HEALTHCARE TRUST OF AMERICA, INC. Form POS AM March 30, 2011

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2011 Registration No. 333-158418

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Post-Effective Amendment No. 2

to

Form S-11
FOR REGISTRATION UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
OF SECURITIES OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE COMPANIES
HEALTHCARE TRUST OF AMERICA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its governing instruments)

16435 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 320 Scottsdale, AZ 85254 (480) 998-3478

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Scott D. Peters

Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman 16435 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 320 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 (480) 998-3478

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copy to:

Lesley H. Solomon Alston & Bird LLP 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309 (404) 881-7000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to public: As soon as practicable after the effectiveness of the registration statement.

If any of the Securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act, check the following box: b

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller

reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company o

Table of Contents

This Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 consists of the following:

- 1. The registrant s prospectus dated March 19, 2010, included herewith.
- 2. Supplement No. 14 dated March 30, 2011, filed herewith, which will be delivered as an unattached document along with the prospectus dated March 19, 2010. Supplement No. 14 supersedes and replaces Supplement No. 1 dated March 19, 2010, Supplement No. 2 dated March 19, 2010, Supplement No. 3 dated June 17, 2010, Supplement No. 4 dated August 16, 2010, Supplement No. 5 dated August 20, 2010, Supplement No. 6 dated October 15, 2010, Supplement No. 7 dated October 19, 2010, Supplement No. 8 dated November 3, 2010, Supplement No. 9 dated November 24, 2010, Supplement No. 10 dated December 8, 2010, Supplement No. 11 dated December 22, 2010, Supplement No. 12 dated January 21, 2011 and Supplement No. 13 dated March 9, 2011, each of which was previously filed on the date thereof.
 - 3. Part II, included herewith.
 - 4. Signatures, included herewith.

Table of Contents

Maximum Offering of \$2,200,000,000

We are a self-managed Maryland corporation formed to invest in a diversified portfolio of medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. We qualified and elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2007 and we intend to continue to be taxed as a REIT.

We commenced our initial public offering of shares of our common stock on September 20, 2006, which we refer to as our initial offering. As of March 12, 2010, we had raised gross offering proceeds of \$1,448,044,000 from approximately 39,000 stockholders pursuant to our initial offering, which terminated on March 19, 2010. As of March 12, 2010, we had made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions comprising 184 buildings with approximately 7.5 million square feet of gross leasable area in 21 states.

In this follow-on offering, we are offering to the public up to \$2,000,000,000 in shares of our common stock in our primary offering for \$10.00 per share and \$200,000,000 in shares of our common stock to be issued pursuant to our distribution reinvestment plan for \$9.50 per share during our primary offering. We reserve the right to reallocate the shares of common stock we are offering between the primary offering and the distribution reinvestment plan.

This investment involves a high degree of risk. You should purchase these securities only if you can afford the complete loss of your investment. See Risk Factors beginning on page 19 to read about risks you should consider before buying shares in our common stock. These risks include:

No public market exists for our shares. Our shares cannot be readily sold and there are significant restrictions on the ownership, transferability and redemption of our shares. If you are able to sell your shares, you would likely have to sell them at a substantial discount.

Continued volatility in the credit markets and real estate markets could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions to stockholders.

We have not identified the properties or other real estate related assets we plan to acquire with the proceeds from this offering. As a result, you will not be able to evaluate the economic merits of the investments we will make from the proceeds from this offering prior to purchasing shares.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of certain key personnel, each of whom would be difficult to replace. If we were to lose the benefit of the experience, efforts and abilities of one or more of these individuals, our operating results could suffer.

The amount of distributions we may pay, if any, is uncertain. Due to the risks involved in the ownership of real estate, there is no guarantee of any return on your investment in us and you may lose money.

Under our charter, we are permitted to incur substantial debt, which could lead to an inability to pay distributions to our stockholders, or could decrease the value of your investment in the event that income on, or the value of, the property securing the debt falls.

We may be required to borrow money, sell assets or issue new securities for cash to pay our distributions.

Distributions payable to our stockholders may, without limitation, include a return of capital, which will lower your tax basis in our shares.

Our board of directors may change our investment policies without seeking stockholder approval.

If we do not remain qualified as a REIT, it would adversely affect our operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Attorney General of the State of New York nor any other state securities regulator has approved or disapproved of these securities, passed on or endorsed the merits of this offering or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense. The use of projections or forecasts in this offering is prohibited. Any representation to the contrary and any predictions, written or oral, as to the cash benefits or tax consequences you will receive from an investment in shares of our common stock is prohibited.

	Pı	rice to Public*	S	Commissions and Manager Fee		Net Proceeds fore Expenses)
Primary Offering						
Per Share	\$	10.00	\$	1.00	\$	9.00
Total Maximum	\$:	2,000,000,000	\$ 200	0,000,000	\$ 1	1,800,000,000
Distribution Reinvestment Plan						
Per Share	\$	9.50	\$		\$	9.50
Total Maximum	\$	200,000,000	\$		\$	200,000,000

^{*} The selling commissions and all or a portion of the dealer manager fee will not be charged with regard to shares sold in our primary offering to or for the account of our directors and officers. Selling commissions will not be charged for shares sold in the primary offering to: (1) investors that have engaged the services of a registered investment advisor or other financial advisor paid on a fee-for-service basis by the investor: (2) retirement plans of participating broker-dealers; (3) broker-dealers in their individual capacities; (4) IRAs and qualified plans of participating broker-dealers registered representatives; or (5) any one of a participating broker-dealers registered representatives in their individual capacity. Selling commissions will be reduced in connection with sales of certain minimum numbers of shares. The reduction in these fees will be accompanied by a corresponding reduction in the per share purchase price. See Plan of Distribution.

Our shares will be offered to investors on a best efforts basis through Realty Capital Securities, LLC, the dealer manager for this offering. The minimum initial investment is \$1,000 (\$2,500 for Tennessee residents), except for purchases by our existing stockholders, which may be in lesser amounts. We will sell shares until the earlier of March 19, 2012, two years from this date of the prospectus, unless extended. If we extend beyond March 19, 2012, we will supplement the prospectus accordingly.

The date of this prospectus is March 19, 2010.

Table of Contents

SUITABILITY STANDARDS

The shares we are offering are suitable only as a long-term investment for persons of adequate financial means. There currently is no public market for our shares. Therefore, it likely will be difficult for you to sell your shares and, if you are able to sell your shares, it is likely you would sell them at a substantial discount. You should not buy these shares if you need to sell them immediately, will need to sell them quickly in the future or cannot bear the loss of your entire investment.

In consideration of these factors, we have established suitability standards for all stockholders, including subsequent transferees. These suitability standards require that investors have either:

a net worth of at least \$250,000; or

an annual gross income of at least \$70,000 and a net worth of at least \$70,000.

For purposes of determining suitability of an investor, in all cases net worth and liquid net worth should be calculated excluding the value of an investor s home, home furnishings and automobiles.

Some states have established suitability standards different from those we have established. Shares will be sold only to investors in these states who meet the special suitability standards set forth below.

Alabama Investors must represent that their liquid net worth equals at least 10 times their investment in this program and other similar programs and that they meet the above suitability standards.

California Investors must have either: (i) a net worth of least \$250,000; or (ii) an annual gross income of at least \$85,000 and a net worth of at least \$150,000. An investor s investment in our common stock may not exceed 10.0% of that investor s net worth. Additionally, the exemption for secondary trading under California Corporation Code Section 25104(h) will not be available to investors, although other exemptions may be available to cover private sales by the *bona fide* owner of shares for his or her or its own account without advertising and without being effected through a broker dealer in a public offering.

Iowa Investors must have either: (i) a net worth of least \$350,000; or (ii) an annual gross income of at least \$70,000 and a net worth of at least \$100,000.

Kansas It is recommended by the Office of the Kansas Securities Commissioner that Kansas investors not invest, in the aggregate, more than 10% of their liquid net worth in this and similar direct participation investments. Liquid net worth is defined as that portion of net worth which consists of cash, cash equivalents and readily marketable securities.

Kentucky, Michigan and Tennessee An investor s investment in our common stock may not exceed 10.0% of that investor s liquid net worth.

Oregon An investor s investment in us and our affiliates may not exceed 10.0% of that investor s liquid net worth.

Pennsylvania An investor s investment in our common stock may not exceed 10.0% of that investor s net worth.

In the case of sales to fiduciary accounts (such as an individual retirement account, or IRA, Keogh Plan, or pension or profit sharing plan), these suitability standards must be met by the beneficiary, the fiduciary account or by the person who directly or indirectly supplied the funds for the purchase of the shares if that person is the fiduciary. In the case of gifts to minors, the suitability standards must be met by the custodian account or by the donor.

These suitability standards are intended to help ensure that, given the long-term nature of an investment in our shares, our investment objectives and the relative illiquidity of our shares, our shares are an appropriate investment for those of you who become stockholders. Each participating broker-dealer must make every reasonable effort to determine that the purchase of shares is a suitable and appropriate investment for each stockholder based on information provided by the stockholder in the subscription agreement or otherwise.

i

Table of Contents

Each participating broker-dealer is required to maintain records of the information used to determine that an investment in shares is suitable and appropriate for each stockholder for a period of six years. Our subscription agreement requires you to represent that you meet the applicable suitability standards. We will not sell any shares to you unless you are able to make these representations.

The minimum initial investment is 100 shares (\$1,000) (\$2,500 for Tennessee residents), except for purchases by our existing stockholders, which may be in lesser amounts. In order to satisfy the minimum purchase requirements for retirement plans, unless otherwise prohibited by state law, a husband and wife may jointly contribute funds from their separate IRAs, provided that each such contribution is made in increments of \$100. You should note that an investment in shares of our common stock will not, in itself, create a retirement plan and that, in order to create a retirement plan, you must comply with all applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Internal Revenue Code.

RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY THE PATRIOT AND RELATED ACTS

The shares we are offering may not be offered, sold, transferred or delivered, directly or indirectly, to any unacceptable investor. Unacceptable investor means any person who is a:

person or entity who is a designated national, specially designated national, specially designated terrorist, specially designated global terrorist, foreign terrorist organization or blocked person within the definitions set forth in the Foreign Assets Control Regulations of the U.S. Treasury Department;

person acting on behalf of, or any entity owned or controlled by, any government against whom the U.S. maintains economic sanctions or embargoes under the Regulations of the U.S. Treasury Department;

person or entity who is within the scope of Executive Order 13224-Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions with Persons who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism, effective September 24, 2001;

person or entity subject to additional restrictions imposed by the following statutes or regulations and executive orders issued thereunder: the Trading with the Enemy Act, the Iraq Sanctions Act, the National Emergencies Act, the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, the United Nations Participation Act, the International Security and Development Cooperation Act, the Nuclear Proliferation Prevention Act of 1994, the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act, the Iran and Libya Sanctions Act of 1996, the Cuban Democracy Act, the Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act and the Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Act or any other law of similar import as to any non-U.S. country, as each such act or law has been or may be amended, adjusted, modified or reviewed from time to time; or

person or entity designated or blocked, associated or involved in terrorism, or subject to restrictions under laws, regulations or executive orders as may apply in the future similar to those set forth above.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUITABILITY STANDARDS	i
RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY THE PATRIOT AND RELATED ACTS	ii
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THIS OFFERING	1
PROSPECTUS SUMMARY	10
RISK FACTORS	19
CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	46
ESTIMATED USE OF PROCEEDS	47
INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, STRATEGY AND CRITERIA	49
<u>INVESTMENTS</u>	65
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	69
COMPENSATION TABLE	89
CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	96
FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS	97
EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN AND IRA CONSIDERATIONS	113
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK	116
CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND OF OUR CHARTER AND BYLAWS	125
THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT	127
PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION	133
REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS	137
SUPPLEMENTAL SALES MATERIAL	137
LEGAL MATTERS	137
<u>EXPERTS</u>	137
INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION BY REFERENCE	138
WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	138
EXHIBIT A SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENT	A-1
EXHIBIT B DISTRIBUTION REINVESTMENT PLAN	B-1
EXHIBIT C SHARE REPURCHASE PLAN	C-1
EX-21.1 EX-23.3	
<u>LA-25.5</u>	
iii	

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THIS OFFERING

Set forth below are some of the more frequently asked questions and answers relating to our structure, our management, our business and an offering of this type.

Q: What is Healthcare Trust of America, Inc.?

A: We are an existing and active, self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT. We own a diversified portfolio of medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. As of March 12, 2010 we had made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions for a total purchase price of approximately \$1.49 billion. We were formed as a Maryland corporation on April 20, 2006. We commenced our initial public offering of shares of our common stock on September 20, 2006, which we refer to as our initial offering. Our initial offering terminated on March 19, 2010. As of March 12, 2010, we had received and accepted subscriptions for 144,959,351 shares of our common stock, or approximately \$1,448,044,000 from approximately 39,000 stockholders pursuant to our initial offering. We will continue to invest in a diversified portfolio of medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities with the proceeds from our initial offering and this follow-on offering.

Q: Are you self-managed and what does that mean?

A: Yes, we are a self-managed company. Self-management is a corporate model based on internal management rather than external management. In general, non-traded REITs are externally managed. With external management, a REIT is dependent upon an external advisor. An externally-managed REIT typically pays significant acquisition fees, asset management fees, property management fees and other fees to its advisor. In contrast, under self-management, we are managed internally by our management team led by Scott D. Peters, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, as well as our experienced board of directors. With a self-managed REIT, outside fees to third parties are substantially reduced and performance-driven.

Q: Why did you decide to become self-managed?

A: We decided to become self-managed for several reasons:

Management Team. In July 2008, Mr. Peters assumed the positions of President and Chief Executive Officer of our company on a full-time and exclusive basis. This was the first major step toward self-management. We have assembled a highly qualified and experienced management team which is focused on efficiency and performance to increase stockholder value. Our internal management team includes (1) Mr. Peters, our President and Chief Executive Officer, (2) Kellie S. Pruitt, our Chief Accounting Officer, Treasurer and Secretary, (3) Mark Engstrom, our Executive Vice President Acquisitions, (4) Amanda Houghton, our Senior Vice President Asset Management and Finance, and (5) Katherine E. Black, our Controller. We believe that our management team has the experience and expertise to efficiently and effectively operate our company.

Governance. An integral part of self-management is our experienced board of directors. Our board of directors spent a substantial amount of time overseeing our transition to self-management and continues to provide significant assistance to us as a self-managed company. We believe that our board of directors provides effective ongoing governance for our company and that our governance and management framework is one of our key strengths.

Significantly Reduced Cost. From inception through December 31, 2009, we incurred as a result of having been externally advised approximately \$39,217,000 in acquisition fees; approximately \$11,550,000 in asset management fees; approximately \$5,252,000 in property management fees; and approximately \$3,168,000 in leasing fees. We expect third party expenses associated with on-site property management and third party acquisition expenses, including legal fees, due diligence fees and closing costs, to remain approximately the same as under external management, relative to the amount of acquisitions completed. We believe that the total cost of self-management will be substantially less than the cost of external management. While our board of directors, including a majority of our independent directors, previously determined that the fees to our former advisor were competitive and

1

Table of Contents

commercially reasonable to us and on terms and conditions not less favorable to us than those available from other sponsors of non-traded REITs based on circumstances at that time, we now believe that by having our own employees manage our operations and retain third party service providers, we will significantly reduce our cost structure.

No Internalization Fees. Unlike many other non-listed REITs that internalize or pay to acquire various management functions and personnel, such as advisory and asset management services, from their sponsor or advisor prior to listing on a national securities exchange for substantial fees, we will not be required to pay such fees under self-management. We believe that by not paying such fees, as well as operating more cost-effectively under self-management, we will save a substantial amount of money. To the extent that our management and board of directors determine that utilizing third party service providers for certain services is more cost-effective than conducting such services internally, we will pay for these services based on negotiated terms and conditions consistent with the current marketplace for such services on an as-needed basis.

Funding of Self-Management. We believe that the cost of self-management will be substantially less than the cost of external management. The initial step in becoming self-managed was amending the advisory agreement on November 14, 2008, which reduced acquisition fees from 3% to 2.5% of the purchase price (with further tiered adjustments based on gross acquisition value to accomplish an average acquisition fee of 2.25%) and reduced asset management fees from 1% to 0.5% of average invested assets. Upon expiration of the advisory agreement, we no longer pay asset management fees and we did not have to pay an internalization fee. Acquisition fees will not be recurring, except for any remaining acquisition fees to our former advisor for assets acquired with those remaining funds raised in our initial offering by our former dealer manager. In the third quarter of 2009, we became fully self-managed and also transitioned our property management services to nationally recognized property management groups for market-based fees. The reduced acquisition and asset management fees along with the savings from market-based property management fees in the third and fourth quarters of 2009 resulted in a total gross savings of approximately \$10,812,000 in 2009. The cost of self-management during 2009 was approximately \$5,000,000, excluding one-time, nonrecurring transition costs of \$1,973,000, resulting in net savings of approximately \$3,839,000. Therefore, the additional costs related to the transition to self-management was effectively funded by the cost savings in 2009. We anticipate that we will achieve substantial cost savings in the future as a result of reduced and/or eliminated acquisition fees, disposition fees, asset management fees, internalization fees and other outside fees.

Dedicated Management and Increased Accountability. Under self-management, our officers and employees work directly for our company and are not associated with any outside advisor or other third party service providers. Our management team has direct oversight of our employees, independent consultants and third party service providers. We believe that these direct reporting relationships, along with our performance-based compensation programs and ongoing oversight by our management team, create an environment for and will achieve increased accountability, productivity and efficiency.

Elimination/Reduction of Conflicts of Interest. We believe that self-management works to remove and reduce inherent conflicts of interest that necessarily exist between an externally advised REIT and its advisor. The elimination or reduction of these inherent conflicts of interest is one of the major reasons that we elected to proceed with self-management.

Q: What is the role of your President and Chief Executive Officer?

A: Scott D. Peters, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, was instrumental in the creation, development and implementation of our company and its investment and asset management strategies, including the development of our demographic-based investment approach. Mr. Peters has managed the

acquisition of our real estate portfolio and will continue to play a vital role in the growth and success of our company. Mr. Peters manages our day-to-day operations, is directly involved in our asset management and implements our investment strategy.

2

Table of Contents

Q: What is the experience of your board of directors?

A: Our board of directors has diverse and extensive knowledge and expertise in the real estate and healthcare industries. This knowledge and experience includes acquiring, financing, developing, constructing, leasing, managing and disposing of both institutional and non-institutional commercial real estate. In addition, our board of directors has extensive and broad legal, auditing and accounting experience. Our board of directors has numerous years of hands-on and executive commercial real estate experience drawn from a wide range of disciplines. Our board of directors has experienced a number of economic cycles, up and down. Such experience provides us with the capacity to understand and proactively address market changes, and to develop thoughtful investment strategies consistent with our investment objectives.

On an individual basis:

Our Chairman, Scott D. Peters, has 20 years of experience in managing publicly traded real estate investment trusts and brings insight into all aspects of our business due to both his current role and his history with the company. His comprehensive experience and extensive knowledge and understanding of the healthcare and real estate industries has been instrumental in the creation, development and launching of our company, as well as our current investment strategy.

W. Bradley Blair, II provides broad real estate and legal experience, having served a variety of companies in advisory, executive and/or director roles for over 35 years, including over 10 years as CEO, president and Chairman of the board of directors of a publicly traded REIT. He also operates a consulting practice which focuses on real estate acquisitions and finance. His diverse background in other business disciplines, coupled with his deep understanding and knowledge of real estate, contributes to the quality guidance and oversight he brings to our board of directors.

Maurice J. DeWald, based on his 30 year career with the international accounting and auditing firm of KPMG LLP, offers substantial expertise in accounting and finance. Mr. DeWald also has over 15 years of experience as a director of a number of companies in the healthcare, financial, banking and manufacturing sectors.

Warren D. Fix offers financial and management expertise, with particular industry knowledge in real estate, hospitality, agriculture and financial services. He has served in various executive and/or director roles in a number of public and private companies in the real estate, financial and technology sectors, for over 40 years.

Larry L. Mathis brings extensive experience in the healthcare industry, having held numerous leadership positions in organizations charged with planning and directing the future of healthcare delivery in the United States for over 35 years, including serving as Chairman of the National Advisory Council on Health Care Technology Assessment and as a member of the Medicare Prospective Payment Assessment Commission. He is the founding president and CEO of The Methodist Hospital System in Houston, Texas, and has served as an executive consultant in the healthcare sector for over ten years.

Gary T. Wescombe provides expertise in accounting, real estate investments and financing strategies, having served a number of companies in various executive and/or director roles for over 40 years in both the real estate and non-profit sectors, including almost 30 years as a partner with Ernst & Young, LLP. He currently manages and develops real estate operating properties as a principal of a real estate company.

For more information regarding our directors, see Management Directors and Executive Officers.

Q: Have you engaged any outside service providers?

A: Yes, we have entered into agreements with third party service providers for various services, including property management, dealer manager and investor services. We may also enter into additional service agreements with third party service providers on an as-needed basis, subject to market rates and performance standards for various services, including, without limitation, consulting, taxes and acquisition

3

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

services. We customize our agreements with third party service providers to ensure that we retain effective oversight, input and control over all major decisions. All such third party services will be closely monitored on an on-going basis by our management team.

Q: Who serves as your dealer manager for this offering?

A: Realty Capital Securities, LLC, or RCS, serves as our exclusive dealer manager for this offering.

Q: Why did you change your name to Healthcare Trust of America, Inc.?

A: We changed our name from Grubb & Ellis Healthcare REIT, Inc. to Healthcare Trust of America, Inc. on August 24, 2009. We did this in connection with our transition to self-management and to reflect that we are no longer externally advised or externally sponsored.

Q: Do you currently own any properties or other real estate related assets?

A: As of March 12, 2010 we had made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions, 44 of which are medical office properties, 6 of which are healthcare-related facilities, 3 of which are quality commercial office properties, and 2 of which are other real estate-related assets, comprising 184 buildings with approximately 7.5 million square feet of gross leasable area, or GLA, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.49 billion, in 21 states.

As of December 31, 2009, the average occupancy of the consolidated properties was approximately 91%. See Investments on page 65 of this prospectus for a more detailed discussion of our real estate assets as of March 12, 2010.

0%

17

The following table lists our properties as of March 12, 2010.

								% Total of		
		GLA	% of O	wnership	Date	Purchase	Annual	Annual		
	Property Location	(Sq Ft)	GLA Pe	ercentage	Acquired	Price	Rent(1)	Rent O	eccupancy(2)	
te ke										
ville ffice	Indianapolis, IN	97,000	1.3%	100%	1/22/2007	\$ 14,800,000	\$ 1,081,000	0.8%	73.4%	
rgery y al	Crawfordsville, IN	29,000	0.4	100	1/22/2007	6,900,000	592,000	0.4	100.0	
ui	St. Paul, MN Memphis, TN	106,000 98,000	1.4 1.3	100 100	3/9/2007 3/23/2007	8,800,000 18,500,000	1,177,000 2,220,000		73.6 100.0	

		3				,			
fice ding									
V Office									
	Naples, FL	55,000	0.7	100	4/24/2007	14,100,000	1,160,000	0.9	100.0
enter rag enter	Fayetteville and Peachtree City, GA	108,000	1.4	100	5/2/2007	21,500,000	1,945,000	1.5	60.3
d aza	Glendale, AZ	110,000	1.5	100	5/15/2007	25,000,000	1,965,000	1.5	72.6
and									
	Houston and Sugar Land, TX	151,000	2.0	100	6/8/2007	36,500,000	2,990,000	2.3	100.0
ıl	Lawrenceville, GA	60,000	0.8	100	7/27/2007	9,300,000	889,000	0.7	59.4
cet	Columbus, OH	116,000	1.5	100	8/15/2007	21,900,000	1,516,000	1.1	86.1
fice	Kokomo, IN	87,000	1.2	100	8/30/2007	13,350,000	1,369,000	1.0	100.0
	Long Beach, CA	67,000	0.9	100	9/5/2007	13,800,000	1,101,000	0.8	67.0
oe a	Valley Forge, PA	109,000	1.4	100	9/10/2007	26,700,000	2,776,000	2.1	100.0
olio	Jacksonville, Winter Park and Sunrise, FL	355,000	4.7	100	9/28/2007	52,000,000	4,303,000	3.3	100.0
low enter	Roswell, GA	51,000	0.7	100	11/15/2007	11,850,000	1,261,000	1.0	98.6
fice	Tucson, AZ	110,000	1.5	100	11/20/2007	21,050,000	1,619,000	1.2	69.0
	Lima, OH	203,000	2.7	100	12/7/2007	26,060,000	2,120,000	1.6	81.1
lical d	Highlands Ranch,	79,000	1.0	100	12/19/2007	14,500,000	1,621,000	1.2	86.2
ion	Chesterfield, MO	112,000	1.5	80	12/19/2007	36,440,000	3,082,000	2.3	100.0
ζ.	Dayton, OH	133,000	1.8	100	12/20/2007	16,200,000	1,864,000	1.4	80.8
	Table of Contents								18

 ${\bf Edgar\ Filing:\ HEALTHCARE\ TRUST\ OF\ AMERICA,\ INC.\ -\ Form\ POS\ AM}$

Overland, KS and Largo, Brandon and Lakeland, FL	163,000	2.2	100	2/1/2008	36,950,000	3,391,000	2.6	91.3
St. Paul, MN	50,000	0.7	100	3/6/2008	8,650,000	633,000	0.5	78.6
Liberty Township, OH	44,000	0.6	100	3/19/2008	8,150,000	637,000	0.5	91.5
Indianapolis, IN	34,000	0.5	100	3/24/2008	5,850,000	471,000	0.4	95.2
				4				

ılls laza

%

								Total of	
		GLA	% of	Ownership	Date	Purchase	Annual	Annual	
	Property Location	(Sq Ft)	GLA	Percentage	Acquired	Price	Rent(1)	Rent	Occ
cal									
nter 1	Houston, TX Lakeland, Fl Arlington, Galveston, Port Arthur and Texas City, TX and Lomita	52,000 32,000	0.7 0.4	100% 100	3/25/2008 3/27/2008	11,200,000 5,250,000	936,000 368,000	0.7 0.3	
	and El Monte, CA	226,000	3.0	100	Various	39,600,000	3,462,000	2.6	
	Amarillo, TX	65,000	0.9	100	5/15/2008	20,000,000	1,666,000	1.3	ļ
	Cypress, CA	104,000	1.4	100	5/29/2008	25,700,000	2,004,000	1.5	
	Derry, NH	70,000	0.9	100	6/3/2008	14,200,000	1,163,000	0.9	
laza	Stockbridge, GA	81,000	1.1	100	6/24/2008	21,176,000	1,577,000	1.2	
	Indianapolis, IN	685,000	9.1	100	6/26/2008	90,100,000	9,285,000	7.0	
nter	Tucson, AZ	41,000	0.5	100	6/26/2008	8,100,000	774,000	0.6	
a	Decatur, GA O Fallon and St. Louis, MO and Keller and Wichita	43,000	0.6	100	6/27/2008	12,000,000	1,060,000	0.8	
	Falls, TX	173,000	2.3	100	Various	44,800,000	3,789,000	2.9	
al	Bountiful, UT	112,000	1.5	100	6/30/2008	30,200,000	2,234,000	1.7	
	Oklahoma City, OK Phoenix, AZ, Parma and Jefferson West, OH, and Waxahachie, Greenville, and	186,000	2.5	100	9/16/2008	29,250,000	3,563,000	2.7	
tfolio	Cedar Hill, TX Kingsport, Bristol and Rogersville, TN and Pennington Gap	227,000	3.0	100	Various	48,000,000	4,212,000	3.2	
olio	and Norton, VA San Antonio and	293,000	3.9	100	9/12/2008	27,775,000	3,956,000	3.0	
	Webster, TX	170,000	2.3	100	12/18/2008	43,000,000	3,889,000	2.9	
	Marietta, GA	81,000	1.1	100	12/22/2008	15,300,000	1,083,000	0.8	
	Milwaukee, WI	185,000	2.5	100	2/27/2009	33,719,000	2,871,000	2.2	
	Table of Contents							20	

Edgar Filing: HEALTHCARE TRUST OF AMERICA, INC. - Form POS AM

	Franklin, WI	130,000	1.7	100	5/28/2009	40,700,000	3,435,000	2.6
	Greenville, SC	857,000	11.4	100	9/18/2009	162,820,000	14,417,000	10.9
201	Spartanburg, SC	109,000	1.4	100	12/11/2009	16,250,000	1,563,000	1.2
cal	Englewood, CO	66,000	0.9	100	12/21/2009	18,600,000	1,594,000	1.2
1	Dallas, TX	52,000	0.7	100	12/23/2009	27,350,000	2,598,000	2.0
io	Baltimore, MD Coriscana, TX and Ft. Wayne, IN and	62,000	0.8	100	12/30/2009	11,250,000	1,039,000	0.8
	San Angelo, TX	93,000	1.2	100	12/30/2009	20,501,000	1,790,000	1.4
al	Denton, TX Sun City, AZ and	44,000	0.6	100	12/30/2009	15,485,000	1,364,000	1.0
	Sun City West, AZ	642,000	8.5	100	12/31/2009	107,000,000	10,265,000	7.8
	Atlanta, GA	80,000	1.1	100	3/2/2010	19,550,000	1,319,000	1.4
	Jacksonville, FL	53,000	0.7	100	3/9/2010	10,775,000	1,293,000	1.0
rage		7,540,000	100.0%			\$ 1,438,501,000	\$ 130,852,000	100.0%

We have not yet identified the real estate or any other real estate related assets we will acquire with the proceeds from this offering.

Q: What are your investment objectives?

A: Our investment objectives are:

5

⁽¹⁾ Annualized rental revenue is based on contractual base rent from leases in effect as of March 12, 2010.

⁽²⁾ Occupancy includes all leased space of the respective portfolio, including master leases.

⁽³⁾ Average annual rent per occupied square foot as of March 12, 2010.

Table of Contents

to acquire quality properties that generate sustainable growth in cash flow from operations to pay regular cash distributions;

to preserve, protect and return your capital contribution;

to realize growth in the value of our investments upon our ultimate sale of such investments; and

to be prudent, patient and deliberate, taking into account current real estate markets.

