

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES INC \DE\
Form 10-Q
November 07, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-27460

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

16-1158413

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

205 Indigo Creek Drive

Rochester, New York 14626

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

(585) 256-0200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company: Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Small reporting company

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES INC \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock was 11,612,897 as of October 31, 2008.

**PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		<u>Page</u>
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>27</u>
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>28</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>28</u>

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(unaudited)

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,948,000	\$ 15,592,000
Investments	3,509,000	14,150,000
Accounts receivable, net	6,577,000	7,933,000
Inventories	5,511,000	4,783,000
Prepaid income taxes	671,000	713,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	559,000	916,000
Deferred taxes	1,963,000	2,037,000
Total current assets	46,738,000	46,124,000
Investments	3,960,000	2,500,000
Property, equipment and improvements, net	2,208,000	2,260,000
Software development costs, net	3,748,000	3,297,000
Deferred taxes	774,000	1,196,000
Goodwill	4,143,000	4,143,000
Total assets	\$ 61,571,000	\$ 59,520,000
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,638,000	\$ 1,392,000
Accrued expenses	5,224,000	4,425,000
Total current liabilities	6,862,000	5,817,000
Income taxes payable	453,000	807,000
Total liabilities	7,315,000	6,624,000
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock - \$.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued		
Common stock - \$.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 13,304,596 shares issued, 11,612,897 and 11,684,816 shares outstanding	133,000	133,000
Additional paid-in capital	16,024,000	15,483,000
Retained earnings	46,511,000	45,231,000
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(189,000)	
Treasury stock - at cost; 1,691,699 and 1,619,780 shares held at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	(8,223,000)	(7,951,000)
Total stockholders' equity	54,256,000	52,896,000
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 61,571,000	\$ 59,520,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008 AND 2007
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Sales	\$ 9,181,000	\$ 10,786,000	\$ 31,387,000	\$ 29,745,000
Cost of goods sold	4,172,000	4,682,000	13,874,000	13,923,000
Software capitalization write-off		45,000		520,000
Gross profit	5,009,000	6,059,000	17,513,000	15,302,000
Operating expenses:				
Selling and marketing	1,907,000	1,676,000	6,147,000	4,952,000
Research and development	2,210,000	2,230,000	6,894,000	7,552,000
General and administrative	1,165,000	1,220,000	3,660,000	3,725,000
Unsuccessful acquisition expenses	229,000		229,000	
Restructuring charges		26,000		240,000
Total operating expenses	5,511,000	5,152,000	16,930,000	16,469,000
Income (loss) from operations	(502,000)	907,000	583,000	(1,167,000)
Note receivable recovery				143,000
Other income, net	156,000	453,000	814,000	1,659,000
Income (loss) before income taxes	(346,000)	1,360,000	1,397,000	635,000
Income tax (benefit)	(602,000)	(331,000)	(86,000)	(8,000)
Net income	\$ 256,000	\$ 1,691,000	\$ 1,483,000	\$ 643,000
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.05
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.05
Weighted average number of common shares used in				
basic earnings per share	11,604,137	12,505,870	11,661,953	12,857,418
Potential common shares	2,964	42,194	14,092	46,167
Weighted average number of common shares used in				
diluted earnings per share	11,607,101	12,548,064	11,676,045	12,903,585

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 1,483,000	\$ 643,000
Non-cash adjustments:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,706,000	2,260,000
Tax benefit from stock option exercises	27,000	15,000
Gain on disposal of equipment	(11,000)	
Stock-based compensation expense	514,000	499,000
Deferred income taxes	602,000	175,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,356,000	2,697,000
Inventories	(728,000)	652,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	357,000	173,000
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,045,000	396,000
Prepaid income taxes and income taxes payable	(312,000)	(237,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,039,000	7,273,000
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, equipment and improvements	(539,000)	(540,000)
Proceeds from disposal of fixed assets	17,000	
Capitalized software development costs	(1,563,000)	(1,564,000)
Purchases of investments	(9,323,000)	(81,150,000)
Proceeds from sales of investments	18,200,000	87,200,000
Net cash provided by investing activities	6,792,000	3,946,000
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Purchases of treasury stock	(1,063,000)	(7,478,000)
Tax windfall benefit from stock option exercises		4,000
Exercise of stock options	588,000	154,000
Net cash used by financing activities	(475,000)	(7,320,000)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	12,356,000	3,899,000
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	15,592,000	10,518,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 27,948,000	\$ 14,417,000
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Non-cash financing activity - Exercise of stock options in 2008 using 23,030 shares of common stock	\$ 105,000	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

Note A Basis of Presentation

The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of Performance Technologies, Incorporated and Subsidiaries (the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, the Consolidated Financial Statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year. The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company as of December 31, 2007, as reported in its Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Note B Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has stock options outstanding from two stock-based employee compensation plans: the 2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan and the 2003 Omnibus Incentive Plan. The Company recognizes compensation expense in the financial statements for stock option awards based on the grant date fair value of those awards, estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The table below summarizes the impact of outstanding stock options on the results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, Share-Based Payment:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Stock-based compensation expense - stock options	\$ 194,000	\$ 173,000	\$ 514,000	\$ 499,000
Income tax benefit	(62,000)	(56,000)	(162,000)	(163,000)
Net decrease in net income	\$ 132,000	\$ 117,000	\$ 352,000	\$ 336,000
Decrease in earnings per share - Basic	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03
Diluted	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03

Note C Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share calculations reflect the assumed exercise and conversion of dilutive stock options, using the treasury stock method. The diluted earnings per share calculation excludes the effect of approximately 1,596,000 and 1,396,000 options for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, and 1,508,000 and 1,385,000 options for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, since such options had an exercise price in excess of the average market price of the Company's common stock for the quarter.

Note D Inventories, net

Inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Purchased parts and components	\$ 3,311,000	\$ 2,620,000
Work in process	1,837,000	1,674,000
Finished goods	363,000	489,000
Net	\$ 5,511,000	\$ 4,783,000

Note E Software Development Costs

Software development costs consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Capitalized software development costs	\$ 15,026,000	\$ 13,463,000
Less: accumulated amortization	(11,278,000)	(10,166,000)
Net	\$ 3,748,000	\$ 3,297,000

Amortization of software development costs included in cost of goods sold was \$407,000 and \$1,112,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, and \$415,000 and \$1,643,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. Amortization for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 included charges to write off software development costs amounting to \$520,000 that were capitalized for two products which had not reached commercial general release and were discontinued.

Note F Investments

At September 30, 2008, the Company held one auction rate municipal security with an original cost of \$2,450,000. This security was involved in a successful auction in January 2008, but its subsequent auctions have failed. As such, the Company has not been able to sell this security and the Company believes its ability to liquidate this security without loss in the near term is limited. This security, originally acquired in December 2007, has a maturity date of May 2040, carries MBIA bond credit insurance, and is secured by student loans, which are partially guaranteed by the Federal Family Educational Loan Program of the United States Department of Education (FFELP). This security carries a AA rating or equivalent from the three major rating agencies. The Company earns interest on this investment at a rate equal to 175% of the J.J. Kenny Intermediate Index, and the rate is reset every thirty-five days. The September 30, 2008 interest rate on this security was 4.81% .

