SERVICEMASTER CO Form DEF 14A April 16, 2003

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

The ServiceMaster Company

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	
(3)	Filing Party:	•
(4)	Date Filed:	
		April 16, 2003
Dear Shar	eholder:	
	pleased to invite you to attend the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of The ServiceMaster Company. We Central Time, on Wednesday, May 21, 2003 at Bank One, Bank One Auditorium, Plaza Level S.E., Bank One	
Business cleaning, f	ServiceMaster team made great strides in 2002. Over 10.5 million customers turned to us America's Service for expert lawn care and landscape maintenance, termite and pest control, electrical, plumbing, heating and a furniture repair and home warranty services. Dedicated individuals delivered services, and together we worked ers by marshaling the collective knowledge, talent and skill of people across our enterprise.	ir conditioning services,
selection o	is year's meeting we will elect three directors, consider approving two compensation plans for employees, con- of our independent auditors, consider a shareholder proposal which may be presented and report on our busines	
card in the the Interne possible. I	vote is important, regardless of the size of your holdings. You can vote by marking, dating, signing and return e envelope provided. Also, registered and most beneficial shareholders may vote by toll-free telephone in the Uet. The enclosed proxy card contains instructions for using these convenient services. We urge you to vote you in this way, you can ensure your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting, and you will spare Servic mailing. Even if you vote now by proxy card, toll-free telephone or over the Internet, you may still attend the	J.S. or Canada, or over r shares as soon as eMaster the expense of a
Sincerely,		
Jonathan I Chairman	P. Ward and Chief Executive Officer	

THE SERVICEMASTER COMPANY

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

To be held May 21, 2003

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF THE SERVICEMASTER COMPANY:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of The ServiceMaster Company will be held on Wednesday, May 21, 2003, Bank One, Bank One Auditorium, Plaza Level S.E., Bank One Plaza, Chicago, IL 60670, at 8:30 a.m., Central Time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect three directors;
- 2. To approve the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan;
- 3. To approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan;
- 4. To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for 2003;
- 5. To act upon a shareholder proposal which may be presented at the meeting; and
- 6. To conduct other business if properly raised.

Only holders of record of common stock at the close of business on March 28, 2003 are entitled to vote at the meeting.

Sandra L. Groman

Vice President and Corporate Secretary

April 16, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
General Information	1
Voting Information	1
Corporate Governance Report	4
Item 1 Election of Directors	7
Board and Committees of the Board	9
Compensation of Directors	12
Compensation of Directors	12

PAGE Audit and Finance Committee Report 14 Proposal to Approve the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan 15 20 Item 3 Proposal to Approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan Item 4 Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditors 22 Ownership of our Common Stock 23 Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Report on Executive Compensation 25 **Executive Compensation** 28 Performance Graph 36 Certain Transactions 37 Shareholder Proposal 38 Item 5 40 Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance Shareholder Proposals and Other Business 40 Exhibit A Summary of Selected Provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 A-1 Exhibit B ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan B-1 Exhibit C ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan C-1

THE SERVICEMASTER COMPANY

April 16, 2003

General Information

We are providing these proxy materials to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of The ServiceMaster Company for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. We will hold the annual meeting at 8:30 a.m., Central Time, on Wednesday, May 21, 2003 at Bank One, Bank One Auditorium, Plaza Level S.E., Bank One Plaza, Chicago, IL 60670.

We mailed this proxy statement and proxy card to shareholders starting on or about April 16, 2003.

Voting Information

Record Date

You may vote all shares that you own as of March 28, 2003, which is the record date for the annual meeting. On March 28, 2003, we had 299,508,027 shares of common stock outstanding. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter properly brought before the meeting.

Ownership of Shares

You may own common stock either (1) directly in your name as a shareholder of record, which includes shares purchased through the ServiceMaster Employee Share Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"), the ServiceMaster Franchisee Share Purchase Plan (the "FSPP") and the Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "DRIP"), or (2) indirectly through a broker, bank or other holder of record, which includes shares in the ServiceMaster Profit Sharing and Retirement Plan (the "401(k) Plan").

If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are the "holder of record" of those shares and we are sending these proxy materials directly to you. As the holder of record, you have the right to give your voting proxy directly to us or to vote in person at the meeting. If you hold your shares in a brokerage account or through a bank or other holder of record, you hold the shares in "street name", and your broker, bank or other holder of record is sending these proxy materials to you. As a holder in street name, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other holder of record how to vote by completing a voting instruction form.

Electronic Access to Proxy Materials and Annual Report

We are pleased to offer you the opportunity to receive shareholder communications electronically. By signing up for electronic delivery of documents such as the annual report and the proxy statement, you can access shareholder communications as soon as they are available without waiting for them to arrive in the mail, and submit your shareholder votes online. You can also reduce the number of bulky documents in your personal files, eliminate duplicate mailings, conserve natural resources, and help reduce our printing and mailing costs. In order to access your 2003 proxy materials electronically, you had to sign up by March 27, 2003. Your enrollment will be effective until canceled. If you have questions regarding electronic delivery, please contact Computershare Investor Services LLC at 1-888-834-0744.

If you hold your stock through a broker, bank or other holder of record, please refer to the information provided by that institution for instructions on how to elect to view future proxy statements and annual reports over the Internet.

Most shareholders who hold their stock through a broker, bank or other holder of record and who elect electronic access will receive an e-mail message next year containing the Internet address to use to access our proxy statement and annual report.

1

Householding

We are sending only one annual report and proxy statement to you if you share a single address with another shareholder unless we received instructions to the contrary from you. This practice, known as "householding," is designed to eliminate duplicate mailings, conserve natural resources and reduce our printing and mailing costs. If you wish to receive a separate annual report or proxy statement in the future, you may contact our transfer agent, Computershare at 1-888-834-0744 or write to Computershare Investor Services LLC, P.O. Box 1689, Chicago, IL 60690-1689. If you receive multiple copies of our annual report and proxy statement, you can request householding by contacting Computershare. If you own your shares through a broker, bank or other holder of record, you can request householding by contacting the holder of record.

How to Vote

Your vote is important. We encourage you to vote promptly, which may save us the expense of a second mailing.

If you own shares of record, you may vote in one of the following ways:

by telephone If you are located in the U.S. or Canada, you can vote your shares by calling the toll-free telephone number on your proxy card. You may vote by telephone 24 hours a day through 11:59 p.m., Central Time, Tuesday, May 20, 2003. The telephone voting system has easy-to-follow instructions and allows you to confirm that the system has properly recorded your vote. If you vote by telephone, you do not need to return your proxy card.

over the Internet You can vote your shares via the website www.computershare.com/us/proxy. You may vote over the Internet 24 hours a day through 11:59 p.m., Central Time, Tuesday, May 20, 2003. As with telephone voting, you may confirm that the system has properly recorded your vote. If you vote over the Internet, you do not need to return your proxy card. You may incur costs such as telephone and Internet access charges if you vote over the Internet.

by mail You can vote your shares by marking, dating and signing your proxy card and returning it by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

in person at the annual meeting If you vote by telephone, over the Internet or by mail, you may still attend the meeting and vote in person.

If you own shares in "street name" through a broker or other nominee as the record holder of your shares, the instructions that accompany your proxy materials will indicate whether you may vote by telephone, over the Internet or by mail. If you wish to attend the meeting and vote in person, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the broker or other nominee.

The Board has appointed Jim Kaput and Sandra Groman as the proxy committee to vote your shares on your behalf. Their names appear on the proxy card. By giving us your proxy, you are authorizing the proxy committee to vote your shares in the manner you indicate. You may (1) vote "FOR" the election of all of our director nominees, (2) "WITHHOLD AUTHORITY" to vote for all of our director nominees, or (3) vote for the election of less than all of our director nominees and withhold authority to vote for our other nominees, in each case, by indicating on the proxy card. You may vote "FOR" or "AGAINST" or "ABSTAIN" from voting on the proposal to approve the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, the proposal to approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan, the proposal to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for 2003 and the shareholder proposal.

All shares that have been properly voted by proxy and not revoked will be voted at the meeting. If you sign and return your proxy card without any voting instructions, your shares will be voted "FOR":

the election of each of our nominees for director;

approval of the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan;

2

approval of the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan; and

ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for 2003.

If you sign and return your proxy card without any voting instructions, your shares will be voted "AGAINST" the shareholder proposal.

Revocation of Proxies

If you own shares of record, you can revoke your proxy at any time before your shares are voted if you (1) submit a written revocation to our Corporate Secretary, Sandra Groman, (2) submit a later-dated proxy to our Corporate Secretary, (3) provide subsequent telephone or Internet voting instructions, or (4) vote in person at the meeting.

Shares held under Plans

If you participate in the ESPP, FSPP or DRIP plans, your proxy card shows the number of shares owned by you in the plans including through dividend reinvestment. If you participate in the 401(k) Plan, you will receive a separate proxy card which will include shares that the plan has credited to your account. To allow sufficient time for the 401(k) Plan trustee to vote, the trustee must receive your voting instructions by 11:59 p.m., Central Time, Sunday, May 18, 2003. If the 401(k) Plan trustee does not receive your instructions by that date, the trustee will vote your shares in the same proportion of votes that the trustee receives from other 401(k) Plan participants.

Broker Non-Votes

If you hold your shares in "street name" through a broker or other nominee as the record holder of those shares, your broker or nominee may not be permitted to exercise voting discretion with respect to some of the matters acted upon. Thus, if you do not give your broker or nominee specific instructions, your shares may not be voted on those matters. Shares that are not voted on a matter because the broker or nominee lacks or fails to exercise discretionary voting authority with respect to that matter are called "broker non-votes".

Quorum

A quorum is necessary to hold a valid meeting of shareholders. If shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the meeting are present in person or by proxy, a quorum will exist. Shares owned by ServiceMaster are not voted and do not count for quorum purposes. In order to assure the presence of a quorum at the meeting, please vote your shares by toll-free telephone or over the Internet or complete, sign and date our proxy card and return it promptly in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, even if you plan to attend the meeting. Abstentions are counted as present, and broker non-votes may be counted as present, to establish a quorum.

Vote required for Proposals

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast, which means that the three nominees with the most votes will be elected. As a result, withholding authority to vote for a nominee and broker non-votes with respect to the election of directors will not affect the outcome of the election of directors.

Approval of the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan, the ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2003 and the shareholder proposal, each requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on that proposal. Abstentions with respect to a proposal will be treated as votes against the proposal. Broker non-votes with respect to a proposal will not be considered as votes cast on the proposal, which will therefore reduce the number of affirmative votes needed to approve the proposal.

3

Cost of Proxy Solicitation

We will pay the expenses of soliciting proxies. Our directors, officers or employees may solicit proxies for us in person, or by telephone, facsimile or electronic transmission. We have hired D. F. King & Co., Inc. to help us distribute and solicit proxies. We will pay D. F. King \$7,000 plus expenses for these services.

Corporate Governance Report

Reason for Report

We are furnishing this report for the purpose of informing our shareholders about our corporate governance activities. This report is not required under Federal or State securities laws.

Special Committee on Corporate Governance

In April 2001, the Board discussed a proposal by then Chairman C. William Pollard to review our corporate governance practices, including ServiceMaster's Statement on Scope and Organization of the Board of Directors (our "Corporate Governance Principles") dated October 4, 1995. At its meeting held in October 2001, the Board discussed various matters relating to corporate governance, including the size, composition, function and leadership of the Board. The Board appointed a special committee comprised of four non-employee members of the Board to review our corporate governance practices. The special committee met six times in 2001 and issued a report on December 28, 2001 containing its findings and recommendations, including the following:

The number of directors on the Board should be reduced from 14 to 12 members;

No more than two directors should be present or former officers of management;

The Nominating Committee should be designated the Governance and Nominating Committee and its responsibilities should be expanded to include oversight of ServiceMaster's corporate governance, including director selection and nomination, Board performance evaluation and our Corporate Governance Principles;

The Compensation Committee should be designated the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee and its responsibilities should be expanded to include oversight of ServiceMaster's performance management and succession planning programs;

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee, Governance and Nominating Committee and the Audit and Finance Committee (for finance capacity only due to existing audit charter) should each prepare a Committee charter for presentation to the Board;

ServiceMaster should seek new Board members with skills and experience that complement the existing directors, including consumer marketing, senior operating, finance and accounting, and information technology skills and experience.

At its meeting held in January 2002, the Board reviewed and considered the special committee's report. The Board unanimously approved (with then Chairman Pollard and then President and CEO Jonathan Ward abstaining) the recommendations of the special committee and determined that the Board would consider revised Corporate Governance Principles at its meeting to be held in March 2002.

Corporate Governance Principles

Beginning in March 2002, the Board undertook a four-step document review and revision process:

In March 2002 the Board considered and adopted revisions to our Corporate Governance Principles to implement the special committee's recommendations, including providing that our Board has the objective of having ten to twelve members with not more than two members from

4

management. A copy of our revised Corporate Governance Principles were filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

At its meeting held in April 2002 the Board amended our Bylaws to reflect the changes to our Corporate Governance Principles. The Board also promoted Jonathan Ward to Chairman and CEO and Ernest Mrozek to President and Chief Operating Officer. While the Board determined to combine the offices of Chairman and CEO, the Board also had determined at its March 2002 meeting to vest governance responsibilities in the newly designated Governance and Nominating Committee.