Each property we acquire is carefully and diligently reviewed and analyzed to make sure it is consistent with our short and long-term investment objectives. Our goal is to at all times maintain a strong balance sheet and always have sufficient funds to deal with short and long-term operating needs. Macro-economic disruptions have broadly impacted the economy and have caused an imbalance between buyers and sellers of real estate assets, including medical office buildings and other healthcare-related real estate assets. We anticipated that these tough economic conditions would create opportunities for our company to acquire such assets at higher capitalization rates, as the real estate market adjusted downward. In the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first half of 2009, we opted not to proceed with certain acquisitions which we determined merited re-pricing. We renegotiated other deals to lower pricing points. In December 2009, we closed \$253 million of acquisitions and as of March 12, 2010, we had cash on hand of approximately \$250 million, which we intend to use to acquire assets that are priced at levels consistent with today s economy. We believe that during this turbulent economic cycle, our cash on hand will provide our company with opportunities to acquire medical office buildings and other healthcare-related real estate assets at favorable pricing.

Q: What will you do with the money raised in this offering?

A: We will use your net investment proceeds to purchase medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. To a lesser extent, we may also invest in other real estate related assets. We will focus primarily on investments that produce recurring income. The diversification of our portfolio is dependent upon the amount of proceeds we receive in this offering. We expect that at least 88.5% of the money you invest will be used to acquire our targeted investments and pay related acquisition expenses and the remaining 11.5% will be used to pay fees and expenses of this offering. Until we invest the proceeds of this offering in our targeted investments, we may invest in short-term, highly liquid or other authorized investments. Such short-term investments will not earn significant returns, and we cannot guarantee how long it will take to fully invest the proceeds in properties.

Q: How does the fee structure of this offering compare to that of the initial offering?

A: Pursuant to the terms of the advisory agreement with our former advisor, which expired on September 20, 2009, our former advisor and its affiliates received certain compensation, fees and expense reimbursements for services relating to the initial offering and the investment and management of our assets. Some of these fees and expense reimbursements may be payable even though the advisory agreement has expired. In this offering, certain third parties will receive compensation and fees for services relating to this offering and property management. We use our own employees to perform acquisition services as well as to perform certain property and asset management functions, thereby significantly reducing the compensation and fees for services in this offering as compared to our initial offering. For more information regarding the compensation and fees for services relating to this offering, see Compensation Table .

Q: What is a real estate investment trust, or REIT?

A: In general, a REIT is a company that:

combines the capital of many investors to acquire or provide financing for real estate;

pays annual distributions to investors of at least 90.0% of its taxable income (computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding net capital gain);

6

Table of Contents

avoids the double taxation treatment of income that would normally result from investments in a corporation because a REIT is not generally subject to federal corporate income taxes on its net income that it distributes to stockholders; and

allows individual investors to invest in a large-scale diversified real estate portfolio through the purchase of shares in the REIT.

Q: How do you structure the ownership and operation of your assets?

A: We own substantially all of our assets and conduct our operations through our operating partnership, Healthcare Trust of America Holdings, LP, which was organized in Delaware on April 20, 2006. We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership. Because we conduct substantially all of our operations through an operating partnership, we are organized in what is referred to as an UPREIT structure.

Q: What is an UPREIT ?

A: UPREIT stands for Umbrella Partnership Real Estate Investment Trust. We use the UPREIT structure because a contribution of property directly to us is generally a taxable transaction to the contributing property owner. In this structure, a contributor of a property who desires to defer taxable gain on the transfer of his or her property may transfer the property to the partnership in exchange for limited partnership units and defer taxation of gain until the contributor later exchanges his or her limited partnership units, normally, on a one-for-one basis for shares of the common stock of the REIT. We believe that using an UPREIT structure gives us an advantage in acquiring desired properties from persons who may not otherwise sell their properties because of unfavorable tax results.

Q: What kind of offering is this?

A: This is a follow-on offering to our initial offering. Our initial offering commenced on September 20, 2006 and terminated on March 19, 2010. Through our dealer manager, we are offering a maximum of \$2,000,000,000 in shares in our primary offering on a best efforts basis at \$10.00 per share. We are also offering \$200,000,000 in shares of common stock pursuant to our distribution reinvestment plan for \$9.50 per share to those stockholders who elect to participate in such plan as described in this prospectus. We reserve the right to reallocate the shares of common stock we are offering between the primary offering and the distribution reinvestment plan.

Q: How does a best efforts offering work?

A: When shares are offered to the public on a best efforts basis, the broker dealers participating in the offering are only required to use their best efforts to sell the shares and have no firm commitment or obligation to purchase any shares. Therefore, we cannot guarantee that any specific number of shares will be sold. We intend to admit stockholders periodically as subscriptions for shares are received, but not less frequently than monthly.

Q: How long will this offering last?

A: This is a continuous offering that will end no later than March 19, 2012, two years from the date of the prospectus, unless extended. If we extend beyond March 19, 2012, we will supplement the prospectus accordingly. We may also terminate this offering at any time.

Q: Who can buy shares?

A: Generally, you can buy shares pursuant to this prospectus provided that you have either (1) a net worth of at least \$250,000, or (2) an annual gross income of at least \$70,000 and a net worth of at least \$70,000. For this purpose, net worth does not include your home, home furnishings or automobiles. However, these minimum levels may be higher in certain states, so you should carefully read the more detailed description under Suitability Standards on page i of this prospectus.

7

Table of Contents

Q: Is there any minimum investment required?

A: Yes. The minimum investment is 100 shares, which equals a minimum investment of at least \$1,000, except for purchases by our existing stockholders, including purchases made pursuant to our distribution reinvestment plan, which may be in lesser amounts. Tennessee investors must make a minimum initial investment of at least \$2,500.

Q: How do I subscribe for shares?

A: Investors who meet the suitability standards described herein may purchase shares of our common stock. See Suitability Standards on page i. Investors seeking to purchase shares of our common stock must proceed as follows:

Read this entire prospectus and any exhibits and supplements accompanying this prospectus.

Complete the execution copy of the subscription agreement. A specimen copy of the subscription agreement, including instructions for completing it, is included in this prospectus as Exhibit A.

Deliver a check for the full purchase price of the shares of our common stock being subscribed for along with the completed subscription agreement to the registered broker-dealer or investment advisor. Your check should be made payable to Healthcare Trust of America, Inc.

By executing the subscription agreement and paying the total purchase price for the shares of our common stock subscribed for each investor agrees that, if he or she does not meet the minimum income and net worth standards, he or she will notify in writing us and the broker-dealer named on the subscription agreement signature page.

We utilize the services of an escrow agent, DST Systems, Inc., to accept payments from investors.

Subscriptions will be effective only upon our acceptance, and we reserve the right to reject any subscription in whole or part. Subscriptions will be accepted or rejected within 30 days of receipt by us and, if rejected, all funds shall be returned to subscribers without deduction for any expenses within 10 business days from the date the subscription is rejected. We are not permitted to accept a subscription for shares of our common stock until at least five business days after the date you receive the final prospectus. We will send you a confirmation of your purchase.

An approved trustee must process and forward to us subscriptions made through individual retirement accounts, or IRAs, Keogh plans and 401(k) plans. In the case of investments through IRAs, Keogh plans and 401(k) plans, we will send the confirmation and notice of our acceptance to the trustee.

Q: If I buy shares, will I receive distributions and how often?

A: Provided we have sufficient available cash flow, we expect to pay distributions on a monthly basis to our stockholders. Our distribution policy is set by our board of directors and is subject to change based on available cash flows. Our board of directors approved a 6.50% per annum distribution to be paid to our stockholders beginning on January 8, 2007, the date we reached our minimum offering in our initial offering, and the first distribution was paid in February 2007 for the period ended January 31, 2007. On February 14, 2007, our board of directors approved a 7.25% per annum distribution to be paid to our stockholders beginning with our February 2007 monthly distribution, which was paid in March 2007, and we have continued to approve and pay distributions at that rate. It is our intent to continue to pay distributions. However, we cannot guarantee the

amount of distributions paid in the future, if any.

If you are a taxable stockholder, distributions that you receive, including distributions that are reinvested pursuant to our distribution reinvestment plan, generally will be taxed as ordinary income to the extent they are from our current or accumulated earnings and profits, unless we have designated all or a portion of the distribution as a capital gain distribution. In such case, such designated portion of the distribution will be treated as a capital gain. To the extent that we make a distribution in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, the distribution will be treated first as a tax-free return of capital, reducing the tax basis in your shares, and the amount of each distribution in excess of your tax basis in your shares will be taxable

8

Table of Contents

as a gain realized from the sale of your shares. For example, because depreciation expense reduces taxable income but does not reduce cash available for distribution, if our distributions exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits, the portion of such distributions to you exceeding our current and accumulated earnings and profits (to the extent of your positive basis in your shares) will be considered a return of capital to you for tax purposes. These amounts will not be subject to income tax immediately but will instead reduce the tax basis of your investment, in effect, deferring a portion of your income tax until you sell your shares or we liquidate assuming we do not make any future distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits at a time that your tax basis in your shares is zero. If you are a tax-exempt entity, distributions from us generally will not constitute unrelated business taxable income, or UBTI, unless you have borrowed to acquire or carry your stock or have used the shares in a trade or business. There are exceptions to this rule for certain types of tax-exempt entities. Because each investor s tax considerations are different, especially the treatment of tax-exempt entities, we suggest that you consult with your tax advisor. Please see Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Taxable U.S. Stockholders; Federal Income Tax Considerations Treatment of Tax-Exempt Stockholders; and Description of Capital Stock Distribution Reinvestment Plan.

Q: May I reinvest my distributions?

A: Yes. Please see Description of Capital Stock Distribution Reinvestment Plan for more information regarding our distribution reinvestment plan.

Q: If I buy shares of common stock in this offering, how may I later sell them?

A: At the time you purchase the shares of common stock, they will not be listed for trading on any national securities exchange. As a result, if you wish to sell your shares, you may not be able to do so promptly or at all, or you may only be able to sell them at a substantial discount from the price you paid. In general, however, you may sell your shares to any buyer that meets the applicable suitability standards unless such sale would cause the buyer to own more than 9.8% of the value of our then outstanding capital stock (which includes common stock and any preferred stock we may issue) or more than 9.8% of the value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our then outstanding common stock. See Suitability Standards and Description of Capital Stock Restriction on Ownership of Shares. We have adopted a share repurchase plan, as discussed under Description of Capital Stock Share Repurchase Plan, which may provide limited liquidity for some of our stockholders.

Q: Will I be notified of how my investment is doing?

A: Yes. You will receive periodic updates on the performance of your investment with us, including:

four quarterly investment statements, which will generally include a summary of the amount you have invested, the monthly distributions declared and the amount of distributions reinvested under our distribution reinvestment plan, as applicable;

an annual report after the end of each year; and

an annual IRS Form 1099 after the end of each year.

Q: When will I get my detailed tax information?

A: Your Form 1099 tax information will be placed in the mail by January 31 of each year.

Q: Who can help answer my questions?

A: For questions about the offering or to obtain additional copies of this prospectus, contact your registered broker-dealer or investment advisor or contact:

Healthcare Trust of America, Inc.

The Promenade, Suite 440 16427 North Scottsdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85254

Telephone: (888) 801-0107 or (480) 998-3478

Realty Capital Securities, LLC

Three Copley Place, Suite 3300 Boston, MA 02116

Telephone: (877) 373-2522

9

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This prospectus summary highlights material information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. Because it is a summary, it may not contain all of the information that is important to your decision whether to invest in shares of our common stock. To understand this offering fully, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the Risk Factors section. The use of the words we, us or our refers to Healthcare Trust of America, Inc. and our subsidiaries, including Healthcare Trust of America Holdings, LP, except where the context otherwise requires.

Healthcare Trust of America, Inc.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation on April 20, 2006. We intend to provide investors the potential for income and growth through investment in a diversified portfolio of real estate properties, focusing primarily on medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. We may also invest in other real estate related assets. We will focus primarily on investments that produce recurring income. We qualified to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2007 and we intend to continue to be taxed as a REIT.

We commenced our initial public offering of \$2,200,000,000 in shares of our common stock on September 20, 2006, which we refer to as our initial offering. As of March 12, 2010, we had received and accepted subscriptions in our offering for 144,959,351 shares of our common stock, or approximately \$1,448,044,000, excluding shares issued under our distribution reinvestment plan, from approximately 39,000 stockholders. Our initial offering terminated on March 19, 2010.

As of March 12, 2010 we had made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions, 44 of which are medical office properties, six of which are healthcare-related facilities, three of which are quality commercial office properties, and two of which are other real estate-related assets, comprising 184 buildings with approximately 7.5 million square feet of GLA, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.49 billion, in 21 states. Each of our properties is 100% owned by our operating partnership except one, which is 80% owned by our operating partnership through a joint venture.

Our headquarters are located at The Promenade, Suite 440, 16427 North Scottsdale Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85254 and our telephone number is (480) 998-3478. We maintain a web site at www.htareit.com at which there is additional information about us. The contents of that site are not incorporated by reference in, or otherwise a part of, this prospectus.

Summary Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock is subject to significant risks. Listed below are some of the most significant risks relating to your investment.

No public market exists for our common stock and therefore it will be difficult for you to sell your shares. If you are able to sell your shares, you would likely have to sell them at a substantial discount.

Continued volatility in the credit markets and real estate markets could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions to stockholders.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of certain key personnel, each of whom would be difficult to replace. If we were to lose the benefit of the experience, efforts and abilities of one

or more of these individuals, our operating results could suffer.

The amount of distributions we may pay, if any, is uncertain. Due to the risks involved in the ownership of real estate and securities, there is no guarantee of any return on your investment in us and you may lose money.

Under our charter, we are permitted to incur substantial debt, which could lead to an inability to pay distributions to our stockholders, or could decrease the value of your investment in the event that income on, or the value of, the property securing the debt falls.

10

Table of Contents

We have paid distributions from sources other than our cash flow from operations, including from the proceeds of our initial offering or from borrowed funds; if we pay future distributions from sources other than our cash flow from operations, we will have fewer funds for real estate investments and your overall return may be reduced. Our organizational documents do not establish a limit on the amount of net proceeds we may use to fund distributions.

Distributions we pay to our stockholders may include a return of capital, which will lower your tax basis in our shares.

There are limitations on the ownership, transferability and redemption of our shares which significantly limit the liquidity of an investment in shares of our common stock.

You will not have the opportunity to evaluate the investments we intend to make with the proceeds from this offering prior to purchasing shares of our common stock.

Our board of directors may change our investment objective without seeking stockholder approval.

This is a best efforts offering and if we are unable to continue to raise substantial funds, then we will be limited in the number and type of investments we may make.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated, and new laws or regulations, changes to existing laws or regulations, loss of licensure or failure to obtain licensure could result in the inability of our tenants to make lease payments to us.

If we do not remain qualified as a REIT, it would adversely affect our operations and our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Investment Objectives

Our investment objectives are:

to acquire quality properties that generate sustainable growth in cash flow from operations to pay regular cash distributions;

to preserve, protect and return your capital contribution;

to realize growth in the value of our investments upon our ultimate sale of such investments; and

to be prudent, patient and deliberate, taking into account current real estate markets.

Each property we acquire is carefully and diligently reviewed and analyzed to make sure it is consistent with our short and long-term investment objectives. Our goal is to at all times maintain a strong balance sheet and always have sufficient funds to deal with short and long-term operating needs. Macro-economic disruptions have broadly impacted the economy and have caused an imbalance between buyers and sellers of real estate assets, including medical office buildings and other healthcare-related facilities. We anticipated that these tough economic conditions would create opportunities for our company to acquire such assets at higher capitalization rates, as the real estate market adjusted downward. In the fourth quarter of 2008 and first half of 2009, we opted not to proceed with certain acquisitions which we determined merited re-pricing. We renegotiated other potential acquisitions to lower pricing points. In

December 2009, we closed \$253 million of acquisitions and as of March 12, 2010, we had cash on hand of approximately \$250 million, which we intend to use to acquire assets that are priced at levels consistent with today s economy. We believe that during this turbulent economic cycle, our cash on hand will provide our company with opportunities to acquire medical office buildings and other healthcare-related facilities at favorable pricing.

See Investment Objectives, Strategy and Criteria for a more complete description of our business and objectives.

11

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors and Executive Officers

We operate under the direction of our board of directors, the members of which are accountable to us and our stockholders as fiduciaries. The board of directors is responsible for the management and control of our affairs. We currently have six directors, including Scott D. Peters, W. Bradley Blair, II, Maurice J. DeWald, Warren D. Fix, Larry L. Mathis and Gary T. Wescombe. Messrs. Blair, DeWald, Fix, Mathis and Wescombe are independent directors of our company. Our directors play a vital role in our management and operations. Our stockholders elect our directors annually.

We have three executive officers, including Mr. Peters, our Chief Executive Officer and President, Kellie S. Pruitt, our Chief Accounting Officer, and Mark D. Engstrom, our Executive Vice President Acquisitions.

Mr. Peters was instrumental in the creation, development and implementation of our company and its investment strategy, including the development of our demographic-based investment approach. Mr. Peters has managed the acquisition of our real estate portfolio and continues to play a vital role in the growth and success of our company. The board of directors has retained Mr. Peters to manage our day-to-day operations and implement our investment strategy, subject to the board s direction, oversight and approval.

For more information regarding our directors and executive officers, see Management Directors and Executive Officers.

Our Dealer Manager

Realty Capital Securities, LLC, or RCS, assists us in selling our common stock by serving as our exclusive dealer manager for this offering. RCS, based in Boston, MA, has served as dealer manager for the public and private real estate programs sponsored by American Realty Capital. RCS sales, operational and executive management teams have extensive experience in financial services and provide expertise in product distribution, marketing and educational initiatives aimed at the direct investment industry.

Targeted Investments

We generally seek to acquire a diversified portfolio of real estate, focusing primarily on investments that produce recurring income. Our real estate investments focus is on medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. Healthcare-related facilities include facilities leased to hospitals, rehabilitation hospitals, long-term acute care centers, surgery centers, assisted living facilities, skilled nursing facilities, memory care facilities, specialty medical and diagnostic service providers, laboratories, research firms, pharmaceutical and medical supply manufacturers and health insurance firms. We may acquire properties either alone or jointly with another party. We may also invest in other real estate related assets, although we have not yet identified any other real estate related assets we plan to acquire. We do not presently intend to invest more than 15.0% of our total assets in other real estate related assets. Our investments in other real estate related assets will generally focus on loans secured by real property such as mortgage loans, common and preferred equities, and certain other securities.

Our Operating Partnership

We own all of our real properties through our operating partnership, Healthcare Trust of America Holdings, LP. We are the sole general partner of the operating partnership and currently own more than 99.99% of the partnership interests in our operating partnership. As the sole general partner of our operating partnership, we have the exclusive

power to manage and conduct the business of our operating partnership. The only limited partner of our operating partnership is our former advisor. Our former advisor invested \$200,000 in our operating partnership and holds less than a 0.01% partnership interest in our operating partnership, which may provide our former advisor with subordinated distribution rights in addition to its rights as a limited partner in the event certain performance-based conditions are satisfied. See Cost Structure Externally Advised below.

12

Table of Contents

Our Structure

The following chart indicates our organizational structure.

Our former advisor owns less than a 0.01% interest in our company and in our operating partnership.

Cost Structure

Externally Advised

Pursuant to the terms of the advisory agreement with Grubb & Ellis Healthcare REIT Advisor, LLC, or our former advisor, which expired on September 20, 2009, and the dealer manager agreement with Grubb & Ellis Securities, LLC, or our former dealer manager, our former advisor, our former dealer manager and their affiliates received compensation and fees for services relating to the initial offering and the investment and management of our assets. We amended the advisory agreement effective as of October 24, 2008 to reduce acquisition fees and asset management fees and to set the framework for our transition to self-management. The compensation and fees payable to our former advisor, our former dealer manager and their affiliates included the following:

selling commissions equal to 7.0% of our gross offering proceeds;

marketing support fees equal to 2.5% of our gross offering proceeds;

acquisition fees (1) prior to the amendment of the advisory agreement, of 3.0% of the contract purchase price for acquired properties and (2) after the amendment of the advisory agreement, ranging from 2.5% to 1.5% with an expected average of 2.25% of the contract purchase price of acquired properties;

asset management fees (1) prior to the amendment of the advisory agreement, of 1.0% of our average invested assets and (2) after the amendment of our advisory agreement, of 0.5% of our average invested assets;

property management fees equal to 4.0% of gross cash receipts from each property our former advisor managed directly and up to 1.0% of gross cash receipts from each property managed directly by other entities; and

13

Table of Contents

disposition fees of up to the lesser of 1.75% of the contract sales price or 50% of a customary competitive real estate commission.

Our former advisor and its affiliates were also entitled to reimbursement for (1) bona fide due diligence expenses of up to 0.5% of our gross offering proceeds, (2) organizational and offering expenses of up to 1.5% of our gross offering proceeds, (3) operating expenses, subject to a cap, and (4) acquisition expenses, subject to cap. See Compensation Table.

Our former advisor holds a conditional subordinated participation interest in our operating partnership that, during the term of the advisory agreement, entitled our former advisor to subordinated distributions upon certain liquidity events if specified stockholder threshold returns were met. A liquidity event did not occur during the term of the advisory agreement. Our former advisor also had the right to defer its conditional right to receive a subordinated distribution after termination or expiration of the advisory agreement, which our former advisor elected to exercise. Our former advisor s right to receive any deferred subordinated distribution is subject to a number of ongoing conditions. For more information regarding our former advisor s subordinated participation interest, see Compensation Table Compensation to Our Former Advisor Subordinated Distribution.

Self-Managed

During this offering, we will pay RCS, our dealer manager for this offering, selling commissions equal to 7.0% of our gross offering proceeds and dealer manager fees equal to 3.0% of gross offering proceeds. We will also reimburse RCS and participating broker dealers for bona fide due diligence expenses. For more information regarding these fees and expense reimbursements, see Plan of Distribution.

As a self-managed company, we will use our own employees for acquisition, asset management and disposition services and do not intend to pay any third parties to provide these services to us. We have engaged nationally recognized property management groups to provide property management and leasing services to us, with each group to manage a specific region of our properties, although our in-house asset management team will manage a portion of our triple-net leased properties. As a result, the fees we pay for property management services have been reduced by more than 50%.

We are also responsible for all of our own expenses, including organizational and offering expenses relating to this offering, operating expenses such as salaries and rent, and acquisition expenses such as legal fees, due diligence fees and closing costs.

Finally, we intend to establish an incentive program for certain members of our management team and our directors. See Management Incentive Plan below.

Management Incentive Plan

We will adopt an incentive program for certain members of our management team and directors, or the management incentive program. The purpose of the management incentive program is to establish a performance-based economic incentive program for key persons in our organization. This type of program is consistent with our company s philosophy to establish performance-based compensation. Pursuant to the management incentive program, it is currently anticipated that certain members of our management team and board of directors will be members of a limited liability company that will hold a subordinated participation interest that will be entitled to subordinated distributions with respect to assets acquired with the proceeds from this offering of up to 8.0% upon certain liquidity events after stockholders in this offering have received their invested capital plus an annual 8.0% cumulative,

non-compounded return on average invested capital received in this offering and after all stockholders have received their invested capital (including in the initial offering and this offering) plus an annual 8.0% cumulative, non-compounded return on average invested capital. The terms of the management incentive program are subject to change and have not been finally determined or approved by our board of directors.

14

Distributions

The amount of any cash distributions will be determined by our board of directors and will depend on the amount of distributable funds, current and projected cash requirements, tax considerations, any limitations imposed by the terms of indebtedness we may incur and other factors. Our board of directors approved a 6.50% per annum distribution to be paid to our stockholders beginning on January 8, 2007, the date we reached our minimum offering in our initial offering. The first distribution was paid in February 2007 for the period ended January 31, 2007. On February 14, 2007, our board of directors approved a 7.25% per annum distribution to be paid to our stockholders beginning with our February 2007 monthly distribution, which was paid in March 2007, and we have continued to approve and pay distributions at that rate. It is our intent to continue to pay distributions. However, we cannot guarantee the amount of distributions paid in the future, if any.

Distribution Policy

Provided we have sufficient available cash flow, we intend to continue paying regular monthly cash distributions to our stockholders. Our distribution policy is set by our board of directors and is subject to change based on available cash flows. It is our intent to continue to pay distributions. However, we cannot guarantee the amount of distributions paid in the future, if any.

In order to remain qualified as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90.0% of our annual taxable income to our stockholders. We cannot predict if we will generate sufficient cash flow to pay cash distributions to our stockholders on an ongoing basis or at all. Because our cash available for distribution in any year may be less than 90.0% of our taxable income for the year, we may be required to borrow money, use proceeds from the issuance of securities or sell assets to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement. Please see Description of Capital Stock Distribution Policy for a further explanation of our distribution policy.

Distribution Reinvestment Plan

You may participate in our distribution reinvestment plan, or the DRIP, and elect to have the distributions you receive reinvested in shares of our common stock for \$9.50 per share during this offering. We may terminate the DRIP at our discretion at any time upon 10 days notice to you. Please see Description of Capital Stock Distribution Reinvestment Plan for a further explanation of the DRIP, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B to this prospectus.

Borrowing Policy

We use and intend to continue to use secured and unsecured debt as a means of providing additional funds for the acquisition of properties and other real estate related assets. We anticipate that aggregate borrowings, both secured and unsecured, will not exceed 60.0% of all of our properties combined fair market values, as determined at the end of each calendar year beginning with our first full year of operation. For these purposes, the fair market value of each asset will be equal to the purchase price paid for the asset or, if the asset was appraised subsequent to the date of purchase, then the fair market value will be equal to the value reported in the most recent independent appraisal of the asset. Our policies do not limit the amount we may borrow with respect to any individual investment.

Our aggregate secured and unsecured borrowings will be reviewed by our board of directors at least quarterly. Our charter precludes us from borrowing in excess of 300.0% of the value of our net assets. Net assets for purposes of this calculation are defined as our total assets (other than intangibles), valued at cost prior to deducting depreciation, reserves for bad debts and other non-cash reserves, less total liabilities. The preceding calculation is generally

expected to approximate 75.0% of the sum of (1) the aggregate cost of our properties before non-cash reserves and depreciation and (2) the aggregate cost of our securities assets. However, we may temporarily borrow in excess of these amounts if such excess is approved by a majority of our independent directors and disclosed to stockholders in our next quarterly report, along with justification

15

Table of Contents

for such excess. In such event, we will review our debt levels at that time and take action to reduce any such excess as soon as practicable.

Liquidity Events

On a limited basis, you may be able to sell shares through our share repurchase plan described below. However, in the future, our board of directors will also consider various forms of liquidity, each of which we refer to as a liquidity event, including: (1) a listing of our common stock on a national securities exchange; (2) our sale or merger in a transaction that provides our stockholders with a combination of cash and/or securities of a publicly traded company; and (3) the sale of all or substantially all of our assets for cash or other consideration. We presently intend to effect a liquidity event by September 20, 2013, seven years from the date of the original prospectus for our initial offering. However, there can be no assurance that we will effect a liquidity event within such time or at all. In making the decision whether to effect a liquidity event, our board of directors will try to determine which alternative will result in greater value for our stockholders. Certain merger transactions and the sale of all or substantially all of our assets as well as liquidation or dissolution would require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock.

Share Repurchase Plan

An investment in shares of our common stock should be made as a long-term investment which is consistent with our investment objectives. However, to accommodate stockholders for an unanticipated or unforeseen need or desire to sell their shares, we have adopted a share repurchase plan to allow stockholders to sell shares, subject to limitations and restrictions. Repurchases of shares, when requested, are at our sole discretion and will generally be made quarterly. All repurchases are subject to a one-year holding period, except for repurchases made in connection with a stockholder s death or qualifying disability. Repurchases would be limited to (1) those that could be funded from the net proceeds from the sale of shares under the DRIP in the prior 12 months plus any additional amounts set aside by our board of directors for such purpose and (2) 5.0% of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the prior calendar year. Due to these limitations, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to accommodate all repurchase requests. Our directors and affiliates are prohibited from receiving a fee for any share repurchase we make pursuant to the share repurchase plan.

Unless the shares are being repurchased in connection with a stockholder s death or qualifying disability, the prices per share at which we will repurchase shares will be as follows:

for stockholders who have continuously held their shares for at least one year, the lower of \$9.25 or 92.5% of the price paid to acquire shares from us;

for stockholders who have continuously held their shares for at least two years, the lower of \$9.50 or 95.0% of the price paid to acquire shares from us;

for stockholders who have continuously held their shares for at least three years, the lower of \$9.75 or 97.5% of the price paid to acquire shares from us; and

for stockholders who have continuously held their shares for at least four years, a price determined by our board of directors, but in no event less than 100% of the price paid to acquire shares from us.

If shares are to be repurchased in connection with a stockholder s death or qualifying disability, the repurchase price will be: (1) for stockholders who have continuously held their shares for less than four years, 100% of the price paid to acquire the shares from us; or (2) for stockholders who have continuously held their shares for at least four years, a

price determined by our board of directors, but in no event less than 100% of the price paid to acquire the shares from us.

We will terminate our share repurchase plan if and when our shares become listed on a national securities exchange or earlier if our board of directors determines that it is in our best interests to terminate the program. We may amend or modify any provision of the plan at any time, in our board s discretion, after providing our

16

Table of Contents

stockholders with 30 days advance written notice. Please see Description of Capital Stock Share Repurchase Plan for further explanation of our share repurchase plan and Exhibit C for a copy of our share repurchase plan.

Market Outlook

Macro-economic disruptions have broadly impacted the economy and have caused an imbalance between buyers and sellers of real estate assets, including medical office buildings and other healthcare-related real estate assets.

Overview. Turmoil in the credit markets, an unfavorable economic environment and more restrictive financing conditions has led to increased volatility and the loss of value in the real estate markets and the U.S. economy. Many economists believe that the U.S. has entered into a deep recession and are predicting continued deterioration of economic conditions. There has been a significant increase in unemployment across the nation and many economists expect increased vacancy rates at commercial properties in the near term future. The prolonged continuation of these unfavorable conditions will likely materially and adversely impact the availability of credit to commercial and residential borrowers and businesses and could further damage domestic and global economies.

