |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | 11,350 | 4,907 | 4,906 | 19,485 | 7,170 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,736 | 20,344 | 16,631 | 14,577 | 12,668 |
| Total assets | 650,378 | 590,439 | 571,898 | 481,166 | 452,821 |
| Mortgages payable | 334,428 | 292,049 | 278,045 | 225,971 | 190,967 |
| Mortgages payable - properties held-for-sale | | | | | 6,970 |
| Due under line of credit | 18,250 | 13,250 | 23,250 | | 20,000 |
| Total liabilities | 387,952 | 334,535 | 321,808 | 243,107 | 233,874 |
| Total equity | 262,426 | 255,904 | 250,090 | 238,059 | 218,947 |
| OTHER DATA(4) | | | | | |
| Funds from operations | \$ 32,717 | \$ 28,248 | \$ 25,740 | \$ 23,739 | \$ 22,823 |
| Funds from operations per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.60 | \$ 1.61 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.97 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.66 | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.61 |
| Adjusted funds from operations | \$ 31,997 | \$ 29,703 | \$ 27,094 | \$ 24,617 | \$ 22,095 |
| Adjusted funds from operations per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.94 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.66 | \$ 1.56 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Diluted | \$ | 1.92 | \$ | 1.84 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1.65 | \$ | 1.56 |
|---------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|

(1)

Includes lease termination fees of \$2.9 million and \$1.3 million for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

- (2) Does not reflect, for 2015 and 2014, the \$472,000 and \$1.6 million of debt prepayment cost associated with such sales.
- (3) Includes net gain on sales of real estate of \$19.4 million and \$932,000 for 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (4) See " Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations" for a discussion of the limitations on such data and a reconciliation of such data to our financial information presented in accordance with GAAP.

Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations

We compute funds from operations, or FFO, in accordance with the "White Paper on Funds From Operations" issued by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") and NAREIT's related guidance. FFO is defined in the White Paper as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, plus impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures will be calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. In computing FFO, we do not add back to net income the amortization of costs in connection with our financing activities or depreciation of non-real estate assets. Since the NAREIT White Paper only provides guidelines for computing FFO, the computation of FFO may vary from one REIT to another. We compute adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO, by adjusting from FFO for our straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles, deducting lease termination fees and gain on extinguishment of debt and adding back amortization of restricted stock compensation, amortization of costs in connection with our financing activities (including our share of our unconsolidated joint ventures) and debt prepayment costs.

We believe that FFO and AFFO are useful and standard supplemental measures of the operating performance for equity REITs and are used frequently by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in evaluating equity REITs, many of which present FFO and AFFO when reporting their operating results. FFO and AFFO are intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, which assures that the value of real estate assets diminish predictability over time. In fact, real estate values have historically risen and fallen with market conditions. As a result, we believe that FFO and AFFO provide a performance measure that when compared year over year, should reflect the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, interest costs and other matters without the inclusion of depreciation and amortization, providing a perspective that may not be necessarily apparent from net income. We also consider FFO and AFFO to be useful to us in evaluating potential property acquisitions.

FFO and AFFO do not represent net income or cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP. FFO and AFFO should not be considered to be an alternative to net income as a reliable measure of our operating performance; nor should FFO and AFFO be considered an alternative to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities (as defined by GAAP) as measures of liquidity.

FFO and AFFO do not measure whether cash flow is sufficient to fund all of our cash needs, including principal amortization, capital improvements and distributions to stockholders. FFO and AFFO do not represent cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as defined by GAAP.

Management recognizes that there are limitations in the use of FFO and AFFO. In evaluating our performance, management is careful to examine GAAP measures such as net income and cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities. Management also prepares and reviews the reconciliation of net income to FFO and AFFO.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below provides a reconciliation of net income in accordance with GAAP to FFO and AFFO for each of the indicated years (dollars in thousands):

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| GAAP net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 20,517 | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 | \$ 32,320 | \$ 13,724 |
| Add: depreciation of properties | 16,150 | 14,494 | 11,891 | 9,857 | 9,363 |
| Add: our share of depreciation of unconsolidated joint ventures | 634 | 374 | 517 | 849 | 595 |
| Add: impairment loss | | 1,093 | 62 | | |
| Add: amortization of deferred leasing costs | 234 | 168 | 152 | 109 | 74 |
| Add: our share of amortization of deferred leasing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | 8 | 82 | |
| Add: Federal excise tax relating to gain on sales | 174 | 302 | 45 | 290 | |
| Deduct: gain on sales of real estate | (5,392) | (10,180) | | (19,732) | (932) |
| Deduct: purchase price fair value adjustment | (960) | | | | |
| Deduct: net gains on sales of real estate of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | (4,705) | | |
| Adjustments for non-controlling interests | 1,360 | (119) | (105) | (36) | (1) |
| NAREIT funds from operations applicable to common stock | 32,717 | 28,248 | 25,740 | 23,739 | 22,823 |
| Deduct: straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles | (1,605) | (1,756) | (1,274) | (1,353) | (1,429) |
| Add (deduct): our share of straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles of unconsolidated joint ventures | 7 | (1) | 91 | 154 | 35 |
| Deduct: lease termination fee income | (2,886) | (1,269) | | | |
| Deduct: gain on extinguishment of debt | | | | | (1,240) |
| Add: prepayment costs on debt | 568 | 1,581 | 171 | | |
| Add: amortization of restricted stock compensation | 2,334 | 1,833 | 1,440 | 1,223 | 1,009 |
| Add: amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs | 1,023 | 1,038 | 891 | 800 | 850 |
| Add: our share of amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures | 23 | 17 | 25 | 35 | 47 |
| Adjustments for non-controlling interests | (184) | 12 | 10 | 19 | |
| Adjusted funds from operations applicable to common stock | \$ 31,997 | \$ 29,703 | \$ 27,094 | \$ 24,617 | \$ 22,095 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below provides a reconciliation of net income per common share (on a diluted basis) in accordance with GAAP to FFO and AFFO:

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| GAAP net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 2.16 | \$.96 |
| Add: depreciation of properties | .98 | .90 | .78 | .66 | .66 |
| Add: our share of depreciation of unconsolidated joint ventures | .04 | .02 | .03 | .06 | .05 |
| Add: impairment loss | | .07 | .01 | | |
| Add: amortization of deferred leasing costs | .02 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .01 |
| Add: our share of amortization of deferred leasing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | | | |
| Add: Federal excise tax relating to gain on sales | .01 | .02 | | .02 | |
| Deduct: gain on sales of real estate | (.32) | (.63) | | (1.32) | (.07) |
| Deduct: purchase price fair value adjustment | (.06) | | | | |
| Deduct: net gains on sales of real estate of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | (.30) | | |
| Adjustments for non-controlling interests | .08 | (.01) | (.01) | | |
| NAREIT funds from operations per share of common stock | 1.97 | 1.75 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.61 |
| Deduct: straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles | (.10) | (.10) | (.07) | (.09) | (.10) |
| Add: our share of straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | | .01 | |
| Deduct: lease termination fee income | (.17) | (.08) | | | |
| Deduct: gain on extinguishment of debt | | | | | (.08) |
| Add: prepayment costs on debt | .03 | .10 | .01 | | |
| Add: amortization of restricted stock compensation | .14 | .11 | .09 | .08 | .07 |
| Add: amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs | .06 | .06 | .06 | .06 | .06 |
| Add: our share of amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | | | |
| Adjustments for non-controlling interests | (.01) | | | | |
| Adjusted funds from operations per share of common stock | \$ 1.92 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.56 |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust. We acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio primarily consisting of retail, industrial, flex and health and fitness properties, many of which are under long-term leases. As of December 31, 2015, we own 107 properties and our joint ventures own five properties. These 112 properties are located in 30 states.

We face a variety of risks and challenges in our business. As more fully described under Item 1A. Risk Factors, we, among other things, face the possibility we will not be able to acquire accretive properties on acceptable terms, lease our properties on terms favorable to us or at all, our tenants may not be able to pay their rental and other obligations and we may not be able to renew or relet, on acceptable terms, leases that are expiring.

We seek to manage the risk of our real property portfolio and the related financing arrangements by diversifying among types of properties, industries, locations, tenants, scheduled lease expirations and lenders, and by seeking to minimize our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. As a result, as of December 31, 2015:

our 2016 contractual rental income is derived from the following property types: 53.8% from retail, 22.7% from industrial, 5.7% from flex, 5.4% from health and fitness, and 12.4% from other properties,

no tenant accounts for more than 8% of our 2016 contractual rental income,

properties in only one state (*i.e.*, Texas, 11.3%) account for 10% or more of 2016 contractual rental income,

through 2024, there is one year in which the percentage of our contractual rental income represented by expiring leases exceeds 10% of our 2016 contractual rental income (*i.e.*, 23.6% in 2022) and approximately 37.0% of our 2016 contractual rental income is represented by leases expiring in 2025 and thereafter,

all of our mortgage debt either bears interest at fixed rates or is subject to interest rate swaps the swaps limit our exposure to fluctuating interest rates on our outstanding mortgage debt,

there are six different counterparties to our portfolio of interest rate swaps: one counterparty, which is rated A by a national rating agency, accounts for 39.8% of the current value of our swaps; a second counterparty, which is rated BBB by a national rating agency, accounts for 26% of the current value of such swaps; and no other counterparty accounts for more than 20% of the current value of our swaps, and

we have 21 different mortgage lenders no lender accounts for more than 10% of our aggregate mortgage debt (including the mortgage debt of our unconsolidated joint ventures) other than one lender that accounts for 27.7% of such debt and another lender that accounts for 14.0% of such debt.

We monitor the risk of tenant non-payments through a variety of approaches tailored to the applicable situation. Generally, based on our assessment of the credit risk posed by our tenants, we monitor a tenant's financial condition through one or more of the following actions: reviewing tenant financial statements, obtaining other tenant related financial information, regular contact with tenant's representatives, tenant credit checks and regular management reviews of our tenants.

In acquiring properties, we balance an evaluation of the terms of the leases and the credit of the existing tenants with a fundamental analysis of the real estate to be acquired, which analysis takes into

Table of Contents

account, among other things, the estimated value of the property, local demographics and the ability to re-rent or dispose of the property on favorable terms upon lease expiration or early termination.

Further, we are sensitive to the risks facing the retail industry as a result of the growth of e-commerce. We are addressing our exposure to the retail industry by seeking to acquire properties that we believe capitalize on e-commerce activities, such as e-commerce distribution and warehousing facilities however, we intend to continue to acquire retail properties as we deem appropriate.

2015 Highlights and Recent Developments

In 2015:

our rental income, net, increased by \$2.3 million, or 4.1%, from 2014.

we acquired seven properties (including our partner's interest in an unconsolidated joint venture) for an aggregate purchase price of \$73.5 million, including new mortgage debt of \$26.9 million. The acquired properties account for \$6.7 million, or 11.8%, of our 2016 contractual rental income.

we acquired, through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% equity interest, a retail center located in Manahawkin, New Jersey for \$43.5 million, inclusive of \$26.1 million of new mortgage debt bearing an annual interest rate of 4% and maturing in 2025.

we sold a retail center in Cherry Hill, NJ for \$16.0 million, net of closing costs, resulting in a gain of \$5.4 million, before giving effect to a swap termination fee of \$472,000 and the write-off of \$249,000 of the remaining deferred financing cost. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction is \$1.3 million.

we obtained (i) an aggregate of \$42.2 million from mortgage financings secured by properties acquired in 2015 and 2014 and (ii) \$29.2 million of net proceeds from financings and refinancings of mortgage debt secured by properties acquired prior to 2014.

we increased our quarterly dividend by 5.1% to \$0.41 per share, commencing with the dividend declared in December 2015.

we raised \$6.5 million from the issuance of 295,000 shares of common stock pursuant to our at-the-market equity offering program.

On February 1, 2016, we sold a portfolio of eight retail properties located in Louisiana and Mississippi with an aggregate of 25,197 square feet for \$13.8 million and paid off the \$7.8 million mortgage. In the quarter ending March 31, 2016, we anticipate recognizing a \$785,000 gain on this sale and incurring a mortgage prepayment expense of \$380,000. In 2015, this portfolio accounted for \$1.4 million, or 2.3% of rental income, and \$477,000, or 3.1% of mortgage interest expense.

Table of Contents*Results of Operations***Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014****Revenues**

The following table compares total revenues for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Rental income, net | \$ 58,973 | \$ 56,647 | \$ 2,326 | 4.1% |
| Tenant reimbursements | 3,852 | 2,561 | 1,291 | 50.4 |
| Lease termination fees | 2,886 | 1,269 | 1,617 | 127.4 |
| Total revenues | \$ 65,711 | \$ 60,477 | \$ 5,234 | 8.7 |

Rental income, net. The increase is due primarily to \$4.4 million earned from seven properties acquired in 2015 and \$2.2 million from nine properties acquired in 2014, offset by the \$530,000 write-off against rental income of the entire balance of unbilled rent receivables and the intangible lease asset related to the 2015 lease termination fees described below. Rental income for 2014 includes \$3.7 million from three properties, which we refer to as the Sold Properties, that were sold or disposed of from October 2014 through mid-January 2015 (including the sale, for substantial gains, of the Parsippany and Cherry Hill, New Jersey properties). We estimate that rental income in 2016 (calculated on a straight-line basis and excluding tenant reimbursements) from the properties acquired in 2015 is approximately \$7.0 million.

Tenant reimbursements. Real estate tax and operating expense reimbursements in 2015 increased by (i) \$834,000 and \$361,000 from the properties acquired in 2015 and 2014, respectively, (ii) \$399,000 from three properties at which we recognized an equivalent amount of real estate expense and (iii) \$280,000 due to net increases from various properties. Tenant reimbursements for 2014 include \$372,000 related to our Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, which was sold in January 2015, and \$211,000 related to our El Paso, Texas property, portions of which became vacant during 2014 through 2015 and for which we are paying a portion of its operating expenses. As of January 2016, 98.6% of the El Paso, Texas property is leased.

Lease termination fees. We received lease termination fees of \$2.9 million and \$1.3 million in lease buy-out transactions in 2015 and 2014, respectively. We re-leased substantially all of such premises simultaneously with the lease terminations.

Table of Contents**Operating Expenses**

The following table compares operating expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 16,384 | \$ 14,662 | \$ 1,722 | 11.7% |
| General and administrative | 9,527 | 8,796 | 731 | 8.3 |
| Real estate expenses | 6,047 | 4,407 | 1,640 | 37.2 |
| Federal excise and state taxes | 343 | 488 | (145) | (29.7) |
| Real estate acquisition costs | 449 | 479 | (30) | (6.3) |
| Leasehold rent | 308 | 308 | | |
| Impairment loss | | 1,093 | (1,093) | (100.0) |
| Total operating expenses | 33,058 | 30,233 | 2,825 | 9.3 |
| Operating income | \$ 32,653 | \$ 30,244 | \$ 2,409 | 8.0 |

Depreciation and amortization. Approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million of the increase is due to depreciation expense on the properties acquired in 2015 and 2014, respectively, and approximately \$222,000 of the increase is due to depreciation on property improvements, intangibles and leasing commissions. The \$1.2 million of such expense related to properties acquired in 2014, includes the write-off of \$380,000 of tenant origination costs related to the bankruptcy of the Pathmark supermarket in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Depreciation and amortization for 2014 includes \$1.0 million related to the Sold Properties. We estimate that depreciation and amortization in 2016 related to the properties acquired in 2015 will be approximately \$2.2 million.

General and administrative expenses. Contributing to the increase were increases of: (i) \$501,000 in non-cash compensation expense primarily related to the increase in the number of shares of restricted stock granted in 2015 and the higher fair value of the awards granted in 2015 in comparison to the awards granted in 2010 that vested in 2015 and (ii) \$399,000 in compensation expense payable to our full and part time personnel, primarily due to higher levels of compensation. Offsetting these increases is a decrease of \$167,000 for third party audit and tax services, a significant portion of which relates to the implementation in 2014 of COSO 2013.

Real estate expenses. The increase in 2015 is due primarily to increases of \$1.5 million from 12 of the 16 properties acquired beginning January 2014 and \$399,000 from three properties acquired in or prior to 2011. Substantially all of these expenses are rebilled to tenants. In addition, in 2015, we incurred \$144,000 in brokerage and professional fees. In 2015 and 2014, real estate expenses included \$11,000 and \$624,000, respectively, related to our Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, which was sold in January 2015.

Federal excise and state taxes. We incurred Federal excise tax of \$174,000 in 2015 and \$302,000 in 2014 because profitable property sales resulted in calendar year distributions to stockholders being less than the amount required to be distributed during such year.

Impairment loss. We recorded this loss with respect to a retail property located in Morrow, Georgia. The tenant did not renew its lease which expired on October 31, 2014, our efforts to re-let the property were unsuccessful and the non-recourse mortgage on the property matured November 1, 2014. We determined that it was not economical to retain the property which was acquired by the mortgagee in January 2015 in an uncontested foreclosure proceeding.

Table of Contents**Other Income and Expenses**

The following table compares other income and expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate, net | \$ 5,392 | \$ 10,180 | \$ (4,788) | (47.0)% |
| Purchase price fair value adjustment | 960 | | 960 | n/a |
| Prepayment costs on debt | (568) | (1,581) | (1,013) | (64.1) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 412 | 533 | (121) | (22.7) |
| Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust | | 134 | (134) | (100.0) |
| Other income | 108 | 29 | 79 | 272.4 |
| Interest: | | | | |
| Expense | (16,027) | (16,305) | (278) | (1.7) |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs | (1,023) | (1,037) | (14) | (1.4) |
| Income from continuing operations | 21,907 | 22,197 | (290) | (1.3) |

Gain on sale of real estate, net. These gains were realized from the January 2015 sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property and the October 2014 sale of the Parsippany, New Jersey property. The minority partner's share of the gain on the sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property was \$1.3 million.

Purchase price fair value adjustment. In connection with the acquisition of our joint venture partner's 50% interest in a property located in Lincoln, Nebraska, we recorded this adjustment, representing the difference between the book value of the preexisting equity investment on the March 31, 2015 purchase date and the fair value of the investment.

