

LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC

Form POS AM

April 12, 2006

**Table of Contents**

**As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 12, 2006**

**Registration No. 333-131029**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Post Effective Amendment No. 1  
to  
FORM S-1  
REGISTRATION STATEMENT  
Under  
The Securities Act of 1933**

**LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)**

**2834  
(Primary Standard Industrial  
Classification Code Number)**

**77-0160744  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)**

**10275 Science Center Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121  
(858) 550-7500  
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant's principal executive offices)**

**David E. Robinson  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated  
10275 Science Center Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121  
(858) 550-7500  
(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)**

*Copies to:*

**Faye H. Russell, Esq.  
Latham & Watkins LLP  
12636 High Bluff Drive, Suite 400  
San Diego, CA 92130  
(858) 523-5400**

**Warner R. Broaddus, Esq.  
Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated  
10275 Science Center Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121  
(858) 550-7500**

**Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public:** As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box.

**The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.**

---

**Table of Contents**

***7,988,793 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK***

This prospectus relates to the offer and sale of up to 7,790,974 shares to be issued pursuant to awards granted or to be granted under our 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, or our 2002 Plan, and up to 147,510 shares to be issued pursuant to our 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or our 2002 ESPP.

This prospectus also relates to the offer and sale of up to 50,309 shares of our common stock which may be offered from time to time by the selling stockholders identified on page 110 of this prospectus for their own accounts. Each of the selling stockholders named in the prospectus acquired the shares of common stock upon exercise of options previously granted to them as an employee, director or consultant of Ligand or as restricted stock granted to them as a director of Ligand, in each case under the terms of our 2002 Plan.

It is anticipated that the selling stockholders will offer shares for sale at prevailing prices on the date of sale or in negotiated transactions. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders under this prospectus. We are paying the expenses incurred in registering the shares, but all selling and other expenses incurred by each of the selling stockholders will be borne by that selling stockholder.

Among the shares of common stock there are shares which are restricted securities under the Securities Act before their sale under this prospectus. This prospectus has been prepared in part for the purpose of registering the shares of common stock under the Securities Act to allow for future sales by the selling stockholders, on a continuous or delayed basis, to the public without restriction. Each selling stockholder and any participating broker or dealer may be deemed to be an underwriter within the meaning of the Securities Act, in which event any profit on the sale of shares by the selling stockholder and any commissions or discounts received by those brokers or dealers may be deemed to be underwriting compensation under the Securities Act.

Our common stock is quoted on The Pink Sheets LLC under the symbol LGND. On April 10, 2006, the last reported sale price of our common stock was \$13.05 per share.

AN INVESTMENT IN THE SHARES OFFERED BY THIS PROSPECTUS IS SPECULATIVE AND SUBJECT TO RISK OF LOSS. SEE RISK FACTORS BEGINNING ON PAGE 7 AND THE TABLE OF CONTENTS ON PAGE i.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THE SECURITIES OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

THE DATE OF THIS PROSPECTUS IS \_\_\_\_\_, 2006.

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Prospectus Summary</u>	1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	7
<u>Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	18
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	19
<u>Dividend Policy</u>	19
<u>Capitalization</u>	20
<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	22
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	25
<u>Business</u>	52
<u>Market Price of and Dividends on the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	80
<u>Management</u>	81
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	101
<u>Principal Stockholders</u>	103
<u>Description of Capital Stock</u>	106
<u>Selling Stockholders</u>	110
<u>Plan of Distribution</u>	111
<u>Legal Matters</u>	112
<u>Experts</u>	112
<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	112
<u>Where You Can Find Additional Information</u>	112
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	113
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1

EXHIBIT 23.1

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information different from that contained in this prospectus. The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or of any sale of our common stock.

**Table of Contents****PROSPECTUS SUMMARY**

*This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus and does not contain all of the information that you should consider in making your investment decision. Before investing in our common stock, you should carefully read this entire prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in this prospectus and the information set forth under the headings Risk Factors and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*

**The Company**

Our goal is to build a profitable pharmaceutical company that discovers, develops and markets new drugs that address critical unmet medical needs in the areas of cancer, men's and women's health, skin diseases, osteoporosis, and metabolic, cardiovascular and inflammatory diseases. We strive to develop drugs that are more effective and/or safer than existing therapies, that are more convenient (taken orally or topically administered) and that are cost effective. We plan to build a profitable pharmaceutical company by generating income from the specialty pharmaceutical products we develop and market, and from research, milestone and royalty revenues resulting from our collaborations with large pharmaceutical partners, which develop and market products in large markets that are beyond our strategic focus or resources.

We currently market four oncology products in the United States: Panretin<sup>®</sup> gel (alitretinoin) 0.1%, ONTAK<sup>®</sup> (denileukin diftitox) and Targretin<sup>®</sup> (bexarotene) capsules, each of which was approved by the Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, in 1999; and Targretin<sup>®</sup> (bexarotene) gel 1%, which was approved by the FDA in 2000. Our fifth and newest product, AVINZA<sup>®</sup>, is a treatment for chronic, moderate-to-severe pain that was approved by the FDA in March 2002. In Europe, the European Commission, or EC, granted a Marketing Authorization, or MA, for Panretin gel in October 2000 and an MA for Targretin capsules in March 2001. We also continue efforts to acquire or in-license other products, like ONTAK and AVINZA, which have near-term prospects of FDA approval and which can be marketed by our specialty sales forces. We are developing additional products through our internal development programs and currently have various products in clinical development, including marketed products that we are testing for larger market indications such as non-small cell lung cancer, or NSCLC, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, or CLL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, or NHL, and hand dermatitis.

We have formed research and development collaborations with numerous global pharmaceutical companies, including Abbott Laboratories, Allergan, Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly & Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Organon (Akzo Nobel), Parke-Davis, Pfizer Inc., TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc. (TAP), and Wyeth. As of December 31, 2005, our corporate partners had 13 Ligand products in human development and numerous compounds on an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, track or in preclinical and research stages. These corporate partner products are being studied for the treatment of large market indications such as osteoporosis, diabetes, contraception and cardiovascular disease. One of these partner products, lasofoxifene, is being developed by Pfizer for osteoporosis and other indications. Pfizer filed a New Drug Application, or NDA, with the FDA in August 2004 for the use of lasofoxifene in the prevention of osteoporosis and then filed a supplemental NDA in December 2004 for the use of lasofoxifene in the treatment of vaginal atrophy. Three of these partner products are in pivotal Phase III clinical trials: bazedoxifene, which is being developed by Wyeth as monotherapy for osteoporosis and in combination with Wyeth's PREMARIN for osteoporosis prevention, and vasomotor symptoms of menopause. A third partner product, eltrombopag (SB47115), being developed by Glaxo SmithKline for thrombocytopenia, recently advanced to Phase III in February 2006. A fourth partner product, LY519818, is being developed by Eli Lilly & Company for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Lilly has announced plans to advance this product into Phase III registration studies after completion of two-year carcinogenicity studies and appropriate consultation with the FDA. Another Lilly product, LY674 has recently advanced into Phase II development for atherosclerosis and LY929 is in Phase I development for type 2 diabetes. An additional partner product being developed by GlaxoSmithKline is in Phase II: GSK516 for cardiovascular disease and dyslipidemia. Other partner products in Phase II include pibendoxifene (formerly ERA-923) being developed by Wyeth for breast cancer and NSP-989 for contraception and NSP-989 combo for contraception in Phase I. In June 2005, GlaxoSmithKline commenced Phase I studies of SB-449448, a second product for thrombocytopenia and in April 2005, TAP



**Table of Contents**

commenced Phase I studies for LGD 2941 for the treatment of osteoporosis and frailty. Additionally, in September 2005 and February 2006, respectively, Pfizer announced the receipt of non-approvable letters from the FDA for the prevention of osteoporosis and vaginal atrophy. However, lasofoxifene continues in Phase III clinical trials by Pfizer for the treatment of osteoporosis.

Internal and collaborative research and development programs are built around our proprietary science technology, which is based on our leadership position in gene transcription technology. Panretin gel, Targretin capsules, and Targretin gel as well as our corporate partner products currently on human development track are modulators of gene transcription, working through key cellular or intracellular receptor targets discovered using our Intracellular Receptor, or IR, technology.

On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to us. The effective date of the termination agreement is January 1, 2006, however the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 to promote the product. The transition period co-operation includes a minimum number of product sales calls per quarter (100,000 for Organon and 30,000 for us with an aggregate of 375,000 and 90,000 respectively for the transition period) as well as the transition of ongoing promotions, managed care contracts, clinical trials and key opinion leader relationships to us. During the transition period, we will pay Organon an amount equal to 23% of AVINZA net sales as reported by us. We will also pay and be responsible for the design and execution of all clinical, advertising and promotion expenses and activities. Additionally, in consideration of the early termination and return of rights under the terms of the agreement, we will unconditionally pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006 and will further pay Organon \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of sales calls. Under certain conditions, including change of control, the cash payments will accelerate. In addition, after the termination, we will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November of 2017. See Business Strategy Ligand Marketed Products AVINZA Co-Promotion Agreement with Organon.

**Corporate Information**

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1987. Our principal executive offices are located at 10275 Science Center Drive, San Diego, California 92121, and our telephone number is (858) 550-7500. Our website address is <http://www.ligand.com>. The information on, or accessible through, our website is not part of this prospectus. Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this prospectus to the Company, Ligand, we, us and our refer to Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated.

Our trademarks, trade names and service marks referenced in this prospectus include Ligand, ONTAK, Panretin, Targretin, and AVINZA. Each other trademark, trade name or service mark appearing in this prospectus belongs to its owner.



**Table of Contents**

**THE OFFERING**

Common stock offered	Up to 7,988,793 shares, assuming the issuance of all shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2002 Plan and the 2002 ESPP. The amount also includes 50,309 shares previously issued under the 2002 Plan which may be offered from time to time by the selling stockholders.
Common stock to be outstanding after this offering	Up to 82,014,997 shares, assuming the issuance of all shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2002 Plan and 2002 ESPP. The amount also includes 50,309 shares previously issued under the 2002 Plan which may be offered from time to time by the selling stockholders.
Use of proceeds	We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders under this prospectus. We will receive proceeds in connection with option exercises under the 2002 Plan and shares issued under the 2002 ESPP which will be based upon each granted option exercise price or purchase price, as applicable. The exercise price under our 2002 Plan is generally based upon the fair market value of our shares at the option grant date. The purchase price of the common stock acquired under our 2002 ESPP is equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value per share of common stock on the start date of the offering period in which the individual is enrolled or the fair market value on the quarterly purchase date. Any proceeds received by us will be used for working capital and general corporate purposes. See Use of Proceeds and Capitalization.

The number of shares of common stock that will be outstanding after this offering is based on shares outstanding as of December 31, 2005.

**Table of Contents**

**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

Set forth below are highlights from Ligand's consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2000 through 2005. Our selected statement of operations data set forth below for each of the five years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002, and 2001 (unaudited), and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 (unaudited), and 2001 (unaudited), are derived from our consolidated financial statements.

The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our annual consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>				
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>				
	<b>(in thousands, except share and loss per share data)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:</b>					
Product sales (1)	\$ 166,081	\$ 120,335	\$ 55,324	\$ 30,326	\$ 32,038
Sale of royalty rights, net (2)		31,342	11,786	17,600	
Collaborative research and development and other revenues	10,527	11,835	14,008	23,843	30,718
Cost of products sold (1)	39,847	39,804	26,557	14,738	11,582
Research and development expenses	56,075	65,204	66,678	59,060	49,427
Selling, general and administrative expenses	74,656	65,798	52,540	41,825	35,072
Co-promotion expense (3)	32,501	30,077	9,360		
Loss from operations	(26,471)	(37,371)	(74,017)	(43,854)	(33,325)
Loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	(36,399)	(45,141)	(94,466)	(52,257)	(53,305)
Cumulative effect of changing method of accounting for variable interest entity (4)			(2,005)		
Net loss	(36,399)	(45,141)	(96,471)	(52,257)	(53,305)
Basic and diluted per share amounts:					
Loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.61)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (0.90)
Cumulative effect of changing method of accounting for variable interest entity (4)			(0.03)		
Net loss	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.61)	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (0.90)
Weighted average number of common shares	74,019,501	73,692,987	70,685,234	69,118,976	59,413,270
Pro forma amounts assuming the changed method of accounting for variable interest entity is applied retroactively (4):					
Net loss			\$ (94,352)	\$ (52,456)	\$ (53,600)

Basic and diluted net loss per share \$ (1.34) \$ (0.76) \$ (0.90)

	2005	2004	December 31, 2003	2002 (Unaudited)	2001 (Unaudited)
	(in thousands)				
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted investments	\$ 88,756	\$ 114,870	\$ 100,690	\$ 74,894	\$ 40,058
Working capital (deficit) (5)	(102,244)	(48,505)	(16,930)	18,370	2,375
Total assets	314,619	332,466	314,046	287,709	126,898
Current portion of deferred revenue, net	157,519	152,528	105,719	48,609	27,152
Long-term obligations (excludes long-term portion of deferred revenue, net)	173,280	174,214	173,851	162,329	138,837
Long-term portion of deferred revenue, net	4,202	4,512	3,448	3,595	4,164
Common stock subject to conditional redemption/repurchase	12,345	12,345	14,595	34,595	14,595
Accumulated deficit	(831,059)	(794,660)	(749,519)	(653,048)	(600,791)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit) (footnotes on next page)	(110,419)	(75,317)	(37,554)	8,925	(86,849)

**Table of Contents**

- (1) We began selling ONTAK and Panretin gel in 1999 and Targretin capsules and Targretin gel in 2000. AVINZA was approved by the FDA in March 2002 and subsequently launched in the U.S. in June 2002.
- (2) Represents the sale of rights to royalties. See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.
- (3) Represents expense related to our AVINZA co-promotion agreement with Organon Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. entered into in February 2003. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon USA, Inc. that terminates the

AVINZA®  
co-promotion  
agreement  
between the two  
companies and  
returns AVINZA  
rights to us. The  
effective date of  
the termination  
agreement is  
January 1, 2006;  
however, the  
parties have  
agreed to continue  
to cooperate  
during a transition  
period ending  
September 30,  
2006 to promote  
the product. See  
Management's  
Discussion and  
Analysis of  
Financial  
Condition and  
Results of  
Operations  
Overview ;  
Business  
Overview; and  
Business  
Overview-Ligand  
Marketed  
Products  
AVINZA  
Co-Promotion  
Agreement with  
Organon.

- (4) In  
December 2003,  
we adopted  
Financial  
Accounting  
Standard Board  
Interpretation  
No. 46 (revised  
December 2003)  
(FIN46(R)),  
*Consolidation of  
Variable Interest*

*Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51.*

Under FIN 46(R), we were required to consolidate the variable interest entity from which we leased our corporate headquarters. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2003, we consolidated assets with a carrying value of \$13.6 million, debt of \$12.5 million, and a non-controlling interest of \$0.6 million. In connection with the adoption of FIN 46(R), we recorded a charge of \$2.0 million as a cumulative effect of the accounting change on December 31, 2003. In April 2004, we acquired the portion of the variable interest entity that we did not previously own. The acquisition resulted in Ligand assuming the existing loan against the property and making a payment of approximately \$0.6 million to the entity's other

shareholder. See  
Note 2 to our  
consolidated  
financial  
statements  
included  
elsewhere in this  
prospectus.

- (5) Working capital  
(deficit) includes  
deferred product  
revenue recorded  
under the  
sell-through  
revenue  
recognition  
method.



**Table of Contents**

**RISK FACTORS**

*The following is a summary description of some of the many risks we face in our business. You should carefully review these risks in evaluating an investment in our common stock.*

***The restatement of our consolidated financial statements has had a material adverse impact on us, including increased costs, the increased possibility of legal or administrative proceedings, and delisting from the NASDAQ National Market.***

We determined that our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, and as of and for the quarters of 2003, and for the first three quarters of 2004, as described in more detail in our 2004 10-K, should be restated. As a result of these events, we have become subject to a number of additional risks and uncertainties, including:

- § We incurred substantial unanticipated costs for accounting and legal fees in 2005 in connection with the restatement. Although the restatement is complete, we expect to continue to incur such costs as noted below.
- § We have been named in a number of lawsuits that began in August 2004 and an additional lawsuit filed in October 2005 claiming to be class actions and shareholder derivative actions. As a result of our restatement the plaintiffs in these lawsuits may make additional claims, expand existing claims and/or expand the time periods covered by the complaints. Other plaintiffs may bring additional actions with other claims, based on the restatement. If such events occur, we may incur additional substantial defense costs regardless of their outcome. Likewise, such events might cause a diversion of our management's time and attention. If we do not prevail in any such actions, we could be required to pay substantial damages or settlement costs.
- § The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has instituted a formal investigation of the Company's consolidated financial statements. This investigation will likely divert more of our management's time and attention and cause us to incur substantial costs. Such investigations can also lead to fines or injunctions or orders with respect to future activities, as well as further substantial costs and diversion of management time and attention.
- § The need to reconsider our accounting treatment and the restatement of our consolidated financial statements caused us to be late in filing our required reports on Form 10-K for December 31, 2004 and Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively, which caused us to be delisted from NASDAQ National Market in September 2005. See Our common stock was delisted from the NASDAQ National Market which may reduce the price of our common stock and the levels of liquidity available to our stockholders and cause confusion among investors for additional discussion regarding the NASDAQ delisting.

***Material weaknesses or deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting could harm stockholder and business confidence on our financial reporting, our ability to obtain financing and other aspects of our business.***

Maintaining an effective system of internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports. As disclosed in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the first three quarters of 2005, management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified material weaknesses in the Company's internal controls surrounding the accounting for revenue recognition, shipments of short-dated product, record keeping and documentation, accounting personnel, accruals and cut-off, and financial statement close procedures.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company was not able to fully execute the remediation plans that were established to address the material weaknesses surrounding the accounting for revenue recognition, record keeping and documentation, accounting personnel, and financial statement close procedures. As a result, these material weaknesses were not fully remediated as of December 31, 2005. Additionally, during the assessment process, management identified four additional material weaknesses relating to the Company's internal control over financial reporting: 1) the inability of the Company to maintain an effective independent Internal Audit

**Table of Contents**

Department; 2) the existence of ineffective spreadsheet controls used in connection with the Company's financial processes, including review, testing, access and integrity controls; 3) the existence of accounting system access rights granted to certain members of the Company's accounting and finance department that are incompatible with the current roles and duties of such individuals (i.e., segregation of duties); and 4) the inability of management to properly maintain the Company's documentation of the internal control over financial reporting during 2005 or to substantively commence the process to update such documentation and assessment until December 2005. As a result of the unremediated and new material weaknesses, management concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005.

Because we have concluded that our internal control over financial reporting is not effective and our independent registered public accountants issued a disclaimer opinion on the effectiveness of our internal controls, and to the extent we identify future weaknesses or deficiencies, there could be material misstatements in our consolidated financial statements and we could fail to meet our financial reporting obligations. As a result, our ability to obtain additional financing, or obtain additional financing on favorable terms, could be materially and adversely affected, which, in turn, could materially and adversely affect our business, our strategic alternatives, our financial condition and the market value of our securities. In addition, perceptions of us could also be adversely affected among customers, lenders, investors, securities analysts and others. Current material weaknesses or any future weaknesses or deficiencies could also hurt confidence in our business and consolidated financial statements and our ability to do business with these groups.

***Our revenue recognition policy has changed to the sell-through method which is currently not used by most companies in the pharmaceutical industry which will make it more difficult to compare our results to the results of our competitors.***

Because our revenue recognition policy has changed to the sell-through method which reflects products sold through the distribution channel, we do not recognize revenue for the domestic product shipments of AVINZA, ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel. Under our previous method of accounting, product sales were recognized at time of shipment.

Under the sell-through revenue recognition method, future product sales and gross margins may be affected by the timing of certain gross to net sales adjustments including the cost of certain services provided by wholesalers under distribution service agreements, and the impact of price increases. Cost of products sold and therefore gross margins for our products may also be further impacted by changes in the timing of revenue recognition. Additionally, our revenue recognition models incorporate a significant amount of third party data from our wholesalers and IMS Health Incorporated, or IMS. Such data is subject to estimates and as such, any changes or corrections to these estimates identified in later periods, such as changes or corrections occurring as a result of natural disasters or other disruptions, including Hurricane Katrina, could affect the revenue that we report in future periods.

As a result of our change in revenue recognition policy and the fact that the sell-through method is not widely used by our competitors, it may be difficult for potential and current stockholders to assess our financial results and compare these results to others in our industry. This may have an adverse effect on our stock price.

**Table of Contents**

***Our new revenue recognition models under the sell-through method are extremely complex and depend upon the accuracy and consistency of third party data as well as dependence upon key finance and accounting personnel to maintain and implement the controls surrounding such models.***

We have developed revenue recognition models under the sell-through method that are unique to the Company's business and therefore are highly complex and not widely used in the pharmaceutical industry. The revenue recognition models incorporate a significant amount of third party data from our wholesalers and IMS. To effectively maintain the revenue recognition models, we depend to a considerable degree upon the timely and accurate reporting to us of such data from these third parties and our key accounting and finance personnel to accurately interpolate such data into the models. If the third party data is not calculated on a consistent basis and reported to us on an accurate or timely basis or we lose any of our key accounting and finance personnel, the accuracy of our consolidated financial statements could be materially affected. This could cause future delays in our earnings announcements, regulatory filings with the SEC, and potential delays in relisting or delisting with the NASDAQ.

***Our common stock was delisted from the NASDAQ National Market which may reduce the price of our common stock and the levels of liquidity available to our stockholders and cause confusion among investors.***

Our common stock was delisted from the NASDAQ National Market on September 7, 2005. Unless and until the Company's common stock is relisted on NASDAQ, our common stock is expected to be quoted on the Pink Sheets. The quotation of our common stock on the Pink Sheets may reduce the price of our common stock and the levels of liquidity available to our stockholders. In addition, the quotation of our common stock on the Pink Sheets may materially adversely affect our access to the capital markets, and any limitation on liquidity or reduction in the price of our common stock could materially adversely affect our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us or at all. Stocks that are quoted on the Pink Sheets are no longer eligible for margin loans, and a company quoted on the Pink Sheets cannot avail itself of federal preemption of state securities or blue sky laws, which adds substantial compliance costs to securities issuances, including pursuant to employee option plans, stock purchase plans and private or public offerings of securities. Our delisting from the NASDAQ National Market and quotation on the Pink Sheets may also have other negative implications, including the potential loss of confidence by suppliers, customers and employees, the loss of institutional investor interest and fewer business development opportunities.

While we have applied to have our common stock relisted on the NASDAQ National Market, our common stock may not ultimately be relisted. Even if we are successful in getting our common stock relisted on NASDAQ, the relisting may cause confusion among investors who have become accustomed to our being quoted on the Pink Sheets as they seek to determine our stock price or trade in our stock.

***Our strategic alternatives exploration process is subject to a number of uncertainties and may or may not result in any expected transaction(s).***

In November 2005, we announced that we would be exploring strategic alternatives for the Company and its assets in order to enhance shareholder value. This process is ongoing and is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. For example, we may not decide to or be able to complete any strategic transaction or series of transactions on any given timeframe, or at all. Any transactions we do complete may not be the type of transaction or may not be on terms that some stockholders or members of the investing public may prefer. Any of these risks or uncertainties could harm our stock price.

***Our small number of products and our dependence on partners and other third parties means our results are vulnerable to setbacks with respect to any one product.***

We currently have only five products approved for marketing and a handful of other products/indications that have made significant progress through development. Because these numbers are small, especially the number of marketed products, any significant setback with respect to any one of them could significantly impair our operating results and/or reduce the market prices for our securities. Setbacks could include problems with shipping, distribution, manufacturing, product safety, marketing, government licenses and approvals, intellectual property rights and physician or patient acceptance of the product, as well as higher than expected total rebates, returns or discounts.

**Table of Contents**

In particular, AVINZA our pain product, now accounts for a majority of our product revenues and we expect AVINZA revenues will continue to grow over the next several years. Thus any setback with respect to AVINZA could significantly impact our financial results and our share price. AVINZA was licensed from Elan Corporation which is currently its sole manufacturer. We have contracted with Cardinal to provide additional manufacturing capacity and expect to source product from Cardinal in 2006. However, we expect Elan will continue to be a significant supplier over the next several years. Any problems with Elan's or Cardinal's manufacturing operations or capacity could reduce sales of AVINZA, as could any licensing or other contract disputes with these suppliers.

Similarly, our co-promotion partner executes a large part of the marketing and sales efforts for AVINZA and those efforts may be affected by our partner's organization, operations, activities and events both related and unrelated to AVINZA. Our co-promotion efforts have encountered and continue to encounter a number of difficulties, uncertainties and challenges, including sales force reorganizations and lower than expected sales call and prescription volumes, which have hurt and could continue to hurt AVINZA sales growth. The negative impact on the product's sales growth in turn has caused and may continue to cause our revenues and earnings to be disappointing. Any failure to fully optimize this co-promotion arrangement and the AVINZA brand, by either partner, could also cause AVINZA sales and our financial results to be disappointing and hurt our stock price. Any disputes with our co-promotion partner over these or other issues could harm the promotion and sales of AVINZA and could result in substantial costs to us. In addition, in January 2006 we announced that we were terminating the co-promotion arrangement with a nine-month transition period. Failure to successfully transition our partner's efforts and functions back to Ligand and/or failure to repartner or otherwise replace our partner's sales activities for AVINZA after the transition could adversely affect the sales of the product.

AVINZA is a relatively new product and therefore the predictability of its commercial results is relatively low. Higher than expected discounts (especially PBM/GPO rebates and Medicaid rebates, which can be substantial), returns and chargebacks and/or slower than expected market penetration could reduce sales. Other setbacks that AVINZA could face in the sustained-release opioid market include product safety and abuse issues, regulatory action, intellectual property disputes and the inability to obtain sufficient quotas of morphine from the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) to support our production requirements.

In particular, with respect to regulatory action and product safety issues, the FDA recently requested that we expand the warnings on the AVINZA label to alert doctors and patients to the dangers of using AVINZA with alcohol. We have made changes to the label. The FDA also requested clinical studies to investigate the risks associated with taking AVINZA with alcohol. We have submitted protocols to the FDA and are awaiting their comments on these protocol designs. These additional warnings, studies and any further regulatory action could have significant adverse effects on AVINZA sales.

***Our product development and commercialization involve a number of uncertainties, and we may never generate sufficient revenues from the sale of products to become profitable.***

We were founded in 1987. We have incurred significant losses since our inception. At December 31, 2005, our accumulated deficit was approximately \$831.1 million. We began receiving revenues from the sale of pharmaceutical products in 1999. To consistently be profitable, we must successfully develop, clinically test, market and sell our products. Even if we consistently achieve profitability, we cannot predict the level of that profitability or whether we will be able to sustain profitability. We expect that our operating results will fluctuate from period to period as a result of differences in when we incur expenses and receive revenues from product sales, collaborative arrangements and other sources. Some of these fluctuations may be significant.

Most of our products in development will require extensive additional development, including preclinical testing and human studies, as well as regulatory approvals, before we can market them. We cannot predict if or when any of the products we are developing or those being developed with our partners will be approved for marketing. For example, lasofoxifene (Oporia), a partner product being developed by Pfizer recently received a non-approvable decision from the FDA and trials of our market product Targretin failed to meet endpoints in Phase III trials in which we were studying its use in non small cell lung cancer. There are many reasons that we or our collaborative partners may fail in our efforts to develop our other potential products, including the possibility that:



**Table of Contents**

- Ø preclinical testing or human studies may show that our potential products are ineffective or cause harmful side effects;
- Ø the products may fail to receive necessary regulatory approvals from the FDA or foreign authorities in a timely manner, or at all;
- Ø the products, if approved, may not be produced in commercial quantities or at reasonable costs;
- Ø the products, once approved, may not achieve commercial acceptance;
- Ø regulatory or governmental authorities may apply restrictions to our products, which could adversely affect their commercial success; or
- Ø the proprietary rights of other parties may prevent us or our partners from marketing the products. Any product development failures for these or other reasons, whether with our products or our partners' products, may reduce our expected revenues, profits, and stock price.

***Third-party reimbursement and health care reform policies may reduce our future sales.***

Sales of prescription drugs depend significantly on access to the formularies, or lists of approved prescription drugs, of third-party payers such as government and private insurance plans, as well as the availability of reimbursement to the consumer from these third party payers. These third party payers frequently require drug companies to provide predetermined discounts from list prices, and they are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. Our current and potential products may not be considered cost-effective, may not be added to formularies and reimbursement to the consumer may not be available or sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive basis. For example, we have current and recurring discussions with insurers regarding formulary access, discounts and reimbursement rates for our drugs, including AVINZA. We may not be able to negotiate favorable reimbursement rates and formulary status for our products or may have to pay significant discounts to obtain favorable rates and access. Only one of our products, ONTAK, is currently eligible to be reimbursed by Medicare (reimbursement for Targretin is being provided to a small group of patients by Medicare through December 2005 as part of the Medicare Replacement Drug Demonstration Project). Recently enacted changes by Medicare to the hospital outpatient payment reimbursement system may adversely affect reimbursement rates for ONTAK. Beginning in 2004 we have also experienced a significant increase in ONTAK units that are sold through Disproportionate Share Hospitals or DSHs. These hospitals are part of the federal government's procurement system and thus receive significantly higher rebates than non-government purchasers of our products. As a result, our net revenues for ONTAK could be substantially reduced if this trend continues.

In addition, the efforts of governments and third-party payers to contain or reduce the cost of health care will continue to affect the business and financial condition of drug companies such as us. A number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the health care system have been discussed in recent years, including price caps and controls for pharmaceuticals. These proposals could reduce and/or cap the prices for our products or reduce government reimbursement rates for products such as ONTAK. In addition, an increasing emphasis on managed care in the United States has and will continue to increase pressure on drug pricing. We cannot predict whether legislative or regulatory proposals will be adopted or what effect those proposals or managed care efforts may have on our business. The announcement and/or adoption of such proposals or efforts could adversely affect our profit margins and business.

***We are building marketing and sales capabilities in the United States and Europe which is an expensive and time-consuming process and may increase our operating losses.***

Developing the sales force to market and sell products is a difficult, expensive and time-consuming process. We have developed a US sales force of approximately 113 people. We also rely on third-party distributors to distribute our products. The distributors are responsible for providing many marketing support services, including customer service, order entry, shipping and billing and customer reimbursement assistance. In Europe, we currently rely on other

companies to distribute and market our products. We have entered into agreements for the marketing and distribution of our products in territories such as the United Kingdom, Germany, France, Spain, Portugal, Greece, Italy and Central and South America and have established a subsidiary, Ligand Pharmaceuticals International, Inc., with a branch in London, England, to coordinate our European marketing and operations. Our reliance on these third parties means our results may suffer if any of them are unsuccessful or fail to perform as expected. We may not be

**Table of Contents**

able to continue to expand our sales and marketing capabilities sufficiently to successfully commercialize our products in the territories where they receive marketing approval. With respect to our co-promotion or licensing arrangements, for example our co-promotion agreement for AVINZA, which is currently in transition, any revenues we receive will depend substantially on the marketing and sales efforts of others, which may or may not be successful.

***The cash flows from our product shipments may significantly fluctuate each period based on the nature of our products.***

Excluding AVINZA, our products are small-volume specialty pharmaceutical products that address the needs of cancer patients in relatively small niche markets with substantial geographical fluctuations in demand. To ensure patient access to our drugs, we maintain broad distribution capabilities with inventories held at approximately 130 locations throughout the United States. The purchasing and stocking patterns of our wholesaler customers for all our products are influenced by a number of factors that vary from product to product, including but not limited to overall level of demand, periodic promotions, required minimum shipping quantities and wholesaler competitive initiatives. As a result, the overall level of product in the distribution channel may average from two to six months worth of projected inventory usage. Although we have distribution services contracts in place to maintain stable inventories at our major wholesalers, if any of them were to substantially reduce the inventory they carry in a given period, e.g. due to circumstances beyond their reasonable control, or contract termination or expiration, our shipments and cash flow for that period could be substantially lower than historical levels.

We have entered into fee-for-service or distributor services agreements for each of our products with the majority of our wholesaler customers. Under these agreements, in exchange for a set fee, the wholesalers have agreed to provide us with certain services. Concurrent with the implementation of these agreements we will no longer routinely offer these wholesalers promotional discounts or incentives. The agreements typically have a one-year initial term and are renewable.

***Our drug development programs will require substantial additional future funding which could hurt our operational and financial condition.***

Our drug development programs require substantial additional capital to successfully complete them, arising from costs to:

- Ø conduct research, preclinical testing and human studies;
- Ø establish pilot scale and commercial scale manufacturing processes and facilities; and
- Ø establish and develop quality control, regulatory, marketing, sales and administrative capabilities to support these programs.

Our future operating and capital needs will depend on many factors, including:

- Ø the pace of scientific progress in our research and development programs and the magnitude of these programs;
- Ø the scope and results of preclinical testing and human studies;
- Ø the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;
- Ø the time and costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims;
- Ø competing technological and market developments;
- Ø our ability to establish additional collaborations;
- Ø changes in our existing collaborations;
- Ø the cost of manufacturing scale-up; and



Ø the effectiveness of our commercialization activities.

We currently estimate our research and development expenditures over the next 3 years to range between \$180 million and \$225 million. However, we base our outlook regarding the need for funds on many uncertain variables. Such uncertainties include regulatory approvals, the timing of events outside our direct control such as product launches by partners and the success of such product launches, negotiations with potential strategic partners

**Table of Contents**

and other factors. Any of these uncertain events can significantly change our cash requirements as they determine such one-time events as the receipt of major milestones and other payments.

While we expect to fund our research and development activities from cash generated from internal operations to the extent possible, if we are unable to do so we may need to complete additional equity or debt financings or seek other external means of financing. If additional funds are required to support our operations and we are unable to obtain them on terms favorable to us, we may be required to cease or reduce further development or commercialization of our products, to sell some or all of our technology or assets or to merge with another entity. ***We may require additional money to run our business and may be required to raise this money on terms which are not favorable or which reduce our stock price.***

We have incurred losses since our inception and may not generate positive cash flow to fund our operations for one or more years. As a result, we may need to complete additional equity or debt financings to fund our operations. Our inability to obtain additional financing could adversely affect our business. Financings may not be available at all or on favorable terms. In addition, these financings, if completed, still may not meet our capital needs and could result in substantial dilution to our stockholders. For instance, in April 2002 and September 2003 we issued an aggregate of 7.7 million shares of our common stock in private placement offerings. In addition, in November 2002 we issued in a private placement \$155.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our 6% convertible subordinated notes due 2007, which could be converted into 25,149,025 shares of our common stock. In January 2006, holders of notes with a face value of \$24.1 million (approximately 15% of total outstanding notes) converted their notes into approximately 3.9 million shares of our common stock.

If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of our research or drug development programs, or our marketing and sales initiatives. Alternatively, we may be forced to attempt to continue development by entering into arrangements with collaborative partners or others that require us to relinquish some or all of our rights to technologies or drug candidates that we would not otherwise relinquish.

***Our products face significant regulatory hurdles prior to marketing which could delay or prevent sales.***

Before we obtain the approvals necessary to sell any of our potential products, we must show through preclinical studies and human testing that each product is safe and effective. We and our partners have a number of products moving toward or currently in clinical trials, including lasofoxifene for which Pfizer announced receipt of non-approval letters from the FDA, and two products in Phase III trials by one of our partners involving bazedoxifene. Failure to show any product's safety and effectiveness would delay or prevent regulatory approval of the product and could adversely affect our business. The clinical trials process is complex and uncertain. The results of preclinical studies and initial clinical trials may not necessarily predict the results from later large-scale clinical trials. In addition, clinical trials may not demonstrate a product's safety and effectiveness to the satisfaction of the regulatory authorities. A number of companies have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials or in seeking regulatory approvals, despite promising results in earlier trials. The FDA may also require additional clinical trials after regulatory approvals are received, which could be expensive and time-consuming, and failure to successfully conduct those trials could jeopardize continued commercialization.

In particular, we announced top-line data, or a summary of significant findings from our Phase III trials for Targretin capsules in NSCLC in late March of 2005. The data analysis showed that the trials did not meet their endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two-year survival. However, in both trials, additional subset analyses completed after the initial intent to treat results are being analyzed. We have been evaluating data from current and prior Phase II studies to see if they show a similar correlation between hypertriglyceridemia and increased survival. The data will further shape our future plans for Targretin. If further studies are justified they will be conducted on our own or with a partner or cooperative group. These analyses may not be favorable and may not be completed or demonstrate any hypothesis or endpoint. If these analyses or subsequent data fails to show safety or effectiveness, our stock price could be harmed. In addition, subsequent data may be inconclusive or mixed and could be delayed. The FDA may not approve Targretin for this new indication, or may delay approval, even if the data appears to be favorable. Any of these events could depress our stock price.

**Table of Contents**

The rate at which we complete our clinical trials depends on many factors, including our ability to obtain adequate supplies of the products to be tested and patient enrollment. Patient enrollment is a function of many factors, including the size of the patient population, the proximity of patients to clinical sites and the eligibility criteria for the trial. For example, each of our Phase III Targretin clinical trials involved approximately 600 patients and required significant time and investment to complete enrollments. Delays in patient enrollment for our other trials may result in increased costs and longer development times. In addition, our collaborative partners have rights to control product development and clinical programs for products developed under the collaborations. As a result, these collaborators may conduct these programs more slowly or in a different manner than we had expected. Even if clinical trials are completed, we or our collaborative partners still may not apply for FDA approval in a timely manner or the FDA still may not grant approval.

***We face substantial competition which may limit our revenues.***

Some of the drugs that we are developing and marketing will compete with existing treatments. In addition, several companies are developing new drugs that target the same diseases that we are targeting and are taking IR-related and STAT-related approaches to drug development. The principal products competing with our products targeted at the cutaneous t-cell lymphoma market are Supergen/Abbott's Nipent and interferon, which is marketed by a number of companies, including Schering-Plough's Intron A. Products that compete with AVINZA include Purdue Pharma L.P.'s OxyContin and MS Contin, Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P.'s Duragesic, aai Pharma's Oramorph SR, Alpharma's Kadian, and generic sustained release morphine sulfate, oxycodone and fentanyl. New generic, A/B substitutable or other competitive products may also come to market and compete with our products, reducing our market share and revenues. Many of our existing or potential competitors, particularly large drug companies, have greater financial, technical and human resources than we do and may be better equipped to develop, manufacture and market products. Many of these companies also have extensive experience in preclinical testing and human clinical trials, obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals and manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products. In addition, academic institutions, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations are developing products that may compete with the products we are developing. These institutions are becoming more aware of the commercial value of their findings and are seeking patent protection and licensing arrangements to collect payments for the use of their technologies. These institutions also may market competitive products on their own or through joint ventures and will compete with us in recruiting highly qualified scientific personnel.

***We rely heavily on collaborative relationships and termination of any of these programs could reduce the financial resources available to us, including research funding and milestone payments.***

Our strategy for developing and commercializing many of our potential products, including products aimed at larger markets, includes entering into collaborations with corporate partners, licensors, licensees and others. These collaborations provide us with funding and research and development resources for potential products for the treatment or control of metabolic diseases, hematopoiesis, women's health disorders, inflammation, cardiovascular disease, cancer and skin disease, and osteoporosis. These agreements also give our collaborative partners significant discretion when deciding whether or not to pursue any development program. Our collaborations may not continue or be successful.

In addition, our collaborators may develop drugs, either alone or with others, that compete with the types of drugs they currently are developing with us. This would result in less support and increased competition for our programs. If products are approved for marketing under our collaborative programs, any revenues we receive will depend on the manufacturing, marketing and sales efforts of our collaborators, who generally retain commercialization rights under the collaborative agreements. Our current collaborators also generally have the right to terminate their collaborations under specified circumstances. If any of our collaborative partners breach or terminate their agreements with us or otherwise fail to conduct their collaborative activities successfully, our product development under these agreements will be delayed or terminated.

We may have disputes in the future with our collaborators, including disputes concerning which of us owns the rights to any technology developed. For instance, we were involved in litigation with Pfizer, which we settled in April 1996, concerning our right to milestones and royalties based on the development and commercialization of droloxifene. These and other possible disagreements between us and our collaborators could delay our ability and



**Table of Contents**

the ability of our collaborators to achieve milestones or our receipt of other payments. In addition, any disagreements could delay, interrupt or terminate the collaborative research, development and commercialization of certain potential products, or could result in litigation or arbitration. The occurrence of any of these problems could be time-consuming and expensive and could adversely affect our business.

***Some of our key technologies have not been used to produce marketed products and may not be capable of producing such products.***

To date, we have dedicated most of our resources to the research and development of potential drugs based upon our expertise in our IR technology. Even though there are marketed drugs that act through IRs, some aspects of our IR technologies have not been used to produce marketed products. Much remains to be learned about the function of IRs. If we are unable to apply our IR and Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription (or STAT) technologies to the development of our potential products, we may not be successful in discovering or developing new products.

***Challenges to or failure to secure patents and other proprietary rights may significantly hurt our business.***

Our success will depend on our ability and the ability of our licensors to obtain and maintain patents and proprietary rights for our potential products and to avoid infringing the proprietary rights of others, both in the United States and in foreign countries. Patents may not be issued from any of these applications currently on file, or, if issued, may not provide sufficient protection. In addition, disputes with licensors under our license agreements may arise which could result in additional financial liability or loss of important technology and potential products and related revenue, if any.

Our patent position, like that of many pharmaceutical companies, is uncertain and involves complex legal and technical questions for which important legal principles are unresolved. We may not develop or obtain rights to products or processes that are patentable. Even if we do obtain patents, they may not adequately protect the technology we own or have licensed. In addition, others may challenge, seek to invalidate, infringe or circumvent any patents we own or license, and rights we receive under those patents may not provide competitive advantages to us. Further, the manufacture, use or sale of our products may infringe the patent rights of others.

Several drug companies and research and academic institutions have developed technologies, filed patent applications or received patents for technologies that may be related to our business. Others have filed patent applications and received patents that conflict with patents or patent applications we have licensed for our use, either by claiming the same methods or compounds or by claiming methods or compounds that could dominate those licensed to us. In addition, we may not be aware of all patents or patent applications that may impact our ability to make, use or sell any of our potential products. For example, US patent applications may be kept confidential while pending in the Patent and Trademark Office and patent applications filed in foreign countries are often first published six months or more after filing. Any conflicts resulting from the patent rights of others could significantly reduce the coverage of our patents and limit our ability to obtain meaningful patent protection. While we routinely receive communications or have conversations with the owners of other patents, none of these third parties have directly threatened an action or claim against us. If other companies obtain patents with conflicting claims, we may be required to obtain licenses to those patents or to develop or obtain alternative technology. We may not be able to obtain any such licenses on acceptable terms, or at all. Any failure to obtain such licenses could delay or prevent us from pursuing the development or commercialization of our potential products.

We have had and will continue to have discussions with our current and potential collaborators regarding the scope and validity of our patents and other proprietary rights. If a collaborator or other party successfully establishes that our patent rights are invalid, we may not be able to continue our existing collaborations beyond their expiration. Any determination that our patent rights are invalid also could encourage our collaborators to terminate their agreements where contractually permitted. Such a determination could also adversely affect our ability to enter into new collaborations.

We may also need to initiate litigation, which could be time-consuming and expensive, to enforce our proprietary rights or to determine the scope and validity of others' rights. If litigation results, a court may find our patents or those of our licensors invalid or may find that we have infringed on a competitor's rights. If any of our

**Table of Contents**

competitors have filed patent applications in the United States which claim technology we also have invented, the Patent and Trademark Office may require us to participate in expensive interference proceedings to determine who has the right to a patent for the technology.

Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. has received a US patent, has made patent filings and has issued patents in foreign countries that relate to our Panretin gel products. While we were unsuccessful in having certain claims of the US patent awarded to Ligand in interference proceedings, we continue to believe that any relevant claims in these Hoffman-La Roche patents in relevant jurisdictions are invalid and that our current commercial activities and plans relating to Panretin are not covered by these Hoffman-La Roche patents in the US or elsewhere. In addition, we have our own portfolio of issued and pending patents in this area which cover our commercial activities, as well as other uses of 9-*cis* retinoic acid, in the US, Europe and elsewhere. However, if the claims in these Hoffman-La Roche patents are not invalid and/or unenforceable, they might block the use of Panretin gel in specified cancers, not currently under active development or commercialization by us.

Novartis AG has filed an opposition to our European patent that covers the principal active ingredient of our ONTAK drug. We have received a favorable preliminary opinion from the European Patent Office, however this is not a final determination and Novartis has filed a response to the preliminary opinion that argues our patent is invalid. If the opposition is successful, we could lose our ONTAK patent protection in Europe which could substantially reduce our future ONTAK sales in that region. We could also incur substantial costs in asserting our rights in this opposition proceeding, as well as in other possible future proceedings in the United States.

We also rely on unpatented trade secrets and know-how to protect and maintain our competitive position. We require our employees, consultants, collaborators and others to sign confidentiality agreements when they begin their relationship with us. These agreements may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. In addition, our competitors may independently discover our trade secrets.

***Reliance on third-party manufacturers to supply our products risks supply interruption or contamination and difficulty controlling costs.***

We currently have no manufacturing facilities, and we rely on others for clinical or commercial production of our marketed and potential products. In addition, some raw materials necessary for the commercial manufacturing of our products are custom and must be obtained from a specific sole source. Elan manufactures AVINZA for us, Cambrex manufactures ONTAK active pharmaceutical ingredient for us, Raylo manufactures Targretin active pharmaceutical ingredient, and Cardinal Health manufactures Targretin capsules for us. We also recently entered into contracts with and received regulatory approval during 2005 for Cardinal Health to manufacture and package AVINZA and with Hollister-Stier for the filling and finishing of ONTAK. Any delays or failures of the manufacturing or packaging process could cause inventory problems or product shortages.

To be successful, we will need to ensure continuity of the manufacture of our products, either directly or through others, in commercial quantities, in compliance with regulatory requirements at acceptable cost and in sufficient quantities to meet product growth demands. Any extended or unplanned manufacturing shutdowns, shortfalls or delays could be expensive and could result in inventory and product shortages. If we are unable to reliably manufacture our products our revenues could be adversely affected. In addition, if we are unable to supply products in development, our ability to conduct preclinical testing and human clinical trials will be adversely affected. This in turn could also delay our submission of products for regulatory approval and our initiation of new development programs. In addition, although other companies have manufactured drugs acting through IRs and STATs on a commercial scale, we may not be able to translate our core technologies or other technologies into drugs that can be manufactured at costs or in quantities to make marketable products.

The manufacturing process also may be susceptible to contamination, which could cause the affected manufacturing facility to close until the contamination is identified and fixed. In addition, problems with equipment failure or operator error also could cause delays in filling our customers' orders.

**Table of Contents**

***Our business exposes us to product liability risks or our products may need to be recalled, and we may not have sufficient insurance to cover any claims.***

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks. Our products also may need to be recalled to address regulatory issues. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against us could result in payment of significant amounts of money and divert management's attention from running the business. Some of the compounds we are investigating may be harmful to humans. For example, retinoids as a class are known to contain compounds which can cause birth defects. We may not be able to maintain our insurance on acceptable terms, or our insurance may not provide adequate protection in the case of a product liability claim. To the extent that product liability insurance, if available, does not cover potential claims, we will be required to self-insure the risks associated with such claims. We believe that we carry reasonably adequate insurance for product liability claims.

***We use hazardous materials which requires us to incur substantial costs to comply with environmental regulations.***

In connection with our research and development activities, we handle hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. To properly dispose of these hazardous materials in compliance with environmental regulations, we are required to contract with third parties at substantial cost to us. Our annual cost of compliance with these regulations is approximately \$0.7 million. We cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from the handling and disposing of hazardous materials, whether by us or by our third-party contractors. In the event of any accident, we could be held liable for any damages that result, which could be significant. We believe that we carry reasonably adequate insurance for toxic tort claims.

***Future sales of our securities may depress the price of our securities.***

Sales of substantial amounts of our securities in the public market could seriously harm prevailing market prices for our securities. These sales might make it difficult or impossible for us to sell additional securities when we need to raise capital.

***You may not receive a return on your securities other than through the sale of your securities.***

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date. We intend to retain any earnings to support the expansion of our business, and we do not anticipate paying cash dividends on any of our securities in the foreseeable future.

***Our shareholder rights plan and charter documents may hinder or prevent change of control transactions.***

Our shareholder rights plan and provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage transactions involving an actual or potential change in our ownership. In addition, our board of directors may issue shares of preferred stock without any further action by you. Such issuances may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in our ownership. If changes in our ownership are discouraged, delayed or prevented, it would be more difficult for our current board of directors to be removed and replaced, even if you or our other stockholders believe that such actions are in the best interests of us and our stockholders.

**Table of Contents**

**SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the demand for our marketed products, the diligence of our corporate partners in continuing development of product candidates for which they are responsible, the progress and timing of clinical trials, whether conducted by us or by our corporate partners, the safety and efficacy of our products and product candidates, the goals of our development activities, estimates of the potential markets for our product candidates, the success of our previously announced strategic alternatives evaluation, our operations and expenditures and projected cash needs. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in the sections entitled Prospectus Summary, Risk Factors, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievement to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, among others:

our ability to successfully complete clinical development of our product candidates on expected timetables, or at all, which includes enrolling sufficient patients in our clinical trials and demonstrating the safety and efficacy of our product candidates in such trials;

our ability to ensure continued supply of sufficient quantities of our products and product candidates to support market demand and for clinical trials;

our ability to obtain, maintain and successfully enforce adequate patent and other intellectual property protection of our currently marketed products and product candidates that may be approved for sale;

the content and timing of submissions to and decisions made by the FDA and other regulatory agencies, including demonstrating to the satisfaction of the FDA the safety and efficacy of product candidates we or our corporate partners are developing;

our ability to develop a sufficient sales and marketing force or enter into agreements with third parties to sell and market any of our products or product candidates that may be approved for sale;

the success of our competitors;

our ability to obtain reimbursement for any of our products or product candidates that may be approved for sale from third-party payors, and the extent of such coverage;

our ability to successfully complete our previously announced strategic alternatives evaluation; and

our ability to raise additional funds in the capital markets, through arrangements with corporate partners or from other sources.

Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as may, will, should, could, would, expects, plans, anticipates, estimates, projects, predicts, potential, or the negative of those terms, and similar expressions and comparable terminology intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this prospectus and, except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise after the date of this prospectus. The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus are excluded from the safe harbor protection provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.





**Table of Contents**

**USE OF PROCEEDS**

We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders under this prospectus. We will receive proceeds in connection with option exercises under the 2002 Plan and shares issued under the 2002 ESPP which will be based upon each granted option exercise price or purchase price, as applicable. The exercise price under our 2002 Plan is generally based upon the fair market value of our shares at the option grant date. The purchase price of the common stock acquired under our 2002 ESPP is equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value per share of common stock on the start date of the offering period in which the individual is enrolled or the fair market value on the quarterly purchase date. Any proceeds received by us will be used for working capital and general corporate purposes. See *Use of Proceeds* and *Capitalization*.

**DIVIDEND POLICY**

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock and do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain our earnings, if any, to finance future growth.

**Table of Contents**

**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table sets forth our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and our capitalization as of December 31, 2005:

on an actual basis; and

on a pro forma as adjusted basis to reflect the proceeds from (a) the exercise of all currently outstanding options and (b) the future grant and exercise of all options/shares currently reserved for future issuance under the 2002 ESPP and 2002 Plan as follows:

- o 7,001,657 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options outstanding as of December 31, 2005 at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.76 per share.
- o The addition of 595,617 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options that were granted in January and February 2006 under the 2002 Plan at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.96 per share.
- o The addition of 194,581 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under the 2002 Plan at an estimated exercise price of \$13.30 per share. The estimated per share price is based upon the current market value of our common stock on March 27, 2006.
- o The addition of 147,510 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our 2002 ESPP at an estimated purchase price of \$11.31 per share. The estimated purchase per share price is based upon 85% of the purchase price of our common stock on March 27, 2006.
- o The addition of 15,566 shares of restricted stock awarded on January 4, 2006 under the 2002 Plan to certain outside directors. The stock value is based upon the fair market value on January 4, 2006, the award date, which was \$11.56 per share. We received no proceeds from the issuances of such shares of restricted stock. See Selling Stockholders.

You should read this table together with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. As described above, the pro forma capitalization assumes that all of the stock options or shares previously granted or reserved for future issuance will be exercised or issued. However, not all of such options or shares may be issued, exercised or granted. Additionally, subsequent to December 31, 2005, certain holders of the Company's outstanding 6% convertible subordinated notes converted notes with a face value of \$26.1 million into approximately 4.2 million shares of common stock. This table does not reflect such conversion. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Recent Developments Conversion of 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes.

**Table of Contents**

	<b>December 31, 2005</b>	
	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Pro Forma As Adjusted (Unaudited)</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except share and par value data)</b>	
Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted investments	\$ 88,756	\$ 182,475
Common stock subject to conditional redemption; 997,568 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005	\$ 12,345	\$ 12,345
Stockholders' deficit:		
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding pro forma as adjusted	\$	\$
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 73,136,340 and 81,091,271 shares issued and outstanding pro forma as adjusted	73	81
Additional paid-in capital	720,988	814,879
Accumulated other comprehensive income	490	490
Accumulated deficit	(831,059)	(831,239)
Treasury stock, at cost; 73,842 shares	(911)	(911)
Total stockholders' deficit	\$ (110,419)	\$ (16,700)

**Table of Contents**

**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

Set forth below are highlights from Ligand's consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2000 through 2005. Our selected statement of operations data set forth below for each of the five years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002, and 2001 (unaudited), and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 (unaudited), and 2001 (unaudited), are derived from our consolidated financial statements.

The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our annual consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>				
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>				
	<b>(in thousands, except share and loss per share data)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:</b>					
Product sales (1)	\$ 166,081	\$ 120,335	\$ 55,324	\$ 30,326	\$ 32,038
Sale of royalty rights, net (2)		31,342	11,786	17,600	
Collaborative research and development and other revenues	10,527	11,835	14,008	23,843	30,718
Cost of products sold (1)	39,847	39,804	26,557	14,738	11,582
Research and development expenses	56,075	65,204	66,678	59,060	49,427
Selling, general and administrative expenses	74,656	65,798	52,540	41,825	35,072
Co-promotion expense (3)	32,501	30,077	9,360		
Loss from operations	(26,471)	(37,371)	(74,017)	(43,854)	(33,325)
Loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	(36,399)	(45,141)	(94,466)	(52,257)	(53,305)
Cumulative effect of changing method of accounting for variable interest entity (4)			(2,005)		
Net loss	(36,399)	(45,141)	(96,471)	(52,257)	(53,305)
 Basic and diluted per share amounts:					
Loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.61)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (0.90)
Cumulative effect of changing method of accounting for variable interest entity (4)			(0.03)		
Net loss	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.61)	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (0.90)
 Weighted average number of common shares					
	74,019,501	73,692,987	70,685,234	69,118,976	59,413,270
 Pro forma amounts assuming the changed method of accounting for variable interest entity is applied					

retroactively (4):

Net loss	\$	(94,352)	\$	(52,456)	\$	(53,600)
----------	----	----------	----	----------	----	----------

Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$	(1.34)	\$	(0.76)	\$	(0.90)
--------------------------------------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------

	2005	2004	December 31, 2003 (in thousands)	2002 (Unaudited)	2001 (Unaudited)
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted investments	\$ 88,756	\$ 114,870	\$ 100,690	\$ 74,894	\$ 40,058
Working capital (deficit) (5)	(102,244)	(48,505)	(16,930)	18,370	2,375
Total assets	314,619	332,466	314,046	287,709	126,898
Current portion of deferred revenue, net	157,519	152,528	105,719	48,609	27,152
Long-term obligations (excludes long-term portion of deferred revenue, net)	173,280	174,214	173,851	162,329	138,837
Long-term portion of deferred revenue, net	4,202	4,512	3,448	3,595	4,164
Common stock subject to conditional redemption/repurchase	12,345	12,345	14,595	34,595	14,595
Accumulated deficit	(831,059)	(794,660)	(749,519)	(653,048)	(600,791)
Total stockholders equity (deficit) (footnotes on next page)	(110,419)	(75,317)	(37,554)	8,925	(86,849)

**Table of Contents**

- (1) We began selling ONTAK and Panretin gel in 1999 and Targretin capsules and Targretin gel in 2000. AVINZA was approved by the FDA in March 2002 and subsequently launched in the U.S. in June 2002.
  
- (2) Represents the sale of rights to royalties. See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.
  
- (3) Represents expense related to our AVINZA co-promotion agreement with Organon Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. entered into in February 2003. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon USA, Inc. that terminates the



AVINZA®  
co-promotion  
agreement  
between the two  
companies and  
returns AVINZA  
rights to us. The  
effective date of  
the termination  
agreement is  
January 1, 2006;  
however, the  
parties have  
agreed to continue  
to cooperate  
during a transition  
period ending  
September 30,  
2006 to promote  
the product. See  
Management's  
Discussion and  
Analysis of  
Financial  
Condition and  
Results of  
Operations  
Overview ;  
Business  
Overview; and  
Business  
Overview-Ligand  
Marketed  
Products  
AVINZA  
Co-Promotion  
Agreement with  
Organon.

- (4) In  
December 2003,  
we adopted  
Financial  
Accounting  
Standard Board  
Interpretation  
No. 46 (revised  
December 2003)  
(FIN46(R)),  
*Consolidation of  
Variable Interest*

*Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51.*

Under FIN 46(R), we were required to consolidate the variable interest entity from which we leased our corporate headquarters. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2003, we consolidated assets with a carrying value of \$13.6 million, debt of \$12.5 million, and a non-controlling interest of \$0.6 million. In connection with the adoption of FIN 46(R), we recorded a charge of \$2.0 million as a cumulative effect of the accounting change on December 31, 2003. In April 2004, we acquired the portion of the variable interest entity that we did not previously own. The acquisition resulted in Ligand assuming the existing loan against the property and making a payment of approximately \$0.6 million to the entity's other

shareholder. See  
Note 2 to our  
consolidated  
financial  
statements  
included  
elsewhere in this  
prospectus.

