

Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10KSB/A  
October 13, 2004

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended: June 30, 2004

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 0-28541

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

-----  
(Name of Small Business Issuer in its charter)

California

77-0505346

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

-----  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

17951 Lyons Circle  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

-----  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Issuer's telephone number: 714-848-7741

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  
None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
Common stock, no par value

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best or registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$298,653.

At September 29, 2004, the aggregate market value of registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates was \$13,560,766 based on the closing OTC Bulletin Board bid price of \$0.19 per share on that date.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

At September 29, 2004, a total of 71,372,454 shares of registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM 1	BUSINESS DESCRIPTION
ITEM 2	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY
ITEM 3	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
ITEM 4	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS
ITEM 5	MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS
	5.1 Market for Common Stock
	5.2 Dividends
	5.3 Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
	5.4 Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities
ITEM 6	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
ITEM 7	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
ITEM 8	CHANGE IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE
ITEM 8A	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES
ITEM 8B	OTHER INFORMATION
ITEM 9	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT
	9.1 Board of Directors and Executive Officers
	9.2 Compensation of Directors
	9.3 Management Committees
	9.4 Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance
	9.5 Code of Ethics
ITEM 10	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
ITEM 11	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT
ITEM 12	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS
ITEM 13	EXHIBITS
ITEM 14	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES
	SIGNATURES
	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
	EXHIBITS

### INTRODUCTION

This amendment No. 1 to Quintek Technologies, Inc. annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 is being refilled in its entirety to add material contracts that were omitted, to include required detail on matters voted on by security holders and to correct other clerical issues.

### ITEM 1 BUSINESS DESCRIPTION

#### Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of section 21 of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, including statements that indicate what the Company "believes," "expects," and "anticipates" or similar expressions. These statements involve known and unknown

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this annual report. There can be no assurance that the forward looking information contained herein will in fact transpire. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

### Introduction

Quintek Technologies, Inc. (referred to herein as the "Company", "Quintek", "Our", or "We") is a California corporation. Quintek's corporate headquarters are located at 17951 Lyons Circle, California, 92647. Our contact information at that location is: phone number (714) 848-7741, fax number (714) 848-7701 and our website is [www.quintek.com](http://www.quintek.com). Our corporate filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and amendments to these filings, as well as other information is available free of charge at our website soon after such reports are filed electronically with the SEC, or directly on the SEC's website.

Quintek Electronics, Inc., our predecessor company, founded in July 1991, acquired technology, related assets and patent rights to its aperture card business during 1991 and 1992. On January 14, 1999, Quintek Electronics, Inc. was acquired in a merger by Pacific Diagnostics Technologies, Inc. as part of their Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization, and the surviving entity's name was changed to Quintek Technologies, Inc. Since the merger, Quintek continued to sell its aperture card products and all former operations of Pacific Diagnostics Technologies, Inc. were discontinued. On February 24, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Juniper Acquisition Corporation ("Juniper"). Upon effectiveness of that acquisition, Quintek elected to become the successor issuer to Juniper for reporting purposes under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

### Business Overview

Quintek Technologies, Inc. has been a manufacturer of hardware and software and a service provider to the corporate and public sector markets since 1991. The Company's new division, Quintek Services, Inc. (QSI) delivers Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) services and Information Lifecycle Management (ILM) solutions to document intensive industries such as healthcare and financial services. The solutions and services the Company provides enable organizations to secure and manage their information and document business processes more efficiently.

QSI provides Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) services to Fortune 500, Russell 2000 companies and public sector organizations. Our BPO services range from the digitizing, indexing and uploading of source documents through simple customer-specific, rules-based decision making.

1

Quintek Technologies, Inc, sells hardware, software and services for printing large format drawings such as blueprints and CAD files (Computer Aided Design) directly to the microfilm format of aperture cards. Quintek is the only manufacturer of a patented chemical-free desktop microfilm printer for aperture cards.

### Recent Business Developments

On February 17, 2004, we announced our Cradle-to-Grave Strategy for providing customer solutions at each stage of the Information Lifecycle from the creation of documents through archival. On March 16, 2004, we announced that as our first

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

step in executing this plan, we hired Bob Brownell as President. Mr. Brownell has more than twenty-five years experience in selling and delivering document management solutions. On March 30, 2004, we announced hiring Chris de Lapp as Senior Sales Executive. In five years with ACS, Mr. de Lapp averaged \$2.75 million a year in sales, consistently exceeding his sales quote. On April 21, 2004, we announced that we would be entering the rapidly expanding Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) market through our new Quintek Services, Inc. (QSI) division. In June, we announced that FedEx Kinko's has selected Quintek as their scanning partner of choice for their Western Region. On August 5, 2004 we announced that a London Investment Company purchased \$2.38 million in Quintek stock. On August 10, 2004 we announced a \$3.3 Million Funding Agreement with Golden Gate Investors.

The growth plans for the Company are focused on its new QSI division. This report will use Quintek and QSI interchangeably. The status of the Chemical-Free Microfilm business is discussed in a section by that name.

### Strategy

As companies look to outsource to overseas BPO (Business Process Outsourcing) providers they encounter three problems that Quintek solves. First, finding the right BPO partner is difficult because few overseas BPO providers have an extensive U.S. sales presence. Second, many processes require Information Technology integration and document scanning to be done domestically in order to be performed overseas. Lastly, in the investigative process they often find reasons portions of the process to be outsourced must be done on-site, nearby or within the United States.

Quintek's core competencies are executive level sales skill, BPO (Business Process Outsourcing) project management expertise, specialization in performing high-speed, high-quality document scanning and relationships with all of the partners necessary to deliver a complete solution on and off shore.

Management experience has shown that to sell and deliver a complete BPO solution to a customer, a company must engage a partner or multiple partners during some part of the process of delivering the service. Management has found that profitability in the BPO business comes from specialization. Management believes that the larger companies that attempt to provide all aspects of the BPO solution internally can not compete with Companies like Quintek that offer multi-vendor solutions. Just like Information Technology hardware and software became unbundled into multi-vendor solutions in the 1980's and 1990's, the trend in outsourcing is towards multi-vendor solutions. Quintek has established true partnerships with industry leaders, where we provide important pieces that they do not provide and vice versa, with revenue sharing going both ways.

Quintek will grow rapidly through mutually beneficial business relationships with large organizations that provide a complementary piece of the BPO solution but also require the pieces that Quintek provides. The scope of work for BPO (Business Process Outsourcing) contracts ranges from basic scanning and data entry (Level One BPO) to outsourcing an entire department (Level Five BPO).

Quintek focuses on Level One and Level Two. Level Two BPO is higher margin business than Level One because it requires workers trained in the specific vertical who are able to interpret data. Level Two BPO is more complex data entry from multi-page forms. We plan to build an account and revenue base through performing Level One BPO services domestically and reselling Level Two BPO services from offshore providers.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Our business plan requires us to hire and retain talented staff. Management believes that its Incentive Stock Option plan for salespeople and its Operator Incentive Plan (OIP) for production people have been and will continue to be a powerful tool for hiring and retaining staff. Many of Quintek's competitors are not growth stage companies. Quintek employees understand how their efforts can translate directly into increased shareholder value.

### Market Trends

#### Overall BPO Market

Goldman Sachs estimates the BPO market at potentially \$ 175-210 billion in annual revenue and growing at a CAGR of roughly 20%, more than twice the projected rate for IT spending.

According to an August 2003, Forrester Research report, the US BPO market will expand to \$146 billion in 2008. The report states that "BPO is the first stage in the evolution of process-centric IT." The trend is towards corporations spending more of their IT budgets on services. This provides dramatic costs savings. Rather than companies purchasing hardware, software and labor, they can pay a BPO vendor like Quintek by the claim or by the loan document. In this way they do not carry the cost of infrastructure during unneeded periods.

We expect new companies to take significant roots in this space, apart from established players. Exult and Xchanging are two relatively recent examples.

BPO is the delegation, by the customer, of the operational responsibility for a business process's execution and performance within the customer's environment. It is the recognition that process improvement, not IT technology, has the greatest potential for contribution and that, like IT, depending on the process, that knowledge may not be available internally.

Some of the processes are critical, others are not and some of the critical processes are IT-leverageable, and others are not.

In today's highly competitive, unpredictable and fast-changing marketplace, organizations are challenged with improving productivity and operational efficiency with ever-shrinking budgets. Many executives find that running the enterprise consumes the bulk of their energy. They lack the time and resources to manage non-core, resource-intensive functions properly.

As a result, many organizations are pursuing a Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) strategy that manages these non-strategic business processes. With the right partner, BPO delivers dramatic business value, significant competitive advantage--and peace of mind. Outsourcing operations provides substantial, measurable benefits right away.

Organizations that take advantage of BPO solutions thrive today, even amidst market instability and ever-changing conditions. Allowing BPO suppliers to manage these non-strategic operations provides superior flexibility and makes organizations better able to respond to new and existing business opportunities. Among the benefits of a BPO strategy is the ability of the organization to focus on its core competencies--initiatives that bring in revenue and facilitate profitable growth.

The scope of work for BPO (Business Process Outsourcing) contracts ranges from basic data entry (Level One BPO) to outsourcing an entire department (Level Five BPO). Quintek focuses on Level Two and Level Three. Level Three BPO is higher margin business because it requires complex data capture from multi-page forms

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

done by operators trained in the vertical who are able to analyze data.

### BENEFITS OF BUSINESS PROCESS OUTSOURCING

- o Reduce costs--BPO provides quantifiable benefits through improved efficiencies, lower overhead, reduced payroll and benefit expenses, and fewer capital investments.
- o Improve productivity and operational efficiencies--Non-core business processes, such as human resources and finance and accounting, are critical, but also resource-intensive, time-consuming, and costly. Outsourcing improves operational efficiencies and drastically reduces costs without large, up-front capital investments.
- o Allow organizations to focus on their core business--BPO allows organizations to move non-core business processes to a services provider so that they may focus on the more important strategic, revenue-generating programs that create profitable growth and sustain business success.
- o Ensure best practices, skills, and technology--BPO provides access to proprietary workflow systems, process reengineering skills, and innovative staffing and delivery models, combined with world-class technology delivered by experts.
- o Provide access to scalable operations and on-demand resources--BPO provides the flexibility to respond to a rapidly changing marketplace and scale operations up or down as conditions dictate. In a BPO engagement, the BPO partner delivers access to global staff, processes, resources, and technology--wherever and whenever they are needed.
- o Strengthen clients' competitive position--Organizations can leverage a BPO strategy to improve their financial and competitive positions and differentiate themselves from competitors. BPO results in increased customer satisfaction, more efficient operations, access to global capabilities, increased cash flow, and faster time-to-market.

As an example, Jack Welch, past Chairman & CEO of General Electric who delivered 26 consecutive quarters of profitability, said, "70% of our business processes should be outsourced, 70% of which should be offshore, 70% of which should be to India."

### Document Management and Imaging Market

In his book, "Business @ The Speed of Thought," Bill Gates writes, "...the paperless office, like artificial intelligence, is one of those 'any day now' phenomena that somehow never seem to actually arrive... paper consumption has continued to double every four years, and 95% of all information in the US remains on paper, compared with just 1% stored electronically. Paperwork is increasing faster than digital technology can eliminate it."

According to a report released last week by research firm IDC, the global document management and imaging outsourcing market reached \$13 billion in 2003 and is expected to expand at a compound annual growth rate of 19.7% over the next three years.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

The rapid growth of the document management market has been largely unnoticed by industry watchers because it is manifested very differently from industry to industry, IDC noted. While some industries are simply shifting their efforts from paper to electronic media in order to save money, others, such as the health care industry as part of its Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act requirements, are attempting to implement cross-company document management standards and technologies.

"Growth in this market is being fueled by the rapid adoption of imaging, document, and content technologies overall, user demand for easy access to documents, as well as the evolving need for imaging and document management within particular vertical segments," said Ron Glaz, director of IDC's digital-imaging solutions and services. "In fact, survey participants indicated that the most important factors in choosing an imaging and document management outsourcing vendor is their track record and specific vertical industry expertise."

Because most BPO processes start by capturing data and organizing it into digital formats, the need for service provider support has exploded. Companies wanting to bring unstructured data on line have been faced with the task of converting this information into electronic form. Unstructured data is considered any media in paper, film, fiche or other forms that are not readily available to the knowledge worker.

Companies electing to image capture their paper documents are turning to service providers as a source of digitizing this information. Outsourcing this business to service providers has proven less expensive than hiring permanent staff. Temporary employees have proven ineffective since conversions are not generally done all at once. Companies attempting to purchase all the necessary equipment to do their work in-house cannot keep up with the changing technologies.

### Vertical Markets

Quintek is targeting three vertical markets: Mortgage Processing, Healthcare Claims Processing and Accounts Payable processing.

#### Home Mortgage Processing

In 2002 mortgage originators funded \$2.787 trillion in loans, in 2003, they funded \$3,810 trillion in loans and, according to the mortgage banker's Association, they forecast that \$2,597 trillion will be funded in 2004 and \$1.823 trillion in 2005. Management believes that 2004 may beat these projections due to the fact that \$890 billion funded in the second quarter of 2004.

Sales of new mortgages and refinancings have been driven by historically low interest rates.

Mortgage transactions are paper intensive. Portfolios of mortgages are traded. Almost every financial services company that generates consumer loans (mortgages, auto, and credit cards) needs to be able to share and exchange documents electronically with outside entities when they are selling the loan asset to another organization. Portfolios of mortgages are rated A through C. This rating is significantly influenced by the quality of the title documents, HUD forms, ESCROW documents and other closing documents associated with the initial transaction between the home buyer and the original lender. These documents need to pass efficiently between hundreds of people in and out of these financial institutions.

Home mortgage companies' primary business is the origination of new loans and

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

refinancings. They are not in the information technology or document management business. They make money by charging transaction related fees and completing these transactions quickly and efficiently with the lowest possible sales and marketing costs. Mortgage companies outsource their document processing for two primary reasons. Both sides of the transaction would like to eliminate the costs associated with copying and shipping paper documents, and reduce the risks associated with exposure to market fluctuations. There is a critical need to minimize the amount of time it takes to complete loan sale transfer activities. Additionally, they outsource this document processing to experts in document imaging so that the portfolios have the highest possible value as based on the legibility of the documentation.

Management believes that Quintek is positioned to grow in this sector as the mortgage industry slows down. In the event that growth contracts, this allows finance companies to address their documentation backlog, which results in sales to Quintek. Additionally, once finance companies have to plan on slower growth, they must also concentrate on lowering costs and outsourcing can become an even higher priority.

### Healthcare Claims Processing

The overall healthcare market drives more than \$1.4 trillion in sales. The healthcare market is made up of doctors, hospitals, insurance companies, HMO's, equipment suppliers and service providers. Money flows between doctors, hospital and insurance companies based on the acceptance or rejection of individual health care claims.

A new IDC study released on May 25, 2004 shows that U.S. spending on claims process outsourcing services totaled \$10.1 billion in 2003, a 6.8% growth over 2002. IDC projects that the market (comprised of the commercial healthcare, government healthcare, and insurance industries) will increase to \$15.7 billion in 2008, with a five year compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 9.1%.

"Despite being a relatively mature market, new growth opportunities are beginning to emerge in the claims process outsourcing market. Early movers are beginning to apply more strategic objectives to outsourcing, and this in turn is helping to drag the claims process outsourcing industry forward, slowly, but surely," said Romala Ravi, program manager, BPO Services.

IDC research indicates that claims process outsourcing, though mature as a concept, is a relatively underpenetrated market. Companies looking to play in this market should set realistic expectations about market demand and adoption, meet demand where it currently exists, grab low-hanging fruit and use these as building blocks towards more comprehensive engagements, and prepare their pursuit teams to speak to and demonstrate both the tactical and strategic benefits of outsourcing.

