

Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.
Form 10-K
March 04, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to

Commission File Number 001-33831

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Republic of the Marshall Islands
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

98-0453513
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

477 Madison Avenue
New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

10022
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 785-2500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share
(Title of Class)

The Common Stock is registered on the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
(Name of exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

1

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2010, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, was 262,551,161 based on the closing price of \$4.22 per share on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange on that date. (For this purpose, all outstanding shares of Common Stock have been considered held by non-affiliates, other than the shares beneficially owned by directors, officers and certain 5% shareholders of the registrant; without conceding that any of the excluded parties are "affiliates" of the registrant for purposes of the federal securities laws.)

As of March 4, 2011, 62,560,436 shares of the registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed by the registrant within 120 days of December 31, 2010 in connection with its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART	4
I.	
ITEM Business	4
1.	
ITEM Risk Factors	32
1A.	
ITEM Unresolved Staff Comments	50
1B.	
ITEM Properties	50
2.	
ITEM Legal Proceedings	50
3.	
ITEM Reserved	50
4.	
PART	50
II.	
ITEM Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity	50
5. Securities	
ITEM Selected Financial Data	53
6.	
ITEM Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	54
7.	
Item Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	75
7A.	
Item Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	76
8.	
Item Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	77
9.	
Item Controls and Procedures	77
9A.	
Item Other Information	78
9B.	
PART	78
III.	
Item Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	78
10.	
Item Executive Compensation	78
11.	
Item Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	78
12.	
Item Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	78
13.	
Item Principal Accountant Fees and Services	79
14.	

PART	79
IV.	
Item Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	79
15.	
Signatures	80

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. (the "Company", "we", "us", or "our"), incorporated under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands (the "Marshall Islands") and headquartered in New York City, is engaged primarily in the ocean transportation of a broad range of major and minor bulk cargoes, including iron ore, coal, grain, cement and fertilizer, along worldwide shipping routes. We operate in the Handymax sector of the dry bulk industry, with particular emphasis on the Supramax class of vessels. We own one of the largest fleets of Supramax dry bulk vessels in the world. Supramax dry bulk vessels range in size from 50,000 to 60,000 deadweight tons, or dwt. These vessels have the cargo loading and unloading flexibility of on-board cranes while offering cargo carrying capacities approaching that of Panamax dry bulk vessels, which range in size from 60,000 to 100,000 dwt and must rely on port facilities to load and offload their cargoes. We believe that the cargo handling flexibility and cargo carrying capacity of the Supramax class vessels make them attractive to charterers.

As of December 31, 2010, we owned and operated a modern fleet of 38 oceangoing vessels with a combined carrying capacity of 2,046,126 dwt and an average age of approximately five years. We also have a Supramax vessel newbuilding program in China and Japan which commenced delivery of the constructed vessels in 2008 and is expected to continue through 2011. Under this newbuilding program, by the end of 2010, we had taken delivery of 19 vessels and we held contracts for the construction of 8 vessels with a carrying capacity of approximately 459,100 dwt. During 2010, twelve vessels were constructed and delivered into our fleet and we also sold one vessel to an unaffiliated third party. Upon delivery of the last contracted newbuilding vessel in 2011, our total fleet will consist of 46 vessels with a combined carrying capacity of 2.50 million dwt.

During the third quarter of 2010, the Company launched a freight trading operation to capitalize on value creation strategies in spot trading, contracts of affreightment, time charter-in and -out and derivative instruments. These trading capabilities (the "Trading operation") will extend the Company's global presence, which includes a new office in Singapore.

We carry out the commercial and strategic management of our fleet through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Eagle Shipping International (USA) LLC, a Marshall Islands limited liability company which maintains its principle executive offices in New York City. Each of our vessels is owned by us through a separate wholly owned Marshall Islands limited liability company.

We maintain our principal executive offices at 477 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022. Our telephone number at that address is (212) 785-2500. Our website address is www.eagleships.com. Information contained on our website does not constitute part of this annual report.

A glossary of shipping terms (the "Glossary") that should be used as a reference when reading this Annual Report on Form 10-K begins on page 29. Capitalized terms that are used in this Annual Report are either defined when they are first used or in the Glossary.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements regarding the outlook for dry cargo markets, and the Company's prospects. There are a number of factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements, including changes in production of or demand for major and minor bulk commodities, either globally or in particular regions; greater than anticipated levels of vessel newbuilding orders or less than anticipated rates of scrapping of older vessels; changes in trading patterns for particular commodities significantly impacting overall tonnage requirements; changes in the rates of growth of the world and various regional economies; risks incident to vessel operation, including discharge of pollutants; unanticipated changes in laws and regulations; increases in costs of operation; the availability to the Company of suitable vessels for acquisition or chartering-in on terms it deems favorable; the ability to attract and retain customers and the performance of our contract counterparties. This Form 10-K also includes statistical data regarding world dry bulk fleet and orderbook and fleet age. We generated some of these data internally, and some were obtained from independent industry publications and reports that we believe to be reliable sources. We have not independently verified these data nor sought the consent of any organizations to refer to their reports in this Annual Report. The Company assumes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements in this Form 10-K and written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or its representatives after the date of this Form 10-K are qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statement contained in this paragraph and in other reports hereafter filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Management of Our Fleet

Our New York City based management team, with in excess of 20 years of experience in the shipping industry primarily focused on the sub-Panamax dry bulk sectors, such as Supramax, Handymax and Handysize vessels, undertakes all commercial and strategic management of our fleet and supervises the technical management of our vessels. The technical management of our fleet is provided internally and by unaffiliated third party managers, V.Ships, Wilhelmsen Ship Management, and Anglo Eastern International Ltd., which we believe are three of the world's largest providers of independent ship management and related services, and which we refer to as our technical managers. In 2009, we set up our own in-house technical management for a portion of our fleet in order to establish a vessel management bench-mark with our external technical managers. The management of our fleet includes the following functions:

- Strategic management. We locate, obtain financing and insurance for, purchase and sell, vessels.
- Commercial management. We obtain employment for our vessels and manage our relationships with charterers.
- Technical management. Our unaffiliated technical managers or our in-house technical manager performs day-to-day operations and maintenance of our vessels.

Our Competitive Strengths and our Business Strategy

We believe that we have a number of strengths that provide us with a competitive advantage in the dry bulk shipping industry, including:

- A fleet of Supramax dry bulk vessels. We specialize in the Supramax class of the Handymax sector of the dry bulk industry. Our operating fleet of 38 vessels at December 31, 2010 and contracts for the construction of 8 newbuilding vessels makes us one of the world's largest fleets of vessels in the sector. We view Handymax vessels, especially the Supramax class of vessels, as a highly attractive sector of the dry bulk shipping industry relative to larger vessel sectors due to their:
 - reduced volatility in charter rates;
 - increased operating flexibility;
 - ability to access more ports;
 - ability to carry a more diverse range of cargoes; and
 - broader customer base.
- A modern, high quality fleet. The 38 Handymax vessels in our operating fleet at December 31, 2010 had an average age of approximately five years compared to an average age for the world Handymax dry bulk fleet of over 14 years. As of December 31, 2010, we have taken delivery of 19 Supramax newbuilding vessels and we are also constructing another 8 Supramax vessels. We believe that owning a modern, high quality fleet reduces operating costs, improves safety and provides us with a competitive advantage in securing employment for our vessels. Our fleet was built to high standards and all of our vessels were built at leading Japanese and Chinese shipyards, including Mitsui Engineering and Shipbuilding Co., Ltd., and Oshima Shipbuilding Co., Ltd. The vessels under construction are being built at premier shipyards in Japan, IHI Marine United, and China, Yangzhou Dayang Shipbuilding Co. Ltd.
- A fleet of sister and similar ships allows us to maintain low cost, highly efficient operations. Our current operating fleet of 38 vessels includes 8 identical sister ships built at the Mitsui shipyard based upon the same design specifications, two sets of 4 and 10 identical sister ships built at Dayang shipyard, 5 identical sister ships built at IHI

Marine United shipyard, and 3 similar ships built at the Oshima shipyard that use many of the same parts and equipment. Our newbuilding fleet of 8 vessels to be constructed includes two sets of sister vessels – one 53,100 dwt sister ships and seven 58,000 dwt sister ships from Yangzhou Dayang Shipbuilding Co. Ltd. Operating sister and similar ships provides us with operational and scheduling flexibility, efficiencies in employee training and lower inventory and maintenance expenses. We believe that this should allow us both to increase revenue and lower operating costs. We intend to actively monitor and control vessel operating expenses while maintaining the high quality of our fleet through regular inspection and maintenance programs. We also intend to take advantage of savings that result from the economies of scale that the third party technical managers provide us through access to bulk purchasing of supplies, quality crew members and a global service network of engineers, naval architects and port captains.

- Balanced charter program. Our strategy is to balance between long-term time charters and revenues generated by short-term time charters and voyage charters to maximize our financial performance throughout shipping cycles. We have entered into time charter employment contracts for all the vessels in our operating fleet and a substantial portion of our newbuilding fleet We charter some of our vessels pursuant to one- to three-year time charters to allow us to take advantage of the stable cash flow and high utilization rates that are associated with medium to long-term time charters. Several of the newly constructed vessels are on long term charters with an average duration of eight years. The vessels that are on charters whose revenues are linked to the Baltic Supramax index generally have durations of one-year or less. These index linked charters and voyage charters provide us with the revenue upside as the market improves. We believe that this structure provides significant visibility to our future financial results and allows us to take advantage of the stable cash flows and high utilization rates that are associated with medium- to long-term time charters, while at the same time providing us with the revenue upside potential from the index linked or short-term time charters or voyage charters. All the charters provide for fixed semi-monthly payments in advance. While we remain focused on securing charters with fixed base rates, we have also entered into contracts with fixed minimum rates and profit sharing arrangements, enabling us to benefit from an increasing rate environment while still minimizing downside risk. We regularly monitor the dry bulk shipping market and based on market conditions we may consider taking advantage of short-term charter rates.
- Expand our fleet through selective acquisitions of dry bulk vessels. We intend to continue to grow our fleet through timely and selective acquisitions of additional vessels in a manner that is accretive to earnings. We expect to focus primarily in the Handymax sector of the dry bulk shipping industry, and in particular on Supramax class vessels. We may also consider acquisitions of other sizes of dry bulk vessels, but not tankers.

Our Fleet

Our operating fleet consists of 38 vessels, which includes 19 newly constructed vessels during 2008, 2009, and 2010. We are also constructing an additional eight vessels under our newbuilding program. The following table presents certain information concerning our fleet as of December 31, 2010:

No. of Vessels	Dwt	Vessel Type	Delivery
Vessels in Operation			
38 Vessels	2,046,126	36 Supramax 2 Handymax	
Vessels to be delivered			
1 Vessel	53,100	53,100 dwt series Supramax	2011
7 Vessels	406,000	58,000 dwt series Supramax	2011

All vessels in our fleet are fitted with cargo cranes and cargo grabs that permit them to load and unload cargo in ports that do not have cargo handling infrastructure in place. All of our vessels are flagged in the Marshall Islands. We own each of our vessels through a separate wholly owned Republic of Marshall Islands subsidiary.

Operating Fleet:

Our operating fleet consists of 38 vessels, and these vessels are all employed on time charters. The following table represents certain information about the Company's operating fleet:

Vessel	Year Built	Dwt	Time Charter Employment (expiry range)(1)
Avocet	2010	53,462	December 2018 to April 2019
Bittern	2009	57,809	December 2018 to April 2019
Canary	2009	57,809	December 2018 to April 2019
Cardinal	2004	55,362	February 2011
Condor	2001	50,296	July 2011 to October 2011
Crane	2010	57,809	December 2018 to April 2019
Crested Eagle	2009	55,989	March 2011 to April 2011
Crowned Eagle	2008	55,940	June 2011 to September 2011
Egret Bulker	2010	57,809	October 2012 to February 2013
Falcon	2001	50,296	January 2011
Gannet Bulker	2010	57,809	January 2013 to May 2013
Golden Eagle	2010	55,989	April 2011 to June 2011
Goldeneye	2002	52,421	October 2011 to December 2011
Grebe Bulker	2010	57,809	February 2013 to June 2013
Harrier	2001	50,296	July 2011 to October 2011
Hawk I	2001	50,296	July 2011 to September 2011
Heron	2001	52,827	January 2011
Ibis Bulker	2010	57,775	March 2013 to July 2013
Imperial Eagle	2010	55,989	January 2011 to February 2011
Jaeger	2004	52,248	January 2011

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Jay	2010	57,802	December 2018 to April 2019
Kestrel I	2004	50,326	March 2011
Kingfisher	2010	57,776	December 2018 to April 2019
Kite	1997	47,195	January 2011
Kittiwake	2002	53,146	January 2011
Martin	2010	57,809	February 2017 to February 2018

7

Merlin	2001	50,296	January 2011 to February 2011
Osprey I	2002	50,206	September 2011 to November 2011
Peregrine	2001	50,913	Jan 2011 to March 2011
Redwing	2007	53,411	July 2011 to September 2011
Shrike	2003	53,343	June 2011 to August 2011
Skua	2003	53,350	February 2011
Sparrow	2000	48,225	February 2011
Stellar Eagle	2009	55,989	April 2011 to June 2011
Tern	2003	50,200	Jan 2011
Thrasher	2010	53,360	December 2018 to April 2019
Woodstar	2008	53,390	December 2018 to April 2019
Wren	2008	53,349	December 2018 to April 2019

(1) The date range provided represents the earliest and latest date on which the charterer may redeliver the vessel to the Company upon the conclusion of the charter.

Newbuilding Acquisitions

We hold contracts for the construction of a fleet of Supramax vessels in China which have begun delivering into our operating fleet.

As of December 31, 2010, eight vessels are scheduled to be delivered during 2011. We have taken delivery of 14 vessels from the shipyard in China. The Wren and Woodstar in 2008. The Bittern and Canary in 2009. The Thrasher, Crane, Egret, Avocet, Gannet Bulker, Grebe Bulker, Ibis Bulker, Jay, Kingfisher, and Martin in 2010.

The following table represents certain information about the Company's newbuilding fleet, at December 31, 2010:

Vessel	Dwt	Year Built –	Time Charter Employment (2)
		Actual or Expected Delivery (1)	
Thrush(3)	53,100	2011Q1	Charter Free
Nighthawk(4)	58,000	2011Q1	Sep 2017/Sep 2018
Oriole	58,000	2011Q3	Jan 2018/Jan 2019

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Owl	58,000	2011Q3	Feb 2018/Feb 2019
Petrel (5)	58,000	2011Q4	Apr 2014/Aug 2014
Puffin (5)	58,000	2011Q4	Jul 2014/Nov 2014
Roadrunner (5)	58,000	2011Q4	Aug 2014/Dec 2014
Sandpiper (5)	58,000	2011Q4	Sep 2014/Jan 2015

- (1) Vessel build and delivery dates are estimates based on guidance received from shipyard.
- (2) The date range represents the earliest and latest date on which the charterer may redeliver the vessel to the Company upon conclusion of the charter.
- (3) The Thrush was delivered in the first quarter of 2011 and commenced a short term time charter.

- (4) The Nighthawk was scheduled to be delivered to KLC. The Company and KLC have agreed to defer the commencement of this charter to allow the Company to employ the vessel for its own account for the time being. The Nighthawk was delivered in the first quarter of 2011 and commenced a short term time charter.
- (5) The charterer has an option to extend the charter by one or two periods of 11 to 13 months each.

Nature of Business

Our strategy is to balance between long-term time charters and revenues generated by short-term time charters and voyage charters to maximize our financial performance throughout shipping cycles. We have entered into time charter employment contracts for all the vessels in our operating fleet and a substantial portion of our newbuilding fleet. We charter some of our vessels pursuant to one- to three-year time charters to allow us to take advantage of the stable cash flow and high utilization rates that are associated with medium to long-term time charters. Several of the newly constructed vessels are on long term charters with an average duration of eight years. The vessels that are on charters whose revenues are linked to the Baltic Supramax index generally have durations of one-year or less. These index linked charters and voyage charters provide us with the revenue upside as the market improves. We believe that this structure provides significant visibility to our future financial results and allows us to take advantage of the stable cash flows and high utilization rates that are associated with medium- to long-term time charters, while at the same time providing us with the revenue upside potential from the index linked or short-term time charters or voyage charters. All the charters provide for fixed semi-monthly payments in advance. While we remain focused on securing charters with fixed base rates, we have also entered into contracts with fixed minimum rates and profit sharing arrangements, enabling us to benefit from an increasing rate environment while still minimizing downside risk. We regularly monitor the dry bulk shipping market and based on market conditions we may consider taking advantage of short-term charter rates.

A time charter involves the hiring of a vessel from its owner for a period of time pursuant to a contract under which the vessel owner places its ship (including its crew and equipment) at the service of the charterer. Under a typical time charter, the charterer periodically pays us a fixed daily charter hire rate and bears all voyage expenses, including the cost of fuel and port and canal charges. Once we have time chartered-out a vessel, trading of the vessel and the commercial risks shift to the customer. Subject to certain restrictions imposed by us in the contract, the charterer determines the type and quantity of cargo to be carried and the ports of loading and discharging. In 2009 we set up our own in-house technical management of a portion of our fleet. The Company has contracted the technical operations of majority of our vessels to third party vessel managers, and oversee the technical operation and navigation of the vessel at all times, including monitoring vessel operating expenses, such as the cost of crewing, insuring, repairing and maintaining the vessel, costs of spare parts and supplies, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses.

In connection with the charters of each of our vessels, we pay commissions ranging from 1.25% to 6.25% of the total daily charter hire rate of each charter to unaffiliated ship brokers and to in-house ship brokers associated with the charterers, depending on the number of brokers involved with arranging the relevant charter.

Our vessels operate worldwide within the trading limits imposed by our insurance terms and do not operate in areas where United States and or United Nations sanctions have been imposed.

Our Customers

Our customers include international companies such as AMN Bulk Carriers Inc, BHP, Bunge S.A., Cargill Int'l SA, Clipper Bulk, Cosco Bulk Carriers Co.,Ltd., Eitzen Bulk AS, Global Maritime Investments Ltd, Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd., Lauritzen Bulk AS, Korea Line Corporation, NYK Global Bulk Corp., Oldendorff Carriers

GmbH & Co.KG, Pacific Basin, Rio Tinto Shipping(Asia) Pte Ltd, San Juan Navigation Corp., Vitol SA and Western Bulk Carriers ASA. Our assessment of customers' financial condition and reliability is an important factor in negotiating employment for our vessels. We expect to charter our vessels to major trading houses (including commodities traders), publicly traded companies, reputable vessel owners and operators, major producers and government-owned entities rather than to more speculative or undercapitalized entities. We evaluate the counterparty risk of potential customers based on our management's experience in the shipping industry combined with the additional input of two independent credit risk consultants. In 2010, two customers individually accounted for more than 10% of our time charter revenue.

Ten of our vessels are currently chartered to Korea Lines Corporation, or KLC, and one additional vessel concluded its charter with KLC in February 2011. On January 25, 2011, KLC, one of our charterers, filed for protective receivership in Seoul, Korea. On February 15th, the Korean courts approved this request. The Company and KLC have agreed that all of Company's charters to KLC remain intact until the court allows KLC to resume hire payments, although no charter hire payments are currently being received. The Company has further come to an agreement with KLC regarding arrangements to take over the employment of the majority of the affected chartered vessels for this interim period. Earnings during this interim period would be used to offset the charter hire otherwise due from KLC. During February, the Company re-chartered out all affected vessels on the spot market, which is currently averaging around \$15,000 per day. The Company will continue to trade these vessels until our business arrangements with KLC have been resolved. As of March 4, 2011, Eagle Bulk is owed approximately \$8.3 million of charter hire all related to 2011 activities with KLC, of which approximately \$2.5 million was due and owing prior to KLC filing for rehabilitation. With regard to the "Nighthawk," which was scheduled to be delivered to KLC in February 2011, the Company and KLC have agreed in principle, subject to Court approval, to defer the commencement of this charter to allow Eagle to employ the vessel for its own account for the time being.

Operations

There are two central aspects to the operation of our fleet:

- Commercial Operations, which involves chartering and operating a vessel; and
- Technical Operations, which involves maintaining, crewing and insuring a vessel.

We carry out the commercial and strategic management of our fleet through our wholly owned subsidiary, Eagle Shipping International (USA) LLC, a Marshall Islands limited liability company that was formed in January 2005 and maintains its principle executive offices in New York City, and Eagle Bulk Pte. Ltd, a Singapore company. Our office staff, either directly or through this subsidiary, provides the following services:

- commercial operations and technical supervision;
- safety monitoring;
- vessel acquisition; and
- financial, accounting and information technology services.

We currently have 46 shore based personnel in our principal executive office and in Singapore and 19 personnel on-site at the shipyards supervising our newbuilding program.

Commercial and Strategic Management

We perform all of the commercial and strategic management of our fleet that includes obtaining employment for our vessels and maintaining our relationships with our charterers. We believe that because our management team has an average of 20 years experience in operating Handymax and Handysize dry bulk vessels, we have access to a broad range of charterers and can employ the fleet efficiently in any market and achieve high utilization rates.

In accordance with our strategy, we have entered into time and voyage charters for all 38 of our vessels currently in the operating fleet and 7 of the 8 vessels under construction. In general, our time charters afford us greater assurance that we will be able to cover a fixed portion of our costs, mitigate revenue volatility, provide stable cash flow and achieve higher utilization rates. Vessels coming off long term employment are being employed on the short to long term voyage charters or on the spot market.

During the third quarter of 2010 the Company launched a freight trading operation to capitalize on value creation strategies in spot trading, contracts of affreightment, time charter-in and -out and derivative instruments. These trading capabilities (the "Trading operation") will extend the Company's global presence, which includes a new office in Singapore.

We regularly monitor the dry bulk shipping market and, based on market conditions, when a time charter ends, we may consider taking advantage of short-term charter rates. In such cases we will arrange voyage charters for those vessels that we will operate in the spot market. Under a voyage charter, the owner of a vessel provides the vessel for the transport of goods between specific ports in return for the payment of an agreed-upon freight per ton of cargo or, alternatively, a specified total amount. All operating costs are borne by the owner of the vessel. A single voyage charter is often referred to as a "spot market" charter, which generally lasts from two to ten weeks. Operating vessels in the spot market may afford greater opportunity to capitalize on fluctuations in the spot market; when vessel demand is high we earn higher rates, but when demand is low our rates are lower and potentially insufficient to cover costs. Spot market rates are volatile and are affected by world economics, international events, weather conditions, strikes, governmental policies, supply and demand, and other factors beyond our control. If the markets are especially weak for protracted periods, there is a risk that vessels in the spot market may spend time idle waiting for business, or may have to be "laid up".

- Identifying, purchasing, and selling vessels. We believe that our commercial management team has longstanding relationships in the dry bulk industry, which provides us access to an extensive network of ship brokers and vessel owners that we believe will provide us with an advantage in future transactions.
- Obtaining insurance coverage for our vessels. We have well-established relationships with reputable marine underwriters in all the major insurance markets around the world that helps insure our fleet with insurance at competitive rates.
- Supervising our third party technical managers. We regularly monitor the expenditures, crewing, and maintenance of our vessels by our technical managers, V.Ships, Wilhelmsen Ship Management, and Anglo Eastern International Ltd. In 2009, we set up our own in-house technical management capability in order to establish a vessel management bench-mark with our external technical managers. Our management team has direct experience with vessel operations, repairs, drydockings and vessel construction.

Technical Management

The technical management of our fleet is provided by our unaffiliated third party technical managers, V.Ships, Wilhelmsen Ship Management and Anglo Eastern International Ltd., that we believe are three of the world's largest providers of independent ship management and related services. We have also set up our own in-house technical management capability in order to establish a vessel management bench-mark with our external technical managers. We review the performance of our managers on an annual basis and may add or change technical managers.

Technical management includes managing day-to-day vessel operations, performing general vessel maintenance, ensuring regulatory and classification society compliance, supervising the maintenance and general efficiency of vessels, arranging our hire of qualified officers and crew, arranging and supervising drydocking and repairs, purchasing supplies, spare parts and new equipment for vessels, appointing supervisors and technical consultants and providing technical support. Our technical managers also manage and process all crew insurance claims. Our technical managers maintain records of all costs and expenditures incurred in connection with their services that are available for our review on a daily basis. Our technical managers are members of marine contracting associations which arrange bulk purchasing thereby enabling us to benefit from economies of scale.

We currently crew our vessels primarily with officers and seamen from India, Ukraine, Romania and the Philippines who are supplied by our managers. As of December 31, 2010, we employed a total of approximately 800 officers and seamen on the 38 vessels in our operating fleet. Each technical manager handles each seaman's training, travel, and payroll and ensures that all our seamen have the qualifications and licenses required to comply with international

regulations and shipping conventions. Additionally, our seafaring employees perform most commissioning work and assist in supervising work at shipyards and drydock facilities. We typically man our vessels with more crew members than are required by the country of the vessel's flag in order to allow for the performance of routine maintenance duties. All of our crew members are subject to and are paid commensurate with international collective bargaining agreements and, therefore, we do not anticipate any labor disruptions. No international collective bargaining agreements to which we are a party are set to expire within two years.

We pay our unaffiliated technical managers a monthly fee per vessel plus actual costs incurred by our vessels. These monthly fees averaged \$9,562 per vessel in 2010, \$9,233 per vessel in 2009 and \$9,041 per vessel in 2008.

Permits and Authorizations

We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses and certificates with respect to our vessels. The kinds of permits, licenses and certificates required depend upon several factors, including the commodity transported, the waters in which the vessel operates, the nationality of the vessel's crew and the age of a vessel. We expect to be able to obtain all permits, licenses and certificates currently required to permit our vessels to operate. Additional laws and regulations, environmental or otherwise, may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of us doing business.

Environmental and Other Regulations

Government regulation significantly affects the ownership and operation of our vessels. We are subject to international conventions and treaties, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered relating to safety and health and environmental protection including the storage, handling, emission, transportation and discharge of hazardous and non-hazardous materials, and the remediation of contamination and liability for damage to natural resources. Compliance with such laws, regulations and other requirements entails significant expense, including vessel modifications and implementation of certain operating procedures.

A variety of government and private entities subject our vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include the local port authorities (United States Coast Guard, harbor master or equivalent), classification societies; flag state administrations (country of registry) and charterers, particularly terminal operators. Certain of these entities require us to obtain permits, licenses and certificates for the operation of our vessels. Failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend the operation of one or more of our vessels.

We believe that the heightened level of environmental and quality concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers is leading to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the dry bulk shipping industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to the stricter environmental standards. We are required to maintain operating standards for all of our vessels that emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of our officers and crews and compliance with United States and international regulations. We believe that the operation of our vessels is in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and that our vessels have all material permits, licenses, certificates or other authorizations necessary for the conduct of our operations. However, because such laws and regulations are frequently changed and may impose increasingly stricter requirements, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with these requirements, or the impact of these requirements on the resale value or useful lives of our vessels. In addition, a future serious marine incident, such as the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill, that causes significant adverse environmental impact could result in additional legislation or regulation that could negatively affect our profitability.

International Maritime Organization

The International Maritime Organization ("IMO"), the United Nations agency for maritime safety and the prevention of pollution by ships, or the IMO, has adopted the International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution, 1973, as modified by the related Protocol of 1978 relating thereto, which has been updated through various amendments, or the MARPOL Convention. The MARPOL Convention establishes environmental standards relating

to oil leakage or spilling, garbage management, sewage, air emissions, handling and disposal of noxious liquids and the handling of harmful substances in packaged forms. The IMO adopted regulations that set forth pollution prevention requirements applicable to dry bulk carriers.

Air Emissions

In September 1997, the IMO adopted Annex VI to the MARPOL Convention, Regulations for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, to address air pollution from ships. Effective May 2005, Annex VI sets limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from all commercial vessel exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances (such as halons and chlorofluorocarbons), emissions of volatile compounds from cargo tanks, and the shipboard incineration of specific substances. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. We believe that all our vessels are currently compliant in all material respects with these regulations. The IMO's Maritime Environment Protection Committee, or MEPC, has adopted amendments to Annex VI regarding emissions of sulfur oxide, nitrogen oxide particulate matter and ozone depleting substances, which amendments were ratified by the United States in October 2008 and entered into force on July 1, 2010. The amended Annex VI reduces air pollution from vessels by, among other things, (i) implementing a progressive reduction of sulfur oxide emissions from ships, with the global sulfur cap reduced initially to 3.50% (from the current cap of 4.50%), effective from January 1, 2012, then progressively to 0.50%, effective from January 1, 2020, subject to a feasibility review to be completed no later than 2018; and (ii) establishing new tiers of stringent nitrogen oxide emissions standards for new marine engines, depending on their date of installation. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, has promulgated equivalent emissions standards, and we may incur costs to comply with these revised IMO and EPA standards.

The MEPC has designated the area extending 200 miles from the territorial sea baseline adjacent to the Atlantic/Gulf and Pacific coasts and the eight main Hawaiian Islands as an ECA under the MARPOL Annex VI amendments. The new ECA will enter into force in August 2012, whereupon fuel used by all vessels operating in the ECA cannot exceed 1.0% sulfur, dropping to 0.1% sulfur in 2015. From 2016, NO_x after-treatment requirements will also apply. If other ECAs are approved by the IMO or other new or more stringent requirements relating to emissions from marine diesel engines or port operations by vessels are adopted by the EPA or the states where we operate, compliance with these regulations could entail significant capital expenditures or otherwise increase the costs of our operations.

Safety Management System Requirements

The operation of our vessels is also affected by the requirements set forth in the IMO's International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, or SOLAS and the International Convention on Load Lines, or the LL Convention, which impose a variety of standards that regulate the design and operational features of ships. The IMO periodically revises the SOLAS and LL Convention standards. We believe that all our vessels are in material compliance with SOLAS and LL Convention standards.

Under Chapter IX of SOLAS, the International Safety Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and for Pollution Prevention, or ISM Code, our operations are also subject to environmental standards and requirements contained in the ISM Code promulgated by the IMO. The ISM Code requires the party with operational control of a vessel to develop an extensive safety management system that includes, among other things, the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for operating its vessels safely and describing procedures for responding to emergencies. We rely upon the safety management system that we and our technical manager have developed for compliance with the ISM Code. The failure of a ship owner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. As of the date of this filing, all of the vessels in our operating fleet are ISM code-certified.

The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a safety management certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with the ISM Code requirements for a safety management system. No vessel can obtain a safety management certificate unless its manager has been awarded a document of

compliance, issued by each flag state, under the ISM Code. Our appointed ship managers have obtained documents of compliance for their offices and safety management certificates for all of our vessels for which the certificates are required by the IMO, which are renewed as required.

Pollution Control and Liability Requirements

The IMO has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for pollution in international waters and the territorial waters of the signatories to such conventions. For example, the IMO adopted an International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, or the BWM Convention, in February 2004. The BWM Convention's implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements, to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits.

The BWM Convention will not become effective until 12 months after it has been adopted by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world's merchant shipping. The BWM Convention has not yet entered into force because a sufficient number of states have failed to adopt it. However, the MEPC passed a resolution in March 2010 encouraging the ratification of the BWM Convention and calling upon those countries that have already ratified to encourage the installation of ballast water management systems. If mid-ocean ballast exchange or ballast water treatment requirements become mandatory, the cost of compliance could increase for ocean carriers, and these costs may be material.

In March 2006, the IMO amended Annex I to MARPOL, including a new regulation relating to oil fuel tank protection, which became effective August 1, 2007. The new regulation will apply to various ships delivered on or after August 1, 2010. It includes requirements for the protected location of the fuel tanks, performance standards for accidental oil fuel outflow, a tank capacity limit and certain other maintenance, inspection and engineering standards.

The IMO adopted the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention, to impose strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker fuel. The Bunker Convention, which became effective on November 21, 2008, requires registered owners of ships over 1,000 gross tons to maintain insurance for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended). With respect to non-ratifying states, liability for spills or releases of oil carried as fuel in ship's bunkers typically is determined by the national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the events or damages occur.

IMO regulations also require owners and operators of vessels to adopt Ship Oil Pollution Emergency Plans. Periodic training and drills for response personnel and for vessels and their crews are required.

Anti-Fouling Requirements

In 2001, the IMO adopted the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, or the Anti-fouling Convention. The Anti-fouling Convention prohibits the use of organotin compound coatings to prevent the attachment of mollusks and other sea life to the hulls of vessels after September 1, 2003. The exteriors of vessels constructed prior to January 1, 2003 that have not been in drydock must, as of September 17, 2008, either not contain the prohibited compounds or have coatings applied to the vessel exterior that act as a barrier to the leaching of the prohibited compounds. Vessels of over 400 gross tons engaged in international voyages must obtain an International Anti-fouling System Certificate and undergo a survey before the vessel is put into service or when the anti-fouling systems are altered or replaced. We have obtained Anti-fouling System Certificates for all of our vessels that are subject to the Anti-fouling Convention.

