NRG ENERGY, INC. Form 10-Q August 08, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended: June 30, 2012

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 001-15891

NRG Energy, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 41-1724239
(State or other jurisdiction (I.R.S. Employer of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

211 Carnegie Center, Princeton, New Jersey 08540 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(609) 524-4500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting

company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No x

As of August 6, 2012, there were 227,845,245 shares of common stock outstanding, par value \$0.01 per share.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Index | |
|--|------------|
| CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION | <u>3</u> |
| GLOSSARY OF TERMS | <u>4</u> |
| PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION | <u>7</u> |
| ITEM 1 — CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES | <u>7</u> |
| ITEM 2 — MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND | <u>50</u> |
| RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | <u> 50</u> |
| ITEM 3 — QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | <u>82</u> |
| ITEM 4 — CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | <u>84</u> |
| PART II — OTHER INFORMATION | <u>85</u> |
| ITEM 1 — LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | <u>85</u> |
| ITEM 1A — RISK FACTORS | <u>85</u> |
| ITEM 2 — UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS | <u>86</u> |
| ITEM 3 — DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES | <u>86</u> |
| ITEM 4 — MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES | <u>86</u> |
| ITEM 5 — OTHER INFORMATION | <u>86</u> |
| ITEM 6 — EXHIBITS | <u>87</u> |
| SIGNATURES | <u>88</u> |

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of NRG Energy, Inc., or NRG or the Company, includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act. The words "believes," "projects," "anticipates," "plans," "expects," "intends," "estimates" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause NRG's actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors, risks and uncertainties include the factors described under Item 1A — Risk Factors Related to NRG Energy, Inc., in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and Item 1A — Risk Factors, in Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q, including, but not limited to, the following:

General economic conditions, changes in the wholesale power markets and fluctuations in the cost of fuel; Volatile power supply costs and demand for power;

Hazards customary to the power production industry and power generation operations such as fuel and electricity price volatility, unusual weather conditions, catastrophic weather-related or other damage to facilities, unscheduled generation outages, maintenance or repairs, unanticipated changes to fuel supply costs or availability due to higher demand, shortages, transportation problems or other developments, environmental incidents, or electric transmission or gas pipeline system constraints and the possibility that NRG may not have adequate insurance to cover losses as a result of such hazards;

The effectiveness of NRG's risk management policies and procedures, and the ability of NRG's counterparties to satisfy their financial commitments;

Counterparties' collateral demands and other factors affecting NRG's liquidity position and financial condition; NRG's ability to operate its businesses efficiently, manage capital expenditures and costs tightly, and generate earnings and cash flows from its asset-based businesses in relation to its debt and other obligations;

NRG's ability to enter into contracts to sell power and procure fuel on acceptable terms and prices;

The liquidity and competitiveness of wholesale markets for energy commodities;

Government regulation, including compliance with regulatory requirements and changes in market rules, rates, tariffs and environmental laws and increased regulation of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions;

Price mitigation strategies and other market structures employed by ISOs or RTOs that result in a failure to adequately compensate NRG's generation units for all of its costs;

NRG's ability to borrow additional funds and access capital markets, as well as NRG's substantial indebtedness and the possibility that NRG may incur additional indebtedness going forward;

NRG's ability to receive Federal loan guarantees or cash grants to support development projects;

Operating and financial restrictions placed on NRG and its subsidiaries that are contained in the indentures governing NRG's outstanding notes, in NRG's Senior Credit Facility, and in debt and other agreements of certain of NRG subsidiaries and project affiliates generally;

NRG's ability to implement its strategy of developing and building new power generation facilities, including new solar projects;

NRG's ability to implement its econrg strategy of finding ways to address environmental challenges while taking advantage of business opportunities;

NRG's ability to implement its FORNRG strategy to increase cash from operations through operational and commercial initiatives, corporate efficiencies, asset strategy, and a range of other programs throughout the company to reduce costs or generate revenues;

NRG's ability to achieve its strategy of regularly returning capital to stockholders;

NRG's ability to maintain retail market share;

NRG's ability to successfully evaluate investments in new business and growth initiatives;

NRG's ability to successfully integrate and manage any acquired businesses;

NRG's ability to develop and maintain successful partnering relationships; and NRG's successful and timely completion of the proposed merger with GenOn Energy, Inc., which could be materially and adversely affected by, among other things, resolving any litigation brought in connection with the proposed merger, the timing and terms and conditions of required stockholder, governmental and regulatory approvals, and the ability to maintain relationships with employees, customers or suppliers as well as the ability to integrate the businesses and realize cost savings.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they were made, and NRG Energy, Inc. undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The foregoing review of factors that could cause NRG's actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should not be construed as exhaustive.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below:

2011 Form 10-K NRG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011

2011 Revolving Credit The Company's \$2.3 billion revolving credit facility due 2016, a component of the

Facility 2011 Senior Credit Facility

As of July 1, 2011, NRG's senior secured facility, comprised of a \$1.6 billion term

loan facility and a \$2.3 billion revolving credit facility

The Company's \$1.6 billion term loan facility due 2018, a component of the 2011

Senior Credit Facility

316(b) Rule A section of the Clean Water Act regulating cooling water intake structures

Baseload capacity

Coal and nuclear electric power generation capacity normally expected to serve loads

on an around-the-clock basis throughout the calendar year

CAA Clean Air Act

CAIR Clean Air Interstate Rule

CAISO California Independent System Operator

Capital Allocation Plan Share repurchase and shareholder dividend program

NRG's plan of allocating capital between debt reduction, reinvestment in the business,

Capital Allocation Program share repurchases and shareholder dividends through the Capital Allocation Plan

CDWR California Department of Water Resources

C&I Commercial, industrial and governmental/institutional

CFTC U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission

CO₂ Carbon dioxide

CSAPR Cross-State Air Pollution Rule

Solar power projects, typically less than 20 MW in size (on an alternating current, or

Distributed Solar AC, basis), that primarily sell power produced to customers for usage on site, or are

interconnected to sell power into the local distribution grid

DNREC Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

Energy Plus Energy Plus Holdings LLC

ERCOT

Electric Reliability Council of Texas, the Independent System Operator and the regional reliability coordinator of the various electricity systems within Texas

Exchange Act The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

GenOn Energy, Inc.

GHG Greenhouse Gases

Green Mountain Energy Green Mountain Energy Company

GWh Gigawatt hour

A measure of thermal efficiency computed by dividing the total BTU content of the

fuel burned by the resulting kWhs generated. Heat rates can be expressed as either gross or net heat rates, depending whether the electricity output measured is gross or

net generation and is generally expressed as BTU per net kWh

ISO Independent System Operator, also referred to as Regional Transmission

Organizations, or RTO

ISO-NE ISO New England Inc.

Heat Rate

ITC Investment Tax Credit

LIBOR London Inter-Bank Offered Rate

LTIP Long-Term Incentive Plan

Mass Residential and small business

Merger Agreement Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among NRG Energy, Inc., Plus Merger

Corporation and GenOn Energy, Inc. dated as of July 20, 2012

MMBtu Million British Thermal Units

MW Megawatts

MWh Saleable megawatt hours net of internal/parasitic load megawatt-hours

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NERC North American Electric Reliability Corporation

NINA Nuclear Innovation North America LLC

NO_x Nitrogen oxide

NPNS Normal Purchase Normal Sale

NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NYISO New York Independent System Operator

NYPSC New York Public Service Commission

OCI Other comprehensive income

PJM Interconnection, LLC

PJM market

The wholesale and retail electric market operated by PJM primarily in all or parts of

Delaware, the District of Columbia, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, Ohio,

Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia

PM 2.5 Particulate matter particles with a diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less

PPA Power Purchase Agreement

PUCT Public Utility Commission of Texas

Technologies utilized to replace, rebuild, or redevelop major portions of an existing

Repowering electrical generating facility, not only to achieve a substantial emissions reduction, but

also to increase facility capacity, and improve system efficiency

SEC United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Securities Act of 1933, as amended

The Company's \$6 billion outstanding unsecured senior notes, consisting of

\$1.1 billion of 7.375% senior notes due 2017, \$1.2 billion of 7.625% senior notes due 2018, \$700 million of 8.5% senior notes due 2019, \$800 million of 7.625% senior notes due 2019, \$1.1 billion of 8.25% senior notes due 2020 and \$1.1 billion of

7.875% senior notes due 2021

SO₂ Sulfur dioxide

Senior Notes

Term Loan Facility

STP South Texas Project — nuclear generating facility located near Bay City, Texas in which

NRG owns a 44% interest

Prior to July 1, 2011, a senior first priority secured term loan, of which approximately \$608 million would have matured on February 1, 2013, and \$990 million would have

matured on August 31, 2015, and was a component of NRG's Senior Credit Facility.

On July 1, 2011, NRG replaced its Senior Credit Facility, including the Term Loan

Facility, with the 2011 Senior Credit Facility.

U.S. United States of America

U.S. DOE United States Department of Energy

U.S. EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

U.S. GAAP Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States

Solar power projects, typically 20 MW or greater in size (on an alternating current, or

Utility Scale Solar AC, basis), that are interconnected into the transmission or distribution grid to sell

power at a wholesale level

VaR Value at Risk

VIE Variable Interest Entity

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1 — CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

| | Three modules June 30, | onths ended | Six months ended June 30, | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|--|
| (In millions, except for per share amounts) | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Operating Revenues | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | \$2,166 | \$2,278 | \$4,028 | \$4,273 | |
| Operating Costs and Expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 1,319 | 1,608 | 2,892 | 2,932 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 234 | 222 | 464 | 427 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 207 | 167 | 428 | 310 | |
| Development costs | 9 | 12 | 17 | 21 | |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1,769 | 2,009 | 3,801 | 3,690 | |
| Operating Income | 397 | 269 | 227 | 583 | |
| Other Income/(Expense) | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 14 | 12 | 22 | 10 | |
| Impairment charge on investment | _ | (11) | (1) | (492) | |
| Other income, net | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | _ | (115) | | (143) | |
| Interest expense | (167) | (167) | (332) | (340) | |
| Total other expense | (151) | (278) | (307) | (957) | |
| Income/(Loss) Before Income Taxes | 246 | (9) | (80) | (374) | |
| Income tax benefit | (13) | (630) | (133) | (735) | |
| Net Income | 259 | 621 | 53 | 361 | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 8 | _ | 9 | | |
| Net Income Attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | 251 | 621 | 44 | 361 | |
| Dividends for preferred shares | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | |
| Income Available for Common Stockholders | \$248 | \$618 | \$39 | \$356 | |
| Earnings Per Share Attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. Common | | | | | |
| Stockholders | | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding — basic | 228 | 243 | 228 | 245 | |
| Net income per weighted average common share — basic | \$1.09 | \$2.54 | \$0.17 | \$1.45 | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding — diluted | 229 | 244 | 229 | 247 | |
| Net income per weighted average common share — diluted | \$1.08 | \$2.53 | \$0.17 | \$1.44 | |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) (Unaudited)

| | Three months ended June 30, | | | | Six months endo June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|-------|---|--------------------------|---|-------|---|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | (In millio | n | s) | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$259 | | \$621 | | \$53 | | \$361 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of tax | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on derivatives, net of income tax benefit of \$47, \$39, \$52, and \$86 | (80 |) | (67 |) | (89 |) | (149 |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of income tax benefit (expense) of \$5, \$(5), \$2, and \$(12) | (8 |) | 10 | | (2 |) | 22 | |
| Available-for-sale securities, net of income tax benefit of \$0, \$1, \$0, and \$0 | _ | | (1 |) | _ | | (1 |) |
| Defined benefit plans | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Other comprehensive loss | (88) |) | (58 |) | (91 |) | (127 |) |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | 171 | | 563 | | (38 |) | 234 | |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 8 | | | | 9 | | _ | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | 163 | | 563 | | (47 |) | 234 | |
| Dividends for preferred shares | 3 | | 3 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) available for common stockholders | \$160 | | \$560 | | \$(52 |) | \$229 | |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| (In millions, except shares) | (unaudited) | 2011 |
| ASSETS | (| |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,149 | \$1,105 |
| Funds deposited by counterparties | 135 | 258 |
| Restricted cash | 208 | 292 |
| Accounts receivable — trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$23 and \$ | | 834 |
| Inventory | 416 | 308 |
| Derivative instruments | 3,670 | 4,216 |
| Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk management activities | 71 | 311 |
| Prepayments and other current assets | 606 | 273 |
| Total current assets | 7,255 | 7,597 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$4,976 and | | 1,571 |
| \$4,570 | 15,318 | 13,621 |
| Other Assets | | |
| Equity investments in affiliates | 658 | 640 |
| Note receivable — affiliate and capital leases, less current portion | 81 | 342 |
| Goodwill | 1,886 | 1,886 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,559 and \$1,452 | 1,256 | 1,419 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust fund | 448 | 424 |
| Derivative instruments | 562 | 450 |
| Other non-current assets | 392 | 336 |
| Total other assets | 5,283 | 5,497 |
| Total Assets | \$27,856 | \$26,715 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital leases | \$71 | \$87 |
| Accounts payable | 1,350 | 808 |
| Derivative instruments | 3,234 | 3,751 |
| Deferred income taxes | 115 | 127 |
| Cash collateral received in support of energy risk management activities | 135 | 258 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 793 | 640 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,698 | 5,671 |
| Other Liabilities | | , |
| Long-term debt and capital leases | 10,485 | 9,745 |
| Nuclear decommissioning reserve | 345 | 335 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust liability | 263 | 254 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,147 | 1,389 |
| Derivative instruments | 720 | 464 |
| Out-of-market commodity contracts | 168 | 183 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 878 | 756 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 14,006 | 13,126 |
| Total Liabilities | 19,704 | 18,797 |
| | 249 | 249 |
| | | |

3.625% convertible perpetual preferred stock (at liquidation value, net of issuance costs)

Commitments and Contingencies

Stockholders' Equity

| Stockholders Equity | | | |
|---|----------|----------|--|
| Common stock | 3 | 3 | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 5,383 | 5,346 | |
| Retained earnings | 4,026 | 3,987 | |
| Less treasury stock, at cost — 76,587,776 and 76,664,199 shares, respectively | (1,922 |) (1,924 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)/income | (17 |) 74 | |
| Noncontrolling interest | 430 | 183 | |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 7,903 | 7,669 | |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$27,856 | \$26,715 | |
| | | | |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

| (Unaudited) | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------|-----|
| | | s ended June | 30, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| | (In million | ns) | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income | \$53 | \$361 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Distributions and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | (1 |) — | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 464 | 427 | |
| Provision for bad debts | 17 | 20 | |
| Amortization of nuclear fuel | 16 | 20 | |
| Amortization of financing costs and debt discount/premiums | 17 | 16 | |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | 1 | 26 | |
| Amortization of intangibles and out-of-market commodity contracts | 81 | 92 | |
| Amortization of unearned equity compensation | 18 | 14 | |
| Changes in deferred income taxes and liability for uncertain tax benefits | (145 |) (748 |) |
| Changes in nuclear decommissioning trust liability | 17 | 13 | |
| Changes in derivative instruments | 74 | (166 |) |
| Changes in collateral deposits supporting energy risk management activities | 240 | 69 | |
| Impairment charge on investment | | 481 | |
| Cash used by changes in other working capital | (267 |) (316 |) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 585 | 309 | |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired | | (68 |) |
| Capital expenditures | (1,593 |) (839 |) |
| Increase in restricted cash, net | (58 |) (42 |) |
| Decrease/(increase) in restricted cash to support equity requirements for U.S. DOE funde | ed 142 | (70 | ` |
| projects | 142 | (70 |) |
| (Increase)/decrease in notes receivable | (21 |) 20 | |
| Investments in nuclear decommissioning trust fund securities | (236 |) (165 |) |
| Proceeds from sales of nuclear decommissioning trust fund securities | 220 | 152 | |
| Proceeds from renewable energy grants | 35 | | |
| Other | (44 |) (47 |) |
| Net Cash Used by Investing Activities | (1,555 |) (1,059 |) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |
| Payment of dividends to preferred stockholders | (5 |) (5 |) |
| Payment for treasury stock | | (130 |) |
| Net payments for settlement of acquired derivatives that include financing elements | (44 |) (46 |) |
| Sale proceeds and other contributions from noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 270 | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 927 | 3,798 | |
| Payment of debt issuance and hedging costs | (12 |) (52 |) |
| Payments for short and long-term debt | (121 |) (3,833 |) |
| Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Financing Activities | 1,015 | (268 |) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (1 |) 6 | , |
| Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 44 | (1,012 |) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 1,105 | 2,951 | , |
| 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | , | ,- - - | |

Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period

\$1,149

\$1,939

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1 — Basis of Presentation

NRG Energy, Inc., or NRG or the Company, is an integrated wholesale power generation and retail electricity company that aspires to be a leader in the way the industry and consumers think about, use, produce and deliver energy and energy services in major competitive power markets in the United States. First, NRG is a wholesale power generator engaged in the ownership and operation of power generation facilities; the trading of energy, capacity and related products; and the transacting in and trading of fuel and transportation services. Second, NRG is a retail electricity company engaged in the supply of electricity, energy services, and cleaner energy products to retail electricity customers in deregulated markets through its Retail businesses, which include Reliant Energy, Green Mountain Energy and Energy Plus. Finally, NRG is focused on the deployment and commercialization of potential disruptive technologies, like electric vehicles, Distributed Solar and smart meter technology, which have the potential to change the nature of the power supply industry.

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's, or SEC's, regulations for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The following notes should be read in conjunction with the accounting policies and other disclosures as set forth in the notes to the Company's financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, or 2011 Form 10-K. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements contain all material adjustments consisting of normal and recurring accruals necessary to present fairly the Company's consolidated financial position as of June 30, 2012, and the results of operations, comprehensive income/(loss) and cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions impact the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. They also impact the reported amount of net earnings during the reporting period. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

Note 2 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Other Cash Flow Information

NRG's investing activities exclude capital expenditures of \$844 million which were accrued and unpaid at June 30, 2012, primarily for solar projects under construction.

Noncontrolling Interests

The following table reflects the changes in NRG's noncontrolling interest balance:

| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | (In millions) \$183 |
|--|------------------------|
| Cash contributions | 238 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 9 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | \$430 |
| 11 | |

Tax Credits

NRG accounts for income taxes in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 740, Income Taxes, or ASC 740, which requires that the Company use the asset and liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provide deferred income taxes for all significant temporary differences, as further described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K. NRG reduces its current income tax expense in the consolidated statement of operations for any investment tax credits, or ITCs, that are not convertible into cash grants, as well as other tax credits, in the period the tax credit is generated. ITCs that are convertible into cash grants, as well as the deferred income tax benefit generated by the difference in the financial statement and tax bases of the related assets, are recorded as a reduction to the carrying value of the underlying property and subsequently amortized to earnings on a straight-line basis over the useful life of each underlying property.

Recent Accounting Developments

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Presentation of Comprehensive Income, or ASU No. 2011-05, and began presenting the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 are required to be adopted retroactively. As this guidance provides only presentation requirements, the adoption of this standard did not impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Note 3 — Business Acquisitions and Dispositions

2012 Dispositions

Agua Caliente

On January 18, 2012, the Company completed the sale of a 49% interest in NRG Solar AC Holdings LLC, the indirect owner of the Agua Caliente project, to MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company, or MidAmerican. A majority of the \$122 million of cash consideration received at closing represented 49% of construction costs funded by NRG's equity contributions. The excess of the consideration over the carrying value of the divested interest was recorded to additional paid-in capital. MidAmerican will fund its proportionate share of future equity contributions and other credit support for the project. NRG continues to hold a majority interest in and consolidate the project.

Saale Energie GmbH

On July 17, 2012, the Company completed the sale of its 100% interest in Saale Energie GmbH, which holds a 41.9% interest in Kraftwerke Schkopau GbR and a 44.4% interest in Kraftwerke Schkopau Betriebsgesllschaft mbH, collectively, Schkopau. Schkopau holds a fixed 400 MW participation in the 900 MW Schkopau Power Station located in Germany. In connection with the sale of Schkopau, NRG entered into a foreign currency swap contract to hedge the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on the sale proceeds of €140 million. The Company received cash consideration, net of selling expenses, of \$174 million, which included \$4 million related to the settlement of the swap contract that was recorded as a gain within Other income, net in the third quarter. The cash consideration approximated the book value of the net assets, including cash of \$41 million, and liabilities, on the date of the sale.

Within the balance sheet as of June 30, 2012, the Company reclassified the Schkopau assets held for sale into Prepayments and other current assets and the liabilities held for sale into Accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

Pending Acquisition

On July 20, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire GenOn Energy, Inc., or GenOn. GenOn, a generator of wholesale electricity, has baseload, intermediate and peaking power generation facilities using coal, natural gas and oil, totaling approximately 22,700 MW. The Company will issue, as consideration for the acquisition, 0.1216 shares of NRG common stock for each outstanding share of GenOn, including restricted stock units outstanding, on the acquisition date, except for fractional shares which will be paid in cash. The acquisition is subject to customary conditions, including shareholder approval of the share issuance and regulatory approvals, and is expected to close by the first quarter of 2013.

2011 Acquisitions

The Company's acquisitions that are considered business combinations are accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations, or ASC 805, with identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed provisionally recorded at their estimated fair values on the acquisition date. The provisional amounts recognized are subject to revision until the evaluations are completed and to the extent that additional information is obtained about the facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date, are required to be finalized within a measurement period not to exceed one year. The Company made several acquisitions in 2011, which were recorded as business combinations under ASC 805, for which the accounting was not finalized as of December 31, 2011. See Note 3, Business Acquisitions and Dispositions and Note 12, Debt and Capital Leases, in the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, for additional information related to these acquisitions.

The accounting for the acquisitions of Energy Plus, California Valley Solar Ranch, or CVSR, Agua Caliente and Ivanpah were completed as of March 31, 2012, at which point the provisional fair values became final with no material changes.

Note 4 — Nuclear Innovation North America LLC, or NINA, Impairment Charge

As discussed in detail in Note 4, Nuclear Innovation North America LLC Developments, including Impairment Charge, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, NRG deconsolidated NINA as of March 31, 2011, and recorded an impairment charge of \$492 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, including \$481 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2011 for the full amount of its investment, and \$11 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

Note 5 — Fair Value of Financial Instruments

This footnote should be read in conjunction with the complete description under Note 5, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K.

For cash and cash equivalents, funds deposited by counterparties, restricted cash, cash collateral paid and received in support of energy risk management activities, and restricted cash supporting the funded letter of credit facility, the carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of those instruments. Debt securities, equity securities, trust fund investments, which are comprised of various U.S. debt and equity securities, and derivative assets and liabilities are carried at fair market value.

The estimated carrying values and fair values of NRG's recorded financial instruments not carried at fair market value are as follows:

| | As of June 30 |), 2012 | As of Decem | ber 31, 2011 | | |
|---|---------------|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Fair Value | | Amount | | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Assets: | , | | | | | |
| Notes receivable (a) | \$82 | \$82 | \$156 | \$161 | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | 10,556 | 10,752 | 9,729 | 9,716 | | |

(a) June 30, 2012 excludes carrying amount of \$109 million and fair value of \$113 million related to Schkopau notes receivable reclassified to current assets held for sale.

The fair value of the Company's Level 1 publicly-traded long-term debt is based on quoted market prices. The fair value of the Company's Level 3 notes receivable, debt securities and non publicly-traded long-term debt are based on expected future cash flows discounted at market interest rates, or current interest rates for similar instruments with equivalent credit quality.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

For cash and cash equivalents, funds deposited by counterparties, restricted cash, and cash collateral paid and received in support of energy risk management activities, the carrying amount approximates fair value because of the nature and short-term maturity of those instruments and are classified as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy.

