MORGAN STANLEY Form FWP February 04, 2019

February 2019

Preliminary Terms No. 1,573

Registration Statement Nos. 333-221595; 333-221595-01

Dated February 1, 2019

Filed pursuant to Rule 433

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Structured Investments

Opportunities in International Equities

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 8, 2020

Fully and Unconditionally Guaranteed by Morgan Stanley

Principal at Risk Securities

The securities are unsecured obligations of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC ("MSFL") and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Morgan Stanley. The securities will pay no interest, do not guarantee any return of principal at maturity and have the terms described in the accompanying product supplement for Participation Securities, index supplement and prospectus, as supplemented or modified by this document. At maturity, if the underlying index has **appreciated** in value, investors will receive the stated principal amount of their investment plus a return reflecting 100% of the upside performance of the underlying index. If the underlying index **depreciates** in value but the final index value is greater than or equal to the trigger level, investors will receive the stated principal amount of their investment. However, if the underlying index has **depreciated** in value so that the final index value is less than the trigger level, investors will lose a significant portion or all of their investment, resulting in a 1% loss for every 1% decline in the index value over the term of the securities. Under these circumstances, the payment at maturity will be less than 70% of the stated principal amount and could be zero. Accordingly, you may lose your entire investment. The securities are for investors who seek an equity index-based return and who are willing to risk their principal and forgo current income in exchange for the limited protection against loss that applies only if the final index value is greater than or equal to the trigger level. **Investors may lose their entire initial investment in the securities**. The securities are notes issued as part of MSFL's Series A Global Medium-Term Notes program.

All payments are subject to our credit risk. If we default on our obligations, you could lose some or all of your investment. These securities are not secured obligations and you will not have any security interest in, or otherwise have any access to, any underlying reference asset or assets.

SUMMARY TERMS

Issuer: Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Guarantor: Morgan Stanley

Maturity date: May 8, 2020

Underlying index: EURO STOXX 50[®] Index

Aggregate principal amount: \$

If the final index value is *greater than* the initial index

value: \$1,000 + upside payment

If the final index value is *less than or equal to* the initial index value but is *greater than or equal to* the trigger level:

\$1,000

Payment at maturity:

Agent:

If the final index value is *less than* the trigger level: \$1,000

× index performance factor

Under these circumstances, the payment at maturity will be less than the stated principal amount of \$1,000 and will represent a loss of more than 30%, and possibly all, of

your investment.

Upside payment: $\$1,000 \times \text{participation rate} \times \text{index percent increase}$

Participation rate: 100%

Index percent increase: (final index value – initial index value) / initial index value

Index performance factor: final index value / initial index value

Initial index value: 3,159.43, which is the index closing value on January 31,

2019

Final index value: The index closing value on the valuation date

Trigger level: 2.211.601, which is 70% of the initial index value

Valuation date: April 30, 2020, subject to adjustment for non-index

business days and certain market disruption events

Stated principal amount: \$1,000 per security

Issue price: \$1,000 per security (see "Commissions and issue price"

below)

Pricing date: February 1, 2019

Original issue date: February 8, 2019 (5 business days after the pricing date)

CUSIP / ISIN: 61768DN50 / US61768DN500

Listing: The securities will not be listed on any securities exchange.

Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS & Co."), an affiliate of

MSFL and a wholly owned subsidiary of Morgan

Stanley. See "Supplemental information regarding plan of

distribution; conflicts of interest."

Approximately \$974.40 per security, or within \$10.00 of

Estimated value on the pricing date: that estimate. See "Investment Summary" beginning on page

2.

Commissions and issue price: Price to public Agent's commissions⁽¹⁾ $\frac{\text{Proceeds}}{\text{to us}^{(2)}}$

Per security	\$1,000	\$10	\$990
Total	\$	\$	\$

(1) Selected dealers and their financial advisors will collectively receive from the agent, MS & Co., a fixed sales commission of \$10 for each security they sell. See "Supplemental information regarding plan of distribution; conflicts of interest." For additional information, see "Plan of Distribution (Conflicts of Interest)" in the accompanying product supplement.

(2) See "Use of proceeds and hedging" on page 13.

The securities involve risks not associated with an investment in ordinary debt securities. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 5.

The Securities and Exchange Commission and state securities regulators have not approved or disapproved these securities, or determined if this document or the accompanying product supplement, index supplement and prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The securities are not deposits or savings accounts and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency or instrumentality, nor are they obligations of, or guaranteed by, a bank.

You should read this document together with the related product supplement, index supplement and prospectus, each of which can be accessed via the hyperlinks below. Please also see "Additional Terms of the Securities" and "Additional Information About the Securities" at the end of this document.

References to "we," "us" and "our" refer to Morgan Stanley or MSFL, or Morgan Stanley and MSFL collectively, as the context requires.

<u>Product Supplement for Participation Securities dated November 16, 2017</u>

<u>Index Supplement dated November 16, 2017</u>

Prospectus dated November 16, 2017

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC					
Trigger Participation Securities Based	on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 8, 2020				
Principal at Risk Securities					
Investment Summary					
Trigger Participation Securities					
Principal at Risk Securities					
The Trigger Participation Securities B "securities") can be used:	ased on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 8, 2020 (the				
§ To achieve similar le	vels of upside exposure to the underlying index as a direct investment				
To provide limited protection against a loss of principal in the event of a decline of the underlying index as of the valuation date but only if the final index value is greater than or equal to the trigger level					
Maturity:	1.25 years				
Participation rate:	100%				

Participation rate:

Trigger level: 70% of the initial index value

None. You could lose your entire initial investment in the Minimum payment at maturity:

securities.

None Interest:

The original issue price of each security is \$1,000. This price includes costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the securities, which are borne by you, and, consequently, the estimated value of the securities on the pricing date will be less than \$1,000. We estimate that the value of each security on the pricing date will be approximately \$974.40, or within \$10.00 of that estimate. Our estimate of the value of the securities as determined on the pricing date will be set forth in the final pricing supplement.

What goes into the estimated value on the pricing date?

In valuing the securities on the pricing date, we take into account that the securities comprise both a debt component and a performance-based component linked to the underlying index. The estimated value of the securities is

determined using our own pricing and valuation models, market inputs and assumptions relating to the underlying index, instruments based on the underlying index, volatility and other factors including current and expected interest rates, as well as an interest rate related to our secondary market credit spread, which is the implied interest rate at which our conventional fixed rate debt trades in the secondary market.

What determines the economic terms of the securities?

In determining the economic terms of the securities, including the participation rate and the trigger level, we use an internal funding rate, which is likely to be lower than our secondary market credit spreads and therefore advantageous to us. If the issuing, selling, structuring and hedging costs borne by you were lower or if the internal funding rate were higher, one or more of the economic terms of the securities would be more favorable to you.

What is the relationship between the estimated value on the pricing date and the secondary market price of the securities?

The price at which MS & Co. purchases the securities in the secondary market, absent changes in market conditions, including those related to the underlying index, may vary from, and be lower than, the estimated value on the pricing date, because the secondary market price takes into account our secondary market credit spread as well as the bid-offer spread that MS & Co. would charge in a secondary market transaction of this type and other factors. However, because the costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the securities are not fully deducted upon issuance, for a period of up to 6 months following the issue date, to the extent that MS & Co. may buy or sell the securities in the secondary market, absent changes in market conditions, including those related to the underlying index, and to our secondary market credit spreads, it would do so based on values higher than the estimated value. We expect that those higher values will also be reflected in your brokerage account statements.

MS & Co. may, but is not obligated to, make a market in the securities, and, if it once chooses to make a market, may cease doing so at any time.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

Key Investment Rationale

The securities offer exposure to any positive performance of the underlying index. At maturity, an investor will receive an amount in cash based upon the closing value of the underlying index on the valuation date. The securities are unsecured obligations of ours, and all payments on the securities are subject to our credit risk. **Investors may lose their entire initial investment in the securities.**

Trigger Feature	At maturity, even if the underlying index has declined over the term of the securities, you will receive your stated principal amount but only if the final index value is greater than or equal to the trigger level.
Upside Scenario	The final index value is greater than the initial index value, and, at maturity, the securities redeem for the stated principal amount of \$1,000 <i>plus</i> a return reflecting 100% of the increase in the value of the underlying index.
Par Scenario	The final index value is less than or equal to the initial index value but is greater than or equal to the trigger level. In this case, you receive the stated principal amount of \$1,000 at maturity even though the underlying index has depreciated.
Downside Scenario	The final index value is less than the trigger level. In this case, the securities redeem for at least 30% less than the stated principal amount, and this decrease will be by an amount proportionate to the full decline in the value of the underlying index over the term of the securities.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC
Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 8, 2020
Principal at Risk Securities
How the Securities Work
Payoff Diagram
The payoff diagram below illustrates the payment at maturity on the securities based on the following terms:
Stated principal amount: \$1,000 per security
Participation rate: 100%
Trigger level: 70% of the initial index value
Securities Payoff Diagram
How it works
Upside Scenario: If the final index value is greater than the initial index value, investors will receive the \$1,000 stated principal amount plus 100% of the appreciation of the underlying index over the term of the securities.
§ If the underlying index appreciates 5%, investors will receive a 5% return, or \$1,050.00 per security.
Par Scenario: If the final index value is less than or equal to the initial index value but is greater than or equal to the trigger level, investors will receive the \$1,000 stated principal amount.
§ If the underlying index depreciates 20%, investors will receive the \$1,000 stated principal amount.
Downside Scenario: If the final index value is less than the trigger level, investors will receive an amount § significantly less than the \$1,000 stated principal amount, based on a 1% loss of principal for each 1% decline in the underlying index.

 \S If the underlying index depreciates 80%, investors will lose 80% of their principal and receive only \$200 per security at maturity, or 20% of the stated principal amount.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

Risk Factors

The following is a non-exhaustive list of certain key risk factors for investors in the securities. For further discussion of these and other risks, you should read the section entitled "Risk Factors" in the accompanying product supplement for Participation Securities, index supplement and prospectus. You should also consult with your investment, legal, tax, accounting and other advisers in connection with your investment in the securities.

The securities do not pay interest or guarantee return of any principal. The terms of the securities differ from those of ordinary debt securities in that the securities do not pay interest or guarantee payment of any principal at maturity. If the final index value is less than the trigger level (which is 70% of the initial index level), the payout at maturity will be an amount in cash that is at least 30% less than the \$1,000 stated principal amount of each security, and this decrease will be by an amount proportionate to the full decrease in the value of the underlying index. There is no minimum payment at maturity on the securities, and you could lose your entire investment.

The market price will be influenced by many unpredictable factors. Several factors, many of which are beyond our control, will influence the value of the securities in the secondary market and the price at which MS & Co. may be willing to purchase or sell the securities in the secondary market, including: the value, volatility (frequency and magnitude of changes in value) and dividend yield of the underlying index, interest and yield rates, time remaining to maturity, geopolitical conditions and economic, financial, political and regulatory or judicial events that affect the underlying index or equities markets generally and which may affect the final index value of the underlying index, and any actual or anticipated changes in our credit ratings or credit spreads. The value of the underlying index may be, and has recently been, volatile, and we can give you no assurance that the volatility will lessen. See "EURO STOXX 50® Index Overview" below. You may receive less, and possibly significantly less, than the stated principal amount per security if you try to sell your securities prior to maturity.

§ There are risks associated with investments in securities linked to the value of foreign equity securities. The securities are linked to the value of foreign equity securities. Investments in securities linked to the value of foreign equity securities involve risks associated with the securities markets in those countries, including risks of volatility in those markets, governmental intervention in those markets and cross-shareholdings in companies in certain countries. Also, there is generally less publicly available information about foreign companies than about U.S. companies that are subject to the reporting requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and foreign companies are subject to accounting, auditing and financial reporting standards and requirements different from those applicable to U.S. reporting companies. The prices of securities issued in foreign markets may be affected by political, economic, financial and social factors in those countries, or global regions, including changes in government, economic and fiscal policies and currency exchange laws. Local securities markets may trade a small number of securities and may be unable to respond effectively to increases in trading volume, potentially making prompt liquidation of holdings difficult or impossible at times. Moreover, the economies in such countries may differ favorably or unfavorably from the economy in the United States in such respects as growth of gross national product, rate of inflation, capital reinvestment, resources, self-sufficiency and balance of payment

positions.

The securities are subject to our credit risk, and any actual or anticipated changes to our credit ratings or credit spreads may adversely affect the market value of the securities. You are dependent on our ability to pay all amounts due on the securities at maturity and therefore you are subject to our credit risk. If we default on our § obligations under the securities, your investment would be at risk and you could lose some or all of your investment. As a result, the market value of the securities prior to maturity will be affected by changes in the market's view of our creditworthiness. Any actual or anticipated decline in our credit ratings or increase in the credit spreads charged by the market for taking our credit risk is likely to adversely affect the market value of the securities.

As a finance subsidiary, MSFL has no independent operations and will have no independent assets. As a finance subsidiary, MSFL has no independent operations beyond the issuance and administration of its securities and will have no independent assets available for distributions to holders of MSFL securities if they make claims in respect of such securities in a bankruptcy, resolution or similar

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

§

proceeding. Accordingly, any recoveries by such holders will be limited to those available under the related guarantee by Morgan Stanley and that guarantee will rank *pari passu* with all other unsecured, unsubordinated obligations of Morgan Stanley. Holders will have recourse only to a single claim against Morgan Stanley and its assets under the guarantee. Holders of securities issued by MSFL should accordingly assume that in any such proceedings they would not have any priority over and should be treated *pari passu* with the claims of other unsecured, unsubordinated creditors of Morgan Stanley, including holders of Morgan Stanley-issued securities.

The amount payable on the securities is not linked to the value of the underlying index at any time other than the valuation date. The final index value will be the index closing value on the valuation date, subject to adjustment for non-index business days and certain market disruption events. Even if the value of the underlying index appreciates prior to the valuation date but then drops by the valuation date, the payment at maturity will be less, and may be significantly less, than it would have been had the payment at maturity been linked to the value of the underlying index prior to such drop. Although the actual value of the underlying index on the stated maturity date or at other times during the term of the securities may be higher than the final index value, the payment at maturity will be based solely on the index closing value on the valuation date.

Investing in the securities is not equivalent to investing in the underlying index. Investing in the securities is not equivalent to investing in the underlying index or its component stocks. As an investor in the securities, you will not have voting rights or rights to receive dividends or other distributions or any other rights with respect to stocks that constitute the underlying index.

Adjustments to the underlying index could adversely affect the value of the securities. The underlying index publisher may add, delete or substitute the stocks constituting the underlying index or make other methodological changes that could change the value of the underlying index. The underlying index publisher may discontinue or suspend calculation or publication of the underlying index at any time. In these circumstances, the calculation agent will have the sole discretion to substitute a successor index that is comparable to the discontinued underlying index and is not precluded from considering indices that are calculated and published by the calculation agent or any of its affiliates. If the calculation agent determines that there is no appropriate successor index, the payment at maturity on the securities will be an amount based on the closing prices at maturity of the securities composing the underlying index at the time of such discontinuance, without rebalancing or substitution, computed by the calculation agent in accordance with the formula for calculating the underlying index last in effect prior to discontinuance of the underlying index.

§ The rate we are willing to pay for securities of this type, maturity and issuance size is likely to be lower than the rate implied by our secondary market credit spreads and advantageous to us. Both the lower rate and the inclusion of costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the securities in the original issue price reduce the economic terms of the securities, cause the estimated value of the securities to be less than the original issue price and will adversely affect secondary market prices. Assuming no change in market conditions or any other relevant factors, the prices, if any, at which dealers, including MS & Co., may be willing to purchase the securities in secondary market transactions will likely be significantly lower than the original issue price, because

secondary market prices will exclude the issuing, selling, structuring and hedging-related costs that are included in the original issue price and borne by you and because the secondary market prices will reflect our secondary market credit spreads and the bid-offer spread that any dealer would charge in a secondary market transaction of this type as well as other factors.

The inclusion of the costs of issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the securities in the original issue price and the lower rate we are willing to pay as issuer make the economic terms of the securities less favorable to you than they otherwise would be.

However, because the costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the securities are not fully deducted upon issuance, for a period of up to 6 months following the issue date, to the extent that MS & Co. may buy or sell the securities in the secondary market, absent changes in market conditions, including those related to the underlying index, and to our secondary market credit spreads, it would do so based on values higher than the estimated value, and we expect that those higher values will also be reflected in your brokerage account statements.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

The estimated value of the securities is determined by reference to our pricing and valuation models, which may differ from those of other dealers and is not a maximum or minimum secondary market price. These pricing and valuation models are proprietary and rely in part on subjective views of certain market inputs and certain assumptions about future events, which may prove to be incorrect. As a result, because there is no market-standard way to value these types of securities, our models may yield a higher estimated value of the securities than those § generated by others, including other dealers in the market, if they attempted to value the securities. In addition, the estimated value on the pricing date does not represent a minimum or maximum price at which dealers, including MS & Co., would be willing to purchase your securities in the secondary market (if any exists) at any time. The value of your securities at any time after the date of this document will vary based on many factors that cannot be predicted with accuracy, including our creditworthiness and changes in market conditions. See also "The market price will be influenced by many unpredictable factors" above.

The securities will not be listed on any securities exchange and secondary trading may be limited. The securities will not be listed on any securities exchange. Therefore, there may be little or no secondary market for the securities. MS & Co. may, but is not obligated to, make a market in the securities and, if it once chooses to make a market, may cease doing so at any time. When it does make a market, it will generally do so for transactions of routine secondary market size at prices based on its estimate of the current value of the securities, taking into account its bid/offer spread, our credit spreads, market volatility, the notional size of the proposed sale, the cost of unwinding any related hedging positions, the time remaining to maturity and the likelihood that it will be able to resell the securities. Even if there is a secondary market, it may not provide enough liquidity to allow you to trade or sell the securities easily. Since other broker-dealers may not participate significantly in the secondary market for the securities, the price at which you may be able to trade your securities is likely to depend on the price, if any, at which MS & Co. is willing to transact. If, at any time, MS & Co. were to cease making a market in the securities, it is likely that there would be no secondary market for the securities. Accordingly, you should be willing to hold your securities to maturity.

The calculation agent, which is a subsidiary of Morgan Stanley and an affiliate of MSFL, will make determinations with respect to the securities. As calculation agent, MS & Co. has determined the initial index value and the trigger level, will determine the final index value, including whether the underlying index has decreased to below the trigger level, and will calculate the amount of cash, if any, you will receive at maturity. Moreover, certain determinations made by MS & Co., in its capacity as calculation agent, may require it to exercise discretion and make subjective judgments, such as with respect to the occurrence or non-occurrence of market disruption events and the selection of a successor index or calculation of the final index value in the event of a market disruption event or discontinuance of the underlying index. These potentially subjective determinations may adversely affect the payout to you at maturity, if any. For further information regarding these types of determinations, see "Description of Participation Securities—Postponement of Valuation Date(s)" and "—Calculation Agent and Calculations" and related definitions in the accompanying product supplement. In addition, MS & Co. has determined the estimated value of the securities on the pricing date.

§ Hedging and trading activity by our affiliates could potentially adversely affect the value of the securities. One or more of our affiliates and/or third-party dealers have carried out, and will continue to carry out, hedging activities related to the securities (and to other instruments linked to the underlying index or its component stocks), including

trading in the stocks that constitute the underlying index as well as in other instruments related to the underlying index. As a result, these entities may be unwinding or adjusting hedge positions during the term of the securities, and the hedging strategy may involve greater and more frequent dynamic adjustments to the hedge as the valuation date approaches. MS & Co. and some of our other affiliates also trade the stocks that constitute the underlying index and other financial instruments related to the underlying index on a regular basis as part of their general broker-dealer and other businesses. Any of these hedging or trading activities on or prior to January 31, 2019 could have increased the initial index value, and, therefore, could have increased the trigger level, which is the level at or above which the underlying index must close on the valuation date so that investors do not suffer a significant loss on their initial investment in the securities. Additionally, such hedging or trading activities during the term of the securities, including on the valuation date, could potentially affect whether the value of the underlying index on the valuation date is below the trigger level, and, therefore, whether an investor would receive significantly less than the stated principal amount of the securities at maturity.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

The U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the securities are uncertain. Please read the discussion under "Additional Information—Tax considerations" in this document and the discussion under "United States Federal Taxation" in the accompanying product supplement for participation securities (together, the "Tax Disclosure Sections") concerning the U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the securities. If the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") were successful in asserting an alternative treatment, the timing and character of income on the securities might differ significantly from the tax treatment described in the Tax Disclosure Sections. For example, under one possible treatment, the IRS could seek to recharacterize the securities as debt instruments. In that event, U.S. Holders would be required to accrue into income original issue discount on the securities every year at a "comparable yield" determined at the time of issuance and recognize all income and gain in respect of the securities as ordinary income. Additionally, as discussed under "United States Federal Taxation—FATCA" in the accompanying product supplement for participation securities, the withholding rules commonly referred to as "FATCA" would apply to the securities if they were recharacterized as debt instruments. However, recently proposed regulations (the preamble to which specifies that taxpayers are permitted to rely on them pending finalization) eliminate the withholding requirement on payments of gross proceeds of a taxable disposition. The risk that financial instruments providing for buffers, triggers or similar downside protection features, such as the securities, would be recharacterized as debt is greater than the risk of recharacterization for comparable financial instruments that do not have such features. We do not plan to request a ruling from the IRS regarding the tax treatment of the securities, and the IRS or a court may not agree with the tax treatment described in the Tax Disclosure Sections.

In 2007, the U.S. Treasury Department and the IRS released a notice requesting comments on the U.S. federal income tax treatment of "prepaid forward contracts" and similar instruments. The notice focuses in particular on whether to require holders of these instruments to accrue income over the term of their investment. It also asks for comments on a number of related topics, including the character of income or loss with respect to these instruments; whether short-term instruments should be subject to any such accrual regime; the relevance of factors such as the exchange-traded status of the instruments and the nature of the underlying property to which the instruments are linked; the degree, if any, to which income (including any mandated accruals) realized by non-U.S. investors should be subject to withholding tax; and whether these instruments are or should be subject to the "constructive ownership" rule, which very generally can operate to recharacterize certain long-term capital gain as ordinary income and impose an interest charge. While the notice requests comments on appropriate transition rules and effective dates, any Treasury regulations or other guidance promulgated after consideration of these issues could materially and adversely affect the tax consequences of an investment in the securities, possibly with retroactive effect. Both U.S. and Non-U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the securities, including possible alternative treatments, the issues presented by this notice and any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

EURO STOXX 50® Index Overview

The EURO STOXX 50[®] Index was created by STOXX Limited, which is owned by Deutsche Börse AG and SIX Group AG. Publication of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index began on February 26, 1998, based on an initial index value of 1,000 at December 31, 1991. The EURO STOXX 50[®] Index is composed of 50 component stocks of market sector leaders from within the STOXX 600 Supersector Indices, which includes stocks selected from the Eurozone. The component stocks have a high degree of liquidity and represent the largest companies across all market sectors. For additional information about the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index, see the information set forth under "EURO STOXX 50[®] Index" in the accompanying index supplement.

Information as of market close on January 31, 2019:

Bloomberg Ticker Symbol: SX5E

Current Index Value: 3,159.43
52 Weeks Ago: 3,609.29
52 Week High (on 1/31/2018): 3,609.29
52 Week Low (on 12/27/2018): 2,937.36

The following graph sets forth the daily closing values of the underlying index for each quarter in the period from January 1, 2014 through January 31, 2019. The related table sets forth the published high and low closing values, as well as end-of-quarter closing values, of the underlying index for each quarter in the same period. The index closing value of the underlying index on January 31, 2019 was 3,159.43. We obtained the information in the table and graph below from Bloomberg Financial Markets, without independent verification. The underlying index has at times experienced periods of high volatility. You should not take the historical values of the underlying index as an indication of its future performance, and no assurance can be given as to the index closing value of the underlying index on the valuation date.

Underlying Index Historical Performance – Daily Index Closing Values

January 1, 2014 to January 31, 2019

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50® Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

EURO STOXX 50® Index	High	Low	Period End
2014			
First Quarter	3,172.43	2,962.49	3,161.60
Second Quarter	3,314.80	3,091.52	3,228.24
Third Quarter	3,289.75	3,006.83	3,225.93
Fourth Quarter	3,277.38	2,874.65	3,146.43
2015			
First Quarter	3,731.35	3,007.91	3,697.38
Second Quarter	3,828.78	3,424.30	3,424.30
Third Quarter	3,686.58	3,019.34	3,100.67
Fourth Quarter	3,506.45	3,069.05	3,267.52
2016			
First Quarter	3,267.52	2,680.35	3,004.93
Second Quarter	3,151.69	2,697.44	2,864.74
Third Quarter	3,091.66	2,761.37	3,002.24
Fourth Quarter	3,290.52	2,954.53	3,290.52
2017			
First Quarter	3,500.93	3,230.68	3,500.93
Second Quarter	3,658.79	3,409.78	3,441.88
Third Quarter	3,594.85	3,388.22	3,594.85
Fourth Quarter	3,697.40	3,503.96	3,503.96
2018			
First Quarter	3,672.29	3,278.72	3,361.50
Second Quarter	3,592.18	3,340.35	3,395.60
Third Quarter	3,527.18	3,293.36	3,399.20
Fourth Quarter	3,414.16	2,937.36	3,001.42
2019			
First Quarter (through January 31, 2019)	3,163.24	2,954.66	3,159.43

"EURO STOXX 50" and "STOXX are registered trademarks of STOXX Limited. For more information, see "EURO STOXX 50^{8} Index" in the accompanying index supplement.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

Additional Terms of the Securities

Please read this information in conjunction with the summary terms on the front cover of this document.

