SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC Form SC 13G/A February 13, 2012

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13G

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. 1)*

Sycamore Networks, Inc.
(Name of Issuer)
Common Stock
(Title of Class of Securities)
871206405
(CUSIP Number)

December 31, 2011

(Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

X Rule 13d-1(b)

Rule 13d-1(c)

Rule 13d-1(d)

*The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

PAGE 1 OF 9 PAGES

CUSIP No. 871206405

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON

Manulife Financial Corporation
 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP*

o

N/A

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Canada

5 SOLE VOTING POWER

(a) o

-0-

6 SHARED VOTING POWER

Number of

-0-

Shares 7 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

Beneficially

Owned by -0-

8 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

Each

Reporting

-0-

Person

With

9 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

None, except through its indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries, Manulife Asset Management (North America)
Limited, Manulife Asset Management (US) LLC and Manulife Asset Management (Europe) Limited
CHECK IF THE ACCRECATE AMOUNT IN DOW (0) EVOLUDED CERTAIN CHARECY

10 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES*

N/A

11 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW 9

See line 9 above.

12 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON*

HC

*SEE INSTRUCTIONS

PAGE 2 OF 9 PAGES

December 31, 2011

CUSIP No. 871206405

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON

Manulife Asset Management (North America) Limited
 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP*

o

N/A

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Canada

5 SOLE VOTING POWER

(a) o

16,401

6 SHARED VOTING POWER

Number of

-0-

Shares 7 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

Beneficially

Owned by 16,401

8 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

Each

Reporting

-0-

Person

With

9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON
10	16,401 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES*
11	N/A PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW 9
12	0.06% TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON*
	IA
	*SEE INSTRUCTIONS
	PAGE 3 OF 9 PAGES

CUSIP No. 871206405

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON

Manulife Asset Management (US) LLC
 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP*

o

N/A

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

5 SOLE VOTING POWER

(a) o

1,007,465

6 SHARED VOTING POWER

Number of

-0-

Shares 7 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

Beneficially

Owned by 1,007,465

8 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

Each

Reporting

-0-

Person

With

9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON					
10	1,007,465 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES*					
	N/A					
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW 9					
10	3.50% TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON*					
12	THE OF REPORTING PERSON					
	IA					
	*SEE INSTRUCTIONS					
	PAGE 4 OF 9 PAGES					

CUSIP No. 871206405

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON

Manulife Asset Management (Europe) Limited

CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A

GROUP* (a) o

o

N/A

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

United Kingdom

5 SOLE VOTING POWER

1,052

6 SHARED VOTING POWER

Number of

-0-

Shares 7 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

Beneficially

Owned by 1,052

8 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

Each

Reporting

-0-

Person

With

9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON
10	1,052 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES*
11	N/A PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW 9
12	0.004% TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON*
	FI *SEE INSTRUCTIONS
	PAGE 5 OF 9 PAGES

Item 1(a) Name of Issuer:

Sycamore Networks, Inc.

Item 1(b) Address of Issuer's Principal Executive Offices:

220 Mill Road

Chelmsford, Massachusetts 01824

Item 2(a) Name of Person Filing:

This filing is made on behalf of Manulife Financial Corporation ("MFC") and MFC's indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries, Manulife Asset Management (North America) Limited ("MAM (NA)"), Manulife Asset Management (US) LLC ("MAM (US)") and Manulife Asset Management (Europe) Limited ("MAM (EU)").

Item 2(b) Address of Principal Business Office:

The principal business offices of MFC and MAM (NA) are located at 200 Bloor Street East, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M4W 1E5.

The principal business office of MAM (US) is located at 101 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02199. The principal business office of MAM (EU) is located at 10 King William Street, London, United Kingdom EC4N 7TW.

Item 2(c) <u>Citizenship</u>:

MFC and MAM (NA) are organized and exist under the laws of Canada.

MAM (US) is organized and exists under the laws of the State of Delaware.

MAM (EU) is organized and exists under the laws of the United Kingdom.

Item 2(d) Title of Class of Securities:

Common Stock

Item 2(e) <u>CUSIP Number</u>:

871206405

Item 3 If this statement is filed pursuant to §§240.13d-1(b) or 240.13d-2(b) or (c), check whether the person filing is a:

MFC: accordance with §240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)((g) (X) G).	a parent holding company or control person in				
MAM (NA): §240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E).	(e) (X)	an investment adviser in accordance with				
MAM (US): §240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E).	(e) (X)	an investment adviser in accordance with				
MAM (EU): §240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(J).	(j) (X)	a non-U.S. institution in accordance with				
Item 4 <u>Ownership</u> :						
(a) <u>Amount Beneficially Owned</u> : MAM (NA) has beneficial ownership of 16,401 shares of Common Stock, MAM (US) has beneficial ownership of 1,007,465 shares of Common Stock and MAM (EU) has beneficial ownership of 1,052 shares of Common Stock. Through its parent-subsidiary relationship to MAM (NA), MAM (US) and MAM (EU), MFC may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of these same shares.						

PAGE 6 OF 9 PAGES

(b) Percent of Class: Of the 28,749,971 shares outstanding as of November 21, 2011, according to the issuer's Quarterly Report filed on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended October 29, 2011, MAM (NA) held 0.06%, MAM (US) held 3.50% and MAM (EU) held 0.004%.
(c) Number of shares as to which the person has:
(i) sole power to vote or to direct the vote: MAM (NA), MAM (US) and MAM (EU) each has sole power to vote or to direct the voting of the shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by each of them.
(ii) shared power to vote or to direct the vote: -0-
(iii) sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of: MAM (NA), MAM (US) and MAM (EU) each has sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of the shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by each of them.
(iv) shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of: -0-
Item 5 Ownership of Five Percent or Less of a Class: If this statement is being filed to report the fact that as of the date hereof the reporting person has ceased to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the class of securities, check the following [X].
Item 6 Ownership of More than Five Percent on Behalf of Another Person: Not applicable.
Item 7 <u>Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary which Acquired the Security Being Reported on by</u>

Not applicable.

Identification and Classification of Members of the Group:

the Parent Holding Company or Control Person:

See Items 3 and 4 above.

Item 8

Item 9 <u>Notice of Dissolution of Group:</u>

Not applicable.

Item 10 Certification:

By signing below the undersigned certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, (i) the securities referred to above were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect, and (ii) the foreign regulatory scheme applicable to MAM (EU) is substantially comparable to the regulatory scheme applicable to the functionally equivalent U.S. institution. The undersigned also undertakes to furnish to the Commission staff, upon request, information that would otherwise be disclosed in a Schedule 13D.

PAGE 7 OF 9 PAGES

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of its knowledge and belief, each of the undersigned certifies that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Manuli	fe Financial Corporation						
By:	/s/ Kenneth G. Pogrin		-				
Name:	Kenneth G. Pogrin						
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	Attorney in Fact*				
Manuli	Manulife Asset Management (North America) Limited						
By:	/s/ Kenneth G. Pogrin		-				
Name:	Kenneth G. Pogrin						
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	General Counsel and Secretary				
Manuli	fe Asset Management (US) LLC						
By:	/s/ William E. Corson		-				

Title:

Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer

Manulife Asset Management (Europe) Limited

Name: William E. Corson

Dated: February 13, 2012

By:	/s/ Peter S. Mennie		_
Name:	Peter S. Mennie		
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	Chief Operating Officer
_			17, 2008 included as an Exhibit to Schedule 13G filed with Financial Corporation on January 24, 2008.
		D. GE 2	
		PAGE 8	OF 9 PAGES

EXHIBIT A

JOINT FILING AGREEMENT

Manulife Financial Corporation, Manulife Asset Management (North America) Limited, Manulife Asset Management (US) LLC and Manulife Asset Management (Europe) Limited agree that the Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 1) to which this Agreement is attached, relating to the Common Stock of Sycamore Networks, Inc., is filed on behalf of each of them.

Manuli	Manulife Financial Corporation								
By:	/s/ Kenneth G. Pogrin								
Name:	Kenneth G. Pogrin								
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	Attorney in Fact*						
Manul	ife Asset Management (North Americ	ca) Limite	ed						
By:	/s/ Kenneth G. Pogrin								
Name:	Kenneth G. Pogrin								
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	General Counsel and Secretary						
Manuli	ife Asset Management (US) LLC								
By:	/s/ William E. Corson								
Name:	William E. Corson								
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer						

Manulife Asset Management (Europe) Limited

By:	/s/ Peter S. Mennie		-
Name:	Peter S. Mennie		
Dated:	February 13, 2012	Title:	Chief Operating Officer
			17, 2008 included as an Exhibit to Schedule 13G filed with inancial Corporation on January 24, 2008.

PAGE 9 OF 9 PAGES

mily:Times New Roman">(In thousands) 2014 2013 2014 2013 (Unaudited)

Net income

Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities

Less: reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses included in income

$$(111) \qquad (111)$$

Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax effect

Tax effect



Other comprehensive income (loss)

4,175 (8,662) 8,826 (10,020)

Comprehensive income

\$32,604 \$8,997 \$64,592 \$25,187

Home BancShares, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

				Accumulated Other	
				Comprehensive	
	Common	Capital	Retained	Income	
(In thousands, except share data)	Stock	Surplus	Earnings	(Loss)	Total
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 281	\$416,354	\$ 86,837	\$ 12,001	\$515,473
Comprehensive income:					
Net income			35,207		35,207
Other comprehensive income (loss)				(10,020)	(10,020)
Net issuance of 11,701 shares of common stock					
from exercise of stock options		79			79
2-for-1 stock split during June 2013	281	(281)			
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		48			48
Share-based compensation		595			595
Cash dividends Common Stock, \$0.14 per share			(7,872)		(7,872)
Balances at June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	562	416,795	114,172	1,981	533,510
Comprehensive income:					
Net income			31,313		31,313

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Other comprehensive income (loss)					(6,121)	(6,121)
Net issuance of 74,500 shares of common stock						
from exercise of stock options		1	351			352
Issuance of 8,763,930 shares of common stock						
from acquisition of Liberty, net of issuance costs						
of approximately \$577		88	289,421			289,509
Tax benefit from stock options exercised			788			788
Share-based compensation			703			703
Cash dividends Common Stock, \$0.15 per share				(9,099)		(9,099)
Balances at December 31, 2013		651	708,058	136,386	(4,140)	840,955
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				55,766		55,766
Other comprehensive income (loss)					8,826	8,826
Net issuance of 18,784 shares of common stock						
from exercise of stock options			97			97
Disgorgement of profits			25			25
Tax benefit from stock options exercised			196			196
Share-based compensation			1,140			1,140
Cash dividends Common Stock, \$0.15 per share				(9,770)		(9,770)
_						
Balances at June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	\$	651	\$709,516	\$ 182,382	\$ 4,686	\$897,235

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Home BancShares, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
(In thousands)	2014 (Unaud	2013
Operating Activities	(Chaud	iicu)
Net income	\$ 55,766	\$ 35,207
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating	, , , , , , ,	,,
activities:		
Depreciation	4,988	3,212
Amortization/(accretion)	16,170	8,840
Share-based compensation	1,140	595
Tax benefits from stock options exercised	(196)	(48)
(Gain) loss on assets	(1,852)	(1,318)
Provision for loan losses	13,053	850
Deferred income tax effect	10,563	6,811
Increase in cash value of life insurance	(569)	(398)
Originations of mortgage loans held for sale	(107,389)	(66,673)
Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held for sale	107,993	58,567
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable	2,097	1,881
Indemnification and other assets	25,322	37,615
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	17,165	8,163
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	144,251	93,304
Investing Activities		
Net (increase) decrease in federal funds sold	3,425	14,673
Net (increase) decrease in loans, excluding loans acquired	63,544	38,923
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(73,910)	(153,852)
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available-for-sale	138,930	123,942
Proceeds from sale of investment securities available-for-sale		278
Purchases of investment securities held-to-maturity	(99,212)	
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity	7,962	
Proceeds from foreclosed assets held for sale	24,954	15,042
Proceeds from sale of SBA loans		592
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(3,504)	(8,657)
Death benefits received		540
Net cash proceeds (paid) received market acquisitions		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	62,189	31,481
Financing Activities		

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Net increase (decrease) in deposits, excluding deposits acquired	(201,037)	(158,217)
Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(16,382)	7,183
Net increase (decrease) in FHLB borrowed funds	(1,551)	(137)
Retirement of subordinated debentures		(25,000)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	97	79
Disgorgement of profits	25	
Tax benefits from stock options exercised	196	48
Dividends paid on common stock	(9,770)	(7,872)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(228,422)	(183,916)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(21,982)	(59,131)
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	165,534	231,855
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 143,552	\$ 172,724

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Home BancShares, Inc.

Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Home BancShares, Inc. (the Company or HBI) is a bank holding company headquartered in Conway, Arkansas. The Company is primarily engaged in providing a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers through its wholly owned community bank subsidiary. Centennial Bank (the Bank). The Bank has locations in Arkansas, Florida and South Alabama. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions. The Company also is subject to the regulation of certain federal and state agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

A summary of the significant accounting policies of the Company follows:

Operating Segments

Operating segments are components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Bank is the only significant subsidiary upon which management makes decisions regarding how to allocate resources and assess performance. Each of the branches of the Bank provide a group of similar community banking services, including such products and services as commercial, real estate and consumer loans, time deposits, checking and savings accounts. The individual bank branches have similar operating and economic characteristics. While the chief decision maker monitors the revenue streams of the various products, services and branch locations, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Accordingly, all of the community banking services and branch locations are considered by management to be aggregated into one reportable operating segment, community banking.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of investment securities, the valuation of foreclosed assets, the valuations of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations, covered loans and the related indemnification asset. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of HBI and its subsidiaries. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

Various items within the accompanying consolidated financial statements for previous years have been reclassified to provide more comparative information. These reclassifications had no effect on net earnings or stockholders equity.

8

Interim financial information

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 have been prepared in condensed format, and therefore do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements.

The information furnished in these interim statements reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for each respective period presented. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations in the interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other quarter or for the full year. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s 2013 Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average shares and all potential dilutive shares outstanding during the period. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for the following periods:

	En	Months ded e 30,	2111 1/10110	hs Ended e 30,		
	2014	2013 2014		4 2013 2014		2013
		(In tho	usands)			
Net income	\$ 28,429	\$ 17,659	\$55,766	\$ 35,207		
Average shares outstanding	65,140	56,234	65,131	56,228		
Effect of common stock options	405	343	392	327		
Average diluted shares outstanding	65,545	56,577	65,523	56,555		
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.63		
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.62		

2. Business Combinations

Acquisition Liberty Bancshares, Inc.

On October 24, 2013, Home BancShares, Inc. acquired Liberty Bancshares, Inc. (Liberty), parent company of Liberty Bank of Arkansas (Liberty Bank). HBI issued 8,763,930 shares of its common stock valued at approximately \$290.1 million as of October 23, 2013, plus \$30.0 million in cash in exchange for all outstanding shares of Liberty common stock. Additionally, the Company also repurchased all of Liberty s SBLF preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury in connection with the closing.

Prior to the acquisition, Liberty Bank operated 46 banking offices located in northeast Arkansas, north central Arkansas and northwest Arkansas. Including the effects of the purchase accounting adjustments, the Company acquired approximately \$2.82 billion in assets, approximately \$1.73 billion in loans including loan discounts and

approximately \$2.13 billion of deposits. The merger significantly increased the Company s deposit market share in Arkansas.

See Note 2 Business Combinations in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for an additional discussion of the acquisition of Liberty.

9

3. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities that are classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity are as follows:

	June 30, 2014				
	Available-for-sale				
		Gross	Gross		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated	
	Cost	Gains	(Losses)	Fair Value	
		(In tho	usands)		
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 392,226	\$ 2,102	\$ (1,025)	\$ 393,303	
Mortgage-backed securities	493,393	4,020	(1,919)	495,494	
State and political subdivisions	173,817	5,320	(931)	178,206	
Other securities	55,657	556	(413)	55,800	
Total	\$1,115,093	\$ 11,998	\$ (4,288)	\$1,122,803	

	Held-to-Maturity					
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Estimated Fair Value		
		(In the	ousands)			
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 69,645	\$ 116	\$ (85)	\$ 69,676		
State and political subdivisions	135,921	2,708	(162)	138,467		
Total	\$ 205,566	\$ 2,824	\$ (247)	\$ 208,143		

	December 31, 2013 Available-for-sale					
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (In tho	Gross Unrealized (Losses) usands)	Estimated Fair Value		
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 467,535	\$ 1,330	\$ (5,324)	\$ 463,541		
Mortgage-backed securities	462,510	3,343	(4,265)	461,588		
State and political subdivisions	196,472	3,085	(4,045)	195,512		
Other securities	55,780	216	(1,153)	54,843		
Total	\$ 1,182,297	\$ 7,974	\$ (14,787)	\$ 1,175,484		

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

	Held-to-Maturity						
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses) nousands)	Estimated Fair Value			
		(111 ti	iousanus)				
State and political subdivisions	\$ 114,621	\$ 361	\$ (1,081)	\$ 113,901			
Total	\$ 114,621	\$ 361	\$ (1,081)	\$ 113,901			

Assets, principally investment securities, having a carrying value of approximately \$1.10 billion and \$1.13 billion at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required or permitted by law. Also, investment securities pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements totaled approximately \$144.6 million and \$161.0 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at June 30, 2014, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

10

	Available	e-for-Sale	Held-to-Maturity		
	Amortized	Estimated	Amortized	Estimated Fair	
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Value	
		(In thou	sands)		
Due in one year or less	\$ 338,986	\$ 339,830	\$ 49,928	\$ 50,353	
Due after one year through five years	568,944	572,852	109,091	110,441	
Due after five years through ten years	183,692	185,932	40,807	41,564	
Due after ten years	23,471	24,189	5,740	5,785	
Total	\$ 1,115,093	\$1,122,803	\$ 205,566	\$ 208,143	

For purposes of the maturity tables, mortgage-backed securities, which are not due at a single maturity date, have been allocated over maturity groupings based on anticipated maturities. The mortgage-backed securities may mature earlier than their weighted-average contractual maturities because of principal prepayments.

During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, no available-for-sale securities were sold.

During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, \$167,000 in available-for-sale securities were sold. The gross realized gains on these sales totaled approximately \$111,000. The income tax expense/benefit to net security gains and losses was 39.225% of the gross amounts.

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were \$205.6 million and \$114.6 million of held-to-maturity securities, respectively. There were no securities classified as held-to-maturity at June 30, 2013.

The Company evaluates all securities quarterly to determine if any unrealized losses are deemed to be other than temporary. In completing these evaluations the Company follows the requirements of FASB ASC 320, *Investments Debt and Equity Securities*. Certain investment securities are valued less than their historical cost. These declines are primarily the result of the rate for these investments yielding less than current market rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. The Company does not intend to sell or believe it will be required to sell these investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, no securities were deemed to have other-than-temporary impairment besides securities for which impairment was taken in prior periods.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had approximately \$3.2 million in unrealized losses, which have been in continuous loss positions for more than twelve months. Excluding impairment write downs taken in prior periods, the Company s assessments indicated that the cause of the market depreciation was primarily the change in interest rates and not the issuer s financial condition, or downgrades by rating agencies. In addition, approximately 80.7% of the Company s investment portfolio matures in five years or less. As a result, the Company has the ability and intent to hold such securities until maturity.

The following shows gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value of investment securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual investment securities have been in a continuous loss position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

				June	30, 2	014			
	Less T	Than 1	12						
	Mo	nths		12 Mon	12 Months or More		Total		
	Fair	Unre	ealized	Fair	Ur	realized	Fair	Un	realized
	Value	Lo	osses	Value		Losses	Value	ľ	Losses
				(In tl	iousa	nds)			
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 29,230	\$	(86)	\$ 56,47	1 \$	(939)	\$ 85,701	\$	(1,025)
Mortgage-backed securities	179,512		(691)	74,53	4	(1,313)	254,046		(2,004)
State and political subdivisions	14,762		(179)	30,07	3	(914)	44,835		(1,093)
Other securities	6,334		(331)	10,83	4	(82)	17,168		(413)
Total	\$ 229,838	\$ ((1,287)	\$ 171,912	2 \$	(3,248)	\$401,750	\$	(4,535)

	Less Than	12 Months	12 Mo	er 31, 2013 onths or Iore	Т	otal
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
			(In the	ousands)		
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 312,674	\$ (5,205)	\$ 6,529	\$ (119)	\$319,203	\$ (5,324)
Mortgage-backed securities	267,105	(3,968)	11,749	(297)	278,854	(4,265)
State and political subdivisions	130,718	(4,831)	4,042	(295)	134,760	(5,126)
Other securities	36,125	(1,153)			36,125	(1,153)
Total	\$ 746,622	\$ (15,157)	\$ 22,320	\$ (711)	\$ 768,942	\$ (15,868)

Income earned on securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
		(In thou	isands)		
Taxable:					
Available-for-sale	\$4,499	\$ 2,490	\$ 8,919	\$4,893	
Held-to-maturity	263		313		
Non-taxable:					
Available-for-sale	1,449	1,467	2,939	2,948	

Held-to-maturity 930 1,757

Total \$7,141 \$3,957 \$13,928 \$7,841

4. Loans Receivable Not Covered by Loss Share

The various categories of loans not covered by loss share are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2014 (In the	December 31, 2013 ousands)
Real estate:		
Commercial real estate loans		
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 1,733,029	\$ 1,739,668
Construction/land development	603,216	562,667
Agricultural	64,409	81,618
Residential real estate loans		
Residential 1-4 family	887,097	913,332
Multifamily residential	218,615	213,232
•		
Total real estate	3,506,366	3,510,517
Consumer	56,197	69,570
Commercial and industrial	447,459	511,421
Agricultural	56,852	37,129
Other	66,235	65,800
Loans receivable not covered by loss share	\$4,133,109	\$ 4,194,437

During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, no SBA loans were sold. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, no SBA loans were sold. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the Company sold \$536,000 of the guaranteed portion of an SBA loan, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$56,000.

Mortgage loans held for sale of approximately \$29.9 million and \$30.5 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, are included in residential 1-4 family loans. Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, determined using an aggregate basis. Gains and losses resulting from sales of mortgage loans are recognized when the respective loans are sold to investors. Gains and losses are determined by the difference between the selling price and the carrying amount of the loans sold, net of discounts collected or paid. The Company obtains forward commitments to sell mortgage loans to reduce market risk on mortgage loans in the process of origination and mortgage loans held for sale. The forward commitments acquired by the Company for mortgage loans in process of origination are not mandatory forward commitments. These commitments are structured on a best efforts basis; therefore, the Company is not required to substitute another loan or to buy back the commitment if the original loan does not fund. Typically, the Company delivers the mortgage loans within a few days after the loans are funded. These commitments are derivative instruments and their fair values at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were not material.

5. Loans Receivable Covered by FDIC Loss Share

The Company evaluated loans purchased in conjunction with the acquisitions under purchase and assumption agreements with the FDIC for impairment in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 310-30. Purchased covered loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected.

The following table reflects the carrying value of all purchased FDIC covered impaired loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 for the Company:

	June 30, 2014 (In	(In thousands) December 31, 2013		
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans				
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 107,171	\$	117,164	
Construction/land development	44,763		48,388	
Agricultural	1,145		1,232	
Residential real estate loans				
Residential 1-4 family	91,706		98,403	
Multifamily residential	10,002		10,378	
Total and out to	254 797		275 565	
Total real estate	254,787		275,565	
Consumer	20		20	
Commercial and industrial	7,368		5,852	
Other	982		1,079	
Loans receivable covered by FDIC loss share	\$ 263,157	\$	282,516	

The acquired loans were grouped into pools based on common risk characteristics and were recorded at their estimated fair values, which incorporated estimated credit losses at the acquisition dates. These loan pools are systematically reviewed by the Company to determine material changes in cash flow estimates from those identified at the time of the acquisition. Techniques used in determining risk of loss are similar to the Centennial Bank non-covered loan portfolio, with most focus being placed on those loan pools which include the larger loan relationships and those loan pools which exhibit higher risk characteristics. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$36.5 million and \$35.8 million, respectively, were accruing loans past due 90 days or more.