The following tables present assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet on a recurring basis and their level within the fair value hierarchy:

| Investment in available-for-sale securities (classified within other | As of June Fair Value Level 1 (In million | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|--|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| non-current assets): | | | | |
| Debt securities | \$ — | \$ — | \$9 | \$9 |
| Marketable equity securities | 1 | _ | _ | 1 |
| Trust fund investments: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3 | | _ | 3 |
| U.S. government and federal agency obligations | 34 | | | 34 |
| Federal agency mortgage-backed securities | | 61 | _ | 61 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | | 5 | | 5 |
| Corporate debt securities | | 70 | _ | 70 |
| Equity securities | 227 | | 43 | 270 |
| Foreign government fixed income securities | | 6 | _ | 6 |
| Derivative assets: | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | 1,606 | 1,812 | 814 | 4,232 |
| Total assets | \$1,871 | \$1,954 | \$866 | \$4,691 |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | \$1,483 | \$1,688 | \$643 | \$3,814 |
| Interest rate contracts | _ | 139 | _ | 139 |
| Foreign currency contracts | | 1 | | 1 |
| Total liabilities | \$1,483 | \$1,828 | \$643 | \$3,954 |
| Investment in available-for-sale securities (classified within other | As of Deco Fair Value Level 1 (In million | Level 2 | D11 Level 3 | Total |
| non-current assets): | | | | |
| Debt securities | \$ — | \$ — | \$7 | \$7 |
| Marketable equity securities | 1 | _ | _ | 1 |
| Trust fund investments: | 2 | | | 2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 2 | _ | _ | 2 |
| U.S. government and federal agency obligations | 44 | | | 44 |
| Federal agency mortgage-backed securities | | 63 | | 63 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | _ | 7 | _ | 7 |
| Corporate debt securities | <u> </u> | 54 | 42 | 54 251 |
| Equity securities | 209 | | 42 | 251 |
| Foreign government fixed income securities | _ | 4 | _ | 4 |
| Derivative assets: | 2.661 | 1.020 | 75 | 1.000 |
| Commodity contracts Total assets | 2,661 | 1,930 | 75 \$ 124 | 4,666 |
| Total assets | \$2,917 | \$2,058 | \$124 | \$5,099 |

| Derivative liabilities: Commodity contracts Interest rate contracts Total liabilities | \$2,757 | \$1,283 | \$67 | \$4,107 |
|---|---------|---------|------|---------|
| | — | 108 | — | 108 |
| | \$2,757 | \$1,391 | \$67 | \$4,215 |
| 14 | Ψ2,737 | Ψ1,371 | ΨΟΊ | ΨΠ,213 |

There were no transfers during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, between Levels 1 and 2. The following tables reconcile, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, the beginning and ending balances for financial instruments that are recognized at fair value in the consolidated financial statements at least annually using significant unobservable inputs:

| | Fair Valu | ie Measurem | ent Using S | Sigi | nificant | Unobserv | able Inputs (| Level 3) | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|------------|
| | 5 5 | | | | | Six months ended June 30, 2012 | | | | |
| | Debt | Trust Fund | • | | | Debt | Trust Fund | · | | |
| (In millions) | Securitie | sInvestments | Derivative | es(a) |) Total | | | s Derivatives | (a) ' | Total |
| Beginning balance | \$8 | \$46 | \$ 43 | | \$97 | \$7 | \$ 42 | \$ 8 | | \$57 |
| Total gains/(losses) - | 7 - | 7 | 7 | | 7 | Ŧ · | - | , , | | , |
| realized/unrealized: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | | | (11 |) | (11) | | | 6 | , | 6 |
| Included in OCI | 1 | | | , | 1 | 2 | | _ | | 2 |
| Included in nuclear | 1 | | | | 1 | 2 | | | 4 | _ |
| decommissioning obligations | | (4) | _ | | (4) | _ | _ | _ | - | _ |
| Purchases | | 1 | 112 | | 113 | | 1 | 108 | | 109 |
| Transfers into Level 3 (b) | | 1 | 25 | | 25 | | 1 | 35 | | 35 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 (b) | _ | | 2 | | 23 | | | 14 | | 33 14 |
| | _ | | <u> </u> | | 2 | | | 14 | | 14 |
| Ending balance as of June 30, | \$9 | \$43 | \$ 171 | | \$223 | \$9 | \$ 43 | \$ 171 | 9 | \$223 |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The amount of the total | | | | | | | | | | |
| (losses)/gains for the period | | | | | | | | | | |
| included in earnings | ф | Φ. | Φ (12 | , | Φ (1 0) | Ф | ф | Φ. 6 | , | h c |
| attributable to the change in | \$ — | \$ <i>—</i> | \$ (12 |) | \$(12) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 6 | | \$6 |
| unrealized gains relating to | | | | | | | | | | |
| assets still held as of June 30, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | _ | nıfıcant | | vable Inputs (| | | |
| | | onths ended. | June 30, 20 | 11 | | | ths ended Jur | ne 30, 2011 | | |
| | Debt | Trust Fund | | | | Debt | Trust Fund | | | |
| (In millions) | | esInvestment | | es(a | | | | s Derivatives | | |
| Beginning balance | \$9 | \$40 | \$ (11 |) | \$38 | \$8 | \$ 39 | \$ (27 |) | \$20 |
| Total gains - | | | | | | | | | | |
| realized/unrealized: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | _ | | 10 | | 10 | _ | | 19 | | 19 |
| Included in OCI | _ | | _ | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Included in nuclear | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| decommissioning obligations | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Purchases | | 1 | 5 | | 6 | _ | 1 | 8 | | 9 |
| Transfers into Level 3 (b) | _ | | (12 |) | (12) | _ | | (30 |) | (30) |
| Transfers out of Level 3 (b) | _ | | (18 |) | (18) | | | 4 | | 4 |
| Ending balance as of June 30, | Φ.Ω | 0.41 | Φ (0.6 | | | Φ.Ο. | Φ 41 | Φ (26 | ` | Φ 2 4 |
| 2011 | \$9 | \$41 | \$ (26 |) | \$24 | \$9 | \$ 41 | \$ (26 |) | \$24 |
| The amount of the total gains | \$— | \$ <i>-</i> | \$ 5 | | \$5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 7 | | \$7 |
| for the period included in | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | | |
| earnings attributable to the | | | | | | | | | | |
| change in unrealized gains | | | | | | | | | | |
| relating to assets still held as o | of | | | | | | | | | |
| relating to assets still held as c |)1 | | | | | | | | | |

June 30, 2011

- (a) Consists of derivatives assets and liabilities, net.
- Transfers in/out of Level 3 are related to the availability of external broker quotes, and are valued as of the end of the reporting period. All transfers in/out are with Level 2.

Realized and unrealized gains and losses included in earnings that are related to the energy derivatives are recorded in operating revenues and cost of operations.

Derivative fair value measurements

The majority of NRG's contracts are exchange-traded contracts with readily available quoted market prices. A portion of NRG's contracts are non-exchange-traded contracts valued using prices provided by external sources, primarily price quotations available through brokers or over-the-counter and on-line exchanges. For the majority of NRG markets, the Company receives quotes from multiple sources. To the extent that NRG receives multiple quotes, the Company's prices reflect the average of the bid-ask mid-point prices obtained from all sources that NRG believes provide the most liquid market for the commodity. If the Company receives one quote, then the mid-point of the bid-ask spread for that quote is used. The terms for which such price information is available vary by commodity, region and product. A significant portion of the fair value of the Company's derivative portfolio is based on price quotes from brokers in active markets who regularly facilitate those transactions and the Company believes such price quotes are executable. The Company does not use third party sources that derive price based on proprietary models or market surveys. The remainder of the assets and liabilities represent contracts for which external sources or observable market quotes are not available for the whole term or for certain delivery months or the contracts are retail and load following power contracts. These contracts are valued using various valuation techniques including but not limited to internal models that apply fundamental analysis of the market and corroboration with similar markets. Contracts valued with prices provided by models and other valuation techniques make up 19% of the total derivative assets and 16% of the total derivative liabilities.

The fair value of each contract is discounted using a risk free interest rate. In addition, the Company applies a credit reserve to reflect credit risk which is calculated based on published default probabilities. To the extent that NRG's net exposure under a specific master agreement is an asset, the Company uses the counterparty's default swap rate. If the exposure under a specific master agreement is a liability, the Company uses NRG's default swap rate. The credit reserve is added to the discounted fair value to reflect the exit price that a market participant would be willing to receive to assume NRG's liabilities or that a market participant would be willing to pay for NRG's assets. As of June 30, 2012, the credit reserve resulted in a \$9 million increase in fair value which is composed of a \$6 million gain in Other Comprehensive Income, or OCI, and a \$3 million gain in operating revenue and cost of operations. As of June 30, 2011, the credit reserve resulted in a \$2 million decrease in fair value which is composed of a \$2 million loss in operating revenue and cost of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

In addition to the credit risk discussion as disclosed in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, the following item is a discussion of the concentration of credit risk for the Company's contractual obligations. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance or non-payment by counterparties pursuant to the terms of their contractual obligations. NRG is exposed to counterparty credit risk through various activities including wholesale sales, fuel purchases and retail supply arrangements, and retail customer credit risk through its retail load activities.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company monitors and manages counterparty credit risk through credit policies that include: (i) an established credit approval process; (ii) daily monitoring of counterparties' credit limits; (iii) the use of credit mitigation measures such as margin, collateral, prepayment arrangements, or volumetric limits; (iv) the use of payment netting arrangements; and (v) the use of master netting agreements that allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures of various contracts associated with a single counterparty. Risk surrounding counterparty performance and credit could ultimately impact the amount and timing of expected cash flows. The Company seeks to mitigate counterparty credit risk with a diversified portfolio of counterparties. The Company also has credit protection within various agreements to call on additional collateral support if and when necessary. Cash margin is collected and held at

NRG to cover the credit risk of the counterparty until positions settle.

As of June 30, 2012, counterparty credit exposure to a portion of the Company's counterparties was \$995 million and NRG held collateral (cash and letters of credit) against those positions of \$141 million, resulting in a net exposure of \$854 million. Counterparty credit exposure is valued through observable market quotes and discounted at the risk free rate. The following table highlights net counterparty credit exposure by industry sector and by counterparty credit quality. Net counterparty credit exposure is defined as the aggregate net asset position for NRG with counterparties where netting is permitted under the enabling agreement and includes all cash flow, mark-to-market and Normal Purchase Normal Sale, or NPNS, and non-derivative transactions. The exposure is shown net of collateral held, and includes amounts net of receivables or payables.

| Category | Net Expo (% of Tot | |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Financial institutions | 50 | % |
| Utilities, energy merchants, marketers and other | 47 | |
| Coal and emissions | 1 | |
| Independent System Operators, or ISOs | 2 | |
| Total as of June 30, 2012 | 100 | % |

| | Net Exposur | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---|
| Category | (% of Total) | 1 |
| Investment grade | 79 | % |
| Non-Investment grade | 1 | |
| Non-rated (b) | 20 | |
| Total as of June 30, 2012 | 100 | % |

- (a) Counterparty credit exposure excludes uranium and coal transportation contracts because of the unavailability of market prices.
- (b) For non-rated counterparties, the majority are related to ISO and municipal public power entities, which are considered investment grade equivalent ratings based on NRG's internal credit ratings.

NRG has counterparty credit risk exposure to certain counterparties representing more than 10% of total net exposure discussed above and the aggregate of such counterparties' exposure was \$239 million. Approximately 87% of NRG's positions relating to this credit risk exposure roll-off by the end of 2013. Changes in hedge positions and market prices will affect credit exposure and counterparty concentration. Given the credit quality, diversification and term of the exposure in the portfolio, NRG does not anticipate a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations from nonperformance by any of NRG's counterparties.

Counterparty credit exposure described above excludes credit risk exposure under certain long term agreements, including California tolling agreements, South Central load obligations, and solar Power Purchase Agreements, or PPAs. As external sources or observable market quotes are not available to estimate such exposure, the Company valued these contracts based on various techniques including, but not limited to, internal models based on a fundamental analysis of the market and extrapolation of observable market data with similar characteristics. Based on these valuation techniques, as of June 30, 2012, credit risk exposure to these counterparties allocable to NRG's ownership interests was approximately \$1.1 billion for the next five years. This amount excludes potential credit exposures for projects with long term PPAs that have not reached commercial operations. Many of these power contracts are with utilities or public power entities that have strong credit quality and specific public utility commission or other regulatory support. These factors significantly reduce the risk of loss.

Retail Customer Credit Risk

NRG is exposed to retail credit risk through the Company's retail electricity providers, which serve commercial, industrial and governmental/institutional, or C&I, customers and the residential and small business, or mass, market. Retail credit risk results when a customer fails to pay for services rendered. The losses may result from both nonpayment of customer accounts receivable and the loss of in-the-money forward value. NRG manages retail credit risk through the use of established credit policies that include monitoring of the portfolio, and the use of credit mitigation measures such as deposits or prepayment arrangements.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company's retail customer credit exposure was diversified across many customers and various industries, with a significant portion of the exposure with government entities.

Note 6 — Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund

NRG's nuclear decommissioning trust fund assets, which are for its portion of the decommissioning of the South Texas Project, or STP Units 1 & 2, are comprised of securities classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value based on actively quoted market prices. NRG accounts for the nuclear decommissioning trust fund in accordance with ASC 980, Regulated Operations, or ASC 980, because the Company's nuclear decommissioning activities are subject to approval by the Public Utility Commission of Texas, or PUCT, with regulated rates that are designed to recover all decommissioning costs and that can be charged to and collected from the ratepayers per PUCT mandate. Since the Company is in compliance with PUCT rules and regulations regarding decommissioning trusts and the cost of decommissioning is the responsibility of the Texas ratepayers, not NRG, all realized and unrealized gains or losses (including other-than-temporary impairments) related to the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund are recorded to the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Liability and are not included in net income or accumulated other comprehensive income, consistent with regulatory treatment.

The following table summarizes the aggregate fair values and unrealized gains and losses (including other-than-temporary impairments) for the securities held in the trust funds, as well as information about the contractual maturities of those securities.

| | As of Ju | ane 30, 2012 | | Weighted- | As of D | Weighted- | | |
|--|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----|
| (In millions, except otherwise noted) | Fair Value | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | • | Fair Value | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | • |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$3 | \$ — | \$ — | _ | \$2 | \$ — | \$ — | _ |
| U.S. government and federal agency obligations | 33 | 2 | _ | 12 | 43 | 3 | _ | 10 |
| Federal agency mortgage-backed securities | 61 | 3 | _ | 23 | 63 | 3 | _ | 23 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 5 | _ | _ | 27 | 7 | _ | _ | 28 |
| Corporate debt securities | 70 | 3 | _ | 10 | 54 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Equity securities | 270 | 128 | 1 | _ | 251 | 113 | 1 | _ |
| Foreign government fixed income securities | 6 | _ | _ | 6 | 4 | _ | _ | 8 |
| Total | \$448 | \$136 | \$1 | | \$424 | \$122 | \$2 | |

The following tables summarize proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities and the related gains and losses from these sales. The cost of securities sold is determined on the specific identification method.

| | Six month | s ended June 30, |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In million | s) |
| Realized gains | \$7 | \$3 |
| Realized losses | 4 | 3 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities | 220 | 152 |

Note 7 — Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

This footnote should be read in conjunction with the complete description under Note 6, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K.

Energy-Related Commodities

As of June 30, 2012, NRG had energy-related derivative financial instruments extending through 2015, which are designated as cash flow hedges.

Interest Rate Swaps

NRG is exposed to changes in interest rates through the Company's issuance of variable and fixed rate debt. In order to manage the Company's interest rate risk, NRG enters into interest rate swap agreements. As of June 30, 2012, NRG had interest rate derivative instruments on recourse debt extending through 2013 and on non-recourse debt extending through 2029, the majority of which are designated as cash flow hedges.

Volumetric Underlying Derivative Transactions

The following table summarizes the net notional volume buy/(sell) of NRG's open derivative transactions broken out by commodity, excluding those derivatives that qualified for the NPNS exception as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011. Option contracts are reflected using delta volume. Delta volume equals the notional volume of an option adjusted for the probability that the option will be in-the-money at its expiration date.

| | | Total Volume | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
| Commodity | Units | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| | | (In millions) | |
| Emissions | Short Ton | (2 |) (2 |
| Coal | Short Ton | 37 | 37 |
| Natural Gas | MMBtu | (212 |) 13 |
| Oil | Barrel | _ | 1 |
| Power | MWh | 12 | 4 |
| Interest | Dollars | \$2,370 | \$2,121 |
| | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| | | | |

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes the fair value within the derivative instrument valuation on the balance sheet:

| Fair Value | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Derivative A | ssets | Derivative L | iabilities | | | |
| June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| \$— | \$ <i>—</i> | \$12 | \$ 39 | | | |
| _ | _ | 94 | 68 | | | |
| 2 | 318 | 1 | _ | | | |
| _ | _ | 2 | 1 | | | |
| 2 | 318 | 109 | 108 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 19 | | | | |
| _ | _ | 14 | 1 | | | |
| | | 1 | _ | | | |
| 3,668 | 3,898 | 3,201 | 3,712 | | | |
| 562 | 450 | 610 | 394 | | | |
| 4,230 | 4,348 | 3,845 | 4,107 | | | |
| \$4,232 | \$4,666 | \$3,954 | \$ 4,215 | | | |
| | Derivative A June 30, 2012 \$— 2 2 3,668 562 4,230 | Derivative Assets June 30, December 31, 2012 2011 \$— \$— —————————————————————————————— | Derivative Assets Derivative Legal June 30, December 31, June 30, 2012 2011 2012 \$— \$12 — 94 2 318 1 — 2 2 318 109 — — 19 — — 14 — — 1 3,668 3,898 3,201 562 450 610 4,230 4,348 3,845 | | | |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table summarizes the effects of ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, or ASC 815, on the Company's accumulated OCI balance attributable to cash flow hedge derivatives, net of tax:

| | Three months ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | Six months ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|--------------------|---|-------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|---|-------|---|
| (In millions) | Energy Commodia | tie | Interest esRate | | Total | | Energy Commodi | tie | Interest sRate | | Total | |
| Accumulated OCI beginning balance | \$170 | | \$(47 |) | \$123 | | \$188 | | \$(56 |) | \$132 | |
| Reclassified from accumulated OCI to income: | : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due to realization of previously deferred amounts | (45 |) | 5 | | (40 |) | (76 |) | 8 | | (68 |) |
| Mark-to-market of cash flow hedge accounting contracts | (14 |) | (26 |) | (40 |) | (1 |) | (20 |) | (21 |) |
| Accumulated OCI ending balance, net of \$35 tax | \$111 | | \$(68 |) | \$43 | | \$111 | | \$(68 |) | \$43 | |
| Gains/(losses) expected to be realized from OCI during the next 12 months, net of \$45 tax | \$93 | | \$(15 |) | \$78 | | \$93 | | \$(15 |) | \$78 | |
| (Losses)/gains recognized in income from the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges | \$(50 |) | \$2 | | \$(48 |) | \$(51 |) | \$— | | \$(51 |) |

| | | Three months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | Six months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------------------------|-----|-------------------|---|-------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|---|-------|---|
| (In millions) | | Energy Commodi | tie | Interest sRate | | Total | | Energy Commodi | tie | Interest sRate | | Total | |
| Accumulated OCI beginning balance | ce | \$392 | | \$(33 |) | \$359 | | \$488 | | \$(47 |) | \$441 | |
| Reclassified from accumulated OC | I to income: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due to realization of previously detamounts | | (92 |) | _ | | (92) |) | (190 |) | 11 | | (179 |) |
| Mark-to-market of cash flow hedge contracts | e accounting | 32 | | (7 |) | 25 | | 34 | | (4 |) | 30 | |
| Accumulated OCI ending balance, tax | net of \$181 | \$332 | | \$(40 |) | \$292 | | \$332 | | \$(40 |) | \$292 | |
| Gains/(losses) expected to be realiz OCI during the next 12 months, net | | \$230 | | \$(2 |) | \$228 | | \$230 | | \$(2 |) | \$228 | |
| (Losses)/gains recognized in incomineffective portion of cash flow hec | | \$(4 |) | \$4 | | \$— | | \$(1 |) | \$3 | | \$2 | |

Amounts reclassified from accumulated OCI into income and amounts recognized in income from the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges are recorded to operating revenue for commodity contracts and interest expense for interest rate contracts.

Accounting guidelines require a high degree of correlation between the derivative and the hedged item throughout the period in order to qualify as a cash flow hedge. As of April 30, 2012, the Company's regression analysis for natural gas prices to ERCOT power prices, while positively correlated, did not meet the required threshold for cash flow hedge accounting for calendar year 2012. As a result, the Company de-designated its 2012 ERCOT cash flow hedges as of April 30, 2012, and prospectively marked these derivatives to market through the income statement.

Impact of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations

Unrealized gains and losses associated with changes in the fair value of derivative instruments not accounted for as cash flow hedges and ineffectiveness of hedge derivatives are reflected in current period earnings.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax effects of economic hedges that have not been designated as cash flow hedges, ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges, and trading activity on the Company's statement of operations. The effect of commodity hedges is included within operating revenues and cost of operations and the effect of interest rate hedges is included in interest expense.

| | Three months ended | | | d | Six mo | ths ende | d | |
|---|--------------------|-----|------|--------|--------|----------|------|---|
| | June 30, | | | June 3 | | | | |
| | 2012 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | | | |
| | (In mill | ion | s) | | | | | |
| Unrealized mark-to-market results | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized (gains)/losses on settled positions related to economic hedges | \$(34 |) | \$24 | | \$(75 |) | \$22 | |
| Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy acquisitions | 6 | | 30 | | 20 | | 71 | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions related to economic hedges | 218 | | (7 |) | 81 | | 84 | |
| Losses on ineffectiveness associated with open positions treated as cash flow hedges | (50 |) | (4 |) | (51 |) | (1 |) |
| • | 140 | | 43 | | (25 |) | 176 | |

Total unrealized mark-to-market gains/(losses) for economic hedging activities

| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized (gains)/losses on settled | | | (30 | 11 |
|--|-------|------|--------|-------|
| positions related to trading activity | | _ | (30) | 14 |
| Net unrealized gains on open positions related to trading activity | 8 | 22 | 36 | 22 |
| Total unrealized mark-to-market gains for trading activity | 8 | 22 | 6 | 36 |
| Total unrealized gains/(losses) | \$148 | \$65 | \$(19) | \$212 |

| | Three m | onths ended | Six mon | ths ended June |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | June 30, | | 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In milli | ons) | | |
| Revenue from operations — energy commodities | \$(113 |) \$91 | \$(75 |) \$104 |
| Cost of operations | 261 | (26 |) 56 | 108 |
| Total impact to statement of operations — energy commodities | \$148 | \$65 | \$(19 |) \$212 |
| Total impact to statement of operations — interest rate contracts | \$(11 |) \$4 | \$(12 |) \$3 |

The reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy acquisitions were valued based upon the forward prices on the acquisition dates. The roll off amounts were offset by realized losses at the settled prices and are reflected in the cost of operations during the same period.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the unrealized gain from open economic hedge positions was the result of an increase in ERCOT heat rates partially offset by decreases in forward natural gas, power and coal prices.

As of June 30, 2012, NRG had interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges on the Alpine solar project. The notional amount on the swaps exceeded the actual debt draws on the project. As such, NRG discontinued cash flow hedge accounting for these contracts and \$4 million of loss previously deferred in OCI was recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the unrealized gain from open economic hedge positions was the result of an increase in value of forward purchases and sales of natural gas, electricity and fuel due to a decrease in forward power and gas prices.