Compliance Enforcement

The flag state, as defined by the United Nations Convention on Law of the Sea, has overall responsibility for the implementation and enforcement of international maritime regulations for all ships granted the right to fly its flag. The "Shipping Industry Guidelines on Flag State Performance" evaluates flag states based on factors such as sufficiency of infrastructure, ratification of international maritime treaties, implementation and enforcement of international maritime regulations, supervision of surveys, casualty investigations and participation at IMO meetings. Our vessels are flagged in the Marshall Islands. Marshall Islands-flagged vessels have historically received a good assessment in the shipping industry. We recognize the importance of a credible flag state and do not intend to use flags of convenience or flag states with poor performance indicators.

Noncompliance with the ISM Code or other IMO regulations may subject the ship owner or bareboat charterer to increased liability, may lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports. The U.S. Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code by the applicable deadlines will be prohibited from trading in U.S. and European Union ports, respectively. As of the date of this report, each of our vessels is ISM Code certified. However, there can be no assurance that such certificates will be maintained in the future.

The IMO continues to introduce new regulations. It is impossible to predict what additional regulations, if any, may be passed by the IMO and what effect, if any, such regulations might have on our operations.

The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in United States waters, which includes the United States' territorial sea and its two hundred nautical mile exclusive economic zone. The United States has also enacted the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or CERCLA, which applies to the discharge of hazardous substances other than oil, whether on land or at sea. Both OPA and CERCLA impact our operations.

Under OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are "responsible parties" and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels. OPA defines these other damages broadly to include:

- natural resources damage and the costs of assessment thereof;
- real and personal property damage;
- net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees and other lost revenues;
- lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to property or natural resources damage;
- net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards; and
- loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

Effective July 31, 2009, the U.S. Coast Guard adjusted the limits of OPA liability for non-tank vessels to the greater of \$1,000 per gross ton or \$0.85 million per non-tank vessel that is over 3,000 gross tons (subject to periodic adjustment for inflation). CERCLA, which applies to owners and operators of vessels, contains a similar liability regime and provides for cleanup, removal and natural resource damages. Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$5 million for vessels carrying a hazardous substance as cargo and the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$0.5 million for any other vessel. These OPA and CERCLA limits of liability do not apply if an incident was directly caused by violation of applicable U.S. federal safety, construction or operating regulations or by a responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct, or if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with oil removal activities.

We currently maintain pollution liability coverage insurance in the amount of \$1 billion per incident for each of our vessels. If the damages from a catastrophic spill were to exceed our insurance coverage it could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operation.

OPA also requires owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet their potential liabilities under OPA and CERCLA. On October 17, 2008, the U.S. Coast Guard regulatory requirements under OPA and CERCLA were amended to require evidence of financial responsibility in amounts that reflect the higher limits of liability imposed by the 2006 amendments to OPA, as described above. The increased amounts became effective on January 15, 2009. Under the regulations, vessel

owners and operators may evidence their financial responsibility by showing proof of insurance, surety bond, self-insurance or guaranty. Under OPA, an owner or operator of a fleet of vessels is required only to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility in an amount sufficient to cover the vessels in the fleet having the greatest maximum liability under OPA.

In response to the fire and explosion that took place on the Deepwater Horizon in the Gulf of Mexico in April 2010, the U.S. Congress is currently considering a number of bills that could potentially modify or eliminate the limits of liability under OPA. Compliance with any new requirements of OPA may substantially impact our cost of operations or require us to incur additional expenses to comply with any new regulatory initiatives or statutes. Additional legislation or regulations applicable to the operation of our vessels that may be implemented in the future could adversely affect our business.

The U.S. Coast Guard's regulations concerning certificates of financial responsibility provide, in accordance with OPA, that claimants may bring suit directly against an insurer or guarantor that furnishes certificates of financial responsibility. In the event that such insurer or guarantor is sued directly, it is prohibited from asserting any contractual defense that it may have had against the responsible party and is limited to asserting those defenses available to the responsible party and the defense that the incident was caused by the willful misconduct of the responsible party. Certain organizations, which had typically provided certificates of financial responsibility under pre-OPA laws, including the major protection and indemnity organizations, have declined to furnish evidence of insurance for vessel owners and operators if they are subject to direct actions or are required to waive insurance policy defenses.

The U.S. Coast Guard's financial responsibility regulations may also be satisfied by evidence of surety bond, guaranty or by self-insurance. Under the self-insurance provisions, the ship owner or operator must have a net worth and working capital, measured in assets located in the United States against liabilities located anywhere in the world, that exceeds the applicable amount of financial responsibility. We have complied with the U.S. Coast Guard regulations by providing a certificate of responsibility from third party entities that are acceptable to the U.S. Coast Guard evidencing sufficient self-insurance.

OPA specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for oil spills. In some cases, states, which have enacted such legislation, have not yet issued implementing regulations defining vessels owners' responsibilities under these laws. We intend to comply with all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all applicable existing state requirements. In addition, we intend to comply with all future applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

Other Environmental Initiatives

The U.S. Clean Water Act, or CWA, prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in U.S. navigable waters unless authorized by a duly-issued permit or exemption, and imposes strict liability in the form of penalties for any unauthorized discharges. The CWA also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages and complements the remedies available under OPA and CERCLA. In addition, most U.S. states that border a navigable waterway have enacted environmental pollution laws that impose strict liability on a person for removal costs and damages resulting from a discharge of oil or a release of a hazardous substance. These laws may be more stringent than U.S. federal law.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, historically exempted the discharge of ballast water and other substances incidental to the normal operation of vessels in U.S. waters from CWA permitting requirements. However, on March 31, 2005, a U.S. District Court ruled that the EPA exceeded its authority in creating an exemption for ballast water. On September 18, 2006, the court issued an order invalidating the exemption in the EPA's regulations for all discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel as of September 30, 2008, and directed the EPA to develop a system for regulating all discharges from vessels by that date. The District Court's decision was affirmed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on July 23, 2008. The Ninth Circuit's ruling meant that owners and operators of vessels traveling in U.S. waters would soon be required to comply with the CWA permitting program to be developed by the EPA or face penalties.

In response to the invalidation and removal of the EPA's vessel exemption by the Ninth Circuit, the EPA has enacted rules governing the regulation of ballast water discharges and other discharges incidental to the normal operation of vessels within U.S. waters. Under the new rules, which took effect February 6, 2009, commercial vessels 79 feet in

length or longer (other than commercial fishing vessels), or Regulated Vessels, are required to obtain a CWA permit regulating and authorizing such normal discharges. This permit, which the EPA has designated as the Vessel General Permit for Discharges Incidental to the Normal Operation of Vessels, or VGP, incorporates the current U.S. Coast Guard requirements for ballast water management as well as supplemental ballast water requirements, and includes limits applicable to 26 specific discharge streams, such as deck runoff, bilge water and gray water.

For each discharge type, among other things, the VGP establishes effluent limits pertaining to the constituents found in the effluent, including best management practices, or BMPs, designed to decrease the amount of constituents entering the waste stream. Unlike land-based discharges, which are deemed acceptable by meeting certain EPA-imposed numerical effluent limits, each of the 26 VGP discharge limits is deemed to be met when a Regulated Vessel carries out the BMPs pertinent to that specific discharge stream. The VGP imposes additional requirements on certain Regulated Vessel types that emit discharges unique to those vessels. Administrative provisions, such as inspection, monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting requirements are also included for all Regulated Vessels.

In addition, pursuant to §401 of the CWA which requires each state to certify federal discharge permits such as the VGP, certain states have enacted additional discharge standards as conditions to their certification of the VGP. These local standards bring the VGP into compliance with more stringent state requirements, such as those further restricting ballast water discharges and preventing the introduction of non-indigenous species considered to be invasive. The VGP and its state-specific regulations and any similar restrictions enacted in the future will increase the costs of operating in the relevant waters.

The U.S. Clean Air Act of 1970, as amended by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 and 1990, or the CAA, requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. Our vessels are subject to vapor control and recovery requirements for certain cargoes when loading, unloading, ballasting, cleaning and conducting other operations in regulated port areas. Our vessels that operate in such port areas with restricted cargoes are equipped with vapor recovery systems that satisfy these requirements. The CAA also requires states to draft State Implementation Plans, or SIPs, designed to attain national health-based air quality standards in primarily major metropolitan and/or industrial areas. Several SIPs regulate emissions resulting from vessel loading and unloading operations by requiring the installation of vapor control equipment. As indicated above, our vessels operating in covered port areas are already equipped with vapor recovery systems that satisfy these existing requirements.

As referenced above, the amended Annex VI to the IMO's MARPOL Convention, which addresses air pollution from ships, was ratified by the United States on October 9, 2008 and entered into force domestically on January 8, 2009. The EPA and the state of California, however, have each proposed more stringent regulations of air emissions from ocean-going vessels. On July 24, 2008, the California Air Resources Board of the State of California, or CARB, approved clean-fuel regulations applicable to all vessels sailing within 24 miles of the California coastline whose itineraries call for them to enter any California ports, terminal facilities, or internal or estuarine waters. The new CARB regulations require such vessels to use low sulfur marine fuels rather than bunker fuel. By July 1, 2009, such vessels are required to switch either to marine gas oil with a sulfur content of no more than 1.5% or marine diesel oil with a sulfur content of no more than 0.5%. By 2012, only marine gas oil and marine diesel oil fuels with 0.1% sulfur will be allowed. CARB unilaterally approved the new regulations in spite of legal defeats at both the district and appellate court levels, but more legal challenges are expected to follow. If CARB prevails and the new regulations go into effect as scheduled on July 1, 2009, in the event our vessels were to travel within such waters, these new regulations would require significant expenditures on low-sulfur fuel and would increase our operating costs. Finally, although the more stringent CARB regime was technically superseded when the United States ratified and implemented the amended Annex VI, the possible declaration of various U.S. coastal waters as Emissions Control Areas may in turn bring U.S. emissions standards into line with the new CARB regulations, which would cause us to incur further costs.

The U.S. National Invasive Species Act, or NISA, was enacted in 1996 in response to growing reports of harmful organisms being released into U.S. ports through ballast water taken on by ships in foreign ports. NISA established a ballast water management program for ships entering U.S. waters. Under NISA, mid-ocean ballast water exchange is voluntary, except for ships heading to the Great Lakes or Hudson Bay, or vessels engaged in the foreign export of Alaskan North Slope crude oil. However, NISA's reporting and record-keeping requirements are mandatory for

vessels bound for any port in the United States. Although ballast water exchange is the primary means of compliance with the act's guidelines, compliance can also be achieved through the retention of ballast water on board the ship, or the use of environmentally sound alternative ballast water management methods approved by the U.S. Coast Guard. If the mid-ocean ballast exchange is made mandatory throughout the United States, or if water treatment requirements or options are instituted, the cost of compliance could increase for ocean carriers. Although we do not believe that the costs of compliance with a mandatory mid-ocean ballast exchange would be material, it is difficult to predict the overall impact of such a requirement on the dry bulk shipping industry. The U.S. House of Representatives has recently passed a bill that amends NISA by prohibiting the discharge of ballast water unless it has been treated with specified methods or acceptable alternatives. Similar bills have been introduced in the U.S. Senate, but we cannot predict which bill, if any, will be enacted into law. In the absence of federal standards, states have enacted legislation or regulations to address invasive species through ballast water and hull cleaning management and permitting requirements. For instance, the state of California has recently enacted legislation extending its ballast water management program to regulate the management of "hull fouling" organisms attached to vessels and adopted regulations limiting the number of organisms in ballast water discharges. In addition, in November 2008 the Sixth Circuit affirmed a District Court's dismissal of challenges to the state of Michigan's ballast water management legislation mandating the use of various techniques for ballast water treatment. Other states may proceed with the enactment of similar requirements that could increase the costs of operating in state waters.

Our operations occasionally generate and require the transportation, treatment and disposal of both hazardous and non-hazardous solid wastes that are subject to the requirements of the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act or comparable state, local or foreign requirements. In addition, from time to time we arrange for the disposal of hazardous waste or hazardous substances at offsite disposal facilities. If such materials are improperly disposed of by third parties, we may still be held liable for cleanup costs under applicable laws.

European Union Regulations

In 2005, the European Union adopted a directive on ship-source pollution, imposing criminal sanctions for intentional, reckless or negligent pollution discharges by ships. The directive could result in criminal liability for pollution from vessels in waters of European countries that adopt implementing legislation. Criminal liability for pollution may result in substantial penalties or fines and increased civil liability claims.

Greenhouse Gas Regulation

In February 2005, the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, or UNFCCC, which we refer to as the Kyoto Protocol, entered into force. Pursuant to the Kyoto Protocol, adopting countries are required to implement national programs to reduce emissions of certain gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases, which are suspected of contributing to global warming. Currently, the emissions of greenhouse gases from international shipping are not subject to the Kyoto Protocol. However, international negotiations are continuing with respect to a successor to the Kyoto Protocol, which sets emission reduction targets through 2012, and restrictions on shipping emissions may be included in any new treaty. In December 2009, more than 27 nations, including the United States and China, signed the Copenhagen Accord, which includes a non-binding commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, the European Union had indicated that it intended to propose an expansion of the existing European Union emissions trading scheme to include emissions of greenhouse gases from marine vessels, if such emissions were not regulated through the IMO (or the UNFCCC) by December 31, 2010, which did not occur.

In the United States, the EPA has issued a final finding that greenhouse gases threaten public health and safety, and has promulgated regulations governing the emission of greenhouse gases from motor vehicles. The EPA may decide in the future to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ships and has already been petitioned by the California Attorney General and a coalition of environmental groups to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going vessels. Other federal and state regulations relating to the control of greenhouse gas emissions may follow, including the climate change initiatives that are being considered in the U.S. Congress. In addition, the IMO is evaluating various mandatory measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from international shipping, including marketbased instruments. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the EU, U.S., IMO or other countries where we operate that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases could require us to make significant financial expenditures that we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

Vessel Security Regulations

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the U.S. Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, or the MTSA came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter became effective in July 2004 and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the International Ship and Port Facilities Security Code, or the ISPS Code. The ISPS Code is designed to protect ports and international shipping against terrorism. After July 1, 2004, to trade internationally, a vessel must attain an International Ship Security

Certificate from a recognized security organization approved by the vessel's flag state. Among the various requirements are:

18

- on-board installation of automatic identification systems to provide a means for the automatic transmission of safety-related information from among similarly equipped ships and shore stations, including information on a ship's identity, position, course, speed and navigational status;
- on-board installation of ship security alert systems, which do not sound on the vessel but only alert the authorities on shore;
- the development of vessel security plans;
- ship identification number to be permanently marked on a vessel's hull;
- a continuous synopsis record kept onboard showing a vessel's history including the name of the ship and of the state whose flag the ship is entitled to fly, the date on which the ship was registered with that state, the ship's identification number, the port at which the ship is registered and the name of the registered owner(s) and their registered address; and
- compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to align with international maritime security standards, exempt from MTSA vessel security measures non-U.S. vessels that have on board, as of July 1, 2004, a valid International Ship Security Certificate attesting to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. Our managers intend to implement the various security measures addressed by MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code, and we intend that our fleet will comply with applicable security requirements. We have implemented the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code.

SOLAS and the ISPS Code, and we intend that our fleet will comply with applicable security requirements. We have implemented the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code.

Inspection by Classification Societies

Every oceangoing vessel must be "classed" by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel is "in class," signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel's country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

The classification society also undertakes on request other surveys and checks that are required by regulations and requirements of the flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case and/or to the regulations of the country concerned.

For maintenance of the class certification, regular and extraordinary surveys of hull, machinery, including the electrical plant, and any special equipment classed are required to be performed as follows:

- Annual Surveys. For seagoing ships, annual surveys are conducted for the hull and the machinery, including the electrical plant and where applicable for special equipment classed, at intervals of 12 months from the date of commencement of the class period indicated in the certificate.

- **Intermediate Surveys.** Extended annual surveys are referred to as intermediate surveys and typically are conducted two and one-half years after commissioning and each class renewal. Intermediate surveys may be carried out on the occasion of the second or third annual survey.
- **Class Renewal Surveys.** Class renewal surveys, also known as special surveys, are carried out for the ship's hull, machinery, including the electrical plant, and for any special equipment classed, at the intervals indicated by the character of classification for the hull. At the special survey the vessel is thoroughly examined, including audio-gauging to determine the thickness of the steel structures. Should the thickness be found to be less than class requirements, the classification society would prescribe steel renewals. The classification society may grant a one-year grace period for completion of the special survey. Substantial amounts of money may have to be spent for steel renewals to pass a special survey if the vessel experiences excessive wear and tear. In lieu of the special survey every four or five years, depending on whether a grace period was granted, a ship owner has the option of arranging with the classification society for the vessel's hull or machinery to be on a continuous survey cycle, in which every part of the vessel would be surveyed within a five-year cycle. At an owner's application, the surveys required for class renewal may be split according to an agreed schedule to extend over the entire period of class. This process is referred to as continuous class renewal.

All areas subject to survey as defined by the classification society are required to be surveyed at least once per class period, unless shorter intervals between surveys are prescribed elsewhere. The period between two subsequent surveys of each area must not exceed five years. Vessels under five years of age can waive drydocking in order to increase available days and decrease capital expenditures, provided that the vessel is inspected underwater.

Most vessels are also drydocked every 30 to 36 months for inspection of the underwater parts and for repairs related to inspections. If any defects are found, the classification surveyor will issue a "recommendation" which must be rectified by the ship owner within prescribed time limits.

Most insurance underwriters make it a condition for insurance coverage that a vessel be certified as "in class" by a classification society which is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies, or IACS. All our vessels that we have purchased and may agree to purchase in the future must be certified as being "in class" prior to their delivery under our standard purchase contracts and memorandum of agreement. If the vessel is not certified on the date of closing, we have no obligation to take delivery of the vessel. We have all of our vessels, and intend to have all vessels that we acquire in the future, classed by IACS members.

Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance

General

The operation of any dry bulk vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills (e.g. fuel oil) and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. OPA, which imposes virtually unlimited liability upon owners, operators and demise charterers of vessels trading in the United States exclusive economic zone for certain oil pollution accidents in the United States, has made liability insurance more expensive for ship owners and operators trading in the United States market.

While we maintain hull and machinery insurance, war risks insurance, loss of hire, protection and indemnity cover and freight, demurrage and defense cover for our operating fleet in amounts that we believe to be prudent to cover normal risks in our operations, we may not be able to achieve or maintain this level of coverage throughout a vessel's useful life. Furthermore, while we believe that our current insurance coverage is adequate, not all risks can be insured, and there can be no guarantee that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates.

Hull & Machinery and War Risks Insurance

We maintain marine hull and machinery, war risks insurances, and loss of hire insurance, which cover the risk of actual or constructive total loss for all of our vessels. Our vessels are each covered up to at least their fair market value with a deductible of \$75,000 per vessel per incident.

Protection and Indemnity Insurance

Protection and indemnity insurance is provided by mutual protection and indemnity associations, or P&I Associations, which insure our third party liabilities in connection with our shipping activities. This includes third-party liability and other related expenses resulting from the injury, illness or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution

arising from oil or other substances and salvage, towing and other related costs, including wreck removal. Protection and indemnity insurance is a form of mutual indemnity insurance, extended by protection and indemnity mutual associations, or "clubs." Subject to the "capping" discussed below, our coverage, except for pollution, is unlimited.

Our current protection and indemnity insurance coverage for pollution is \$1 billion per vessel per incident. The 13 P&I Associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. As a member of P&I Associations, which are members of the International Group, an advance call is paid to reflect the associations' contribution to the International Group pool, taking into account the historical record of that association within the pool, the premium income of that association as a percentage of the total premium income of all associations in the pool, the aggregate cost of all pool claims and the cost to the International Group of putting the reinsurance program into place. We may also be subject to additional or unbudgeted calls payable to an association should it be deemed that such measures are necessary to maintain adequate levels of capitalization or "free reserves".

Competition

We compete with a large number of international dry bulk fleets. The international shipping industry is highly competitive and fragmented with many market participants. There are approximately 8,167 dry bulk carriers aggregating approximately 540 million dwt, and the ownership of the world dry bulk fleet remains very fragmented with no single owner accounting for more than 6% of any one sector. We primarily compete with other owners of dry bulk vessels in the Handymax class that are mainly privately owned fleets.

Competition in the ocean shipping industry varies primarily according to the nature of the contractual relationship as well as with respect to the kind of commodity being shipped. Our business will fluctuate in line with the main patterns of trade of dry bulk cargoes and varies according to changes in the supply and demand for these items. Competition in virtually all bulk trades is intense and based primarily on supply and demand. We compete for charters on the basis of price, vessel location, size, age and condition of the vessel, as well as on our reputation as an owner and operator. Increasingly, major customers are demonstrating a preference for modern vessels based on concerns about the environmental and operational risks associated with older vessels. Consequently, owners of large modern fleets have gained a competitive advantage over owners of older fleets.

As in the spot market, the time charter market is price sensitive and also depends on our ability to demonstrate the high quality of our vessels and operations to chartering customers. However, because of the longer term commitment, customers entering time charters are more concerned about their exposure and image from chartering vessels that do not comply with environmental regulations or that will be forced out of service for extensive maintenance and repairs. Consequently, in the time charter market, factors such as the age and quality of a vessel and the reputation of the owner and operator tend to be more significant than in the spot market in competing for business.

Value of Assets and Cash Requirements

The replacement costs of comparable new vessels may be above or below the book value of our fleet. The market value of our fleet may be below book value when market conditions are weak and exceed book value when markets are strong. In common with other shipowners, we may consider asset redeployment which at times may include the sale of vessels at less than their book value.

The Company's results of operations and cash flow may be significantly affected by future charter and COA markets.

Exchange Controls

Under Marshall Islands law, there are currently no restrictions on the export or import of capital, including foreign exchange controls or restrictions that affect the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident holders of our common stock.

Tax Considerations

The following is a discussion of the material Marshall Islands and United States federal income tax considerations relevant to owning common stock by a United States Holder or a non-United States Holder, each as defined below. This discussion does not purport to deal with the tax consequences of owning the common stock to all categories of investors, some of which (such as financial institutions, regulated investment companies, real estate investment trusts, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, persons holding our common stock as part of a hedging, integrated, conversion or constructive sale transaction or a straddle, traders in securities that have elected the mark-to-market method of accounting for their securities, persons liable for alternative minimum tax, persons who are investors in pass-through entities, dealers in securities or currencies, persons who own 10% or more of our common stock and investors whose functional currency is not the United States dollar) may be subject to special rules. This discussion deals only with holders who own the common stock as a capital asset. Shareholders are encouraged to consult their own tax advisors concerning the overall tax consequences arising in their own particular situation under United States federal, state, local or foreign law of the ownership of our common stock.

Marshall Islands Tax Considerations

In the opinion of Seward & Kissel LLP, the following are the material Marshall Islands tax consequences of our activities to us and shareholders of our common stock. We are incorporated in the Marshall Islands. Under current Marshall Islands law, we are not subject to tax on income or capital gains, and no Marshall Islands withholding tax will be imposed upon payments of dividends by us to our shareholders.

United States Federal Income Tax Considerations

In the opinion of Seward & Kissel LLP, our United States counsel, the following are the material United States federal income tax consequences to us of our activities and to United States Holders and to Non-United States Holders of our common stock. The following discussion of United States federal income tax matters is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, judicial decisions, administrative pronouncements, and existing and proposed regulations issued by the United States Department of the Treasury, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. In addition, the discussion below is based, in part, on the description of our business as described in "Business" in this annual report and assumes that we conduct our business as described in that section.

We have made, or will make, special United States federal income tax elections in respect of each of our ship owning or operating subsidiaries that is potentially subject to tax as a result of deriving income attributable to the transportation of cargoes to or from the United States. The effect of the special U.S. tax elections is to ignore or disregard the subsidiaries for which elections have been made as separate taxable entities and to treat them as part of their parent, the "Company." Therefore, for purposes of the following discussion, the Company, and not the subsidiaries subject to this special election, will be treated as the owner and operator of the vessels and as receiving the income therefrom.

United States Federal Income Taxation of Our Company

Taxation of Operating Income: In General

The Company currently earns, and we anticipate that the Company will continue to earn, substantially all its income from the hiring or leasing of vessels for use on a time or voyage charter basis or from the performance of services directly related to those uses, all of which we refer to as "shipping income."

Unless exempt from United States federal income taxation under the rules of Section 883 of the Code, or Section 883, as discussed below, a foreign corporation such as ourselves will be subject to United States federal income taxation on its "shipping income" that is treated as derived from sources within the United States, to which we refer as "United States source shipping income." For tax purposes, "United States source shipping income" includes 50% of shipping income that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States.

Shipping income attributable to transportation exclusively between non-United States ports will be considered to be 100% derived from sources outside the United States. Shipping income derived from sources outside the United States will not be subject to any United States federal income tax.

Shipping income attributable to transportation exclusively between United States ports is considered to be 100% derived from United States sources. However, the Company is not permitted by United States law to engage in the transportation of cargoes that produces 100% United States source income.

Unless exempt from tax under Section 883, the Company's gross United States source shipping income would be subject to a 4% tax imposed without allowance for deductions as described below.

Exemption of Operating Income from United States Federal Income Taxation

Under Section 883 and the regulations thereunder, a foreign corporation will be exempt from United States federal income taxation on its United States source shipping income if:

- (1) it is organized in a qualified foreign country, which is one that grants an "equivalent exemption" from tax to corporations organized in the United States in respect of each category of shipping income for which exemption is being claimed under Section 883 and to which we refer as the "Country of Organization Test"; and
- (2) one of the following tests is met:
 - (A) more than 50% of the value of its shares is beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by qualified shareholders, which as defined includes individuals who are "residents" of a qualified foreign country, to which we refer as the "50% Ownership Test";
 - (B) its shares are "primarily and regularly traded on an established securities market" in a qualified foreign country or in the United States, to which we refer as the "Publicly-Traded Test"; or
 - (C) it is a "controlled foreign corporation" and satisfies an ownership test, to which, collectively, we refer as the "CFC Test."

The Republic of the Marshall Islands, the jurisdiction where the Company is incorporated, has been officially recognized by the IRS as a qualified foreign country that grants the requisite "equivalent exemption" from tax in respect of each category of shipping income the Company earns and currently expects to earn in the future. Therefore, the Company will be exempt from United States federal income taxation with respect to its United States source shipping income if it satisfies any one of the 50% Ownership Test, the Publicly-Traded Test, or the CFC Test.

We believe that we currently satisfy the Publicly-Traded Test, as discussed in more detail below. The Company does not currently anticipate a circumstance under which it would be able to satisfy the 50% Ownership Test or the CFC Test.

Publicly-Traded Test

The regulations under Section 883 provide, in pertinent part, that shares of a foreign corporation will be considered to be "primarily traded" on an established securities market in a country if the number of shares of each class of shares that are traded during any taxable year on all established securities markets in that country exceeds the number of shares in each such class that are traded during that year on established securities markets in any other single country. The Company's common stock, which is its sole class of issued and outstanding shares, are "primarily traded" on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Under the regulations, the Company's common stock will be considered to be "regularly traded" on an established securities market if one or more classes of its shares representing more than 50% of its outstanding shares, by both total combined voting power of all classes of shares entitled to vote and total value, are listed on such market, to which we refer as the "listing threshold." Since our common stock, which is our sole class of issued and outstanding shares, is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, we believe that we satisfy the listing threshold.

It is further required that with respect to each class of shares relied upon to meet the listing threshold, (i) such class of shares is traded on the market, other than in minimal quantities, on at least 60 days during the taxable year or one-sixth of the days in a short taxable year; and (ii) the aggregate number of shares of such class of shares traded on such market during the taxable year is at least 10% of the average number of shares of such class of shares outstanding during such year or as appropriately adjusted in the case of a short taxable year. We believe the Company will satisfy the trading frequency and trading volume tests. Even if this were not the case, the regulations provide that the trading frequency and trading volume tests will be deemed satisfied if, as is the case with the Company's common stock, such class of shares is traded on an established market in the United States and such shares are regularly quoted by dealers making a market in such shares.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the regulations provide, in pertinent part, that a class of shares will not be considered to be "regularly traded" on an established securities market for any taxable year in which 50% or more of the vote and value of the outstanding shares of such class are owned, actually or constructively under specified share attribution rules, on more than half the days during the taxable year by persons who each own 5% or more of the vote and value of such class of outstanding shares, to which we refer as the "5 Percent Override Rule."

For purposes of being able to determine the persons who actually or constructively own 5% or more of the vote and value of the Company's common stock, or "5% Shareholders," the regulations permit the Company to rely on those persons that are identified on Schedule 13G and Schedule 13D filings with the Commission, as owning 5% or more of the Company's common stock. The regulations further provide that an investment company which is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, will not be treated as a 5% Shareholder for such purposes.

In the event the 5 Percent Override Rule is triggered, the regulations provide that the 5 Percent Override Rule will nevertheless not apply if the Company can establish that within the group of 5% Shareholders, there are sufficient qualified shareholders for purposes of Section 883 to preclude non-qualified shareholders in such group from owning 50% or more of the Company's common stock for more than half the number of days during the taxable year, which we refer to as the "5 Percent Override Exception."

The Company does not believe that it is currently subject to the 5 Percent Override Rule. Therefore, the Company believes that it currently qualifies for the Publicly-Traded Test. However, there is no assurance that the Company will continue to satisfy the Publicly-Traded Test. For example, the Company's shareholders could change in the future, and thus the Company could become subject to the 5 Percent Override Rule.

Taxation in Absence of Section 883 Exemption

If the benefits of Section 883 are unavailable, the Company's United States source shipping income would be subject to a 4% tax imposed by Section 887 of the Code on a gross basis, without the benefit of deductions, to the extent that such income is not considered to be "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business, as described below. Since under the sourcing rules described above, no more than 50% of the Company's shipping income would be treated as being United States source shipping income, the maximum effective rate of United States federal income tax on our shipping income would never exceed 2% under the 4% gross basis tax regime. Based on the current operation of our vessels, if we were subject to 4% gross basis tax, our United States federal income tax liability would be approximately \$1,200,000, \$900,000 and \$1,400,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. However, we can give no assurance that the operation of our vessels, which are under the control of third party charterers, will not change such that our United States federal income tax liability would be substantially higher.

To the extent the Company's United States source shipping income is considered to be "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business, as described below, any such "effectively connected" United States

source shipping income, net of applicable deductions, would be subject to United States federal income tax, currently imposed at rates of up to 35%. In addition, the Company may be subject to the 30% "branch profits" tax on earnings effectively connected with the conduct of such trade or business, as determined after allowance for certain adjustments, and on certain interest paid or deemed paid attributable to the conduct of the Company's United States trade or business.

The Company's United States source shipping income would be considered "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business only if:

- the Company has, or is considered to have, a fixed place of business in the United States involved in the earning of United States source shipping income; and

- substantially all of the Company's United States source shipping income is attributable to regularly scheduled transportation, such as the operation of a vessel that follows a published schedule with repeated sailings at regular intervals between the same points for voyages that begin or end in the United States.

The Company does not intend to have, or permit circumstances that would result in having, any vessel sailing to or from the United States on a regularly scheduled basis. Based on the foregoing and on the expected mode of the Company's shipping operations and other activities, we believe that none of the Company's United States source shipping income will be "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business.

United States Taxation of Gain on Sale of Vessels

If the Company qualifies for exemption from tax under Section 883 in respect of the shipping income derived from the international operation of its vessels, then gain from the sale of any such vessel should likewise be exempt from tax under Section 883. If, however, the Company's shipping income from such vessels does not for whatever reason qualify for exemption under Section 883 and assuming that any decision on a vessel sale is made from and attributable to the United States office of the Company, as we believe likely to be the case as the Company is currently structured, then any gain derived from the sale of any such vessel will be treated as derived from United States sources and subject to United States federal income tax as "effectively connected" income (determined under rules different from those discussed above) under the above described net income tax regime.

United States Federal Income Taxation of United States Holders

As used herein, the term "United States Holder" means a beneficial owner of common stock that is an individual United States citizen or resident, a United States corporation or other United States entity taxable as a corporation, an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source, or a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary jurisdiction over the administration of the trust and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

If a partnership holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding our common stock, you are encouraged to consult your tax advisor.

Distributions

Subject to the discussion of passive foreign investment companies below, any distributions made by the Company with respect to its common stock to a United States Holder will generally constitute dividends to the extent of the Company's current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under United States federal income tax principles. Distributions in excess of such earnings and profits will be treated first as a nontaxable return of capital to the extent of the United States Holder's tax basis in his common stock on a dollar-for-dollar basis and thereafter as capital gain. Because the Company is not a United States corporation, United States Holders that are corporations will not be entitled to claim a dividends received deduction with respect to any distributions they receive from us. Dividends paid with respect to the Company's common stock will generally be treated as "passive category income" for purposes of computing allowable foreign tax credits for United States foreign tax credit purposes.