During the two-month period prior to the July 2002 meeting of the Board, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee prepared Committee charters setting forth their respective responsibilities. In addition, the Audit and Finance Committee prepared a Committee charter for its finance capacity to complement its existing Committee charter for its audit capacity. These Committee charters were considered and approved at the July 2002 Board meeting. These charters were filed as exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

The Board is currently in the process of reviewing its Commitment Authority Policy. This Policy is an internal control relating to the ability of the Board, its Committees, management and other employees to commit our monetary resources. The Policy is being revised in part to reflect our Corporate Governance Principles, including the Board's decision to vest more power in its Committees in order to facilitate the Board's involvement in the management of ServiceMaster. The Board expects to consider a revised Policy at its May 2003 meeting.

Changes in the Board of Directors and Executive Sessions without Management

As a consequence of the Board's decision to reduce its size and recruit Board members with complementary skill and experience, the Governance and Nominating Committee interviewed firms specializing in the recruitment of directors. In August 2002, the Governance and Nominating Committee retained a director search firm and began contacting potential candidates.

The following directors retired from service as directors after the January 2003 meeting of the Board: C. William Pollard, former Chairman of ServiceMaster; Carlos Cantu, retired President and CEO of ServiceMaster; and Charles Stair, retired Vice Chairman of ServiceMaster. Vincent Nelson retired from service as a director in March 2003. In addition, Michele Hunt decided not to seek re-election to our Board at this 2003 annual meeting. Upon recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee, at its January 2003 meeting the Board appointed Roberto Herencia and Betty Jane Scheihing to the Board. For more information on Mr. Herencia and Ms. Scheihing, see "Item 1 Election of Directors" below. Each of Mr. Herenica and Ms. Scheihing is an independent director under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Board and its Committees regularly meet in executive session without Jonathan Ward or other members of management in attendance.

Board, Committee and Director Performance

In October 2002, the Board approved a Board Performance Questionnaire after recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee. The Questionnaire was distributed in November 2002. Special counsel to the Governance and Nominating Committee reviewed the responses and furnished a report to the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board in January 2003. The Questionnaire and report addressed (1) structure and effectiveness of Board meetings, (2) Board membership qualifications and process, (3) quality of Board materials and communication, and (4) other duties and responsibilities, including ServiceMaster's Four Objectives, corporate governance, strategic planning, company and CEO performance, risk management and internal controls. As a consequence of this review

5

process, the Board will focus more attention on strategic planning, competition, customers, marketing, performance management and succession planning.

At its January 2003 meeting, the Governance and Nominating Committee approved Committee Performance Questionnaires for each Committee of our Board. At a special meeting held in February 2003, the Governance and Nominating Committee approved a Director Self-Assessment Questionnaire. With the Governance and Nominating Committee taking the lead, the Board intends to regularly assess its performance and the performance of its Committees and individual directors.

Certain Financial Accounting Matters

In May 2002, ServiceMaster dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP as its independent auditors and engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP as its independent auditors. For more information regarding this matter, see "Item 4" Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditors" below. This action was taken with the approval of the Board after recommendation of the Audit and Finance Committee.

In July 2002, we announced that we would begin accounting for employee stock options as compensation expense in accordance with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," beginning in 2003.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and New York Stock Exchange Recommendations

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOA") was signed into law in July 2002. The SOA contains numerous provisions relating to the governance and operation of public companies, including provisions to strengthen auditor independence, regulate the accounting industry, enhance corporate responsibility and improve disclosures to the public. As noted above, our Board's corporate governance review commenced well before the enactment of the SOA. Many of the provisions of the SOA affect us and our businesses, our Board of Directors and Committees of the Board. Exhibit A to this proxy statement is a table that summarizes the provisions of the SOA that most affect ServiceMaster, as well as our relevant policies and procedures.

On August 1, 2002 the Board of Directors of the New York Stock Exchange approved proposed revised listing standards for consideration by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The proposal was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 16, 2002. The proposal contains thirteen basic principles that listed companies, such as ServiceMaster, would be required to satisfy. The proposals are generally consistent with the SOA but also address matters not specifically covered by the SOA. In general, the proposals contemplate more authority being placed in the hands of independent directors. More specifically, the proposals would require, among other things, that each New York Stock Exchange listed company have a majority of independent directors and a nominating/corporate governance committee and a compensation committee, each to be composed entirely of independent directors. The proposals would limit greatly the ability of the audit committee (already required to be composed entirely of independent directors). The proposals would limit greatly the ability of listed companies to implement stock-based compensation plans without shareholder approval. On October 7, 2002, at the request of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the New York Stock Exchange separately filed its proposal relating to shareholder approval of stock-based compensation plans. On March 12, 2003, also at the request of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the New York Stock Exchange separately filed its proposal

requiring listed companies to have a majority of independent directors as well as its proposed standard for determining director independence. While our corporate governance policies are generally consistent with the intent of the New York Stock Exchange proposals, we may need to revise our policies when revised New York Stock Exchange corporate governance rules are ultimately adopted.

6

Item 1 Election of Directors

Our Board of Directors currently has 12 members. Shareholders elect approximately one-third of the members of the Board annually. Directors are divided into three classes. Each class serves for three years. Michele Hunt has decided not to seek re-election at the 2003 annual meeting. As a result, there are three directors in the Class of 2003 and four directors in each of the other two classes.

During 2002, the Governance and Nominating Committee conducted a search for potential new director candidates. In January 2003, upon recommendation by the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board unanimously approved the appointments of Roberto R. Herencia and Betty Jane Scheihing to the Board. In addition, each of Messrs. Carlos H. Cantu, Vincent C. Nelson, C. William Pollard and Charles W. Stair retired from the Board in 2003.

We acknowledge with deep appreciation the significant contributions made by Michele Hunt and each of Messrs. Cantu, Nelson, Pollard and Stair to our growth and success and we wish them happiness in their future endeavors.

The terms of Herbert P. Hess, Dallen W. Peterson and David K. Wessner will expire at the 2003 annual meeting. Each of these directors has been nominated to stand for reelection and to hold office until our 2006 annual meeting and until his successor is elected and qualified.

We expect that each nominee will be able to serve if elected as a director. However, if any nominee is not able to serve, the proxy committee may vote for another nominee chosen by our Board.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of each of the named nominees as directors.

We describe below the principal occupation and other information about our nominees and directors continuing in office.

Nominees for Election to Term Expiring 2006

Herbert P. Hess

Chairman, North American Management Corporation, an investment management firm, November 2001 to present. Mr. Hess was Managing Director of Berents & Hess Capital Management, Inc., an investment management firm, from November 1991 to November 2001. *Class of 2003. Age 66. Director since 1981*.

Dallen W. Peterson

Retired Chairman, Merry Maids Limited Partnership, a provider of domestic housecleaning services. He was Chairman of Merry Maids Limited Partnership from 1988 to 1991. *Class of 2003. Age 66. Director since 1995.*

7

David K. Wessner

President and Chief Executive Officer of Park Nicollet Health Services, an integrated healthcare delivery system, July 1998 to present. From August 1994 to July 1998, he served as Executive Vice President, HealthSystem Minnesota. *Class of 2003. Age 51. Director since 1987.*

Directors Continuing in Office

Lord Griffiths of Fforestfach

International advisor to Goldman Sachs International Ltd., an investment banking firm, 1991 to present. He was made a life peer at the conclusion of his service to the British Prime Minister during the period 1985 to 1990. Lord Griffiths is a director of Times Newspapers Holding Ltd., a newspaper company; English, Welsh and Scottish Railways, a railroad company; Herman Miller, Inc., an office furniture manufacturer. *Class of 2004. Age 61. Director since 1992.*

Sidney E. Harris

Dean, Robinson College of Business, Georgia State University, 1997 to present. From July 1987 to July 1997, Dr. Harris was Professor of Management at the Peter F. Drucker Graduate School of Management at the Claremont Graduate School; and he was Dean of the Graduate School of Management, September 1991 to July 1996. Dr. Harris is a director of Transamerica Investors, Inc., an investment management company; Total System Services, Inc., a credit/debit card processor. *Class of 2004. Age 53. Director since 1994.*

James D. McLennan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of McLennan Company, a full-service real estate company, 1998 to present. Mr. McLennan was President of McLennan Company from 1987 to 1998. Mr. McLennan is a director of the Advocate Charitable Foundation which is a part of Advocate Health Systems, a healthcare company. *Class of 2004. Age 66. Director since 1986.*

Donald G. Soderquist

Former Senior Vice Chairman, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., the world's largest retailer, January 1999 to August 2000. He was Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. from 1988 to 1998. *Class of 2004. Age 69. Director since 2000.*

8

Paul W. Berezny

President, Berezny Investments, Inc., a real estate and development company, 1993 to present. *Class of 2005*. *Age 68. Director since 1995*.

Roberto R. Herencia

President, Banco Popular North America, a diversified banking institution, 2001 to present. He was Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from 1999 to 2001. Mr. Herencia is Executive Vice President, Head of North America Operations and a member of the Senior Management Council of Popular, Inc., the parent company of Banco Popular North America, 1997 to present. *Class of 2005. Age 43. Director since January 2003.*

Betty Jane Scheihing

Senior Vice President, Office of the President, Arrow Electronics, Inc., a distributor of electronic components and computer products, 1997 to present. Ms. Scheihing is a director of Dentsply International, Inc., the world's largest designer, developer and manufacturer of dental products; and the Billy Graham Evangelistic Association. *Class of 2005. Age 54. Director since January 2003.*

Jonathan P. Ward

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ServiceMaster, April 2002 to present. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of ServiceMaster from February 2001 to April 2002. Mr. Ward was President and Chief Operating Officer of R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company, a commercial printing company, October 1997 to January 2001; and Executive Vice President, Commercial Print Sector, January 1995 to October 1997. Mr. Ward is a director of J. Jill Group, Inc., a leading marketer of women's apparel and footwear. *Class of 2005. Age 48. Director since 2001.*

Board and Committees of the Board

Our business is managed under the direction of our Board of Directors. The Board is kept advised of ServiceMaster's business through regular written reports and analyses and discussions with the Chairman and CEO and other officers.

During 2002, the Board of Directors met seven times. All directors attended 75 percent or more of the meetings of the Board and standing committees on which they served in 2002. The Board has four standing committees: the Audit and Finance Committee, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee, the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Executive Committee.

9

Membership and Board Committees

Audit and Finance	Compensation and Leadership Development	Governance and Nominating	Executive
Committee	Committee	Committee	Committee
X			
X			X
		c	c
X			
c			X
	X		
		X	
X			
	X		
	X	X	
			X
	c	X	X
12	7	11	3
	Committee X X X X C	Audit and Finance Committee X X X X X X C X X C X X C X X C X X C X X X C X X X X X C X X X X X X X X C C C	Audit and Finance Committee C C C C X C X C X X X X X

Effective May 1, 2003

c=Chairperson

Each Committee has the duties and responsibilities set forth in its Charter and Operating Guidelines. Each Committee assesses annually the adequacy of its Charter and Operating Guidelines and recommends changes to the Board. See "Corporate Governance Report" for a more detailed discussion regarding our corporate governance activities.

Audit and Finance Committee. All members of this Committee are "independent" under New York Stock Exchange listing standards. This Committee's primary function with regard to its audit function is to assist the Board in its oversight responsibilities to shareholders, potential shareholders and the investment community regarding the quality and integrity of the financial reports and the underlying structure of ServiceMaster. With regard to its audit function the Committee:

Recommends to the Board the annual selection of our independent auditors.

Reviews:

(1) the scope of our independent auditors' annual audit for the upcoming year;

(2)

our accounting principles, policies and practices, and the reporting policies with our independent auditors and management;

the results of the annual audit with our independent auditors; and

(4) the adequacy of our accounting, financial and operating controls with our independent auditors and management.

10

Reviews and appraises our independent auditors' performance.

With regard to its finance function the Committee:

Reviews:

- (1) financial reports and analyses and makes recommendations on financial matters to the Board;
- our Commitment Authority Policy;
- our dividend policy; and
- (4) management's requests relating to financing arrangements.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee. All members of this Committee are "outside directors" within the meaning of regulations under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and "non-employee directors" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Committee:

Establishes compensation policies for ServiceMaster, the Board and Committees of the Board and the annual and long-term compensation of the CEO and executive officers.

Conducts performance and development review of the CEO.

Reviews leadership development strategy, people development strategy and succession plans.

Administers our annual bonus plan, long-term performance award plan, stock-based compensation plans, 401(k) plan and deferred compensation plan.

Governance and Nominating Committee. The Committee:

Recommends to the Board persons to be nominated by the Board for election to the Board by shareholders at each annual meeting of shareholders and the persons to be elected to any vacancy on the Board.

Recommends appointments to Committees of the Board.

Conducts performance reviews of the Board, Committees of the Board and individual directors.

Makes recommendations to the Board on corporate governance matters.

Reviews:

- (1) the size, composition and organization of the Board and committees of the Board and recommends policies and changes to the Board; and
- (2) our Corporate Governance Principles and recommends changes to the Board.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee may meet instead of the full Board on all matters except for those matters reserved for the Board by law or our Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws.