Prepayment costs on debt. These costs were incurred primarily in connection with property sales and the payoff, prior to the stated maturity, of the related mortgage debt. In 2015, these costs related primarily to the sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property and in 2014, these costs related to the sale of the Parsippany, New Jersey property.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. The decrease is attributable substantially to the following factors: (i) our \$400,000 share of the acquisition expense associated with the June 2015 purchase of the Manahawkin, New Jersey retail center, offset by our \$256,000 share of earnings from this property; and (ii) the purchase, in March 2015, of our partner's interest in a joint venture that owns a retail property in Lincoln, Nebraska. In 2015 and 2014, this Lincoln, Nebraska joint venture contributed \$68,000 and \$212,000 to equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures, respectively. The decrease was offset by an increase of \$167,000 of income from other ventures.

Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust. In May 2014, we sold to Gould Investors L.P., a related party, our 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust, a related party, for \$266,000. The cost of these shares was \$132,000 and we realized a gain on sale of \$134,000.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Interest expense. The following table summarizes interest expense for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Credit line interest | \$ 594 | \$ 1,211 | \$ (617) | (50.9)% |
| Mortgage interest | 15,433 | 15,094 | 339 | 2.2 |
| Total | \$ 16,027 | \$ 16,305 | \$ (278) | (1.7) |

Credit line interest

The decrease is due to the change, pursuant to an amendment to our facility dated December 31, 2014, in the annual interest rate on this facility from a variable interest rate with a floor of 4.75%, to a variable interest rate with a floor of 1.75%. During 2015, the average interest rate on the facility was approximately 1.95%.

Mortgage interest

The following table reflects the average interest rate on the average principal amount of outstanding mortgage debt during the applicable year:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Interest rate on mortgage debt | 4.96% | 5.29% | (.33)% | (6.2)% |
| Principal amount of mortgage debt | \$ 310,991 | \$ 285,019 | \$ 25,972 | 9.1 |

The increase in mortgage interest expense is due to the increase in the average principal amount of mortgage debt outstanding, offset by a decrease in the average interest rate on outstanding mortgage debt. The decrease in the average interest rate is due to the financing (including financings effectuated in connection with acquisitions) or refinancing in 2015 and 2014 of \$140.1 million of gross new mortgage debt with an average interest rate of approximately 4.3%. The increase in the average balance outstanding is due to the incurrence of mortgage debt of \$57.0 million in connection with properties acquired in 2015 and 2014 and the financing or refinancing of \$52.2 million, net of refinanced amounts, in connection with properties acquired prior to 2014. The increase in the average amount outstanding was offset by the payoff of five mortgages and the foreclosure of one mortgage in the year ended December 31, 2015, totaling \$21.3 million.

We estimate that in 2016, the mortgage interest expense associated with the properties acquired in 2015 will be approximately \$1.5 million. Interest expense for these properties in 2015 was \$723,000.

Table of Contents**Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013****Revenues**

The following table compares total revenues for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Rental income, net | \$ 56,647 | \$ 49,285 | \$ 7,362 | 14.9% |
| Tenant reimbursements | 2,561 | 1,694 | 867 | 51.2 |
| Lease termination fee | 1,269 | | 1,269 | n/a |
| Total revenues | \$ 60,477 | \$ 50,979 | \$ 9,498 | 18.6 |

Rental income, net. The increase is due primarily to (i) \$5.6 million earned from eleven properties acquired in 2013 and \$2.4 million from nine properties acquired in 2014, (ii) \$329,000 from the lease of vacant space at the Cherry Hill, NJ property (which was sold in January 2015) and (iii) \$126,000 from the straight-line calculation of a lease extension. Offsetting the increase were decreases of approximately (i) \$517,000 due to the sale in October 2014 of the Parsippany, NJ property, (ii) \$502,000 related to property vacancies and (iii) \$237,000 related to the \$1.3 million write-off of straight-line rent and intangibles related to the lease termination fee transaction described above and the lower rental rate obtained on the re-lease of such property. The aggregate rental income in 2014 from the Sold Properties was \$3.8 million.

Tenant reimbursements. Tenant real estate tax and expense reimbursements increased due to a \$343,000 increase in rebills from tenants at our former Cherry Hill, NJ property and \$260,000 from five of the properties purchased since July 1, 2013.

Operating Expenses

The following table compares operating expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 14,662 | \$ 11,919 | \$ 2,743 | 23.0% |
| General and administrative | 8,796 | 7,801 | 995 | 12.8 |
| Real estate expenses | 4,407 | 3,213 | 1,194 | 37.2 |
| Federal excise and state taxes | 488 | 255 | 233 | 91.4 |
| Real estate acquisition costs | 479 | 921 | (442) | (48.0) |
| Leasehold rent | 308 | 308 | | |
| Impairment loss | 1,093 | | 1,093 | n/a |
| Total operating expenses | 30,233 | 24,417 | 5,816 | 23.8 |
| Operating income | \$ 30,244 | \$ 26,562 | \$ 3,682 | 13.9 |

Depreciation and amortization. Approximately \$632,000 and \$2.2 million of the increase is due to depreciation expense on the properties we acquired in 2014 and 2013, respectively, and approximately \$126,000 is due to depreciation on property improvements. Partially offsetting the increase was a \$234,000 reduction in such expense due to the October 2014 sale of the Parsippany, NJ property. We incurred an aggregate of \$966,000 in depreciation in 2014 related to our Sold Properties.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses. Contributing to the increase were increases of: (i) \$393,000, in non-cash compensation expense primarily related to the increase in the number of restricted stock awards granted in 2014 and the higher fair value of such awards at the time of grant; (ii) \$285,000 for third party audit and tax services, a significant portion of which relates to the implementation of COSO 2013; and (iii) \$216,000 in compensation expense primarily payable to full and part time personnel.

Real estate expenses. The components of the increase include: (i) \$250,000 for property management services pursuant to the compensation and services agreement due to the increase in the number and nature of properties in our portfolio; (ii) \$260,000 from five of the properties acquired since July 2013, all of which is rebilled to tenants; (iii) \$184,000 of real estate taxes at our former Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, a portion of which is rebilled to the tenants; (iv) \$184,000 for two properties vacated by their respective tenants at lease expiration in January 2014 (one of which was re-let in May 2014); and (v) \$174,000 (a significant portion of which is rebilled to tenants) in snow removal expense due to the harsh 2013/2014 winter.

Federal excise and state taxes. We incurred Federal excise tax of \$302,000 in 2014 and \$45,000 in 2013 (net of an approximate \$110,000 over-accrual for such tax in 2012) because profitable property sales resulted in calendar year distributions to stockholders being less than the amount required to be distributed during such year.

Other Income and Expenses

The following table compares other income and expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---|----------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate, net | \$ 10,180 | \$ | \$ 10,180 | n/a |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | (1,581) | | (1,581) | n/a |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 533 | 651 | (118) | (18.1)% |
| Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture | | 2,807 | (2,807) | (100) |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | | 1,898 | (1,898) | (100) |
| Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust, related party | 134 | | 134 | n/a |
| Other income | 29 | 97 | (68) | (70.1) |
| Interest: | | | | |
| Expense | (16,305) | (13,716) | 2,589 | 18.9 |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs | (1,037) | (890) | 147 | 16.5 |
| Income from continuing operations | 22,197 | 17,409 | 4,788 | 27.5 |

Gain on sale of real estate, net. We realized this gain from the October 2014 sale of our Parsippany, New Jersey office property.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. The decrease is attributable primarily to the sale in May 2013 of a property owned by us and another entity as tenants-in-common and the sale in April 2013 of our interest in the Plano, Texas joint venture.

Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture. In May 2013, the property in which we held a tenant-in-common interest was sold and we recorded a gain of \$2.8 million.

Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest. In April 2013, we sold our 90% equity interest in our Plano, Texas unconsolidated joint venture to our partner and recorded a gain of \$1.9 million.

Table of Contents

Interest expense. The following table summarizes interest expense for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Credit line interest | \$ 1,211 | \$ 501 | \$ 710 | 141.7% |
| Mortgage interest | 15,094 | 13,215 | 1,879 | 14.2 |
| Total | \$ 16,305 | \$ 13,716 | \$ 2,589 | 18.9 |

Credit line interest

The increase is due to the \$16.1 million increase from \$6.8 million in 2013 to \$22.9 million in 2014 in the weighted average balance outstanding under our line of credit. The weighted average balance increased due to borrowings to acquire several properties in 2014, partially offset by repayments on the facility with proceeds from the (i) financing of several properties in 2014 and (ii) sale in 2014 of two properties located in Michigan and the Parsippany, New Jersey property.

Mortgage interest

The following table reflects the average interest rate on the average principal amount of outstanding mortgage debt during the applicable year:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Interest rate on mortgage debt | 5.29% | 5.48% | (.19)% | (3.5)% |
| Principal amount of mortgage debt | \$ 285,019 | \$ 241,531 | \$ 43,488 | 18.0 |

The increase in mortgage interest expense is due to the increase in the average principal amount of mortgage debt outstanding, partially offset by a decrease in the average interest rate on outstanding mortgage debt. The increase in the average balance outstanding is due to the incurrence of mortgage debt of \$84.1 million in connection with properties acquired in 2014 and 2013 and the financing or refinancing of \$14.4 million, net of refinanced amounts, in connection with properties acquired prior to 2013. The decrease in the average interest rate is due to the financing (including financings effectuated in connection with acquisitions) or refinancing in 2014 and 2013 of \$130.1 million of gross new mortgage debt with an average interest rate of approximately 4.7%.

Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs. The increase is due to: (i) the write-off of \$58,000 in deferred costs relating to the Parsippany, New Jersey property sold in October 2014; (ii) the write-off of an aggregate \$59,000 relating to three mortgages that were refinanced, and (iii) amortization incurred in connection with financings on several properties we acquired in 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents**Discontinued Operations**

The following table compares discontinued operations for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from operations | \$ 13 | \$ 577 | \$ (564) | (97.7)% |
| Impairment charge | | (62) | 62 | n/a |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 13 | \$ 515 | \$ (502) | (97.5) |

Discontinued operations include the income from operations of two Michigan properties sold in February 2014, for which a \$62,000 impairment charge was recorded.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our sources of liquidity and capital include cash flow from operations, cash and cash equivalents, borrowings under our revolving credit facility, refinancing existing mortgage loans, obtaining mortgage loans secured by our unencumbered properties, issuance of our equity securities and property sales. Our available liquidity at March 9, 2016 was approximately \$61.5 million, including approximately \$5.0 million of cash and cash equivalents (net of the credit facility's required \$3 million deposit maintenance balance) and \$56.5 million available under our revolving credit facility.

Liquidity and Financing

We expect to meet substantially all of our operating cash requirements (including dividend and mortgage amortization payments) from cash flow from operations. To the extent that this cash flow is inadequate to cover all of our operating needs, we will be required to use our available cash and cash equivalents or draw on our credit line (to the extent permitted) to satisfy operating requirements.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2015, information with respect to our mortgage debt that is payable from January 2016 through December 31, 2018 (excluding our unconsolidated joint ventures):

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Amortization payments | \$ 7,906 | \$ 9,085 | \$ 8,839 | \$ 25,830 |
| Principal due at maturity | 23,064 | 14,282 | 10,260 | 47,606 |
| Total | \$ 30,970 | \$ 23,367 | \$ 19,099 | \$ 73,436 |

At December 31, 2015, our unconsolidated joint ventures had first mortgages on four properties with outstanding balances aggregating approximately \$36.8 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 3.49% to 5.81% (i.e., a 3.90% weighted average interest rate) and maturing between 2018 and 2025.

We intend to make debt amortization payments from operating cash flow and, though no assurance can be given that we will be successful in this regard, generally intend to refinance or extend the mortgage loans which mature in 2016 through 2018. We intend to repay the amounts not refinanced or extended from our existing funds and sources of funds, including our available cash and our credit line (to the extent available).

We continually seek to refinance existing mortgage loans on terms we deem acceptable to generate additional liquidity. Additionally, in the normal course of our business, we sell properties when we

Table of Contents

determine that it is in our best interests, which also generates additional liquidity. Further, since each of our encumbered properties is subject to a non-recourse mortgage (with standard carve-outs), if our in-house evaluation of the market value of such property is less than the principal balance outstanding on the mortgage loan, we may determine to convey, in certain circumstances, such property to the mortgagee in order to terminate our mortgage obligations, including payment of interest, principal and real estate taxes, with respect to such property.

Typically, we utilize funds from our credit facility to acquire a property and, thereafter secure long-term, fixed rate mortgage debt on such property. We apply the proceeds from the mortgage loan to repay borrowings under the credit facility, thus providing us with the ability to re-borrow under the credit facility for the acquisition of additional properties. As a result, in order to grow our business, it is important to have a credit facility in place.

Credit Facility

We can borrow up to \$75 million pursuant to our revolving credit facility which is available to us for the acquisition of commercial real estate, repayment of mortgage debt, property improvements and general working capital purposes; provided, that if used for property improvements and working capital purposes, the amount outstanding for such purposes will not exceed the lesser of \$15 million and 15% of the borrowing base and if used for working capital purposes, will not exceed \$10 million. The facility matures December 31, 2018 and bears interest equal to the one month LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin ranges from 175 basis points if our ratio of total debt to total value (as calculated pursuant to the facility) is equal to or less than 50%, increasing to a maximum of 300 basis points if such ratio is greater than 65%. There is an unused facility fee of 0.25% per annum on the difference between the outstanding loan balance and \$75 million. The credit facility requires the maintenance of \$3.0 million in average deposit balances. For 2015, the average interest rate on the facility was approximately 1.95%.

The terms of our revolving credit facility include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the incurrence of liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to, among other things, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of debt service coverage, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of debt to value, the minimum level of net income, certain investment limitations and the minimum value of unencumbered properties and the number of such properties. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility. At December 31, 2015, we were in compliance in all material respects with the covenants under this facility.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

The following sets forth our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Payment due by period | | | | Total |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | More than 5 Years | |
| <i>Contractual Obligations</i> | | | | | |
| Mortgages payable interest and amortization | \$ 23,101 | \$ 42,154 | \$ 39,065 | \$ 101,805 | \$ 206,125 |
| Mortgages payable balances due at maturity | 23,064 | 24,542 | 11,763 | 155,690 | 215,059 |
| Credit facility(1) | | 18,250 | | | 18,250 |
| Purchase obligations(2) | 3,058 | 6,027 | 5,772 | | 14,857 |
| Total | \$ 49,223 | \$ 90,973 | \$ 56,600 | \$ 257,495 | \$ 454,291 |

(1) Represents the amount outstanding at December 31, 2015. We may borrow up to \$75 million under such facility.

(2) Assumes that \$2.6 million will be payable annually during the next five years, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement.

As of December 31, 2015, we had \$326.6 million of mortgage debt outstanding (excluding mortgage indebtedness of our unconsolidated joint ventures), all of which is non-recourse (subject to standard carve-outs). We expect that mortgage interest and amortization payments (excluding repayments of principal at maturity) of approximately \$65.3 million due through 2018 will be paid primarily from cash generated from our operations. We anticipate that principal balances due at maturity through 2018 of \$47.6 million will be paid primarily from cash and cash equivalents and mortgage financings and refinancings. If we are unsuccessful in refinancing our existing indebtedness or financing our unencumbered properties, our cash flow, funds available under our credit facility and available cash, if any, may not be sufficient to repay all debt obligations when payments become due, and we may need to issue additional equity, obtain long or short-term debt, or dispose of properties on unfavorable terms.

Statement of Cash Flows

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities | \$ 33,916 | \$ 31,803 | \$ 26,737 |
| Cash flow used in investing activities | (73,498) | (13,758) | (91,488) |
| Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities | 31,974 | (14,332) | 66,805 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (7,608) | 3,713 | 2,054 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 20,344 | 16,631 | 14,577 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 12,736 | \$ 20,344 | \$ 16,631 |

The increase in cash flow provided by operating activities in 2015 compared to 2014, and 2014 compared to 2013, is due primarily to the impact of operating activities and lease termination fees.

The increase in cash used in investing activities in 2015 compared to 2014 is due primarily to the increased purchases of, or investments in, real estate and unconsolidated joint ventures in 2015 and reduced net proceeds in 2015 from the sale of real estate. The decrease in cash used in investing activities in 2014 compared to 2013 is due primarily to the decrease in the purchases of real estate and the increase in net proceeds from the sale of real estate in 2014.

Table of Contents

The increase in cash flow provided by financing activities in 2015 compared to 2014 is due primarily to an increase in proceeds from mortgage financings and reduced repayments on the credit facility and mortgages payable. The increase in cash flow used in financing activities in 2014 compared to 2013 is due primarily to an increase in repayments of the credit facility and mortgages payable.

Cash Distribution Policy

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Accordingly, to qualify as a REIT, we must, among other things, meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders. It is our current intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify for federal taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income taxes on our undistributed taxable income (i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations thereunder) and are subject to Federal excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income.

It is our intention to pay to our stockholders within the time periods prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code no less than 90%, and, if possible, 100% of our annual taxable income, including taxable gains from the sale of real estate and recognized gains on the sale of securities. It will continue to be our policy to make sufficient distributions to stockholders in order for us to maintain our REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code.

Our board of directors reviews the dividend policy regularly to determine if any changes to our dividend should be made.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements other than with respect to our properties located in Sandy Springs, Georgia and Lakemoor, Illinois. These properties generated \$1.3 million of rental income during 2015 and at December 31, 2015, our maximum exposure to loss with respect to these properties is \$16.1 million, representing the unbilled rent receivable and the carrying value of the land. These properties are ground leases improved by multi-family properties and our leasehold position is subordinate to an aggregate of \$60.1 million of mortgage debt incurred by our tenants, the owner/operators of the multi-family properties. We do not believe that this type of off-balance sheet arrangement has been or will be material to our liquidity and capital resource positions. See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to an understanding of our financial position and results of operations and require the application of significant judgment by our management; as a result they are subject to a degree of uncertainty. These critical accounting policies include the following, discussed below.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate

The fair value of real estate acquired is allocated to acquired tangible assets, consisting of land and building, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and

Table of Contents

below-market leases and other value of in-place leases based in each case on their fair values. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property (which includes land, building and building improvements) is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the "as-if-vacant" value is then allocated to land, building and building improvements based on our determination of relative fair values of these assets. We assess fair value of the lease intangibles based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount rates and available market information. The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on our experience and the relevant facts and circumstances that existed at the time of the acquisitions. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that we deem likely to be exercised are amortized to rental income over the respective renewal periods. The allocation made by us may have a positive or negative effect on net income and may have an effect on the assets and liabilities on the balance sheet.

