

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY  
Form 10KSB  
March 29, 2002

Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

OR

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-13412

Hudson Technologies, Inc.

(Name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

New York  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

13-3641539  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

275 North Middletown Road  
Pearl River, New York  
(address of principal executive offices)

10965  
(ZIP Code)

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: (845) 735-6000

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Check whether the issuer: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The Issuer's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 were \$20,768,000

The aggregate market value of the Issuer's Common Stock held by non-affiliates as of March 1, 2002 was approximately \$14,902,000. As of March 1, 2002, there were 5,156,520 shares of the Issuer's Common Stock outstanding.

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Documents incorporated by reference: None

Hudson Technologies, Inc.

Index

Part	Item	Page
Part I.	Item 1 - Description of Business	3
	Item 2 - Description of Properties	7
	Item 3 - Legal Proceedings	8
	Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	9
Part II.	Item 5 - Market for the Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	10
	Item 6 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	11
	Item 7 - Financial Statements	16
	Item 8 - Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	16
Part III.	Item 9 - Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	17
	Item 10 - Executive Compensation	19
	Item 11 - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	23
	Item 12 - Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	24
	Item 13 - Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	25
	Signatures	26
	Financial Statements	27

2

Part I

Item 1. Description of Business

General

Hudson Technologies, Inc., incorporated under the laws of New York on January 11, 1991, together with its subsidiaries (collectively, "Hudson" or the "Company"), is a refrigerant services company providing innovative solutions to recurring problems within the refrigeration industry. The Company's products and services are primarily used in commercial air conditioning, industrial processing and refrigeration systems, including (i) refrigerant sales, (ii) RefrigerantSide(R) Services performed at a customer's site, consisting of system decontamination to remove moisture, oils and other contaminants and (iii) reclamation of refrigerants. The Company operates through its wholly owned subsidiary Hudson Technologies Company.

The Company's Executive Offices are located at 275 North Middletown Road, Pearl River, New York and its telephone number is (845) 735-6000.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

### Industry background

The production and use of refrigerants containing chlorofluorocarbons ("CFCs") and hydrochlorofluorocarbons ("HCFCs"), the most commonly used refrigerants, are subject to extensive and changing regulation under the Clean Air Act (the "Act"). The Act, which was amended during 1990 in response to evidence linking the use of CFCs and damage to the earth's ozone layer, prohibits any person in the course of maintaining, servicing, repairing and disposing of air conditioning or refrigeration equipment, to knowingly vent or otherwise release or dispose of ozone depleting substances used as refrigerants. That prohibition also applies to substitute, non-ozone depleting refrigerants. The Act further requires the recovery of refrigerants used in residential, commercial and industrial air conditioning and refrigeration systems. In addition, the Act prohibited production of CFC refrigerants effective January 1, 1996 and limits the production of refrigerants containing HCFCs, which production is scheduled to be phased out by the year 2030. Owners, operators and companies servicing cooling equipment are responsible for the integrity of their systems regardless of the refrigerant being used and for the responsible management of their refrigerant.

### Products and Services

#### RefrigerantSide(R) Services

The Company provides services that are performed at a customer's site through the use of portable, high volume, high-speed proprietary equipment, including its patented Zugibeast(R) system. Certain of these RefrigerantSide(R) Services, which encompass system decontamination, and refrigerant recovery and reclamation are also proprietary and are covered by certain process patents.

#### Refrigerant Sales

The Company sells reclaimed and virgin (new) refrigerants to a variety of customers in various segments of the air conditioning and refrigeration industry. Virgin refrigerants are purchased by the Company from several suppliers, including E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont") as part of the Company's strategic alliance with DuPont (see "Strategic Alliance" below), and resold by the Company, typically at wholesale. In addition, the Company regularly purchases used or contaminated refrigerants from many different sources, which refrigerants are then reclaimed, using the Company's high volume proprietary reclamation equipment, and resold by the Company.

#### Refrigerant Management Services

The Company provides a complete offering of refrigerant management services, which primarily include reclamation of refrigerants and testing and banking (storage) services tailored to individual customer requirements. Hudson also separates "crossed" (i.e. commingled) refrigerants and provides re-usable cylinder repair and hydrostatic testing services.

#### Hudson's Network

Hudson operates from a network of facilities located in:

Baltimore, Maryland	--RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot
Baton Rouge, Louisiana	--RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot
Charlotte, North Carolina	--Reclamation center and RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Chicago, Illinois --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot

3

Fort Myers, Florida --Engineering center  
Fremont, New Hampshire --Telemarketing office  
Hillburn, New York --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Houston, Texas --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Norfolk, Virginia --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Pearl River, New York --Company headquarters and administration offices  
Plainview, New York --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Punta Gorda, Florida --Refrigerant separation and reclamation center and  
RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Rantoul, Illinois --Reclamation and cylinder refurbishment center and  
RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Salem, New Hampshire --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Seattle, Washington --RefrigerantSide(R) Service depot  
Strategic Alliance

In January 1997, the Company entered into agreements with DuPont, pursuant to which the Company (i) provides recovery, reclamation, separation, packaging and testing services directly to DuPont for marketing through DuPont's Authorized Distributor Network and (ii) markets DuPont's SUVA(TM) refrigerant products together with the Company's reclamation and refrigerant management services. These agreements provide for automatic annual renewal.

In addition, in January 1997, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with DuPont and DuPont Chemical and Energy Operations, Inc. ("DCEO") pursuant to which the Company issued to DCEO 500,000 shares of its common stock in consideration of \$3,500,000 in cash. Concurrently, the parties entered into a Standstill Agreement, Shareholders' Agreement and Registration Agreement which, among other things, provide that (i) subject to certain exceptions, neither DuPont nor any corporation or entity controlled by DuPont will, directly or indirectly, acquire any shares of any class of capital stock of the Company if the effect of such acquisition would be to increase DuPont's aggregate voting power in the election of directors to greater than 20% of the total combined voting power in the election of directors; (ii) at DuPont's request, the Company will cause two persons designated by DCEO and DuPont to be elected to the Company's Board of Directors; and (iii) subject to certain exceptions, DuPont will have a five-year right of first refusal to purchase shares of Common Stock sold by the Company's principal shareholders. The Company also granted to DuPont certain demand and "piggy-back" registration rights with respect to the shares. The Standstill Agreement, Shareholders Agreement and the demand and "piggy-back" registration rights under the Registration Rights Agreement terminated on January 29, 2002.

Suppliers

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

The Company's financial performance is in part dependent on its ability to obtain sufficient quantities of virgin and reclaimable refrigerants from manufacturers, wholesalers, distributors, bulk gas brokers and from other sources within the air conditioning and refrigeration and automotive aftermarket industries, and on corresponding demand for refrigerants. Most of the Company's refrigerant sales are CFC based refrigerants, which are no longer manufactured. To the extent that the Company is unable to source the CFC based refrigerants or virgin refrigerants, or resell refrigerants at a profit, the Company's financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

### Customers

The Company provides its services to commercial, industrial and governmental customers, as well as to refrigerant wholesalers, distributors, contractors and to refrigeration equipment manufacturers. Agreements with larger customers generally provide for standardized pricing for specified services.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, one customer accounted for 15% of the Company's revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2000, one customer accounted for 13% of the Company's revenues. The loss of a principal customer or a decline in the economic prospects and purchases of the Company's products or services by any such customer could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

### Marketing

Marketing programs are conducted through the efforts of the Company's executive officers, Company sales personnel, and third parties. Hudson employs various marketing methods, including direct mailings, technical bulletins, in-person solicitation, print advertising, response to quotation requests and the internet ([www.hudsonotech.com](http://www.hudsonotech.com)).

4

The Company's sales personnel are compensated on a combination of a base salary and commission. The Company's executive officers devote significant time and effort to customer relationships.

### Competition

The Company competes primarily on the basis of the performance of its proprietary high volume, high-speed equipment used in its operations, breadth of services offered (including proprietary RefrigerantSide(R) Services and other on-site services) and price (particularly with respect to refrigerant sales).

The Company competes with numerous regional and national companies, which provide refrigerant reclamation services, as well as market reclaimed and new alternative refrigerants. Certain of such competitors may possess greater financial, marketing, distribution and other resources for the sale and distribution of refrigerants than the Company and, in some instances, provide services or products over a more extensive geographic area than the Company.

Hudson's RefrigerantSide(R) Services provide new and innovative solutions to certain problems within the refrigeration industry and as such the demand and market acceptance for these services are subject to uncertainty. Competition for these services primarily consist of traditional methods of solving the industry's problems and as a result there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully or penetrate this market as rapidly as it

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

anticipates.

### Insurance

The Company carries insurance coverage the Company considers sufficient to protect the Company's assets and operations. The Company currently maintains general commercial liability insurance and excess liability coverage for claims up to \$7,000,000 per occurrence and \$7,000,000 in the aggregate. There can be no assurance that such insurance will be sufficient to cover potential claims or that an adequate level of coverage will be available in the future at a reasonable cost. The Company attempts to operate in a professional and prudent manner and to reduce its liability risks through specific risk management efforts, including employee training. Nevertheless, a partially or completely uninsured claim against the Company, if successful and of sufficient magnitude, would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The refrigerant industry involves potentially significant risks of statutory and common law liability for environmental damage and personal injury. The Company, and in certain instances, its officers, directors and employees, may be subject to claims arising from the Company's on-site or off-site services, including the improper release, spillage, misuse or mishandling of refrigerants classified as hazardous or non-hazardous substances or materials. The Company may be strictly liable for damages, which could be substantial, regardless of whether it exercised due care and complied with all relevant laws and regulations.

Hudson maintains environmental impairment insurance of \$1,000,000 per occurrence, and \$2,000,000 annual aggregate for events occurring subsequent to November 1996. There can be no assurance that the Company will not face claims resulting in substantial liability for which the Company is uninsured, that hazardous substances or materials are not or will not be present at the Company's facilities, or that the Company will not incur liability for environmental impairment or personal injury.

### Government Regulation

The business of refrigerant reclamation and management is subject to extensive, stringent and frequently changing federal, state and local laws and substantial regulation under these laws by governmental agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration and the United States Department of Transportation.

Among other things, these regulatory authorities impose requirements which regulate the handling, packaging, labeling, transportation and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous materials and the health and safety of workers, and require the Company and, in certain instances, its employees, to obtain and maintain licenses in connection with its operations. This extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on the Company.

Hudson and its customers are subject to the requirements of the Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the EPA, which make it unlawful for any person in the course of maintaining, servicing, repairing, and disposing of air conditioning or refrigeration equipment, to knowingly vent or otherwise release or dispose of ozone depleting substances, and non-ozone depleting substitutes, used as refrigerants.

Pursuant to the Act, reclaimed refrigerant must satisfy the same purity standards as newly manufactured refrigerants in accordance with standards established by the Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Institute ("ARI") prior to resale to a person other than the owner of the equipment from which it was recovered. The ARI and the EPA administer certification programs pursuant to which applicants

are certified to reclaim refrigerants in compliance with ARI standards. Under such programs, the ARI issues a certification for each refrigerant and conducts periodic inspections and quality testing of reclaimed refrigerants.

The Company has obtained ARI certification for most refrigerants at each of its reclamation facilities, and is certified by the EPA. The Company is required to submit periodic reports to the ARI and pay annual fees based on the number of pounds of refrigerants reclaimed by the Company. Certification by the ARI is not currently required to engage in the refrigerant management business.

During February 1996, the EPA published proposed regulations, which, if enacted, would require participation in third-party certification programs similar to the ARI program. Such proposed regulations would also require laboratories designed to test refrigerant purity to undergo a certification process. Extensive comments to these proposed regulations were received by the EPA. The EPA is still considering these comments and no further or additional regulations have been proposed or published.

In addition, the EPA has established a mandatory certification program for air conditioning and refrigeration technicians. Hudson's technicians have applied for or obtained such certification.

The Company is subject to regulations adopted by the Department of Transportation which classify most refrigerants handled by the Company as hazardous materials or substances and impose requirements for handling, packaging, labeling and transporting refrigerants.

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 ("RCRA") requires that facilities that treat, store or dispose of hazardous wastes comply with certain operating standards. Before transportation and disposal of hazardous wastes off-site, generators of such waste must package and label their shipments consistent with detailed regulations and prepare a manifest identifying the material and stating its destination. The transporter must deliver the hazardous waste in accordance with the manifest to a facility with an appropriate RCRA permit. Under RCRA, impurities removed from refrigerants consisting of oils mixed with water and other contaminants are not presumed to be hazardous waste.

The Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 requires the annual reporting of Emergency and Hazardous Chemical Inventories (Tier II reports) to the various states in which the Company operates and to file annual Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Forms with the EPA.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), establishes liability for clean-up costs and environmental damages to current and former facility owners and operators, as well as persons who transport or arrange for transportation of hazardous substances. Almost all states have similar statutes regulating the handling and storage of hazardous substances, hazardous wastes and non-hazardous wastes. Many such statutes impose requirements, which are more stringent than their federal counterparts. The Company could be subject to substantial liability under these statutes to private parties and government entities, in some instances without any fault, for fines, remediation costs and environmental damage, as a result of the mishandling, release, or existence of any hazardous substances at any of its facilities.

The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 mandates requirements for safe work place for employees and special procedures and measures for the handling of

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

certain hazardous and toxic substances. State laws, in certain circumstances, mandate additional measures for facilities handling specified materials.

The Company believes that it is in substantial compliance with all material regulations relating to its material business operations. However, amendments to existing statutes and regulations or adoptions of new statutes and regulations which affect the marketing and sale of refrigerants could require the Company to continually adapt its methods of operations and/or discontinue the sale of certain products and such changes could result in substantial costs. There can be no assurance that Hudson will be able to continue to comply with applicable laws, regulations and licensing requirements and any future changes. Failure to comply could subject the Company to civil remedies, substantial fines, penalties, injunction, or criminal sanctions, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

### Quality Assurance & Environmental Compliance

The Company utilizes in-house quality and regulatory compliance control procedures. Hudson maintains its own analytical testing laboratories to assure that reclaimed refrigerants comply with ARI purity standards and employs portable testing equipment when performing on-site services to verify certain quality specifications. The Company employs three persons engaged full-time in quality control and to monitor the Company's operations for regulatory compliance.

6

### Employees

The Company has 102 full time employees including air conditioning and refrigeration technicians, chemists, engineers, sales and administrative personnel.

None of the Company's employees are represented by a union. The Company believes that its employee relations are good.

### Patents and Proprietary Information

The Company holds a United States patent relating to the high-speed equipment, components and process to reclaim refrigerants, and a registered trademark for its "Zugibeast(R)". The patent expires in January 2012. The Company believes that patent protection is important to its business and has received additional United States patents relating to high-speed refrigerant recovery and to various refrigerant side decontamination processes. There can be no assurance as to the breadth or degree of protection that patents may afford the Company, that any patent applications will result in issued patents or that patents will not be circumvented or invalidated. Technological development in the refrigerant industry may result in extensive patent filings and a rapid rate of issuance of new patents. Although the Company believes that its existing patents and the Company's equipment do not and will not infringe upon existing patents or violate proprietary rights of others, it is possible that the Company's existing patent rights may not be valid or that infringement of existing or future patents or violations of proprietary rights of others may occur. In the event the Company's equipment infringe or are alleged to infringe patents or other proprietary rights of others, the Company may be required to modify the design of its equipment, obtain a license or defend a possible patent infringement action. There can be no assurance that the Company will have the financial or other resources necessary to enforce or defend a patent infringement or proprietary rights violation action or that the Company will not become liable



## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

for damages.

The Company also relies on trade secrets and proprietary know-how, and employs various methods to protect its technology. However, such methods may not afford complete protection and there can be no assurance that others will not independently develop such know-how or obtain access to the Company's know-how, concepts, ideas and documentation. Failure to protect its trade secrets could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

### Item 2. Description of Properties

The Company's Baltimore, Maryland depot facility is located in a 2,700 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$26,064 pursuant to an agreement expiring in August 2002.

The Company's Baton Rouge, Louisiana facility is located in a 3,800 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rental of \$18,000 pursuant to an agreement expiring in July 2002.

The Company's Charlotte, North Carolina facility is located in a 12,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$42,000 pursuant to a month to month rental agreement.

The Company's Villa Park (Chicago), Illinois depot facility is located in a 3,500 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$24,140 pursuant to an agreement expiring in August 2002.

The Company's Fremont, New Hampshire telemarketing facility is located in a 2,100 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$7,200 pursuant to an agreement expiring in June 2002.

The Company's Ft. Myers, Florida engineering facility is located in a 15,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$57,240 pursuant to an agreement expiring in July 2002.

The Company's Hillburn, New York facility is located in a 21,000 square foot building is leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rental of \$98,440 pursuant to an agreement expiring in May 2004.

The Company's Houston, Texas depot facility is located in a 5,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$27,030 pursuant to an agreement which expires in June 2003.

The Company's Norfolk, Virginia depot facility is located in a 2,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$16,200 pursuant to an agreement expiring in September 2002.

7

The Company's headquarters are located in a 5,400 square foot building in Pearl River, New York. The building is leased from an unaffiliated third party pursuant to a three year agreement at an annual rental of approximately \$95,000 through January 2002. The Company is currently occupying the premises on a month to month basis at a monthly rental rate of \$7,938.

The Company's Plainview, New York depot facility is located in a 2,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$17,280 pursuant to an agreement expiring in July 2002.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

The Company's Punta Gorda, Florida separation facility is located in a 15,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$76,000 pursuant to an agreement expiring in December 2003.

The Company's Rantoul, Illinois facility is located in a 29,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rental of \$78,000 pursuant to an agreement expiring in September 2002.

The Company's Salem, New Hampshire depot facility is located in a 3,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$19,500 pursuant to an agreement expiring in April 2004.

The Company's Seattle, Washington depot facility is located in a 3,000 square foot building leased from an unaffiliated third party at an annual rent of \$18,450 pursuant to an agreement expiring in March 2004.

The Company typically enters into short-term leases for its facilities and whenever possible extends the expiration date of such leases.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In June 1998, United Water of New York Inc. ("United") commenced an action against the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Rockland County, seeking damages in the amount of \$1.2 million allegedly sustained as a result of the prior contamination of certain of United's wells within close proximity to the Company's Hillburn, New York facility.

On April 1, 1999, the Company reported an accidental release at the Company's Hillburn, New York facility of approximately 7,800 lbs. of trichlorofluoromethane ("R-11"). Between April 1999 and May 1999, with the approval of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("DEC"), the Company constructed and put into operation a remediation system at the Company's facility to remove R-11 levels in the groundwater under and around the Company's facility. The cost of this remediation system was \$100,000.

In July 1999, United amended its complaint in the Rockland County action to allege facts relating to, and to seek damages allegedly resulting from the April 1, 1999 R-11 release.

In June 2000, the Rockland County Supreme Court approved a settlement of the Rockland County action commenced by United. Under the Settlement, the Company paid to United the sum of \$1,000,000 upon Court approval of the settlement, and has agreed to make monthly payments in the amount of \$5,000 for a minimum of 18 months, and up to a maximum of 42 months following the settlement. The proceeds of the settlement were used to fund the construction by United of a new remediation tower. The purpose of the monthly payments is to defray United's cost associated with the continuation of remedial measures implemented by United. The remediation tower was completed in March 2001 and is designed to treat all of United's impacted wells and restore the water to New York State drinking water standards for supply to the public. The Company carries \$1,000,000 of pollution liability insurance per occurrence. In connection with the settlement with United, the Company exhausted all insurance proceeds available for the United occurrence.

In June 2000, the Company signed an Order on Consent with the DEC regarding all past contamination of the United well field. Under the Order on Consent, the Company agreed to continue operating the remediation system installed by the Company at its Hillburn facility in May 1999 until remaining groundwater contamination has been effectively abated. In May 2001, the Company signed an amendment to the Order on Consent with the DEC, pursuant to which the Company has installed one additional monitoring well and has modified the Company's existing remediation system to incorporate a second recovery well. During the

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

year ended December 31, 2001, the Company recognized \$260,000 in additional remediation costs in connection with this matter.

In May 2000, the Company's Hillburn facility was nominated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for listing on the National Priorities List ("NPL"), pursuant to the CERCLA. The Company believes that the agreements reached with the DEC and United Water, together with the reduced levels of contamination present in the United Water wells, make such listing unnecessary and counterproductive. Hudson submitted opposition to the listing within the sixty-day comment period. To date, no final decision has been made by the EPA regarding the proposed listing.

8

In October 2001, the Company learned that trace levels of R-11 were detected in one of United's wells that is closest to the Village of Suffern's well system. During February 2002, while the levels were below New York State drinking water standards of 5 ppb, the Village of Suffern expressed concern over the possibility of R-11 reaching its well system and has advised the Company that it is investigating available options to protect its well system. The Company is working with the Village of Suffern, and all applicable governmental agencies to insure against any contamination of Suffern's wells and its water supply. There can be no assurance that the R-11 will not spread beyond the United Water well system and impact the Village of Suffern's wells or that the ultimate outcome of such a spread of contamination will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. There is also no assurance that the Company's opposition to the EPA's nomination for inclusion of the Company's Hillburn facility on the NPL will be successful, or that the ultimate outcome of such a listing will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Not Applicable.

9

## Part II

Item 5. Market for the Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company's Common Stock traded from November 1, 1994 to September 20, 1995 on the NASDAQ Small-Cap Market under the symbol `HDSN'. Since September 20, 1995, the Common Stock has traded on the NASDAQ National Market. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated the range of the high and low sale prices for the Common Stock as reported by NASDAQ.

	High	Low
	----	---
2000		
o First Quarter	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.50
o Second Quarter	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.75
o Third Quarter	\$ 3.75	\$ 1.625
o Fourth Quarter	\$ 3.688	\$ 1.437

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

2001

o First Quarter	\$ 2.53	\$ 1.50
o Second Quarter	\$ 3.50	\$ 1.80
o Third Quarter	\$ 3.25	\$ 1.90
o Fourth Quarter	\$ 4.13	\$ 2.01

The number of record holders of the Company's Common Stock was approximately 250 as of March 1, 2002. The Company believes that there are in excess of 4,000 beneficial owners of its Common Stock.

To date, the Company has not declared or paid any cash dividends on its Common Stock. The payment of dividends, if any, in the future is within the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon the Company's earnings, its capital requirements and financial condition, borrowing covenants, and other relevant factors. The Company presently intends to retain all earnings, if any, to finance the Company's operations and development of its business and does not expect to declare or pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. In addition, the Company has entered into a credit facility with CIT Group/Credit Finance Group, Inc. ("CIT") which, among other things, restricts the Company's ability to declare or pay any dividends on its capital stock. The Company has obtained a waiver from CIT to permit the payment of dividends on its Series A Preferred Stock. The Series A Preferred Stock carries a dividend rate of 7%. The Company will pay dividends, in arrears, on the Series A Preferred Stock, semi annually, either in cash or additional shares, at the Company's option (see Item 6 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" - Liquidity and Capital Resources).

10

### Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### Safe Harbor Statement Under The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

Certain statements contained in this section and elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements involve a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, changes in the markets for refrigerants (including unfavorable market conditions adversely affecting the demand for, and the price of refrigerants), regulatory and economic factors, seasonality, competition, litigation, the nature of supplier or customer arrangements which become available to the Company in the future, adverse weather conditions, possible technological obsolescence of existing products and services, possible reduction in the carrying value of long-lived assets, estimates of the useful life of its assets, potential environmental liability, customer concentration, the ability to obtain financing if necessary, and other risks detailed in the Company's other periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The words "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "may", "plan", "should" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement was made.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

operations are based upon its consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including, but not limited to, those estimates related to its, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventories, asset impairments, income taxes, commitments and contingencies. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The Company believes the accounting policies set forth in Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are those policies that are most important to the presentation of its financial statements and such policies may require subjective and complex judgments on the part of management (see Note 1 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

### Overview

Over the past few years, the Company has changed its business focus from sales of refrigerants towards service revenues through the development of a service offering known as RefrigerantSide(R) Services. RefrigerantSide(R) Services are sold to contractors and end-users associated with refrigeration systems in commercial air conditioning and industrial processing industries. These services are offered in addition to refrigerant sales and the Company's traditional refrigerant management services, consisting primarily of reclamation of refrigerants. Pursuant to this business focus, the Company has created a network of service depots and has exited certain operations which may not support the growth of service sales.

During 1999 and 2001 the Company completed sales of its Series A Preferred Stock. The net proceeds of these sales were and are being used to expand the Company's service offering through a network of service depots that provide a full range of the Company's RefrigerantSide(R) Services and to provide working capital. Management believes that its RefrigerantSide(R) Services represent the Company's long term growth potential. However, while the Company believes it will experience an increase in revenues from its RefrigerantSide(R) Services, in the short term, such an increase may not be sufficient to offset reductions in refrigerant revenue which may occur as a result of the Company's shift in its business focus toward RefrigerantSide(R) Services. The Company expects that it will incur additional expenses and losses during the year related to the continued development of its depot network.