Because of the uncertainty of when the Company will be able to liquidate this investment, the Company believes that the fair value of this investment is less than its par value at September 30, 2008 due to its illiquidity. The Company has estimated the fair value of this security to be \$2,155,000 at September 30, 2008. The estimated unrealized loss on this investment amounts to \$295,000 and has been classified as a temporary impairment, as the Company has the ability and intent to hold the security until such time as it can be sold in an orderly manner without loss. As such, the unrealized loss has been recorded as a charge to accumulated other comprehensive loss in the amount of \$189,000 (net of tax) on the September 30, 2008 balance sheet. The investment has been classified as a non-current asset at both September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. The value of this investment was increased by \$53,000 in the third quarter 2008 to reflect an increase in the interest rate on the security, and the third quarter credit to accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, was \$34,000.

In estimating the fair value of this investment, the Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements effective as of January 1, 2008 for its financial assets. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Further, the Company has taken into consideration the guidance promulgated in FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is Not Active (see Note M), in estimating the fair value of this investment.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability. Such inputs include quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Such inputs are used to measure fair value when observable inputs are not available.

The Company's investment has been valued using Level 3 inputs. In order to estimate the fair value of the investment, the Company has employed a discounted future cash flows model, using the following estimated assumptions: the receipt of interest only over an assumed liquidity horizon of five years, calculated at the default rate (assuming such rate stays constant over the term); a sale of the investment at par after five years; and a discount rate of 11.7% on a pre-tax basis, which represents approximately a 4.5% illiquidity premium. The discounted cash flows model results in an estimated fair value impairment of approximately 12% below par.

The following table presents a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of non-current investments valued using Level 3 inputs:

Balance, January 1, 2008	\$ 2,500,000
Partial redemption at par	(50,000)
Unrealized gains or (losses) -	
included in other comprehensive income	(199,000)
Transfers in/out of Level 3	-
Balance, March 31, 2008	2,251,000
Unrealized gains or (losses) -	
included in other comprehensive income	(149,000)
Transfers in/out of Level 3	-
Balance, June 30, 2008	2,102,000
Unrealized gains or (losses) -	
included in other comprehensive income	53,000
Transfers in/out of Level 3	-
Balance, September 30, 2008	\$ 2,155,000

In August 2008, the brokerage firm that sold this security to the Company announced that it had entered into substantive agreements with the State of New York Attorney General and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission to redeem auction rate municipal securities sold by the firm at par, beginning in 2009. In October 2008, the Company received an offer from the brokerage firm to redeem this security at par in January 2009 or at any time for two years thereafter. The Company has not completed its review of the offering circular and has not yet executed its acceptance of the brokerage firm's offer.

In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Staff Position 157-2 which delayed the effective date for implementation of SFAS No. 157 for one year for any non-financial assets and liabilities which must be recorded at fair value or for which fair value disclosures apply. The major categories of assets and liabilities that, if applicable, would be measured at fair value and for which the Company has not applied the provisions of FAS 157 are as follows: reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test under SFAS 142, and long-lived assets measured at fair value for an impairment assessment under SFAS 146. The Company will fully adopt SFAS No. 157 effective as of January 1, 2009.

During the third quarter 2008, the Company purchased two U.S. government-guaranteed FNMA bonds with a par value totaling \$4,250,000. One of these FNMA bonds has a par value of \$750,000 with a 2010 maturity date and the other FNMA bond has a par value of \$3,500,000 with a fourth quarter 2008 maturity date. Both FNMA bonds have been classified as held-to-maturity and are stated at amortized cost at September 30, 2008.

All income generated from the Company's investments is recorded in other income, net.

At December 31, 2007, investments consisted only of high-grade, AAA-rated auction rate municipal securities which the Company classified as available-for-sale. The Company successfully sold all but one of its auction rate municipal securities at par during the first quarter 2008.

Note G Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net income	\$ 256,000	\$ 1,691,000	\$ 1,483,000	\$ 643,000
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment, net of tax	34,000		(189,000)	
Comprehensive income	\$ 290,000	\$ 1,691,000	\$ 1,294,000	\$ 643,000

Note H Warranty Obligations

Warranty obligations are incurred in connection with the sale of certain products. The warranty period for the Company's products is generally one year from date of sale. The costs incurred to provide for these warranty obligations are estimated and recorded as an accrued liability at the time of sale. Future warranty costs are estimated based on product-based historical performance rates and related costs to repair. Changes in accrued warranty obligations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	2008	2007
Accrued warranty obligations, January 1	\$ 215,000	\$ 309,000
Actual warranty experience	(99,000)	(100,000)
Warranty provisions	90,000	1,000
Accrued warranty obligations, September 30	\$ 206,000	\$ 210,000

Note I Stock Repurchase Programs

On July 11, 2005, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase shares of its Common Stock for an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10,000,000. Under this program, shares of the Company's Common Stock can be repurchased through open market or private transactions, including block purchases. This program was extended through July 2008 and was not renewed. Repurchased shares can be used for the Company's stock option plans, potential acquisition initiatives and general corporate purposes. Under this program, the Company repurchased 226,880 shares in 2008 through the expiration of the program in July, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,063,000. For the program from inception through September 30, 2008, the Company repurchased 1,859,480 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$9,078,000.

In October 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new stock repurchase program whereby the Company is authorized to repurchase shares of its common stock for an aggregate purchase price not to exceed \$10 million, for a period of one year from October 23, 2008, the date on which the program was announced.

Note J Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate is a combination of federal, state and foreign tax rates and is generally lower than statutory rates because it includes benefits derived from international operations and significant permanent tax differences including research credits and tax-exempt interest. The Company's estimated effective annual income tax rate was 20% for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008. The estimated effective annual income tax rate used for the six months ended June 30, 2008 had been 29%. The rate was decreased from 29% to 20% to primarily reflect a lower estimate of pre-tax income for the year. In addition, the change in the 2008 estimated effective income tax rate compared to the 2007 tax rate is also due to higher estimated pre-tax earnings in 2008; the effect of the non-inclusion in 2008 of the United States research and development tax credit, which Congress had not renewed as of September 30, 2008; and offset by the effects of expected lower tax-exempt interest. The United States research and development tax credit was renewed on October 3, 2008. The benefit (if any) of this credit will be reflected in the fourth quarter 2008 income tax provision (benefit).

For the third quarter 2008, the income tax benefit amounted to \$602,000. This amount included an income tax benefit of \$69,000 based on the pre-tax loss for the quarter; the effect of adjusting the income tax provision for the first two quarters of 2008 to reflect the lower estimated effective annual tax rate, which amounted to \$156,000; and net discrete tax benefits which amounted to \$377,000. The discrete tax benefit items realized during the third quarter 2008 included the release of a reserve for income tax uncertainties amounting to \$391,000 including interest, relating to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

The income tax provision for the third quarter 2007 included an adjustment of the estimated effective annual income tax rate from 2% to -2%. The third quarter 2007 tax provision also included a discrete income tax benefit of \$435,000 including interest, associated with a release of a reserve for income tax uncertainties related to the expiration of the statute of limitations. The change in the 2008 estimated effective income tax rate compared to the 2007 tax rate was attributable to higher estimated pre-tax earnings in 2008; the effect of the non-inclusion in 2008 of the United States research and development tax credit, which Congress had not renewed as of September 30, 2008; and offset by the effects of expected lower tax-exempt interest. The United States research and development tax credit was renewed on October 3, 2008. The benefit (if any) of this credit will be reflected in the fourth quarter 2008 income tax provision (benefit).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the income tax benefit amounted to \$86,000 and consisted of an income tax provision at the estimated effective annual tax rate amounting to \$279,000 and net discrete tax benefit items totaling \$365,000, including the release of a reserve for income tax uncertainties discussed above. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the income tax benefit amounted to \$8,000 and included an adjustment to estimated effective annual income tax rate amounting to \$3,000 and net discrete income tax benefit items amounting to \$5,000. The discrete income tax benefit items included the release of a reserve for income tax uncertainties of \$435,000, the recording of an income tax provision uncertainty of \$51,000, a discrete income tax provision on the recovery of a note receivable in the amount of \$190,000, the adjustment of the income tax rate used in computing net deferred tax assets from 38% to 36% which amounted to \$127,000, and other discrete provision items of \$62,000.