Revenues

Our revenues, which are substantially derived from rental income, include rental income that our tenants pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of each lease. Since many of our leases provide for rental increases at specified intervals, straight-line basis accounting requires us to record as an asset and include in revenues, unbilled rent receivables which we will only receive if the tenant makes all rent payments required through the expiration of the term of the lease. Accordingly, our management must determine, in its judgment, that the unbilled rent receivable applicable to each specific tenant is collectible. We review unbilled rent receivables on a quarterly basis and take into consideration the tenant's payment history and the financial condition of the tenant. In the event that the collectability of an unbilled rent receivable is in doubt, we are required to take a reserve against the receivable or a direct write off of the receivable, which has an adverse effect on net income for the year in which the reserve or direct write off is taken, and will decrease total assets and stockholders' equity.

Carrying Value of Real Estate Portfolio

We review our real estate portfolio on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there are any indicators of impairment to the value of any of our real estate assets, including deferred costs and intangibles, to determine if there is any need for an impairment charge. In reviewing the portfolio, we examine the type of asset, the current financial statements or other available financial information of the tenant, the economic situation in the area in which the asset is located, the economic situation in the industry in which the tenant is involved and the timeliness of the payments made by the tenant under its lease, as well as any current correspondence that may have been had with the tenant, including property inspection reports. For each real estate asset owned for which indicators of impairment exist, we perform a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset to its carrying amount. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the estimated fair value is less than the asset's carrying amount. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model of the expected future cash flows through the useful life of the property. Real estate assets that are expected to be disposed of are valued at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell on an individual asset basis. We generally do not obtain any independent appraisals in determining value but rely on our own analysis and valuations. Any impairment charge taken with respect to any part of our real estate portfolio will reduce our net income and reduce assets and stockholders' equity to the extent of the amount of any impairment charge, but it will not affect our cash flow or our distributions until such time as we dispose of the property.

Table of Contents**Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Our primary market risk exposure is the effect of changes in interest rates on the interest cost of draws on our revolving variable rate credit facility and the effect of changes in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control.

We utilize interest rate swaps to limit interest rate risk. These swaps are used for hedging purposes-not for speculation. We do not enter into interest rate swaps for trading purposes. At December 31, 2015, our aggregate liability in the event of the early termination of our swaps was \$4.6 million.

At December 31, 2015, we had 26 interest rate swap agreements outstanding (including two held by three of our unconsolidated joint ventures). The fair market value of the interest rate swaps is dependent upon existing market interest rates and swap spreads, which change over time. As of December 31, 2015, if there had been an increase of 100 basis points in forward interest rates, the fair market value of the interest rate swaps would have increased by approximately \$7.4 million and the net unrealized loss on derivative instruments would have decreased by \$7.4 million. If there were a decrease of 100 basis points in forward interest rates, the fair market value of the interest rate swaps would have decreased by approximately \$7.9 million and the net unrealized loss on derivative instruments would have increased by \$7.9 million. These changes would not have any impact on our net income or cash.

Our mortgage debt, after giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements, bears interest at fixed rates and accordingly, the effect of changes in interest rates would not impact the amount of interest expense that we incur under these mortgages.

Our variable credit rate facility is sensitive to interest rate changes. At December 31, 2015, a 100 basis point increase of the interest rate on this facility would increase our related interest costs by approximately \$183,000 per year and a 100 basis point decrease of the interest rate would decrease our related interest costs by approximately \$37,000 per year.

The fair market value of our long-term debt is estimated based on discounting future cash flows at interest rates that our management believes reflect the risks associated with long term debt of similar risk and duration.

The following table sets forth our debt obligations by scheduled principal cash flow payments and maturity date, weighted average interest rates and estimated fair market value at December 31, 2015:

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | Fair Market Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Thereafter | Total | |
| <i>Fixed rate:</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 30,970 | \$ 23,367 | \$ 19,099 | \$ 17,398 | \$ 12,987 | \$ 222,793 | \$ 326,614 | \$ 338,610 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 4.79% | 4.72% | 4.72% | 4.72% | 4.72% | 4.70% | 4.71% | 4.07% |
| <i>Variable rate:</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt(1) | | | \$ 18,250 | | | | \$ 18,250 | |

- (1) Our credit facility matures on December 31, 2018 and bears interest at the 30 day LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin varies based on the ratio of total debt to total value. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facility."

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

This information appears in Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated into this Item 8 by reference thereto.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

A review and evaluation was performed by our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, were effective. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant material weaknesses identified in the course of such review and evaluation and, therefore, we took no corrective measures.

Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by a company's board, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP, and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of a company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of a company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of a company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of a company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this assessment, our management used criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013).

Based on its assessment, our management believes that, as of December 31, 2015, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Table of Contents

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an audit report on management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Not applicable.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

Apart from certain information concerning our executive officers which is set forth in Part I of this Annual Report, additional information required by this Item 10 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 29, 2016, and is incorporated herein by reference.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is a list of our executive officers whose terms expire at our 2016 annual board of directors' meeting. The business history of our officers, who are also directors, will be provided in our proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than April 29, 2016.

| NAME | AGE | POSITION WITH THE COMPANY |
|---------------------------|-----|--|
| Matthew J. Gould* | 56 | Chairman of the Board |
| Fredric H. Gould* | 80 | Vice Chairman of the Board |
| Patrick J. Callan, Jr. | 53 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr. | 39 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer |
| Jeffrey A. Gould* | 50 | Senior Vice President and Director |
| David W. Kalish*** | 68 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Mark H. Lundy** | 53 | Senior Vice President and Secretary |
| Israel Rosenzweig | 68 | Senior Vice President |
| Simeon Brinberg** | 82 | Senior Counsel |
| Karen Dunleavy | 57 | Vice President, Financial |
| Alysa Block | 55 | Treasurer |
| Richard M. Figueroa | 48 | Vice President and Assistant Secretary |
| Isaac Kalish*** | 40 | Vice President and Assistant Treasurer |
| Justin Clair | 33 | Vice President |

*

Matthew J. Gould and Jeffrey A. Gould are Fredric H. Gould's sons.

**

Mark H. Lundy is Simeon Brinberg's son-in-law.

Isaac Kalish is David W. Kalish's son.

Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr. Mr. Ricketts has been our Chief Operating Officer since 2008, Vice President from 1999 through 2006 and Executive Vice President since 2006.

David W. Kalish. Mr. Kalish has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 1990 and as Senior Vice President, Finance of BRT Realty Trust since 1998. Since 1990, he has served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors L.P., a master limited partnership involved primarily in the ownership and operation of a diversified portfolio of real estate assets. Mr. Kalish is a certified public accountant.

Table of Contents

Mark H. Lundy. Mr. Lundy has served as our Secretary since 1993, as our Vice President since 2000 and as our Senior Vice President since 2006. Mr. Lundy has been a Vice President of BRT Realty Trust from 1993 to 2006, its Senior Vice President since 2006, a Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 1990 through 2012 and its President and Chief Operating Officer since 2013. He is an attorney admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Israel Rosenzweig. Mr. Rosenzweig has served as our Senior Vice President since 1997, as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of BRT Realty Trust since 2013, as Vice Chairman of its Board of Trustees from 2012 through 2013, and as its Senior Vice President from 1998 through 2012. He has been a Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1997.

Simeon Brinberg. Mr. Brinberg served as our Senior Vice President from 1989 through 2013. He served as Secretary of BRT Realty Trust from 1983 through 2013, as Senior Vice President of BRT from 1988 through 2014 and as Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1988. Mr. Brinberg is an attorney admitted to practice in New York.

Karen Dunleavy. Ms. Dunleavy has been our Vice President, Financial since 1994. She served as Treasurer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 1986 through 2013. Ms. Dunleavy is a certified public accountant.

Alysa Block. Ms. Block has been our Treasurer since 2007, and served as Assistant Treasurer from 1997 to 2007. Ms. Block has also served as the Treasurer of BRT Realty Trust from 2008 through 2013, and served as its Assistant Treasurer from 1997 to 2008.

Richard M. Figueroa. Mr. Figueroa has served as our Vice President and Assistant Secretary since 2001, as Vice President and Assistant Secretary of BRT Realty Trust since 2002 and as Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1999. Mr. Figueroa is an attorney admitted to practice in New York.

Isaac Kalish. Mr. Kalish has served as our Vice President since 2013, Assistant Treasurer since 2007, as Assistant Treasurer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 2012 through 2013, as Treasurer from 2013, as Vice President and Treasurer of BRT Realty Trust since 2013, and as its Assistant Treasurer from 2009 through 2013. Mr. Kalish is a certified public accountant.

Justin Clair. Mr. Clair has been employed by us since 2006, served as Assistant Vice President from 2010 through 2014 and as Vice President since 2014.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information concerning our executive compensation required by this Item 11 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 29, 2016, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information concerning our beneficial owners and management required by this Item 12 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 29, 2016 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

As of December 31, 2015, the only equity compensation plan under which equity compensation may be awarded is our 2012 Incentive Plan, which was approved by our stockholders in June 2012. This plan permits us to grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance based

Table of Contents

awards to our employees, officers, directors and consultants. The following table provides information as of December 31, 2015 about shares of our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under our 2012 Incentive Plan:

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))(1) (c) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | | | 241,075 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | | | 241,075 |

(1) Does not give effect to 139,225 restricted stock awards granted January 5, 2016 pursuant to our 2012 Incentive Plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information concerning certain relationships, related transactions and director independence required by this Item 13 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 29, 2016 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information concerning our principal accounting fees required by this Item 14 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 29, 2016, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)

Documents filed as part of this Report:

(1)

The following financial statements of the Company are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Statements:</u> | <u>F-1 through F-2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity</u> | <u>F-6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>F-7 through F-8</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-9 through F-43</u> |

(2)

Financial Statement Schedules:

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| <u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u> | <u>F-44 through F-48</u> |
|--|--------------------------|

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

(b)

Exhibits:

- 1.1 Amended and Restated Equity Offering Sales Agreement, dated March 20, 2014 by and between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2014).
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of One Liberty Properties, Inc., dated July 20, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of One Liberty Properties, Inc. filed with the State of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 17, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of One Liberty Properties, Inc. filed with the State of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 21, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.4 By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2007).
- 3.5 Amendment, effective as of June 12, 2012, to By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2012).
- 3.6 Amendment, effective as of September 11, 2014, to By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 12, 2014).

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 4.1* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 4.2* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012).
- 4.3 Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-2, Registration No. 333-86850, filed on April 24, 2002 and declared effective on May 24, 2002).
- 10.1 Seconded Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2010, by and among One Liberty Properties, Inc., Valley National Bank, Merchants Bank Division, Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011).
- 10.2 First Amendment dated as of January 6, 2011 to the Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2010, between VNB New York Corp. as assignee of Valley National Bank, Merchants Bank Division, Bank Leumi, USA, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, Israel Discount Bank of New York, and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011).
- 10.3 Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of August 5, 2011, between VNB New York Corp., Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 15, 2011).
- 10.4 Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012, between VNB New York Corp., Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 2, 2012).
- 10.5 Fourth Amendment dated as of December 31, 2014 to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012, between VNB New York LLC, Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 5, 2015).
- 10.6* Compensation and Services Agreement effective as of January 1, 2007 between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Majestic Property Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007).
- 10.7* First Amendment to Compensation and Services Agreement effective as of April 1, 2012 between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Majestic Property Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012).
- 10.8* Form of Performance Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2010).
- 10.9* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2009 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------|--|
| 10.10* | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2012 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013). |
| 14.1 | Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to One Liberty Properties, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2006). |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23.1 | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP |
| 31.1 | Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer |
| 32.2 | Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

*

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

The file number for all the exhibits incorporated by reference is 001-09279 other than exhibit 4.3 whose file number is 333-86850.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|----------------|
| <u>/s/ JEFFREY A. GOULD</u> Jeffrey A. Gould | Director | March 15, 2016 |
| <u>/s/ LOUIS P. KAROL</u> Louis P. Karol | Director | March 15, 2016 |
| <u>/s/ J. ROBERT LOVEJOY</u> J. Robert Lovejoy | Director | March 15, 2016 |
| <u>/s/ LEOR SIRI</u> Leor Siri | Director | March 15, 2016 |
| <u>/s/ EUGENE I. ZURIFF</u> Eugene I. Zuriff | Director | March 15, 2016 |
| <u>/s/ DAVID W. KALISH</u> David W. Kalish | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | March 15, 2016 |
| <u>/s/ KAREN DUNLEAVY</u> Karen Dunleavy | Vice President, Financial (Principal Accounting Officer) | March 15, 2016 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
One Liberty Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated March 15, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
March 15, 2016

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
One Liberty Properties, Inc.

We have audited One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), (the COSO criteria). One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries and our report dated March 15, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
March 15, 2016

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Amounts in Thousands, Except Par Value)**

| | December 31, | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate investments, at cost | | |
| Land | \$ 186,994 | \$ 165,153 |
| Buildings and improvements | 460,379 | 416,272 |
| Total real estate investments, at cost | 647,373 | 581,425 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 85,116 | 76,575 |
| Real estate investments, net | 562,257 | 504,850 |
| Properties held-for-sale | 12,259 | 10,176 |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | 11,350 | 4,907 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,736 | 20,344 |
| Restricted cash | 1,074 | 1,607 |
| Unbilled rent receivable (including \$712 and \$120 related to properties held-for-sale in 2015 and 2014, respectively) | 13,577 | 12,815 |
| Unamortized intangible lease assets, net | 28,978 | 27,387 |
| Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables | 4,233 | 4,310 |
| Unamortized deferred financing costs, net | 3,914 | 4,043 |
| Total assets | \$ 650,378 | \$ 590,439 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Mortgages payable | \$ 334,428 | \$ 292,049 |
| Line of credit | 18,250 | 13,250 |
| Dividends payable | 6,901 | 6,322 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 13,852 | 12,451 |
| Unamortized intangible lease liabilities, net | 14,521 | 10,463 |
| Total liabilities | 387,952 | 334,535 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Equity: | | |
| One Liberty Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$1 par value; 12,500 shares authorized; none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$1 par value; 25,000 shares authorized; 16,292 and 15,728 shares issued and outstanding | 16,292 | 15,728 |
| Paid-in capital | 232,378 | 219,867 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,390) | (3,195) |
| Accumulated undistributed net income | 16,215 | 21,876 |
| Total One Liberty Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity | 260,495 | 254,276 |
| Non-controlling interests in consolidated joint ventures | 1,931 | 1,628 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Total equity | 262,426 | 255,904 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 650,378 | \$ 590,439 |

See accompanying notes.

F-3

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Income****(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Rental income, net | \$ 58,973 | \$ 56,647 | \$ 49,285 |
| Tenant reimbursements | 3,852 | 2,561 | 1,694 |
| Lease termination fees | 2,886 | 1,269 | |
| Total revenues | 65,711 | 60,477 | 50,979 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,384 | 14,662 | 11,919 |
| General and administrative (see Note 10 for related party information) | 9,527 | 8,796 | 7,801 |
| Real estate expenses (see Note 10 for related party information) | 6,047 | 4,407 | 3,213 |
| Federal excise and state taxes | 343 | 488 | 255 |
| Real estate acquisition costs (see Note 10 for related party information) | 449 | 479 | 921 |
| Leasehold rent | 308 | 308 | 308 |
| Impairment loss | | 1,093 | |
| Total operating expenses | 33,058 | 30,233 | 24,417 |
| Operating income | 32,653 | 30,244 | 26,562 |
| Other income and expenses: | | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate, net | 5,392 | 10,180 | |
| Purchase price fair value adjustment | 960 | | |
| Prepayment costs on debt | (568) | (1,581) | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures (see Note 10 for related party information) | 412 | 533 | 651 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture | | | 2,807 |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | | | 1,898 |
| Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust (related party) | | 134 | |
| Other income | 108 | 29 | 97 |
| Interest: | | | |
| Expense | (16,027) | (16,305) | (13,716) |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs | (1,023) | (1,037) | (890) |
| Income from continuing operations | 21,907 | 22,197 | 17,409 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income from operations | | 13 | 577 |
| Impairment loss | | | (62) |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 13 | 515 |
| Net income | 21,907 | 22,210 | 17,924 |
| Less net income attributable to non-controlling interests | (1,390) | (94) | (49) |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 20,517 | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

| | | | |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Basic | 15,971 | 15,563 | 14,948 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|

| | | | |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Diluted | 16,079 | 15,663 | 15,048 |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|

Per common share attributable to common stockholders basic:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.12 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----|
| Income from discontinued operations | | | .03 |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----|

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.15 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|

Per common share attributable to common stockholders diluted:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.11 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----|
| Income from discontinued operations | | | .03 |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----|

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|

See accompanying notes.