Credit Risk Related Contingent Features

Certain of the Company's hedging agreements contain provisions that require the Company to post additional collateral if the counterparty determines that there has been deterioration in credit quality, generally termed "adequate assurance" under the agreements, or requires the Company to post additional collateral if there were a one notch downgrade in the Company's credit rating. The collateral required for contracts with adequate assurance clauses that are in a net liability position as of June 30, 2012, was \$125 million. The collateral required for contracts with credit rating contingent features was \$33 million. The Company is also a party to certain marginable agreements where NRG has a net liability position, but the counterparty has not called for the collateral due, which was approximately \$94 million as of June 30, 2012.

See Note 5, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to this Form 10-Q for discussion regarding concentration of credit risk.

Note 8 — Debt and Capital Leases

This footnote should be read in conjunction with the complete description under Note 12, Debt and Capital Leases, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K.

Long-term debt and capital leases consisted of the following:

| Zong term door and tapour reason temporal or the rose ming. | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | Interest rate % (a) |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | (In millions, exc | ept rates) | |
| NRG Recourse Debt: | | | |
| Senior notes, due 2021 | \$1,128 | \$1,200 | 7.875 |
| Senior notes, due 2020 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 8.250 |
| Senior notes, due 2019 | 800 | 800 | 7.625 |
| Senior notes, due 2019 | 692 | 691 | 8.500 |
| Senior notes, due 2018 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 7.625 |
| Senior notes, due 2017 | 1,090 | 1,090 | 7.375 |
| Term loan facility, due 2018 | 1,581 | 1,588 | L+3.00 |
| Indian River Power LLC, tax-exempt bonds, due 2040 | 57 | 57 | 6.000 |
| Indian River Power LLC, tax-exempt bonds, due 2045 | 157 | 148 | 5.375 |
| Dunkirk Power LLC, tax-exempt bonds, due 2042 | 59 | 59 | 5.875 |
| Other | 1 | | 0.300 |
| Subtotal NRG Recourse Debt | 7,865 | 7,933 | |
| NRG Non-Recourse Debt: | | | |
| Ivanpah Financing: | | | |
| Solar Partners I, due 2014 and 2033 | 393 | 290 | 1.126 - 3.991 |
| Solar Partners II, due 2014 and 2038 | 414 | 314 | 1.116 - 4.195 |
| Solar Partners VIII, due 2014 and 2038 | 361 | 270 | 1.381 - 4.256 |
| NRG Peaker Finance Co. LLC, bonds, due 2019 | 193 | 190 | L+1.07 |
| Agua Caliente Solar, LLC, due 2037 | 440 | 181 | 2.449 - 3.256 |
| NRG West Holdings LLC, term loan, due 2023 | 248 | 159 | L+2.25 - 2.75 |
| NRG Energy Center Minneapolis LLC, senior secured notes, due 2013, 2017 and 2025 | 144 | 151 | 5.95 - 7.31 |
| CVSR - High Plains Ranch II LLC, due 2037 | 277 | | 0.613 - 0.710 |
| South Trent Wind LLC, financing agreement, due 2020 | 73 | | L+2.50 - 2.625 |
| Solar Power Partners - SPP Fund II/IIB LLC term loans, due | 16 | 17 | L+3.50 |
| 2017 | 10 | 1 / | L+3.30 |
| Solar Power Partners - SPP Fund III LLC term loan, due | 41 | 42 | L+3.50 |
| 2024 | 71 | 72 | L+3.30 |
| NRG Solar Roadrunner LLC, due 2031 | 46 | 61 | L+2.01 |
| NRG Solar Blythe LLC, credit agreement, due 2028 | 27 | 27 | L+2.50 |
| Other | 18 | 19 | various |
| Subtotal NRG Non-Recourse Debt | 2,691 | 1,796 | |
| Subtotal long-term debt | 10,556 | 9,729 | |
| Capital leases: | | | |
| Saale Energie GmbH, Schkopau capital lease, due 2021 (b) | | 103 | |
| Subtotal | 10,556 | 9,832 | |
| Less current maturities | 71 | 87 | |

Total long-term debt and capital leases

\$10,485

\$9,745

- (a) L+ equals LIBOR plus x%.
- (b) Reclassified to current liabilities held for sale.

NRG Repowering Holdings LLC

On January 25, 2012, NRG Repowering Holdings LLC, or NRG Repowering, terminated its revolving credit facility, repaid the \$5 million then outstanding, and a supporting letter of credit issued by NRG was returned.

On January 25, 2012, NRG Repowering entered into a Credit and Reimbursement Agreement which provides for a \$10 million working capital facility that can be used for general corporate purposes or to issue letters of credit, and an \$80 million letter of credit facility. Interest on the letters of credit accrues at 3.5% and on loans under the working capital facility at the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, plus 3.50%. The facility is secured by NRG Repowering's investments in GenConn Energy LLC and South Trent Wind LLC, and matures January 25, 2015. As of June 30, 2012, NRG Repowering had issued a \$10 million letter of credit under the working capital facility and \$80 million in letters of credit under the letter of credit facility.

Alpine Financing

On March 16, 2012, NRG, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, NRG Solar Alpine LLC, or Alpine, entered into a credit agreement with a group of lenders, or the Alpine Financing Agreement, for a \$166 million construction loan that will convert to a term loan upon completion of the project and a \$68 million cash grant loan. The construction loan has an interest rate of LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 2.50% and the cash grant loan has an interest rate of LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 2.50%, which escalates 0.25% on the fifth anniversary of the term conversion. The term loan, which is secured by all the assets of Alpine, matures on the 10th anniversary of the term conversion and amortizes based upon a predetermined schedule. The cash grant loan matures upon the earlier of the receipt of the cash grant or February 2013. The Alpine Financing Agreement also includes a letter of credit facility on behalf of Alpine of up to \$37 million. Alpine pays an availability fee of 100% of the applicable margin on issued letters of credit. As of June 30, 2012, \$2 million was outstanding under the construction loan, nothing was outstanding under the cash grant loans, and \$18 million in letters of credit in support of the project were issued.

Also related to the Alpine Financing Agreement, on March 16, 2012, Alpine entered into a series of fixed for floating interest rate swaps for at least 85% of the outstanding term loan amount, intended to hedge the risks associated with floating interest rates. Alpine will pay its counterparty the equivalent of a 2.74% fixed interest payment on a predetermined notional value, and Alpine will receive quarterly the equivalent of a floating interest payment based on a one month LIBOR calculated on the same notional value through December 31, 2012 and based on a three month LIBOR from December 31, 2012 through the term loan maturity date. All interest rate swap payments by Alpine and its counterparty are made monthly through December 31, 2012, and quarterly thereafter and the LIBOR rate is determined in advance of each interest period. The notional amount of the swap, which became effective March 31, 2012, and matures on December 31, 2029, was \$141 million as of June 30, 2012 and will increase and amortize in proportion to the loan.

Roadrunner Financing

On March 20, 2012, NRG, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, NRG Roadrunner LLC, or Roadrunner, received proceeds of \$21 million under its cash grant application. These proceeds were used to repay Roadrunner's cash grant loan of \$17 million plus accrued interest. The remaining cash was returned to NRG under the terms of the accounts agreement.

CVSR Financing

On March 9, 2012, NRG, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, High Plains Ranch II LLC, completed its first borrowing of \$138 million under the CVSR Financing Agreement with the Federal Financing Bank. As of June 30, 2012, \$277 million was outstanding under the loan.

NRG Energy, Inc. Tax-Exempt Bonds

On May 3, 2012, NRG executed a \$54 million tax-exempt bond financing under Section 704 of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008. The bonds were issued by the Fort Bend County Industrial Development Corporation and will be used for the construction of a peaking unit with one or more components of a carbon capture system at the W.A. Parish Generating Station in Thompsons, TX. The bonds initially bear weekly interest based on the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, or SIFMA, rate and have a maturity date of May 1, 2038. The proceeds drawn through June 30, 2012 were \$1 million, and the remaining balance will be drawn over time as construction costs are paid.

Note 9 — Variable Interest Entities, or VIEs

NRG has interests in entities that are considered VIEs under ASC 810, Consolidation, but NRG is not considered the primary beneficiary. NRG accounts for its interests in these entities under the equity method of accounting.

GenConn Energy LLC — Through its subsidiary, NRG Connecticut Peaking Development LLC, NRG owns a 50% interest in GenConn, a limited liability company which owns and operates two 200 MW peaking generation facilities in Connecticut at NRG's Devon and Middletown sites. NRG's maximum exposure to loss is limited to its equity investment, which was \$126 million as of June 30, 2012.

Sherbino I Wind Farm LLC — NRG owns a 50% interest in Sherbino, a joint venture with BP Wind Energy North America Inc. NRG's maximum exposure to loss is limited to its equity investment, which was \$100 million as of June 30, 2012.

Texas Coastal Ventures, LLC — NRG owns a 50% interest in Texas Coastal Ventures, a joint venture with Hilcorp Energy I, L.P., through its subsidiary Petra Nova LLC. NRG's maximum exposure to loss is limited to its equity investment, which was \$51 million as of June 30, 2012.

Note 10 — Changes in Capital Structure

As of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, the Company had 500,000,000 shares of common stock authorized. The following table reflects the changes in NRG's common shares issued and outstanding:

| | Issued | Treasury | Outstanding |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | 304,183,720 | (76,664,199) | 227,519,521 |
| Shares issued under LTIP | 167,243 | _ | 167,243 |
| Shares issued under ESPP | _ | 76,423 | 76,423 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | 304,350,963 | (76,587,776) | 227,763,187 |

Employee Stock Purchase Plan — On April 25, 2012, NRG shareholders approved an increase of 1,000,000 shares available for issuance under the NRG Energy, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP. Subsequent to this approval, 1,100,928 shares of treasury stock were available for issuance under the ESPP. In July 2012, 82,058 shares of NRG common stock were issued to employee accounts from treasury stock under the ESPP.

On July 22, 2012, NRG announced a quarterly dividend on the Company's common stock of \$0.09 per share, payable August 15, 2012, to shareholders of record as of August 1, 2012.

Note 11 — Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income less accumulated preferred stock dividends by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Shares issued and treasury shares repurchased during the year are weighted for the portion of the year that they were outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed in a manner consistent with that of basic earnings per share while giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period.

The reconciliation of NRG's basic and diluted earnings per share is shown in the following table:

| | | nths ended | Six mont | is ended | | |
|--|------------------|------------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| (In millions, except per share data) | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to NRG common stockholders | | 2011 | | 2011 | | |
| Numerator: | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$251 | \$621 | \$44 | \$361 | | |
| Preferred stock dividends | (3 |) (3 | (5) | (5) | | |
| Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. available to common stockholders | \$248 | \$618 | \$39 | \$356 | | |
| Denominator: | | | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 228 | 243 | 228 | 245 | | |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$1.09 | \$2.54 | \$0.17 | \$1.45 | | |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to NRG common stockholders | | | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. available to common | \$248 | \$618 | \$39 | \$356 | | |
| shareholders | Ψ2.0 | ΨΟΙΟ | Ψυν | Ψυυ | | |
| Denominator: | | | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 228 | 243 | 228 | 245 | | |
| Incremental shares attributable to the issuance of equity compensation | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | |
| (treasury stock method) | | | | | | |
| Total dilutive shares | 229 | 244 | 229 | 247 | | |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$1.08 | \$2.53 | \$0.17 | \$1.44 | | |

The following table summarizes NRG's outstanding equity instruments that are anti-dilutive and were not included in the computation of the Company's diluted loss per share:

| | Three mo | onths ended | Six mont | hs ended |
|--|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| (In millions of shares) | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Equity compensation plans | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 |
| Embedded derivative of 3.625% redeemable perpetual preferred stock | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Total | 25 | 23 | 25 | 23 |

Note 12 — Segment Reporting

Effective in fiscal year 2012, NRG's segment structure and its allocation of corporate expenses were updated to reflect how management currently makes financial decisions and allocates resources. The Company has recast the data from prior periods to reflect this change in reportable segments to conform to the current year presentation. The Company's businesses are primarily segregated based on the Retail businesses, conventional power generation, alternative energy businesses and corporate activities. Within NRG's conventional power generation operations, there are distinct components with separate operating results and management structures for the following geographical regions: Texas, Northeast, South Central, West and Other, which includes its international businesses, thermal and chilled water business and maintenance services. The Company's alternative energy businesses include solar and wind assets, electric vehicle services and carbon capture business. Intersegment sales are accounted for at market.

| (In millions) | | Conven | tic | onal Pow | er | Generati | on | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|----|------------------|-------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------|----|-------------|----------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2012 | Retail | Texas ^(a) | | North- east ^(a) | | South Central | West | Other (a) | Alternati Energy ^{(a} | iv(| ^e Corpora | te | Elimination | Total |
| Operating revenues | \$1,470 | \$127 | | \$176 | | \$210 | \$56 | \$100 | \$36 | | \$4 | | \$(13) | \$2,166 |
| Depreciation and amortization | d 44 | 114 | | 32 | | 23 | 3 | 4 | 12 | | 2 | | _ | 234 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | _ | _ | | 3 | | _ | 4 | 3 | 4 | | _ | | _ | 14 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes Net | 797 | (427 |) | (10 |) | 11 | 21 | 10 | (11 |) | (145 |) | _ | 246 |
| income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$797 | \$(427 |) | \$(10 |) | \$11 | \$21 | \$8 | \$(19 |) | \$(130 |) | \$— | \$251 |
| Total assets | \$3,586 | \$12,678 | 3 | \$1,877 | | \$1,282 | \$714 | \$1,069 | \$5,115 | | \$19,138 | ; | \$(17,603) | \$27,856 |
| (a) Includes inter | rsegment | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sales and deriva | tive gains | \$\$— | | \$(21 |) | \$10 | \$- | | \$ | 23 | 3 \$ | 4 | \$- | _ |
| and losses of: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| (In millions) | Conventional Power Generation | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----|---------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2011 | Retail | Texas ^(a) | North-east(a | South Central | West | Other ^(a) | Alternativ Energy ^(a) | e Corporate ^{(l} | ^{o)} Eliminat | ior | n Total |
| Operating revenues | \$1,422 | \$809 | \$ 246 | \$188 | \$36 | \$79 | \$14 | \$ 3 | \$ (519 |) | \$2,278 |
| Depreciation and amortization | ^d 40 | 115 | 27 | 22 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | _ | | 222 |
| Equity in earnings of | _ | _ | 3 | _ | 5 | 2 | 2 | _ | _ | | 12 |

| unconsolidated affiliates Income/(loss) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|---|
| before income | 17 | 211 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 2 | (11 |) (267 |) — | (9 |) |
| taxes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net | | | | | | | | | | | |
| income/(loss) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| attributable to | \$17 | \$211 | \$ 16 | \$12 | \$11 | \$ — | \$(11 |) \$ 36. | 5 \$— | \$621 | |
| NRG Energy, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Includes inter | rsegment | | | | | | | | | | |
| sales and deriva and losses of: | tive gains | s\$— | \$508 | \$5 | \$- | _ | \$— | \$3 | \$4 | \$ — | |

⁽b) Includes impairment charge on investment of \$11 million and loss on debt extinguishment of \$115 million.

| (In millions) | Conve | Conventional Power Generation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------|-----|------------------------|------|------------------|
| Six months ended June 30, Retail 2012 | Texas ⁽³ | a) North | -east ^{(a} | Sout Cent | h ral | West | Othe | er ^(a) | Alterna Energy | tiv (a) | e Corpora | te | Elimina | tior | n Total |
| Operating sevenues \$2,636 | \$585 | \$ 324 | | \$383 | 3 | \$98 | \$19 | 4 | \$58 | | \$7 | | \$ (257 |) | \$4,028 |
| Depreciation and 85 amortization Equity in | 228 | 64 | | 46 | | 5 | 8 | | 23 | | 5 | | _ | | 464 |
| earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | _ | 8 | | | | 2 | 6 | | 6 | | _ | | _ | | 22 |
| Income/(loss) before income 804 taxes Net | (501 |) (53 |) | (19 |) | 7 | 20 | | (22 |) | (316 |) | _ | | (80) |
| income/(loss) attributable to \$804 NRG Energy, Inc. | \$(501 |) \$ (53 |) | \$(19 |)) | \$7 | \$16 | | \$(31 |) | \$(179 |) | \$— | | \$44 |
| (a) Includes intersegment sales and derivative gain and losses of: | | \$161 | \$ | \$45 | | \$— | - | \$- | – \$4 | 13 | \$8 | | | \$— | - |
| (In millions) | | Convent | ional l | Powe | r Ge | neratio | on | | | | | | | | |
| Six months ended June 30, 2011 | Retail | Texas ^(a) | Nort | h-eas | (Sou Cei | ith ntral | Vest (| Othe | r ^(a) Alteı Ener | na gv | tive (a) Corpor | ato | e ^{(b} Elimir | atio | of T otal |
| Operating revenues | \$2,548 | \$1,332 | \$ 47 | 2 | \$37 | | | \$163 | | 0, | \$4 | | \$ (723 | | \$4,273 |
| Depreciation and amortization Equity in | 66 | 230 | 56 | | 42 | 5 | , | 7 | 15 | | 6 | | _ | | 427 |
| earnings/(losses) of unconsolidated affiliates | _ | _ | 5 | | _ | 5 | (| 6 | (6 | |) — | | _ | | 10 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | 311 | 238 | (19 |) | 25 | 2 | 4 | 13 | (30 | |) (936 |) |) — | | (374) |
| Net income/(loss)attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | | \$238 | \$ (19 | 9) | \$25 | 5 \$ | 24 5 | \$9 | \$(30 | 1 |) \$(200 |) | \$- | | \$361 |
| (a) Includes intersegment sales and derivative gair and losses of: | | \$704 | \$ | \$2 | | \$— | - | \$- | _ \$9 |) | \$8 | - | . | \$— | - |

⁽b) Includes impairment charge on investment of \$492 million and loss on debt extinguishment of \$143 million.

Note 13 — Income Taxes Effective Tax Rate

The income tax provision consisted of the following:

| | Three months ended June | | | | | Six months ended J | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|---------|---|-------|--------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| | 30, | | | | 30, | | | | | |
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | | | |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | \$246 | | \$(9 |) | \$(80 |) | \$(374 |) | | |
| Income tax benefit | (13 |) | (630 |) | (133 |) | (735 |) | | |
| Effective tax rate | (5.3 |)% | 7,000.0 | % | 166.3 | % | 196.5 | % | | |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, NRG's overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the generation of ITCs from the Company's Agua Caliente solar project in Arizona and the settlement of the Green Mountain IRS audit as well as the reduction in the valuation allowance.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, NRG's overall effective tax rate for both of these periods was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the recognition of previously uncertain tax benefits that were effectively settled upon audit in June 2011 and that were mainly composed of net operating losses of \$536 million which had been classified as capital loss carryforwards for financial statement purposes. In addition, valuation allowance decreases of \$40 million and \$23 million for the three and six month periods, respectively, increased the effective tax rates.

Uncertain tax benefits

As of June 30, 2012, NRG has recorded a non-current tax liability of \$65 million for uncertain tax benefits from positions taken on various state tax returns, including accrued interest. NRG has accrued interest related to these uncertain tax benefits of \$1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and has accrued \$14 million of interest and penalties since adoption. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company will continue to be under examination by various state and foreign tax jurisdictions for multiple years.

Tax Receivable and Payable

As of June 30, 2012, NRG recorded a current domestic tax receivable of \$34 million, of which \$18 million is related to property tax refunds as a result of the New York State Empire Zone program and \$11 million relates to Federal income tax refunds for prior year tax return filings. As of June 30, 2012, NRG has a current tax payable of \$13 million that represents a tax liability due for domestic state taxes of \$11 million, as well as foreign taxes payable of \$2 million. In addition, we have recorded a \$52 million non-current asset for Empire Zone credits generated in 2010 through 2012 that are being deferred pursuant to New York State law.

Note 14 — Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

First Lien Structure

NRG has granted first liens to certain counterparties on substantially all of the Company's assets to reduce the amount of cash collateral and letters of credit that it would otherwise be required to post from time to time to support its obligations under out-of-the-money hedge agreements for forward sales of power or MWh equivalents. The Company's lien counterparties may have a claim on NRG's assets to the extent market prices exceed the hedged price. As of June 30, 2012, in aggregate, the hedge portfolio under the lien was in the money.

Contingencies

Set forth below is a description of the Company's material legal proceedings. The Company believes that it has valid defenses to these legal proceedings and intends to defend them vigorously. Pursuant to the requirements of ASC 450, Contingencies and related guidance, NRG records reserves for estimated losses from contingencies when information available indicates that a loss is probable and the amount of the loss, or range of loss, can be reasonably estimated. In addition, legal costs are expensed as incurred. Management has assessed each of the following matters based on current information and made a judgment concerning its potential outcome, considering the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of damages sought, and the probability of success. Unless specified below, the Company is unable to predict the outcome of these legal proceedings or reasonably estimate the scope or amount of any associated costs and potential liabilities. As additional information becomes available, management adjusts its assessment and estimates of such contingencies accordingly. Because litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings or developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of the Company's liabilities and contingencies could be at amounts that are different from its currently recorded reserves and that such difference could be material.

In addition to the legal proceedings noted below, NRG and its subsidiaries are party to other litigation or legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In management's opinion, the disposition of these ordinary course matters will not materially adversely affect NRG's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

California Department of Water Resources

This matter concerns, among other contracts and other defendants, the California Department of Water Resources, or CDWR, and its wholesale power contract with subsidiaries of WCP (Generation) Holdings, Inc., or WCP. The case originated with a February 2002 complaint filed by the State of California alleging that many parties, including WCP subsidiaries, overcharged the State of California. For WCP, the alleged overcharges totaled approximately \$940 million for 2001 and 2002. The complaint demanded that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or FERC, abrogate the CDWR contract and sought refunds associated with revenues collected under the contract. In 2003, the FERC rejected this complaint, denied rehearing, and the case was appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit where oral argument was held on December 8, 2004.

On December 19, 2006, the Ninth Circuit decided that in FERC's review of the contracts at issue, FERC could not rely on the Mobile-Sierra standard presumption of just and reasonable rates, where such contracts were not reviewed by the FERC with full knowledge of the then existing market conditions. WCP and others sought review by the U.S. Supreme Court. WCP's appeal was not selected, but instead held by the Supreme Court. In the appeal that was selected by the Supreme Court, on June 26, 2008, the Supreme Court ruled: (i) that the Mobile-Sierra public interest standard of review applied to contracts made under a seller's market-based rate authority; (ii) that the public interest "bar" required to set aside a contract remains a very high one to overcome; and (iii) that the Mobile-Sierra presumption of contract reasonableness applies when a contract is formed during a period of market dysfunction unless (a) such market conditions were caused by the illegal actions of one of the parties or (b) the contract negotiations were tainted

by fraud or duress. In this related case, the U.S. Supreme Court affirmed the Ninth Circuit's decision agreeing that the case should be remanded to the FERC to clarify the FERC's 2003 reasoning regarding its rejection of the original complaint relating to the financial burdens under the contracts at issue and to alleged market manipulation at the time these contracts were formed. As a result, the U.S. Supreme Court then reversed and remanded the WCP CDWR case to the Ninth Circuit for treatment consistent with its June 26, 2008, decision in the related case. On October 20, 2008, the Ninth Circuit asked the parties in the remanded CDWR case, including WCP and the FERC, whether that Court should answer a question the U.S. Supreme Court did not address in its June 26, 2008, decision; whether the Mobile-Sierra doctrine applies to a third-party that was not a signatory to any of the wholesale power contracts, including the CDWR contract, at issue in that case. Without answering that reserved question, on December 4, 2008, the Ninth Circuit vacated its prior opinion and remanded the WCP CDWR case back to the FERC for proceedings consistent with the U.S. Supreme Court's June 26, 2008, decision. On December 15, 2008, WCP and the other seller-defendants filed with the FERC a Motion for Order Governing Proceedings on Remand. On January 14, 2009, the Public Utilities

Commission of the State of California, or CPUC, filed an Answer and Cross Motion for an Order Governing Procedures on Remand and on January 28, 2009, WCP and the other seller-defendants filed their reply. At this time, the FERC has not acted on remand.