The Company had unrecognized income tax benefits of \$453,000 and \$807,000 at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. Included in the balance of unrecognized income tax benefits as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are accrued interest and penalties in the amounts of \$94,000 and \$146,000, respectively.

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES INC \DE\ - Form 10-Q

The Company files U.S. federal, U.S. state, and foreign income tax returns. For federal income tax returns, the Company is generally no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 2005. For state and foreign income tax returns, the Company is no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 2004. Based upon the closing of the tax years in these various jurisdictions, the Company may adjust its liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

While not necessarily indicative of future operations, the Company has not had cumulative taxable income in the United States from 2006 through September 30, 2008, nor is taxable income expected for the period 2006 through December 31, 2008. The Company's ability to realize the recorded balances of its deferred tax assets is dependent on the Company's ability to generate taxable income in the United States in future years. The majority of the Company's United States deferred tax asset attributes are in the nature of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, which have expiration dates up to twenty years in the future. Management believes, based on the weight of all available evidence, that the realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is more likely than not.

Note K Restructuring Costs

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, restructuring charges were recorded amounting to \$240,000, which related to the Company's revision of estimated future cash flows associated with subleasing revenue from a property in San Luis Obispo, California. At September 30, 2007, the Company's estimated restructuring liability related to this facility was \$471,000. This balance was utilized during the fourth quarter 2007 and first quarter 2008.

Note L Note Receivable

In May 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$500,000 from the disposition of a note receivable from an unaffiliated company, upon which a valuation charge had been recorded in 2004. The proceeds were recorded as a note receivable recovery in the amount of \$143,000 and interest income in the amount of \$357,000.

Note M Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*. This statement permits companies to elect to measure certain financial instruments at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each reporting period. In addition, SFAS No. 159 establishes financial statement presentation and disclosure requirements for assets and liabilities reported at fair value under the election. Although the Company adopted SFAS No. 159 in the first quarter 2008, it has not elected to measure financial instruments at fair value other than as required by other accounting standards.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*, a revision to SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141R provides revised guidance for recognition and measurement of identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at fair value. The Statement also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of a business combination. SFAS No. 141R is required to be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for the Company). The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 141R on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51. This Statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent. Specifically, SFAS No. 160 requires the presentation of noncontrolling interests as equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and separate identification and presentation in the Consolidated Statements of Income of net income attributable to the entity and the noncontrolling interest. It also establishes accounting and reporting standards regarding deconsolidation and changes in a parent’s ownership interest. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for the Company). The provisions of SFAS No. 160 are generally required to be applied prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which must be applied retrospectively. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of Statement 133 to provide a better understanding of how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and their effect on an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. As the Company does not use derivative instruments, it is not expected that this statement will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is Not Active (FSP FAS 157-3). This standard clarifies the application of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, in a market that is not active, and was effective upon issuance for financial statements that had not been issued. The Company has taken the guidance provided in FSP FAS 157-3 into consideration in its estimate of the fair value of its auction rate municipal security (see Note F).

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Matters discussed in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Assumptions

In preparing the financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), estimates and assumptions are required to be made that have an impact on the assets, liabilities, revenue and expense amounts reported. These estimates can also affect supplemental information disclosures, including information about contingencies, risk and financial condition. These estimates and assumptions are made during the closing process for the quarter, after the quarter end has passed. The Company believes that given the current facts and circumstances, these estimates and assumptions are reasonable, adhere to GAAP, and are consistently applied. Inherent in the nature of an estimate or assumption is the fact that actual results may differ from estimates, and estimates may vary as new facts and circumstances arise. Management’s judgments in making these estimates and relying on these assumptions may materially impact amounts reported for any period. For further discussion of these critical accounting estimates and assumption, please refer to the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates that we believe have the most significant effect on our financial statements are set forth below:

- Revenue Recognition
- Software Development Costs
- Valuation of Inventories
- Income Taxes
- Product Warranty
- Carrying Value of Goodwill
- Stock-Based Compensation
- Restructuring Costs
- Valuation of investments

Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized from product sales in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition. Product sales represent the majority of our revenue and include both hardware products and hardware products with embedded software. Revenue is recognized from these product sales when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been provided, the sale price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Additionally, products are sold on terms which transfer title and risk of loss at a specified location, typically the shipping point. Accordingly, revenue recognition from product sales occurs when all factors are met, including transfer of title and risk of loss, which typically occurs upon shipment. If these conditions are not met, revenue recognition is deferred until such time as these conditions have been satisfied.

Revenue earned from arrangements for software is accounted for under the provisions of Statement of Position 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition. For the sale of multiple-element arrangements whereby equipment is combined with other elements, such as software and maintenance, the Company allocates to, and recognizes revenue from, the various elements based on their fair value. Revenue from software requiring significant production, modification, or customization is recognized using the percentage of completion method of accounting. Anticipated losses on contracts, if any, are charged to operations as soon as such losses are determined. If all conditions of revenue recognition are not met, revenue recognition is deferred and revenue will be recognized when all obligations under the arrangement are fulfilled. Revenue from software maintenance contracts is recognized ratably over the contractual period.

Revenue from consulting and other services is recognized at the time the services are rendered. Certain products are sold through distributors who are granted limited rights of return. Potential returns are accounted for at the time of sale.

The accounting estimate related to revenue recognition is considered a critical accounting estimate because terms of sale can vary, and judgment is exercised in determining whether to defer revenue recognition. Such judgments may materially affect net sales for any period. Judgment is exercised within the parameters of GAAP in determining when contractual obligations are met, title and risk of loss are transferred, sales price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

Software Development Costs: All software development costs incurred in establishing the technological feasibility of computer software products to be sold are charged to expense as research and development costs. Software development costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility of a computer software product to be sold and prior to general release of that product are capitalized. Amounts capitalized are amortized commencing after general release of that product over the estimated remaining economic life of that product, generally three years, or using the ratio of current revenues to current and anticipated revenues from such product, whichever provides greater amortization. If the technological feasibility for a particular project is judged not to have been met or recoverability of amounts capitalized is in doubt, project costs are expensed as research and development or charged to cost of goods sold, as applicable. The accounting estimate related to software development costs is considered a critical accounting estimate because judgment is exercised in determining whether project costs are expensed as research and development or capitalized as an asset. Such judgments may materially affect expense amounts for any period. Judgment is exercised within the parameters of GAAP in determining when technological feasibility has been met and recoverability of software development costs is reasonably assured.