11

Compensation of Directors

Fees and Expenses

In 2002, we paid each non-employee director compensation for service as a director as follows:

an annual retainer of \$15,000;

\$3,000 for each Board and committee meeting attended;

\$1,000 for each Board and committee conference call; and

a discretionary stock option grant for 15,000 shares.

In 2002, the total amount earned by each non-employee director for service as a director was as follows: Paul W. Berezny, \$52,000; Brian Griffiths, \$76,000; Sidney E. Harris, \$92,000; Herbert P. Hess, \$85,000; Michele M. Hunt, \$35,000; James D. McLennan, \$62,000; Vincent C. Nelson, \$53,000; Dallen W. Peterson, \$56,000; Donald G. Soderquist, \$59,000; Charles W. Stair, \$37,000; and David K. Wessner, \$92,000.

Mr. Hess' total includes a \$5,000 retainer for serving as Chairman of the Audit and Finance Committee. Mr. Wessner's total includes a \$5,000 retainer for serving as Chairman of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee.

The compensation of Messrs. Cantu, Pollard and Ward is described under, "Agreements with Officers and Directors". We did not pay Messrs. Cantu, Pollard and Ward any additional compensation for serving as a director. We reimburse our directors for the expenses of attending Board, committee and shareholder meetings.

Directors Options

Directors may choose to participate in the elective options program under the 2001 Directors Stock Plan in lieu of receiving all or a portion of their annual retainer and meeting fees. In 2002, a total of 119,966 elective options were granted to Messrs. Berezny, Griffiths, Harris and Hess. Each elective option grant has the following features:

an exercise price of 85% of the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date;

a number of shares determined by dividing the recipient's retainer and meeting fees by 15% of the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date; and

a ten-year term and is it immediately exercisable.

The Board may also grant discretionary options to directors. The grant and exercisability of all or a part of a discretionary option is determined by the Board and may be subject to the satisfaction of performance measures. The exercise price of each discretionary option is the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. On May 3, 2002, the Board granted each non-employee director a discretionary option to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock. Each discretionary option has the following features:

an exercise price of \$13.972 per share;

becomes exercisable in 3,000 share increments on each April 14 through 2007;

expires April 13, 2009; and

becomes fully exercisable upon a change in control.

12

Deferred Compensation Plan

Non-employee directors may elect to defer the receipt of their annual retainer and meeting fees until a later date. Participating directors may invest their deferred amounts in two ways: (1) in a cash account that earns interest based on our average five-year borrowing rate, or (2) in a share equivalent account that we value based on the fair market value of our common stock on the purchase date.

Certain Relationships

Donald G. Soderquist is the brother-in-law of C. William Pollard, who retired from service as a director in January 2003.

13

Audit and Finance Committee Report

The Audit and Finance Committee operates under its Charter and Operating Guidelines (Audit Capacity) adopted by the Board of Directors. All members of the Committee are independent as defined under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

In accordance with the Charter and Operating Guidelines, the Committee meets periodically with financial management and the independent auditors of ServiceMaster to review the accounting principles, policies and practices. The Committee also reviews with the auditors and management the accounting, financial and operating controls of ServiceMaster. The Committee reviews fees and audit and non-audit services of the independent auditors. The performance of the independent auditors and the internal auditors is reviewed and appraised by the Committee. The Committee also reviews the scope of audits as well as the annual audit plan. Each year the Committee recommends to the Board the selection of the firm of independent auditors to audit the accounts and records of ServiceMaster and our subsidiaries. The Committee met twelve times in 2002.

The Committee wishes to report that it has reviewed and discussed with management ServiceMaster's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002. The Committee has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP, our independent auditors for 2002, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61. The Committee has also received the written disclosure and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 and has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP their independence. Based on the foregoing reviews and discussions, the Committee has recommended to the Board that ServiceMaster's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002 be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Committee has also considered whether the provision of the non-audit services listed below is compatible with maintaining the independence of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Audit Fees. The audit fees billed to ServiceMaster by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the year ended December 31, 2002 in connection with the 2002 audit and the 2001 and 2000 re-audit totaled \$4,900,000. There were no audit fees billed to ServiceMaster by Arthur Andersen LLP for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Financial Information System Design and Implementation Fees. No fees were paid to Deloitte & Touche LLP or Arthur Andersen LLP for financial system design and implementation services rendered during 2002.

All Other Fees. All other fees billed to ServiceMaster by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the year ended December 31, 2002 totaled \$1,100,000. All other fees billed to ServiceMaster by Arthur Andersen LLP for the year ended December 31, 2002 totaled \$800,000. Total all other fees incurred by ServiceMaster during 2002 were \$1,900,000. All other fees included tax planning and advice and foreign statutory and employee benefit plan audits.

Audit and Finance Committee

Herbert P. Hess, *Chairman*Paul W. Berezny
Brian Griffiths
Dallen W. Peterson

Item 2 Proposal to Approve the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan

We believe in the value of stock-based incentive compensation. Our current stock-based incentive plan for employees, the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2000 Plan"), was approved by shareholders in 2000. The 2000 Plan expires in accordance with its terms on December 31, 2003, even if shares remain available for new awards at that time. As of March 28, 2003, approximately 3,300,000 shares remain available for new awards under the 2000 Plan. The Board of Directors is asking that shareholders approve the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"). If shareholders approve the 2003 Plan, no additional grants will be made under the 2000 Plan after May 21, 2003 and any shares available for new awards under the 2000 Plan at that time will become available under the 2003 Plan.

General

The purposes of the 2003 Plan are (1) to align the interests of our shareholders and recipients of awards by increasing the recipients proprietary interest in our growth and success, (2) to advance our interests by attracting and retaining officers, employees, consultants, independent contractors and agents and (3) to motivate such persons to act in the long-term best interests of ServiceMaster and its shareholders. Under the 2003 Plan, we may grant non-qualified stock options, "incentive stock options" (within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, (the "Code")), Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs"), restricted stock, unrestricted stock and performance share awards.

Approximately 40,000 officers and other employees, consultants, independent contractors and agents are eligible for consideration to participate in the 2003 Plan. Reference is made to Exhibit B to this Proxy Statement for the complete text of the 2003 Plan, which is summarized below.

Description of the 2003 Plan

Administration. The 2003 Plan will be administered by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (the "Committee"). Each member of the Committee is currently a "Non-Employee Director", within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is an "outside director", within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code.

Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits to \$1 million the amount that a publicly held company is allowed each year to deduct for the compensation paid to each of the company's chief executive officer and the company's four most highly compensated executive officers other than the chief executive officer. However, "qualified performance-based compensation" is not subject to the \$1 million deduction limit. To qualify as performance-based compensation, the following requirements must be satisfied: (1) the performance goals must be determined by a committee consisting solely of two or more "outside directors", (2) the material terms under which the compensation is to be paid, including the performance goals, must be approved by a majority of the company's stockholders, and (3) if applicable, the Committee must certify that the applicable performance goals were satisfied before payment of any performance-based compensation is made. As noted above, the Committee currently consists solely of "outside directors" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code. As a result, certain compensation under the 2003 Plan, such as that payable with respect to options and SARs, is not expected to be subject to the \$1 million deduction limit, but other compensation payable under the 2003 Plan, such as any restricted stock award which is not subject to a performance condition to vesting, would be subject to the limit.

Subject to the express provisions of the 2003 Plan, the Committee will have the authority to select eligible persons to receive awards and determine the terms and conditions of each award. All awards will be evidenced by a written agreement containing provisions consistent with the 2003 Plan as the Committee approves. The Committee will also have authority to establish rules and regulations for administering the 2003 Plan and to interpret or apply any of its provisions. Except with respect to grants to our executive officers and persons whose compensation is likely to be subject to the \$1 million deduction limit under Section 162(m) of the Code, and to the extent permitted by applicable law, the Committee may delegate

15

some or all of its power and authority to administer the 2003 Plan to the Chief Executive Officer or other executive officers of ServiceMaster.

Effective Date, Termination and Amendment. The 2003 Plan will become effective as of May 21, 2003, if approved by shareholders at the annual meeting and will terminate on December 31, 2008, unless terminated earlier by the Board. The Board may amend the 2003 Plan at any time, subject to any requirement of shareholder approval required by applicable law, rule or regulation and provided that no amendment may be made without shareholder approval if the amendment would (i) increase the maximum number of shares of common stock available under the 2003 Plan, (ii) effect any change inconsistent with Section 422 of the Code or (iii) extend the term of the 2003 Plan.

Available Shares. Subject to adjustment in the event of a stock split, stock dividend, merger, reorganization or similar event, 10,000,000 shares of common stock will be available under the 2003 Plan plus the number of shares of common stock remaining available for awards under the 2000 Plan on the date the 2003 Plan is approved by shareholders. The number of available shares will be reduced by the aggregate number of shares of common stock which become subject to outstanding options, free-standing SARs, stock awards and performance share awards. To the extent that shares of common stock subject to any such award are not issued or delivered by reason of its termination (other than a termination of an option upon the exercise of a tandem SAR) or by reason of the delivery or withholding of shares of common stock to pay all or a portion of the exercise price or tax withholding obligations relating to the award, then those shares of common stock will again be available under the 2003 Plan. The maximum number of shares of common stock with respect to which awards may be granted under the 2003 Plan during any calendar year to any person is 1,250,000, subject to adjustment as described above.

Stock Options and SARs General. The Committee will determine the conditions to the exercisability of each option. Upon exercise of an option, the purchase price may be paid in cash, by delivery of previously owned shares of common stock or, to the extent permitted by law, under a cashless exercise program with a brokerage firm.

Non-Qualified Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights. The period for the exercise of a non-qualified stock option or SAR will be determined by the Committee. The exercise price of a non-qualified stock option and the base price of an SAR will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant, provided that the base price of an SAR granted in tandem with an option (a "tandem SAR") will be the exercise price of the related option. An SAR entitles the holder to receive upon exercise (subject to withholding taxes) shares of common stock (which may be restricted stock), cash or a combination thereof with a value equal to the difference between the fair market value of the common stock on the exercise date and the base price of the SAR. All of the terms relating to the exercise or cancellation of a non-qualified stock option or SAR upon a termination of employment or service, whether by reason of disability, retirement, death or any other reason, will be determined by the Committee.

Incentive Stock Options. Each incentive stock option will be exercisable for no more than ten years after its date of grant, unless the optionee owns greater than ten percent of the voting power of all shares of our capital stock (a "ten percent holder"), in which case the option will be exercisable for no more than five years after its date of grant. The exercise price of an incentive stock option will not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on its date of grant, unless the optionee is a ten percent holder, in which case the option exercise price will be the price required by the Code, currently 110% of fair market value. Subject to the requirements of the Code, all of the terms relating to the exercise or cancellation of an incentive stock option (and tandem SAR) upon a termination of employment, whether by reason of disability,

retirement, death or any other reason, will be determined by the Committee.

Except in the case of an adjustment as described above, neither the exercise price of an option nor the base price of an SAR may be reduced after the date it is granted, and no option or SAR may be cancelled

16

for the purpose of granting a new award at a lower exercise price or base price, without shareholder approval.

Unrestricted Stock and Restricted Stock Awards. The 2003 Plan provides for the grant of (1) bonus stock awards, which are vested upon grant, and (2) stock awards which may be subject to a restriction period ("restricted stock"). An award of restricted stock may be subject to specified performance measures during the applicable restriction period. Shares of restricted stock will be non-transferable and subject to forfeiture if the holder does not remain continuously in the employment of or service to ServiceMaster during the restriction period or, if the restricted stock is subject to performance measures, if those performance measures are not attained. All of the terms relating to the satisfaction of performance measures and the termination of a restriction period, or the forfeiture and cancellation of a restricted stock award upon a termination of employment or service, whether by reason of disability, retirement, death or any other reason, will be determined by the Committee. Unless otherwise set forth in a restricted stock award agreement, the holder of a restricted stock award will have rights as a shareholder of ServiceMaster, including the right to vote and receive dividends with respect to the shares of restricted stock. Subject to adjustment as described above, the aggregate number of shares of common stock available for unrestricted and restricted stock awards will not exceed 3,500,000.

Performance Share Awards. The 2003 Plan also provides for the grant of performance share awards. Each performance share is a right, contingent upon the attainment of performance measures within a specified performance period, to receive one share of common stock, which may be restricted stock, or the fair market value of all or a portion of a share of common stock in cash. Prior to the settlement of a performance share award in shares of common stock, the holder of the award will have no rights as a shareholder of ServiceMaster with respect to the shares of common stock subject to the award. Performance shares will be non-transferable and subject to forfeiture if the specified performance measures are not attained. All of the terms relating to the satisfaction of performance measures and the termination of a performance period, or the forfeiture and cancellation of a performance share award upon a termination of employment or service, whether by reason of disability, retirement, death or any other reason, will be determined by the Committee.