Adverse Impacts. Continued turmoil in the financial markets has the potential to materially adversely affect (i) the value of our properties, (ii) the debt capital available for future investments in commercial properties, (iii) the business and operations of our tenants and their ability to pay rent and other monies due to us, (iv) the ability of prospective tenants to enter into new leases or current tenants to renew their leases, and (v) our ability to make payments on or refinance existing debt. Securitized commercial mortgage lenders have dramatically increased underwriting standards and decreased allowable loan-to-value ratios. Accordingly, there is a significant decrease in available debt capital. The turmoil in the credit markets has caused investors of mortgage backed securities to demand higher risk premiums. As a result, lenders have increased the cost of obtaining debt financing. In light of these challenging economic conditions, we may not be able to refinance our existing debt or secure new debt financing on favorable terms.

Government Response. In response to current financial and economic conditions, governmental entities and financial regulators have instituted various programs, mechanisms and regulations in an effort to stabilize the credit markets and assist troubled financial institutions and borrowers. It is uncertain what effects these various programs, mechanisms and regulations will have on the credit and real estate markets and the U.S. economy in general. Furthermore, there may be additional governmental restrictions imposed on the financial markets as a result of the continued turmoil in the financial sector. Given the uncertainty of this rapidly changing regulatory environment and the unknown impact of current and potential future financial regulations and programs, we may be unable to successfully implement our current investment strategies, which could have a material impact on our operating results and financial condition. If the turmoil in the debt market continues, we may pursue new investment strategies to effectively manage and increase our portfolio. This may include targeting acquisition opportunities that require us to use little or no debt financing.

Asset Values and Opportunity. The state of the credit markets and faltering economy could result in lower occupancy, lower rental rates and continued price or value decreases for commercial real estate assets. Although this may decrease the value of our current portfolio and the collateral securing any loan investments we may make, this may also benefit us by presenting future acquisition opportunities at depressed prices. We anticipated that these tough economic conditions would create opportunities for our company to acquire such assets at higher capitalization rates, as the real estate market adjusted downward. In the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first half of 2009, we opted not to proceed with certain acquisitions which we determined merited re-pricing. We renegotiated other deals to lower pricing points. In December 2009, we closed approximately \$253,000,000 of acquisitions and as of December 31, 2009, we had cash on hand of approximately \$219,001,000, which we intend to use to acquire assets that are priced at levels consistent with today s economy. We believe that during this turbulent economic cycle, our cash on hand will provide us with opportunities to acquire medical office buildings and other healthcare-related real estate assets at favorable pricing.

Employee Benefit Plan and IRA Considerations

The section of this prospectus entitled Employee Benefit Plan and IRA Considerations describes certain considerations associated with a purchase of shares by a pension, profit sharing or other employee benefit plan that is subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, or by an individual retirement account subject to Section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code. Any plan or account trustee or individual considering purchasing shares for or on behalf of such a plan or account should read that section of this prospectus very carefully.

Restrictions on Share Ownership

Our charter contains restrictions on ownership of the shares that prevent any individual or entity from acquiring beneficial ownership of more than 9.8% of the value of our then outstanding capital stock (which includes common stock and any preferred stock we may issue) or more than 9.8% of the value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our then outstanding common stock. Please see Description of Capital Stock Restriction on Ownership of Shares for further explanation of the restrictions on ownership of our shares.

About this Prospectus

This prospectus is part of a registration statement that we filed with the SEC using a continuous offering process. Periodically, as we make material investments or have other material developments, we will provide a prospectus supplement that may add, update or change information contained in this prospectus. Any statement that we make in this prospectus will be modified or superseded by any inconsistent statement made by us in a subsequent prospectus supplement. The registration statement we filed with the SEC includes exhibits that provide more detailed descriptions of the matters discussed in this prospectus. You should read this prospectus and the related exhibits filed with the SEC and any prospectus supplement, together with additional information described below under Incorporation of Certain Information by Reference and Where You Can Find Additional Information.

18

RISK FACTORS

Your purchase of shares of our common stock involves a number of risks. In addition to other risks discussed in this prospectus, you should specifically consider the following risks before you decide to buy shares of our common stock.

Investment Risks

There is currently no public market for shares of our common stock. Therefore, it will be difficult for you to sell your shares and, if you are able to sell your shares, you will likely sell them at a substantial discount.

There currently is no public market for shares of our common stock. We do not expect a public market for our stock to develop prior to the listing of our shares on a national securities exchange, which we do not expect to occur in the near future and which may not occur at all. Additionally, our charter contains restrictions on the ownership and transfer of our shares, and these restrictions may inhibit your ability to sell your shares. We have adopted a share repurchase plan but it is limited in terms of the amount of shares which may be repurchased annually. Our board of directors may also limit, suspend, terminate or amend our share repurchase plan upon 30 days notice. Therefore, it will be difficult for you to sell your shares promptly or at all. If you are able to sell your shares, you may only be able to sell them at a substantial discount from the price you paid. This may be the result, in part, of the fact that, at the time we make our investments, the amount of funds available for investment will be reduced by up to 11.5% of the gross offering proceeds which will be used to pay selling commissions and the dealer manager fee and organizational and offering expenses. We will also be required to use gross offering proceeds to pay acquisition expenses. Unless our aggregate investments increase in value to compensate for these fees and expenses, which may not occur, it is unlikely that you will be able to sell your shares, whether pursuant to our share repurchase plan or otherwise, without incurring a substantial loss. We cannot assure you that your shares will ever appreciate in value to equal the price you paid for your shares. Thus, prospective stockholders should consider the purchase of shares of our common stock as illiquid and a long-term investment, and you must be prepared to hold your shares for an indefinite length of time. Please see Description of Capital Stock Restriction on Ownership of Shares for a more complete discussion on certain restrictions regarding your ability to transfer your shares.

We may not have sufficient cash available from operations to pay distributions, and, therefore, distributions may include a return of capital.

Distributions payable to stockholders may include a return of capital, rather than a return on capital. We expect to continue to make monthly distributions to our stockholders. The actual amount and timing of distributions will be determined by our board of directors in its discretion and typically will depend on the amount of funds available for distribution, which will depend on items such as current and projected cash requirements and tax considerations. As a result, our distribution rate and payment frequency may vary from time to time. We may not have sufficient cash available from operations to pay distributions required to maintain our status as a REIT and may need to use proceeds from this offering or borrowed funds to make such cash distributions. Additionally, if the aggregate amount of cash distributed in any given year exceeds the amount of our REIT taxable income generated during the year, the excess amount will be deemed a return of capital, which will decrease your tax basis in your investment in shares of our common stock. Our organizational documents do not establish a limit on the amount of net proceeds we may use to fund distributions.

We may not have sufficient cash available from operations to pay distributions, and, therefore, distributions may be paid, without limitation, with offering proceeds or borrowed funds.

The amount of the distributions we make to our stockholders will be determined by our board of directors and is dependent on a number of factors, including funds available for payment of distributions, our financial condition, capital expenditure requirements and annual distribution requirements needed to maintain our status as a REIT. We have and may continue to use, without limitation, proceeds from the initial offering and this

19

Table of Contents

offering in order to pay distributions, which reduces the amount of proceeds available for investment and operations. If we were to use borrowed funds to pay distributions it could also cause us to incur additional interest expense.

On February 14, 2007, our board of directors approved a 7.25% per annum, or \$0.725 per common share, distribution to be paid to our stockholders beginning with our February 2007 monthly distribution, which was paid in March 2007, and we continued to pay distributions at that rate through March 2010. It is our intent to continue to pay distributions. However, we cannot guarantee the amount of distributions paid in the future, if any. If distributions are in excess of our taxable income, such distributions will result in a return of capital to our stockholders. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we paid distributions of \$78,059,000 (\$39,499,000 in cash and \$38,559,000 in shares of our common stock pursuant to the DRIP), as compared to cash flows from operations of \$21,001,000. The \$56,128,000 in distributions paid in excess of our cash flow from operations, or 71.9%, were paid using proceeds from our initial offering.

As of March 12, 2010, we had made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions and have identified a limited number of additional properties to acquire with the net proceeds we will receive from this offering, and stockholders are therefore unable to evaluate the economic merits of most of our future investments prior to purchasing shares of our common stock.

As of March 12, 2010, we have made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions with the net proceeds from our initial offering. As of March 12, 2010, we have identified a limited number of additional potential properties to acquire with the net proceeds we will receive from our offerings. Other than these 55 geographically diverse acquisitions, our stockholders are unable to evaluate the manner in which the net proceeds are invested and the economic merits of our future investments prior to purchasing shares of our common stock. Additionally, our stockholders do not have the opportunity to evaluate the transaction terms or other financial or operational data concerning other investment properties or other real estate related assets.

Our operations have resulted in net losses to date, which makes our future performance and the performance of an investment in our shares difficult to predict.

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, our operations resulted in a net loss of approximately \$7.67 million, \$28.45 million and \$25.08 million, respectively. The decrease in net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to December 31, 2008 is primarily due to an increase in total depreciation and amortization, acquisition expenses and an increase in net expenses on our indebtedness offset by a gain on derivatives. The increase in net loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to December 31, 2007 is primarily due to an increase in total depreciation and amortization, and an increase in net expenses on our indebtedness. Our net losses have increased substantially from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008, and have slightly decreased for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our net losses may increase in the future. Our net losses increase the risk and uncertainty investors face in making an investment in our shares, including risks related to our ability to pay future distributions.

If we are unable to find suitable investments, we may not be able to achieve our investment objectives.

You must rely on us to evaluate our investment opportunities, and we may not be able to achieve our investment objectives or may make unwise decisions. We cannot assure you that acquisitions of real estate or other real estate related assets made using the proceeds of this offering will produce a return on our investment or will generate cash flow to enable us to make distributions to our stockholders.

We face competition for the acquisition of medical office buildings and other healthcare-related facilities, which may impede our ability to make future acquisitions or may increase the cost of these acquisitions.

We compete with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities, including national, regional and local operators, acquirers and developers of healthcare real estate properties. The competition for healthcare real estate properties may significantly increase the price we must pay for medical office buildings and healthcare-related

20

Table of Contents

facilities or other real estate related assets we seek to acquire and our competitors may succeed in acquiring those properties or assets themselves. In addition, our potential acquisition targets may find our competitors to be more attractive because they may have greater resources, may be willing to pay more for the properties or may have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger healthcare REITs may enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital and enhanced operating efficiencies. In addition, the number of entities and the amount of funds competing for suitable investment properties may increase. This competition will result in increased demand for these assets and therefore increased prices paid for them. Because of an increased interest in single-property acquisitions among tax-motivated individual purchasers, we may pay higher prices if we purchase single properties in comparison with portfolio acquisitions. If we pay higher prices for medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities, our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to you may be materially and adversely affected.

You may be unable to sell your shares because your ability to have your shares repurchased pursuant to our share repurchase plan is subject to significant restrictions and limitations.

Even though our share repurchase plan may provide you with a limited opportunity to sell your shares to us after you have held them for a period of one year or in the event of death or qualifying disability, you should be fully aware that our share repurchase plan contains significant restrictions and limitations. Further, our board may limit, suspend, terminate or amend any provision of the share repurchase plan upon 30 days notice. Repurchases of shares, when requested, will generally be made quarterly. Repurchases will be limited to: (1) those that could be funded from the net proceeds from the sale of shares under the DRIP in the prior 12 months plus any additional amounts set aside by our board of directors for such purpose, and (2) 5.0% of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the prior calendar year. In addition, you must present at least 25.0% of your shares for repurchase and until you have held your shares for at least four years, repurchases will be made for less than you paid for your shares. Therefore, in making a decision to purchase shares of our common stock, you should not assume that you will be able to sell any of your shares back to us pursuant to our share repurchase plan at any particular time or at all. Please see Description of Capital Stock Share Repurchase Plan for more information regarding our share repurchase plan.

This is a best efforts offering and if we are unable to raise substantial proceeds in this offering, we will be limited in the number and type of investments we may make, which will result in a less diversified portfolio.

This offering is being made on a best efforts basis, whereby our dealer manager and the broker-dealers participating in the offering are only required to use their best efforts to sell our shares and have no firm commitment or obligation to purchase any of the shares. As a result, if we are unable to raise substantial proceeds in this offering, we will have limited diversification in terms of the number of investments owned, the geographic regions in which our investments are located and the types of investments that we make. Your investment in our shares will be subject to greater risk to the extent that we lack a diversified portfolio of investments. In such event, the likelihood of our profitability being affected by the poor performance of any single investment will increase.

This is a fixed price offering and the fixed offering price may not accurately represent the current value of our assets at any particular time. Therefore the purchase price you paid for shares of our common stock may be higher than the value of our assets per share of our common stock at the time of your purchase.

This is a fixed price offering, which means that the offering price for shares of our common stock is fixed and will not vary based on the underlying value of our assets at any time during this offering. The offering price for shares of our common stock during this offering is the same price as shares of our common stock during our initial offering. Our board of directors arbitrarily determined the offering price in its sole discretion. The fixed offering price for shares of our common stock has not been based on appraisals for any assets we may own nor do we intend to obtain such appraisals. Therefore, the fixed offering price established

Table of Contents

for shares of our common stock may not accurately represent the current value of our assets per share of our common stock at any particular time and may be higher or lower than the actual value of our assets per share at such time.

Payments to our former advisor related to its subordinated participation interest in our operating partnership will reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our former advisor may have certain rights, subject to a number of conditions, to a subordinated participation interest in our operating partnership, pursuant to which it may be entitled to receive distributions upon the occurrence of certain events, including in connection with dispositions of our assets, certain mergers of our company with another company or the listing of our common stock on a national securities exchange. The distribution, if payable to our former advisor, will equal or approximate 15.0% of the net proceeds from the sale of our properties only after we have made distributions to our stockholders of the total amount raised from stockholders in the initial offering (less amounts paid to repurchase shares through our share repurchase plan) plus an annual 8.0% cumulative, non-compounded return on average invested capital raised in the initial offering. Any distributions to our former advisor by our operating partnership upon dispositions of our assets and such other events will reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We presently intend to effect a liquidity event by September 20, 2013; however, we cannot assure you that we will effect a liquidity event by such time or at all. If we do not effect a liquidity event, it will be very difficult for you to have liquidity for your investment in shares of our common stock.

On a limited basis, you may be able to sell shares through our share repurchase plan. However, in the future we may also consider various forms of liquidity events, including but not limited to (1) the listing of shares of our common stock on a national securities exchange, (2) our sale or merger in a transaction that provides our stockholders with a combination of cash and/or securities of a publicly traded company, and (3) the sale of all or substantially all of our real property for cash or other consideration. We presently intend to effect a liquidity event by September 20, 2013. However, we cannot assure you that we will effect a liquidity event within such time or at all. If we do not effect a liquidity event, it will be very difficult for you to have liquidity for your investment in shares of our common stock other than limited liquidity through our share repurchase plan.

Because a portion of the offering price from the sale of shares is used to pay expenses and fees, the full offering price paid by stockholders is not invested in real estate investments. As a result, stockholders will only receive a full return of their invested capital if we either (1) sell our assets or our company for a sufficient amount in excess of the original purchase price of our assets, or (2) the market value of our company after we list our shares of common stock on a national securities exchange is substantially in excess of the original purchase price of our assets.

Risks Related to Our Business

Continued volatility in the credit markets and real estate markets could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions to our stockholders.

Domestic and international financial markets currently are experiencing continued volatility which has been brought about in large part by failures in the U.S. banking system. This volatility has severely impacted the availability of credit and have contributed to rising costs associated with obtaining credit. If debt financing is not available on terms and conditions we find acceptable, we may not be able to obtain financing for investments. If this volatility in the credit markets persists, our ability to borrow monies to finance the purchase of, or other activities related to, properties and other real estate related assets will be negatively impacted. If we are unable to borrow monies on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, we likely will have to reduce the number of properties we can purchase, and the return on the properties we do purchase may be lower. In addition, we may find it difficult, costly or impossible to

refinance indebtedness which is maturing. If interest rates are higher when the properties are refinanced, we may not be able to finance the properties and our income could be reduced. In addition, if we pay fees to lock-in a favorable interest rate,

22

Table of Contents

falling interest rates or other factors could require us to forfeit these fees. All of these events would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions.

In addition to volatility in the credit markets, the real estate market is subject to fluctuation and can be impacted by factors such as general economic conditions, supply and demand, availability of financing and interest rates. To the extent we purchase real estate in an unstable market, we are subject to the risk that if the real estate market ceases to attract the same level of capital investment in the future that it attracts at the time of our purchases, or the number of companies seeking to acquire properties decreases, the value of our investments may not appreciate or may decrease significantly below the amount we pay for these investments.

Finally, the pervasive and fundamental disruptions that the global financial markets are currently undergoing have led to extensive and unprecedented governmental intervention. Although the government intervention is intended to stimulate the flow of capital and to undergird the U.S. economy in the short term, it is impossible to predict the actual effect of the government intervention and what effect, if any, additional interim or permanent governmental intervention may have on the financial markets and/or the effect of such intervention on us and our results of operations. In addition, there is a high likelihood that regulation of the financial markets will be significantly increased in the future, which could have a material impact on our operating results and financial condition.

We may suffer from delays in locating suitable investments, which could reduce our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and reduce your return on your investment.

There may be a substantial period of time before the proceeds of this offering are invested in additional suitable investments. Because we are conducting this offering on a best efforts basis over time, our ability to commit to purchase specific assets will also depend, in part, on the amount of proceeds we have received at a given time. If we are delayed or unable to find additional suitable investments, we may not be able to achieve our investment objectives or make distributions to you.

The availability and timing of cash distributions to our stockholders is uncertain.

We expect to make monthly distributions to our stockholders. However, we bear all expenses incurred in our operations, which are deducted from cash funds generated by operations prior to computing the amount of cash distributions to our stockholders. In addition, our board of directors, in its discretion, may retain any portion of such funds for working capital. We cannot assure you that sufficient cash will be available to make distributions to you or that the amount of distributions will increase over time. Should we fail for any reason to distribute at least 90.0% of our REIT taxable income, we would not qualify for the favorable tax treatment accorded to REITs.

We may structure acquisitions of property in exchange for limited partnership units in our operating partnership on terms that could limit our liquidity or our flexibility.

We may acquire properties by issuing limited partnership units in our operating partnership in exchange for a property owner contributing property to the partnership. If we enter into such transactions, in order to induce the contributors of such properties to accept units in our operating partnership, rather than cash, in exchange for their properties, it may be necessary for us to provide them additional incentives. For instance, our operating partnership s limited partnership agreement provides that any holder of units may exchange limited partnership units on a one-for-one basis for shares of our common stock, or, at our option, cash equal to the value of an equivalent number of our shares. We may, however, enter into additional contractual arrangements with contributors of property under which we would agree to repurchase a contributor s units for shares of our common stock or cash, at the option of the contributor, at set times. If the contributor required us to repurchase units for cash pursuant to such a provision, it would limit our liquidity and thus our ability to use cash to make other investments, satisfy other obligations or make distributions to stockholders.

Moreover, if we were required to repurchase units for cash at a time when we did not have sufficient cash to fund the repurchase, we might be required to sell one or more properties to raise funds to satisfy this obligation. Furthermore, we might agree that if distributions the contributor received as a limited partner in our operating

23

Table of Contents

partnership did not provide the contributor with a defined return, then upon redemption of the contributor s units we would pay the contributor an additional amount necessary to achieve that return. Such a provision could further negatively impact our liquidity and flexibility. Finally, in order to allow a contributor of a property to defer taxable gain on the contribution of property to our operating partnership, we might agree not to sell a contributed property for a defined period of time or until the contributor exchanged the contributor s units for cash or shares. Such an agreement would prevent us from selling those properties, even if market conditions made such a sale favorable to us.

Our success may be hampered by the current slow down in the real estate industry.

Our business is sensitive to trends in the general economy, as well as the commercial real estate and credit markets. The current macroeconomic environment and accompanying credit crisis has negatively impacted the value of commercial real estate assets, contributing to a general slow down in our industry, which may continue through 2010 and beyond. A prolonged and pronounced recession could continue or accelerate the reduction in overall transaction volume and size of sales and leasing activities that we have already experienced, and would continue to put downward pressure on our revenues and operating results. To the extent that any decline in our revenues and operating results impacts our performance, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions to our stockholders could also suffer.

Our results of operations, our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders and our ability to dispose of our investments are subject to international, national and local economic factors we cannot control or predict.

Our results of operations are subject to the risks of an international or national economic slow down or downturn and other changes in international, national and local economic conditions. The following factors may affect income from our properties, our ability to acquire and dispose of properties, and yields from our properties:

poor economic times may result in defaults by tenants of our properties due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, or operational failures. We may also be required to provide rent concessions or reduced rental rates to maintain or increase occupancy levels;

reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or to obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans;

the value and liquidity of our short-term investments and cash deposits could be reduced as a result of a deterioration of the financial condition of the institutions that hold our cash deposits or the institutions or assets in which we have made short-term investments, the dislocation of the markets for our short-term investments, increased volatility in market rates for such investment or other factors;

one or more lenders under our lines of credit could refuse to fund their financing commitment to us or could fail and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all;

one or more counterparties to our interest rate swaps could default on their obligations to us or could fail, increasing the risk that we may not realize the benefits of these instruments;

increases in supply of competing properties or decreases in demand for our properties may impact our ability to maintain or increase occupancy levels and rents;

constricted access to credit may result in tenant defaults or non-renewals under leases;

job transfers and layoffs may cause vacancies to increase and a lack of future population and job growth may make it difficult to maintain or increase occupancy levels; and

increased insurance premiums, real estate taxes or energy or other expenses may reduce funds available for distribution or, to the extent such increases are passed through to tenants, may lead to tenant

24

Table of Contents

defaults. Also, any such increased expenses may make it difficult to increase rents to tenants on turnover, which may limit our ability to increase our returns.

The length and severity of any economic slow down or downturn cannot be predicted. Our results of operations, our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders and our ability to dispose of our investments may be negatively impacted to the extent an economic slowdown or downturn is prolonged or becomes more severe.

The failure of any bank in which we deposit our funds could reduce the amount of cash we have available to pay distributions and make additional investments.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, will only insure amounts up to \$250,000 per depositor per insured bank through December 31, 2013. Beginning January 14, 2014, the FDIC will only insure up to \$100,000 per depositor per bank. We currently have cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash deposited in certain financial institutions in excess of federally insured levels. If any of the banking institutions in which we have deposited funds ultimately fail, we may lose any amount of our deposits over any federally-insured amounts. The loss of our deposits could reduce the amount of cash we have available to distribute or invest and could result in a decline in the value of our stockholders investment.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of certain key personnel, each of whom would be difficult to replace. If we were to lose the benefit of the experience, efforts and abilities of one or more of these individuals, our operating results could suffer.

As a self-managed company, our ability to achieve our investment objectives and to pay distributions is increasingly dependent upon the performance of our board of directors, Scott D. Peters as our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board, Kellie S. Pruitt as our Chief Accounting Officer, Treasurer and Secretary, Mark Engstrom as our Executive Vice President Acquisitions, and our other employees, in the identification and acquisition of investments, the determination of any financing arrangements, the asset management of our investments and operation of our day-to-day activities. You will have no opportunity to evaluate the terms of transactions or other economic or financial data concerning our investments that are not described in this prospectus or other periodic filings with the SEC. We rely primarily on the management ability of our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers and the governance of our board of directors, each of whom would be difficult to replace. We do not have any key man life insurance on Messrs. Peters, Engstrom or Ms. Pruitt. We have entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Peters, Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt; however, the employment agreements contain various termination rights. If we were to lose the benefit of their experience, efforts and abilities, our operating results could suffer. In addition, if any member of our board of directors were to resign, we would lose the benefit of such director s governance and experience. As a result of the foregoing, we may be unable to achieve our investment objectives or to pay distributions to our stockholders.

Risks Related to Conflicts of Interest

The subordinated incentive payments or subordinated distributions payable to certain members of our management team and directors, as applicable, will reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Certain members of our management team and directors will hold the right to receive subordinated incentive payments or subordinated distributions, as applicable, upon the occurrence of certain events, such as in connection with dispositions of certain of our assets or the listing of our common stock on a national securities exchange. Any incentive payments or distributions to members of our management team or directors upon dispositions of our assets or a listing will reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders. In addition, we bear all of the risk associated with the properties but, as a result of these subordinated incentive payments and distributions, we are not entitled to all

25

Table of Contents

The subordinated incentive payments or subordinated distributions that may become payable to certain members of our management team and directors, as applicable, may influence our decisions about dispositions of our investments or the listing of our shares of our common stock on a national securities exchange.

We may be required to make subordinated incentive payments or subordinated distributions to certain members of our management team and directors, as applicable, upon the sale of certain of our assets or the listing of our shares of our common stock on a national securities exchange, if the performance thresholds for stockholder returns required for each are met. As a result of the requirements to make these subordinated incentive payments or subordinated distributions, our independent directors may determine that it is not the best interest of our stockholders to sell certain assets or list our shares of our common stock, even though, but for the requirement to make these payments or distributions, such sale or listing would be in the best interest of our stockholders. The requirement to make these incentive payments and distributions could influence the decision-making of our independent directors with respect to investments or dispositions or listing our shares of common stock on a national securities exchange.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

We may issue preferred stock or other classes of common stock, which issuance could adversely affect the holders of our common stock issued pursuant to this offering.

Investors in this offering do not have preemptive rights to any shares issued by us in the future. We may issue, without stockholder approval, preferred stock or other classes of common stock with rights that could dilute the value of your shares of our common stock. Our charter authorizes us to issue 1,200,000,000 shares of capital stock, of which 1,000,000,000 shares of capital stock are designated as common stock and 200,000,000 shares of capital stock are designated as preferred stock. Our board of directors may amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of authorized shares of capital stock or the number of authorized shares of capital stock of any class or series without stockholder approval. If we ever created and issued preferred stock with a distribution preference over our common stock, payment of any distribution preferences of outstanding preferred stock would reduce the amount of funds available for the payment of distributions on our common stock. Further, holders of preferred stock are normally entitled to receive a preference payment in the event we liquidate, dissolve or wind up before any payment is made to our common stockholders, likely reducing the amount our common stockholders would otherwise receive upon such an occurrence. In addition, under certain circumstances, the issuance of preferred stock or a separate class or series of common stock may render more difficult or tend to discourage:

a merger, tender offer or proxy contest;

assumption of control by a holder of large block of our securities; or

removal of the incumbent board of directors and management.

Your ability to control our operations is severely limited.

Our board of directors determines our major strategies, including our strategies regarding investments, financing, growth, debt capitalization, REIT qualification and distributions. Our board of directors may amend or revise these and other strategies without a vote of the stockholders. Our charter sets forth the stockholder voting rights required to be set forth therein under the Statement of Policy Regarding Real Estate Investment Trusts adopted by the North American Securities Administrators Association, or the NASAA Guidelines. Under our charter and Maryland law, you will have a right to vote only on the following matters:

the election or removal of directors;

any amendment of our charter, except that our board of directors may amend our charter without stockholder approval to change our name or the name or other designation or the par value of any class or series of our stock and the aggregate par value of our stock, increase or decrease the aggregate

26

Table of Contents

number of our shares of stock or the number of our shares of any class or series that we have the authority to issue, or effect certain reverse stock splits;

our dissolution; and

certain mergers, consolidations and sales or other dispositions of all or substantially all of our assets.

All other matters are subject to the discretion of our board of directors.

The limit on the percentage of shares of our common stock that any person may own may discourage a takeover or business combination that may have benefited our stockholders.

Our charter restricts the direct or indirect ownership by one person or entity to no more than 9.8% of the value of our then outstanding capital stock (which includes common stock and any preferred stock we may issue) and no more than 9.8% of the value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our then outstanding common stock. This restriction may discourage a change of control of us and may deter individuals or entities from making tender offers for shares of our common stock on terms that might be financially attractive to stockholders or which may cause a change in our management. This ownership restriction may also prohibit business combinations that would have otherwise been approved by our board of directors and our stockholders. In addition to deterring potential transactions that may be favorable to our stockholders, these provisions may also decrease your ability to sell your shares of our common stock.

Our board of directors may change our investment objectives without seeking stockholder approval.

Our board of directors may change our investment objectives without seeking stockholder approval. Although our board of directors has fiduciary duties to our stockholders and intends only to change our investment objectives when our board of directors determines that a change is in the best interests of our stockholders, a change in our investment objectives could reduce our payment of cash distributions to our stockholders or cause a decline in the value of our investments.

Maryland law and our organizational documents limit your right to bring claims against our officers and directors.

Maryland law provides that a director will not have any liability as a director so long as he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests, and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our charter provides that, subject to the applicable limitations set forth therein or under Maryland law, no director or officer will be liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages. Our charter also provides that we will generally indemnify our directors, and our officers for losses they may incur by reason of their service in those capacities unless: (1) their act or omission was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) they actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or (3) in the case of any criminal proceeding, they had reasonable cause to believe the act or omission was unlawful. Moreover, we have entered into separate indemnification agreements with each of our directors and some of our executive officers. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against these persons than might otherwise exist under common law. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by these persons in some cases. However, our charter does provide that we may not indemnify our directors for any liability suffered by them or hold our directors harmless for any liability suffered by us unless (1) they have determined that the course of conduct that caused the loss or liability was in our best interests, (2) they were acting on our behalf or performing services for us, (3) the liability was not the result of negligence or misconduct by our non-independent directors, or gross negligence or willful misconduct by our independent directors and (4) the indemnification or

agreement to hold harmless is recoverable only out of our net assets or the proceeds of insurance and not from our stockholders.

27

Table of Contents

Certain provisions of Maryland law could restrict a change in control even if a change in control was in our stockholders interests.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law applicable to us prohibit business combinations with:

any person who beneficially owns 10.0% or more of the voting power of our outstanding voting stock or any affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question beneficially owns 10.0% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding stock, each of, which we refer to as an interested stockholder: or

an affiliate of an interested stockholder.

These prohibitions last for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder. Thereafter, any business combination with the interested stockholder must be recommended by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of at least 80.0% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of our outstanding shares of our voting stock and two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of shares of our voting stock other than shares held by the interested stockholder or by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder. These requirements could have the effect of inhibiting a change in control even if a change in control were in our stockholders interest. These provisions of Maryland law do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by our board of directors prior to the time that someone becomes an interested stockholder. Our board of directors has adopted a resolution providing that any business combination between us and any other person is exempted from this statute, provided that such business combination is first approved by our board. This resolution, however, may be altered or repealed in whole or in part at any time.