- (5) Working capital  
(deficit) includes  
deferred product  
revenue recorded  
under the  
sell-through  
revenue  
recognition  
method.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*Caution: This discussion and analysis may contain predictions, estimates and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including those discussed in Risk Factors. This outlook represents our current judgment on the future direction of our business. These statements include those related to our products, product sales and other revenues, expenses, our revenue recognition models and policies, our 2005 restatement, material weaknesses or deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, revenue recognition, the potential delisting of the Company's securities on NASDAQ, and our evaluation of strategic alternatives. Actual events or results may differ materially from Ligand's expectations. For example, there can be no assurance that our product sales efforts or recognized revenues or expenses will meet any expectations or follow any trend(s), that our internal control over financial reporting will be effective or produce reliable financial information on a timely basis, that we will be relisted on the NASDAQ on any given timeframe or at all, or that our strategic evaluation process will be successful or yield preferred results. We cannot assure you that the Company will be able to successfully remediate any identified material weakness or significant deficiencies, or that the sell-through revenue recognition models will not require adjustment and not result in a subsequent restatement. In addition, the Company's ongoing or future litigation (including private securities litigation and the SEC investigation) may have an adverse effect on the Company, and our corporate or partner pipeline products may not gain approval or success in the market. Such risks and uncertainties, and others, could cause actual results to differ materially from any future performance suggested. We undertake no obligation to release publicly the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date of this prospectus. This caution is made under the safe harbor provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended.*

**Overview**

We discover, develop and market drugs that address patients' critical unmet medical needs in the areas of cancer, pain, men's and women's health or hormone-related health issues, skin diseases, osteoporosis, blood disorders and metabolic, cardiovascular and inflammatory diseases. Our drug discovery and development programs are based on our proprietary gene transcription technology, primarily related to Intracellular Receptors, also known as IRs, a type of sensor or switch inside cells that turns genes on and off, and Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription, also known as STATs, which are another type of gene switch.

We currently market five products in the United States: AVINZA, for the relief of chronic, moderate to severe pain; ONTAK, for the treatment of patients with persistent or recurrent cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (or CTCL); Targretin capsules, for the treatment of CTCL in patients who are refractory to at least one prior systemic therapy; Targretin gel, for the topical treatment of cutaneous lesions in patients with early stage CTCL; and Panretin gel, for the treatment of Kaposi's sarcoma (or KS) in AIDS patients. In Europe, we have marketing authorizations for Panretin gel and Targretin capsules and are currently marketing these products under arrangements with local distributors. In 2003, we withdrew our ONZAR (ONTAK in the U.S.) marketing authorization application in Europe for our first generation product. It was our assessment that the cost of the additional clinical and technical information requested by the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMEA) for the first generation product would be better spent on acceleration of the second generation ONTAK formulation development. We expect to resubmit the ONZAR application with the second generation product in 2007.

In February 2003, we entered into an agreement for the co-promotion of AVINZA with Organon Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. (Organon). Under the terms of the agreement, Organon committed to a specified minimum number of primary and secondary product calls delivered to certain high prescribing physicians and hospitals beginning in March 2003. Organon's compensation through 2005 was structured as a percentage of net sales, which paid Organon for their efforts and also provided Organon an economic incentive for performance and results. In exchange, we paid Organon a percentage of AVINZA net sales based on the following schedule:

**Table of Contents**

<b>Annual Net Sales of AVINZA</b>	<b>% of Incremental Net Sales Paid to Organon by Ligand</b>
\$0-35 million (2003 only)	0% (2003 only)
\$0-150 million	30%
\$150-300 million	40%
\$300-425 million	50%
> \$425 million	45%

On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA<sup>®</sup> co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. The effective date of the termination agreement is January 1, 2006, however the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 (the Transition Period ) to promote the product. The Transition Period co-operation includes a minimum number of product sales calls per quarter (100,000 for Organon and 30,000 for Ligand with an aggregate of 375,000 and 90,000 respectively for the Transition Period) as well as the transition of ongoing promotions, managed care contracts, clinical trials and key opinion leader relationships to Ligand. During the Transition Period, Ligand will pay Organon an amount equal to 23% of AVINZA net sales as reported by Ligand. Ligand will also pay and be responsible for the design and execution of all AVINZA clinical, advertising and promotion expenses and activities.

As previously disclosed, Organon and Ligand were in discussions regarding the calculation of prior co-promote fees under the co-promotion agreement. Through the third quarter of 2005, such fees were determined based on net sales calculated under the sell-in method of revenue recognition. In connection with the termination of the co-promotion agreement, the companies resolved their disagreement concerning prior co-promote fees and Ligand paid Organon \$14.75 million in January 2006. Resolution of this matter resulted in no material adjustment to amounts previously recorded in 2005 for co-promotion expenses. The companies also agreed that Organon's compensation for the fourth quarter of 2005 would be calculated based on Ligand's reported AVINZA net sales determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Additionally, in consideration of the early termination and return of rights under the terms of the agreement, we will unconditionally pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006. We will further pay Organon \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of sales calls. Under certain conditions, including change of control, the cash payments will accelerate. In addition, after the termination, we will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6.0% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November 2017.

The termination and return of rights transaction with Organon requires the analysis of several complex accounting alternatives. Accordingly, we are still in the process of determining the appropriate accounting treatment for the transaction in 2006 and going forward. Certain of these alternatives, however, could result in the recognition of significant additional expense in our consolidated statement of operations for the first quarter of 2006. We expect to complete our determination of the appropriate accounting by the time we file our first quarter 2006 Form 10-Q.

We are currently involved in the research phase of a research and development collaboration with TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc. (or TAP). Collaborations in the development phase are being pursued by Eli Lilly and Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Organon, Pfizer, TAP and Wyeth. We receive funding during the research phase of the arrangements and milestone and royalty payments as products are developed and marketed by our corporate partners. In addition, in connection with some of these collaborations, we received non-refundable up-front payments.

We have been unprofitable since our inception on an annual basis. We achieved quarterly net income of \$17.3 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, which was primarily the result of recognizing approximately \$31.3 million from the sale of royalty rights to Royalty Pharma. However, for the year ended December 31, 2005, we incurred a net loss of approximately \$36.4 million and expect to incur net losses in future periods. To consistently be profitable, we must successfully develop, clinically test, market and sell our products. Even if we consistently achieve profitability, we cannot predict the level of that profitability or whether we will be able to sustain profitability. We expect that our operating results will fluctuate from period to period as a result of



**Table of Contents**

differences in the timing of revenues earned from product sales, expenses incurred, collaborative arrangements and other sources. Some of these fluctuations may be significant.

**Recent Developments***Acceleration of Stock Options*

Currently, the Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and Financial Accounting Standard Board Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation*.

On January 31, 2005, we accelerated the vesting of certain unvested and out-of-the-money stock options previously awarded to the executive officers and other employees under the Company's 1992 and 2002 stock option plans which had an exercise price greater than \$10.41, the closing price of our stock on that date. Options to purchase approximately 1.3 million shares of common stock (of which approximately 450,000 shares were subject to options held by the executive officers) were accelerated. Options held by non-employee directors were not accelerated. Since the stock options were out-of-the-money, no compensation expense was recognized.

Holders of incentive stock options (ISOs) within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, were given the election to decline the acceleration of their options if such acceleration would have the effect of changing the status of such option for federal income tax purposes from an ISO to a non-qualified stock option. In addition, the executive officers plus other members of senior management agreed that they will not sell any shares acquired through the exercise of an accelerated option prior to the date on which the exercise would have been permitted under the option's original vesting terms. This agreement does not apply to a) shares sold in order to pay applicable taxes resulting from the exercise of an accelerated option or b) upon the officers' retirement or other termination of employment.

The purpose of the acceleration was to eliminate any future compensation expense the Company would have otherwise recognized in its consolidated statement of operations with respect to these options upon the implementation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123<sup>®</sup>, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R).

*Restructuring of ONTAK Royalty*

In November 2004, Ligand and Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) agreed to amend their ONTAK royalty agreement to add options in 2005 that if exercised would restructure our royalty obligations on net sales of ONTAK. Under the revised agreement, we and Lilly each obtained two options. Our options, which were exercised in January 2005 and April 2005, provide for the buy down of a portion of our ONTAK royalty obligation on net sales in the United States for total consideration of \$33.0 million. Lilly also had two options exercisable in July 2005 and October 2005 to trigger the same royalty buy-downs for total consideration of up to \$37.0 million dependent on whether we have exercised one or both of our options.

Our first option, providing for a one-time payment of \$20.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of our ONTAK royalty obligations in 2005 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty scale on ONTAK sales in the U.S. thereafter, was exercised in January 2005. The second option, exercised in April 2005, provided for a one-time payment of \$13.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of royalties on ONTAK net sales in the U.S. in 2006 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty thereafter. Beginning in 2007 and throughout the remaining ONTAK patent life (2014), we will pay no royalties to Lilly on U.S. sales up to \$38.0 million. Thereafter, Ligand would pay royalties to Lilly at a rate of 20% on net U.S. sales between \$38.0 million and \$50.0 million; at a rate of 15% on net U.S. sales between \$50.0 million and \$72.0 million; and at a rate of 10% on net U.S. sales in excess of \$72.0 million.

*Targretin Capsules Development Programs*

In March 2005, we announced that the final data analysis for Targretin capsules in NSCLC showed that the trials did not meet their endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two year survival. We are continuing to

**Table of Contents**

analyze the data and apply it to the continued development of Targretin capsules in NSCLC. Failure to demonstrate the product's safety and effectiveness would delay or prevent regulatory approval of the product and could adversely affect our business as well as our stock price. See **Risk Factors** Our products face significant regulatory hurdles prior to marketing which could delay or prevent sales.

*Additional Manufacturing Sources*

In 2004, we entered into contracts with Cardinal Health to provide a second source for AVINZA, and with Hollister-Stier to fill and finish ONTAK. In July 2005, we announced that the FDA approved the Hollister-Stier facility for fill/finish of ONTAK. In August 2005, the FDA approved the production of AVINZA at the Cardinal Health facility which provides a second source of supply, thus diversifying the AVINZA supply chain and increasing production capacity.

*Amended and Restated Research, Development and License Agreement with Wyeth*

On December 1, 2005, we entered into an Amended and Restated Research, Development and License Agreement with Wyeth (formerly American Home Products Corporation). Under the previous agreement, effective September 2, 1994 as amended January 16, 1996, May 24, 1996, September 2, 1997 and September 9, 1999 (collectively the **Prior Agreement**), Wyeth and Ligand engaged in a joint research and development effort to discover and/or design small molecule compounds which act through the estrogen and progesterone receptors and to develop pharmaceutical products from such compounds. Wyeth sponsored certain research and development activities to be carried out by us and Wyeth may commercialize products resulting from the joint research and development subject to certain milestone and royalty payments. The Amended and Restated Agreement does not materially change the prior rights and obligations of the parties with respect to Wyeth compounds, currently in development, e.g. bazedoxifene, in late stage development for osteoporosis.

The parties agreed to amend and restate the **Prior Agreement** principally to better define, simplify and clarify the universe of research compounds resulting from the research and development efforts of the parties, combine and clarify categories of those compounds and related milestones and royalties and resolve a number of milestone payment issues that had arisen. Among other things, the Amended and Restated Agreement calls for Wyeth to pay Ligand \$1.8 million representing the difference between amounts paid under the old compound categories versus the amounts due under the new, single category.

*Termination of Organon Copromotion Agreement*

On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA<sup>®</sup> co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. The termination and return of rights transaction with Organon requires the analysis of several complex accounting alternatives. Accordingly, we are still in the process of determining the appropriate accounting treatment for the transaction in 2006 and going forward. Certain of these alternatives, however, could result in the recognition of significant additional expense in our consolidated statement of operations for the first quarter of 2006. We expect to complete our determination of the appropriate accounting by the time we file our first quarter 2006 Form 10-Q. For a discussion of this agreement, please see

**Management's Discussion and Analysis-Overview** above.

*Restructuring of AVINZA Sales Force*

In January 2006, 18 Ligand sales representatives previously promoting AVINZA to primary care physicians were redeployed to call on pain specialists and all Ligand primary care territories were eliminated. In connection with this restructuring, 11 primary-care sales representatives were terminated. The AVINZA sales force restructuring was implemented to improve sales call coverage and effectiveness among high prescribing pain specialists.



**Table of Contents***Conversion of 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes*

In January 2006, certain holders of our outstanding 6% convertible subordinated notes converted notes with a face value of \$24.1 million into 3,903,965 shares of common stock. Additionally, in March 2006, certain holders converted notes with a face value of \$2.0 million into 323,980 shares of common stock.

*Employee Retention Agreements*

The Company has entered into letter agreements with approximately 67 of its key employees, including a number of its executive officers, dated as of March 1, 2006. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has approved the Company's entry into these Agreements. The agreements provide for certain retention or stay bonus payments to be paid in cash and/or stock options under specified circumstances as an additional incentive to remain employed in good standing with the Company. The retention or stay bonus payments generally vest at the end of 2006 and total payments to employees of approximately \$2.7 million would be made in January 2007 if all participants qualify for the payments. Stock options granted totaled approximately 122,000 and have an exercise price of \$11.90. In accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*, the cost will ratably accrue over the term of the agreements, which is approximately 10 months.

**Results of Operations**

Total revenues for 2005 increased to \$176.6 million compared to \$163.5 million in 2004 and \$81.1 million in 2003. Loss before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle was \$36.4 million (\$0.49 per share) in 2005 compared to \$45.1 million (\$0.61 per share) in 2004, and \$94.5 million (\$1.33 per share) in 2003. Net loss for 2005 was \$36.4 million (\$0.49 per share) compared to \$45.1 million (\$0.61 per share) in 2004 and \$96.5 million (\$1.36 per share) in 2003. As more fully described in Note 2 of the notes to consolidated financial statements, results for 2003 reflect the implementation of FIN 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51*, as revised December 2003, which required us to consolidate the entity from which we leased one of our corporate office buildings under a synthetic lease arrangement. The effect on 2003 results, recorded as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, increased net loss by \$2.0 million or \$0.03 per share.

*Product Sales*

Our product sales for any individual period can be influenced by a number of factors including changes in demand for a particular product, competitive products, the level and nature of promotional activity, the timing of announced price increases, and the level of prescriptions subject to rebates and chargebacks. According to IMS data, AVINZA ended 2005 with a market share of prescriptions in the sustained-release opioid market of 4.4% compared to 3.9% at the end of 2004. Quarterly prescription market share for the three months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 was 4.3% for both periods.

We expect that AVINZA prescription market share will reflect modest, if any, overall share growth in 2006 as market share increases in the commercial retail sector are increasingly offset by declines in the Medicaid segment as marginal Medicaid contracts are terminated. Quarter to quarter declines in prescriptions and overall market share, however, may result from more rapid declines in the Medicaid segment relative to increases in the commercial retail sector. We also continue to expect that demand for and sales of ONTAK will be positively impacted as further data is obtained from ongoing expanded-use clinical trials and the initiation of new expanded-use trials. The level and timing of any such increases, however, are influenced by a number of factors outside our control, including the accrual of patients and overall progress of clinical trials that are managed by third parties. We also expect that sales of ONTAK will benefit in 2006 from improving reimbursement rates under certain government reimbursement programs.

Excluding AVINZA, our products are small-volume specialty pharmaceutical products that address the needs of cancer patients in relatively small niche markets with substantial geographical fluctuations in demand. To ensure patient access to our drugs, we maintain broad distribution capabilities with inventories held at approximately 130 locations throughout the United States. The purchasing and stocking patterns of our wholesaler customers for all our products are influenced by a number of factors that vary from product to product. These factors include, but are

**Table of Contents**

not limited to, overall level of demand, periodic promotions, required minimum shipping quantities and wholesaler competitive initiatives. If any or all of our major wholesalers decide to reduce the inventory they carry in a given period (subject to the terms of our fee-for-service agreements discussed below), our shipments and cash flow for that period could be substantially lower than historical levels.

In the third and fourth quarters of 2004, we entered into one-year fee-for-service agreements (or distribution service agreements) for each of our products with the majority of our wholesaler customers. The principal fee-for-service agreements were subsequently renewed during the third quarter of 2005. In exchange for a set fee, the wholesalers have agreed to provide us with certain information regarding product stocking and out-movement; agreed to maintain inventory quantities within specified minimum and maximum levels; inventory handling, stocking and management services; and certain other services surrounding the administration of returns and chargebacks. In connection with implementation of the fee-for-service agreements, we no longer offer these wholesalers promotional discounts or incentives and as a result, we expect a net improvement in product gross margins as volumes grow. Additionally, we believe these arrangements will provide lower variability in wholesaler inventory levels and improved management of inventories within and between individual wholesaler distribution centers that we believe will result in a lower level of product returns compared to prior periods.

Certain of our products are included on the formularies (or lists of approved and reimbursable drugs) of many states health care plans, as well as the formulary for certain Federal government agencies. In order to be placed on these formularies, we generally sign contracts which provide discounts to the purchaser off the then-current list price and limit how much of an annual price increase we can implement on sales to these groups. As a result, the discounts off list price for these groups can be significant for products where we have implemented list price increases. We monitor the portion of our sales subject to these discounts, and accrue for the cost of these discounts at the time of the recognition of product sales. We believe that by being included on these formularies, we will gain better physician acceptance, which will then result in greater overall usage of our products. If the relative percentage of our sales subject to these discounts increases materially in any period, our sales and gross margin could be substantially lower than historical levels.

*Net Product Sales*

Our domestic net product sales for AVINZA, ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel are determined on a sell-through basis less allowances for rebates, chargebacks, discounts, and losses to be incurred on returns from wholesalers resulting from increases in the selling price of the Company's products. We recognize revenue for Panretin upon shipment to wholesalers as our wholesaler customers only stock minimal amounts of Panretin, if any. As such, wholesaler orders are considered to approximate end-customer demand for the product. Revenues from sales of Panretin are net of allowances for rebates, chargebacks, returns and discounts. For international shipments of our product, revenue is recognized upon shipment to our third-party international distributors. In addition, we incur certain distributor service agreement fees related to the management of our product by wholesalers. These fees have been recorded within net product sales. For ONTAK, we also have established reserves for returns from end customers (i.e. other than wholesalers) after sell-through revenue recognition has occurred.

A summary of the revenue recognition policy used for each of our products and the expiration of the underlying patents for each product is as follows:

	Method	Revenue Recognition Event	Patent Expiration
AVINZA	Sell-through	Prescriptions	November 2017
ONTAK	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	December 2014
Targretin capsules	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	October 2016
Targretin gel	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	October 2016
Panretin	Sell-in	Shipment to wholesaler	August 2016
International	Sell-in	Shipment to international distributor	February 2011 through April 2013

**Table of Contents**

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, net product sales recognized under the sell-through method represented 97%, 96%, and 94%, respectively, of total net product sales.

Our total net product sales for 2005 were \$166.1 million compared to \$120.3 million in 2004 and \$55.3 million in 2003. A comparison of sales by product is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
AVINZA	\$ 112,793	\$ 69,470	\$ 16,482
ONTAK	30,996	32,200	24,108
Targretin capsules	18,692	15,105	11,556
Other	3,600	3,560	3,178
Total product sales	\$ 166,081	\$ 120,335	\$ 55,324

**AVINZA**

Sales of AVINZA were \$112.8 million in 2005 compared to \$69.5 million in 2004. This increase is due to higher prescriptions as a result of the increased level of marketing and sales activity under our co-promotion agreement with Organon, a shift in the mix of prescriptions to the higher doses of AVINZA, and the product's success in achieving state Medicaid and commercial formulary status. Formulary access removes obstacles to physicians prescribing the product and facilitates patient access to the product through lower co-pays. Demand for AVINZA as measured by prescription levels (or patient consumption for channels with no prescription requirements) increased by 27% in 2005 compared to 2004, as reported by IMS. Sales of AVINZA in 2005 also benefited from the full year impact of a 9.0% price increase effective July 1, 2004 and the partial year impact of a 7% price increase effective April 1, 2005. Note that under the sell-through revenue recognition method, price increases do not impact demand sales until the product sells through the distribution channel.

AVINZA sales for 2005 were negatively impacted by an increase in Medicaid rebates of approximately \$4.4 million and an increase in managed care rebates of approximately \$ 3.6 million, under contracts with pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs), group purchasing organizations (GPOs) and health maintenance organizations (HMOs).

Upon an announced price increase, we revalue our estimate of deferred product revenue to be returned to recognize the potential higher credit a wholesaler may take upon product return determined as the difference between the new price and the previous price used to value the allowance. AVINZA sales in 2005 reflect an approximate \$3.5 million reduction in sales, recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2005, for losses expected to be incurred on product returns resulting from an AVINZA price increase which became effective April 1, 2005. For the year, the impact on sales of the April 1, 2005 price increase was partially offset by a reduction in the allowance for return losses of approximately \$2.9 million recorded during the three months ended December 31, 2005. This reduction resulted from lower rates of return on lots that closed out in the fourth quarter of 2005, thereby lowering the historical weighted average rate of return used for estimating the allowance for return losses. This compares to a \$2.6 million loss in 2004 on product returns, which was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2004 for an AVINZA price increase which became effective July 1, 2004.

Lastly, product sales in 2005 and for the second half of 2004 are net of fees paid to our wholesaler customers under the fee for service agreements entered into during the third and fourth quarters of 2004.

As discussed above, we expect AVINZA demand to show modest, if any, growth in 2006 due to, for example, declines in the Medicaid segment, as evidenced by slower demand for AVINZA in the fourth quarter of 2005 as compared to the third quarter of 2005. Any changes to our estimates for Medicaid prescription activity or prescriptions written under our managed care contracts may have an impact on our rebate liability and a corresponding impact on AVINZA net product sales. For example, a 20% variance to our estimated Medicaid and managed care contract rebate accruals for AVINZA as of December 31, 2005 could result in adjustments to our Medicaid and managed care contract rebate accruals and net product sales of approximately \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

Sales of AVINZA were \$69.5 million in 2004 compared to \$16.5 million in 2003. This increase is due to increasing prescriptions as a result of the increased level of marketing and sales activity under our co-promotion arrangement with Organon. Demand for AVINZA as measured by prescription levels (or patient consumption for channels with no prescription requirements) increased by 235.6% for 2004 compared to 2003, as reported by IMS. Sales in 2004 also benefited from a 9.9% price increase effective January 1, 2004 and a 9.0% price increase effective July 1, 2004.

AVINZA sales were negatively impacted during 2004 by an increase in Medicaid rebates of approximately \$11.3 million, which significantly increased in the fourth quarter of 2003, driven by increased prescriptions in states where AVINZA (1) obtained preferred formulary status relative to competing products and (2) came onto the state formulary but not in a preferred position. AVINZA sales during 2004 compared to 2003 were also impacted by an increase in managed care rebates of approximately \$4.6 million under contracts entered into in late 2003 and early 2004 with pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs), group purchasing organizations (GPOs) and health maintenance organizations (HMOs).

***ONTAK***

Sales of ONTAK were \$31.0 million in 2005 compared to \$32.2 million in 2004. ONTAK sales in 2005 compared to 2004 were negatively impacted by a 13% decrease in wholesaler out-movement due primarily to a decline in the office segment of the market, which has been impacted by negative changes in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services reimbursement rates. Increases in the hospital segment have not been sufficient to offset the office segment trend. ONTAK sales for 2005 were also negatively impacted by a continued increase in chargebacks and rebates of approximately \$1.5 million, due to changes in patient mix and the evolving reimbursement rates.

The decrease in ONTAK sales in 2005 was partially offset by the full year impact of a 9% price increase effective January 1, 2004, which under the sell-through revenue recognition method does not impact net product sales until the product sells through the distribution channel, and the partial year impact of a 7% price increase effective January 1, 2005 and a 4% price increase effective July 1, 2005. Net product sales for the 2004 periods are also net of promotional discounts and amounts paid to wholesalers for marketing support. In connection with the implementation of fee for service agreements in the third quarter of 2004, the Company no longer provides to wholesalers promotional discounts or marketing support payments. Accordingly, sales of ONTAK for 2005 reflect no such discounts compared to approximately \$2.8 million for 2004. The impact of no discounts and marketing support payments in the 2005 periods on net product sales is offset by a full year of fees paid to wholesalers under the fee for service agreements for 2005 compared to only six months for the year ended December 31, 2004.

We continue to study changes to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services reimbursement rates and expect improved reimbursement rates for 2006.

Sales of ONTAK were \$32.2 million in 2004 compared to \$24.1 million in 2003. Sales in 2004 were positively impacted by a 9% price increase effective January 1, 2004 and increasing use (impacted in part by expanded clinical data) in CTCL, CLL, and NHL. Demand for ONTAK as measured by shipments to end users as reported by our wholesalers increased by 28.0% for 2004 compared to 2003. Sales of ONTAK in 2004 were negatively impacted, however, by a continued increase in chargebacks and rebates of approximately \$1.9 million due to changes in patient mix and evolving reimbursement rates.

**Table of Contents***Targretin Capsules*

Sales of Targretin capsules were \$18.7 million in 2005 compared to \$15.1 million in 2004. This increase reflects the full year impact of a 7% price increase effective January 1, 2004 which under the sell-through revenue recognition method does not impact net product sales until the product sells-through the distribution channel and therefore had only a limited impact on net sales for the same 2004 period, and the partial year impact of a 7% price increase effective January 1, 2005 and a 5% price increase effective July 1, 2005. Based on information provided by IMS, demand for Targretin capsules, as measured by product out-movement, increased by 8.2% in 2005 compared to 2004. Lastly, Targretin capsules product sales for 2005 are net of a full year of fees paid to our wholesaler customers under the fee for service agreements entered into during the third and fourth quarters of 2004.

In June 2004, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) announced formal implementation of the Section 641 Demonstration Program under the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003 including reimbursement under Medicare for Targretin for patients with CTCL. As a result, we continue to expect improved patient access for Targretin in 2006.

Sales of Targretin capsules were \$15.1 million in 2004 compared to \$11.6 million in 2003. This increase reflects a 7% price increase effective January 1, 2004 and the full year impact of a 15% price increase effective April 1, 2003. Additionally, demand for Targretin capsules as measured by product outmovement increased by 5.4% for 2004 compared to 2003, as reported by IMS.

*Sale of Royalty Rights*

Revenue from the sale of royalty rights represents the sale to third parties of rights and options to acquire future royalties we may earn from the sale of products in development with our collaborative partners. In those instances where we have no continuing involvement in the research or development of these products, sales of royalty rights are recognized as revenue in the period the transaction is consummated or the options are exercised or expire. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion of our revenue recognition policy with respect to sales of royalty rights.

Sale of royalty rights recognized in 2004 and 2003 amounted to \$31.3 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, net of the deferral of offset rights of \$1.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and the recognition in 2004 and 2003 of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of option value deferred in previous periods. There was no sale of royalty rights in 2005.

In March 2002, we entered into an agreement with Royalty Pharma AG ( Royalty Pharma ), to sell a portion of our rights to future royalties from the net sales of three selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) products now in late stage development with two of our collaborative partners, Pfizer and Wyeth. The agreement provided for the initial sale of rights to 0.25% of such product net sales for \$6.0 million and options to acquire up to an additional 1.00% of net sales for \$50.0 million. Of the initial \$6.0 million sale of rights, \$0.2 million was attributed to the options and recorded as deferred revenue.

In July and December of 2002, the agreement was amended to replace the existing options with new options providing for the rights to acquire an additional 1.3125% of net sales for \$63.8 million. Royalty Pharma exercised each of the three available 2002 options, as amended, acquiring rights to 0.4375% of net sales for \$12.3 million. The fair value estimated for the amended options, \$0.2 million, was recorded as deferred revenue.

In October 2003, the existing royalty agreement was amended and Royalty Pharma exercised an option for \$12.5 million in exchange for 0.7% of potential future sales of the three SERM products for 10 years. Under the revised agreement, Royalty Pharma had three additional options to purchase up to 1.3% of such product net sales for \$39.0 million.

In November 2004, Royalty Pharma agreed to purchase an additional 1.625% royalty on future sales of the SERM products for \$32.5 million and cancel its remaining two options.

**Table of Contents**

Under the underlying royalty agreements, both Pfizer and Wyeth have the right to offset a portion of any future royalty payments owed to the Company. Accordingly, we deferred a portion of the revenue associated with each tranche of royalty right sold, including rights acquired upon the exercise of options, equal to the pro-rata share of the potential royalty offset. Such amounts associated with the offset rights against future royalty payments will be recognized as revenue upon receipt of future royalties from the respective partners.

*Collaborative Research and Development and Other Revenue*

Collaborative research and development and other revenues for 2005 were \$10.5 million compared to \$11.8 million for 2004 and \$14.0 million for 2003. Collaborative research and development and other revenues include reimbursement for ongoing research activities, earned development milestones, and recognition of prior years up-front fees previously deferred in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101 *Revenue Recognition*, as amended by SAB 104 (hereinafter referred to as SAB104). Revenue from distribution agreements includes recognition of up-front fees collected upon contract signing and deferred over the life of the distribution arrangement and milestones achieved under such agreements.

A comparison of collaborative research and development and other revenues is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Collaborative research and development	\$ 3,513	\$ 7,843	\$ 10,887
Development milestones	6,704	3,681	2,807
Other	310	311	314
	<b>\$ 10,527</b>	<b>\$ 11,835</b>	<b>\$ 14,008</b>

*Collaborative Research and Development.* The decrease in ongoing research activities reimbursement revenue in 2005 compared to 2004 is due to the termination in November 2004 of our research arrangement with Lilly which contributed \$4.0 million to revenue in 2004.

The decrease in ongoing research activities reimbursement revenue in 2004 compared to 2003 is due to lower funding from our research arrangement with Lilly, which contributed \$4.0 million to revenue in 2004 compared to \$5.7 million in 2003. Additionally, the decrease is due to the contractually agreed lower level of research activity and funding under our research arrangement with TAP, which contributed \$3.4 million to revenue in 2004 compared to \$4.2 million in 2003.

*Development Milestones.* Development milestones revenue in 2005 reflects net development milestones of \$3.0 million earned from GlaxoSmithKline in connection with the commencement of Phase II studies of eltrombopag and Phase I studies of SB-559448 for the treatment of thrombocytopenia; \$1.4 million, net for prior milestones received from Wyeth in connection with an agreement in the fourth quarter of 2005 to amend the research, development, and license agreement between Ligand and Wyeth; \$1.2 million earned from Lilly in connection with the commencement of Phase II trials of LY674 for the treatment of atherosclerosis; and \$1.1 million from TAP in connection with TAP's filing of an IND for LGD2941.

Development milestones revenue in 2004 includes net development milestones of \$2.0 million from Pfizer as a result of Pfizer's filing with the FDA of a new drug application for lasofoxifene, \$0.8 million earned from TAP in connection with TAP's selection of an additional selective androgen receptor modulator (SARM) as a second clinical candidate for development for the treatment of major androgen-related diseases, and \$0.8 million earned from GlaxoSmithKline. Development milestone revenue in 2003 represents \$0.9 million earned from Wyeth, a \$1.1 million milestone from Lilly, and a \$0.8 million milestone from GlaxoSmithKline.

*Gross Margin*

Gross margin on product sales was 76.0% in 2005 compared to 66.9% in 2004 and 52.0% in 2003. The increase in the margin in 2005 compared to 2004 is primarily due to the increase in sales of AVINZA. AVINZA represented 67.9% of net product sales for 2005 compared to 57.7% for 2004 and 30.0% for 2003. For both AVINZA and





**Table of Contents**

ONTAK we have capitalized license, royalty and technology rights recorded in connection with the acquisition of the rights to those products and accordingly, margins improve as sales of these products increase and there is greater coverage of the fixed amortization of the intangible assets. AVINZA cost of product sold includes the amortization of license and royalty rights capitalized in connection with the restructuring of our AVINZA license and supply agreement in November 2002. The total amount of capitalized license and royalty rights, \$114.4 million, is being amortized to cost of product sold on a straight-line basis over 15 years. The total amount of ONTAK acquired technology, \$45.3 million, is also amortized to cost of product sold on a straight-line basis over 15 years. ONTAK margins were also positively impacted during 2005 by lower royalties as a result of the restructuring of the Company's royalty obligation to Lilly as further discussed under *Recent Development Restructuring of ONTAK Royalty*. This restructuring resulted in no royalty liability owed to Lilly in 2005. This impact was partially offset by amortization of the \$33.0 million paid to Lilly to restructure the ONTAK royalty and the recognition of deferred royalty expense previously paid to Lilly which under the sell-through revenue recognition method is recognized as the related product sales are recognized. The amount paid to restructure the ONTAK royalty is being amortized through 2014, the remaining life of the underlying patent, using the greater of the straight-line method or expense determined based on the tiered royalty schedule set forth under *Restructuring of ONTAK Royalty* above. In accordance with SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles* ( SFAS 142 ), for both AVINZA and ONTAK, capitalized license, royalty and technology rights are amortized on a straight-line basis since the pattern in which the economic benefits of the assets are consumed (or otherwise used up) cannot be reliably determined. At December 31, 2005, acquired technology and products rights, net totaled \$146.8 million.

Gross margins in 2005 were also favorably impacted by price increases on ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel which became effective January 1, 2004 and July 1, 2005 and for AVINZA which became effective July 1, 2004 and April 1, 2005. Under the sell-through revenue recognition method, changes to prices do not impact net product sales and therefore gross margins until the product sells-through the distribution channel. Additionally, gross margin in 2004 reflects a charge to royalty expense in the amount of \$3.0 million, recorded in the fourth quarter of 2004, for deferred royalties at the end of the contracted royalty period for which we did not have offset rights. Under the sell-through revenue recognition method, royalties paid based on unit shipments to wholesalers are deferred and recognized as royalty expense as those units are sold through and recognized as revenue. Royalties paid to technology partners are deferred as we have the right to offset royalties paid for product that are later returned against subsequent royalty obligations. Royalties for which we do not have the right to offset, however, (for example, at the end of the contracted royalty period) are expensed in the period the royalty obligation becomes due.

Gross margin in 2005 compared to 2004 was negatively impacted, however, by a higher proportionate level of AVINZA rebates and ONTAK chargebacks and rebates and the costs associated with our wholesaler distribution service agreements. Additionally, gross margin in 2005 compared to 2004 was negatively impacted by a \$0.5 million write-off of ONTAK finished goods inventory due to the Company's updated assessment of the timing of certain clinical trials.

Gross margin on product sales was 66.9% in 2004 compared to 52.0% in 2003. The increase in the margin was primarily due to the increase in sales of AVINZA. Gross margin in 2004 was also favorably impacted by price increases on our products which became effective January 1, 2004 and July 1, 2004 and a lower level of promotional discounts paid to the Company's wholesaler customers. In the third and fourth quarters of 2004, we entered into distribution service agreements with the majority of our wholesaler customers. In connection with the implementation of these agreements, we no longer offer wholesalers promotional discounts or incentives. The cost of AVINZA product sold in 2004 also reflects the full-year impact of a reduction to the pricing of AVINZA purchases from Elan which occurred in prior periods. In November 2002, the Company and Elan agreed to amend the terms of the AVINZA license and supply agreement. Under the terms of the amended agreement, Elan's adjusted royalty and supply price of AVINZA was reduced to approximately 10% of the product's net sales, compared to approximately 30-35% in the prior agreement. Under the sell-through revenue recognition model, product shipped to the wholesaler is recorded as deferred cost of goods sold and subsequently recognized as cost of sales when it sells-through. Accordingly, the majority of product manufactured by Elan in 2002 at the higher contractual cost of production sold-through and was recognized as cost of sales in 2003. As a result, AVINZA gross margins for 2003 under

sell-through revenue recognition reflect this higher product cost compared to cost of product sold in 2004.

**Table of Contents**

Gross margin in 2004 compared to 2003 was negatively impacted, however, by a higher proportionate level of AVINZA rebates and ONTAK chargebacks and rebates and the costs associated with our wholesaler distribution service agreements. Additionally, as discussed above, gross margin in 2004 reflects a charge to royalty expense in the amount of \$3.0 million for deferred royalties at the end of the contracted royalty period for which we did not have offset rights.

Overall, given the fixed level of amortization of the capitalized AVINZA license and royalty rights, we expect the AVINZA gross margin percentages in 2006 to continue to increase as sales of AVINZA increase.

*Research and Development Expenses*

Research and development expenses were \$56.1 million in 2005 compared to \$65.2 million in 2004 and \$66.7 million in 2003. The major components of research and development expenses are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Research</b>			
Research performed under collaboration agreements	\$ 3,611	\$ 7,853	\$ 10,535
Internal research programs	20,839	15,517	12,013
Total research	24,450	23,370	22,548
<b>Development</b>			
New product development	18,794	28,329	30,771
Existing product support (1)	12,831	13,505	13,359
Total development	31,625	41,834	44,130
<b>Total research and development</b>	<b>\$ 56,075</b>	<b>\$ 65,204</b>	<b>\$ 66,678</b>

(1) Includes costs incurred to comply with post-marketing regulatory commitments.

Spending for research expenses amounted to \$24.5 million for 2005 compared to \$23.4 million for 2004. The overall increase in 2005 is due to an increased level of internal program research in the area of thrombopoietin (TPO) agonists. This increase is partially offset by a decrease in research performed under collaboration agreements due primarily to a lower contractual level of research funding under our agreement with TAP and lower research funding under the Lilly collaboration which concluded in November 2004.

Spending for development expenses decreased to \$31.6 million for 2005 compared to \$41.8 million for 2004. Such decrease reflects a lower level of expense for both new product development and existing product support. The decrease in expenses for new product development is due primarily to a reduced level of spending on Phase III clinical trials for Targretin capsules in NSCLC. In March 2005, we announced that the final data analysis for Targretin capsules in NSCLC showed that the trials did not meet their endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two-year survival. We are continuing to analyze the data and apply it to the continued development of Targretin in

NSCLC. The decrease in existing product support in 2005 as compared to 2004 is primarily due to lower expenses for Targretin capsules and ONTAK post-marketing regulatory studies.

**Table of Contents**

Overall, spending for research expenses remained relatively constant in 2004 compared to 2003, with increases in expenses for internal research programs offset by decreases in expenses for research performed under collaboration agreements. The decrease in expenses for research performed under collaboration agreements was due primarily to a lower contractual level of research funding under our agreement with TAP and a lower level of research funding agreed to with Lilly in connection with the November 2003 extension of our collaboration agreement through November 2004. The increase in internal research program expenses in 2004 compared to the 2003 period reflects an increased level of effort in the areas of thrombopoietin (TPO) agonists and peroxisome proliferation activated receptors (PPARs). The level of effort on selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) remained constant in 2004 as compared to 2003. Spending for development expenses decreased to \$41.8 million in 2004 compared to \$44.1 million in 2003 reflecting a lower level of expense for new product development. The decrease in expenses for new product development is due primarily to a reduced level of spending on Phase III clinical trials for Targretin capsules in NSCLC, which became fully enrolled in 2003. The decrease in 2004 as compared to 2003 is partially offset by a \$1.1 million payment to The Salk Institute in March 2004 to buy out milestone payments, other payment sharing obligations and royalty payments due on future sales of lasofoxifene, a product under development by Pfizer. Pfizer filed an NDA for lasofoxifene with the FDA in August 2004.

A summary of our significant internal research and development programs is as follows:

<b>Program</b>	<b>Disease/Indication</b>	<b>Development Phase</b>
AVINZA	Chronic, moderate-to-severe pain	Marketed in U.S. Phase IV
ONTAK	CTCL Chronic lymphocytic leukemia Peripheral T-cell lymphoma B-cell Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma NSCLC third line	Marketed in U.S., Phase IV Phase II Phase II Phase II Phase II
Targretin capsules	CTCL NSCLC first-line NSCLC monotherapy NSCLC second/third line Advanced breast cancer Renal cell cancer	Marketed in U.S. and Europe Phase III Planned Phase II/III Planned Phase II/III Phase II Phase II
Targretin gel	CTCL Hand dermatitis (eczema) Psoriasis	Marketed in U.S. Planned Phase II/ III Phase II
LGD4665 (Thrombopoietin oral mimic)	Idiopathic Thrombocytopenias (TCP), other TCPs	IND Track
LGD5552 (Glucocorticoid agonists)	Inflammation, cancer	IND Track
Selective androgen receptor modulator, e.g., LGD3303 (agonist/antagonist)	Male hypogonadism, female & male osteoporosis, male & female sexual dysfunction, frailty. Prostate cancer,	Pre-clinical

hirsutism, acne, androgenetic  
alopecia.

We do not provide forward-looking estimates of costs and time to complete our ongoing research and development projects, as such estimates would involve a high degree of uncertainty. Uncertainties include our ability to predict the outcome of complex research, our ability to predict the results of clinical studies, regulatory requirements placed upon us by regulatory authorities such as the FDA and EMEA, our ability to predict the decisions of our collaborative partners, our ability to fund research and development programs, competition from other entities of which we may become aware in future periods, predictions of market potential from products that may be derived from our research and development efforts, and our ability to recruit and retain personnel or third-

**Table of Contents**

party research organizations with the necessary knowledge and skills to perform certain research. Refer to **Risk Factors** for additional discussion of the risks and uncertainties surrounding our research and development initiatives.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$74.7 million for 2005 compared to \$65.8 million for 2004 and \$52.5 million for 2003. The increase for 2005 reflects a higher level of costs associated with additional Ligand sales representatives hired in the second and third quarter of 2004 to promote AVINZA and higher advertising and promotion expenses for AVINZA, ONTAK and Targretin capsules. The 2005 period also reflects higher accounting and legal expenses incurred in connection with the Audit Committee's review of the Company's consolidated financial statements, the restatement and re-audits of the Company's consolidated financial statements and ongoing shareholder litigation. We expect selling, general and administrative expenses in 2006 to be higher than 2005 due to a continuing higher level of accounting expenses, including costs of compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, higher audit fees and consultant expenses, expected costs to be incurred in connection with employee retention agreements discussed under **Recent Developments** above, and higher AVINZA related clinical, advertising and promotion expenses. Prior to the termination agreement with Organon, the companies shared equally all costs for AVINZA clinical, advertising, and promotion activities. In connection with the termination of the Organon co-promotion agreement, however, starting in January 2006, we are now solely responsible for all such expenses. These increases are expected to be partially offset by lower sales force expenses as a result of the reduction in our AVINZA primary care sales force as discussed under **Recent Developments** above.

The increase in 2004 compared to 2003 is primarily due to costs associated with 36 additional Ligand sales representatives hired to promote AVINZA and higher advertising and promotion expenses for AVINZA in connection with our co-promotion activities with Organon which started in March 2003. The 36 additional sales representatives were hired in the second and third quarters of 2004 resulting in higher expenses in the third and fourth quarters of 2004 compared to the first two quarters of 2004 and the full year of 2003. Marketing expenses also increased in 2004 as a result of our increased emphasis on physician-attended product information and advisory meetings for AVINZA. Additionally, selling, general and administrative expenses reflect increased costs incurred in 2004 in connection with the development of an alternate source of supply (Cardinal Health PGS LLC or Cardinal) for AVINZA.

*Co-promotion Expense*

Co-promotion expense payable to Organon amounted to \$32.5 million in 2005 compared to \$30.1 million for 2004 and \$9.4 million for 2003. Prior to the restatement of our revenues to the sell-through revenue recognition method and through the third quarter of 2005, prior to the termination of the co-promotion agreement as discussed under

**Overview**, we calculated the amount owed to Organon using the sell-in revenue recognition method. Since revenues based on the sell-in revenue recognition method were higher than revenues based on the sell-through revenue recognition method for 2004 and 2003, the co-promotion expense for these years is higher than the contracted 30% rate. Likewise, the co-promotion expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was determined using the sell-in revenue recognition method, which was lower than reported revenues based on sell-through revenue recognition. Accordingly, co-promotion expense for this period as a percentage of net AVINZA sales (28%) was lower than the contracted rate of 30%. As previously disclosed, the companies were in discussions regarding the calculation of prior co-promote fees under the co-promotion agreement. In connection with the termination of the co-promotion agreement, the companies resolved their disagreement concerning prior co-promote fees and as a result, we paid Organon \$14.75 million in January 2006. Resolution of this matter resulted in no material adjustment to amounts previously recorded for co-promotion expense. Additionally, in connection with the termination agreement, the companies agreed that Organon would be paid 30% of reported U.S. GAAP net sales for the fourth quarter of 2005. This resulted in fourth quarter 2005 co-promotion expense of \$10.0 million which was paid in February 2006. During the transition period of the termination agreement, the companies agreed that Organon would be paid 23% of reported U.S. GAAP net sales through September 30, 2006. After termination, Ligand will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6.0% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November 2017. Additionally, as described above, Ligand will now pay 100% of all AVINZA related clinical, advertising, and promotion expenses.





**Table of Contents**

The termination and return of rights transaction with Organon requires the analysis of several complex accounting alternatives. Accordingly, we are still in the process of determining the appropriate accounting treatment for the transaction in 2006 and going forward. Certain of these alternatives, however, could result in the recognition of significant additional expense in our consolidated statement of operations for the first quarter of 2006. We expect to complete our determination of the appropriate accounting by the time we file our first quarter 2006 Form 10-Q.

*Other Expenses, Net*

Other expenses, net were \$9.9 million for 2005 compared to \$7.5 million for 2004 and \$20.4 million for 2003.

Interest expense increased to \$12.5 million for 2005 compared to \$12.3 million for 2004 and \$11.1 million for 2003. Interest expense in 2005, 2004, and 2003 primarily represents interest on the \$155.3 million of 6% convertible subordinated notes that we issued in November 2002. The increase in interest expense in 2004 compared to 2003 is due primarily to interest on a note payable secured by one of our corporate office buildings. Effective December 31, 2003, the entity from which we leased the building (Nexus Equity VI LLC or Nexus ) was consolidated in connection with the implementation of FIN 46<sup>®</sup> *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51*. Prior to that, the lease arrangement with Nexus was treated as an operating lease. We subsequently acquired the portion of Nexus we did not previously own in April 2004.

Other, net reflects income of \$0.7 million in 2005 compared to \$3.7 million in 2004 and net expenses of \$10.0 million in 2003. In September 2004, we agreed to vote our shares of X-Ceptor in favor of the acquisition of X-Ceptor by Exelixis Inc. ( Exelixis ). Exelixis acquisition of X-Ceptor was subsequently completed in October, 2004 and in connection therewith, Ligand received shares of Exelixis common stock. The shares were restricted securities for which a resale registration statement has been filed. Such shares are subject to trading restrictions for up to two years. Additionally, approximately 21% of the shares were placed in escrow for up to one year to satisfy indemnification and other obligations. As of December 31, 2005, there were no shares remaining in escrow. We recorded a net gain on the transaction in the fourth quarter of 2004 of approximately \$3.7 million, based on the fair market value of the consideration received.

Other, net expenses of \$10.0 million in 2003 include the March 2003 write-off of a \$5.0 million one-time payment made in July 2002 to X-Ceptor Therapeutics, Inc. (or X-Ceptor) to extend Ligand's right to acquire the outstanding stock of X-Ceptor not already held by Ligand. In March 2003, we informed X-Ceptor that we would not exercise the purchase right and wrote-off the purchase right valued at \$4.0 million that was recorded in 1999.

*Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change*

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 ( FIN 46 ), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51*, which was subsequently revised in December 2003 ( FIN 46(R) ). FIN 46(R) requires the consolidation of certain variable interest entities ( VIEs ) by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or if the equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest.

We implemented FIN 46 effective December 31, 2003, and consolidated the entity from which we leased one of our two corporate office buildings as of that date, as we determined that the entity was a VIE, as defined by FIN 46, and that we would absorb a majority of its expected losses if any, as defined by FIN 46(R). Accordingly, we consolidated the assets of the entity, which consist of land, the building, and related tenant improvements, with a total carrying value of \$13.6 million, net of accumulated depreciation. Additionally, we consolidated the entity's debt of \$12.5 million and non-controlling interest of \$0.6 million. In connection with the implementation of FIN 46, we also recorded a \$2.0 million charge (\$0.03 per share) as a cumulative effect of the accounting change on December 31, 2003.

**Table of Contents***Income Taxes*

Income tax expense was \$0.1 million for 2005 compared to approximately \$0.2 million for 2004 and \$0.1 million in 2003. At December 31, 2005, we have both federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$554.5 million and \$73.2 million, respectively, which will begin expiring in 2006. The difference between the federal and California tax loss carryforwards is primarily due to the capitalization of research and development expenses for California income tax purposes and the 50% to 60% limitation on losses incurred prior to 2004 in California. We have \$25.5 million of federal research and development credits carryforwards which will expire beginning in 2006, and \$15.4 million of California research and development credits that have no expiration date.

Pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383, use of a portion of net operating loss and credit carryforwards related to Glycomed and Seragen will be limited because of cumulative changes in ownership of more than 50% that occurred within three periods during 1989, 1992, and 1996. Such tax net operating loss and credit carryforwards have been reduced, including the related deferred tax assets. The Company has also completed a 382 study for Ligand, excluding Glycomed and Seragen, and has determined that Ligand's net operating losses and credits are not currently subject to any limitations under Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383. Future changes in ownership could result in limitations of utilization of Ligand's net operating losses and credits under Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383.

The Company's research and development credits pertain to federal and California jurisdictions. These jurisdictions require that the Company create minimum documentation and support, such as done via a Research & Development Credit Study. In the absence of sufficient documentation and support these government jurisdictions may disallow some or all of the credits. Although the Company has not completed a formal study, the Company believes that it maintains sufficient documentation to support the benefiting of the credits in the consolidated financial statements. Prior to utilizing a significant portion of the credits to reduce taxes payable, the Company will review its documentation and support to determine if a formal study is necessary.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We have financed our operations through private and public offerings of our equity securities, collaborative research and development and other revenues, issuance of convertible notes, product sales, capital and operating lease transactions, accounts receivable factoring and equipment financing arrangements and investment income.

Working capital was a deficit of \$102.2 million at December 31, 2005 compared to a deficit of \$48.5 million at December 31, 2004. Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and restricted investments totaled \$88.8 million at December 31, 2005 compared to \$114.9 million at December 31, 2004. We primarily invest our cash in United States government and investment grade corporate debt securities. Restricted investments at December 31, 2005 consist of certificates of deposit held with a financial institution as collateral under equipment financing and third-party service provider arrangements. Restricted investments at December 31, 2004 also included shares of Exelixis common stock, that had certain trading limitations, received in connection with the sale of our shares of X-Ceptor.

*Operating Activities*

Operating activities provided cash of \$8.4 million in 2005, \$5.8 million in 2004, and \$0.3 million in 2003. Operating cash flow in 2005 benefited from increased product shipments primarily due to the growth of AVINZA and lower development expenses as a result of the conclusion of the clinical trials for Targretin capsules in NSCLC in March 2005. Operating cash was negatively impacted, however, by increased accounting and legal expenses in connection with the restatement of our prior period consolidated financial statements, SEC investigation, and shareholder litigation.

Non-cash operating items in 2005 increased \$8.4 million compared to 2004 and decreased \$8.7 million compared to 2003. The change compared to 2004 includes higher amortization of acquired technology and license rights of \$3.0 million resulting from the capitalization of \$33.0 million paid to Lilly in connection with the

**Table of Contents**

restructuring of the Company's ONTAK royalty agreement. Additionally, non-cash operating items in 2004 included a development milestone of approximately \$2.0 million received from Pfizer, in connection with Pfizer's filing with the FDA of a new drug application for lasofoxifene, paid in stock in September 2004, and a net gain on sale of equity investments totaling \$3.7 million. Non-cash operating items in 2003 include the \$9.0 million write-off of the \$5.0 million X-Ceptor payment to extend our X-Ceptor purchase right and capitalization of the purchase right of \$4.0 million in March 2003, in connection with our decision to not acquire X-Ceptor, and the non-cash cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle (approximately \$2.0 million) recognized in connection with the implementation of FIN 46(R).

Changes in operating assets and liabilities provided cash of \$26.6 million in 2005 primarily due to increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$13.7 million, deferred revenue of \$4.7 million, decreases in accounts receivable, net of \$9.9 million, and decreases in other current assets of \$2.0 million, partially offset by an increase in inventories of \$3.4 million. This compares to cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$41.2 million in 2004 primarily due to increases in deferred revenue of \$47.9 million and accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$9.8 million, partially offset by increases in accounts receivable and inventories of \$11.9 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. For 2003, cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities provided cash of \$69.9 million primarily due to increases in deferred revenue of \$57.0 million and accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$24.2 million, partially offset by increases in accounts receivable and inventories of \$6.5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

The increase in deferred revenue in each period reflects shipments of product into the wholesale and retail distribution channels offset in part by end-customer product demand recognized as revenue under the sell-through revenue recognition method. The decrease in accounts receivable in the 2005 period reflects a decrease in gross accounts receivable at quarter-end as wholesalers are now purchasing product more consistently throughout each quarter in accordance with the requirements of the distribution services agreements. The increase in accounts receivable in 2004 and 2003 reflects increasing wholesaler purchases in connection with the growth of product demand, primarily AVINZA for which co-promotion activities with Organon started in 2003. Likewise, the increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities for each year primarily reflects the growth in Medicaid rebates and government chargebacks in connection with the growth in demand of AVINZA and ONTAK. Additionally, the increase in accrued liabilities in the 2005 period reflects the delay in payment of co-promotion expenses to Organon for the second and third quarters of 2005 to the first quarter of 2006 in connection with the termination of the co-promotion agreement, as further discussed under *Recent Developments* above. Operating cash flows in 2003 also benefited from the impact of the accounts receivable factoring arrangement which was entered into in the second quarter of 2003.

In connection with the termination of the co-promotion agreement, we will pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006 and \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of sales calls. Additionally, we agreed to pay Organon 23% of AVINZA net sales for co-promotion activities through September 30, 2006 (the transition period), and a 6.5% royalty on AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter a 6.0% royalty through patent expiration.

*Investing Activities*

Investing activities used cash of \$33.7 million in 2005 and provided cash of \$19.6 million in 2004 and used cash of \$14.2 million in 2003. The use of cash in 2005 reflects \$33.0 million of payments for the buy-down of ONTAK royalty payments in connection with the amended royalty agreement entered into in November 2004 between the Company and Lilly, and \$2.6 million of purchases of property and equipment. Additionally, the use of cash in 2005 was partially offset by the net proceeds from the sale of short-term investments of \$1.9 million.

Cash provided by investing activities for 2004 reflects net proceeds of \$14.1 million from the sale of short-term investments and \$9.2 million from the maturing of restricted investments which was used to pay interest on our 6% convertible subordinated notes. The use of cash for investing activities in 2004 reflects \$3.6 million for purchases for property and equipment. The use of cash in 2003 reflects the net purchase of short-term investments of \$18.0 million, a \$4.1 million payment to Elan in connection with the November 2002 restructuring of the AVINZA license and supply agreement and capital purchases of \$2.8 million. Cash provided by investing activities for 2003 includes



**Table of Contents**

\$10.4 million from the maturing of restricted investments which was subsequently used to pay interest on our 6% convertible subordinated notes.

*Financing Activities*

Financing activities used cash of \$0.2 million in 2005 compared to cash provided of \$7.9 million in 2004 and \$32.1 million in 2003. Cash used in financing activities in 2005 reflects repayment of long-term debt and net payments under equipment financing arrangements of \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, partially offset by net proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options and stock purchases under the Company's employee stock purchase plan of \$0.9 million.

Cash provided by financing activities in 2004 includes net proceeds of \$6.6 million from the exercise of employee stock options and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan and \$1.8 million of net proceeds received under equipment financing arrangements. Cash provided by financing activities in 2003 includes net proceeds of \$45.0 million from the issuance of common stock through a private placement of 3,483,593 shares of our common stock, \$4.5 million from the exercise of employee stock options and employee stock purchases, and \$1.1 million from equipment financing arrangements. These proceeds were offset by the \$15.9 million repurchase and retirement of approximately 2.2 million shares of our outstanding common stock held by an affiliate of Elan in connection with a November 2002 share repurchase agreement completed in February 2003, and payments of \$2.5 million on equipment financing arrangements.

Certain of our property and equipment is pledged as collateral under various equipment financing arrangements. As of December 31, 2005, \$5.8 million was outstanding under such arrangements with \$2.4 million classified as current. Our equipment financing arrangements have terms of three to five years with interest ranging from 4.73% to 9.33%.

We believe our available cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and existing sources of funding will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated operating and capital requirements through at least the next 12 months. Our future operating and capital requirements will depend on many factors including: the effectiveness of our commercial activities including during the transition period of our AVINZA co-promotion agreement with Organon, which will conclude September 30, 2006; the pace of scientific progress in our research and development programs; the magnitude of these programs; the scope and results of preclinical testing and clinical trials; the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals; the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims; competing technological and market developments; the ability to establish additional collaborations or changes in existing collaborations; the efforts of our collaborators; and the cost of production. We will also consider additional equipment financing arrangements similar to arrangements currently in place.

*Leases and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

We lease certain of our office and research facilities under operating lease arrangements with varying terms through July 2015. The agreements provide for increases in annual rents based on changes in the Consumer Price Index or fixed percentage increases ranging from 3% to 7%.

**Table of Contents***Contractual Obligations*

As of December 31, 2005, future minimum payments due under our contractual obligations are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Payments Due by Period</b>			
		<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1-3 years</b>	<b>3-5 years</b>	<b>After 5 years</b>
Capital lease obligations (1)	\$ 6,513	\$ 2,777	\$ 3,408	\$ 328	\$ 3/4
Operating lease obligations	20,370	2,995	3,860	3,833	9,682
Loan payable to bank (2)	14,000	1,191	12,809	3/4	3/4
6% Convertible Subordinated Notes (3)	173,880	9,315	164,565	3/4	3/4
Other liabilities (4)	3,600	3,098	502	3/4	3/4
Distribution service agreements	5,865	5,865	3/4	3/4	3/4
Consulting agreements	855	855	3/4	3/4	3/4
Manufacturing agreements	10,731	10,731	3/4	3/4	3/4
<b>Total contractual obligations</b>	<b>\$ 235,814</b>	<b>\$ 36,827</b>	<b>\$ 185,144</b>	<b>\$ 4,161</b>	<b>\$ 9,682</b>

- (1) Includes \$0.7 million of interest payments.
- (2) Includes interest of \$ 0.8 million and \$1.3 million for 2006 and for the period from 2007 to 2008, respectively.
- (3) Includes interest of \$9.3 million and \$9.3 million for 2006 and 2007, respectively.
- (4) Other liabilities include merger contingencies and a liability under a royalty financing arrangement. Deferred revenues are

excluded  
because they  
have no effect  
on future  
liquidity.