On January 14, 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in an unrelated proceeding involving the Mobile-Sierra doctrine that will affect the standard of review applied to the CDWR contract on remand before the FERC. In NRG Power Marketing v. Maine Public Utilities Commission, the Supreme Court held that the Mobile-Sierra presumption regarding the reasonableness of contract rates does not depend on the identity of the complainant who seeks a FERC investigation/refund.

As part of the 2006 acquisition of Dynegy's 50% ownership interest in WCP, WCP and NRG assumed responsibility for any risk of loss arising from this case, unless any such loss was deemed to have resulted from certain acts of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of Dynegy, in which case any such loss would be shared equally between WCP and Dynegy.

On March 22, 2012, NRG reached an agreement in principle with the CPUC to settle and resolve this matter, including all related claims, on behalf of NRG and on behalf of Dynegy. The agreement in principle was announced by the Company on March 23, 2012, as well as by the CPUC and by the California Governor's Office. The documented agreement was executed and submitted to FERC on April 27, 2012 for its approval. The settlement agreement contains three material elements to be fulfilled over a four to six year period, depending upon several factors. First, the settlement agreement includes a \$20 million cash payment due 30 days after FERC approval. Second, it includes the construction and operation of a fee-based charging network, to be owned and operated by NRG subsidiary, eVgo, which will consist of at least 200 publicly available fast-charging electric vehicle stations installed at locations across California. Last, it calls for the wiring and associated work required to improve at least 10,000 individual parking spaces to allow for the charging of electric vehicles in at least 1,000 multi-family complexes, worksites, and public interest locations such as community colleges, public universities, and public or non-profit hospitals. Although these improved newly wired parking spaces will continue to be owned by the local property owner, eVgo will have an 18-month exclusive right to obtain customers from these locations starting from the date of each completed installation. The expected \$20 million cash payment was accrued and expensed in the statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, the Company expects to spend approximately \$100 million over the next four to six year period, during which the Company will fulfill the other elements of the settlement, and will capitalize a substantial majority of the costs as property, plant and equipment, representing the costs to construct the charging network and the wiring, which will be productive assets. The Company will expense the costs to operate the assets as incurred. FERC approval is expected in the third quarter of 2012. On May 24, 2012, ECOtality, Inc. filed a lawsuit against the CPUC challenging the settlement.

Louisiana Generating, LLC

On February 11, 2009, the U.S. Department of Justice, or U.S. DOJ, acting at the request of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or U.S. EPA, commenced a lawsuit against Louisiana Generating, LLC, or LaGen, in federal district court in the Middle District of Louisiana alleging violations of the Clean Air Act, or CAA, at the Big Cajun II power plant. This is the same matter for which Notices of Violation, or NOVs, were issued to LaGen on February 15, 2005, and on December 8, 2006. Specifically, it is alleged that in the late 1990's, several years prior to NRG's acquisition of the Big Cajun II power plant from the Cajun Electric bankruptcy and several years prior to the NRG bankruptcy, modifications were made to Big Cajun II Units 1 and 2 by the prior owners without appropriate or adequate permits and without installing and employing the best available control technology, or BACT, to control emissions of nitrogen oxides and/or sulfur dioxides. The relief sought in the complaint includes a request for an injunction to: (i) preclude the operation of Units 1 and 2 except in accordance with the CAA; (ii) order the installation of BACT on Units 1 and 2 for each pollutant subject to regulation under the CAA; (iii) obtain all necessary permits for

Units 1 and 2; (iv) order the surrender of emission allowances or credits; (v) conduct audits to determine if any additional modifications have been made which would require compliance with the CAA's Prevention of Significant Deterioration program; (vi) award to the U.S. DOJ its costs in prosecuting this litigation; and (vii) assess civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each CAA violation found to have occurred between January 31, 1997, and March 15, 2004, up to \$32,500 for each CAA violation found to have occurred between March 15, 2004, and January 12, 2009, and up to \$37,500 for each CAA violation found to have occurred after January 12, 2009.

On April 27, 2009, LaGen filed an objection in the Cajun Electric Cooperative Power, Inc.'s bankruptcy proceeding in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Louisiana to seek to prevent the bankruptcy from closing. LaGen also filed a complaint, or adversary proceeding, in the same bankruptcy proceeding, seeking a judgment that: (i) it did not assume liability from Cajun Electric for any claims or other liabilities under environmental laws with respect to Big Cajun II that arose, or are based on activities that were undertaken, prior to the closing date of the acquisition; (ii) it is not otherwise the successor to Cajun Electric with respect to environmental liabilities arising prior to the acquisition; and (iii) Cajun Electric and/or the Bankruptcy Trustee are exclusively liable for any of the violations alleged in the February 11, 2009 lawsuit to the extent that such claims are determined to have merit. On April 15, 2010, the bankruptcy court signed an order granting LaGen's stipulation of voluntary dismissal without prejudice of the adversary proceeding. The bankruptcy proceeding has since closed.

On August 24, 2009, LaGen filed a motion to dismiss this lawsuit, and on September 25, 2009, the U.S. DOJ filed its opposition to the motion. Thereafter, on February 18, 2010, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, or LDEQ, filed a motion to intervene in the above lawsuit and a complaint against LaGen for alleged violations of Louisiana's Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or PSD, regulations and Louisiana's Title V operating permit program. LDEO seeks substantially similar relief to that requested by the U.S. DOJ. On February 19, 2010, the district court granted LDEQ's motion to intervene. On April 26, 2010, LaGen filed a motion to dismiss the LDEQ complaint. On July 21, 2010, the motions to dismiss the U.S. DOJ and LDEQ complaints were argued to the district court. On August 20, 2010, the parties submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, and thereafter submitted additional briefing on emerging jurisprudence from other jurisdictions touching on the issues at stake in the lawsuit. Having not ruled on the motion to dismiss, on February 4, 2011, LaGen filed motions for summary judgment requesting that the court dismiss all of the U.S. DOJ's claims. Also on February 4, 2011, the U.S. DOJ filed three motions for partial summary judgment. Additional summary judgment briefing was filed by the parties on April 4, 2011. On November 2, 2011, the court heard oral argument on three motions for summary judgment. On December 1, 2011, the court issued an order denying two of LaGen's motions for summary judgment addressing potential legal defenses to CAA liability. In the same order, the court also granted, in part, the U.S. DOJ's motion for summary judgment on its successor liability theory. The court held that LaGen could be found to have assumed liability for alleged PSD violations under the terms of the agreement through which LaGen acquired Big Cajun II in 2000, but ruled that the facts necessary to determine whether any such liabilities were actually assumed must be determined at a liability-phase trial, if necessary. In its December 1, 2011 decision, the court also ruled that any potential civil penalties would not be available for the periods prior to the five year period preceding the filing of the lawsuit on February 11, 2009.

Three additional motions for summary judgment and multiple motions in limine, including motions that could result in dismissal of the government's claims before trial if resolved in LaGen's favor, remain pending before the court; some of these motions were argued on March 21 and 22, 2012. On May 14, 2012, the court denied the motions argued on March 21 and 22, 2012. On January 17, 2012, LaGen filed a demand for a jury trial. On January 20, 2012, the court scheduled a liability-phase trial for October 15, 2012, should the case proceed to that stage, and a remedy-phase trial set to occur at a later date to be determined in the event of an adverse decision in a liability-phase trial. Because of the inherent uncertainty of litigation, including the fact that no determination of liability has yet been made by the court, NRG cannot predict the impact, at this time, that this matter may have on the Company's business, results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In a related matter, soon after the filing of the above referenced U.S. DOJ lawsuit, LaGen sought insurance coverage from its insurance carrier, Illinois Union Insurance Company, or ILU. ILU denied coverage and thereafter LaGen filed a lawsuit (which was consolidated with a prior suit filed by ILU) seeking a declaration that ILU must provide coverage to LaGen for the defense costs incurred in defending the U.S. DOJ lawsuit. LaGen and ILU both filed motions for summary judgment and on January 30, 2012, the court issued an order granting LaGen's motion finding that ILU has a duty to defend LaGen. The trial court certified the summary judgment for immediate interlocutory appeal, and on May 25, 2012, ILU filed a petition with the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit seeking to appeal the trial court's summary judgment ruling. ILU filed a related notice of appeal with the trial court on June 14, 2012. On July 23, 2012, the trial court granted ILU's related notice of appeal thereby certifying the matter for appeal to the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

Energy Plus Holdings, LLC Purported Class Actions

Energy Plus Holdings, LLC, or Energy Plus, is a defendant in three purported class action lawsuits, one in New York and two in New Jersey (Energy Plus Natural Gas LP is a defendant in the two New Jersey lawsuits and NRG Energy, Inc. is a defendant in one of the New Jersey lawsuits). The plaintiffs in those lawsuits generally allege that Energy Plus misrepresents that its rates are competitive in the market; fails to disclose that its rates are substantially higher

than those in the market and that Energy Plus has engaged in deceptive practices in its marketing of energy services. Plaintiffs generally seek that these matters be certified as class actions, with treble damages, interest, costs, attorneys' fees, and any other relief that the court deems just and proper. We believe that these allegations are without merit and we are defending against these claims.

In addition, on July 26, 2012, the Connecticut Attorney General and Office of Consumer Counsel filed a petition with the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority seeking to investigate Energy Plus' marketing practices. On August 7, 2012, Energy Plus Holdings LLC and Energy Plus Natural Gas LLC received a subpoena from the State of New York Office of Attorney General which generally seeks information and business records related to Energy Plus' sales, marketing and business practices.

Purported Class Actions related to July 22, 2012 Announcement of NRG/GenOn Merger Agreement

NRG Energy, Inc. has been named as a defendant in eight purported class actions pending in Texas and Delaware, related to its announcement of its agreement to acquire all outstanding shares of GenOn. The plaintiffs generally allege breach of fiduciary duties, as well as conspiracy, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties. Plaintiffs are generally seeking to: be certified as a class; enjoin the merger; direct the defendant to exercise their fiduciary duties; rescind the acquisition and be awarded attorneys' fees costs and other relief that the court deems appropriate. We believe that these allegations are without merit and we intend to cooperate with GenOn in the defense of these claims.

Note 15 — Regulatory Matters

NRG operates in a highly regulated industry and is subject to regulation by various federal and state agencies. As such, NRG is affected by regulatory developments at both the federal and state levels and in the regions in which NRG operates. In addition, NRG is subject to the market rules, procedures, and protocols of the various Independent System Operator, or ISO, markets in which NRG participates. These power markets are subject to ongoing legislative and regulatory changes that may impact NRG's wholesale and retail businesses.

In addition to the regulatory proceedings noted below, NRG and its subsidiaries are a party to other regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business or have other regulatory exposure. In management's opinion, the disposition of these ordinary course matters will not materially adversely affect NRG's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

California — On May 4, 2010, in Southern California Edison Company v. FERC, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit vacated the FERC's acceptance of station power rules for the California Independent System Operator, or CAISO, market, and remanded the case for further proceedings at the FERC. On August 30, 2010, the FERC issued an Order on Remand effectively disclaiming jurisdiction over how the states impose retail station power charges. Due to reservation-of-rights language in the California utilities' state-jurisdictional station power tariffs, the FERC's ruling arguably requires California generators to pay state-imposed retail charges back to the date of enrollment by the facilities in the CAISO's station period program (February 1, 2009, for the Company's Encina and El Segundo facilities; March 1, 2009, for the Company's Long Beach facility). On February 28, 2011, the FERC issued an order denying rehearing. The Company, together with other generators, filed an appeal in the D.C. Circuit which is awaiting oral argument. On November 18, 2011, Southern California Edison Company filed with the CPUC, seeking authorization to begin charging generators station power charges, and to assess such charges retroactively, which the Company and other generators have challenged. The Company has filed a protest with the CPUC objecting to Southern California Edison's filing. That protest remains pending. The Company believes it has established an appropriate reserve.

Retail (Replacement Reserve) — On November 14, 2006, Constellation Energy Commodities Group, or Constellation, filed a complaint with the PUCT alleging that ERCOT misapplied the Replacement Reserve Settlement, or RPRS, Formula contained in the ERCOT protocols from April 10, 2006, through September 27, 2006. Specifically, Constellation disputed approximately \$4 million in under-scheduling charges for capacity insufficiency asserting that ERCOT applied the wrong protocol. Retail Electric Providers, or REPS, other market participants, ERCOT, and PUCT staff opposed Constellation's complaint. On January 25, 2008, the PUCT entered an order finding that ERCOT correctly settled the capacity insufficiency charges for the disputed dates in accordance with ERCOT protocols and denied Constellation's complaint. On April 9, 2008, Constellation appealed the PUCT order to the Civil District Court of Travis County, Texas and on June 19, 2009, the court issued a judgment reversing the PUCT order, finding that the ERCOT protocols were in irreconcilable conflict with each other. Under the PUCT ordered formula, Qualified

Scheduling Entities, or QSEs, who under-scheduled capacity within any of ERCOT's four congestion zones were assessed under-scheduling charges which defrayed the costs incurred by ERCOT for RPRS that would otherwise be spread among all load-serving QSEs. Under the Court's decision, all RPRS costs would be assigned to all load-serving QSEs based upon their load ratio share without assessing any separate charge to those QSEs who under-scheduled capacity. If under-scheduling charges for capacity insufficient QSEs were not used to defray RPRS costs, REPS's share of the total RPRS costs allocated to QSEs would increase. On July 20, 2009, REPS filed an appeal to the Third Court of Appeals in Travis County, Texas, thereby staying the effect of the trial court's decision. On October 6, 2010, the parties argued the appeal before the Court of Appeals for the Third District in Austin, Texas. On September 28, 2011, the Court of Appeals reversed the trial court decision, reinstating the PUCT's order, consistent with REPS' position. On January 13, 2012, Constellation filed a Petition for Review in the Supreme Court of Texas asking the Court to grant review of and reverse the Court of Appeals decision. The Texas Supreme Court has requested that briefs on the merits be filed before deciding whether to hear the Petition for Review. Constellation's brief is due September 5, 2012. Responses to Constellation's brief are due September 25, 2012.

Retail (Midwest ISO SECA) — Green Mountain Energy previously provided competitive retail energy supply in the Midwest ISO region during the relevant period of January 1, 2002, to December 31, 2005. By order dated November 18, 2004, FERC eliminated certain regional through-and-out transmission rates charged by transmission owners in the regional electric grids operated by the Midwest Independent Transmission Systems Operator, Inc., or MISO, and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., or PJM. In order to temporarily compensate the transmission owners for revenue lost as a result of the elimination of the through-and-out transmission rates, the FERC also ordered MISO, PJM and their respective transmission owners to provide for the recovery of certain Seams Elimination Charge/Cost Adjustments/Assignments, or SECA, charges effective December 1, 2004, through March 31, 2006, based on usage during 2002 and 2003. The tariff amendments filed by MISO and the MISO transmission owners allocated certain SECA charges to various zones and sub-zones within MISO, including a sub-zone called the Green Mountain Energy Company Sub-zone. Over the last several years, there has been extensive litigation before the FERC relating to these charges, seeking, among other things, to recover monies from Green Mountain Energy, and before the federal appellate courts. Green Mountain Energy has not paid any asserted SECA charges.

On May 21, 2010, the FERC issued two orders. In its Order on Rehearing, the FERC denied all requests for rehearing of its past orders directing and accepting the SECA compliance filings of MISO, PJM, and the transmission owners. In its Order on Initial Decision, FERC: (1) affirmed an order by the Administrative Law Judge granting Green Mountain Energy partial summary judgment and holding Green Mountain Energy not liable for SECA charges for January - March 2006; and (2) reversed an August 2006 determination by the Administrative Law Judge that Green Mountain Energy could be held directly liable for some amount of SECA charges. The Order on Initial Decision also directed that the two Regional Transmission Organizations, or RTOs, and their respective transmission owners submit further compliance filings, which were filed on August 19, 2010. The FERC has not yet ruled on those compliance filings.

With regard to the SECA charges that had been invoiced to Green Mountain Energy, the FERC determined that most of those charges, approximately \$22 million plus interest, were owed not by Green Mountain Energy but rather by BP Energy — one of Green Mountain Energy's suppliers during the period at issue. On August 19, 2010, the transmission owners and MISO made compliance filings in accordance with the FERC's Orders allocating SECA charges to a BP Energy Sub-zone, and making no allocation to a Green Mountain Energy sub-zone. BP Energy has not asserted any contractual claims against Green Mountain Energy. The Company believes it has established an appropriate reserve.

On September 30, 2011, the FERC issued orders denying BP Energy's request for rehearing of the May 2010 Order on Rehearing, denying all requests for rehearing of the Order on Initial Decision, and again determined that SECA charges were not owed by Green Mountain Energy. Numerous parties have sought judicial review of the FERC's Order on Initial Decision, and BP Energy has sought judicial review of the May 2010 Order on Rehearing. These appeals have been consolidated with previous appeals of orders relating to SECA before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit. Green Mountain Energy has been granted intervenor status in the consolidated appeals.

On May 10, 2012, the Court issued an order setting out a briefing schedule which provided for the submittal of petitioners' briefs on July 17, 2012. On July 5, 2012, BP Energy and three PJM transmission owners filed a motion asking the Court to suspend the briefing schedule. The movants stated that respondent FERC and all other petitioners either supported or did not oppose the motion. The movants further stated that they had reached a settlement resolving all SECA claims involving BP Energy, were filing the settlement agreement with the FERC that day, and desired a suspension of the briefing schedule to enable the FERC to act on the proposed settlement. The movants did in fact file the settlement agreement at the FERC that day. The agreement provided for BP Energy to pay a total of approximately \$24 million to the three transmission owners signing the agreement, with another \$1 million offered to the remaining PJM transmission owners, should they choose to join the settlement. On July 10, 2012, the D.C. Circuit issued an order granting the motion to vacate the briefing schedule and directing the remaining parties to submit a motion to govern further proceedings by September 17, 2012.

Note 16 — Environmental Matters

NRG is subject to a wide range of environmental regulations across a broad number of jurisdictions in the development, ownership, construction and operation of domestic and international projects. These laws and regulations generally require that governmental permits and approvals be obtained before construction and during operation of power plants. Environmental regulations have become increasingly stringent and NRG expects this trend to continue. The electric generation industry is likely to face new requirements to address various emissions, including greenhouse gases, as well as combustion byproducts and water use. In general, future laws and regulations are expected to require the addition of emission controls or other environmental quality equipment or the imposition of certain restrictions on the operations of the Company's facilities. NRG expects that future liability under, or compliance with, environmental requirements could have a material effect on the Company's operations or competitive position.

Environmental Capital Expenditures

Based on current rules, technology and plans as well as preliminary plans based on proposed rules, NRG has estimated that environmental capital expenditures from 2012 through 2016 to meet NRG's regulatory environmental commitments will be approximately \$553 million. These costs are primarily associated with mercury controls to satisfy the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards, or MATS, on the Company's Big Cajun II, W.A. Parish and Limestone facilities and a number of intake modification projects across the fleet under state or proposed federal 316(b) rules. NRG continues to explore cost effective compliance alternatives to reduce costs. While this estimate reflects anticipated schedules and controls related to the proposed 316(b) Rule, the full impact on the scope and timing of environmental retrofits from any new or revised regulations cannot be determined until these rules are final and any legal challenges are reviewed. However, NRG believes it is positioned to meet more stringent environmental regulations through its planned capital expenditures, existing controls, and increasing generation from renewable resources.

NRG's current contracts with the Company's rural electric cooperative customers in the South Central region allow for recovery of a portion of the region's environmental capital costs incurred as the result of complying with any change in environmental law. Cost recoveries begin once the environmental equipment becomes operational and include a capital return. The actual recoveries will depend, among other things, on the timing of the completion of the capital projects and the remaining duration of the contracts.

Northeast Region

In January 2006, NRG's Indian River Operations, Inc. received a letter of informal notification from Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, or DNREC, stating that it may be a potentially responsible party with respect to Burton Island Old Ash Landfill, a historic captive landfill located at the Indian River facility. On October 1, 2007, NRG signed an agreement with DNREC to investigate the site through the Voluntary Clean-up Program. On February 4, 2008, DNREC issued findings that no further action is required in relation to surface water and that a previously planned shoreline stabilization project would satisfactorily address shoreline erosion. The landfill itself will require a further Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study to determine the type and scope of any additional work required. Until the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study is approved, the Company is unable to predict the impact of any required remediation. On May 29, 2008, DNREC requested that NRG's Indian River Operations, Inc. participate in the development and performance of a Natural Resource Damage Assessment, or NRDA, at the Burton Island Old Ash Landfill. NRG is currently working with DNREC and other trustees to close out the assessment phase.

South Central Region

On February 11, 2009, the U.S. DOJ acting at the request of the U.S. EPA commenced a lawsuit against LaGen in federal district court in the Middle District of Louisiana alleging violations of the CAA at the Big Cajun II power plant. This is the same matter for which NOVs were issued to LaGen on February 15, 2005, and on December 8, 2006. Further discussion on this matter can be found in Note 14, Commitments and Contingencies - Louisiana Generating, LLC.

Note 17 — Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Huntley Power LLC

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding \$6 billion of Senior Notes due from 2017 - 2021, as shown in Note 8, Debt and Capital Leases. These Senior Notes are guaranteed by certain of NRG's current and future wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries, or guarantor subsidiaries. The non-guarantor subsidiaries include all of NRG's foreign subsidiaries and certain domestic subsidiaries.

Unless otherwise noted below, each of the following guarantor subsidiaries fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Senior Notes as of June 30, 2012:

| Semon riotes as of same 30, 2012. | | |
|--|---|---|
| Arthur Kill Power LLC | NEO Freehold-Gen LLC | NRG Power Marketing LLC |
| Astoria Gas Turbine Power LLC | NEO Power Services Inc. | NRG Renter's Protection LLC |
| Cabrillo Power I LLC | New Genco GP, LLC | NRG Retail LLC |
| Cabrillo Power II LLC | Norwalk Power LLC | NRG Rockford Acquisition LLC |
| Carbon Management Solutions LLC | CNRG Affiliate Services Inc. | NRG Saguaro Operations Inc. |
| Clean Edge Energy LLC | NRG Artesian Energy LLC | NRG Security LLC |
| Conemaugh Power LLC | NRG Arthur Kill Operations Inc. | NRG Services Corporation |
| Connecticut Jet Power LLC | NRG Astoria Gas Turbine Operations Inc. | NRG SimplySmart Solutions LLC |
| Cottonwood Development LLC | NRG Bayou Cove LLC | NRG South Central Affiliate Services Inc. |
| Cottonwood Energy Company LP | NRG Cabrillo Power Operations Inc. | NRG South Central Generating LLC |
| Cottonwood Generating Partners I LLC | NRG California Peaker Operations LLC | NRG South Central Operations Inc. |
| Cottonwood Generating Partners II LLC | NRG Cedar Bayou Development Company, LLC | NRG South Texas LP |
| Cottonwood Generating Partners III LLC | NRG Connecticut Affiliate Services Inc. | NRG Texas LLC |
| Cottonwood Technology Partners LP | NRG Construction LLC | NRG Texas C&I Supply LLC |
| Devon Power LLC | NRG Development Company Inc. | NRG Texas Holding Inc. |
| Dunkirk Power LLC | NRG Devon Operations Inc. | NRG Texas Power LLC |
| Eastern Sierra Energy Company LLC | NRG Dispatch Services LLC | NRG Unemployment Protection LLC |
| El Segundo Power, LLC | NRG Dunkirk Operations Inc. | NRG Warranty Services LLC |
| El Segundo Power II LLC | NRG El Segundo Operations Inc. | NRG West Coast LLC |
| Elbow Creek Wind Project LLC | NRG Energy Labor Services LLC | NRG Western Affiliate Services Inc. |
| Energy Plus Holdings LLC | NRG Energy Services Group LLC | O'Brien Cogeneration, Inc. II |
| Energy Plus Natural Gas LLC | NRG Energy Services LLC | ONSITE Energy, Inc. |
| Energy Protection Insurance Company | NRG Generation Holdings, Inc. | Oswego Harbor Power LLC |
| Everything Energy LLC | NRG Home & Business Solutions LLC | RE Retail Receivables, LLC |
| GCP Funding Company, LLC | NRG Home Solutions Product LLC | Reliant Energy Northeast LLC |
| Green Mountain Energy Company | NRG Huntley Operations Inc. | Reliant Energy Power Supply, LLC |
| Green Mountain Energy Company | NRG Identity Protect LLC | Reliant Energy Retail Holdings, LLC |
| (NY Com) LLC | NRG Ilion Limited Partnership | Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC |
| Green Mountain Energy Company | NRG Ilion LP LLC | RERH Holdings, LLC |
| (NY Res) LLC | NRG International LLC | Saguaro Power LLC |
| | | |

NRG Maintenance Services LLC

Somerset Operations Inc.

