

NAVISITE INC  
Form 10-Q  
December 15, 2004

**Table of Contents**

---

---

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, DC 20549**

---

**Form 10-Q**

---

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended October 31, 2004**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from        to**

**Commission file number: 000-27597**

**NaviSite, Inc.**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)*

**52-2137343**

*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*

**400 Minuteman Road  
Andover, Massachusetts**

*(Address of principal executive offices)*

**01810**

*(Zip Code)*

**(978) 682-8300**

*(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)*

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.    Yes         No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).    Yes         No

As of December 6, 2004, there were 27,933,057 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.01 per share.

---

---

**NAVISITE, INC.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended October 31, 2004

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
	<u>Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004 (unaudited)</u>
	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended October 31, 2004 and 2003 (unaudited)</u>
	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended October 31, 2004 and 2003 (unaudited)</u>
	4
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>
	5
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
	21
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>
	40
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>
	40
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
	42
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>
	45
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>
	45
<u>Signature</u>	46
Exhibit Index	47
	<u>EX-10.1 Joinder Agreement dated as of December 7, 2004</u>
	<u>EX-10.2 Joinder Agreement dated as of December 7, 2004</u>
	<u>EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO</u>
	<u>EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO</u>
	<u>EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of CEO</u>
	<u>EX-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO</u>

**Table of Contents****PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	October 31, 2004	July 31, 2004
	(Unaudited) (In thousands, except par value)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,024	\$ 3,195
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,759 and \$2,498 at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004, respectively	16,220	16,584
Due from related party	99	101
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,475	5,967
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total current assets	22,818	25,847
Property and equipment, net	19,103	20,794
Customer lists, less accumulated amortization of \$9,301 and \$7,875 at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004, respectively	21,698	23,151
Goodwill	46,173	45,920
Other assets	6,189	6,316
Restricted cash	1,726	1,836
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total assets	\$ 117,707	\$ 123,864
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts receivable financing line, net	\$ 20,267	\$ 20,240
Notes payable, current portion	2,013	1,551
Note payable to related party	3,000	3,000
Capital lease obligations, current portion	1,277	2,921
Accounts payable	9,948	8,285
Accrued expenses	20,406	23,159
Deferred revenue and customer deposits	3,313	3,402
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total current liabilities	60,224	62,558
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	1,904	469
Accrued lease abandonment costs, less current portion	2,544	2,782
Accrued interest	1,527	545
Other long-term liabilities	1,223	804
Notes payable to the AppliedTheory Estate	6,000	6,000
Note payable, less current portion	1,121	1,157
Convertible notes payable to Waythere (formerly Surebridge)	38,467	38,467
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities	113,010	112,782
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; Authorized 5,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: no shares at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; Authorized 395,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: 27,929 at October 31, 2004 and 27,924 at July 31, 2004	279	279
Deferred compensation	(1,334)	(1,514)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	11	15
Additional paid-in capital	452,172	452,156
Accumulated deficit	(446,431)	(439,854)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total stockholders' equity	4,697	11,082
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 117,707	\$ 123,864
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended	
	October 31, 2004	October 31, 2003
	(Unaudited) (In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Revenue	\$28,861	\$23,473
Revenue, related parties	33	
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>28,894</b>	<b>23,473</b>
Cost of revenue	22,820	17,924
Impairment, restructuring and other		633
<b>Total cost of revenue</b>	<b>22,820</b>	<b>18,557</b>
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>6,074</b>	<b>4,916</b>
Operating expenses:		
Product development	187	348
Selling and marketing	3,173	1,972
General and administrative	6,448	4,958
Impairment, restructuring and other	1,032	456
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>10,840</b>	<b>7,734</b>
Loss from operations	(4,766)	(2,818)
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	13	64
Interest expense	(1,898)	(609)
Other income (expense), net	75	10
Loss before income tax expense	(6,576)	(3,353)
Income tax expense		
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$ (6,576)</b>	<b>\$ (3,353)</b>
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.14)
Basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding	27,927	24,506

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Ended	
	October 31, 2004	October 31, 2003
	(Unaudited) (In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(6,576)	\$(3,353)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used for operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	3,764	3,484
Impairment of long-lived assets related to abandoned leases	330	
Gain on disposal of assets	(13)	
Costs associated with abandoned leases	702	1,088
Amortization of warrants	27	92
Non-cash stock compensation	184	
Provision for bad debts	646	(183)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of impact of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(298)	(2,294)
Due from related party	2	(125)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	492	(663)
Long-term assets	127	424
Accounts payable	2,309	(248)
Long-term liabilities	1,401	(83)
Accrued expenses, deferred revenue and customer deposits	(3,841)	(1,358)
Net cash used for operating activities	(744)	(3,219)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,439)	(486)
Proceeds from the sale of equipment	20	
Net cash used for investing activities	(1,419)	(486)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Restricted cash	110	872
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	11	
Proceeds from note payable	405	
Repayment of note payable	(237)	
Net borrowings under accounts receivable line		2,606
Payments under note to affiliates		(30)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(297)	(707)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(8)	2,741
Net decrease in cash	(2,171)	(964)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,195	3,862
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,024	\$ 2,898



Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 618	\$ 273

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)**

**(1) Description of Business**

NaviSite, Inc. ( NaviSite , the Company , we , us or our ) provides managed application services and a broad range of outsourced hosting services for middle-market organizations, which include mid-sized companies, divisions of large multi-national companies and government agencies. Our service offerings allow our customers to outsource the hosting and management of their information technology infrastructure and applications, such as commerce systems, enterprise software applications and email. Substantially all revenue is generated from customers in the United States.

**(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***(a) Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation***

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of NaviSite, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements and thus should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on November 2, 2004. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting only of those of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods indicated. The results of operations for the three months ended October 31, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the remainder of the fiscal year ending July 31, 2005.

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include the useful lives of fixed assets and intangible assets, recoverability of long-lived assets, the collectability of receivables and other assumptions for sublease and lease abandonment reserves.

***(b) Revenue Recognition***

Revenue consists of monthly fees for Web site and Internet application management, hosting, colocations and professional services. The Company also derives revenue from the sale of software and related maintenance contracts. Reimbursable expenses charged to clients are included in revenue and cost of revenue. Application management, hosting and colocation revenue (other than installation fees) is billed and recognized over the term of the contract, generally one to three years, based on actual usage. Payments received in advance of providing services are deferred until the period such services are provided. Revenue from professional services, application management, hosting and colocation revenue is recognized on either a time-and material basis as the services are performed or under the percentage of completion method for fixed-price contracts. We generally sell our professional services under contracts with terms ranging from one to five years. When current contract estimates indicate that a loss is probable, a provision is made for the total anticipated loss in the current period. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated service costs of the contract exceed the estimated revenue that will be generated by the contract. Unbilled accounts receivable represents revenue for services performed that have not been billed. Billings in excess of revenue recognized are recorded as deferred revenue until the applicable revenue recognition criteria are met.

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Revenue from the sale of software is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered, the fees are fixed and determinable and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. In instances where the Company also provides application management and hosting services in conjunction with the sale of software, software revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the expected customer relationship period. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we defer the fee and recognize revenue at the time collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

**(c) Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash**

The Company considers all highly liquid securities with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company had long-term restricted cash of \$1.7 million and \$1.8 million as of October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004, respectively, which represents a cash collateral requirement for standby letters of credit associated with several of the Company's facility and equipment leases.

**(d) Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to five years. Leasehold improvements and assets acquired under capital leases are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Assets acquired under capital leases in which title transfers to us at the end of the agreement are amortized over the useful life of the asset. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

**(e) Long-lived Assets, Goodwill and Other Intangibles**

The Company follows the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. This statement requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to undiscounted future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

The Company reviews the valuation of goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 142, goodwill is required to be tested for impairment annually in lieu of being amortized. This testing is done in the fourth quarter of each year. Furthermore, goodwill is required to be tested for impairment on an interim basis if an event or circumstance indicates that it is more likely than not an impairment loss has been incurred. An impairment loss shall be recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Impairment losses shall be recognized in operations. The Company's valuation methodology for assessing impairment requires management to make judgments and assumptions based on historical experience and projections of future operating performance. If these assumptions differ materially from future results, the Company may record impairment charges in the future.

**(f) Concentration of Credit Risk**

Our financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, obligations under capital leases, software agreements, accounts payable, and accrued expenses. As of October 31, 2004, the carrying cost of these instruments approximated their fair value. The financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentra-

**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

tion of credit risk consist primarily of accounts receivable. Concentration of credit risk with respect to trade receivables is limited due to the large number of customers across many industries that comprise our customer base. One third-party customer accounted for 8% and 15% of our total revenue for the three months ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Accounts receivable included approximately \$1.5 million due from this third-party customer at October 31, 2004.

**(g) Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period of time from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. The Company reports accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), resulting from foreign currency translation adjustment, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

**(h) Income Taxes**

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

**(i) Stock-Based Compensation Plans**

We account for our stock option plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and Related Interpretations and comply with the disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123) and SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. We recorded stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.2 million during the three months ended October 31, 2004. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and net loss per common share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock-based compensation.

	<b>Three Months Ended October 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	<b>(In thousands, except per share data)</b>	
Net loss, as reported	\$(6,576)	\$(3,353)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense from the Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Incentive Plan included in reported net loss	184	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(1,236)	(921)
Net loss, as adjusted	\$(7,628)	\$(4,274)
Net loss per common share:		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.14)
Basic and diluted as adjusted	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.17)



**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, assuming no expected dividends and the following weighted average assumptions:

	<b>Three Months Ended October 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Risk-free interest rate	2.56%	2.26%
Expected volatility	125.48%	172.56%
Expected life (years)	2.09	3.02
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period	\$ 1.69	\$ 3.08

**(j) Net Loss Per Share**

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common and diluted common equivalent shares outstanding during the period, using either the if-converted method for convertible preferred stock and notes or the treasury stock method for warrants and options, unless amounts are anti-dilutive.

For the three months ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, net loss per basic and diluted share is based on weighted average common shares and excludes any common stock equivalents, as they would be anti-dilutive due to the reported losses. There were no dilutive shares for the three months ended October 31, 2004. For the three months ended October 31, 2003, there were 40,200 of dilutive shares related to warrants and employee stock options that were excluded as they have an anti-dilutive effect due to the net loss during this period.

**(k) Segment Reporting**

We currently operate in one segment, outsourced hosting and application management services. The Company's chief operating decision maker reviews financial information at a consolidated level. The Company has determined that reporting revenue at a service offering level is impracticable.

**(l) Foreign Currency**

The functional currencies of our wholly-owned subsidiaries are the local currencies. The financial statements of the subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars using period end exchange rates for assets and liabilities and average exchange rates during corresponding periods for revenue, cost of revenue and expenses. Translation gains and losses are deferred and accumulated as a separate component of stockholders equity ( Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ).

**(3) Liquidity**

As of October 31, 2004, our principal sources of liquidity included cash and cash equivalents and our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. We had a working capital deficit of \$37.4 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$1.0 million at October 31, 2004, as compared to a working capital deficit of \$36.7 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$3.2 million, at July 31, 2004.

The total net change in cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended October 31, 2004 was a decrease of \$2.2 million. The primary uses of cash during the three months ended October 31, 2004 included \$0.7 million of cash used for operating activities, \$1.4 million for purchases of property and equipment and \$0.5 million in repayments on notes payable and capital lease obligations. Our primary sources of cash during the three months ended October 31, 2004 were a \$0.1 million decrease in restricted cash and \$0.4 million in proceeds from a note

## Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

payable. Net cash used for operating activities of \$0.7 million during the three months ended October 31, 2004, resulted primarily from funding our \$6.6 million net loss, offset by approximately

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

\$0.2 million of net changes in operating assets and liabilities and noncash charges of approximately \$5.6 million. At October 31, 2004, we had an accumulated deficit of \$446.4 million, and have reported losses from operations since incorporation. At July 31, 2004, we had an accumulated deficit of \$439.9 million.

Our accounts receivable financing line with Silicon Valley Bank allows for maximum borrowing of \$20.4 million and expires on April 29, 2006. On October 31, 2004, we had an outstanding balance under the amended agreement of \$20.4 million. Borrowings are based on monthly recurring revenue. We are required to prepare and deliver a written request for an advance of up to three times the value of total recurring monthly revenue, calculated to be monthly revenue (including revenue from The New York State Department of Labor) less professional services revenue. SVB may then provide an advance of 85% of such value (or such other percentage as the bank may determine). The interest rate under the amended agreement is variable and is currently calculated at the bank's published prime rate plus 4.0% (8.75% at October 31, 2004). Following the completion of certain equity or debt financings, and provided we continue to meet certain ratios, the interest rate shall be reduced to the bank's prime rate plus 1.0%. In no event, however, will the bank's prime rate be less than 4.25%. The accounts receivable financing line at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004 is reported net of the remaining value ascribed to the related warrants of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

At October 31, 2004, the Company had \$1.7 million in outstanding standby letters of credit, issued in connection with facility and equipment lease agreements, which are 100% cash collateralized. Cash subject to collateral requirements has been recorded as restricted cash and is classified as non-current on our balance sheet at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004.

