CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST
Form 10-K
February 19, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to
Commission file number 1-14023

Corporate Office Properties Trust

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	**23-2947217** (IRS Employer Identification No.)
6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300 **Columbia, MD** (Address of principal executive offices)	**21046** (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(443) 285-5400**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

(Title of Each Class)	**(Name of Exchange on Which Registered)**
Common Shares of beneficial interest, $0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of beneficial interest, $0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of beneficial interest, $0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Series J Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of beneficial interest, $0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. ý Yes o No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. o Yes ý No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ý Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). o Yes o No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer ý	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) o Yes ý No

The aggregate market value of the voting and nonvoting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately $1.7 billion, as calculated using the closing price of the common shares of beneficial interest on the New York Stock Exchange and our outstanding shares as of June 30, 2009. For purposes of calculating this amount only, affiliates are defined as Trustees, executive owners and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the registrant's outstanding common shares of beneficial interest, $0.01 par value. At January 29, 2010, 58,385,958 of the registrant's common shares of beneficial interest were outstanding.

Portions of the annual shareholders' report of the registrant for the year ended December 31, 2009 are incorporated by reference into Parts I and II of this Form 10-K and portions of the proxy statement of the registrant for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents
Form 10-K

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K contains "forward-looking" statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition and operations of our business. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "estimate," "plan" or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations, estimates and projections reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that these expectations, estimates and projections will be achieved. Future events and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that may affect these expectations, estimates and projections include, but are not limited to:

- general economic and business conditions, which will, among other things, affect office property demand and rents, tenant creditworthiness, interest rates and financing availability;
- adverse changes in the real estate markets including, among other things, increased competition with other companies;
- our ability to borrow on favorable terms;
- risks of real estate acquisition and development activities, including, among other things, risks that development projects may not be completed on schedule, that tenants may not take occupancy or pay rent or that development and operating costs may be greater than anticipated;
- risks of investing through joint venture structures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their financial obligations as investors or may take actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;
- our ability to satisfy and operate effectively under Federal income tax rules relating to real estate investment trusts and partnerships;
- governmental actions and initiatives; and
- environmental requirements.

For further information on factors that could affect the company and the statements contained herein, you should refer to the section below entitled "Item 1A. Risk Factors." We undertake no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Business

OUR COMPANY

General. We are a specialty office real estate investment trust ("REIT") that focuses primarily on strategic customer relationships and specialized tenant requirements in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. We acquire, develop, manage and lease properties that are typically concentrated in large office parks primarily located adjacent to government demand drivers and/or in demographically strong markets possessing growth opportunities. As of December 31, 2009, our investments in real estate included the following:

- 249 wholly owned operating properties in Maryland, Virginia, Colorado, Texas, Pennsylvania and New Jersey containing 19.1 million square feet that were 90.7% occupied;
- 17 wholly owned office properties under construction, development or redevelopment that we estimate will total approximately 2.1 million square feet upon completion;
- wholly owned land parcels totaling 1,521 acres that were predominantly located near certain of our operating properties and that we believe are potentially developable into approximately 13.5 million square feet; and
- partial ownership interests through joint ventures in the following:
  - 20 operating properties containing approximately 1.1 million square feet that were 70.9% occupied;
  - 356,000 square feet in one property that was under redevelopment; and
  - land parcels totaling 297 acres (including 56 acres under contract in one joint venture) that were predominantly located near certain of our operating properties and potentially developable into approximately 3.1 million square feet.

We conduct almost all of our operations through our operating partnership, Corporate Office Properties, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), a Delaware limited partnership, of which we are the managing general partner. The Operating Partnership owns real estate both directly and through subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership also owns 100% of a number of entities that provide real estate services such as property management, construction and development and heating and air conditioning services primarily for our properties, but also for third parties.

Interests in our Operating Partnership are in the form of common and preferred units. As of December 31, 2009, we owned 91.7% of the outstanding common units and 95.8% of the outstanding preferred units in our Operating Partnership. The remaining common and preferred units in our Operating Partnership were owned by third parties, which included certain of our Trustees.

We believe that we are organized and have operated in a manner that permits us to satisfy the requirements for taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and we intend to continue to operate in such a manner. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to Federal income tax on our taxable income that is distributed to our shareholders. A REIT is subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it distribute to its shareholders at least 90% of its annual taxable income (excluding net capital gains).

Our executive offices are located at 6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300, Columbia, Maryland 21046 and our telephone number is (443) 285-5400.

4

Table of Contents

Our Internet address is *www.copt.com*. We make available on our Internet website free of charge our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably possible after we file such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). In addition, we have made available on our Internet website under the heading "Corporate Governance" the charters for our Board of Trustees' Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees, as well as our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Code of Ethics for Financial Officers. We intend to make available on our website any future amendments or waivers to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Code of Ethics for Financial Officers within four business days after any such amendments or waivers. The information on our Internet site is not part of this report.

The SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. This Internet website can be accessed at *www.sec.gov*. The public may also read and copy paper filings that we have made with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room, located at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling (800) SEC-0330.

Significant 2009 Developments

During 2009, we:

- finished the period with our wholly owned portfolio of properties 90.7% occupied;
- acquired a 474,000 square foot office tower, a parking lot, a utility distribution center, four waterfront lots and riparian rights, all of which are part of the Canton Crossing planned unit development in Baltimore, Maryland. We completed this acquisition for an aggregate cost of $123.2 million;
- acquired two additional properties totaling 223,000 square feet that were 100% leased upon acquisition and land that we believe can support approximately 95,000 developable square feet for $50.5 million;
- placed into service an aggregate of 759,000 square feet in newly constructed space located in ten properties;
- closed on $348.4 million in new borrowings; and
- issued 2.99 million common shares in an underwritten public offering made in conjunction with our inclusion in the S&P MidCap 400 Index effective April 1, 2009. The shares were issued at a public offering price of $24.35 per share for net proceeds of $72.1 million after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses.

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary objectives are to achieve sustainable long-term growth in results of operations and to maximize long-term shareholder value. This section sets forth key components of our business and growth strategies that we have in place to support these objectives.

Business Strategies

Customer Strategy: We believe that we differentiate ourselves by being a real estate company that does not view space in properties as its primary commodity. Rather, we focus our operations on serving the needs of our customers and enabling them to be successful. This strategy includes a focus on establishing and nurturing long-term relationships with quality tenants and accommodating their multi-

Table of Contents

locational needs. It also includes a focus on providing a level of service that exceeds customer expectations both in terms of the quality of the space we provide and our level of responsiveness to their needs. In 2009, we won the CEL & Associates, Inc. award for quality service and tenant satisfaction among nationwide office operators in the large owner category for the sixth consecutive year. We believe that operating with such a consistent emphasis on service enables us to be the landlord of choice with high quality customers and contributes to high levels of customer loyalty and retention.

Our focus on tenants in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors is another key aspect of our customer strategy. A high percentage of our revenue is derived from these customers, and we believe that we are well positioned for future growth through such customers for reasons that include the following:

- our strong relationships and reputation for high service levels that we have forged over the years and continue to emphasize;
- the proximity of our properties to government demand drivers (such as military installations) in various regions of the country and our willingness to expand to other regions where demand exists; and
- the depth of our collective team knowledge, experience and capabilities in developing and operating data centers and secure properties that meet the United States Government's Force Protection requirements.

Market Strategy: We focus on owning properties where our tenants want to be, which in the case of the United States Government and defense information technology customers is mostly near government demand drivers. We also concentrate our operations in markets and submarkets that are located where we believe we already possess, or can effectively achieve, the critical mass necessary to maximize management efficiencies, operating synergies and competitive advantages through our acquisition, property management, leasing and development activities. The attributes we look for in selecting markets and submarkets include, among others: (1) proximity to large demand drivers; (2) strong demographics; (3) attractiveness to high quality tenants; (4) potential for growth and stability in economic down cycles; (5) future acquisition and development opportunities; and (6) minimal competition from other long-term office property owners. We typically focus on owning and operating properties in large business parks located outside of central business districts. We believe that such parks generally attract long-term, high-quality tenants seeking to attract and retain quality work forces because they are typically situated along major transportation routes with easy access to support services, amenities and residential communities.

Capital Strategy: Our capital strategy is aimed at maintaining a flexible capital structure in order to facilitate growth and performance in the face of differing market conditions in the most cost-effective manner by:

- using debt comprised primarily of mortgage loans and our unsecured revolving credit facility;
- using equity raised through issuances of common and preferred shares of beneficial interest, issuances of common and preferred units in our Operating Partnership and, to a lesser extent, joint venture structures for certain investments;
- conservatively managing our debt by monitoring, among other things: our debt levels relative to our overall capital structure; the relationship of certain measures of earnings to certain financing cost requirements (commonly referred to as coverage ratios); the relationship of our total

Table of Contents

- variable-rate debt to our total debt; and the timing of debt maturities to ensure that maturities in any year do not exceed levels that we believe we can refinance; and

- continuously evaluating the ability of our capital resources to accommodate our plans for future growth.

Environmentally Responsible Development and Management Strategy: We are focused on developing and operating our properties in a manner that minimizes global impact and have been committed to this effort since 2003. This strategy includes:

- constructing new "Green" buildings that are designed to use resources with a higher level of efficiency and lower impact on human health and the environment during their life cycle than conventional buildings. An example of our focus in this area is our participation in the United States Government's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design ("LEED") program, which has a rigorous certification process for evaluating and rating "Green" buildings in order for such buildings to qualify for the program's Certified, Silver, Gold and Platinum ratings;

- retrofitting select existing properties to also become "Green"; and

- using "Green" operating and purchase practices and housekeeping standards in managing our properties.

We believe that our commitment to this strategy is evident in the fact that as of December 31, 2009, we had seven buildings certified LEED Gold, nine buildings certified LEED Silver, one building certified LEED and 36 other buildings registered for LEED Silver or Gold certification, and we had 16 professionals on staff who hold the LEED Accredited Professional designation. We also have established an internal goal to have 50% of our portfolio be "Green" buildings by 2015. We believe that this strategy is important not just because our customers will demand it, but also because it is simply the right thing to do.

Growth Strategies

Acquisition and Property Development Strategy: We pursue acquisition and property development opportunities for properties that support our customer and market strategies discussed above. As a result, the focus of our acquisition and development activities generally include properties that:

- are located near demand drivers that we believe are attractive to customers in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors;

- are located in markets or submarkets that we believe meet the criteria set forth above in our market strategy; or

- do not align with our customer or market strategies but represent situations that we believe provide high opportunity for favorable risk-adjusted returns on investment.

We typically seek to make acquisitions at attractive yields and below replacement cost. We also seek to increase operating cash flow of certain acquisitions by repositioning the properties and capitalizing on existing below market leases and expansion opportunities. We pursue development activities as market conditions and leasing opportunities support favorable risk-adjusted returns.

Internal Growth Strategy: We aggressively manage our portfolio to maximize the operating performance of each property through: (1) proactive property management and leasing; (2) achieving operating efficiencies through increasing economies of scale and, where possible, aggregating vendor contracts to achieve volume pricing discounts; and (3) renewing tenant leases and re-tenanting at increased rents where market conditions permit.

Table of Contents

Industry Segments

We operate in one primary industry: commercial office real estate. At December 31, 2009, our commercial office real estate operations had nine primary geographical segments, as set forth below:

- Baltimore/Washington Corridor (generally defined as the Maryland counties of Howard and Anne Arundel);
- Northern Virginia (defined as Fairfax County, Virginia);
- Suburban Maryland (defined as the Maryland counties of Montgomery, Prince George's and Frederick);
- St. Mary's & King George Counties (in Maryland and Virginia, respectively);
- Greater Baltimore, Maryland (generally defined as the Maryland counties of Baltimore and Harford and Baltimore City);
- Colorado Springs, Colorado;
- San Antonio, Texas;
- Greater Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and
- Central New Jersey.

As of December 31, 2009, 147 of our wholly owned properties were located in what is widely known as the Greater Washington, D.C. region, which includes the first four regions set forth above, and 64 were located in neighboring Greater Baltimore. At December 31, 2009, we also owned 21 wholly owned properties in Colorado Springs and six in San Antonio. In addition, we owned five properties in total as of December 31, 2009 in the last two locations set forth above that are considered non-core to the Company. For information relating to these geographic segments, you should refer to Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in a separate section at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-K beginning on page F-1.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, we had 382 employees, none of whom were parties to collective bargaining agreements. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Competition

The commercial real estate market is highly competitive. Numerous commercial properties compete with our properties for tenants. Some of the properties competing with ours may be newer or have more desirable locations, or the competing properties' owners may be willing to accept lower rents than are acceptable to us. In addition, the competitive environment for leasing is affected considerably by a number of factors including, among other things, changes in economic factors and supply and demand of space. These factors may make it difficult for us to lease existing vacant space and space associated with future lease expirations at rental rates that are sufficient to meeting our short-term capital needs.

We also compete for the acquisition of commercial properties with many entities, including other publicly-traded commercial REITs. Many of our competitors for such acquisitions have substantially greater financial resources than ours. In addition, our competitors may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. If our competitors prevent us from buying properties that we have targeted for acquisition, we may not be able to meet our property acquisition goals.