Independence Energy Alliance LLC NRG Mextrans Inc.

Independence Energy Group LLC

Independence Energy Natural Gas

LLC

Indian River Operations Inc.

Indian River Power LLC

Keystone Power LLC

Langford Wind Power, LLC Louisiana Generating LLC Meriden Gas Turbines LLC

Middletown Power LLC

Montville Power LLC

NEO Corporation

NRG MidAtlantic Affiliate Services Inc.

NRG Middletown Operations Inc.

NRG Montville Operations Inc.

NRG New Jersey Energy Sales LLC

NRG New Roads Holdings LLC

NRG North Central Operations Inc.

NRG Northeast Affiliate Services Inc. NRG Norwalk Harbor Operations Inc.

NRG Operating Services, Inc.

NRG Oswego Harbor Power Operations

Inc.

NRG PacGen Inc.

Somerset Power LLC

Texas Genco Financing Corp.

Texas Genco GP, LLC

Texas Genco Holdings, Inc.

Texas Genco LP, LLC

Texas Genco Operating Services,

LLC

Texas Genco Services, LP

US Retailers LLC Vienna Operations Inc. Vienna Power LLC

WCP (Generation) Holdings LLC

West Coast Power LLC

NRG conducts much of its business through and derives much of its income from its subsidiaries. Therefore, the Company's ability to make required payments with respect to its indebtedness and other obligations depends on the financial results and condition of its subsidiaries and NRG's ability to receive funds from its subsidiaries. Except for NRG Bayou Cove, LLC, which is subject to certain restrictions under the Company's Peaker financing agreements, there are no restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantor subsidiaries to transfer funds to NRG. In addition, there may be restrictions for certain non-guarantor subsidiaries.

The following condensed consolidating financial information presents the financial information of NRG Energy, Inc., the guarantor subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries in accordance with Rule 3-10 under the Securities and Exchange Commission's Regulation S-X. The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations or financial position had the guarantor subsidiaries or non-guarantor subsidiaries operated as independent entities.

In this presentation, NRG Energy, Inc. consists of parent company operations. Guarantor subsidiaries and non-guarantor subsidiaries of NRG are reported on an equity basis. For companies acquired, the fair values of the assets and liabilities acquired have been presented on a push-down accounting basis.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | Elimination | Consolidate Balance | d | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|---|
| | (In millions) |) | , | | | | |
| Operating Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | \$2,043 | \$ 132 | \$ — | \$ (9 |) | \$2,166 | |
| Operating Costs and Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 1,255 | 71 | | (7 |) | 1,319 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 216 | 15 | 3 | | | 234 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 121 | 12 | 76 | (2 |) | 207 | |
| Development costs | | | 9 | | | 9 | |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1,592 | 98 | 88 | (9 |) | 1,769 | |
| Operating Income/(Loss) | 451 | 34 | (88) | | | 397 | |
| Other Income/(Expense) | | | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings/(losses) of consolidated subsidiaries | 10 | (10) | 362 | (362 |) | _ | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 4 | 10 | _ | _ | | 14 | |
| Other income, net | 1 | 1 | | | | 2 | |
| Interest expense | (11) | (25) | (131) | | | (167 |) |
| Total other income/(expense) | 4 | (24) | 231 | (362 |) | (151 |) |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 455 | 10 | 143 | (362 |) | 246 | |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 154 | (59) | (108) | | | (13 |) |
| Net Income/(Loss) | 301 | 69 | 251 | (362 |) | 259 | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | _ | 8 | _ | _ | | 8 | |
| Net Income/(Loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$301 | \$61 | \$251 | \$ (362 |) | \$251 | |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | Guarantor Subsidiaries | | Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries | or | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | | Elimination | s ^(a) | Consolidated Balance | l |
|--|---------------------------|-----|------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Operating Revenues | | | | | (In millions) | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 3,821 | 5 | \$ 233 | | \$ — | | \$ (26 |) | \$4,028 | |
| Operating Costs and Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 2,777 | 1 | 131 | | 6 | | (22 |) | 2,892 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 430 | 2 | 28 | | 6 | | | | 464 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 253 | 2 | 22 | | 157 | | (4 |) | 428 | |
| Development costs | | - | | | 17 | | | | 17 | |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 3,460 | 1 | 181 | | 186 | | (26 |) | 3,801 | |
| Operating Income/(Loss) | 361 | 4 | 52 | | (186) |) | | | 227 | |
| Other Income/(Expense) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings/(losses) of consolidated subsidiaries | 16 | (| (12) |) | 342 | | (346 |) | _ | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 2 | 2 | 20 | | _ | | _ | | 22 | |
| Impairment charge on investment | (1) |) - | | | | | | | (1) | |
| Other income, net | 1 | 2 | 2 | | 1 | | | | 4 | |
| Interest expense | (16) |) (| (39) |) | (277) |) | | | (332) | |
| Total other income/(expense) | 2 | (| (29) |) | 66 | | (346 |) | (307) | |
| Income/(Loss) Before Income Taxes | 363 | 2 | 23 | | (120) |) | (346 |) | (80) | |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 126 | (| (95) |) | (164) |) | | | (133) | |
| Net Income/(Loss) | 237 | 1 | 118 | | 44 | | (346 |) | 53 | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | _ | Ģ | 9 | | | | _ | | 9 | |
| Net Income/(Loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$ 237 | 5 | \$ 109 | | \$44 | | \$ (346 |) | \$44 | |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | Guarantor Subsidiarie | es | Non-Guarant Subsidiaries | or | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | | Elimination | S(a) | Consolidat Balance | ed |
|--|--------------------------|----|-----------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|------|-----------------------|----|
| | (In million | s) |) | | | | | | | |
| Net Income/(Loss) | \$301 | | \$ 69 | | \$251 | | \$ (362 |) | \$259 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of | | | | | | | | | | |
| tax | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on derivatives, net | (66 |) | (26 |) | (88 |) | 100 | | (80 |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net | _ | | (8 |) | _ | | _ | | (8 |) |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income | (66 |) | (34 |) | (88 |) | 100 | | (88) |) |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | 235 | | 35 | | 163 | | (262 |) | 171 | |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | 8 | | _ | | _ | | 8 | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | 235 | | 27 | | 163 | | (262 |) | 163 | |
| Dividends for preferred shares | _ | | _ | | 3 | | | | 3 | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) available for common stockholders | \$235 | | \$ 27 | | \$160 | | \$ (262 |) | \$160 | |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | Guarantor Subsidiarie | es | Non-Guarant Subsidiaries | or | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | | Elimination | s (a) | Consolidat Balance | ed |
|--|--------------------------|----|-----------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|----|
| | (In million | s) | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$237 | | \$ 118 | | \$44 | | \$ (346 |) | \$53 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of | | | | | | | | | | |
| tax | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized (loss)/gain on derivatives, net | (79 |) | (19 |) | (91 |) | 100 | | (89 |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net | _ | | (2 |) | _ | | _ | | (2 |) |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/gain | (79 |) | (21 |) | (91 |) | 100 | | (91 |) |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | 158 | | 97 | | (47 |) | (246 |) | (38 |) |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | 9 | | _ | | _ | | 9 | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | 158 | | 88 | | (47 |) | (246 |) | (47 |) |
| Dividends for preferred shares | _ | | _ | | 5 | | _ | | 5 | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) available for common stockholders | \$158 | | \$88 | | \$(52 |) | \$ (246 |) | \$(52 |) |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS June 30, 2012

| | Guarantor | Non-Guaranton | NRG Energy, | Eliminations ^{(a} | Consolidated |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | (Note Issuer) | | Balance |
| | (In millions) |) | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Current Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$694 | \$ 58 | \$397 | \$ — | \$ 1,149 |
| Funds deposited by counterparties | 135 | _ | | _ | 135 |
| Restricted cash | 9 | 181 | 18 | _ | 208 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 947 | 53 | | _ | 1,000 |
| Inventory | 408 | 8 | _ | | 416 |
| Derivative instruments | 3,673 | _ | _ | (3) | 3,670 |
| Cash collateral paid in support of energy | 71 | | | | 71 |
| risk management activities | 71 | _ | _ | _ | 71 |
| Prepayments and other current assets | 146 | 408 | 52 | | 606 |
| Total current assets | 6,083 | 708 | 467 | (3) | 7,255 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 10,194 | 5,047 | 96 | (19) | 15,318 |
| Other Assets | • | • | | , | • |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 324 | 11 | 16,552 | (16,887) | _ |
| Equity investments in affiliates | 33 | 613 | 12 | | 658 |
| Notes receivable – affiliate and capital lease | | | | (202 | |
| less current portion | 3 | 78 | 393 | (393) | 81 |
| Goodwill | 1,886 | | | _ | 1,886 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,183 | 81 | 30 | (38) | 1076 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust fund | 448 | _ | _ | - | 448 |
| Derivative instruments | 562 | | | | 562 |
| Other non-current assets | 63 | 122 | 207 | | 392 |
| Total other assets | 4,502 | 905 | 17,194 | (17,318) | |
| Total Assets | \$20,779 | \$6,660 | \$17,757 | \$ (17,340 | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' | + , | + 0,000 | 7 - 1 , 7 - 1 | + (-1,-10) | 7 - 7,000 |
| EQUITY | | | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt and | | | | | |
| capital leases | \$— | \$ 54 | \$17 | \$ — | \$ 71 |
| Accounts payable | (1,328) | 540 | 2,138 | | 1,350 |
| Derivative instruments | 3,202 | 19 | 16 | (3) | 3,234 |
| Deferred income taxes | 455 | (50) | (290) | _ | 115 |
| Cash collateral received in support of energy | 1 | (50) | (2)0 | | |
| risk management activities | 135 | | | _ | 135 |
| Accrued expenses and other current | | | | | |
| liabilities | 359 | 212 | 222 | | 793 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,823 | 775 | 2,103 | (3) | 5,698 |

Edgar Filing: NRG ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

| 273 | 3,030 | 7,575 | (393 |) | 10,485 |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| 345 | _ | _ | | | 345 |
| 263 | _ | _ | | | 263 |
| 1,115 | 220 | (188 | · — | | 1,147 |
| 611 | 109 | | | | 720 |
| 193 | 6 | | (31 |) | 168 |
| 564 | 199 | 115 | | | 878 |
| 3,364 | 3,564 | 7,502 | (424 |) | 14,006 |
| 6,187 | 4,339 | 9,605 | (427 |) | 19,704 |
| | _ | 249 | | | 249 |
| 14,592 | 2,321 | 7,903 | (16,913 |) | 7,903 |
| \$20,779 s have been e | \$ 6,660 eliminated in co | \$17,757 nsolidation. | \$ (17,340 |) | \$ 27,856 |
| | 345 263 1,115 611 193 564 3,364 6,187 — 14,592 \$20,779 | 345 — 263 — 1,115 220 611 109 193 6 564 199 3,364 3,564 6,187 4,339 — — 14,592 2,321 \$20,779 \$6,660 | 345 — 263 — 1,115 220 (188 611 109 — 193 6 — 564 199 115 3,364 3,564 7,502 6,187 4,339 9,605 — 249 14,592 2,321 7,903 | 345 — — — 263 — — — 1,115 220 (188) — 611 109 — — 193 6 — (31 564 199 115 — 3,364 3,564 7,502 (424 6,187 4,339 9,605 (427 — — 249 — 14,592 2,321 7,903 (16,913 \$20,779 \$6,660 \$17,757 \$ (17,340 | 345 — — — 263 — — — 1,115 220 (188) — 611 109 — — 193 6 — (31) 564 199 115 — 3,364 3,564 7,502 (424) 6,187 4,339 9,605 (427) — 249 — 14,592 2,321 7,903 (16,913) \$20,779 \$6,660 \$17,757 \$(17,340) |

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | Guaranto Subsidiar | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | | Eliminatio | Consolidated Balance | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------|---|--------|---|
| | (In millio | ons) | | | | | | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | • | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$237 | \$ 118 | | \$44 | | \$ (346 |) | \$ 53 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash | | | | | | | | | |
| provided/(used) by operating activities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Distributions and equity in losses/(earnings) of | | | | | | | | | |
| unconsolidated affiliates and consolidated | 15 | (12 |) | 346 | | (350 |) | (1 |) |
| subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 430 | 28 | | 6 | | _ | | 464 | |
| Provision for bad debts | 17 | _ | | _ | | _ | | 17 | |
| Amortization of nuclear fuel | 16 | _ | | | | _ | | 16 | |
| Amortization of financing costs and debt | | 5 | | 12 | | | | 17 | |
| discount/premiums | | 3 | | 12 | | _ | | 1 / | |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | | _ | | 1 | | _ | | 1 | |
| Amortization of intangibles and out-of-market | 80 | 1 | | | | | | 81 | |
| commodity contracts | 80 | 1 | | | | _ | | 01 | |
| Amortization of unearned equity compensation | | | | 18 | | | | 18 | |
| Changes in deferred income taxes and liability for | 126 | (95 |) | (176 |) | | | (145 |) |
| uncertain tax benefits | 120 | (93 | , | (170 | , | | | (143 | , |
| Changes in nuclear decommissioning trust liability | 17 | | | | | _ | | 17 | |
| Changes in derivative instruments | 65 | 8 | | 1 | | | | 74 | |
| Changes in collateral deposits supporting energy risk | 240 | | | | | | | 240 | |
| management activities | 240 | | | | | | | 240 | |
| Cash (used)/provided by changes in other working | (742 |) 118 | | (335 |) | 692 | | (267 |) |
| capital | (172 |) 110 | | (333 | , | 072 | | (207 | , |
| Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Operating Activities | 501 | 171 | | (83 |) | (4 |) | 585 | |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | | | | | |
| Intercompany loans to subsidiaries | 320 | _ | | (80 |) | (240 |) | _ | |
| Capital expenditures | (127 |) (1,431 |) | (35 |) | _ | | (1,593 |) |
| Increase in restricted cash, net | (1 |) (58 |) | 1 | | — | | (58 |) |
| Decrease in restricted cash - U.S. DOE projects | _ | 108 | | 34 | | _ | | 142 | |
| Increase in notes receivable | _ | (21 |) | _ | | _ | | (21 |) |
| Investments in nuclear decommissioning trust fund securities | (236 |) — | | _ | | _ | | (236 |) |
| Proceeds from sales of nuclear decommissioning | 220 | | | | | | | 220 | |
| trust fund securities | 220 | _ | | | | _ | | 220 | |
| Proceeds from renewable energy grants | _ | 35 | | | | _ | | 35 | |
| Other | 8 | (41 |) | (11 |) | | | (44 |) |
| Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Investing Activities | 184 | (1,408 |) | (91 |) | (240 |) | (1,555 |) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Proceeds from intercompany loans | | 80 |) | | (320 |) | 240 | _ | | | |
|--|-------|------|-----|---|-------|---|------|----------|---|--|--|
| Payment of dividends to preferred stockholders | | | - | | (5 |) | _ | (5 |) | | |
| Payments of intercompany dividends | _ | (4 | |) | _ | | 4 | _ | | | |
| Net payments for settlement of acquired derivatives | (44 |) — | _ | | _ | | _ | (44 |) | | |
| that include financing elements | | , | | | | | | | | | |
| Sale proceeds and other contributions from noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries | | 27 | 0 | | | | | 270 | | | |
| | 0 | 0.1 | 7 | | 1 | | | 927 | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 9 | 91 | | | 1 | | _ | | | | |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | | (1 | 1 |) | (1 |) | _ | (12 |) | | |
| Payments for short and long-term debt | | (4 | 1 |) | (80 |) | _ | (121 |) | | |
| Net Cash (Used)/Provided by Financing Activities | (35 |) 1, | 211 | | (405 |) | 244 | 1,015 | | | |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | _ | (1 | |) | _ | | _ | (1 |) | | |
| Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 650 | (2 | 7 |) | (579 |) | _ | 44 | | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 44 | 85 | ; | | 976 | | | 1,105 | | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$694 | \$ | 58 | | \$397 | | \$ — | \$ 1,149 | | | |
| (a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. | | | | | | | | | | | |

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | Guarantor Subsidiarie | Non-Guaranto s Subsidiaries | NRG Energy, r Inc. (Note Issuer) | Eliminations ^(a) | Consolidated Balance |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (In millions | s) | , | | |
| Operating Revenues | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | \$2,185 | \$ 90 | \$ — | \$ 3 | \$ 2,278 |
| Operating Costs and Expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 1,545 | 59 | 1 | 3 | 1,608 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 210 | 8 | 4 | _ | 222 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 93 | 7 | 67 | _ | 167 |
| Development costs | _ | | 12 | _ | 12 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1,848 | 74 | 84 | 3 | 2,009 |
| Operating Income/(Loss) | 337 | 16 | (84) | _ | 269 |
| Other Income/(Expense) | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of consolidated | 6 | (0) | 175 | (172 | |
| subsidiaries | 6 | (8) | 175 | (173) | _ |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates | 6 | 6 | _ | _ | 12 |
| Impairment charge on investment | (11) | | _ | _ | (11) |
| Other income, net | _ | 1 | 2 | _ | 3 |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | _ | | (115) | _ | (115) |
| Interest expense | (17) | (14) | (136) | _ | (167) |
| Total other expense | (16) | (15) | (74) | (173) | (278) |
| Income/(Loss) Before Income Taxes | 321 | 1 | (158) | (173) | (9) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 148 | 1 | (779) | _ | (630) |
| Net Income/(Loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$173 | \$— | \$621 | \$ (173) | \$ 621 |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

NRG Energy, Guarantor Non-Guarantor Consolidated $Eliminations^{(a)} \\$ Inc. **Subsidiaries Subsidiaries** Balance (Note Issuer) **Operating Revenues** (In millions) Total operating revenues \$4,089 \$194 \$ (10) \$4,273 \$--Operating Costs and Expenses Cost of operations 2,798 6 131 (3) 2,932 Depreciation and amortization 7 402 18 427 Selling, general and administrative 12 124 310 174 Development costs) 22 21 (1 (3) 3,690 Total operating costs and expenses 3,374 160 159 Operating Income/(Loss) 583 715 34 (159)) (7) Other Income/(Expense) Equity in earnings of consolidated 15 (9) 97 (103)subsidiaries Equity in earnings of unconsolidated 4 10 affiliates Impairment charge on investment (492 (492) Other income, net 5 3 8 Loss on debt extinguishment (143)) — (143)) Interest expense (26)) (27) (287 (340) Total other expense (497) (27) (330) (103) (957 **Income Before Income Taxes** 218 7 (489) (110) (374 Income tax expense/(benefit) 112 3 (850) (735)Net Income/(Loss) attributable to \$106 \$4 \$361 \$ (110) \$361

NRG Energy, Inc.

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | Guarantor Subsidiarie | Non-Guarantes Subsidiaries | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | Elimination | ıS ^(a) | Consolidat Balance | ted |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | (In millions | 3) | , | | | | |
| Net Income/(Loss) | \$173 | \$ <i>—</i> | \$621 | \$ (173 |) | \$621 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of | | | | | | | |
| tax | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized (loss)/gain on derivatives, net | (52) | (5 |) (59 |) 49 | | (67 |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net | _ | 8 | 2 | _ | | 10 | |
| Available-for-sale securities, net | | | (1 |) — | | (1 |) |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income | (52) | 3 | (58 |) 49 | | (58 |) |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | 121 | 3 | 563 | (124 |) | 563 | |
| Dividends for preferred shares | | | 3 | | | 3 | |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) available for common stockholders | \$121 | \$ 3 | \$560 | \$ (124 |) | \$560 | |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | Guarantor Subsidiario | es | Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries | r NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | | Eliminations | ;(a) | Consolidat Balance | ted |
|---|--------------------------|----|------------------------------|--|---|--------------|------|-----------------------|-----|
| | (In million | s) |) | | | | | | |
| Net Income/(Loss) | \$106 | | \$4 | \$361 | | \$ (110 |) | \$361 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of | | | | | | | | | |
| tax | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized (loss)/gain on derivatives, net | (138 |) | (2) | (128 |) | 119 | | (149 |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, | | | 20 | 2 | | | | 22 | |
| net | | | 20 | ۷ | | | | 22 | |
| Available-for-sale securities, net | | | | (1 |) | | | (1 |) |
| Defined benefit plan | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income | (137 |) | 18 | (127 |) | 119 | | (127 |) |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income attributable to |)(21 | ` | 22 | 234 | | 9 | | 234 | |
| NRG Energy, Inc. | (31 |) | 22 | 234 | | 9 | | 234 | |
| Dividends for preferred shares | _ | | _ | 5 | | _ | | 5 | |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income available for | \$(31 | ` | ¢ 22 | \$229 | | \$ 9 | | ¢220 | |
| common stockholders | \$(31 |) | \$ 22 | Φ 4 4 9 | | ФЭ | | \$229 | |

⁽a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS December 31, 2011

| | | Non-Guarantor esSubsidiaries | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | Eliminations ^(a) | Consolidated Balance |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (In million | s) | , | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Current Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$44 | \$ 85 | \$976 | \$ — | \$ 1,105 |
| Funds deposited by counterparties | 258 | _ | _ | _ | 258 |
| Restricted cash | 8 | 231 | 53 | | 292 |
| Accounts receivable-trade, net | 789 | 45 | _ | _ | 834 |
| Inventory | 300 | 8 | _ | _ | 308 |
| Derivative instruments | 4,222 | _ | _ | (6) | 4,216 |
| Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk | 311 | | | | 211 |
| management activities | 311 | _ | _ | _ | 311 |
| Prepayments and other current assets | 1,229 | 28 | (983) | (1) | 273 |
| Total current assets | 7,161 | 397 | 46 | (7) | 7,597 |
| Net Property, Plant and Equipment | 10,456 | 3,116 | 67 | (18) | 13,621 |
| Other Assets | | | | | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 225 | 491 | 16,169 | (16,885) | _ |
| Equity investments in affiliates | 33 | 607 | _ | | 640 |
| Capital leases and notes receivable, less | 1 | 341 | 172 | (172 | 342 |
| current portion | 1 | 341 | 172 | (172) | 342 |
| Goodwill | 1,886 | | _ | | 1,886 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,340 | 84 | 33 | (38) | 1,419 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust fund | 424 | | _ | | 424 |
| Derivative instruments | 450 | | _ | | 450 |
| Other non-current assets | 55 | 72 | 209 | | 336 |
| Total other assets | 4,414 | 1,595 | 16,583 | (17,095) | 5,497 |
| Total Assets | \$22,031 | \$5,108 | \$16,696 | \$ (17,120) | \$ 26,715 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' | | | | | |
| EQUITY | | | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital | • | \$72 | \$15 | \$ — | \$ 87 |
| leases | J — | \$ 12 | \$13 | φ — | Φ 67 |
| Accounts payable | (407) | 122 | 1,093 | | 808 |
| Derivative instruments | 3,712 | 23 | 22 | (6) | 3,751 |
| Deferred income taxes | 534 | (51) | (356) | _ | 127 |
| Cash collateral received in support of energy | 258 | | | | 258 |
| risk management activities | 236 | | _ | | 236 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | s 371 | 23 | 247 | (1) | 640 |
| Total current liabilities | 4,468 | 189 | 1,021 | (7) | 5,671 |
| Other Liabilities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt and capital leases | 264 | 1,999 | 7,654 | (172) | 9,745 |
| Nuclear decommissioning reserve | 335 | | _ | | 335 |

