DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORP

Form 10-K January 31, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC. 20549

FORM 10-K

(mark one)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2004

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO ____.

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-22636

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 75-2461665

State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Incorporation or organization

(1.11.0. Empreyer raemerrreactem no.

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (310) 566-1700

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

NONE

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(q) OF THE ACT:

COMMON STOCK, \$0.001 PAR VALUE
(title of class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as

defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of shares of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of April 30, 2004 was approximately \$2,450,375 based on the average bid and ask price of a share of common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board of \$0.17.

As of January 24, 2005, 23, 034, 151 shares of common stock of the registrant were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (this "Report") includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Forward-looking statements are statements other than historical information or statements of current condition. Some forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of such terms as "expects", "will", "anticipates", "estimates", "believes", "plans" and words of similar meaning. These forward-looking statements relate to business plans, programs, trends, results of future operations, satisfaction of future cash requirements, funding of future growth, acquisition plans and other matters. In light of the risks and uncertainties inherent in all such projected matters, the inclusion of forward-looking statements in this Form 10-K should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives or plans will be achieved or that our operating expectations will be realized. Revenues and results of operations are difficult to forecast and could differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements contained herein, including without limitation statements regarding our belief of the sufficiency of capital resources and our ability to compete in the telecommunications industry. Actual results could differ from those projected in any forward-looking statements for, among others, the following reasons: (a) increased competition from existing and new competitors using voice over Internet protocol ("VoIP") to provide telecommunications services over the Internet, (b) the relatively low barriers to entry for start-up companies using VoIP to provide telecommunications services over the Internet, (c) the price-sensitive nature of consumer demand, (d) the relative lack of customer loyalty to any particular provider of services over the Internet, (e) our dependence upon favorable pricing from our suppliers to compete in the telecommunications industry, (f) increased consolidation in the telecommunications industry, which may result in larger competitors being able to compete more effectively, (g) failure to attract or retain key employees, (h) continuing changes in governmental regulations affecting the telecommunications industry and the Internet and (i) changing consumer demand, technological developments and industry standards that characterize the industry. We do not undertake to update any forwardlooking statements contained herein. For a discussion of these factors and others, please see "Risk Factors" in Item 1 of this Report. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements made in this Report or in any document or statement referring to this Report.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Our Company

Throughout this Annual Report, the term "we", "Dial Thru" and the "Company" refer to Dial Thru International Corporation, a Delaware corporation formerly known as ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc., successor by merger to Canmax Inc., and its subsidiaries. The Company was incorporated on July 10, 1986 under the Company Act of the Province of British Columbia, Canada. On August 7, 1992, we renounced our original province of incorporation and elected to continue our domicile under the laws of the State of Wyoming, and on November 30, 1994 our name was changed to "Canmax Inc." On February 1, 1999, we reincorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware under the name "ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc." On November 2, 1999, we acquired substantially all of the business and assets of Dial Thru International Corporation, a California corporation (the "DTI Acquisition"), and, on January 19, 2000, we changed our name from ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc. to "Dial Thru International Corporation." Our common stock currently trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "DTIX."

From our inception until 1998 we provided retail automation software and related services to the retail petroleum and convenience store industries. In 1998 we decided that the rapidly expanding telecommunications market presented an opportunity to utilize some of the technology and support capabilities that we had developed, and we entered into the telecommunications industry via the pre-paid long distance market. In December 1998, we sold our retail automation software business and now operate only in the telecommunications marketplace.

Our principal executive offices are located at 17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90272, our telephone number is (310) 566-1700 and our website address is www.dialthru.com.

Recent Developments

On July 24, 2003 we entered into an agreement with GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited that provided us with a loan of \$550,000, which has been used for the Company's ongoing working capital needs. In January 2004, as per the terms of the agreement, this loan became a convertible debenture with a maturity date of November 8, 2004. Currently, this debenture has matured and is due on demand. This debenture continues to accrue interest at the stated rate.

Development of Our Telecommunications Business

In January 1998, we acquired US Communication Services, Inc. ("USC"), a provider of prepaid phone cards, public Internet access kiosks and pay telephones. While the USC acquisition did not proceed as intended, leading to our rescission of the transaction in May 1998, we decided to develop our in-house capabilities to expand our telecommunications operations and continued to focus on the rapidly growing prepaid phone card market. In the second quarter of fiscal 1999, we purchased telecommunications switching equipment and an enhanced services platform. Following a period of development, implementation and testing, we commenced operations as a facilities-based carrier in the fourth quarter of our 1999 fiscal year. Calls made with our prepaid phone cards were then routed through our switching facilities, giving us better control over costs and quality of service.

In November 1999, we completed the DTI Acquisition and continued operations of its facilities-based telecommunications carrier business through its subsidiary, Dial Thru.com. During the first quarter of fiscal 2000, we appointed John Jenkins (founder of the acquired business) to the position of

President and Chief Operating Officer of our Company. In the third quarter of fiscal 2000, we relocated our Texas operations, including our switching facilities, to a location in downtown Los Angeles, California. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001, Mr. Jenkins was appointed by our Board of Directors to the position of Chairman of the Board and also became our Chief Executive Officer. At that time we announced the creation of our "Bookend Strategy" and the roll out of our facilities-based Internet Protocol network, whereby we sell voice over Internet protocol ("VoIP") to allow us to compete in the international telecommunications market.

Mr. Jenkins continued the merger of operations of the two businesses and increased our emphasis on the international wholesale and retail business segment while reducing our focus on the prepaid domestic market. We now operate as a facilities-based global Internet protocol ("IP") communications company providing connectivity to international markets experiencing significant demand for IP enabled services. We provide a variety of international telecommunications services, including the transmission of voice and data traffic and the provision of Web-based and other communications services, which are targeted to small and medium sized enterprises ("SMEs"), wholesale carriers providing international and domestic long distance traffic and consumers. We utilize VoIP packetized voice technology (and other compression techniques) to improve both costs and efficiencies of telecommunication transmissions, and are developing a private VoIP telephony network. We utilize digital fiber optic cable, international satellites and the Internet to transport our communications.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001, we acquired the assets and certain of the liabilities of Rapid Link, Incorporated ("Rapid Link"), a provider of integrated data and voice communications services to both wholesale and retail customers around the world. Rapid Link's global VoIP network reaches thousands of retail customers, primarily in Europe and Asia. This acquisition has significantly enhanced our product lines, particularly our Dial Thru and Re-origination services, Global Roaming products, and wholesale termination. Furthermore, the acquisition has allowed us to roll out services to additional international markets and more rapidly expand our VoIP strategy due to the engineering and operational expertise acquired in the transaction.

Our Business Strategy

Our primary business concentrates on the marketing of IP telephony services, including voice, fax, data and other Web-based services. The term Bookend Strategy describes our primary focus, which is to provide telecommunication services originating in foreign countries and in the corresponding ethnic segment domestically in the United States via the Internet to transport various forms of communications. These services are provided primarily via the public Internet, utilizing VoIP and other digitized voice technologies. VoIP is voice communication that has been converted into digital packets and is then addressed, prioritized, and transmitted over any form of broadband network utilizing the technology that makes the Internet possible. These technologies allow us to transmit voice communications with the same high-density compression as networks initially designed for data transmission, and at the same time utilize a common network for providing customers with data and other Web-based services.

By utilizing VoIP over the public Internet, we avoid the high network cost associated with private line connections to each international destination, which would require us to lease a dedicated line for a set period of time at a set rental rate and to "fill" idle network capacity with traffic in order to offset the high fixed costs of such a private line. The primary focus of our business is to sell a bundled solution of communication services, such as international dial thru, re-origination and fax over the Internet to SMEs

worldwide. We also sell telecommunications services for both the foreign and domestic termination of international long distance traffic into the wholesale market. Our primary objective in selling into the wholesale market is to take advantage of below market international rates that arise from time to time while we are developing revenue from our retail marketing operations. We expanded the offering of our wholesale services beginning in the 2002 fiscal year and believe that additional market opportunities for select wholesale routes will be available to us in our current fiscal year. In some markets, where the price advantages and capacity limitations do not provide for significant retail opportunities, we sell only wholesale terminations.

A key part of the Bookend Strategy is the establishment of direct routes for telecommunications traffic to and from a target country. Once we have determined that a particular country meets our requirements for availability of retail revenue opportunities, we then must determine the best manner to establish dedicated connectivity. This is usually accomplished by establishing a licensing agreement within the country, whereby we are licensed to sell these communication products. We then make these products available to SMEs in the target country through public Internet connections and apply the appropriate technology to provide for the compression of the telecommunications traffic over these routing options. The emerging technology that is best suited for the majority of these installations is VoIP.

We primarily focus on markets where competition is not keen. These markets include regions where the deregulation of telecommunications services has not been completed and smaller markets that have not attracted large multinational providers. South Africa, Asia, and parts of South America offer the greatest abundance of these target markets.

The explosive growth of the Internet has accelerated the rapid merger of the worlds of voice-based and data-based communications. By first digitizing voice signals, then utilizing the same packetizing technology that makes the Internet possible, VoIP provides for a cost effective manner in which to perform the signal compression needed to maximize the return from the use of the public Internet. In this way, not only has efficiency of the dedicated circuits been improved, but use of the public Internet provides a much more cost effective means of transmission and rapid deployment compared to traditional private leased lines and circuits.

We currently operate our domestic telecommunications switching facilities in Los Angeles, California and New York, New York, providing for long distance services worldwide. Development of the private IP network and the use of VoIP technology have improved both the cost and quality of telecommunications services, as well as facilitating our expansion into other Internet related opportunities.

Our Products and Services

Dial Thru and Re-origination Services

We provide a variety of international Dial Thru and Re-origination services. These services, while still contributing a significant portion of our revenues, will continue to decrease as a percentage of our total revenues as we continue to develop and market new services. Generally, the Dial Thru and Re-origination services are provided to customers that establish deposits or prepayments with us to be used for long-distance calling. The Dial Thru service allows customers the convenience of making local and/or international calls in the same manner as traditional long distance dialing. In those markets in which we cannot currently provide Dial Thru service, we offer our Re-origination service, which allows a caller outside of the

United States to place a long distance telephone call that appears to have originated from our switch in Los Angeles to the customer's location, and then connects the call through our network to anywhere in the world. By completing the calls in this manner, we are able to provide very competitive rates to the customer. Wherever possible, we route calls over our private network. By using VoIP to compress voice and data transmissions across the public Internet, we are able to offer these services at costs that are substantially less than traditional communications services.

International Wholesale Termination

Primarily as a result of our acquisition of Rapid Link, we began offering international call completion on a wholesale basis to international telecommunications companies. Our service enables our customers to offer their own customers phone to phone global voice and fax services. This service provides our customers with high quality and low cost long distance without our customers having to deploy their own VoIP infrastructure. We can also provide additional termination opportunities to customers that have developed their own VoIP networks with nearly instant access to our termination points by connecting to these customers via the Internet. Therefore, we have the capability to offer our services to carriers connecting to our network through traditional dedicated switch to switch connections, and through the public Internet whereby our customers connect to our network using their own VoIP equipment.

Global Roaming

Our Global Roaming service provides customers a single account number to use to initiate phone-to-phone calls from locations throughout the world using specific toll-free access numbers. This service enables customers to receive the cost benefits associated with our telecommunications network throughout the world. This product will begin to account for a more significant amount of our revenue due to the acquisition of Rapid Link, which provides this product to its retail customers around the world.

FaxThru

We offer FaxThru and "store and forward" fax services, which allow a customer to send a fax to another party utilizing the Internet without incurring long distance or similar charges. From the customer's perspective, these products function exactly like traditional fax services, but with significant savings in long distance charges.

VoIP Retail

We offer several VoIP programs to the business office, the business professional that may have a home office and the consumer. We provide a full array of VoIP communication services by connecting a VoIP gateway from a single line to up to a total of 120 lines in one office. We will shortly introduce a VoIP-based executive phone that plugs into the USB port of a lap top or desk top computer. We call this product our "Executel" and it allows a business executive to connect his or her computer to any hotel or other internet connection, while he or she is traveling and provides the same discounted calling plans and connectivity he or she receives from us at his or her home office. In addition, telephone numbers follow the executives wherever they go, so no matter where they travel, their customers can always get a hold of them. In addition to our "Executel", we are introducing our "Rapid Link" product, which is a two line router that plugs into any internet connection in a customer's home or office. This allows the user to have both a business line and a fax line for the same low price. All of our products run on a centrally managed and hosted telephony solution that supports local, long distance and international calling.

Our VoIP products use Session Initiation Protocol, or SIP, signaling, which empowers edge devices, such as multimedia terminal adapters, to establish and manage voice calls on all types of internet systems. Our systems provide an end user with a local phone number for inbound calling and comes with a full set of features and functionality, including call waiting, caller ID, three-way conference calling, and "follow me" features. Additional features include web-based tools allowing subscribers to manage their telephony features online, manage their accounts, and check their voice mail. This allows the operator to provision accounts, provide customer support, and create a unified bill for high-speed data, telecommunications and other services or organize their bill in any format to accommodate cost controls for their business accounting.

While we are optimistic that our VoIP-based retail products will provide us with meaningful growth opportunities in our current fiscal year, we have yet to derive material revenues from any of these products. The continuing viability of our business and operations is dependant on our ability to exploit this retail opportunity and we can give you no assurances that we will be successful.

Suppliers

Our principal suppliers consist of domestic and international telecommunications carriers. Relationships currently exist with a number of reliable carriers. Due to the highly competitive nature of the telecommunications business, we believe that the loss of any carrier would not have a long-term material impact on our business.

Customers

We focus our retail sales and marketing efforts toward SMEs, particularly those located in foreign markets where telecommunications deregulation has not taken place or is currently underway, residential customers in those same markets and in the United States, and wholesale customers located both domestically and internationally. We rely heavily on the use of commissioned agents to generate retail sales in the foreign markets. By doing so, we believe that we establish a wide base of customers with little vulnerability based on lack of customer loyalty. Our wholesale customers are primarily large public telecommunications customers in the United States, and medium to large foreign Postal, Telephone and Telegraph companies, which are those entities responsible for providing telecommunications services in foreign markets and are usually government owned or controlled. During the year ended October 31, 2004, we provided wholesale services to a customer who accounted for 17% of our revenues and to another customer who accounted for 13% of our revenues. We believe the loss of any individual customer would not materially impact our business.

Competition

The telecommunications services industry is highly competitive, rapidly evolving and subject to constant technological change. Other providers currently offer one or more of each of the services offered by us. Telecommunications service companies compete for consumers based on price, with the dominant providers conducting extensive advertising campaigns to capture market share. As a service provider in the long distance telecommunications industry, we compete with such dominant providers as AT&T Corp., MCI, Sprint Corporation and Qwest Communications International, all of which are substantially larger than us and have the resources, history and customer bases to dominate virtually every segment of the telecommunications market.

A substantial majority of the telecommunications traffic around the world is carried by dominant carriers in each market. These carriers, such as British Telecom and Deutsche Telekom, have started to deploy packet-switch networks for voice and fax traffic. In addition, other industry leaders, such as AT&T, MCI, Sprint and Qwest Communications International, as well as large cable companies, have begun to offer Internet telephony services both in the United States and internationally. These and other competitors will be able to bundle services and products that are not offered by us, together with Internet telephony services, to gain a competitive advantage over us in the marketing and distribution of products and services

We also compete with other smaller carriers including IDT Corp., deltathree.com, Primus Telecommunications Group, Inc., Net2Phone Inc., 8x8 Inc., and private companies such as Vonage. Additionally, a number of non-traditional competitors have been attracted to the market, including internet-based service providers. We also believe that existing competitors are likely to continue to expand their service offerings to appeal to retailers and consumers especially in the area of VoIP.

The market for international voice and fax call completion services is also highly competitive. We compete both in the market for enhanced Internet communication services and the market for carrier transmission services. We believe that the primary competitive factors in the Internet and VoIP communications business are quality of service, price, convenience and bandwidth. We believe that the ability to offer enhanced service capabilities, including new services, will become an increasingly important competitive factor in the near future.

Future competition could come from a variety of companies both in the Internet and telecommunications industries. We also compete in the growing markets of providing Re-origination services, Dial Thru services, dialaround, 10-10-XXX calling and other calling services. In addition, some Internet service providers have begun enhancing their real-time interactive communications and, although these companies have initially focused on instant messaging, we expect them to provide PC-to-phone services in the future.

Internet Telephone Service Providers

During the past several years, a number of companies have introduced services that make Internet telephony or voice services over the Internet available to businesses and consumers. iBasis, Teleglobe International Holdings and the wholesale divisions of Net2Phone and deltathree.com route traffic to destinations worldwide and compete directly with us. Other Internet telephony service providers focus on a retail customer base and may in the future compete with us. These companies offer the kinds of voice services we intend to offer in the future. In addition, companies currently in related markets have begun to provide VoIP services or adapt their products to enable voice over the Internet services. It is likely that these companies will migrate into the Internet telephony market as direct competitors.

Regulation of Internet Telephony and the Internet

The use of the Internet and private IP networks to provide voice communications services is a relatively recent market development. 2004 marked a turning point for IP voice communications, popularly called Voice over IP ("VoIP"), as a large number of established players in the traditional voice business, such as AT&T, Verizon, SBC Communications, and others announced their plans for offering VoIP services. Although the provision of such services is currently permitted by United States law and remains largely unregulated within the United States, several foreign

governments have adopted laws and/or regulations that could restrict or prohibit the provision of voice communications services over the Internet or private IP networks. More aggressive regulation of the Internet in general, and Internet telephony providers and services specifically, may materially and adversely affect our business, financial conditions, operating results and future prospects, particularly if increased numbers of governments impose regulations restricting the use and sale of IP telephony services.