8

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Set forth below are risks and uncertainties relating to our business and the ownership of our securities. You should carefully consider each of these risks and uncertainties and all of the information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and its Exhibits, including our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2009, which are included in a separate section at the end of this report beginning on page F-1.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with our properties and with the real estate industry. Real estate investments are subject to various risks and fluctuations in value and demand, many of which are beyond our control. Our economic performance and the value of our real estate assets may decline due to conditions in the general economy and the real estate business which, in turn, could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders. These conditions include, but are not limited to:

- downturns in national, regional and local economic environments, including increases in the unemployment rate and inflation or deflation;
- competition from other office properties;
- deteriorating local real estate market conditions, such as oversupply, reduction in demand for office space and decreasing rental rates;
- increasing vacancies and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-lease space;
- adverse developments concerning our tenants, which could affect our ability to collect rents and execute lease renewals;
- increasing operating costs, including insurance expense, utilities, real estate taxes and other expenses, much of which we may not be able to pass through to tenants;
- increasing interest rates and unavailability of financing on acceptable terms or at all;
- adverse changes in taxation or zoning laws;
- our potential inability to secure adequate insurance;
- adverse consequences resulting from civil disturbances, natural disasters, terrorist acts or acts of war; and
- potential liability under environmental or other laws or regulations.

We may suffer adverse consequences as a result of recent and future economic events. Since the latter part of 2007, the United States and world economies have struggled through difficult conditions, including a significant recession. This slowdown has had devastating effects on the capital markets, with tightening credit availability. The commercial real estate industry was affected by these events over the last three years and, we believe, will likely continue to be affected at least through 2010. These events could adversely affect us in numerous ways discussed throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The real estate industry in general has encountered increased difficulty in obtaining capital to fund growth activities, such as acquisitions and development costs, debt repayments and other capital requirements. As a result, the level of risk that we may not be able to obtain new financing for acquisitions, development activities, refinancing of existing debt or other capital requirements at reasonable terms, if at all, has increased. We believe that there is an increased likelihood in the current economic climate of tenants encountering financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn of business, and as a result there is an increased likelihood of such tenants defaulting in their lease obligations to us. We also expect that our leasing activities will be adversely affected, with an increasing likelihood of our being unsuccessful in renewing tenants, renewing tenants on terms less

Table of Contents

favorable to us or being unable to lease newly constructed space. As a result, the conditions brought about by these economic events could collectively have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We are dependent on external sources of capital for future growth. Because we are a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual taxable income to our shareholders. Due to this requirement, we are not permitted to significantly fund our acquisition, construction and development activities using cash flow from operations. Therefore, our ability to fund these activities is dependent on our ability to access capital funded by third parties. Such capital could be in the form of new debt, equity issuances of common shares, preferred shares, common and preferred units in our Operating Partnership or joint venture funding. These capital sources may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Since the United States financial markets have recently experienced extreme volatility and, as a result, credit markets have tightened considerably, the level of risk that we may not be able to obtain new financing for acquisitions, development activities or other capital requirements at reasonable terms, if at all, in the near future has increased. Moreover, additional debt financing may substantially increase our leverage and subject us to covenants that restrict management's flexibility in directing our operations, and additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of our shareholders' interests. Our inability to obtain capital when needed could have a material adverse effect on our ability to expand our business and fund other cash requirements.

We use our Revolving Credit Facility to initially finance much of our investing and financing activities. We also use our Revolving Construction Facility and other credit facilities to fund a significant portion of our construction activities. Our lenders under these and other facilities could, for financial hardship or other reasons, fail to honor their commitments to fund our requests for borrowings under these facilities. In the event that one or more lenders under these facilities are not able or willing to fund a borrowing request, it would adversely affect our ability to access borrowing capacity under these facilities, which would in turn adversely affect our financial condition, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may suffer adverse consequences as a result of our reliance on rental revenues for our income. We earn revenue from renting our properties. Our operating costs do not necessarily fluctuate in relation to changes in our rental revenue. This means that our costs will not necessarily decline and may increase even if our revenues decline.

For new tenants or upon lease expiration for existing tenants, we generally must make improvements and pay other leasing costs for which we may not receive increased rents. We also make building-related capital improvements for which tenants may not reimburse us.

If our properties do not generate revenue sufficient to meeting our operating expenses and capital costs, we may have to borrow additional amounts to cover these costs. In such circumstances, we would likely have lower profits or possibly incur losses. We may also find in such circumstances that we are unable to borrow to cover such costs, in which case our operations could be adversely affected. Moreover, there may be less or no cash available for distributions to our shareholders.

In addition, the competitive environment for leasing is affected considerably by a number of factors including, among other things, changes due to economic factors and supply and demand of space. These factors may make it difficult for us to lease existing vacant space and space associated with future lease expirations at rental rates that are sufficient to meeting our short-term capital needs.

We rely on the ability of our tenants to pay rent and would be harmed by their inability to do so. Our performance depends on the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease obligations by paying their rental payments in a timely manner. If one or more of our major tenants, or a number of our smaller tenants, were to experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn of business, there could be an adverse effect on financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

Adverse developments concerning some of our major tenants and sector concentrations could have a negative impact on our revenue. As of December 31, 2009, our 20 largest tenants accounted for 55.4% of the total annualized rental revenue of our wholly owned properties, and our three largest of these tenants accounted for 31.5% of that total. We compute the annualized rental revenue by multiplying by 12 the sum of monthly contractual base rents and estimated monthly expense reimbursements under active leases in our portfolio of wholly owned properties as of December 31, 2009. Information regarding our three largest tenants is set forth below:

Tenant	Annualized Rental Revenue at December 31, 2009 (in thousands)	Percentage of Total Annualized Rental Revenue of Wholly Owned Properties	Number of Leases
United States of America	$ 79,268	18.6%	69
Northrop Grumman Corporation(1)	33,676	7.9%	17
Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc.	21,626	5.0%	10

(1) Includes affiliated organizations and agencies and predecessor companies.

Most of our leases with the United States Government provide for a series of one-year terms or provide for early termination rights. The United States Government may terminate its leases if, among other reasons, the United States Congress fails to provide funding. If any of our three largest tenants fail to make rental payments to us or if the United States Government elects to terminate several of its leases and the space cannot be re-leased on satisfactory terms, there would be an adverse effect on our financial performance and ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

As of December 31, 2009, our properties that were occupied primarily by tenants in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors accounted for 54.9% of the total annualized rental revenue of our wholly owned properties. We expect to increase our reliance on these sectors for revenue. A reduction in government spending targeting these sectors could affect the ability of these tenants to fulfill lease obligations or decrease the likelihood that these tenants will renew their leases. Such occurrences could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and ability to make distributions to our shareholders. We classified the revenue from our leases into this sector grouping based solely on management's knowledge of the tenants' operations in leased space. Occasionally, classifications require subjective and complex judgments. We do not use independent sources such as Standard Industrial Classification codes for classifying our revenue into sector groupings and if we did, the resulting groupings would be materially different.

Most of our properties are geographically concentrated in the Mid-Atlantic region, particularly in the Greater Washington, D.C. region and neighboring Greater Baltimore, or in particular office parks. We may suffer economic harm in the event of a decline in the real estate market or general economic conditions in those regions or parks. Most of our properties are located in the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States and, as of December 31, 2009, our properties located in the Greater Washington, D.C. region and neighboring Greater Baltimore accounted for a combined 86.2% of our total annualized rental revenue from wholly owned properties. Our properties are also typically concentrated in office parks in which we own most of the properties. Consequently, we do not have a broad geographic distribution of our properties. As a result, a decline in the real estate market or general economic conditions in the Mid-Atlantic region, the Greater Washington, D.C. region or the office parks in which our properties are located could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

Table of Contents

We would suffer economic harm if we were unable to renew our leases on favorable terms. When leases expire, our tenants may not renew or may renew on terms less favorable to us than the terms of their original leases. If a tenant vacates a property, we can expect to experience a vacancy for some period of time, as well as incur higher leasing costs than we would likely incur if a tenant renews. As a result, our financial performance and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders could be adversely affected if we experience a high volume of tenant departures at the end of their lease terms. We expect that the effects of the global downturn on our real estate operations will make our leasing activities increasingly challenging throughout most of 2010 and perhaps beyond and, as a result, there could be an increasing likelihood of our being unsuccessful in renewing tenants or renewing on terms less favorable to us than the terms of the original leases. Set forth below are the percentages of total annualized rental revenue from wholly owned properties as of December 31, 2009 that are subject to scheduled lease expirations in each of the next five years:

2010	15.8%
2011	9.3%
2012	14.2%
2013	12.0%
2014	8.9%

As noted above, most of the leases with our largest tenant, the United States Government, provide for consecutive one-year terms or provide for early termination rights. All of the leasing statistics set forth above assume that the United States Government will remain in the space that it leases through the end of the respective arrangements, without ending consecutive one-year leases prematurely or exercising early termination rights.

We may encounter a decline in the values of our real estate assets. The value of our real estate could be adversely affected by general economic and market conditions connected to a specific property, a market or submarket or a broader economic region. Examples of such conditions include a broader economic recession, declining demand for space and decreases in market rental rates and/or market values of real estate assets. If our real estate assets decline in value, it could result in our recognition of impairment losses. Moreover, a decline in the value of our real estate could adversely affect the amount of borrowings available to us under credit facilities and other loans, which could, in turn, adversely affect our cash flows and financial condition.

We may not be able to compete successfully with other entities that operate in our industry. The commercial real estate market is highly competitive. We compete for the purchase of commercial property with many entities, including other publicly traded commercial REITs. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources than we do. If our competitors prevent us from buying properties that we target for acquisition, we may not be able to meet our property acquisition goals. Moreover, numerous commercial properties compete for tenants with our properties. Some of the properties competing with ours may be newer or in more desirable locations, or the competing properties' owners may be willing to accept lower rates than are acceptable to us. Competition for property acquisitions, or for tenants in properties that we own, could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to successfully execute our plans to acquire existing commercial real estate properties. We intend to acquire existing commercial real estate properties to the extent that suitable acquisitions can be made on advantageous terms. Acquisitions of commercial properties entail risks, such as the risks that we may not be in a position, or have the opportunity in the future, to make suitable property acquisitions on advantageous terms and/or that such acquisitions will fail to perform as expected. The failure of our acquisitions to perform as expected could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may be exposed to unknown liabilities from acquired properties. We may acquire properties that are subject to liabilities in situations where we have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the prior owners or other third parties with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or contest it, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow. Examples of unknown liabilities with respect to acquired properties include, but are not limited to:

- liabilities for clean-up of disclosed or undisclosed environmental contamination;
- claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties;
- liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and
- claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

We may suffer economic harm as a result of making unsuccessful acquisitions in new markets. We may pursue selective acquisitions of properties in regions where we have not previously owned properties. These acquisitions may entail risks in addition to those we face in other acquisitions where we are familiar with the regions, such as the risk that we do not correctly anticipate conditions or trends in a new region and are therefore not able to operate the acquired property profitably. If this occurs, it could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may be unable to execute our plans to develop and construct additional properties. Although the majority of our investments are in currently leased properties, we also develop, construct and renovate properties, including some that are not fully pre-leased. When we develop, construct and renovate properties, we assume the risk that actual costs will exceed our budgets, that we will experience delays and that projected leasing will not occur, any of which could adversely affect our financial performance and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders; the risk of projected leasing not occurring has increased as a result of the current economic conditions. In addition, we generally do not obtain construction financing commitments until the development stage of a project is complete and construction is about to commence. We may find that we are unable to obtain financing needed to continue with the construction activities for such projects.

Certain of our properties containing data centers contain space not suitable for lease other than as data centers, which could make it difficult to reposition them for alternative use. Certain of our properties contain data center space, which is highly specialized space containing extensive electrical and mechanical systems that are designed uniquely to run and maintain banks of computer servers. As a result, in the event we needed to reposition such data center space for another use, major renovations and expenditures could be required.

We may suffer adverse effects as a result of the indebtedness that we carry and the terms and covenants that relate to this debt. Many of our properties are pledged by us to support repayment on indebtedness. In addition, we rely on borrowings to fund some or all of the costs of new property

Table of Contents

acquisitions, construction and development activities and other items. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur.

Payments of principal and interest on our debt may leave us with insufficient cash to operate our properties or pay distributions to our shareholders required to maintain our qualification as a REIT. We are also subject to the risks that:

- we may not be able to refinance our existing indebtedness, or may refinance on terms that are less favorable to us than the terms of our existing indebtedness;

- in the event of our default under the terms of our Revolving Credit Facility by us, our Operating Partnership could be restricted from making cash distributions to us, which could result in reduced distributions to our shareholders or the need for us to incur additional debt to fund these distributions; and

- if we are unable to pay our debt service on time or are unable to comply with restrictive financial covenants in certain of our debt, our lenders could foreclose on our properties securing such debt and, in some cases, other properties and assets that we own.

Some of our debt is cross-defaulted, which means that failure to pay interest or principal on a loan above a threshold value will create a default on certain of our other loans. In addition, some of our debt which is cross-defaulted also contains cross-collateralization provisions. Any foreclosure of our properties could result in loss of income and asset value that would negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders. In addition, if we are in default and the value of the properties securing a loan is less than the loan balance, we may be required to pay the resulting shortfall to the lender using other assets.

As of December 31, 2009, 24.8% of our debt had variable interest rates, including the effect of interest rate swaps. If short-term interest rates were to rise, our debt service payments on debt with variable interest rates would increase, which would lower our net income and could decrease our distributions to our shareholders. We use interest rate swap agreements from time to time to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates. Decreases in interest rates would result in increased interest payments due under interest rate swap agreements in place and, in the event we decided to unwind such agreements, could result in our recognizing a loss and remitting a payment.

