

CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS INC

Form 10-Q

November 05, 2004

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-23067

CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts
(State of incorporation)

04-2710876
(IRS Employer Identification Number)

600 Nickerson Road
Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752
(508) 460-4646
(Address and telephone of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

18,308,575 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding as of October 29, 2004.

CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

FORM 10-Q, September 30, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 13 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 45 |
| <u>PART II: OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 47 |
| <u>SIGNATURE</u> | 48 |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | 49 |
| <u>31.01 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF CEO</u> | |
| <u>31.02 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF CFO</u> | |
| <u>32.01 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF CEO</u> | |
| <u>32.02 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF CFO</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)**
(In thousands, except share data)

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 22,934 | \$ 69,436 |
| Marketable securities | 140,370 | 92,455 |
| Restricted cash | 65 | 194 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,349 and \$1,050 at September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively | 22,168 | 22,194 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 1,456 | 4,638 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 4,130 | 4,851 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total current assets | 191,123 | 193,768 |
| Equipment and improvements, net | 6,306 | 6,697 |
| Goodwill | 6,225 | 6,225 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 2,394 | 3,004 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 8,631 | 4,962 |
| Unamortized debt issuance costs and other long-term assets | 3,075 | 3,770 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$217,754</u> | <u>\$218,426</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 2,547 | \$ 5,218 |
| Accrued expenses | 12,010 | 12,627 |
| Deferred revenue | 28,138 | 26,490 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total current liabilities | 42,695 | 44,335 |
| Convertible senior notes | 86,250 | 86,250 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total liabilities | <u>128,945</u> | <u>130,585</u> |

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 5)

Stockholders' Equity:

Common stock, \$0.01 par value:

Authorized - 50,000,000 shares issued and outstanding
18,307,402 and 18,121,211 shares at September 30, 2004 and

December 31, 2003, respectively

Additional paid-in capital

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Accumulated deficit

| | |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| 183 | 181 |
| 113,323 | 111,651 |
| 29 | 816 |
| (24,726) | (24,807) |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Total stockholders' equity

| | |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| 88,809 | 87,841 |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Total liability and stockholders' equity

| | |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| \$217,754 | \$218,426 |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)****(In thousands, except per share and share data)**

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| License revenues | \$ 12,636 | \$ 13,683 | \$ 35,578 | \$ 39,746 |
| Service revenues | 14,261 | 12,877 | 41,869 | 36,546 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total revenues | 26,897 | 26,560 | 77,447 | 76,292 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Costs of Revenues: | | | | |
| Cost of license revenues | 902 | 826 | 2,572 | 2,098 |
| Cost of service revenues | 4,429 | 4,085 | 12,741 | 12,172 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total cost of revenues | 5,331 | 4,911 | 15,313 | 14,270 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Gross profit | 21,566 | 21,649 | 62,134 | 62,022 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 5,990 | 5,911 | 17,742 | 16,794 |
| Sales and marketing | 12,607 | 12,490 | 36,516 | 36,434 |
| General and administrative | 2,886 | 2,156 | 8,264 | 6,462 |
| Acquisition related charges | | 40 | | 40 |
| Acquired in-process research and development | | 994 | 100 | 994 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total operating expenses | 21,483 | 21,591 | 62,622 | 60,724 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Operating income (loss) | 83 | 58 | (488) | 1,298 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Other Income: | | | | |
| Interest income | 1,105 | 684 | 3,267 | 2,110 |
| Interest expense | (821) | | (2,463) | |
| Other expense | (33) | (168) | (222) | (538) |

Edgar Filing: CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total other income, net | 251 | 516 | 582 | 1,572 |
| Income before income taxes | 334 | 574 | 94 | 2,870 |
| Provision for income taxes | 104 | 93 | 13 | 355 |
| Net income | \$ 230 | \$ 481 | \$ 81 | \$ 2,515 |
| Net income per common and potential common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.14 |
| Weighted average common and potential common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 18,303,533 | 17,500,200 | 18,240,493 | 17,375,680 |
| Diluted | 18,456,498 | 18,238,417 | 18,705,474 | 17,916,872 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)****(In thousands)**

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 81 | \$ 2,515 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,355 | 3,966 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 48 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 623 | |
| Deferred income taxes | 39 | |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 26 | (2,080) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 721 | 667 |
| Other assets | 72 | (82) |
| Accounts payable | (2,671) | (530) |
| Accrued expenses | (617) | 1,549 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,648 | 2,499 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,277 | 8,552 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of equipment and improvements | (2,354) | (2,435) |
| Purchases of marketable securities | (78,250) | (27,092) |
| Proceeds from maturities and sale of marketable securities | 29,022 | 21,541 |
| Deposit of restricted cash | (65) | |
| Release of restricted cash | 194 | 450 |
| Acquisition of business net of cash acquired | | (4,968) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (51,453) | (12,504) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 1,674 | 1,740 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,674 | 1,740 |

| | | |
|--|------------|----------|
| | _____ | _____ |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (46,502) | (2,212) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 69,436 | 10,362 |
| | _____ | _____ |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 22,934 | \$ 8,150 |
| | _____ | _____ |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: | | |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 552 | \$ 352 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Noncash Investing Transactions: | | |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities | \$ (1,313) | \$ (626) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Concord Communications, Inc. (the Company or Concord) in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) for interim financial statements and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X pertaining to interim financial statements. Accordingly, these interim financial statements do not include all information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These financial statements reflect all adjustments and accruals of a normal recurring nature, which management considers necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, and the Company's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003. The results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any future period. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 15, 2004.

(b) Financial Instruments, Concentration of Credit Risk and Significant Customers

The Company maintains an allowance for potential credit losses but historically has not experienced any significant losses related to individual customers or groups of customers in any particular industry or geographic area. No individual customer accounted for more than 10% of revenues for either the three or nine months ended September 30, 2004 or 2003. One customer, located in the United States, accounted for 10.2% of the Company's accounts receivable at September 30, 2004. No individual customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's accounts receivable at December 31, 2003.

(c) Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses forward contracts to reduce its exposure to foreign currency risk and variability in operating results due to fluctuations in exchange rates underlying the value of accounts receivable denominated in foreign currencies until such receivables are collected. A forward contract obligates the Company to exchange predetermined amounts of specified foreign currencies at specified exchange rates on specified dates. These foreign currency forward exchange contracts are denominated in the same currency in which the underlying foreign currency receivables are denominated and bear a contract value and maturity date that approximate the value and expected settlement date, respectively, of the underlying transactions. For contracts that are designated and effective as hedges, unrealized gains and losses on open contracts at the end of each accounting period, resulting from changes in the fair value of these contracts, are recognized in earnings in the same period as gains and losses on the underlying foreign denominated receivables are recognized and generally offset. Gains and losses on forward contracts and foreign denominated receivables are included in other income (expense), net. The Company does not enter into or hold derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enters into contracts with highly rated financial institutions. At September 30, 2004, the Company did not have any forward contracts outstanding.

(d) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for employee stock-based compensation arrangements under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 permits the use of either a fair-value based method or the intrinsic value method under APB No. 25 to account for employee stock-based compensation arrangements. Companies that elect to use the intrinsic value method provided in APB No. 25 are required to disclose the pro forma net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share that would have resulted from the use of the fair value method. The Company has provided below the pro forma disclosures of the effect on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share as if SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, had been applied in measuring compensation expense for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Net income: | | | | |
| As reported | \$ 230 | \$ 481 | \$ 81 | \$ 2,515 |
| Add: | | | | |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income | | 14 | | 48 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (1,618) | (1,352) | (5,373) | (4,532) |
| Pro forma net loss | <u>\$ (1,388)</u> | <u>\$ (857)</u> | <u>\$ (5,292)</u> | <u>\$ (1,969)</u> |
| Basic net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.14 |
| Pro forma | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.14 |
| Pro forma | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.11) |

(e) Restricted Cash

Restricted cash totaling \$65,000 and \$194,000 at September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively, consists of money market funds held in the Company's name with a major financial institution. The balance as of September 30, 2004 is being used as collateral for a lease of one of the Company's facilities and will expire in May 2005. The December 31, 2003 balance was being used as collateral under a letter of credit arrangement with a Company supplier and expired in May 2004.

(f) Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2003 condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2004 presentation.

2. ACQUISITION OF NETVIZ CORPORATION

On July 17, 2003, the Company completed the acquisition of netViz Corporation (netViz). netViz's software enables users to visualize business processes and allows them to map relationships within the supporting technology

infrastructure through data-driven icons. The integration of netViz's technologies with Concord's eHealth® Suite will provide a new, more automated means of application service optimization. This integration will enable enterprises and service providers to employ data-driven icons to visualize and take action on the critical relationships between business processes, application services, and network and system infrastructures. Furthermore, this will allow customers to measure the performance and availability of application services, map the dependencies between business processes, and manage the complete application service. We believe that integrating netViz technologies will further increase the eHealth® Suite's value proposition by capturing the business context of information and delivering IT knowledge.

The results of operations of the acquired business have been included in the financial statements of the Company since the date of acquisition.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects unaudited pro forma results of operations of the Company assuming that the netViz acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2003:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2003 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| | (in thousands, except per share data) | |
| Revenues | \$ 26,728 | \$ 78,296 |
| Net income | \$ 401 | \$ 2,265 |
| Net income per diluted share | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.13 |

The unaudited pro forma results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the actual results that would have occurred had the transaction actually taken place at the beginning of these periods.

3. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

All of the Company's goodwill resulted from the acquisition of netViz (see Note 2). The goodwill is tested for impairment on June 30th of each year and whenever changes in circumstances indicate goodwill could be impaired. As of June 30, 2004, the Company performed its annual test for impairment on the carrying value of goodwill of its MSP/TC and Enterprise reporting units. The Company compared the fair value of each reporting unit to which goodwill has been allocated to its book value and determined that no impairment existed at that date.

Other intangible assets as of September 30, 2004 consist of the following:

| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Completed technology (software) | \$2,130 | \$ (666) | \$1,464 |
| Reseller relationships | 570 | (142) | 428 |
| Maintenance relationships | 340 | (85) | 255 |
| Contractor agreements | 300 | (94) | 206 |
| Trade name/trademark | 70 | (29) | 41 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | \$3,410 | \$(1,016) | \$2,394 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

Aggregate amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 was \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. Aggregate amortization for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003, was \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. For each period, approximately 66% of the aggregate expense was included in costs of revenues and the remainder was included in operating expenses.

4. IN-PROCESS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

On July 11, 2003, Concord entered into a license agreement with Tavve Software Company (Tavve) whereby Concord licensed components of Tavve s technology. Concord has licensed Tavve s root cause analysis and discovery of layer 2 and 3 network topology to build upon Concord s current position in optimizing application availability and performance across networks and systems. The transaction included two different purchases of Tavve technology. The first purchase, valued at \$1.2 million, included \$0.2 million of prepaid maintenance and \$1.0 million of in-process research and development. This was accounted for as in-process research and development as an integrated product had not reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. This purchase of in-process research and development was expensed during the three months ended September 30, 2003.

During the three months ended June 30, 2004, the Company made a second purchase for additional source code for which the Company paid \$0.1 million. This was also accounted for as in-process-research-and-development as an integrated product has not reached technological feasibility and has no alternative future use.

Technological feasibility is established when either of two sets of criteria is met:

- a) the detail program design has been completed, documented, and traced to product specifications and its high-risk development issues have been resolved; or
- b) a working model of the product has been finished and determined to be complete and consistent with the product design.

Table of Contents

Upon acquiring the licensed components of Tavve's technology, Concord did not have a completed product design at the time of the purchase as it had not completed, documented, and traced the detail program design to product specifications. Concord did not have the high-risk development issues resolved.

A working model is defined as an operative version of the computer software product that is completed in the same software language as the product to be ultimately marketed, performs all the major functions planned for the product, and is ready for initial customer testing (usually identified as beta testing). Upon acquiring the licensed components of Tavve's technology, Concord did not have a working model as defined.

In addition, the purchased source code has no alternative future use, i.e., Concord will not use the source code for any other purpose than described above.

The detail program design for the integration of Tavve's technology into Concord eHealth® Suite of products has not been completed as of September 30, 2004; thus, the exact costs and efforts required for completion of this integration has not been determined. Based on management's initial estimates, an integrated product based on Tavve's technology will be introduced in the next one to three years. However, as with any major software development project, the timing of actual introduction may vary. Failure to successfully market and sell the integrated product may adversely impact the Company's business.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

(a) Indemnifications

As permitted under Massachusetts law, the Company has agreements whereby it indemnifies its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was serving, at the Company's request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, the Company has a Director and Officer insurance policy pursuant to which the Company may recover all or a portion of amounts it pays to directors or officers under their indemnification agreements. As a result of its insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal.

The Company warrants that its software products will perform in all material respects in accordance with its standard published specifications in effect at the time of delivery of the licensed products to the customer for a period of 90 days. Additionally, the Company warrants that its maintenance services will be performed consistent with its maintenance policy in effect at the time those services are delivered. The Company believes its maintenance policy is consistent with generally accepted industry standards. If necessary, the Company would provide for the estimated cost of product and service warranties based on specific warranty claims and claim history; however, the Company has never incurred significant expense under product or services warranties. As a result, the Company believes the estimated liability of these warranties is minimal.