F-4

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(Amounts in Thousands)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income | \$ 21,907 | \$ 22,210 | \$ 17,924 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) gain: | | | |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | 3 | (121) | 47 |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments | (1,168) | (2,643) | 961 |
| One Liberty Properties, Inc.'s share of joint venture net unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments | (1) | 24 | 76 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) gain | (1,166) | (2,740) | 1,084 |
| Comprehensive income | 20,741 | 19,470 | 19,008 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests | (1,390) | (94) | (49) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments attributable to non-controlling interests | 29 | (35) | (4) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 19,380 | \$ 19,341 | \$ 18,955 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity****For the Three Years Ended December 31, 2015****(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

| | Common Stock | Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Accumulated Undistributed Net Income | Non-Controlling Interests in Consolidated Joint Ventures | Total |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| Balances, December 31, 2012 | \$ 14,598 | \$ 196,107 | \$ (1,578) | \$ 28,001 | \$ 931 | \$ 238,059 |
| Distributions common stock | | | | | | |
| Cash \$1.42 per share | | | | (21,999) | | (21,999) |
| Shares issued through equity offering program net | 363 | 8,802 | | | | 9,165 |
| Restricted stock vesting | 50 | (50) | | | | |
| Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan | 210 | 4,025 | | | | 4,235 |
| Contributions from non-controlling interest | | | | | 480 | 480 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | | (298) | (298) |
| Compensation expense restricted stock | | 1,440 | | | | 1,440 |
| Net income | | | | 17,875 | 49 | 17,924 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | 1,088 | | (4) | 1,084 |
| Balances, December 31, 2013 | 15,221 | 210,324 | (490) | 23,877 | 1,158 | 250,090 |
| Distributions common stock | | | | | | |
| Cash \$1.50 per share | | | | (24,117) | | (24,117) |
| Shares issued through equity offering program net | 179 | 3,589 | | | | 3,768 |
| Restricted stock vesting | 101 | (101) | | | | |
| Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan | 227 | 4,222 | | | | 4,449 |
| Contributions from non-controlling interests | | | | | 639 | 639 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | | (228) | (228) |
| Compensation expense restricted stock | | 1,833 | | | | 1,833 |
| Net income | | | | 22,116 | 94 | 22,210 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) | | | (2,705) | | (35) | (2,740) |
| Balances, December 31, 2014 | 15,728 | 219,867 | (3,195) | 21,876 | 1,628 | 255,904 |
| Distributions common stock | | | | | | |
| Cash \$1.58 per share | | | | (26,178) | | (26,178) |
| Shares issued through equity offering program net | 295 | 6,162 | | | | 6,457 |
| Restricted stock vesting | 72 | (72) | | | | |
| Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan | 197 | 4,087 | | | | 4,284 |
| Contributions from non-controlling interests | | | | | 713 | 713 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | (1,829) | (1,829) | |
| Compensation expense restricted stock | 2,334 | | | | 2,334 | |
| Net income | | 20,517 | | 1,390 | 21,907 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | (1,195) | | 29 | (1,166) | |
| Balances, December 31, 2015 | \$ 16,292 | \$ 232,378 | \$ (4,390) | \$ 16,215 | \$ 1,931 | \$ 262,426 |

See accompanying notes.

F-6

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Amounts in Thousands)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 21,907 | \$ 22,210 | \$ 17,924 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (5,392) | (10,180) | |
| Purchase price fair value adjustment | (960) | | |
| Gain on disposition of real estate held by unconsolidated joint venture | | | (2,807) |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | | | (1,898) |
| Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities (to related party in 2014) | | (134) | (6) |
| Impairment loss | | 1,093 | 62 |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to real estate | | 1,581 | |
| Increase in unbilled rent receivable | (1,448) | (1,569) | (1,114) |
| Write-off of unbilled rent receivable | 566 | 79 | |
| Amortization of intangibles relating to leases, net | (723) | (267) | (160) |
| Amortization of restricted stock expense | 2,334 | 1,833 | 1,440 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (412) | (533) | (651) |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures | 540 | 502 | 1,103 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,384 | 14,662 | 12,043 |
| Amortization and write-off of financing costs | 1,023 | 1,037 | 891 |
| Payment of leasing commissions | (716) | (165) | (200) |
| Decrease (increase) in escrow, deposits, other assets and receivables | 197 | 1,149 | (1,653) |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | 616 | 505 | 1,763 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 33,916 | 31,803 | 26,737 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of real estate | (67,445) | (57,096) | (107,579) |
| Improvements to real estate | (3,868) | (769) | (2,867) |
| Net proceeds from sale of real estate | 16,025 | 43,788 | |
| Purchase of partner's interest in unconsolidated joint venture | (6,300) | | |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | (12,686) | | |
| Distributions of capital from unconsolidated joint ventures | 776 | 53 | 5,495 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of unconsolidated joint venture interest | | | 13,444 |
| Net proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities (to related party in 2014) | | 266 | 19 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (73,498) | (13,758) | (91,488) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Scheduled amortization payments of mortgages payable | (7,793) | (7,597) | (6,808) |
| Repayment of mortgages payable | (27,967) | (38,873) | (4,708) |
| Proceeds from mortgage financings | 79,605 | 60,474 | 63,590 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock, net | 6,457 | 3,768 | 9,165 |
| Proceeds from bank line of credit | 45,400 | 42,500 | 32,500 |
| Repayment on bank line of credit | (40,400) | (52,500) | (9,250) |
| Issuance of shares through dividend reinvestment plan | 4,284 | 4,449 | 4,235 |
| Payment of financing costs | (897) | (1,782) | (656) |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to real estate | | (1,581) | |
| Capital contributions from non-controlling interests | 713 | 639 | 480 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | (1,829) | (228) | (298) |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash distributions to common stockholders | (25,599) | (23,601) | (21,445) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 31,974 | (14,332) | 66,805 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (7,608) | 3,713 | 2,054 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 20,344 | 16,631 | 14,577 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 12,736 | \$ 20,344 | \$ 16,631 |

Continued on next page
F-7

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)**

(Amounts in Thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for interest expense | \$ 15,986 | \$ 16,403 | \$ 13,744 |
| Cash paid during the year for income taxes | 70 | 90 | 78 |
| Cash paid during the year for Federal excise tax, net | 300 | 64 | 290 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Mortgage debt extinguished upon conveyance of property to mortgagee by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure | \$ 1,466 | \$ | \$ |
| Consolidation of real estate investment | 2,633 | | |
| Purchase accounting allocation intangible lease assets | 5,776 | 4,771 | 11,624 |
| Purchase accounting allocation intangible lease liabilities | (5,365) | (4,376) | (2,210) |

See accompanying notes.

F-8

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2015

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND BACKGROUND

One Liberty Properties, Inc. ("OLP") was incorporated in 1982 in Maryland. OLP is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT"). OLP acquires, owns and manages a geographically diversified portfolio consisting primarily of retail, industrial, flex and health and fitness properties, many of which are subject to long-term net leases. As of December 31, 2015, OLP owns 120 properties, including seven properties owned by consolidated joint ventures and five properties owned by unconsolidated joint ventures. The 120 properties are located in 31 states.

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of OLP, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, its joint ventures in which the Company, as defined, has a controlling interest, and variable interest entities ("VIEs") of which the Company is the primary beneficiary. OLP and its consolidated subsidiaries are hereinafter referred to as the "Company". Material intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Investment in Joint Ventures and Variable Interest Entities

The Company assesses the accounting treatment for each joint venture investment. This assessment includes a review of each joint venture or limited liability company agreement to determine the rights of each party and whether those rights are protective or participating. The agreements typically contain certain protective rights, such as the requirement of partner approval to sell, finance or refinance the property and to pay capital expenditures and operating expenditures outside of the approved budget or operating plan. In situations where the Company and its partner, among other things, (i) approve the annual budget, (ii) approve certain expenditures, (iii) prepare or review and approve the joint venture's tax return before filing, and (iv) approve each lease at a property, the Company does not consolidate the joint venture as the Company considers these to be substantive participation rights that result in shared power over the activities that most significantly impact the performance of the joint venture.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, provides guidance for determining whether an entity is a VIE. VIEs are defined as entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is the party that (i) has the power to control the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (ii) has the obligation to absorb losses, or the right to receive benefits, of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

Additionally, the Company assesses the accounting treatment for any interests pursuant to which the Company may have a variable interest as a lessor. Leases may contain certain protective rights, such as the right of sale and the receipt of certain escrow deposits. In situations where the Company does not have the power over tenant activities that most significantly impact the performance of the property, the Company would not consolidate tenant operations.

The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures under the equity method of accounting. All investments in the unconsolidated joint ventures have sufficient equity at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support and, as a

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

group, the holders of the equity at risk have power through voting rights to direct the activities of these ventures. As a result, none of these joint ventures are VIEs. In addition, although the Company is the managing member in certain ventures, it does not exercise substantial operating control over these entities, and therefore the entities are not consolidated. These investments are recorded initially at cost, as investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, and subsequently adjusted for their share of equity in earnings, cash contributions and distributions. None of the joint venture debt is recourse to the Company, subject to standard carve-outs.

The Company has elected to follow the cumulative earnings approach when assessing, for the consolidated statement of cash flows, whether the distribution from the investee is a return of the investor's investment as compared to a return on its investment. The source of the cash generated by the investee to fund the distribution is not a factor in the analysis (that is, it does not matter whether the cash was generated through investee refinancing, sale of assets or operating results). Consequently, the investor only considers the relationship between the cash received from the investee to its equity in the undistributed earnings of the investee, on a cumulative basis, in assessing whether the distribution from the investee is a return on or return of its investment. Cash received from the unconsolidated entity is presumed to be a return on the investment to the extent that, on a cumulative basis, distributions received by the investor are less than its share of the equity in the undistributed earnings of the entity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management believes that the estimates and assumptions that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations, in that they require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, form the basis of the accounting policies deemed to be most significant to the Company. These significant accounting policies relate to revenues and the value of the Company's real estate portfolio. Management believes its estimates and assumptions related to these significant accounting policies are appropriate under the circumstances; however, should future events or occurrences result in unanticipated consequences, there could be a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income includes the base rent that each tenant is required to pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable term of the lease. In determining, in its judgment, that the unbilled rent receivable applicable to each specific property is collectible, management reviews unbilled rent receivables on a quarterly basis and takes into consideration the tenant's payment history and financial condition. Some of the leases provide for increases based on the Consumer Price Index and for additional contingent rental revenue in the form of percentage rents. The percentage rents are based upon the level of sales achieved by the lessee and are recognized once the required sales levels are reached.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Substantially all of the Company's properties are subject to long-term net leases under which the tenant is typically responsible to pay for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs for the property directly to the vendor, and the Company is not the primary obligor with respect to such items. As a result, the revenue and expenses relating to these properties are recorded on a net basis. For certain properties, in addition to base rent, the tenants pay their share of real estate taxes and operating expenses to the Company. The income and expenses associated with these properties are generally recorded on a gross basis. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded reimbursements of expenses of \$3,852,000, \$2,561,000 and \$1,694,000, respectively, which are reported as Tenant reimbursements in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Gains or losses are recorded when the criteria under GAAP has been met.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures the fair value of financial instruments based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, a fair value hierarchy distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions. In accordance with the fair value hierarchy, Level 1 assets/liabilities are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets, Level 2 assets/liabilities are valued based on quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments, on quoted prices in less active or inactive markets, or on other "observable" market inputs and Level 3 assets/liabilities are valued based on significant "unobservable" market inputs.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate

The Company records acquired real estate investments as business combinations when the real estate is occupied, at least in part, at acquisition. Costs directly related to the acquisition of such investments are expensed as incurred. Acquired real estate investments that do not meet the definition of a business combination are recorded at cost. Transaction costs incurred with asset acquisitions are capitalized. The Company allocates the purchase price of real estate among land, building, improvements and intangibles, such as the value of above, below and at-market leases, and origination costs associated with in-place leases at the acquisition date. The Company assesses the fair value of the lease intangibles based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount rates and available market information. Such inputs are categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant. The value, as determined, is allocated to land, building and improvements based on management's determination of the relative fair values of these assets.

In valuing an acquired property's intangibles, factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, such as real estate taxes, insurance, other operating expenses, and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

based on its evaluation of current market demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases, including leasing commissions and tenant improvements.

The values of acquired above-market and below-market leases are recorded based on the present values (using discount rates which reflect the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between the contractual amounts to be received and management's estimate of market lease rates, measured over the terms of the respective leases that management deemed appropriate at the time of the acquisitions. Such valuations include a consideration of the non-cancellable terms of the respective leases, as well as any applicable renewal period(s). The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on the Company's experience and the relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the acquisitions. The values of above-market leases are amortized as a reduction to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancellable lease periods. The portion of the values of below-market leases are amortized as an increase to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancellable lease periods. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that management deemed are likely to be exercised by the tenant are amortized to rental income over such renewal periods. The value of other intangible assets (including leasing commissions and tenant improvements) is recorded to amortization expense over the remaining terms of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its contractual expiration date or not renewed, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be recognized in operations at that time. The estimated useful lives of intangible assets or liabilities generally range from one to 40 years.

Accounting for Long-Lived Assets and Impairment of Real Estate Owned

The Company reviews its real estate portfolio on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there are any indicators of impairment to the value of any of its real estate assets, including deferred costs and intangibles, to determine if there is any need for an impairment charge. In reviewing the portfolio, the Company examines one or more of the following: the type of asset, the current financial statements or other available financial information of the tenant, the economic situation in the area in which the asset is located, the economic situation in the industry in which the tenant is involved, the timeliness of the payments made by the tenant under its lease, and any current communication with the tenant, including property inspection reports. For each real estate asset owned for which indicators of impairment exist, management performs a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset to its carrying amount. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the estimated fair value is less than the asset's carrying amount. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model of the expected future cash flows through the useful life of the property. The analysis includes an estimate of the future cash flows that are expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. Real estate assets that are classified as held-for-sale are valued at the lower of carrying amount or the estimated fair value less costs to sell on an individual asset basis.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Properties Held-for-Sale

Real estate investments are classified as properties held-for-sale when management determines that the investment meets the applicable criteria. Real estate investments which are held-for-sale are not depreciated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

Escrow, Deposits and Other Assets and Receivables

Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables include \$1,390,000 and \$1,376,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, relating to real estate taxes, insurance and other escrows.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its tenants to make required rent payments. If the financial condition of a specific tenant were to deteriorate resulting in an impairment of its ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, there was no balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts.

The Company records bad debt expense as a reduction of rental income. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company incurred bad debt expense of \$89,000 (see Note 5). For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not incur any bad debt expense.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation of buildings is computed on the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 40 years. Depreciation of improvements is computed on the straight-line method over the lesser of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the improvements. If the Company determines it is the owner of tenant improvements, the amounts funded to construct the tenant improvements are treated as a capital asset. Leasehold interest and the related ground lease payments are amortized over the initial lease term of the leasehold position. Depreciation expense, including amortization of a leasehold position, lease origination costs, and capitalized leasing commissions, and excluding depreciation expense included in discontinued operations (2013), amounted to \$16,384,000, \$14,662,000 and \$11,919,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Deferred Financing Costs

Mortgage and credit line costs are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective debt obligations, which approximates the effective interest method. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, accumulated amortization of such costs was \$4,628,000 and \$4,379,000, respectively.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)*****Investment in Available-For-Sale Securities***

The Company determines the classification of equity securities at the time of purchase and reassesses the classification at each reporting date. At December 31, 2015 all equity securities have been classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value. The fair value of the Company's equity investment in publicly-traded companies is determined based upon the closing trading price of the securities as of the balance sheet date and unrealized gains and losses on these securities are recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Unrealized losses that are determined to be other-than-temporary are recognized in earnings.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the total cumulative net unrealized gain of \$27,000 and \$24,000, respectively, on all investments in equity securities is reported as accumulated other comprehensive loss in the stockholders' equity section of the consolidated balance sheets.

Realized gains and losses are determined using the average cost method. During 2014 and 2013, sales proceeds and gross realized gains and losses on securities classified as available-for-sale were (amounts in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|----------------------|--------|-------|
| Sales proceeds | \$ 266 | \$ 19 |
| Gross realized gains | 134(a) | 6(b) |

- (a) Reported as Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust (related party) on the consolidated statement of income. (See Note 9.)
- (b) Resulting from the sale of other available-for-sale securities and is included in Other income on the consolidated statement of income.

There were no sales of available-for-sale securities during 2015.

Income Taxes

The Company is qualified as a real estate investment trust under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Under these provisions, the Company will not be subject to Federal, and generally, state and local income taxes, on amounts distributed to stockholders, provided it distributes at least 90% of its taxable income and meets certain other conditions. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded Federal excise tax expense of \$174,000, \$302,000 and \$45,000, respectively, which is based on taxable income generated but not yet distributed.

For 2015 and 2014, 67% and 26%, respectively, of the distributions were treated as capital gain distributions, with the balance treated as ordinary income.

The Company follows a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. The use of a

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions is prohibited. The Company has not identified any uncertain tax positions requiring accrual.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash accounts at various financial institutions. While the Company attempts to limit any financial exposure, substantially all of its deposit balances exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts.

The Company's properties are located in 31 states. The following chart lists the states where the Company's properties contributed over 10% to the Company's total revenues, excluding the lease termination fees in 2015 and 2014:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Texas | 11.6% | 13.3% | 13.0% |
| New York | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.0 |
| New Jersey | 3.2 | 9.5 | 10.7 |

Excluding any lease termination fees, no tenant contributed over 10% to the Company's total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Segment Reporting

Substantially all of the Company's real estate assets, at acquisition, are comprised of real estate owned that is leased to tenants on a long-term basis. Therefore, the Company aggregates real estate assets for reporting purposes and operates in one reportable segment.

Stock Based Compensation

The fair value of restricted stock grants and restricted stock units, determined as of the date of grant, is amortized into general and administrative expense over the respective vesting period. The deferred compensation to be recognized as expense is net of certain forfeiture and performance assumptions which are re-evaluated quarterly.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company's objective in using interest rate swaps is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company records all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivatives. In addition, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. These counterparties

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

are generally the larger financial institutions engaged in providing a variety of financial services. These institutions generally face similar risks regarding adverse changes in market and economic conditions including, but not limited to, fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, equity and commodity prices and credit spreads.

The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows are considered cash flow hedges. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction becomes ineffective. For derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized directly in earnings in the period in which the change occurs; however, the Company's policy is to not enter into such transactions.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share was determined by dividing net income allocable to common stockholders for each year by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the applicable year. Net income is also allocated to the unvested restricted stock outstanding during each year, as the restricted stock is entitled to receive dividends and is therefore considered a participating security. Unvested restricted stock is not allocated net losses and/or any excess of dividends declared over net income; such amounts are allocated entirely to the common stockholders, other than the holders of unvested restricted stock. The restricted stock units awarded under the Pay-for-Performance program are excluded from the basic earnings per share calculation, as these units are not participating securities (see Note 11).

Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other rights exercisable for, or convertible into, common stock were exercised or converted or otherwise resulted in the issuance of common stock that shared in the earnings of the Company. For 2015, 2014 and 2013, the diluted weighted average number of shares of common stock includes 108,000, 100,000 and 100,000 shares, respectively (of an aggregate of 200,000 shares) of common stock underlying the restricted stock units awarded pursuant to the Pay-for-Performance Program. These amounts include 100,000 shares that would be issued pursuant to a metric based on the market price and dividends paid at the end of each quarterly period, assuming the end of that quarterly period was the end of the vesting period. Of the remaining 100,000 shares of common stock underlying the restricted stock units awarded under the Pay-for-Performance Program, 8,000 shares are included in the diluted weighted average in 2015 and none of such 100,000 shares are included in 2014 and 2013, as they did not meet the return on capital performance metric during such years.

There were no options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock or other rights exercisable for, or convertible into, common stock in 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of earnings per share calculations (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 21,907 | \$ 22,197 | \$ 17,409 |
| Less net income attributable to non-controlling interests | (1,390) | (94) | (49) |
| Less earnings allocated to unvested restricted stock(a) | (852) | (722) | (667) |
| Income from continuing operations available for common stockholders | 19,665 | 21,381 | 16,693 |
| Discontinued operations | | 13 | 515 |
| Net income available for common stockholders, basic and diluted | \$ 19,665 | \$ 21,394 | \$ 17,208 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares | 15,971 | 15,563 | 14,948 |
| Effect of diluted securities: | | | |
| Restricted stock units awarded under Pay-for-Performance program | 108 | 100 | 100 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share: | | | |
| Weighted average shares | 16,079 | 15,663 | 15,048 |
| | | | |
| Earnings per common share, basic | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.15 |
| | | | |
| Earnings per common share, diluted | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 |
| | | | |
| Amounts attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. common stockholders, net of non-controlling interests: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 20,517 | \$ 22,103 | \$ 17,360 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 13 | 515 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 20,517 | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 |

- (a) Represents an allocation of distributed earnings to unvested restricted stock which, as participating securities, are entitled to receive dividends.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts previously reported in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified in the accompanying consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases*, which amends the existing accounting standards for lease accounting, including requiring lessees to recognize most leases on their balance sheets and making targeted changes to lessor accounting. The effective date of the standard will be for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, and early adoption is permitted. The new leases standard requires a modified retrospective transition approach

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

for all leases existing at, or entered into after, the date of initial application, with an option to use certain transition relief. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact it may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, *Business Combinations: Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement Period Adjustments*, which eliminates the requirement for an acquirer in a business combination to account for measurement period adjustments retrospectively. Instead, acquirers must recognize measurement-period adjustments during the period in which they determine the amounts, including the effect on earnings of any amounts they would have recorded in previous periods if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The effective date of the standard will be for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has not elected early adoption and is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact, if any, it may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest Imputation of Interest Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*, which amends the balance sheet presentation for debt issuance costs. Under the amended guidance, a company will present unamortized debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. The guidance is to be applied on a retrospective basis, and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company will adopt this guidance January 1, 2016. Adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*, which amends the current consolidation guidance, including introducing a separate consolidation analysis specific to limited partnerships and other similar entities. Under this analysis, limited partnerships and other similar entities will be considered VIEs unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights. The guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has not elected early adoption and is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact, if any, it may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, *Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items*, which simplifies income statement presentation by eliminating extraordinary items from US GAAP. The ASU retains current presentation and disclosure requirements for an event or transaction that is of an unusual nature or of a type that indicates infrequency of occurrence. Transactions that meet both criteria would now also follow such presentation and disclosure requirements. The ASU is effective in annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted; however, adoption must occur at the beginning of an annual period. An entity can elect to apply the guidance prospectively or retrospectively. The Company had elected early adoption for the year ended December 31, 2014, and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)*, which provides guidance on management's responsibility in evaluating whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. For each reporting period, management will be required to

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the date the financial statements are issued. The amendments in this update are effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company has elected early adoption for the year ended December 31, 2015, and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which provides guidance for revenue recognition. The core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Additionally, the guidance requires improved disclosures to help users of financial statements better understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue that is recognized. The effective date of this standard will be for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted after December 15, 2016. Entities have the option of using either a full retrospective or a modified approach to adopt the guidance in the ASU. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of this ASU will have on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS*Real Estate Acquisitions*

The following charts detail the Company's acquisitions of real estate and an interest in a joint venture during 2015 and 2014 (amounts in thousands):

| Description of Property | Date Acquired | Contract Purchase Price | Terms of Payment(a) | Third Party Real Estate Acquisition Costs(b) |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Marston Park Plaza retail stores, Littleton, Colorado(c) | February 25, 2015 | \$ 17,485 | Cash and \$11,853 mortgage(d) | \$ 184 |
| Interline Brands distribution facility, Louisville, Kentucky | March 18, 2015 | 4,400 | Cash and \$2,640 mortgage(e) | 48 |
| Land The Meadows Apartments, Lakemoor, Illinois(f) | March 24, 2015 | 9,300 | All cash | (g) |
| Joint venture interest Shopko retail store, Lincoln, Nebraska(h) | March 31, 2015 | 6,300 | All cash(h) | 12 |
| Archway Roofing industrial facility, Louisville, Kentucky(i) | May 20, 2015 | 300 | All cash | 15 |
| JCIM industrial facility, McCalla, Alabama | July 28, 2015 | 16,618 | All cash | 45 |
| Fedex & CHEP USA distribution facility, Delport (St. Louis), Missouri | September 25, 2015 | 19,050 | Cash and \$12,383 mortgage(j) | 81 |
| Other costs(k) | | | | 64 |
| Totals for 2015 | | \$ 73,453 | | \$ 449 |

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

| Description of Property | Date Acquired | Contract Purchase Price | Terms of Payment(a) | Third Party Real Estate Acquisition Costs(b) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Total Wine and More retail store, Greensboro, North Carolina | January 21, 2014 | \$ 2,971 | All cash | \$ 20 |
| Chuck E Cheese restaurant, Indianapolis, Indiana | January 23, 2014 | 2,138 | All cash | 10 |
| Savers Thrift Superstore, Highlands Ranch, Colorado | May 7, 2014 | 4,825 | All cash | 83 |
| Hobby Lobby retail store, Woodbury, Minnesota | May 21, 2014 | 4,770 | All cash | 46 |
| Land River Crossing Apartments, Sandy Springs, Georgia(f) | June 4, 2014 | 6,510 | All cash | (l) |
| Noxell Corporation industrial building, Joppa, Maryland(m) | June 26, 2014 | 11,650 | All cash | (l) |
| Regal Cinemas theater, Indianapolis, Indiana | October 2, 2014 | 9,000 | All cash | 78 |
| Vacant (former Pathmark supermarket), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania(n) | October 21, 2014 | 7,729 | Cash and \$4,635 mortgage(o) | 162 |
| Progressive Converting distribution facility, New Hope, Minnesota | November 21, 2014 | 7,200 | All cash | 38 |
| Other(p) | | | | 42 |
| Totals for 2014 | | \$ 56,793 | | \$ 479 |

-
- (a) All of the mortgages listed in this column were obtained simultaneously with the acquisition of the applicable property.
- (b) Included as an expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.
- (c) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 90% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$663 for its 10% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase.
- (d) The new mortgage debt bears interest at 4.12% per annum and matures February 2025.
- (e) The new mortgage debt bears interest at 3.88% per annum and matures February 2021.
- (f) The Company's fee interest in the land is collateral for the tenant's mortgage loan secured by the buildings located at this property.

- (g) Transaction costs aggregating \$292 incurred with this asset acquisition were capitalized.
- (h) The Company purchased its unconsolidated joint venture partner's 50% interest for \$6,300. The payment was comprised of (i) \$2,636 paid directly to the partner and (ii) \$3,664, substantially all of which was used to pay off the partner's 50% share of the underlying joint venture mortgage.
- (i) This property is adjacent to the Interline Brands distribution facility purchased in March 2015.
- (j) The new mortgage debt bears interest at 3.85% per annum and matures August 2024.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

- (k) Costs incurred for properties purchased in 2014, potential acquisitions and transactions that were not consummated.
- (l) Transaction costs aggregating \$303 incurred with these asset acquisitions were capitalized.
- (m) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 95% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$306 for its 5% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase. The Company also contributed \$5,825 to the venture as senior preferred equity.
- (n) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 90% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$333 for its 10% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase. This property has been vacant since late September 2015. See Note 5.
- (o) The new mortgage debt bears interest at 3.89% per annum and matures November 2021.
- (p) Costs incurred for properties purchased in 2013 and transactions that were not consummated.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

The following charts detail the allocation of the purchase price for the Company's acquisitions of real estate and an interest in a joint venture during 2015 and 2014 (amounts in thousands):

| Description of Property | Land | Building | Building Improvements | Intangible Asset | Lease Liability | Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Marston Park Plaza retail stores, Littleton, Colorado | \$ 6,005 | \$ 10,109 | \$ 700 | \$ 1,493 | \$ (822) | \$ 17,485 |
| Interline Brands distribution facility, Louisville, Kentucky | 578 | 3,622 | 105 | 95 | | 4,400 |
| Land The Meadows Apartments, Lakemoor, Illinois(a) | 9,592 | | | | | 9,592 |
| Joint venture interest Shopko retail store, Lincoln, Nebraska(b) | 3,768 | 11,262 | 570 | 922 | (3,929) | 12,593 |
| Archway Roofing industrial facility, Louisville, Kentucky | 51 | 221 | 9 | 19 | | 300 |
| JCIM industrial facility, McCalla, Alabama | 1,588 | 14,503 | 179 | 470 | (122) | 16,618 |
| FedEx & CHEP USA distribution facility, Delpport (St. Louis), Missouri | 3,728 | 12,456 | 550 | 2,777 | (461) | 19,050 |
| Subtotals | 25,310 | 52,173 | 2,113 | 5,776 | (5,334) | 80,038 |
| Other(c) | 12 | 19 | | | (31) | |
| Totals for 2015 | \$ 25,322 | \$ 52,192 | \$ 2,113 | \$ 5,776 | \$ (5,365) | \$ 80,038 |
| Total Wine and More retail store, Greensboro, North Carolina | \$ 1,046 | \$ 1,468 | \$ 83 | \$ 374 | \$ | \$ 2,971 |
| Chuck E Cheese restaurant, Indianapolis, Indiana | 853 | 1,321 | 145 | 94 | (275) | 2,138 |
| Savers Thrift Superstore, Highlands Ranch, Colorado | 2,361 | 2,644 | 280 | 856 | (1,316) | 4,825 |
| Hobby Lobby retail store, Woodbury, Minnesota | 1,190 | 3,667 | 335 | 734 | (1,156) | 4,770 |
| Land River Crossing Apartments, Sandy Springs, Georgia(d) | 6,516 | | | | | 6,516 |
| Noxell Corporation industrial building, Joppa, Maryland(e) | 3,805 | 7,991 | 151 | | | 11,947 |
| Regal Cinemas theater, Indianapolis, Indiana | 3,087 | 5,000 | 225 | 1,575 | (887) | 9,000 |
| Vacant (former Pathmark supermarket), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | 1,793 | 5,396 | 244 | 440 | (144) | 7,729 |
| Progressive Converting distribution facility, New Hope, Minnesota | 881 | 6,033 | 30 | 757 | (501) | 7,200 |
| Subtotals | 21,532 | 33,520 | 1,493 | 4,830 | (4,279) | 57,096 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Other(f) | 74 | 70 | 18 | (59) | (97) | 6 |
| Totals for 2014 | \$ 21,606 | \$ 33,590 | \$ 1,511 | \$ 4,771 | \$ (4,376) | \$ 57,102 |

-
- (a) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$292 incurred with this asset acquisition.
 - (b) Fair value of the assets previously owned by an unconsolidated joint venture of the Company. The Company owns 100% of this property as a result of its purchase of its partner's 50% interest on March 31, 2015.
 - (c) Adjustments to finalize the purchase price allocation relating to a property purchased in October 2014.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

- (d) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$6 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (e) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$297 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (f) Adjustments to finalize the purchase price allocations relating to properties purchased in 2013.

With the exception of the Littleton, Colorado and the Delpport, Missouri properties, the properties purchased by the Company during the year ended December 31, 2015 are each net leased and occupied by a single tenant pursuant to leases that expire between 2017 through 2032. The Littleton, Colorado property has 29 retail tenant spaces and, at December 31, 2015, is 92.0% occupied with leases expiring between 2017 and 2032. The Delpport, Missouri property has two industrial tenant spaces and, at December 31, 2015, is 100% occupied with leases expiring in 2022 and 2024.

Other than the Joppa, Maryland and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania properties, all of the properties purchased in 2014 are net leased by a single tenant pursuant to a lease that expires between 2017 through 2027. The lease of the tenant at the Joppa, Maryland property expired on December 31, 2015 and the property was subsequently leased to a new tenant in January 2016. See Note 5 regarding the former Pathmark property in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania where Pathmark filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, rejected the lease, and in late September 2015, vacated the property.

As a result of the Company's purchase on March 31, 2015 of its partner's 50% interest in an unconsolidated joint venture that owns a property in Lincoln, Nebraska, the Company obtained a controlling financial interest. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Company had presented the investee in accordance with the equity method for the periods prior to gaining control and ceased the equity method of accounting and consolidated the investment at March 31, 2015, the date on which 100% control was obtained. In consolidating the investment, the Company recorded a purchase price fair value adjustment of \$960,000 on the consolidated statement of income, representing the difference between the book value of its preexisting equity investment on the March 31, 2015 purchase date and the fair value of the net assets acquired.

As a result of the 2015 and 2014 purchases, including adjustments in 2014 to finalize certain 2013 purchases, the Company recorded intangible lease assets of \$5,776,000 and \$4,771,000, respectively, and intangible lease liabilities of \$5,365,000 and \$4,376,000, respectively, representing the value of the origination costs and acquired leases. As of December 31, 2015, the weighted average amortization period for the 2015 and 2014 acquisitions is 6.8 years and 9.3 years, respectively, for the intangible lease assets, and 6.4 years and 9.1 years for the intangible lease liabilities, respectively.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, accumulated amortization of intangible lease assets was \$12,392,000 and \$9,170,000, respectively, and accumulated amortization of intangible lease liabilities was \$5,091,000 and \$3,928,000, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized net rental income of \$723,000, \$267,000 and \$160,000, respectively, for the amortization of the above/below market leases. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized amortization expense of \$3,467,000, \$2,430,000 and \$1,647,000, respectively, relating to the amortization of the origination costs, which is included in Depreciation and amortization expense.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

The unamortized balance of intangible lease assets as a result of acquired above market leases at December 31, 2015 will be deducted from rental income through 2032 as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| 2016 | \$ | 569 |
| 2017 | | 520 |
| 2018 | | 445 |
| 2019 | | 369 |
| 2020 | | 352 |
| Thereafter | | 1,571 |
| Total | \$ | 3,826 |

The unamortized balance of intangible lease liabilities as a result of acquired below market leases at December 31, 2015 will be added to rental income through 2055 as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2016 | \$ | 1,220 |
| 2017 | | 1,223 |
| 2018 | | 1,259 |
| 2019 | | 1,289 |
| 2020 | | 1,268 |
| Thereafter | | 8,262 |
| Total | \$ | 14,521 |

The unamortized balance of origination costs associated with in-place leases at December 31, 2015 will be charged to amortization expense through 2055 as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2016 | \$ | 3,125 |
| 2017 | | 2,999 |
| 2018 | | 2,799 |
| 2019 | | 2,621 |
| 2020 | | 2,567 |
| Thereafter | | 11,041 |
| Total | \$ | 25,152 |

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)*****Minimum Future Rents***

The minimum future contractual rents (without taking into consideration straight-line rent or amortization of intangibles) to be received over the next five years and thereafter on non-cancellable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2015 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| 2016 | \$ | 56,883 |
| 2017 | | 54,011 |
| 2018 | | 51,743 |
| 2019 | | 48,342 |
| 2020 | | 46,255 |
| Thereafter | | 186,250 |
| Total | \$ | 443,484 |

The rental properties owned at December 31, 2015 are leased under operating leases with current expirations ranging from 2016 to 2033, with certain tenant renewal rights. Substantially all lease agreements are net lease arrangements which require the tenant to pay rent and substantially all the expenses of the leased property including maintenance, taxes, utilities and insurance. For certain properties, the tenants pay the Company, in addition to the contractual base rent, their pro rata share of real estate taxes and operating expenses. Certain lease agreements provide for periodic rental increases and others provide for increases based on the Consumer Price Index.

Unbilled Rent Receivable

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company's unbilled rent receivables aggregating \$13,577,000 and \$12,815,000, respectively, represent rent reported on a straight-line basis in excess of rental payments required under the respective leases. The unbilled rent receivable is to be billed and received pursuant to the lease terms during the next 18 years.

During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company wrote off \$120,000 and \$2,417,000, respectively, of unbilled straight-line rent receivable related to the New Jersey properties sold during such years, which reduced the gain on sale reported on the consolidated statements of income (see Note 4).

During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company wrote off \$477,000 and \$79,000, respectively, of unbilled straight-line rent receivable related to lease termination fees (see Note 7). During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company wrote off \$89,000 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable related to the Philadelphia property (see Note 5).