Dividends paid on the Company's common stock to a United States Holder who is an individual, trust or estate (a "United States Non-Corporate Holder") will generally be treated as "qualified dividend income" that is taxable to such United States Non-Corporate Holder at preferential tax rates (through 2012) provided that (1) the common stock is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (such as the Nasdaq Global Select Market on

which the Company's common stock is traded); (2) the Company is not a passive foreign investment company for the taxable year during which the dividend is paid or the immediately preceding taxable year (which we do not believe we have been, are or will be); (3) the United States Non-Corporate Holder has owned the common stock for more than 60 days in the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the date on which the common stock becomes ex-dividend; and (4) the United States Non-Corporate Holder is not under an obligation to make related payments with respect to positions in substantially similar or related property.

There is no assurance that any dividends paid on the Company's common stock will be eligible for these preferential rates in the hands of a United States Non-Corporate Holder, although we believe that they will be so eligible. Legislation has been previously introduced in the U.S. Congress which, if enacted in its present form, would preclude our dividends from qualifying for such preferential rates prospectively from the date of enactment. Any dividends out of earnings, and profits the Company pays, which are not eligible for these preferential rates will be taxed as ordinary income to a United States Non-Corporate Holder.

Special rules may apply to any "extraordinary dividend"—generally, a dividend in an amount which is equal to or in excess of 10% of a shareholder's adjusted basis in a common share—paid by the Company. If the Company pays an "extraordinary dividend" on its common stock that is treated as "qualified dividend income," then any loss derived by a United States Non-Corporate Holder from the sale or exchange of such common stock will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of such dividend.

Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Common Stock

Assuming the Company does not constitute a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, a United States Holder generally will recognize taxable gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of the Company's common stock in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized by the United States Holder from such sale, exchange or other disposition and the United States Holder's tax basis in such stock. Such gain or loss will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the United States Holder's holding period is greater than one year at the time of the sale, exchange or other disposition. Such capital gain or loss will generally be treated as United States source income or loss, as applicable, for United States foreign tax credit purposes. Long-term capital gains of United States Non-Corporate Holders are currently eligible for reduced rates of taxation. A United States Holder's ability to deduct capital losses is subject to certain limitations.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Status and Significant Tax Consequences

Special United States federal income tax rules apply to a United States Holder that holds shares in a foreign corporation classified as a "passive foreign investment company" for United States federal income tax purposes. In general, the Company will be treated as a passive foreign investment company with respect to a United States Holder if, for any taxable year in which such holder holds the Company's common stock, either:

- at least 75% of our gross income for such taxable year consists of passive income (e.g., dividends, interest, capital gains and rents derived other than in the active conduct of a rental business); or
- at least 50% of the average value of our assets during such taxable year produce, or are held for the production of, passive income.

Income earned, or deemed earned, by the Company in connection with the performance of services would not constitute passive income. By contrast, rental income would generally constitute "passive income" unless the Company was treated under specific rules as deriving its rental income in the active conduct of a trade or business.

Based on the Company's current operations and future projections, we do not believe that the Company has been or is, nor do we expect the Company to become, a passive foreign investment company with respect to any taxable year. Although there is no legal authority directly on point, our belief is based principally on the position that, for purposes of determining whether the Company is a passive foreign investment company, the gross income it derives from its time chartering and voyage chartering activities should constitute services income, rather than rental income. Accordingly, such income should not constitute passive income, and the assets that the Company owns and operates in

connection with the production of such income, in particular, the vessels, should not constitute passive assets for purposes of determining whether the Company is a passive foreign investment company. We believe there is substantial legal authority supporting our position consisting of case law and IRS pronouncements concerning the characterization of income derived from time charters and voyage charters as services income for other tax purposes. However, there is also authority which characterizes time charter income as rental income rather than services income for other tax purposes. In addition, we have obtained an opinion from our counsel, Seward & Kissel LLP, that, based upon the Company's operations as described herein, its income from time charters and voyage charters should not be treated as passive income for purposes of determining whether it is a passive foreign investment company. However, in the absence of any legal authority specifically relating to the statutory provisions governing passive foreign investment companies, the IRS or a court could disagree with our position. In addition, although the Company intends to conduct its affairs in a manner to avoid being classified as a passive foreign investment company with respect to any taxable year, we cannot assure you that the nature of its operations will not change in the future.

As discussed more fully below, if the Company were to be treated as a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, a United States Holder would be subject to different taxation rules depending on whether the United States Holder makes an election to treat the Company as a "Qualified Electing Fund," which election we refer to as a "QEF election." As an alternative to making a QEF election, a United States Holder should be able to make a "mark-to-market" election with respect to the Company's common stock, as discussed below. In addition, if we were to be treated as a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year after 2010, a U.S. holder would be required to file an annual report with the Internal Revenue Services for that year with respect to such holder's common stock.

Taxation of United States Holders Making a Timely QEF Election

If a United States Holder makes a timely QEF election, which United States Holder we refer to as an "Electing Holder," the Electing Holder must report for United States federal income tax purposes its pro rata share of the Company's ordinary earnings and net capital gain, if any, for each taxable year of the Company for which it is a passive foreign investment company that ends with or within the taxable year of the Electing Holder, regardless of whether or not distributions were received from the Company by the Electing Holder. No portion of any such inclusions of ordinary earnings will be treated as "qualified dividend income." Net capital gain inclusions of United States Non-Corporate Holders would be eligible for preferential capital gains tax rates. The Electing Holder's adjusted tax basis in the common stock will be increased to reflect taxed but undistributed earnings and profits. Distributions of earnings and profits that had been previously taxed will result in a corresponding reduction in the adjusted tax basis in the common stock and will not be taxed again once distributed. An Electing Holder would not, however, be entitled to a deduction for its pro rata share of any losses that the Company incurs with respect to any year. An Electing Holder would generally recognize capital gain or loss on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the Company's common stock. A United States Holder would make a timely QEF election for shares of the Company by filing one copy of IRS Form 8621 with his United States federal income tax return for the first year in which he held such shares when the Company was a passive foreign investment company. If the Company were to be treated as a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, the Company would provide each United States Holder with all necessary information in order to make the QEF election described above.

Taxation of United States Holders Making a "Mark-to-Market" Election

Alternatively, if the Company were to be treated as a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year and, as we anticipate, its shares are treated as "marketable stock", a United States Holder would be allowed to make a "mark-to-market" election with respect to the Company's common stock, provided the United States Holder completes and files IRS Form 8621 in accordance with the relevant instructions and related Treasury regulations. If that election is made, the United States Holder generally would include as ordinary income in each taxable year the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the common stock at the end of the taxable year over such holder's adjusted tax basis in the common stock. The United States Holder would also be permitted an ordinary loss in respect of the excess, if any, of the United States Holder's adjusted tax basis in the common stock over its fair market value at the end of the taxable year, but only to the extent of the net amount previously included in income as a result of the mark-to-market election. A United States Holder's tax basis in his common stock would be adjusted to reflect any such income or loss amount. Gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the Company's common stock would be treated as ordinary income, and any loss realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the common stock would be treated as ordinary loss to the extent that such loss does not exceed the net mark-to-market gains previously included by the United States Holder. No income inclusions under this election will be treated as "qualified dividend income."

Taxation of United States Holders Not Making a Timely QEF or Mark-to-Market Election

Finally, if the Company were to be treated as a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, a United States Holder who does not make either a QEF election or a "mark-to-market" election for that year, whom we refer to as a "Non-Electing Holder," would be subject to special rules with respect to (1) any excess distribution (i.e., the portion of any distributions received by the Non-Electing Holder on the common stock in a taxable year in excess of 125% of the average annual distributions received by the Non-Electing Holder in the three preceding taxable years, or, if shorter, the Non-Electing Holder's holding period for the common stock), and (2) any gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the Company's common stock. Under these special rules:

- the excess distribution or gain would be allocated ratably over the Non-Electing Holder's aggregate holding period for the common stock;
- the amount allocated to the current taxable year, and any taxable year prior to the first taxable year in which the Company was a passive foreign investment company, would be taxed as ordinary income and would not be "qualified dividend income"; and
- the amount allocated to each of the other taxable years would be subject to tax at the highest rate of tax in effect for the applicable class of taxpayer for that year, and an interest charge for the deemed deferral benefit would be imposed with respect to the resulting tax attributable to each such other taxable year.

These special rules would not apply to a qualified pension, profit sharing or other retirement trust or other tax-exempt organization that did not borrow money or otherwise utilize leverage in connection with its acquisition of the Company's common stock. If the Company is a passive foreign investment company and a Non-Electing Holder who is an individual dies while owning the Company's common stock, such holder's successor generally would not receive a step-up in tax basis with respect to such shares.

United States Federal Income Taxation of "Non-United States Holders"

A beneficial owner of common stock (other than a partnership) that is not a United States Holder is referred to herein as a "Non-United States Holder".

If a partnership holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding our common stock, you are encouraged to consult your tax advisor.

Dividends on Common Stock

Non-United States Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on dividends received from the Company with respect to its common stock, unless that income is effectively connected with the Non-United States Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. If the Non-United States Holder is entitled to the benefits of a United States income tax treaty with respect to those dividends, that income is taxable only if it is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-United States Holder in the United States.

Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Common Stock

Non-United States Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on any gain realized upon the sale, exchange or other disposition of the Company's common stock, unless:

- the gain is effectively connected with the Non-United States Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States (and, if the Non-United States Holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with respect to that gain, that gain is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-United States Holder in the United States); or
- the Non-United States Holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year of disposition and other conditions are met.

If the Non-United States Holder is engaged in a United States trade or business for United States federal income tax purposes, the income from the common stock, including dividends and the gain from the sale, exchange or other disposition of the shares, that is effectively connected with the conduct of that trade or business will generally be subject to regular United States federal income tax in the same manner as discussed in the previous section relating to the taxation of United States Holders. In addition, if you are a corporate Non-United States Holder, your earnings and profits that are attributable to the effectively connected income, which are subject to certain adjustments, may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a rate of 30%, or at a lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

In general, dividend payments, or other taxable distributions, made within the United States to you will be subject to information reporting requirements if you are a non-corporate United States Holder. Such payments or distributions may also be subject to backup withholding tax if you are a non-corporate United States Holder and you:

- fail to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number;
- are notified by the IRS that you have failed to report all interest or dividends required to be shown on your federal income tax returns; or
- in certain circumstances, fail to comply with applicable certification requirements.

Non-United States Holders may be required to establish their exemption from information reporting and backup withholding by certifying their status on IRS Form W-8BEN, W-8ECI or W-8IMY, as applicable.

If you are a Non-United States Holder and you sell your common stock to or through a United States office of a broker, the payment of the proceeds is subject to both United States backup withholding and information reporting unless you certify that you are a non-United States person, under penalties of perjury, or you otherwise establish an exemption. If you sell your common stock through a non-United States office of a non-United States broker and the sales proceeds are paid to you outside the United States, then information reporting and backup withholding generally will not apply to that payment. However, United States information reporting requirements, but not backup withholding, will apply to a payment of sales proceeds, even if that payment is made to you outside the United States, if you sell your common stock through a non-United States office of a broker that is a United States person or has some other contacts with the United States. Such information reporting requirements will not apply, however, if the broker has documentary evidence in its records that you are a non-United States person and certain other conditions are met, or you otherwise establish an exemption.

Backup withholding tax is not an additional tax. Rather, you generally may obtain a refund of any amounts withheld under backup withholding rules that exceed your income tax liability by filing a refund claim with the IRS.

GLOSSARY OF SHIPPING TERMS

Following are definitions of shipping terms used in this Form 10-K.

Annual Survey—The inspection of a vessel by a classification society, on behalf of a flag state, that takes place every year.

Baltic Dry Index (BDI)—The BDI is an index published by the Baltic Exchange which tracks worldwide international shipping prices of various dry bulk cargoes. The index provides an assessment of the price for moving major raw materials by sea and is composed of 20 key shipping routes.

Baltic Exchange—Based in London, the Baltic Exchange is a market for the trading and settlement of shipping and freight contracts. The exchange publishes daily freight market prices and maritime shipping cost indices, including: Baltic Dry Index (BDI), Baltic Supramax Index (BSI), Baltic Panamax Index (BPI), Baltic Capesize Index (BCI), Baltic Tanker Dirty Index (BDTI), and Baltic Tanker Clean Index (BCTI).

Baltic Supramax Index (BSI)—The BSI is an index published by the Baltic Exchange which tracks worldwide international shipping prices of various dry bulk cargoes carried specifically by the Supramax class of vessels.

Bareboat Charter—Also known as "demise charter." Contract or hire of a ship under which the shipowner is usually paid a fixed amount of charter hire rate for a certain period of time during which the charterer is responsible for the operating costs and voyage costs of the vessel as well as arranging for crewing.

Bulk Vessels/Carriers—Vessels which are specially designed and built to carry large volumes of cargo in bulk cargo form.

Bunkers—Heavy fuel oil used to power a vessel's engines.

Capesize—A dry bulk carrier in excess of 100,000 dwt.

Charter—The hire of a vessel for a specified period of time or to carry a cargo for a fixed fee from a loading port to a discharging port. The contract for a charter is called a charterparty.

Charterer—The individual or company hiring a vessel.

Charter Hire Rate—A sum of money paid to the vessel owner by a charterer under a time charterparty for the use of a vessel.

Classification Society—An independent organization which certifies that a vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of such organization and complies with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of such vessel and the international conventions of which that country is a member.

Contract of Affreightment or COA—An agreement providing for the transportation between specified points for a specific quantity of cargo over a specific time period but without designating specific vessels or voyage schedules, thereby allowing flexibility in scheduling since no vessel designation is required. COAs can either have a fixed rate or a market-related rate.

Deadweight Ton—"dwt"—A unit of a vessel's capacity for cargo, fuel oil, stores and crew, measured in metric tons of 1,000 kilograms. A vessel's DWT or total deadweight is the total weight the vessel can carry when loaded to a particular load line.

Demise Charter—See bareboat charter.

Demurrage—Additional revenue paid to the shipowner on its Voyage Charters for delays experienced in loading and/or unloading cargo that are not deemed to be the responsibility of the shipowner, calculated in accordance with specific Charter terms.

Despatch—The amount payable by the shipowner if the vessel completes loading or discharging before the laytime has expired, calculated in accordance with specific charter terms.

Draft—Vertical Distance between the waterline and the bottom of the vessel's keel.

Dry Bulk—Non-liquid cargoes of commodities shipped in an unpackaged state.

Drydocking—The removal of a vessel from the water for inspection and/or repair of submerged parts.

Gross Ton—Unit of 100 cubic feet or 2.831 cubic meters used in arriving at the calculation of gross tonnage.

Handymax—A dry bulk carrier of approximately 35,000 to 60,000 dwt.

Handysize—A dry bulk carrier having a carrying capacity of up to approximately 35,000 dwt.

Hull—The shell or body of a vessel.

International Maritime Organization—"IMO"—A United Nations agency that issues international trade standards for shipping.

Intermediate Survey—The inspection of a vessel by a classification society surveyor which takes place between two and three years before and after each Special Survey for such vessel pursuant to the rules of international conventions and classification societies.

ISM Code—The International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and for Pollution Prevention,

as adopted by the IMO.

Metric Ton—A unit of measurement equal to 1,000 kilograms.

Newbuilding—A newly constructed vessel.

OPA—The United States Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (as amended).

Orderbook—A reference to currently placed orders for the construction of vessels (e.g., the Panamax orderbook).

Panamax—A dry bulk carrier of approximately 60,000 to 100,000 dwt of maximum length, depth and draft capable of passing fully loaded through the Panama Canal.

Protection & Indemnity Insurance—Insurance obtained through a mutual association formed by shipowners to provide liability insurance protection from large financial loss to one member through contributions towards that loss by all members.

Scrapping—The disposal of old or damaged vessel tonnage by way of sale as scrap metal.

Short-Term Time Charter—A time charter which lasts less than approximately 12 months.

Sister Ships—Vessels of the same class and specification which were built by the same shipyard.

SOLAS—The International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea 1974, as amended, adopted under the auspices of the IMO.

Special Survey—The inspection of a vessel by a classification society surveyor which takes place a minimum of every four years and a maximum of every five years.

Spot Market—The market for immediate chartering of a vessel usually for single voyages.

Strict Liability—Liability that is imposed without regard to fault.

Supramax—A new class of Handymax dry bulk carrier of approximately 50,000 to 60,000 dwt.

Technical Management—The management of the operation of a vessel, including physically maintaining the vessel, maintaining necessary certifications, and supplying necessary stores, spares, and lubricating oils. Responsibilities also generally include selecting, engaging and training crew, and arranging necessary insurance coverage.

Time Charter—Contract for hire of a ship. A charter under which the ship-owner is paid charter hire rate on a per day basis for a certain period of time, the shipowner being responsible for providing the crew and paying operating costs while the charterer is responsible for paying the voyage costs. Any delays at port or during the voyages are the responsibility of the charterer, save for certain specific exceptions such as loss of time arising from vessel breakdown and routine maintenance.

Ton—A metric ton.

Voyage Charter—Contract for hire of a vessel under which a shipowner is paid freight on the basis of moving cargo from a loading port to a discharge port. The shipowner is responsible for paying both operating costs and voyage

costs. The charterer is typically responsible for any delay at the loading or discharging ports.

Voyage Expenses—Includes fuel, port charges, canal tolls, cargo handling operations and brokerage commissions paid by the Company under Voyage Charters. These expenses are subtracted from shipping revenues to calculate Time Charter Equivalent revenues for Voyage Charters.

Available Information

The Company makes available free of charge through its internet website, www.eagleships.com its Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may read and copy any document we file with the SEC at the SEC's public reference facilities maintained by the Securities and Exchange Commission at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference facilities. Our SEC filings are also available to the public at the SEC's web site at <http://www.sec.gov>. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We operate in an intensely competitive industry. Some of the following risks relate principally to the industry in which we operate and our business in general. Other risks relate principally to the securities market, national and global economic conditions and the ownership of our common stock. The occurrence of any of the events described in this section could cause our results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements made in this report, and could significantly and negatively affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

Industry Specific Risk Factors

Charter hire rates for dry bulk vessels are volatile and have declined significantly since their historic highs and may decrease in the future, which may adversely affect our earnings.

The dry bulk shipping industry is cyclical with attendant volatility in charterhire rates and profitability. The degree of charter hire rate volatility among different types of dry bulk vessels has varied widely, and charterhire rates for dry bulk vessels have declined significantly from historically high levels. During 2010, the Baltic Exchange Dry Index, or BDI, rates, a daily average of charter rates in 26 shipping routes measured on a time charter and voyage basis and covering Supramax, Panama and Capesize drybulk carriers, ranged from a low of approximately 1,700 in July 2010 to a high of approximately 4,209 in May 2010. As of February 14, 2011, the BDI was 1,206. While we generally charter our vessels for medium- to long-term time charters. We currently have 7 vessels that are on charter whose revenues are linked to the performance of the Baltic Supramax Index with a duration of one year or less. As a result, a decline in the Baltic Supramax Index may have a material adverse effect on the Company. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully charter our vessels in the future or renew existing charters at rates sufficient to allow us to meet our obligations. Fluctuations in charter rates result from changes in the supply and demand for vessel capacity and changes in the supply and demand for the major commodities carried by water internationally. Because the factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels are outside of our control and are unpredictable, the nature, timing, direction and degree of changes in industry conditions are also unpredictable. If charter rates in the dry bulk market continue to decline and remain at low levels for any significant period in 2011, this will have an adverse effect on our revenues, profitability, cash flows and our ability to comply with the financial covenants in our loan agreements.

Factors that influence demand for dry bulk vessel capacity include:

- supply and demand for energy resources, commodities and industrial products;
- changes in the exploration or production of energy resources, commodities, consumer and industrial products;

- the location of regional and global exploration, production and manufacturing facilities;
- the location of consuming regions for energy resources, commodities, semi-finished and finished consumer and industrial products;
 - the globalization of production and manufacturing;

- global and regional economic and political conditions, including armed conflicts and terrorist activities; embargoes and strikes;
 - developments in international trade;
- changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns, including the distance cargo is transported by sea;
 - environmental and other regulatory developments;
 - currency exchange rates; and
 - weather.

Factors that influence the supply of vessel capacity include:

- the number of newbuilding deliveries;
 - port and canal congestion;
 - the scrapping of older vessels;
 - vessel casualties; and
- number of vessels that are out of service, namely those that are laid-up, drydocked, awaiting repairs or otherwise not available for hire.

In addition to the prevailing and anticipated freight rates, factors that affect the rate of newbuilding, scrapping and laying-up include newbuilding prices, secondhand vessel values in relation to scrap prices, costs of bunkers and other operating costs, costs associated with classification society surveys, normal maintenance and insurance coverage, the efficiency and age profile of the existing dry bulk fleet in the market and government and industry regulation of maritime transportation practices, particularly environmental protection laws and regulations. These factors influencing the supply of and demand for shipping capacity are outside of our control, and we may not be able to correctly assess the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions.

We anticipate that the future demand for our dry bulk vessels will be dependent upon economic growth in the world's economies, including China and India, seasonal and regional changes in demand, changes in the capacity of the global dry bulk fleet and the sources and supply of dry bulk cargo to be transported by sea. The capacity of the global dry bulk carrier fleet seems likely to increase and there can be no assurance that economic growth will resume or continue. Adverse economic, political, social or other developments could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Our ability to recharter our dry bulk vessels upon the expiration or termination of their current time charters and charter new vessels as they are delivered to us, and the charter rates payable under any renewal or replacement charters will depend upon, among other things, the current state of the dry bulk shipping market. If the dry bulk shipping market is in a period of depression when our vessels' charters expire, we may be forced to re-charter them at reduced rates or even possibly at a rate whereby we incur a loss, which may reduce our earnings or make our earnings volatile.

In addition, because the market value of our vessels may fluctuate significantly, we may incur losses when we sell vessels, which may adversely affect our earnings. If we sell vessels at a time when vessel prices have fallen and before we have recorded an impairment adjustment to our financial statements, the sale may be at less than the vessel's carrying amount on our financial statements, resulting in a loss and a reduction in earnings.

The recent global economic downturn may continue to negatively impact our business.

In the current global economy, operating businesses have recently faced tightening credit, weakening demand for goods and services, weak international liquidity conditions, and declining markets. Lower demand for dry bulk cargoes as well as diminished trade credit available for the delivery of such cargoes have led to decreased demand for dry bulk carriers, creating downward pressure on charter rates and on vessel values. The recent economic downturn has had and may continue to have during 2011 a number of adverse consequences for dry bulk and other shipping sectors, including, among other things:

- an absence of financing for vessels;
- a further decrease in the market value of our vessels and no active second-hand market for the sale of vessels;
- low charter rates;
- widespread loan covenant defaults; and
- declaration of bankruptcy by some operators and shipowners as well as charterers.

The occurrence of one or more of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

While the dry bulk carrier charter market may have recently strengthened, it remains significantly below the high in 2008, which has had and may continue to have an adverse effect on our revenues, earning and profitability and our ability to comply with our loan covenants.

The abrupt and dramatic downturn in the dry bulk charter market, from which we derive substantially all of our revenues, has severely affected the dry bulk shipping industry and has adversely affected our business. The BDI declined from a high of 11,793 in May 2008 to a low of 663 in December 2008, which represents a decline of 94%. As of February 28, 2011, the BDI was 1,206. The decline and volatility in charter rates is due to various factors, including the lack of trade financing for purchases of commodities carried by sea, which has resulted in a significant decline in cargo shipments, and the excess supply of iron ore in China, which has resulted in falling iron ore prices and increased stockpiles in Chinese ports. The decline and volatility in charter rates in the dry bulk market also affects the value of our dry bulk vessels, which follows the trends of dry bulk charter rates, and earnings on our charters, and similarly, affects our cash flows, liquidity and compliance with the covenants contained in our loan agreements.

In addition, some of our vessels are employed on charter whose revenues are directly tied to the Baltic Supramax Index. Although our vessels are employed predominately on medium and long-term time charters, 25 of our vessels are scheduled to expire in the next 12 months, at which time we will have to negotiate new employment for these vessels. If the very low charter rates in the dry bulk market continue to exist when we are required to renew these charters or in the future when our other charters must be renewed, this will have an adverse effect on our revenues, profitability, cash flows and our ability to comply with the financial covenants in our loan agreements.

Changes in the economic and political environment in China and policies adopted by the government to regulate its economy may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Chinese economy differs from the economies of most countries belonging to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, or OECD, in such respects as structure, government involvement, level of development, growth rate, capital reinvestment, allocation of resources, rate of inflation and balance of payments position. Prior to 1978, the Chinese economy was a planned economy. Since 1978, increasing emphasis has been

placed on the utilization of market forces in the development of the Chinese economy. Annual and five year State Plans are adopted by the Chinese government in connection with the development of the economy. Although state-owned enterprises still account for a substantial portion of the Chinese industrial output, in general, the Chinese government is reducing the level of direct control that it exercises over the economy through State Plans and other measures. There is an increasing level of freedom and autonomy in areas such as allocation of resources, production, pricing and management and a gradual shift in emphasis to a "market economy" and enterprise reform. Limited price reforms were undertaken with the result that prices for certain commodities are principally determined by market forces. Many of the reforms are unprecedented or experimental and may be subject to revision, change or abolition based upon the outcome of such experiments.

If the Chinese government does not continue to pursue a policy of economic reform the level of imports to and exports from China could be adversely affected by changes to these economic reforms by the Chinese government, as well as by changes in political, economic and social conditions or other relevant policies of the Chinese government, such as changes in laws, regulations or export and import restrictions, all of which could, adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

The market values of our vessels have declined and may further decrease, which could limit the amount of funds that we can borrow or trigger certain financial covenants under our current or future credit facilities and/or we may incur a loss if we sell vessels following a decline in their market value.

The fair market values of our vessels have generally experienced high volatility and have recently declined significantly. The market prices for secondhand Handymax and Supramax dry bulk carriers have recently decreased sharply from their historically high levels. The fair market value of our vessels may continue to fluctuate (i.e., increase and decrease) depending on a number of factors including:

- prevailing level of charter rates;
- general economic and market conditions affecting the shipping industry;
- types and sizes of vessels;
- supply and demand for vessels;
- other modes of transportation;
- cost of newbuildings;
- governmental or other regulations; and
- technological advances.

If the fair market value of our vessels declines, we may not be in compliance with certain provisions of our credit facility and we may not be able to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing. If we are not able to comply with the covenants in our credit facility, and are unable to remedy the relevant breach, our lenders could accelerate our debt and foreclose on our fleet. In addition, if we sell one or more of our vessels at a time when vessel prices have fallen and before we have recorded an impairment adjustment to our consolidated financial statements, the sale may be less than the vessel's carrying value on our consolidated financial statements, resulting in a loss and a reduction in earnings. Furthermore, if vessel values fall significantly we may have to record an impairment adjustment in our financial statements which could adversely affect our financial results.

Conversely, if vessel values are elevated at a time when we wish to acquire additional vessels, the cost of acquisition may increase and this could adversely affect our business, results of operations, cash flow and financial condition.

Fuel, or bunker prices, may adversely affect profits.

While we generally do not bear the cost of fuel, or bunkers, for vessels operating on time charters, fuel is a significant factor in negotiating charter rates. As a result, an increase in the price of fuel beyond our expectations may adversely affect our profitability at the time of charter negotiation. Fuel is also a significant, if not the largest, expense in our shipping operations when vessels are under voyage charter. The price and supply of fuel is unpredictable and

fluctuates based on events outside our control, including geopolitical developments, supply and demand for oil and gas, actions by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries and other oil and gas producers, war and unrest in oil producing countries and regions, regional production patterns and environmental concerns. Further, fuel may become much more expensive in the future, which may reduce the profitability and competitiveness of our business versus other forms of transportation, such as truck or rail.

Compliance with safety and other vessel requirements imposed by classification societies may be very costly and may adversely affect our business.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. If any vessel does not maintain its class and/or fails any annual survey, intermediate survey or special survey, the vessel will be unable to trade between ports and will be unemployable. This could negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to complex laws and regulations, including environmental regulations that can adversely affect the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business.

Our operations are subject to numerous laws and regulations in the form of international conventions and treaties, national, state and local laws and national and international regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which our vessels operate or are registered, which can significantly affect the ownership and operation of our vessels. These regulations include, but are not limited to, the U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, the U.S. Clean Air Act, U.S. Clean Water Act and the U.S. Marine Transportation Security Act of 2002, and regulations of the International Maritime Organization, or the IMO, including the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships of 1975, the International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution of 1973, the IMO International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea of 1974 and the International Convention on Load Lines of 1966. Compliance with such laws, regulations and standards, where applicable, may require installation of costly equipment or operational changes and may affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels. We may also incur additional costs in order to comply with other existing and future regulatory obligations, including, but not limited to, costs relating to air emissions, the management of ballast waters, maintenance and inspection, development and implementation of emergency procedures and insurance coverage or other financial assurance of our ability to address pollution incidents. These costs could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. A failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in administrative and civil penalties, criminal sanctions or the suspension or termination of our operations. Environmental laws often impose strict liability for remediation of spills and releases of oil and hazardous substances, which could subject us to liability without regard to whether we were negligent or at fault. Under OPA, for example, owners, operators and bareboat charterers are jointly and severally strictly liable for the discharge of oil within the 200-mile exclusive economic zone around the United States. Furthermore, the 2010 explosion of the Deepwater Horizon and the subsequent release of oil into the Gulf of Mexico, or other events, may result in further regulation of the shipping industry, and modifications to statutory liability schemes, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. An oil spill could result in significant liability, including fines, penalties and criminal liability and remediation costs for natural resource damages under other federal, state and local laws, as well as third-party damages. We are required to satisfy insurance and financial responsibility requirements for potential oil (including marine fuel) spills and other pollution incidents. Although we have arranged insurance to cover certain environmental risks, there can be no assurance that such insurance will be sufficient to cover all such risks or that any claims will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends, if any, in the future.

Further declines in charter rates and other market deterioration could cause us to incur impairment charges.

We evaluate the carrying amounts of our vessels to determine if events have occurred that would require an impairment of their carrying amounts. The recoverable amount of vessels is reviewed based on events and changes in circumstances that would indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recovered. The review for potential impairment indicators and projection of future cash flows related to the vessels is complex and requires us to make various estimates including future freight rates, earnings from the vessels and discount rates. All of these items have been historically volatile.

We evaluate the recoverable amount as the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. If the recoverable amount is less than the carrying amount of the vessel, the vessel is deemed impaired. The carrying values of our vessels may not represent their fair market value in the future because the new market prices of second-hand vessels tend to fluctuate with changes in charter rates and the cost of newbuildings. Any impairment charges incurred as a result of declines in charter rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

An over-supply of dry bulk carrier capacity may lead to further reductions in charter hire rates, which may limit our ability to operate our dry bulk carriers profitably.

The market supply of dry bulk carriers has been increasing, and the number of dry bulk carriers on order is near historic highs. These newbuildings were delivered in significant numbers starting at the beginning of 2006 and continued to be delivered in significant numbers through 2010. As of January 31, 2011, newbuilding orders had been placed for an aggregate of more than 52.0% of the existing global dry bulk fleet, with deliveries expected during the next four years. An over-supply of dry bulk carrier capacity, particularly in conjunction with the currently reduced level of demand, may result in a further reduction of charter hire rates. If the current low dry bulk carrier charter rate environment persists and the global fleet capacity increases due to the delivery of newbuildings or further redeployment of previously idle dry bulk carriers and containerships, upon the expiration or termination of our vessels' current charters we may only be able to re-charter our vessels at reduced or unprofitable rates or we may not be able to charter these vessels at all.

A further economic slowdown in the Asia Pacific region could exacerbate the effect of the recent slowdowns in the economies of the United States and the European Union and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We anticipate a significant number of the port calls made by our vessels will continue to involve the loading or discharging of dry bulk commodities in ports in the Asia Pacific region. As a result, further negative changes in economic conditions in any Asia Pacific country, particularly in China, may exacerbate the effect of the significant recent slowdowns in the economies of the United States and the European Union and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our future prospects. Before the global economic financial crisis that began in 2008, China had one of the world's fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product, or GDP, which had a significant impact on shipping demand. While the growth rate of China's GDP increased to approximately 10.3% for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to approximately 9.1% for the year ended December 31, 2009, the Chinese GDP growth rate remains below pre-2008 levels. China has recently imposed measures to restrain lending, which may further contribute to a slowdown in its economic growth. It is possible that China and other countries in the Asia Pacific region will continue to experience slowed or even negative economic growth in the near future. Moreover, the current economic slowdown in the economies of the United States, the European Union and other Asian countries may further adversely affect economic growth in China and elsewhere. Our business, financial condition and results of operations, ability to pay dividends as well as our future prospects, will likely be materially and adversely affected by a further economic downturn in any of these countries.