The two major issues in the heavily regulated health claim industry are service and costs. The health insurance industry is required by law to service their customers. Claims must be paid or denied within regulated timeframes. When claims are not processed within those time limits, expensive penalties are invoked. Service timelines are adversely impacted when additional medical records are required. Claim files are pended until requested documents arrive; and documents must be manually matched to the existing file. Complex claims are more likely to be misplaced because they must be routed to medical review specialists, and quality control. As files are sent from one department to the next, it is more difficult to meet service standards, and keep track of processing timeframes. Paper files compound service inefficiencies because documents and files are lost or delayed in routing. Claims service is expensive. When information isn't available to insureds and providers, it causes repeated phone calls, re-submission of claims, and additional work. The service inefficiencies inherent to paper files generate costs and expensive regulatory



penalties.

6

#### Accounts Payable Processing

Most large companies can save money by contracting Quintek to outsource back-office functions such as processing their accounts payable. In processing and paying invoices, the focus is on quickly approving payments by extracting data from scanned documents and loading into global, enterprise-wide databases to support decision making such as paying or declining an invoice.

Customers often install and maintain their own Payment Processing Workflow software to review, pay or decline invoices. In order to review and process invoices with such software, the data on the paper invoices has to be scanned, captured and loaded into the client's database or web-based ASP provided by Quintek. Customers outsource this scanning, capturing and loading to Quintek.

#### Offshore partnerships

To provide Customers with compelling pricing and quality, Quintek electronically delivers work off-shore to India where it is processed and returned to the United States electronically. India is rapidly becoming a leading world supplier of Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) and data conversion services. With the India BPO market growing at a compounded annual growth rate (CAGR) of more than 25 percent, many companies based in the United States are leveraging the compelling advantages of cost, quality and cycle time found in India.

#### Sales Plan

##### Overview

Quintek expects to achieve rapid growth by simultaneously establishing customer relationships directly as well as with national sales partners, large prime contractors and vertical-specific solution\brokers. Quintek expects to continue to increase revenues through organic growth, and by quickly establishing a national sales foothold using our compelling incentive stock option plan to attract top sales talent. Quintek expects to continue to expanding to a full national sales staff of thirty-five salespeople, and through strategic acquisitions. Quintek expects to support rapid growth through two internal processes, the Quintek business unit template procedure and the EIP (Employee Incentive Plan).

##### Service Offerings

##### High Speed Scanning at Client Site or QSI Production Center

Fortune 500 companies and other large organizations manage documents using Enterprise Content Management (ECM) systems such as OnBase, Documentum or FileNet. These are very large multi-user databases with a web browser interfaces that allow people all over the world to access and interact with document-based content in an organized manner twenty-four hours a day and seven days a week. For example, a large financial services company might have an ECM system that can support a world-wide staff of 12,000 employees who need to access 30,000,000 pages of data in Adobe .pdf format.

The scope of work for a High Speed Scanning contract will usually include Quintek receiving paper documents and delivering these documents directly into the customer's Enterprise Content Management (ECM) system. Scanning is the process of converting a paper document into a digital image saved in electronic format such as a TIFF or PDF file. High-end scanners are similar to high-end copiers with sheet feeders, but they output electronic files, not more paper. Quintek must provide the ground transportation and secure facility for

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

processing the documents, the trained staff for processing the documents, the expertise to index, scan and categorize the documents, the expertise to re-assemble the original documents in the format and order they were delivered and the expertise to upload the documents and the indexing into the customer's ECM system. This often has to be done in less than 24 hours from receipt of the document.

7

In its current configuration, Quintek's Huntington Beach facility can convert 60,000 images in an eight hour shift and 2,400,000 per month running two shifts. This would result in approximately \$120,000 a month in billings and a gross profit at \$0.05 an image of \$48,000. Upon subsequent financing, with additional equipment and reconfiguration, this facility has the capability of processing 600,000 images in an eight hour shift. Running two shifts at capacity, the facility could process 13,200,000 documents a month.

### Domestic/Offshore Data Entry, OCR, and Indexing

Quintek uses manual and OCR (Optical Character Recognition) technologies to create indexing for converted digital images. Indexing of documents facilitates a more efficient means of retrieving critical documents and information for future use.

Quintek has ensured higher Quality Assurance (QA) standards, by utilizing an "Enter - Enter - Compare" process, whereby two separate operators independently index the same document, then compare results using automated systems. If discrepancies are found between the two separate operator versions, the batch is immediately rejected and routed to a senior project manager for rework.. This six sigma Quality Control (QC) process guarantees clients a 99.5% accuracy rate.

Quintek performs this service in-house or offshore. Services are priced by the keystroke. A typical healthcare claim form may require between 400 and 1000 keystrokes. With volumes in the millions, our customers may pay \$0.01- \$0.02 per keystroke. Quintek resells this work to offshore partners that perform this service so that Quintek can make 30% to 60% gross margins.

### ASP (Application Service Provider) Hosting of Scanned Images

Once images have been scanned, end-user need an ECM (Enterprise Content Management) system such as Hyland Software's OnBase, Documentum or FileNet to use the documents now in digital formats... For customers that do not want to install and maintain their own ECM system, Quintek resells web-based document hosting ASP services from Quintek's partners such as Hyland Software's OnBase or Iron Mountain's Digital Archives. This provides Quintek's clients the efficient and immediate capability of viewing business critical documents on line. Margins for reselling this service are generally good, between 20% and 30% and contracts for this service usually run for many years.

### In House Imaging Solutions (Hardware, Software, and Services)

For customers that want to use their digital documents on an in-house ECM system, Quintek provides multiple solutions based on the clients' needs. Quintek offers system design, professional services and implementation required hardware and software. This service is sold as hardware and software products at a margin as well as labor to perform the installation. Margins range from 20% to 40% depending on the size of the contract.

### Mailroom Outsourcing of Inbound Hardcopy or Electronic Mail

The most efficient solution for a customer is for the customer to outsource the mail handling function to Quintek. Quintek physically retrieves the mail

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

directly from the post office through a P.O. box, sorts, scans and captures key data fields from each document. The scanned images and corresponding data are uploaded directly to the customer's ECM or one of Quintek's ASP (Application Service Provider) partners systems for online viewing by the customer's end user. This service is sold per piece of mail processed. Margins range from 20% to 40% depending on the size of the contract.

8

### Corporate Identity

Quintek's sales force will be selling the "QSI" brand name. QSI is an acronym for Quintek Services, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Quintek Technologies, Inc. This document uses QSI and Quintek interchangeably.

### Quintek Sales Process

### Quintek Value Proposition

The Quintek Value Proposition is that Quintek can significantly reduce our customer's current process overhead. Quintek can change the customer's fixed costs into variable costs and Quintek can deliver a higher quality of service than the customer can achieve internally.

### Overcoming Sales Objections

The four main sales objections faced by our sales force are the "upside-down" debt-to-equity ratio on our balance sheet, the Company's limited track record, lack of regional or national coverage and Dun & Bradstreet rating the Company a 4 on a scale of 1 to 5.

The Company responds to these objections as follows. First, the Company has been under new management since 2003 and the historical financials do not represent the capabilities of the current management. The new CEO and CFO of Quintek have collectively raised more than \$20 million in financing for growth-stage companies. Second, the new sales and production team at Quintek has more than 60 years of experience delivering BPO (Business process Outsourcing) to Fortune 500 customers. They have been on board at Quintek since April 2004, so the historical financials do not represent the capabilities of the current management. We encourage our customers to look at the track record of the individuals that now make up the new Quintek. Lastly, the Company forecasts that its financials will be consistently improving over the next two quarters.

The Company forecasts that its debt-to-equity ratio's on its balance sheet will be right-side up and that the "going concern" statement will be removed within the next two quarters. The D&B rating of 4 out of 5 was given when the Company was under previous management and its largest credit line was \$10,000. The Company currently has more than \$300,000 in lease financing. Once the balance sheet is right-side up, the Company will request that D&B re-evaluate its rating.

### Quintek's Target Account Profiles

In the initial stage of Quintek's growth, the sales team is putting 50% of their effort into targeting large, multi-year contracts and 50% of their effort into closing smaller one-time jobs.

### Managing rapid revenue growth

Quintek expects to achieve rapid growth by simultaneously establishing customer relationships directly as well as with national sales partners, large prime

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

contractors and vertical-specific solution brokers. Quintek expects to continue to increase revenues through organic growth, and by quickly establishing a national sales foothold using our compelling incentive stock option plan to attract top sales talent.

Quintek expects to continue expanding to a full national sales staff of thirty-five salespeople through hiring and through strategic acquisitions. Quintek will support rapid growth through two internal processes, the Quintek Office Template (QOT) and the EIP (Employee Incentive Plan).

9

### Direct Sales

Quintek currently has one full-time direct sales person. The Company plans to grow this to a national staff of 35 salespeople. The job of Quintek's direct sales team is to identify prospects before they have reached the RFP (Request for Proposal) stage. The job of Quintek's direct sales team is to know that these proposals are being sent out and to receive and respond to them. These senior salespeople are experienced and paid a base salary and a sales commission commensurate with that experience.

### National Sales Partners and Prime Contractors

On June 1, 2004,, Quintek signed a sales partner agreement with FedEx Kinko's. FedEx Kinko's has a national corporate sales team of more than 500 salespeople who call on Fortune 500 accounts selling outsourced print room services. They are often selling related services to the same decision makers as Quintek. With the relationship that has been put in place between FedEx Kinko's and Quintek, FedEx Kinko's can resell Quintek's BPO (Business Process Outsourcing) services. The relationship is structured so that FedEx Kinko's can mark-up Quintek's services by 10-20%.

Quintek's sales team has been training FedEx Kinko's on selling Quintek services. Quintek's sales team responds to requests for information from FedEx Kinko's and assists in drafting proposals. Quintek will pursue one other similar prime contractor relationship and then focus on maintaining and supporting those relationships.

### Vertical specific solutions brokers

On April 26, 2004, Quintek signed an agreement with Single Source Partners (SSP). Single Source Partners is a provider of mortgage solutions located in Newport Beach, CA that supplies Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) services in the mortgage industry. SSP is paid by financial institutions to pre-qualify vendors and service providers. SSP also receives a finder's fee from Quintek in the event that Quintek closes a sale with a referral from SSP. SSP and Quintek have identified and are pursuing more than \$60 million in potential business from SSP's client companies.

### Organic growth

Quintek will place a high priority on prospecting within new accounts. Quintek will maximize the high cost of sales by delivering excellence to the customer, identifying and tracking additional opportunities within existing prospects and by winning subsequent work within newly created accounts. Quintek will look to expand geographically by recruiting new business development representatives with existing books of business.

### Initial national presence

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

A key milestone in the Company's business plan will be when the Huntington Beach office reaches break-even and 50% of the National Expansion Financing has been secured. Once this milestone has been achieved, Quintek will hire experienced sales people in the Northeast, Southeast and Midwest. Once one of these sales people has a qualified opportunity that Quintek's executive team believes will close if resources are in place, Quintek expects to fund the necessary resources to close the business. A qualified opportunity in this case would be where Quintek has been short listed for a job that can bill annual revenues of \$1,000,000 with a high probability that it will close if resources such as production space, staff and equipment are in place.

10

### National expansion through personal relationships

Another key milestone in the Company's business plan will be when 100% of the lease financing and 100% of the National Expansion Financing has been secured. Once this milestone has been reached, Quintek expects to make the necessary investment to complete a national sales staff of 35 salespeople. This will be accomplished either through acquisition or from drawing from the Company's personal network or a combination of the two. In the event of hiring new salespeople in new geographies, the same expansion criteria will be used as before.

### Sales Incentive Stock Option Plan

Salespeople receive a stock option bonus for meeting specific revenue goals.

### Growth through Strategic Acquisitions

Quintek plans to retain a business broker to help identify compatible acquisition targets. Quintek will focus on acquisition candidates who have binding long-term profitable contracts with financially stable customers. Quintek will pursue equity-based agreements that will not encumber the Company with excessive debt.

### Managing Profitability

Quintek's sales people are compensated based on the Gross Profit of the sale. Sales people receive no commission for jobs sold at less than a certain floor Gross Profit percentage. Sales people are provided incentive to maximize Gross Profit.

### Managing the Sales Process

Salespeople submit monthly forecasts to the President. The President makes sure that the sales people are focused on the business that makes sense for the company. In Quintek's initial phase this is a balance between large multi-year contracts and small "one-off" jobs. The President makes sure that the sales people are maximizing Quintek's vertical expertise in healthcare and mortgage clients. The President is responsible for making sure that Gross Profit margins are being maintained.

The President submits monthly forecasts to the CEO. The CEO submits monthly forecasts of upcoming capital expenditure requirements to the CFO.

### Sustaining a Competitive Advantage

High margins in this industry can be delivered by low employee turnover. Quintek's Employee Incentive Program (EIP) enables Quintek to deliver quality service at less cost than its competitors and provides incentive to its

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

employees to be as productive as possible and to stay at Quintek. Quintek's job costing process and technology enables the Company to measure and reward performance on a quarterly basis. In this way we can pay bonuses to production staff based who exceed productivity targets. Since management believes that Quintek's market value will appreciate, the hourly worker's ability to accumulate stock in this manner can be a powerful incentive to deliver performance and stay with the Company.

### Verticals

Quintek is targeting three vertical markets, Outsourced Mortgage Processing, Outsourced Healthcare Claims Processing and Outsourced Accounts Payable Processing.

11

### Outsourced Mortgage Processing

Data accessibility and storage is a challenging operation for today's mortgage firm to handle efficiently. Lenders spend billions of dollars a year on processing account data, evaluating valuable financial information, and storing data records. Quintek's response to this operations challenge is to provide BPO solutions to clients, accurately and economically.

Quintek's imaging and outsourcing methodology provides data entry, document scanning, mailing operations, and media to media conversions to financial institutions at a fraction of their current operating costs. By lowering the cost to process the average loan through Quintek's services, millions could be saved annually by institutional lenders, thus increasing overall revenue.

Management believes that Quintek's addressable national market for outsourced mortgage processing is between \$5 billion and \$10 billion. A significant percentage of ACS (NYSE:ACS), SourceCorp (NASDAQ:SRCP) and EDS (NYSE:EDS) revenue comes from outsourced mortgage processing. Management believes that Quintek will continue to win new business in this vertical even if interest rates go up due to the increased need to deliver cost savings.

### Outsourced Healthcare Claims Processing

Management believes that Quintek's addressable national market for outsourced healthcare claims processing is in excess of \$10 billion. Management believes that there are no foreseeable market trends that could reduce demand for these services in the foreseeable future.

### Sales Partnerships

#### FedEx Kinko's

On June 1, 2004, Quintek signed a sales agreement with FedEx Kinko's, a division of FedEx Corp. (NYSE:FDX). FedEx Kinko's selected Quintek Services, Inc. (QSI), as their preferred Document Imaging & Document Scanning partner for Kinko's Western Region. The partnership also allows the two companies to offer back office outsourcing arrangements to deliver accuracy and efficiency. Quintek's services are a value added upsell to the Kinko's core LOB (Line of Business). The joint business development strategy will primarily focus on active document digitizing as well as back-file conversions in the insurance, healthcare, government and financial market space. This partnership provides clients a "single source" partner by combining back office outsourcing solutions, professional services and document conversion services.

FedEx Kinko's sales team is reselling the following Quintek services; (1) High

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Speed Scanning at Client Site or QSI Production Center, (2) Domestic/Offshore Data Entry, OCR, and Indexing, (3) ASP Hosting of Scanned Images, (4) In House Imaging Solutions (Hardware, Software, and Services), (5) Mailroom Outsourcing (Inbound).

The next steps to move this relationship forward are training Kinko's sales team and expanding Quintek's footprint to partner with Kinko's nationally.

### Single Source Partners (SSP)

On April 26, 2004, Quintek signed an agreement with Single Source Partners (SSP). Single Source Partners is a provider of mortgage solutions located in Newport Beach, CA that supplies Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) services in the mortgage industry. SSP is paid by financial institutions to pre-qualify vendors and service providers. SSP also receives a finder's fee from Quintek in the event that Quintek closes a sale with a referral from SSP. SSP and Quintek have identified and are pursuing more than \$60 million in potential business from SSP's client companies.