We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses in the future. We have taken several actions we believe will allow us to continue as a going concern, including the closing and integration of strategic acquisitions, the changes to our senior management and bringing costs more in line with projected revenue. We will need to find sources of additional financing in order to remain a going concern. Potential sources include public or private sales of equity or debt securities and the sale of assets. We are obligated to use a significant portion of any proceeds raised in an equity or debt financing or by the sale of assets to make payments on the Surebridge notes, depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing (see Note 11(e)).

Our operating forecast incorporates material trends, such as our acquisitions, reductions in workforce and closings of facilities. Our forecast also incorporates the future cash flow benefits expected from our continued efforts to increase efficiencies and reduce redundancies. Nonetheless, our forecast includes the need to raise additional funds. Our cash flow estimates are based upon attaining certain levels of sales, maintaining budgeted levels of operating expenses, collections of accounts receivable and maintaining our current borrowing line with Silicon Valley Bank among other assumptions, including the improvement in the overall macroeconomic environment. However there can be no assurance that we will be able to meet such assumptions. Our sales estimate includes revenue from new and existing customers, which may not be realized, and we may be required to further reduce expenses if budgeted sales are not attained. We may be unsuccessful in reducing expenses in proportion to any shortfall in projected sales and our estimate of collections of accounts receivable may be hindered by our customers' ability to pay. In addition, we are currently involved in various pending and potential legal proceedings. While we believe that the allegations against us in each of these matters are without merit, and that we have a meritorious defense in each, we are not able to predict the final outcomes of any of these matters and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. If we are ultimately unsuccessful in any of these matters, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of cash and/or shares of our common stock to the other parties. The amount and timing of any such payments could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.



**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(4) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

The Company recorded a \$0.3 million impairment charge for property and equipment, consisting primarily of unamortized leasehold improvements, related to our 10 Maguire Road facility in Lexington, MA, which we abandoned during the three months ended October 31, 2004. The impairment charge is included in Impairment, restructuring and other in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (see Note 12).

**(5) Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004 are summarized as follows:

	<b>October 31, 2004</b>	<b>July 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Office furniture and equipment	\$ 3,157	\$ 3,625
Computer equipment	36,166	35,117
Software licenses	10,470	10,405
Leasehold improvements	10,235	10,245
	<u>60,028</u>	<u>59,392</u>
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(40,925)	(38,598)
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 19,103</u>	<u>\$ 20,794</u>

The estimated useful lives of our fixed assets are as follows: office furniture and equipment, 5 years; computer equipment, 3 years; software licenses, 3 years or life of the license; and leasehold improvements, 4 years or life of the lease.

**(6) Intangible Assets**

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization as of October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004 for customer lists related to prior acquisitions are as follows:

	<b>October 31, 2004</b>	<b>July 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Gross carrying amount	\$ 30,999	\$ 31,026
Less: Accumulated amortization	(9,301)	(7,875)
Customer lists, net	<u>\$ 21,698</u>	<u>\$ 23,151</u>

Intangible asset amortization expense for the three months ended October 31, 2004 and 2003 aggregated \$1.4 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Customer lists are being amortized over estimated useful lives ranging from five to eight years. The amount reflected in the table

## Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

below for fiscal year 2005 includes year to date amortization. Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the next five years is as follows:

Year Ending July 31,	(In thousands)
2005	\$5,623
2006	\$5,125
2007	\$4,181
2008	\$3,288
2009	\$2,064

**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(7) Goodwill**

During the three months ended October 31, 2004, we recorded net purchase accounting adjustments of \$0.2 million to goodwill relating to our acquisition of Surebridge on June 10, 2004. We perform our annual impairment analysis of goodwill in our fiscal fourth quarter.

**(8) Acquisitions**

*Surebridge.* On June 10, 2004, we completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge, Inc., or Surebridge, a privately held provider of managed application services for mid-market companies now known as Waythere, Inc., in exchange for two promissory notes (see Note 11) in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million, three million shares of our common stock and the assumption of certain liabilities of Surebridge at closing. The primary reasons for the acquisition included the addition of service offerings, specific contractual relationships with PeopleSoft and Microsoft, and established contractual revenue base, as well as potential operational savings. As the primary reasons for the acquisition were not related to the tangible net assets of Surebridge, the purchase price was significantly in excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The final purchase accounting is subject to final resolution of a working capital adjustment calculation which is expected to be completed during the second quarter of fiscal year 2005. We have included the financial results of Surebridge in our consolidated financial statement beginning June 10, 2004, the date of acquisition.

The following unaudited pro forma results for the three months ended October 31, 2003 give effect to our acquisition of Surebridge, as if it had taken place at the beginning of fiscal year 2004. The pro forma information does not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition taken place at the beginning of the fiscal 2004 period and is not necessarily indicative of results that may be obtained in the future.

	<b>Three Months Ended October 31, 2003</b>
	<b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b>
Revenue	\$ 34,499
Net loss	(6,324)
Pro forma net loss per share	\$ (0.23)

**(9) Investment in Debt Securities**

In a privately negotiated transaction with Fir Tree Recovery Master Fund, LP and Fir Tree Value Partners, LDC, pursuant to an Assignment Agreement dated October 11, 2002 and in a series of open market transactions from certain other third-party holders, we acquired an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$36.3 million face value, 10% convertible senior notes (Interliant Notes) due in 2006 of Interliant, Inc. (Interliant) for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 million. Interliant was a provider of managed services, which filed a petition under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Southern District of New York (White Plains) on August 5, 2002, and we made this investment with the intention of participating in the reorganization/sale of Interliant.

On May 16, 2003, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed us as the successful bidder for the purchase of the Interliant Assets. We used \$0.6 million of the first projected distributions to be made on our Interliant Notes as partial payment for the assets acquired. As such, we have reduced the carrying value of the Interliant Notes by this amount. On September 30, 2004, the Third Amended Plan of Liquidation of Interliant and its affiliated debtors became effective. The final amount and timing of distributions we will receive on our Interliant Notes

**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

has not been determined. It may be greater or less than the remaining carrying value, however, we have estimated the value to approximate the \$1.4 million carrying value included in Other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004.

**(10) Accrued Expenses**

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	<b>October 31, 2004</b>	<b>July 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Accrued payroll, benefits and commissions	\$ 4,764	\$ 6,580
Accrued legal	2,547	3,098
Accrued accounts payable	2,632	2,727
Due to AppliedTheory Estate	1,464	1,464
Accrued interest	800	659
Accrued contract termination fees	783	984
Accrued lease abandonment costs, current portion	3,724	4,269
Accrued other	3,692	3,378
	<u>\$20,406</u>	<u>\$23,159</u>

**(11) Debt**

Debt consists of the following:

	<b>October 31, 2004</b>	<b>July 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Accounts receivable financing line, net	\$20,267	\$20,240
Notes payable to Atlantic Investors	3,000	3,000
Note to the AppliedTheory Estate	6,000	6,000
Note payable to landlord	1,727	1,908
Convertible notes payable to Surebridge	39,267	39,267
Other notes payable	607	
	<u>70,868</u>	<u>70,415</u>
Less current portion	25,280	24,791
	<u>\$45,588</u>	<u>\$45,624</u>
Long-term debt	\$45,588	\$45,624

(a) *Silicon Valley Bank Financing Arrangements*

## Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

Our accounts receivable financing line with Silicon Valley Bank allows for maximum borrowing of \$20.4 million and expires on April 29, 2006. On October 31, 2004, we had an outstanding balance under the amended agreement of \$20.4 million. Borrowings are based on monthly recurring revenue. We are required to prepare and deliver a written request for an advance of up to three times the value of total recurring monthly revenue, calculated to be monthly revenue (including revenue from The New York State Department of Labor) less professional services revenue. SVB may then provide an advance of 85% of such value (or such other percentage as the bank may determine). The interest rate under the amended agreement is variable and is currently calculated at the bank's published prime rate plus 4.0% (8.75% at October 31, 2004). Following

**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

the completion of certain equity or debt financings, and provided we continue to meet certain ratios, the interest rate shall be reduced to the bank's prime rate plus 1.0%. In no event, however, will the bank's prime rate be less than 4.25%. The accounts receivable financing line at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004 is reported net of the remaining value ascribed to the related warrants of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

***(b) Note Payable to Atlantic Investors, LLC (Atlantic)***

On January 29, 2003, we entered into a \$10.0 million Loan and Security Agreement (Atlantic Loan) with Atlantic, a related party. The Atlantic Loan bears an interest rate of 8% per annum. Interest is payable upon demand or, at Atlantic's option, interest may be added to the outstanding balance due to Atlantic by NaviSite. Atlantic may make demand for payment of amounts in excess of the minimum Atlantic Loan amount of \$2.0 million (Minimum Loan Amount), with 60 days notice. Atlantic can demand payment of the Minimum Loan Amount with 90 days notice. Atlantic, at its sole and absolute discretion, may advance other amounts to us such that the aggregate amount borrowed by NaviSite does not exceed the maximum loan amount, defined as the lesser of \$10.0 million or 65% of our consolidated accounts receivables. On May 30, 2003, we repaid \$2.0 million of the approximate \$3.0 million outstanding under the Atlantic Loan and on June 11, 2003, we borrowed \$2.0 million under the Atlantic Loan. At October 31, 2004, we had \$3.0 million outstanding under the Atlantic Loan. This amount is shown as Note payable to related party on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Atlantic Loan is secured by all of our receivables and is subordinated to the borrowings from Silicon Valley Bank.

On January 16, 2004, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, January 16, 2004, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) August 1, 2004 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives gross proceeds of \$13.0 million. On July 13, 2004, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, July 13, 2004, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) November 1, 2005 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives net proceeds of \$13.0 million after satisfying the mandatory prepayment obligation under those certain Notes due to Surebridge, Inc. On October 12, 2004, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, October 12, 2004, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) February 1, 2005 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives net proceeds of \$13.0 million after satisfying the mandatory prepayment obligation under those certain Notes due to Surebridge, Inc.

***(c) Notes Payable to the AppliedTheory Estate***

As part of CBTM's acquisition of certain AppliedTheory assets, CBTM entered into two unsecured promissory notes totaling \$6.0 million (Estate Liability) due to the AppliedTheory Estate on June 10, 2006. The Estate Liability bears interest at 8% per annum, which is due and payable annually. At October 31, 2004, we had approximately \$0.2 million in accrued interest related to this note, which is reflected within Accrued expenses on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

***(d) Notes Payable to Landlord***

As part of an amendment to our 400 Minuteman Road lease in the second quarter of fiscal year 2004, \$2.2 million of our future payments to the landlord of our 400 Minuteman Road facility in Andover, MA were transferred into a note payable (Landlord Note). The Landlord Note bears interest at an annual rate of 11% and calls for 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest, with the final payment due on November 1, 2006. The \$2.2 million represents leasehold improvements made by the landlord, on our behalf, to the

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

400 Minuteman facility in order to facilitate the leasing of a portion of the facility (First Lease Amendment), as well as common area maintenance and property taxes associated with the space.

In addition, during fiscal year 2004, we paid \$120,000 and we entered into a separate \$150,000 note (Second Landlord Note) with the landlord for additional leasehold improvements to facilitate a subleasing transaction involving a specific section of the 400 Minuteman location. The Second Landlord Note bears interest at an annual rate of 11% and calls for 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest, with the final payment due on March 1, 2007.

*(e) Convertible Notes Payable to Waythere (formerly Surebridge)*

On June 10, 2004, in connection with our acquisition of the Surebridge business, we issued two convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. Interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance of the notes at the annual rate of 10%, provided that if an event of default shall occur and be continuing, the interest rate shall be 15%. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no interest shall accrue or be payable on any principal amounts repaid on or prior to the nine-month anniversary of the issuance date of the notes. We must repay the outstanding principal of the notes with all interest accrued thereon, no later than June 10, 2006. In addition, if at any time during the first six months after the date of issuance of the notes we complete certain equity or debt financings, including our proposed public offering, we are obligated to use a significant portion of the proceeds to make payments on the notes, depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing. If we receive net proceeds of less than \$20.0 million in a debt or equity financing, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds. If we receive net proceeds of between \$20.0 million and \$30.0 million, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to \$15.0 million. If we receive net proceeds in excess of \$30.0 million, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 50% of the net proceeds. Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, \$0.8 million of the primary note is callable at anytime for a period of one year from June 10, 2004, the date of closing. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, the noteholder requested payment of \$0.8 million and the Company expects to pay this amount during the second quarter of fiscal year 2005.

In addition, if we realize net proceeds in excess of \$1.0 million from certain equity or debt financings or sales of assets at any time after six months from the date the notes were issued, we are obligated to use a significant portion of the proceeds to make payments on the notes, depending on the total payments, if any, made on the notes during the first six months after the notes were issued. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding is less than \$10.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding was greater than \$15.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 50% of the net proceeds. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding is between \$10.0 million and \$15.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to a percentage between 50% and 75% of the net proceeds received in the financing calculated in accordance with a formula set forth in the notes.

It shall be deemed an event of default under the notes if, among other things, we fail to pay when due any amounts under the notes, if we fail to pay when due or experience an event of default with respect to any debts having an outstanding principal amount of \$500,000 or more, if we are delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, or if we are acquired and the acquiring party does not expressly agree to assume the notes. In addition, certain bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, dissolution and receivership actions would be deemed an event of default under the notes. If an event of default under the notes occurs, the holder shall be entitled to declare the notes immediately due and payable in full.