A decrease in the level of China's export of goods or an increase in trade protectionism could have a material adverse impact on our charterers' business and, in turn, could cause a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

China exports considerably more goods than it imports. Our vessels may be deployed on routes involving trade in and out of emerging markets, and our charterers' shipping and business revenue may be derived from the shipment of goods from the Asia Pacific region to various overseas export markets including the United States and Europe. Any reduction in or hindrance to the output of China-based exporters could have a material adverse effect on the growth rate of China's exports and on our charterers' business. For instance, the government of China has recently implemented economic policies aimed at increasing domestic consumption of Chinese-made goods. This may have the effect of reducing the supply of goods available for export and may, in turn, result in a decrease of demand for container shipping. Additionally, though in China there is an increasing level of autonomy and a gradual shift in emphasis to a "market economy" and enterprise reform, many of the reforms, particularly some limited price reforms that result in the prices for certain commodities being principally determined by market forces, are unprecedented or

experimental and may be subject to revision, change or abolition. The level of imports to and exports from China could be adversely affected by changes to these economic reforms by the Chinese government, as well as by changes in political, economic and social conditions or other relevant policies of the Chinese government.

Our operations expose us to the risk that increased trade protectionism will adversely affect our business. If the incipient global recovery is undermined by downside risks and the recent economic downturn is prolonged, governments may turn to trade barriers to protect their domestic industries against foreign imports, thereby depressing the demand for shipping. Specifically, increasing trade protectionism in the markets that our charterers serve has caused and may continue to cause an increase in: (i) the cost of goods exported from China, (ii) the length of time required to deliver goods from China and (iii) the risks associated with exporting goods from China, as well as a decrease in the quantity of goods to be shipped.

Any increased trade barriers or restrictions on trade, especially trade with China, would have an adverse impact on our charterers' business, operating results and financial condition and could thereby affect their ability to make timely charter hire payments to us and to renew and increase the number of their time charters with us. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders.

World events could affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Terrorist attacks in such as the attacks on the United States in 2001, in London in 2005 and in Mumbai in 2008, and the continuing response of the United States and others to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks in the United States or elsewhere, continues to cause uncertainty in the world's financial markets and may affect our business, operating results and financial condition. Continuing conflicts in the Middle East and Egypt and the presence of United States and other armed forces in Iraq and Afghanistan may lead to additional acts of terrorism and armed conflict around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets. These uncertainties could also adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all. In the past, political conflicts have also resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt international shipping, particularly in the Arabian Gulf region. Acts of terrorism and piracy have also affected vessels trading in regions such as the South China Sea and the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our operating results, revenues and costs.

Terrorist attacks on vessels, such as the October 2002 attack on the M.V. Limburg, a very large crude carrier not related to us, may in the future also negatively affect our operations and financial condition and directly impact our vessels or our customers. Future terrorist attacks could result in increased volatility and turmoil of the financial markets in the United States and globally. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our revenues and costs.

Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have recently increased in frequency, which could adversely affect our business.

Acts of piracy have historically affected ocean-going vessels trading in regions of the world such as the South China Sea and in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. Beginning in 2008, the frequency of piracy incidents increased significantly, and continued at a relatively high level through today, particularly in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. In November 2008, the Sirius Star, a tanker not affiliated with us, was captured by pirates in the Indian Ocean while carrying crude oil estimated to be worth \$100 million, and was released in January 2009 upon a ransom payment of \$3 million. In April 2009, the Maersk Alabama, a 17,000-ton containership not affiliated with us, was seized by Somali pirates. The ship was later released. If these piracy attacks result in regions in which our vessels are deployed being characterized by insurers as "war risk" zones by insurers, as the Gulf of Aden temporarily was in May 2008, or Joint War Committee (JWC) "war and strikes" listed areas, premiums payable for such coverage could increase significantly and such insurance coverage may be more difficult to obtain. In addition, crew costs, including those due to employing onboard security guards, could increase in such circumstances. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, any detention hijacking as a result of an act of piracy against our vessels, or an increase in cost, or unavailability of insurance for our vessels, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If our vessels call on ports located in countries that are subject to restrictions imposed by the U.S. or other governments, that could adversely affect our reputation and the market for our common stock.

From time to time on charterers' instructions, our vessels may call on ports located in countries subject to sanctions and embargoes imposed by the United States government and countries identified by the U.S. government as state sponsors of terrorism. The U.S. sanctions and embargo laws and regulations vary in their application, as they do not all apply to the same covered persons or proscribe the same activities, and such sanctions and embargo laws and regulations may be amended or strengthened over time. In 2010, the U.S. enacted the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions Accountability and Divestment Act ("CISADA"), which expanded the scope of the former Iran Sanctions Act. Among other things, CISADA expands the application of the prohibitions to non-U.S. companies and introduces limits on the ability of companies and persons to do business or trade with Iran when such activities relate to the investment, supply or export of refined petroleum or petroleum products. Although we believe that we are in compliance with all applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations, and intend to maintain such compliance, there can be no assurance that we will be in compliance in the future, particularly as the scope of certain laws may be unclear and may be subject to changing interpretations. Any such violation could result in fines or other penalties and could result in some investors deciding, or being required, to divest their interest, or not to invest, in our company. Additionally, some investors may decide to divest their interest, or not to invest, in our company simply because we do business with companies that do business in sanctioned countries. Moreover, our charterers may violate applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations as a result of actions that do not involve us or our vessels, and those violations could in turn negatively affect our reputation. Investor perception of the value of our common stock may also be adversely affected by the consequences of war, the effects of terrorism, civil unrest and governmental actions in these and surrounding countries.

Disruptions in world financial markets and the resulting governmental action in the United States and in other parts of the world could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, and could cause the market price of our common stock to further decline.

The United States and other parts of the world have and continue to experience weakened economic conditions and have been in a recession. For example, the credit markets in the United States have experienced significant contraction, deleveraging and reduced liquidity, and the United States federal government and state governments have implemented a broad variety of governmental action and/or new regulation of the financial markets and may implement additional regulations in the future. Securities and futures markets and the credit markets are subject to comprehensive statutes, regulations and other requirements. The Commission, other regulators, self-regulatory organizations and exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of market emergencies, and may effect changes in law or interpretations of existing laws.

The uncertainty surrounding the future of the credit markets in the United States and the rest of the world has resulted in reduced access to credit worldwide.

We face risks attendant to changes in economic environments, changes in interest rates, and instability in the banking and securities markets around the world, among other factors. Major market disruptions and the current adverse changes in market conditions and regulatory climate in the United States and worldwide may adversely affect our business or impair our ability to borrow amounts under our credit facilities or any future financial arrangements. We cannot predict how long the current market conditions will last. However, these recent and developing economic and governmental factors, together with the concurrent decline in charter rates and vessel values, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows, have caused the trading price of our common shares on the Nasdaq Global Market to decline and could cause the price of our common shares to continue to decline.

Our operating results will be subject to seasonal fluctuations, which could affect our operating results and the amount of available cash with which we can pay dividends.

We operate our vessels in markets that have historically exhibited seasonal variations in demand and, as a result, in charter hire rates. To the extent we operate vessels in the spot market, this seasonality may result in quarter-to-quarter volatility in our operating results, which could affect the amount of dividends that we pay to our stockholders from quarter to quarter. The dry bulk sector is typically stronger in the fall and winter months in anticipation of increased consumption of coal and other raw materials in the northern hemisphere. In addition, unpredictable weather patterns in these months tend to disrupt vessel scheduling and supplies of certain commodities. As a result, our revenues from our dry bulk carriers may be weaker during the fiscal quarters ended June 30 and September 30, and, conversely, our revenues from our dry bulk carriers may be stronger in fiscal quarters ended December 31 and March 31. While this seasonality will not affect our operating results as long as our fleet is employed on time charters, if our vessels are employed in the spot market in the future, it could materially affect our operating results.

We are subject to international safety regulations and the failure to comply with these regulations may subject us to increased liability, may adversely affect our insurance coverage and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

The operation of our vessels is affected by the requirements set forth in the ISM Code. The ISM Code requires shipowners, ship managers and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive "Safety Management System" that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. The failure of a shipowner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject it to increased liability, may invalidate existing insurance or decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Each of the vessels that has been delivered to us is ISM Code-certified and we expect that each other vessel that we have agreed to purchase will be ISM Code-certified when delivered to us. However, if we are subject to increased liability for non-compliance or if our insurance coverage is adversely impacted as a result of non-compliance, it may negatively affect our ability to pay dividends, if any, in the future. If any of our vessels are denied access to, or are detained in, certain ports, our revenues may be adversely impacted.

In addition, vessel classification societies also impose significant safety and other requirements on our vessels. In complying with current and future environmental requirements, vessel-owners and operators may also incur significant additional costs in meeting new maintenance and inspection requirements, in developing contingency arrangements for potential spills and in obtaining insurance coverage. Government regulation of vessels, particularly in the areas of safety and environmental requirements, can be expected to become stricter in the future and require us to incur significant capital expenditures on our vessels to keep them in compliance.

The operation of our vessels is also affected by other government regulation in the form of international conventions, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which the vessels operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration. Because such conventions, laws, and regulations are often revised, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with such conventions, laws and regulations or the impact thereof on the resale prices or useful lives of our vessels. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business and which may materially adversely affect our operations. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates, and financial assurances with respect to our operations.

Increased inspection procedures and tighter import and export controls could increase costs and disrupt our business.

International shipping is subject to various security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin and destination and trans-shipment points. Inspection procedures may result in the seizure of contents of our vessels, delays in the loading, offloading, trans-shipment or delivery and the levying of customs duties, fines or other penalties against us.

It is possible that changes to inspection procedures could impose additional financial and legal obligations on us. Changes to inspection procedures could also impose additional costs and obligations on our customers and may, in certain cases, render the shipment of certain types of cargo uneconomical or impractical. Any such changes or developments may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business has inherent operational risks, which may not be adequately covered by insurance.

The operation of our has certain unique risks. With a dry bulk carrier, the cargo itself and its interaction with the vessel can be an operational risk. By their nature, dry bulk cargoes are often heavy, dense, easily shifted, and react badly to water exposure. In addition, dry bulk carriers are often subjected to battering treatment during unloading operations with grabs, jackhammers (to pry encrusted cargoes out of the hold) and small bulldozers. This treatment

may cause damage to the vessel. Vessels damaged due to treatment during unloading procedures may be more susceptible to breach to the sea. Hull breaches in dry bulk carriers may lead to the flooding of the vessels' holds. If a dry bulk carrier suffers flooding in its forward holds, the bulk cargo may become so dense and waterlogged that its pressure may buckle the vessel's bulkheads leading to the loss of a vessel. If we are unable to adequately maintain our vessels we may be unable to prevent these events. Any of these circumstances or events could negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends, if any, in the future. In addition, the loss of any of our vessels could harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.

Our vessels and their cargoes are at risk of being damaged or lost because of events such as marine disasters, bad weather, mechanical failures, human error, environmental accidents, war, terrorism, piracy and other circumstances or events. In addition, transporting cargoes across a wide variety of international jurisdictions creates a risk of business interruptions due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities, labor strikes and boycotts, the potential for changes in tax rates or policies, and the potential for government expropriation of our vessels. Any of these events may result in loss of revenues, increased costs and decreased cash flows to our customers, which could impair their ability to make payments to us under our charters.

In the event of a casualty to a vessel or other catastrophic event, we will rely on our insurance to pay the insured value of the vessel or the damages incurred. Through our management agreements with our technical managers, we procure insurance for the vessels in our fleet employed under time charters against those risks that we believe the shipping industry commonly insures against. These insurances include marine hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance, which include pollution risks and crew insurances, and war risk insurance. Currently, the amount of coverage for liability for pollution, spillage and leakage available to us on commercially reasonable terms through protection and indemnity associations and providers of excess coverage is \$1 billion per vessel per occurrence.

We have procured hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance, which includes environmental damage and pollution insurance coverage and war risk insurance for our fleet. We do not maintain, for our vessels, insurance against loss of hire, which covers business interruptions that result from the loss of use of a vessel. We may not be adequately insured against all risks. We may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage for our fleet in the future, and we may not be able to obtain certain insurance coverages, including insurance against charter party defaults, that we have obtained in the past on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. The insurers may not pay particular claims. Our insurance policies may contain deductibles for which we will be responsible and limitations and exclusions which may increase our costs or lower our revenue. Moreover, insurers may default on claims they are required to pay.

We cannot assure you that we will be adequately insured against all risks or that we will be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our vessels in the future. For example, in the past more stringent environmental regulations have led to increased costs for, and in the future may result in the lack of availability of, insurance against risks of environmental damage or pollution. Additionally, our insurers may refuse to pay particular claims. Any significant loss or liability for which we are not insured could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Maritime claimants could arrest one or more of our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against a vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a claimant may seek to obtain security for its claim by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay large sums of money to have the arrest or attachment lifted. In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the "sister ship" theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could attempt to assert "sister ship" liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another of our vessels.

Governments could requisition our vessels during a period of war or emergency, resulting in a loss of earnings.

A government could requisition one or more of our vessels for title or for hire. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes her owner, while requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and effectively becomes her charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur

during periods of war or emergency, although governments may elect to requisition vessels in other circumstances. Although we would be entitled to compensation in the event of a requisition of one or more of our vessels, the amount and timing of payment would be uncertain. Government requisition of one or more of our vessels may negatively impact our revenues and reduce the amount of cash we have available for distribution as dividends to our stockholders.

Company Specific Risk Factors

The failure of our charterers to meet their obligations under our time charter agreements, on which we depend for substantially all of our revenues, could cause us to suffer losses or otherwise adversely affect our business and ability to comply with covenants in our credit facilities.

The ability and willingness of each of our counterparties to perform its obligations under a time charter agreement with us will depend on a number of factors that are beyond our control and may include, among other things, general economic conditions, the condition of the dry bulk shipping industry and the overall financial condition of the counterparties. Charterers are sensitive to the commodity markets and may be impacted by market forces affecting commodities, such as iron ore, coal, grain, and other minor bulks. In addition, in depressed market conditions, there have been reports of charterers, including some of our charter counterparties, renegotiating their charters or defaulting on their obligations under charters, and our customers may fail to pay charter hire or attempt to renegotiate charter rates.

In addition, ten of our vessels are currently chartered to Korea Lines Corporation, or KLC, and one additional vessel concluded its charter with KLC in February 2011. On January 25, 2011 KLC, one of our charterers, filed for protective receivership in Seoul, Korea. On February 15th, the Korean Courts approved this request. The Company and KLC have agreed that all of Company's charters to KLC remain intact until the Court allows KLC to resume hire payments, although no charter hire payments are currently being received. The Company has further come to an agreement with KLC regarding arrangements to take over the employment of the majority of the affected chartered vessels for this interim period. Earnings during this interim period would be used to offset the charter hire otherwise due from KLC. The Company will continue to trade these vessels until our business arrangements with KLC have been resolved. As of March 4, 2011, Eagle Bulk is owed approximately \$8.3 million of charter hire all related to 2011 activities with KLC, of which approximately \$2.5 million was due and owing prior to KLC filing for rehabilitation. With regard to the "Nighthawk," which was scheduled to be delivered to KLC in February 2011, the Company and KLC have agreed in principle, subject to Court approval, to defer the commencement of this charter to allow Eagle to employ the vessel for its own account for the time being. Should Korea Line Corporation stop performing its obligations under the charter, we would have difficulty recovering any unpaid amounts or securing another charter at similar rates, as current charter rates are volatile and may be at lower than the contracted rate under the charter.

The time charters on which we deploy a number of our vessels provide for charter rates that are significantly above market rates as of March 4, 2011. Should a counterparty fail to honor its obligations under its charter with us, it may be difficult to secure substitute employment for such vessel, and any new charter arrangements we secure in the spot market or on time charters would be at lower rates given currently decreased dry bulk carrier charter rate levels. If our charterers fail to meet their obligations to us or attempt to renegotiate our charter agreements, we could sustain significant losses which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, as well as our ability to pay dividends, if any, in the future, and compliance with covenants in our credit facilities, certain of which specifically require the maintenance of minimum charter rate levels.

We cannot assure you that our board of directors will declare dividends.

Commencing with the fourth quarter of 2008, our board of directors determined to suspend the payment of a dividend to our shareholders to increase cash flow, optimize financial flexibility and enhance internal growth. In the future, the declaration and payment of dividends, if any, will always be subject to the discretion of our board of directors, restrictions contained in our amended credit facility and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. The timing and amount of any dividends declared will depend on, among other things, our earnings, financial condition and cash requirements and availability, our ability to obtain debt and equity financing on acceptable terms as contemplated by our growth strategy, the terms of our outstanding indebtedness and the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to

us. The international dry bulk shipping industry is highly volatile, and we cannot predict with certainty the amount of cash, if any, that will be available for distribution as dividends in any period. Also, there may be a high degree of variability from period to period in the amount of cash that is available for the payment of dividends.

We may incur expenses or liabilities or be subject to other circumstances in the future that reduce or eliminate the amount of cash that we have available for distribution as dividends, including as a result of the risks described in this Annual Report. Our growth strategy contemplates that we will finance our acquisitions of additional vessels through debt financings or the net proceeds of future equity issuances on terms acceptable to us. If financing is not available to us on acceptable terms, our board of directors may determine to finance or refinance acquisitions with cash from operations, which would reduce the amount of any cash available for the payment of dividends.

Under the terms of our credit facility, we will not be permitted to pay dividends if there is a default or a breach of a loan covenant. In addition, we are permitted to pay dividends only in amounts up to our cumulative cash flows which is EBITDA (as defined in our credit agreement) less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for drydockings. Please see the section of this Annual Report entitled "Credit Facility" for more information relating to restrictions on our ability to pay dividends under the terms of our credit facility.

The Republic of Marshall Islands laws generally prohibits the payment of dividends other than from surplus (retained earnings and the excess of consideration received for the sale of shares above the par value of the shares) or while a company is insolvent or would be rendered insolvent by the payment of such a dividend. We may not have sufficient surplus in the future to pay dividends and our subsidiaries may not have sufficient funds or surplus to make distributions to us. We can give no assurance that dividends will be paid at all.

We may have difficulty managing our planned growth properly.

The acquisition and management of the 38 vessels in our operating fleet and the ongoing construction of our newbuilding vessels have imposed, and additional dry bulk vessels that we may acquire in the future will impose, significant responsibilities on our management and staff. The addition of vessels to our fleet may require us to increase the number of our personnel. Further, we have recently commenced providing technical management services to certain of our vessels in house, and expect to provide these services to additional vessels in our fleet. We will also have to manage our customer base so that we can provide continued employment for our vessels upon the expiration of our existing time charters.

We intend to continue to grow our business. Our future growth will primarily depend on:

- locating and acquiring suitable vessels;
- obtaining required financing on acceptable terms;
- identifying and consummating acquisitions;
- enhancing our customer base; and
- managing our expansion.

Growing any business by acquisition presents numerous risks, such as undisclosed liabilities and obligations, the possibility that indemnification agreements will be unenforceable or insufficient to cover potential losses and difficulties associated with imposing common standards, controls, procedures and policies, obtaining additional qualified personnel, managing relationships with customers and integrating newly acquired assets and operations into existing infrastructure. We cannot give any assurance that we will be successful in executing our growth plans or that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection with our future growth.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to borrow amounts under our credit facility and restrictive covenants in our credit facility may impose financial and other restrictions on us

We entered into a senior secured revolving credit facility in July 2005. We used borrowings under the revolving credit facility to refinance a portion of our outstanding indebtedness at the time of our initial public offering in June 2005 and to fund vessel acquisitions. Since then we have amended and enhanced our credit facility periodically to

accommodate our newbuilding program of 27 vessels, of which we have taken delivery of 19 vessels, and eight vessel contracts which we have converted into options to build and purchase vessels in the future. In July 2008, we entered into an amendment to our \$1.6 billion revolving credit facility that, among other things, provided for an additional incremental commitment of up to \$200 million under the same terms and conditions as the previously existing facility, subject to satisfaction of certain additional conditions, and amended the applicable margin rate under the facility. In December 2008, we entered into a further amendment to our credit facility to, among other changes, reduce the required minimum security value of our fleet from 130% to 100%, reduce the requirement minimum net worth requirement from \$300 million to \$75 million for 2009, subject to annual review thereafter, and amend the applicable interest margin to 1.75% over LIBOR. In August 2009, we entered into a further amendment to our credit facility which among other things reduced the facility to \$1.2 billion with a maturity in July 2014, amended the applicable interest margin to 2.5% over LIBOR, and until the Company is in compliance with the original covenants for two consecutive accounting periods, amended the collateral covenants from market values to book values, reduced the EBITDA to interest coverage ratio, and allocated half the net proceeds from any equity issuance to repay debt and reduce the facility, including \$48.6 million from our last equity raised which reduce our facility to \$1.151 billion.

The credit facility also imposes operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions may limit our ability to, among other things:

- pay dividends in the future in amounts exceeding our EBITDA, less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for drydockings;
 - change our Chief Executive Officer without the approval of our lender;
 - incur additional indebtedness;
 - change the flag, class or management of our vessels;
 - create liens on our assets;
 - sell our vessels;
 - merge or consolidate with, or transfer all or substantially all our assets to, another person;
 - enter into a new line of business; and
- enter into a time charter or consecutive voyage charters that has a term that exceeds, or which by virtue of any optional extensions may exceed, thirteen months.

In addition, we may not pay dividends if there is a default or a breach of a loan covenant under the credit facility or if the payment of the dividends would result in a default or breach of a loan covenant. Our indebtedness may also be accelerated if we experience a change of control. Therefore, we may need to seek permission from our lender in order to engage in some corporate actions. Our lender's interests may be different from ours and we cannot guarantee you that we will be able to obtain our lender's permission when needed. This may limit our ability to pay dividends to you, finance our future operations, make acquisitions or pursue business opportunities.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance indebtedness incurred under our credit facility.

Our business strategy contemplates that we repay all or a portion of our acquisition related debt from time to time with the net proceeds of equity issuances. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance our indebtedness through equity offerings or otherwise on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. If we are not able to refinance our indebtedness, we will have to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations to pay the principal and interest of this indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we will be able to generate cash flow in amounts that are sufficient for these purposes. If we are not able to satisfy these obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans or sell our assets. The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, any defaults by them, and the market value of our fleet, among other things, may materially affect our ability to obtain alternative financing. In addition, debt service payments under our credit facility or alternative financing may limit funds otherwise available for working capital, capital expenditures, payment of dividends and other purposes. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations, or if we otherwise default under our credit facility or an alternative financing arrangement, our lender could declare the debt, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable and foreclose on our fleet, which could result in the acceleration of other indebtedness that we may have at such time and the commencement of similar foreclosure proceedings by other lenders. In addition, if the recent financial difficulties experienced by financial institutions worldwide leads to such institutions being unable to meet their lending commitments, that inability could

have a material adverse effect on our ability to meet our own capital commitment obligations under our newbuilding contracts and our ability to grow our fleet. If we are not able to borrow under our credit facility and are unable to find alternative sources of financing on terms that are acceptable to us or at all, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

Purchasing and operating secondhand vessels may result in increased operating costs and reduced fleet utilization.

We have entered into contracts for the construction of 27 newbuilding vessels, of which we have taken delivery of 19. We also may enter into additional newbuilding contracts and purchase additional secondhand vessels in the future. While we have the right to inspect previously owned vessels prior to purchase, such an inspection does not provide us with the same knowledge about their condition that we would have if these vessels had been built for and operated exclusively by us. A secondhand vessel may have conditions or defects that we were not aware of when we bought the vessel and which may require us to incur costly repairs to the vessel. These repairs may require us to put a vessel into dry dock, which would reduce our fleet utilization. Furthermore, we usually do not receive the benefit of warranties on secondhand vessels.

We are subject to certain risks with respect to our counterparties on contracts, and failure of such counterparties to meet their obligations could cause us to suffer losses or otherwise adversely affect our business.

We enter into, among other things, charter parties with our customers. Such agreements subject us to counterparty risks. The ability of each of our counterparties to perform its obligations under a contract with us will depend on a number of factors that are beyond our control and may include, among other things, general economic conditions, the condition of the maritime and offshore industries, the overall financial condition of the counterparty, charter rates received for specific types of vessels, and various expenses. Consistent with dry bulk shipping industry practice, we have not independently analyzed the creditworthiness of the charterers. In addition, in depressed market conditions, our charterers may no longer need a vessel that is currently under charter or may be able to obtain a comparable vessel at lower rates. As a result, charterers may seek to renegotiate the terms of their existing charter parties or avoid their obligations under those contracts. Should a counterparty fail to honor its obligations under agreements with us, we could sustain significant losses which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We depend upon a few significant customers for a large part of our revenues and the loss of one or more of these customers could adversely affect our financial performance.

We derive a significant part of our revenues from a small number of charterers. In 2010, two customers individually accounted for more than 10% of our time charter revenue. The charterers' payments to us under their charters are our sole source of revenue. Some of our charterers are privately owned companies for which limited credit and financial information was available to us in making our assessment of counterparty risk when we entered into our charter. In addition, the ability of each of our charterers to perform its obligations under a charter will depend on a number of factors that are beyond our control. These factors may include general economic conditions, the condition of the dry bulk shipping industry, the charter rates received for specific types of vessels and various operating expenses. If one or more of these charterers terminates its charter or chooses not to re-charter our vessel or is unable to perform under its charter with us and we are not able to find a replacement charter, we could suffer a loss of revenues that could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution as dividends to our stockholders. In addition, we may be required to change the flagging or registration of the related vessel and may incur additional costs, including maintenance and crew costs if a charterer were to default on its obligations. Our stockholders do not have any recourse against our charterers.

In the highly competitive international shipping industry, we may not be able to compete for charters with new entrants or established companies with greater resources, and as a result, we may be unable to employ our vessels profitably.

Our vessels are employed in a highly competitive market that is capital intensive and highly fragmented. Competition arises primarily from other vessel owners, some of whom have substantially greater resources than we do. Competition for the transportation of dry bulk cargo by sea is intense and depends on price, location, size, age, condition and the acceptability of the vessel and its operators to the charterers. Due in part to the highly fragmented market, competitors with greater resources could enter the dry bulk shipping industry and operate larger fleets through consolidations or acquisitions and may be able to offer lower charter rates and higher quality vessels than we are able to offer. If we are unable to successfully compete with other dry bulk shipping companies, our results of operations would be adversely impacted.

We may be unable to attract and retain key management personnel and other employees in the shipping industry, which may negatively impact the effectiveness of our management and results of operations.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the abilities and efforts of our management team. We have entered into an employment contract with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Sophocles Zoullas. Our success will depend upon our ability to retain key members of our management team and to hire new members as may be necessary. The loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition. Difficulty in hiring and retaining replacement personnel could have a similar effect. We do not maintain "key man" life insurance on any of our officers.

Risks associated with operating ocean going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which could adversely affect our revenues and stock price.

The operation of ocean going vessels carries inherent risks. These risks include the possibility of:

- marine disaster;
- environmental accidents;
- cargo and property losses or damage;
- business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, political action in various countries, labor strikes or adverse weather conditions; and
- piracy.

These hazards may result in death or injury to persons, loss of revenues or property, environmental damage, higher insurance rates, damage to our customer relationships, delay or rerouting. If our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a drydocking facility. The costs of drydock repairs are unpredictable and may be substantial. We may have to pay drydocking costs that our insurance does not cover in full. The loss of earnings while these vessels are being repaired and repositioned, as well as the actual cost of these repairs, would decrease our earnings. In addition, space at drydocking facilities is sometimes limited and not all drydocking facilities are conveniently located. We may be unable to find space at a suitable drydocking facility or our vessels may be forced to travel to a drydocking facility that is not conveniently located to our vessels' positions. Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues. The involvement of our vessels in an environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.

The aging of our fleet may result in increased operating costs in the future, which could adversely affect our earnings.

In general, the cost of maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increases with the age of the vessel. Although the weighted average age of the 38 dry bulk vessels in our operating fleet as of December 31, 2010 is approximately five years, as our fleet ages, we will incur increased costs. Older vessels are typically less fuel efficient and more costly to maintain than more recently constructed vessels due to improvements in engine technology. Cargo insurance rates increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers. Governmental regulations and safety or other equipment standards related to the age of vessels may also require expenditures for alterations or the addition of new equipment, to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which our vessels may engage. We cannot assure you that, as our vessels age, market conditions will justify those expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels profitably during the remainder of their useful lives.

Technological innovation could reduce our charter hire income and the value of our vessels.

The charter hire rates and the value and operational life of a vessel are determined by a number of factors including the vessel's efficiency, operational flexibility and physical life. Efficiency includes speed, fuel economy and the ability to load and discharge cargo quickly. Flexibility includes the ability to enter harbors, utilize related docking facilities and pass through canals and straits. The length of a vessel's physical life is related to its original design and construction, its maintenance and the impact of the stress of operations. If new dry bulk carriers are built that are more efficient or more flexible or have longer physical lives than our vessels, competition from these more technologically advanced vessels could adversely affect the amount of charterhire payments we receive for our vessels once their initial charters expire and the resale value of our vessels could significantly decrease. As a result, our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We may have to pay tax on United States source income, which would reduce our earnings.

Under the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, 50% of the gross shipping income of a vessel owning or chartering corporation, such as ourselves and our subsidiaries, that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States is characterized as United States source shipping income and such income is subject to a 4% United States federal income tax without allowance for any deductions, unless that corporation qualifies for exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder.

We believe that we and each of our subsidiaries qualify for this statutory tax exemption and we will take this position for United States federal income tax return reporting purposes. However, there are factual circumstances beyond our control that could cause us to lose the benefit of this tax exemption after the offering and thereby cause us to become subject to United States federal income tax on our United States source shipping income. For example, there is a risk that we could no longer qualify for exemption under Section 883 of the Code for a particular taxable year if other shareholders with a five percent or greater interest in our stock were, in combination with to own 50% or more of our outstanding shares of our stock on more than half the days during the taxable year. Due to the factual nature of the issues involved, we can give no assurances on our tax-exempt status or that of any of our subsidiaries.

In addition, changes in the Code, the Treasury regulations or the interpretation thereof by the Internal Revenue Service or the courts could adversely affect our ability to take advantage of the exemption under Section 883.

If we are not entitled to this exemption under Section 883 for any taxable year, we would be subject for such taxable year to a 4% United States federal income tax on our United States source shipping income. The imposition of this taxation could have a negative effect on our business and would result in decreased earnings available for distribution to our stockholders.

Based on the current operation of our vessels, if we were subject to this tax, our United States federal income tax liability would be approximately \$1,200,000, \$900,000 and 1,400,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Because the operations of our vessels are under the control of third party charterers, we can give no assurance that our United States federal income tax liability would be substantially higher. However, since no more than 50% of our shipping income would be treated as derived from U.S. sources, our maximum tax liability under the 4% tax regime would never exceed 2% of our shipping income.

United States tax authorities could treat us as a "passive foreign investment company," which could have adverse United States federal income tax consequences to United States holders.

A foreign corporation will be treated as a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for United States federal income tax purposes if either (1) at least 75% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of certain types of

"passive income" or (2) at least 50% of the average value of the corporation's assets produce or are held for the production of those types of "passive income." For purposes of these tests, "passive income" includes dividends, interest, and gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties which are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute "passive income." United States stockholders of a PFIC are subject to a disadvantageous United States federal income tax regime with respect to the income derived by the PFIC, the distributions they receive from the PFIC and the gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their shares in the PFIC.

Based on our current method of operation, we do not believe that we have been, are or will be a PFIC with respect to any taxable year. In this regard, we intend to treat the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our time chartering activities as services income, rather than rental income. Accordingly, we believe that our income from our time chartering activities does not constitute "passive income," and the assets that we own and operate in connection with the production of that income do not constitute passive assets.

There is, however, no direct legal authority under the PFIC rules addressing our method of operation. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the United States Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, or a court of law will accept our position, and there is a risk that the IRS or a court of law could determine that we are a PFIC. Moreover, no assurance can be given that we would not constitute a PFIC for any future taxable year if there were to be changes in the nature and extent of our operations.

If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year, our United States stockholders would face adverse United States tax consequences and information reporting obligations. Under the PFIC rules, unless those stockholders made an election available under the Code (which election could itself have adverse consequences for such stockholders, as discussed below under "United States Federal Income Taxation of United States Holders"), such stockholders would be liable to pay United States federal income tax upon excess distributions and upon any gain from the disposition of our common stock at the then prevailing income tax rates applicable to ordinary income plus interest as if the excess distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the stockholder's holding period of our common stock. Please see the section of this Form 10-K entitled "Tax Considerations—United States Federal Income Taxation of United States Holders" for a more comprehensive discussion of the United States federal income tax consequences to United States stockholders if we are treated as a PFIC.

Our vessels may suffer damage and we may face unexpected drydocking costs, which could adversely affect our cash flow and financial condition.

If our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a drydocking facility. The costs of drydock repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. The loss of earnings while our vessels are being repaired and repositioned, as well as the actual cost of these repairs, would decrease our earnings and reduce the amount of cash that we have available for dividends. We may not have insurance that is sufficient to cover these costs or losses and may have to pay drydocking costs not covered by our insurance.

We are a holding company, and we depend on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to us in order to satisfy our financial obligations and to make dividend payments.

We are a holding company and our subsidiaries conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets. We have no significant assets other than the equity interests in our subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to satisfy our financial obligations and to make dividend payments in the future depends on our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us. If we are unable to obtain funds from our subsidiaries, our board of directors may exercise its discretion not to declare or pay dividends. We do not intend to obtain funds from other sources to pay dividends.