Performance Goals. Under the 2003 Plan, the vesting or payment of performance share awards and certain awards of restricted stock will be subject to the satisfaction of certain performance goals. The performance goals applicable to a particular award will be determined by the Committee at the time of grant. With respect to awards granted to persons who are, or are expected to be, employed as the Chief Executive Officer of ServiceMaster or one of the four most highly compensated officers of ServiceMaster other than the Chief Executive Officer as of the last day of ServiceMaster's taxable year, the applicable performance goals will be established by the Committee within the first quarter of the applicable performance period and will include any one or more of the following objective corporate-wide or subsidiary, division, operating unit or individual performance measures: earnings per share; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation or amortization (or any combination thereof); direct margin; expense reduction; customer satisfaction survey results; employee satisfaction survey results; employee retention; net income; operating income; revenues; profit margin; cash flow(s); financial return ratios; return on equity; delivery of a comprehensive strategic business plan and strategic business criteria, consisting of one or more objectives based on achieving specified revenue, market penetration, or geographic business expansion goals, or cost targets, or goals relating to acquisitions or divestitures. Each such goal may be expressed on an absolute or relative basis and may include comparisons based on current internal targets, the past performance of ServiceMaster (including the performance of one or more subsidiaries, divisions, or operating units) or the past or current performance of other companies (or a combination of such past and current performance). In the case of earnings-based measures, performance goals may include comparisons relating to capital (including, but not limited to, the cost of capital), s

17

Non-Transferability of Awards. Unless otherwise specified in the agreement relating to an award, neither options nor share awards are transferable other than by will, the laws of descent and distribution or pursuant to beneficiary designation procedures approved by ServiceMaster.

Change in Control. For purposes of the 2003 Plan, ServiceMaster will undergo a change in control in the event of certain acquisitions of 25% or more of our common stock, a change in a majority of the Board, or the consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation or sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of ServiceMaster (unless, among other conditions, ServiceMaster's shareholders receive

60% or more of the stock of the surviving company) or the consummation of a liquidation or dissolution of ServiceMaster. If our shareholders do not receive solely publicly traded stock pursuant to the change in control, then (1) each restricted stock award and performance award will be cancelled in exchange for a cash payment for each share subject to the award in an amount equal to the highest price paid to our shareholders in connection with the change of control, or the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the date of the change in control, whichever is greater and (2) each outstanding option and SAR will be cancelled in exchange for a cash payment for each share subject to the award (other than shares subject to tandem SARs) in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the amount determined as described in clause (1) over the applicable exercise price or base price of the award. If our shareholders receive solely publicly traded stock pursuant to the change in control, then all outstanding options will become fully exercisable, all restricted stock and performance awards will become fully vested and all performance measures will be deemed satisfied at the maximum level. In addition, an appropriate number of shares of the publicly traded stock received by our shareholders in any such transaction will be substituted for the shares of our stock subject to outstanding options, SARs and other awards, and an appropriate adjustment will be made to any applicable exercise or base price.

Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following is a brief summary of certain U.S. federal income tax consequences generally arising with respect to awards under the 2003 Plan.

A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time an option is granted and ServiceMaster will not be entitled to a tax deduction at that time. A participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (and subject to income tax withholding in respect of an employee) upon exercise of a non-qualified stock option equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares purchased over their exercise price, and ServiceMaster will be entitled to a corresponding deduction. A participant will not recognize income (except for purposes of the alternative minimum tax) upon exercise of an incentive stock option. If the shares acquired by the exercise of an incentive stock option are held for the longer of two years from the date the option was granted and one year from the date it was exercised, any gain or loss arising from a subsequent disposition of such shares will be taxed as long-term capital gain or loss, and ServiceMaster will not be entitled to any deduction. If, however, those shares are disposed of within the above-described period, then in the year of the disposition the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income equal to the excess of the lesser of (1) the amount realized upon the disposition and (2) the fair market value of those shares on the date of exercise over the exercise price, and ServiceMaster will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time SARs are granted and ServiceMaster will not be entitled to a tax deduction at such time. Upon exercise, the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (and subject to income tax withholding in respect of an employee) in an amount equal to the fair market value of any shares delivered and the amount of cash paid by ServiceMaster. This amount is deductible by ServiceMaster as compensation expense.

A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time a restricted stock award is granted and ServiceMaster will not be entitled to a tax deduction at such time, unless the participant makes an election under Section 83(b) of the Code to be taxed at such time. If that election is not made, the participant will

18

recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (and subject to income tax withholding in respect of an employee) at the time the restrictions lapse in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at such time over the amount, if any, paid for the shares. The amount of ordinary income recognized by making an election under Section 83(b) of the Code or upon the lapse of restrictions is deductible by ServiceMaster as compensation expense, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) of the Code apply. In addition, a participant receiving dividends with respect to restricted stock for which the above-described election has not been made and prior to the time the restrictions lapse will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (and subject to income tax withholding in respect of an employee), rather then dividend income, in an amount equal to the dividends paid and ServiceMaster will be entitled to a corresponding deduction, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) apply. A participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (and subject to income tax withholding in respect of an employee) at the time unrestricted stock is granted in an amount equal to the then fair market value of the stock. This amount is deductible by ServiceMaster as compensation expense, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) apply.

A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time performance shares are granted and ServiceMaster will not be entitled to a tax deduction at that time. Upon the settlement of performance shares, the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (and subject to income tax withholding in respect of an employee) in an amount equal to the fair market value of any shares delivered and the amount of cash paid by ServiceMaster. This amount is deductible by ServiceMaster as compensation expense, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) apply.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval of the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan.

19

Item 3 Proposal to Approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan

The Board of Directors is asking that the shareholders approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan (the "Bonus Plan"). The Board of Directors adopted the Bonus Plan on March 14, 2003, subject to shareholder approval.

The Bonus Plan is designed to retain and motivate officers and other employees of ServiceMaster who are designated by ServiceMaster to participate in the Bonus Plan for a specified performance period (a "Performance Period") by providing them with the opportunity to earn incentive payments based upon the extent to which specified performance goals have been achieved or exceeded for that performance period.

This proposal is intended to comply with the shareholder approval requirements under Section 162(m) of the Code so that ServiceMaster's payments of awards under the Plan are tax deductible under that Section.

Exhibit C to this proxy statement contains the complete text of the Bonus Plan which is summarized below.

All officers and employees of ServiceMaster are eligible to be selected for participation in the Bonus Plan. The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee will select the eligible employees who will participate in the Bonus Plan for a specified Performance Period (or will authorize the Chief Executive Officer or another executive officer of ServiceMaster to select such employees, other than certain senior executive officers), and will do so not later than 90 days after the beginning of the Performance Period, or if earlier the date on which 25% of the Performance Period has been completed (the "Applicable Period").

Under the Bonus Plan, payment of awards to participating employees is subject to the attainment of specific performance goals established by the Committee for each Performance Period, and other terms and conditions that may be established by the Committee during the Applicable Period. A participant may receive an award under the Bonus Plan based upon achievement of an objective performance goal or goals using one or more objective corporate-wide or subsidiary, division, operating unit or individual measures. With respect to bonuses payable to persons who are, or are expected to be, employed as the Chief Executive Officer of ServiceMaster or one of the four most highly compensated executive officers of ServiceMaster other than the Chief Executive Officer as of the last day of ServiceMaster's taxable year ("162(m) Covered Employees"), the applicable performance goals shall include the following objective performance measures: earnings per share; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation or amortization (or any combination thereof); direct margin; expense reduction; customer satisfaction survey results; employee satisfaction survey results; employee retention; net income; operating income; revenues; profit margin; cash flow(s); financial return ratios; return on equity; delivery of a comprehensive strategic business plan and strategic business criteria, consisting of one or more objectives based on achieving specified revenue, market penetration, or geographic business expansion goals, or cost targets, or goals relating to acquisitions or divestitures. Each such goal may be expressed on an absolute or relative basis and may include comparisons based on current internal targets, the past performance of ServiceMaster (including the performance of one or more subsidiaries, divisions, or operating units) or the past or current performance of other companies (or a combination of such past and current performance). In the case of earnings-based measures, performance goals may include comparisons relating to capital (including, but not limited to, the cost of capital), shareholders' equity, shares outstanding, assets or net assets, or any combination thereof.

Upon attainment of the relevant performance goals, a participant will be eligible to receive an award in cash determined pursuant to an objective formula or standard established at the same time the performance goals were established. The formula or standard may be based on an employee's base salary at the time or immediately before the performance goals for such Performance Period were established or on other fixed and determinable measures. In all cases the Committee has the sole and absolute discretion to reduce the amount of any payment under the Bonus Plan that would otherwise be made to any

20

participant or to decide that no payment shall be made. No participant will receive a payment under the Bonus Plan with respect to any Performance Period in excess of \$2,500,000, which maximum amount will be prorated with respect to Performance Periods that are less than one year in duration.

Determination of the performance compensation awarded to each participant is to be made as of the last day of each Performance Period following a certification by the Committee that the applicable performance goals were satisfied.

The Committee may delegate its responsibilities under the Bonus Plan to the Chief Executive Officer or such other executive officer of the Company as it deems appropriate, except that the Committee may not delegate its responsibilities with respect to bonuses payable to 162(m) Covered Employees.

No compensation will be paid under the Plan to 162(m) Covered Employees if the Bonus Plan is not approved by shareholders. If approved, the Bonus Plan will remain in effect until December 31, 2007, unless terminated earlier by the Board of Directors.

The actual amount of compensation that will be paid under the Bonus Plan, if the approval of shareholders is obtained, cannot be determined at this time.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** approval of the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan.

21

Item 4 Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditors

Upon the recommendation of the Audit and Finance Committee, the Board has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for 2003. A representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at the meeting. The representative will be given the opportunity to make a statement and respond to appropriate questions.

On May 20, 2002, ServiceMaster, with the approval of the Board of Directors and its Audit and Finance Committee, dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP as its independent auditors and engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP as its new independent auditors. The appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP became effective on May 22, 2002. During the two recent fiscal years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the interim period subsequent to December 31, 2001, and through May 20, 2002, there were no disagreements between ServiceMaster and Arthur Andersen LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to Arthur Andersen's satisfaction, would have caused Arthur Andersen to make reference to the subject matter in connection with its reports on ServiceMaster's consolidated financial statements for such periods. Arthur Andersen's report on ServiceMaster's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor was it qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 and the interim period from January 1, 2002 through May 20, 2002, there were no reportable events as described under Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K. During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, and through May 20, 2002, ServiceMaster did not consult with Deloitte & Touche LLP with respect to the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on ServiceMaster's consolidated financial statements, or any matter that was the subject of a disagreement or reportable event, as described in Items 304(a)(2)(i) and (ii) of Regulation S-K.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for 2003.

22

Ownership of our Common Stock

This table shows how many shares of ServiceMaster common stock certain individuals and entities beneficially owned on March 28, 2003, unless otherwise noted. These individuals and entities include: (1) owners of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, (2) our directors, (3) the five executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, and (4) all directors and executive officers as a group. A person has beneficial ownership over shares if the person has voting or investment power over the shares or the right to acquire that power within 60 days. Investment power means the power to direct the sale or other disposition of the shares. Each person has sole voting and investment power over the shares, except as we describe below.

Name of Beneficial Owner Number of Percentage of Shares Owned(1)

Class(%)

Ariel Capital Management, Inc. (2)	28,769,113	9.61
Southeastern Asset Management, Inc. (3)	24,039,105	8.03
Capital Research and Management Company (4)	16,554,300	5.57
Paul W. Berezny (5)	1,469,635	*
Mitchell T. Engel	42,186	*
Brian Griffiths	156,132	*
Sidney E. Harris	105,722	*
Roberto R. Herencia	0	*
Herbert P. Hess	310,377	*
Michele M. Hunt	35,861	*
Jim L. Kaput	139,024	*
James D. McLennan	105,772	*
Ernest J. Mrozek	1,313,555	*
Dallen W. Peterson	2,122,201	*
Steven C. Preston	753,348	*
Betty Jane Scheihing	0	*
Donald K. Soderquist (6)	11,784	*
Jonathan P. Ward (7)	1,145,348	*
David K. Wessner (8)	1,263,747	*
All directors and executive officers as a group		
(19 persons)	9,056,900	3.02
*Less than one percent.		

(1) Includes shares which the directors or named executive officers have the right to acquire prior to May 27, 2003 through the exercise of stock options as follows: Mr. Berezny, 127,318 shares; Mr. Engel, 40,000 shares; Mr. Griffiths, 156,132 shares; Mr. Harris, 104,035 shares; Mr. Hess, 86,565 shares; Ms. Hunt, 35,861 shares; Mr. Kaput, 97,541 shares; Mr. McLennan, 76,048 shares; Mr. Mrozek, 901,454 shares; Mr. Peterson, 30,375 shares; Mr. Preston, 727,570 shares; Mr. Soderquist, 9,000 shares; Mr. Ward, 968,605 shares; and Mr. Wessner, 122,546 shares. Does not include common stock equivalents under the Directors' Deferred Fees Plan or the ServiceMaster Deferred Compensation Plan. At December 31, 2002, the directors and named executive officers held common stock equivalents under those plans as follows: Mr Berezny, 1,347 shares; Mr. Engel, 2,636 shares; Mr. Griffiths, 763 shares; Mr. Harris, 9,710 shares; Mr. Hess, 80,682 shares; Mr. Kaput, 4,792 shares; Mr. McLennan, 3,353 shares; Mr. Mrozek, 2,958 shares; Mr. Peterson, 3,327 shares; Mr. Preston, 40,976 shares; and Mr. Wessner, 50,894 shares.