12

SSP provides small and midsize mortgage companies with negotiated volume pricing and special service level agreements. SSP maintains a "Best in Class" vendor team for their clients. Single Source Partners receives a portion of the revenue that Quintek bills from a referred client. More information on Single Source Partners is available at [www.singlesourcepartners.com](http://www.singlesourcepartners.com). The next steps to move this relationship forward are training at Single Source Partners and expanding Quintek's footprint to be positioned to serve SSP's customers nationally.

### GCAP Services, Inc. (GCAP)

GCAP is a management consulting firm headquartered in Irvine, CA that provides Business Process and Systems Redesign solutions to the Federal, State and Local Government sector. Together, Quintek and GCAP provide a total end-to-end solution in document management conversions for government clients.

GCAP provides executive, strategic and tactical management consulting services. They assist Federal government and public agencies to meet compliance requirements by the design and implementation of business systems and the establishment of new or streamlined procedures.

A partial list of client that GCAP Services may be able to introduce to Quintek includes County of Orange-California, Judicial Council of California - Administrative Office of the Courts, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Los Angeles City Community Redevelopment Agency, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, United States Navy - Naval Facility Engineering Command. More information about GCAP Services can be found at [www.gcapservices.com](http://www.gcapservices.com). The next steps to move this relationship forward are training at GCAP and expanding Quintek's footprint to be positioned to serve GCAP's customers nationally.

### Iron Mountain

On May 4, 2004, Quintek signed an agreement to become a Certified Imaging Partner with Iron Mountain, a leading provider of Web based document hosting solutions, to provide QSI clients the efficient and immediate capability of viewing business critical documents online.

Iron Mountain Incorporated (NYSE:IRM) provides outsourced records and information management services and has a market capitalization of \$4 billion with 2003 revenues of \$1.5 billion. The company services more than 200,000 customer accounts throughout the United States, Canada, Europe and Latin

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

America. Iron Mountain offers records management services for both physical and digital media, disaster recovery support services, and consulting.

This agreement with Iron Mountain primarily offers the Iron Mountain salesforce Quintek's BPO services while enabling Quintek to resell web-based document hosting ASP services, which provide Quintek's clients the efficient and immediate capability of viewing business critical documents on line.

The next step with Iron Mountain is to convince their senior management to select QSI as the preferred imaging partner in Southern California. Management believes that additional investment is necessary to expand the capabilities at our Huntington Beach facility before this relationship can move forward.

### Examples of Customer Contracts

The scope of work for BPO (Business Process Outsourcing) contracts ranges from basic data entry (Level One BPO) to outsourcing an entire department (Level Five BPO). Quintek focuses on Level Two and Level Three. Level Three BPO is higher margin business because it requires complex data capture from multi-page forms done by operators trained in the vertical who are able to analyze data.

13

### Mortgage Processing

Mortgage companies may outsource their document process to one or more vendors.

Financial institutions manage documents using Enterprise Content Management (ECM) systems such as OnBase, Documentum or FileNet. These are very large enterprise wide networks utilizing web browser interfaces that allow people all over the world to access and interact with document based repositories in up to 20 language. For example, a large financial services company might have an ECM system that can support a world-wide staff of 12,000 employees who need to access 30,000,000 pages of data in Adobe .pdf format.

The scope of work for a Mortgage Processing contract will usually include Quintek receiving paper documents and delivering these documents directly into the customer's Enterprise Content Management (ECM) system. Quintek must provide the transportation and secure facility for processing the documents, the trained staff for processing the documents, the expertise to index, scan and categorize the documents, the expertise to re-assemble the original documents in their original order and the expertise to upload the documents and the indexing into the customer's ECM system. This often has to be done in less than 24 hours from receipt of the document.

These ECM systems require constant, large scale document processing to input and upload new paper documents. Mortgage companies that decide to outsource send out Requests for Proposals (RFP's). The job of the Quintek sales team is to identify prospects before they have reached the RFP stage and to receive and respond to these RFP's. Quintek prices these jobs by the committed image. A committed image is an image that has been uploaded into the customer's database and written to their mass storage system.

### Healthcare Claims Processing

With mortgage documentation the focus is on having digital "copies" of the original loan documents all throughout the process. In processing healthcare claims, the focus is on extracting data from scanned documents into multi-user databases and paying or denying a claim.

Doctors and hospitals are referred to as "Healthcare Providers." Insurance



## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

companies are referred to as "Healthcare Payers." Healthcare Payers either maintain their own claim review and payment software or they purchase claim review and payment software as a service from software developers such as MedNet, an ASP (Application Service Provider). In order to review and pay claims, the data on the paper claim has to be extracted and converted into an electronic format. Quintek provides the service of receiving claims in paper form via mail or fax and returning them as digital images with the corresponding data which may sent directly to an ASP for viewing and processing.

Quintek prices these jobs by the scanned image and by the number of claims keyed. Quintek scans the original bill, which results in a digital image saved in electronic format. Quintek sends the scanned images to an offshore partner who performs the Level Three data capture service. The healthcare payer pays Quintek for the entire service. Quintek resells the offshore providers services to the client. Quintek makes between 30% and 60% gross margin on reselling the Level Three BPO data capture service.

### Accounts Payable Processing

Most large companies can save money by contracting Quintek to outsource back-office functions such as processing their accounts payable. In processing and paying invoices, the focus is on quickly approving payments by extracting data from scanned documents and loading into global, enterprise-wide databases to support decision making such as paying or declining an invoice.

14

Customers often install and maintain their own Payment Processing Workflow software to review, pay or decline invoices. In order to review and process invoices with such software, the data on the paper invoices has to be scanned, captured and loaded into the client's database or web-based ASP provided by Quintek. Customers outsource this scanning, capturing and loading to Quintek.

Quintek prices these jobs by the scanned image and by the number of data elements keyed. Quintek scans the original invoice, which results in a digital image saved in electronic format as a TIFF or PDF file. Quintek electronically sends the scanned images to our offshore partner who performs the Level Three data entry BPO service. The customer pays Quintek for the entire service. Quintek resells the offshore providers services to the client. Quintek makes between 30% and 60% gross margin on reselling the Level Two BPO data entry service.

### Sales Incentive Plan

Quintek's sales compensation plan is designed for senior salespeople who are experienced and are paid a base salary and a sales commission commensurate with that experience. For a new salesperson in a new territory, billed sales quotas are \$500,000 in year one, \$800,000 in year two and \$1.2 million in year three. Quintek sales people receive a base salary of \$75,000. Quintek sales people are compensated based on the Gross Profit of the sale. Sales people receive no commission for jobs sold at less than 20% Gross Profit. Sales commission scale from 4% to 7.5% as Gross Profit goes from 20% to greater than 40%. Salespeople receive a stock option bonus for meeting specific revenue goals. Details on stock option compensation are outlined in the Appendices.

### Execution Plan

Currently, Quintek has one office in Huntington Beach and one region, Southern California. We have seven full-time employees in Huntington Beach, a Senior Salesperson, a Project Manager, a Production Manager, an Administrative Assistant and the executive team of CEO, President and CFO.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

We have a 7,000 sq. ft. facility that has 3,000 sq. ft. of production space. In its current configuration, Quintek's Huntington Beach facility could process 13,200,000 documents a month.

Our business plan calls for us to expand to profitability within three years with thirty-five salespeople organized into four regions totaling twenty such offices nationwide. To accomplish this goal, we have identified ten key milestones that need to be accomplished.

### Managing Profitability

Production Managers will be compensated based on successfully raising profitability.

### Template engagement team

During Quintek's initial expansion, each region will need to have three key personnel.

**Production Manager.** Production Manager is responsible for delivering services to the customer. This includes hiring and supervising production staff and bringing projects in under budget.

**Project Manager.** Project Manager is responsible for supporting the pre-sales effort involving the development of proposals. Project Manager is responsible for post sales support, customer relations and coordinating the initial implementation of new business. Project Manager is responsible for working with Finance to order equipment based on the day-to-day needs of the project.

15

**Senior Sales Representative.** The Senior Sales Representative is responsible for identifying sales prospects, closing sales and is the customer's main contact during implementation.

### Leveraging Temporary Labor

Quintek's business model relies heavily on the use of temporary labor. Volume of business can vary dramatically from week to week. Profitability is based on not having any more full-time staff than is necessary.

### Production Staff Incentive plan

Quintek's Operator Incentive Program (OIP) enables Quintek to deliver quality at less cost than its competitors and provides incentives to the Company's employees to be as productive as possible and to stay at Quintek.

### Maintaining Margins

We plan to minimize layers of management as we grow and reward employees for cost savings. Quintek will cultivate a culture of saving money. Managers will be empowered to award stock options to employees who help save money.

### Offshore Partners

Quintek currently has deal-by-deal relationships with offshore BPO providers. Management believes that there is no compelling business reason to seek or offer an exclusive relationship with an offshore BPO provider. There are many skilled offshore providers. Bob Brownell, Quintek President, has been to Chennai, India and toured facilities and developed face-to-face relationships with BPO partners that are brought in on a deal-by-deal basis.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

### Customer Solution Partnerships

Management experience has shown that to sell and deliver a complete BPO solution to a customer, a company must engage a partner or multiple partners during some part of the process of delivering the service. Management has found that profitability in the BPO business comes from specialization. Management believes that the larger companies that attempt to provide all aspects of the BPO solution internally can not compete with Companies like Quintek that offer multi-vendor solutions. Just like Information Technology hardware and software became unbundled into multi-vendor solutions in the 1980's and 1990's, the trend in outsourcing is towards multi-vendor solutions. Quintek has established true partnerships with industry leaders, where we provide specialty services that they do not provide and vice versa, with revenue sharing going both ways.

### FedEx Kinko's

On June 1, 2004, Quintek signed a sales agreement with FedEx Kinko's, a division of FedEx Corp. (NYSE:FDX). FedEx Kinko's selected Quintek Services, Inc. (QSI), as their preferred Document Imaging & Document Scanning partner for Kinko's Western Region. The partnership also allows the two companies to offer back office outsourcing arrangements to deliver accuracy and efficiency. The joint business development strategy is primarily focused on active document digitizing as well as back-file conversions in the insurance, healthcare, government and financial market space. This partnership provides clients a "single source" partner by combining back office outsourcing solutions, professional services and document conversion services.

We do not risk losing accounts to FedEx Kinko's because FedEx Kinko's does not sell or market competing services

16

FedEx Kinko's sales team is reselling the following Quintek services: (1) High Speed Scanning at Client Site or QSI Production Center, (2) Domestic/Offshore Data Entry, OCR, and Indexing, (3) ASP Hosting of Scanned Images, (4) In-House Imaging Solutions (Hardware, Software, and Services) and (5) Mailroom Outsourcing of Inbound mail.

### Single Source Partners (SSP)

Single Source Partners is a provider of mortgage solutions located in Newport Beach, CA that supplies Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) services in the mortgage industry. SSP provides small and midsize mortgage companies with negotiated volume pricing and special service level agreements. SSP maintains a "Best in Class" vendor team for their clients. Single Source Partners receives a portion of the revenue that Quintek bills from a referred client. More information on Single Source Partners is available at [www.singlesourcepartners.com](http://www.singlesourcepartners.com).

### GCAP Services, Inc. (GCAP)

GCAP is a management consulting firm headquartered in Irvine, CA that provides Business Process and Systems Redesign solutions to the Federal, State and Local Government sector. Together, Quintek and GCAP provide a total end-to-end solution in document management conversions for government clients. GCAP provides executive, strategic and tactical management consulting services. They assist Federal government and public agencies to meet compliance requirements by the design and implementation of business systems and the establishment of new or streamlined procedures. More information about GCAP Services can be found at [www.gcap-services.com](http://www.gcap-services.com).

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

### Iron Mountain

On May 4, 2004, Quintek signed an agreement to become a Certified Imaging Partner with Iron Mountain. This agreement with Iron Mountain enables Quintek to resell web-based document hosting ASP services, which provides Quintek's clients the efficient and immediate capability of viewing business critical documents on line.

Iron Mountain Incorporated (NYSE:IRM) provides outsourced records and information management services and has a market capitalization of \$4 billion with 2003 revenues of \$1.5 billion. The company services more than 200,000 customer accounts throughout the United States, Canada, Europe and Latin America. Iron Mountain offers records management services for both physical and digital media, disaster recovery support services, and consulting.

We do not risk losing accounts to Iron Mountain because Iron Mountain does not sell or market competing services.

This relationship with Iron Mountain helps Quintek close sales because through Iron Mountain, Quintek can deliver fast online access to data and images, combining multiple file types on one platform, SAS70 - DOD - HIPAA compliant facility, workflow-enabled, completely secure and quick to implement. Through Iron Mountain, Quintek is providing its clients a system that is fully mirrored and load-balanced with auto-failover capability ensuring access and availability.

### Template office

Quintek plans to open four major market BPO facilities and sixteen standard BPO facilities in secondary markets.

17

### Chemical-Free Microfilm (CFM) Business Unit

#### The Film-based Imaging Market

The film-based imaging market segment is the business associated with microfilm cameras, film stock, chemicals, readers, printers, and associated services. This is a mature, established market that has been redefined by new digital imaging technologies over the last decade.

#### Trends In the Film-based Imaging Market

In June 2000, the Archivist of the United States, John W. Carlin, announced that scanned image files would be used instead of microfilm to preserve individual responses to the 2000 Census. In a landmark decision, the Census Bureau reversed itself and decided to continue using microfilm. The reasons cited for staying with microfilm were ongoing expense, the risk of losing critical data and the advantage of a human readable format with a potential lifespan of 500 years. Digital archives represent an ongoing expense because they require constant expensive migration as digital standards, operating systems and media change. During a migration, there is additional risk of losing critical data. Microfilm is "future-proof" because it is human readable and certified by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) to have a 500 plus year lifespan.

For archive documents, film-based media remain superior. Film-based archival is less expensive than digital methods and has a storage life of between 100 and 1000 years. Film-based archival already has a massive installed base of billions of documents accumulated over more than 50 years of use.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

By enabling the creation of microfilm directly from digital files, Quintek products marry modern digital technologies to the proven best-practice of microfilm archival.

### The Document Lifecycle

Digital document management technology is well suited for active documents that require high-availability. For archive documents, digital imaging or document management systems have been shown to be costly and unreliable as a long term solution.

When the document is created, activity is high -- it is being used actively to make decisions. Issues during this stage include ease and speed of access, and the ability to share documents across networks. At some point, activity drops sharply and the document enters a phase when it must be kept, sometimes for many years, when activity is very low; this is the archival stage.

The issues at the archival stage are entirely different and relate to safe, long term storage. Because the volumes are invariably high during this phase both space and cost are major issues. Microfilm is the only proven, permanent document storage media.

The Company believes that the future of this marketplace is in hybrid systems which bridge the gap between these two technologies.

### The Aperture Card Market

The segment of the film-based imaging market that addresses large format engineering drawings is called the aperture card market. The aperture card market is made up of hardware and software manufacturers, distributors, maintenance service providers and media and consumables manufacturers. Aperture Cards are produced by many Departments of Transportation, City and County Plan and Permit offices, Power Companies, Federal Agencies such as the Bureau of Land Management. Aerospace companies are required by the Department of Defense to submit documents in Aperture Card format. U.S. Navy ships and submarines maintain their engineering drawings in Aperture Card format.

18

### Wet vs. Dry Silver Film - Strategic Advantage

Quintek's products use "Dry Silver" film which requires no chemicals. Older technologies are based on "Wet Silver" film which requires the cost and inconvenience of dealing with hazardous chemicals. Management believes that once the need for chemicals is removed, the advantages of film-based imaging will drive sales. We also believe that creating awareness of a modern, desktop device that outputs digital drawings to microfilm with no chemicals will drive sales.

The Company believes that there is a large installed base of Wet Silver technology that is used in "print rooms." A print room is a dedicated area that has the specialized film-processing and aperture card assembly areas and the subsequent ventilation and hazardous materials handling equipment. The Quintek product eliminates the need for such print rooms and their on-going expense.