6. Allowance for Loan Losses, Credit Quality and Other

The following table presents a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for the non-covered and covered loan portfolios for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

For Loans
Not Covered Covered by FDIC
by Loss Share Loss Share Total

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

	(In thousands)			
Allowance for loan losses:				
Beginning balance	\$ 39,022	\$	4,793	\$43,815
Loans charged off	4,950		1,051	6,001
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	1,123		302	1,425
Net loans recovered (charged off)	(3,827)		(749)	(4,576)
Provision for loan losses for non-covered loans	13,053			13,053
Provision for loan losses forecasted outside of loss share			280	280
Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss				
share agreements			(1,399)	(1,399)
Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements			1,119	1,119
Net provision for loan losses for covered loans				
Increase in FDIC indemnification asset			(1,119)	(1,119)
Balance, June 30	\$ 48,248	\$	2,925	\$51,173

Allowance for Loan Losses and Credit Quality for Non-Covered Loans

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses for the non-covered loan portfolio for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and the allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in loans not covered by loss share based on portfolio segment by impairment method as of June 30, 2014. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one type of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories. Additionally, the Company s discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired was \$157.7 million, \$174.6 million \$80.3 million at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

	Cons	struction	/Co	Other ommercial	Commercial									
]	Land		Real	Re				Co	nsumer				
	Deve	elopmen	t	Estate	Re	al Estate		dustrial	&	Other 1	Una	llocated	1	Total
						(Ir	the	ousands)						
Allowance for loan														
losses:														
Beginning balance	\$	6,125	\$	16,717	\$	9,756	\$	3,867	\$	3,646	\$	3,913	\$	44,024
Loans charged off		(145)		(601)		(870)		(316)		(594)				(2,526)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off		20		199		(4)		30		390				635
Net loans recovered														
(charged off)		(125)		(402)		(874)		(286)		(204)				(1,891)
Provision for loan losses		657		3,255		1,134		133		422		514		6,115
Balance, June 30	\$	6,657	\$	19,570	\$	10,016	\$	3,714	\$	3,864	\$	4,427	\$	48,248

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

]	struction Land elopment	Otner ommercial Real Estate	R	esidential Real Estate (I	In	nmercial & dustrial ousands)	 nsumer Other	Una	illocated	l	Total
Allowance for loan												
losses:												
Beginning balance	\$	6,282	\$ 15,100	\$	8,889	\$	1,933	\$ 2,563	\$	4,255	\$	39,022
Loans charged off		(167)	(668)		(1,483)		(1,184)	(1,448)				(4,950)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off		45	221		53		65	739				1,123
011			221				02	, 37				1,125
		(122)	(447)		(1,430)		(1,119)	(709)				(3,827)

Othon

Net loans recovered							
(charged off)							
Provision for loan							
losses	497	4,917	2,557	2,900	2,010	172	13,053
Balance, June 30	\$ 6,657	\$ 19,570	\$ 10,016	\$ 3,714	\$ 3,864	\$ 4,427	\$ 48,248

As of June 30, 2014

		Other				As of June 50, 2014								
]	struction Land elopmen		Other ommercial Real Estate		esidential Real Estate (I	In	nmercial & dustrial ousands)		nsumer Other		llocated	l	Total
Allowance for loan														
losses: Period end amount														
allocated to:														
Loans individually														
evaluated for	Φ.	2021	Φ.	10 761	4	2 7 6 1	4		4				Φ.	45055
impairment Loans collectively	\$	2,824	\$	10,564	\$	2,561	\$	6	\$		\$		\$	15,955
evaluated for														
impairment		3,833		9,006		7,455		3,708		3,864		4,427		32,293
Loans evaluated for														
impairment, balance, June 30		6,657		19,570		10,016		3,714		3,864		4,427		48,248
		0,007		17,070		10,010		0,711		2,00.		.,,		10,210
Purchased credit														
impaired loans														
acquired														
Balance, June 30	\$	6,657	\$	19,570	\$	10,016	\$	3,714	\$	3,864	\$	4,427	\$	48,248
Loans receivable:														
Period end amount allocated to:														
Loans individually														
evaluated for														
impairment	\$	36,313	\$	52,919	\$	25,027	\$	5,443	\$	373	\$		\$	120,075
Loans collectively evaluated for														
impairment	5	39,747		1,617,441	1	,008,669		425,444	1	75,472			3	5,766,773
•		,		,				·		,				
Loans evaluated for														
impairment balance, June 30	5	576,060		1,670,360	1	,033,696		430,887	1	75,845			2	5,886,848
June 50	J	, , 0,000		1,070,300	1	,033,070		TJU,00/	1	13,043			3	,000,040
Purchased credit impaired loans		27,156		127,078		72,016		16,572		3,439				246,261

acquired						
Balance, June 30	\$603,216	\$ 1,797,438	\$ 1,105,712	\$ 447,459	\$ 179,284	\$ \$ 4,133,109

The following tables present the balances in the allowance for loan losses for the non-covered loan portfolio for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2013, and the allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in loans not covered by loss share based on portfolio segment by impairment method as of December 31, 2013. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one type of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

Year 1	Ended	December	31.	2013
--------	-------	-----------------	-----	------

			Other						, = 0 10			
	Construction	ZO1	mmercial			Cor	nmercial					
	Land		Real	Re	sidential		&	Co	nsumer			
	Development	t :	Estate	Re	al Estate	In	dustrial	&	Other	Una	allocated	Total
					(]	[n tl	housands)				
Allowance for loan losses:												
Beginning balance	\$5,816	\$	19,974	\$	13,813	\$	3,870	\$	1,288	\$	409	\$45,170
Loans charged off	(168)		(864)		(4,217)		(181)		(1,249)			(6,679)
Recoveries of loans												
previously charged off	15		113		726		33		370			1,257
Net loans recovered (charged	i											
off)	(153)		(751)		(3,491)		(148)		(879)			(5,422)
Provision for loan losses	793		(1,062)		(1,907)		(1,025)		644		3,307	750
Balance, June 30	6,456		18,161		8,415		2,697		1,053		3,716	40,498
Loans charged off	(830)		(3,190)		(2,091)		(356)		(1,051)			(7,518)
Recoveries of loans												
previously charged off	19		1,958		256		39		331			2,603
Net loans recovered (charged	1											
off)	(811)		(1,232)		(1,835)		(317)		(720)			(4,915)
Provision for loan losses	637		(1,829)		2,309		(447)		2,230		539	3,439
Balance, December 31	\$6,282	\$	15,100	\$	8,889	\$	1,933	\$	2,563	\$	4,255	\$39,022

As of December 31, 2013

			(Other						
	I	struction Land elopment]	nmercial Real Estate	F	Real state	Commercia & Industrial n thousands	Consumer & Other	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses: Period end amount										
allocated to:	\$	3,826	\$	8,359	\$	2,347	\$ 5	\$	\$	\$ 14,537

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

		_					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment							
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	2,456	6,741	6,542	1,928	2,563	4,255	24,485
Loans evaluated for impairment balance, December 31	6,282	15,100	8,889	1,933	2,563	4,255	39,022
Purchased credit impaired loans acquired							
Balance, December 31	\$ 6,282	\$ 15,100	\$ 8,889	\$ 1,933	\$ 2,563	\$ 4,255	\$ 39,022
Loans receivable:							
Period end amount allocated to:							
Loans individually evaluated for	Φ 22.560	4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Φ 20.112	. 5.562	Φ 222	•	ф. 105.01 7
impairment Loans collectively evaluated for	\$ 32,560	\$ 76,559	\$ 20,112	\$ 5,563	\$ 223	\$	\$ 135,017
impairment	500,279	1,592,343	1,027,093	484,036	164,224		3,767,975
Loans evaluated for impairment balance, December 31	532,839	1,668,902	1,047,205	489,599	164,447		3,902,992
Purchased credit impaired loans acquired	29,828	152,384	79,359	21,822	8,052		291,445
Balance, December 31	\$ 562,667	\$ 1,821,286	\$ 1,126,564	\$ 511,421	\$ 172,499	\$	\$4,194,437

The following is an aging analysis for the non-covered loan portfolio as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	June 30, 2014										
	Loans Past Due 30-59 Days	Loans Past Due 60-89 Days	Loans Past Due 90 Days or More	Total Past Due (In thous	Current Loans ands)	Total Loans Receivable	Accruing Loans Past Due 90 Days or More				
Real estate:											
Commercial real estate loans											
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 4,674	\$ 1,173	\$ 18,896	\$ 24,743	\$1,708,286	\$ 1,733,029	\$ 9,759				
Construction/land											
development	1,983	693	5,353	8,029	595,187	603,216	3,783				
Agricultural	173		67	240	64,169	64,409	28				
Residential real estate loans											
Residential 1-4 family	3,777	1,677	14,373	19,827	867,270	887,097	5,869				
Multifamily residential			620	620	217,995	218,615	620				
Total real estate	10,607	3,543	39,309	53,459	3,452,907	3,506,366	20,059				
Consumer	542	137	367	1,046	55,151	56,197	92				
Commercial and industrial	618	205	5,104	5,927	441,532	447,459	2,930				
Agricultural and other	364	14	201	579	122,508	123,087					
Total	\$12,131	\$ 3,899	\$44,981	\$61,011	\$4,072,098	\$4,133,109	\$ 23,081				

	December 31, 2013											
	Loans Past Due 30-59 Days	Loans Past Due 60-89 Days	Loans Past Due 90 Days or More	Total Past Due (In thous	Current Loans ands)	Total Loans Receivable	Accruing Loans Past Due 90 Days or More					
Real estate:												
Commercial real estate loans												
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 4,849	\$ 2,275	\$ 13,007	\$20,131	\$ 1,719,537	\$1,739,668	\$ 7,914					
Construction/land												
development	2,206	352	5,959	8,517	554,150	562,667	4,879					
Agricultural	1,040	1,082	89	2,211	79,407	81,618						
Residential real estate loans												
Residential 1-4 family	7,936	2,676	13,775	24,387	888,945	913,332	6,492					
Multifamily residential		1,437	2	1,439	211,793	213,232	1					

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Total real estate	16,031	7,822	32,832	56,685	3,453,832	3,510,517	19,286
Consumer	717	226	224	1,167	68,403	69,570	100
Commercial and industrial	4,363	405	5,218	9,986	501,435	511,421	3,755
Agricultural and other	778	110		888	102,041	102,929	
	***	* 0 = 5	* - 0 1	* **	*	*	
Total	\$ 21,889	\$ 8,563	\$ 38,274	\$ 68,726	\$4,125,711	\$4,194,437	\$ 23,141

Non-accruing loans not covered by loss share at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were \$21.9 million and \$15.1 million, respectively.

The following is a summary of the non-covered impaired loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

June 30, 2014
Three Months

Ended

Six Months Ended

Allocation **Unpaid Contractual** of Allowance Average **Total** Average **Principal** Recorded for Loan Recorded Interest Recorded Interest Balance **Investment** Losses InvestmenRecognizednvestmentRecognized (In thousands) Loans without a specific valuation allowance Real estate: Commercial real estate loans \$ Non-farm/non-residential \$ \$ \$ 1,691 \$ \$ 1,127 \$ 14 Construction/land development Agricultural Residential real estate loans 6 6 38 Residential 1-4 family 57 2 Multifamily residential Total real estate 6 6 1,748 1,165 16 Consumer Commercial and industrial Agricultural and other Total loans without a specific valuation allowance 6 6 1,748 16 1.165 Loans with a specific valuation allowance Real estate: Commercial real estate loans 793 Non-farm/non-residential 10,564 39,244 50,600 35,490 359 44,399 Construction/land development 26,917 28,181 2,824 22,535 261 24,100 415 Agricultural 92 67 73 78 Residential real estate loans Residential 1-4 family 20,219 17,397 17,328 129 17,087 253 1,400 Multifamily residential 4,304 4,323 1,161 4,789 33 3,881 61 15,949 782 89,545 1,522 Total real estate 102,132 85,458 83,969 Consumer 372 2 293 458 329 4 Commercial and industrial 6,919 5,443 6 5,700 41 5,655 84 201 Agricultural and other 201 100 67 Total loans with a specific valuation allowance 825 109,710 91,474 15,955 90,098 95,560 1,610

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Total impaired loans							
Real estate:							
Commercial real estate loans							
Non-farm/non-residential	50,600	35,490	10,564	40,935	359	45,526	807
Construction/land development	26,917	28,181	2,824	22,535	261	24,100	415
Agricultural	92	67		73		78	
Residential real estate loans							
Residential 1-4 family	20,225	17,403	1,400	17,385	129	17,125	255
Multifamily residential	4,304	4,323	1,161	4,789	33	3,881	61
Total real estate	102,138	85,464	15,949	85,717	782	90,710	1,538
Consumer	458	372		329	2	293	4
Commercial and industrial	6,919	5,443	6	5,700	41	5,655	84
Agricultural and other	201	201		100		67	
Total impaired loans	\$ 109,716	\$ 91,480	\$ 15,955	\$91,846	\$ 825	\$ 96,725	\$ 1,626

Note: Purchased non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality are accounted for on a pooled basis under ASC 310-30. All of these pools are currently considered to be performing resulting in none of the purchased non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality being classified as non-covered impaired loans as of June 30, 2014.

18

December 31, 2013

					Year Ended			
	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance		Total Recorded Investment	Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses (In thousands)	Average Recorded Investment			terest ognized
Loans without a specific valuation allowance								
Real estate:								
Commercial real estate loans								
Non-farm/non-residential	\$	1,449	\$	\$	\$	3,958	\$	177
Construction/land development						106		8
Agricultural								
Residential real estate loans								
Residential 1-4 family		6	6			1,016		34
Multifamily residential						534		1
Total real estate		1,455	6			5,614		220
Consumer								
Commercial and industrial						132		6
Agricultural and other								
Total loans without a specific valuation allowance		1,455	6			5,746		226
Loans with a specific valuation allowance		,				- ,		
Real estate:								
Commercial real estate loans								
Non-farm/non-residential		56,465	54,707	8,359		55,361		2,205
Construction/land development		29,461	27,231	3,826		23,121		878
Agricultural		89	89			83		
Residential real estate loans								
Residential 1-4 family		19,188	16,599	1,265		13,248		373
Multifamily residential		2,065	2,065	1,082		3,683		100
Total real estate	1	07,268	100,691	14,532		95,496		3,556
Consumer		254	223			385		5
Commercial and industrial		7,059	5,563	5		2,503		67
Agricultural and other								
Total loans with a specific valuation allowance	: 1	14,581	106,477	14,537		98,384		3,628
Total impaired loans								
Real estate:								
Commercial real estate loans								
Non-farm/non-residential		57,914	54,707	8,359		59,319		2,382
Construction/land development		29,461	27,231	3,826		23,227		886
Agricultural		89	89			83		
Residential real estate loans								

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Residential 1-4 family	19,194	16,605	1,265	14,264	407
Multifamily residential	2,065	2,065	1,082	4,217	101
Total real estate	108,723	100,697	14,532	101,110	3,776
Consumer	254	223		385	5
Commercial and industrial	7,059	5,563	5	2,635	73
Agricultural and other					
Total impaired loans	\$116,036	\$ 106,483	\$ 14,537	\$ 104,130	\$ 3,854

Note: Purchased non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality are accounted for on a pooled basis under ASC 310-30. All of these pools are currently considered to be performing resulting in none of the purchased non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality being classified as non-covered impaired loans as of December 31, 2013.

Interest recognized on non-covered impaired loans during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$825,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively. Interest recognized on non-covered impaired loans during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$1.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. The amount of interest recognized on non-covered impaired loans on the cash basis is not materially different than the accrual basis.

19

Credit Quality Indicators. As part of the on-going monitoring of the credit quality of the Company s loan portfolio, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including trends related to (i) the risk rating of loans, (ii) the level of classified loans, (iii) net charge-offs, (iv) non-performing loans and (v) the general economic conditions in Florida, Arkansas and Alabama.

The Company utilizes a risk rating matrix to assign a risk rating to each of its loans. Loans are rated on a scale from 1 to 8. Descriptions of the general characteristics of the 8 risk ratings are as follows:

Risk rating 1 Excellent. Loans in this category are to persons or entities of unquestionable financial strength, a highly liquid financial position, with collateral that is liquid and well margined. These borrowers have performed without question on past obligations, and the Bank expects their performance to continue. Internally generated cash flow covers current maturities of long-term debt by a substantial margin. Loans secured by bank certificates of deposit and savings accounts, with appropriate holds placed on the accounts, are to be rated in this category.

Risk rating 2 Good. These are loans to persons or entities with strong financial condition and above-average liquidity that have previously satisfactorily handled their obligations with the Bank. Collateral securing the Bank s debt is margined in accordance with policy guidelines. Internally generated cash flow covers current maturities of long-term debt more than adequately. Unsecured loans to individuals supported by strong financial statements and on which repayment is satisfactory may be included in this classification.

Risk rating 3 Satisfactory. Loans to persons or entities with an average financial condition, adequate collateral margins, adequate cash flow to service long-term debt, and net worth comprised mainly of fixed assets are included in this category. These entities are minimally profitable now, with projections indicating continued profitability into the foreseeable future. Closely held corporations or businesses where a majority of the profits are withdrawn by the owners or paid in dividends are included in this rating category. Overall, these loans are basically sound.

Risk rating 4 Watch. Borrowers who have marginal cash flow, marginal profitability or have experienced an unprofitable year and a declining financial condition characterize these loans. The borrower has in the past satisfactorily handled debts with the Bank, but in recent months has either been late, delinquent in making payments, or made sporadic payments. While the Bank continues to be adequately secured, margins have decreased or are decreasing, despite the borrower's continued satisfactory condition. Other characteristics of borrowers in this class include inadequate credit information, weakness of financial statement and repayment capacity, but with collateral that appears to limit exposure. Included in this category are loans to borrowers in industries that are experiencing elevated risk.

Risk rating 5 Other Loans Especially Mentioned (OLEM). A loan criticized as OLEM has potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the institution s credit position at some future date. OLEM assets are not adversely classified and do not expose the institution to sufficient risk to

warrant adverse classification.

Risk rating 6 Substandard. A loan classified as substandard is inadequately protected by the sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged. Loss potential, while existing in the aggregate amount of substandard loans, does not have to exist in individual assets.

Risk rating 7 Doubtful. A loan classified as doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in a loan classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. These are poor quality loans in which neither the collateral, if any, nor the financial condition of the borrower presently ensure collectability in full in a reasonable period of time; in fact, there is permanent impairment in the collateral securing the loan.

20

Risk rating 8 Loss. Assets classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that the continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather, it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset, even though partial recovery may occur in the future. This classification is based upon current facts, not probabilities. Assets classified as loss should be charged-off in the period in which they became uncollectible.

The Company s classified loans include loans in risk ratings 6, 7 and 8. The following is a presentation of classified non-covered loans (excluding loans accounted for under ASC Topic 310-30) by class as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

June 30, 2014
Risk Rated 6 Risk Rated 7 Risk Rated 8 Classified Total
(In thousands)

		(111 111	ousanus	,	
Real estate:					
Commercial real estate loans					
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 46,262	\$ 24	\$	\$	46,286
Construction/land development	17,389	26			17,415
Agricultural	39				39
Residential real estate loans					
Residential 1-4 family	13,805	237			14,042
Multifamily residential	2,073				2,073
Total real estate	79,568	287			79,855
Consumer	351	17			368
Commercial and industrial	3,410	1			3,411
Agricultural and other	232				232
Total	\$83,561	\$ 305	\$	\$	83,866

December 31, 2013
Risk Rated 6 Risk Rated 7 Risk Rated 8 Classified Total
(In thousands)

		(222 022	ousumus)	
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans				
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 55,874	\$ 1	\$	\$ 55,875
Construction/land development	19,140			19,140
Agricultural	89			89
Residential real estate loans				
Residential 1-4 family	12,747	196		12,943
Multifamily residential	2,064			2,064
Total real estate	89,914	197		90,111
Consumer	454			454
Commercial and industrial	2,620	2		2,622

Agricultural and other	32			32
Total	\$ 93,020	\$ 199	\$ \$	93,219

Loans may be classified, but not considered impaired, due to one of the following reasons: (1) The Company has established minimum dollar amount thresholds for loan impairment testing. All loans over \$1.0 million that are rated 5 8 are individually assessed for impairment on a quarterly basis. Loans rated 5 8 that fall under the threshold amount are not individually tested for impairment and therefore are not included in impaired loans; (2) of the loans that are above the threshold amount and tested for impairment, after testing, some are considered to not be impaired and are not included in impaired loans.

The following is a presentation of non-covered loans by class and risk rating as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	June 30, 2014											
	Risk Rated 1	Risk Rated 2	Risk Rated 3	Risk Rated 4 (In thousands	Risk Rated 5	Classified Total	Total					
Real estate:												
Commercial real estate loans												
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 259	\$ 2,126	\$ 1,050,713	\$ 485,505	\$21,613	\$ 46,286	\$ 1,606,502					
Construction/land												
development	16	116	209,044	338,992	10,477	17,415	576,060					
Agricultural			44,436	18,632	751	39	63,858					
Residential real estate loans												
Residential 1-4 family	232	70	658,272	137,044	14,641	14,042	824,301					
Multifamily residential			160,381	45,545	1,396	2,073	209,395					
Total real estate	507	2,312	2,122,846	1,025,718	48,878	79,855	3,280,116					
Consumer	14,271	22	30,791	8,307	731	368	54,490					
Commercial and industrial	13,644	5,773	282,384	123,147	2,528	3,411	430,887					
Agricultural and other	728	994	82,930	35,823	648	232	121,355					
Total risk rated loans	\$ 29,150	\$9,101	\$ 2,518,951	\$ 1,192,995	\$ 52,785	\$ 83,866	\$ 3,886,848					
Purchased credit impaired												
loans acquired							246,261					
Total non-covered loans							\$4,133,109					

		December 31, 2013											
	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Classified	T-4-1						
	Rated 1	Rated 2	Rated 3	Rated 4 (In thousands)	Rated 5	Total	Total						
Real estate:													
Commercial real estate													
loans													
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 3	\$ 3,135	\$1,039,110	\$ 462,957	\$ 28,380	\$ 55,875	\$1,589,460						
Construction/land													
development	54	94	198,228	303,590	11,732	19,140	532,838						
Agricultural	55		53,633	24,901	764	89	79,442						
Residential real estate loans													
Residential 1-4 family	393	146	654,739	155,744	17,241	12,943	841,206						
Multifamily residential			150,023	52,233	1,679	2,064	205,999						

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Total real estate	505	3,375	2,095,733	999,425	59,796	90,111	3,248,945
Consumer	15,566	32	42,647	7,244	848	454	66,791
Commercial and industrial	25,809	5,845	300,108	151,986	3,229	2,622	489,599
Agricultural and other	675	7,138	74,676	14,462	674	32	97,657
Total risk rated loans	\$42,555	\$ 16,390	\$ 2,513,164	\$ 1,173,117	\$ 64,547	\$ 93,219	\$3,902,992
Purchased credit impaired loans acquired							291,445
Total non-covered loans							\$4,194,437

The following is a presentation of non-covered TDR s by class as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

June 30, 2014

											Post-
	P	re-I	Modificatio	n					Rate	Mo	dification
	Number	Οι	ıtstanding		Rate		Term	&	Term	Outstanding	
	of Loans		Balance	Mo	dification	Mo	dification	Mod	lificatio	n B	Balance
Real estate:											
Commercial real estate loans											
Non-farm/non-residential	10	\$	24,981	\$	7,885	\$	8,667	\$	5,707	\$	22,259
Construction/land development	3		8,324		5,731		1,794				7,525
Residential real estate loans											
Residential 1-4 family	5		1,051		317		247		165		729
Multifamily residential	2		3,182		2,036				294		2,330
Total real estate	20		37,538		15,969		10,708		6,166		32,843
Commercial and industrial	1		380						330		330
Total	21	\$	37,918	\$	15,969	\$	10,708	\$	6,496	\$	33,173

December 31, 2013

	Pı	e-Modification	on		Rate	Post- Modification
	Number	Outstanding	Rate	Term	& Term	Outstanding
	of Loans	Balance	Modification	n Modification	Modification	Balance
			(Dollars	s in thousands)		
Real estate:						
Commercial real estate loans						
Non-farm/non-residential	14	\$ 36,454	\$ 13,029	\$ 8,384	\$ 10,554	\$ 31,967
Construction/land development	3	8,324	5,811	1,794		7,605
Residential real estate loans						
Residential 1-4 family	8	1,646	589	727	170	1,486
Multifamily residential	1	2,887	2,063			2,063
Total real estate	26	49,311	21,492	10,905	10,724	43,121
Commercial and industrial	1	380			345	345
Total	27	\$ 49,691	\$ 21,492	\$ 10,905	\$ 11,069	\$ 43,466

The following is a presentation of non-covered TDR s on non-accrual status as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 because they are not in compliance with the modified terms:

June 30, 2014 December 31, 2013 Number of LoansRecorded BalanceNumber of LoansRecorded Balance (Dollars in thousands)

		(Dollars III (iio asaiias,	
Real estate:				
Residential real estate loans				
Residential 1-4 family	3	\$ 482	4	\$ 854
Total real estate	3	482	4	854
Total	3	\$ 482	4	\$ 854

Allowance for Loan Losses and Credit Quality for Covered Loans

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses for the covered loan portfolio for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and the allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in loans covered by FDIC loss share based on portfolio segment by impairment method as of June 30, 2014.