Your investment return may be reduced if we are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act.

We are not registered as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the Investment Company Act. If for any reason, we were required to register as an investment company, we would have to comply with a variety of substantive requirements under the Investment Company Act imposing, among other things:

limitations on capital structure;

restrictions on specified investments;

prohibitions on transactions with affiliates; and

compliance with reporting, record keeping, voting, proxy disclosure and other rules and regulations that would significantly change our operations.

We intend to continue to operate in such a manner that we will not be subject to regulation under the Investment Company Act. In order to maintain our exemption from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we must comply with technical and complex rules and regulations.

Specifically, so that we will not be subject to regulation as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we intend to engage primarily in the business of investing in interests in real estate and to make these investments within one year after the offering ends. If we are unable to invest a significant portion of the proceeds of this offering in properties within one year of the termination of the offering, we may avoid being required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act by temporarily investing any unused proceeds in

government securities with low returns. Investments in government securities likely would reduce the cash available for distribution to stockholders and possibly lower your returns.

In order to avoid coming within the application of the Investment Company Act, either as a company engaged primarily in investing in interests in real estate or under another exemption from the Investment Company Act, we may be required to impose limitations on our investment activities. In particular, we may limit the percentage of our assets that fall into certain categories specified in the Investment Company Act,

28

Table of Contents

which could result in us holding assets we otherwise might desire to sell and selling assets we otherwise might wish to retain. In addition, we may have to acquire additional assets that we might not otherwise have acquired or be forced to forgo investment opportunities that we would otherwise want to acquire and that could be important to our investment strategy. In particular, we will monitor our investments in other real estate related assets to ensure continued compliance with one or more exemptions from investment company status under the Investment Company Act and, depending on the particular characteristics of those investments and our overall portfolio, we may be required to limit the percentage of our assets represented by real estate related assets.

If we were required to register as an investment company, our ability to enter into certain transactions would be restricted by the Investment Company Act. Furthermore, the costs associated with registration as an investment company and compliance with such restrictions could be substantial. In addition, registration under and compliance with the Investment Company Act would require a substantial amount of time on the part of our management, thereby decreasing the time they spend actively managing our investments. If we were required to register as an investment company but failed to do so, we would be prohibited from engaging in our business, and criminal and civil actions could be brought against us. In addition, our contracts would be unenforceable unless a court were to require enforcement, and a court could appoint a receiver to take control of us and liquidate our business.

Several potential events could cause your investment in us to be diluted, which may reduce the overall value of your investment.

Your investment in us could be diluted by a number of factors, including:

future offerings of our securities, including issuances under our distribution reinvestment plan and up to 200,000,000 shares of any preferred stock that our board of directors may authorize;

private issuances of our securities to other investors, including institutional investors;

issuances of our securities under our 2006 Incentive Plan; or

redemptions of units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership in exchange for shares of our common stock.

To the extent we issue additional equity interests after you purchase shares of our common stock in this offering, your percentage ownership interest in us will be diluted. In addition, depending upon the terms and pricing of any additional offerings and the value of our real properties and other real estate related assets, you may also experience dilution in the book value and fair market value of your shares.

Your interests may be diluted in various ways, which may reduce your returns.

Our board of directors is authorized, without your approval, to cause us to issue additional shares of our common stock or to raise capital through the issuance of preferred stock, options, warrants and other rights, on terms and for consideration as our board of directors in its sole discretion may determine, subject to certain restrictions in our charter in the instance of options and warrants. Any such issuance could result in dilution of the equity of our stockholders. Our board of directors may, in its sole discretion, authorize us to issue common stock or other equity or debt securities to: (1) persons from whom we purchase properties, as part or all of the purchase price of the property, or (2) our former advisor in lieu of cash payments required under the advisory agreement or other contract or obligation. Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, may determine the value of any common stock or other equity securities issued in consideration of properties or services provided, or to be provided, to us, except that while shares of our common stock are offered by us to the public, the public offering price of the shares of our common stock will

be deemed their value.

29

Table of Contents

You may not receive any profits resulting from the sale of one of our properties, or receive such profits in a timely manner, because we may provide financing to the purchaser of such property.

If we sell one of our properties during liquidation, you may experience a delay before receiving your share of the proceeds of such liquidation. In a forced or voluntary liquidation, we may sell our properties either subject to or upon the assumption of any then outstanding mortgage debt or, alternatively, may provide financing to purchasers. We may take a purchase money obligation secured by a mortgage as partial payment. We do not have any limitations or restrictions on our taking such purchase money obligations. To the extent we receive promissory notes or other property instead of cash from sales, such proceeds, other than any interest payable on those proceeds, will not be included in net sale proceeds until and to the extent the promissory notes or other property are actually paid, sold, refinanced or otherwise disposed of. In many cases, we will receive initial down payments in the year of sale in an amount less than the selling price and subsequent payments will be spread over a number of years. Therefore, you may experience a delay in the distribution of the proceeds of a sale until such time.

Risks Related to Investments in Real Estate

Changes in national, regional or local economic, demographic or real estate market conditions may adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders or reduce the value of your investment.

We are subject to risks generally incident to the ownership of real property, including changes in national, regional or local economic, demographic or real estate market conditions. We are unable to predict future changes in national, regional or local economic, demographic or real estate market conditions. For example, a recession or rise in interest rates could make it more difficult for us to lease real properties or dispose of them. In addition, rising interest rates could also make alternative interest-bearing and other investments more attractive and therefore potentially lower the relative value of our existing real estate investments. These conditions, or others we cannot predict, may adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders or reduce the value of your investment.

Some or all of our properties may incur vacancies, which may result in reduced revenue and resale value, a reduction in cash available for distribution and a diminished return on your investment.

Some or all of our properties may incur vacancies either by a default of tenants under their leases or the expiration or termination of tenant leases. If vacancies continue for a long period of time, we may suffer reduced revenues resulting in less cash distributions to our stockholders. In addition, the resale value of the property could be diminished because the market value of a particular property will depend principally upon the value of the leases of such property.

We are dependent on tenants for our revenue, and lease terminations could reduce our distributions to our stockholders.

The successful performance of our real estate investments is materially dependent on the financial stability of our tenants. Lease payment defaults by tenants would cause us to lose the revenue associated with such leases and could cause us to reduce the amount of distributions to our stockholders. If the property is subject to a mortgage, a default by a significant tenant on its lease payments to us may result in a foreclosure on the property if we are unable to find an alternative source of revenue to meet mortgage payments. In the event of a tenant default, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment and re-leasing our property. Further, we cannot assure you that we will be able to re-lease the property for the rent previously received, if at all, or that lease terminations will not cause us to sell the property at a loss.

Table of Contents

We may incur additional costs in acquiring or re-leasing properties which could adversely affect the cash available for distribution to you.

We may invest in properties designed or built primarily for a particular tenant of a specific type of use known as a single-user facility. If the tenant fails to renew its lease or defaults on its lease obligations, we may not be able to readily market a single-user facility to a new tenant without making substantial capital improvements or incurring other significant re-leasing costs. We also may incur significant litigation costs in enforcing our rights as a landlord against the defaulting tenant. These consequences could adversely affect our revenues and reduce the cash available for distribution to you.

Uninsured losses relating to real estate and lender requirements to obtain insurance may reduce your returns.

There are types of losses relating to real estate, generally catastrophic in nature, such as losses due to wars, acts of terrorism, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, pollution or environmental matters, for which we do not intend to obtain insurance unless we are required to do so by mortgage lenders. If any of our properties incurs a casualty loss that is not fully covered by insurance, the value of our assets will be reduced by any such uninsured loss. In addition, other than any reserves we may establish, we have no source of funding to repair or reconstruct any uninsured damaged property, and we cannot assure you that any such sources of funding will be available to us for such purposes in the future. Also, to the extent we must pay unexpectedly large amounts for uninsured losses, we could suffer reduced earnings that would result in less cash to be distributed to stockholders. In cases where we are required by mortgage lenders to obtain casualty loss insurance for catastrophic events or terrorism, such insurance may not be available, or may not be available at a reasonable cost, which could inhibit our ability to finance or refinance our properties. Additionally, if we obtain such insurance, the costs associated with owning a property would increase and could have a material adverse effect on the net income from the property, and, thus, the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We may obtain only limited warranties when we purchase a property and would have only limited recourse in the event our due diligence did not identify any issues that lower the value of our property.

The seller of a property often sells such property in its as is condition on a where is basis and with all faults, without any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. In addition, purchase and sale agreements may contain only limited warranties, representations and indemnifications that will only survive for a limited period after the closing. The purchase of properties with limited warranties increases the risk that we may lose some or all of our invested capital in the property, as well as the loss of rental income from that property.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect the markets in which we operate and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions to you.

Terrorist attacks may negatively affect our operations and our stockholders investment. We may acquire real estate assets located in areas that are susceptible to attack. These attacks may directly impact the value of our assets through damage, destruction, loss or increased security costs. Although we may obtain terrorism insurance, we may not be able to obtain sufficient coverage to fund any losses we may incur. Risks associated with potential acts of terrorism could sharply increase the premiums we pay for coverage against property and casualty claims. Further, certain losses resulting from these types of events are uninsurable or not insurable at reasonable costs.

More generally, any terrorist attack, other act of violence or war, including armed conflicts, could result in increased volatility in, or damage to, the United States and worldwide financial markets and economy, all of which could adversely affect our tenants ability to pay rent on their leases or our ability to borrow money or issue capital stock at acceptable prices and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay

Table of Contents

Delays in the acquisition, development and construction of real properties may have adverse effects on our results of operations and returns to our stockholders.

Delays we encounter in the selection, acquisition and development of real properties could adversely affect your returns. Where properties are acquired prior to the start of constructions or during the early stages of construction, it will typically take several months to complete construction and rent available space. Therefore, you could suffer delays in the receipt of cash distributions attributable to those particular real properties. Delays in completion of construction could give tenants the right to terminate preconstruction leases for space at a newly developed project. We may incur additional risks when we make periodic progress payments or other advances to builders prior to completion of construction. Each of those factors could result in increased costs of a project or loss of our investment. In addition, we are subject to normal lease-up risks relating to newly constructed projects. Furthermore, the price we agree to for a real property will be based on our projections of rental income and expenses and estimates of the fair market value of real property upon completion of construction. If our projections are inaccurate, we may pay too much for a property.

Uncertain market conditions relating to the future disposition of properties could cause us to sell our properties at a loss in the future.

We intend to hold our various real estate investments until such time as we determine that a sale or other disposition appears to be advantageous to achieve our investment objectives. Our Chief Executive Officer and our board of directors may exercise their discretion as to whether and when to sell a property, and we will have no obligation to sell properties at any particular time. We generally intend to hold properties for an extended period of time, and we cannot predict with any certainty the various market conditions affecting real estate investments that will exist at any particular time in the future. Because of the uncertainty of market conditions that may affect the future disposition of our properties, we cannot assure you that we will be able to sell our properties at a profit in the future or at all. Additionally, we may incur prepayment penalties in the event we sell a property subject to a mortgage earlier than we otherwise had planned. Accordingly, the extent to which you will receive cash distributions and realize potential appreciation on our real estate investments will, among other things, be dependent upon fluctuating market conditions. Any inability to sell a property could adversely impact our ability to pay distributions to you.

We face possible liability for environmental cleanup costs and damages for contamination related to properties we acquire, which could substantially increase our costs and reduce our liquidity and cash distributions to stockholders.

Because we own and operate real estate, we are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations. Under these laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such property. The costs of removal or remediation could be substantial. Such laws often impose liability whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. Environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Environmental laws provide for sanctions in the event of noncompliance and may be enforced by governmental agencies or, in certain circumstances, by private parties. Certain environmental laws and common law principles could be used to impose liability for release of and exposure to hazardous substances, including the release of asbestos-containing materials into the air, and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real estate for personal injury or property damage associated with exposure to released hazardous substances. In addition, new or more stringent laws or stricter interpretations of existing laws could change the cost of compliance or liabilities and restrictions arising out of such laws. The cost of defending against these claims, complying with environmental regulatory requirements, conducting remediation of any contaminated property, or of paying personal injury claims could be substantial, which would reduce our liquidity

and cash available for distribution to you. In addition, the presence of hazardous substances on a property or the failure to meet environmental regulatory requirements may materially impair our ability to use, lease or sell a property, or to use the property as collateral for borrowing.

32

Table of Contents

Our property investments are geographically concentrated in certain states and subject to economic fluctuations in those states.

As of December 31, 2009, we had interests in ten consolidated properties located in Texas, which accounted for 16.9% of our total rental income, interests in two consolidated properties located in South Carolina, which accounted for 13.0% of our total rental income, and interests in five consolidated properties located in Arizona, which accounted for 12.2% of our total rental income. This rental income is based on contractual base rent from leases in effect as of December 31, 2009. Accordingly, there is a geographic concentration of risk subject to fluctuations in each state s economy.

Certain of our properties may not have efficient alternative uses, so the loss of a tenant may cause us not to be able to find a replacement or cause us to spend considerable capital to adapt the property to an alternative use.

Some of the properties we seek to acquire are specialized medical facilities. If we or our tenants terminate the leases for these properties or our tenants lose their regulatory authority to operate such properties, we may not be able to locate suitable replacement tenants to lease the properties for their specialized uses. Alternatively, we may be required to spend substantial amounts to adapt the properties to other uses. Any loss of revenues or additional capital expenditures required as a result may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our medical office buildings, healthcare-related facilities and tenants may be unable to compete successfully.

Our medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities often face competition from nearby hospitals and other medical office buildings that provide comparable services. Some of those competing facilities are owned by governmental agencies and supported by tax revenues, and others are owned by nonprofit corporations and may be supported to a large extent by endowments and charitable contributions. These types of support are not available to our buildings.

Similarly, our tenants face competition from other medical practices in nearby hospitals and other medical facilities. Our tenants failure to compete successfully with these other practices could adversely affect their ability to make rental payments, which could adversely affect our rental revenues. Further, from time to time and for reasons beyond our control, referral sources, including physicians and managed care organizations, may change their lists of hospitals or physicians to which they refer patients. This could adversely affect our tenants ability to make rental payments, which could adversely affect our rental revenues.

Any reduction in rental revenues resulting from the inability of our medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities and our tenants to compete successfully may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our costs associated with complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act may reduce our cash available for distributions.

Our properties may be subject to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, or the ADA. Under the ADA, all places of public accommodation are required to comply with federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. The ADA has separate compliance requirements for public accommodations and commercial facilities that generally require that buildings and services be made accessible and available to people with disabilities. The ADA is requirements could require removal of access barriers and could result in the imposition of injunctive relief, monetary penalties or, in some cases, an award of damages. We attempt to acquire properties that comply with the ADA or place the burden on the seller or other third party, such as a tenant, to ensure compliance with the ADA.

However, we cannot assure you that we will be able to acquire properties or allocate responsibilities in this manner. If we cannot, our funds used for ADA compliance may reduce cash available for distributions and the amount of distributions to you.

33

Table of Contents

Our real properties are subject to property taxes that may increase in the future, which could adversely affect our cash flow.

Our real properties are subject to real and personal property taxes that may increase as tax rates change and as the real properties are assessed or reassessed by taxing authorities. Some of our leases generally provide that the property taxes or increases therein are charged to the tenants as an expense related to the real properties that they occupy while other leases will generally provide that we are responsible for such taxes. In any case, as the owner of the properties, we are ultimately responsible for payment of the taxes to the applicable government authorities. If real property taxes increase, our tenants may be unable to make the required tax payments, ultimately requiring us to pay the taxes even if otherwise stated under the terms of the lease. If we fail to pay any such taxes, the applicable taxing authority may place a lien on the real property and the real property may be subject to a tax sale. In addition, we are generally responsible for real property taxes related to any vacant space.

Costs of complying with governmental laws and regulations related to environmental protection and human health and safety may be high.

All real property investments and the operations conducted in connection with such investments are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and human health and safety. Some of these laws and regulations may impose joint and several liability on customers, owners or operators for the costs to investigate or remediate contaminated properties, regardless of fault or whether the acts causing the contamination were legal.

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be liable for the cost of removing or remediating hazardous or toxic substances on such real property. Such laws often impose liability whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. In addition, the presence of hazardous substances, or the failure to properly remediate those substances, may adversely affect our ability to sell, rent or pledge such real property as collateral for future borrowings. Environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which real property may be used or businesses may be operated. Some of these laws and regulations have been amended so as to require compliance with new or more stringent standards as of future dates. Compliance with new or more stringent laws or regulations or stricter interpretation of existing laws may require us to incur material expenditures. Future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material environmental liability. Additionally, our tenants operations, the existing condition of land when we buy it, operations in the vicinity of our real properties, such as the presence of underground storage tanks, or activities of unrelated third parties may affect our real properties. In addition, there are various local, state and federal fire, health, life-safety and similar regulations with which we may be required to comply, and which may subject us to liability in the form of fines or damages for noncompliance. In connection with the acquisition and ownership of our real properties, we may be exposed to such costs in connection with such regulations. The cost of defending against environmental claims, of any damages or fines we must pay, of compliance with environmental regulatory requirements or of remediating any contaminated real property could materially and adversely affect our business, lower the value of our assets or results of operations and, consequently, lower the amounts available for distribution to you.

Risks Related to the Healthcare Industry

Reductions in reimbursement from third party payors, including Medicare and Medicaid, could adversely affect the profitability of our tenants and hinder their ability to make rent payments to us.

Sources of revenue for our tenants may include the federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs, private insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations, among others. Efforts by such payors to reduce healthcare

costs will likely continue, which may result in reductions or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by some of our tenants. In addition, the failure of any of our tenants to comply with various laws and regulations could jeopardize their ability to continue participating in Medicare, Medicaid and other government sponsored payment programs.

34

Table of Contents

The healthcare industry continues to face various challenges, including increased government and private payor pressure on healthcare providers to control or reduce costs. It is possible that our tenants will continue to experience a shift in payor mix away from fee-for-service payors, resulting in an increase in the percentage of revenues attributable to managed care payors, and general industry trends that include pressures to control healthcare costs. Pressures to control healthcare costs and a shift away from traditional health insurance reimbursement to managed care plans have resulted in an increase in the number of patients whose healthcare coverage is provided under managed care plans, such as health maintenance organizations and preferred provider organizations. These changes could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of some or all of our tenants. The financial impact on our tenants could restrict their ability to make rent payments to us, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated and currently there is pending legislation on healthcare reform. New laws or regulations, the current pending legislation, changes to existing laws or regulations, loss of licensure or failure to obtain licensure could result in the inability of our tenants to make rent payments to us.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated by federal, state and local governmental bodies. Our tenants generally are subject to laws and regulations covering, among other things, licensure, certification for participation in government programs, and relationships with physicians and other referral sources. Changes in these laws and regulations could negatively affect the ability of our tenants to make lease payments to us and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Many of our medical properties and their tenants may require a license or certificate of need, or CON, to operate. Failure to obtain a license or CON, or loss of a required license or CON would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by the tenant. These events could materially adversely affect our tenants—ability to make rent payments to us. State and local laws also may regulate expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction of healthcare- related facilities, by requiring a CON or other similar approval. State CON laws are not uniform throughout the United States and are subject to change. We cannot predict the impact of state CON laws on our development of facilities or the operations of our tenants.

In addition, state CON laws often materially impact the ability of competitors to enter into the marketplace of our facilities. The repeal of CON laws could allow competitors to freely operate in previously closed markets. This could negatively affect our tenants abilities to make rent payments to us.

In limited circumstances, loss of state licensure or certification or closure of a facility could ultimately result in loss of authority to operate the facility and require new CON authorization to re-institute operations. As a result, a portion of the value of the facility may be reduced, which would adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Some tenants of our medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities are subject to fraud and abuse laws, the violation of which by a tenant may jeopardize the tenant s ability to make rent payments to us.

There are various federal and state laws prohibiting fraudulent and abusive business practices by healthcare providers who participate in, receive payments from or are in a position to make referrals in connection with government-sponsored healthcare programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Our lease arrangements with certain tenants may also be subject to these fraud and abuse laws.

These laws include:

the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, the offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, the referral of any item or service reimbursed by Medicare or Medicaid;

35

Table of Contents

the Federal Physician Self-Referral Prohibition, which, subject to specific exceptions, restricts physicians from making referrals for specifically designated health services for which payment may be made under Medicare or Medicaid programs to an entity with which the physician, or an immediate family member, has a financial relationship;

the False Claims Act, which prohibits any person from knowingly presenting false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government, including claims paid by the Medicare and Medicaid programs; and

the Civil Monetary Penalties Law, which authorizes the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to impose monetary penalties for certain fraudulent acts.

Each of these laws includes criminal and/or civil penalties for violations that range from punitive sanctions, damage assessments, penalties, imprisonment, denial of Medicare and Medicaid payments and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Certain laws, such as the False Claims Act, allow for individuals to bring whistleblower actions on behalf of the government for violations thereof. Additionally, states in which the facilities are located may have similar fraud and abuse laws. Investigation by a federal or state governmental body for violation of fraud and abuse laws or imposition of any of these penalties upon one of our tenants could jeopardize that tenant s ability to operate or to make rent payments, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Adverse trends in healthcare provider operations may negatively affect our lease revenues and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The healthcare industry is currently experiencing:

changes in the demand for and methods of delivering healthcare services;

changes in third party reimbursement policies;

significant unused capacity in certain areas, which has created substantial competition for patients among healthcare providers in those areas;

continued pressure by private and governmental payors to reduce payments to providers of services; and

increased scrutiny of billing, referral and other practices by federal and state authorities.

These factors may adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of our healthcare-related tenants and, in turn, our lease revenues and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our healthcare-related tenants may be subject to significant legal actions that could subject them to increased operating costs and substantial uninsured liabilities, which may affect their ability to pay their rent payments to us.

As is typical in the healthcare industry, our healthcare-related tenants may often become subject to claims that their services have resulted in patient injury or other adverse effects. Many of these tenants may have experienced an increasing trend in the frequency and severity of professional liability and general liability insurance claims and litigation asserted against them. The insurance coverage maintained by these tenants may not cover all claims made against them nor continue to be available at a reasonable cost, if at all. In some states, insurance coverage for the risk of punitive damages arising from professional liability and general liability claims and/or litigation may not, in certain

cases, be available to these tenants due to state law prohibitions or limitations of availability. As a result, these types of tenants of our medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities operating in these states may be liable for punitive damage awards that are either not covered or are in excess of their insurance policy limits. We also believe that there has been, and will continue to be, an increase in governmental investigations of certain healthcare providers, particularly in the area of Medicare/Medicaid false claims, as well as an increase in enforcement actions resulting from these

36

Table of Contents

investigations. Insurance is not available to cover such losses. Any adverse determination in a legal proceeding or governmental investigation, whether currently asserted or arising in the future, could have a material adverse effect on a tenant s financial condition. If a tenant is unable to obtain or maintain insurance coverage, if judgments are obtained in excess of the insurance coverage, if a tenant is required to pay uninsured punitive damages, or if a tenant is subject to an uninsurable government enforcement action, the tenant could be exposed to substantial additional liabilities, which may affect the tenant s ability to pay rent, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We may experience adverse effects as a result of potential financial and operational challenges faced by the operators of our senior healthcare facilities.

Operators of our senior healthcare facilities may face operational challenges from potentially reduced revenue streams and increased demands on their existing financial resources. Our skilled nursing operators—revenues are primarily derived from governmentally-funded reimbursement programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid. Accordingly, our facility operators are subject to the potential negative effects of decreased reimbursement rates offered through such programs. Our operators—revenue may also be adversely affected as a result of falling occupancy rates or slow lease-ups for assisted and independent living facilities due to the recent turmoil in the capital debt and real estate markets. In addition, our facility operators may incur additional demands on their existing financial resources as a result of increases in senior healthcare operator liability, insurance premiums and other operational expenses. The economic deterioration of an operator could cause such operator to file for bankruptcy protection. The bankruptcy or insolvency of an operator may adversely affect the income produced by the property or properties it operates. Our financial position could be weakened and our ability to make distributions could be limited if any of our senior healthcare facility operators were unable to meet their financial obligations to us.

Our operators performance and economic condition may be negatively affected if they fail to comply with various complex federal and state laws that govern a wide array of referrals, relationships and licensure requirements in the senior healthcare industry. The violation of any of these laws or regulations by a senior healthcare facility operator may result in the imposition of fines or other penalties that could jeopardize that operator s ability to make payment obligations to us or to continue operating its facility. In addition, legislative proposals are commonly being introduced or proposed in federal and state legislatures that could affect major changes in the senior healthcare sector, either nationally or at the state level. It is impossible to say with any certainty whether this proposed legislation will be adopted or, if adopted, what effect such legislation would have on our facility operators and our senior healthcare operations.

The unique nature of our senior healthcare properties may make it difficult to lease or transfer such properties and, as a result, may negatively affect our performance.

Senior healthcare facilities present unique challenges with respect to leasing and transferring the same. Skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities are typically highly customized and may not be easily modified to accommodate non-healthcare-related uses. As a result, these property types may not be suitable for lease to traditional office tenants or other healthcare tenants with unique needs without significant expenditures or renovations. These renovation costs may materially adversely affect our revenues, results of operations and financial condition. Furthermore, because transfers of healthcare facilities may be subject to regulatory approvals not required for transfers of other types of property, there may be significant delays in transferring operations of senior healthcare facilities to successor operators. If we are unable to efficiently transfer our senior healthcare properties our revenues and operations may suffer.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Investments in Other Real Estate Related Assets

Real estate related equity securities in which we may invest are subject to specific risks relating to the particular issuer of the securities and may be subject to the general risks of investing in subordinated real estate securities.

We may invest in the common and preferred stock of both publicly traded and private real estate companies, which involves a higher degree of risk than debt securities due to a variety of factors, including the fact that such investments are subordinate to creditors and are not secured by the issuer s property. Our investments in real estate related equity securities will involve special risks relating to the particular issuer of the equity securities, including the financial condition and business outlook of the issuer. Issuers of real estate related common equity securities generally invest in real estate or other real estate related assets and are subject to the inherent risks associated with other real estate related assets discussed in this prospectus, including risks relating to rising interest rates.

The mortgage loans in which we may invest may be impacted by unfavorable real estate market conditions, which could decrease their value.

If we make additional investments in mortgage loans, we will be at risk of loss on those investments, including losses as a result of defaults on mortgage loans. These losses may be caused by many conditions beyond our control, including economic conditions affecting real estate values, tenant defaults and lease expirations, interest rate levels and the other economic and liability risks associated with real estate described above under the heading. Risks Related to Investments in Real Estate. If we acquire property by foreclosure following defaults under our mortgage loan investments, we will have the economic and liability risks as the owner described above. We do not know whether the values of the property securing any of our investments in other real estate related assets will remain at the levels existing on the dates we initially make the related investment. If the values of the underlying properties drop, our risk will increase and the values of our interests may decrease.

Delays in liquidating defaulted mortgage loan investments could reduce our investment returns.

If there are defaults under our mortgage loan investments, we may not be able to foreclose on or obtain a suitable remedy with respect to such investments. Specifically, we may not be able to repossess and sell the underlying properties quickly which could reduce the value of our investment. For example, an action to foreclose on a property securing a mortgage loan is regulated by state statutes and rules and is subject to many of the delays and expenses of lawsuits if the defendant raises defenses or counterclaims. Additionally, in the event of default by a mortgagor, these restrictions, among other things, may impede our ability to foreclose on or sell the mortgaged property or to obtain proceeds sufficient to repay all amounts due to us on the mortgage loan.

We expect a portion of our investments in other real estate related assets to be illiquid and we may not be able to adjust our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions.

We may purchase other real estate related assets in connection with privately negotiated transactions which are not registered under the relevant securities laws, resulting in a prohibition against their transfer, sale, pledge or other disposition except in a transaction that is exempt from the registration requirements of, or is otherwise in accordance with, those laws. As a result, our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited.

Interest rate and related risks may cause the value of our investments in other real estate related assets to be reduced.

Interest rate risk is the risk that fixed income securities such as preferred and debt securities, and to a lesser extent dividend paying common stocks, will decline in value because of changes in market interest rates. Generally, when market interest rates rise, the market value of such securities will decline, and vice

38

Table of Contents

versa. Our investment in such securities means that the net asset value and market price of the common shares may tend to decline if market interest rates rise.

During periods of rising interest rates, the average life of certain types of securities may be extended because of slower than expected principal payments. This may lock in a below-market interest rate, increase the security s duration and reduce the value of the security. This is known as extension risk. During periods of declining interest rates, an issuer may be able to exercise an option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, which is generally known as call or prepayment risk. If this occurs, we may be forced to reinvest in lower yielding securities. This is known as reinvestment risk. Preferred and debt securities frequently have call features that allow the issuer to repurchase the security prior to its stated maturity. An issuer may redeem an obligation if the issuer can refinance the debt at a lower cost due to declining interest rates or an improvement in the credit standing of the issuer. These risks may reduce the value of our investments in other real estate related assets.

If we liquidate prior to the maturity of our investments in real estate assets, we may be forced to sell those investments on unfavorable terms or at a loss.

Our board of directors may choose to effect a liquidity event in which we liquidate our assets, including our investments in other real estate related assets. If we liquidate those investments prior to their maturity, we may be forced to sell those investments on unfavorable terms or at loss. For instance, if we are required to liquidate mortgage loans at a time when prevailing interest rates are higher than the interest rates of such mortgage loans, we would likely sell such loans at a discount to their stated principal values.

Risks Related to Debt Financing

We have and intend to incur mortgage indebtedness and other borrowings, which may increase our business risks, could hinder our ability to make distributions and could decrease the value of your investment.