### Product Overview

#### Products and Services

Quintek's principal product is the Q4400 Desktop Aperture Card Printer. The Q4400 is used by engineering departments to print directly to Aperture Cards from digital files in a single step without using chemicals for film development. Our solution provides a stream of revenues from the following:

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Q4400 Aperture Card Printer and upgrades to that system, Quinplot software, maintenance and blank aperture card media

The Q4400 system is comprised of Quintek's QUINPLOT Software Package and the Q4400 Aperture Card Printer. The QUINPLOT Software and Q4400 Aperture Card Printer operate together to provide a complete system for producing Aperture Cards.

The QUINPLOT Software operates on a standard PC platform under Windows 2000, or XP and functions to interface the user system and operator with the Q4400 printer. The QUINPLOT operates across the customer's network similar to any laser printer and is compatible with all standard vector and raster file formats. The QUINPLOT Software can be used to control and monitor a number of related functions, including; image queuing, graphics workstation (image view/mark-up/edit), password protection, drawing release, index data formats, index data entry and all aspects of the aperture card production cycle. The QUINPLOT Software is designed to easily adapt to the client's existing workflow, indexing methods and file formats.

The Q4400 is packaged in a small, self contained, table-top box which fits well into office, reprographics, laboratory and CAD/CAM work environments. The Q4400 Printer uses a low power laser to record images directly on Dry Silver film, which is premounted on blank aperture card media and packaged in light-tight cassettes. The film is developed using a patented heat process and therefore requires no chemicals and emits no toxins into the atmosphere. The card is automatically labeled using an internal print/punch module. The Q4400 is completely automatic, extremely reliable and can operate unattended until the blank aperture card media is depleted.

### Cost Savings

When comparing the Q4400 to alternative aperture card production methods, the Q4400 saves the customer money in terms of reducing the following: labor cost, downtime, hard cost (material), floor space and maintenance cost. Furthermore, there are no employee health hazards from chemical fumes and spills, no liability from employee exposure to chemicals/fumes and the added benefit of a faster "time to market".

19

When comparing the Q4400 to external service bureaus, customers save money in terms of reduced material cost (paper, toner, etc.) and labor cost (handling paper, packaging, shipping, etc). There are no service bureau fees, a shorter lead time and improved security (confidential drawings can be done in-house).

When using direct output to Aperture Card, the user is expected to gain substantial cost savings in many areas. For some users, the security issues related to having immediate on site drawing conversion completed in a secured area with limited access may provide a great deal of value unrelated to volume. Also, the efficiency gained by eliminating the bottleneck associated with service bureaus and conventional conversion results in a faster "time to market" and greater efficiencies for the resultant products, improving ones competitive position.

### Useful Features/Benefits

The following provides a list of features of the Q4400 system: direct conversion to aperture card (i.e. no requirement for paper plots) chemical-free operation, fully automatic operation, compatible with a wide variety of network configurations, compatible with a wide variety of image & text file formats, interface can be customized to address the customer's unique application and a

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

compact modular design.

The following provides a list of benefits of the Q4400 system: provides a dramatic improvement in efficiency (drawing release & distribution, design review process, check print and a back-up of digital data base), reduced "time to market" improves user's competitive posture and it eliminates health risk from employee's exposure to chemicals and fumes.

### Patents and Trademarks

The Q4400 system is protected under the following patents and trademarks:

#### US Patents

Patent Number -----	Expiration Date -----	Name/Description -----
4,794,224	04/09/07	Dry Film Developer for an Aperture Card Printer
4,818,950	04/24/07	Low Jitter Phase-Locked Loop (internal circuit board)
4,841,343	03/25/08	Dry Film Development Process for an Aperture Card Printer

#### Trademarks

"Quintek" (Logo design), the mark, was registered on the Patent and Trademark Office principal register as U.S. registration No. 2,604,712 on August 6, 2002.

#### Sales and Marketing

Quintek's CFM Business Unit is primarily engaged in the service and maintenance of the installed base of Q4300 and Q4400 Aperture Card Printers. The company sells maintenance contracts on the installed base of Q43/44XX printers for \$10,200. The Company sells upgrades to upgrade the installed base of printers to Windows XP/2000 for \$5000.00. The Company sells extended software features to the Q43/44XX printers for \$5,000.

20

Quintek has determined that in order to grow sales, a redesign of the Q43/44XX printer is required. New management determined that this investment would be at least \$250,000. New management has decided that it is in the best interests of Quintek's shareholders to wait until the new QSI services division is profitable before proceeding with investment in the Chemical-Free Microfilm technology.

#### Manufacturing

Prior to March 2003, Quintek was dependent upon Kitron / Bofos for manufacturing the 4305 Printer. Since March, 2003, all 4305 printers have been made in Quintek's Idaho facility. As of this writing, Quintek has been unable to obtain the tooling for the 43/44XX printers from Kitron. Kitron believe it is owed \$100,000 and will not return Quintek's property. Quintek disputes this debt.

Quintek's supplier of replacement ribbons has discontinued that line of business. Quintek is not currently in possession of tooling for manufacturing new ribbons. Quintek has determined that setting up new tooling for ribbons would cost at least \$20,000.

The Company believes that the Montpelier, Idaho facility will be able to meet current demand for its products and services. Quintek has been working with a variety of suppliers for Q4305 and other parts. These suppliers are located

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

throughout the United States. The Company believes that this will help to insure stability and reduce risk through the lack of reliance upon any single suppliers.

### Competition

The Company believes that it is the only manufacturer of a chemical-free desktop aperture card printer. There are other aperture card printing devices available. Both of the competitive devices the Company is aware of, are manufactured outside of the United States. Microbox AG, based in Germany has sold a wet CADMIC Aperture Card Plotter. Quintek believes that this product has been on the market for the past decade. This product is a large unit that weighs roughly 772 pounds. It requires the constant replenishment and disposal of hazardous chemicals, has specialized ventilation, plumbing and electrical requirements, and is labor intensive to operate. Quintek was recently made aware of a Dry CADMIC Plotter being produced by Microbox. Quintek believes that this is also a large unit that has specialized electrical requirements, is labor intensive to operate and not nearly as versatile as Quintek's Q4400. To the Company's knowledge, the Dry CADMIC Plotter produced by Microbox is currently sold in the United States by only one distributor.

Wicks and Wilson, based in the United Kingdom, also manufactures an aperture card plotter. Their product is similar in size to the Q4400. It requires the constant replenishment and disposal of hazardous chemicals, has specialized ventilation, plumbing and electrical requirements, and is labor intensive to operate. To the Company's knowledge, Wicks and Wilson has only one distributor in the United States.

### ITEM 2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

On July 31, 2004, we terminated our lease at 537 Constitution Avenue, Suite B, Camarillo, California.

We lease 7,090 square feet for our executive and QSI sales and production offices at 17951 Lyons Circle, California. The lease expires on June 30, 2008, with an option to extend it for four years. Our current monthly lease rate on this facility is \$7485.72

We rent, on a month-to-month basis, 1,800 square feet of office and warehouse space at 720 N. 4th Street, Montpelier, Idaho, to manufacture our products and service our customers. Our current monthly rent is \$1,384.

21

### ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On April 16, 2004, Decision One Corporation filed suit in the County of Bannock, Idaho against us for \$22,661.56 for goods provided. Since 2000, Decision One (formerly Imation) has been both a vendor to Quintek and a reseller of Quintek's Q4300 Printers. Quintek filed a counterclaim on August 1, 2004. We assert that Decision One used its authority as a dealer of our product to disparage us, in violation of its dealer agreement with us, and we seek relief for the hundreds of thousands of dollars in business lost because of it.

### ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on June 30, 2004.

As of the close of business May 14, 2004, the record date of this meeting, the total number of shares outstanding and entitled to vote were 48,884,994. The number of shares represented at this meeting was 41,055,287, which was 84.22% of



## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

total shares outstanding. Votes were cast on the following matters.

1. Robert Steele and Andrew Haag were elected directors of the company to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are elected and qualified; 40,720,947 voted for Mr. Steele, 224,061 voted against and 110,279 abstained. 40,870,447 voted for Mr. Haag, 74,561 voted against and 110,279 abstained.
2. Kabani & Co., Certified Public Accountants, was appointed as the independent auditors of the Company, having received the required percentage of votes; 40,853,202 voted for, 75,207 voted against and 126,878 abstained.
3. The proposal to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock to 200,000,000, and to increase the number of authorized shares of Preferred Stock to 50,000,000, received the required percentage of votes; 16,584,420 voted for, 1,951,087 voted against, 118,534 abstained and there were 21,953,246 broker non-votes.
4. The proposal to authorize the Board of Directors to divide the Preferred Stock into any number of classes or series, fix the designation and number of shares of each such series or class, and alter or determine the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of each class or series of Preferred Stock not yet issued received the required percentage of votes; 16,004,656 voted for, 2,380,911 voted against, 268,474 abstained and there were 21,953,246 broker non-votes.
5. The proposal to authorize a quorum for any shareholder meeting to be at least one third of the shares entitled to vote received the required percentage of votes; 16,042,260 voted for, 995,861 voted against, 1,615,920 abstained and there were 21,953,246 broker non-votes.
6. The proposal to adopt the Quintek Technologies, Inc. 2004 Stock Option Plan received the required percentage of votes; 16,609,465 voted for, 1,259,551 voted against, 785,025 abstained and there were 21,953,246 broker non-votes.

22

### ITEM 5 MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

#### 5.1 Market for Common Stock

Since January 14, 1999, our common stock has traded on the OTC Bulletin Board (symbol "QTEK"). On September 30, 2004, there were 495 listed shareholders of record and 2365 non-objecting owners of the stock. The high and low bid prices per share for our stock for each quarter commencing July 1, 2001 and ending June 30, 2004 are listed below. The high and low bid prices per share for our stock for the quarter ending September 30, 2004 were \$0.21 and \$0.12, respectively. This information was obtained from Media General Financial Services and Reuters.

	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
FY2002	\$0.11	\$0.05	\$0.39	\$0.05	\$0.50	\$0.09	\$0.47	\$0.07
FY2003	\$0.14	\$0.03	\$0.13	\$0.02	\$0.07	\$0.03	\$0.19	\$0.03
FY2004	\$0.17	\$0.09	\$0.19	\$0.10	\$0.26	\$0.13	\$0.20	\$0.12

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KS/A

The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

### 5.2 Dividends

We presently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to provide funds for use in the operation and expansion of our business. Accordingly, we have not declared or paid any dividends to our common shareholders and do not presently intend to do so. Any future decision whether to pay dividends will depend on our financial condition and any other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

### 5.3 Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer entered into employment agreements on January 31, 2003 with the Company which grants each of them the right to earn substantial equity compensation from the Company. Pursuant to the employment agreements, the Company has agreed to sell to each of them stock (or grant to each rights to purchase additional shares of common stock) at \$0.03 per share such that, including all options or shares previously issued to or purchased by each of them, each would own, in the aggregate, shares of common stock or rights to purchase shares of common stock representing ten percent (10%) of the current outstanding common stock of Quintek, on a fully-diluted basis after taking into account the issuance of such additional shares to each officer and assuming the issuance of all other shares subject to currently outstanding options or warrants. The employment agreements state that the agreement(s) specifically granting the shares to be purchased by the officers will have, at minimum, new termination and repurchase provisions, with the termination provisions to be consistent with new termination and repurchase provisions set forth in this Agreement. The agreement(s) also will contain provisions providing the officers with pre-emptive rights to purchase additional shares of common stock under certain circumstances. Options to the two officers shall vest according to the following schedule: Right of each to purchase two and a half percent (2.5%) of outstanding common stock, upon the authorization of additional shares by the shareholders of Quintek; assuming that authorization of more shares is approved by the shareholders of the Company, options giving each of the officers the right to purchase an additional two and a half percent (2.5%) of outstanding common stock at the time of grant, will be granted upon the one (1) year anniversary of each officer's employment agreement for the following three (3) years. In the event of a sale of Quintek, termination of the officers' employment agreements by the Company, or any other event that may impede Quintek's ability to fulfill its obligations under the officers' employment agreements, all options will be immediately vest.

23

Our President entered into employment agreements on March 12, 2004 with the Company which grants him the right to earn substantial equity compensation from the Company. QUINTEK will sell him additional shares of common stock (or grant to him rights to purchase additional shares of common stock) in QUINTEK so that, including all options or shares previously issued to or purchased by him, he would own, in the aggregate, shares of common stock or rights to purchase shares of common stock representing five percent (5%) of the current outstanding common stock in QUINTEK on a fully-diluted basis after taking into account the issuance of such additional shares to him and assuming the issuance of all other shares subject to currently outstanding options or warrants. The purchase price for such shares (or the exercise price for such options) will be equal to seventy five percent (75%) of the five day closing average price of the Company's common stock immediately prior to the execution of this Agreement, but they have otherwise not as yet determined how such additional shares and/or options will be issued to him. It is contemplated that QUINTEK and Mr. Brownell will enter

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

into a separate agreement or agreements on these additional shares and/or options within 90 days of the date of this Agreement (or upon the authorization of additional shares by the Shareholders of Quintek.. Specifically, it is presently anticipated that the new stock agreement(s) will have, at minimum, new termination and repurchase provisions, with the termination provisions to be consistent with the termination provisions set forth in this Agreement. The agreement(s) also will contain provisions providing him with pre-emptive rights to purchase additional shares of common stock of QUINTEK under certain circumstances. Options shall vest according to the following schedule: Right to purchase 1.25% of outstanding common stock, upon the authorization of additional shares by the Shareholders of Quintek, assuming that authorization of more shares is approved by the shareholders of the Company, options giving him the right to purchase an additional 1.25% of outstanding common stock at the time of grant, will be granted to him upon the 1 year anniversary of this Agreement for the following three years. In the event of a sale of QUINTEK, or any other event that may impede QUINTEK's ability to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement, all options will immediately vest.

### 5.4 Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On April 26, 2004, Quintek issued a convertible note for \$100,000 in cash to an accredited investor. The note bears 10% simple interest and matures one year from the above date. The note converts into common stock at \$0.06 a share.

On June 7, 2004, Quintek issued a convertible note titled for \$100,000 in cash to an accredited investor. The note bears 10% simple interest and matures one year from the above date. The note converts into common stock at \$0.06 a share.

On November 26, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to an accredited investor to purchase 8,333,333 shares of Quintek common stock at an exercise price of \$0.06, expiring November 26, 2008, in consideration for \$500,000 investment in convertible notes.

On July 23, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to a stockholder to purchase 142,857 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.13, expiring July 23, 2006 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 1,428,572 shares of common stock. In additional consideration for the loan of stock from the lender, Quintek released the lender from a lock up on 285,714 shares of common stock.

On November 6, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to a stockholder to purchase 1,100,000 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.175, expiring November 6, 2008 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 1,100,000 shares of common stock.

On June 24, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to a stockholder to purchase 100,000 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.16, expiring June 24, 2006 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 1,000,000 shares of common stock.

24

On November 7, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to a stockholder to purchase 400,000 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.175, expiring November 7, 2008 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 400,000 shares of common stock.

On November 6, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to a stockholder to purchase 700,000 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.175, expiring November 6, 2008 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 700,000 shares of common stock.

Unless otherwise noted, the sales set forth above involved no underwriter's

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

discounts or commissions and are claimed to be exempt from registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 4 (2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering, the issuance and sale by the Company of shares of its common stock to financially sophisticated individuals who are fully aware of the Company's activities, as well as its business and financial condition, and who acquired said securities for investment purposes and understood the ramifications of same.

### ITEM 6 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our revenues totaled \$298,653 and \$388,888 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively, a decrease of \$90,235 (23%) in 2004 due primarily to changing the Company's sales focus to the services business.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, cost of revenue was \$184,964 and \$261,050 respectively, a decrease of \$76,086 (29%). Our cost of revenue for both periods consisted mostly of labor and production costs. Cost of revenue decreased in 2004 due to decreased revenues from changing the Company's sales focus to the services business.

Operating expenses totaled \$1,083,246 for the twelve-month period ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$822,273 for the prior twelve-month period. The \$260,973 (24%) increase in operating expenses is due primarily to investment in the new services business.