Three Months	Ended Jun	ie 30, 2014
---------------------	-----------	-------------

	Const	truction	Other nmercial		112022	,		Consum	er	
	L	and lopment	Real Estate	Resi	Estate	& In	mercial dustrial sands)	&	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:										
Beginning balance	\$	1,723	\$ 2,183	\$	917	\$	144	\$	\$	\$ 4,967
Loans charged off		(97)	(797)				(157)			(1,051)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	,	4			120			4		128
Net loans recovered (charged										
off)		(93)	(797)		120		(157)	4		(923)
Provision for loan losses										
forecasted outside of loss share	2	11	106		148		15			280
Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable										
to FDIC loss share agreements	(1,317)	202		(294)		13	(3)	(1,399)
Benefit attributable to FDIC	`							`		
loss share agreements		1,306	(308)		146		(28)	3		1,119
Net provision for loan losses										
Increase in FDIC										
indemnification asset	(1,306)	308		(146)		28	(3)	(1,119)
Balance, June 30	\$	324	\$ 1,694	\$	891	\$	15	\$ 1	\$	\$ 2,925

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

	Construction	Other Commerci	al			(Consum	er	
	Land Development	Real	Re	Residential Commercial &					Total
Allowance for loan losses:									
Beginning balance	\$ 1,707	\$ 838	\$	2,113	\$	135	\$	\$	\$ 4,793
Loans charged off	(97)	(797	7)			(157)			(1,051)

Recoveries of loans previously charged off	10		:	288		4		302
Net loans recovered (charged off)	(87)	(797)	,	288	(157)	4		(749)
Provision for loan losses forecasted outside of loss	(87)	(191)		200	(137)	4		(149)
share	11	106		148	15			280
Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable								
to FDIC loss share								
agreements	(1,307)	1,547	(1,	558)	22	(3)		(1,399)
Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	1,296	(1,653)	1,	510	(37)	3		1,119
Net provision for loan losses								
Increase in FDIC indemnification asset	(1,296)	1,653	(1,	510)	37	(3)		(1,119)
Balance, June 30	\$ 324	\$ 1,694	\$	891	\$ 15	\$ 1	\$ \$	2,925

As of June 30, 2014

	Const	ruction		Other nmercial				Consum	er			
	L	and lopment]	Real Estate	 Estate	& Inc	nercial lustrial ands)	& Other	U	nallocated	l 1	Γotal
Allowance for loan losses:					(0110 01 0						
Period end amount allocated to:	d											
Loans individually evaluate for impairment	d \$		\$		\$	\$		\$		\$	\$	
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment						·				·	·	
Loans evaluated for impairment balance, June 30	0											
Purchased credit impaired loans acquired		324		1,694	891		15		1			2,925
Balance, June 30	\$	324	\$	1,694	\$ 891	\$	15	\$	1	\$	\$	2,925
Loans receivable: Period end amount allocated to:	d											
Loans individually evaluate for impairment	d \$		\$		\$	\$		\$		\$	\$	

Loans collectively evaluated for impairment

Loans evaluated for impairment balance, June 30

Purchased credit impaired loans acquired	44,763	108,316	101,708	7,368	1,002	263,157
Balance, June 30	\$ 44,763	\$ 108,316	\$ 101,708	\$ 7,368	\$ 1,002	\$ \$263,157

During the 2013 quarterly impairment testing on the estimated cash flows of the covered loans, the Company established that six pools evaluated had experienced material projected credit deterioration. As a result, the Company recorded a \$4.4 million provision for loan losses to the allowance for loan losses related to the purchased impaired loans during the year ended December 31, 2013. Since these loans are covered by loss share with the FDIC, the Company was able to increase the related indemnification asset by \$3.5 million resulting in a net provision for loan losses of \$991,000.

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses for the covered loan portfolio for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2013, and the allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in loans covered by FDIC loss share based on portfolio segment by impairment method as of December 31, 2013.

Year Ended December 31, 2013

				041	Y ea	ar Ended	Dec	ember 3	1, 2013			
	Cons	struction	Co	Other ommercial				(Consum	er		
]	Land		Real	Res	idential (Com	mercial	&			
	Deve	elopmen	t	Estate	Rea	d Estate &			Other	Unallocate	ed	Total
A 11 1 1						(In	thou	isands)				
Allowance for loan losses:	¢	1 160	\$	4.005	\$	220	\$	60	\$	\$	\$	5 460
Beginning balance	Þ	1,169 (720)	Ф	4,005 (3,426)	Э	228 (724)	Э	60 (157)	3	•	ф	5,462 (5,027)
Loans charged off Recoveries of loans		(720)		(3,420)		(724)		(137)				(3,027)
previously charged off				6		11						17
previously charged on				U		11						17
Net loans recovered (charged	l											
off)		(720)		(3,420)		(713)		(157)				(5,010)
Provision for loan losses												
before benefit attributable to												
FDIC loss share agreements		(288)		(113)		771		130				500
Benefit attributable to FDIC												
loss share agreements		230		90		(616)		(104)				(400)
N		(50)		(22)		1.5.5		26				100
Net provision for loan losses		(58)		(23)		155		26				100
Increase in FDIC		(220)		(00)		(1)		104				400
indemnification asset		(230)		(90)		616		104				400
Balance, June 30		161		472		286		33				952
Loans charged off		(185)				(102)						(287)
Recoveries of loans												
previously charged off		15		7		161						183
Net loans recovered (charged	Į											
off)		(170)		7		59						(104)
Provision for loan losses												
before benefit attributable to												
FDIC loss share agreements		1,716		359		1,768		102				3,945

Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	(1,348)	(46)	(1,549)	(111)		(3,054)
Net provision for loan losses Increase in FDIC	368	313	219	(9)		891
indemnification asset	1,348	46	1,549	111		3,054
Balance, December 31	\$ 1,707	\$ 838	\$ 2,113	\$ 135	\$ \$	\$ 4,793

As of December 31, 2013

				0.41		AS OF DE	cem	ber 31, 2	201.	•				
	L	ruction and lopmen		Other mmercial Real Estate		Real Estate	Ind	nmercial & lustrial isands)		&		allocated	l '	Fotal
Allowance for loan losses:														
Period end amount allocated to:														
Loans individually evaluated														
for impairment	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			\$	\$	
Loans collectively evaluated														
for impairment														
Loans evaluated for														
impairment balance,														
December 31														
Purchased credit impaired														
loans acquired		1,707		838		2,113		135						4,793
	ф	1 505	Φ.	020	Φ.	2.112	Φ.	105	ф			ф	Φ.	4.702
Balance, December 31	\$	1,707	\$	838	\$	2,113	\$	135	\$			\$	\$	4,793
Loans receivable:														
Period end amount allocated														
to:														
Loans individually evaluated														
for impairment	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			\$	\$	
Loans collectively evaluated			Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ			Ψ	Ψ	
for impairment														
тог ипранитент														
Loans evaluated for														
impairment balance,														
December 31														
20000001														
Purchased credit impaired														
loans acquired	4	8,388		118,396		108,781		5,852		1,099)		2	282,516
1		,						,						
Balance, December 31	\$4	8,388	\$	118,396	\$	108,781	\$	5,852	\$	1,099)	\$	\$ 2	282,516

Changes in the carrying amount of the accretable yield for purchased credit impaired loans acquired were as follows for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014 for the Company s covered and non-covered acquisitions:

	Accretable Yield (In thou	Carrying Amount of Loans usands)
Balance at beginning of period	\$119,981	\$ 573,961
Reforecasted future interest payments for loan pools	5,301	
Accretion recorded to interest income	(32,736)	32,736
Adjustment to yield	34,860	
Transfers to foreclosed assets held for sale		(8,849)
Payments received, net		(88,430)
Balance at end of period	\$ 127,406	\$ 509,418

The loan pools were evaluated by the Company and are currently forecasted to have a slower run-off than originally expected. As a result, the Company has reforecast the total accretable yield expectations for those loan pools by \$5.3 million. This updated forecast does not change the expected weighted average yields on the loan pools.

During the first six months of 2014, there were FDIC loss-sharing pools evaluated by the Company which were determined to have a materially projected credit improvement. As a result of this improvement, the Company will recognize approximately \$34.9 million as an adjustment to yield over the weighted average life of the loans. Improvements in credit quality decrease the basis in the related indemnification assets. This positive event will reduce the indemnification asset by approximately \$25.6 million and increase the FDIC true-up liability by \$2.2 million. The \$25.6 million will be amortized over the weighted average life of the loans or the life of the shared-loss agreements, whichever is shorter. The amortization will be shown as a reduction to FDIC indemnification non-interest income. The \$2.2 million will be expensed over the remaining true-up measurement date as other non-interest expense. This will result in approximately \$6.9 million of pre-tax net income being recognized going forward which may or may not be symmetrical depending on the weighted average life of the loans.

7. Goodwill and Core Deposits and Other Intangibles

Changes in the carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company s goodwill and core deposits and other intangibles at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	June 30, 2014	Decen	1ber 31, 2013		
Goodwill	(In thousands)				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 301,736	\$	85,681		
Liberty acquisition			216,055		
Balance, end of period	\$ 301,736	\$	301,736		

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

	June 30, 2014	Dec	ember 31, 2013
Core Deposit and Other Intangibles	(In t	housand	ds)
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 22,298	\$	12,061
Acquisitions			
Amortization expense	(2,314)		(1,604)
Balance, June 30	\$ 19,984		10,457
Acquisitions			13,861
Amortization expense			(2,020)
Balance, end of year		\$	22,298

The carrying basis and accumulated amortization of core deposits and other intangibles at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

	June 30, 2014	Decem	ber 31, 2013			
	(In t	(In thousands)				
Gross carrying basis	\$ 43,524	\$	43,524			
Accumulated amortization	(23,540)		(21,226)			
Net carrying amount	\$ 19,984	\$	22,298			

Core deposit and other intangible amortization expense was approximately \$1.1 million and \$802,000 for the three-months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Core deposit and other intangible amortization expense was approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.6 million for the six-months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Including all of the mergers completed as of June 30, 2014, HBI s estimated amortization expense of core deposits and other intangibles for each of the years 2014 through 2018 is approximately: 2014 - \$4.4 million; 2015 - \$3.6 million; 2016 - \$2.3 million; 2017 - \$2.2 million; 2018 - \$2.1 million.

The carrying amount of the Company s goodwill was \$301.7 million at both June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Goodwill is tested annually for impairment during the fourth quarter. If the implied fair value of goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill impairment is indicated and goodwill is written down to its implied fair value. Subsequent increases in goodwill value are not recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

8. Other Assets

Other assets consists primarily of FDIC claims receivable, equity securities without a readily determinable fair value and other miscellaneous assets. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 other assets were \$77.5 million and \$81.2 million, respectively.

An indemnification asset was created when the Company acquired FDIC covered loans. The indemnification asset represents the carrying amount of the right to receive payments from the FDIC for losses incurred on specified assets acquired from failed insured depository institutions or otherwise purchased from the FDIC that are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. When the Company experiences a loss on the covered loans and subsequently requests reimbursement of the loss from the FDIC, the indemnification asset is reduced by the FDIC reimbursable amount. A corresponding claim receivable is consequently recorded in other assets until the cash is received from the FDIC. The FDIC claims receivable was \$15.8 million and \$19.1 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The Company has equity securities without readily determinable fair values. These equity securities are outside the scope of ASC Topic 320, *Investments-Debt and Equity Securities*. They include items such as stock holdings in Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Reserve Bank, Bankers Bank and other miscellaneous holdings. The equity securities without a readily determinable fair value were \$50.3 million and \$52.6 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively and are accounted for at cost.

9. Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was \$696.9 million and \$877.4 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Interest expense applicable to certificates in excess of \$100,000 totaled \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Interest expense applicable to certificates in excess of \$100,000 totaled \$2.3 million and \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, brokered deposits were \$70.6 million and \$100.4 million, respectively.

Deposits totaling approximately \$958.4 million and \$1.02 billion at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, were public funds obtained primarily from state and political subdivisions in the United States.

27

10. Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$144.6 million and \$161.0 million, respectively. For the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, securities sold under agreements to repurchase daily weighted average totaled \$136.4 million and \$72.6 million, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, securities sold under agreements to repurchase daily weighted average totaled \$142.9 million and \$71.1 million, respectively.

11. FHLB Borrowed Funds

The Company s Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowed funds were \$349.1 million and \$350.7 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014, \$135.0 million and \$214.1 million of the outstanding balance were short-term and long-term advances, respectively. At December 31, 2013, \$130.3 million and \$220.4 million of the outstanding balances were short-term and long-term advances, respectively. The FHLB advances mature from the current year to 2025 with fixed interest rates ranging from 0.14% to 5.96% and are secured by loans and investments securities. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because FHLB may have the right to call or HBI the right to prepay certain obligations.

Additionally, the Company had \$172.0 million and \$191.0 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, in letters of credit under a FHLB blanket borrowing line of credit, which are used to collateralize public deposits at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

12. Subordinated Debentures

Subordinated debentures at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities with the following components:

	As of		
	June 30, 2014 (In t	Dece	As of ember 31, 2013 ads)
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2006, due 2036, fixed rate of 6.75% during the first five years and at a floating rate of 1.85% above the three-month LIBOR rate,			
reset quarterly, thereafter, currently callable without penalty	\$ 3,093	\$	3,093
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2004, due 2034, fixed rate of 6.00% during the first five years and at a floating rate of 2.00% above the three-month LIBOR rate,			
reset quarterly, thereafter, currently callable without penalty	15,464		15,464
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2005, due 2035, fixed rate of 5.84% during the first five years and at a floating rate of 1.45% above the three-month LIBOR rate,			
reset quarterly, thereafter, currently callable without penalty	25,774		25,774
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2004, due 2034, fixed rate of 4.29% during the first five years and at a floating rate of 2.50% above the three-month LIBOR rate,			
reset quarterly, thereafter, currently callable without penalty	16,495		16,495
Total	\$60,826	\$	60,826

The Company holds \$60.8 million of trust preferred securities which are currently callable without penalty based on the terms of the specific agreements. The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment subject to certain limitations. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds in the Company subordinated debentures, the sole asset of each trust. The trust preferred securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the subordinated debentures held by the trust. The Company wholly owns the common securities of each trust. Each trust subordinated debentures amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon the Company making payment on the related subordinated debentures. The Company subordinate securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of each respective trust subordinated securities issued by each respective trust.

13. Income Taxes

The following is a summary of the components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes for the three and six-month periods ended June 30:

	En	Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Current:		(In tho	usanus)		
Federal	\$ 7,825	\$ 8,719	\$ 17,857	\$11,213	
State	1,554	1,720	3,547	2,221	
Total current	9,379	10,439	21,404	13,434	
Deferred:					
Federal	5,873	(148)	8,813	5,666	
State	1,166	(9)	1,750	1,145	
Total deferred	7,039	(157)	10,563	6,811	
Provision for income taxes	\$ 16,418	\$10,282	\$31,967	\$ 20,245	

The reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate and effective income tax rate is as follows for the three and six-month periods ended June 30:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%
Effect of nontaxable interest income	(1.96)	(2.04)	(1.99)	(2.08)

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Cash value of life insurance	(0.22)	(0.21)	(0.23)	(0.20)
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	3.93	3.98	3.92	3.95
Other	(0.14)	0.07	(0.26)	(0.16)
Effective income tax rate	36.61%	36.80%	36.44%	36.51%

The types of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts that give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities, and their approximate tax effects, are as follows:

	June 30, 2014 (In	Decem thousand	nber 31, 2013 ds)
Deferred tax assets:			
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 20,072	\$	17,213
Deferred compensation	1,964		3,230
Stock options	335		277
Real estate owned	5,467		11,145
Loan discounts	41,002		65,639
Tax basis premium/discount on acquisitions	19,695		20,671
Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale			2,673
Investments	2,616		2,568
Other	7,476		6,992
Gross deferred tax assets	98,627		130,408
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Accelerated depreciation on premises and			
equipment	2,404		3,616
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	3,025		
Core deposit intangibles	4,867		5,650
Indemnification asset	12,322		29,074
FHLB dividends	1,602		1,602
Other	1,256		1,054
Gross deferred tax liabilities	25,476		40,996
Net deferred tax assets	\$73,151	\$	89,412

14. Common Stock and Compensation Plans

Stock Compensation Plans

The Company has a stock option and performance incentive plan known as the Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Option and Performance Incentive Plan (the Plan). The purpose of the Plan is to attract and retain highly qualified officers, directors, key employees, and other persons, and to motivate those persons to improve the Company s business results. The Plan provides for the granting of incentive nonqualified options to purchase stock or for the issuance of restricted shares up to 4,644,000 shares of common stock in the Company. At June 30, 2014, the Company has approximately 1,482,000 shares of common stock remaining available for grants or issuance under the plan and approximately 2,500,000 shares reserved for issuance of common stock.

The intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding and stock options vested at June 30, 2014 was \$22.0 million and \$19.2 million, respectively. The intrinsic value of the stock options exercised during the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 was approximately \$193,000 and \$506,000, respectively. Total unrecognized compensation cost,

net of income tax benefit, related to non-vested awards, which are expected to be recognized over the vesting periods, was approximately \$1.5 million as of June 30, 2014. For the first six months of 2014, the Company has expensed \$146,500 for the non-vested awards.

30

The table below summarizes the transactions under the Company s stock option plans at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and changes during the six-month period and year then ended:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 Weighted Average Exercisable		June 30		For the Y	er 31 W A Exe	
Outstanding, beginning of year	Shares (000) 966	\$	Price 9.57	Shares (000) 871	\$	6.66	
Granted	70	Ψ	33.54	184	Ψ	21.24	
Forfeited				(3)		8.60	
Exercised	(19)		5.18	(86)		5.01	
Outstanding, end of period	1,017		11.30	966		9.57	
Exercisable, end of period	740	\$	6.88	710	\$	6.20	

Stock-based compensation expense for stock-based compensation awards granted is based on the grant date fair value. For stock option awards, the fair value is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. Additionally, there may be other factors that would otherwise have a significant effect on the value of employee stock options granted but are not considered by the model. Accordingly, while management believes that the Black-Scholes option-pricing model provides a reasonable estimate of fair value, the model does not necessarily provide the best single measure of fair value for the Company s employee stock options. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$10.73 per share. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$4.50 per share. The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on the weighted-average assumptions for expected dividend yield, expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, and expected life of options granted.

		For the Year Ended
	For the Six Months Ended	December 31,
	June 30, 2014	2013
Expected dividend yield	0.89%	1.42%
Expected stock price volatility	30.94%	22.09%
Risk-free interest rate	2.31%	1.33%
Expected life of options	6.5 years	6.5 years

The following is a summary of currently outstanding and exercisable options at June 30, 2014:

				Op	tions
	Options Outstanding			Exer	cisable
	Options	Weighted- Average Remaining	Weighted-	Options	Weighted-
	Outstanding	Contractual	U	Exercisable	_
	Shares	Life	Exercise	Shares	Exercise
Exercise Prices	(000)	(in years)	Price	(000)	Price
\$3.08 to \$3.50	11	0.81	\$ 3.32	11	\$ 3.32
\$3.92 to \$4.34	45	1.09	4.25	45	4.25
\$4.78 to \$4.92	80	1.09	4.82	80	4.82
\$5.33 to \$5.33	199	1.35	5.33	199	5.33
\$5.54 to \$5.54	199	1.70	5.54	199	5.54
\$8.54 to \$8.60	77	3.54	8.57	77	8.57
\$9.25 to \$9.31	10	2.90	9.29	10	9.29
\$10.16 to \$11.37	55	2.80	10.33	55	10.33
\$13.12 to \$13.12	88	7.56	13.12	34	13.12
\$17.25 to \$34.80	253	9.08	24.64	30	18.23
	1,017			740	

The table below summarized the activity for the Company s restricted stock issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and changes during the period and year then ended:

	As of	As of
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(In	thousands)
Beginning of year	256	269
Issued	43	35
Vested	(30)	(32)
Forfeited	(2)	(16)
End of period	267	256
Amount of expense for six months and twelve months ended,		
respectively	\$771	\$ 1,086

On January 18, 2013, 18,000 shares of restricted common stock were issued to each non-employee member of the Board of Directors and 4,000 shares of restricted common stock to a regional president of the Company s bank subsidiary for a total issuance of 22,000 shares of restricted common stock. The restricted stock issued will vest equally each year over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the issuance.

On June 4, 2013, 12,666 shares of restricted common stock were issued to a regional president of the Company s bank subsidiary. Of these issued shares, 9,666 shares will vest equally each year over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the issuance. The remaining 3,000 shares are subject to performance based vesting (Performance Shares). The Performance Shares are set up to cliff vest on the third annual anniversary of the date that the performance goal is met. As of September 30, 2013, the performance goal was met when the Company averaged \$0.3125 diluted earnings per share for the past four consecutive quarters or total diluted earnings per share of \$1.25 during the same period. In accordance with the vesting terms of the Performance Shares agreements, the issued shares are due to fully vest on September 30, 2016.

On January 17, 2014, the Company granted 40,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to the Chairman, which will vest in three equal annual installments beginning on January 17, 2015, plus 3,000 restricted shares of HBI s common stock to a regional president of the Company s bank subsidiary, which will cliff vest on January 17, 2017.

On June 23, 2014, the Company granted 500 shares of HBI s restricted common stock to an employee, which will vest in five equal annual installments beginning on June 23, 2015.

32

The Company did not utilize a portion of its previously approved stock repurchase program during the first six months of 2014. This program authorized the repurchase of 2,376,000 shares of the Company s common stock. Shares repurchased to date under the program total 1,510,896 shares. The remaining balance available for repurchase is 865,104 shares at June 30, 2014.

15. Non-Interest Expense

The table below shows the components of non-interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months					
	Enc	ded	Six Mont	hs Ended		
	Jun	,	June	,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013		
		(In tho	usands)			
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 18,813	\$ 12,957	\$ 37,746	\$ 25,909		
Occupancy and equipment	6,251	3,894	12,477	7,488		
Data processing expense	1,793	1,231	3,586	2,741		
Other operating expenses:						
Advertising	581	120	1,103	813		
Merger and acquisition expenses	106	1	955	29		
Amortization of intangibles	1,147	802	2,314	1,604		
Electronic banking expense	1,312	960	2,650	1,823		
Directors fees	206	210	433	400		
Due from bank service charges	205	168	404	301		
FDIC and state assessment	1,058	677	2,172	1,307		
Insurance	582	555	1,196	1,121		
Legal and accounting	419	394	836	716		
Other professional fees	583	490	1,090	963		
Operating supplies	515	332	987	675		
Postage	327	231	679	438		
Telephone	463	291	917	594		
Other expense	4,259	2,542	8,432	4,796		
Total other operating expenses	11,763	7,773	24,168	15,580		
Total non-interest expense	\$ 38,620	\$ 25,855	\$77,977	\$51,718		

16. Concentration of Credit Risks

The Company s primary market areas are in Arkansas, Florida and South Alabama. The Company primarily grants loans to customers located within these geographical areas unless the borrower has an established relationship with the Company.

The diversity of the Company s economic base tends to provide a stable lending environment. Although the Company has a loan portfolio that is diversified in both industry and geographic area, a substantial portion of its debtors ability

to honor their contracts is dependent upon real estate values, tourism demand and the economic conditions prevailing in its market areas.

17. Significant Estimates and Concentrations

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require disclosure of certain significant estimates and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations. Estimates related to the allowance for loan losses and certain concentrations of credit risk are reflected in Note 6, while deposit concentrations are reflected in Note 9.

Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, non-covered commercial real estate loans represented 58.1% and 56.8% of non-covered loans, respectively, and 267.6% and 283.5% of total stockholders equity, respectively. Non-covered residential real estate loans represented 26.8% and 26.9% of non-covered loans and 123.2% and 134.0% of total stockholders equity at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Approximately 87.2% of the Company s loans as of June 30, 2014, are to the borrowers in Alabama, Arkansas and Florida, the three states in which the Company has its primary market areas. Additionally, the Company has 85.6% of its loans as real estate loans primarily in Arkansas, Florida and South Alabama.

Although general economic conditions nationally and locally in our market areas have improved over the past three years and show signs of continued improvement, financial institutions still face circumstances and challenges which in some cases have and could potentially result in large declines in the fair values of investments and other assets, constraints on liquidity and significant credit quality problems, including severe volatility in the valuation of real estate and other collateral supporting loans. The financial statements have been prepared using values and information currently available to the Company.

Given the volatility of economy in the latter years of the last decade, the values of assets and liabilities recorded in the financial statements could change rapidly, resulting in material future adjustments in asset values, the allowance for loan losses and capital that could negatively impact the Company s ability to meet regulatory capital requirements and maintain sufficient liquidity.

18. Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities to fulfill the financing needs of their customers. These commitments and contingent liabilities include lines of credit and commitments to extend credit and issue standby letters of credit. The Company applies the same credit policies and standards as they do in the lending process when making these commitments. The collateral obtained is based on the assessed creditworthiness of the borrower.

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, commitments to extend credit of \$688.1 million and \$623.5 million, respectively, were outstanding. A percentage of these balances are participated out to other banks; therefore, the Company can call on the participating banks to fund future draws. Since some of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Outstanding standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by the Company, generally to guarantee the performance of a customer in third-party borrowing arrangements. The term of the guarantee is dependent upon the credit worthiness of the borrower some of which are long-term. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, commercial real estate and residential real estate. Management uses the same credit policies in granting lines of credit as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments. The

maximum amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these guarantees at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, is \$18.8 million and \$21.4 million, respectively.

The Company and/or its bank subsidiary have various unrelated legal proceedings, most of which involve loan foreclosure activity pending, which, in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations or cash flows of the Company and its subsidiary.

19. Regulatory Matters

The Bank is subject to a legal limitation on dividends that can be paid to the parent company without prior approval of the applicable regulatory agencies. Arkansas bank regulators have specified that the maximum dividend limit state banks may pay to the parent company without prior approval is 75% of the current year earnings plus 75% of the retained net earnings of the preceding year. Since the Bank is also under supervision of the Federal Reserve, it is further limited if the total of all dividends declared in any calendar year by the Bank exceeds the Bank s net profits to date for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years. During the first six months of 2014, the Company requested approximately \$39.3 million in regular dividends from its banking subsidiary. This dividend is equal to approximately 68.4% of our banking subsidiary s first six months earnings.

The Federal Reserve Board s risk-based capital guidelines include the definitions for (1) a well-capitalized institution, (2) an adequately-capitalized institution, and (3) an undercapitalized institution. The criteria for a well-capitalized institution are: a 5% Tier 1 leverage capital ratio, a 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and a 10% total risk-based capital ratio. As of June 30, 2014, the Bank met the capital standards for a well-capitalized institution. The Company s Tier 1 leverage capital ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio were 9.71%, 12.53%, and 13.56%, respectively, as of June 30, 2014.

20. Additional Cash Flow Information

The following is summary of the Company s additional cash flow information during the six-month periods ended:

	Six	Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2014		2013	
		(In thousands)			
Interest paid	\$	9,511	\$	7,373	
Income taxes paid		9,850		5,550	
Assets acquired by foreclosure		10,718		5,569	

21. Financial Instruments

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. There is a hierarchy of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- **Level 2** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities
- **Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

Available-for-sale securities are the only material instruments valued on a recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value. The Company does not have any Level 1 securities. Primarily all of the Company s securities

are considered to be Level 2 securities. These Level 2 securities consist primarily of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, mortgage-backed securities plus state and political subdivisions. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond s terms and conditions, among other things. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Level 3 securities were immaterial. In addition, there were no material transfers between hierarchy levels during 2014 and 2013.

The Corporation reviews the prices supplied by the independent pricing service, as well as their underlying pricing methodologies, for reasonableness and to ensure such prices are aligned with traditional pricing matrices. In general, the Company does not purchase investment portfolio securities with complicated structures. Pricing for the Company s investment securities is fairly generic and is easily obtained.

Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are the only material financial assets valued on a non-recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value. Loan impairment is reported when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Impaired loans are carried at the net realizable value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require an increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. The fair value of loans with specific allocated losses was \$75.5 million and \$91.9 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. This valuation is considered Level 3, consisting of appraisals of underlying collateral. The Company reversed approximately \$459,000 and \$177,000 of accrued interest receivable when non-covered impaired loans were put on non-accrual status during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company reversed approximately \$563,000 and \$306,000 of accrued interest receivable when non-covered impaired loans were put on non-accrual status during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Foreclosed assets held for sale are the only material non-financial assets valued on a non-recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value, less estimated costs to sell. At foreclosure, if the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, of the real estate acquired is less than the Company s recorded investment in the related loan, a write-down is recognized through a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, valuations are periodically performed by management and any subsequent reduction in value is recognized by a charge to income. The fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale is estimated using Level 3 inputs based on appraisals of underlying collateral. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale not covered by loss share, less estimated costs to sell was \$21.0 million and \$29.9 million, respectively.

The significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs used in the fair value measurement of collateral for collateral-dependent impaired loans and foreclosed assets primarily relate to customized discounting criteria applied to the customer's reported amount of collateral. The amount of the collateral discount depends upon the condition and marketability of the underlying collateral. As the Company's primary objective in the event of default would be to monetize the collateral to settle the outstanding balance of the loan, less marketable collateral would receive a larger discount. During the reported periods, collateral discounts ranged from 20% to 50% for commercial and residential real estate collateral.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments as disclosed in these notes:

Cash and cash equivalents and federal funds sold For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment securities held-to-maturity These securities consist primarily of mortgage-backed securities plus state and political subdivisions. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond s terms and conditions, among other things.

Loans receivable not covered by loss share, net of non-covered impaired loans and allowance For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are assumed to approximate the carrying amounts. The fair values for fixed-rate loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Loan fair value estimates include judgments regarding future expected loss experience and risk characteristics.

Loans receivable covered by FDIC loss share, net of allowance Fair values for loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that considered factors including the type of loan and related collateral, classification status, fixed or variable interest rate, term of loan, whether or not the loan was amortizing and current discount rates. Loans were grouped together according to similar characteristics and were treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques. The discount rates used for loans are based on current market rates for new originations of comparable loans and include adjustments for liquidity concerns. The discount rate does not include a factor for credit losses as that has been included in the estimated cash flows.

FDIC indemnification asset Although this asset is a contractual receivable from the FDIC, there is no effective interest rate. The Bank will collect this asset over the next several years. The amount ultimately collected will depend on the timing and amount of collections and charge-offs on the acquired assets covered by the loss sharing agreement. While this asset was recorded at its estimated fair value at acquisition date, it is not practicable to complete a fair value analysis on a quarterly or annual basis. This would involve preparing a fair value analysis of the entire portfolio of loans and foreclosed assets covered by the loss sharing agreement on a quarterly or annual basis in order to estimate the fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset.

Accrued interest receivable The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable approximates its fair value.

Deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase The fair values of demand, savings deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand and therefore approximate their carrying amounts. The fair values for time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that utilizes interest rates currently being offered on time deposits with similar contractual maturities.

FHLB borrowed funds For short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of long-term debt is estimated based on the current rates available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Accrued interest payable The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

Subordinated debentures The fair value of subordinated debentures is estimated using the rates that would be charged for subordinated debentures of similar remaining maturities.

Commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and lines of credit The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair values of letters of credit and lines of credit are based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date. The fair value of these commitments is not material.

The following table presents the estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments. The fair values of certain of these instruments were calculated by discounting expected cash flows, which involves significant judgments by management and uncertainties. Fair value is the estimated amount at which financial assets or liabilities could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Because no market exists for certain of these financial instruments and because management does not intend to sell these financial instruments, the Company does not know whether the fair values shown below represent values at which the respective financial instruments could be sold individually or in the aggregate.

	June 30, 2014			
	Carrying			
	Amount	Fair Value	Level	
	(In tho	usands)		
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 143,552	\$ 143,552	1	
Federal funds sold	850	850	1	
Investment securities held-to-maturity	205,566	208,143	2	
Loans receivable not covered by loss share, net of non-covered impaired loans				
and allowance	4,009,336	3,994,250	3	
Loans receivable covered by FDIC loss share, net of allowance	260,232	260,232	3	
FDIC indemnification asset	56,626	56,626	3	
Accrued interest receivable	20,847	20,847	1	
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits:				
Demand and non-interest bearing	\$ 1,129,073	\$1,129,073	1	
Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts	2,756,060	2,756,060	1	
Time deposits	1,306,876	1,308,138	3	
Federal funds purchased			N/A	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	144,602	144,602	1	
FHLB borrowed funds	349,110	356,188	2	
Accrued interest payable	1,124	1,124	1	
Subordinated debentures	60,826	60,826	3	

	Deco Carrying		
	Amount	Fair Value usands)	Level
Financial assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 165,534	\$ 165,534	1
Federal funds sold	4,275	4,275	1
Investment securities held-to-maturity	114,621	113,901	2
Loans receivable not covered by loss share, net of non-covered impaired			
loans and allowance	4,063,469	4,053,098	3
Loans receivable covered by FDIC loss share, net of allowance	277,723	277,723	3
FDIC indemnification asset	89,611	89,611	3
Accrued interest receivable	22,944	22,944	1
Financial liabilities:			
Deposits:			
Demand and non-interest bearing	\$ 991,161	\$ 991,161	1
Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts	2,792,423	2,792,423	1
Time deposits	1,609,462	1,606,664	3
Federal funds purchased			N/A
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	160,984	160,984	1
FHLB borrowed funds	350,661	357,674	2
Accrued interest payable	1,252	1,252	1
Subordinated debentures	60,826	60,826	3

22. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-04, Receivables: Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure (Topic 310-40). ASU 2014-04 clarifies that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs upon either the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property or the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. The amendments are effective for annual periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The amendments may be adopted using either a modified retrospective transition method or a prospective transition method. Early adoption is permitted. Adoption of ASU 2014-04 is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company s financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-01, Accounting for Investments in Affordable Housing Projects (Topic 323). ASU 2014-01 revises the necessary criteria that need to be met in order for an entity to account for investments in affordable housing projects net of the provision for income taxes. It also changes the method of recognition from an effective amortization approach to a proportional amortization approach. Additional disclosures were also set forth in this update. The amendments are effective for annual periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The amendments are required to be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption is permitted. Adoption of ASU 2014-01 is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company s financial statements.

Presently, the Company is not aware of any changes from the Financial Accounting Standards Board that will have a material impact on the Company s present or future financial statements.

23. Subsequent Events

Business Combination Florida Traditions Bank Subsequent to June 30, 2014, on July 17, 2014, the Company completed its acquisition of Florida Traditions Bank (FTB) pursuant to a previously announced definitive agreement and plan of merger whereby FTB merged with and into Centennial Bank (Centennial). Under the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated April 25, 2014, by and among HBI, Centennial, and FTB, HBI issued 1,316,072 shares of its common stock valued at approximately \$39.5 million as of July 17, 2014, in exchange for all outstanding shares of FTB common stock.

Prior to the acquisition, FTB operated eight banking locations in Central Florida, including its main office in Dade City, Florida. As of June 30, 2014, FTB had approximately \$307.2 million in total assets, \$255.6 million in loans, and \$272.6 million in deposits. Upon completion of the transaction, excluding the effects of the purchase accounting adjustments, the combined company now has approximately \$7.0 billion in total assets, \$5.5 billion in total deposits, \$4.7 billion in total loans and 149 branches across Arkansas, Florida and South Alabama.

The transaction is accretive to the Company s book value per common share and tangible book value per common share.

Business Combination Broward Financial Holdings, Inc. Subsequent to June 30, 2014, on July 30, 2014, the Company and Centennial, entered into a definitive agreement with Broward Financial Holdings, Inc. (Broward), parent company of Broward Bank of Commerce to merge into HBI. Under the terms of the agreement, shareholders of Broward will receive proceeds from the transaction between \$33,060,001 and \$33,960,001 as a combination of both HBI common stock and cash split 90% and 10%, respectively.

Broward currently operates two banking locations in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. As of June 30, 2014, Broward had approximately \$168.5 million in total assets, \$110.8 million in loans, and \$143.8 million in deposits.

The acquisition is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2014 and is subject to shareholder approval, regulatory approvals, and other customary conditions.

39

Common Stock Cash Dividends For the third quarter of 2014, the Board of Directors declared a regular \$0.10 per share quarterly cash dividend payable September 3, 2014, to shareholders of record August 13, 2014. This cash dividend represents a \$0.025 per share, or 33.3%, increase over the \$0.075 per share cash dividend paid during the last five consecutive quarters.

40

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders

Home BancShares, Inc.

Conway, Arkansas

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Home BancShares, Inc. (the Company) as of June 30, 2014, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three-month and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 and condensed consolidated statements of stockholders equity and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders—equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 28, 2014, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ BKD, LLP

Little Rock, Arkansas

August 7, 2014

Item 2: MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2014, which includes the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013. Unless the context requires otherwise, the terms Company, us, we, and our refer to Home BancShares, Inc. on a consolidated basis.

General

We are a bank holding company headquartered in Conway, Arkansas, offering a broad array of financial services through our wholly owned bank subsidiary, Centennial Bank. As of June 30, 2014, we had, on a consolidated basis, total assets of \$6.67 billion, loans receivable, net of \$4.35 billion, total deposits of \$5.19 billion, and stockholders equity of \$897.2 million.

We generate most of our revenue from interest on loans and investments, service charges, and mortgage banking income. Deposits and FHLB borrowed funds are our primary source of funding. Our largest expenses are interest on our funding sources and salaries and related employee benefits. We measure our performance by calculating our return on average common equity, return on average assets, and net interest margin. We also measure our performance by our efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing non-interest expense less amortization of core deposit intangibles by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and non-interest income.

Table 1: Key Financial Measures

			As of or fo	r the Six
	As of or for the	Three Months	Mon	ths
	Ended Ju	une 30,	Ended J	une 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollar	s in thousands, e	except per share	data)
Total assets	\$6,666,140	\$4,091,337	\$6,666,140	\$4,091,337
Loans receivable not covered by loss share	4,133,109	2,339,242	4,133,109	2,339,242
Loans receivable covered by FDIC loss share	263,157	329,802	263,157	329,802
Allowance for loan losses	51,173	41,450	51,173	41,450
FDIC claims receivable	15,783	27,550	15,783	27,550
Total deposits	5,192,009	3,325,235	5,192,009	3,325,235
Total stockholders equity	897,235	533,510	897,235	533,510
Net income	28,429	17,659	55,766	35,207
Basic earnings per share	0.44	0.32	0.86	0.63
Diluted earnings per share	0.43	0.31	0.85	0.62
Diluted earnings per share excluding intangible				
amortization (1)	0.44	0.32	0.87	0.64
Annualized net interest margin FTE	5.50%	5.18%	5.49%	5.16%
Efficiency ratio	41.09	44.98	41.58	45.50
Annualized return on average assets	1.70	1.71	1.67	1.70
Annualized return on average common equity	12.96	13.27	12.98	13.47

(1) See Table 26 Diluted Earnings Per Share Excluding Intangible Amortization for a reconciliation to GAAP for diluted earnings per share excluding intangible amortization.

42

Overview

Credit Improvement in Covered Loan Pools

Impairment testing on the estimated cash flows of the covered loan pools is performed each quarter. Because the economy has improved since the impaired loans were acquired, quite often the impairment test revealed there was a projected credit improvement in the loan pools. As a result of these improvements, the Company is recognizing additional adjustments to yield over the weighted average life of the loans. Improvements in credit quality decrease the basis in the related indemnification asset and increase our FDIC true up liability. These positive events are reducing the indemnification asset and increasing our FDIC true-up liability. The indemnification asset reduction is being amortized over the weighted average life of the shared-loss agreements. This amortization is being shown as a reduction to FDIC indemnification non-interest income. The true-up liability is being expensed over the remaining true-up measurement date as other non-interest expense.

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the recognition of these positive events and the financial impact to the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Table 2: Overall Estimated Impact to Financial Statements Initially Reported

	Additional Adjustment to Yield	Inde	luction of nnification Asset housands)	F Tr	crease of DIC ue-up ability
Periods Tested:					
Prior to 2013	\$ 5,022	\$	3,876	\$	502
March 31, 2013	15,566		12,453		1,657
June 30, 2013					
September 30, 2013					
December 31, 2013	14,061		8,389		1,331
March 31, 2014	11,432		8,346		1,143
June 30, 2014	23,428		17,330		1,128
Total	\$ 69,509	\$	50,394	\$	5,761

Table 3: Financial Impact for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

		Amortization of	FDIC True-
	Yield Accretion Income	Indemnification Asset	up Expense
		(In thousands)	
Three Months Ended:			

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

June 30, 2013	\$ 2,820	\$ (2,636)	\$ (79)
June 30, 2014	7,670	(6,799)	(270)
Additional income (expense)	\$ 4,850	\$ (4,163)	\$ (191)
Six Months Ended:			
June 30, 2013	\$ 5,351	\$ (5,080)	\$ (157)
June 30, 2014	13,344	(11,768)	(435)
Additional income (expense)	\$ 7,993	\$ (6,688)	\$ (278)

Results of Operations for Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Our net income increased \$10.8 million or 61.0% to \$28.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$17.7 million for the same period in 2013. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our earnings were \$0.43 and \$0.31 for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The \$10.8 million increase in net income is primarily associated with the \$33.2 million of additional net interest income primarily resulting from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty and the additional accretion income from our previous FDIC covered loan acquisitions. Furthermore, there was \$358,000 of additional gains from the sale of premises & equipment, investment securities and OREO. These improvements were partially offset by a modest increase in the costs associated with the asset growth from our Liberty acquisition, \$4.3 million of additional amortization of the indemnification asset plus an increase in provision for loan losses of \$5.3 million in second quarter of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013.

Our annualized net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 5.50% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 5.18% for the same period in 2013. The numerous pools which have been determined to have material projected credit improvement as a result of the quarterly impairment testings and the acquisition of Liberty have significantly changed the mix and metrics on the net interest margin since December 31, 2012. Although there have been many changes since 2012, the Company continues to remain focused on expanding its net interest margin through opportunities such as improved pricing on interest-bearing deposits.

Our annualized return on average assets was 1.70% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 1.71% for the same period in 2013. Our annualized return on average common equity was 12.96% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 13.27% for the same period in 2013, respectively. The slight declines in our profitably ratios from 2013 to 2014 are primarily related to the acquisition of Liberty which historically performed below our profitability ratios. While we have been making significant progress improving the performance of the Liberty franchise, they have not been brought up to the historical performance metrics of our Company.

Our efficiency ratio was 41.09% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 44.98% for the same period in 2013. For the second quarter of 2014, our core efficiency ratio was 41.56% which is improved from the 45.76% reported for second quarter of 2013. The improvement in the core efficiency ratio is primarily associated with additional net interest income and other non-interest income resulting from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty offset by a modest increase in costs associated with the asset growth from our acquisition.

Additional information and analysis for our earnings can be found in Table 21 of our Non-GAAP Financial Measurement section of the Management Discussion and Analysis.

Results of Operations for Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Our net income increased \$20.6 million or 58.4% to \$55.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$35.2 million for the same period in 2013. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our earnings were \$0.85 and \$0.62 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The \$20.6 million increase in net income is primarily associated with the \$65.9 million of additional net interest income primarily resulting from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty and the additional accretion income from our previous FDIC covered loan acquisitions. Furthermore, there was \$805,000 of additional gains from the sale of premises & equipment, investment securities and OREO. These improvements were partially offset by a modest increase in the costs associated with the asset growth from our Liberty acquisition, \$7.1 million of additional amortization of the indemnification asset plus an increase in provision for loan losses of \$12.2 million in the first six months of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013.

Our annualized net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 5.49% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 5.16% for the same period in 2013. The numerous pools which have been determined to have material projected credit improvement as a result of the quarterly impairment testings and the acquisition of Liberty have significantly changed the mix and metrics on the net interest margin since December 31, 2012. Although there have been many changes since 2012, the Company continues to remain focused on expanding its net interest margin through opportunities such as improved pricing on interest-bearing deposits.

44

Our annualized return on average assets was 1.67% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 1.70% for the same period in 2013. Our annualized return on average common equity was 12.98% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 13.47% for the same period in 2013, respectively. The slight declines in our profitably ratios from 2013 to 2014 are primarily related to the acquisition of Liberty which historically performed below our profitability ratios. While we have been making significant progress improving the performance of the Liberty franchise, they have not been brought up to the historical performance metrics of our Company.

Our efficiency ratio was 41.58% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 45.50% for the same period in 2013. For the first six months of 2014, our core efficiency ratio was 41.48% which is improved from the 46.07% reported for the first six months of 2013. The improvement in the core efficiency ratio is primarily associated with additional net interest income and other non-interest income resulting from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty offset by a modest increase in costs associated with the asset growth from our acquisitions and additional merger expenses.

Additional information and analysis for our earnings can be found in Table 21 of our Non-GAAP Financial Measurement section of the Management Discussion and Analysis.

Financial Condition as of and for the Period Ended June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013

Our total assets as of June 30, 2014 decreased \$145.7 million to \$6.67 billion from the \$6.81 billion reported as of December 31, 2013. Our loan portfolio not covered by loss share decreased by \$61.3 million to \$4.13 billion as of June 30, 2014, from \$4.19 billion as of December 31, 2013. Our loan portfolio covered by loss share decreased by \$19.4 million to \$263.2 million as of June 30, 2014, from \$282.5 million as of December 31, 2013. These decreases are primarily associated with pay-downs and payoffs. Stockholders equity increased \$56.3 million to \$897.2 million as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$841.0 million as of December 31, 2013. The annualized improvement in stockholders equity for the first six months of 2014 was 13.5%. The increase in stockholders equity is primarily associated with the \$64.6 million of comprehensive income less the \$9.8 million of cash dividends paid for the first six months of 2014.

As of June 30, 2014, our non-performing non-covered loans increased to \$45.0 million, or 1.09%, of total non-covered loans from \$38.3 million, or 0.91%, of total non-covered loans as of December 31, 2013. The allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans as a percent of non-performing non-covered loans increased to 107.26% as of June 30, 2014, compared to 101.95% as of December 31, 2013. Non-performing non-covered loans in Arkansas were \$23.8 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$17.9 million as of December 31, 2013. Non-performing non-covered loans in Florida were \$20.7 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$20.3 million as of December 31, 2013. Non-performing non-covered loans in Alabama were \$502,000 at June 30, 2014 compared to \$7,000 as of December 31, 2013.

As of June 30, 2014, our non-performing non-covered assets improved to \$66.0 million, or 1.04%, of total non-covered assets from \$68.4 million, or 1.07%, of total non-covered assets as of December 31, 2013. Non-performing non-covered assets in Arkansas were \$39.0 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$43.5 million as of December 31, 2013. Non-performing non-covered assets in Florida were \$26.4 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$24.9 million as of December 31, 2013. Non-performing non-covered assets in Alabama were \$588,000 at June 30, 2014 compared to \$7,000 as of December 31, 2013.

Critical Accounting Policies

Overview. We prepare our consolidated financial statements based on the selection of certain accounting policies, generally accepted accounting principles and customary practices in the banking industry. These policies, in certain areas, require us to make significant estimates and assumptions. Our accounting policies are described in detail in the

notes to our consolidated financial statements in Note 1 of the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

45

We consider a policy critical if (i) the accounting estimate requires assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the accounting estimate; and (ii) different estimates that could reasonably have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, would have a material impact on our financial statements. Using these criteria, we believe that the accounting policies most critical to us are those associated with our lending practices, including the accounting for the allowance for loan losses, acquisition accounting for covered loans and the related indemnification asset, investments, foreclosed assets held for sale, intangible assets, income taxes and stock options.

Investments Available-for-Sale. Securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders equity and other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes. Securities that are held as available-for-sale are used as a part of our asset/liability management strategy. Securities that may be sold in response to interest rate changes, changes in prepayment risk, the need to increase regulatory capital, and other similar factors are classified as available-for-sale.

Investments Held-to-Maturity. Securities held-to-maturity, which include any security for which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity, are reported at historical cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Premiums and discounts are amortized and accreted, respectively, to interest income using the constant yield method over the period to maturity.