Valuation of Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory includes purchased parts and components, work in process and finished goods. Provisions for excess, obsolete or slow moving inventory are recorded after periodic evaluation of historical sales, current economic trends, forecasted sales, estimated product lifecycles and estimated inventory levels. Purchasing practices, electronic component obsolescence, accuracy of sales and production forecasts, introduction of new products, product lifecycles, product support and foreign regulations governing hazardous materials are the factors that contribute to inventory valuation risks. Exposure to inventory valuation risks is managed by maintaining safety stocks, minimum purchase lots, managing product end-of-life issues brought on by aging components or new product introductions, and by utilizing certain inventory minimization strategies such as vendor-managed inventories. The accounting estimate related to valuation of inventories is considered a critical accounting estimate because it is susceptible to changes from period-to-period due to the requirement for management to make estimates relative to each of the underlying factors, ranging from purchasing, to sales, to production, to after-sale support. If actual demand, market conditions or product lifecycles differ from estimates, inventory adjustments to lower market values would result in a reduction to the carrying value of inventory, an increase in inventory write-offs and a decrease to gross margins.

Income Taxes: The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109. Accordingly, the Company provides deferred income tax assets and liabilities based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial and tax bases of assets and liabilities based on currently enacted tax laws. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets in amounts for which realization is not considered more likely than not to occur. The accounting estimate related to income taxes is considered a critical accounting estimate because judgment is exercised in estimating future taxable income, including prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, and in assessing the need for any valuation allowance. If it should be determined that all or part of a net deferred tax asset is not able to be realized in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be charged to income in the period such determination was made. Likewise, in the event that it should be determined that all or part of a deferred tax asset in the future is in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would increase income to be recognized in the period such determination was made. While not necessarily indicative of future operations, the Company has not had cumulative taxable income in the United States from 2006 through September 30, 2008, nor is taxable income expected through December 31, 2008. The Company's ability to realize the recorded balances of its deferred tax assets is dependent on the Company's ability to generate taxable income in the United States in future years. The majority of the Company's United States deferred tax asset attributes are in the nature of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, which have expiration dates up to twenty years in the future. Management believes, based on the weight of all available evidence, that the realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is more likely than not.

The Company operates within multiple taxing jurisdictions worldwide and is subject to audit in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues, which may require an extended period of time for resolution. Although management believes that adequate provision has been made for such issues, there is the possibility that the ultimate resolution of such issues could have an adverse effect on the earnings of the Company. Conversely, if these issues are resolved favorably in the future, the related provisions would be reduced, thus having a positive impact on earnings.

In addition, the calculation of the Company's tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. As a result of the implementation of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48), the Company recognizes liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on the two-step process prescribed within the interpretation. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires the Company to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as this requires the Company to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. The Company re-evaluates these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision in the period.

Product Warranty: Warranty obligations are generally incurred in connection with the sale of the Company's products. The warranty period for these products is generally one year from date of sale. The costs incurred to provide for these warranty obligations are estimated and recorded as an accrued liability at the time of sale. Future warranty costs are estimated based on historical performance rates and related costs to repair given products. The accounting estimate related to product warranty is considered a critical accounting estimate because judgment is exercised in determining future estimated warranty costs. Should actual performance rates or repair costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Carrying Value of Goodwill: Tests for impairments of goodwill are conducted annually, at year end, or more frequently if circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The accounting estimate related to impairment of goodwill is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because these impairment tests include estimates of future cash flows that are dependent upon subjective assumptions regarding future operating results including growth rates, discount rates, capital requirements and other factors that impact the estimated fair value. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the goodwill's carrying amount exceeds its fair value.

Subsequent to September 30, 2008, the price of the Company's stock has declined 32% below its per-share book value, which could be an indicator that the carrying value of goodwill may be impaired. However, the Company's quoted stock price is at the minority-interest standard of value, while the enterprise value of the Company would be estimated at a controlling-interest standard of value. The Company's stock is thinly traded and, as of October 31, 2008, the stock price was not substantially different than the Company's cash and investments balance per share. While the Company has not yet performed its annual goodwill impairment test, the Company's management continues to believe that the enterprise value of the Company is in excess of its net book value.

Stock-Based Compensation: The Company's Board of Directors or its Compensation Committee approve grants of stock options to employees to purchase our common stock. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R Share-Based Payment, stock compensation expense is recorded based upon the estimated fair value of the stock option at the date of grant. The accounting estimate related to stock-based compensation is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because estimates are made in calculating compensation expense including expected option lives, forfeiture rates and expected volatility. Expected option lives are estimated using vesting terms and contractual lives. Expected forfeiture rates and volatility are calculated using historical information. Actual option lives and forfeiture rates may be different from estimates and may result in potential future adjustments which would impact the amount of stock-based compensation expense recorded in a particular period.

Restructuring Costs: Restructuring costs consist of employee-related severance costs, lease termination costs and other facility-related closing expenses. Employee-related severance benefits are recorded either at the time an employee is notified or, if there are extended service periods, is estimated and recorded pro-rata over the period of each planned restructuring activity. Lease termination costs are calculated based upon fair value considering the remaining lease obligation amounts and estimates for sublease receipts. The accounting estimate related to restructuring costs is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because estimates are made in calculating the amount of employee-related severance benefits that will ultimately be paid and the amount of sublease receipts that will ultimately be received in future periods. Actual amounts paid for employee-related severance benefits can vary from these estimates depending upon the number of employees actually receiving severance payments. Actual sublease receipts received may also vary from estimates.

Valuation of Investments: As of September 30, 2008, we held an auction rate municipal debt security investment with a cost basis equal to its par value of \$2.45 million and an estimated fair value of \$2.16 million. Prior to March 31, 2008, the Company had valued such investments at par value; however, the periodic auctions for this investment failed in February 2008 and subsequent months and there is no active market for this or similar securities at the current time. In August 2008, the brokerage firm that sold this security to the Company announced that it had entered into substantive agreements with the State of New York Attorney General and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission to redeem auction rate municipal securities sold by the firm at par, beginning in 2009. In October 2008, the Company received an offer from the brokerage firm to redeem this security at par in January 2009 or at any time for two years thereafter. The Company has not completed its review of the offering circular and has not yet executed its acceptance of the brokerage firm's offer.

In determining the fair value for this investment, the Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS 157, which establishes a comprehensive framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements, and has taken into consideration the guidance contained in FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-3. Specifically, this statement and staff position set forth a definition of fair value and establishes a hierarchy prioritizing the inputs to valuation techniques, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities and the lowest prices to unobservable inputs.

In estimating fair value, the Company considers various factors, including the security's investment rating, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee and the underlying collateral, the financial condition of the guarantor, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost basis, the estimated length of time for which the investment is expected to be illiquid, the estimated future cash flows expected to be received, the estimated investment premium required by a hypothetical purchaser of the investment to compensate for future illiquidity, and our ability and intent to hold the investment until maturity or for a sufficient period of time to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. The accounting estimate related to valuation of investments is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because estimates are made in valuing this investment, as well as, a determination of whether the decline in fair value of the investment is temporary or other-than-temporary. If it were judged that a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary, a realized loss equal to the decline in value would be reflected as an adjustment to net income. In determining when a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary, we consider these same factors listed above. Estimates for fair value and judgments of impairments as temporary versus other-than-temporary could materially adversely affect our operating results.