As of December 31, 2005, we have net open purchase orders (defined as total open purchase orders at year end less any accruals or invoices charged to or amounts paid against such purchase orders) totaling approximately \$14.5 million. In the next 12 months, we also plan to spend approximately \$3.2 million on capital expenditures.

In March 2004, we entered into a five-year manufacturing and packaging agreement with Cardinal Health PTS, LLC ( Cardinal ) under which Cardinal will manufacture AVINZA at its Winchester, Kentucky facility. Under the terms of the agreement, we committed to certain minimum annual purchases ranging from approximately \$1.6 million to \$2.3 million. In August 2005, the FDA approved the production of AVINZA at the Cardinal facility.

In January 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon that terminated the AVINZA co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. In connection with this agreement, we will pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006 and \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of sales calls. After termination, we will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6.0% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November 2017. The termination and return of rights transaction with Organon requires the analysis of several complex accounting alternatives. Accordingly, we are still in the process of determining the appropriate accounting treatment for the transaction in 2006 and going forward. Certain of these alternatives, however, could result in the recognition of significant additional expense in our consolidated statement of operations for the first quarter of 2006. We expect to complete our determination of the appropriate accounting by the time we file our first quarter 2006 Form 10-Q.

In March 2006, we entered into employee retention agreements with approximately 67 of our key employees, including a number of our executive officers. The agreements provide for certain retention or stay bonus payments to be paid in cash and/or stock options under specified circumstances as an additional incentive to remain employed in good standing with the Company. The retention or stay bonus generally vest at the end of 2006 and total payments to employees of approximately \$2.7 million would be made in January 2007 if all the participants qualify for the payments. Stock options granted totaled approximately 122,000 and have an exercise price of \$11.90.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Certain of our policies require the application of management judgment in making estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and disclosures made in the accompanying

**Table of Contents**

notes. Those estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors deemed to be applicable and reasonable under the circumstances. The use of judgment in determining such estimates and assumptions is by nature, subject to a degree of uncertainty. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from the estimates made. Our critical accounting policies are as follows:

*Revenue Recognition*

The Company generates revenue from product sales, collaborative research and development arrangements, and other activities such as distribution agreements, royalties, and sales of technology rights. The Company's collaborative arrangements and distribution agreements may include multiple elements within a single contract. Each element of the contract is separately negotiated. Payments received may include non-refundable fees at the inception of the contract for technology rights under collaborative arrangements or product rights under distribution agreements, fully burdened funding for services performed during the research phase of collaborative arrangements, milestone payments for specific achievements designated in the collaborative or distribution agreements, royalties on sales of products resulting from collaborative arrangements, and payments for the supply of products under distribution agreements.

The Company recognizes product revenue in accordance with SAB 104 and SFAS 48 *Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists* (SFAS 48). SAB 104 states that revenue should not be recognized until it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met:

(1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the seller's price to the buyer is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. SFAS 48 states that revenue from sales transactions where the buyer has the right to return the product shall be recognized at the time of sale only if (1) the seller's price to the buyer is substantially fixed or determinable at the date of sale, (2) the buyer has paid the seller, or the buyer is obligated to pay the seller and the obligation is not contingent on resale of the product, (3) the buyer's obligation to the seller would not be changed in the event of theft or physical destruction or damage of the product, (4) the buyer acquiring the product for resale has economic substance apart from that provided by the seller, (5) the seller does not have significant obligations for future performance to directly bring about resale of the product by the buyer, and (6) the amount of future returns can be reasonably estimated.

*Product sales*

The Company has determined that domestic shipments made to wholesalers for AVINZA, ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel do not meet the revenue recognition criteria of SFAS 48 and SAB 104 at the time of shipment, and therefore such shipments are accounted for using the sell-through method. Under the sell-through method, the Company does not recognize revenue upon shipment of product to the wholesaler. For these product sales, the Company invoices the wholesaler, records deferred revenue at gross invoice sales price less estimated cash discounts and for ONTAK, end-customer returns, and classifies the inventory held by the wholesaler as deferred cost of goods sold within Other current assets. At that point, the Company makes an estimate of units that may be returned and records a reserve for those units against the deferred cost of goods sold account. The Company recognizes revenue when such inventory is sold through (as defined hereafter), on a first-in first-out (FIFO) basis. Sell through for ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel are considered to be at the point of out movement from the wholesaler to the wholesaler's customer. Sell through for AVINZA is considered to be at the prescription level or at the point of patient consumption for channels with no prescription requirements.



**Table of Contents**

A summary of the revenue recognition policy used for each of our products and the expiration of the underlying patents for each product is as follows:

	<b>Method</b>	<b>Revenue Recognition Event</b>	<b>Patent Expiration</b>
AVINZA	Sell-through	Prescriptions	November 2017
ONTAK	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	December 2014
Targretin capsules	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	October 2016
Targretin gel	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	October 2016
Panretin	Sell-in	Shipment to wholesaler	August 2016
International	Sell-in	Shipment to international distributor	February 2011 through April 2013

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, net product sales recognized under the sell-through method represented approximately 97%, 96% and 94%, respectively, of total net product sales.

Additionally under the sell-through method, royalties paid based on unit shipments to wholesalers are deferred and recognized as royalty expense as those units are sold through and recognized as revenue. Royalties paid to technology partners are deferred as the Company has the right to offset royalties paid for product that are later returned against subsequent royalty obligations. Royalties for which the Company does not have the ability to offset (for example, at the end of the contractual royalty period) are expensed in the period the royalty obligation becomes due.

The Company estimates sell-through based upon (1) analysis of third-party information, including information obtained from certain wholesalers with respect to their inventory levels and sell-through to customers, and third-party market research data, and (2) the Company's internal product movement information. To assess the reasonableness of third-party demand (i.e. sell-through) information, the Company prepares separate demand reconciliations based on inventory in the distribution channel. Differences identified through these reconciliations outside an acceptable range will be recognized as an adjustment to the third-party reported demand in the period those differences are identified. This adjustment mechanism is designed to identify and correct for any material variances between reported and actual demand over time and other potential anomalies such as inventory shrinkage at wholesalers. The Company's estimates are subject to the inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third-party data, as certain third-party information is itself in the form of estimates. The Company's sales and revenue recognition under the sell-through method reflect the Company's estimates of actual product sold through the channel.

We use information from external sources to estimate our gross product sales under the sell-through revenue recognition method and significant gross to net sales adjustments. Our estimates include product information with respect to prescriptions, wholesaler out-movement and inventory levels, and retail pharmacy stocking levels, and our own internal information. We receive information from IMS, a supplier of market research to the pharmaceutical industry, which we use to estimate sell-through demand for our products and retail pharmacy inventory levels. We also receive wholesaler out-movement and inventory information from our wholesaler customers that is used to support and validate our demand-based, sell-through revenue recognition estimates. Additionally, we use wholesaler provided out-movement information to estimate ONTAK sell-through revenue as this data is not available from IMS. The inventory information received from wholesalers is a product of their record-keeping process and their internal controls surrounding such processes.

We recognize revenue for Panretin upon shipment to wholesalers as our wholesaler customers only stock minimal amounts of Panretin, if any. As such, wholesaler orders are considered to approximate end-customer demand for the product. Revenues from sales Panretin are net of allowances for rebates, chargebacks and discounts. For international shipments of our product, revenue is recognized upon shipment to our third-party international distributors.

**Table of Contents**

*Sale of Royalty Rights*

Revenue from the sale of royalty rights represents the non-refundable sale to third parties of rights for and exercise of options to acquire future royalties the Company may earn from the sale of products in development with its collaborative partners. If the Company has no continuing involvement in the research, development or marketing of these products, sales of royalty rights are recognized as revenue in the period the transaction is consummated or the options are exercised or expired. If the Company has significant continuing involvement in the research, development or marketing of the product, proceeds received for the sale of royalty rights are accounted for as a financing arrangement in accordance with EITF 88-18: *Sales of Future Revenues*.

*Collaborative Research and Development and Other Revenues*

Collaborative research and development and other revenues are recognized as services are performed consistent with the performance requirements of the contract. Non-refundable contract fees for which no further performance obligation exists and where the Company has no continuing involvement are recognized upon the earlier of when payment is received or collection is assured. Revenue from non-refundable contract fees where Ligand has continuing involvement through research and development collaborations or other contractual obligations is recognized ratably over the development period or the period for which Ligand continues to have a performance obligation. Revenue from performance milestones is recognized upon the achievement of the milestones as specified in the respective agreement. Payments received in advance of performance or delivery are recorded as deferred revenue and subsequently recognized over the period of performance or upon delivery.

*Net Product Sales*

The Company's net product sales represent total product sales less allowances for rebates, chargebacks, discounts, promotions, and losses to be incurred on returns from wholesalers resulting from increases in the selling price of the Company's products. In addition, the Company incurs certain distributor service agreement fees related to the management of its product by wholesalers. These fees have been recorded within net revenues. For ONTAK, the Company also has established reserves for returns from end customers after sell-through revenue recognition has occurred. Due to estimates and assumptions inherent in determining the amount of returns, chargebacks, and rebates, the actual amount of product returns and claims for chargeback and rebates may be materially different from our estimates.

**Table of Contents**

The following summarizes the activity in the accrued liability accounts related to allowances for loss on returns, rebates, chargebacks, other discounts, and ONTAK end-customer and Panretin returns (in thousands):

	Losses on Returns Due to	Medicaid Rebates	Managed Care Rebates and Other Rebates	Charge- backs	Other Discounts	ONTAK End- customer and Panretin Returns	Total
	Changes in Price						
Balance at January 1, 2003	\$ 974	\$ 207	\$ 31	\$ 117	\$ 826	\$ 1,797	\$ 3,952
Provision	4,229	2,724	852	2,184	9,035	1,547	20,571
Payments	<sup>¾</sup>	(1,239)	(457)	(2,123)	(9,344)	<sup>¾</sup>	(13,163)
Charges	(856)	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	(1,308)	(2,164)
Balance at December 31, 2003	4,347	1,692	426	178	517	2,036	9,196
Provision	5,018	14,430	5,773	3,962	6,495	3,015	38,693
Payments	<sup>¾</sup>	(11,074)	(4,455)	(3,684)	(7,008)	<sup>¾</sup>	(26,221)
Charges	(3,025)	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	(2,492)	(5,517)
Balance at December 31, 2004	6,340	5,048	1,744	456	4	2,559	16,151
Provision	1,801 <sup>(1)</sup>	18,852	10,592	5,874	<sup>¾</sup>	3,439	40,558
Payments	<sup>¾</sup>	(18,552)	(8,869)	(6,130)	(4)	<sup>¾</sup>	(33,555)
Charges	(4,103)	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	<sup>¾</sup>	(3,322)	(7,425)
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 4,038	\$ 5,348	\$ 3,467	\$ 200	\$ <sup>¾</sup>	\$ 2,676	\$ 15,729

(1) The provision for losses on returns in 2005 is net of a \$2.9 million reduction in the allowance for such losses recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005. This adjustment resulted from lower rates of return on lots of AVINZA that

closed out in the fourth quarter of 2005, thereby lowering the historical weighted average rate of return used for estimating the allowance.

*Allowance for Return Losses*

Product sales are net of adjustments for losses resulting from price increases the Company may experience on product returns from its wholesaler customers. Our policy for returns allows customers, primarily wholesale distributors, to return our oncology products three months prior to and six months after expiration. For ONTAK, customers are generally allowed to return product in exchange for replacement ONTAK vials. Our policy for returns of AVINZA allows customers to return the product six months prior to and six months after expiration. Upon an announced price increase, typically in the quarter prior to when a price increase becomes effective, the Company revalues its estimate of deferred product revenue to be returned to recognize the potential higher credit a wholesaler may take upon product return determined as the difference between the new price and the previous price used to value the allowance. Due to estimates and assumptions inherent in determining the amount of return losses, the actual amount of product returns may be materially different from our estimates. In addition, because of the inherent difficulties of predicting possible changes to the estimates and assumptions used to determine losses to be incurred on returns from price changes due to, among other factors, changes in future prescription levels and wholesaler inventory practices, we are unable to quantify an estimate of the reasonably likely effect of any such changes on our results of operations or financial position. For reference purposes, a 10% to 20% variance to our estimated allowance for return losses as of December 31, 2005 would result in an approximate \$0.4 million to \$0.8 million adjustment to net product sales.

*ONTAK End-Customer Returns*

Under the sell-through method of revenue recognition, the estimate of product returns from the wholesalers does not result in a gross to net sales adjustment since the shipment of product to the wholesalers does not result in revenue recognition. For ONTAK, revenue is recognized when product is shipped from wholesalers to end-customers, primarily hospitals and clinics that have the capability to administer the product to patients. These customers have the right to return expired product to the wholesaler who in turn can return the product to the Company. In accordance with SFAS 48, we record a return provision upon sell-through of ONTAK by establishing

**Table of Contents**

a reserve in an amount equal to our estimate of sales recorded but for which the related products are expected to be returned by the end customer. Estimates of the sales return accrual are based on historical experience. Due to the estimates and assumptions inherent in determining the amount of ONTAK end-customer returns, the actual amount of product returns may be materially different from our estimates. However, based on our experience with returns of ONTAK from end-customers, we do not believe that a material change to our estimated allowance for ONTAK end-customer returns is reasonably likely.

*Medicaid Rebates*

Our products are subject to state government-managed Medicaid programs whereby discounts and rebates are provided to participating state governments. We account for Medicaid rebates by establishing an accrual in an amount equal to our estimate of Medicaid rebate claims attributable to sales recognized in that period. We determine our estimate of the Medicaid rebates accrual primarily based on historical experience regarding Medicaid rebates, as well as current and historical prescription activity provided by external sources, current contract prices and any expected contract changes. We additionally consider any legal interpretations of the applicable laws related to Medicaid and qualifying federal and state government programs and any new information regarding changes in the Medicaid programs regulations and guidelines that would impact the amount of the rebates. We adjust the accrual periodically throughout each period to reflect actual experience, expected changes in future prescription volumes and any changes in business circumstances or trends. In addition, because of the inherent difficulties of predicting the impact on our estimates and assumptions of rapidly evolving state Medicaid programs and regulations, we are unable to quantify an estimate of the reasonably likely effect of any such changes on our results of operations or financial position. For reference purposes, a 10% to 20% variance to our estimated allowance for state Medicaid rebates as of December 31, 2005 would result in an approximate \$0.6 million to \$1.2 million adjustment to net product sales.

*Government Chargebacks*

Our products are subject to certain programs with federal government entities and other parties whereby pricing on products is extended below wholesaler list price to participating entities. These entities purchase products through wholesalers at the lower vendor price, and the wholesalers charge the difference between their acquisition cost and the lower vendor price back to us. We account for chargebacks by establishing an accrual in an amount equal to our estimate of chargeback claims. We determine our estimate of the chargebacks primarily based on historical experience regarding chargebacks and current contract prices under the vendor programs. We consider vendor payments and our claim processing time lag and adjust the accrual periodically throughout each period to reflect actual experience and any changes in business circumstances or trends. Due to estimates and assumptions inherent in determining the amount of government chargebacks, the actual amount of claims for chargebacks may be materially different from our estimates. Based on our experience with government chargebacks, however, we do not believe that a material change to our estimated allowance for chargebacks is reasonably likely.

*Managed Health Care Rebates and Other Contract Discounts*

We offer rebates and discounts to managed health care organizations and to other contract counterparties such as hospitals and group purchasing organizations in the U.S. We account for managed health care rebates and other contract discounts by establishing an accrual in an amount equal to our estimate of managed health care rebates and other contract discounts. We determine our estimate of the managed health care rebates and other contract discounts accrual primarily based on historical experience regarding these rebates and discounts and current contract prices. We also consider the current and historical prescription activity provided by external sources, current contract prices and any expected contract changes and adjust the accrual periodically throughout each period to reflect actual experience and any changes in business circumstances or trends. Due to estimates and assumptions inherent in determining the amount of rebates and contract discounts, the actual amount of claims for rebates and discounts may be materially different from our estimates. In addition, because of the inherent difficulties of predicting the impact on our estimates and assumptions of rapidly evolving managed care programs, we are unable to quantify an estimate of the reasonably likely effect of any such changes on our results of operations or financial position. For reference purposes, a 10% to 20% variance to our estimated allowance for managed health care and other contract discounts as of December 31, 2005 would result in an approximate \$0.2 million to \$0.5 million adjustment to net product sales.



**Table of Contents**

*Inventories*

Our inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. We record reserves for estimated obsolescence to account for unsaleable products including products that are nearing or have reached expiration, and slow-moving inventory. If actual future demand or market conditions are less favorable than our estimates, then additional material inventory write-downs might be required.

*Acquired Technology and Product Rights*

Acquired technology and product rights represent payments related to our acquisition of ONTAK and license and royalty rights for AVINZA. In accordance with SFAS 142, these payments are amortized on a straight line basis since the pattern in which the economic benefit of these assets are consumed (or otherwise used up) cannot be reliably determined. Accordingly, acquired technology and product rights are amortized on a straight-line basis over 15 years, which approximated the remaining patent life at the time the assets were acquired and represents the period estimated to be benefited. Specifically, we are amortizing the ONTAK asset through June 2014, which is approximate to the expiration date of its U.S. patent of December 2014. The AVINZA asset is being amortized through November 2017, the expiration of its U.S. patent.

*Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*

We review long-lived assets, including acquired technology and product rights and property and equipment, during the fourth quarter of each year, or whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. We measure the recoverability of assets to be held and used by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If an asset is considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. Fair value of our long-lived assets is determined using the expected cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. Assumptions and estimates used in the evaluation of impairment may affect the carrying value of long-lived assets, which could result in impairment charges in future periods.

We believe that the future cash flows to be received from our long-lived assets will exceed the assets' carrying value, and accordingly have not recorded any impairment losses through December 31, 2005. Our impairment assessment could be impacted by various factors including a more than insignificant disruption of supply, new competing products or technologies that could result in a significant decrease in the demand for or the pricing of our products, regulatory actions that require us to restrict or cease promotion of the products, a product recall to address regulatory issues, and/or patent claims by third parties.

*Income Taxes*

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the tax basis of assets or liabilities and their carrying amounts in the consolidated financial statements. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that these items will either expire before we are able to realize their benefit or if future deductibility is uncertain. Developing the provision for income taxes requires significant judgment and expertise in federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, if necessary, any valuation allowances that may be required for deferred tax assets. Our judgments and tax strategies are subject to audit by various taxing authorities. While we believe we have provided adequately for our income tax liabilities in our consolidated financial statements, adverse determinations by these taxing authorities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

*Stock-Based Compensation*

We grant stock options to our employees at an exercise price equal to the fair value of the shares at the date of grant and account for these stock option grants in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock*

**Table of Contents**

*Issued to Employees* ( APB 25 ) and related interpretations. Under APB 25, when stock options are issued with an exercise price equal to the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized in the statement of operations. Refer to Note 3 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for pro-forma disclosures of the impact on our consolidated financial statements of accounting for stock options under the fair-value requirements of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation*.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

In November 2005, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSPs) Nos. FSPs 115-1 and 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, in response to Emerging Issues Task Force 03-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments* (EITF 03-1). FSPs 115-1 and 124-1 provide guidance regarding the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. FSPs 115-1 and 124-1 also include accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. These requirements are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of the impairment guidance contained in FSPs 115-1 and 124-1 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R replaced SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), and superseded Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25). In March 2005, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued SAB 107, *Valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies*, which expresses views of the SEC staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations, and provides the staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. SFAS 123R will require compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123R required public companies to apply SFAS 123R in the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2005. In April 2005, the SEC approved a new rule that delays the effective date, requiring public companies to apply SFAS 123R in their next fiscal year, instead of the next interim reporting period, beginning after June 15, 2005. As permitted by SFAS 123, the Company elected to follow the guidance of APB 25, which allowed companies to use the intrinsic value method of accounting to value their share-based payment transactions with employees. SFAS 123R requires measurement of the cost of share-based payment transactions to employees at the fair value of the award on the grant date and recognition of expense over the requisite service or vesting period. SFAS 123R requires implementation using a modified version of prospective application, under which compensation expense of the unvested portion of previously granted awards and all new awards will be recognized on or after the date of adoption. SFAS 123R also allows companies to adopt SFAS 123R by restating previously issued financial statements, basing the amounts on the expense previously calculated and reported in their pro forma footnote disclosures required under SFAS 123. The Company will adopt SFAS 123R using the modified prospective method in the first interim period of fiscal 2006 and is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 123R will have on its results of operations and financial position.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Pricing* (SFAS 151). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, *Inventory Pricing*, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). This statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges. In addition, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 151 is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets* (SFAS 153), to address the measurement of exchanges of nonmonetary assets. It eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets in APB No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, and replaces it with an exception for nonmonetary exchanges that do not have commercial substance. This statement specifies that a nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to



change significantly as a result of the exchange. This statement is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges

**Table of Contents**

occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS 153 did not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior-period financial statements of changes in accounting principles, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 also redefines restatement as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* (SFAS 155) which amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133) and SFAS 140, *Accounting or the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 140). Specifically, SFAS 155 amends SFAS 133 to permit fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument with an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, provided the whole instrument is accounted for on a fair value basis. Additionally, SFAS 155 amends SFAS 140 to allow a qualifying special purpose entity to hold a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. SFAS 155 applies to all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, with early application allowed. The adoption of SFAS 155 is not expected to have a material impact to our results of operations or financial position.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets* (SFAS 156) to simplify accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS 156 amends SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. Additionally, SFAS 156 applies to all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, although early adoption is permitted. The adoption of SFAS 156 is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

**Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, our investment portfolio included fixed-income securities of \$18.5 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. These securities are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase. However, due to the short duration of our investment portfolio, an immediate 10% change in interest rates would have no material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we also have certain equipment financing arrangements with variable rates of interest. Due to the relative insignificance of such arrangements, however, an immediate 10% change in interest rates would have no material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. Declines in interest rates over time will, however, reduce our interest income, while increases in interest rates over time will increase our interest expense.

We do not have a significant level of transactions denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars and as a result we have very limited foreign currency exchange rate risk. The effect of an immediate 10% change in foreign exchange rates would have no material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Table of Contents****BUSINESS**

*Caution: This discussion and analysis may contain predictions, estimates and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including those discussed in Risk Factors. This outlook represents our current judgment on the future direction of our business. These statements include those related to our products, product sales and other revenue, expenses, our revenue recognition models and policies, our 2005 restatement, material weaknesses or deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, revenue recognition, the potential delisting of the Company's securities on NASDAQ, and our evaluation of strategic alternatives. Actual events or results may differ materially from Ligand's expectations. For example, there can be no assurance that our product sales efforts, recognized revenues or expenses will meet any expectations or follow any trend(s), that our internal control over financial reporting will be effective or produce reliable financial information on a timely basis, that we will be relisted on the NASDAQ on any given timeframe or at all, or that our strategic evaluation process will be successful or yield preferred results. We cannot assure you that the Company will be able to successfully remediate any identified material weakness or significant deficiencies, or that the sell-through revenue recognition models will not require adjustment and not result in a subsequent restatement. In addition, the Company's ongoing or future litigation (including private securities litigation and the SEC investigation) may have an adverse effect on the Company, and our corporate or partner pipeline products may not gain approval or success in the market. Such risks and uncertainties, and others, could cause actual results to differ materially from any future performance suggested. We undertake no obligation to release publicly the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date of this prospectus. This caution is made under the safe harbor provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended.*

**Overview**

Our goal is to build a profitable pharmaceutical company that discovers, develops and markets new drugs that address critical unmet medical needs in the areas of cancer, men's and women's health, skin diseases, osteoporosis, and metabolic, cardiovascular and inflammatory diseases. We strive to develop drugs that are more effective and/or safer than existing therapies, that are more convenient (taken orally or topically administered) and that are cost effective. We plan to build a profitable pharmaceutical company by generating income from the specialty pharmaceutical products we develop and market, and from research, milestone and royalty revenues resulting from our collaborations with large pharmaceutical partners, which develop and market products in large markets that are beyond our strategic focus or resources.

We currently market four oncology products in the United States: Panretin gel, ONTAK and Targretin capsules, each of which was approved by the FDA in 1999; and Targretin gel, which was approved by the FDA in 2000. Our fifth product, AVINZA, is a treatment for chronic, moderate-to-severe pain that was approved by the FDA in March 2002. In Europe, the EC granted an MA for Panretin gel in October 2000 and an MA for Targretin capsules in March 2001. We also continue efforts to acquire or in-license other products, like ONTAK and AVINZA, which have near-term prospects of FDA approval and which can be marketed by our specialty sales forces. We are developing additional products through our internal development programs and currently have various products in clinical development, including marketed products that we are testing for larger market indications such as NSCLC, CLL, NHL and hand dermatitis.

We have formed research and development collaborations with numerous global pharmaceutical companies, including Abbott Laboratories, Allergan, Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly & Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Organon (Akzo Nobel), Parke-Davis, Pfizer Inc., TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc. (TAP), and Wyeth. As of December 31, 2005, our corporate partners had 13 Ligand products in human development and numerous compounds on an IND track or in preclinical and research stages. These corporate partner products are being studied for the treatment of large market indications such as osteoporosis, diabetes, contraception and cardiovascular disease. One of these partner products, lasofoxifene, is being developed by Pfizer for osteoporosis and other indications. Pfizer filed an NDA with the FDA in August 2004 for the use of lasofoxifene in the prevention of osteoporosis and then filed a supplemental NDA in December 2004 for the use of lasofoxifene in the treatment of vaginal atrophy. Three of these partner products are in pivotal Phase III clinical trials: bazedoxifene, which is being



**Table of Contents**

developed by Wyeth as monotherapy for osteoporosis and in combination with Wyeth's PREMARIN for osteoporosis prevention, and vasomotor symptoms of menopause. A third partner product, eltrombopag (SB47115), being developed by Glaxo SmithKline for thrombocytopenia, recently advanced to Phase III in February 2006. A fourth partner product, LY519818, is being developed by Eli Lilly & Company for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Lilly has announced plans to advance this product into Phase III registration studies after completion of two-year carcinogenicity studies and appropriate consultation with the FDA. Another Lilly product, LY674 has recently advanced into Phase II development for atherosclerosis and LY929 is in Phase I development for type 2 diabetes. An additional partner product being developed by GlaxoSmithKline is in Phase II: GSK516 for cardiovascular disease and dislipidemia. Other partner products in Phase II include pipendoxifene (formerly ERA-923) being developed by Wyeth for breast cancer and NSP-989 for contraception and NSP-989 combo for contraception in Phase I. In June 2005, GlaxoSmithKline commenced Phase I studies of SB-449448, a second product for thrombocytopenia and in April 2005, TAP commenced Phase I studies for LGD 2941 for the treatment of osteoporosis and frailty. Additionally, in September 2005 and February 2006, respectively, Pfizer announced the receipt of non-approvable letters from the FDA for the prevention of osteoporosis and vaginal atrophy. However, lasofoxifene continues in Phase III clinical trials by Pfizer for the treatment of osteoporosis.

Internal and collaborative research and development programs are built around our proprietary science technology, which is based on our leadership position in gene transcription technology. Panretin gel, Targretin capsules, and Targretin gel as well as our corporate partner products currently on human development track are modulators of gene transcription, working through key cellular or intracellular receptor targets discovered using our IR technology.

On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. The effective date of the termination agreement is January 1, 2006, however the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 to promote the product. The transition period co-operation includes a minimum number of product sales calls per quarter (100,000 for Organon and 30,000 for Ligand with an aggregate of 375,000 and 90,000 respectively for the transition period) as well as the transition of ongoing promotions, managed care contracts, clinical trials and key opinion leader relationships to Ligand. During the transition period, Ligand will pay Organon an amount equal to 23% of AVINZA net sales as reported by Ligand. Ligand will also pay and be responsible for the design and execution of all clinical, advertising and promotion expenses and activities. Additionally, in consideration of the early termination and return of rights under the terms of the agreement, Ligand will unconditionally pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006. Ligand will further pay Organon \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of sales calls. Under certain conditions, including change of control, the cash payments will accelerate. In addition, after the termination, Ligand will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November of 2017. See Business Strategy Ligand Marketed Products AVINZA Co-Promotion Agreement with Organon.

**Business Strategy**

Our goal is to become a profitable pharmaceutical research, development and marketing company that generates significant cash flow. Building primarily on our proprietary IR technology, our strategy is to generate cash flow primarily from the sale of specialty pharmaceutical products we develop, acquire or in-license, and from research, milestone and royalty revenues from the development and sale of products our collaborative partners develop and market.

***Building a Specialty Pharmaceutical Franchise.***

Our strategy with respect to specialty pharmaceutical products is to develop a product pipeline based on our IR technologies and acquired and in-licensed products, and to market these products initially with a specialized sales force in the U.S. and through marketing partners in selected international markets. Our execution of this strategy to date has been implemented in the U.S., Europe and Latin America. We expect to address additional global markets when and where practicable. Ligand's current international distribution partners are Zeneus (principally in Western and Eastern Europe), Ferrer (in Spain, Portugal, Greece, Central and South America) and Sigma Tau (in Italy). In



**Table of Contents**

October 2005, the Italian distribution rights were transferred from Alfa Wasserman to Sigma Tau. In December 2005, Cephalon Inc., announced that it had acquired the outstanding share capital of Zeneus, which will operate as a wholly owned subsidiary of Cephalon.

Focusing initially on niche pharmaceutical and dermatology indications with the possibility of expedited regulatory approval has allowed us to bring products to market quickly. This strategy also has allowed us to spread the cost of our sales and marketing infrastructure across multiple products. Our goal is to expand the markets for our products through approvals in additional indications and in international markets. To further leverage our sales forces, we intend to acquire selectively or license-in complementary technology and/or products currently being marketed or in advanced stages of development.

***Building a Collaborative-Based Business in Large Product Markets.***

Our strategy in our collaborative research and development business is to share the risks and benefits of discovering and developing drugs to treat diseases that are beyond our strategic focus or resources. These diseases typically affect large populations often treated by primary care physicians. Drugs to treat these diseases may be more costly to develop and/or market effectively with a small specialty sales force. On the other hand, drugs approved for these indications may have large market potential often in excess of \$1 billion annually in global sales.

We have entered into a number of collaborative arrangements with global pharmaceutical companies focusing on a broad range of disease targets. The table below lists those of our corporate partners which have one or more compounds identified in our collaborative research efforts moving through clinical development .

<b>Corporate Collaborator</b>	<b>Initiation of Collaboration</b>	<b>Focus</b>
Pfizer Inc.	May 1991	Osteoporosis, vaginal atrophy, breast cancer prevention
GlaxoSmithKline (Glaxo Wellcome plc)	September 1992	Cardiovascular diseases
Wyeth	September 1994	Women s health, oncology
GlaxoSmithKline (SmithKline Beecham)	February 1995	Blood disorders
Eli Lilly & Company	November 1997	Type II diabetes, metabolic and cardiovascular diseases
TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc.	June 2001	Men s and women s health, osteoporosis

In addition to the collaborations listed above, we have also entered into collaborations with Allergan, Inc. (in June 1992 focused on skin disorders), Abbott Laboratories (in July 1994 focused on inflammatory diseases) and Organon (in February 2000 in women s health). Allergan, Abbott and Organon retain the right to move compounds identified during our collaborative research activities forward into clinical development, although we believe none of them is currently doing so. Two other collaborative partners, Parke-Davis and Bristol-Myers Squibb, no longer have rights to move compounds forward into clinical development.

Our collaborative programs focus on discovering drugs for cardiovascular, inflammatory, metabolic and other diseases, as well as broad applications for women s and men s health. We believe that our collaborators have the resources, including clinical and regulatory experience, manufacturing capabilities and marketing infrastructure, needed to develop and commercialize drugs for these large markets. The arrangements generally provide for collaborative discovery programs funded largely by the corporate partners aimed at discovering new therapies for diseases treated by primary care physicians. In general, drugs resulting from these collaborations will be developed, manufactured and marketed by the corporate partners. Our collaborative agreements provide for us to receive: research revenue during the drug discovery stage; milestone revenue for compounds successfully moving through clinical development and regulatory submission and approval; and royalty revenue from the sale of approved drugs developed through collaborative efforts. In some instances, we have sold a portion of our rights to future royalties to Royalty Pharma AG. See - Royalty Pharma Agreement.

**Table of Contents****Ligand Marketed Products**

*U.S. Specialty Pharmaceutical Franchise.* We currently market five pharmaceutical products in the U.S.

Marketed Product	Approved Indication	European Status	Additional Indications Studied or in Development
AVINZA	Chronic, moderate-to-severe pain	N/A	None
ONTAK	CTCL	MAA withdrawn (ONZAR)	CLL, B-cell NHL, other T-cell lymphomas, NSCLC
Targretin capsules	CTCL	MA issued	NSCLC, renal cell cancer, breast, prostate/colon cancer and other solid tumors
Targretin gel	CTCL	MAA withdrawn	Hand dermatitis, psoriasis
Panretin gel	KS	MA issued	None

**AVINZA.** AVINZA was approved by the FDA in March 2002 for the once-daily treatment of moderate-to-severe pain in patients who require continuous, around-the-clock opioid therapy for an extended period of time. We launched the product in the second quarter of 2002. AVINZA consists of two components: an immediate-release component that rapidly achieves morphine concentrations in plasma, and an extended-release component that maintains plasma concentrations throughout a 24-hour dosing interval. This unique drug delivery technology makes AVINZA the first true once-daily sustained release opioid. AVINZA was developed by Elan, which licensed the U.S. and Canadian rights to us in 1998. The U.S. sustained-release opioid market grew to approximately \$4.1 billion in 2004, the largest initial market we have entered. Because tens of thousands of U.S. physicians prescribe sustained-release opioids, our goal was to co-promote the product with another company to maximize its potential. Early in 2003, we finalized a co-promotion agreement with Organon, which was terminated effective January 1, 2006. However, the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 to promote the product. The details of the termination agreement are discussed below under the caption AVINZA Co-Promotion Agreement with Organon .

**CTCL Market.** CTCL is a type of NHL that appears initially in the skin, but over time may involve other organs. CTCL is a cancer of T-lymphocytes, white blood cells that play a central role in the body's immune system. The disease can be extremely disfiguring and debilitating. Median survival for late-stage patients is less than three years. The prognosis for CTCL is based in part on the stage of the disease when diagnosed. CTCL is most commonly a slowly progressing cancer, and many patients live with the complications of CTCL for 10 or more years after diagnosis. However, some patients have a much more aggressive form of this disease. CTCL affects an estimated 16,000 people in the U.S. and 12,000 to 14,000 in Europe. With ONTAK, Targretin capsules, and Targretin gel currently approved in the U.S. for the treatment of CTCL, our strategy is to have multiple products available for treating this disease.

**ONTAK.** ONTAK was approved by the FDA and launched in the U.S. in February 1999 as our first product for the treatment of patients with CTCL. ONTAK was the first treatment to be approved for CTCL in nearly 10 years. ONTAK has been studied or is currently in Phase II clinical trials for the treatment of patients with CLL, B-cell NHL, other T-cell lymphomas, NSCLC, and GVHD. Results from several of these studies were reported in 2002, 2003 and 2004. Ligand's top priorities for additional ONTAK development are B-cell NHL and T-cell NHL. We began a Phase II CLL study in 2003 which is still continuing as are Phase II studies in NHL. Clinical trials using ONTAK for the treatment of patients with psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis also have been conducted, and further trials are being considered. These indications provide significantly larger market opportunities than CTCL. A European Marketing Authorization Application (or MAA) for CTCL was filed in December 2001, which we withdrew in April 2003. It



was our assessment that the cost of the additional clinical and technical information requested by the European Agency for the EMEA would be better spent on the acceleration of the second generation

**Table of Contents**

ONTAK formulation development. We expect to resubmit the ONZAR (the tradename for ONTAK in the EU) application with the second generation product in 2007.

**Targretin capsules.** We launched U.S. sales and marketing of Targretin capsules in January 2000 following receipt of FDA approval in December 1999. Targretin capsules offer the convenience of a daily oral dose administered by the patient at home. In March 2001, the EC granted marketing authorization for Targretin capsules in Europe for the treatment of patients with CTCL, and our network of distributors began marketing the drug in the fourth quarter of 2001 in Europe. We are developing Targretin capsules in a variety of larger market opportunities, including NSCLC and other solid tumors. In March 2005, we announced that the final data analysis for Targretin capsules in NSCLC showed that the trials did not meet their primary endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two-year survival. We are continuing to analyze the data and apply it to the continued development of Targretin in NSCLC. The details of the final data analysis for Targretin capsules in NSCLC are discussed below under Ligand Product Development Programs Targretin Capsules Development Program .

**Targretin gel.** We launched U.S. sales and marketing of Targretin gel in September 2000 following receipt of FDA approval in June 2000. Targretin gel offers patients with refractory, early stage CTCL a novel, non-invasive, self-administered treatment topically applied only to the affected areas of the skin. Targretin gel is currently in clinical development for hand dermatitis. In 2002 and early 2003, we reported positive Phase I/II data that showed nearly 40% of patients with chronic, severe hand dermatitis improved by 90% or more after being treated with Targretin gel monotherapy and nearly 80% responded with greater than 50% improvement. Based on these promising results, we intend to design and implement Phase II/III registration trials in hand dermatitis. We filed an MAA in Europe for CTCL in March of 2001, but withdrew it in 2002. Due to the small size of the European early stage CTCL market and the limited revenue potential of Targretin gel, we believed that the additional comparative clinical studies requested by the EMEA were not economically justified.

**Panretin gel.** Panretin gel was approved by the FDA and launched in February 1999 as the first FDA-approved patient-applied topical treatment for AIDS-related KS. Panretin gel represents a non-invasive option to the traditional management of this disease. Most approved therapies require the time and expense of periodic visits to a healthcare facility, where treatment is administered by a doctor or nurse. Panretin gel was approved in Europe for the treatment of patients with KS in October 2000, and was launched through our distributor network in the fourth quarter of 2001 in Europe.

**AVINZA Co-Promotion Agreement with Organon.** In February 2003, we entered into an agreement for the co-promotion of AVINZA with Organon Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. (Organon). Under the terms of the agreement, Organon committed to specified minimum numbers of primary and secondary product calls delivered to high prescribing physicians and hospitals beginning in March 2003 as well as additional sales calls as approved by the companies joint steering committee in annual marketing plans.

On January 17, 2006, we signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. The effective date of the termination agreement is January 1, 2006, however the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 (the Transition Period ) to promote the product. The Transition Period co-operation includes a minimum number of product sales calls per quarter (100,000 for Organon and 30,000 for Ligand with an aggregate of 375,000 and 90,000 respectively for the Transition Period) as well as the transition of ongoing promotions, managed care contracts, clinical trials and key opinion leader relationships to Ligand. During the Transition Period, Ligand will pay Organon an amount equal to 23% of AVINZA net sales as reported by Ligand. Ligand will also pay and be responsible for the design and execution of all clinical, advertising and promotion expenses and activities.

As previously disclosed, Organon and Ligand were in discussions regarding the calculation of prior co-promote fees under the co-promotion agreement. In connection with the termination of the co-promotion agreement, the companies resolved their disagreement concerning prior co-promote fees and Ligand paid Organon \$14.75 million in January 2006. Resolution of this matter resulted in no material adjustment to amounts previously recorded in 2005 for co-promotion expense. The companies also agreed that Organon s compensation for the fourth quarter of 2005 would be calculated based on Ligand s reported AVINZA net sales determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.



**Table of Contents**

Additionally, in consideration of the early termination and return of rights under the terms of the agreement, Ligand will unconditionally pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006. Ligand will further pay Organon \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of sales calls. Under certain conditions, including change of control, the cash payments will accelerate. In addition, after the termination, Ligand will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November of 2017. The termination and return of rights transaction with Organon requires the analysis of several complex accounting alternatives. Accordingly, we are still in the process of determining the appropriate accounting treatment for the transaction in 2006 and going forward. Certain of these alternatives, however, could result in the recognition of significant additional expense in our consolidated statement of operations for the first quarter of 2006. We expect to complete our determination of the appropriate accounting by the time we file our first quarter 2006 Form 10-Q.

**Ligand Product Development Programs**

We are developing several proprietary products for which we have worldwide rights for a variety of cancers and skin diseases, as summarized in the table below. This table is not intended to be a comprehensive list of our internal research and development programs. Many of the indications being pursued may present larger market opportunities for our currently marketed products. Our clinical development programs are primarily based on products discovered through our IR technology, with the exception of ONTAK, which was developed using Seragen's fusion protein technology, and AVINZA, which was developed by Elan. Five of the products in our proprietary product development programs are retinoids, discovered and developed using our proprietary IR technology. Our research is based on our IR technology. See Technology for a discussion of our IR technology and retinoids.

**General Product Development Process.** There are three phases in product development—the research phase, the preclinical phase and the clinical trials phase. See Government Regulation for a more complete description of the regulatory process involved in developing drugs. At Ligand, activities during the research phase include research related to specific IR targets and the identification of lead compounds. Lead compounds are chemicals that have been identified to meet pre-selected criteria in cell culture models for activity and potency against IR targets. More extensive evaluation is then undertaken to determine if the compound should enter preclinical development. Once a lead compound is selected, chemical modification of the compound is undertaken to create an optimal drug candidate.

The preclinical phase includes pharmacology and toxicology testing in preclinical models (*in vitro* and *in vivo*), formulation work and manufacturing scale-up to gather necessary data to comply with applicable regulations prior to commencing human clinical trials. Development candidates are lead compounds that have successfully undergone *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation to demonstrate that they have an acceptable profile that justifies taking them through preclinical development with the intention of filing an IND and initiating human clinical testing.

Clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap. In Phase I, the initial introduction of the pharmaceutical into humans, the emphasis is on testing for adverse effects, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution, excretion and clinical pharmacology. Phase II involves studies in a representative patient population to determine the efficacy of the pharmaceutical for specific targeted indications, to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage, and to identify related adverse side effects and safety risks. Once a compound is found to be effective and to have an acceptable safety profile in Phase II studies, Phase III trials are undertaken to evaluate clinical efficacy further and to test further for safety. Sometimes Phase I and II trials or Phase II and III trials are combined. In the U.S., the FDA reviews both clinical plans and results of trials, and may discontinue trials at any time if there are significant safety concerns. Once a product has been approved, Phase IV post-market clinical studies may be performed to support the marketing of the product.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Disease/Indication</b>	<b>Development Phase</b>
AVINZA	Chronic, moderate-to-severe pain	Marketed in U.S. Phase IV
ONTAK	CTCL CLL Peripheral T-cell lymphoma B-cell NHL NSCLC third line	Marketed in U.S., Phase IV Phase II Phase II Phase II Phase II
Targretin capsules	CTCL NSCLC first-line NSCLC monotherapy NSCLC second/third line Advanced breast cancer Renal cell cancer	Marketed in U.S. and Europe Phase III Planned Phase II/III Planned Phase II/III Phase II Phase II
Targretin gel	CTCL Hand dermatitis (eczema) Psoriasis	Marketed in U.S. Planned Phase II/III Phase II
LGD4665 (Thrombopoietin oral mimic)	Idiopathic Thrombocytopenias, other TCPs	IND Track
LGD5552 (Glucocorticoid agonists)	Inflammation, cancer	IND Track
Selective androgen receptor modulators, e.g., LGD3303 (agonist/antagonist)	Male hypogonadism, female & male osteoporosis, male & female sexual dysfunction, frailty. Prostate cancer, hirsutism, acne, androgenetic alopecia.	Pre-clinical

**AVINZA Development Programs**

AVINZA (oral morphine sulfate extended-release capsules) is the first true once-a-day treatment for chronic moderate-to-severe pain in patients who require continuous, around-the-clock opioid therapy for an extended period of time. Approved by the FDA in March 2002, AVINZA consists of two components: an immediate-release component that rapidly achieves morphine concentrations in plasma, and an extended-release component that maintains plasma concentrations throughout a 24-hour dosing interval.

In a poster presented at the American Pain Society (APS) annual meeting in March of 2005, AVINZA showed better control of chronic pain and improved sleep in a large study comparing once-daily AVINZA (once-a-day morphine sulfate extended-release capsules) to twice-daily OxyContin<sup>®</sup> (oxycodone hydrochloride controlled-release). The results of the first phase of the study, with 265 evaluable patients (132 in the AVINZA arm and 133 in the oxycodone CR arm) followed through two months, showed that at lower, mean morphine-equivalent doses, patients receiving AVINZA once daily demonstrated statistically significant better around the clock pain control (evaluated using the Brief Pain Inventory assessment instrument), statistically significant better quality of sleep (evaluated using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index assessment instrument), and a statistically significant reduction in the total number of rescue medications. The final study results for all patients enrolled are expected to be published in 2006. The results from an additional four-month treatment phase to collect long-term comparator data are to be reported at the APS

meeting in 2006.

A second poster at the March 2005 APS meeting presented the initial results of a study evaluating AVINZA's effects on various sleep measures for patients with chronic, moderate-to-severe osteoarthritis pain of the hip or knee who self-report trouble sleeping. This was a 29-patient, placebo/baseline-controlled, single blind study using both polysomnography and subjective sleep measurements to assess and better quantify sleep parameters. Preliminary results demonstrated AVINZA's ability to provide improved quality and quantity of sleep as well as improved pain control. Final results are expected to be reported in 2006.

**Table of Contents**

A third study of AVINZA, involving 507 patients, extends the findings of previously published controlled studies. The primary objective of this study was to measure the efficacy of once-daily AVINZA when used according to the package insert in patients with chronic non-cancer pain. Patients were recruited by office-based physicians. They were interviewed 4 times over a period of 3 months using questionnaires assessing pain, sleep, functional status, and rescue medication use. Measures were collected at baseline and at Month 1, 2, and 3. Interviews were conducted by phone or via the internet. The interim analysis, presented in a poster session at the College on Problems of Drug Dependence in June of 2005, showed that for patients who continue to take AVINZA for 3 months, 88% report their pain to be better compared to baseline and 88% rate AVINZA as effective or extremely effective. Full results are expected to be reported in 2006.

***ONTAK Development Programs***

ONTAK is a fusion protein that represents the first of a new class of targeted cytotoxic biologic agents. Rights to ONTAK were acquired from Eli Lilly in 1997 and in the acquisition of Seragen in 1998. ONTAK is marketed in the U.S. for patients with CTCL, which affects approximately 16,000 people in the U.S. In addition to ongoing CTCL trials, we have conducted, or are conducting clinical trials with ONTAK in patients with CLL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma, B-cell NHL, NSCLC, and GVHD, indications that represent significantly larger market opportunities than CTCL.

In early 1999, ONTAK entered Phase II trials for the treatment of patients with NHL. NHL affects approximately 300,000 people in the U.S. and Ligand estimates that more than 50,000 of these patients would be candidates for ONTAK therapy. One multicenter study conducted by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) assessed ONTAK in patients with certain types of low-grade B-cell NHL who have previously been treated with at least one systemic anti-cancer treatment. The study results were presented at ASCO 2005 and showed the efficacy of ONTAK in patients with small cell lymphocytic lymphoma. A second multicenter trial evaluated ONTAK in 54 patients with relapsed/refractory low or intermediate grade lymphoma. The results of this study are being analyzed and are expected to be presented in 2006.

Separately, a Phase II study of ONTAK in 45 patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell NHL was conducted by researchers from the MD Anderson Cancer Center and published in 2004 in the Journal of Clinical Oncology. The study enrolled late-stage, heavily pretreated patients (median of 4 prior treatments) and showed that 25% of the patients achieved a complete or partial response and an additional 20% achieved stabilization of disease. Furthermore, this study showed that ONTAK could be administered in patients with low blood platelet counts, a patient population that cannot tolerate treatment with chemotherapy or radio-immunotherapy. On the basis of these favorable findings, two Phase II studies of ONTAK in relapsed/refractory B-cell lymphoma were launched in 2004. One multicenter trial conducted by Ligand is evaluating ONTAK on a weekly regimen in patients with poor blood platelet counts at entry and another study conducted by investigators at MD Anderson Cancer Center is evaluating ONTAK plus Rituxan<sup>®</sup> (a monoclonal antibody marketed for the treatment of relapsed low grade lymphoma) in patients who have failed prior treatment with Rituxan. The interim results of the latter study were presented at ASH in 2005. Of the 39 patients enrolled, 36 are evaluable for response. The overall response rate was 33.3% and another 19% had stable disease. All of the responders but four had disease refractory to previous rituximab treatment. The objective response rate in the subset of patients with relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma was 64%. Based on these favorable results, Ligand plans to launch two new studies of ONTAK plus rituximab in this patient population.

Investigators at MD Anderson Cancer Center also conducted a Phase II study on ONTAK in relapsed/refractory T-cell NHL. The final results of this study, which enrolled a total of 26 patients, were presented at ASH in 2005, which showed an overall 50% response rate. Of the 13 patients whose tumors positively expressed the CD25 component of the IL-2 receptor, 61.5% showed a complete or positive response. The other 13 patients, which were not positive for CD25, showed a response rate of 45%. We are also conducting a multicenter Phase II study of ONTAK plus a chemotherapy regimen designated as CHOP as first line treatment of patients with T-cell NHL. Although the CHOP chemotherapy regimen is considered as the standard of care for patients with T-cell NHL, about 50% of patients fail to achieve a complete response and, of those who respond, over 50% relapse within 2 years. The trial is designed to demonstrate whether the addition of ONTAK to CHOP will increase the response rate and the duration of response. Interim results of the trial are expected in 2006. Additional studies are being planned to





**Table of Contents**

better define the role of ONTAK in combination with chemotherapy in the treatment of newly diagnosed and relapsed T-cell NHL.

ONTAK is also being evaluated to treat CLL, which affects more than 60,000 people in the U.S. Researchers from Wake Forest University conducted a multicenter Phase II study of ONTAK in patients with CD25-positive CLL who have failed prior treatment with fludarabine. The results of this pilot study were published in the journal *Clinical Cancer Research* in 2003 and showed that ONTAK reduced CLL in blood cells, lymph nodes and bone marrow. In the study, nine of 10 patients who received at least three courses of ONTAK experienced reductions in peripheral CLL cells, with three of these patients showing reductions of at least 99%. In addition, six of 10 patients showed reductions in the diameter of their cancerous lymph nodes, with one patient showing an 80% reduction. One of 12 evaluable patients showed a partial remission, with 80% node shrinkage and 100% clearance of CLL cells from bone marrow. Based on these encouraging results, three multicenter Phase II studies were launched in 2003 to further evaluate the role of ONTAK in patients with relapsed/refractory CLL. Preliminary results from one study of 16 patients conducted by investigators from Wake Forest University were reported at ASH in 2004, and showed there was a 27% response rate among the 11 evaluable patients with fludarabine-refractory B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. The investigators concluded that ONTAK has activity in CLL with toxicities that can be managed with adequate premedication and close monitoring. The final results of this study and another study conducted by the Hoosier Oncology Group are expected to be published in 2006. The Company conducted a third trial which is nearing completion.

Clinical trials with ONTAK have demonstrated benefits in patients with steroid-resistant acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. One Phase I-II study conducted by investigators from the Dana Farber Cancer Center in Boston enrolled 30 patients and the results were published in the journal *Blood* in 2004. The study established a dose of ONTAK that is safe in this patient population and showed that ONTAK resulted in a 71% response rate. Another multicenter Phase I-II study conducted by investigators from the Texas Transplant Institute enrolled 21 patients and the results were published in the journal *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation* in 2005. The study confirmed that ONTAK can be safely administered in this patient population and that ONTAK achieved a 47% response rate at Day 36 of treatment with an additional 31% of patients achieving a response by Day 100. On the basis of these promising results, a randomized 4-arm study is being conducted by the Bone Marrow Transplant Network with NCI funding to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ONTAK and three other investigational agents in the primary treatment of acute GVHD.

A multicenter Phase II study exploring the use of ONTAK as a monotherapy for patients with relapsed/refractory advanced NSCLC was conducted by investigators from the University of Cincinnati and completed in late 2004. The preliminary study results reported at the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) meeting in May of 2005 showed that ONTAK resulted in an unconfirmed partial response or disease stabilization in 40% of patients and noted an association between disease stabilization and an increase in a subset of T-lymphocytes in the circulation, suggesting that ONTAK's effect could be ascribed to an activation of the immune system. These findings were consistent with the results of a study conducted by investigators from Duke University and presented at an oral session at ASCO in 2004 which showed that ONTAK significantly activated the immune system in patients with solid tumors receiving ONTAK in combination with an investigational anti-tumor vaccine.

***Targretin Capsules Development Programs***

Targretin capsules are marketed in the U.S. for patients with refractory CTCL. Ligand also is investigating the use of Targretin capsules in several cancer and skin disease markets that represent significantly larger market opportunities than CTCL.

In August 2000, we reported that Phase I/II clinical results demonstrated that Targretin capsules, in conjunction with chemotherapy, may be an effective treatment for patients with NSCLC and renal cell cancer. These results were published in the May 2001 issue of the *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. These results add to a growing body of evidence that suggests Targretin therapy may delay disease progression and extend survival of patients with some forms of solid tumors. This body of evidence led us to begin two large-scale Phase III clinical studies in 2001 to demonstrate conclusively Targretin capsules benefit in the treatment of patients with NSCLC. The studies were designed to support a supplemental indication for Targretin capsules for first-line treatment of patients with advanced NSCLC. One of

these multicenter studies evaluated Targretin in combination with the chemotherapy

**Table of Contents**

drugs cisplatin and vinorelbine, and was conducted primarily in Europe and Latin America. The other multicenter study examined Targretin in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel, and was conducted mainly in the U.S. Both studies were randomized with approximately 600 patients each, and had survival as the primary endpoint. Patient enrollments were completed in August and September 2003, respectively. The final statistical plan, as agreed with the FDA, specified the analysis trigger to be at the 456<sup>th</sup> death event or 18 months of follow-up from the date the last patient was entered into each study, whichever occurs later. Based on enrollment dates, that 18-month time point was reached in mid-March, 2005. This modification resulted in the majority of patients having between 1.5 and 2.5 years of follow-up observation based upon actual accrual rates.

We publicly released top-line data within approximately two weeks after the commencement of final data analysis showing that the trials did not meet their endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two-year survival. For both studies, the primary endpoint was overall survival and the secondary endpoint was Kaplan-Meier projected two-year survival. No statistically significant differences in primary or secondary endpoints in the intent to treat population were seen in either trial. In both trials, additional subset analysis completed after the initial intent to treat results were analyzed revealed a significant correlation between high-grade (grade 3 and 4) hypertriglyceridemia in response to Targretin and increased survival, potentially identifying a large subgroup patient population that may receive significant survival benefit of added Targretin treatment in first line therapy. Pooled survival analysis from both SPIRIT trials showed that those patients on Targretin with high hypertriglyceridemia, 40% of Targretin-treated patients, had an overall survival of 12.3 months versus 9.5 months in the chemo arm, which was statistically significant ( $p < 0.025$ ). Data from both trials was presented during the plenary session at the 2005 annual ASCO meeting. Review of data from current and prior Phase II studies shows a similar correlation between hypertriglyceridemia and increased survival. The data will further shape our future plans for Targretin. Any further studies will be conducted with a partner or cooperative group.

In 2003 we also began a Phase II study of Targretin as monotherapy for late-stage lung cancer patients who have failed at least two prior treatments with chemotherapy and/or biologic therapy. A poster presentation at the 2005 annual meeting of ASCO reported on the interim analysis of data covering all 146 patients enrolled at that point. Patients in the study were heavily pre-treated, having received a median of three prior treatments, and 54% of these patients had already failed treatment with Iressa. Overall median survival was five months and overall one-year survival was 15 percent. The data was also analyzed to evaluate the survival of patients based on the triglyceride response to Targretin treatment, in view of the SPIRIT results reported earlier at this meeting that showed an improved survival in patients who showed high grade triglyceridemia after Targretin administration. With this subanalysis, two populations of patients were identified. Those with increased triglyceridemia (grade 1 - 4) had a median survival of 7 months ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and a projected 1 year survival of 23%, compared with those with no hypertriglyceridemia who had a median survival of 2 months and a projected 1 year survival of 5%. The data from this study provides new information for Targretin monotherapy for patients with third-line treatment or beyond and also adds additional support to the subgroup analysis that resulted from our SPIRIT trials about a triglyceride biomarker that may identify patients with the potential to benefit from Targretin therapy. This information will factor into our evolving plans for further studies.

The American Cancer Society estimates that approximately 170,000 Americans are diagnosed with lung cancer each year; of those approximately 80% were diagnosed with NSCLC.

***Targretin Gel Development Program***

Targretin gel is marketed in the U.S. for patients with refractory CTCL. In 2002 and early 2003, we reported Phase I/II data that showed 39% of patients with chronic, severe hand dermatitis improved by 90% or more after being treated with Targretin gel monotherapy. In addition, 79% of patients improved by at least 50%. Fifty-five patients with a history of chronic severe hand dermatitis for at least six months were enrolled in the 22-week, randomized, open-label study, which was designed to evaluate safety, tolerability and activity. Patients were treated with Targretin alone, Targretin in combination with a medium potency topical steroid, and Targretin in combination with a low potency topical steroid. Based on these promising results, we intend to design and initiate Phase II/III registration trials in hand dermatitis in 2007. There are many subtypes of hand dermatitis, and many causes. Most hand dermatitis is caused by contact with irritating environmental substances, such as chemicals, soaps and cleaning fluids, and some

cases are caused by allergic reactions to a wide variety of environmental substances. We estimate that more than 4 million people in the United States have hand dermatitis and seek treatment.

**Table of Contents**

We filed an MAA for Targretin gel in Europe for CTCL in March of 2001, but withdrew it in 2002. Due to the small size of the European early stage CTCL market and the limited revenue potential of Targretin gel, we believed that the additional comparative clinical studies requested by the EMEA were not economically justified.