Loans Receivable Not Covered by Loss Share and Allowance for Loan Losses. Except for loans acquired during our acquisitions, substantially all of our loans receivable not covered by loss share are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for any charge-offs, as it is management s intent to hold them for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff, except for mortgage loans held for sale. Interest income on loans is accrued over the term of the loans based on the principal balance outstanding.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged against income. The allowance represents an amount that, in management s judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable credit losses on identifiable loans that may become uncollectible and probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. The amounts of provisions for loan losses are based on management s analysis and evaluation of the loan portfolio for identification of problem credits, internal and external factors that may affect collectability, relevant credit exposure, particular risks inherent in different kinds of lending, current collateral values and other relevant factors.

The allowance consists of allocated and general components. The allocated component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For those loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows, collateral value or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical charge-off experience and expected loss given default derived from the Bank s internal risk rating process. Other adjustments may be made to the allowance for pools of loans after an assessment of internal or external influences on credit quality that are not fully reflected in the historical loss or risk rating data.

Loans considered impaired, under FASB ASC 310-10-35, are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company applies this policy even if delays or shortfalls in payment are expected to be insignificant. The aggregate amount of impairment of loans is utilized in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and amount of provisions thereto. Losses on impaired loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when in the process of collection it appears likely that such losses will be realized. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in management s opinion the collection of interest is doubtful, or generally when

loans are 90 days or more past due. When accrual of interest is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received in excess of principal due. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Groups of loans with similar risk characteristics are collectively evaluated for impairment based on the group s historical loss experience adjusted for changes in trends, conditions and other relevant factors that affect repayment of the loans.

Loans are placed on non-accrual status when management believes that the borrower s financial condition, after giving consideration to economic and business conditions and collection efforts, is such that collection of interest is doubtful, or generally when loans are 90 days or more past due. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectability of the principal is unlikely. Accrued interest related to non-accrual loans is generally charged against the allowance for loan losses when accrued in prior years and reversed from interest income if accrued in the current year. Interest income on non-accrual loans may be recognized to the extent cash payments are received, although the majority of payments received are usually applied to principal. Non-accrual loans are generally returned to accrual status when principal and interest payments are less than 90 days past due, the customer has made required payments for at least six months, and we reasonably expect to collect all principal and interest.

Acquisition Accounting, Acquired Loans and the Related Indemnification Asset. The Company accounts for its acquisitions under ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, which requires the use of the acquisition method of accounting. All identifiable assets acquired, including loans, are recorded at fair value. No allowance for loan losses related to the acquired loans is recorded on the acquisition date as the fair value of the loans acquired incorporates assumptions regarding credit risk. All loans acquired are recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820. For covered acquired loans fair value is exclusive of the shared-loss agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to expected prepayments and the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows.

Over the life of the purchased credit impaired loans acquired, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected on pools of loans sharing common risk characteristics, which are treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques. The Company evaluates at each balance sheet date whether the present value of its pools of loans determined using the effective interest rates has decreased and if so, recognizes a provision for loan loss in its consolidated statement of income. For any increases in cash flows expected to be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the pool s remaining life.

Because the FDIC will reimburse the Company for certain acquired loans should the Company experience a loss, an indemnification asset is recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. The indemnification asset is recognized at the same time as the indemnified loans, and measured on the same basis, subject to collectability or contractual limitations. The shared-loss agreements on the acquisition date reflect the reimbursements expected to be received from the FDIC, using an appropriate discount rate, which reflects counterparty credit risk and other uncertainties.

For our FDIC-assisted transactions, shared-loss agreements continue to be measured on the same basis as the related indemnified loans. Because the acquired loans are subject to the accounting prescribed by ASC Topic 310, subsequent changes to the basis of the shared-loss agreements also follow that model. Deterioration in the credit quality of the loans (immediately recorded as an adjustment to the allowance for loan losses) would immediately increase the basis of the shared-loss agreements, with the offset recorded through the consolidated statement of income as a reduction of the provision for loan losses. Increases in the credit quality or cash flows of loans (reflected as an adjustment to yield and accreted into income over the weighted-average remaining life of the loans) decrease the basis of the shared-loss agreements, with such decrease being amortized into income over 1) the same period or 2) the life of the shared-loss agreements, whichever is shorter. Loss assumptions used in the basis of the indemnified loans are consistent with the loss assumptions used to measure the indemnification asset. Fair value accounting incorporates into the fair value of the indemnification asset an element of the time value of money, which is accreted back into income over the life of the shared-loss agreements.

Upon the determination of an incurred loss, the indemnification asset will be reduced by the amount owed by the FDIC. A corresponding claim receivable is recorded until cash is received from the FDIC.

Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale. Real estate and personal properties acquired through or in lieu of loan foreclosure are to be sold and are initially recorded at fair value at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Valuations are periodically performed by management, and the real estate and personal properties are carried at fair value less cost to sell. Gains and losses from the sale of other real estate and personal properties are recorded in non-interest income, and expenses used to maintain the properties are included in non-interest expenses.

47

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets consist of goodwill and core deposit intangibles. Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business acquisitions. The core deposit intangible represents the excess intangible value of acquired deposit customer relationships as determined by valuation specialists. The core deposit intangibles are being amortized over 48 to 114 months on a straight-line basis. Goodwill is not amortized but rather is evaluated for impairment on at least an annual basis. We perform an annual impairment test of goodwill and core deposit intangibles as required by FASB ASC 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other, in the fourth quarter.

Income Taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with income tax accounting guidance (ASC 740, *Income Taxes*). The income tax accounting guidance results in two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. The Company determines deferred income taxes using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are recognized if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more likely than not means a likelihood of more than 50 percent; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date and is subject to the management s judgment. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

The Company and its subsidiary file consolidated tax returns. Its subsidiary provides for income taxes on a separate return basis, and remits to the Company amounts determined to be currently payable.

Stock Options. In accordance with FASB ASC 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation, and FASB ASC 505-50, Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees, the fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation expense for the grant-date fair value of the option award over the vesting period of the award.

Acquisitions

Acquisition Liberty Bancshares, Inc.

On October 24, 2013, Home BancShares, Inc. acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Liberty Bancshares, Inc., parent company of Liberty Bank of Arkansas (Liberty Bank). Under the terms of the agreement, shareholders of Liberty received \$290.1 million of HBI common stock plus \$30.0 million in cash. Also on October 24, 2013, Liberty Bank was merged into Centennial Bank. We also repurchased all of Liberty s SBLF preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury shortly after the closing. The merger significantly increased the Company s deposit market share in Arkansas making it the second largest bank holding company headquartered in Arkansas.

Prior to the acquisition, Liberty operated 46 banking offices located in Northeast Arkansas, Northwest Arkansas and Western Arkansas. Including the effects of the purchase accounting adjustments, Centennial Bank acquired approximately \$2.82 billion in assets, approximately \$1.73 billion in loans including loan discounts and approximately \$2.13 billion of deposits.

See Note 2 Business Combinations in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for an additional discussion for the acquisition of Liberty Bank.

48

Acquisition Florida Traditions Bank

On July 17, 2014, the Company completed its acquisition of Florida Traditions Bank (FTB) pursuant to a previously announced definitive agreement and plan of merger whereby FTB merged with and into Centennial Bank (Centennial). Under the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated April 25, 2014, by and among HBI, Centennial, and FTB, HBI issued 1,316,072 shares of its common stock valued at approximately \$39.5 million as of July 17, 2014, in exchange for all outstanding shares of FTB common stock.

Prior to the acquisition, FTB operated eight banking locations in Central Florida, including its main office in Dade City, Florida. As of June 30, 2014, FTB had approximately \$307.2 million in total assets, \$255.6 million in loans, and \$272.6 million in deposits. Upon completion of the transaction, excluding the effects of the purchase accounting adjustments, the combined company now has approximately \$7.0 billion in total assets, \$5.5 billion in total deposits, \$4.7 billion in total loans and 149 branches across Arkansas, Florida and South Alabama.

The transaction is accretive to the Company s book value per common share and tangible book value per common share.

Broward Financial Holdings, Inc.

On July 30, 2014, the Company and Centennial, entered into a definitive agreement with Broward Financial Holdings, Inc. (Broward), parent company of Broward Bank of Commerce to merge into HBI. Under the terms of the agreement, shareholders of Broward will receive proceeds from the transaction between \$33,060,001 and \$33,960,001 as a combination of both HBI common stock and cash split 90% and 10%, respectively.

Broward currently operates two banking locations in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. As of June 30, 2014, Broward had approximately \$168.5 million in total assets, \$110.8 million in loans, and \$143.8 million in deposits.

The acquisition is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2014 and is subject to shareholder approval, regulatory approvals, and other customary conditions.

FDIC Indemnification Asset

In conjunction with FDIC-assisted transactions, the Company entered into loss share agreements with the FDIC. These agreements cover realized losses on loans, foreclosed real estate and certain other assets. These loss share assets are measured separately from the loan portfolios because they are not contractually embedded in the loans and are not transferable with the loans should the Company choose to dispose of them. Fair values at the acquisition dates were estimated based on projected cash flows available for loss-share based on the credit adjustments estimated for each loan pool and the loss share percentages. The loss share assets are also separately measured from the related loans and foreclosed real estate and recorded as FDIC indemnification assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Subsequent to the acquisition date, reimbursements received from the FDIC for actual incurred losses will reduce the loss share assets. Reductions to expected credit losses, to the extent such reductions to expected credit losses are the result of an improvement to the actual or expected cash flows from the covered assets, will also reduce the loss share assets. Increases in expected credit losses will require an increase to the allowance for loan losses and a corresponding increase to the loss share assets. As the loss share agreements approach the various expiration dates there could be unexpected volatility as future expected loan losses might become projected to occur outside of the loss share coverage reimbursement window.

49

Table 4 summarizes the activity in the Company s FDIC indemnification asset during the periods indicated:

Table 4: Changes in FDIC Indemnification Asset

	Three Months Ended June 30,			ths Ended ne 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
		thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$ 73,348	\$ 126,275	\$ 89,611	\$ 139,646	
Incurred claims for FDIC covered credit losses	(11,219)	(8,321)	(22,738)	(19,700)	
FDIC indemnification accretion/(amortization)	(6,622)	(2,283)	(11,366)	(4,275)	
Reduction in provision for loan losses:					
Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	1,119	400	1,119	400	
Ending balance	\$ 56,626	\$ 116,071	\$ 56,626	\$116,071	

FDIC-Assisted Acquisitions True Up

Our purchase and assumption agreements in connection with our FDIC-assisted acquisitions allow the FDIC to recover a portion of the loss share funds previously paid out under the indemnification agreements in the event losses fail to reach the expected loss under a claw back provision. Should the markets associated with any of the banks we acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions perform better than initially projected, the Bank is required to pay this clawback (or true-up) payment to the FDIC on a specified date following the tenth anniversary of such acquisition (the True-Up Measurement Date).

Specifically, in connection with the Old Southern and Key West acquisitions, such true-up payments would be equal to 50% of the excess, if any, of (i) 20% of a stated threshold of \$110.0 million in the case of Old Southern and \$23.0 million in the case of Key West, less (ii) the sum of (A) 25% of the asset premium (discount) plus (B) 25% of the Cumulative Shared Loss Payments (defined as the aggregate of all of the payments made or payable to Centennial Bank minus the aggregate of all of the payments made or payable to the FDIC) plus (C) the Period Servicing Amounts for any twelve-month period prior to and ending on the True-Up Measurement Date (defined as the product of the simple average of the principal amount of shared loss loans and shared loss assets (other than shared loss securities) at the beginning and end of such period times 1%).

In connection with the Coastal-Bayside, Wakulla and Gulf State acquisitions, the true-up payments would be equal to 50% of the excess, if any, of (i) 20% of an intrinsic loss estimate of \$121.0 million in the case of Coastal, \$24.0 million in the case of Bayside, \$73.0 million in the case of Wakulla and \$35.0 million in the case of Gulf State, less (ii) the sum of (A) 20% of the net loss amount (the sum of all losses less the sum of all recoveries on covered assets) plus (B) 25% of the asset premium (discount) plus (C) 3.5% of the total loans subject to loss sharing under the loss sharing agreements as specified in the schedules to the agreements.

The amount of FDIC-assisted acquisitions true-up accrued at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$8.6 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

Branches

We intend to continue opening new (commonly referred to as *de novo*) branches in our current markets and in other attractive market areas if opportunities arise. During the second quarter of 2014, in an effort to achieve efficiencies primarily from the recent acquisitions, the Company closed or merged four Arkansas and two Florida locations. The Company currently has plans for an additional de novo branch location in Naples, Florida, scheduled to open during the third quarter. The Company currently has 83 branches in Arkansas, 59 branches in Florida and 7 branches in Alabama.

Results of Operations

For Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Our net income increased \$10.8 million or 61.0% to \$28.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$17.7 million for the same period in 2013. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our earnings were \$0.43 and \$0.31 for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The \$10.8 million increase in net income is primarily associated with the \$33.2 million of additional net interest income primarily resulting from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty and the additional accretion income from our previous FDIC covered loan acquisitions. Furthermore, there was \$358,000 of additional gains from the sale of premises & equipment, investment securities and OREO. These improvements were partially offset by a modest increase in the costs associated with the asset growth from our Liberty acquisition, \$4.3 million of additional amortization of the indemnification asset plus an increase in provision for loan losses of \$5.3 million in second quarter of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013.

Our net income increased \$20.6 million or 58.4% to \$55.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$35.2 million for the same period in 2013. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our earnings were \$0.85 and \$0.62 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The \$20.6 million increase in net income is primarily associated with the \$65.9 million of additional net interest income primarily resulting from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty and the additional accretion income from our previous FDIC covered loan acquisitions. Furthermore, there was \$805,000 of additional gains from the sale of premises & equipment, investment securities and OREO. These improvements were partially offset by a modest increase in the costs associated with the asset growth from our Liberty acquisition, \$7.1 million of additional amortization of the indemnification asset plus an increase in provision for loan losses of \$12.2 million in the first six months of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, our principal source of earnings, is the difference between the interest income generated by earning assets and the total interest cost of the deposits and borrowings obtained to fund those assets. Factors affecting the level of net interest income include the volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, yields earned on loans and investments and rates paid on deposits and other borrowings, the level of non-performing loans and the amount of non-interest-bearing liabilities supporting earning assets. Net interest income is analyzed in the discussion and tables below on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The adjustment to convert certain income to a fully taxable equivalent basis consists of dividing tax-exempt income by one minus the combined federal and state income tax rate (39.225% for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013).

The Federal Reserve Board sets various benchmark rates, including the Federal Funds rate, and thereby influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by financial institutions. The Federal Funds rate, which is the cost to banks of immediately available overnight funds, was lowered on December 16, 2008 to a historic low of 0.25% to 0% where it has remained since that time.

The effective yield on non-covered loans for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 6.08% and 6.04%, respectively. The effective yield on non-covered loans for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 6.17% and 6.08%, respectively. The effective yield on covered loans for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 19.38% and 10.78%, respectively. The effective yield on covered loans for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 17.67% and 10.53%, respectively.

During the 2014 quarterly impairment tests on the estimated cash flows of covered loans, the Company established that loan pools were determined to have a materially projected credit improvement. As a result of this improvement, the Company will recognize approximately \$34.9 million as an additional adjustment to yield over the weighted average life of the loans. Since our first covered loan acquisition in 2010, the Company has identified a total of \$69.5 million of adjustments to yield during the quarterly impairment tests. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$7.7 million and \$13.3 million for 2014 and \$2.8 million and \$5.4 million for 2013 of additional accretion income related to the positive results of the impairment tests.

Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis increased \$33.8 million, or 73.6%, to \$79.7 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$45.9 million for the same period in 2013. This increase in net interest income was the result of a \$35.1 million increase in interest income combined with a \$1.3 million increase in interest expense. The \$35.1 million increase in interest income was primarily the result of a higher level of earning assets combined with higher yields on our covered loans. The \$1.3 million increase in interest expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, is primarily the result of our interest bearing liabilities repricing in the lower interest rate environment combined with an increase in the volume of our average interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits and average time deposits. The repricing of our interest bearing liabilities in the lower interest rate environment resulted in a \$1.2 million decrease in interest expense. The higher level of our interest bearing liabilities resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$2.5 million.

Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis increased \$66.9 million, or 73.3%, to \$158.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$91.3 million for the same period in 2013. This increase in net interest income was the result of a \$69.3 million increase in interest income combined with a \$2.3 million increase in interest expense. The \$69.3 million increase in interest income was primarily the result of a higher level of earning assets combined with higher yields on our covered loans. The \$2.3 million increase in interest expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, is primarily the result of our interest bearing liabilities repricing in the lower interest rate environment combined with an increase in the volume of our average interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits and average time deposits. The repricing of our interest bearing liabilities in the lower interest rate environment resulted in a \$2.7 million decrease in interest expense. The higher level of our interest bearing liabilities resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$5.0 million.

Net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 5.50% and 5.49% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to 5.18% and 5.16% for the same periods in 2013, respectively. The numerous pools which have been determined to have material projected credit improvement as a result of the quarterly impairment testing and the acquisition of Liberty have significantly changed the mix and metrics on the net interest margin since December 31, 2012. Although there have been many changes since 2012, the Company continues to remain focused on expanding its net interest margin through opportunities such as improved pricing on interest-bearing deposits.

Additional information and analysis for our net interest margin can be found in Tables 22 through 24 of our Non-GAAP Financial Measurement section of the Management Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents 111

52

Tables 5 and 6 reflect an analysis of net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, as well as changes in fully taxable equivalent net interest margin for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013.

Table 5: Analysis of Net Interest Income

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months June	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Interest income	\$82,586	\$48,085	\$ 164,426	\$ 96,233
Fully taxable equivalent adjustment	1,624	1,051	3,215	2,126
Interest income fully taxable equivalent	84,210	49,136	167,641	98,359
Interest expense	4,543	3,244	9,383	7,043
Net interest income fully taxable equivalent	\$ 79,667	\$45,892	\$ 158,258	\$91,316
Yield on earning assets fully taxable equivalent	5.82%	5.54%	5.82%	5.56%
Cost of interest-bearing liabilities	0.38	0.45	0.39	0.48
Net interest spread fully taxable equivalent	5.44	5.09	5.43	5.08
Net interest margin fully taxable equivalent	5.50	5.18	5.49	5.16

Table 6: Changes in Fully Taxable Equivalent Net Interest Margin

	Three Months Endo	ed	
	2014 vs.		onths Ended une 30, 4 vs. 2013
	(In	thousand	s)
Increase (decrease) in interest income due to change in earning assets	\$ 33,440	\$	66,542
Increase (decrease) in interest income due to change in earning asset			
yields	1,634		2,740
(Increase) decrease in interest expense due to change in interest-bearing			
liabilities	(2,466)		(4,982)
(Increase) decrease in interest expense due to change in interest rates			
paid on interest-bearing liabilities	1,167		2,642
Increase (decrease) in net interest income	\$ 33,775	\$	66,942

Table 7 shows, for each major category of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the average amount outstanding, the interest income or expense on that amount and the average rate earned or expensed for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The table also shows the average rate earned on all earning assets, the average rate expensed on all interest-bearing liabilities, the net interest spread and the net interest margin for the same periods. The analysis is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Non-accrual loans were included in average loans for the purpose of calculating the rate earned on total loans.

Table 7: Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income Analysis

	Three Months Ended June 30,						
		2014			2013		
		Income			Income		
	Average	/	Yield /	Average	1	Yield /	
	Balance	Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate	
ACCEPTEC		(1	Oollars in t	housands)			
ASSETS							
Earnings assets	\$ 54,726	\$ 29	0.2107	¢ 125 /21	\$ 86	0.250/	
Interest-bearing balances due from banks Federal funds sold	\$ 54,726 23,349	\$ 29 12	0.21% 0.21	\$ 135,431 10,169	•	0.25% 0.24	
Investment securities taxable	1,038,011	4,762	1.84	572,997	2 400	1.74	
Investment securities taxable non-taxable	287,679	3,889	5.42	172,439	2,490 2,394	5.57	
Loans receivable	4,403,767	75,518	6.88	2,663,627	44,160	6.65	
Loans receivable	4,403,707	73,316	0.00	2,003,027	44,100	0.03	
Total interest-earning assets	5,807,532	84,210	5.82	3,554,663	49,136	5.54	
Non-earning assets	914,388			592,822			
Tron carming assets	711,000			372,022			
Total assets	\$6,721,920			\$4,147,485			
LIABILITIES AND							
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY							
Liabilities							
Interest-bearing liabilities							
Savings and interest-bearing transaction							
accounts	\$ 2,808,856	\$ 1,227	0.18%	\$ 1,779,269	\$ 741	0.17%	
Time deposits	1,380,249	1,868	0.54	900,809	1,388	0.62	
Total interest-bearing deposits	4,189,105	3,095	0.30	2,680,078	2,129	0.32	
Federal funds purchased	110		0.00	1		0.00	
Securities sold under agreement to							
repurchase	136,444	168	0.49	72,599	86	0.48	
FHLB borrowed funds	376,326	952	1.01	130,282	1,012	3.12	
Subordinated debentures	60,826	328	2.16	3,093	17	2.20	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	4,762,811	4,543	0.38	2,886,053	3,244	0.45	

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Non-interest bearing liabilities						
Non-interest bearing deposits	1,054,233			704,847		
Other liabilities	24,832			22,939		
Total liabilities	5,841,876			3,613,839		
Stockholders equity	880,044			533,646		
• •						
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$6,721,920			\$4,147,485		
Net interest spread			5.44%			5.09%
Net interest income and margin		\$ 79,667	5.50%		\$ 45,892	5.18%

Table 7: Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income Analysis

	Six Months Ended June 30,					
		2014	- ,	2013		
					Income	
	Average	Income /	Yield /	Average	_ /	Yield /
	Balance	Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate
ASSETS		(D	onars in t	housands)		
Earnings assets						
Interest-bearing balances due from banks	\$ 58,849	\$ 53	0.18%	\$ 143,153	\$ 184	0.26%
Federal funds sold	27,393	ψ 33 28	0.21	12,931	13	0.20
Investment securities taxable	1,021,752	9,232	1.82	567,059	4,893	1.74
Investment securities non-taxable	287,007	7,678	5.39	168,945	4,813	5.74
Loans receivable	4,415,814	150,650	6.88	2,673,952	88,456	6.67
	, ,	,		, ,	,	
Total interest-earning assets	5,810,815	167,641	5.82	3,566,040	98,359	5.56
Non-earning assets	933,324			603,930		
Total assets	\$6,744,139			\$4,169,970		
LIABILITIES AND						
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
Liabilities						
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Savings and interest-bearing transaction						
accounts	\$ 2,797,102	\$ 2,506	0.18%	\$ 1,775,486	\$ 1,554	0.18%
Time deposits	1,453,755	3,973	0.55	943,561	3,060	0.65
Total interest-bearing deposits	4,250,857	6,479	0.31	2,719,047	4,614	0.34
Federal funds purchased	308		0.00			0.00
Securities sold under agreement to						
repurchase	142,862	350	0.49	71,140	166	0.47
FHLB borrowed funds	376,823	1,898	1.02	130,328	2,016	3.12
Subordinated debentures	60,826	656	2.17	15,054	247	3.31
Total interest-bearing liabilities	4,831,676	9,383	0.39	2,935,569	7,043	0.48
Non-interest bearing liabilities						
Non-interest bearing deposits	1,029,004			686,636		
Other liabilities	16,873			20,757		
	, -			,		
Total liabilities	5,877,553			3,642,962		
Stockholders equity	866,586			527,008		

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Total liabilities and stockholders	equity	\$6,744,139		\$4,169,970		
Net interest spread				5.43%		5.08%
Net interest income and margin			\$ 158,258	5.49%	\$ 91,316	5.16%

Table 8 shows changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in volume and changes in interest rates for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same periods in 2013, on a fully taxable basis. The changes in interest rate and volume have been allocated to changes in average volume and changes in average rates, in proportion to the relationship of absolute dollar amounts of the changes in rates and volume.