We incurred no acquisition expenses in the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

During the 12 month period ending June 30, 2004, we sold one (1) contract for document services, nineteen (19) customer service contracts, nine (9) network upgrades, one (1) unit of QuinPlot software, and 154,000 aperture cards. We expect to continue generating sales revenue from these products in the future.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Total Assets equaled \$147,275 and \$214,006 respectively, a decrease of \$66,731 (31%) primarily due to a decrease in accounts receivable, intangible assets and investments.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Total Current Liabilities equaled \$2,177,580 and \$1,458,364, an increase of \$719,216 (49%) primarily due to financing to grow the business. Quintek executed a financing agreement for a total of \$500,000 in convertible notes to KMVI.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Accounts payable and accrued expenses equaled \$438,826 and \$316,924 (the sum of \$116,609 and \$200,315), respectively, an increase of \$121,902 (38%) primarily due to accrued legal expenses, leases purchases and office relocation costs to facilitate new revenue streams.

25

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Loans payable from stockholders equaled \$244,056 and \$32,300 respectively, an increase of \$211,756 (650%) primarily due to stockholders loaning free trading shares to the Company to facilitate financing to move the business forward.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Factoring payable equaled \$43,230 and \$146,890, respectively, a decrease of \$103,660 (70%) due to the Company making these payments.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

We are currently in default on two outstanding promissory notes totaling \$62,495. Interest continues to accrue against the principal. The notes are unsecured. The holders of the bonds that are in default have indicated that they do not want to convert their debt to stock and wish to be repaid in cash. At present we do not have the funds to repay the indebtedness. We do not know whether we will be able to repay or renegotiate this debt. If we are unable to cure the default or renegotiate our debt, we may not be able to continue as a going concern.

We owe \$97,000 in payroll withholding taxes that are past due.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically financed operations from the sale of common stock and the conversion of common stock warrants. At June 30, 2004, we had cash on hand of \$15,600 and working capital of \$(2,127,979) as compared to cash on hand of \$21,162 and working capital of \$(1,351,096) at year-end, June 30, 2003.

Net cash used in operating activities of \$ (595,947) for the twelve months ended June 30, 2004, is attributable primarily to a net loss of \$(998,531) increases in Accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$119,040, increases in Payroll and payroll taxes payable of \$51,023, Depreciation and amortization of \$50,730, a decrease in Accounts receivable of \$44,382 and an increase in deferred revenue of \$46,006.

There was no Net cash provided by investing activities for the twelve months ending June 30, 2004.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$590,385 for the twelve months ending June 30, 2004. The increase is primarily attributable to proceeds from notes of \$500,000, loans from stockholders of \$211,756 coupled with a net paydown of factoring payables of \$103,660.

### Subsequent Events

On August 4th, 2004 Quintek entered into an agreement with the private equity fund, Golden Gate Investors, based in San Francisco, CA, to provide Quintek with \$3.3 million in capital. This capital will allow Quintek to move forward and execute its growth plans.

The fund purchased a two year \$300,000 convertible note paying 5 3/4% interest and 3,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock for a period of three years at \$1.00. The "Conversion Price" of the note shall be equal to the lesser of (i) \$0.50, or (ii) 80% of the average of the 5 lowest volume weighted average prices during the 20 trading days prior to holder's election to convert, or (iii) 80% of the volume weighted average price on the trading day prior to holder's election to. Upon conversion of the note, the fund is obligated to simultaneously exercise the \$1.00 warrants, thereby providing added funding to the Company. The warrants must be exercised concurrently with the conversion of the note in an amount equal to ten times the dollar amount of the note conversion.

26

On August 17th, 2004 the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with an individual investor that purchased two (2) convertible debentures, each for one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) paying ten percent (10%) interest. For each conversion share, the investor received one common stock purchase warrant. The initial debenture was purchased at closing. The second debenture will be purchased within five days of a registration statement being declared effective covering the conversion shares and shares underlying the warrants. Debentures are convertible into the common stock of the Company. The "Conversion Price" per share shall be the lower of (i) \$0.10 or (ii) in the event the

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Company enters into an agreement subsequent to execution of the Securities Purchase Agreement to sell common stock or a convertible instrument that converts into common stock prior to conversion of a debenture at a price less than the Conversion Price of the debenture, then the Conversion Price of the debenture shall be immediately reset to a lower Conversion Price equal to that described in the subsequent agreement. Warrants are exercisable at \$0.12 into one share of common stock of the Company and expire on August 17, 2007.

On July 29th, 2004 Quintek entered into an agreement with Langley Park Investments PLC, a London investment company, to issue 14,000,000 shares of Quintek common stock to Langley in return for 1,145,595 common shares of Langley. Quintek issued these shares of common stock to Langley Park Investments PLC on August 27, 2004. Fifty percent of Langley shares issued to Quintek under this agreement are to be held in escrow for two years. At the end of two years, if the market price for Quintek common stock is at or greater than the Initial Closing Price (\$0.139), escrow agent will release the full amount. In the event that the market price for Quintek common stock is less than the Initial Closing Price the amount released will be adjusted. Langley's initial offering price is 1(pound) (One Pound UK) per share. Quintek shares are to be held by Langley for a period of at least two years. Langley shares issued to Quintek are to be free trading. This transaction was completed on September 30, 2004 when Langley was approved for trading by the UK Listing Authority. Langley shares are expected to begin trading on October 7, 2004.

On July 27th, 2004 the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with an individual investor. This investor purchased a convertible debenture for fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) paying ten percent (10%) interest. This debenture was immediately converted into the Common Stock of the Company at a price of \$0.10 per share. For each conversion share, the investor received one common stock purchase warrant. Warrants are exercisable to purchase common Stock of the Company at \$0.15 per share and expire on July 27th, 2007.

On August 2, 2004, Quintek signed a Master Lease Agreement with Vencore Solutions, LLC, a venture leasing company located in Lake Oswego, Oregon. Under the agreement Quintek established a lease line of credit for up to \$240,000 in equipment financing. This is a capital lease whereby Quintek pays monthly rental rate equal to 3.45% of the total hardware purchases and 6.35% of the total software purchases. The lease term for hardware purchases is 36 months and 18 months for software. The effective annual interest rate is roughly 8%. Purchases made will be recognized both as an asset and as a liability (for the lease payments) on the balance sheet. The firm gets to claim depreciation each year on the asset and also deducts the interest expense component of the lease payment each year. At the end of the lease, Quintek has the option to purchase the equipment or return it. Vencore Solutions received a warrant to purchase 144,000 shares of Quintek common stock at \$0.10 per share, expiring on August 2, 2007.

We believe that the receipt of net proceeds from the sale of the common stock and the exercise of common stock warrants plus cash generated internally from sales will be sufficient to satisfy our future operations, working capital and other cash requirements for the remainder of the fiscal year. However, if we are unable to raise sufficient capital, we may need to sell certain assets, enter into new strategic partnerships, reorganize the company, or merge with another company to effectively maintain operations. Our audit for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 contains a going concern qualification.

27

### ITEM 7 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See pages F-1, et seq., included herein.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

### ITEM 8 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

On March 15, 2004, the Company received a letter dated March 8, 2004 from Heard, McElroy & Vestal ("Heard McElroy") resigning as the Company's independent public accountants. The decision to resign by Heard McElroy did not involve a dispute with the Company over accounting policies or practices. On March 24, 2004, the Company appointed Kabani & Company, Inc., Certified Public Accountants ("Kabani") as its new independent public accountants. The decision to retain Kabani was made by the Company's Board of Directors.

The reports of Heard McElroy on the Company's financial statements for each of the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles, except for the fact that Heard McElroy, in its report for the past two fiscal years, has included an opinion that, due to the Company's lack of revenue producing assets and history of losses, there is doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and through March 8, 2004, there were no disagreements with Heard McElroy on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements if not resolved to Heard McElroy's satisfaction, would have caused Heard McElroy to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its reports on the financial statements for such years, except for the fact that Heard McElroy, in its report for the past two fiscal years, has included an opinion that, due to the Company's lack of revenue producing assets and history of losses, there is doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and through March 15, 2004, there have been no reportable events (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

The Company has provided Heard McElroy with a copy of this Item 4 disclosure and has requested that Heard McElroy review such disclosures and provide a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission as specified by Item 304(a)(3) of Regulation S-K. Such letter is filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2004.

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the subsequent interim period up to March 8, 2004, the Company did not consult with Kabani regarding (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the registrant's financial statements, and either a written report was provided to the registrant or oral advice was provided that the new accountant concluded was an important factor considered by the registrant in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting; or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement or a reportable event, as described in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) and (a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

### ITEM 8A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (collectively, the "Certifying Officers") are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Company. Such officers have concluded (based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report) that the Company's disclosure controls and

procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

the Company in this report is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officers as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Certifying Officers also have indicated that there were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or other factors that could significantly affect such controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation, and there were no corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

### ITEM 8B OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

### ITEM 9 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

#### 9.1 Board of Directors and Executive Officers

Our board of directors consists of two members. Directors are elected at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders and serve until their successors have been elected and qualified. Officers are appointed by and serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

The following is a summary description of our current directors, executive officers and significant employees:

Robert Steele, Age 38, Chief Executive Officer and Director  
-----

Robert Steele has been the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chairman of the Board of Directors since January 30, 2003. For nine years, from 1988 through 1998, Mr. Steele served as Corporate Vice President & Chief Technology Officer for CADD Microsystems, Inc. (CMI), currently the leading provider of Autodesk Computer Aided Design software, consulting, training and integration services in the Washington, DC Metropolitan Area. During his time at CMI, the company grew from \$50,000 in annual sales to more than \$3,000,000. Mr. Steele sold and supervised significant systems integration contracts with clients such as Lucent Technologies, Long Airdox Mining (Division of the Fortune 500 Marmon Group), ABB, GSA (General Services Administration), FAA (Federal Aviation Administration) and NRO (National Reconnaissance Office). Mr. Steele received a Bachelor of Science in Electronic and Computer Engineering from George Mason University in 1988.

Robert Brownell, Age 49, President  
-----

Robert Brownell has been the Company's President since March 2004. Mr. Brownell, has nearly 25 years experience within the Document Management field. Mr. Brownell has more than 20 years experience selling outsourcing at the executive level. His experience ranges from building private companies from start-up to over \$16 million in annual revenues to managing operations and sales forces of public companies generating roughly \$50-\$500 million in annual revenues. Mr. Brownell has a degree in Business Administration and Marketing Management from Mount San Antonio College.

Mr. Brownell was Vice President, Worldwide Channel Sales for Document Control Solutions, Inc., of Fullerton, CA. Document Control Solutions is one of the nation's leading document management systems integrators with revenues of more than \$15 million dollars. Mr. Brownell directed all company sales and marketing, administrative infrastructure, project managers and sales engineers. He designed and implemented highly structured business plan which resulted in increased sales and profits beyond company expectations. He led the effort that doubled revenues over three consecutive years. He was personally presented the FileNET



## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Dealer of the Year award in 1997.

29

In the 1980's, Mr. Brownell was Branch Manager, TAB Products Company of Los Angeles, CA. TAB is a worldwide leader in records and information management systems for active documents. Mr. Brownell had profit and loss responsibility for Los Angeles office with a staff of thirty sales, administrative and service personnel. He grew sales from \$500,000 to \$5,000,000 over five years.

Andrew Haag, Age 37, Chief Executive Officer and Director  
-----

Andrew Haag has been the Company's Chief Financial Officer and a Director since January 31, 2003. Prior to that, from December 2002, he was employed by the Camelot Group, Inc., an investment banking firm, to assist its corporate clients on capital structure, the structure of PIPE transactions and the preparation of offering documents. From May 2001, Mr. Haag was employed by Aquasearch, Inc., a publicly held company, where he raised significant funds from private sources, advised its CEO on strategic business development issues and successfully negotiated several contracts to benefit the company. Mr. Haag assisted in drafting corporate business plan, terms of investment, press releases and other corporate documents. From November 1998 through April 2001 he was employed by Nutmeg Securities, Ltd., where he advised institutional and individual clientele on corporate offerings and equity trading, and performed corporate advisory work for both public and private companies. From June 1998 through October 1998 Mr. Haag was a Managing Director of Waldron & Co. Inc., an investment bank located in Irvine, CA.

From 1992 through 1998 he was employed by Auerbach, Pollak & Richardson, investment bankers, located in Stamford, CT and Beverly Hills, CA, rising to Managing Director, where he: assisted in the development of the firm, attracting and referring new hires and clients to all offices; developed a national and international client base for the firm that participated in a majority of the firm's corporate offerings; set up and managed road shows for firm's corporate clientele; attracted a wide variety of corporate clientele; assisted in the structuring and funding of offerings for corporate clientele; and increased visibility of the firm through networking of research and offerings. Mr. Haag attended the University of Maine and CUNY Hunter College.

### 9.2 Compensation of Directors

All of our directors are full time employees of Quintek. Because we have no independent directors, we pay no compensation to any directors for their service on the board over and above their employment compensation. There are no family relationships among the directors or executive officers.

### 9.3 Management Committees

We have not established compensation or executive committees. Currently, our entire board of directors serves as our audit committee. Because of the small size of the Company and the risk attendant to a small public company, we are currently unable to attract an audit committee financial expert to our Board of Directors.

### 9.4 Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our Common Stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock are required by Securities and Exchange Commission

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

regulations to furnish to us copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

30

To our knowledge, based solely upon review of the copies of such reports received or written representations from the reporting persons, we believe that during our 2004 fiscal year our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements with the exception of the following: Form 3 for Robert Brownell's appointment to the office of President of Quintek was filed on June 29, 2004, and should have been filed on March 22, 2004.

### 9.5 Code of Ethics

On June 10, 2003 our board of directors adopted a code of ethics, a copy of which was filed as an exhibit to our report on Form 10-KSB for fiscal year 2003, that applies to our principal executive and financial officers. We intend to file amendments, changes or waivers to the code of ethics as required by SEC rules.

### ITEM 10 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

None of our executive officers received a total annual salary and bonus of more than \$100,000 for the year ended June 30, 2004. The following table summarizes the yearly compensation of our past and current President for the three years ended June 30, 2004.

Name	Year	----- Annual Compensation -----		----- Long Term Compensation -----	
		Salary	Other Annual Compensation (3)	Restricted Stock Awards	Securities Underlying Options
Tom Sims President	FY2003	\$ 19,400	\$ 1,570	--	--
	FY2002	\$ 19,500	\$ 6,106	\$ 68,000 (4)	--
Robert Steele Chairman & CEO President	FY2004	\$ 72,000	--	--	--
	FY2003	\$30,000 (1)	\$ 2,500	--	--
Andrew Haag CFO	FY2004	\$ 72,000	--	--	--
	FY2003	\$30,000 (5)	--	--	--
Robert Brownell President	FY2004	\$37,500 (2)	--	--	--

#### Notes:

- 1) Represents compensation received by Steele while serving as our President and CEO from 2/1/03 to 6/30/03 2) Represents compensation received by Brownell while serving as our President from 3/12/04 to 6/30/04
- 3) These amounts represent the Company's payments to provide an automobile and health insurance for Mr. Sims and Mr. Steele.
- 4) This represents an issuance of 200,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$0.06 per share and 800,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$0.07 per share to or for the benefit of Tom Sims

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

- 5) Represents compensation received by Mr. Haag while serving as our CFO from 2/1/03 to 6/30/03.

31

Quintek did not grant any stock options or stock appreciation rights or Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards to its officers or employees, in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004 or June 30, 2003.