Sales of refrigerants continue to represent a significant portion of the Company's revenues and, in the short term, the Company has experienced an increase in refrigerant sales. The Company believes that, in the refrigeration industry overall, there will be a trend towards lower sales prices, volumes and gross profit margins on refrigerant sales in the foreseeable future, which will result in an adverse effect on the Company's operating results.

The change in business focus towards revenues generated from RefrigerantSide(R) Services may cause a material reduction in revenues derived from the sale of refrigerants. In addition, to the extent that the Company is unable to obtain refrigerants on commercially reasonable terms or experiences a decline in demand for refrigerants, the Company could realize reductions in refrigerant processing, and possible loss of revenues which would have a material adverse effect on its operating results.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

### Results of Operations

Year ended December 31, 2001 as compared to year ended December 31, 2000

Revenues for 2001 were \$20,768,000, an increase of \$5,313,000 or 34% from the \$15,455,000 reported during the comparable 2000 period. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in refrigerant revenues and to a lesser extent an increase in RefrigerantSide(R) Services revenues. The increase in refrigerant revenues is related to an increase in the sales price of certain refrigerants and an increase in volume as compared to the 2000 period. The increase in RefrigerantSide(R) Service revenues reflects growth through the development of the Company's depot network.

Cost of sales for 2001 was \$14,971,000, an increase of \$4,574,000 or 44% from the \$10,397,000 reported during the comparable 2000 period. The increase in cost of sales was primarily due to a higher volume of refrigerant revenues and an increase in payroll and supply costs associated with RefrigerantSide(R) Service revenues. As a percentage of sales, cost of sales were 72% of revenues for 2001, an increase from the 67% reported for the comparable 2000 period. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in the volume of refrigerant sales and associated freight costs and payroll and supply costs associated with RefrigerantSide(R) Service revenues.

Operating expenses for 2001 were \$8,017,000, an increase of \$552,000 or 7% from the \$7,465,000 reported during the comparable 2000 period. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in professional fees of \$203,000 and an increase in marketing and sales payroll costs associated with the expansion of the Company's RefrigerantSide(R) Service offering of \$196,000.

Other income (expense) for 2001 was \$(179,000), compared to the \$11,000 reported during the comparable 2000 period. Other income (expense) includes interest expense of \$423,000 and \$501,000 for 2001 and 2000, respectively, offset by other income of \$244,000 and \$512,000 for 2001 and 2000, respectively. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributed to a decrease in outstanding indebtedness and interest rates during 2001 as compared to 2000. Other income primarily relates to interest income and proceeds from the sale of Environmental Support Solutions, Inc. ("ESS"). During the 2000 period the Company recognized a non-recurring gain of \$188,000 which was included as other income from the sale of its then remaining ownership interest in ESS.

No income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 were recognized. The Company recognized a reserve allowance against the deferred tax benefit for the 2001 and 2000 losses. The tax benefits associated with the Company's net operating loss carry forwards would be recognized to the extent that the Company recognizes net income in future periods. A portion of the Company's net operating loss carry forwards are subject to annual limitations (see Note 4 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Net loss for 2001 was \$2,399,000 an increase of \$3,000 from the \$2,396,000 net loss reported during the comparable 2000 period. The increase in net loss was primarily attributable to the non-recurring gain of \$188,000 from the sale of ESS during the 2000 period offset by the reduction in the Company's operating loss during the 2001 period.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2001, the Company had working capital of approximately \$927,000, an increase of \$1,383,000 from the working capital deficit of \$456,000 at

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

December 31, 2000. The increase in working capital is primarily attributable to proceeds from the sale of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock and by the proceeds from the sale of its Ft. Lauderdale property offset by the net loss incurred during the year ended December 31, 2001. A principal component of current assets is inventory. At December 31, 2001, the Company had inventories of \$2,387,000, an increase of \$486,000 or 26% from the \$1,901,000 at December 31, 2000. The increase in the inventory balance is due to the timing and availability of inventory purchases and the sale of refrigerants. The Company's ability to sell and replace its inventory on a timely basis and the prices at which it can be sold are subject, among other things, to current market conditions and the nature of supplier or customer arrangements (see "Seasonality and Fluctuations in Operating Results"). The Company has historically financed its working capital requirements through cash flows from operations, the issuance of debt and equity securities and bank borrowings. In recent years the Company has not financed its working capital requirements through cash flows from operations but rather from issuances of equity securities and bank borrowings. In order for the Company to finance its working capital requirements through cash flows from operations the Company must reduce its operating losses. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in lowering its operating losses in which case, the Company will be required to fund its working capital requirements from additional issuances of equity securities and/or additional bank borrowings. Based on the current investment environment there can be no assurances that the Company would be successful in raising additional capital. The inability to raise additional capital could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

12

Net cash used by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2001, was \$2,361,000 compared with net cash used by operating activities of \$727,000 for the comparable 2000 period. Net cash used by operating activities was primarily attributable to the net loss for the 2001 period, an increase in trade receivables and inventories, and a reduction in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2001, was \$554,000 compared with net cash used by investing activities of \$853,000 for the prior comparable 2000 period. The net cash provided by investing activities was due to the Company's sale of its Ft. Lauderdale property offset by equipment additions primarily associated with the expansion of the Company's depot network.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2001, was \$2,326,000 compared with net cash used by financing activities of \$40,000 for the comparable 2000 period. The net cash provided by financing activities for the 2001 period primarily consisted of the sale of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock of \$2,940,000 offset by the repayment of long term debt of \$1,037,000.

At December 31, 2001, the Company had cash and equivalents of \$1,382,000. The Company continues to assess its capital expenditure needs. The Company may, to the extent necessary, continue to utilize its cash balances to purchase equipment primarily associated with its RefrigerantSide(R)Service offering. The Company estimates that capital expenditures during 2002 may range from approximately \$300,000 to \$700,000.

The following is a summary of the Company's significant contractual cash obligations for the periods indicated that existed as of December 31, 2001 and is more fully disclosed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (see

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Notes 8 and 10 to the Notes of the Consolidated Financial Statements) (amounts in thousands).

	Year ended December 31,				
	2002	2003	2004	2005	Total
Long term debt and capital lease obligations	\$2,450	\$453	\$249	\$139	\$3,291
Operating leases	700	237	56	2	995
<b>Total contractual cash obligations</b>	<b>\$3,150</b>	<b>\$690</b>	<b>\$305</b>	<b>\$141</b>	<b>\$4,286</b>

The Company owned improved property located in Ft. Lauderdale which was leased at \$13,781 per month to an unaffiliated third party. On March 22, 2001, the Company completed the sale of the property to an unaffiliated third party. After payment of the then outstanding mortgage balance and transactional expenses, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$300,000 and recognized a \$14,000 gain from the sale of this property.

The Company has entered into a credit facility with The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc. ("CIT"), which provides for borrowings to the Company of up to \$6,500,000. The facility requires minimum borrowings of \$1,250,000. The facility provides for a revolving line of credit and a term loan and expires in April 2003. Advances under the revolving line of credit are limited to (i) 80% of eligible trade accounts receivable and (ii) 50% of eligible inventory (which inventory amount shall not exceed 200% of eligible trade accounts receivable or \$3,250,000). As of December 31, 2001, the Company had availability under its revolving line of credit of approximately \$256,000. Advances available to the Company under the term loan are based on existing fixed asset valuations and future advances under the term loan of up to an additional \$1,000,000 are based on future capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2001, the Company has approximately \$489,000 outstanding under its term loans and \$2,006,000 outstanding under its revolving line of credit. The facility bears interest at the prime rate plus 1.5%, 6.25% at December 31, 2001, and substantially all of the Company's assets are pledged as collateral for obligations to CIT. In addition, among other things, the agreements restrict the Company's ability to declare or pay any dividends on its capital stock. The Company has obtained a waiver from CIT to permit the payment of dividends on its Series A Preferred Stock.

Effective March 19, 1999, the Company sold 75% of its stock ownership in ESS to one of ESS's founders. The consideration for the Company's sale of its interest was \$100,000 in cash and a six-year 6% interest bearing note in the amount of \$380,000. The Company has recognized as income the portion of the proceeds associated with the note receivable upon the receipt of cash. Effective October 11, 1999, the Company sold to three of ESS's employees an additional 5.4% ownership in ESS. The Company received \$37,940 from the sale of this additional ESS stock. Effective April 18, 2000, ESS redeemed the balance of the Company's stock ownership in ESS. The Company received cash in the amount of \$188,000 from the redemption. Pursuant to an agreement dated January 22, 2002, ESS and the Company agreed to a 16% discount of the outstanding balance on the note receivable. On January 25,



## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

2002, as part of a capital financing completed by ESS, ESS paid the Company \$231,951, representing the discounted balance as of that date, as full satisfaction of the note receivable and as of that date the Company recognized the proceeds as other income.

The Company is continuing to evaluate opportunities to rationalize its operating facilities based on its emphasis on the expansion of its service sales. As a result, the Company may discontinue certain operations which it believes do not support the growth of service sales and, in doing so, may incur future charges to exit certain operations.

On March 30, 1999, the Company completed the sale of 65,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, with a liquidation value of \$100 per share, to Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock were \$6,500,000. The Series A Preferred Stock converts to Common Stock at a rate of \$2.375 per share, which was 27% above the closing market price of Common Stock on March 29, 1999.

On February 16, 2001, the Company completed the sale of 30,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, with a liquidation value of \$100 per share, to Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock were \$3,000,000. The Series A Preferred Stock converts to Common Stock at a rate of \$2.375 per share, which was 23% above the closing market price of Common Stock on February 15, 2001.

The Series A Preferred Stock has voting rights on an as-if converted basis. The number of votes applicable to the Series A Preferred Stock is equal to the number of shares of Common Stock into which the Series A Preferred Stock is then convertible. However, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will provide the Chief Executive Officer and the Secretary of the Company a proxy to vote all shares currently owned and subsequently acquired above 29% of the votes entitled to be cast by all shareholders of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock carries a dividend rate of 7%, which will increase to 16%, if the stock remains outstanding on or after March 31, 2004. The conversion rate may be subject to certain antidilution provisions. The Company has used and will use the net proceeds from the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock to expand its RefrigerantSide(R) Services business and for working capital purposes.

The Company pays dividends, in arrears, on the Series A Preferred Stock, semi annually, either in cash or additional shares, at the Company's option. On September 30, 2001, the Company declared and paid, in-kind, the dividends outstanding on the Series A Preferred Stock and issued a total of 3,740 additional shares of its Series A Preferred Stock in satisfaction of the dividends due. The Company may redeem the Series A Preferred Stock on March 31, 2004 either in cash or shares of Common Stock valued at 90% of the average trading price of the Common Stock for the 30 days preceding March 31, 2004. In addition, the Company may call the Series A Preferred Stock if the market price of its Common Stock is equal to or greater than 250% of the conversion price and the Common Stock has traded with an average daily volume in excess of 20,000 shares for a period of thirty consecutive days.

The Company has provided certain registration, preemptive and tag along rights to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock. The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock, voting as a separate class, have the right to elect up to two members to the Company's Board of Directors or at their option, to designate up to two advisors to the Company's Board of Directors who will have the right to attend and observe meetings of the Board of Directors. Currently, the holders

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

have elected one member to the Board of Directors.

The Company believes that its anticipated cash flow from operations, together with the remaining proceeds from the prior sale of its Preferred Stock, and the availability of funds under its credit facility, will be sufficient to satisfy the Company's working capital requirements and proposed expansion of its service business for the foreseeable future. However, any unanticipated expenses, including but not limited to an increase in the cost of refrigerants purchased by the Company or an unanticipated increase in operating expenses or failure to obtain expected revenues from the Company's depots and or refrigerant revenues or additional expansion or acquisition costs that may arise in the future would affect the Company's future capital needs. There can be no assurances that the Company's proposed or future plans will be successful, and as such, the Company may need to significantly modify its plans or it may require additional capital sooner than anticipated. There can be no assurance that the Company can, if necessary, obtain any required additional capital and its inability to do so could have a material adverse affect on the Company.

### Inflation

Inflation has not historically had a material impact on the Company's operations.