United States. In an April 10, 1998 Report to Congress, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") declined to conclude that IP telephony services constitute telecommunications services and instead indicated that it would undertake a subsequent examination of the question whether certain forms of Internet telephony are "information" or "telecommunications" services. The FCC indicated that, in the future, it would consider the extent to which Internet telephony providers could be considered "telecommunications carriers" subject to the regulations governing traditional telephone companies, such as the imposition of access charges and Universal Service Fund ("USF") obligations.

The future is upon us and, in 2004, the FCC issued a handful of decisions addressing the regulatory treatment of specific VoIP services. The FCC also initiated a broad rulemaking proceeding aimed at developing policies and rules regarding the regulatory treatment of all IP-enabled services. In addition, the FCC made progress on several other proceedings that may impact the costs and regulatory requirements associated with providing our communications services, including our IP-based services. These proceedings include universal service and intercarrier compensation reform, expansion of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA") to IP services, and a handful of pending Declaratory Ruling filings pertaining to several issues affecting IP communications services.

On February 12, 2004, the FCC adopted an Order addressing Pulver.com's Petition for Declaratory Ruling regarding the classification of its Free World Dialup ("FWD"). FWD is a free, PC-to-PC VoIP service and the FCC concluded that the service does not constitute "telecommunications" as defined by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act") and therefore would remain an unregulated information service.

On April 21, 2004, the FCC reached a contrasting conclusion in regard to AT&T's Petition for a Declaratory Ruling that access charges do not apply to its phone-to-phone VoIP service in which calls originate and terminate on circuit switched Public Switched Telephone Network ("PSTN") facilities, but are routed on the Internet backbone. The FCC rejected AT&T's request and ruled that the service at issue is a "telecommunications service" upon which interstate access charges may be assessed and to which USF obligations apply.

Because our communications services do not share the same characteristics as either Pulver's FWD service or AT&T's phone-to-phone VoIP service, we do not believe our company and its ability to continue providing its communications services are directly affected by either of these decisions in a material way.

On November 9, 2004, the FCC declared that a type of Internet telephony service offered by Vonage Holdings Corp., called DigitalVoice, is not subject to traditional state public utility regulation. The FCC also concluded that other types of IP-enabled services, such as those offered by cable companies, that have basic characteristics similar to DigitalVoice, would also not be subject to traditional state regulation. The Vonage decision makes it clear that the FCC, not state commissions, has the responsibility and obligation to decide whether certain regulations apply to IP-enabled services. The Vonage decision also makes clear that the FCC has

the power to preempt state regulations that thwart or impede federal authority over interstate communications. Some states, including California and Ohio, recently appealed the FCC's Vonage decision. These and other states seek a continuing role in the regulation of IP-based communications services.

It is too early to determine whether the FCC's Vonage decision will benefit our company. If the Vonage decision is overturned, however, state governments and their regulatory authorities may assert jurisdiction over the provision of intra-state IP communications services where they believe that their telecommunications regulations are broad enough to cover regulation of IP services. Various state regulatory authorities have initiated proceedings to examine the regulatory status of Internet telephony services. While a majority of state commissions have not imposed traditional telecommunications regulatory requirements on IP telephony at this time, some states have issued rulings that may be interpreted differently. If the states require these VoIP providers to register as telecommunications providers, other VoIP providers may be targeted and subjected to significant additional fees and charges.

Ultimately, while the FCC made progress towards clarifying the role regulation will play in the future of advanced communications networks and services in 2004, the key rulings, decisions, and regulations are likely to occur in 2005 and beyond.

Of particular importance are FCC proceedings related to IP-Enabled Services, USF reform, intercarrier compensation reform and CALEA. We cannot speculate as to the outcome of any of these on-going proceedings and therefore cannot predict the impact FCC decisions may have on our business.

International. The regulatory treatment of IP communications outside the United States varies significantly from country to country. The regulations global IP providers are subject to in many jurisdictions change from time to time, they may be difficult to obtain or it may be difficult to obtain accurate legal translations where official legal translations are unavailable. Additionally, in our experience, the enforcement of these regulations does not always track the letter of the law. Accordingly, although we devote considerable resources to maintaining compliance with these regulations, we cannot be certain that we are in compliance with all of the relevant regulations at any given point in time.

While some countries prohibit IP telecommunications, others have determined that IP services offer a viable alternative to traditional telecommunications services. As the Internet telephony market has expanded, regulators have begun to reconsider whether to regulate Internet telephony services.

In July 2003, a package of European Union ("EU") Directives on Communications became effective and significantly altered the regulatory regime applicable to communications services in the EU. Unlike the U.S. system of individualized licenses, the EU adopted an approach that would permit providers to avoid registration or licensing requirements in most circumstances if the provider simply complied with specific conditions. This regulatory regime extends to all providers of electronic communications networks and electronic communications services. In essence, rather than require licensing, the EU provided a "rulebook" with which providers of electronic communications networks and services must comply.

Under this "self-policing" system, each national regulatory authority has the power to set the various general and specific conditions, but the types and scope of such conditions are strictly limited by the EU Directives.

Adopting this general approach, the UK regulatory authority - the Office of Communications ("OFCOM") - established General Conditions applicable to providers of electronic communications networks and services. It also published certain informal guidelines for VoIP providers in the UK. The Informal Guidelines provided insight as to under what circumstances OFCOM would deem that a VoIP service would be treated as a Publicly Available Telephone Service ("PATS") and therefore subject to the additional obligations. Under these Guidelines a company is subject to PATS obligations:

- * If the VoIP provider markets its services as a substitute for traditional telephone service;
- * If the services appear to the customer to be a substitute for telephone service with which they would expect to access emergency services or directory assistance; or
- * The service provides the customer's sole means to access the traditional circuit switched telephone network.

However, where a VoIP provider service is being offered as an "adjunct to a traditional telephone service" or as a "secondary service," it is likely not to be considered as a PATS. While the Informal Guidelines were non-binding and subject to change at any time, they provided important insight as to OFCOM's view of how VoIP should be treated in the UK.

In early September 2004, both the European Commission ("EC") and OFCOM proceeded with consultations and declarations designed to clarify the regulatory obligations applicable to VoIP providers. The EC inquiry raised general questions concerning VoIP - similar to those being considered at the FCC in its IP-Enabled Services NPRM. OFCOM actually reached decisions on number portability and geographic and non-geographic number assignment available to VoIP providers. In this regard, OFCOM decided that it would permit the assignment of geographic telephone numbers directly to both PATS and non-PATS VoIP providers within the UK. In addition, the regulator authorized the allocation of numbers from a non-geographic specific area code - (056).

While OFCOM's framework provides some exciting opportunities for VoIP providers offering services in the UK (such as the ability to receive direct number assignments and non-geographic area codes), the rules suggest that further rule revisions on number portability, "999" emergency services, and network reliability requirements will be forthcoming in 2005. In addition, because OFCOM's rules and other member state frameworks are subject to the outcome of the larger EU proceeding, it appears likely that providers of VoIP in Europe must wait until 2005 before a clearer regulatory framework emerges. Until this time, with the exception of the UK, the EU's January 5, 2001, decision to exclude VoIP from the definition of voice telephony appears controlling except where the services satisfy all four of the following conditions applicable to "voice telephony": (1) service is a commercial offering, (2) offered to the public, (3) provided to and from public switched network termination points and (4) involves real time speech of a quality and reliability level similar to PSTN.

To date, the EC has not ruled that any particular type of VoIP service (such as computer-to-computer or phone-to-phone) satisfies all of these conditions. While the EC monitors and supervises the Member States of the EU subject to the principle of supremacy of EU law, the primary responsibility for implementing the provisions of specific EU legislation lies with regulatory authorities of the Member States. Accordingly, although the Member States are required to adhere to the EU laws, an individual country may decide that a particular VoIP service meets all of the conditions necessary to be regulated as traditional voice services, as the UK did in 2004. We cannot guarantee that other Member States will

refrain from imposing additional regulations on our specific VoIP services.

Other countries, including those in which the governments prohibit or limit competition for traditional voice telephony services, generally do not permit Internet telephony services or strictly limit the terms under which those services may be provided. Still other countries regulate Internet telephony services like traditional voice telephony services, requiring Internet telephony companies to make universal service contributions and pay other taxes. While some countries subject IP telephony providers to reduced regulations, others have moved towards liberalization of the IP communications sector and have lifted bans on provision of IP telecommunications services. We cannot predict how a regulatory or policy change of a particular country might affect the provision of our services. We believe that while increased regulations and restrictions could materially threaten our ability to provide services, the lifting of regulations in a country generally will enable use to expand our services and presence in that country.

Regulation of the Internet. In addition to regulations addressing Internet telephony and broadband services, other regulatory issues relating to the Internet, in general, could affect our ability to provide our services. Congress has adopted legislation that regulates certain aspects of the Internet, including online content, user privacy, taxation, liability for third-party activities and jurisdiction. In addition, a number of initiatives pending in Congress and state legislatures would prohibit or restrict advertising or sale of certain products and services on the Internet, which may have the effect of raising the cost of doing business on the Internet generally. The potential effect, if any, of these initiatives on the development of our business remains uncertain.

Federal, state, local and foreign governmental organizations are considering other legislative and regulatory proposals that would regulate the Internet. We cannot predict whether new taxes will be imposed on our services both nationally and internationally, and depending on the type of taxes imposed, whether and how our services would be affected thereafter. Increased regulation of the Internet may decrease its growth and hinder technological development, which may negatively impact the cost of doing business via the Internet or otherwise materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Sales and Marketing

We market long distance telecommunications products and services from our office in Los Angeles, California. We also have a regional sales office located in Johannesburg, South Africa. Our revenues are primarily derived from direct sales to business and residential accounts, sales through commissioned agents and wholesale sales to other telecommunications providers. We plan to expand our sales effort to both domestic and international business accounts, as well as add products and services targeted toward residential customers in both markets.

We have substantial revenues in foreign markets. For the years ending October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, \$2.9 million or 22%, \$2.7 million or 15%, and \$2.6 million or 14% of our total revenue from continuing operations for each year, respectively, originated from Western Europe, Africa and South East Asia.

Intellectual Property

We do not hold any patents or trademarks. Our products and services are available to other telecommunication companies.

Employees

As of January 24, 2005, we have 1 part-time and 37 full-time employees, 17 of which perform administrative and financial functions, 13 of which perform customer support duties and 8 of which have experience in telecommunications operations and/or sales. 12 current employees are located in Los Angeles, California and 26 employees operate in other offices worldwide. No employees are represented by a labor union, and we consider our employee relations to be good.

Availability of Reports

We file reports on a regular basis with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including, but not limited to Forms 8-K, 10-K, 10-Q and Schedule 14-A. The public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site (http://www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Risk Factors

Our cash flow may not be sufficient to satisfy our cost of operations

For the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, we recorded net losses from continuing operations of approximately \$0.8 million, \$5.1 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, on revenues from continuing operations of approximately \$13.4 million, \$17.7 million and \$18.4 million, respectively. As a result, we currently have a substantial working capital deficit. In addition, approximately 50% of our trade accounts payable and accrued liabilities are past due. To be able to service our debt obligations over the course of the 2005 fiscal year we must generate significant cash flow and obtain additional financing. If we are unable to do so or otherwise unable to obtain funds necessary to make required payments on our trade debt and other indebtedness, it is likely that we will not be able to continue our operations.

Our operating history makes it difficult to accurately assess our general prospects in the VoIP portion of the telecommunications industry and the effectiveness of our business strategy. In addition, we have limited meaningful historical financial data upon which to forecast our future sales and operating expenses. Our future performance will also be subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will successfully implement our business strategy or that our actual future cash flows from operations will be sufficient to satisfy our debt obligations and working capital needs.

To implement our business strategy, we will also need to seek additional financing. There is no assurance that adequate levels of additional financing will be available at all or on acceptable terms. In addition, any additional financing will likely result in significant dilution to our existing stockholders. If we are unable to obtain additional financing on terms that are acceptable to us, we could be forced to dispose of assets to make up for any shortfall in the payments due on our debt under circumstances that might not be favorable to realizing the highest price for those assets. A portion of our assets consist of intangible assets, the value of which will depend upon a variety of factors, including the success of our business. As a result, if we do need to sell any of our assets, we cannot assure you that our assets could be sold quickly enough, or for amounts sufficient, to meet our obligations.

We face competition from numerous, mostly well-capitalized sources

The market for our products and services is highly competitive. We face competition from multiple sources, virtually all of which have greater financial resources and a substantial presence in our markets and offer products or services similar to our services. Therefore, we may not be able to successfully compete in our markets, which could result in a failure to implement our business strategy, adversely affecting our ability to attract and retain new customers. In addition, competition within the industries in which we operate is characterized by, among other factors, price and the ability to offer enhanced services. Significant price competition would reduce the margins realized by us in our telecommunications operations. Many of our competitors have greater financial resources to devote to research, development and marketing, and may be able to respond more quickly to new or merging technologies and changes in customer requirements. If we are unable to provide value-added Internet products and services then we will be unable to compete in certain segments of the market, which could have an adverse impact on our business.

The regulatory environment in our industry is very uncertain

The legal and regulatory environment pertaining to the Internet is uncertain and changing rapidly as the use of the Internet increases. For example, in the United States, the FCC is considering whether to impose surcharges or additional regulations upon certain providers of Internet telephony.

In addition, the regulatory treatment of Internet telephony outside of the United States varies from country to country. There can be no assurance that there will not be legally imposed interruptions in Internet telephony in these and other foreign countries. Interruptions or restrictions on the provision of Internet telephony in foreign countries may adversely affect our ability to continue to offer services in those countries, resulting in a loss of customers and revenues.

New regulations could increase the cost of doing business over the Internet or restrict or prohibit the delivery of our products or services using the Internet. In addition to new regulations being adopted, existing laws may be applied to the Internet. Newly existing laws may cover issues that include sales and other taxes, access charges, user privacy, pricing controls, characteristics and quality of products and services, consumer protection, contributions to the USF (an FCC-administered fund for the support of local telephone service in rural and high-cost areas), cross-border commerce, copyright, trademark and patent infringement, and other claims based on the nature and content of Internet materials.

The industries in which we compete are characterized, in part, by rapid growth, evolving industry standards, significant technological changes and frequent product enhancements. These characteristics could render existing systems and strategies obsolete and require us to continue to develop and implement new products and services, anticipate changing consumer demands and respond to emerging industry standards and technological changes. No assurance can be given that we will be able to keep pace with the rapidly changing consumer demands, technological trends and evolving industry standards.

We need to develop and maintain strategic relationships around the world to be $\operatorname{successful}$

Our international business, in part, is dependent upon relationships with distributors, governments or providers of telecommunications services in foreign markets. The failure to develop or maintain these relationships could have an adverse impact on our business.

We rely on two key senior executives

Our success is dependent on our senior management team of John Jenkins and Allen Sciarillo and our future success will depend, in large part, upon our ability to retain these two individuals.

The expansion of our VoIP product offerings is essential to our survival

We intend to expand our VoIP network and the range of enhanced telecommunications services that we provide. Our expansion prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in new and rapidly evolving markets.

Our OTC Bulletin Board listing negatively affects the liquidity of our common stock

Our common stock currently trades on the OTC Bulletin Board. Therefore, no assurances can be given that a liquid trading market will exist at the time any investor desires to dispose of any shares of our common stock. In addition, our common stock is subject to the so-called "penny stock" rules that impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell such securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally defined as an investor with a net worth in excess of \$1 million or annual income exceeding \$200,000, or \$300,000 together with a spouse). For transactions covered by the penny stock rules, a broker-dealer must make a suitability determination for the purchaser and must have received the purchaser's written consent to the transaction prior to sale. Consequently, both the ability of a broker-dealer to sell our common stock and the ability of holders of our common stock to sell their securities in the secondary market may be adversely affected. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted regulations that define a "penny stock" to be an equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require the delivery, prior to the transaction, of a disclosure schedule relating to the penny stock market. The broker-dealer must disclose the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and, if the broker-dealer is to sell the securities as a market maker, the broker-dealer must disclose this fact and the brokerdealer's presumed control over the market. Finally, monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

Item 2. Properties.

We lease approximately 6,796 square feet in two locations in Los Angeles, California. Our principal executive office is located at 17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 350, in Los Angeles, California. Our operations and information systems are located in Los Angeles and New York, New York, where we lease 104 square feet under a month-to-month co-location agreement. We also have a sales and administrative office in Johannesburg, South Africa. We believe that our facilities are sufficient for the operation of our business for the foreseeable future. The expiration dates of the abovementioned lease agreements are as follows:

February 28, 2006 South Africa office

July 12, 2006 Los Angeles - operations and information systems office April 30, 2007 Los Angeles - executive office

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On June 12, 2001, Cygnus Telecommunications Technology, LLC ("Cygnus"), filed a patent infringement suit (case no. 01-6052) in the United States District court, Central District of California, with respect to our "international reorigination" technology. The injunctive relief that Cygnus sought in this suit has been denied, but Cygnus continues to seek a license fee for the use of the technology. We believe that no license fee is required as the technology described in the patent is different from the technology used by us.