Additional Terms:

If the terms described herein are inconsistent with those described in the accompanying product supplement, index supplement or prospectus, the terms described herein shall control.

Underlying index

publisher:

STOXX Limited or any successor thereof

Denominations: \$1,000 per security and integral multiples thereof

Interest: None

Postponement of maturity date:

If the scheduled valuation date is not an index business day or if a market disruption event occurs on that day so that the valuation date as postponed falls less than two business days prior to the scheduled maturity date, the maturity date of the securities will be postponed to the second business day following that valuation date as postponed.

The Bank of New York Mellon Trustee:

Calculation agent: MS & Co.

Issuer notice to

In the event that the maturity date is postponed due to postponement of the valuation date, the registered security issuer shall give notice of such postponement and, once it has been determined, of the date to holders, the trustee which the maturity date has been rescheduled (i) to each registered holder of the securities by and the depositary: mailing notice of such postponement by first class mail, postage prepaid, to such registered holder's last address as it shall appear upon the registry books, (ii) to the trustee by facsimile confirmed by mailing such notice to the trustee by first class mail, postage prepaid, at its New York office and (iii) to The Depository Trust Company (the "depositary") by telephone or facsimile, confirmed by mailing such notice to the depositary by first class mail, postage prepaid. Any notice that is mailed to a registered holder of the securities in the manner herein provided shall be conclusively presumed to have been duly given to such registered holder, whether or not such registered holder receives the notice. The issuer shall give such notice as promptly as possible, and in no case later than (i) with respect to notice of postponement of the maturity date, the business day immediately preceding the scheduled maturity date and (ii) with respect to notice of the date to which the maturity date has been rescheduled, the business day immediately following the actual valuation date for determining the final index value.

> The issuer shall, or shall cause the calculation agent to, (i) provide written notice to the trustee and to the depositary of the amount of cash to be delivered with respect to each stated principal amount of the securities, on or prior to 10:30 a.m. (New York City time) on the business day

preceding the maturity date, and (ii) deliver the aggregate cash amount due with respect to the securities to the trustee for delivery to the depositary, as holder of the securities, on the maturity date.

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

Additional Information About the Securities

Additional Information: Minimum ticketing size:

\$1,000 / 1 security

Tax

There is uncertainty regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the securities due to the lack of governing authority. We intend to treat a security as a single financial contract that is an "open transaction" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In the opinion of our counsel, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, this treatment of the securities is reasonable under current considerations: law; however, our counsel has advised us that it is unable to conclude affirmatively that this treatment is more likely than not to be upheld, and that alternative treatments are possible. Because our counsel's opinion is based in part on market conditions as of the date of this document, it is subject to confirmation on the pricing date.

> Assuming this treatment of the securities is respected and subject to the discussion in "United States Federal Taxation" in the accompanying product supplement for participation securities, the following U.S. federal income tax consequences should result based on current law:

- § A U.S. Holder should not be required to recognize taxable income over the term of the securities prior to settlement, other than pursuant to a sale or exchange.
- § Upon sale, exchange or settlement of the securities, a U.S. Holder should recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the U.S. Holder's tax basis in the securities. Such gain or loss should be long-term capital gain or loss if the investor has held the securities for more than one year, and short-term capital gain or loss otherwise.

There is a substantial risk that the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") could seek to recharacterize the securities as debt instruments. In that event, U.S. Holders would be required to accrue into income original issue discount on the securities every year at a "comparable yield" determined at the time of issuance and recognize all income and gain in respect of the securities as ordinary income.

In 2007, the U.S. Treasury Department and the IRS released a notice requesting comments on the U.S. federal income tax treatment of "prepaid forward contracts" and similar instruments. The notice focuses in particular on whether to require holders of these instruments to accrue income over the term of their investment. It also asks for comments on a number of related topics, including the character of income or loss with respect to these instruments; whether short-term instruments should be subject to any such accrual regime; the relevance of factors such as the exchange-traded status of the instruments and the nature of the underlying property to which the instruments are linked; the degree, if any, to which income (including any mandated accruals) realized by non-U.S. investors should be subject to withholding tax; and whether these instruments are or should be subject to the

"constructive ownership" rule, which very generally can operate to recharacterize certain long-term capital gain as ordinary income and impose an interest charge. While the notice requests comments on appropriate transition rules and effective dates, any Treasury regulations or other guidance promulgated after consideration of these issues could materially and adversely affect the tax consequences of an investment in the securities, possibly with retroactive effect.

As discussed in the accompanying product supplement for participation securities, Section 871(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder ("Section 871(m)") generally impose a 30% (or a lower applicable treaty rate) withholding tax on dividend equivalents paid or deemed paid to Non-U.S. Holders with respect to certain financial instruments linked to U.S. equities or indices that include U.S. equities (each, an "Underlying Security"). Subject to certain exceptions, Section 871(m) generally applies to securities that substantially replicate the economic performance of one or more Underlying Securities, as determined based on tests set forth in the applicable Treasury regulations (a "Specified Security"). However, pursuant to an IRS notice, Section 871(m) will not apply to securities issued before January 1, 2021 that do not have a delta of one with respect to any Underlying Security. Based on the terms of the securities and current market conditions, we expect that the securities will not have a delta of one with respect to any Underlying Security on the pricing date. However, we will provide an updated determination in the final pricing supplement. Assuming that the securities do not have a delta of one with respect to any Underlying Security, our counsel is of the opinion that the securities should not be Specified Securities and, therefore, should not be subject to Section 871(m).

Our determination is not binding on the IRS, and the IRS may disagree with this determination. Section 871(m) is complex and its application may depend on your particular circumstances, including whether you enter into other transactions with respect to an Underlying Security. If withholding is required, we will not be required to pay any additional amounts with respect to the

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

amounts so withheld. You should consult your tax adviser regarding the potential application of Section 871(m) to the securities.

Both U.S. and non-U.S. investors considering an investment in the securities should read the discussion under "Risk Factors" in this document and the discussion under "United States Federal Taxation" in the accompanying product supplement for participation securities and consult their tax advisers regarding all aspects of the U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the securities, including possible alternative treatments, the issues presented by the aforementioned notice and any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction.

The discussion in the preceding paragraphs under "Tax considerations" and the discussion contained in the section entitled "United States Federal Taxation" in the accompanying product supplement for participation securities, insofar as they purport to describe provisions of U.S. federal income tax laws or legal conclusions with respect thereto, constitute the full opinion of Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP regarding the material U.S. federal tax consequences of an investment in the securities.

and hedging:

Use of proceeds The proceeds from the sale of the securities will be used by us for general corporate purposes. We will receive, in aggregate, \$1,000 per security issued, because, when we enter into hedging transactions in order to meet our obligations under the securities, our hedging counterparty will reimburse the cost of the agent's commissions. The costs of the securities borne by you and described beginning on page 2 above comprise the agent's commissions and the cost of issuing, structuring and hedging the securities.

> On or prior to January 31, 2019, we hedged our anticipated exposure in connection with the securities by entering into hedging transactions with our affiliates and/or third-party dealers. We expect our hedging counterparties to have taken positions in stocks of the underlying index and in futures or options contracts on the underlying index. Such purchase activity could have increased the initial index value, and, therefore, could have increased the trigger level, which is the level at or above which the underlying index must close on the valuation date so that investors do not suffer a significant loss on their initial investment in the securities. In addition, through our affiliates, we are likely to modify our hedge position throughout the term of the securities, including on the valuation date, by purchasing and selling the stocks constituting the underlying index, futures or options contracts on the underlying index or its component stocks listed on major securities markets or positions in any other available securities or instruments that we may wish to use in connection with such hedging activities. As a result, these entities may be unwinding or adjusting hedge positions during the term of the securities, and the hedging strategy may involve greater and more frequent

dynamic adjustments to the hedge as the valuation date approaches. We cannot give any assurance that our hedging activities will not affect the value of the underlying index, and, therefore, adversely affect the value of the securities or the payment you will receive at maturity, if any. For further information on our use of proceeds and hedging, see "Use of Proceeds and Hedging" in the accompanying product supplement for Participation Securities.

Each fiduciary of a pension, profit-sharing or other employee benefit plan subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA") (a "Plan"), should consider the fiduciary standards of ERISA in the context of the Plan's particular circumstances before authorizing an investment in the securities. Accordingly, among other factors, the fiduciary should consider whether the investment would satisfy the prudence and diversification requirements of ERISA and would be consistent with the documents and instruments governing the Plan.

Benefit plan investor considerations:

In addition, we and certain of our affiliates, including MS & Co., may each be considered a "party in interest" within the meaning of ERISA, or a "disqualified person" within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), with respect to many Plans, as well as many individual retirement accounts and Keogh plans (such accounts and plans, together with other plans, accounts and arrangements subject to Section 4975 of the Code, also "Plans"). ERISA Section 406 and Code Section 4975 generally prohibit transactions between Plans and parties in interest or disqualified persons. Prohibited transactions within the meaning of ERISA or the Code would likely arise, for example, if the securities are acquired by or with the assets of a Plan with respect to which MS & Co. or any of its affiliates is a service provider or other party in interest, unless the securities are acquired pursuant to an exemption from the "prohibited transaction" rules. A violation of these "prohibited transaction" rules could result in an excise tax or other liabilities under ERISA and/or Section 4975 of the Code for those persons, unless exemptive relief is available under an applicable statutory or administrative exemption.

The U.S. Department of Labor has issued five prohibited transaction class exemptions ("PTCEs") that may provide exemptive relief for direct or indirect prohibited transactions resulting from the purchase or holding of the securities. Those class exemptions are PTCE 96-23 (for certain transactions

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Trigger Participation Securities Based on the Value of the EURO STOXX 50[®] Index due May 8, 2020

Principal at Risk Securities

determined by in-house asset managers), PTCE 95-60 (for certain transactions involving insurance company general accounts), PTCE 91-38 (for certain transactions involving bank collective investment funds), PTCE 90-1 (for certain transactions involving insurance company separate accounts) and PTCE 84-14 (for certain transactions determined by independent qualified professional asset managers). In addition, ERISA Section 408(b)(17) and Section 4975(d)(20) of the Code provide an exemption for the purchase and sale of securities and the related lending transactions, provided that neither the issuer of the securities nor any of its affiliates has or exercises any discretionary authority or control or renders any investment advice with respect to the assets of the Plan involved in the transaction and provided further that the Plan pays no more, and receives no less, than "adequate consideration" in connection with the transaction (the so-called "service provider" exemption). There can be no assurance that any of these class or statutory exemptions will be available with respect to transactions involving the securities.

Casino Hotel	Resort	Casino	Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago ⁽²⁾
	Casino Hotel Council	Casino Casino Hotel Council Pluffs Black	Casino Casino Ameristar Casino Resort Casino Council Spa Hotel Council Black Vicksburg

Adult population — within 50 miles	2.0 million	1.6 million	750,000	2.2 million	400,000	5.8 million
Adult population — within 100 miles	2.9 million	2.1 million	1.3 million	3.2 million	1.2 million	9.0 million
	6	5	3	18	5	3

No. of												
market												
participants												
2011 annual												
market												
gaming	\$1,114.5	5	\$711.2		\$434.8		\$550.8	3	\$261.5		\$963.3	
revenue — \$ in												
millions												
2011 market	2.7	0%	(0.5	10%	1.3	0%	(1.5	10%	(3.2	10%	(5.9)%
growth rate	2.1	70	(0.5)70	1.3	70	(1.5)70	(3.2)70	(3.9)70
2011 market	24.8	0%	33.5	0%	38.9	0%	27.3	0%	45.5	0%	25.7	%
share	24.0	70	33.3	70	30.9	70	21.3	70	45.5	70	23.1	70
2010 market	25.9	0%	33.7	0%	37.2	0%	27.5	0%	43.8	0%	24.1	%
share	23.9	70	33.1	70	31.2	10	21.3	70	45.0	70	24.1	70
2011 market	#1		#1		#2		#1		#1		#2	
share rank	πι		πι		πΔ		πι		πι		πΔ	

The Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission reports the Black Hawk and Central City, Colorado markets

The primary market area for the Jackpot properties is Twin Falls, Idaho (located approximately 45 miles north of Jackpot) and Boise, Idaho (located approximately 150 miles from Jackpot). The primary market area comprises approximately 600,000 adults. The balance of the Jackpot properties' guests comes primarily from the northwestern United States and southwestern

7

⁽¹⁾ separately. The Black Hawk information in this table excludes eight casinos in Central City, adjacent to Black Hawk, which generated \$67.8 million in total gaming revenues in 2011.

In the Northwest Indiana market, there are a total of three operators, including Ameristar East Chicago (located in East Chicago, Hammond and Gary, Indiana), that generated \$1.0 billion in annual gaming revenues in 2011. In the

⁽²⁾ broader Chicagoland market, there are six additional state-licensed casinos operating in the states of Illinois and Indiana and one Native American casino in Michigan. The nine state-licensed casinos generated a total of \$2.1 billion in annual gaming revenues in 2011.

⁽³⁾ A new competitor opened a casino and entertainment facility on February 3, 2012 at the Kansas Speedway in Wyandotte County, Kansas.

Canada. As of December 31, 2011, the Jackpot properties had approximately 57% of the slot machines and 73% of the table game positions in the Jackpot market.

Competition

St. Charles

Ameristar St. Charles competes with five other gaming operations located in the metropolitan St. Louis area. Two of these competitors are located in Illinois. Illinois casinos are subject to higher gaming taxes than Missouri casinos. They are also subject to gaming position limitations and a statewide indoor smoking ban. Illinois casinos are allowed to extend gaming credit to their patrons, while Missouri regulations do not allow gaming credit to be given. The St. Louis market is currently insulated from other casino gaming markets, with no competitors within 100 miles. In December 2010, the Missouri Gaming Commission allowed an operator to commence construction of a new casino property in Cape Girardeau, Missouri, approximately 100 miles southeast of the St. Louis market. Construction of that property began in 2011 and is projected to open in December 2012, as the state's thirteenth casino. Assuming the successful completion and licensing of the Cape Girardeau property, no additional gaming licenses will be awarded in the State of Missouri, as the number of permitted casinos was capped at 13 by referendum in 2008.

We currently do not anticipate any new competition in the Illinois portion of the St. Louis market. However, increased competition for our St. Charles property would result if Illinois law is changed in the future to allow the operation of slot machines at the existing pari-mutuel racetrack near East St. Louis. This has been proposed from time to time by members of the Illinois legislature.

Kansas City

Ameristar Kansas City competes with five other gaming operations located in and around Kansas City, Missouri. The newest competitor began operations in February 2012 at the Kansas Speedway in Wyandotte County, Kansas, approximately 24 miles from Ameristar Kansas City. Ameristar Kansas City's location is the farthest east from the new casino, which may mitigate the impact on our casino. The shuttered pari-mutuel racetrack in Wyandotte County, Kansas has been authorized by the Kansas legislature to offer slot machines. However, the owner of the facility has opted not to reopen the facility and offer the machines due to the high tax rate imposed on these machines. If the race track were to reopen, we would face additional competition at Ameristar Kansas City.

Council Bluffs

Ameristar Council Bluffs operates one of three gaming licenses issued in the Council Bluffs gaming market pursuant to an operating agreement with Iowa West Racing Association. The two other licenses are operated by a single company and consist of another riverboat casino and a land-based casino with a pari-mutuel racetrack. The Council Bluffs market is insulated from other casino gaming markets, with the nearest competitor located approximately 90 miles away.

In 2007, the National Indian Gaming Commission (the "NIGC") approved the request of the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska to allow a five-acre parcel owned by the tribe in Carter Lake, Iowa to be utilized for gaming purposes. The parcel is located approximately five miles from Ameristar Council Bluffs. In 2008, in a lawsuit brought by the State of Nebraska and joined by the State of Iowa and the City of Council Bluffs, the federal district court reversed the NIGC's decision. The U.S. Department of the Interior appealed the district court ruling, and in 2010 the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the district court's decision and ordered the court to remand the matter to the NIGC for further consideration. The Court of Appeals directed the NIGC to revisit the issue, taking into consideration, among other things, a 2003 agreement between the State of Iowa and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. That agreement stated that the five-acre parcel would be utilized for a health center and not for gaming purposes. If the tribe is allowed to conduct gaming at this location, the additional competition would adversely affect our Council Bluffs casino.

Ameristar Black Hawk competes with 25 other gaming operations located in the Black Hawk and Central City gaming market in Colorado. Ameristar has the largest gaming floor and parking garage of any casino in the market. Of the other casinos in the market, only four are considered "large" operators, with over 750 slot machines. Ameristar's primary competitor is one of the first major casinos encountered when entering Black Hawk from Denver via State Route 119. This competitor's primary casino is connected via a skywalk to an adjacent casino the operator also owns, thereby

offering increased availability of hotel rooms, parking capacity and gaming positions to guests.

The Black Hawk and Central City gaming market is insulated from other casino gaming markets, with no competitors

8

within 50 miles. There have been proposals for the development of Native American, racetrack and video lottery terminal casinos throughout the state over the years. None of the proposals has been adopted by the state's electorate or by the legislature.

Should any form of additional gaming be authorized in the Denver metropolitan area, the Black Hawk and Central City market would be adversely affected.

Vicksburg

Ameristar Vicksburg currently competes with four other gaming operations located in Vicksburg, Mississippi. Vicksburg is located approximately 45 miles west of Mississippi's largest city, Jackson.

Proposals have been made from time to time to develop new Native American casinos in Louisiana and Mississippi, some of which could be competitive with the Vicksburg market. None are under construction currently. The Vicksburg market also faces competition from two casinos owned by a Native American tribe in Philadelphia,

Mississippi, located about 70 miles east of Jackson and 115 miles east of Vicksburg. Vicksburg is also subject to competition from four casinos and one slots-only racetrack in Shreveport and Bossier City, Louisiana, located approximately 175 miles from Vicksburg, as well as casinos located along the Mississippi Gulf Coast.

East Chicago

Ameristar East Chicago's core competitive market of Northwest Indiana is comprised of three casino operators, including Ameristar, in East Chicago, Hammond and Gary, Indiana. The three properties are located within five miles of each other on Lake Michigan. The property also competes with seven other casinos located in Illinois, Indiana and Michigan within 60 miles of East Chicago. Ameristar East Chicago's newest competitor began operations in July 2011. It is located in Des Plaines, Illinois, which is approximately 40 miles northwest of East Chicago, Indiana. Illinois casinos are subject to higher gaming taxes than Indiana casinos. They are also subject to gaming position limitations and a statewide indoor smoking ban. Located within the Chicago metropolitan area, Ameristar East Chicago currently draws a majority of its guest base from Illinois.

Legislation attempting to further expand gaming in Illinois has been considered by the Illinois legislature over the years. If enacted, Ameristar East Chicago could face significant additional competition.

In 2009, the Indiana Department of Transportation ("INDOT") permanently closed the Cline Avenue bridge near Ameristar East Chicago due to safety concerns discovered during an inspection of the bridge. The closure has forced Ameristar's guests to utilize alternative routes to access the property. This has caused the property's business levels and operating results to suffer. Recently, INDOT has indicated that it is in discussions with private parties to rebuild the bridge and convert the highway to a toll road. There is no assurance that this will occur. If it does not, area surface streets are expected to be improved by INDOT.

Jackpot

The Jackpot properties compete with three other hotels and motels (all of which also have casinos) in Jackpot and a Native American casino near Pocatello, Idaho. The Native American casino operates video lottery terminals, which are similar to slot machines.

Other

In addition to the competition that our properties face from other casinos in their geographic markets, we also compete, to a lesser extent, with casinos in other locations, including major tourist destinations such as Las Vegas, with gaming on cruise ships and with other forms of gaming in the United States, including state-sponsored lotteries, racetracks, off-track wagering, Internet and other account wagering and card parlors.

Employees and Labor Relations

As of February 15, 2012, we employed approximately 4,775 full-time and 2,435 part-time employees. Approximately 230 employees at our East Chicago property are employed pursuant to collective bargaining agreements. We believe our employee relations are good.

Incorporation

Ameristar was incorporated in Nevada in 1993.

Government Regulation

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities are subject to extensive state and local regulation. We are required to obtain and maintain gaming licenses in each of the jurisdictions in which we conduct gaming. The limitation, conditioning or suspension of gaming licenses could (and the revocation or non-renewal of gaming licenses would) materially adversely affect our operations in that jurisdiction. In addition, changes in law that restrict or prohibit our gaming operations in any jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on us.

Missouri

Our gaming operations in St. Charles and Kansas City, Missouri are conducted by our wholly owned subsidiaries, Ameristar Casino St. Charles, Inc. ("ACSCI") and Ameristar Casino Kansas City, Inc. ("ACKCI"), respectively. The ownership and operation of riverboat and dockside gaming facilities in Missouri are subject to extensive state and local regulation, but primarily the licensing and regulatory control of the Missouri Gaming Commission. The Licensed Gaming Activities Chapter of the Missouri Revised Statutes (the "Missouri Act") provides for the licensing and regulation of riverboat and dockside gaming operations on the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers in the State of Missouri and the licensing and regulation of persons who distribute gaming equipment and supplies to gaming licensees.

The Missouri Gaming Commission has discretion to approve gaming license applications for permanently moored ("dockside") casinos, powered ("excursion") riverboat casinos and barges and to determine the type of excursion gambling boats allowed each licensee. The total number of excursion gambling boat licenses may not exceed 13. Due to safety concerns, all gaming vessels on the Missouri River are permitted to be moored in moats within 1,000 feet of the river. Gaming licenses are initially issued for two one-year periods and must be renewed every two years thereafter. The gaming licenses held by ACSCI and ACKCI are next subject to renewal in October 2012. No gaming licensee may pledge or transfer in any way any license, or any interest in a license, issued by the Missouri Gaming Commission. As a result, the gaming licenses of our Missouri subsidiaries were not pledged to secure our senior credit facility. The issuance, transfer and pledge of ownership interests in a gaming licensee are also subject to strict notice and approval requirements. Missouri Gaming Commission regulations prohibit a licensee from doing any of the following without at least 60 days' prior notice to the Missouri Gaming Commission, and during such period, the Missouri Gaming Commission may disapprove the transaction or require the transaction be delayed pending further investigation:

any transfer or issuance of an ownership interest in a gaming licensee that is not a publicly held entity or a holding company that is not a publicly held entity, and

any pledge or grant of a security interest in an ownership interest in a gaming licensee that is not a publicly held entity or a holding company that is not a publicly held entity; provided that no ownership interest may be transferred in any way pursuant to any pledge or security interest without separate notice to the Missouri Gaming Commission at least 30 days prior to such transfer, which restriction must be specifically included in the pledge or grant of a security interest.

Under the Missouri Act, all members of the Boards of Directors of ACSCI and ACKCI, certain members of their managements and certain of their employees associated with their gaming businesses are required to obtain and maintain occupational licenses. We believe that all such persons currently required to obtain occupational licenses have obtained or applied for them. The Missouri Gaming Commission may deny an application for a license for any cause that it deems reasonable.

Substantially all loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by a gaming licensee must be reported to and approved by the Missouri Gaming Commission. Missouri Gaming Commission regulations require a licensee to notify the Missouri Gaming Commission of its intention to consummate any of the following transactions at least 15 days prior to such consummation, and the Missouri Gaming Commission may reopen the licensing hearing prior to or following the consummation date to consider the effect of the transaction on the licensee's suitability: any issuance of an ownership interest in a publicly held gaming licensee or a publicly held holding company, if such issuance would involve, directly or indirectly, an amount of ownership interest equaling 5% or greater of the ownership interest in the gaming licensee or holding company after the issuance is complete,

•

any private incurrence of debt equal to or exceeding \$1 million by a gaming licensee or holding company that is affiliated with the holder of a license,

any public issuance of debt by a gaming licensee or holding company that is affiliated with the holder of a license, and any significant related party transaction as defined in the regulations.

The Missouri Gaming Commission may waive or reduce the 15-day notice requirement.