The Company enters into standard indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of its business. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company indemnifies, holds harmless, and agrees to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally its business partners or customers, in connection with any patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to the Company's products. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is often capped at a dollar figure. The Company has never incurred costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated liability of these

agreements is minimal.

When, as part of an acquisition, the Company acquires all of the stock or all or a portion of the assets and/or liabilities of a company, it may assume liability for certain events or occurrences that took place prior to the date of acquisition. The maximum potential amount of future payments it could be required to make for such obligations is undeterminable at this time. The Company has no liabilities recorded for these exposures as of September 30, 2004.

The Company has entered into separate registration rights agreements with Vo Tran, a major shareholder of netViz, and with Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company indemnifies and holds harmless the indemnified parties under the

Table of Contents

agreements in connection with any material misstatements or omissions by the Company in its filings with the SEC or, with respect to the agreement entered into with Vo Tran, the violation by the Company of any applicable securities law or regulation. The term of the indemnification provisions in these agreements is perpetual. The Company has never incurred costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated liability of these agreements is minimal.

(b) Claims

On April 30, 2004, the Company received a letter from LMS Technology Distributions SDN BHD (LMS) of Malaysia that demands that the Company reimburse LMS for approximately \$4.65 million in alleged losses arising out of the Company's purported wrongful termination of a Concord Authorized Reseller Agreement (the CAR Agreement) with LMS. The Company disputes that the CAR Agreement was wrongfully terminated or that LMS is owed any of the amounts claimed, and the Company intends to defend vigorously against the demand. It is not possible to predict or determine the outcome of these demands or to provide ranges of losses that may arise, if any.

In November 2003, Concord received notice from a former sales employee in France, stating that he was wrongfully dismissed in July 2003. The former employee filed a wrongful termination lawsuit against Concord claiming approximately \$0.4 million in damages. Concord disputes that the former employee was wrongfully terminated and intends to vigorously defend against this claim. However, Concord has determined, in the three month period ending September 30, 2004, that the amount of the loss was probable and could be estimated as defined by SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*; thus, an amount of \$0.12 million has been accrued.

6. NET INCOME PER SHARE

The Company computes earnings per share following the provisions of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for a period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for a period.

For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, dilutive potential common shares outstanding consisted of stock options. The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options is computed using the treasury stock method. The dilutive effect of senior convertible notes is computed using the if-converted method.

Calculations of the basic and diluted net income per common share and potential common share are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| | (in thousands except share and per share data) | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common stockholders | \$ 230 | \$ 481 | \$ 81 | \$ 2,515 |
| | 18,303,533 | 17,500,200 | 18,240,493 | 17,375,680 |

Weighted average common
shares outstanding

Basic net income per common
share

Diluted:

Net income applicable to
common stockholders

Weighted average common
shares outstanding

Potential common shares
pursuant to stock options

Diluted weighted average
common shares outstanding

Diluted net income per
common share

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.14 |
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| | \$ 230 | \$ 481 | \$ 81 | \$ 2,515 |
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| | 18,303,533 | 17,500,200 | 18,240,493 | 17,375,680 |
| | 152,965 | 738,217 | 464,981 | 541,192 |
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| | 18,456,498 | 18,238,417 | 18,705,474 | 17,916,872 |
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.14 |
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

For the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, diluted weighted average shares outstanding does not include 3,169,030; 1,828,568; 2,823,729 and 2,441,742 potential common shares, respectively, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

For the period ended September 30, 2004, common stock reserved for issuance upon conversion of the convertible senior notes in the amount of 3,209,776 shares were not included in diluted earnings per share because the conversion criteria had not been met. Pursuant to a recently issued accounting standard (see Note 10), the Company must consider the effect of such conversion on diluted earnings per share, without regard to whether the conversion criteria have been met, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2004. Accordingly,

Table of Contents

3,209,776 shares will be included in the diluted weighted average common shares outstanding and equivalents for the net income per share calculation, excluding interest expense for the period, if the effect of adding these shares is dilutive.

7. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in net assets of the Company during a period from transactions generated from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners.

Comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Net income | \$230 | \$ 481 | \$ 81 | \$ 2,515 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities, net of tax | 619 | (446) | (787) | (626) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$849 | \$ 35 | \$(706) | \$ 1,889 |

8. SEGMENT REPORTING AND INTERNATIONAL INFORMATION

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*. SFAS No. 131 establishes standards for reporting information regarding operating segments in annual financial statements and requires selected information for those segments to be presented in interim financial reports issued to stockholders. SFAS No. 131 also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services and geographic areas. Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise about which separate, discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions on how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company's chief decision-making group, as defined under SFAS No. 131, is the executive management committee, which is comprised of the executive officers of the Company.

The following table presents the approximate revenues by major geographical regions:

| Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |

| | <u>2004</u> | <u>2003</u> | <u>2004</u> | <u>2003</u> |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| United States | \$17,530 | \$14,922 | \$50,190 | \$41,340 |
| United Kingdom | 1,853 | 1,894 | 5,416 | 8,041 |
| Germany | 1,467 | 3,790 | 5,155 | 7,230 |
| Europe (excluding the U.K. and Germany) | 2,714 | 2,075 | 7,337 | 8,549 |
| Rest of the World | 3,333 | 3,879 | 9,349 | 11,132 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total | \$26,897 | \$26,560 | \$77,447 | \$76,292 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, no one country, except the United States, accounted for greater than 10% of total revenues. For the three months ended September 30, 2003, no one country, except the United States and Germany, accounted for greater than 10% of total revenues. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, no one country, except the United States and the United Kingdom, accounted for greater than 10% of total revenues. Substantially all of the Company's assets are located in the United States.

The Company's reportable segments are determined by customer type: managed service providers/telecommunications carriers (MSP/TC) and enterprise. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those for the Company on a consolidated basis. The executive management committee evaluates segment performance based on revenues. Accordingly, all expenses are considered corporate level activities and are not allocated to segments. Also, the executive management committee does not assign assets to these segments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the approximate revenues by reportable segment:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| MSP/TC | \$ 11,938 | \$ 7,725 | \$ 33,943 | \$ 36,033 |
| Enterprise | 14,959 | 18,835 | 43,504 | 40,259 |
| Total | \$ 26,897 | \$ 26,560 | \$ 77,447 | \$ 76,292 |

The Company currently does not provide revenues by product or product family, as it is impractical due to the nature of its single suite of products. Some components of the suite could be included in more than one product family. In addition, categorization and classification of the Company's components into product families is changing in nature; changes in packaging, licensing and product categorization occur on a frequent basis.

9. PURCHASE FOR CASH OF CERTAIN OUTSTANDING OPTIONS

In October 2004, Concord consummated an offer to purchase any and all outstanding options to purchase shares of its common stock with an exercise price per share of \$25.00 or more granted under its 1997 Stock Plan (the "Option Repurchase"). In connection with the Option Repurchase, Concord incurred compensation expense of approximately \$3.3 million, excluding fees and expenses, in the three month period ending December 31, 2004. A total of 965,242 options were purchased; these shares will be returned to the pool of options available for new grants under the Concord's 1997 Stock Plan.

10. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

At the September 2004 meeting, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a final consensus on Issue 04-8, *Accounting Issues Related to Certain Features of Contingently Convertible Debt and the Effect on Diluted Earnings per Share*. The issue under consideration concerned whether the dilutive effect of contingently convertible debt with a market price trigger should be included in diluted earnings per share. The EITF determined that these securities should be treated as convertible securities and included in a diluted earnings per share calculation (if dilutive), regardless of whether the market price trigger has been met. The effective date for this consensus will be the same as the effective date for the revised version of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, yet to be issued by the Board. The Board's most recent discussion on the effective date for SFAS No. 128R indicates that it will be effective for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2004. In the period of adoption, previously reported earnings per share will be retroactively restated. While the Company's convertible senior notes fall within the scope of EITF 04-8, the Company believes that the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on its diluted earnings per share as the inclusion of the convertible senior notes is expected to be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

**CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
FORM 10-Q, September 30, 2004**

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

We are a software company that provides a solution to enterprise customers, managed service providers and telecommunication carriers. We serve the Business Service Management (BSM) market with our solution, the eHealth® Suite of products, which maps Information Technology (IT) services to business needs, measures the actual end user experience and manages application, system and network infrastructure.

We sell our products worldwide through a direct sales force, channel partners and other resellers. We have sales offices in 18 countries, including the United States and we have customers in 63 countries.

Our products are generally sold on a perpetual license basis. On an initial purchase, customers will usually buy a license to our eHealth® Console and a certain number of software elements, which is dependent on the number of components of the IT services to be managed. As a customer's IT services management requirements expands, they will order additional modules and elements. We believe that our significant installed base provides an opportunity to sell additional software elements and other products to our existing customer accounts. Most of our customers purchase maintenance upon the initial licensing of our software. In addition, the majority of these customers renew their maintenance agreements annually. For an annual maintenance fee, a customer receives telephone, email and web-based support, as well as updated product releases. In addition to on-going technical support, we also offer professional and education services to our customers.

Our total revenues are generated from license revenue and service revenue. License revenues are usually generated by the purchase of a license to our product. Concord's service revenues consist of fees for maintenance, training and professional services. For the three month and nine month periods ending September 30, 2004, our total revenues increased year over year. For the three month and nine month periods ending September 30, 2004, our profitability decreased year over year due, in part, to the interest payable on Company's 3.0% Senior Convertible Notes issued in December 2003. For the three month period ending September 30, 2004, total revenues were \$26.9 million, up 1.3% from \$26.6 million for the three month period ending September 30, 2003. For the nine-month period ending September 30, 2004, total revenues were \$77.4 million, up 1.5% from \$76.3 million for the nine month period ending September 30, 2003. Our diluted earnings per share decreased to \$0.01 per share for the three-month period ending September 30, 2004 compared to \$0.03 per share for the corresponding prior year period. Our diluted earnings per share decreased to \$0.00 per share for the nine-month period ending September 30, 2004 compared to \$0.14 per share for the corresponding prior year period. We generated \$3.3 million in operating cash during the nine-month period ending September 30, 2004 and finished the quarter with \$163.4 million of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and restricted cash.

In October 2004, we consummated an offer to purchase any and all outstanding options to purchase shares of our common stock with an exercise price per share of \$25.00 or more granted under our 1997 Stock Plan (the Option Repurchase). In connection with the Option Repurchase, we incurred compensation expense of approximately \$3.3 million, excluding fees and expenses, in the three month period ending December 31, 2004. A total of 965,242 options were purchased; these shares will be returned to the pool of options available for new grants under the Concord's 1997 Stock Plan.

Critical Accounting Policies, Significant Estimates and Judgments

The accompanying discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with US GAAP. The preparation of consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. However, future events are subject to change and the best estimates and judgments routinely require adjustment. US GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments in several areas. If actual results differ significantly from management's estimates and projections, there could be a material effect on our financial statements. See our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by US GAAP.

We believe that the policies, significant estimates and judgments discussed below are the most critical to our financial statements and the understanding of our financial condition and results of operations because their application places the most significant demands on management's judgment.

(a) Revenue Recognition

Our revenues consist of software license revenues and service revenues. Software license revenues are recognized in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as modified by SOP 98-9, *Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition with respect to Certain Transactions*. Software license revenues are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists and delivery of the software has occurred, provided that the license fee is fixed or determinable, collection is considered reasonably assured and no customer acceptance clauses exist. If an arrangement includes an acceptance provision, revenue recognition occurs upon the earlier of a receipt of a written customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period. If an arrangement includes a right of return for the possibility that the software does not meet published specifications during the warranty period, which is typically 90 days, revenue is recognized upon shipment if all other criteria are met, as the company's product is mature and we have not experienced returns of our products. If the fee is determined not to be fixed or determinable, revenue is recognized when the fees become due. If collection is not considered reasonably assured, revenue is recognized upon the receipt of cash. Revenues under multiple-element arrangements, which typically include software products, services, maintenance and sometimes undelivered specified software upgrades sold together, are allocated to each element using the residual method in accordance with SOP 98-9. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and subsequently recognized when these elements are delivered; the remainder of the arrangement consideration is allocated to the software. We have established sufficient vendor specific objective evidence for professional services, training, maintenance, customer support services and specified software upgrades based on the price charged when these elements are sold separately. Accordingly, software license revenues are recognized under the residual method in arrangements in which software is licensed with professional services, training, maintenance, customer support services and specified software upgrades.

Service revenues include professional services, training and maintenance and customer support fees. Professional services are not essential to the functionality of the other elements in an arrangement and are accounted for separately. Service revenues are recognized as the services are performed, provided evidence of an arrangement exists, fees are fixed or determinable, and collection is considered reasonably assured.

We license our software to end-users, resellers and OEM's. Revenue recognition is centralized at our corporate headquarters, located in the United States. Our arrangements with customers do not generally include provisions involving acceptance of our products by our customers. However, if a customer arrangement includes an acceptance provision, revenue recognition occurs upon the earlier of receipt of a written customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period. With respect to revenues from our channel partners and other resellers, we recognize revenue upon delivery of our software to the channel partner or reseller. We do not offer any right of return, price protection or

similar rights to our channel partners and other resellers.