In August 2002, Cygnus filed a motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent us from providing "reorigination" services. We filed a cross motion for summary judgment of non-infringement. Both motions were denied. On August 22, 2003, we re-filed the motion for summary judgment for non-infringement. In response to this filing, in August 2004, the court narrowly defined the issue to relate to a certain reorigination technology which we believe we do not now use and have not ever utilized to provide any of our telecommunications services. We intend to continue defending this case vigorously, and though our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to such legal proceeding is therefore expected to be minimal, it cannot be estimated with any certainty at this time.

The State of Texas ("Texas") performed a sales tax audit of our former parent, Canmax Retail Systems ("Canmax"), for the years 1995 to 1999. Texas determined that we did not properly remit sales tax on certain transactions, including asset purchases and software development projects that Canmax performed for specific customers. Our current and former management filed exceptions, through our outside sales tax consultant, to Texas' audit findings, including the non-taxable nature of certain transactions and the failure of Texas to credit our account for sales tax remittances. In correspondence from Texas in June 2003, Texas agreed to consider offsetting remittances received by Canmax during the audit period. Texas has refused to consider other potential offsets. Based on this correspondence with Texas, our estimate of the potential liability was originally recorded at \$350,000 during fiscal year 2003. Based on further correspondence with Texas, this estimated liability was increased to \$1.1 million during the first quarter of fiscal year 2004. Since this sales tax liability represents an adjustment to amounts previously reported in discontinued operations, it was classified separately during the first quarter of fiscal year 2004 in discontinued operations, and is included in the October 31, 2004 consolidated balance sheet in "Net current liabilities from discontinued operations". We believe that Canmax properly remitted an appropriate amount of sales tax to Texas, and we do not believe that Texas' position reflects the appropriate amount of tax remitted during the audit period mentioned above and will continue to pursue this issue with Texas. Ultimately, there is a good possibility that we will be liable for all or a material portion of this amount. We are also aggressively pursuing the collection of unpaid sales taxes from former customers of Canmax, though there can be no assurance that we will be successful with respect to such collections.

On January 12, 2004, we filed a suit against Southland Corporation ("Southland") in the 162nd District Court in Dallas Texas. Our suit claims a breach of agreement on the part of Southland in failing to reimburse us for taxes paid to Texas as well as related taxes for which we are currently being held responsible by Texas. Our suit seeks reimbursement for the taxes paid and a determination by the court that Southland is responsible to pay

the remaining tax liability to Texas. We are discussing possible settlement options with Southland, but as of yet, no agreement has been reached.

On July 20, 2004, we filed a suit against Q Comm International, Inc. ("Q Comm") in Federal Court in the Central District of Utah. Our suit claims damages of \$4 million plus attorneys' fees and costs resulting from the breach of a purchase agreement by Q Comm relating to the sale of our internally constructed equipment for the prepaid telecommunications industry. Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement, we would deliver the source code of certain proprietary software in consideration for an aggregate purchase price of \$4 million, of which \$1 million was due at closing and the remainder was due over three years. Following execution of the agreement, we tendered the software source code to Q Comm. However, Q Comm failed to pay us the initial amount due under the agreement and made copies of the source code without our permission. We are currently preparing for trial, which has been set for the first two weeks of January 2006. We are confident that we will prevail.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this Report.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.

Market For Our Common Stock

Our common stock, \$0.001 par value, is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the trading symbol "DTIX". Each share ranks equally as to dividends, voting rights, participation in assets on winding-up and in all other respects. No shares have been or will be issued subject to call or assessment. There are no preemptive rights, provisions for redemption or purpose for either cancellation or surrender or provisions for sinking or purchase funds.

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal periods indicated, the high and low closing sales price per share of our common stock as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board. The market quotations presented reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions and may not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

								COMMON CLOSING	
							_	HIGH	 LOW
FISC.	AL 2003								
	First Quarter .					Ş	è	0.40	\$ 0.12
	Second Quarter					Ş	Š	0.33	\$ 0.11
	Third Quarter .					Ş	è	0.19	\$ 0.10
	Fourth Quarter	•	•	•	•	Ş	è	0.26	\$ 0.10
FISC.	AL 2004								
	First Quarter .					Ş	è	0.25	\$ 0.17
	Second Quarter					Ş	è	0.23	\$ 0.10
	Third Quarter .	•	•	•	•	Ş	è	0.18	\$ 0.12

Fourth Quarter \$ 0.16 \$ 0.10

The closing price for our common stock on January 24, 2005 as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$0.35.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not presently intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain future earnings for reinvestment in our business.

Holders of Record

There were 486 stockholders of record as of January 24, 2005.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

In September 2004, Mr. Jenkins, Mr. Sciarillo and Mr. Vierra, holders of 10% convertible notes, converted a total aggregate of \$877,500 of their principal balances into, respectively, 6,250,000, 250,000 and 250,000 shares of our common stock. We relied on the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for this non-public offering because the securities were sold to a limited number of purchasers with financial experience who had pre-existing relationships with us.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

	FISCAL YEARS ENDED OCTOBER 31,									
	-	2004 		2003		2002		2001 		2000
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS										
DATA (1):										
Revenues		13,381		•		•		•		•
Cost of revenues		10,045								
Operating expenses		3,541								
Other income (expense)		(589)								
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued</pre>		(794)		(5,069)		(3,525)		(2,527)	(11,187)
operations		1 501		(1 553)		(1,159)		(157)		_
Net income (loss)		•				(4,684)				11.187)
100 1100110 (1000)		, , ,		(0,022)		(1) 001)		(2,001)	`	11,10,,
Income (loss) from continuing operations										
per share (basic and diluted)	\$	(0.05)	\$	(0.31)	\$	(0.25)	\$	(0.23)	\$	(1.31)
Net income (loss) per share										
(basic and diluted)	\$	0.04	\$	(0.41)	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.25)	\$	(1.31)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DATA (1):										
Total assets										
Continuing operations	\$	4,361	\$	4,838	\$	8,338	\$	11,255	\$	6,102
Discontinued operations		_		242		742		1,389		_
Working capital (deficiency)										
Continuing operations		(8, 159)		(7, 134)		(6,774)		(6,626)		(4,829)
Discontinued operations		1,100		(2,843)		(2,030)		_		_
Noncurrent obligations										
Continuing operations,										

net of discount	-	1,716	892	1,967	119
Discontinued operations	-	_	_	_	_
Shareholders' equity (deficit)	(6,523)	(8,222)	(1,975)	2,079	508

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the Fiscal Years Ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements relate to expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as "projects", "believe", "anticipates", "estimate", "plans", "expect", "intends", and similar words and expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that such expectations will prove to be correct. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such expectations are disclosed herein including, without limitation, in the "Risk Factors" located in PART I, Item 1. All forward-looking statements attributable to the Company are expressly qualified in their entirety by such language and we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statements. You are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures we have made which describe certain factors which affect our business throughout this Report. The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations covers the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and the Notes thereto commencing at page F-1 hereof.

General

On November 2, 1999, we consummated the DTI Acquisition and, in the second quarter of fiscal 2000, we shifted focus toward our global VoIP strategy. This change in focus has lead to a significant shift from our prepaid long distance operations toward higher margin international wholesale and retail telecommunication opportunities. This strategy allows us to form local partnerships with foreign PTT's and to provide IP enabled services based on the in-country regulatory environment affecting telecommunications and data providers. In the third quarter of fiscal 2000, we further concentrated our efforts toward our global VoIP telecommunications strategy by moving our operations to Los Angeles, California. This consolidation and reduction in staff has allowed us to significantly reduce our overhead, and although our operations have not yet produced positive cash flow, we believe that continued cost reductions and moderate revenue growth would allow us to achieve positive results in the near future.

On October 12, 2001, we completed the acquisition from Rapid Link of certain assets and executory contracts of Rapid Link, USA, Inc. and 100% of the common stock of Rapid Link Telecommunications, GmbH, a German company. Rapid Link provides integrated data and voice communications services to both wholesale and retail customers around the world. Rapid Link built a large residential retail customer base in Europe and Asia, using Rapid Link's network to make international calls anywhere in the world. Furthermore, Rapid Link developed a VoIP network using Clarent and Cisco

⁽¹⁾ All numbers, other than per share numbers, are in thousands. The results of operations of our German subsidiary, Rapid Link Telecommunications, GmbH, has been presented in the financial statements as discontinued operations. Results of operations in prior years have been restated to reclassify this business as discontinued operations.

technology which we have used to take advantage of wholesale opportunities where rapid deployment and time to market are critical. A majority of our revenue in our 2003 and 2002 fiscal years was derived from our Rapid Link acquisition.

On November 19, 2002 we entered into an agreement with Global Capital Funding Group, L.P. that provided us with a two year loan of \$1.25 million, with a maturity date of November 8, 2004. \$443,000 of the proceeds from this financing were used to pay off the remaining balance of Dial Thru's April 2001 convertible debenture with Global Capital while the remaining \$807,000 has been used for our Company's ongoing working capital needs. Currently, this loan has matured and is due on demand. This loan continues to accrue interest at the stated rate.

On July 24, 2003 we entered into an agreement with GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited that provided us with a 152-day loan of \$550,000. On January 2, 2004, per the terms of this loan agreement, this loan became a convertible debenture with a maturity date of November 8, 2004. The loan proceeds have been used for our Company's ongoing working capital needs. Currently, this debenture has matured and is due on demand. This debenture continues to accrue interest at the stated rate.

On August 1, 2003, our German Subsidiary, Rapid Link Telecommunications GmbH received approval for its insolvency filing and was turned over to a trustee who is responsible for liquidating the operation. We have determined that we no longer control the operations of this subsidiary and that our parent entity has no legal obligation to pay the liabilities of Rapid Link Telecommunications, GmbH.

The telecommunications industry continues to evolve towards an increased emphasis on IP related products and services. We have focused our business towards these types of products and services for the last couple of years. Furthermore, we believe the use of the Internet to provide IP related telephony services to the end user customer, either as a stand alone solution or bundled with other IP products, will continue to impact the industry as large companies like Time Warner and AT&T look to capitalize on their existing cable infrastructures, and smaller companies look to provide innovative solutions to attract commercial and residential users to their product offerings.

We will focus on the growth of our VoIP business by adding new products and services that we can offer to end user customers. We are also exploring opportunities to provide current customers, and attract new customers, through the sale of specialized Internet phones to allow customers to connect their phones to their existing Dial-Up or DSL Internet connections. These Internet phones will allow the user to originate phone calls over the Internet, thereby bypassing the normal costs associated with originating phone calls over existing land lines. By avoiding these costs, we are able to offer lower priced services to these customers, which we believe will allow us to attract additional users. We also believe there will be considerable demand for this type of product in certain foreign markets, where end users pay a significant premium to their local phone companies to make long distance phone calls. While we expect the growth in our customers and suppliers and the introduction of innovative product offerings to retail users, specifically Internet phones, to have a positive impact on our revenues and earnings, we cannot predict when this will happen, or be certain that it will happen at all. The revenue and costs associated with the Internet phone product offerings will depend on the number of customers and contracts we obtain. In many ways, our ability to maintain operations in the foreseeable future will be dictated by our ability to quickly deploy VoIP products into selected markets and to realize high quality revenues from these products and related telecommunications sources. Any delay in

our expansion of VoIP products and services will adversely affect our financial condition and cash flow and could ultimately cause us to greatly reduce or even cease operations.

See "Risk Factors" above for discussion of the impact of market risks, financial risks and other uncertainties. Please also see "Forward-Looking Statements" above relating to statements other than historical information or statements of current condition.

Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements include accounts of our Company and all of our majority-owned subsidiaries. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related footnotes. In preparing these financial statements, we have made our best estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. We do not believe there is a great likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported related to the accounting policies described below. However, application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenues are generated at the time a customer uses our network to make a phone call. We sell our services to SMEs and end-users who utilize our network for international re-origination and dial thru services, and to other providers of long distance usage who utilize our network to deliver domestic and international termination of minutes to their own customers. At times we receive payment from our customers in advance of their usage, which we record as deferred revenue, recognizing revenue as calls are made. The Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition", provides guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles to selected revenue recognition issues. We have concluded that our revenue recognition policy is appropriate and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for amounts that may become uncollectible in the future. All of our receivables are due from commercial enterprises and residential users in both domestic and international markets. The estimated allowance for uncollectible amounts is based primarily on our evaluation of the financial condition of the customer, and our estimation of the customer's willingness to pay amounts due. We review our credit policies on a regular basis and analyze the risk of each prospective customer individually in order to minimize our risk.

Goodwill

Effective November 1, 2001, we adopted SFAS No, 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141") and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, and also specifies the criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill. Under SFAS 142, goodwill is no longer amortized but is subject to an impairment test at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. In accordance with SFAS 142, an annual impairment test of

goodwill was performed by an independent valuation firm in the fourth quarters of fiscal years 2004 and 2003. The valuation process appraised our assets and liabilities using a combination of present value and multiple of earnings valuation techniques. The results of both impairment tests indicated goodwill was not impaired.

Financing, Warrants and Amortization of Warrants and Fair Value Determination

We have traditionally financed our operations through the issuance of debt instruments that are convertible into our common stock, at conversion rates at or below the fair market value of our common stock at the time of conversion, and typically include the issuance of warrants. We have recorded debt discounts in connection with these financing transactions in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Nos. 98-5 and 00-27. Accordingly, we recognize the beneficial conversion feature imbedded in the financings and the fair value of the related warrants on the balance sheet as debt discount. The debt discount is amortized over the life of the respective debt instrument.

Carrier Disputes

We review our vendor bills on a monthly basis and periodically dispute amounts invoiced by our carriers. We review our outstanding disputes on a quarterly basis as part of the overall review of our accrued carrier costs, and adjust our liability based on management's estimate of amounts owed.

Results of Operations

Our operating results for the last three fiscal years are as follows:

	Oct 31	Revenue 2004 Increase	% Change 2003 to 2004 Increase (Decr)	
REVENUES	\$ 13,380,510	100%	(24%)	\$ 17,654,794 1
COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Costs of revenues			(23%)	13,128,924
Sales and marketing	400,559	3%	(43%)	700,404
General and administrative	2,990,630	22%	(22%)	3,812,639
<pre>Impairment charge related to write down of advertising credits</pre>	-	_	(100%)	2,376,678
Impairment charge related to write				
down of assets held for resale	_	_	_	_
Gain (loss) on disposal of equipment	-	_	(100%)	241,935
Gain on settlement of liabilities	(466,000)	(3%)	_	-
Depreciation and amortization	615,883	5% 	(54%)	1,338,351
Total costs and expenses	13,586,135	102%	(37%)	21,598,931 1
Operating loss	(205,625)	(2%)	(95%)	(3,944,137) (
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Interest expense and financing costs	(613 , 511)	(5%)	(46%)	(1,131,806)
Foreign exchange	24,919	_	251%	7,097

Total other expense, net	_	(588,592)	(4%)	(48%)	(1,124,709)
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	-	(794,217)	(6%)	(84%)		5,068,846)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		1,501,147	11%	(197%)	(1,553,465)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ =	706,930	5% =====	(111%)	\$ (===	6,622,311)
<pre>INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE: Basic and diluted income (loss) per share</pre>	\$	(0, 05)			\$	(0. 21)
Continuing operations Discontinued operations	Ş	(0.05) 0.09			Ş	(0.31) (0.10)
	\$	0.04			\$	(0.41)
	_					

Results of Operations-2004 Versus 2003

Operating Revenues

Our revenues decreased from \$17.7 million for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003 to \$13.4 million for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, a 24% decline. Wholesale and retail revenues decreased by 20% and 33%, respectively, period to period.

The decrease in wholesale revenues for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 is attributable to a decrease in the number of termination opportunities available to us to offer our customers. Due to the competitive nature of the wholesale telecommunications business, our customers frequently request a reduction in the per minute termination rates that we offer them. At times, our suppliers are not able to offer us lower rates in order to maintain the minutes we are terminating to them. As a result, our wholesale revenues fluctuate depending on the number of termination opportunities available to us at any one time. We are working with new providers in an effort to recapture our lost revenue, though the results of these discussions are not presently known. If we are unable to attract and retain new wholesale customers, our wholesale revenues will continue to erode.

The decrease in retail revenues for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 is primarily attributable to increased competition in our largest foreign markets, including competition from the incumbent phone company in each market. Furthermore, a significant portion of our retail business comes from members of the United States military stationed in foreign markets. The March 2003 redeployment of troops into Iraq, where we have not historically provided long distance service, resulted in a decline in our retail sales to these military customers who were previously stationed in foreign markets that we serviced. We currently offer services to U.S. troops in Iraq on a limited basis and hope to increase these services during fiscal year 2005. We are exploring opportunities to grow our retail business, utilizing our in-house sales group and our outside agents, through the introduction of new products and services, focusing our efforts principally on the sale of Internet phones that allow users to connect specialized Internet phones to their existing Dial-Up or DSL Internet connections. If we are unable to stabilize our retail revenues from the

 ${\tt U.S.}$ military and grow our retail revenues from ${\tt VoIP-based}$ products, this category of revenue will also continue to decline.

Costs of Revenues

Our costs of revenues as a percentage of revenues has increased by one percent due to a decline in our retail traffic (see "Revenues" directly above) which realizes higher margins than our wholesale traffic. As a majority of our costs of revenues are variable, based on per minute transportation costs, costs of revenues as a percentage of revenues will fluctuate, from period to period, depending on the traffic mix between our wholesale and retail products

Sales and Marketing Expenses

A significant component of our revenue is generated by outside agents or through periodic newspaper advertising, which is managed by a small in-house sales and marketing organization. The reduction in our sales and marketing costs is primarily due to lower agent commission costs which are paid as a percentage of our revenue, as well as a reduction in our advertising costs. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, a significant portion of our advertising costs related to the introduction of new product lines. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, we have focused our attention on increasing revenues through the efforts of our agents. We will continue to focus our sales and marketing efforts on periodic newspaper advertising, the establishment of distribution networks to facilitate the introduction and growth of new products and services, and agent related expenses to generate additional revenues.