### Reliance on Suppliers and Customers

The Company's financial performance is in part dependent on its ability to obtain sufficient quantities of virgin and reclaimable refrigerants from manufacturers, wholesalers, distributors, bulk gas brokers, and from other sources within the air conditioning and refrigeration and automotive aftermarket industries, and on corresponding demand for refrigerants. To the extent that the Company is

14

unable to obtain sufficient quantities of refrigerants in the future, or resell reclaimed refrigerants at a profit, the Company's financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, one customer accounted for 15% of the Company's revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2000, one customer accounted for 13% of the Company's revenues. The loss of a principal customer or a decline in the economic prospects and purchases of the Company's products or services by any such customer could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

### Seasonality and Fluctuations in Operating Results

The Company's operating results vary from period to period as a result of weather conditions, requirements of potential customers, non-recurring refrigerant and service sales, availability and price of refrigerant products (virgin or reclaimable), changes in reclamation technology and regulations, timing in introduction and/or retrofit or replacement of CFC-based refrigeration equipment by domestic users of refrigerants, the rate of expansion of the Company's operations, and by other factors. The Company's business has historically been seasonal in nature with peak sales of refrigerants occurring in the first half of each year. During past years, the seasonal decrease in sales of refrigerants have resulted in additional losses during the second half of the year. Delays in securing adequate supplies of refrigerants at peak demand periods, lack of refrigerant demand, increased expenses, declining refrigerant

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

prices and a loss of a principal customer could result in significant losses. There can be no assurance that the foregoing factors will not occur and result in a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and significant losses. With respect to the Company's RefrigerantSide(R) Services, to date, the Company has not identified any seasonal pattern. However, the Company could experience a similar seasonal element to this portion of its business in the future.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Statements No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS 141), No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFA 142) and No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS 143). In addition, in August 2001, FASB issued statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.

SFAS 141 addresses the use of the purchase method of accounting and prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS 141 also requires that the Company recognize acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill if the acquired intangible assets meet certain criteria. SFAS 141 applies to business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and for purchase business combinations completed on or after July 1, 2001. The Company will adopt SFAS 141 effective January 1, 2002.

SFAS 142 requires, among other things, that companies no longer amortize goodwill, but instead test goodwill for impairment at least annually. In addition, SFAS 142 requires that the Company identify reporting units for the purposes of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill, reassess the useful lives of other existing recognized intangible assets, and cease amortization of intangible assets with an indefinite useful life. An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life should be tested for impairment in accordance with the guidance in SFAS 142. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized. SFAS 142 requires the Company to complete a transitional goodwill impairment test six months from the date of adoption. The Company is also required to reassess the useful lives of other intangible assets within the first interim quarter after adoption of SFAS 142. The Company will adopt SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002.

SFAS 143 addresses financial reporting and reporting for obligations associated with retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated retirement costs. SFAS 143 is effective for the fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company will adopt SFAS 143 effective January 1, 2003.

SFAS 144 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company will adopt SFAS effective January 1, 2002.

The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS 141, SFAS 142, SFAS 143 and SFAS 144 will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

### Item 7. Financial Statements.

The financial statements appear in a separate section of this report following Part III.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None

16

### Part III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

The following table sets forth information with respect to the directors and executive officers of the Company:

Name	Age	Position
----	---	-----
Kevin J. Zugibe	38	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Brian F. Coleman	40	President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer
Thomas P. Zugibe	49	Executive Vice President
Stephen P. Mandracchia	42	Executive Vice President and Secretary
Vincent Abbatecola	55	Director
Robert L. Burr	51	Director
Dominic J. Monetta	60	Director
Otto C. Morch	68	Director
Harry C. Schell	67	Director
Robert M. Zech	36	Director

Kevin J. Zugibe, P.E. is a founder of the Company and has been a director and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since its inception in 1991. Since May 1994, Mr. Zugibe has devoted his full business time to the Company's affairs. From May 1987 to May 1994, Mr. Zugibe was employed as a power engineer with Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., a major public utility, where he was responsible for all HVAC applications. Mr. Zugibe is a licensed professional engineer, and from December 1990 to May 1994, he was a member of Kevin J. Zugibe & Associates, a professional engineering firm. Kevin J. Zugibe and Thomas P. Zugibe are brothers.

Brian F. Coleman has been President and Chief Operating Officer since his appointment on August 21, 2001 and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since May 1997. From June of 1987 to May of 1997, Mr. Coleman was employed by and since July 1995, was a partner with BDO Seidman, LLP, the Company's independent auditors.

Thomas P. Zugibe has been an Executive Vice President of the Company since its inception in 1991. Mr. Zugibe is responsible for legal regulatory affairs, technical compliance and training for the Company. He has been engaged in the practice of law in the State of New York since 1980 and is on extended leave from the law firm of Ferraro and Zugibe, Garnerville, New York.

Stephen P. Mandracchia has been an Executive Vice President of the Company since January 1993 and Secretary of the Company since April 1995. Mr. Mandracchia is responsible for operations and regulatory legal affairs of the Company. Mr. Mandracchia was a member of the law firm of Martin, Vandewalle, Donohue, Mandracchia & McGahan, Great Neck, New York until December 31, 1995 (having been affiliated with such firm since August 1983). Stephen P. Mandracchia is the brother-in-law of Kevin J. Zugibe and Thomas P. Zugibe.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Vincent P. Abbatecola has been a director of the Company since June 1994. Mr. Abbatecola is the owner and General Manager of Abbey Ice & Spring Water Company, a leading ice and bottled water company in the New York metropolitan area since May 1971. He serves as a Board Member and past Chairman of the Mid Atlantic Ice Association, Board Member and past Chairman of the National Packaged Ice Association and Past Chairman of the Food Safety Committee of the National Packaged Ice Association. Mr. Abbatecola also serves as Vice Chairman, Board of Governors of the Rockland County Health Center; Member, St. Thomas Aquinas College President's Council; Member, Rockland Business Association Board of Directors; Member, Nyack Hospital Corporation and Member, Union State Bank Chairman's Council.

Robert L. Burr has been a Director of the Company since August 1999. Mr. Burr has been a Partner of Windcrest Discovery Capital Partners, LLC, an investment management firm, from its inception in February 2002 and has a consulting agreement with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. under which he is the lead partner of Fleming US Discovery Partners, L.P., a private equity sponsor affiliated with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. Fleming US Discovery Partners, L.P. is the general partner of Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. Mr. Burr was employed by J. P. Morgan Chase & Co. from July 1995 to October 2001. From 1992 to 1995, Mr. Burr was head of Private Equity at Kidder, Peabody & Co., Inc. Previously, Mr. Burr served as the Managing General Partner of Morgan Stanley Ventures and General Partner of Morgan Stanley Venture Capital Fund I, L.P. and was a corporate lending officer with Citibank, N.A. Mr. Burr serves on the Board of Directors of Displaytech, Inc. and Impax Laboratories, Inc.

Dominic J. Monetta has been a director of the Company since April 1996. Since August 1993, Mr. Monetta has been the President of Resource Alternatives, Inc., a corporate development firm concentrating on solving management and technological problems facing

17

chief executive officers and their senior executives. From December 1991 to May 1993, Mr. Monetta served as the Director of Defense Research and Engineering for Research and Advanced Technology for the United States Department of Defense. From June 1989 to December 1991, Mr. Monetta served as the Director of the Office of New Production Reactors of the United States Department of Energy.

Otto C. Morch has been a director of the Company since March 1996. Mr. Morch was a Senior Vice President, of Commercial Banking at Provident Bank and retired from that position in December 1997.

Harry C. Schell has been a director of the Company since August 1998. Mr. Schell is the former chairman and chief executive officer of BICC Cables Corporation, and has served on the board of directors of the BICC Group (London), Phelps Dodge Industries, the National Electrical Manufacturers Association and the United Way of Rockland (New York).

Robert M. Zech has been a Director of the Company since June 1999. Mr. Zech has been a Partner of Windcrest Discovery Capital Partners, LLC, an investment management firm, from its inception in February 2002. From April 1996 to October 2001, Mr. Zech was employed by J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., where he was a Partner of Fleming US Discovery Partners, L.P., the general partner of Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. From 1994 to 1996, Mr. Zech was an Associate with Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn, Inc., an

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

investment management firm. Previously Mr. Zech served as an Associate with Wolfensohn & Co., a mergers & acquisitions advisory firm, and was a Financial Analyst at leveraged buyout sponsor Merrill Lynch Capital Partners, Inc. and in the investment banking division of Merrill Lynch & Co.

The Company has established a Compensation/Stock Option Committee of the Board of Directors, which is responsible for recommending the compensation of the Company's executive officers and for the administration of the Company's Stock Option Plans. The members of the Committee are Messrs. Abbatecola, Burr, Morch and Zech. The Company also has an Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which supervises the audit and financial procedures of the Company. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Abbatecola, Morch and Monetta. The Company also has an Executive Committee of the Board of Directors, which is authorized to exercise the powers of the board of directors in the general supervision and control of the business affairs of the Company during the intervals between meetings of the board. The members of the Executive Committee are Messrs. Schell, Burr and Kevin J. Zugibe. The Company's Occupational, Safety And Environmental Protection Committee is responsible for satisfying the Board that the Company's Environmental, Health and Safety policies, plans and procedures are adequate. The members of the Occupational, Safety and Environmental Protection Committee are Messrs. Monetta and Kevin J. Zugibe.

The By-laws of the Company provide that the Board of Directors is divided into two classes. Each class is to have a term of two years, with the term of each class expiring in successive years, and is to consist, as nearly as possible, of one-half of the number of directors constituting the entire Board. The By-laws provide that the number of directors shall be fixed by the Board of Directors but in any event, shall be no less than seven (7) (subject to decrease by a resolution adopted by the shareholders). The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock, voting as a separate class, have the right to elect up to two members to the Company's Board of Directors. Currently, the holders have elected one member to the Board of Directors. At the Company's August 23, 2001 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders, Messrs. Abbatecola, Burr and Morch, were elected as directors to terms of office that will expire at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in the year 2003. Messrs. Monetta, Schell, Zech and Kevin J. Zugibe are currently serving as directors and whose terms of office expire at the Annual Meeting of the Shareholders to be held in the year 2002.

### Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10 percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Officers, directors, and greater than 10 percent stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on the Company's review of the copies of such forms received by the Company, the Company believes that during the year ended December 31, 2001 all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors, and greater than 10 percent beneficial stockholders were complied with, except that Mr. Thomas P. Zugibe did not timely file Form 4 for five transactions in July 2001.

### Item 10. Executive Compensation

The following table discloses, for the years indicated, the compensation for the

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Company's Chief Executive Officer and each executive officer that earned over \$100,000 during the year ended December 31, 2001 (the "Named Executives").

### Summary Compensation Table

Name	Position	Year	Annual Compensation(1)		Long Term Compe
			Salary	Bonus	Awards
-----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----
Kevin J. Zugibe	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2001	\$ 76,366	--	170,000 s
		2000	\$ 80,981	--	140,000 s
		1999	\$136,279	--	1,000 s
Brian F. Coleman	President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer	2001	\$138,799	--	100,000 s
		2000	\$151,047	--	37,500 s
		1999	\$138,124	--	1,000 s
Thomas P. Zugibe	Executive Vice President	2001	\$122,800	--	20,000 s
		2000	\$110,338	--	102,500 s
		1999	\$104,800	--	1,000 s
Stephen P Mandracchia	Executive Vice President and Secretary	2001	\$123,800	--	15,000 s
		2000	\$113,415	--	77,500 s
		1999	\$108,124	--	1,000 s

(1) The value of personal benefits furnished to the Named Executives during 1999, 2000 and 2001 did not exceed 10% of their respective annual compensation.

The Company granted options, which, except as otherwise set forth below, vest upon the date of grant, to the Named Executives during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, as shown in the following table:

### Summary of Stock Options Granted to Named Executives

Name	Position	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted Shares	% of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal year	Exercise or Base price (\$/sh)	Expiration Date
			Percent		
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Kevin J. Zugibe	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	60,000	13%	\$2.38	02/07/200
		45,000	10%	\$2.38	10/23/200
		50,000 (1)	11%	\$2.55	12/13/200
		15,000	3%	\$2.55	12/13/200
Brian F. Coleman	President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer	80,000	18%	\$2.55	12/13/200
		20,000 (2)	4%	\$2.55	12/13/200

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Thomas P. Zugibe	Executive Vice President	20,000 (2)	4%	\$2.55	12/13/200
Stephen P. Mandracchia	Executive Vice President and Secretary	15,000 (3)	3%	\$2.55	12/13/200

-----

- (1) Options vest over a three year period at the rate of 4,167 per quarter, commencing with the first quarter of 2002.
- (2) Options vest over a three year period at the rate of 1,667 per quarter, commencing with the first quarter of 2002.
- (3) Options vest over a three year period at the rate of 1,250 per quarter, commencing with the first quarter of 2002.