For all sales, in the absence of a signed license agreement, we use either a purchase order or purchase order equivalent as evidence of an arrangement. If a signed license agreement is obtained, we use either the license agreement or the license agreement and a purchase order as evidence of an arrangement. Sales to resellers are usually evidenced by a master agreement governing the relationship together with purchase orders on a transaction-by-transaction basis. Sales to OEMs are usually evidenced by a master agreement governing the relationship together with a shipping report on a transaction-by-transaction basis.

Delivery generally occurs when product is delivered to a common carrier and the delivery terms are FOB Concord. In the case of arrangements with resellers or OEMs, revenue is recognized upon delivery to the reseller or OEM. Most of these arrangements involve a sell-through by the reseller or OEM to an end user. For a reseller, evidence usually comes in the form of a purchase order typically identifying the end-user. For an OEM, evidence of sell-through usually comes in the form of a shipping report, which usually identifies the ship to location.

Table of Contents

At the time of the transaction, we assess whether the fee associated with our revenue transaction is fixed or determinable and whether or not collection is reasonably assured. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction. If a significant portion of a fee is due after our normal payment terms, which are usually 30 to 60 days from invoice date, depending upon the region, we account for the fee as not being fixed or determinable. In these cases, we recognize revenue when the fees become due.

We assess collection based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. We do not request collateral from our customers. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we defer the fee and recognize revenue upon receipt of cash. Concord's channel partners and other resellers are responsible to the Company upon delivery.

For arrangements with multiple elements (for example, undelivered maintenance and support or undelivered specified software upgrades), we allocate revenue to each component of the arrangement using the residual value method based on the fair value of the undelivered elements. This means that we defer revenue from the fee arrangement equivalent to the fair value of the undelivered elements. We determine fair values for ongoing maintenance and support obligations using our internal pricing policies for maintenance and by referencing the prices at which we have sold separate maintenance contract renewals to our customers. We determine fair value of services, such as training or consulting, by referencing the prices at which we have separately sold comparable services to our customers. For specified undelivered software upgrades, we determine fair value of these upgrades by referencing the prices at which we sell upgrades separately to our customers.

The majority of our sales transactions are completed using standard terms and conditions; however, there are agreements that contain non-standard terms and conditions. As a result, significant contract interpretation is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting, including whether the deliverables specified in a multiple obligations arrangement should be treated as separate units of accounting for revenue recognition purposes, and if so, how the price should be allocated among the deliverable elements and when to recognize the revenue for each element. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between deliverable elements might impact the timing of revenue recognition, but would not change the total revenue recognized for the transaction.

(b) Accounts Receivable

We record our trade accounts receivable at the invoiced amount; these accounts do not bear interest. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by our review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses based on our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts on a monthly basis. We review all past due balances over 60 days individually for collectibility. We charge account balances against the allowance when we feel it is probable the receivable will not be recovered. We do not have any off-balance-sheet credit exposure to our customers.

While credit losses have historically been within our expectations and appropriate reserves have been established, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have experienced in the past. Thus, if the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, our actual losses may exceed our estimates, and additional allowances would be required.

(c) Accounting for Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. To do this, we estimate our actual current tax liabilities, while

also assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue and expense accruals, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheet. We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. To the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, we must include an expense within the tax provision in the statement of operations. To the extent we reverse any portion of the valuation allowance, we must recognize a benefit within the tax provision in the statement of operations or to additional paid-in capital for the benefit of deductions for stock option exercises.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities

Table of Contents

and any valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets. The Company has reserved for a portion of its deferred tax assets by recording a valuation allowance. The resulting net deferred tax asset is based on the Company's estimate of future taxable income it expects to generate; all other tax assets have been reserved. The remaining valuation allowance of \$2.3 million is based on our estimates of taxable income by jurisdiction in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable. This amount relates primarily to stock option deductions and will be credited to additional paid-in capital when released. If we are sufficiently profitable in 2004, the reserve will be released in 2004. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or we adjust these estimates in future periods, we may need to establish an additional valuation allowance. Establishing new or additional valuation allowances could materially adversely impact our financial position and results of operations.

(d) Accounting for Acquisitions and Acquired In-process Research and Development

We have completed our acquisition of netViz (netViz), which was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting, which has resulted in recording significant goodwill and other intangible asset balances. The purchase price has been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values on the date of acquisition, as determined by management and, with respect to the identifiable intangible assets, an appraisal. Our accounting for the netViz acquisition involves significant judgments and estimates regarding primarily, but not limited to: the fair value of acquired intangible assets, which are based on projections of future revenues and cash flows, assumptions regarding discount factors, royalty rates, tax rates, amortization methodologies and related useful lives, as well as the fair value of other acquired assets and assumed liabilities, including potential contingencies and deferred income taxes. The completed technology (software), reseller relationships, maintenance relationships and trade name/trademarks will be amortized at the greater of (a) the ratio that current revenues bear to the total of current and anticipated future revenues or (b) the straight-line method over their respective remaining useful lives. The contractor agreements will be amortized using the straight-line method over their useful lives. The values of the completed technology, reseller relationships, maintenance relationships, contractor agreements and trade name/trademarks were determined using the income approach. The income approach requires a projection of revenues and expenses specifically attributed to the intangible assets. The discounted cash flow (DCF) method is then applied to the potential income streams after making necessary adjustments with respect to such factors as the wasting nature of the identifiable intangible assets and the allowance of a fair return on the net tangible assets and other intangible assets employed. There are several variations on the income approach, including the relief-from-royalty method, the avoided cost method and the lost profits method.

The relief-from-royalty method was used to value the trade name/trademarks. The relief-from-royalty method is used to estimate the cost savings that accrue to the owner of the intangible assets that would otherwise have to pay royalties or licensee fees on revenues earned through the use of the asset. The royalty rate used in the analysis is based on an analysis of empirical, market-derived royalty rates for guideline intangible assets. Typically, revenue is projected over the expected remaining useful life of the intangible asset. The market-derived royalty rate is then applied to estimate the royalty savings. The key assumptions used in valuing the trade name/trademarks are as follows: royalty rate 1%, discount rate 21%, tax rate 40% and estimated average economic life of 3 years.

The avoided cost method was used to value the reseller relationships and contractor agreements. The avoided cost method considers the concept of avoided cost as an indicator of value. The avoided cost method is appropriate for estimating the fair value of an asset where reliable data for sales of comparable property are not available and where the property does not directly produce an income stream. The key assumptions used in valuing the reseller relationships are as follows: tax rate 40% and estimated average economic life of 5 years. The key assumptions used in valuing the contractor agreements are as follows: tax rate 40% and estimated average economic life of 4 years.

The completed technology (software) was valued using the income approach without variation. The key assumptions used in valuing the completed technology are as follows: discount rate 21%, tax rate 40% and estimated

life of 4 years. The key assumptions used in valuing the maintenance relationship are as follows: discount rate 21%, tax rate 40% and estimated average economic life of 5 years.

Deferred income taxes and any valuation allowances are based in part on future estimated profitability of the Company. As a direct result of the netViz acquisition, the Company has estimated that future profitability will increase and therefore released \$0.75 million of the valuation allowance.

We have also completed our accounting of the license agreement with Tavve whereby Concord licensed components of Tavve's technology. Our accounting for the Tavve license as in-process research & development involved significant judgments and estimates regarding primarily, but not limited to: assessing if the acquired technology has reached technological feasibility and if the acquired technology has no alternative future use.

Technological feasibility is established when either of two sets of criteria is met:

Table of Contents

a) the detail program design has been completed, documented, and traced to product specifications and its high-risk development issues have been resolved; or

b) a working model of the product has been finished and determined to be complete and consistent with the product design.

Upon acquiring the licensed components of Tavve's technology, Concord did not have a completed product design at the time of the purchase as it had not completed, documented, and traced the detail program design to product specifications. Concord also did not have the high-risk development issues resolved.

A working model is defined as an operative version of the computer software product that is completed in the same software language as the product to be ultimately marketed, performs all the major functions planned for the product, and is ready for initial customer testing (usually identified as beta testing). Upon acquiring the licensed components of Tavve's technology, Concord did not have a working model as defined.

In addition, the purchased source code has no alternative future use, i.e., Concord will not use the source code for any other purpose than described above.

The detail program design for the integration of Tavve's technology into Concord eHealth® Suite of products has not been completed as of September 30, 2004; thus, the exact costs and efforts required for completion of this integration has not been determined. Based on management's initial estimates, an integrated product based on Tavve's technology will be introduced in the next one to three years. However, as with any major software development project, the timing of actual introduction may vary. Failure to successfully market and sell our integrated product may adversely impact our business.

(e) Valuation of Long-Lived Tangible and Intangible Assets and Goodwill

We have significant long-lived tangible and intangible assets and goodwill, which are susceptible to valuation adjustments as a result of changes in various factors or conditions. The long-lived tangible assets are fixed assets, which are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. The long-lived intangible assets are completed technology (software), reseller relationships, maintenance relationships, contractor agreements, and trade name / trademarks which are amortized over their estimated useful lives following the greater of the pattern in which the expected benefits will be consumed or otherwise used up or on a straight-line basis. Goodwill is not amortized.

At each quarter-end, the carrying value of the completed technology (software) is compared to its net realizable value (NRV). NRV is the estimated future gross revenues from products that incorporate the software reduced by the estimated future costs of disposal. If NRV is less than the carrying value, the excess is written-off and the then current NRV becomes the new carrying value of the software. We assess the potential impairment of other identifiable intangible assets and fixed assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important, which could trigger an impairment of such assets, include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of or use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;

Significant negative industry or economic trends;

Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

A decline in our market capitalization below net book value.

Future adverse changes in these or other unforeseeable factors could result in an impairment charge that would impact future results of operations and financial position in the reporting period identified.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, or SFAS 142, requires goodwill to be tested for impairment using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of the reporting unit with the unit's carrying value, including goodwill. When the carrying value of the reporting unit is greater than fair value, the unit's goodwill may be impaired, and the second step must be completed to measure the amount of the goodwill impairment charge, if any. In the second step,

Table of Contents

the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is compared with the carrying amount of the unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount is greater than the implied fair value, the carrying value of the goodwill must be written down to its implied fair value. We operate in one reporting unit and, therefore, will conduct future goodwill impairment test on an enterprise-wide level. Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment at least annually, or more frequently when events and circumstances occur indicating that the recorded goodwill might be impaired. We plan to perform the annual assessment at June 30 of each fiscal year. Factors we consider important, which could trigger an impairment of goodwill, include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;

Significant negative industry or economic trends;

Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

A decline in our market capitalization below net book value.

Future adverse changes in these or other unforeseeable factors could result in an impairment charge that would impact future results of operations and financial position in the reporting period identified.

Significant judgments and estimates are involved in determining the useful lives of our intangible assets, determining what reporting units exist and assessing when events or circumstances would require an interim impairment analysis of goodwill or other long-lived assets to be performed. Changes in events or circumstances, including but not limited to technological advances or competition which could result in shorter useful lives, additional reporting units which may require alternative methods of estimating fair value, or economic or market conditions which may affect previous assumptions and estimates, could have a significant impact on our results of operations or financial position through accelerated amortization expense or impairment charges.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain financial data as percentages of the Company's total revenues:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | September 30, 2003 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| License revenues | 47.0% | 51.5% | 45.9% | 52.1% |
| Service revenues | 53.0 | 48.5 | 54.1 | 47.9 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cost of Revenues: | | | | |
| Cost of license revenues | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| Cost of service revenues | 16.4 | 15.4 | 16.5 | 16.0 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total cost of revenues | 19.8 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 18.7 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Gross profit | 80.2 | 81.5 | 80.2 | 81.3 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 22.0 |
| Sales and marketing | 46.9 | 47.0 | 47.1 | 47.7 |
| General and administrative | 10.7 | 8.1 | 10.7 | 8.5 |
| Acquisition related charges | | 0.2 | | 0.1 |
| Acquired in-process research & development | | 3.7 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total operating expenses | 79.9 | 81.3 | 80.8 | 79.6 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Operating income (loss) | 0.3 | 0.2 | (0.6) | 1.7 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Other Income: | | | | |
| Interest income | 4.1 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| Interest expense | (3.0) | | (3.2) | |

Edgar Filing: CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Other expense | (0.1) | (0.6) | (0.3) | (0.7) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total other income, net | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Income before income taxes | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 3.8 |
| Provision for income taxes | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net income | 0.9% | 1.8% | 0.1% | 3.3% |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Total Revenues

Concord's total revenues are generated from license revenue and service revenue.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | Percent Change | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | Percent Change | September 30, 2003 |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| License Revenues | \$12,636 | -7.7% | \$13,683 | \$35,578 | -10.5% | \$39,746 |
| Service Revenues | <u>14,261</u> | 10.7% | <u>12,877</u> | <u>41,869</u> | 14.6% | <u>36,546</u> |
| Total Revenues | <u>\$26,897</u> | 1.3% | <u>\$26,560</u> | <u>\$77,447</u> | 1.5% | <u>\$76,292</u> |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| License Revenues | 47.0% | | 51.5% | 45.9% | | 52.1% |
| Service Revenues | 53.0% | | 48.5% | 54.1% | | 47.9% |

Table of Contents**License Revenues**

Concord's license revenues are derived from the licensing of software products.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | Percent Change | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | Percent Change | September 30, 2003 |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| License Revenues | \$12,636 | -7.7% | \$13,683 | \$35,578 | -10.5% | \$39,746 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| License Revenues | 47.0% | | 51.5% | 45.9% | | 52.1% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The decrease in license revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was driven by lower demand for our products in Europe and Asia. This decrease was partially offset by \$0.4 million increase of license revenues generated by netViz, a company which was acquired by Concord in July 2003.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The decrease in license revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was due to lower international license sales. In the first nine months of 2003, we completed several large transactions with European-based managed service providers. Sales to this segment in Europe were lower in the first nine months of 2004 as demand for our products was not as robust as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2003. The decrease of license revenues in the first nine months of 2004 was partially offset by \$1.6 million increase of license revenues generated by netViz.