10

The Missouri Act imposes operational requirements on riverboat operators, including an admission fee of \$2 per gaming guest that licensees must pay to the Missouri Gaming Commission, certain minimum payout requirements, a 21% tax on adjusted gross receipts, prohibitions against providing credit to gaming guests (except, subject to certain conditions, for the use of credit and debit cards and the cashing of checks) and a requirement that each licensee reimburse the Missouri Gaming Commission for all costs of any Missouri Gaming Commission staff necessary to protect the public on the licensee's riverboat. Licensees must also submit audited quarterly and annual financial reports to the Missouri Gaming Commission and pay the associated auditing fees. Other areas of operation that are subject to regulation under Missouri rules are the size, denomination and handling of chips and tokens, the surveillance methods and computer monitoring of electronic games, accounting and audit methods and procedures and approval of an extensive internal control system. The Missouri rules also require that all of an operator's chips, tokens, dice, playing cards and electronic gaming devices must be acquired from suppliers licensed by the Missouri Gaming Commission or another person or entity approved by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

Although the Missouri Act provides no limit on the amount of riverboat space that may be used for gaming, the Missouri Gaming Commission can impose space limitations through the adoption of rules and regulations. Additionally, United States Coast Guard safety regulations could affect the amount of riverboat space that may be devoted to gaming. The Missouri Act also includes requirements as to the form of riverboats, which must resemble Missouri's riverboat history to the extent practicable and include certain non-gaming amenities. All licensees currently operating riverboat gaming operations in Missouri are authorized to conduct all or a portion of their operations on a dockside basis, and open and continuous boarding is permitted. Gaming is permitted to be conducted 24 hours each day, with the exception of one hour per week.

The Missouri Act requires each licensee to post a bond or other surety to guarantee that the licensee complies with its statutory obligations. The Missouri Act also gives the Missouri Gaming Commission the authority to use the bond or other form of surety to, among other things, guarantee the completion of an expansion of a gaming facility within a time period determined by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

To promote safety, the Missouri Gaming Commission has required that gaming entertainment barges obtain annual certification from the American Bureau of Shipping.

If the Missouri Gaming Commission decides that a licensee, such as one of our Missouri subsidiaries, violated a gaming law or regulation, the Missouri Gaming Commission could limit, condition, suspend or revoke the license of the licensee. In addition, a licensee, its parent company and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation, Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license could (and revocation of any gaming license would) materially adversely affect Ameristar and our Missouri subsidiaries' gaming operations. Under rules adopted pursuant to the Missouri Act, a holder of any direct or indirect legal or beneficial publicly traded interest in excess of five percent in a gaming licensee, applicant or key person is required, unless exempted, to be licensed as a key person by the Missouri Gaming Commission. A holder, for passive investment purposes, of such a direct or indirect interest that is not more than 10% may be exempted from such licensure by the executive director of the Missouri Gaming Commission, and a holder of up to 20% may be exempted by the Missouri Gaming Commission, if such holder applies in advance of acquiring such interest or within 10 days thereafter and certifies certain information under oath, including that it (i) is acquiring the interest for passive investment purposes; (ii) does not and will not have any involvement in the management activities of the entity; (iii) does not have any intention of controlling the entity regardless of additional stock that may be acquired; (iv) will within 10 days notify the Missouri Gaming Commission of any sale or purchase of more than 1% of the entity's outstanding stock; and (v) will, in the event that it subsequently develops an intention of controlling or participating in the management of such entity, notify the Missouri Gaming Commission and refrain from participating in management or exercising control until approved for licensure by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

The Missouri Gaming Commission regulates the issuance of excursion liquor licenses, which authorize the licensee to serve, offer for sale, or sell intoxicating liquor aboard any excursion gambling boat, or facility immediately adjacent to and contiguous with the excursion gambling boat, which is owned and operated by the licensee. An excursion liquor license is granted for a one-year term by the Missouri Gaming Commission and is renewable annually. The Missouri Gaming Commission can discipline an excursion liquor licensee for any violation of Missouri law or the Missouri

Gaming Commission's rules. Licensees are responsible for the conduct of their business and for any act or conduct of any employee on the premises that is in violation of the Missouri Act or the rules of the Missouri Gaming Commission. Missouri Gaming Commission liquor control regulations also include prohibitions on certain intoxicating liquor promotions and a ban on fees accepted for advertising products. Only persons, such as ACSCI and ACKCI, that hold licenses granted by the Missouri Gaming Commission allowing the holder to conduct gambling games on an excursion gambling boat and to operate an excursion gambling boat can obtain an excursion liquor license from the Missouri Gaming Commission. The sale of alcoholic beverages produced at Amerisports at Ameristar Kansas City is subject to licensing, control and regulation by the City of Kansas City, Missouri, Clay County, the State of Missouri and the Division of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms of the U.S. Treasury Department.

11

Iowa

Ameristar's Council Bluffs operations are conducted by our wholly owned subsidiary, Ameristar Casino Council Bluffs, Inc. ("ACCBI"), and are subject to Chapter 99F of the Iowa Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder. ACCBI's gaming operations are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission (the "Iowa Gaming Commission").

Under Iowa law, wagering on a "gambling game" is legal when conducted by a licensee on an "excursion gambling boat." An "excursion gambling boat" is an excursion boat or moored barge on which lawful gambling is authorized and licensed. "Gambling game" means any game of chance authorized by the Iowa Gaming Commission. In 2004, the Iowa legislature eliminated the mandatory cruising requirement for an "excursion gambling boat," and ACCBI's riverboat is now classified as a "permanently moored vessel."

The legislation permitting riverboat gaming in Iowa authorizes the granting of licenses to "qualified sponsoring organizations." A "qualified sponsoring organization" is defined as a person or association that can show to the satisfaction of the Iowa Gaming Commission that the person or association is eligible for exemption from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (10) or (19) of the Internal Revenue Code (hereinafter "not-for-profit corporation"). The not-for-profit corporation is permitted to enter into operating agreements with persons qualified to conduct riverboat gaming operations. Such operators must be approved and licensed by the Iowa Gaming Commission. On January 27, 1995, the Iowa Gaming Commission authorized the issuance of a license to conduct gambling games on an excursion gambling boat to Iowa West Racing Association (the "Association"), a not-for-profit corporation organized for the purpose of facilitating riverboat gaming in Council Bluffs. The Association has entered into a sponsorship agreement with ACCBI (the "Operator's Contract") authorizing ACCBI to operate riverboat gaming operations in Council Bluffs under the Association's gaming license, and the Iowa Gaming Commission has approved this contract. The term of the Operator's Contract runs until March 31, 2015, and ACCBI has an option to extend the term for an additional three-year period through March 31, 2018.

Under Iowa law, a license to conduct gambling games in a county shall be issued only if the county electorate approves such gambling games. The electorate of Pottawattamie County, which includes the City of Council Bluffs, most recently reauthorized by referendum in November 2010 the gambling games conducted by ACCBI. After a referendum has been held which approved or defeated a proposal to conduct gambling games, another referendum on a proposal to conduct gambling games shall not be held until the eighth calendar year thereafter. Each such referendum requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the persons voting thereon. However, if a proposition to operate gambling games is approved by a majority of the county electorate voting on the proposition in two successive elections, a subsequent submission and approval of a proposition shall not thereafter be required, unless the board of supervisors for that county receives a valid petition requesting a referendum to approve or disapprove the conduct of gambling games. In the event a future reauthorization referendum is defeated, the licenses granted to the Association and ACCBI would be subject to renewal for a total of nine years from the date of original issue or one year from the date of the referendum disapproving the conduct of gambling games, whichever is later, unless the Iowa Gaming Commission revokes a license at an earlier date, at which time ACCBI would be required to cease conducting gambling games. The referendum in November 2010 was at least the second successive election approving the conduct of gambling games in Pottawattamie County.

Substantially all of ACCBI's material transactions are subject to review and approval by the Iowa Gaming Commission. Written and oral contracts and business arrangements involving a related party or in which the term exceeds three years or the total value in a calendar year exceeds \$100,000 are agreements that qualify for submission to and approval by the Iowa Gaming Commission ("Qualifying Agreements"). Qualifying Agreements are limited to: (1) any obligations that expend, encumber or lend ACCBI assets to anyone other than a not-for-profit entity or a unit of government for the payment of taxes and utilities; (2) any disposal of ACCBI assets or the provision of goods and services at less than market value to anyone other than a not-for-profit entity or a unit of government; (3) a previously approved Qualifying Agreement, if consideration exceeds the approved amount by the greater of \$100,000 or 25%; and (4) any type of contract, regardless of value or term, where a third party provides electronic access to cash or credit for a patron of the facility. Each Qualifying Agreement must be submitted to the Iowa Gaming Commission within 30 days of execution. Iowa Gaming Commission approval must be obtained prior to implementation, unless the

Qualifying Agreement contains a written clause stating that the agreement is subject to Iowa Gaming Commission approval. Qualifying Agreements that are ongoing or open-ended need only be submitted on initiation, unless there is a material change in terms or noncompliance with the requirement that consideration be given to the use of Iowa resources, goods and services. Additionally, contracts negotiated between ACCBI and a related party must be accompanied by economic and qualitative justification.

ACCBI is required to maintain records regarding its equity structure and owners. The Iowa Gaming Commission may require ACCBI to submit background information on all persons participating in any capacity at ACCBI. The Iowa Gaming Commission may suspend or revoke the license of a licensee if the licensee is found to be ineligible in any respect, such as want of character, moral fitness, financial responsibility or due to failure to meet other criteria employed by the Iowa Gaming Commission.

ACCBI must submit detailed financial, operating and other reports to the Iowa Gaming Commission. ACCBI must file weekly and monthly gaming reports indicating adjusted gross receipts received from gambling games, the total number and amount

12

of money received from admissions and the amount of regulatory fees paid. Additionally, ACCBI must file annual financial statements covering all financial activities related to its operations for each fiscal year.

Iowa has a graduated wagering tax equal to 5% of the first \$1.0 million of annual adjusted gross receipts, 10% of the next \$2.0 million of annual adjusted gross receipts and 22% of annual adjusted gross receipts over \$3.0 million for an excursion gambling boat. In addition, the state charges other fees on a per-guest basis. Additionally, ACCBI pays the City of Council Bluffs a fee equal to \$0.50 per passenger. Under the Operator's Contract, ACCBI also pays the Association a fee equal to 3% of adjusted gross receipts.

All persons participating in any capacity at a gaming facility, with the exception of certified law enforcement officers while they are working for the facility as uniformed officers, are required to obtain occupational licenses from the Iowa Gaming Commission. All such licenses must be renewed every two years. The Iowa Gaming Commission has broad discretion to deny or revoke any occupational license.

If the Iowa Gaming Commission decides that a gaming law or regulation has been violated, the Iowa Gaming Commission has the power to assess fines, revoke or suspend licenses or to take any other action as may be reasonable or appropriate to enforce the gaming rules and regulations.

ACCBI is subject to licensure by the Alcoholic Beverages Division ("ABD") of the Iowa Department of Commerce, which administers and enforces the laws of the State of Iowa concerning alcoholic beverages. Additionally, ACCBI is subject to liquor ordinances adopted by local authorities. A local authority may adopt ordinances governing establishments that are located within their jurisdiction. Local ordinances may be more restrictive than state law, but they may not conflict with state law. The ABD and the local authorities have full power to suspend or revoke any license for the serving of alcoholic beverages.

Indiana

Ameristar conducts its Indiana gaming operations through its indirect wholly owned subsidiary, Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC, which owns and operates Ameristar East Chicago in East Chicago, Indiana. The ownership and operation of casino facilities in Indiana are subject to extensive state and local regulation, including primarily the licensing and regulatory control of the Indiana Gaming Commission (the "Commission"). The Commission is given extensive powers and duties for administering, regulating and enforcing riverboat gaming in Indiana.

Pursuant to the Indiana Riverboat Gaming Act, as amended (the "Indiana Act"), the Commission is authorized to aw

Pursuant to the Indiana Riverboat Gaming Act, as amended (the "Indiana Act"), the Commission is authorized to award up to 10 owner's licenses and one operating agent contract for purposes of owning and operating riverboat casinos in the State of Indiana. This includes five licenses for riverboat casinos in counties contiguous to Lake Michigan in northern Indiana, five licenses for riverboat casinos in certain counties contiguous to the Ohio River in southern Indiana and one operating agent contract for a riverboat casino in a county that contains a historic hotel district (i.e., Orange County). Referenda required by the Indiana Act to authorize the five licenses to be issued for counties contiguous to Lake Michigan have been conducted. In these counties, gaming has been authorized for the cities of Hammond, East Chicago, and Gary in Lake County, Indiana, and for Michigan City in LaPorte County, Indiana, to the east of Lake County. In April 2007, the Indiana General Assembly enacted legislation that empowered the Commission to issue gambling game licenses to the holders of Indiana's two pari-mutuel horse racing permits. In March 2008, the Commission granted each permit holder a five-year gambling game license authorizing the installation and use of up to 2,000 slot machines at each horse track, one of which is located in Anderson and the other in Shelbyville, Indiana. The slot operations at the tracks opened in the second quarter of 2008. Under Indiana law, installation of slot machines beyond the statutorily authorized number of 2,000 would require further approval by the Commission. In November 2011, the Commission authorized the Indiana Live! casino in Shelbyville to install up to 2,200 slot machines at its facility.

The Indiana Act strictly regulates the facilities, persons, associations and practices related to gaming operations pursuant to the police powers of Indiana, including comprehensive law enforcement provisions. The Indiana Act vests the Commission with the power and duties of administering, regulating and enforcing the system of riverboat gaming in Indiana. The Commission's jurisdiction extends to every person, association, corporation, partnership and trust involved in riverboat gaming operations in Indiana.

The Indiana Act requires the owner of a riverboat gaming operation to hold an owner's license issued by the Commission. To obtain an owner's license, the Indiana Act requires extensive disclosure of records and other

information concerning an applicant. Applicants for licensure must submit a comprehensive application and personal disclosure forms and undergo an exhaustive background investigation prior to the issuance of a license. The Commission has the authority to request specific information on or license anyone who holds an ownership interest or anyone who plays a key management role, such as officers, directors, and employees, regardless of ownership. The applicant may be required to disclose the identity of every person holding an ownership interest in the applicant. Persons holding an interest of 5% or more in the applicant normally must undergo a background investigation and be licensed. Institutional investors, within the meaning of the Indiana Act, are generally exempted from this requirement pursuant to administrative rule. To qualify for a potential exemption, the investor must acquire the shares in the

ordinary course of business for investment purposes. The shares cannot be acquired either for the purpose of electing a majority of the board of directors of the licensee or for the purpose of changing the licensee's charter, management, policies or operations. Institutional investors are defined to include certain retirement funds, investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, collective investment trusts organized by banks under the rules of the Comptroller of the Currency, closed-end investment trusts, chartered or licensed life insurance or property and casualty insurance companies, banking institutions, investment advisors registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 and such other entities as the Commission may determine.

Each owner's license entitles the licensee to own and operate one riverboat along with the associated gaming equipment. The Indiana Act allows a person to hold up to 100% of up to two individual riverboat owner's licenses. Each initial owner's license runs for a period of five years. Thereafter, the license is subject to renewal on an annual basis upon a determination by the Commission that the licensee continues to be eligible for an owner's license pursuant to the Indiana Act and the rules and regulations adopted thereunder. Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC submitted an application for the required annual license renewal in 2011 and such license renewal was approved by the Commission on June 2, 2011.

The Indiana Act requires that a licensed owner undergo a complete investigation every three years. If for any reason the license is terminated, the assets of the riverboat gaming operation cannot be disposed of without the approval of the Commission. In 2009, the Indiana General Assembly enacted legislation requiring all casino operators to submit for approval by the Commission a written power of attorney identifying a person who would serve as trustee to temporarily operate the casino in certain rare circumstances, such as the revocation or non-renewal of an owner's license. Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC most recently had its power of attorney approved by the Commission in April 2011.

A holder of a gaming license is required to post a bond with the Commission in an amount that the Commission determines will adequately reflect the amount that a local community will expend for infrastructure and other facilities associated with a riverboat operation. A licensee must hold insurance of the type and amount deemed necessary by the Commission.

The Commission has also promulgated a rule mandating that licensees maintain a cash reserve to protect patrons against defaults in gaming debts. The cash reserve is to be equal to a licensee's average payout for a three-day period based on the riverboat's performance during the prior calendar quarter. The cash reserve can consist of cash on hand, cash maintained in Indiana bank accounts and cash equivalents not otherwise committed or obligated. The Indiana Act does not limit the maximum bet or per patron loss, Each licensee sets minimum and maximum wagers on its own games. Wagering may not be conducted with money or other negotiable currency. No person under the age of 21 is permitted to wager, and wagers may only be taken from persons present on a licensed riverboat. The Commission places special emphasis on the participation of minority business enterprises ("MBEs") and women business enterprises ("WBEs") in the riverboat industry. Each licensee is required to submit annually to the Commission a report that includes the total dollar value of contracts awarded for qualifying goods and services along with the percentage that was spent with MBEs and WBEs, respectively. Prior to 2008, the Commission set a 10% goal for MBEs and a 5% goal for WBEs. In 2007, the Commission conducted a disparity study entitled "A Disparity Study for the Indiana Gaming Commission, May 2007" (the "Disparity Study") to determine whether a gap existed between the capacity of MBEs and WBEs and the utilization thereof by riverboat casinos in Indiana. The Disparity Study concluded that, with the exception of WBE purchases in the construction area, there was no statistically significant "disparity." As a result, the Commission issued Resolution 2007-58 to mandate that, effective January 1, 2008, annual goals for expenditures to WBEs for the purchase of construction goods and services shall be set at 10.9%. In November 2010, relying on two years of expenditure data that indicated a statistically significant disparity, the Commission issued Resolution 2010-217 to mandate that, effective January 1, 2011, the annual goal for expenditures to MBEs for the purchase of construction goods and services shall be 23.2%. Failure to meet these goals will be scrutinized heavily by the Commission. The Indiana Act authorizes the Commission to suspend, limit or revoke an owner's gaming license or impose a fine or other appropriate conditions for failure to comply with these guidelines. However, if a determination is made that a licensee has failed to demonstrate compliance with these guidelines, the licensee has 90 days from the date of the determination to comply. For expenditures in all areas where formal goals

have not been established, the Commission has taken the position that the capacity percentages set forth in the Disparity Study for MBEs and WBEs, respectively, are targets for which best faith efforts of each licensee are expected.

A licensee may not lease, hypothecate, borrow money against or lend money against an owner's riverboat gaming license. An ownership interest in an owner's riverboat gaming license may only be transferred in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Indiana Act.

The Indiana Act stipulates a graduated wagering tax with a starting tax rate of 15% and a top rate of 40% for adjusted gross receipts in excess of \$600.0 million. In addition to the wagering tax, an admissions tax of \$3 per admission is assessed. The Indiana Act provides for the suspension or revocation of a license if the wagering and admissions taxes are not timely submitted.

A licensee may enter into debt transactions that total \$1.0 million or more only with the prior approval of the Commission. Such approval is subject to compliance with requisite procedures and a showing that each person with whom the licensee enters

into a debt transaction would be suitable for licensure under the Indiana Act. Unless waived, approval of debt transactions requires consideration by the Commission at two business meetings. The Commission, by resolution, has authorized its Executive Director, subject to subsequent ratification by the Commission, to approve debt transactions after a review of the transaction documents and consultation with the Commission Chair and the Commission's financial consultant(s).

The Commission may subject a licensee to fines, suspension or revocation of its license for any act that is in violation of the Indiana Act or the regulations of the Commission or for any other fraudulent act. In addition, the Commission may revoke an owner's license if the Commission determines that the revocation of the license is in the best interests of the State of Indiana.

The Indiana Act provides that the sale of alcoholic beverages at riverboat casinos is subject to licensing, control and regulation pursuant to Title 7.1 of the Indiana Code and the rules adopted by the Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission.

Mississippi

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities in the State of Mississippi are subject to extensive state and local regulation, but primarily the licensing and regulatory control of the Mississippi Gaming Commission (the "Mississippi Commission").

The Mississippi Gaming Control Act (the "Mississippi Act") is similar to the Nevada Gaming Control Act. The Mississippi Commission has adopted regulations that are also similar in many respects to the Nevada gaming regulations.

The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Mississippi Commission are based upon declarations of public policy that are concerned with, among other things, (1) the prevention of unsavory or unsuitable persons from having direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity; (2) the establishment and maintenance of responsible accounting practices and procedures; (3) the maintenance of effective controls over the financial practices of licensees, including the establishment of minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues, providing for reliable record keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Mississippi Commission; (4) the prevention of cheating and fraudulent practices; (5) providing a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees; and (6) ensuring that gaming licensees, to the extent practicable, employ Mississippi residents. The regulations are subject to amendment and interpretation by the Mississippi Commission. We believe that our compliance with the licensing procedures and regulatory requirements of the Mississippi Commission will not affect the marketability of our securities. Changes in Mississippi laws or regulations may limit or otherwise materially affect the types of gaming that may be conducted and such changes, if enacted, could have an adverse effect on us and our Mississippi gaming operations.

The Mississippi Act provides for legalized gaming in each of the 14 counties that border the Gulf Coast or the Mississippi River, but only if the voters in the county have not voted to prohibit gaming in that county. Currently, gaming is permissible in nine of the 14 eligible counties in the state and gaming operations take place in seven counties. Traditionally, Mississippi law required gaming vessels to be located on the Mississippi River or on navigable waters in eligible counties along the Mississippi River or in the waters lying south of the counties along the Mississippi Gulf Coast. However, the Mississippi legislature has amended the Mississippi Act to permit licensees in the three counties along the Gulf Coast to establish land-based casino operations provided that the gaming areas do not extend more than 800 feet beyond the 19-year mean high water line, except in Harrison County, where the limit can be extended as far as the greater of 800 feet beyond the 19-year mean water line or the southern boundary of Highway 90. Due to another change to the Mississippi Act, the Commission has also permitted licensees in approved river counties to conduct gaming operations on permanent structures, provided that the majority of any such structure is located on the river side of the "bank full" line of the Mississippi River.

The Mississippi Act permits unlimited stakes gaming on a 24-hour basis and does not restrict the percentage of space that may be utilized for gaming. The Mississippi Act permits substantially all traditional casino games and gaming devices.

ACI and any subsidiary of ACI that operates a casino in Mississippi (a "Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary") are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Mississippi Commission. As the sole stockholder of Ameristar Casino

Vicksburg, Inc. ("ACVI"), a licensee of the Mississippi Commission, ACI is registered under the Mississippi Act as a publicly traded corporation (a "Registered Corporation"). As a Registered Corporation, we are required periodically to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Mississippi Commission and furnish any other information that the Mississippi Commission may require. If we are unable to continue to satisfy the registration requirements of the Mississippi Act, we and any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary cannot own or operate gaming facilities in Mississippi. No person may become a stockholder of or receive any percentage of profits from a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Mississippi Commission. We have obtained such approvals in connection with our ownership of ACVI.

A Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must maintain a gaming license from the Mississippi Commission to operate a casino in Mississippi. Such licenses are issued by the Mississippi Commission subject to certain conditions, including continued compliance with all applicable state laws and regulations. There are no limitations on the number of gaming licenses that may be

issued in Mississippi. Gaming licenses require the payment of periodic fees and taxes, are not transferable, are issued for a three-year period and must be renewed periodically thereafter. ACVI most recently was granted a renewal of its gaming license by the Mississippi Commission on January 25, 2012. This license expires on January 24, 2015. Certain of our officers and employees and the officers, directors and certain key employees of our Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must be found suitable or approved by the Mississippi Commission. We believe that we have obtained, applied for or are in the process of applying for all necessary findings of suitability with respect to such persons affiliated with Ameristar or ACVI, although the Mississippi Commission, in its discretion, may require additional persons to file applications for findings of suitability. In addition, any person having a material relationship or involvement with Ameristar or ACVI may be required to be found suitable, in which case those persons must pay the costs and fees associated with such investigation. The Mississippi Commission may deny an application for a finding of suitability for any cause that it deems reasonable. Changes in certain licensed positions, including changes in any person's corporate position or title, must be reported to the Mississippi Commission. In addition to having authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability, the Mississippi Commission has jurisdiction to disapprove a change in such person's corporate position or title and such changes must be reported to the Mississippi Commission. The Mississippi Commission has the power to require us and any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary to suspend or dismiss officers, directors and other key employees or sever relationships with other persons who refuse to file appropriate applications or whom the authorities find unsuitable to act in such capacities. Determinations of suitability or questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Mississippi.

At any time, the Mississippi Commission has the power to investigate and require the finding of suitability of any record or beneficial stockholder of Ameristar. The Mississippi Act requires any person who acquires more than 5% of any class of voting securities of a Registered Corporation, as reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission, to report the acquisition to the Mississippi Commission, and such person may be required to be found suitable. Also, any person who becomes a beneficial owner of more than 10% of any class of voting securities of a Registered Corporation, as reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission, must apply for a finding of suitability by the Mississippi Commission and must pay the costs and fees that the Mississippi Commission incurs in conducting the investigation. If a stockholder who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information, including a list of beneficial owners.