We have and intend to continue to finance a portion of the purchase price of our investments in real estate and other real estate related assets by borrowing funds. We anticipate that, after an initial phase of our operations when we may employ greater amounts of leverage to enable us to purchase properties more quickly and therefore generate distributions for our stockholders sooner, our overall leverage will not exceed 60.0% of our properties and other real estate related assets combined fair market value of our assets. Under our charter, we have a limitation on borrowing which precludes us from borrowing in excess of 300.0% of the value of our net assets, without the approval of a majority of our independent directors. In addition, any excess borrowing must be disclosed to stockholders in our next quarterly report following the borrowing, along with justification for the excess. Net assets for purposes of this calculation are defined to be our total assets (other than intangibles), valued at cost prior to deducting depreciation or other non-case reserves, less total liabilities. Generally speaking, the preceding calculation is expected to approximate 75.0% of the sum of: (1) the aggregate cost of our real property investments before non-cash reserves and depreciation and (2) the aggregate cost of our investments in other real estate related assets. In addition, we may incur mortgage debt and pledge some or all of our real properties as security for that debt to obtain funds to acquire additional real properties or for working capital. We may also borrow funds to satisfy the REIT tax qualification requirement that we distribute at least 90.0% of our annual REIT taxable income to our stockholders. Furthermore, we may borrow if we otherwise deem it necessary or advisable to ensure that we maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

High debt levels will cause us to incur higher interest charges, which would result in higher debt service payments and could be accompanied by restrictive covenants. If there is a shortfall between the cash flow from a property and the cash flow needed to service mortgage debt on that property, then the amount available for distributions to our stockholders may be reduced. In addition, incurring mortgage debt increases the risk of loss since defaults on

indebtedness secured by a property may result in lenders initiating foreclosure actions. In that case, we could lose the property securing the loan that is in default, thus reducing the value of your investment. For tax purposes, a foreclosure on any of our properties will be treated as a sale of the property

39

Table of Contents

for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we will recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but we would not receive any cash proceeds. We may give full or partial guarantees to lenders of mortgage debt to the entities that own our properties. When we give a guaranty on behalf of an entity that owns one of our properties, we will be responsible to the lender for satisfaction of the debt if it is not paid by such entity. If any mortgage contains cross collateralization or cross default provisions, a default on a single property could affect multiple properties. If any of our properties are foreclosed upon due to a default, our ability to pay cash distributions to our stockholders will be adversely affected.

Higher mortgage rates may make it more difficult for us to finance or refinance properties, which could reduce the number of properties we can acquire and the amount of cash distributions we can make to our stockholders.

If mortgage debt is unavailable on reasonable terms as a result of increased interest rates or other factors, we may not be able to finance the initial purchase of properties. In addition, if we place mortgage debt on properties, we run the risk of being unable to refinance such debt when the loans come due, or of being unable to refinance on favorable terms. If interest rates are higher when we refinance debt, our income could be reduced. We may be unable to refinance debt at appropriate times, which may require us to sell properties on terms that are not advantageous to us, or could result in the foreclosure of such properties. If any of these events occur, our cash flow would be reduced. This, in turn, would reduce cash available for distribution to you and may hinder our ability to raise more capital by issuing securities or by borrowing more money.

Increases in interest rates could increase the amount of our debt payments and therefore negatively impact our operating results.

Interest we pay on our debt obligations reduces cash available for distributions. Whenever we incur variable rate debt, increases in interest rates would increase our interest costs, which would reduce our cash flows and our ability to make distributions to you. If we need to repay existing debt during periods of rising interest rates, we could be required to liquidate one or more of our investments in properties at times which may not permit realization of the maximum return on such investments.

Lenders may require us to enter into restrictive covenants relating to our operations, which could limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

When providing financing, a lender may impose restrictions on us that affect our ability to incur additional debt and affect our distribution and operating policies. Loan documents we enter into may contain covenants that limit our ability to further mortgage the property or discontinue insurance coverage. These or other limitations may adversely affect our flexibility and our ability to achieve our investment objectives.

Hedging activity may expose us to risks.

To the extent that we use derivative financial instruments to hedge against interest rate fluctuations, we will be exposed to credit risk and legal enforceability risks. In this context, credit risk is the failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. If the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty owes us, which creates credit risk for us. Legal enforceability risks encompass general contractual risks, including the risk that the counterparty will breach the terms of, or fail to perform its obligations under, the derivative contract. If we are unable to manage these risks effectively, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions to you will be adversely affected.

If we enter into financing arrangements involving balloon payment obligations, it may adversely affect our ability to refinance or sell properties on favorable terms, and to make distributions to stockholders.

Some of our financing arrangements may require us to make a lump-sum or balloon payment at maturity. Our ability to make a balloon payment at maturity is uncertain and may depend upon our ability to obtain additional financing or our ability to sell the particular property. At the time the balloon payment is

40

Table of Contents

due, we may or may not be able to refinance the balloon payment on terms as favorable as the original loan or sell the particular property at a price sufficient to make the balloon payment. The refinancing or sale could affect the rate of return to stockholders and the projected time of disposition of our assets. In an environment of increasing mortgage rates, if we place mortgage debt on properties, we run the risk of being unable to refinance such debt if mortgage rates are higher at a time a balloon payment is due. In addition, payments of principal and interest made to service our debts, including balloon payments, may leave us with insufficient cash to pay the distributions that we are required to pay to maintain our qualification as a REIT. Any of these results would have a significant, negative impact on your investment.

Risks Related to Joint Ventures

The terms of joint venture agreements or other joint ownership arrangements into which we have entered and may enter could impair our operating flexibility and our results of operations.

In connection with the purchase of real estate, we have entered and may continue to enter into joint ventures with third parties. We may also purchase or develop properties in co-ownership arrangements with the sellers of the properties, developers or other persons. These structures involve participation in the investment by other parties whose interests and rights may not be the same as ours. Our joint venture partners may have rights to take some actions over which we have no control and may take actions contrary to our interests. Joint ownership of an investment in real estate may involve risks not associated with direct ownership of real estate, including the following:

a venture partner may at any time have economic or other business interests or goals which become inconsistent with our business interests or goals, including inconsistent goals relating to the sale of properties held in a joint venture or the timing of the termination and liquidation of the venture;

a venture partner might become bankrupt and such proceedings could have an adverse impact on the operation of the partnership or joint venture;

actions taken by a venture partner might have the result of subjecting the property to liabilities in excess of those contemplated; and

a venture partner may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives, including our policy with respect to qualifying and maintaining our qualification as a REIT.

Under certain joint venture arrangements, neither venture partner may have the power to control the venture, and an impasse could occur, which might adversely affect the joint venture and decrease potential returns to you. If we have a right of first refusal or buy/sell right to buy out a venture partner, we may be unable to finance such a buy-out or we may be forced to exercise those rights at a time when it would not otherwise be in our best interest to do so. If our interest is subject to a buy/sell right, we may not have sufficient cash, available borrowing capacity or other capital resources to allow us to purchase an interest of a venture partner subject to the buy/sell right, in which case we may be forced to sell our interest when we would otherwise prefer to retain our interest. In addition, we may not be able to sell our interest in a joint venture on a timely basis or on acceptable terms if we desire to exit the venture for any reason, particularly if our interest is subject to a right of first refusal of our venture partner.

We may structure our joint venture relationships in a manner which may limit the amount we participate in the cash flow or appreciation of an investment.

We may enter into joint venture agreements, the economic terms of which may provide for the distribution of income to us otherwise than in direct proportion to our ownership interest in the joint venture. For example, while we and a co-venturer may invest an equal amount of capital in an investment, the investment may be structured such that we have a right to priority distributions of cash flow up to a certain target return while the co-venturer may receive a disproportionately greater share of cash flow than we are to receive once such target return has been achieved. This type of investment structure may result in the co-venturer receiving more of the cash flow, including appreciation, of an investment than we would receive. If

41

Table of Contents

we do not accurately judge the appreciation prospects of a particular investment or structure the venture appropriately, we may incur losses on joint venture investments or have limited participation in the profits of a joint venture investment, either of which could reduce our ability to make cash distributions to our stockholders.

Federal Income Tax Risks

Failure to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes would subject us to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, which would substantially reduce our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2007 and we intend to continue to be taxed as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet various requirements set forth in the Internal Revenue Code concerning, among other things, the ownership of our outstanding common stock, the nature of our assets, the sources of our income and the amount of our distributions to our stockholders. The REIT qualification requirements are extremely complex, and interpretations of the federal income tax laws governing qualification as a REIT are limited. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in operating so as to qualify as a REIT. At any time, new laws, interpretations or court decisions may change the federal tax laws relating to, or the federal income tax consequences of, qualification as a REIT. It is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our board of directors to revoke our REIT election, which it may do without stockholder approval.

Although we have not requested, and do not expect to request, a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, that we qualify as a REIT, our counsel, Alston & Bird LLP, has delivered an opinion to us that, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2007 (the first year for which we elected to be taxed as a REIT), based on certain assumptions and representations, we have been organized and operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, and our proposed method of operation will enable us to continue to operate in conformity with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code.

The validity of the opinion of our counsel and of our qualification as a REIT will depend on our continuing ability to meet the various REIT requirements under the Internal Revenue Code and described herein. You should be aware, however, that opinions of counsel are not binding on the IRS or any court. The REIT qualification opinion only represents the view of our counsel based on its review and analysis of law existing at the time of the opinion and therefore could be subject to modification or withdrawal based on subsequent legislative, judicial or administrative changes to the federal income tax laws, any of which could be applied retroactively.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT for any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at corporate rates. In addition, we would generally be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we lose our REIT status. Losing our REIT status would reduce our net earnings available for investment or distribution to stockholders because of the additional tax liability. In addition, distributions to stockholders would no longer be deductible in computing our taxable income, and we would no longer be required to make distributions. To the extent that distributions had been made in anticipation of our qualifying as a REIT, we might be required to borrow funds or liquidate some investments in order to pay the applicable corporate income tax. In addition, although we intend to operate in a manner intended to qualify as a REIT, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our board of directors to recommend that we revoke our REIT election.

As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and would substantially reduce our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

To continue to qualify as a REIT and to avoid the payment of federal income and excise taxes and maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds, use proceeds from the issuance of

42

Table of Contents

securities (including this offering), or sell assets to pay distributions, which may result in our distributing amounts that may otherwise be used for our operations.

To obtain the favorable tax treatment accorded to REITs, we normally will be required each year to distribute to our stockholders at least 90.0% of our real estate investment trust taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for distributions paid and by excluding net capital gains. We will be subject to federal income tax on our undistributed taxable income and net capital gain and to a 4.0% nondeductible excise tax on any amount by which distributions we pay with respect to any calendar year are less than the sum of: (1) 85.0% of our ordinary income, (2) 95.0% of our capital gain net income and (3) 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. These requirements could cause us to distribute amounts that otherwise would be spent on acquisitions of properties and it is possible that we might be required to borrow funds, use proceeds from the issuance of securities (including this offering) or sell assets in order to distribute enough of our taxable income to maintain our REIT status and to avoid the payment of federal income and excise taxes.

If our operating partnership fails to maintain its status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, its income would be subject to taxation and our REIT status would be terminated.

We intend to maintain the status of our operating partnership as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. However, if the IRS were to successfully challenge the status of our operating partnership as a partnership, it would be taxable as a corporation. In such event, this would reduce the amount of distributions that our operating partnership could make to us. This would also result in our losing REIT status and becoming subject to a corporate level tax on our own income. This would substantially reduce our cash available to pay distributions and the return on your investment. In addition, if any of the entities through which our operating partnership owns its properties, in whole or in part, loses its characterization as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, it would be subject to taxation as a corporation, thereby reducing distributions to our operating partnership. Such a recharacterization of our operating partnership or an underlying property owner could also threaten our ability to maintain our REIT status.

You may have a current tax liability on distributions you elect to reinvest in shares of our common stock.

If you participate in our distribution reinvestment plan, you will be deemed to have received, and for income tax purposes will be taxed on, the amount reinvested in shares of our common stock to the extent the amount reinvested was not a tax-free return of capital. As a result, unless you are a tax-exempt entity, you may have to use funds from other sources to pay your tax liability on the value of the common stock received.

Dividends paid by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates that apply to other corporate dividends.

Tax legislation enacted in 2003 and 2006 generally reduces the maximum tax rate for qualified dividends paid by corporations to individuals to 15.0% through 2010. Dividends paid by REITs, however, generally continue to be taxed at the normal rate applicable to the individual recipient, rather than the 15.0% preferential rate. Although this legislation does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends paid by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends could cause potential investors who are individuals to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay qualified dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our common stock. See Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Taxable U.S. Stockholders Distributions Generally.

In certain circumstances, we may be subject to federal and state income taxes as a REIT, which would reduce our cash available for distribution to you.

Even if we qualify and maintain our status as a REIT, we may be subject to federal income taxes or state taxes. For example, net income from a prohibited transaction will be subject to a 100% tax. We may not be able to make sufficient distributions to avoid excise taxes applicable to REITs. We may also decide to retain capital gains we earn from the sale or other disposition of our property and pay income tax directly on such income. In that event, our stockholders would be treated as if they earned that income and paid the tax on it

43

Table of Contents

directly. However, our stockholders that are tax-exempt, such as charities or qualified pension plans, would have no benefit from their deemed payment of such tax liability. We may also be subject to state and local taxes on our income or property, either directly or at the level of the companies through which we indirectly own our assets. Any federal or state taxes we pay will reduce our cash available for distribution to you.

Distributions to tax-exempt stockholders may be classified as unrelated business taxable income.

Neither ordinary nor capital gain distributions with respect to our common stock nor gain from the sale of common stock should generally constitute unrelated business taxable income to a tax-exempt stockholder. However, there are certain exceptions to this rule. In particular:

part of the income and gain recognized by certain qualified employee pension trusts with respect to our common stock may be treated as unrelated business taxable income if shares of our common stock are predominately held by qualified employee pension trusts, and we are required to rely on a special look-through rule for purposes of meeting one of the REIT share ownership tests, and we are not operated in a manner to avoid treatment of such income or gain as unrelated business taxable income;

part of the income and gain recognized by a tax exempt stockholder with respect to our common stock would constitute unrelated business taxable income if the stockholder incurs debt in order to acquire the common stock; and

part or all of the income or gain recognized with respect to our common stock by social clubs, voluntary employee benefit associations, supplemental unemployment benefit trusts and qualified group legal services plans which are exempt from federal income taxation under Sections 501(c)(7), (9), (17) or (20) of the Internal Revenue Code may be treated as unrelated business taxable income.

See Federal Income Tax Considerations Treatment of Tax-Exempt Stockholders section of this prospectus for further discussion of this issue if you are a tax-exempt investor.

Complying with the REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of shares of our common stock. We may be required to make distributions to our stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, or we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive investments in order to comply with the REIT tests. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

Changes to federal income tax laws or regulations could adversely affect stockholders.

In recent years, numerous legislative, judicial and administrative changes have been made to the federal income tax laws applicable to investments in REITs and similar entities. Additional changes to tax laws are likely to continue to occur in the future, and we cannot assure you that any such changes will not adversely affect the taxation of a stockholder. Any such changes could have an adverse effect on an investment in shares of our common stock. We urge you to consult with your own tax advisor with respect to the status of legislative, regulatory or administrative developments and proposals and their potential effect on an investment in shares of our common stock.

Foreign purchasers of shares of our common stock may be subject to FIRPTA tax upon the sale of their shares of our common stock.

A foreign person disposing of a U.S. real property interest, including shares of stock of a U.S. corporation whose assets consist principally of U.S. real property interests, is generally subject to the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980, as amended, or FIRPTA, on the gain recognized on the disposition. Such FIRPTA tax does not apply, however, to the disposition of stock in a REIT if the REIT is domestically controlled. A REIT is domestically controlled if less than 50.0% of the REIT s stock, by

44

Table of Contents

value, has been owned directly or indirectly by persons who are not qualifying U.S. persons during a continuous five-year period ending on the date of disposition or, if shorter, during the entire period of the REIT s existence. We cannot assure you that we will continue to qualify as a domestically controlled REIT. If we were to fail to continue to so qualify, gain realized by foreign investors on a sale of shares of our common stock would be subject to FIRPTA tax, unless the shares of our common stock were traded on an established securities market and the foreign investor did not at any time during a specified testing period directly or indirectly own more than 5.0% of the value of our outstanding common stock.

Foreign stockholders may be subject to FIRPTA tax upon the payment of a capital gains dividend.

A foreign stockholder also may be subject to FIRPTA upon the payment of any capital gain dividends by us, which dividend is attributable to gain from sales or exchanges of U.S. real property interests.

Employee Benefit Plan and IRA Risks

We, and our stockholders that are employee benefit plans or individual retirement accounts, or IRAs, will be subject to risks relating specifically to our having employee benefit plans and IRAs as stockholders, which risks are discussed below. The Employee Benefit Plan and IRA Considerations section of this prospectus provides a more detailed discussion of these employee benefit plan and IRA investor risks.

If you fail to meet the fiduciary and other standards under ERISA or the Internal Revenue Code as a result of an investment in our common stock, you could be subject to criminal and civil penalties.

There are special considerations that apply to pension, profit-sharing trusts or IRAs investing in our common stock. If you are investing the assets of a pension, profit sharing or 401(k) plan, health or welfare plan, or an IRA in us, you should consider:

whether your investment is consistent with the applicable provisions of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code, or any other applicable governing authority in the case of a government plan;

whether your investment is made in accordance with the documents and instruments governing your plan or IRA, including your plan s investment policy;

whether your investment satisfies the prudence and diversification requirements of Sections 404(a)(1)(B) and 404(a)(1)(C) of ERISA;

whether your investment will impair the liquidity of the plan or IRA;

whether your investment will produce unrelated business taxable income, referred to as UBTI and as defined in Sections 511 through 514 of the Internal Revenue Code, to the plan or IRA; and

your need to value the assets of the plan annually in accordance with ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code.

In addition to considering their fiduciary responsibilities under ERISA and the prohibited transaction rules of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code, trustees or others purchasing shares should consider the effect of the plan asset regulations of the U.S. Department of Labor. To avoid our assets from being considered plan assets under those regulations, our charter prohibits benefit plan investors from owning 25.0% or more of our common stock prior to the time that the common stock qualifies as a class of publicly-offered securities, within the meaning of the ERISA plan asset regulations. However, we cannot assure you that those provisions in our charter will be effective in limiting

benefit plan investor ownership to less than the 25.0% limit. For example, the limit could be unintentionally exceeded if a benefit plan investor misrepresents its status as a benefit plan. Even if our assets are not considered to be plan assets, a prohibited transaction could occur if we or any of our affiliates is a fiduciary (within the meaning of ERISA) with respect to an employee benefit plan or IRA purchasing shares, and, therefore, in the event any such persons are fiduciaries (within the meaning of ERISA) of your plan or IRA, you should not purchase shares unless an administrative or statutory exemption applies to your purchase.

45

Table of Contents

Governmental plans, church plans, and foreign plans generally are not subject to ERISA or the prohibited transaction rules of the Internal Revenue Code, but may be subject to similar restrictions under other laws. A plan fiduciary making an investment in our shares on behalf of such a plan should consider whether the investment is in accordance with applicable law and governing plan documents.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements included in this prospectus that are not historical facts (including any statements concerning investment objectives, other plans and objectives of management for future operations or economic performance, or assumptions or forecasts related thereto) are forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions. We caution that forward-looking statements are not guarantees. Actual events or our investments and results of operations could differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by the use of terms such as may, will, should, expect, could, intend, plan, anticipate, believe, continue, predict, potential or the negative of such terms and other comparable terminology.

The forward-looking statements included in this prospectus are based upon our current expectations, plans, estimates, assumptions and beliefs that involve numerous risks and uncertainties. Assumptions relating to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond our control. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, our actual results and performance could differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and future prospects include, but are not limited to:

our ability to effectively deploy the proceeds raised in this offering;

changes in economic conditions generally and the real estate and securities markets specifically;

changes in the credit markets and the impact of such changes on our ability to obtain debt financing;

legislative or regulatory changes (including changes to the laws governing the taxation of REITs);

the availability of capital;

the effect of financial leverage, including changes in interest rates, availability of credit, loss of flexibility due to negative and affirmative covenants, refinancing risk at maturity and generally the increased risk of loss if our investments fail to perform as expected;

tenant and mortgage loan delinquencies, defaults and tenant bankruptcies;

availability and creditworthiness of prospective tenants; and

changes to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Any of the assumptions underlying forward-looking statements could be inaccurate. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements included in this prospectus. All forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this prospectus and the risk that actual results will differ materially from the expectations expressed in this prospectus will increase with the passage of time. Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements after the date

of this prospectus, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or any other reason. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included in this prospectus, including, without limitation, the risks described under Risk Factors, the inclusion of such forward-looking statements should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the objectives and plans set forth in this prospectus will be achieved.

46

Table of Contents

ESTIMATED USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table sets forth our best estimates of how we intend to use the proceeds raised in this offering assuming that we sell specified numbers of shares pursuant to the primary offering. The proceeds raised in this offering will only be used for the purposes set forth in this prospectus and in a manner approved by our board of directors, who serve as fiduciaries to our stockholders. The number of shares of our common stock offered pursuant to our primary offering may vary from these assumptions since we have reserved the right to reallocate the shares offered between the primary offering and the distribution reinvestment plan. Shares of our common stock in the primary offering are being offered to the public on a best efforts basis at \$10.00 per share. The table below assumes that we reach the maximum offering of \$2,000,000,000,000 by selling 200,000,000 shares at \$10.00 per share pursuant to our primary offering.

We have not given effect to any special sales or volume discounts that could reduce the selling commissions or dealer manager fees for sales pursuant to the primary offering. Reduction in these fees will be accompanied by a corresponding reduction in the per share purchase price, but will not affect the amounts available to us for investments. See Plan of Distribution for a description of the special sales and volume discounts.

The following table assumes that we do not sell any shares in the DRIP. As long as our shares are not listed on a national securities exchange, it is anticipated that all or substantially all of the proceeds from the sale of shares pursuant to the DRIP will be used to fund repurchases of shares under our share repurchase plan. Because we do not pay selling commissions or dealer manager fees for shares sold pursuant to the DRIP, we receive greater net proceeds from the sale of shares in the DRIP than in the primary offering. As a result, if we reallocate shares from the DRIP to the primary offering, our net proceeds could be less.

Many of the figures set forth below represent management s best estimate since they cannot be precisely calculated at this time. We expect that at least 88.5% of the money you invest will be used to buy investments in real property and other real estate related assets and pay related acquisition expenses, while we expect the remaining 11.5% will be used to pay expenses and fees, including the payment of fees to the dealer manager, for this offering. If we are unable to raise substantial proceeds in this offering, we will make fewer real property investments. See Risk Factors Investment Risks This is a best efforts offering and if we are unable to raise substantial proceeds in this offering, we will be limited in the number and type of investments we may make, which will result in a less diversified portfolio.

Our board of directors is responsible for reviewing our fees and expenses on at least an annual basis and with sufficient frequency to determine that the expenses incurred are in the best interest of the stockholders. The fees set forth below may not be increased without approval of the independent directors.

	Maximum Offering	
	Amount	Percent
Gross Offering Proceeds	\$ 2,000,000,000	100%
Less Public Offering Expenses:		
Selling Commissions	140,000,000	7.0
Dealer Manager Fee	60,000,000	3.0
Organizational and Offering Expenses(1)	30,000,000	1.5
Amount Available for Investment(2)	\$ 1,770,000,000	88.5%
Less Acquisition Costs:		

0.8

87.8%

Acquisition Fees(3)
Acquisition Expenses(4)
Initial Working Capital Reserve(5)
Amount Invested in Properties

\$ 1,755,840,000

(1) We will assume and pay for all of the organizational and offering expenses associated with this offering. This includes expenses incurred in connection with this offering, including legal, accounting, printing,

47

Table of Contents

mailing and filing fees and expenses and any amounts used to reimburse the *bona fide* due diligence expenses of our dealer manager and participating broker-dealers provided such expenses are supported by detailed and itemized invoices.

- (2) Until required in connection with the acquisition of real estate investments, the net proceeds of this offering may be invested in short-term, highly-liquid investments including government obligations, bank certificates of deposit, short-term debt obligations and interest-bearing accounts or other authorized investments as determined by our board of directors.
- (3) We intend to use our employees for acquisition services.
- (4) Acquisition expenses include any and all expenses incurred in connection with the selection, evaluation and acquisition of, and investment in properties, whether or not acquired or made, including, but not limited to, legal fees and expenses, travel and communications expenses, cost of appraisals and surveys, nonrefundable option payments on property not acquired, accounting fees and expenses, computer use related expenses, architectural, engineering and other property reports, environmental and asbestos audits, title insurance and escrow fees, loan fees or points or any fee of a similar nature paid to a third party, however designated, transfer taxes, and personnel and miscellaneous expenses related to the selection, evaluation and acquisition of properties. Reimbursement of acquisition expenses paid to our former advisor and its affiliates, excluding amounts paid to third parties, will not exceed 0.5% of the purchase price of properties we evaluate and acquire with proceeds raised in the initial offering through the efforts of our former advisor. The reimbursement of acquisition fees and expenses, including real estate commissions paid to third parties, will not exceed, in the aggregate, 6.0% of the purchase price or total development cost, unless fees in excess of such limits are approved by a majority of the disinterested directors and by a majority of the disinterested independent directors.
- (5) Although we do not anticipate establishing a general working capital reserve out of the proceeds from this offering, we may establish capital reserves with respect to particular investments. Such reserves will be established for particular investments if required by a lender as a condition to entering into a loan agreement. In addition, such reserves may be established for non-operating expenses, such as tenant improvements, leasing commissions and major capital expenditures. We expect the reserve requirement, if any, to be funded by excess cash flow during the period we hold such asset requiring the capital reserve.

48

Table of Contents

INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, STRATEGY AND CRITERIA

Investment Objectives

Our investment objectives are:

to acquire quality properties that generate sustainable growth in cash flow from operations to pay regular cash distributions;

to preserve, protect and return your capital contributions;

to realize growth in the value of our investments upon our ultimate sale of such investments; and

to be prudent, patient and deliberate, taking into account current real estate markets.

Each property we acquire is carefully and diligently reviewed and analyzed to make sure it is consistent with our short-and long-term investment objectives. Our goal is to at all times maintain a strong balance sheet and always have sufficient funds to deal with short- and long-term operating needs. Macro-economic disruptions have broadly impacted the economy and have caused an imbalance between buyers and sellers of real estate assets, including medical office buildings and other healthcare-related real estate assets. We anticipated that these tough economic conditions would create opportunities for our company to acquire such assets at higher capitalization rates, as the real estate market adjusted downward. In the fourth quarter of 2008 and first half of 2009, we opted not to proceed with certain acquisitions which we determined merited re-pricing. We renegotiated other deals to lower pricing points. In December 2009, we closed \$253 million of acquisitions and as of March 12, 2010, we had cash on hand of approximately \$250 million, which we intend to use to acquire assets that are priced at levels consistent with today s economy. We believe that during this turbulent economic cycle, our cash on hand will provide our company with opportunities to acquire medical office buildings and other healthcare-related real estate assets at favorable pricing.

We cannot assure you that we will attain these objectives or that our capital will not decrease. Our board of directors may change our investment objectives if it determines it is advisable and in the best interests of our stockholders.

Decisions relating to the purchase or sale of investments will be made internally by our management, subject to the oversight of our board of directors. See Management for a description of the background and experience of our directors and officers.

Investment Strategy

We seek to invest in a diversified portfolio of real estate and other real estate related assets, focusing primarily on investments that produce recurring income. Our real estate investments focus on medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. We have also invested to a limited extent in quality commercial office buildings and other real estate related assets. However, we do not presently intend to invest more than 15.0% of our total assets in other real estate related assets. Our investments in other real estate related assets will generally focus on forms of mortgage debt, common and preferred stock of public or private real estate companies, and certain other securities. We seek to maximize long-term stockholder value by generating sustainable growth in cash flow and portfolio value. In order to achieve these objectives, we may invest using a number of investment structures which may include direct acquisitions, joint ventures, leveraged investments, issuing securities for property and direct and indirect investments in real estate. In order to maintain our exemption from regulation as an investment company under the Investment

Company Act, we may be required to limit our investments in other real estate related assets. See
Company Act Considerations below.

In addition, when and as determined appropriate by our Chief Executive Officer, our board of directors and management, the portfolio may also include properties in various stages of development other than those producing current income. These stages would include, without limitation, unimproved land both with and without entitlements and permits, property to be redeveloped and repositioned, newly constructed properties and properties in lease-up or other stabilization, all of which will have limited or no relevant operating

49

Table of Contents

histories and no current income. Our management makes this determination based upon a variety of factors, including the available risk adjusted returns for such properties when compared with other available properties, the appropriate diversification of the portfolio, and our objectives of realizing both recurring income and capital appreciation upon the ultimate sale of properties.

For each of our investments, regardless of property type, we seek to invest in properties with the following attributes:

Quality. We seek to acquire properties that are suitable for their intended use with a quality of construction that is capable of sustaining the property s investment potential for the long-term, assuming funding of budgeted maintenance, repairs and capital improvements.

Location. We seek to acquire properties that are located in established or otherwise appropriate markets for comparable properties, with access and visibility suitable to meet the needs of its occupants.

Market; Supply and Demand. We focus on local or regional markets that have potential for stable and growing property level cash flow over the long-term. These determinations are based in part on an evaluation of local economic, demographic and regulatory factors affecting the property. For instance, we favor markets that indicate a growing population and employment base or markets that exhibit potential limitations on additions to supply, such as barriers to new construction. Barriers to new construction include lack of available land, stringent zoning restrictions and regulatory restrictions. In addition, we generally seek to limit our investments in areas that have limited potential for growth.

Predictable Capital Needs. We seek to acquire properties where the future expected capital needs can be reasonably projected in a manner that would allow us to meet our objectives of growth in cash flow and preservation of capital and stability.

Cash Flow. We seek to acquire properties where the current and projected cash flow, including the potential for appreciation in value, would allow us to meet our overall investment objectives. We evaluate cash flow as well as expected growth and the potential for appreciation.

We will not invest more than 10.0% of the offering proceeds available for investment in unimproved or non-income producing properties or in other investments relating to unimproved or non-income producing property. A property: (1) not acquired for the purpose of producing rental or other operating income, or (2) with no development or construction in process or planned in good faith to commence within one year will be considered unimproved or non-income producing property for purposes of this limitation. To date, we have not invested in unimproved or non-income producing properties or related investments.

We are not limited as to the geographic area where we may acquire properties. We are not specifically limited in the number or size of properties we may acquire or on the percentage of our assets that we may invest in a single property or investment. The number and mix of properties we acquire depends upon real estate and market conditions and other circumstances existing at the time we are acquiring our properties and making our investments and the amount of proceeds we raise in this and potential future offerings.