The continuing decrease of license revenues as a percent of total revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September, 2003 was the result of a significant increase in service revenues combined with a decrease of the license revenues.

There were no material price increases for products during the first nine months of 2004 and inflation did not have a significant impact on our revenues or income during the first nine months of 2004.

New eHealth customer accounts

License revenues are partially dependent on our ability to sell to new eHealth customer accounts. New eHealth customer accounts represent the number of new customer accounts that purchase software from the Concord eHealth® Suite of products. NetViz new customer accounts are excluded from this count as these products are not considered key drivers of our primary business. Due to the nature of netViz® products, customers that purchase netViz® products do not generate significant revenue for the initial or subsequent purchase.

Three Months Ended**Nine Months Ended**

| (in thousands) | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| New eHealth Customer Accounts | 30 | -11.8% | 34 | 64 | -44.3% | 115 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| New Customer Accounts | 20% | | 19% | 17% | | 20% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The number of sales to new eHealth customer accounts in the three months ended September 30, 2004 is comparable to the number of transactions completed in the three month period ended September 30, 2003.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

Table of Contents

The decrease in the number of sales to new eHealth customer accounts in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the corresponding prior year period was due, primarily, to sales and marketing execution issues in the first quarter of 2004, as our sales teams focused their efforts on our large install base in the first two quarters of 2004. However, the number of new eHealth customer accounts increased substantially in the three month period ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the number of sales to new customers in the each of the first two quarters of 2004. Internal initiatives, implemented in the third quarter of 2004, such as targeted marketing programs and specific sales incentives, helped improve our effectiveness in the three months ended September 30, 2004.

Transactions over \$100,000

License revenues can also be dependent on the number of transactions over \$100,000 that we are able to close during the period.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| (number of transactions) | | | | | | |
| eHealth transactions greater than \$100K | 40 | -4.8% | 42 | 107 | -6.1% | 114 |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The number of transactions greater than \$100,000 recorded in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 is comparable to the number of transactions recorded in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003. We believe that the solution selling training offered to our new sales employees combined with on going business oriented sales training, will improve our ability to increase the number of transactions greater than \$100,000. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in meeting this estimate.

Service Revenues

Concord's service revenues consist of fees for maintenance, training and professional services.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Service Revenues | \$14,261 | 10.7% | \$12,877 | \$41,869 | 14.6% | \$36,546 |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| Service Revenues | 53.0% | | 48.5% | 54.1% | | 47.9% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase in service revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues in the three and nine month ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the corresponding prior year periods was due to an increase in maintenance revenues, which are generated from new and renewed maintenance contracts, and the maintenance generated by netViz. Maintenance generated by netViz contributed approximately \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, in service revenue in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004. Increased service revenues from new and renewed maintenance contracts primarily drove the balance of the increase in service revenues.

Maintenance revenues represent fees earned by granting our customers rights to technical support, software product upgrades and maintenance patches during the support period, which is usually one year. The majority of our license customers purchase maintenance upon the initial licensing of our software. In addition, the majority of these customers renew their maintenance agreements annually. An increase in the number of the Company's customers and the resulting demand for these services further helped drive the increase in service revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003.

Table of Contents**Direct and Indirect Revenues**

Concord markets its products in the United States primarily through a direct sales force. Internationally, Concord markets primarily through indirect channels, which include channel partners and other resellers.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Direct | \$17,598 | 13.7% | \$15,484 | \$48,868 | 5.3% | \$46,398 |
| Indirect | 9,299 | -16.0% | 11,076 | 28,579 | -4.4% | 29,894 |
| Total Revenue | \$26,897 | 1.3% | \$26,560 | \$77,447 | 1.5% | \$76,292 |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| Direct | 65.4% | | 58.3% | 63.1% | | 60.8% |
| Indirect | 34.6% | | 41.7% | 36.9% | | 39.2% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase in direct sales for the three month and nine month periods ending September 30, 2004 in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenue is due to improved performance by the domestic sales force due to our continued investment in sales training. The decrease in indirect sales for the same period is due to lower sales in Europe and Asia.

Segment Revenues

Concord's reportable segments are determined by customer type: managed service providers/telecommunications carriers (MSP/TC) and enterprise.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| MSP/TC | \$11,938 | 54.6% | \$ 7,725 | \$33,943 | -5.8% | \$36,033 |
| Enterprise | 14,959 | -20.6% | 18,835 | 43,504 | 8.1% | 40,259 |

Edgar Filing: CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| Total Revenues | \$26,897 | 1.3% | \$26,560 | \$77,447 | 1.5% | \$76,292 |
| | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| MSP/TC | 44.4% | | 29.1% | 43.8% | | 47.2% |
| Enterprise | 55.6% | | 70.9% | 56.2% | | 52.8% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The increase in MSP/TC revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was driven by several large telecommunication carriers orders. Many of the orders with MSP/TC customers had license transactions greater than \$100,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2004. The number of transactions greater than \$100,000 can be concentrated in a specific segment in a given quarter due to unpredictable buying patterns and this variability can lead to quarterly fluctuation in the relative percentage of revenues of both Enterprise and MSP/TC customers.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase in Enterprise revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was driven by a combination of repeat sales to existing customers and many orders with license transactions greater than \$100,000. These were mainly in the United States. This concentration of transactions with Enterprise customers explains, in part, the decrease of MSP/TC revenue in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues. In the first quarter of 2003, we completed a certain number of transactions over \$100,000 with European managed service providers; this explains the higher percentage of MSP/TC in the nine months ended September 30, 2003 as

Table of Contents

compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Although MSP/TC revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues decreased in the nine month period ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the corresponding period ended September 30, 2003, we expect that MSP/TC revenue will be 40% to 50% of total revenues; however, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in meeting this estimate.

International Revenues

Concord has fourteen international subsidiaries and three international branch offices. Concord has customers in 63 countries.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2004 | Percent Change | September 30, 2003 | September 30, 2004 | Percent Change | September 30, 2003 |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | \$1,853 | -2.2% | \$ 1,894 | \$ 5,416 | -32.6% | \$ 8,041 |
| Germany | 1,467 | -61.3% | 3,790 | 5,155 | -28.7% | 7,230 |
| Europe (excluding the U.K and Germany) | 2,714 | 30.8% | 2,075 | 7,337 | -14.2% | 8,549 |
| Rest of the World | 3,333 | -14.1% | 3,879 | 9,349 | -16.0% | 11,132 |
| Total | <u>\$9,367</u> | -19.5% | <u>\$11,638</u> | <u>\$27,257</u> | -22.0% | <u>\$34,952</u> |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | 6.9% | | 7.1% | 7.0% | | 10.5% |
| Germany | 5.5% | | 14.3% | 6.7% | | 9.5% |
| Europe (excluding the U.K. and Germany) | 10.1% | | 7.8% | 9.5% | | 11.2% |
| Rest of the World | 12.4% | | 14.6% | 12.1% | | 14.6% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The decrease in international revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues for the three and nine month periods ending September 30, 2004 was due mainly to strong demand by European managed service providers in Germany and the UK in 2003. Sales to these segments in Europe were lower for the three and nine months of 2004 as demand for our products was not as robust as compared to the corresponding prior year periods.

Although international revenues decreased year over year, we expect revenue from international customers will be 40% to 50% of total revenues. We continue to commit additional time, training and development resources to customizing our products and services for selected international markets and this will improve our ability to meet our international sales targets. We believe that continued growth and profitability will require further expansion of our sales, marketing and customer service functions in international markets. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in meeting this estimate.

Revenues Recognized in Connection With Third Party Software

Concord bundles third party software in some of its products when it determines that bundling such software is cost-effective or increases the effectiveness of Concord's products. In some instances, Concord has determined that it can be cost prohibitive to develop such software applications, especially if such applications are already available in the marketplace. In addition, bundling third party software allows Concord, in certain instances, to accelerate the delivery of increased functionality within a short timeframe.

Revenues generated by Concord products that included third party software were:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Revenues | \$3,948 | 5.6% | \$3,738 | \$9,319 | 8.8% | \$8,565 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Revenues | 14.7% | | 14.1% | 12.0% | | 11.2% |

Table of Contents**Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months of 2003:**

The increase of revenues from third party software in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was mostly driven by increased demand for Oracle® database software that is integrated into our product offering. Demand for the Oracle® database product is also driven by the transition of our large installed base from the Ingres database to the Oracle® database. In 2002, we integrated the Oracle® database software into our product offering; this enabled us to replace the Ingres database we used previously with the Oracle® product and to offer one single database solution to our customers.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues includes cost of license revenues and cost of service revenues.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Cost of License Revenues | \$ 902 | 9.2% | \$ 826 | \$ 2,572 | 22.6% | \$ 2,098 |
| Cost of Service Revenues | 4,429 | 8.4% | 4,085 | 12,741 | 4.7% | 12,172 |
| Total Cost of Revenues | 5,331 | 8.6% | \$4,911 | \$15,313 | 7.3% | \$14,270 |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| Cost of License Revenues | 3.4% | | 3.1% | 3.3% | | 2.7% |
| Cost of Service Revenues | 16.4% | | 15.4% | 16.5% | | 16.0% |

Cost of License Revenues

Cost of license revenues includes expenses associated with royalty fees, production, fulfillment of orders, product documentation and amortization expense associated with the completed technology intangible asset. Royalty costs are composed of third party software costs.

| Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------|------|-------------------|----------|
| Sept | Sept | Sept 30, | Sept 30, |

| (in thousands) | 30, 2004 | Percent Change | 30, 2003 | 2004 | Percent Change | 2003 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Cost of License Revenue | \$902 | 9.2% | \$826 | \$2,572 | 22.6% | \$2,098 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Cost of License Revenues | 3.4% | | 3.1% | | 3.3% | 2.7% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The increase of cost of license revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was mainly driven by higher royalty costs, which are fees paid to third party software companies.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase of cost of license revenues in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was driven by higher royalty costs and amortization expense relating to the acquisition of netViz. Royalty costs and amortization expense contributed approximately 36% and 56%, respectively, to the increase in the cost of license revenues.

Expenses Recognized in Connection With Third Party Software

Royalty costs are comprised of third party software costs. The Company bundles third party software in some of its products when it determines that bundling such software is cost-effective or increases the effectiveness of Concord's products. Costs associated with revenues generated by Concord products that included third party software were:

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| | Sept 30, | Percent Change | Sept 30, | Sept 30, | Percent Change | Sept 30, |
| | 2004 | | 2003 | 2004 | | 2003 |
| Expenses | \$388 | 8.1% | \$359 | \$1,257 | 16.0% | \$1,084 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Expenses | 1.4% | | 1.4% | 1.6% | | 1.4% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase of costs in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 associated with third party software in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was mostly driven by the cost of distribution of the Oracle® database and the related maintenance costs. Third party maintenance charges are usually based on the number of sold units. As the Company's installed base has grown, the number of its products under maintenance, which includes third party software, has also increased.

Cost of Service Revenues

Cost of service revenues consists of the personnel costs associated with providing customer support in connection with maintenance, training and professional service contracts.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| | Sept 30, | Percent Change | Sept 30, | Sept 30, | Percent Change | Sept 30, |
| | 2004 | | 2003 | 2004 | | 2003 |
| Cost of Service Revenues | \$4,429 | 8.4% | \$4,085 | \$12,741 | 4.7% | \$12,172 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Cost of Service Revenues | 16.4% | | 15.4% | 16.5% | | 16.0% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase of cost of service revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was driven by supporting a growing base of service customers which resulted in an increase in personnel and related costs in servicing those customers.

Gross Profit

Total gross profit consists of gross profit from license revenues and gross profit from service revenues.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Gross Profit | \$21,566 | -0.4% | \$21,649 | \$62,134 | 0.2% | \$62,022 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Gross Profit | 80.2% | | 81.5% | 80.2% | | 81.3% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The decrease of gross profit in the three months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2003 in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was mainly driven by an increase in cost of services.