General and Administrative Expenses

We have reduced our general and administrative expenses for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 compared to the prior fiscal year primarily through the elimination of personnel and personnel related costs, which resulted in a decrease in costs of approximately \$531,000. A portion of this \$531,000 decrease is due to the closure of our Atlanta office during the third quarter of fiscal year 2003. The remaining decrease in general and administrative expenses of approximately \$291,000 is due to decreases in several expense categories during fiscal year 2004. We review our general and administrative expenses regularly and continue to manage the costs accordingly to support our current and anticipated future business.

Impairment Charge Related to Write Down of Advertising Credits

During fiscal year 2000, we issued common stock in exchange for advertising credits. As our ability to use these credits is uncertain, we have concluded that the carrying value of these credits is impaired. Accordingly, we wrote off the remaining advertising credits during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. (See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Equipment

During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, we closed our Atlanta, Georgia office and expensed the remaining book value of the office's leasehold improvements. During the same fiscal year, we expensed the remaining book value of computer software which was no longer utilized.

Gain on Settlement of Liabilities

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2003, we vacated our office space in Atlanta, Georgia. At that time, we began negotiations with the landlord

to terminate our lease agreement. In October 2004, we reached an agreement with the landlord to pay \$100,000 in settlement of all outstanding rents, payable in monthly installments of \$5,000 through May 2006. As a result, we recorded \$241,000 as Gain on Settlement of Liabilities during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004, representing the difference between our accrued rent and the settlement amount.

In connection with the acquisition of the assets and certain of the liabilities of Rapid Link, Incorporated ("Rapid Link") during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2001, we recorded certain liabilities of \$255,000, and continued to hold those liabilities pending a final settlement with the Rapid Link trustee. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, we agreed to pay \$30,000 to the trustee, and recorded the remaining \$225,000 to Gain on Settlement of Liabilities.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization has decreased as a larger portion of our assets still in use have become fully depreciated, including a majority of the assets acquired from Rapid Link. A majority of our depreciation and amortization expense relates to the equipment utilized in our VoIP network.

Interest Expense and Financing Costs

Interest expense and financing costs were due primarily to the amortization of deferred financing fees and debt discount on our convertible debentures, notes payable and notes payable to related parties. The decrease in interest expense and financing costs primarily relates to the reduction in such amortization due to our related party notes payable reaching their maturity date during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2003 as well as the early repayment of one of our convertible debentures through the issuance of a note payable during the first quarter of fiscal year 2003. All unamortized debt discount associated with this convertible debenture was expensed at the time of repayment. A further explanation of these changes can be found in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

Income (loss) from discontinued operations for fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 relates to an increase in our estimated sales tax liability to the State of Texas of \$750,000, offset by the write-off of the remaining net liability of our German subsidiary, Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH, totaling \$2,251,000. Income (loss) from discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003 relates to the losses of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH, in the amount of \$1,203,000 and an original estimate of the Company's sales tax liability to the State of Texas of \$350,000.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH filed for insolvency. The net liability associated with the disposal of the assets and liabilities of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH of approximately \$2.3 million was included in the balance sheet at October 31, 2003 and classified as Discontinued Operations. During the fiscal year 2004, we determined that we no longer controlled the operations of this subsidiary and that the parent entity had no legal obligation to pay the liabilities of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH. Accordingly, we wrote off the remaining net liability of \$2,251,000 and included the gain in Discontinued Operations during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004.

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, we determined based on final written communications with the State of Texas that the liability for sales taxes (including penalties and interest) totaled \$1.1 million. We had

previously accrued an estimated settlement amount of \$350,000. Accordingly, we accrued an additional \$750,000. The sales tax amount due is attributable to audit findings of our former parent, Canmax Retail Systems, from the State of Texas for the years 1995 to 1999. These operations were previously classified as discontinued after we changed our business model from a focus on domestic prepaid phone cards to international wholesale and retail business. The State of Texas determined that we did not properly remit sales tax on certain transactions. Management believes that the amount due has been improperly assessed and will continue to pursue a lesser settlement amount, though we cannot assure you that this matter will be resolved in the Company's favor. Since this sales tax liability represents an adjustment to amounts previously reported in Discontinued Operations, this amount was classified during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 as Discontinued Operations. (See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Results of Operations-2003 Versus 2002

Operating Revenues

Our wholesale revenues increased by 41% and our retail revenues decreased by 44% for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, compared to the prior fiscal year. The increase in wholesale revenues for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003 is attributable to additions to our wholesale sales force during fiscal year 2002, which focuses on developing greater wholesale opportunities both in customer growth and the development of additional points of termination. The decrease in retail revenues for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003 is primarily attributable to increased competition in our largest foreign markets, including competition from the incumbent phone company in each market. Furthermore, a significant portion of our retail business comes from members of the United States military stationed in foreign markets. The redeployment of troops into Iraq in March 2003 resulted in a decline in retail customers. We are exploring opportunities to grow our retail business through use of our advertising credits and newspaper advertising.

Costs of Revenues

Included in our cost of revenues for fiscal year 2002 are credits received from two vendors totaling \$729,000 relating to disputes for minutes billed in error for periods prior to fiscal 2002. Without these credits, costs of revenues as a percentage of revenues for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2002 would have been 67%. Our costs of revenues as a percentage of revenues has increased due to a decline in our retail traffic which realizes higher margins than our wholesale traffic. Costs of revenues as a percentage of revenues will fluctuate, from period to period, depending on the traffic mix between our wholesale and retail products.

General and Administrative Expenses

Included in general and administrative expenses is bad debt expense of \$28,000 and \$685,000 for the fiscal years ended October 2003 and 2002, respectively. For the 2002 fiscal year, bad debt expense includes \$216,000 attributable to non-payment from a single wholesale customer. We have implemented strict credit policies and systems to closely monitor our wholesale traffic daily to reduce the risk of bad debt. We have further reduced our general and administrative costs by approximately \$983,000, for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, through the elimination of personnel and personnel related costs. We review our general and administrative expenses regularly, and continue to manage the costs accordingly to support the current and anticipated future business.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

A significant component of our revenues is generated by outside agents or through newspaper and periodical advertising, which is managed by a small in-house sales and marketing organization. We will continue to focus our sales and marketing efforts on newspaper and periodical advertising and agent related expenses to generate additional revenues. The use of our advertising credits is expected to increase sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars in future periods.

Impairment Charge Related to Write Down of Advertising Credits

During fiscal year 2000, we issued common stock in exchange for advertising credits. As our ability to use these credits is uncertain, in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, we have written off the remaining advertising credits during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. (See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Impairment Charge Related to Write Down of Assets Held for Resale

Assets held for resale represents internally constructed equipment for prepaid telecommunications. As the potential ability to sell this equipment is uncertain, in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, this equipment was written off during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2002. (See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Equipment

During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, we closed our Atlanta, Georgia office and expensed the remaining book value of the office's leasehold improvements. During the same fiscal year, we expensed the remaining book value of computer software which was no longer utilized.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization has decreased as a portion of our assets still in use have become fully depreciated, including a majority of the assets acquired from Rapid Link. A majority of our depreciation and amortization expense relates to the equipment utilized in our VoIP network. In accordance with Statement of Accounting Standards No. 142, effective November 1, 2001, we no longer amortize goodwill.

Interest Expense and Financing Costs

Interest expense and financing costs were due primarily to the amortization of deferred financing fees and debt discount on our convertible debentures and our related party notes payable.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations

Income (loss) from discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003 relates to the losses of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH, in the amount of \$1,203,000 and an original estimate of the Company's sales tax liability of \$350,000. Income (loss) from discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2002 relates to the losses of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH filed for insolvency. The net liability associated with the disposal of the assets and liabilities of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH of approximately \$2.3 million was included in the balance sheet at October 31, 2003 and classified as Discontinued Operations. During the fiscal year 2004, we determined that we no longer controlled the operations of this

subsidiary and that the parent entity had no legal obligation to pay the liabilities of Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH. Accordingly, we wrote off the remaining net liability of \$2,251,000 and included the gain in Discontinued Operations during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004.

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, we determined based on final written communications with the State of Texas that the liability for sales taxes (including penalties and interest) totaled \$1.1 million. We had previously accrued an estimated settlement amount of \$350,000. Accordingly, we accrued an additional \$750,000. The sales tax amount due is attributable to audit findings of our former parent, Canmax Retail Systems, from the State of Texas for the years 1995 to 1999. These operations were previously classified as discontinued after we changed our business model from a focus on domestic prepaid phone cards to international wholesale and retail business. The State of Texas determined that we did not properly remit sales tax on certain transactions. Management believes that the amount due has not been properly assessed and will continue to pursue a lesser settlement amount, though we cannot assure you that this matter will be resolved in the Company's favor. Since this sales tax liability represents an adjustment to amounts previously reported in Discontinued Operations, this amount was classified during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 as Discontinued Operations. (See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

Although we have significantly reduced our operating loss, to date we have been generally unable to achieve positive cash flow on a quarterly basis primarily due to the fact that our present lines of business do not generate a volume of business sufficient to cover our overhead costs. Furthermore, approximately 50% of our trade accounts payable and accrued liabilities are past due. Our future operating success is extremely dependent on our ability to quickly generate positive cash flow from our VoIP lines of products and services. Any failure of this business plan will result in a cash flow crisis and could force us to seek alternative sources of financing or to greatly reduce or discontinue operations. Although various possibilities for obtaining financing or effecting a business combination have been discussed from time to time, there are no agreements with any party to raise money or combine with another entity. Further, negotiations with our existing lenders have not resulted in any extension of past due obligations, which could therefore be declared due and accelerated at any time. Any additional financing we may obtain will involve material and substantial dilution to existing stockholders. In such event, the percentage ownership of our current stockholders will be materially reduced, and any new equity securities sold by us may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to our current common stockholders. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, our operations in the short term will be materially affected and we may not be able to remain in business. These circumstances raise substantial doubt as to the ability of our Company to continue as a going concern.

At October 31, 2004, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$586,000, an increase of \$81,000 from the balance at October 31, 2003. We had significant working capital deficits at both October 31, 2004 and 2003.

Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations was \$260,000 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, compared to net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations of \$684,000 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. The net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 was primarily due to a net loss from continuing operations of \$794,000 adjusted for: non-cash interest expense of \$182,000; depreciation and

amortization of \$616,000; gain on settlement of liabilities of (\$466,000); and net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$693,000, which was primarily an increase in accrued liabilities of \$665,000. For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, the net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations was primarily due to a net loss from continuing operations of \$5,069,000 adjusted for: loss from disposal of fixed assets of \$242,000; impairment charge related to write down of advertising credits of \$2,377,000; non-cash interest expense of \$689,000; depreciation and amortization of \$1,338,000; and net changes in operating assets and liabilities of (\$302,000).

Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations for the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$145,000 and \$192,000, respectively, and relate to the purchase of property and equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities of continuing operations for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, totaled \$34,000, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations of \$1,169,000 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, net cash used in financing activities of continuing operations was due to payments on capital leases. For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations was due to \$1,800,000 in net proceeds from notes payable, offset by \$105,000 in payments on capital leases, \$82,000 of financing fees, and \$443,000 in payments on convertible debentures.

We have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$46.5 million as of October 31, 2004 as well as significant working capital deficit. Funding of our working capital deficit, current and future operating losses, and expansion will require continuing capital investment which may not be available to us.

Since the beginning of April 2001, we have raised \$5.7 million in debt financing.

Although to date we have been able to arrange the debt facilities and equity financing described below, there can be no assurance that sufficient debt or equity financing will continue to be available in the future or that it will be available on terms acceptable to us. As of October 31, 2004, we had \$3.8 million of notes payable and convertible debentures which have matured or mature within the next year as well as a significant amount of trade payables and accrued liabilities which are past due. We will continue to explore external financing opportunities and renegotiation of our short-term debt with our current financing partners in order to extend the terms or retire these obligations. Approximately 39% of the short-term debt is due to our senior management. Our management is committed to the success of our Company as is evidenced by the level of financing it has made available to our Company. Failure to obtain sufficient capital will materially affect our Company's operations and financial condition. As a result of the aforementioned factors and related uncertainties, there is significant doubt about our Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Our current capital expenditure requirements are not significant, primarily due to the equipment acquired from Rapid Link. Our capital expenditures for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 were \$145,000 and we do not anticipate significant spending for fiscal year 2005.

In October 2001, we executed 10% convertible notes (the "Notes") with three of our executives, which provided financing of \$1,945,958. With an original maturity date of October 24, 2003, these Notes were amended subsequent to fiscal year 2002 to mature on February 24, 2004. Currently, these Notes have matured and are due on demand. These Notes continue to accrue interest at the stated rate. These Notes are secured by selected Company assets and

are convertible into our common stock at the option of the holder at any time. The conversion price is equal to the closing bid price of our common stock on the last trading day immediately preceding the conversion. We also issued to the holders of the Notes warrants to acquire an aggregate of 1,945,958 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.78 per share, which expire on October 24, 2006. For the year ended October 31, 2002, an additional \$402,433 was added to the Notes and an additional 402,433 warrants to acquire our common stock were issued in connection with the financing. During fiscal year 2004, the holders of the Notes elected to convert \$877,500 of the Notes into 6,750,000 shares of common stock. The outstanding balance of these Notes at October 31, 2004 totals \$1,470,890.

In January 2002, we executed a 6% convertible debenture (the "Second Debenture") with GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited ("GCA"), which provided financing of \$550,000 and had an original maturity date of January 28, 2003. The Second Debenture was amended subsequent to fiscal year 2002 to mature on November 8, 2004. The Second Debenture matured in November 2004 and is currently due on demand. Although no event of default has been declared by the lender, we are technically in default under this agreement and are currently negotiating with this party to extend the maturity date. The Second Debenture continues to accrue interest at the stated rate. conversion price is equal to the lesser of (i) 100% of the volume $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ weighted average of sales price as reported by the Bloomberg L.P. of the common stock on the last trading day immediately preceding the Closing Date ("Fixed Conversion Price") and (ii) 85% of the average of the three (3) lowest volume weighted average sales prices as reported by Bloomberg L.P. during the twenty (20) Trading Days immediately preceding but not including the date of the related Notice of Conversion ("the "Formula Conversion Price"). In an event of default the amount declared due and payable on the Second Debenture shall be at the Formula Conversion Price. During fiscal year 2003, GCA converted \$50,000 of the Second Debenture and \$3,463 of accrued interest into approximately 374,000 shares of common stock. During fiscal year 2004, GCA converted \$10,000 of the Second Debenture and \$730 of accrued interest into approximately 82,000 shares of common stock. The outstanding balance on the Second Debenture is \$490,000 at October 31, 2004.

In November 2002, we executed a 12% note payable (the "GC-Note") with Global Capital Funding Group, L.P., which provided financing of \$1,250,000. The GC-Note matured on November 8, 2004 and is currently due on demand. Although no event of default has been declared by the lender, we are technically in default under this agreement and are currently negotiating with this party to extend the maturity date. The GC-Note continues to accrue interest at the stated rate. The Company also issued to the holder of the GC-Note warrants to acquire an aggregate of 500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.14 per share, which expire on November 8, 2007.

In July 2003, we executed a 10% note payable (the "GCA-Note") with GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited, which provided financing of \$550,000. The GCA-Note's maturity date was December 23, 2003. Per the terms of the GCA-Note agreement, in the event the GCA-Note is not repaid in full within 10 days of the maturity date, the terms of the GCA-Note shall become the same as those of the Second Debenture. Effective January 2, 2004, the GCA-Note's terms became the same as those of the Second Debenture which had a maturity date of November 8, 2004. The GCA-Note matured in November 2004 and is currently due on demand. Although no event of default has been declared by the lender, we are technically in default under this agreement and are currently negotiating with this party to extend the maturity date. The GCA-Note continues to accrue interest at the stated rate. We also issued to the holder of the GCA-Note warrants to acquire an aggregate of 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.14 per share, which expire on July 24, 2008.

Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We provide our retail services primarily to customers located outside of the U.S. Thus, our financial results could be impacted by foreign currency exchange rates and market conditions abroad. As most of our services are paid for in U.S. dollars, a strong dollar could make the cost of our services more expensive than the services of non-U.S. based providers in foreign markets. In markets where we buy our services in local currency and sell those services to U.S. customers, a weak dollar could make our services more expensive than our competitors in foreign markets. We have not used derivative instruments to hedge our foreign exchange risks though we may choose to do so in the future. We believe our pricing is lower than the incumbent carrier in each market, and therefore, we would not expect to lose significant revenues as a result of any fluctuations, although certainly, our margin would be negatively impacted by a weak dollar.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The information required by Item 8 of this Report is presented in Item 15.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9a. Controls and Procedures.

Within the 90 days prior to the filing date of this Annual Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms.

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Item 9b. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers and directors.