**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The notes provide that we shall not incur any indebtedness in excess of \$20.5 million in the aggregate, unless such indebtedness is unsecured and expressly subordinated to the notes, is otherwise permitted under the notes, or the proceeds are used to make payments on the notes.

Finally, the outstanding principal of and accrued interest on the notes are convertible into shares of NaviSite common stock at a conversion price of \$4.642 at the election of the holder:

at any time following the first anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$20.0 million;

at any time following the 18-month anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$10.0 million;

at any time following the second anniversary of the closing; and

at any time following an event of default thereunder.

**(12) Commitments and Contingencies****(a) Leases***Abandoned Leased Facilities*

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, we abandoned our administrative space at 10 Maguire Road, in Lexington, MA and recorded a \$0.7 million lease abandonment charge related to this facility.

All impairment expense amounts recorded are included in the caption Impairment, restructuring and other in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Details of activity in the lease exit accrual by facility for the three months ended October 31, 2004 were as follows (in thousands):

Lease Abandonment Costs for:	Balance at July 31, 2004	Expense	Purchase Accounting Adjustments	Payments, less accretion of interest	Balance at October 31, 2004
Andover, MA	\$ 1,040	\$	\$	\$ (67)	\$ 973
La Jolla, CA	1,136			(268)	868
Chicago, IL	922			(116)	806
Amsterdam	120				120
Vienna, VA	548			(139)	409
San Francisco, CA	248			(80)	168
Houston, TX	905			(114)	791
Syracuse, NY	358			(94)	264
Syracuse, NY	111			(20)	91
San Jose, CA	1,019			(178)	841
Atlanta, GA	230			(28)	202
Atlanta, GA	275			(85)	190
Bedford, NH	139		19	(158)	
Lexington, MA		702		(157)	545
	\$7,051	\$702	\$ 19	\$(1,504)	\$6,268



—

—

—

—

—

**Table of Contents****NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

We are obligated under various capital and operating leases for facilities and equipment. Future minimum annual rental commitments under operating leases and other commitments are as follows as of October 31, 2004:

Description	Total	Less than 1 Year	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	After Year 5
<b>(In thousands)</b>							
Short/Long-term debt	\$ 71,001	\$25,413	\$45,430	\$ 158	\$	\$	\$
Interest on debt(a)	9,661	1,252	8,406	3			
Capital leases	3,668	1,570	1,325	773			
Operating leases	316	253	47	16			
Bandwidth commitments	7,245	3,798	2,321	1,020	106		
Maintenance for hardware/ software	873	576	166	126	5		
Property leases(b)	60,335	12,918	11,740	8,878	7,814	5,904	13,081
	<u>\$153,099</u>	<u>\$45,780</u>	<u>\$69,435</u>	<u>\$10,974</u>	<u>\$7,925</u>	<u>\$5,904</u>	<u>\$13,081</u>

(a) Amounts do not include interest on the accounts receivable financing line, as interest rate is variable.

(b) Amounts exclude certain common area maintenance and other property charges that are not included within the lease payment.

With respect to the property lease commitments listed above, certain cash is restricted pursuant to terms of lease agreements with landlords. At October 31, 2004, this restricted cash of \$1.7 million on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet consisted of certificates of deposit and a treasury note and are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value.

**(b) Legal Matters***IPO Securities Litigation*

On or about June 13, 2001, Stuart Werman and Lynn McFarlane filed a lawsuit against us, BancBoston Robertson Stephens, an underwriter of our initial public offering in October 1999, Joel B. Rosen, our then chief executive officer, and Kenneth W. Hale, our then chief financial officer. The suit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by not disclosing certain actions allegedly taken by Robertson Stephens in connection with our initial public offering. The suit alleges specifically that Robertson Stephens, in exchange for the allocation to its customers of shares of our common stock sold in our initial public offering, solicited and received from its customers agreements to purchase additional shares of our common stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Three other substantially similar lawsuits were filed between June 15, 2001 and July 10, 2001 by Moses Mayer (filed June 15, 2001), Barry Feldman (filed June 19, 2001), and Binh Nguyen (filed July 10, 2001). Robert E. Eisenberg, our president at the time of the initial public offering in 1999, also was named as a defendant in the Nguyen lawsuit.

On or about June 21, 2001, David Federico filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York a lawsuit against us, Mr. Rosen, Mr. Hale, Robertson Stephens and other underwriter defendants including J.P. Morgan Chase, First Albany Companies, Inc., Bank of America Securities, LLC, Bear Stearns & Co., Inc., B.T. Alex. Brown, Inc., Chase Securities, Inc., CIBC World Markets, Credit Suisse First Boston Corp., Dain Rauscher, Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.,

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

J.P. Morgan & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Lehman Brothers, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., Robert Fleming, Inc. and Salomon Smith Barney, Inc. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated the anti-trust laws and the federal securities laws by conspiring and agreeing to raise and increase the compensation received by the underwriter defendants by requiring those who received allocation of initial public offering stock to agree to purchase shares of manipulated securities in the after-market of the initial public offering at escalating price levels designed to inflate the price of the manipulated stock, thus artificially creating an appearance of demand and high prices for that stock, and initial public offering stock in general, leading to further stock offerings. The suit also alleges that the defendants arranged for the underwriter defendants to receive undisclosed and excessive brokerage commissions and that, as a consequence, the underwriter defendants successfully increased investor interest in the manipulated initial public offering of securities and increased the underwriter defendants' individual and collective underwritings, compensation, and revenue. The suit further alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing and selling securities pursuant to the initial public offering without disclosing to investors that the underwriter defendants in the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received excessive and undisclosed commissions from certain investors. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and June 12, 2001.

Those five cases, along with lawsuits naming more than 300 other issuers and over 50 investment banks which have been sued in substantially similar lawsuits, have been assigned to the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin (the Court) for all pretrial purposes (the IPO Securities Litigation). On September 6, 2001, the Court entered an order consolidating the five individual cases involving us and designating *Werman v. NaviSite, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 01-CV-5374 as the lead case. A consolidated, amended complaint was filed thereafter on April 19, 2002 (the Class Action Litigation) on behalf of plaintiffs Arvid Brandstrom and Tony Tse against underwriter defendants Robertson Stephens (as successor-in-interest to BancBoston), BancBoston, J.P. Morgan (as successor-in-interest to Hambrecht & Quist), Hambrecht & Quist and First Albany and against us and Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg (collectively, the NaviSite Defendants). Plaintiffs uniformly allege that all defendants, including the NaviSite Defendants, violated the federal securities laws (i.e., Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5) by issuing and selling our common stock pursuant to the October 22, 1999, initial public offering, without disclosing to investors that some of the underwriters of the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received extensive and undisclosed agreements from certain investors to purchase aftermarket shares at pre-arranged, escalating prices and also to receive additional commissions and/or other compensation from those investors. At this time, plaintiffs have not specified the amount of damages they are seeking in the Class Action Litigation.

Between July and September 2002, the parties to the IPO Securities Litigation briefed motions to dismiss filed by the underwriter defendants and the issuer defendants, including NaviSite. On November 1, 2002, the Court held oral argument on the motions to dismiss. The plaintiffs have since agreed to dismiss the claims against Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg without prejudice, in return for their agreement to toll any statute of limitations applicable to those claims. By stipulation entered by the Court on November 18, 2002, Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg were dismissed without prejudice from the Class Action Litigation. On February 19, 2003, an opinion and order was issued on defendants' motion to dismiss the IPO Securities Litigation, essentially denying the motions to dismiss of all 55 underwriter defendants and of 185 of the 301 issuer defendants, including NaviSite.

On June 30, 2003, our Board of Directors considered and authorized us to negotiate a settlement of the pending Class Action Litigation substantially consistent with a memorandum of understanding negotiated among class plaintiffs, the issuer defendants and the insurers for such issuer defendants. Among other contingencies, any such settlement would be subject to approval by the Court. Plaintiffs filed on June 14, 2004, a motion for preliminary approval of the Stipulation And Agreement Of Settlement With Defendant Issuers

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

And Individuals (the Preliminary Approval Motion ). The Preliminary Approval Motion has been fully briefed but the Court has not ruled upon or set a date for oral argument, if any, on the Preliminary Approval Motion. If completed and then approved by the Court, the settlement is expected to be covered by our existing insurance policies and is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We believe that the allegations against us are without merit and, if the settlement is not finalized, we intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' claims. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of the suits and their ultimate effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

*Joseph Cloonan*

On or about September 27, 2002, we received a demand for a wage payment of \$850,000 from our former Procurement Director, Joseph Cloonan. We rejected the demand, alleging that Mr. Cloonan's claim is based, among other things, on a potentially fraudulent contract. Mr. Cloonan also claimed \$40,300 for allegedly unpaid accrued vacation and bonuses and that he may be statutorily entitled to treble damages and legal fees. On October 11, 2002, NaviSite filed a civil complaint with the Massachusetts Superior Court, Essex County, seeking a declaratory judgment and asserting claims against Mr. Cloonan for civil fraud, misrepresentation, unjust enrichment and breach of duty of loyalty. Mr. Cloonan asserted counter claims against NaviSite seeking the payments set forth in his September 2002 demand. The discovery phase of the case has concluded and the parties may serve dispositive motions through December 2004. We believe Mr. Cloonan's allegations are without merit and intend to vigorously defend them. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of this matter and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

*Lighthouse International*

On October 28, 2002, CBTM, one of our subsidiaries, filed a complaint in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Lighthouse International, alleging six causes of action for copyright infringement, breach of contract, account stated, unjust enrichment, unfair competition, and misappropriation and/or conversion. The total claimed damages are in the amount of approximately \$1.9 million. On or about January 16, 2003, Lighthouse filed and served its answer and counterclaimed against CBTM claiming approximately \$3.1 million in damages and \$5.0 million in punitive relief.

On June 17, 2003, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York heard oral argument on Lighthouse's Motion for an Order Compelling the Debtor (AppliedTheory) to Assume or Reject an Agreement, filed in response to CBTM's complaint, and the objections to Lighthouse's motion filed by CBTM and AppliedTheory. Lighthouse made this motion on the basis that it never received notice of CBTM assuming the AppliedTheory contract for the LighthouseLink Web site. The Bankruptcy Court declined to grant Lighthouse's motion, and instead ordered that an evidentiary hearing be conducted to determine whether Lighthouse received appropriate notice of the proposed assignment of the contract by AppliedTheory to CBTM. The Bankruptcy Court ordered that the parties first conduct discovery, and upon completion of discovery, the Bankruptcy Court would schedule an evidentiary hearing on the issues of due process and notice.

As to the U.S. District Court matter, the exchange of written discovery and the majority of depositions of witnesses have been completed. On June 15, 2004, District Court Judge Pauley determined that both parties could proceed with their respective summary judgment motions. All motion papers were to be submitted by September 20, 2004, with oral argument scheduled for October 15, 2004.

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

On August 4, 2004, however, upon the application of CBTM, Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber preliminarily enjoined Lighthouse from asserting claims or counterclaims against CBTM relating to the Lighthouse contract or any assets acquired by CBTM from AppliedTheory pursuant to the sale order, except for the purpose and to the extent necessary to setoff claims brought by CBTM against Lighthouse relating to the Lighthouse contract. As a result, Lighthouse is limited to seeking only those pre- and post- bankruptcy counterclaims that may constitute as set-offs against the claims asserted by CBTM. Subsequent to issuing the injunction order, Bankruptcy Judge Gerber held several conferences urging the parties to submit their dispute to court-ordered mediation. In conjunction with the Bankruptcy Court's request, District Court Judge Pauley ordered a stay of all remaining expert discovery and motion procedures pending the participation and completion of mediation as requested by Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber. The matter was then transferred to mediation by order of the Courts.

In September, 2004, the parties selected Harvey A. Stricken, Esq. as mediator to the dispute. On October 6, 2004, the mediation was held with no particular outcome. Mr. Stricken has asked for and the parties have agreed to participate in a second mediation session which has not yet been scheduled. By order of Judge Pauley, the stay of expert discovery and motion procedures shall continue until February 4, 2005 to give the parties time to complete the mediation. Because of the uncertain outcome of such mediation, we are unable to predict the possible outcome of this matter, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

*Avasta Earnout*

On October 14, 2003, we received a letter purportedly on behalf of the former stockholders of Avasta, Inc. relating to the issuance of additional shares of common stock pursuant to the earnout calculations pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated as of January 29, 2003 among Avasta Acquisition Corp., Avasta and NaviSite. On December 11, 2003, a demand for arbitration before JAMS (formerly known as Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services) was filed by Convergence Associates, Inc. (Convergence Associates) on behalf of substantially all of the former shareholders of Avasta claiming among other things breach of contract, tortious conduct, fraud and other wrongful conduct. Damages sought included in excess of 782,790 shares of our common stock. On September 30, 2004, the arbitrator issued a decision with respect to the demand for arbitration. The arbitrator found that we breached our obligations under the Agreement and ordered us to issue to the former Avasta shareholders, or their designee, an aggregate of 321,880 shares of our common stock. In addition, the arbitrator determined that, as the prevailing party, Convergence Associates is entitled to recover from us its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements. On October 11, 2004, Convergence Associates submitted its application for reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements in the range of approximately \$750,957 to \$957,000. We filed an objection to Convergence Associates' proposed fees on October 25, 2004. Convergence Associates responded to our objection on November 2, 2004. A hearing is scheduled to occur on January 18, 2005. When the arbitrator makes the final award of fees, that order, together with the decision issued on September 30, 2004, will constitute the final, non-appealable award of the arbitrator.