Edgar Filing: NRG ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

| Nuclear decommissioning trust liability | 254 | _ | | | | 254 |
|---|-------------|------------------|---------------|------------|---|-----------|
| Deferred income taxes | 950 | 273 | 166 | | | 1,389 |
| Derivative instruments | 394 | 66 | 4 | | | 464 |
| Out-of-market commodity contracts | 208 | 6 | _ | (31 |) | 183 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 544 | 96 | 116 | | | 756 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 2,949 | 2,440 | 7,940 | (203 |) | 13,126 |
| Total liabilities | 7,417 | 2,629 | 8,961 | (210 |) | 18,797 |
| 3.625% Preferred Stock | | | 249 | | | 249 |
| Stockholders' Equity | 14,614 | 2,479 | 7,486 | (16,910 |) | 7,669 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$22,031 | \$5,108 | \$16,696 | \$ (17,120 |) | \$ 26,715 |
| (a) All significant intercompany transactions | s have been | eliminated in co | onsolidation. | | | |

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | | | Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries | NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer) | | Elimination | S(a) | Consolida Balance | ated |
|--|-----------|-----|------------------------------|--|---|-------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | (In milli | ion | s) | , | | | | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$106 | | \$ 4 | \$361 | | \$ (110 |) | \$ 361 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash | | | | | | | | | |
| provided/(used) by operating activities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Distributions and equity in (earnings)/losses of | | | | | | | | | |
| unconsolidated affiliates and consolidated | (21 |) | 15 | (93 |) | 99 | | | |
| subsidiaries | | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 402 | | 18 | 7 | | | | 427 | |
| Provision for bad debts | 20 | | _ | _ | | _ | | 20 | |
| Amortization of nuclear fuel | 20 | | | _ | | | | 20 | |
| Amortization of financing costs and debt | | | 3 | 13 | | | | 16 | |
| discount/premiums | _ | | 3 | 13 | | | | 10 | |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | | | | 26 | | | | 26 | |
| Amortization of intangibles and out-of market | 92 | | | | | | | 92 | |
| commodity contracts | 92 | | | | | | | 72 | |
| Amortization of unearned equity compensation | | | _ | 14 | | _ | | 14 | |
| Changes in deferred income taxes and liability for | 111 | | 3 | (862 |) | | | (748 |) |
| uncertain tax benefits | 111 | | 3 | (002 | , | | | • | , |
| Changes in nuclear decommissioning trust liability | 13 | | _ | — | | _ | | 13 | |
| Changes in derivative instruments | (167 |) | 1 | _ | | _ | | (166 |) |
| Changes in collateral deposits supporting energy | 67 | | 2 | | | | | 69 | |
| risk management activities | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| Impairment charge on investment | 481 | | _ | _ | | | | 481 | |
| Cash (used)/provided by changes in other working | (273 |) | 7 | (57 |) | 7 | | (316 |) |
| capital | ` | , | | • | , | , | | • | , |
| Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Operating Activities | 851 | | 53 | (591 |) | (4 |) | 309 | |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | | | | | |
| Intercompany loans to subsidiaries | (869 |) | (14) | (352 |) | 1,235 | | | |
| Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired | | | (68) | | | | | (68 |) |
| Capital expenditures | (197 | | (599) | (43 |) | | | (839 |) |
| Increase in restricted cash, net | (1 |) | (41) | | | | | (42 |) |
| Increase in restricted cash - U.S. DOE projects | | | | (70 |) | | | (70 |) |
| Decrease in notes receivable | _ | | 20 | _ | | | | 20 | |
| Investments in nuclear decommissioning trust fund | d (165 |) | | | | | | (165 |) |
| securities | (100 | , | | | | | | (100 | , |
| Proceeds from sales of nuclear decommissioning | 152 | | _ | _ | | _ | | 152 | |
| trust fund securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | (7 | - | (30) | (10 |) | _ | | (47 |) |
| Net Cash (Used)/Provided by Investing Activities | (1,087 |) | (732) | (475 |) | 1,235 | | (1,059 |) |

| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-------------|------|---------|---|--------|---|----------|---|
| Proceeds from intercompany loans | 38 | | 314 | | 883 | | (1,235 |) | | |
| Payment of dividends to preferred stockholders | | | | | (5 |) | | | (5 |) |
| Payment of intercompany dividends | _ | | (4 |) | _ | | 4 | | _ | |
| Payment for treasury stock | _ | | _ | | (130 |) | _ | | (130 |) |
| Net payment for settlement of acquired derivative that include financing elements | s (46 |) | _ | | _ | | _ | | (46 |) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 96 | | 502 | | 3,200 | | | | 3,798 | |
| Increase in restricted cash supporting funded letter of credit | r | | (1 |) | _ | | _ | | (1 |) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| Payment of debt issuance and hedging costs | | | (18 |) | (34 |) | _ | | (52 |) |
| Payments for short and long-term debt | _ | | (71 |) | (3,762 |) | _ | | (3,833 |) |
| Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Financing Activities | s 88 | | 722 | | 153 | | (1,231 |) | (268 |) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | _ | | 6 | | _ | | _ | | 6 | |
| Net (Decrease)/Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (148 |) | 49 | | (913 |) | _ | | (1,012 |) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 1 168 | | 111 | | 2,672 | | | | 2,951 | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$20 | | \$ 160 | | \$1,759 | | \$ — | | \$ 1,939 | |
| (a) All significant intercompany transactions have | been elin | nin | ated in con | soli | dation. | | | | | |

ITEM 2 — MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As you read this discussion and analysis, refer to NRG's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations to this Form 10-Q, which present the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011. Also refer to NRG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, or 2011 Form 10-K, which includes detailed discussions of various items impacting the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition, including: Introduction and Overview section which provides a description of NRG's business segments; Strategy section; Business Environment section, including how regulation, weather, and other factors affect NRG's business; and Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section. As described in Note 12, Segment Reporting, NRG updated its segment structure to reflect how management currently makes its financial decisions and allocates resources, based on the Retail businesses, conventional power generation, alternative energy businesses and corporate activities.

The discussion and analysis below has been organized as follows:

Executive summary, including introduction and overview, business strategy, and changes to the business environment during the period including environmental and regulatory matters;

Results of operations;

Financial condition, addressing liquidity position, sources and uses of liquidity, capital resources and requirements, commitments, and off-balance sheet arrangements; and

Known trends that may affect NRG's results of operations and financial condition in the future.

Executive Summary

Introduction and Overview

NRG Energy, Inc., or NRG or the Company, is an integrated wholesale power generation and retail electricity company that aspires to be a leader in the way the industry and consumers think about, use, produce and deliver energy and energy services in major competitive power markets in the United States. First, NRG is a wholesale power generator engaged in the ownership and operation of power generation facilities; the trading of energy, capacity and related products; and the transacting in and trading of fuel and transportation services. Second, NRG is a retail electricity company engaged in the supply of electricity, energy services, and cleaner energy products to retail electricity customers in deregulated markets through its Retail businesses. Finally, NRG is focused on the deployment and commercialization of potential disruptive technologies, like electric vehicles, Distributed Solar and smart meter technology, which have the potential to change the nature of the power supply industry.

NRG's Business Strategy

NRG's business strategy is intended to maximize stockholder value through the production and sale of safe, reliable and affordable power to its customers in the markets served by the Company, while aggressively positioning the Company to meet the market's increasing demand for sustainable and low carbon energy solutions. This strategy is designed to enhance the Company's core business of competitive power generation and mitigate the risk of declining power prices. The Company expects to become a leading provider of sustainable energy solutions that promotes national energy security, while utilizing the Company's Retail businesses to complement and advance both initiatives.

The Company's core business is focused on: (i) excellence in safety and operating performance of its existing assets; (ii) serving the energy needs of end-use residential, commercial and industrial customers in the Company's core markets with a retail energy product that is differentiated either by premium service (Reliant), sustainability (Green Mountain Energy) or loyalty/affinity programs (Energy Plus); (iii) optimal hedging of baseload generation and retail load operations, while retaining optionality on the Company's peaking facilities; (iv) repowering of power generation assets at premium sites; (v) investment in, and deployment of, alternative energy technologies both in its wholesale and, particularly, in and around its Retail businesses and their customers; (vi) pursuing selective acquisitions, joint ventures, divestitures and investments; and (vii) engaging in a proactive capital allocation plan focused on achieving the regular return of and on stockholder capital within the dictates of prudent balance sheet management.

Moreover, the Company believes that the American energy industry is going to be increasingly impacted by the long-term societal trend towards sustainability which is both generational and irreversible. This trend is further influenced by the information technology-driven revolution, which has enabled greater and easier personal choice in other sectors of the consumer economy and will do the same in the American energy sector over the years to come. As a result, energy consumers will have increasing personal control over from whom they buy their energy, how that energy is generated and used and what environmental impact these individual choices will have. The Company's initiatives in this area of future growth are focused on: (i) renewables, with a concentration in solar development; (ii) electric vehicle ecosystems; (iii) customer-facing energy products and services including smart grid services, nationwide retail green electricity, unique retail sales channels involving loyalty and affinity programs and custom design; and (iv) the construction of other forms of on-site clean power generation. The Company's advances in each of these areas are driven by select acquisitions, joint ventures, and investments that are more fully described in Item 1, Business - New and On-going Company Initiatives and Development Projects of the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, and this Form 10-Q.

Pending Acquisition

On July 20, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement, or the Merger Agreement, to acquire GenOn Energy, Inc., or GenOn. GenOn, a generator of wholesale electricity, has baseload, intermediate and peaking power generation facilities using coal, natural gas and oil, totaling approximately 22,700 MW. The Company will issue, as consideration for the acquisition, 0.1216 shares of NRG common stock for each outstanding share of GenOn, including restricted stock units outstanding, on the acquisition date, except for fractional shares which will be paid in cash. The acquisition is expected to close by the first quarter of 2013.

The Merger Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants of NRG and GenOn, including, among others, covenants (a) to conduct their respective businesses in the ordinary course during the interim period between the execution of the Merger Agreement and completion of the merger, (b) not to engage in certain material transactions during the interim period except with the consent of the other party, (c) that NRG will convene and hold a meeting of its stockholders to consider and vote upon the approval of the issuance of NRG common stock in the merger and the approval and adoption of the charter amendment to allow the size of NRG's Board of Directors to be increased to 16 members in connection with the closing, (d) that GenOn will convene and hold a meeting of its stockholders to consider and vote upon the adoption of the Merger Agreement, and (e) that the parties use their respective reasonable best efforts to take all actions necessary to obtain all governmental and regulatory approvals and consents.

The combined company, which will retain the name NRG Energy, Inc., will become the largest competitive power generation company in the United States with approximately 47,000 MW of fossil fuel, nuclear, solar and wind capacity across the merit order in major competitive energy markets across the United States. In 2011, the combined fleet generated approximately 105 terawatt-hours of electricity. Expected synergies include cost and operational efficiency synergies, interest savings, reduced liquidity and collateral requirements, and a greater operational scale, which will enhance the combined company's ability to revitalize its generation fleet and optimize portfolio value.

Environmental Matters

Environmental Regulatory Landscape

In 2011, a number of U.S. EPA air regulations were finalized providing more clarity to the impact on electric generating units. A number of regulations with the potential for impact are still in development or under review by the U.S. EPA: New Source Performance Standards, or NSPS, for Greenhouse Gases, or GHGs, National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or NAAQS, revisions, coal combustion byproducts, and once-through cooling. While most of these regulations have been considered for some time, the outcomes and any resulting impact on NRG cannot be fully predicted until the rules are finalized. The timing and stringency of these regulations will contribute to a framework for the retrofit of existing fossil plants and deployment of new, cleaner technologies in the next decade. See discussion below for more detail.

Air — The U.S. EPA released the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, or CSAPR, on July 7, 2011, with additional proposed updates on October 6, 2011. CSAPR was scheduled to replace the Clean Air Interstate Rule, or CAIR, on January 1, 2012. It was designed to bring states into attainment with PM 2.5 and ozone NAAQS, reducing SO₂ and NO_x emissions from power plants. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit stayed the rule on December 30, 2011, pending resolution of the numerous petitions for judicial review and leaving CAIR in effect during the stay. On February 8, 2012, the U.S. EPA finalized the incremental state allowance budgets and resulting company allocations proposed in October 2011 and added additional allowance in certain states under the Direct Final Rule. NRG benefited from both rounds of allocation increases. The Company is unable to predict the final outcome of the court proceeding. There is no material impact to NRG related to the stay.

On February 16, 2012, the U.S. EPA finalized MATS, to control emissions of hazardous air pollutants from coal and oil fired electric generating units. Requirements include meeting the standards for mercury, acid gases, and certain metals (such as particulate matter) by April 16, 2015 on a plant wide basis with the potential for a one year extension. In April 2012, the rule was challenged on a number of issues by some states and industrial representatives. The appeal will be heard before the D.C. Circuit. NRG does not anticipate any plant impairments or capital expenditures beyond the current environmental capital expenditures schedule.

The U.S. EPA published the proposed New Source Performance Standards, or NSPS, for GHGs on April 13, 2012. The new standard, 1,000 tons of CO_2 per MWh gross, applies only to new electric generating units greater than 25 MW and provides averaging options for new units expected to install carbon capture. An exclusion for existing units minimizes the impact to NRG's coal plants.

On July 3, 2012, the EPA finalized the continued use of modified trigger levels through 2016 for GHG emissions in the Tailoring Rule. This rule maintains the current level at which projects must be permitted. While most repowering projects still trigger the permitting, the higher limit provides relief to smaller projects like the installation of back-end controls to meet other regulations.

Regulatory Matters

As operators of power plants and participants in wholesale and retail energy markets, certain NRG entities are subject to regulation by various federal and state government agencies. These include the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, or CFTC, FERC, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or NRC, and PUCT, as well as other public utility commissions in certain states where NRG's generating, thermal, or distributed generation assets are located. In addition, NRG is subject to the market rules, procedures and protocols of the various ISO markets in which it participates. Likewise, certain NRG entities participating in the retail markets are subject to rules and regulations established by the states in which NRG entities are licensed to sell at retail. NRG must also comply with the mandatory reliability requirements imposed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, or NERC, and the regional reliability entities in the regions where the Company operates.

NRG's operations within the ERCOT footprint are not subject to rate regulation by the FERC, as they are deemed to operate solely within the ERCOT market and not in interstate commerce. These operations are subject to regulation by PUCT, as well as to regulation by the NRC with respect to the Company's ownership interest in STP.

Texas Region

NRC Task Force Report — On March 11, 2012, the NRC issued Tier 1 requirements in response to the Near-Term Task Force report. Specifically, the NRC issued rules governing installation of spent fuel pool instrumentation and established mitigation strategies for beyond-design-basis external events. Additionally, the NRC issued requests for information regarding the re-evaluation of seismic and flooding hazards and the development of staffing strategies necessary for responding to an extended station blackout multi-unit event. The Company has submitted the required contingency plans and the NRC accepted the proposals. The Company anticipates being able to comply in a timely manner with all announced requirements.

ERCOT System-Wide Offer Caps — At its June 26, 2012, meeting, the PUCT approved an amendment to raise the ERCOT system-wide energy and ancillary service offer cap from \$3,000 to \$4,500 per MWh beginning August 1, 2012. A second proposed PUCT rule change is pending that would raise the system-wide offer cap on June 1, 2013 to \$5,000 per MWh, escalating to \$9,000 per MWh on June 1, 2015. The PUCT is expected to take action on this second proposed rule at its September 28, 2012 meeting. The ERCOT ISO is expected to shift the Power Balance Penalty Curve, or PBPC, to match these offer cap levels. An increase in the cap on electricity prices could have a material impact on NRG's retail and wholesale operations. This is expected to be overall positive to NRG as it will potentially result in increased wholesale revenues.

Over the past several months, ERCOT has implemented a number of measures intended to ensure that real-time energy prices accurately reflect supply scarcity conditions. Specific changes include requiring that energy from reliability services (such as responsive reserves and reliability unit commitments) be offered at the system-wide offer cap, implementing floor prices during the deployment of non-spinning reserve services, and shifting 500 MWs of non-spinning reserves to responsive reserves procurement by the ISO.

On June 1, 2012, the Brattle Group issued an ERCOT sponsored report on resource adequacy. The Brattle Report recommends the adoption of a \$9,000 ERCOT system-wide offer cap, along with other broader market design recommendations designed to incent investment in ERCOT generation. The PUCT has initiated a new proceeding to evaluate the recommendations.

ERCOT Voluntary Mitigation Plan — On June 18, 2012, NRG submitted a Voluntary Mitigation Plan, or VMP, which had been agreed to by PUCT Staff, and the ERCOT Independent Market Monitor. The VMP establishes a safe harbor for energy offers from NRG's units in ERCOT's real-time market. The VMP was approved by the PUCT on July 13, 2012.

Northeast Region

New England

Forward Capacity Market — On January 19, 2012, the FERC issued an order largely denying rehearing of its prior decision addressing proposed amendments submitted by ISO New England Inc., or ISO-NE, to its Forward Capacity Market, or FCM, design, as well as two pending complaints. On March 16, 2012, the Company and other generators with interests in New England appealed the FERC's decision to the DC Circuit Court of Appeals. Briefing is currently underway.

New York

New Financial Reporting Rules in New York — On March 23, 2012, the New York Public Service Commission, or NYPSC, issued an order addressing its policy of applying "lightened" regulation to wholesale generators. The order proposed to subject wholesale generators, which would include NRG entities operating in New York, to more

stringent financial reporting rules, including a requirement for generators to make an annual submission of "receipts and expenditures" to the NYPSC. The NYPSC extended the date for comments on the proposed financial reporting forms to July 30, 2012.

Dunkirk Power LLC Reliability Service — On March 14, 2012, Dunkirk Power LLC, or Dunkirk Power, filed a notice with the New York Department of Public Service, or DPS, of its intent to mothball the Dunkirk Station no later than September 10, 2012. The effects of the mothball on electric system reliability were reviewed by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid, or NG. As a result of those studies, NG determined that the mothball of the Dunkirk Station would have a negative impact on the reliability of the New York transmission system and that portions of the Dunkirk Station may be retained for reliability purposes via a non-market compensation arrangement. On July 12, 2012, Dunkirk Power filed a Reliability Must Run, or RMR, agreement, with the FERC. On July 20, 2012, NG and Dunkirk Power agreed on the material terms for a bilateral reliability support services agreement and submitted those terms to the NYPSC for rate recovery in NG's rates. The parties have requested that the NYPSC approve the reliability services agreement at its next open meeting, currently scheduled for August 16, 2012. Pending approval of the reliability services agreement, Dunkirk Power has requested that the FERC defer consideration of its RMR agreement.

New York City Mitigation Order — On June 21, 2012, the FERC issued the first of two anticipated orders on the New York Independent System Operator's, or NYISO's, implementation of mitigation rules designed to prevent the exercise of buyer-side market power in the In-City capacity market. The order related primarily to the appropriate modeling assumptions that the NYISO should use in determining whether new entrants are subject to mitigation and, if so, what offer floor should apply to their capacity market bids. The FERC directed the NYISO to conduct its mitigation determinations using modeling parameters comparable to those used in the demand-curve reset process. The FERC also agreed with NRG and other generators that the NYISO needs to make its mitigation determination process more transparent and ordered appropriate changes. Finally, the FERC directed the NYISO IMM to provide a report on the effectiveness of the capacity market buyer-side market power mitigation program. The order did not rule on whether the NYISO had properly applied its mitigation rules to any specific market participant, instead deferring specific mitigation determinations to a future order in Docket No. EL11-50, which remains pending at the FERC.

Hudson Transfer Partners Capacity Market Mitigation Complaint — On August 3, 2012, Hudson Transfer Partners, or HTP, filed a complaint at FERC regarding the ability of its transmission cable from New Jersey to New York City to participate in the NYISO capacity markets. HTP raises two primary allegations. First, HTP alleges that the NYISO inappropriately determined that its capacity sales in the NYISO monthly spot capacity auction should be subject to a bid floor. Second, HTP asserts that even if its mitigated bid does not clear the monthly spot auction, it should still receive separate reliability compensation because the emergency transfer capacity of its cable decreases the Statewide Installed Reserve Margin, providing the NYISO an alleged reliability benefit for which HTP believes it deserves compensation. HTP's unmitigated entry into the NYISO market could have a material negative impact on NRG's existing fleet in New York City by decreasing capacity prices or by decreasing the locational capacity requirement in New York City.

CFTC — Dodd-Frank Act Developments

On July 11, 2012, the CFTC voted to adopt final rules for the definition of a "swap" and for the end-user exemption under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act. The Company is reviewing these and other final and proposed rules that the CFTC, SEC and other federal regulators have issued or will issue under the Dodd-Frank Act, including, without limitation, position limit rules, margin rules and the definitions of "swap dealer" and "major swap participant." The Company is also evaluating whether and how any of the rules may apply to its business. The Company does not expect that its commercial activity will result in its exceeding any position limits or its being designated as either a swap dealer or major swap participant. Major swap participants and swap dealers must comply with, among other things, margin, capital, reporting, business conduct and compliance requirements.

Changes in Accounting Standards

See Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to this Form 10-Q as found in Item 1 for a discussion of recent accounting developments.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table provides selected financial information for the Company:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | | Six months ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|---|---------------------------|----|--------|---|---------|---|-------|-----|
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | 2012 | 2011 | | Chang | | | | 2011 | | Chang | e % |
| Operating Revenues | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| Energy revenue (a) | \$543 | \$529 | | 3 | % | \$977 | | \$1,127 | | (13 |)% |
| Capacity revenue (a) | 189 | 183 | | 3 | | 363 | | 368 | | (1 |) |
| Retail revenue | 1,520 | 1,464 | | 4 | | 2,716 | | 2,644 | | 3 | |
| Mark-to-market for economic hedging activities | (121) | 69 | | N/A | | (81 |) | 68 | | N/A | |
| Contract amortization | (28) | (44 |) | 36 | | (59 |) | (91 |) | 35 | |
| Other revenues (b) | 63 | 77 | | (18 |) | 112 | | 157 | | (29 |) |
| Total operating revenues | 2,166 | 2,278 | | (5 |) | 4,028 | | 4,273 | | (6 |) |
| Operating Costs and Expenses | | | | ` | ŕ | | | | | | , |
| Generation cost of sales (a) | 517 | 608 | | (15 |) | 961 | | 1,164 | | (17 |) |
| Retail cost of sales (a) | 738 | 683 | | 8 | ŕ | 1,350 | | 1,292 | | 4 | , |
| Mark-to-market for economic hedging activities | (261) | 26 | | N/A | | (56 |) | (108 |) | (48 |) |
| Contract and emissions credit amortization (c) | 12 | 11 | | 9 | | 19 | | 21 | ĺ | (10 |) |
| Other cost of operations | 313 | 280 | | 12 | | 618 | | 563 | | 10 | |
| Total cost of operations | 1,319 | 1,608 | | (18 |) | 2,892 | | 2,932 | | (1 |) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 234 | 222 | | 5 | | 464 | | 427 | | 9 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 207 | 167 | | 24 | | 428 | | 310 | | 38 | |
| Development costs | 9 | 12 | | (25 |) | 17 | | 21 | | (19 |) |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1,769 | 2,009 | | (12 |) | 3,801 | | 3,690 | | 3 | , |
| Operating Income | 397 | 269 | | 48 | | 227 | | 583 | | (61 |) |
| Other Income/(Expense) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 14 | 12 | | 17 | | 22 | | 10 | | 120 | |
| Impairment charge on investment | | (11 |) | (100 |) | (1 |) | (492 |) | (100 |) |
| Other income, net | 2 | 3 | | (33 |) | 4 | | 8 | | (50 |) |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | | (115 |) | |) | | | (143 |) | (100 |) |
| Interest expense | (167) | (167 |) | | | (332 |) | (340 |) | • |) |
| Total other expense | | (278 |) | |) | (307 | - | (957 | - | (68 |) |
| Income/(Loss) before income tax expense | 246 | (9 | - | N/A | | (80 | | (374 | - | (79 |) |
| Income tax benefit | | (630 | - | |) | (133 | | (735 | - | (82 |) |
| Net Income | 259 | 621 | | (58 |) | 53 | | 361 | | (85 |) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling | 0 | | | • | , | | | | | | , |
| interest | 8 | | | N/A | | 9 | | _ | | N/A | |
| Net Income Attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. | \$251 | \$621 | | (60 |) | \$44 | | \$361 | | (88 |) |
| Business Metrics | · | · | | | , | • | | | | _ | , |
| Average natural gas price — Henry Hub (\$/MMBtu | \$2.22 | \$4.31 | | (48 |)% | \$2.48 | | \$4.21 | | (41 |)% |
| Includes realized gains and losses from fin | | | | _ | , | | | | | ` | , |

⁽a) Includes realized gains and losses from financially settled transactions.