We must refinance our debt in the future. As of December 31, 2009, our scheduled debt payments over the next five years, including maturities, were as follows:

Year	**Amount(1) (in thousands)**
2010	$ 66,342
2011	735,585(2)
2012	269,158
2013	143,676
2014	144,188

(1) Represents principal maturities only and therefore excludes premiums and discounts.

(2) Includes maturities totaling $458.1 million that may be extended for a one-year period, subject to certain conditions.

Our operations likely will not generate enough cash flow to repay some or all of this debt without additional borrowings, equity issuances and/or property sales. If we cannot refinance our debt, extend the repayment dates, or raise additional equity prior to the dates when our debt matures, we would

Table of Contents

default on our existing debt, which would have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We have certain distribution requirements that reduce cash available for other business purposes. As a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual taxable income (excluding capital gains), which limits the amount of cash we can retain for other business purposes, including amounts to fund acquisitions and development activity. Also, it is possible that because of the differences between the time we actually receive revenue or pay expenses and the period during which we report those items for distribution purposes, we may have to borrow funds to meet the 90% distribution requirement.

We may be unable to continue to make shareholder distributions at expected levels. We intend to make regular quarterly cash distributions to our shareholders. However, distribution levels depend on a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control. Some of our loan agreements contain provisions that could restrict future distributions. Our ability to sustain our current distribution level will also be dependent, in part, on other matters, including, but not limited to:

- continued property occupancy and timely receipt of rent obligations;
- the amount of future capital expenditures and expenses relating to our properties;
- the level of leasing activity and future rental rates;
- the strength of the commercial real estate market;
- our ability to compete;
- our costs of compliance with environmental and other laws;
- our corporate overhead levels;
- our amount of uninsured losses; and
- our decision to reinvest in operations rather than distribute available cash.

In addition, we can make distributions to the holders of our common shares only after we make preferential distributions to holders of our preferred shares.

We may issue additional common or preferred shares that dilute our shareholders' interests. We may issue additional common shares and preferred shares without shareholder approval. Similarly, we may cause the Operating Partnership to issue its common or preferred units for contributions of cash or property without approval by the limited partners of the Operating Partnership or our shareholders. Our existing shareholders' interests could be diluted if such additional issuances were to occur.

We may incur additional indebtedness, which may harm our financial position and cash flow and potentially impact our ability to pay dividends on any series of preferred shares. Our governing documents do not limit us from incurring additional indebtedness and other liabilities. As of December 31, 2009, we had $2.1 billion of consolidated indebtedness outstanding. We may incur additional indebtedness and become more highly leveraged, which could harm our financial position and potentially limit our cash available to pay dividends. As a result, we may not have sufficient funds remaining to satisfy our dividend obligations relating to any series of preferred shares if we incur additional indebtedness.

Our ability to pay dividends may be limited, and we cannot provide assurance that we will be able to pay dividends regularly. Because we conduct substantially all of our operations through our Operating Partnership, our ability to pay dividends will depend almost entirely on payments and dividends received on our interests in our Operating Partnership, the payment of which depends in turn on our ability to operate profitably and generate cash flow from our operations. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to pay dividends on a regular quarterly basis in the future. Additionally, the terms of some of the debt to which our Operating Partnership is a party limit its ability to make some types of payments and other dividends to us. This in turn limits our ability to make some types of payments, including payment of dividends on common or preferred shares, unless we meet certain financial tests or such payments or dividends are required to maintain our qualification as a REIT. As a result, if we are unable to meet the applicable financial tests, we may not be able to pay dividends on our shares in one or more periods. Furthermore, any new shares of beneficial interest issued will substantially increase the cash required to continue to pay cash dividends at current levels. Any common or preferred shares that may in the future be issued for financing acquisitions, share-based compensation arrangements or otherwise would have a similar effect.

Our ability to pay dividends on preferred shares is further limited by the requirements of Maryland law. As a Maryland REIT, we may not under applicable Maryland law make a distribution if either of the following conditions exist after giving effect to the distribution: (1) the REIT would not be able to pay its debts as the debts become due in the usual course of business; or (2) the REIT's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the REIT were dissolved at the time of the distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution. Therefore, we may not make a distribution on any series of preferred shares if either of the above described conditions exists after giving effect to the distribution.

Real estate investments are illiquid, and we may not be able to sell our properties on a timely basis when we determine it is appropriate to do so. Real estate investments can be difficult to sell and convert to cash quickly, especially if market conditions are not favorable, and we may find that to be increasingly the case under the current economic conditions due to a lack of credit availability for potential buyers. Such illiquidity could limit our ability to quickly change our portfolio of properties in response to changes in economic or other conditions. Moreover, under certain circumstances, the Internal Revenue Code imposes certain penalties on a REIT that sells property held for less than two years and limits the number of properties it can sell in a given year. In addition, for certain of our properties that we acquired by issuing units in our Operating Partnership, we are restricted by agreements with the sellers of the properties for a certain period of time from entering into transactions (such as the sale or refinancing of the acquired property) that will result in a taxable gain to the sellers without the seller's consent. Due to these factors, we may be unable to sell a property at an advantageous time.

We may suffer economic harm as a result of the actions of our joint venture partners. We invest in certain entities in which we are not the exclusive investor or principal decision maker. As of December 31, 2009, we owned 20 operational properties and one property under redevelopment, and control land for future development, through joint ventures. We also may continue to pursue new investments in real estate through joint ventures. Investments in joint ventures may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that the other parties to these investments might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Our partners in joint ventures may have economic, tax or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also lead to impasses, for example, as to whether to sell a property, because neither we nor the other parties to these investments would have full control over the joint venture. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of the other parties to these investments. Each of these factors could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may need to make additional cash outlays to protect our investment in loans we make that are subordinate to other loans. We have and may in the future make loans under which we have a secured interest in the ownership of a property that is subordinate to other loans on the property. If a default were to occur under the terms of any such loans with us or under the first mortgage loans related to the properties on such loans, we may be in a position where, in order to protect our investment, we would need to either (1) purchase the other loan or (2) foreclose on the ownership interest in the property and repay the first mortgage loan, either of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may be subject to possible environmental liabilities. We are subject to various Federal, state and local environmental laws, including air and water quality, hazardous or toxic substances and health and safety. These laws can impose liability on current and prior property owners or operators for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released on a property, even if the property owner was not responsible for, or even aware of, the release of the hazardous substances. Costs resulting from environmental liability could be substantial. The presence of hazardous substances on our properties may also adversely affect occupancy and our ability to sell or borrow against those properties. In addition to the costs of government claims under environmental laws, private plaintiffs may bring claims for personal injury or other reasons. Additionally, various laws impose liability for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances at the disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at such a facility is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability on an entity even if the facility was not owned or operated by the entity.

Although most of our properties have been subject to varying degrees of environmental assessment, many of these assessments are limited in scope and may not include or identify all potential environmental liabilities or risks associated with the property. Identification of new compliance concerns or undiscovered areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, discovery of additional sites, human exposure to the contamination or changes in cleanup or compliance requirements could result in significant costs to us that could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

Terrorist attacks, such as those of September 11, 2001, may adversely affect the value of our properties financial position, and cash flows. We have significant investments in properties located in large metropolitan areas and near military installations. Future terrorist attacks could directly or indirectly damage our properties or cause losses that materially exceed our insurance coverage. After such an attack, tenants in these areas may choose to relocate their businesses to areas of the United States that may be perceived to be less likely targets of future terrorist activity and fewer customers may choose to patronize businesses in these areas. This in turn would trigger a decrease in the demand for space in these areas, which could increase vacancies in our properties and force us to lease space on less favorable terms. As a result, the occurrence of terrorist attacks could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may be subject to other possible liabilities that would adversely affect our financial position and cash flows. Our properties may be subject to other risks related to current or future laws, including laws benefiting disabled persons, state or local laws relating to zoning, construction, fire and life safety requirements and other matters. These laws may require significant property modifications in the future and could result in the levy of fines against us. In addition, although we believe that we adequately insure our properties, we are subject to the risk that our insurance may not cover all of the costs to restore a property that is damaged by a fire or other catastrophic events, including acts of war or, as mentioned above, terrorism. The occurrence of any of these events could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

We may be subject to increased costs of insurance and limitations on coverage, particularly regarding acts of terrorism. Our portfolio of properties is insured for losses under our property, casualty and umbrella insurance policies through September 30, 2010. These policies include coverage for acts of terrorism. Future changes in the insurance industry's risk assessment approach and pricing structure may increase the cost of insuring our properties and decrease the scope of insurance coverage, either of which could adversely affect our financial position and operating results. Most of our loan agreements contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs, or at all, in the future. In addition, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain, it could adversely affect our ability to finance and/or refinance our properties and execute our growth strategies, which, in turn, would have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

Our business could be adversely affected by a negative audit by the United States Government. Agencies of the United States, including the Defense Contract Audit Agency and various agency Inspectors General, routinely audit and investigate government contractors. These agencies review a contractor's performance under its contracts, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and standards. The United States Government also reviews the adequacy of, and a contractor's compliance with, its internal control systems and policies. Any costs found to be misclassified may be subject to repayment. If an audit or investigation uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil or criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or prohibition from doing business with the United States Government. In addition, we could suffer serious reputational harm if allegations of impropriety were made against us.

Our ownership limits are important factors. Our Declaration of Trust limits ownership of our common shares by any single shareholder to 9.8% of the number of the outstanding common shares or 9.8% of the value of the outstanding common shares, whichever is more restrictive. Our Declaration of Trust also limits ownership by any single shareholder of our common and preferred shares in the aggregate to 9.8% of the aggregate value of the outstanding common and preferred shares. We call these restrictions the "Ownership Limit." Our Declaration of Trust allows our Board of Trustees to exempt shareholders from the Ownership Limit. The Ownership Limit and the restrictions on ownership of our common shares may delay or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interest of our shareholders.

Our Declaration of Trust includes other provisions that may prevent or delay a change of control. Subject to the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, our Board of Trustees has the authority, without shareholder approval, to issue additional securities on terms that could delay or prevent a change in control. In addition, our Board of Trustees has the authority to reclassify any of our unissued common shares into preferred shares. Our Board of Trustees may issue preferred shares with such preferences, rights, powers and restrictions as our Board of Trustees may determine, which could also delay or prevent a change in control.

The Maryland business statutes also impose potential restrictions on a change of control of our company. Various Maryland laws may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us, even if the acquisition would be advantageous to shareholders. Resolutions adopted by our Board of Trustees and/or provisions of our bylaws exempt us from such laws, but our Board of Trustees can alter its resolutions or change our bylaws at any time to make these provisions applicable to us.

Table of Contents

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would have adverse tax consequences, which would substantially reduce funds available to make distributions to our shareholders. We believe that since 1992 we have qualified for taxation as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. We plan to continue to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT. Many of these requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from certain sources that are specified in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to distribute to shareholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains). The fact that we hold most of our assets through our Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the Internal Revenue Service might make changes to the tax laws and regulations and the courts might issue new rulings that make it more difficult or impossible for us to remain qualified as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to Federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year we first fail to qualify. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes and would therefore have less money available for investments or for distributions to our shareholders. In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will no longer be required to pay dividends. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital and would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities.

We could face possible adverse changes in tax laws, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. From time to time changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. The shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in recent years may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional taxes on our assets or income. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the amount of cash available for payment of dividends.

A number of factors could cause our security prices to decline. As is the case with any publicly-traded securities, certain factors outside of our control could influence the value of our common and preferred shares. These conditions include, but are not limited to:

- market perception of REITs in general and office REITs in particular;
- market perception of REITs relative to other investment opportunities;
- the level of institutional investor interest in our Company;
- general economic and business conditions;
- prevailing interest rates;
- our financial performance;
- our underlying asset value;
- market perception of our financial condition, performance, dividends and growth potential; and
- adverse changes in tax laws.

We may experience significant losses and harm to our financial condition if financial institutions holding our cash and cash equivalents file for bankruptcy protection. We believe that we maintain our cash and cash equivalents with high quality financial institutions. We have not experienced any

19

losses to date on our deposited cash. However, we may incur significant losses and harm to our financial condition in the future if any of these financial institutions files for bankruptcy protection.

Certain of our Trustees have potential conflicts of interest. Certain members of our Board of Trustees own partnership units in our Operating Partnership. These individuals may have personal interests that conflict with the interests of our shareholders. For example, if our Operating Partnership sells or refinances certain of the properties that these Trustees contributed to the Operating Partnership, the Trustees could suffer adverse tax consequences. Their personal interests could conflict with our interests if such a sale or refinancing would be advantageous to us. We have certain policies in place that are designed to minimize conflicts of interest. We cannot, however, provide assurance that these policies will be successful in eliminating the influence of such conflicts, and if they are not successful, decisions could be made that might fail to reflect fully the interests of all of our shareholders.

We are dependent on our key personnel, and the loss of any key personnel could have an adverse effect on our operations. We are dependent on our executive officers. The loss of any of their services could have an adverse effect on our operations. Although certain of our officers have entered into employment agreements with us, we cannot assure you that they will remain employed with us.