23

- (2) Ariel Capital Management, Inc. is an investment advisor located at 200 E. Randolph Drive, Suite 2900, Chicago, Illinois 60601. According to its Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as of December 31, 2002, it had sole voting power as to 24,422,129 shares and sole investment power as to 28,636,443 shares.
- (3) Southeastern Asset Management, Inc. is an investment advisor located at 6110 Poplar Avenue, Suite 900, Memphis, Tennessee 38199. According to its Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as of December 31, 2002, it had sole voting power as to 19,039,105 shares, no voting power as to 5,000,000 shares, sole investment power 23,971,605 shares and no investment power as to 67,500 shares.
- (4) Capital Research and Management Company is an investment advisor located at 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071. According to its Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as of December 31, 2002, it had sole investment power as to 16,554,300 shares.
- (5) Includes 540,064 shares as to which Mr. Berezny has shared voting and sole investment power, and 664,244 shares as to which he has shared voting and investment power. Mr. Berezny disclaims beneficial ownership of 61,192 shares owned by his child.
- (6) Includes 729 shares as to which Mr. Soderquist has shared voting and sole investment power.
- (7) Mr. Ward has the right to acquire 80,000 shares through the conversion of two debentures.

(8) Includes 264,632 shares as to which Mr. Wessner has sole voting and shared investment power, and 607,465 shares as to which Mr. Wessner has shared voting and investment power. Mr. Wessner is a director of Director Investment Co., he disclaims beneficial ownership of 545,620 shares by held by Director Investment Co.

24

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Report on Executive Compensation

All members of this Committee are "outside directors" within the meaning of regulations under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and "Non-Employee Directors" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee has overall responsibility for determining the total compensation of ServiceMaster's executive officers as well as administering the annual bonus plan, long-term performance award plan, stock-based compensation plans, 401(k) plan and deferred compensation plan. The Committee is comprised of four independent directors and no member of the Committee is a current or former employee of ServiceMaster or participates in any of the executive compensation programs.

Objectives

ServiceMaster's compensation plans for executive officers are designed to:

attract, motivate and retain the highest caliber executives;

align the interests of executive officers with those of the shareholders through the use of equity-based incentive awards that link a significant portion of compensation to stock performance; and

emphasize the relationship between pay and performance by placing a significant portion of compensation at risk and subject to the achievement of financial goals and other critical objectives.

To meet these objectives, the Committee considers objective and subjective factors in making pay decisions for the executive officers. These factors include competitive pay practices, historical compensation levels and individual performance. Companies used for executive compensation pay comparison purposes include a broad group of companies similar in size to ServiceMaster. These companies include some of the companies contained in the Standard & Poor's 1500 Diversified Commercial Services Index used in the performance graph.

Executive Officer Compensation Components

For 2002, the compensation package for executive officers consisted primarily of the following components:

base salary;

annual incentive compensation under our Annual Bonus ("ABP") plan;

awards under our Long-Term Performance Award ("LTPA") plan;

stock options; and

restricted stock awards.

Total Compensation

Total compensation is comprised of both annual and long-term compensation. Annual compensation consists of base salary and at-risk ABP and LTPA compensation. For executive officers, more than 50% of annual compensation is at-risk. Long-term compensation consists of stock options and restricted stock which links executive officers' long-term economic interest to that of the shareholders and encourages them to own and hold ServiceMaster stock.

In designing total compensation, base salaries are targeted at or below the median of comparable companies. When ServiceMaster achieves its performance targets for its ABP plan, base salary and ABP compensation will be equal to or slightly above the fiftieth percentile for

comparable companies. When ServiceMaster achieves its performance targets for its ABP plan and consistently achieves its performance targets for its LTPA plan, total compensation (base salary, ABP and LTPA compensation) is targeted to be at or slightly above the seventy-fifth percentile for comparable companies.

25

Base Salary

The Committee reviews each executive officer's salary taking into consideration comparable market data for similar positions, as provided by an independent outside consultant. The Committee also considers the executive's, the business unit's and ServiceMaster's performance. The base salary for the Chief Executive Officer is approved by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee.

Annual Incentive Compensation

Annual incentive compensation is awarded under the ABP plan. Shareholders are being asked to approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan, which is intended to replace the APB. See "Item 3 Proposal to Approve the ServiceMaster Annual Bonus Plan" for more information about that plan. The ABP provides for annual incentive compensation based upon the extent to which ServiceMaster and/or individual business units achieve their performance targets established at the beginning of the year. The percentage awarded to an executive can range from 0% up to 120% of base salary. In the case of the Chief Executive Officer, the percentage awarded can be up to 175% of base salary. No ABP payment is earned if performance is below a pre-determined threshold.

Long-Term Performance Award Plan

Awards under the LTPA plan, are based on achieving pre-established targets. The total target award for all participants in 2002 was 2.22% of pre-tax income for that year. LTPA payments are based on the following two factors: (1) achieving greater than 80% of budgeted pre-tax income from continuing operations; and (2) three-year average net income exceeding our cost of capital. If ServiceMaster achieves less than 80% of the budgeted pre-tax income from continuing operations the amount earned is zero. If the three-year average net income does not exceed our cost of capital is zero or below, then the amount earned is zero.

For administrative purposes, each participant's account has an administrative credit amount equal to the participant's target award. This administrative credit is designed to reduce the possibility of a payout that is substantially below or above the target award for the year. Each year, the amount earned is calculated and credited to the participant's account balance. Each participant receives an annual payment equal to 40% of his or her account balance. The remaining account balance is carried over to the following year. In no circumstances will the administrative credit be paid to a participant. If a calculated payout would otherwise reduce the account balance below the administrative credit, the payout is reduced to an amount that maintains the administrative credit amount. Superior financial performance, if sustained over time, can result in a payout above the target award for a particular year. Conversely, sustained poor financial performance can result in a payout below the target award for a particular year.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock

Stock options are the key element of the long-term compensation program. The number of stock options granted is based on the executive officer's position, experience and performance. Option grants are generally made to executive officers once a year. The options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of a share of common stock on the grant date and become exercisable over a five-year period. The 2002 options have a term of seven years.

On July 30, 2002, ServiceMaster announced it would begin accounting for stock options as compensation expense in accordance with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", beginning in 2003. Grants will be expensed over the stock option vesting period based on the fair value at the date the options are granted.

In 2000, ServiceMaster entered into a joint venture with Kleiner, Perkins, Caufield & Byers to develop an Internet company, WeServeHomes.com, Inc., a consolidated subsidiary of ServiceMaster. In order to align ServiceMaster's executive officers' interests with the success of WeServeHomes, in 2000 (2001 in the case of Mr. Ward) the Board of Directors of WeServeHomes granted stock options to ServiceMaster's executive officers. On December 26, 2001, ServiceMaster purchased the interest of Kleiner, Perkins,

Caufield & Byers in WeServeHomes. On January 11, 2002, WeServeHomes merged with a wholly owned subsidiary of ServiceMaster. As a result of that merger, each WeServeHomes option was converted into a ServiceMaster option at a ratio of 55.48 to 1 with an exercise price of \$13.87, the fair market value of our common stock on the date of conversion. See "Certain Transactions" WeServeHomes.com".

Two executive officers were granted 8,000 shares of restricted stock in January 2002 in recognition of their efforts in the successful sale of ServiceMaster's Management Services subsidiary in November 2001.

Chief Executive Officer

For 2002, Mr. Ward's base salary was \$725,000.

Under Mr. Ward's leadership in 2002, ServiceMaster implemented major initiatives in a variety of areas. Three major operational initiatives, Voice of the Customer, Voice of the Employee and Six Sigma were put into practice across ServiceMaster, yielding both process and financial improvements.

During the course of 2002, Mr. Ward brought new leaders and methods to our marketing, strategic sourcing, human resources, and risk management organizations. Measurable improvements were realized in purchasing of gas, chemicals, automobiles and trucks, basic supplies, advertising and real estate. Process enhancements were realized in the areas of performance management and the alignment of the leaders of ServiceMaster using a system of cascading objectives, goals, strategies, and measurements (OGSMs) throughout the organization.

Mr. Ward, together with our Board of Directors, addressed the area of corporate governance. Our Corporate Governance Principles were revised, Charters and Operating Guidelines were adopted for our Audit and Finance, Governance and Nominating, and Compensation and Leadership Development Committees, and the structure and composition of our Board and its Committees were changed.

Mr. Ward's ABP for 2002 was \$736,781 which was paid in accordance with the ABP Plan and represents 102% of Mr. Ward's base salary, or 68% of Mr. Ward's target. Mr. Ward's target LTPA award was \$663,000 for 2002. As a result of achieving 88% of targeted pre-tax income from continuing operations and achieving an average net income that exceeded the cost of capital, the amount earned by Mr. Ward in 2002 was \$585,000, which was credited to his account balance. Mr. Ward received a payout equal to 40% of his account balance, or \$518,720. In February 2002 Mr. Ward was granted a seven-year option to purchase 425,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$13.83.

Million Dollar Cap

Section 162(m) of the Code limits ServiceMaster's ability to deduct from its income compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to our named executive officers. The limitation does not apply to qualified performance-based compensation, provided that certain conditions are satisfied, including the attainment of performance goals approved by our shareholders. Our ABP, LTPA and 2000 Equity Incentive Plan allow for qualified performance-based compensation, nevertheless, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee retains the discretion it deems necessary to compensate executive officers in a manner commensurate with performance and competitive compensation levels even if as a result ServiceMaster is unable to deduct from its income all of the compensation paid to an executive officer.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee

David K. Wessner, *Chairman*Michele M. Hunt
Betty Jane Scheihing
Donald G. Soderquist
27

Executive Compensation

The following table summarizes the compensation paid during 2000, 2001 and 2002 to our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our four other most highly compensated executive officers. We refer to these five executive officers as the named executive officers.

Summary Compensation Table

Annual Compensation

Long Term Compensation

					Awards		Payouts
			Bonus	(\$)	Restricted	Securities Underlying	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	ABP	LTPA(1)	Stock Award(s) (\$)(2)	Options/SARs (#)(3)	All Other Compensation(\$)(4)
Jonathan P. Ward Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (April 2002 to present)	2002 2001	725,000 700,000	736,781 1,050,000	518,720 409,200		425,000 1,633,605	57,414 4,420
Ernest J. Mrozek President and Chief Operating Officer (April 2002 to present)	2002 2001 2000	550,331 450,000 380,000	386,375 337,500 294,000	438,104 286,440 93,543		300,000 250,000 177,163	5,200 4,420 4,760
Steven C. Preston Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2002 2001 2000	446,000 425,000 350,000	313,491 355,000(5) 0	363,104 286,440 92,218	110,800	100,000 250,000 202,523	5,200 4,420 2,210
Jim L. Kaput Senior Vice President and General Counsel	2002 2001 2000	350,000 260,000 172,499	256,413 256,000(5) 173,000	203,744 81,840 15,220	110,800	85,000 75,000 50,541	1,204 3,430 0
Mitchell T. Engel Chief Marketing Officer (since April 2002 to present)	2002	243,750	325,000	162,500		200,000	4,656

- (1)

 LTPA payouts are made (or credited, if a participant elects to defer payment pursuant to our deferred compensation plan) in cash.

 ServiceMaster must achieve at least 80% of budgeted pre-tax income from continuing operations and its three-year average net income, must exceed its cost of capital in order for an amount to be credited to the participant's account balance.
- Restricted stock awards were granted under the ServiceMaster 2000 Equity Incentive Plan and were valued at the closing price of ServiceMaster stock as of January 2, 2002, at \$13.85 per share, the day after the grant date. The shares vest in 20% increments on each anniversary grant date and fully vest on January 1, 2006. As of December 31, 2002, each of Mr. Preston and Mr. Kaput held 8,000 shares of restricted stock valued at \$88,800. Dividends are paid on restricted stock at the same rate and time as to all shareholders of ServiceMaster.
- Pursuant to the merger on January 11, 2002 of WeServeHomes into a wholly owned subsidiary of ServiceMaster, the incentive options to purchase WeServeHomes common stock granted in 2000 (2001 to Mr. Ward) were converted into incentive options to purchase ServiceMaster common stock at an exercise price of \$13.87 per share as follows: Mr. Ward, 3,605 shares; Mr. Mrozek, 2,163 shares; Mr. Preston, 2,523 shares; and Mr. Kaput, 541 shares. These options are reflected in the table as a portion of the options granted in 2000 (2001 to Mr. Ward). For more information about the transactions associated with WeServeHomes.com, see "Certain Transactions WeServeHomes.com".
- (4)
 All other compensation for 2002 consists of ServiceMaster contributions under our 401(k) plan and deferred compensation plan (Mr. Ward, \$5,200; Mr. Mrozek, \$5,200; Mr. Preston, \$5,200; and Mr. Engel, \$2,600), ServiceMaster matching contributions under the ESPP (Mr. Ward, \$27,005; Mr. Kaput, \$1,204; and Mr. Engel, \$2,056), and imputed interest income of \$25,209 on a loan to Mr. Ward.
- (5) Includes \$100,000 as a transaction bonus in connection with the sale of our Management Services subsidiary in November 2001.