Table of Contents***First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:***

The decrease of gross profit, as a percentage of total revenues, in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2003 is driven mainly by the amortization expense associated with the completed technology intangible asset. We expect to increase our gross profit in absolute dollars; however, this will depend upon our revenue growth, among other factors. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in increasing our gross profit on an absolute basis of total revenues.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with software development.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Research and Development | \$5,990 | 1.3% | \$5,911 | \$17,742 | 5.6% | \$16,794 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Research and Development | 22.3% | | 22.3% | 22.9% | | 22.0% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The slight increase in research and development expenses in absolute dollars was driven mainly by the use of offshore development consultants. We engage these consultants to accelerate the time to market of certain modules of our eHealth® Suite of products. The increase in costs has been partially offset by lower bonus expenses. Each factor contributed to 48% and (11%) of the variance. Research and development expenses did not change as a percentage of revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2003 as we continue to closely manage our expenses.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase in research and development expenses in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was related to the increased salary expenses and the acquisition of netViz. The netViz acquisition resulted in higher headcount, additional rent and amortization expense of intangible assets. Each factor contributed approximately 37%, 16% and 6%, respectively, to the variance. Research and development costs, excluding netViz, increased mainly due to higher salaries following annual merit increases; this contributed to 33% of the variance.

We intend to decrease, over time, our research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues. Our ability to decrease these expenses as a percentage of total revenues will depend upon our revenue growth, among other factors. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in decreasing our research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, commissions to sales personnel and agents, travel, tradeshow participation, public relations, advertising and other promotional expenses.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Sales and Marketing | \$12,607 | 0.9% | \$12,490 | \$36,516 | 0.2% | \$36,434 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Sales and Marketing | 46.9% | | 47.0% | 47.1% | | 47.7% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The increase of sales expenses was partially offset by lower marketing expenses. The increase of \$0.4 million in sales expenses is driven by higher travel related costs and employee termination related fees in Europe. Each of these factors contributed 48% and 26% to the increase of sales expenses. The decrease of \$0.3 million in marketing expenses was driven by lower salaries, bonuses and travel

Table of Contents

expenses; each of these factors contributed 34%, 34% and 25% to the decrease. Sales and marketing expenses decreased slightly as a percentage of revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the corresponding prior year period as we continue to closely manage our cost structure.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase of sales expenses was essentially offset by lower marketing expenses. The increase of \$1.7 million in sales expenses is driven by ongoing recruiting fees due to sales turnover as well as the hiring of dedicated product line sales representatives, severance costs related to terminations of sales employees, increased headcount affecting salaries and higher travel expenses. Each of these factors contributed 18%, 29%, 20% and 22% to the increase. The decrease of \$1.6 million in marketing expenses was mainly driven by lower headcount and related bonuses and lower travel expenses. Each of these factors contributed 37%, 17% and 14% to the decrease.

We expect, over time, to decrease these expenses as a percentage of total revenues; however, this will ultimately depend upon our revenue growth, among other factors. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in decreasing our sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of total revenues.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries for financial, accounting, legal, investor relations, human resources, administrative and management personnel.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| General and Administrative | \$2,886 | 33.9% | \$2,156 | \$8,264 | 27.9% | \$6,462 |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| General and Administrative | 10.7% | | 8.1% | 10.7% | | 8.5% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003:

The increase in general and administrative expenses in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was primarily attributed to higher legal expenses related to patent filings and the opening and maintenance of international subsidiaries, higher audit and consulting fees associated with compliance with rules and regulations with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and a net increase of bad debt expense resulting from a deterioration in our aging. Each factor contributed approximately 18%, 23%, and 21%, respectively, to the variance.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The increase in general and administrative expenses in both absolute dollars and percentage of revenues was primarily attributed to higher bad debt expense, increased legal fees, and higher audit and consulting fees relating to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Each factor contributed approximately 34%, 20%, and 18% respectively, to the variance.

Acquired In-Process Research & Development Expenses

Edgar Filing: CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Acquired in-process research & development expenses relate to our licensing of components of Tavve's technology. Concord has licensed Tavve's root cause analysis and discovery of layer 2 and 3 network topology to build upon our current position in optimizing application availability and performance across networks and systems.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Acquired in-process research & development expenses | \$ | (100.0%) | \$ 994 | \$ 100 | (89.9%) | \$ 994 |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | | |
| Acquired in-process research & development expenses | 0.0% | | 3.7% | 0.1% | | 1.3% |

Table of Contents

Concord completed two purchases of source code from Tavve; one totaling \$1.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2003 and the second for \$0.1 million in the three months ending June 30, 2004.

Other Income, Net

Other income, net consists primarily of interest income, interest expense, foreign currency exchange gains (losses) and miscellaneous foreign taxes.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Interest Income | \$ 1,105 | 61.5% | \$ 684 | \$ 3,267 | 54.8% | \$ 2,110 |
| Interest Expense | (821) | N/A | | (2,463) | N/A | |
| Other Expense | (33) | -80.4% | (168) | (222) | -58.7% | (538) |
| Total Other Income, net | \$ 251 | -51.4% | \$ 516 | \$ 582 | -63.0% | \$ 1,572 |
| Percent of Total Revenues | | | | | | |
| Total Other Income, net | 1.0% | | 2.0% | 0.7% | | 2.1% |

Third Quarter 2004 Compared to Third Quarter 2003 and First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

The \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million increase in interest income in the three and nine months, respectively, ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 is attributable to the additional interest income generated by the investment of the \$83 million proceeds from the issuance of the Company's 3.0% Senior Convertible Notes due 2023 (the Notes) in December 2003.

Interest expense includes interest paid on the Notes and amortization of the issuance costs which primarily consist of investment banker, legal and other professional fees. Interest expense was \$0.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, while amortization expense was \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004. Issuance costs are being amortized over a five-year period to the first date holders of the Notes may require the Company to repurchase the outstanding Notes.

The decrease in other expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 is due to smaller foreign currency transaction and translation losses attributable to the US dollar remaining stable or slightly weaker against certain foreign currencies offset by the US dollar strengthening against the Euro.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes relates to federal, state and foreign taxes.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 | Sept 30, 2004 | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | \$ 104 | 11.8% | \$ 93 | \$ 13 | -96.3% |
| <i>Percent of Total Revenues</i> | | | | | |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 0.4% | | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.5% |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2003:

Our effective tax rate was 31% on a pre-tax profit of \$0.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2004 compared to 16% on a pre-tax profit of \$0.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2003. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2004 is a result of the change in our annual projected tax rates. The annual effective tax rate has been adjusted to include the impact of the Option Repurchase, for which we incurred compensation costs of approximately \$3.3 million for the purchase of certain outstanding options in the three month period ending December 31, 2004.

First Nine Months 2004 Compared to First Nine Months 2003:

Table of Contents

Our effective tax rate benefit was 14% on a pre-tax profit of \$0.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 compared to 12% on a pre-tax profit of \$2.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2003. The difference between the statutory federal income tax rate benefit of 35% and the effective tax rate benefit of 14% in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 12% tax rate provision in the nine months ended September 30, 2003 is due primarily to the change in our annual projected tax rates. The annual effective tax rate has been adjusted to include the impact of the Option Repurchase.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

| (in thousands) | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | December 31, 2003 |
|---|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Working Capital | \$ 148,428 | -0.7% | \$ 149,433 |
| Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities (net of restricted cash) | \$ 163,304 | 0.9% | \$ 161,891 |

| (in thousands) | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Sept 30, 2004 | Percent Change | Sept 30, 2003 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 3,277 | -61.7% | \$ 8,552 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$(51,453) | 311.5% | \$(12,504) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 1,674 | -3.8% | \$ 1,740 |

Working Capital

Working capital did not change significantly since December 31, 2003 as the partial reclassification of our deferred tax asset from short term to long term was offset by the decrease of accounts payable.

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities (net of restricted cash)

Cash and cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments in time deposits held at major banks, commercial paper, United States government agency discount notes, money market mutual funds and other money market securities with original maturities of 90 days or less. Marketable securities include similar highly liquid investments. The increase in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was primarily due to the increase of cash provided by operating activities, which were offset by a \$1.3 million decline of unrealized gain in marketable securities following the increase of interest rates.

Cash provided by operating activities

Accounts receivable as of September 30, 2004 is consistent with the December 31, 2003 balance of \$22.2 million. Average daily sales outstanding (DSO) for the quarter increased to 74 days at September 30, 2004 compared to 72 days at December 31, 2003. DSO is calculated by dividing the period end accounts receivable by the quarterly revenue. DSO measures both the age, in terms of days, of our accounts receivable and the average time it takes to turn the receivable into cash. There are a number of factors affecting DSO, including our payment terms, collection ability and the timing of sales made during a quarter. Deferred revenue as of September 30, 2004 as compared to December 31, 2003 increased by \$1.6 million, mainly due to an increase in new and renewed maintenance contracts to our larger customer base.

Cash used for investing activities

Investing activities have consisted of the investments in marketable securities, acquisition of property and equipment, most notably computer and networking equipment to support the corporate infrastructure, and business acquisitions. The Company manages its market risk on its investment securities by selecting investment grade

securities with the highest credit ratings and relatively short duration that trade in highly liquid markets. The negative cash flow from investing activities in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 primarily relates to an increase in the purchase of marketable securities from the proceeds of the Notes.

Cash provided by financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities consisted of the issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following is a summary of our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2004:

| (in thousands) | Year Ending December 31, | | | | | | Total |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | Thereafter | |
| Facility and certain equipment leases | \$1,054 | \$3,833 | \$2,176 | \$ 784 | \$ 154 | \$ 3 | \$ 8,004 |
| Minimum royalties payments | 50 | 125 | | | | | 175 |
| Convertible notes | | | | | 86,250 | | 86,250 |
| Interest payments on the Convertible Notes | 647 | 2,588 | 2,588 | 2,588 | 2,588 | | 10,999 |
| Purchase for cash of certain outstanding options | 3,295 | | | | | | 3,295 |
| Total commitments | \$5,046 | \$6,546 | \$4,764 | \$3,372 | \$88,992 | \$ 3 | \$108,723 |

Contractual obligations for 2004 only represents obligations to be recognized in the fourth quarter of 2004.

The Company leases its facilities and certain equipment under operating lease agreements extending through July 2009.

The Company has entered into several software license agreements that provide the Company with exclusive worldwide licenses to distribute or utilize certain patented computer software. The Company bundles third-party software in some of its products when it determines that bundling such software is cost-effective or increases the effectiveness of Concord's products. The minimum royalty payments are \$0.2 million.

On December 8, 2003, the Company raised \$82.7 million, net of fees and commissions, following the issuance of convertibles senior notes with a principal of \$86.25 million. The Notes mature in 2023 and bear interest of 3.0%, payable semi-annually in June and December of each year. Concord intends to use the net proceeds of the offering for working capital, general corporate purposes and potentially for future acquisitions of complementary businesses and technologies. Holders of the Notes may elect to convert some or all of the outstanding Notes upon certain events, including a change in control or if during a conversion period, the closing price of our stock exceeds \$32.24, which is 120% of the conversion price (\$26.87) for 20 trading days in a period of 30 trading days, which starts on the first business day of a quarter. If the threshold is met, then holders may convert their Notes into our common stock at any time during the succeeding 90 days, beginning on the 30th trading day of the quarter. We may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time on or after December 15, 2008. Holders have the right to require the Company to purchase all or a portion of their notes for cash on December 15, 2008, December 15, 2013 and December 15, 2018.

As of September 30, 2004, the Company's stock had not exceeded 120% of the conversion price on any trading day since December 8, 2003 and no other events had occurred which would make the Notes convertible. Holders may convert the notes into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 37.2148 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing a conversion price of approximately \$26.87 per share which converts

into 3,209,776 shares of common stock), subject to adjustment. We may redeem some or all of the Notes on or after December 15, 2008.

In October 2004, the Company consummated an offer to purchase any and all outstanding options to purchase shares of its common stock with an exercise price per share of \$25.00 or more granted under our 1997 Stock Plan (the Option Repurchase). In connection with the Option Repurchase, the Company incurred compensation expense of approximately \$3.3 million, excluding fees and expenses, in the three month period ending December 31, 2004. A total of 965,242 options were purchased; these shares will be returned to the pool of options available for new grants under the Concord s 1997 Stock Plan.

As of September 30, 2004, the Company had available for U.S. federal income tax purposes net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$21.3 million, which expire at various dates through 2021. In addition, as of September 30, 2004, the Company had U.S. federal research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$2.8 million, which expire at various dates through 2023. Under current tax law, the utilization of net operating loss and research and development tax credit carryforwards may be subject to annual limitations in the event of certain changes in ownership.

As required by SFAS No. 109, management of the Company has evaluated the positive and negative evidence bearing upon the realizability of the Company s deferred tax assets. The Company has reserved for a portion of its deferred tax assets by recording a

Table of Contents

valuation allowance. The resulting net deferred tax asset of \$10.1 million as of September 30, 2004 is based on the Company's estimate of future taxable income it expects to generate. The reversal of the deferred tax assets valuation allowance is based upon management's expectation that the Company will generate sufficient taxable income in future periods to allow it to realize its deferred tax assets resulting from the tax benefits associated with its net operating loss carryforwards and its research and development tax credit carryforwards, as well as certain other tax benefits related to book and tax income timing. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or the Company adjusts these estimates in future periods, the Company may need to establish an additional valuation allowance. Establishing new or additional valuation allowances could materially adversely impact the Company's financial position and results of operations.