Executive Overview

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 and Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and these forward-looking statements are subject to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

The Company is a global supplier of integrated IP-based platforms and solutions for advanced communications networks and innovative computer system architectures. The Company's products are primarily marketed through a direct worldwide sales force under a variety of brand names including Advanced Managed Platforms, NexusWare® and SEGway. These products are based on open standards and are sold as end solutions, application ready platforms, or as individual blade components for the communications marketplace. A key differentiation of the Company's products from competing products is the high level of internally developed software available with each product. When purchasing our Advanced Managed Platforms, customers can quickly incorporate their value-add technologies into the platform while realizing distinct cost advantages, increased overall system reliability and performance, and improved time-to-market. Our SEGway product family provides our customers with unique, flexible and highly scalable signaling solutions for establishing or expanding cost-effective telecommunication network architectures.

The Company's business addresses one industry segment - Communications - and it targets three vertical markets for its products: telecommunications, aerospace and defense, and commercial. Of the three vertical markets served, telecommunications is the largest and represents approximately 75% to 80% of the Company's business. Customers generally purchase the Company's products as part of their capital expenditures budgets. The OEM telecommunications equipment market served by the Company depends upon carrier spending to upgrade network infrastructure to next-generation equipment. This market continues to be very challenging. As a result of the deteriorating economic climate during the third quarter, a number of our customers made decisions to preserve cash, rather than to invest in their network infrastructure. These decisions were generally characterized as deferrals of budgeted spending, rather than the cancellation of programs or projects.

For the aerospace and defense market, the Company is working with numerous prime contractors including Raytheon, Lockheed Martin, and General Dynamics to incorporate the Company's Advanced Managed Platforms into new government network architectures. Aerospace and defense shipments are subject to project deployment schedules and are not often consistent from quarter to quarter. Third quarter shipments to aerospace and defense customers were lower than in the second quarter but were generally in line with our expectations.

Our SEGway product suite includes STPs, IP-STPs, IP-Edge, SS7 over IP transport solutions, and signaling gateways. These products enable traditional and Tier 2 and Tier 3 telecommunications carriers to lower operating costs through the utilization of IP networks and more flexible signaling network architectures, thereby creating competitive advantages in existing and emerging markets. Demand continues to be relatively strong for our signaling systems products but orders during the third quarter fell short of our expectations as procurement cycles lengthened due to the deteriorating world-wide economy. Our SEGway signaling products generally realize gross margins in the 65% to 70% range and typically have a sales cycle of three to six months. For the remainder of 2008, management expects to minimize additional investments in our signaling sales, marketing and engineering organization until the ramifications of the current economic environment are better understood. Management believes these investments in our signaling organization are strategic from a long-term business perspective and are necessary in order to potentially achieve greater market penetration for our signaling products.

Currently, gross margins are higher and the sales cycles are shorter for both aerospace and defense and signaling product areas, compared to the traditional OEM telecommunications market we serve. Management continues to expect aerospace and defense and signaling shipments in 2008 to exceed 2007 shipment levels to these markets.

The commercial market is seeing some sluggishness due to current turmoil in applications such as those for the financial markets.

Strategy

The Company's product strategy is to deliver innovative solutions to the communications marketplace. Today, the Company's line of Advanced Managed Platforms specifically addresses equipment manufacturers' requirements for an increased level of system integration and services from suppliers, thus allowing them to focus on their value-added stages of product development, which in most cases is application software. This strategy provides a viable alternative to proprietary or legacy platforms and offers a contemporary platform replacement product of equal or greater functionality. In addition, our SEGway Signaling products, which are built on our Advanced Managed Platforms, enable carriers and service providers to lower operating costs through the utilization of IP networks, thereby creating competitive advantages in existing and emerging markets.

Management is focused on three key initiatives for 2008 which we believe are important for the Company to pursue to further strengthen its foundation for longer-term growth. These initiatives are: market diversification for our embedded systems products, investment in our signaling products and organization, and an emphasis on gross margin.

There are identifiable risks associated with the Company's strategy particularly in light of the current economic climate. While aerospace and defense and signaling are growing markets, the OEM telecommunications market is not experiencing growth. In order to improve profitability in this environment, the Company's sales and product development efforts will have to be more innovative and aggressive than those of our competitors. Management believes that based on its analysis of the marketplace and the strength of the Company's product and technology portfolio, the identified risks are manageable. If successful, management believes its initiatives may negatively impact near-term profitability but are strategic from a business perspective and are necessary in order to potentially achieve improved long-term profitability.

Financial Overview

Revenue:

A substantial portion of the Company's revenue is typically derived from orders placed within a quarter and shipped in the final month of the same quarter. Because approximately 50% of the Company's business is generated from Europe, due to the extended vacation season, typically order flow during the months of July and August is slow but generally accelerates in September as European customers place orders for September deliveries. This year, order rates during July and August were generally tracking with expectations; however, we did not experience the expected resumption of business typical in September. Revenue in the third quarter 2008 was \$9.2 million, compared to \$10.8 million in the third quarter 2007. The Company experienced a \$1.6 million decline in the third quarter 2008 revenue, over the comparable 2007 quarter, primarily due to lower shipments to telecommunications customers.

Shipments to customers outside of the United States represented 59% and 46% of sales in the third quarter of 2008 and 2007, respectively, and 57% and 48% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 amounted to \$31.3 million, compared to \$29.7 million during the corresponding period in 2007. This increase in revenue resulted from an increase in shipments to Data Connection, Ltd., increases in shipments to aerospace and defense and signaling customers, offset by decreases in shipments to Alcatel-Lucent and other telecommunications customers.

Earnings:

Net income for the third quarter 2008 amounted to \$.3 million, or \$.02 per diluted share, including stock-based compensation expense of \$.2 million, or \$.01 per share; unsuccessful acquisition expenses of \$.2 million, or \$.02 per share; and a discrete income tax benefit of \$.4 million, or \$.03 per share, based on 11.6 million shares outstanding. Net income for the third quarter 2007 amounted to \$1.7 million, or \$.13 per diluted share, including stock-based compensation expense of \$.2 million, or \$.01 per share; and a discrete income tax benefit of \$.4 million, or \$.03 per share, based on 12.5 million shares outstanding.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 amounted to \$1.5 million, or \$.13 per diluted share, including stock-based compensation expense of \$.5 million, or \$.03 per share; unsuccessful acquisition expenses of \$.2 million, or \$.02 per share; and a discrete income tax benefit of \$.4 million, or \$.03 per share, based on 11.7 million shares outstanding. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 amounted to \$.6 million, or \$.05 per diluted share, including stock-based compensation expense of \$.5 million, or \$.04 per share; a write-off of software development costs amounting to \$.5 million, or \$.04 per share; restructuring charges of \$.2 million, or \$.02 per share; and a recovery on a note receivable of \$.5 million, or \$.02 per share; based on 12.9 million shares outstanding.

Liquidity:

Cash, cash equivalents and long-term investments amounted to \$35.4 million and \$32.2 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The Company had no long-term debt at either date.

Cash generated from operating activities amounted to \$6.0 million and \$7.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The period-over-period decrease in cash generated from operating activities amounted to \$1.3 million and is primarily attributable to an increase in inventory in 2008, as compared to a decrease in 2007; a smaller decline in accounts receivable in 2008 than in 2007; and lower depreciation and amortization in 2008 than in 2007, partially offset by higher net income in 2008 as compared to 2007; and significant tax refunds received in 2008.