Table 8: Volume/Rate Analysis

		e Months E June 30, 014 over 201		Six Months Ended June 30 2014 over 2013			
		Yield/					
	Volume	Rate	Total (In tho	Volume usands)	Yield/ Rate	Total	
Increase (decrease) in:				,			
Interest income:							
Interest-bearing balances due from banks	\$ (45)	\$ (12)	\$ (57)	\$ (87)	\$ (44)	\$ (131)	
Federal funds sold	7	(1)	6	15		15	
Investment securities taxable	2,127	145	2,272	4,098	241	4,339	
Investment securities non-taxable	1,560	(65)	1,495	3,174	(309)	2,865	
Loans receivable	29,791	1,567	31,358	59,342	2,852	62,194	
Total interest income	33,440	1,634	35,074	66,542	2,740	69,282	
Interest expense:							
Interest-bearing transaction and savings							
deposits	448	38	486	914	38	952	
Time deposits	666	(186)	480	1,454	(541)	913	
Federal funds purchased							
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	80	2	82	176	8	184	
FHLB borrowed funds	961	(1,021)	(60)	1,918	(2,036)	(118)	
Subordinated debentures	311		311	520	(111)	409	
Total interest expense	2,466	(1,167)	1,299	4,982	(2,642)	2,340	
Increase (decrease) in net interest income	\$ 30,974	\$ 2,801	\$ 33,775	\$61,560	\$ 5,382	\$ 66,942	

Provision for Loan Losses

Our management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses by applying the provisions of FASB ASC 310-10-35. Specific allocations are determined for loans considered to be impaired and loss factors are assigned to the remainder of the loan portfolio to determine an appropriate level in the allowance for loan losses. The allowance is increased, as necessary, by making a provision for loan losses. The specific allocations for impaired loans are assigned based on an estimated net realizable value after a thorough review of the credit relationship. The potential loss factors associated with the remainder of the loan portfolio are based on an internal net loss experience, as well as management s review of trends within the portfolio and related industries.

While general economic trends have improved recently, we cannot be certain that the current economic conditions will considerably improve in the near future. Recent and ongoing events at the national and international levels can create uncertainty in the financial markets. Despite these economic uncertainties, we continue to follow our historically conservative procedures for lending and evaluating the provision and allowance for loan losses. Our practice continues to be primarily traditional real estate lending with strong loan-to-value ratios.

Generally, commercial, commercial real estate, and residential real estate loans are assigned a level of risk at origination. Thereafter, these loans are reviewed on a regular basis. The periodic reviews generally include loan payment and collateral status, the borrowers—financial data, and key ratios such as cash flows, operating income, liquidity, and leverage. A material change in the borrower—s credit analysis can result in an increase or decrease in the loan—s assigned risk grade. Aggregate dollar volume by risk grade is monitored on an on-going basis.

56

Our management reviews certain key loan quality indicators on a monthly basis, including current economic conditions, delinquency trends and ratios, portfolio mix changes, and other information management deems necessary. This review process provides a degree of objective measurement that is used in conjunction with periodic internal evaluations. To the extent that this review process yields differences between estimated and actual observed losses, adjustments are made to the loss factors used to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses.

Our Company is primarily a real estate lender in the markets we serve. As such, we are subject to declines in asset quality when real estate prices fall during a recession. The recession in the latter years of the last decade harshly impacted the real estate market in Florida. The economic conditions particularly in our Florida market have improved recently, although not to pre-recession levels. Our Arkansas markets economies have been fairly stable over the past several years with no boom or bust. As a result, the Arkansas economy fared better with its real estate values during this time period.

The provision for loan losses represents management s determination of the amount necessary to be charged against the current period s earnings, to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered adequate in relation to the estimated risk inherent in the loan portfolio.

There was zero provision for covered loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. There was \$100,000 provision for covered loan for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

The \$100,000 of provision for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is a result of the quarterly 2013 impairment testing on the estimated cash flows of the covered loans. This testing established that the pools evaluated had experienced material projected credit deterioration. As a result of this projection, we recorded a \$500,000 provision for loan losses to the allowance for loan losses related to the purchased impaired loans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Since these loans are covered by loss share with the FDIC, we were able to increase the related indemnification asset by \$400,000 resulting in a net provision for loan losses of \$100,000.

The Company experienced a \$5.4 million increase in the provision for loan losses for non-covered loans during the second quarter of 2014 versus the same period in 2013. This expected increase is not an indication of a decline in asset quality, but primarily a reflection of the migration of the Liberty (and other acquired) loans from purchased loan accounting treatment to originated loan accounting treatment. Based upon current accounting guidance, the allowance for loan losses is not carried over in an acquisition. As a result, virtually none of the Liberty footprint loans had any allocation of the allowance for loan losses at year end. This is the result of all loans acquired on October 24, 2013 from Liberty being recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820. As the acquired loans mature and are renewed as new credits, management evaluates the credit risk associated with these new credit decisions and determines the required allowance for loan loss for these new originated loans using the allowance for loan loss methodology for all originated loans as disclosed in Note 1 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K. Our current or historical provision levels should not be relied upon as a predictor or indicator of future levels going forward.

Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income was \$11.5 million and \$23.7 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$9.8 million and \$18.8 million for the same periods in 2013, respectively. Our recurring non-interest income includes service charges on deposit accounts, other service charges and fees, trust fees, mortgage lending, insurance, title fees, increase in cash value of life insurance, dividends and FDIC indemnification accretion/amortization.

Table 9 measures the various components of our non-interest income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as well as changes for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same periods in 2013.

Table 9: Non-Interest Income

	Three N	Months						
	End	led			Six Month	ns Ended		
	June	e 30 ,	2014 C	0	June	30,	2014 C	hange
	2014	2013	from		2014	2013	from	2013
			((Dollars in	thousands)			
Service charges on deposit								
accounts	\$ 6,193	\$ 4,088	\$ 2,105	51.5%	\$ 12,104	\$ 7,797	\$ 4,307	55.2%
Other service charges and								
fees	5,978	3,479	2,499	71.8	11,664	6,916	4,748	68.7
Trust fees	323	17	306	1,800.0	759	36	723	2,008.3
Mortgage lending income	1,801	1,619	182	11.2	3,314	2,991	323	10.8
Insurance commissions	934	444	490	110.4	2,350	1,123	1,227	109.3
Income from title services	53	136	(83)	(61.0)	103	245	(142)	(58.0)
Increase in cash value of								
life insurance	281	218	63	28.9	569	398	171	43.0
Dividends from FHLB,								
FRB, Bankers bank &								
other	501	401	100	24.9	817	576	241	41.8
Gain on sale of SBA loans				0.0		56	(56)	(100.0)
Gain (loss) on sale of								
premises and equipment,								
net	445	394	51	12.9	454	409	45	11.0
Gain (loss) on OREO, net	859	441	418	94.8	1,398	527	871	165.3
Gain (loss) on securities,								
net		111	(111)	(100.0)		111	(111)	(100.0)
FDIC indemnification								
accretion/(amortization),								
net	(6,622)	(2,283)	(4,339)	190.1	(11,366)	(4,275)	(7,091)	165.9
Other income	793	740	53	7.2	1,554	1,920	(366)	(19.1)
Total non-interest income	\$11,539	\$ 9,805	\$ 1,734	17.7%	\$ 23,720	\$ 18,830	\$ 4,890	26.0%

Non-interest income increased \$1.7 million, or 17.7%, to \$11.5 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014 from \$9.8 million for the same period in 2013. Non-interest income increased \$4.9 million, or 26.0%, to \$23.7 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014 from \$18.8 million for the same period in 2013.

The primary factors that resulted in this increase were improvements related to service charges on deposits, other service charges and fees, trust fees, insurance, and changes in OREO gains and losses offset by an increase in amortization on our FDIC indemnification asset.

Additional details for the three months ended June 30, 2014 on some of the more significant changes are as follows:

The \$4.6 million increase in service charges on deposit accounts and other service charges and fees are primarily from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty.

The \$306,000 increase in trust fees are primarily from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty.

The \$490,000 increase in insurance commissions is primarily from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty.

The \$4.3 million decrease in FDIC indemnification accretion/amortization, net is primarily associated with the quarterly impairment testing on the estimated cash flows of the covered loans. For further discussion and analysis, reference Tables 2 and 3 in the Management s Discussion and Analysis.

58

Additional details for the six months ended June 30, 2014 on some of the more significant changes are as follows:

The \$9.1 million increase in service charges on deposit accounts and other service charges and fees are primarily from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty.

The \$723,000 increase in trust fees are primarily from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty.

The \$1.2 million increase in insurance commissions is primarily from our 2013 acquisition of Liberty.

The \$7.1 million decrease in FDIC indemnification accretion/amortization, net is primarily associated with the quarterly impairment testing on the estimated cash flows of the covered loans. For further discussion and analysis, reference Tables 2 and 3 in the Management s Discussion and Analysis.

The \$366,000 decrease in other income is primarily from \$326,000 of tax-free life insurance proceeds during the first six months of 2013. The proceeds were in connection with two former associates who were not currently with the Company.

The Company is currently in negotiations with the FDIC regarding the remaining loss share agreements associated with the Key West Bank loan portfolio acquired on March 26, 2010. Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse Centennial Bank for 80% of losses in the first loss tranche up to \$23.0 million and for 95% of losses in excess of \$23.0 million with respect to covered assets. Centennial Bank is obligated to reimburse the FDIC for 80% of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC paid Centennial Bank 80% reimbursement under the loss sharing agreements, and for 95% of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC paid 95% reimbursement under the loss sharing agreements. Additionally, a significant portion of the Key West Bank loans were 30 year original maturity loans.

The Company has evaluated the Key West Bank loans acquired and believes there has been a material projected credit improvement. As a result of this improvement, the Company will be recognizing an adjustment to yield over the weighted average life of the loans. Improvements in credit quality also decrease the basis in the related indemnification asset and increase the FDIC true-up liability. The reduction in the indemnification asset will be amortized over the weighted average life of the shared-loss agreement. The FDIC true-up liability will be expensed over the remaining true-up measurement date as other non-interest expense.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company has an indemnification asset of \$8.4 million remaining for the Key West Bank loans acquired. If this transaction with the FDIC were to occur, it would create a one-time acceleration of the indemnification asset plus the negotiated settlement for the true-up liability less any cash payment received by the FDIC. While there is no guarantee we can reach an agreement with the FDIC, if the Company were to reach an agreement with the FDIC during the third quarter of 2014 to buyout the loss share agreements, we do not believe the cash payment from the FDIC would be significant. As a result, this transaction could create a negative third quarter 2014 financial impact to earnings for the Company in the range of \$7.0 million to \$9.0 million on a pre-tax basis. However, there would be approximately \$8.7 million positive adjustment to yield remaining to be recognized as accretion interest income over the weighted average life of the loans over the next 21 years.

If the Company is not able to reach an agreement with the FDIC to buyout the Key West Bank loss share, we will begin accreting the credit improvement and amortizing the indemnification asset and recording a true up expense similar to the other impairment tests we have completed in the past. Since the weighted average life of the loans is long term, the positive accretion to be recognized as an adjustment to interest income will be more than offset by the shorter term amortization of the indemnification asset and FDIC true-up expense. As a result, if we are not able to reach an agreement with the FDIC it will create a negative third quarter 2014 financial impact to earnings for the Company of approximately \$1.0 million on a pre-tax basis. This negative financial impact could continue until the year 2020 in the range of approximately \$1.0 million to \$100,000 per quarter on a pre-tax basis.

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense consists of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, data processing, and other expenses such as advertising, merger and acquisition expenses, amortization of intangibles, electronic banking expense, FDIC and state assessment, insurance, other professional fees and legal and accounting fees.

Table 10 below sets forth a summary of non-interest expense for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, as well as changes for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013.

Table 10: Non-Interest Expense

	Enc	Months ded e 30, 2013	2014 Ch from 2	_	Jun 2014	hs Ended e 30, 2013	2014 C from	_
Salaries and employee	¢ 10 012	¢ 12.057	ф БОБС	45 207	¢ 27 746	¢ 25 000	¢ 11 027	45 70
benefits	\$ 18,813	\$ 12,957	\$ 5,856	45.2%	\$ 37,746	\$ 25,909	\$11,837	45.7%
Occupancy and	6 251	2 004	2 257	60.5	10 477	7 400	4.000	66.6
equipment	6,251	3,894	2,357	00.5	12,477	7,488	4,989	66.6
Data processing expense	1,793	1,231	562	45.7	3,586	2,741	845	30.8
Other operating expenses:	1,775	1,231	302	15.7	3,300	2,711	013	30.0
Advertising	581	120	461	384.2	1,103	813	290	35.7
Merger and acquisition					,			
expenses	106	1	105	10,500	955	29	926	3,193.1
Amortization of								
intangibles	1,147	802	345	43.0	2,314	1,604	710	44.3
Electronic banking								
expense	1,312	960	352	36.7	2,650	1,823	827	45.4
Directors fees	206	210	(4)	(1.9)	433	400	33	8.3
Due from bank service								
charges	205	168	37	22.0	404	301	103	34.2
FDIC and state								
assessment	1,058	677	381	56.3	2,172	1,307	865	66.2
Insurance	582	555	27	4.9	1,196	1,121	75	6.7
Legal and accounting	419	394	25	6.3	836	716	120	16.8
Other professional fees	583	490	93	19.0	1,090	963	127	13.2
Operating supplies	515	332	183	55.1	987	675	312	46.2
Postage	327	231	96	41.6	679	438	241	55.0
Telephone	463	291	172	59.1	917	594	323	54.4
Other expense	4,259	2,542	1,717	67.5	8,432	4,796	3,636	75.8
	\$ 38,620	\$ 25,855	\$ 12,765	49.4%	\$77,977	\$51,718	\$ 26,259	50.8%

Total non-interest expense

Non-interest expense, excluding merger expenses, increased \$12.7 million, or 49.0%, to \$38.5 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$25.9 million for the same period in 2013. Non-interest expense, excluding merger expenses, increased \$25.3 million, or 49.0%, to \$77.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$51.7 million for the same period in 2013. These increases primarily result from additional expense associated with the Liberty acquisition during 2013.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes increased \$6.1 million, or 59.7%, to \$16.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$10.3 million as of June 30, 2013. The provision for income taxes increased \$11.7 million, or 57.9%, to \$32.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, from \$20.2 million as of June 30, 2013. The effective income tax rate was 36.61% and 36.44% for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, compared to 36.80% and 36.51% for the same periods in 2013. The primary cause of the increase in taxes is the result of our higher earnings combined with our marginal tax rate of 39.225%.

60

Financial Condition as of and for the Period Ended June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013

Our total assets as of June 30, 2014 decreased \$145.7 million to \$6.67 billion from the \$6.81 billion reported as of December 31, 2013. Our loan portfolio not covered by loss share decreased by \$61.3 million to \$4.13 billion as of June 30, 2014, from \$4.19 billion as of December 31, 2013. Our loan portfolio covered by loss share decreased by \$19.4 million to \$263.2 million as of June 30, 2014, from \$282.5 million as of December 31, 2013. These decreases are primarily associated with pay-downs and payoffs. Stockholders equity increased \$56.3 million to \$897.2 million as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$841.0 million as of December 31, 2013. The annualized improvement in stockholders equity for the first six months of 2014 was 13.5%. The increase in stockholders equity is primarily associated with the \$64.6 million of comprehensive income less the \$9.8 million of cash dividends paid for the first six months of 2014.

Loan Portfolio

Loans Receivable Not Covered by Loss Share

Our non-covered loan portfolio averaged \$4.14 billion and \$2.32 billion during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our non-covered loan portfolio averaged \$4.15 billion and \$2.32 billion during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Non-covered loans were \$4.13 billion as of June 30, 2014 compared to \$4.19 billion as of December 31, 2013, which is a \$61.3 million or 2.95% annualized decrease.

The most significant components of the non-covered loan portfolio were commercial real estate, residential real estate, consumer, and commercial and industrial loans. These non-covered loans are primarily originated within our market areas of Arkansas, Florida and South Alabama, and are generally secured by residential or commercial real estate or business or personal property within our market areas. Non-covered loans were \$3.21 billion, \$756.1 million and \$169.4 million as of June 30, 2014 in Arkansas, Florida and Alabama, respectively.

As of June 30, 2014, we had \$336.5 million of construction land development loans which were collateralized by land. This consisted of \$194.7 million for raw land and \$141.8 million for land with commercial and or residential lots.

Table 11 presents our loan balances not covered by loss share by category as of the dates indicated.

Table 11: Loan Portfolio Not Covered by Loss Share

	As of June 30, 2014	Dece	As of mber 31, 2013			
	- /	(In thousands)				
Real estate:						
Commercial real estate loans:						
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 1,733,029	\$	1,739,668			
Construction/land development	603,216		562,667			
Agricultural	64,409		81,618			
Residential real estate loans:						
Residential 1-4 family	887,097		913,332			

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Multifamily residential	218,615	213,232
Total real estate	3,506,366	3,510,517
Consumer	56,197	69,570
Commercial and industrial	447,459	511,421
Agricultural	56,852	37,129
Other	66,235	65,800
Loans receivable not covered by loss share	\$4,133,109	\$ 4,194,437

As of acquisition date, the Company evaluated \$1.61 billion of net loans (\$1.67 billion gross loans less \$62.1 million discount) purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Liberty in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 310-20, *Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs*. As of June 30, 2014, the net loan balance of the Liberty ASC Topic 310-20 purchased loans is \$1.23 billion (\$1.27 billion gross loans less \$42.3 million discount). The fair value discount is being accreted into interest income over the weighted average life of the loans using a constant yield method.

As of acquisition date, the Company evaluated \$120.5 million of net loans (\$162.4 million gross loans less \$41.9 million discount) purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Liberty in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*. As of June 30, 2014, the net loan balance of the Liberty ASC Topic 310-30 purchased loans is \$91.5 million (\$137.4 million gross loans less \$45.9 million discount). These purchased non-covered loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected. During the latter part of the second quarter of 2014 the Company received a \$6.0 million unexpected recovery from one large commercial loan charged down significantly prior to the acquisition date. Since the Liberty impaired loans are accounted for on a pool basis, it is anticipated this \$6.0 million will increase the yield on the impaired loans over the weighted average life of the loans in the pool going forward.

Non-Covered Commercial Real Estate Loans. We originate non-farm and non-residential loans (primarily secured by commercial real estate), construction/land development loans, and agricultural loans, which are generally secured by real estate located in our market areas. Our commercial mortgage loans are generally collateralized by first liens on real estate and amortized over a 15 to 25 year period with balloon payments due at the end of one to five years. These loans are generally underwritten by assessing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary source of repayment, the financial strength of any guarantor, the strength of the tenant (if any), the borrower s liquidity and leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. Generally, we will loan up to 85% of the value of improved property, 65% of the value of raw land and 75% of the value of land to be acquired and developed. A first lien on the property and assignment of lease is required if the collateral is rental property, with second lien positions considered on a case-by-case basis.

As of June 30, 2014, non-covered commercial real estate loans totaled \$2.40 billion, or 58.1% of our non-covered loan portfolio, which is comparable to \$2.38 billion, or 56.8% of our non-covered loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2013. Our Arkansas, Florida and Alabama non-covered commercial real estate loans were \$1.84 billion, \$464.0 million and \$96.9 million at June 30, 2014, respectively.

Non-Covered Residential Real Estate Loans. We originate one to four family, owner occupied residential mortgage loans generally secured by property located in our primary market areas. The majority of our non-covered residential mortgage loans consist of loans secured by owner occupied, single family residences. Non-covered residential real estate loans generally have a loan-to-value ratio of up to 90%. These loans are underwritten by giving consideration to the borrower s ability to pay, stability of employment or source of income, debt-to-income ratio, credit history and loan-to-value ratio.

As of June 30, 2014, non-covered residential real estate loans totaled \$1.11 billion, or 26.8% of our non-covered loan portfolio, compared to \$1.13 billion, or 26.9% of our non-covered loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2013. Our Arkansas, Florida and Alabama non-covered residential real estate loans were \$816.9 million, \$236.6 million and \$52.2 million at June 30, 2014, respectively.

Non-Covered Consumer Loans. Our non-covered consumer loan portfolio is composed of secured and unsecured loans originated by our banks. The performance of consumer loans will be affected by the local and regional

economies as well as the rates of personal bankruptcies, job loss, divorce and other individual-specific characteristics.

As of June 30, 2014, our non-covered consumer loan portfolio totaled \$56.2 million, or 1.4% of our total non-covered loan portfolio, compared to the \$69.6 million, or 1.7% of our non-covered loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013. Our Arkansas, Florida and Alabama non-covered consumer loans were \$43.5 million, \$11.5 million and \$1.2 million at June 30, 2014, respectively.

62

Non-Covered Commercial and Industrial Loans. Commercial and industrial loans are made for a variety of business purposes, including working capital, inventory, equipment and capital expansion. The terms for commercial loans are generally one to seven years. Commercial loan applications must be supported by current financial information on the borrower and, where appropriate, by adequate collateral. Commercial loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary sources of repayment, the financial strength of any guarantor, the borrower s liquidity and leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. The loan to value ratio depends on the type of collateral. Generally speaking, accounts receivable are financed at between 50% and 80% of accounts receivable less than 60 days past due. Inventory financing will range between 50% and 60% (with no work in process) depending on the borrower and nature of inventory. We require a first lien position for those loans.

As of June 30, 2014, non-covered commercial and industrial loans outstanding totaled \$447.5 million, or 10.8% of our non-covered loan portfolio, which is comparable to \$511.4 million, or 12.2% of our non-covered loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2013. Our Arkansas, Florida and Alabama non-covered commercial and industrial loans were \$391.1 million, \$37.5 million and \$18.9 million at June 30, 2014, respectively.

Total Loans Receivable

Table 12 presents total loans receivable by category.

Table 12: Total Loans Receivable

As of June 30, 2014

Loans

	Receivable Not Covered by Loss	Re- Covere	Loans ceivable ed by FDIC	Total Loans
	Share		ss Share nousands)	Receivable
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans				
Non-farm/non-residential	\$1,733,029	\$	107,171	\$ 1,840,200
Construction/land development	603,216		44,763	647,979
Agricultural	64,409		1,145	65,554
Residential real estate loans				
Residential 1-4 family	887,097		91,706	978,803
Multifamily residential	218,615		10,002	228,617
Total real estate	3,506,366		254,787	3,761,153
Consumer	56,197		20	56,217
Commercial and industrial	447,459		7,368	454,827
Agricultural	56,852			56,852
Other	66,235		982	67,217

Total \$4,133,109 \$ 263,157 \$4,396,266

Non-Performing Assets Not Covered by Loss Share

We classify our non-covered problem loans into three categories: past due loans, special mention loans and classified loans (accruing and non-accruing).

When management determines that a loan is no longer performing, and that collection of interest appears doubtful, the loan is placed on non-accrual status. Loans that are 90 days past due are placed on non-accrual status unless they are adequately secured and there is reasonable assurance of full collection of both principal and interest. Our management closely monitors all loans that are contractually 90 days past due, treated as special mention or otherwise classified or on non-accrual status.

63

We have non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality in our June 30, 2014 financial statements as a result of our acquisitions of Heritage, Premier and Liberty. The credit metrics most heavily impacted by our acquisitions of acquired non-covered loans with deteriorated credit quality were the following credit quality indicators listed in Table 13 below:

Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to non-performing non-covered loans;

Non-performing non-covered assets to total non-covered assets; and

Non-performing non-covered loans to total non-covered loans.

On the date of acquisition, acquired credit-impaired loans are initially recognized at fair value, which incorporates the present value of amounts estimated to be collectible. As a result of the application of this accounting methodology, certain credit-related ratios, including those referenced above, may not necessarily be directly comparable with periods prior to the acquisition of the credit-impaired non-covered loans and non-covered non-performing assets, or comparable with other institutions.

Table 13 sets forth information with respect to our non-performing non-covered assets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. As of these dates, all non-performing non-covered restructured loans are included in non-accrual non-covered loans.