### ITEM 11 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth the ownership of our common stock, as of September 9, 2004 by our directors and significant shareholders. On September 9, 2004, 200,000,000 shares of our stock were authorized and approximately 70,068,566 shares of our common stock were outstanding. This information was given to us by the transfer agent and the numbers are based on definitions found in Rules 13d-3 and 13d-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Shareholder -----	Title -----	Shares -----
Officers, Directors and Key Employees		
Robert Steele, CEO and Chairman (1) (2)	CEO & Chairman	3,275,
Robert Brownell, President (3) (4)	President	861,
Andrew Haag (5) (6)	CFO, Director	3,275,
Kurt Kunz (7)	Founder / VP Engineering	3,825,
Key Investors		
Zubair Kazi	Investor	6,936,
Institutional Ownership		
Langley Park Investments PLC	Investor	14,000,
Golden Gate Investors (8)	Investor	3,000,
All directors and officers as a group (3 persons)		7,411,

- (1) Includes 2,275,297 options to purchase stock at \$.03 until September 8, 2009
- (2) Includes 1,000,000 Series A Preferred Stock convertible into common stock on a 1 for 1 basis
- (3) Includes 611,062 options to purchase stock at \$.10 until September 8, 2009
- (4) Includes 250,000 Series A Preferred Stock convertible into common stock on a 1 for 1 basis
- (5) Includes 2,275,297 options to purchase stock at \$.03 until September 8, 2009
- (6) Includes 1,000,000 Series A Preferred Stock convertible into common stock on a 1 for 1 basis
- (7) Includes 142,857 warrants to purchase common stock at \$.13 and 1,100,000 warrants to purchase common stock at \$.175.
- (8) Includes 3,000,000 options to purchase common stock at \$1.00 until August 2, 2007.
- (9) On September 30, 2004, Kazi Management VI, LLC (KMVI) held 2,437,072 shares and \$500,000 in notes convertible into common stock at \$0.06. Upon conversion of the notes, KMVI will receive 8,333,333 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock for each conversion share at \$0.06 exercisable within five years. KMVI does not have the right to convert any portion of the Note(s) or Warrant(s) that would cause KMVI to be deemed the beneficial owner of more than

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

nine point nine percent (9.9%) of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company. Therefore, as of September 30, 2004, KMVI was only in control of 6,936,788 shares.

- (10) As of September 28, 2004, Langley Park Investment's 14,000,000 shares of Quintek common stock were issued and held in escrow until fulfillment of conditions by Langley Park Investment pursuant to its July 29, 2004 agreement with Quintek .

32

### ITEM 12 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

On April 26, 2004, Quintek issued a convertible note for \$100,000 in cash to an accredited investor. The note bears 10% simple interest and matures one year from the above date. The note converts into common stock at \$0.06 a share.

On June 7, 2004, Quintek issued a convertible note titled for \$100,000 in cash to an accredited investor. The note bears 10% simple interest and matures one year from the above date. The note converts into common stock at \$0.06 a share.

On November 26, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to an accredited investor to purchase 8,333,333 shares of Quintek common stock at an exercise price \$0.06, expiring November 26, 2008, in consideration for \$500,000 investment in convertible notes.

On July 23, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to TJ&K Investment Trust, LLC to purchase 142,857 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.13, expiring July 23, 2006 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 1,428,572 shares of common stock. In additional consideration for the loan of stock from the lender, Quintek released the lender from a lock up on 285,714 shares of common stock.

On November 6, 2003, Quintek issued a warrant to TJ&K Investment Trust, LLC to purchase 1,100,000 shares of Quintek Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.175, expiring November 6, 2008 in consideration for a stock loan to Quintek of 1,100,000 shares of common stock.

### ITEM 13 EXHIBITS

- |          |  |
|----------|--|
| 2.1#     | Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Quintek Technologies, Inc., and Juniper Acquisition Corporation.                        |
| 3.1*     | Articles of Incorporation.   |
| 3.2*     | Bylaws.  |
| 4.1**    | Form of Irrevocable Proxy Granted to Chief Executive Officer dated January 30 or 31, 2003  |
| 10.4***  | Consulting Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Robert Steele dated December 16, 2002 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1) |
| 10.5***  | Consulting Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Zubair Kazi dated January 31, 2003 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2).   |
| 10.6***  | Warrant Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Zubair Kazi dated January 31, 2003 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3).      |
| 10.7**** | Purchase Order Financing Agreement dated June 2, 2003 between Kazi Management VI, LLC and Quintek Technologies, Inc. (previously     |

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

filed as Exhibit 10.1).

- 10.8\*\*\*\*\* Consulting Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Gary Litt dated February 3, 2003 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.4).
- 10.9## Employment Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Robert Steele dated January 31, 2003
- 10.10## Employment Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Andrew Haag dated January 31, 2003
- 33
- 10.11 Employment Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Robert Brownell dated March 12, 2004
- 10.12 Note Purchase Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated November 26, 2003.
- 10.13 Convertible Note I between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated November 26, 2003.
- 10.14 Convertible Note II between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated February 8, 2004.
- 10.15 Convertible Note III between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated March 13, 2004.
- 10.16 Convertible Note IV between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated April 26, 2004.
- 10.17 Convertible Note V between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated June 7, 2004.
- 10.18 Loan and Security Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated November 26, 2003.
- 10.19 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Quintek Technologies, Inc. issued to Kazi Management V.I., Inc. dated November 26, 2003.
- 10.20 Registration Rights Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Kazi Management V.I. LLC dated November 26, 2003.
- 10.21 Stock Purchase Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. Langley Park Investments PLC dated July 7, 2004
- 10.22 Securities Purchase Agreement between Quintek Technologies, Inc. and Golden Gate Investors, Inc. dated July 29, 2004
- (10.22a) \$300,000 5 3/4% CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURE dated August 2, 2004 (10.22b) Letter regarding "Registration Statement Legal and Accounting Fees" to Golden Gate Investors, Inc. dated July 2004. (10.22c) Letter regarding "Warrant Prepayment" to Golden Gate Investors, Inc. dated July 2004. (10.22d) Registration Rights Agreement dated as of August 2, 2004 between Quintek Technologies, Inc., and Golden Gate Investors, Inc. (10.22e) Warrant To Purchase 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock of Quintek Technologies, Inc. dated July 2004.
- 20.1## Code of Ethical Conduct adopted June 10, 2003

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

- 20.2## Audit Committee Charter adopted June 11, 2003
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Executive Officer of Quintek Technologies, Inc.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Financial Officer of Quintek Technologies, Inc.

34

- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Executive Officer of Quintek Technologies, Inc.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Financial Officer of Quintek Technologies, Inc.

# Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Form 8-K dated February 24, 2000.

\* Incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000.

\*\* Incorporated by reference to Form 10-QSB for quarter ended Dec.31, 2002.

\*\*\* Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed March 11, 2003.

\*\*\*\* Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed July 14, 2003.

\*\*\*\*\* Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed August 18, 2003.

# Incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003

### ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

#### Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's auditors for professional services rendered in connection with the audit of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2004 and 2003 and reviews of the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-QSB were \$20,375 for 2003 and \$20,000 for 2004.

#### Audit-Related Fees

For fiscal 2004 and 2003, the Company's auditors did not bill any fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees" above.

#### Tax Fees

No fees were billed by the Company's auditors for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning for fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively.

#### All Other Fees

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

No fees were billed by the Company's auditors for all other non-audit services rendered to the Company, such as attending meetings and other miscellaneous financial consulting, in fiscal 2004 and 2003.

### Audit Committee

The audit committee meets prior to filing of any Form 10-QSB or 10-KSB to approve those filings. In addition, the committee meets to discuss audit plans and anticipated fees for audit and tax work prior to the commencement of that work. Approximately 100% of all fees paid to our independent auditors for fiscal 2004 are pre-approved by the audit committee.

35

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: October 7, 2004

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ Robert Steele

-----  
Robert Steele, Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Date: October 7, 2004

/s/ Robert Steele

-----  
Robert Steele, Chief Executive Officer  
and Director

Date: October 7, 2004

/s/ Andrew Haag

-----  
Andrew Haag, Chief Financial Officer  
and Director

36

Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2004

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors  
Quintek Technologies, Inc

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Quintek Technologies, Inc. (a California Corporation) as of June 30, 2004 and the related statements of operations, stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Quintek Technologies, Inc. as of June 30, 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended June 30, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 21 to the combined financial statements, the Company's significant operating losses and insufficient capital raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters also are described in Note 21. The combined financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ KABANI & COMPANY, INC.

-----  
Huntington Beach, California  
September 21, 2004



F-1

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
BALANCE SHEET  
JUNE 30, 2004

ASSETS  
-----

CURRENT ASSETS:

Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 15,600
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts \$15,179)	28,736
Prepaid expenses	5,264
	-----
Total current assets	49,600
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	73,419
OTHER ASSETS:	
Deposits	8,416
Intangible assets, net	14,951
Other assets	889
	-----
	\$147,275
	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-2

>

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT  
-----

CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accounts payable & accrued expenses	\$ 438,826
Factoring payables	43,230
Payroll and payroll taxes payable	187,138
Payroll taxes assumed in merger	96,661
Current portion of long-term debt	47,504
Loans payable-stockholders	244,056
Convertible bonds	62,495
Convertible notes	500,000
Deferred revenue	87,040

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Liabilities in process of conversion to stock	470,629
	-----
Total current liabilities	2,177,580
Long term debt , net of current portion	63,379
 STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	
Common stock, .001 par value; authorized shares 50,000,000 issued and outstanding shares 48,749,994	487,500
Additional paid in capital	20,475,680
Shares to be issued-Series A Preferred stock, 250,000 shares	40,000
Unamortized consulting fees	(35,798)
Accumulated deficit	(23,061,065)
	-----
Total stockholders' deficit	(2,093,683)
 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	 \$ 147,275 =====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

### QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004 AND 2003

	2004	2003
	-----	-----
Net revenue	\$ 298,653	\$ 388,888
Cost of revenue	184,964	261,050
	-----	-----
Gross profit	113,689	127,838
Operating expenses		
Selling, general and administrative	960,209	601,090
Inventory write off	35,259	--
Impairment - investment	28,778	--
Stock based compensation for services	59,000	221,183
	-----	-----
Total Operating Expenses	1,083,246	822,273
	-----	-----
Loss from Operations	(969,557)	(694,435)
Non-operating income (expense):		
Gain on investments	16	19,792
Other income	157	17,500

Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Interest income	11,136	--
Interest expense	(39,483)	(43,910)
Total non-operating income (expense)	(28,174)	(6,618)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(997,731)	(701,053)
Provision for income taxes	800	--
Net loss	\$ (998,531)	\$ (701,053)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.01)
Basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding	48,164,549	46,762,008

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004 AND 2003

	Common stock		Additional paid in capital	Shares to be issued	Un- amortized consulting fees
	Shares	Amount			
Balance at June 30, 2002	40,162,008	\$ 401,620	\$ 19,997,017	\$ --	\$ --
Stock issuances	6,600,000	66,000	266,383	--	--
Warrant issuances	--	--	20,691	--	--
Allowance on subscription receivable	--	--	42,689	--	--
Net loss for the year ended June 30, 2003	--	--	--	--	--
Balance at June 30, 2003	46,762,008	467,620	20,326,780	--	--
Issuance of shares for services	200,000	2,000	13,000	--	--

Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Issuance of shares for conversion of bond and interest	1,773,695	17,737	88,685	--	--
Issuance of shares for debt settlement	14,291	143	1,643	--	--
250,000 shares of Pref. stock to be issued for compensation	--	--	--	40,000	--
Common stock options granted	--	--	4,000	--	--
Issuance of stock warrants	--	--	41,572	--	(35,798)
Net loss for the year ended June 30, 2004	--	--	--	--	--
Balance at June 30, 2004	48,749,994	\$ 487,500	\$ 20,475,680	\$ 40,000	\$ (35,798)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-5

QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004 AND 2003

	2004
	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net loss	\$ (998,531)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	50,730
Impairment of investment	28,778
Inventory write off	35,259
Issuance of stock for services	15,000
Issuance of stock issued for interest payment	17,222
Shares to be issued for compensation	40,000
Issuance of warrant for service	5,774
Stock options granted	4,000
Subscription receivable forgiven for services	--

Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

(Increase) decrease in current assets:	
Accounts receivable	44,382
Inventory	(30,888)
Other assets	3,593
Prepaid Expenses	3,353
Deposits	(6,021)
Investments	(16)
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	119,040
Payroll and payroll taxes payable	51,023
Payroll taxes assumed in merger	(26,611)
Deferred revenue	46,006
Liabilities in process of conversion to stock	1,960
	-----
Total Adjustments	402,584
	-----
Net cash used in operating activities	(595,947)
	-----

(continued)

F-6

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Payments for property & equipment	--
Proceeds from sale of investment	--
Decrease in employee receivables	--
	-----
Net cash provided by investing activities	--
	-----

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Subscriptions receivable	--
Payments on factoring payables	(131,885)
Proceeds from factor	28,225
Proceeds (payment) on loans from stockholders	211,756
Payments on notes payable	(17,711)
Proceeds from convertible notes	500,000
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	--
	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	590,385
	-----

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS	18,560
CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING BALANCE	21,162
	-----
CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING BALANCE	\$ 15,600
	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-7

### QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Company was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of California on April 16, 1993, as Quintek Electronics, Inc. On January 14, 1999, the Company merged with Pacific Diagnostic Technologies, Inc. in a business combination accounted for as a purchase. The acquisition took place under a plan of reorganization. Quintek Electronics, Inc. ("QEI") became public when it was acquired by Pacific Diagnostic Technologies, Inc. ("PDX") through a reverse merger and Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization. Under the plan, all assets of QEI were sold to PDX, all PDX management resigned once the Plan was confirmed, and QEI's management and operating plan were adopted by the new operating entity. Shortly after the confirmation of the plan, the name of the reorganized debtor was changed to Quintek Technologies, Inc. ("QTI"). QTI assumed the assets, liabilities, technology and public position of both QEI and PDX.

On February 24, 2000, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Juniper Acquisition Corporation ("Juniper"). For accounting purposes, the acquisition was treated as a capitalization of the Company with the Company as the acquirer (reverse acquisition).

The Company was established for the primary purpose of developing, manufacturing, and distributing the 4300 Aperture Card Imaging System technologies, used for recording digital images on aperture card media ("the 4300 system").

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES & REALIZATION OF ASSETS

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less from the date of purchase that are readily convertible into cash to be cash equivalents.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

### Accounts Receivable

The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses on accounts receivable. Management reviews the composition of accounts receivable and analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment patterns to evaluate the adequacy of these reserves. Reserves are recorded primarily on a specific identification basis. Allowance for doubtful debts amounted to \$15,179 as at June 30, 2004.

### Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost (determined on FIFO, first-in, first-out) or market. The Management compares the cost of inventories with the market value and allowance is made for writing down the inventories to their market value, if lower.

F-8

### Equity Method of Accounting for Investments

Investments in companies in which the Company has a 20% to 50% interest or has significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee are carried at cost, adjusted for the Company's proportionate share of undistributed earnings or losses.

### Property & Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to earnings as incurred; additions, renewals and betterments are capitalized. When property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts, and any gain or loss is included in operations. Depreciation of property and equipment is provided using the straight-line over the estimated useful lives (3-7 years) of the assets.

### Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of patents and purchased proprietary processes and are being amortized using straight-line method over their remaining useful lives of 4 years. The Company evaluates intangible assets for impairment, at least on an annual basis and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable from its estimated future cash flows. Recoverability of intangible assets, other long-lived assets and goodwill is measured by comparing their net book value to the related projected undiscounted cash flows from these assets, considering a number of factors including past operating results, budgets, economic projections, market trends and product development cycles. If the net book value of the asset exceeds the related undiscounted cash flows, the asset is considered impaired, and a second test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss. Potential impairment of goodwill after July 1, 2002 is being evaluated in accordance with SFAS No. 142. The SFAS No. 142 is applicable to the financial statements of the Company beginning July 1, 2002.

### Long-lived assets

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"), which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

be Disposed Of," and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations for a Disposal of a Segment of a Business." The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used in accordance with SFAS 144. SFAS 144 requires impairment losses

to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amounts. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived assets. Loss on long-lived assets to be disposed of is determined in a similar manner, except that fair market values are reduced for the cost of disposal.