*Engage Bankruptcy Trustee Claim*

On September 9, 2004, Don Hoy, Craig R. Jalbert and David St. Pierre, as trustees of and on behalf of the Engage, Inc. creditor trust, filed suit against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the District of Massachusetts. The suit generally relates to a termination agreement, dated March 7, 2002, we entered into with Engage, Inc. (a company then affiliated with CMGI, Inc.), which terminated a services agreement between us and Engage and required Engage to pay us \$3.6 million. Engage made three payments to us under the termination agreement in the aggregate amount of \$3.4 million. On June 19, 2003, Engage and five of its wholly owned subsidiaries filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The suit generally alleges that Engage was insolvent at the time that we entered into the

**Table of Contents**

**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

termination agreement with Engage and at the time Engage made the payments to us. Specifically, the suit alleges that (i) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$1.0 million paid by Engage to us in the year prior to June 19, 2003 as a preferential transfer, (ii) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$3.4 million (which amount includes the \$1.0 million payment made prior to June 13, 2003) paid by Engage to us as a fraudulent transfer, and (iii) our acts and omissions relating to the termination agreement and the payments made by Engage to us constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices in willful and knowing violation of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A. In addition to the foregoing amounts, the plaintiffs are also seeking treble damages, attorneys' fees and costs under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A. On November 19, 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss as a matter of law claims (ii) and (iii) in the complaint. This motion is in the briefing stages and has not yet been determined by the Court. As this matter is in the initial stage, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of this matter and the effect, if any, on our financial condition except that we believe we have certain meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint which we intend to assert vigorously.

**Table of Contents****Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical information provided herein are forward-looking statements and may contain information about financial results, economic conditions, trends and known uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, which include those discussed in this section and elsewhere in this report under the heading "Certain Risk Factors that May Affect Future Results" and the risks discussed in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis, judgment, belief or expectation only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date hereof.*

**Overview**

We provide managed application services and a broad range of outsourced hosting services for middle-market organizations, which include mid-sized companies, divisions of large multi-national companies and government agencies. Our service offerings allow our customers to outsource the hosting and management of their information technology infrastructure and applications, such as commerce systems, enterprise software applications and e-mail. We offer services that are designed to focus on the needs of middle-market organizations, where we believe the need for outsourcing is most acute. We believe that by using our services, our customers are able to focus on, and apply resources to, their core business operations by avoiding the significant ongoing investments required to replicate our infrastructure, performance, reliability and expertise. Our services include:

Managed Application Services  
(A-Services)

Managed Infrastructure Services  
(I-Services)

Managed Messaging Services  
(M-Services)

Application Hosting  
Application Management  
Application Development  
Content and Electronic Software Distribution  
Colocation  
Bandwidth  
Security  
Disaster Recovery  
Managed Messaging

We support a broad portfolio of outsourced application services including financial management, supply chain management, human resources management and customer relationship management. We provide these services to a range of vertical industries through our direct sales force and channel relationships. The applications we provide include PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, Progress Software and the Microsoft Business Solutions suite.

Our service offerings are facilitated by our proprietary Collaborative Application Management platform, or CAM. Our CAM platform enables us, with our customers, to provide highly efficient, effective and customized management of their outsourced enterprise applications and information technology. Comprised of a suite of third-party and proprietary products, CAM provides tools designed specifically to meet the needs of customers who outsource or want to provide on-demand application services.

We believe that the combination of CAM with our physical infrastructure and technical staff gives us a unique ability to provision on-demand application services for software providers for use by their customers. Because this on-demand provisioning capability is not dependent on the individual software application, CAM

**Table of Contents**

is application and operating platform neutral. Designed to enable enterprise software applications to be provisioned and used as an on-demand solution, the CAM technology allows us to offer new solutions to our software vendors and new products to our current customers.

We currently operate 14 data centers in the United States and one data center in the United Kingdom. We believe that our data centers and infrastructure have the capacity necessary to expand our business for the foreseeable future. Our services combine our developed infrastructure with established processes and procedures for delivering hosting and application management services. Our high availability infrastructure, high performance monitoring systems, and proactive and collaborative problem resolution and change management processes are designed to identify and address potentially crippling problems before they are able to disrupt our customers' operations.

We currently service approximately 1,100 customers, including approximately 148 customers through our sales channel relationships. Our customers typically enter into service agreements for a term of one to three years, which provide for monthly payment installments, providing us with a base of recurring revenue. Our revenue increases by adding new customers or additional services to existing customers. Our overall base of recurring revenue is affected by new customers, renewals or terminations of agreements with existing customers.

A large portion of the costs to operate our data centers, such as rent, product development and general and administrative expenses, does not depend strictly on the number of customers or the amount of services we provide. As we add new customers or new services to existing customers, we generally incur limited additional expenses relating to telecommunications, utilities, hardware and software costs, and payroll expenses. We have substantial capacity to add customers to our data centers. Our relatively fixed cost base, sufficient capacity for expansion and limited incremental variable costs provide us with the opportunity to grow profitably. However, these same fixed costs present us with the risk that we may incur losses if we are unable to generate sufficient revenue.

In recent years, we have grown through acquisitions of new businesses and have restructured our historical operations. Specifically, in December 2002, we completed a common control merger with CBTM, adding application management and development capabilities to our Managed Application Services; in February 2003, we acquired Avasta, adding capabilities to our Managed Application Services; in April 2003, we acquired Conxion, providing key services to our Managed Application Services and Managed Infrastructure Services; in May 2003, we acquired assets of Interliant, forming the core of our Managed Messaging Services; and in August 2003 and April 2004, we completed a common control merger with certain subsidiaries of CBT, related to colocation, bandwidth, security and disaster recovery services, enhancing our Managed Infrastructure Services. In June 2004, we acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge adding significant capabilities to our Managed Application and Professional Services. Prior to September 2002, substantially all of our services were managed application services, and we have added managed infrastructure and managed messaging services and increased managed applications and professional services since that time. This transformation in our business will result in our recent results being more relevant to an understanding of our business than our historical results. We also expect to make additional acquisitions to take advantage of our available capacity, which will have significant effects on our financial results in the future.

The audit report on our fiscal year 2004 consolidated financial statements from KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, contains KPMG's opinion that our recurring losses from operations since inception and accumulated deficit, as well as other factors, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. While we cannot assure you that we will continue as a going concern, we believe that we have developed and are implementing an operational plan that aligns our cost structure with our projected revenue growth.



**Table of Contents****Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended October 31, 2004 and 2003**

The following table sets forth the percentage relationships of certain items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of total revenue.

	Three Months Ended October 31,	
	2004	2003
Revenue	99.9%	100.0%
Revenue, related parties	0.1	0.0
Total revenue	100.0	100.0
Cost of revenue	79.0	76.4
Impairment, restructuring and other	0.0	2.7
Total cost of revenue	79.0	79.1
Gross profit	21.0	20.9
Operating expenses:		
Product development	0.6	1.5
Selling and marketing	11.0	8.4
General and administrative	22.3	21.1
Impairment, restructuring and other	3.6	1.9
Total operating expenses	37.5	32.9
Loss from operations	(16.5)	(12.0)
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	0.0	0.3
Interest expense	(6.6)	(2.6)
Other income (expense), net	0.3	0.0
Loss before income tax expense	(22.8)	(14.3)
Income tax expense	0.0	0.0
Net loss	(22.8)%	(14.3)%

**Revenue**

We derive our revenue from outsourced managed hosting, colocation and application services comprised of a variety of service offerings, including providing related professional and consulting services, to mid-sized enterprises, divisions of large multi-national companies and government agencies.

Total revenue for the three months ended October 31, 2004 increased 23% to approximately \$28.9 million from approximately \$23.5 million for the three months ended October 31, 2003. The overall growth in revenue was mainly due to the revenue resulting from our fiscal year 2004 acquisition of Surebridge which contributed approximately \$10.2 million in revenue during the three months ended October 31, 2004. The increased revenue during the first fiscal quarter of 2005 was partially offset by net lost customer revenue of approximately \$4.8 million. Revenue from related parties during the three months ended October 31, 2004 totaled \$33,000, while there was no related party revenue during the three months ended October 31, 2003.

Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

One unrelated customer accounted for 8% and 15% of our total revenue during the first fiscal quarters of 2005 and 2004, respectively.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Gross Profit**

Cost of revenue consists primarily of salaries and benefits for operations personnel, bandwidth fees and related Internet connectivity charges, equipment costs and related depreciation and costs to run our data centers, such as rent and utilities.

Gross profit of \$6.1 million for the three months ended October 31, 2004 increased approximately \$1.2 million, or 24%, from a gross profit of approximately \$4.9 million for the three months ended October 31, 2003. Gross profit for the first fiscal quarter of 2005 represented 21.0% of total revenue, as compared to 20.9% of total revenue for the first fiscal quarter of 2004. Total cost of revenue increased approximately 23% to \$22.8 million during the first fiscal quarter of 2005 from approximately \$18.6 million during the first fiscal quarter of 2004. The increase in cost of revenue of \$4.3 million resulted primarily from the costs associated with the increased revenue and an increase in amortization expense for intangible assets resulting from the 2004 Surebridge acquisition, partially offset by cost reductions related to facility rent, utilities and depreciation expense. Total cost of revenue for the three months ended October 31, 2003 includes impairment, restructuring and other charges totaling \$0.6 million.

### **Cost of Revenue Impairment, Restructuring and Other**

During the first fiscal quarter of 2004, we recorded a \$0.6 million lease abandonment charge related to our data center space located at Westwood Center, Vienna, VA as a component of total cost of revenue. No such charge was recorded during the first fiscal quarter of 2005.

### **Operating Expenses**

*Product Development.* Product development expense consists primarily of salaries and related costs.

Product development expense decreased 46% to approximately \$187,000 during the three months ended October 31, 2004 from approximately \$348,000 during the three months ended October 31, 2003 and represented approximately 0.6% and 1.5% of total revenue for the first fiscal quarter of 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease in product development expense of approximately \$161,000 is primarily related to decreased salary expense resulting from a decreased headcount.

*Selling and Marketing.* Selling and marketing expense consists primarily of salaries and related benefits, commissions and marketing expenses such as advertising, product literature, trade show, and marketing and direct mail programs.

Selling and marketing expense increased 61% to approximately \$3.2 million, or 11.0% of total revenue, during the three months ended October 31, 2004 from approximately \$2.0 million, or 8.4% of total revenue, during the three months ended October 31, 2003. The increase of approximately \$1.2 million resulted primarily from increased salary expense and related costs and travel, primarily due to an increased headcount of direct selling personnel.

*General and Administrative.* General and administrative expense includes the costs of financial, human resources, IT and administrative personnel, professional services, bad debt and corporate overhead.

General and administrative expense increased 30% to approximately \$6.4 million, or 22.3% of total revenue, during the three months ended October 31, 2004 from approximately \$5.0 million, or 21.1% of total revenue, during the three months ended October 31, 2003. The increase of approximately \$1.5 million was primarily the result of increased salary expense resulting from an increased headcount, as well as increases in bad debt expense and bank charges related to our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, partially offset by decreases in facility rent and utilities.

### **Operating Expenses Impairment, Restructuring and Other**

Costs associated with the abandonment of leased facilities and the impairment of property and equipment included in impairment, restructuring and other expense within operating expenses were approximately \$1.0 million during the three months ended October 31, 2004, as compared to approximately \$0.5 million

## **Table of Contents**

during the three months ended October 31, 2003. The \$1.0 million charge during the first fiscal quarter of 2005 related to a \$0.7 million lease abandonment charge related to our facility located at 10 Maguire Road in Lexington, MA and a \$0.3 million impairment charge for property and equipment, consisting primarily of leasehold improvements, relating to this same location. The \$0.5 million charge recorded during the first fiscal quarter of 2004 related to the abandonment of administrative office space at 55 Francisco St., San Francisco, CA.

### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased 209% to approximately \$1.9 million, or 6.6% of total revenue, during the three months ended October 31, 2004 from approximately \$0.6 million, or 2.6% of total revenue, during the three months ended October 31, 2003. The increase of \$1.3 million is primarily related to the issuance of the Surebridge notes on June 10, 2004.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of October 31, 2004, our principal sources of liquidity included cash and cash equivalents and our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. We had a working capital deficit of \$37.4 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$1.0 million at October 31, 2004, as compared to a working capital deficit of \$36.7 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$3.2 million, at July 31, 2004.

The total net change in cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended October 31, 2004 was a decrease of \$2.2 million. The primary uses of cash during the three months ended October 31, 2004 included \$0.7 million of cash used for operating activities, \$1.4 million for purchases of property and equipment and \$0.5 million in repayments on notes payable and capital lease obligations. Our primary sources of cash during the three months ended October 31, 2004 were a \$0.1 million decrease in restricted cash and \$0.4 million in proceeds from a note payable. Net cash used for operating activities of \$0.7 million during the three months ended October 31, 2004, resulted primarily from funding our \$6.6 million net loss, offset by approximately \$0.2 million of net changes in operating assets and liabilities and noncash charges of approximately \$5.6 million. At October 31, 2004, we had an accumulated deficit of \$446.4 million, and have reported losses from operations since incorporation. At July 31, 2004, we had an accumulated deficit of \$439.9 million.