Real Property Investments

We invest in and intend to continue to invest in a diversified portfolio of properties, focusing primarily on properties that produce recurring income. We primarily seek investments in medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. We have also invested to a limited extent in quality commercial office properties.

We generally seek to acquire properties of the types described above that will best enable us to meet our investment objectives, taking into account the diversification of our portfolio at the time, relevant real estate and financial factors, the location, income-producing capacity and the prospects for long-range appreciation of a particular property and other considerations. As a result, we may acquire properties other than the types described above. In addition, we may acquire properties that vary from the parameters described above for a particular property type.

50

Table of Contents

The consideration for each real estate investment must be authorized by a majority of our directors or a duly authorized committee of our board of directors, ordinarily based on the fair market value of the investment. If the majority of our independent directors or a duly authorized committee of our board of directors so determines, or if the investment is to be acquired from an affiliate, the fair market value determination must be supported by an appraisal obtained from a qualified, independent appraiser selected by a majority of our independent directors.

In addition, we may purchase properties and lease them back to the sellers of such properties. We will use our best efforts to structure any such sale-leaseback transaction such that the lease will be characterized as a true lease and so that we will be treated as the owner of the property for federal income tax purposes. However, we cannot assure you that the IRS will not challenge such characterization. In the event that any such sale-leaseback transaction is re-characterized as a financing transaction for federal income tax purposes, deductions for depreciation and cost recovery relating to such property would be disallowed or significantly reduced.

Our obligation to close a transaction involving the purchase of a real property asset is generally conditioned upon the delivery and verification of certain documents from the seller or developer, including, where appropriate:

plans and specifications;

environmental reports (generally a minimum of a Phase I investigation);

building condition reports;

surveys;

evidence of marketable title subject to such liens and encumbrances as are acceptable to our management;

audited financial statements covering recent operations of real properties having operating histories unless such statements are not required to be filed with the SEC and delivered to stockholders;

title insurance policies; and

liability insurance policies.

In determining whether to purchase a particular property, we may, in circumstances in which our management deems it appropriate, obtain an option on such property, including land suitable for development. The amount paid for an option, if any, is normally surrendered if the property is not purchased, and is normally credited against the purchase price if the property is purchased. We may also enter into arrangements with the seller or developer of a property whereby the seller or developer agrees that if, during a stated period, the property does not generate a specified cash flow, the seller or developer will pay us in cash a sum necessary to reach the specified cash flow level, subject in some cases to negotiated dollar limitations.

We will not purchase or lease properties in which our directors or any of their affiliates have an interest without a determination by a majority of our disinterested directors and a majority of our disinterested independent directors that such transaction is fair and reasonable to us and at a price to us no greater than the cost of the property to the affiliated

seller or lessor, unless there is substantial justification for the excess amount and the excess amount is reasonable. In no event will we acquire any such property at an amount in excess of its current appraised value as determined by an independent expert selected by our disinterested independent directors.

We obtain adequate insurance coverage for all properties in which we invest. However, there are types of losses, generally catastrophic in nature, for which we do not obtain insurance unless we are required to do so

51

Table of Contents

by mortgage lenders. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Investments in Real Estate Uninsured losses relating to real estate and lender requirements to obtain insurance may reduce your returns.

Medical Office Buildings and Healthcare-Related Facilities

We invest and intend to continue to invest a portion of the net proceeds available for investment in medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. Healthcare-related facilities include facilities leased to hospitals, rehabilitation hospitals, clinics, outpatient facilities, long-term acute care centers, surgery centers, independent living facilities, assisted living facilities, skilled nursing facilities, memory care facilities, specialty medical and diagnostic service providers, laboratories, research firms, pharmaceutical and medical supply manufacturers and health insurance firms. The market for medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities in the United States continues to expand.

According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, from 1960 to 2007, health spending as a percent of the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) has increased 11%, from 5.2% to 16.2% and is projected to comprise 17.6% of GDP in 2009 according to the National Health Expenditures report by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services dated January 2009. Such national healthcare expenditures are projected to reach 20.3% of GDP by 2018, as set forth in the below chart. Similarly, overall healthcare expenditures have risen sharply since 2003. In 2008, healthcare expenditures are projected to total \$2.4 trillion and are expected to grow at a relatively stable rate of approximately 6.2% per year to reach \$4.4 trillion by 2018, as shown below.

National Healthcare Expenditures (2003-2018)

52

Table of Contents

We believe that demand for medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities will increase due to a number of factors, including:

Advances in medical technology will continue to enable healthcare providers to identify and treat once fatal ailments and will improve the survival rate of critically ill and injured patients who will require continuing medical care. Along with these technical innovations, the U.S. population is growing older and living longer. In addition, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, from 1950 to 2005, the average life expectancy at birth increased from 68.2 years to 77.8 years. By 2050, the average life expectancy at birth is projected to increase to 83.1 years, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.

Between 2010 and 2050, the U.S. population over 65 years of age is projected to more than double from 40 million to nearly 88.5 million people, as reflected in the below chart. Similarly, the 85 and older population is expected to more than triple, from 5.4 million in 2008 to 19.0 million between 2008 and 2050. The number of older Americans is also growing as a percentage of the total U.S. population as the baby boomers enter their 60s. In 2010, the number of persons older than 65 will comprise 13.0% of the total U.S. population and is projected to grow to 20.2% by 2050, as reflected in the below chart.

Projected U.S. Population Aged 65+ (2010-2050)

Based on the information above, we believe that healthcare expenditures for the population over 65 years of age will continue to rise as a disproportionate share of healthcare dollars is spent on older Americans. This older population group will increasingly require treatment and management of chronic and acute health ailments. This increased demand for healthcare services will create a substantial need for the development of additional medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities in many regions of the U.S. We believe this will result in a substantial increase in suitable, quality properties meeting our acquisition criteria.

53

Table of Contents

According to the U.S. Department of Labor s Bureau of Labor Statistics, the healthcare industry was the largest industry in the U.S. in 2006, providing 14 million jobs. Healthcare-related jobs are among the fastest growing occupations, accounting for 7 of the 20 fastest growing occupations. The Bureau of Labor and Statistics estimates that healthcare will generate 3 million new wage and salary jobs between 2006 and 2016, more than any other industry. Wage and salary employment in the healthcare industry is projected to increase 22% through 2016, compared with 11% for all industries combined. Despite the downturn in the economy and widespread job losses in most industries, the healthcare industry has not been impacted. In February 2009, there were 27,000 new healthcare-related jobs according to the Bureau of Labor and Statistics. We expect the increased growth in the healthcare industry will correspond with a growth in demand for healthcare-related facilities.

Complex state and federal regulations govern physician hospital referrals. Patients typically are referred to particular hospitals by their physicians. To restrict hospitals from inappropriately influencing physicians to refer patients to them, federal and state governments adopted Medicare and Medicaid anti-fraud laws and regulations. One aspect of these complex laws and regulations addresses the leasing of medical office space by hospitals to physicians. One intent of the regulations is to restrict medical institutions from providing facilities to physicians at below market rates or on other terms that may present an opportunity for undue influence on physician referrals. The regulations are complex, and adherence to the regulations is time consuming and requires significant documentation and extensive reporting to regulators. The costs associated with regulatory compliance have encouraged many hospital and physician groups to seek third-party ownership and/or management of their healthcare-related facilities.

Physicians are increasingly forming practice groups. To increase the numbers of patients they can see and thereby increase market share, physicians have formed and are forming group practices. By doing so, physicians can gain greater influence in negotiating rates with managed care companies and hospitals in which they perform services. Also, the creation of these groups allows for the dispersion of overhead costs over a larger revenue base and gives physicians the financial ability to acquire new and expensive diagnostic equipment. Moreover, certain group practices may benefit from certain exceptions to federal and state self-referral laws, permitting them to offer a broader range of medical services within their practices and to participate in the facility fee related to medical procedures. This increase in the number of group practices has led to the construction of new medical facilities in which the groups are housed and provide medical services.

We believe that healthcare-related real estate rents and valuations are less susceptible to changes in the general economy than general commercial real estate due to demographic trends and the resistance of rising healthcare expenditures to economic downturns. For this reason, healthcare-related real estate investments could potentially offer a more stable return to investors compared to other types of real estate investments.

We believe the confluence of these factors over the last several years has led to the following trends, which encourage third-party ownership of existing and newly developed medical properties:

Decentralization and Specialization. There is a continuing evolution toward delivery of medical services through smaller facilities located near patients and designed to treat specific diseases and conditions. In order to operate profitably within a managed care environment, physician practice groups and other medical services providers are aggressively trying to increase patient populations, while maintaining lower overhead costs by building new healthcare facilities in areas of population or patient growth. Continuing population shifts and ongoing demographic changes create a demand for additional properties, including an aging population requiring and demanding more medical services.

Increasing Regulation. Evolving regulatory factors affecting healthcare delivery create an incentive for providers of medical services to focus on patient care, leaving real estate ownership and operation to third-party real estate professionals. Third-party ownership and management of hospital-affiliated medical office buildings substantially reduces the risk that hospitals will violate complex Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse statutes.

54

Table of Contents

Modernization. Hospitals are modernizing by renovating existing properties and building new properties and becoming more efficient in the face of declining reimbursement and changing patient demographics. This trend has led to the development of new, smaller, specialty healthcare-related facilities as well as improvements to existing general acute care facilities.

Redeployment of Capital. Medical providers are increasingly focused on wisely investing their capital in their medical business. A growing number of medical providers have determined that third-party development and ownership of real estate with long term leases is an attractive alternative to investing their capital in bricks-and-mortar. Increasing use of expensive medical technology has placed additional demands on the capital requirements of medical services providers and physician practice groups. By selling their real estate assets and relying on third-party ownership of new healthcare properties, medical services providers and physician practice groups can generate the capital necessary to acquire the medical technology needed to provide more comprehensive services to patients and improve overall patient care.

Physician Practice Ownership. Many physician groups have reacquired their practice assets and real estate from national physician management companies or otherwise formed group practices to expand their market share. Other physicians have left hospital-based or HMO-based practices to form independent group practices. These physician groups are interested in new healthcare properties that will house medical businesses that regulations permit them to own. In addition to existing group practices, there is a growing trend for physicians in specialties, including cardiology, oncology, women shealth, orthopedics and urology, to enter into joint ventures and partnerships with hospitals, operators and financial sponsors to form specialty hospitals for the treatment of specific diseases. We believe a significant number of these types of organizations have no interest in owning real estate and are aggressively looking for third-parties to develop and own their healthcare properties.

The current regulatory environment remains an ongoing challenge for healthcare providers, who are under pressure to comply with complex healthcare laws and regulations designed to prevent fraud and abuse. These regulations, for example, prohibit physicians from referring patients to entities in which they have investment interests and prohibit hospitals from leasing space to physicians at below market rates. As a result, healthcare providers seek reduced liability costs and have an incentive to dispose of real estate to third parties, thus reducing the risk of violating fraud and abuse regulations. This environment creates investment opportunities for owners, acquirers and joint venture partners of healthcare real estate who understand the needs of healthcare professionals and can help keep tenant costs low. While the current regulatory environment is positive for healthcare operators, there is uncertainty as to the future of government policies and its potential impact on healthcare provider profitability.

Demographic Investing

We incorporate a demographic-based investment approach to our overall investment strategy. This approach allows us to consider demographic analysis when acquiring our properties. This analysis takes into account fundamental long-term economic and societal trends, including population shifts, generational differences, and domestic migration patterns. Demographic-based investing will assist us in investing in the properties utilized by the industries that serve the country s largest population groups, and in the regions experiencing the greatest growth. When incorporating this strategy, we consider three factors: (1) the age ranges of the dominant population groups; (2) the essential needs of each dominant population group; and (3) the geographic regions that appeal to each dominant population group.

Age. Our demographic-based investment strategy focuses on the following three population groups:

Seniors The 65+ age group who are the elders of the baby boomers.

Boomers Born between 1946 and 1964, the American Hospital Association and First Consulting Group states that this group controls 75% of the United States assets.

Echo boomers Born between 1982 and 1994, represent the children of the boomers.

55

Table of Contents

Essential Needs. We believe that each of these population groups shares a need for greater healthcare services:

Seniors Americans over 65 are living longer, healthier, and more active lives than previous generations. This group is responsible for much of the nation shealthcare spending. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the majority of this group has at least one chronic medical condition, and more than half of those has two chronic conditions. Further, according to the Department of Health and Human Services, per person personal healthcare spending for the 65 and older population in the U.S. was \$8,776 in 2006, 5.8 times higher than healthcare spending per working-age person aged 25 to 44 and 1.8 times higher than healthcare spending per working-age person aged 45 to 64.

Boomers This aging population, currently the largest, is expected to live longer than prior generations and manage more chronic and complex medical conditions, according to the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Hospital Association and First Consulting Group. According to the American Hospital Association and First Consulting Group, boomers are spending more money on healthcare, such as elective and preventative procedures due to new technology and medical advances.

Echo Boomers This group is on a path towards chronic health conditions according to a University of New Hampshire study. Additionally, they represent a large part of the overall U.S. population. Like their parents generation (boomers), this group may be more likely to live longer and more active lives than earlier generations of Americans.

Geographic Regions. The concentrations and migrations of population groups may lay the groundwork for current and future consumption patterns. In recent years, the largest proportionate increases in the senior population were in the Southern and Western states. This trend should continue as boomers begin to retire. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, most of the population increase between 1995 and 2025 is expected to continue in the South and West, as reflected in the below chart. Between 1995 and 2025, the two regions are each expected to increase by more than 29 million persons; combined, the regions are projected to account for 82% of the 72 million persons added to the U.S. population over the next 30 years. As populations in these states grow, the need for more healthcare facilities and properties will likely increase.

56

Table of Contents

States with the Largest Projected Population Increase (1995-2025)

Joint Venture Investments

We have entered and may continue to enter into joint ventures, general partnerships and other arrangements with one or more institutions or individuals, including real estate developers, operators, owners, investors and others, for the purpose of acquiring real estate. Such joint ventures may be leveraged with debt financing or unleveraged. We may enter into joint ventures to further diversify our investments or to access investments which meet our investment criteria that would otherwise be unavailable to us. In determining whether to invest in a particular joint venture, our management will evaluate the real estate that such joint venture owns or is being formed to own under the same criteria described elsewhere in this prospectus for the selection of our other properties. However, we will not participate in tenant-in-common syndications or transactions.

Joint ventures with unaffiliated third parties may be structured such that the investment made by us and the co-venturer are on substantially different terms and conditions. For example, while we and a co-venturer may invest an equal amount of capital in an investment, the investment may be structured such that we have a right to priority distributions of cash flow up to a certain target return while the co-venturer may receive a disproportionately greater share of cash flow than we are to receive once such target return has been achieved. This type of investment structure may result in the co-venturer receiving more of the cash flow, including appreciation, of an investment than we would receive. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Joint Ventures We may structure our joint venture relationships in a manner which may limit the amount we participate in the cash flow or appreciation of an investment.

57

Table of Contents

We may only enter into joint ventures with any of our directors for the acquisition of properties if:

a majority of our directors, including a majority of the independent directors, not otherwise interested in the transaction approve the transaction as being fair and reasonable to us; and

the investment by us and such director are on substantially the same terms and conditions that are no less favorable than those that would be available to unaffiliated third parties.

Investments in Other Real Estate Related Assets

We may invest in the following types of other real estate related assets: (1) debt securities such as commercial mortgages, mortgage loan participations and debt securities issued by other real estate companies; (2) equity securities such as common stocks, preferred stocks and convertible preferred securities of public or private real estate companies (including other REITs, real estate operating companies and other real estate companies); and (3) certain other types of securities that may help us reach our diversification and other investment objectives.

Our management will have substantial discretion with respect to the selection of other real estate related assets. Our charter provides that we may not invest in equity securities unless a majority of the directors (including a majority of independent directors) not otherwise interested in the transaction approve such investment as being fair, competitive and commercially reasonable. Consistent with such requirements, in determining the types of investments in other real estate related assets to make, our management will adhere to a board-approved asset allocation framework consisting primarily of components such as (1) target mix of assets across a range of risk/reward characteristics, (2) exposure limits to individual assets and (3) exposure limits to asset subclasses (such as common equities and mortgage debt). Within this framework, our management will evaluate specific criteria for each prospective investment in other real estate related assets including:

positioning the overall portfolio to achieve an optimal mix of real property and other real estate related securities investments;

diversification benefits relative to the rest of the securities assets within our portfolio;

fundamental securities analysis;

quality and sustainability of underlying property cash flows;

broad assessment of macro economic data and regional property level supply and demand dynamics;

potential for delivering high recurring income and attractive risk-adjusted total returns; and

additional factors considered important to meeting our investment objectives.

We are not specifically limited in the number or size of our investments in other real estate related assets, or on the percentage of the net proceeds from this offering that we may invest in a single real estate related asset or pool of other real estate related assets. However, we do not presently intend to invest more than 15.0% of our total assets in other real estate related assets. The specific number and mix of other real estate related assets in which we invest will depend upon real estate market conditions, other circumstances existing at the time we are investing in our other real estate related assets and the amount of proceeds we raise in this offering. We will not invest in securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control and the first or second mortgages in which we intend to invest will likely not be insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Veterans Administration or otherwise

guaranteed or insured.

Borrowing Policies

We use and intend to continue to use secured and unsecured debt as a means of providing additional funds for the acquisition of properties and other real estate related assets, which we believe will enhance our investment returns and increase our diversification. Our ability to enhance our investment returns and to increase our diversification could be adversely impacted if banks and other lending institutions reduce the

58

Table of Contents

amount of funds available for the types of loans we seek. When interest rates are high or financing is otherwise unavailable on a timely basis, we may purchase certain assets for cash with the intention of obtaining debt financing at a later time.

We anticipate that aggregate borrowings, both secured and unsecured, will not exceed 60.0% of all of our properties combined fair market values, as determined at the end of each calendar year beginning with our first full year of operation. For these purposes, the fair market value of each asset will be equal to the purchase price paid for the asset or, if the asset was appraised subsequent to the date of purchase, then the fair market value will be equal to the value reported in the most recent independent appraisal of the asset. Our policies do not limit the amount we may borrow with respect to any individual investment. As of December 31, 2009, our aggregate borrowings were 38.5% of all of our properties and other real estate related assets combined fair market values and 32% of our total assets.

Our aggregate secured and unsecured borrowings will be reviewed by our board of directors at least quarterly. Our charter precludes us from borrowing in excess of 300.0% of the value of our net assets. Net assets for purposes of this calculation are defined as our total assets (other than intangibles), valued at cost prior to deducting depreciation, reserves for bad debts and other non-cash reserves, less total liabilities. The preceding calculation is generally expected to approximate 75.0% of the sum of (1) the aggregate cost of our properties before non-cash reserves and depreciation and (2) the aggregate cost of our securities assets. However, we may temporarily borrow in excess of these amounts if such excess is approved by a majority of our independent directors and disclosed to stockholders in our next quarterly report, along with justification for such excess. In such event, we will review our debt levels at that time and take action to reduce any such excess as soon as practicable. As of March 15, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our leverage did not exceed 300.0% of our net assets.

Our use of leverage increases the risk of default on loan payments and the resulting foreclosure of a particular asset. In addition, lenders may have recourse to assets other than those specifically securing the repayment of the indebtedness.

Our management will use its best efforts to obtain financing on the most favorable terms available to us and will refinance assets during the term of a loan only in limited circumstances, such as when a decline in interest rates makes it beneficial to prepay an existing loan, when an existing loan matures or if an attractive investment becomes available and the proceeds from the refinancing can be used to purchase such investment. The benefits of the refinancing may include an increased cash flow resulting from reduced debt service requirements, an increase in distributions from proceeds of the refinancing, and an increase in diversification and assets owned if all or a portion of the refinancing proceeds are reinvested.

Our charter restricts us from borrowing money from any of our directors unless such loan is approved by a majority of our directors (including a majority of the independent directors) not otherwise interested in the transaction, as fair, competitive and commercially reasonable and no less favorable to us than comparable loans between unaffiliated parties.

Operating Strategies

Our primary operating strategy is to acquire suitable properties that meet our acquisition standards and to enhance the performance and value of those properties through management strategies designed to address the needs of current and prospective tenants. Our management strategies include:

aggressively leasing available space through targeted marketing augmented by third party property management companies;

controlling operating expenses through the centralization of asset and property management, leasing, marketing, financing, accounting, renovation and data processing activities;

emphasizing regular maintenance and periodic renovation to meet the needs of tenants and to maximize long-term returns; and

59

Table of Contents

financing acquisitions and refinancing properties when favorable terms are available to increase cash flow.

Disposition Policies

We intend to hold each investment in property or other real estate related assets we acquire for an extended period. However, circumstances might arise which could result in a shortened holding period for certain investments. In general, the holding period for securities assets is expected to be shorter than the holding period for real property assets. An investment in a property or security may be sold before the end of the expected holding period if:

diversification benefits exist associated with disposing of the investment and rebalancing our investment portfolio;

an opportunity arises to pursue a more attractive investment;

in the judgment of our management, the value of the investment might decline;

with respect to properties, a major tenant involuntarily liquidates or is in default under its lease;

the investment was acquired as part of a portfolio acquisition and does not meet our general acquisition criteria;

an opportunity exists to enhance overall investment returns by raising capital through sale of the investment; or

in the judgment of our management, the sale of the investment is in our best interests.

The determination of whether a particular investment in property or other real estate related assets should be sold or otherwise disposed of will be made after consideration of relevant factors, including prevailing economic conditions, with a view toward maximizing our investment objectives. We cannot assure you that this objective will be realized. The selling price of a property which is net leased will be determined in large part by the amount of rent payable under the lease(s) for such property. If a tenant has a repurchase option at a formula price, we may be limited in realizing any appreciation. In connection with our sales of properties we may lend the purchaser all or a portion of the purchase price. In these instances, our taxable income may exceed the cash received in the sale. See Federal Income Tax Considerations Failure to Maintain Qualification as a REIT. The terms of payment will be affected by custom in the area in which the investment being sold is located and the then-prevailing economic conditions.

Property Management

We recently completed a competitive bidding process and have engaged five nationally recognized and experienced property management groups, CB Richard Ellis Memphis, LLC, PM Realty Group, Hokanson Companies, Inc., Plaza Del Rio Management Corp and Nath Management Inc., for those portfolio properties requiring external property management, subject to our performance standards and oversight. These firms will manage approximately 70% of our portfolio s assets with fees at market rates, and the remaining 30% will be supported in-house. We selected these property management groups based on geographic expertise, each to serve five geographically diverse territories, as we have defined them. We transitioned to the new property management companies on August 31, 2009. We implemented the customized property management structure to improve property operational performance at the asset and service provider levels, including the elimination of oversight fees.

Liquidity Events

On a limited basis, you may be able to sell shares through our share repurchase plan, which is at our sole discretion. However, in the future, our board of directors will also consider various forms of liquidity events, including but not limited to (1) a listing of shares of our common stock on a national securities exchange, (2) our sale or merger in a transaction that provides our stockholders with a combination of cash and/or

60

Table of Contents

securities of a publicly traded company, and (3) the sale of all or substantially all of our assets for cash or other consideration. We presently intend to effect a liquidity event by September 20, 2013. However, there can be no assurance that we will effect a liquidity event within such time or at all. In making the decision whether to effect a liquidity event, our board of directors will try to determine which alternative will result in greater value for our stockholders. Certain merger transactions and the sale of all or substantially all of our assets as well as liquidation or dissolution would require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock.

Construction and Development Activities

From time to time, we may construct and develop real estate assets or render services in connection with these activities. We may be able to reduce overall purchase costs by constructing and developing property versus purchasing a finished property. Developing and constructing properties would, however, expose us to risks such as cost overruns, carrying costs of projects under construction or development, availability and costs of materials and labor, weather conditions and government regulation. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Investments in Real Estate for additional discussion of these risks. We will retain independent contractors to perform the actual construction and development work on these properties.

Tenant Improvements

We anticipate that tenant improvements required at the time of our acquisition of a property will be funded from our offering proceeds. However, at such time as a tenant of one of our properties does not renew its lease or otherwise vacates its space in one of our buildings, it is likely that, in order to attract new tenants, we will be required to expend substantial funds for tenant improvements and tenant refurbishments to the vacated space. Since we do not anticipate maintaining permanent working capital reserves, we may not have access to funds required in the future for tenant improvements and tenant refurbishments in order to attract new tenants to lease vacated space. We will retain independent contractors to perform the actual construction work on tenant improvements, such as installing heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems.

Terms of Leases

The terms and conditions of any lease we enter into with our tenants may vary substantially from those we describe in this prospectus. However, we expect that a majority of our leases will require the tenant to pay or reimburse us for some or all of the operating expenses of the building based on the tenant s proportionate share of rentable space within the building. Operating expenses typically include, but are not limited to, real estate taxes, sales and use taxes, special assessments, utilities, insurance and building repairs, and other building operation and management costs. We will probably be responsible for the replacement of specific structural components of a property, such as the roof of the building or the parking lot. We expect that many of our leases will generally have terms of five or more years, some of which may have renewal options.

Investment Limitations

Our charter places numerous limitations on us with respect to the manner in which we may invest our funds prior to a listing of our common stock. The limitations cannot be changed unless our charter is amended, which requires approval of our board of directors and our stockholders. Until our common stock is listed, we will not:

make investments in unimproved property or indebtedness secured by a deed of trust or mortgage loans on unimproved property in excess of 10.0% of our total assets;

invest in commodities or commodity futures contracts, except for futures contracts when used solely for the purpose of hedging in connection with our ordinary business of investing in real properties;

invest in real estate contracts of sale, otherwise known as land sale contracts, unless the contract is in recordable form and is appropriately recorded in the chain of title;

61

Table of Contents

make or invest in mortgage loans unless an appraisal is obtained concerning the underlying property except for those mortgage loans insured or guaranteed by a government or government agency. In cases where a majority of our independent directors determines, and in all cases in which the transaction is with any of our directors or any of their respective affiliates, such appraisal shall be obtained from an independent appraiser. We will maintain such appraisal in our records for at least five years and it will be available for your inspection and duplication. We will also obtain a mortgagee s or owner s title insurance policy as to the priority of the mortgage;

make or invest in mortgage loans, including construction loans, on any one property if the aggregate amount of all mortgage loans on such property, including our loans, would exceed an amount equal to 85.0% of the appraised value of such property as determined by appraisal unless substantial justification exists for exceeding such limit because of the presence of other underwriting criteria;

make or invest in mortgage loans that are subordinate to any lien or other indebtedness of any of our directors or any of their respective affiliates;

issue securities redeemable solely at the option of the holder (this limitation, however, does not limit or prohibit the operation of our share repurchase plan);

issue debt securities unless the historical debt service coverage (in the most recently completed fiscal year) as adjusted for known changes is anticipated to be sufficient to properly service that higher level of debt;

issue equity securities on a deferred payment basis or other similar arrangement;

issue options or warrants to purchase shares to any of our directors or any of their affiliates except on the same terms as the options or warrants are sold to the general public; options or warrants may be issued to persons other than our directors or any of their affiliates, but not at exercise prices less than the fair market value of the underlying securities on the date of grant and not for consideration (which may include services) that in the judgment of the independent directors has a market value less than the value of such options or warrants on the date of grant;

engage in investment activities that would cause us to be classified as an investment company under the Investment Company Act;

make any investment that is inconsistent with our objectives of qualifying and remaining qualified as a REIT unless and until our board of directors determines, in its sole discretion, that REIT qualification is not in our best interest; or

engage in the business of underwriting or the agency distribution of securities issued by other persons.

In addition, we do not intend to invest in junior debt secured by a mortgage on real estate which is subordinate to the lien or other senior debt except where the amount of such junior debt plus any senior debt does not exceed 90.0% of the appraised value of such property and, if after giving effect thereto, the value of all such junior debt in which we have invested would not then exceed 25.0% of our net assets.

Change in Investment Objectives

Our charter requires that the independent directors review our investment policies at least annually to determine that the policies we are following are in the best interests of our stockholders. Each determination and the basis therefor is required to be set forth in the minutes of the applicable meetings of our directors. The methods of implementing our investment policies also may vary as new investment techniques are developed. Except for changes to the investment policies and investment restrictions contained in our charter, which require stockholder consent to amend, our investment objectives may be altered by our board of directors at any time without the approval of the stockholders.

62

Table of Contents

Issuing Securities for Property

Subject to limitations contained in our organizational and governance documents, we may issue, or cause to be issued, shares of our stock or limited partnership units in our operating partnership in any manner (and on such terms and for such consideration) in exchange for real estate. Existing stockholders have no preemptive rights to purchase such shares or limited partnership units in any such offering, and any such offering might cause a dilution of a stockholder s initial investment.

In order to induce the contributors of such properties to accept units in our operating partnership, rather than cash, in exchange for their properties, it may be necessary for us to provide them additional incentives. For instance, our operating partnership is partnership agreement provides that any holder of units may exchange limited partnership units on a one-for-one basis for shares of our common stock, or, at our option, cash equal to the value of an equivalent number of our shares. We may, however, enter into additional contractual arrangements with contributors of property under which we would agree to repurchase a contributor is units for shares of our common stock or cash, at the option of the contributor, at set times. In order to allow a contributor of a property to defer taxable gain on the contribution of property to our operating partnership, we might agree not to sell a contributed property for a defined period of time or until the contributor exchanged the contributor is units for cash or shares. Such an agreement would prevent us from selling those properties, even if market conditions made such a sale favorable to us. Such transactions are subject to the risks described in Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business. We may structure acquisitions of property in exchange for limited partnership units in our operating partnership on terms that could limit our liquidity or our flexibility.

Real Estate Acquisitions

Our management team will continually evaluate various potential investments on our behalf and engage in discussions and negotiations with real property sellers, developers, brokers, lenders, investment managers and others regarding such potential investments. During the term of this offering, if we believe that a reasonable probability exists that we will acquire a specific material property or make a material investment in other real estate related assets, this prospectus will be supplemented to disclose the negotiations and pending acquisition of such property or securities investment. We expect that this will normally occur upon the signing of a purchase agreement for the acquisition of a specific investment in property or other real estate related assets, but may occur before or after such signing or upon the satisfaction or expiration of major contingencies in any such purchase agreement, depending on the particular circumstances surrounding each potential investment. A supplement to this prospectus will describe any information that we consider appropriate for an understanding of the transaction. Further data will be made available after any pending investment is consummated, also by means of a supplement to this prospectus, if appropriate. You should understand that the disclosure of any proposed material investment cannot be relied upon as an assurance that we will ultimately consummate such investment or that the information provided concerning the proposed investment will not change between the date of the supplement and any actual purchase.

