

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC
Form 10-K
February 15, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-10822

National Health Investors, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

62-1470956

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

222 Robert Rose Drive, Murfreesboro, Tennessee

(Address of principal executive offices)

(615) 890-9100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

37129

(Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each Class

Common stock, \$.01 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form 10-K

to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of shares of common stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2012 (based on the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange) was approximately \$1,333,619,000. There were 27,862,217 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of February 14, 2013.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2013 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Part I.</u> | |
| <u>Forward Looking Statements</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Business.</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.</u> | <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Properties Owned or Associated with Mortgage Loan Investments.</u> | <u>17</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings.</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Part II.</u> | |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data.</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u> | <u>47</u> |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information.</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Part III.</u> | |
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u> | <u>81</u> |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation.</u> | <u>81</u> |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u> | <u>81</u> |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.</u> | <u>81</u> |

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Part IV.

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. 81

Signatures. 82

Exhibit Index. 83

2

Table of Contents

PART I.

Forward Looking Statements

References throughout this document to NHI or the Company include National Health Investors, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's "Plain English" guidelines, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been written in the first person. In this document, the words "we", "our", "ours" and "us" refer only to National Health Investors, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and not any other person. Unless the context indicates otherwise, references herein to "the Company" include all of our consolidated subsidiaries.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other materials we have filed or may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as information included in oral statements made, or to be made, by our senior management contain certain "forward-looking" statements as that term is defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements regarding our expected future financial position, results of operations, cash flows, funds from operations, continued performance improvements, ability to service and refinance our debt obligations, ability to finance growth opportunities, and similar statements including, without limitations, those containing words such as "may", "will", "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "estimates", "plans", and other similar expressions are forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to differ materially from those projected or contemplated in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, among other things, the following risks described in more detail under the heading "Risk Factors" under Item 1A:

- * We depend on the operating success of our customers (facility operators) for collection of our revenues during this time of uncertain economic conditions in the U.S.;
- * We are exposed to the risk that our tenants and borrowers may become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings;
- * We are exposed to risks related to governmental regulations and payors, principally Medicare and Medicaid, and the effect that lower reimbursement rates will have on our tenants' and borrowers' business;
- * We are exposed to the risk that the cash flows of our tenants and borrowers will be adversely affected by increased liability claims and general and professional liability insurance costs;
- * We are exposed to risks related to environmental laws and the costs associated with the liability related to hazardous substances;
- * We are exposed to the risk that we may not be indemnified by our lessees and borrowers against future litigation;
- * We depend on the success of future acquisitions and investments;
- * We depend on the ability to reinvest cash in real estate investments in a timely manner and on acceptable terms;
- * We may need to incur more debt in the future, which may not be available on terms acceptable to the Company;
- * We are exposed to the risk that the illiquidity of real estate investments could impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties;

* We are exposed to risks associated with our investments in unconsolidated entities, including our lack of sole decision-making authority and our reliance on the financial condition of other interests;

We depend on revenues derived mainly from fixed rate investments in real estate assets, while our debt capital used *to finance those investments is primarily at variable rates. This circumstance creates interest rate risk to the Company;

*We are exposed to the risk that our assets may be subject to impairment charges;

*We depend on the ability to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust;

We have ownership limits in our charter with respect to our common stock and other classes of capital stock which *may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders;

Table of Contents

We are subject to certain provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws that could hinder, delay or prevent *a change in control transaction, even if the transaction involves a premium price for our common stock or our stockholders believe such transaction to be otherwise in their best interests.

See the notes to the annual audited consolidated financial statements, and “Business” and “Risk Factors” under Item 1 and Item 1A herein for a discussion of various governmental regulations and other operating factors relating to the health care industry and the risk factors inherent in them. You should carefully consider these risks before making any investment decisions in the Company. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones we face. There may be additional risks that we do not presently know of or that we currently deem immaterial. If any of the risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our shares of stock could decline and you may lose part or all of your investment. Given these risks and uncertainties, we can give no assurance that these forward-looking statements will, in fact, occur and, therefore, caution investors not to place undue reliance on them.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

General

National Health Investors, Inc., a Maryland corporation incorporated in 1991, is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") which invests in income-producing health care properties primarily in the long-term care and senior housing industries. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio consisted of real estate (excluding the corporate office and assets held for sale) and mortgage and other note investments with a carrying value totaling \$618,926,000 and other investments in the preferred stock and marketable securities of other REITs with a carrying value of \$51,016,000, resulting in total invested assets of \$669,942,000. We are a self-managed REIT with our own management reporting directly to our Board of Directors. Our mission is to invest in health care real estate or in the operations thereof through independent third-party managers which generates current income that will be distributed to stockholders. We have pursued this mission by investing primarily in leased properties, mortgage loans and RIDEA transactions. These investments include assisted living facilities, senior living campuses, independent living, skilled nursing facilities, medical office buildings and hospitals, all of which are collectively referred to herein as "Health Care Facilities." We have historically funded these investments through three sources of capital: (1) current cash flow, (2) the sale of equity securities, and (3) debt offerings, including bank lines of credit, the issuance of convertible debt instruments, and the issuance of ordinary term debt.

At December 31, 2012, our continuing operations consisted of investments in real estate and mortgage notes receivable in 134 health care facilities located in 25 states consisting of 41 assisted living facilities, 6 senior living campuses, 3 independent living facilities, 78 skilled nursing facilities, 2 medical office buildings, 4 hospitals and other notes receivable. These investments consisted of approximately \$534,676,000 of net real estate investments in 107 health care facilities with 19 lessees and \$84,250,000 aggregate carrying value of mortgage and other notes receivable from 16 borrowers related to 27 health care facilities.

All of our investments in real estate and mortgage loans secured by real estate are located within the United States. We are managed as one reporting unit, rather than multiple reporting units, for internal reporting purposes and for internal decision making. Therefore, we have concluded that we operate as a single segment. Information about revenues from our tenants and borrowers, a measure of our income, and total assets for this segment can be found in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Types of Health Care Facilities

Assisted living facilities. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio included 39 assisted living facilities (“ALF”) leased to operators and mortgage loans secured by 2 ALFs. ALFs are free-standing facilities that provide basic room and board functions for elderly residents. They may also provide assistance to elderly residents with activities of daily living such as bathing, grooming, memory care services and administering medication. On-site staff personnel are available to assist in minor medical needs on an as-needed basis. Operators of ALFs are typically paid from private sources without assistance from government programs.

Skilled nursing facilities. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio included 55 skilled nursing facilities (“SNF”) leased to operators and mortgage loans secured by 23 SNFs. SNFs provide some combination of skilled and intermediate nursing and rehabilitative care, including speech, physical and occupational therapy. The operators of the SNFs receive payment from a combination of private pay sources and government programs such as Medicaid and Medicare. SNFs are required to obtain state licenses and are highly regulated at the federal, state and local level. Most SNFs must obtain a Certificate of Need (“CON”) from the state before opening or expanding such facilities. Some SNFs include assisted living beds.

Independent living facilities. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio included 3 independent living facilities (“ILF”) leased to operators. ILFs offer specially designed residential units for the active and ambulatory elderly and provide various ancillary

Table of Contents

services for their residents including restaurants, activity rooms and social areas. Services provided by ILF operators are generally paid from private sources without assistance from government programs. ILFs may be licensed and regulated in some states, but do not require the issuance of a CON as required for SNFs.

Senior living campuses. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio included 5 senior living campuses ("SLC") leased to operators and a mortgage loan secured by 1 SLC. SLCs are either freestanding or multi-site campuses that include skilled nursing beds combined with an ILF and/or an ALF that provide basic room and board functions for elderly residents. They may also provide assistance to elderly residents with activities of daily living such as bathing, grooming and administering medication. On-site staff personnel are available to assist in minor medical needs on an as-needed basis. Operators of SLCs are typically paid from private sources and from government programs such as Medicare and Medicaid for skilled nursing residents.

Medical office buildings. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio included 2 medical office buildings ("MOB") leased to operators. MOBs are specifically configured office buildings whose tenants are primarily physicians and other medical practitioners. MOBs differ from conventional office buildings due to the special requirements of the tenants. Each of our MOBs is leased to one lessee, and is either physically attached to or located on an acute care hospital campus. The lessee then sub-leases individual office space to the physicians or other medical practitioners. The lessee is responsible to us for the lease obligations of the entire building, regardless of its ability to sub-lease the individual office space.

Hospitals. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio included 3 hospitals ("HOSP") leased to operators and a mortgage loan secured by 1 hospital. Hospitals provide a wide range of inpatient and outpatient services, including acute psychiatric and rehabilitation services, and are subject to extensive federal, state and local legislation and regulation. Hospitals undergo periodic inspections regarding standards of medical care, equipment and hygiene as a condition of licensure. Services provided by hospitals are generally paid for by a combination of private pay sources and government programs.

Nature of Investments

Our investments are typically structured as acquisitions of properties through purchase-leaseback transactions, acquisitions of properties from other real estate investors, as mortgage loans or, in operations, through structures allowed by the REIT Investment Diversification Empowerment Act of 2007 ("RIDEA"). We have also provided construction loans for facilities for which we were already committed to provide long-term financing or for which the operator agreed to enter into a lease with us upon completion of the construction. The annual lease rates on our leases and the annual interest rates on our mortgage and construction loans ranged between 7.5% and 10% during 2012. We normally charge a commitment fee of 1% based on the purchase price of the property or the total principal amount of a mortgage or construction loan. We believe our lease and loan terms are competitive within our peer group. Typical characteristics of these transactions are as follows:

Leases. Our leases generally have an initial leasehold term of 10 to 15 years with one or more 5-year renewal options. The leases are "triple net leases" under which the tenant is responsible for the payment of all taxes, utilities, insurance premium costs, repairs and other charges relating to the ownership and operation of the Health Care Facilities, including required levels of capital expenditure each year. The tenant is obligated at its expense to keep all improvements, fixtures and other components of the Health Care Facilities covered by "all risk" insurance in an amount equal to at least the full replacement cost thereof and to maintain specified minimal personal injury and property damage insurance. Our leases require the tenant to name us as an additional insured party on the tenant's insurance policy. The leases also require the tenant to indemnify and hold us harmless from all claims resulting from the use and occupancy of each Health Care Facility by the tenant and related activities, and to indemnify us against all costs related to any release, discovery, clean-up and removal of hazardous substances or materials on, or other

environmental responsibility with respect to each Health Care Facility.

Most of our existing leases contain annual escalators in rent payments. For financial statement purposes, rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The acute care hospital and MOB's which we own and lease give the lessee an option to purchase the underlying property at the greater of i) our acquisition costs; ii) the then fair market value as established by independent appraisers or iii) the sum of the land costs, construction costs and any additional capital improvements made to the property by us. In addition, the acute care hospital and MOB leases contain a right of first refusal for the lessee if we receive an offer to buy the underlying leased property.

Some of the obligations under the leases are guaranteed by the parent corporation of the lessee, if any, or affiliates or individual principals of the lessee. In some leases, the third party operator will also guarantee some portion of the lease obligations. Some obligations are backed further by other collateral such as machinery, equipment, furnishings and other personal property.

Table of Contents

We monitor our triple-net lessee tenant credit quality and identify any material changes in credit quality by performing the following activities:

- Obtaining financial statements on a monthly, quarterly and/or annual basis to assess the operational trends of our tenants and the financial position and capability of those tenants
- Calculating the operating cash flow for each of our tenants
- Calculating the lease service coverage ratio and other ratios pertinent to our tenants
- Obtaining property-level occupancy rates for our tenants
- Verifying the payment of taxes by our tenants
- Obtaining certificates of insurance for each tenant
 - Obtaining financial statements of our lessee guarantors on an annual basis
- Conducting a periodic inspection of our properties to ascertain proper maintenance, repair and upkeep
- Monitoring those tenants with indications of continuing and material deteriorating credit quality through discussions with our executive management and Board of Directors

RIDEA Transactions. Our arrangement with Bickford is structured to be compliant with the provisions of RIDEA which permits NHI to receive rent payments through a triple-net lease between a property company and an operating company and gives NHI the opportunity to capture additional value on the improving performance of the operating company through distributions to a Taxable REIT Subsidiary ("TRS"). Accordingly, the TRS holds our 85% equity interest in an unconsolidated operating company, which we do not control, and provides an organizational structure that will allow the TRS to engage in a broad range of activities and share in revenues that would otherwise be non-qualifying income under the REIT gross income tests.

Construction loans. From time to time, we also provide construction loans that by their terms convert to mortgage loans upon the completion of the construction of the facility. We may also obtain a purchase option to acquire the facility at a future date and lease the facility back to the operator. The terms of such construction loans are for a period which commences upon the closing of such loans and terminates upon the earlier of (a) the completion of the construction of the applicable facility or (b) a specific date. During the term of the construction loan, funds are usually advanced pursuant to draw requests made by the borrower in accordance with the terms and conditions of the loan. Interest is typically assessed on these loans at rates equivalent to the eventual mortgage rate upon conversion. In addition to the security of the lien against the property, we will generally require additional security and collateral in the form of either payment and performance completion bonds or completion guarantees by the borrower's parent, affiliates of the borrower or one or more of the individuals who control the borrower.

Mortgage loans. In general, our mortgage loans have a maturity of at least 10 years with the principal amortized over 20 to 25 years and a balloon payment due at maturity. Most of the loans are at a fixed interest rate; however, some interest rates increase based on scheduled fixed rate increases. In most cases, the owner of the facility is committed to make minimum annual capital expenditures for the purpose of maintaining or upgrading their respective facility. Additionally, most of our loans are collateralized by first mortgage liens and corporate or personal guarantees.

We have made mortgage loans to borrowers secured by a second deed-of-trust where there is a process in place for the borrower to obtain long-term financing, primarily with a U.S. government agency, and where the historical financial performance of the underlying health care facility meets our loan underwriting criteria. The interest rate on our second mortgage loans are currently 12% to 14.5% per annum.

Other notes receivable. We have provided a revolving credit facility to a borrower whose business is to provide bridge loans to owner-operators who are qualifying for long-term HUD financing secured by health care facilities. Our interest rate on the credit facility is 13.5%.

Investment in preferred stock and marketable securities of other healthcare REITs. We have invested a portion of our funds in the preferred and common shares of other publicly-held healthcare REITs to ensure the substantial portion of our assets are invested for real estate purposes.

Competition and Market Conditions

We compete with other REITs, private equity funds and other investors (including, but not limited to, banks, insurance companies, and investment banks who market securities in mortgage funds) in the acquisition, leasing and financing of health care-related properties primarily on the basis of price and flexibility of financing structure.