We may change our policies without shareholder approval, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, market price of our common shares or ability to pay distributions. Our Board of Trustees determines all of our policies, including our investment, financing and distribution policies. Although our Board of Trustees has no current plans to do so, it may amend or revise these policies at any time without a vote of our shareholders. Policy changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our securities or distributions.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Table of Contents

Item 2. Properties

The following table provides certain information about our wholly owned office properties as of December 31, 2009:

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
B/W Corridor:						
2730 Hercules Road *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	1990	240,336	100.0%	$ 7,725,913	$ 32.15
300 Sentinel Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2009	45,422	100.0%	1,385,371	30.50
304 Sentinel Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2005	162,647	100.0%	4,767,015	29.31
306 Sentinel Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2006	155,883	100.0%	4,678,071	30.01
2720 Technology Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2004	156,730	100.0%	5,093,751	32.50
2711 Technology Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2002	152,196	100.0%	4,582,120	30.11
320 Sentinel Way *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2007	125,681	100.0%	4,469,570	35.56
318 Sentinel Way *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2005	125,681	100.0%	5,635,731	44.84
322 Sentinel Way *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2006	125,568	100.0%	4,311,377	34.33
140 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2003	119,904	100.0%	5,804,741	48.41
132 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2000	118,598	100.0%	3,688,454	31.10
2721 Technology Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2000	118,093	100.0%	3,689,934	31.25
2701 Technology Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2001	117,450	100.0%	3,628,431	30.89
1550 West Nursery Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	2009	162,101	100.0%	3,278,953	20.23
1306 Concourse Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1990	116,190	79.5%	2,183,798	23.63
870 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1981	5,627	100.0%	202,038	35.91
880 Elkridge Landing Road	BWI Airport	1981	99,524	100.0%	2,248,501	22.59

Linthicum, MD						
2691 Technology Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2005	103,683	100.0%	3,261,096	31.45
1304 Concourse Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	2002	101,792	82.8%	2,335,606	27.71
900 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1982	100,824	100.0%	2,672,252	26.50
1199 Winterson Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1988	96,636	100.0%	2,602,169	26.93
920 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1982	96,566	100.0%	1,872,487	19.39
134 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	1999	93,482	100.0%	2,642,695	28.27
135 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	1998	87,422	100.0%	2,875,863	32.90
133 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	1997	87,401	100.0%	2,699,287	30.88

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
141 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	1990	87,206	100.0%	2,768,867	31.75
1302 Concourse Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1996	84,053	79.2%	1,739,739	26.12
7467 Ridge Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1990	74,136	77.6%	1,320,994	22.96
7240 Parkway Drive *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1985	74,153	97.6%	1,570,548	21.70
881 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1986	73,572	100.0%	1,750,880	23.80
1099 Winterson Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1988	70,583	29.3%	509,762	24.67
1190 Winterson Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1987	68,899	93.5%	1,880,134	29.19
131 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	1990	69,336	100.0%	2,083,063	30.04
849 International Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1988	68,768	87.8%	1,636,024	27.10
911 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1985	68,296	100.0%	1,573,540	23.04
1201 Winterson Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1985	67,903	100.0%	1,398,271	20.59
999 Corporate Boulevard *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	2000	66,889	91.7%	1,882,720	30.69
7272 Park Circle Drive *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1991/1996	59,888	74.3%	1,012,397	22.76
7318 Parkway Drive *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1984	59,204	100.0%	1,176,733	19.88
891 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1984	57,955	91.0%	1,408,078	26.69
7320 Parkway Drive *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1983	56,964	0.0%		
901 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1984	58,035	87.4%	1,259,498	24.84
930 International Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1986	57,272	40.6%	548,775	23.57
800 International Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1988	57,379	100.0%	1,192,485	20.78
900 International Drive *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1986	57,140	100.0%	924,514	16.18
921 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1983	54,175	100.0%	1,159,577	21.40
939 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1983	54,211	86.9%	900,373	19.12
938 Elkridge Landing Road *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1984	52,988	100.0%	1,220,706	23.04
302 Sentinel Drive *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2007	155,669	78.9%	4,002,125	32.58

1340 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	46,400	100.0%	910,096	19.61
1334 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	37,317	76.0%	673,541	23.73
1331 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	28,998	100.0%	547,631	18.89
5520 Research Park Drive *Catonsville, MD*	BWI Airport	2009	105,363	29.4%	779,722	25.15

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
5522 Research Park Drive *Catonsville, MD*	BWI Airport	2007	23,500	100.0%	880,774	37.48
1350 Dorsey Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	19,718	47.4%	208,591	22.33
1344 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	16,964	100.0%	507,522	29.92
1341 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	15,947	100.0%	340,531	21.35
1343 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1989	9,962	0.0%		
1362 Mellon Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	2006	43,283	0.0%		
114 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2002	9,908	100.0%	234,860	23.70
314 Sentinel Way *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	2008	4,462	100.0%	189,404	42.45
1348 Ashton Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	1988	3,108	100.0%	77,430	24.91
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1973/1999	611,379	89.6%	8,325,783	15.20
Old Annapolis Road *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1974/1985	171,436	100.0%	6,560,854	38.27
7200 Riverwood Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1986	160,000	100.0%	4,319,200	27.00
7000 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1999	145,806	100.0%	1,643,053	11.27
6721 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2009	131,451	100.0%	3,680,628	28.00
6731 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2002	123,847	85.5%	3,017,115	28.50
6711 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2006-2007	123,599	91.7%	3,249,420	28.68
6940 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1999	108,822	100.0%	2,688,772	24.71
6950 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1998	112,861	100.0%	2,557,127	22.66
8621 Robert Fulton Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2005-2006	86,033	100.0%	1,840,876	21.40
7067 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2001	86,027	84.4%	1,689,419	23.26
6750 Alexander Bell Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2001	76,134	98.3%	1,972,744	26.36
6700 Alexander Bell Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1988	75,555	93.8%	1,831,175	25.83
6740 Alexander Bell Drive	Howard County	1992	63,480	100.0%	1,788,771	28.18

Columbia, MD	Perimeter					
7160 Riverwood Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2000	61,984	100.0%	1,776,941	28.67
7015 Albert Einstein Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1999	61,203	100.0%	1,142,415	18.67
8671 Robert Fulton Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2002	56,350	100.0%	1,143,029	20.28
6716 Alexander Bell Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1990	52,131	93.6%	1,188,477	24.36
8661 Robert Fulton Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2002	49,307	100.0%	918,532	18.63

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
9020 Mendenhall Court *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1982/2005	49,217	88.6%	634,202	14.55
7130 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1989	46,460	40.9%	372,682	19.64
7142 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1994	47,668	100.0%	741,042	15.55
9140 Guilford Road *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1983	41,180	56.1%	435,781	18.85
7150 Riverwood Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2000	39,496	100.0%	792,394	20.06
9720 Patuxent Woods Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1986/2001	40,004	12.4%	49,588	10.01
6708 Alexander Bell Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1988	39,203	100.0%	910,138	23.22
7065 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2000	38,560	100.0%	771,683	20.01
9740 Patuxent Woods Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1986/2001	38,292	100.0%	472,141	12.33
7138 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1990	38,225	100.0%	865,965	22.65
9160 Guilford Road *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1984	37,034	100.0%	935,214	25.25
7063 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2000	36,472	100.0%	1,010,296	27.70
6760 Alexander Bell Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1991	36,440	93.0%	879,864	25.95
7150 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1991	35,812	100.0%	656,309	18.33
9700 Patuxent Woods Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1986/2001	31,220	93.0%	698,750	24.05
9730 Patuxent Woods Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1986/2001	30,485	100.0%	532,319	17.46
7061 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2000	29,910	100.0%	691,008	23.10
7170 Riverwood Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2000	29,162	100.0%	562,018	19.27
6724 Alexander Bell Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2001	28,420	100.0%	762,345	26.82
7134 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1990	21,991	100.0%	455,858	20.73
	Howard County	1984	18,592	100.0%	393,266	21.15

9150 Guilford Drive *Columbia, MD*	Perimeter					
10280 Old Columbia Road *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1988/2001	16,195	100.0%	289,547	17.88
10270 Old Columbia Road *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1988/2001	15,910	100.0%	258,453	16.24
9710 Patuxent Woods Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1986/2001	15,229	28.2%	91,541	21.33
9130 Guilford Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1984	13,700	0.0%		
10290 Old Columbia Road *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	1988/2001	10,263	43.3%	100,787	22.68
6741 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard County Perimeter	2008	4,592	0.0%		
2500 Riva Road *Annapolis, MD*	Annapolis	2000	155,000	100.0%	2,174,228	14.03
Subtotal/Average			**8,277,178**	**91.6%**	**$ 196,476,879**	**$ 25.90**

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
Northern Virginia:						
15000 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	1989	471,440	100.0%	$ 12,264,956	$ 26.02
15010 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	2006	223,610	100.0%	7,118,976	31.84
15059 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	2000	145,224	100.0%	4,882,414	33.62
15049 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	1997	145,706	99.8%	4,623,631	31.81
14900 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	1999	127,329	99.4%	3,672,192	29.01
14280 Park Meadow Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	1999	114,126	88.3%	2,899,614	28.77
4851 Stonecroft Boulevard *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	2004	88,094	100.0%	2,650,104	30.08
14850 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	2000	69,711	50.6%	1,184,598	33.56
14840 Conference Center Drive *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	2000	69,710	100.0%	2,157,033	30.94
13200 Woodland Park Drive *Herndon, VA*	Herndon	2002	404,665	100.0%	12,307,717	30.41
2900 Towerview Road *Herndon, VA*	Herndon	1982	139,877	100.0%	2,290,846	16.38
13454 Sunrise Valley Road *Herndon, VA*	Herndon	1998	112,633	72.4%	2,057,901	25.22
13450 Sunrise Valley Road *Herndon, VA*	Herndon	1998	53,776	98.5%	1,388,101	26.20
1751 Pinnacle Drive *McLean, VA*	Tysons Corner	1989/1995	260,469	96.9%	8,967,936	35.54
1753 Pinnacle Drive *McLean, VA*	Tysons Corner	1976/2004	186,707	100.0%	7,008,307	37.54
Subtotal/Average			**2,613,077**	**96.6%**	**$ 75,474,326**	**$ 29.90**
Suburban Maryland						
11800 Tech Road *Silver Spring, MD*	North Silver Spring	1969/1989	228,179	98.6%	$ 4,079,153	$ 18.12
400 Professional Drive *Gaithersburg, MD*	Gaithersburg	2000	129,355	71.0%	2,796,539	30.46
110 Thomas Johnson Drive *Frederick, MD*	Frederick	1987/1999	122,491	87.1%	2,812,361	26.37
45 West Gude Drive *Rockville, MD*	Rockville	1987	108,588	100.0%	2,210,784	20.36
15 West Gude Drive	Rockville	1986	106,694	100.0%	2,621,230	24.57

Rockville, MD								
Subtotal/Average			**695,307**	**91.9%**	**$**	**14,520,067**	**$**	**22.73**
St. Mary's & King George Counties:								
22309 Exploration Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	1984/1997	98,860	100.0%	$	1,462,393	$	14.79
46579 Expedition Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	2002	61,156	100.0%		1,363,610		22.30
22289 Exploration Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	2000	58,676	100.0%		1,267,080		21.59
46591 Expedition Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	2005-2006	59,483	100.0%		1,256,313		21.12
44425 Pecan Court *California, MD*	St. Mary's County	1997	58,981	91.1%		1,081,440		20.13

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
22299 Exploration Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	1998	58,363	93.8%	1,334,393	24.36
44408 Pecan Court *California, MD*	St. Mary's County	1986	50,532	100.0%	621,234	12.29
23535 Cottonwood Parkway *California, MD*	St. Mary's County	1984	46,656	100.0%	559,465	11.99
22300 Exploration Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	1997	44,830	100.0%	726,078	16.20
44417 Pecan Court *California, MD*	St. Mary's County	1989	29,053	100.0%	295,894	10.18
44414 Pecan Court *California, MD*	St. Mary's County	1986	25,444	100.0%	258,390	10.16
44420 Pecan Court *California, MD*	St. Mary's County	1989	25,200	100.0%	197,378	7.83
16480 Commerce Drive *Dahlgren, VA*	King George County	2000	70,728	100.0%	1,271,063	17.97
16541 Commerce Drive *King George, VA*	King George County	1996	36,053	100.0%	704,971	19.55
16539 Commerce Drive *King George, VA*	King George County	1990	32,076	70.9%	326,292	14.34
16442 Commerce Drive *Dahlgren, VA*	King George County	2002	25,518	100.0%	520,207	20.39
16501 Commerce Drive *Dahlgren, VA*	King George County	2002	22,833	100.0%	473,436	20.73
16543 Commerce Drive *Dahlgren, VA*	King George County	2002	17,370	100.0%	419,115	24.13
Subtotal/Average			**821,812**	**97.8%**	**$ 14,138,752**	**$ 17.59**
Greater Baltimore:						
11311 McCormick Road *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1984/1994	216,127	88.8%	$ 4,347,885	$ 22.66
10150 York Road *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1985	178,286	100.0%	3,465,488	19.44
9690 Deereco Road *Timonium, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1988	134,268	96.2%	3,484,027	26.97
200 International Circle *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1987	127,196	95.9%	2,660,966	21.81
375 W. Padonia Road *Timonium, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1986	110,378	99.6%	1,904,204	17.32

226 Schilling Circle *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1980	98,640	100.0%	2,404,889	24.38
201 International Circle *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1982	78,461	84.1%	1,569,454	23.78
11011 McCormick Road *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1974	57,550	24.7%	262,996	18.50
216 Schilling Circle *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1988/2001	36,273	77.1%	606,207	21.66
222 Schilling Circle *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1978/1997	28,747	73.7%	435,487	20.55
224 Schilling Circle *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1978/1997	27,574	64.3%	342,504	19.32
11101 McCormick Road *Hunt Valley, MD*	Hunt Valley/Rte 83 Corridor	1976	23,844	89.8%	409,433	19.12
7210 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1972	83,435	100.0%	938,586	11.25
7152 Windsor Boulevard *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1986	57,855	100.0%	968,980	16.75

