

GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark
One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-9753

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
115 Perimeter Center Place, Suite 460,
Atlanta, Georgia

(Address of principal executive offices)

(770) 395-4500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code:)

58-1563799
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

30346
(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding as of August 6, 2008 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | 34,475,867 |

Table of Contents

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED June 30, 2008

INDEX

| | Page Number |
|--|------------------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 34 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 45 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 45 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 46 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 47 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 47 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 48 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 49 |
| <u>CERTIFICATIONS</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.**

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

| (In thousands, except share data) | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 12,584 | \$ 9,227 |
| Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,778 in 2008 and \$12,815 in 2007 | 284,587 | 211,613 |
| Inventories | 342,379 | 366,545 |
| Prepaid expenses | 21,095 | 19,999 |
| Income tax receivables | 14,811 | 15,837 |
| Deferred income taxes | 26,430 | 25,049 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 701,886 | 648,270 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 899,103 | 967,188 |
| Goodwill | 261,512 | 282,282 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,553 in 2008 and \$6,147 in 2007 | 72,302 | 75,789 |
| Other assets, net | 184,581 | 196,262 |
| Non-current assets held for sale | 1,088 | 31,873 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 2,120,472 | \$ 2,201,664 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 154,859 | \$ 24,209 |
| Accounts payable | 220,511 | 232,477 |
| Interest payable | 17,572 | 17,752 |
| Income taxes payable | 2,590 | 1,094 |
| Accrued compensation | 19,100 | 32,882 |
| Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits and other tax reserves | 33,820 | 79,431 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 60,308 | 59,680 |
| | | |
| Total current liabilities | 508,760 | 447,525 |
| Long-term debt | 1,266,528 | 1,357,799 |
| Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits | 39,205 | 37,874 |
| Deferred income taxes | 129,769 | 134,464 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 35,680 | 27,201 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,979,942 | 2,004,863 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 11) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued | | |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding: 34,475,867 in 2008 and 34,392,370 in 2007 | 345 | 344 |

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 103,969 | 103,238 |
| Retained (deficit) earnings | (2,413) | 44,730 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax | 38,629 | 48,489 |
| | | |
| Total stockholders' equity | 140,530 | 196,801 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$2,120,472 | \$ 2,201,664 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net sales | \$849,843 | \$851,865 | \$1,562,304 | \$1,565,561 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 777,767 | 760,463 | 1,461,153 | 1,424,020 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 38,606 | 56,498 | 86,363 | 113,989 |
| Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net | (29,632) | 2,514 | (3,547) | 3,140 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 786,741 | 819,475 | 1,543,969 | 1,541,149 |
| Operating income | 63,102 | 32,390 | 18,335 | 24,412 |
| Interest expense, net | (33,237) | (33,382) | (65,876) | (65,456) |
| Foreign exchange gain | 1,447 | 2,679 | 1,279 | 5,510 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 31,312 | 1,687 | (46,262) | (35,534) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 3,371 | 3,561 | (4,711) | (7,150) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 27,941 | (1,874) | (41,551) | (28,384) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (2,346) | | (10,407) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 27,941 | \$ (4,220) | \$ (41,551) | \$ (38,791) |
| Income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.81 | \$ (0.05) | \$ (1.21) | \$ (0.83) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (0.07) | | (0.30) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.81 | \$ (0.12) | \$ (1.21) | \$ (1.13) |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.80 | \$ (0.05) | \$ (1.21) | \$ (0.83) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (0.07) | | (0.30) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.80 | \$ (0.12) | \$ (1.21) | \$ (1.13) |
| Weighted average common shares: | | | | |
| Basic | 34,476 | 34,359 | 34,439 | 34,332 |
| Diluted | 34,713 | 34,359 | 34,439 | 34,332 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| (In thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (41,551) | \$ (38,791) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 76,024 | 73,541 |
| Foreign exchange gain | | (5,170) |
| Deferred income taxes | 247 | (11,383) |
| Tax deficiency related to stock plans | (846) | (661) |
| Stock based compensation | 1,688 | 7,654 |
| Long-lived asset impairment charges | 19,323 | |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of property, plant and equipment, and assets held for sale | (26,246) | 2,008 |
| Other non-cash items | (2,155) | 6,685 |
| Change in operating assets, liabilities and other | (86,329) | (61,159) |
| Payment of Quebec trust tax settlement | (20,073) | |
| Net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations | (79,918) | (27,276) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations | | 398 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (79,918) | (26,878) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (31,678) | (53,867) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment, and assets held-for sale | 77,794 | 74,472 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 46,116 | 20,605 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net change in revolving line of credit | 115,366 | 63,505 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (72,078) | (151,426) |
| Proceeds from lease financing | | 95,865 |
| Purchases and retirement of common stock | (110) | (684) |
| Dividends paid | (5,588) | (5,555) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 37,590 | 1,705 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (431) | (203) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 3,357 | (4,771) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 9,227 | 9,641 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 12,584 | \$ 4,870 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements do reflect all the adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods reported. Such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. Our operating results for the three and six month period ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. There have been no material changes in the significant accounting policies followed by us during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008.

Reclassification. Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2007 included approximately \$8.7 million of other non-cash items that were previously included in change in operating assets, liabilities and other. Additionally, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, there were costs of \$2.5 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to asset gain, impairment, exit costs and other, net to conform with current period presentation.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement also affects other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. Recently, the FASB Staff Position ("FSP") FAS 157-1 was issued removing leasing transactions accounted for under SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, and related guidance from the scope of SFAS No. 157. Also, FSP FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, was issued, deferring the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The effective date for all other fair value measurements is for fiscal years beginning January 1, 2008. Our adoption of SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On September 7, 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") of the FASB reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-4, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*, which requires the application of the provisions of SFAS No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*, to endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements. SFAS No. 106 would require us to recognize a liability for the discounted future benefit obligation that we will have to pay upon the death of the underlying insured

Table of Contents

employee. An endorsement-type arrangement generally exists when we own and control all incidents of ownership of the underlying policies. The conclusion reached is consistent with that of EITF 06-10 *Accounting for Collateral Assignment Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*. EITF Issue No. 06-4 and No. 06-10 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-4 and No. 06-10 on January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On June 14, 2007, the EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards*, which states that an entity should recognize a realized tax benefit associated with dividends on affected securities charged to retained earnings as an increase in additional paid-in capital ("APIC"). The amount recognized in APIC should be included in the APIC pool. When an entity's estimate of forfeitures increases or actual forfeitures exceed its income, the amount reclassified is limited to the APIC pool balance on the reclassification date. EITF Issue No. 06-11 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 with early adoption permitted. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-11 on January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. This statement permits all entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

To address questions received by the FASB staff regarding FASB Interpretation 39 (the "Interpretation"), *Offsetting Amounts Related to Certain Contracts*, the FASB issued FSP FIN 39-1 ("FSP 39-1"). The Interpretation specifies what conditions must be met for an entity to have the right to offset assets and liabilities in the balance sheet and clarifies when it is appropriate to offset amounts recognized for forward, interest rate swap, currency swap, option, and other conditional or exchange contracts. The Interpretation also permits offsetting of fair value amounts recognized for derivative contracts executed with the same counter-party under the master netting arrangement. FSP 39-1 amends certain portions of the Interpretation by replacing the terms "conditional contracts" and "exchange contracts" with the term "derivative instruments" as defined in SFAS No. 133. FSP 39-1 also amends the Interpretation by allowing the offsetting of fair value amounts for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable), or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable), against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counter party under the same master netting arrangement. FSP 39-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 with early adoption permitted. The adoption of FSP 39-1 on January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB recently completed the second phase of the multiphase project to reconsider the accounting for business combinations. The first phase resulted in the issuing of SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles*. In connection with the second phase the FASB has issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements An Amendment of ARB No. 51*. These statements will require more assets and liabilities assumed to be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date; liabilities related to contingent consideration to be remeasured at fair value in each subsequent period; an acquirer in preacquisition periods to expense all acquisition-related costs; and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries initially to be measured at fair value and classified as a separate component of equity. Additionally, SFAS No. 141(R) will require, subsequent to the acquisition period, changes in the valuation allowances for deferred taxes, and liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits related to an acquisition to be recognized as a part of income tax expense. Both statements are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after

Table of Contents

December 15, 2008. The FASB does not permit early adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of both statements on our financial position and results of operations.

On March 19, 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an Amendment of FASB Statement 133*. SFAS No. 161 enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including enhanced disclosures regarding how: a) an entity uses derivative instruments; b) derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under the FASB Statement No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*; and c) derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 with early application encouraged. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

On April 25, 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The intent of this FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162 *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, ("SFAS No. 162"). SFAS No. 162 reorganizes the generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") hierarchy in order to improve financial reporting by providing a consistent framework for determining what accounting principles should be used when preparing U.S. GAAP financial statements. SFAS No. 162 will be effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC's") approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 162 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On June 16, 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF No. 03-6-1, which addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment awards are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. This FSP affects entities that accrue cash dividends on share-based payment awards during the awards' service period when the dividends do not need to be returned if the employees forfeit the awards. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

In June 2008, the EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 08-3 *Accounting by Lessees for Maintenance Deposits Under Lease Arrangements*. EITF Issue No. 08-3 resolves that all nonrefundable maintenance deposits that are contractually and substantively related to maintenance of the leased asset are accounted for as deposit assets. The lessee's deposit asset is expensed or capitalized as part of a fixed asset (depending on the lessee's maintenance accounting policy) when the underlying maintenance is performed. When the lessee determines that it is less than probable that an amount on deposit will be returned to the lessee (and thus no longer meets the definition of an asset), the lessee must recognize an additional expense for that amount. EITF Issue No. 08-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and must be applied by recognizing the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle in the opening balance of retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which this

Table of Contents

EITF issue is initially applied. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, ASSETS HELD-FOR-SALE AND DIVESTITURES

Discontinued Operations Outdoor Building Products Segment. As part of our strategic plan for the acquired Royal Group businesses, we exited certain non-core businesses included in our outdoor building products segment. Discontinued operations had no activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008. The results of all discontinued operations in our outdoor building products segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were as follows:

| In thousands | Three months ended June 30, 2007 | Six months ended June 30, 2007 |
|---|--|---|
| Net sales | \$ 3,618 | \$ 16,758 |
| Operating loss from discontinued operations | \$ (2,894) | \$ (13,183) |
| Benefit from income taxes | 548 | 2,776 |
| Total loss from discontinued operations | \$ (2,346) | \$ (10,407) |

There were no assets of discontinued operations in our outdoor building products segment as of June 30, 2008. The assets of the discontinued operations in our outdoor building products segment as of December 31, 2007, consisted of \$2.9 million of property, plant and equipment and are included in non-current assets held for sale on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Assets Held-For-Sale. As part of our strategic plan, we also continue to sell certain non-core assets and businesses. Assets held for sale include U.S. real estate totaling \$1.1 million at June 30, 2008 and Canadian and U.S. real estate totaling \$29.0 million at December 31, 2007. In June 2008, we sold property for \$3.2 million and received \$1.2 million in cash and a short-term note for \$2.0 million. In March 2008, we executed a contingent sale agreement and received net proceeds of \$12.6 million for certain Canadian real estate. The contingency was based on the buyer satisfying certain property zoning conditions. The contingency was resolved in June 2008. This transaction resulted in a \$3.3 million loss recorded in March 2008 and is included in asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. See Note 10.

Divestitures. In June 2008, we sold land for net proceeds of \$36.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$28.8 million. Additionally, in June 2008, we sold and leased back equipment for \$10.6 million resulting in a \$2.2 million currently recognized gain, a short-term deferred gain of \$0.8 million and a non-current deferred gain of \$7.2 million. In March 2008, we sold the assets and operations of our outdoor storage buildings business that were previously a part of our outdoor building products segment. The outdoor storage buildings business was sold for \$13.0 million and resulted in a loss of approximately \$4.6 million. In addition, we sold the land and building from our Winnipeg, Manitoba Window and Door Profiles business for \$4.5 million in March 2008. See Note 10.

4. RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we initiated plans to restructure the operations of Royal Group to eliminate certain duplicative activities, focus our resources on operations with future growth opportunities and reduce our cost structure. In connection with the restructuring plan, we incurred costs related to termination benefits for employee positions that were eliminated. Pursuant to EITF Issue No. 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*, involuntary termination costs related to the Royal Group acquisition have been recognized as a liability assumed as of the consummation date of the acquisition and included in the purchase price allocation. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had a remaining liability of approximately \$0.1 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. This liability

Table of Contents

is included in other accrued liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, cash payments and adjustments to the accrual of \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million were made under this plan, respectively. A summary of our restructuring activities by reportable segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 follows:

| In thousands | Balance at March 31, 2007 | Cash Payments | Foreign Exchange and Other Adjustments | Balance at June 30, 2007 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Chlorovinyls</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | \$ 1,159 | \$ (710) | \$ 384 | \$ 833 |
| <i>Window and door profiles and mouldings products</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | 2,695 | (1,361) | 2,616 | 3,950 |
| <i>Outdoor building products</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | 6,221 | (1,619) | (2,427) | 2,175 |
| <i>Unallocated and other</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | 3,975 | (2,385) | 1,795 | 3,385 |
| Total | \$ 14,050 | \$ (6,075) | \$ 2,368 | \$ 10,343 |

| In thousands | Balance at December 31, 2006 | Cash Payments | Foreign Exchange and Other Adjustments | Balance at June 30, 2007 |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Chlorovinyls</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | \$ 1,468 | \$ (1,038) | \$ 403 | \$ 833 |
| <i>Window and door profiles and mouldings products</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | 3,293 | (2,005) | 2,662 | 3,950 |
| <i>Outdoor building products</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | 10,729 | (6,250) | (2,304) | 2,175 |
| <i>Unallocated and other</i> | | | | |
| Involuntary termination benefits | 5,897 | (4,358) | 1,846 | 3,385 |
| Total | \$ 21,387 | \$ (13,651) | \$ 2,607 | \$ 10,343 |

In March 2008, we initiated plans to permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma polyvinyl chloride ("PVC" or "vinyl resin") plant. The plant ceased operations in March 2008. We wrote down the plant's property, plant and equipment, resulting in a \$15.6 million impairment charge in the three months ended March 31, 2008. Additionally, we incurred \$1.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008 in connection with plant closing costs consisting primarily of shut-down costs and severance costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*. All of the above mentioned charges are included in asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008. See Note 10.

5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SECURITIZATION

We have an agreement pursuant to which we sell an undivided percentage ownership interest in a defined pool of our trade receivables on a revolving basis through a wholly owned subsidiary to third parties (the "Securitization"). As collections reduce accounts receivable included in the pool, we sell ownership interests in new receivables to bring the ownership interests sold up to a maximum of \$165.0 million, as permitted by the Securitization. The Securitization agreement expires on September 18, 2009. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the unpaid balance of accounts receivable in the defined pool was approximately \$282.8 million and \$244.2 million, respectively. The balances of receivables sold were \$154.0 million and \$147.0 million as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents**6. INVENTORIES**

The major classes of inventories were as follows:

| In thousands | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Work-in-progress and raw materials | \$ 155,870 | \$ 153,256 |
| Finished goods | 186,509 | 213,289 |
| Total inventories | \$ 342,379 | \$ 366,545 |

7. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

| In thousands | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Machinery and equipment | \$ 1,380,832 | \$ 1,437,902 |
| Land and land improvements | 97,629 | 99,364 |
| Buildings | 219,539 | 231,290 |
| Construction-in-progress | 47,582 | 27,875 |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost | 1,745,582 | 1,796,431 |
| Accumulated depreciation | 846,479 | 829,243 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 899,103 | \$ 967,188 |

8. OTHER ASSETS, NET AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Other assets, net of accumulated amortization, consisted of the following:

| In thousands | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Advances for long-term purchase contracts | \$ 94,400 | \$ 99,789 |
| Investment in joint ventures | 17,751 | 20,308 |
| Debt issuance costs | 33,314 | 36,316 |
| Prepaid pension costs | 28,507 | 28,867 |
| Long-term receivables | 6,758 | 6,263 |
| Other | 3,851 | 4,719 |
| Total other assets, net | \$ 184,581 | \$ 196,262 |

Indefinite-lived intangible assets-trade names. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we have trade name assets related to the acquisition of Royal Group of \$11.0 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, with the change resulting from foreign currency translation adjustments.

Goodwill. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we have goodwill primarily related to the acquisition of Royal Group of \$261.5 million and \$282.3 million, respectively, with the change resulting from a decrease of \$16.5 million as a result of the settlement of the pre-acquisition Quebec Tax Trust contingency and foreign currency translation adjustments. In the three months ended June 30, 2008, we reassessed our goodwill for potential impairment as a result of the continued decline in the U.S. housing market and there were no indications of impairment. However if our projected operational performance proves significantly lower, including continued decline in the housing market greater than our expectations our goodwill may become impaired in the future.

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Finite-lived intangible assets. The following represents the summary of finite-lived intangible assets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Total estimated amortization expense for the next five fiscal years is approximately \$4.8 million per year.

| In thousands | Chlorovinyls | Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings | Total |
|--|---------------------|---|------------------|
| Gross carrying amounts at June 30, 2008: | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 1,000 | \$ 34,523 | \$ 35,523 |
| Technology | | 31,000 | 31,000 |
| Total | 1,000 | 65,523 | 66,523 |
| Accumulated amortization at June 30, 2008: | | | |
| Customer relationships | (109) | (3,923) | (4,032) |
| Technology | | (4,521) | (4,521) |
| Total | (109) | (8,444) | (8,553) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment at June 30, 2008: | | | |
| Customer relationships | 96 | 3,205 | 3,301 |
| Technology | | | |
| Total | 96 | 3,205 | 3,301 |
| Net carrying amounts at June 30, 2008: | | | |
| Customer relationships | 987 | 33,805 | 34,792 |
| Technology | | 26,479 | 26,479 |
| Total | \$ 987 | \$ 60,284 | \$ 61,271 |

| In thousands | Chlorovinyls | Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings | Total |
|--|---------------------|---|----------------|
| Gross carrying amounts at December 31, 2007: | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 1,000 | \$ 34,523 | \$ 35,523 |
| Technology | | 31,000 | 31,000 |
| Total | 1,000 | 65,523 | 66,523 |
| Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2007: | | | |
| Customer relationships | (74) | (2,844) | (2,918) |
| Technology | | (3,229) | (3,229) |
| Total | (74) | (6,073) | (6,147) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment at December 31, 2007: | | | |
| Customer relationships | 125 | 4,048 | 4,173 |
| Technology | | | |
| Total | 125 | 4,048 | 4,173 |
| Net carrying amounts at December 31, 2007: | | | |
| Customer relationships | 1,051 | 35,727 | 36,778 |
| Technology | | 27,771 | 27,771 |

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|----|--------|----------|
| Total | \$ | 1,051 | \$ | 63,498 | \$64,549 |
|-------|----|-------|----|--------|----------|

12

Table of Contents

Finite-lived intangible assets amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$1.2 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$1.9 million and \$2.8 million, respectively.

9. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

| In thousands | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Senior Secured Credit Facility: | | |
| Revolving credit facility expires 2011 | \$ 134,304 | \$ 19,950 |
| Term loan B due 2013 | 352,250 | 424,300 |
| 7.125% notes due 2013 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| 9.5% senior notes due 2014 | 497,065 | 496,900 |
| 10.75% senior subordinated notes due 2016 | 197,303 | 197,207 |
| Lease financing obligation | 109,463 | 112,649 |
| Other | 31,002 | 31,002 |
| Total debt | \$ 1,421,387 | \$ 1,382,008 |
| Less current portion | (154,859) | (24,209) |
| Long-term debt | \$ 1,266,528 | \$ 1,357,799 |

The current portion of long-term debt includes \$134.3 million on our revolving credit facility, and \$17.0 million of other debt maturing in May 2009, and \$3.6 million of principal on our term loan B, which we are contractually obligated to pay. Therefore, we have classified this debt as current in our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008. Debt under the senior secured credit facility is secured by a majority of our assets, including real and personal property, inventory, accounts receivable and other intangibles.

At June 30, 2008 under our revolving credit facility, we had a maximum borrowing capacity of \$375.0 million, and net of outstanding letters of credit of \$80.0 million and current borrowings of \$134.3 million, we had remaining availability of \$160.7 million.

Under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent, and 10.75 percent notes, we are subject to certain restrictive covenants, the most significant of which require us to maintain certain financial ratios and limit our ability to pay dividends, make investments, incur debt, grant liens, sell our assets and engage in certain other activities. Our ability to meet these covenants, satisfy our debt obligations and pay principal and interest on our debt, fund working capital, and make anticipated capital expenditures will depend on our future performance, which is subject to general macroeconomic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. On March 14, 2007, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured credit facility, which temporarily waived our interest coverage ratio for the year ended December 31, 2006, and through May 31, 2007. On May 10, 2007, we executed another amendment to our senior secured credit facility to increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio each quarter generally through December 31, 2009. In addition, this amendment reduced our capital expenditures limitation to \$100 million in 2007, \$90 million in 2008 and \$135 million in 2009. As of June 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent and 10.75 percent notes. Management believes that based on current and projected levels of operations and conditions in our markets, planned sales of assets, tax refunds, other non-operating transactions, the effect of the previous amendments, cash flow from operations, together with our cash and cash equivalents of \$12.6 million and the availability to borrow an additional \$160.7 million under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2008, we will have adequate funds to make required payments of principal and interest on our debt and fund our working capital and capital expenditure requirements. However, based on recent trends

Table of Contents

and our current assumptions regarding our operations, future level of debt repayment, and non-core asset sales and other non-operating transactions, we may not be able to meet the restrictive covenants and may not be able to maintain compliance with certain financial ratios in the future particularly with the tightening of the covenants through the first quarter of 2010 within our senior secured credit facility. As a result, we are continuing to evaluate our capital structure including options regarding seeking an amendment or refinancing of our senior secured credit facility to obtain a structure with greater flexibility. Although we have successfully negotiated covenant relief and refinanced our debt in the past, there can be no assurance we can do so in the future.

Lease Financing Transaction. The future minimum lease payments under the terms of the related lease agreements at June 30, 2008 are \$3.4 million in 2008, \$7.0 million in 2009, \$7.1 million in 2010, \$7.3 million in 2011, \$7.4 million in 2012, and \$33.1 million thereafter. The change in the future minimum lease payments from the December 31, 2007 balance is due to the change in the Canadian dollar exchange rate during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

10. ASSET GAINS, IMPAIRMENT, EXIT COSTS AND OTHER, NET

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net consisted of the following:

| In thousands | Assets Held for Sale | Divestiture of Outdoor Storage Buildings Business | Oklahoma City Plant Shut-down | Other | Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|---|
| Severance, restructuring and other exit costs | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,182 | \$ 254 | \$ 1,436 |
| Gain on the sale and leaseback of equipment | | | | (2,238) | (2,238) |
| Gain on sale of land | (28,830) | | | | (28,830) |
| Total | \$ (28,830) | \$ | \$ 1,182 | \$ (1,984) | \$ (29,632) |

| In thousands | Assets Held for Sale | Divestiture of Outdoor Storage Buildings Business | Oklahoma City Plant Shut-down | Other | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---------------|---|
| Severance, restructuring and other exit costs | \$ | \$ 3,937 | \$ 1,182 | \$ 2,458 | \$ 7,577 |
| Charges for assets writedowns, net | 3,300 | 621 | 15,596 | 427 | 19,944 |
| Gain on the sale and leaseback of equipment | | | | (2,238) | (2,238) |
| Gain on sale of land | (28,830) | | | | (28,830) |
| Total | \$ (25,530) | \$ 4,558 | \$ 16,778 | \$ 647 | \$ (3,547) |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, there were costs of \$2.5 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Proceedings. In October 2004, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") notified us that we have been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") for a Superfund site in Galveston, Texas. The site is a former industrial waste recycling, treatment and disposal facility. Over one thousand PRPs, have been identified by the USEPA. We contributed a relatively small proportion of the

Table of Contents

total amount of waste shipped to the site. In the notice, the USEPA informed us of the agency's willingness to settle with us and other PRPs that contributed relatively small proportions of the total quantity of waste shipped to the Superfund site. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we accepted a settlement offer from USEPA. Under the terms of this settlement, we would be required to pay approximately \$64,000 for cleanup costs incurred, or to be incurred, by USEPA, in exchange for a covenant not to sue and protection from contribution actions brought by other parties. The settlement agreement must still be signed by USEPA officials, and then filed with, and approved by, a federal district court.