Name	Age	Position with the Company			
John Jenkins	43	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer,			
		President and Director			
Allen Sciarillo	40	Executive Vice President, Chief			
		Financial Officer, Secretary and			
		Director			
Lawrence Vierra	59	Director			
Robert M. Fidler	66	Director			
David Hess	43	Director			

JOHN JENKINS has served as our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since October 2001, and has served as our President and a director since December 1999. Mr. Jenkins has also served as the President of DTI Com, Inc., one of our subsidiaries, since November 1999. In May 1997, Mr. Jenkins founded Dial Thru International Corporation (subsequently dissolved in November 2000), and served as its President and Chief Executive Officer until joining us in November 1999. Prior to 1997, Mr. Jenkins served as the President and Chief Financial Officer for Golden Line Technology, a French telecommunications company. Prior to entering the telecommunications industry, Mr. Jenkins owned and operated several software, technology and real estate companies. Mr. Jenkins holds degrees in physics and business/economics.

ALLEN SCIARILLO has been our Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Secretary since July 2001 and was elected as a director in May 2002. From January to March 2001, Mr. Sciarillo was the Chief Financial Officer of Star Telecommunications, Inc., a global facilities-based telecommunications carrier. Prior to that time, Mr. Sciarillo served as Chief Financial Officer of InterPacket Networks, a provider of Internet connectivity to Internet service providers worldwide, from July 1999 until its acquisition by American Tower Corporation in December 2000. From October 1997 to June 1999, he served as Chief Financial Officer of RSL Com USA, a division of RSL Com Ltd., a global facilities-based telecommunications carrier. Prior to joining RSL, Mr. Sciarillo was Vice President and Controller of Hospitality Worldwide Services, Inc. from July 1996 to October 1997. Mr. Sciarillo began his career at Deloitte & Touche and is a Certified Public Accountant.

LAWRENCE VIERRA has served as one of our directors since January 2000, and from that time through October 2004, served as our Executive Vice President. Currently, Mr. Vierra is a professor at the University of Las Vegas. From 1995 through 1999, Mr. Vierra served as the Executive Vice President of RSL Com USA, Inc., an international telecommunications company, where he was primarily responsible for international sales. Mr. Vierra has also served on the board of directors and executive committees of various telecommunications companies and he has extensive knowledge and experience in the international sales and marketing of telecommunications products and services. Mr. Vierra holds degrees in marketing and business administration.

ROBERT M. FIDLER has served as one of our directors since November 1994. Mr. Fidler joined Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) in 1960, was a member of ARCO's executive management team from 1976 to 1994 and was ARCO's manager of New Marketing Programs from 1985 until his retirement in 1994.

DAVID HESS was elected to our Board of Directors in May 2002. Mr. Hess is currently the Managing Partner of RKP Steering Group, a company he cofounded in August 2003. From November 2001 until December 2002, Mr. Hess served as the Chief Executive Officer and President, North America of Telia International Carrier, Inc. Prior to joining Telia, Mr. Hess was part of a turnaround team hired by the board of directors of Rapid Link Incorporated.

He served as the Chief Executive Officer and as a director of Rapid Link Incorporated from August 2000 until September 2001. On March 13, 2001, Rapid Link Incorporated filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. Before joining Rapid Link, Mr. Hess served as Chief Executive Officer of Long Distance International from January 1999 until its acquisition by World Access in February 2000. Mr. Hess also served as President and Chief Operating Officer of TotalTel USA from May 1995 until January 1999. Mr. Hess received a BA in Communications with a Minor in Marketing from Bowling Green State University.

Meetings of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors held two meetings during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004. The Board of Directors has two standing committees: an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. There is no standing nominating committee. Each of the directors attended the meeting of the Board of Directors and all meetings of any committee on which such director served.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics for employees, executive officers and directors that is designed to ensure that all of our directors, executive officers and employees meet the highest standards of ethical conduct. The code requires that our directors, executive officers and employees avoid conflicts of interest, comply with all laws and other legal requirements, conduct business in an honest and ethical manner and otherwise act with integrity and in our best interest. Under the terms of the code, directors, executive officers and employees are required to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of the code.

As a mechanism to encourage compliance with the code, we have established procedures to receive, retain and treat complaints received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters. These procedures ensure that individuals may submit concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters in a confidential and anonymous manner. The code also prohibits us from retaliating against any director, executive officer or employee who reports actual or apparent violations of the code.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

We currently do not have an audit committee financial expert as defined by Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act 1934. Our previous audit committee financial expert resigned from our board of directors in September 2004. As our current board of directors does not have anyone eligible to become our audit committee financial expert and be independent within the meaning of Item 7(d)(3) (iv) of Schedule 14A of the above-mentioned act, our board of directors is currently conducting a search for a new audit committee financial expert.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and other equity securities of our Company. Officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required by regulations promulgated by the SEC to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely on the review of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we

believe that during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, our executive officers, directors and all persons who own more than 10% of our common stock complied with all Section 16(a) requirements.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The following table summarizes the compensation we paid, for services rendered to our Company during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 to our chief executive officer and all other executive officers whose total annual salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 during fiscal 2004.

		Summar	y Compen	sation Table		
		Annu	al Compe	ensation 	Long Term Compensation Awards Securities	
Name and principal position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Other annual compensation	Underlying Options/SARs	All other Compensation
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
John Jenkins	2004	150,000	-0-	-0-	100,000	-0-
Chairman, CEO	2003	150,000	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
and President	2002	181,042	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Allen Sciarillo	2004	130,000	-0-	-0-	100,000	-0-
Executive Vice	2003	125,000	1,106	-0-	-0-	-0-
President and Chief Financial Officer	2002	141,667	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year End Option $$\operatorname{\textsc{Values}}$$

The following table sets forth information with respect to the number of options held at fiscal year end and the aggregate value of in-the-money options held at fiscal year end by each of the Named Executive Officers.

	Shares acquired on exercise	Value realized	underlying une	securities exercised options year end (#)	Value of une the-money opti year end	ions at fisca
Name	(#)	(\$)(1) 	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisab
John Jenkins Allen Sciarillo	-0- o -0-	-0- -0-	700,000 500,000	100,000 100,000	-0- -0-	-0- -0-

- (1) The value realized upon the exercise of stock options represents the difference between the exercise price of the stock option and the fair market value of the shares, multiplied by the number of options exercised on the date of exercise.
- (2) The value of "in-the-money" options represents the positive spread between the exercise price of the option and the fair market value of the underlying shares based on the closing stock price of our common stock on October 31, 2004, which was \$0.10 per share. "In-the-money" options include only those options where the fair market value of the stock is higher than the exercise price of the option on the date specified. The actual value,

if any, an executive realizes on the exercise of options will depend on the fair market value of our common stock at the time of exercise.

Compensation of Directors

Directors are not compensated for attending Board and committee meetings, though our directors participate in our Equity Incentive Plan and are annually awarded non-qualified stock options for an aggregate of 5,000 shares of our common stock for services rendered to our company as a director.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of our executive officers or directors serve as members of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity which has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors. The Compensation Committee is comprised of two non-employee directors, Robert M. Fidler and Lawrence Vierra. Nick DeMare served on the Compensation Committee through September 19, 2004.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.

The following table sets forth certain information as of January 24, 2005, concerning those persons known to us, based on information obtained from such persons, our records and schedules required to be filed with the SEC and delivered to us, with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by (i) each stockholder known by us to own beneficially five percent or more of such outstanding common stock, (ii) each of our current directors, (iii) each Named Executive Officer and (iv) all of our executive officers and directors as a group. Except as otherwise indicated below, each of the entities or persons named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of our common stock beneficially owned. Effect has been given to shares reserved for issuance under outstanding stock options and warrants where indicated.

Name and address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares (1)		
John Jenkins 17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90272	16,021,575		51.61%
Lawrence Vierra 8760 Castle Hill Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89129	902,598	(4)	3.81%
Robert M. Fidler 987 Laguna Road Pasadena, CA 91105	14,000	(5)	*
David Hess 545 Alder Avenue Westfield, NJ 07090	0		*
Allen Sciarillo 17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90272	1,097,598	(6)	4.60%

All Executive Officers and Directors as a group (5 persons) 18,035,771 55.41%

- * Reflects less than one percent.
- ** We have disclosed in previous reports that Global Capital Funding Group, L.P. ("Global") and GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited ("GCA") beneficially owned more then 5% of our issued and outstanding common stock due to the fact that the notes and convertible debentures they hold are convertible within 60 days into a number of shares of our common stock that would exceed 5% of our shares outstanding. However, both Global and GCA have represented to us that due to conversion caps set forth in their respective agreements with our Company, they are forbidden from holding, at any given time, more than 4.9% of our issued and outstanding common stock. Consequently, we are not required to include them in our beneficial ownership table.
- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares of our common stock subject to options or warrants held by that person that are exercisable within 60 days of January 14, 2005 are deemed outstanding. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the ownership of any other person.
- (2) Based upon 23,022,129 shares of common stock outstanding as of January 14, 2005.
- (3) Includes (i) 700,000 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the exercise of options, (ii) 2,148,390 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the exercise of warrants, and (iii) 5,173,185 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the conversion of a convertible note (shares from conversion calculated using the closing bid share price at January 14, 2005 of \$0.40); all of which are exercisable or convertible within 60 days of January 14, 2005.
- (4) Includes (i) 400,000 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the exercise of warrants and (ii) 247,598 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the conversion of a convertible note (shares from conversion calculated using the closing bid share price at January 14, 2005 of \$0.40); all of which are exercisable or convertible within 60 days of January 14, 2005.
- (5) Includes 10,000 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the exercise of option and warrants which are exercisable within 60 days of January 14, 2005.
- (6) Includes (i) 500,000 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the exercise of options, (ii) 100,000 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the exercise of warrants, and (iii) 247,598 shares of common stock which may be acquired through the conversion of a convertible note (shares from conversion calculated using the closing bid share price at January 14, 2005 of \$0.40); all of which are exercisable or convertible within 60 days of January 14, 2005.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information about shares of our common stock that may be issued under our equity compensation plans, as of October 31, 2004:

Plan Catagory	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants	of outstanding options, warrants and	remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation
Plan Category	and rights (column (a))	rights	<pre>plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))</pre>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,674,000 (1)	\$0.35	1,610,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-0-	n/a	-0-
Total	1,674,000	\$0.35	1,610,000

(1) Amount includes outstanding options granted pursuant to the 2002 Dial Thru International Corporation Equity Incentive Plan and the Amended and Restated 1990 Dial Thru International Corporation Stock Option Plan.

We adopted the 2002 Equity Incentive Plan ("Incentive Plan"), at our annual shareholder meeting in May 2002. The Incentive Plan authorizes our Board of Directors to grant up to 2,000,000 options to purchase our common shares. The maximum number of shares of common stock which may be issuable under the Incentive Plan to any individual plan participant is 500,000 shares. All options granted under the Incentive Plan have vesting periods up to a maximum of five years. The exercise price of an option granted under the Incentive Plan shall not be less than 85% of the fair value of the common stock on the date such option is granted.

The 1990 Stock Option Plan ("1990 Stock Option Plan"), as amended, authorizes our Board of Directors to grant up to 2,300,000 options to purchase common shares of the Company. No options will be granted to any individual director or employee which will, when exercised, exceed 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company. The term of any option granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan is fixed by the Board of Directors at the time the options are granted, provided that the exercise period may not be longer than 10 years from the date of grant. All options granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan have up to 10 year terms and have vesting periods that range from 0 to 3 years from the grant date. The exercise price of any options granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan is the fair market value at the date of grant. Subsequent to the adoption of the Incentive Plan, no further options will be granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

In October 2001, we issued 10% convertible notes (the "Notes") to three of our executive officers, each of whom was also one of our directors, who provided financing to our Company in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,945,958. The Notes were issued as follows: (i) a note in the principal amount of \$1,745,958 to John Jenkins, our Chief Executive Officer; (ii) a

note in the principal amount of \$100,000 to Allen Sciarillo, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; and (iii) a note in the principal amount of \$100,000 to Larry Vierra, our Executive Vice President. With an original maturity date of October 24, 2003, these Notes were amended to mature on February 24, 2004. The Notes have matured and are currently due on demand. The notes continue to accrue interest at the stated rate. Each note is secured by certain of our assets. Each Note was originally convertible at six-month intervals only, but was subsequently amended in November 2002 to provide for conversion into shares of our common stock at the option of the holder at any time. The conversion price is equal to the closing bid price of our common stock on the last trading day immediately preceding the conversion. We also issued to the holders of the Notes warrants to acquire an aggregate of 1,945,958 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share, which warrants expire on October 24, 2006.

In January and July 2002, the Notes issued to Mr. Jenkins were amended to include additional advances in the aggregate principal amount of \$402,443. We also issued to Mr. Jenkins two warrants to acquire an additional 102,443 and 300,000 shares of common stock, respectively, at an exercise price of \$0.75, which warrants expire on January 28, 2007 and July 8, 2007, respectively.

In September 2004, the holders of the Notes converted a total aggregate of \$877,500 of the outstanding principal into an aggregate of 6,750,000 shares of common stock.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by KBA Group LLP for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of the interim financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q, including services related thereto, were \$90,470 and \$94,626 for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees

There were no audit-related fees billed by KBA Group LLP during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed by KBA Group LLP for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning were \$0 and \$28,985 for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The services comprising the fees reported as "Tax Fees" included tax return preparation, consultation regarding various tax issues and support provided to management in connection with income and other tax audits.

All Other Fees

The aggregate fees billed by KBA Group LLP for professional services rendered for the review of registration statements were \$0 and \$6,195 for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)

(1) and (2) list of financial statements

The response to this item is submitted as a separate section of this Report. See the index on Page F-1.

(3) exhibits

The following is a list of all exhibits filed with this Report, including those incorporated by reference.

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 30, 1998, among Canmax Inc., CNMX MergerSub, Inc. and US Communications Services, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K dated January 30, 1998 (the "USC 8-K"), and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.2 Rescission Agreement dated June 15, 1998 among Canmax Inc., USC and former principals of USC (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K dated January 15, 1998 (the "USC Rescission 8-K"), and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.3 Asset Purchase Agreement by and among Affiliated Computed Services, Inc., Canmax and Canmax Retail Systems, Inc. dated September 3, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.4 Asset Purchase Agreement dated November 2, 1999 among ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc., Dial Thru International Corporation, a Delaware corporation, Dial Thru International Corporation, a California corporation, and John Jenkins (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 2, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.5 Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 18, 2001, by and among Rapid Link USA, Inc., Rapid Link Inc., and Dial Thru International Corporation. (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.6 First Amendment to Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2001, by and among Rapid Link USA, Inc., Rapid Link Inc., and Dial Thru International Corporation. (filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.7 Second Amendment to Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 12, 2001, by and among Rapid Link USA, Inc., Rapid Link Inc., and Dial Thru International Corporation. (filed as Exhibit 2.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.8 Third Amendment to Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2001, by and among Rapid Link USA, Inc., Rapid Link Inc., and Dial Thru International Corporation. (filed as Exhibit 2.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 28, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 2.9 Fourth Amendment to Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among Rapid Link USA, Inc., Rapid Link Inc., and Dial Thru International Corporation. (filed as Exhibit 2.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 28, 2001 and incorporated herein by

reference)

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1999 (the "1999 Form 10-K") and incorporated herein by reference)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Dial Thru International Corporation (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the 1999 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference)
- 3.3* Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation dated January 11, 2005 and filed with the State of Delaware on January 13, 2005
- 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement between Canmax and the Dodge Jones Foundation (filed as Exhibit 4.02 to Canmax's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended April 30, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.2 Registration Rights Agreement between Canmax and Founders Equity Group, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 4.02 to Canmax's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended April 30, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.3 Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan of Dial Thru International Corporation (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the 1999 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.4 Securities Purchase Agreement dated April 11, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended April 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.5 Registration Rights Agreement dated April 6, 2001 between Dial Thru International Corporation and Global Capital Funding Group, L.P. (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-3, File #333-71406, filed on October 11, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.6 6 % Convertible Debenture of Dial Thru International Corporation and Global Capital Funding Group, L.P. (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-71406, filed on October 11, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.7 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated April 11, 2001 between Global Capital Funding Group, L.P. and Dial Thru International Corporation (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-71406, filed October 11, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.8 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated April 6, 2001 between D.P. Securities, Inc. and Dial Thru International Corporation (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-71406, filed on October 11, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.9 Securities Purchase Agreement issued January 28, 2002 between Dial Thru International Corporation and GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-82622, filed on February 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.10 Registration Rights Agreement dated January 28, 2002 between Dial Thru International Corporation and GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-82622, filed on February 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.11 6% Convertible Debenture of Dial Thru International Corporation and

- GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-82622, filed on February 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 4.12 Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated January 28, 2002 between GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited and Dial Thru International Corporation (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Form S-3, File 333-82622, filed on February 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.1 Employment Agreement, dated June 30, 1997 between Canmax Retail Systems, Inc. and Roger Bryant (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-33523 (the "Form S-3"), and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.2 Commercial Lease Agreement between Jackson--Shaw/Jetstar Drive Tristar Limited Partnership and the Company (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated October 31, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.3 Employment Agreement, dated November 2, 1999 between ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc. and John Jenkins (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the 2000 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference)
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Employees, Executive Officers and Directors (filed as Exhibit 14.1 to the 2003 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference)
- 21.1* Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1* Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 31.2* Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 32.1* Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 32.2* Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- * Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed in its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: January 31, 2005

/s/ JOHN JENKINS
John Jenkins,
Chairman of the Board and Chief
Executive Officer

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Date: January 31, 2005

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

NAME	TITLE	DATE
/s/ JOHN JENKINS John Jenkins	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President and Director	January 31, 2005
/s/ ALLEN SCIARILLO Allen Sciarillo	Chief Financial Officer and secretary (principal financial and principal accounting officer)	January 31, 2005
/s/ LAWRENCE VIERRA Lawrence Vierra	Executive Vice President and Director	January 31, 2005
/s/ ROBERT M. FIDLER Robert M. Fidler	Director	January 31, 2005
/s/ DAVID HESS David Hess	Director	January 31, 2005