The following table contains information about stock option grants made to the named executive officers in 2002.

Option Grants in 2002

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted(#)(1)	% of Total Options Granted to Employees in 2002	Exercise Price (\$/Sh)	Expiration Date	Grant Date Value(\$)(2)
Jonathan P. Ward	425,000	9.0	13.83	02/07/09	1,640,500
Ernest J. Mrozek	100,000 200,000	2.1 4.2	13.83 13.972	02/07/09 05/02/09	386,000 764,000
Steven C. Preston	100,000	2.1	13.83	02/07/09	386,000
Jim L. Kaput	85,000	1.8	13.83	02/07/09	328,100
Mitchell T. Engel	200,000	4.2	13.73	04/07/09	780,000

(1) Each option has an exercise price per share equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. Each option becomes exercisable in 20% increments on each anniversary of the grant date. Each option becomes fully exercisable upon a change in control.

In accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission rules, we have used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the grant date present value of the ServiceMaster options set forth in this table. Our use of this model should not be construed as an endorsement of its accuracy at valuing options. All stock option valuation models, including the Black-Scholes model, require a prediction about the future movement of the stock price. The actual value of the options in this table depends upon changes in the market price of stock during the applicable period. We made the following assumptions when calculating the grant date present value of ServiceMaster options: the option will be exercised after six years, volatility of 31.6%, annual dividend yield of 3% and an interest rate of 4.7%.

Aggregated Option Exercises in 2002 and Year-End 2002 Option Values

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise(#)	Value Realized(\$)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at December 31, 2002 Exercisable/Unexercisable(#)	Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at December 31, 2002 Exercisable/Unexercisable(\$)(1)
Jonathan P. Ward	0	0	633,605/1,425,000	378,000/600,000
Ernest J. Mrozek	0	0	635,357/691,109	401,938/362,750
Steven C. Preston	0	0	630,570/629,611	217,000/398,000
Jim L. Kaput	0	0	55,541/205,000	55,700/105,300
Mitchell T. Engel	0	0	0/200,000	0/0

(1) Based on the closing price of ServiceMaster common stock of \$11.10 on December 31, 2002.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Except as described in the first footnote, the following table contains information, as of December 31, 2002, about common stock that may be issued under our equity compensation plans. The table does not include information regarding the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, for which we are seeking shareholder approval at the annual meeting. See "Item 2" Proposal to Approve the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan" for information about the proposed plan.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	O	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Varrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	29,213,156	\$	12.2479	11,789,315(1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	3,762,194(2)	\$	15.9042(3)	(4)
Total	32,975,350			11,789,315

(1) Reflects shares available under the ServiceMaster 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, including up to 375,000 shares available for restricted stock awards, as well as shares available under the ServiceMaster 2001 Directors Stock Plan, including up to 2,700,000 shares available for restricted stock awards.

The 2001 Directors Stock Plan, as approved by shareholders, did not have a termination date and permitted awards up to 700,000 shares annually, including restricted stock awards of up to 300,000 shares. In January 2003 the Board amended the 2001 Directors Stock Plan to provide that it will terminate in April 2011. The information in this table is presented as if that amendment had been effective on December 31, 2002.

Includes options to purchase ServiceMaster common stock issued in connection with ServiceMaster's acquisition of Long-Term Care Services, Inc., American Residential Services, Inc. and LandCare USA, Inc., as well as options to purchase ServiceMaster common stock issued in connection with the merger of WeServeHomes.com into a wholly owned subsidiary of ServiceMaster. Upon the consummation of each of these transactions, each outstanding option to purchase common stock of the acquired company was converted into an option to purchase shares of ServiceMaster common stock. ServiceMaster cannot grant any additional awards under the equity compensation plans pursuant to which the options that were converted were originally issued. The following table reflects information with respect to the options described in this footnote that were outstanding at December 31, 2002.

Transaction	Number of Option Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		
I T C S	1 004	¢	2.2549	
Long-Term Care Services	1,884	\$	2.2548	
American Residential Services	422,993	\$	39.2726	
LandCare USA	352,157	\$	15.0772	
WeServeHomes	197,149	\$	13.87	

Does not reflect 524,418 shares issuable pursuant to the ServiceMaster Deferred Compensation Plan or 258,593 shares issuable pursuant to The ServiceMaster Company 2002 Directors Deferred Fees Plan, because the concept of an exercise price is not meaningful under either plan. The material features of each plan are described below.

(3)

30

(4)

None of the ServiceMaster Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"), the ServiceMaster International Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "International ESPP"), the ServiceMaster Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Deferred Compensation Plan") or The ServiceMaster Company 2002 Directors Deferred Fees Plan (the "Directors Deferred Fees Plan") contains a limit on the number of

The material features of each of our equity compensation plans that was adopted without shareholder approval are described below:

shares available thereunder. The material features of each plan are described below.

ESPP. The ESPP is not a qualified employee stock purchase plan under Section 423 of the Code. Employees with at least 90 consecutive days of service can participate in the ESPP. Each participant can elect to have any percentage or dollar amount of his or her salary deducted from what would otherwise be paid to the participant and credited to the participant's account under the plan. ServiceMaster will credit to each participant's account an amount equal to 15% of the participant's payroll deduction on a monthly basis. The credit will be determined only on payroll deductions not in excess of 10% of the participant's monthly compensation. Amounts are credited to each participant's account as soon as administratively possible after the last day of the calendar month. Shares are purchased for each participant's account at fair market value.

International ESPP. The International ESPP is not a qualified employee stock purchase plan under Section 423 of the Code. United Kingdom employees with at least 12 months of service can participate in the International ESPP. Each participant can elect to have any percentage or dollar amount of his or her salary deducted from what would otherwise be paid to the participant and credited to the participant's account under the plan. ServiceMaster will credit to each participant's account an amount equal to 15% of the participant's payroll deduction on a quarterly basis. The credit will be determined only on payroll deductions not in excess of 10% of the participant's previous year's earnings. Amounts are credited to each participant's account as soon as administratively possible after the last day of the calendar month. Shares are purchased for each participant's account at fair market value.

Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan allows participants to defer the receipt of a portion of their compensation. Deferred compensation is credited to a bookkeeping account, where it is deemed invested in a variety of investment vehicles, including a share equivalent account. A share equivalent is a bookkeeping unit credit equivalent to one share of our common stock. Amounts deferred into a share equivalent account may not be transferred into other investment alternatives. Share equivalents are paid in shares of ServiceMaster common stock upon distribution.

Directors Deferred Fees Plan. The Directors Deferred Fees Plan allows non-employee directors to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual retainer and meeting fees. Deferred amounts are credited to a bookkeeping account, where it is deemed invested in a variety of investment vehicles, including a share equivalent account. A share equivalent is bookkeeping unit credit equivalent to one share of our common stock. Amounts deferred into the share equivalent account may not be transferred into other investment alternatives. Share equivalents are paid in shares of ServiceMaster common stock upon distribution.

Certain Options and Convertible Debentures

On January 9, 2001, in connection with his becoming President and CEO of ServiceMaster, Mr. Ward was granted an option to purchase 1,630,000 shares of ServiceMaster common stock, of which 1,380,000 shares are not subject to a shareholder approved plan. The option has an exercise price of \$10.50 per share. This option was exercisable as to 380,000 shares as of the January 9, 2001 grant date and becomes exercisable as to an additional 250,000 shares on December 31 in each of the years 2001 through 2005.

On March 16, 2001, Mr. Pollard was granted an option to purchase 250,000 shares of ServiceMaster common stock. The option has an exercise price of \$10.52 per share. This option becomes exercisable as to 50,000 shares on March 16 in each of the years 2002 through 2006.

31

On February 8, 2002, Mr. Ward was granted an option to purchase 425,000 shares of ServiceMaster common stock, of which 175,000 shares are not subject to a shareholder approved plan. The option has an exercise price of \$13.83 per share. This option becomes exercisable as to 85,000 shares on February 8 in each of the years 2002 through 2006.

In January 2001, Mr. Ward purchased from ServiceMaster a 5.50% convertible debenture due January 9, 2011, with a face value of \$1,050,000. In May 2001, Mr. Ward purchased a second 5.50% convertible debenture due May 10, 2011, with a face value of \$1,083,000. Each

debenture is convertible into 100,000 shares of ServiceMaster common stock. Each debenture becomes convertible into 20,000 shares of ServiceMaster common stock on December 31 in each of the years 2001 through 2005. See "Agreements with Officers and Directors" Jonathan P. Ward" for additional information concerning the debentures.

32

Agreements with Officers and Directors

Jonathan Ward

On February 12, 2001, Jonathan Ward became the President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of ServiceMaster. Mr. Ward also became a member of the Executive Committee of our Board. Mr. Ward and ServiceMaster have entered into an employment agreement. Mr. Ward receives an annual salary of not less than \$700,000. Mr. Ward's annual bonus target is 150% of his salary. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Ward was granted 1,000 participation units under our 2001 Long-Term Performance Award Plan.

In January 2001, Mr. Ward purchased from ServiceMaster a 5.50% convertible debenture due January 9, 2011, with a face value of \$1,050,000. ServiceMaster loaned Mr. Ward the entire amount of the purchase price through a 5.50% full recourse loan due January 9, 2011. In May 2001, Mr. Ward purchased a second 5.50% convertible debenture due May 10, 2011, with a face value of \$1,083,000. ServiceMaster loaned 50% of the purchase price of this second debenture with a 5.50% full recourse note due May 10, 2011. Each debenture becomes convertible into 20,000 shares of ServiceMaster common stock on December 31 on each of the years 2001 through 2005. The due date of each loan may be accelerated (1) by ServiceMaster after an event of default under the loan, (2) if the related debenture is redeemed by ServiceMaster after Mr. Ward's termination of employment for any reason other than death, retirement, disability, termination by ServiceMaster without cause, termination by Mr. Ward for good reason, or termination of Mr. Ward's employment after a person has become the owner of at least 35% of our common stock, or (3) if the maturity date of the related debenture is accelerated by Mr. Ward after an event of default under the debenture. At March 28, 2003, the balance of the loan for the first debenture was \$1,050,000 and the balance of the loan for the second debenture was \$1,500.

In connection with Mr. Ward's agreement to become our Chief Executive Officer, ServiceMaster loaned Mr. Ward \$500,000. This loan is due upon the earlier of January 16, 2006 and, Mr. Ward's termination of employment for any reason. The maturity date of the loan may be accelerated by ServiceMaster after an event of default under the loan. This loan is full recourse and without interest. At March 28, 2003, the balance of this loan was \$500,000.

Additional information regarding Mr. Ward's compensation is included under "Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Report on Executive Compensation" and "Executive Compensation".

Mitchell Engel

On April 1, 2002, Mitchell Engel became the Chief Marketing Officer of ServiceMaster. In accepting the position of Chief Marketing Officer, Mr. Engel was required to close down a successful consulting business. ServiceMaster and Mr. Engel entered into an employment agreement upon his acceptance of employment. Mr. Engel receives an annual salary of not less than \$325,000. Mr. Engel's annual bonus target is 100% of his salary. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Engel was also granted 325 participation units under our 2001 Long-Term Performance Award Plan. Mr. Engel was also granted an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$13.73. The option becomes exercisable as to 40,000 shares on April 8 in each of the years 2003 through 2007.

In the event Mr. Engel's employment with ServiceMaster is terminated on or prior to March 31, 2004, by ServiceMaster without cause or by Mr. Engel for good reason, Mr. Engel will receive separation payments and benefits including (1) an annual salary for the period commencing on the termination date and ending on the one-year anniversary of the termination date, (2) two times target annual bonus for the termination year less any annual bonus paid for the period on or prior to March 31, 2004, (3) continued vesting of all equity awards through March 31, 2004, (4) full vesting of deferred compensation benefits, and

33

(5) continuation of group/executive benefits, including among other things, health, life, disability and the 401(k) plan.

In the event Mr. Engel's employment with ServiceMaster is terminated on or after April 1, 2004 by ServiceMaster without cause, Mr. Engel will receive (1) an annual base salary in effect at the time of termination and ending on the one-year anniversary of the termination date, (2) one times target annual bonus for the termination year, (3) vesting of all equity awards through the one-year anniversary of the termination date, (4) full vesting of deferred compensation benefits, and (5) continuation of group/executive benefits.

C. William Pollard

On January 24, 2003, C. William Pollard retired from service as a director of ServiceMaster. In November 1980, ServiceMaster and Mr. Pollard entered into a Deferred Compensation and Salary Continuation Agreement relating to Mr. Pollard's retirement from service as a full-time employee. That agreement was amended and restated on June 30, 1987. ServiceMaster and Mr. Pollard entered into an agreement as of April 24, 2001 relating to Mr. Pollard's retirement as Chairman of the Board and which amended and restated the prior agreement. Effective as of May 1, 2002, he agreed to provide consulting services on various matters relating to the operation, administration and management of ServiceMaster. The agreement is effective until the date of Mr. Pollard's death.