***Thrombopoietin (TPO) Research Programs***

In our TPO program, we seek to develop our own drug candidates that mimic the activity of thrombopoietin (TPO) for use in the treatment or prophylaxis of thrombocytopenia with indications in a variety of conditions including cancer and disorders of blood cell formation. In 2005, we selected a TPO mimetic, LGD4665, as a clinical candidate. Our goal is to complete the preclinical studies necessary for filing an IND for this in 2006. Our partner GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) has two TPO mimics that were part of our collaboration with GSK in clinical trials: Eltrombopag in Phase III and SB-559448 in Phase I. For a discussion of these clinical trials, see Collaborative Research and Development Programs TPO/Inflammatory Disease/Oncology Collaborative Program GlaxoSmithKline Collaboration.

***Selective Glucocorticoid Receptor Modulators Research and Development Program***

As part of the research and development collaboration we entered into with Abbott in 1994, Ligand received exclusive worldwide rights for all anti-cancer products discovered in the collaboration. When the research phase of the collaboration ended in July 1999, Abbott retained rights to certain Selective Glucocorticoid Receptor Modulators (or SGRMs). We retained rights to all other compounds discovered through the collaboration, as well as recapturing technology rights. We subsequently initiated an internal effort to develop SGRMs for inflammation, oncology and other therapeutic applications. As a result of that effort, in 2001, we moved several SGRMs into late preclinical development. During 2003, LGD5552 was designated a clinical candidate. Phase I studies are being planned for LGD5552 to evaluate pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) of the oral formulation under an exploratory IND. These non-steroidal SGRM molecules have anti-inflammatory activity that may be useful against diseases such as asthma and rheumatoid arthritis, as well as anti-proliferative effects that could be beneficial in treating certain leukemias and myelomas. Our goal is to develop novel products that maintain the efficacy of corticosteroids but lack the side effects of current therapies, which can include osteoporosis, hyperglycemia and hypertension.

Another group of SGRMs from this program, selected from a different chemical class, are being targeted for the treatment of multiple myeloma and other blood cancers. The profile of these molecules is to have activity equal to dexamethasone but a significant reduction in side effects, particularly in bone and other parameters affecting quality of life.

***SARM Programs***

We are pioneering the development of tissue selective SARMS, a novel class of non-steroidal, orally active molecules that selectively modulate the activity of the Androgen Receptor (or AR) in different tissues, providing a wide range of opportunities for the treatment of many diseases and disorders in both men and women. Tissue-selective AR agonists or antagonists may provide utility in male hormone therapy (or HT) and the treatment of patients with male hypogonadism, female & male osteoporosis, male & female sexual dysfunction, frailty, prostate cancer, hirsutism, acne, androgenetic alopecia, and other diseases. The use of androgen antagonists has shown efficacy in the treatment of prostate cancer, with three androgen antagonists currently approved by the FDA for use in the treatment of the disease. However, we believe that there is a substantial medical need for improved androgen modulators for use in the treatment of prostate cancer due to the significant side effects seen with currently available drugs.

SARM programs have been one of our largest programs over the past several years. We have assembled an extensive SARM compound library and, we believe, one of the largest and most experienced AR drug discovery teams in the pharmaceutical industry. We intend to pursue the specialty applications emerging from SARMS internally, but may seek collaborations with major pharmaceutical companies to exploit broader clinical applications.

**Table of Contents**

Consistent with this strategy, we formed in June 2001 a joint research and development alliance with TAP Pharmaceutical Products to focus on the discovery and development of SARMs. In December 2004, we announced the second extension of this collaboration for an additional year through June 2006. Please see the Collaborative Research and Development Programs/Sex Hormone Modulators Collaborative Programs/TAP Collaboration section below for more details on this alliance.

As part of the TAP alliance, we exercised an option to select for development one compound and a back-up, LG 123303 and LG 123129, out of a pool of compounds available for development in the TAP field. The SARM agonist which we now refer to as LGD3303 was designated a clinical candidate in late 2004. Preclinical studies indicate that the compound may have utility for osteoporosis, male and female sexual dysfunction, frailty and male hypogonadism. *In vivo* studies in rodents indicate a favorable profile with anabolic effects on bone, but an absence of the prostatic hypertrophy that occurs with the currently marketed androgens.

**Collaborative Research and Development Programs**

We are pursuing several major collaborative drug discovery programs to further develop the research and development of compounds based on our IR technologies. These collaborations focus on several large market indications as estimated (as of 2004, except contraception, which is as of 2002) in the table below.

<b>Indication</b>	<b>Estimated U.S. Prevalence</b>
Menopausal symptoms	50 million
Osteoporosis/osteopenia (men and women)	55 million
Dyslipidemias	109 million
Contraception	38 million
Type II diabetes	18 million
Breast cancer	.8 million

As of December 31, 2005, 13 of our collaborative product candidates were in human development - lasofoxifene, bazedoxifene, bazedoxifene CE (PREMARIN combo), pibendoxifene, NSP989, NSP989 combo, LGD2941, GW516, LY818, LY929, LY674, SB497115, and SB559448. Please see Note 16 of the consolidated financial statements for a description of the financial terms of our key ongoing collaboration agreements. The table below summarizes our collaborative research and development programs, but is not intended to be a comprehensive summary of these programs.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Disease/Indication</b>	<b>Development Phase</b>	<b>Marketing Rights</b>
<b>SEX HORMONE MODULATORS</b>			
SERMs			
Lasodoxifene (1)	Osteoporosis prevention, vaginal atrophy	NDA and SNDA filed (1)	Pfizer
Lasodoxifene	Breast cancer prevention, Osteoporosis treatment	Phase III	Pfizer
Bazedoxifene	Osteoporosis	Phase III	Wyeth
Bazedoxifene CE	Osteoporosis prevention	Phase III	Wyeth
	Vasomotor symptoms		
Pipendoxifene (formerly ERA-923)(2)	Breast cancer	Phase II	Wyeth
PR modulators			
NSP-989 (PR agonist) (3)	Contraception	Phase II	Wyeth
NSP-989 combo (PR agonist) (3)	Contraception	Phase I	Wyeth
SRMs			
LGD 2941 (androgen agonist)	Osteoporosis, frailty, HT and sexual dysfunction	Phase I	TAP
<b>METABOLIC/CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES</b>			
PPAR modulators			
GW516	Cardiovascular disease, dyslipidemia	Phase II	GlaxoSmithKline
LY818 (naveglitazar) (4)	Type II diabetes	Phase II	Lilly
LY929 (5)	Type II diabetes, metabolic diseases, dyslipidemia	Phase I	Lilly
LY674	Atherosclerosis/dyslipidemia	Phase II	Lilly
LYWWW (6)(7)	Atherosclerosis	IND track	Lilly
Selective PPAR modulators(7)	Type II diabetes, metabolic diseases, dyslipidemia	IND track	Lilly
LYYYY (6)(7)	Atherosclerosis	Pre-clinical	Lilly
<b>INFLAMMATORY DISEASES, ONCOLOGY</b>			
Eltrombopag (formerly SB-497115)	Thrombocytopenia	Phase III	GlaxoSmithKline

(TPO agonist)

SB-559448 (TPO agonist) Thrombocytopenia

Phase I

GlaxoSmithKline

- (1) In September 2005 and February 2006, respectively, Pfizer announced receipt of non-approvable letters from the FDA for the prevention of osteoporosis and vaginal atrophy.
- (2) Pipendoxifene development has been terminated for oncology; it is currently on hold as a potential back-up to bazedoxifene.
- (3) On internal hold; strategic alternatives for Phase III development being explored.
- (4) Lilly decision to advance to Phase III announced March 2004; timing of initiation delayed by new FDA guidelines.
- (5) Product placed on internal hold.
- (6) Compound number not disclosed.



- (7) Rights subject to  
IND filing. See  
Eli Lilly  
Collaboration  
below.

**Table of Contents****Sex Hormone Modulators Collaborative Programs**

The primary objective of our sex hormone modulators collaborative programs is to develop drugs for hormonally responsive cancers of men and women, hormone therapies, the treatment and prevention of diseases affecting women's health, and hormonal disorders prevalent in men. Our programs, both collaborative and internal, target development of tissue-selective modulators of the Progesterone Receptor (or PR), the Estrogen Receptor (or ER) and the AR. Through our collaborations with Pfizer and Wyeth, three SERM compounds are in development for osteoporosis, breast cancer, vaginal atrophy and vasomotor symptoms of menopause. In addition, we entered into a joint research and development program in 2001 with TAP Pharmaceutical Products to focus on the discovery and development of SARMs.

**Pfizer Collaboration.** In May 1991, we entered into a research and development collaboration with Pfizer to develop better therapies for osteoporosis. In November 1993, we jointly announced the successful completion of the research phase of our alliance with the identification of a development candidate and backups for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. In preclinical studies, the candidates from the program mimic the beneficial effects of estrogen on bone and have an impact on blood serum lipids often associated with cardiac benefits without increasing uterine or breast tissue proliferation.

We have milestone and royalty rights to lasofoxifene, which is being developed by Pfizer for osteoporosis prevention and other diseases. Portions of these royalty rights have been sold to Royalty Pharma AG. See Royalty Pharma Agreement.

Lasofoxifene is a second-generation estrogen partial agonist discovered through our collaboration with Pfizer. Pfizer has retained marketing rights to the drug. Lasofoxifene has been shown in Phase II clinical studies to reduce bone loss and decrease low-density lipoprotein (LDL or bad cholesterol) levels. In September 2000, Pfizer announced that it initiated Phase III studies of lasofoxifene for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis in post-menopausal women. In December 2001, Pfizer announced that two Phase III studies were fully enrolled with more than 1,800 patients, and that an additional Phase III risk reduction trial was underway to evaluate lasofoxifene's effects on bone mineral density, lipid-lowering and breast cancer prevention. In January 2003, Pfizer disclosed that this large, 7,500-patient risk-reduction study was fully enrolled.

In August 2004, Pfizer submitted an NDA to the FDA for lasofoxifene for the prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. We earned a development milestone of approximately \$2.0 million from Pfizer in connection with the filing. Under the terms of the agreement between Ligand and Pfizer, payment of milestones can occur in either cash or shares of Ligand common stock held by Pfizer. Pfizer elected to pay the milestone in stock and subsequently tendered 181,818 shares to the Company. We retired the tendered shares in September 2004. In September 2005, Pfizer announced the receipt of a non-approvable letter from the FDA for the prevention of osteoporosis. However, lasofoxifene continues in Phase III clinical trials by Pfizer for the treatment of osteoporosis.

In December 2004, Pfizer filed a supplemental NDA for the use of lasofoxifene for the treatment of vaginal atrophy for which no additional milestone was due. In February 2006, Pfizer announced the receipt of a non-approval letter from the FDA for this indication.

**Wyeth Collaboration.** In September 1994, we entered into a research and development collaboration with Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories, the pharmaceutical division of American Home Products (AHP), to discover and develop drugs that interact with ERs or PRs for use in HT, anti-cancer therapy, gynecological diseases, and central nervous system disorders associated with menopause and fertility control. AHP has since changed its name to Wyeth. We granted Wyeth exclusive worldwide rights to all products discovered in the collaboration that are agonists or antagonists to the PR and ER for application in the fields of women's health and cancer therapy.

As part of this collaboration, we tested Wyeth's extensive chemical library for activity against a selected set of targets. In 1996, Wyeth exercised its option to include compounds we discovered that modulate PRs, and to expand the collaboration to encompass the treatment or prevention of osteoporosis through the ER. Wyeth also added four

**Table of Contents**

advanced chemical compound series from its internal ER-osteoporosis program to the collaboration. The research phase of the collaboration ended in August 1998.

In December 2005, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Agreement with Wyeth to better define, simplify and clarify the universe of research compounds resulting from the research and development efforts of the parties, combine and clarify categories of those compounds and related milestones and royalties and resolve a number of milestone payment issues.

Wyeth has ongoing clinical studies with two SERMs from the collaboration. Wyeth is developing bazedoxifene (TSE-424) and bazedoxifene CE for the treatment of post-menopausal osteoporosis. We have milestone and royalty rights for bazedoxifene (TSE-424) and bazedoxifene CE. Portions of these royalty rights have been sold to Royalty Pharma AG. See Royalty Pharma Agreement.

Phase III trials for bazedoxifene (TSE-424) and bazedoxifene CE were initiated in June 2001. In late 2002, Wyeth disclosed that it had completed enrollment in a Phase III osteoporosis prevention trial, and that it expected enrollment in a bazedoxifene fracture prevention trial to finish in 2003, and that bazedoxifene is on track for regulatory submission in 2005. In January 2005, Wyeth indicated that it is now targeting the bazedoxifene regulatory submission for the first half of 2006. Wyeth has reiterated its commitment to developing bazedoxifene CE as a progesterone-free treatment for menopausal symptoms in the wake of the well-publicized Women's Health Initiative (WHI) study of hormone therapies. Ligand believes it is important to recognize that bazedoxifene is a synthetic drug that was specifically designed to increase bone density and reduce cholesterol levels while at the same time protecting breast and uterine tissue. In other words, bazedoxifene may represent a potential solution to some of the side effects associated with progestin in the WHI study.

Wyeth also has conducted Phase II studies of pibendoxifene (formerly ERA 923) for the treatment of breast cancer. In 2003, Wyeth began Phase II studies of NSP-989, a progesterone agonist that may be useful in contraception. These studies were completed in 2004. Wyeth also continues to do preclinical work in the area of PR antagonists.

**Organon (Akzo Nobel) Collaboration.** In February 2000, we entered into a research and development collaboration with Organon to focus on small molecule compounds with potential effects for the treatment and prevention of gynecological diseases mediated through the PR. The objective of the collaboration was the discovery of new non-steroidal compounds that are tissue-selective in nature and that may have fewer side effects. Such compounds may provide utility in hormone therapy, oral contraception, reproductive diseases, and other hormone-related disorders. The initial research phase concluded in February 2002.

**TAP Collaboration.** In June 2001, we entered into a joint research and development alliance with TAP Pharmaceutical Products to focus on the discovery and development of SARMs. SARMs may contribute to the prevention and treatment of diseases including hypogonadism (low testosterone), sexual dysfunction, male and female osteoporosis, frailty, and male HT related diseases. The three-year collaboration carries an option to extend by up to two additional one-year terms. In December 2004, we announced the second extension of this collaboration for an additional year through June 2006.

Under the terms of the agreement, TAP received exclusive worldwide rights to manufacture and sell any products resulting from the collaboration in its field, which would include treatment and prevention of hypogonadism, male sexual dysfunction, female osteoporosis, male HT related diseases and other indications not retained by Ligand. We may also receive milestones and up to double-digit royalties as compounds are developed and commercialized. LGD 2941, an androgen agonist targeting osteoporosis and frailty, commenced Phase I development in April 2005. Ligand retains certain rights in the androgen receptor field, including the prevention or treatment of prostate cancer, benign prostatic hyperplasia, acne and hirsutism.

In addition, we had an option at the expiration of the original three-year term to develop one compound not being developed by TAP in its field, with TAP retaining an option to negotiate to co-develop and co-promote such compounds with Ligand. We recently exercised our option to select one compound and a back-up for development, LG 123303 and LG 123129, out of a pool of compounds available for development in the TAP field. TAP retains

**Table of Contents**

certain royalty rights and an option to negotiate to co-develop and co-promote such compounds with us up to the end of Phase II development.

**Metabolic and Cardiovascular Disease Collaborative Programs**

We are exploring the role of certain IRs, including the PPARs, in cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. PPARs, a subfamily of orphan IRs, have been implicated in processes that regulate plasma levels of very low density lipoproteins and triglycerides. See *Technology/Intracellular Receptor Technology* for a discussion of PPARs and orphan IRs. Data implicate PPARs in the mechanism of action of lipid-lowering drugs such as Lopid<sup>®</sup>. There are three subtypes of the PPAR subfamily with defined novel aspects of their action—alpha, beta and gamma. The subtype PPAR alpha appears to regulate the metabolism of certain lipids and is useful in treating hyperlipidemia. PPAR gamma plays a role in fat cell differentiation and cellular responses to insulin. Modulators of PPAR gamma activity (e.g., the glitazone class of insulin sensitizers) have utility in managing type II diabetes. PPARs are believed to function in cells in partnership with Retinoid X Receptors (or RXRs). In addition to compounds that act directly on PPARs and that may have utility in various cardiovascular and metabolic diseases, certain retinoids (e.g., Targretin capsules) are able to activate this RXR/PPAR complex and may also have utility in these disorders. We have two collaborative partners, GlaxoSmithKline and Lilly, in the areas of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases, with four compounds in clinical development.

***GlaxoSmithKline Collaboration.*** In September 1992, we entered into a research and development collaboration with Glaxo Wellcome plc (now GlaxoSmithKline) to discover and develop drugs for the prevention or treatment of atherosclerosis and other disorders affecting the cardiovascular system. The collaboration focuses on discovering drugs that produce beneficial alterations in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism in three project areas: (1) regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis and expression of a receptor that removes cholesterol from the blood stream, (2) the IRs influencing circulating HDL levels, and (3) PPARs, the subfamily of IRs activated by lipid lowering drugs such as Lopid and Atromid-S. The research phase was successfully completed in 1997 with the identification of a novel lead structure that activates selected PPAR subfamily members and the identification of a different lead compound that shows activity in preclinical models for lowering LDL cholesterol by up-regulating LDL receptor gene expression in liver cells. We retain the right to develop and commercialize products arising from the collaboration in markets not exploited by GlaxoSmithKline, or where GlaxoSmithKline is not developing a product for the same indication.

In 1999, two compounds were advanced to exploratory development: (1) GW544, a PPAR agonist for cardiovascular disease and dyslipidemia; and (2) GW516, a second candidate that is in clinical development for cardiovascular disease and dyslipidemia. GW516 is currently in Phase II studies. The American Heart Association estimates that 62 million Americans have some form of cardiovascular disease, and that cardiovascular disease accounts for more than 40% of deaths in the U.S. annually.

***Eli Lilly Collaboration.*** In November 1997, we entered into a research and development collaboration with Eli Lilly & Co. (Lilly) for the discovery and development of products based upon our IR technology with broad applications in the fields of metabolic diseases, including diabetes, obesity, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance and cardiovascular diseases associated with insulin resistance and obesity. Under the collaboration, Lilly received: (1) worldwide, exclusive rights to our compounds and technology associated with the RXR receptor in the field; (2) rights to use our technology to develop an RXR compound in combination with a SERM in cancer; (3) worldwide, exclusive rights in certain areas to our PPAR technology, along with rights to use PPAR research technology with the RXR technology; and (4) exclusive rights to our HNF-4 receptor and obesity gene promoter technology. Lilly has the right to terminate the development of compounds under the agreements. We would receive rights to certain of such compounds in return for a royalty to Lilly, the rate of which is dependent on the stage at which the development is terminated. In April 2002, Lilly and Ligand announced the companies would extend the collaboration until November of 2003. In May 2003, the companies announced the second and final extension of the collaboration through November 2004.

Under the Lilly collaboration, we retained or received: (1) exclusive rights to independently research, develop and commercialize Targretin and other RXR compounds in the fields of cancer and dermatology; (2) an option to obtain selected rights to one of Lilly's specialty pharmaceutical products; and (3) rights to receive milestones,



**Table of Contents**

royalties and options to obtain certain co-development and co-promotion rights for the Lilly-selected RXR compound in combination with a SERM.

Our rights under the initial agreements have changed. In connection with the acquisition of Seragen in 1998, we obtained from Lilly its rights to ONTAK in satisfaction of our option to obtain selected rights to one of Lilly's specialty pharmaceutical products. In November 2004, Ligand and Lilly agreed to amend the ONTAK royalty agreement to add options in 2005 that if exercised would restructure our royalty obligations on net sales of ONTAK. Under the revised agreement, Ligand and Lilly each had two options. We received options exercisable in January 2005 and April 2005 to buy down a portion of the Company's ONTAK royalty obligation on net sales in the United States for total consideration of \$33.0 million. Lilly received two options exercisable in July 2005 and October 2005 to trigger the same royalty buy-downs for total consideration of up to \$37.0 million dependent on whether we have exercised one or both of our options.

In January 2005 we exercised the first option which provided for a one-time payment of \$20.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of our ONTAK royalty payment obligations in 2005 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty scale on ONTAK sales in the U.S. thereafter. The second option, exercised in April 2005, provided for a one-time payment of \$13.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of royalties on ONTAK net sales in the U.S. in 2006 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty thereafter. Since both options were exercised, beginning in 2007 and throughout the remaining ONTAK patent life (2014), we will pay no royalties to Lilly on U.S. sales up to \$38.0 million. Thereafter, we will pay royalties to Lilly at a rate of 20% on net U.S. sales between \$38.0 million and \$50.0 million; at a rate of 15% on net U.S. sales between \$50.0 million and \$72.0 million; and at a rate of 10% on net U.S. sales in excess of \$72.0 million.

In 1999, we agreed to focus our collaborative efforts on the RXR modulator second-generation program, which has compounds with improved therapeutic indices relative to the three first-generation compounds, and on co-agonists of the PPAR receptor program. In early 1999, Lilly opted not to proceed with the development of certain first-generation compounds, including Targretin, in the RXR program for diabetes. As a result of this decision, all rights to the oral form of Targretin reverted to us, and LGD1268 and LGD1324 returned to the pool of eligible RXR modulators for possible use in oncology in combination with a SERM under the collaboration agreement between Ligand and Lilly.

In September 2001, we announced that we had earned a milestone from Lilly as a result of Lilly's filing with the FDA an IND for LY818 (naveglitazar), a PPAR modulator for type II diabetes and metabolic diseases. Naveglitazar entered Phase II studies early in 2003, resulting in a \$1.5 million milestone payment. In March 2004, Lilly announced their decision to move naveglitazar into Phase III registration studies. Shortly afterwards, the FDA provided new guidance regarding preclinical and clinical safety assessments for current and future PPAR molecules in clinical development. Accumulated rodent data reviewed by the agency for a number of PPAR agonists (gamma, alpha or dual agonists), but not including naveglitazar, showed carcinogenicity findings that did not demonstrate adequate margins of safety to support continued clinical development with some members of this class of compounds. Based on this information, the new guidance provided by the FDA for all compounds in this class indicates that clinical studies longer than six months in duration cannot be initiated until two-year rodent carcinogenicity studies are completed and submitted for agency review. Any proposed studies of greater than six months duration have been placed on clinical hold until carcinogenicity data are reviewed and adequate margins of safety are demonstrated.

Two-year carcinogenicity studies on naveglitazar are ongoing and data for evaluation should be available in 2006. While the full impact of these guidelines on naveglitazar clinical development plans and timelines is being reviewed, it is clear that, based on the timing of the completion of the carcinogenicity studies and subsequent FDA review of the data allowing the initiation of long-term safety studies, there will be an estimated delay of 18-24 months in the initiation of clinical studies of greater than six months duration. Lilly will review and revise their naveglitazar Phase III development plan accordingly.

In June 2002, we announced that we had earned a \$1.1 million milestone payment as a result of Lilly's filing with the FDA an IND for LY929, a PPAR modulator for the treatment of Type II diabetes, metabolic diseases and dyslipidemias. In November 2002, we announced that we had earned a \$2.1 million milestone payment as a result of Lilly's filing with the FDA an IND for LY674, a PPAR modulator for the treatment of atherosclerosis. In July



**Table of Contents**

2005, we announced that we had earned a \$1.6 million milestone payment as a result of LY674 entering Phase II studies. We will receive additional milestones if these products continue through the development process, and royalties on product sales if the products receive marketing approval. Lilly also has two other PPAR compounds on IND track, the compound numbers for which have not been disclosed. If INDs are filed by May 2006, the Company will continue to qualify for milestones and royalties.

***Inflammatory Disease Collaborative Program***

**Abbott Collaboration.** In July 1994, we entered into a research and development collaboration with Abbott Laboratories (Abbott) to discover and develop small molecule compounds for the prevention or treatment of inflammatory diseases. The collaborative program includes several molecular approaches to discovering modulators of glucocorticoid receptor activity that have significantly improved therapeutic profiles relative to currently known anti-inflammatory steroids such as prednisone and dexamethasone. The collaboration was focused on the development of novel non-steroidal glucocorticoids that maintain the efficacy of corticosteroids, but lack some or all of corticosteroids' dose-limiting side effects. The research phase concluded in July 1999.

When the research phase of the collaboration ended in July 1999, Abbott retained rights to certain selective glucocorticoid receptor modulators, or SGRMs, whose development has now been slowed or halted. We retained rights to all other compounds discovered through the collaboration, as well as recaptured technology rights. Abbott will make milestone and royalty payments on products targeted at inflammation resulting from the collaboration. Each party will be responsible for the development, registration, and commercialization of the products in its respective field.

**TPO / Inflammatory Disease / Oncology Collaborative Program**

**GlaxoSmithKline Collaboration.** In February 1995, we entered into a research and development collaboration with SmithKline Beecham (now GlaxoSmithKline) to use our proprietary expertise to discover and characterize small molecule, orally bioavailable drugs to control hematopoiesis (the formation and development of blood cells) for the treatment of a variety of blood cell deficiencies. In 1998, we announced the discovery of the first non-peptide small molecule that mimics in mice the activity of Granulocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor (G-CSF), a natural protein that stimulates production of infection-fighting neutrophils (a type of white blood cell). While this lead compound has only been shown to be active in mice, its discovery is a major scientific milestone and suggests that orally active, small-molecule mimics can be developed not only for G-CSF, but for other cytokines as well.

A number of lead molecules have been found that mimic the activity of natural growth factors for white cells and platelets. In the fourth quarter of 2002, we earned a \$2.0 million milestone payment from GlaxoSmithKline, in connection with the commencement of human trials of eltrombopag (formerly SB-497115, hereafter referred to as eltrombopag), an oral, small molecule drug that mimics the activity of thrombopoietin (TPO), a protein factor that promotes growth and production of blood platelets. In February 2005, we announced that we had earned a \$1.0 million milestone payment from GlaxoSmithKline with that company's commencement of Phase II trials of eltrombopag. In June 2005, we earned a \$2.0 million milestone payment as SB-559448, a second TPO agonist, began Phase I development. Additionally, in February 2006, we earned a \$2.0 million milestone in connection with the commencement of Phase III trials of eltrombopag. There are no approved oral TPO agents for the treatment or prevention of thrombocytopenias (decreased platelet count). Investigational use of injectable forms of recombinant human TPO has been effective in raising platelet levels in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy, and has led to accelerated hematopoietic recovery when given to stem cell donors. Some of these investigational treatments have not moved forward to registration due to the development of neutralizing antibodies. Thus, a small molecule TPO mimic with no apparent immunogenic potential and oral activity that may facilitate dosing may provide an attractive therapeutic profile for a major unmet medical need.

The research phase of the collaboration concluded in February 2001. Under the collaboration, we have the right to, but have not, selected up to three compounds related to hematopoietic targets for development as anti-cancer products other than those compounds selected for development by GlaxoSmithKline. GlaxoSmithKline has the option to co-promote any selected products with us in North America and to develop and market such products outside North America.





**Table of Contents*****Dermatology Collaborative Program***

**Allergan.** In September 1997, in conjunction with the buyback of Allergan Ligand Retinoid Therapeutics, Inc. (ALRT), we agreed with Allergan to restructure the terms and conditions relating to research, development, and commercialization and sublicense rights for the ALRT compounds. Under the restructured arrangement, we received exclusive, worldwide development, commercialization, and sublicense rights to Panretin capsules and Panretin gel, LGD1550, LGD1268 and LGD1324. Allergan received exclusive, worldwide development, commercialization and sublicense rights to LGD4310, a Retinoic Acid Receptor (or RAR) antagonist. Allergan also received LGD4326 and LGD4204, two advanced preclinical RXR selective compounds. In addition, we participated in a lottery with Allergan for each of the approximately 2,000 retinoid compounds existing in the ALRT compound library as of the closing date, with each party acquiring exclusive, worldwide development, commercialization, and sublicense rights to the compounds that they selected. We and Allergan will each pay the other a royalty based on net sales of products developed from the compounds selected by each in the lottery and the other ALRT compounds to which each acquires exclusive rights. We will also pay to Allergan royalties based on our net sales of Targretin for uses other than oncology and dermatology indications. In the event that we license commercialization rights to Targretin to a third party, we will pay to Allergan a percentage of royalties payable to us with respect to sales of Targretin other than in oncology and dermatology indications. During 2001, Allergan elected not to proceed with development of LGD4310 for mucocutaneous toxicity.

**Royalty Pharma Agreement**

In March 2002, we announced an agreement with Royalty Pharma AG, which purchased rights to a share of future royalty payments from our collaborative partners' sales of three SERMs then in Phase III development. The SERM products included in the transaction are lasofoxifene, which is being developed for osteoporosis and other indications at Pfizer, bazedoxifene and bazedoxifene CE (PREMARIN combo) which are in development at Wyeth for osteoporosis and for vasomotor symptoms of menopause. (See the detailed discussions of these products under the Pfizer and Wyeth collaborations above.)

Since March 2002, and following certain amendments to the original agreement, Royalty Pharma has acquired cumulative rights to 3.0125% of the potential future net sales of the three SERM products for an aggregate of \$63.3 million. In addition, in December 2002 Royalty Pharma agreed to acquire a 1.0% royalty interest in the Company's net sales of Targretin capsules from January 2003 through 2016 for \$1.0 million.

Under the terms of the agreements, payments from the royalty rights purchase are non-refundable, regardless of whether the products are ever successfully registered or marketed. Milestone payments owed by our partners as the products complete development and registration are not included in the Royalty Pharma agreement and will be paid to us as earned.

**Technology**

In our successful efforts to discover new and important medicines, we and our academic collaborators and consultants have concentrated on two areas of research: advancing the understanding of the activities of hormones and hormone-related drugs, and making scientific discoveries related to IR technology. We believe that our expertise in this technology will enable us to develop novel, small-molecule drugs acting through IRs with more target-specific properties than currently available drugs. Our efforts may result in improved therapeutic and side effect profiles and new indications for IRs. IRs are families of transcription factors that change cell function by selectively turning on or off particular genes in response to circulating signals that impinge on cells. In addition to our proprietary IR technology, we have acquired fusion protein technology, which was used by Seragen in the development of ONTAK.

***Intracellular Receptor Technology***

Hormones occur naturally within the body and control processes such as reproduction, cell growth and differentiation. Hormones generally fall into two classes, non-peptide hormones and peptide hormones. Non-peptide hormones include retinoids, sex steroids (estrogens, progestins and androgens), adrenal steroids

**Table of Contents**

(glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids), vitamin D and thyroid hormone. These non-peptide hormones act by binding to their corresponding IRs to regulate the expression of genes in order to maintain and restore balanced cellular function within the body. Hormonal imbalances can lead to a variety of diseases. The hormones themselves and drugs that mimic or block hormone action may be useful in the treatment of these diseases. Furthermore, hormone mimics (agonists) or blockers (antagonists) can be used to treat diseases in which the underlying cause is not hormonal imbalance. The effectiveness of IRs as drug targets is clearly demonstrated by currently available drugs acting through IRs for several diseases. However, the use of most of these drugs has been limited by their often significant side effects. Examples of currently marketed hormone-related drugs acting on IRs are glucocorticoids (steroids used to treat inflammation), natural and synthetic estrogens and progesterones (used for hormone therapy and contraception), tamoxifen (an estrogen antagonist used in the treatment of breast cancer), and various retinoids such as Accutane<sup>®</sup> and Retin-A<sup>®</sup> (used to treat acne) and Dovonex<sup>®</sup> (used to treat psoriasis).

We have built a strong proprietary position and accumulated substantial expertise in IRs applicable to drug discovery and development. Building on our scientific findings about the molecular basis of hormone action, we have created proprietary new tools to explore and manipulate non-peptide hormone action for potential therapeutic benefit. We employ a proprietary cell-culture based assay system for small molecules that can modulate IRs, referred to as the co-transfection assay. The co-transfection assay system simulates the actual cellular processes controlled by IRs and is able to detect whether a compound interacts with a particular human IR and whether this interaction mimics or blocks the effects of the natural regulatory molecules on target gene expression.

The understanding of non-peptide hormones and their actions has increased substantially in the last 15 years. Driving this rapid expansion of knowledge has been the discovery of the family of IRs through which all known small-molecule, non-peptide hormones act. We and our academic collaborators and consultants have made major discoveries pertaining to IRs and to small molecule hormones and compounds that interact with these IRs. These discoveries include: (1) the identification of the IR superfamily, (2) the recognition of IR subtypes, (3) the heterodimer biology of RXR-selective compounds and (4) the discovery of orphan IRs. We believe that each of these broad areas of knowledge provides important opportunities for drug discovery.

***IR Superfamily.*** The receptors for non-peptide hormones are closely related members of a superfamily of proteins known as IRs. Human IRs for all the known non-peptide hormones now have been cloned, in many cases by our scientists or our collaborators. The structure and underlying mechanism of action of IRs have many common features, such that drug discovery insights about one IR often can be directly applied to other members of the IR superfamily, bringing synergy to our IR-focused drug discovery efforts. First-generation drugs were developed and commercialized for their therapeutic benefits prior to the discovery of IRs. As a result, they often cross-react with the IRs for hormones other than the intended target, which can result in significant side effects. The understanding that IRs are structurally similar has enabled us to determine the basis for the side effects of some first-generation drugs and to discover improved drug candidates.

***IR Subtypes.*** For some of the non-peptide hormones, several closely related but non-identical IRs, known as IR subtypes, have been discovered. These include six subtypes of the IRs for retinoids, two subtypes of the IRs for thyroid hormone, two subtypes for the ER, and three subtypes for the PPARs. Patent applications covering many of these IR subtypes have been exclusively licensed by us. We believe that drugs capable of selective modulation of IR subtypes will allow more specific pharmacological intervention that is better matched to therapeutic need. Targretin, an RXR-selective molecule, was discovered as a result of our understanding of retinoid receptor subtypes.

***Retinoid Responsive IRs.*** Retinoic acid, a derivative of Vitamin A, is one of the body's natural regulatory hormones that has a broad range of biological actions, influencing cell growth, differentiation, programmed cell death and embryonic development. Many chemical analogues of retinoic acid, called retinoids, also have biological activity. Specific retinoids have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of psoriasis and certain severe forms of acne. Evidence also suggests that retinoids can be used to arrest and, to an extent, reverse the effects of skin damage arising from prolonged exposure to the sun. Other evidence suggests that retinoids are useful in the treatment of a variety of cancers, including kidney cancer and certain forms of leukemia. For example, all-trans-retinoic-acid has been approved by the FDA to treat acute promyelocytic leukemia. Retinoids also have shown an ability to reverse precancerous (pre-malignant) changes in tissues, reducing the risk of development of cancer, and may have potential as

preventive agents for a variety of epithelial malignancies, including skin, head and neck, bladder and prostate cancer. Currently marketed retinoids, which were developed and commercialized prior to the

**Table of Contents**

discovery of retinoid-responsive IRs, cause significant side effects. These include severe birth defects if fetal exposure occurs, severe irritation of the skin and mucosal surfaces, elevation of plasma lipids, headache and skeletal abnormalities.

The six Retinoid Responsive Intracellular Receptors (or RRs) that have been identified to date can be grouped in two subfamilies RARs and RXRs. Patent applications covering members of both families of RRs have been licensed exclusively to us primarily from The Salk Institute. The RR subtypes appear to have different functions, based on their distribution in various tissues within the body and data arising from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, including studies of transgenic mice. Several of the retinoids currently in commercial use are either non-selective in their pattern of RR subtype activation or are not ideal drugs for other reasons. We are developing chemically synthesized retinoids that, by selectively activating RR subtypes, may preserve desired therapeutic effects while reducing side effects.

We have three retinoid products approved by the FDA (Panretin gel, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel) and two retinoid products in clinical trials (Targretin capsules and Targretin gel). Panretin gel incorporates 9-*cis* retinoic acid, a retinoid isolated and characterized by us in 1991 in collaboration with scientists at The Salk Institute and Baylor College of Medicine. 9-*cis* retinoic acid is the first non-peptide hormone discovered in more than 25 years and appears to be a natural ligand for the RAR and RXR subfamilies of retinoid receptors. Bexarotene, the active substance in Targretin, is a synthetic retinoid developed by us that shows selective retinoid receptor subtype activity that is different from that of 9-*cis* retinoic acid, the active substance in Panretin. Targretin selectively activates a subclass of retinoid receptors called RXRs. RXRs play an important role in the control of a variety of cellular functions.

**RXRs.** RXRs can form a dimer with numerous IRs, such as the PPAR, LXR, RAR, thyroid hormone and vitamin D receptors. While RXRs are widely expressed, their IR partners are more selectively expressed in different tissues, such as liver, fat or muscle. As a result, compounds that bind RXRs offer the unique potential to treat a variety of diseases, including cancer and metabolic diseases. In preclinical models of type II diabetes, RXR agonists appear to stimulate the physiological pathways responsive to RXR/PPAR receptor partners expressed in key target tissues that are involved in glucose metabolism. As a result, a discrete set of genes is activated in these tissues, resulting in a decrease in serum glucose levels and insulin.

**Orphan Receptors.** More than 40 additional members of the IR superfamily that do not interact with the known non-peptide hormones have been discovered. These members of the IR superfamily have been designated orphan receptors. We believe that among the orphan IRs there may be receptors for uncharacterized small molecule hormones, and that the physiological roles of the various orphan IRs are likely to be diverse. We have devised strategies to isolate small molecules that interact with orphan IRs. In 1999, we invested in and exclusively licensed specified orphan IR technology to a new private corporation, X-Ceptor Therapeutics, Inc. ( X-Ceptor ). X-Ceptor was acquired by Exelixis Inc. in October 2004. Under the 1999 license agreement, we will receive a royalty of 1.5% on net sales of any products which are discovered using the licensed technologies.

**Fusion Protein Technology**

Our fusion protein technology was developed by Seragen, which we acquired in 1998. Seragen's fusion proteins consist of a fragment of diphtheria toxin genetically fused to a ligand that binds to specific receptors on the surface of target cells. Once bound to the cell, the fusion proteins are designed to enter the cell and destroy the ability of the cell to manufacture proteins, resulting in cell death. Using this platform, Seragen genetically engineered six fusion proteins, each of which consists of a fragment of diphtheria toxin fused to a different targeting ligand, such as a polypeptide hormone or growth factor. ONTAK, which is approved in the U.S. for the treatment of patients with persistent or recurrent CTCL, is a fusion protein consisting of a fragment of diphtheria toxin genetically fused to a part of interleukin-2. In addition to treatment of CTCL, fusion proteins may have utility in oncology, dermatology, infectious diseases, and autoimmune diseases. Seragen has entered into exclusive license agreements with Harvard University and other parties for patents related to fusion protein technology and has been issued six U.S. patents for improvements in the technology licensed from Harvard University.

**Table of Contents****Academic Collaborations**

To date, we have licensed technology from The Salk Institute, Baylor College of Medicine and other academic institutions and developed relationships with key scientists to further the development of our core IR technology.

**The Salk Institute of Biological Studies.** In 1988, we established an exclusive relationship with The Salk Institute, which is one of the research centers in the area of IR technology. We amended and restated this agreement in April 2002. Under our agreement, we have an exclusive, worldwide license to certain IR technology developed in the laboratory of Dr. Ronald Evans, a Salk professor and Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigator. Dr. Evans cloned and characterized the first IR in 1985 and is an inventor of the co-transfection assay we use to screen for IR modulators. Under the agreement, we are obligated to make royalty payments based on sales of certain products developed using the licensed technology, as well as certain minimum annual royalty payments and a percentage of milestones and certain other payments received. The agreement also provides that we have the option of buying out future royalty payments as well as milestone and other payment-sharing obligations on a product-by-product basis by paying the Salk a lump sum calculated using a formula in the agreement. In March 2004, we paid the Salk \$1.12 million to exercise this buyout option with respect to lasofoxifene, a product under development by Pfizer for the prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. In December of 2004 Pfizer filed a supplemental NDA for the use of lasofoxifene for the treatment of vaginal atrophy. As a result of the supplemental lasofoxifene NDA filing, we exercised an option in January 2005 to pay The Salk Institute \$1.12 million to buy out royalty payments due on future sales of the product in this additional indication. See the discussion above regarding Collaborative Research and Development Programs.

We have also entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Evans that continues through February 2008. Dr. Evans serves as Chairman of Ligand's Scientific Advisory Board.

**Baylor College of Medicine.** In 1990, we established an exclusive relationship with Baylor, which is a center of IR technology. We entered into a series of agreements with Baylor under which we have an exclusive, worldwide license to IR technology developed at Baylor and to future improvements made in the laboratory of Dr. Bert W. O Malley through the life of the related patents. Dr. O Malley is a professor and the Chairman of the Department of Cell Biology at the Baylor College of Medicine and the Director of the Center for Reproductive Biology. He leads IR research at Baylor.

We work closely with Dr. O Malley and Baylor in scientific IR research, particularly in the area of sex steroids and orphan IRs. Under our agreement, we are obligated to make certain royalty payments based on the sales of products developed using the licensed technology. Dr. O Malley is a member of Ligand's Scientific Advisory Board.

In addition to the collaborations discussed above, we also have a number of other consulting, licensing, development and academic agreements by which we strive to advance our technology.

**Manufacturing**

We currently have no manufacturing facilities and, accordingly, rely on third parties, including our collaborative partners, for commercial or clinical production of any products or compounds. During 2004, each of our major products was manufactured by a single supplier: Elan manufactures AVINZA, Cambrex manufactures ONTAK active pharmaceutical ingredient, Raylo manufactures Targretin active pharmaceutical ingredient, and Cardinal Health manufactures Targretin capsules. In 2004, we entered into contracts with Cardinal Health to provide a second source for AVINZA, and with Hollister-Stier to fill and finish ONTAK. In July 2005, we announced that the FDA approved the Hollister-Stier facility for fill/finish of ONTAK. In August 2005, the FDA approved the production of AVINZA at a Cardinal Health facility (the Cardinal facility), which provides a second source of supply, thus diversifying the AVINZA supply chain and increasing production capacity. We expect that the Cardinal facility will begin producing commercial product in 2006.

Certain raw materials necessary for the commercial manufacturing of our products are custom and must be obtained from a specific sole source. In addition, our finished products are produced by sole source manufacturers.

**Table of Contents**

We currently attempt to manage the risk associated with such sole source raw materials and production by actively managing our inventories and supply and production arrangements. We attempt to remain apprised of the financial condition of our suppliers and their ability to continue to supply our raw materials and finished products in an uninterrupted and timely manner. Unavailability of certain materials or the loss of current sources of production could cause an interruption in production and a reduced supply of finished product pending establishment of new sources, or in some cases, implementation of alternative processes. For a discussion of the risks associated with manufacturing, see Risk Factors.

**Quality Assurance**

Our success depends in great measure upon customer confidence in the quality of our products and in the integrity of the data that support their safety and effectiveness. The quality of our products arises from our commitment to quality in all aspects of our business, including research and development, purchasing, manufacturing and distribution. Quality assurance procedures have been developed relating to the quality and integrity of our scientific information and production processes.

Control of production processes involves rigid specifications for ingredients, equipment, facilities, manufacturing methods, packaging materials, and labeling. Control tests are made at various stages of production processes and on the final product to assure that the product meets all regulatory requirements and our standards. These tests may involve chemical and physical testing, microbiological testing, preclinical testing, human clinical trials, or a combination of these trials.

**Commercial**

In late 1998, we assembled a specialty oncology and human immunodeficiency virus-center sales and marketing team to market in the U.S. products developed, acquired or licensed by us. In late 1999, we expanded our U.S. sales force from approximately 20 to approximately 40 sales representatives to support the launch of Targretin capsules and Targretin gel and increase market penetration of ONTAK and Panretin gel. In 2001, we expanded our sales force to approximately 50 sales representatives, including approximately 20 full-time contract sales representatives who focused on the dermatology market. In 2002, to support the launch of AVINZA, we redirected these contract sales representatives to call on high-prescribing pain specialists. Also in 2002, we hired approximately another 30 representatives to call on pain specialists, bringing the total number of representatives selling only AVINZA to approximately 50 representatives. In 2003, we expanded our specialty pain sales force to approximately 70 representatives. In addition, more than 700 Organon sales representatives began promoting AVINZA as a result of the co-promotion agreement we established in early 2003. During 2004, 36 additional Ligand specialty sales representatives were hired to promote AVINZA to top-decile, primary-care physicians. In November 2004, an AVINZA sales force restructuring was implemented to improve sales call coverage and effectiveness (see AVINZA Co-Promotion Agreement with Organon ). As of December 31, 2005, we had approximately 130 U.S. sales territories, with approximately 24 sales territories supporting our in-line oncology products and approximately 106 sales territories supporting AVINZA.

Effective January 1, 2006, we terminated our agreement with Organon USA Inc. This agreement terminates the AVINZA co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. However, the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 to promote the product. The transition period co-operation includes among other things, a minimum number of product sales calls per quarter 100,000 for Organon and 30,000 for Ligand with an aggregate of 375,000 and 90,000 respectively for the transition period. See AVINZA Co-Promotion Agreement with Organon . In January 2006, 18 Ligand specialty sales representatives previously promoting AVINZA to primary-care physicians were redeployed to call on pain specialists and all Ligand primary care territories were eliminated. In connection with the restructuring, 11 primary-care sales representatives were terminated. The AVINZA sales force restructuring was implemented to improve sales call coverage and effectiveness among high prescribing pain specialists. As of January 31, 2006, we had approximately 89 U.S. sales territories supporting AVINZA.

As of December 2005, we market internationally through marketing and distribution agreements with Cephalon, Ferrer International, and Sigma Tau. Through these agreements, we have established marketing and distribution





**Table of Contents**

capabilities in Europe, as well as Central and South America. In February 2004, Elan and Medeus Pharma Limited (now Zeneus ) announced that Zeneus had acquired Elan's European sales and marketing business, and that the acquisition included the marketing and distribution rights to certain Ligand products in Europe. In October 2005, the Italian distribution rights were transferred from Alfa Wasserman to Sigma Tau. In December 2005, Cephalon, Inc. announced that it had acquired all the outstanding share capital of Zeneus, which will operate as a wholly owned subsidiary of Cephalon.

In the second half of 2004, we entered into fee-for-service agreements (or distribution service agreements) for each of our products, other than Panretin, with the majority of our wholesaler customers. In exchange for a set fee, the wholesalers have agreed to provide us with certain information regarding product stocking and out-movement; agreed to maintain inventory quantities within specified minimum and maximum levels; inventory handling, stocking and management services; and certain other services surrounding the administration of returns and chargebacks. In connection with implementation of the fee-for-service agreements, we no longer offer these wholesalers promotional discounts or incentives and as a result, we expect a net improvement in product gross margins as volumes grow. Additionally, we believe these arrangements will provide lower variability in wholesaler inventory levels and improved management of inventories within and between individual wholesaler distribution centers that we believe will result in a lower level of product returns compared to prior periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, shipments to four wholesale distributors each accounted for more than 10% of total shipments and in the aggregate represented 89% of total shipments. These were AmerisourceBergen Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., McKesson Corporation, and Walgreens.

Our practices with respect to working capital items are similar to comparable companies in the industry. We accept the return of pharmaceuticals that have reached their expiration date. Our policy for returns allows customers, primarily wholesale distributors, to return our oncology products three months prior to and six months after expiration. For ONTAK, customers are generally allowed to return product in exchange for replacement ONTAK vials. Our policy for returns of AVINZA allows customers to return the product six months prior to and six months after expiration.

Substantially all of our revenues are attributable to customers in the United States; likewise, substantially all of our long-lived assets are located in the United States.

For further discussion of these items, see below under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

**Research and Development Expenses**

Research and development expenses were \$56.1 million, \$65.2 million and \$66.7 million in fiscal 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively, of which approximately 94%, 88%, and 84%, respectively, we sponsored, and the remainder of which was funded pursuant to collaborative research and development arrangements.

**Competition**

Some of the drugs we are developing will compete with existing therapies. In addition, a number of companies are pursuing the development of novel pharmaceuticals that target the same diseases we are targeting. A number of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies are pursuing IR-related approaches to drug discovery and development. Furthermore, academic institutions, government agencies, and other public and private organizations conducting research may seek patent protection with respect to potentially competing products or technologies and may establish collaborative arrangements with our competitors.

Our marketed products also face competition. The principal products competing with our products targeted at the cutaneous t-cell lymphoma market are Supergen/Abbott's Nipent and interferon, which is marketed by a number of companies, including Schering-Plough's Intron A. Products that compete with AVINZA include Purdue Pharma L.P.'s OxyContin and MS Contin, Janssen Pharmaceutica Products, L.P.'s Duragesic, aai Pharma's Oramorph SR, Alpharma's Kadian, and generic sustained release morphine sulfate, oxycodone and fentanyl. Many of our existing

**Table of Contents**

or potential competitors, particularly large drug companies, have greater financial, technical and human resources than us and may be better equipped to develop, manufacture and market products. Many of these companies also have extensive experience in preclinical testing and human clinical trials, obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals and manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products.

Our competitive position also depends upon our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, obtain patent protection or otherwise develop proprietary products or processes, and secure sufficient capital resources for the often substantial period between technological conception and commercial sales. For a discussion of the risks associated with competition, see Risk Factors.

**Government Regulation**

The manufacturing and marketing of our products, our ongoing research and development activities, and products being developed by our collaborative partners are subject to regulation for safety and efficacy by numerous governmental authorities in the United States and other countries. In the United States, pharmaceuticals are subject to rigorous regulation by federal and various state authorities, including the FDA. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the Public Health Service Act govern the testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, advertising and promotion of our products. There are often comparable regulations that apply at the state level. Product development and approval within this regulatory framework takes a number of years and involves the expenditure of substantial resources.

The steps required before a pharmaceutical agent may be marketed in the United States include (1) preclinical laboratory tests, (2) the submission to the FDA of an IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may commence, (3) adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the drug, (4) the submission of a NDA to the FDA and (5) the FDA approval of the NDA prior to any commercial sale or shipment of the drug. A company must pay a one-time user fee for NDA submissions, and annually pay user fees for each approved product and manufacturing establishment. In addition to obtaining FDA approval for each product, each domestic drug-manufacturing establishment must be registered with the FDA and, in California, with the Food and Drug Branch of California. Domestic manufacturing establishments are subject to pre-approval inspections by the FDA prior to marketing approval, then to biennial inspections, and must comply with current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP). To supply products for use in the United States, foreign manufacturing establishments must comply with cGMP and are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA or by regulatory authorities in such countries under reciprocal agreements with the FDA.

For both currently marketed and future products, failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements after obtaining regulatory approval can, among other things, result in the suspension of regulatory approval, as well as possible civil and criminal sanctions. In addition, changes in existing regulations could have a material adverse effect to us.

For marketing outside the United States before FDA approval to market, we must submit an export permit application to the FDA. We also are subject to foreign regulatory requirements governing human clinical trials and marketing approval for drugs. The requirements relating to the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary widely from country to country and there can be no assurance that we or any of our partners will meet and sustain any such requirements.

We are also increasingly subject to regulation by the states. A number of states now regulate, for example, pharmaceutical marketing practices and the reporting of marketing activities, controlled substances such as our AVINZA product, clinical trials and general commercial practices. We have developed and are developing a number of policies and procedures to ensure our compliance with these state laws, in addition to the federal regulations described above. Significant resources are now required on an ongoing basis to ensure such compliance. For a discussion of the risks associated with government regulations, see Risk Factors.

**Table of Contents****Patents and Proprietary Rights**

We believe that patents and other proprietary rights are important to our business. Our policy is to file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements to our inventions that are considered important to the development of our business. We also rely upon trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our competitive position.

As of December 31, 2005, we have filed or participated as licensee in the filing of approximately 66 currently pending patent applications in the United States relating to our technology, as well as foreign counterparts of certain of these applications in many countries. In addition, we own or have licensed rights covered by approximately 340 patents issued or applications, granted or allowed worldwide, including United States patents and foreign counterparts to United States patents. Except for a few patents and applications which are not material to our commercial success, these patents and applications will expire between 2006 and 2021. Our marketed products are expected to have patent protection in the United States and Europe that does not expire until between 2011 and 2017. Subject to compliance with the terms of the respective agreements, our rights under our licenses with our exclusive licensors extend for the life of the patents covering such developments. For a discussion of the risks associated with patent and proprietary rights, see Risk Factors.

In December 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office declared an interference proceeding at our request between a patent application owned by Ligand claiming bexarotene (the active ingredient in our Targretin products) and related technology and an issued patent owned jointly by SRI International and The Burnham Institute. The patent owned jointly by SRI International and The Burnham Institute was exclusively licensed to Ligand in return for a royalty and other terms. In March 2005, we reached a settlement agreement with SRI and Burnham wherein SRI and Burnham agreed to concede priority of the bexarotene claims to Ligand and assign its patent and related rights to Ligand. In return, we will continue to pay to SRI and Burnham a royalty on bexarotene at a lower rate and would pay the same royalty on any future products that may be covered by the related patents assigned to Ligand. The royalty would be payable for the relevant patent terms, including any additional patent term to which our patent application for bexarotene would be entitled.

**Human Resources**

As of December 31, 2005, we had 493 full-time employees, of whom 230 were involved directly in scientific research and development activities. Of these employees, 64 hold Ph.D. or M.D. degrees.

**Properties**

We currently lease and occupy office and laboratory facilities in San Diego, California. These include a 52,800 square foot facility leased through July 2015 and an 82,500 square foot facility which we own through our consolidated subsidiary, Nexus. We believe these facilities will be adequate to meet our near-term space requirements.

**Legal Proceedings**

Seragen, Inc. and Ligand were named parties to *Sergio M. Oliver, et al. v. Boston University, et al.*, a putative shareholder class action filed on December 17, 1998 in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County, C.A. No. 16570NC, by Sergio M. Oliver and others against Boston University and others, including Seragen, its subsidiary Seragen Technology, Inc. and former officers and directors of Seragen. The complaint, as amended, alleged that Ligand aided and abetted purported breaches of fiduciary duty by the Seragen related defendants in connection with the acquisition of Seragen by Ligand and made certain misrepresentations in related proxy materials and seeks compensatory and punitive damages of an unspecified amount. On July 25, 2000, the Delaware Chancery Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motions to dismiss. Seragen, Ligand, Seragen Technology, Inc. and the Company's acquisition subsidiary, Knight Acquisition Corporation, were dismissed from the action. Claims of breach of fiduciary duty remain against the remaining defendants, including the former officers and directors of Seragen. The hearing on the plaintiffs' motion for class certification took place on February 26, 2001. The court certified a class consisting of shareholders as of the date of the acquisition and on

**Table of Contents**

the date of the proxy sent to ratify an earlier business unit sale by Seragen. On January 20, 2005, the Delaware Chancery Court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion for summary judgment. The Court denied plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment in its entirety. Trial was scheduled for February 7, 2005. Prior to trial, several of the Seragen director-defendants reached a settlement with the plaintiffs. The trial in this action then went forward as to the remaining defendants and concluded on February 18, 2005. The timing of a decision by the Court and the outcome are unknown. While Ligand and its subsidiary Seragen have been dismissed from the action, such dismissal is subject to a possible subsequent appeal upon any judgment in the action against the remaining parties, as well as possible indemnification obligations with respect to certain defendants.

Beginning in August 2004, several purported class action stockholder lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California against the Company and certain of its directors and officers. The actions were brought on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock during several time periods, the longest of which runs from July 28, 2003 through August 2, 2004. The complaints generally allege that the Company violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities and Exchange Commission by making false and misleading statements, or concealing information about the Company's business, forecasts and financial performance, in particular statements and information related to drug development issues and AVINZA inventory levels. These lawsuits have been consolidated and lead plaintiffs appointed. A consolidated complaint was filed by the plaintiffs in March 2005. On September 27, 2005, the court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint, with leave for plaintiffs to file an amended complaint. In December 2005, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint alleging again claims under Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act against the Company, David Robinson, and Paul Maier. The amended complaint asserts an expanded Class Period of March 19, 2001 through May 20, 2005 and includes allegations arising from the Company's announcement on May 20, 2005 that it would restate certain financial results. Defendants filed their motion to dismiss plaintiffs' second amended complaint in January 2006. No trial date has been set.

Beginning on or about August 13, 2004, several derivative actions were filed on behalf of the Company by individual stockholders in the Superior Court of California. The complaints name the Company's directors and certain of its officers as defendants and name the Company as a nominal defendant. The complaints are based on the same facts and circumstances as the purported class actions discussed in the previous paragraph and generally allege breach of fiduciary duties, abuse of control, waste and mismanagement, insider trading and unjust enrichment. These actions are in discovery. The court has set a trial date of May 26, 2006, although it is anticipated that the trial date may be reset.

In October 2005, a shareholder derivative action was filed on behalf of the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The complaint names the Company's directors and certain of its officers as defendants and the Company as a nominal defendant. The action was brought by an individual stockholder. The complaint generally alleges that the defendants falsified Ligand's publicly reported financial results throughout 2002 and 2003 and the first three quarters of 2004 by improperly recognizing revenue on product sales. The complaint generally alleges breach of fiduciary duty by all defendants and requests disgorgement, e.g., under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In January 2006, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' verified shareholder derivative complaint. Plaintiffs' opposition was filed in February 2006. No trial date has been set.

The Company believes that all of the above actions are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against each of such lawsuits. Due to the uncertainty of the ultimate outcome of these matters, the impact on future financial results is not subject to reasonable estimates.

On December 11, 2001, a lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against Ligand by the Trustees of Boston University and other former stakeholders of Seragen. The suit was subsequently transferred to federal district court in Delaware. The complaint alleged breach of contract, breach of the implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing and unfair and deceptive trade practices based on, among other things, allegations that Ligand wrongfully withheld approximately \$2.1 million in consideration due the plaintiffs under the Seragen acquisition agreement. This amount had been previously accrued for in the Company's consolidated financial statements in 1998. The complaint sought payment of the withheld consideration and treble damages. Ligand filed a motion to dismiss the unfair and deceptive trade practices claim. The Court subsequently



**Table of Contents**

granted Ligand's motion to dismiss the unfair and deceptive trade practices claim (i.e., the treble damages claim), in April 2003. In November 2003, the Court granted Boston University's motion for summary judgment, and entered judgment for Boston University. In January 2004, the district court issued an amended judgment awarding interest of approximately \$0.7 million to the plaintiffs in addition to the approximately \$2.1 million withheld. In January 2006, the appeals court affirmed the district court's ruling against us. Additional interest on the above amounts of approximately \$0.1 million accrued through January 2006 and was added to the judgment. The withheld amount including the interest was paid in February 2006.