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
21 Governor's Court *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1981/1995	56,383	59.2%	615,805	18.46
7125 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1985	50,604	84.9%	868,270	20.20
7104 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1988	30,081	100.0%	550,697	18.31
17 Governor's Court *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1981	14,454	100.0%	278,227	19.25
15 Governor's Court *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1981	14,568	100.0%	240,213	16.49
7127 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1985	11,630	62.2%	105,096	14.53
7129 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1985	11,075	100.0%	191,417	17.28
7108 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1988	8,811	86.7%	137,523	18.00
7102 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1988	8,879	49.6%	77,035	17.50
7106 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1988	8,899	47.2%	66,528	15.86
7131 Ambassador Road *Woodlawn, MD*	Baltimore County Westside	1985	7,734	45.0%	30,004	8.62
502 Washington Avenue *Towson, MD*	Towson	1984	91,004	83.9%	3,941,071	51.62
102 West Pennsylvania Avenue *Towson, MD*	Towson	1968/2001	48,808	89.0%	952,751	21.93
100 West Pennsylvania Avenue *Towson, MD*	Towson	1952/1989	18,715	66.9%	230,760	18.44
109-111 Allegheny Avenue *Towson, MD*	Towson	1971	18,431	45.7%	147,126	17.45
1501 South Clinton Street *Baltimore, MD*	Baltimore	2006	474,237	87.61%	13,502,085	32.50
10001 Franklin Square Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1997	218,215	24.6%	456,808	8.51
8140 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2003	76,149	92.6%	1,941,111	27.52
8110 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2001	75,687	100.0%	1,666,055	22.01
8031 Corporate Drive	White Marsh	1988/2004	66,000	100.0%	1,219,428	18.48

White Marsh, MD						
7941-7949 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1996	58,287	0.0%		
9910 Franklin Square Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2005	56,271	100.0%	1,266,300	22.50
8020 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1997	50,089	0.0%		
8094 Sandpiper Circle *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1998	49,478	88.7%	834,615	19.01
4979 Mercantile Road *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1985	51,198	100.0%	733,998	14.34
4940 Campbell Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1990	50,393	85.5%	1,010,065	23.45
8098 Sandpiper Circle *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1998	47,680	100.0%	832,871	17.47
4969 Mercantile Road *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1983	47,132	100.0%	848,406	18.00

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
8114 Sandpiper Circle *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1986	45,806	77.3%	923,213	26.07
5020 Campbell Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1986-1988	43,791	76.3%	481,386	14.40
9920 Franklin Square Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2006	42,767	85.4%	859,038	23.51
8007 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1995	41,810	84.8%	644,152	18.16
9930 Franklin Square Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2001	39,750	100.0%	885,499	22.28
8010 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1998	38,487	18.9%	150,027	20.65
8615 Ridgely's Choice Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2005	37,764	62.5%	513,216	21.74
5325 Nottingham Ridge Road *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2002	35,678	76.3%	608,140	22.33
8013 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1990	30,003	27.6%	135,034	16.30
9900 Franklin Square Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1999	33,801	100.0%	600,516	17.77
5024 Campbell Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1986-1988	33,710	100.0%	537,650	15.95
9940 Franklin Square Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	2000	32,242	81.9%	548,109	20.76
5026 Campbell Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1986-1988	30,163	0.0%		
7939 Honeygo Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1984	28,206	83.9%	554,312	23.43
8133 Perry Hall Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1988	27,995	90.1%	513,343	20.36
5022 Campbell Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1986-1988	26,747	74.7%	340,690	17.05
8019 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1990	33,274	76.5%	496,333	19.49
8029 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1988/2004	25,000	100.0%	464,903	18.60
7923 Honeygo Boulevard *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1985	23,482	86.2%	424,381	20.98
8003 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1999	17,599	100.0%	385,976	21.93
	White Marsh	1990	15,669	100.0%	328,663	20.98

8015 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*								
8023 Corporate Drive *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	1990	9,486	100.0%		178,449		18.81
Subtotal/Average			**3,672,756**	**80.3%**	**$**	**67,118,401**	**$**	**22.77**
Colorado Springs:								
3535 Northrop Grumman Point *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	2008	124,305	100.0%	$	2,236,953	$	18.00
655 Space Center Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	2008	103,970	100.0%		1,904,884		18.32
985 Space Center Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	1989	104,028	88.5%		2,149,653		23.36
565 Space Center Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	2009	1,949	100.0%		35,751		18.34
1670 North Newport Road *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	1986-1987	67,500	54.7%		802,933		21.75

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
1055 North Newport Road *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	2007-2008	59,763	100.0%	1,214,386	20.32
745 Space Center Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	2006	51,500	100.0%	1,375,260	26.70
1915 Aerotech Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	1985	37,946	32.3%	188,700	15.41
1925 Aerotech Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	1985	37,946	60.1%	522,267	22.89
980 Technology Court *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	1995	33,190	100.0%	620,019	18.68
525 Babcock Road *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	1967	14,000	100.0%	176,182	12.58
9945 Federal Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	2009	74,005	0.0%		
9950 Federal Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	2001	66,222	83.6%	865,582	15.64
9960 Federal Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	2001	46,948	78.3%	850,082	23.11
10807 New Allegiance Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	2009	46,765	100.0%	3,197,275	68.37
12515 Academy Ridge View *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	2006	61,372	100.0%	1,404,656	22.89
9965 Federal Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	1983/2007	74,749	100.0%	1,217,594	16.29
9925 Federal Drive *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	2008	53,745	90.8%	575,213	11.79
5775 Mark Dabling Boulevard *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs Northwest	1984	109,678	100.0%	1,941,231	17.70
5725 Mark Dabling Boulevard *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs Northwest	1984	108,976	100.0%	2,206,482	20.25
5755 Mark Dabling Boulevard	Colorado Springs Northwest	1989	105,997	88.1%	1,968,174	21.07

Colorado Springs, CO							
Subtotal/Average			**1,384,554**	**85.8%**	**$ 25,453,277**	**$**	**21.41**
San Antonio, Texas:							
7700 Potranco Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio	1982/1985	508,412	100.0%	$ 12,327,935	$	24.25
7700-1 Potranco Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio	2007	8,674	100.0%	286,233		33.00
7700-5 Potranco Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio	2009	25,056	100.0%	197,942		7.90
1560 A Cable Ranch Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio	1985/2007	45,935	100.0%	776,725		16.91
1560 B Cable Ranch Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio	1985/2006	77,040	100.0%	1,707,145		22.16
Subtotal/Average			**665,117**	**100.0%**	**$ 15,295,980**	**$**	**23.00**
Blue Bell/Philadelphia:							
753 Jolly Road *Blue Bell, PA*	Blue Bell	1960/92-94	125,637	100.0%	$ 2,138,423	$	17.02
785 Jolly Road *Blue Bell, PA*	Blue Bell	1970/1996	219,065	100.0%	2,615,636		11.94
751 Jolly Road *Blue Bell, PA*	Blue Bell	1966/1991	114,000	99.1%	1,940,354		17.18
Subtotal/Average			**458,702**	**100.0%**	**$ 6,694,413**	**$**	**14.59**

29

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
Central New Jersey:						
431 Ridge Road *Dayton, NJ*	Exit 8A Cranbury	1958/1998	171,200	100.0%	$ 2,028,524	$ 11.85
437 Ridge Road *Dayton, NJ*	Exit 8A Cranbury	1962/1996	30,000	100.0%	321,024	10.70
Subtotal/Average			**201,200**	**100.0%**	**$ 2,349,548**	**$ 11.68**
Other:						
11751 Meadowville Lane *Chester, VA*	Richmond Southwest	2007	193,000	100.0%	$ 5,494,348	$ 28.47
201 Technology Park Drive *Lebanon, VA*	Southwest Virginia	2007	102,842	100.0%	3,368,232	32.75
14303 Lake Royer Drive *Cascade, MD*	Fort Ritchie	1990/2007	9,829	86.5%	145,772	17.14
14310 Castle Drive *Cascade, MD*	Fort Ritchie	1993/2008	3,014	100.0%	45,210	15.00
14316 Lake Royer Drive *Cascade, MD*	Fort Ritchie	1953	864	0.0%		
24949 Lake Wastler Drive *Cascade, MD*	Fort Ritchie	2009	1,521	100.0%	22,815	15.00
Subtotal/Average			**311,070**	**99.3%**	**$ 9,076,377**	**$ 29.38**
Total/Average			**19,100,773**	**90.7%**	**$ 426,598,020**	**$ 24.63**

(1) This percentage is based upon all rentable square feet under lease terms that were in effect at December 31, 2009.

(2) Annualized rental revenue is the monthly contractual base rent as of December 31, 2009 multiplied by 12, plus the estimated annualized expense reimbursements under existing leases. We consider annualized rental revenue to be a useful measure for analyzing revenue sources because, since it is point-in-time based, it does not contain increases and decreases in revenue associated with periods in which lease terms were not in effect; historical revenue under GAAP does contain such fluctuations. We find the measure particularly useful for leasing, tenant, segment and industry analysis.

(3) Annualized rental revenue per occupied square foot is the property's annualized rental revenue divided by that property's occupied square feet as of December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table provides certain information about our wholly owned properties that were under construction, development or redevelopment as of December 31, 2009:

Property and Location	Submarket	Estimated Rentable Square Feet Upon Completion	Percentage Leased at February 5, 2010
Under Construction			
Baltimore/Washington Corridor:			
300 Sentinel Way (300 NBP) *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	192,754	57%
308 Sentinel Drive (308 NBP) *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	150,843	0%
324 Sentinel Drive (324 NBP) *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	125,129	100%
Subtotal/Average		**468,726**	
Colorado Springs:			
10807 New Allegiance Drive (Epic One) *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	145,723	41%
565 Space Center Drive (Patriot Park 7) *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	89,773	2%
Subtotal/Average		**235,496**	
Greater Baltimore:			
209 Research Boulevard *Aberdeen, Maryland*	Harford County	78,220	69%
210 Research Boulevard *Aberdeen, Maryland*	Harford County	78,856	0%
Subtotal/Average		**157,076**	
San Antonio:			
8000 Potranco Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio Northwest	125,005	100%
8030 Potranco Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio Northwest	125,005	100%
Subtotal/Average		**250,010**	
Total Under Construction		**1,111,308**	**54%**
Under Development			
Baltimore/Washington Corridor:			
316 Sentinel Drive (316 NBP) *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	125,044	N/A
430 National Business Parkway *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	110,000	N/A
Subtotal/Average		**235,044**	
Greater Baltimore:			
Northgate Business Park (Lot D) *Aberdeen, MD*	Harford County	127,530	N/A

Northgate Business Park (Lot 1) *Aberdeen, MD*	Harford County	127,530	N/A
Subtotal/Average		**255,060**	
St. Mary's & King George Counties:			
Expedition Drive *Lexington Park, MD*	St. Mary's County	**45,975**	N/A

Table of Contents

Property and Location	Submarket	Estimated Rentable Square Feet Upon Completion	Percentage Leased at February 5, 2010
San Antonio:			
Sentry Gateway (Building 100) *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio Northwest	94,550	N/A
8100 Potranco Road *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio Northwest	125,000	N/A
Subtotal/Average		**219,550**	
Total Under Development		**755,629**	
Under Redevelopment			
Blue Bell/Philadelphia:			
760 Jolly Road *Blue Bell, PA*	Blue Bell	**208,854**	75%

The following table provides certain information about our wholly owned developable land holdings not under construction or development as of December 31, 2009:

Land Location	Submarket	Acres	Estimated Developable Square Feet
Baltimore/Washington Corridor:			
National Business Park (Phase II) *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	16	250,000
National Business Park (Phase III) *Annapolis Junction, MD*	BWI Airport	173	1,367,000
1243 Winterson Road (AS 22) *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	2	30,000
940 Elkridge Landing Road (AS 7) *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	3	53,940
West Nursery *Linthicum, MD*	BWI Airport	1	4,800
1460 Dorsey Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	6	60,000
Columbia Gateway Parcel T-11 *Columbia, MD*	Howard Co. Perimeter	14	220,000
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive *Columbia, MD*	Howard Co. Perimeter	5	120,000
Subtotal		**220**	**2,105,740**
Northern Virginia:			
Westfields Corporate Center *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	23	400,460
Westfields Park Center *Chantilly, VA*	Dulles South	33	674,170
Woodland Park *Herndon, VA*	Herndon	5	225,000
Subtotal		**61**	**1,299,630**

Suburban Maryland:			
Thomas Johnson Drive *Frederick, MD*	Frederick	6	170,000
Route 15 / Biggs Ford Road *Frederick, MD*	Frederick	107	1,000,000
Rockville Corporate Center *Rockville, MD*	Rockville	10	220,000
Subtotal		**123**	**1,390,000**

Table of Contents

Land Location	Submarket	Acres	Estimated Developable Square Feet
Greater Baltimore:			
Canton Crossing *Baltimore, MD*	Baltimore	10	773,000
White Marsh *White Marsh, MD*	White Marsh	152	1,692,000
37 Allegheny Avenue(1) *Towson, MD*	Towson	0	40,000
Northgate Business Park *Aberdeen, MD*	Harford County	34	439,000
Subtotal		**196**	**2,944,000**
St. Mary's & King George Counties:			
Dahlgren Technology Center *Dahlgren, MD*	King George County	**39**	**122,000**
Colorado Springs:			
InterQuest *Colorado Springs, CO*	I-25 North Corridor	117	1,656,600
Patriot Park *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	71	756,250
Aerotech Commerce *Colorado Springs, CO*	Colorado Springs East	6	90,000
Subtotal		**194**	**2,502,850**
San Antonio:			
Northwest Crossroads *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio Northwest	31	375,000
Military Drive *San Antonio, TX*	San Antonio Northwest	40	660,000
Subtotal		**71**	**1,035,000**
Greater Philadelphia:			
Arborcrest *Blue Bell, PA*	Blue Bell	**8**	**160,000**
Northern/Central New Jersey:			
Princeton Technology Center *Cranbury, NJ*	Exit 8A Cranbury	**19**	**250,000**
Other:			
Fort Ritchie(2) *Cascade, MD*	Fort Ritchie	**591**	**1,700,000**
Total Land		**1,521**	**13,509,220**

(1) This property contains 0.3 of an acre.