In August 2004 and January and February 2005, the USEPA conducted environmental investigations of our manufacturing facilities in Aberdeen, Mississippi and Plaquemine, Louisiana, respectively. The USEPA informed us that it has identified several "areas of concern," and indicated that such areas of concern may, in its view, constitute violations of applicable requirements, thus warranting monetary penalties and possible injunctive relief. In lieu of pursuing such relief through its traditional enforcement process, the USEPA proposed that the parties enter into negotiations in an effort to reach a global settlement of the areas of concern and that such a global settlement cover our manufacturing facilities at Lake Charles, Louisiana and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, as well. During the second quarter of 2006, we were informed by the USEPA that its regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana desired to pursue resolution of these matters on a separate track from the regional office responsible for Mississippi. During the second quarter of 2007, we reached agreement with the USEPA responsible for Mississippi on the terms and conditions of a consent decree that settled USEPA's enforcement action against our Aberdeen, Mississippi facility. All parties have executed a consent decree setting forth the terms and conditions of the settlement. The consent decree has been approved by a federal district court in Atlanta, Georgia. Under the consent decree, we are required to, among other things, pay a \$610,000 fine, which was paid in March 2008, and undertake certain other environmental improvement projects. While the cost of such additional projects will likely exceed \$1 million, we do not believe that these projects will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We have not yet achieved a settlement with the USEPA regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana. It is likely that any settlement, if achieved, will result in the imposition of monetary penalties, capital expenditures for installation of environmental controls, and/or other relief. We do not know the total cost of monetary penalties, environmental projects, or other relief that would be imposed in any settlement or order. While we expect that such costs will exceed \$100,000, we do not expect that such costs will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

During the first quarter of 2007, we voluntarily disclosed possible noncompliance with environmental requirements, including hazardous waste management and disposal requirements, at our Pasadena facility to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"). We are currently working with the TCEQ to resolve any such possible noncompliance issues. Penalties, if any, for such possible noncompliance may exceed \$100,000. However, we do not expect the cost of any penalties, injunctive relief, or other ordered actions to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Royal Group was under investigation by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police ("RCMP") regarding its prior public disclosures, including financial and accounting matters. In October 2005, Royal Group advised the Ontario Securities Commission, the RCMP and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") of emails and documents authored by a former finance employee of Royal Group that relate to certain financial accounting and disclosure matters. Royal Group understands that the SEC made a referral to the U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, in connection with those documents. In May 2008, Royal Group was advised that it is no longer a target of the RCMP's investigation.

On June 6, 2008, we received notice and a letter of transmittal (collectively, the "Notice") from persons ("Claimants") claiming to own at least 25% of our 7^{1/8}% notes due 2013 (the "Notes"), which were issued under an indenture dated December 3, 2003 (the "Indenture") between us and U.S. Bank National Association, the trustee, under the indenture. The Notice asserted that borrowings under our senior credit

Table of Contents

facility resulted in the incurrence of debt obligations in excess of the amount permitted under Section 3.3 of the Indenture. Believing that all existing indebtedness was incurred in compliance with the provisions of the Indenture, we disputed the Notice. We filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware on June 8, 2008 seeking to enjoin the Claimants and seeking a declaratory judgment to the effect that we were not in default under Section 3.3 of the Indenture (the "Complaint").

On July 15, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Claimants. In connection with the settlement, the Claimants withdrew their notice of default, and the parties dismissed the litigation. The terms of the settlement include mutual releases of the parties, certain restrictions and obligations upon the Claimants with regard to their holdings of our securities, and the payment by us of \$1.4 million of legal fees of the Claimants. See further discussion regarding this matter in Note 20.

In addition, we are subject to other claims and legal actions that may arise in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

Environmental Regulation. Our operations are subject to increasingly stringent federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental quality. These regulations, which are enforced principally by the USEPA and comparable state agencies and Canadian federal and provincial agencies, govern the management of solid hazardous waste, emissions into the air and discharges into surface and underground waters, and the manufacture of chemical substances. In addition to the matters involving environmental regulation above, we have the following potential environmental issues.

In the first quarter of 2007, the USEPA informed us of possible noncompliance at our Aberdeen, Mississippi facility with certain provisions of the Toxic Substances Control Act. Subsequently, we discovered possible non-compliance involving our Plaquemine, Louisiana and Pasadena, Texas facilities, which were then disclosed. We expect that all of these disclosures will be resolved in one settlement agreement with USEPA. While the penalties, if any, for such noncompliance may exceed \$100,000, we do not expect that any penalties will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

There are several serious environmental issues concerning the vinyl chloride monomer ("VCM") facility at Lake Charles, Louisiana we acquired from CONDEA Vista Company ("CONDEA Vista" is now Sasol North America, Inc.) on November 12, 1999. Substantial investigation of the groundwater at the site has been conducted, and groundwater contamination was first identified in 1981. Groundwater remediation through the installation of groundwater recovery wells began in 1984. The site currently contains about 90 monitoring wells and 18 recovery wells. Investigation to determine the full extent of the contamination is ongoing. It is possible that offsite groundwater recovery will be required, in addition to groundwater monitoring. Soil remediation could also be required.

Investigations are currently underway by federal environmental authorities concerning contamination of an estuary near the Lake Charles VCM facility we acquired known as the Calcasieu Estuary. It is likely that this estuary will be listed as a Superfund site and will be the subject of a natural resource damage recovery claim. It is estimated that there are about 200 PRPs associated with the estuary contamination. CONDEA Vista is included among these parties with respect to its Lake Charles facilities, including the VCM facility we acquired. The estimated cost for investigation and remediation of the estuary is unknown and could be quite costly. Also, Superfund statutes may impose joint and several liability for the cost of investigations and remedial actions on any company that generated the waste, arranged for disposal of the waste, transported the waste to the disposal site, selected the disposal site, or presently or formerly owned, leased or operated the disposal site or a site otherwise contaminated by hazardous substances. Any or all of the responsible parties may be required to bear all of the costs of cleanup regardless of fault, legality of the original disposal or ownership of the disposal site. Currently, we discharge our wastewater to CONDEA Vista, which has a permit to discharge treated wastewater into the estuary.

Table of Contents

CONDEA Vista has agreed to retain responsibility for substantially all environmental liabilities and remediation activity relating to the vinyls business we acquired from it, including the Lake Charles, Louisiana VCM facility. For all matters of environmental contamination that were currently known at the time of acquisition (November 1999), we may make a claim for indemnification at any time. For environmental matters that were then unknown, we must generally make claims for indemnification before November 12, 2009. Further, our agreement with CONDEA Vista provides that CONDEA Vista will be subject to the presumption that all later discovered on-site environmental contamination arose before closing, and is therefore CONDEA Vista's responsibility. This presumption may only be rebutted if CONDEA Vista can show that we caused the environmental contamination by a major, unaddressed release.

At our Lake Charles VCM facility, CONDEA Vista will continue to conduct the ongoing remediation at its expense until November 12, 2009. After November 12, 2009, we will be responsible for remediation costs up to about \$150,000 of expense per year, as well as costs in any year in excess of this annual amount up to an aggregate one-time amount of about \$2.3 million. As part of our ongoing assessment of our environmental contingencies, we determined these remediation costs to be probable and estimable and therefore have recorded a \$2.2 million accrual in non-current liabilities at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

As for employee and independent contractor exposure claims, CONDEA Vista is responsible for exposures before November 12, 2009, and we are responsible for exposures after November 12, 2009, on a pro rata basis determined by years of employment or service before and after November 12, 1999, by any claimant.

In May 2008, our corporate management was informed that further efforts to remediate a spill of styrene reducer at our Royal Mouldings facility in Atkins, VA would be necessary. The spill was the result of a supply line rupture from an external holding tank. As a result of this spill, the facility entered into a voluntary remediation agreement with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality ("VDEQ") in August 2003 and began implementing the terms of the voluntary agreement shortly thereafter. In August 2007, the facility submitted a report on the progress of the remediation to VDEQ. Subsequently, VDEQ responded by indicating that continued remediation of the area impacted by the spill is required. While the additional remediation costs may exceed \$100,000, we do not expect such costs will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We believe that we are in material compliance with all current environmental laws and regulations. We estimate that any expenses incurred in maintaining compliance with these requirements will not materially affect earnings or cause us to exceed our level of anticipated capital expenditures. However, there can be no assurance that regulatory requirements will not change, and it is not possible to accurately predict the aggregate cost of compliance resulting from any such changes.

Although we are not aware of any significant environmental liabilities associated with Royal Group, should any arise, we would have no third party indemnities for environmental liabilities, including liabilities resulting from Royal Group's operations prior to our acquisition of the company.

12. HEDGING TRANSACTIONS AND DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Raw Materials and Natural Gas Price Risk Management. The availability and price of our raw materials and natural gas are subject to fluctuations due to unpredictable factors in global supply and demand. To reduce price risk caused by market fluctuations, we may enter into derivative contracts, such as swaps, futures and option contracts with financial counter-parties, which are generally less than one year in duration. We designate any natural gas or raw material derivatives as cash flow hedges. Any outstanding contracts are valued at fair value with the offset recorded in other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes and any hedge ineffectiveness. Any gain or loss is recognized in cost of goods sold in the same

Table of Contents

period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had no raw material or natural gas forward swap contracts outstanding.

Interest Rate Risk Management. We maintain floating rate debt, which exposes us to changes in interest rates. Our policy is to manage our interest rate risk through the use of a combination of fixed and floating rate instruments and interest rate swap agreements. We designate interest rate derivatives as cash flow hedges. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of underlying floating rate debt obligations, with liabilities of \$4.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. At June 30, 2008, \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million are current and non-current liabilities, respectively. At December 31, 2007, \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million are current and non-current liabilities, respectively. These hedges have various expiration dates in 2008 and 2009. The effective portion of the mark-to-market effects of our cash flow hedge instruments is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") until the underlying interest payment affects income. The unrealized amounts in AOCI will fluctuate based on changes in the fair value of open contracts at the end of each reporting period. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the impact on the consolidated financial statements due to interest rate hedge ineffectiveness was immaterial.

13. EARNINGS PER SHARE

There are no adjustments to "Net income (loss)" or "Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes" for the diluted earnings per share computations.

The following table reconciles the denominator for the basic and diluted earnings per share computations shown on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

| In thousands | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Weighted average common shares basic | 34,476 | 34,359 | 34,439 | 34,332 |
| Plus incremental shares from assumed conversions: | | | | |
| Options and awards | 237 | | | |
| Weighted average common shares diluted | 34,713 | 34,359 | 34,439 | 34,332 |

In computing diluted loss per share for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, all common stock equivalents were excluded as a result of their anti-dilutive effect. Options to purchase common stock and restricted stock awards totaling 3.4 million were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as the exercise prices of these options were greater than the average market price of the common stock during these periods.

14. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Under the 2002 Equity and Performance Incentive Plans, we are authorized by our stockholders to grant awards for up to 5,000,000 shares of our common stock to employees and non-employee directors. As of June 30, 2008, we had various types of share-based payment arrangements with our employees and non-employee directors including restricted and deferred stock units, and employee stock options.

Stock Options. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we granted options to purchase 778,125 and 567,663 shares, respectively, to employees and non-employee directors. Option prices are equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Options vest over a one or three-year period from the date of grant and expire no more than ten years after the date of grant.

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Compensation related to Stock Options. The fair value of stock options granted has been estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The use of a valuation model requires us to make certain assumptions with respect to selected model inputs. We use the historical volatility for our stock, as we believe that historical volatility is more representative than implied volatility. The expected life of the awards is based on historical and other economic data trended into the future. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on observed interest rates appropriate for the terms of our awards. The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expectation of dividend payouts. The weighted average fair value derived from the Black-Scholes model and the related weighted-average assumptions used in the model are as follows:

| | Stock Option Grants Six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------|--|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Grant date fair value | \$ 2.31 | \$ 7.01 |
| Assumptions | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.86% | 4.66% |
| Expected life | 6.00 years | 5.77 years |
| Expected volatility | 53% | 40% |
| Expected dividend yield | 4.79% | 1.67% |

A summary of stock option activity under all plans for the six months ended June 30, 2008, is as follows:

| | Six months ended June 30, 2008 | | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms | Weighted Average Exercise Price | |
| Outstanding on January 1, 2008 | 2,464,027 | | \$ 27.86 | |
| Granted | 778,125 | | \$ 6.77 | |
| Exercised | | | | |
| Forfeited | (16,802) | | \$ 10.90 | |
| Expired | (171,286) | | \$ 35.25 | |
| Outstanding on June 30, 2008 | 3,054,064 | 6.67 years | \$ 22.16 | \$ |
| Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2008 | 3,021,621 | 6.64 years | \$ 22.30 | \$ |
| Exercisable on June 30, 2008 | 1,820,110 | 4.95 years | \$ 28.64 | \$ |
| Shares available on June 30, 2008 for options that may be granted | 1,593,676 | | | |

Compensation expense, net of tax, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 from stock options was approximately \$0.7 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

Restricted and Deferred Stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we granted 269,786 and 198,567 shares of restricted stock units, restricted stock and deferred stock units, respectively, to our key employees and non-employee directors. The restricted stock units and restricted stock vest over a three-year period and the deferred stock units vest over a one-year period. The weighted average grant date fair value per share of restricted and deferred stock units and restricted stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$6.77 and \$19.19, respectively, which is based on the stock price as of the date of grant. Compensation expense, net of tax, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 from restricted stock units, restricted stock and deferred stock units was \$0.8 million and \$2.5 million,