Mr. Pollard receives an annual salary of \$300,000. In the event Mr. Pollard predeceases his wife, ServiceMaster will pay Mrs. Pollard \$200,000 annually until the date of her death. If a change in control occurs while payments are being made pursuant to the agreement, ServiceMaster will purchase an insurance policy providing for the continuation of payments under the agreement or, at ServiceMaster's election, Mr. Pollard (or Mrs. Pollard if he predeceases her) will be entitled to receive a lump sum amount that is the actuarial equivalent of the aggregate amount payable to Mr. Pollard under the agreement had the change in control not occurred.

Mr. Pollard receives those employee benefits which ServiceMaster makes available to its executives, including but not limited to health benefits, an office and the services of a secretary, the use of an automobile and one club membership designated by Mr. Pollard. Under the agreement, each option to purchase common stock held by Mr. Pollard became fully exercisable and expires on June 1, 2013. In exchange for these benefits, Mr. Pollard agreed to non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions.

Carlos Cantu

On January 24, 2003, Carlos Cantu retired from service as a director of ServiceMaster. In June 2001, ServiceMaster and Mr. Cantu entered into an agreement as of April 24, 2001 where Mr. Cantu agreed to provide consulting services on various matters relating to the operation, administration and management of ServiceMaster. The agreement is effective until the date of Mr. Cantu's death.

Mr. Cantu receives an annual salary of \$300,000. In the event Mr. Cantu predeceases his wife, ServiceMaster will pay Mrs. Cantu \$200,000 annually until the date of her death. If a change in control occurs while payments are being made pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Cantu (or Mrs. Cantu if he predeceases her) will be entitled to receive a lump sum amount that is the actuarial equivalent of the aggregate amount payable to Mr. Cantu under the agreement had the change in control not occurred.

Mr. Cantu receives those employee benefits which ServiceMaster makes available to its executives, including but not limited to health benefits, an office and the services of a secretary, the use of an automobile and one club membership designated by Mr. Cantu. Under the agreement, each option to purchase common stock held by Mr. Cantu became fully exercisable and expires on November 9, 2008. In exchange for these benefits, Mr. Cantu agreed to non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions.

34

Change in Control Severance Agreements

ServiceMaster has entered into Change in Control Severance Agreements with the following named executive officers: Jonathan Ward, Ernest Mrozek, Steven Preston, Jim Kaput and Mitchell Engel, and certain other officers. These agreements were a result of a determination by the Board that it was in the best interests of ServiceMaster and its shareholders to secure the continued service, dedication and objectivity of its officers in the event of a possible change in control of ServiceMaster.

A "change in control" includes (1) an acquisition by a person or group of 25% or more of ServiceMaster's common stock (other than an acquisition from or by ServiceMaster or by a ServiceMaster benefit plan), (2) a change in a majority of the Board, (3) the consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation or sale of substantially all of ServiceMaster's assets (unless stockholders receive 60% or more of the stock of the resulting company), or (4) a liquidation or dissolution of ServiceMaster.

Benefits are payable under the agreements only if a change in control has occurred and within two years after the change in control the officer's employment is terminated for any reason other than by ServiceMaster for cause, by the officer without good reason or upon the officer's

death or disability. The benefits payable under the agreements with our named executive officers include a lump sum cash payment consisting of (1) three times the named executive officer's highest base annual salary during the prior 12 months, and (2) three times the named executive officer's target annual bonus and target long-term performance award bonus for the year in which the change in control occurs. The named executive officer would also be entitled to: (1) the named executive officer's salary through the date of termination, (2) a pro rated annual bonus and long-term performance award payout through the date of termination based upon the target bonus or award for the year in which the change in control occurs, (3) any amounts held back under the long-term performance award plan in respect of a prior period would become vested, due and payable, (4) a payout of previously deferred compensation, and (5) the value of any unvested employer contributions to the 401(k) plan or deferred compensation plan. ServiceMaster must also maintain insurance on behalf of the named executive officer and his dependents for three years. The agreements provide that if payments thereunder subject the named executive officer to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, ServiceMaster will make an additional payment to the named executive officer equal to the excise tax on such payment.

The agreements are not employment agreements, and do not impair the right of ServiceMaster to terminate the employment of the named executive officer with or without cause prior to a change in control.

35

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the five-year cumulative total return to our shareholders with the five-year cumulative return as determined under the Standard & Poor's 500 Index and under the Standard & Poor's 1500 Diversified Commercial Services Index. Prior to 2003, we used the S&P Services (Commercial/Consumer) Super Index. The S&P Services (Commercial/Consumer) Super Index, however, is no longer provided by S&P and is not included in the performance graph for that reason.

Comparison of Five Year Cumulative Total Return*

Among ServiceMaster, the S&P 500 Index and the S&P 1500 Diversified Commercial Services Index

*\$100 invested on 12/31/97 in stock or index including reinvestment of dividends.

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
ServiceMaster	100	115.00	65.56	63.38	78.77	65.48
S&P 500 Index	100	128.58	155.63	141.46	124.65	97.10
S&P 1500 Diversified Commercial Services Index	100	88.36	76.97	75.60	87.89	74.27

36

Certain Transactions

WeServeHomes.com

In November 1999, ServiceMaster organized WeServeHomes.com, Inc., an Internet company, to provide comprehensive on-line solutions for home services, products, and information. On January 19, 2000, in connection with the offering of series B preferred stock, Kleiner, Perkins, Caufield & Byers purchased 6,000,000 shares of series B preferred stock at a price of \$2.50 per share and ServiceMaster purchased 32,000,000 shares of series A preferred stock at a price of \$2.50 per share. On May 12, 2000, WeServeHomes sold a total of 400,000 shares of series B preferred stock to eighteen officers of ServiceMaster at a price of \$2.50 per share. ServiceMaster made full recourse loans to fifteen of those officers to finance the purchase of these shares, including the following executive officers: Ernest Mrozek (40,000 shares for \$100,000) and Steven Preston (40,000 shares for \$100,000). On February 5, 2001, Kleiner Perkins exercised its warrant to purchase 2,000,000 shares of series B preferred stock at a price of \$2.50 per share and ServiceMaster purchased 4,000,000 additional shares of series A preferred stock at a price of \$2.50 per share. On May 12, 2001, WeServeHomes sold 50,000 shares of series B preferred stock to Jonathan Ward at a price of \$2.50 per share. ServiceMaster made a full recourse loan to Mr. Ward of \$125,000 to finance the purchase of these shares.

In December 2001, the Audit and Finance Committee retained an independent firm to render a valuation opinion in connection with ServiceMaster's potential purchase of the equity interests in WeServeHomes held by Kleiner Perkins and ServiceMaster officers, as well as the conversion of options to purchase WeServeHomes common stock into options to purchase ServiceMaster common stock. On December 26, 2001, after obtaining an independent valuation opinion, ServiceMaster purchased the interest of Kleiner Perkins in WeServeHomes at a price of \$2.50 per share. On January 11, 2002, WeServeHomes merged into ServiceMaster Holding Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of ServiceMaster. In connection with the merger, ServiceMaster purchased all of the shares of series B preferred stock owned by officers of ServiceMaster for \$2.50 per share, including the following executive officers: Ernest Mrozek, C. William Pollard, Steven Preston and Jonathan Ward. The proceeds from the sale were used by those officers with outstanding promissory notes executed in connection with the purchase of series B preferred stock to pay the unpaid principal amount. As described in note (3) to the Summary Compensation Table, in connection with the merger the incentive stock options to purchase ServiceMaster common stock.

Loan Guaranty

In June 2002, we renewed a guaranty of loan between a commercial bank and Donald Karnes, President of TruGreen, Inc. Mr. Karnes had previously taken out a loan in connection with a private acquisition of our common stock. When the original loan became due in 2000, we guaranteed a replacement loan with the commercial bank in order to facilitate Mr. Karnes' retention of his shares of our common stock. As a condition to ServiceMaster's renewed guaranty, Mr. Karnes executed a new reimbursement agreement in favor of ServiceMaster which continues to give ServiceMaster a lien on real property. At March 28, 2003, the loan amount to Mr. Karnes was \$13,700,000. Under the terms of the loan, if ServiceMaster's stock price closes below \$9 for 10 consecutive business days, ServiceMaster would be in default under the security agreement. During the 10 consecutive business days prior to March 28, 2003, ServiceMaster stock did not close below \$9 per share. The amount of our guaranty (which fluctuates with changes in ServiceMaster's stock price) was approximately \$5,600,000 and the value of the common stock pledged by Mr. Karnes to the commercial bank as collateral for the loan was approximately \$16,200,000.

37

Mr. Nick Rossi, a shareholder, has submitted a letter to ServiceMaster requesting that the proposal set forth below be submitted to our shareholders for consideration at the 2003 annual meeting. Mr. Rossi has stated that he or someone acting on his behalf intends to introduce the following proposal at the meeting. Mr. Rossi's address is P. O. Box 249, Boonville, CA 95415. When Mr. Rossi's refers to the "poison pill" he is referring to ServiceMaster's rights plan that was adopted by the Board of Directors in 1997. The Board of Directors opposes this shareholder proposal for the reasons set forth below the proposal.

Proxies solicited by management will be voted against the shareholder proposal unless shareholders specify a contrary choice in their proxies.

In accordance with applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have set forth Mr. Rossi's proposal below, for which ServiceMaster accepts no responsibility:

Shareholder Vote Regarding Poison Pills This topic won an average 60%-yes vote at 50 companies in 2002

This is to recommend that our Board of Directors redeem any poison pill previously issued (if applicable) and not adopt or extend any poison pill unless such adoption or extension has been submitted to a shareholder vote.

This topic won an average 60%-yes vote at 50 companies in 2002 according to the Investor Responsibility Research Center tabulation on Average Voting Results, December 2002.

Harvard Report

A 2001 report by Harvard and Wharton authors found that good corporate governance (which took into account whether a company had a poison pill) was positively and significantly related to company value. The report is titled, "Corporate Governance and Equity Prices," July 2001, by Paul A. Gompers, Harvard University, Joy L. Ishii, Harvard University and Andrew Metrick, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. This report reviewed the relationship between the corporate governance index for 1,500 companies and company performance from 1990 to 1999.

Some believe that a company with good governance will perform better over time, leading to a higher stock price. Others see good governance as a means of reducing risk, as they believe it decreases the likelihood of bad things happening to a company. Source: "Putting a Value on Governance," *Directors & Boards*, Spring 1997.

Since the 1980s Fidelity, a mutual fund giant with \$800 billion invested, has withheld votes for directors at companies that have approved poison pills, *Wall Street Journal*, June 12, 2002.

Council of Institutional Investors Recommendation

The Council of Institutional Investors *www.cii.org* an organization of 120 pension funds which invests \$1.5 trillion, called for shareholder approval of poison pills. The Council of Institutional Investors' recommendation is documented in Council Policies, Corporate Governance Policies, Core Policies, Shareholder Voting Rights, Item 5.b., approved March 25, 2002.

In recent years, various companies have been willing to redeem existing poison pills or seek shareholder approval for their poison pill. This includes Columbia/HCA and McDermott International. I believe that our company should follow suit and allow shareholder input.

Allow Shareholder Vote regarding Poison Pills Yes on 5

38

ServiceMaster's Response

The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

In December 1997, the Board of Directors adopted a Rights Agreement to protect the shareholders in the event of certain unsolicited attempts to acquire control of ServiceMaster. According to a report published by the Investor Responsibility Research Center ("IRRC") in 2003, plans similar to the Rights Agreement have been adopted by over 2,000 U.S. companies and a majority of the companies included in the S&P 500 Stock Index. The Board of Directors believes that the continuation of ServiceMaster's Rights Agreement is in the best interests of ServiceMaster and its shareholders.

The Rights Agreements is intended to encourage a potential bidder for ServiceMaster to negotiate with the Board of Directors prior to any takeover attempt, thereby strengthening the Board's leverage in such negotiations. The Rights Agreement also allows the Board a greater period of time in which it can properly evaluate an acquisition offer. ServiceMaster's Rights Agreement is not intended to, and does not preclude unsolicited, non-abusive offers to acquire ServiceMaster at a fair price. The terms of ServiceMaster's Rights Agreement allow the Board to redeem the rights to permit an acquisition that it determines, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, reflects the full value of ServiceMaster and is fair to all shareholders. The Rights Agreement therefore strengthens the Board's ability, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, to protect and maximize the value of ServiceMaster for all shareholders.

The economic benefits of a rights plan to shareholders have been validated in several studies. A study published in November 1997 by Georgeson & Company found that companies with rights plans received \$13 billion dollars in additional takeover premiums during the period 1992 to 1996. The Georgeson study also concluded that (1) premiums paid to acquire target companies with rights plans were on average eight percentage points higher than premiums paid for target companies that did not have such plans, (2) the presence of a rights plan did not increase the likelihood of the defeat of a hostile takeover bid or the withdrawal of a friendly bid, and (3) rights plans did not reduce the likelihood that a company would become a takeover target. Evidence suggests that rights plans achieve their principal objectives: protection against inadequate offers and abusive tactics and increased bargaining power of the Board resulting in higher value for shareholders.

The Board of Directors disagrees with many of the "supporting statements" contained in this proposal and believes that many are misleading, outdated, and/or out of context.

Based on its business experience and knowledge of ServiceMaster and the environment in which it operates, the Board of Directors believes the Rights Agreement in the shareholders best interest.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **AGAINST** the Shareholder Proposal.