Our accounts receivable financing line with Silicon Valley Bank allows for maximum borrowing of \$20.4 million and expires on April 29, 2006. On October 31, 2004, we had an outstanding balance under the amended agreement of \$20.4 million. Borrowings are based on monthly recurring revenue. We are required to prepare and deliver a written request for an advance of up to three times the value of total recurring monthly revenue, calculated to be monthly revenue (including revenue from The New York State Department of Labor) less professional services revenue. SVB may then provide an advance of 85% of such value (or such other percentage as the bank may determine). The interest rate under the amended agreement is variable and is currently calculated at the bank's published prime rate plus 4.0%. Following the completion of certain equity or debt financings, and provided we continue to meet certain ratios, the interest rate shall be reduced to the bank's prime rate plus 1.0%. In no event, however, will the bank's prime rate be less than 4.25%. The accounts receivable financing line at October 31, 2004 and July 31, 2004 is reported net of the remaining value ascribed to the related warrants of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

At October 31, 2004, the Company had \$1.7 million in outstanding standby letters of credit, issued in connection with facility and equipment lease agreements, which are 100% cash collateralized. Cash subject to collateral requirements has been recorded as restricted cash and is classified as non-current on our balance sheet at October 31, 2004.

On June 10, 2004, in connection with our acquisition of the Surebridge business, we issued two convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. Interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance of the notes at the annual rate of 10%, provided that if an event of default shall occur and be continuing, the interest rate shall be 15%. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no interest shall accrue or be payable on any principal amounts repaid on or prior to the nine-month anniversary of the issuance date of the notes. We must repay the outstanding principal of the notes with all interest accrued thereon, no later

**Table of Contents**

than June 10, 2006. In addition, if at any time during the first six months after the date of issuance of the notes we complete certain equity or debt financings, including our proposed public offering, we are obligated to use a significant portion of the proceeds to make payments on the notes, depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing. If we receive net proceeds of less than \$20.0 million in a debt or equity financing, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds. If we receive net proceeds of between \$20.0 million and \$30.0 million, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to \$15.0 million. If we receive net proceeds in excess of \$30.0 million, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 50% of the net proceeds. Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, \$0.8 million of the primary note is callable at any time for a period of one year from June 10, 2004, the date of the Surebridge acquisition closing. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, the noteholder requested payment of \$0.8 million and the Company expects to pay this amount during the second quarter of fiscal year 2005.

In addition, if we realize net proceeds in excess of \$1.0 million from certain equity or debt financings or sales of assets at any time after six months from the date the notes were issued, we are obligated to use a significant portion of the proceeds to make payments on the notes, depending on the total payments, if any, made on the notes during the first six months after the notes were issued. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding is less than \$10.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding was greater than \$15.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 50% of the net proceeds. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding is between \$10.0 million and \$15.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to a percentage between 50% and 75% of the net proceeds received in the financing calculated in accordance with a formula set forth in the notes.

It shall be deemed an event of default under the notes if, among other things, we fail to pay when due any amounts under the notes, if we fail to pay when due or experience an event of default with respect to any debts having an outstanding principal amount of \$500,000 or more, if we are delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, or if we are acquired and the acquiring party does not expressly agree to assume the notes. In addition, certain bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, dissolution and receivership actions would be deemed an event of default under the notes. If an event of default under the notes occurs, the holder shall be entitled to declare the notes immediately due and payable in full.

The notes provide that we shall not incur any indebtedness in excess of \$20.5 million in the aggregate, unless such indebtedness is unsecured and expressly subordinated to the notes, is otherwise permitted under the notes, or the proceeds are used to make payments on the notes.

Finally, the outstanding principal of and the accrued interest on the notes are convertible into shares of NaviSite common stock at a conversion price of \$4.642 at the election of the holder:

at any time following the first anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$20.0 million;

at any time following the 18-month anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$10.0 million;

at any time following the second anniversary of the closing; and

at any time following an event of default thereunder.

We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses in the future. We have taken several actions we believe will allow us to continue as a going concern, including the closing and integration of strategic acquisitions, the changes to our senior management and bringing costs more in line with projected revenue. We will need to find sources of additional financing in order to remain a going concern. Potential sources include public or private sales of equity or debt securities and the sale of assets. We are obligated to use a significant portion of any proceeds raised in an equity or debt financing or by the sale of assets to make

**Table of Contents**

payments on the Surebridge notes, depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing (see Note 11(e)).

Our operating forecast incorporates material trends, such as our acquisitions, reductions in workforce and closings of facilities. Our forecast also incorporates the future cash flow benefits expected from our continued efforts to increase efficiencies and reduce redundancies. Nonetheless, our forecast includes the need to raise additional funds. Our cash flow estimates are based upon attaining certain levels of sales, maintaining budgeted levels of operating expenses, collections of accounts receivable and maintaining our current borrowing line with Silicon Valley Bank among other assumptions, including the improvement in the overall macroeconomic environment. However there can be no assurance that we will be able to meet such assumptions. Our sales estimate includes revenue from new and existing customers, which may not be realized, and we may be required to further reduce expenses if budgeted sales are not attained. We may be unsuccessful in reducing expenses in proportion to any shortfall in projected sales and our estimate of collections of accounts receivable may be hindered by our customers' ability to pay. In addition, we are currently involved in various pending and potential legal proceedings. While we believe that the allegations against us in each of these matters are without merit, and that we have a meritorious defense in each, we are not able to predict the final outcomes of any of these matters and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. If we are ultimately unsuccessful in any of these matters, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of cash and/or shares of our common stock to the other parties. The amount and timing of any such payments could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

We are obligated under various capital and operating leases for facilities and equipment. Minimum annual rental commitments under operating leases and other commitments are as follows:

Description	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More than 5 Years
			(In thousands)		
Short/ Long-term debt	\$ 71,001	\$25,413	\$45,588	\$	\$
Interest on debt(a)	9,661	1,252	8,409		
Capital leases	3,668	1,570	2,098		
Operating leases	316	253	63		
Bandwidth commitments	7,245	3,798	3,341	106	
Maintenance for hardware/ software	873	576	292	5	
Property leases(b)	60,335	12,918	20,618	13,718	13,081
	<u>\$ 153,099</u>	<u>\$45,780</u>	<u>\$80,409</u>	<u>\$13,829</u>	<u>\$13,081</u>

(a) Amounts do not include interest on the accounts receivable financing line, as interest rate is variable.

(b) Amounts exclude certain common area maintenance and other property charges that are not included within the lease payment.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As such, management is required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that it believes are reasonable based on the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the periods presented. The significant accounting policies which management believes are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results include revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts and impairment of long-lived assets. Management reviews the estimates on a regular basis and makes adjustments based on historical experiences, current conditions and future expectations. The reviews are performed regularly and adjustments

## **Table of Contents**

are made as required by current available information. We believe these estimates are reasonable, but actual results could differ from these estimates.

*Revenue Recognition.* Revenue consists of monthly fees for Web site and Internet application management, hosting, colocations and professional services. The Company also derives revenue from the sale of software and related maintenance contracts. Reimbursable expenses charged to clients are included in revenue and cost of revenue. Application management, hosting and colocation revenue (other than installation fees) is billed and recognized over the term of the contract, generally one to three years, based on actual usage. Payments received in advance of providing services are deferred until the period such services are provided. Revenue from professional services, application management, hosting and colocation revenue is recognized on either a time-and material basis as the services are performed or under the percentage of completion method for fixed-price contracts. We generally sell our professional services under contracts with terms ranging from one to five years. When current contract estimates indicate that a loss is probable, a provision is made for the total anticipated loss in the current period. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated service costs of the contract exceed the estimated revenue that will be generated by the contract. Unbilled accounts receivable represents revenue for services performed that have not been billed. Billings in excess of revenue recognized are recorded as deferred revenue until the applicable revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from the sale of software is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered, the fees are fixed and determinable and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. In instances where the Company also provides application management and hosting services in conjunction with the sale of software, software revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the expected customer relationship period. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we defer the fee and recognize revenue at the time collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

Existing customers are subject to ongoing credit evaluations based on payment history and other factors. If it is determined subsequent to our initial evaluation and at any time during the arrangement that collectability is not reasonably assured, revenue is recognized as cash is received. Due to the nature of our service arrangements, we provide written notice of termination of services, typically 10 days in advance of disconnecting a customer. Revenue for services rendered during this notification period is generally recognized on a cash basis as collectability is not considered probable at the time the services are provided.

*Allowance for Doubtful Accounts.* We perform periodic credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions and generally do not require collateral or other security against trade receivables. We make estimates of the uncollectability of our accounts receivables and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for potential credit losses. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and consider historical bad debts, customer and industry concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in our customer payment patterns when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. We specifically reserve for 100% of the balance of customer accounts deemed uncollectible. For all other customer accounts, we reserve for 20% of the balance over 90 days old and 2% of all other customer balances. Changes in economic conditions or the financial viability of our customers may result in additional provisions for doubtful accounts in excess of our current estimate.

*Impairment of Long-lived Assets.* We review our long-lived assets, subject to amortization and depreciation, including customer lists and property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important that could trigger an interim impairment review include:

- significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
- significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy of our overall business;
- significant negative industry or economic trends;

## **Table of Contents**

significant declines in our stock price for a sustained period; and

our market capitalization relative to net book value.

Recoverability is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the assets were considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized would be measured by the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds their fair value. Fair value is determined based on discounted cash flows or appraised values, depending on the nature of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are valued at the lower of the carrying amount or their fair value less disposal costs. Property and equipment is primarily comprised of leasehold improvements, computer and office equipment and software licenses. Intangible assets consist of customer lists.

We review the valuation of our goodwill in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. If an event or circumstance indicates that it is more likely than not an impairment loss has been incurred, we review the valuation of goodwill on an interim basis. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Impairment losses are recognized in operations.

### **Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results**

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. Forward looking statements in this report and those made from time to time by us through our senior management are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward looking statements concerning the expected future revenues, earnings or financial results or concerning project plans, performance, or development of products and services, as well as other estimates related to future operations are necessarily only estimates of future results and there can be no assurance that actual results will not materially differ from expectations. Forward-looking statements represent management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. We do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

#### ***We have a history of losses and may never achieve or sustain profitability and may not continue as a going concern.***

We have never been profitable and may never become profitable. Since our incorporation in 1998, we have experienced operating losses and negative operating cash flows for each quarterly and annual period. As of October 31, 2004, we had incurred losses since our incorporation resulting in an accumulated deficit of approximately \$446.4 million. During the three months ended October 31, 2004, we had a net loss of approximately \$6.6 million. The audit report from KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, relating to our fiscal year 2004 financial statements contains KPMG's opinion that our recurring losses from operations since inception and accumulated deficit, as well as other factors, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses in the future. We also have significant fixed commitments, including with respect to real estate, bandwidth commitments, machinery and equipment leases. As a result, we can give no assurance that we will achieve profitability or be capable of sustaining profitable operations. If we are unable to reach and sustain profitability, we risk depleting our working capital balances and our business may not continue as a going concern.

#### ***We need to obtain additional financing, which may not be available on favorable terms, or at all.***

As of October 31, 2004 we had approximately \$1.0 million of cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of approximately \$37.4 million. Our outstanding balance under our Silicon Valley Bank amended accounts receivable financing agreement as of October 31, 2004 was \$20.4 million. We need to raise additional capital and such capital may not be available on favorable terms or at all. On January 22, 2004, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a Registration Statement on Form S-2 to register shares of our common stock to issue and sell in a public offering to raise additional funds. In the event we are not successful in raising capital through this public offering, we will be required to expense \$0.7 million of associated offering expenses which are presently included in prepaid expenses and other current assets on our



**Table of Contents**

October 31, 2004 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. If we do not raise additional capital, our business may not continue as a going concern.

Our projections for cash usage are based on a number of assumptions, including our ability to:

retain customers in light of market uncertainties and our uncertain future;

collect accounts receivables in a timely manner;

effectively integrate Surebridge and other recent acquisitions and realize forecasted cash savings; and

achieve other expected cash expense reductions.

Further, our projected use of cash and business results could be affected by continued market uncertainties, including delays or restrictions in information technology spending by customers or potential customers and any merger or acquisition activity.

In recent years, we have generally financed our operations with proceeds from selling shares of our stock and borrowing funds. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available on favorable terms, or at all. In addition, even if we find outside funding sources, we may be required to issue securities with greater rights than those currently possessed by holders of our common stock. We may also be required to take other actions that may lessen the value of our common stock or dilute our common stockholders, including borrowing money on terms that are not favorable to us or issuing additional equity securities. If we experience difficulties raising money in the future, our business and liquidity will be materially adversely affected.

***We may not realize all of the anticipated benefits of our recent acquisition of Surebridge.***

On June 10, 2004, pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated May 6, 2004, we completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge for three million shares of our common stock and two promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million.