The Mississippi Commission generally has exercised its discretion to require a finding of suitability of any beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of voting securities of a Registered Corporation. However, under certain circumstances, an "institutional investor," as defined in the Mississippi Commission's regulations, which acquires more than 10% but not more than 15% of the voting securities of a Registered Corporation may apply to the Mississippi Commission for a waiver of such finding of suitability if such institutional investor holds the voting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor shall not be deemed to hold voting securities for investment purposes unless the voting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investor and not for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly, the election of a majority of the members of the board of directors of the Registered Corporation, any change in the corporate charter, bylaws, management, policies or operations of the Registered Corporation or any of its gaming affiliates, or any other action which the Mississippi Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding the voting securities for investment purposes only. Activities that are not deemed to be inconsistent with holding voting securities for investment purposes include (1) voting on all matters voted on by stockholders; (2) making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in the Registered Corporation's management, policies or operations; and (3) such other activities as the Mississippi Commission may determine to be consistent with such investment intent.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within 30 days after being ordered to do so by the Mississippi Commission may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner of our securities if the record owner, after request, fails to identify the beneficial owner. Any person found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of our securities beyond such time as the Mississippi Commission prescribes may be guilty of a misdemeanor. We may be subject to disciplinary action if, after receiving notice that a person is unsuitable to be a stockholder or to have any other relationship with us or any Mississippi

Gaming Subsidiary owned by us, the company involved (1) pays the unsuitable person any dividend or other distribution upon such person's voting securities; (2) recognizes the exercise, directly or indirectly, of any voting rights conferred by securities held by the unsuitable person; (3) pays the unsuitable person any remuneration in any form for services rendered or otherwise, except in certain limited and specific circumstances; or (4) fails to pursue all lawful efforts to require the unsuitable person to divest himself of the securities, including, if necessary, the immediate purchase of the securities for cash at fair market value.

We may be required to disclose to the Mississippi Commission, upon request, the identities of the holders of our debt or other securities. In addition, under the Mississippi Act, the Mississippi Commission, in its discretion, may require the holder of any debt security of a Registered Corporation to file an application, be investigated and be found suitable to own the debt security if the Mississippi Commission has reason to believe that the holder's ownership of such debt securities would be inconsistent with the declared policies of the State of Mississippi.

Although the Mississippi Commission generally does not require the individual holders of obligations such as notes to be investigated and found suitable, the Mississippi Commission retains the discretion to do so for any reason, including but not limited to a default, or where the holder of the debt instruments exercises a material influence over the gaming operations of the entity in question. Any holder of debt securities required to apply for a finding of suitability must pay all investigative fees and costs of the Mississippi Commission in connection with such an investigation.

If the Mississippi Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own a debt security, then the Registered Corporation may be sanctioned, including the loss of its approvals, if without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission it (1) pays to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest or any distribution whatsoever; (2) recognizes any voting right by the unsuitable person in connection with those securities; (3) pays the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or (4) makes any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation or similar transaction.

Each Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must maintain in Mississippi a current ledger with respect to the ownership of its equity securities and we must maintain in Mississippi a current list of our stockholders, which must reflect the record ownership of each outstanding share of any class of our equity securities. The ledger and stockholder lists must be available for inspection by the Mississippi Commission at any time. If any securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Mississippi Commission. A failure to make such disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. We must also render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner.

The Mississippi Act requires that the certificates representing securities of a Registered Corporation bear a legend indicating that the securities are subject to the Mississippi Act and the regulations of the Mississippi Commission. We have received from the Mississippi Commission a waiver of this legend requirement. The Mississippi Commission has the power to impose additional restrictions on the holders of our securities at any time.

Substantially all material loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by a Registered Corporation or a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary must be reported to or approved by the Mississippi Commission. A Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary may not make a public offering of its securities, but may pledge or mortgage casino facilities. A Registered Corporation may not make a public offering of its securities without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission if any part of the proceeds of the offering is to be used to finance the construction, acquisition or operation of gaming facilities in Mississippi or to retire or extend obligations incurred for those purposes. Such approval, if given, does not constitute a recommendation or approval of the investment merits of the securities subject to the offering. We have received a waiver of the prior approval requirement with respect to public offerings and private placements of securities, subject to certain conditions, including the ability of the Mississippi Commission to issue a stop order with respect to any such offering if the staff determines it would be necessary to do so.

Under the regulations of the Mississippi Commission, a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary may not guarantee a security issued by an affiliated company pursuant to a public offering, or pledge its assets to secure payment or performance of the obligations evidenced by a security issued by an affiliated company, without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. A pledge of the stock of a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary and the foreclosure of such a pledge are ineffective without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. Moreover, restrictions on the transfer of an equity security issued by a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary or its holding companies and agreements not to encumber such securities are ineffective without the prior approval of the Mississippi Commission. We have obtained approvals from the Mississippi Commission for such guarantees, pledges and restrictions in connection with offerings of securities, subject to certain restrictions, but we must obtain separate prior approvals from the Mississippi Commission for pledges and stock restrictions imposed in connection with certain financing transactions. Moreover, the regulations of the Mississippi Commission require us to file a Loan to Licensees Report within 30 days following certain financing transactions and the offering of certain debt securities. If the Mississippi Commission were to deem it appropriate, the Mississippi Commission could order any such transaction rescinded.

Changes in control of the Company through merger, consolidation, acquisition of assets, management or consulting agreements or any act or conduct by a person by which he or she obtains control may not occur without the prior

approval of the Mississippi Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of a Registered Corporation must satisfy the Mississippi Commission in a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control of the Registered Corporation. The Mississippi Commission also may require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control to be investigated and found suitable as part of the approval process relating to the transaction.

The Mississippi legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and other corporate defense tactics that affect corporate gaming licensees in Mississippi and Registered Corporations may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Mississippi Commission has established a regulatory scheme to ameliorate the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon Mississippi's gaming industry and to further Mississippi's policy to (1) assure the financial stability of corporate gaming operators and their affiliates; (2) preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and (3) promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs.

Approvals are, in certain circumstances, required from the Mississippi Commission before a Registered Corporation may make exceptional repurchases of voting securities (such as repurchases which treat holders differently) in excess of the current market price and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management can be consummated. Mississippi's gaming regulations also require prior approval by the Mississippi Commission of a plan of recapitalization proposed by the Registered Corporation's board of directors in response to a tender offer made directly to the Registered Corporation's stockholders for the purpose of acquiring control of the Registered Corporation. Neither we nor any Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary may engage in gaming activities in Mississippi while also conducting gaming operations outside of Mississippi without approval of, or a waiver of such approval by, the Mississippi Commission. The Mississippi Commission may require determinations that, among other things, there are means for the Mississippi Commission to have access to information concerning the out-of-state gaming operations of us and our affiliates. We previously have obtained, or otherwise qualified for, a waiver of foreign gaming approval from the Mississippi Commission for operations in other jurisdictions in which we conduct gaming operations and will be required to obtain the approval or a waiver of such approval from the Mississippi Commission prior to engaging in any additional future gaming operations outside of Mississippi; provided, however, that such waiver shall be automatically granted under the Mississippi Commission's regulations in connection with foreign gaming activities (except for internet gaming activities) conducted (1) within the 50 states or any territory of the United States, (2) on board any cruise ship embarking from a port located therein or (3) in any other jurisdiction in which a casino operator's license or its equivalent is not required in order to legally conduct gaming operations.

If the Mississippi Commission were to determine that we or ACVI had violated a gaming law or regulation, the Mississippi Commission could limit, condition, suspend or revoke our approvals and the license of ACVI, subject to compliance with certain statutory and regulatory procedures. In addition, we, ACVI and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation. Because of such a violation, the Mississippi Commission could attempt to appoint a supervisor to operate the casino facilities. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license or approval or the appointment of a supervisor could (and revocation of any gaming license or approval would) materially adversely affect us, our gaming operations and our results of operations.

License fees and taxes, computed in various ways depending on the type of gaming or activity involved, are payable to the State of Mississippi, the Mississippi Commission and the counties and cities in which a Mississippi Gaming Subsidiary's operations are conducted. Depending upon the particular fee or tax involved, these fees and taxes are payable either monthly, quarterly or annually. Generally, gaming fees and taxes are based upon the following: (1) a percentage of the gross gaming revenues received by the casino operation; (2) the number of gaming devices operated by the casino; or (3) the number of table games operated by the casino.

The license fee payable to the State of Mississippi is based upon "gaming receipts" (generally defined as gross receipts less payouts to guests as winnings) and the current maximum tax rate imposed is 8% of all gaming receipts in excess of \$134,000 per month. The foregoing license fees we pay are allowed as a credit against ACVI's Mississippi income tax liability for the year paid. The gross revenues fee imposed by the City of Vicksburg equals approximately 4% of gaming receipts.

The Mississippi Commission's regulations require as a condition of licensure or license renewal that an existing licensed gaming establishment's plan include adequate parking facilities in close proximity to the casino complex and infrastructure facilities, such as hotels, which amount to at least 100% of the casino cost. The Mississippi Commission's current infrastructure requirement applies to new casinos or acquisitions of closed casinos. Ameristar Vicksburg was grandfathered under a prior version of that regulation that required that the infrastructure investment be equal to only 25% or more of the casino cost.

The sale of alcoholic beverages at Ameristar Vicksburg is subject to licensing, control and regulation by the Alcoholic Beverage Control Division of the Mississippi State Tax Commission (the "ABC") and by the City of Vicksburg. Ameristar Vicksburg is located in a designated special resort area, which allows ACVI to serve alcoholic beverages on a 24-hour basis. If ABC regulations are violated, the ABC has the power to limit, condition, suspend or revoke any license for the serving of alcoholic beverages or to place such licensee on probation with or without conditions. Certain officers and managers of ACVI must be investigated by the ABC in connection with ACVI's liquor permit and changes in certain key positions must be approved by the ABC.

Colorado

As prescribed by the Colorado Limited Gaming Act of 1991 (the "Colorado Act"), the ownership and operation of limited stakes gaming facilities in Colorado are subject to the Colorado Gaming Regulations (the "Colorado Regulations") and final authority of the Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission (the "Colorado Commission"). The Colorado Act also created the Colorado Division of Gaming within the Colorado Department of Revenue to license, supervise and enforce the conduct of limited stakes gaming in Colorado.

Ameristar Casino Black Hawk, Inc. ("ACBHI") holds operator, retail gaming and manufacturer/distributor licenses for Ameristar Casino Black Hawk issued by the Colorado Commission. The Colorado Act requires that applications for renewal of

operator, retail gaming and manufacturer/distributor licenses be filed every two years with the Commission not less than 120 days prior to the expiration of the current licenses. ACBHI's current licenses expire on December 16, 2013. The Colorado Act declares public policy on limited stakes gaming to be that: (1) the success of limited stakes gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust that licensed limited stakes gaming is conducted honestly and competitively, the rights of the creditors of licensees are protected and gaming is free from criminal and corruptive elements; (2) public confidence and trust can be maintained only by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments and the manufacture or distribution of gaming devices and equipment; (3) all establishments where limited gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated, and all manufacturers, sellers and distributors of certain gambling devices and equipment, must therefore be licensed, controlled and assisted to protect the public health, safety, good order and the general welfare of the inhabitants of the state to foster the stability and success of limited stakes gaming and to preserve the economy, policies and free competition in Colorado; and (4) no applicant for a license or other affirmative Colorado Commission approval has any right to a license or to the granting of the approval sought. Having the authority to impose fines, the Colorado Commission has broad discretion to issue, condition, suspend for up to six months, revoke, limit or restrict at any time the following licenses: slot machine manufacturer or distributor, operator, retail gaming, support and key employee gaming licenses. With limited exceptions applicable to licensees that are publicly traded entities, no person may sell, lease, purchase, convey or acquire any interest in a retail gaming or operator license or business without the prior approval of the Colorado Commission. Any license issued or other Colorado Commission approval granted pursuant to the Colorado Act is a revocable privilege, and no holder acquires any vested rights therein.

Pursuant to an amendment to the Colorado Constitution (the "Colorado Amendment"), limited stakes gaming became lawful in the cities of Central City, Black Hawk and Cripple Creek on October 1, 1991. Currently, limited stakes gaming means a maximum single bet of \$100 on slot machines and in the games of blackjack, poker, craps and roulette. Gaming is permitted to be conducted 24 hours each day.

Limited stakes gaming is confined to the commercial districts of these cities as defined by Central City on October 7, 1981, by Black Hawk on May 4, 1978, and by Cripple Creek on December 3, 1973. In addition, the Colorado Amendment restricts limited stakes gaming to structures that conform to the architectural styles and designs that were common to the areas prior to World War I and that conform to the requirements of applicable city ordinances regardless of the age of the structures. Under the Colorado Amendment, no more than 35% of the square footage of any building and no more than 50% of any one floor of any building may be used for limited stakes gaming. Persons under the age of 21 cannot participate in limited stakes gaming.

The Colorado Constitution provides for a tax on the total amount wagered less all payouts to players at the following annual rates. The gaming tax rates in effect as of July 1, 2008 can only be increased by amendment to the Colorado Constitution by voters in a statewide election. With respect to games of poker, the tax is calculated based on the sums wagered that are retained by the licensee as compensation, which must be consistent with the minimum and maximum amounts established by the Colorado Commission. In May 2011, the Commission reduced the gaming tax rates to the following:

- 0.24% up to and including \$2 million of the subject amounts;
- **4**.9% on amounts from \$2 million to \$5 million;
- 8.55% on amounts from \$5 million to \$8 million;
- **4**0.45% on amounts from \$8 million to \$10 million:
- 45.2% on amounts from \$10 million to \$13 million; and
- **⁴**9% on amounts over \$13 million.

The City of Black Hawk also assesses two monthly device fees that are based on the number of gaming devices operated. Those consist of a \$62.50 fee per device and a transportation device fee of \$6.42 per device.

The Colorado Commission has enacted Rule 4.5, which imposes requirements on publicly traded corporations holding gaming licenses in Colorado and on gaming licenses owned directly or indirectly by a publicly traded corporation, whether through a subsidiary or intermediary company. The term "publicly traded corporation" includes corporations, firms, limited liability companies, trusts, partnerships and other forms of business organizations. Such requirements

automatically apply to any ownership interest held by a publicly traded corporation, holding company or intermediary company thereof, where the ownership interest directly or indirectly is, or will be upon approval of the Colorado Commission, 5% or more of the entire licensee. In any event, if the Colorado Commission determines that a publicly traded corporation or a subsidiary, intermediary company or holding company has the actual ability to exercise influence over a licensee, regardless of the percentage of ownership possessed by such entity, the Colorado Commission may require the entity to comply with the disclosure regulations contained in Rule 4.5. Under Rule 4.5, gaming licensees, affiliated companies and controlling persons commencing a public offering of voting

securities must notify the Colorado Commission no later than 10 business days after the initial filing of a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Licensed publicly traded corporations are also required to send proxy statements to the Division of Gaming within five days after their distribution. Licensees to whom Rule 4.5 applies must include in their charter documents provisions that restrict the rights of the licensees to issue voting interests or securities except in accordance with the Colorado Act and the Colorado Regulations; limit the rights of persons to transfer voting interests or securities of licensees except in accordance with the Colorado Act and the Colorado Regulations; and provide that holders of voting interests or securities of licensees found unsuitable by the Colorado Commission may, within 60 days of such finding of unsuitability, be required to sell their interests or securities back to the issuer at the lesser of the cash equivalent of the holders' investment or the market price as of the date of the finding of unsuitability. Alternatively, the holders may, within 60 days after the finding of unsuitability, transfer the voting interests or securities to a suitable person, as determined by the Colorado Commission. Until the voting interests or securities are held by suitable persons, the issuer may not pay dividends or interest, the securities may not be voted and may not be included in the voting or securities of the issuer, and the issuer may not pay any remuneration in any form to the holders of the securities.

Pursuant to Rule 4.5, persons who acquire direct or indirect beneficial ownership of (a) 5% or more of any class of voting securities of a publicly traded corporation that is required to include in its articles of incorporation the Rule 4.5 charter language provisions; or (b) 5% or more of the beneficial interest in a gaming licensee directly or indirectly through any class of voting securities of any holding company or intermediary company of a licensee, referred to as "qualifying persons," shall notify the Division of Gaming within 10 days of such acquisition, are required to submit all requested information and are subject to a finding of suitability as required by the Division of Gaming or the Colorado Commission. Licensees also must notify any qualifying persons of these requirements. A qualifying person other than an institutional investor whose interest equals 10% or more must apply to the Colorado Commission for a finding of suitability within 45 days after acquiring such securities. Licensees must also notify any qualifying persons of these requirements. Whether or not notified, qualifying persons are responsible for complying with these requirements. A qualifying person who is an institutional investor under Rule 4.5 and who, individually or in association with others, acquires, directly or indirectly, the beneficial ownership of 15% or more of any class of voting securities must apply to the Colorado Commission for a finding of suitability within 45 days after acquiring such interests.

The Colorado Regulations provide for exemption from the requirements for a finding of suitability when the Colorado Commission finds such action to be consistent with the purposes of the Colorado Act.

Pursuant to Rule 4.5, persons found unsuitable by the Colorado Commission must be removed from any position as an officer, director or employee of a licensee, or from a holding or intermediary company. Such unsuitable persons also are prohibited from any beneficial ownership of the voting securities of any such entities. Licensees, or affiliated entities of licensees, are subject to sanctions for paying dividends or distributions to persons found unsuitable by the Colorado Commission, or for recognizing voting rights of, or paying a salary or any remuneration for services to, unsuitable persons. Licensees or their affiliated entities also may be sanctioned for failing to pursue efforts to require unsuitable persons to relinquish their interest. The Colorado Commission may determine that anyone with a material relationship to, or material involvement with, a licensee or an affiliated company must apply for a finding of suitability or must apply for a key employee license.

The Colorado Regulations require that every officer, director and stockholder of private corporations or equivalent office or ownership holders for non-corporate applicants, and every officer, director or stockholder holding either a 5% or greater interest or controlling interest of a publicly traded corporation or owners of an applicant or licensee, shall be a person of good moral character and submit to a full background investigation conducted by the Division of Gaming and the Colorado Commission. The Colorado Commission may require any person having an interest in a license to undergo a full background investigation and pay the cost of investigation in the same manner as an applicant.

The sale of alcoholic beverages in gaming establishments is subject to strict licensing, control and regulation by State and local authorities. Alcoholic beverage licenses are revocable and nontransferable. State and local licensing authorities have full power to limit, condition, suspend for as long as six months or revoke any such licenses.

There are various classes of retail liquor licenses which may be issued under the Colorado Liquor Code. A gaming licensee may sell malt, vinous or spirituous liquors only by the individual drink for consumption on the premises. An application for an alcoholic beverage license in Colorado requires notice, posting and a public hearing before the local liquor licensing authority prior to approval. The Colorado Department of Revenue's Liquor Enforcement Division must also approve the application. ACBHI has been approved for a hotel and restaurant liquor license by both the local Black Hawk licensing authority and the State Division of Liquor Enforcement.

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities in Nevada are subject to: (1) the Nevada Gaming Control Act

and the regulations promulgated thereunder (collectively, the "Nevada Act"); and (2) various local regulations. Our operations are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Nevada Gaming Commission ("Nevada Commission"), the Nevada State Gaming Control Board ("Nevada Board"), and the Liquor Board of Elko County. The Nevada Commission, the Nevada Board and the Liquor Board of Elko County are collectively referred to in this section as the "Nevada Gaming Authorities."

The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Nevada Gaming Authorities are based upon declarations of public policy which are concerned with, among other things, (1) the prevention of unsavory or unsuitable persons from having a direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity; (2) the establishment and maintenance of effective controls over the financial practices of licensees, including the establishment of minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues; (3) providing reliable record keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Nevada Gaming Authorities; (4) the prevention of cheating and fraudulent practices; and (5) providing a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees. Change in such laws, regulations and procedures could have an adverse effect on our gaming operations. Cactus Pete's, Inc. ("CPI"), which owns and operates the Jackpot properties, is required to be licensed by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. The gaming licenses require the periodic payment of fees and taxes and are not transferable. Ameristar is registered by the Nevada Commission as a publicly traded corporation (a "Registered Corporation") and has been found suitable to own the stock of CPI, which is a corporate licensee (a "Corporate Licensee") under the terms of the Nevada Act. As a Registered Corporation, Ameristar is required periodically to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission and furnish any other information that the Nevada Commission may require. No person may become a holder of 5% or less of the stock of a Corporate Licensee without registering with the Nevada Gaming Authorities. No person may become a holder of more than 5% of the stock of, or receive any percentage of profits from, a Corporate Licensee without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Ameristar and CPI have obtained from the Nevada Gaming Authorities the various registrations, findings of suitability, approvals, permits and licenses currently required in order to engage in gaming activities in Nevada.

The Nevada Gaming Authorities may investigate any individual who has a material relationship to, or material involvement with, CPI or Ameristar in order to determine whether such individual is suitable or should be licensed as a business associate of a gaming licensee. Officers, directors and certain key employees of CPI must file applications with the Nevada Gaming Authorities and may be required to be licensed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Officers, directors and key employees of Ameristar who are actively and directly involved in gaming activities of CPI may be required to be reviewed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. The Nevada Gaming Authorities may deny an application for licensing for any cause that they deem reasonable. A finding of suitability is comparable to licensing, and both require submission of detailed personal and financial information followed by a thorough investigation. The applicant for licensing or a finding of suitability must pay all the costs of the investigation. Changes in licensed positions must be reported to the Nevada Gaming Authorities, and in addition to their authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability or licensure, the Nevada Gaming Authorities have jurisdiction to disapprove a change in a corporate position.

If the Nevada Gaming Authorities were to find an officer, director or key employee unsuitable for licensing or unsuitable to continue having a relationship with CPI or Ameristar, the companies involved would have to sever all relationships with such person. In addition, the Nevada Commission may require CPI or Ameristar to terminate the employment of any person who refuses to file appropriate applications. Determinations of suitability or of questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Nevada.

CPI and Ameristar are required to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission. Substantially all material loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by Ameristar and CPI must be reported to, or approved by, the Nevada Commission.

If it were determined that the Nevada Act was violated by CPI, the gaming licenses it holds or has applied for could be limited, denied, conditioned, suspended or revoked, subject to compliance with certain statutory and regulatory procedures. In addition, CPI, Ameristar and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation of the Nevada Act at the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Further, a supervisor could be

appointed by the Nevada Commission to operate CPI's gaming properties and, under certain circumstances, earnings generated during the supervisor's appointment (except for the reasonable rental value of the premises) could be forfeited to the State of Nevada. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license or the appointment of a supervisor could (and denial or revocation of any gaming license would) materially adversely affect our gaming operations.

Any beneficial holder of Ameristar's voting or non-voting securities, regardless of the number of shares owned, may be required to file an application, be investigated and have his suitability as a beneficial holder of Ameristar's voting securities determined if the Nevada Commission has reason to believe that such ownership would otherwise be inconsistent with the declared policy of the State of Nevada. The applicant must pay all costs of investigation incurred by the Nevada Gaming Authorities in conducting any such investigation.

The Nevada Act requires any person who acquires beneficial ownership of more than 5% of a Registered Corporation's voting securities to report the acquisition to the Nevada Commission. The Nevada Act requires that beneficial owners of more than 10% of a Registered Corporation's voting securities apply to the Nevada Commission for a finding of suitability within 30 days after the Chairman of the Nevada Board mails the written notice requiring such filing. However, an "institutional investor," as defined in the Nevada Act, which beneficially owns more than 10% but not more than 11% of a Registered Company's voting securities as a result of a stock repurchase by the Registered Company may not be required to file such an application. Further, an institutional investor which acquires more than 10%, but not more than 25%, of a Registered Corporation's voting securities may apply to the Nevada Commission for a waiver of such finding of suitability if such institutional investor holds the voting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor that has obtained a waiver may hold more than 25% but not more than 29% of a Registered Corporation's voting securities and maintain its waiver where the additional ownership results from a stock repurchase by the Registered Corporation. An institutional investor shall not be deemed to hold voting securities for investment purposes unless the voting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investor and not for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly, the election of a majority of the members of the board of directors of the Registered Corporation, any change in the corporate charter, bylaws, management, policies or operations of the Registered Corporation or any of its gaming affiliates, or any other action which the Nevada Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding the Registered Corporation's voting securities for investment purposes only. Activities which are not deemed to be inconsistent with holding voting securities for investment purposes only include (1) voting on all matters voted on by stockholders; (2) making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in its management, policies or operations; and (3) such other activities as the Nevada Commission may determine to be consistent with such investment intent. If the beneficial holder of voting securities who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information, including a list of beneficial owners. The applicant is required to pay all costs of investigation. Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within 30 days after being ordered to

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within 30 days after being ordered to do so by the Nevada Commission or the Chairman of the Nevada Board may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner if the record owner, after request, fails to identify the beneficial owner. Any equity security holder found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of the equity securities of a Registered Corporation beyond such period of time as may be prescribed by the Nevada Commission may be guilty of a criminal offense. Ameristar is subject to disciplinary action if, after it receives notice that a person is unsuitable to be a security holder or to have any other relationship with Ameristar or CPI, Ameristar (1) pays that person any dividend or interest upon voting securities of Ameristar, (2) allows that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through securities held by the person, (3) pays remuneration in any form to that person for services rendered or otherwise, or (4) fails to pursue all lawful efforts to require such unsuitable person to relinquish his securities including, if necessary, the immediate purchase of such securities by Ameristar for cash at fair market value. Additionally, the Liquor Board of Elko County has the authority to approve all persons owning or controlling the stock of any corporation controlling a gaming license within its jurisdiction.