Operators of the Health Care Facilities compete on a local and regional basis with operators of facilities that provide comparable services. Operators compete for residents and/or patients and staff based on quality of care, reputation, physical appearance of

Table of Contents

facilities, services offered, family preference, physicians, staff and price. Competition is with independent operators as well as companies managing multiple facilities, some of which are substantially larger and have greater resources than the operators of our Health Care Facilities. Some of these facilities are operated for profit while others are owned by governmental agencies or tax exempt not-for-profit organizations.

The SNFs which either secure our mortgage loans or we lease to operators receive the majority of their revenues from Medicare, Medicaid and other government programs. From time to time, these facilities have experienced Medicare and Medicaid revenue reductions brought about by the enactment of legislation to reduce government costs. In particular, the establishment of a Medicare Prospective Payment System (“PPS”) for SNF services to replace the cost-based reimbursement system significantly reduced Medicare reimbursement to SNF providers. While Congress subsequently took steps to mitigate the impact of PPS on SNFs, other federal legislative policies have been adopted and continue to be proposed that would reduce Medicare and/or Medicaid payments to SNFs. State Medicaid funding is not expected to keep pace with inflation according to industry studies. Any changes in government reimbursement methodology that reduce reimbursement to levels that are insufficient to cover the operating costs of our borrowers and lessees could adversely impact us. The ALF industry generally relies on private-pay residents who may be negatively impacted in an economic downturn. The success of these facilities is often impacted by the existence of comparable, competing facilities in a local market.

Operator Diversification

The majority of our Health Care Facilities are operated by the owner or lessee. For the year ended December 31, 2012, 46% of our portfolio revenue was from publicly-owned companies, 47% was from regional operators, and 7% was from smaller operators. As a percent of total investments at net book value, approximately 12% of the Health Care Facilities are operated by publicly-owned companies, 77% are operated by regional health care entities and 11% are operated by smaller entities. We consider the operator to be an important factor in determining the creditworthiness of the investment, and we generally have the right to approve any changes in operators.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, operators of facilities which provided more than 3% of our total revenues were (in alphabetical order): Bickford Senior Living; Emeritus Senior Living; Fundamental Long Term Care Holdings, LLC; Health Services Management, Inc.; Landmark Senior Living; Legend Healthcare, LLC; National HealthCare Corp.; Senior Living Management Corporation, LLC; SeniorHealth of Rutherford, LLC; SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc; SP Silverdale, LLC; and White Pine Senior Living.

Major Customer - NHC

National HealthCare Corporation (“NHC”), is a publicly-held company and our largest customer. Under a Master Lease Agreement dated October 17, 1991, we lease 41 health care facilities to NHC, six of which are included among our discontinued operations as discussed below. The 35 properties included in continuing operations are comprised of 3 independent living facilities and 32 SNFs (4 of which are subleased to other parties for whom the lease payments are guaranteed to us by NHC under the Master Lease Agreement). A 15-year renewal term began January 1, 2007, and included 3 additional 5-year renewal options, each at fair rental value of such leased property as negotiated between the parties and determined without including the value attributable to any improvements to the leased property voluntarily made by the tenant at its expense. In December 2012, we entered into an extension to the Master Lease Agreement with NHC through December 2026. Under the terms of the lease, rent escalates by 4% of the increase, if any, in each facility’s revenue over a 2007 base year. We refer to this additional rent component as “percentage rent.”

The Master Lease Agreement is a "triple net lease" under which NHC is responsible for all taxes, utilities, insurance premium costs, repairs (including structural portions of the buildings) and other charges relating to the ownership and operation of the Health Care Facilities. NHC is obligated at its expense to keep all improvements and fixtures and

other components of the Health Care Facilities covered by "all risk" insurance in an amount equal to the full replacement costs thereof, insurance against boiler explosion and similar insurance, flood insurance if the land constituting the Health Care Facility is located within a designated flood plain area and to maintain specified property damage insurance, protecting us as well as NHC at such Health Care Facility. NHC is also obligated to indemnify and hold us harmless from all claims resulting from the use and occupancy of each Health Care Facility by NHC or persons claiming under NHC and related activities, as well as to indemnify us against all costs related to any release, discovery, cleanup and removal of hazardous substances or materials on, or other environmental responsibility, with respect to each Health Care Facility leased by NHC.

Our revenues from continuing operations were \$96,953,000, \$87,213,000 and \$82,579,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, \$33,056,000 (34%), \$32,619,000 (37%) and \$31,985,000 (39%) in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were derived from our master lease with NHC.

Table of Contents

In December 2012, we entered into a letter of agreement for the sale of six older skilled nursing facilities to the current lessee, NHC, for \$21,000,000 in cash. The sale is to be completed on December 31, 2013. Effective January 1, 2014, NHI's annual base rent of \$33,700,000 will be reduced to \$30,750,000. Accordingly, we have reclassified those assets to be sold to NHC as held-for-sale as of December 31, 2012, and the results of operation of the facilities held for sale were classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

NHC owned 1,630,462 shares of our common stock at December 31, 2012. The chairman of our board of directors is also a director on NHC's board.

Commitments and Contingencies

The following table summarizes information related to our outstanding commitments and contingencies as of December 31, 2012, which are more fully described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

| | Asset Class | Type | Total | Funded To Date | Remaining |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Commitments: | | | | | |
| Bickford Senior Living | AL/ALZ | Development | \$27,000,000 | \$(4,016,000) | \$22,984,000 |
| Kentucky River Medical Center | Hospital | Renovation | \$8,000,000 | \$— | \$8,000,000 |
| Santé Partners | SLC | Renovation | \$3,500,000 | \$— | \$3,500,000 |
| Bickford Senior Living | AL/ALZ | Revolving Credit | \$3,000,000 | \$(36,000) | \$2,964,000 |
| Santé Mesa | Hospital | Development | \$13,870,000 | \$(11,870,000) | \$2,000,000 |
| Capital Funding Group | N/A | Revolving Credit | \$15,000,000 | \$(15,000,000) | \$— |
| Contingencies: | | | | | |
| Legend Healthcare | SNF | Purchase Consideration | \$5,478,000 | \$(2,222,000) | \$3,256,000 |
| Helix Healthcare | Hospital | Purchase Consideration | \$12,500,000 | \$(11,500,000) | \$1,000,000 |

Sources of Revenues

General. Our revenues are derived primarily from rental income, mortgage interest income and income from our other investments, substantially all of which are in the securities of other healthcare REITs. During 2012, rental income was \$85,115,000 (88%), interest income from mortgages and other notes was \$7,426,000 (8%) and income from our other investments was \$4,412,000 (4%) of total revenue from continuing operations of \$96,953,000. Our revenues depend on the operating success of our facility operators whose source and amount of revenues are determined by (i) the licensed beds or other capacity of the Health Care Facilities, (ii) the occupancy rate of the Health Care Facilities, (iii) the extent to which the services provided at each Health Care Facility are utilized by the patients, (iv) the mix of private pay, Medicare and Medicaid patients at the Health Care Facilities, and (v) the rates paid by private paying patients and by the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Governmental and other concerns regarding health care costs have and may continue to result in significant reductions in payments to health care facilities, and there can be no assurance that future payment rates for either governmental or private health care plans will be sufficient to cover cost increases in providing services to patients. Any changes in reimbursement policies which reduce reimbursement to levels that are insufficient to cover the cost of providing patient care have and could continue to adversely affect revenues of our lessees and borrowers and thereby adversely affect those lessees' and borrowers' abilities to make their lease or debt payments to us. Failure of the lessees or borrowers to make their lease or debt payments would have a direct and material adverse impact on us.

Medicare and Medicaid. A significant portion of the revenue of our SNF lessees and borrowers is derived from government funded reimbursement programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid. Reimbursement under these programs is subject to periodic payment review and other audits by federal and state authorities. Medicare is uniform nationwide and reimburses skilled nursing centers under a Prospective Payment System (“PPS”) which is based on a predetermined, fixed amount. PPS was instituted as mandated by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 and became effective July 1, 1998. PPS is an acuity based classification system that uses nursing and therapy indexes adjusted by geographical wage indexes to calculate per diem rates for each Medicare patient. Payment rates are updated annually and are generally adjusted each October when the federal fiscal year begins. The current acuity classification system is named Resource Utilization Groups IV (“RUGs IV”) and was effective October 1, 2010. PPS as implemented in 1998 had an adverse impact on the healthcare industry and our lessees’ and borrowers’ business by decreasing

Table of Contents

payments materially, which adversely impacted our business. Refinements in the form of temporary add-ons provided some relief until October 1, 2002. Since then, annual market basket (inflationary) increases have continued to improve payments; however, other federal legislative policies have been adopted and continue to be proposed that could reduce Medicare payments to nursing facilities. For example, in July 2011, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) announced the Skilled Nursing Facilities – PPS final rule for fiscal year 2012 which cut Medicare payments to SNF operators by a net 11.1% beginning October 1, 2011. In July 2012, CMS announced the final rule for fiscal 2013 that increased Medicare payments to SNF operators by a net 1.8% for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. The final rule for 2013 does not take into account the ongoing attempt by Congress to reduce the federal deficit by \$1.5 trillion over the next decade as required by the Budget Control Act of 2011. The failure of Congress to agree on spending reductions to meet deficit goals would trigger automatic spending cuts of 2% to Medicare.

RUGs IV incorporates changes to PPS that significantly altered how SNFs are paid for rendering care. Operators of our SNFs who are not well versed in the new regulations will be at risk for reductions in revenue. Some examples are as follows:

- A shift to 66 payment categories from 53 payment categories;

- Changes related to assessment reference dates and qualifiers that will significantly reduce utilization of rehabilitation and extensive service categories;

- Modification to therapy services related to estimating treatments and utilization of concurrent therapy that will likely result in RUG classifications at much lower levels of therapy than previous results; and

- Adjustments related to assistance with activities of daily living (ADLs) and an increased emphasis on ADL scores in the nursing case mix indices and related RUG payment rates.

Medicaid is a joint federal and state program designed to provide medical assistance to “eligible needy persons.” Medicaid programs are operated by state agencies that adopt their own medical reimbursement methodology and standards. Payment rates and covered services vary from state to state. In many instances, revenues from Medicaid programs are insufficient to cover the actual costs incurred in providing care to those patients. State Medicaid plans subject to budget constraints are of particular concern to us given the repeal of the Boren Amendment by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. The Boren Amendment provided fair reimbursement protection to nursing facilities. Changes in federal funding coupled with state budget problems have produced an uncertain environment. Industry studies predict the Medicaid crisis will continue with states’ required contribution to Medicare Part D and anticipated budget deficits. States will more than likely be unable to keep pace with nursing center inflation. States are under pressure to pursue other alternatives to long term care such as community and home-based services. Furthermore, several of the states in which we have investments have actively sought to reduce or slow the increase of Medicaid spending for nursing home care.

Medicare and Medicaid programs are highly regulated and subject to frequent and substantial changes resulting from legislation, adoption of rules and regulations and administrative and judicial interpretations of existing law. Moreover, as health care facilities have experienced increasing pressure from private payors attempting to control health care costs, reimbursement from private payors has in many cases effectively been reduced to levels approaching those of government payors. Healthcare reimbursement will likely continue to be of significant importance to federal and state authorities. We cannot make any assessment as to the ultimate timing or the effect that any future legislative reforms may have on our lessees’ and borrowers’ costs of doing business and on the amount of reimbursement by government and other third-party payors. There can be no assurance that future payment rates for either government or private payors will be sufficient to cover cost increases in providing services to patients. Any changes in reimbursement policies which reduce reimbursement to levels that are insufficient to cover the cost of providing patient care could

adversely affect the operating revenues of our SNF and hospital lessees and borrowers, and thereby adversely affect their ability to make their lease or debt payments to us. Failure of our lessees and borrowers to make their scheduled lease and loan payments to us would have a direct and material adverse impact on us.

Government Regulation

Licensure and Certification. The health care industry is highly regulated by federal, state and local law and is directly affected by state and local licensing requirements, facility inspections, state and federal reimbursement policies, regulations concerning capital and other expenditures, certification requirements and other such laws, regulations and rules. Sanctions for failure to comply with these regulations and laws include (but are not limited to) loss of licensure, fines and loss of certification to participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, as well as potential criminal penalties. The failure of any lessee or borrower to comply with such laws, requirements and regulations could affect its ability to operate the facility or facilities and could adversely affect such lessee's or borrower's ability to make lease or debt payments to us.

Table of Contents

In the past several years, due to rising health care costs, there has been an increased emphasis on detecting and eliminating fraud and abuse in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Payment of any consideration in exchange for referral of Medicare and Medicaid patients is generally prohibited by federal statute, which subjects violators to severe penalties, including exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs, fines and even prison sentences. In recent years, both federal and state governments have significantly increased investigation and enforcement activity to detect and punish wrongdoers. In addition, legislation has been adopted at both state and federal levels which severely restrict the ability of physicians to refer patients to entities in which they have a financial interest.

It is anticipated that the trend toward increased investigation and enforcement activity in the area of fraud and abuse, as well as self-referral, will continue in future years. Certain of our investments are with lessees or borrowers which are partially or wholly owned by physicians. In the event that any lessee or borrower were to be found in violation of laws regarding fraud and abuse or self-referral, that lessee's or borrower's ability to operate the facility as a health care facility could be jeopardized, which could adversely affect the lessee's or borrower's ability to make lease or debt payments to us and thereby adversely affect us.

Certificates of Need. The SNFs and hospitals in which we invest are also generally subject to state statutes which may require regulatory approval in the form of a CON prior to the construction or expansion of facilities to accommodate new beds (or addition of new beds to existing facilities), the addition of services or certain capital expenditures. CON requirements are not uniform throughout the United States and are subject to change. We cannot predict the impact of regulatory changes with respect to CONs on the operations of our lessees and borrowers; however, in our primary market areas, a significant reduction in new construction of long-term care beds has occurred.

Investment Policies

Our investment objectives are (i) to provide consistent and growing current income for distribution to our stockholders through investments primarily in health care related facilities or in the operations thereof through independent third-party management, (ii) to provide the opportunity to realize capital growth resulting from appreciation, if any, in the residual value of our portfolio properties, and (iii) to preserve and protect stockholders' capital through a balance of diversity, flexibility and liquidity. There can be no assurance that these objectives will be realized. Our investment policies include making investments in real estate, mortgage and other notes receivable and securities of other publicly-held REITs.