Investment Company Act Considerations

We intend to operate in such a manner that we will not be subject to regulation under the Investment Company Act. In order to maintain our exemption from regulations under the Investment Company Act, we must comply with technical and complex rules and regulations.

In order to maintain our exemption from regulation as an investment company, we intend to engage primarily in the business of investing in interests in real estate and make these investments within one year after the offering ends. If

we are unable to invest a significant portion of the proceeds of this offering in properties within one year of the termination of the offering, we may avoid being required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act by temporarily investing any unused proceeds in government securities with low returns. Investments in government securities likely would reduce the cash available for distribution to investors and possibly lower your returns.

63

Table of Contents

Our management continually reviews our investment activity and takes appropriate actions to attempt to ensure that we do not come within the application of the Investment Company Act. These actions may include limiting the percentage of our assets that fall into certain categories specified in the Investment Company Act, which could result in us holding assets we otherwise might desire to sell and selling assets we otherwise might wish to retain. In addition, we may have to acquire additional assets that we might not otherwise have acquired or be forced to forgo investment opportunities that we would otherwise want to acquire and that could be important to our investment strategy. In particular, we will monitor our investments in other real estate related assets to ensure continued compliance with one or more exemptions from investment company status under the Investment Company Act and, depending on the particular characteristics of those investments and our overall portfolio, we may be required to limit the percentage of our assets represented by other real estate related assets. If at any time the character of our investments could cause us to be deemed an investment company for purposes of the Investment Company Act, we will take the necessary action to attempt to ensure that we are not deemed to be an investment company. If we were required to register as an investment company, our ability to enter into certain transactions would be restricted by the Investment Company Act. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure Your investment return may be reduced if we are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act.

64

Table of Contents

INVESTMENTS

We provide stockholders the potential for income and growth through investment in a diversified portfolio of real estate properties, focusing primarily on medical office buildings and healthcare-related facilities. During our initial offering, we also invested to a limited extent in quality commercial office properties. We focus primarily on income producing investments which may be located in multiple states. As of March 12, 2010 we had made 55 geographically diverse acquisitions, comprising 184 buildings with approximately 7.5 million square feet of GLA, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.49 billion. Each of our properties is 100% owned by our operating partnership except one, which is 80.0% owned by our operating partnership through a joint venture. The tables below provide summary information regarding our portfolio as of March 12, 2010:

State	Number(1)	Properties Owned As a Percentage of Aggregate Purchase Price
Arizona	5	12.3%
California	3	3.4
Colorado	2	2.3
Florida	5	7.3
Georgia	7	7.7
Indiana	6	9.6
Kansas	1	0.9
Maryland	1	0.8
Minnesota	2	1.2
Missouri	2	4.8
New Hampshire	1	1.0
Ohio	5	5.3
Oklahoma	1	2.0
Pennsylvania	1	1.9
South Carolina	2	12.4
Tennessee	2	2.8
Texas	10	16.4
Utah	1	2.1
Virginia	1	0.4
Wisconsin	2	5.2
Total		100.0%

⁽¹⁾ In certain cases we have acquired portfolios that include properties in multiple states.

The table below describes the type of real estate properties and other real estate related assets we owned as of March 12, 2010:

Number of Gross Leasable

 ${\bf Edgar\ Filing:\ HEALTHCARE\ TRUST\ OF\ AMERICA,\ INC.\ -\ Form\ POS\ AM}$

Type of Investment		Investments	Area
Medical Office Healthcare-Related Facility Office		44 6 3	6,226,000 1,004,000 311,000
Other Real Estate Related Assets		2	N/A
Total		55	7,541,000
	65		

Table of Contents

The table below describes the average effective annual rent per square foot and the occupancy rate for each of the last four years ended December 31, 2008 and through December 31, 2009, for which we owned properties:

	2005(1)	2006(1)	2007(1)	2008(2)	2009(2)
Average Effective Annual Rent per Square					
Foot	N/A	N/A	\$ 18.41	\$ 16.87	\$ 17.25
Occupancy Rate	N/A	N/A	88.6%	91.3%	90.6%

- (1) We were initially capitalized on April 28, 2006 and therefore we consider that our date of inception. We purchased our first property on January 22, 2007.
- (2) Based on leases in effect as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009.

The following table presents the sensitivity of our annual base rent due to lease expirations for the year next 10 years at our properties, by number, square feet, percentage of leased area, annual base rent and percentage of annual rent as of December 31, 2009:

			% of		
			Leased		% of Total
	Number		Area	Annual	Annual Rent Represented
	of	Total Sq. Ft.	Represented	Rent Under	by
	_		by		Expiring
	Leases	of Expiring	Expiring	Expiring	Leases
Year Ending December 31,	Expiring	Leases	Leases	Leases	(1)
2010	126	353,000	6.0%	\$ 6,920,000	6.4%
2011	123	501,000	8.6	9,918,000	9.1
2012	146	515,000	8.8	9,476,000	8.7
2013	117	690,000	11.8	13,032,000	12.0
2014	91	653,000	11.2	9,669,000	8.9
2015	35	296,000	5.1	6,671,000	6.1
2016	47	369,000	6.3	6,812,000	6.3
2017	43	332,000	5.7	6,103,000	5.6
2018	54	434,000	7.4	7,925,000	7.3
2019	39	222,000	3.8	4,683,000	4.3
2020	43	167,000	2.9	2,780,000	2.6
Thereafter	45	1,304,000	22.3	24,595,000	22.7
Total	909	5,836,000	100%	\$ 108,584,000	100%

⁽¹⁾ The annual rent percentage is based on the total annual contractual base rent as of December 31, 2009.

%

As of March 12, 2010, no single tenant accounted for 10.0% or more of the gross leasable area of our real estate properties.

The following table presents certain additional information about our properties as of March 12, 2010:

								Total of	
		GLA	% of Ov	wnership	Date	Purchase	Annual	Annual	
•	Property Location	(Sq Ft)	GLA Pe	rcentage	Acquired	Price	Rent(1)	Rent Oc	cupancy(2)
nte rke									
sville Office	Indianapolis, IN	97,000	1.3%	100%	1/22/2007	\$ 14,800,000	\$ 1,081,000	0.8%	73.4%
urgery	Crawfordsville, IN	29,000	0.4	100	1/22/2007	6,900,000	592,000	0.4	100.0
nal fice	St. Paul, MN	106,000	1.4	100	3/9/2007	8,800,000	1,177,000	0.9	73.6
lding s V Office	Memphis, TN	98,000	1.3	100	3/23/2007	18,500,000	2,220,000	1.7	100.0
n Center	Naples, FL	55,000	0.7	100	4/24/2007	14,100,000	1,160,000	0.9	100.0
erag	Fayetteville and Peachtree City, GA	108,000	1.4	100	5/2/2007	21,500,000	1,945,000	1.5	60.3
Plaza	Glendale, AZ	110,000	1.5	100	5/15/2007	25,000,000	1,965,000	1.5	72.6
					66				

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

							% Total of	
	GLA	% of O	wnership	Date	Purchase	Annual	Annual	
Property Location	(Sq Ft)	GLA Pe	ercentage	Acquired	Price	Rent(1)	Rent Oc	cupancy(2
Houston and Sugar								
Land, TX	151,000	2.0	100%	6/8/2007	36,500,000	2,990,000	2.3	100.0%
Lawrenceville, GA	60,000	0.8	100	7/27/2007	9,300,000	889,000	0.7	59.4
Columbus, OH	116,000	1.5	100	8/15/2007	21,900,000	1,516,000	1.1	86.1
Kokomo, IN	87,000	1.2	100	8/30/2007	13,350,000	1,369,000	1.0	100.0
Long Beach, CA	67,000	0.9	100	9/5/2007	13,800,000	1,101,000	0.8	67.0
Valley Forge, PA	109,000	1.4	100	9/10/2007	26,700,000	2,776,000	2.1	100.0
Jacksonville, Winter Park and Sunrise, FL	355,000	4.7	100	9/28/2007	52,000,000	4,303,000	3.3	100.0
Roswell, GA	51,000	0.7	100	11/15/2007	11,850,000	1,261,000	1.0	98.6
Tucson, AZ	110,000	1.5	100	11/20/2007	21,050,000	1,619,000	1.2	69.0
Lima, OH Highlands Ranch,	203,000	2.7	100	12/7/2007	26,060,000	2,120,000	1.6	81.1
CO	79,000	1.0	100	12/19/2007	14,500,000	1,621,000	1.2	86.2
Chesterfield, MO	112,000	1.5	80	12/19/2007	36,440,000	3,082,000	2.3	100.0
Dayton, OH Overland, KS and	133,000	1.8	100	12/20/2007	16,200,000	1,864,000	1.4	80.8
Largo, Brandon and Lakeland, FL	163,000	2.2	100	2/1/2008	36,950,000	3,391,000	2.6	91.3
St. Paul, MN Liberty Township,	50,000	0.7	100	3/6/2008	8,650,000	633,000	0.5	78.6
OH Indianapolis, IN	44,000 34,000	0.6 0.5	100 100	3/19/2008 3/24/2008	8,150,000 5,850,000	637,000 471,000	0.5 0.4	91.5 95.2
	Houston and Sugar Land, TX Lawrenceville, GA Columbus, OH Kokomo, IN Long Beach, CA Valley Forge, PA Jacksonville, Winter Park and Sunrise, FL Roswell, GA Tucson, AZ Lima, OH Highlands Ranch, CO Chesterfield, MO Dayton, OH Overland, KS and Largo, Brandon and Lakeland, FL St. Paul, MN Liberty Township, OH	Houston and Sugar Land, TX 151,000 Lawrenceville, GA 60,000 Columbus, OH 116,000 Kokomo, IN 87,000 Long Beach, CA 67,000 Valley Forge, PA 109,000 Jacksonville, Winter Park and Sunrise, FL 355,000 Roswell, GA 51,000 Tucson, AZ 110,000 Lima, OH 203,000 Highlands Ranch, CO 79,000 Chesterfield, MO 112,000 Dayton, OH 0verland, KS and Largo, Brandon and Lakeland, FL 163,000 St. Paul, MN 50,000 Liberty Township, OH 44,000	Property Location (Sq Ft) GLA Per centre (Sq Ft) Houston and Sugar Land, TX 151,000 2.0 Lawrenceville, GA 60,000 0.8 Columbus, OH 116,000 1.5 Kokomo, IN 87,000 1.2 Long Beach, CA 67,000 0.9 Valley Forge, PA 109,000 1.4 Jacksonville, Winter Park and Sunrise, FL 355,000 4.7 Roswell, GA 51,000 0.7 Tucson, AZ 110,000 1.5 Lima, OH 203,000 2.7 Highlands Ranch, CO 79,000 1.0 Chesterfield, MO 112,000 1.5 Dayton, OH Overland, KS and Largo, Brandon and Lakeland, FL 163,000 2.2 St. Paul, MN Liberty Township, OH 50,000 0.7 Liberty Township, OH 44,000 0.6	Houston and Sugar Land, TX 151,000 2.0 100% Lawrenceville, GA 60,000 0.8 100 Columbus, OH 116,000 1.5 100 Kokomo, IN 87,000 1.2 100 Long Beach, CA 67,000 0.9 100 Valley Forge, PA 109,000 1.4 100 Jacksonville, Winter Park and Sunrise, FL 355,000 4.7 100 Roswell, GA 51,000 0.7 100 Lima, OH 203,000 2.7 100 Highlands Ranch, CO 79,000 1.5 80 Dayton, OH Overland, KS and Largo, Brandon and Lakeland, FL 163,000 0.7 100 St. Paul, MN Liberty Township, OH 44,000 0.6 100	Houston and Sugar Land, TX	Property Location (Sq Ft) GLA Percentage Acquired Price	Houston and Sugar Land, TX	Total of the purchase California Calif

135

tion fice									
ssional	Houston, TX	52,000	0.7	100	3/25/2008	11,200,000	936,000	0.7	100.0
osionai	Lakeland, Fl Arlington, Galveston, Port Arthur and Texas City, TX and Lomita	32,000	0.4	100	3/27/2008	5,250,000	368,000	0.3	76.2
	and El Monte, CA	226,000	3.0	100	Various	39,600,000	3,462,000	2.6	100.0
ospital	Amarillo, TX	65,000	0.9	100	5/15/2008	20,000,000	1,666,000	1.3	100.0
Drive	Cypress, CA	104,000	1.4	100	5/29/2008	25,700,000	2,004,000	1.5	100.0
	Derry, NH	70,000	0.9	100	6/3/2008	14,200,000	1,163,000	0.9	100.0
za rtfolio	Stockbridge, GA	81,000	1.1	100	6/24/2008	21,176,000	1,577,000	1.2	83.7
Iedical	Indianapolis, IN	685,000	9.1	100	6/26/2008	90,100,000	9,285,000	7.0	74.8
dical	Tucson, AZ	41,000	0.5	100	6/26/2008	8,100,000	774,000	0.6	88.2
rtfolio	Decatur, GA O Fallon and St. Louis, MO and Keller and Wichita	43,000	0.6	100	6/27/2008	12,000,000	1,060,000	0.8	99.5
e	Falls, TX	173,000	2.3	100	Various	44,800,000	3,789,000	2.9	97.7
nter City	Bountiful, UT	112,000	1.5	100	6/30/2008	30,200,000	2,234,000	1.7	88.5
rtfolio rtfolio	Oklahoma City, OK Phoenix, AZ, Parma and Jefferson West, OH, and Waxahachie, Greenville, and	186,000	2.5	100	9/16/2008	29,250,000	3,563,000	2.7	95.4
mpire	Cedar Hill, TX Kingsport, Bristol and Rogersville, TN and Pennington Gap	227,000	3.0	100	Various	48,000,000	4,212,000	3.2	81.8
lains	and Norton, VA	293,000	3.9	100	9/12/2008	27,775,000	3,956,000	3.0	91.9
alth	San Antonio and Webster, TX	170,000	2.3	100	12/18/2008	43,000,000	3,889,000	2.9	100.0
	Marietta, GA Milwaukee, WI	81,000 185,000	1.1 2.5	100 100	12/22/2008 2/27/2009	15,300,000 33,719,000	1,083,000 2,871,000	0.8 2.2	88.4 100.0
	Table of Contents							13	36

	•			
H	-	11	^	
ш			.,	

ttolio	E 11' W	120.000	1.5	100	5 10 0 10 0 0 0	40.700.000	2 425 000	2.6	100.0
	Franklin, WI	130,000	1.7	100	5/28/2009	40,700,000	3,435,000	2.6	100.0
rtfolio	Greenville, SC	857,000	11.4	100	9/18/2009	162,820,000	14,417,000	10.9	100.0
fice lace fice	Spartanburg, SC	109,000	1.4	100	12/11/2009	16,250,000	1,563,000	1.2	72.7
C	Englewood, CO	66,000	0.9	100	12/21/2009	18,600,000	1,594,000	1.2	100.0
C	Dallas, TX	52,000	0.7	100	12/23/2009	27,350,000	2,598,000	2.0	100.0
al	Baltimore, MD	62,000	0.8	100	12/30/2009	11,250,000	1,039,000	0.8	98.1
lical	Coriscana, TX and Ft. Wayne, IN and San Angelo, TX	93,000	1.2	100	12/30/2009	20,501,000	1,790,000	1.4	100.0
on	Denton, TX	44,000	0.6	100	12/30/2009 67	15,485,000	1,364,000	1.0	100.0

Table of Contents

age

							Total of
	GLA	% of	Ownership	Date	Purchase	Annual	Annual
Property Location	(Sq Ft)	GLA	Percentage	Acquired	Price	Rent(1)	Rent Occ
Sun City, AZ and							
Sun City West, AZ	642,000	8.5	100%	12/31/2009	107,000,000	10,265,000	7.8
Atlanta, GA	80,000	1.1	100	3/2/2010	19,550,000	1,819,000	1.4
Jacksonville, GA	53,000	0.7	100	3/9/2010	10,775,000	1,293,000	1.0
	7,540,000	100.0%	<i>‰</i>		\$ 1,438,501,000	\$ 130,852,000	100.0%

%

- (1) Annualized rental revenue is based on contractual base rent from leases in effect as of March 12, 2010.
- (2) Occupancy includes all leased space of the respective portfolio, including master leases.
- (3) Average annual rent per occupied square foot as of March 12, 2010.

Each of the above properties is a hospital, skilled nursing and assisted living facility or medical office building, the principal tenants of which are healthcare providers or healthcare-related service providers. Each of the properties listed above is 100% owned by our operating partnership, except for Chesterfield Rehabilitation Center, which is 80.0% owned by our operating partnership through a joint venture. On March 3, 2010, we opened escrow with First American Title Company, and on or before March 26, 2010, our subsidiary plans to exercise its call option to buy for \$3,900,000 100% of the interest owned by its joint venture partner in HTA Duke Chesterfield Rehab, LLC, which owns the Chesterfield Rehabilitation Center.

We own fee simple interests in all of our properties except: (1) Lenox Office Park, Building G, (2) Lima Medical Office Portfolio, (3) Medical Portfolio 1, (4) Medical Portfolio 4, (5) Mountain Empire Portfolio, (6) Oklahoma City Medical Portfolio, (7) Senior Care Portfolio 1 and (8) Tucson Medical Office Portfolio. Lenox Office Park, Building G is comprised of both Lenox Office Park, Building G, in which we hold a leasehold interest, and two vacant parcels of land, in which we own a fee simple interest. Lima Medical Office Portfolio consists of six medical office buildings, four of which we hold ground lease interests in certain condominiums within each building, and two of which we own a fee simple interest. Medical Portfolio 1 is comprised of five properties, one in which we hold a ground lease interest, and the other four in which we own fee simple interests. Mountain Empire Portfolio is comprised of 10 properties, seven in which we hold a ground lease interest, and the other three in which we own fee simple interests. Oklahoma City Medical Portfolio is comprised of two properties, both in which we hold ground lease interests. Senior Care Portfolio 1 consists of six properties, one of which we hold a partial ground lease interest and a partial fee simple interest, and five of which we own a fee simple interest. Tucson Medical Office Portfolio is comprised of two properties, one in which we own a fee simple interest.

When we acquire a property, we prepare a capital plan that contemplates the estimated capital needs of that investment. In addition to operating expenses, capital needs may also include costs of refurbishment, tenant improvements or other major capital expenditures. Management currently believes that each property is suitable for its intended purpose and adequately covered by insurance.

Most of our properties face competition from other healthcare-related facilities or medical office buildings that provide comparable services in such properties market area.

68

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT

Board of Directors

We operate under the direction of our board of directors, the members of which are accountable to us and our stockholders as fiduciaries. The board of directors is responsible for the management and control of our affairs. The board of directors has retained Scott D. Peters, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, to manage our day-to-day operations and to implement our investment strategy, subject to the board s direction, oversight and approval.

We currently have six members on our board of directors. Our charter and bylaws provide that the number of our directors may be established by a majority of the entire board of directors, but that number may not be fewer than three nor more than 15. Our charter also provides that a majority of the directors must be independent directors and that at least one of the independent directors must have at least three years of relevant real estate experience. An independent director—is a person who is not an officer or employee of us or our affiliates, does not perform services for us, other than as a director, does not have any material business or professional relationships with us or our affiliates and has not otherwise been affiliated with us or our affiliates for the previous two years. We currently have five independent directors,—as defined by our charter.

Directors are elected annually and serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. There is no limit on the number of times a director may be elected to office. Although the number of directors may be increased or decreased, a decrease will not have the effect of shortening the term of any incumbent director.

Any director may resign at any time. Any director may be removed with or without cause by the stockholders upon the affirmative vote of at least a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at a meeting called for the purpose of the proposed removal. The notice of the meeting shall indicate that the purpose, or one of the purposes, of the meeting is to determine if the director shall be removed.

Any vacancy created by an increase in the number of directors or the death, resignation, removal, adjudicated incompetence or other incapacity of a director may be filled only by a vote of a majority of the remaining directors. The independent directors will nominate replacements for vacancies in the independent director positions.

Responsibilities of Directors

Our charter was reviewed and ratified by a unanimous vote of our directors, including our independent directors, prior to the commencement of our initial offering. The responsibilities of our board of directors include:

approving and overseeing our overall investment strategy, which will consist of elements such as:
(1) allocation of percentages of capital to be invested in real estate properties and other real estate related assets, (2) allocation of percentages of capital to be invested in medical office properties and healthcare-related facilities, (3) diversification strategies, (4) investment selection criteria and (5) investment disposition strategies;

approving all real property acquisitions, developments and dispositions, including the financing of such acquisitions and developments;

approving specific discretionary limits and authority to be granted to management in connection with the purchase and disposition of other real estate related assets that fit within the asset allocation framework;

approving and overseeing our debt financing strategy;

approving joint ventures, limited partnerships and other such relationships with third parties;

determining our distribution policy and authorizing distributions from time to time;

69

Table of Contents

approving amounts available for repurchases of shares of our common stock; and

approving a liquidity event, such as the listing of our shares on a national securities exchange, the liquidation of our portfolio, our merger with another company or similar transaction providing liquidity to our stockholders.

Our directors are not required to devote all of their time to our business and are only required to devote the time to our affairs as their duties may require. Our directors meet quarterly or more frequently if necessary in order to discharge their duties.

The directors have established and periodically review written policies on investments and borrowings consistent with our investment objectives and monitor our administrative procedures, investment operations and performance to assure that such policies are carried out.

Our independent directors are also responsible for reviewing our fees and expenses on at least an annual basis and with sufficient frequency to determine that the expenses incurred are in the best interest of the stockholders.

In order to reduce or eliminate certain potential conflicts of interest, our charter requires that a majority of our directors, including a majority of our independent directors, not otherwise interested in the transaction must approve all transactions with any of our directors or any of their affiliates.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors may establish committees it deems appropriate to address specific areas in more depth than may be possible at a full board meeting, provided that the majority of the members of each committee are independent directors. Our board of directors has established an audit committee, a compensation committee, a nominating and corporate governance committee, an investment committee and a risk management committee.

Audit Committee. Our audit committee s primary function is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by reviewing the financial information to be provided to the stockholders and others, the system of internal controls which management has established, and the audit and financial reporting process. The audit committee is responsible for the selection, evaluation and, when necessary, replacement of our independent registered public accounting firm. Under our audit committee charter, the audit committee will always be comprised solely of independent directors. The audit committee is currently comprised of W. Bradley Blair, II, Maurice J. DeWald, Warren D. Fix, Larry L. Mathis and Gary T. Wescombe, all of whom are independent directors. Mr. DeWald currently serves as the chairman and has been designated as the audit committee financial expert.

Compensation Committee. The primary responsibilities of our compensation committee are to advise the board on compensation policies, establish performance objectives for our executive officers, review and recommend to our board of directors the appropriate level of director compensation and annually review our compensation strategy and assess its effectiveness. Under our compensation committee charter, the compensation committee will always be comprised solely of independent directors. The compensation committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Blair, Fix and Wescombe, all of whom are independent directors. Mr. Wescombe currently serves as the chairman.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The nominating and corporate governance committee s primary purposes are to identify qualified individuals to become board members, to recommend to the board the selection of director nominees for election at the annual meeting of stockholders, to make recommendations regarding the composition of the board of directors and its committees, to assess director independence and board effectiveness, to

develop and implement corporate governance guidelines and to oversee our compliance and ethics program. The nominating and corporate governance committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Blair, Fix and Mathis, all of whom are independent directors. Mr. Fix currently serves as the chairman.

70

Table of Contents

Investment Committee. Our investment committee s primary function is to assist the board of directors in reviewing proposed acquisitions presented by our management. The investment committee has the authority to reject but not to approve proposed acquisitions, which must receive the approval of the board of directors. The investment committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Blair, Fix, Peters and Wescombe. Messrs. Blair, Fix and Wescombe are independent directors. Mr. Blair currently serves as the chairman.

Risk Management Committee. Our risk management committee s primary function is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by reviewing, assessing and discussing with our management team, general counsel and auditors: (1) material risks or exposures associated with the conduct of our business; (2) internal risk management systems management has implemented to identity, minimize, monitor or manage such risks or exposures; and (3) management s policies and procedures for risk management. The risk management committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Blair, DeWald and Mathis, all of whom are independent directors. Mr. Mathis currently serves as the chairman.

Directors and Executive Officers

As of the date of this prospectus, our directors and our executive officers, their ages and their positions and offices are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Scott D. Peters	52	Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board
Kellie S. Pruitt	44	Chief Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer
Mark D. Engstrom	50	Executive Vice President Acquisitions
Katherine E. Black	33	Controller
W. Bradley Blair, II	66	Independent Director
Maurice J. DeWald	69	Independent Director
Warren D. Fix	71	Independent Director
Larry L. Mathis	66	Independent Director
Gary T. Wescombe	66	Independent Director

Scott D. Peters has served as our Chairman of the Board since July 2006, Chief Executive Officer since April 2006 and President since June 2007. He served as the Chief Executive Officer of our former advisor from July 2006 until July 2008. He served as the Executive Vice President of Grubb & Ellis Apartment REIT, Inc. from January 2006 to November 2008 and served as one of its directors from April 2007 to June 2008. He also served as the Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Grubb & Ellis from December 2007 to July 2008, and as the Chief Executive Officer, President and director of NNN Realty Advisors, a wholly owned subsidiary of Grubb & Ellis, from its formation in September 2006 and as its Chairman of the Board from December 2007 to July 2008. NNN Realty Advisors became a wholly owned subsidiary of Grubb & Ellis upon its merger with Grubb & Ellis in December 2007. Mr. Peters also served as the Chief Executive Officer of Grubb & Ellis Realty Investors from November 2006 to July 2008, having served from September 2004 to October 2006 as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. From December 2005 to January 2008, Mr. Peters also served as the Chief Executive Officer and President of G REIT, Inc., having previously served as its Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since September 2004. Mr. Peters also served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of T REIT, Inc. from September 2004 to December 2006. From February 1997 to February 2007, Mr. Peters served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Golf Trust of America, Inc., a publicly traded REIT. Mr. Peters received his B.B.A. degree in Accounting and Finance from Kent State University in Kent, Ohio.

Kellie S. Pruitt has served as our Chief Accounting Officer Secretary, Treasurer and principal accounting officer since January 2009 and our principal financial officer since March 2009. She also served as our Controller for a portion of January 2009. From September 2007 to December 2008, Ms. Pruitt served as the Vice President, Financial Reporting and Compliance, for Fender Musical Instruments Corporation. Prior to joining Fender Musical Instruments Corporation in 2007, Ms. Pruitt served as a senior manager at Deloitte & Touche LLP, from 1995 to 2007, serving both public and privately held companies primarily concentrated in

71

Table of Contents

the real estate and consumer business industries. She graduated from the University of Texas in Austin, Texas with a B.A. degree in Accounting and is a member of the AICPA. Ms. Pruitt is a Certified Public Accountant licensed in Arizona and Texas.

Mark D. Engstrom has served as our Executive Vice President Acquisitions since July 2009. From February 2009 to July 2009, Mr. Engstrom served as our independent consultant providing acquisition and asset management support. Mr. Engstrom has 22 years of experience in organizational leadership, acquisitions, management, asset management, project management, leasing, planning, facilities development, financing, and establishing industry leading real estate and facilities groups. From 2006 through 2009, Mr. Engstrom was the Chief Executive Officer of Insite Medical Properties, a real estate services and investment company. From 2001 through 2005, Mr. Engstrom served as a Manager of Real Estate Services for Hammes Company and created a new business unit within the company which was responsible for providing asset and property management. Mr. Engstrom graduated in 1983 from Michigan State University in East Lansing, Michigan with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Pre-Law and Public Administration. In 1987 he graduated with a Masters Degree in Hospital and Healthcare Administration from the University of Minnesota.

Katherine E. Black has served as our Controller since January 2010. From May, 2006 to January 2010, Ms. Black served as the Director of Accounting for Seton Family of Hospitals, where she was responsible for the consolidated financial statements of a growing healthcare system with 10 hospitals, three community clinics, multiple physician groups, and four fundraising foundations. Prior to joining Seton Family of Hospitals in May 2006, Ms. Black served as a senior auditor at Deloitte & Touche LLP, from March 2003 to May 2006, where she was responsible for leading teams in financial and compliance audits of major public, private, and not-for-profit entities. She graduated from the Arizona State University in Tempe, Arizona where she received a B.A. degree in Religion and a Post-Baccalaureate Certificate in Accounting. Ms. Black is a Certified Public Accountant licensed in Arizona and is member of the AICPA.