The Nevada Commission may, at its discretion, require the holder of any debt security of a Registered Corporation to file applications, be investigated and be found suitable to own the debt security of a Registered Corporation if it has reason to believe that such holder's acquisition of such ownership would otherwise be inconsistent with the declared policy of the State of Nevada. If the Nevada Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own such security, then pursuant to the Nevada Act, the Registered Corporation can be sanctioned, including the loss of its approvals, if without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission, it (1) pays to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest, or any distribution whatsoever; (2) recognizes any voting right by such unsuitable person in connection with such securities; (3) pays the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or (4) makes any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation or similar transaction.

Ameristar is required to maintain a current stock ledger in Nevada, which may be examined by the Nevada Gaming Authorities at any time. If any securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Nevada Gaming Authorities. A failure to make such

disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. Ameristar is also required to render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner. The Nevada Commission has the power to require Ameristar stock certificates to bear a legend indicating that the securities are subject to the Nevada Act. However, to date, the Nevada Commission has not imposed such a requirement on Ameristar.

Ameristar may not make a public offering of its securities without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission if the securities or the proceeds therefrom are intended to be used to construct, acquire or finance gaming facilities in Nevada, or to retire or extend obligations incurred for such purposes. On March 24, 2011, the Nevada Commission granted us approval to make public offerings for a period of two years, subject to specified conditions (the "Shelf Approval"). The Shelf Approval also applies to any company we wholly own that is a publicly traded corporation or would become a publicly traded corporation pursuant to a public offering ("Affiliate"). The Shelf Approval also includes approval for CPI to guarantee any security issued by, and to

hypothecate its assets to secure the payment or performance of any obligations evidenced by a security issued by, us or an Affiliate in a public offering. The Shelf Approval also includes approval to place restrictions upon the transfer of, and enter into agreements not to encumber the equity securities of, CPI. The Shelf Approval, however, may be rescinded for good cause, without prior notice upon the issuance of an interlocutory stop order by the Chairman of the Nevada Board. The Shelf Approval does not constitute a finding, recommendation or approval by the Nevada Commission or the Nevada Board as to the accuracy or adequacy of the investment merits of the securities offered. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

Changes in control of Ameristar through merger, consolidation, stock or asset acquisitions, management or consulting agreements, or any act or conduct by a person whereby he obtains control may not occur without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of a Registered Corporation must satisfy the Nevada Board and Nevada Commission in a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control of such Registered Corporation. The Nevada Commission may also require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control to be investigated and licensed as part of the approval process relating to the transaction.

The Nevada legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and corporate defense tactics affecting Nevada Corporate Licensees, and Registered Corporations that are affiliated with those operations, may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Nevada Commission has established a regulatory scheme to ameliorate the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon Nevada's gaming industry and to further Nevada's policy to (1) assure the financial stability of Corporate Licensees and their affiliates; (2) preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and (3) promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs. Approvals are, in certain circumstances, required from the Nevada Commission before the Registered Corporation can make exceptional repurchases of voting securities above the current market price thereof and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management can be consummated. The Nevada Act also requires prior approval of a plan of recapitalization proposed by the Registered Corporation's board of directors in response to a tender offer made directly to the Registered Corporation's stockholders for the purposes of acquiring control of the Registered Corporation.

Ameristar has adopted and maintains a Gaming Compliance Program ("Program") that has been approved by the Chairman of the Nevada Board. The Program is designed to assist our efforts to maintain compliance with the gaming laws of the various jurisdictions under which we conduct our gaming operations. Under the Program, a Compliance Committee, assisted by a Compliance Officer, conducts reviews of specified types of proposed business and employment transactions and relationships and other matters related to regulatory requirements, and advises the Board of Directors and management accordingly. The Compliance Committee's activities are designed primarily to help assure the suitability of business associations of the Company and its affiliates.

License fees and taxes, computed in various ways depending on the type of gaming or activity involved, are payable to the State of Nevada and to the counties and cities in which the Nevada licensee's respective operations are conducted. Depending upon the particular fee or tax involved, these fees and taxes are payable monthly, quarterly or annually and are based upon: (1) a percentage of the gross revenues received; (2) the number of gaming devices operated; or (3) the number of table games operated. A live entertainment tax is also paid by certain casino operations where entertainment is furnished in connection with admission fees, the selling or serving of food and refreshments, or the selling of merchandise.

Any person who is licensed, required to be licensed, registered, required to be registered or is under common control with such persons (collectively, "Licensees"), and who proposes to become involved in a gaming venture outside of Nevada, is required to deposit with the Nevada Board, and thereafter maintain, a revolving fund in the amount of \$10,000 to pay the expenses of investigation of the Nevada Board of their participation in such foreign gaming. The revolving fund is subject to increase or decrease at the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Thereafter, Licensees are required to comply with certain reporting requirements imposed by the Nevada Act. Licensees are also subject to disciplinary action by the Nevada Commission if they knowingly violate any laws of the foreign jurisdiction pertaining to the foreign gaming operation, fail to conduct the foreign gaming operation in accordance with the standards of honesty and integrity required of Nevada gaming operations, engage in activities or enter into

associations that are harmful to the State of Nevada or its ability to collect gaming taxes and fees or employ, contract with or associate with a person in the foreign operation who has been denied a license or finding of suitability in Nevada on the ground of unsuitability.

Other Jurisdictions

We expect to be subject to rigorous regulatory standards, which may or may not be similar to the foregoing standards, in each jurisdiction in which we may seek to conduct gaming operations in the future. There can be no assurance that statutes or regulations adopted or fees and taxes imposed by other jurisdictions will permit us to operate profitably. Federal Regulation of Slot Machines

We are required to make annual filings with the U.S. Department of Justice in connection with the sale, distribution or

operation of slot machines. All requisite filings for the current year have been made.

Other Regulations

Our business is subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations in addition to those discussed above. These laws and regulations include, but are not limited to, those concerning employees, taxation, zoning and building codes, environmental protection, maritime operations, marketing and advertising, currency transaction reporting and the extension and collection of credit. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. Material changes, new laws or regulations or material differences in interpretations by courts or governmental authorities could adversely affect our business. Web Access to Periodic Reports

Our Internet website address is www.ameristar.com. We make available free of charge through our website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company's code of ethics applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer is also available on our website. Information contained on our website shall not be deemed to be incorporated in or a part of this Report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business is sensitive to reductions in discretionary consumer spending.

Our business has been and may continue to be adversely affected by the weak economic conditions currently being experienced in the United States, as we are highly dependent on discretionary spending by our guests. We are not able to predict the length or severity of the current economic climate. Changes in discretionary consumer spending or consumer preferences brought about by factors such as increased or continuing high unemployment, significant increases in energy and automobile fuel prices, perceived or actual deterioration in general economic conditions, the protracted disruption in the housing markets, the availability of credit, perceived or actual decline in disposable consumer income and wealth (including declines resulting from any increase in personal income tax rates) and changes in consumer confidence in the economy may continue to reduce customer demand for the leisure activities we offer and adversely affect our revenues and cash flow.

We have substantial debt and may incur additional debt; leverage may impair our financial condition and restrict our operations.

We currently have a substantial amount of debt. As of December 31, 2011, our total consolidated debt, including discount, was \$1.93 billion. Under generally accepted accounting principles, our total liabilities exceed our total assets.

Subject to specified limitations, the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes issued in 2011 permits us to incur substantial additional debt. In addition, as of December 31, 2011, our senior credit facility permits us to borrow up to an additional \$257.0 million as revolving loans (after giving effect to the outstanding letters of credit). Our substantial debt and any additional debt we may incur to expand our business or otherwise could have important consequences to our business, including:

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund working capital requirements, capital expenditures, investments and acquisitions;

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations for the payment of interest on our debt and reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, dividends, stock repurchases and general corporate requirements;

dimiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; exposing our cash flows to changes in floating rates of interest such that an increase in floating rates would negatively impact our cash flows; and

placing us at a competitive disadvantage to less leveraged competitors.

The occurrence of any of these events could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects and ability to satisfy our outstanding debt obligations.

Servicing our debt will require a significant amount of cash and our ability to generate sufficient cash depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and refinance our debt and to fund capital expenditures depends on our ability to generate cash flow in the future. To some extent, our ability to generate future cash flow is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and regulatory factors and other factors that are beyond our control. In addition, the ability to borrow funds under our senior credit facility in the future will depend on our satisfying the financial covenants in the agreement governing the facility. We cannot assure that our business will generate cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us under our senior credit facility in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our debt or to fund other liquidity needs. Any inability to generate sufficient cash flow or refinance our debt on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Covenant restrictions under our senior credit facility and the indenture governing our senior notes may limit our

Covenant restrictions under our senior credit facility and the indenture governing our senior notes may limit our ability to operate our business.

The agreement governing our senior credit facility and the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes issued in 2011 contain covenants that restrict our ability to, among other things, borrow money, pay dividends, make capital expenditures and effect a consolidation, merger or disposal of all or substantially all of our assets. Although the covenants in our senior credit facility and the indenture are subject to various exceptions, we cannot assure you that these covenants will not adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other activities that may be in our best interest. In addition, our long-term debt requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy certain financial condition tests, which may require that we take action to reduce our debt or to act in a manner contrary to our business objectives. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our senior credit facility and the indenture. If an event of default under our senior credit facility occurs, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding thereunder, together with accrued interest, to be immediately due and payable. In addition, our senior credit facility is secured by first priority security interests in substantially all of our real and personal property, including the capital stock of our subsidiaries. If we are unable to pay all amounts declared due and payable in the event of a default, the lenders could foreclose on these assets.

The gaming industry is very competitive and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on our future operations.

The gaming industry is very competitive, and we face dynamic competitive pressures in our markets. Several of our competitors are larger and have greater financial and other resources. We may choose or be required to take actions in response to competitors that may increase our marketing costs and other operating expenses.

Our operating properties are located in jurisdictions that restrict gaming to certain areas or are adjacent to states that prohibit or restrict gaming operations. These restrictions and prohibitions provide substantial benefits to our business and our ability to attract and retain guests. The legalization or expanded legalization or authorization of gaming within or near a market area of one of our properties could result in a significant increase in competition and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Economic difficulties faced by state governments, as well as the increased acceptance of gaming as a leisure activity, could lead to intensified political pressure for the expansion of legalized gaming.

In 2007, the Kansas legislature enacted a law that authorizes up to four state-owned and operated freestanding casinos and three racetrack slot machine parlors developed and managed by third parties. At that time, one casino and one racetrack location were authorized in Wyandotte County in the greater Kansas City market. The owner of the potential racetrack slot machine parlor license surrendered its racing license and closed the facility due to concerns about the tax rate that would apply to its gaming operations, which was substantially higher than the tax rate in Missouri or applicable to Kansas freestanding casinos. The future status of the racetrack license is uncertain; however, there have been discussions about reducing the tax rate in order to incentivize the installation of slot machines at the racetracks. On February 3, 2012, a partnership that includes a major commercial casino operator opened the first phase of a large land-based casino and entertainment facility at the Kansas Speedway, approximately 24 miles from Ameristar Kansas City. This facility will provide significant additional competition for the Kansas City market and could materially adversely affect the financial performance of Ameristar Kansas City.

Our East Chicago property currently competes with eight other casino gaming facilities in the Chicagoland market in Indiana and Illinois and with one Native American casino in Michigan. One of our property's principal competitors is located in Hammond, Indiana, which is closer to and has significantly better access for customers who live in Chicago, Illinois and the Chicago suburbs that are the primary feeder markets for Ameristar East Chicago. In 2008, the Hammond facility opened a \$485 million expansion that has adversely affected our property's business, particularly table games and poker, and we expect will continue to do so.

The tenth and final Illinois casino license was awarded to a developer for a property in Des Plaines, Illinois, located approximately 40 miles from Ameristar East Chicago. That facility opened in July 2011 and has resulted in increased competition for Ameristar East Chicago. From time to time, the Illinois legislature has also considered other forms of gaming expansion in the

state. The most recent was a bill that was passed by the legislature in 2011 but not signed into law by the governor. It would have authorized a large-scale expansion of casino gaming in Chicago and throughout the state. It is likely that a similar effort will be made again in the future. If Illinois materially expands gaming, particularly in downtown Chicago or the south Chicago suburbs, the additional competition would materially adversely affect the financial performance of Ameristar East Chicago. The expansion of gaming in southern Illinois would also have an adverse effect on Ameristar St. Charles.

Over the years, several attempts have been made in the Indiana legislature to allow one of the two adjacent riverboat casino licenses held by the same operator in Gary, Indiana, approximately five miles from Ameristar East Chicago, to be moved to a nearby land-based location. None of the efforts has been successful, but it is possible such a measure will be introduced in the future. If a casino is allowed to relocate inland to a more accessible location, it could materially adversely affect the financial performance of Ameristar East Chicago.

In 2007, a competitor opened a new casino in downtown St. Louis, approximately 22 miles from Ameristar St. Charles, and in 2010 the same competitor opened an additional casino facility in southeastern St. Louis County, approximately 30 miles from Ameristar St. Charles. The new facilities have resulted in significant additional competition for Ameristar St. Charles that has adversely impacted its business. In September 2011, the competitor announced a planned expansion of the southeastern St. Louis County facility to include a 200-room hotel, an event center and a parking garage. The expansion is slated for completion in late 2013. In addition, if legislation is enacted in Illinois to permit the operation of slot machines at racetracks, Ameristar St. Charles would face additional competition from the racetrack near downtown St. Louis.

Over the years, several attempts have been made in the Colorado legislature to authorize video lottery terminals ("VLTs") within the state. VLTs are very similar in appearance and performance to casino slot machines. A bill to authorize VLTs has been introduced this year as well. If VLTs are authorized in Colorado, they would represent additional competition for Ameristar Black Hawk and could materially adversely affect the financial performance of the property.

Recently, the operator of a casino in Reno, Nevada agreed to purchase one of Ameristar Black Hawk's larger competitors in the market, which has been operating in bankruptcy. It is possible that the facility will become more competitive following the closing of the purchase.

Several attempts have been made in the Nebraska legislature to expand gaming in the state. None of those efforts has been successful. If casino gaming is authorized in the Omaha area, it could have a material adverse impact on Ameristar Council Bluffs' business.

Native American gaming facilities in some instances operate under regulatory and financial requirements that are less stringent than those imposed on state-licensed casinos, which could provide them with a competitive advantage and lead to increased competition in our markets, In December 2007, the National Indian Gaming Commission (the "NIGC") approved the request of the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska to have a five-acre parcel owned by the tribe in Carter Lake, Iowa, located approximately five miles from Ameristar Council Bluffs, approved for the operation of gaming. In December 2008, in a lawsuit brought by the State of Nebraska and joined by the State of Iowa and the City of Council Bluffs, the federal district court reversed the NIGC's decision. The U.S. Department of the Interior appealed the district court ruling, and in October 2010 the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the district court's decision and ordered the court to remand the matter to the NIGC for further consideration. The Court of Appeals directed the NIGC to revisit the issue, taking into consideration, among other things, a 2003 agreement between the State of Iowa and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. That agreement stated that the five-acre parcel would be utilized for a health center and not for gaming purposes. If the tribe is allowed to conduct gaming at this location, the additional competition would adversely affect our Council Bluffs business. The NIGC has yet to publicly render a decision on this matter. The entry into our current markets of additional competitors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, particularly if a competitor were to obtain a license to operate a gaming facility in a superior location. Furthermore, increases in the popularity of, and competition from, Internet and other account wagering and gaming services, which allow customers to wager on a wide variety of sporting events and play Las Vegas-style casino games from home, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects. The law in this area has been rapidly evolving, and additional legislative

developments may occur at the federal and state levels that would accelerate the proliferation of certain forms of Internet gaming in the United States.

Our business may be adversely affected by legislation prohibiting tobacco smoking.

Legislation in various forms to ban indoor tobacco smoking in public places has recently been enacted or introduced in many states and local jurisdictions, including several of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Effective January 1, 2008, a Colorado smoking ban was extended to include casino floors. We believe this ban has significantly negatively impacted business volumes in all Colorado gaming markets. In April 2008, voters in the City of Kansas City approved a ballot measure, which was subsequently modified by the City Council, that prohibits smoking in most indoor public places within the City, including restaurants, but which contains an exemption for casino floors and 20% of all hotel rooms. One of Ameristar Kansas City's competitors is not subject to

a smoking ban in any form, which we believe has had some negative impact on our business. On July 1, 2008, a statewide indoor smoking ban went into effect in the State of Iowa. The law includes an exemption for casino floors and 20% of all hotel rooms. From time to time, bills have been introduced in the Iowa legislature that would eliminate the casino floor exemption. Nevada has a statewide indoor smoking ban, with exemptions for the gaming areas of casinos and bars where prepared food is not served. In January 2012, a statewide indoor smoking ban was passed by the Indiana House that provides an exemption for the entire footprint of casino properties. It is not yet certain if this bill will become law and, if so, whether the casino exemption will remain in the bill. Similar bills have been introduced from time to time in the Missouri legislature and the St. Charles County Council. If additional restrictions on smoking are enacted in jurisdictions in which we operate, particularly if such restrictions are applicable to casino floors, our financial performance could be materially adversely affected.

If the jurisdictions in which we operate increase gaming taxes and fees, our results could be adversely affected. State and local authorities raise a significant amount of revenue through taxes and fees on gaming activities. From time to time, legislators and government officials have proposed changes in tax laws, or in the administration of such laws, affecting the gaming industry. Periods of economic downturn and budget deficits, such as are currently being experienced in most states, may intensify such efforts to raise revenues through increases in gaming taxes. If the jurisdictions in which we operate were to increase gaming taxes or fees, depending on the magnitude of the increase and any offsetting factors (such as the elimination of the buy-in limit in Missouri that became effective in 2008), our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Effective July 1, 2011, the Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission reduced all gaming tax rate tiers by 5%, which resulted in a reduction of the top rate tier from 20% to 19%. The Commission has announced that it plans to reconsider the tax reduction in the spring of 2012, and we believe it is likely that the highest rate tier will be restored to 20%, effective July 1, 2012.

Several measures have been introduced in the current session of the Missouri legislature that would raise the casino admission tax. The proceeds would benefit the state's veterans' services. If any of the measures is enacted, it could have a material adverse impact on the financial results of Ameristar Kansas City and Ameristar St. Charles.

We are subject to the risk of rising interest rates.

All of our outstanding debt under our senior credit facility bears interest at variable rates. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$1.13 billion outstanding under our senior credit facility, including discount. If short-term interest rates rise from current levels, our interest cost would increase, which would adversely affect our net income and available cash. Our business is subject to restrictions and limitations imposed by gaming regulatory authorities that could adversely affect us.

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities are subject to extensive state and local regulation. The states of Missouri, Iowa, Indiana, Mississippi, Colorado and Nevada and the applicable local authorities require various licenses, findings of suitability, registrations, permits and approvals to be held by us and our subsidiaries. The Missouri Gaming Commission, the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission, the Indiana Gaming Commission, the Mississippi Gaming Commission, the Colorado Limited Gaming Control Commission and the Nevada Gaming Commission may, among other things, limit, condition, suspend, revoke or not renew a license or approval to own the stock of any of our Missouri, Iowa, Indiana, Mississippi, Colorado or Nevada subsidiaries, respectively, for any cause deemed reasonable by such licensing authority. Our gaming licenses in Missouri and Colorado must be renewed every two years, our gaming licenses in Iowa and Indiana must be renewed every year and our gaming license in Mississippi must be renewed every three years. If we violate gaming laws or regulations, substantial fines could be levied against us, our subsidiaries and the persons involved, and we could be forced to forfeit portions of our assets. The suspension, revocation or non-renewal of any of our licenses or the levy on us of substantial fines or forfeiture of assets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

To date, we have obtained all governmental licenses, findings of suitability, registrations, permits and approvals necessary for the operation of our currently operating gaming activities. However, gaming licenses and related approvals are deemed to be privileges under the laws of all the jurisdictions in which we operate. We cannot assure you that our existing licenses, permits and approvals will be maintained or extended. We also cannot assure you that any new licenses, permits and approvals that may be required in the future will be granted to us.

Holders of our common stock may be subject to gaming regulatory requirements or could be forced to sell their shares.

All of the jurisdictions in which we operate gaming facilities have regulations requiring owners of more than a specified percentage of our outstanding stock to notify gaming regulatory authorities, provide information or certifications to those authorities and, in some cases, apply for a finding of suitability or license. The threshold level of ownership for such requirements in some jurisdictions is as low as 5% of our outstanding common stock, although exceptions or reduced requirements may be applicable for timely submissions by holders who generally qualify as "institutional investors" as defined in that jurisdiction and own not

more than 10% of our stock. The specific qualifications vary by jurisdiction, but the lack of intent to exercise control over the company or its operations, by itself, is not a sufficient basis for exception or reduced requirements in some jurisdictions. For more information, see "Item 1. Business — Government Regulation."

Owners of sufficient percentages of our common stock who do not or cannot comply with these requirements may be compelled to dispose of their common stock quickly and at a time at which they do not desire to do so. Any such sales by a significant holder of common stock, and the regulatory disincentives to acquire significant ownership positions, could affect the trading price of our common stock.

Adverse weather conditions or natural disasters in the areas in which we operate, or other conditions that restrict access to our properties, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Adverse weather conditions, particularly flooding, heavy snowfall and other extreme conditions, as well as natural disasters, can deter our guests from traveling or make it difficult for them to visit our properties. If any of our properties were to experience prolonged adverse weather conditions, or if multiple properties were to simultaneously experience adverse weather conditions, our results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected. Our business may also be adversely affected by other events or conditions that restrict access to our properties, such as road closures.

In December 2009, the Indiana Department of Transportation ("INDOT") announced that it was permanently closing the Cline Avenue bridge near Ameristar East Chicago due to safety concerns discovered during an inspection of the bridge. The closure of the bridge has made access to the property inconvenient, relative to our competitors, for many of Ameristar East Chicago's guests and has significantly impacted the property's financial results. We expect this to continue unless and until improved access is developed. Recently, INDOT has indicated that it is in discussions with private parties to rebuild the bridge and convert the highway to a toll road. There can be no assurance that this will occur or, if it does, as to how the imposition of tolls may affect visitation to Ameristar East Chicago. If the bridge is not rebuilt, area surface streets are expected to be improved by INDOT.

In Black Hawk, a project to widen a mile-long stretch of State Route 119 near Ameristar Black Hawk to four lanes began in late 2010 and is expected to last until August 2012. This project has created some inconvenience for guests of Ameristar Black Hawk and may continue to adversely affect its business levels while it is ongoing.

In November 2011, the Missouri Department of Transportation awarded a contract for a major renovation of the westbound span of the Blanchette Bridge, which carries Interstate 70 over the Missouri River near Ameristar St. Charles. During the project, the westbound span of the bridge will be closed for up to one year and westbound traffic will be diverted to the eastbound span, which will carry three lanes in each direction, compared to the current five lanes in each direction. Preliminary work has begun to prepare for the bridge closure, with lanes and highway ramps closed intermittently for brief intervals. The timing of the bridge closure has not yet been announced, but it is not expected to begin prior to November 2012. The project will create an inconvenience for guests of Ameristar St. Charles and we expect it will materially adversely affect its business levels while it is ongoing.

We have limited insurance coverage for earthquake damage at our properties. Several of our properties, particularly Ameristar St. Charles, are located near historically active earthquake faults. In the event one of our properties were to sustain significant damage from an earthquake, our business could be materially adversely affected.

We have limited opportunities to develop or acquire new properties.

The casino gaming industry has limited new development opportunities. Most jurisdictions in which casino gaming is currently permitted place numerical and/or geographical limitations on the issuance of new gaming licenses. Although a number of jurisdictions in the United States and foreign countries are considering legalizing or expanding casino gaming, in some cases new gaming operations may be restricted to specific locations, such as pari-mutuel racetracks. Moreover, it is not clear whether the tax, land use planning and regulatory structures that may be applicable to any new gaming opportunity would make the development and operation of a casino financially attractive to us. We expect that there will be intense competition for any attractive new opportunities (which may include acquisitions of existing properties) that do arise, including the gaming license that we intend to apply for in western Massachusetts, and many of the companies competing for such opportunities will have greater resources and name recognition than we do. Therefore, we cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully expand our business through new development or acquisitions. Futhermore, there can be no assurance that any future development or acquisitions that

we may pursue will be profitable.