In October 2005, a lawsuit was filed in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware by Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. requesting the Court to order Ligand to hold an annual meeting for the election of directors within 60 days of an order by the Court. Ligand's annual meeting had been delayed as a result of the previously announced restatement. The complaint requested the Court to set a time and place and record date for such annual meeting and establish the quorum for such meeting as the shares present at the meeting, notwithstanding any relevant provisions of Ligand's certificate of incorporation or bylaws. The complaint sought payment of plaintiff's costs and attorney's fees. Ligand agreed on November 11, 2005 to settle this lawsuit and schedule the annual meeting for January 31, 2006. On December 2, 2005, Ligand and Third Point also entered into a stockholders agreement under which, among other things, Ligand agreed to expand its board from eight to eleven, elect three designees of Third Point to the new board seats and pay certain of Third Point's expenses, not to exceed approximately \$0.5 million, with some conditions. Third Point will not sell its Ligand shares, solicit proxies or take certain other stockholder actions for a minimum of six months and as long as its designees remain on the board.

In connection with the restatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements, the SEC instituted a formal investigation concerning the consolidated financial statements. These matters were previously the subject of an informal SEC inquiry. Ligand has been cooperating fully with the SEC and will continue to do so in order to bring the investigation to a conclusion as promptly as possible.

In addition, the Company is subject to various lawsuits and claims with respect to matters arising out of the normal course of business. Due to the uncertainty of the ultimate outcome of these matters, the impact on future financial results is not subject to reasonable estimates.

**Table of Contents****MARKET PRICE OF AND DIVIDENDS ON THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS****Market Information**

Prior to September 7, 2005, our common stock was traded on the NASDAQ National Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbols LGND and LGNDE. Our common stock was delisted from the NASDAQ National Market on September 7, 2005 and currently is quoted on the Pink Sheets under the symbol LGND.

The following table sets forth the high and low intraday sales prices for our common stock on the NASDAQ National Market and on the Pink Sheets, as applicable, for the periods indicated:

	Price Range	
	High	Low
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2005:</b>		
1st Quarter	\$ 11.20	\$ 4.98
2nd Quarter	7.00	4.75
3rd Quarter	10.14	6.86
4th Quarter	11.65	7.95
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2004:</b>		
1st Quarter	20.94	13.19
2nd Quarter	24.91	15.82
3rd Quarter	17.38	7.41
4th Quarter	12.97	8.26

As of April 10, 2006, the closing price of our common stock on the Pink Sheets was \$13.05.

 **Holders**

As of February 28, 2006, there were approximately 1,743 holders of record of the common stock.

 **Dividends**

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock and do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain our earnings, if any, to finance future growth.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT****Executive Officers and Directors**

The following table sets forth certain information about our executive officers and directors:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age*</b>	<b>Position</b>
David E. Robinson	57	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Andres F. Negro-Vilar, M.D., Ph.D.	65	Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer
Taylor J. Crouch	46	Senior Vice President, Technical & Supply Operations and President, International
James J. L. Italien, Ph.D.	53	Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Compliance
Paul V. Maier	58	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
William A. Pettit	56	Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Administration
Warner R. Broaddus	42	Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary
Eric S. Groves, M.D., Ph.D.	63	Vice President, Project Management
Martin D. Meglasson, Ph.D.	55	Vice President, Discovery Research
Tod G. Mertes	41	Vice President, Controller and Treasurer
Henry F. Blissenbach (A)(C)(N)	63	Director
Alexander D. Cross, Ph.D. (A)	73	Director
John Groom (C)(N)	67	Director
Irving S. Johnson, Ph.D. (S)	80	Director
John W. Kozarich, Ph.D. (S)	56	Director
Daniel S. Loeb	43	Director
Carl C. Peck, M.D. (S)	63	Director
Jeffrey R. Perry	45	Director
Brigette Roberts, M.D.	30	Director
Michael A. Rocca (A)	61	Director

\* as of  
December 31,  
2005

(A) Member of the  
Audit  
Committee

(C) Member of the  
Compensation  
Committee

(N) Member of the  
Nominating  
Committee

(S) Member of the  
Science and  
Technology  
Committee



*Executive Officers*

**David E. Robinson** has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director since 1991. Since May 1996, Mr. Robinson has also served as Chairman of the Board. Mr. Robinson was Chief Operating Officer at Erbamont, a pharmaceutical company from 1987 to 1990. From 1984 to 1987 Mr. Robinson was President of Adria Laboratories, Erbamont's North American subsidiary. Before joining Erbamont he was employed in various executive positions for more than 10 years by Abbott Laboratories, most recently as Regional Director of Abbott Europe. Mr. Robinson received his B.A. in political science and history from MacQuaire University, Australia and his M.B.A. from the University of New South Wales, Australia. Mr. Robinson is a Director of BIOCUM San Diego, the Biotechnology Industry Organization and a private company.

**Andres F. Negro-Vilar, M.D., Ph.D.** joined the Company in September 1996 as Senior Vice President, Research, and Chief Scientific Officer, became Senior Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer in December 1999 and was elected Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer in May 2003. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Negro-Vilar was Vice President of Research and Head of the Women's Health Research Institute for Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories from 1993 to 1996.

**Table of Contents**

From 1983 to 1993, Dr. Negro-Vilar served at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health as the Director of Clinical Programs and Chief of the Laboratory of Molecular and Integrative Neurosciences. Dr. Negro-Vilar received an M.D. from the University of Buenos Aires, Argentina, a Ph.D. in physiology from the University of Sao Paulo, Brazil, and a B.S. in science from Belgrano College.

**Taylor J. Crouch** joined Ligand in May 2005 as Senior Vice President, Operations and President, International and was elected an officer of the Company in July 2005. Prior to joining Ligand, he was President and Chief Operating Officer of Discovery Partners, Inc., a provider of drug discovery technologies, products and services from July 2002 to January 2005. From March 1999 to April 2002, Mr. Crouch was President and Chief Executive Officer at Variagenics, Inc., a pharmacogenomics firm. From January 1991 to March 1999, Mr. Crouch served as Senior Vice President of PAREXEL International Corporation, a contract research organization. Prior to that, he held various positions over eight years with Schering-Plough International and Pfizer. Mr. Crouch received his B.S. in chemical engineering, *cum laude*, from Princeton University and his M.B.A. in international finance and marketing from The University of Chicago. He is also a director of Bruker BioSciences Corp, a public life sciences company.

**James J. L. Italien, Ph.D.** joined the Company in June 2002 as Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Compliance. Prior to joining Ligand, Dr. L. Italien was Vice President, Global Regulatory Affairs at Baxter BioScience, a division of Baxter Healthcare Corporation. From 1994 to 1998, he served at Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as Senior Director and then as Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development. Dr. L. Italien also has served as Director, Quality and Technical Affairs at Ortho Biotech, a Johnson & Johnson Company (1991 to 1994) and as Associate Director, Analytical Development at SmithKline Beecham (1987 to 1991). Dr. L. Italien received his Ph.D. in protein biochemistry from Boston University and a B.S. in chemistry from Merrimack College.

**Paul V. Maier** joined the Company in October 1992 as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and became Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in November 1996. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Maier served as Vice President, Finance at DFS West, a division of DFS Group, L.P., a private multinational retailer from October 1990 to October 1992. From February 1990 to October 1990, Mr. Maier served as Vice President and Treasurer of ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a pharmaceutical and biotechnology research products company. Mr. Maier held various positions in finance and administration at SPI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a publicly held subsidiary of ICN Pharmaceuticals Group, from 1984 to 1988, including Vice President, Finance from February 1984 to February 1987. Mr. Maier received an M.B.A. from Harvard Graduate School of Business and a B.S. from Pennsylvania State University.

**William A. Pettit** joined the Company in November 1996 as Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Administration. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Pettit was Senior Vice President, Human Resources at Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc., a global pharmaceutical and healthcare company, where he was employed from 1986 to 1996. From 1984 to 1986, Mr. Pettit served as Corporate Director, Human Resources at Browning Ferris Industries, a waste services company. From 1975 to 1984, Mr. Pettit served in various positions at Bristol-Myers Company, now Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, including Director, Human Resources. Mr. Pettit received a B.A. in English from Amherst College.

**Warner R. Broaddus** joined Ligand in November 2001 as Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary. Prior to joining Ligand, Mr. Broaddus served as General Counsel and Secretary of Invitrogen Corporation, a biotechnology reagents & equipment maker, where he was employed from October 1994 to November 2000. In that capacity he had overall responsibility for the company's legal affairs, including intellectual property, securities and corporate governance. From 1986 to 1990, Mr. Broaddus was an analyst for Morgan Stanley & Co. and UBS Securities, Inc. (now UBS Warburg). Mr. Broaddus holds a J.D. from the University of San Diego and a B.S. from the University of Virginia.

**Eric S. Groves, M.D., Ph.D.** joined Ligand in August 1999 as Vice President, Project Management. From 1994 until joining Ligand, Dr. Groves held a number of positions at Sanofi Pharmaceuticals, most recently as Vice President, Project Direction where he was responsible for the worldwide strategy of and project direction for late-stage Sanofi oncology projects. From May 1991 through October 1994, Dr. Groves had served as Senior Project Director for the research division of Sterling Winthrop Corporation, and served as acting Vice President, Discovery and Clinical Research, Immunoconjugate Division. He was Director, Clinical Research and Development at CETUS

Corporation from 1989 through 1991. Dr. Groves received his B.S. degree from Massachusetts Institute of  
82

---

**Table of Contents**

Technology and his Ph.D. in physics from the University of Pennsylvania. He earned his M.D. at the University of Miami and completed an oncology fellowship at the National Cancer Institute.

**Martin D. Meglasson, Ph.D.** joined the Company in February 2004 as Vice President, Discovery Research. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Meglasson was Director of Preclinical Pharmacology and the functional leader for research into urology, sexual dysfunction, and neurological diseases at Pharmacia, Inc. from 1998 to 2003. From 1996 to 1998, Dr. Meglasson served as Director of Endocrine and Metabolic Research and functional leader for diabetes and obesity research at Pharmacia & Upjohn. From 1988 to 1996, he was a researcher in the fields of diabetes and obesity at The Upjohn Co. and Assistant Professor, then Adjunct Associate Professor of Pharmacology at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine. Dr. Meglasson received his Ph.D. in pharmacology from the University of Houston.

**Tod G. Mertes, CPA** joined Ligand in May 2001 as Director of Finance and was elected Vice President, Controller and Treasurer of the Company in May 2003. Prior to joining Ligand, Mr. Mertes was Chief Financial Officer at Combio Corporation and prior to Combio spent 12 years with PricewaterhouseCoopers in San Diego, California and Paris, France, most recently as an audit senior manager. Combio subsequently terminated its operations and filed a petition for bankruptcy in 2001. Mr. Mertes is a Certified Public Accountant and received a B.S. in business administration from California Polytechnic State University at San Luis Obispo.

***Board of Directors***

**Henry F. Blissenbach** has served as a Director since May 1995 and currently serves as chair of the Board's Compensation Committee and is a member of the Audit and Nominating Committees. Dr. Blissenbach is currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Bioscrip, Inc., a publicly-held specialty drug distribution and pharmacy benefit management company ( Bioscrip ), a position he has held since March 2005. Mr. Blissenbach previously served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer of Chronimed, Inc. which he joined in May 1997. Previously, Dr. Blissenbach served as President of Diversified Pharmaceutical Services, a division of United Health Care, from 1992 to 1997 (now GlaxoSmithKline). He earned his Doctor of Pharmacy (Pharm.D.) degree at the University of Minnesota, College of Pharmacy. He has held an academic appointment in the College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota, since 1981. Dr. Blissenbach currently serves also as a director of a private company.

**Alexander D. Cross, Ph.D.** has served as a Director of Company since March 1991 and currently serves as a member of the Board's Audit Committee. Dr. Cross has been an independent consultant in the fields of pharmaceuticals and biotechnology since January 1986. Dr. Cross served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Zoecon Corporation, a biotechnology company, from April 1983 to December 1985, and Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from 1979 to 1983. Dr. Cross is a director of Natestch Pharmaceuticals, a publicly-owned company and two private companies. Dr. Cross received his B.Sc., Ph.D. and D.Sc. degrees from the University of Nottingham, England, and is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry.

**John Groom** has served as a Director since May 1995 and currently serves as chair of the Board's Nominating Committee and is a member of the Compensation Committee. In 2001, Mr. Groom retired as President and Chief Operating Officer of Elan Corporation, plc ( Elan ) having served in that capacity since January 1997. Previously, he was President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Athena Neurosciences, Inc. from 1987 until its acquisition by Elan in July 1996. From 1960 until 1985, Mr. Groom was employed by Smith Kline & French Laboratories ( SK&F ), a division of SmithKline Beckman (now GlaxoSmithKline). He held a number of positions at SK&F including President of SK&F International, Vice President, Europe, and Managing Director, United Kingdom. Mr. Groom currently also serves on the Board of Directors of Amarin Corporation, plc, a public company and is also a director of a private company. Mr. Groom is a Fellow of the Association of Certified Accountants (UK).

**Irving S. Johnson, Ph.D.** has served as a Director since March 1989 and served as a member of the Board's Compensation and Nominating Committees until March 2005. He currently serves as a member of the Board's Science and Technology Committee and on the Scientific Advisory Board of the Company. Dr. Johnson has been an independent consultant in biomedical research to, and has served as director of, a number of companies since 1989 including service on a number of board committees, including audit. Dr. Johnson has also advised both small



**Table of Contents**

and multinational pharmaceutical companies, government and government organizations, institutes and venture capital groups. From 1953 until his retirement in November 1988, Dr. Johnson held various positions with Eli Lilly & Company, a pharmaceutical company, most recently as Vice President of Research from 1973 until 1988. Dr. Johnson holds a Ph.D. in developmental biology from the University of Kansas and a B.S. in chemistry from Washburn Municipal University.

**John W. Kozarich, Ph.D.** has served as a Director since March 2003 and currently serves as a member of the Board's Science and Technology Committee. Dr. Kozarich is Chairman and President and a Director of ActivX Biosciences, which he joined in January of 2001. ActivX is a wholly-owned subsidiary of KYORIN Pharmaceutical Company, Tokyo, Japan. From 1992 to 2001 Dr. Kozarich was vice president at Merck Research Laboratories, where he was responsible for a number of research programs. Dr. Kozarich is also a biotechnology professor at the Scripps Research Institute, and previously held faculty positions at the University of Maryland and Yale University School of Medicine. Dr. Kozarich earned his B.S. in chemistry from Boston College, his Ph.D. in biological chemistry from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and was an NIH postdoctoral fellow at Harvard.

**Daniel S. Loeb** has served as a director since December 2005. Mr. Loeb is Founder and CEO of Third Point LLC, an investment management firm founded in 1995. Third Point invests both long and short in securities involved in event driven and special situations. In 1994, prior to founding Third Point, Mr. Loeb was Vice President of High Yield sales at Citigroup, and from 1991 to 1993, he was Senior Vice President in the distressed debt department at Jefferies & Co. Mr. Loeb began his career as an Associate in private equity at Warburg Pincus in 1984. Mr. Loeb is also Chairman of the Board of American Restaurant Group and Director of Fulcrum Pharmaceuticals. Mr. Loeb graduated with an A.B. in Economics from Columbia University.

**Carl C. Peck, M.D.** has served as a Director since May 1997 and currently serves as chair of the Board's Science and Technology Committee. Dr. Peck has been Professor of Pharmacology and Medicine and Director of the Center for Drug Development Science at Georgetown University Medical Center since September 1994. Dr. Peck was Boerhaave Professor of Clinical Drug Research at Leiden University from November 1993 to July 1995. From October 1987 to November 1993, Dr. Peck was Director, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research of the FDA. He held a number of academic positions prior to October 1987, including Professor of Medicine and Pharmacology, Uniformed Services University, from 1982 to October 1987. Dr. Peck holds an M.D. and a B.S., both from the University of Kansas, as well as an honorary doctorate from the University of Uppsala. Dr. Peck is a director of two private companies.

**Jeffrey R. Perry** has served as a director since December 2005. Mr. Perry is Senior Advisor of Third Point LLC. From September 2003 to January 2005, Mr. Perry was a partner at Kynikos Associates, Ltd. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Perry was a senior portfolio manager at SAC Capital Advisors. From 1993 to 2001, Mr. Perry was a general partner and co-Director of Research at Zweig-DiMenna Associates, a large New York-based hedge fund. In all, Mr. Perry has been employed in the money management business for 23 years, the last 17 at senior levels at major hedge funds. He graduated Magna Cum Laude from Georgetown University with a B.A. in American Studies.

**Brigitte Roberts, M.D.** has served as a director since December 2005. Dr. Roberts currently covers healthcare investments for Third Point LLC. Prior to joining Third Point in January 2005, she ran a healthcare portfolio at DKR Capital from 2003 to 2004 and previously worked as an associate healthcare analyst at Sturza's Medical Research in 2002 and Thomas Weisel Partners in 2001. Dr. Roberts graduated from Harvard University with a B.A. in Physics and Chemistry. She then attended NYU Medical School, where she graduated with an M.D. and completed one year of general surgical residency.

**Michael A. Rocca** has served as a Director since April 1999 and currently serves as chair of the Board's Audit Committee. Mr. Rocca was an independent financial consultant from 2000 to 2004 when he retired. Previously he was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mallinckrodt, Inc., a global manufacturer and marketer of specialty medical products, a position he held from April 1994 to October 2000. From 1966 until 1994, Mr. Rocca was employed by Honeywell, Inc., a control technology company. He held a number of positions at Honeywell which included Vice President and Treasurer, Vice President of Finance, Europe, and Vice President and Controller International. Mr. Rocca currently serves on the board of directors of Lawson Software Inc., and St. Jude Medical, Inc., both public companies. Mr. Rocca earned his BBA in accounting from the University of Iowa.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Board Composition and Committees**

Our board of directors is currently composed of eleven members, including 10 non-employee members and our current President and Chief Executive Officer, David E. Robinson.

Messrs. Loeb and Perry and Dr. Roberts (the Third Point Designees ) were initially elected to the board of directors on December 8, 2005 pursuant to a Stockholders Agreement the Company entered into on December 2, 2005 with Third Point LLC and its affiliated entities. The Third Point Designees were nominated and recommended for election to the board of directors at the January 2006 annual meeting of our stockholders and at such annual meeting were re-elected.

### **Board Committees**

The board of directors has established four committees: an Audit Committee, a Nominating Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Science and Technology Committee. Each committee is described below. The Board has determined that each member of these committees meets the applicable rules and regulations regarding independence and that each member is free of any relationship that would interfere with his or her individual exercise of independent judgment with regard to the Company.

The Audit Committee was established in March 1992 and is primarily responsible for overseeing the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes, auditing of financial statements, systems of internal control, and financial compliance programs. This Committee currently consists of Dr. Cross and Messrs. Blissenbach and Rocca, each of whom is independent as defined under Rule 4350 of the NASDAQ listing standards. The Audit Committee held seven meetings and five telephonic meetings during 2004. The Audit Committee is governed by a written charter approved by the Board of Directors, which was last amended in May 2004 and is attached as Appendix A. After reviewing the qualifications of all current Committee members and any relationship they may have that might affect their independence from the Company, the Board has determined that (i) all current Committee members are independent as that concept is defined under Section 10A of the Exchange Act, (ii) all current Committee members are independent as that concept is defined under the NASDAQ National Market listing standards, (iii) all current Committee members have the ability to read and understand financial statements and (iv) Michael A. Rocca qualifies as an audit committee financial expert. The latter determination is based on a qualitative assessment of Mr. Rocca's level of knowledge and experience based on a number of factors, including his formal education and experience, for example as a chief financial officer of a public company.

The Nominating Committee was established in December 2001 and is responsible for identifying and recommending candidates for director of the Company. The Committee is governed by a written charter which was adopted in 2003 and attached to the Company's proxy statement for the 2004 annual meeting as Appendix B. In addition, a free copy of the Nominating Committee charter may be requested by writing to: Investor Relations, Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, 10275 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121. The Committee is chaired by Mr. Groom and its current members are Messrs. Blissenbach and Groom. Each member is an independent director under Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ listing standards. The Nominating Committee held two meetings during 2004.

The Nominating Committee considers nominees recommended by stockholders, if submitted in writing to the Secretary at the Company's principal executive offices and accompanied by the author's full name, current address and telephone number. The Committee received no 5% stockholder nominations for the January 2006 annual meeting of our stockholders. The Committee has set no specific minimum qualifications for candidates it recommends, but considers each individual's qualifications, such as high personal integrity and ethics, relevant expertise and professional experience, as a whole. The Committee considers candidates throughout the year and makes recommendations as vacancies occur or the size of the Board expands. Candidates are identified from a variety of sources including recommendations by stockholders, current directors, management, and other parties and the Committee considers all such candidates in the same manner, regardless of source. Under its charter the Committee may retain a paid search firm to identify and recommend candidates but has not done so to date.

The Compensation Committee was established in March 1992 and reviews and approves the Company's compensation policies, sets executive officers' compensation and administers the Company's stock option and stock





**Table of Contents**

purchase plans. This committee is chaired by Mr. Blissenbach and currently consists of Messrs. Blissenbach and Groom. Each member is an independent director under Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ listing standards. The Compensation Committee held five meetings and one telephonic meeting and acted by unanimous written consent once during 2004.

The Science & Technology Committee of the Board was established in March 2005 to review the Company's overall research and development strategy, research and development projects, and to advise the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company regarding future research and development efforts. The Committee is chaired by Dr. Peck and currently consists of Drs. Peck, Johnson and Kozarich.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

During fiscal 2005, the Compensation Committee was composed of Messrs. Blissenbach, and Groom. No member of the Compensation Committee was at any time during the 2005 fiscal year or at any other time an officer or employee of the Company. No executive officer of the Company served on the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity which has one or more executive officers serving as members of the Company's Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

**Director Compensation**

Non-employee Board members are paid fees for their Board service and are reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with such service. Each director receives an annual fee of \$10,000, plus \$2,500 per day for each Board meeting attended, \$1,000 per day for each committee meeting attended on non-Board meeting dates and \$500 per day for each Board or committee meeting in which he participates by telephone. In addition, the Audit Committee Chairman receives an annual fee of \$15,000 and the Compensation Committee Chairman receives an annual fee of \$2,500. Under a commitment with Dr. Johnson, the Company also pays him \$4,000 for each day of service as a member of the Scientific Advisory Board or as a consultant to the Company. The Company also reimburses Dr. Johnson for all reasonable and necessary travel and other incidental expense incurred in connection with such duties.

Non-employee Board members are also eligible to participate in the Automatic Option Grant Program in effect under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. At the 2004 annual meeting of stockholders, each of Messrs. Blissenbach, Groom and Rocca and Drs. Cross, Johnson, Kozarich and Peck were granted automatically an option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$17.16 per share, the fair market value per share of common stock on the date of their re-election as a non-employee Board member. At their election to the Board on December 8, 2005, Messrs. Loeb and Perry and Dr. Roberts each were granted automatically an option to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$11.35 per share, the fair market value on that date. At the 2006 annual meeting of stockholders, each of Messrs. Blissenbach, Groom and Rocca and Drs. Cross, Johnson, Kozarich and Peck were granted automatically an option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$12.40 per share, the fair market value per share of common stock on the date of their re-election as a non-employee Board member.

Each of the options granted under the Automatic Option Grant Program becomes exercisable for all the option shares upon completion of one year of Board service. Each option has a maximum term of 10 years measured from the grant date, subject to earlier termination following the optionee's cessation of Board service. For further information concerning such automatic option grants to directors, please see Automatic Option Grant Program discussion below.

Non-employee directors continuing in office on January 1, 2005 were permitted to elect to apply all or a portion of their 2005 cash fees to the acquisition of a special discounted stock option under the Director Fee Option Grant Program of the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. On January 3, 2005, in connection with such election the directors listed below were each granted an option for the number of shares shown. The numbers include each director's option grants under this program for 2005.

**Table of Contents****2005 Director Fee Option Grants**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Option Shares</b>
Henry F. Blissenbach	2,009
Alexander D. Cross, Ph.D	1,841
John Groom	3,683
Irving S. Johnson, Ph.D	1,841
John W. Kozarich, Ph.D	3,683
Carl C. Peck, M.D	1,841

Each option has an exercise price of \$3.733 per share, one-third of the fair market value per share of common stock on the grant date, which was \$11.20. Accordingly, the fair market value of those shares less the aggregate exercise price was equal to the cash fees for 2005 that such Board member elected to apply to the grant. Each option becomes exercisable in a series of 12 successive equal monthly installments upon the optionee's completion of each month of Board service during the 2005 calendar year. Each option has a maximum term of 10 years measured from the grant date, subject to earlier termination three years following the optionee's cessation of Board service.

The Director Fee Option Grant Program (the Program) is implemented under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan for each calendar year until otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee. In December 2005, the Compensation Committee suspended the Program for calendar year 2006 due to requirements of state blue sky laws. The Program may resume upon compliance with applicable laws and approval of the Compensation Committee.

Under the Program, each non-employee Board member may elect, prior to the start of each calendar year, to apply all or any portion of the annual fees otherwise payable in cash for his or her period of service on the Board for that year to the acquisition of a special discounted option grant. The option grant is a non-statutory option under the federal tax laws and is automatically made on the first trading day in January in the calendar year for which the director fee election is in effect. The option has a maximum term of 10 years measured from the grant date and an exercise price per share equal to one-third of the fair market value of the option shares on such date. The number of shares subject to each option is determined by dividing the amount of the annual fees applied to the acquisition of that option by two-thirds of the fair market value per share of common stock on the grant date. As a result, the total spread on the option (the fair market value of the option shares on the grant date less the aggregate exercise price payable for those shares) is equal to the portion of the annual fees applied to the acquisition of the option. The dollar amount of the fee subject to the Board member's election each year is equal to his or her annual retainer fee, plus the number of regularly-scheduled Board meetings for that year multiplied by the per Board meeting fee in effect for such year. Under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, the current annual dollar amount of the fee that can be applied is \$27,500 for each non-employee director, plus \$15,000 for the Audit Committee chair or \$2,500 for the Compensation Committee chair.

**Table of Contents**

Our Compensation Committee has approved the issuance of shares of restricted stock to certain of our non-employee directors pursuant to the Stock Issuance Program under the 2002 Plan. The following directors were issued the following number of shares of restricted stock: John W. Kozarich, 2,378 shares; Daniel S. Loeb, 2,378 shares; Carl C. Peck, 2,378 shares; Jeffrey R. Perry, 2,378 shares; Brigette Roberts, M.D., 2,378 shares; and Michael A. Rocca, 3,676 shares. Each such director elected to apply his right to receive all or a portion of his directors' fees during 2006 to the acquisition of shares of restricted stock. The purchase price for the shares of restricted stock was equal to \$11.56, the fair market value of our common stock on the date of purchase. The number of shares of restricted stock purchased by each director was determined by dividing (1) the dollar amount of the director fees he elected to apply to the acquisition of shares of restricted stock by (2) \$11.56. Each such director will no longer have any right to receive payment in cash of the directors' fees applied to the purchase of the restricted stock. The shares of restricted stock will be subject to forfeiture in the event a director's service on the Board of Directors terminates for any reason prior to the date on which such shares vest. The shares of restricted stock will vest in 12 successive equal monthly installments upon the director's completion of each calendar month of service on the Board of Directors during 2006, with the first installment vesting on January 31, 2006.

**Executive Compensation**

The following table summarizes the compensation earned by the Named Executive Officers, i.e. the Chief Executive and the next four most highly-compensated executive officers, for services rendered in all capacities to the Company and its subsidiaries for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

**Table of Contents****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation Awards Securities Underlying	
		Salary(\$) (1)	Bonus(\$)	Other Annual Compensation (\$) (2)	Options/ SARs(#)	All Other Compensation(\$) (3)
<b>David E. Robinson</b>	2005	666,667	150,000	6,080	100,000	2,322
Chairman of the Board,	2004	643,333		188,049	150,000	2,322
President and CEO	2003	623,333	250,000	334,966	175,000	2,322
<b>Andres F. Negro-Vilar</b>	2005	450,000	125,000	135,949	35,000	6,858
Executive Vice President,	2004	423,800	70,000	142,987	30,000	3,564
Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer	2003	407,500	91,750	211,352	75,000	3,564
<b>Paul V. Maier</b>	2005	335,000	51,000	25,325	35,000	2,322
Senior Vice President,	2004	304,750		31,665	30,000	2,322
Chief Financial Officer	2003	287,500	63,250	54,268	75,000	2,322
<b>Warner R. Broaddus</b>	2005	286,000	58,000		20,000	526
Vice President, General	2004	238,140	35,000		20,000	493
Counsel and Secretary	2003	220,500	44,100		25,000	461
<b>Tod G. Mertes</b>	2005	240,000	108,000		15,000	468
Vice President, Controller	2004	200,000	30,000		20,000	381
and Treasurer	2003	158,151	40,000		37,500	283

(1) Compensation deferred at the election of the executive, pursuant to the Ligand Pharmaceuticals 401(k) Plan and Ligand Deferred Compensation Plan are included in the year earned.

(2) Amounts represent the value of excess earnings on contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan that were

either paid or for which payment was deferred at the election of the officer.

Messrs. Broaddus and Mertes do not participate in Ligand's Deferred Compensation Plan.

- (3) Amounts represent the value of life insurance premiums.

**Stock Awards.** The following table provides information on the option grants made to the Named Executive Officers, i.e. the Chief Executive Officer and the next four most highly-compensated executive officers, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. For all employees (including the executive officers, but excluding the non-employee directors), options to purchase a total of 951,382 shares of stock were granted during the same fiscal year. No stock appreciation rights were granted to the Named Executive Officers during that fiscal year.

Table of Contents

**OPTION/SAR GRANTS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR**  
**Individual Grants**

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARs Granted (#)	% of Total Options/SARs Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Sh)	Expiration Date	Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term	
					5% (\$)	10% (\$)
David E. Robinson	100,000	10.5110	7.25	7/5/15	455,949	1,155,463
Andres F. Negro-Vilar	35,000	3.6789	7.25	7/5/15	159,582	404,412
Paul V. Maier	35,000	3.6789	7.25	7/5/15	159,582	404,412
Warner R. Broaddus	20,000	2.1022	7.25	7/5/15	91,190	231,093
Tod G. Mertes	15,000	1.5767	7.25	7/5/15	68,392	173,320

Each option has a maximum term of 10 years measured from such grant date, subject to earlier termination upon the optionee's cessation of service with the Company. The shares subject to each option are only exercisable if vested and will vest 12.5% upon six months of service after grant and after that, in 42 monthly installments. The vesting of the shares subject to the options granted to Mr. Robinson will accelerate in connection with his termination of employment under certain circumstances, including a change in control of the Company. The shares subject to the options granted to the other Named Executive Officers will immediately vest in full in the event their employment were to terminate following certain changes in control of the Company. These arrangements are described below in Employment, Severance and Change of Control Arrangements with Executive Officers.

The Plan Administrator may grant tandem stock appreciation rights in connection with option grants which require the holder to elect between the exercise of the underlying option for shares of common stock and the surrender of such option for a distribution from the Company, payable in cash or shares of common stock, based upon the appreciated value of the option shares.

The exercise price may be paid in cash, in shares of common stock valued at fair market value on the exercise date or through a cashless exercise procedure involving a same-day sale of the purchased shares. The optionee may be permitted, subject to the approval of the plan administrator, to apply a portion of the shares purchased under the option, or to deliver existing shares of common stock, in satisfaction of such tax liability.

The Company does not provide assurance to any executive officer or any other holder of the Company's securities that the actual stock price appreciation over the 10-year option term will be at the assumed 5% and 10% levels or at any other defined level. Unless the market price of the common stock does in fact appreciate over the option term, no value will be realized from the option grants made to the executive officers.

The following table shows information concerning option exercises and holdings for the year ended December 31, 2005 with respect to each of the Named Executive Officers. No stock appreciation rights were exercised by the named Executive Officers during such fiscal year, and no stock appreciation rights were held by them at the end of such fiscal year.

**Table of Contents****AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND  
FISCAL YEAR-END OPTION VALUES**

Name	Shares acquired on exercise	Value realized (\$)	Number of Securities Underlying		Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options/SARs at December 31, 2005	
			Unexercised Options/SARs at December 31, 2005	Unexercised Options/SARs at December 31, 2005	Exercisable (\$)	Unexercisable (\$)
David E. Robinson			891,667	158,333	433,167	500,833
Andres Negro-Vilar			380,875	60,000	349,783	184,000
Paul V. Maier			325,477	60,000	236,754	184,000
Warner R. Broaddus			96,667	28,333	31,667	93,833
Tod G. Mertes			59,844	27,656	41,633	79,492

Value realized on exercise is based upon the market price of the purchased shares on the exercise date less the option exercise price paid for those shares. Value of unexercised in-the-money options is equal to the fair market value of the securities underlying the option at fiscal year-end, \$11.15 per share, less the exercise price payable for those securities.

**Employment, Severance and Change of Control Arrangements with Named Executive Officers**

In May 1996, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Robinson pursuant to which he is to be employed as President and Chief Executive Officer. This agreement automatically renewed for three years on May 1, 2005, i.e. until May 1, 2008, and will automatically be renewed for successive additional three year terms unless earlier terminated by the Company or Mr. Robinson. During the remainder of the employment term, Mr. Robinson will receive a base salary per year and annual incentive bonuses based upon his performance and the Company's attainment of designated performance goals. If Mr. Robinson's employment is terminated without cause, or if he resigns for specified reasons, such as

a change in position, duties and responsibilities without consent,

a reduction in salary or benefits, or

certain events occurring upon a change in control of the Company, he will be entitled to a severance payment equal to 24 months of base salary, at the rate in effect for him at the time of such termination, and all of his outstanding options will, except under certain limited circumstances, vest and become exercisable for all the option shares on an accelerated basis in connection with his termination of employment, including a termination following a change in control of the Company.

In September 1996, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Negro-Vilar pursuant to which he is employed as Executive Vice President, Research and Chief Scientific Officer for an unspecified term. The agreement provides that Dr. Negro-Vilar is an at-will employee. In the event his employment is terminated without cause, he will be entitled to 12 months of salary continuation payments, and all of his outstanding options will immediately vest and become exercisable for all of the option shares.

In September 1992, Ligand entered into an employment agreement with Paul V. Maier pursuant to which Mr. Maier is employed as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for an unspecified term. The agreement provides that Mr. Maier is an at-will employee. If Mr. Maier's employment is terminated by the Company without cause, he will be entitled to six months base salary.



Additionally, the Company has entered into employee retention agreements dated March 1, 2006 with each of Dr. Negro-Vilar and Messrs. Maier and Broaddus. The agreements provide for certain retention or stay bonus payments in cash and/or stock options under specified circumstances as an additional incentive to remain employed in good standing with the Company.

**Table of Contents**

In December 2005 the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Mertes that provides for certain severance and retention or stay bonus payments under specified circumstances. If Mr. Mertes' employment is involuntarily terminated as defined in the agreement, prior to December 31, 2006, Mr. Mertes is entitled to receive a payment equal to 12 months' regular salary. If Mr. Mertes remains employed and available for work through December 31, 2006, he is entitled to receive a stay bonus of four months' salary. Mr. Mertes may receive all or part of the stay bonus if he is involuntarily terminated in connection with a change of control on or before December 31, 2006.

The Company has entered into an agreement with each employee holding one or more outstanding options under the 2002 Plan, including each of the Named Executive Officers other than Mr. Robinson, pursuant to which such options will automatically vest on an accelerated basis in the event that such individual's employment is terminated following:

an acquisition of the Company by merger or asset sale or

a change in control of the Company effected through a successful tender offer for more than 50% of the Company's outstanding common stock or through a change in the majority of the Board as a result of one or more contested elections for Board membership.

The Company has entered into severance agreements with each of the Named Executive Officers and the other executive officers other than Mr. Robinson pursuant to which such individuals will, in the event their employment is involuntarily terminated in connection with a change in control of the Company, receive a severance benefit equal to one times the annual rate of base salary in effect for such officer at the time of involuntary termination plus one times the average of bonuses paid to such officer for services rendered in the two fiscal years immediately preceding the fiscal year of involuntary termination. The severance amount will be payable in 12 monthly installments following the officer's termination of employment.

**Employee Benefit and Stock Plans**

**2002 Stock Incentive Plan**

The 2002 Stock Incentive Plan contains four separate equity programs:

the Discretionary Option Grant Program,

the Automatic Option Grant Program,

the Stock Issuance Program, and

the Director Fee Option Grant Program.

The principal features of these programs are described below. The 2002 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board. This committee has complete discretion, subject to the provisions of the 2002 Plan, to authorize option grants and direct stock issuances under the 2002 Plan. However, the Board may also appoint a secondary committee of one or more Board members to have separate but concurrent authority to make option grants and stock issuances under those programs to all eligible individuals other than the Company's executive officers and non-employee Board members. The term "Plan Administrator," as used in this registration statement, will mean either the Compensation Committee or any secondary committee, to the extent each such entity is acting within the scope of its duties under the 2002 Plan. The Plan Administrator does not exercise any administrative discretion under the Automatic Option Grant or Director Fee Option Grant Program for the non-employee Board members. All grants under those programs are made in strict compliance with the express provisions of each such program.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Issuable Shares*

Since its adoption, a total of 8,325,529 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2002 Plan (including shares transferred from the predecessor plan). As of December 31, 2005, options for 7,001,657 shares of common stock were outstanding under the 2002 Plan, 54,914 shares remained available for future option grant or direct issuance, and 5,525,899 shares have been issued under the 2002 Plan.

In no event may any one participant in the 2002 Plan receive options, separately exercisable stock appreciation rights and direct stock issuances for more than one million shares in any calendar year. If an option expires or is terminated for any reason before all its shares are exercised, the shares not exercised will be available for subsequent option grants or stock issuances under the 2002 Plan. Unvested shares issued under the 2002 Plan and subsequently repurchased by or forfeited to the Company will be added back to the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2002 Plan. Accordingly, such repurchased or forfeited shares will be available for reissuance through one or more subsequent option grants or direct stock issuances under the 2002 Plan. However, shares subject to any option surrendered or canceled in accordance with the stock appreciation right provisions of the 2002 Plan will reduce on a share-for-share basis the number of shares of common stock available for subsequent grants.

Should any change be made to the common stock issuable under the 2002 Plan by reason of any stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, combination of shares, exchange of shares or other change affecting the outstanding common stock as a class without the Company's receipt of consideration, then appropriate adjustments will be made to the maximum number and/or class of securities issuable under the 2002 Plan;

the number and/or class of securities for which any one person may be granted options, separately exercisable stock appreciation rights and direct stock issuances per calendar year under the 2002 Plan;

the number and/or class of securities for which grants are to be made under the Automatic Option Grant Program to new or continuing non-employee Board members;

the number and/or class of securities and price per share in effect under each outstanding option; and

the number and/or class of securities and the exercise price per share in effect under each outstanding option under the 2002 Plan.

Such adjustments to the outstanding options will be effected in a manner which will preclude the enlargement or dilution of rights and benefits under those options.

### ***Eligibility***

Officers and employees of the Company and its parent or subsidiaries, whether now existing or subsequently established, non-employee members of the Board and consultants and independent contractors of the Company and its parent and subsidiaries will be eligible to participate in the 2002 Plan.

### ***Discretionary Grant Program***

#### *Grants*

The Plan Administrator has complete discretion under the Discretionary Option Grant Program to determine which eligible individuals are to receive option grants, the time or times when those grants are to be made, the number of shares subject to each such grant, the status of any granted option as either an incentive stock option or a non-statutory option under the federal tax laws, the vesting schedule (if any) to be in effect for the option grant and the maximum term (up to 10 years) for which any granted option is to remain outstanding.

#### *Price and Exercisability*

Each granted option will have an exercise price per share not less than 100% of the fair market value per share of common stock on the option grant date, and no granted option will have a term in excess of 10 years. The shares subject to each option will generally become exercisable for fully-vested shares in a series of installments over a specified period of service measured from the grant date. However, one or more options may be structured so that

**Table of Contents**

they are immediately exercisable for any or all of the option shares. The shares acquired under such immediately-exercisable options will normally be unvested and subject to repurchase by the Company.

The exercise price may be paid in cash or in shares of common stock. Outstanding options may also be exercised through a same-day sale program pursuant to which a designated brokerage firm is to effect an immediate sale of the shares purchased under the option and pay to the Company, out of the sale proceeds available on the settlement date, sufficient funds to cover the exercise price for the purchased shares plus all applicable withholding taxes.

No optionee has any stockholder rights with respect to the option shares until such optionee has exercised the option and paid the exercise price for the purchased shares. Options are generally not assignable or transferable other than by will or the laws of inheritance and, during the optionee's lifetime, the option may be exercised only by such optionee. However, the Plan Administrator may allow non-statutory options to be transferred or assigned during the optionee's lifetime to one or more members of the optionee's immediate family or to a trust established exclusively for one or more such family members or to the optionee's former spouse, to the extent such transfer or assignment is in furtherance of the optionee's estate plan or pursuant to a domestic relations order. The optionee may also designate one or more beneficiaries to automatically receive his or her outstanding options at death.

*Termination of Service*

Upon cessation of service, the optionee will have a limited period of time in which to exercise his or her outstanding options for any shares in which the optionee is vested at that time. The Plan Administrator has discretion to extend the period following the optionee's cessation of service during which his or her outstanding options may be exercised, up to the date of the option's expiration and/or to accelerate the exercisability or vesting of such options in whole or in part.

*Cancellation/Regrant*

In April 2003, the Board amended the 2002 Plan to remove the cancellation and regrant provision. Thus the 2002 Plan does not provide for the cancellation and regrant of outstanding options.

***Stock Issuance Program***

Shares may be sold under the Stock Issuance Program at a price per share not less than their fair market value, payable in cash. Shares may also be issued in consideration of past or future services without any cash outlay required of the recipient. Shares of common stock may also be issued under the Stock Issuance Program pursuant to share right awards which entitle the recipients to receive those shares upon the attainment of designated performance goals or completion of a specified service period. The Plan Administrator has complete discretion under this program to determine which eligible individuals are to receive such stock issuances or share right awards, the time or times when such issuances or awards are to be made, the number of shares subject to each such issuance or award and the vesting schedule to be in effect for the stock issuance or share rights award.

The shares issued may be fully and immediately vested upon issuance or may vest upon the recipient's completion of a designated service period or upon the Company's attainment of pre-established performance goals. The Plan Administrator has, however, the discretionary authority at any time to accelerate the vesting of any and all unvested shares outstanding under the Stock Issuance Program.

Any unvested shares for which the requisite service requirement or performance objective is not obtained must be surrendered to the Company for cancellation, and the participant will not have any further stockholder rights with respect to those shares. The Company will, however, repay the participant the lower of (i) the cash amount paid for the surrendered shares or (ii) the fair market value of those shares at the time of the participant's cessation of service.

Outstanding share right awards under the Stock Issuance Program will automatically terminate, and no shares of common stock will actually be issued in satisfaction of those awards, if the performance goals established for such awards are not attained. The Plan Administrator, however, has the discretionary authority to issue shares of common stock in satisfaction of one or more outstanding share right awards as to which the designated performance goals are not attained.

**Table of Contents*****Automatic Option Grant Program****Grants*

Under the Automatic Option Grant Program, eligible non-employee Board members receive a series of option grants over their period of Board service. Each individual who first becomes a non-employee Board member at any time on or after the effective date receives an option grant for 20,000 shares of common stock on the date such individual joins the Board, provided such individual has not been in the prior employ of the Company. In addition, on the date of each annual stockholders meeting held after the effective date, each non-employee Board member who is to continue to serve as a non-employee Board member (including individuals who joined the Board prior to the effective date) is automatically granted an option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock, provided such individual has served on the Board for at least six months. There is no limit on the number of such 10,000-share option grants any one eligible non-employee Board member may receive over his or her period of continued Board service, and non-employee Board members who have previously been in the Company's employ are eligible to receive one or more such annual option grants over their period of Board service.

*Option Terms*

Each automatic grant has an exercise price per share equal to the fair market value per share of common stock on the grant date and has a maximum term of 10 years. The shares subject to each automatic option grant (whether the initial grant or an annual grant) fully vest and become exercisable upon the completion of one year of Board service measured from the grant date. Additionally, the shares subject to each automatic option grant immediately vest in full upon certain changes in control or ownership of the Company or upon the optionee's death or disability while a Board member. Each option granted under the program remains exercisable for vested shares until the earlier of (i) the expiration of the 10-year option term or (ii) the expiration of the 3-year period measured from the date of the optionee's cessation of Board service.

***Director Fee Option Grant Program***

The Director Fee Option Grant Program is implemented for each calendar year until otherwise determined by the Plan Administrator. Under the Director Fee Option Grant Program, each non-employee Board member may elect, prior to the start of each calendar year, to apply all or any portion of the annual fees otherwise payable in cash for his or her period of service on the Board for that year to the acquisition of a special discounted option grant. The option grant is a non-statutory option under the federal tax laws and is automatically made on the first trading day in January in the calendar year for which the director fee election is in effect. The option has a maximum term of 10 years measured from the grant date and an exercise price per share equal to one-third of the fair market value of the option shares on such date. The number of shares subject to each option is determined by dividing the amount of the annual fees applied to the acquisition of that option by two-thirds of the fair market value per share of common stock on the grant date. As a result, the total spread on the option (the fair market value of the option shares on the grant date less the aggregate exercise price payable for those shares) is equal to the portion of the annual fees applied to the acquisition of the option. The dollar amount of the fee subject to the Board member's election each year is equal to his or her annual retainer fee, plus the number of regularly-scheduled Board meetings for that year multiplied by the per Board meeting fee in effect for such year. Under the 2002 Plan, the current annual dollar amount of the fee that can be applied is \$27,500 for each non-employee director, plus \$15,000 for the Audit Committee chair or \$2,500 for the Compensation Committee chair.

The option is exercisable in a series of 12 successive equal monthly installments upon the optionee's completion of each month of Board service in the calendar year for which the fee election is in effect, subject to full and immediate acceleration upon certain changes in control or ownership of the Company or upon the optionee's death or disability while a Board member. Each option granted under the program remains exercisable for vested share until the earlier of (i) the expiration of the 10-year option term or (ii) the expiration of the 3-year period measured from the date of the optionee's cessation of Board service.

The options granted in 2005 under the Program are subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury regulations thereunder (the "Code"). Each such option that is outstanding on December 31, 2005 was amended to provide that such option will be either a) exercised on or before March 15,



**Table of Contents**

2006 or b) automatically exercisable for 2 1/2 months following the first to occur of (1) the director's death or disability, (2) the director's separation from service with the Company, within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code, (3) a change in the ownership or effective control of the Company, or in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of the Company, within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code, or (4) January 2, 2015. All other terms of such options will remain the same.

The Board has approved an amendment to the 2002 Plan to bring the Director Fee Option Grant Program into compliance with Section 409A of the Code. Options granted pursuant to the Director Fee Option Grant Program in the future will be automatically exercisable for 2 1/2 months following the first to occur of (1) the director's death or disability, (2) the director's separation from service with the Company, within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code, (3) a change in the ownership or effective control of the Company, or in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of the Company, within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code, or (4) the tenth anniversary of the date of grant. All other terms of the Program will remain in effect. Non-employee Board members elected, prior to December 31, 2005, whether to participate in the Program during 2006 in the event the Program is reinstated during 2006.

***General Plan Provisions***

*Valuation*

For all valuation purposes under the 2002 Plan, the fair market value per share of common stock on any date is deemed equal to the closing selling price per share on that date. If there is no reported selling price for such date, then the fair market value per share is the closing selling price on the last preceding date for which such quotation exists.

*Vesting Acceleration*

In the event that the Company is acquired by merger or asset sale, each outstanding option under the Discretionary Option Grant Program which is not to be assumed by the successor corporation will automatically accelerate in full, and all unvested shares under the Discretionary Option Grant and Stock Issuance Programs will immediately vest, except to the extent the Company's repurchase rights with respect to those shares are to be assigned to the successor corporation. The Plan Administrator has complete discretion to grant one or more options under the Discretionary Option Grant Program which will become fully exercisable for all the option shares in the event those options are assumed in the acquisition and the optionee's service with the Company or the acquiring entity is involuntarily terminated within a designated period (not to exceed 18 months) following such acquisition. The vesting of outstanding shares under the Stock Issuance Program may be accelerated upon similar terms and conditions. The Plan Administrator also has the authority to grant options which will immediately vest upon an acquisition of the Company, whether or not those options are assumed by the successor corporation.

The vesting of outstanding shares under the Stock Issuance Program may be accelerated upon similar terms and conditions. The Plan Administrator also has the authority to grant options which will immediately vest upon an acquisition of the Company, whether or not those options are assumed by the successor corporation.

The Plan Administrator is also authorized under the Discretionary Option Grant and Stock Issuance Programs to grant options and to structure repurchase rights so that the shares subject to those options or repurchase rights will immediately vest in connection with a change in ownership or control of the Company (whether by successful tender offer for more than 50% of the outstanding voting stock or by a change in the majority of the Board by reason of one or more contested elections for Board membership). Such accelerated vesting may occur either at the time of such change in ownership or control or upon the subsequent involuntary termination of the individual's service within a designated period (not to exceed 18 months) following such change in ownership or control.

The shares subject to each option under the Automatic Option Grant and Director Fee Option Grant Programs immediately vest upon (i) an acquisition of the Company by merger or asset sale, (ii) the successful completion of a

**Table of Contents**

tender offer for more than 50% of the Company's outstanding voting stock or (iii) a change in the majority of the Board effected through one or more contested elections for Board membership.

The acceleration of vesting in the event of a change in the ownership or control of the Company may be seen as an anti-takeover provision and may have the effect of discouraging a merger proposal, a takeover attempt or other efforts to gain control of the Company.

*Stock Appreciation Rights*

The Plan Administrator is authorized to issue tandem stock appreciation rights in connection with option grants made under the Plan. Tandem stock appreciation rights, which may be granted under the Discretionary Option Grant Program, provide the holders with the right to surrender their options for an appreciation distribution from the Company equal in amount to the excess of (a) the fair market value of the vested shares of common stock subject to the surrendered option over (b) the aggregate exercise price payable for those shares. Such appreciation distribution may, at the discretion of the Plan Administrator, be made in cash or in shares of common stock.

***Amendment and Termination***

The Board may amend or modify the 2002 Plan at any time, subject to any required stockholder approval pursuant to applicable laws and regulations. Unless sooner terminated by the Board, the 2002 Plan will terminate on the *earlier* of

March 7, 2012 or

the termination of all outstanding options in connection with certain changes in control or ownership of the Company.

**2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

*Share Reserve and Plan Administration*

Since its adoption in 2002, a total of 510,248 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2002 ESPP. This total includes 35,248 shares transferred from the previous (1992) employee stock purchase plan. As of December 31, 2005, 362,738 shares of common stock had been issued under the 2002 ESPP, and 147,510 shares are available for future issuance.

Should any change be made to our outstanding common stock by reason of any stock dividend, stock split, exchange or combination of shares or other similar change affecting the outstanding common stock as a class without the Company's receipt of consideration, appropriate adjustments will be made to

the class and maximum number of securities issuable over the term of the 2002 ESPP,

the class and maximum number of securities purchasable per participant on any purchase date and

the class and number of securities and the price per share in effect under each outstanding purchase right. Such adjustments are designed to preclude the dilution or enlargement of rights and benefits under the 2002 ESPP.

The 2002 ESPP is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the Plan Administrator). As Plan Administrator, the committee has full authority to administer the 2002 ESPP, including the authority to interpret and construe any provision of the 2002 ESPP.



**Table of Contents**

*Offering Periods and Purchase Rights*

Common stock is offered for purchase under the 2002 ESPP through a series of successive offering periods, each with a maximum duration (not to exceed 24 months) specified by the Plan Administrator prior to the start date.

At the time a participant joins the offering period, he or she is granted a purchase right to acquire shares of common stock at quarterly intervals over the remainder of that offering period. Each participant may authorize periodic payroll deductions in any multiple of 1% (up to a maximum of 10%) of his or her total cash earnings to be applied to the acquisition of common stock at quarterly intervals. The purchase dates occur on the last business days of March, June, September and December of each year, and all payroll deductions collected from the participant for the three month period ending with each such quarterly purchase date are automatically applied to the purchase of common stock on that date provided the participant remains an eligible employee and does not withdraw from the 2002 ESPP prior to that date.

A participant may withdraw from the 2002 ESPP at any time, and his or her accumulated payroll deductions will, at the participant's election, either be applied to the purchase of shares on the next quarterly purchase date or be refunded immediately.

A participant's purchase right immediately terminates upon his or her cessation of employment or loss of eligible employee status. Any payroll deductions which the participant may have made for the quarterly period in which such cessation of employment or loss of eligibility occurs are refunded and are not applied to the purchase of common stock.

No participant has any stockholder rights with respect to the shares covered by his or her purchase rights until the shares are actually purchased on the participant's behalf. No adjustment is made for dividends, distributions or other rights for which the record date is prior to the date of such purchase. No purchase rights are assignable or transferable by the participant, and the purchase rights are exercisable only by the participant.

*Purchase Price*

The purchase price of the common stock acquired on each quarterly purchase date is equal to 85% of the lower of

- the fair market value per share of common stock on the start date of the offering period in which the individual is enrolled or
- the fair market value on the quarterly purchase date.

*Eligibility and Participation*

Any individual who has been employed continuously for at least three months on a basis under which he or she is regularly expected to work for more than 20 hours per week and more than five months per calendar year in the employ of the Company or any participating parent or subsidiary corporation (including any corporation which subsequently becomes a parent or subsidiary during the term of the 2002 ESPP) is eligible to participate in the 2002 ESPP. An individual who is an eligible employee on the start date of any offering period may join that offering period at that time or on any subsequent quarterly entry date (the first business day in January, April, July and October each year) within that offering period. An individual who first becomes an eligible employee after such start date may join the offering period on any quarterly entry date within that offering period on which he or she is an eligible employee. An employee may participate in only one offering period at a time.

Should the fair market value per share of common stock on any quarterly purchase date within an offering period be less than the fair market value per share on the start date of that offering period, then the participants in that offering period will, immediately following the purchase of shares on their behalf on such quarterly purchase date, be automatically transferred from that offering period and enrolled in the new offering period beginning on the next business day.

**Table of Contents**

*Special Limitations*

The 2002 ESPP imposes certain limitations upon a participant's rights to acquire common stock, including the following limitations:

Purchase rights granted to a participant may not permit such individual to purchase more than \$25,000 worth of common stock (valued at the time each purchase right is granted) for each calendar year those purchase rights are outstanding at any time.

Purchase rights may not be granted to any individual if such individual would, immediately after the grant, own or hold outstanding options or other rights to purchase, stock possessing 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of stock of the Company or any of its affiliates.

No participant may purchase more than 1,330 shares of common stock on any one purchase date.

The Plan Administrator has the discretionary authority to increase or decrease the per participant and total participant purchase limitations as of the start date of any new offering period under the 2002 ESPP, with the new limits to be in effect for that offering period and each subsequent offering period.

*Change in Ownership*

Should the Company be acquired by merger, sale of substantially all of its assets or sale of securities representing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of the Company's outstanding securities, then all outstanding purchase rights will automatically be exercised immediately prior to the effective date of such acquisition. The purchase price will be equal to 85% of the lower of

the fair market value per share of common stock on the start date of the offering period in which the individual is enrolled at the time such acquisition occurs or

the fair market value per share of common stock immediately prior to such acquisition.

The limitation on the maximum number of shares purchasable in total by all participants on any one purchase date is applicable to any purchase date attributable to such an acquisition.

*Share Proration*

Should the total number of shares of common stock which are to be purchased under outstanding purchase rights on any particular date exceed the number of shares then available for issuance under the 2002 ESPP, the Plan Administrator shall make a pro rata allocation of the available shares on a uniform and nondiscriminatory basis, and the payroll deductions of each participant, to the extent in excess of the aggregate purchase price payable for the common stock prorated to such individual, would be refunded to such participant.

*Amendment and Termination*

The 2002 ESPP will terminate upon the earlier of the last business day in June 2012 or

the date on which all purchase rights are exercised in connection with a change in ownership of the Company.

The Board may terminate, suspend or amend the 2002 ESPP at any time. However, the Board may not, without stockholder approval,

increase the number of shares issuable under the 2002 ESPP,

**Table of Contents**

alter the purchase price formula so as to reduce the purchase price, or  
modify the requirements for eligibility to participate in the plan.

100

---

**Table of Contents****CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

In 1996, the Board adopted a shareholders rights plan (the Rights Plan ) which provides for a dividend distribution of one preferred share purchase right (a Right ) on each outstanding share of our common stock. Each Right entitles stockholders to buy 1/1000<sup>th</sup> of a share of Ligand Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$100, subject to adjustment. In September 2002, the Board amended the Rights Plan, reducing from 20% to 10% the trigger percentage of outstanding shares which, if acquired, would permit the rights to be exercised. Generally, the Rights become exercisable following the tenth day after a person or group announces acquisition of 10% or more of the common stock, or announces commencement of a tender offer, the consummation of which would result in ownership by the person or group of 10% or more of the common stock. In connection with our September 1998 agreements with Elan, we amended the Rights Plan to provide that the Rights would not become exercisable by reason of Elan s (i) beneficial ownership on or before November 9, 2005 of up to 25% of the Common Stock or (ii) beneficial ownership after November 9, 2005 of a percentage of our common stock equal to its beneficial ownership on that date, to the extent such ownership exceeds 10%. These provisions related to Elan s ownership were removed by an amendment to the Rights Plan in March 2004, following Elan s divestiture in 2003 of all of its Ligand stock. In December 2005, the Board approved an amendment to the Rights Plan providing that shares of the Company s common stock acquired by Third Point LLC, its affiliates or associates ( Third Point ) solely as a result of service as members of the Company s Board of Directors, including without limitation, the option for each designee to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock which was automatically granted on the date of such designee s initial election, would not operate to trigger the distribution of rights under the Rights Plan.