As described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, we funded or made commitments to fund new investments in real estate and loans during 2012 totaling \$178,457,000, and we anticipate making additional investments in 2013 that meet our underwriting criteria. In making new investments, we consider such factors as (i) the geographic area and type of property, (ii) the location, construction quality, condition and design of the property, (iii) the current and anticipated cash flow and its adequacy to meet operational needs, and lease or mortgage obligations to provide a competitive income return to our investors, (iv) the growth, tax and regulatory environments of the communities in which the properties are located, (v) occupancy and demand for similar health care facilities in the same or nearby communities, (vi) the quality, experience and creditworthiness of the management operating the facilities located on the property and (vii) the mix of private and government-sponsored residents. There can be no assurances that investments meeting our standards regarding these attributes will be found or closed.

We will not, without the approval of a majority of the Board of Directors, enter into any joint venture relationships with or acquire from or sell to any director, officer or employee of NHI, or any affiliate thereof, as the case may be, any of our assets or other property.

The Board of Directors, without the approval of the stockholders, may alter our investment policies if it determines that such a change is in our best interests and our stockholders' best interests. The methods of implementing our

investment policies may vary as new investment and financing techniques are developed or for other reasons.

Future investments in health care related facilities or businesses may utilize borrowed funds when it is advisable in the opinion of the Board of Directors. We may negotiate lines of credit or arrange for other short or long-term borrowings from lenders. We may arrange for long-term borrowings from institutional investors or through public offerings. We have previously invested and may in the future invest in properties subject to existing loans or secured by mortgages, deeds of trust or similar liens with favorable terms or in mortgage investment pools.

Executive Officers of the Company

10

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the name, position and age of each of our executive officers. Each executive officer is appointed by the Board of Directors, serves at its pleasure and holds office for a term of one year. There is no “family relationship” among any of the named executive officers or with any director. All information is given as of February 14, 2013:

| Name | Position | Age |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| J. Justin Hutchens | President and Chief Executive Officer | 38 |
| Roger R. Hopkins | Chief Accounting Officer | 51 |
| Kristin S. Gaines | Chief Credit Officer | 41 |

J. Justin Hutchens joined NHI in February 2009 as President and COO. Pursuant to a succession plan, in March 2011 he was appointed CEO. Prior to joining NHI, Mr. Hutchens acquired 15 years of senior care operations experience. His background includes multi-site management with assisted living and skilled nursing facilities (1997 - 2003). He has national operating experience (2003 -2009) as the Senior Vice-President and COO of Summerville Senior Living and Executive Vice-President and COO of Emeritus Senior Living (NYSE: ESC). Mr. Hutchens holds a Master of Science in Management from Regis University and a Bachelor of Science in Human Services from the University of Northern Colorado. He was awarded Executive Certificates in Measurement and Control of Organizational Performance from the University of Michigan, and Strategy and Innovation from the MIT Sloan School of Management.

Roger R. Hopkins joined NHI in 2006 and was named Chief Accounting Officer in December 2006. He has over 30 years of public accounting and financial management experience. Until 2006, he was a partner in the Tennessee regional accounting firm of Rodefer Moss & Co, PLLC. He was previously a senior manager in the Nashville, Tennessee office of Deloitte & Touche. Mr. Hopkins received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Tennessee Technological University in 1982 and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Kristin S. Gaines was appointed NHI’s Chief Credit Officer in February 2010. She joined NHI in 1998 as a Credit Analyst. During her tenure with NHI, Ms. Gaines has had a progressive career in the areas of finance and operations. Her experience has resulted in a breadth of expertise in underwriting, portfolio oversight and real estate finance. Ms. Gaines holds an MBA and a BBA in Accounting from Middle Tennessee State University.

We have a staff of 10, all serving in our corporate office in Murfreesboro, TN. Essential services such as internal auditing, tax compliance, information technology, legal services, and investor relations are outsourced to third-party professional firms.

Investor Information

We maintain a web site at www.nhireit.com. We publish to this web site our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and press releases. We have a policy of publishing these on the website within two (2) business days after public release or filing with the SEC.

We also maintain the following documents on our web site:

The NHI Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct. This has been adopted for all employees, officers and directors of the Company. The website will also disclose whether there have been any amendments or waivers to the Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct. To date there have been none.

Information on our “NHI Valuesline” which allows all interested parties unrestricted access to our Internal Auditor, executive officers and directors. The toll free number is 877-880-2974 and the communications may be made

anonymously, if desired.

The NHI Restated Audit Committee Charter.

The NHI Compensation Committee
Charter.

The NHI Nomination and Corporate Governance Committee Charter.

We will furnish, free of charge, a copy of any of the above documents to any interested investor upon receipt of a written request.

Our transfer agent is Computershare. Computershare will assist registered owners with the NHI Dividend Reinvestment plan, change of address, transfer of ownership, payment of dividends, replacement of lost checks or stock certificates. Computershare's

Table of Contents

contact information is: Computershare Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078. The toll free number is 800-942-5909 and the website is www.computershare.com.

The Annual Stockholders' meeting will be held at noon local time on Monday, April 29, 2013 at our corporate office at 222 Robert Rose Drive, Murfreesboro, TN.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

We depend on the operating success of our customers (facility operators) for collection of our revenues during this time of uncertain economic conditions in the U.S.

Revenues to operators of our Health Care Facilities are primarily driven by occupancy, Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement and private pay rates. Revenues from government reimbursement have, and may continue to, come under pressure due to reimbursement cuts and from widely-publicized federal and state budget shortfalls and constraints. Overall weak economic conditions in the U.S. which affect housing sales, investment returns and personal incomes may adversely affect occupancy rates of ALFs that generally rely on private pay residents. Expenses for the Health Care Facilities are driven by the costs of labor, food, utilities, taxes, insurance and rent or debt service. Liability insurance and staffing costs continue to increase for our operators. To the extent any decrease in revenues and/or any increase in operating expenses results in a facility not generating enough cash to make scheduled payments to us, our revenues, net income and funds from operations would be adversely affected. Such events and circumstances would cause us to evaluate whether there was an impairment of the real estate or mortgage loan that should be charged to earnings. Such impairment would be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeded its fair value. Consequently, we might be unable to maintain or increase our current dividend and the market price of our stock may decline.

We are exposed to the risk that our tenants and borrowers may not be able to meet the rent, principal and interest or other payments due us, which may result in an operator bankruptcy or insolvency, or that an operator might become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings for other reasons.

Although our operating lease agreements provide us the right to evict an operator, demand immediate payment of rent and exercise other remedies, and our mortgage loans provide us the right to terminate any funding obligations, demand immediate repayment of principal and unpaid interest, foreclose on the collateral and exercise other remedies, the bankruptcy laws afford certain rights to a party that has filed for bankruptcy or reorganization. An operator in bankruptcy may be able to limit or delay our ability to collect unpaid rent in the case of a lease or to receive unpaid principal and/or interest in the case of a mortgage loan and to exercise other rights and remedies. We may be required to fund certain expenses (e.g. real estate taxes, maintenance and capital improvements) to preserve the value of a facility, avoid the imposition of liens on a facility and/or transition a facility to a new operator. In some instances, we have terminated our lease with an operator and leased the facility to another operator. In some of those situations, we provided working capital loans to, and limited indemnification of, the new operator. If we cannot transition a leased facility to a new operator, we may take possession of that facility, which may expose us to certain successor liabilities. Should such events occur, our revenue and operating cash flow may be adversely affected.

We are exposed to risks related to governmental regulations and payors, principally Medicare and Medicaid, and the effect that lower reimbursement rates will have on our tenants' and borrowers' business.

Our operators' businesses are affected by government reimbursement and private payor rates. To the extent that any of our Health Care Facilities receive a significant portion of its revenues from governmental payors, primarily Medicare and Medicaid, such revenues may be subject to statutory and regulatory changes, retroactive rate adjustments, recovery of program overpayments or set-offs, administrative rulings, policy interpretations, payment or other delays

by fiscal intermediaries, government funding restrictions (at a program level or with respect to specific facilities) and interruption or delays in payments due to any ongoing governmental investigations and audits at such facilities. In recent years, governmental payors have frozen or reduced payments to health care providers due to budgetary pressures. Such reductions in Medicare reimbursement will have an adverse effect on the financial operations of our borrowers and lessees who operate SNFs. Changes in health care reimbursement will likely continue to be of paramount importance to federal and state authorities. We cannot make any assessment as to the ultimate timing or effect any future legislative reforms may have on the financial condition of the health care industry. There can be no assurance that adequate reimbursement levels will continue to be available for services provided by any facility operator, whether the facility receives reimbursement from Medicare, Medicaid or private payors. Significant limits on the scope of services reimbursed and on reimbursement rates and fees could have a material adverse effect on an operator's liquidity, financial condition and results of operations, which could adversely affect the ability of an operator to meet its obligations to us. In addition, the replacement of an operator that has defaulted on its lease or loan could be delayed by the approval process of any federal, state or local agency necessary for the transfer of the facility or the replacement of the operator licensed to manage the facility.

Table of Contents

We are exposed to the risk that the cash flows of our tenants and borrowers will be adversely affected by increased liability claims and general and professional liability insurance costs.

ALF and SNF operators have experienced substantial increases in both the number and size of patient care liability claims in recent years, particularly in the states of Texas and Florida. As a result, general and professional liability costs have increased and may continue to increase. Nationwide, long-term care liability insurance rates are increasing because of large jury awards in states like Texas and Florida. Both Texas and Florida have now adopted SNF liability laws that modify or limit tort damages. Despite some of these reforms, the long-term care industry overall continues to experience very high general and professional liability costs. Insurance companies have responded to this claims crisis by severely restricting their capacity to write long-term care general and professional liability policies. No assurance can be given that the climate for long-term care general and professional liability insurance will improve in any of the foregoing states or any other states where the facility operators conduct business. Insurance companies may continue to reduce or stop writing general and professional liability policies for ALFs and SNFs. Thus, general and professional liability insurance coverage may be restricted, very costly or not available, which may adversely affect the facility operators' future operations, cash flows and financial condition and may have a material adverse effect on the facility operators' ability to meet their obligations to us.

We are exposed to risks related to environmental laws and the costs associated with the liability related to hazardous substances.

Under various federal and state laws, owners or operators of real property may be required to respond to the release of hazardous substances on the property and may be held liable for property damage, personal injuries or penalties that result from environmental contamination. These laws also expose us to the possibility that we may become liable to reimburse the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with the contamination. Generally, such liability attaches to a person based on the person's relationship to the property. Our tenants or borrowers are primarily responsible for the condition of the property and since we are a passive landlord, we do not "participate in the management" of any property in which we have an interest. Moreover, we review environmental site assessment of the properties that we own or encumber prior to taking an interest in them. Those assessments are designed to meet the "all appropriate inquiry" standard, which qualifies us for the innocent purchaser defense if environmental liabilities arise. Based upon such assessments, we do not believe that any of our properties are subject to material environmental contamination. However, environmental liabilities, including mold, may be present in our properties and we may incur costs to remediate contamination, which could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

We are exposed to the risk that we may not be indemnified by our lessees and borrowers against future litigation.

Our leases require that the lessee name us as an additional insured party on the tenant's insurance policy in regard to claims made for professional liability or personal injury. The leases also require the tenant to indemnify and hold us harmless for all claims resulting from the occupancy and use of each Health Care Facility. We cannot give any assurance that these protective measures will completely eliminate any risk to us related to future litigation, the costs of which could have a material adverse impact on us.

We depend on the success of future acquisitions and investments.

We are exposed to the risk that our future acquisitions may not prove to be successful. We could encounter unanticipated difficulties and expenditures relating to any acquired properties, including contingent liabilities, and newly acquired properties might require significant management attention that would otherwise be devoted to our existing business. If we agree to provide construction funding to an operator and the project is not completed, we may

need to take steps to ensure completion of the project or we could lose the property. Moreover, if we issue equity securities or incur additional debt, or both, to finance future acquisitions, it may reduce our per share financial results. These costs may negatively affect our results of operations.

We depend on the ability to reinvest cash in real estate investments in a timely manner and on acceptable terms.

From time to time, we will have cash available from (1) the proceeds of sales of our securities, (2) principal payments on our notes receivable and (3) the sale of properties, including non-elective dispositions, under the terms of master leases or similar financial support arrangements. We must reinvest these proceeds, on a timely basis, in health care investments or in qualified short-term investments. We compete for real estate investments with a broad variety of potential investors. This competition for attractive investments may negatively affect our ability to make timely investments on terms acceptable to us. Delays in acquiring properties may negatively impact revenues and the amount of distributions to stockholders.

We may need to incur more debt in the future, which may not be available on terms acceptable to the Company.

Table of Contents

We operate with a policy of incurring debt when, in the opinion of our Board of Directors, it is advisable. Currently, we believe that our low debt levels, availability under our unsecured credit facility and current liquidity will enable us to meet our obligations, including dividends, and continue to make investments in healthcare real estate. While we currently have a very low debt ratio, in the future, we may increase our borrowings. We may incur additional debt by borrowing under our unsecured credit facility, mortgaging properties we own and/or issuing debt securities in a public offering or in a private transaction. We believe we will be able to raise additional debt and equity capital at reasonable costs to refinance our credit facility at or prior to its maturity. Our ability to raise reasonably priced capital is not guaranteed; we may be unable to raise reasonably priced capital because of reasons related to our business or for reasons beyond our control, such as market conditions. If our access to capital becomes limited, it could have an impact on our ability to refinance our debt obligations, fund dividend payments, acquire properties and fund acquisition activities.

We are exposed to the risk that the illiquidity of real estate investments could impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and, therefore, our ability to quickly sell or exchange any of our properties in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be limited. All of our properties are "special purpose" properties that cannot be readily converted to general residential, retail or office use. Health Care Facilities that participate in Medicare or Medicaid must meet extensive program requirements, including physical plant and operational requirements, which are revised from time to time. Transfers of operations of Health Care Facilities are subject to regulatory approvals not required for transfers of other types of commercial operations and other types of real estate. Thus, if the operation of any of our properties becomes unprofitable due to competition, age of improvements or other factors such that our lessee or borrower becomes unable to meet its obligations on the lease or mortgage loan, the liquidation value of the property may be less than the net book value or the amount owed on any related mortgage loan, because the property may not be readily adaptable to other uses. The sale of the property or the replacement of an operator that has defaulted on its lease or loan could also be delayed by the approval process of any federal, state or local agency necessary for the transfer of the property or the replacement of the operator with a new operator licensed to manage the facility. No assurances can be given that we will recognize full value for any property that we are required to sell for liquidity reasons. Should such events occur, our income and cash flows from operations could be adversely affected.