The continued payment of dividends on our stock is dependent on a number of factors and is not assured. Holders of our common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as our Board of Directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. The payment of future dividends will depend upon our earnings, economic conditions, liquidity and capital requirements and other factors, including our debt leverage. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that future dividends will be paid at levels comparable to our historical distributions, if at all. In addition, our senior credit facility and the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes issued in 2011 impose limitations on the amount of dividends we may pay.

Many factors, some of which are beyond our control, could adversely affect our ability to successfully complete our construction and development projects as planned.

General Construction Risks — Delays and Cost Overruns. Construction and expansion projects for our properties entail significant risks. These risks include: (1) shortages of materials (including slot machines or other gaming equipment); (2) shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages; (3) unforeseen construction scheduling, engineering, environmental or geological problems; (4) weather interference, floods, hurricanes, fires or other casualty losses; (5) unanticipated cost increases; (6) delays or increased costs in obtaining required governmental permits and approvals; and (7) construction period disruption to existing operations.

Our anticipated costs and construction periods for construction projects are based upon budgets, conceptual design documents and construction schedule estimates prepared by us in consultation with our architects, consultants and contractors. The cost of any construction project undertaken by us may vary significantly from initial expectations, and we may have a limited amount of capital resources to fund cost overruns on any project. If we cannot finance cost overruns on a timely basis, the completion of one or more projects may be delayed until adequate cash flows from operations or other financing is available. The completion date of any of our construction projects could also differ significantly from initial expectations for construction-related or other reasons. We cannot assure you that any project will be completed on time, if at all, or within established budgets. Significant delays or cost overruns on our construction projects could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

From time to time, we may employ "fast-track" design and construction methods in our construction and development projects. This involves the design of future stages of construction while earlier stages of construction are underway. Although we believe the use of fast-track design and construction methods may reduce the overall construction time, these methods may not always result in such reductions, often involve greater construction costs than otherwise would be incurred and may increase the risk of disputes with contractors, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Construction Dependent upon Available Financing and Cash Flows from Operations. The availability of funds under our senior credit facility at any time is dependent upon, among other factors, the amount of our Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the senior credit facility agreement, during the preceding four consecutive fiscal quarters. Our future operating performance will be subject to financial, economic, business, competitive, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that our future consolidated Adjusted EBITDA and the resulting availability of operating cash flows or borrowing capacity will be sufficient to allow us to undertake or complete future construction projects.

As a result of operating risks, including those described in this section, and other risks associated with a new venture, we cannot assure you that, once completed, any development project will increase our operating profits or operating cash flows.

Our business may be materially impacted by an act of terrorism or by additional security requirements that may be imposed on us.

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security has stated that places where large numbers of people congregate, including hotels, are subject to a heightened risk of terrorism. An act of terrorism affecting one of our properties, whether or not covered by insurance, or otherwise affecting the gaming, travel or tourism industry in the United States, may have a material adverse effect on our business. Additionally, our business may become subject to increased security measures designed to prevent terrorist acts.

Our business may be adversely affected by our ability to retain and attract key personnel.

We depend on the continued performance of our entire senior management team. If we lose the services of any of our key executives or our senior property management personnel and cannot replace such persons in a timely manner, it could have an adverse effect on our business.

We have experienced and expect to continue to experience strong competition in hiring and retaining qualified property and corporate management personnel, including competition from numerous Native American gaming facilities that are not subject to the same taxation regimes as we are and therefore may be willing and able to pay higher rates of compensation. From time to time, we have a number of vacancies in key corporate and property

management positions. If we are unable to successfully recruit and retain qualified management personnel at our properties or at our corporate level, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

As we recruit personnel, we expect successful candidates to exhibit a collaborative, communicative and collegial nature. We also employ a high degree of centralization in a generally highly decentralized industry. These factors create risk in attracting management personnel in a timely fashion, as well as hiring candidates we expect to be successful within our Company.

The concentration and evolution of the slot machine manufacturing industry or other technological conditions could impose additional costs on us.

A substantial majority of our revenues is attributable to slot machines operated by us at our casinos. It is important that, for competitive reasons, we offer the most popular and up-to-date slot machine games with the latest technology to our guests.

In recent years, the prices of new slot machines with additional features have escalated faster than the general rate of inflation. Furthermore, in recent years, slot machine manufacturers have frequently refused to sell slot machines featuring the most popular games, instead requiring participation lease arrangements in order to acquire the machines. Participation slot machine leasing arrangements typically require the payment of a fixed daily rental. Such agreements may also include a percentage payment of coin-in or net win. Generally, a participation lease is substantially more expensive over the long term than the cost to purchase a new machine.

For competitive reasons, we may choose to purchase new slot machines or enter into participation lease arrangements that are more expensive than the costs associated with the continued operation of our existing slot machines. If the newer slot machines do not result in sufficient incremental revenues to offset the increased investment and participation lease costs, it could hurt our profitability.

We materially rely on a variety of hardware and software products to maximize revenue and efficiency in our operations. Technology in the gaming industry is developing rapidly, and we may need to invest substantial amounts to acquire the most current gaming and hotel technology and equipment in order to remain competitive in the markets in which we operate. Ensuring the successful implementation and maintenance of any new technology acquired is an additional risk.

Any loss from service of our operating facilities for any reason could materially adversely affect us.

Our operating facilities could be lost from service due to casualty, mechanical failure, extended or extraordinary maintenance, floods or other severe weather conditions. Our riverboat and barge facilities are especially exposed to these risks and the changes in water levels.

The Ameristar Vicksburg site has experienced ongoing geologic instability that requires periodic maintenance and improvements. Although we have reinforced the cofferdam basin in which the vessel is drydocked on a concrete foundation, further reinforcements may be necessary. We are also monitoring the site and expect that further steps will be necessary to stabilize the site in order to permit operations to continue. A site failure would require Ameristar Vicksburg to limit or cease operations.

The loss of an operating facility from service for any period of time likely would adversely affect our operating results and borrowing capacity under our senior credit facility in an amount that we are unable to reasonably accurately estimate. It could also result in the occurrence of an event of a default under our senior credit facility.

A change in control could result in the acceleration of our debt obligations.

Certain changes in control of Ameristar could result in the acceleration of our senior credit facility and the obligation to offer to repurchase our senior unsecured notes. We cannot assure you that we would be able to repay or refinance any indebtedness that is accelerated as a result of a change in control, and this would likely materially adversely affect our financial condition.

We are subject to non-gaming regulation.

We are subject to certain federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and ordinances that apply to businesses generally, including the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation Recovery Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and the Oil Pollution Act of 1990. Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may be held liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances or wastes located on its property, regardless of whether or not the present owner or operator knows of, or is responsible for, the presence of such substances or wastes. We have not identified any issues associated with our properties that could reasonably be expected to have an adverse effect on us or the results of our operations. However, certain of our properties are located in industrial areas or were used for industrial purposes for many years. As a consequence, it is possible that historical or neighboring activities have affected one or more of our properties and that, as a result, environmental issues could arise in the future, the precise nature of which we cannot now predict. We do not have environmental liability insurance to cover

most such events, and the environmental liability insurance coverage we maintain to cover certain events includes significant limitations and exclusions. In addition, if we discover any significant environmental contamination affecting any of our properties, we could face material remediation costs or additional development costs for future expansion activities.

Regulations adopted by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the U.S. Treasury Department require us to report currency transactions in excess of \$10,000 occurring within a gaming day, including identification of the patron by name and social security number. U.S. Treasury Department regulations also require us to report certain suspicious activity, including any transaction that exceeds \$5,000 if we know, suspect or have reason to believe that the transaction involves funds from illegal

activity or is designed to evade federal regulations or reporting requirements. Substantial penalties can be imposed against us if we fail to comply with these regulations.

Our riverboats must comply with certain federal and state laws and regulations with respect to boat design, on-board facilities, equipment, personnel and safety. In addition, we are required to have third parties periodically inspect and certify all of our casino barges for stability and single compartment flooding integrity. Our casino barges also must meet local fire safety standards. We would incur additional costs if any of our gaming facilities were not in compliance with one or more of these regulations.

We are also subject to a variety of other federal, state and local laws and regulations, including those relating to zoning, construction, land use, employment, marketing and advertising and the sale of alcoholic beverages. If we are not in compliance with these laws and regulations, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The imposition of a substantial penalty or the loss of service of a gaming facility for a significant period of time would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Ameristar St. Charles. Ameristar St. Charles is located on approximately 52 acres that we own along the west bank of the Missouri River immediately north of Interstate 70. Ameristar St. Charles owns various other real property in the region, including undeveloped land held for possible future wetlands remediation.

Ameristar Kansas City. Ameristar Kansas City is located on approximately 182 acres of property that we own. The site is east of and adjacent to Interstate 435 along the north bank of the Missouri River.

Ameristar Council Bluffs. Ameristar Council Bluffs is located on an approximately 69-acre site along the east bank of the Missouri River. We own approximately 46 acres of this site and have rights to use the remaining portion of the site that is owned by the State of Iowa for a term expiring in 2045. We lease approximately one acre of the Ameristar Council Bluffs site to affiliates of Kinseth Hospitality Corporation for the operation of a 188-room limited service Holiday Inn Suites Hotel and a 96-room Hampton Inn Hotel.

Ameristar East Chicago. Ameristar East Chicago is located on a 28-acre site in East Chicago, Indiana, approximately 25 miles from downtown Chicago, Illinois. We lease the site from the City of East Chicago under a ground lease that expires (after giving effect to our renewal options) in 2086. We own the casino vessel, hotel and other improvements on the site.

Ameristar Vicksburg. Ameristar Vicksburg is located on two parcels, totaling approximately 52 acres, that we own in Vicksburg, Mississippi on either side of Washington Street near Interstate 20. We own or lease various other properties in the vicinity that are not part of our facility, including a service station and convenience store and a recreational vehicle park that we operate.

Ameristar Black Hawk. Ameristar Black Hawk is located on a site of approximately 5.8 acres that we own on the north side of Colorado Highway 119 in Black Hawk, Colorado. We own various other properties in the vicinity that are not part of our facility, including approximately 100 acres of largely hillside land across Richman Street from the casino site, portions of which are used for overflow parking, administrative offices and other operational uses, and a warehouse.

The Jackpot Properties. We own approximately 116 acres in or around Jackpot, Nevada, including the 31-acre site of Cactus Petes and the 17.5-acre site of The Horseshu. The Cactus Petes and Horseshu sites are across from each other on either side of U.S. Highway 93. We also own a service station and 288 housing units in Jackpot that support the primary operations of the Jackpot properties.

Other. We lease office and warehouse space in various locations outside of our operating properties, including our corporate offices in Las Vegas, Nevada. We own or lease other real property in various locations in the United States that is used in connection with our business, including approximately 40 acres of land we purchased in January 2012 in Springfield, Massachusetts as the site of a possible future casino resort.

All of our operating facilities and most of our other owned and leased real property collateralize our obligations under our senior credit facility.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are party to litigation, most of which arises in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any litigation that management believes would be likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "ASCA." The price per share of common stock presented below represents the highest and lowest sales prices for our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market during each calendar quarter indicated.

	High	Low
2011		
First Quarter	\$18.12	\$14.64
Second Quarter	23.86	17.66
Third Quarter	24.50	15.67
Fourth Quarter	19.45	14.60
2010		
First Quarter	\$19.00	\$14.17
Second Quarter	20.69	15.00
Third Quarter	18.41	13.44
Fourth Quarter	19.23	15.48
(b) Holdors		

(b) Holders

As of February 23, 2012, there were approximately 191 holders of record of our common stock.

(c) Dividends

We have paid four quarterly dividends each year on our common stock since 2004, except for 2008, when we made three quarterly dividend payments. The payment of future dividends will depend upon our earnings, economic conditions, liquidity and capital requirements and other factors.

In each of 2011 and 2010, we paid four quarterly cash dividends of \$0.105 per share, for an annual total of \$0.42 per share.

Our senior credit facility obligates us to comply with certain covenants that place limitations on the payment of dividends. On April 14, 2011, we refinanced our debt and our new senior credit facility permits annual dividends of up to \$12.0 million from April 14, 2011 through December 31, 2011 and up to \$16.0 million during any fiscal year thereafter, with any unused portion of such amount permitted to be carried over to future years. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we paid dividends totaling \$16.4 million, of which \$10.3 million was paid after April 14, 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we paid dividends totaling \$24.4 million. Our prior senior credit facilities permitted annual dividends of up to \$30.0 million, with any unused portion of such amount permitted to be carried over to future years. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" and "Note 6 - Long-term debt" of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(d) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, we purchased the following shares of our outstanding common stock.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾
October 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011	146,395	\$15.90	146,395	\$69,925,500
November 1, 2011 - November 30, 2011	5,823	\$16.70	5,823	\$69,828,300
December 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011	_	\$ —	_	\$69,828,300
Total	152,218		152,218	

On September 15, 2011, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$75 million of our common stock in a stock repurchase program. The program provides that the shares may be repurchased through September 30,

^{(1) 2014} in open market transactions or privately negotiated transactions at our discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under the stock repurchase program, and purchases may be discontinued, or the stock repurchase program may be modified or terminated, at any time.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following data have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with those statements, certain of which are included in this Report.

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA ⁽¹⁾ :	2011	s Ended Decem 2010 thousands, exc	2009	2008 lata)	2007
REVENUES:					
Casino	\$1,248,616	\$1,247,034	\$1,254,590	\$1,296,806	\$1,083,380
Food and beverage	138,192	134,854	135,941	156,987	136,471
Rooms	77,870	79,403	66,411	56,024	30,844
Other	28,905	30,559	32,692	38,491	30,387
	1,493,583	1,491,850	1,489,634	1,548,308	1,281,082
Less: Promotional allowances	(279,077)	(302,568)	(274,189)	(280,406	(200,559)
Net revenues	1,214,506	1,189,282	1,215,445	1,267,902	1,080,523
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
Casino	537,094	544,001	556,684	604,747	478,504
Food and beverage	59,467	64,451	65,633	74,650	70,439
Rooms	14,904	17,591	10,466	11,221	9,341
Other	10,519	12,419	14,240	21,154	19,157
Selling, general and administrative	259,151	244,964	241,853	265,622	229,801
Depreciation and amortization	105,922	109,070	107,005	105,895	94,810
Impairment of goodwill	_	21,438	111,700	130,300	_
Impairment of other intangible assets		34,791		184,200	_
Impairment of fixed assets	245	224	3,929	1,031	4,758
Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets	(45)	255	411	683	1,408
Total operating expenses	987,257	1,049,204	1,111,921	1,399,503	908,218
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	227,249	140,078	103,524	(131,601	172,305
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):					
Interest income	15	452	515	774	2,113
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest		(121,233)	(106,849)	(76,639	(57,742)
Loss on early retirement of debt	(85,311)		(5,365)	_	_
Other	(784)	1,463	2,006	(3,404) (178
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	34,546	20,760	(6,169)	(210,870	116,498
Income tax provision (benefit)	27,752	12,130	(1,502)	(80,198	47,065
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$6,794	\$8,630	\$(4,667)	\$(130,672)	\$69,433
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:					
Basic	\$0.17	\$0.15	\$(0.08)		\$1.22
Diluted	\$0.17	\$0.15	\$(0.08)	\$(2.28)	\$1.19
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE SHARES					
OUTSTANDING:					
Basic	40,242	58,025	57,543	57,191	57,052
Diluted	41,136	58,818	57,543	57,191	58,322

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC. CONSOLIDATED SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	December 31,							
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007			
	(Amounts in thousands)							
BALANCE SHEET AND OTHER DATA:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$85,719	\$71,186	\$96,493	\$73,726	\$98,498			
Total assets	2,012,039	2,061,542	2,214,628	2,225,238	2,412,096			
Total long-term debt, net of current maturities ⁽²⁾	1,902,932	1,432,551	1,541,739	1,643,997	1,641,615			
Stockholders' (deficit) equity ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ Capital expenditures ⁽⁴⁾	(90,578) 82,629	351,020 58,396	335,993 136,615	338,780 241,826	503,126 277,312			

⁽¹⁾ We acquired Ameristar East Chicago on September 18, 2007 and the operating results of this property are included only from its acquisition date.

- In April 2011, we obtained \$2.2 billion of new debt financing. A portion of the proceeds from the new debt
- (2) financing were used to purchase 26,150,000 shares of our common stock held by the Estate of Craig H. Neilsen. The share repurchase reduced our outstanding shares by approximately 45%.
 - Dividends of \$16.4 million, \$24.4 million, \$24.2 million, \$18.0 million and \$23.4 million were paid in 2011, 2010,
- (3) 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The annual dividend per share was \$0.42 in 2011, \$0.42 in 2010, \$0.42 in 2009, \$0.315 in 2008 and \$0.41 in 2007.
- Increases (decreases) in construction contracts payable were \$0.9 million, \$(6.5) million, \$(28.4) million, \$5.9 million and \$5.6 million in 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following information should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes
thereto included in this Report. The information in this section and in this Report generally includes forward-looking
statements. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Overview

We develop, own and operate casinos and related hotel, food and beverage, entertainment and other facilities, with eight properties in operation in Missouri, Iowa, Colorado, Mississippi, Indiana and Nevada. Our portfolio of casinos consists of: Ameristar Casino Resort Spa St. Charles (serving the St. Louis, Missouri metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Kansas City (serving the Kansas City metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Council Bluffs (serving the Omaha, Nebraska metropolitan area and southwestern Iowa); Ameristar Casino Resort Spa Black Hawk (serving the Denver metropolitan area); Ameristar Casino Hotel Vicksburg (serving Jackson, Mississippi and Monroe, Louisiana); Ameristar Casino Hotel East Chicago (serving the Chicagoland area); and Cactus Petes Resort Casino and The Horseshu Hotel and Casino in Jackpot, Nevada (serving Idaho and the Pacific Northwest).

Our financial results are dependent upon the number of patrons that we attract to our properties and the amounts those guests spend per visit. Additionally, our operating results may be affected by, among other things, overall economic conditions impacting the disposable income of our guests, weather conditions affecting our properties, achieving and maintaining cost efficiencies, competitive factors, gaming tax increases and other regulatory changes, the commencement of new gaming operations, charges associated with debt refinancing or property acquisition and disposition transactions, construction at existing facilities and general public sentiment regarding travel. We may experience significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results due to seasonality, variations in gaming hold percentages and other factors. Consequently, our operating results for any quarter or year are not necessarily comparable and may not be indicative of future periods' results. Historically, our fourth quarter is weaker than other periods due mostly to the combined effects of inclement weather and guest visitation and spending patterns between the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays.

The following significant factors and trends should be considered in relation to our operating performance:

General Economic Conditions. Over the last few years, the weak economic conditions have adversely impacted our business volumes and the amount our guests spend at our properties. However, we have recently seen some indications of stability. Additionally, we have implemented operating and marketing efficiencies and significantly reduced our cost structure in response to the weak economic conditions. These enhancements have improved our operating margins.

Estate Stock Repurchase and Debt Refinancing. Following the execution of a binding letter agreement entered into on February 27, 2011, on March 25, 2011 we entered into a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement with the Estate of Craig H. Neilsen (the "Estate"), our then majority stockholder, to purchase 26,150,000 shares of our common stock held by the Estate at a purchase price of \$17.50 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$457,625,000 (the "Repurchase Transaction"). The Repurchase Transaction was completed on April 19, 2011 and reduced our outstanding shares by approximately 45%.

On April 14, 2011, we obtained \$2.2 billion of new debt financing (the "Debt Refinancing"). Proceeds from the Debt Refinancing were used to (i) repurchase substantially all of our outstanding 9¼% senior notes due 2014 (the "2014 Notes"), including payment of the tender premium and accrued interest, (ii) prepay and permanently retire all of the indebtedness under our prior senior secured credit facility, (iii) complete the Repurchase Transaction and (iv) pay related fees and expenses. The Debt Refinancing extended the maturities of all of our debt and significantly reduced the principal amortization previously required under our debt agreements for the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2012. As a result of these transactions, in the 2011 second quarter we recorded on a pre-tax basis an \$85.3 million loss on early retirement of debt.

Stock Repurchase Program. On September 15, 2011, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$75 million of our common stock in a stock repurchase program. The program provides that we may repurchase the shares through September 30, 2014 in open market transactions or privately negotiated transactions at our discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors. During 2011, we repurchased approximately 0.3 million shares, or 1% of our outstanding stock, under the program for \$5.2 million at an average price of \$16.23 per share, exclusive of commissions paid.

Debt and Interest Expense. At December 31, 2011, total debt was \$1.9 billion. Excluding the incurrence of and application of proceeds from the new debt in the Debt Refinancing, net debt repayments totaled \$194.3 million during 2011, equaling approximately one-third of the incremental debt from the Debt Refinancing. Since the Debt Refinancing and Repurchase Transaction, net repayments included \$129.0 million in repayments of a portion of the principal balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$5.3 million for the quarterly amortization payments under the B term loan. As of December 31, 2011, the amount of the revolving loan facility available for borrowing was \$257.0 million, after giving effect to \$4.0 million of outstanding letters of credit.

Consolidated net interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 decreased when compared to 2010 by \$14.6 million, or 12.1%. Our interest expense decreased significantly in 2011 primarily as a result of the termination of our interest rate swap agreements in July 2010 and the lower interest rates achieved through the April 2011 Debt Refinancing. In 2010, consolidated net interest expense increased by \$14.4 million, or 13.5%, compared to 2009, as a result of a senior credit facility amendment, senior secured notes issuance and extension of our revolving loan facility that all took place in 2009. Net interest expense also increased due to a decrease in capitalized interest from \$9.0 million in 2009 to \$0.7 million in 2010, primarily due to the completion of the Ameristar Black Hawk hotel.

Ameristar East Chicago. In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Indiana Department of Transportation ("INDOT") closed the Cline Avenue highway bridge near our property due to safety concerns. The bridge closure has adversely impacted access to our property and our business volumes. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2009 we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$111.7 million (\$66.2 million on an after-tax basis) for the impairment of goodwill related to our East Chicago property acquisition. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded another non-cash charge of \$56.0 million (\$33.2 million on an after-tax basis) for the impairment of goodwill and the gaming license. The property's net revenues stabilized in the fourth quarter of 2010 and have increased year-over-year for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Recently, INDOT has indicated that it is in discussions with private parties to rebuild the bridge and convert the highway to a toll road. At this time, it is unclear if the bridge will in fact be rebuilt, the timing of this project or, if the bridge is rebuilt, how the imposition of tolls may affect visitation to Ameristar East Chicago. If the bridge is not rebuilt, area surface streets are expected to be improved by INDOT.

Ameristar Black Hawk. In July 2009, positive statewide regulatory changes became effective in Black Hawk. The regulatory changes extended casino operating hours from 18 hours daily to 24 hours daily, increased the maximum single bet limit from \$5 to up to \$100 and allowed for the additional table games of roulette and craps. Also, in September 2009, we opened a 536-room luxury hotel and spa featuring upscale furnishings and amenities. The hotel includes a versatile meeting and ballroom center and has Black Hawk's only full-service spa and an enclosed rooftop

swimming pool with indoor/outdoor whirlpool facilities. Ameristar Black Hawk offers destination resort amenities and services that we believe are unequaled in the Denver gaming market. As a result of these regulatory changes and the opening of the new hotel, net revenues and operating income increased year-over-year in 2010 by 47.6% and 106.6%, respectively, compared to 2009. The property also increased its 2010 annual market share on a year-over-year basis from 20.5% to 27.5%. Ameristar Black Hawk continued to show improvement in 2011, as evidenced by year-over-year growth in net revenues, operating income and operating income margin.

Missouri Properties. In early March 2010, a gaming operator opened a new casino facility located in the southeastern portion of St. Louis County, approximately 30 miles from our St. Charles property. The additional competition has adversely affected the financial performance of Ameristar St. Charles and the other facilities that operate in the market. The new casino contributed to declines in our property's 2010 net revenues and operating income of 8.1% and 16.2%, respectively, compared to 2009. Ameristar St. Charles showed improvement in 2011, as evidenced by increases in 2011 net revenues and operating income of 1.0% and 15.5%, respectively, compared to 2010. On February 3, 2012, a casino operator opened a large land-based casino and entertainment facility at the Kansas Speedway, approximately 24 miles from Ameristar Kansas City. The new facility will provide significant additional competition for the Kansas City market and it could have a material adverse effect on the future financial performance of Ameristar Kansas City.