19

Aggregated Fiscal Year End Option Values Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the value of unexercised stock options held by the Named Executives at December 31, 2001. Except as otherwise indicated, no options were exercised by the Named Executives during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options At December 31, 2001		In At Exerc
			Exercisable	Unexercisable	
Kevin J. Zugibe Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	--	--	314,500	94,500	\$
Brian F. Coleman President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer	--	--	144,715	60,785	\$
Thomas P. Zugibe Executive Vice President	--	--	168,500	20,000	\$
Stephen P. Mandracchia Executive Vice President And Secretary	2,200	\$4,850	141,300	15,000	\$

-----

(1) Year-end values of unexercised in-the-money options represent the positive spread between the exercise price of such options and the year-end market value of the Common Stock of \$2.82.



## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

### Compensation of Directors

Non-employee directors receive an annual fee of \$3,000 and receive reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred, and an attendance fee of \$500 and \$250, respectively, for attendance at meetings of the Board of Directors and Board committee meetings. In addition, commencing in August 1998, non-employee directors receive 5,000 nonqualified stock options per year of service under the Company's Stock Option Plans.

In addition to the standard annual director's remuneration, Mr. Schell receives an additional \$20,000 and an additional 5,000 stock options for serving as a director and a consultant to the Company. The additional stock options are issued with an exercise price equal to that of the other directors' option grants.

To date, the Company has granted to Harry C. Schell nonqualified options to purchase 40,000 shares of Common Stock at exercise prices ranging from \$2.38 to \$3.00 per share. Such options vested and are fully exercisable as of December 31, 2001. The Company has also granted to each of Dominic J. Monetta, Otto Morch and Vincent Abbatecola, nonqualified options to purchase 20,000 shares of Common Stock at exercise prices ranging from \$2.38 to \$3.00 per share. Such options vested and are fully exercisable as of December 31, 2001. In addition, in connection with the appointment of two of their nominees as members of the Board of Directors, the Company has granted to Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. nonqualified options to purchase 25,854 and 4,146 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.38 per share. All such options issued to the directors are vested and fully exercisable at December 31, 2001.

### Employment Agreements

The Company has entered into a two-year employment agreement with Kevin J. Zugibe, which expires in May 2003 and is automatically renewable for two successive terms. Pursuant to the agreement, effective February 1, 2000, Mr. Zugibe is receiving an annual base salary of \$134,000 with such increases and bonuses as the Board may determine. The Board of Directors and Mr. Zugibe have agreed, at Mr. Zugibe's option, to reduce the cash compensation and issue additional stock options to Mr. Zugibe in satisfaction of his annual base salary. The Company is the beneficiary of a "key-man" insurance policy on the life of Mr. Zugibe in the amount of \$1,000,000.

20

### Stock Option Plan

#### 1994 Stock Option Plan

The Company has adopted an Employee Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") effective October 31, 1994 pursuant to which 725,000 shares of Common Stock are currently reserved for issuance upon the exercise of options designated as either (i) options intended to constitute incentive stock options ("ISOs") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), or (ii) nonqualified options. ISOs may be granted under the Plan to employees and officers of the Company. Non-qualified options may be granted to consultants, directors (whether or not they are employees), employees or officers of the Company. Stock appreciation rights may also be issued in tandem with stock options.

The Plan is intended to qualify under Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and is administered by the Compensation/Stock Option Committee of the Board of Directors. The committee,

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

within the limitations of the Plan, determines the persons to whom options will be granted, the number of shares to be covered by each option, whether the options granted are intended to be ISOs, the duration and rate of exercise of each option, the exercise price per share and the manner of exercise and the time, manner and form of payment upon exercise of an option. Unless sooner terminated, the Plan will expire on December 31, 2004.

ISOs granted under the Plan may not be granted at a price less than the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant (or 110% of fair market value in the case of persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). The aggregate fair market value of shares for which ISOs granted to any employee are exercisable for the first time by such employee during any calendar year (under all stock option plans of the Company) may not exceed \$100,000. Non-qualified options granted under the Plan may not be granted at a price less than 85% of the market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the Plan will expire not more than ten years from the date of grant (five years in the case of ISOs granted to persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). All options granted under the Plan are not transferable during an optionee's lifetime but are transferable at death by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. In general, upon termination of employment of an optionee, all options granted to such person which are not exercisable on the date of such termination immediately terminate, and any options that are exercisable terminate 90 days following termination of employment.

As of December 31, 2001, options to purchase 618,906 shares of Common Stock were issued under the Plan. During 2000, the Company granted options to purchase 40,000 shares each to Kevin J. Zugibe, Stephen P. Mandracchia and Thomas P. Zugibe exercisable at \$2.375 per share. Such options vest and are fully exercisable as of August 3, 2000. During 2001, the Company granted options to purchase shares to Kevin J. Zugibe, 50,000 shares; Brian F. Coleman, 20,000 shares; Stephen P. Mandracchia, 15,000 shares; and Thomas P. Zugibe, 20,000 shares, all of which are exercisable at \$2.55 per share. Such options vest quarterly in equal amounts over three years, commencing with the first quarter of 2002. In addition, during 2001, the Company also granted options to purchase 15,000 shares to Kevin J. Zugibe exercisable at \$2.55 per share, all of which vested and are fully exercisable as of December 13, 2001. During 2001, the Company also granted options to purchase 80,000 shares to Brian F. Coleman exercisable at \$2.55 per share, all of which vested as of December 13, 2001, and which became exercisable as follows: 39,215 on 12/13/01, 39,215 on 12/13/02 and 1,570 on 12/13/03. In addition, during 2001, the Company also granted options to certain employees to purchase 20,000 shares exercisable at \$2.55 per share. Such options vest quarterly in equal amounts over three years, commencing with the first quarter of 2002 (see Note 11 to the Notes of the Consolidated Financial Statements).

### 1997 Stock Option Plan

The Company has adopted the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan"), pursuant to which 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock are currently reserved for issuance upon the exercise of options designated as either (i) ISOs under the Code, or (ii) nonqualified options. ISOs may be granted under the 1997 Plan to employees and officers of the Company. Nonqualified options may be granted to consultants, directors (whether or not they are employees), employees or officers of the Company. Stock appreciation rights may also be issued in tandem with stock options.

The 1997 Plan is intended to qualify under Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and is administered by the Compensation/Stock Option Committee of the Board of Directors. The committee, within the limitations of the 1997 Plan, determines the persons to whom options will be granted, the number of shares to be covered by each option, whether the options granted are intended to be ISOs, the

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

duration and rate of exercise of each option, the exercise price per share and the manner of exercise and the time, manner and form of payment upon exercise of an option. Unless sooner terminated, the 1997 Plan will expire on June 11, 2007.

ISOs granted under the 1997 Plan may not be granted at a price less than the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant (or 110% of fair market value in the case of persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). The aggregate

21

fair market value of shares for which ISOs granted to any employee are exercisable for the first time by such employee during any calendar year (under all stock option plans of the Company) may not exceed \$100,000. Nonqualified options granted under the 1997 Plan may not be granted at a price less than the par value of the Common Stock. Options granted under the 1997 Plan will expire not more than ten years from the date of grant (five years in the case of ISOs granted to persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). Except as otherwise provided by the committee with respect to nonqualified options, all options granted under the 1997 Plan are not transferable during an optionee's lifetime but are transferable at death by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. In general, upon termination of employment of an optionee, all options granted to such person which are not exercisable on the date of such termination immediately terminate, and any options that are exercisable terminate 90 days following termination of employment.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had granted options to purchase 1,405,716 shares of Common Stock under the 1997 Plan. During 1998, the Company granted non-qualified options to purchase 40,000, 25,000 and 25,000 shares at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share to Kevin J. Zugibe, Stephen P. Mandracchia and Thomas P. Zugibe, respectively. Such options vested on August 31, 1998. In addition, during 1998, the Company also granted options to purchase 420,666 shares to certain officers, directors and employees, exercisable at prices ranging from \$2.50 to \$4.375 per share. During 1999, the Company granted options to purchase 1,000, 1,000 and 1,000 shares at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share to Kevin J. Zugibe, Stephen P. Mandracchia and Thomas P. Zugibe, respectively. Such options vested and are fully exercisable as of November 3, 2000; November 3, 1999 and November 3, 1999, respectively. In addition, during 1999, the Company also granted options to purchase 153,500 shares to certain officers, directors and employees, exercisable at prices ranging from \$1.781 to \$2.63 per share. During 2000, the Company granted options to purchase 100,000 shares at an exercise price of \$2.375 per share to Kevin J. Zugibe, which options vest at a rate of 50% upon issuance and 50% on the first anniversary date, and which become exercisable as follows: 14,500 on 8/4/00, 27,500 on 11/3/00, 14,500 on 8/4/01, 27,000 on 11/3/01, 14,500 on 8/4/02 and 2,000 on 11/2/02. During 2000, the Company granted options to purchase 37,500 and 62,500 shares at an exercise price of \$2.375 per share to Stephen P. Mandracchia and Thomas P. Zugibe, respectively. Such options vest at a rate of 50% upon issuance and 50% on the first anniversary date. In addition, during 2000, the Company also granted options to purchase 269,250 shares to certain officers, directors and employees, exercisable at prices ranging from \$2.375 to \$2.78 per share. During 2001, the Company granted options to purchase 105,000 shares at an exercise price of \$2.375 per share to Kevin J. Zugibe, which options vested and were fully exercisable as of February 7, 2001, as to 60,000 shares, and as of October 23, 2001 as to 45,000 shares. In addition, during 2001, the Company granted options to purchase 131,000 shares to certain directors and employees ranging from \$2.375 to \$3.08 per share. Such options vested and were fully exercisable as of the date of issuance (see Note 11 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

## Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.

The following table sets forth information as of March 1, 2002 based on information obtained from the persons named below, with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock by (i) each person known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's outstanding Common Stock, (ii) the Named Executives, (iii) each director of the Company, and (iv) all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(2)		Percentage of Common Shares Owned
Kevin J. Zugibe	552,228	(3)	10.1%
Thomas P. Zugibe	400,628	(4)	7.5%
Stephen P. Mandracchia	380,128	(5)	7.2%
Brian F. Coleman	147,715	(6)	2.8%
Vincent P. Abbatecola	25,000	(7)	*
Robert L. Burr	0	(11)	*
Dominic J. Monetta	30,000	(7)	*
Otto C. Morch	20,600	(7)	*
Harry C. Schell	69,000	(8)	1.3%
Robert M. Zech	0		*
DuPont Chemical and Energy Operations, Inc.	500,000	(9)	9.7%
Flemings Funds	4,608,736	(10)	47.2%
All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons)	1,625,299	(12)	27.0%

\* = Less than 1%

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the persons listed above is the address of the Company, 275 North Middletown Road, Pearl River, New York 10965.

(2) A person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that can be acquired by such person within 60 days from March 1, 2002. Each beneficial owner's percentage ownership is determined by assuming that options and warrants that are held by such person (but not held by any other person) and which are exercisable within 60 days from March 1, 2002 have been exercised. Unless otherwise noted, the Company believes that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common stock beneficially owned by them.

(3) Includes (i) 40,000 shares which may be purchased at \$4.47 per share; (ii) 40,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.00 per share; (iii) 18,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.85 per share; (iv) 1,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.00 per share; (v) 40,000 shares that may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; (vi) 55,500 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; (vii) 60,000 shares that may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; (viii) 45,000 shares that may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; and (ix) 15,000 shares that may be purchased at \$2.551 per share under immediately exercisable options. Does not give effect to any voting rights held by Mr. Zugibe as a result of the Company's

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

agreement with the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock as discussed in (11) below.

(4) Includes (i) 25,000 shares which may be purchased at \$4.47 per share; (ii) 15,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.85 per share; (iii) 25,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.00 per share; (iv) 1,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.00 per share; (v) 40,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; and (vi) 62,500 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share under immediately exercisable options.

(5) Includes (i) 25,000 shares which may be purchased at \$4.47 per share; (ii) 15,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.50 per share; (iii) 25,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.00 per share; (iv) 40,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; and (v) 36,300 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share under immediately exercisable options. Does not give effect to any voting rights held by Mr. Mandracchia as a result of the Company's agreement with the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock as discussed in (11) below.