Key Performance Indicator:

The Company believes that a key indicator for its business is the trend for the volume of orders received from customers. During weak economic periods, customers' ability to forecast their requirements deteriorates causing delays in the placement of orders. Forward-looking visibility on customer orders continues to be very limited. Most of our embedded systems customers are placing orders for product only when they have orders in hand from their customers. Shipments to customers amounted to \$9.2 million in the third quarter 2008, compared to \$10.8 million in the third quarter 2007. While shipments during 2008 have been positively impacted by increased demand from the Company's largest customer, Data Connection Ltd., and increased shipments to our signaling and aerospace and defense customers, the Company experienced a decline in orders and shipments in the third quarter 2008, as end-user customers reacted to growing credit and overall economic concerns by delaying budgeted capital expenditures for network infrastructure and some of the Company's OEM customers experienced reduced product demands due to uncertainty in their end markets.

More in-depth discussions of the Company's strategy can be found in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Results of Operations**Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008, Compared with
the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007**

The following table presents the percentage of sales represented by each item in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of goods sold	45.4	43.4	44.2	46.8
Software capitalization write-off		0.4		1.8
Gross profit	54.6	56.2	55.8	51.4
Operating expenses:				
Selling and marketing	20.8	15.5	19.6	16.6
Research and development	24.1	20.7	22.0	25.4
General and administrative	12.7	11.4	11.7	12.5
Unsuccessful acquisition expenses	2.5		0.7	
Restructuring charges		0.2		0.8
Total operating expenses	60.1	47.8	54.0	55.3
Income (loss) from operations	(5.5)	8.4	1.8	(3.9)
Note receivable recovery				0.5
Other income, net	1.7	4.2	2.7	5.5
Income (loss) before income taxes	(3.8)	12.6	4.5	2.1
Income tax benefit	(6.6)	(3.1)	(0.3)	(0.0)
Net income	2.8%	15.7%	4.8%	2.1%

Sales. Total revenue for the third quarter 2008 amounted to \$9.2 million, compared to \$10.8 million for the corresponding quarter in 2007. The Company's two largest customers in 2007 were Data Connection Ltd. and Alcatel-Lucent. During the third quarter 2008, Data Connection Ltd. and Alcatel-Lucent accounted for 19% and 11% of sales, respectively. In the third quarter 2007, Data Connection Ltd. and Alcatel-Lucent, represented 20% and 15% of sales, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, two customers, Data Connection Ltd. and Alltel Communications, accounted for 18% and 9% of sales, respectively, while in the comparable 2007 period, Alcatel-Lucent and Data Connection Ltd. each accounted for 12% of sales. In the third quarter 2008, the Company's four largest customers represented 31% of sales, as compared to 40% in the third quarter 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company's four largest customers represented 40% of sales, as compared to 37% in 2007.

Shipments to customers outside of the United States represented 59% and 46% of the Company's sales during the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively; and 57% and 48% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Total shipments to customers in the United Kingdom represented 20% and 17% of sales in the third quarter of 2008 and 2007, respectively. Total shipments to customers in the United Kingdom amounted to 18% and 15% in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company's products are grouped into three distinct categories in one market segment. Revenue from each product category is expressed as a percentage of sales for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Communications	53%	59%	57%	57%
Computing	32%	30%	30%	26%
Switching	15%	11%	13%	17%
	100%	100%	100%	100%

Communications products:

Communications products are comprised of network access, SEGway signaling and voice products. Network access products provide a connection between a variety of voice, data and signaling networks and embedded systems platforms that are used to control the network and/or process information being transported over networks. This product family has significant synergies with our NexusWare software and our complete line of communications protocols. Many of the Company's SEGway signaling products, which are built on our Advanced Managed Platforms, provide a full suite of signaling solutions that seamlessly bridge between circuit-switched networks and the growing IP-based networks. Voice products enable voice, data and fax processing for communications applications.

Revenue from communications products amounted to \$4.9 million and \$6.4 million in the third quarter of 2008 and 2007, respectively. This decrease of \$1.5 million, or 23%, was primarily a result of lower signaling product shipments in 2008, as compared to 2007, plus weaker demand among a variety of telecommunications customers in 2008, as compared to the 2007 period. The Company had shipments of \$2.1 million to one signaling products customer, Alltel Corporation, in the third quarter 2007.

Revenue from communications products amounted to \$17.8 million and \$16.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. This increase of \$.9 million, or 5%, was primarily a result of stronger signaling product shipments in 2008, more than offsetting weaker demand among a variety of telecommunications customers in 2008, compared to the 2007 period.

Computing products:

Computing products include Advanced Managed Platforms, a range of single board compute elements and associated chassis management products. Computing products revenue amounted to \$2.9 million and \$3.3 million in the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively; and \$9.4 million and \$7.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The \$.4 decrease in the third quarter 2008 over 2007 was primarily due to the non-recurrence in 2008 of one customer's significant third quarter 2007 order and shipment. The year-to-date increase in revenue of \$1.6 million, or 21% through September 2008 as compared to 2007 was primarily attributable to a \$2.0 million increase in shipments to the Company's largest customer, Data Connection, Ltd., offset partially by lower computing products shipments to various other customers.

Switching products:

The Company's Ethernet switch elements operate as the nexus of the IP packet switching functionality for Advanced Managed Platforms and competing platforms.

Switch revenue totaled \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million in the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively. This increase of \$.2 million, or 17%, was due to a slight rebound in shipments to Alcatel-Lucent. Switch revenue amounted to \$4.2 million and \$5.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. This decrease of \$.8 million, or 16%, was principally due to \$.6 million in lower switch shipments to Alcatel-Lucent.

Gross profit. Gross profit consists of sales, less cost of goods sold including material costs, manufacturing expenses, depreciation, amortization of software development costs, and expenses associated with engineering contracts and the technical support function. Gross margin was 54.6% and 56.2% of sales for the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively. The slight decline in gross margin percentage was the result of a less favorable sales mix as sales of higher-margin communications products declined, as well as fixed manufacturing expenses being spread over lower sales.

Gross margin was 55.8% and 51.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The second quarter 2007 charge to write off software development costs represented 1.7% of nine month period sales. Excluding this charge, the increase in gross margin is principally due to an overall improved sales mix, lower material costs, and higher sales which resulted in improved manufacturing efficiencies.

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses in the third quarter 2008 amounted to \$5.5 million, compared to \$5.2 million for the third quarter 2007. Total operating expenses for the first nine months of 2008 amounted to \$16.9 million, compared to \$16.5 million for the comparable period in 2007. Total operating expenses included a charge of \$.2 million in both the third quarter 2008 and first nine months of 2008 relating to expenses associated with the Company's unsuccessful negotiations to acquire another company. In the first nine months of 2007, total operating expenses included restructuring charges amounting to \$.2 million.

Selling and marketing expenses were \$1.9 million and \$1.7 million for the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, selling and marketing expenses were \$6.1 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. Expense increases in the 2008 periods over the comparable 2007 periods were primarily related to the hiring of additional sales and marketing personnel for the Signaling Systems organization, increased commissions relating to higher sales levels, and an increase in the Company's marketing participation in industry trade shows.