As of September 30, 2004, the Company has recorded a deferred tax asset of approximately \$5.2 million reflecting the benefit of deductions from the exercise of stock options. The Company realized approximately \$2.7 million of this benefit as a credit to additional paid in capital during 2003. The remaining valuation allowance of \$1.9 million relates to stock option deductions and will be credited to additional paid-in capital when released.

As of September 30, 2004, we did not have any purchase commitments other than obligations incurred in the normal course of business. These amounts are accrued when services or goods are received. Since our operating results may fluctuate significantly, a decrease in customer demand or a decrease in the acceptance of our future products and services may impact our ability to generate positive cash flow from operations.

In the future, we expect cash will continue to be generated from our operations. We do not expect the level of cash used in investing activities to acquire property and equipment in 2004 to change significantly from fiscal 2003. We currently plan to reinvest our cash generated from operations in new short and long-term investments in high quality financial, government, and corporate securities or other investments, consistent with past investment practices, and therefore net cash used in investing activities may increase. Cash could be used in the future for acquisitions or strategic investments. There are no transactions, arrangements, or other relationships with non-consolidated entities or other persons that are reasonably likely to materially affect liquidity or the availability of our requirements for capital resources.

As of September 30, 2004, our principal sources of liquidity included cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities and we believe that our current cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and cash provided by future operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital, anticipated capital expenditures, contractual obligations and investment needs for at least the next 12 months. Although operating activities may provide cash in certain periods, to the extent the Company experiences growth in the future, our operating and investing activities may require additional cash. Consequently, any such future growth may require the Company to obtain additional equity or debt financing.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

At the September 2004 meeting of the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) a final consensus was reached on Issue 04-8, *Accounting Issues Related to Certain Features of Contingently Convertible Debt and the Effect on Diluted Earnings per Share*. The issue under consideration concerned whether the dilutive effect of contingently convertible debt with a market price trigger should be included in diluted earnings per share. The EITF determined that these securities should be treated as convertible securities and included in a dilutive earnings per share calculation (if dilutive), regardless of whether the market price trigger has been met. The effective date for this consensus will be the same as the effective date for the revised version of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, yet to be issued by the Board. The Board's most recent discussion on the effective date for SFAS No. 128R indicates that it will be effective for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2004. In the period of adoption, previously reported earnings per share will be retroactively restated. While the Company's Notes fall within the scope of EITF 04-8, the Company believes that the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on its diluted earnings per share as the inclusion of

such Notes is expected to be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

References in these risk factors to we, our, the Company, Concord, and us refer to Concord Communications, Inc., a Massachusetts corporation. Any investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition would likely suffer.

This Quarterly Report includes or incorporates by reference forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). For example, statements regarding our financial position, business strategy and other plans and objectives for future operations, and assumptions and predictions about future product demand, supply, manufacturing, costs, marketing and pricing factors are all forward-looking statements. We make such forward-looking statements under the provisions of the safe harbor section of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When we use words such as intend, anticipate, believe, estimate, plan, will or expect, and other like import, we are making forward-looking statements. We believe that the assumptions and expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, based on information available to us on the date hereof, but we cannot assure you that these assumptions and expectations will prove to have been correct or that we will take any action that we may presently be planning. We have disclosed certain important factors that could cause our actual future results to differ materially from our current expectations, including:

- variations in our quarterly operating results;
- integration of acquired products and technologies;
- announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors;
- introduction of new products or new pricing policies by us or our competitors;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant customer orders;
- acquisitions or strategic alliances by us or others in our industry;
- changes in global economic and political conditions;
- decreases in the purchases of services by our customers;
- relationships with strategic partners and other evolving distribution channels;
- the hiring or departure of key personnel;
- decreases in sales of products and services outside the United States
- our failure to continue to expand into international markets;
- failure to obtain or protect our intellectual property rights;
- changes in the software industry cycle;
- changes in the market for our products and services;

changes in market valuations of companies within the software industry;

changes in estimates of our performance or recommendations by financial analysts; and

the other risks and uncertainties described under **Factors That Could Affect Future Results**.

You should understand that forward-looking statements made in connection with this report are necessarily qualified by these

Table of Contents

factors. We are not undertaking to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement if we obtain new information or upon the occurrence of future events or otherwise.

Our future operating results are uncertain.

Our product functionality has expanded to include business service management features to penetrate the networks, systems and application performance markets. We have a limited operating history in these expanded markets upon which we can evaluate our business. These markets are highly competitive and rapidly evolving. Our limited operating history, intense competition in the market, and an uncertain economic climate make the accurate prediction of future results of operations difficult or impossible.

In addition to sales of our eHealth® Suite, we will continue to sell netViz® products, which enable customers to visualize business processes and map relationships within the supporting technology infrastructure through data-driven icons. We have a limited operating history in this market making the accurate prediction of future results of operations difficult or impossible.

Failure to successfully integrate acquisitions may adversely impact our business.

In July 2003, we completed the acquisition of netViz, a developer of software that enables customers to visualize business processes and allows them to map relationships within the supporting technology infrastructure through data-driven icons. We must continue to integrate the netViz personnel, manage operations and personnel in multiple locations, and retain and add new netViz customers. Our efforts to integrate netViz may not be successful, which could adversely impact our business. Future acquisition targets will likely present integration challenges similar to the challenges encountered in connection with the acquisition of netViz. The netViz acquisition and future acquisitions, if any, may adversely impact our business through:

failure to successfully integrate the acquired products;

failure to successfully integrate personnel and management structures;

failure to retain key customers;

failure to retain key employees;

failure to effectively control costs associated with the integration (including research and development costs);

failure to maintain and grow the business of the acquired company when continuing that company's operations

failure to meet expected timelines for product development and commercialization; and

exposure to liabilities of the acquired company that were not known or accurately evaluated by us prior to consummating the acquisition.

Even if our acquisitions are fully and successfully integrated, we may not fully realize all of the expected benefits of such acquisitions, including the expected financial results or the expected benefits to our product line.

Failure to successfully incorporate new technologies may adversely impact our business.

During the third fiscal quarter of 2003, we completed the acquisition of netViz and entered into a license agreement with Tavve Software Co. to acquire Tavve's technology relating to root cause analysis and discovery of

layers 2 and 3 of the network topology. We continue to work on the integration of the netViz® technology, the eHealth® Suite technology, and the Tavve technology to enable customers to employ data-driven icons to visualize and take action on the critical relationships between business processes, application services, and network and system infrastructures.

While we believe the incorporation of these technologies into our products will increase our products and services revenue, the markets for these integrated products are at an early stage of development and are evolving, thereby making it difficult to assess:

the size of the markets;

Table of Contents

the competitive landscape;

the appropriate features and prices for products to address the markets;

the optimal distribution and marketing strategy; and

the markets that will develop and the impact of large competitors within the markets.

We cannot ensure that our revenues will grow or that we will continue to be profitable.

We have expended considerable resources to the research and development of new technologies and new or improved product features that have enabled us to retain existing customers and penetrate new markets both in the United States and internationally. These efforts have focused on key areas of our business including developing solutions to meet the needs of the business service management, VoIP, and mobile wireless markets. We cannot ensure that we can generate revenue growth on a quarterly or annual basis, or that we can achieve or sustain any revenue growth in the future.

In an effort to achieve profitability and adequately fund research and development, we continue to work to reduce or maintain our operating expenses as a percentage of total revenues while maintaining necessary funding for company operations. However, competition in the marketplace may require us to increase our operating expenses in the future in order to:

fund higher levels of research and development;

increase our sales and marketing efforts;

increase sales staff and sales training programs;

develop new distribution channels;

broaden our customer support capabilities; and

respond to unforeseeable economic or business circumstances.

To the extent that increases in our operating expenses precede or are not followed by increased revenues, our ability to achieve profitability will be at risk.

Our operating results may fluctuate.

We are likely to experience significant fluctuations in our operating results caused by many factors, including, but not limited to:

changes in the demand for our products by customers or groups of customers;

the acceptance by customers of our products, including our business service management products;

the timing, composition, and size of orders from our customers, including the tendency for significant bookings to occur in the final two weeks of each fiscal quarter (including the fiscal year end);

difficulties penetrating new markets for our products;

costs associated with the integration of acquired companies and/or new technologies;

our customers' spending patterns and budgetary resources for our products;

geopolitical conditions in the world;

the success of our new customer generation activities;

35

Table of Contents

introductions or enhancements of products, or delays in the introductions or enhancements of products, by us or our competitors;

changes in our pricing policies or those of our competitors;

changes in the distribution channels through which our products are sold;

our success in anticipating and effectively adapting to developing markets and rapidly changing technologies;

our success in attracting, retaining, and motivating qualified personnel, including maintaining an adequately staffed sales team;

the publication of opinions about us and our products, or our competitors and their products, by industry analysts or others;

changes in general economic conditions; and

changes in accounting rules.

Though our service revenues continue to increase as a percentage of total revenues, we do not have a significant ongoing revenue stream that may mitigate quarterly fluctuations in operating results.

Due to all of the foregoing factors, we believe that our quarterly operating results are likely to vary significantly in the future. Therefore, in some future quarter our results of operations may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In such event, the trading price of our common stock will likely suffer.

We have increased our leverage as a result of the sale of the 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2023.

In connection with the sale of the Notes, we have incurred \$86.25 million of indebtedness. As a result of this indebtedness, our interest payment obligations have increased. The degree to which we are now leveraged could adversely affect our ability to obtain further financing for working capital, acquisitions or other purposes and could make us more vulnerable to industry downturns and competitive pressures. Our ability to meet our debt service obligations will be dependent upon our future performance, which may be subject to the financial, business and other factors affecting our operations, many of which are beyond our control.

Our debt service obligations may adversely affect our cash flow.

A higher level of indebtedness increases the risk that we may default on our debt obligations. We cannot assure that we will be able to generate sufficient cash flow to pay the interest on our debt or that future working capital, borrowings or equity financing will be available to pay or refinance such debt. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow to pay the interest on our debt, we may have to delay or curtail our research and development programs.

The level of our indebtedness among other things, could:

make it difficult for us to make payments on the Notes;

make it difficult for us to obtain any necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements or other purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we compete; and

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business.

Our success is dependent upon sales to telecommunication carriers and service providers.

We derive and likely will continue to derive a significant portion of our revenues from the sales of our products and services to telecommunication carriers and service providers. Purchases of products and services by telecommunication carriers and service providers have been subject to unpredictable buying patterns, which may continue in the future. The volume of sales of our products and services to telecommunication carriers and service providers may increase at a slower rate than we expect or our sales to these

Table of Contents

customers may decrease.

The market for business service management software is emerging.

The market for our business service management software is in an early stage of development. Targeting this market is central to the development and marketing of our products, but this market is emerging, and it is difficult to assess:

the size of the business service management market;

the appropriate features and prices for products to address this market;

the optimal distribution strategy; and

the market that will develop and the impact of large competitors within the market.

Presently, this market is very competitive and we are in direct competition with larger companies that have substantially greater resources to fund the development of competitive products and the creation and maintenance of direct and indirect sales channels. The rapidly evolving application performance market and the continued presence of larger companies in this market may impact our ability to retain or increase our market share.

Market acceptance of our eHealth® products and services is critical to our success.

We derive significant revenues from the sale of eHealth® Suite products and services, and we expect that revenues from these products and services will continue to account for a significant portion of our revenues in the foreseeable future. Broad market acceptance of these products and services is critical to our future success. We cannot ensure that market acceptance of our eHealth® Suite products and services will increase or even remain at current levels. Factors that may affect the market acceptance of our products and services include:

the availability and price of competing integrated solutions, products and technologies;

our ability to continue to provide product functionality and related services to meet the needs of our market;

our ability to continue research and development at levels necessary for the growth of our business;

our ability to provide services that meet the needs of the market;

the demand for integrated, as opposed to stand-alone, solutions; and

the success of our sales and marketing efforts and those of our distribution partners.

Our success is dependent upon continued purchases of services by our customers.

We derive and likely will continue to derive a significant portion of our revenues from the sales of our services, specifically including the sale of technical support services, to our customers. A decrease in the number of customers purchasing services may adversely impact our company. We continually assess our services offerings to enable us to provide services that are desired by our customers. In general, most of our customers purchase services when buying our products, but we cannot ensure that our future services offerings will meet the demands of the market and a corresponding decrease in services revenue may occur.

Increased royalty costs could adversely impact our business.

We license from third parties, generally on a non-exclusive basis, certain technologies used in our products, including the Oracle® database. The incorporation of third party technology is an important component of our product development and an increase in royalty costs associated with our distribution of third party technologies could adversely impact our business. Additionally, the termination of any such licenses, or the failure of the third-party licensors to adequately maintain or update their products, could

Table of Contents

require us to implement technology offered by alternative sources, and any required replacement licenses and associated royalties could prove costly and adversely impact our business.