23

(6) Represents (i) 30,000 shares which may be purchased at \$4.06 per share; (ii) 12,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.50 per share; (iii) 25,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.50 per share; (iv) 1,000 shares which may be purchased at \$1.78 per share; (v) 37,500 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; and (vi) 80,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.551 per share under immediately exercisable options.

(7) Includes (i) 5,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.00 per share; (ii) 5,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; (iii) 5,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; and (iv) 5,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.08 per share under immediately exercisable options.

(8) Includes (i) 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.00 per share; (ii) 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; (iii) 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.785 per share; and (iv) 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.08 per share under immediately exercisable options.

(9) According to a Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, DuPont Chemical and Energy Operations, Inc. ("DCEO") and E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company claim shared voting and dispositive power over the shares. DCEO's address is DuPont Building, Room 8045, 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898.

(10) Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P., and their general partner, Fleming US Discovery Partners, L.P. and its general partner, Fleming US Discovery Partners LLC, collectively referred to as ("Flemings Funds") are affiliates. The beneficial ownership of the Flemings Funds assumes the conversion of Series A Preferred Stock owned by the Flemings Funds (which constitutes all of the outstanding Series A Preferred Stock) to Common Stock at a conversion rate of \$2.375 per share. The holders of shares of Series A Preferred Stock vote together with the holders of the Common Stock based upon the number of shares of Common Stock into which the Series A Preferred Stock is then convertible. The Flemings Funds has provided to the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary of the Company a Proxy to vote that number of voting shares held by the Flemings Funds which exceed 29% of the then voting shares. Also includes 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.375 per share; 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$2.785 per share; and 10,000 shares which may be purchased at \$3.08 per share under immediately exercisable options. Flemings Funds address is c/o JP Morgan Chase & Co., 1221 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor, New York, New York 10020, except for Fleming US Discovery

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Offshore Fund III, L.P. whose address is c/o Bank of Bermuda LTD., 6 Front Street, Hamilton HM11 Bermuda.

(11) Mr. Burr has been appointed a director by the Flemings Funds. The Fleming Funds have not designated a second director for the Company's board. Mr. Burr's share ownership excludes all shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by Flemings Funds.

(12) Includes exercisable options to purchase 869,015 shares of Common Stock owned by the directors and officers as a group. Excludes 4,608,736 shares beneficially owned by the Flemings Funds.

Kevin J. Zugibe, Thomas P. Zugibe and Stephen P. Mandracchia may be deemed to be "parents" of the Company as such term is used under the Securities Act of 1933.

### Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

In the regular course of its business, the Company purchases refrigerants from and sells refrigerants to DuPont and performs recovery, reclamation, RefrigerantSide(R) Services and other services for DuPont. During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had sales to DuPont in the amount of \$1,124,000 and \$976,000, respectively (see "Description of Business" - Strategic Alliance).

On February 16, 2001, the Company completed the sale of 30,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, with a liquidation value of \$100 per share, to Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock were \$3,000,000. The Series A Preferred Stock converts to Common Stock at a rate of \$2.375 per share, which was 23% above the closing market price of Common Stock on February 15, 2001. Mr. Burr, a director of the Company, has a consulting agreement with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. under which he is the lead partner of Fleming US Discovery Partners, L.P., a private equity sponsor affiliated with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. Fleming US Discovery Partners, L.P. is the general partner of Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P.

24

### Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

- (a) Exhibits
- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation and Amendment. (1)
- 3.2 Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation, dated July 20, 1994. (1)
- 3.3 Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation, dated October 26, 1994. (1)
- 3.4 By-Laws. (1)
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation dated March 16, 1999. (5)
- 3.6 Certificate of Correction of the Certificate of Amendment dated March 25, 1999. (5)
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation dated March 29, 1999. (5)

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

- 3.8 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation dated February 16, 2001. (7)
- 10.1 Lease Agreement between the Company and Ramapo Land Co., Inc. (1)
- 10.2 1994 Stock Option Plan of the Company. (1) (\*)
- 10.3 Employment Agreement with Kevin J. Zugibe. (1) (\*)
- 10.4 Assignment of patent rights from Kevin J. Zugibe to Registrant. (1)
- 10.5 Agreements dated January 29, 1997 between E.I. DuPont de Nemours, DCEO, and the Company.
- 10.6 Loan and security agreements and warrant agreements dated April 29, 1998 between Financing Group, Inc. (3)
- 10.7 Stock Purchase Agreement, Registration Rights Agreement and Stockholders Agreement Company and Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund I
- 10.8 1997 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended. (6) (\*)
- 10.9 Stock Purchase Agreements dated February 16, 2001 between the Company and Fleming US Dis Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. (7)
- 10.10 First Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement dated February 16, 2001 between t Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. (7)
- 10.11 First Amendment to Stockholders Agreement dated February 16, 2001 between the Company L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. (7)
- 10.12 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Hudson Technologies, Inc
- 10.13 First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreements and Waiver, between Hudson Technologies, III, L.P., dated March 5, 2002.
- 10.14 First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreements and Waiver, between Hudson Technolog Offshore Fund III, L.P., dated March 5, 2002.
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP.
- 
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company's Registr 33-80279-NY).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company Report in For
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company's Report o March 31, 1998.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company's Report December 31, 1998.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company's Report o June 30, 1999.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company's Report December 31, 1999.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the comparable exhibit filed with the Company's Report

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

December 31, 2000.

(\*) Denotes Management Compensation Plan, agreement or arrangement.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

During the quarter ended December 31, 2001, no report on Form 8-K was filed.

25

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ Kevin J. Zugibe  
-----

Kevin J. Zugibe, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 28, 2002

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons, on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature -----	Title -----	Date ----
/s/ Kevin J. Zugibe ----- Kevin J. Zugibe	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 28, 2002
/s/ Brian F. Coleman ----- Brian F. Coleman	President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 28, 2002
/s/ Vincent Abbatecola ----- Vincent Abbatecola	Director	March 28, 2002
/s/ Robert L. Burr ----- Robert L. Burr	Director	March 28, 2002
/s/ Dominic J. Monetta ----- Dominic J. Monetta	Director	March 28, 2002
/s/ Otto C. Morch ----- Otto C. Morch	Director	March 28, 2002



Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

/s/ Harry C. Schell            Director  
-----  
Harry C. Schell

March 28, 2

/s/ Robert M. Zech            Director  
-----  
Robert M. Zech

March 28, 2

26

Hudson Technologies, Inc.  
Consolidated Financial Statements

Contents  
-----

Report of Independent Certified Accountants	28
Audited Consolidated Financial Statements:	
o Consolidated Balance Sheet	29
o Consolidated Statements of Operations	30
o Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	31
o Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	32
o Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	33

27

Report of Independent Certified Accountants

To Stockholders and Board of Directors

Hudson Technologies, Inc.  
Pearl River, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Hudson Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Hudson Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

Valhalla, New York  
March 1, 2002

28

Hudson Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries	
Consolidated Balance Sheet	
(Amounts in thousands, except for share and par value amounts)	
	December 31, 2001
	-----
Assets	
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,382
Trade accounts receivable - net	2,745
Inventories	2,387
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	150
	-----
Total current assets	6,664
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation	3,581
Other assets	123
	-----
Total Assets	\$10,368
	=====
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 3,287
Short-term debt	2,450
	-----
Total current liabilities	5,737
Long-term debt, less current maturities	841
	-----
Total Liabilities	6,578
	-----
Commitments and contingencies	
Stockholders' equity:	
Preferred stock shares authorized 5,000,000: Series A Convertible Preferred stock, \$.01 par value (\$100 liquidation preference value); shares authorized 150,000; issued and outstanding 108,745	10,875
Common stock, \$.01 par value; shares authorized 20,000,000; issued outstanding 5,156,520	52
Additional paid-in capital	20,567
Accumulated deficit	(27,704)
	-----
Total Stockholders' Equity	3,790
	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 10,368
	=====

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

29

Hudson Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries		
Consolidated Statements of Operations		
(Amounts in thousands, except for share and per share amounts)		
	For the year ended December 31,	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Revenues	\$20,768	\$15,455
Cost of sales	14,971	10,397
	-----	-----
Gross Profit	5,797	5,058
	-----	-----
Operating expenses:		
Selling and marketing	2,322	2,126
General and administrative	4,475	4,049
Depreciation and amortization	1,220	1,290
	-----	-----
Total operating expenses	8,017	7,465
	-----	-----
Operating loss	(2,220)	(2,407)
	-----	-----
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(423)	(501)
Other income	230	512
	-----	-----
Gain on sale of assets	14	--
	-----	-----
Total other income (expense)	(179)	11
	-----	-----
Loss before income taxes	(2,399)	(2,396)
Income taxes	--	--
	-----	-----
Net loss	(2,399)	(2,396)
Preferred stock dividends	(723)	(497)
	-----	-----
Available for common shareholders	\$ (3,122)	\$ (2,893)
	=====	=====
Net loss per common share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.61)	\$ (0.57)
	=====	=====
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	5,103,733	5,088,570
	=====	=====

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Hudson Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

(Amounts in thousands, except for share amounts)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Acco D
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Balance at December 31, 1999	67,314	\$ 6,731	5,085,820	\$ 51	\$ 21,614	\$ (
Issuance of Common Stock for services	--	--	3,000	--	7	
Dividends paid in-kind on Series A Preferred Stock	4,881	488	--	--	(488)	
Net Loss	--	--	--	--	--	
Balance at December 31, 2000	72,195	7,219	5,088,820	51	21,133	(
Issuance of Common Stock upon exercise of stock options	--	--	67,700	1	150	
Issuance of Series A Preferred Stock - Net	30,000	3,000	--	--	(60)	
Dividends paid in-kind on Series A Preferred Stock	6,550	656	--	--	(656)	
Net Loss	--	--	--	--	--	
Balance at December 31, 2001	108,745	\$ 10,875	5,156,520	\$ 52	\$ 20,567	\$ (

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Hudson Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents  
(Amounts in thousands)

For the year  
ended December 31,  
-----

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

	2001	2000
	----	----
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (2,399)	\$ (2,396)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss		
to cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,220	1,290
Allowance for doubtful accounts	60	20
Gain on sale of assets	(14)	--
Common stock issued for services	--	7
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	(218)	(691)
Inventories	(486)	580
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	47	5
Other assets	(17)	13
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(548)	461
Deferred income	(6)	(16)
	-----	-----
Cash used by operating activities	(2,361)	(727)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	937	--
Additions to patents	(9)	--
Additions to property, plant, and equipment	(374)	(853)
	-----	-----
Cash provided (used) by investing activities	554	(853)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock - net	2,940	--
Proceeds from issuance of common stock - net	151	--
Proceeds of short-term debt - net	272	234
Proceeds from long-term debt	--	529
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,037)	(803)
	-----	-----
Cash provided (used) by financing activities	2,326	(40)
	-----	-----
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	519	(1,620)
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	863	2,483
	-----	-----
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,382	\$ 863
	=====	=====
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during period for interest	\$ 423	\$ 501
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing		
and financing activities:		
In-kind payment of preferred stock dividends	\$ 656	\$ 488

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

### Business

Hudson Technologies, Inc., incorporated under the laws of New York on January 11, 1991, together with its subsidiaries (collectively, "Hudson" or the "Company"), is a refrigerant services company providing innovative solutions to recurring problems within the refrigeration industry. The Company's products and services are primarily used in commercial air conditioning, industrial processing and refrigeration systems, including (i) refrigerant sales, (ii) RefrigerantSide(R) Services performed at a customer's site, consisting of system decontamination to remove moisture, oils and other contaminants and (iii) reclamation of refrigerants. The Company operates through its wholly owned subsidiary Hudson Technologies Company.

### Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements represent all companies of which Hudson directly or indirectly has majority ownership or otherwise controls. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of wholly-owned subsidiaries Hudson Holdings, Inc. and Hudson Technologies Company.

### Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying values of financial instruments including trade accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate fair value at December 31, 2001, because of the relatively short maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of short-and long-term debt approximates fair value, based upon quoted market rates of similar debt issues, as of December 31, 2001.

### Credit risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of temporary cash investments and trade accounts receivable. The Company maintains its temporary cash investments in highly-rated financial institutions. The Company's trade accounts receivables are due from companies throughout the U.S. The Company reviews each customer's credit history before extending credit.

The Company establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts based on factors associated with the credit risk of specific accounts, historical trends, and other information.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, one customer accounted for 15% of the Company's revenues and as of December 31, 2001 there were no related accounts receivable balance from this customer. During the year ended December 31, 2000, one customer accounted for 13% of the Company's revenues. The loss of a principal customer or a decline in the economic prospects and purchases of the Company's products or services by any such customer could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had sales to E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont"), an affiliate, in the amount of \$1,124,000 and \$976,000, respectively.