The success of the acquisition depends, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated synergies, cost savings and growth and marketing opportunities from integrating the businesses of Surebridge with the businesses of NaviSite. Our success in realizing these benefits and the timing of this realization depend upon the successful integration of the technology, personnel and operations of Surebridge. The integration of two independent companies is a complex, costly and time-consuming process. The difficulties of combining the operations of the companies include, among others:

retaining key employees;

consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures;

maintaining customer service levels;

coordinating sales and marketing functions;

preserving the distribution, marketing, promotion and other important internal operations and third- party relationships of Surebridge;

minimizing the diversion of management's attention from our current business;

coordinating geographically disparate organizations and data centers; and

retaining key customers.

There can be no assurance that the integration of Surebridge with NaviSite will result in the realization of the full benefits that we anticipate in a timely manner or at all.



**Table of Contents**

***The convertible promissory notes we issued in the Surebridge acquisition may negatively affect our liquidity and our ability to obtain additional financing and operate and manage our business.***

On June 10, 2004, in connection with our acquisition of the Surebridge business, we issued two convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. We must repay the outstanding principal of the notes with all interest accrued thereon, no later than June 10, 2006. In addition, if at any time during the first six months after the date of the notes we complete certain equity or debt financings, including our proposed public offering, we are obligated to use a significant portion of the proceeds to make payments on the notes, depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing. If we receive net proceeds of less than \$20.0 million in a debt or equity financing, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds. If we receive net proceeds of between \$20.0 million and \$30.0 million, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to \$15.0 million. If we receive net proceeds in excess of \$30.0 million, then we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 50% of the net proceeds.

In addition, if we realize net proceeds in excess of \$1.0 million from certain equity or debt financings or sales of assets at any time after six months from the date the notes were issued, we are obligated to use a significant portion of the proceeds to make payments on the notes, depending on the total payments, if any, made on the notes during the first six months after the notes were issued. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding is less than \$10.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding was greater than \$15.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 50% of the net proceeds. If the amount we paid on the notes during the first six months the notes were outstanding is between \$10.0 million and \$15.0 million, we would be obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to a percentage between 50% and 75% of the net proceeds received in the financing, such percentage to be calculated in accordance with a formula set forth in the notes.

The notes, or the prepayment obligation thereon, may adversely affect our ability to raise or retain additional capital. If we commit an event of default under any of the promissory notes, which may include a default of obligations owed to other third parties, prior to the respective maturity dates of the promissory notes, then the holders of the promissory notes may declare the notes immediately due and payable, which would adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to manage our business. Furthermore, the promissory notes contain certain restrictive covenants, including with respect to our ability to incur indebtedness.

***Our common stockholders may suffer significant dilution in the future upon the conversion of outstanding securities and the issuance of additional securities in potential future acquisitions.***

The outstanding principal and accrued interest on the two Surebridge promissory notes shall be convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$4.642, at the election of the holder:

at any time following the first anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$20.0 million;

at any time following the 18-month anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$10.0 million;

at any time following the second anniversary of the closing; and

at any time following an event of default thereunder.

If the promissory notes are converted into shares of common stock, Surebridge may obtain a significant equity interest in NaviSite and other stockholders may experience significant and immediate dilution. Should Surebridge elect to convert all of the initial principal amount of its two convertible promissory notes into shares of our common stock, Surebridge would own approximately 11,466,000 shares of our common stock, which, based on our capitalization as of December 6, 2004, would be approximately 32% of our outstanding shares of common stock.

## **Table of Contents**

In addition, our stockholders will experience further dilution to the extent that additional shares of our common stock are issued in potential future acquisitions.

***Our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank includes various covenants and restrictions that may negatively affect our liquidity and our ability to operate and manage our business.***

As of October 31, 2004, we owed Silicon Valley Bank approximately \$20.4 million under our amended accounts receivable financing agreement. The accounts receivable financing agreement generally restricts or limits, among other things, our ability to:

create or incur indebtedness;

sell, or permit any lien or security interest in, any of our assets;

enter into or permit any material transaction with any of our affiliates;

merge or consolidate with any other party, or acquire all or substantially all of the capital stock or property of another party, unless, among other things, the other party is in the same, or a similar line of business as us;

relocate our principal executive office or add any new offices or business locations;

change our state of formation;

change our legal name;

make investments;

pay dividends or make any distribution or payment or redeem, retire or purchase our capital stock; and

make or permit any payment on subordinated debt or amend any provision in any document relating to any subordinated debt.

Further, the accounts receivable financing agreement requires that we maintain EBITDA of at least \$1.00 for every fiscal quarter. The agreement defines EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and excluding acquisition-related costs and one-time extraordinary charges.

If we breach our accounts receivable financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, which may be deemed to have occurred upon an event of default under the promissory notes issued in the Surebridge transaction, a default could result. A default, if not waived, could result in, among other things, us not being able to borrow additional amounts from Silicon Valley Bank and all or a portion of our outstanding amounts may become due and payable on an accelerated basis, which would adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to manage our business. A default under the accounts receivable financing agreement could also result in a cross-default under the promissory notes issued in the Surebridge transaction thereby accelerating the repayment obligation on the notes and also allowing the holder to elect to convert the principal and accrued interest thereon into shares of our common stock.

***Our limited operating history with our current operating structure makes it difficult for us and our investors to evaluate our past performance and future prospects.***

We have completed a number of acquisitions since December 2002. Until a significant period of time elapses, it will be difficult to determine if we correctly valued these acquired businesses or adequately anticipated all of the demands that our growth will impose on our personnel, procedures and structures, including our financing and reporting control systems and management structure. Our limited operating history with our current structure makes it very difficult for you and us to evaluate or predict our ability to, among other things, retain customers, generate and sustain a revenue base sufficient to meet our operating expenses, and achieve and sustain profitability.



**Table of Contents**

***A significant portion of our revenue comes from one customer and, if we lost this customer, it would have a significant adverse impact on our business results and cash flows.***

The New York State Department of Labor represented approximately 8% of our consolidated revenue for the three months ended October 31, 2004. The New York State Department of Labor has been a long-term customer of ours, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to retain this customer. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain the same level of service to this customer or that our revenue from this customer will not decline or suffer a material reduction in future periods. The New York State Department of Labor is not obligated under our agreement to buy a minimum amount of services from us or designate us as its sole supplier of any particular service. This contract with The New York State Department of Labor, and its funding allowance, expires in June 2005. Further, The New York State Department of Labor has the right to terminate this contract at any time by providing us with 60 days notice. If we were to lose this customer or suffer a material reduction in the revenue generated from this customer, it would have a significant adverse impact on our business results and cash flows.

***Atlantic Investors may have interests that conflict with the interests of our other stockholders and, as our majority stockholder, can prevent new and existing investors from influencing significant corporate decisions.***

Atlantic Investors owns approximately 61% of our outstanding capital stock as of December 6, 2004. In addition, Atlantic Investors holds a note in the principal amount of \$3.0 million due upon the earlier to occur of February 1, 2005, and five business days after our receipt of net proceeds from a financing or a sale of assets of at least \$13.0 million, after satisfying the mandatory prepayment obligations under the promissory notes issued to Surebridge. Atlantic Investors has the power, acting alone, to elect a majority of our Board of Directors and has the ability to control our management and affairs and determine the outcome of any corporate action requiring stockholder approval, regardless of how our other stockholders may vote, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets, and any other significant corporate transaction. Under Delaware law, Atlantic Investors is able to exercise its voting power by written consent, without convening a meeting of the stockholders, which means that Atlantic Investors could effect a sale or merger of us without the consent of our other stockholders. Atlantic Investors' ownership of a majority of our outstanding common stock may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of us or discouraging a potential acquiror from attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

***Members of our management group also have significant interests in Atlantic Investors, which may create conflicts of interest.***

Some of the members of our management group also serve as members of the management group of Atlantic Investors and its affiliates. Specifically, Andrew Ruhan, our Chairman of the Board, holds a 10% equity interest in Unicorn Worldwide Holdings Limited, a managing member of Atlantic Investors. Arthur Becker, our President and Chief Executive Officer, is the managing member of Madison Technology LLC, a managing member of Atlantic Investors. As a result, these NaviSite officers and directors may face potential conflicts of interest with each other and with our stockholders. They may be presented with situations in their capacity as our officers or directors that conflict with their fiduciary obligations to Atlantic Investors, which in turn may have interests that conflict with the interests of our other stockholders.

***Acquisitions may result in disruptions to our business or distractions of our management due to difficulties in integrating acquired personnel and operations, and these integrations may not proceed as planned.***

Since December 2002, we have acquired CBTM (accounted for as an as if pooling ), Avasta, Conxion, selected assets of Interliant, all of the shares of ten wholly-owned subsidiaries of CBT (accounted for as an as if pooling ), and substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge. We intend to continue to expand

**Table of Contents**

our business through the acquisition of companies, technologies, products and services. Acquisitions involve a number of special problems and risks, including:

difficulty integrating acquired technologies, products, services, operations and personnel with the existing businesses;

difficulty maintaining relationships with important third parties, including those relating to marketing alliances and providing preferred partner status and favorable pricing;

diversion of management's attention in connection with both negotiating the acquisitions and integrating the businesses;

strain on managerial and operational resources as management tries to oversee larger operations;

inability to retain and motivate management and other key personnel of the acquired businesses;

changes in management and key personnel of acquired businesses may harm relationships with the acquired businesses' customers, suppliers and employees;

exposure to unforeseen liabilities of acquired companies;

potential costly and time-consuming litigation, including stockholder lawsuits;

potential issuance of securities in connection with an acquisition with rights that are superior to the rights of holders of our common stock, or which may have a dilutive effect on our common stockholders;

the need to incur additional debt or use cash; and

the requirement to record potentially significant additional future operating costs for the amortization of intangible assets.

As a result of these problems and risks, businesses we acquire may not produce the revenues, earnings or business synergies that we anticipated, and acquired products, services or technologies might not perform as we expected. As a result, we may incur higher costs and realize lower revenues than we had anticipated. We may not be able to successfully address these problems and we cannot assure you that the acquisitions will be successfully identified and completed or that, if acquisitions are completed, the acquired businesses, products, services or technologies will generate sufficient revenue to offset the associated costs or other harmful effects on our business.

***A failure to meet customer specifications or expectations could result in lost revenues, increased expenses, negative publicity, claims for damages and harm to our reputation and cause demand for our services to decline.***

Our agreements with customers require us to meet specified service levels for the services we provide. In addition, our customers may have additional expectations about our services. Any failure to meet customers' specifications or expectations could result in:

delayed or lost revenue;

requirements to provide additional services to a customer at reduced charges or no charge;

negative publicity about us, which could adversely affect our ability to attract or retain customers; and

claims by customers for substantial damages against us, regardless of our responsibility for such failure, which may not be covered by insurance policies and which may not be limited by contractual terms of our engagement.

**Table of Contents**

***Our ability to successfully market our services could be substantially impaired if we are unable to deploy new infrastructure systems and applications or if new infrastructure systems and applications deployed by us prove to be unreliable, defective or incompatible.***

We may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of hosting and application management services in the future. If any newly introduced infrastructure systems and applications suffer from reliability, quality or compatibility problems, market acceptance of our services could be greatly hindered and our ability to attract new customers could be significantly reduced. We cannot assure you that new applications deployed by us will be free from any reliability, quality or compatibility problems. If we incur increased costs or are unable, for technical or other reasons, to host and manage new infrastructure systems and applications or enhancements of existing applications, our ability to successfully market our services could be substantially limited.

***Any interruptions in, or degradation of, our private transit Internet connections could result in the loss of customers or hinder our ability to attract new customers.***

Our customers rely on our ability to move their digital content as efficiently as possible to the people accessing their Web sites and infrastructure systems and applications. We utilize our direct private transit Internet connections to major network providers, such as Level 3, Internap, WilTel and XO Communications, as a means of avoiding congestion and resulting performance degradation at public Internet exchange points. We rely on these telecommunications network suppliers to maintain the operational integrity of their networks so that our private transit Internet connections operate effectively. If our private transit Internet connections are interrupted or degraded, we may face claims by, or lose, customers, and our reputation in the industry may be harmed, which may cause demand for our services to decline.

***If we are unable to maintain existing and develop additional relationships with software vendors, the sales and marketing of our service offerings may be unsuccessful.***

We believe that to penetrate the market for hosting and application management services we must maintain existing and develop additional relationships with industry-leading software vendors. We license or lease select software applications from software vendors, including IBM, Microsoft, Micromuse and Oracle. Our relationships with Microsoft and PeopleSoft are critical to the operations and success of our recently acquired business from Surebridge. The loss of our ability to continually obtain, utilize or depend on any of these applications or relationships could substantially weaken our ability to provide services to our customers or require us to obtain substitute software applications that may be of lower quality or performance standards or at greater cost. In addition, because we generally license applications on a non-exclusive basis, our competitors may license and utilize the same software applications. In fact, many of the companies with which we have strategic relationships currently have, or could enter into, similar license agreements with our competitors or prospective competitors. We cannot assure you that software applications will continue to be available to us from software vendors on commercially reasonable terms. If we are unable to identify and license software applications that meet our targeted criteria for new application introductions, we may have to discontinue or delay introduction of services relating to these applications.