The market for application availability and performance management software is emerging.

The market for our application availability and performance products is in an early stage of development. Although the rapid expansion and increasing complexity of computer applications, systems, and networks in recent years have increased the demand for availability (i.e., fault) and performance management software products, the awareness of, and the demand for, an integrated fault and performance solution is a recent development; therefore, it is difficult to assess:

the size of this market;

the appropriate features and prices for products to address this market;

the optimal distribution strategy; and

the market that will develop and the impact of large competitors within the market.

The development of this market and our growth will depend significantly upon the desire and success of telecommunication carriers, managed services providers, and enterprise customers to integrate availability and performance management functionality into their applications, systems, and networks. Moreover, if demand for integrated availability and performance management software products and services increases, we anticipate that our competitors will introduce additional competitive products and services and new competitors could enter our market and offer alternative products and services which could result in decreased market acceptance of our products and services.

The markets for our products are intensely competitive and rapidly evolving.

We sell software products designed to help companies effectively manage their applications, systems, and networks. As we offer application availability and performance products to manage the IT infrastructure, we compete both with companies that market comprehensive products to manage the IT infrastructure and with companies that market products for particular segments of the IT infrastructure (e.g., applications and networks). The markets for our products are intensely competitive and rapidly evolving. Our competitors include:

application performance and availability management software vendors;

business service management solution vendors;

fault management software vendors;

IT visualization software vendors;

report toolset niche vendors;

enterprise management software, framework and platform providers;

software vendors providing service assurance for the wireless market;

large, well-established management framework companies that have developed network or application management platforms;

developers of network element management solutions;

probe vendors;

telecommunications vendors;

system agent vendors; and

Table of Contents

vendors that provide, as a service, some of the functionality of our products.

We expect competition to persist, increase, and intensify in the future, which will likely result in price competition within our relevant market. If we do not provide products that achieve success in our market in the short term, we could suffer an insurmountable loss in market share and brand name acceptance. We cannot ensure that we will compete effectively with current and future competitors.

Market acceptance of our netViz® products is critical to our success.

We market and sell netViz® products and services. Our revenue is derived primarily from the sale of eHealth® Suite products and services, but revenue derived from the sale of netViz® products and services constitutes an important component of our quarterly and annual results. Market acceptance of the netViz® products and services is critical to our future success. We cannot ensure that market acceptance of netViz® products and services will increase or even remain at current levels. Factors that may affect the market acceptance of netViz® products and services include:

the availability and price of competitive products and services;

the ability of others to develop products and services that meet the needs of the market;

our ability to continue to provide product functionality and related services to meet the needs of our market;

our ability to continue research and development at levels necessary for the growth of our business;

our ability to partner with third parties as needed to deliver a product that meets the needs of our customers

the demand for data-driven visualization software; and

the success of our sales efforts and those of our channel partners.

Moreover, if demand for data-driven visualization products increases, we anticipate increased competition in the market from existing and new competitors that could enter our market and offer alternative products which could result in decreased market acceptance of our products.

There are limitations on the effectiveness of our controls.

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls will prevent all errors and intentional misrepresentations. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or intentional conduct may occur and not be detected.

We may need future capital funding.

We plan to continue to expend substantial funds on the continued development, marketing, and sale of our products. While we have approximately \$163.3 million in short term investments (cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities) as of September 30, 2004, we cannot ensure that our existing capital resources and any funds that may be generated from future operations together will be sufficient to finance our future operations or that other sources of funding will be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. In addition, future sales of substantial amounts of our securities in the public market could adversely affect prevailing market prices and could impair our future ability to raise capital through the sale of our securities.

We must introduce product enhancements and new products on a timely basis.

Table of Contents

In order to remain competitive, we must introduce product enhancements and new products on a timely basis. Because of rapid technological change in the software industry, potential changes in the architecture of the IT infrastructure, changes in the software markets in which our product and services are sold, and changes in industry standards, the market acceptance of updated versions of our products is difficult to estimate. We cannot ensure that:

we will successfully develop and market enhancements to our products or successfully develop new products that respond to technological changes, evolving industry standards, or customer requirements;

we will not experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction, and sale of enhancements or new products; or

enhancements or new products will adequately address the requirements of the marketplace and achieve market acceptance.

The need for our products may decrease if manufacturers incorporate our product features into their product offerings.

Our products are used to manage computer applications, systems, and networks. Presently, manufacturers of both hardware and software have not implemented these functions into their products in any significant manner. These products typically include, but are not limited to, operating systems, workstations, network devices, and software. If manufacturers begin to incorporate these functions into their products, specifically including application vendors, it may decrease the value of our products and have a substantial impact on our business.

Current geopolitical instability and the continuing threat of domestic and international terrorist attacks may adversely impact our revenues.

International tensions, exacerbated by the war in Iraq and the war against global terrorism, contribute to an uncertain political and economic climate, both in the United States and globally, which may affect our ability to generate revenue on a predictable basis. As we sell products both in the United States and internationally, the threat of future terrorist attacks may adversely affect our business.

An adverse impact on our outsourcing activities may affect our business.

We currently outsource, on a limited basis, development and testing of certain software products to locations in Europe and Asia. Our efforts to outsource development and testing of software may be adversely affected by various factors, including: geopolitical instability, political conditions in countries where our development and testing activities occur, increased costs associated with outsourcing, relationships with independent contractors performing such product development and testing, and the enforceability of legal arrangements by which we protect our intellectual property rights in connection with these activities. The occurrence of any event that would adversely affect our outsourcing of development and testing of software may have an impact on our business.

Our common stock price could experience significant volatility.

The market price of our common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to:

variations in results of operations;

announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors;

changes in financial estimates by securities analysts;

announcements of results of operations by other companies;

announcements by government or other agencies regarding the economic health of the United States and the rest of the world;

announcements relating to financial improprieties by public companies; or

other events or factors.

Table of Contents

Broad market fluctuations or any failure of our operating results in a particular quarter to meet market expectations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock leading to an increased risk of securities class action litigation. Such litigation could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our attention and resources.

We must continue to integrate new technologies and standards.

The software industry is characterized by:

rapid technological change;

frequent introductions of new products;

changes in customer demands; and

evolving industry standards.

The introduction of products embodying new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards can render existing products and integrated product solutions obsolete and unmarketable. While we actively work to develop products that operate with standard protocols, any change in industry standards or the emergence of new network technologies could affect the compatibility of our products, which in turn could affect the demand for, or the pricing of, our products and services.

We rely on strategic partners and other evolving distribution channels.

Our distribution strategy is to develop multiple distribution channels, including sales through:

strategic marketing partners;

value added resellers;

service providers;

system integrators;

telecommunication carriers;

original equipment manufacturers; and

independent software vendors.

We have developed a number of these relationships and intend to continue to develop new channel partner relationships to increase products and services sales through our distribution channels. We have focused on identifying and developing our key distribution partners worldwide to maximize the success of our indirect sales. Our success depends upon our ability to attract and retain valuable channel partners and to accurately assess the size and vitality of the markets in which our products and services are sold. While we have implemented policies and procedures to achieve this and will continue to undertake efforts to improve our channel business, we cannot predict the extent to which we are able to attract and retain financially stable, motivated channel partners. Additionally, our channel partners may not be successful in marketing and selling our products and services. We may:

fail to attract important and effective channel partners;

fail to penetrate our targeted market segments through the use of channel partners; or

lose any of our channel partners, as a result of competitive products and services offered by other companies, products and services developed internally by these channel partners, their financial insolvency or otherwise.
We may fail to manage successfully the growth of our business.

Table of Contents

We have experienced employee turnover in our sales force; our products and services have become increasingly complex, and our distribution channels are being developed and expanded. The rapid evolution of our markets and the increasing complexity of our products and services have placed, and is likely to continue to place, significant strains on our administrative, operational, and financial resources and increase demands on our internal systems, procedures, and controls that may impact our ability to grow our business.

Our success depends on attracting, retaining, and training key personnel.

Our performance depends substantially on the performance of our key technical, senior management, finance, sales and marketing personnel. We may lose, or fail to attract, the services of key personnel. However, we cannot ensure that we will successfully attract, assimilate, or retain highly qualified technical, managerial or sales and marketing personnel in the future.

In addition to attracting and retaining key personnel, we have also expended efforts to improve the training of our sales personnel. While we believe that the sales training should improve sales going forward, we cannot ensure that the sales training will be effective or that revenue will increase following completion of the sales training.

Our failure to continue to expand into international markets could harm our business.

We intend to continue to expand our operations outside of the United States and enter additional international markets, primarily through the establishment of channel partner arrangements. We expect to commit additional time and development resources to customizing our products and services for selected international markets and to developing international sales and support channels. We cannot ensure that such efforts will be successful.

We face certain difficulties and risks inherent in doing business internationally, including, but not limited to:

- costs of customizing products and services for international markets;
- dependence on independent resellers;
- multiple and conflicting regulations;
- exchange controls;
- longer payment cycles;
- unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;
- import and export restrictions and tariffs;
- difficulties in staffing and managing international operations;
- greater difficulty or delay in accounts receivable collections;
- potentially adverse tax consequences;
- compliance with a variety of laws outside the United States;
- the impact of possible recessionary environments in economies outside the United States;

political and economic instability; and

exposure to foreign currency fluctuations.

Presently, the majority of our current export sales are denominated in United States dollars. To the extent that international sales continue to be denominated in United States dollars, an increase in the value of the United States dollar relative to other currencies could make our products and services more expensive and, therefore, potentially less competitive in international markets. In certain

Table of Contents

European Union countries, however, we have introduced pricing in Euros. In our Asia-Pacific region, we have introduced pricing in Australian dollars. While we do maintain a foreign currency-hedging program on accounts receivable, to the extent that future international sales are denominated in foreign currency, our operating results will be subject to risks associated with foreign currency fluctuation.

Our failure to protect our intellectual property rights may harm our competitive position.

Our success depends significantly upon our proprietary technology. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, product and services agreements, non-disclosure agreements, and other contractual provisions to establish, maintain, and protect our proprietary rights. These means afford only limited protection.

We cannot ensure that patents will issue from our pending applications or from any future applications or that, if issued, any claims allowed will be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. In addition, we cannot ensure that any patents that have been or may be issued will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that any rights granted by those patents would protect our proprietary rights. Failure of any patents to protect our technology may make it easier for our competitors to offer equivalent or superior technology.

We have sought also to protect our intellectual property through the use of copyright, trademark, and trade secret laws. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or services, or to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Third parties may also independently develop similar technology without breach of our proprietary rights.

In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States. In addition, some of our products are licensed under end user license agreements (also known as shrink-wrap licenses) that are not signed by licensees. The law governing the enforceability of shrink-wrap license agreements is not settled in most jurisdictions. There can be no guarantee that we would achieve success in enforcing one or more shrink-wrap license agreements if we sought to do so in a court of law.

We license intellectual property from third parties.

We license from third parties, generally on a non-exclusive basis, certain technologies used in our products. The incorporation of third party technology is an important component of our product development and the termination of any such licenses, or the failure of the third-party licensors to adequately maintain or update their products, could result in delay in our shipment of certain of our products while we seek to implement technology offered by alternative sources, and any required replacement licenses could prove costly. While it may be necessary or desirable in the future to obtain other licenses relating to one or more of our products or relating to current or future technologies, we cannot ensure that we will be successful in doing so on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

We ship Oracle® software as the database on which the eHealth® Suite runs. As with any vendor, there also is no guarantee that Oracle will be able to continue to deliver to us an acceptable database solution, nor that the product Oracle delivers will continue to interface effectively with our eHealth® Suite of products.

Intellectual property infringement claims would harm our business.

Although we do not believe that we are infringing upon the intellectual property rights of others, claims of infringement are becoming increasingly common as the software industry develops legal protections for software products. Litigation may be necessary to protect our proprietary technology, and third parties may assert infringement claims against us with respect to their proprietary rights. Any claims or litigation can be time-consuming and expensive regardless of their merit. Infringement claims against us can cause product release delays, require us to

redesign our products, or require us to enter into royalty or license agreements which may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Involvement in litigation may adversely impact our business.

In addition to the risks associated with intellectual property infringement litigation, our operations include new product offerings and expanding distribution channels that may increase the risk of litigation. Any litigation can be time-consuming and expensive regardless of merit. In addition to the expense incurred in litigation, corporate resources, including key employees, may be needed to prepare for litigation and could require a temporary diversion from daily responsibilities that could impact product development, sales

Table of Contents

activities, and management of the company. Therefore, any litigation could adversely affect our business and financial condition and decrease future revenues.

We may not have sufficient protection against product liability claims.

Because our products are used by our customers to identify and predict current and future application, system, and network problems and to avoid failures of the IT infrastructure to support critical business functions, design defects, software errors, misuse of our products, incorrect data from network elements, or other potential problems, within or out of our control, may arise from the use of our products and could result in financial or other damages to our customers. Our license agreements with our customers typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential claims as well as any liabilities arising from such claims. As a matter of practice, our license agreements limit our liability in regards to product liability claims, and in many agreements, our maximum liability for product liability claims is limited to the equivalent of the cost of the products licensed under that agreement. However, any litigation or similar procedure related to a product liability claim may require considerable resources to be expended that could adversely affect our business and financial condition and decrease future revenues.