### Cash and cash equivalents

Temporary investments with original maturities of ninety days or less are included in cash and cash equivalents.

### Inventories

Inventories, consisting primarily of reclaimed refrigerant products available

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

for sale, are stated at the lower of cost, on a first-in first-out basis, or market.

### Property, plant, and equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost; including internally manufactured equipment. The cost to complete equipment that is under construction is not considered to be material to the Company's financial position. Provision for depreciation is recorded (for

33

financial reporting purposes) using the straight-line method over the useful lives of the respective assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of economic life or terms of the respective leases. Costs of maintenance and repairs are charged to expense when incurred.

Due to the specialized nature of the Company's business, it is possible that the Company's estimates of equipment useful life periods may change in the future.

### Revenues and cost of sales

Revenues are recorded upon completion of service or product shipment or passage of title to customers in accordance with contractual terms. Cost of sales is recorded based on the cost of products shipped or services performed and related direct operating costs of the Company's facilities. To the extent that the Company charges shipping fees such amounts are included as a component of revenue and the corresponding costs are included as a component of cost of sales.

### Income taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method for recording deferred income taxes, which provides for the establishment of deferred tax asset or liability accounts based on the difference between tax and financial reporting bases of certain assets and liabilities.

The Company recognized a reserve allowance against the deferred tax benefit for the current and prior period losses. The tax benefit associated with the Company's net operating loss carry forwards would be recognized to the extent that the Company recognized net income in future periods.

### Loss per common and equivalent shares

Loss per common share, Basic, is calculated based on the net loss for the period less dividends on the outstanding Series A Preferred Stock, \$723,000 and \$497,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. If dilutive, common equivalent shares (common shares assuming exercise of options and warrants or conversion of Preferred Stock) utilizing the treasury stock method are considered in the presentation of dilutive earnings per share. The effect of equivalent shares was not dilutive in either 2001 or 2000.

### Estimates and Risks

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the results of operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these

estimates.

The Company participates in an industry that is highly regulated, changes in which could affect operating results. Currently the Company purchases virgin and reclaimable refrigerants from domestic suppliers and its customers. To the extent that the Company is unable to obtain refrigerants on commercially reasonable terms or experiences a decline in demand for refrigerants, the Company could realize reductions in refrigerant processing and possible loss of revenues, which would have a material adverse affect on operating results.

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings. The Company assesses the merit and potential liability associated with each of these proceedings. The Company estimates potential liability, if any, related to these matters. To the extent that these estimates are not accurate, or circumstances change in the future, the Company could realize liabilities which would have a material adverse affect on operating results and its financial position.

Impairment of long-lived assets and long-lived assets to be disposed of

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less the cost to sell.

34

Recent accounting pronouncements

In June 2001, The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Statements No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS 141), No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFA 142) and No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS 143). In addition, in August 2001, FASB issued statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.

SFAS 141 addresses the use of the purchase method of accounting and prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS 141 also requires that the Company recognize acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill if the acquired intangible assets meet certain criteria. SFAS 141 applies to business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and for purchase business combinations completed on or after July 1, 2001. The Company will adopt SFAS 141 effective January 1, 2002.

SFAS 142 requires, among other things, that companies no longer amortize goodwill, but instead test goodwill for impairment at least annually. In addition, SFAS 142 requires that the Company identify reporting units for the purposes of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill, reassess the useful lives of other existing recognized intangible assets, and cease amortization of intangible assets with an indefinite useful life. An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life should be tested for impairment in accordance with the guidance in SFAS 142. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially



## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

recognized. SFAS 142 requires the Company to complete a transitional goodwill impairment test six months from the date of adoption. The Company is also required to reassess the useful lives of other intangible assets within the first interim quarter after adoption of SFAS 142. The Company will adopt SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002.

SFAS 143 addresses financial reporting for obligations associated with retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated retirement costs. SFAS 143 is effective for the fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company will adopt SFAS 143 effective January 1, 2003.

SFAS 144 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company will adopt SFAS effective January 1, 2002.

Currently, the Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS 141, SFAS 142, SFAS 143 and SFAS 144 will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

### Note 2 - Dispositions

Effective March 19, 1999, the Company sold 75% of its stock ownership in ESS to one of ESS's founders. The consideration for the Company's sale of its interest was \$100,000 in cash and a six-year 6% interest bearing note in the amount of \$380,000. The Company has recognized as income the portion of the proceeds associated with the note receivable upon the receipt of cash. The Company recognized a valuation allowance for 100% of the note receivable. Effective October 11, 1999, the Company sold to three of ESS's employees an additional 5.4% ownership in ESS. The Company received \$37,940 from the sale of this additional ESS stock. Effective April 18, 2000, ESS redeemed the balance of the Company's stock ownership in ESS. The Company received cash in the amount of \$188,000 from the redemption, which is included in other income in 2000. Pursuant to an agreement dated January 22, 2002, ESS and the Company agreed to a 16% discount of the outstanding balance on the note receivable. On January 25, 2002, as part of a capital financing completed by ESS, ESS paid the Company \$231,951, representing the discounted balance as of that date, as full satisfaction of the note received and as of that date, the Company recognized the proceeds as other income.

### Note 3 - Other income

For the year ended December 31, 2001, other income of \$230,000 consisted primarily of interest income, lease rental income from the Company's Ft. Lauderdale facility, which was sold on March 22, 2001, and payments received from the note receivable from ESS. On March 22, 2001, the Company sold its Ft. Lauderdale property and, after payment of the then outstanding mortgage and transactional expenses, it received net proceeds of approximately \$300,000. The Company recognized a \$14,000 gain from the sale of this property. For the year ended December 31, 2000, other income of \$512,000 consisted of lease rental income from the Company's Ft. Lauderdale facility, payments received from the note receivable from ESS, including a \$188,000 gain from the sale of the balance of the ownership interest in ESS.

### Note 4 - Income taxes

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, there was no income tax

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

expense recognized due to the Company's net losses.

Reconciliation of the Company's actual tax rate to the U.S. Federal statutory rate is as follows:

Year ended December 31, (in percents)	2001	2000
	----	----
Income tax rates		
- Statutory U.S. Federal rate	(34%)	(34%)
- States, net U.S. benefits	(4%)	(4%)
- Valuation allowance	38%	38%
	---	---
Total	--%	--%
	===	===

As of December 31, 2001, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards, ("NOL's") of approximately \$25,700,000 expiring 2007 through 2021 for which a 100% valuation allowance has been recognized. Included in the NOL's are NOL's from Refrigerant Reclamation Corporation of America, acquired during 1995 as a subsidiary of the Company, in the amount of approximately \$4,488,000, which are subject to annual limitations of approximately \$367,000 and expire from 2007 through 2010.

Elements of deferred income tax assets (liabilities) are as follows:

December 31, (in thousands)	2001
	----
Deferred tax assets (liabilities)	
- Depreciation & amortization	\$ 33
- Reserves for doubtful accounts	57
- NOL	9,774
- Other	(90)
	-----
Subtotal	9,774
- NOL valuation allowance	(9,774)
	-----
Total	\$ --
	=====

Note 5- Trade accounts receivable - net

At December 31, 2001, trade accounts receivable are net of reserves for doubtful accounts of \$149,000.

Note 6 - Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

December 31, (in thousands)	2001
	-----
Refrigerant and cylinders	\$2,097
Packaged refrigerants	290
	-----
Total	\$2,387
	=====

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Note 7 - Property, plant, and equipment

Elements of property, plant, and equipment are as follows:

December 31, (in thousands)	2001
	-----
Property, plant, & equipment	
- Equipment	\$6,943
- Equipment under capital lease	276
- Vehicles	1,275
- Furniture & fixtures	185
- Leasehold improvements	542
- Equipment under construction	161
	-----
Subtotal	9,382
Accumulated depreciation & amortization	5,801
	-----
Total	\$3,581
	=====

Note 8 - Short-term and long-term debt

Elements of short-term and long-term debt are as follows:

December 31, (in thousands)	2001
	-----
Short-term & long-term debt	
Short-term debt:	
- Bank credit line	\$ 2,006
- Long-term debt: current	444
	-----
Subtotal	2,450
	-----
Long-term debt:	
- Bank credit line	680
- Capital lease obligations	135
- Vehicle loans	470
- Less: current maturities	(444)
	-----
Subtotal	841
	-----
Total	\$ 3,291
	=====

Bank credit line

The Company has entered into a credit facility with The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc. ("CIT"), which provides for borrowings to the Company of up to \$6,500,000. The facility requires minimum borrowings of \$1,250,000. The facility provides for a revolving line of credit and a term loan and expires in April 2003. Advances under the revolving line of credit are limited to (i) 80% of eligible trade accounts receivable and (ii) 50% of eligible inventory (which inventory amount shall not exceed 200% of eligible trade accounts receivable or \$3,250,000). As of December 31, 2001, the Company had availability under its revolving line of credit of approximately \$256,000. Advances available to the Company under the term loan are based on existing fixed asset valuations and future advances under the term loan of up to an additional \$1,000,000 are based

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

on future capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2001, the Company has approximately \$489,000 outstanding under its term loans and \$2,006,000 outstanding under its revolving line of credit. The facility bears interest at the prime rate plus 1.5%, 6.25% at December 31, 2001, and substantially all of the Company's assets are pledged as collateral for obligations to CIT. In addition, among other things, the agreements restrict the Company's ability to declare or pay any dividends on its capital stock. The Company has obtained a waiver from CIT to permit the payment of dividends on its Series A Preferred Stock.

During 2000, the Company entered into a separate term loan with an affiliate of CIT. The term loan is secured by a specific asset and bears interest at a rate of 10% per annum. At December 31, 2001 the outstanding balance was \$191,000 and is payable in equal monthly installments of \$2,850 with a final payment of \$131,419 due in June 2005.

37

### Vehicle Loans

During 1999, the Company entered into various vehicle loans. The vehicles are primarily used in connection with the Company's on-site services. The loans are payable in 60 monthly payments through October 2004 and bear interest at 9.0% through 9.98%.

Scheduled maturities of the Company's debts and capital lease obligations are as follows:

Debts and capital lease obligations Years ended December 31, -----	Amount -----
(in thousands)	
- 2002	\$2,450
- 2003	453
- 2004	249
- 2005	139
-----	-----
Total	\$3,291 =====

The Company rents certain equipment with a net book value of about \$173,000 for leases which have been classified as capital leases. Scheduled future minimum lease payments under capital leases net of interest are as follows:

Scheduled capital lease obligation payments Years ended December 31, -----	Amount -----
(in thousands)	
- 2002	\$ 67
- 2003	52
- 2004	16
-----	-----
Total	\$135 =====

Average short-term debt for the year ended December 31, 2001 totaled \$2,311,000 with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 8.4%.

Note 9 - Stockholders' equity

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

(i) In September 1996 and October 1997, in connection with the then outstanding convertible debentures, the Company issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 16,071 and 66,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$18.00 and \$10.00, respectively, per share. These warrants expire through August 6, 2002.

(ii) On January 29, 1997, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with DuPont and DuPont Chemical and Energy Operations, Inc. ("DCEO") pursuant to which the Company issued to DCEO 500,000 shares of Common Stock in consideration of \$3,500,000 in cash. Simultaneous with the execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the parties entered into a Standstill Agreement, Shareholders' Agreement and Registration Agreement.

The Standstill Agreement provides, subject to certain exceptions, that neither DuPont nor any corporation or entity controlled by DuPont will, directly or indirectly, acquire any shares of any class of capital stock of the Company if the effect of such acquisition would be to increase DuPont's aggregate voting power to greater than 20% of the total combined voting power relating to any election of directors. The Standstill Agreement also provides that the Company will cause two persons designated by DCEO and DuPont to be elected to the Company's Board of Directors.

The Shareholders' Agreement provides that, subject to certain exceptions, DuPont shall have a right of first refusal to purchase any shares of Common Stock intended to be sold by the Company's principal shareholders.

Pursuant to the Registration Agreement, the Company granted to DuPont certain demand and "piggy-back" registration rights. The Standstill Agreement, Shareholders Agreement and the demand and "piggy-back" registration rights under the Registration Rights Agreement terminated on January 29, 2002.