As we expand our business, we may need to improve our operating and financial systems and will need to recruit suitable employees and crew for our vessels.

Our current operating and financial systems may not be adequate as we implement our plan to expand the size of our fleet and our attempts to improve those systems may be ineffective. In addition, as we expand our fleet, we will need to recruit suitable additional seafarers and shore side administrative and management personnel. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to hire suitable employees as we expand our fleet. If we or our crewing agent encounters business or financial difficulties, we may not be able to adequately staff our vessels. If we are unable to grow our financial and

operating systems or to recruit suitable employees as we expand our fleet, our financial performance may be adversely affected and, among other things, the amount of cash available for distribution as dividends to our stockholders may be reduced.

Investment in derivative instruments such as freight forward agreements could result in losses.

From time to time, we may take positions in derivative instruments including freight forward agreements, or FFAs. FFAs and other derivative instruments may be used to hedge a vessel owner's exposure to the charter market by providing for the sale of a contracted charter rate along a specified route and period of time. Upon settlement, if the contracted charter rate is less than the average of the rates, as reported by an identified index, for the specified route and period, the seller of the FFA is required to pay the buyer an amount equal to the difference between the contracted rate and the settlement rate, multiplied by the number of days in the specified period. Conversely, if the contracted rate is greater than the settlement rate, the buyer is required to pay the seller the settlement sum. If we take positions in FFAs or other derivative instruments and do not correctly anticipate charter rate movements over the specified route and time period, we could suffer losses in the settling or termination of the FFA. This could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock

We are incorporated in the Marshall Islands, which does not have a well-developed body of corporate law.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our amended and restated articles of incorporation and bylaws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, or the BCA. The provisions of the BCA resemble provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. However, there have been few judicial cases in the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the laws of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in the United States. The rights of stockholders of companies incorporated in the Marshall Islands may differ from the rights of stockholders of companies incorporated in the United States. While the BCA provides that it is to be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions, there have been few, if any, court cases interpreting the BCA in the Marshall Islands and we can't predict whether Marshall Islands courts would reach the same conclusions as United States courts. Thus, you may have more difficulty in protecting your interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling stockholders than would stockholders of a corporation incorporated in a United States jurisdiction which has developed a relatively more substantial body of case law.

Future sales of our common stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur, may depress the market price for our common stock. These sales could also impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities in the future. We intend to issue additional shares of our common stock in the future. Our amended and restated articles of incorporation authorize us to issue 100 million shares of common stock of which 62,560,436 shares were issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents, as well as our shareholder rights plan, could have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a merger or acquisition, or could make it difficult for our stockholders to replace or remove our current board of directors, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Several provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it difficult for our stockholders to change the composition of our board of directors in any one year, preventing them from changing the composition of management. In addition, the same provisions, as well as our shareholder rights plan, may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that stockholders may consider favorable. These provisions will include:

- authorizing our board of directors to issue "blank check" preferred stock without stockholder approval;

- providing for a classified board of directors with staggered, three year terms;
- authorizing vacancies on our board of directors to be filled only by a vote of the majority of directors then in office and specifically denying our stockholders the right to fill vacancies on the board;
- establishing certain advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings;

- prohibiting cumulative voting in the election of directors;
- limiting the persons who may call special meetings of stockholders;
- authorizing the removal of directors only for cause and only upon the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote for the directors;
- prohibiting stockholder action by written consent; and
- establishing supermajority voting provisions with respect to amendments to certain provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and bylaws.

In addition to the provision described above, on November 9, 2007, our board of directors adopted a shareholder rights plan and declared a dividend distribution of one Right for each outstanding share of our common stock to shareholders of record on the close of business on November 23, 2007. Each Right is nominally exercisable, upon the occurrence of certain events, for one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, at a purchase price of \$125.00 per unit, subject to adjustment. The Rights may further discourage a third party from making an unsolicited proposal to acquire us, as exercise of the Rights would cause substantial dilution to such third party attempting to acquire us.

These anti-takeover provisions could substantially impede the ability of public stockholders to benefit from a change in control and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We do not own any real property. We lease office space at 477 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We have not been involved in any legal proceedings which may have, or have had a significant effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity, nor are we aware of any proceedings that are pending or threatened which may have a significant effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity. From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, principally personal injury and property casualty claims. We expect that these claims would be covered by insurance, subject to customary deductibles. Those claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Common Stock

The trading market for shares of our common stock is the Nasdaq Stock Market, on which our shares are quoted under the symbol "EGLE." As of March 4, 2011, the number of stockholders of record of the Company's common stock was approximately 62,560,436. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for shares of our common stock in 2010 and 2009, as reported by the Nasdaq Stock Market:

For the period:	High	Low
January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010	\$ 5.99	\$ 4.76
April 1, 2010 to June 30, 2010	\$ 5.91	\$ 4.22
July 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010	\$ 5.28	\$ 3.96
October 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010	\$ 5.71	\$ 4.86
January 1, 2009 to March 31, 2009	\$ 8.55	\$ 2.93
April 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009	\$ 9.18	\$ 4.20
July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009	\$ 6.31	\$ 4.10
October 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009	\$ 6.75	\$ 4.59

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Equity Compensation Plan

Information regarding our equity compensation plan as of December 31, 2010 is disclosed in Note 9, "Stock Incentive Plans" to our consolidated financial statements.

Performance Graph

The following graph illustrates a comparison of the cumulative total shareholder return (change in stock price plus reinvested dividends) of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.'s common stock with the Standard and Poor's 500 Index and a peer group "Dry Index" consisting of DryShips, Inc., Diana Shipping Inc., Excel Maritime Carriers Ltd., Navios Maritime Holdings, Inc. and Genco Shipping and Trading Limited. The comparison graph assumes a \$100 investment in each of the Company's common stock, the Standard & Poor's 500 Index and the Dry Index peer group on June 28, 2005, the date of the Company's initial public offering.

Payment of Dividends to Stockholders

In 2008, the Company declared four quarterly dividends in the aggregate amount of \$2.00 per share of its common stock in March, May, August and November. Aggregate payments were \$93,592,906 for dividends declared in 2008.

In December 2008, commencing with the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company's board of directors decided to suspend the payment of a dividend to stockholders in order to increase cash flow, optimize financial flexibility and enhance internal growth. In the future, the declaration and payment of dividends, if any, will always be subject to the discretion of the board of directors, restrictions contained in the credit facility and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. The timing and amount of any dividends declared will depend on, among other things, our earnings, financial condition and cash requirements and availability, the ability to obtain debt and equity financing on acceptable terms as contemplated by the Company's growth strategy, the terms of its outstanding indebtedness and the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to distribute funds to it. (See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion & Analysis.)

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We were incorporated on March 23, 2005 and our predecessor, Eagle Holdings LLC, was formed on January 26, 2005. The following table sets forth selected financial data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Certain information in the table has been derived from the Company's audited financial statements and notes thereto. This data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information included herein. In accordance with standard shipping industry practice, we did not obtain from the sellers historical operating data for the vessels that we acquired, as that data was not material to our decision to purchase the vessels. Accordingly, we have not included any historical financial data relating to the results of operations of our vessels from the period before our acquisition of them. Please see the section of this annual report entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Lack of Historical Operating Data for Vessels Before their Acquisition."

(Dollar amounts in thousands except Per Share amounts and Fleet Data)

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Income Statement Data					
Revenues, net of commissions	\$ 265,036	\$ 192,574	\$ 185,425	\$ 124,815	\$ 104,648
Voyage expenses	3,727	—	—	—	—
Vessel expenses	72,984	50,161	36,270	27,144	21,562
Charter hire expenses	9,983	—	—	—	—
Depreciation and Amortization	62,945	44,329	33,949	26,436	21,813
General and Administrative Expenses	40,029	32,714	34,567	11,776	18,293
Gain on Sale of Vessel	(291)	—	—	(873)	—
Write-off of advances for vessel construction	—	—	3,883	—	—
Total Operating Expenses	189,377	127,204	108,669	64,483	61,668
Interest Expense, Net	48,516	28,700	13,033	8,088	9,179
Write-off of deferred financing costs	—	3,383	2,090	—	—
Other expenses	298	—	—	—	—
Net Income	\$ 26,845	\$ 33,287	\$ 61,633	\$ 52,244	\$ 33,801
Share and Per Share Data					
Basic Income per share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.24	\$ 0.98
Diluted Income per share	0.43	0.60	1.31	1.24	0.98
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding - Diluted	62,417,247	55,923,308	46,888,788	42,195,561	34,543,862
	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.98	\$ 2.08

Cash Dividends Declared per share					
Consolidated Cash Flow Data					
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 94,340	\$ 90,525	\$ 109,536	\$ 82,889	\$ 70,535
Net cash used in investing activities	(280,996)	(228,624)	(336,658)	(446,251)	(130,759)
Net cash from financing activities	244,433	200,235	83,427	493,989	57,973
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data					
	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Current Assets	\$ 150,733	\$ 84,205	\$ 16,864	\$ 157,454	\$ 27,652
Total Assets	1,896,573	1,608,203	1,362,176	1,136,008	568,791
Total Liabilities	1,227,486	988,474	890,749	621,037	247,215
Long-term Debt	1,151,354	900,171	789,601	597,243	239,975
Stockholders' Equity	669,087	619,729	471,427	514,971	321,576
Other Data					
EBITDA (a)	\$ 148,663	\$ 121,239	\$ 127,683	\$ 99,418	\$ 82,695
Capital Expenditures :					
Vessels	\$ 301,796	\$ 228,530	\$ 336,438	\$ 458,262	\$ 130,759
Payments for Drydockings	\$ 2,828	\$ 4,477	\$ 2,389	\$ 3,625	\$ 2,325
Ratio of Total Debt to Total Capitalization (b)	63.2%	59.2%	62.6%	53.7%	42.7%
Fleet Data					
Number of Vessels in operating fleet	38	27	23	18	16
Average Age of Fleet (in dwt weighted years)	5	6	6	6	6
Fleet Ownership Days	12,958	9,106	7,229	6,166	5,288
Chartered-in under operating lease Days	476	—	—	—	—
Fleet Available Days	13,323	8,999	7,172	6,073	5,224
Fleet Operating Days	13,274	8,966	7,139	6,039	5,203
Fleet Utilization Days	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%	99.4%	99.6%

(a) Our revolving credit facility permits us to pay dividends in amounts up to cumulative free cash flows which is our earnings before extraordinary or exceptional items, interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Credit Agreement EBITDA), less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for dry-docking. Therefore, we believe that this non-GAAP measure is important for our investors as it reflects our ability to pay dividends. The Company's computation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similar titled measures of other companies. Following an amendment to the revolving credit facility in December 2008, payment of dividend has been suspended until certain covenants requirements have been met

and our board of directors determines in its discretion to declare and pay future dividends. The following table is a reconciliation of net income, as reflected in the consolidated statements of operations, to the Credit Agreement EBITDA:

(b) Ratio of Total Debt to Total Capitalization was calculated as debt divided by capitalization (debt plus stockholders' equity).

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Net Income	\$ 26,844,650	\$ 33,287,271	\$ 61,632,809	\$ 52,243,981	\$ 33,801,540
Interest Expense	48,885,674	28,904,610	15,816,573	12,741,106	10,548,616
Depreciation and Amortization	62,945,478	44,329,258	33,948,840	26,435,646	21,812,486
Amortization of fair value (below) above market of time charter acquired	(4,754,407)	(2,643,820)	(799,540)	3,740,000	3,462,000
EBITDA	133,921,395	103,877,319	110,598,682	95,160,733	69,624,642
Adjustments for Exceptional Items:					
Write-off of Advances for Vessel Construction (1)	—	—	3,882,888	—	—
Write-off of Financing Fees (1)	—	3,383,289	2,089,701	—	—
Non-cash Compensation Expense (2)	14,741,813	13,977,974	11,111,885	4,256,777	13,070,473
Credit Agreement					
EBITDA	\$ 148,663,208	\$ 121,238,582	\$ 127,683,156	\$ 99,417,510	\$ 82,695,115

(1) Nonrecurring charges (see Notes to the financial statements).

(2) Stock based compensation related to stock options and restricted stock units.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following is a discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operation for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. This section should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report and the notes to those financial statements.

This discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are intended to be covered by the safe harbor provided for under these sections. These statements may include words such as "believe," "estimate," "project," "intend," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," and similar expressions in connection with any discussion of the timing or nature of future operating or financial performance or other events. Forward looking statements reflect management's current expectations and observations with respect to future events and financial performance. Where we express an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. However, our forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected, or implied by those forward-looking statements. The principal factors that affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows include, charter market rates,

which started to decline significantly in 2009 from historic highs and partially recover during 2010, and periods of charter hire, vessel operating expenses and voyage costs, which are incurred primarily in U.S. dollars, depreciation expenses, which are a function of the cost of our vessels, significant vessel improvement costs and our vessels' estimated useful lives, and financing costs related to our indebtedness. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward looking statements as a result of certain factors which could include the following: (i) changes in demand in the dry bulk market, including, without limitation, changes in production of, or demand for, commodities and bulk cargoes, generally or in particular regions; (ii) greater than anticipated levels of dry bulk vessel new building orders or lower than anticipated rates of dry bulk vessel scrapping; (iii) changes in rules and regulations applicable to the dry bulk industry, including, without limitation, legislation adopted by international bodies or organizations such as the International Maritime Organization and the European Union or by individual countries; (iv) actions taken by regulatory authorities; (v) changes in trading patterns significantly impacting overall dry bulk tonnage requirements; (vi) changes in the typical seasonal variations in dry bulk charter rates; (vii) changes in the cost of other modes of bulk commodity transportation; (viii) changes in general domestic and international political conditions; (ix) changes in the condition of the Company's vessels or applicable maintenance or regulatory standards (which may affect, among other things, our anticipated dry docking costs); (x) and other factors listed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This discussion also includes statistical data regarding world dry bulk fleet and orderbook and fleet age. We generated some of these data internally, and some were obtained from independent industry publications and reports that we believe to be reliable sources. We have not independently verified these data nor sought the consent of any organizations to refer to their reports in this annual report. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.

Overview

We are Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc., a Republic of Marshall Islands corporation headquartered in New York City. We own one of the largest fleets of Supramax dry bulk vessels in the world. Supramax dry bulk vessels range in size from 50,000 to 60,000 dwt. We transport a broad range of major and minor bulk cargoes, including iron ore, coal, grain, cement and fertilizer, along worldwide shipping routes. As of December 31, 2010, we owned and operated a modern fleet of 38 Handymax segment dry bulk vessels, 36 of which are of the Supramax class. We also have an on-going Supramax newbuilding program for the construction of an additional 8 newbuilding vessels in China. Upon delivery of all newbuilding vessels by end 2011, our total fleet will consist of 46 vessels with a combined carrying capacity of approximately 2.50 million dwt.

We are focused on maintaining a high quality fleet that is concentrated primarily in one vessel type – Handymax dry bulk carriers and its sub-category of Supramax vessels, which are Handymax vessels ranging in size from 50,000 to 60,000 dwt. These vessels have the cargo loading and unloading flexibility of on-board cranes while offering cargo carrying capacities approaching that of Panamax dry bulk vessels, which range in size from 60,000 to 100,000 dwt and rely on port facilities to load and offload their cargoes. We believe that the cargo handling flexibility and cargo carrying capacity of the Supramax class vessels make them attractive to cargo interests and vessel charterers. The 38 vessels in our operating fleet, with an aggregate carrying capacity of 2,046,126 deadweight tons, have an average age of only five years compared to an average age for the world Handymax dry bulk fleet of approximately 14 years.

During the third quarter of 2010, the Company launched a freight trading operation to capitalize on value creation strategies in spot trading which includes contracts of affreightment, time charter-in and -out and derivative instruments. These trading capabilities will extend the Company's global presence, which includes a new office in Singapore.

Our financial performance is based on the following key elements of our business strategy:

- (1) concentration in one vessel category: Supramax class of Handymax dry bulk vessels, which we believe offer size, operational and geographical advantages (over Panamax and Capesize vessels),
- (2) Our strategy is to balance between long-term time charters and revenues generated by short-term time charters and voyage charters to maximize our financial performance throughout shipping cycles. We have entered into time charter employment contracts for all the vessels in our operating fleet and a substantial portion of our newbuilding fleet. We charter some of our vessels pursuant to one- to three-year time charters to allow us to take advantage of the stable cash flow and high utilization rates that are associated with medium to long-term time charters. Several of the newly constructed vessels are on long term charters with an average duration of eight years. The vessels that are on charters whose revenues are linked to the Baltic Supramax index generally have durations of one-year or less. These index-linked charters and voyage charters provide us with the revenue upside as the market improves. We believe that this structure provides significant visibility to our future financial results and allows us to take advantage of the stable cash flows and high utilization rates that are associated with medium- to long-term time charters, while at the same time providing us with the revenue upside potential from the index-linked or short-term time charters or voyage charters. All the charters provide for fixed semi-monthly payments in advance.

While we remain focused on securing charters with fixed base rates, we have also entered into contracts with fixed minimum rates and profit sharing arrangements, enabling us to benefit from an increasing rate environment while still minimizing downside risk. We regularly monitor the dry bulk shipping market and based on market conditions we may consider taking advantage of short-term charter rates.

- (3) maintain high quality vessels and improve standards of operation through improved environmental procedures, crew training and maintenance and repair procedures, and
- (4) maintain a balance between purchasing vessels as market conditions and opportunities arise and maintaining prudent financial ratios (e.g. leverage ratio).

The following are several significant events that occurred during 2010:

- In January 2010, we took delivery of four newbuilding vessels, Thrasher, Crane, Egret and Golden Eagle (This vessel is the fourth of the series of five vessels being built in Japan).
- In February 2010, we took delivery of two newbuilding vessels, Avocet and Imperial Eagle (The last vessel of the series of five vessels being built in Japan).
 - In April 2010, we took delivery of our ninth newbuilding vessel from China, Gannet Bulker.
 - In May 2010, we took delivery of our tenth newbuilding vessel from China, Grebe Bulker.
 - In June 2010, we took delivery of our eleventh newbuilding vessel from China, Ibis Bulker.
- In July 2010, we took delivery of our twelfth and thirteenth newbuilding vessel from China, Jay and Kingfisher.
 - In August 2010, we took delivery of our fourteenth newbuilding vessel from China, Martin.
- In September 2010, we launched our freight trading operation. The trading operation will extend the Company's global presence, which includes a new office in Singapore.
- In September 2010, we sold the oldest and smallest vessel in its fleet, the Griffon a 1995-built Handymax and we realized a net gain of \$291,011 and received net proceeds of \$21,055,784.

The following are several significant events that occurred during 2009:

- In January 2009, we took delivery of a newbuilding vessel, Crested Eagle. This vessel is the second of the series of five vessels being built in Japan.
- In March 2009, we took delivery of a newbuilding vessel, Stellar Eagle. This vessel is the third of the series of five vessels being built in Japan.
 - In May - June 2009, we raised \$100 million by issuing shares of our common stock.
 - In August 2009, we amended and reduced our revolving credit facility to \$1,200,000,000.
 - In September 2009, we set up our own in-house technical management operation.

- We took delivery of our third newbuilding vessel from China, Bittern, in October 2009.
- We took delivery of our fourth newbuilding vessel from China, Canary, in December 2009.

The following are several significant events that occurred during 2008:

- In May 2008, we acquired two Supramax vessels, Goldeneye and Redwing, which delivered into our fleet in June 2008 and September 2008, respectively.
- We took delivery of the first of our newbuilding vessels, Wren in June 2008. This vessel is the first of the series of 22 vessels being built in China under construction contracts.
 - We took delivery of our second newbuilding vessel from China, Woodstar, in October 2008.
- We took delivery of our third newbuilding vessel, Crowned Eagle, in November 2008. This vessel is the first of the series of five vessels being built in Japan.
- In December 2008, we renegotiated our 30 vessel newbuilding program in China by converting firm construction contracts on eight charter free vessels into options. The contract deposits on these vessels were redirected as progress payments towards vessels being constructed for delivery in 2009. We also deferred delivery of a vessel, Thrush, from September 2009 to November 2010. These changes in the newbuilding program resulted in a reduction of the Company's capital expenditure program by a total of \$363 million.
 - In December 2008, we amended and reduced our revolving credit facility to \$1,350,000,000.

We have employed all of our vessels on time and voyage charters. The following table represents certain information about the Company's owned vessel revenue earning charters:

The following table represents certain information about our revenue earning charters on our operating fleet as of December 31, 2010:

Vessel	Year Built	Dwt	Time Charter Expiration (1)	Daily Time Charter Hire Rate
Avocet (3)	2010	53,462	May 2016 May 2016 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,400 \$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Bittern (4)	2009	57,809	Jan 2015 Jan 2015 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,850 \$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Canary (5)	2009	57,809	Mar 2015 Mar 2015 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,850 \$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Cardinal (15)	2004	55,362	Feb 2011	Voyage
Condor (2)	2001	50,296	Jul 2011 to Oct 2011	Index
Crane (6)	2010	57,809		

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Apr 2015

Apr 2015 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019

\$18,850

\$18,000 (with 50%
profit share over \$22,000)

Crested Eagle (2)

2009

55,989

Mar 2011 to Apr 2011

\$11,500 (with 50% Index
share over \$11,500)

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Crowned Eagle(2)	2008	55,940	Jun 2011 to Sep 2011	Index
Egret Bulker(7)	2010	57,809	Oct 2012 to Feb 2013	\$17,650 (with 50% profit share over \$20,000)
Falcon (14)	2001	50,296	Jan 2011	\$14,500
Gannet Bulker(7)	2010	57,809	Jan 2013 to May 2013	\$17,650 (with 50% profit share over \$20,000)
Golden Eagle	2010	55,989	Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	\$17,000
Goldeneye	2002	52,421	Oct 2011 to Dec 2011	\$17,000
Grebe Bulker(7)	2010	57,809	Feb 2013 to Jun 2013	\$17,650 (with 50% profit share over \$20,000)
Harrier	2001	50,296	Jul 2011 to Oct 2011	\$21,000
Hawk I	2001	50,296	Jul 2011 to Sep 2011	\$20,000
Heron (14)	2001	52,827	Jan 2011	\$26,375
Ibis Bulker(7)	2010	57,775	Mar 2013 to Jul 2013	\$17,650 (with 50% profit share over \$20,000)
Imperial Eagle (2) (15)	2010	55,989	Jan 2011 to Feb 2011	Index
Jaeger (2) (15)	2004	52,248	Jan 2011	Index
Jay (8)	2010	57,802	Dec 2015	\$18,500(with 50% profit share over \$21,500)
			Dec 2015 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Kestrel I (14)	2004	50,326	Mar 2011	\$13,600
Kingfisher (9)	2010	57,776	Dec 2015	\$18,500(with 50% profit share over \$21,500)
			Dec 2015 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Kite (14)	1997	47,195	Jan 2011	\$17,000
Kittiwake(14)	2002	53,146	Jan 2011	\$15,800
Martin	2010	57,809	Feb 2017 to Feb 2018	\$18,400
Merlin(10) (14)	2001	50,296	Jan 2011 to Feb 2011	\$23,000
Osprey I	2002	50,206	Sep 2011 to Nov 2011	\$18,500
Peregrine (2) (14)	2001	50,913	Jan 2011 to Mar 2011	\$10,500 (with 50% Index share over \$10,500)
Redwing	2007	53,411	Jul 2011 to Sep 2011	\$20,000
Shrike	2003	53,343	Jun 2011 to Aug 2011	\$20,000
Skua (14)	2003	53,350	Feb 2011	\$14,600
Sparrow (14)	2000	48,225	Feb 2011	\$12,000
Stellar Eagle(2)	2009	55,989	Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	Index

Tern (14)	2003	50,200	Jan 2011	\$16,000
Thrasher (11)	2010	53,360	Apr 2016 Apr 2016 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,400 \$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Woodstar (12)	2008	53,390	Jan 2014 Jan 2014 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$18,300 \$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)
Wren (13)	2008	53,349	Dec 2011 Dec 2011 to Dec 2018/Apr 2019	\$24,750 \$18,000 (with 50% profit share over \$22,000)

(1) The date range provided represents the earliest and latest date on which the charterer may redeliver the vessel to the Company upon the termination of the charter. The time charter hire rates presented are gross daily charter rates before brokerage commissions, ranging from 1.25% to 6.25%, to third party ship brokers.

(2) Index, an average of the trailing Baltic Supramax Index.

(3) Revenue recognition for the AVOCET is based on an average daily base rate of \$18,281.

(4) Revenue recognition for the BITTERN is based on an average daily base rate of \$18,485.

(5) Revenue recognition for the CANARY is based on an average daily base rate of \$18,493.

(6) Revenue recognition for the CRANE is based on an average daily base rate of \$18,497.

(7) The EGRET BULKER, GANNET BULKER, GREBE BULKER and IBIS BULKER have entered into a charter for 33 to 37 months. The charter rate is \$17,650 per day with a 50% profit share for earned rates over \$20,000 per day. The charterer has an option to extend the charter by 2 periods of 11 to 13 months each.

(8) Revenue recognition for the JAY is based on an average daily rate of \$18,320.

Revenue recognition for the KINGFISHER is based on an average daily rate of \$18,320.

(9)

Revenue recognition for the MERLIN is based on an average daily rate of \$25,000.

(10)

Revenue recognition for the THRASHER is based on an average daily base rate of \$18,280.

(11)

Revenue recognition for the WOODSTAR is based on an average daily base rate of \$18,154.

(12)

Revenue recognition for the WREN is based on an average daily base rate of \$20,245.

(13)

Upon conclusion of the previous time charter the vessel will commence a short term time charter for up to six months.

Upon conclusion of the previous time charter the vessel will commence an index based time charter for two years.

The following table, as of December 31, 2010, represents certain information about the Company's newbuilding vessels being constructed and their expected employment upon delivery:

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Vessel	Dwt	Year Built –Expected Delivery (1)	Time Charter Employment Expiration (2)	Daily Time Charter Hire Rate (3)	Profit Share
Thrush (4)	53,100	2011Q1	Charter Free	—	—
Nighthawk (5)	58,000	2011Q1	Sep 2017 to Sep 2018	\$18,400	—
Oriole	58,000	2011Q3	Jan 2018 to Jan 2019	\$18,400	—
Owl	58,000	2011Q3	Feb 2018 to Feb 2019	\$18,400	—
Petrel (6)	58,000	2011Q4	Apr 2014 to Aug 2014	\$17,650	50% over \$20,000

Puffin (6)	58,000	2011Q4	Jul 2014 to Nov 2014	\$17,650	50% over \$20,000
Roadrunner (6)	58,000	2011Q4	Aug 2014 to Dec 2014	\$17,650	50% over \$20,000
Sandpiper (6)	58,000	2011Q4	Sep 2014 to Jan 2015	\$17,650	50% over \$20,000

- (1) Vessel build and delivery dates are estimates based on guidance received from shipyard.
- (2) The date range represents the earliest and latest date on which the charterer may redeliver the vessel to the Company upon the termination of the charter.
- (3) The time charter hire rate presented are gross daily charter rates before brokerage commissions ranging from 1.25% to 6.25% to third party ship brokers.
- (4) The Thrush was delivered in the first quarter of 2011 and commenced a short term time charter.
- (5) The Nighthawk was scheduled to delivered to KLC, the Company and KLC have agreed to defer the commencement of this charter to allow Eagle to employ the vessel for its own account for the time being. The Nighthawk was delivered in the first quarter of 2011 and commenced a short term time charter.
- (6) The charterer has an option to extend the charter by 2 periods of 11 to 13 months each.

Market Overview

The international shipping industry is highly competitive and fragmented with many market participants. There are approximately 8,233 dry bulk carriers of over 10,000 dwt aggregating approximately 525 million dwt, and the ownership of the world dry bulk fleet remains very fragmented with no single owner accounting for more than 6% of any one sector. We primarily compete with other owners of dry bulk vessels in the Handymax and Handysize class and Panamax class sectors that are mainly privately owned fleets.

Competition in virtually all drybulk trades is intense and based primarily on supply and demand. Such demand is a function of world economic conditions and the consequent requirement for commodities, production and consumption patterns, as well as events which interrupt production, trade routes and consumption. We compete for charters on the basis of price, vessel location, size, age, and condition of the vessel, as well as on our reputation as an owner and operator. Increasingly, major customers are demonstrating a preference for modern vessels based on concerns about the environmental and operational risks associated with older vessels. Consequently, owners of large modern fleets have gained a competitive advantage over owners of older fleets.

Our strategy is to concentrate in one vessel category of the dry bulk segment of the shipping industry – the Handymax sector. Handymax dry bulk vessels range in size from 35,000 to 60,000 dwt. Within the Handymax sector, the industry has migrated to a larger size of vessel class called the Supramax class of dry bulk vessels which range in size from 50,000 to 60,000 dwt. These vessels have the cargo loading and unloading flexibility of on-board cranes while offering cargo carrying capacities approaching that of Panamax dry bulk vessels, which range in size from 60,000 to 100,000 dwt and must rely on port facilities to load and offload their cargoes. We believe that the cargo handling flexibility and cargo carrying capacity of the Supramax class vessels make them attractive to potential charterers. Thirty -six of the 38 vessels in our operating fleet as of December 31, 2010 are classified as Supramax vessels. All of the 8 vessels under construction are also Supramax class vessels.

The supply of dry bulk vessels depends primarily on the level of the orderbook, the fleet age profile, and the operating efficiency of the existing fleet. As of January 2011, 23% of the world Handymax fleet is 20 years or older. The 38 Handymax vessels in our operating fleet have an average age of approximately 5 years as of December 31, 2010, compared to an average age for the world Handymax dry bulk fleet of over 14 years. The Handymax newbuilding orderbook currently stands at 49% of the world Handymax fleet.

The Handymax Market

The drybulk market, especially the sub-Panamax segment, experienced a healthy recovery in 2010 with Supramax rates posting a 24% increase year-on-year, outperforming the other asset classes. This can be attributed to a strong increase of over 11% in demand for both major and minor bulks, thanks to a continued appetite for commodities from both China and India and demand recovery in OECD. Also supporting rates in 2010 was lower-than-expected supply growth (especially when compared to Panamax and Capesize segments) attributable to significant slippage and cancellations of newbuilding deliveries approximating 40%.

Industry research indicates estimated dry bulk vessel deliveries for 2011 and 2012 are 58 million dwt and 45m dwt respectively. It is widely believed that a material amount of these scheduled newbuildings will not be delivered for a variety of reasons including: inflated orderbook figures, owner and yard-related financing issues, and increasing labor costs and appreciating currency issues for yards. Demand for drybulk is expected to remain robust in 2011 with growth expected to reach approximately 10%, again attributed to continued appetite from China, India, other-Asia, and demand recovery in OECD.

In the Capesize sector, about 638 vessels are on order which is equivalent to 54% of existing fleet. Scheduled deliveries are 309 vessels for 2011, 225 vessels for 2012 and 104 vessels for 2013. The Panamax sector has about 59% of its existing fleet on order with approximately 400 vessels expected to be delivered in both 2011 and 2012. The Handymax sector has about 49% of its existing fleet on order with the bulk of deliveries occurring in 2011 and 2012. However, sub-Panamax fleet is older with a considerable proportion of the trading fleet 25 years or older. And in a low freight rate environment this segment will see the highest scrapping potential which would improve the supply-demand equilibrium bringing rates into balance.

Lack of Historical Operating Data for Vessels Before their Acquisition

Consistent with shipping industry practice, other than inspection of the physical condition of the vessels and examinations of classification society records, there is no historical financial due diligence process when we acquire vessels. Accordingly, we do not obtain the historical operating data for the vessels from the sellers because that information is not material to our decision to make acquisitions, nor do we believe it would be helpful to potential investors in our common stock in assessing our business or profitability. Most vessels are sold under a standardized agreement, which, among other things, provides the buyer with the right to inspect the vessel and the vessel's classification society records. The standard agreement does not give the buyer the right to inspect, or receive copies of, the historical operating data of the vessel. Prior to the delivery of a purchased vessel, the seller typically removes from the vessel all records, including past financial records and accounts related to the vessel. In addition, the technical management agreement between the seller's technical manager and the seller is automatically terminated and the vessel's trading certificates are revoked by its flag state following a change in ownership.

Consistent with shipping industry practice, we treat the acquisition of a vessel (whether acquired with or without charter) as the acquisition of an asset rather than a business. Although vessels are generally acquired free of charter, we have acquired (and may in the future acquire) some vessels with time charters. Where a vessel has been under a voyage charter, the vessel is delivered to the buyer free of charter, and it is rare in the shipping industry for the last charterer of the vessel in the hands of the seller to continue as the first charterer of the vessel in the hands of the buyer. In most cases, when a vessel is under time charter and the buyer wishes to assume that charter, the vessel cannot be acquired without the charterer's consent and the buyer's entering into a separate direct agreement with the charterer to assume the charter. The purchase of a vessel itself does not transfer the charter, because it is a separate service agreement between the vessel owner and the charterer.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of those financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

Critical accounting policies are those that reflect significant judgments of uncertainties and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We have described below what we believe are

our most critical accounting policies, because they generally involve a comparatively higher degree of judgment in their application. For a description of all our accounting policies, see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included herein.