The conviction of Arthur Andersen LLP may limit potential recoveries related to their prior service as our independent auditors.

Arthur Andersen LLP served as our independent auditors until June 10, 2002. On June 10, 2002, we dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP and on June 11, 2002, we retained PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent auditors. On June 15, 2002, Arthur Andersen LLP was found guilty on federal obstruction of justice charges arising from the government's investigation of Enron Corporation. Following this conviction, on August 31, 2002, Arthur Andersen LLP ceased operations and can no longer reissue its audit reports or provide its consent to include the financial statements to be included in this quarterly report. Accordingly, Arthur Andersen LLP has not performed any procedures in connection with the preparation and filing of this report. Events arising out of the indictment and conviction will likely preclude Arthur Andersen LLP from satisfying any claims arising from the provision of auditing services to us, including claims that may arise out of Arthur Andersen LLP's audit of financial statements incorporated by reference in this quarterly report.

Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules require us to present historical audited financial statements in various SEC filings, along with consents from Arthur Andersen LLP to include its audit report in those filings. In light of the cessation of Arthur Andersen LLP's SEC practice, we will not be able to obtain the consent of Arthur Andersen LLP for the inclusion of its audit report in our relevant current and future filings. The SEC has provided regulatory relief designed to allow companies that file reports with the SEC to dispense with the requirement to file a consent of Arthur Andersen LLP in certain circumstances, but purchasers of securities sold under our registration statements, which were not filed with the consent of Arthur Andersen LLP for the inclusion of its audit report will not be able to file suit against Arthur Andersen LLP pursuant to Section 11(a)(4) of the Securities Act and therefore their right of recovery under that section may be limited as a result of the lack of our ability to obtain the consent of Arthur Andersen LLP. If the SEC ceases to accept financial statements from a prior period audited by Arthur Andersen LLP for which Arthur Andersen LLP will not reissue an audit report prior to the date on which our periodic reports are due, our ability to make timely filings with the SEC and access the capital markets could be impaired. In that case, we would not be able to make timely filings with the SEC or access the public capital markets unless another independent accounting firm were able to audit the financial statements originally audited by Arthur Andersen LLP.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

(a) Market and Interest Rate Risk

Most of Concord's current export sales are denominated in United States dollars. To the extent that a majority of our international sales continue to be denominated in United States dollars, an increase in the value of the United States dollar relative to other currencies could make our products and services more expensive and, therefore, potentially less competitive in international markets. Substantially all of our business outside the United States is conducted in U.S. dollar-denominated transactions, whereas our operating expenses in our foreign operations are denominated in local currency. We believe that the operating expenses of our foreign operations are immaterial and therefore any associated market risk is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

All of the Company's investments are in investment grade securities with high credit ratings of relatively short duration that trade in highly liquid markets and are carried at fair value on the Company's books. Accordingly, the Company has no quantitative information concerning the market risk of participating in such investments. Due to the short-term nature of our investments, we believe we have minimal market risk. The Company's investment portfolio of cash equivalents and marketable securities is subject to interest rate fluctuations but the Company believes this risk is immaterial due to the short-term nature of these investments.

(b) Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We use forward contracts from time to time to reduce our exposure to foreign currency risk due to fluctuations in exchange rates underlying the value of accounts receivable denominated in foreign currencies held until such receivables are collected. A forward contract obligates us to exchange predetermined amounts of specified foreign currencies at specified exchange rates on specified dates. These forward contracts, to qualify as hedges of existing assets, are denominated in the same currency in which the underlying foreign currency receivables are denominated and bear a contract value and maturity date that approximate the value and expected settlement date, respectively, of the underlying transactions. For contracts that are designated and effective as hedges, unrealized gains and losses on open contracts at the end of each accounting period, resulting from changes in the fair value of these contracts, are recognized in earnings in the same period as gains and losses on the underlying foreign denominated receivables are recognized and generally offset.

We do not enter into or hold derivatives for trading or speculative purposes, and we only enter into contracts with highly rated financial institutions. We plan to continue to utilize forward contracts and other instruments in the future to reduce our exposure to exchange rate fluctuations from accounts receivable denominated in foreign currencies, and we may not be able to do this successfully. Accordingly, we may experience economic loss and a negative impact on earnings and equity as a result of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. At September 30, 2004, the Company did not have any forward contracts outstanding.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 15d-14(c). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's

President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in enabling the Company to record, process, summarize, and report information required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings within the required time period.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the fiscal quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or would be reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

FORM 10-Q, September 30, 2004

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

(a) Claims

On April 30, 2004, the Company received a letter from LMS Technology Distributions SDN BHD (LMS) of Malaysia that demands that the Company reimburse LMS for approximately \$4.65 million in alleged losses arising out of the Company's purported wrongful termination of a Concord Authorized Reseller Agreement (the CAR Agreement) with LMS. The Company disputes that the CAR Agreement was wrongfully terminated or that LMS is owed any of the amounts claimed, and the Company intends to defend vigorously against the demand. It is not possible to predict or determine the outcome of these demands or to provide ranges of losses that may arise, if any.

In November 2003, Concord received notice from a former sales employee in France, stating that he was wrongfully dismissed in July 2003. The former employee filed a wrongful termination lawsuit against Concord claiming approximately \$0.4 million in damages. Concord disputes that the former employee was wrongfully terminated and intends to vigorously defend against this claim. However, Concord has determined, in the three month period ending September 30, 2004, that the amount of the loss was probable and could be estimated as defined by SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*; thus, an amount of \$0.12 million has been accrued.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Use of Proceeds

On October 16, 1997, Concord commenced an initial public offering (IPO) of 2,900,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to the Company's final prospectus dated October 15, 1997 (the Prospectus). The Prospectus was contained in the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, which was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC File No. 333-33227) on October 15, 1997. Of the 2,900,000 shares of common stock registered, 2,300,000 shares were offered and sold by the Company and 600,000 shares were offered and sold by certain stockholders of the Company. As part of the IPO, the Company granted the several underwriters an over allotment option to purchase up to an additional 435,000 shares of common stock (the Underwriters' Option). The IPO closed on October 21, 1997 upon the sale of 2,900,000 shares of common stock to the underwriters. The managing underwriters for the IPO were Nationsbank Montgomery Securities Inc., BancAmerica Robertson Stephens and Wessels, Arnold and Henderson, L.L.C (the Representatives). On October 24, 1997, the Representatives, on behalf of the several underwriters, exercised the Underwriters' Option, purchasing 435,000 additional shares of common stock from the Company. The aggregate offering price of the IPO to the public was \$40,600,000 (exclusive of the Underwriters' Option), with proceeds to the Company and selling shareholders, after deduction of the underwriting discount, of \$29,946,000 (before deducting offering expenses payable by the Company) and \$7,812,000, respectively. The aggregate offering price of the Underwriters' Option exercised was \$6,090,000, with proceeds to the Company, after deduction of the underwriting discount, of \$5,663,700 (before deducting offering expenses payable by the Company). The aggregate amount of expenses incurred by the Company in connection with the issuance and distribution of the shares of common stock offered and sold in the IPO were approximately \$3.6 million, including

\$2.7 million in underwriting discounts and commissions and \$950,000 in other offering expenses.

None of the expenses paid by the Company in connection with the IPO or the exercise of the Underwriters' Option was paid, directly or indirectly, to directors, officers, persons owning ten percent or more of the Company's equity securities, or affiliates of the Company.

The net proceeds to the Company from the IPO, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses were approximately \$34.7 million. To date, the Company has not utilized any of the net proceeds from the IPO. The Company has invested all such net proceeds primarily in US treasury obligations and other interest bearing investment grade securities. None of the net proceeds from the IPO was used to pay, directly or indirectly, directors, officers, persons owning ten percent or more of the Company's equity securities, or affiliates of the Company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the three months ended September 30, 2004.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Report.

Table of Contents

CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

FORM 10-Q, September 30, 2004

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Concord Communications, Inc.

/s/ Melissa H. Cruz

November 5, 2004

Name: Melissa H. Cruz
Title: Executive Vice President, Business Services
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal
Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

The following designated exhibits are either filed herewith or, where information is provided under the SEC Document Reference heading corresponding to such exhibit, incorporated by reference to such filing

| Exhibit No. | Description | SEC Document Reference |
|--------------------|---|---|
| 3.01 | Restated Articles of Organization of the Company | Exhibit No. 3.01 on Form 10-K, for the period ended December 31, 1997 |
| 3.02 | Restated By-laws of the Company | Exhibit No. 3.02 on Form 10-K, for the period ended December 31, 1998 |
| 4.01 | Indenture by and between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee dated as of December 8, 2003. | Exhibit No. 4.3 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-112091) |
| 4.02 | Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. dated as of December 8, 2003. | Exhibit No. 4.4 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-112091) |
| 10.03 | Equipment Line of Credit Letter Agreement between the Company and Fleet Bank dated as of June 9, 1997 | Exhibit No. 10.03 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.04 | 1995 Stock Plan of the Company | Exhibit No. 10.04 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.05 | 1997 Stock Plan of the Company | Exhibit No. 10.01 on Form 10-Q, for the period ended June 30, 1998 |
| 10.06 | 1997 Stock Plan of the Company, as amended on March 12, 1998, March 1, 1999, May 15, 1999 and March 8, 2000 | Exhibit No. 10.06 on Form 10-K, for the period ended December 31, 2000 |
| 10.07 | 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of the Company | Exhibit No. 10.06 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.08 | 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan as amended on March 8, 2000 and April 25, 2001 | Exhibit No. 10.08 on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2000 |
| 10.09 | The Profit Sharing/401(K) Plan of the Company | Exhibit No. 10.08 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.10 | Lease Agreement between the Company and John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company dated March 17, 1994, as amended on March 25, 1997 | Exhibit No. 10.09 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |

Edgar Filing: CONCORD COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 10.11 | First Amendment to Lease Agreement between the Company and John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company dated March 25, 1997 | Exhibit No. 10.10 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
|-------|--|---|

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | SEC Document Reference |
|--------------------|--|---|
| 10.12 | Form of Indemnification Agreement for directors and officers of the Company | Exhibit No. 10.11 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.13 | Restated Common Stock Registration Rights Agreement between the Company and certain investors dated August 7, 1986 | Exhibit No. 10.12 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.14 | Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement between the Company and certain investors dated December 28, 1995 | Exhibit No. 10.13 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.15 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and John A. Blaeser dated as of August 7, 1997 | Exhibit No. 10.14 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.16 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Kevin J. Conklin dated as of July 23, 1997 | Exhibit No. 10.15 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.17 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Ferdinand Engel dated as of July 23, 1997 | Exhibit No. 10.16 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.19 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Melissa H. Cruz dated as of June 12, 2000 | Exhibit No. 10.18 on Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2000 |
| 10.21 | Stock Option Agreement dated January 1, 1996 between the Company and John A. Blaeser | Exhibit No. 10.19 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.22 | Stock Option Agreement dated January 1, 1996 between the Company and John A. Blaeser | Exhibit No. 10.20 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.24 | Form of Shrink-Wrap License | Exhibit No. 10.22 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-33227) |
| 10.28 | 2000 Non-Executive Employee Equity Incentive Plan | Exhibit 10.28 on Form 10-K, for the period ended December 31, 2000 |
| 10.31 | 2001 Non-Executive Employee Stock Purchase Plan | Exhibit No. 10.31 on Form 10-Q filed on November 5, 2001 |
| 10.34 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Douglas Batt dated as of November 18, 2002 | Exhibit No. 10.34 on Form 10-K filed on March 19, 2003 |

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 10.35 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Daniel Sheahan dated as of November 18, 2002 | Exhibit No. 10.35 on Form 10-K filed on March 19, 2003 |
|-------|---|---|

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | SEC Document Reference |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 10.36 | Employment Agreement between the Company and Daniel Sheahan dated August 10, 2001 | Exhibit No. 10.36 on Form 10-K filed on March 19, 2003 |
| 10.37 | Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and Vo Ngoc Tran dated as of July 17, 2003. | Exhibit No. 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-108068) |
| 10.38 | Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. dated as of December 8, 2003. | Exhibit No. 4.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-112091) |
| 10.39 | Management Agreement between the Company and Ellen (Kokos) Rogers dated as of October 22, 2003 | Exhibit No. 10.39 on Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2003 |
| 10.40 | Management Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Dayton Semerjian dated as of April 30, 2004 | Exhibit No. 10.40 on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004 |
| 12.01 | Statement regarding Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | Exhibit No. 12.01 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-112091) |
| 21.01 | Subsidiaries of the Company | Exhibit No. 21.01 on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2003 |
| *31.01 | Certification of John A. Blaeser pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| *31.02 | Certification of Melissa H. Cruz pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| *32.01 | Certification of John A. Blaeser pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| *32.02 | Certification of Melissa H. Cruz pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |

* filed herewith

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.