Table of Contents

respectively. A summary of restricted stock and deferred stock units and related changes therein is as follows:

| | Shares | Six months ended June 30, 2008 | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
|---|-----------|--|---|--|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | |
| Outstanding on January 1, 2008 | 324,222 | | | \$ 25.95 |
| Granted | 269,786 | | | \$ 6.77 |
| Vested | (133,168) | | | \$ 30.46 |
| Forfeited | (5,743) | | | \$ 9.58 |
| Outstanding on June 30, 2008 | 455,097 | 1.42 years | | \$ 1,320 |
| Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2008 | 416,542 | 1.41 years | | \$ 1,208 |

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, we had approximately \$5.0 million and \$6.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation which we will record in our statements of operations over a weighted average recognition period of approximately two years. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$6.6 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. For additional information about our share-based payment awards, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

15. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS

The following table provides the components for the net periodic benefit costs for all pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans:

| In thousands | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Components of periodic benefit cost: | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 1,379 | \$ 1,019 | \$ 2,757 | \$ 2,111 |
| Interest cost | 1,868 | 1,743 | 3,735 | 3,514 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,760) | (2,565) | (5,519) | (5,123) |
| Amortization of: | | | | |
| Transition obligation | | 20 | | 40 |
| Prior service cost | (132) | 91 | (264) | 192 |
| Actuarial gain | (3) | | (6) | (5) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 352 | \$ 308 | \$ 703 | \$ 729 |

Our major assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for pension plans are presented as weighted-averages:

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Discount rate | 6.25% | 6.00% |
| Expected return on assets | 8.00% | 8.00% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.26% | 4.27% |

Table of Contents

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we made no contributions to the plan trust. We made contributions in the form of direct benefit payments for both the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

In September 2007, upon approval by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, we announced to our employees that we were amending the Salaried Employees Retirement Plan ("SERP") to freeze benefit accruals through December 31, 2007 and effective January 1, 2008, the SERP was converted to a "Cash Balance" plan with future benefit accruals to be determined under a cash balance formula. Royal Mouldings Retirement Plan participants entered the SERP on December 31, 2007 (the "Plan Merger Date") and the SERP was renamed the Georgia Gulf Retirement Plan (the "Plan"). Each Plan vested participant was allocated their total pension benefit accrued through the Plan Merger Date. Benefits for the Royal Mouldings Retirement Plan were frozen at December 31, 2004, thus participants were allocated their total pension benefit through that date.

16. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) INFORMATION

Our comprehensive income (loss) includes foreign currency translation of assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term nature, unrealized gains and losses on derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges, and adjustments to pension liabilities as required by SFAS No. 158. The components of accumulated other comprehensive income and total comprehensive loss are shown as follows:

Accumulated other comprehensive income net of tax

| In thousands | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Unrealized losses on derivative contracts | \$ (2,759) | \$ (2,670) |
| Pension liability adjustment including affect of SFAS No. 158 | 4,104 | 4,205 |
| Cumulative currency translation adjustment | 37,284 | 46,954 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$38,629 | \$ 48,489 |

The components of total comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

Total comprehensive income (loss)

| In thousands | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net income (loss) | \$27,941 | \$ (4,220) | \$ (41,551) | \$ (38,791) |
| Unrealized income (losses) on derivative contracts | 1,937 | 1,077 | (89) | 727 |
| Pension liability adjustment including affect of SFAS No. 158 | (80) | 217 | (101) | 63 |
| Cumulative currency translation adjustment | 2,349 | 35,596 | (9,670) | 39,059 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$32,147 | \$32,670 | \$ (51,411) | \$ 1,058 |

17. INCOME TAXES

In March 2008, we reached a settlement with the provinces of Quebec and Ontario and the Canada Customs and Revenue Agency with respect to their assessments resulting from the retroactive application of tax law changes promulgated by Bill 15, which amended the Quebec Taxation Act and other legislative provisions. Over the last several years, Royal Group, in connection with its tax advisors, established tax structures that used a Quebec Trust to minimize its overall tax liabilities in Canada. Bill 15 eliminated the ability to use the Quebec Trust structure on a retroactive basis. As of December 31, 2007, we had recorded

Table of Contents

a liability for the unrecognized tax benefit of \$46.1 million related to the Quebec Trust matter. We settled this matter with all relevant jurisdictions by making cash payments totaling \$20.1 million. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$9.2 million related to the reversal of \$5.8 million in interest accrued on this liability and the reversal of \$3.4 million in a previously established valuation allowance for net operating loss carryforwards, the value of which was realized via this settlement. In addition, we reduced goodwill by \$16.5 million as a result of the settlement of the preacquisition tax contingency. Finally, we were able to release a letter of credit in favor of the trustee for the Quebec Trust of C\$44.0 million.

Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was 10.8 percent and 211.0 percent, respectively. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was 10.2 percent and 20.1 percent, respectively. The difference in the rates as compared to the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate was primarily due to the routine accrual of interest on FIN 48 liabilities, the reversal of interest accrued on the Quebec Trust matter, and the valuation allowance in Canada discussed above. As previously disclosed in Note 16 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, we are not recognizing a tax benefit for the losses in Canada.

Subsequent to the issuance of our interim financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2008, we identified a computational error in the calculation of the estimated 2008 effective income tax rate for our U.S. operations. This error resulted in an understatement of the previously reported income tax benefit for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 of \$4.4 million (\$0.13 per share) and an equal offsetting understatement of income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2008. We have evaluated this error in accordance with the pertinent U.S. GAAP guidance and determined that the impact of the \$4.4 million adjustment, which was corrected during the quarter ended June 30, 2008, was not material to our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2008 or our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008. There was no impact on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008 or our condensed consolidated statement of operations or cash flows for the six months then ended.

18. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for financial assets and liabilities. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. The statement indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes a transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. In accordance with FSP SFAS 157-2, we will defer adoption of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We are currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. These levels, in order of highest to lowest priority are described below:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3 Prices that are unobservable for the asset or liability and are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Company's own data.

Table of Contents

Our financial instruments consist primarily of interest rate swap contracts. For further details concerning our derivative instruments, including gains and losses recognized in the current period, refer to Note 12 "Hedging Transactions and Derivative Financial Instruments." Our interest rate swap contracts fall within "Level 2" of the fair value hierarchy noted above.

19. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have identified four reportable segments through which we conduct our operating activities: (i) chlorovinyls; (ii) window and door profiles and mouldings products; (iii) outdoor building products; and (iv) aromatics. These four segments reflect the organization used by our management for purposes of allocating resources, and assessing performance. The chlorovinyls segment is a highly integrated chain of products, which includes chlorine, caustic soda, VCM and vinyl resins and compounds. Our vinyl-based building and home improvement products are marketed under the Royal Group brand names, and are managed within two reportable segments: window and door profiles and mouldings products and outdoor building products. Outdoor building products include siding, pipe and pipe fittings, deck, fence and rail products, and until March 2008, outdoor storage buildings. The aromatics segment is also integrated and includes cumene and the co-products phenol and acetone.

Earnings of our segments exclude interest income and expense, unallocated corporate expenses and general plant services, provision for income taxes, costs of our receivables securitization program and income and expense items reflected as "other income (expense)" on our consolidated statements of operations. Transactions between operating segments are valued at market-based prices. The revenues generated by these transfers are provided in the following table.

| In thousands | Chlorovinyls | Aromatics | Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings Products | Outdoor Building Products | Eliminations, Unallocated and Other | Total |
|---|--------------|------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2008: | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 401,793 | \$ 162,652 | \$ 118,308 | \$ 167,090 | \$ | \$ 849,843 |
| Intersegment revenues | 92,073 | | 397 | | (92,470) | |
| Asset gain, impairment, exit costs and other, net | (1,031) | | 164 | 65 | (28,830) | (29,632) |
| Operating income (loss) | 38,793 | (3,053) | (1,559) | 5,165 | 23,756 | 63,102 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18,401 | 1,569 | 11,722 | 3,797 | 1,732 | 37,221 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2007: | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 366,314 | \$ 163,967 | \$ 137,274 | \$ 184,310 | \$ | \$ 851,865 |
| Intersegment revenues | 75,353 | | 69 | 1,993 | (77,415) | |
| Asset gain, impairment, exit costs and other, net | 311 | | 1,522 | 681 | | 2,514 |
| Operating income (loss) | 25,851 | 4,711 | 3,274 | 7,252 | (8,698) | 32,390 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,287 | 1,752 | 12,894 | 4,793 | 1,533 | 38,259 |

Table of Contents

| In thousands | Chlorovinyls | Aromatics | Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings Products | Outdoor Building Products | Eliminations, Unallocated and Other | Total |
|--|--------------|------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Six months ended June 30, 2008: | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 742,970 | \$ 350,661 | \$ 204,077 | \$ 264,596 | \$ | \$ 1,562,304 |
| Intersegment revenues | 135,770 | | 1,890 | 1,731 | (139,391) | |
| Asset gain, impairment, exit costs and other, net | 15,987 | | 2,554 | 6,742 | (28,830) | (3,547) |
| Operating income (loss) | 36,691 | (2,826) | (15,382) | (14,810) | 14,662 | 18,335 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 37,955 | 3,292 | 23,428 | 7,900 | 3,449 | 76,024 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2007: | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 695,910 | \$ 342,891 | \$ 234,824 | \$ 291,936 | \$ | \$ 1,565,561 |
| Intersegment revenues | 127,801 | | 1,472 | 7,889 | (137,162) | |
| Asset gain, impairment, exit costs and other, net | 368 | | 1,717 | 1,055 | | 3,140 |
| Operating income (loss) | 40,440 | 10,059 | (2,827) | (1,059) | (22,201) | 24,412 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,303 | 3,292 | 23,493 | 9,018 | 3,435 | 73,541 |

20. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 15, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with certain holders (the "Signing Holders") of our 7.125 percent senior notes due 2013 who submitted a notice of default on June 6, 2008, as described in Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies.

Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the Signing Holders delivered to the trustee for the 7.125 percent notes a notice of withdrawal of the notice of default dated June 6, 2008, and we and those holders have caused the dismissal of the related litigation. The terms of the settlement include mutual releases of the parties, certain restrictions and obligations upon the Signing Holders with regard to their holdings of our securities and our payment of \$1.4 million of the legal fees of the Signing Holders.

We intend to solicit the consent of all holders of the 7.125 percent notes to an amendment to the related indenture and have agreed to pay a consent fee of \$1.5 million to all consenting note holders pro rata to their respective holdings. The Signing Holders and an additional holder of such notes, who collectively hold a majority in principal amount of the 7.125 percent notes, have delivered to us their consents to the amendment. The amendment, once effective, will amend certain covenants in the Indenture, and provide a waiver of defaults, if any. Approval of the lenders under our bank credit agreement is required for the consent fee payment and the Indenture amendment.

21. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION

Our payment obligations under the indentures for our unsecured 7.125 percent senior notes, our unsecured 9.5 percent senior notes, and our unsecured 10.75 percent senior subordinated notes are guaranteed by Great River Oil & Gas Corporation, Georgia Gulf Lake Charles, LLC, Georgia Gulf Chemicals & Vinyls, LLC, and Royal Plastics Group (USA) Limited, Rome Delaware Corporation, Plastic Trends, Inc., Royal Outdoor Products, Inc., Royal Window and Door Profiles Plant 12 Inc., Royal Window and Door Profiles Plant 13, Royal Window and Door Profiles Plant 14 Inc., and Royal Window Coverings (USA) LP, all of which are wholly owned subsidiaries (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries") of Georgia Gulf

Table of Contents

Corporation. The guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several. Georgia Gulf is in essence a holding company for all of its wholly and majority owned subsidiaries. The following condensed consolidating balance sheets, statements of operations and statements of cash flows present the combined financial statements of the parent company, and the combined financial statements of our Guarantor Subsidiaries and our remaining subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). Separate financial statements of the Guarantor Subsidiaries are not presented because we have determined that they would not be material to investors.

Provisions in our senior secured credit facility limit payment of dividends, distributions, loans and advances to us by our subsidiaries.