***Our network infrastructure could fail, which would impair our ability to provide guaranteed levels of service and could result in significant operating losses.***

To provide our customers with guaranteed levels of service, we must operate our network infrastructure 24 hours a day, seven days a week without interruption. We must, therefore, protect our network infrastructure, equipment and customer files against damage from human error, natural disasters, unexpected equipment failure, power loss or telecommunications failures, terrorism, sabotage or other intentional acts of vandalism. Even if we take precautions, the occurrence of a natural disaster, equipment failure or other unanticipated problem at one or more of our data centers could result in interruptions in the services we provide to our customers. We cannot assure you that our disaster recovery plan will address all, or even most,



## **Table of Contents**

of the problems we may encounter in the event of a disaster or other unanticipated problem. We have experienced service interruptions in the past, and any future service interruptions could:

require us to spend substantial amounts of money to replace equipment or facilities;

entitle customers to claim service credits or seek damages for losses under our service level guarantees;

cause customers to seek alternate providers; or

impede our ability to attract new customers, retain current customers or enter into additional strategic relationships.

***Our dependence on third parties increases the risk that we will not be able to meet our customers' needs for software, systems and services on a timely or cost-effective basis, which could result in the loss of customers.***

Our services and infrastructure rely on products and services of third-party providers. We purchase key components of our infrastructure, including networking equipment, from a limited number of suppliers, such as IBM, Cisco Systems and F5 Networks. Our recently acquired business from Surebridge relies on products and services of Microsoft and PeopleSoft. There can be no assurance that we will not experience operational problems attributable to the installation, implementation, integration, performance, features or functionality of third-party software, systems and services. We cannot assure you that we will have the necessary hardware or parts on hand or that our suppliers will be able to provide them in a timely manner in the event of equipment failure. Our ability to obtain and continue to maintain the necessary hardware or parts on a timely basis could result in sustained equipment failure and a loss of revenue due to customer loss or claims for service credits under our service level guarantees.

***We could be subject to increased operating costs, as well as claims, litigation or other potential liability, in connection with risks associated with Internet security and the security of our systems.***

A significant barrier to the growth of e-commerce and communications over the Internet has been the need for secure transmission of confidential information. Several of our infrastructure systems and application services utilize encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the protections necessary to ensure secure transmission of confidential information. We also rely on security systems designed by third parties and the personnel in our network operations centers to secure those data centers. Any unauthorized access, computer viruses, accidental or intentional actions and other disruptions could result in increased operating costs. For example, we may incur additional significant costs to protect against these interruptions and the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by such interruptions or breaches. If a third party were able to misappropriate a consumer's personal or proprietary information, including credit card information, during the use of an application solution provided by us, we could be subject to claims, litigation or other potential liability.

***Third-party infringement claims against our technology suppliers, customers or us could result in disruptions in service, the loss of customers or costly and time-consuming litigation.***

We license or lease most technologies used in the infrastructure systems and application services that we offer. Our technology suppliers may become subject to third-party infringement or other claims and assertions, which could result in their inability or unwillingness to continue to license their technologies to us. We cannot assure you that third parties will not assert claims against us in the future or that these claims will not be successful. Any infringement claim as to our technologies or services, regardless of its merit, could result in delays in service, installation or upgrades, the loss of customers or costly and time-consuming litigation.

***We may be subject to legal claims in connection with the information disseminated through our network, which could divert management's attention and require us to expend significant financial resources.***

We may face potential direct and indirect liability for claims of defamation, negligence, copyright, patent or trademark infringement and other claims based on the nature and content of the materials disseminated

## **Table of Contents**

through our network. For example, lawsuits may be brought against us claiming that content distributed by some of our current or future customers may be regulated or banned. In these and other instances, we may be required to engage in protracted and expensive litigation that could have the effect of diverting management's attention from our business and require us to expend significant financial resources. Our general liability insurance may not cover any of these claims or may not be adequate to protect us against all liability that may be imposed. In addition, on a limited number of occasions in the past, businesses, organizations and individuals have sent unsolicited commercial e-mails from servers hosted at our facilities to a number of people, typically to advertise products or services. This practice, known as spamming, can lead to statutory liability as well as complaints against service providers that enable such activities, particularly where recipients view the materials received as offensive. We have in the past received, and may in the future receive, letters from recipients of information transmitted by our customers objecting to such transmission. Although we prohibit our customers by contract from spamming, we cannot assure you that our customers will not engage in this practice, which could subject us to claims for damages.

***If we fail to attract or retain key officers, management and technical personnel, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy or to continue to provide services and technical support to our customers could be adversely affected and we may not be successful in attracting new customers.***

We believe that attracting, training, retaining and motivating technical and managerial personnel, including individuals with significant levels of infrastructure systems and application expertise, is a critical component of the future success of our business. Qualified technical personnel are likely to remain a limited resource for the foreseeable future and competition for these personnel is intense. The departure of any of our executive officers, particularly Arthur P. Becker, our Chief Executive Officer and President, or core members of our sales and marketing teams or technical service personnel, would have negative ramifications on our customer relations and operations, including adversely affecting the stability of our infrastructure and our ability to provide the guaranteed service levels our customers expect. Any officer or employee can terminate his or her relationship with us at any time. In addition, we do not carry life insurance on any of our personnel. Over the past two years, we have had significant reductions-in-force due to redundancies and restructurings resulting from the consolidation of our acquired companies. We have also had a number of departures of several members of senior management due primarily to the change of control of NaviSite on September 11, 2002. In the event future reductions or departures of employees occur, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy, or to continue to provide services to our customers or attract new customers, could be adversely affected.

***The unpredictability of our quarterly results may cause the trading price of our common stock to fluctuate or decline.***

Our quarterly operating results may vary significantly from quarter-to-quarter and period-to-period as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control and any one of which may cause our stock price to fluctuate. The primary factors that may affect our operating results include the following:

- reduction of market demand and/or acceptance of our services;
- oversupply of data center space in the industry;
- our ability to develop, market and introduce new services on a timely basis;
- the length of the sales cycle for our services;
- the timing and size of sales of our services, which depends on the budgets of our customers;
- downward price adjustments by our competitors;
- changes in the mix of services provided by our competitors;
- technical difficulties or system downtime affecting the Internet or our hosting operations;

**Table of Contents**

our ability to meet any increased technological demands of our customers; and

the amount and timing of costs related to our marketing efforts and service introductions.

Due to the above factors, we believe that quarter-to-quarter or period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be a good indicator of our future performance. Our operating results for any particular quarter may fall short of our expectations or those of stockholders or securities analysts. In this event, the trading price of our common stock would likely fall.

***If we are unsuccessful in pending and potential litigation matters, our financial condition may be adversely affected.***

We are currently involved in various pending and potential legal proceedings, including a class action lawsuit related to our initial public offering, an arbitration matter involving the former stockholders of Avasta and counterclaims by the defendant in a suit in which we are the plaintiff. If we are ultimately unsuccessful in any of these matters, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of cash and/or shares of our common stock to the other parties. The amount and timing of any such payments could adversely affect our financial condition.

***If the markets for outsourced information technology infrastructure and applications, Internet commerce and communication decline, there may be insufficient demand for our services and, as a result, our business strategy and objectives may fail.***

The increased use of the Internet for retrieving, sharing and transferring information among businesses and consumers is developing, and the market for the purchase of products and services over the Internet is still relatively new and emerging. Our industry has experienced periods of rapid growth, followed by a sharp decline in demand for products and services, which related to the failure in the last few years of many companies focused on developing Internet-related businesses. If acceptance and growth of the Internet as a medium for commerce and communication declines, our business strategy and objectives may fail because there may not be sufficient market demand for our hosting and application management services.

***If we do not respond to rapid changes in the technology sector, we will lose customers.***

The markets for the technology-related services we offer are characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, frequent new service introductions, shifting distribution channels and changing customer demands. We may not be able to adequately adapt our services or to acquire new services that can compete successfully. In addition, we may not be able to establish and maintain effective distribution channels. We risk losing customers to our competitors if we are unable to adapt to this rapidly evolving marketplace.

***The market in which we operate is highly competitive and is likely to consolidate, and we may lack the financial and other resources, expertise or capability needed to capture increased market share or maintain market share.***

We compete in the hosting and application management services market. This market is rapidly evolving, highly competitive and likely to be characterized by over-capacity and industry consolidation. Our competitors may consolidate with one another or acquire software application vendors or technology providers, enabling them to more effectively compete with us. Many participants in this market have suffered significantly in the last several years. We believe that participants in this market must grow rapidly and achieve a significant presence to compete effectively. This consolidation could affect prices and other competitive factors in ways that would impede our ability to compete successfully in the hosting and application management services market.

**Table of Contents**

Further, our business is not as developed as that of many of our competitors. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and market resources, greater name recognition and more established relationships in the industry. Many of our competitors may be able to:

develop and expand their network infrastructure and service offerings more rapidly;

adapt to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements more quickly;

take advantage of acquisitions and other opportunities more readily; or

devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their services and adopt more aggressive pricing policies than we can.

We may lack the financial and other resources, expertise or capability needed to maintain or capture increased market share in this environment in the future. Because of these competitive factors and due to our comparatively small size and our lack of financial resources, we may be unable to successfully compete in the hosting and application management services market.

***The emergence and growth of a market for our hosting and managed application services will be impaired if third parties do not continue to develop and improve Internet infrastructure.***

The recent growth in the use of the Internet has caused frequent periods of performance degradation, requiring the upgrade of routers and switches, telecommunications links and other components forming the infrastructure of the Internet. Any perceived degradation in the performance of the Internet as a means to transact business and communicate could undermine the benefits and market acceptance of our services. Consequently, the market for our services will be impaired if improvements are not made to the entire Internet infrastructure to alleviate overloading and congestion.

***Difficulties presented by international economic, political, legal, accounting and business factors could harm our business in international markets.***

We operate a data center in the United Kingdom and revenue from our foreign operations accounted for approximately 5% of our total revenue during the three months ended October 31, 2004. Although we expect to focus most of our growth efforts in the United States, we may enter into joint ventures or outsourcing agreements with third parties, acquire complementary businesses or operations, or establish and maintain new operations outside of the United States. Some risks inherent in conducting business internationally include:

unexpected changes in regulatory, tax and political environments;

longer payment cycles and problems collecting accounts receivable;

geopolitical risks such as political and economic instability and the possibility of hostilities among countries;

reduced protection of intellectual property rights;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates;

our ability to secure and maintain the necessary physical and telecommunications infrastructure;

challenges in staffing and managing foreign operations;

employment laws and practices in foreign countries; and

laws and regulations on content distributed over the Internet that are more restrictive than those currently in place in the United States. Any one or more of these factors could adversely affect our contemplated future international operations and consequently, our business.



**Table of Contents**

***We may become subject to burdensome government regulation and legal uncertainties that could substantially harm our business or expose us to unanticipated liabilities.***

It is likely that laws and regulations directly applicable to the Internet or to hosting and managed application service providers may be adopted. These laws may cover a variety of issues, including user privacy and the pricing, characteristics and quality of products and services. The adoption or modification of laws or regulations relating to commerce over the Internet could substantially impair the growth of our business or expose us to unanticipated liabilities. Moreover, the applicability of existing laws to the Internet and hosting and managed application service providers is uncertain. These existing laws could expose us to substantial liability if they are found to be applicable to our business. For example, we provide services over the Internet in many states in the United States and elsewhere and facilitate the activities of our customers in such jurisdictions. As a result, we may be required to qualify to do business, be subject to taxation or be subject to other laws and regulations in these jurisdictions, even if we do not have a physical presence, employees or property in those states.

***The price of our common stock has been volatile, and may continue to experience wide fluctuations.***

Since January 1, 2004, our common stock has closed as low as \$1.41 per share and as high as \$7.30 per share. The trading price of our common stock has been and may continue to be subject to wide fluctuations due to the risk factors discussed in this section and elsewhere in this report. Should the market price of our common stock be below \$1.00 per share for an extended period, Nasdaq may delist our common stock, which would have an adverse effect on the trading of our common stock. On June 10, 2002, the listing of our common stock transferred from the Nasdaq National Market to the Nasdaq SmallCap Market because the market price of our common stock had failed to maintain compliance with the Nasdaq National Market's minimum \$1.00 per share continued listing requirement. A delisting of our common stock from Nasdaq could materially reduce the liquidity of our common stock and result in a corresponding material reduction in the price of our common stock. In addition, any such delisting could harm our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and may result in the potential loss of confidence by suppliers, customers and employees.

***Anti-takeover provisions in our corporate documents may discourage or prevent a takeover.***

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our by-laws may have the effect of delaying or preventing an acquisition or merger in which we are acquired or a transaction that changes our Board of Directors. These provisions:

authorize the board to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval;

prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors;

limit the persons who may call special meetings of stockholders; and

establish advance notice requirements for nominations for the election of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

**Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

We do not enter into financial instruments for trading purposes. We do not use derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments in our investment portfolio or enter into hedging transactions. Our exposure to market risk associated with risk-sensitive instruments entered into for purposes other than trading purposes is not material to NaviSite. We currently have no significant foreign operations and therefore face no material foreign currency exchange rate risk.