Research and development expenses were \$2.2 million in the third quarter of both 2008 and 2007. The Company capitalizes certain software development costs, which reduces the amount of software development charged to operating expenses. Amounts capitalized were \$.6 million in the third quarter 2008, as compared with \$.5 million during the third quarter 2007. Research and development expenses were \$6.9 million and \$7.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Nine month amounts capitalized to software development costs amounted to \$1.6 million in both 2008 and 2007. Gross expenditures declined by \$.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, primarily related to decreases in hardware design materials expended on prototype builds and a net decrease in the number of engineers in research and development due to expense management.

General and administrative expenses were \$1.2 million in the third quarter of both 2008 and 2007. General and administrative expenses in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were both \$3.7 million.

Restructuring charges amounted to \$.2 million in the first nine months of 2007, which related to the Company's revision of estimated future cash flows associated with sub-leasing revenue from property in San Luis Obispo, California.

Other Income, net. Other income consists primarily of interest income and amounted to \$.2 million and \$.5 million in the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively. Other income amounted to \$.8 million and \$1.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In the third quarter 2007, the Company was primarily investing in auction rate municipal securities. During the first quarter 2008, the Company sold almost all of its auction rate municipal securities and invested in lower yielding money market funds due to liquidity concerns in the market. During the second quarter 2007, the Company received \$.5 million of proceeds from the disposition of a note receivable from an unaffiliated company, upon which a valuation charge had been recorded in 2004. The proceeds were recorded as a note receivable recovery in the amount of \$.1 million and interest income in the amount of \$.4 million. Due primarily to the change in investment strategy and overall lower interest rates on money market investments, other income declined by \$.3 million and \$1.0 million in the third quarter 2008 and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, on a year-over-year basis.

Income taxes. The effective income tax rate is a combination of federal, state and foreign income tax rates and is generally lower than statutory rates because it includes benefits derived from the Company's international operations, research activities, and tax-exempt interest.

For the third quarter 2008, the Company's income tax benefit amounted to \$.6 million, including a discrete income tax benefit of \$.4 million and the effect of changing the estimated net effective income tax rate for 2008 from 29% to 20%. A discrete income tax benefit was recognized during the third quarter 2008 related to the release of a reserve for income tax uncertainties of \$.4 million (including estimated interest) based upon the lapsing of the statute of limitations.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the income tax benefit amounted to \$.1 million, and included an income tax provision of \$.3 million calculated using the estimated net effective income tax rate of 20%, offset by net discrete income tax benefit items totaling \$.4 million.

For the third quarter 2007, the Company's income tax provision amounted to a benefit of \$.3 million, including a discrete income tax benefit of \$.4 million, offset by the effect of changing the estimated net effective income tax rate for 2007 from 2% to -2%. The discrete income tax benefit realized during the third quarter 2007 related to the release of a reserve for income tax uncertainties of \$.4 million based upon the lapsing of the statute of limitations.

For the nine months of 2007, the Company's income tax benefit included the income tax effect of recording the note receivable recovery at 38%, which was \$.2 million. In addition, the Company adjusted its net deferred tax assets at September 30, 2007 to reflect a change by the State of New York in its corporate income tax apportionment methodology. To reflect this change, the Company recorded an income tax charge amounting to \$.1 million.

The expected annual effective income tax rate in the third quarter 2008 is greater than in the corresponding quarter in 2007 primarily due to lower tax-exempt interest and the non-renewal of the United States research and development tax credit as of September 30, 2008. The United States research and development tax credit was renewed for 2008 on October 3, 2008; the benefit from recording this credit, if any, will be recorded in the Company's fourth quarter 2008 tax provision (benefit).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's primary sources of liquidity are cash, cash equivalents and long-term investments, which totaled \$35.4 million at September 30, 2008, plus a line of credit totaling \$5.0 million available under a bank credit facility. The Company had working capital of \$39.7 million and \$40.3 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$6.0 million. This amount included net income of \$1.5 million, depreciation and amortization charges of \$1.7 million and stock-based compensation expense of \$.5 million. Cash provided by operations due to changes in operating assets and liabilities amounted to \$2.2 million and consisted primarily of a decrease in accounts receivable (primarily due to the decrease in sales), an increase in accounts payable (primarily due to increased purchases in transit at September 30, 2008) and tax refunds received, partially offset by an increase in inventories (due primarily to the decline in third quarter 2008 orders and shipments).

Cash provided by investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 totaled \$6.8 million, resulting from net sales of investments of \$8.9 million, offset by capitalized software development costs amounting to \$1.6 million and capital expenditures of \$.5 million. The Company purchased \$4.3 million of U.S. government-guaranteed investments in the third quarter 2008.

Net cash used by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 amounted to \$.5 million, resulting from common stock buybacks amounting to \$1.1 million, offset by proceeds from the exercise of stock options which amounted to \$.6 million. The Board of Directors had authorized the Company to repurchase shares of the Company's Common Stock for an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10.0 million in July 2005. This program was extended to July 2008. Under this program, the Company repurchased .2 million common shares for a total cost of \$1.1 million in the period from January 1, 2008 through the program's expiration in July 2008. Since March 2007, the Company has repurchased 1.86 million shares for a total cost of \$9.1 million.

In October 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new stock repurchase program whereby the Company is authorized to repurchase shares of its common stock for an aggregate purchase price not to exceed \$10 million for a one-year period through October 23, 2009.

At September 30, 2008, the Company continued to hold an auction rate municipal security with a par value and cost basis of \$2.45 million. This investment is collateralized by student loans, is partially guaranteed by the U.S. Department of Education, carries an insurance company guaranty, and carries a AA-rating or equivalent by all three major rating agencies. The monthly auctions for this investment first failed in February 2008 and each subsequent auction has failed. The Company believes it is unlikely that auctions for this security will be successful and the Company's ability to liquidate this security without loss is limited in the near term. As such, the Company believes that the fair value of this investment is likely less than its par value at September 30, 2008 due to its illiquidity. The Company has estimated the fair value of this security to be \$2.16 million at September 30, 2008, after recording a third quarter 2008 adjustment of \$.1 million to increase its estimated value due to an increase in the yield on the bond. The unrealized loss on this investment has been classified as a temporary impairment, as the Company has the ability and intent to hold the security until such time as it can be sold in an orderly manner without loss. The unrealized loss has been recorded as a charge to accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes, on the September 30, 2008 balance sheet.

In August 2008, the brokerage firm that sold this security to the Company announced that it had entered into substantive agreements with the State of New York Attorney General and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission to redeem auction rate municipal securities sold by the firm at par, beginning in 2009. In October 2008, the Company received an offer from the brokerage firm to redeem this security at par in January 2009 or at any time for two years thereafter. The Company has not completed its review of the offering circular and has not yet executed its acceptance of the brokerage firm's offer.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements:

The Company did not enter into any off-balance sheet arrangements during the third quarter 2008.

Contractual Obligations:

Liability for tax uncertainties

The Company has approximately \$.5 million associated with unrecognized income tax benefits and estimated related interest and penalties at September 30, 2008. These liabilities are included as a component of long-term liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet as the Company does not anticipate that settlement of the liabilities will require a significant payment of cash within the next twelve months. The Company does not believe that the ultimate settlement of these obligations will materially affect the Company's liquidity.