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

2.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 2004 and 2003	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-4
Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7
Financial Statement Schedule	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as to Schedule	S-1
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-2

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or

because the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Dial Thru International Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Dial Thru International Corporation and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dial Thru International Corporation and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2004 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from continuing operations during each of the last three fiscal years. Additionally, at October 31, 2004, the Company's current liabilities exceeded its current assets by \$9.3 million and the Company has a shareholders' deficit totaling \$6.5 million. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans as they relate to these issues are also explained in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ KBA GROUP LLP Dallas, Texas December 9, 2004

F-2

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	October 31, 2004	October 31, 2003
CURRENT ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$122,291 at October	\$ 586,389	\$ 505,256
31, 2004 and \$295,094 at October 31, 2003 Prepaid expenses and other current assets	841,127 197,968	
Total current assets		1,608,863
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net GOODWILL, net OTHER ASSETS NET LONG-TERM ASSETS OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	1,796,917	1,340,986 1,796,917 91,434 242,334
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 4,361,408	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES Capital lease obigation	\$ 126 , 196	\$ 146,140
Trade accounts payable		
Accrued liabilities	1,142,829	2,814,472 1,377,307
Accrued interest (including \$759,692 to related		
parties at October 31, 2004 and \$539,125 at		
October 31, 2003)	1,154,284	
Deferred revenue	380,444	
Deposits and other payables	428,109	430,678
Convertible debentures	1,040,000	_
Note payable, net of unamortized debt discount	1 050 000	E 4 D 1 E 0
of \$2,847 at October 31, 2003 Notes payable to related parties	1,250,000 1,470,890	
Net current liabilities of discontinued operations	1,100,000	
Net current frabilities of discontinued operations		
Total current liabilities	10,884,297	11,586,263
NOTE PAYABLE, net of unamortized debt discount of \$22,838 at October 31, 2003		1,227,162
CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES, net of unamortized debt		1,227,102
discount of \$10,756 at October 31, 2003	-	489,244
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTES 1 AND 13)		
SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 10,000,000		
shares authorized; none issued and outstanding Common stock, \$.001 par value; 44,169,100 shares authorized; 23,034,151 shares issued at	_	_
October 31, 2004 and 16,201,803 shares	22 024	16 202
issued at October 31, 2003 Additional paid-in capital	23 , 034	16,202 39,070,235
Accumulated deficit		(47, 253, 702)
Treasury stock, 12,022 common shares at cost		(54,870)
Total shareholders' deficit		(8,222,135)

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT

\$ 4,361,408 \$ 5,080,534

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended October 31,				
	2004	2003	2002		
REVENUES	\$ 13,380,510	\$ 17,654,794	\$ 18,408,649		
COSTS AND EXPENSES					
Costs of revenues	10,045,063	13,128,924	11,539,562		
Sales and marketing	400,559	700,404	885,661		
General and administrative	2,990,630	3,812,639			
Depreciation and amortization	615,883	1,338,351	2,158,135		
Impairment charge related to write down of					
advertising credits	_	2,376,678	_		
Impairment charge related to write down of					
assets held for resale	_	_	320,307		
Gain (loss) on disposal of equipment	_	241,935	320,307 (9,053)		
Gain on settlement of liabilities	(466,000)		_		
Total costs and expenses	13,586,135	21,598,931	20,654,791		
Operating loss	(205,625)	(3,944,137)	(2,246,142)		
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)					
Interest expense and financing costs	(396,899)	(896, 966)	(1,043,416)		
Related party interest expense and	(016 610)	4004 040	(004 000)		
financing costs		(234, 840)			
Foreign currency exchange gains (losses)	24,919	•			
Total other expense, net	(588,592)	(1,124,709)			
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS		(5,068,846)			
INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS,					
net of income taxes of \$0 for all periods	1,501,147	(1,553,465)			
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 706,930				
MET INCOME (LOCC) DED CHADE.	========		========		
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE:					
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share Continuing operations	\$ (0.05)	(0.21)	\$ (0.25)		
Discontinued operations	(0.05)	(0.31) (0.10)	ر (U.∠5)		
Discontinued operations					
		\$ (0.41)			

WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES USED IN THE CALCULATION

OF PER SHARE AMOUNTS:
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares

Write off of subscription receivable

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY

	Common Shares	C	Common Stock Amount	-	Additional Paid-in Capital	
Balance at October 31, 2001	12,119,090	Ş	12,119	\$(54,870)	\$ 38,174,587	\$(35,947,212
Shares issued upon exercise						
of options and warrants Retirement of shares as payment	175,000		175	_	69 , 825	_
for options Issuance of common stock in connection with	(100,000))	(100)	_	(69,900)	_
consulting agreement Conversion of convertible notes	25,000		25	-	13,725	_
including accrued interest			2,856	_	528,969	-
Issuance of warrants in connection with convertible debentures Embedded beneficial conversion	-		-	-	17,096	-
feature related to issuance of note payable	_		-	_	114,154	_
Issuance of warrants in connection with convertible notes-related party	-		_	_	45,607	_
Cash received for subscription receivable-common stock	_		_	-	_	_
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) Net loss	-		_	_	_	(4,684,179
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-		-	-	-	-
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)					-	(4,684,179
Balance at October 31, 2002						
Conversion of convertible notes including accrued interest			1,098	-	108,099	-
Issuance of warrants in connection with convertible debentures Issuance of warrants in connection	-		-	_	17,208	_
with notes payable	_		_	_	52 , 795	_
Adjustment of outstanding shares			29	_	(29)	_

- - (1,901)

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)					
Net loss	_	_	_	_	(6,622,311
Foreign currency translation					
adjustment	_	_	_	_	-
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)	_	_	_	_	(6,622,311
Balance at October 31, 2003	16,201,803	16,202	(54,870)	39,070,235	(47,253,702
Conversion of convertible notes					
	6,832,348	6,832	_	881,398	_
Embedded beneficial conversion					
feature related to issuance					
of convertible debt	_	_	_	104,086	-
Net income	_	_	_	_	706,930
Balance at October 31, 2004	23.034.151 \$	23.034	\$(54.870)	\$ 40,055,719	 \$(46.546.772
			+ (01 / 0/0/		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement.

F-5

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Year	Ended October 3	31,
	-	2004	2003	2002
	-			
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS				
Net loss from continuing operations Adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$	(794,217)	\$ (5,068,846)	\$ (3,525,60
(Gain) loss from disposal of fixed assets Impairment charge related to write down		_	241,935	(9,05
of assets held for resale		_	_	320,30
Impairment charge related to write down				•
of advertising credits		_	2,376,678	
Fair value of stock and warrants issued				
for services		_	_	13,75
Bad debt expense		30,000	28,268	685 , 05
Non-cash interest expense (including related				
party interest of \$0, \$423,291 and \$441,787)		181,525	688,732	924,34
Gain on settlement of liabilities		(466,000)	_	
Depreciation and amortization		615 , 883	1,338,351	2,158,13
Effects of changes in foreign exchange rates		_	13,066	(39 , 79
(Increase) decrease in:				
Trade accounts receivable		1,483	64,739	(372,97
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		33,029	(106,152)	(108,46
Other assets		(18,614)	(1,623)	12,42
Increase (decrease) in:				

Trade accounts payable		(8,473)		(851,186)		(730,28
Accrued liabilities		664,892		580 , 570		578 , 78
Deferred revenue		23,445		580,570 25,213		(406,79
Deposits and other payables		(2,569)		(13 , 526)		444,20
1.7	_					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		0.60 004		(602 701)		.
of continuing operations	_	260 , 384		(683,781)		(55 , 9/
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS						
Purchase of property and equipment				(192,150)		(303,46
Net cash used in investing activities	_		-		-	
		(1/// 05/)		(102 150)		1303 46
of continuing operations	_	(144,854)		(192,150)		(303,46
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS						
Proceeds from notes payable		_		1,800,000		
Proceeds from convertible debentures		_		_		550,00
Proceeds from related party notes payable		_				,
Payments on capital leases		(34,397)		(105,387)		
Deferred financing fees		_		(82,441)		(92,62
Subscription receivable-common stock		_		_		15 , 17
Payments on convertible debentures		_		(443,000)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	_		-		-	
of continuing operations		(34,397)		1,169,172		666,77
	-					
NET CASH USED IN DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		_		(57,298)		(112,14
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	_			235,943		 195 , 19
NET THOREHOE IN GION IND GION EQUIVILENTO		01/100		233,313		130,13
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year				269 , 313		74 , 11
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year				505,256		269,31
	=		=		=	
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION AND SCHEDULE OF NON CASH						
INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Conversion of convertible debenture and						
accrued interest to common stock	\$	888,230	Ċ	109,197	Ċ	531 93
Fair value of warrants issued with debt	Y	000,230	Ų	70,003	Ÿ	62,70
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible				70,003		02,70
debentures recorded as debt discount		104 006				11/115
		104,086		_		114,15
Note payable exchanged for convertible debenture		550,000		25 000		
Cash paid for interest		_		35,000		
Exercise of stock options in exchange for retirement						70.00
of 100,000 common shares		_		_		70,00

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

Organization

Dial Thru International Corporation and subsidiaries ("DTI" or the "Company"), was incorporated on July 10, 1986 under the Company Act of the Province of British Columbia, Canada. On August 7, 1992, the Company renounced its original province of incorporation and elected to continue its domicile under the laws of the State of Wyoming, and on November 30, 1994, its name was changed to Canmax Inc. On February 1, 1999, this predecessor company reincorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and changed its name to ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc.

On November 2, 1999, the Company acquired substantially all of the business and assets of Dial Thru International Corporation, a California corporation, along with the rights to the name "Dial Thru International Corporation." On January 19, 2000, the Company changed its name from ARDIS Telecom & Technologies, Inc. to Dial Thru International Corporation. DTI is a facilities-based, global Internet Protocol ("IP") communications company providing connectivity to international markets experiencing significant demand for IP enabled services. DTI provides a variety of international telecommunications services targeted to small and medium sized enterprises ("SME's") that include the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($ provision of Web-based and other communications services. The Company also sells telecommunications services for both the foreign and domestic termination of international long distance traffic into the wholesale market. DTI utilizes Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") packetized voice technology (and other compression techniques) to improve both cost and efficiencies of telecommunication transmissions, and is developing a private VoIP network. DTI utilizes state-of-the-art digital fiber optic cable, oceanic cable transmission facilities, international satellites and the Internet to transport the Company's communications.

Nature of Business

In November 1999 with the acquisition of Dial Thru International Corporation, the Company changed its focus from prepaid calling cards to becoming a full service, facility-based provider of communication products to small and medium size businesses, both domestically and internationally. The Company currently provides a variety of international and domestic communication services including international re-origination and dial thru, Internet voice and fax services, e-Commerce solutions and other value-added communication services, using its VoIP Network to effectively deliver the products to the end user.

To further enhance its product offerings and accelerate its growth plans, in October 2001, the Company acquired certain assets and liabilities of Rapid Link, USA, Inc. ("Rapid Link USA") and 100% of the common stock of Rapid Link Telecommunications, GmbH, ("Rapid Link Germany") a German Company, from Rapid Link, Incorporated ("Rapid Link"). Rapid Link is a leading provider of high quality integrated data and voice communications services to both wholesale and retail customers around the world. Rapid Link's global VoIP network reaches thousands of retail customers, primarily in Europe and Asia. The acquisition enhanced the Company's product offerings and rapidly expanded the Company's VoIP strategy due to the engineering and operational expertise acquired in the transaction. During 2003, management decided to discontinue its continued financial support of Rapid Link Germany and on August 1, 2003, Rapid Link Germany received approval for its insolvency filing. Accordingly, the operations of Rapid Link Germany have been reflected as discontinued operations in the accompanying financial statements for all periods presented.

Financial Condition

The Company is subject to various risks in connection with the operation of its business including, among other things, (i) changes in external competitive market factors, (ii) inability to satisfy anticipated working capital or other cash requirements, (iii) changes in the availability of transmission facilities, (iv) changes in the Company's business strategy or an inability to execute is strategy due to unanticipated changes in the market, (v) various competitive factors that may prevent the Company from competing successfully in the marketplace, and (vi) the Company's lack of liquidity and its ability to raise additional capital. The Company has an accumulated deficit of approximately \$46.5 million as of October 31, 2004, as well as a working capital deficit of approximately \$9.3 million. Funding of the Company's working capital deficit, current and future operating losses, and expansion of the Company will require continuing capital investment. Historically, some of the funding of the Company has been provided by a major shareholder. The Company's strategy is to fund these cash requirements through debt facilities and additional equity financing.

Although the Company has been able to arrange debt facilities and equity financing to date, there can be no assurance that sufficient debt or equity financing will continue to be available in the future or that it will be available on terms acceptable to the Company. Failure to obtain sufficient capital could materially affect the Company's operations and expansion strategies. The Company will continue to explore external financing opportunities and renegotiation of its short-term debt with its current financing partners in order to extend the terms or retire these obligations. At October 31, 2004, approximately 39% of the short-term debt is due to the senior management of the Company. Management is committed to the growth and success of the Company as is evidenced by the level of financing they have made available to the Company.

F-7

As a result of the aforementioned factors and related uncertainties, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible effects of recoverability and classification of assets or classification of liabilities which may result from the inability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Dial Thru, Inc., a Texas corporation, DTI Com, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Dial Thru International Argentina S.A., Dial Thru International Venezuela, S.A., Dial Thru International Corporation, South Africa, and Rapid Link GmbH, a Germany company, which is currently in liquidation. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues generated by international re-origination, dial thru services and international wholesale termination are based on minutes of customer usage. The Company records payments received in advance as deferred revenue until such services are provided. This policy applies to all international re-origination and dial thru services revenues, and is currently the primary source of the Company's revenue.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalent deposits are at risk to the extent that they exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured amounts. To minimize this risk, the Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality financial institutions.

Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are stated at the amount the Company expects to collect. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. Management considers the following factors when determining the collectibility of specific customer accounts: customer credit-worthiness, past transaction history with the customer, current economic industry trends, and changes in customer payment terms. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, adversely affecting their ability to make payments, additional allowances would be required. Based on management's assessment, the Company provides for estimated uncollectible amounts through a charge to earnings and a credit to a valuation allowance. Interest is typically not charged on overdue accounts receivable. Balances that remain outstanding after the Company has used reasonable collection efforts are written off through a charge to the valuation allowance and a credit to accounts receivable.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of property and equipment is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from three to seven years. Equipment held under capital leases and leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the related asset ranging from three to five years. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Major renewals and betterments are capitalized.

Goodwill

Effective November 1, 2001, the Company adopted SFAS No, 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141") and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, and also specifies the criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill. Under SFAS 142, goodwill is no longer amortized but is subject to an impairment test at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. In accordance with SFAS 142, an annual impairment test of goodwill was performed by an independent valuation firm in the fourth quarters of fiscal years 2004, 2003 and 2002. The valuation process appraised the Company's assets and liabilities using a combination of present value and multiple of earnings valuation techniques. The results of the impairment tests indicated that goodwill was not impaired.

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recoverable. The Company does not perform a periodic assessment of assets for impairment in the absence of such information or indicators. Conditions that would necessitate an impairment include a significant decline in the

observable market value of an asset, a significant change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or a significant adverse change that would indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or group of assets is not recoverable. For long-lived assets to be held and used, the Company recognizes an impairment loss only if an impairment is indicated by its carrying value not being recoverable through undiscounted cash flows. The impairment loss is the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of the asset estimated using discounted cash flows. Long-lived assets held for sale are reported at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell. During fiscal 2002, the Company wrote down certain property and equipment held for resale. The property and equipment was written down by \$320,307 to \$0, its estimated fair value. The estimated fair value was based on comparable market value.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year and common equivalent shares consisting of stock options, warrants, and convertible debentures (using the treasury stock method) to the extent they are dilutive.

The shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, warrants and convertible debentures are excluded from the calculation of net income (loss) per share for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 as their effect would be antidilutive. At October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, there were 41,235,234, 30,891,492 and 41,038,893 shares, respectively, potentially issuable from outstanding stock options, warrants and convertible debentures.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability approach to financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes and liabilities are computed for differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are recorded when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense or benefit is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Market Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount for current assets and liabilities, and long-term debt (all current at October 31, 2004) is not materially different than fair market value because of the short maturity of the instruments and/or their respective interest rate amounts.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and complies with the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, "as amended by SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure". Under APB Opinion No. 25, compensation expense for employees is based on the excess, if any, on the date of grant, of fair value of the Company's stock over the exercise price. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its employee stock options in the financial statements during the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 as the fair market value on the grant date approximates the exercise price. Had the Company determined compensation cost based on the fair value at the grant date for its stock options under SFAS No.123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, the Company's pro forma net loss from continuing operations for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 would have been as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Net loss from continuing operations, as reported	\$ (794,217)		\$ (3,525,606)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss from continuing operations	-	-	-
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method	(150,185)	(252,040)	(343,700)
Pro forma net loss from continuing operations		\$ (5,320,886) ======	\$ (3,869,306)
Net loss per share from continuing operations - basic and dilutive, as reported	, ,	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.25) ======
Net loss per share from continuing operations - basic and dilutive, pro forma		\$ (0.33)	

For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting periods. Because options vest over a period of several years and additional awards are generally made each year, the pro forma information presented above is not necessarily indicative of the effects on reported or pro forma net earnings or losses for future years.