Results of Operations

Selected Financial Measures by Property

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our consolidated cash flows and the results of operations of our operating properties:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
	(Dollars in t					
Consolidated Cash Flow Information:						
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$253,349		\$218,827		\$220,182	
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(52,283)	\$(70,006)	\$(172,941)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$(186,533)	\$(174,128)	\$(24,474)
Net Revenues:						
Ameristar St. Charles	\$269,759		\$267,139		\$290,675	
Ameristar Kansas City	226,054		223,404		230,370	
Ameristar Council Bluffs	164,523		154,468		156,421	
Ameristar Black Hawk	153,203		152,254		103,168	
Ameristar Vicksburg	118,094		114,516		120,152	
Ameristar East Chicago	221,893		216,514		251,695	
Jackpot Properties	60,980		60,987		62,964	
Consolidated net revenues	\$1,214,506		\$1,189,282		\$1,215,445	
Operating Income (Loss):						
Ameristar St. Charles	\$68,908		\$59,658		\$71,231	
Ameristar Kansas City	66,088		59,134		61,601	
Ameristar Council Bluffs	57,962		47,027		46,887	
Ameristar Black Hawk	37,562		33,060		16,003	
Ameristar Vicksburg	38,365		33,528		32,902	
Ameristar East Chicago ⁽¹⁾	22,445		(41,874)	(78,077)
Jackpot Properties	13,642		11,526		13,338	
Corporate and other	(77,723)	(61,981)	(60,361)
Consolidated operating income (1)	\$227,249		\$140,078		\$103,524	
Operating Income (Loss) Margins (2):						
Ameristar St. Charles	25.5	%	22.3	%	24.5	%
Ameristar Kansas City	29.2	%	26.5	%	26.7	%
Ameristar Council Bluffs	35.2	%	30.4	%	30.0	%
Ameristar Black Hawk	24.5	%	21.7	%	15.5	%
Ameristar Vicksburg	32.5	%	29.3	%	27.4	%
Ameristar East Chicago ⁽¹⁾	10.1	%	(19.3)%	(31.0)%
Jackpot Properties	22.4	%	18.9	%	21.2	%
Consolidated operating income margin ⁽¹⁾	18.7	%	11.8	%	8.5	%

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, operating income (loss) and operating income (loss) margin

⁽¹⁾ were adversely impacted by \$56.0 million and \$111.7 million, respectively, in impairment charges related to Ameristar East Chicago intangible assets.

⁽²⁾ Operating income (loss) margin is operating income (loss) as a percentage of net revenues.

The following table presents detail of our net revenues:

	Years Ended	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009			
	(Amounts in	thousands)				
Casino Revenues:						
Slots	\$1,106,849	\$1,103,711	\$1,106,575			
Table games	141,767	143,323	148,015			
Casino revenues	1,248,616	1,247,034	1,254,590			
Non-Casino Revenues:						
Food and beverage	138,192	134,854	135,941			
Rooms	77,870	79,403	66,411			
Other	28,905	30,559	32,692			
Non-casino revenues	244,967	244,816	235,044			
	1,493,583	1,491,850	1,489,634			
Less: Promotional Allowances	(279,077	(302,568) (274,189)			
Total Net Revenues	\$1,214,506	\$1,189,282	\$1,215,445			

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Versus Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net Revenues

Consolidated net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased \$25.2 million, or 2.1%, from 2010. Net revenues improved on a year-over-year basis at six of our seven gaming locations, while net revenues at our Jackpot properties remained relatively flat. The improvement in net revenues was primarily attributable to our high quality service and amenities, our detailed attention to cost containment and effective marketing initiatives. The net revenue improvements occurred despite the additional competition in the broader Chicago market.

Consolidated casino revenues for 2011 increased \$1.6 million from the prior year. All of our properties, except Ameristar Black Hawk and our two Missouri properties, posted casino revenue improvements compared to 2010, primarily as a result of the effectiveness of our marketing initiatives.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, consolidated promotional allowances declined \$23.5 million, or 7.8%, from the same 2010 period. The decrease in promotional allowances was primarily the result of more efficient promotional spending in 2011. Also, 2010 promotional spending levels were elevated due to increased spending to counter the East Chicago bridge closure and to market the new Black Hawk hotel that opened in September 2009. 2011 promotional spending was similar to 2009 levels, taking into consideration the increase in promotional spending related to the new hotel in Black Hawk. For 2011, 2010 and 2009, promotional allowances as a percentage of casino revenues were 22.3%, 24.3% and 21.9%, respectively.

Operating Income

Consolidated operating income for 2011 was \$227.2 million, compared to \$140.1 million reported in 2010. Operating income improved at all seven of our locations on a year-over-year basis primarily due to our operating and marketing enhancements. Operating income for 2010 was adversely impacted by the non-cash impairment charge of \$56.0 million recorded in the second quarter of 2010, the new competition entering the St. Charles market and unusually low table games hold percentages.

Corporate expense increased \$15.7 million, or 25.4%, in 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase was mostly attributable to a \$10.0 million increase in non-cash stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from equity award modifications that increased and/or accelerated the recognition of this expense.

Interest Expense

The following table summarizes information related to interest on our long-term debt:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011		2010		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Interest cost	\$107,101		\$121,917		
Less: Capitalized interest	(478)	(684)	
Interest expense, net	\$106,623		\$121,233		
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$97,482		\$118,149		
Weighted-average total debt balance outstanding	\$1,855,151		\$1,623,114		
Weighted-average interest rate	5.8	%	7.4	%	

For the year ended December 31, 2011, consolidated interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, decreased \$14.6 million (12.1%) from 2010. The decrease is primarily due to the termination of our interest rate swap agreements in July 2010 and the lower interest rates achieved through the April 2011 Debt Refinancing. Income Tax Expense

The income tax provision was \$27.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$12.1 million for 2010. For 2011 and 2010, our effective income tax rates were 80.3% and 58.4%, respectively. Excluding the impact of the debt refinancing costs, our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2011 would have been 48.3%. Excluding the impact of the intangible asset impairments at Ameristar East Chicago in 2010, the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 would have been 45.5%.

Net Income

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we reported net income of \$6.8 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. The decrease is primarily attributable to the loss on early retirement of debt of \$85.3 million recognized in connection with the Debt Refinancing in April 2011. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected net income in 2010 by \$33.2 million. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.17 for 2011, compared to \$0.15 in the prior year. The reduction in our weighted-average shares outstanding as a result of the Repurchase Transaction benefited 2011 diluted earnings per share by \$0.54.

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Versus Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net Revenues

Consolidated net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased \$26.2 million, or 2.2%, from 2009. Net revenues declined on a year-over-year basis at six of our seven gaming locations. These declines were partially mitigated by an increase in revenues at Ameristar Black Hawk. We believe the weak national economic conditions, the permanent closure of the East Chicago Cline Avenue bridge, the increased competition in our St. Charles market and unusually low table games hold percentages adversely impacted financial results in 2010. Ameristar Black Hawk's 2010 net revenues increased by \$49.1 million, or 47.6%, compared to 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to the opening of the new hotel in September 2009 and the implementation of the beneficial regulatory reform in July 2009.

Consolidated casino revenues for 2010 decreased \$7.6 million from the prior year. All of our properties, except Ameristar Black Hawk and Ameristar Council Bluffs, posted casino revenue declines compared to 2009, primarily as a result of the difficult economic conditions, the East Chicago bridge closure and the increased St. Charles competition indicated above.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, consolidated promotional allowances increased \$28.4 million, or 10.4%, from the same 2009 period. The increase in promotional allowances was primarily the result of additional promotional spending related to the new hotel in Black Hawk and our efforts to attract guests to our East Chicago property following the bridge closure. For 2010 and 2009, promotional allowances as a percentage of casino revenues were 24.3% and 21.9%, respectively.

Operating Income

Consolidated operating income for 2010 was \$140.1 million, compared to \$103.5 million reported in 2009. Operating income for 2010 was adversely impacted by the non-cash impairment charge of \$56.0 million recorded in the second

quarter of 2010 that eliminated the remaining net book value of goodwill associated with the acquisition of the East Chicago property and reduced the carrying value of the property's gaming license to \$12.6 million, the new competition entering the St. Charles market

and unusually low table games hold percentages. The 2009 consolidated operating income and the related margin were negatively impacted by \$111.7 million in impairment charges for goodwill at Ameristar East Chicago, \$3.9 million of hotel pre-opening expenses, \$3.8 million in impairment losses relating to discontinued expansion projects and \$1.3 million relating to a one-time non-cash adjustment to property taxes at Ameristar Black Hawk. Ameristar Black Hawk's 2010 operating income increased by \$17.1 million, or 106.6%, as a result of the opening of the new hotel and the beneficial regulatory reform. In 2010, operating income increased from 2009 by 1.9% at Ameristar Vicksburg and remained relatively flat at Ameristar Council Bluffs, despite slight declines in net revenues. Corporate expense increased \$1.6 million, or 2.7%, in 2010 as compared to 2009 due mostly to \$1.5 million of non-operational professional fees.

Interest Expense

The following table summarizes information related to interest on our long-term debt:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010		2009		
	(Dollars in th	ous	ands)		
Interest cost	\$121,917		\$115,813		
Less: Capitalized interest	(684)	(8,964)	
Interest expense, net	\$121,233		\$106,849		
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$118,149		\$95,066		
Weighted-average total debt balance outstanding	\$1,623,114		\$1,667,772		
Weighted-average interest rate	7.4	%	6.5	%	

For the year ended December 31, 2010, consolidated interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$14.4 million (13.5%) from 2009. The increase is due primarily to higher interest rate add-ons resulting from the senior credit facility amendment, increased interest expense from the issuance of our 9½% senior unsecured notes due 2014 and the incremental interest incurred on the portion of the revolving credit facility that was extended. Additionally, since the opening of the Ameristar Black Hawk hotel, we no longer capitalize the interest on the associated debt, which has caused our net interest expense to rise relative to prior periods.

Income Tax Expense

The income tax provision was \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to a tax benefit of \$1.5 million for 2009. For 2010 and 2009, our effective income tax rates were 58.4% and 24.3%, respectively. Excluding the impact of the intangible asset impairments at Ameristar East Chicago in both 2010 and 2009, the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 would have been 45.5%, compared to 41.8% for 2009. This increase is mostly attributable to the absence in 2010 of benefits from the permanent reversal of uncertain tax positions in 2009.

Net Income (Loss)

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we reported net income of \$8.6 million and a net loss of \$4.7 million, respectively. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected net income in 2010 and 2009 by \$33.2 million and \$66.2 million, respectively. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.15 for 2010, compared to diluted loss per share of \$0.08 in the prior year. The East Chicago impairment charges adversely affected diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 by \$0.56 and \$1.15, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources
Cash Flows — Summary
Our cash flows consisted of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
	(Amounts	in	thousands)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$253,349		\$218,827		\$220,182	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:						
Capital expenditures	(82,629)	(58,396)	(136,615)
Net change in construction contracts payable	926		(6,489)	(28,375)
Proceeds from sale of assets	309		405		527	
Decrease (increase) in deposits and other non-current assets	29,111		(5,526)	(8,478)
Net cash used in investing activities	(52,283)	(70,006)	(172,941)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:						
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt and other borrowings	2,074,250		12,000		671,485	
Principal payments of debt	(1,753,835)	(161,794)	(644,594)
Debt issuance and amendment costs	(30,799)	(133)	(29,349)
Cash dividends paid	(16,419)	(24,389)	(24,195)
Proceeds from stock option exercises and restricted share issuances	7,274		2,238		2,140	
Purchases of treasury stock	(467,002)	(1,638)	(871)
Tax effect from stock-based arrangements	(2)	(412)	910	
Net cash used in financing activities	(186,533)	(174,128)	(24,474)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$14,533		\$(25,307)	\$22,767	

Our business is primarily conducted on a cash basis. Accordingly, operating cash flows follow trends in our operating income, excluding non-cash items. For the year ended December 31, 2011, net cash provided by operating activities increased \$34.5 million from the 2010 period, mostly as a result of an improvement in our operating income and lower interest paid in 2011. The decrease in operating cash flows from 2009 to 2010 was mostly attributable to the changed competitive environments at the Ameristar St. Charles and Ameristar East Chicago properties, offset by the changes in our accounts payable and deferred income tax balances in 2010.

Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2011 included slot machine purchases, the Ameristar East Chicago hotel renovation, an Ameristar Vicksburg site stabilization project and the acquisition of long-lived assets relating to various capital maintenance projects at all of our properties. At Ameristar East Chicago, we recently completed the renovation of all 288 hotel rooms and suites. Capital expenditures relating to the hotel renovation project totaled \$5.4 million in 2011. The total cost of the renovation is expected to be approximately \$6.7 million. At Ameristar Vicksburg, we incurred \$3.6 million relating to site stabilization work that we estimate will be completed during the latter half of 2012. The total cost of the project is anticipated to be approximately \$10.0 million. Also in December 2011, we paid a litigation settlement of \$9.3 million to the general contractor for our St. Charles hotel construction project that was completed in 2008, resulting in an increase to the fixed asset carrying values associated with the St. Charles project.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, capital expenditures were primarily related to minor construction projects, slot machine purchases and the acquisition of long-lived assets relating to various capital maintenance projects at all of our properties. Capital expenditures were primarily related to our hotel project at Ameristar Black Hawk, slot machine purchases and the acquisition of long-lived assets relating to various capital maintenance projects for the year ended December 31, 2009. We completed construction of the luxury hotel and spa at Ameristar Black Hawk, which opened in September 2009. Capital expenditures relating to the hotel project totaled \$74.7 million in 2009. The total cost of the project was approximately \$230.0 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net cash used in investing activities was impacted by a \$32.5 million payment to multiple East Chicago entities to support and assist economic development through specified initiatives pursuant to a local development agreement. For more information, see "Note 14 — Commitments and contingencies" of Notes to

Consolidated Financial Statements.

During each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, our Board of Directors declared four quarterly cash dividends of \$0.105 per share on our common stock. Liquidity

On April 14, 2011, we obtained \$2.2 billion of new debt financing, consisting of a \$1.4 billion senior secured credit facility (the "New Credit Facility") and \$800.0 million principal amount of unsecured 7.50% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "2021 Notes"). The New Credit Facility consists of (i) a \$200 million A term loan that was fully borrowed at closing and matures in 2016, (ii) a \$700 million B term loan that was fully borrowed at closing and matures in 2018 and (iii) a \$500 million revolving loan facility, \$368 million of which was borrowed at closing and which matures in 2016. Upon the satisfaction of certain conditions, we have the option to increase the total amount available under the New Credit Facility by up to the greater of an additional \$200 million or an amount determined by reference to our Total Net Leverage Ratio (as defined in the New Credit Facility agreement).

The A term loan and the revolving loan facility bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 2.75% per annum or the base rate plus 1.75% per annum, at our option. The B term loan bears interest at LIBOR (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.0%) plus 3.0% per annum or the base rate (subject to a base rate floor of 2.0%) plus 2.0% per annum, at our option. The LIBOR margin for the A term loan and the revolving loan facility is subject to reduction based on our Total Net Leverage Ratio. We pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the revolving loan facility of 0.50% per annum, which is subject to reduction based on the Total Net Leverage Ratio. Borrowings under the New Credit Facility are secured by liens on substantially all of our assets.

The 2021 Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, with the initial interest payment made on October 15, 2011. The 2021 Notes mature on April 15, 2021.

The New Credit Facility agreement requires certain mandatory principal repayments prior to maturity for both term loans. The A term loan requires the following principal amortization: 3.75% in 2012; 12.5% in 2013; 18.75% in 2014; 50% in 2015; and the remaining 15% in 2016. The B term loan requires mandatory principal reductions of 1% per annum, with the remaining 93.25% due at maturity. In addition to the scheduled mandatory principal repayments of the term loans, the New Credit Facility agreement also requires permanent principal repayments of the term loans equal to 25% of Excess Cash Flow (as defined in the New Credit Facility agreement) if our Total Net Leverage Ratio is above 4.75:1 at year-end. Excess Cash Flow repayments are required to be made 120 days after the last day of each fiscal year and are allocated between both term loans on a pro-rata basis. We expect the Excess Cash Flow repayment for the year ended December 31, 2011 to be approximately \$8.6 million.

Proceeds from the Debt Refinancing were used to (i) repurchase substantially all of our 9¼% senior notes due 2014 (the "2014 Notes") tendered pursuant to our tender offer announced on March 29, 2011, including payment of the tender premium and accrued interest, (ii) prepay and permanently retire all of the indebtedness under our prior credit facility, (iii) complete the Repurchase Transaction on April 19, 2011 for an aggregate purchase price of \$457.6 million and (iv) pay related fees and expenses.

In connection with the Debt Refinancing, we paid one-time fees and expenses totaling approximately \$30.8 million, most of which was capitalized and will be amortized over the respective remaining terms of the 2021 Notes and New Credit Facility. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, approximately \$85.3 million relating to the tender premium and deferred debt issuance costs were expensed as a result of the early retirement of debt.

During 2011, we used \$194.3 million of our operating cash flow to repay amounts borrowed under our senior credit facility, equaling approximately one-third of the incremental debt from the Debt Refinancing. As of December 31, 2011, the amount of the revolving loan facility available for borrowing was \$257.0 million, after giving effect to \$4.0 million of outstanding letters of credit. All mandatory principal payments have been made through December 31, 2011.

Our interest expense decreased significantly in 2011 primarily due to the termination of our interest rate swap agreements in July 2010 and the lower interest rates achieved through the Debt Refinancing.

On September 15, 2011, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$75 million of our common stock through September 30, 2014. During 2011, we repurchased a total of approximately 0.3 million shares of common stock, or 1% of our total outstanding stock, under the program at an average price of \$16.23 per share, exclusive of

commissions paid, for a total cost of \$5.2 million.

In addition to the availability under the New Credit Facility, we had \$85.7 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2011, approximately \$70 million to \$75 million of which were required for daily operations. Historically, we have funded our daily operations through net cash provided by operating activities and our significant capital expenditures primarily through operating cash flows, bank debt and other debt financing. If our existing sources of cash are insufficient to meet our operations and liquidity requirements, we will be required to seek additional financing that would likely be more expensive than the New Credit Facility and/or scale back our capital plans or reduce other expenditures. Any loss from service of our properties for any reason could materially adversely affect us, including our ability to fund daily operations

and to satisfy debt covenants.

Inflation

Although we cannot accurately determine the precise effect of inflation on our operations, we believe inflation has not had a material effect on our results of operations in the last three years.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K.

Contractual and Other Commitments

The following table summarizes our obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2011 to make future payments under certain contracts, including long-term debt obligations, capitalized leases, operating leases and certain construction contracts.

	Payments D	ue by Period			
Contractual Obligations:	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	After 2016	Total
	(In thousand	ls)			
Estimated principal payments of long-term	\$23,132	\$76,978	\$381,081	\$1,453,131	\$1,934,322
debt	\$23,132	\$ 70,976	\$301,001	\$1,433,131	\$1,934,322
Estimated interest payments on long-term	106,105	203,402	193,205	291,355	794,067
$debt^{(1)}$	100,103	203,402	193,203	291,333	794,007
Operating leases	4,006	2,054	455		6,515
Equipment contracts	9,820	10,109	452		20,381
Construction contracts	14,363		_		14,363
Total	\$157,426	\$292,543	\$575,193	\$1,744,486	\$2,769,648

⁽¹⁾ Estimated interest payments on long-term debt are based on principal amounts outstanding after giving effect to projected debt principal payments and forecasted LIBOR rates for our senior credit facility.

As further discussed in "Note 5 — Federal and state income taxes" of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, we have adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 740. We had \$5.0 million of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2011. Due to the inherent uncertainty of the underlying tax positions, it is not possible to assign the liability as of December 31, 2011 to any particular years in the table.

As noted above, a significant use of operating cash in 2011 was interest and debt payments. Our cash interest payments, excluding capitalized interest, were \$98.0 million, \$118.8 million and \$104.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For more information, see "Note 1 — Basis of presentation" and "Note 6 — Long-term debt" of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We routinely enter into operational contracts in the ordinary course of our business, including construction contracts for projects that are not material to our business or financial condition as a whole. Our commitments relating to these contracts are recognized as liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets when services are provided with respect to such contracts.

At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding letters of credit in the amount of \$4.0 million, which reduced the amount available to borrow under our revolving loan facility. We do not have any other guarantees, contingent commitments or other material liabilities that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements or disclosed in the notes thereto. For more information, see "Note 6 — Long-term debt" of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements. To prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we must make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. We regularly evaluate these estimates and assumptions, particularly in areas we consider to be critical accounting estimates, where changes in the estimates and assumptions could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and, generally to a lesser extent, cash flows. Senior management and the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors have reviewed the disclosures included herein about

our critical accounting estimates and have reviewed the processes to determine those estimates. Property and Equipment

We have significant capital invested in our property and equipment, which represents approximately 86% of our total

assets. Judgments are made in determining the estimated useful lives of assets, salvage values to be assigned to assets and if or when an asset has been impaired. The accuracy of these estimates affects the amount of depreciation expense recognized in our financial results and the extent to which we have a gain or loss on the disposal of the asset. We assign lives to our assets based on our standard policy, which we believe is representative of the useful life of each category of assets. We review the carrying value of our property and equipment whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. The factors we consider in performing this assessment include current operating results, trends and prospects, as well as the effect of obsolescence, demand, competition and other economic factors.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over fair market value of net assets acquired in business combinations. Other intangible assets include gaming licenses, trade names and player lists. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate a possible impairment. We test for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment annually and between annual tests in certain circumstances.

We perform the annual goodwill impairment assessment by qualitatively evaluating events and circumstances that have occurred since the last quantitative test. We consider both positive and negative factors, including macroeconomic conditions, industry events, financial performance and other changes, and make a determination of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit being tested is less than its carrying amount. If it is more likely than not that the reporting unit's fair value is less than the carrying amount, we are required to perform a two-step quantitative impairment test. If, based on the qualitative analysis, the fair value is more likely than not to be greater than the carrying amount, we are not required to perform the two-step impairment test. Under the two-step quantitative assessment, goodwill is tested for impairment using a discounted cash flow analysis based on the estimated future results of the relevant reporting unit. Under the first step, we compare the estimated fair

based on the estimated future results of the relevant reporting unit. Under the first step, we compare the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the fair value in step one, step two of the impairment test is performed. In step two, we determine the implied value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit determined in step one to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of the goodwill is less than the carrying value, the excess carrying amount is recorded as an impairment charge.

We test our gaming license indefinite-lived intangible asset annually using a discounted cash flow method. If the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value, an impairment charge is recorded.

Guest Rewards Programs

Our guest rewards programs allow guests to earn certain point-based cash rewards or complimentary goods and services based on the volume of the guests' gaming activity. Guests can accumulate reward points over time that they may redeem at their discretion under the terms of the programs. The reward credit balance is forfeited if a guest does not earn any reward credits over the subsequent 12-month period. As a result of the ability of the guest to bank the reward points, we accrue the expense of reward points, after giving effect to estimated forfeitures, as they are earned. The accruals are based on historical data, estimates and assumptions regarding the mix of rewards that will be redeemed and the costs of providing those rewards. The retail value of the point-based cash rewards or complimentary goods and services is netted against revenue as a promotional allowance.

Cash, Hotel and Food Coupons

Our gaming guests may be awarded, on a discretionary basis, cash, hotel and food coupons based, in part, on their play volume. The coupons are provided on a discretionary basis to induce future play and are redeemable within a short time period (generally seven days for cash coupons and one month for hotel and food coupons). There is no ability to renew or extend the offer. We recognize a reduction in revenue as a promotional allowance for these coupons when the coupons are redeemed.

Self-Insurance Reserves

We are self-insured for various levels of general liability, workers' compensation and employee health coverage. Insurance claims and reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accrued

estimates of incurred but not reported claims. We consider historical loss experience and certain unusual claims in estimating these liabilities. We believe the use of this method to account for these liabilities provides a consistent and effective way to measure these highly judgmental accruals; however, changes in health care costs, accident or illness frequency and severity and other factors can materially affect the estimates for these liabilities. In 2003, the Company entered into a trust participation agreement with an insurance provider. The Company currently has \$5.9 million deposited in a trust account as collateral for the Company's obligation to reimburse the insurance provider for the self-retained portion of our workers' compensation claims.

Accounting for Share-Based Compensation

All share-based payments to employees are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values on the grant date. We recognize those costs in the financial statements over the vesting period during which the employee provides services in exchange for the award. The fair value of each time-vested option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model, while the fair value of market performance-based stock options is calculated using the Monte Carlo simulation model. The models require estimates for expected volatility, expected dividends, the risk-free interest rate and the expected term of the equity grant. We are required to include an estimate of the number of awards that will be forfeited and update that number based on actual forfeitures. Income Taxes

Our income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and other tax authorities in the locations where we operate. We assess potentially unfavorable outcomes of such examinations based on the criteria of ASC 740, which prescribes a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The guidance utilizes a two-step approach for evaluating tax positions. Recognition (Step I) occurs when we conclude that a tax position, based on its technical merits, is more likely than not (i.e., the likelihood of occurrence is greater than 50%) to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (Step II) is only addressed if the position is deemed to be more likely than not to be sustained. Under Step II, the tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement. The tax positions that fail to qualify for initial recognition are to be recognized in the first subsequent interim period in which they meet the "more likely than not" standard. If it is subsequently determined that a previously recognized tax

which they meet the "more likely than not" standard. If it is subsequently determined that a previously recognized tax position no longer meets the "more likely than not" standard, it is required that the tax position be derecognized. As applicable, we recognize accrued penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes.