⁽b) Includes unrealized trading gains and losses.

Includes amortization of SO_2 and NO_x credits and excludes amortization of Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, or RGGI, credits.

N/A - Not Applicable

Management's discussion of the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011

Income/(Loss) before income tax expense — The pre-tax income of \$246 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to a pre-tax loss of \$9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily reflects:

• in the current year, a \$52 million increase in Retail gross margin, a \$36 million increase in Conventional Generation gross margin and a \$25 million increase in Alternative Energy gross margin; and

•in the prior year, a \$115 million loss on debt extinguishment of the 2016 Senior Notes.

Net income — The decrease in net income of \$362 million primarily reflects the drivers discussed above offset by an income tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2012, of \$13 million, which reflects the impact of the ITC for Agua Caliente, compared with an income tax benefit of \$630 million in the comparable period, which reflects the resolution of the federal tax audit in June 2011 and the related recognition of previously uncertain tax benefits.

Conventional Generation gross margin

56

The following is a discussion of gross margin for NRG's Conventional Generation businesses, adjusted to eliminate intersegment activity, primarily with the Retail businesses.

| | Three mo | onths ended | d June 30, | 2012 | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------|---------------|---------|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| | Conventi | onal Gener | ation | | | | | | | | | |
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | Texas | Northeast | South Central | West | Other | Subtotal | l ^{Alternati} Energy | ve Eli | minations | /Corp | Consolic orațe Total | dated |
| Energy revenue | \$620 | \$ 100 | \$129 | \$20 | \$18 | \$887 | \$ 39 | \$ | (383 |) | \$ 543 | |
| Capacity revenue | 19 | 70 | 61 | 31 | 18 | 199 | | (10 |) |) | 189 | |
| Other revenue | 12 | 3 | _ | 3 | 65 | 83 | | (20 |) |) | 63 | |
| Generation revenue | 651 | 173 | 190 | 54 | 101 | 1,169 | 39 | \$ | (413 |) | \$ 795 | |
| Generation cost of sales | (254) | (83) | (123) | (14) | (47) | (521) | _ | \$ | 4 | | \$ (517 |) |
| Generation gross margin | \$397 | \$ 90 | \$67 | \$40 | \$54 | \$648 | \$ 39 | | | | | |
| Business Metrics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MWh sold (in thousands) | 12,551 | 1,606 | 4,551 | 384 | | | 490 | | | | | |
| MWh generated (in thousands) | 10,527 | 1,247 | 3,996 | 384 | | | 490 | | | | | |
| Average on-peak market power prices (\$/MWh) (a)(b) | \$31.07 | \$ 38.15 | \$27.28 | \$28.48 | | | N/A | | | | | |
| F. (| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Three months ended June 30, 2011 Conventional Generation

| | Convenu | Onai Ochc | auon | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------------|---------|-------|---------|-----------------------|------------|----------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | Texas | Northeas | South Central | West | Other | Subtota | l Alternati Energy | ive Eli | mination | s/Corj | Consolic porate Total | dated |
| Energy revenue | \$673 | \$ 145 | \$118 | \$4 | \$16 | \$956 | \$ 13 | \$ | (440 |) | \$ 529 | |
| Capacity revenue | 5 | 75 | 61 | 27 | 18 | 186 | _ | (3 | |) | 183 | |
| Other revenue | 15 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 46 | 82 | 1 | (6 | |) | 77 | |
| Generation revenue | 693 | 234 | 184 | 33 | 80 | 1,224 | 14 | \$ | (449 |) | \$ 789 | |
| Generation cost of sales | (321) | (128) | (114) | (3) | (46) | (612) | _ | \$ | 4 | | \$ (608 |) |
| Generation gross margin | \$372 | \$ 106 | \$70 | \$30 | \$34 | \$612 | \$ 14 | | | | | |
| Business Metrics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MWh sold (in thousands) | 12,544 | 2,344 | 3,628 | 33 | | | 378 | | | | | |
| MWh generated (in thousands) | 11,971 | 1,879 | 3,662 | 33 | | | 378 | | | | | |
| Average on-peak market power prices (\$/MWh) (a)(b) | \$41.07 | \$ 54.09 | \$40.81 | \$34.88 | | | N/A | | | | | |

- (a) Average on-peak market power prices calculated based on average settled market prices in the following zones: for Texas region, in ERCOT Houston and ERCOT North; for Northeast region, in NYISO West, NYISO New York City, ISO NE Mass Hub, PJM West Hub and PJM DPL; and for West region, in CAISO NP15 and CAISO SP15.
- (b) Average on-peak market power prices for South Central region are calculated based on average day ahead market prices for "into Entergy" as published in the Platts Megawatt Daily report.

| | Three m | onths ended June 30, |
|-----------------|---------|----------------------|
| Weather Metrics | Texas | Northeast South |

| Weather Metrics | Texas | Northeas | t Central | West |
|-----------------|-------|----------|-----------|------|
| 2012 | | | | |
| CDDs (c) | 1,092 | 163 | 613 | 120 |
| HDDs (c) | 30 | 687 | 201 | 476 |
| 2011 | | | | |
| CDDs | 1,183 | 164 | 653 | 68 |
| HDDs | 63 | 723 | 247 | 660 |
| 30 year average | | | | |
| CDDs | 854 | 105 | 458 | 150 |
| HDDs | 83 | 841 | 299 | 556 |

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration-Climate Prediction Center - A Cooling Degree Day, or CDD, represents the number of degrees that the mean temperature for a particular day is above 65 degrees Fahrenheit in (c)each region. A Heating Degree Day, or HDD, represents the number of degrees that the mean temperature for a particular day is below 65 degrees Fahrenheit in each region. The CDDs/HDDs for a period of time are calculated by adding the CDDs/HDDs for each day during the period.

Conventional Generation gross margin — increased by \$36 million, including intercompany sales, during the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, due to: Increase in Texas region \$25 Decrease in Northeast region (16)Decrease in South Central region (3) Increase in West region 10 Other (a) 20 \$36 Other gross margin primarily represents revenues from the maintenance services business, which are eliminated in consolidation. The increase in gross margin in the Texas region was driven by: Higher gross margin from a 9% increase in nuclear generation which related primarily to fewer outage \$23 hours in 2012 and includes business interruption proceeds received Higher revenue due to additional bi-lateral contracts with load serving entities 13 Lower gross margin from a decrease in average realized energy prices (6) Lower gross margin from a decrease in coal generation driven by higher outages in 2012 (5) \$25 The decrease in gross margin in the Northeast region was driven by: Lower gross margin from coal plants due to a 22% decrease in average realized energy prices \$(10 Lower gross margin from coal plants due to a 47% decrease in generation, resulting from the region's (4 power generation switching from coal to gas plants Higher gross margin from favorable pricing on certain load-serving contracts, as well as additional load contracts with our Retail businesses Decrease in unrealized trading activities and other (11)\$(16 The decrease in gross margin in the South Central region was driven by: Higher gross margin from higher utilization of gas generation due to lower gas prices and higher overall sales volumes Lower gross margin from a decrease in coal generation, as a result of lower gas prices (18)Lower gross margin from a decrease in average realized prices (31)Other (6 \$(3 The increase in gross margin in the West region was driven by: Higher gross margin from increased run time at Encina driven by competitor's plant outages in the region\$6 Higher capacity margin due to the recognition of contingent rent for Long Beach 5 Other (1 \$10 58

Retail gross margin

The following is a detailed discussion of retail gross margin for NRG's Retail business segment.

Selected Income Statement Data

| | Three mont | hs ended June 30, |
|--|------------|-------------------|
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating Revenues | | |
| Mass revenues | \$971 | \$895 |
| Commercial and Industrial revenues | 511 | 534 |
| Supply management revenues | 39 | 37 |
| Retail operating revenues (a)(b) | 1,521 | 1,466 |
| Retail cost of sales (c) | 1,127 | 1,124 |
| Retail gross margin | \$394 | \$342 |
| Business Metrics | | |
| Electricity sales volume — GWh | | |
| Mass | 7,856 | 7,091 |
| Commercial and Industrial (d) | 7,452 | 7,316 |
| Electricity sales volume — GWh | | |
| Texas | 14,104 | 14,192 |
| All other regions | 1,204 | 215 |
| Average retail customers count (in thousands, metered locations) | | |
| Mass (e) | 2,021 | 1,746 |
| Commercial and Industrial (d) | 102 | 84 |
| Retail customers count (in thousands, metered locations) | | |
| Mass (e) | 2,025 | 1,759 |
| Commercial and Industrial (d) | 105 | 85 |
| Weather Metrics | | |
| CDDs (f) | 1,249 | 1,315 |
| HDDs (f) | 5 | 27 |

⁽a) Includes customers of the Texas General Land Office for which the Company provides services, as well as sales to utility partner customers.

⁽b) Includes intercompany sales of \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively, representing sales from Retail to the Texas region.

⁽c) Includes intercompany purchases of \$389 million and \$441 million, respectively.

⁽d) Includes customers of the Texas General Land Office for which the Company provides services.

⁽e) Excludes utility partner customers.

The CDDs/HDDs amounts are representative of the Coast and North Central Zones within the ERCOT market in which Retail serves its customer base.

Retail gross margin — Retail gross margin increased \$52 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, driven by:

| the same period in 2011, driven by: | | |
|---|------|---|
| Acquisition of Energy Plus in September 2011 | \$35 | |
| Increase in usage and customer count | 15 | |
| Increase from lower supply costs driven by lower natural gas prices, offset partially by lower revenues | | |
| due to lower pricing on acquisitions and renewals consistent with competitive offers and lower rates on | 8 | |
| index-based customer | | |
| Unfavorable impact of lower volume from warmer weather in Texas in 2011 | (6 |) |
| | \$52 | |

Trends — Customer counts increased by approximately 30,000 since March 31, 2012, which was primarily due to expansion into new territories and marketing efforts. While cooling and heating degree days in both periods resulted in higher than normal customer usage, weather in 2011 was warmer than in 2012. The weather resulted in higher customer usage of 8% and 11% in 2012 and 2011, respectively, when compared to ten-year normal weather. In addition, there were increases in Texas in Transmission and Distribution Service Provider rates that will remain in effect for several years. These costs are passed through to Retail customers.

Alternative Energy gross margin

NRG's Alternative Energy business segment, which is comprised mainly of the solar and wind businesses, had gross margin of \$39 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to gross margin of \$14 million for the same period in 2011. The increase in gross margin primarily resulted in the addition of the Roadrunner facility, which began commercial operations in late 2011, the addition of the first six blocks of Agua Caliente, which reached commercial operations in the first six months of 2012, and an increase in gross margin from Distributed Solar.

Mark-to-market for Economic Hedging Activities

Mark-to-market for economic hedging activities includes asset-backed hedges that have not been designated as cash flow hedges and ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges. Total net mark-to-market results increased by \$97 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011.

The breakdown of gains and losses included in operating revenues and operating costs and expenses by region was as follows:

| | Three | months er | nded June 3 | 30, 2012 | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|-------------|---------------|------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | Retail | Texas | Northeast | South Central | West | Alternativ Energy | e Elimination ^(a) | Total |
| | (In mi | llions) | | | | | | |
| Mark-to-market results in operating | | | | | | | | |
| revenues | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized (gains)/losses on settled positions related to economic hedges | \$(1 | \$(140) | \$3 | \$11 | \$1 | \$— | \$ 22 | \$(104) |
| Net unrealized (losses)/gains on open positions related to economic hedges | (18 | (384) | _ | 4 | 1 | (3 | 383 | (17) |
| Total mark-to-market (losses)/gains in operating revenues | \$(19 | \$(524) | \$3 | \$15 | \$2 | \$(3 | \$ 405 | \$(121) |
| Mark-to-market results in operating costs and expenses | | | | | | | | |
| - | \$86 | \$3 | \$3 | \$— | \$— | \$ — | \$ (22) | \$70 |

| Reversal of previously recognized | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------------------|---|-----|------|---|----|----------|---------|---|-------|
| unrealized losses/(gains) on settled | | | | | | | | | | | |
| positions related to economic hedges | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of loss positions acquired as | | | | | | | | | | | |
| part of the Reliant Energy and Green | 6 | | | | | | | _ | | | 6 |
| Mountain Energy acquisitions | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open | 583 | (8 | ` | 2 | (9 | ` | | | (383 | ` | 185 |
| positions related to economic hedges | | (0 | , | 2 | (9 | , | | _ | (363 |) | 103 |
| Total mark-to-market gains/(losses) in | \$675 | \$ (5 | ` | \$5 | \$(9 | ` | \$ | ¢ | \$ (405 | ` | \$261 |
| operating costs and expenses | φ0/ <i>3</i> | $\Phi(\mathcal{I})$ | , | Φ. | Φ() |) | ψ— | φ— | φ (403 | , | φ201 |

operating costs and expenses

Represents the elimination of the intercompany activity between the Retail businesses and the Conventional Generation regions and Alternative Energy.

| | Three months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------------|---|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------|---|
| | Retail | Texas | Northeas | t South Centra | 1 | West | Elimination | ı ^(a) | Total | |
| | (In mill | ions) | | | | | | | | |
| Mark-to-market results in operating revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized | | * | | | | | * | | *** | |
| (gains)/losses on settled positions related to | \$(1) | \$(10) | \$3 | \$7 | | \$(1) | \$ 12 | | \$10 | |
| economic hedges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions related to economic hedges | 5 | 126 | 9 | (8 |) | 4 | (77 |) | 59 | |
| Total mark-to-market gains/(losses) in operating | | | | | | | | | | |
| revenues | \$4 | \$116 | \$12 | \$(1 |) | \$3 | \$ (65 |) | \$69 | |
| Mark-to-market results in operating costs and | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized | | | | | | | | | | |
| losses/(gains) on settled positions related to | \$27 | \$1 | \$(2) | \$ — | | \$ — | \$ (12 |) | \$14 | |
| economic hedges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the | 20 | | | | | | | | 20 | |
| Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy acquisitions | 30 | | | | | | _ | | 30 | |
| Net unrealized (losses)/gains on open positions | (157) | 6 | 1 | 3 | | _ | 77 | | (70 |) |
| related to economic hedges | (137) | O | 1 | 3 | | | / / | | (70 | , |
| Total mark-to-market (losses)/gains in operating costs and expenses | \$(100) | \$7 | \$(1) | \$3 | | \$ — | \$ 65 | | \$(26 |) |
| COOL AIR CAPCINO | | | | | | | | | | |

Represents the elimination of the intercompany activity between the Retail businesses and the Conventional Generation regions.

Mark-to-market results consist of unrealized gains and losses. The settlement of these transactions is reflected in the same caption as the items being hedged.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the net gains on open positions were due to increases in forward natural gas and power prices and increases in ERCOT heat rates.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the net losses on open positions were due to a decrease in forward power and gas prices. The reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy acquisitions were valued using forward prices on the acquisition dates. The roll-off amounts were offset by realized losses at the settled prices and higher costs of physical power which are reflected in operating costs and expenses during the same period.

In accordance with ASC 815, the following table represents the results of the Company's financial and physical trading of energy commodities for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011. The realized and unrealized financial and physical trading results are included in operating revenue. The Company's trading activities are subject to limits within the Company's Risk Management Policy.

| | Three mon | ths ended June 30, |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| (In millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
| Trading gains | | |
| Realized | \$20 | \$18 |

| Unrealized | 8 | 22 |
|---------------------|------|------|
| Total trading gains | \$28 | \$40 |

Contract Amortization Revenue

Contract amortization represents the roll-off of in-market customer contracts valued under purchase accounting and the favorable change of \$16 million as compared to the prior period in 2011 related primarily to lower contract amortization for Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy of \$11 million and \$5 million, respectively.

Other Operating Costs

| | Retail | Texas | Northeas | st South Centra | West | Other | Alternative Energy | ve Eli | minations/0 | Corpo | ra Te otal |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|--------------------|------|-------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|-------------------|
| | (In mi | | | | | | | | | | |
| Three months ended June 30, 2012 | \$60 | \$133 | \$58 | \$31 | \$17 | \$30 | \$6 | \$ | (22 |) | \$313 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2011 | \$53 | \$121 | \$48 | \$24 | \$18 | \$18 | \$4 | \$ | (6 |) | \$280 |

Other operating costs increased by \$33 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, due to:

| Increase in Texas region operations and maintenance expense | \$12 | |
|---|------|---|
| Increase in Retail operations and maintenance expense | 6 | |
| Increase in South Central region operations and maintenance expense | 7 | |
| Increase in property tax expense | 13 | |
| Other | (5 |) |
| | \$33 | |

Texas operations and maintenance — increased primarily due to maintenance spending and outage work in 2012 at S.R. Bertron to return two units to service.

Retail operations and maintenance expense — increased primarily due to the acquisition of Energy Plus in September 2011 as well as increased customer billing costs from an increase in customer counts.

South Central operations and maintenance expense — increased due to the 2012 spring outage at Big Cajun 2 which did not occur in 2011.

Property tax expense — increased primarily for the Northeast region due to a reduction in property tax benefit from the New York State Empire Zone program. The reduction reflects the criteria in determining the amount of the tax credit and the annual reduction of 20% beginning in 2012 until the expiration of the program in 2016.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$12 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011. This was primarily due to additional depreciation related to solar facilities which commenced commercial operations in late 2011 and early 2012, as well as the amortization of the intangibles acquired in connection with the acquisition of Energy Plus.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$40 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, which was due primarily to the following:

Selling, general and administrative costs of \$18 million for Energy Plus which was acquired in September 2011;

Increase in marketing costs of \$12 million associated with customer growth efforts and new market expansion by corporate and the Retail businesses; and

Increase in labor costs of \$3 million for additional solar projects and acquired Distributed Solar businesses.

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

NRG's equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates were \$14 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$12 million for the same period in 2011 primarily due to an increase in the earnings from GenConn, as the Middletown facility commenced commercial operations in June 2011.

Impairment Charge on Investment

As discussed in more detail in Note 4, Nuclear Innovation North America LLC Developments, Including Impairment Charge, of the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, the devastating March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan, which in turn triggered a nuclear incident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station, caused NRG to evaluate its investment in NINA for impairment. Consequently, NRG deconsolidated its investment in NINA and took an impairment charge in the first quarter of 2011 equal to the balance of its investment in NINA, or \$481 million. To support NINA's ongoing work, NRG contributed an additional \$11 million into NINA during the three months ended June 30, 2011, which NRG also expensed as an impairment charge.

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

A loss on debt extinguishment of the 2016 Senior Notes of \$115 million was recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2011, which primarily consisted of the premiums paid on redemption and the write-off of previously deferred financing costs.

Interest Expense

| NRG's interest expense was flat compared to the same period in 2011 due to the following: | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| Increase/(decrease) in interest expense | (In millions) | | | |
| Decrease for 2016 Senior Notes redeemed in May and June 2011 | \$(30 |) | | |
| Decrease for capitalized interest | (28 |) | | |
| Increase for 2019 and 2021 Senior Notes issued in May 2011 | 22 | | | |
| Increase for project financings | 14 | | | |
| Increase in derivative interest expense primarily for the Alpine interest rate swaps | 14 | | | |
| Increase in amortization of deferred financing costs and other interest expense | 8 | | | |
| Total | \$ — | | | |

Income Tax Benefit

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, NRG recorded an income tax benefit of \$13 million on pre-tax income of \$246 million. For the same period in 2011, NRG recorded an income tax benefit of \$630 million on a pre-tax loss of \$9 million. The effective tax rate was (5.3)% and 7000.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, NRG's overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the generation of ITCs from the Company's Agua Caliente solar project in Arizona, the settlement of the Green Mountain IRS audit as well as the reduction in the valuation allowance.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, NRG's overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to a benefit of \$612 million resulting from the resolution of the federal tax audit. The benefit is predominantly due to the recognition of previously uncertain tax benefits that were effectively settled upon audit in June 2011 and that were mainly composed of net operating losses of \$536 million which has been classified as capital loss carryforwards for financial statement purposes. In addition, a valuation allowance net decrease of \$40 million increased the effective tax rate.

Management's discussion of the results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011

Loss before income tax expense — The pre-tax loss of \$80 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to a pre-tax loss of \$374 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily reflects:

in the current year, a decrease in operating income of \$356 million as compared to the prior year period, which reflects:

a decrease in net mark-to-market results for economic hedging activities of \$201 million; and

a \$37 million decrease in Conventional Generation gross margin driven primarily by planned and unplanned outages, lower gas prices and lower economic dispatch; and

increased operating costs of \$206 million including operations and maintenance expense, depreciation and amortization and selling, general and administrative costs.

offset in the prior year by:

- a \$492 million loss on the impairment of NRG's investment in NINA, and
- a \$143 million loss on the extinguishment of the 2014 Senior Notes and the 2016 Senior Notes.

Net income — The decrease in net income of \$308 million primarily reflects the drivers discussed above offset by an income tax benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2012, of \$133 million, which reflects the impact of the ITC for Agua Caliente, compared with an income tax benefit of \$735 million in the comparable period, which reflects the resolution of the federal tax audit in June 2011 and the related recognition of previously uncertain tax benefits.