NOTE 4 SALE AND DISPOSAL OF PROPERTIES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND IMPAIRMENT***Sales of Properties***

On January 13, 2015, a consolidated joint venture of the Company sold a property located in Cherry Hill, New Jersey for \$16,025,000, net of closing costs. The sale resulted in a gain of \$5,392,000,

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 4 SALE AND DISPOSAL OF PROPERTIES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND IMPAIRMENT (Continued)**

recorded as Gain on sale of real estate, net, for the year ended December 31, 2015. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$7,376,000 mortgage balance on this property and incurred a \$472,000 swap termination fee (included in Prepayment costs on debt) and a \$249,000 write-off of deferred financing costs (included in Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs). The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction is \$1,320,000 and is included in net income attributable to non-controlling interests. At December 31, 2014, the Company classified the net book value of the property's land, building and building improvements of \$10,176,000 as Properties held-for-sale and the unbilled rent receivable of \$120,000 related to this property is included in Unbilled rent receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

On October 15, 2014, the Company sold a property located in Parsippany, New Jersey for \$38,611,000, net of closing costs, and the write-off of unbilled rent receivable, resulting in a gain of \$10,180,000, which is recorded as Gain on sale of real estate, net, for the year ended December 31, 2014. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$13,417,000 mortgage on this property. Additionally, the Company incurred a \$1,581,000 mortgage prepayment charge, which is recorded as Prepayment costs on debt for the year ended December 31, 2014.

On February 3, 2014, the Company sold two properties located in Michigan for a total sales price of \$5,177,000, net of closing costs. At December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a \$61,700 impairment loss representing the loss on the sale of these properties.

Discontinued Operations

As of January 1, 2014, the Company adopted ASU 2014-08 which raises the threshold for disposals to qualify as discontinued operations. Accordingly, the properties sold in January 2015 and October 2014 are not considered discontinued operations. The following summarizes the components of income from discontinued operations which includes the two properties sold in February 2014 (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Rental income | \$ 141 | \$ 973 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 125 |
| Real estate expenses | 17 | 12 |
| Interest expense | 111 | 259 |
| Total expenses | 128 | 396 |
| Income from operations | 13 | 577 |
| Impairment loss | | (62) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 13 | \$ 515 |

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 4 SALE AND DISPOSAL OF PROPERTIES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND IMPAIRMENT (Continued)

Properties Held-for-Sale

During 2015, the Company entered into a contract to sell a portfolio of eight retail properties located in Louisiana and Mississippi, which were sold on February 1, 2016 for a total sales price of \$13,750,000. At December 31, 2015, the Company classified the \$12,259,000 net book value of the properties' land and buildings as Properties held-for-sale and the unbilled rent receivable of \$712,000 related to these properties is included in Unbilled rent receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The sale resulted in a gain of approximately \$785,000, which will be included in Gain on sale of real estate, net for the three months ending March 31, 2016. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$7,800,000 mortgage balance on these properties and incurred a \$380,000 expense for the early termination of the mortgage which will be reported as Prepayment costs on debt in the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Impairment of Property

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company determined there were indicators of impairment at its property located in Morrow, Georgia. The tenant did not renew the lease which expired October 31, 2014, efforts to re-let the property were unsuccessful and the non-recourse mortgage on the property matured on November 1, 2014. Management determined that the undiscounted cash flows in the test for recoverability were less than the property's carrying amount, and that the fair value of the property was less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1,093,000 which is included in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2014. The property was acquired by the mortgagee on January 6, 2015 through a foreclosure proceeding. At December 31, 2014, the adjusted net book value of the property was \$1,470,000.

NOTE 5 VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

Variable Interest Entities Ground Leases

In June 2014, the Company purchased land for \$6,510,000 in Sandy Springs, Georgia, improved with a 196 unit apartment complex, and in March 2015, the Company purchased land for \$9,300,000 in Lakemoor, Illinois, improved with a 496 unit apartment complex. With each purchase, the Company simultaneously entered into a triple net ground lease with the owner/operator of the applicable complex.

The Company determined that it has a variable interest through its ground leases and the owner/operators are VIEs because their equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. Simultaneously with the closing of each acquisition, the owner/operator obtained a mortgage from a third party (\$16,230,000 for Sandy Springs and \$43,824,000 for Lakemoor) which, together with the Company's purchase of the land, provided substantially all of the aggregate funds to acquire the complex. The Company provided its land as collateral for the respective owner/operator's mortgage loans; accordingly, each land position is subordinated to the

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 5 VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES (Continued)**

applicable mortgage. Other than as described above, no other financial support has been provided by the Company.

The Company further determined that for each acquisition it is not the primary beneficiary because the Company does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the owner/operator's economic performance, such as management, operational budgets and other rights, including leasing of the units, and therefore, does not consolidate the VIEs for financial statement purposes. Accordingly, the Company accounts for these investments as land and the revenues from the ground leases as Rental income, net. Such rental income amounted to \$1,280,000 and \$531,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following is a summary of the Company's variable interests in identified VIEs, in which it is not the primary beneficiary, and the aggregate carrying amount and maximum exposure to loss at December 31, 2015 (amounts in thousands):

| Property | Type of Exposure | Carrying Amount and Maximum Exposure to Loss | |
|---|--------------------------|---|---------------|
| River Crossing Apartments, Sandy Springs, Georgia | Land | \$ | 6,528 |
| | Unbilled rent receivable | | 7 |
| The Meadows Apartments, Lakemoor, Illinois | Land | | 9,592 |
| | Unbilled rent receivable | | 10 |
| Total | | \$ | 16,137 |

Pursuant to the terms of the ground lease for the property in Sandy Springs, Georgia, the owner/operator is obligated to make certain unit renovations as and when units become vacant. Cash reserves totaling \$1,894,000 were received by the Company in conjunction with the purchase of the property in June 2014 to cover such renovation work and other reserve requirements. An additional \$118,000 and \$3,000 of reserves was received by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These cash reserves are held by the Company and disbursed once the renovations have been completed. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company disbursed approximately \$651,000 and \$290,000, respectively, for renovation costs to the owner/operator. The cash reserve balance at December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$1,074,000 and \$1,607,000, respectively, and is classified as Restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheets.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entity

In June 2014, a joint venture in which the Company has a 95% equity interest and a senior preferred equity interest, acquired a property located in Joppa, Maryland. The Company determined that this joint venture is a VIE, as the Company's voting rights are not proportional to its economic interests, substantially all of the joint venture's activities are conducted on behalf of the Company and it is the primary beneficiary of the VIE as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the joint venture's performance including management, approval of expenditures,

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 5 VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES (Continued)**

and the obligation to absorb the losses or rights to receive benefits. Accordingly, the Company consolidates the operations of this joint venture for financial statement purposes. The joint venture's creditors do not have recourse to the assets of the Company other than those held by the joint venture.

The following is a summary of the carrying amounts and classification in the Company's consolidated balance sheets of the VIE's accounts, none of which are restricted (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Land | \$ 3,815 | \$ 3,805 |
| Building and improvements, net of depreciation of \$328 and \$17, respectively | 7,856 | 8,069 |
| Cash | 1,021 | 527 |
| Prepaid expenses and receivables | 23 | 42 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 64 | 152 |
| Non-controlling interest in joint venture | 328 | 312 |
| <u>Non-VIE Consolidated Joint Ventures</u> | | |

With respect to six of the consolidated joint ventures in which the Company has between an 85% to 95% interest, the Company has determined that (i) such ventures are not VIEs and (ii) the Company exercises substantial operating control and accordingly, such ventures are consolidated for financial statement purposes.

MCB Real Estate, LLC and its affiliates ("MCB") are the Company's joint venture partner in five consolidated joint ventures (including the Joppa, Maryland VIE). At December 31, 2015, the Company has aggregate equity investments of approximately \$19,238,000 in such ventures.

A joint venture with MCB, in which the Company's equity investment is \$2,935,000, owns a property that was operated as a Pathmark supermarket in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. In July 2015, this tenant filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, rejected the lease, and in late September 2015, vacated the property. As a result, the Company wrote off (i) \$89,000 of straight-line rent and \$124,000 of intangible lease liabilities, the net effect of which was an increase in Rental income of \$35,000, and (ii) \$380,000 of tenant origination costs, which is included in Depreciation and amortization expense. This tenant accounted for approximately 0.9% and 0.3% of the Company's rental income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. At December 31, 2015, the mortgage debt on such property is \$4,500,000. The Company has determined that no impairment charge is required currently with respect to this property.

Distributions by Consolidated Joint Ventures

Distributions to each joint venture partner are determined pursuant to the applicable operating agreement and may not be pro rata to the equity interest each partner has in the applicable venture.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 6 INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES AND SALES OF JOINT VENTURE PROPERTIES

On March 31, 2015, the Company purchased its partner's 50% interest in an unconsolidated joint venture for \$6,300,000 (see Note 3).

In June 2015, the Company entered into a joint venture in which it has a 50% interest, with MCB and an affiliate of The Hampshire Companies. The joint venture purchased a retail center located in Manahawkin, New Jersey for approximately \$43,500,000, before closing costs. The purchase was financed with \$26,100,000 of new mortgage debt which bears an annual fixed interest rate of 4% and matures in 2025. At December 31, 2015, the Company's equity investment in the joint venture is \$8,813,000.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company's five unconsolidated joint ventures each owned and operated one property. The Company's equity investment in such unconsolidated joint ventures at such dates totaled \$11,350,000 and \$4,907,000, respectively. In addition to the \$2,807,000 gain on the sale of a tenant-in-common property in 2013, the Company recorded equity in earnings of \$412,000, \$533,000 and \$651,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

In April 2013, the Company sold its 90% equity interest in a joint venture and recorded a gain of \$1,898,000, which is included in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 7 LEASE TERMINATION FEE INCOME

In November, October and March 2015, the Company received lease termination fees of \$950,000, \$1,286,000 and \$650,000, respectively, from retail and industrial tenants in lease buy-out transactions. In connection with the receipt of these fees, the Company wrote-off an aggregate of \$530,000 as offsets to rental income, representing the entire balance of the unbilled rent receivables and the intangible lease asset related to these tenants. The Company re-leased substantially all of such spaces simultaneously with the termination of the leases.

In June 2014, the Company received a \$1,269,000 lease termination fee from a retail tenant in a lease buy-out transaction. In connection with the receipt of this fee, the Company wrote-off \$150,000 as an offset to rental income, representing the entire balance of the unbilled rent receivable and the intangible lease asset related to this property. The Company re-leased this property simultaneously with the termination of the lease.

NOTE 8 DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Mortgages Payable

At December 31, 2015, there were 65 outstanding mortgages payable, all of which are secured by first liens on individual real estate investments with an aggregate carrying value of \$510,717,000 before accumulated depreciation of \$61,079,000. After giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements (see Note 9), the mortgage payments bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 3.13% to 7.81%, and mature between 2016 and 2037. The weighted average interest rate on all mortgage debt was 4.72% and 5.02% at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 8 DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)**

Scheduled principal repayments during the next five years and thereafter are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Year Ending December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2016 | \$ 31,146(a)(b) |
| 2017 | 31,005(a) |
| 2018 | 19,099 |
| 2019 | 17,398 |
| 2020 | 12,987 |
| Thereafter | 222,793 |
| Total | \$ 334,428 |

(a) Includes \$176 and \$7,638 in 2016 and 2017, respectively, related to a mortgage loan on a portfolio of eight properties that was sold on February 1, 2016.

(b) Does not give effect to the Company's pay off (i) during February through March 2016 of \$8,553 of such debt with a weighted average interest rate of 5.3%, and (ii) in March 2016 of \$12,200 of such debt with a 6.1% interest rate with new debt refinancing of \$18 million with a 3.38% interest rate and maturing in 2028.

Line of Credit

On December 31, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment of its \$75,000,000 credit facility with Manufacturers & Traders Trust Company, VNB New York, LLC, Bank Leumi USA and Israel Discount Bank of New York, which, among other things, extended the facility's maturity to December 31, 2018, decreased the minimum required average outstanding deposit balances to \$3,000,000 and eliminated the 4.75% interest rate floor. Under the amendment, the interest rate equals the one month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin which ranges from 175 basis points to 300 basis points, depending on the ratio of the Company's total debt to total value, as determined pursuant to the facility. An unused facility fee of .25% per annum applies to the facility. For 2015, the average interest rate on the facility was approximately 1.95%. Prior to the amendment, the interest rate was 4.75% per annum. In connection with the amendment, the Company incurred a \$562,500 commitment fee which is being amortized over the remaining term of the facility. At December 31, 2015 and March 9, 2016, there were outstanding balances of \$18,250,000 and \$18,550,000, respectively, under the facility.

The credit facility includes certain restrictions and covenants which may limit, among other things, the incurrence of liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to, among other things, minimum tangible net worth, minimum debt service coverage, minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, maximum amount of debt to value, minimum level of net income, certain investment limitations and minimum value of unencumbered properties and the number of such properties. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 8 DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

The facility is guaranteed by subsidiaries of the Company that own unencumbered properties and the Company pledged to the lenders the equity interests in the Company's subsidiaries. The facility is available for the acquisition of commercial real estate, repayment of mortgage debt, property improvements and general working capital purposes; provided, that if used for property improvements and working capital purposes, the amount outstanding for such purposes will not exceed the lesser of \$15,000,000 and 15% of the borrowing base and if used for working capital purposes, will not exceed \$10,000,000. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under the credit facility.

NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables (excluding available-for-sale securities), dividends payable, and accrued expenses and other liabilities (excluding interest rate swaps), are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis, but are considered to be recorded at amounts that approximate fair value.

At December 31, 2015, the \$346,614,000 estimated fair value of the Company's mortgages payable is more than their carrying value by approximately \$12,186,000, assuming a blended market interest rate of 4.07% based on the 8.9 year weighted average remaining term of the mortgages. At December 31, 2014, the \$300,541,000 estimated fair value of the Company's mortgages payable is more than their carrying value by approximately \$8,492,000, assuming a blended market interest rate of 4.5% based on the 9.1 year weighted average remaining term of the mortgages.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the \$18,250,000 and \$13,250,000, respectively, carrying amount of the Company's line of credit approximates its fair value.

The fair value of the Company's mortgages payable and line of credit are estimated using unobservable inputs such as available market information and discounted cash flow analysis based on borrowing rates the Company believes it could obtain with similar terms and maturities. These fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)**Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities and derivative financial instruments was determined using the following inputs (amounts in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | Carrying and Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|----------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Equity securities | 2015 | \$ 32 | \$ 32 | \$ |
| | 2014 | 29 | 29 | |
| Derivative financial instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 2015 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 2014 | 27 | | 27 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 2015 | \$ 4,299 | \$ | \$ 4,299 |
| | 2014 | 3,139 | | 3,139 |

The Company does not currently own any financial instruments that are classified as Level 3.

Available-for-sale securities

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company's available-for-sale securities included a \$32,000 and \$29,000, respectively, investment in equity securities (included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets). The aggregate cost of these securities was \$5,300 and the unrealized gain was \$27,000 and \$24,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Such unrealized gains were included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheets. Fair values are approximated based on current market quotes from financial sources that track such securities.

During 2014, the Company sold to Gould Investors L.P., a related party, 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust, a related party, for \$266,000 (based on the average of the closing prices for the 30 days preceding the sale). The cost of these shares was \$132,000 and the Company realized a gain on sale of \$134,000, of which \$132,000 was reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet into earnings.

Derivative financial instruments

Fair values are approximated using widely accepted valuation techniques including a discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivatives. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with it use

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)**

Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparty. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company determined that its derivative valuation is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had entered into 24 interest rate derivatives, all of which were interest rate swaps, related to 24 outstanding mortgage loans with an aggregate \$116,668,000 notional amount and mature between 2016 and 2027 (weighted average maturity of 7.3 years). Such interest rate swaps, all of which were designated as cash flow hedges, converted LIBOR based variable rate mortgages to fixed annual rate mortgages (with interest rates ranging from 3.55% to 5.75% and a weighted average interest rate of 4.44% at December 31, 2015). The fair value of the Company's derivatives designated as hedging instruments in asset and liability positions reflected as other assets or other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets were \$0 and \$4,299,000, respectively, at December 31, 2015, and \$27,000 and \$3,139,000, respectively, at December 31, 2014.

Three of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures, in which wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company are 50% partners, had two interest rate derivatives outstanding at December 31, 2015 with an aggregate \$10,991,000 notional amount. These interest rate swaps, which were designated as cash flow hedges, have interest rates of 3.49% and 5.81% and mature in 2022 and 2018, respectively.

The following table presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the consolidated statement of income for the periods presented (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| <u>One Liberty Properties Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries</u> | | | |
| Amount of loss recognized on derivatives in Other comprehensive loss | \$ (3,722) | \$ (4,453) | \$ (1) |
| Amount of loss reclassification from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Interest expense | (2,554) | (1,810) | (962) |
| <u>Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Company's share)</u> | | | |
| Amount of (loss) gain recognized on derivatives in Other comprehensive loss | \$ (109) | \$ (32) | \$ 21 |
| Amount of loss reclassification from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (108) | (55) | (55) |

No gain or loss was recognized with respect to hedge ineffectiveness or to amounts excluded from effectiveness testing on the Company's cash flow hedges for the three years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013. During the twelve months ending December 31, 2016, the Company estimates an additional \$1,892,000 will be reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) as an increase to interest expense.

The derivative agreements in effect at December 31, 2015 provide that if the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company which is a party to the agreement defaults or is capable of being declared in default on any of its indebtedness, then a default can be declared on such subsidiary's derivative

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

obligation. In addition, the Company is a party to one of the derivative agreements and if there is a default by the subsidiary on the loan subject to the derivative agreement to which the Company is a party and if there are swap breakage losses on account of the derivative being terminated early, the Company could be held liable for interest rate swap breakage losses, if any. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company terminated one of its interest rate swaps, in connection with the sale of its Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, and accelerated the reclassification of amounts in other comprehensive loss to earnings as a result of the hedged forecasted transactions being terminated. The accelerated amount was a loss of \$472,000 and is included in Prepayment costs on debt on the Company's consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2015, the fair value of the derivatives in the liability position, including accrued interest and excluding any adjustments for nonperformance risk, was approximately \$4,641,000. In the unlikely event that the Company breaches any of the contractual provisions of the derivative contracts, it would be required to settle its obligations thereunder at their termination liability value of \$4,641,000. This termination liability value, net of \$342,000 adjustments for accrued interest and nonperformance risk, or \$4,299,000, is included in Accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015.

Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis in the consolidated financial statements consists of a property located in Morrow, Georgia for which the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1,093,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014 (as disclosed in Note 4). The Company measured the fair value of the property using a sales comparison approach and included comparable sales and listings in the identified market adjusted for the subject property. Such inputs were determined to be Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy. Significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement include price per square foot rates, which range from \$25 to \$33 per square foot. The Company's internally prepared valuation was reviewed and approved by management.

NOTE 10 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, Gould Investors L.P. ("Gould"), a related party, owned 1,785,976 and 1,704,765 shares of the outstanding common stock of the Company, or approximately 10.6% and 10.5%, respectively. During 2015 and 2014, Gould purchased 81,211 and 106,761 shares, respectively, of the Company's stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan, and in 2014, Gould purchased 700 shares of the Company's stock in the open market.

Pursuant to the compensation and services agreement the Company entered into in 2007 with Majestic Property Management Corp. ("Majestic"), a company wholly-owned by the Company's Vice Chairman and in which certain of the Company's executive officers are officers and from which they receive compensation, the Company pays an annual fee to Majestic and Majestic provides the Company with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting, clerical and property management personnel, as well as property acquisition, sale and lease consulting and brokerage services, consulting services in respect to mortgage financings and construction supervisory services. The Company does not incur any fees or expenses for such services except for the annual fees described below.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 10 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

In consideration for providing to the Company the services described above, the Company, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, paid Majestic a fee of \$2,339,000 in 2015, \$2,669,000 in 2014 and \$2,725,000 in 2013. Effective July 1, 2014, certain employees of affiliated companies performing services pursuant to the compensation and services agreement are paid directly by the Company for services performed on the Company's behalf. Accordingly, the 2015 and 2014 fees were reduced to give effect to this adjustment. In 2015, \$892,500, in 2014, \$850,000, and in 2013, \$600,000, of property management costs included in these fees was allocated to real estate expenses. Majestic credits against the fees due to it under the compensation and services agreement any management or other fees received by it from any joint venture in which the Company is a joint venture partner. The compensation and services agreement also provides for an additional payment to Majestic of \$196,000 in 2015, \$186,000 in 2014 and \$175,000 in 2013 for the Company's share of all direct office expenses, including rent, telephone, postage, computer services, internet usage and supplies. The fee the Company pays Majestic is negotiated each year by the Company and Majestic, and is approved by the Company's audit committee and independent directors.

Effective January 1, 2016, the property management fee portion of the compensation and services agreement will be paid based on 1.5% and 2.0% of the rental payments (including tenant reimbursements) actually received by the Company from net lease tenants and operating lease tenants, respectively. The Company will not pay Majestic property management fees with respect to properties managed by third parties.

Executive officers and others providing services under the compensation and services agreement were awarded shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units under the Company's stock incentive plans (described in Note 11). The costs of the plans charged to the Company's operations applicable to the executive officers and others providing services under the compensation and services agreement amounted to \$1,245,000, \$1,045,000 and \$867,000 in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

In addition to its share of rent included in the payment to Majestic of \$196,000 in 2015, \$186,000 in 2014 and \$175,000 in 2013, the Company leased additional space in the same building, and paid a subsidiary of Gould, an annual rent of \$7,000 in 2015, \$42,000 in 2014, and \$41,000 in 2013. In February 2015, the Gould subsidiary sold this building to an unrelated party and all subsequent lease payments have been made to the purchaser.

The Company also paid fees of \$262,500 and \$105,000 in 2015, and \$250,000 and \$100,000 in each of 2014 and 2013, to the Company's chairman and vice-chairman, respectively.

Except for \$892,500 in 2015, \$850,000 in 2014 and \$600,000 in 2013 of real estate expenses described above, the fees paid under the compensation and services agreement, the costs of the stock incentive plans, the rent expense and the chairman and vice-chairman fees are included in General and administrative expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

The Company obtains its property insurance in conjunction with Gould and reimburses Gould annually for the Company's insurance cost related to its properties. Amounts reimbursed to Gould were \$520,000, \$400,000 and \$359,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Included in real estate expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income is

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 10 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

insurance expense of \$339,000, \$250,000 and \$178,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company received a \$131,000 financing fee for obtaining the mortgage debt for the unconsolidated joint venture that acquired the Manahawkin, New Jersey property (see Note 6). Fifty percent of this income is included in Other income on the consolidated statement of income and the balance is recorded as a reduction to Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures on the consolidated balance sheet. The joint venture also paid fees aggregating \$409,000 to the other partners of the venture, of which \$205,000 reduced Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures on the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2015.

During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company paid an aggregate of \$198,000, \$262,000 and \$107,000, respectively, to its joint venture partners or their affiliates for property management and acquisition fees, of which \$117,000 was included in Land and building on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the balance was included in Real estate expenses and Real estate acquisition costs on the consolidated statements of income.

NOTE 11 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Based Compensation

The Company's 2012 Incentive Plan, approved by the Company's stockholders in June 2012, permits the Company to grant, among other things, stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance share awards and any one or more of the foregoing to its employees, officers, directors and consultants. A maximum of 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock is authorized for issuance pursuant to this plan, of which 358,475 shares of restricted stock are outstanding at December 31, 2015. An aggregate of 380,280 shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units outstanding under the Company's 2009 Equity Incentive Plan have not yet vested and no additional awards may be granted under this plan.

The restricted stock grants are charged to general and administrative expense over the respective vesting periods based on the market value of the common stock on the grant date. All unvested restricted stock awards provide for vesting upon the fifth anniversary of the date of grant, and under certain circumstances may vest earlier. For accounting purposes, the restricted stock is not included in the shares shown as outstanding on the consolidated balance sheets until they vest; however, dividends are paid on the unvested shares.

On September 14, 2010, the Board of Directors approved a Pay-for-Performance Program under the Company's 2009 Incentive Plan and awarded 200,000 performance share awards in the form of restricted stock units (the "Units"), half of which were awarded to full time employees of the Company. The other half were awarded to part time officers of the Company who are compensated through the compensation and services agreement, some of whom are also officers of Majestic. The holders of Units are not entitled to dividends or to vote the underlying shares until the Units vest and shares are issued. Accordingly, for financial statement purposes, the shares underlying the Units are not included in the shares shown as outstanding on the consolidated balance sheets. If the defined performance criteria are satisfied in full at June 30, 2017, one share of the Company's common stock

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 11 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)**

will vest and be issued for each Unit outstanding and a pro-rata portion of the Units will vest and be issued if the performance criteria fall between defined ranges. In the event that the performance criteria are not satisfied in whole or in part at June 30, 2017, the unvested Units will be forfeited and no shares of the Company's common stock will be issued for those Units. For the awards which vest based on total stockholder return, a third party appraiser prepared a Monte Carlo simulation pricing model to determine the fair value. For the awards which vest based on return on capital, the fair value is based on the market value on the date of grant. Expense is not recognized on the Units which the Company does not expect to vest as a result of service conditions or the Company's performance expectations. The average grant price for each of the 200,000 Units granted is \$11.74. The total amount recorded as deferred compensation is \$822,000 and is being charged to General and administrative expense over the approximate seven year vesting period. The deferred compensation expense to be recognized is net of certain forfeiture and performance assumptions (which are re-evaluated quarterly). No Units were forfeited or vested during 2015, 2014 and 2013.

The following is a summary of the activity of the equity incentive plans excluding, except as otherwise noted, the 200,000 Units:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Restricted stock grants | 129,975 | 118,850 | 112,650 |
| Per share grant price | \$ 24.60 | \$ 20.54 | \$ 21.59 |
| Deferred compensation to be recognized over vesting period | \$ 3,197,000 | \$ 2,441,000 | \$ 2,432,000 |
| Number of non-vested shares: | | | |
| Non-vested beginning of year | 480,995 | 470,015 | 407,460 |
| Grants | 129,975 | 118,850 | 112,650 |
| Vested during year | (72,215) | (101,300) | (50,095) |
| Forfeitures | | (6,570) | |
| Non-vested end of year | 538,755 | 480,995 | 470,015 |

The following information includes the 200,000 Units:

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Average per share value of non-vested shares (based on grant price) | \$ 17.12 | \$ 14.55 | \$ 14.22 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Value of stock vested during the year (based on grant price) | \$ 612,000 | \$ 621,000 | \$ 876,000 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|

| | | | |
|--|----|----------|----|
| Average value of shares forfeited (based on grant price) | \$ | \$ 15.49 | \$ |
|--|----|----------|----|

The total charge to operations for all incentive plans is as follows:

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Outstanding restricted stock grants | \$ 2,204,000 | \$ 1,701,000 | \$ 1,341,000 |
| Outstanding restricted stock units | 130,000 | 132,000 | 99,000 |
| Total charge to operations | \$ 2,334,000 | \$ 1,833,000 | \$ 1,440,000 |

As of December 31, 2015, there were approximately \$5,719,000 of total compensation costs related to non-vested awards that have not yet been recognized, including \$167,000 related to the Units (net of forfeiture and performance assumptions which are re-evaluated quarterly). These compensation costs

F-38

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2015

NOTE 11 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

will be charged to General and administrative expense over the remaining respective vesting periods. The weighted average vesting period is approximately 2.1 years.

Common Stock Dividend Distributions

In 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Board of Directors declared an aggregate \$1.58, \$1.50 and \$1.42 per share in cash distributions, respectively.

Distribution Reinvestment Plan

The Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "DRP") provides stockholders with the opportunity to reinvest all, or a portion of, their cash dividends paid on the Company's common stock in additional shares of its common stock, at a discount of up to 5% from the market price. The discount is determined in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is currently offering up to a 5% discount from market. The Company issued 197,000, 227,000 and 210,000 common shares under the DRP during 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Shares Issued Through Equity Offering Program

On March 20, 2014, the Company entered into an amended and restated equity offering sales agreement to sell shares of the Company's common stock from time to time with an aggregate sales price of up to approximately \$38,360,000, through an "at the market" equity offering program. During 2015, the Company sold 295,190 shares for proceeds of \$6,581,000, net of commissions of \$66,000, and incurred offering costs of \$124,000 for professional fees. During 2014, the Company sold 179,051 shares for proceeds of \$3,890,000, net of commissions of \$39,000, and incurred offering costs, primarily professional fees, of \$122,000.

NOTE 12 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company maintains a non-contributory defined contribution pension plan covering eligible employees. Contributions by the Company are made through a money purchase plan, based upon a percent of the qualified employees' total salary (subject to the maximum amount allowed by law). Pension expense approximated \$266,000, \$191,000 and \$147,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and is included in General and administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The Company pays, with respect to one of its real estate properties, annual fixed leasehold rent of \$371,094 through July 2019 and \$463,867 through March 3, 2020. The Company has the right to extend the lease for up to five 5-year and one seven month renewal options.

As discussed in Note 5, the Company provided its land in Sandy Springs, Georgia and Lakemoor, Illinois as collateral for the respective owner/operator's mortgage loans and accordingly, each land position is subordinated to the applicable mortgage.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is party to various legal actions which management believes are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of the Company's business. Management believes that the outcome of the proceedings will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 13 INCOME TAXES**

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1983. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. It is management's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's REIT status. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal, state and local income tax on taxable income it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even though the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company is subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income.

Reconciliation between Financial Statement Net Income and Federal Taxable Income (Unaudited):

The following table reconciles financial statement net income to federal taxable income for the years indicated (amounts in thousands):

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Estimate | Actual | Actual |
| Net income | \$ 20,517 | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 |
| Straight-line rent adjustments | (971) | (1,480) | (1,025) |
| Book gain on sale (in excess of) less than tax gain | (530) | 10,522 | 1,391 |
| Rent received in advance, net | (73) | (180) | 691 |
| Adjustments for above/below market leases | (581) | (253) | (144) |
| Non-deductible portion of restricted stock expense | 613 | (149) | 357 |
| Federal excise tax, non-deductible | 174 | 302 | 45 |
| Book depreciation in excess of tax depreciation | 4,219 | 2,970 | 1,686 |
| Property acquisition costs capitalized for tax purposes | 798 | 417 | 850 |
| Impairment loss | | 1,093 | 62 |
| Other adjustments | (317) | 26 | (111) |
| Federal taxable income | \$ 23,849 | \$ 35,384 | \$ 21,677 |

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 13 INCOME TAXES (Continued)*****Reconciliation between Cash Dividends Paid and Dividends Paid Deduction (Unaudited):***

The following table reconciles cash dividends paid with the dividends paid deduction for the years indicated (amounts in thousands):

| | 2015 Estimate | 2014 Actual | 2013 Actual |
|---|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Dividends paid | \$ 26,179 | \$ 24,117 | \$ 21,999 |
| Dividend reinvestment plan(a) | 228 | 197 | 230 |
| | 26,407 | 24,314 | 22,229 |
| Less: Spillover dividends designated to previous year | (18,177) | (7,107) | (7,659) |
| Plus: Dividends designated from following year | 15,619 | 18,177 | 7,107 |
| Dividends paid deduction | \$ 23,849 | \$ 35,384 | \$ 21,677 |

(a) Reflects the up to 5% discount on common stock purchased through the dividend reinvestment plan.

NOTE 14 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated and except as disclosed (i) below, (ii) in Note 4 (Sale and Disposal of Properties, Discontinued Operations and Impairment) and (iii) in Note 8 (Debt Obligations), there were no other events relative to the consolidated financial statements that require additional disclosure.

On January 5, 2016, 139,225 shares were issued as restricted share grants having an aggregate value of approximately \$3,027,000 and are scheduled to vest in January 2021.

On March 2, 2016, Sports Authority Inc., a tenant at the Company's Greenwood Village, Colorado property, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. This tenant accounted for approximately 0.8%, 0.8% and 0.9% of the Company's rental income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

On March 10, 2016, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$.41 per share on the Company's common stock, totaling \$6,970,000. The quarterly dividend is payable on April 7, 2016 to stockholders of record on March 25, 2016.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 15 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited):****(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

| 2015 | March 31 | Quarter Ended | | | Dec. 31 | Total For Year |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | | June 30 | Sept. 30 | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 15,326(a) | \$ 15,782 | \$ 16,108 | \$ 18,495(b) | \$ 65,711 | |
| Net income | \$ 9,207(c) | \$ 3,714 | \$ 3,791 | \$ 5,195 | \$ 21,907 | |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 7,856 | \$ 3,682 | \$ 3,788 | \$ 5,191 | \$ 20,517 | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | | |
| Basic | 15,776 | 15,883 | 16,014 | 16,204 | 15,971 | |
| Diluted | 15,876 | 15,983 | 16,114 | 16,312 | 16,079 | |
| Net income per common share attributable to common stockholders: | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$.48 | \$.22 | \$.22 | \$.31 | \$ 1.23(d) | |
| Diluted | \$.48 | \$.22 | \$.22 | \$.31 | \$ 1.22(d) | |

(a) Includes lease termination fee income of \$650 from an industrial tenant.

(b)

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Includes lease termination fee income of \$2,236 from two retail tenants.

(c)

Includes a \$5,392 net gain on sale of real estate, a \$472 prepayment cost on debt and a \$249 write-off of deferred financing costs. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction was \$1,320.

(d)

Calculated on weighted average shares outstanding for the year.

F-42

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2015****NOTE 15 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited): (Continued)**

| 2014 | March 31 | Quarter Ended | | Dec. 31 | Total |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | For Year |
| Total revenues | \$ 14,402 | \$ 15,665(e) | \$ 15,187 | \$ 15,223 | \$ 60,477 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 3,287 | \$ 4,662 | \$ 2,647 | \$ 11,601(f) | \$ 22,197 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 13 | | | | 13 |
| Net income | \$ 3,300 | \$ 4,662 | \$ 2,647 | \$ 11,601 | \$ 22,210 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 3,273 | \$ 4,640 | \$ 2,620 | \$ 11,583 | \$ 22,116 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 15,356 | 15,518 | 15,650 | 15,727 | 15,563 |
| Diluted | 15,456 | 15,618 | 15,750 | 15,827 | 15,663 |
| Net income per common share attributable to common stockholders: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$.20 | \$.29 | \$.16 | \$.71 | \$ 1.37(g) |
| Diluted | \$.20 | \$.29 | \$.16 | \$.71 | \$ 1.37(g) |

(e) Includes lease termination fee income of \$1,269 from a retail tenant.

(f)

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Includes a \$10,180 net gain on sale of real estate and a \$1,581 prepayment cost on debt related to the sale.

(g)

Calculated on weighted average shares outstanding for the year.