We are exposed to risks associated with our investments in unconsolidated entities, including our lack of sole decision-making authority and our reliance on the financial condition of other interests.

Our investments in unconsolidated entities could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority regarding major decisions, our reliance on the financial condition of other interests, any disputes that may arise between us and other partners, and our exposure to potential losses from the actions of partners. Risks of dealing with parties outside NHI include limitations on unilateral major decisions opposed by other interests, the prospect of divergent goals of ownership including the likelihood of disputes regarding management, ownership or disposition of a property, or limitations on the transfer of our interests without the consent of our partners. Risks of the unconsolidated entity extend to areas in which the financial health of our partners may impact our plans. Our partners might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions, which may hinder significant action in the entity. We may disagree with our partners about decisions affecting a property or the entity itself, which could result in litigation or arbitration that increases our expenses, distracts our officers and directors and disrupts the day-to-day operations of the property, including by delaying important decisions until the dispute is resolved; and finally, we may suffer losses as a result of actions taken by our partners with respect to our investments.

We depend on revenues derived mainly from fixed rate investments in real estate assets, while our debt capital used to finance those investments is primarily at variable rates. This circumstance creates interest rate risk to the Company.

Our business model assumes that we can earn a spread between the returns earned from our investments in real estate as compared to our cost of capital, including debt and/or equity. Current interest rates on our debt are at historically low levels, and, as a result, the spread and our profitability on our investments have been at high levels. We are exposed to interest rate risk in the potential for a narrowing of our spread and profitability if interest rates increase in the future. Certain of our debt obligations are floating rate obligations with interest rates that vary with the movement of LIBOR or other indexes. Our revenues are derived mainly from fixed rate investments in real estate assets. Although our leases generally contain escalating rent clauses that provide a partial hedge against interest rate fluctuations, if interest rates rise, our interest costs for our existing floating rate debt and any new debt we incur would also increase. This increasing cost of debt could reduce our profitability by increasing the cost of financing our existing portfolio and our investment activity. Rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt upon maturity or cause us to pay higher rates upon refinancing. We manage a portion of our exposure to interest rate risk by accessing debt with staggered maturities and through the use of derivative instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements

Table of Contents

with major financial institutions. Increased interest rates may also negatively affect the market price of our common stock and increase the cost of new equity capital.

We are exposed to the risk that our assets may be subject to impairment charges.

We periodically, but not less than quarterly, evaluate our real estate investments and other assets for impairment indicators. The judgment regarding the existence of impairment indicators is based on factors such as market conditions, operator performance and legal structure. If we determine that a significant impairment has occurred, we would be required to make an adjustment to the net carrying value of the asset, which could have a material adverse affect on our reported results of operations in the period in which the impairment charge occurs.

We depend on the ability to continue to qualify as a REIT.

We intend to operate as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code") and believe we have and will continue to operate in such a manner. Since REIT qualification requires us to meet a number of complex requirements, it is possible that we may fail to fulfill them, and if we do, our earnings will be reduced by the amount of federal taxes owed. A reduction in our earnings would affect the amount we could distribute to our stockholders.

We have ownership limits in our charter with respect to our common stock and other classes of capital stock which may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Our charter, subject to certain exceptions, contains restrictions on the ownership and transfer of our common stock and preferred stock that are intended to assist us in preserving our qualification as a REIT. Our charter, provides that any transfer that would cause NHI to be beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons or would cause NHI to be "closely held" under Internal Revenue Code would be void, which, subject to certain exceptions, results in no person or entity being allowed to own, actually or constructively, more than 9.9% of the outstanding shares of our stock. Our Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt a proposed transferee from the ownership limit and such an exemption has been granted through Excepted Holder Agreements to members of the Carl E. Adams family. Based on the Excepted Holder Agreements currently outstanding, the individual ownership limit for all other stockholders is approximately 7.5%. Our charter gives our Board of Directors broad powers to prohibit and rescind any attempted transfer in violation of the ownership limits. These ownership limits may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

We are subject to certain provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws that could hinder, delay or prevent a change in control transaction, even if the transaction involves a premium price for our common stock or our stockholders believe such transaction to be otherwise in their best interests.

Certain provisions of Maryland law, our charter and our bylaws have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing transactions that involve an actual or threatened change in control, even if these transactions involve a premium price for our common stock or our stockholders believe such transaction to be otherwise in their best interests. The Maryland Business Combination Act provides that, unless exempted, a Maryland corporation may not engage in business combinations, including mergers, dispositions of 10% or more of its assets, issuances of shares of stock and other specified transactions with an "interested stockholder" or an affiliate of an interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder, and thereafter, unless specified criteria are met. An interested stockholder is generally a person owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of a Maryland corporation. Unless our

Board of Directors takes action to exempt us, generally or with respect to certain transactions, from this statute in the future, the Maryland Business Combination Act will be applicable to business combinations between us and other persons. The Company's Charter and Bylaws also contain certain provisions that could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discouraging a third party from attempting to acquire, control of the Company. Such provisions could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for the common stock. These provisions include a staggered board of directors, blank check preferred stock, and the application of Maryland corporate law provisions on business combinations and control shares. The foregoing matters may, together or separately, have the effect of discouraging or making more difficult an acquisition or change of control of the Company.

Other risks.

See the notes to the consolidated financial statements, "Business" under Item 1 and "Legal Proceedings" under Item 3 herein for a discussion of various governmental regulations and operating factors relating to the health care industry and other factors

15

Table of Contents

and the risks inherent in them. You should carefully consider each of the foregoing risks before making any investment decisions in the Company. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones facing us. There may be additional risks that we do not presently know of or that we currently deem immaterial. If any of the risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our shares of stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment. Given these risks and uncertainties, we can give no assurance that these forward-looking statements will, in fact, occur and, therefore, caution investors not to place undue reliance on them.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

Table of ContentsITEM 2. PROPERTIES OWNED OR ASSOCIATED WITH MORTGAGE LOAN INVESTMENTS
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012

| SKILLED NURSING Center | City | Lease (L)/ Mortgage (M) | Licensed Beds |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| ALABAMA | | | |
| NHC HealthCare, Anniston | Anniston | L | 151 |
| NHC HealthCare, Moulton | Moulton | L | 136 |
| ARIZONA | | | |
| Sunbridge Estrella Care & Rehabilitation | Avondale | L | 161 |
| FLORIDA | | | |
| Ayers Health & Rehabilitation Center | Trenton | L | 120 |
| Bayonet Point Health & Rehabilitation Center | Hudson | L | 180 |
| Bear Creek Nursing Center | Hudson | L | 120 |
| Brooksville Healthcare Center | Brooksville | L | 180 |
| Cypress Cove Care Center | Crystal River | L | 120 |
| Heather Hill Healthcare Center | New Port Richey | L | 120 |
| Parkway Health & Rehabilitation Center | Stuart | L | 177 |
| Royal Oak Nursing Center | Dade City | L | 120 |
| The Health Center of Merritt Island | Merritt Island | L | 180 |
| The Health Center of Plant City | Plant City | L | 180 |
| GEORGIA | | | |
| Ashton Woods Rehabilitation Center | Atlanta | M | 157 |
| NHC HealthCare, Rossville* | Rossville | L | 112 |
| The Place at Deans Bridge | Augusta | M | 100 |
| The Place at Martinez | Augusta | M | 100 |
| The Place at Pooler | Pooler | M | 122 |
| IDAHO | | | |
| Grangeville Health and Rehabilitation Center | Grangeville | L | 60 |
| KANSAS | | | |
| Chanute HealthCare Center | Chanute | M | 77 |
| Council Grove HealthCare Center | Council Grove | M | 80 |
| Haysville HealthCare Center | Haysville | M | 119 |
| Larned HealthCare Center | Larned | M | 83 |
| Sedgwick HealthCare Center | Sedgwick | M | 62 |
| KENTUCKY | | | |
| NHC HealthCare, Glasgow | Glasgow | L | 206 |
| NHC HealthCare, Madisonville* | Madisonville | L | 94 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | | | |
| Buckley HealthCare Center | Greenfield | M | 120 |
| Holyoke Health Care Center | Holyoke | M | 102 |
| John Adams HealthCare Center | Quincy | M | 71 |

Longmeadow of Taunton

Taunton

M

100

17

Table of Contents

| SKILLED NURSING Center | City | Lease (L)/ Mortgage (M) | Licensed Beds |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| MISSOURI | | | |
| Charlevoix HealthCare Center | St. Charles | M | 142 |
| Columbia HealthCare Center | Columbia | M | 97 |
| Joplin HealthCare Center | Joplin | M | 92 |
| NHC Healthcare, Desloge | Desloge | L | 120 |
| NHC Healthcare, Joplin | Joplin | L | 126 |
| NHC Healthcare, Kennett | Kennett | L | 170 |
| NHC Healthcare, Maryland Heights | Maryland Heights | L | 220 |
| NHC HealthCare, St. Charles | St. Charles | L | 120 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | | | |
| Epsom HealthCare Center | Epsom | M | 108 |
| Maple Leaf HealthCare Center | Manchester | M | 114 |
| Villa Crest HealthCare Center | Manchester | M | 165 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | | |
| NHC Healthcare, Anderson | Anderson | L | 290 |
| NHC Healthcare, Greenwood | Greenwood | L | 152 |
| NHC HealthCare, Laurens | Laurens | L | 176 |
| UniHealth Post-Acute Care-Orangeburg | Orangeburg | L | 88 |
| TENNESSEE | | | |
| NHC Healthcare, Athens | Athens | L | 98 |
| NHC Healthcare, Chattanooga | Chattanooga | L | 207 |
| NHC HealthCare, Columbia* | Columbia | L | 106 |
| NHC HealthCare, Dickson | Dickson | L | 211 |
| NHC HealthCare, Franklin | Franklin | L | 80 |
| NHC Healthcare, Hendersonville | Hendersonville | L | 122 |
| NHC Healthcare, Hillview* | Columbia | L | 92 |
| NHC Healthcare, Johnson City | Johnson City | L | 160 |
| NHC Healthcare, Knoxville* | Knoxville | L | 139 |
| NHC Healthcare, Lewisburg | Lewisburg | L | 102 |
| NHC HealthCare, McMinnville | McMinnville | L | 150 |
| NHC HealthCare, Milan | Milan | L | 122 |
| NHC Healthcare, Oakwood | Lewisburg | L | 60 |
| NHC HealthCare, Pulaski | Pulaski | L | 102 |
| NHC Healthcare, Scott | Lawrenceburg | L | 62 |
| NHC HealthCare, Sequatchie | Dunlap | L | 120 |
| NHC HealthCare, Smithville | Smithville | L | 120 |
| NHC Healthcare, Somerville | Somerville | L | 84 |
| NHC Healthcare, Sparta | Sparta | L | 120 |
| NHC HealthCare, Springfield* | Springfield | L | 107 |
| TEXAS | | | |
| Heritage Manor of Canton | Canton | L | 110 |
| Heritage Oaks | Arlington | L | 204 |
| Heritage Place | Mesquite | L | 149 |

Legend Healthcare & Rehabilitation

Paris

L

120

18

Table of Contents

| | | Lease (L)/ Mortgage (M) | Licensed Beds |
|--|----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| SKILLED NURSING | | | |
| Center | City | | |
| Legend Oaks Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center (East) | Houston | L | 125 |
| Legend Oaks Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center (Northwest) | Houston | L | 125 |
| Legend Oaks Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center | San Antonio | L | 125 |
| Legend Oaks Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center - Ennis | Ennis | L | 124 |
| Legend Healthcare & Rehabilitation | Greenville | L | 125 |
| Legend Oaks Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center | Houston | L | 124 |
| Legend Oaks Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center | Houston | L | 125 |
| Park Place Care Center | Georgetown | M | 164 |
| The Village at Richardson | Richardson | L | 280 |
| Winterhaven Healthcare Center | Houston | L | 160 |
| VIRGINIA | | | |
| Heritage Hall - Brookneal | Brookneal | M | 60 |
| Heritage Hall - Grundy | Grundy | M | 120 |
| Heritage Hall - Laurel Meadows | Laurel Fork | M | 60 |
| Heritage Hall - Virginia Beach | Virginia Beach | M | 90 |
| Heritage Hall - Front Royal | Front Royal | M | 60 |
| Heritage Hall - Lexington | East Lexington | M | 60 |
| NHC HealthCare, Bristol | Bristol | L | 120 |
| ASSISTED LIVING | | | |
| ARIZONA | | | |
| The Place at Gilbert | Gilbert | L | 40 |
| The Place at Glendale | Glendale | L | 38 |
| The Place at Tanque Verde | Tucson | L | 42 |
| The Place at Tucson | Tucson | L | 60 |
| FLORIDA | | | |
| Brentwood at Fore Ranch | Ocala | M | 120 |
| Indigo Palms at Maitland | Maitland | L | 116 |
| Savannah Court of Bartow | Bartow | L | 30 |
| Savannah Court of St. Cloud | St. Cloud | L | 30 |
| Savannah Court of Lakeland | Lakeland | L | 30 |
| GEORGIA | | | |
| Savannah Court at Lake Oconee | Greensboro | L | 64 |
| IDAHO | | | |
| Indianhead Estates | Weiser | L | 25 |
| ILLINOIS | | | |
| Bickford of Peoria | Peoria | L | 32 |
| INDIANA | | | |
| Bickford of Carmel** | Carmel | L | 60 |
| Bickford of Crown Point** | Crown Point | L | 60 |
| Bickford of Greenwood** | Greenwood | L | 60 |

Bickford of Lafayette

LaFayette

L

28

19

Table of Contents

| | City | Lease (L)/ Mortgage (M) | Licensed Beds |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| ASSISTED LIVING | | | |
| Center | | | |
| IOWA | | | |
| Bickford of Clinton | Clinton | L | 37 |
| Bickford of Iowa City | Iowa City | L | 37 |
| KANSAS | | | |
| Bickford of Mission Springs | Mission | L | 91 |
| Bickford of Overland Park | Overland Park | L | 79 |
| LOUISIANA | | | |
| West Monroe Arbors | West Monroe | L | 59 |
| Bossier Arbors | Bossier City | L | 60 |
| Bastrop Arbors | Bastrop | L | 38 |
| Minden Arbors | Minden | L | 26 |
| MICHIGAN | | | |
| Bickford of Battle Creek | Battle Creek | L | 46 |
| Bickford of Lansing | Lansing | L | 46 |
| Bickford of Midland | Midland | L | 46 |
| Bickford of Saginaw | Saginaw | L | 46 |
| MINNESOTA | | | |
| Traditions | Owatonna | M | 70 |
| Gracewood Champlin | Champlin | L | 30 |
| Gracewood Hugo | Hugo | L | 24 |
| Gracewood Maplewood | Maplewood | L | 42 |
| Gracewood North Branch | North Branch | L | 30 |
| OREGON | | | |
| Dorian Place | Ontario | L | 44 |
| Wellsprings | Ontario | L | 32 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | | |
| Heritage Hill Senior Community | Weatherly | L | 143 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | | |
| The Place at Conway | Conway | L | 52 |
| TENNESSEE | | | |
| The Place at Gallatin | Gallatin | L | 49 |
| The Place at Kingsport | Kingsport | L | 49 |
| The Place at Tullahoma | Tullahoma | L | 49 |
| WISCONSIN | | | |
| Charleston House | Beaver Dam | L | 120 |
| CALIFORNIA | | | |
| Linda Valley Care Center | Loma Linda | L | 181 |