(2)

The Fort Ritchie acquisition includes 284,000 square feet of existing office space targeted for future development (of which 10,248 square feet were leased as of December 31, 2009) and 110 existing usable residential units.

Table of Contents

The following table provides certain information about our joint venture office properties as of December 31, 2009:

Property and Location	Submarket	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy(1)	Annualized Rental Revenue(2)	Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot(2)(3)
B/W Corridor:						
7740 Milestone Parkway *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	2007	143,939	6.0%	$ 267,400	$ 30.88
Subtotal/Average			**143,939**	6.0%	$ 267,400	**$ 30.88**
Suburban Maryland						
4230 Forbes Boulevard *Prince Georges, MD*	Lanham	2003	55,883	90.9%	$ 824,673	$ 16.23
5825 University Research Drive *College Park, MD*	College Park	2008	118,519	64.4%	1,968,712	25.79
5850 University Research Drive *College Park, MD*	College Park	2009	123,464	100.0%	2,901,404	23.50
Subtotal/Average			**297,866**	**84.1%**	**$ 5,694,789**	**$ 22.72**
Greater Harrisburg:						
2605 Interstate Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1990	79,456	100.0%	$ 1,466,758	$ 18.46
6345 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1989	69,443	70.6%	686,650	14.00
6340 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1988	68,200	100.0%	809,126	11.86
2601 Market Place *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1989	65,411	92.1%	1,175,351	19.51
6400 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1992	52,439	75.5%	535,059	13.51
6360 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1988	46,589	73.1%	430,665	12.64
6385 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1995	32,671	62.6%	289,863	14.16
6380 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1991	32,668	80.6%	399,926	15.19
6405 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1991	32,000	0.0%		
95 Shannon Road *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1999	21,976	100.0%	398,226	18.12
75 Shannon Road *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1999	20,887	0.0%		
6375 Flank Drive *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	2000	19,783	71.3%	232,745	16.50
85 Shannon Road *Harrisburg, PA*	East Shore	1999	12,863	100.0%	233,090	18.12
5035 Ritter Road *Mechanicsburg, PA*	West Shore	1988	56,556	100.0%	920,243	16.27
5070 Ritter Road Building A *Mechanicsburg, PA*	West Shore	1989	31,710	75.7%	352,290	14.67
5070 Ritter Road Building B *Mechanicsburg, PA*	West Shore	1989	28,347	82.0%	322,747	13.89
Subtotal/Average			**670,999**	**79.0%**	**$ 8,252,739**	**$ 15.57**

Total/Average	**1,112,804**	**70.9%**	**$ 14,214,928**	**$ 18.01**

(1) This percentage is based upon all rentable square feet under lease terms that were in effect at December 31, 2009.

(2) Annualized rental revenue is the monthly contractual base rent as of December 31, 2009 multiplied by 12, plus the estimated annualized expense reimbursements under existing leases.

(3) Annualized rental revenue per occupied square foot is the property's annualized rental revenue divided by that property's occupied square feet as of December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table provides certain information about an office property owned through a joint venture that was under redevelopment as of December 31, 2009:

Property and Location	Submarket	Estimated Rentable Square Feet Upon Completion	Percentage Leased/ Committed
Baltimore/Washington Corridor:			
7468 Candlewood Road *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	**356,000**	N/A

The following table provides certain information about our developable land holdings through joint ventures that were not under construction or development as of December 31, 2009:

Land Location	Submarket	Acres	Estimated Developable Square Feet
Baltimore/Washington Corridor:			
Arundel Preserve(1) *Hanover, MD*	BWI Airport	56	1,651,870
M Square Research Park *College Park, MD*	College Park	49	510,453
		105	2,162,323
Other:			
Indian Head *Charles County, MD*	Charles County	192	967,250
Total Land		**297**	**3,129,573**

(1) This land was not owned at December 31, 2009 but was under contract.

Table of Contents

Lease Expirations

The following table provides a summary schedule of the lease expirations for leases in place for our wholly owned properties as of December 31, 2009, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal options. This analysis includes the effect of early renewals completed on existing leases but excludes the effect of new tenant leases on 112,203 square feet yet to commence as of December 31, 2009.

Year of Lease Expiration(1)	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Leases Expiring	Percentage of Total Occupied Square Feet	Annualized Rental of Expiring Leases(2) (in thousands)	Percentage of Total Annualized Rental Revenue Expiring(2)	Total Annualized Rental Revenue of Expiring Leases Per Occupied Square Foot
2010	248	2,954,757	17.1%	$ 67,304	15.8%	$ 22.78
2011	199	1,782,359	10.3%	39,679	9.3%	22.26
2012	196	2,638,449	15.3%	60,376	14.2%	22.88
2013	165	1,865,203	10.8%	50,966	12.0%	27.32
2014	155	1,545,309	8.9%	38,009	8.9%	24.60
2015	115	1,960,836	11.3%	50,889	11.9%	25.95
2016	52	732,289	4.2%	20,460	4.8%	27.94
2017	63	1,111,004	6.4%	34,045	8.0%	30.64
2018	48	832,407	4.8%	20,521	4.8%	24.65
2019	25	431,907	2.5%	9,246	2.2%	21.41
2020	10	384,354	2.2%	8,091	1.9%	21.05
2021	8	166,067	1.0%	3,970	0.9%	23.91
2022	3	295,842	1.7%	8,863	2.1%	29.96
2023	1	44,616	0.2%	944	0.2%	21.15
2025	3	542,142	3.1%	12,812	3.0%	23.63
Other(3)	12	35,103	0.2%	423	0.0%	12.05
Total/Weighted Average	1,303	17,322,644	100.0%	$ 426,598	100.0%	$ 24.63

(1) Most of our leases with the United States Government provide for consecutive one-year terms or provide for early termination rights. All of the leasing statistics set forth above assumed that the United States Government will remain in the space that it leases through the end of the respective arrangements, without ending consecutive one-year leases prematurely or exercising early termination rights. We reported the statistics in this manner because we manage our leasing activities using these same assumptions and believe these assumptions to be probable.

(2) Annualized rental revenue is the monthly contractual base rent as of December 31, 2009 multiplied by 12, plus the estimated annualized expense reimbursements under existing office leases.

(3) Other consists primarily of amenities, including cafeterias, concierge offices and property management space. In addition, month-to-month leases and leases that have expired but the tenant remains in holdover are included in this line item as the exact expiration date is unknown.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Jim Lemon and Robin Biser, as plaintiffs, initiated a suit on May 12, 2005, in The United States District Court for the District of Columbia (Case No. 1:05CV00949), against The Secretary of the United States Army, PenMar Development Corporation ("PMDC") and the Company, as defendants, in connection with the then pending acquisition by the Company of the former army base known as Fort Ritchie located in Cascade, Maryland. The case was dismissed by the United States District Court on September 28, 2006, due to the plaintiffs' lack of standing. The plaintiffs filed an appeal in the case in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and the Court of Appeals reversed the findings of the District Court and remanded the case to the District Court for further proceedings. The plaintiffs were unsuccessful in their request for an emergency injunction pending appeal. The Company acquired from PMDC fee simple title to 500 acres of the 591 acres comprising Fort Ritchie on October 5, 2006 and the remaining 91 acres on November 29, 2007.

36

Table of Contents

On November 10, 2009, the District Court issued an Order, together with a Memorandum Opinion, which precludes the Company from proceeding with the implementation of its development plan until the Army either re-issues an amended Record of Environmental Consideration ("REC") or a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement ("SEIS") that complies with the District Court's Memorandum Opinion. The Memorandum Opinion highlights various areas of the existing REC which could be revised to include greater detail on the Army's deliberative process, whereby the Army determined that a SEIS was not necessary. We are working with both the Army's counsel and the Army representative to expedite re-submission of the amended REC to the Court, in order to lift the restrictions imposed by the Court.

On January 8, 2010, the Army filed an appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, and, on January 14, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a cross-appeal. The appeals are pending at this time. No schedule has been set for briefing or oral argument. We have been advised by the Army that it is considering withdrawing its appeal shortly to allow the District Court to consider an amended REC and that, if it does withdraw the appeal, then the plaintiffs have agreed to withdraw their cross-appeal.

We are not currently involved in any other material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation currently threatened against the Company (other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, substantially all of which is expected to be covered by liability insurance).

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Our common shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "OFC." The table below shows the range of the high and low sale prices for our common shares as reported on the NYSE, as well as the quarterly common share dividends per share declared:

	Price Range		Dividends
2008	**Low**	**High**	**Per Share**
First Quarter	$ 25.43	$ 36.16	$ 0.3400
Second Quarter	$ 33.65	$ 40.00	$ 0.3400
Third Quarter	$ 32.00	$ 43.50	$ 0.3725
Fourth Quarter	$ 20.39	$ 39.84	$ 0.3725

	Price Range		Dividends
2009	**Low**	**High**	**Per Share**
First Quarter	$ 20.49	$ 30.92	$ 0.3725
Second Quarter	$ 23.13	$ 33.14	$ 0.3725
Third Quarter	$ 26.87	$ 40.59	$ 0.3925
Fourth Quarter	$ 31.77	$ 38.29	$ 0.3925

The number of holders of record of our common shares was 651 as of December 31, 2009. This number does not include shareholders whose shares are held of record by a brokerage house or clearing agency, but does include any such brokerage house or clearing agency as one record holder.

We will pay dividends at the discretion of our Board of Trustees. Our ability to pay cash dividends will be dependent upon: (i) the income and cash flow generated from our operations; (ii) cash generated or used by our financing and investing activities; and (iii) the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code described above and such other factors as the Board of Trustees deems relevant. Our ability to make cash dividends will also be limited by the terms of our Operating Partnership Agreement and our financing arrangements, as well as limitations imposed by state law and the agreements governing any future indebtedness.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, 17,394 of the Operating Partnership's common units were exchanged for 17,394 common shares in accordance with the Operating Partnership's Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement, as amended. The issuance of these common shares was effected in reliance upon the exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Table of Contents

Common Shares Performance Graph

The graph and the table set forth below assume $100 was invested on December 31, 2004 in the common shares of Corporate Office Properties Trust. The graph and the table compare the cumulative return (assuming reinvestment of dividends) of this investment with a $100 investment at that time in the S&P 500 Index or the All Equity REIT Index of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"):

	Period Ended					
Index	**12/31/04**	**12/31/05**	**12/31/06**	**12/31/07**	**12/31/08**	**12/31/09**
Corporate Office Properties Trust	100.00	125.36	182.74	117.89	119.98	150.32
S&P 500	100.00	104.91	121.48	128.16	80.74	102.11
NAREIT All Equity REIT Index	100.00	112.16	151.49	127.72	79.53	101.79

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth summary financial data as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2005 through 2009. The table illustrates the significant growth our Company experienced over the periods reported. Most of this growth, particularly pertaining to revenues, operating income and total assets, was attributable to our addition of properties through acquisition and development activities. We financed most of the acquisition and development activities by incurring debt and issuing preferred and common equity, as indicated by the growth in our interest expense, preferred share dividends and weighted average common shares outstanding. The growth in our general and administrative expenses reflects, in large part, the growth in management resources required to support the increased size of our portfolio. Since this information is only a summary, you should refer to our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto and the section of this report entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for additional information.

Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries
(in thousands, except per share data and number of properties)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Revenues					
Revenues from real estate operations(1)	$ 424,432	$ 397,220	$ 363,241	$ 288,270	$ 233,244
Construction contract and other service operations revenues	343,087	188,385	41,225	60,084	79,234
Total revenues	767,519	585,605	404,466	348,354	312,478
Expenses					
Property operating expenses(1)	157,314	141,052	122,961	92,328	69,306
Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations(1)	108,609	101,937	103,916	75,560	59,465
Construction contract and other service operations expenses	336,519	184,142	39,793	57,345	77,287
General and administrative expenses	23,240	24,096	20,227	17,441	12,877
Business development expenses	3,699	1,233	1,477	607	656
Total operating expenses	629,381	452,460	288,374	243,281	219,591
Operating income	138,138	133,145	116,092	105,073	92,887
Interest expense	(82,208)	(86,414)	(88,130)	(73,442)	(55,077)
Interest and other income	5,164	2,070	3,030	1,077	304
Gain on early extinguishment of debt		8,101			
Income from continuing operations before equity in loss of unconsolidated entities and income taxes	61,094	56,902	30,992	32,708	38,114
Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities	(941)	(147)	(224)	(92)	(88)
Income tax expense	(196)	(201)	(569)	(887)	(668)
Income from continuing operations	59,957	56,554	30,199	31,729	37,358

Discontinued operations, net of income taxes(1)(2)	1,342	3,658	3,706	23,370	7,803
Income before gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes	61,299	60,212	33,905	55,099	45,161
Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes(1)(3)		1,104	2,037	889	334
Net income	61,299	61,316	35,942	55,988	45,495
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(4,970)	(7,351)	(3,741)	(7,621)	(6,464)
Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust	56,329	53,965	32,201	48,367	39,031
Preferred share dividends	(16,102)	(16,102)	(16,068)	(15,404)	(14,615)
Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred shares(4)				(3,896)	
Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust common shareholders	$ 40,227	$ 37,863	$ 16,133	$ 29,067	$ 24,416

Table of Contents

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Basic earnings per common share(5)					
Income from continuing operations	$ 0.68	$ 0.71	$ 0.27	$ 0.23	$ 0.49
Net income	$ 0.70	$ 0.77	$ 0.34	$ 0.69	$ 0.65
Diluted earnings per common share(5)					
Income from continuing operations	$ 0.68	$ 0.70	$ 0.26	$ 0.22	$ 0.47
Net income	$ 0.70	$ 0.76	$ 0.33	$ 0.67	$ 0.63
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	55,930	48,132	46,527	41,463	37,371
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	56,407	48,820	47,518	43,031	38,997
Balance Sheet Data (as of year end):					
Investment in real estate	$ 3,029,900	$ 2,778,466	$ 2,604,836	$ 2,111,517	$ 1,888,106
Total assets	$ 3,380,022	$ 3,114,239	$ 2,932,364	$ 2,419,329	$ 2,129,759
Debt	$ 2,053,841	$ 1,856,751	$ 1,809,610	$ 1,478,460	$ 1,348,351
Total liabilities	$ 2,259,390	$ 2,031,816	$ 1,962,884	$ 1,609,034	$ 1,442,036
Total equity	$ 1,120,632	$ 1,082,423	$ 969,480	$ 810,295	$ 687,723
Other Financial Data (for the year ended):					
Cash flows provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	$ 194,817	$ 180,892	$ 138,391	$ 113,358	$ 95,944
Investing activities	$ (349,076)	$ (290,822)	$ (328,404)	$ (254,041)	$ (420,301)
Financing activities	$ 155,746	$ 92,067	$ 206,728	$ 137,822	$ 321,320
Numerator for diluted EPS	$ 39,217	$ 37,135	$ 15,616	$ 28,618	$ 24,416
Diluted funds from operations(6)	$ 152,626	$ 143,592	$ 121,371	$ 97,165	$ 88,490
Diluted funds from operations per share(6)	$ 2.46	$ 2.52	$ 2.17	$ 1.89	$ 1.86
Cash dividends declared per common share	$ 1.53	$ 1.425	$ 1.30	$ 1.18	$ 1.07
Property Data (as of year end):					
Number of properties owned(1)(7)	249	238	228	170	165
Total rentable square feet owned(1)(7)	19,101	18,462	17,832	15,050	13,708

(1) Certain prior period amounts pertaining to properties included in discontinued operations have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation. These reclassifications did not affect consolidated net income or shareholders' equity.

(2) Includes income derived from three operating properties we sold in 2005, seven operating real estate properties we sold in 2006, four operating real estate properties we sold in 2007, three operating real estate properties we sold in 2008 and two operating real estate properties that we reclassified to held for sale in 2009 (see Note 17 to our Consolidated Financial Statements).

(3) Reflects gain from sales of properties and unconsolidated real estate joint ventures not associated with discontinued operations.

(4) Reflects a decrease to net income available to common shareholders pertaining to the original issuance costs recognized upon the redemption of the Series E and Series F preferred shares of beneficial interest in 2006.

(5) Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated based on amounts attributable to common shareholders of Corporate Office Properties Trust.

(6) For definitions of diluted funds from operations per share and diluted funds from operations and reconciliations of these measures to their comparable measures under generally accepted accounting principles, you should refer to the section entitled "Funds from Operations" within the section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

(7) Amounts reported reflect only wholly owned properties.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should refer to our Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto and our Selected Financial Data table as you read this section.

This section contains "forward-looking" statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition and operations of our business. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "expect," "estimate" or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations, estimates and projections reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that these expectations, estimates and projections will be achieved. Future events and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that may affect these expectations, estimates and projections include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to borrow on favorable terms;
- general economic and business conditions, which will, among other things, affect office property demand and rents, tenant creditworthiness, interest rates and financing availability;
- adverse changes in the real estate markets, including, among other things, increased competition with other companies;
- risks of real estate acquisition and development activities, including, among other things, risks that development projects may not be completed on schedule, that tenants may not take occupancy or pay rent or that development and operating costs may be greater than anticipated;
- risks of investing through joint venture structures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their financial obligations as investors or may take actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;
- our ability to satisfy and operate effectively under Federal income tax rules relating to real estate investment trusts and partnerships;
- governmental actions and initiatives; and
- environmental requirements.

We undertake no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a specialty office real estate investment trust ("REIT") that focuses primarily on strategic customer relationships and specialized tenant requirements in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. We acquire, develop, manage and lease properties that are typically concentrated in large office parks primarily located adjacent to government demand drivers and/or in demographically strong markets possessing growth opportunities. As of December 31, 2009, our investments in real estate included the following:

- 249 wholly owned operating properties totaling 19.1 million square feet;

17 wholly owned properties under construction, development or redevelopment that we estimate will total approximately 2.1 million square feet upon completion;

Table of Contents

- wholly owned land parcels totaling 1,521 acres that we believe are potentially developable into approximately 13.5 million square feet; and

- partial ownership interests in a number of other real estate projects in operations, under redevelopment or held for future development.

Most of our revenues relating to real estate operations are derived from rents and property operating expense reimbursements earned from tenants leasing space in our properties. Most of our expenses relating to our real estate operations take the form of: (1) property operating costs, such as real estate taxes, utilities and repairs and maintenance; (2) interest costs; and (3) depreciation and amortization associated with our operating properties. Much of our profitability from real estate operations depends on our ability to maintain high levels of occupancy and increase rents, which is affected by a number of factors, including, among other things, our tenants' ability to fulfill their lease obligations and their continuing space needs based on, among other things, employment levels, business confidence and competition and general economic conditions of the markets in which we operate.

At December 31, 2009, our wholly owned properties were located in the following geographic regions, which also represent our reportable segments:

	As of December 31, 2009		
Region	**Operational Square Feet**	**Number of Properties**	**Occupancy Rate**
	(in thousands)		
Baltimore/Washington Corridor (generally the Maryland counties of Howard and Anne Arundel)	8,277	109	91.6%
Greater Baltimore, Maryland (generally the Maryland counties of Baltimore and Harford and Baltimore City)	3,673	64	80.3%
Northern Virginia	2,613	15	96.6%
Colorado Springs, Colorado	1,385	21	85.8%
St. Mary's and King George Counties (in Maryland and Virginia)	822	18	97.8%
Suburban Maryland (defined as the Maryland counties of Montgomery, Prince George's and Frederick)	695	5	91.9%
San Antonio, Texas	665	6	100.0%
Greater Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	459	3	100.0%
Central New Jersey	201	2	100.0%
Other	311	6	99.3%
Total	19,101	249	90.7%

During 2009, we expanded our portfolio of operating properties by:

- acquiring a 474,000 square foot office tower, a parking lot, a utility distribution center, four waterfront lots and riparian rights, all of which are part of the Canton Crossing planned unit development in Baltimore, Maryland. We completed this acquisition for an aggregate cost of $123.2 million;

acquiring two additional properties totaling 223,000 square feet that were 100% leased upon acquisition and land that we believe can support approximately 95,000 developable square feet for $50.5 million; and

placing 759,000 newly constructed square feet into service in ten properties, including three properties owned through joint ventures.

Our strategy for operations and growth focuses on establishing and nurturing long-term relationships with quality tenants and accommodating their multi-locational needs, particularly tenants

Table of Contents

in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. As a result of this strategy, a large concentration of our revenue is derived from several large tenants. At December 31, 2009, 55.4% of our annualized rental revenue (as defined in the section entitled "Concentration of Operations") from wholly owned properties was from our 20 largest tenants, 31.5% from our three largest tenants, 18.6% from our largest tenant, the United States Government, and 54.9% from properties occupied primarily by tenants in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors.

Since the latter part of 2007, the United States and world economies have struggled through difficult conditions, including a significant recession. This slowdown has had devastating effects on the capital markets, with tightening credit availability. The commercial real estate industry was affected by these events, the most uniform and immediate effect being an increased difficulty in obtaining capital to fund debt repayments and growth activities, such as acquisitions and development costs. We believe that there was a natural lag in time before the changes in the overall economy began to significantly affect the operations of the office real estate sector since the sector's core operations tend to be structured as long-term leases, with revenue streams generally remaining in place until leases expire or tenants fail to satisfy lease terms. Due in large part to this reason, we do not believe that the economic downturn began to significantly affect the operating performance of our real estate properties until 2009, when we faced significantly increased leasing challenges. While we ended the year with occupancy at our wholly owned properties of 90.7%, a strong percentage relative to many of our competitors both nationally and in our regions, this percentage represented a decrease from 93.2% at the beginning of the year. We also experienced slower than expected leasing on a number of our newly constructed properties. We expect these leasing challenges to continue throughout most of 2010 and perhaps longer, as discussed in greater detail in the section below entitled "Occupancy and Leasing."

Our net income attributable to common shareholders increased $2.4 million, or 6.2%, from 2008 to 2009, significant drivers of which included growth in operating income from our operating properties and a decrease in interest expense resulting primarily from decreased interest rates on variable rate debt, as offset by a decrease in gains on early debt extinguishments.

One manner in which we evaluate the operating performance of our properties is through a measure we define as net operating income ("NOI") from real estate operations, which is derived by subtracting property operating expenses from revenues from real estate operations (please refer to the section below entitled "Results of Operations" for additional information pertaining to this measure). The amount of NOI from real estate operations included in income from continuing operations is referred to herein as NOI from continuing real estate operations. We experienced growth of $11.0 million, or 4.3%, in our NOI from continuing real estate operations from 2008 to 2009, most of which was attributable to the growth of our portfolio from construction and acquisition activities. We experienced growth of $4.5 million, or 1.9%, in our NOI from continuing operations attributable to properties that were owned and 100% operational in 2008 and 2009 (properties that we refer to collectively as "Same-Office Properties"), most of which was attributable to an increase in net revenue from the early termination of leases.

In addition to owning real estate properties, we provide real estate-related services that include: (1) construction and development management; (2) property management; and (3) heating and air conditioning services and controls. The primary manner in which we evaluate the operating performance of our service activities is through a measure we define as NOI from service operations, which is based on the net of the revenues and expenses from these activities (please refer to the section below entitled "Results of Operations" for additional information pertaining to this measure). We experienced growth of $2.3 million, or 54.8%, in our NOI from service operations from 2008 to 2009, most of which was attributable to a high volume of construction activity in the current year in connection with one large construction contract.

Table of Contents

We believe that we have sufficient capacity under our Revolving Credit Facility and Revolving Construction Facility to satisfy our debt maturities occurring through 2010 and to fund the construction of properties under construction at year end, as well as projects expected to be started during 2010. Despite the challenges faced by us in the broader capital markets, we increased borrowing availability under these credit facilities and repaid maturing debt in 2009 by:

- closing on $348.4 million in new borrowings; and
- issuing 2.99 million common shares in an underwritten public offering made in conjunction with our inclusion in the S&P MidCap 400 Index effective April 1, 2009. The shares were issued at a public offering price of $24.35 per share for net proceeds of $72.1 million after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses.

We discuss significant factors contributing to changes in our net income attributable to our common shareholders and diluted earnings per share over the last three years in the section below entitled "Results of Operations." We discuss our 2009 investing and financing activities further in the section below entitled "Investing and Financing Activities During 2009." In addition, the section below entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources" includes discussions of, among other things:

- our cash flows;
- how we expect to generate cash for short and long-term capital needs;
- our off-balance sheet arrangements in place that are reasonably likely to affect our financial condition; and
- our commitments and contingencies.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP"), which require us to make certain estimates and assumptions. A summary of our significant accounting policies is provided in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. The following section is a summary of certain aspects of those accounting policies involving estimates and assumptions that (1) require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments in accounting for highly uncertain matters or matters that are susceptible to change and (2) materially affect our reported operating performance or financial condition. It is possible that the use of different reasonable estimates or assumptions in making these judgments could result in materially different amounts being reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements. While reviewing this section, you should refer to Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, including terms defined therein.