F-43

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2015 | | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired | |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------|
| | | | Land | Improvements | | Land | Improvements | | | | Total |
| Flex | Ronkonkoma, NY | \$ 3,602 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 4,171 | \$ 1,600 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 5,771 | \$ 6,813 | 1,845 | 1986 | 2000 |
| Flex | Hauppauge, NY | 12,413 | 1,952 | 10,954 | 1,755 | 1,952 | 12,709 | 14,661 | 4,194 | 1982 | 2000 |
| Flex | Fort Mill, SC | 8,770 | 1,840 | 12,687 | 23 | 1,840 | 12,710 | 14,550 | 862 | 1992 | 2013 |
| Health & Fitness | Tucker, GA | 4,846 | 807 | 3,027 | 3,126 | 807 | 6,153 | 6,960 | 1,946 | 1988 | 2002 |
| Health & Fitness | Hamilton, OH | 5,062 | 1,483 | 5,953 | | 1,483 | 5,953 | 7,436 | 752 | 2008 | 2011 |
| Health & Fitness | Secaucus, NJ | 9,360 | 5,449 | 9,873 | | 5,449 | 9,873 | 15,322 | 756 | 1986 | 2012 |
| Industrial | Columbus, OH | | 435 | 1,703 | | 435 | 1,703 | 2,138 | 649 | 1979 | 1995 |
| Industrial | West Palm Beach, FL | | 181 | 724 | | 181 | 724 | 905 | 312 | 1973 | 1998 |
| Industrial | New Hyde Park, NY | 2,694 | 182 | 728 | 281 | 182 | 1,009 | 1,191 | 316 | 1960 | 1999 |
| Industrial | Melville, NY | 2,907 | 774 | 3,029 | 975 | 774 | 4,004 | 4,778 | 1,106 | 1982 | 2003 |
| Industrial | Philadelphia, PA | 5,346 | 1,981 | 7,668 | | 1,981 | 7,668 | 9,649 | 2,085 | 1964 | 2005 |
| Industrial | Saco, ME | 3,061 | 1,027 | 3,623 | | 1,027 | 3,623 | 4,650 | 879 | 2001 | 2006 |
| Industrial | Baltimore, MD(2) | 20,725 | 6,474 | 25,282 | | 6,474 | 25,282 | 31,756 | 5,715 | 1960 | 2006 |
| Industrial | Durham, NC | 1,998 | 1,043 | 2,404 | | 1,043 | 2,404 | 3,447 | 321 | 1991 | 2011 |
| Industrial | Pinellas Park, FL | | 1,231 | 1,669 | | 1,231 | 1,669 | 2,900 | 191 | 1995 | 2012 |
| Industrial | Miamisburg, OH | 794 | 165 | 1,348 | | 165 | 1,348 | 1,513 | 119 | 1987 | 2012 |
| Industrial | Indianapolis, IN | 6,247 | 1,224 | 6,935 | | 1,224 | 6,935 | 8,159 | 532 | 1997 | 2013 |
| Industrial | Fort Mill, SC | 26,139 | 1,804 | 33,650 | | 1,804 | 33,650 | 35,454 | 2,736 | 1997 | 2013 |
| Industrial | New Hope, MN | 4,387 | 881 | 6,064 | | 881 | 6,064 | 6,945 | 172 | 1967 | 2014 |
| Industrial | Joppa, MD | | 3,815 | 8,142 | 42 | 3,815 | 8,184 | 11,999 | 328 | 1994 | 2014 |
| Industrial | Louisville, KY | 2,574 | 578 | 3,731 | | 578 | 3,731 | 4,309 | 77 | 1974 | 2015 |
| Industrial | Louisville, KY | | 50 | 230 | | 50 | 230 | 280 | 4 | 1974 | 2015 |
| Industrial | McCalla, AL | 10,858 | 1,588 | 14,681 | | 1,588 | 14,681 | 16,269 | 172 | 2003 | 2015 |
| Industrial | Delport, MO | 12,309 | 3,728 | 13,006 | | 3,728 | 13,006 | 16,734 | 102 | 1969 | 2015 |
| Office | Brooklyn, NY | 4,559 | 1,381 | 5,447 | 2,870 | 1,381 | 8,317 | 9,698 | 3,212 | 1973 | 1998 |
| Other | Greensboro, NC | | | 8,328 | | | 8,328 | 8,328 | 6,101 | 1999 | 2004 |
| Other | Round Rock, TX | 14,580 | 1,678 | 16,670 | | 1,678 | 16,670 | 18,348 | 999 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Other | Sandy Springs, GA | | 6,528 | | | 6,528 | | 6,528 | | N/A | 2014 |
| Other | Indianapolis, IN | 4,410 | 3,099 | 5,225 | 19 | 3,099 | 5,244 | 8,343 | 170 | 1997 | 2014 |
| Other | Lakemoor, IL | | 9,592 | | | 9,592 | | 9,592 | | N/A | 2015 |
| Retail | Seattle, WA | | 201 | 189 | | 201 | 189 | 390 | 134 | 1986 | 1987 |
| Retail | Rosenberg, TX | | 216 | 863 | 66 | 216 | 929 | 1,145 | 448 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Retail | Greenwood Village, CO | | 780 | 3,248 | 418 | 780 | 3,666 | 4,446 | 1,690 | 1995 | 1996 |

F-44

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2015 | | Total Depreciation | Accumulated Date of Construction | Date Acquired | |
|--------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------|
| | | | Building and | Land Improvements | | Land Improvements | Building & Land Improvements | | | | |
| Retail | Ft. Myers, FL | 2,811 | 1,013 | 4,054 | | 1,013 | 4,054 | 5,067 | 1,938 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | | 396 | 1,583 | 30 | 396 | 1,613 | 2,009 | 711 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Retail | Selden, NY | 3,082 | 572 | 2,287 | 150 | 572 | 2,437 | 3,009 | 1,010 | 1997 | 1999 |
| Retail | Champaign, IL | 1,726 | 791 | 3,165 | 287 | 791 | 3,452 | 4,243 | 1,375 | 1985 | 1999 |
| Retail | El Paso, TX | | 2,821 | 11,123 | 1,211 | 2,821 | 12,334 | 15,155 | 4,568 | 1974 | 2000 |
| Retail | Lake Charles, LA(3) | 5,434 | 1,167 | 4,669 | 599 | 1,167 | 5,268 | 6,435 | 1,669 | 1998 | 2002 |
| Retail | Somerville, MA | 1,865 | 510 | 1,993 | 24 | 510 | 2,017 | 2,527 | 648 | 1993 | 2003 |
| Retail | Newark, DE | 1,866 | 935 | 3,643 | 10 | 935 | 3,653 | 4,588 | 1,129 | 1996 | 2003 |
| Retail | Knoxville, TN | | 2,290 | 8,855 | | 2,290 | 8,855 | 11,145 | 2,610 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Retail | Athens, GA(3) | 2,913 | 1,130 | 4,340 | | 1,130 | 4,340 | 5,470 | 1,261 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Retail | Onalaska, WI | 3,722 | 753 | 3,099 | | 753 | 3,099 | 3,852 | 862 | 1994 | 2004 |
| Retail | Bastrop, LA(4) | 972 | 378 | 1,465 | | 378 | 1,465 | 1,843 | 334 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Kentwood, LA(4) | 946 | 368 | 1,425 | | 368 | 1,425 | 1,793 | 326 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Monroe, LA(4) | 972 | 378 | 1,465 | | 378 | 1,465 | 1,843 | 334 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Monroe, LA(4) | 928 | 361 | 1,399 | | 361 | 1,399 | 1,760 | 319 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | D'lberville, MS(4) | 946 | 368 | 1,425 | | 368 | 1,425 | 1,793 | 325 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Flowood, MS(4) | 1,008 | 392 | 1,517 | | 392 | 1,517 | 1,909 | 346 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Vicksburg, MS(4) | 921 | 358 | 1,385 | | 358 | 1,385 | 1,743 | 317 | 1985 | 2006 |
| Retail | Vicksburg, MS(4) | 1,121 | 436 | 1,689 | | 436 | 1,689 | 2,125 | 385 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Hyannis, MA | 613 | 802 | 2,324 | | 802 | 2,324 | 3,126 | 462 | 1998 | 2008 |
| Retail | Marston Mills, MA | 247 | 461 | 2,313 | | 461 | 2,313 | 2,774 | 455 | 1998 | 2008 |
| Retail | Everett, MA | 1,238 | 1,935 | | | 1,935 | | 1,935 | | N/A | 2008 |
| Retail | Royersford, PA | 19,750 | 19,538 | 3,150 | 404 | 19,538 | 3,554 | 23,092 | 510 | 2001 | 2010 |
| Retail | Monroeville, PA | | 450 | 863 | | 450 | 863 | 1,313 | 121 | 1994 | 2010 |
| Retail | Kansas City, MO | 4,028 | 2,958 | 5,691 | | 2,958 | 5,691 | 8,649 | 788 | 2004 | 2010 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | 2,641 | 1,962 | 1,540 | | 1,962 | 1,540 | 3,502 | 227 | 2006 | 2010 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | | 2,002 | 1,800 | | 2,002 | 1,800 | 3,802 | 261 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Retail | Bolingbrook, IL | | 834 | 1,887 | 101 | 834 | 1,988 | 2,822 | 247 | 2001 | 2011 |
| Retail | Crystal Lake, IL | 1,845 | 615 | 1,899 | | 615 | 1,899 | 2,514 | 270 | 1997 | 2011 |
| Retail | Niles, IL | 3,170 | 843 | 3,485 | | 843 | 3,485 | 4,328 | 433 | 1995 | 2011 |
| Retail | Lawrence, KS | | 134 | 938 | | 134 | 938 | 1,072 | 91 | 1915 | 2012 |
| Retail | Greensboro, NC | 1,432 | 1,046 | 1,552 | 29 | 1,046 | 1,581 | 2,627 | 90 | 2002 | 2014 |
| Retail | Highlands Ranch, CO | | 2,361 | 2,924 | | 2,361 | 2,924 | 5,285 | 138 | 1995 | 2014 |
| Retail | Woodbury, MN | 3,111 | 1,190 | 4,003 | | 1,190 | 4,003 | 5,193 | 185 | 2006 | 2014 |
| Retail | Cape Girardeau, MO | 1,292 | 545 | 1,547 | | 545 | 1,547 | 2,092 | 141 | 1994 | 2012 |
| Retail | Clemmons, NC | 2,317 | 2,564 | 3,293 | | 2,564 | 3,293 | 5,857 | 327 | 1993 | 2013 |
| Retail | Deptford, NJ | 1,877 | 572 | 1,779 | 705 | 572 | 2,484 | 3,056 | 353 | 1981 | 2012 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | 4,709 | 3,122 | 3,767 | 145 | 3,122 | 3,912 | 7,034 | 476 | 2001 | 2012 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Building and Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2015 | | Total Depreciation | Accumulated Date of Construction | Date Acquired | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|---|--|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------|
| | | | Land | Improvements | | Land | Improvements | | | | |
| Retail | Lakewood, CO | 11,656 | 6,005 | 11,272 | 6,005 | 11,272 | 17,277 | 270 | 1985 | 2015 | |
| Retail | Lincoln, NE | | 3,768 | 11,835 | 19 | 3,768 | 11,854 | 15,622 | 240 | 2001 | 2015 |
| Retail | Kennesaw, GA | | 1,501 | 4,349 | | 1,501 | 4,349 | 5,850 | 793 | 1995 | 2008 |
| Retail Restaurant | Hauppauge, NY | 1,854 | 725 | 2,963 | | 725 | 2,963 | 3,688 | 750 | 1992 | 2005 |
| Retail Restaurant | Palmyra, PA | 777 | 650 | 650 | | 650 | 650 | 1,300 | 89 | 1981 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Reading, PA | 768 | 655 | 625 | | 655 | 625 | 1,280 | 85 | 1981 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Reading, PA | 756 | 618 | 643 | | 618 | 643 | 1,261 | 89 | 1983 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Hanover, PA | 850 | 736 | 686 | | 736 | 686 | 1,422 | 92 | 1992 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Gettysburg, PA | 871 | 754 | 704 | | 754 | 704 | 1,458 | 95 | 1991 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Trexlerstown, PA | 740 | 800 | 439 | | 800 | 439 | 1,239 | 59 | 1994 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Island Park, NY | | 1,235 | 1,355 | | 1,235 | 1,355 | 2,590 | 205 | 1947 | 2010 |
| Retail Restaurant | Carrollton, GA | 1,657 | 796 | 1,458 | | 796 | 1,458 | 2,254 | 164 | 1996 | 2012 |
| Retail Restaurant | Cartersville, GA | 1,567 | 786 | 1,346 | | 786 | 1,346 | 2,132 | 161 | 1995 | 2012 |
| Retail Restaurant | Kennesaw, GA | 1,287 | 702 | 916 | | 702 | 916 | 1,618 | 108 | 1989 | 2012 |
| Retail Restaurant | Lawrenceville, GA | 1,233 | 866 | 899 | | 866 | 899 | 1,765 | 138 | 1988 | 2012 |
| Retail Restaurant | Killeen, TX | | 1,265 | 803 | | 1,265 | 803 | 2,068 | 85 | 2007 | 2013 |
| Retail Restaurant | Concord, NC | 1,609 | 999 | 1,076 | | 999 | 1,076 | 2,075 | 77 | 2000 | 2013 |
| Retail Restaurant | Myrtle Beach, SC | 1,609 | 1,102 | 1,161 | | 1,102 | 1,161 | 2,263 | 86 | 1978 | 2013 |
| Retail Restaurant | Ann Arbor, MI | 1,431 | 1,098 | 1,460 | | 1,098 | 1,460 | 2,558 | 112 | 1998 | 2013 |
| Retail Restaurant | Greensboro, NC | 3,413 | 1,770 | 1,237 | | 1,770 | 1,237 | 3,007 | 79 | 1983 | 2013 |
| Retail Restaurant | Richmond, VA | | 1,680 | 1,340 | | 1,680 | 1,340 | 3,020 | 82 | 1983 | 2013 |
| Retail Restaurant | Indianapolis, IN | 975 | 853 | 1,465 | | 853 | 1,465 | 2,318 | 83 | 1982 | 2014 |
| Retail Supermarket | West Hartford, CT | | 2,881 | 94 | 326 | 2,881 | 420 | 3,301 | 90 | N/A | 2010 |
| Retail Supermarket | West Hartford, CT | 12,245 | 9,296 | 4,813 | 261 | 9,296 | 5,074 | 14,370 | 762 | 2005 | 2010 |
| Retail Supermarket | Philadelphia, PA | 4,514 | 1,793 | 5,640 | 80 | 1,793 | 5,720 | 7,513 | 186 | 1992 | 2014 |
| Retail Furniture | Columbus, OH | | 1,445 | 5,431 | 413 | 1,445 | 5,844 | 7,289 | 2,620 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Retail Furniture | Duluth, GA(5) | 1,694 | 778 | 3,436 | | 778 | 3,436 | 4,214 | 834 | 1987 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Fayetteville, GA(5) | 2,125 | 976 | 4,308 | | 976 | 4,308 | 5,284 | 1,046 | 1987 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Wichita, KS(5) | 2,589 | 1,189 | 5,248 | | 1,189 | 5,248 | 6,437 | 1,274 | 1996 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Lexington, KY(5) | 1,742 | 800 | 3,532 | | 800 | 3,532 | 4,332 | 857 | 1999 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Bluffton, SC(5) | 1,283 | 589 | 2,600 | | 589 | 2,600 | 3,189 | 631 | 1994 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Amarillo, TX(5) | 1,873 | 860 | 3,810 | | 860 | 3,810 | 4,670 | 925 | 1996 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Austin, TX(5) | 3,456 | 1,587 | 7,010 | | 1,587 | 7,010 | 8,597 | 1,701 | 2001 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Tyler, TX(5) | 2,245 | 1,031 | 4,554 | | 1,031 | 4,554 | 5,585 | 1,105 | 2001 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Newport News, VA(5) | 1,635 | 751 | 3,316 | | 751 | 3,316 | 4,067 | 805 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Richmond, VA(5) | 1,888 | 867 | 3,829 | | 867 | 3,829 | 4,696 | 929 | 1979 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Virginia Beach, VA(5) | 1,860 | 854 | 3,770 | | 854 | 3,770 | 4,624 | 915 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Gurnee, IL | 2,346 | 834 | 3,635 | | 834 | 3,635 | 4,469 | 844 | 1994 | 2006 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2015 | | | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | Land | Improvements | Buildings | | Land | Improvements | Total | | | |
| Retail Furniture | Naples, FL | | 3,070 | 2,846 | 189 | 3,070 | 3,035 | 6,105 | 533 | 1992 | 2008 | |
| Retail Office Supply | Batavia, NY(3) | | 515 | 2,061 | | 515 | 2,061 | 2,576 | 869 | 1998 | 1999 | |
| Retail Office Supply | Chicago, IL(3) | 3,917 | 3,877 | 2,256 | | 3,877 | 2,256 | 6,133 | 411 | 1994 | 2008 | |
| Retail Office Supply | Cary, NC(3) | 3,305 | 1,129 | 3,736 | | 1,129 | 3,736 | 4,865 | 681 | 1995 | 2008 | |
| Retail Office Supply | Eugene, OR(3) | 2,943 | 1,952 | 2,096 | | 1,952 | 2,096 | 4,048 | 382 | 1994 | 2008 | |
| Retail Office Supply | El Paso, TX(3) | 2,571 | 1,035 | 2,700 | | 1,035 | 2,700 | 3,735 | 492 | 1993 | 2008 | |
| | | \$ 334,428 | \$ 190,033 | \$ 455,991 | \$ 16,158 | \$ 190,033 | \$ 472,149 | \$ 662,182 | \$ 87,801 | | | |

Note 1 Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the buildings and improvements, which range from 3 to 40 years.

Note 2 Upon purchase of the property in December 2006, a \$416,000 rental income reserve was posted by the seller for the Company's benefit, since the property was not producing sufficient rent at the time of acquisition. The Company recorded the receipt of this rental reserve as a reduction to land and building.

Note 3 These seven properties are retail office supply stores net leased to the same tenant, pursuant to separate leases. Five of these leases contain cross default provisions. They are located in seven states (Illinois, Louisiana, North Carolina, Texas, Georgia, Oregon, and New York).

Note 4 This portfolio of eight properties was held-for-sale at December 31, 2015.

Note 5 These 11 properties are retail furniture stores covered by one master lease and one loan that is secured by cross collateralized mortgages. They are located in six states (Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, South Carolina, Texas and Virginia).

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Schedule III
Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

- (a) Reconciliation of "Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation"
(Amounts in Thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Investment in real estate(b): | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 592,668 | \$ 574,424 | \$ 473,341 |
| Addition: Land, buildings and improvements | 83,643 | 57,584 | 101,145 |
| Deduction: Properties sold/conveyed | (14,129) | (38,247) | |
| Deduction: Impairment loss | | (1,093) | (62) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 662,182 | \$ 592,668 | \$ 574,424 |

| | (c) | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Accumulated depreciation(b): | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 77,643 | \$ 73,060 | \$ 62,816 |
| Addition: Depreciation | 12,680 | 12,064 | 10,244 |
| Deduction: Accumulated depreciation related to properties sold/conveyed | (2,522) | (7,481) | |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 87,801 | \$ 77,643 | \$ 73,060 |

- (b) Includes properties held-for-sale in each of 2015, 2014 and 2013.

- (c) The aggregate cost of the properties is approximately \$14,791 higher for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2015.