Table of Contents

| SENIOR LIVING CAMPUS Center | City | Lease (L)/ Mortgage (M) | Licensed Beds |
|--|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| IDAHO Sunbridge Retirement & Rehab for Nampa | Nampa | L | 183 |
| FLORIDA Savannah Court of Maitland | Maitland | L | 151 |
| Savannah Court of Palm Beaches | W. Palm Beach | L | 144 |
| OREGON East Cascade Retirement Community | Madras | M | 76 |
| WASHINGTON Sante Silverdale | Silverdale | L | 138 |
| HOSPITALS ARIZONA Santé Mesa | Mesa | M | 70 |
| CALIFORNIA Alvarado Parkway Institute | La Mesa | L | 66 |
| KENTUCKY Kentucky River Hospital | Jackson | L | 55 |
| TENNESSEE TrustPoint Hospital (Polaris) | Murfreesboro | L | 60 |
| INDEPENDENT LIVING MISSOURI Lake St. Charles Retirement Center | St. Charles | L | 180 |
| TENNESSEE Colonial Hill Retirement Center | Johnson City | L | 63 |
| Parkwood Retirement Apartments | Chattanooga | L | 30 |
| MEDICAL OFFICE | | | Sq. Ft. |
| FLORIDA North Okaloosa | Crestview | L | 27,017 |
| TEXAS Pasadena Bayshore | Pasadena | L | 61,500 |
| CORPORATE OFFICE TENNESSEE | Murfreesboro | N/A | 7,000 |

* Facility was classified as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and in discontinued operations for all periods presented in the consolidated financial statements

** Under construction

Table of Contents

10-YEAR LEASE EXPIRATIONS

The following table provides additional information on our leases which are scheduled to expire based on the maturity date contained in the most recent lease agreement or extension. Leases associated with facilities held for sale at December 31, 2012 are not considered below. We expect that, prior to maturity, we will negotiate new terms of a lease to either the current tenant or another qualified operator.

| Year | Leases Expiring | Rentable Square Feet* | Number of Units/Beds | Annualized Gross Rent** (in thousands) | Percentage of Annualized Gross Rent | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 2013 | 2 | 27,017 | 60 | \$711 | .78 | % |
| 2014 | 6 | — | 780 | 6,444 | 7.08 | % |
| 2015 | — | — | — | — | — | % |
| 2016 | 7 | — | 1,198 | 7,184 | 7.90 | % |
| 2017 | 14 | — | 778 | 7,564 | 8.31 | % |
| 2018 | 2 | 61,500 | 88 | 1,080 | 1.19 | % |
| 2019 | 1 | — | 143 | 403 | .44 | % |
| 2020 | 4 | — | 154 | 1,672 | 1.84 | % |
| 2021 | 2 | — | 344 | 1,781 | 1.96 | % |
| 2022 | 4 | — | 156 | 3,082 | 3.39 | % |
| Thereafter | 65 | — | 7,268 | 61,048 | 67.11 | % |

*Rentable Square Feet represents total square footage in two MOB investments.

**Annualized Gross Rent refers to the amount of lease revenue that our portfolio would generate if all leases were in effect for the twelve-month calendar year, regardless of the commencement date, maturity date, or renewals.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Health Care Facilities are subject to claims and suits in the ordinary course of business. Our lessees and borrowers have indemnified, and are obligated to continue to indemnify us against all liabilities arising from the operation of the Health Care Facilities, and are further obligated to indemnify us against environmental or title problems affecting the real estate underlying such facilities. While there may be lawsuits pending against certain of the owners and/or lessees of the Health Care Facilities, management believes that the ultimate resolution of all such pending proceedings will have no material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

As previously disclosed, in November 2008, the Company was served with a Civil Investigative Demand by the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (“OTAG”), which indicated that the OTAG was investigating transactions between the Company and three Tennessee nonprofit corporations. NHI has provided the OTAG with requested information and documents and has been working with the OTAG with respect to this investigation. The investigation has been resolved with respect to one of the nonprofit corporations. A receiver has been appointed for each of the other two nonprofit corporations, SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc. (“SeniorTrust”) and ElderTrust of Florida, Inc. (“ElderTrust”). The receiver of each of SeniorTrust and ElderTrust is charged with winding-up the affairs of those entities, which will include the sale of those assets that secure the financing provided to each entity by NHI. As disclosed in our Form 8-K filed September 7, 2012, the receiver of SeniorTrust has caused SeniorTrust to bring a lawsuit against NHI and another party (Davidson Co. Chancery Court Case No. 12-1275-III). As part of the complaint, SeniorTrust asserts claims that primarily arise from an allegation that the terms of a 2004 transaction in which NHI sold a group of nursing homes in Kansas and Missouri to SeniorTrust, with NHI providing 100% financing of the purchase price, were unfair to SeniorTrust. SeniorTrust also alleges that NHI caused SeniorTrust to enter into other transactions on terms adverse to SeniorTrust. SeniorTrust seeks compensatory and punitive damages and other relief. NHI has denied SeniorTrust's claims and intends to vigorously defend against SeniorTrust's

complaint. NHI also has asserted a counterclaim seeking payment in full of SeniorTrust's outstanding debt to NHI upon the receiver's sale of the assets that secure that debt.

As disclosed in our Form 8-K filed January 18, 2013, the receiver of ElderTrust has caused ElderTrust to bring a lawsuit against NHI and another party (Davidson Co., Tenn. Chancery Court Case No. 13-0060-IV). ElderTrust asserts claims that primarily arise from an allegation that the terms of the 2001 transaction in which NHI sold seven nursing homes in New Hampshire and Massachusetts to ElderTrust, with NHI providing 100% of the financing of the purchase price, were unfair to ElderTrust and that NHI caused ElderTrust to enter into other transactions on adverse terms. ElderTrust seeks compensatory and punitive damages and other relief. NHI denies ElderTrust's claims and intends to vigorously defend against ElderTrust's complaint. In addition, ElderTrust had been making timely principal and interest payments to NHI in the amount of \$308,333.33 per month for the last

Table of Contents

five years. However, the payments NHI received from ElderTrust in January and February 2013 were for interest only. NHI filed a motion in (Davidson Co., Tenn. Chancery Court Case No. 12-1283-IV(III)) asserting that ElderTrust's receiver has put ElderTrust in breach of its obligation to NHI by reducing its monthly payment and requested that the Court authorize NHI to declare ElderTrust and its affiliated LLCs in default of their debt obligation and to pursue the default remedies provided for in the loan documents. On February 13, 2013, the Court denied this motion.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

The Company's charter contains certain provisions which are designed to ensure that the Company's status as a REIT is protected for federal income tax purposes. One of these provisions provides that any transfer that would cause NHI to be beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons or would cause NHI to be "closely held" under the IRS Code would be void, which, subject to certain exceptions, results in no stockholder being allowed to own, either directly or indirectly pursuant to certain tax attribution rules, more than 9.9% of the Company's stock. In 1991, the Board created an exception to this ownership limitation for Dr. Carl E. Adams, his spouse, Jennie Mae Adams, and their lineal descendants. Effective May 12, 2008, we entered into Excepted Holder Agreements with W. Andrew Adams and certain members of his family. These written agreements are intended to restate and replace the parties' prior verbal agreement. Based on the Excepted Holder Agreements currently outstanding, the individual ownership limit for all other stockholders is approximately 7.5%. Our charter gives our Board of Directors broad powers to prohibit and rescind any attempted transfer in violation of the ownership limits. These agreements were entered into in connection with the Company's announcement in 2008 of a stock purchase program pursuant to which the Company subsequently purchased 194,100 shares of its common stock in the public market from its stockholders.

A separate agreement was entered into with each of the spouse and children of Dr. Carl E. Adams and others within Mr. W. Andrew Adams' family. We needed to enter into such an agreement with each family member because of the complicated ownership attribution rules under the Internal Revenue Code. The agreement permits the Excepted Holders to own stock in excess of 9.9% up to the limit specifically provided in the individual agreement and not lose rights with respect to such shares. However, if the stockholder's stock ownership exceeds the limit, then such shares in excess of the limit become "Excess Stock" and lose voting rights and entitlement to receive dividends. The Excess Stock classification remains in place until the stockholder no longer exceeds the threshold limit specified in the Agreement. The purpose of these agreements is to ensure that the Company does not violate the prohibition against a REIT being closely held.

W. Andrew Adams' Excess Holder Agreement also provides that he will not own shares of stock in any tenant of the Company if such ownership would cause the Company to constructively own more than a 9.9% interest in such tenant. Again, this prohibition is designed to protect the Company's status as a REIT for tax purposes.

In order to qualify for the beneficial tax treatment accorded to a REIT, we must make distributions to holders of our common stock equal on an annual basis to at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding net capital gains), as defined in the Internal Revenue Code. Cash available for distribution to our stockholders is primarily derived from interest payments received on our notes and from rental payments received under our leases. All distributions will be made by us at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on our cash flow and earnings, our financial condition, bank covenants contained in our financing documents and such other factors as the Board of Directors

deems relevant. Our REIT taxable income is calculated without reference to our cash flow. Therefore, under certain circumstances, we may not have received cash sufficient to pay our required distributions.

Common Stock Market Prices and Dividends

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “NHI”. As of February 14, 2013, there were approximately 21,900 holders of record of shares and approximately 900 beneficial owners of shares.

Table of Contents

High and low stock prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange and dividends declared for the last two years were:

| Quarter Ended | 2012 | | Cash Dividends Declared | 2011 | | Cash Dividends Declared |
|---------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|
| | High | Low | | High | Low | |
| March 31 | \$51.29 | \$43.37 | \$.65 | \$48.48 | \$44.55 | \$.615 |
| June 30 | 51.63 | 47.16 | .65 | 49.55 | 42.52 | .615 |
| September 30 | 54.81 | 51.00 | .67 | 48.03 | 37.90 | .615 |
| December 31 | 57.30 | 51.00 | .89 ¹ | 46.16 | 39.81 | .87 ¹ |

¹Includes a special dividend of \$0.22 per share

The closing price of our stock on February 13, 2013 was \$64.68.

We currently maintain two equity compensation plans: the 2005 Stock Option, Restricted Stock and Stock Appreciation Rights Plan ("the 2005 Plan") and the 2012 Stock Incentive Plan ("the 2012 Plan"). These plans have been approved by our stockholders. The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012 about our common stock that may be issued upon grants of restricted stock and the exercise of options under our existing equity compensation plans.

| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 211,675 | \$46.60 | 1,520,635 ¹ |

¹These shares remain available for grant under the 2005 Plan and the 2012 Plan.

The following graph demonstrates the performance of the cumulative total return to the stockholders of our common stock during the previous five years in comparison to the cumulative total return on the FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index and the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index. The FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index is comprised of all tax-qualified REITs that are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange or the NASDAQ National Market List. The FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index is not free float adjusted, and constituents are not required to meet minimum size and liquidity criteria.

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| NHI | \$100.00 | \$108.84 | \$159.16 | \$205.67 | \$212.40 | \$288.48 |
| NAREIT | \$100.00 | \$62.75 | \$64.02 | \$65.30 | \$70.05 | \$84.13 |
| S&P 500 | \$100.00 | \$63.00 | \$79.67 | \$91.67 | \$93.60 | \$108.58 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following table represents our financial information for the five years ended December 31, 2012. This financial information has been derived from our historical financial statements including those for the most recent three years included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with those consolidated financial statements, accompanying footnotes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7. Prior period financial information has been reclassified for presentation of operations discontinued in 2012 as described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income.

(dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| STATEMENT OF INCOME DATA: | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | \$96,953 | \$87,213 | \$82,579 | \$68,454 | \$64,194 |
| Income from continuing operations | 74,663 | 73,192 | 61,786 | 56,255 | 49,620 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Income from operations - discontinued | 4,269 | 4,592 | 5,631 | 7,974 | 7,890 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | 11,966 | 3,348 | 2,004 | — | — |
| Net income | 90,898 | 81,132 | 69,421 | 64,229 | 57,510 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (167 |) — | — | — | — |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$90,731 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 | \$64,229 | \$57,510 |
| PER SHARE DATA: | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$2.68 | \$2.64 | \$2.23 | \$2.04 | \$1.79 |
| Discontinued operations | .58 | .29 | .28 | .29 | .29 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$3.26 | \$2.93 | \$2.51 | \$2.33 | \$2.08 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$2.68 | \$2.63 | \$2.23 | \$2.03 | \$1.79 |
| Discontinued operations | .58 | .29 | .27 | .29 | .28 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$3.26 | \$2.92 | \$2.50 | \$2.32 | \$2.07 |
| OTHER DATA: | | | | | |
| Common shares outstanding | 27,857,217 | 27,751,208 | 27,689,392 | 27,629,505 | 27,580,319 |
| Weighted average common shares: | | | | | |
| Basic | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 | 27,586,338 | 27,706,106 |
| Diluted | 27,838,720 | 27,792,592 | 27,732,959 | 27,618,300 | 27,731,951 |
| Regular dividends declared per common share | \$2.64 | \$2.495 | \$2.36 | \$2.20 | \$2.20 |
| Special dividends declared per common share | \$.22 | \$.22 | \$— | \$.10 | \$.22 |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA: (at year end) | | | | | |
| Mortgages and other notes receivable, net | \$84,250 | \$78,672 | \$75,465 | \$94,588 | \$108,640 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Real estate properties, net | \$535,390 | \$394,795 | \$327,654 | \$223,861 | \$181,332 |
| Preferred stock and marketable securities | \$51,016 | \$49,496 | \$60,608 | \$59,454 | \$64,726 |
| Assets held for sale, net | \$1,611 | \$29,381 | \$36,853 | \$33,420 | \$200 |
| Total assets | \$705,981 | \$579,563 | \$509,341 | \$459,360 | \$457,106 |
| Debt | \$203,250 | \$97,300 | \$37,765 | \$— | \$3,987 |
| Total equity | \$468,047 | \$443,485 | \$442,500 | \$434,612 | \$429,615 |

25

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion and analysis is based primarily on the consolidated financial statements of National Health Investors, Inc. for the periods presented and should be read together with the notes thereto contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other important factors are identified in "Item 1. Business" and "Item 1A. Risk Factors" above.