Certain holders of the common stock, and the common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants and other convertible securities, are entitled to registration rights with respect to such stock.

We have entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Robinson, Maier, and Dr. Negro-Vilar, and a severance and retention bonus agreement with Mr. Mertes. We have separate severance agreements with Dr. Negro-Vilar and Mr. Maier and the other executive officers, except Mr. Robinson. Additionally, we have entered into employee retention agreements with Dr. Negro-Vilar and Messrs. Maier and Broaddus. Please see Executive Compensation Employment, Severance and Change of Control Arrangements with Executive Officers above for more details regarding these agreements.

In October 2002 the Company engaged RNV Associates, Inc. a corporation controlled by Rosa Negro-Vilar, the spouse of Dr. Negro-Vilar, for clinical development consulting services. The contract was renewed for one year in October 2003 and again in October 2004 and was terminated in January 2005. During 2004, Rosa Negro-Vilar received aggregate payments of \$154,001. The contract and renewals were reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee.

In June 2002, Ligand entered into an agreement with Mr. L Italien that provided for a one-time sign-on bonus of \$85,000 that was paid in 2003. In May 2003, Ligand entered into an agreement with Mr. Aliprandi that provided for a minimum bonus for 2003 of \$73,000. Upon his retirement in April 2005, the Company entered into a one-year consulting contract, which was amended on February 10, 2006, with Mr. Aliprandi under which he is paid a per-day fee plus expenses. Aggregate fees under the contract may not exceed \$227,445. The amended contract was reviewed and ratified by the Audit Committee. In May 2005 in connection with his appointment, the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Crouch whereby he receives an initial annual salary of \$310,000, participates in the management bonus plan with a minimum payout of \$100,000 in 2006, was awarded an option to purchase 120,000 shares at fair market value on the date of grant and received a \$40,000 sign-on bonus.

Pursuant to a Stockholders Agreement dated December 2, 2005 among the Company and Third Point, the Company agreed to reimburse Third Point LLC, which is controlled by director Daniel S. Loeb and employs directors Brigitte Roberts, M.D. and Jeffrey R. Perry, up to \$475,000 of its actual out-of-pocket costs incurred prior to the date of the Agreement directly related to certain matters listed in the agreement and connected to a proxy contest previously announced by Third Point LLC, subject to certain conditions described in the agreement.

Our bylaws provide that the Company will indemnify its directors and executive officers and may indemnify its other officers, employees and other agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law.

**Table of Contents**

The Company is also empowered under its bylaws to enter into indemnification contracts with its directors and officers and to purchase insurance on behalf of any person whom it is required or permitted to indemnify. Pursuant to this provision, the Company has entered into indemnity agreements with each of its directors and officers.

In addition, the Company's certificate of incorporation provides that to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, the Company's directors will not be liable for monetary damages for breach of the directors' fiduciary duty of care to the Company and its stockholders. This provision in the Certificate of Incorporation does not eliminate the duty of care, and in appropriate circumstances equitable remedies such as an injunction or other forms of non-monetary relief would remain available under Delaware law. Each director will continue to be subject to liability for breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the Company, for acts or omissions not in good faith or involving intentional misconduct or knowing violations of law, for acts or omissions that the director believes to be contrary to the best interests of the Company or its stockholders, for any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit, for acts or omissions involving a reckless disregard for the director's duty to the Company or its stockholders when the director was aware or should have been aware of a risk of serious injury to the Company or its stockholders, for acts or omissions that constitute an unexcused pattern of inattention that amounts to an abdication of the director's duty to the Company or its stockholders, for improper transactions between the director and the Company and for improper distributions to stockholders and loans to directors and officers. This provision also does not affect a director's responsibilities under any other laws, such as the federal securities laws or state or federal environmental laws.

All future transactions between the Company and its officers, directors, principal stockholders and affiliates will be approved by the Audit Committee or a majority of the independent and disinterested members of the Board of Directors.

**Table of Contents**

**PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table shows, based on information we have, the beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock as of February 28, 2006, by

all persons who are beneficial owners of 5% or more of the Company's common stock,

each director and nominee for director,

the Named Executive Officers and

all current directors and executive officers as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, each of the stockholders has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned, subject to community property laws, where applicable. Percentage of ownership is based on 78,082,664 shares of common stock outstanding on February 28, 2006. Shares of common stock underlying options and convertible notes includes options which are currently exercisable or will become exercisable and convertible notes which are currently convertible or will become convertible within 60 days after February 28, 2006, are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person or group holding such options, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person or group. The address for individuals for whom an address is not otherwise indicated is 10275 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121. The information set forth below is based on filings made under Section 13(d) or 13(g) of the Exchange Act and may not include information about stockholders owning more than 5% who have not filed under such sections.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Beneficial Owner</b>	<b>Number of Shares Beneficially Owned</b>	<b>Shares Beneficially Owned via Options, Warrants or Convertible Notes</b>	<b>Percent of Class Owned</b>
Daniel S. Loeb(1) Third Point LLC 390 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022	7,375,000		9.45%
David M. Knott(2) 485 Underhill Blvd., Ste. 205 Syosset, NY 11791-3419	7,261,662		9.30%
OrbiMed Advisors LLC(3) 767 Third Avenue, 30th Floor New York, NY 10017	7,332,924		9.39%
Glenview Capital Management LLC(4) 399 Park Avenue, Floor 39 New York, NY 10022	6,906,000		8.84%
JP Morgan Chase & Co.(5) 270 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017	5,455,900		6.99%
Oz Management LLC(6) 9 West 57 <sup>th</sup> Street, 39 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10019	4,076,000		5.22%
Janus Capital Management LLC(7) 100 Detroit Street Denver, CO 80206	3,108,840		3.98%
Harvest Management LLC(8) 600 Madison Ave New York, NY 10022	2,984,400	1,052,938	3.77%
Henry F. Blissenbach	101,241	96,241	*
Alexander D. Cross	130,332	91,847	*
John Groom	121,259	105,022	*
Irving S. Johnson	111,006	88,077	*
John W. Kozarich(9)	43,824	36,446	*
Daniel S. Loeb(1)(9)	7,377,378		9.45%
Carl C. Peck(8)	111,559	103,181	*
Jeffrey R. Perry(1)(9)	7,377,378		9.45%
Brigette Roberts, M.D.(1)(9)	7,377,378		9.45%
Michael A. Rocca(9)	86,475	74,799	*
David E. Robinson	1,336,925	925,000	1.69%
Andres F. Negro-Vilar	400,921	393,688	*
Paul V. Maier	438,012	338,287	*
Warner R. Broaddus	106,146	102,500	*
Tod G. Mertes	68,295	65,782	*
	10,961,930	2,938,157	13.53%

Directors and executive officers as a group (20 persons)(9)

\* Less than 1%  
(Footnotes continued on next page.)



**Table of Contents**

- (1) Pursuant to a Schedule 13D/A filed December 5, 2005, which reported that Daniel S. Loeb and Third Point LLC had shared voting and dispositive power over 7,375,000 shares and Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. had shared voting and dispositive power over 4,725,800 shares.
  
- (2) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G/A filed December 2, 2005, which reported that David M. Knott and Dorset Management Corporation had sole voting power over 6,273,956 shares, shared voting power over 882,074 shares, sole dispositive power over 6,780,077 shares and shared dispositive power over 481,585 shares.
  
- (3) Pursuant to a schedule 13G/A

filed  
February 10,  
2006, which  
reported that  
OrbiMed  
Advisors LLC  
had shared  
voting and  
shared  
dispositive  
power over  
5,613,675  
shares; OrbiMed  
Capital LLC had  
shared voting  
and shared  
dispositive  
power over  
1,719,249  
shares; and  
Samuel D. Isaly  
had shared  
voting and  
dispositive  
power over  
7,332,924  
shares.

- (4) Pursuant to a  
Schedule 13G/A  
filed on  
February 14,  
2006, which  
reported that  
Glenview  
Capital  
Management,  
LLC, Glenview  
Capital GP,  
LLC, and  
Lawrence  
Robbins had  
shared voting  
and dispositive  
power over  
6,906,000 shares  
and Glenview  
Capital Master  
Fund, LTD had  
shared voting  
and dispositive

power over  
3,980,843  
shares.

(5) Pursuant to a  
Schedule 13G  
filed  
February 10,  
2006 by JP  
Morgan Chase &  
Co. which  
reported sole  
voting and  
dispositive  
power over  
5,455,900  
shares.

(6) Pursuant to a  
Schedule 13G  
filed on  
March 10, 2006,  
which reported  
that Oz  
Management  
LLC and Daniel  
S Och had sole  
voting and  
dispositive  
power over  
4,076,000 shares  
and Oz Master  
Fund, Ltd. had  
sole voting and  
dispositive  
power over  
3,830,500  
shares.

(7) Pursuant to a  
Schedule 13G  
filed  
February 14,  
2006 by Janus  
Capital  
Management  
LLC, which  
reported sole  
voting and  
dispositive  
power over

3,108,840  
shares.

- (8) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G filed February 14, 2006, which reported that Harvest Management LLC and James Mogan Rutman had shared voting and dispositive power over 2,984,400 shares of Common Stock and 1,052,938 shares of common stock underlying convertible notes.
- (9) Includes restricted stock awards grants under the 2002 Option Plan. See Director Compensation.

**Table of Contents****DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK**

The authorized capital stock of Ligand consists of 200,000,000 shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share, and 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share ( Preferred Stock ). At February 28, 2006, there were 78,082,664 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

**Common Stock**

The holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote for each share held of record on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any outstanding Preferred Stock, holders of Common Stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends as may be declared by the Board of Directors of Ligand out of funds legally available. See Price Range of Common Stock and Dividend Policy. In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Ligand, holders of Common Stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of liabilities and the liquidation preference of any outstanding Preferred Stock. Holders of Common Stock have no preemptive rights and no right to cumulate votes in the election of directors. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the Common Stock. All outstanding shares of Common Stock are fully paid and nonassessable.

**Preferred Stock**

The Board of Directors of Ligand has the authority to issue the Preferred Stock in one or more series and to fix the designation, powers, preferences, rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions of the shares of each such series, including the dividend rights, dividend rate, conversion rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption (including sinking fund provisions), liquidation preferences and the number of shares constituting any such series, without any further vote or action by the stockholders. The rights and preferences of Preferred Stock may in all respects be superior and prior to the rights of the Common Stock. The issuance of the Preferred Stock could decrease the amount of earnings and assets available for distribution to holders of Common Stock or adversely affect the rights and powers, including voting rights, of the holders of the Common Stock and could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Ligand.

In connection with the adoption of the Shareholder Rights Plan, the Company's Board of Directors designated 1,600,000 shares of Series A Participating Preferred Stock, none of which are outstanding as of the date of this Prospectus.

**Registration Rights**

As of February 28, 2006, pursuant to the Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2000, as amended through such date (the Registration Rights Agreement ), the holders of approximately 565,782 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants are entitled to specified rights with respect to the registration of the outstanding shares of common stock and the shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of such warrants or conversion of such notes (the Registrable Securities ). Under the Registration Rights Agreement, subject to certain exceptions, each holder of Registrable Securities may cause Ligand to register such holder's Registrable Securities on Form S-3 ( Form S-3 Registration ) provided the Registrable Securities the holder proposes to sell have an aggregate market value of at least \$500,000. Ligand is not obligated to effect more than two Form S-3 Registrations within any 12-month period. In the case where a Form S-3 Registration is not available to Ligand, a holder may cause Ligand, subject to specified exceptions, to use its best efforts to register the holder's Registrable Securities for public resale ( Public Resale Registration ), subject to an underwriter's marketing limitation, if any; provided however, that the shares of Registrable Securities the holder proposes to sell must have an anticipated aggregate offering price of more than \$1,500,000 net of underwriting discounts and commissions. Ligand is not obligated to effect more than one Public Resale Registration within any six month period. In addition, whenever Ligand proposes to register any of its securities under the Securities Act (a Company Registration ), or any holder of Registrable Securities causes Ligand to register its shares, whether in a S-3 Registration or in a Public Resale Registration, all holders of Registrable Securities are entitled to notice of such registration and to include their Registrable Securities in such registration, subject to certain restrictions, including any proposed underwriter's right to limit the number of shares included in such registration. Ligand is required to

**Table of Contents**

bear all registration expenses in connection with the first S-3 Registration and Public Resale Registration requested by a holder and all Company Registrations. All selling expenses related to securities registered by the holders are required to be paid by the holders on a pro rata basis. Ligand is required to indemnify certain of the holders of such Registrable Securities and the underwriters for such holders, if any, under certain circumstances.

Under certain conditions, registration rights may be transferred to a transferee of Registrable Securities who, after such transfer, holds at least 50,000 shares of the Registrable Securities. Registration rights granted under the Registration Rights Agreement may be amended or waived (either generally or in a particular instance and either retroactively or prospectively) only with the written consent of Ligand and the holders of a majority of the Registrable Securities then outstanding, although additional holders may be amended without such consent of the holders.

Registration rights granted to each holder under the Registration Rights Agreement, subject to certain exceptions, terminate on the earlier of (a) the later of December 31, 1999 or, with respect to an aggregate of approximately 565,782 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants issued after June 1, 1994, two years after such exercise or (b) the date after which all shares of Registrable Securities held by such holder may be immediately sold under Rule 144(k) of the Securities Act.

**Delaware Law and Certain Charter Provisions**

Ligand is subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporate Law, an anti-takeover law. In general, the statute prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. For purposes of Section 203, a business combination includes a merger, asset sale, or other transaction resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder, and an interested stockholder is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns (or within three years prior, did own) 15% or more of the corporation's voting stock.

The holders of Common Stock are currently entitled to one vote for each share held of record on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders other than the election of directors and are not entitled to demand cumulative voting. The absence of cumulative voting may have the effect of limiting the ability of minority stockholders to effect changes in the Board of Directors and, as a result, may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or delaying or preventing changes in control or management of Ligand.

Ligand's Certificate of Incorporation contains the Fair Price Provision that requires the approval of the holders of 66 2/3% of Ligand's voting stock as a condition to a merger or certain other business transactions with, or proposed by, a holder of 15% or more of Ligand's voting stock (an Interested Stockholder), except in cases where a majority of the Continuing Directors (as defined below) approve the transaction or certain minimum price criteria and other procedural requirements are met. A Continuing Director is a director originally elected upon incorporation of Ligand or a director who is not an Interested Stockholder or affiliated with an Interested Stockholder or whose nomination or election to the Board of Directors of Ligand is recommended or approved by a majority of the Continuing Directors. The minimum price criteria are recommended or approved by a majority of the Continuing Directors. The minimum price criteria generally require that, in a transaction in which stockholders are to receive payments, holders of Common Stock must receive a value equal to the highest price paid by the Interested Stockholder for Common Stock during the prior two years, and that such payment be made in cash or in the type of consideration paid by the Interested Stockholder for the greatest portion of its shares. Ligand's Board of Directors believes that the Fair Price Provision helps assure that all of Ligand's stockholders will be treated similarly if certain kinds of business combinations are effected. However, the Fair Price Provision may make it more difficult to accomplish certain transactions that are opposed by the incumbent Board of Directors and that could be beneficial to stockholders.

The Certificate of Incorporation also requires that any action required or permitted to be taken by stockholders of Ligand must be effected at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and may not be effected by a consent in writing. In addition, Ligand's Bylaws provide that special meetings of the stockholders may be called by the president and shall be called by the president or secretary at the written request of a majority of the Board of

## **Table of Contents**

Directors, or at the written request of stockholders owning at least 10% of Ligand's capital stock. The Bylaws also provide that the authorized number of directors may be changed by resolution of the Board of Directors or by the stockholders at the annual meeting of the stockholders. These provisions may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or delaying changes in control or management of Ligand.

### **Stockholder Rights Plan**

In 1996, the Board adopted the Rights Plan which provides for a dividend distribution of one Right on each outstanding share of our common stock. Each Right entitles stockholders to buy 1/1000<sup>th</sup> of a share of Ligand Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$100, subject to adjustment. In September 2002, the Board amended the Rights Plan, reducing from 20% to 10% the trigger percentage of outstanding shares which, if acquired, would permit the rights to be exercised. Generally, the Rights become exercisable following the tenth day after a person or group announces acquisition of 10% or more of the common stock, or announces commencement of a tender offer, the consummation of which would result in ownership by the person or group of 10% or more of the common stock. In connection with our September 1998 agreements with Elan, we amended the Rights Plan to provide that the Rights would not become exercisable by reason of Elan's (i) beneficial ownership on or before November 9, 2005 of up to 25% of the Common Stock or (ii) beneficial ownership after November 9, 2005 of a percentage of our common stock equal to its beneficial ownership on that date, to the extent such ownership exceeds 10%. These provisions related to Elan's ownership were removed by an amendment to the Rights Plan in March 2004, following Elan's divestiture in 2003 of all of its Ligand stock. In December 2005, the Board approved an amendment to the Rights Plan providing that shares of the Company's common stock acquired by Third Point LLC, its affiliates or associates ( Third Point ) solely as a result of service as members of the Company's Board of Directors, including without limitation, the option for each designee to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock which was automatically granted on the date of such designee's initial election, would not operate to trigger the distribution of rights under the Rights Plan.

### **Transfer Agent and Registrar**

The transfer agent and registrar for the Common Stock is Mellon Investor Services LLC.

### **Delaware Anti-Takeover Statute**

We are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law which prohibits persons deemed interested stockholders from engaging in a business combination with a Delaware corporation for three years following the date these persons become interested stockholders. Generally, an interested stockholder is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or within three years prior to the determination of interested stockholder status did own, 15% or more of a corporation's voting stock. Generally, a business combination includes a merger, asset or stock sale, or other transaction resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder. The existence of this provision may have an anti-takeover effect with respect to transactions not approved in advance by the board of directors.

### **Limitations of Liability and Indemnification Matters**

We have adopted provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation that limit the liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of their fiduciary duties, except for liability that cannot be eliminated under the Delaware General Corporation Law. Delaware law provides that directors of a corporation will not be personally liable for monetary damages for breach of their fiduciary duties as directors, except liability for any of the following:

any breach of their duty of loyalty to the corporation or the stockholder;

acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

unlawful payments of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions as provided in Section 174 of the Delaware General Corporation Law; or

**Table of Contents**

any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

This limitation of liability does not apply to liabilities arising under the federal securities laws and does not affect the availability of equitable remedies such as injunctive relief or rescission.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our bylaws also provide that we shall indemnify our directors and executive officers and may indemnify our other officers and employees and other agents to the fullest extent permitted by law. We believe that indemnification under our bylaws covers at least negligence and gross negligence on the part of indemnified parties. Our bylaws also permit us to secure insurance on behalf of any officer, director, employee or other agent for any liability arising out of his or her actions in this capacity, regardless of whether our bylaws would permit indemnification.

We have entered into separate indemnification agreements with our directors and executive officers, in addition to indemnification provided for in our charter documents. These agreements, among other things, provide for indemnification of our directors and executive officers for expenses, judgments, fines and settlement amounts incurred by this person in any action or proceeding arising out of this person's services as a director or executive officer or at our request. We believe that these provisions and agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and executive officers.

**NASDAQ National Market Listing**

We are currently quoted on The Pink Sheets LLC and have applied to have our common stock approved for quotation on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol LGND.



**Table of Contents****SELLING STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the selling stockholders and the shares of our common stock that they may offer and sell under this prospectus. Each of the selling stockholders named below acquired the shares of common stock upon exercise of options previously granted to them as an employee, director or consultant of Ligand or as restricted stock granted to them as a director of Ligand, in each case under the terms of our 2002 Plan.

The following table sets forth with respect to each selling stockholder, based upon information available to us as of February 28, 2006, which shares are to be sold in this offering, the number of shares of common stock owned, the number of shares of common stock registered by this prospectus and the number and percent of outstanding shares of common stock that will be owned after the sale of the registered shares of common stock assuming the sale of all of the registered shares of common stock. We calculated beneficial ownership according to Rule 13d-3 of the Exchange Act. Except as set forth in the table, none of the selling stockholders has, or within the past three years has had, any position, office or other material relationship with us or any of our predecessors or affiliates.

Because the selling stockholders may sell all or some portion of the shares of common stock beneficially owned by them, only an estimate (assuming the selling stockholder sells all of the shares offered hereby) can be given as to the number of shares of common stock that will be beneficially owned by the selling stockholders after this offering. In addition, the selling stockholders may have sold, transferred or otherwise disposed of, or may sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of, at any time or from time to time since the dates on which they provided the information regarding the shares of common stock beneficially owned by them, all or a portion of the shares of common stock beneficially owned by them in transactions exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

Name	Number of	Number of	Number of Shares	
	Shares		Owned After the	Percent
	Beneficially	Shares	Offering	
	Owned	Registered	Number	Percent
Ronald M. Evans, Ph.D.(1)	212,117	15,000	197,117	*
John Groom(2)	121,259	16,237	105,022	*
Osvaldo Humberto Viveros(3)	6,736	2,625	4,111	*
Daniel S. Loeb(2)	7,377,378	2,378(4)	7,375,000	9.45
Jeffrey R. Perry(2)	7,377,378	2,378(4)	7,375,000	9.45
Brigitte Roberts, M.D.(2)	7,377,378	2,378(4)	7,375,000	9.45
Carl C. Peck(2)	111,559	2,378(4)	109,181	*
Michael A. Rocca(2)	86,475	3,676(4)	82,799	*
John W. Kozarich(2)	43,824	2,378(4)	41,446	*
Karen Cox(3)	750	750		*
Terry Uhlenkott(3)	630	131	499	*

\* less than one percent.

(1) For a discussion of Dr. Evans' relationship with Ligand, please see Business Academic Collaborations The Salk Institute of

Biological  
Studies.

- (2) Each of these individuals is a member of Ligand's Board of Directors. Please see Management and Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions for a discussion of the relationship between each of these individuals and Ligand.
- (3) Former employee of Ligand.
- (4) These shares are subject to forfeiture in the event the holder's service on Ligand's Board of Directors terminates for any reason. This forfeiture restriction lapses in 12 successive equal installments based on the holder's continued service on Ligand's Board of Directors during calendar year 2006.

**Table of Contents**

**PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION**

*Who may sell and applicable restrictions.* The selling stockholders will be offering and selling all shares offered and sold under this prospectus. Alternatively, the selling stockholders may from time to time offer the shares through brokers, dealers or agents that may receive compensation in the form of discounts, commissions or concessions from the selling stockholders and/or the purchasers of the shares for whom they may act as agent. In effecting sales, broker-dealers that are engaged by the selling stockholders may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate. The selling stockholders and any brokers, dealers or agents who participate in the distribution of the shares may be deemed to be underwriters within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Securities Act. Any profits on the sale of the shares by them and any discounts, commissions or concessions received by any broker, dealer or agent might be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. To the extent the selling stockholders may be deemed to be underwriters, the selling stockholders may be subject to certain statutory liabilities, including, but not limited to, Sections 11, 12 and 17 of the Securities Act and Rule 10b-5 under the Exchange Act.

*Manner of sales.* The selling stockholders will act independently of us in making decisions with respect to the timing, manner and size of each sale. Sales may be made over the NASDAQ National Market or other over-the-counter markets. The shares may be sold at then prevailing market prices, at prices related to prevailing market prices or at negotiated prices. Selling stockholders may also resell all or a portion of the shares in open market transactions in reliance upon Rule 144 under the Securities Act, provided they meet the criteria and conform to the requirements of this rule. The selling stockholders may decide not to sell any of the shares offered under this prospectus, and selling stockholders may transfer, devise or gift these shares by other means.

*Prospectus delivery.* Because selling stockholders may be deemed to be underwriters within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Securities Act, they will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act. At any time a particular offer of the shares is made, a revised prospectus or prospectus supplement, if required, will be distributed which will set forth:

the name of the selling stockholder and of any participating underwriters, broker-dealers or agents;

the aggregate amount and type of shares being offered;

the price at which the shares were sold and other material terms of the offering;

any discounts, commissions, concessions and other items constituting compensation from the selling stockholders and any discounts, commissions or concessions allowed or paid to dealers; and

that any participating broker-dealers did not conduct any investigation to verify the information set out or incorporated in this prospectus by reference.

The prospectus supplement or a post-effective amendment will be filed with the Commission to reflect the disclosure of additional information with respect to the distribution of the shares. In addition, if we receive notice from a selling stockholder that a donee or pledgee intends to sell more than 500 shares, a supplement to this prospectus will be filed.

*Expenses associated with registration.* We have agreed to pay the expenses of registering the shares under the Securities Act, including registration and filing fees, printing and duplication expenses, administrative expenses and legal and accounting fees. Each selling stockholder will pay its own brokerage and legal fees, if any.

*Suspension of this offering.* We may suspend the use of this prospectus if we learn of any event that causes this prospectus to include an untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact required to be stated in the prospectus or necessary to make the statements in the prospectus not misleading in the light of the circumstances then existing. If this type of event occurs, a prospectus supplement or post-effective amendment, if required, will be distributed to each selling stockholder.

**Table of Contents**

**LEGAL MATTERS**

The validity of the securities offered by this prospectus will be passed upon for us by Latham & Watkins LLP, San Diego, California.

**EXPERTS**

The consolidated financial statements and schedule, included in this Prospectus and in the Registration Statement have been audited by BDO Seidman, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to the extent and for the periods set forth in their report appearing elsewhere herein and in the Registration Statement, and are included in reliance upon such report given upon the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

**CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

Deloitte & Touche LLP, certified public accountants, which had served as the Company's principal independent auditors since October 31, 2000, resigned their engagement effective August 5, 2004. Auditor's reports issued by Deloitte & Touche LLP on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 contained no adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor was modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. The Company was informed by Deloitte & Touche LLP that its action was not related to any disagreements on matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, and the subsequent interim periods preceding the resignation of Deloitte & Touche LLP, there were no disagreements with Deloitte & Touche LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to Deloitte & Touche LLP's satisfaction, would have caused Deloitte & Touche LLP to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in its reports on the consolidated financial statements for such years. No events required to be reported under Item 304(a) (1) (v) of the SEC's Regulation S-K occurred during the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, or the subsequent interim periods preceding the resignation of Deloitte & Touche LLP. On September 27, 2004, the Company appointed BDO Seidman, LLP as its independent registered public accounting firm to replace Deloitte & Touche LLP.

**WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

We have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with respect to the shares of our common stock offered hereby. This prospectus does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement and the exhibits and schedules thereto. Some items are omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. For further information with respect to us and the common stock offered hereby, we refer you to the registration statement and the exhibits and schedules filed therewith or incorporated therein by reference. Statements contained in this prospectus as to the contents of any contract, agreement or any other document are summaries of the material terms of this contract, agreement or other document. With respect to each of these contracts, agreements or other documents filed as an exhibit to the registration statement or incorporated therein by reference, reference is made to the exhibits for a more complete description of the matter involved. A copy of the registration statement, and the exhibits and schedules thereto, may be inspected without charge at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference facility. The SEC maintains a web site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC's website is <http://www.sec.gov>.

**Table of Contents**

We are also subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act and are required to file annual and quarterly reports, proxy statements and other information with the Commission. You can inspect and copy reports and other information filed by us with the Commission at the Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may also obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0300. The Commission also maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements regarding issuers, including us, that file electronically with the Commission.

**CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company is required to maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the preparation of this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, management, under the supervision of the CEO and CFO, conducted an evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2005 due to the material weaknesses discussed below under Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Because the material weaknesses described below have not been completely remediated as of the filing date of this Form 10-K, the CEO and CFO continue to conclude that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are not effective as of the filing date of this Form 10-K.

**Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on the framework set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). A nationally recognized external consulting firm assisted management with the Company's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting including program scoping and management's documentation and testing of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. A second nationally recognized accounting firm provided additional program management as well as assistance with respect to testing and documentation of internal control over financial reporting, and objective advice on management's assessment process. Based on the results of management's assessment and evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that while certain of the remediation initiatives undertaken in response to material weaknesses identified during 2004 and discussed below have been completed, other remediation initiatives were either not fully implemented by December 31, 2005 or were completed during the fourth quarter of 2005. With respect to certain procedures performed in connection with the assessment process, the timing of such remediation efforts resulted in limitations on management's ability to conclude that certain elements of the Company's internal control over financial reporting were operating effectively for a reasonable period of time prior to December 31, 2005. Therefore, four of the six material weaknesses identified prior to December 31, 2004 continued to exist at December 31, 2005 as described in more detail below. Additionally, management's process for assessing the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as of December 31, 2005 was delayed as a result of the restatement of the Company's prior years' consolidated financial statements which did not conclude until late 2005. These circumstances, coupled with the loss of key accounting and internal audit personnel during the restatement process, contributed to the existence of four additional material weaknesses relating to the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as follows: 1) the inability of the Company to maintain an effective independent Internal Audit Department; 2) the existence of ineffective spreadsheet controls used in connection with the Company's financial processes, including review, testing, access and integrity controls; 3) the existence of accounting system access rights granted to certain members of the Company's accounting and finance department that are incompatible with the current roles and duties of such individuals (i.e. segregation of duties); and 4) the inability of management to properly maintain the Company's documentation of the internal control over financial reporting during 2005 or to substantively commence the process



**Table of Contents**

to update such documentation and assessment until December 2005. Consequently, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005.

A material weakness in internal control over financial reporting is defined by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's Audit Standard No. 2 as being a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements would not be prevented or detected. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the company's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report external financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, BDO Seidman, LLP ( BDO Seidman ), has issued an attestation report on management's assessment and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The scope of BDO Seidman's audit of management's assessment and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting was limited as a result of management's substantial delay in the performance of and delivery to BDO Seidman of its completed assessment. Specifically, BDO Seidman was provided substantially all of the documentation related to management's assessment subsequent to December 31, 2005 and, as a result, was unable to obtain sufficient evidence that the controls were designed and operating effectively at December 31, 2005. As a result of this limitation in scope, BDO Seidman is unable to render an opinion on either management's assessment or the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. The disclaimer of opinion report of BDO Seidman appears below under the caption, Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

**Inherent Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls**

Management does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or its internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control systems are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, no evaluation of internal control over financial reporting can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

**Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

As disclosed in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the first three quarters of 2005, the Company reported the following material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting:

*Revenue Recognition* The Company did not have effective controls and procedures to ensure that revenues were recognized in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

**Table of Contents**

*Shipments of Short-Dated Product* - The Company's controls and procedures intended to prevent shipping of short-dated products (i.e. products shipped within six months of expiration) to its wholesalers were not operating effectively which resulted in the shipment of ONTAK during 2004 to wholesalers within six months of product expiration.

*Record Keeping and Documentation* - The Company did not have adequate records and documentation supporting the decisions made and the accounting for complex transactions.

*Lack of Sufficient Qualified Accounting Personnel* - The Company did not have adequate manpower in its accounting and finance department and had a lack of sufficient qualified accounting personnel to identify and resolve complex accounting issues in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

*Accruals and Cut-off* - The Company did not have sufficient controls over accrued liability estimates in the proper accounting periods.

*Financial Statement Close Procedures* - The Company did not have adequate financial reporting and close procedures.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company remediated the previously reported material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting related to the (i) accounting for shipments of short-dated product and (ii) accruals and cut-off. Specifically, the following remediation actions have been implemented.

***Remediated Material Weakness related to Shipments of Short-Dated Product***

During the second quarter of 2005, the Company's Internal Audit Department conducted a detailed audit of the controls, policies and procedures surrounding the shipment of the Company's products. This internal audit resulted in recommended remediation actions that were subsequently implemented in the second and third quarters of 2005 by the Company's technical and supply operations department, as follows:

A review of all existing policies and procedures surrounding the shipment of the Company's products. As a result of this review enhancements to existing policies and procedures were implemented, including daily review and reconciliation of the Company's inventory report to the third party vendor's inventory report for verification of the distribution date and expiration date and daily review of third party vendor's sales report for verification that all products shipped had appropriate dating. These review procedures are now performed by a senior-level staff person in the Company's supply operations department.

Each of the Company's employees involved in the shipment of product received training regarding the internal controls and procedures surrounding the shipment of product. Additional training has been and will be provided on a regular and periodic basis and updated as considered necessary to reflect any changes in the Company's or its customers' business practices or activities.

Management also has ensured that its third-party vendor responsible for product inventories, shipping and logistics is aware and understands all applicable controls and procedures surrounding product shipment and the requirement to prepare and maintain appropriate documentation for all such product transactions. The third-party vendor has instituted controls in its accounting system to prevent the shipment of product that is not in compliance with the Company's shipping policies.

In the third and fourth quarters of 2005, the Company completed testing to validate compliance with the newly implemented policies, procedures and controls. The Company has undertaken this testing over these two quarters so as to be able to demonstrate operating effectiveness over a period of time that is sufficient to support its conclusion. In reviewing the results from this testing, management has concluded that the internal control over financial reporting relating to the accounting for shipments of short dated product have been significantly improved and that the above referenced material weakness in internal control over financial reporting has been remediated as of December 31, 2005.





**Table of Contents**

***Remediated Material Weakness related to Accruals and Cut-off***

During 2004 and continuing into 2005, the following controls and procedures were implemented in the accounting and finance department.

Development of monthly review procedures to review documentation for supporting period-end accruals.

Development of quarterly review procedures to review invoices to ensure that such invoices were properly accounted for in the correct period.

Completion of training of accounting and finance personnel to explain accrual methodologies and supporting documentation requirements. A training update session for all finance department employees and consultants involved in preparing and reviewing period-end accruals took place during the fourth quarter of 2005.

Additional training will be provided on a regular basis and updated as necessary to reflect any changes in the Company's accounting system.

In the first quarter of 2006, the Company completed testing with respect to the third and fourth quarters of 2005 to validate compliance with the newly implemented procedures and controls. The Company has undertaken this testing in order to demonstrate operating effectiveness over a period of time that is sufficient to support its conclusion. In reviewing the results from this testing, management has concluded that the internal control over financial reporting relating to the accounting for accruals and cut-off have been significantly improved and that the above referenced material weakness in internal control over financial reporting has been remediated as of December 31, 2005.

***Continuing Material Weakness with respect to Revenue Recognition and Related Remediation Initiatives***

During the second and third quarters of 2005, the Company's finance and accounting department, with the assistance of outside expert consultants, developed accounting models to recognize sales of its domestic products, except Panretin, under the sell-through revenue recognition method in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. In connection with the development of these models, the Company also implemented a number of new and enhanced controls and procedures to support the sell-through revenue recognition accounting models. These controls and procedures include approximately 35 revenue models used in connection with the sell-through revenue recognition method including related contra-revenue models, and demand reconciliations to support and assess the reasonableness of the data and estimates, which includes information and estimates obtained from third-parties, required for sell-through revenue recognition.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, the accounting and finance department completed the implementation of procedures surrounding the month-end close process to ensure that the information and estimates necessary for reporting product revenues under the sell-through method to facilitate a timely period-end close were available.

A training program for employees and consultants involved in the revenue recognition accounting was developed and took place during the fourth quarter of 2005. In 2006, additional training will be provided on a regular and periodic basis and updated as considered necessary.

Because certain of the remediation efforts described above were not fully effective until the fourth quarter of 2005, management was only able to test the operating effectiveness of certain controls surrounding revenue recognition during the fourth quarter. Principally, the controls and procedures surrounding the implementation of the revenue spreadsheet models used in connection with the Company's sell-through revenue recognition method, which include certain quarterly controls, were only able to be fully tested at the end of the fourth quarter. Because the Company was unable to test the effectiveness of these operating controls for a sufficient period of time to determine the controls were operating effectively, management is unable to conclude that the controls surrounding revenue recognition were effective as of December 31, 2005. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not

**Table of Contents**

remediated, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

The Company continues to implement the following remediation activities to further improve the internal controls surrounding revenue recognition:

The Company intends to hire an expert manager on revenue recognition who will be responsible for managing all aspects of the Company's revenue recognition accounting, sell-through revenue recognition models and supporting controls and procedures. The Company expects that this position will be filled during the second quarter of 2006 or as soon as possible thereafter. However, until this position is filled, the Company is continuing to use outside expert consultants to fulfill this function.

The Company's commercial operations department is additionally implementing a number of improvements that will further enhance the controls surrounding the recognition of product revenue. These include the development of an information operations system that will provide management with a greater amount of reliable, timely data including changes related to product movement, demand and inventory levels. The department is also adding additional personnel to review, analyze and report this information.

***Continuing Material Weakness with respect to Record Keeping and Documentation and Related Remediation Initiatives***

The Company has implemented improved procedures for analyzing, reviewing, and documenting the support for significant and complex transactions. Documentation for all complex transactions is now maintained by the Corporate Controller.

The Company's accounting and finance and legal departments developed a formal internal policy during the fourth quarter of 2005 entitled "Documentation of Accounting Decisions," regarding the preparation and maintenance of contemporaneous documentation supporting accounting transactions and contractual interpretations. The formal policy provides for enhanced communication between the Company's finance and legal personnel.

The remediation efforts described above were not fully effective until the fourth quarter of 2005. While management believes the above controls were appropriately designed at December 31, 2005, the timing of the remediation efforts resulted in limitations on management's ability to conclude that such controls were operating effectively for a reasonable period of time prior to December 31, 2005. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

***Continuing Material Weakness with respect to Lack of Sufficient Qualified Accounting Personnel and Related Remediation Initiatives***

During the second quarter of 2005, the Company hired a second internal auditor who reports to the Director of Internal Audit. The Director of Internal Audit resigned effective December 2, 2005. In December 2005, the Company retained a nationally recognized external consulting firm to assist the Internal Audit Department and oversee the Company's ongoing compliance effort under Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 until a permanent replacement for the Company's Director of Internal Audit is hired.

During the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2005, the Company engaged expert accounting consultants to assist the Company's accounting and finance department with a number of activities, including the management and implementation of controls surrounding the Company's new sell-through revenue recognition models, the administration of existing controls and procedures, preparation of the Company's SEC filings and the documentation of complex accounting transactions.

**Table of Contents**

The Company will hire additional senior accounting personnel who are certified public accountants including a Director of Accounting and, as discussed above, a Director of Internal Audit and a Manager of Revenue Recognition. The Company has hired a Director of Accounting, who is a certified public accountant, and who is expected to start working at the beginning of the Company's second fiscal quarter of 2006. The Director of Internal Audit and the Manager of Revenue Recognition positions are targeted to be filled as soon as possible during the 2006 fiscal year. Until all such positions are filled the Company will continue to use outside expert accounting consultants to fulfill such functions.

The Company continues to consider alternatives for organizational or responsibility changes which it believes may be necessary to attract additional senior accounting personnel who are certified public accountants or have recent public accounting firm experience.

Although the remediation activities identified above were initiated during 2005, the Company is still in the process of recruiting for the Director of Internal Audit and Manager of Revenue Recognition. Additionally, the Director of Accounting was not hired until the end of the Company's first quarter in 2006. Therefore, as of December 31, 2005, the Company continued to have a lack of sufficient qualified accounting personnel to identify and resolve complex accounting issues in accordance with generally accepted accounting procedures. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

***Continuing Material Weakness with respect to Financial Statement Close Procedures and Related Remediation Initiatives***

The Company has designed and implemented process improvements concerning the Company's financial reporting and close procedures. A training session for all finance department employees and consultants involved in the financial statement close process took place during the fourth quarter of 2005. Additionally, an ongoing periodic training update/program has been implemented to conduct training sessions on a regular quarterly basis, starting in the first quarter of 2006 to provide training to its finance and accounting personnel and to review procedures for timely and accurate preparation and management review of documentation and schedules to support the Company's financial reporting and period-end close process. As discussed above, the additional management personnel to be hired by the finance department will also help to ensure that all documentation necessary for the financial reporting and period end close procedures is properly prepared and reviewed.

The remediation efforts described above for certain quarterly controls were not fully effective until the fourth quarter of 2005. Because the Company was unable to test the effectiveness of these operating controls for a sufficient period of time to determine that the controls were operating effectively, management is unable to conclude that the controls surrounding financial statement close procedures were effective as of December 31, 2005. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

**Additional Material Weaknesses**

In addition to the material weaknesses described above, set forth below are the four additional material weaknesses that management identified as of December 31, 2005.

*Internal Audit.* The Company did not maintain an independent effective Internal Audit Department. This material weakness resulted from the fact that: 1) the Internal Audit Department was redirected during the second, third and fourth quarters of 2005 to assist with the restatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements and 2) the Director of Internal Audit resigned December 2, 2005. As a result, the Company's Internal Audit Department executed only a small portion of the activities contemplated to be performed pursuant to the 2005 internal audit plan. In late December 2005, the Company engaged a

**Table of Contents**

nationally recognized consulting firm to perform the planned activities of the Internal Audit Department, most notably the Company's compliance efforts with respect to Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, given the importance of a functioning effective Internal Audit Department in the maintenance of effective internal controls over financial reporting, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

*Spreadsheet Controls.* In connection with the change in the Company's revenue recognition for product sales from the sell-in method to the sell-through method, the use of spreadsheets has become a pervasive and integral part of the Company's financial accounting, quarter-end close, and financial reporting processes. However, due to the fact that the Company experienced limitations on the testing of the spreadsheets relating to revenue recognition as well as the fact that the Company did not have documented policies and procedures regarding spreadsheets relating to financial processes other than revenue recognition, management has determined that a material weakness exists with respect to the spreadsheets utilized by the Company. Specifically, the Company experienced limitations on its ability to perform detail testing on the spreadsheets relating to revenue recognition during 2005 since the quarterly controls over such spreadsheets were not fully implemented until the fourth quarter of 2005. Additionally, the Company did not have effective end user general controls over the access, change management and validation of spreadsheets used in its financial processes other than revenue recognition nor did the Company have formal policies and procedures in place relating to the use of spreadsheets. Accordingly, the Company determined, with respect to such spreadsheets, there was no change management or access controls in place to prevent an unauthorized modification of the formulas within these spreadsheets and limited management review or approval to detect unauthorized changes or errors. Considering the significant reliance on spreadsheets in the current period, the deficiencies discussed above surrounding the use of spreadsheets have been assessed to be a material weakness as of December 31, 2005. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, given the significant use of spreadsheets in the revenue recognition process and development of estimates, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

*Segregation of Duties.* Management has identified certain members of the Company's accounting and finance department who have accounting system access rights that are incompatible with the current roles and duties of such individuals. This weakness was identified as a deficiency as of December 31, 2004. However, when considered in conjunction with the material weaknesses surrounding internal audit and monitoring controls discussed herein, this deficiency has been elevated to a material weakness as of December 31, 2005. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

*Monitoring Controls.* As a result of the demands placed on the Company's accounting and finance department with respect to the Company's recent accounting restatement, management did not properly maintain the Company's documentation of the internal control over financial reporting during 2005 to reflect changes in internal control and as a result did not substantively commence the process to update such documentation and complete its assessment until December 2005. The substantial delay in the commencement and completion of management's self assessment has resulted in the late filing of the Company's 2005 annual report on Form 10-K. Further, the restatement process which occurred in 2005 resulted in the delayed performance of certain control procedures in the period-end close process. Accordingly, management determined that this identified

deficiency constituted a material weakness as of December 31, 2005. While this material weakness did not result in adjustments to the Company's 2005 consolidated financial statements, it is reasonably possible that, if not remediated, this material weakness could result in a material misstatement of the Company's financial statement accounts that might result in a material misstatement to a future annual or interim period.

**Table of Contents**

***Remediation Initiatives Relating to Additional Weaknesses***

*Internal Audit Plan.* As discussed under the caption *Remediation Relating to Accounting Personnel*, the Company is in the process of recruiting a Director of Internal Audit and such position is targeted to be filled during the second quarter of 2006, or as soon as possible thereafter. Until the position is filled, the Company has engaged a nationally recognized external consulting firm to perform the functions of the Internal Audit Department. It is anticipated that the 2006 Internal Audit Plan will be approved by the Audit Committee in the second quarter of 2006 and until the Director of Internal Audit is hired, the Company will continue to utilize the consulting firm to implement and execute the 2006 internal audit plan.

*Spreadsheet Controls.* Commencing in the first quarter of 2006 and continuing thereafter, management identified and categorized significant spreadsheets using qualitative measures of financial risk and complexity. Once inventoried, the spreadsheets were subject to standardized control activity testing, ensuring that any deficiencies in such spreadsheets relating to security, change management, input validation, documentation, and segregation of duties were addressed. Detail testing was then performed with respect to significant spreadsheets to ensure the accuracy of formulas and internal and external references within the spreadsheets. Management is in the process of implementing policies and procedures relating to spreadsheet management which are designed to ensure that adequate control activities exist surrounding significant spreadsheets. These policies and procedures, which will include controls relating to data integrity, version control, and restricted access to such spreadsheets, are expected to be in place and operating in the third quarter of 2006.

*Segregation of Duties.* In the first quarter of 2006, management identified those members of the Company's accounting and finance department who had accounting system access rights that were incompatible with the current roles and duties of such individuals and subsequently terminated the access rights for those individuals. On a quarterly basis, commencing with the first quarter of 2006, management will monitor the accounting system access rights of those employees with access to the accounting software systems to identify any grants of incompatible user access rights or any user access rights resulting from subsequent changes or modifications to the Company's internal control structure.

*Monitoring Controls.* As discussed under *Internal Audit Plan* above, the Company is in the process of recruiting a Director of Internal Audit. Additionally, and until the Company has hired the Director of Internal Audit, the Company has engaged a nationally recognized external consulting firm to implement and execute the 2006 internal audit plan starting in the second quarter of 2006. As part of the internal audit plan, these consultants will be responsible for assisting management with updating and maintaining the Company's documentation of internal control over financial reporting. The consultants will also be used until and after the hiring of the Director of Internal Audit to assist with the testing of such internal controls and in monitoring the progress of any ongoing and newly identified remediation efforts to help ensure the timely completion of the Company's 2006 monitoring program.

Except for the changes in connection with the remediation efforts performed in regard to the material weaknesses described above, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Board of Directors

Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated

San Diego, CA

We were engaged to audit management's assessment included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting that Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and Subsidiaries (the Company) did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, because of the effect of material weaknesses described therein, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ( COSO ). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

The scope of our audit of management's assessment and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting was limited as a result of management's substantial delay in the performance of and delivery to us of its completed assessment. Specifically, we were provided substantially all of the documentation related to management's assessment subsequent to December 31, 2005 and, as a result, we were unable to obtain sufficient evidence that the controls were designed and operating effectively at December 31, 2005.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim consolidated financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The following material weaknesses have been identified and included in management's assessment as of December 31, 2005:

- 1) Ineffective controls and procedures to ensure that revenues are recognized in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- 2) Inadequate records and documentation supporting the decisions made and the accounting for complex transactions;
- 3) Inadequate finance and accounting department manpower and insufficient qualified accounting personnel to identify and resolve complex accounting issues in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- 4) Inadequate financial reporting and close procedures;
- 5) Ineffective and non-independent internal audit department;
- 6) Ineffective spreadsheet controls used in connection with the Company's financial processes, including review, testing, access and integrity controls;
- 7) Existence of accounting system access rights of certain members of the Company's accounting and finance department that are incompatible with the current roles and duties of such individuals; and
- 8) Inability of management to properly maintain the Company's documentation of the internal control over financial reporting during 2005 and inability to substantively commence the process to update such documentation and assessment until December 2005.

These material weaknesses were considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of the audit tests applied in our audit of the 2005 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 23, 2006 on those consolidated financial statements.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external



**Table of Contents**

purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Since management failed to provide us with timely documentation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and we were unable to apply other procedures to satisfy ourselves as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, the scope of our work was not sufficient to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on either management's assessment or on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005.

We do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on management's statements referring to any and all remediation steps taken.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2005. Our report thereon dated March 23, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP  
Costa Mesa, California  
March 23, 2006

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7

F-1

---

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements**

Board of Directors

Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated

San Diego, CA

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2005. We have also audited the schedule listed in the accompanying Item 16. These consolidated financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements and schedule are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements and schedule, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements and schedule. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and subsidiaries at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period then ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth, therein.

We were also engaged to audit, in accordance with the standards of Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), management's assessment and the effectiveness of Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and subsidiaries internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Our report dated March 23, 2006, did not express an opinion on management's assessment and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

Costa Mesa, California

March 23, 2006

F-2

---

**Table of Contents**

**LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in thousands, except share data)

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 66,756	\$ 92,310
Short-term investments	20,174	20,182
Accounts receivable, net	20,954	30,847
Current portion of inventories, net	9,333	7,155
Other current assets	15,750	17,713
Total current assets	132,967	168,207
Restricted investments	1,826	2,378
Long-term portion of inventories, net	5,869	4,617
Property and equipment, net	22,483	23,647
Acquired technology, product rights and royalty buy-down, net	146,770	127,443
Other assets	4,704	6,174
	\$ 314,619	\$ 332,466
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 15,360	\$ 17,352
Accrued liabilities	59,587	43,908
Current portion of deferred revenue, net	157,519	152,528
Current portion of equipment financing obligations	2,401	2,604
Current portion of long-term debt	344	320
Total current liabilities	235,211	216,712
Long-term debt	166,745	167,089
Long-term portion of equipment financing obligations	3,430	4,003
Long-term portion of deferred revenue, net	4,202	4,512
Other long-term liabilities	3,105	3,122
Total liabilities	412,693	395,438
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
Common stock subject to conditional redemption; 997,568 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	12,345	12,345
Stockholders deficit:		
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued		

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2005 and 2004, 73,136,340 and 72,970,670 shares issued at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	73	73
Additional paid-in capital	720,988	719,952
Accumulated other comprehensive income	490	229
Accumulated deficit	(831,059)	(794,660)
	(109,508)	(74,406)
Treasury stock, at cost; 73,842 shares	(911)	(911)
Total stockholders' deficit	(110,419)	(75,317)
	\$ 314,619	\$ 332,466

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---

**Table of Contents**

**LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Revenues:			
Product sales	\$ 166,081	\$ 120,335	\$ 55,324
Sale of royalty rights, net		31,342	11,786
Collaborative research and development and other revenues	10,527	11,835	14,008
 Total revenues	 176,608	 163,512	 81,118
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of products sold	39,847	39,804	26,557
Research and development	56,075	65,204	66,678
Selling, general and administrative	74,656	65,798	52,540
Co-promotion	32,501	30,077	9,360
 Total operating costs and expenses	 203,079	 200,883	 155,135
 Loss from operations	 (26,471)	 (37,371)	 (74,017)
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	1,890	1,096	783
Interest expense	(12,458)	(12,338)	(11,142)
Other, net	699	3,705	(10,034)
 Total other expense, net	 (9,869)	 (7,537)	 (20,393)
 Loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	 (36,340)	 (44,908)	 (94,410)
Income tax expense	(59)	(233)	(56)
 Loss before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	 (36,399)	 (45,141)	 (94,466)
Cumulative effect of changing method of accounting for variable interest entity (Note 2)			(2,005)
 Net loss	 \$ (36,399)	 \$ (45,141)	 \$ (96,471)
 Basic and diluted per share amounts:			
Loss before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.61)	\$ (1.33)
Cumulative effect of changing method of accounting for variable interest entity			(0.03)
 Net loss	 \$ (0.49)	 \$ (0.61)	 \$ (1.36)

Weighted average number of common shares	74,019,501	73,692,987	70,685,234
--	------------	------------	------------

Pro forma amounts assuming the changed method of  
accounting for variable interest entity is applied retroactively

(Note 2):

Net loss			\$ (94,352)
----------	--	--	-------------

Basic and diluted net loss per share			\$ (1.34)
--------------------------------------	--	--	-----------

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

---

**Table of Contents**

**LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)**  
(in thousands, except share data)

	Common stock		Accumulated			Treasury stock		Total	
	Shares	Amount	Additional paid-in capital	Other comprehensive income (loss)	Accumulated deficit	Shares	Amount	stockholders' equity (deficit)	Comprehensive loss
Balance at January 1, 2003	68,120,548	\$ 69	\$ 662,858	\$ (43)	\$(653,048)	\$ 73,842	\$(911)	\$ 8,925	
Issuance of common stock	3,964,851	3	49,447					49,450	
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities				(62)				(62)	\$ (62)
Stock-based compensation			565					565	
Foreign currency translation adjustments				39				39	39
Net loss					(96,471)			(96,471)	(96,471)
Balance at December 31, 2003	72,085,399	72	712,870	(66)	(749,519)	(73,842)	(911)	(37,554)	\$ (96,494)
Issuance of common stock	885,271	1	6,618					6,619	
Effect of common stock redemption			294					294	
Income tax benefits of stock option deductions			81					81	
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities				282				282	\$ 282
Stock-based compensation			89					89	
Foreign currency translation adjustments				13				13	13
Net loss					(45,141)			(45,141)	(45,141)
Balance at December 31, 2004	72,970,670	73	719,952	229	(794,660)	(73,842)	(911)	(75,317)	\$ (44,846)



Issuance of common stock	165,670		930					930	
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities				184				184	\$ 184
Reclassification adjustment for losses on sales of available-for-sale securities				261				261	261
Stock-based compensation			106					106	
Foreign currency translation adjustments				(184)				(184)	(184)
Net loss					(36,399)			(36,399)	(36,399)
Balance at December 31, 2005	73,136,340	\$ 73	\$ 720,988	\$ 490	\$ (831,059)	(73,842)	\$ (911)	\$ (110,419)	\$ (36,138)

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

**Table of Contents**

**LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(in thousands)

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Operating activities</b>			
Net loss	\$ (36,399)	\$ (45,141)	\$ (96,471)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Amortization of acquired technology and royalty and license rights	13,945	10,946	10,961
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	3,724	3,355	2,451
Non-cash development milestone		(1,956)	
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	1,038	974	916
Write-off of X-Ceptor purchase right			8,990
Gain on sale of investment	(713)		
Gain on sale of equity investment		(3,705)	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			2,005
Equity in loss of affiliate			981
Other	135	89	565
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	9,893	(11,946)	(6,478)
Inventories, net	(3,430)	(3,292)	(3,489)
Other current assets	1,963	(1,352)	(1,388)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	13,687	9,753	24,156
Other liabilities	(159)	156	151
Deferred revenue	4,681	47,873	56,963
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,365	5,754	313
<b>Investing activities</b>			
Purchases of short-term investments	(29,456)	(26,322)	(28,026)
Proceeds from sale of short-term investments	31,323	40,464	10,053
Decrease (increase) in restricted investments	(170)	9,204	10,384
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,596)	(3,604)	(2,783)
Payment to buy-down ONTAK royalty obligation	(33,000)		
Payment for AVINZA <sup>®</sup> royalty rights			(4,133)
Other, net	181	(131)	270
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(33,718)	19,611	(14,235)
<b>Financing activities</b>			
Principal payments on equipment financing obligations	(2,795)	(2,650)	(2,468)
Proceeds from equipment financing arrangements	2,019	4,429	1,114
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	930	6,619	49,451
Repurchase of common stock			(15,867)
Decrease in other long-term liabilities	(35)	(189)	(101)
Repayment of long-term debt	(320)	(294)	

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(201)	7,915	32,129
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(25,554)	33,280	18,207
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	92,310	59,030	40,823
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 66,756	\$ 92,310	\$ 59,030

**Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information**

Interest paid	\$ 11,421	\$ 10,468	\$ 9,948
---------------	-----------	-----------	----------

**Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities**

Receipt and retirement of common stock in settlement of Pfizer development milestone		1,956	
Receipt of Exelixis, Inc. common stock upon sale of equity investment in X-Ceptor		3,908	

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

**Table of Contents**

**LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. The Company and Its Business**

Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the Company or Ligand), discovers, develops and markets drugs that address patients' critical unmet medical needs in the areas of cancer, pain, men's and women's health or hormone-related health issues, skin diseases, osteoporosis, blood disorders and metabolic, cardiovascular and inflammatory diseases. Ligand's drug discovery and development programs are based on proprietary gene transcription technology, primarily related to Intracellular Receptors, also known as IRs, a type of sensor or switch inside cells that turns genes on and off. The consolidated financial statements include the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, Ligand Pharmaceuticals International, Inc., Ligand Pharmaceuticals (Canada) Incorporated, Seragen, Inc. (Seragen) and Nexus Equity VI LLC (Nexus).

The Company currently markets five products in the United States: AVINZA, for the relief of chronic, moderate to severe pain which was launched in June 2002; ONTAK, for the treatment of patients with persistent or recurrent CTCL; Targretin capsules, for the treatment of CTCL in patients who are refractory to at least one prior systemic therapy; Targretin gel, for the topical treatment of cutaneous lesions in patients with early stage CTCL; and Panretin gel, for the treatment of Kaposi's sarcoma in AIDS patients. In Europe, Ligand has marketing authorizations for Panretin gel and Targretin capsules and is currently marketing these products under arrangements with local distributors. In April 2003, the Company withdrew its ONZAR (ONTAK in the U.S.) marketing authorization application in Europe for its first generation product. It was Ligand's assessment that the cost of the additional clinical and technical information requested by the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (or EMEA) for the first generation product would be better spent on acceleration of the second generation ONTAK development. The Company expects to resubmit the ONZAR's application with the second generation product in 2007.

The Company's other potential products are in various stages of development. Potential products that are promising at early stages of development may not reach the market for a number of reasons. A significant portion of the Company's revenues to date have been derived from research and development agreements with major pharmaceutical collaborators. Prior to generating revenues from these products, the Company or its collaborators must complete the development of the products in the human health care market. No assurance can be given that: (1) product development efforts will be successful, (2) required regulatory approvals for any indication will be obtained, (3) any products, if introduced, will be capable of being produced in commercial quantities at reasonable costs or, (4) patient and physician acceptance of these products will be achieved. There can be no assurance that Ligand will ever achieve or sustain annual profitability.

The Company faces risks common to companies whose products are in various stages of development. These risks include, among others, the Company's need for additional financing to complete its research and development programs and commercialize its technologies and to finance its existing debt. For example, as of December 31, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$155.3 million in 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes that mature in November 2007 (See Note 11). The Company has incurred significant losses since its inception. At December 31, 2005, the Company's accumulated deficit was \$831.1 million. The Company expects to continue to incur substantial research and development expenses. The Company also expects that sales and marketing expenses related to product sales will continue to increase as product revenues continue to grow.