Accounts payable & accrued expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following:

Accounts payable	\$ 203,612
Accrued expenses	235,214
	-----
	\$ 438,826

F-9

Software development costs

The Company has adopted Statement of Position 98-1 ("SOP 98-1") "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use", as its accounting policy for internally developed computer software costs. Under SOP 98-1, computer software costs incurred in the preliminary development stage are expensed as incurred. Computer software costs incurred during the application development stage are capitalized and amortized over the software's estimated useful life.

The Company makes on-going evaluations of the recoverability of its capitalized software by comparing the amount capitalized for each product to the estimated net realizable value of the product. If such evaluations indicate that the unamortized software development costs exceed the net realizable value, the Company writes off the amount which the unamortized software development costs exceed net realizable value.

Advertising

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense was \$792 and \$236 for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Research and Development

Expenditures for software development costs and research are expensed as incurred. The amounts charged to operations for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were \$5,026 and \$58,000, respectively.

Income taxes

Deferred taxes are provided for on a liability method for temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation

allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that



## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. For the year ended June 30, 2004, such differences were insignificant.

### Stock-based compensation

SFAS No. 123 prescribes accounting and reporting standards for all stock-based compensation plans, including employee stock options, restricted stock, employee stock purchase plans and stock appreciation rights. SFAS No. 123 requires compensation expense to be recorded (i) using the new fair value method or (ii) using the existing accounting rules prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for stock issued to employees" (APB 25) and related interpretations with proforma disclosure of what net income and earnings per share would have been had the Company adopted the new fair value method. The Company has chosen to account for stock-based compensation using Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and has adopted the disclosure only provisions of SFAS 123. Accordingly, compensation cost for stock options is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the Company's stock at the date of the grant over the amount an employee is required to pay for the stock.

The Company's board of directors authorized a stock award and long-term incentive plan which includes stock appreciation rights and certain stock incentive awards. The plan was approved by the shareholders as of June 30, 2004.

F-10

### Fair value of financial instruments

Statement of financial accounting standard No. 107, Disclosures about fair value of financial instruments, requires that the Company disclose estimated fair values of financial instruments. The carrying amounts reported in the statements of financial position for current assets and current liabilities qualifying as financial instruments are a reasonable estimate of fair value.

### Basic and diluted net loss per share

Net loss per share is calculated in accordance with the Statement of financial accounting standards No. 128 (SFAS No. 128), "Earnings per share". SFAS No. 128 superseded Accounting Principles Board Opinion No.15 (APB 15). Net loss per share for all periods presented has been restated to reflect the adoption of SFAS No. 128. Basic net loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per share is based on the assumption that all dilutive convertible shares and stock options were converted or exercised. Dilution is computed by applying the treasury stock method. Under this method, options and warrants are assumed to be exercised at the beginning of the period (or at the time of issuance, if later), and as if funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period.

### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when earned. The Company recognizes its revenue in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commissions ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" ("SAB 104") and The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Statement of Position ("SOP") 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition," as amended as amended by SOP 98-4 and SOP 98-9.

### Issuance of shares for service

The Company accounts for the issuance of equity instruments to acquire goods and

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

services based on the fair value of the goods and services or the fair value of the equity instrument at the time of issuance, whichever is more reliably measurable.

### Derivative Instruments

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 133, as amended by SFAS No. 137, is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000. SFAS No. 133 requires the Company to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities and measure those instruments at fair value. It further provides criteria for derivative instruments to be designated as fair value, cash flow and foreign currency hedges and establishes respective accounting standards for reporting changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments. After adoption, the Company is required to adjust hedging instruments to fair value in the balance sheet and recognize the offsetting gains or losses as adjustments to be reported in net income or other comprehensive income, as appropriate. The Company has complied with the requirements of SFAS 133, the effect of which was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company does not participate in such activities.

### Reporting segments

Statement of financial accounting standards No. 131, Disclosures about segments of an enterprise and related information (SFAS No. 131), which superseded statement of financial accounting standards No. 14, Financial reporting for segments of a business enterprise, establishes standards for the way that public

F-11

enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires reporting of selected information about operating segments in interim financial statements regarding products and services, geographic areas and major customers. SFAS No. 131 defines operating segments as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performances. Currently, SFAS 131 has no effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements as substantially all of the Company's operations are conducted in one industry segment.

### Reclassifications

Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation.

### Recent Pronouncements

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure". SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation", to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used, on reported results. The Statement is effective for the Companies' interim reporting period ending January 31, 2003.

In compliance with FAS No. 148, the Company has elected to continue to follow the intrinsic value method in accounting for its stock-based employee

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

compensation plan as defined by APB No. 25 and has made the applicable disclosures below.

Had the Company determined employee stock based compensation cost based on a fair value model at the grant date for its stock options under SFAS 123, the Company's net earnings per share would have been adjusted to the pro forma amounts for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, as follows (\$ in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Year ended June 30	
	2004	2003
Net loss - as reported	\$ (999)	(701)
Stock-Based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax	--	--
Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair-value-based method for all rewards, net of tax	(8)	--
Pro forma net loss	\$ (1,006)	(701)
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic, as reported	\$ (0.02)	\$(0.01)
Diluted, as reported	\$ (0.02)	\$(0.01)
Basic, pro forma	\$ (0.02)	\$(0.01)
Diluted, pro forma	\$ (0.02)	\$(0.01)

F-12

On May 15 2003, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 150 ("SFAS 150"), Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS 150 changes the accounting for certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, could be classified as equity or "mezzanine" equity, by now requiring those instruments to be classified as liabilities (or assets in some circumstances) in the statement of financial position. Further, SFAS 150 requires disclosure regarding the terms of those instruments and settlement alternatives. SFAS 150 affects an entity's classification of the following freestanding instruments: a) Mandatorily redeemable instruments b) Financial instruments to repurchase an entity's own equity instruments c) Financial instruments embodying obligations that the issuer must or could choose to settle by issuing a variable number of its shares or other equity instruments based solely on (i) a fixed monetary amount known at inception or (ii) something other than changes in its own equity instruments d) SFAS 150 does not apply to features embedded in a financial instrument that is not a derivative in its entirety. The guidance in SFAS 150 is generally effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and is otherwise effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. For private companies, mandatorily redeemable financial instruments are subject to the provisions of SFAS 150 for the fiscal period beginning after December 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 does not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a revised Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" (FIN 46R). FIN 46R addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities and significantly changes the consolidation application of consolidation policies to variable interest entities and, thus improves

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

comparability between enterprises engaged in similar activities when those activities are conducted through variable interest entities. The Company does not hold any variable interest entities.

### 3. INVENTORIES

Inventory consists of parts and supplies. The Company recorded an allowance of obsolescence amounting \$ 35,259 as on June 30, 2004. As a result, 100% of inventory totaling \$332,904 was reserved for obsolescence.

### 4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment at June 30, 2004, consists of the following:

Computer and office equipment	\$ 111,752
Machinery and equipment	48,670
Other depreciable assets	102,880
Furniture and fixture	33,518
	-----
	296,820
Accumulated depreciation	(223,401)
	-----
	\$ 73,419
	=====

### 5. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Net intangible assets at June 30, 2004 were as follows:

Patents and proprietary processes	\$ 136,067
Accumulated amortization	121,116
	-----
	\$ 14,951
	=====

F-13

Amortization expense for the Company's current amortizable intangible assets over the next fiscal year is estimated to be:

2005	\$ 14,951
	=====

### 6. IMPAIRMENT OF INVESTMENT

The investments held by the Company consisted of a 49% ownership interest in Qtek Aperture Card AB, a Swedish corporation and a 8.54% ownership in PanaMed Corp. The investment in Qtek was accounted for using the equity method of accounting due to the significant influence that the Company had over its investee. The PanaMed investment was accounted for using the equity method for the year ending June 30, 2002; The PanaMed investment is accounted for at cost, which is zero. The total value of the Qtek Aperture AB investment amounted to \$28,778 at June 30, 2004. On June 30, 2004, the Company evaluated its investment according to FASB 144 and recognized an impairment loss equal to the book value of these intangible assets amounting \$28,778.

### 7. EMPLOYEE RECEIVABLES

Notes receivable from officers, unsecured, due on June 30, 2019, interest at 4%	\$ 377,649
--	------------

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Interest receivable in connection with above notes receivable	15,559 -----
	393,208
Valuation allowance	(393,208) -----
	-- =====

### 8. FACTORING PAYABLE

The Company has entered into an agreement with a factoring company ("the Factor") to factor purchase orders with recourse. The Factor funds 97% or 90% based upon the status of the purchase order. The Factor has agreed to purchase up to \$4,800,000 of qualified purchase orders over the term of the agreement; however, the Factor does not have to purchase more than \$200,000 in any given month. The agreement term is from June 2, 2003 to June 2, 2005. The Company will pay a late fee of 3% for payments not made within 30 days and 5% for those not made in 60 days. At the option of the Factor, the late fees may be paid with Company stock. If paid by Company stock, the stock bid price will be discounted 50% in computing the shares to be issued in payment of the late fee.

The Company has agreed to issue the Factor 1,500,000 warrants purchasing the Company's stock as a fee for the factoring agreement. The stock issued under the warrants can be purchased at the average closing price of the Company's stock for the 90 days prior to the factoring agreement.

The Company has also issued the Factor bonus warrants. The Factor will receive two (2) bonus warrants for each dollar of purchase orders purchased. The bonus warrants will be exercisable at the average closing price of the Company's common stock for the 90 days prior to the purchase order transactions they represent or a 50% discount to the closing price of the Company's stock at the time exercised at the option of the Factor. Both warrants are for a five year period.

F-14

At June 30, 2004, the Company had a factoring payable balance of \$43,230.

### 9. PAYROLL TAXES-ASSUMED IN MERGER

The Company assumed \$205,618 of payroll tax liabilities in the merger with Pacific Diagnostic Technologies, Inc. The balance was \$96,661 at June 30, 2004. The Company is delinquent on payments of these payroll tax liabilities.

### 10. LONG TERM PAYABLES

Lease payable, interest at 7.9% to 15%, due various dates in 2005 to 2008	\$ 55,367
Note payable, DFS, interest at 15.99%, due Jun & Jul 2006	3,669
Notes payable, AP conversion, interest at 8%, due 2006	51,847 -----
	\$110,883
Current portion	47,504 -----
	63,379 =====

The future maturity of the long term payables is as follows:

2005	\$ 47,504
------	-----------

Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

2006	43,122
2007	19,243
2008	1,014
	-----
Total	\$ 110,883
	=====

11. CONVERTIBLE BONDS

Bonds payable with interest at 9%, due on various dates in 2001 and 2002, convertible to shares of Common stock in increments of \$1,000 or more. \$ 21,354

Bonds payable with interest at 12%, due July 2002, convertible to shares of common stock in increments of \$500 or more. 41,141

\$ 62,495  
=====

Certain of the outstanding convertible bonds have matured as of December 31, 2002. The holders of the matured bonds do not wish to renew the bonds and have asked for payment; however, the Company does not have the cash to repay these bonds.

Bondholders have been asked to exchange their bonds for Series B preferred stock. As of June 30, 2004, holders of \$198,000 of the bonds including accrued interest had acted on this. The \$198,000 is included in the liability section of the financials under "Liabilities in Process of Conversion to Stock," since the preferred stock has not been issued.

12. CONVERTIBLE NOTES

During the year ended June 30, 2004, the Company raised capital through the issuance of convertible promissory notes in the amount of \$500,000. All the notes are for a one year period and bear simple interest at the rate of 10%. The due dates of these notes are from November 26, 2004 through June 4, 2005.

F-15

The notes plus any accrued interest through the date of conversion are convertible to the common stock of the Company at \$.06. Additionally, the holder will receive one bonus warrant for each conversion share. Each bonus warrant will be exercisable for a period of 5 years from the date of issuance into one share of common stock at a price of \$.10

The conversion right of the note holder with respect to the individual notes shall exist upon (i) the approval of a proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and approval of an amendment by the Company's shareholders to authorize to 200,000,000 the number of shares common stock and (ii) the Company's registration statement registering the Shares underlying the notes and other Securities has been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission. As of June 30, 2004, the criteria to convert the notes to common stock were not met.

The total interest on these convertible notes for the year ended June 30, 2004 amounted to \$15,177.

13. LIABILITIES IN PROCESS OF CONVERSION TO STOCK

The Company has a total of \$470,629 liabilities in process of conversion to

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

stock as of June 30, 2004. This amount consists of the following:

Bond payable	\$ 198,268
Accounts payable	20,147
Payrolls payable	252,214
	-----
	\$ 470,629

Bonds for \$188,268 are convertible to one share of Series A Preferred stock for each \$.05 of debt owed by the Company. Remaining \$10,000 bond is convertible to one share of Series B Preferred stock for each \$.25 of debt owed by the Company.

Accounts payable are convertible to one share of Series C Preferred stock for each \$1.00 of payable owed by the Company.

Payrolls payable are convertible to one share of Series A Preferred stock for each \$.25 of debt owed by the Company.

### 14. LOANS PAYABLE - STOCKHOLDERS

The company has a loan payable balance of \$244,056 to the stockholders at June 30, 2004. These loans are interest free, unsecured and due on demand.

### 15. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

#### a. Common Stock and Warrants

The Company has authorized 50 million shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share. Each share entitles the holder to one vote. There are no dividend or liquidation preferences, participation rights, call prices or rates, sinking fund requirements, or unusual voting rights associated with these shares. During the year ended June 30, 2003, the Company established the Class L warrants and initiated the process of establishing the Class A Preferred stock which underlies these warrants.

Upon surrender of either a Class J or L warrant, the holder is entitled to purchase one share of the Company's stock at the designated exercise price. For each warrant class, the number of warrants outstanding, the exercise price, the type of underlying stock, and the expiration dates are defined as follows:

#### F-16

Class J - 6,458,384 warrants to purchase common restricted stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share expired on January 14, 2004.

Class L - warrants were established in March 2003, with an exercise price of \$.25 per share, an expiration date of January 14, 2005 and Series A Preferred as underlying stock. As of June 30, 2004, holders of Class J exchanged their warrants for 3,063,432 Class L Warrants.

At June 30, 2004, the outstanding warrants of classes A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I and J have expired.

During the year ended June 30, 2004, 200,000 shares of common stock were issued for services valued at \$15,000.

During the year ended June 30, 2004, 1,773,695 shares of common stock were issued for conversion of bond amounting \$106,422.

During the year ended June 30, 2004, 14,291 shares of common stock were issued for debt settlement amounting \$1,786.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

During the year ended June 30, 2004, 200,000 shares of warrants were issued for consulting services for three years beginning February 2004, valued at \$41,572. \$5,774 was amortized during the year ended June 30, 2004. The fair value of the warrants is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes Model. The following assumptions were made in estimating fair value.

Annual rate of quarterly dividends	0.00%
Discount rate - Bond Equivalent Yield	3.50%
Expected life	3 years
Expected volatility	100%

### b. Common Stock Reserved

At June 30, 2004, common stock was reserved for the following reasons:

Outstanding convertible bond	151,919 shares
Exercise of Class L warrants	3,063,432 shares

### c. Stock Option Agreements

The Company granted 200,000 stock options to two employees during the year ended June 30, 2004.

The Company recorded \$ 4,000 as compensation expense due to issuance of the options, during the year ended June 30, 2004.