Executive Overview

National Health Investors, Inc., a Maryland corporation incorporated in 1991, is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") which invests in income-producing health care properties primarily in the long-term care and senior housing industries. As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio consisted of real estate (excluding the corporate office and assets held for sale) and mortgage and other note investments with a carrying value totaling \$618,926,000 and other investments in the preferred stock and marketable securities of other REITs with a carrying value of \$51,016,000, resulting in total invested assets of \$669,942,000. We are a self-managed REIT with our own management reporting directly to our Board of Directors. Our mission is to invest in health care real estate or in the operations thereof through independent third-party managers which generates current income that will be distributed to stockholders. We have pursued this mission by investing primarily in leased properties, loans and RIDEA transactions. These investments include assisted living facilities and their operations, senior living campuses, independent living, skilled nursing facilities, medical office buildings and hospitals, all of which are collectively referred to herein as "Health Care Facilities." We have historically funded these investments through three sources of capital: (1) current cash flow, (2) the sale of equity securities, and (3) debt offerings, including bank lines of credit, the issuance of convertible debt instruments, and the issuance of ordinary term debt.

Portfolio

At December 31, 2012, our continuing operations consisted of investments in real estate and mortgage notes receivable in 134 health care facilities located in 25 states consisting of 41 assisted living facilities, 6 senior living campuses, 3 independent living facilities, 78 skilled nursing facilities, 2 medical office buildings, 4 hospitals and other notes receivable. These investments consisted of approximately \$534,676,000 of net real estate investments in 107 health care facilities with 19 lessees and \$84,250,000 aggregate carrying value of mortgage and other notes receivable from 16 borrowers related to 27 health care facilities.

National HealthCare Corporation ("NHC"), is a publicly-held company and our largest customer. Under a Master Lease Agreement dated October 17, 1991, we lease 41 health care facilities to NHC, six of which are included among our discontinued operations as discussed below. The 35 properties included in continuing operations are comprised of 3 independent living facilities and 32 SNFs (4 of which are subleased to other parties for whom the lease payments are guaranteed to us by NHC under the Master Lease Agreement). A 15-year renewal term began January 1, 2007, and included 3 additional 5-year renewal options, each at fair rental value of such leased property as negotiated between the parties and determined without including the value attributable to any improvements to the leased property voluntarily made by the tenant at its expense. In December 2012, we entered into an extension to the Master Lease Agreement with NHC through December 2026. Under the terms of the lease, rent escalates by 4% of the increase, if any, in each facility's revenue over a 2007 base year. We refer to this additional rent component as "percentage rent."

The following table summarizes the percentage rent received and recognized from NHC (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Current year | \$1,243 | \$1,234 | \$750 |
| Prior year final certification ¹ | 1,063 | 635 | 485 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total percentage rent | \$2,306 | \$1,869 | \$1,235 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|

¹For purposes of the percentage rent calculation described in the Master Lease Agreement, NHC's annual revenue by facility for a given year is certified to NHI by March 31st of the following year.

Table of Contents

Our revenue from continuing operations was \$96,953,000, \$87,213,000 and \$82,579,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, \$33,056,000 (34%), \$32,619,000 (37%) and \$31,985,000 (39%) in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were derived from our master lease with NHC.

In December 2012, we entered into a letter of agreement for the sale of six older skilled nursing facilities to the current lessee, NHC, for \$21,000,000 in cash. The sale is to be completed on December 31, 2013. Effective January 1, 2014, NHI's annual base rent of \$33,700,000 will be reduced to \$30,750,000. Accordingly, we have reclassified those assets to be sold to NHC as held-for-sale as of December 31, 2012, and the results of operation of the facilities held for sale were classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

NHC owned 1,630,462 shares of our common stock at December 31, 2012. The chairman of our board of directors is also a director on NHC's board.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize our investments in real estate (excluding corporate office and assets held for sale) and mortgage and other notes receivable as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| Real Estate Properties | Properties | Beds/Sq. Ft.* | Net Investment |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Skilled Nursing Facilities | 55 | 7,734 | \$252,566 |
| Assisted Living Facilities | 39 | 1,984 | 187,486 |
| Senior Living Campuses | 5 | 797 | 49,909 |
| Hospitals | 3 | 181 | 36,707 |
| Independent Living Facilities | 3 | 273 | 3,587 |
| Medical Office Buildings | 2 | 88,517 | * 4,421 |
| Total Real Estate Properties | 107 | | \$534,676 |

| Mortgage and Other Notes Receivable | | | |
|---|-----|-------|-----------|
| Skilled Nursing Facilities | 23 | 2,605 | \$49,279 |
| Assisted Living Facilities | 2 | 190 | 6,265 |
| Senior Living Campus | 1 | 76 | 800 |
| Hospital | 1 | 70 | 11,870 |
| Other Notes Receivable | — | — | 16,036 |
| Total Mortgage and Other Notes Receivable | 27 | 2,941 | \$84,250 |
| Total Portfolio | 134 | | \$618,926 |

| Portfolio Summary | Properties | Investment Percentage | Net Investment |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Real Estate Properties | 107 | 86.4 | % \$534,676 |
| Mortgage and Other Notes Receivable | 27 | 13.6 | % 84,250 |
| Total Portfolio | 134 | 100.0 | % \$618,926 |

| Summary of Facilities by Type | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|---|-----------|
| Skilled Nursing Facilities | 78 | 48.8 | % | \$301,845 |
| Assisted Living Facilities | 41 | 31.3 | % | 193,751 |
| Senior Living Campuses | 6 | 8.2 | % | 50,709 |
| Hospitals | 4 | 7.8 | % | 48,577 |
| Independent Living Facilities | 3 | 0.6 | % | 3,587 |
| Medical Office Buildings | 2 | 0.7 | % | 4,421 |
| Other | — | 2.6 | % | 16,036 |
| Total Real Estate Portfolio | 134 | 100.0 | % | \$618,926 |

| Portfolio by Operator Type | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------|---|-----------|
| Public | 47 | 11.9 | % | \$73,650 |
| Regional | 75 | 77.1 | % | 476,960 |
| Small | 12 | 11.0 | % | 68,316 |
| Total Real Estate Portfolio | 134 | 100.0 | % | \$618,926 |

| Public Operators | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|---|----------|
| National HealthCare Corp. | 35 | 6.7 | % | \$41,344 |
| Emeritus Senior Living | 8 | 3.0 | % | 18,868 |
| Community Health Systems, Inc. | 2 | 1.1 | % | 6,608 |
| Sun Healthcare Group, Inc. | 2 | 1.1 | % | 6,830 |
| Total Public Operators | 47 | 11.9 | % | \$73,650 |

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2012, operators of facilities which provided more than 3% of our total revenues were (in alphabetical order): Bickford Senior Living; Emeritus Senior Living; Fundamental Long Term Care Holdings, LLC; Health Services Management, Inc.; Landmark Senior Living; Legend Healthcare, LLC; National HealthCare Corp.; SeniorHealth of Rutherford, LLC; SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc; Senior Living Management Corporation, LLC; SP Silverdale, LLC; and White Pine Senior Living.

As of December 31, 2012, our average effective annual rental income was \$7,522 per bed for SNFs, \$7,577 per unit for ALFs, \$4,401 per unit for ILFs, \$29,310 per bed for hospitals, and \$12 per square foot for MOBs.

We invest a portion of our funds in the preferred and common shares of other publicly-held healthcare REITs to ensure a substantial portion of our assets are invested for real estate purposes. At December 31, 2012, such investments were \$51,016,000.

Areas of Focus

We are evaluating and will potentially make investments in 2013 while continuing to monitor and improve our existing properties. Even as we make new investments, we expect to maintain a relatively low level of debt compared to the value of our assets and relative to our peers in the industry. Approximately 65% of our revenue from continuing operations is from operators of our skilled nursing facilities that receive a significant portion of their revenue from governmental payors, primarily Medicare and Medicaid. Such revenues are subject annually to statutory and regulatory changes, and in recent years, have been reduced due to federal and state budgetary pressures. As a result, in 2009 we began to diversify our portfolio by focusing a significant portion of our investments into private-pay assisted living, memory care and other properties which do not rely primarily on Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement.

According to a recent estimate by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the number of Americans 65 and older is expected to grow 36% between 2010 and 2020, compared to a 9% growth rate for the general population. An increase in this age demographic is expected to increase the demand for senior housing properties in the coming decades.

Our new investments in real estate and mortgage notes in 2013 are expected to be funded by our liquid investments and by debt financing. We intend to make new investments that meet our underwriting criteria and where we believe the spreads over our cost of capital will generate sufficient returns to our shareholders.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These accounting principles require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates and cause our reported net income to vary significantly from period to period. If actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements, the resulting changes could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and/or financial condition.

We consider an accounting estimate or assumption critical if:

1. the nature of the estimates or assumptions is material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change; and

2. the impact of the estimates and assumptions on financial condition or operating performance is material.

Our significant accounting policies and the associated estimates, judgments and the issues which impact these estimates are as follows:

1) Valuations and impairments to our investments - The majority of our tenants and borrowers are in the long-term health care industry (SNFs and ALFs) where SNFs derive their revenues primarily from Medicare, Medicaid and other government programs. Amounts paid under these government programs are subject to legislative and government budget constraints. From time to time, there may be material changes in government reimbursement. In the past, SNFs have experienced material reductions in government reimbursement.

The long-term health care industry has also experienced a dramatic increase in professional liability claims and in the cost of insurance to cover such claims. These factors combined to cause a number of bankruptcy filings, bankruptcy court rulings and

29

Table of Contents

court judgments affecting our lessees and borrowers. In prior years, we have determined that impairment of certain of our investments had occurred as a result of these events.

We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying values of our properties on a property-by-property basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our properties for recoverability when events or circumstances, including significant physical changes in the property, significant adverse changes in general economic conditions and significant deteriorations of the underlying cash flows of the property, indicate that the carrying amount of the property may not be recoverable. The need to recognize an impairment charge is based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows from a property compared to the carrying value of that property. If recognition of an impairment charge is necessary, it is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the property exceeds the fair value of the property.

For notes receivable, impairment recognition is based upon an evaluation of the estimated collectibility of contractual loan payments and general economic conditions on a specific loan basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our notes receivable for ability to realize on such notes when events or circumstances, including the non-receipt of contractual principal and interest payments, significant deteriorations of the financial condition of the borrower and significant adverse changes in general economic conditions, indicate that the carrying amount of the note receivable may not be recoverable. If necessary, impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value as measured by the discounted cash flows expected to be received under the note receivable or, if foreclosure is probable, the fair value of the collateral securing the note receivable.

We evaluate our marketable equity securities for other-than-temporary impairments. An impairment of a marketable equity security would be considered “other-than-temporary” unless we have the ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to (or beyond) the cost of the investment and evidence indicates the cost of the investment is recoverable within a reasonable period of time.

For our arrangement with Bickford discussed below, our initial allocation of the purchase price reflects estimated cash flows resulting from the separate activities and sources of cash resulting from the venture, using discounted cash flow methods in accordance with our policies. As with our real estate investments, we evaluate the recoverability of the carrying values of our properties on an individual basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our properties for recoverability when events or circumstances, including significant physical changes in the property or deterioration of the operations, significant adverse changes in general economic conditions and significant deteriorations of the underlying cash flows of the entities, indicate that the carrying amount of the entities may not be recoverable. The need to recognize an impairment charge is based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows from a source compared to the carrying value of that property or, for the operating company, its operations. If recognition of an impairment charge is necessary, it is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the investment exceeds the fair value of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from that source.

While we believe that the carrying amounts of our properties and arrangement with Bickford are recoverable and our notes receivable, marketable securities and other investments are realizable, it is possible that future events could require us to make significant adjustments or revisions to these estimates.

2) Revenue recognition - interest and rental income - We collect interest and rent from our customers. Generally, our policy is to recognize revenues on an accrual basis as earned. However, there are certain of our customers, for whom we have determined, based on insufficient historical collections and the lack of expected future collections, that revenue for interest or rent is not probable of collection until received. For these investments, our policy is to recognize interest or rental income when assured, which we consider to be the period the amounts are collected. We identify investments as nonperforming if a required payment is not received within 30 days of the date it is due. This policy could cause our revenues to vary significantly from period to period. Revenue from minimum lease payments under our leases is recognized on a straight-line basis to the extent that future lease payments are considered

collectible. Lease payments that depend on a factor directly related to future use of the property, such as an increase in annual revenues over a base year revenues, are considered to be contingent rentals, are included in rental income when they are determinable and earned, and are excluded from future minimum lease payments.

3) As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, significant management judgment is required to evaluate our compliance with REIT requirements. Our determinations are based on interpretation of tax laws, and our conclusions may have an impact on the income tax expense recognized. We believe that we have operated our business so as to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and we intend to continue to operate in such a manner, but no assurance can be given that we will be able to qualify at all times. Effective October 1, 2012, we began to record income tax expense or benefit with respect to our subsidiary which will be taxed as a Taxable REIT Subsidiary ("TRS") under provisions similar to those applicable to regular corporations. Aside from such income taxes that may be applicable to the taxable income in our TRS, we will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that we continue to qualify as a REIT and make distributions to stockholders equal to or in excess of our taxable income. This treatment substantially eliminates

Table of Contents

the “double taxation” (at the corporate and stockholder levels) that typically applies to corporate dividends. Our failure to continue to qualify under the applicable REIT qualification rules and regulations would cause us to owe state and federal income taxes and would have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

4) The consolidated financial statements include our accounts, the accounts of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and the accounts of joint ventures in which we own a majority voting interest with the ability to control operations and where no substantive participating rights or substantive kick-out rights have been granted to the noncontrolling interests. In addition, we consolidate those entities deemed to be variable interest entities (“VIE”) in which we are determined to be the primary beneficiary. All material inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

We apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) guidance for our arrangements with variable interest entities (“VIEs”) which requires us to identify entities for which control is achieved through means other than voting rights and to determine which business enterprise is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. A VIE is broadly defined as an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (a) the total equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance the entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (i) the ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting or similar rights, (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (c) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests, and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. We consolidate investments in VIEs when we are determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE. We may change our original assessment of a VIE due to events such as modifications of contractual arrangements that affect the characteristics or adequacy of the entity's equity investments at risk and the disposal of all or a portion of an interest held by the primary beneficiary.