Table 13: Non-performing Assets Not Covered by Loss Share

	As of June 30, 2014	June 30, December 3	
Non-accrual non-covered loans	\$21,900	\$	15,133
Non-covered loans past due 90 days or more (principal or interest payments)	23,081	Ψ	23,141
Total non-performing non-covered loans	44,981		38,274
Other non-performing non-covered assets Non-covered foreclosed assets held for sale, net Other non-performing non-covered assets	20,960 10		29,869 281
Total other non-performing non-covered assets	20,970		30,150
Total non-performing non-covered assets	\$ 65,951	\$	68,424
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to non-performing non-covered			
loans	107.26%		101.95%
Non-performing non-covered loans to total non-covered loans	1.09		0.91

Non-performing non-covered assets to total non-covered assets

1.04

1.07

Our non-performing non-covered loans are comprised of non-accrual non-covered loans and accruing non-covered loans that are contractually past due 90 days. Our bank subsidiary recognizes income principally on the accrual basis of accounting. When loans are classified as non-accrual, the accrued interest is charged off and no further interest is accrued, unless the credit characteristics of the loan improve. If a loan is determined by management to be uncollectible, the portion of the loan determined to be uncollectible is then charged to the allowance for loan losses. The Florida franchise contains approximately 46.1% and 53.1% of our non-performing non-covered loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Total non-performing non-covered loans were \$45.0 million as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$38.3 million as of December 31, 2013 for an increase of \$6.7 million. Of the \$6.7 million increase in non-performing loans, \$5.8 million is from an increase in non-performing loans in our Arkansas market combined with a \$384,000 increase in non-performing loans in our Florida market and a \$495,000 increase in non-performing loans in Alabama. Non-performing loans at June 30, 2014 are approximately \$23.8 million, \$20.7 million and \$502,000 in the Arkansas, Florida and Alabama markets, respectively.

Although the current state of the real estate market has improved, uncertainties still present in the economy may continue to increase our level of non-performing non-covered loans. While we believe our allowance for loan losses is adequate and our purchased loans are adequately discounted at June 30, 2014, as additional facts become known about relevant internal and external factors that affect loan collectability and our assumptions, it may result in us making additions to the provision for loan losses during 2014. Our current or historical provision levels should not be relied upon as a predictor or indicator of future levels going forward.

Troubled debt restructurings (TDR) generally occur when a borrower is experiencing, or is expected to experience, financial difficulties in the near term. As a result, the Bank will work with the borrower to prevent further difficulties, and ultimately to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan. In those circumstances it may be beneficial to restructure the terms of a loan and work with the borrower for the benefit of both parties, versus forcing the property into foreclosure and having to dispose of it in an unfavorable and depressed real estate market. When we have modified the terms of a loan, we usually either reduce the monthly payment and/or interest rate for generally about three to twelve months. For our troubled debt restructurings that accrue interest at the time the loan is restructured, it would be a rare exception to have charged-off any portion of the loan. Only non-performing restructured loans are included in our non-performing non-covered loans. As of June 30, 2014, we had \$32.7 million of non-covered restructured loans that are in compliance with the modified terms and are not reported as past due or non-accrual in Table 13. Our Florida market contains \$15.9 million of these non-covered restructured loans.

To facilitate this process, a loan modification that might not otherwise be considered may be granted resulting in classification as a troubled debt restructuring. These loans can involve loans remaining on non-accrual, moving to non-accrual, or continuing on an accrual status, depending on the individual facts and circumstances of the borrower. Generally, a non-accrual loan that is restructured remains on non-accrual for a period of six months to demonstrate that the borrower can meet the restructured terms. However, performance prior to the restructuring, or significant events that coincide with the restructuring, are considered in assessing whether the borrower can pay the new terms and may result in the loan being returned to an accrual status after a shorter performance period. If the borrower s ability to meet the revised payment schedule is not reasonably assured, the loan will remain in a non-accrual status.

The majority of the Bank s loan modifications relate to commercial lending and involve reducing the interest rate, changing from a principal and interest payment to interest-only, a lengthening of the amortization period, or a combination of some or all of the three. In addition, it is common for the Bank to seek additional collateral or guarantor support when modifying a loan. At June 30, 2014, the amount of troubled debt restructurings was \$33.2 million, a decrease of 23.7% from \$43.5 million at December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, 98.6% and 98.0%, respectively, of all restructured loans were performing to the terms of the restructure.

Total foreclosed assets held for sale not covered by loss share were \$21.0 million as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$29.9 million as of December 31, 2013 for a decrease of \$8.9 million. The foreclosed assets held for sale not covered by loss share as of June 30, 2014 are comprised of \$5.6 million of assets located in Florida, \$15.3 million of assets located in Arkansas and the remaining \$86,000 located in Alabama.

During the first six months of 2014, we had four non-covered foreclosed properties with a carrying value greater than \$1.0 million. Three of these properties were acquired in the Liberty acquisition and hold an aggregate carrying value of \$5.9 million at June 30, 2014. The remaining property is a development loan in Northwest Arkansas which has been foreclosed since the first quarter of 2011. The carrying value was \$3.6 million at June 30, 2014. The Company does not currently anticipate any additional losses on these properties. As of June 30, 2014, no other foreclosed assets held for sale not covered by loss share have a carrying value greater than \$1.0 million.

Table 14 shows the summary of foreclosed assets held for sale as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 14: Total Foreclosed Assets Held For Sale

	As	of June 30,	2014	As of I	December 31	, 2013
	Not	Covered by	y	Not	Covered by	
	Covered by	FDIC		Covered by	FDIC	
	Loss	Loss		Loss	Loss	
	Share	Share	Total	Share	Share	Total
			(In the	ousands)		
Commercial real estate loans						
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 9,136	\$ 8,171	\$ 17,307	\$ 8,422	\$ 9,677	\$ 18,099
Construction/land development	7,749	7,487	15,236	17,675	5,517	23,192
Agricultural	49	3	52		651	651
Residential real estate loans						
Residential 1-4 family	3,958	1,535	5,493	3,772	5,154	8,926
Multifamily residential	68		68			
Total foreclosed assets held for sale	\$ 20,960	\$ 17,196	\$ 38,156	\$ 29,869	\$ 20,999	\$ 50,868

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will not receive all amounts due according to the contracted terms of the loans. Impaired loans include non-performing loans (loans past due 90 days or more and non-accrual loans), criticized and/or classified loans with a specific allocation, loans categorized as TDR s and certain other loans identified by management that are still performing (loans included in multiple categories are only included once). As of June 30, 2014, average non-covered impaired loans were \$96.7 million compared to \$104.1 million as of December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, non-covered impaired loans were \$91.5 million compared to \$106.5 million as of December 31, 2013 for a decrease of \$15.0 million. This decrease is primarily associated with the improvements in loan balances with a specific allocation and loans categorized as TDR s. As of June 30, 2014, our Florida and Alabama markets accounted for approximately \$33.0 million and \$508,000 of the non-covered impaired loans, respectively.

We evaluated loans purchased in conjunction with the 2010 FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the 2012 acquisitions of Heritage and Premier and certain loans during the 2013 acquisition of Liberty for impairment in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*. Purchased loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected. Purchased impaired non-covered loans are not classified as non-performing non-covered assets for the recognition of interest income as the pools are considered to be performing. However, for the purpose of calculating the non-performing credit metrics, the Company has included all of the non-covered loans which are contractually 90 days past due and still accruing, including those in performing pools. Therefore, interest income, through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cash flows, is being recognized on all purchased impaired loans.

All non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality are considered impaired loans at the date of acquisition. Since the loans are accounted for on a pooled basis under ASC 310-30, individual loans are not classified as impaired.

Since the loans are accounted for on a pooled basis under ASC 310-30, individual loans subsequently restructured within the pools are not classified as TDRs in accordance with ASC 310-30-40. For non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality that were deemed TDRs prior to the Company s acquisition of them, these loans are also not considered TDRs as they are accounted for under ASC 310-30.

66

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no non-covered loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality on non-accrual status as a result of the loans being accounted for on the pool basis and the pools are considered to be performing for the accruing of interest income. Also, acquired loans contractually past due 90 days or more are accruing interest because the pools are considered to be performing for the purpose of accruing interest income.

Past Due and Non-Accrual Loans

Table 15 shows the summary non-accrual loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Table 15: Total Non-Accrual Loans

	As of June 30, 2014 Not Covered Covered by by Loss FDIC		As of I Not Covered by Loss	1, 2013			
	Share	Loss Share	Total	Share	FDIC Loss Share	Total	
	(In thousands)						
Real estate:							
Commercial real estate loans							
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 9,137	\$	\$ 9,137	\$ 5,093	\$	\$ 5,093	
Construction/land development	1,570		1,570	1,080		1,080	
Agricultural	39		39	89		89	
Residential real estate loans							
Residential 1-4 family	8,504		8,504	7,283		7,283	
Multifamily residential				1		1	
•							
Total real estate	19,250		19,250	13,546		13,546	
Consumer	275		275	124		124	
Commercial and industrial	2,174		2,174	1,463		1,463	
Other	201		201				
Total non-accrual loans	\$21,900	\$	\$21,900	\$15,133	\$	\$ 15,133	

If the non-accrual non-covered loans had been accruing interest in accordance with the original terms of their respective agreements, interest income of approximately \$365,000 and \$306,000 for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, would have been recorded. If the non-accrual non-covered loans had been accruing interest in accordance with the original terms of their respective agreements, interest income of approximately \$632,000 and \$647,000 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, would have been recorded. The interest income recognized on the non-covered non-accrual loans for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was considered immaterial.

67

Table 16 shows the summary of accruing past due loans 90 days or more as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Table 16: Total Loans Accruing Past Due 90 Days or More

	As of June 30, 2014 Not			As of December 31, 2013 Not			
	Covered by Loss Share	Covered by FDIC Loss Share	Total (In tho	Covered by Loss Share usands)	Covered by FDIC Loss Share	Total	
Real estate:							
Commercial real estate loans							
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 9,759	\$ 19,604	\$29,363	\$ 7,914	\$ 15,287	\$ 23,201	
Construction/land development	3,783	4,164	7,947	4,879	8,410	13,289	
Agricultural	28	145	173		162	162	
Residential real estate loans							
Residential 1-4 family	5,869	9,670	15,539	6,492	10,177	16,669	
Multifamily residential	620	1,950	2,570	1	357	358	
Total real estate	20,059	35,533	55,592	19,286	34,393	53,679	
Consumer	92		92	100		100	
Commercial and industrial	2,930	935	3,865	3,755	825	4,580	
Other		29	29		624	624	
Total loans accruing past due 90 days or							
more	\$ 23,081	\$ 36,497	\$59,578	\$ 23,141	\$ 35,842	\$ 58,983	

The Company s total past due and non-accrual covered loans to total covered loans was 13.9% and 12.7% as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Allowance for Loan Losses for Non-Covered Loans

Overview. The allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans is maintained at a level which our management believes is adequate to absorb all probable losses on loans in the loan portfolio. The amount of the allowance is affected by: (i) loan charge-offs, which decrease the allowance; (ii) recoveries on loans previously charged off, which increase the allowance; and (iii) the provision of possible loan losses charged to income, which increases the allowance. In determining the provision for possible loan losses, it is necessary for our management to monitor fluctuations in the allowance resulting from actual charge-offs and recoveries and to periodically review the size and composition of the loan portfolio in light of current and anticipated economic conditions. If actual losses exceed the amount of allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans, our earnings could be adversely affected.

As we evaluate the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans, we categorize it as follows: (i) specific allocations; (ii) allocations for criticized and classified assets not individually evaluated for impairment; (iii) general allocations; and (iv) miscellaneous allocations.

Specific Allocations. As a general rule, if a specific allocation is warranted, it is the result of an analysis of a previously classified credit or relationship. Typically, when it becomes evident through the payment history or a financial statement review that a loan or relationship is no longer supported by the cash flows of the asset and/or borrower and has become collateral dependent, we will use appraisals or other collateral analysis to determine if collateral impairment has occurred. The amount or likelihood of loss on this credit may not yet be evident, so a charge-off would not be prudent. However, if the analysis indicates that an impairment has occurred, then a specific allocation will be determined for this loan. If our existing appraisal is outdated or the collateral has been subject to significant market changes, we will obtain a new appraisal for this impairment analysis. The majority of the Company s impaired loans are collateral dependent at the present time, so third-party appraisals were used to determine the necessary impairment for these loans. Cash flow available to service debt was used for the other impaired loans. This analysis is performed each quarter in connection with the preparation of the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans, and if necessary, adjustments are made to the specific allocation provided for a particular loan.

For collateral dependent loans, we do not consider an appraisal outdated simply due to the passage of time. However, if market or other conditions have deteriorated and we believe that the current market value of the

68

property is not within approximately 20% of the appraised value, we will consider the appraisal outdated and order a new appraisal for the impairment analysis. The recognition of any provision or related charge-off on a collateral dependent loan is either through annual credit analysis or, many times, when the relationship becomes delinquent. If the borrower is not current, we will update our credit and cash flow analysis to determine the borrower's repayment ability. If we determine this ability does not exist and it appears that the collection of the entire principal and interest is not likely, then the loan could be placed on non-accrual status. In any case, loans are classified as non-accrual no later than 105 days past due. If the loan requires a quarterly impairment analysis, this analysis is completed in conjunction with the completion of the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans. Any exposure identified through the impairment analysis is shown as a specific reserve on the individual impairment. If it is determined that a new appraisal is required, it is ordered and will be taken into consideration during the next completion of the impairment analysis.

Between the receipt of the original appraisal and the updated appraisal, we monitor the loan s repayment history and subject the loan to examination by our internal loan review. If the loan is over \$1.0 million or the total loan relationship is over \$2.0 million, our policy requires an annual credit review. In addition, we update all financial information and calculate the global repayment ability of the borrower/guarantors.

In estimating the net realizable value of the collateral, management may deem it appropriate to discount the appraisal based on the applicable circumstances. In such case, the amount charged off may result in loan principal outstanding being below fair value as presented in the appraisal.

As a general rule, when it becomes evident that the full principal and accrued interest of a loan may not be collected, or by law at 105 days past due, we will reflect that loan as non-performing. It will remain non-performing until it performs in a manner that it is reasonable to expect that we will collect the full principal and accrued interest.

When the amount or likelihood of a loss on a loan has been determined, a charge-off should be taken in the period it is determined. If a partial charge-off occurs, the quarterly impairment analysis will determine if the loan is still impaired, and thus continues to require a specific allocation.

Allocations for Criticized and Classified Assets not Individually Evaluated for Impairment. We establish allocations for loans rated special mention through loss in accordance with the guidelines established by the regulatory agencies. A percentage rate is applied to each loan category to determine the level of dollar allocation.

General Allocations. We establish general allocations for each major loan category. This section also includes allocations to loans, which are collectively evaluated for loss such as residential real estate, commercial real estate, consumer loans and commercial and industrial loans. The allocations in this section are based on a historical review of loan loss experience and past due accounts. We give consideration to trends, changes in loan mix, delinquencies, prior losses, and other related information.

Miscellaneous Allocations. Allowance allocations other than specific, classified, and general are included in our miscellaneous section.

Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment. Non-covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment was \$3.77 billion at both December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014. The percentage of the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans allocated to non-covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment to the total non-covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment increased from 0.65% at December 31, 2013 to 0.86% at June 30, 2014. This increase is the result of the normal changes associated with the calculation of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses and includes routine changes from the previous year end reporting period such as organic loan growth, unallocated

allowance, individual loan impairments, asset quality and net charge-offs.

69

Charge-offs and Recoveries. Total charge-offs decreased to \$2.5 million and \$5.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$3.4 million and \$6.7 million for the same periods in 2013, respectively. Total recoveries decreased to \$635,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$807,000 and \$1.3 million for the same periods in 2013, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, the net charge-offs were \$754,000 for Arkansas, \$1.0 million for Florida and \$126,000 for Alabama, respectively, equaling a net charge-off position of \$1.9 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the net charge-offs were \$2.0 million for Arkansas, \$1.7 million for Florida and \$137,000 for Alabama, respectively, equaling a net charge-off position of \$3.8 million.

During the first six months of 2014, there were \$5.0 million in charge-offs and \$1.1 in recoveries. While the charge-offs and recoveries consisted of many relationships, there were no individual relationships consisting of charge-offs greater than \$1.0 million.

We have not charged off an amount less than what was determined to be the fair value of the collateral as presented in the appraisal (for collateral dependent loans) for any period presented. Loans partially charged-off are placed on non-accrual status until it is proven that the borrower s repayment ability with respect to the remaining principal balance can be reasonably assured. This is usually established over a period of 6-12 months of timely payment performance.

70

Table 17 shows the allowance for loan losses, charge-offs and recoveries for non-covered loans as of and for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table 17: Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses for Non-Covered Loans

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 2013		Six Mont June 2014	
		(Dollars in		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 44,024	\$42,302	\$ 39,022	\$45,170
Loans charged off	. ,	,	, ,	
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans:				
Non-farm/non-residential	601	619	668	864
Construction/land development	145	50	167	168
Agricultural				
Residential real estate loans:				
Residential 1-4 family	870	899	1,217	1,926
Multifamily residential		1,265	266	2,291
Total real estate	1,616	2,833	2,318	5,249
Consumer	32	86	198	688
Commercial and industrial	316	146	1,184	181
Agricultural				
Other	562	296	1,250	561
Total loans charged off	2,526	3,361	4,950	6,679
Recoveries of loans previously charged off				
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans:				
Non-farm/non-residential	199	96	221	113
Construction/land development	20		45	15
Agricultural				
Residential real estate loans:				
Residential 1-4 family	(6)	542	46	656
Multifamily residential	2	4	7	70
Total real estate	215	642	319	854
Consumer	152	48	214	90
Commercial and industrial	30	18	65	33
Agricultural				
Other	238	99	525	280
Total recoveries	635	807	1,123	1,257

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Net loans charged off (recovered)	1,891	2,554	3,827	5,422
Provision for loan losses for non-covered loans	6,115	750	13,053	750
Balance, June 30	\$ 48,248	\$ 40,498	\$ 48,248	\$ 40,498
Net charge-offs (recoveries) on loans not covered by loss				
share to average non-covered loans	0.18%	0.44%	0.19%	0.47%
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to total				
non-covered loans(1)	1.17	1.73	1.17	1.73
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to net				
charge-offs (recoveries)	10,234	6,360	2,542	1,506

⁽¹⁾ See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Table 25, for additional information on non-GAAP tabular disclosure.

Allocated Allowance for Loan Losses for Non-Covered Loans. We use a risk rating and specific reserve methodology in the calculation and allocation of our allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans. While the allowance is allocated to various loan categories in assessing and evaluating the level of the allowance, the allowance is available to cover charge-offs incurred in all loan categories. Because a portion of our portfolio has not matured to the degree necessary to obtain reliable loss data from which to calculate estimated future losses, the unallocated portion of the allowance is an integral component of the total allowance. Although unassigned to a particular credit relationship or product segment, this portion of the allowance is vital to safeguard against the imprecision inherent in estimating credit losses.

The changes for the period ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013 in the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans for the individual types of loans are primarily associated with changes in the ASC 310 calculations, both individual and aggregate, and changes in the ASC 450 calculations. These calculations are affected by changes in individual loan impairments, changes in asset quality, net charge-offs during the period and normal changes in the outstanding loan portfolio, as well any changes to the general allocation factors due to changes within the actual characteristics of the loan portfolio.

Table 18 presents the allocation of allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 18: Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses for Non-Covered Loans

	As of June	As of June 30, 2014		er 31, 2013	
	Allowance Amount	% of loans ⁽¹⁾	Allowance Amount	% of loans ⁽¹⁾	
		(Dollars in	n thousands)		
Real estate:					
Commercial real estate loans:					
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 19,317	41.9%	\$ 14,848	41.4%	
Construction/land development	6,657	14.6	6,282	13.4	
Agricultural	253	1.6	252	1.9	
Residential real estate loans:					
Residential 1-4 family	7,261	21.5	6,072	21.8	
Multifamily residential	2,755	5.3	2,817	5.1	
Total real estate	36,243	84.9	30,271	83.6	
Consumer	602	1.4	632	1.7	
Commercial and industrial	3,714	10.8	1,933	12.2	
Agricultural	3,262	1.3	1,931	0.9	
Other		1.6		1.6	
Unallocated	4,427		4,255		
Total	\$48,248	100.0%	\$ 39,022	100.0%	

⁽¹⁾ Percentage of loans in each category to loans receivable not covered by loss share.

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Allowance for Loan Losses for Covered Loans

Allowance for loan losses for covered loans were \$2.9 million and \$4.8 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Total charge-offs decreased to \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$3.2 million for the same period in 2013. Total recoveries increased to \$128,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$6,000 for the same period in 2013. There was zero provision for loan losses taken on covered loans during the three months ended June 30, 2014. There was \$100,000 provision for loan losses taken on covered loans during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

72

Total charge-offs decreased to \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$5.0 million for the same period in 2013. Total recoveries increased to \$302,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$17,000 for the same period in 2013. There was zero provision for loan losses taken on covered loans during the six months ended June 30, 2014. There was \$100,000 provision for loan losses taken on covered loans during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Investments and Securities

Our securities portfolio is the second largest component of earning assets and provides a significant source of revenue. Securities within the portfolio are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading based on the intent and objective of the investment and the ability to hold to maturity. Fair values of securities are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities. The estimated effective duration of our securities portfolio was 2.9 years as of June 30, 2014.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 we had \$205.6 million and \$114.6 million of held-to-maturity securities, respectively. Of the \$205.6 million of held-to-maturity securities, \$69.7 million were invested in mortgage-backed securities and \$135.9 million were invested in state and political subdivisions as of June 30, 2014. All of the held-to-maturity securities were invested in state and political subdivisions as of December 31, 2013.

Securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders equity as other comprehensive income. Securities that are held as available-for-sale are used as a part of our asset/liability management strategy. Securities that may be sold in response to interest rate changes, changes in prepayment risk, the need to increase regulatory capital, and other similar factors are classified as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities were \$1.12 billion and \$1.18 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

As of June 30, 2014, \$495.5 million, or 44.1%, of our available-for-sale securities were invested in mortgage-backed securities, compared to \$461.6 million, or 39.3%, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2013. To reduce our income tax burden, \$178.2 million, or 15.9%, of our available-for-sale securities portfolio as of June 30, 2014, was primarily invested in tax-exempt obligations of state and political subdivisions, compared to \$195.5 million, or 16.6%, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2013. Also, we had approximately \$393.3million, or 35.0%, invested in obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$463.5 million, or 39.4%, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2013.

Certain investment securities are valued at less than their historical cost. These declines are primarily the result of the rate for these investments yielding less than current market rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, we believe the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. It is our intent to hold these securities to recovery. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other than temporary impairment is identified.

See Note 3 Investment Securities to the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the carrying value and fair value of investment securities.

Deposits

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Our deposits averaged \$5.24 billion and \$5.28 billion for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014. Total deposits decreased \$201.0 million, or an annualized decrease of 7.5%, to \$5.19 billion as of June 30, 2014, from \$5.39 billion as of December 31, 2013. Deposits are our primary source of funds. We offer a variety of products designed to attract and retain deposit customers. Those products consist of checking accounts, regular savings deposits, NOW accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit. Deposits are gathered from individuals, partnerships and corporations in our market areas. In addition, we obtain deposits from state and local entities and, to a lesser extent, U.S. Government and other depository institutions.

Our policy also permits the acceptance of brokered deposits. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, brokered deposits were \$70.6 million and \$100.4 million, respectively. Included in these brokered deposits are \$30.6 million and \$41.2 million of Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. CDARS are deposits of our customers we have swapped with other institutions. This gives our customers the potential for FDIC insurance of up to \$50.0 million.

The interest rates paid are competitively priced for each particular deposit product and structured to meet our funding requirements. We will continue to manage interest expense through deposit pricing. We may allow higher rate deposits to run off during this current period of limited loan demand. We believe that additional funds can be attracted and deposit growth can be realized through deposit pricing if we experience increased loan demand or other liquidity needs.

The Federal Reserve Board sets various benchmark rates, including the Federal Funds rate, and thereby influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by financial institutions. The Federal Funds rate, which is the cost to banks of immediately available overnight funds, was lowered on December 16, 2008 to a historic low of 0.25% to 0% where it has remained since that time.

Table 19 reflects the classification of the average deposits and the average rate paid on each deposit category, which is in excess of 10 percent of average total deposits, for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table 19: Average Deposit Balances and Rates

	Tl	ree Months E	Inded June 30,	
	2014	l .	201	.3
		Average		
	Average	Rate	Average	Average
	Amount	Paid	Amount	Rate Paid
		(Dollars in t	housands)	
Non-interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$ 1,054,233	%	\$ 704,847	%
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	2,457,506	0.19	1,561,306	0.18
Savings deposits	351,350	0.06	217,963	0.09
Time deposits:				
\$100,000 or more	734,974	0.65	469,946	0.94
Other time deposits	645,275	0.42	430,863	0.27
Total	\$ 5,243,338	0.24%	\$3,384,925	0.25%

2014	
2014 2013	
Average Average Average Amount Rate Paid Amount (Dollars in thousands)	Average Rate Paid
Non-interest-bearing transaction accounts \$1,029,004 % \$ 686,636	%
Interest-bearing transaction accounts 2,447,322 0.10 1,564,514	0.19

Six Months Ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Savings deposits	349,780	0.03	210,972	0.10
Time deposits:				
\$100,000 or more	782,364	0.34	497,229	0.84
Other time deposits	671,391	0.23	446,332	0.45
-				
Total	\$ 5,279,861	0.13%	\$ 3,405,683	0.27%

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

We enter into short-term purchases of securities under agreements to resell (resale agreements) and sales of securities under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) of substantially identical securities. The amounts advanced under resale agreements and the amounts borrowed under repurchase agreements are carried on the balance sheet at the amount advanced. Interest incurred on repurchase agreements is reported as interest expense. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased \$16.4 million, or 10.2%, from \$161.0 million as of December 31, 2013 to \$144.6 million as of June 30, 2014.