Revenue Recognition

We currently generate our revenue from time charters and voyage charters. Time charters revenue are for a specific period of time at a specific rate per day, and are generally not as complex or as subjective as voyage charters. For long-term time charters, revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the charter. Revenue related to profit sharing on time charters is not recorded until fixed and determinable.

We recognize voyage charter revenue ratably over the estimated length of each voyage, calculated on discharge-to-discharge basis. We do not begin recognizing voyage revenue until a Charter has been agreed to by both the Company and the customer, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo and is sailing to the anticipated load port on its next voyage.

Vessel Lives and Impairment

The carrying value of each of our vessels represents its original cost at the time it was delivered or purchased less depreciation. We depreciate our dry bulk vessels on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, estimated to be 28 years from date of initial delivery from the shipyard to the original owner. Depreciation is based on cost less the estimated residual salvage value. Salvage, or scrap, value is based upon a vessel's lightweight tonnage ("lwt") multiplied by a scrap rate. We use a scrap rate of \$150 per lwt, which we believe is common in the dry bulk shipping industry, to compute each vessel's salvage value. An increase in the useful life of a dry bulk vessel or in its salvage value would have the effect of decreasing the annual depreciation charge and extending it into later periods. A decrease in the useful life of a dry bulk vessel or in its salvage value would have the effect of increasing the annual depreciation charge. However, when regulations place limitations over the ability of a vessel to trade on a worldwide basis, the vessel's useful life is adjusted to end at the date such regulations become effective. The estimated scrap value is used in the computation of depreciation expense and recoverability of the carrying value of each vessel when evaluating for impairment of vessels. Management's estimates for salvage values may differ from actual results.

The carrying values of the Company's vessels may not represent their fair market value at any point in time since the market prices of second-hand vessels tend to fluctuate with changes in charter rates and the cost of newbuildings. Historically, both charter rates and vessel values tend to be cyclical. We evaluate the carrying amounts and periods over which long-lived assets are depreciated to determine if events have occurred which would require modification to their carrying values or useful lives. In evaluating useful lives and carrying values of long-lived assets, we review certain indicators of potential impairment, such as undiscounted projected operating cash flows, vessel sales and purchases, business plans and overall market conditions. We determine undiscounted projected net operating cash flow for each vessel and compare it to the vessel carrying value. This assessment is made at the individual vessel level since separately identifiable cash flow information for each vessel is available. In developing estimates of future cash flows, the Company must make assumptions about future charter rates, ship operating expenses, and the estimated remaining useful lives of the vessels. These assumptions are based on historical trends as well as future expectations. Although management believes that the assumptions used to evaluate potential impairment are reasonable and appropriate, such assumptions are highly subjective. In the event that an impairment were to occur, we would determine the fair value of the related asset and record a charge to operations calculated by comparing the asset's carrying value to the estimated fair value. We estimate fair value primarily through the use of third party valuations performed on an individual vessel basis.

Deferred Drydock Cost

There are two methods that are used by the shipping industry to account for drydockings: (a) the deferral method where drydock costs are capitalized when incurred and amortized over the period to the next scheduled drydock; and (b) expensing drydocking costs in the period it is incurred. We use the deferral method of accounting for drydock expenses. Under the deferral method, drydock expenses are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis until the next drydock, which we estimate to be a period of two to three years. We believe the deferral method better matches costs with revenue than expensing the costs as incurred. We use judgment when estimating the period between drydocks performed, which can result in adjustments to the estimated amortization of drydock expense. If the vessel is disposed of before the next drydock, the remaining balance in deferral drydock is written-off to the gain or loss upon

disposal of vessels in the period when contracted. We expect that our vessels will be required to be drydocked approximately every 30 months.

Costs capitalized as part of the drydocking include direct costs that are incurred as part of the drydocking to meet regulatory requirements, or are expenditures that add economic life to the vessel, increase the vessel's earnings capacity or improve the vessel's efficiency. Direct costs include the shipyard costs, parts, inspection fees, steel, blasting and painting. Expenditures for normal maintenance and repairs, whether incurred as part of the drydocking or not, are expensed as incurred. Unamortized dry-docking costs of vessels that are sold are written off and included in the calculation of the resulting gain or loss in the year of the vessels' sale.

Vessel Acquisitions

Where we identify any intangible assets or liabilities associated with the acquisition of a vessel, we record all identified tangible and intangible assets or liabilities at fair value. Fair value is determined by reference to market data and the amount of expected future cash flows. We value any asset or liability arising from the market value of the time charters assumed when an acquired vessel is delivered to us.

Where we have assumed an existing charter obligation or enter into a time charter with the existing charterer in connection with the purchase of a vessel at charter rates that are less than market charter rates, we record a liability in Fair value below contract value of time charters acquired based on the difference between the assumed charter rate and the market charter rate for an equivalent vessel. Conversely, where we assume an existing charter obligation or enter into a time charter with the existing charterer in connection with the purchase of a vessel at charter rates that are above market charter rates, we record an asset in Fair value above contract value of time charters acquired, based on the difference between the market charter rate and the contracted charter rate for an equivalent vessel. This determination is made at the time the vessel is delivered to us, and such assets and liabilities are amortized to revenue over the remaining period of the charter. The determination of the fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities requires us to make significant assumptions and estimates of many variables including market charter rates, expected future charter rates, future vessel operation expenses, the level of utilization of our vessels and our weighted average cost of capital. The use of different assumptions could result in a material change in the fair value of these items, which could have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations. In the event that the market charter rates relating to the acquired vessels are lower than the contracted charter rates at the time of their respective deliveries to us, our net earnings for the remainder of the terms of the charters may be adversely affected although our cash flows will not be so affected.

Insurance Claims

Insurance claims are recorded on an accrual basis and represent the claimable expenses, net of deductibles, incurred through each balance sheet date, which are expected to be recovered from insurance companies. Any remaining costs to complete the claims are included in accrued liabilities.

Deferred Financing Costs

Fees incurred for obtaining new loans or refinancing existing ones are deferred and amortized to interest expense over the life of the related debt. Unamortized deferred financing costs are written off when the related debt is repaid, or there is a reduction in the facility, and such amounts are expensed in the period the repayment or refinancing is made.

Results of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

We believe that the important measures for analyzing future trends in our results of operations consist of the following:

	2010	2009	2008
Ownership Days	12,958	9,106	7,229
Chartered-in under operating lease Days	476	—	—
Total	13,434	9,106	7,229

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Available Days	13,323	8,999	7,172
Operating Days	13,274	8,966	7,139
Fleet Utilization	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%

- Ownership days: We define ownership days as the aggregate number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet has been owned by us. Ownership days are an indicator of the size of our fleet over a period and affect both the amount of revenues and the amount of expenses that we record during a period. There were eighteen vessels in the fleet at the beginning of 2008.

During 2008, we acquired two second-hand vessels which delivered in June and September of 2008, and took delivery of three newbuilding vessels in June, October, and November 2008. Ownership days in 2009 increased due to the delivery of four newbuilding vessels, in January, March, October, and December 2009. Ownership days in 2010 increased due to the delivery of twelve newbuilding vessels, reduced by the sale of one vessel in September 2010.

- **Chartered-in under operating lease days:** The Company defines chartered-in under operating lease days as the aggregate number of days in a period during which the Company chartered-in vessels. The Company started to charter-in vessels on a spot basis during the fourth quarter of 2010.
- **Available days:** We define available days as the number of our ownership days less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to vessel familiarization upon acquisition, scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades or special surveys and drydockings, and the aggregate amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels. The shipping industry uses available days to measure the number of days in a period during which vessels should be capable of generating revenues. We drydocked three vessels in 2008, eight vessels in 2009 and five vessels in 2010.
- **Operating days:** We define operating days as the number of our available days in a period less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to any reason, including unforeseen circumstances. The shipping industry uses operating days to measure the aggregate number of days in a period during which vessels actually generate revenues.
- **Fleet utilization:** We calculate fleet utilization by dividing the number of our operating days during a period by the number of our available days during the period. The shipping industry uses fleet utilization to measure a company's efficiency in finding suitable employment for its vessels and minimizing the amount of days that its vessels are off-hire for reasons other than scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades, special surveys or vessel positioning. Our fleet continues to perform at very high utilization rates.
- **TCE rates:** We define TCE rates as our voyage and time charter revenues less voyage expenses during a period divided by the number of our available days during the period, which is consistent with industry standards. TCE rate is a standard shipping industry performance measure used primarily to compare daily earnings generated by vessels on time charters with daily earnings generated by vessels on voyage charters, because charter hire rates for vessels on voyage charters are generally not expressed in per day amounts while charter hire rates for vessels on time charters generally are expressed in such amounts.

Time Charter and Voyage Revenue

Shipping revenues are highly sensitive to patterns of supply and demand for vessels of the size and design configurations owned and operated by a Company and the trades in which those vessels operate. In the dry bulk sector of the shipping industry, rates for the transportation of dry bulk cargoes such as ores, grains, steel, fertilizers, and similar commodities, are determined by market forces such as the supply and demand for such commodities, the distance that cargoes must be transported, and the number of vessels expected to be available at the time such cargoes need to be transported. The demand for shipments then is significantly affected by the state of the economy globally and in discrete geographical areas. The number of vessels is affected by newbuilding deliveries and by the removal of existing vessels from service, principally because of scrapping.

Revenues are also affected by the mix of charters between spot (voyage charter) and long-term (time charter). Because shipping revenues and voyage expenses are significantly affected by the mix between voyage charters and time charters, vessel revenues are bench-marked on the basis of time charter equivalent ("TCE") revenues. TCE revenue

comprises revenue from vessels operating on time charters, and voyage revenue less voyage expenses from vessels operating on voyage charters in the spot market. TCE revenue serves as a measure of analyzing fluctuations between financial periods and as a method of equating revenue generated from a voyage charter to time charter revenue. TCE revenue also serves as an industry standard for measuring revenue and comparing results between geographical regions and among competitors.

Our economic decisions are based on anticipated TCE rates and we evaluate financial performance based on TCE rates achieved. Our revenues are driven primarily by the number of vessels in our fleet, the number of days during which our vessels operate and the amount of the daily TCE that our vessels earn under charters, which, in turn, are affected by a number of factors, including:

- the duration of our charters;
- our decisions relating to vessel acquisitions and disposals;
- the amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels;
- the amount of time that our vessels spend in dry-dock undergoing repairs;
- maintenance and upgrade work;
- the age, condition and specifications of our vessels;
- levels of supply and demand in the dry bulk shipping industry; and
- other factors affecting spot market charter rates for dry bulk carriers.

Our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 were earned from time charters and voyage charters. All our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were earned from time charters. As is common in the shipping industry, we pay commissions ranging from 1.25% to 6.25% of the total daily charter hire rate of each charter to unaffiliated ship brokers and in-house brokers associated with the charterers, depending on the number of brokers involved with arranging the charter.

Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 of \$265,036,066 which is comprised of billed time charter revenues and voyage revenues of \$273,722,177, amortization of fair value below contract value of time charters acquired of \$4,754,407, and deductions for brokerage commissions of \$13,440,518. Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 were 38% greater than net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to the operation of larger fleet as reflected by the increased operating days, and an increase in daily time charter rates.

Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 of \$192,574,826 which is comprised of billed time charter revenues of \$199,851,763, amortization of fair value below contract value of time charters acquired of \$2,643,820, and deductions for brokerage commissions of \$9,920,757. While, net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 were 4% greater than net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008, the positive impact of a larger fleet size was offset by a decrease in time charter rates earned by the fleet.

Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008 of \$185,424,949 included billed time charter revenues of \$194,253,142, amortization of fair value below contract value of time charters acquired of \$799,540, and deductions for brokerage commissions of \$9,627,733. Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008 were 49% greater than net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to a larger fleet size, as reflected by the increased operating days, and an increase in daily time charter rates.

Voyage Expenses

To the extent that we employ our vessels on voyage charters, we will incur expenses that include bunkers, port charges, canal tolls, cargo handling operations and brokerage commissions, as these expenses are borne by the vessel owner on voyage charters. Bunkers, port charges, canal tolls expenses primarily increase in periods during which vessels are employed on voyage charters because these expenses are for the account of the vessels.

Vessel Expenses

Vessel expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$72,983,630, \$50,161,091, and \$36,270,382, respectively. Vessel expenses increase due to increases in the fleet size from 23 vessels in 2008, to 27 vessels in 2009, and to 38 vessels in 2010. Vessel expenses in 2010 included \$69,645,197 in vessel operating costs and \$3,338,433 in technical management fees. During 2009, vessel expenses also increased due to increases in vessel crew costs, insurance costs, costs relating to anti-piracy measures, and general increases in costs of stores and spares. Vessel expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 included \$47,466,300 in vessel operating costs and \$2,694,791 in technical management fees. Vessel expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 included \$34,065,337 in vessel operating costs and \$2,205,047 in technical management fees. Vessel expenses in 2008 increased due to increases in vessel crew costs, increase in insurance costs, and increases in lube costs which are based on global oil prices.

Vessel operating expenses include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses relating to repairs and maintenance, the cost of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes, other miscellaneous expenses, and technical management fees.

Insurance expense varies with overall insurance market conditions as well as the insured's loss record, level of insurance and desired coverage. The main insurance expenses include hull and machinery insurance (i.e. asset insurance) costs and Protection and Indemnity ("P & I") insurance (i.e. liability insurance) costs. Certain other insurances, such as basic war risk premiums based on voyages into designated war risk areas are often for the account of the charterers.

With regard to vessel operating expenses, we have entered into technical management agreements for some of our vessels with our independent technical managers, V. Ships, Wilhelmsen Ship Management, and Anglo Eastern International Ltd. In conjunction with our management, our managers have established an operating expense budget for each vessel. All deviations from the budgeted amounts are for our account. Included in Vessel Expenses is a daily fixed management fee for each vessel in our operating fleet paid to our independent technical managers. In 2009, we set up our own in-house technical management for a portion of our fleet in order to establish a vessel management bench-mark with our external technical managers. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the technical management fees averaged \$9,562, \$9,233, and \$9,041 per month per vessel, respectively.

Technical management services include managing day-to-day vessel operations, performing general vessel maintenance, ensuring regulatory and classification society compliance, supervising the maintenance and general efficiency of vessels, arranging the hire of qualified officers and crew, arranging dry-docking and repairs, purchasing stores, supplies, spare parts and new equipment, appointing supervisors and technical consultants and providing technical support.

Our vessel expenses, which generally represent costs under the vessel operating budgets, cost of insurance and vessel registry and other regulatory fees, will increase with the enlargement of our fleet. Other factors beyond our control, some of which may affect the shipping industry in general, may also cause these expenses to increase, including, for instance, developments relating to market prices for crew, insurance and petroleum-based lubricants and supplies.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, total depreciation and amortization expense was \$62,945,478, \$44,329,258, and \$33,948,840, respectively. Total depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes \$59,503,895 of vessel depreciation and \$3,441,583 of amortization of deferred drydocking costs. Total depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 includes \$41,380,917 of vessel depreciation and \$2,948,341 of amortization of deferred drydocking costs. Total depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2008 includes \$31,379,443 of vessel depreciation and

\$2,569,396 of amortization of deferred drydocking costs.

The cost of our vessels is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the expected useful life of each vessel. Depreciation is based on the cost of the vessel less its estimated residual value. We estimate the useful life of our vessels to be 28 years from the date of initial delivery from the shipyard to the original owner. Furthermore, we estimate the residual values of our vessels to be \$150 per lightweight ton, which we believe is common in the dry bulk shipping industry. Our depreciation charges will increase as our fleet expands. Drydocking relates to our regularly scheduled maintenance program necessary to preserve the quality of our vessels as well as to comply with international shipping standards and environmental laws and regulations. Management anticipates that vessels are to be drydocked every two and a half years and, accordingly, these expenses are deferred and amortized over that period.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses include onshore vessel administration related expenses such as legal and professional expenses and recurring administrative and other expenses including payroll and expenses relating to our executive officers and office staff, office rent and expenses, directors fees, and directors and officers insurance. General and administrative expenses also include non-cash compensation expenses.

General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$40,029,261, \$32,713,917, and \$34,567,070, respectively. The General and administrative expenses increase in 2010 were impacted primarily by opening new office in Singapore in September 2010, by increase in number of office staff, by increase in consultant fees, by cash and non-cash compensation (performance-based compensation and amortization of restricted stock awards) to our executive officers and office staff, and by administrative costs associated with operating a larger fleet, including the extensive newbuilding program.

General and administrative expenses include non-cash compensation charges of \$14,741,813, \$13,977,974, and \$11,111,885, respectively in 2010, 2009, and 2008. These non-cash compensation charges relate to the stock options and restricted stock units granted to members of management and certain directors of the Company under the Stock Incentive Plans (see Note 11).

Interest and Finance Costs

Interest expense, exclusive of capitalized interest, consists of:

	2010	2009	2008
Loan Interest and Commitment Fees	\$ 45,683,219	\$ 27,530,612	\$ 15,571,736
Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs	3,202,455	1,373,998	244,837
Write-off of Deferred Financing Costs	—	3,383,289	2,089,701
Total Interest Expense	\$ 48,885,674	\$ 32,287,899	\$ 17,906,274

Interest expense includes loan interest incurred on borrowings from the Company's revolving credit facility for the vessels in operation, certain commitment fees incurred on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility, and the amortization of financing costs associated with the revolving credit facility (see section Revolving Credit Facility). These financing costs are amortized over the life of the facility and the amortization relating to our operating fleet is included in interest expense.

For 2010, interest rates on our outstanding debt ranged from 2.73% to 7.73%, including a margin over LIBOR applicable under the terms of the amended revolving credit facility. The weighted average effective interest rate was 5.15%.

For 2009, interest rates on our outstanding debt ranged from 2.19% to 7.73%, including a margin over LIBOR applicable under the terms of the amended revolving credit facility. The weighted average effective interest rate was 6.23%.

For 2008, interest rates on our outstanding debt ranged from 3.10% to 6.99%, including a margin over LIBOR applicable under the terms of the amended revolving credit facility. The weighted average effective interest rate was

5.46%. Commitment fees incurred on the undrawn portion of the facility ranged from 0.25% to 0.3% on the unused portions of the revolving credit facility.

Cash interest paid during 2010, 2009, and 2008, exclusive of capitalized interest, amounted to \$43,756,809, \$26,116,825, and \$13,557,351, respectively.

Interest costs on borrowings made for the construction of our newbuilding vessels are capitalized until the vessels are delivered (see below).

Write-off of Deferred Financing Costs

In August 2009, we entered into a third Amendatory Agreement to our original \$1,600,000,000 revolving credit facility. Among other things, the credit facility was amended to \$1,200,000,000. In connection with this amendment and the debt repayment of \$48,645,523 we recorded a one-time non-cash charge of \$3,383,289, which is a write-off of the portion of deferred finance costs associated with the reduction of the credit facility.

In December 2008, we entered into a second Amendatory Agreement to our original \$1,600,000,000 revolving credit facility. Among other things, the credit facility amended the amount of the credit facility to \$1,350,000,000. In connection with the second amendment we recorded a one-time non-cash charge of \$2,089,701, which is a write-off of the portion of the deferred finance costs associated with the reduction of the credit facility.

Capitalized Interest

We have contracted for the construction of 27 newbuilding vessels of which 19 vessels have been constructed and delivered in 2008, 2009 and 2010. We make periodic progress payments for the ongoing construction of the remainder of the vessels under the contracts. Interest costs on related borrowings are capitalized until the vessels are delivered.

Capitalized interest in 2010 amounted to \$12,020,462, which includes \$10,637,033 in interest and commitment fees, and \$1,383,429 in amortization of financing costs. Capitalized interest in 2009 amounted to \$28,485,470, which includes \$26,345,204 in interest and commitment fees, and \$2,140,266 in amortization of financing costs. Capitalized interest in 2008 amounted to \$26,211,616, which includes \$24,392,000 in interest and commitment fees, and \$1,819,616 in amortization of financing costs. The increase in capitalized interest is substantially due to additional borrowings to fund the construction of the newbuilding vessels and the increase in margins. Cash paid for capitalized interest during 2010, 2009, and 2008 amounted to \$13,725,858, \$26,643,519, and \$20,385,190, respectively.

Interest Rate Swaps

We have entered into interest rate swaps to effectively convert a portion of our debt from a floating to a fixed-rate basis. Under these swap contracts, exclusive of applicable margins, we pay fixed rate interest and receive floating-rate interest amounts based on three-month LIBOR settings. The swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges.

As of December 31, 2010, the following swap contracts were outstanding:

Notional Amount Outstanding		
December 31, 2010	Fixed Rate	Maturity
\$144,700,000	3.580%	10/2011
9,162,500	3.515%	10/2011
3,405,174	3.550%	10/2011
17,050,000	3.160%	11/2011
25,048,118	4.740%	12/2011
36,752,038	5.225%	08/2012
81,500,000	3.895%	01/2013
84,800,000	3.900%	09/2013
\$402,417,830		

We record the fair value of the interest rate swaps as an asset or liability on the balance sheet. The effective portion of the swap is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, \$22,135,507, \$35,408,049, and \$50,538,060 have been recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments (liabilities) in the Company's balance sheets as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Foreign Currency Swaps

The shipping industry's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. All our revenues and the majority of our operating expenses and the entirety of our management expenses are in U.S. dollars. Therefore we do not use or intend to use financial derivatives to mitigate the risk of exchange rate fluctuations for our revenues and expenses.

However, as we entered into a newbuilding program for vessels to be built in Japan and which are priced in Japanese yen, we have entered into foreign exchange swap transactions to hedge foreign currency risks to our vessel newbuilding contracts. These swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding foreign currency swap contracts for notional amounts aggregating 8.6 billion Japanese yen swapped into the equivalent of \$80,378,030.

In February 2009, the Company fixed the gain on its outstanding foreign currency swaps contracts. This gain was recognized upon delivery of the remaining vessels as an offset to the cost of the vessels. During 2009, the Company recognized a foreign currency gain of \$8,710,806 which offset the cost of the Japanese vessels upon their delivery or payment incurred. The remaining gain as of December 31, 2009 aggregating \$4,765,116 was offset the cost of the remaining two vessels upon their delivery in January, 2010 and February 2010.

The Company records the fair value of the currency swaps as an asset or liability in its financial statements. The effective portion of the swap is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, an amount of \$0, \$4,765,116, and \$15,039,535 has been recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments in the accompanying financial statements as of December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Write-off of Advances for Vessel Construction

In December 2008, we reached an agreement with Yangzhou Dayang Shipbuilding Co., Ltd., to convert eight charter-free Supramax vessel construction contracts in our newbuilding program into options on the part of the Company, for consideration of \$440,000. The carrying value of the advanced payment in connection with the acquisition of these construction contracts from Kyrini Shipping Inc. and the cost of the eight newly converted shipbuilding contract options were in excess of the fair value of the eight options, and as such, we recorded an impairment charge of \$3,882,888.

Gain on Sale of Vessel

During 2010, the Company decided to sell the oldest and smallest vessel in its fleet, the Griffon, a 1995-built Handymax. On September 23, 2010 the Company realized a net gain of \$291,011 and received net proceeds of \$21,055,784 related to this sale.

EBITDA

EBITDA represents operating earnings before extraordinary items, depreciation and amortization, interest expense, and income taxes, if any. EBITDA is included because it is used by certain investors to measure a company's financial performance. EBITDA is not an item recognized by GAAP and should not be considered a substitute for net income, cash flow from operating activities and other operations or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States or as a measure of profitability or liquidity. EBITDA is presented to provide additional information with respect to the Company's ability to satisfy its obligations including debt service, capital expenditures, and working capital requirements. While EBITDA is frequently used as a measure of operating results and the ability to meet debt service requirements, the definition of EBITDA used here may not be comparable to that used by other companies due to differences in methods of calculation.

Our revolving credit facility permits us to pay dividends in amounts up to cumulative free cash flows which is our earnings before extraordinary or exceptional items, interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Credit Agreement EBITDA), less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for dry-docking. Therefore, we believe that this non-GAAP measure is important for our investors as it reflects our ability to pay dividends. Following an amendment to the revolving credit facility in December 2008, payment of dividends has been suspended until certain covenants requirements have been met and until our board of directors determines in its discretion to declare and pay future dividends. The following table is a reconciliation of net income, as reflected in the consolidated statements of operations, to the Credit Agreement EBITDA:

	2010	2009	2008
Net Income	\$ 26,844,650	\$ 33,287,271	\$ 61,632,809
Interest Expense	48,885,674	28,904,610	15,816,573
Depreciation and Amortization	62,945,478	44,329,258	33,948,840
Amortization of fair value (below) above market of time charter acquired	(4,754,407)	(2,643,820)	(799,540)
EBITDA	133,921,395	103,877,319	110,598,682
Adjustments for Exceptional Items:			
Write-off of Advances for Vessel Construction (1)	—	—	3,882,888
Write-off of Financing Fees (1)	—	3,383,289	2,089,701
Non-cash Compensation Expense (2)	14,741,813	13,977,974	11,111,885
Credit Agreement EBITDA	\$ 148,663,208	\$ 121,238,582	\$ 127,683,156

(1) One time charge (see Notes to the financial statements).

(2) Stock based compensation related to stock options, restricted stock units (see Notes to the financial statements).

Effects of Inflation

The Company does not believe that inflation has had or is likely, in the foreseeable future, to have a significant impact on vessel operating expenses, drydocking expenses and general and administrative expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$94,339,830, \$90,524,861 and \$109,535,918, respectively. The change in 2010 and 2009 was primarily due to lower rates on time charter renewals offset by cash generated from operation of the fleet for 13,274 operating days in 2010, compared to 9,106 operating days in 2009, compared to 7,229 operating days in 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities during 2010 was \$280,995,791, compared to \$228,624,263, in 2009, compared to \$336,657,686 in 2008. Investing activities in 2010 reflected the purchase of twelve newly constructed vessels, the last two Japanese-built vessels, the Golden Eagle and Imperial Eagle, in January and February 2010, respectively, and ten Chinese-built vessels the Thrasher, Crane and Egret, in January 2010, Avocet in February 2010, the Gannet Bulker, Grebe Bulker and Ibis Bulker in April, May and June, respectively, the Jay and Kingfisher in July and the Martin in August, and advances for the newbuilding vessel construction program. Investing activities during 2009 reflected the purchase of the Crested Eagle, Stellar Eagle, Bittern and Canary, which were delivered in the January, March, October and December of 2009, respectively, and advances for the newbuilding vessel construction program. Investing activities during 2008 reflected the purchase of the Goldeneye and Redwing, which were delivered in the second and third quarter of 2008, respectively, and advances for the newbuilding vessel construction program. During 2008, we also incurred \$219,245 in leasehold improvements relating to the expansion of our office space.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2010 was \$244,432,868, compared to \$200,235,313 in 2009, compared to \$83,426,938 in 2008. In 2010 we borrowed \$251,183,596 from our revolving credit facility which was used to partly fund advances for construction of newbuilding vessels, twelve of which, Golden Eagle, Imperial Eagle, Thrasher, Crane, Egret, Avocet, Gannet Bulker, Grebe Bulker, Ibis Bulker, Jay, Kingfisher and Martin delivered during the year. In 2009 we received 97,291,046 in net proceeds from distribution of common shares of the Company, borrowed \$159,215,000 from our revolving credit facility which was used to partly fund advances for construction of newbuilding vessels, fourth of which, Crested Eagle, Stellar Eagle, Bittern and Canary delivered during the year, repaid \$48,645,523 to our lenders under the terms of the amended debt agreement, and incurred \$4,515,623 in financing costs related to our debt agreements. Net cash provided by financing activities during 2008 was \$83,426,938. We borrowed \$192,358,513 from our revolving credit facility which was used to partly fund the Redwing and fund advances for construction of newbuilding vessels, three of which, Wren, Woodstar and Crowned Eagle delivered during the year. In 2008, we also paid \$93,592,906 in dividends.

As of December 31, 2010, our cash balance was \$129,121,680 compared to a cash balance of \$71,344,773 at December 31, 2009. In addition, \$19,000,000 in cash deposits are maintained with our lender for loan compliance purposes and this amount is recorded in Restricted Cash on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2010. Also recorded in Restricted Cash is an amount of \$276,056 which is collateralizing a letter of credit relating to our office lease and \$514,285 which collateralize for our FFAs position as of December 31, 2010.

On August 4, 2009, the Company entered into a third Amendatory Agreement to its revolving credit facility dated October 19, 2007 (See section entitled "Revolving Credit Facility" for a description of the facility and its amendments). As of December 31, 2010, we are full borrowed under this facility with aggregated amount of \$1,151,354,476. The facility also provides us with the ability to borrow up to \$20,000,000 for working capital purposes. We were in compliance with all of the covenants contained in our debt agreements as of December 31, 2010. We anticipate that our current financial resources, together with cash generated from operations will be sufficient to fund the operations of our fleet, including our working capital requirements, for the next twelve months. We will rely on operating cash flows as well as our revolving credit facility and possible additional equity and debt financing alternatives to fund our long term capital requirements for vessel construction and implement future growth plans. In December 2008, our board of directors suspended the payment of dividends to stockholders in order to increase cash flow, optimize financial flexibility and enhance internal growth.

Our loan agreements for our borrowings are secured by liens on our vessels and contain various financial covenants. The covenants relate to our financial position, operating performance and liquidity. The market value of dry bulk vessels is sensitive, among other things, to changes in the dry bulk charter market. The recent general decline in the dry bulk carrier charter market has resulted in lower charter rates for vessels in the dry bulk market. The decline in charter rates in the dry bulk market coupled with the prevailing difficulty in obtaining financing for vessel purchases have adversely affected dry bulk vessel values. A continuation of these conditions, could lead to a significant decline in the fair market values of our vessels, which could impact our compliance with these loan covenants. The recent developments in the credit markets and related impact on the dry bulk charter market and have also resulted in additional risks. The occurrence of one or more of these risk factors could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition. Please refer to the section entitled "Risk Factors" in Part I of this document.

It is our intention to fund our future acquisition related capital requirements initially through borrowings under the amended revolving credit facility and to repay all or a portion of such borrowings from time to time with cash generated from operations and from net proceeds of issuances of securities. The Company has a shelf registration filed on Form S-3 in March 2, 2009, subsequently amended, which would enable the Company to issue such securities.

Dividends

Historically we have declared quarterly dividends to stockholders in March, May, August and November. Our amended revolving credit facility permits us to pay quarterly dividends in amounts up to cumulative free cash flows which is our quarterly earnings before extraordinary or exceptional items, interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Credit Agreement EBITDA), less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for drydocking for the period, provided that there is not a default or breach of loan covenant under the credit facility and the payment of the dividends would not result in a default or breach of a loan covenant. Depending on market conditions in the dry bulk shipping industry and acquisition opportunities that may arise, we may be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing which could affect our dividend policy. In this connection, the dry bulk market has recently declined substantially. In December 2008, commencing with the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company's board of directors has determined to suspend the payment of dividends to stockholders in order to increase cash flow, optimize financial flexibility and enhance internal growth. In the future, the declaration and payment of dividends, if any, will always be

subject to the discretion of the board of directors, restrictions contained in the amended credit facility and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. The timing and amount of any dividends declared will depend on, among other things, the Company's earnings, financial condition and cash requirements and availability, the ability to obtain debt and equity financing on acceptable terms as contemplated by the Company's growth strategy, the terms of its outstanding indebtedness and the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to distribute funds to it.

The Company did not make any dividend payments in 2010 and 2009. In 2008, the Company declared four quarterly dividends in the aggregate amount of \$2.00 per share of its common stock in February, May, August and November. Aggregate payments were \$93,592,906 for dividends declared in 2008.

Sale of Common Stock

On June 30, 2009, the Company completed its offering under an Equity Distribution Agreement of \$100,000,000. From May through June 2009, the Company sold 14,847,493 common shares. The net proceeds, after underwriting commission of 2.5% and other issuance fees, amounted to \$97,291,046.

We have incurred fees and expenses aggregating \$2,708,951 for the share sales in 2009.

Revolving Credit Facility

In October 2007, the Company's revolving credit facility was amended and enhanced to \$1,600,000,000 to accommodate its newbuilding program. The original covenants under this facility required us, among other things, to ensure that the aggregate market value of the vessels in our fleet that secure our obligations under the revolving credit facility, as determined by an independent shipbroker on a charter free basis, at all times exceed 130% of the aggregate principal amount of debt outstanding under the new credit facility and the notional or actual cost of terminating any related hedging arrangements. The facility also required that, (a) we maintain an adjusted net worth, i.e., total assets less consolidated debt of an amount not less than \$300,000,000 during any accounting period; and (b) our EBITDA, as defined in the credit agreement, will at all times be not less than 2x the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging arrangements during the relevant period.