The intercompany receivable and payable balances between the Parent Company, Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries are reflected in accounts receivable and accounts payable line items and eliminated in the Eliminations column. Historically, we have reflected the aggregation of all the intercompany balances in both the Parent Company and the respective Guarantor Subsidiaries or Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries and then eliminated these gross amounts in the elimination column of the respective supplemental consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, to reflect the intercompany receivable and payable balances between separate legal entities within the Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries we have historically reflected such balances on a gross basis within such individual Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries columns. While the legal right of offset between individual legal entities has always existed, effective January 1, 2008 we have changed our policy for presenting the intercompany receivable and payable balances to reflect our intent to offset such intercompany accounts between legal entities to better reflect the Parent Company, Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries on a stand alone basis and on a basis more consistent with our overall consolidation policy. This change in policy included presenting intercompany receivable and payable balances within the individual Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Subsidiary columns on a consolidated net basis. These changes in policy were not made retroactively but would have impacted the prior year December 31, 2007 supplemental Guarantor balance sheet as follows: (i) approximately \$190.0 million of intercompany accounts receivable and accounts payable balances in the Parent Company column would be eliminated to reduce both the receivables and payables line items by the same amount; (ii) approximately \$136.0 million of intercompany accounts receivable and accounts payable balances in the Guarantor Subsidiaries column for intercompany balances due primarily between separate legal entities within that column would be eliminated to reduce both the receivables and payables line items by the same amount; (iii) approximately \$326.0 million, representing the sum of these adjustments, would have reduced the elimination amounts for receivables and payables within the eliminations column. Giving effect to this policy change, effective January 1, 2008, intercompany balances between entities within the Guarantor Subsidiaries are eliminated within the Guarantor Subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

June 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

| (In thousands) | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 10,370 | \$ 2,214 | \$ | \$ 12,584 |
| Receivables, net | 90 | 174,330 | 282,007 | (171,840) | 284,587 |
| Inventories | | 214,125 | 128,254 | | 342,379 |
| Prepaid expenses | 33 | 15,136 | 5,926 | | 21,095 |
| Income tax receivable | | 13,721 | 1,090 | | 14,811 |
| Deferred income taxes | 812 | 25,618 | | | 26,430 |
| Total current assets | 935 | 453,300 | 419,491 | (171,840) | 701,886 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 241 | 536,837 | 362,025 | | 899,103 |
| Long-term receivables affiliates | 447,529 | | | (447,529) | |
| Goodwill | | 183,777 | 77,735 | | 261,512 |
| Intangibles, net | | 36,269 | 36,033 | | 72,302 |
| Other assets, net | 33,023 | 137,154 | 14,404 | | 184,581 |
| Non-current assets held-for-sale | | 1,088 | | | 1,088 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,133,402 | 146,503 | | (1,279,905) | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,615,130 | \$ 1,494,928 | \$ 909,688 | \$ (1,899,274) | \$ 2,120,472 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 95,909 | \$ 46 | \$ 58,904 | \$ | \$ 154,859 |
| Accounts payable | 165,852 | 165,121 | 61,378 | (171,840) | 220,511 |
| Interest payable | 17,494 | | 78 | | 17,572 |
| Income tax payable | | | 2,590 | | 2,590 |
| Accrued compensation | 292 | 7,877 | 10,931 | | 19,100 |
| Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits and other tax reserves | | 7,872 | 25,948 | | 33,820 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 2,574 | 26,552 | 31,182 | | 60,308 |
| Total current liabilities | 282,121 | 207,468 | 191,011 | (171,840) | 508,760 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 1,157,111 | 73 | 109,344 | | 1,266,528 |
| Long-term payables affiliates | | | 447,529 | (447,529) | |
| Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits | | 6,775 | 32,430 | | 39,205 |
| Deferred income taxes | 24,688 | 103,306 | 1,775 | | 129,769 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 10,680 | 18,062 | 6,938 | | 35,680 |
| Total liabilities | 1,474,600 | 335,685 | 789,027 | (619,369) | 1,979,942 |
| Stockholders' equity | 140,530 | 1,159,244 | 120,661 | (1,279,905) | 140,530 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,615,130 | \$ 1,494,928 | \$ 909,688 | \$ (1,899,274) | \$ 2,120,472 |

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

| (In thousands) | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 8,315 | \$ 912 | \$ | \$ 9,227 |
| Receivables, net | 190,236 | 269,477 | 251,768 | (499,868) | 211,613 |
| Inventories | | 242,026 | 124,519 | | 366,545 |
| Prepaid expenses | 98 | 12,506 | 7,395 | | 19,999 |
| Income tax receivable | | 15,837 | | | 15,837 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 25,049 | | | 25,049 |
| Total current assets | 190,334 | 573,210 | 384,594 | (499,868) | 648,270 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 256 | 568,588 | 398,344 | | 967,188 |
| Long-term receivables affiliates | 485,140 | | | (485,140) | |
| Goodwill | | 185,115 | 97,167 | | 282,282 |
| Intangibles, net | | 37,731 | 38,058 | | 75,789 |
| Other assets, net | 35,872 | 146,394 | 13,996 | | 196,262 |
| Non-current assets held-for-sale | | 9,076 | 22,797 | | 31,873 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,127,655 | 147,350 | | (1,275,005) | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,839,257 | \$ 1,667,464 | \$ 954,956 | \$ (2,260,013) | \$ 2,201,664 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 24,190 | \$ 19 | \$ | \$ | \$ 24,209 |
| Accounts payable | 312,619 | 383,024 | 36,702 | (499,868) | 232,477 |
| Interest payable | 17,752 | | | | 17,752 |
| Income tax payable | | (106) | 1,200 | | 1,094 |
| Accrued compensation | 844 | 14,219 | 17,819 | | 32,882 |
| Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits and other tax reserves | | 7,558 | 71,873 | | 79,431 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 2,402 | 24,843 | 32,435 | | 59,680 |
| Total current liabilities | 357,807 | 429,557 | 160,029 | (499,868) | 447,525 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 1,245,169 | 128 | 112,502 | | 1,357,799 |
| Long-term payables affiliates | | | 485,140 | (485,140) | |
| Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits | | 6,315 | 31,559 | | 37,874 |
| Deferred income taxes | 28,243 | 104,391 | 1,830 | | 134,464 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 11,237 | 10,643 | 5,321 | | 27,201 |
| Total liabilities | 1,642,456 | 551,034 | 796,381 | (985,008) | 2,004,863 |
| Stockholders' equity | 196,801 | 1,116,430 | 158,575 | (1,275,005) | 196,801 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,839,257 | \$ 1,667,464 | \$ 954,956 | \$ (2,260,013) | \$ 2,201,664 |

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

| In thousands | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 3,149 | \$ 642,514 | \$ 223,208 | \$ (19,028) | \$ 849,843 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 594,062 | 196,991 | (13,286) | 777,767 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 4,062 | 19,928 | 20,358 | (5,742) | 38,606 |
| Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net | | (29,787) | 155 | | (29,632) |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 4,062 | 584,203 | 217,504 | (19,028) | 786,741 |
| Operating income (loss) | (913) | 58,311 | 5,704 | | 63,102 |
| Other (expense) income: | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (30,614) | 1,619 | (4,242) | | (33,237) |
| Foreign exchange gain (loss) | (53) | (2) | 1,502 | | 1,447 |
| Equity in income of subsidiaries | 51,805 | 191 | | (51,996) | |
| Intercompany interest income (expense) | 5,082 | | (5,082) | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 25,307 | 60,119 | (2,118) | (51,996) | 31,312 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (2,634) | 4,533 | 1,472 | | 3,371 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 27,941 | 55,586 | (3,590) | (51,996) | 27,941 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 27,941 | \$ 55,586 | \$ (3,590) | \$ (51,996) | \$ 27,941 |

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007

(Unaudited)

| (In thousands) | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 3,010 | \$ 609,250 | \$ 263,121 | \$ (23,516) | \$ 851,865 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 557,618 | 218,173 | (15,328) | 760,463 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 5,271 | 25,587 | 33,828 | (8,188) | 56,498 |
| Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net | | | 2,514 | | 2,514 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 5,271 | 583,205 | 254,515 | (23,516) | 819,475 |
| Operating income (loss) | (2,261) | 26,045 | 8,606 | | 32,390 |
| Other (expense) income: | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (30,506) | 407 | (3,283) | | (33,382) |
| Foreign exchange gains and losses | 2,679 | 21 | (21) | | 2,679 |
| Equity in income of subsidiaries | 17,182 | 2,124 | | (19,306) | |
| Intercompany interest income (expense) | 6,331 | | (6,331) | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes | (6,575) | 28,597 | (1,029) | (19,306) | 1,687 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (2,355) | 5,957 | (41) | | 3,561 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (4,220) | 22,640 | (988) | (19,306) | (1,874) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (297) | (2,049) | | (2,346) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (4,220) | \$ 22,343 | \$ (3,037) | \$ (19,306) | \$ (4,220) |

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

| In thousands | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 6,160 | \$ 1,228,934 | \$ 364,768 | \$ (37,558) | \$ 1,562,304 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 1,152,295 | 333,918 | (25,060) | 1,461,153 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 9,995 | 42,259 | 46,607 | (12,498) | 86,363 |
| Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net | | (13,840) | 10,293 | | (3,547) |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 9,995 | 1,180,714 | 390,818 | (37,558) | 1,543,969 |
| Operating income (loss) | (3,835) | 48,220 | (26,050) | | 18,335 |
| Other (expense) income: | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (61,412) | 3,020 | (7,484) | | (65,876) |
| Foreign exchange (loss) gain | (203) | (4) | 1,486 | | 1,279 |
| Equity in income of subsidiaries | 6,734 | (2,244) | | (4,490) | |
| Intercompany interest income (expense) | 11,690 | | (11,690) | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | (47,026) | 48,992 | (43,738) | (4,490) | (46,262) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (5,475) | 5,855 | (5,091) | | (4,711) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (41,551) | 43,137 | (38,647) | (4,490) | (41,551) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (41,551) | \$ 43,137 | \$ (38,647) | \$ (4,490) | \$ (41,551) |

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

| (In thousands) | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 6,020 | \$ 1,177,203 | \$ 426,516 | \$ (44,179) | \$ 1,565,561 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 1,084,722 | 367,481 | (28,183) | 1,424,020 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 16,179 | 51,062 | 62,743 | (15,996) | 113,989 |
| Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net | | | 3,140 | | 3,140 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 16,179 | 1,135,784 | 433,364 | (44,179) | 1,541,149 |
| Operating income (loss) | (10,159) | 41,419 | (6,848) | | 24,412 |
| Other (expense) income: | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (60,757) | (534) | (4,165) | | (65,456) |
| Foreign exchange gains and losses | 5,510 | 12 | (12) | | 5,510 |
| Equity in income of subsidiaries | 2,552 | 1,948 | | (4,500) | |
| Intercompany interest income (expense) | 13,650 | | (13,650) | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | (49,204) | 42,845 | (24,675) | (4,500) | (35,534) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (10,413) | 10,287 | (7,024) | | (7,150) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (38,791) | 32,558 | (17,651) | (4,500) | (28,384) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (3,269) | (7,138) | | (10,407) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (38,791) | \$ 29,289 | \$ (24,789) | \$ (4,500) | \$ (38,791) |

Table of Contents

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Information

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

| In thousands | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (115) | \$ (16,938) | \$ (62,865) | \$ | \$ (79,918) |
| Investing activities: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | (26,618) | (5,060) | | (31,678) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | | 47,138 | 30,656 | | 77,794 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | 20,520 | 25,596 | | 46,116 |
| Financing activities: | | | | | |
| Net change in revolving line of credit | 55,450 | | 59,916 | | 115,366 |
| Long-term debt payments | (72,050) | (28) | | | (72,078) |
| Intercompany financing | 23,099 | | (23,099) | | |
| Return of internal capital | | (1,499) | 1,499 | | |
| Purchases and retirement of common stock | (110) | | | | (110) |
| Dividends paid | (5,588) | | | | (5,588) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 801 | (1,527) | 38,316 | | 37,590 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (686) | | 255 | | (431) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | | 2,055 | 1,302 | | 3,357 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | | 8,315 | 912 | | 9,227 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | \$ 10,370 | \$ 2,214 | \$ | \$ 12,584 |

Table of Contents**Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries****Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Information****Six Months Ended June 30, 2007****(Unaudited)**

| (In thousands) | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (20,692) | \$ 31,394 | \$ (37,580) | \$ | \$ (26,878) |
| Cash from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | (41,890) | (11,977) | | (53,867) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment and assets held for sale | | 2,300 | 72,172 | | 74,472 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | | (39,590) | 60,195 | | 20,605 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Net change in revolving line of credit | 45,750 | | 17,755 | | 63,505 |
| Proceeds from notes payable to affiliates | 132,324 | | (132,324) | | |
| Long-term debt payments | (151,016) | (33) | (377) | | (151,426) |
| Proceeds from sales leaseback of property | | | 95,865 | | 95,865 |
| Purchases and retirement of common stock | (684) | | | | (684) |
| Dividends paid | (5,555) | | | | (5,555) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 20,819 | (33) | (19,081) | | 1,705 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (127) | | (76) | | (203) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | | (8,229) | 3,458 | | (4,771) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | | 11,398 | (1,757) | | 9,641 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | \$ 3,169 | \$ 1,701 | \$ | \$ 4,870 |

Table of Contents**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.****Overview**

We are a leading, integrated North American manufacturer of two chemical lines, chlorovinyls and aromatics, and manufacturer of vinyl-based building and home improvement products. Our primary chlorovinyls products are chlorine, caustic soda, vinyl chloride monomer ("VCM"), vinyl resins and vinyl compounds, and our aromatics products are cumene, phenol and acetone. Our vinyl-based building and home improvement products, marketed under Royal Group brands, include window and door profiles, mouldings, siding, pipe and pipe fittings, and deck, fence and rail.