The fair value of all options and warrants for shares of the Company's common stock issued to employees has been determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2004	2003	2002
Risk-free interest rate	3%	3%	4%

Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected lives	2 years	3 years	5 years
Expected volatility	100%	126% - 221%	99% - 157%

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is the increase or decrease in equity from sources other than owners. The major component of the Company's other comprehensive income (loss) consists of unrealized income from currency translation, which is stated as a component of shareholders' deficit. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2003, the Company's German subsidiary filed for insolvency. Accordingly, subsequent to October 31, 2003, there is no longer a foreign currency translation adjustment and no comprehensive income (loss).

Foreign Currency Translation and Foreign Currency Transactions

The Company's foreign operations are subject to exchange rate fluctuations and foreign currency transaction costs. Monetary assets and liabilities of subsidiaries domiciled outside the United States are translated at rates of exchange existing at the balance sheet date and non-monetary assets, liabilities and equity are translated at historical rates. Revenues and expenses are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of shareholders' deficit. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2003, the Company's German subsidiary filed for insolvency. Accordingly, subsequent to October 31, 2003, there is no longer a foreign currency translation adjustment. Foreign currency transactions resulting in gains and losses are recorded in the Statement of Operations.

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and totaled \$7,209, \$103,603 and \$248,200 for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications were made to the 2003 and 2002 consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) ("Statement 123(R)"), "Share-Based Payment", which revised SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". This statement supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees". The revised statement addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees and other third parties, eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB 25 and requires that the compensation costs relating to such transactions be recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. The revised statement is effective as of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company will adopt the statement on August 1, 2005 as required. The impact of adoption of Statement 123(R) cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. However had the Company adopted Statement 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of Statement 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net loss and net loss per share in the stock-based compensation accounting policy note included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3 - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2003, the Company's German Subsidiary, Rapid Link Telecommunications GMBH ("Rapid Link Germany"), filed for insolvency. The net liability of approximately \$2.3 million was included in the balance sheet and classified as Discontinued Operations at October 31, 2003. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company determined that it no longer controlled the operations of this subsidiary and that the parent entity had no legal obligation to pay the liabilities of Rapid Link Germany. Accordingly, the Company wrote off the remaining net liability of \$2,251,000 and included the gain in Discontinued Operations during the first quarter of fiscal year 2004.

The following table presents selected balance sheet information for Rapid Link Germany at October 31, 2003:

Current assets		
Cash	\$	164,878
Trade accounts receivable, net		416,013
Other current assets		192,917
Total current assets		773,808
Current liabilities		
Current portion of capital lease		
obligations		144,643
Trade accounts payable		2,612,864
Accrued liabilities		86 , 877
Deferred revenue		422,905
Total current liabilities		2 267 200
TOTAL CUffent Habilities		3,267,289
Net current liabilities of		
discontinued operations	Ś	2,493,481
1		=======
Property and equipment		
Telecom equipment	\$	_
Furniture, fixtures, equipment		_
Computers		_
Software		_
Leasehold improvements		_
Accumulated depreciation and		
amortization		_
Total property and equipment, net		_
Other assets and long-term liabilities		(0.644)
Capital lease obligations		(9,644)
Other		251 , 978
Total other assets, net		242,334
rotar other assets, net		
Net long-term assets of		
discontinued operations	\$	242,334
	==	=======

The following table presents summary operating results for Rapid Link

Germany for the years ended October 31:

Loss from discontinued operations	\$ (1,203,465)	\$ (1,158,574)
Costs and expenses	4,801,472	5,717,985
Revenues	\$ 3,598,007	\$ 4,559,411
Dorrange	\$ 3.598.007	¢ 4 550 411
	2003	2002

Canmax Retail Systems

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company determined based on final written communications with the State of Texas that the Company had a liability for sales taxes (including penalties and interest) totaling \$1.1 million. The Company had previously accrued an estimated settlement amount of \$350,000 during fiscal year 2003. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company accrued an additional \$750,000. The sales tax amount due is attributable to audit findings of the State of Texas for the years 1995 to 1999 associated with the Company's former parent, Canmax Retail Systems. These operations were previously classified as discontinued after the Company changed its business model from a focus on domestic prepaid phone cards to international wholesale and retail business, operating as a facilities-based global Internet protocol communications company providing connectivity to international markets. The State of Texas determined that the Company did not properly remit sales tax on certain transactions. The Company's current and former management believes that the amount due has not been properly assessed and will continue to pursue a lesser settlement amount. Since this sales tax liability represents an adjustment to amounts previously reported in Discontinued Operations, the amount was classified as Discontinued Operations. The amount that the State of Texas assessed of \$1.1 million has been accrued as a liability and is included in the October 31, 2004 Consolidated Balance Sheet as Discontinued Operations. (See Note 13.)

NOTE 4 - ADVERTISING CREDITS

On September 8, 2000, the Company issued 914,285 shares (which are fully vested and nonforfeitable) of the Company's common stock in exchange for \$3.2 million face value of advertising credits. These credits were issued by Millenium Media Ltd. and Affluent Media Network, national advertising agencies and media placement brokers. The Company recorded the advertising credits on the date the shares were issued, September 8, 2000, using the Company's quoted common stock price of \$3.3125, totaling \$3,028,569. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2000, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$575,542 to reduce the credits to their estimated fair value, and sold a portion of the credits for cash, reducing the balance by an additional \$76,349. The estimated fair value was established at the end of fiscal 2000 using a discount of 25% off the face value, which was based on management's estimate of the dollar value of the credits to be used in settling various outstanding trade obligations. Such credits can be used by the Company to place electronic media and periodical advertisements. The primary use for the media credits was to advertise products and services domestically. Although the Company has explored product offerings that it believed would benefit from the form of advertising described herein, the Company's limited financial resources have delayed the development of those products and it is unclear whether the Company will have the resources necessary to develop products that could effectively use these advertising credits. Furthermore, management has received limited cooperation from its media placement brokers regarding the use of the credits, and therefore, has begun contacting the actual underlying provider of the advertising. This contact has not provided any certainty as to the Company's ability to use

the credits. Therefore, the Company wrote off the remaining \$2,376,678 of the advertising credits during the year ended October 31, 2003.

NOTE 5 - CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES

In January 2002, the Company executed a 6% convertible debenture (the "GCA-Debenture") with GCA Strategic Investment Fund Limited ("GCA"), which provided financing of \$550,000. The GCA-Debenture's original maturity date was January 28, 2003. The GCA-Debenture is secured by certain property and equipment held for sale. The conversion price is equal to the lesser of (i) 100% of the volume weighted average of sales price as reported by the Bloomberg L.P. of the common stock on the last trading day immediately preceding the Closing Date and (ii) 85% of the average of the three lowest volume weighted average sales prices as reported by Bloomberg L.P. during the twenty Trading Days immediately preceding but not including the date of the related Notice of Conversion (the "Formula Conversion Price"). In an event of default the amount declared due and payable on the ${\tt GCA-Debenture} \quad {\tt shall} \quad {\tt be} \quad {\tt at the} \quad {\tt Formula \ Conversion} \quad {\tt Price.} \quad {\tt In} \quad {\tt connection}$ with the GCA- Debenture, the Company paid \$92,625 in financing fees, which were capitalized and amortized over the original life of the GCA-Debenture. At October 31, 2003, the deferred financing fees were fully amortized. The Company calculated the beneficial conversion feature embedded in the GCA-Debenture in accordance with EITF 98-5 "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios" ("EITF-98-5") and EITF 00-27 "Application of Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments" ("EITF 00-27") and recorded approximately \$114,000 as debt discount. This debt discount was amortized over the original life of the GCA-Debenture. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2003. The Company also issued to the holder of the GCA-Debenture warrants to acquire an aggregate of 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.41 per share, which expire on January 28, 2007. The Company recorded debt discount of approximately \$17,000 related to the issuance of the warrants. The Company determined the debt discount by allocating the relative fair value to the GCA-Debenture and the warrants, and the Company amortized the debt discount over the original life of the GCA-Debenture. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2003.

In January 2003, the Company and GCA agreed to extend the maturity date of the GCA-Debenture to November 8, 2004. In consideration for this extension, in February 2003, the Company adjusted the exercise price of the previously issued warrants to \$0.21 per share. The Company also issued to the holder of the GCA-Debenture warrants to purchase an additional 100,000 shares of common stock also at an exercise price of \$0.21 per share, which expire on February 8, 2008. The Company recorded additional debt discount of approximately \$17,000 related to the warrant exercise price adjustment and the issuance of the new warrants. The Company is amortizing the additional debt discount over the GCA-Debenture's extension period. For the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$11,000 and \$6,000, respectively, as interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2004.

During the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, GCA elected to convert \$10,000 and \$50,000, respectively, of the GCA-Debenture into approximately 82,000 and 374,000 shares of common stock, respectively. The outstanding balance of the GCA-Debenture was \$490,000 and \$500,000 at October 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The GCA-Debenture matured in November 2004 and is currently due on demand. Although no event of default has been declared by GCA, the Company is technically in default under this agreement and is currently negotiating with GCA to extend the maturity date.

In July 2003, the Company executed a 10% note payable (the "GCA-Note") with GCA, which provided financing of \$550,000. The GCA-Note provided for a maturity date of December 23, 2003 and is unsecured. In the event the GCA-Note was not repaid in full within 10 days of the maturity date, the GCA-Note shall be replaced by a 6% convertible debenture. This convertible debenture would have a maturity date of November 8, 2004 and be secured by certain property and equipment held for resale. The conversion price would be equal to the lesser of (i) 100% of the volume weighted average of sales price as reported by the Bloomberg L.P. of the common stock on the last trading day immediately preceding the Closing Date, which was \$0.20, and (ii) 85% of the average of the three lowest volume weighted average sales prices as reported by Bloomberg L.P. during the twenty Trading Days immediately preceding but not including the date of the related Notice of Conversion (the "Formula Conversion Price"). In an event of default, the amount declared due and payable on the Debenture would be at the Formula Conversion Price. In the event the GCA-Note was replaced by a convertible debenture, the GCA-Note would have a beneficial conversion feature. In accordance with EITF 98-5 and EITF 00-27, the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature was calculated as approximately \$104,000 at the commitment date using the stock price as of that date, and would be recorded if the note was not repaid as noted above.

The GCA-Note matured during December 2003 and, accordingly, since the GCA-Note remained unpaid as of January 2004, the Company exchanged the note for a convertible debenture. Upon the replacement of the GCA-Note with a convertible debenture, the Company recorded debt discount of \$104,000 as interest expense during the year ended October 31, 2004. In connection with the GCA-Note, during fiscal year 2003, the Company paid \$35,000 as financing fees, which were capitalized and amortized over the original life of the GCA-Note. For the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$13,000 and \$22,000, respectively, as interest expense relating to the amortization of these deferred financing fees. The deferred financing fees were fully amortized at October 31, 2003. The Company also issued to the holder of the GCA-Note warrants to acquire an aggregate of 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.14 per share, which expire on July 24, 2008. The relative fair value of the warrants of \$7,000 was recorded as a debt discount which was amortized to interest expense over the original term of the GCA-Note. For the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$3,000 and \$4,000, respectively, as interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2004. The GCA-Note matured in November 2004 and is currently due on demand. Although no event of default has been declared by GCA, the Company is technically in default under this agreement and is currently negotiating with GCA to extend the maturity date.

NOTE 6 - NOTE PAYABLE

In November 2002, the Company executed a 12% note payable (the "GC-Note") with Global Capital Funding Group, L.P., which provided financing of \$1,250,000. The GC-Note's maturity date is November 8, 2004. The GC-Note is secured by \$1,518,267 of certain property and equipment. In connection with the GC-Note, the Company paid \$47,441 as financing fees, which were capitalized and amortized over the life of the GC-Note. For the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$23,000 and \$24,000, respectively, as interest expense relating to the amortization of these deferred financing fees. The deferred financing fees were fully amortized at October 31, 2004. The Company also issued to the holder of the GC-Note warrants to acquire an aggregate of 500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.14 per share, which expire on November 8, 2007.

The Company recorded debt discount of approximately \$46,000, the relative fair value of the warrants, which were amortized over the two year life of the GC-Note. For the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$23,000 in each year as interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2004. The GCA-Note matured in November 2004 and is currently due on demand. Although no event of default has been declared by the holder, the Company is technically in default under this agreement and is currently negotiating with the holder to extend the maturity date.

NOTE 7 - NOTES PAYABLE - RELATED PARTY

In October 2001, the Company executed 10% convertible notes (the "Notes") with three executives of the Company, which provided financing in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,945,958. The original maturity date of each note was October 24, 2003. In January 2003, the Company's executives extended the maturity date of each note to February 24, 2004. These Notes are currently due on demand. The Notes are secured by certain Company assets. Each Note is convertible into the Company's common stock at the option of the holder at any time. The conversion price is equal to the closing bid price of the Company's common stock on the last trading day immediately preceding the conversion. The Company has calculated the beneficial conversion feature embedded in the Notes in accordance with EITF 98-5 and EITF 00-27 $\,$ and recorded debt discount $\,$ of approximately \$171,000 which was amortized over two years, the original term of the Notes. The Company also issued to the holders of the Notes warrants to acquire an aggregate of 1,945,958 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.78 per share, which expire on October 24, 2006. Additional debt discount of approximately \$657,000 was recorded during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001 relating to these warrants. The Company determined the additional debt discount by allocating the relative fair value to the Notes and the warrants. The Company amortized the additional debt discount over the life of the Notes. For the year ended October 31, 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$410,000 of interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2003. In January 2002, an additional \$102,432 was added to the Notes in exchange for an existing note payable. The Company also issued to the holder of the Notes warrants to acquire an additional 102,432 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75, which expire on January 28, 2007. Additional debt discount, related to these warrants, of approximately \$24,000 was recorded during the first quarter of fiscal 2002. The Company determined the additional debt discount by allocating the relative fair value to the Notes and the warrants. The Company amortized the additional debt discount over the remaining life of the Notes. For the year ended October 31, 2003, the Company recorded approximately \$14,000 of interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount. The debt discount was fully amortized at October 31, 2003. In July 2002, an additional \$300,000 was added to the Notes, representing incremental monies loaned by a shareholder. The Company also issued to the holder of the Notes warrants to acquire an additional 300,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75, which expire on July 8, 2007. Additional debt discount of approximately \$22,000 was recorded as interest expense during the third quarter of fiscal 2002 relating to these warrants. The Company determined the additional debt discount by allocating the relative fair value to the Notes and the warrants.

During the year ended October 31, 2004, the holder of the Notes elected to convert \$877,500 of the Notes into 6,750,000 shares of common stock. The outstanding balance of the Notes at October 31, 2004 and 2003 is \$1,470,890 and \$2,348,401, respectively. The Notes matured during fiscal 2004 and are currently due on demand.

NOTE 8 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of the following at October 31, 2004 and 2003:

	2004	2003
7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Telephone switch equipment	\$ 3,759,818	\$ 4,411,325
Leasehold improvements	232 , 917	223 , 577
Furniture and fixtures	852,011	232,916
Computer equipment	1,079,159	797 , 005
Computer software	58 , 378	536,428
	5,982,283	6,201,251
Less: accumulated depreciation and		
amortization	(5,112,326)	(4,860,265)
	\$ 869 , 957	\$ 1,340,986
	========	========

At October 31, 2004 and 2003, the gross amount of capital lease assets and related accumulated amortization recorded under capital leases were as follows:

	==		=:	
	\$	-	\$	158,398
			-	
Less: accumulated amortization		(455 , 728)		(297,330)
Telephone switch equipment	\$	455 , 728	\$	455 , 728
			-	
		2004		2003

Amortization of assets held under capital leases is included with depreciation expense. Depreciation and amortization expense totaled \$615,883, \$1,338,351 and \$2,158,135 in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

NOTE 9 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT HELD FOR SALE

Property and equipment held for sale represents internally constructed equipment for the prepaid telecommunications industry. On October 31, 2000, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement to sell this technology for \$1 million, however the sale was not consummated. The Company will continue to search for a buyer for the asset, and is currently utilizing the assets as collateral against its \$550,000 convertible debenture. As the potential ability to sell this equipment is uncertain, this equipment, which had a carrying value of \$320,307, was written-off during the year ended October 31, 2002.

NOTE 10 - GAIN ON SETTLEMENT OF LIABILITIES

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2003, the Company vacated its office space in Atlanta, Georgia. At that time, the Company began negotiations with the landlord to terminate its lease agreement. In October 2004, the Company reached an agreement with the landlord to pay \$100,000 in settlement of all outstanding rents, payable in monthly installments of \$5,000 through May 2006. As a result, the Company recorded \$241,000 as Gain on Settlement of Liabilities during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004, representing the difference between the Company's accrued rent and the

settlement amount.

In connection with the acquisition of the assets and certain of the liabilities of Rapid Link, Incorporated ("Rapid Link") during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended October 31, 2001, the Company recorded certain liabilities of \$255,000, and continued to hold those liabilities pending a final settlement with the Rapid Link trustee. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company agreed to pay \$30,000 in full and final settlement to the trustee and has recorded the remaining \$225,000 as Gain on Settlement of Liabilities during the year ended October 31, 2004.