On July 3, 2008, this facility was amended. Among other things, the amended facility provided an additional incremental commitment of up to \$200,000,000 under the same terms and conditions as the existing facility, subject to satisfaction of certain additional conditions. The agreement also provided for the purchase or acquisition of more than one additional vessel en bloc or the acquisition of beneficial ownership in one or more additional vessel(s). The agreement amended the margin applicable over the Libor interest rate on borrowings to 0.95% for the next two years. Thereafter, if the advance ratio is less than 35%, the margin will be 0.80% per year; if the advance ratio is equal to or greater than 35% but less than 60%, the margin will be 0.95%; if the advance ratio is equal to or greater than 60%, the margin will be 1.05%. The agreement also amended the commitment fee on the undrawn portion of the revolving credit facility to 0.30%. In connection with this second amendment, applicable arrangement fees were to be incurred and these fees were to be in proportion to the arrangement fees previously incurred when the revolving facility was increased to \$1,600,000,000 in 2007. All other terms and conditions remained unchanged.

On December 17, 2008, the Company entered into a second Amending Agreement to its revolving credit facility. Among other things, the amount of the credit facility was amended to \$1,350,000,000. The agreement also amended the minimum security value of the credit facility to include the aggregate market value of the vessels in the Company's operating fleet and the deposits on its newbuilding contracts. The agreement amended the minimum security value clause of the credit facility from 130% to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of debt outstanding under the credit facility. The agreement also provides that future dividend payments will be based on maintaining a minimum security value of 130%. The agreement reduces the minimum net worth clause of the credit facility from \$300,000,000 to \$75,000,000 for 2009, subject to annual review thereafter. The agreement also amends the interest margin to 1.75% over LIBOR.

On August 4, 2009, the Company entered into a third Amending Agreement to its revolving credit facility. Among other things, this amendment reduced the amount of the credit facility to \$1,200,000,000 with maturity in July 2014.

The agreement also modified the minimum security covenant, the minimum net worth covenant, and the minimum interest coverage ratio covenant, until such time as the Company can comply with the original covenants for two consecutive accounting periods. In the interim, the measurement of the three covenants at the end of each accounting period has been amended as follows: (a) The minimum security covenant has been suspended, (b) the minimum net worth covenant has been amended to a threshold minimum of \$400 million plus an amount equal to fifty percent of any equity received by the Company, with the determination of net worth to utilize book value of vessel assets as stated in the financial statements rather than the market value, and (c) until reinstatement of the original minimum security and net worth covenants, for 24 months from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2011, at each accounting period, the Company's cumulative EBITDA (EBITDA as defined in the credit agreement) will at all times be not less than 120% of the cumulative loan interest incurred on a trailing four quarter basis, and for each accounting period after June 30, 2011, the Company's cumulative EBITDA will at all times be not less than 130% of the cumulative loan interest incurred on a trailing four quarter basis. The amendment also requires that until the Company is in compliance with the original covenants for two consecutive accounting periods, the Company will use half the net proceeds from any equity issuance to reduce the facility, including approximately \$48.6 million from the equity raised during the second quarter which was paid during the third quarter. These payments reduce the available amount of the credit facility to \$1,151,354,476. The Company borrowing will bear interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%. The Company will also pay on a quarterly basis a commitment fee of 0.7% per annum on the undrawn portion of the facility. The facility is available in full until July 2012 when availability will begin to decline in four semi-annual reductions of \$53,969,741 with a balloon payment of \$935,475,513 at maturity in July 2014.

The Company's revolving credit facility contains financial covenants requiring us, among other things, to ensure that we maintain with the lender \$500,000 per delivered vessel. As of December 31, 2010 the Company has recorded \$19,000,000 as restricted cash in the accompanying balance sheets. At December 31, 2010, the Company's debt consisted of \$1,151,354,476 in net borrowings under the amended Revolving Credit Facility. These borrowings consisted of \$990,838,309 for the 38 vessels currently in operation and \$160,516,167 to fund the Company's newbuilding program. In connection with the arrangement of the revolving credit facility and its various amendments the Company has recorded deferred financing costs aggregating \$0 in 2010, \$3,671,873 in 2009, and \$13,945,190 in 2008. We will also pay the lender an annual agency fee of \$65,000.

On August 4, 2010, the Company entered into a Fourth Amendatory Agreement to its revolving credit facility dated October 19, 2007, by and between the Company and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as mandated lead arranger, bookrunner, swap bank, agent and security trustee and certain other lenders (collectively the "Lenders"), pursuant to which the Lenders consented, among other things, to the Company conducting the trading operation.

Our obligations under the amended revolving credit facility are secured by a first priority mortgage on each of the vessels in our fleet and such other vessels that we may from time to time include with the approval of our lender, and by a first assignment of all freights, earnings, insurances and requisition compensation relating to our vessels. The facility also limits our ability to create liens on our assets in favor of other parties.

For the purposes of the revolving credit facility, our "total assets" includes our tangible fixed assets and our current assets, as set forth in our consolidated financial statements, except that the value of any vessels in our fleet that secure our obligations under the facility are measured by their fair market value rather than their carrying value on our consolidated balance sheet.

The revolving credit facility permits us to pay dividends in amounts up to our earnings before extraordinary or exceptional items, interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for dry-docking, provided that there is not a default or breach of loan covenant under the credit facility and the payment of the dividends would not result in a default or breach of a loan covenant. The agreement also provides that future dividend payments will be based on maintaining a minimum security value of 130%.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our expected contractual obligations and their maturity dates as of December 31, 2010:

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Within One Year(4)	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More than Five years	Total
Vessels (1)	\$ 164,983	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	164,983
Bank Loans	—	161,908	989,446	—	1,151,354
Interest and borrowing fees (2)	49,246	93,809	26,048	—	169,103
Office lease (3)	1,206	2,609	2,223	2,686	8,724
Total	\$ 215,435	\$ 258,326	\$ 1,017,717	\$ 2,686	\$ 1,494,164

- (1) The balance of the contract price in US dollars for the 24 newbuilding vessels which are to be constructed and delivered in 2011.
- (2) The Company is a party to floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps covering aggregate notional amount of \$771,529,273. Interest and borrowing fees includes capitalized interest for the newbuilding vessels.
 - (3) Remainder of the lease on the office space which we occupy.
 - (4) Not includes obligation of charter in vessels less than one year.

Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures relate to the purchase of vessels and capital improvements to our vessels which are expected to enhance the revenue earning capabilities and safety of these vessels.

We make capital expenditures from time to time in connection with our vessel acquisitions. As of December 31, 2010, our fleet currently consists of 38 Supramax vessels which are currently operational and 8 newbuilding vessels which have been contracted for construction.

In addition to acquisitions that we may undertake in future periods, the Company's other major capital expenditures include funding the Company's program of regularly scheduled drydocking necessary to comply with international shipping standards and environmental laws and regulations. Although the Company has some flexibility regarding the timing of its dry docking, the costs are relatively predictable. Management anticipates that vessels are to be drydocked every two and a half years. Funding of these requirements is anticipated to be met with cash from operations. We anticipate that this process of recertification will require us to reposition these vessels from a discharge port to shipyard facilities, which will reduce our available days and operating days during that period.

Drydocking costs incurred are deferred and amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the period through the date of the next scheduled drydocking for those vessels. In 2010, five of our vessels were drydocked and we incurred \$2,827,534 in drydocking related costs. In 2009, eight of our vessels were drydocked and we incurred \$4,477,244 in drydocking related costs. In 2008, three of our vessels were drydocked and we incurred \$2,388,776 in drydocking related costs. The following table represents certain information about the estimated costs for anticipated vessel drydockings in the next four quarters, along with the anticipated off-hire days:

Quarter Ending	Off-hire Days(1)	Projected Costs(2)
March 31, 2011	88	\$2.20 million
June 30, 2011	44	\$1.10 million
September 30, 2011	22	\$0.55 million
December 31, 2011	44	\$1.10 million

(1) Actual duration of drydocking will vary based on the condition of the vessel, yard schedules and other factors.

(2) Actual costs will vary based on various factors, including where the drydockings are actually performed.

Contracted Time Charter Revenue

We have time charter contracts currently for all our vessels in the operating fleet. The contracted time charter revenue schedule, included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and results of operation should be read in conjunction with the off-hire days in the drydock schedule above.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates, which could impact its results of operations and financial condition. The Company's objective is to manage the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows of its borrowings. The Company expects to manage this exposure to market risk through its regular operating and financing activities and, when deemed appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. The Company expects to use interest rate swaps to manage net exposure to interest rate changes related to its borrowings and to lower its overall borrowing costs.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's debt consisted of \$1,151,354,476 in loans under the revolving credit facility at a margin plus variable rates above the LIBOR. During the year ended December 31, 2010, interest rates on the outstanding debt ranged from 2.73% to 7.73% (including margins). The weighted average effective interest rate was 5.15%.

The Company entered into interest rate swaps to effectively convert a substantial portion of its debt from a floating to a fixed-rate basis. The swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had the following swap contracts outstanding:

Notional Amount Outstanding – December 31, 2009	Fixed Rate	Maturity
144,700,000	3.580%	10/2011
9,162,500	3.515%	10/2011
3,405,174	3.550%	10/2011
17,050,000	3.160%	11/2011
25,048,118	4.740%	12/2011
36,752,038	5.225%	08/2012
81,500,000	3.895%	01/2013
84,800,000	3.900%	09/2013
\$ 402,417,830		

The Company records the fair value of the interest rate swaps as an asset or liability on the balance sheet. The effective portion of the swap is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, \$22,135,507 and \$35,408,049 has been recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments (liabilities) in the Company's balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Foreign Currency and Exchange Rate Risk

The shipping industry's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. The Company generates all of its revenues in U.S. dollars. The majority of the Company's operating expenses and the entirety of its management expenses are in U.S. dollars. The Company does not intend to use financial derivatives to mitigate the risk of exchange rate fluctuations for its revenues and expenses.

The Company has entered into foreign exchange swap transactions to hedge foreign currency risks on its capital asset transactions (vessel newbuildings). The swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. The Company has entered into foreign exchange swap transactions to hedge the Japanese yen (JPY) exposure into US dollars for the purchase price in Japanese yen of five new-build vessels which commenced deliveries to the Company in November 2008 with the last vessel was delivered in February 2010. At December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding foreign currency swap contracts for notional amounts aggregating 8.6 billion Japanese yen swapped into the equivalent of \$80,378,030. In February 2009, the Company fixed the gain on its outstanding foreign currency swaps contracts. This gain will be recognized upon delivery of the remaining vessels as an offset to the cost of the vessels. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized a foreign currency gain of \$8,710,806 which offset the cost of the Japanese vessels upon delivery or payment incurred. The remaining gain as of December

31, 2009 aggregating \$4,765,116 was offset the cost of the remaining two vessels upon their delivery in January, 2010 and February 2010.

The Company records the fair value of the currency swaps as an asset or liability in its financial statements. The effective portion of the currency swap is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, an amount of \$0 and \$4,765,116 have been recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments and other assets in the accompanying balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this item is contained in the financial statements set forth in Item 15(a) under the caption "Consolidated Financial Statements" as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits to the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Management has conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 is effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing on page F-3 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In addition, we evaluated our internal control over financial reporting, (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), and there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2010 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Item 9B. Other Information

None

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors

The information concerning our directors required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, relating to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders (our "2011 Proxy Statement").

Executive Officers

The information concerning our Executive Officers required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Code of Ethics

The information concerning our Code of Conduct is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Audit Committee

The information concerning our Audit Committee is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Audit Committee Financial Experts

The information concerning our Audit Committee Financial Experts is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information about the fees for 2010 for professional services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm is incorporated herein by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement. Our Audit Committee's policy on pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services of our independent registered public accounting firm is incorporated by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K

1. Consolidated Financial Statements: See accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.

2. Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule: Financial statement schedules are omitted due to the absence of conditions under which they are required

(b) Exhibits

3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company (1)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (1)
3.2.1	Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (2)
4.1	Form of Share Certificate of the Company (1)
4.1.1	Form of Senior Indenture (3)
4.1.2	Form of Subordinated Indenture (3)
4.1.3	Rights Agreement (4)
10.1	Form of Registration Rights Agreement (1)
10.2	Form of Management Agreement (1)
10.2.1	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (5)
10.2.2	Securities Purchase Agreement (6)
10.3	Form of Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (7)
10.3.1	Second Amendatory Agreement of Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (8)
10.4	Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (1)
10.5	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Mr. Sophocles N. Zoullas (9)
10.6	Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (10)
10.7	Fourth Amendatory Agreement, dated as of August 4, 2010, among the Company and certain of its subsidiaries and the banks and financial institutions party thereto and the Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as mandated lead arranger (11)
10.8	Delphin Management Agreement (12)
14.1	Code of Ethics (13)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
23.2	Consent of Seward & Kissel LLP
31.1	Rule 13a-14(d) / 15d-14(a)_Certification of CEO
31.2	Rule 13a-14(d) / 15d-14(a)_Certification of CFO
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of CEO
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of CFO

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, Registration No. 333-123817 filed on June 20, 2005.

(2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's registration statement on Form 8-A dated November 13, 2007.

(3) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on December 29, 2006.

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2007.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2007, filed on November 9, 2007.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2006.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed on October 25, 2007.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3POSASR, Registration No. 333-148417 filed on March 2, 2009.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed on June 20, 2008.
- (10) Incorporated in Appendix A to the proxy statement pursuant to Schedule 14A filed on April 10, 2009.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2010, filed on November 9, 2010.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending on December 31, 2009, filed on March 5, 2010.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Company's to be filed 2010 Proxy Statement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.

By:

Name: Sophocles Zoullas

Title: Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

March 4, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 4, 2010.

Signature	Title
<hr/> Sophocles Zoullas	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Director
<hr/> David B. Hiley	Director
<hr/> Douglas P. Haensel	Director
<hr/> Joseph Cianciolo	Director
<hr/> Thomas Winmill	Director
<hr/> Alexis P. Zoullas	Director

Jon Tomasson

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial
Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Alan Ginsberg

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 4, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young L.L.P.

New York, New York
March 4, 2011

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.

We have audited Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO criteria"). Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report of Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, and our report dated March 4, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young L.L.P.

New York, New York
March 4, 2011

F-3

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	2010	December 31,	2009
ASSETS:			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 129,121,680		\$ 71,344,773
Accounts receivable	14,366,495		7,443,450
Prepaid expenses	3,459,721		4,989,446
Inventories	3,190,052		—
Fair value above contract value of time charters acquired	594,611		427,359
Total current assets	150,732,559		84,205,028
Noncurrent assets:			
Vessels and vessel improvements, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation of \$176,824,438 and \$125,439,001, respectively	1,509,798,249		1,010,609,956
Advances for vessel construction	191,477,225		464,173,887
Other fixed assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$153,375 and \$59,519, respectively	420,204		258,347
Restricted cash	19,790,341		13,776,056
Deferred drydock costs	4,217,071		5,266,289
Deferred financing costs	16,458,496		21,044,379
Fair value above contract value of time charters acquired	3,608,812		4,103,756
Fair value of derivative instruments and other assets	70,001		4,765,116
Total noncurrent assets	1,745,840,399		1,523,997,786
Total assets	\$ 1,896,572,958		\$ 1,608,202,814
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 6,089,273		\$ 2,289,333
Accrued interest	6,651,554		7,810,931
Other accrued liabilities	5,850,474		3,827,718
Deferred revenue and fair value below contract value of time charters			
acquired	5,705,326		7,718,902
Unearned charter hire revenue	6,091,332		4,858,133
Fair value of derivative instruments	127,758		—
Total current liabilities	30,515,717		26,505,017
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Long-term debt	1,151,354,476		900,170,880
Deferred revenue and fair value below contract value of time charters			
acquired	23,480,740		26,389,796
Fair value of derivative instruments	22,135,507		35,408,049

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Total noncurrent liabilities	1,196,970,723	961,968,725
Total liabilities	1,227,486,440	988,473,742
Commitment and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 62,560,436 and 62,126,665 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	625,604	621,267
Additional paid-in capital	738,251,158	724,250,125
Retained earnings (net of dividends declared of \$262,118,388 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	(47,654,737)	(74,499,387)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(22,135,507)	(30,642,933)
Total stockholders' equity	669,086,518	619,729,072
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,896,572,958	\$ 1,608,202,814

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues, net of commissions	\$ 265,036,066	\$ 192,574,826	\$ 185,424,949
Voyage expenses	3,726,847	—	—
Vessel expenses	72,983,630	50,161,091	36,270,382
Charter hire expenses	9,982,677	—	—
Depreciation and amortization	62,945,478	44,329,258	33,948,840
General and administrative expenses	40,029,261	32,713,917	34,567,070
Write-off of advances for vessel construction	—	—	3,882,888
Gain on sale of vessel	(291,011)	—	—
Total operating expenses	189,376,882	127,204,266	108,669,180
Operating Income	75,659,184	65,370,560	76,755,769
Interest expense	48,885,674	28,904,610	15,816,573
Interest income	(369,558)	(204,610)	(2,783,314)
Write-off of deferred financing costs	—	3,383,289	2,089,701
Other expenses	298,418	—	—
Total other expense, net	48,814,534	32,083,289	15,122,960
Net income	\$ 26,844,650	\$ 33,287,271	\$ 61,632,809
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	62,204,443	55,897,946	46,800,550
Diluted	62,417,247	55,923,308	46,888,788
Per share amounts:			
Basic net income	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.32
Diluted net income	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.31
Cash dividends declared and paid	—	—	\$ 2.00

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Shares	Common Shares	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings Net Income	Cash Dividends	Accumulated Deficit	Other Comprehensive Income	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at January 1, 2008	46,727,153	\$467,271	\$602,929,530			\$(75,826,561)	\$(12,599,245)	\$514,9
Comprehensive income :								
Net income	—	—	—	61,632,809	—	61,632,809	—	61,63
Net unrealized losses on derivatives	—	—	—	—	—	—	(22,899,280)	(22,8
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	38,73
Exercise of stock options	13,333	134	237,194	—	—	—	—	237,3
Vesting of restricted shares	260,814	2,608	(36,663)	—	—	—	—	(34,0
Cash dividends	—	—	—	—	(93,592,906)	(93,592,906)	—	(93,5
Issuance of common shares	30,000	300	608,100	—	—	—	—	608,4
Non-cash compensation – Restricted Stock	—	—	10,503,485	—	—	—	—	10,50
Balance at December 31, 2008	47,031,300	470, \$313	\$614,241,646			\$(107,786,658)	\$(35,498,525)	\$471,4
Comprehensive income :								
Net income	—	—	—	33,287,271	—	33,287,271	—	33,28
Net unrealized gain on derivatives	—	—	—	—	—	—	4,855,592	4,855
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	38,14
Vesting of restricted shares, net of shares withheld for employee tax	247,872	2,479	(1,112,066)	—	—	—	—	(1,10

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Issuance of common shares, net of issuance costs	14,847,493	148,475	97,142,571	—	—	—	—	97,29
Non-cash compensation	—	—	13,977,974	—	—	—	—	13,97
Balance at December 31, 2009	62,126,665	621,267	\$724,250,125			(74,499,387)	\$(30,642,933)	\$619,7
Comprehensive income :								
Net income	—	—	—	26,844,650	—	26,844,650	—	26,84
Net unrealized gain on derivatives	—	—	—	—	—	—	8,507,426	8,507
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35,35
Vesting of restricted shares, net of shares withheld for employee tax	433,771	4,337	(740,780)	—	—	—	—	(736,
Non-cash compensation	—	—	14,741,813	—	—	—	—	14,74
Balance at December 31, 2010	62,560,436	625,604	\$738,251,158			(47,654,737)	\$(22,135,507)	\$669,0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 26,844,650	\$ 33,287,271	\$ 61,632,809
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Items included in net income not affecting cash flows:			
Depreciation and amortization	59,503,895	41,380,917	31,379,443
Amortization of deferred drydocking costs	3,441,583	2,948,341	2,569,396
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,202,455	1,373,998	244,837
Write-off of deferred financing costs	—	3,383,289	2,089,701
Write-off of advances for vessel construction	—	—	3,882,888
Amortization of fair value (below) above contract value of time charter acquired	(4,754,407)	(2,643,820)	(799,540)
Gain on sale of vessel	(291,011)	—	—
Unrealized losses on forward freight agreements, net	127,758	—	—
Non-cash compensation expense	14,741,813	13,977,974	11,111,885
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(6,923,045)	(3,085,613)	(965,376)
Prepaid expenses	1,529,725	(1,691,645)	(2,139,688)
Inventories	(3,190,052)	—	—
Other assets	(70,001)	—	—
Accounts payable	3,799,940	252,273	(1,584,499)
Accrued interest	(4,211,361)	1,429,939	1,707,326
Accrued expenses	2,022,756	805,743	1,158,703
Drydocking expenditures	(2,827,534)	(4,477,244)	(2,388,776)
Deferred revenue	159,467	4,684,138	—
Unearned charter hire revenue	1,233,199	(1,100,700)	1,636,809
Net cash provided by operating activities	94,339,830	90,524,861	109,535,918
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Vessels and vessel improvements and			
Advances for vessel construction	(301,795,862)	(228,530,198)	(336,438,441)
Purchase of other fixed assets	(255,713)	(94,065)	(219,245)
Proceeds from sale of vessel	21,055,784	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(280,995,791)	(228,624,263)	(336,657,686)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Issuance of common stock	—	99,999,997	237,328
Equity issuance costs	—	(2,708,951)	—
Bank borrowings	251,183,596	159,215,000	192,358,513
Repayment of bank debt	—	(48,645,523)	—
Changes in restricted cash	(6,014,285)	(2,000,000)	(2,651,440)

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Deferred financing costs	—	(4,515,623)	(12,890,502)
Cash used to settle net share equity awards	(736,443)	(1,109,587)	(34,055)
Cash dividend	—	—	(93,592,906)
Net cash provided by financing activities	244,432,868	200,235,313	83,426,938
Net increase/(decrease) in Cash	57,776,907	62,135,911	(143,694,830)
Cash at beginning of period	71,344,773	9,208,862	152,903,692
Cash at end of period	\$ 129,121,680	\$ 71,344,773	\$ 9,208,862
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the period for Interest (including capitalized interest of \$13,725,858, \$26,643,519 and \$20,385,190 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively and commitment fees)	\$ 57,480,100	\$ 52,760,344	\$ 21,807,953

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

EAGLE BULK SHIPPING INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and General Information:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company", "we" or "our"). The Company is engaged in the ocean transportation of dry bulk cargoes worldwide through the ownership and operation of dry bulk vessels. The Company's fleet is comprised of Supramax and Handymax bulk carriers and the Company operates its business in one business segment.

The Company is a holding company incorporated in 2005, under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands and is the sole owner of all of the outstanding shares of its wholly-owned subsidiaries incorporated in the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The primary activity of each of the subsidiaries is the ownership of a vessel. The operations of the vessels are managed by a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Eagle Shipping International (USA) LLC, a Republic of the Marshall Islands limited liability company.

During the third quarter of 2010 the Company launched a freight trading operation to capitalize on value creation strategies in spot trading, contracts of affreightment, time charter-in and -out and derivative instruments. These trading capabilities (the "Trading operation") will extend the Company's global presence, which includes a new office in Singapore.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's operating fleet consists of 38 vessels. The Company has an extensive vessel newbuilding program and as of December 31, 2010, had contracts for the construction of 8 vessels. The following tables present certain information concerning the Company's fleet as of December 31, 2010:

No. of Vessels	Dwt	Vessel Type	Delivery
Vessels in Operation			
38 Vessels	2,046,126	36 Supramax 2 Handymax	
Vessels to be delivered			
1 Vessel	53,100	53,100 dwt series Supramax	2011
7 Vessels	406,000	58,000 dwt series Supramax	2011

The following table represents certain information about the Company's charterers which individually accounted for more than 10% of the Company's gross time charter revenue during the periods indicated:

Charterer	% of Consolidated Time Charter Revenue		
	2010	2009	2008

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

Charterer A	23.2%	16.2%	23.9%
Charterer B	—	13.7%	14.7%
Charterer C	—	12.3%	15.9%
Charterer D	—	18.2%	13.5%
Charterer E	11.0%	—	—

F-8

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies:

- (a) Principles of Consolidation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and include the accounts of Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions were eliminated upon consolidation.
- (b) Use of Estimates: The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
- (c) Other Comprehensive Income: The Company records the fair value of interest rate swaps and foreign currency swaps as an asset or liability on the balance sheet. The effective portion of the swap is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Comprehensive Income is composed of net income and gains or losses relating to the swaps.
- (d) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash: The Company considers highly liquid investments such as time deposits and certificates of deposit with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Restricted Cash includes minimum cash deposits required to be maintained with a bank for loan compliance purposes, an amount of \$276,056 which is collateralizing a letter of credit and an amount of \$514,285 which collateralize for our derivative position as of December 31, 2010.
- (e) Accounts Receivable: Accounts receivable includes receivables from charterers for hire and voyage charterers. At each balance sheet date, all potentially uncollectible accounts are assessed for purposes of determining the appropriate provision for doubtful accounts.
- (f) Insurance Claims: Insurance claims are recorded on an accrual basis and represent the claimable expenses, net of deductibles, incurred through each balance sheet date, which are expected to be recovered from insurance companies. Any remaining costs to complete the claims are included in accrued liabilities.
- (g) Inventories: Inventories, which consist of bunkers, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined on a first-in, first-out method.
- (h) Vessels and vessel improvements, at cost: Vessels are stated at cost which consists of the contract price and other direct costs relating to acquiring and placing the vessels in service. Major vessel improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the vessels.
- (i) Vessel Depreciation: Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the vessels, after considering the estimated salvage value. Each vessel's salvage value is equal to the product of its lightweight tonnage and estimated scrap rate. Management estimates the useful life of the Company's vessels to be 28 years from the date of initial delivery from the shipyard to the original owner. Management estimates the scrap rate to be \$150 per lightweight ton. Secondhand vessels are depreciated from the date of their acquisition through their remaining estimated useful life.
- (j) Intangibles: Where the Company identifies any intangible assets or liabilities associated with the acquisition of a vessel, the Company records all identified tangible and intangible assets or liabilities at fair value. Fair value is determined by reference to market data and the amount of expected future cash flows. The Company values any

asset or liability arising from the market value of the time charters assumed when a vessel is acquired. When the time charters assumed are above market charter rates, the difference between the market charter rate and assumed charter rate is recorded as Fair value above contract value of time charters acquired. When the time charters assumed are below market charter rates, the difference between the market charter rate and assumed charter rate is recorded as Fair value below contract value of time charters acquired. Such assets and liabilities are amortized to revenue over the remaining period of the time charters.

(k) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. When the estimate of undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest charges, expected to be generated by the use of the asset is less than its carrying amount, the Company will evaluate the asset for an impairment loss. Measurement of the impairment loss is based on the fair value of the asset as provided by third parties or discounted cash flow analyses. In this respect, management regularly reviews the carrying amount of the vessels in connection with the estimated recoverable amount for each of the Company's vessels.

- (l) Accounting for Dry-Docking Costs: The Company follows the deferral method of accounting for dry-docking costs whereby actual costs incurred are deferred and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the period through the date the next dry-docking is required to become due, generally 30 months. Costs capitalized as part of the drydocking include direct costs that are incurred as part of the drydocking to meet regulatory requirements, or are expenditures that add economic life to the vessel, increase the vessel's earnings capacity or improve the vessel's efficiency. Direct costs include the shipyard costs, parts, inspection fees, steel, blasting and painting. Expenditures for normal maintenance and repairs, whether incurred as part of the drydocking or not, are expensed as incurred. Unamortized dry-docking costs of vessels that are sold are written off and included in the calculation of the resulting gain or loss in the year of the vessels' sale.
- (m) Deferred Financing Costs: Fees incurred for obtaining new loans or refinancing existing ones are deferred and amortized to interest expense over the life of the related debt. Unamortized deferred financing costs are written off when the related debt is repaid or refinanced and such amounts are expensed in the period the repayment or refinancing is made.
- (n) Other fixed assets: Other fixed assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is based on a straight line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset. Other fixed assets consist principally of leasehold improvements, computers and software and are depreciated over 3-10 years.
- (o) Accounting for Revenues and Expenses: Revenues are generated from time charters and voyage charters. Time charter revenues are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the respective time charter agreements as service is provided. Voyage revenues for cargo transportation are recognized ratably over the estimated relative transit time of each voyage. Voyage revenue is deemed to commence upon the completion of discharge of the previous charterer's cargo and is deemed to end upon the completion of discharge of the current cargo, provided an agreed non-cancelable charter between the Company and the charterer is in existence, the charter rate is fixed and determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue under voyage charters will not be recognized until a charter has been agreed even if the vessel has discharged its previous cargo and is proceeding to an anticipated port of loading.

Revenues generated from time charters linked to the Baltic Supramax index and/or revenues generated from profit sharing arrangements are recognized over the term of the respective time charter agreements as service is provided and the profit sharing is fixed and determinable.

Under voyage charters, voyage expenses such as bunkers, port charges, canal tolls, cargo handling operations and brokerage commissions are paid by the Company whereas, under time charters, such voyage costs are paid by the Company's customers. All voyage and vessel operating expenses are expensed as incurred on an accrual basis, except for commissions. Commissions are deferred over the related time or voyage charter period to the extent revenue has been deferred since commissions are earned as the Company's revenues are earned. Probable loss on voyage is provided for in full at the time such loss can be estimated.

- (p) Deferred Revenue: Deferred revenue includes cash received prior to the balance sheet date for which all criteria to recognize as revenue have not been met, including any deferred revenue resulting from charter agreements providing for varying annual rates, which are accounted for on a straight line basis.
- (q) Unearned Charter Hire Revenue: Unearned charter hire revenue represents cash received from charterers prior to the time such amounts are earned. These amounts are recognized as revenue as services are provided in future periods.

(r) Repairs and Maintenance: All repair and maintenance expenses are expensed as incurred and are recorded in Vessel Expenses.

F-10

- (s) Protection and Indemnity Insurance: The Vessel's Protection and Indemnity Insurance is subject to additional premiums referred to as "back calls" or "supplemental calls" which are accounted for on an accrual basis and is recorded in Vessel Expenses.
- (t) Derivatives: Derivative financial instruments used for hedging purposes must be designated and effective as a hedge of the identified risk exposure at the inception of the contract. Accordingly, changes in fair value of the derivative contract must be highly correlated with changes in fair value of the underlying hedged item at inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract. All derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet as assets or liabilities and measured at fair value. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the changes in fair value of the derivatives are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and subsequently recognized in earnings when the hedged items impact earnings. Cash flows of such derivative financial instruments are classified consistent with the underlying hedged item. Starting in November, 2010, the Company entered into certain derivative instruments, including dry bulk shipping Forward freight agreements ('FFAs') as economic hedges relating to identifiable ship and or cargo positions and as economic hedges of transactions the Company expects to carry out in the normal course of its shipping business. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that have not been designated as hedging instruments are reported in current period earnings.
- (u) Earnings Per Share: Earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the impact of stock options and restricted stock unless their impact is antidilutive.
- (v) Segment Reporting: The Company reports financial information and evaluates its operations by charter revenues and not by the length of ship employment for its customers, i.e., spot or time charters. The Company does not use discrete financial information to evaluate the operating results for each such type of charter. Although revenue can be identified for these types of charters, management cannot and does not identify expenses, profitability or other financial information for these charters. As a result, management, including the chief operating decision maker, reviews operating results solely by revenue per day and operating results of the fleet and thus the Company has determined that it operates under one reportable segment. Furthermore, when the Company charters a vessel to a charterer, the charterer is free to trade the vessel worldwide and, as a result, the disclosure of geographic information is impracticable.
- (w) Interest Rate Risk Management: The Company is exposed to the impact of interest rate changes. The Company's objective is to manage the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows of its borrowings. The Company may use interest rate swaps to manage net exposure to interest rate changes related to its borrowings.
- (x) Federal Income Taxes: The Company is a Republic of the Marshall Islands Corporation. Pursuant to various tax treaties and the current United States Internal Revenue Code, the Company does not believe its operations prospectively will be subject to federal income taxes in the United States of America.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) – Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This ASU requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 requires a reporting entity to disclose significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements, to describe the reasons for the transfers, and to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs. ASU 2010-06 is

effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855) - Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. This ASU removes the requirement for an SEC filer to

F-11

disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. All the amendments in ASU 2010-09 were effective upon issuance (February 24, 2010) except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt obligors. That amendment is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU 2010-09 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In March 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-11, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) – Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives. This ASU removes a scope exception, and an entity that has a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets that includes a credit derivative feature must evaluate that feature for bifurcation from the host financial asset in accordance with the guidance at ASC 815. ASU 2010-11 is effective at the beginning of a reporting entity's first fiscal quarter beginning after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted at the beginning of an entity's first fiscal quarter beginning after March 5, 2010. The adoption of ASU 2010-11 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, "Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This ASU intends to enhance a financial statement user's ability to evaluate the entity's credit risk exposures and adequacy of its allowance for credit losses by requiring additional disclosure about the nature of credit risk inherent in the portfolio of receivables, factors and methodologies used in estimating the allowance for credit losses and activity that occurs during a period for both finance receivables and allowance for credit losses. The scope of this ASU is limited to financing receivables, excluding short-term trade accounts receivable and receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value. The guidance provides definitions of a finance receivable, portfolio segment, class of finance receivable, and credit quality indicator. This ASU also makes significant changes to the disclosure requirements, including further disaggregation of the information presented based on portfolio segment or class of finance receivable. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Comparative disclosures are required for the periods ending after initial adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact of this new ASU on our disclosures.