**Item 4. *Controls and Procedures***

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* Based on management's evaluation (with the participation of NaviSite's principal executive officer and principal financial officer) as of the end of the period

**Table of Contents**

covered by this report, NaviSite's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that NaviSite's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act)) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by NaviSite in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

*Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.* There was no change in NaviSite's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, NaviSite's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II: OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*  
IPO Securities Litigation**

On or about June 13, 2001, Stuart Werman and Lynn McFarlane filed a lawsuit against us, BancBoston Robertson Stephens, an underwriter of our initial public offering in October 1999, Joel B. Rosen, our then chief executive officer, and Kenneth W. Hale, our then chief financial officer. The suit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by not disclosing certain actions allegedly taken by Robertson Stephens in connection with our initial public offering. The suit alleges specifically that Robertson Stephens, in exchange for the allocation to its customers of shares of our common stock sold in our initial public offering, solicited and received from its customers agreements to purchase additional shares of our common stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Three other substantially similar lawsuits were filed between June 15, 2001 and July 10, 2001 by Moses Mayer (filed June 15, 2001), Barry Feldman (filed June 19, 2001), and Binh Nguyen (filed July 10, 2001). Robert E. Eisenberg, our president at the time of the initial public offering in 1999, also was named as a defendant in the Nguyen lawsuit.

On or about June 21, 2001, David Federico filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York a lawsuit against us, Mr. Rosen, Mr. Hale, Robertson Stephens and other underwriter defendants including J.P. Morgan Chase, First Albany Companies, Inc., Bank of America Securities, LLC, Bear Stearns & Co., Inc., B.T. Alex. Brown, Inc., Chase Securities, Inc., CIBC World Markets, Credit Suisse First Boston Corp., Dain Rauscher, Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., J.P. Morgan & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Lehman Brothers, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., Robert Fleming, Inc. and Salomon Smith Barney, Inc. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated the anti-trust laws and the federal securities laws by conspiring and agreeing to raise and increase the compensation received by the underwriter defendants by requiring those who received allocation of initial public offering stock to agree to purchase shares of manipulated securities in the after-market of the initial public offering at escalating price levels designed to inflate the price of the manipulated stock, thus artificially creating an appearance of demand and high prices for that stock, and initial public offering stock in general, leading to further stock offerings. The suit also alleges that the defendants arranged for the underwriter defendants to receive undisclosed and excessive brokerage commissions and that, as a consequence, the underwriter defendants successfully increased investor interest in the manipulated initial public offering of securities and increased the underwriter defendants individual and collective underwritings, compensation, and revenue. The suit further alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing and selling securities pursuant to the initial public offering without disclosing to investors that the underwriter defendants in the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received excessive and undisclosed commissions from certain investors. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and June 12, 2001.

Those five cases, along with lawsuits naming more than 300 other issuers and over 50 investment banks which have been sued in substantially similar lawsuits, have been assigned to the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin (the Court) for all pretrial purposes (the IPO Securities Litigation). On September 6, 2001, the Court entered an order consolidating the five individual cases involving us and designating Werman v. NaviSite, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 01-CV-5374 as the lead case. A consolidated, amended complaint was filed thereafter on April 19, 2002 (the Class Action Litigation) on behalf of plaintiffs Arvid Brandstrom and Tony Tse against underwriter defendants Robertson Stephens (as successor-in-interest to BancBoston), BancBoston, J.P. Morgan (as successor-in-interest to Hambrecht & Quist), Hambrecht & Quist and First Albany and against us and Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg (collectively, the NaviSite Defendants). Plaintiffs uniformly allege that all defendants, including the NaviSite Defendants, violated the federal securities laws (i.e., Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act



## **Table of Contents**

and Rule 10b-5) by issuing and selling our common stock pursuant to the October 22, 1999, initial public offering, without disclosing to investors that some of the underwriters of the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received extensive and undisclosed agreements from certain investors to purchase aftermarket shares at pre-arranged, escalating prices and also to receive additional commissions and/or other compensation from those investors. At this time, plaintiffs have not specified the amount of damages they are seeking in the Class Action Litigation.

Between July and September 2002, the parties to the IPO Securities Litigation briefed motions to dismiss filed by the underwriter defendants and the issuer defendants, including NaviSite. On November 1, 2002, the Court held oral argument on the motions to dismiss. The plaintiffs have since agreed to dismiss the claims against Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg without prejudice, in return for their agreement to toll any statute of limitations applicable to those claims. By stipulation entered by the Court on November 18, 2002, Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg were dismissed without prejudice from the Class Action Litigation. On February 19, 2003, an opinion and order was issued on defendants' motion to dismiss the IPO Securities Litigation, essentially denying the motions to dismiss of all 55 underwriter defendants and of 185 of the 301 issuer defendants, including NaviSite.

On June 30, 2003, our Board of Directors considered and authorized us to negotiate a settlement of the pending Class Action Litigation substantially consistent with a memorandum of understanding negotiated among class plaintiffs, the issuer defendants and the insurers for such issuer defendants. Among other contingencies, any such settlement would be subject to approval by the Court. Plaintiffs filed on June 14, 2004, a motion for preliminary approval of the Stipulation And Agreement Of Settlement With Defendant Issuers And Individuals (the Preliminary Approval Motion). The Preliminary Approval Motion has been fully briefed but the Court has not ruled upon or set a date for oral argument, if any, on the Preliminary Approval Motion. If completed and then approved by the Court, the settlement is expected to be covered by our existing insurance policies and is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We believe that the allegations against us are without merit and, if the settlement is not finalized, we intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' claims. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of the suits and their ultimate effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### **Joseph Cloonan**

On or about September 27, 2002, we received a demand for a wage payment of \$850,000 from our former Procurement Director, Joseph Cloonan. We rejected the demand, alleging that Mr. Cloonan's claim is based, among other things, on a potentially fraudulent contract. Mr. Cloonan also claimed \$40,300 for allegedly unpaid accrued vacation and bonuses and that he may be statutorily entitled to treble damages and legal fees. On October 11, 2002, NaviSite filed a civil complaint with the Massachusetts Superior Court, Essex County, seeking a declaratory judgment and asserting claims against Mr. Cloonan for civil fraud, misrepresentation, unjust enrichment and breach of duty of loyalty. Mr. Cloonan asserted counter claims against NaviSite seeking the payments set forth in his September 2002 demand. The discovery phase of the case has concluded and the parties may serve dispositive motions through December 2004. We believe Mr. Cloonan's allegations are without merit and intend to vigorously defend them. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of this matter and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### **Lighthouse International**

On October 28, 2002, CBTM, one of our subsidiaries, filed a complaint in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Lighthouse International, alleging six causes of action for copyright infringement, breach of contract, account stated, unjust enrichment, unfair competition, and misappropriation and/or conversion. The total claimed damages are in the amount of approximately

**Table of Contents**

\$1.9 million. On or about January 16, 2003, Lighthouse filed and served its answer and counterclaimed against CBTM claiming approximately \$3.1 million in damages and \$5.0 million in punitive relief.

On June 17, 2003, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York heard oral argument on Lighthouse's Motion for an Order Compelling the Debtor (AppliedTheory) to Assume or Reject an Agreement, filed in response to CBTM's complaint, and the objections to Lighthouse's motion filed by CBTM and AppliedTheory. Lighthouse made this motion on the basis that it never received notice of CBTM assuming the AppliedTheory contract for the LighthouseLink Web site. The Bankruptcy Court declined to grant Lighthouse's motion, and instead ordered that an evidentiary hearing be conducted to determine whether Lighthouse received appropriate notice of the proposed assignment of the contract by AppliedTheory to CBTM. The Bankruptcy Court ordered that the parties first conduct discovery, and upon completion of discovery, the Bankruptcy Court would schedule an evidentiary hearing on the issues of due process and notice.

As to the U.S. District Court matter, the exchange of written discovery and the majority of depositions of witnesses have been completed. On June 15, 2004, District Court Judge Pauley determined that both parties could proceed with their respective summary judgment motions. All motion papers were to be submitted by September 20, 2004, with oral argument scheduled for October 15, 2004.

On August 4, 2004, however, upon the application of CBTM, Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber preliminarily enjoined Lighthouse from asserting claims or counterclaims against CBTM relating to the Lighthouse contract or any assets acquired by CBTM from AppliedTheory pursuant to the sale order, except for the purpose and to the extent necessary to setoff claims brought by CBTM against Lighthouse relating to the Lighthouse contract. As a result, Lighthouse is limited to seeking only those pre- and post- bankruptcy counterclaims that may constitute as set-offs against the claims asserted by CBTM. Subsequent to issuing the injunction order, Bankruptcy Judge Gerber held several conferences urging the parties to submit their dispute to court-ordered mediation. In conjunction with the Bankruptcy Court's request, District Court Judge Pauley ordered a stay of all remaining expert discovery and motion procedures pending the participation and completion of mediation as requested by Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber. The matter was then transferred to mediation by order of the Courts.

In September, 2004, the parties selected Harvey A. Stricken, Esq. as mediator to the dispute. On October 6, 2004, the mediation was held with no particular outcome. Mr. Stricken has asked for and the parties have agreed to participate in a second mediation session which has not yet been scheduled. By order of Judge Pauley, the stay of expert discovery and motion procedures shall continue until February 4, 2005 to give the parties time to complete the mediation. Because of the uncertain outcome of such mediation, we are unable to predict the possible outcome of this matter, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Avasta Earnout**

On October 14, 2003, we received a letter purportedly on behalf of the former stockholders of Avasta, Inc. relating to the issuance of additional shares of common stock pursuant to the earnout calculations pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated as of January 29, 2003 among Avasta Acquisition Corp., Avasta and NaviSite. On December 11, 2003, a demand for arbitration before JAMS (formerly known as Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services) was filed by Convergence Associates, Inc. (Convergence Associates) on behalf of substantially all of the former shareholders of Avasta claiming among other things breach of contract, tortious conduct, fraud and other wrongful conduct. Damages sought included in excess of 782,790 shares of our common stock. On September 30, 2004, the arbitrator issued a decision with respect to the demand for arbitration. The arbitrator found that we breached our obligations under the Agreement and ordered us to issue to the former Avasta shareholders, or their designee, an aggregate of 321,880 shares of our common stock. In addition, the arbitrator determined that, as the prevailing party, Convergence Associates is entitled to recover from us its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements. On October 11, 2004, Convergence Associates submitted its application for reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements in the range of approximately \$750,957 to \$957,000. We filed an

**Table of Contents**

objection to Convergence Associates' proposed fees on October 25, 2004. Convergence Associates responded to our objection on November 2, 2004. A hearing is scheduled to occur on January 18, 2005. When the arbitrator makes the final award of fees, that order, together with the decision issued on September 30, 2004, will constitute the final, non-appealable award of the arbitrator.

**Engage Bankruptcy Trustee Claim**

On September 9, 2004, Don Hoy, Craig R. Jalbert and David St. Pierre, as trustees of and on behalf of the Engage, Inc. creditor trust, filed suit against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the District of Massachusetts. The suit generally relates to a termination agreement, dated March 7, 2002, we entered into with Engage, Inc. (a company then affiliated with CMGI, Inc.), which terminated a services agreement between us and Engage and required Engage to pay us \$3.6 million. Engage made three payments to us under the termination agreement in the aggregate amount of \$3.4 million. On June 19, 2003, Engage and five of its wholly-owned subsidiaries filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The suit generally alleges that Engage was insolvent at the time that we entered into the termination agreement with Engage and at the time Engage made the payments to us. Specifically, the suit alleges that (i) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$1.0 million paid by Engage to us in the year prior to June 19, 2003 as a preferential transfer, (ii) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$3.4 million (which amount includes the \$1.0 million payment made prior to June 13, 2003) paid by Engage to us as a fraudulent transfer, and (iii) our acts and omissions relating to the termination agreement and the payments made by Engage to us constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices in willful and knowing violation of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A. In addition to the foregoing amounts, the plaintiffs are also seeking treble damages, attorneys' fees and costs under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A. On November 19, 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss as a matter of law claims (ii) and (iii) in the complaint. This motion is in the briefing stages and has not yet been determined by the Court. As this matter is in the initial stage, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of this matter and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, except that we believe we have certain meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint which we intend to assert vigorously.

**Item 5. *Other Information***

During the quarter ended October 31, 2004, we made no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors, as described in our most recent proxy statement.

**Item 6. *Exhibits***

The Exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such Exhibits are filed with or incorporated by reference in this report.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

December 15, 2004

NAVISITE, INC.

By: /s/ JOHN J. GAVIN, JR.

-----  
John J. Gavin, Jr.  
*Chief Financial Officer (Principal  
Financial and Accounting Officer)*

**Table of Contents****EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.1	Joinder Agreement dated as of December 7, 2004 by and between Silicon Valley Bank and the Registrant, ClearBlue Technologies Management, Inc., Avasta, Inc., Conxion Corporation, Intrepid Acquisition Corp., Lexington Acquisition Corp., Surebridge Services, Inc., Surebridge Acquisition Corp. and ManagedOps.com, Inc.
10.2	Joinder Agreement dated as of December 7, 2004 by and between Silicon Valley Bank and the Registrant, ClearBlue Technologies Management, Inc., Avasta, Inc., Conxion Corporation, Intrepid Acquisition Corp., Lexington Acquisition Corp., Surebridge Services, Inc., Surebridge Acquisition Corp., ManagedOps.com, ClearBlue Technologies/ Chicago-Wells, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Las Vegas, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Milwaukee, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Los Angeles, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Oakbrook, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Vienna, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/New York, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Santa Clara, Inc., ClearBlue Technologies/ Dallas, Inc., and ClearBlue Technologies/ San Francisco, Inc.
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.