NOTE 11 - STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

Warrant Issuances to Employees

Employee warrant activity for the three years ended October 31, 2004 was as follows:

			of Shares	Warrant Price Per Share	Ave Exe	ighted erage ercise rice
	outstanding 31, 2001	at		\$0.53 - 1.44	\$	n 89
1000000	51 , 2001		2,733,330	Y0.33 1.11	Y	0.03
Warrants	_		402,433	0.75		0.75
	exercised		_	_		_
Warrants	canceled		(145,000)	1.44		1.44
	outstanding 31, 2002	at	3 013 391	0.75 - 1.44		
Tedosco	31, 2002		3,013,331	0.75 1.44		0.05
Warrants	granted		_	_		_
	exercised		-	_		_
Warrants	canceled		(365 , 000)	0.53 - 0.81		0.62
Warrants	outstanding	at				
October	31, 2003		2,648,391	0.75 - 1.44		0.83
Warrants	granted		-	-		_
	exercised		_	_		_
Warrants	canceled					-
Warrants	outstanding	at				
	31, 2004		2,648,391	\$0.75 - 1.44	\$	0.83
					===	

The warrants issued to employees that were exercisable at the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 were approximately 2,648,000, 2,648,000 and 1,701,000, respectively.

Warrant Issuances to Non-Employees

Non-Employee warrant activity for the three years ended October 31, 2004 was as follows:

		Weighted
Number	Warrant	Average
of	Price	Exercise
Shares	Per Share	Price

	outstanding 31, 2001	at	1,203,300	\$0.01 - 3.50	\$ 1.65
	granted exercised canceled		50,000 - (365,800)	0.40 - 0.53 - 0.88	0.40 - 0.74
Warrants	outstanding 31, 2002	at		0.01 - 3.50	 1.95
Warrants	•		,	0.14 - 0.21	0.15
Warrants	canceled outstanding	2.5			 -
October	31, 2003	ac	1,587,500	0.01 - 3.50	1.16
	granted exercised canceled		- (150,000)	3.00	- 3.00
	outstanding	at			
October	31, 2004		1,437,500 =====	\$0.01 - 3.50 ======	0.97

The majority of the warrants issued to non-employees during fiscal years 2003 and 2002 were issued in connection with debt financing. The warrants issued to non-employees that were exercisable at October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 totaled 1,437,500, 1,587,500, and 887,500, respectively.

Stock Options

2002 Equity Incentive Plan

The Company adopted the 2002 Equity Incentive Plan ("Incentive Plan"), at the Company's annual shareholder meeting in May 2002. The Incentive Plan authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 2,000,000 options to purchase common shares of the Company. The maximum number of shares of common stock which may be issuable under the Incentive Plan to any individual plan participant is 500,000 shares. All options granted under the Incentive Plan have vesting periods up to a maximum of five years. The exercise price of an option granted under the Incentive Plan shall not be less than 85% of the fair value of the common stock on the date such option is granted.

Amended and restated 1990 Stock Option Plan

The 1990 Stock Option Plan ("1990 Stock Option Plan"), as amended, authorizes the Board of Directors to grant up to 2,300,000 options to purchase common shares of the Company. No options will be granted to any individual director or employee which will, when exercised, exceed 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company. The term of any option granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan is fixed by the Board of Directors at the time the options are granted, provided that the exercise period may not be longer than 10 years from the date of grant. All options granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan have up to 10 year terms and have vesting periods that range from 0 to 3 years from the grant date. The exercise price of any options granted under the 1990 Stock Option Plan is the fair market value at the date of grant.

The Company's stock option activity for the three years ended October 31, 2004 was as follows:

	of	Option Price Per Share	Exerc	ge ise
Options outstanding at October 31, 2001	2,182,500	\$0.30 - 1.50	\$ 0	.53
Options granted Options exercised Options canceled	195,000 (175,000) (593,000)		0	.27 .40 .73
Options outstanding at October 31, 2002	1,609,500	0.09 - 1.50	0	.44
Options granted Options exercised Options canceled	· –	0.11 - 0.18 - 0.11 - 1.50		.18
Options outstanding at October 31, 2003	1,444,000	0.09 - 1.50	0	.41
Options granted Options exercised Options canceled	, –	0.11 - 0.14 - 0.09 - 0.78		.12 -
Options outstanding at October 31, 2004		\$0.11 - 1.50	·	

The following table summarizes information about employee compensatory stock options and warrants outstanding at October 31, 2004:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options/ Warrants Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Options/Warrants Outstanding	Options/ Warrants Exercisable	Prices of Options/Warrants Exercisable
\$0.11 - \$0.78 \$1.44 - \$1.50	1,672,000 302,000	3.61 0.15	\$0.35 1.44	1,285,333 302,000	\$0.41 1.44
	1,974,000 ======	3.08	\$0.52 ====	1,587,333	\$0.61 ====

The weighted average grant date fair value of all stock options granted to employees during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 was \$0.07 a share.

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts reported for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at October 31, 2004 and 2003 are as follows:

	2004	2003
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carryovers	\$15,205,732	\$14,527,382
Accounts receivable	41,579	100,332
Advertising credits	977 , 185	977 , 185
Property and equipment	_	69 , 154
Accrued liabilities	34,694	34,918
Total gross deferred tax assets	16,259,190	15,708,971
Deferred tax liabilities Property and equipment	(45,142)	-
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	(45,142)	
	16,214,048	15,708,971
Valuation allowance	(16,214,048)	(15,708,971)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ -	\$ - ========

The increase in the valuation allowance for the years ended October 31, 2004 and 2003 of \$505,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively, was related primarily to a change in U.S. operating loss carryforwards.

At October 31, 2004, the Company has U.S. net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$45 million, which expire in 2006 through 2024. Utilization of U.S. net operating losses is subject to annual limitations provided for by the Internal Revenue Code. The annual limitation may also result in the expiration of net operating losses before utilization.

Realization of tax benefits depends on having sufficient taxable income within the carryback and carryforward periods. The Company continually reviews the adequacy of the valuation allowance and recognizes these benefits as reassessment indicates that it is more likely than not that the benefits will be realized. Based on pretax losses incurred in recent years, management has established a valuation allowance against the entire net deferred asset balance.

NOTE 13 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is obligated under various capital leases for equipment used in operating the business with terms expiring at various dates through 2005. The Company leases its branch office facilities and its corporate office under various noncancelable operating leases with terms expiring at various dates through 2007, and has also entered into various operating leases for equipment used in the Company's business. Rental expense from continuing operations for operating leases was \$502,940, \$474,567 and \$400,425 for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases and capital leases as of October 31, 2004 are as follows:

	Capital		Operating	
	Leases		Leases	
			_	
Year ending October 31,				
2005	\$	126,196	\$	203,251

2006 2007	- -	168,854 54,595
Total minimum lease pmts	126,196	\$ 426,700 ======
Less: Amount representing interest		
Present value of net minimum capital lease payment	126,196	
Less: current installments of obligations under capital lease	(126,196)	
Obligations under capital leases, excluding current installments	\$ - ======	

Legal Proceedings

The Company, from time to time, may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, including claims of alleged infringement of trademarks and other intellectual property of third parties by the Company. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

On June 12, 2001, Cygnus Telecommunications Technology, LLC ("Cygnus"), filed a patent infringement suit (case no. 01-6052) in the United States District court, Central District of California, with respect to the Company's "international reorigination" technology. The injunctive relief that Cygnus sought in this suit has been denied, but Cygnus continues to seek a license fee for the use of the technology. The Company believes that no license fee is required as the technology described in the patent is different from the technology used by the Company.

In August 2002, Cygnus filed a motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent the Company from providing "reorigination" services. The Company filed a cross motion for summary judgment of non-infringement. Both motions were denied. On August 22, 2003, the Company re-filed the motion for summary judgment for non-infringement. In response to this filing, during August 2004, the court narrowly defined the issue to relate to a certain reorigination technology which the Company believes it does not now, nor has it ever utilized to provide any of its telecommunications services. The Company intends to continue defending this case vigorously, and though its ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to such legal proceeding is therefore expected to be minimal, it cannot be estimated with any certainty at this time.

The State of Texas ("State") performed a sales tax audit of the Company's former parent, Canmax Retail Systems ("Canmax"), for the years 1995 to 1999. The State determined that the Company did not properly remit sales tax on certain transactions, including asset purchases and software development projects that Canmax performed for specific customers. The Company's current and former management filed exceptions, through its outside sales tax consultant, to the State's audit findings, including the non-taxable nature of certain transactions and the failure of the State to credit the Company's account for sales tax remittances. In correspondence from the State in June 2003, the State agreed to consider certain offsetting remittances received by Canmax during the audit period. The State has refused to consider other potential offsets. Based on this correspondence with the State, management's estimate of the potential liability was originally recorded at \$350,000 during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. Based on further correspondence with the State, this estimated

liability was increased to \$1.1 million during the first quarter of fiscal year 2004. Since this sales tax liability represents an adjustment to amounts previously reported in discontinued operations, it was classified separately during the first quarter of fiscal year 2004 in discontinued operations, and is included in the October 31, 2004 consolidated balance sheet in "Net current liabilities from discontinued operations". Management believes that Canmax properly remitted an appropriate amount of sales tax to Texas, and management does not believe the State's position reflects the appropriate amount of tax remitted during the audit period mentioned above and will continue to pursue this issue with the State. The Company is also aggressively pursuing the collection of unpaid sales taxes from former customers of Canmax, though there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful with respect to such collections.

On January 12, 2004, the Company filed a suit against Southland Corporation ("Southland") in the 162nd District Court in Dallas, Texas. The Company's suit claims a breach of contract on the part of Southland in failing to reimburse it for taxes paid to the State as well as related taxes for which the Company is currently being held responsible by the State. The Company's suit seeks reimbursement for the taxes paid and a determination by the court that Southland is responsible for paying the remaining tax liability to the State. The Company is discussing possible settlement options with Southland, but as of yet, no agreement has been reached.

On July 20, 2004, the Company filed a suit against Q Comm International, Inc. ("Q Comm") in Federal Court in the Central District of Utah. The Company's suit claims damages of \$4 million plus attorney's fees and costs resulting from the breach of a purchase agreement on the part of Q Comm relating to the sale of the Company's internally constructed equipment for the prepaid telecommunications industry. Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement, the Company would deliver the source code of certain proprietary software in consideration for an aggregate purchase price of \$4 million, of which \$1 million was due at closing and the remainder was due over three years. Following execution of the agreement, the Company tendered the software source code to Q Comm. However, Q Comm failed to pay the Company the initial amount due under the agreement and made copies of the source code without the Company's permission. The Company is currently preparing for trial, which has been set for the first two weeks of January 2006.

NOTE 14 - BENEFIT PLAN

Effective January 1, 1994, the Company implemented a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan for all employees of the Company. The Plan provides for voluntary contributions by employees into the Plan subject to the limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k). The Company may match employee contributions to a discretionary percentage of the employee's contribution. The Company's matching funds are determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors and are subject to a six-year vesting schedule from the date of original employment. The Company made no matching contributions during the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

NOTE 15 - BUSINESS AND CREDIT CONCENTRATIONS

In the normal course of business, the Company extends unsecured credit to virtually all of its customers. Management has provided an allowance for doubtful accounts which reflects its estimate of amounts which may become uncollectible. In the event of complete non-performance by the Company's customers, the maximum exposure to the Company is the outstanding accounts receivable balance at the date of non-performance.

During the year ended October 31, 2004, the Company provided wholesale services to a customer who accounted for 17% of revenues and another customer who accounted for 13% of revenues. During the same period, one of the Company's suppliers accounted for approximately 20% of the Company's total costs of revenues. At October 31, 2004, another customer accounted for 16% of the Company's trade accounts receivable. During the year ended October 31, 2003, the Company provided wholesale services to a customer who accounted for 11% of revenues. During the year ended October 31, 2002, the Company provided wholesale services to a customer who accounted for 14% of revenues.

Information regarding the Company's domestic and foreign revenues is as follows:

		All other foreign		
	Africa	countries	Domestic	Total
Fiscal 2002	\$ 1,392,384	\$ 1,184,239	\$15,832,026	\$18,408,649
Fiscal 2003	1,323,903	1,346,914	14,983,977	17,654,794
Fiscal 2004	1,617,433	1,284,641	10,478,436	13,380,510

No individual foreign country represented more than 10 percent of revenue or more than 10 percent of long lived assets for any period presented.

NOTE 16 - QUARTER-BY-QUARTER COMPARISION

Summarized unaudited quarterly financial data for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

2004 Quarters:	First	Second	Third	Fourth	
	A 1 211 600	A 2 240 000	A A A A A A A B A B	A 0 750 000	
Revenues, net				\$ 2,752,903	
Operating income (loss)	(121,991)	(85,479)	(332,229)	334,074	
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing operations</pre>	/261 E/0)	(240,000)	(400 272)	195,604	
Income from discontinued	(201, 340)	(240,000)	(400,273)	193,004	
operations	1,501,147	_	_	_	
Net income (loss)		(240 000)	(488,273)	195,604	
Income (loss) per share-continuing	1,233,333	(240,000)	(400,273)	193,004	
operations	(0.02)	(0 01)	(0.03)	0.01	
Income per share-discontinued	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.00)	0.01	
operations	0.09	_	_	_	
2003					
Quarters:					
Revenues, net	4,275,580	4,630,480	4,348,552	4,400,182	
Operating loss	(436,798)	(384,977)	(166,632)	(2,955,730)	
Loss from continuing operations	(828 , 386)	(625,444)	(397,855)	(3,217,161)	
Income (loss) from discontinued					
operations	(253,727)	(666,349)	(949,482)	316,093	
Net loss	(1,082,113)	(1,291,793)	(1,347,337)	(2,901,068)	
Loss per share-continuing					
operations	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.23)	
Income (loss) per share-discontinued operations		(0,04)	(0, 0.0)	0.05	
operations	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.06)	0.05	

2	\cap	\cap	$^{\circ}$
_	U	U	_

Quarters:				
Revenues, net	5,241,466	4,787,785	4,248,348	4,131,050
Operating loss	(783 , 581)	(695,527)	(608 , 975)	(158,059)
Loss from continuing operations	(1,072,322)	(1,018,054)	(960 , 137)	(475 , 093)
Loss from discontinued operations	(213,571)	(221,760)	(122,478)	(600 , 765)
Net loss	(1,285,893)	(1,239,814)	(1,082,615)	(1,075,858)
Loss per share-continuing				
operations	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.03)
Loss per share-discontinued				
operations	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.03)

NOTE 17 - CAPITAL STOCK

During the year ended October 31, 2004, three holders of the Company's Related Party Notes converted \$877,500 of debt into 6,750,000 shares of the Company's stock.

During the year ended October 31, 2004, a holder of one of the Company's Debentures converted \$10,730 of debt and accrued interest into approximately 82,000 shares of the Company's stock.

During the year ended October 31, 2003, a holder of one of the Company's Debentures converted \$53,463 of debt and accrued interest into approximately 374,000 shares of the Company's stock.

During the year ended October 31, 2003, a holder of one of the Company's Debentures converted \$55,734 of debt and accrued interest into approximately 724,000 shares of the Company's stock.

During the year ended October 31, 2002, a holder of one of the Company's Debentures converted \$531,487 of debt and accrued interest into approximately 2,856,000 shares of the Company's stock.

In November 2001, the Company issued 175,000 shares in connection with the exercise of options. The exercise price was paid with 100,000 shares of common stock, which were subsequently retired.

In November 2001, the Company issued 25,000 shares of common stock for investor relations services and were recorded at the stock's fair market value.

During the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, options and warrants to purchase 0, 0, and 175,000, respectively, shares of common stock were exercised.

The following table describes stock reserved for future issuances at October 31, 2004:

		42,845,234
Convertible debt	(1)	35,475,343
Warrants		4,085,891
Options		3,284,000
		# Shares

(1) Assumes conversion on October 31, 2004

under the terms of the related agreements

NOTE 18 - SUBSEQUENT EVENT

During November 2004, the Company's security holders passed an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation increasing the number of authorized common shares from 44,169,100 to 84,169,100.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM AS TO SCHEDULE

Board of Directors and Shareholders of Dial Thru International Corporation

In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements of Dial Thru International Corporation and Subsidiaries referred to in our report dated December 9, 2004, we have also audited Schedule II for the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. In our opinion, this schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information required to be set forth therein.

/s/ KBA GROUP LLP Dallas, Texas December 9, 2004

S-1

DIAL THRU INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the years ended October 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

	Balance at the beg of period		Additions		Deductions		Balance at the end of period		
2004			_						
Allowance for doubtful									
accounts	\$	295 , 094	\$	30,000	\$202	, 803	(1)	\$	122 , 291
Valuation allowance for									
	A1 F	700 071		F0F 077	<u> </u>			010	014 040
deferred tax assets	\$15	, 708, 971	\$	505,077	\$	_		\$16	,214,048
	==	======	=	======	===	====		==	======
2003									
Allowance for doubtful									
account	\$	506.391	Ś	158,469	\$369	.766	(1)	Ś	295.094
		=======		=======	===	====	(-/		=======
Valuation allowance for									
deferred tax assets	ċ1 /	061 140	ċ 1	647 000	ċ			Ċ1 E	,708,971
deletted tax assets	Ş⊥4	,001,148	ŞΙ	,041,823	Ą	_		ŞΙϽ	, 100, 9/1
	==		_			====		==	

2002

Allowance for doubtful

accounts \$ 228,729 \$ 711,246 \$433,584 (1) \$ 506,391

Valuation allowance for

deferred tax assets \$11,274,528 \$2,786,620 \$ - \$14,061,148

(1) Write offs.

S-2

EXHIBIT INDEX

- NO. DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT
- 3.3 Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 32.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 32.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350