Note 3. Vessels

a. Vessel and vessel improvements

At December 31, 2010, the Company's operating fleet consisted of 38 dry bulk vessels. In 2010 the Company took delivery of 12 newly constructed vessels, in January the Thrasher, Crane, Egret and Golden Eagle. In February the Avocet and Imperial Eagle. In April, May and June the Gannet Bulker, Grebe Bulker and Ibis Bulker, respectively. In July the Jay and Kingfisher, and in August the Martin. On April 5, 2010, the Company decided to sell the oldest and smallest vessel in its fleet, the Griffon a 1995-built Handymax. The Company sold the Griffon for \$21,070,000, after brokerage commission payable to a third party and realized a net gain of \$291,011. The Company took delivery of 4 vessels in 2009, acquired 5 vessels in 2008, 3 vessels in 2007, 3 vessels in 2006, 13 vessels in 2005 and sold a vessel in 2007.

Vessel and vessel improvements consist of the following:

Balance vessels and vessel improvements, at December 31, 2008	\$874,674,636
Vessel improvements	4,699,553
Delivery of newbuild vessels	172,561,721
Depreciation expense	(41,325,954)
Balance vessels and vessel improvements, at December 31, 2009	\$1,010,609,956
Vessel improvements	5,836,843
Delivery of newbuild vessels	573,091,093
Disposal of vessel	(20,329,604)
Depreciation expense	(59,410,039)
Balance vessels and vessel improvements, at December 31, 2010	\$1,509,798,249

. Advances for vessel construction

In 2010 the Company took delivery of twelve newly constructed vessels, the last two Japanese-built vessels, the Golden Eagle and Imperial Eagle, in January and February 2010, respectively, and ten Chinese-built vessels the Thrasher, Crane and Egret, in January 2010, Avocet in February 2010, the Gannet Bulker, Grebe Bulker and Ibis Bulker in April, May and June, respectively, the Jay and Kingfisher in July and the Martin in August. In 2009 the Company took delivery of four newly constructed vessels from its newbuilding program. Two vessels from the Japanese shipyard, Crested Eagle and Stellar Eagle, delivered in January and March 2009, respectively. Two vessels from the Chinese shipyard, Bittern and Canary, delivered in October and December 2009, respectively. In 2008 the Company took delivery of three vessels. Wren and Woodstar were delivered by the Chinese shipyard in June and October 2008, respectively, while Crowned Eagle, the first of our five Japanese newbuilding vessels, delivered in November 2008.

In 2007, the Company acquired the rights to the construction of the newbuilding vessels in China from Kyrini Shipping Inc., an unrelated privately held Greek shipping company for consideration of \$150,000,000, and this amount is included in the total construction cost. The acquisition comprised of purchase contracts for the construction of the 26 Supramax vessels and time charter employment contracts for 21 of the 26 vessels. The charter rates on the employment contracts acquired from Kyrini were either below or above market rates for equivalent time charters prevailing at the time the foregoing vessel contracts were acquired. The present values of the above and below market charters at the date of acquisition were estimated by the Company at \$4,531,115 and \$32,867,920, respectively, and were recorded as assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. These amounts are amortized to revenue over the remaining life of the time charters assumed on these vessels. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, net revenues included \$4,754,407 and \$2,643,820, respectively, as amortization of the below and above market rate charters. As of December 31, 2010, the unamortized above and below market rate charters was \$4,203,423 and \$24,342,461, respectively. These balances will be amortized to revenue over a weighted average period of 5.5 years.

The Company also received an option from the shipyard in China, Yangzhou Dayang Shipbuilding Co., Ltd., ('Dayang') for the construction of 9 additional Supramax vessels. On December 27, 2007, the Company exercised four of these options and the options for the remaining five vessels expired on March 31, 2008.

On December 17, 2008, the Company reached an agreement with Dayang to convert eight charter-free Supramax shipbuilding contracts into options on the part of the Company, for a consideration of \$440,000. The deposits of \$47,370,000 previously paid by the Company to Dayang for those eight contracts were applied to payments in respect of other ships being constructed by Dayang. In addition, the delivery date for one of the ships under construction was rescheduled from September 2009 to November 2010. The carrying value of the advanced payment in connection with the acquisition of the construction contracts from Kyrini Shipping Inc and the cost of the eight newly converted shipbuilding contract options were recorded in 2008 as an impairment charge of \$3,882,888.

As of December 31, 2010 the Company has 8 Supramax vessels under construction at the shipyard in China. The total cost of the construction project in China is approximately \$290,550,000 of which the Company has advanced \$125,567,500 in progress payments towards the construction of these vessels. These vessels are expected to be delivered in 2011. The Company will incur additional costs relating to the construction of these vessels, including capitalized interest, insurance, legal, and technical supervision costs.

At December 31, 2010, advances for Vessel Construction consist of the following:

Advances for Vessel Construction at December 31, 2008	\$ 411,063,011
Progress Payments	179,355,977
Capitalized Interest	33,586,523
Legal and Technical Supervision Costs	12,730,097
Delivery of Newbuild Vessels	(172,561,721)
Advances for Vessel Construction at December 31, 2009	\$ 464,173,887
Progress Payments	271,994,699
Capitalized Interest	12,020,462
Legal and Technical Supervision Costs	16,379,270
Delivery of Newbuild Vessels	(573,091,093)
Advances for Vessel Construction at December 31, 2010	\$ 191,477,225

F-13

Note 4. Deferred drydock costs

Drydocking activity for the three years ended December 31, 2010 is summarized as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Balance at January 1	\$ 5,266,289	\$ 3,737,386	\$ 3,918,006
Payments for drydocking	2,827,534	4,477,244	2,388,776
Drydock amortization	(3,441,583)	(2,948,341)	(2,569,396)
Amount expensed upon sale of vessel	(435,169)	—	—
Balance at December 31	\$ 4,217,071	\$ 5,266,289	\$ 3,737,386

Note 5. Other Accrued Liabilities

Other accrued liabilities consist of:

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Vessel Expenses	\$4,392,448	\$3,688,737
Other Expenses	1,458,026	138,981
Balance	\$5,850,474	\$3,827,718

Note 6. Long-term debt

At December 31, 2010, the Company's debt consisted of \$1,151,354,476 in net borrowings under the amended Revolving Credit Facility. These borrowings consisted of \$990,838,309 for the 38 vessels currently in operation and \$160,516,167 to fund the Company's newbuilding program.

On August 4, 2009, the Company entered into a third Amending Agreement to its revolving credit facility dated October 19, 2007. Among other things, the credit facility reduces the amount of the credit facility to \$1,200,000,000 with maturity in July 2014. The agreement also modifies the minimum security covenant, the minimum net worth covenant, and the minimum interest coverage ratio covenant, until such time as the Company can comply with the original covenants for two consecutive accounting periods. In the interim, the measurement of the three covenants at the end of each accounting period has been amended as follows: (a) The minimum security covenant has been suspended, (b) the minimum net worth covenant has been amended to a threshold minimum of \$400 million plus an amount equal to fifty percent of any equity received by the Company, with the determination of net worth to utilize book value of vessel assets as stated in the financial statements rather than the market value, and (c) until reinstatement of the original minimum security and net worth covenants, for 24 months from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2011, at each accounting period, the Company's cumulative EBITDA (EBITDA as defined in the credit agreement) will at all times be not less than 120% of the cumulative loan interest incurred on a trailing four quarter basis, and for each accounting period after June 30, 2011, the Company's cumulative EBITDA will at all times be not less than 130% of the cumulative loan interest incurred on a trailing four quarter basis. The amendment also requires, that until the Company is in compliance with the original covenants (as mentioned below) for two consecutive accounting periods, the Company will use half the net proceeds from any equity issuance to reduce the facility, including

\$48,645,523 from the equity raised in 2009. These payments reduce the available amount of the credit facility to \$1,151,354,476. As of December 31, 2010, the Company used its total availability for borrowings under the credit facility. The Company facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%. Undrawn portions of the facility bear a commitment fee of 0.7%. The facility is available in full until July 2012 when availability will begin to decline in four semi annual reductions of \$53,969,741 with a full repayment at maturity. In connection with the third amendment to the revolving credit facility, the Company recorded in 2009 a one-time non-cash charge of \$3,383,289 relating to write-off a portion of deferred finance costs associated with the reduction of the credit facility.

On August 4, 2010, the Company entered into a Fourth Amendatory Agreement to its revolving credit facility dated October 19, 2007, by and between the Company and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as mandated lead arranger, bookrunner, swap bank, agent and security trustee and certain other lenders (collectively the "Lenders"), pursuant to which the Lenders consented, among other things, to the Company conducting the trading operation.

Our obligations under the amended revolving credit facility are secured by a first priority mortgage on each of the vessels in our fleet and such other vessels that we may from time to time include with the approval of our lender, and by a first assignment of all freights, earnings, insurances and requisition compensation relating to our vessels. The facility also limits our ability to create liens on our assets in favor of other parties.

Under the terms of the third amendment to the revolving credit facility, among other things, we will maintain with the lender an amount not less than the greater of \$500,000 per delivered vessel or an amount equal to any reductions in the total commitments scheduled to be effected within the next six months less the amount of the then unutilized facility. As of December 31, 2010, the Company has recorded \$19,000,000 as restricted cash in the accompanying balance sheets.

On December 17, 2008, the Company entered into a second Amendatory Agreement to its \$1,600,000,000 revolving credit facility. Among other things, the credit facility amended the amount of the credit facility to \$1,350,000,000. The agreement amends the minimum security value of the credit facility to include the aggregate market value of the vessels in the Company's operating fleet and the deposits on its newbuilding contracts. The agreement amends the minimum security value clause of the credit facility from 130% to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of debt outstanding under the credit facility. The agreement also provides that future dividend payments will be based on maintaining a minimum security value of 130%. The agreement reduces the minimum net worth clause of the credit facility from \$300,000,000 to \$75,000,000 for 2009, subject to annual review thereafter. The agreement also amends the interest margin to 1.75% over LIBOR. In connection with the second amendment to the revolving credit facility, the Company recorded in 2008 a one-time non-cash charge of \$2,089,701, to write-off a portion of deferred finance costs associated with the reduction of the credit facility.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, interest rates on the outstanding debt ranged from 2.73% to 7.73%, including a margin of 2.50% over LIBOR applicable under the terms of the amended revolving credit facility. The weighted average effective interest rate was 5.15%. The Company incurred a commitment fee of 0.70% on the undrawn portion of the revolving credit facility. Interest costs on borrowings used to fund the Company's newbuilding program are capitalized until the vessels are delivered.

Interest Expense, exclusive of capitalized interest, consists of:

	2010	2009	2008
Loan Interest	\$ 45,683,219	\$ 27,530,612	\$ 15,571,736
Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs	3,202,455	1,373,998	244,837
Write-off of Deferred Financing Costs	—	3,383,289	2,089,701
Total Interest Expense	\$ 48,885,674	\$ 32,287,899	\$ 17,906,274

Interest paid, excluding capitalized interest, amounted to \$43,756,809 in 2010, \$26,116,825 in 2009 and \$13,557,351 in 2008.

Interest-Rate Swaps

The Company has entered into interest rate swaps to effectively convert a portion of its debt from a floating to a fixed-rate basis. Under these swap contracts, exclusive of applicable margins, the Company will pay fixed rate interest

and receive floating-rate interest amounts based on three-month LIBOR settings. The swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. The following table summarizes the interest rate swaps in place as of December 31, 2010, and 2009.

F-15

Notional Amount Outstanding – December 31, 2010	Notional Amount Outstanding – December 31, 2009	Fixed Rate	Maturity
\$ —	\$ 25,776,443	4.905%	03/2010
—	10,995,000	4.980%	08/2010
—	202,340,000	5.040%	08/2010
—	100,000,000	4.220%	09/2010
—	30,000,000	4.538%	09/2010
144,700,000	144,700,000	3.580%	10/2011
9,162,500	9,162,500	3.515%	10/2011
3,405,174	3,405,174	3.550%	10/2011
17,050,000	17,050,000	3.160%	11/2011
25,048,118	25,048,118	4.740%	12/2011
36,752,038	36,752,038	5.225%	08/2012
81,500,000	81,500,000	3.895%	01/2013
84,800,000	84,800,000	3.900%	09/2013
\$ 402,417,830	\$ 771,529,273		

The Company records the fair value of the interest rate swaps as an asset or liability on its balance sheet. The effective portion of the swap is recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments in the accompanying balance sheets. Accordingly, liabilities of \$22,135,507 and \$35,408,049 have been recorded in the Company's balance sheets as of December 31, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Note 7. Derivative Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

Foreign Currency swaps

The Company has entered into foreign exchange swap transactions to hedge foreign currency risks on its capital asset transactions (vessel newbuildings). The swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding foreign currency swap contracts for notional amounts aggregating 8.6 billion Japanese yen swapped into the equivalent of \$80,378,030. In February 2009, the Company fixed the gain on its outstanding foreign currency swaps contracts. This gain was recognized upon delivery of the remaining vessels as an offset to the cost of the vessels. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized a foreign currency gain of \$8,710,806 which offset the cost of the Japanese vessels upon delivery or payment incurred. The Company recognized in the first quarter of 2010 a foreign currency gain of \$4,765,116 which offset the cost of the last two Japanese vessels upon their delivery in January 2010 and February 2010.

The Company records the fair value of the currency swaps as an asset or liability in its financial statements. The effective portion of the currency swap is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, an asset of \$0 and \$4,765,116 have been recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments in the accompanying balance sheets as of December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Forward freight agreements (FFAs) swaps and freight derivatives

The Company trades in the FFAs and freight derivatives markets effective from November 2010, with objectives to utilize the FFAs and freight derivatives as economic hedging instruments that reduce the risk of specific vessels to changes in the freight market. The Company's FFAs in 2010 have not qualified for hedge accounting treatment. As of December 31, 2010, net amount of \$127,758 have been recorded in Fair value of derivative instruments as current liability in the accompanying balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

No portion of the cash flow hedges shown below was ineffective during the year. The effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 and the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 are as follows:

F-16

The effect of designated derivative instruments on the consolidated balance sheets:

Derivatives designated for cash flow hedging relationships	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)	
	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
Foreign currency contracts	\$ —	\$4,765,116
Interest rate swaps	(22,135,507)	(35,408,049)
Total	\$(22,135,507)	\$(30,642,933)

The Effect of not designated derivative instruments on statements of operations:

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of Loss Recognized	Amount of Gain/(Loss)	
		Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
Forward freight agreements	Other expenses	\$(298,418)	\$ —
Total		\$(298,418)	\$ —

Cash collateral Disclosures

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivatives by the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral. The amount of collateral to be posted are defined in the terms of respective master agreement executed with counterparties or exchanges and are required when agreed upon threshold limits are exceeded. At December 31, 2010, the Company's collateral related to its FFAs and freight derivative transactions was \$514,285 which is recorded in Restricted cash in the accompanying balance sheet. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had no outstanding amounts paid as collateral to derivative fair value positions.

Fair Value Measurements

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash—the carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheet for interest-bearing deposits approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature thereof.

Debt—the carrying amounts of borrowings under the revolving credit agreement approximate their fair value, due to the variable interest rate nature thereof.

Interest rate swaps—the fair value of interest rate swaps (used for hedging purposes) is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the swaps at the reporting date.

Foreign currency swaps—the fair value of foreign currency swaps (used for hedging purposes) is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the swaps at the reporting date.

Forward freight agreements (FFAs)—the fair value of FFAs is determined based on quoted rates.

Freight derivative instruments—the fair value of freight derivative contracts is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the option contracts at the reporting date.

F-17

The Company defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and provides disclosures about fair value measurements. The fair value hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements is as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable

Level 3 – Inputs that are unobservable (for example cash flow modeling inputs based on assumptions)

The following table summarizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2010:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Forward freight agreements	\$72,030	—	—
Freight derivative instruments	—	\$255,815	—
Liabilities:			
Interest rate swaps	—	\$22,135,507	—
Forward freight agreements	\$54,375	—	—
Freight derivative instruments	—	\$401,228	—

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

Vessel Technical Management Contract

The Company has technical management agreements for some of its vessels with independent technical managers. The Company paid average monthly technical management fees of \$9,562 per vessel in 2010, \$9,233 in 2009 and \$9,041 in 2008.

Operating Lease

Operating Lease

In December 2005, the Company entered into a lease for office space. The lease is secured by a letter of credit backed by cash collateral of \$276,056 which amount is recorded as restricted cash in the accompanying balance sheets. The lease expires in 2018. In November 2010, the Company entered into a lease office agreement in Singapore. The lease expires in 2013.

The future minimum commitments under the leases for office space as of December 31, 2010 are as follows:

2011	\$	1,206,472
2012		1,322,177

2013	1,287,043
2014	1,111,374
Thereafter	3,797,195
Total	\$ 8,724,261

Note 9. Related Party Transactions

On August 4, 2009, the Company entered into a management agreement (the "Management Agreement") with Delphin Shipping LLC ("Delphin"), a Marshall Islands limited liability company affiliated with Kelso Investment Associates VII, and KEP VI, LLC and the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Sophocles Zoullas. Delphin was formed for the purpose of acquiring and operating dry bulk and other vessels. Under the terms of the Management Agreement, the Company will provide commercial and technical supervisory vessel management services to dry bulk vessels to be acquired by Delphin for a fixed monthly management fee based on a sliding scale. Pursuant to the terms of the Management Agreement the Company has been granted an opportunity to acquire for its own account any dry bulk vessel that Delphin proposes to acquire. The Company has also been granted a right of first refusal on any dry bulk charter opportunity, other than a renewal of an existing charter for a Delphin owned vessel, that the Company reasonably deems suitable for a Company owned vessel. The Management Agreement also provides the Company a right of first offer on the sale of any dry bulk vessel by Delphin. The term of the Management Agreement is one year and is renewable for successive one year terms at the option of Delphin.

Pursuant to a management agreement Eagle provided commercial and technical management services to Delphin vessels for a monthly fee of \$15,834 for the first 10 vessels, \$11,667 for the second 10 vessels and \$8,750 for the third 10 vessels. The first vessel was commenced in December 2010. This monthly fee covers the vessels' commercial and technical management supervisory. This agreement has an initial term of one year and shall thereafter be renewable for successive one year terms. Total management fees for the year ended December 31, 2010 amounted to \$12,259. The balance due from Delphin as of December 31, 2010 amounted to \$71,934. The balance due mainly consists of management fees, administrative service fees and other reimbursable expenses.

Note 10. Earnings Per Common Share

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share gives effect to stock options and restricted stock units using the treasury stock method, unless the impact is anti-dilutive. Diluted net income per share as of December 31, 2010, does not include 874,100 restricted stock units and 790,668 stock options as their effect was anti-dilutive. Diluted net income per share as of December 31, 2009, does not include 1,327,704 restricted stock units and 590,668 stock options as their effect was anti-dilutive.

	2010	2009	2008
Net Income	\$ 26,844,650	\$ 33,287,271	\$ 61,632,809
Weighted Average Shares - Basic	62,204,433	55,897,946	46,800,550
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock units	212,814	25,362	88,238
Weighted Average Shares - Diluted	62,417,247	55,923,308	46,888,788
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.32
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.31

Note 11. Stock Incentive Plans

2009 Equity Incentive plan. In May 2009, our shareholders approved the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (2009 Plan) for the purpose of affording an incentive to eligible persons. The 2009 Equity Incentive Plan provides for the grant of equity based awards, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, dividend equivalents, unrestricted stock, other equity based or equity related awards, and/or performance compensation awards based on or relating to the Company's common shares to eligible non-employee directors, officers, employees or consultants. The 2009 Plan is administered by a compensation committee or such other committee of the Company's board of directors. An aggregate of 4.2 million of the Company's common shares have been authorized for issuance under the 2009 Plan.

2005 Equity Incentive plan. In 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (2005 Plan) for the purpose of affording an incentive to eligible persons. The 2005 Equity Incentive Plan provides for the grant of equity-based awards, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock bonuses, dividend equivalents and other awards based on or relating to the Company's common shares to eligible non-employee directors, selected officers and other employees and independent contractors. The plan is administered by a committee of the Company's board of directors. An aggregate of 2.6 million shares of the Company's common stock were authorized for issuance under the plan. None of the Company's common shares remain available for issuance under the 2005 Plan.

The Company permits the employees to use vested shares to satisfy the grantee's U.S. federal income tax liability resulting from issuance of the shares through the Company's retention of that number of common shares having a market value as of the vesting date equal to such tax obligation up to the minimum statutory withholding requirements. The amounts related to shares used for such tax withholding obligations were \$736,443, \$1,109,587 and \$34,055 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-19

On March 17, 2006, the Company granted options to purchase 56,666 shares of the Company's common stock to its independent non-employee directors. These options vested and became exercisable on the grant date at an exercise price of \$13.23 per share. During 2007, options to purchase 13,333 shares were exercised. The options expire ten years from the date of grant.

On January 12, 2007, the Company granted options to purchase 13,334 of the Company's common shares to its independent non-employee directors and 524,000 of the Company's common shares to members of its management. The options have an exercise price of \$17.80 per share and they vested and became exercisable for the non-employee directors on the grant date while the options for management vest and become exercisable over three years. All options expire ten years from the date of grant. During 2008, options to purchase 13,333 shares were exercised and 26,667 options were forfeited.

On May 23, 2007, the Company granted options to purchase 50,001 of the Company's common shares to its independent non-employee directors. These options vested and became exercisable on the grant date at an exercise price of \$21.88 per share. The options expire ten years from the date of grant.

On January 23, 2009, the Company granted options to purchase 222,815 of the Company's common shares to its independent non-employee directors. These options vested and became exercisable on the grant date at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share and expire six years from the date of grant.

On March 8, 2010 and May 20, 2010, the Company granted options to purchase 200,000 and 50,000, respectively, of the Company's common shares to its independent non-employee directors. These options vested and became exercisable on the grant date at an exercise price of \$5.91 and \$4.59, respectively, per share and expire five years from the date of grant.

For purposes of determining compensation cost for the Company's stock option plans using the fair value method of FAS 123(R), the fair value of the options granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: risk free interest rate of 1.1% and 2.2%, dividend yield of 0% and 0%, expected stock price volatility factor of 85% to 74%, for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company has recorded non-cash compensation charges of \$722,167, \$852,328 and \$301,477 relating to the fair value of these stock options in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

On February 2, 2011, the Company granted options to purchase 250,000 of the Company's common shares to its independent non-employee directors. These options vested and became exercisable on the grant date at an exercise price of \$4.34 per share and expire five years from the date of grant.

In 2006 and 2007, the Company granted Dividend Equivalent Rights Awards to its independent non-employee directors and members of its management. The Dividend Equivalent Rights award entitles the participant to receive a Dividend Equivalent payment each time the Company pays a dividend to the Company's stockholders. The amount of the Dividend Equivalent payment is equal to the number of Dividend Equivalent Rights multiplied by the amount of the per share dividend paid by the Company on its stock on the date the dividend is paid.

On March 17, 2006, the Company granted a Dividend Equivalent Rights Award to its independent non-employee directors equivalent to 62,964 shares of the Company's common stock. During 2007, the Company granted an additional Dividend Equivalent Rights Award to its independent non-employee directors equivalent to 45,370 shares of the Company's common stock. In 2007, the Company also granted a Dividend Equivalent Rights Award to members of its management equivalent to 524,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company recorded \$1,236,337 as compensation expense for the Dividend Equivalent payments in 2008.

In 2007 the Company granted 793,713 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") to members of its management. Each Restricted Stock Unit granted to the participant represents the right to receive one share of the Company's Common Stock as of the date of vesting, with such vesting to occur ratably over three years. The fair value of the non-vested restricted stock at the grant date, equivalent to the market value at the date of grants, was \$21,912,547. During the year ended December 31, 2010, 126,314 RSUs were vested and 137,528 were forfeited. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 139,888 RSUs were vested and 123,950 were forfeited. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 260,814 RSUs were vested and 5,219 were forfeited. Amortization of this charge, which is included in non-cash compensation expense, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$6,373,704, \$7,283,857 and \$7,282,819, respectively.

F-20

On January 15, 2008, the Company granted 30,000 shares of its common stock, which vested on the grant date, to its independent non-employee directors. The fair value of the stock at the grant date was equal to the closing stock price on that date and a total amount of \$608,400 has been recorded in non-cash compensation expense in 2008.

In June 2008, the Company granted 833,333 RSUs, vesting ratably over five years, to its Chief Executive Officer as part of an employment agreement. In August 2008, the Company granted 105,000 RSUs, vesting ratably over three years, to a new member of management. The fair value of the non-vested RSUs at the grant date, equivalent to the market value at the date of grants, was \$27,089,190. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, 107,993 and 107,984 RSUs were vested and 93,674 and 93,683 were forfeited, respectively. Amortization of this charge, which is included in non-cash compensation expense, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$5,796,398, \$5,796,398 and \$2,919,189, respectively. The remaining expense for the years ended 2011, 2012 and 2013 will be \$5,450,402, \$4,849,998 and \$2,276,805, respectively.

In December 2009 the Company granted 1,150,000 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") to members of its management and certain employees. Each Restricted Stock Unit granted to the participant represents the right to receive one share of the Company's Common Stock as of the date of vesting, with such vesting to occur ratably over three years. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, 199,464 and 0 RSUs were vested and 206,536 and 6,000 were forfeited, respectively. The fair value of the non-vested restricted stock at the grant date, equivalent to the market value at the date of grants, is \$5,628,480. Amortization of this charge, which is included in non-cash compensation expense, for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, were \$1,819,052 and \$45,391, respectively. The remaining expense for the years ended 2011 and 2012 will be \$1,820,400 and \$1,776,358.

In December 2010 the Company granted 768,500 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") to members of its management and certain employees. Each Restricted Stock Unit granted to the participant represents the right to receive one share of the Company's Common Stock as of the date of vesting, with such vesting to occur ratably over three years. The fair value of the non-vested restricted stock at the grant date, equivalent to the market value at the date of grants, is \$3,781,020. Amortization of this charge, which is included in non-cash compensation expense, for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$30,492. The remaining expense for the years ended 2011, 2012 and 2013 will be \$1,260,340, \$1,260,340 and \$1,229,848.

As of December 31, 2010, RSUs covering a total of 2,041,499 of the Company's shares are outstanding. The Restricted Stock Unit also entitles the participant to receive a Dividend Equivalent payment on the unvested portion of the underlying shares granted under the award, each time the Company pays a dividend to the Company's stockholders. The Company has also recorded \$2,464,662 in compensation expense for the Restricted Stock Units Dividend Equivalent payments in 2008.

Note 12. Non-cash Compensation

Non-cash compensation charges relate to the stock options and restricted stock units granted to certain directors of the Company, members of management and certain employees under the 2005 and 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (see Note 10).

The non-cash compensation expenses recorded by the Company and included in General and Administrative Expenses are as follows:

Edgar Filing: Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. - Form 10-K

	2010	2009	2008
Stock Option Plans	\$ 722,167	\$ 852,328	\$ 301,477
Restricted Stock Grants	14,019,646	13,125,646	10,202,008
Stock Grants	-	-	608,400
Total Non-cash compensation expense	\$ 14,741,813	\$ 13,977,974	\$ 11,111,885

F-21

Note 13 — Employee Benefit Plan

In October 2010, the Company established a safe harbor 401(k) plan which is available to full-time office employees who meet the plan's eligibility requirements. The plan allows participants to contribute to the plan a percentage of pre-tax compensation, but not in excess of the maximum allowed under the Internal Revenue Code. The Company is matching 100% of the first 3% and 50% of the next 2% of each employee's salary. The matching contribution vests immediately.

The Company has a discretionary profit sharing contribution program under which employees may receive profit sharing contribution based on the Company's annual operating performance. For the years ended December 31, 2010, the Company did not pay profit sharing contribution.

Note 14. Capital Stock

Common Stock

On June 30, 2009, the Company completed its offering under an Equity Distribution Agreement of \$100,000,000. From May through June 2009, the Company sold 14,847,493 common shares. The net proceeds, after underwriting commission of 2.5% and other issuance fees, amounted to \$97,291,046.

Dividends

Payment of dividends is in the discretion of the board of directors and is limited by the terms of certain agreements to which the Company and its subsidiaries are parties to and provisions of Marshall Islands law. The Company's revolving credit facility permits it to pay quarterly dividends in amounts up to its cumulative free cash flows, which is our earnings before extraordinary or exceptional items, interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Credit Agreement EBITDA), less the aggregate amount of interest incurred and net amounts payable under interest rate hedging agreements during the relevant period and an agreed upon reserve for dry-docking for the period, provided that the Company is in compliance with its loan covenants. Depending on market conditions in the dry bulk shipping industry and acquisition opportunities that may arise, the Company may be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing which could affect its dividend policy. In this connection, the dry bulk market has recently declined substantially. In December 2008, the Company's board of directors suspended the payment of dividends to stockholders in order to increase cash flow, optimize financial flexibility and enhance internal growth. In the future, the declaration and payment of dividends, if any, will always be subject to the discretion of the board of directors, restrictions contained in the credit facility and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. The timing and amount of any dividends declared will depend on, among other things, the Company's earnings, financial condition and cash requirements and availability, the ability to obtain debt and equity financing on acceptable terms as contemplated by the Company's growth strategy, the terms of its outstanding indebtedness and the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to distribute funds to it.

In 2008, the Company declared four quarterly dividends in the aggregate amount of \$2.00 per share of its common stock in February, May, August and November. Aggregate payments were \$93,592,906 for dividends declared in 2008.

Note 15. Shareholder Rights Plan

On November 9, 2007, the Company's board of directors adopted a shareholder rights plan and declared a dividend distribution of one Right for each outstanding share of our common stock to shareholders of record on the close of business on November 23, 2007. Each Right is nominally exercisable, upon the occurrence of certain events, for one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, at a purchase price of \$125.00 per unit, subject to adjustment.

F-22

Note 16. 2010, 2009 and 2008 Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited)	Three Months ended March 31,	Three Months ended June 30,	Three Months ended September 30,	Three Months ended December 31,
2010				
Revenues, net of commissions	\$54,243,725	\$65,612,840	\$72,825,583	\$72,353,918
Total Operating Expenses	38,556,526	42,069,392	51,248,337	57,502,627
Operating Income	15,687,199	23,543,448	21,577,246	14,851,291
Net Income	4,573,634	11,011,921	8,226,153	3,032,942
Basic Net Income Per Share	0.07	0.18	0.13	0.05
Diluted Net Income Per Share	0.07	0.18	0.13	0.05
2009				
Revenues, net of commissions	\$55,977,666	\$53,021,338	\$41,551,805	\$42,024,017
Total Operating Expenses	32,265,141	32,918,240	30,428,069	31,592,816
Operating Income	23,712,525	20,103,098	11,123,736	10,431,201
Net Income	17,236,781	13,347,535	512,261	2,190,694
Basic Net Income Per Share	0.37	0.26	0.01	0.04
Diluted Net Income Per Share	0.37	0.26	0.01	0.04
2008				
Revenues, net of commissions	\$36,686,016	\$37,223,200	\$51,553,232	\$59,962,501
Total Operating Expenses	20,376,459	19,750,394	25,002,973	43,539,354
Operating Income	16,309,557	17,472,806	26,550,259	16,423,147
Net Income	14,345,810	14,906,130	23,221,617	9,159,252
Basic Net Income Per Share	0.31	0.32	0.50	0.20
Diluted Net Income Per Share	0.31	0.32	0.49	0.20
Cash dividends declared and paid	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50

Note 17. Subsequent Events

On January 25, 2011 Korea Line Corporation ("KLC"), one of our charterers, filed for protective receivership in Seoul, Korea. On February 15th, the Korean Courts approved this request. The Company and KLC have agreed that all of Company's charters to KLC remain intact until the Court allows KLC to resume hire payments, although no charter hire payments are currently being received. The Company has further come to an agreement with KLC regarding arrangements to take over the employment of the majority of the affected chartered vessels for this interim period. Earnings during this interim period would be used to offset the charter hire otherwise due from KLC. During February, the Company re-chartered out all affected vessels on the spot market. The Company will continue to trade

these vessels until our business arrangements with KLC have been resolved. As of March 4, 2011, Eagle Bulk is owed approximately \$8.3 million of charter hire all related to 2011 activities with KLC, of which approximately \$2.5 million was due and owing prior to KLC filing for rehabilitation. With regard to the "Nighthawk," which was scheduled to be delivered to KLC in February 2011, the Company and KLC have agreed in principle, subject to Court approval, to defer the commencement of this charter to allow Eagle to employ the vessel for its own account for the time being.