We have identified four reportable segments through which we conduct our operating activities: (i) chlorovinyls products; (ii) window and door profiles and mouldings products; (iii) outdoor building products; and (iv) aromatics products.

Sale of Business and Assets

On March 31, 2008, we sold the assets and operations of our outdoor storage buildings business that was previously a part of our outdoor building products segment. The outdoor storage buildings business was sold for \$13.0 million and resulted in a loss of approximately \$4.6 million. In June 2008, we sold an excess tract of land along the Houston ship channel in Pasadena, Texas for net proceeds of \$36.5 million and a gain of \$28.8 million.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the percentage of net sales of each line item for the three months presented.

| Dollars in Millions | Three months ended | | | | Six months ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------|------------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | June 30, 2008 | | June 30, 2007 | | June 30, 2008 | | June 30, 2007 | |
| Net sales | \$849.8 | 100% | \$851.9 | 100% | \$1,562.3 | 100% | \$1,565.5 | 100% |
| Cost of sales | 777.7 | 91.5% | 760.5 | 89.3% | 1,461.1 | 93.5% | 1,424.0 | 91.0% |
| Gross margin | 72.1 | 8.5% | 91.4 | 10.7% | 101.2 | 6.5% | 141.5 | 9.0% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 38.6 | 4.6% | 56.5 | 6.6% | 86.4 | 5.6% | 114.0 | 7.3% |
| Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net | (29.6) | (3.5)% | 2.5 | 0.3% | (3.5) | (0.3)% | 3.1 | 0.2% |
| Operating income | 63.1 | 7.4% | 32.4 | 3.8% | 18.3 | 1.2% | 24.4 | 1.5% |
| Net interest expense | 33.2 | 3.9% | 33.4 | 3.9% | 65.9 | 4.2% | 65.4 | 4.2% |
| Foreign exchange gain | (1.4) | (0.2)% | (2.7) | (0.3)% | (1.3) | (0.1)% | (5.5) | (0.4)% |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 3.4 | 0.4% | 3.6 | 0.4% | (4.7) | (0.3)% | (7.1) | (0.5)% |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 27.9 | 3.3% | (1.9) | (0.2)% | (41.6) | (2.6)% | (28.4) | (1.8)% |
| (Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | | % | (2.3) | (0.3)% | | % | (10.4) | (0.7)% |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 27.9 | 3.3% | \$ (4.2) | (0.5)% | \$ (41.6) | (2.6)% | \$ (38.8) | (2.5)% |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain financial data by reportable segment for the periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the percentage of total net sales or gross margin by segment for each line item.

| Dollars in Millions | Three months ended | | | | Six months ended | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------|---------------|-------|------------------|--------|---------------|-------|
| | June 30, 2008 | | June 30, 2007 | | June 30, 2008 | | June 30, 2007 | |
| Net sales | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorovinyls products | \$ 401.8 | 47.3% | \$ 366.3 | 43.0% | \$ 743.0 | 47.6% | \$ 695.9 | 44.5% |
| Window and door profiles and mouldings products | 118.3 | 13.9% | 137.3 | 16.1% | 204.1 | 13.1% | 234.8 | 15.0% |
| Outdoor building products | 167.1 | 19.7% | 184.3 | 21.6% | 264.6 | 16.9% | 291.9 | 18.6% |
| Aromatics products | 162.6 | 19.1% | 164.0 | 19.3% | 350.6 | 22.4% | 342.9 | 21.9% |
| Total net sales | \$ 849.8 | 100% | \$ 851.9 | 100% | \$ 1,562.3 | 100% | \$ 1,565.5 | 100% |
| Gross margin | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorovinyls products | \$ 45.8 | 11.4% | \$ 36.0 | 9.8% | \$ 70.1 | 9.4% | \$ 60.5 | 8.7% |
| Window and door profiles and mouldings products | 10.0 | 8.5% | 19.3 | 14.1% | 10.5 | 5.1% | 27.0 | 11.5% |
| Outdoor building products | 18.5 | 11.1% | 30.3 | 16.4% | 21.7 | 8.2% | 41.8 | 14.3% |
| Aromatics products | (2.2) | (1.4)% | 5.8 | 3.5% | (1.1) | (0.3)% | 12.3 | 3.6% |
| Total gross margin | \$ 72.1 | 8.5% | \$ 91.4 | 10.7% | \$ 101.2 | 6.5% | \$ 141.5 | 9.0% |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008, Compared With Three Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net Sales. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, net sales totaled \$849.8 million, a slight decrease compared to \$851.9 million for the same quarter last year. This slight decrease was primarily a result of a decrease in our overall sales volume of 10 percent offset by an increase in our overall net sales prices of 10 percent. Our overall sales volume decrease is mainly attributable to a decrease in demand for vinyl resins and compounds as North American housing starts decreased 27 percent from second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of this year. Our overall average sales price increase is due to higher costs for our raw materials and natural gas and a favorable currency impact. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada of about 8 percent resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar.

Chlorovinyls segment net sales totaled \$401.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, an increase of 10 percent compared with net sales of \$366.3 million for the same period last year. Our overall average sales prices increased by 19 percent, primarily as a result of increases in the prices of vinyl resins of 25 percent and caustic soda of 63 percent. The vinyl resins sale price increase reflects higher prices for the feedstock ethylene and natural gas. The caustic soda price increase reflects the tightness of supply resulting from the weak demand for its co-product chlorine. Our overall chlorovinyls sales volumes were down 8 percent primarily as a result of the decrease in demand for vinyl resins of 23 percent and vinyl compounds of 16 percent. Our vinyl resins sales volume decrease reflects a reduction in domestic sales as a result of a decrease in demand and a rationalization of low margin customers, offset partially by an increase in exports. North American vinyl resin industry sales volume declined 1 percent as a result of the domestic sales volume decrease of 10 percent, reflecting the decline in U.S. housing, offset partially by an increase in exports of 88 percent.

Window and door profiles and mouldings products segment net sales totaled \$118.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of 14 percent (17 percent decrease on a constant currency basis) compared to \$137.3 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 16 percent. North American vinyl resin extruded window and doors industry sales volumes declined about 12 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the second quarter of 2008, our window and door profiles and mouldings segment generated about 53 percent of its revenue in the U. S. and the remainder in Canada.

Table of Contents

Outdoor building products segment net sales totaled \$167.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of 9 percent (14 percent decrease on a constant currency basis) compared to \$184.3 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 18 percent. North American vinyl resin pipe, siding, fence and decking industry sales volumes declined about 13 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction market. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the second quarter of 2008, our outdoor building products segment generated about 32 percent of its revenue in the U.S. and the remainder in Canada.

Aromatics segment net sales were \$162.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$164.0 million for the second quarter of 2007. Our overall average sales prices increased 11 percent as a result of increases in the prices of cumene of 14 percent, phenol of 2 percent and acetone of 18 percent. The sales price increases reflect higher costs for the feedstocks benzene and propylene. The North American phenol industry operating rate was approximately 80 percent for the second quarter of 2008, or about 12 percent lower than the same period last year. Our overall aromatics sales volumes decreased 11 percent primarily as a result of decline in phenol sales of 26 percent. The phenol sales volume decrease is due to weak demand in North America reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction market.

Gross Margin. Total gross margin decreased from 10.7 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2007, to 8.5 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This \$19.3 million decrease is due to lower overall sales volumes and higher feedstock costs and was partially offset by an increase in overall sales prices. Some of our primary raw materials and natural gas costs in our chemical segments normally track crude oil and natural gas industry prices. Crude oil and natural gas industry prices experienced increases of 91 percent and 45 percent, respectively, from the second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of 2008. As part of our cost savings programs, we have reduced our labor cost related to cost of sales by \$4.6 million compared to the same quarter last year.

Chlorovinyls segment gross margin increased from 9.8 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2007 to 11.4 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This \$9.8 million increase primarily reflects an increase in overall sales prices offset partially by decreases in sales volumes for most of our chlorovinyls products and increases in our raw materials and natural gas costs. Our overall raw materials and natural gas costs in the second quarter of 2008 increased 43 percent compared to same quarter of 2007. Our chlorovinyls operating rate decreased from about 90 percent for the second quarter of 2007 to about 71 percent for the second quarter of 2008. In March 2008, we permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant which had a 500 million pound annualized capacity and moved the production requirements of our customers to our other manufacturing locations.

Window and door profiles and mouldings segment gross margin decreased from 14.1 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2007 to 8.5 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This \$9.3 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 31 percent from the second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of 2008.

Outdoor building products segment gross margin decreased from 16.4 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2007, to 11.1 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This \$11.8 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 31 percent from the second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of 2008.

Aromatics segment gross margin decreased from 3.5 percent of sales for three months ended June 30, 2007, to a negative 1.4 percent of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This \$8.0 million decrease from the same quarter last year is due primarily to decreases in phenol sales volumes as well as higher propylene costs which more than offset increases in our acetone sales price. Overall raw material

Table of Contents

costs increased 9 percent primarily as a result of increases in propylene costs from the second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of 2008.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$38.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, a 32 percent decrease from the \$56.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. This \$17.9 million decrease reflects our cost saving initiatives in our building and home improvement products which declined \$12.6 million including a decrease in payroll related cost of \$3.3 million, legal and professional fees of \$3.5 million and capital tax expense of \$2.1 million. In addition, our chemical operations payroll related cost decreased by \$4.5 million including a decrease in our share-based compensation expense of \$1.2 million and lower cost of \$2.7 million relating to a change in our vacation policy. The decreases in selling, general and administrative expenses were offset by an increase in legal fees of \$1.9 million primarily related to the resolution of notice of default issue during the second quarter of 2008 and an unfavorable currency effect of about \$1.4 million as the U.S. dollar weakened against the Canadian dollar during the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period last year.

Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net. In June 2008, we sold land for net proceeds of \$36.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$28.8 million. Additionally, in June 2008, we sold and leased back equipment for \$10.6 million resulting in a \$2.2 million currently recognized gain, a short-term deferred gain of \$0.8 million and a non-current deferred gain of \$7.2 million. We also incurred severance, restructuring and other costs of \$1.4 million. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, there were costs of \$2.5 million related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation.

Interest Expense, net. Interest expense, net decreased to \$33.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, from \$33.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. This decrease of \$0.2 million was primarily attributable to lower overall debt balances and interest rates offset by lower capitalized interest on property, plant and equipment and construction in progress during the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same quarter last year.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes from continuing operations was \$3.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared with \$3.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. Pre-tax income increased \$29.6 million from the second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of 2008. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was 10.8 percent and 211.0 percent, respectively. The difference in the rates was due to the routine accrual of interest on Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 liabilities, the adjustment related to the effective tax rate calculation for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 and the valuation allowance resulting from not benefiting the losses in Canada (see Note 17 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Subsequent to the issuance of our interim financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2008, we identified a computational error in the calculation of the estimated 2008 effective income tax rate for our U.S. operations. This error resulted in an understatement of the previously reported income tax benefit for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 of \$4.4 million (\$0.13 per share) and an equal offsetting understatement of income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2008. We have evaluated this error in accordance with the pertinent U.S. GAAP guidance and determined that the impact of the \$4.4 million adjustment, which was corrected during the quarter ended June 30, 2008, was not material to our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2008 or our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008. There was no impact on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008 or our condensed consolidated statement of operations or cash flows for the six months then ended.

Table of Contents

Loss from Discontinued Operations. Subsequent to the Royal Group acquisition on October 3, 2006, we began to exit several non-core businesses. Certain businesses qualified as discontinued operations under generally accepted accounting principles. There was no activity in our discontinued operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared with a net loss of \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008, Compared With Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net Sales. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007, net sales were flat at \$1.6 billion. Our overall sales volume decrease of 12 percent was offset by an increase in our overall net sales prices of 13 percent. Our overall sales volume decrease is mainly attributable to a decrease in demand for vinyl resins and compounds as North American housing starts decreased 29 percent from the first six months of 2007 to the same period of this year. Our overall average sales price increase is due to higher costs for our raw materials and natural gas and a favorable currency impact. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada of about 10 percent resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar.

Chlorovinyls segment net sales totaled \$743.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, an increase of 7 percent compared with net sales of \$695.9 million for the same period last year. Our overall average sales prices increased by 21 percent, primarily as a result of increases in the prices of vinyl resins of 28 percent and caustic soda of 56 percent. The vinyl resins sale price increase reflects higher prices for the feedstock ethylene and natural gas. The caustic soda price increase reflects the tightness of supply resulting from the weak demand for its co-product chlorine. Our overall chlorovinyls sales volumes were down 12 percent primarily as a result of the decrease in demand for vinyl resins of 24 percent and vinyl compounds of 15 percent. Our vinyl resins sales volume decrease reflects a decrease in domestic sales as a result of a decrease in demand and a rationalization of low margin customers, offset partially by an increase in exports. North American vinyl resin industry sales volume declined 4 percent as a result of the domestic sales volume decrease of 11 percent, reflecting the decline in U.S. housing starts, offset partially by an increase in exports of 80 percent.