The Company believes that patents and other proprietary rights are important to its business. Its policy is to file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements to its inventions that are considered important to the development of its business. The patent positions of pharmaceutical and biotechnology firms, including the Company, are uncertain and involve complex legal and technical questions for which important legal principles are largely unresolved.

**Table of Contents****2. Significant Accounting Policies***Principles of Consolidation*

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, including disclosure of contingent assets and contingent liabilities, at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. The Company's critical accounting policies are those that are both most important to the Company's financial condition and results of operations and require the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments on the part of management in their application, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Because of the uncertainty of factors surrounding the estimates or judgments used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements, actual results may materially vary from these estimates.

*Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments*

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid securities with maturities at the date of acquisition of three months or less. Non-restricted equity and debt security investments with a maturity of more than three months are considered short-term investments and have been classified by management as available-for-sale. Such investments are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included as a separate component of stockholders' deficit. The Company determines cost based on the specific identification method.

*Restricted Investments*

Restricted investments consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Exelixis, Inc. common stock	\$	\$ 722
Certificates of deposit	1,826	1,656
	\$ 1,826	\$ 2,378

The certificates of deposit are held with a financial institution as collateral under equipment financing and third-party service provider arrangements. These certificates have been classified by management as held-to-maturity and are accounted for at amortized cost (See Note 13).

The Exelixis, Inc. common stock, which was acquired in connection with Exelixis' acquisition of Ligand's X-Ceptor Therapeutics, Inc. common stock, was subject to certain trading restrictions and accordingly, was classified as a restricted investment at December 31, 2004 (See Note 17). At December 31, 2005, the Exelixis, Inc. common stock is carried in short-term investments and classified as available for sale.

**Table of Contents***Concentrations of Credit Risk*

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents, investments and accounts receivable.

The Company invests its excess cash principally in United States government debt securities, investment grade corporate debt securities and certificates of deposit. The Company has established guidelines relative to diversification and maturities that maintain safety and liquidity. These guidelines are periodically reviewed and modified to take advantage of trends in yields and interest rates. The Company has not experienced any significant losses on its cash equivalents, short-term investments or restricted investments.

Trade accounts receivable represent the Company's most significant credit risk. The Company extends credit on an uncollateralized basis primarily to wholesale drug distributors throughout the United States. Prior to entering into sales agreements with new customers, and on an ongoing basis for existing customers, the Company performs credit evaluations. To date, the Company has not experienced significant losses on customer accounts.

As more fully discussed in Note 5, the Company sells certain of its accounts receivable under a non-recourse factoring arrangement with a finance company. The Company can transfer funds in any amount up to a specified percentage of the net amount due from the Company's trade customers at the time of the sale to the finance company, with the remaining funds available upon collection or write-off of the trade receivable. As of December 31, 2005, the gross amount due from the finance company was \$20.4 million.

*Inventories, net*

Inventories, net are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined using the first-in-first-out method. Inventories, net consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Raw materials	\$ 1,508	\$ 1,855
Work-in-process	9,115	2,302
Finished goods	6,324	8,642
Less inventory reserves	(1,745)	(1,027)
	15,202	11,772
Less current portion	(9,333)	(7,155)
Long-term portion of inventories, net	\$ 5,869	\$ 4,617

In 2005, the Company completed a multi-year process of transferring its filling and finishing of ONTAK from Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) to Hollister-Stier. In anticipation of this transfer, the Company used Lilly to fill and finish, in 2003, a higher than normal number of ONTAK lots each of which required a forward dating determination. ONTAK otherwise has a shelf life projection of up to 36 months. If commercial and clinical usage of these lots does not approximate the estimated pattern of usage as determined for purposes of dating, the Company could be required to write-off the value of one or more of these lots. In this regard, as of December 31, 2005, approximately \$0.5 million of ONTAK finished goods inventory was written off due to the Company's updated assessment of the timing of certain clinical trials. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, total ONTAK inventory amounted to approximately \$7.8 million and \$6.1 million, respectively, of which \$2.7 million and \$4.1 million is classified as long-term, respectively.

During 2005, the Company also manufactured a higher than normal amount of drug substance (bexarotene) for Targretin capsules in the event the Company's non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) clinical trials were successful. As further discussed in Note 8, the trials did not meet their endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two year survival. The Company believes, however, that the additional manufactured bexarotene, which has a shelf life projection of approximately 10 years, will be fully used for ongoing production of the Company's marketed products, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, total Targretin capsules inventory amounted to approximately \$4.2 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, of which \$3.2 million and



**Table of Contents**

\$0.5 million is classified as long-term, respectively. See also Note 13, Distribution Service Agreements and Manufacturing Supply Agreements .

*Property and Equipment*

Property and equipment is stated at cost and consists of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Land	\$ 5,176	\$ 5,176
Equipment, building, and leasehold improvements	61,732	59,568
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(44,425)	(41,097)
	\$ 22,483	\$ 23,647

Depreciation of equipment and building is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets which range from three to thirty years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or their related lease term, whichever is shorter.

*Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change*

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 ( FIN 46 ), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, an interpretation of ARB No. 51, which was subsequently revised in December 2003 ( FIN 46(R) ). FIN 46(R) requires the consolidation of certain variable interest entities ( VIEs ) by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or if the equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest.

Ligand implemented FIN 46(R) effective December 31, 2003, and consolidated the entity from which it leased one of its two corporate headquarter buildings as of that date, as it determined that the entity was a VIE, as defined by FIN 46(R), and that the Company would absorb a majority of its expected losses, if any, as defined by FIN 46(R). Accordingly, Ligand consolidated assets, which consist of land, the building, and related tenant improvements, with a total carrying value of \$13.6 million, net of accumulated depreciation. Additionally, the Company consolidated the entity's debt of \$12.5 million and non-controlling interest of \$0.6 million. In connection with the implementation of FIN 46(R), the Company also recorded a \$2.0 million charge (\$0.03 per share) as a cumulative effect of the accounting change on December 31, 2003. Due to the structure of the operating agreement for the entity, the entity's depreciation and interest expense were not allocated to the non-controlling interest. The entity's creditors and holder of its beneficial interest only had recourse against the assets of the VIE, not the general credit of Ligand (see also Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies- Property Leases). In April 2004, the Company exercised its right to acquire the portion of Nexus that it did not own. The acquisition resulted in Ligand's assumption of the existing loan against the property and payment to Nexus' other shareholder of approximately \$0.6 million.

*Acquired Technology, Product Rights and Royalty Buy-Down*

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles*, the Company amortizes intangible assets with finite lives in a manner that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the assets are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, the assets are amortized using the straight-line method.

Acquired technology and product rights as of December 31, 2005 include payments totaling \$33.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of the Company's ONTAK royalty obligations in 2005 and 2006 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty scale on ONTAK sales in the U.S. thereafter. See Note 12 Royalty Agreements . Amounts paid to Lilly in connection with the royalty restructuring were capitalized and are being amortized over the remaining patent life, which is approximately 10 years and represents the period estimated to be benefited, using the greater of the straight-line method or the expense determined on the tiered royalty schedule as set forth in Note 12. Other



acquired technology and product rights represent payments related to the Company's acquisition of ONTAK  
F-10

---

**Table of Contents**

and license rights for AVINZA. Because the Company cannot reliably determine the pattern in which the economic benefits of the acquired technology and products are realized, acquired technology and product rights are amortized on a straight-line basis over 15 years, which approximated the remaining patent life at the time the assets were acquired and otherwise represents the period estimated to be benefited. Specifically, the Company is amortizing its ONTAK asset through June 2014 which is approximate to the expiration date of its U.S. patent of December 2014. The AVINZA asset is being amortized through November 2017, the expiration of its U.S. patent. Acquired technology and product rights consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
AVINZA	\$ 114,437	\$ 114,437
Less accumulated amortization	(23,725)	(16,096)
	90,712	98,341
ONTAK	78,312	45,312
Less accumulated amortization	(22,254)	(16,210)
	56,058	29,102
	\$ 146,770	\$ 127,443

Amortization of acquired technology and product rights for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$13.7 million, \$10.7 million and \$10.7 million, respectively. Estimated annual amortization for these assets for each of the years in the period from 2006 to 2010 is \$14.0 million and \$76.8 million, thereafter.

*Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Fair value for the Company's long-lived assets is determined using the expected cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved.

Based on its impairment assessment completed in the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company believes the undiscounted future cash flows to be received from its long-lived assets will exceed the assets' carrying value, and accordingly has not recognized any impairment losses through December 31, 2005. Ligand's impairment assessment could be impacted by various factors including a more than insignificant disruption of supply, new competing products or technologies that could result in a significant decrease in the demand for or the pricing of its products, regulatory actions that require the Company to restrict or cease promotion of the products, a product recall to address regulatory issues, and/or patent claims by third parties.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The carrying amount of cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, restricted investments, accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2005 and 2004 are considered to be a reasonable estimate of their fair values due to the short-term nature of those instruments. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the carrying amount of equipment financing obligations represents a reasonable estimate of their fair value due to their interest rates approximating current market rates.



**Table of Contents**

The carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt at December 31, 2005 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2005		December 31, 2004	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
6% Convertible Subordinated Notes (Note 11)	\$155,250	\$280,040	\$155,250	\$308,948
Note payable to bank	11,839	12,196	12,159	12,808

Estimated fair value amounts have been determined using available market information.

*Revenue Recognition*

The Company generates revenue from product sales, collaborative research and development arrangements, and other activities such as distribution agreements, royalties, and sales of technology rights. Payments received under such arrangements may include non-refundable fees at the inception of the contract for technology rights under collaborative arrangements or product rights under distribution agreements, fully burdened funding for services performed during the research phase of collaborative arrangements, milestone payments for specific achievements designated in the collaborative or distribution agreements, royalties on sales of products resulting from collaborative arrangements, and payments for the supply of products under distribution agreements.

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101 *Revenue Recognition*, as amended by SAB 104 (hereinafter referred to as SAB 104) and SFAS 48 *Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists* (SFAS 48). SAB 104 states that revenue should not be recognized until it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met:

(1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the seller's price to the buyer is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. SFAS 48 states that revenue from sales transactions where the buyer has the right to return the product shall be recognized at the time of sale only if (1) the seller's price to the buyer is substantially fixed or determinable at the date of sale, (2) the buyer has paid the seller, or the buyer is obligated to pay the seller and the obligation is not contingent on resale of the product, (3) the buyer's obligation to the seller would not be changed in the event of theft or physical destruction or damage of the product, (4) the buyer acquiring the product for resale has economic substance apart from that provided by the seller, (5) the seller does not have significant obligations for future performance to directly bring about resale of the product by the buyer, and (6) the amount of future returns can be reasonably estimated.

**Table of Contents***Product sales*

The Company has determined that domestic shipments made to wholesalers for AVINZA, ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel do not meet the revenue recognition criteria of SFAS 48 and SAB 104 at the time of shipment, and therefore such shipments are accounted for using the sell-through method. Under the sell-through method, the Company does not recognize revenue upon shipment of product to the wholesaler. For these product sales, the Company invoices the wholesaler, records deferred revenue at gross invoice sales price less estimated cash discounts and for ONTAK, end-customer returns, and classifies the inventory held by the wholesaler as deferred cost of goods sold within other current assets. At that point, the Company makes an estimate of units that may be returned and records a reserve for those units against the deferred cost of goods sold account. The Company recognizes revenue when such inventory is sold through (as defined hereafter), on a first-in first-out (FIFO) basis. Sell through for ONTAK, Targretin capsules and Targretin gel are considered to be at the point of out movement from the wholesaler to the wholesaler's customer. Sell through for AVINZA is considered to be at the prescription level or at the point of patient consumption for channels with no prescription requirements.

A summary of the revenue recognition policy used for each product and the expiration of the underlying patents for each product is as follows:

	Method	Revenue Recognition Event	Patent Expiration
AVINZA	Sell-through	Prescriptions	November 2017
ONTAK	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	December 2014
Targretin capsules	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	October 2016
Targretin gel	Sell-through	Wholesaler out-movement	October 2016
Panretin	Sell-in	Shipment to wholesaler	August 2016
International	Sell-in	Shipment to international distributor	February 2011 through April 2013

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, net product sales recognized under the sell-through method represented approximately 97%, 96%, and 94%, respectively, of total net product sales.

Additionally under the sell-through method, royalties paid based on unit shipments to wholesalers are deferred and recognized as royalty expense as those units are sold through and recognized as revenue. Royalties paid to technology partners are deferred as the Company has the right to offset royalties paid for product that are later returned against subsequent royalty obligations. Royalties for which the Company does not have the ability to offset (for example, at the end of the contracted royalty period) are expensed in the period the royalty obligation becomes due. During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a charge to royalty expense in the amount of \$3.0 million for deferred royalties at the end of the contracted period for which the Company did not have offset rights.

The Company estimates sell-through based upon (1) analysis of third-party information, including information obtained from certain wholesalers with respect to their inventory levels and sell-through to customers, and third-party market research data, and (2) the Company's internal product movement information. To assess the reasonableness of third-party demand (i.e. sell-through) information, the Company prepares separate demand reconciliations based on inventory in the distribution channel. Differences identified through these reconciliations outside an acceptable range will be recognized as an adjustment to the third-party reported demand in the period those differences are identified. This adjustment mechanism is designed to identify and correct for any material variances between reported and actual demand over time and other potential anomalies such as inventory shrinkage at wholesalers. The Company's estimates are subject to the inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third-party data, as certain third-party information is itself in the form of estimates. The Company's sales and revenue recognition under the sell-through method reflects the Company's estimates of actual product sold through the channel.

The Company uses information from external sources to estimate its gross product sales under the sell-through revenue recognition method and significant gross to net sales adjustments. Such estimates include product information with respect to prescriptions, wholesaler out-movement and inventory levels, and retail pharmacy stocking levels, and the Company's own internal information. The Company receives information from IMS



**Table of Contents**

Health, a supplier of market research to the pharmaceutical industry, which it uses to estimate sell-through demand for its products and retail pharmacy inventory levels. The Company also receives wholesaler out-movement and inventory information from its wholesaler customers that is used to support and validate its demand-based, sell-through revenue recognition estimates. Additionally, the Company uses wholesaler provided out-movement information to estimate ONTAK sell-through revenue as this data is not available from IMS. The inventory information received from wholesalers is a product of their record-keeping process and their internal controls surrounding such processes.

The Company recognizes revenue for Panretin upon shipment to wholesalers as its wholesaler customers only stock minimal amounts of Panretin, if any. As such, wholesaler orders are considered to approximate end-customer demand for the product. Revenues from sales of Panretin are net of allowances for rebates, chargebacks and discounts. For international shipments of the Company's product, revenue is recognized upon shipment to its third-party international distributors.

*Sale of Royalty Rights*

Revenue from the sale of royalty rights represents the sale to third parties of rights for and exercise of options to acquire future royalties the Company may earn from the sale of products in development with its collaborative partners. If the Company has no continuing involvement in the research, development or marketing of these products, sales of royalty rights are recognized as revenue in the period the transaction is consummated or the options are exercised or expire. If the Company has significant continuing involvement in the research, development or marketing of the product, proceeds for the sale of royalty rights are accounted for as a financing in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 88-18: *Sales of Future Revenues* (See Note 12).

*Collaborative Research and Development and Other Revenues*

Collaborative research and development and other revenues are recognized as services are performed consistent with the performance requirements of the contract. Non-refundable contract fees for which no further performance obligation exists and where the Company has no continuing involvement are recognized upon the earlier of when payment is received or collection is assured. Revenue from non-refundable contract fees where Ligand has continuing involvement through research and development collaborations or other contractual obligations is recognized ratably over the development period or the period for which Ligand continues to have a performance obligation. Revenue from performance milestones is recognized upon the achievement of the milestones as specified in the respective agreement. Payments received in advance of performance or delivery are recorded as deferred revenue and subsequently recognized over the period of performance or upon delivery.

The composition of collaborative research and development and other revenues is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Collaborative research and development	\$ 3,513	\$ 7,843	\$ 10,887
Development milestones	6,704	3,681	2,807
Other	310	311	314
	<b>\$ 10,527</b>	<b>\$ 11,835</b>	<b>\$ 14,008</b>

*Net Product Sales*

The Company's net product sales represent total product sales less allowances for rebates, chargebacks, discounts, promotions and losses to be incurred on returns from wholesalers resulting from increases in the selling price of the Company's products. In addition, the Company incurs certain distributor service agreement fees related to the management of its product by wholesalers. These fees have been recorded within net revenues. For ONTAK, the Company also has established reserves for returns from end customers (i.e. other than wholesalers) after sell-through revenue recognition has occurred.

**Table of Contents**

The composition of net product sales by product is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
AVINZA	\$ 112,793	\$ 69,470	\$ 16,482
ONTAK	30,996	32,200	24,108
Targretin capsules.	18,692	15,105	11,556
Other	3,600	3,560	3,178
	\$ 166,081	\$ 120,335	\$ 55,324

*Deferred Revenue, Net*

Under the sell-through revenue recognition method, the Company does not recognize revenue upon shipment of product to the wholesaler. For these shipments, the Company invoices the wholesaler, records deferred revenue at gross invoice sales price, and classifies the inventory held by the wholesaler (and subsequently held by retail pharmacies as in the case of AVINZA) as deferred cost of goods sold within other current assets. Deferred revenue is presented net of deferred cash and other discounts. Other deferred revenue reflects certain collaborative research and development payments and the sale of certain royalty rights.

The composition of deferred revenue, net is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Deferred product revenue	\$ 158,030	\$ 153,632
Other deferred revenue	5,296	5,574
Deferred discounts	(1,605)	(2,166)
Deferred revenue, net	\$ 161,721	\$ 157,040
Current, net	\$ 157,519	\$ 152,528
Long-term, net	\$ 4,202	\$ 4,512
Deferred product revenue, net (1)		
Current	\$ 156,425	\$ 151,466
Long-term	\$	\$
Other deferred revenue		
Current	\$ 1,094	\$ 1,062
Long-term	\$ 4,202	\$ 4,512

(1) Deferred product revenue does not include other gross to net revenue



adjustments made when the Company reports net product sales. Such adjustments include Medicaid rebates, managed health care rebates, and government chargebacks, which are included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

*Allowance for Return Losses*

Product sales are net of adjustments for losses resulting from price increases the Company may experience on product returns from its wholesaler customers. The Company's policy for returns allows customers, primarily wholesale distributors, to return its oncology products three months prior to and six months after expiration. For ONTAK, customers are generally allowed to return product in exchange for replacement ONTAK vials. The Company's policy for returns of AVINZA allows customers to return the product six months prior to and six months after expiration. Upon an announced price increase, typically in the quarter prior to when a price increase becomes effective, the Company revalues its estimate of deferred product revenue to be returned to recognize the potential higher credit a wholesaler may take upon product return determined as the difference between the new price and the previous price used to value the allowance.

F-15

---

**Table of Contents***ONTAK End-Customer Returns*

Under the sell-through method of revenue recognition, the estimate of product returns from the wholesalers does not result in a gross to net sales adjustment since the shipment of product to the wholesalers does not result in revenue recognition. For ONTAK, revenue is recognized when product is shipped from wholesalers to end-customers, primarily hospitals and clinics that have the capability to administer the product to patients. These customers have the right to return expired product to the wholesaler who in turn can return the product to the Company. In accordance with SFAS 48, the Company records a return provision upon sell-through of ONTAK by establishing a reserve in an amount equal to the estimate of sales recorded but for which the related products are expected to be returned by the end customer. Estimates of the sales return accrual are based on historical experience.

*Medicaid Rebates*

The Company's products are subject to state government-managed Medicaid programs whereby discounts and rebates are provided to participating state governments. Medicaid rebates are accounted for by establishing an accrual in an amount equal to the Company's estimate of Medicaid rebate claims attributable to sales recognized in that period. The estimate of the Medicaid rebates accrual is determined primarily based on historical experience regarding Medicaid rebates, as well as current and historical prescription activity provided by external sources, current contract prices and any expected contract changes. The Company additionally considers any legal interpretations of the applicable laws related to Medicaid and qualifying federal and state government programs and any new information regarding changes in the Medicaid programs' regulations and guidelines that would impact the amount of the rebates. The Company adjusts the accrual periodically throughout each period to reflect actual experience, expected changes in future prescription volumes and any changes in business circumstances or trends.

*Government Chargebacks*

The Company's products are subject to certain programs with federal government entities and other parties whereby pricing on products is extended below wholesaler list price to participating entities. These entities purchase products through wholesalers at the lower vendor price, and the wholesalers charge the difference between their acquisition cost and the lower vendor price back to the Company. Chargebacks are accounted for by establishing an accrual in an amount equal to the estimate of chargeback claims. The Company determines estimates of the chargebacks primarily based on historical experience regarding chargebacks and current contract prices under the vendor programs. The Company considers vendor payments and claim processing time lags and adjusts the accrual periodically throughout each period to reflect actual experience and any changes in business circumstances or trends.

*Managed Health Care Rebates and Other Contract Discounts*

The Company offers rebates and discounts to managed health care organizations and to other contract counterparties such as hospitals and group purchasing organizations in the U.S. Managed health care rebates and other contract discounts are accounted for by establishing an accrual in an amount equal to the estimate of managed health care rebates and other contract discounts. Estimates of the managed health care rebates and other contract discounts accruals are determined primarily based on historical experience regarding these rebates and discounts and current contract prices. The Company also considers the current and historical prescription activity provided by external sources, current contract prices and any expected contract changes and adjusts the accrual periodically throughout each period to reflect actual experience and any changes in business circumstances or trends.

*Costs and Expenses*

Cost of products sold includes manufacturing costs, amortization of acquired technology and product rights, and royalty expenses associated with the Company's commercial products. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Amounts paid for products or to buy-out product royalty obligations for which a new drug application has been filed with the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) are capitalized. Research and development expenses were \$56.1 million, \$65.2 million, and \$66.7 million in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively, of which approximately 94%, 88%, and 84% were sponsored by Ligand, and the remainder of which

**Table of Contents**

was funded pursuant to collaborative research and development arrangements.

*Advertising Expenses*

Advertising expenses, including advertising incurred through the Company's AVINZA co-promotion arrangement with Organon, are expensed as incurred.

*Debt Issuance Costs*

The costs related to the issuance of debt are capitalized and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the lives of the related debt.

*Income Taxes*

The Company recognizes liabilities or assets for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets or liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109). These temporary differences will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years when the reported amounts of the assets or liabilities are recovered or settled. SFAS 109 requires that a valuation allowance be established when management determines that it is more likely than not that all or a portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company evaluates the realizability of its net deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis and valuation allowances are provided, as necessary. During this evaluation, the Company reviews its forecasts of income in conjunction with other positive and negative evidence surrounding the realizability of its deferred tax assets to determine if a valuation allowance is required. Adjustments to the valuation allowance will increase or decrease the Company's income tax provision or benefit.

*Loss Per Share*

Net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Basic and diluted net loss per share amounts are equivalent for the periods presented as the inclusion of potential common shares in the number of shares used for the diluted computation would be anti-dilutive. Potential common shares, the shares that would be issued upon the conversion of convertible notes and the exercise of outstanding warrants and stock options, were 32.7 million, 32.4 million, and 32.3 million at December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and FASB Interpretation No. 44 (FIN 44), *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation*. Under the intrinsic-value method prescribed by APB No. 25, compensation cost is the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock on the grant date of the option over the exercise price of the option. The Company grants stock options with an exercise price equal to the market value on the date of grant.

Pro forma information regarding net loss and loss per share is required by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation*, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of that Statement. The estimated weighted average fair value at grant date for the options granted during 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$8.13, \$9.46, and \$6.41 per option, respectively. The fair value for these options was estimated at the dates of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Risk free interest rates	4.35%	3.61%	3.25%
Dividend yields			
Volatility	72%	74%	74%
Weighted average expected life	5 years	5 years	5 years

F-17

**Table of Contents**

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

In accordance with SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure*, the following table summarizes the Company's results on a pro forma basis as if it had recorded compensation expense based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards under these plans consistent with the methodology prescribed under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, for 2005, 2004 and 2003 (in thousands, except for net loss per share information):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Net loss, as reported	\$ (36,399)	\$ (45,141)	\$ (96,471)
Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss	107	89	565
Less: total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(3,008)	(7,674)	(6,797)
Less: total stock-based compensation as determined under fair value based method for options accelerated in January 2005 <sup>(1)</sup>	(12,455)		
Net loss pro forma	\$ (51,755)	\$ (52,726)	\$ (102,703)
Net loss per share, as reported	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.61)	\$ (1.36)
Net loss per share pro forma	\$ (0.70)	\$ (0.72)	\$ (1.45)

(1) Represents pro forma unrecognized expense for accelerated options as of the date of acceleration.

On January 31, 2005, Ligand accelerated the vesting of certain unvested and out-of-the-money stock options previously awarded to the executive officers and other employees under the Company's 1992 and 2002 stock option plans which had an exercise price greater than \$10.41, the closing price of the Company's stock on that date. Options to purchase approximately 1.3 million shares of common stock (of which approximately 450,000 shares were subject to options held by the executive officers) were accelerated. Options held by non-employee directors were not accelerated.

Holders of incentive stock options ( ISOs ) within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, were given the election to decline the acceleration of their options if such acceleration would have the effect of changing the status of such option for federal income tax purposes from an ISO to a non-qualified stock option. In addition, the executive officers plus other members of senior management agreed that they will not sell any shares acquired through the exercise of an accelerated option prior to the date on which the exercise would have been permitted under the option s original vesting terms. This agreement does not apply to a) shares sold in order to pay applicable taxes resulting from the exercise of an accelerated option or b) upon the officer s retirement or other termination of employment.

The purpose of the acceleration was to eliminate any future compensation expense the Company would have otherwise recognized in its income statement with respect to these options upon the implementation of SFAS 123 (R), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R).

The Company also has an employee stock option plan (the 2002 ESPP ), which is a non-compensatory plan as defined under APB No. 25. Since its adoption in 2002, a total of 510,248 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2002 ESPP (includes shares transferred from the predecessor plan). As of December

F-18

---

**Table of Contents**

31, 2005, 362,738 shares of common stock had been issued under the 2002 ESPP and 147,510 shares are available for future issuance.

*Comprehensive (Loss) Income*

Comprehensive loss represents net loss adjusted for the change during the periods presented in unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities less reclassification adjustments for realized gains or losses included in net loss, as well as foreign currency translation adjustments. The accumulated unrealized gains or losses and cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments are reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of stockholders' equity (deficit).

*Segment Reporting*

The Company currently operates in a single operating segment. The Company generates revenue from various sources that result primarily from its underlying research and development activities. In addition, financial results are prepared and reviewed by management as a single operating segment. The Company continually evaluates the benefits of operating in distinct segments and will report accordingly when such distinction is made.

*Guarantees and Indemnifications*

The Company accounts for and discloses guarantees in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*, an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FIN 34. The following is a summary of the Company's agreements that the Company has determined are within the scope of FIN 45:

Under its bylaws, the Company has agreed to indemnify its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences arising as a result of the officer's or director's serving in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. However, the Company has a directors and officers liability insurance policy that limits its exposure and enables it to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of its insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal and has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2005 and 2004.

The Company may enter into indemnification provisions under its agreements with other companies in its ordinary course of business, typically with business partners, suppliers, contractors, customers and landlords. Under these provisions the Company generally indemnifies and holds harmless the indemnified party for direct losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party as a result of the Company's activities or, in some cases, as a result of the indemnified party's activities under the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification provisions is unlimited. The Company has not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2005 and 2004.

*Reclassifications*

Certain reclassifications have been made to amounts included in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2004 to conform to the current year presentation.

**Table of Contents****3. Investments**

The following table summarizes the various investment categories at December 31, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Gross unrealized gains</b>	<b>Gross unrealized losses</b>	<b>Estimated fair value</b>
<b><i>December 31, 2005</i></b>				
U.S. government securities	\$ 3,538	\$ 1	\$ (11)	\$ 3,528
Corporate obligations	13,161	2	(11)	13,152
	16,699	3	(22)	16,680
Certificates of deposit restricted	1,826			1,826
Total debt securities	18,525	3	(22)	18,506
Equity securities	2,732	1,024	(262)	3,494
	\$ 21,257	\$ 1,027	\$ (284)	\$ 22,000
<b><i>December 31, 2004</i></b>				
U.S. government securities	\$ 12,463	\$	\$ (29)	\$ 12,434
Corporate obligations	4,234	1	(11)	4,224
	16,697	1	(40)	16,658
Certificates of deposit restricted	1,656			1,656
Total debt securities	18,353	1	(40)	18,314
Equity securities	3,186	338		3,524
Equity securities restricted	722			722
	\$ 22,261	\$ 339	\$ (40)	\$ 22,560

There were no material realized gains or losses on sales of available-for-sale securities for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt security investments at December 31, 2005, by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

	<b>December 31, 2005</b>	
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Estimated fair value</b>
Due in one year or less	\$ 9,796	\$ 9,793
Due after one year through three years	8,729	8,713

\$ 18,525    \$ 18,506

**4. Other Balance Sheet Details**

Accounts receivable consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Trade accounts receivable	\$ 1,344	\$ 25,860
Due from finance company	20,464	6,084
Less discounts and allowances	(854)	(1,097)
	\$ 20,954	\$ 30,847

F-20

---



**Table of Contents**

Other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Deferred royalty cost	\$ 5,203	\$ 9,363
Deferred cost of products sold	5,103	4,784
Prepaid insurance	1,071	1,024
Prepaid other	2,807	2,102
Other	1,566	440
	<b>\$ 15,750</b>	<b>\$ 17,713</b>

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Prepaid royalty buyout, net	\$ 2,312	\$ 2,584
Debt issue costs, net	2,193	3,231
Other	199	359
	<b>\$ 4,704</b>	<b>\$ 6,174</b>

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Allowances for loss on returns, rebates, chargebacks, other discounts, ONTAK end-customer and Panretin product returns	\$ 15,729	\$ 16,151
Co-promotion	24,778	7,845
Distribution services	4,044	3,693
Compensation	5,746	4,324
Royalties	1,994	5,134
Seragen purchase liability	2,925	2,838
Interest	1,164	1,164
Other	3,207	2,759
	<b>\$ 59,587</b>	<b>\$ 43,908</b>

**Table of Contents**

The following summarizes the activity in the accrued liability accounts related to allowances for loss on returns, rebates, chargebacks, other discounts, and ONTAK end-customer and Panretin returns (in thousands):

	Losses on Returns Due to Changes In Price	Medicaid Rebates	Managed Care Rebates and Other Rebates	Charge- backs	Other Discounts	ONTAK End- customer and Panretin Returns	Total
Balance at January 1, 2003	\$ 974	\$ 207	\$ 31	\$ 117	\$ 826	\$ 1,797	\$ 3,952
Provision	4,229	2,724	852	2,184	9,035	1,547	20,571
Payments		(1,239)	(457)	(2,123)	(9,344)		(13,163)
Charges	(856)					(1,308)	(2,164)
Balance at December 31, 2003	4,347	1,692	426	178	517	2,036	9,196
Provision	5,018	14,430	5,773	3,962	6,495	3,015	38,693
Payments		(11,074)	(4,455)	(3,684)	(7,008)		(26,221)
Charges	(3,025)					(2,492)	(5,517)
Balance at December 31, 2004	6,340	5,048	1,744	456	4	2,559	16,151
Provision	1,801 <sup>(1)</sup>	18,852	10,592	5,874		3,439	40,558
Payments		(18,552)	(8,869)	(6,130)	(4)		(33,555)
Charges	(4,103)					(3,322)	(7,425)
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 4,038	\$ 5,348	\$ 3,467	\$ 200	\$	\$ 2,676	\$ 15,729

(1) The provision for losses on returns in 2005 is net of a \$2.9 million reduction in the allowance for such losses recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005. This adjustment resulted from lower rates of return on lots of AVINZA that closed out in the

fourth quarter of  
2005, thereby  
lowering the  
historical  
weighted  
average rate of  
return used for  
estimating the  
allowance.

F-22

---

**Table of Contents****5. Accounts Receivable Factoring Arrangement**

During 2003, the Company entered into a one-year accounts receivable factoring arrangement under which eligible accounts receivable are sold without recourse to a finance company. The agreement was renewed for a one-year period in the second quarter of 2004 and again in the second quarter of 2005 through December 2007. Commissions on factored receivables are paid to the finance company based on the gross receivables sold, subject to a minimum annual commission. Additionally, the Company pays interest on the net outstanding balance of the uncollected factored accounts receivable at an interest rate equal to the JPMorgan Chase Bank prime rate. The Company continues to service the factored receivables. The servicing expenses for 2005, 2004 and 2003 and the servicing liability at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were not material. There were no material gains or losses on the sale of such receivables. The Company accounts for the sale of receivables under this arrangement in accordance with SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities*.

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had received cash of \$23.3 million and \$17.2 million, respectively, under the factoring arrangement for the sale of trade receivables that were outstanding as of that date. The gross amount due from the finance company at December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$20.5 million and \$6.1 million, respectively.

**6. Strategic Alliance with Elan Corporation**

The Company and Elan Corporation, plc ( Elan ) have been parties to a number of agreements that provided financing to the Company and a license to Elan's product AVINZA. Significant provisions are as follows:

*Financing Arrangement*

From 1998 through 2000, the Company issued Elan through a series of separate transactions, \$110.0 million in zero coupon convertible senior notes (the Notes ). Through 2001, Elan had subsequently converted \$40.0 million of the Notes into shares of the Company's common stock. In December 2001, Elan agreed to convert \$50.0 million of the Notes plus accrued interest of \$11.8 million into 4,406,010 shares of Ligand common stock. The conversion occurred in February 2002 subsequent to regulatory approval.

In March 2002, Elan agreed to convert the remaining Notes totaling \$20.0 million of zero coupon convertible senior notes and \$4.7 million of accrued interest into 1,766,916 shares of Ligand common stock. In connection with the conversion, Ligand provided Elan with a \$2.0 million conversion incentive through the issuance of 102,151 shares of common stock.

The financing arrangement with Elan contained certain rights of first refusal upon the subsequent issuance of securities. In accordance with such rights and as a result of other equity issuances by the Company, the Company granted 91,406 warrants to Elan in 1999. Elan subsequently exercised the warrants in connection with the March 2002 conversion of the Notes.

*License and Supply Agreement*

In 1998, Elan also agreed to exclusively license and supply to the Company in the United States and Canada its proprietary product AVINZA, a form of morphine for chronic, moderate-to-severe pain. In November 2002, the Company and Elan agreed to amend the terms of the AVINZA license and supply agreement. Under the terms of the amendment, Ligand paid Elan \$100.0 million in return for a reduction in Elan's product supply price on sales of AVINZA by Ligand, rights to sublicense and obtain a co-promotion partner in its territories, and rights to qualify and purchase AVINZA from a second manufacturing source. Elan's adjusted royalty and supply price of AVINZA is approximately 10% of the product's net sales, compared to approximately 30-35% in the prior agreement. Ligand committed to place firm purchase orders for a minimum of 40 batches of AVINZA from Elan annually through 2005, estimated at approximately \$9.2 million per year. In addition, Elan agreed to forego its option to co-promote AVINZA in the United States and Canada. The amount paid to Elan and related transaction costs were capitalized as acquired product rights.

**Table of Contents**

In 2005, 2004, and 2003, purchases and royalties under the agreement totaled \$12.4 million, \$15.4 million, and \$6.3 million, respectively. The purchases in 2003 represent 20 batches of product. Elan was unable to obtain a sufficient quota of morphine from the Drug Enforcement Agency and was therefore only able to supply Ligand with 20 batches prior to December 31, 2003. The remaining batches were subsequently shipped to Ligand in 2004. Ligand met its commitment for placing firm purchase orders for 2005 and 2004.

*Repurchase of Elan Shares*

In connection with the November 2002 restructuring of the AVINZA license agreement, the Company also agreed to repurchase approximately 2.2 million Ligand common shares held by an affiliate of Elan for \$9.00 a share. The difference between the \$9.00 per share purchase price and the public price of the shares (\$7.14 per share) at the time the agreement was signed, approximately \$4.1 million, was treated as an additional component of the price paid for the reduced AVINZA royalty rate under the restructured license and supply agreement and, accordingly, is capitalized as acquired technology and product rights. The shares were purchased and retired in February 2003. In accordance with EITF Topic D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities* (EITF D-98), the Elan shares with a carrying value totaling \$20.0 million were reclassified in November 2002 to common stock subject to conditional redemption/repurchase, since the Company was obligated as of that date to repurchase the shares.

In addition, Elan agreed to a 6-month lock-up period on 11.8 million of its remaining 12.2 million Ligand shares. Ligand agreed to changes to Elan's registration rights to facilitate an orderly distribution of its shares after the lock-up period. In May and July 2003, Elan disclosed that it had sold the remaining 12.2 million Ligand shares to unrelated third parties. In July 2003, Ligand filed a resale registration statement on behalf of the unrelated third parties, registering the resale of the shares they had acquired from Elan.

*Distribution Agreement*

In February 2001, the Company and Elan entered into a distribution agreement providing for the distribution of certain of the Company's products in various European and other international territories for a term of ten years. In 2001, the Company received a \$1.5 million up-front fee at contract inception, which is deferred and amortized over the life of the distribution agreement, and \$4.5 million in milestone payments upon the subsequent submission of European Union (EU) applications for Marketing Authorization Application (Europe) (MAA) and grants of MAAs for certain of the products subject to the distribution agreement. The Company may receive additional payments as products are submitted and approved in the territories. In February 2004, Elan and Medeus Pharma Limited (now Zeneus) announced that Medeus had acquired Elan's European sales and marketing business, and that the acquisition included the marketing and distribution rights to certain of the Company's products in Europe. In December 2005, Cephalon Inc. announced that it had acquired the outstanding share capital of Zeneus, which will operate as a wholly owned subsidiary of Cephalon.

**7. Amended and Restated Research, Development and License Agreement with Wyeth**

On December 1, 2005, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Research, Development and License Agreement with Wyeth (formerly American Home Products Corporation). Under the previous agreement, effective September 2, 1994 as amended January 16, 1996, May 24, 1996, September 2, 1997 and September 9, 1999 (collectively the *Prior Agreement*), Wyeth and the Company engaged in a joint research and development effort to discover and/or design small molecule compounds which act through the estrogen and progesterone receptors and to develop pharmaceutical products from such compounds. Wyeth sponsored certain research and development activities carried out by the Company and Wyeth may commercialize products resulting from the joint research and development subject to certain milestone and royalty payments. The Amended and Restated Agreement does not materially change the prior rights and obligations of the parties with respect to Wyeth compounds currently in development, e.g. bazedoxifene, which is in the late stage development for osteoporosis.

The parties agreed to amend and restate the *Prior Agreement* principally to better define, simplify and clarify the universe of research compounds resulting from the research and development efforts of the parties, combine and clarify categories of those compounds and related milestones and royalties and resolve a number of prior milestone payment issues that had arisen. Among other things, the Amended and Restated Agreement called for Wyeth to pay

**Table of Contents**

Ligand \$1.8 million representing the difference between amounts paid under the old compound categories for previously achieved milestones versus the amounts due under the amended, single category. This amount is included in Collaborative research and development and other revenues in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

**8. Targretin Capsules**

In March 2005, the Company announced that the final data analysis for Targretin capsules in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) showed that the trials did not meet their endpoints of improved overall survival and projected two year survival. The Company is continuing to analyze the data and apply it to the continued development of Targretin capsules in NSCLC.

**9. AVINZA Co-Promotion**

In February 2003, Ligand and Organon Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. ( Organon ) entered into an agreement for the co-promotion of AVINZA. Under the terms of the agreement, Organon committed to a specified minimum number of primary and secondary product calls delivered to certain high prescribing physicians and hospitals beginning in March 2003. Organon's compensation through 2005 was structured as a percentage of net sales, which paid Organon for their efforts and also provided Organon an economic incentive for performance and results. In exchange, Ligand paid Organon a percentage of AVINZA net sales based on the following schedule:

Annual Net Sales of AVINZA	% of Incremental Net Sales Paid to Organon by Ligand
\$0-35 million (2003 only)	0% (2003 only)
\$0-150 million	30%
\$150-300 million	40%
\$300-425 million	50%
> \$425 million	45%

On January 17, 2006, Ligand signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand. The effective date of the termination agreement is January 1, 2006, however, the parties have agreed to continue to cooperate during a transition period ending September 30, 2006 (the Transition Period ) to promote the product. The Transition Period co-operation includes a minimum number of product sales calls per quarter (100,000 for Organon and 30,000 for Ligand with an aggregate of 375,000 and 90,000 respectively for the Transition Period) as well as the transition of ongoing promotions, managed care contracts, clinical trials and key opinion leader relationships to Ligand. During the Transition Period, Ligand will pay Organon an amount equal to 23% of AVINZA net sales as reported by Ligand. Ligand will also pay and be responsible for the design and execution of all clinical, advertising and promotion expenses and activities.

As previously disclosed, Organon and Ligand were in discussions regarding the calculation of prior co-promote fees under the co-promotion agreement. Through the third quarter of 2005, such fees were determined based on net sales calculated under the sell-in method of revenue recognition. In connection with the termination of the co-promotion agreement, the companies resolved their disagreement concerning prior co-promote fees and Ligand paid Organon \$14.75 million in January 2006. Resolution of this matter resulted in no material adjustment to amounts previously recorded in 2005 for co-promotion expense. The companies also agreed that Organon's compensation for the fourth quarter of 2005 would be calculated based on Ligand's reported AVINZA net sales determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Additionally, in consideration of the early termination and return of rights under the terms of the agreement, Ligand will unconditionally pay Organon \$37.75 million on or before October 15, 2006. Ligand will further pay Organon \$10.0 million on or before January 15, 2007, provided that Organon has made its minimum required level of

sales calls. Under certain conditions, including change of control, the cash payments will accelerate. In addition, after the termination, Ligand will make quarterly royalty payments to Organon equal to 6.5% of AVINZA net sales

F-25

---

**Table of Contents**

through December 31, 2012 and thereafter 6.0% through patent expiration, currently anticipated to be November of 2017.

The termination and return of rights transaction with Organon requires the analysis of several complex accounting alternatives. Accordingly, Ligand is still in the process of determining the appropriate accounting treatment for the transaction in 2006 and going forward. Certain of these alternatives, however, could result in the recognition of significant additional expense in Ligand's consolidated statement of operations for the first quarter of 2006. Ligand expects to complete its determination of the appropriate accounting by the time it files its first quarter 2006 Form 10-Q.

**10. Seragen**

In 1998, the Company completed a merger with Seragen. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Ligand paid merger consideration of \$31.7 million at closing and \$34.1 million in 1999 subsequent to final FDA approval of ONTAK. Pending resolution of final contingencies and in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, the Company had withheld \$2.1 million from payments made to certain Seragen stakeholders. This amount plus accrued interest of approximately \$0.8 million resulting from litigation concerning payment of the withheld amount was subsequently paid in February 2006 (See Note 13). The total amount paid was accrued as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 within accrued liabilities.

In connection with the Seragen merger, the Company acquired substantially all the assets of Marathon Biopharmaceuticals, LLC ( Marathon ), which provided manufacturing services to Seragen. In 2000, Ligand sold the contract manufacturing assets of Marathon and in connection with the sale, entered into a three-year supply and development agreement with the acquirer for the manufacture of ONTAK. In 2003, the Company entered into a new five-year agreement with Cambrex Bio Science Hopkinton, Inc., the successor of Marathon, for the continued manufacturing of ONTAK. Purchases under the agreement amounted to \$1.7 million, \$3.0 million, and \$4.6 million in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

**11. Long-term Debt**

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
6% Convertible Subordinated Notes	\$ 155,250	\$ 155,250
Note payable to bank	11,839	12,159
	167,089	167,409
Less current portion	(344)	(320)
Long-term debt	\$ 166,745	\$ 167,089



**Table of Contents***6% Convertible Subordinated Notes*

In November 2002, the Company completed a private offering of 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$155.3 million, receiving net proceeds of \$150.1 million. The notes pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 6% and mature on November 16, 2007. Holders may convert the notes into shares of common stock at any time prior to maturity at a conversion rate of 161.9905 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes. Total shares of common stock that would be issued if all notes were converted is 25,149,025. Of the net proceeds, \$18.0 million was invested in U.S. government securities and placed with a trustee to pay the first four scheduled interest payments. These first four interest payments were made in 2003 and 2004 for \$9.1 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. Effective November 22, 2005, the Company has the option to redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at specified redemption prices ranging from 102.4% to 101.2% of the outstanding principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Upon a change in control, holders of the notes can require the Company to repurchase the notes. The Company paid approximately \$5.2 million in debt issuance costs that are being amortized using the interest method.

In January 2006, certain holders converted notes with a face value of \$24.1 million into approximately 3.9 million shares of common stock (See Note 22).

*Note Payable to Bank*

In December 2003, Ligand implemented the provisions of FIN 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51* (see Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change ). In connection with the implementation of FIN 46(R), the Company consolidated the entity, Nexus, from which it leased one of its corporate headquarter buildings, including assets of \$13.6 million and a note payable to bank (the Note ) of \$12.5 million. Ligand subsequently acquired the portion of Nexus it did not previously own in April 2004. As of December 31, 2005, the Note has a net book value of \$11.8 million. The Note carries an interest rate of 7.15% and requires periodic principal and interest payments through July 2008. The Note is secured by a lien on the subject building (including the land and tenant improvements associated with that building). Payments due on the Note during each of the years subsequent to December 31, 2005, through maturity, are \$0.3 million for 2006, \$0.4 million for 2007, and \$11.1 million for 2008.

**12. Royalty Agreements**

The Company has royalty obligations under various technology license agreements. During 2005, royalties to individual licensors were accrued ranging from 1.0% to 12.6% of net sales. Royalty expense for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$9.7 million, \$15.5 million and \$8.2 million, respectively.

*Sale of Royalty Rights*

Revenue from the sale of royalty rights represents the sale to third parties of rights and options to acquire future royalties the Company may earn from the sale of products in development with its collaborative partners. If the Company has no continuing involvement in the research or development of these products, sales of royalty rights are recognized as revenue in the period the transaction is consummated or the options are exercised or expire.

In March 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Royalty Pharma AG ( Royalty Pharma ) to sell a portion of its rights to future royalties from the net sales of three selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) products now in late stage development with two of the Company's collaborative partners, Pfizer and Wyeth. The agreement provided for the initial sale of rights to 0.25% of such product net sales for \$6.0 million and options to acquire up to an additional 1.00% of net sales for \$50.0 million. Of the initial \$6.0 million sale of rights, \$0.2 million was attributed to the fair market value of the options and recorded as deferred revenue. The deferred revenue was recognized upon exercise or expiration of the options.

In July and December of 2002, the agreement was amended to replace the existing options with new options providing for the rights to acquire an additional 1.3125% of net sales for \$63.8 million. Royalty Pharma exercised each of the three available 2002 options, as amended, acquiring rights to 0.4375% of net sales for \$12.3 million. The fair value estimated for the amended options, \$0.2 million, was recorded as deferred revenue.

**Table of Contents**

In October 2003, the existing royalty agreement was amended and Royalty Pharma exercised an option for \$12.5 million in exchange for 0.7% of potential future sales of the three SERM products for 10 years. Under the revised agreement, Royalty Pharma had three additional options to purchase up to 1.3% of such product net sales for \$39.0 million.

In November 2004, Royalty Pharma agreed to purchase an additional 1.625% royalty on future sales of the SERM products for \$32.5 million and cancel its remaining two options. Payments from the royalty purchase are non-refundable.

Under the underlying royalty agreements, both Pfizer and Wyeth have the right to offset a portion of any future royalty payments owed to the Company to the extent of previous milestone payments. Accordingly, the Company deferred a portion of the revenue associated with each tranche of royalty right sold, including rights acquired upon the exercise-of-options, equal to the pro-rata share of the potential royalty offset. Such amounts associated with the offset rights against future royalty payments will be recognized as revenue upon receipt of future royalties from the respective partners.

Sale of royalty rights recognized in 2004, and 2003 amounted to \$31.3 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, net of the deferral of offset rights of \$1.4 million and \$0.6 million respectively, and the recognition in 2004 and 2003 of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of option value deferred in previous periods. In 2005, there was no sale of royalty rights.

*Sale of Interest in Targretin Capsules Net Sales*

In December 2002, Ligand entered into an agreement to sell Royalty Pharma a 1% interest in net sales of Targretin capsules for \$1.0 million starting in January 2003. The \$1.0 million is being accounted for as a financing arrangement in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue No. 88-18, Sales of Future Revenues, due to the Company's continuing involvement with Targretin capsules.

*Restructuring of ONTAK Royalty*

In November 2004, Ligand and Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) agreed to amend their ONTAK royalty agreement to add options in 2005 that if exercised would restructure Ligand's royalty obligations on net sales of ONTAK. Under the revised agreement, Ligand and Lilly each obtained two options. Ligand's options, which were exercised in January 2005 and April 2005, provide for the buy down of a portion of the Company's ONTAK royalty obligation on net sales in the United States for total consideration of \$33.0 million. Lilly also had two options exercisable in July 2005 and October 2005 to trigger the same royalty buy-downs for total consideration of up to \$37.0 million dependent on whether Ligand had exercised one or both of its options.

Ligand's first option, providing for a one-time payment of \$20.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of Ligand's ONTAK royalty obligations in 2005 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty scale on ONTAK sales in the U.S. thereafter, was exercised in January 2005. The second option which provides for a one-time payment of \$13.0 million to Lilly in exchange for the elimination of royalties on ONTAK net sales in the U.S. in 2006 and a reduced reverse-tiered royalty thereafter was exercised in April 2005. Additionally, beginning in 2007 and throughout the remaining ONTAK patent life (2014), Ligand will pay no royalties to Lilly on U.S. sales up to \$38.0 million. Thereafter, Ligand would pay royalties to Lilly at a rate of 20% on net U.S. sales between \$38.0 million and \$50.0 million; at a rate of 15% on net U.S. sales between \$50.0 million and \$72.0 million; and at a rate of 10% on net U.S. sales in excess of \$72.0 million. As of December 31, 2005, the option payments totaling \$33.0 million were capitalized and are being amortized over the remaining ONTAK patent life of approximately 10 years, which represents the period estimated to be benefited, using the greater of the straight-line method or the expense determined based on the tiered royalty schedule set forth above. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles*, the Company amortizes intangible assets with finite lives in a manner that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the assets are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, the assets are amortized using the straight-line method.

**Table of Contents***Pfizer Collaboration Lasofoxifene*

In August 2004, Pfizer submitted a new drug application (United States) (NDA) to the FDA for lasofoxifene for the prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. In September 2005, Pfizer announced the receipt of a non-approvable letter from the FDA for the prevention of osteoporosis. In December 2004, Pfizer filed a supplemental NDA for the use of lasofoxifene for the treatment of vaginal atrophy. In February 2006, Pfizer announced the receipt of a non-approval letter from the FDA for vaginal atrophy. Lasofoxifene is also being developed by Pfizer for the treatment of osteoporosis. Lasofoxifene is a product that resulted from the Company's collaboration with Pfizer and upon which the Company will receive royalties if the product is approved by the FDA and subsequently marketed by Pfizer.

*Buy Out of Salk Royalty Obligations*

In March 2004, the Company paid The Salk Institute ( Salk ) \$1.1 million in connection with the Company's exercise of an option to buy out milestone payments, other payment-sharing obligations and royalty payments due on future sales of lasofoxifene, a product under development by Pfizer. This payment was recognized as a development expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 because Pfizer had not yet filed its NDA at the time of the Company's exercise.

In January 2005, Ligand paid Salk \$1.1 million to exercise an option to buy out milestone payments, other payment-sharing obligations and royalty payments due on future sales of lasofoxifene for vaginal atrophy. This payment resulted from a supplemental lasofoxifene NDA filing by Pfizer. As the Company had previously sold rights to Royalty Pharma AG of approximately 50% of any royalties to be received from Pfizer for sales of lasofoxifene, it recorded approximately 50% of the payment made to Salk, approximately \$0.6 million, as development expense in the first quarter of 2005. The balance of approximately \$0.5 million was capitalized to be amortized over the period any such royalties were to be received from Pfizer for the vaginal atrophy indication. In connection with Pfizer's receipt of a non-approvable letter from the FDA for the vaginal atrophy indication in February 2006, however, the Company wrote-off the remaining capitalized balance of \$0.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2005.

*Settlement of Patent Interference*

In March 2005, Ligand announced that it reached a settlement agreement in a patent interference action initiated by Ligand against two patents owned by The Burnham Institute and SRI International, but exclusively licensed to Ligand. The Company believes the settlement strengthens its intellectual property position for bexarotene, the active ingredient in the Targretin products. The settlement also reduces the royalty rate on those products while extending the royalty payment term to SRI/Burnham.

Under the agreement, Burnham will have a research-only sublicense to conduct basic research under the assigned patents and Ligand will have an option on the resulting products and technology. In addition, Burnham and SRI agreed to accept a reduction in the royalty rate paid to them on U.S. sales of Targretin under an earlier agreement. The aggregate royalty rate owed to SRI and Burnham by Ligand was reduced from 4% to 3% of net sales and the term of the royalty payments extended from 2012 to 2016. If the patent issued on the pending Ligand patent application is extended beyond 2016, the royalty rate would be reduced to 2% and paid for the term of the longest Ligand patent covering bexarotene.

**13. Commitments and Contingencies***Equipment Financing*

The Company has entered into capital lease and equipment note payable agreements that require monthly payments through December 2008 including interest ranging from 4.73% to 9.33%. The carrying value of equipment under these agreements at December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$9.6 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, related accumulated amortization was \$4.6 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. The underlying equipment is used as collateral under the equipment notes payable.

**Table of Contents**

Certain of the equipment financing agreements contain provisions that require the Company to fund standby letters of credit equal to the balance financed under the arrangement in the event unrestricted cash levels fall below specified amounts.

*Property Leases*

As of December 31, 2003, the Company leased one of its corporate office buildings from Nexus Equity VI LLC ( Nexus ), a limited liability company in which Ligand held a 1% ownership interest. No Ligand officer or employee had any financial interest with regard to this lease arrangement or with Nexus. The lease agreement provided for increases in annual rent of 4% and terminated in 2014. In addition, Ligand had the option to either purchase the portion of Nexus that it did not own, purchase the property from the lessor at a purchase price equal to the outstanding debt on the property plus a calculated return on the investment made by Nexus' other shareholder, sell the property to a third party, or renew the lease arrangement.

This specific type of operating lease is commonly referred to as a synthetic lease. Prior to the issuance of FIN 46(R), synthetic leases represented a form of off-balance sheet financing under which they were treated as an operating lease for financial reporting purposes and as a financing lease for tax purposes. Under FIN 46(R), a synthetic lease is evaluated to determine i) if it qualifies as a VIE and if so, ii) the primary beneficiary required to consolidate the VIE.

Under FIN 46(R), Ligand determined that Nexus qualified as a VIE, and that Ligand was the primary beneficiary of the VIE, as the Company would absorb the majority of the entity's expected losses, if any, as defined by FIN 46(R). In accordance with FIN 46(R), the Company consolidated Nexus as of December 31, 2003. See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change section for information on the impact of the Company's adoption of FIN 46(R).

The maximum exposure to loss on the synthetic lease was indemnification for various losses, costs and expenses incurred by Nexus as a result of Ligand's use of the premises or the environmental condition of the property to the extent it exceeded the limit of insurance held by the Company. Any such additional losses, costs or expenses were contingent upon the existence of certain conditions and were not quantifiable as of December 31, 2003.

In April 2004, the Company exercised its right to acquire the portion of Nexus that it did not own. The acquisition resulted in Ligand's assumption of the existing loan against the property and payment to Nexus' other shareholder of approximately \$0.6 million.

The Company leases its other office and research facilities under operating lease arrangements with varying terms through July 2015. The agreements provide for increases in annual rents based on changes in the Consumer Price Index or fixed percentage increases ranging from 3% to 7%. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis. Deferred rent at December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$2.4 million for each of the periods.

Total rent expense under all office leases for 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$1.7 million, \$1.9 million, and \$3.5 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2005 annual minimum payments due under the Company's office, equipment and vehicle lease obligations are as follows (in thousands):

F-30

---

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Obligations under capital leases and equipment notes payable</b>	<b>Operating leases</b>
2006	\$ 2,777	\$ 2,995
2007	2,108	2,027
2008	1,300	1,833
2009	328	1,888
2010		1,945
Thereafter		9,682
Total minimum lease payments	6,513	\$ 20,370
Less: amounts representing interest	(682)	
Present value of minimum lease payments	5,831	
Less: current portion	(2,401)	
	\$ 3,430	

*Product Liability*

The Company's business exposes it to potential product liability risks. The Company's products also may need to be recalled to address regulatory issues. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against the Company could result in payment of significant amounts of money and divert management's attention from running the business. Some of the compounds the Company is investigating may be harmful to humans. For example, retinoids as a class are known to contain compounds which can cause birth defects. The Company may not be able to maintain insurance on acceptable terms, or the insurance may not provide adequate protection in the case of a product liability claim. To the extent that product liability insurance, if available, does not cover potential claims, the Company would be required to self-insure the risks associated with such claims. The Company believes that it carries reasonably adequate insurance for product liability claims.

*Distribution Service Agreements*

In 2004, the Company entered into one-year fee-for-service agreements (or distribution service agreements) for each of its products, with the majority of its wholesaler customers. These agreements were subsequently renewed in 2005 for an additional one-year period. In exchange for a set fee, the wholesalers agreed to provide the Company with certain information regarding product stocking and out-movement; agreed to maintain inventory quantities within specified minimum and maximum levels; inventory handling, stocking and management services; and certain other services surrounding the administration of returns and chargebacks. The amount of minimum payments due under the distribution service agreements for 2006 is approximately \$5.9 million.

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 shipments to four wholesale distributors each accounted for more than 10% of total shipments and in the aggregate 89%, 77%, and 82% of total shipments, respectively.