5) Real property developed by us is recorded at cost, including the capitalization of interest during construction. The cost of real property investments acquired is allocated to net tangible and identifiable intangible assets based on their respective fair values. Tangible assets primarily consist of land, buildings and improvements. The remaining purchase price is allocated among identifiable intangible assets, if any.

We make estimates as part of our allocation of the purchase price of acquisitions to the various components of the acquisition based upon the relative fair value of each component. The most significant components of our allocations are typically the allocation of fair value to land, equipment, buildings and other improvements, and intangible assets, if any. Our estimates of the values of these components will affect the amount of depreciation and amortization we record over the estimated useful life of the property acquired or the remaining lease term.

New Real Estate Investments

During 2012, we made real estate investments and commitments totaling \$159,457,000 which are listed below and more fully described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

| | Asset Class | Amount | WAIY ¹ |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|
| RIDEA Structure | ALF | \$52,667,000 | 8.39% |
| Purchase and lease | ALF | 29,200,000 | 7.75% |
| Construction commitment | ALF | 27,000,000 | 9.00% |
| Purchase and lease | SLC | 37,120,000 | 8.19% |
| Purchase and lease | SNF | 13,470,000 | 9.00% |
| | | \$159,457,000 | 8.38% |

¹ Weighted Average Investment Yield (Cash Yield) at time of investment

Bickford

On September 30, 2012, we acquired two assisted living/memory care facilities in Kansas totaling 170 units from our current tenant, Bickford. The real estate properties are held in our consolidated subsidiary ("PropCo"), of which we retain an 85% ownership interest with eight other assisted living/memory care facilities that we own. The previous master lease with Bickford was renegotiated so that effective October 1, 2012, the ten facilities are now leased to an unconsolidated operating entity ("OpCo") of which we acquired an 85% ownership interest. For accounting purposes, we treated the arrangement as an asset acquisition which included the purchase of two properties in PropCo and the acquisition of an 85% ownership interest in OpCo which is controlled

31

Table of Contents

by Bickford, or its affiliates. The master lease between PropCo and OpCo is for an initial term of five years, plus renewal options, at an initial annual lease amount of \$7,750,000, of which \$6,008,000 is a guaranteed annual distribution to NHI, plus a 3% annual escalator. The previous master lease to Bickford covering eight facilities provided lease revenue of \$3,660,000 per year at the time of the transaction. We structured our arrangement with Bickford to be compliant with the provisions of the REIT Investment Diversification and Empowerment Act of 2007 ("RIDEA") which permits NHI to receive rent payments through a triple-net lease between PropCo and OpCo, and to give NHI the opportunity to capture additional value on the improving performance of the operating company through distributions to a Taxable REIT Subsidiary ("TRS"). Accordingly, the TRS holds our 85% equity interest in OpCo in order to provide an organizational structure that will allow the TRS to engage in a broad range of activities and share in revenues that would otherwise be non-qualifying income under the REIT gross income tests. Our description of the consideration given to Bickford and the fair value received by NHI is provided in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

In conjunction with the transaction described above, Bickford granted us the exclusive right to their future acquisitions, development projects and refinancing transactions. At December 31, 2012, we had purchased land and begun construction on three assisted living facilities having a maximum cost of \$27,000,000. Our costs incurred to date were \$4,016,000.

PropCo and OpCo were established to grant an 85% and 15% equity interest in each entity to NHI and Bickford, respectively. At PropCo, all operations encompassing ordinary-course-of-business activities are under NHI's management as the managing member. OpCo's activities are managed through an "eligible independent contractor" affiliated with, appointed under, and subject to the oversight of Bickford. This organizational structure is designed to meet the requirements of Internal Revenue Code regulations for TRS entities. At PropCo, certain major business decisions require Bickford's consent in order to protect its interests, therefore leaving control with NHI. Bickford is the managing member of OpCo, although NHI has retained certain noncontrolling rights. As a result of Bickford's retention of operations oversight and control over all day-to-day business matters, our participative influence at OpCo does not amount to control of the entity. Because of our control of ordinary-course-of-business activities in PropCo, we include its assets, liabilities, and operations in our consolidated financial statements. We report our investment in OpCo, over whose operating and financial policies we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, under the equity method of accounting. For the year ended December 31, 2012, OpCo made lease payments to PropCo of \$1,937,500 and our equity in the earnings of OpCo was \$45,000.

We believe that this TRS structure will facilitate certain future acquisitions of senior housing assets and permit us to share in revenues that would otherwise be non-qualifying income under the REIT gross income tests. However, our decision to acquire future assisted living or skilled nursing assets using the TRS structure will depend upon our ability to negotiate purchase terms and structure our involvement in a way that complies with the rules governing REITs and that is economically beneficial to our shareholders.

Other Lease Activity

In June 2012, due to material noncompliance with our lease terms, we terminated our lease with a former tenant of four assisted living and memory care facilities in Minnesota and transitioned the lease to a new tenant. The unplanned transition to a new tenant resulted in a write-off for accounting purposes of \$963,000 in straight-line rent receivables, \$126,000 in billed receivables and \$171,000 in legal and other expenses. The former lease provided for a current annual lease amount of \$2,204,000. The facilities contain a total of 126 units, are four to eight years old, and are now being leased to affiliates of White Pine Senior Living ("White Pine") for an initial term of 13 years at an annual lease amount of \$2,338,000 plus annual fixed escalators. The first six months of the lease contains additional supplemental rent payments totaling \$410,000. Our rental income, regardless of the timing of scheduled payments, is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease and amounted to \$1,643,000 through December 31, 2012.

Our leases are typically structured as "triple net leases" on single-tenant properties having an initial leasehold term of 10 to 15 years with one or more 5-year renewal options. As such, there may be interim or annual reporting periods in which we do not experience a lease renewal or expiration. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we renewed one lease. The expiring lease on a hospital facility had an original term of 15 years and an annual lease payment of \$53,138 per bed. The new lease agreement is for an initial period of 10 years, plus one 5-year renewal option and, based on current market and property specific factors, requires an annual lease payment of \$46,364 per bed. In December 2012, we entered into an extension to the Master Lease Agreement with NHC through December 2026.

Planned or Completed Dispositions of Certain Real Estate

Table of Contents

In December 2012, our tenant, Sunrise Senior Living, exercised its purchase option to acquire for \$23,000,000 in cash our assisted living facility in Edison, NJ which had a carrying value of \$11,009,000. We deferred recognition of the tax gain on the sale of this facility by utilizing the like-kind exchange rules under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code.

In December 2012, we entered into a letter of agreement with our tenant and major customer, NHC, to sell six older skilled nursing facilities for \$21,000,000 in cash. The sale is to be completed on December 31, 2013. Effective January 1, 2014, NHI's annual base rent of \$33,700,000 will be reduced to \$30,750,000.

In January 2011, we completed the sale of a skilled nursing facility in Texas having a carrying value of \$4,039,000 for cash proceeds of \$4,500,000. In February 2011, we completed the sale of two medical office buildings having a carrying value of \$3,433,000 for cash proceeds of \$5,271,000. In August 2011, we completed the sale of a 60-unit assisted living facility located in Daytona Beach, Florida with a carrying value of \$2,152,000 for cash proceeds of \$3,200,000.

In September 2012, we canceled our agreement to sell five skilled nursing facilities in Texas to our current tenant. This portfolio no longer meets accounting criteria as being held for sale, and we have reclassified to the land and buildings accounts \$29,381,000 previously reported in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as assets held for sale. In the third quarter of 2012, we recorded \$2,398,000 in depreciation expense, which is the expense that would have been recognized as depreciation on these properties had the disposal group been continuously classified as held and used. We recorded no impairment.

We have reclassified the results of operations of facilities sold or held for sale at December 31, 2012 as discontinued operations for all periods presented in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Investments in Mortgage and Other Notes Receivable

In April 12, 2012, we entered into a three-year loan to provide Capital Funding Group, Inc. (CFG) up to \$15,000,000 to be used as mezzanine financing for its bridge-to-HUD lending program. Outstanding borrowings were \$15,000,000 at December 31, 2012. This loan requires monthly payments of interest only at an annual rate of 13.5%.

During 2012, we committed loans to other borrowers of \$4,000,000 of which the outstanding balance was \$1,036,000 at December 31, 2012.

Real Estate and Mortgage Notes Receivable Write-downs and Recoveries

Our borrowers and tenants experience periods of significant financial pressures and difficulties similar to other health care providers. Governments at both the federal and state levels have enacted legislation to lower or at least slow the growth in payments to health care providers. Furthermore, the costs of professional liability insurance have increased significantly during this same period.

Since inception, a number of our facility operators and mortgage loan borrowers have experienced bankruptcy. Others have been forced to surrender properties to us in lieu of foreclosure or for certain periods have failed to make timely payments on their obligations to us.

The following table summarizes our write-downs and recoveries for the last three years for both continuing and discontinued operations (in thousands):

| Years ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|
| 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |

Mortgage Notes:

| | | | |
|-------------|------------|---------|----------|
| Impairments | \$2,300 | \$— | \$— |
| Recoveries | (4,495) | (99) | (573) |
| | \$(2,195) | \$(99) | \$(573) |

In December 2012, we received from our current borrower a payment of \$13,830,000 in full settlement of our note receivable secured by three skilled nursing facilities in Georgia formerly owned by Allgood Healthcare, Inc. The payment consisted of note principal of \$4,650,000, accrued interest of \$80,000, a recovery of a previous writedown of \$4,495,000, and a note gain of \$4,605,000 related to an equity participation in the loan. The recovery and gain are included in separate components of our income from continuing operations in our Consolidated Statements of Income. These facilities had previously been involved in bankruptcy proceedings with the former owner, Allgood.

Table of Contents

In the third quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$2,300,000 impairment on a note receivable from SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc. which had a carrying value of \$19,037,000 at December 31, 2012. The impairment was based on NHI's continuing collection history with SeniorTrust and was estimated consistently with our policy of using discounted cash flow techniques at an interest rate explicit in the note. See our discussion below, under Potential Effects of Reductions in Medicare Reimbursement, for additional information on this write-down.

During 2011, we received a payment of \$99,000 as a recovery of a previous note write-down. The payment resulted from the full payoff of a note receivable related to a SNF in Oklahoma.

During 2010, we received payments totaling \$573,000 as a recovery of previous mortgage note write-downs. The payments resulted from the settlement of bankruptcy proceedings related to our former borrower Algood to whom we had originally provided mortgage note financing for five SNFs in Georgia.

We believe that the carrying amounts of our real estate properties are recoverable and our mortgage notes receivable are realizable and supported by the value of the underlying collateral. However, it is possible that future events could require us to make significant adjustments to these carrying amounts.

Security Writedowns and Recoveries

A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale security below cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in an impairment to reduce the carrying amount to fair value. The impairment is charged to operations and a new cost basis for the security is established. To determine whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, we consider whether we have the ability and intent to hold the investment until a market price recovery and consider whether evidence indicating the cost of the investment is recoverable outweighs evidence to the contrary. Evidence considered in this assessment includes the reasons for the impairment, the severity and duration of the impairment, changes in value subsequent to a reporting date and forecasted performance of the investment.

At December 31, 2012, we concluded there were no other-than-temporary impairments of our marketable securities.

During 2011, we sold 381,000 shares of LTC Properties, Inc. ("LTC") for an average price of \$29.00 per share. As a result, we recorded \$8,655,000 as a gain on the sale, \$2,732,000 of which was a recovery of a previously recorded other-than-temporary impairment.

Potential Effects of Reductions in Medicare Reimbursement

In July 2012, CMS announced the final rule for fiscal 2013 that increased Medicare payments to SNF operators by a net 1.8% for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. The final rule for 2013 does not take into account the ongoing attempt by Congress to reduce the federal deficit by \$1.5 trillion over the next decade as required by the Budget Control Act of 2011. The failure of Congress to agree on spending reductions to meet deficit goals would trigger automatic spending cuts of 2% to Medicare. We currently estimate the majority of our borrowers and lessees will be able to withstand the potential Medicare cut described above due to their credit quality, profitability and their debt or lease coverage ratios, although no assurances can be given as to what the ultimate effect such Medicare cuts will have on each of our borrowers and lessees. However, as discussed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, our not-for-profit borrowers SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc. and ElderTrust of Florida, Inc. have appointed receivers to effect the sale of their assets and the winding up of the affairs of those entities. These two mortgage borrowers have been adversely impacted by previous Medicare cuts due to their payor mix, their current payment coverage ratios and limited net equity. The impact of further reduction in Medicare reimbursement could negatively affect their ability to make full scheduled payments to us and could have an adverse effect on the value of the underlying real estate assets.

We have been in discussions with these borrowers regarding the possible sale of their real estate assets. The mortgage notes receivable from the borrowers have an aggregate principal balance of \$33,029,000 at December 31, 2012 and interest income amounted to \$2,067,000 for the year then ended.

We evaluate the carrying value of our mortgage notes receivable on an instrument-by-instrument basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our notes receivable for the effects of events or circumstances, including the non-receipt of contractual principal and interest payments, significant deterioration of the financial condition of the borrower or significant adverse changes in general economic conditions, that indicate the carrying value of a note receivable may not be recoverable. If necessary, an impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the discounted cash flows expected to be received under the note receivable or, if foreclosure is probable, the fair value of the collateral securing the note receivable.

Table of Contents

As we have continued to receive interest-only payments on the SeniorTrust mortgage note receivables, we have based our cash flow analysis on probability-weighted alternatives ranging from continuation of the status quo to partial collection with cessation of interest. Accordingly, as described above under “Real Estate and Mortgage Notes Receivable Write-downs and Recoveries,” we recognized the impairment to the carrying value of the SeniorTrust note in the amount of \$2,300,000. As discussed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements, representatives of SeniorTrust and ElderTrust have filed lawsuits against NHI. The company has denied these claims and intends to vigorously defend against these complaints.

We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying value of our real estate properties on a property-by-property basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our properties for events or circumstances that indicate the carrying value of the property may not be recoverable. The need to recognize an impairment is based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows from a property compared to the carrying value of that property. If recognition of an impairment is necessary, it is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the property exceeds the fair value of the property.