Window and door profiles and mouldings products segment net sales totaled \$204.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of 13 percent (17 percent decrease on a constant currency basis) compared to \$234.8 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 14 percent. North American vinyl resin extruded window and doors industry sales volumes declined about 8 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the first six months of 2008, our window and door profiles and mouldings segment generated about 57 percent of its revenue in the U. S. and the remainder in Canada.

Outdoor building products segment net sales totaled \$264.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of 9 percent (15 percent decrease on a constant currency basis) compared to \$291.9 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 21 percent. North American vinyl resin pipe, siding, fence and decking industry sales volumes declined about 15 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the first six months of 2008, our outdoor building products segment generated about 34 percent of its revenue in the U.S. and the remainder in Canada.

Aromatics segment net sales were \$350.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, an increase of 2 percent compared to \$342.9 million for the same period last year. Our overall average sales prices increased 12 percent as a result of increases in the prices of cumene of 13 percent, phenol of 3 percent and acetone of 16 percent. The sales price increases reflect higher costs for the feedstock propylene. The North American phenol industry operating rate was approximately 84 percent during the first six months of 2008

Table of Contents

and 2007. Our overall aromatics sales volumes decreased 9 percent as a result of decline in cumene and phenol sales of 15 percent and 7 percent, respectively. The cumene sales volume decrease reflects the loss of additional sales in the first half of 2007 resulting from several competitors' unscheduled plant outages along with a strong export market. The phenol sales volume decrease is due to weak demand in North America reflecting the decline in U.S. housing market.

Gross Margin. Total gross margin decreased from 9.0 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007, to 6.5 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This \$40.3 million decrease is due to lower overall sales volumes and higher feedstock costs and was partially offset by an increase in overall sales prices. Some of our primary raw materials and natural gas costs in our chemical segments normally track crude oil and natural gas industry prices. Crude oil and natural gas industry prices experienced increases of 80 percent and 33 percent, respectively, from the first six months of 2007 to the same period of 2008. As part of our cost savings programs, we have reduced our labor cost related to cost of sales by \$5.0 million compared to the first six months of 2007.

Chlorovinyls segment gross margin increased slightly from 8.7 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to 9.4 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This \$9.6 million increase primarily reflects an increase in overall sales prices offset partially by decreases in sales volumes for most of our chlorovinyls products and increases in our raw materials and natural gas costs. Our overall raw materials and natural gas costs during the first six months of 2008 increased 41 percent compared to same period last year. Our chlorovinyls operating rate decreased from about 85 percent for the first six months of 2007 to about 68 percent for the first six months of 2008. In March 2008, we permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant which had a 500 million pound annualized capacity and moved the production requirements of our customers to our other manufacturing locations.

Window and door profiles and mouldings segment gross margin decreased from 11.5 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to 5.1 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This \$16.5 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 34 percent from the first six months of 2007 to the first six months of 2008.

Outdoor building products segment gross margin decreased from 14.3 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007, to 8.2 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This \$20.1 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 34 percent from the first six months of 2007 to the first six months of 2008.

Aromatics segment gross margin decreased from 3.6 percent of sales for six months ended June 30, 2007, to a negative 0.3 percent of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This \$13.4 million decrease from the first six months of last year is due primarily to decreases in sales volumes as well as an increase in our propylene raw material cost which were not fully offset by increases in sales prices for all of our aromatics products. Overall raw material costs increased 11 percent primarily as a result of increases in propylene costs from the first six months of 2007 to the first six months of 2008.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$86.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, an 24 percent decrease from the \$114.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. This \$27.6 million decrease primarily reflects our cost saving initiatives in our building and home improvement products of \$17.5 million which include a decrease in payroll related cost of \$5.2 million, legal and professional fees of \$5.9 million and capital tax expense of \$2.1 million. We have also reduced our chemical operations payroll related cost by \$8.8 million including a decrease in our share-based compensation expense of \$5.6 million and lower cost of \$2.7 million relating to a change in our vacation policy. The decreases in selling, general and administrative expenses were offset by an increase in legal fees of \$2.4 million primarily related to the resolution of notice of default issue during the second quarter of 2008 and an unfavorable currency effect of about \$4.6 million as the U.S.

Table of Contents

dollar weakened against the Canadian dollar during the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year.

Asset gains, impairment, exit costs and other, net. In June 2008, we sold land for \$36.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$28.8 million. Additionally, in June 2008, we sold and leased back equipment for \$10.6 million resulting in a \$2.2 million currently recognized gain, a short-term deferred gain of \$0.8 million and a non-current deferred gain of \$7.2 million. In March 2008, we permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant and operations were ceased. In accordance with general accepted accounting principles, we wrote down the plant's property, plant and equipment, resulting in an additional \$15.6 million charge in the six months ended June 30, 2008. We also incurred a loss on disposition of outdoor storage buildings business of \$4.6 million, a loss on the sale of real estate of \$3.3 million and severance and other costs of \$4.0 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, there were costs of \$3.1 million related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation.

Interest Expense, net. Interest expense, net increased to \$65.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, from \$65.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. This minimal change was primarily attributable to lower capitalized interest on property, plant and equipment and construction in progress offset by lower overall debt balances and interest rates during the first six months of 2008 compared to the same period last year.

Benefit for Income Taxes. The benefit for income taxes from continuing operations was \$4.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared with \$7.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Pre-tax loss increased \$10.7 million from the first six months of 2007 to the first six months of 2008. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was 10.2 percent and 20.1 percent, respectively. The difference in the rates was due to the routine accrual of interest on Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 liabilities and the valuation allowance resulting from not benefiting the losses in Canada.

In March 2008, we reached a settlement with the provinces of Quebec and Ontario and the Canada Customs and Revenue Agency with respect to their assessments resulting from the retroactive application of tax law changes promulgated by Bill 15, which amended the Quebec Taxation Act and other legislative provisions. Over the last several years, Royal Group, in connection with its tax advisors, established tax structures that used a Quebec Trust to minimize its overall tax liabilities in Canada. Bill 15 eliminated the ability to use the Quebec Trust structure on a retroactive basis. As of December 31, 2007, we had recorded a liability for the unrecognized tax benefit of \$46.1 million related to the Quebec Trust matter. We settled this matter with all relevant jurisdictions by making cash payments totaling \$20.1 million. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$9.2 million related to the reversal of \$5.8 million in interest accrued on this liability and the reversal of \$3.4 million in a previously established valuation allowance for net operating loss carryforwards, the value of which was realized via this settlement. In addition, we reduced goodwill by \$16.5 million as a result of the settlement of the preacquisition tax contingency.

Loss from Discontinued Operations. Subsequent to the Royal Group acquisition on October 3, 2006, we began to exit several non-core businesses. Certain businesses qualified as discontinued operations under generally accepted accounting principles. There was no activity in our discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared with a net loss of \$10.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Operating Activities. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we used \$79.9 million of cash for operating activities as compared with \$26.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in cash used in operating activities of \$53.0 million for the six-months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six-months ended June 30, 2007 was primarily due to the payments made for the Quebec tax settlement, the net gain on asset dispositions, and lower accounts payable. The major uses of cash flow for the first six months of 2008 were a net loss of \$41.6 million, \$20.1 million for payments made related to the Quebec tax settlement and, a \$12.0 million decrease in accounts payable. In addition, included in cash from operating activities is the net gain on asset dispositions of \$26.2 million. The major sources of cash for the first six months of 2008 were a decrease in inventories of \$24.2 million and an increase in the interests sold in our trade receivables as a result of an increase in eligible receivables under our Securitization program (as described below) of \$7.0 million. The major uses of cash flow for the first six months of 2007 were a net loss of \$38.8 million, a \$13 million decrease in the interests sold in our trade receivables as a result of a decrease in eligible receivables under our Securitization program and a \$14.7 million increase in working capital. Net working capital at June 30, 2008 was a surplus of \$193.1 million versus a surplus of \$200.7 million at December 31, 2007. Significant changes in working capital for the first six months of 2008 included an increase in our trade receivables, inventories and our current portion of long-term debt. Our trade receivables increase was due to seasonal increases in sales volumes and prices. The inventory increase resulted from higher prices and production volumes in June 2008. Net working capital at June 30, 2007 was a surplus of \$217.6 million versus a surplus of \$203.0 million at December 31, 2006. Significant changes in working capital for the first six months of 2007 included an increase in our trade receivables, inventories, accounts payables, and current portion of long-term debt. Additionally, the adoption of FIN 48 in 2007 required the reclassification of a significant amount of the related liabilities to non-current liabilities. Our trade receivables increase was due to seasonal increases in sales volumes and prices. Inventory and accounts payable increases resulted from seasonally higher prices and production volumes in the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Investing Activities. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$46.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$20.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we received cash proceeds from non-core asset sales of \$77.8 million. These proceeds relate primarily to the sale of the outdoor storage business for \$13.0 million, a sale of real estate in Ontario, Canada for \$12.6 million, a sale of real estate in Manitoba, Canada for \$4.5 million, the sale of a vacant tract of land along the Houston ship channel in Pasadena, Texas for net proceeds of \$36.5 million, and the sale and lease back of equipment for \$10.6 million. During the first six months of 2007, we received cash proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment and assets held for sale of \$74.5 million. These proceeds primarily relate to the sale of Royal Group's corporate headquarters and two manufacturing facilities located in Woodbridge, Ontario. The \$22.2 million decrease in capital expenditures during the first six months of 2008 compared with the first six months of 2007 is primarily attributable to the completion of our Plaquemine, Louisiana vinyl resin modernization project in the latter part of 2007.

Financing Activities. Cash provided by financing activities was \$37.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared with \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to \$115.4 million of net additional borrowing on our revolving line of credit to fund seasonal working capital requirements, which was offset by the repayment of \$72.1 million of long-term debt. During the first six months of 2007, we repaid \$151.4 million of long-term debt, which was offset by borrowing under our revolving line of credit of \$63.5 million, and we also received \$95.9 million from lease financing transactions accounted for as a financing. These lease financing property transactions in 2007 primarily related to the lease of four Royal Group manufacturing facilities located in Woodbridge, Ontario.

On June 30, 2008, our balance sheet debt consisted of \$352.3 million of term debt and \$134.4 million of borrowings under our revolving credit facilities under our senior secured credit facility, \$100.0 million of

Table of Contents

unsecured 7.125 percent senior notes due 2013, \$500.0 million of unsecured 9.5% senior notes due 2014, \$200.0 million of unsecured 10.75% senior subordinated notes due 2016, \$109.5 million of sale-leaseback financing obligations and \$31.0 million in other debt. The decrease of \$3.2 million in the lease financing obligations from December 31, 2007 is due to foreign currency translation adjustments. At June 30, 2008, under our revolving credit facility we had a maximum borrowing capacity of \$375.0 million, and, net of outstanding letters of credit of \$80.0 million and current borrowings of \$134.3 million, we have remaining availability under the revolving credit facility of \$160.7 million. Over the next twelve months, we expect to pay off \$154.9 million of borrowings, including \$134.3 million on our revolving credit facility and \$17.0 million of other debt and \$3.6 million on our term loan B, that we are contractually obligated to pay. Therefore, we have classified this debt as current in our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008. Debt under the senior secured credit facility is secured by a majority of our assets, including real and personal property, inventory, accounts receivable and other intangibles.

Covenants and Restrictions. Under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent, and 10.75 percent notes, we are subject to certain restrictive covenants, the most significant of which require us to maintain certain financial ratios and limit our ability to pay dividends, make investments, incur debt, grant liens, sell our assets and engage in certain other activities. Our ability to meet these covenants, satisfy our debt obligations and pay principal and interest on our debt, fund working capital, and make anticipated capital expenditures will depend on our future performance, which is subject to general macroeconomic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. On March 14, 2007, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured credit facility, which temporarily waived our interest coverage ratio for the year ended December 31, 2006, and through May 31, 2007. On May 10, 2007, we executed another amendment to our senior secured credit facility to increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio each quarter generally through December 31, 2009. In addition, this amendment reduced our capital expenditures limitation to \$100 million in 2007, \$90 million in 2008 and \$135 million in 2009. As of June 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent and 10.75 percent notes. Management believes that based on current and projected levels of operations and conditions in our markets, planned sales of assets, tax refunds, other non-operating transactions, the effect of the previous amendments, cash flow from operations, together with our cash and cash equivalents of \$12.6 million and the availability to borrow an additional \$160.7 million under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2008, we will have adequate funds to make required payments of principal and interest on our debt and fund our working capital and capital expenditure requirements. However, based on recent trends and our current assumptions regarding our operations, future level of debt repayment, and non-core asset sales and other non-operating transactions, we may not be able to meet the restrictive covenants and may not be able to maintain compliance with certain financial ratios in the future particularly with the tightening of the covenants through the first quarter of 2010 within our senior secured credit facility. As a result, we are continuing to evaluate our capital structure including options regarding seeking an amendment or refinancing of our senior secured credit facility to obtain a structure with greater flexibility. Although we have successfully negotiated covenant relief and refinanced our debt in the past, there can be no assurance we can do so in the future.