FHLB Borrowed Funds

Our FHLB borrowed funds were \$349.1 million and \$350.7 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014, \$135.0 million and \$214.1 million of the outstanding balance were short-term and long-term advances, respectively. At December 31, 2013, \$130.3 million and \$220.4 million of the outstanding balances were short-term and long-term advances, respectively. Our remaining FHLB borrowing capacity was \$947.6 million and \$373.5 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities, because FHLB may have the right to call or HBI may have the right to prepay certain obligations.

Subordinated Debentures

Subordinated debentures, which consist of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities, were \$60.8 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment subject to certain limitations. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds in our subordinated debentures, the sole asset of each trust. The trust preferred securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the subordinated debentures held by the trust. We wholly own the common securities of each trust. Each trust sability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon our making payment on the related subordinated debentures. Our obligations under the subordinated securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by us of each respective trust sobligations under the trust securities issued by each respective trust.

Stockholders Equity

Stockholders equity was \$897.2 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$841.0 million at December 31, 2013, an annualized increase of 13.5%. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 our equity to asset ratio was 13.5% and 12.3% respectively. Book value per share was \$13.77 at June 30, 2014 compared to \$12.92 at December 31, 2013, a 13.3% annualized increase.

Common Stock Cash Dividends. We declared cash dividends on our common stock of \$0.075 per share for each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The common stock dividend payout ratio for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 17.18% and 23.88%, respectively. The common stock dividend payout ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 17.52% and 22.36%, respectively. For the third quarter of 2014, the Board of Directors declared a regular \$0.10 per share quarterly cash dividend payable September 3, 2014, to shareholders of record August 13, 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Adequacy Requirements

Risk-Based Capital. We, as well as our bank subsidiary, are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and other discretionary actions by regulators that, if enforced, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators as to components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes that, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we met all regulatory capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject.

Table 20 presents our risk-based capital ratios as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 20: Risk-Based Capital

	As of June 30, 2014 (Dollars	As of 4 December 31, 201 ars in thousands)		
Tier 1 capital				
Stockholders equity	\$ 897,235	\$	840,955	
Qualifying trust preferred securities	59,000		59,000	
Goodwill and core deposit intangibles, net	(321,065)		(323,272)	
Unrealized (gain) loss on available-for-sale				
securities	(4,686)		4,140	
Deferred tax assets	(10,103)		(31,330)	
Total Tier 1 capital	620,381		549,493	
Tier 2 capital	£1 172		42.015	
Qualifying allowance for loan losses	51,173		43,815	
Total Tier 2 capital	51,173		43,815	
Total risk-based capital	\$ 671,554	\$	593,308	
Average total assets for leverage ratio	\$ 6,390,752	\$	5,859,902	
Risk weighted assets	\$4,952,570	\$	5,051,558	
Ratios at end of period				
Leverage ratio	9.71%		9.38%	
Tier 1 risk-based capital	12.53		10.88	

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Total risk-based capital	13.56	11.75
Minimum guidelines		
Leverage ratio	4.00%	4.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	4.00	4.00
Total risk-based capital	8.00	8.00

As of the most recent notification from regulatory agencies, our bank subsidiary was well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well-capitalized , our banking subsidiary and we must maintain minimum leverage, Tier 1 risk-based capital, and total risk-based capital ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that we believe have changed the bank subsidiary s category.

Non-GAAP Financial Measurements

Our accounting and reporting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) and the prevailing practices in the banking industry. However, due to the application of purchase accounting from the Company significant number of historical acquisitions (especially Liberty), we believe certain non-GAAP measures and ratios that exclude the impact of these items are useful to the investors and users of our financial statements to evaluate our performance, including net income, earnings per share, net interest margin and the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to total non-covered loans.

Because of the Company s significant number of historical acquisitions, our net income, earnings per share, net interest margin and the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to total non-covered loans were significantly impacted by accretion and amortization of the fair value adjustments recorded in purchase accounting combined with the recording of provision for loan losses as loans migrate from purchased loan accounting treatment to originated loan accounting treatment. The accretion, amortization and provision for loan losses affect our net income, earnings per share and certain operating ratios as we accrete loan discounts to interest income; amortize premiums and discounts on time deposits to interest expense; amortize impairments of the indemnification assets to non-interest income; amortize intangible assets and accrue FDIC true up liability to non-interest expense; expense merger and acquisition costs and make provision for loan losses to cover new loans originated which are replacing the purchased loans acquired.

The Company experienced a \$6.1 million provision for loan losses for non-covered loans during the second quarter of 2014 which is an increase versus the same period in 2013. This expected increase is not an indication of a decline in asset quality, but primarily a reflection of the migration of the Liberty (and other acquired) loans from purchased loan accounting treatment to originated loan accounting treatment. Based upon current accounting guidance, the allowance for loan losses is not carried over in an acquisition. As a result, virtually none of the Liberty footprint loans had any allocation of the allowance for loan losses at year end. This is the result of all loans acquired on October 24, 2013 from Liberty being recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820. As the acquired loans mature and are renewed as new credits, management evaluates the credit risk associated with these new credit decisions and determines the required allowance for loan loss for these new originated loans using the allowance for loan loss methodology for all originated loans as disclosed in note 1 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K.

We had \$1.65 billion of purchased non-covered loans, which includes \$157.7 million of discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired, at June 30, 2014. We had \$2.04 billion of purchased non-covered loans, which includes \$174.6 million of discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired at December 31, 2013. For purchased credit-impaired financial assets, GAAP requires a discount embedded in the purchase price that is attributable to the expected credit losses at the date of acquisition, which is a different approach from non-purchased-credit-impaired assets. While the discount for credit losses on purchased non-covered loans is not available for credit losses on non-purchased non-covered loans, management believes it is useful information to show the same accounting as if applied to all loans, including those acquired in a business combination.

We believe these non-GAAP measures and ratios, when taken together with the corresponding GAAP measures and ratios, provide meaningful supplemental information regarding our performance. We believe investors benefit from referring to these non-GAAP measures and ratios in assessing our operating results and related trends, and when planning and forecasting future periods. However, these non-GAAP measures and ratios should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for or preferable to, ratios prepared in accordance with GAAP. In Tables 21 through 25 below, we have provided a reconciliation of, where applicable, the most comparable GAAP financial measures and ratios to the non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, or a reconciliation of the non-GAAP calculation of the financial measure for the periods indicated:

Table 21: Non-GAAP Earnings

	Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(In the	ousands, exc	ept per share	data)	
GAAP net income	\$ 28,429	\$ 17,659	\$ 55,766	\$ 35,207	
Accretion to net interest income	(16,439)	(5,090)	(31,703)	(10,145)	
Provision for loan losses	6,115	750	13,053	750	
FDIC indemnification amortization	6,622	2,283	11,366	4,275	
FDIC true-up accrual	371	180	638	360	
Amortization of intangible assets	1,147	802	2,314	1,604	
Merger and acquisition expenses	106	1	955	29	
Tax impact of the above items	1,263	653	2,052	1,900	
Non-GAAP impact to net income	(815)	(421)	(1,325)	(1,227)	
Non-GAAP net income	\$ 27,614	\$ 17,238	\$ 54,441	\$ 33,980	
GAAP diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.62	
Impact of purchase accounting, net of tax	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	
Non-GAAP diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.60	
Average diluted shares outstanding	65,545	56,577	65,523	56,555	

Table 22: Average Yield on Loans

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2014	2013 (Dollars in	2014 thousands)	2013		
Interest income on loans receivable FTE	\$ 75,518	\$ 44,160	\$ 150,650	\$ 88,456		
Purchase accounting accretion	16,303	4,958	31,432	9,838		
Non-GAAP interest income on loans receivable FTE	\$ 59,215	\$ 39,202	\$ 119,218	\$ 78,618		
Average loans	\$4,403,767	\$ 2,663,627	\$4,415,814	\$ 2,673,952		
Average purchase accounting loan discounts (1)	256,731	235,951	269,964	232,472		
Average loans (non-GAAP)	\$4,660,498	\$ 2,899,578	\$4,685,778	\$ 2,906,424		

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Average yield on loans (reported)	6.88%	6.65%	6.88%	6.67%
Average contractual yield on loans				
(non-GAAP)	5.10	5.42	5.13	5.45

(1) Balance includes \$157.7 million of discount of credit losses for non-covered loans acquired as of June 30, 2014.

Table 23: Average Cost of Deposits

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			ded	
		2014	014 2013		2014		20	
			((Dollars in t	housa	ands)		
Interest expense on deposits	\$	3,095	\$	2,129	\$	6,479	\$	4,614
Amortization of time deposit								
(premiums)/discounts, net		136		132		271		307
,								
Non-GAAP interest expense on								
deposits	\$	3,231	\$	2,261	\$	6,750	\$	4,921
1	·	,	·	,		,		,
Average deposits	\$4,	189,105	\$ 2.	,680,078	\$4,	250,857	\$2,	719,047
Average unamortized CD								
(premium)/discount, net		(125)		(949)		(57)		(1,023)
								())
Average deposits (non-GAAP)	\$4.	188,980	\$ 2.	,679,129	\$4,	250,800	\$2,	718,024
				,		•		,
Average cost of deposits (reported)		0.30%		0.32%		0.31%		0.34%
Average contractual cost of deposits								
(non-GAAP)		0.31		0.34		0.32		0.37
	Table 2	24: Net Inte	rest l	Margin				

	Three Mont	ths Ended	Six Months Ended			
	June	30,	June 30,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013		
		(Dollars in	thousands)			
Net interest income FTE	\$ 79,667	\$ 45,892	\$ 158,258	\$ 91,316		
Total purchase accounting accretion	16,439	5,090	31,703	10,145		
Non-GAAP net interest income FTE	\$ 63,228	\$ 40,802	\$ 126,555	\$ 81,171		
Average interest-earning assets	\$5,807,532	\$ 3,554,663	\$5,810,815	\$ 3,566,040		
Average purchase accounting loan						
discounts	256,731	235,951	269,964	232,472		
Average interest-earning assets (non-GAAP)	\$ 6,064,263	\$ 3,790,614	\$ 6,080,779	\$ 3,798,512		
Net interest margin (reported)	5.50%	5.18%	5.49%	5.16%		
Net interest margin (non-GAAP)	4.18	4.32	4.20	4.31		

79

Table 25: Allowance for Loan Losses for Non-Covered Loans to Total Non-Covered Loans

		A		June 30, 2014 Purchased		
	Non	-Covered	No	on-Covered		
]	Loans		Loans		Total
		(D	ollar	s in thousands	s)	
Loan balance reported (A)	\$2	,633,388	\$	1,499,721	\$ 4	4,133,109
Loan balance reported plus discount (B)	2	,633,388		1,657,426	4	4,290,814
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans (C)	\$	48,248	\$		\$	48,248
Discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired (D)				157,705		157,705
Total allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans plus						
discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired (E)	\$	48,248	\$	157,705	\$	205,953
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to total						
non-covered loans (C/A)		1.83%		N/A		1.17%
Discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired to non-covered loans acquired plus discount for credit losses on						
non-covered loans acquired (D/B)		N/A		9.52%		N/A
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans plus discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired to total non-covered loans plus discount for credit losses on						
non-covered loans acquired (E/B)		N/A		N/A		4.80%

Note: Discount for credit losses on purchased credit impaired loans acquired are accounted for on a pool by pool basis and are not available to cover credit losses on non-acquired loans or other pools.

	As of December 31, 2013					
	Purchased					
	Non-C	overed	No	n-Covered		
	Lo	ans		Loans		Total
		(D	ollar	s in thousand	s)	
Loan balance reported (A)	\$ 2,15	50,463	\$	2,043,974	\$ 4	1,194,437
Loan balance reported plus discount (B)	2,15	50,463		2,218,611	۷	1,369,074
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans (C)	\$ 3	39,022	\$		\$	39,022
Discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired (D)				174,637		174,637
Total allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans plus						
discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired (E)	\$ 3	39,022	\$	174,637	\$	213,659
Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans to total						
non-covered loans (C/A)		1.81%		N/A		0.93%
Discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired to		N/A		7.87%		N/A
non-covered loans acquired plus discount for credit losses on						

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

non-covered loans acquired (D/B)

Allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans plus discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired to total non-covered loans plus discount for credit losses on non-covered loans acquired (E/B)

N/A N/A 4.89%

Note: Discount for credit losses on purchased credit impaired loans acquired are accounted for on a pool by pool basis and are not available to cover credit losses on non-acquired loans or other pools.

80

We had \$321.7 million, \$324.0 million, and \$96.1 million total goodwill, core deposit intangibles and other intangible assets as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Because of our level of intangible assets and related amortization expenses, management believes diluted earnings per share excluding intangible amortization, tangible book value per share, return on average assets excluding intangible amortization, return on average tangible equity excluding intangible amortization and tangible equity to tangible assets are useful in evaluating our company. These calculations, which are similar to the GAAP calculation of diluted earnings per share, book value, return on average assets, return on average equity, and equity to assets, are presented in Tables 26 through 30, respectively.

Table 26: Diluted Earnings Per Share Excluding Intangible Amortization

	Three	Months	Ende	ed June	30 x	Months E	nded	June 30,
		2014	2	2013		2014		2013
		(Dollars	s in th	ousan	ds, ex	cept per s	share	data)
GAAP net income	\$	28,429	\$ 1	7,659	\$	55,766	\$	35,207
Intangible amortization after-tax		697		488		1,406		975
Earnings excluding intangible amortization	\$:	29,126	\$ 1	8,147	\$	57,172	\$	36,182
GAAP diluted earnings per share	\$	0.43	\$	0.31	\$	0.85	\$	0.62
Intangible amortization after-tax		0.01		0.01		0.02		0.02
Diluted earnings per share excluding intangible amortization	\$	0.44	\$	0.32	\$	0.87	\$	0.64

Table 27: Tangible Book Value Per Share

		As of		As of
	June 30, 2014 December			ber 31, 2013
	(In t	thousands, e	xcept per	share data)
Book value per share: A/B	\$	13.77	\$	12.92
Tangible book value per share: (A-C-D)/B		8.83		7.94
(A) Total equity	\$	897,235	\$	840,955
(B) Shares outstanding		65,142		65,082
(C) Goodwill	\$	301,736	\$	301,736
(D) Core deposit and other intangibles		19,984		22,298

81

Table 28: Return on Average Assets Excluding Intangible Amortization

30,
.70%
.79
207
975
182
970
927
,]

Table 29: Return on Average Tangible Equity Excluding Intangible Amortization

	Three Mont		Six Month June		
	2014	2013			
Return on average equity: A/C	12.96%	13.27%	12.98%	13.47%	
Return on average tangible equity excluding					
intangible amortization: B/(C-D)	20.94	16.65	21.20	16.97	
(A) Net income	\$ 28,429	\$ 17,659	\$ 55,766	\$ 35,207	
(B) Earnings excluding intangible amortization	29,126	18,147	57,172	36,182	
(C) Average equity	880,045	533,646	866,586	527,008	
(D) Average goodwill, core deposits and other					
intangible assets	322,274	96,526	322,851	96,927	
Table 30: Tangible Equity to Tangible Assets					

	As of June 30, 2014	Decer	As of nber 31, 2013		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Equity to assets: B/A	13.46%		12.35%		
Tangible equity to tangible assets:					
(B-C-D)/(A-C-D)	9.07		7.97		
(A) Total assets	\$6,666,140	\$	6,811,861		
(B) Total equity	897,235		840,955		

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

(C) Goodwill	301,736	301,736
(D) Core deposit and other intangibles	19.984	22,298

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 22 to the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of certain recently issued and recently adopted accounting pronouncements.

Item 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Liquidity and Market Risk Management

Liquidity Management. Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations. Maintaining appropriate levels of liquidity allows us to have sufficient funds available for reserve requirements, customer demand for loans, withdrawal of deposit balances and maturities of deposits and other liabilities. Our primary source of liquidity at our holding company is dividends paid by our bank subsidiary. Applicable statutes and regulations impose restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared by our bank subsidiary. Further, any dividend payments are subject to the continuing ability of the bank subsidiary to maintain compliance with minimum federal regulatory capital requirements and to retain its characterization under federal regulations as a well-capitalized institution.

Our bank subsidiary has potential obligations resulting from the issuance of standby letters of credit and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loan customers. Many of these obligations and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loan customers are expected to expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements affecting our liquidity position.

Liquidity needs can be met from either assets or liabilities. On the asset side, our primary sources of liquidity include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, available-for-sale investment securities and scheduled repayments and maturities of loans. We maintain adequate levels of cash and cash equivalents to meet our day-to-day needs. As of June 30, 2014, our cash and cash equivalents were \$143.6 million, or 2.2% of total assets, compared to \$165.5 million, or 2.4% of total assets, as of December 31, 2013. Our available-for-sale investment securities and federal funds sold were \$1.12 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$1.18 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Our investment portfolio is comprised of approximately 80.7% or \$1.07 billion of securities which mature in less than five years. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$1.10 billion and \$1.13 billion, respectively, of securities were pledged as collateral for various public fund deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

On the liability side, our principal sources of liquidity are deposits, borrowed funds, and access to capital markets. Customer deposits are our largest sources of funds. As of June 30, 2014, our total deposits were \$5.19 billion, or 77.9% of total assets, compared to \$5.39 billion, or 79.2% of total assets, as of December 31, 2013. We attract our deposits primarily from individuals, business, and municipalities located in our market areas.

We may occasionally use our Fed funds lines of credit in order to temporarily satisfy short-term liquidity needs. We have Fed funds lines with three other financial institutions pursuant to which we could have borrowed up to \$35.0 million on an unsecured basis as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. These lines may be terminated by the respective lending institutions at any time.

We also maintain lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank. Our FHLB borrowed funds were \$349.1 million and \$350.7 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014, \$135.0 million and \$214.1 million of the outstanding balance were short-term and long-term advances, respectively. At December 31, 2013, \$130.3 million and \$220.4 million of the outstanding balance were short-term and long-term advances, respectively. Our FHLB borrowing capacity was \$947.6 million and \$373.5 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity to satisfy our current operations.

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Market Risk Management. Our primary component of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact both the level of income and expense recorded on a large portion of our assets and liabilities, and the market value of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, other than those which possess a short term to maturity. We do not hold market risk sensitive instruments for trading purposes.

Asset/Liability Management. Our management actively measures and manages interest rate risk. The asset/liability committees of the boards of directors of our holding company and bank subsidiary are also responsible for approving our asset/liability management policies, overseeing the formulation and implementation of strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings, and reviewing our interest rate sensitivity position.

One of the tools that our management uses to measure short-term interest rate risk is a net interest income simulation model. This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using base market rates and using a rising and a falling interest rate scenario. The income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the re-pricing relationships for each of our products. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to re-price immediately, and proportional to the change in market rates, depending on their contracted index. Some loans and investments include the opportunity of prepayment (embedded options), and accordingly the simulation model uses indexes to estimate these prepayments and reinvest their proceeds at current yields. Our non-term deposit products re-price more slowly, usually changing less than the change in market rates and at our discretion.

This analysis indicates the impact of changes in net interest income for the given set of rate changes and assumptions. It assumes the balance sheet remains static and that its structure does not change over the course of the year. It does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or secondary impacts such as changes to our credit risk profile as interest rates change.

Furthermore, loan prepayment rate estimates and spread relationships change regularly. Interest rate changes create changes in actual loan prepayment rates that will differ from the market estimates incorporated in this analysis. Changes that vary significantly from the assumptions may have significant effects on our net interest income.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. Our primary business is banking and the resulting earnings, primarily net interest income, are susceptible to changes in market interest rates. It is management s goal to maximize net interest income within acceptable levels of interest rate and liquidity risks.

A key element in the financial performance of financial institutions is the level and type of interest rate risk assumed. The single most significant measure of interest rate risk is the relationship of the repricing periods of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The more closely the repricing periods are correlated, the less interest rate risk we assume. We use repricing gap and simulation modeling as the primary methods in analyzing and managing interest rate risk.

Gap analysis attempts to capture the amounts and timing of balances exposed to changes in interest rates at a given point in time. Our gap position as of June 30, 2014 was asset sensitive with a one-year cumulative repricing gap of 97.9%. During these periods, the amount of change our asset base realizes in relation to the total change in market interest rate exceeds that of the liability base.

We have a portion of our securities portfolio invested in mortgage-backed securities. Mortgage-backed securities are included based on their final maturity date. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents 170

84

Table 31 presents a summary of the repricing schedule of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (gap) as of June 30, 2014.

Table 31: Interest Rate Sensitivity

	0-30 Days	31-90 Days	91-180 Days	Interest Rate S 181-365 Days (Dollars in	ensitivity Per 1-2 Years thousands)	riod 2-5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
Earning assets								
Interest-bearing								
deposits due from banks	21,385							21,385
Federal funds	21,383							21,383
sold	850							850
Investment								
securities	52,774	89,317	48,984	89,329	177,697	482,946	387,322	1,328,369
Loans								
receivable, net	749,575	381,153	472,023	784,992	750,572	992,115	214,663	4,345,093
Total corning								
Total earning assets	824,584	470,470	521,007	874,321	928,269	1,475,061	601,985	5,695,697
assets	02 1,50 1	170,170	321,007	071,321	720,207	1,175,001	001,703	3,073,077
Interest-bearing								
liabilities								
Interest-bearing								
transaction and	112 700	227.576	241 265	692.720	474 026	465 120	450 525	2.756.060
savings deposits Time deposits	113,788 149,344	227,576 182,675	341,365 245,505	682,730 428,846	474,936 222,284	465,130 81,821	450,535 (3,599)	2,756,060 1,306,876
Federal funds	147,544	102,073	243,303	420,040	222,204	01,021	(3,377)	1,500,070
purchased								
Securities sold								
under								
repurchase	444.604							4.4.60
agreements FHLB	144,602							144,602
borrowed funds	41,979	23,847	58,445	46,816	47,519	126,732	3,772	349,110
Subordinated	11,575	23,017	30,113	10,010	17,515	120,732	3,772	317,110
debentures	60,826							60,826
T-4-1								
Total interest-bearing								
liabilities	510,539	434,098	645,315	1,158,392	744,739	673,683	450,708	4,617,474
11401111100	310,337	15 1,070	0.10,510	1,100,072	, , , , , , , ,	0.0,000	120,700	1,017,171
Interest rate								
sensitivity gap	314,045	36,372	(124,308)	(284,071)	183,530	801,378	151,277	1,078,223

Edgar Filing: SYCAMORE NETWORKS INC - Form SC 13G/A

Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap	314,045	350,417	226,109	(57,962)	125,568	926,946	1,078,223	
Cumulative rate								
sensitive assets								
to rate sensitive								
liabilities	161.5%	137.1%	114.2%	97.9%	103.6%	122.2%	123.4%	
Cumulative gap as a % of total								
earning assets	5.5%	6.2%	4.0%	(1.0)%	2.2%	16.3%	18.9%	

Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Article I. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Additionally, our disclosure controls and procedures were also effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act report is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Article I. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2014, which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1: Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, to which Home BancShares, Inc. or its subsidiaries are a party or of which any of their property is the subject.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

There were no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. See the discussion of our risk factors in the Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC. The risks described are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4: Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5: Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6: Exhibits

12.1	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges*
15	Awareness of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm*
31.1	CEO Certification Pursuant Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)*
31.2	CFO Certification Pursuant Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)*
32.1	CEO Certification Pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002*
32.2	CFO Certification Pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document*
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document*

^{*} Filed herewith

87

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HOME BANCSHARES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2014 /s/ C. Randall Sims

C. Randall Sims, Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2014 /s/ Randy E. Mayor

Randy E. Mayor, Chief Financial Officer

88