Litigation, Claims and Assessments

We utilize estimates for litigation, claims and assessments related to our business and tax matters. These estimates are in accordance with accounting standards regarding contingencies and are based upon our knowledge and experience about past and current events, as well as upon reasonable assumptions about future events. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

ASU No. 2010-16, Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued ASU No. 2010-16, Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities. The guidance clarifies that an entity should not accrue jackpot liabilities (or portions thereof) before a jackpot is won if the entity can avoid paying that jackpot since the machine can legally be removed from the gaming floor without payment of the base amount. Jackpots should be accrued and charged to revenue when an entity has the obligation to pay the jackpot. This guidance applies to both base jackpots and the incremental portion of progressive jackpots. The guidance became effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. As required under this guidance, we recorded a cumulative-effect adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. Under the gaming regulations in the various jurisdictions in which we operate, the removal of base jackpots is not prohibited and upon adoption, we reduced our recorded accrual by \$5.6 million (\$3.4 million net of tax) with a corresponding cumulative-effect increase to retained earnings.

ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS

The FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS ("International Financial Reporting Standards"). The guidance amends and converges U.S. GAAP and IFRS requirements for measuring amounts at fair value as well as disclosures regarding these measurements. The update became effective in the fourth quarter of 2011. The adoption of this Topic did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income

The FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income in June 2011. This update changes the requirements for the presentation of other comprehensive income, eliminating the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders' equity, among other items. The guidance requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The FASB deferred the requirement for companies to present reclassification adjustments for each component of other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements in ASU No. 2011-12;

however, the presentation requirement under ASU No. 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Since the update only requires a change in presentation, the early adoption of this Topic did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment The FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment in September 2011. This update simplifies how entities test goodwill for impairment. Under the amendments in this update, an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount by assessing qualitative factors. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. The early adoption of this Topic did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our senior credit facility. Outstanding amounts borrowed under our senior credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR (in the case of Eurodollar loans) or the prime interest rate (in the case of base rate loans), plus an applicable margin, or "add-on." As of December 31, 2011, we had \$1.1 billion outstanding under our senior credit facility, which represents approximately 59% of our total outstanding debt, bearing interest at variable rates indexed to one-month LIBOR, based on our election. At December 31, 2011, the average interest rate applicable to the senior credit facility debt outstanding was 3.6%. An increase of one percentage point in the interest rate applicable to the senior credit facility debt outstanding at December 31, 2011 would increase our annual interest cost and reduce our pre-tax income by \$6.4 million. The remaining 41% of our debt outstanding as of December 31, 2011, primarily consisting of our 7.5% senior notes due 2021, bears interest at fixed rates.

We have used interest rate swap agreements in the past to manage interest rate risk. (See "Note 7 - Derivative instruments and hedging activities" of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more discussion of the interest rate swaps.) We may enter into additional swap transactions or other interest rate protection agreements from time to time in the future, although we have no current intention to do so.

Should we elect to use derivative instruments to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates in the future, we would be exposed to the potential failure of our counterparties to perform under the terms of the agreements. We would seek to minimize this risk by entering into interest rate swap agreements with highly rated commercial banks.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm appear at pages F-2 and F-3 hereof, and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appear at pages F-4 through F-26 hereof.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2011. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item is set forth under the captions "Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and is included in this Annual Report at pages F-1 and F-2.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As required by Rule 13a-15(d) under the Exchange Act, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated our internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there was no such change during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2011.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the captions "Proposal No. 1 — Election of Directors" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (our "Proxy Statement") to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 30, 2012 and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the caption "Executive Compensation" in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters The information required by this Item will be set forth under the captions "Proposal No. 1 — Election of Directors — Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and "Executive Compensation — Equity Compensation Plan Information" in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the captions "Proposal No. 1 - Election of Directors" and "Transactions with Related Persons" in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this Item will be set forth under the caption "Proposal No. 2 — Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by this reference.

\mathbf{p}_{i}	41	R	Γ]	Π	7

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	S
The following are filed as part of this Report:	

(a) 1. Financial Statements

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	<u>F-1</u>
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	<u>F-4</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	<u>F-6</u>
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	<u>F-7</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	<u>F-8</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

(a) 3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Report. Certain of the listed exhibits are incorporated by reference to previously filed reports of ACI under the Exchange Act, including Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K. These reports have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission under File No. 0-22494. Exhibit

Number	Description of Exhibit	Method of Filing
3(i)(a)	Articles of Incorporation of ACI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed by ACI under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (File No. 33-68936) (the "Form S-1").
3(i)(b)	Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of ACI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ACI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
3(i)(c)	Certificate of Change Pursuant to NRS 78.209	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i).1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005.
3(ii)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of ACI, effective May 31, 2008	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2008 (the "June 2008 8-K").
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to ACI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.
4.2	Credit Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011, among ACI, the Lenders party thereto from time to time, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agents, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J. P. Morgan Securities Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners, Commerzbank AG, New York and Grand Cayman Branches and US Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("DBTCA"	Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2011 (the "April 19, 2011 8-K").

as Administrative Agent (exhibits omitted)
Indenture, dated as of May 27, 2009, among ACI,
the Guarantors named therein and DBTCA, as
trustee

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2009.

49

4.3

Exhibit		
Number	Description of Exhibit	Method of Filing
	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to
4.4	December 7, 2009, among ACI, the Guarantors party	Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed by ACI
	thereto, DBTCA and Wilmington Trust FSB, as successor trustee	and certain of its subsidiaries under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (File No. 333-163578).
	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April	
4.5	11, 2011, among ACI, the Guarantors party thereto	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to ACI's
	and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee	Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2011.
	Indenture, dated as of April 14, 2011, among ACI,	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the April
4.6	the Guarantors named therein and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee	19, 2011 8-K.
		Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to ACI's
*10.1(a)	Employment Agreement, dated November 15, 1993,	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended
. ,	between ACI and Thomas M. Steinbauer	December 31, 1994.
	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ACI's
*10.1(b)	as of October 5, 2001, between ACI and Thomas M.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended
	Steinbauer Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement, dated	September 30, 2001. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ACI's
*10.1(c)	as of August 15, 2002, between ACI and Thomas M.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended
. (1)	Steinbauer	September 30, 2002 (the "September 2002 10-Q").
	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement, dated	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(d) to the
*10.1(d)	as of November 7, 2008, between ACI and Thomas	2008 10-K.
	M. Steinbauer Amendment Number 4 to Employment Agreement,	
*10.1(e)	dated as of October 28, 2011, between ACI and	Filed electronically herewith.
10.1(0)	Thomas M. Steinbauer	Theo electrometally here with
	Amended and Restated Executive Employment	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) to ACI's
*10.1(f)	Agreement, dated as of March 11, 2002, between	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended
	ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	December 31, 2001 (the "2001 10-K").
*10.1(g)	Amendment to Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of August 16,	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the
10.1(g)	2002, between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	September 2002 10-Q.
	Amendment Number 2 to Amended and Restated	
*10.1(h)	Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the June
10.1(11)	May 31, 2008, between ACI and Gordon R.	2008 8-K.
	Kanofsky Amendment Number 3 to Amended and Restated	
	Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of	
*10.1(i)	October 28, 2011, between ACI and Gordon R.	Filed electronically herewith.
	Kanofsky	
*10.1(j)	Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(d) to the
-31-0)	March 13, 2002, between ACI and Peter C. Walsh	2001 10-K.
*10.1(k)	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2002, between ACI and Peter	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the
10.1(K)	C. Walsh	September 2002 10-Q.
	Amendment Number 2 to Executive Employment	Incorporated by reference to Eyhibit 10.4 to the Lune
*10.1(l)	Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2008, between ACI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the June 2008 8-K.
	and Peter C. Walsh	2000 U IX.

*10.1(m)	Amendment Number 3 to Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2011, between ACI and Peter C. Walsh	Filed electronically herewith.
*10.1(n)	Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2008, between ACI and Ray H. Neilsen	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the June 2008 8-K.
*10.1(o)	Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2008, between ACI and Larry A. Hodges Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement,	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the June 2008 8-K.
*10.1(p)	dated as of October 28, 2011, between ACI and Larry A. Hodges	Filed electronically herewith.
*10.2	Separation Agreement, dated as of April 25, 2011, between ACI and Ray H. Neilsen	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2011.
50		

Exhibit	D. C. CD 1717	M. d. d. CETT
Number	Description of Exhibit	Method of Filing Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to ACI's
*10.3	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 1999 Stock Incentive Plan, amended and restated December 15, 2007	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the "2007 10-K").
*10.4	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the 2008 10-K.
*10.5	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2002 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Election Plan	Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the definitive Proxy Statement filed by ACI under cover of Schedule 14A on April 30, 2002.
*10.6	Form of Indemnification Agreement between ACI and each of its directors and executive officers and its principal accounting officer	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Amendment No. 2 to the Form S-1.
*10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the 2007 10-K.
10.8	Second Amended and Restated Excursion Boat Sponsorship and Operations Agreement, dated as of November 18, 2004, between Iowa West Racing Association and ACCBI (the "Iowa West Agreement"	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended,December 31, 2004.
10.9	Settlement, Use and Management Agreement and DNR Permit, dated May 15, 1995, between the State of Iowa acting through the Iowa Department of Natural Resources and ACCBI as assignee of Koch Fuels, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.12 and 99.1 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.
*10.10	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated January 1, 2008	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to ACI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 10-Q (the "September 2007 10-Q").
*10.11	Master Trust Agreement for Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, dated as of April 1, 2001, between ACI and Wilmington Trust Company	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. Incorporated by reference to Appendix D to ACI's
*10.12	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Performance-Based Annual Bonus Plan	
10.13	Redevelopment Project Lease, dated as of October 19, 1995, between the City of East Chicago, Indiana (the "City") and Showboat Marina Partnershi ("SMP"), as subsequently amended and assigned by Lease Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of March 28, 1996, between SMP and Showboat Marina Casino Partnership ("SMCP"); Acknowledgement of Commencement Date of Redevelopment Project Lease and Notice of Election to Take Possession of Leased Premises, dated as of March 28, 1996, between the City and SMCP; First Amendment to Redevelopment Project Lease, dated as of March 28, 1996, between the City and SMCP;	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the September 2007 10-Q.

	Second Amendment to Redevelopment Project Lease, dated as of January 20, 1999, between the City and SMCP; Assignment and Assumption of Lease, dated as of April 26, 2005, between SMCP	
	and RIH; Assignment and Assumption of Lease, dated as of October 25, 2006, between RIH and RIH	
	Propos IN, LLC; and Memorandum of Merger of	
	Leasehold Interests, dated as of September 18, 2007,	
	between RIH and the City	
10.14	Modified Local Development Agreement with Ameristar Casino East Chicago, LLC, effective June	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2011.
	3, 2011 Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Change in Control	-
*10.15	Severance Plan, amended and restated October 28, 2011	Filed electronically herewith.
	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. Change in Control	
*10.16	Severance Plan for Director-Level Employees, amended and restated October 28, 2011	Filed electronically herewith.
*10.17	Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan, amended and restated February 15, 2012	Filed electronically herewith.
51		
<i>J</i> 1		

Exhibit		
Number	Description of Exhibit	Method of Filing
±10.10	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement	
*10.18	with executive officers under Ameristar Casinos, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan	Filed electronically herewith.
	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement with	
*10.19	executive officers under Ameristar Casinos, Inc.	Filed electronically herewith.
	2009 Stock Incentive Plan	,
	Amendment to Non-Qualified Stock Option	
	Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2011, between	
*10.20	ACI and each of Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A.	Filed electronically herewith.
	Hodges, Thomas M. Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and certain other employees	
	Second Amendment to Non-Qualified Stock Option	
	Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2011, between	
*10.21	ACI and each of Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A.	Filed electronically herewith.
	Hodges, Thomas M. Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and	
	certain other employees	
	Amendment to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement,	
*10.22	dated as of October 28, 2011, between ACI and each of Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A. Hodges, Thomas	Filed electronically herewith.
10.22	M. Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and certain other	Thea electrometary herewith.
	employees	
	Second Amendment to Restricted Stock Unit	
	Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2011, between	
*10.23	ACI and each of Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A.	Filed electronically herewith.
	Hodges, Thomas M. Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and certain other employees	
	Amendment to Non-Qualified Stock Option	
*10.24	Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2011, between	Filed electronically herewith.
	ACI and each non-employee director of ACI	
11000	Amendment to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement,	
*10.25	dated as of October 28, 2011, between ACI and each	Filed electronically herewith.
	non-employee director of ACI Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement,	
	dated November 21, 2011, between ACI and each of	
*10.26	Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A. Hodges, Thomas M.	Filed electronically herewith.
10.20	Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and certain other	Thed electronically herewith.
	employees with respect to performance-vested	
	options (Tranche 1) Form of Non Ovelified Steels Option Agreement	
	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated November 21, 2011, between ACI and each of	
	Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A. Hodges, Thomas M.	
*10.27	Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and certain other	Filed electronically herewith.
	employees with respect to performance-vested	
	options (Tranche 2)	
*10.28	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement,	Filed electronically herewith.
	dated November 21, 2011, between ACI and each of	
	Gordon R. Kanofsky, Larry A. Hodges, Thomas M.	

	Steinbauer, Peter C. Walsh and certain other employees with respect to performance-vested options (Tranche 3)	
10.29	Amendment to the Iowa West Agreement, dated February 16, 2010, between Iowa West Racing Association and ACCBI	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to ACI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the "2009 10-K").
*10.30	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated January 29, 2010, between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky (the "January 2010 RSU Agreement")	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the 2009 10-K.
*10.31	Amendment to the January 2010 RSU Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2011, between ACI and Gordon R. Kanofsky	Filed electronically herewith.
10.32	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 25, 2011, between ACI and the Estate of Craig H. Neilsen (the "Stock Purchase Agreement")	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to ACI's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 28, 2011 (the "March 2011 8-K").
10.33	Annex A to the Stock Purchase Agreement	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the March 2011 8-K.
21	Subsidiaries of ACI	Filed electronically herewith.
52		

Exhibit		
Number	Description of Exhibit	Method of Filing
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Filed electronically herewith.
31.1	Certification of Gordon R. Kanofsky, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed electronically herewith.
31.2	Certification of Thomas M. Steinbauer, Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed electronically herewith.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed electronically herewith.
99	Agreement of ACI, dated as of February 28, 2012, to furnish the Securities and Exchange Commission certain instruments defining the rights of holders of certain long-term debt	Filed electronically herewith.
101†	The following information from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	Furnished electronically herewith.

^{*}Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

This exhibit is furnished and is not filed or made a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.

(Registrant)

•	By: /s/ Gordon R. Kanofsky Gordon R. Kanofsky Chief Executive Officer Ints of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed	below by the
Signature	alf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. Name and Title	Date
/s/ Gordon R. Kanofsky	Gordon R. Kanofsky, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	February 28, 2012
/s/ Thomas M. Steinbauer	Thomas M. Steinbauer, Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Director (principal financial officer)	February 28, 2012
/s/ Heather A. Rollo	Heather A. Rollo, Senior Vice President of Accounting (principal accounting officer)	February 28, 2012
/s/ Larry A. Hodges	Larry A. Hodges, President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	February 28, 2012
/s/ Carl Brooks	Carl Brooks, Director	February 28, 2012
/s/ Luther P. Cochrane	Luther P. Cochrane, Director	February 28, 2012
/s/ Leslie Nathanson Juris	Leslie Nathanson Juris, Director	February 28, 2012
/s/ J. William Richardson	J. William Richardson, Director	February 28, 2012
S-1		

MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that the Company's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of its management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2011, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-2.

Ameristar Casinos, Inc.

Las Vegas, Nevada

February 28, 2012

/s/ Gordon R. Kanofsky

/s/ Thomas M. Steinbauer

Gordon R. Kanofsky

Chief Executive Officer

Thomas M. Steinbauer Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries:

We have audited Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' (deficit) equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Las Vegas, Nevada February 28, 2012

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' (deficit) equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Ameristar Casinos, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Las Vegas, Nevada February 28, 2012

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

LOGITIES BALANCE SILLIS	December 31 2011 (Amounts in except share	2010 thousands,
ASSETS		
Current Assets:	*	+=
Cash and cash equivalents	\$85,719	\$71,186
Restricted cash	5,925	5,925
Accounts receivable, net	5,401	7,391
Income tax refunds receivable	1,718	3,295
Inventories	6,577	7,158
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	27,146	12,567
Deferred income taxes	15,289	12,238
Total current assets	147,775	119,760
Property and Equipment, at cost:		
Buildings and improvements	1,922,422	1,906,533
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	610,934	578,498
	2,533,356	2,485,031
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(928,197)	(834,434)
	1,605,159	1,650,597
Land	83,403	83,403
Construction in progress	33,935	12,299
Total property and equipment, net	1,722,497	1,746,299
Goodwill	70,973	72,177
Other intangible assets	12,600	12,600
Deferred income taxes		20,884
Deposits and other assets	58,194	89,822
TOTAL ASSETS	\$2,012,039	\$2,061,542
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$33,665	\$23,658
Construction contracts payable	3,183	2,257
Accrued liabilities	120,788	136,345
Current maturities of long-term debt	23,132	97,247
Total current liabilities	180,768	259,507
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	1,902,932	1,432,551
Deferred income taxes	15,058	_
Other long-term liabilities	3,859	18,464
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity:		
Preferred stock \$ 01 par value: Authorized — 30 000 000 shares: Issued — none		_
Common stock, \$.01 par value: Authorized — 120,000,000 shares; Issued — 60,373,085	and.	500
59,232,486 shares; Outstanding — 32,768,825 and 58,287,697 shares	604	592
Additional paid-in capital	310,331	278,726
Treasury stock, at cost (27,604,260 and 944,789 shares)	•	(20,228)
Retained earnings	85,717	91,930
-		

Total stockholders' (deficit) equity TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY (90,578) 351,020 \$2,012,039 \$2,061,542

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Pears Ended Pears Pears Ended Pears	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS	V F 1	ID 1 21	
Camounts Camounts				
Revenues: Revenues: \$1,248,616 \$1,247,034 \$1,254,590 Food and beverage 138,192 134,854 135,941 Rooms 77,870 79,403 66,411 Other 28,905 30,559 32,692 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077 (302,568) (274,189) Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,282 (274,189) Operating Expenses: 337,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of inter intangible assets — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of other intangible assets — 1,40,791 1,111,921 Income from operations 297,52				
Revenues: Casino \$1,248,616 \$1,247,034 \$1,254,590 Food and beverage 138,192 134,854 35,941 Rooms 77,870 79,403 66,411 Other 28,905 30,559 32,692 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077) (302,568) (274,187) Net revenues 1,214,506 1,89,282 1,215,445 Operating Expenses: 1,214,506 1,89,282 1,215,445 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,92 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of ixed assets — 34,791 — Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Other Income (Expense): 1,119,21			thousands, ex	cept per share
Casino \$1,248,616 \$1,247,034 \$1,254,590 Food and beverage 138,192 134,854 135,941 Rooms 77,870 79,403 66,411 Other 28,905 30,559 32,692 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077) (302,568) (274,189) Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445 Operating Expenses: 537,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 105,19 12,419 10,466 Other 105,19 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of inteed assets — 34,791 — Impairment of goverations 45 255 41	D	data)		
Food and beverage 138,192 134,854 135,941 Rooms 77,870 79,403 66,411 Other 28,905 30,559 32,692 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077) 6302,568 1,489,634 Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445 Operating Expenses: 837,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,192 10,907 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of interintangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 39,29 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets 36 255 41 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204		0.1.0.1 0.616	ф1 247 024	4.054.5 00
Rooms 77,870 79,403 66,411 Other 28,905 30,559 32,692 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077 302,568 1,489,634 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077 302,568 274,189 > Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445 > Operating Expenses: Total Sylvanor 544,001 556,684 > Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 > Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Other 100,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 1,438 111,700 Impairment of fixed assets — 34,791 — 14,804 1,111,901 Income (tagain) loss on disposition of assets (45 255 411 1704 104,904 1,111,921 Income (tagain) sexpenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income (tagain) sexpenses 15				
Other 28,905 30,559 32,692 Less: Promotional allowances (279,077) (302,568) (274,189) Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,822 2,215,445) Operating Expenses: 837,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of inter dassets — 34,791 — Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capit		•	•	•
Less: Promotional allowances 1,493,583 1,491,850 1,489,634 Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445 Operating Expenses: 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445 Operating Expenses: 537,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Lo		•		
Less: Promotional allowances (279,077 1,802,568 2,244,189 1,215,445 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445	Other		•	
Net revenues 1,214,506 1,189,282 1,215,445 Operating Expenses: 357,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of fixed assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirem				
Operating Expenses: Casino 537,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of other intangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311 — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
Casino 537,094 544,001 556,684 Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of other intangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311 — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income		1,214,506	1,189,282	1,215,445
Food and beverage 59,467 64,451 65,633 Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of fixed assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets (45) 255 41 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311)— (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Rooms 14,904 17,591 10,466 Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of fixed assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169		537,094		
Other 10,519 12,419 14,240 Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of fixed assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest income 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311)— (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income (Loss) Per Share: \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667)	Food and beverage	59,467	64,451	65,633
Selling, general and administrative 259,151 244,964 241,853 Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of other intangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): — 5 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Rooms	14,904	17,591	10,466
Depreciation and amortization 105,922 109,070 107,005 Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of other intangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311)— (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Other	10,519	12,419	14,240
Impairment of goodwill — 21,438 111,700 Impairment of other intangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311)— (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Selling, general and administrative	259,151	244,964	241,853
Impairment of other intangible assets — 34,791 — Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest income 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Depreciation and amortization	105,922	109,070	107,005
Impairment of fixed assets 245 224 3,929 Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Impairment of goodwill	_	21,438	111,700
Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets (45) 255 411 Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest income 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Impairment of other intangible assets	_	34,791	_
Total operating expenses 987,257 1,049,204 1,111,921 Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): Interest income 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Impairment of fixed assets	245	224	3,929
Income from operations 227,249 140,078 103,524 Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest income 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Net (gain) loss on disposition of assets	(45	255	411
Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Total operating expenses	987,257	1,049,204	1,111,921
Other Income (Expense): 15 452 515 Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Income from operations	227,249	140,078	103,524
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (106,623) (121,233) (106,849) Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Other Income (Expense):			
Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Interest income	15	452	515
Loss on early retirement of debt (85,311) — (5,365) Other (784) 1,463 2,006 Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(106,623	(121,233)	(106,849)
Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	•	(85,311		(5,365)
Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) 34,546 20,760 (6,169) Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Other	(784	1,463	2,006
Income tax provision (benefit) 27,752 12,130 (1,502) Net Income (Loss) \$6,794 \$8,630 \$(4,667) Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Income (Loss) Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit)			(6,169)
Earnings (Loss) Per Share:				(1,502)
Earnings (Loss) Per Share:	Net Income (Loss)	\$6,794	\$8,630	\$(4,667)
				,
		\$0.17	\$0.15	\$(0.08)
Diluted \$0.17 \$0.15 \$(0.08)	Diluted			` ,
Cash Dividends Declared Per Share \$0.42 \$0.42				` ,
Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding:			,	
Basic 40,242 58,025 57,543		40,242	58,025	57,543
Diluted 41,136 58,818 57,543			•	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.		•	, -	,

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
	(Amounts in thousands)			
Net income (loss)	\$6,794	\$8,630	\$(4,667)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements		16,274	11,021	
Total Comprehensive Income	\$6,794	\$24,904	\$6,354	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY

Tax effect from stock-based

arrangements

Capital Stock Accumulated Number Additional Other Treasury Retained of Amount Paid-In Total Comprehensive Stock **Earnings** Shares Capital Loss (Amounts in thousands) Balance, December 31, 2008 57,301 \$581 \$246,662 \$ (27,295) \$(17,719) \$136,551 \$338,780 Net loss (4,667) (4,667) Change in fair value of interest rate 11,021 11,021 swap agreements Total comprehensive income 6,354 Exercise of stock options and 480 5 2,135 2,140 issuance of restricted shares Tax effect from stock-based 910 910 arrangements Dividends (24,195) (24,195) Stock-based compensation expense 12,875 12,875 Purchases of treasury stock (51 (871) — (871) Balance, December 31, 2009 335,993 57,730 586 262,582 (16,274)) (18,590) 107,689 Net income 8,630 8,630 Change in fair value of interest rate 16,274 16,274 swap agreements Total comprehensive income 24,904 Exercise of stock options and 659 6 2.232 2,238 issuance of restricted shares

(412

(412

)