*Employment and Severance and Retention Bonus Agreements*

The Company has employment agreements with its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Financial Officer which include severance provisions and change in control severance arrangements with each of its executive officers except its Chief Executive Officer, David E. Robinson. Mr. Robinson's agreement automatically

renews every three years unless terminated and provides for minimum salary, as adjusted, and incentive bonuses paid upon attainment of specified management goals and severance provisions in the event of termination under specified conditions, including change of control. The change in control severance agreements provide for severance payments in the event that employment is involuntarily terminated in connection with a change in control of the Company.

Additionally, in December 2005, the Company entered into a severance and retention bonus agreement with its Controller and Treasurer that provides for certain severance and retention or stay bonus payments under specified circumstances.

See Note 22 regarding employee retention agreements entered into subsequent to December 31, 2005.

F-31

---

**Table of Contents***Consultant Agreements*

The Company has various arrangements with consultants with terms ranging from one to three years. Additionally, as of March 31, 2005, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Ronald Evans, a Salk professor and Howard Hughes Medical Institute investigator, that continues through February 2008. The agreement provides for certain cash payments and a grant of stock options. Dr. Evans serves as the Chairman of Ligand's Scientific Advisory Board.

*Manufacturing and Supply Agreements*

The Company has limited approved manufacturers for its products and, for certain product components or manufacturing stages, it has only one supplier. Any problems with such manufacturing operations or capacity could reduce sales of the Company's products as could any licensing or other contract disputes with these suppliers.

As of December 31, 2004, Elan was the Company's only approved supplier of AVINZA, its largest product. In March 2004, Ligand entered into a five-year manufacturing and packaging agreement with Cardinal Health PTS, LLC ( Cardinal ) under which Cardinal will manufacture AVINZA at its Winchester, Kentucky facility. In August 2005, the FDA approved the production of AVINZA at the Cardinal facility. Under the terms of the agreement, Ligand committed to certain minimum annual purchases ranging from approximately \$1.6 million to \$2.3 million.

*Litigation*

The Company's subsidiary, Seragen, Inc. and Ligand, were named parties to Sergio M. Oliver, et al. v. Boston University, et al., a putative shareholder class action filed on December 17, 1998 in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County, C.A. No. 16570NC, by Sergio M. Oliver and others against Boston University and others, including Seragen, its subsidiary Seragen Technology, Inc. and former officers and directors of Seragen. The complaint, as amended, alleged that Ligand aided and abetted purported breaches of fiduciary duty by the Seragen related defendants in connection with the acquisition of Seragen by Ligand and made certain misrepresentations in related proxy materials and seeks compensatory and punitive damages of an unspecified amount. On July 25, 2000, the Delaware Chancery Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motions to dismiss. Seragen, Ligand, Seragen Technology, Inc. and the Company's acquisition subsidiary, Knight Acquisition Corporation, were dismissed from the action. Claims of breach of fiduciary duty remain against the remaining defendants, including the former officers and directors of Seragen. The hearing on the plaintiffs' motion for class certification took place on February 26, 2001. The court certified a class consisting of shareholders as of the date of the acquisition and on the date of the proxy sent to ratify an earlier business unit sale by Seragen. On January 20, 2005, the Delaware Chancery Court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion for summary judgment. The Court denied plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment in its entirety. Trial was scheduled for February 7, 2005. Prior to trial, several of the Seragen director-defendants reached a settlement with the plaintiffs. The trial in this action then went forward as to the remaining defendants and concluded on February 18, 2005. The timing of a decision by the Court and the outcome are unknown. While Ligand and its subsidiary Seragen have been dismissed from the action, such dismissal is subject to a possible subsequent appeal upon any judgment in the action against the remaining parties, as well as possible indemnification obligations with respect to certain defendants.

Beginning in August 2004, several purported class action stockholder lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California against the Company and certain of its directors and officers. The actions were brought on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock during several time periods, the longest of which runs from July 28, 2003 through August 2, 2004. The complaints generally allege that the Company violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities and Exchange Commission by making false and misleading statements, or concealing information about the Company's business, forecasts and financial performance, in particular statements and information related to drug development issues and AVINZA inventory levels. These lawsuits have been consolidated and lead plaintiffs appointed. A consolidated complaint was filed by the plaintiffs in March 2005. On September 27, 2005, the court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint, with leave for plaintiffs to file an amended complaint within 30 days. In

**Table of Contents**

December 2005, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint again alleging claims under Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act against the Company, David Robinson and Paul Maier. The amended complaint asserts an expanded Class Period of March 19, 2001 through May 20, 2005 and includes allegations arising from the Company's announcement on May 20, 2005 that it would restate certain financial results. Defendants filed their motion to dismiss plaintiffs' second amended complaint in January 2006. No trial date has been set.

Beginning on or about August 13, 2004, several derivative actions were filed on behalf of the Company by individual stockholders in the Superior Court of California. The complaints name the Company's directors and certain of its officers as defendants and name the Company as a nominal defendant. The complaints are based on the same facts and circumstances as the purported class actions discussed in the previous paragraph and generally allege breach of fiduciary duties, abuse of control, waste and mismanagement, insider trading and unjust enrichment. These actions are in discovery. The court has set a trial date of May 26, 2006, although it is anticipated that the trial date may be reset.

In October 2005, a shareholder derivative action was filed on behalf of the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The complaint names the Company's directors and certain of its officers as defendants and the Company as a nominal defendant. The action was brought by an individual stockholder. The complaint generally alleges that the defendants falsified Ligand's publicly reported financial results throughout 2002 and 2003 and the first three quarters of 2004 by improperly recognizing revenue on product sales. The complaint generally alleges breach of fiduciary duty by all defendants and requests disgorgement, e.g., under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In January 2006, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' verified shareholder derivative complaint. Plaintiffs' opposition was filed in February 2006. No trial date has been set.

The Company believes that all of the above actions are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against each of such lawsuits. Due to the uncertainty of the ultimate outcome of these matters, the impact on future financial results is not subject to reasonable estimates.

On December 11, 2001, a lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against Ligand by the Trustees of Boston University and other former stakeholders of Seragen. The suit was subsequently transferred to federal district court in Delaware. The complaint alleged breach of contract, breach of the implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing and unfair and deceptive trade practices based on, among other things, allegations that Ligand wrongfully withheld approximately \$2.1 million in consideration due the plaintiffs under the Seragen acquisition agreement. This amount had been previously accrued for in the Company's consolidated financial statements in 1998. The complaint sought payment of the withheld consideration and treble damages. Ligand filed a motion to dismiss the unfair and deceptive trade practices claim. The Court subsequently granted Ligand's motion to dismiss the unfair and deceptive trade practices claim (i.e., the treble damages claim), in April 2003. In November 2003, the Court granted Boston University's motion for summary judgment, and entered judgment for Boston University. In January 2004, the district court issued an amended judgment awarding interest of approximately \$0.7 million to the plaintiffs in addition to the approximately \$2.1 million withheld. In January 2006, the appeals court affirmed the district court's ruling against us. Additional interest on the above amounts of approximately \$0.1 million was accrued through January 2006 and was added to the judgment. The withheld amount including the interest was paid in February 2006.

In October 2005, a lawsuit was filed in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware by Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. requesting the Court to order Ligand to hold an annual meeting for the election of directors within 60 days of an order by the Court. Ligand's annual meeting had been delayed as a result of the previously announced restatement. The complaint requested the Court to set a time and place and record date for such annual meeting and establish the quorum for such meeting as the shares present at the meeting, notwithstanding any relevant provisions of Ligand's certificate of incorporation or bylaws. The complaint sought payment of plaintiff's costs and attorney's fees. Ligand agreed on November 11, 2005 to settle this lawsuit and schedule the annual meeting for January 31, 2006. On December 2, 2005, Ligand and Third Point also entered into a stockholders agreement under which, among other things, Ligand agreed to expand its board from eight to eleven, elect three designees of Third Point to the new board seats and pay certain of Third Point's expenses, not to exceed approximately \$0.5 million, with some conditions. Third





**Table of Contents**

Point will not sell its Ligand shares, solicit proxies or take certain other stockholder actions for a minimum of six months and as long as its designees remain on the board.

In connection with the restatement, the SEC instituted a formal investigation concerning the Company's consolidated financial statements. These matters were previously the subject of an informal SEC inquiry.

In addition, the Company is subject to various lawsuits and claims with respect to matters arising out of the normal course of business. Due to the uncertainty of the ultimate outcome of these matters, the impact on future financial results is not subject to reasonable estimates.

**14. Common Stock Subject to Conditional Redemption Pfizer Settlement Agreement**

In April 1996, the Company and Pfizer entered into a settlement agreement with respect to a lawsuit filed in December 1994 by the Company against Pfizer. In connection with a collaborative research agreement the Company entered into with Pfizer in 1991, Pfizer purchased shares of the Company's common stock. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, at the option of either the Company or Pfizer, milestone and royalty payments owed to the Company can be satisfied by Pfizer by transferring to the Company shares of the Company's common stock at the exchange ratio of \$12.375 per share. In accordance with EITF D-98, the remaining common stock issued and outstanding to Pfizer following the settlement was reclassified as common stock subject to conditional redemption (between liabilities and equity) since Pfizer has the option to settle with Company's shares milestone and royalties payments owed to the Company and such option is not within the Company's control.

In the third quarter of 2004, Ligand earned a development milestone of approximately \$2.0 million from Pfizer in connection with Pfizer's filing with the FDA of a new drug application for lasofoxifene. The milestone is recorded as Other revenue in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. Pfizer elected to pay the milestone in stock and subsequently tendered 181,818 shares to the Company. Ligand retired the tendered shares in September 2004. The difference between the fair value of the shares tendered and the carrying value of such shares based on the exchange ratio, approximately \$0.3 million, was credited to additional paid-in capital. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, the remaining shares of the Company's Common Stock that could be redeemed totaled approximately 998,000, which are reflected at the exchange ratio price of \$12.375 for a total of \$12.3 million.

**15. Stockholders Equity (Deficit)**

*Stock Issuances*

At its annual meeting of stockholders held on June 11, 2004, the Company's stockholders approved an increase in the authorized number of shares of Common Stock from 130,000,000 to 200,000,000.

Shares of common stock issued under the Company's stock option/stock issuance plans during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 were 109,225, 582,176, and 344,957, respectively.

Shares of common stock issued under the Company's employee stock purchase plan during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 were 56,445, 101,895, and 136,301, respectively.

In September 2003, the Company raised proceeds of \$45.0 million net of offering costs of \$2.0 million, in a private placement of 3,483,593 shares of its common stock.

**Table of Contents***Warrants*

At December 31, 2005, there were outstanding warrants to purchase 748,800 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$10.00 per share and expire on October 6, 2006.

During 2004, warrants to purchase 201,200 shares of common stock were exercised.

*Stock Plans*

The 2002 Stock Incentive Plan contains four separate equity programs—Discretionary Option Grant Program, Automatic Option Grant Program, Stock Issuance Program, and Director Fee Option Grant Program (the 2002 Plan). Since its adoption, a total of 8,325,529 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2002 Plan (including shares transferred from the predecessor plan). As of December 31, 2005, options for 7,001,657 shares of common stock were outstanding under the 2002 Plan, 54,914 shares remained available for future option grant or direct issuance, and 5,525,899 shares have been issued under the 2002 Plan.

Following is a summary of the Company's stock option plan activity and related information:

	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	Options exercisable at year end	Weighted average exercise price
Balance at January 1, 2003	5,660,245	\$ 11.64		
Granted	1,414,228	10.20		
Exercised	(345,374)	10.31		
Canceled	(565,577)	12.88		
Balance at December 31, 2003	6,163,522	\$ 11.27	4,014,009	\$ 11.35
Granted	1,430,639	14.93		
Exercised	(581,759)	9.59		
Canceled	(298,333)	13.16		
Balance at December 31, 2004	6,714,069	\$ 12.11	4,320,643	\$ 11.68
Granted	966,280	8.13		
Exercised	(109,225)	6.32		
Canceled	(569,467)	10.83		
Balance at December 31, 2005	7,001,657	\$ 11.76	5,696,035	\$ 12.50

Following is a further breakdown of the options outstanding as of December 31, 2005:

	Options Outstanding	Options exercisable
	Weighted average remaining life	Weighted average exercise price
Range of exercise prices	Options outstanding in years	Number exercisable price
\$ 1.82 - \$ 9.25	1,864,721 7.76	\$ 7.52 960,015 \$ 7.55

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

9.31 - 11.25	1,507,104	5.32	10.36	1,114,814	10.21
11.38 - 13.25	1,518,656	3.21	12.42	1,518,656	12.42
13.31 - 15.63	1,423,883	6.31	14.99	1,415,257	14.99
16.06 - 20.70	687,293	7.71	18.17	687,293	18.17
	7,001,657	5.95	\$ 11.76	5,696,035	\$ 12.50

As more fully discussed under Note 2, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, in January 2005 the Company accelerated certain unvested and out-of-the-money stock options previously awarded to the executive officers and other employees under the Company's 1992 and 2002 stock option plans which had an exercise price greater than \$10.41, the closing price on the date of acceleration.

F-35

---

**Table of Contents***Preferred Stock*

The Company has authorized 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, of which 1,600,000 are designated Series A Participating Preferred Stock (the Preferred Stock). The Board of Directors of Ligand has the authority to issue the Preferred Stock in one or more series and to fix the designation, powers, preferences, rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions of the shares of each such series, including the dividend rights, dividend rate, conversion rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption (including sinking fund provisions), liquidation preferences and the number of shares constituting any such series, without any further vote or action by the stockholders. The rights and preferences of Preferred Stock may in all respects be superior and prior to the rights of the common stock. The issuance of the Preferred Stock could decrease the amount of earnings and assets available for distribution to holders of common stock or adversely affect the rights and powers, including voting rights, of the holders of the common stock and could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Ligand. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004 there are no preferred shares issued or outstanding.

*Shareholder Rights Plan*

The Company has a preferred shareholder rights plan (the Shareholder Rights Plan), which provides for a dividend distribution of one preferred share purchase right (a Right) on each outstanding share of the Company's common stock. Each Right entitles stockholders to buy 1/1000th of a share of Ligand Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$100, subject to adjustment. In September 2002, the Board amended the Rights Plan, reducing from 20% to 10% the trigger percentage of outstanding shares which, if acquired, would permit the rights to be exercised. Generally, the Rights become exercisable following the tenth day after a person or group announces an acquisition of 10% or more of the common stock, or announces commencement of a tender offer, the consummation of which would result in ownership by the person or group of 10% or more of the common stock. The Company will be entitled to redeem the Rights at \$0.01 per Right at any time on or before the earlier of the tenth day following acquisition by a person or group of 10% or more of the common stock and September 13, 2006. In March 2004 the Board of Directors approved an amendment to the Plan to remove an exception which had allowed Elan to own up to 25% of the Company's common stock without triggering the Rights.

**16. Collaborative Research and Development Agreements**

The Company is party to various research and development collaborations with large pharmaceutical companies including Abbott Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly), GlaxoSmithKline, Organon Company, Pfizer, Inc., TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc., and Wyeth (formerly American Home Products). These arrangements generally provide for the license of certain technologies and a collaborative research period ranging from one to five years. Drugs resulting from these collaborations are then developed, manufactured and marketed by the corporate partners. The arrangements may provide for the Company to receive revenue from the transfer of technology rights at contract inception, collaborative research revenue during the research phase, milestone revenue for compounds moving through clinical development, and royalty revenue from the sale of drugs developed through the collaborative efforts.

The following are details regarding significant collaborative arrangements that were in the research phase during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003.

*TAP*

In June 2001, the Company entered into a research and development collaboration with TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc. (TAP) to focus on the discovery and development of selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs). SARMs contribute to the prevention and treatment of certain diseases, including hypogonadism, male and female sexual dysfunction, male and female osteoporosis, frailty, and hormone therapy. Under the agreement, TAP provided funding for a minimum of 12 to 19 Ligand scientists over the initial term of the contract, which concluded in June 2004. TAP had the option to extend the initial term by up to two additional years. In December 2003, the companies announced the first extension of the collaboration through June 2005. In December 2004, the companies announced the second and final extension of the collaboration through June 2006.

**Table of Contents**

Collaborative research revenues recognized under the agreement for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 were \$3.5 million, \$3.8 million, and \$5.2 million, respectively. Research expenses incurred by the Company in support of the TAP collaboration for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 were \$3.6 million, \$2.9 million, and \$4.4 million, respectively. The agreement further provides for milestones moving through the development stage and royalties ranging from 6.0% to 12.0% on annual net sales of drugs resulting from the collaboration. Net milestone revenue of \$1.1 million and \$0.8 million was earned in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

*Eli Lilly & Company*

In November 1997, the Company entered into a research and development collaboration with Lilly for the discovery and development of products based on Ligand's Intracellular Receptor technology. Under the agreement, Lilly provided funding for a minimum of 31 to 40 Ligand scientists over the research term of the contract. The initial five year research term concluded in November 2002. Lilly had the option to extend the initial term by up to three additional years. In April 2002, the companies announced the first extension of the collaboration through November 2003. In May 2003, the companies announced the second and final extension of the collaboration through November 2004.

Collaborative research revenues recognized under the agreement for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 were \$4.0 million, and \$5.7 million, respectively. Research expenses incurred by the Company in support of the Lilly collaboration for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 were \$3.6 million, and \$5.2 million, respectively. The agreement further provides for milestones moving through the development stage and royalties ranging from 5.0% to 12.0% on annual net sales of drugs resulting from the collaboration. Net milestone revenue of \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million was earned in 2005 and 2003, respectively.

**17. X-Ceptor Therapeutics, Inc.**

In June 1999, Ligand became a minority equity investor in a new private corporation, X-Ceptor Therapeutics, Inc. ( X-Ceptor ). Ligand invested \$6.0 million in X-Ceptor through the acquisition of convertible preferred stock.

Ligand maintained the right to acquire all, but not less than all, of the outstanding X-Ceptor stock at June 30, 2002 or upon the cash balance of X-Ceptor falling below a pre-determined amount, or to extend that right by 12 months by providing additional funding of \$5.0 million. In April 2002, Ligand informed X-Ceptor that it was extending its purchase right. The \$5.0 million paid to X-Ceptor in July 2002 was carried as an asset until March 2003, when Ligand informed X-Ceptor that it would not exercise the purchase right. The \$5.0 million purchase right was written-off in March 2003 and is included in Other, net expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

As part of the original transaction entered into in June 1999, X-Ceptor granted to Ligand the right to acquire all of the outstanding stock of X-Ceptor (the Purchase Right ). In October 1999, the Company issued warrants to X-Ceptor investors, founders and certain employees to purchase 950,000 shares of Ligand common stock with an exercise price of \$10.00 per share and an expiration date of October 6, 2006. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issuance was \$4.20 per warrant or \$4.0 million. The warrant issue was deemed to be consideration for the Purchase Right and accordingly was recorded as an other asset. This asset was written off to Other, net expense in the quarter ended March 31, 2003, the period the Company determined that the Purchase Right would not be exercised.

Ligand accounted for its investment in X-Ceptor using the equity method of accounting. Ligand's interest in X-Ceptor losses for the years ended December 31, 2003 was \$1.0 million which is included in Other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

On September 29, 2004, Ligand announced that the Company had agreed to vote its shares in favor of the proposed acquisition of X-Ceptor by Exelixis Inc. ( Exelixis ). Exelixis' acquisition of X-Ceptor was subsequently completed in October 2004, and in connection therewith, Ligand received 618,165 shares of Exelixis common stock. Ligand recorded a net gain on the transaction in October 2004 of \$3.7 million, based on the fair market value of the

**Table of Contents**

consideration received, which is included in other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

The shares received by Ligand had certain trading restrictions for which a resale registration statement has been filed. Additionally, approximately 130,000 of the shares (21% of the total shares) were placed in escrow for up to one year to satisfy indemnification and other obligations. During 2005, such shares were released from escrow and the Company recognized a gain of \$0.9 million, which is included in other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Shares of Exelixis as of December 31, 2005 and the shares as of December 31, 2004 that could be sold within one year are classified as available for sale investments. These shares are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included as a separate component of stockholders' deficit. The net unrealized gain on these shares as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 is \$0.8 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Shares of Exelixis as of December 31, 2004, which had trading restrictions greater than one year, were classified as restricted investments and carried at cost. During 2005, the Company sold approximately 247,000 shares for net proceeds of \$1.9 million, and recognized a loss of \$0.2 million, which is included in other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

**18. Income Taxes**

At December 31, 2005, the Company has both federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$554.5 million and \$73.2 million, respectively, which will begin expiring in 2006. The difference between the federal and California tax loss carryforwards is primarily due to the capitalization of research and development expenses for California income tax purposes and the 50% to 60% limitation on losses incurred prior to 2004 in California. The Company has \$25.5 million of federal research and development credits carryforwards which will begin expiring in 2006 and \$15.4 million of California research and development credits that have no expiration date.

Pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383, use of a portion of net operating loss and credit carryforwards related to Glycomed and Seragen will be limited because of cumulative changes in ownership of more than 50% that occurred within three periods during 1989, 1992, and 1996. Such tax net operating loss and credit carryforwards have been reduced, including the related deferred tax assets. The Company has also completed a 382 study for Ligand, excluding Glycomed and Seragen, and has determined that Ligand's net operating losses and credits are not currently subject to any limitations under Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383.

The Company's research and development credits pertain to federal and California jurisdictions. These jurisdictions require that the Company create minimum documentation and support, such as done via a Research & Development Credit Study. In the absence of sufficient documentation and support these government jurisdictions may disallow some or all of the credits. Although the Company has not completed a formal study, the Company believes that it maintains sufficient documentation to support the benefiting of the credits in the consolidated financial statements. Prior to utilizing a significant portion of the credits to reduce taxes payable, the Company will review its documentation and support to determine if a formal study is necessary.

**Table of Contents**

The components of the income tax provision were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Years Ended December</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>31, 2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Current Provision:</b>			
Federal	\$	\$ 81	\$
State		98	
Foreign	59	54	56
	59	233	56
<b>Deferred Provision:</b>			
Federal			
State			
	\$ 59	\$ 233	\$ 56

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 are shown below. A valuation allowance has been recognized to fully offset the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 as realization of such assets is uncertain.

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
<b>(in thousands)</b>		
<b>Deferred liabilities:</b>		
Purchased intangible assets	\$ (7,184)	\$ (8,667)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(7,184)	(8,667)
<b>Deferred assets:</b>		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 192,274	\$ 185,247
Research and development credit carryforwards	35,736	34,070
Capitalized research and development	8,028	7,012
Fixed assets and intangibles	5,610	6,220
Accrued expenses	36,130	37,183
Deferred revenue	29,968	24,961
Other	68	199
	307,814	294,892
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(300,630)	(286,225)



Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$
------------------------	----	----

As of December 31, 2005, approximately \$6.9 million of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets related to benefits of stock option deductions which, when recognized, will be allocated directly to paid-in capital. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, approximately \$0.1 million, \$1.3 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively, of the change in the valuation allowance is related to benefits of stock option deductions. Additionally, other changes to the valuation allowance allocated directly to paid-in-capital are related to unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency transactions of \$(0.2) million, \$(0.1) million, and \$(0.03) million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

A reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit) to the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to the net loss is summarized as follows (in thousands):

F-39

---

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Amounts computed at statutory federal rate	\$ (12,355)	\$ (15,341)	\$ (32,027)
State taxes net of federal benefit	(1,772)	(385)	(6,635)
Effect of foreign operations	59	54	226
Meals & entertainment	134	171	321
Federal research and development credits	(513)	(1,253)	(376)
Non-controlling interest			1,013
Nexus LLC acquisition		(1,159)	
Change in valuation allowance	14,495	18,144	37,378
Other	11	2	156
	\$ 59	\$ 233	\$ 56

**19. New Accounting Pronouncements**

In November 2005, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSPs) Nos. FSPs 115-1 and 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, in response to EITF 03-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments* (EITF 03-1). FSPs 115-1 and 124-1 provide guidance regarding the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. FSPs 115-1 and 124-1 also include accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than temporary-impairments. These requirements are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of the impairment guidance contained in FSPs 115-1 and 124-1 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R replaced SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), and superseded APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. In March 2005, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued SAB No. 107, *Valuation of share-based payment arrangement for public companies*, which expresses views of the SEC staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations, and provides the staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. SFAS 123R will require compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123R required public companies to apply SFAS 123R in the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2005. In April 2005, the SEC approved a new rule that delays the effective date, requiring public companies to apply SFAS 123R in their next fiscal year, instead of the next interim reporting period, beginning after June 15, 2005. As permitted by SFAS 123, the Company elected to follow the guidance of APB 25, which allowed companies to use the intrinsic value method of accounting to value their share-based payment transactions with employees. SFAS 123R requires measurement of the cost of share-based payment transactions to employees at the fair value of the award on the grant date and recognition of expense over the requisite service or vesting period. SFAS 123R requires implementation using a modified version of prospective application, under which compensation expense of the unvested portion of previously granted awards and all new awards will be recognized on or after the date of adoption. SFAS 123R also allows companies to adopt SFAS 123R by restating previously issued statements, basing the amounts on the expense previously calculated and reported in their pro forma footnote disclosures required under SFAS 123. The Company will adopt SFAS 123R using the modified prospective method in the first interim period of fiscal 2006 and is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 123R will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Pricing* (SFAS 151). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, *Inventory Pricing*, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). This statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges. In addition, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. This statement is effective

F-40

---

**Table of Contents**

for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 151 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets*, to address the measurement of exchanges of nonmonetary assets. It eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets in APB No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, and replaces it with an exception for nonmonetary exchanges that do not have commercial substance. This statement specifies that a nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This statement is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 153 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior-period financial statements of changes in accounting principles, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 also redefines restatement as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* (SFAS 155) which amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133) and SFAS 140, *Accounting or the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 140). Specifically, SFAS 155 amends SFAS 133 to permit fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument with an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, provided the whole instrument is accounted for on a fair value basis. Additionally, SFAS 155 amends SFAS 140 to allow a qualifying special purpose entity to hold a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. SFAS 155 applies to all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, with early application allowed. The adoption of SFAS 155 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets* (SFAS 156) to simplify accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS 156 amends SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. Additionally, SFAS 156 applies to all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, although early adoption is permitted. The adoption of SFAS 156 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

**Table of Contents****20. Summary of Unaudited Quarterly Financial Information**

The following is a summary of the unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Quarter ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
<b>2005</b>				
Product sales	\$ 35,045	\$41,735	\$ 42,584	\$46,717
Collaborative research and development and other revenues	1,940	4,064	2,172	2,351
Total revenues	36,985	45,799	44,756	49,068
Cost of products sold	11,065	10,667	9,807	8,308
Research and development costs	14,735	14,524	12,911	13,905
Selling, general and administrative	19,215	20,149	17,787	17,505
Co-promotion	7,740	6,966	7,766	10,029
Total operating costs and expenses	52,755	52,306	48,271	49,747
Other expense, net	2,682	2,400	2,749	2,038
Income tax expense	20	17	17	5
Net loss	\$(18,472)	\$ (8,924)	\$ (6,281)	\$ (2,722)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.04)
Weighted average number of common shares for basic and diluted net loss per share	73,916	74,037	74,041	74,058

	Quarter ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
<b>2004</b>				
Product sales	\$ 24,939	\$ 29,299	\$ 31,934	\$34,163
Sale of royalty rights, net			67	31,275
Collaborative research and development and other revenues	2,476	2,975	4,771	1,613
Total revenues	27,415	32,274	36,772	67,051
Cost of products sold	7,545	9,718	9,819	12,722
Research and development costs	17,517	16,566	16,747	14,374
Selling, general and administrative	14,705	18,116	17,311	15,666
Co-promotion	6,731	7,000	8,501	7,845
Total operating costs and expenses	46,498	51,400	52,378	50,607
Other (expense) income, net	(2,813)	(2,921)	(2,889)	1,086
Income tax expense	16	18	3	196
Net (loss)/ income	\$(21,192)	\$(22,065)	\$(18,498)	\$17,334
Basic net (loss)/income per share	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.23
Diluted net (loss)/income per share	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.20
Weighted average number of common shares for basic net (loss)/income per share	73,299	73,754	73,846	73,861
Weighted average number of common shares for diluted net (loss)/income per share	73,299	73,754	73,846	99,450

**21. NASDAQ Delisting**

The Company's common stock was delisted from the NASDAQ National Market on September 7, 2005. Unless and until the Company's common stock is relisted on NASDAQ, its common stock is expected to be quoted on the Pink Sheets. The quotation of the Company's common stock on the Pink Sheets may reduce the price of the common stock and the levels of liquidity available to the Company's stockholders. In addition, the quotation of the Company's common stock on the Pink Sheets may materially adversely affect the Company's access to the capital markets, and the limited liquidity and reduced price of its common stock could materially adversely affect the Company's ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to the Company or at

F-42

---

**Table of Contents**

all. Stocks that are quoted on the Pink Sheets are no longer eligible for margin loans, and a company quoted on the Pink Sheets cannot avail itself of federal preemption of state securities or blue sky laws, which adds substantial compliance costs to securities issuances, including pursuant to employee option plans, stock purchase plans and private or public offerings of securities. The Company's delisting from the NASDAQ National Market and quotation on the Pink Sheets may also result in other negative implications, including the potential loss of confidence by suppliers, customers and employees, the loss of institutional investor interest and fewer business development opportunities.

**22. Subsequent Events**

*Termination of Organon Copromotion Agreement*

On January 17, 2006, Ligand signed an agreement with Organon that terminates the AVINZA® co-promotion agreement between the two companies and returns AVINZA rights to Ligand (See Note 9.)

*Restructuring of AVINZA Sales Force*

In January 2006, 18 Ligand sales representatives previously promoting AVINZA to primary care physicians were redeployed to call on pain specialists and all Ligand primary care territories were eliminated. In connection with this restructuring, 11 primary-care sales representatives were terminated. The AVINZA sales force restructuring was implemented to improve sales call coverage and effectiveness among high prescribing pain specialists.

*Conversion of 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes*

In January 2006, certain holders of the Company's outstanding 6% convertible subordinated notes converted notes with a face value of \$24.1 million into 3,903,965 shares of common stock (See Note 11).

*2002 Stock Incentive Plan*

On January 31, 2006, shareholders of the Company approved an amendment to the Company's 2002 Stock Incentive Plan to increase the number of shares of the Company's common stock authorized for issuance by 750,000 shares, from 8.3 million shares to 9.1 million shares.

*Employee Retention Agreements*

The Company has entered into or will enter into letter agreements with approximately 67 of its key employees, including a number of its executive officers, dated as of March 1, 2006. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has approved the Company's entry into these agreements. The agreements provide for certain retention or stay bonus payments in cash and/or stock options under specified circumstances as an additional incentive to remain employed in good standing with the Company. The retention or stay bonus payments generally vest at the end of 2006 and total payments to employees of approximately \$2.7 million would be made in January 2007 if all the participants qualify for the payments. Stock options granted totaled approximately 122,000 and have an exercise price of \$11.90. In accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*, the cost will ratably accrue over the term of the agreements, which is approximately 10 months.

**Table of Contents**

---



**Table of Contents**

**PART II**  
**INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS**

**Item 13. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution**

The following table sets forth the fees and expenses, other than underwriting discounts and commissions, payable in connection with the registration of the common stock hereunder. All amounts are estimates except the SEC registration fee.

<b>Item</b>	<b>Amount to be paid</b>
SEC Registration Fee	10,104
Legal Fees and Expenses	50,000
Accounting Fees and Expenses	50,000
Printing and Edgarization Expenses	25,000
Transfer Agent and Registrar Fees	5,000
Miscellaneous Expenses	4,996
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 145,100</b>

**Item 14. Indemnification of Directors and Officers**

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law permits a corporation to include in its charter documents, and in agreements between the corporation and its directors and officers, provisions expanding the scope of indemnification beyond that specifically provided by the current law.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides for the indemnification of directors to the fullest extent permissible under Delaware law.

Our bylaws provide for the indemnification of officers, directors and third parties acting on our behalf if this person acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed to be in and not opposed to our best interest, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, the indemnified party had no reason to believe his or her conduct was unlawful.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and executive officers, in addition to indemnification provided for in our charter documents, and we intend to enter into indemnification agreements with any new directors and executive officers in the future.

We have purchased and intend to maintain insurance on behalf of any person who is or was a director or officer against any loss arising from any claim asserted against him or her and incurred by him or her in that capacity, subject to certain exclusions and limits of the amount of coverage.

**Item 15. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

For the three years ended December 31, 2005, we have sold the following unregistered securities:

(1) On September 11, 2003, we issued 3,483,593 shares of our common stock in an unregistered transaction to selected institutional and accredited investors, including several current Ligand investors, for aggregate consideration of approximately \$47 million. In connection with the issuance of the shares, we paid \$2.0 million in cash compensation to the placement agents. We subsequently registered the resale of all of these shares on a Form S-3 registration statement (No. 333-109172), filed on September 26, 2003, as amended October 8, 2003, and declared effective on October 8, 2003. The shares were issued under a claim of exemption under Regulation D promulgated by the SEC or, alternatively, under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. This transaction did not involve a public offering. Appropriate legends were affixed to the stock certificates, as applicable, issued in such

**Table of Contents**

transactions. We believe each transferee had adequate access to information about us to make an informed investment decision and each transferee is an accredited investor within the meaning of Rule 501 of Regulation D.

(2) In April, May and December, 2005, an aggregate of, approximately 34,000 shares of our common stock were issued to a director, a consultant and former employee of the Company in connection with certain option exercises under the Company's 2002 stock option plan. We received approximately \$187,000 from the option exercises. The 2002 option plan was registered by Ligand on a Form S-8. However, due to a delay in our periodic report filings, the Form S-8 was not current at the time the shares were issued. Each of the recipients that was issued shares under the 2002 stock option plan is an accredited investor in accordance with Rule 501 of Regulation D.

There were no underwriters employed in connection with any of the transactions set forth in this Item 15.

II-2

---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 16. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

(a)Exhibits

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
2.1(1)	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization dated May 11, 1998, by and among the Company, Knight Acquisition Corp. and Seragen, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 2.1).
2.2 (1)	Option and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated May 11, 1998, by and among the Company, Marathon Biopharmaceuticals, LLC, 520 Commonwealth Avenue Real Estate Corp. and 660 Corporation. (Filed as Exhibit 10.3).
2.3 (19)	Asset Purchase Agreement among CoPharma, Inc., Marathon Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Seragen, Inc. and the Company dated January 7, 2000. (The schedules referenced in this agreement have not been included because they are either disclosed in such agreement or do not contain information which is material to an investment decision (with certain confidential portions omitted). The Company agrees to furnish a copy of such schedules to the Commission upon request).
2.5 (1)	Form of Certificate of Merger for acquisition of Seragen, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 2.2).
3.1 (1)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (Filed as Exhibit 3.2).
3.2 (1)	Bylaws of the Company, as amended. (Filed as Exhibit 3.3).
3.3 (2)	Amended Certificate of Designation of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series A Participating Preferred Stock of the Company.
3.5 (31)	Certificate of Amendment of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company dated June 14, 2000.
3.6 (3)	Certificate of Amendment of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company dated September 30, 2004.
3.7 (52)	Amendment to the Bylaws of the Company dated November 8, 2005. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1).
4.1 (4)	Specimen stock certificate for shares of Common Stock of the Company.
4.2 (16)	Preferred Shares Rights Agreement, dated as of September 13, 1996, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Filed as Exhibit 10.1).
4.3 (13)	Amendment to Preferred Shares Rights Agreement, dated as of November 9, 1998, between the Company and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent. (Filed as Exhibit 99.1).
4.4 (17)	Second Amendment to the Preferred Shares Rights Agreement, dated as of December 23, 1998, between the Company and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent (Filed as Exhibit 1).
4.7 (37)	

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

Fourth Amendment to the Preferred Shares Rights Agreement and Certification of Compliance with Section 27 Thereof, dated as of October 3, 2002, between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as Rights Agent.

- 4.9 (38) Indenture dated November 26, 2002, between Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, as trustee, with respect to the 6% convertible subordinated notes due 2007. (Filed as Exhibit 4.3).
- 4.10 (38) Form of 6% Convertible Subordinated Note due 2007. (Filed as Exhibit 4.4).

II-3

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.11 (38)	Pledge Agreement dated November 26, 2002, between Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association. (Filed as Exhibit 4.5).
4.12 (38)	Control Agreement dated November 26, 2002, among Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association and JP Morgan Chase Bank. (Filed as Exhibit 4.6).
4.13 (5)	Amended and Restated Preferred Shares Rights Agreement dated as of March 20, 2004, which includes as Exhibit A the Form of Rights Certificate and as Exhibit B the Summary of Rights.
4.14 (50)	Form of First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Preferred Shares Rights Agreement effective December 8, 2005 between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC. (Filed as Exhibit 10.1).
5.1 *	Opinion of Latham & Watkins LLP.
10.1 (49)	Second Amendment to Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan.
10.2 (49)	Letter Agreement by and between the Company and Tod G. Mertes dated as of December 8, 2005.
10.3 (4)	Form of Stock Issuance Agreement.
10.30 (4)	Form of Proprietary Information and Inventions Agreement.
10.31 (4)	Agreement, dated March 9, 1992, between the Company and Baylor College of Medicine (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.33 (4)	License Agreement, dated November 14, 1991, between the Company and Rockefeller University (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.34 (4)	License Agreement and Bailment, dated July 22, 1991, between the Company and the Regents of the University of California (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.35 (4)	Agreement, dated May 1, 1991, between the Company and Pfizer Inc (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.36 (4)	License Agreement, dated July 3, 1990, between the Company and the Brigham and Women's Hospital, Inc. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.38 (4)	License Agreement, dated January 5, 1990, between the Company and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.41 (4)	License Agreement, dated October 1, 1989, between the Company and Institute Pasteur (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.43 (4)	License Agreement, dated June 23, 1989, between the Company and La Jolla Cancer Research Foundation (with certain confidential portions omitted).

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

- 10.46 (4) Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and each of its directors.
- 10.47 (4) Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and each of its officers.
- 10.58 (4) Stock Purchase Agreement, dated September 9, 1992, between the Company and Glaxo, Inc.
- 10.59 (4) Research and Development Agreement, dated September 9, 1992, between the Company and Glaxo, Inc. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
- 10.60 (4) Stock Transfer Agreement, dated September 30, 1992, between the Company and the Rockefeller

II-4

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
	University.
10.61 (4)	Stock Transfer Agreement, dated September 30, 1992, between the Company and New York University.
10.62 (4)	License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992, between the Company and the Rockefeller University (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.67 (4)	Letter Agreement, dated September 11, 1992, between the Company and Mr. Paul Maier.
10.73 (21)	Supplementary Agreement, dated October 1, 1993, between the Company and Pfizer, Inc. to Agreement, dated May 1, 1991.
10.78 (23)	Research, Development and License Agreement, dated July 6, 1994, between the Company and Abbott Laboratories (with certain confidential portions omitted). (Filed as Exhibit 10.75).
10.83 (23)	Option Agreement, dated September 2, 1994, between the Company and American Home Products Corporation, as represented by its Wyeth-Ayerst Research Division (with certain confidential portions omitted). (Filed as Exhibit 10.80).
10.93 (6)	Indemnity Agreement, dated June 3, 1995, between the Company, Allergan, Inc. and Allergan Ligand Retinoid Therapeutics, Inc.
10.97 (6)	Research, Development and License Agreement, dated December 29, 1994, between SmithKline Beecham Corporation and the Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.98 (6)	Stock and Note Purchase Agreement, dated February 2, 1995, between SmithKline Beecham Corporation, S.R. One, Limited and the Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.140 (28)	Promissory Notes, General Security Agreements and a Credit Terms and Conditions letter dated March 31, 1995, between the Company and Imperial Bank (Filed as Exhibit 10.101).
10.148 (24)	Lease, dated July 6, 1994, between the Company and Chevron/Nexus partnership, First Amendment to lease dated July 6, 1994.
10.149 (25)	Successor Employment Agreement, signed May 1, 1996, between the Company and David E. Robinson.
10.150 (7)	Master Lease Agreement, signed May 30, 1996, between the Company and USL Capital Corporation.
10.151 (25)	Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release of all Claims, signed April 20, 1996, between the Company and Pfizer, Inc. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.152 (25)	Letter Amendment to Abbott Agreement, dated March 14, 1996, between the Company and Abbott Laboratories (with certain confidential portions omitted).

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

- 10.153 (26) Letter Agreement, dated August 8, 1996, between the Company and Dr. Andres Negro-Vilar.
- 10.155 (7) Letter Agreement, dated November 4, 1996, between the Company and William Pettit.
- 10.157 (7) Master Lease Agreement, signed February 13, 1997, between the Company and Lease Management Services.
- 10.158 (7) Lease, dated March 7, 1997, between the Company and Nexus Equity VI LLC.
- 10.161 (29) Settlement Agreement, License and Mutual General Release between Ligand Pharmaceuticals and SRI/LJCRF, dated August 23, 1995 (with certain confidential portions omitted).

II-5

---



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.163 (30)	Extension of Master Lease Agreement between Lease Management Services and Ligand Pharmaceuticals dated July 29, 1997.
10.165 (8)	Amended and Restated Technology Cross License Agreement, dated September 24, 1997, among the Company, Allergan, Inc. and Allergan Ligand Retinoid Therapeutics, Inc.
10.167 (8)	Development and License Agreement, dated November 25, 1997, between the Company and Eli Lilly and Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.168 (8)	Collaboration Agreement, dated November 25, 1997, among the Company, Eli Lilly and Company, and Allergan Ligand Retinoid Therapeutics, Inc. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.169 (8)	Option and Wholesale Purchase Agreement, dated November 25, 1997, between the Company and Eli Lilly and Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.171 (8)	First Amendment to Option and Wholesale Purchase Agreement dated February 23, 1998, between the Company and Eli Lilly and Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.172 (8)	Second Amendment to Option and Wholesale Purchase Agreement, dated March 16, 1998, between the Company and Eli Lilly and Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.176 (10)	Secured Promissory Note, dated March 7, 1997, in the face amount of \$3,650,000, payable to the Company by Nexus Equity VI LLC. (Filed as Exhibit 10.1).
10.177 (10)	Amended memorandum of Lease effective March 7, 1997, between the Company and Nexus Equity VI LLC. (Filed as Exhibit 10.2).
10.178 (10)	First Amendment to Lease, dated March 7, 1997, between the Company and Nexus Equity VI LLC. (Filed as Exhibit 10.3).
10.179 (10)	First Amendment to Secured Promissory Note, date March 7, 1997, payable to the Nexus Equity VI LLC. (Filed as Exhibit 10.4).
10.184 (11)	Letter agreement, dated May 11, 1998, by and among the Company, Eli Lilly and Company and Seragen, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 99.6).
10.185 (1)	Amendment No. 3 to Option and Wholesale Purchase Agreement, dated May 11, 1998, by and between Eli Lilly and Company and the Company. (Filed as Exhibit 10.6).
10.186 (1)	Agreement, dated May 11, 1998, by and among Eli Lilly and Company, the Company and Seragen, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 10.7).
10.188 (11)	Settlement Agreement, dated May 1, 1998, by and among Seragen, Inc., Seragen Biopharmaceuticals Ltd./Seragen Biopharmaceutique Ltee, Sofinov Societe Financiere D Innovation Inc., Societe Innovatech Du Grand Montreal, MDS Health Ventures Inc., Canadian Medical Discoveries Fund Inc., Royal Bank Capital Corporation and Health Care and

Biotechnology Venture Fund (Filed as Exhibit 99.2).

- 10.189 (11) Accord and Satisfaction Agreement, dated May 11, 1998, by and among Seragen, Inc., Seragen Technology, Inc., Trustees of Boston University, Seragen LLC, Marathon Biopharmaceuticals, LLC, United States Surgical Corporation, Leon C. Hirsch, Turi Josefsen, Gerald S.J. and Loretta P. Cassidy, Reed R. Prior, Jean C. Nichols, Elizabeth C. Chen, Robert W. Crane, Shoreline Pacific Institutional Finance, Lehman Brothers Inc., 520 Commonwealth Avenue Real Estate Corp. and 660 Corporation (Filed as Exhibit 99.4).
- 10.191 (10) Letter of Agreement dated September 28, 1998 among the Company, Elan Corporation, plc and Elan International Services, Ltd. (with certain confidential portions omitted), (Filed as Exhibit 10.5).

II-6

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.198 (14)	Stock Purchase Agreement by and between the Company and Warner-Lambert Company dated September 1, 1999 (with certain confidential portions omitted). (Filed as Exhibit 10.2).
10.200 (14)	Nonexclusive Sublicense Agreement, effective September 8, 1999, by and among Seragen, Inc., Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. (with certain confidential portions omitted). (Filed as Exhibit 10.4).
10.203 (14)	License Agreement effective June 30, 1999 by and between the Company and X-Ceptor Therapeutics, Inc. (with certain confidential portions omitted). (Filed as Exhibit 10.7).
10.218 (18)	Royalty Stream Purchase Agreement dated as of December 31, 1999 among Seragen, Inc., the Company, Pharmaceutical Partners, L.L.C., Bioventure Investments, Kft, and Pharmaceutical Royalties, LLC. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.220 (19)	Research, Development and License Agreement by and between Organon Company and Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated dated February 11, 2000 (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.224 (20)	Research, Development and License Agreement by and between Bristol Myers Squibb Company and Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated dated May 19, 2000 (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.230 (31)	Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2000 among the Company and certain of its investors.
10.231 (2)	Marketing and Distribution Agreement with Ferrer Internacional S.A. to market and distribute Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated products in Spain, Portugal and Greece. (Filed as Exhibit 10.3).
10.232 (2)	Marketing and Distribution Agreement with Ferrer Internacional S.A. to market and distribute Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated products in Central and South America. (Filed as Exhibit 10.4).
10.235 (32)	Distributorship Agreement, dated February 29, 2001, between the Company and Elan Pharma International Limited (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.238 (33)	Letter Agreement, dated May 17, 2001, between the Company and Gian Aliprandi.
10.239 (33)	Research, Development and License Agreement by and between the Company and TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc. dated June 22, 2001 (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.240 (34)	Letter Agreement, dated December 13, 2001, between the Company and Warner R. Broaddus, Esq.
10.242 (34)	First Addendum to Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated June 29, 2000, effective as of December 20, 2001.

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

- 10.244 (35) Second Addendum to Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated June 29, 2000, effective as of March 28, 2002.
- 10.245 (35) Purchase Agreement, dated March 6, 2002, between the Company and Pharmaceutical Royalties International (Cayman) Ltd.
- 10.246 (36) Amended and Restated License Agreement Between The Salk Institute for Biological Studies and the Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
- 10.247 (37) Amendment Number 1 to Purchase Agreement, dated July 29, 2002, between the Company and Pharmaceutical Royalties International (Cayman) Ltd.

II-7

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.250 (40)	Amended and Restated License and Supply Agreement, dated December 6, 2002, between the Company, Elan Corporation, plc and Elan Management Limited (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.252 (40)	Amendment Number 1 to Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 12, 2002, between the Company and Elan Corporation plc and Elan International Services, Ltd.
10.253 (40)	Second Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated December 19, 2002, between the Company and Pharmaceuticals Royalties International (Cayman) Ltd.
10.254 (40)	Amendment Number 3 to Purchase Agreement, dated December 30, 2002, between the Company and Pharmaceuticals Royalties International (Cayman) Ltd. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.255 (40)	Purchase Agreement, dated December 30, 2002, between the Company and Pharmaceuticals Royalties International (Cayman) Ltd. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.256 (41)	Co-Promotion Agreement, dated January 1, 2003, by and between the Company and Organon Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.257 (42)	Letter Agreement, dated June 26, 2002, between the Company and James J. L. Italien, Ph.D.
10.258 (42)	Letter Agreement, dated May 20, 2003, between the Company and Tod G. Mertes.
10.259 (42)	Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated June 25, 2003.
10.261 (43)	Letter Agreement, dated July 1, 2003, between the Company and Paul V. Maier.
10.262 (43)	Letter Agreement, dated July 1, 2003, between the Company and Ronald C. Eld.
10.263 (43)	Separation Agreement and General Release, effective July 10, 2003, between the Company and Thomas H. Silberg (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.264 (44)	Option Agreement Between Investors Trust & Custodial Services (Ireland) Ltd., as Trustee for Royalty Pharma, Royalty Pharma Finance Trust and the Company, dated October 1, 2003 (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.265 (44)	Amendment to Purchase Agreement Between Royalty Pharma Finance Trust and the Company, dated October 1, 2003 (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.266 (44)	Manufacture and Supply Agreement between Seragen and Cambrex Bio Science Hopkinton, Inc., dated October 11, 2003 (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.267 *	2002 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated).
10.268 (44)	2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, dated July 1, 2002 (as amended through June 30, 2003).

- 10.269 (44) Form of Stock Option Agreement.
- 10.270 (44) Form of Employee Stock Purchase Plan Stock Purchase Agreement.
- 10.271 (44) Form of Automatic Stock Option Agreement.
- 10.272 (44) Form of Director Fee Stock Option Agreement.

II-8

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.273 (45)	Letter Agreement, dated as of February 26, 2004, between the Company and Martin Meglasson.
10.274 (45)	Adoption Agreement for Smith Barney Inc. Execchoice <sup>®</sup> Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan.
10.275 (45)	Commercial Supply Agreement, dated February 27, 2004, between Seragen Incorporated and Holister-Stier Laboratories LLC (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.276 (45)	Manufacturing and Packaging Agreement, dated February 13, 2004 between Cardinal Health PTS, LLC and the Company (with certain confidential portions omitted).
10.277 (45)	Letter Agreement, dated July 1, 2003 between the Company and William A. Pettit.
10.278 (46)	Letter Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2004, between the Company and Eric S. Groves
10.279 (46)	Form of Distribution, Storage, Data and Inventory Management Services Agreement.
10.280 (46)	Amendment Number 1 to the Option Agreement between Investors Trust & Custodial Services (Ireland) Ltd., solely in its capacity as Trustee for Royalty Pharma, Royalty Pharma Finance Trust and Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated dated November 5, 2004.
10.281 (46)	Amendment to Agreement among Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, Seragen, Inc. and Eli Lilly and Company dated November 8, 2004.
10.282 (46)	Amendment to Purchase Agreement between Royalty Pharma Finance Trust, Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated & Investors Trust and Custodial Services (Ireland) Ltd., solely in its capacity as Trustee of Royalty Pharma dated November 5, 2004.
10.283 (47)	Form of Management Lockup Agreement.
10.284 (47)	Letter Agreement, dated March 11, 2005, between the Company and Andres Negro Vilar.
10.285 (47)	Confidential Interference Settlement Agreement dated March 11, 2005, by and between the Company, SRI International and The Burnham Institute.
10.286 (48)	Letter Agreement dated as of July 28, 2005 between the Company and Taylor J. Crouch.
10.287 *	Amended and Restated Research, Development and License Agreement dated as of December 1, 2005 between the Company and Wyeth (formerly American Home Products Corporation).
10.288 (51)	Settlement Agreement dated as of December 2, 2005 by and among Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and Third Point LLC, Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd., Third Point Partners LP, Third Point Ultra Ltd., Lyxor/Third Point Fund Ltd., and Third Point Partners Qualified LP. (Filed as Exhibit 10.1).
10.289 *	Form of Stock Issuance Agreement for non-employee directors.

Edgar Filing: LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form POS AM

- 10.290 \* Form of Amended and Restated Director Fee Stock Option Agreement for 2005 award to Alexander Cross.
- 10.291 \* Form of Amended and Restated Director Fee Stock Option Agreement for 2005 award to Henry Blissenbach, John Groom, Irving Johnson, John Kozarich, Daniel Loeb, Carl Peck, Jeffrey Perry, Brigitte Roberts and Michael Rocca.
- 10.292 \* Termination and Return of Rights Agreement between Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and Organon USA Inc.
- 10.293 (53) Form of Letter Agreement between the Company and certain officers dated March 1, 2006.

II-9

---



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
21.1	Subsidiaries of Registrant (See Business ).
23.1	Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP.
23.2 *	Consent of Latham & Watkins LLP (included in Exhibit 5.1).
24.1 *	Power of Attorney (See page II-15).

Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

\* Previously filed.

(1) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-58823) filed on July 9, 1998.

(2) This exhibit was previously filed as part of and is hereby incorporated by reference to same numbered exhibit filed with the

Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended March 31,  
1999.

(3) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended  
September 30,  
2004.

(4) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Registration  
Statement on  
Form S-1 (No.  
33-47257) filed  
on April 16,  
1992 as  
amended.

(5) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Form 8-A  
12G/A, filed on

April 6, 2004.

- (6) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-1/S-3 (No. 33-87598 and 33-87600) filed on December 20, 1994, as amended.
  
- (7) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 1996.
  
- (8) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 1997.

- (10) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1998.
- (11) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K of Seragen, Inc. filed on May 15, 1998.
- (12) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 1998.
- (13) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby

incorporated by  
reference to the  
numbered exhibit  
filed with the  
Registration  
Statement on  
Form 8-A/A  
Amendment  
No. 1 (No.  
0-20720) filed on  
November 10,  
1998.

- (14) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
numbered exhibit

II-10

---

**Table of Contents**

filed with the  
Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended  
September 30,  
1999.

(15) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
numbered exhibit  
filed with the  
Schedule 13D of  
Elan  
Corporation, plc,  
filed on  
January 6, 1999,  
as amended.

(16) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
numbered exhibit  
filed with the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement on  
Form S-3  
(No. 333-12603)  
filed on  
September 25,  
1996, as  
amended.

(17) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
numbered exhibit

filed with the  
Registration  
Statement on  
Form 8-A/A  
Amendment  
No. 2 (No.  
0-20720) filed on  
December 24,  
1998.

(18) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Annual Report  
on Form 10-K  
for the period  
ended  
December 31,  
1999.

(19) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended March 31,  
2000.

(20) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report

on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended June 30,  
2000.

(21) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and  
are hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Annual Report  
on Form 10-K  
for the year  
ended  
December 31,  
1993.

(23) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
numbered exhibit  
filed with the  
Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended  
September 30,  
1994.

(24) This exhibit was  
previously filed,  
and is hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Annual Report  
on Form 10-K  
for the year  
ended  
December 31,  
1995.



(25) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1996.

(26) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1996.

(28) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and are hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1995.

(29) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is

hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended March 31,  
1997.

(30) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended June 30,  
1997.

(31) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and  
are hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Annual Report  
on Form 10-K  
for the year  
ended  
December 31,  
2000.

(32) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with

the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended March 31,  
2001.

- (33) This exhibit was  
previously filed  
as part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q  
for the period  
ended June 30,  
2001.

II-11

---

**Table of Contents**

- (34) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and are hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- (35) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002.
- (36) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002.
- (37) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the

same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002.

(38) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-102483) filed on January 13, 2003, as amended.

(40) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and are hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

(41) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Quarterly Report

on Form 10-Q for  
the period ended  
March 31, 2003.

(42) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q for  
the period ended  
June 30, 2003.

(43) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q for  
the period ended  
September 30,  
2003.

(44) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Annual Report on  
Form 10-K for the  
year ended  
December 31,  
2003.

(45) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and is

hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q for  
the period ended  
March 31, 2004.

(46) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and are  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Annual Report on  
Form 10-K for the  
year ended  
December 31,  
2004.

(47) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q for  
the period ended  
March 31, 2005.

(48) This exhibit was  
previously filed as  
part of, and is  
hereby  
incorporated by  
reference to the  
same numbered  
exhibit filed with  
the Company's  
Quarterly Report  
on Form 10-Q for

the period ended  
September 30,  
2005.

(49) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2005.

(50) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2005.

(51) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 5, 2005.

(52) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by



reference to the  
numbered exhibit  
filed with the  
Company's  
Current Report on  
Form 8-K filed on  
November 14,  
2005.

II-12

---

**Table of Contents**

(53) This exhibit was previously filed as part of, and is hereby incorporated by reference to the numbered exhibit filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2006.

**(b) Financial Statement Schedules**

Schedules not listed above have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

**Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (in thousands)**

	<b>Balance at Beginning of</b>				<b>Balance at End of Period</b>
	<b>Period</b>	<b>Charges</b>	<b>Deductions</b>	<b>Other</b>	
December 31, 2005:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts and cash discounts	\$ 1,097	\$ 4,778	\$ 5,021	\$	\$ 854
Reserve for inventory valuation	1,027	1,387	669		1,745
Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	286,225	14,495		(90)	300,630
December 31, 2004:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts and cash discounts	\$ 942	\$ 4,612	\$ 4,457	\$	\$ 1,097
Reserve for inventory valuation	1,177	1,179	1,329		1,027
Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	266,935	18,144		1,146	286,225
December 31, 2003:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts and cash discounts	\$ 233	\$ 1,928	\$ 1,219	\$	\$ 942
Reserve for inventory valuation	1,438	426	687		1,177
Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	229,162	37,378		395	266,935

**Item 17. Undertakings**

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:

(1) To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:

(i) To include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act;

(ii) To reflect in the prospectus any facts or event arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or in the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the Calculation of Registration Fee table in the effective registration statement; and

II-13

---

**Table of Contents**

(iii) To include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement.

(2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

(3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.

Insofar as indemnification by the Registrant for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons of the Registrant, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, this indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against these liabilities (other than the payment by the Registrant of expenses incurred or paid by any of our directors, officers or controlling persons in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by a director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question of whether this indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and will be governed by the final adjudication of this issue.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated has duly caused this Post Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in San Diego, California on the 12 day of April, 2006.

LIGAND PHARMACEUTICALS  
INCORPORATED

By: /s/ DAVID E. ROBINSON

David E. Robinson  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, this Post Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ DAVID E. ROBINSON  David E. Robinson	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	April 12, 2006
/s/ PAUL V. MAIER  Paul V. Maier	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	April 12, 2006
*	Director	
Henry F. Blissenbach		
*	Director	
Alexander D. Cross		
*	Director	
John Groom		
*	Director	
Irving S. Johnson		
*	Director	
John W. Kozarich		
*	Director	
Daniel S. Loeb		

\* Director

Carl C. Peck

\* Director

Jeffrey R. Perry

\* Director

Brigette Roberts

\* Director

Michael A. Rocca

\*By: /s/ DAVID E. ROBINSON

\*By: /s/ PAUL V. MAIER

David E. Robinson  
Attorney-in-Fact

Paul V. Maier  
Attorney-in-Fact  
II-15