W. Bradley Blair, II has served as an independent director of our company since September 2006. Mr. Blair served as the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the board of directors of Golf Trust of America, Inc. from the time of its formation and initial public offering in 1997 as a REIT until his resignation and retirement in November 2007. During such term, Mr. Blair managed the acquisition, operation, leasing and disposition of the assets of the portfolio. From 1993 until February 1997, Mr. Blair served as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and General Counsel for The Legends Group. As an officer of The Legends Group, Mr. Blair was responsible for all aspects of operations, including acquisitions, development and marketing. From 1978 to 1993, Mr. Blair was the managing partner at Blair Conaway Bograd & Martin, P.A., a law firm specializing in real estate, finance, taxation and acquisitions. Currently, Mr. Blair operates the Blair Group consulting practice, which focuses on real estate acquisitions and finance. Mr. Blair earned a B.S. degree in Business from Indiana University in Bloomington, Indiana and his Juris Doctorate degree from the University of North Carolina School of Law in Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Maurice J. DeWald has served as an independent director of our company since September 2006. He has served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Verity Financial Group, Inc., a financial advisory firm, since 1992, where the primary focus has been in both the healthcare and technology sectors. Mr. DeWald also serves as a director of Mizuho Corporate Bank of California, and as non-executive Chairman of Integrated Healthcare Holdings, Inc. Mr. DeWald also previously served as a director of Tenet Healthcare Corporation, ARV Assisted Living, Inc. and Quality Systems, Inc. From 1962 to 1991, Mr. DeWald was with the international accounting and auditing firm of KPMG, LLP, where he served at various times as an audit partner, a member of their board of directors as well as the managing partner of Orange County and Los Angeles California offices as well as its Chicago office. Mr. DeWald has served as Chairman and director of both the United Way of Greater Los Angeles and the United Way of Orange County California. Mr. DeWald holds a B.B.A. degree in Accounting and Finance from the University of Notre Dame in South Bend, Indiana and is a member of its Mendoza School of Business Advisory Council. Mr. DeWald is a Certified Public Accountant (inactive), and is a member of the California Society of Certified Public Accountants and

${\bf Edgar\ Filing:\ HEALTHCARE\ TRUST\ OF\ AMERICA,\ INC.\ -\ Form\ POS\ AM}$

the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

72

Table of Contents

Warren D. Fix has served as an independent director of our company since September 2006. He is the Chairman of FDW, LLC, a real estate investment and management firm. Mr. Fix also serves as a director of Clark Investment Group, Clark Equity Capital, First Financial Inc., First Foundation Bank and Accel Networks. Until November of 2008, when he completed a process of dissolution, he served for five years as the chief executive officer of WCH, Inc., formerly Candlewood Hotel Company, Inc., having served as its Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary since 1995. During his tenure with Candlewood Hotel Company, Inc., Mr. Fix oversaw the development of a chain of extended-stay hotels, including 117 properties aggregating 13,300 rooms. From July 1994 to October 1995, Mr. Fix was a consultant to Doubletree Hotels, primarily developing debt and equity sources of capital for hotel acquisitions and refinancing. Mr. Fix has been and continues to be a partner in The Contrarian Group, a business management company since December 1992. From 1989 to December 1992, Mr. Fix served as President of The Pacific Company, a real estate investment and development company. During his tenure at The Pacific Company, Mr. Fix was responsible for the development, acquisition and management of an apartment portfolio comprising in excess of 3,000 units. From 1964 to 1989, Mr. Fix held numerous positions, including Chief Financial Officer, within The Irvine Company, a major California-based real estate firm that develops residential property, for-sale housing, apartments, commercial, industrial, retail, hotel and other land related uses. Mr. Fix was one of the initial team of ten professionals hired by The Irvine Company to initiate the development of 125,000 acres of land in Orange County, California. Mr. Fix is a Certified Public Accountant (inactive). He received his B.A. degree from Claremont McKenna College in Claremont, California and is a graduate of the UCLA Executive Management Program, the Stanford Financial Management Program and the UCLA Anderson Corporate Director Program.

Larry L. Mathis has served as an independent director of our company since April 2007. Since 1998 he has served as an executive consultant with D. Peterson & Associates in Houston, Texas, providing counsel to select clients on leadership, management, governance, and strategy and is the author of *The Mathis Maxims, Lessons in Leadership*. For over 35 years, Mr. Mathis has held numerous leadership positions in organizations charged with planning and directing the future of healthcare delivery in the United States. Mr. Mathis is the founding President and Chief Executive Officer of The Methodist Hospital System in Houston, Texas, having served that institution in various executive positions for 27 years, the last 14 years before his retirement in 1997 as CEO. During his extensive career in the healthcare industry, he has served as a member of the board of directors of a number of national, state and local industry and professional organizations, including Chairman of the board of directors of the Texas Hospital Association, the American Hospital Association, and the American College of Healthcare Executives, and has served the federal government as Chairman of the National Advisory Council on Health Care Technology Assessment and as a member of the Medicare Prospective Payment Assessment Commission. From 1997 to 2003, Mr. Mathis was a member of the board of directors and Chairman of the Compensation Committee of Centerpulse, Inc., and from 2004 to present a member of the board and Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., both U.S. publicly traded companies. Mr. Mathis received a B.A. degree in Social Sciences from Pittsburg State University in Pittsburg, Kansas and a M.A. degree in Health Administration from Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri.

Gary T. Wescombe has served as an independent director of our company since October 2006. He manages and develops real estate operating properties through American Oak Properties, LLC, where he is a principal. He is also director, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation, a nonprofit foundation established for the purpose of supporting scientific research. From October 1999 to December 2001, he was a partner in Warmington Wescombe Realty Partners in Costa Mesa, California, where he focused on real estate investments and financing strategies. Prior to retiring in 1999, Mr. Wescombe was a partner with Ernst & Young, LLP (previously Kenneth Leventhal & Company) from 1970 to 1999. In addition, Mr. Wescombe also served as a director of G REIT, Inc. from December 2001 to January 2008 and has served as chairman of the trustees of G REIT Liquidating Trust since January 2008. Mr. Wescombe received a B.S. degree in Accounting and Finance from California State University in San Jose, California and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and California Society of Certified Public Accountants.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

In the paragraphs that follow, we provide an overview and analysis of our compensation program and policies, the material compensation decisions we have made under those programs and policies with respect to our named executive officers, and the material factors that we considered in making those decisions. Following this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, under the heading Executive Compensation you will find a series of tables containing specific data about the compensation earned in 2009 by the following individuals, whom we refer to as our named executive officers:

Scott D. Peters, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board;

Kellie S. Pruitt, Chief Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer; and

Mark D. Engstrom, Executive Vice President Acquisitions.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our objective is to provide compensation packages that take into account the scope of the duties and responsibilities of each executive consistent with self-management. The compensation committee designed our new executive compensation packages to reflect the increased level of responsibilities and scope of duties attendant with our transition to self-management. In addition, we strive to provide compensation that rewards the achievement of specific short-, medium- and long-term strategic goals, and aligns the interests of key employees with stockholders. The compensation paid to the executives is designed to achieve the right balance of incentives and appropriately reward our executives and maximize their performance over the long-term.

Another key priority for us today and in the future is to attract, retain and motivate a top quality management team. In order to accomplish this objective, the compensation paid to our executives must be competitive in the marketplace. This is especially important given our status as a self-managed company.

In furtherance of these objectives, we refrain from using highly leveraged incentives that drive risky, short-term behavior. By rewarding short-, medium- and long-term performance, we are better positioned to achieve the ultimate objective of increasing stockholder value. To emphasize performance-based compensation, we also provide the opportunity to earn additional compensation through annual bonuses.

How We Determined our Compensation Arrangements

We established the compensation packages for our named executive officers based on the advice and recommendations of the compensation committee and, with respect to our Chief Executive Officer, independent consultants, with a view on emphasizing competitive, performance-based compensation. The compensation committee intends for the level of cash- and stock-based compensation paid to our executives to be consistent with the compensation paid by a peer group of companies. The compensation committee does not benchmark to a particular percentile within the peer group, but rather uses the peer group information to help guide its compensation decisions.

The compensation committee engaged an outside executive compensation consultant, Towers Perrin, to assist it in determining the compensation for our Chief Executive Officer. At the request of the compensation committee, Towers Perrin provided input to the compensation committee on the design and philosophy of our Chief Executive Officer s compensation package, and reported on the competitiveness of such package in the marketplace. Towers Perrin presented information with respect to a peer group comprised of the following companies:

HCP Inc.

Health Care REIT, Inc.

Ventas Inc.

Nationwide Health Properties Inc.

Healthcare Realty Trust Inc.

Omega Healthcare Investors, Inc.

Medical Properties Trust, Inc.

Cogdell Spencer Inc.

LTC Properties Inc.

Inland Western Retail Real Estate Trust Inc.*

Piedmont Office Realty Trust Inc.*

74

Table of Contents

With the exception of those companies marked with an asterisk (*), the companies included in the peer group are publicly traded healthcare REITs with median assets of about \$1.9B. Those companies marked with an asterisk (*) reflect selected non-traded REITs that have made the transition to self-management.

The compensation committee furnished to Towers Perrin the 2008 NAREIT Survey, including data from five healthcare companies (Cogdell Spencer Inc., HCP, Inc., Healthcare REIT Inc., Nationwide Health Properties and Ventas Inc.). In addition to information related to the peer group identified above, Towers Perrin included information from the NAREIT Survey, as well as compensation information from REITS of all sectors with total capitalization within a range of \$1B-2.99B, in its presentation to the compensation committee.

In addition to the peer group information presented by Towers Perrin, the compensation committee reviewed a smaller peer group comprised of HCP, Inc., Health Care REIT, Inc., Ventas, Inc., Nationwide Health Properties Inc. and Healthcare Realty Trust, Inc. This group represents those companies that the compensation committee considers to be most comparable to our company.

Taken as a whole, the data provided by Towers Perrin, as well as the compensation committee s independent review of the smaller peer group described above, allows the compensation committee to double-check the compensation paid to our CEO against what is typical in our market.

In determining the compensation for Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom, the compensation committee relied primarily on the recommendations of Mr. Peters, as well as its general understanding of the compensation paid to similar positions within the peer group. The initial terms of Ms. Pruitt s and Mr. Engstrom s compensation packages were the result of negotiations between such executives and Mr. Peters in connection with recruiting them to join our company.

Elements of our Compensation Program

During 2009, the key elements of compensation for our named executive officers were base salary, annual bonus and long-term equity incentive awards, as described in more detail below. In addition to these key elements, we also provide severance protection for our named executive officers, as discussed below.

Base Salary. Base salary provides the fixed portion of compensation for our named executive officers and is intended to reward core competence in their role relative to skill, experience and contributions to us. In connection with entering into the employment agreements, the compensation committee approved the following initial annual base salaries: Mr. Peters, \$500,000; Mr. Engstrom, \$275,000; and Ms. Pruitt, \$180,000. The compensation committee approved an increase to Mr. Peters 2008 base salary in order to more closely align his base salary with our peers. However, due to the compensation committee s focus on performance-based compensation, Mr. Peters base salary approximates the lower end of the scale of base salaries provided by our peer companies. To emphasize performance-based compensation, the compensation committee designed Mr. Peters compensation package so that the majority of his cash-based compensation may be earned through an annual bonus after the compensation committee s assessment of his performance during the year.

As discussed above, the initial base salaries for Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom were negotiated in connection with their joining our company. Also as discussed above, a key priority for us is to attract, retain and motivate a top quality management team. In order to attract a high caliber management team, the compensation packages offered must be competitive within the market, as well as reflective of the executive s level of skill and expected contributions. These were the guiding principles followed by Mr. Peters and the compensation committee in negotiating the compensation packages with Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom.

<u>Annual Bonus</u>. Annual bonuses reward and recognize contributions to our financial goals and achievement of individual objectives. In 2009, we did not have a formal bonus program. Each of the named executive officers is eligible to earn an annual performance bonus in an amount determined at the sole discretion of the compensation committee for each year. Pursuant to the terms of their employment agreements, Mr. Peters initial maximum bonus is 200% of base salary. Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s initial target bonus is 100% and 60%, respectively, of base salary.

75

Table of Contents

The compensation committee, together with Mr. Peters, developed a broad list of goals and objectives for 2009. The compensation committee awarded Mr. Peters the maximum bonus payable to him under his employment agreement based on its assessment of his performance during fiscal year 2009. In reviewing his performance, the compensation committee concluded that Mr. Peters accomplished, and in many cases, exceeded such goals and objectives, which included:

effectively leading the expansion of the company, including growing our portfolio through the acquisition of quality, performing assets;

successfully negotiating substantial and creative value-added transaction terms and conditions;

coordinating successful and competitive refinancing transactions during a time of significant dislocations in the credit markets:

leading our successful transition to self-management;

recruiting and effectively supervising our employees;

implementing effective risk management at all key levels of the company;

maintaining a strong and solid balance sheet;

coordinating the engagement of new, competitively-priced and performance-driven property management companies for our portfolio;

leading the extension of our initial offering for up to 180 days, successfully transitioning the dealer manager for our initial offering to RCS and spearheading the registration of the follow-on offering;

establishing and enhancing our relationships with commercial and investment banks;

maintaining and actively enhancing our stockholder first, performance- driven philosophy;

effectively establishing our independent brand name as an asset to our company; and

facilitating an open and effective dialogue with our board.

In addition to the annual bonus available under his employment agreement, after an extensive review of the peer group information and Mr. Peters performance in 2009, the compensation committee also awarded Mr. Peters an extraordinary bonus of \$200,000. The extraordinary bonus recognizes and rewards Mr. Peters for (i) his expanded role and extraordinary efforts in providing demonstrated and effective leadership to our company, and (ii) positioning the company for continued success during recent unprecedented, difficult economic times, and in the future.

The compensation committee relied primarily on the recommendations of Mr. Peters in determining the bonus amounts for Mr. Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt. Mr. Peters based his recommendations on his assessment of Mr. Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt s performance during 2009. For example, Mr. Peters considered the number of successful acquisitions that Mr. Engstrom negotiated and completed and his management of the company s acquisitions team. Mr. Peters recommended a bonus for Ms. Pruitt in excess of the target bonus provided in her employment agreement because of her outstanding performance and significant accomplishments during 2009, including playing a key role in our transition to self-management, establishing our corporate office and infrastructure, building our accounting team and

successfully transitioning our investor services operations to DST Systems, Inc. The compensation committee considered Mr. Peters recommendations and approved the bonuses for Mr. Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards. Long-term equity incentive awards are an important element of our compensation program because these awards align the interests of our named executive officers with those of our stockholders and provide a strong retentive component to the executive s compensation arrangement. Restricted stock and restricted stock units are the primary equity award vehicle offered to our named executive officers. The compensation committee reviewed the grant practices of the peer group companies and awarded our named executive officers equity awards with a value that is consistent with the equity grants provided by the peer group.

76

Table of Contents

Restricted stock has a number of attributes that makes it an attractive equity award for our CEO. The vesting schedule provides a strong retention element to Mr. Peters compensation package if Mr. Peters voluntarily terminates employment, he will forfeit his unvested restricted stock. At the same time, Mr. Peters retains the attributes of stock ownership through voting rights and distributions. Given that there is no readily available market providing liquidity for our common shares, and in light of the limitation in our governing documents that poses an obstacle to our withholding shares from the restricted stock when it vests, the compensation committee designed Mr. Peters award so that he could elect to receive a portion of the value of the award in cash in order to satisfy his tax obligations. In addition, in connection with entering into his new employment agreement, Mr. Peters received a one-time signing-bonus of 50,000 shares of our common stock, of which Mr. Peters elected to receive 25,000 fully-vested shares of our common stock and a \$250,000 cash payment. For additional information regarding these grants, see the Grants of Plan-Based Award table and the narrative following such table later in this prospectus.

We provide long-term equity incentive awards to Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom in the form of restricted stock units. Like restricted stock, the restricted stock units provide a strong retention element to their compensation packages. However, restricted stock units do not entitle the holder to distributions.

Employment Agreements. As mentioned above, in 2009, we entered into employment agreements with Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom and a new employment agreement with Mr. Peters. In considering the appropriate terms of the employment agreements, the compensation committee focused on the increased duties and responsibilities of such individuals under self-management. Each of these executives has played and will continue to play a major role in hiring, supervising and overseeing our employees, the transition and implementation of self-management and the post-transition management of our company. In particular, as part of and as a result of this transition, the role of Mr. Peters, as our Chief Executive Officer and President, has been significantly expanded on a number of levels. Each of the employment agreements also specifies the payments and benefits to which Messrs. Peters and Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt are entitled upon a termination of employment for specified reasons. For additional information regarding the potential severance payments to our named executive officers, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control later in this prospectus.

Summary Compensation Table

The summary compensation table below reflects the total compensation earned by our named executive officers for the years ended December 31, 2008, and December 31, 2009. We did not employ any other executive officer other than Mr. Peters for the year ended December 31, 2008.

				Non-Equity Incentive			
					Plan	All Other	
				Stock Awards	Co	mpensatio	n
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(4)	(\$) (5)	Compensation (\$)	(\$) (7)	Total (\$)
Scott D. Peters	2009	504,753	1,200,000	750,000	375,000(6)	4,935	2,834,688
Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	2008	148,333 (3)	58,333	400,000		2,252	608,918
Kellie S. Pruitt(1)	2009	168,942	125,000	250,000		3,022	546,964

Chief Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)

Mark D. Engstrom(2) 2009 252,403 110,000 400,000 26,589 788,992

Executive Vice President

Acquisitions

- (1) Ms. Pruitt was appointed as Chief Accounting Officer in January, 2009, as Treasurer in April, 2009, and as Secretary in July 2009.
- (2) Mr. Engstrom was appointed as Executive Vice President Acquisitions in July, 2009.
- (3) Reflects (a) \$90,000 received pursuant to Mr. Peters consulting arrangement with us from August 1, 2008, through October 31, 2008, and (b) \$58,333 received as base salary pursuant to his 2008 employment agreement with us from November 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008.

77

Table of Contents

- (4) Reflects the annual cash bonuses earned by our named executive officers for the applicable year.
- (5) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted to the named executive officers in the reported year, determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC Topic 718 Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718). For information regarding the grant date fair value of awards of unrestricted stock, restricted stock and restricted stock units, see Note 14, Stockholders Equity (Deficit), to our accompanying consolidated financial statements.
- (6) Reflects two restricted cash awards that Mr. Peters elected to receive in lieu of a grant of restricted shares. Under one award, \$125,000 was fully-vested on the date of grant and \$375,000 remains subject to vesting. Under the second award, \$250,000 fully vested at issuance. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table and the narrative following the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for additional information regarding this award.
- (7) Amounts included in this column for 2009 include payments for 100% of the premiums for health care coverage under our group health plan for each of the named executive officers in the following amounts: Mr. Peters, \$4,935; Ms. Pruitt, \$3,022; and Mr. Engstrom, \$4,935. Also includes for Mr. Engstrom relocation expenses of \$21,654. Such amounts reflect the aggregate cost to us of providing the benefit.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table presents information concerning plan-based awards granted to our named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2009. All awards were granted pursuant to the NNN Healthcare/Office REIT, Inc. 2006 Incentive Plan (the 2006 Incentive Plan). The narrative following the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table provides additional information regarding the awards reflected in this table.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table in Fiscal Year 2009

		Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)		All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and	
Nama	Grant	Thread ald (\$) Target (\$)	Marriana (\$)	T	Option Awards	
Name	Date	Threshold (\$) Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Units (#)	(\$) (6)	
Mr. Peters	07/01/09	500,000(1	1)			
	07/01/09)		25,000(2)	250,000	
	07/01/09)		50,000(3)	500,000	
Ms. Pruitt	07/30/09)		25,000(4)	250,000	
Mr. Engstrom	8/31/09)		40,000(5)	400,000	

- (1) Reflects a restricted cash award pursuant to the 2006 Incentive Plan. There is no threshold, target or maximum payable pursuant to this award; instead, the award vests based on Mr. Peters continued service with us.
- (2) Reflects a grant of 25,000 fully-vested shares of our common stock.

- (3) Reflects a grant of 50,000 restricted shares of our common stock.
- (4) Reflects a grant of 25,000 restricted stock units.
- (5) Reflects a grant of 40,000 restricted stock units.
- (6) Computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718.

Material Terms of 2009 Compensation

We are party to an employment agreement with each of Messrs. Peters and Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt. The material terms of the employment agreements are described below.

Term. Mr. Peters employment agreement is for an initial term of four and one-half years, ending on December 31, 2013. Beginning on that date, and on each anniversary thereafter, the term of the agreement automatically will extend for additional one-year periods unless either party gives prior notice of non-renewal. Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s employment agreement each has an initial term of two years, ending on

78

Table of Contents

June 30, 2011. At our sole discretion, Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s agreement may be extended for an additional one-year term.

Base Salary and Benefits. The agreements provide for the following initial annual base salaries: Mr. Peters, \$500,000; Mr. Engstrom, \$275,000; and Ms. Pruitt, \$180,000. All salaries may be adjusted from year to year in the sole discretion of the compensation committee, provided that Mr. Peters base salary may not be reduced. The agreements provide that each of the executives will be eligible to earn an annual performance bonus in an amount determined at the sole discretion of the compensation committee for each year. Mr. Peters initial maximum bonus is 200% of base salary. Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s initial target bonus is 100% and 60%, respectively, of base salary. Each executive is entitled to all employee benefits and perquisites made available to our senior executives, provided that we will pay 100% of the premiums for each executive s health care coverage under our group health plan. Mr. Engstrom also received relocation expenses (up to a maximum of \$30,000) in connection with his move from Colorado to Arizona.

Equity Grants. Messrs. Peters and Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt received equity grants in connection with entering into their employment agreements. The equity awards have been or will be granted under and pursuant to the terms and conditions of the 2006 Incentive Plan. Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, on July 1, 2009, Mr. Peters was entitled to the following equity grants:

<u>Fully-Vested Shares.</u> Mr. Peters was entitled to receive a grant of 50,000 fully-vested shares. Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Peters elected to receive a \$250,000 cash payment, in lieu of one-half of such shares, and 25,000 fully-vested shares.

<u>Fiscal 2009 Restricted Shares.</u> Mr. Peters was entitled to receive a grant of 100,000 restricted shares of our common stock. Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Peters elected to receive a restricted cash award in lieu of 50,000 restricted shares, as described below. The restricted shares vest as follows: 12,500 on July 1, 2009 (the date of grant); 12,500 on July 1, 2010; 12,500 on July 1, 2011; and 12,500 on July 1, 2012, provided Mr. Peters is employed by us on each such vesting date.

<u>Fiscal 2009 Restricted Cash Award.</u> As described above, Mr. Peters elected to receive a restricted cash award in lieu of 50,000 restricted shares. The restricted cash award is equal to \$500,000, the fair market value of the foregone restricted shares on the date of grant, and is subject to the same restrictions and vesting schedule as the foregone restricted shares. Accordingly, the restricted cash award vests as follows: \$125,000 vested on July 1, 2009 (the date of grant), \$125,000 on July 2, 2010; \$125,000 on July 1, 2011; and \$125,000 on July 1, 2012, provided Mr. Peters is employed by us on each such vesting date.

<u>Future Restricted Share Grants and Restricted Cash Awards.</u> Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Peters is entitled to receive on each of the first three anniversaries of the effective date of the agreement, an additional 100,000 restricted shares of our common stock, which will vest in equal installments on the grant date and on each anniversary of the grant date during the balance of the term of the employment agreement, provided he is employed by us on each such vesting date. As with the 2009 grant, Mr. Peters may in his sole discretion elect to receive a restricted cash award in lieu of up to one-half of each grant of restricted shares (i.e., up to 50,000 shares), which restricted cash award will be equal to the fair market value of the foregone restricted shares and will be subject to the same restrictions and vesting schedule as the foregone restricted shares.

Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, on August 31, 2009, Mr. Engstrom received a grant of 40,000 restricted stock units. The restricted stock units will vest and convert to shares of our common stock in equal annual installments of 331/3% each, on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, provided he is employed

by us on each such vesting date.

Pursuant to the terms of her employment agreement, on July 30, 2009, Ms. Pruitt received a grant of 25,000 restricted stock units. The restricted stock units will vest and convert to shares of our common stock in equal annual installments of 331/3% each, on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, provided she is employed by us on each such vesting date.

79

Table of Contents

Mr. Peters shares of restricted stock and restricted cash award(s) and Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s restricted stock units will become immediately vested and, with respect to the restricted stock units, convert to shares of our common stock, upon the earlier occurrence of (1) their termination of employment by reason of death or disability, (2) their termination of employment by us without cause or by the executive for good reason (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement), or (3) a change in control (as defined in the 2006 Incentive Plan).

Severance. Each of the employment agreements also specifies the payments and benefits to which Messrs. Peters and Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt are entitled upon a termination of employment for specified reasons. See Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control, later in this prospectus, for a description of these benefits.

Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table presents information concerning outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers as of December 31, 2009. Our named executive officers do not hold any option awards.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End

	Stock Awards				
	Number of Shares or				
	Units of	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not			
	Stock That Have				
Name	Not Vested (#)	Vested (\$)(5)			
Mr. Peters	26,667(1)	266,670			
	37,500(2)	375,000			
Ms. Pruitt	25,000(3)	250,000			
Mr. Engstrom	40,000(4)	400,000			

- (1) Reflects restricted shares of our common stock, which vest and become non-forfeitable in equal installments on each of November 14, 2010, and November 14, 2011, provided Mr. Peters is employed by us on each such vesting date.
- (2) Reflects restricted shares of our common stock, which vest and become non-forfeitable in equal installments on each of July 1, 2010, July 1, 2011 and July 1, 2012, provided Mr. Peters is employed by us on each such vesting date.
- (3) Reflects restricted stock units, which vest in equal annual installments on each of July 30, 2010, July 30, 2011, and July 30, 2012, provided Ms. Pruitt is employed by us on each such vesting date.
- (4) Reflects restricted stock units, which vest in equal annual installments on each of August 31, 2009, August 31, 2010, and August 31, 2011, provided Mr. Engstrom is employed by us on each such vesting date.
- (5) Calculated using the per share price of shares of our common stock as of the close of business on December 31, 2009, based upon the price per share offered in our initial public offering (\$10).

Table of Contents

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table shows the number of shares acquired and the value realized upon vesting of stock awards for each of the named executive officers. Our named executive officers do not hold any option awards.

Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2009

	Stock Awards Number of				
	Shares Acquired on	Value Realized			
Named Executive Officer	Vesting (#)	on Vesting (\$)			
Mr. Peters	13,333(1) 37,500(2)	133,330 375,000			
Ms. Pruitt Mr. Engstrom	21,411(=)	2,2,333			

- (1) Reflects shares that vested pursuant to the terms of Mr. Peters restricted stock grant on November 14, 2008.
- (2) Reflects shares that vested pursuant to the terms of Mr. Peters restricted stock grant on July 1, 2009.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

<u>Summary of Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment.</u> As mentioned earlier in this prospectus, we are party to an employment agreement with each of our named executive officers, which provide benefits to the executive in the event of his or her termination of employment under certain conditions. The amount of the benefits varies depending on the reason for the termination, as explained below.

Termination without Cause; Resignation for Good Reason. If we terminate the executive s employment without Cause, or he or she resigns for Good Reason (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement), the executive will be entitled to the following benefits:

in the case of Mr. Peters, a lump sum severance payment equal to (a) the sum of (1) three times his then-current base salary plus (2) an amount equal to the average of the annual bonuses earned prior to the termination date (if termination occurs in the first year, the bonus will be calculated at \$1,000,000), multiplied by (b) (1) if the date of termination occurs during the initial term, the greater of one, or the number of full calendar months remaining in the initial term, divided by 12, or (2) if the date of termination occurs during a renewal term after December 31, 2013, 1; provided that in no event may the severance benefit be less than \$3,000,000;

in the case of Mr. Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt, a lump sum severance payment equal to two times his or her then-current base salary;

continued health care coverage under COBRA for 18 months, in the case of Mr. Peters, or six months, in the case of Mr. Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt, with all premiums paid by us; and

immediate vesting of Mr. Peters shares of restricted stock and restricted cash award(s) and Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s restricted stock units.

Cause, as defined in the employment agreements, generally means: (i) the executive s conviction of or entering into a plea of guilty or no contest to a felony or a crime involving moral turpitude or the intentional commission of any other act or omission involving dishonesty or fraud that is materially injurious to us; (ii) the executive s substantial and repeated failure to perform his or her duties; (iii) with respect to Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom, gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of the executive s duties which materially injures us or our reputation; or (iv) with respect to Ms. Pruitt and Mr. Engstrom, the executive s willful breach of the material covenants of his or her employment agreement.

Good Reason, as defined in Mr. Peters employment agreement generally means, in the absence of his written consent: (i) a material diminution in his authority, duties or responsibilities; (ii) a material diminution in the his base salary; (iii) relocation more than 35 miles from Scottsdale, Arizona; or (iv) a material

81

Table of Contents

diminution in the authority, duties, or responsibilities of the supervisor to whom he is required to report, including a requirement that he report to a corporate officer or employee instead of reporting directly to the Board. Good Reason as defined in Ms. Pruitt s and Mr. Engstrom s employment agreements, generally means, in the absence of a written consent of the executive: (i) except for executive nonperformance, a material diminution in the executive s authority, duties or responsibilities (provided that this provision will not apply if executive s then-current base salary is kept in place) or (ii) except in connection with a material decrease in our business, a diminution in the executive s base salary in excess of 30%.

Disability. If we terminate the executive s employment by reason of his or her disability, in addition to receiving his or her accrued rights, such as earned but unpaid base salary and any earned but unpaid benefits under company incentive plans, the executive will be entitled to continued health care coverage under COBRA, with all premiums paid by us, for 18 months, in the case of Mr. Peters, or six months, in the case of Mr. Engstrom or Ms. Pruitt. In addition, Mr. Peters shares of restricted stock and restricted cash award(s) and Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s restricted stock units will become immediately vested.

Death; For Cause; Resignation without Good Reason. In the event of a termination due to death, cause or resignation without good reason, an executive will receive his or her accrued rights, but he or she will not be entitled to receive severance benefits under the agreement. In the event of the executive s death, Mr. Peters shares of restricted stock and restricted cash award(s) and Mr. Engstrom s and Ms. Pruitt s restricted stock units will become immediately vested.

Non-Compete Agreement. Each of Messrs. Peters and Engstrom and Ms. Pruitt entered into a non-compete and non-solicitation agreement with us. These agreements generally require the executives to refrain from competing with us within the United States and soliciting our customers, vendors, or employees during employment through the occurrence of a liquidity event. The agreements also limit the executives—ability to disclose or use any of our confidential business information or practices.

The following table summarizes the value of the termination payments and benefits that each of our named executive officers would receive if he or she had terminated employment on December 31, 2009 under the circumstances shown. The amounts shown in the tables do not include accrued but unpaid salary, earned annual bonus for 2009, or payments and benefits to the extent they are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to salaried employees generally upon termination of employment.

	Termination for Cause or Resignation without Good Reason (\$)		Termination without Cause or Resignation For Good Reason (\$)				
					Death (\$)	Disability (\$)	
Mr. Peters							
Cash Severance(1)	\$		\$	10,000,000	\$	\$	
Benefit Continuation(2)				20,448			20,448
Value of Unvested Equity Awards(3)				641,670	641,670		641,670
Value of Unvested Restricted Cash				375,000	375,000		375,000
Awards(4)	ф	0	ф	44.035.440	φ 4.04 <i>c</i> c= 0	ф	4 00 7 440
TOTAL	\$	0	\$	11,037,118	\$ 1,016,670	\$	1,037,118
Ms. Pruitt							

 Cash Severance
 \$
 360,000
 \$

 Benefit Continuation(2)
 7,402