39

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers to file reports of holdings and transactions in our common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange. To our knowledge, all required reports were filed on time except one Form 4 was filed late covering two gifts of our common stock made in 1999 by David Wessner. In addition, as a result of a clerical error, Mitchell Engel failed to timely file one Form 4 report on a timely basis, covering four acquisitions of common stock equivalents through payroll deduction under the ServiceMaster Deferred Compensation Plan.

Shareholder Proposals and Other Business

The 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is expected to be held on April 23, 2004. In order to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2004 annual meeting, a shareholder proposal must be received at our principal executive offices at 3250 Lacey Road, Suite 600, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515 by December 18, 2003. In addition, our Bylaws establish an advance notice procedure for shareholder proposals to be brought before our annual meeting of shareholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to the Board. A shareholder proposal or nomination intended to be brought before the 2004 annual meeting must be delivered to the Corporate Secretary no earlier than the close of business on February 6, 2004 and no later than the close of business on March 7, 2004. All proposals and nominations should be directed to Sandra Groman, Corporate Secretary, 3250 Lacey Road, Suite 600, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515.

The Board and our management have not received notice of and are not aware of any business to come before the 2003 annual meeting other than the items we refer to in this proxy statement. If any other matter comes before the annual meeting, the persons on our proxy committee will use their best judgment in voting the proxies.

We have mailed our 2002 Annual Report to Shareholders in connection with this proxy solicitation. If you would like a copy of our Form 10-K excluding certain exhibits, please contact the Corporate Secretary at the following address: The ServiceMaster Company,

3250 Lacey Road, Suite 600, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515.

Please vote by telephone or the Internet or sign, date and return the enclosed proxy or voting instruction form in the prepaid envelope. If you vote promptly, we may be able to avoid the expense of a second mailing.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Sandra L. Groman
Vice President and Corporate Secretary

partners who are not the lead or

concurring partner.

40

Exhibit A

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

	SARBANES-OXL	EY ACT OF 2002			
Summary of Selected Provisions of Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOA")	Status of SEC Rulemaking	Application to ServiceMaster			
	Auditor Independence				
Section 201. Prohibits auditor from providing certain non-audit services, including bookkeeping services related to accounting records or financial statements, financial information systems design and implementation, fairness opinions, appraisal, actuarial, internal audit outsourcing and legal services, and management functions and human resource services.	New SEC rules effective May 6, 2003, subject to certain transition rules.	In October 2002, our Audit and Finance Committee approved a Public Accounting Firm Policy that prohibits our auditor from providing the non-audit services set forth in the SOA. The Committee will review our Public Accounting Firm Policy in light of the new SEC rules to determine whether our Policy should be revised.			
Section 202. Generally, the audit committee must pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided by a company's auditor. Non-audit services must be disclosed in SEC reports.	Pre-approval and disclosure requirements effective for ServiceMaster's fiscal year beginning January 1, 2004. Disclosure of pre-approval policies and non-audit services is required in proxy statement to be filed in connection with ServiceMaster's 2004 shareholders' meeting.	Our Public Accounting Firm Policy requires our Audit and Finance Committee to pre-approve both audit and non-audit services to be provided to ServiceMaster or its subsidiaries by our auditor. Our Policy also requires public disclosure of non-audit services in accordance with laws and regulations.			
Section 203. Auditor may not provide audit services to a company if the lead or concurring audit partner has performed audit services for the company in five previous years.	Audit partner rotation rules generally effective May 6, 2003. New SEC rule also requires rotation after seven years of audit partners who are not the lead or concurring partner.	Our Public Accounting Firm Policy prohibits our auditor from providing audit services if either the lead or concurring audit partner has performed audit services in the five previous years. Our Audit and Finance Committee will review our Policy in light of the new SEC rules regarding rotation of audit			

Summary of Selected Provisions of Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOA")

Status of SEC Rulemaking

Application to ServiceMaster

Section 204. Auditor must timely report critical accounting policies, alternative accounting treatments and material communications with management prior to issuance of auditor's report.

New SEC auditor disclosure rule effective May 6, 2003.

Our Audit and Finance Committee will review our Public Accounting Firm Policy to determine whether to reflect the new SEC rule in our Policy.

A-1

Section 206. Auditor may not provide audit services to a company if the company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or controller was employed by the auditor and participated in the audit of the company during the year prior to the start of the audit.

New SEC rule expands employment restrictions to apply also to a company's treasurer, general counsel and chief internal audit officer. One-year "cooling-off" period effective for employment relationships that commence after May 6, 2003. Our Public Accounting Firm
Policy prohibits our auditor from
providing audit services if our
Chief Executive Officer, Chief
Operating Officer, Chief Financial
Officer or Controller was
employed by our auditor during
the year prior to the start of the
audit. Our Audit and Finance
Committee will review our Policy
in light of the new SEC rule to
determine whether our Policy
should be revised.

Corporate Responsibility

Section 301. Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the auditor. Auditor reports directly to audit committee.

New SEC rules announced April 1, 2003 and become effective on the date of ServiceMaster's 2004 shareholders' meeting. Under our Audit and Finance Committee's audit charter, the Committee recommends to our Board the annual selection of our auditor; reviews the scope and results of the annual audit, our accounting principles, policies and practices, and the adequacy of our controls; and is responsible for reviewing and appraising our auditor's performance. Pursuant to New York Stock Exchange requirements, the Committee annually reviews its audit charter and will reflect any new SEC rule regarding oversight of our auditor in the charter.

ServiceMaster will evaluate the SEC's final rules and New York Stock Exchange rule-making activity to determine whether our Audit and Finance Committee members meet the new independence requirements.

ServiceMaster has a toll-free compliance "hotline" which persons may use, especially if a person believes normal channels of support are not available or a response has been inadequate.

All audit committee members must be "independent" and may not accept any consulting, advisory or other fee from ServiceMaster and cannot be an "affiliated person" of ServiceMaster.

The audit committee must establish procedures for receiving and dealing with complaints about accounting, internal controls or audit matters, including anonymous and confidential

employee concerns.

Our hotline is confidential and anonymous. We will review our practices in light of the new SEC rules.

A-2

The audit committee must have the authority to hire independent counsel and other advisors.

Section 302. Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer must make certain certifications in each quarterly and annual report filed with the SEC.

New SEC certification rules effective August 29, 2002. Chief **Executive Officer and Chief** Financial Officer must certify they have reviewed the report; the report is not materially misleading; the financial statements fairly present the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows; they are responsible for disclosure controls and procedures, have evaluated their effectiveness and presented their conclusions on their effectiveness; they have disclosed to audit committee and auditors significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal controls and any fraud involving employees who have a significant role in internal controls; and whether there have been any significant changes in internal controls since their evaluation.

The Committee has authority to retain advisors and has engaged a special advisor for accounting matters.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer began making the required certifications in connection with our third quarter 2002 report filed in November 2002 with the SEC. ServiceMaster has instituted procedures designed to enhance our disclosure controls and procedures.

Section 306. Directors and executive officers are prohibited from trading ServiceMaster equity securities during a pension fund blackout period.

SEC final rules effective January 26, 2003.

We have reviewed the final rules. ServiceMaster does not have regular blackout periods for its affected plans. In addition, appropriate personnel have been notified of the new rules in the event a blackout is proposed.

Enhanced Financial Disclosures

Section 401. Companies must disclose all material correcting adjustments that have been identified by the auditor.

Section 401 is effective but cannot be implemented until the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board takes further action.

ServiceMaster will make any required disclosures.

A-3

Companies must disclose in SEC reports, off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements and obligations that may have a material current or future effect.

Disclosures regarding off-balance sheet matters must be made beginning with ServiceMaster's annual report on Form 10-K for year ending December 31, 2003 to ServiceMaster has formed a disclosure committee to review SEC filings and other public statements.

be filed with SEC in March 2004.

Companies that use non-GAAP financial measures in SEC filings or public statements may not present them in a materially misleading manner and must reconcile them to comparable GAAP measures.

Final rules effective March 28, 2003. Companies must present most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, reconcile non-GAAP financial measure to most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, and must file quarterly and annual sales and earnings releases with SEC.

ServiceMaster began complying with the new SEC rule in March 2003, including filing its March 17, 2003 annual sales and earnings release with the SEC.

Section 402. Prohibits extensions of credit for personal loans to directors and executive officers. Effective July 30, 2002.

No SEC rules adopted.

ServiceMaster has instituted review procedures to prevent the extension of credit for personal loans to directors and executive officers.

Section 403. Directors, executive officers and ten percent stockholders must file reports of ownership of equity securities generally within two business days after a transaction occurs.

Final rules effective August 29, 2002.

In July 2002, ServiceMaster revised its Insider Trading and Confidentiality Policy in anticipation of the new rules. ServiceMaster has also revised its procedures for compliance with the new rules.

Reports must be filed electronically with the SEC.

Final rules must be effective by July 30, 2003.

All ServiceMaster directors and executive officers file electronic reports with the SEC.

Section 404. Companies must include an internal control report in annual SEC filing which includes an assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting.

SEC proposal issued in October 2002. No deadline for final SEC rules.

ServiceMaster engaged in ongoing review of internal control structure in response to SEC proposal, including the development of work plans.

Auditor must attest to and report on managements' assessment.

ServiceMaster engaged in ongoing dialogue with auditor.

A-4

Section 406. Companies must disclose whether they have a code of ethics for the Chief Financial Officer and Controller.

Disclosure of code of ethics must be made beginning with ServiceMaster's annual report on Form 10-K for year ending December 31, 2003 to be filed with SEC in March 2004. Code of ethics must promote honest and ethical conduct; full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure; compliance with laws and regulations; prompt internal reporting of violations; and accountability for adherence to the code.

The ServiceMaster Company code of conduct applies to directors, officers and all other employees. ServiceMaster is currently reviewing its code of conduct in light of the new SEC rule.

Companies must promptly disclose changes to and waivers of code of ethics for Chief

Disclosure of changes to, or waivers of, code of ethics must be made beginning with

ServiceMaster will make any required disclosures.

Financial Officer and Controller.

ServiceMaster's annual report on Form 10-K for year ending December 31, 2003 to be filed with SEC in March 2004.

Section 407. Companies must disclose whether their audit committee has an audit committee financial expert and whether that director is independent.

Disclosure must be made beginning with ServiceMaster's annual report on Form 10-K for year ending December 31, 2003 to be filed with SEC in March 2004. Audit committee financial expert must have an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements; the ability to assess the general application of accounting principles to estimates, accruals and reserves; experience in preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that are comparable in breadth and complexity to the company's financial statements; an understanding of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting; and an understanding of audit committee functions.

Our Board will annually determine whether our Audit and Finance Committee has an audit committee financial expert and whether the members of that Committee are independent. We will disclose those Board determinations to our shareholders.

A-5

Exhibit B

ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan

I. Introduction

1.1. *Purposes.* The purposes of the ServiceMaster 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the "*Plan*") of The ServiceMaster Company, a Delaware corporation (the "*Company*"), are (i) to align the interests of the Company's stockholders and the recipients of awards under this Plan by increasing the proprietary interest of such recipients in the Company's growth and success, (ii) to advance the interests of the Company by attracting and retaining officers and other employees, consultants, independent contractors and agents and (iii) to motivate such persons to act in the long-term best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

1.2. Certain Definitions.

"Agreement" shall mean the written agreement evidencing an award hereunder between the Company and the recipient of such award.

"Committee" shall mean the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board or such other committee designated by the Board that satisfies any then applicable requirements of the principal national stock exchange on which the Common Stock is then traded to constitute a compensation committee, and which consists of three or more members of the Board, each of whom (i) may be a "Non-Employee Director" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and (ii) may be an "outside director" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code.

[&]quot;Board" shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company.

[&]quot;Change in Control" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5.8(b).

[&]quot;Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

"Common Stock" shall mean the common stock, \$.01 par value, of the Company.

"Company" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 1.1.

"Exchange Act" shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

"Fair Market Value" shall mean the average of the high and low transaction prices of a share of Common Stock as reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions on the date as of which such value is being determined or, if there shall be no reported transactions for such date, on the next preceding date for which transactions were reported; provided, however, that Fair Market Value may be determined by the Committee by whatever means or method as the Committee, in the good faith exercise of its discretion, shall at such time deem appropriate.

"Free-Standing SAR" shall mean an SAR which is not granted in tandem with, or by reference to, an option, which entitles the holder thereof to receive, upon exercise, shares of Common Stock (which may be Restricted Stock), cash or a combination thereof with an aggregate value equal to the excess of the Fair Market Value of one share of Common Stock on the date of exercise over the base price of such SAR, multiplied by the number of such SARs which are exercised.

"Incentive Stock Option" shall mean an option to purchase shares of Common Stock that meets the requirements of Section 422 of the Code, or any successor provision, which is intended by the Committee to constitute an Incentive Stock Option.

"Incumbent Board" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5.8(b)(2).

"Non-Qualified Stock Option" shall mean an option to purchase shares of Common Stock which is not an Incentive Stock Option.

"Outstanding Common Stock" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5.8(b)(1).

B-1

"Performance Measures" shall mean the criteria and objectives, established by the Committee, which shall be satisfied or met (i) as a condition to the grant

[&]quot;Outstanding Voting Securities" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5.8(b)(1).