Acquisitions of Real Estate

When we acquire real estate properties, we allocate the acquisition to numerous tangible and intangible components. Most of the terms in this bullet section are discussed in further detail in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Acquisitions of Real Estate." Our process for determining the allocation to these components requires many estimates and assumptions. Included among these estimates and assumptions are the following: (1) determination of market rental rates; (2) estimation of leasing and tenant improvement costs associated with the remaining term of acquired leases; (3) leasing assumptions used in determining the in-place lease value, if-vacant value and tenant relationship value, including the rental rates, period of time that it will take to lease vacant space and estimated tenant improvement and leasing costs; (4) estimation of the property's future value in determining the if-vacant value; (5) estimation of value attributable to assets such as tenant relationship values; and (6) allocation of the if-vacant value between land and building. A change in any of the

Table of Contents

above key assumptions, most of which are extremely subjective, can materially change not only the presentation of acquired properties in our Consolidated Financial Statements but also our reported results of operations. The allocation to different components affects the following:

- the amount of the purchase price allocated among different categories of assets and liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets; the amount of costs assigned to individual properties in multiple property acquisitions; and the amount of costs assigned to individual tenants at the time of acquisition;

- where the amortization of the components appear over time in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Allocations to above- and below-market leases are amortized into rental revenue, whereas allocations to most of the other tangible and intangible assets (the one exception being the land component of the if-vacant value) are amortized into depreciation and amortization expense. As a REIT, this is important to us since much of the investment community evaluates our operating performance using non-GAAP measures such as funds from operations, the computation of which includes rental revenue but does not include depreciation and amortization expense; and

- the timing over which the items are recognized as revenue or expense in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. For example, for allocations to the as-if vacant value, the land portion is not depreciated and the building portion is depreciated over a longer period of time than the other components (generally 40 years). Allocations to above- and below-market leases, in-place lease value and tenant relationship value are amortized over significantly shorter timeframes, and if individual tenants' leases are terminated early, any unamortized amounts remaining associated with those tenants are written off upon termination. These differences in timing can materially affect our reported results of operations. In addition, we establish lives for tenant relationship values based on our estimates of how long we expect the respective tenants to remain in the properties.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values of operating properties, properties in development or land held for future development may be impaired, we perform a recovery analysis based on the estimated undiscounted future cash flows to be generated from the operations and eventual disposition of such properties. If the analysis indicates that the carrying value of a tested property is not recoverable from estimated future cash flows, it is written down to its estimated fair value and an impairment loss is recognized. Fair values are determined based on estimated future cash flows using appropriate discount and capitalization rates or third-party valuations or appraisals. The estimated cash flows used for the impairment analysis and determining the fair values are based on our plans for the tested property and our views of market and economic conditions. The estimates consider matters such as current and future rental rates, occupancies for the tested property and comparable properties, estimated operating and capital expenditures and recent sales data for comparable properties. Determining the appropriate capitalization rate also requires significant judgment and is typically based on many factors, including the prevailing rate for the market or submarket, as well as the quality and location of the properties. Changes in the estimated future cash flows due to changes in our plans or views of market and economic conditions could result in recognition of impairment losses which, under the applicable accounting guidance, could be substantial.

Properties held for sale are carried at the lower of their carrying values (i.e., cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment loss recognized, where applicable) or estimated fair values less costs to sell. Accordingly, decisions to sell certain operating properties, properties in development or land held for development will result in impairment losses if carrying values of the specific properties exceed their estimated fair values less costs to sell. The estimates of fair value consider matters such as recent sales data for comparable properties and, where applicable, contracts or the results of negotiations with prospective purchasers. These estimates are subject to revision as market conditions, and our assessment of such conditions, change.

Assessment of Lease Term

As discussed above, a significant portion of our portfolio is leased to the United States Government, and the majority of those leases consist of a series of one-year renewal options. Applicable accounting guidance requires us to recognize minimum rental payments on a straight-line basis over the terms of each lease and to assess the lease terms as including all periods for which failure to renew the lease imposes a penalty on the lessee in such amounts that a renewal appears, at the inception of the lease, to be reasonably assured. Factors to consider when determining whether a penalty is significant include the uniqueness of the purpose or location of the property, the availability of a comparable replacement property, the relative importance or significance of the property to the continuation of the lessee's line of business and the existence of leasehold improvements or other assets whose value would be impaired by the lessee vacating or discontinuing use of the leased property. We have concluded, based on the factors above, that the United States Government's exercise of all of those renewal options is reasonably assured. Changes in these assessments could result in the write-off of any recorded assets associated with straight-line rental revenue and acceleration of depreciation and amortization expense associated with costs we have incurred related to these leases.

Revenue Recognition on Tenant Improvements

Most of our leases involve some form of improvements to leased space. When we are required to provide improvements under the terms of a lease, we need to determine whether the improvements constitute landlord assets or tenant assets. If the improvements are landlord assets, we capitalize the cost of the improvements and recognize depreciation expense associated with such improvements over the shorter of the useful life of the assets or the term of the lease and recognize any payments from the tenant as rental revenue over the term of the lease. If the improvements are tenant assets, we defer the cost of improvements funded by us as a lease incentive asset and amortize as a reduction of rental revenue over the term of the lease. Our determination of whether improvements are landlord assets or tenant assets also may affect when we commence revenue recognition in connection with a lease.

In determining whether improvements constitute landlord or tenant assets, we consider numerous factors, including: whether the improvements are unique to the tenant or reusable by other tenants; whether the tenant is permitted to alter or remove the improvements without our consent or without compensating us for any lost fair value; and whether the ownership of the improvements remains with us or remains with the tenant at the end of the lease term.

Collectibility of Accounts and Deferred Rent Receivable

Allowances for doubtful accounts and deferred rent receivable are established based on quarterly analyses of the risk of loss on specific accounts. The analyses place particular emphasis on past-due accounts and consider information such as the nature and age of the receivables, the payment history of the tenants, the financial condition of the tenants and our assessment of their ability to meet their lease obligations, the basis for any disputes and the status of related negotiations, among other things. Our estimate of the required allowance is subject to revision as these factors change and is sensitive to the effects of economic and market conditions on tenants.

Accounting Method for Investments

We use three different accounting methods to report our investments in entities: the consolidation method; the equity method; and the cost method (see Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial

Statements). We use the consolidation method when we own most of the outstanding voting interests in an entity and can control its operations. We also consolidate certain entities when control of such entities can be achieved through means other than voting rights ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs") if we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. Generally, this applies when either (1) the equity investors (if any) lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest; (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support; or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and the activities of the entity involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest. We use the equity method of accounting when we own an interest in an entity and can exert significant influence over, but cannot control, the entity's operations.

In making these determinations, we need to make subjective estimates and judgments regarding the entity's future operating performance, financial condition, future valuation and other variables that may affect the cash flows of the entity. We must consider both our and our partner's ability to participate in the management of the entity's operations and make decisions that allow the parties to manage their economic risks. We may also need to estimate the probability of different scenarios taking place over time and project the effect that each of those scenarios would have on variables affecting the partners' cash flows. The conclusion reached as a result of this process affects whether or not we use the consolidation method in accounting for our investment or the equity method. Whether or not we consolidate an investment can materially affect our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Concentration of Operations

We refer to the measure "annualized rental revenue" in various sections of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this Annual Report. Annualized rental revenue is a measure that we use to evaluate the source of our rental revenue as of a point in time. It is computed by multiplying by 12 the sum of monthly contractual base rents and estimated monthly expense reimbursements under active leases as of a point in time. We consider annualized rental revenue to be a useful measure for analyzing revenue sources because, since it is point-in-time based, it does not contain increases and decreases in revenue associated with periods in which lease terms were not in effect; historical revenue under GAAP does contain such fluctuations. We find the measure particularly useful for leasing, tenant, segment and industry analysis.

Customer Concentration of Property Operations

Our customer strategy focuses on establishing and nurturing long-term relationships with quality tenants and accommodating their multi-locational needs. A result of this strategy is that the source of

Table of Contents

our revenue is highly concentrated with certain tenants. The following schedule lists our 20 largest tenants in our portfolio of wholly owned properties based on percentage of annualized rental revenue:

	Percentage of Annualized Rental Revenue of Wholly Owned Properties for 20 Largest Tenants as of December 31,		
Tenant	**2009**	**2008**	**2007**
United States Government	18.6%	17.3%	16.3%
Northrop Grumman Corporation(1)	7.9%	7.4%	7.4%
Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc.	5.0%	5.2%	5.6%
Computer Sciences Corporation(1)	2.9%	3.1%	3.2%
General Dynamics Corporation(1)	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%
L-3 Communications Holdings, Inc.(1)	1.8%	2.5%	2.5%
Wells Fargo & Company(1)	1.8%	1.7%	1.9%
The Aerospace Corporation(1)	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%
ITT Corporation(1)	1.7%	1.8%	1.1%
CareFirst, Inc.	1.6%	N/A	N/A
Comcast Corporation(1)	1.4%	1.7%	1.7%
Integral Systems, Inc.(1)	1.4%	N/A	N/A
AT&T Corporation(1)	1.4%	1.4%	1.7%
The Boeing Company(1)	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%
Unisys Corporation	1.1%	2.3%	2.5%
Ciena Corporation	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%
The Johns Hopkins Institutions(1)	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
BAE Systems PLC(1)	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Merck & Co., Inc.(1)	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%
Lockheed Martin Corporation	0.6%	N/A	N/A
Science Applications International Corporation	N/A	0.8%	0.9%
Magellan Health Services, Inc.	N/A	0.7%	0.7%
AARP	N/A	0.7%	N/A
Wyle Laboratories, Inc.	N/A	N/A	0.7%
Subtotal of 20 largest tenants	55.4%	55.0%	54.8%
All remaining tenants	44.6%	45.0%	45.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Includes affiliated organizations and agencies and predecessor companies.

We had no significant changes in these concentrations from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2009. The United States Government increased in large part due to it taking occupancy of most of our newly-constructed square feet placed in service, and Northrop Grumman Corporation increased slightly despite our growth in large part due to its occupancy of properties that we acquired.

Our customer strategy focuses in particular on tenants in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. As of December 31, 2009, 54.9% of our annualized rental revenue was from properties that were leased primarily to tenants in these sectors. We believe that we are well positioned for future growth from these sectors for reasons that include the following:

- our strong relationships and reputation for high service levels that we have forged over the years and continue to emphasize;

Table of Contents

the proximity of our properties to government demand drivers (such as military installations) in various regions of the country and our willingness to expand to other regions where demand exists; and

the depth of our collective team knowledge, experience and capabilities in developing and operating data centers and secure properties that meet the United States Government's Force Protection requirements.

We classify the revenue from our leases into sector groupings based solely on our knowledge of the tenants' operations in leased space. We do not use independent sources such as Standard Industrial Classification codes for classifying our revenue into industry groupings and if we did, the resulting groupings would be materially different.

There is a certain level of risk inherent in concentrating such a large portion of our operations with any one tenant. For example, our cash flow from operations and financial condition would be adversely affected if our larger tenants fail to make rental payments to us or experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn of business, or if the United States Government elects to terminate several of its leases and the affected space cannot be re-leased on satisfactory terms. There is also a certain level of risk that is inherent in concentrating such a large portion of our operations with tenants whose businesses are in the same economic sector. For example, a reduction in government spending for defense information technology activities could affect the ability of a large number of our tenants to fulfill lease obligations or decrease the likelihood that these tenants would renew their leases, and, in the case of the United States Government, a reduction in government spending could result in the early termination of leases.

As discussed above, most of our leases with the United States Government provide for a series of one-year terms or provide for early termination rights. The government may terminate its leases if, among other reasons, the United States Congress fails to provide funding.

Geographic Concentration of Property Operations

Our market strategy is to concentrate our operations in select markets and submarkets where we believe we already possess or can achieve the critical mass necessary to maximize management efficiencies, operating synergies and competitive advantages through our acquisition, property management, leasing and development programs. A result of this strategy is that our properties are concentrated in a small number of geographic regions. The table below sets forth the regional allocation of our annualized rental revenue as of the end of the last three calendar years:

	Percentage of Annualized Rental Revenue of Wholly Owned Properties as of December 31,			Number of Wholly Owned Properties as of December 31,		
Region	**2009**	**2008**	**2007**	**2009**	**2008**	**2007**
Baltimore/Washington Corridor	46.1%	46.7%	46.2%	109	104	101
Northern Virginia	17.7%	18.8%	19.4%	15	15	14
Greater Baltimore	15.7%	13.1%	14.1%	64	63	64
Colorado Springs	6.0%	5.7%	4.0%	21	17	13
Suburban Maryland	3.4%	4.0%	4.3%	5	5	5
St. Mary's and King George Counties	3.3%	3.4%	3.5%	18	18	18
Greater Philadelphia	1.6%	2.9%	3.1%	3	4	4
San Antonio	3.6%	2.6%	2.1%	6	5	2
Central New Jersey	0.5%	0.6%	1.0%	2	2	4
Other	2.1%	2.2%	2.3%	6	5	3
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	249	238	228

Table of Contents

The most significant changes in our regional allocations set forth above was due to newly-constructed properties placed into service and acquisitions of operating properties in 2008 and 2009.

There is a certain level of risk that is inherent in concentrating such large portions of our operations in any one geographic region. For example, a decline in the real estate market or general economic conditions in the Mid-Atlantic region, the Greater Washington, D.C. region or the office parks in which our properties are located could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Occupancy and Leasing

The table below sets forth leasing information pertaining to our portfolio of wholly owned operating properties:

	December 31,		
	2009	**2008**	**2007**
Occupancy rates at year end			
Total	90.7%	93.2%	92.6%
Baltimore/Washington Corridor	91.6%	93.4%	92.6%
Northern Virginia	96.6%	97.4%	98.6%
Greater Baltimore	80.3%	83.1%	84.8%
Colorado Springs	85.8%	94.3%	96.7%
Suburban Maryland	91.9%	97.7%	97.8%
St. Mary's and King George Counties	97.8%	95.2%	91.6%
Greater Philadelphia	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
San Antonio	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Central New Jersey	100.0%	100.0%	70.8%
Other	99.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Renewal rate of square footage for scheduled lease expirations during year(1)	73.3%	78.1%	69.1%