(iii) On April 28, 1998, in connection with the loan agreements with CIT, the Company issued to CIT warrants to purchase 30,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price equal to 110% of the then fair market value of the stock, which on the date of issuance was \$4.33 per share. The value of the warrants were not deemed to be material and expire on April 29, 2003. In addition, among other things, the agreements restrict the Company's ability to declare or pay any dividends on its capital stock. The Company has obtained a waiver from CIT to permit the payment of dividends on its Series A Preferred Stock.

38

(iv) On March 30, 1999, the Company completed the sale of 65,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, with a liquidation value of \$100 per share, to Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock were \$6,500,000. The Series A Preferred Stock converts to Common Stock at a rate of \$2.375 per share, which was 27% above the closing market price of Common Stock on March 29, 1999.

(v) On February 16, 2001, the Company completed the sale of 30,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, with a liquidation value of \$100 per share, to Fleming US Discovery Fund III, L.P. and Fleming US Discovery Offshore Fund III, L.P. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock were \$3,000,000. The Series A Preferred Stock converts to Common Stock at a rate of \$2.375 per share, which was 23% above the closing market price of Common Stock on February 15, 2001.

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

The Series A Preferred Stock has voting rights on an as-if converted basis. The number of votes applicable to the Series A Preferred Stock is equal to the number of shares of Common Stock into which the Series A Preferred Stock is then convertible. However, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will provide the Chief Executive Officer and the Secretary of the Company a proxy to vote all shares currently owned and subsequently acquired above 29% of the votes entitled to be cast by all shareholders of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock carries a dividend rate of 7%, which will increase to 16%, if the stock remains outstanding, on or after March 31, 2004. The conversion rate may be subject to certain antidilution provisions. The Company has used and will use the net proceeds from the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock to expand its RefrigerantSide(R) Services business and for working capital purposes.

The Company pays dividends, in arrears, on the Series A Preferred Stock, semi annually, either in cash or additional shares, at the Company's option. On September 30, 2000, the Company declared and paid, in-kind, the dividends outstanding on the Series A Preferred Stock. During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company issued an aggregate of 6,550 additional shares of its Series A Preferred Stock in satisfaction of the dividends due. The Company may redeem the Series A Preferred Stock on March 31, 2004 either in cash or shares of Common Stock valued at 90% of the average trading price of the Common Stock for the 30 days preceding March 31, 2004. In addition, after March 30, 2001, the Company may call the Series A Preferred Stock if the market price of its Common Stock is equal to or greater than 250% of the conversion price and the Common Stock has traded with an average daily volume in excess of 20,000 shares for a period of thirty consecutive days.

The Company has provided certain registration, preemptive and tag along rights to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock. The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock, voting as a separate class, have the right to elect up to two members to the Company's Board of Directors or at their option, to designate up to two advisors to the Company's Board of Directors who will have the right to attend and observe meetings of the Board of Directors. Currently, the holders have elected one member to the Board of Directors.

(vi) The Company engaged an advisor to facilitate the Company's efforts in connection with the March 30, 1999 sale of the Series A Preferred Stock. In addition to the advisor fees, the Company issued to the advisor, warrants, which expire on March 30, 2004, to purchase 136,482 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price per share of \$2.73. The value of the warrants were not deemed to be material.

39

### Note 10 - Commitments and contingencies

#### Rents, operating leases and contingent income

Hudson utilizes leased facilities and operates equipment under non-cancelable operating leases through December 31, 2005.

#### Properties

Location -----	Annual Rent -----	Lease Expiration Date -----
Baltimore, Maryland	\$26,064	8/2002
Baton Rouge, Louisiana	\$18,000	7/2002
Charlotte, North Carolina	\$42,000	Month to Month
Chicago, Illinois	\$24,140	8/2002

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Fremont, New Hampshire	\$ 7,200	6/2002
Fort Myers, Florida	\$57,240	7/2002
Hillburn, New York	\$98,440	5/2004
Houston, Texas	\$27,030	6/2003
Norfolk, VA	\$16,200	9/2002
Pearl River, New York	\$95,000	Month to Month
Plainview, New York	\$17,280	7/2002
Punta Gorda, Florida	\$76,000	12/2003
Rantoul, Illinois	\$78,000	9/2002
Salem, New Hampshire	\$19,500	4/2004
Seattle, Washington	\$18,450	3/2004

The Company rents properties and various equipment under operating leases. Rent expense, net of sublease rental income, for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 totaled approximately \$837,000 and \$790,000, respectively.

Future commitments under operating leases, are summarized as follows:

Rent expense	
Years ended December 31,	Amount
-----	-----
(in thousands)	
- 2002	\$700
- 2003	237
- 2004	56
- 2005	2
-----	----
Total	\$995
	====

### Legal Proceedings

In June 1998, United Water of New York Inc. ("United") commenced an action against the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Rockland County, seeking damages in the amount of \$1.2 million allegedly sustained as a result of the prior contamination of certain of United's wells within close proximity to the Company's Hillburn, New York facility.

On April 1, 1999, the Company reported an accidental release at the Company's Hillburn, New York facility of approximately 7,800 lbs. of trichlorofluoromethane ("R-11"). Between April 1999 and May 1999, with the approval of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("DEC"), the Company constructed and put into operation a remediation system at the Company's facility to remove R-11 levels in the groundwater under and around the Company's facility. The cost of this remediation system was \$100,000.

In July 1999, United amended its complaint in the Rockland County action to allege facts relating to, and to seek damages allegedly resulting from the April 1, 1999 R-11 release.

In June 2000, the Rockland County Supreme Court approved a settlement of the Rockland County action commenced by United. Under the Settlement, the Company paid to United the sum of \$1,000,000 upon Court approval of the settlement, and has agreed to make monthly payments in the amount of \$5,000 for a minimum of 18 months, and up to a maximum of 42 months following the settlement. The proceeds of the settlement were used to fund the construction by United of a new remediation tower. The purpose of

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

the monthly payments is to defray United's cost associated with the continuation of remedial measures implemented by United. The remediation tower was completed in March 2001 and is designed to treat all of United's impacted wells and restore the water to New York State drinking water standards for supply to the public. The Company carries \$1,000,000 of pollution liability insurance per occurrence. In connection with the settlement with United, the Company exhausted all insurance proceeds available for the United occurrence.

In June 2000, the Company signed an Order on Consent with the DEC regarding all past contamination of the United well field. Under the Order on Consent, the Company agreed to continue operating the remediation system installed by the Company at its Hillburn facility in May 1999 until remaining groundwater contamination has been effectively abated. In May 2001, the Company signed an amendment to the Order on Consent with the DEC, pursuant to which the Company has installed one additional monitoring well and has modified the Company's existing remediation system to incorporate a second recovery well. During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company recognized \$260,000 in additional remediation costs in connection with this matter.

In May 2000, the Company's Hillburn facility was nominated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for listing on the National Priorities List ("NPL"), pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"). The Company believes that the agreements reached with the DEC and United Water, together with the reduced levels of contamination present in the United Water wells, make such listing unnecessary and counterproductive. Hudson submitted opposition to the listing within the sixty-day comment period. To date, no final decision has been made by the EPA regarding the proposed listing.

In October 2001, the Company learned that trace levels of R-11 were detected in one of United's wells that is closest to the Village of Suffern's well system. During February 2002, while the levels were below New York State drinking water standards of 5 ppb, the Village of Suffern expressed concern over the possibility of R-11 reaching its well system and has advised the Company that it is investigating available options to protect its well system. The Company is working with the Village of Suffern, and all applicable governmental agencies to insure against any contamination of Suffern's wells and its water supply. There can be no assurance that the R-11 will not spread beyond the United Water well system and impact the Village of Suffern's wells or that the ultimate outcome of such a spread of contamination will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. There is also no assurance that the Company's opposition to the EPA's listing will be successful, or that the ultimate outcome of such a listing will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

### Note 11 - Stock Option Plan

Effective October 31, 1994, the Company adopted an Employee Stock Option Plan ("Plan") pursuant to which 725,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance upon the exercise of options designated as either (i) options intended to constitute incentive stock options ("ISOs") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or (ii) nonqualified options. ISOs may be granted under the Plan to employees and officers of the Company. Non-qualified options may be granted to consultants, directors (whether or not they are employees), employees or officers of the Company. Stock appreciation rights may also be issued in tandem with stock options. Unless sooner terminated, the Plan will expire on December 31, 2004.

ISOs granted under the Plan may not be granted at a price less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant (or 110% of fair market value in the case of persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). Non-qualified options granted under the Plan may not be granted at a



## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

price less than 85% of the market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the Plan expire not more than ten years from the date of grant (five years in the case of ISOs granted to persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company).

Effective July 25, 1997, and as amended on August 19, 1999, the Company adopted its 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan ("1997 Plan") pursuant to which 2,000,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance upon the exercise of options designated as either (i) options intended to constitute incentive stock options ("ISOs") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or (ii) nonqualified options. ISOs may be granted under the 1997 Plan to employees and officers of the Company. Non-qualified options may be granted to consultants, directors (whether or not they are employees), employees or officers of the Company. Stock appreciation rights may also be issued in tandem with stock options. Unless sooner terminated, the 1997 Plan will expire on June 11, 2007.

ISOs granted under the 1997 Plan may not be granted at a price less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant (or 110% of fair market value in the case of persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). Non-qualified options granted under the 1997 Plan may not be granted at a price less than the par value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the 1997 Plan expire not more than ten years from the date of grant (five years in the case of ISOs granted to persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company).

41

All stock options have been granted to employees and non-employees at exercise prices equal to or in excess of the market value on the date of the grant.

The Company applies APB Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related Interpretations in accounting for its stock option plan by recording as compensation expense the excess of the fair market value over the exercise price per share as of the date of grant. Under APB Opinion 25, because the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant, no compensation cost is recognized.

SFAS No. 123 requires the Company to provide pro forma information regarding net loss and net loss per share as if compensation cost for the Company's stock option plan had been determined in accordance with the fair value based method prescribed in SFAS No. 123. The Company estimates the fair value of each stock option at the grant date by using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants since 1995.

Years ended December 31, Assumptions -----	2001 ----	2000 ----
Dividend Yield	0%	0%
Risk free interest rate	4.4%	5.9%
Expected volatility	60%	60%
Expected lives	5	5

Under the accounting provisions of FASB Statement 123, the Company's net loss and net loss per share would have been adjusted to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

Years ended December 31,

## Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Pro forma results	2001	2000
-----	-----	-----
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Net loss available for common shareholders:		
As reported	\$ (3,122)	\$ (2,893)
Pro forma	\$ (3,569)	\$ (3,791)
Loss per common share-basic and diluted:		
As reported	\$ (.61)	\$ (.57)
Pro forma	\$ (.70)	\$ (.75)

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plan as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and changes for the years ending on those dates is presented below:

Stock Option Plan Grants	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
-----	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 1999	1,034,532	\$4.37
o Granted	589,250	\$2.41
o Forfeited	(25,700)	\$7.17
-----	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 2000	1,598,082	\$3.60
o Granted	456,000	\$2.52
o Forfeited	(112,700)	\$4.19
o Exercised	(67,700)	\$2.23
-----	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 2001	1,873,682	\$3.35
-----	=====	-----

42

Data summarizing year-end options exercisable and weighted average fair-value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 is shown below:

Options Exercisable	Year ended December 31, 2001	Year ended December 31, 2000
	-----	-----
Options exercisable at year-end	1,656,397	1,385,582
-----	-----	-----
Weighted average exercise price	\$3.42	\$3.64
-----	-----	-----
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year	\$2.63	\$1.13
-----	-----	-----

Options Exercisable at December 31, 2001

Edgar Filing: HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES INC /NY - Form 10KSB

Range of Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted-average Exercise Price
-----	-----	-----
\$1 to \$4	1,388,765	\$ 2.74
\$4 to \$8	151,966	\$ 4.30
\$8 to \$12	115,666	\$10.47
	-----	
\$1 to \$12	1,656,397	\$ 3.42
	=====	

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2001:

Options Outstanding At December 31, 2001

Range of Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted-average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted- average Exercise Price
-----	-----	----	-----
\$1 to \$4	1,600,050	3.55 years	\$ 2.72
\$4 to \$8	151,966	1.12 years	\$ 4.30
\$8 to \$12	121,666	4.95 years	\$10.47
	-----		
\$1 to \$12	1,873,682	3.45 years	\$ 3.35
	=====		

During the initial phase-in period of SFAS 123 , the effects on the pro-forma results are not likely to be representative of the effects on pro-forma results in future years since options vest over several years and additional awards could be made each year.