Current Position:

Assuming there is no significant change in the business, management believes that the Company's current cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with cash generated from operations should be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements, including working capital and capital expenditure requirements, for at least the next twelve months. However, management is continuing to evaluate opportunities for strategic acquisitions to accelerate the Company's growth and market penetration efforts. If any of these opportunities come to fruition, they could have an impact on working capital, liquidity or capital resources.

As explained more fully in Part II, Item 1A below, the effects of the current global economic crisis could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition and could adversely affect our stock price.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*. This statement permits companies to elect to measure certain financial instruments at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each reporting period. In addition, SFAS No. 159 establishes financial statement presentation and disclosure requirements for assets and liabilities reported at fair value under the election. Although the Company adopted SFAS No. 159 in the first quarter 2008, it has not elected to measure financial instruments at fair value other than as required by other accounting standards.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*, a revision to SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141R provides revised guidance for recognition and measurement of identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at fair value. The Statement also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of a business combination. SFAS No. 141R is required to be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for the Company). The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 141R on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51. This Statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent. Specifically, SFAS No. 160 requires the presentation of noncontrolling interests as equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and separate identification and presentation in the Consolidated Statements of Income of net income attributable to the entity and the noncontrolling interest. It also establishes accounting and reporting standards regarding deconsolidation and changes in a parent's ownership interest. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for the Company). The provisions of SFAS No. 160 are generally required to be applied prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which must be applied retrospectively. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of Statement 133 to provide a better understanding of how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and their effect on an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. As the Company does not use derivative instruments, it is not expected that this statement will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is Not Active (FSP FAS 157-3). This standard clarifies the application of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, in a market that is not active, and was effective upon issuance for financial statements that have not been issued. The Company has taken the guidance provided in FSP FAS 157-3 into consideration in its estimate of fair value of its auction rate municipal security.

Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, which reflect management's current views with respect to future events and financial performance, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is subject to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including those identified below, which could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated. The words believes, anticipates, plans, may, intends, estimate, will, should, could, feels, is optimistic, expects, and other expressions which indicate future events and trends identify forward-looking statements. However, the absence of such words does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

The Company's future operating results are subject to various risks and uncertainties and could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements and may be affected by various trends and factors which are beyond the Company's control. These risks and uncertainties include, among other factors, general business and economic conditions, the effects of the current global economic crisis, rapid technological changes accompanied by frequent new product introductions, competitive pressures, dependence on key customers, fluctuations in quarterly and annual results, the reliance on a limited number of third party suppliers, limitations of the Company's manufacturing capacity and arrangements, the protection of the Company's proprietary technology, the dependence on key personnel, changes in critical accounting estimates, potential impairments related to goodwill and investments, foreign regulations and potential material weaknesses. Forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements, the Notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company as of December 31, 2007, as contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q, and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Stockholders are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements which speak as of the date of this Quarterly Report or the date of the documents incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to various market risks in the normal course of business, primarily interest rate risk and changes in the market value of investments and management believes the Company's exposure to such risk is minimal. The Company's investments are made in accordance with the Company's investment policy and primarily consist of money market funds, one municipal bond, two U.S. government-guaranteed bonds, one auction rate municipal security and a guaranteed interest contract with a Canadian bank at September 30, 2008.

The Company is also subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk related to its operations in Kanata, Ontario, Canada, and in the United Kingdom. The Company believes that its balance sheet exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk is minimal, and all of the Company's sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. However, due to weakness of the U.S. dollar as compared to the Canadian dollar, the costs of our operations in Canada, as measured in U.S. dollars, were approximately 13% higher in the first three quarters of 2008 as compared to the first three quarters of 2007. The costs of our Canadian operations are approximately \$5.0 million on an annual basis. The Company does not participate in the investment of derivative financial instruments.

From October 1, 2008 to October 31, 2008, the U.S. dollar has strengthened against the Canadian dollar by 16%, and strengthened against the U.K. pound and Euro by approximately 10%. While this strengthening has a positive effect on the Company's reported operations with respect to costs incurred in Canada and the U.K. (approximately \$5.0 million and \$1.0 million annually, respectively), it also makes the Company's products more expensive in relation to these local currencies, which could have a negative effect on the Company's foreign revenue.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

A. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

B. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this quarterly report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our risk factors are disclosed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. As a result of developments in the financial markets since the filing of the 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we are providing the following updates to our risk factors:

The current global financial crisis may have significant effects on our customers and suppliers that could result in material adverse effects on our business, operating results, and stock price.

The current global financial crisis has included among other things reductions in available capital and liquidity from banks and other providers of credit; substantial fluctuations in equity, commodity and currency values worldwide; and concerns that the worldwide economy may enter into a prolonged recessionary period. These events may materially adversely affect our customers' access to capital or willingness to expend capital on our products, as well as their levels of cash liquidity with which to pay for products that they will order or have already ordered from us. In addition, the current global financial crisis may materially adversely affect our suppliers' access to capital and liquidity with which to maintain their inventories, production levels, and product quality, could cause them to raise prices or lower production levels, or result in their ceasing operation.

These potential effects of the current global financial crisis are difficult to forecast and mitigate. As a consequence, our operating results for a particular period are difficult to predict, and, therefore, prior results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected in future periods. Any of the foregoing effects could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition and could adversely affect our stock price.

Funds associated with our auction-rate security may not be liquid or accessible for in excess of twelve months and our auction-rate security may experience temporary or other-than-temporary declines in value.

A portion of our investment portfolio as of both September 30, 2008 and October 31, 2008 was invested in one auction rate municipal security. Beginning in February 2008, the instability in the credit markets began to impact the auction rate municipal securities markets and the monthly auctions for many such securities began to fail. An auction failure means that the parties wishing to sell their securities on the auction date were unable to successfully carry out the transaction due to a lack of purchase demand. In October 2008 we received an offer from the brokerage firm from whom this security was purchased to redeem the security at par, beginning in January 2009 and for two years thereafter. We have not completed our review of the offering circular and have not yet executed its acceptance of the brokerage firm's offer. If the global financial crisis were to result in the brokerage firm's inability to maintain the financial resources necessary to satisfy its obligation with respect to this security should we decide to accept its offer, the timing of our access to the liquidity represented by this auction rate security may be materially adversely affected.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED

November 7, 2008	By: /s/	John M. Slusser John M. Slusser President and Chief Executive Officer
November 7, 2008	By: /s/	Dorrance W. Lamb Dorrance W. Lamb Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Certification of Chief Executive Officer

I, John M. Slusser, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Performance Technologies, Incorporated;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2008

By:/s/

John M. Slusser
John M. Slusser
Chief Executive Officer

- 29 -

Certification of Chief Financial Officer

I, Dorrance W. Lamb, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Performance Technologies, Incorporated;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2008

By:/s/

Dorrance W. Lamb
Dorrance W. Lamb
Chief Financial Officer

- 30 -

Exhibit 32.1

Section 1350 Certification

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 906), John M. Slusser and Dorrance W. Lamb, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of Performance Technologies, Incorporated, certify that (i) the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Performance Technologies, Incorporated.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Performance Technologies, Incorporated and will be retained by Performance Technologies, Incorporated and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Date: November 7, 2008 By:/s/

John M. Slusser
John M. Slusser
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 7, 2008 By:/s/

Dorrance W. Lamb
Dorrance W. Lamb
Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice
President