Conventional Generation gross margin

The following is a discussion of gross margin for NRG's Conventional Generation businesses, adjusted to eliminate intersegment activity, primarily with the Retail businesses.

| | Six mon | Six months ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|------------------|------|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|--|
| | Convent | ional Gene | ration | | | | | | | | | | |
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | Texas | Northeast | South Central | West | Other | Subtotal | Alternati Energy | ve Eli | mination | s/Cor | Consolic porate Total | lated | |
| Energy revenue | \$1,099 | \$ 187 | \$240 | \$42 | \$34 | \$1,602 | \$ 58 | \$ | (683 |) | \$ 977 | | |
| Capacity revenue | 37 | 127 | 122 | 60 | 35 | 381 | _ | (18 | } |) | 363 | | |
| Other revenue | 20 | 9 | (4) | _ | 126 | 151 | 1 | (40) |) |) | 112 | | |
| Generation revenue | 1,156 | 323 | 358 | 102 | 195 | 2,134 | 59 | \$ | (741 |) | \$ 1,452 | | |
| Generation cost of sales | (446) | (165) | (237) | (28) | (93) | (969) | _ | \$ | 8 | | \$ (961 |) | |
| Generation gross margin | \$710 | \$ 158 | \$121 | \$74 | \$102 | \$1,165 | \$ 59 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Business Metrics | 20,875 | 2,902 | 8,678 | 755 | | | 915 | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: NRG ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

| MWh sold (in thousands) | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|-----|
| MWh generated (in thousands) | 16,847 | 2,147 | 8,259 | 755 | 915 |
| Average on-peak market power prices (\$/MWh) (a)(b) | \$28.19 | \$ 37.01 | \$25.85 | \$27.85 | N/A |

Six months ended June 30, 2011 Conventional Generation

| | Convent | ionai Gene | ration | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|---------------|---------|-------|----------|--------------------|------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | Texas | Northeast | South Central | West | Other | Subtotal | Alternat Energy | ive Eli | minations | s/Corj | Consolidated porate Total |
| Energy revenue | \$1,267 | \$ 296 | \$230 | \$9 | \$30 | \$1,832 | \$ 22 | \$ | (727 |) | \$ 1,127 |
| Capacity revenue | 10 | 149 | 122 | 56 | 36 | 373 | | (5 | |) | 368 |
| Other revenue | 45 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 98 | 169 | 1 | (13 | } |) | 157 |
| Generation revenue | 1,322 | 458 | 360 | 70 | 164 | 2,374 | 23 | \$ | (745 |) | \$ 1,652 |
| Generation cost of sales | (564) | (273) | (235) | (5) | (95) | (1,172) | | \$ | 8 | | \$ (1,164) |
| Generation gross margin | \$758 | \$ 185 | \$125 | \$65 | \$69 | \$1,202 | \$ 23 | | | | |
| Business Metrics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MWh sold (in thousands) | 23,628 | 4,902 | 7,474 | 55 | | | 663 | | | | |
| MWh generated (in thousands) | 22,358 | 3,911 | 7,659 | 55 | | | 663 | | | | |
| Average on-peak market power prices (\$/MWh) (a)(b) | \$45.77 | \$ 56.00 | \$36.99 | \$35.12 | | | N/A | | | | |

- (a) Average on-peak market power prices calculated based on average settled market prices in the following zones: for Texas region, in ERCOT Houston and ERCOT North; for Northeast region, in NYISO West, NYISO New York City, ISO NE Mass Hub, PJM West Hub and PJM DPL; and for West region, in CAISO NP15 and CAISO SP15.
- (b) Average on-peak market power prices for South Central region are calculated based on average day ahead market prices for "into Entergy" as published in the Platts Megawatt Daily report.

| | Six months ended June 30, | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Weather Metrics | Texas | Northeast | South Central | West | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| CDDs (c) | 1,249 | 163 | 665 | 120 | | | | | |
| HDDs (c) | 816 | 3,196 | 1,522 | 1,892 | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
| CDDs | 1,320 | 164 | 662 | 70 | | | | | |
| HDDs | 1,171 | 3,892 | 2,113 | 2,141 | | | | | |
| 30 year average | | | | | | | | | |
| CDDs | 949 | 105 | 489 | 157 | | | | | |
| HDDs | 1,215 | 3,968 | 2,213 | 1,990 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration-Climate Prediction Center - A Cooling Degree Day, or CDD, represents the number of degrees that the mean temperature for a particular day is above 65 degrees Fahrenheit in (c)each region. A Heating Degree Day, or HDD, represents the number of degrees that the mean temperature for a particular day is below 65 degrees Fahrenheit in each region. The CDDs/HDDs for a period of time are calculated by adding the CDDs/HDDs for each day during the period.

| Conventional Generation gross margin — decreased by \$37 million, including intercompany sales, during ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, due to: | g the six | months |
|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decrease in Texas region | \$(48 |) |
| Decrease in Northeast region | (27 |) |
| Decrease in South Central region | (4 |) |
| Increase in West region | 9 | |
| Other (a) | 33 | |
| | \$(37 |) |
| Other gross margin primarily represents revenues from the maintenance services business, which are consolidation. | , | d in |
| The decrease in gross margin in the Texas region was driven by: | | |
| Lower gross margin from a decrease in coal generation driven by higher outage hours in 2012 | \$(34 |) |
| Higher revenue due to additional bi-lateral contracts with load serving entities | 27 | , |
| Lower gross margin driven by lower average realized energy prices | (26 |) |
| Decrease in unrealized trading activities and other | (15 |) |
| 2 401 6 Mic of the Control of the Co | \$(48 |) |
| | Ψ(.0 | , |
| The decrease in gross margin in the Northeast region was driven by: | | |
| Lower gross margin from coal plants due to a 19% decrease in realized energy prices | \$(16 |) |
| Lower gross margin from coal plants due to a 56% decrease in generation, resulting from the region's | | |
| power generation switching from coal to gas plants | (15 |) |
| Lower capacity revenue due to 11% lower realized prices, due mainly to lower cleared auction prices in | | |
| PJM, and slightly lower volumes. | (19 |) |
| Higher gross margin from favorable pricing on certain load-serving contracts, as well as additional load | | |
| contracts with our Retail businesses | 28 | |
| Other | (5 |) |
| Other Control of the | \$(27 |) |
| | Ψ(27 | , |
| The decrease in gross margin in the South Central region was driven by: | | |
| Higher gross margin from higher utilization of gas generation due to lower gas prices and higher overall | | |
| sales volumes | \$91 | |
| Lower gross margin from a decrease in coal generation as a result of lower gas prices | (37 |) |
| Lower gross margin from a decrease in average realized merchant prices | (41 |) |
| Change in unrealized trading activities and other | (17 |) |
| Change in unrealized trading activities and other | \$(4 |) |
| | Ψ(- | , |
| The increase in gross margin in the West region was driven by: | | |
| Higher gross margin from increased run time at Encina driven by competitor's plant outages in the region | n\$12 | |
| Higher capacity margin due to the recognition of contingent rent for Long Beach | 5 | |
| Decrease in unrealized trading activities and other | (8 |) |
| Decrease in amountou trading activities and onion | \$9 | , |
| | 47 | |

Retail gross margin

The following is a detailed discussion of retail gross margin for NRG's Retail business segment.

Selected Income Statement Data

| | Six months | ended June 30, |
|--|------------|----------------|
| (In millions except otherwise noted) | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating Revenues | | |
| Mass revenues | \$1,701 | \$1,597 |
| Commercial and Industrial revenues | 952 | 983 |
| Supply management revenues | 65 | 66 |
| Retail operating revenues (a)(b) | 2,718 | 2,646 |
| Retail cost of sales (c) | 2,044 | 2,019 |
| Retail gross margin | \$674 | \$627 |
| | | |
| Business Metrics | | |
| Electricity sales volume — GWh | | |
| Mass | 13,463 | 12,469 |
| Commercial and Industrial (d) | 13,964 | 13,507 |
| Electricity sales volume — GWh | | |
| Texas | 25,210 | 25,664 |
| All other regions | 2,217 | 312 |
| Average retail customers count (in thousands, metered locations) | | |
| Mass (e) | 2,005 | 1,737 |
| Commercial and Industrial (d) | 99 | 81 |
| Retail customers count (in thousands, metered locations) | | |
| Mass (e) | 2,025 | 1,759 |
| Commercial and Industrial (d) | 105 | 85 |
| Weather Metrics | | |
| CDDs (d) | 1,449 | 1,466 |
| HDDs (d) | 595 | 987 |

- (a) Includes customers of the Texas General Land Office for which the Company provides services, as well as sales to utility partner customers.
- (b) Includes intercompany sales of \$2 million and \$2 million, respectively, representing sales from Retail to the Texas region .
- (c) Includes intercompany purchases of \$694 million and \$727 million, respectively.
- (d) Includes customers of the Texas General Land Office for which the Company provides services.
- (e) Excludes utility partner customers.
- The CDDs/HDDs amounts are representative of the Coast and North Central Zones within the ERCOT market in which Retail serves its customer base.

Retail gross margin — Retail gross margin increased \$47 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, driven by:

| Acquisition of Energy Plus in September 2011 | \$64 | |
|--|------|---|
| Unfavorable impact of lower volume from mild winter weather in Texas in 2012, as well as increased | (29 | ` |
| risk management activities in the first quarter of 2012 | (2) | , |
| Increase in usage and customer count | 20 | |

Decrease from lower revenues due to lower pricing on acquisitions and renewals consistent with competitive offers and lower rates on index-based customers, partially offset by lower supply costs due (8 to lower natural gas prices

\$47

)

Trends — Customer counts increased by approximately 61,000 since December 31, 2011, which was primarily due to expansion into new territories and marketing efforts. While cooling and heating degree days in both periods resulted in higher than normal customer usage, weather in 2012 was milder than in 2011. The weather resulted in higher customer usage of 5% and 12% in 2012 and 2011, respectively, when compared to ten-year normal weather. In addition, there were increases in Texas in Transmission and Distribution Service Provider rates that will remain in effect for several years. These costs are passed through to Retail customers.

Alternative Energy gross margin

NRG's Alternative Energy business segment, which is comprised mainly of the solar and wind businesses, had gross margin of \$59 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to gross margin of \$23 million for the same period in 2011. The increase in gross margin primarily resulted in the addition of the Roadrunner facility, which began commercial operations in late 2011, the addition of the first six blocks of Agua Caliente, which reached commercial operations in the first six months of 2012, and an increase in gross margin from Distributed Solar.

Mark-to-market for Economic Hedging Activities

Mark-to-market for economic hedging activities includes asset-backed hedges that have not been designated as cash flow hedges and ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges. Total net mark-to-market results decreased by \$201 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011.

The breakdown of gains and losses included in operating revenues and operating costs and expenses by region was as follows:

| ionows. | Six m | on | ths end | ed June 3 | 0, | 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----|---------|-----------|----|--------------|---|------|---|---------------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------|---|
| | Retail | | Texas | Northea | st | South Centra | 1 | Wes | t | Alternati Energy | ive | Elimination | (a) | Total | |
| | (In mi | lli | ons) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mark-to-market results in operating revenues | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized (gains)/losses on settled positions related to economic hedges | \$(3 |) | \$(328) | \$1 | | \$21 | | \$2 | | \$— | | \$ 84 | | \$(223 |) |
| Net unrealized (losses)/gains on open positions related to economic hedges | (12 |) | (243) | _ | | (5 |) | (6 |) | (1 |) | 409 | | 142 | |
| Total mark-to-market (losses)/gains in operating revenues | \$(15 |) | \$(571) | \$1 | | \$16 | | \$(4 |) | \$(1 |) | \$ 493 | | \$(81 |) |
| Mark-to-market results in operating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| costs and expenses Reversal of previously recognized unrealized losses/(gains) on settled positions related to economic hedges | \$215 | | \$9 | \$6 | | \$2 | | \$— | | \$— | | \$ (84 |) | \$148 | |
| Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy and Green | 20 | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 20 | |
| Mountain Energy acquisitions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions related to economic hedges | 407 | | (56) | (11 |) | (43 |) | _ | | _ | | (409 |) | (112 |) |
| Total mark-to-market gains/(losses) in operating costs and expenses | \$642 | | \$(47) | \$(5 |) | \$(41 |) | \$— | | \$ — | | \$ (493 |) | \$56 | |

Represents the elimination of the intercompany activity between the Retail businesses and the Conventional Generation regions and Alternative Energy.

| | Six months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|--------------|----|-------|-------------|------------------|-------|
| | Retail | | Texas | Northeast | South Centra | ıl | West | Elimination | 1 ^(a) | Total |
| | (In mil | lli | ons) | | | | | | | |
| Mark-to-market results in operating revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized | | | | | | | | | | |
| (gains)/losses on settled positions related to | \$(1) |) | \$(69) | \$11 | \$13 | | \$(1) | \$ 50 | | \$3 |
| economic hedges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions | 3 | | 79 | 3 | (6 |) | 8 | (22 |) | 65 |
| related to economic hedges | | | | | ` | _ | | ` | | |
| Total mark-to-market gains/(losses) in operating revenues | \$2 | | \$10 | \$14 | \$7 | | \$7 | \$ 28 | | \$68 |
| Mark-to-market results in operating costs and | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of previously recognized unrealized | | | | | | | | | | |
| losses/(gains) on settled positions related to | \$72 | | \$2 | \$(4) | \$(1 |) | \$— | \$ (50 |) | \$19 |
| economic hedges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy | 71 | | _ | | | | — | _ | | 71 |
| acquisitions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized (losses)/gains on open positions | (32 |) | 16 | 4 | 8 | | | 22 | | 18 |
| related to economic hedges | (32 | , | 10 | • | Ü | | | | | 10 |
| Total mark-to-market gains/(losses) in operating costs and expenses | \$111 | | \$18 | \$— | \$7 | | \$— | \$ (28 |) | \$108 |

⁽a) Represents the elimination of the intercompany activity between the Retail businesses and the Conventional Generation regions.

Mark-to-market results consist of unrealized gains and losses. The settlement of these transactions is reflected in the same caption as the items being hedged.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the net gains on open positions were due to an increase in ERCOT heat rates offset by decreases in forward natural gas, power and coal prices.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the net gains on open positions were due to a decrease in forward power and gas prices. The reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy acquisitions were valued using forward prices on the acquisition dates. The roll-off amounts were offset by realized losses at the settled prices and higher costs of physical power which are reflected in operating costs and expenses during the same period.

In accordance with ASC 815, the following table represents the results of the Company's financial and physical trading of energy commodities for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011. The realized and unrealized financial and physical trading results are included in operating revenue. The Company's trading activities are subject to limits within the Company's Risk Management Policy.

| | Six months | s ended June 30, | | |
|---------------|------------|------------------|--|--|
| (In millions) | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Trading gains | | | | |
| Realized | \$31 | \$15 | | |
| Unrealized | 6 | 36 | | |

Total trading gains \$37 \$51

Contract Amortization Revenue

Contract amortization represents the roll-off of in-market customer contracts valued under purchase accounting and the favorable change of \$32 million, as compared to the prior period in 2011, related primarily to lower contract amortization of \$23 million and \$10 million for Reliant Energy and Green Mountain Energy, respectively.

Other Operating Costs

| | Retail | Texas | Northeast | South Central | West | Other | Alternativ Energy | e Elir | ninations/C | orpor | a T otal |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------|-----------|------------------|------|-------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| | (In mill | | | | | | | | | | |
| Six months ended June 30, 2012 | \$117 | \$277 | \$111 | \$51 | \$31 | \$57 | \$11 | \$ | (37 |) | \$618 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2011 | \$100 | \$243 | \$107 | \$43 | \$36 | \$35 | \$7 | \$ | (8 |) | \$563 |

Other operating costs increased by \$55 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, due to:

| Increase in Texas region operations and maintenance expense | \$35 | |
|---|------|---|
| Increase in Retail operations and maintenance expense | 15 | |
| Increase in South Central region operations and maintenance expense | 7 | |
| Decrease in Northeast region operations and maintenance expense | (7 |) |
| Decrease in West region operations and maintenance expense | (5 |) |
| Increase in property tax expense | 15 | |
| Other | (5 |) |
| | \$55 | |

Texas operations and maintenance — increased primarily due to maintenance spending and outage work in 2012 at S.R. Bertron to return two units to service, as well as timing of planned and unplanned outages in the region.

Retail operations and maintenance expense — increased \$8 million due to the acquisition of Energy Plus in September 2011 and increased due to additional customer billing costs from an increase in customer counts.

South Central region operations and maintenance expense — increased due to the 2012 spring outage at Big Cajun 2 which did not occur in 2011.

Northeast operations and maintenance expense — decreased in part because the prior year reflects incremental costs associated with headcount reductions.

West operations and maintenance expense — decreased due to additional work in 2011 at Long Beach due to a compressor outage.

Property tax expense — increased primarily for \$11 million in the Northeast region due to a reduction in property tax benefit from the New York State Empire Zone program. The reduction reflects the criteria in determining the amount of the tax credit and the annual reduction of 20% beginning in 2012 until the expiration of the program in 2016.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$37 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011. This was primarily due to additional depreciation related to solar facilities which commenced commercial operations in late 2011 and early 2012, as well as the amortization of the intangibles acquired in connection with the acquisition of Energy Plus.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$118 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, which was due primarily to the following:

Selling, general and administrative costs of \$38 million for Energy Plus which was acquired in September 2011; Expected cash payment related to the CDWR settlement of \$20 million expensed during the period;

Transaction costs of \$9 million associated with the sale of 49% of Agua Caliente;

Increase in marketing costs of \$23 million associated with customer growth efforts and new market expansion by corporate and the Retail businesses; and

Increase in labor costs of \$6 million for additional solar projects and acquired Distributed Solar businesses.

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

NRG's equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates were \$22 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$10 million for the same period in 2011 primarily due to an increase in the earnings from Sherbino driven by favorable valuation of hedged positions and an increase in earnings from GenConn as the Middletown facility reached commercial operations in June 2011.

Impairment Charge on Investment

As discussed in more detail in Note 4, Nuclear Innovation North America LLC Developments, Including Impairment Charge, of the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan, which in turn triggered a nuclear incident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station, caused NRG to evaluate its investment in NINA for impairment. Consequently, NRG recorded an impairment charge of \$492 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

A loss on debt extinguishment of the 2014 Senior Notes and the 2016 Senior Notes of \$143 million was recorded in the six months ended June 30, 2011, which primarily consisted of the premiums paid on redemption and the write-off of previously deferred financing costs.

Interest Expense

NRG's interest expense decreased by \$8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011 due to the following:

| Increase/(decrease) in interest expense | (In millions) | |
|--|---------------|---|
| Decrease for 2016 Senior Notes redeemed in May and June 2011 | \$(74 |) |
| Increase for 2019 and 2021 Senior Notes issued in May 2011 | 61 | |
| Decrease for capitalized interest | (33 |) |
| Increase for project financings | 26 | |
| Increase in derivative interest expense primarily for the Alpine interest rate swaps | 15 | |
| Decrease for 2014 Senior Notes redeemed in January and February 2011 | (8 |) |
| Increase for 2018 Senior Notes issued in January 2011 | 6 | |
| Other | (1 |) |
| Total | \$(8 |) |

Income Tax Benefit

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, NRG recorded an income tax benefit of \$133 million on a pre-tax loss of \$80 million. For the same period in 2011, NRG recorded an income tax benefit of \$735 million on a pre-tax loss of \$374 million. The effective tax rate was 166.3% and 196.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, NRG's overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the generation of ITCs from the Company's Agua Caliente solar project in Arizona.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, NRG's overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due a benefit of \$612 million resulting from the resolution of the federal tax audit. The benefit is

predominantly due to the recognition of previously uncertain tax benefits that were effectively settled upon audit in June 2011 and that were mainly composed of net operating losses of \$536 million which has been classified as capital loss carryforwards for financial statement purposes. In addition, a valuation allowance net decrease of \$23 million increased the effective tax rate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity Position

As of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, NRG's liquidity, excluding collateral received, was approximately \$2.4 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively, comprised of the following:

| (In millions) | June 30, | December 31, |
|---|----------|--------------|
| (III IIIIIIIOIIS) | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,149 | \$ 1,105 |
| Funds deposited by counterparties | 135 | 258 |
| Restricted cash | 208 | 292 |
| Total | 1,492 | 1,655 |
| 2011 Revolving Credit Facility availability | 1,049 | 673 |
| Total liquidity | 2,541 | 2,328 |
| Less: Funds deposited as collateral by hedge counterparties | (135) | (258) |
| Total liquidity, excluding collateral received | \$2,406 | \$ 2,070 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, total liquidity, excluding collateral received, increased by \$336 million due to higher 2011 Revolving Credit Facility availability, offset by lower restricted cash balances. The increase in the 2011 Revolving Credit Facility availability was primarily due to a \$304 million reduction in letters of credit due to the sale of a 49% interest in Agua Caliente in January 2012 to MidAmerican, and the addition of the NRG Repowering credit facility in January 2012 as discussed in Note 8, Debt and Capital Leases, to this Form 10-Q. Changes in cash and cash equivalent balances are further discussed hereinafter under the heading Cash Flow Discussion. Cash and cash equivalents and funds deposited by counterparties at June 30, 2012 were predominantly held in money market funds invested in treasury securities, treasury repurchase agreements or government agency debt.

Included in restricted cash is \$75 million of cash and cash equivalents held in controlled accounts as collateral to support the Company's equity funding obligations for the Ivanpah, Agua Caliente, and CVSR projects, as required by the U.S. DOE, which guarantees the Agua Caliente, Ivanpah, and CVSR debt. This collateral can be replaced, at the Company's discretion, with a letter of credit in order to utilize such cash for other purposes. The Company's total liquidity excluding such amounts is \$2.3 billion.

The line item "Funds deposited by counterparties" represents the amounts that are held by NRG as a result of collateral posting obligations from the Company's counterparties due to positions in the Company's hedging program. These amounts are segregated into separate accounts that are not contractually restricted but, based on the Company's intention, are not available for the payment of NRG's general corporate obligations. Depending on market fluctuation and the settlement of the underlying contracts, the Company will refund this collateral to the counterparties pursuant to the terms and conditions of the underlying trades. Since collateral requirements fluctuate daily and the Company cannot predict if any collateral will be held for more than 12 months, the funds deposited by counterparties are classified as a current asset on the Company's balance sheet, with an offsetting liability for this cash collateral received within current liabilities.

Management believes that the Company's liquidity position and cash flows from operations will be adequate to finance operating and maintenance capital expenditures, to fund dividends to NRG's preferred stockholders, and other liquidity commitments.

SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY

The principal sources of liquidity for NRG's future operating and capital expenditures are expected to be derived from new and existing financing arrangements, existing cash on hand and cash flows from operations. As described in Note 8, Debt and Capital Leases, to this Form 10-Q and Note 12, Debt and Capital Leases, to the Company's 2011 Form 10-K, the Company's financing arrangements consist mainly of the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, the Senior Notes, and project-related financings.

In addition, NRG has granted first liens to certain counterparties on substantially all of the Company's assets. NRG uses the first lien structure to reduce the amount of cash collateral and letters of credit that it would otherwise be required to post from time to time to support its obligations under out-of-the-money hedge agreements for forward sales of power or MWh equivalents. To the extent that the underlying hedge positions for a counterparty are in-the-money to NRG, the counterparty would have no claim under the lien program. The lien program limits the volume that can be hedged, not the value of underlying out-of-the-money positions. The first lien program does not require NRG to post collateral above any threshold amount of exposure. Within the first lien structure, the Company can hedge up to 80% of its baseload capacity and 10% of its non-baseload assets with these counterparties for the first 60 months and then declining thereafter. Net exposure to a counterparty on all trades must be positively correlated to the price of the relevant commodity for the first lien to be available to that counterparty. The first lien structure is not subject to unwind or termination upon a ratings downgrade of a counterparty and has no stated maturity date.

The Company's lien counterparties may have a claim on its assets to the extent market prices exceed the hedged prices. As of June 30, 2012, in aggregate, the hedge portfolio under the lien was in-the-money.

The following table summarizes the amount of MWs hedged against the Company's baseload assets and as a percentage relative to the Company's baseload capacity under the first lien structure as of June 30, 2012:

| Equivalent Net Sales Secured by First Lien Structure (a) | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|------|------|---|
| In MW (b) | 1,449 | 1,657 | 1,516 | 519 | 593 | |
| As a percentage of total net baseload capacity (c) | 21 | % 24 | % 23 | % 8 | % 9 | % |

(a) Equivalent Net Sales include natural gas swaps converted using a weighted average heat rate by region.

(b) 2012 MW value consists of August through December positions only.