We conduct our business operations through our wholly owned subsidiaries as reflected in the consolidated financial statements. As we are essentially a holding company, we must rely on distributions, loans and other intercompany cash flows from our wholly owned subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to satisfy the repayment of our existing debt. Provisions in the senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125, 9.5, and 10.75 percent notes limit payments of dividends, distributions, loans or advances to us by our subsidiaries.

During the first six months of each of 2008 and 2007, we paid quarterly dividends of \$0.08 per share, totaling \$5.6 million.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangement. We have an agreement pursuant to which we sell an undivided percentage ownership interest in a defined pool of our trade receivables on a revolving basis through a wholly owned subsidiary to third parties (the "Securitization"). Our Securitization provides one of our cheapest sources of funds and enables us to reduce our annual interest expense. The funded balance has the effect of reducing accounts receivable and short-term liabilities by the same amount. The Securitization expires on September 18, 2009. As collections reduce accounts receivable included in the pool, we sell ownership interests in new receivables to bring the ownership interests sold up to a maximum of \$165.0 million, as permitted by the Securitization. The balance in the interest of receivables sold at June 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, was \$154.0 million and \$147.0 million, respectively.

Continued availability of the Securitization is conditioned upon compliance with covenants, related primarily to operation of the Securitization as set forth in the related agreements. As of June 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all such covenants. If the Securitization agreement was terminated, we would not be required to repurchase previously sold receivables, but would be prevented from selling additional receivables to the third parties. In the event that the Securitization agreement was terminated, we would have to source these funding requirements with availability under our senior credit facility or obtain alternative financing.

Contractual Obligations. Information related to our contractual obligations at December 31, 2007 can be found in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our contractual obligations at June 30, 2008, decreased by approximately \$460.8 million or 6% since December 31, 2007. The decrease from December 31, 2007 is primarily related to the following: a \$345.7 million decrease in our feedstocks included in our purchase obligations mainly due to usage during the first six months of 2008, a \$46.2 million decrease in uncertain income tax positions related to the Quebec tax settlement and a decrease in interest related to long term debt primarily from lower interest rates and pay down of the term loan B.

Outlook

Georgia Gulf also updated its previously stated 2008 goals related to debt covenant compliance, EBITDA outlook, and debt reduction.

The Company was in compliance with its debt covenants for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. When compared to its earlier plan, the Company's current operating plan includes less asset sales and a challenging economic outlook for the remainder of the year and into 2009. The Company now believes 2008 EBITDA could be as much as 15 percent below 2007 EBITDA. The covenant requirements in the Company's senior credit facility will tighten significantly through the first quarter of 2010. Progress related to the Company's capital structure was delayed while the Company was addressing the alleged notice of default on the Company's 7¹/₈ percent notes. As a result of the settlement agreement on this issue, the Company is back in a position to make progress on a covenant amendment or refinance the senior credit facility to obtain a structure with greater flexibility. The Company remains focused on targeted cost, working capital and debt reduction initiatives. A significant increase in feedstock costs has increased the level of working capital required to support the current operating plan and offset the cash flow benefit expected from inventory reductions. In spite of these negative impacts, the Company still expects to reduce long-term debt during 2008.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q and other communications to stockholders may contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to, among other things, our outlook for future periods, supply and demand, pricing trends and market forces within the chemical and building products industries, cost reduction strategies and their results, planned capital expenditures, planned divestitures, long-term objectives of management and other

Table of Contents

statements of expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Predictions of future results contain a measure of uncertainty and, accordingly, actual results could differ materially due to various factors. Factors that could change forward-looking statements are, among others:

our ability to comply with the financial covenants and operate our business in compliance with restrictions contained in our senior secured credit facility and indentures;

our high degree of leverage and significant debt service obligations;

availability and pricing of raw materials;

the impacts of the current economic downturn in the housing and construction markets and potential future downturns;

our ability to borrow funds under our senior secured credit facility;

changes in the general economy;

our ability to penetrate new geographic markets and introduce new products;

changes in demand for our products or increases in overall industry capacity that could affect production volumes and/or pricing;

changes and/or seasonality and cyclicalities in the industries to which our products are sold;

the risk that our and Royal Group's businesses will not be integrated successfully;

the risk that the cost savings and any other synergies from our acquisition of Royal Group may take longer to realize than expected or may not be fully realized;

disruption from our acquisition of Royal Group making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers;

the risks associated with establishing enterprise-wide infrastructure such as information systems;

risks associated with ensuring effective internal controls over financial reporting for Royal Group's operations;

the outcome of the pending investigations of, and pending and threatened lawsuits against, Royal Group;

risks associated with any potential failures of our joint venture partners to fulfill their obligations;

changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

technological changes affecting production;

difficulty in plant operations and product transportation;

governmental and environmental regulations; and

other unforeseen circumstances.

A number of these factors are discussed in this Form 10-Q and in our other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Critical Accounting Policies

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we have not made any significant changes to our critical accounting policies listed in Part II. Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Table of Contents

Financial Conditions and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

For a discussion of certain market risks related to Georgia Gulf, see Part II, Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. There have been no significant developments with respect to our exposure to market risk during the quarter ended June 30, 2008.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Controls and Procedures. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of Georgia Gulf management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act"). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2008.

Changes in Internal Control. There were no changes in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In October 2004, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") notified us that we have been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") for a Superfund site in Galveston, Texas. The site is a former industrial waste recycling, treatment and disposal facility. Over one thousand PRPs, have been identified by the USEPA. We contributed a relatively small proportion of the total amount of waste shipped to the site. In the notice, the USEPA informed us of the agency's willingness to settle with us and other PRPs that contributed relatively small proportions of the total quantity of waste shipped to the Superfund site. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we accepted a settlement offer from USEPA. Under the terms of this settlement, we would be required to pay approximately \$64,000 for cleanup costs incurred, or to be incurred, by USEPA, in exchange for a covenant not to sue and protection from contribution actions brought by other parties. The settlement agreement must still be signed by USEPA officials, and then filed with, and approved by, a federal district court.

In August 2004 and January and February 2005, the USEPA conducted environmental investigations of our manufacturing facilities in Aberdeen, Mississippi and Plaquemine, Louisiana, respectively. The USEPA informed us that it has identified several "areas of concern," and indicated that such areas of concern may, in its view, constitute violations of applicable requirements, thus warranting monetary penalties and possible injunctive relief. In lieu of pursuing such relief through its traditional enforcement process, the USEPA proposed that the parties enter into negotiations in an effort to reach a global settlement of the areas of concern and that such a global settlement cover our manufacturing facilities at Lake Charles, Louisiana and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, as well. During the second quarter of 2006, we were informed by the USEPA that its regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana desired to pursue resolution of these matters on a separate track from the regional office responsible for Mississippi. During the second quarter of 2007, we reached agreement with the USEPA responsible for Mississippi on the terms and conditions of a consent decree that settled USEPA's enforcement action against our Aberdeen, Mississippi facility. All parties have executed a consent decree setting forth the terms and conditions of the settlement. The consent decree has been approved by a federal district court in Atlanta, Georgia. Under the consent decree, we are required to, among other things, pay a \$610,000 fine, which was paid in March 2008, and undertake certain other environmental improvement projects. While the cost of such additional projects will likely exceed \$1 million, we do not believe that these projects will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We have not yet achieved a settlement with the USEPA regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana. It is likely that any settlement, if achieved, will result in the imposition of monetary penalties, capital expenditures for installation of environmental controls, and/or other relief. We do not know the total cost of monetary penalties, environmental projects, or other relief that would be imposed in any settlement or order. While we expect that such costs will exceed \$100,000, we do not expect that such costs will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

During the first quarter of 2007, we voluntarily disclosed possible noncompliance with environmental requirements, including hazardous waste management and disposal requirements, at our Pasadena facility to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"). We are currently working with the TCEQ to resolve any such possible noncompliance issues. Penalties, if any, for such possible noncompliance may exceed \$100,000. However, we do not expect the cost of any penalties, injunctive relief, or other ordered actions to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Royal Group was under investigation by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police ("RCMP") regarding its prior public disclosures, including financial and accounting matters. In October 2005, Royal Group advised the Ontario Securities Commission, the RCMP and the SEC of emails and documents authored by a former finance employee of Royal Group that relate to certain financial accounting and disclosure matters. Royal Group understands that the SEC made a referral to the U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal

Table of Contents

Division, in connection with those documents. In May 2008, Royal Group was advised that it is no longer a target of the RCMP's investigation.

On June 6, 2008, we received notice and a letter of transmittal (collectively, the "Notice") from persons ("Claimants") claiming to own at least 25% of our 7¹/₈% notes due 2013 (the "Notes"), which were issued under an indenture dated December 3, 2003 (the "Indenture") between us and U.S. Bank National Association, the trustee, under the indenture. The Notice asserted that borrowings under our senior credit facility resulted in the incurrence of debt obligations in excess of the amount permitted under Section 3.3 of the Indenture. Believing that all existing indebtedness was incurred in compliance with the provisions of the Indenture, we disputed the Notice. We filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware on June 8, 2008 seeking to enjoin the Claimants and seeking a declaratory judgment to the effect that we were not in default under Section 3.3 of the Indenture (the "Complaint").

On July 15, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Claimants. In connection with the settlement, the Claimants withdrew their notice of default, and the parties dismissed the litigation. The terms of the settlement include mutual releases of the parties, certain restrictions and obligations upon the Claimants with regard to their holdings of our securities, and the payment by us of \$1.4 million of legal fees to the Claimants.

We intend to solicit the consent of all holders of the 7¹/₈% percent notes to an amendment to the related indenture and have agreed to pay a consent fee of \$1.5 million to all consenting note holders pro rata to their respective holdings. The Claimants and an additional holder of such notes, who collectively hold a majority in principal amount of the 7¹/₈% percent notes, have delivered to us their consents to the amendment. The amendment, once effective, will amend certain covenants in the Indenture, and provide a waiver of defaults, if any. Approval of the lenders under our bank credit agreement is required for the consent fee payment and the Indenture amendment.

In addition, we are subject to other claims and legal actions that may arise in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There have been no material changes to the information set forth in Part I. Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

The Company's annual meeting of stockholders was held May 20, 2008, in Atlanta, Georgia for the following purposes: (i) to elect three directors to serve for a term of three years; (ii) to approve an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation, as amended, to amend Article X (supermajority vote for specified actions) (iii) to approve an amendment to Article XV of the certificate of incorporation to remove the requirement of plurality voting for directors, and (iv) to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2008. There were 34,475,867 shares of common stock entitled to vote at the meeting.

The results of the voting by stockholders at the annual meeting were as follows:

| Directors | For | Withheld | Broker Non-Votes or Abstentions |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| John E. Akitt | 23,792,981 | 4,512,112 | 0 |
| Charles L. Henry | 23,810,272 | 4,494,821 | 0 |
| Wayne C. Sales | 27,541,912 | 763,181 | 0 |

Table of Contents

In addition, the terms of the following directors continued after the meeting:

Patrick J. Fleming (Chairman of the Board of Directors)
 Paul D. Carrico
 Dennis M. Chorba
 Jerry R. Satrum
 Yoshi Kawashima

The proposal to approve an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation, as amended, to amend Article X (supermajority vote for specified actions) received the following votes:

| For | Against | Abstain | Broker Non-Votes |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 26,077,373 | 2,019,394 | 208,324 | 0 |

The proposal to approve an amendment to Article XV of the certificate of incorporation to remove the requirement of plurality voting for directors received the following votes:

| For | Against | Abstain | Broker Non-Votes |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 25,740,934 | 2,254,119 | 310,038 | 0 |

Our certificate of incorporation requires that any amendment to Article XV be approved by at least four fifths of our outstanding common stock. This proposal was approved by only approximately 74%, therefore Article XV will not be amended as proposed.

The appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2008, was ratified by the following votes:

| For | Against | Abstain | Broker Non-Votes |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 27,964,041 | 278,552 | 62,499 | 0 |

Item 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibits | |
|-----------------|--|
| 3(i) | Certificate of Incorporation |
| 31 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications. |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certifications. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2008

/s/ PAUL D. CARRICO

Paul D. Carrico
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 7, 2008

/s/ GREGORY C. THOMPSON

Gregory C. Thompson
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)