The number and weighted average exercise prices of options granted by the Company are as follows:

The number and weighted average exercise prices of options granted by the Company are as follows:

F-17

	Options Number of Options	Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----
Outstanding June 30, 2002	2,015,000	\$ 1.01
Granted during the year	0	0
Exercised	0	0
Expired/forfeited	0	0
	-----	-----
Outstanding June 30, 2003	2,015,000	\$ 1.01
Granted during the year	200,000	\$ 0.13
Exercised	0	0
Expired/forfeited	0	0
	-----	-----
Outstanding June 30, 2004	2,215,000	\$ 0.93
	=====	=====

Following is a summary of the status of options outstanding at June 30, 2004:

Outstanding Options  
Weighted

Exercisable Options



Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

Exercise Price	Number	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Exercise Number	Price
\$ 1.00-4.00	2,015,000	7 months	\$ 1.01	2,015,000	\$ 0.93
\$ 0.13	200,000	9 months	\$ 0.13	200,000	\$ 0.93

Pro forma information regarding the effect on operations is required by SFAS 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of that statement. Pro forma information using the Black-Scholes method at the date of grant based on the following assumptions for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 was as follows:

Expected life (years)	1 year
Risk-free interest rate	1.40% and 3.40%
Dividend yield	0% and 0%
Volatility	45% and 100%

d. Stock transactions approved by the shareholder's

At the annual meeting of the shareholders held June 30, 2004, the shareholders approved by a majority vote to increase to 200,000,000 shares, no par value common stock, and 50,000,000 shares no par value, preferred stock which the corporation shall have authority to issue. The board of directors is authorized to divide the preferred stock into any number of classes or series, fix the designation and number of shares of each such series or class and alter or determine the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of each or series of preferred stock

F-18

Series A Preferred Stock

The general terms of the Series A Preferred Stock is as follows: Par value - \$0.00; Liquidation Preference - \$0.25 per share plus any unpaid accumulated dividends; Dividends - cumulative annual rate of \$0.005 per share when and as declared by the Board of Directors; Conversion Rights - convertible to common stock at a 1:1 ratio ; Redemption Rights - the Company has the right to redeem part or all of the stock upon 30 days written notice at a rate of \$0.25 per share plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon at the dividend rate of \$0.005 annually per share; Voting Rights - one vote per share on all matters requiring shareholder vote. At June 30, 2004, the Company had 250,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock to be issued valued at \$40,000 for the compensation.

Series B Preferred Stock

The general terms of the Series B Preferred Stock is as follows: Par Value - \$0.00; Liquidation Preference - \$0.25 per share plus any unpaid accumulated dividends; Dividends - cumulative annual rate of \$0.0005 per share when and as declared by the Board of Directors; Conversion Rights - convertible to common stock at a 1:5 ratio (i.e. 1 share of Series B Preferred stock is convertible into 5 shares of common stock) ; Redemption Rights - the Company has the right to redeem part or all of the stock upon 30 days written notice at a rate of \$0.25 per share plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon at the dividend rate of \$0.0005 annually per share; Voting Rights - one vote per share on all matters requiring shareholder vote.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

### Series C Preferred Stock

The general terms of the Series C Preferred Stock is as follows: Par value - \$0.00; Liquidation Preference - \$1.00 per share plus any unpaid accumulated dividends; Dividends - cumulative annual rate of \$.0005 per share when as declared by the Board of Directors; Conversion Rights - 1:20 ratio (i.e. 1 share of Preferred Series C stock is convertible into 20 shares of common stock); Redemption Rights - the Company has the right to redeem part or all of the stock upon 30 days written notice at the rate of \$1.00 per share plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon at the dividend rate of \$.0005 annually per share.; Voting Rights - one vote per share on all matters requiring shareholder vote.

Liabilities in process of conversion to stock:

The Company has entered into agreements with various vendors and employees to convert their liabilities into the above, three preferred series of stock pending approval of same. The conversion rate varies. (note 13)

### 16. INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability approach to financial accounting and reporting. The Company has a deferred tax asset due to net operating loss carryforwards and temporary taxable differences due to stock-based compensation for income tax purposes. Through June 30, 2004, the Company incurred net operating losses for federal tax purposes of \$8,000,000. The net operating loss carryforward may be used to reduce taxable income through the year 2024. The availability of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards are subject to limitation if there is a 50% or more positive change in the ownership of the Company's stock.

The deferred tax assets are \$3,057,951 and \$2,718,450 as of June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. However, due to the ongoing nature of the losses and the potential inability of the Company to ever realize the benefit, a valuation allowance has been established for 100% of the deferred tax asset. Net operating loss carryforwards expire at various times through the year 2023.

F-19

The effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax benefit for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 are presented below:

	2004	2003
	-----	-----
Net operating loss	319,441	163,156
Stock-based compensation	20,060	75,202
	-----	-----
	339,501	238,358
Less-valuation allowance	(339,501)	(238,358)
	-----	-----
Total	--	--
	=====	=====

The following is a reconciliation of the provision for income taxes at the U.S. federal income tax rate to the income taxes reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

June 30,	June 30,
2004	2003

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

	-----	-----
Tax expense (credit) at statutory rate-federal	(32)%	(32)%
State tax expense net of federal tax	(8)	(8)
Permanent differences	1	1
Valuation allowance	39	39
	-----	-----
Tax expense at actual rate	--	--
	=====	=====

### 17. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOWS

The Company prepares its statements of cash flows using the indirect method as defined under the Financial Accounting Standard No. 95.

The Company paid \$-0- for income tax during the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003. The Company paid \$29,937 and \$14,256 interest during the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The cash flow statement for the year ended June 30, 2004 does not include the following non-cash investing and financing transactions;

- o 200,000 shares of common stock were issued for services valued at \$15,000.
- o 1,773,695 shares of common stock were issued for conversion of bond amounting to \$106,422.
- o 14,291 shares of common stock were issued for debt settlement amounting \$1,786.
- o Machinery and equipment was acquired through financing agreements in the amount of \$67,125.
- o Accounts payable were converted to notes payable in the amount of \$30,965.

### 18. MAJOR CUSTOMERS AND SUPPLIERS

The Company had one customer that accounted for 21% of revenue for the year ended June 30, 2004. Accounts receivable from this major customer was \$-0- at June 30, 2004. For the year ended June 30, 2003, the Company had four customers that accounted for more than 55% of revenue. Accounts receivable from these major customers were approximately \$69,000 at June 30, 2003.

F-20

There are currently only two known suppliers of aperture cards that use dry silver film. A continued supply of aperture card media is crucial to the success of the Company because without cards, customers have no use for the Company's equipment, services and software.

### 19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### a) Operating Leases

The Company leases its California office facility under a non-cancelable operating lease that requires total monthly rental payments of \$2,846. Upon expiration on March 31, 2004, the Company leased the premises on a month to month basis until June 30, 2004. Effective July 1, 2004 the Company relocated their executive offices to Huntington Beach, California and entered into a four year lease agreement. The agreement contains a base rent escalation clause. The Company leases its Idaho office facility under a month-to-month rental agreement at \$1,384 per month. For the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, rent expense

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

for these operating leases totaled \$56,997 and \$45,279, respectively.

The future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable leases are as follows:

2005	\$ 79,661
2006	81,354
2007	83,049
2008	84,744
	-----
	\$ 328,808
	=====

### b) Purchase Obligation

The Company has established a licensing agreement with Qtek Aperture Card AB. Under the agreement, the Company is required to purchase at least 25 of the Q4305 units at approximately \$18,000 each before June 30, 2004. As of June 30, 2004, the Company had purchased 15 units under the agreement. The agreement expired June 30, 2004. The Company did not purchase the remaining units. Several of the original units purchased were inoperable and required on-site repairs due to omissions in manufacturing. Qtek Aperture Card AB has refused to take responsibility for the failed units and has denied the Company's request to return tooling, designs and other intellectual property. The Company believes that Qtek Aperture Card AB has breached this agreement and has no further obligation to purchase units from them. The financial statements contain no accrued liability in connection with this transaction.

### c) Income Tax Return Filings

The Company has not filed income tax returns for several years. Due to operating losses, income tax liability and penalties would not be substantial. However, the State of California could potentially revoke the Company's charter if the Company does not become current on its income tax return filings.

### d) Securities and Exchange Commission Inquiry

On September 17, 2002, the Company was advised by the staff of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that they will recommend that the Commission file civil injunctive lawsuits against the Company and its president, Thomas W. Sims. The suits would allege that the Company violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rules 10b-5, 13a-1, and 13a-13, based on false and misleading statements in press releases disseminated by the Company on October 22, 2001 and

F-21

October 25, 2001, regarding the Company's investment in PanaMed Corp. and the press releases disseminated on January 8, 2002 and March 20, 2002, and failure to timely file annual and quarterly reports with the Commission. On March 25, 2003, the Company signed, without admitting or denying the allegations, a proposed settlement agreement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, which permanently restrains and enjoins the Company from engaging in acts which would constitute violations of these regulations in the future. On August 6, 2003, a final judgment was entered by the U.S. District Court, Central District of California, against the Company which permanently enjoined the Company from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any national securities exchange: (A) to employ any device, scheme or artifice to defraud; (B) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (C) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security. Further, the final judgment permanently enjoined the Company from violating Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 promulgated thereunder, by failing to file with the Commission in accordance with Commission rules and regulations, information and documents required by the Commission to keep current information and documents required in or with an application or registration statement filed pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act or annual or quarterly reports as the Commission has prescribed.

### d) Litigation

During the year ended June 30, 2004, a creditor of the Company filed suit against the Company for \$22,662 for goods provided. The Company filed a counterclaim in August 2004.

The \$22,662 is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying financial statements.

### 20. BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS PER SHARE

Net loss per share is calculated in accordance with the Statement of financial accounting standards No. 128 (SFAS No. 128), "Earnings per share." Basic net loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per share is based on the assumption that all dilutive convertible shares and stock options were converted or exercised. Dilution is computed by applying the treasury stock method. Under this method, options and warrants are assumed to be exercised at the beginning of the period (or at the time of issuance, if later), and as if funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period.

Weighted average number of shares used to compute basic and diluted loss per share for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 are the same since the effect of dilutive securities is anti-dilutive.

### 21. GOING CONCERN

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. This basis of accounting contemplates the recovery of the Company's assets and the satisfaction of its liabilities in the normal course of business. Through June 30, 2004, the Company had incurred cumulative losses of \$23,061,065 including net losses of \$998,531 and \$701,053 for the

F-22

fiscal years 2004 and 2003, respectively. In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraph, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to raise additional capital, obtain financing and to succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

Management has taken the following steps to revise its operating and financial requirements, which it believes are sufficient to provide the Company with the ability to continue as a going concern. Management devoted considerable effort

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

during the period ended June 30, 2004, towards (i) obtaining additional equity financing (ii) controlling of salaries and general and administrative expenses (iii) management of accounts payable and (iv) evaluation of its distribution and marketing methods.

### 22. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 2, 2004 the amended articles of incorporation was approved by the Board of Directors and endorsed and filed in the office of the Secretary of State of the State of California. The Articles of Incorporation of the Company is amended and restated to read as follows:

- A. The total number of shares of all classes of stock which the corporation shall have authority to issue is 250,000,000 shares, consisting of 200,000,000 shares of Common Stock, without par value and 50,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, without par value.
- B. The Board of Directors is authorized to divide the Preferred Stock into any number of classes or series, fix the designation and number of shares of each such series or class, and alter or determine the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of each class or series of Preferred Stock not yet issued to the fullest extent of California law.

On July 27, 2004, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with an individual investor. This investor purchased a convertible debenture for \$50,000 which bears simple interest at 10%. The Debenture was converted into the Common Stock of the Company at a price of \$0.10 per share. The investor received one bonus warrant for each conversion share. Each warrant is exercisable into one share of Common Stock at \$0.15 per share. The warrants expire on July 27, 2007.

On July 29, 2004, the Company entered into an Agreement with LANGLEY PARK INVESTMENTS PLC, a London Investment Company to issue 14,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Langley in return for 1,145,595 shares of Langley. Fifty percent of Langley shares issued to the Company under this agreement is to be held in escrow for two years. At the end of two years if the market price for the Company's common stock is at or greater than the Initial Closing Price the escrow agent will release the full amount. In the event that the market price for the Company's common stock is less than the Initial Closing Price the amount released will be adjusted.

Langley is in the process of attaining listing with the United Kingdom Listing Authority. Langley's initial offering price is 1(pound) (One Pound UK per share). The Company's shares are to be held by Langley for a period of at least two years. Langley shares issued to the Company are to be free trading. In the event that this transaction does not close the 14,000,000 shares of the Company's stock held in escrow are to be returned to the Company.

F-23

On August 2, 2004, the Company signed a Master Lease Agreement with Vencore Solutions, LLC, a venture leasing company located in Lake Oswego, Oregon. Under the agreement the Company established a lease line of credit for up to \$240,000 in equipment financing. This is a capital lease where the Company pays monthly rental rate equal to 3.45% of the total hardware purchases and 6.35% of the total software purchases. The lease term for hardware purchases is 36 months and 18 months for software. The effective annual interest rate is roughly 8%. Vencore Solutions received 144,000 warrants for the Company's common stock at \$0.10 per share, expiring on August 2, 2007 in connection with this agreement.

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

On August 4, 2004 the Company entered into an agreement with the private equity fund, Golden Gate Investors, based in San Francisco, CA to provide the Company with \$3.3 million in capital.

The deal is structured as two year \$300,000 convertible notes paying 5 3/4% interest and 3,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock for a period of three years at \$1.00. The "Conversion Price" shall be equal to the lesser of (i) \$0.50, or (ii) 80% of the average of the 5 lowest Volume Weighted Average Prices during the 20 Trading Days prior to Holder's election to convert, or (iii) 80% of the Volume Weighted Average Price on the Trading Day prior to Holder's election to convert market price of the Company's common stock prior to conversion.

Upon conversion of the note, the fund is obligated to simultaneously exercise the \$1.00 warrants providing added funding to the company. The Warrant must be exercised concurrently with the conversion of this Debenture in an amount equal to ten times the dollar amount of the Debenture conversion.

On August 17, 2004 the company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with an individual investor to purchase 2 convertible debentures each for \$100,000 which bears simple interest at 10%. The initial Debenture was purchased at closing. The second Debenture will be purchased within five days of a registration statement being declared effective covering the Conversion Shares and Warrant Shares. Debentures are convertible into the Common stock of the Company. Conversion Price per share shall be the lower of (i) \$0.10 ("Maximum Base Price"); or (ii) in the event the Borrower enters into an agreement subsequent to execution of the Securities Purchase Agreement to sell Common Stock or a convertible instrument that converts into Common Stock prior to conversion of this Debenture at a price less than the Conversion Price of this Debenture, then the Conversion Price of this Debenture shall be immediately reset to a lower Conversion Price equal to that described in the subsequent agreement. Warrants are exercisable at \$0.12 per share into the Common Stock of the Company and expire on August 17, 2007.

On September 27, 2004, the Company was in process of converting various liabilities and stock based compensation to shares of stock as follows:

4,682,572 shares of common stock were issued as repayment of \$211,056 in loans from stockholders. In addition, 1,800,000 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.175 per share expiring November 6, 2008, 100,000 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.16 per share expiring June 24, 2006, 142,857 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.13 per share expiring July 23, 2006 and 400,000 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.175 expiring November 7, 2008 were granted.

400,000 shares of common stock were issued as repayment of \$32,300 in loans from a stockholder. As part of the agreement, the Company forgave \$6,000 owed to the Company by the stockholder.

1,008,054 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued as conversion of \$252,213 in back payroll. The Series A preferred stock is convertible to common stock on a 1:1 basis.

F-24

2,250,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued as compensation for services in the amount of \$140,000. 250,000 shares valued at \$40,000 were recorded as shares to be issued during the year ended June 30, 2004. The series A preferred stock is convertible to common stock on a 1:1 basis.

724,077 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued for a \$36,204 convertible

## Edgar Filing: QUINTEK TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB/A

bond. The series A preferred stock is convertible to common stock on a 1:1 basis.

648,255 shares of Series B preferred stock were issued for \$162,064 in convertible bonds. The Series B preferred stock is convertible into common stock on a 1:5 basis. One share of Series B preferred stock is convertible into 5 shares of common stock.

32,000 shares of Series B preferred stock and 175,000 of common stock were issued to satisfy a past due agreement. The Series B preferred stock is convertible into common stock on a 1:5 basis. One share of Series B preferred stock is convertible into 5 shares of common stock.

20,148 shares of Series C preferred stock were issued in conversion of \$20,148 in accounts payable. The Series C preferred stock is convertible into common stock on a 1:20 basis. One share of Series C preferred stock is convertible into 20 shares of common stock.

F-25