We believe that the carrying amounts of our real estate properties are recoverable and that mortgage notes receivable are realizable and supported by the value of the underlying collateral. However, it is possible that future events could require us to make significant adjustments to these carrying amounts. We recognize interest income in the period in which cash is received on certain mortgage notes receivable which were previously classified as non-performing. These notes have an aggregate carrying value of \$1,258,000 at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The results of operations for facilities included in assets held for sale or sold, including the gain or loss on such sales, have been reported in the current and prior periods as discontinued operations. The reclassifications to retrospectively reflect the disposition of these facilities had no impact on previously reported net income.

The significant items affecting revenues and expenses are described below (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | | Period Change | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | \$ | % | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Rental income | | | | | |
| SNFs leased to Legend Healthcare | \$11,099 | \$6,512 | \$4,587 | 70.4 | % |
| ALFs leased to Bickford Senior Living | 4,646 | 3,485 | 1,161 | 33.3 | % |
| SLCs leased to SP Silverdale | 744 | — | 744 | NM | |
| ALFs leased to Senior Living Management | 3,703 | 3,239 | 464 | 14.3 | % |
| ALFs leased to Selah Management Group | 1,391 | 843 | 548 | 65.0 | % |
| Other new and existing leases | 59,707 | 58,141 | 1,566 | 2.7 | % |
| | 81,290 | 72,220 | 9,070 | 12.6 | % |
| Straight-line rent adjustments, new and existing leases | 3,825 | 3,858 | (33) | (0.9) |)% |
| Total Rental Income | 85,115 | 76,078 | 9,037 | 11.9 | % |
| Interest from mortgage and other notes | | | | | |
| Capital Funding Group | 626 | 70 | 556 | NM | |
| Other new and existing mortgages | 6,800 | 6,582 | 218 | 3.3 | % |
| Total Interest Income from Mortgage and Other Notes | 7,426 | 6,652 | 774 | 11.6 | % |
| Investment income and other | 4,412 | 4,483 | (71) | (1.6) |)% |
| Total Revenue | 96,953 | 87,213 | 9,740 | 11.2 | % |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Depreciation | | | | | |
| SNFs leased to Fundamental reclassified to continuing operations | 2,616 | — | 2,616 | NM | |
| SNFs leased to Legend Healthcare | 1,923 | 277 | 1,646 | NM | |
| Other new and existing assets | 12,040 | 11,272 | 768 | 6.8 | % |
| Total Depreciation | 16,579 | 11,549 | 5,030 | 43.6 | % |
| Interest expense: | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement | — | 1,197 | (1,197) | NM | |
| Interest expense and amortization of loan costs | 3,492 | 2,651 | 841 | 31.7 | % |
| Total Interest Expense | 3,492 | 3,848 | (356) | (9.3) |)% |
| Loan and realty losses (recoveries) | (2,195) | (99) | (2,096) | NM | |
| Share-based compensation expense | 2,168 | 3,087 | (919) | (29.8) |)% |
| Salaries, wages & benefits | 3,334 | 2,863 | 471 | 16.5 | % |
| Other expenses | 3,834 | 3,034 | 800 | 26.4 | % |
| | 27,212 | 24,282 | 2,930 | 12.1 | % |
| Income before unconsolidated entity, gains on sales of marketable securities, discontinued operations and noncontrolling interest | 69,741 | 62,931 | 6,810 | 10.8 | % |
| Income from unconsolidated entity | 45 | — | 45 | NM | |
| Investment and other gains | 4,877 | 10,261 | (5,384) | (52.5) |)% |
| Income from continuing operations | 74,663 | 73,192 | 1,471 | 2.0 | % |
| Income from discontinued operations | 4,269 | 4,592 | (323) | (7.0) |)% |
| Net gain on sale of real estate | 11,966 | 3,348 | 8,618 | NM | |
| Net income | 90,898 | 81,132 | 9,766 | 12.0 | % |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|---|
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (167 |) — | (167 |) |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$90,731 | \$81,132 | \$9,599 | |

NM - not meaningful

36

Table of Contents

Financial highlights of the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 were as follows:

Rental income increased \$9,037,000 or 11.9% when compared to the same period in the prior year primarily as a result of funding new real estate investments of \$75,806,000 in 2011 and \$129,851,000 in 2012, including debt assumed in our arrangement with Bickford. Future increases in rental income depend on our ability to make new investments which meet our underwriting criteria.

Interest income from mortgage and other notes increased \$774,000 due to new loan investments of \$6,566,000 funded in 2011 and \$16,035,000 funded in 2012. Unless we continue to make new investments in loans in 2013 and future years, our interest income will decrease due to the normal amortization and scheduled maturities of our loans.

Depreciation expense increased \$5,030,000 or 43.6% primarily as the result of \$2,616,000 included in 2012 for the facilities leased to Fundamental which were reclassified from discontinued operations after the agreement to sell the facilities was canceled. The adjustment to depreciation expense of \$2,398,000 would have been recognized in 2012 and in prior years as depreciation on these properties had the disposal group been continuously classified as held and used. Facilities purchased and leased to Legend Healthcare in 2011 and 2012 increased depreciation expense by \$1,646,000.

Interest expense related to our borrowings on a bank credit facility to fund new real estate and loan investments. Upfront fees and other loan-related costs are amortized over the term of the credit facility. The \$1,197,000 decrease in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreement increased interest expense in 2011 since the agreement, which was terminated in 2011, did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. In 2012, adjustments totaling \$1,241,000 reflecting the change in fair value of our cash flow hedge were recorded in Other Comprehensive Income and not as a component of operations as the new interest rate swap qualified for hedge accounting. An increase in interest payments and loan cost amortization of \$841,000 resulted from expanded borrowings, offset by a lower LIBOR underlying our floating-rate debt in 2012. We expect to fund future healthcare real estate investments with borrowings from our bank credit facility and possibly longer-term U.S. Government agency debt, thereby increasing our interest expense.

Our net loan and realty recoveries of \$2,195,000 in 2012 reflect a recovery of \$4,495,000 on mortgage notes receivable, net of the SeniorTrust mortgage note impairment of \$2,300,000 as discussed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. NHI's continuing collection history with SeniorTrust, and the deterioration of the financial condition and creditworthiness of the borrower indicated that the carrying value of the mortgage note receivable was not recoverable.

Share-based compensation expense decreased in 2012 based upon lower stock volatility which is a key input to the Black-Scholes pricing model for determining the market value of our stock options granted to directors and employees. The value of the options is expensed over the vesting period of the individual grants.

Investment and other gains in 2012 includes income of \$4,605,000 related to an equity participation formula as part of a mortgage note payoff in December from one of our borrowers.

One of our subsidiaries (1) reached a settlement regarding the final tax return for the operations acquired through foreclosure, and (2) agreed to settle a claim for personal injury in relation to a matter which arose prior to our acquisition of the subsidiary. These settlements resulted in charges against income in 2012 in the amounts of \$180,000 and \$275,000, respectively, and are included in other expenses.

Table of Contents

The significant items affecting revenues and expenses are described below (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | | Period Change | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------------|----------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Rental income | | | | | |
| ALFs leased to Senior Living Management | \$3,239 | \$2,052 | \$1,187 | 57.9 | % |
| SNFs leased to Legend Healthcare | 6,512 | 5,624 | 888 | 15.8 | % |
| ALFs leased to Selah Management Group | 843 | — | 843 | NM | |
| SNFs leased to National HealthCare Corp. | 32,619 | 31,985 | 634 | 2.0 | % |
| SNFs leased to Health Services Management | 6,247 | 5,683 | 564 | 9.9 | % |
| ALFs leased to Bickford Senior Living | 3,485 | 2,922 | 563 | NM | |
| ALFs leased to Emeritus Senior Living | 3,454 | 4,907 | (1,453) | (29.6) | % |
| Other new and existing leases | 15,821 | 14,346 | 1,475 | 10.3 | % |
| | 72,220 | 67,519 | 4,701 | 7.0 | % |
| Straight-line rent adjustments, new and existing leases | 3,858 | 3,398 | 460 | 13.5 | % |
| Total Rental Income | 76,078 | 70,917 | 5,161 | 7.3 | % |
| Interest from mortgage and other notes | | | | | |
| Care Foundation of America, Inc. | — | 560 | (560) | (100.0) | % |
| Santé Mesa, LLC | 1,082 | 298 | 784 | NM | |
| Other new and existing mortgages | 5,570 | 5,885 | (315) | (5.4) | % |
| Total Interest Income from Mortgage and Other Notes | 6,652 | 6,743 | (91) | (1.3) | % |
| Investment income and other | 4,483 | 4,919 | (436) | (8.9) | % |
| Total Revenue | 87,213 | 82,579 | 4,634 | 5.6 | % |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Depreciation: | | | | | |
| SNFs leased to Fundamental reclassified to continuing operations | 278 | — | 278 | NM | |
| SNFs leased to Legend Healthcare | 277 | — | 277 | NM | |
| ALFs leased to Bickford Senior Living | 1,016 | 869 | 147 | 16.9 | % |
| Other new and existing assets | 9,978 | 9,732 | 246 | 2.5 | % |
| Total Depreciation | 11,549 | 10,601 | 948 | 8.9 | % |
| Interest expense: | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement | 1,197 | — | 1,197 | NM | |
| Interest expense and amortization of loan costs | 2,651 | 1,552 | 1,099 | 70.8 | % |
| Total Interest Expense | 3,848 | 1,552 | 2,296 | 147.9 | % |
| General and administrative: | | | | | |
| Share-based compensation | 3,087 | 2,368 | 719 | 30.4 | % |
| Transaction costs related to CFA business combination | — | 490 | (490) | (100.0) | % |
| Other general and administrative | 4,501 | 4,936 | (435) | (8.8) | % |
| Total general and administrative | 7,588 | 7,794 | (206) | | |
| Loan and realty losses (recoveries) | (99) | (573) | 474 | NM | |
| Other expenses | 1,396 | 1,691 | (295) | (17.4) | % |
| | 24,282 | 21,065 | 3,217 | 15.3 | % |
| Income before unconsolidated entity, gains on sales of marketable securities, discontinued operations and noncontrolling interest | 62,931 | 61,514 | 1,417 | 2.3 | % |
| Investment and other gains | 10,261 | 272 | 9,989 | (98.9) | % |
| Income from continuing operations | 73,192 | 61,786 | 11,406 | 18.5 | % |
| Income from discontinued operations | 4,592 | 5,631 | (1,039) | NM | |
| Net gain on sale of real estate | 3,348 | 2,004 | 1,344 | NM | |
| Net income | 81,132 | 69,421 | 11,711 | 16.9 | % |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|------|---|
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | NM | |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$81,132 | \$69,421 | \$11,711 | 16.9 | % |

NM - not meaningful

38

Table of Contents

Financial highlights of the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 were as follows:

Rental income increased \$5,161,000 or 7.3% when compared to the same period in the prior year primarily as a result of funding new real estate investments of \$75,806,000 in 2011 and \$121,672,000 in 2010. Rental income for 2010 included \$1,520,000 of past due rent received and recognized into income from RGL Development, the former tenant of eight ALFs which are currently leased to Emeritus Senior Living. Future increases in rental income depend on our ability to make new investments which meet our underwriting criteria.

Interest income from mortgage and other notes decreased \$91,000 due to normal amortization, final maturity or early payoffs of our mortgage loans of \$4,540,000, but was partially offset by interest earned on new mortgage loan investments of \$12,422,000 funded in 2010 and \$6,566,000 funded in 2011.

Depreciation expense increased \$948,000 or 8.9% primarily due to new real estate investments made in 2011 and 2010.

Interest expense on the revolving credit facility resulted from our borrowings to fund new real estate and mortgage note investments. Upfront fees and other loan-related costs are amortized over the term of the credit facility. Amortization expense for 2011 increased as the result of the early pay-off of the previous credit facility on November 1, 2011. Refer to the notes to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. On November 1, 2011, we paid \$1,197,000, which was the cumulative decline in value, as settlement of our interest rate swap agreement upon termination.

- Share-based compensation expense increased \$719,000 or 30.4% when compared to the same period in the prior year due to an increase in the volatility of our stock price, which is an input to our valuation model. The market value for all stock option awards is estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model and is expensed over the vesting period of the individual grants.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Funds

Our primary sources of cash include rent payments, principal and interest payments on mortgage and other notes receivable, dividends received on our investments in the common and preferred shares of other REITs, proceeds from the sales of real property and borrowings from our term loans and revolving credit facility. Our primary uses of cash include dividend distributions to our shareholders, debt service payments (including principal and interest), new investments in real estate and notes and general corporate overhead.

These sources and uses of cash are reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows as summarized below (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended | | One Year Change | | | Year Ended | | One Year Change | |
|--|------------|------------|-----------------|---------|---|------------|----------|-----------------|---|
| | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2011 | \$ | % | | 12/31/2010 | \$ | % | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 15,886 | 2,664 | 13,222 | 496.3 | % | 45,718 | (43,054) | (94.2) | % |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 86,266 | 76,854 | 9,412 | 12.2 | % | 77,544 | (690) | (0.9) | % |
| Cash used in investing activities | (99,810) | (55,474) | (44,336) | 79.9 | % | (92,029) | 36,555 | (39.7) | % |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 6,830 | (8,158) | 14,988 | (183.7) | % | (28,569) | 20,411 | (71.4) | % |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | 9,172 | 15,886 | (6,714) | (42.3) | % | 2,664 | 13,222 | 496.3 | % |

Operating Activities – Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased as a result of the collection of lease payments on real estate investments funded during 2011 and 2012 as well as the timing of collection of accounts receivable and the payment of accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Investing Activities – Net cash flows used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased as compared to the prior year primarily due to \$34,795,000 more in investments in real estate properties funded during 2012 compared to 2011. Additionally, during 2012, we received \$22,975,000 as proceeds from the sale of real estate properties, as described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Financing Activities – Net cash flows from financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 consisted primarily of \$86,700,000 in net borrowings on our bank credit facility, which was partially offset by \$78,925,000 in dividends paid to stockholders. Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 consisted primarily of \$67,893,000 in dividends paid to stockholders which was partially offset by \$59,535,000 in net borrowings on our bank credit facility.

Liquidity

At December 31, 2012, our liquidity was strong, with \$158,056,000 available in cash, highly-liquid marketable securities and borrowing capacity on our revolving credit facility. In addition, our investment in LTC preferred stock is convertible into 2,000,000 shares of common stock which had a closing price of \$35.19 per share on December 31, 2012. Cash proceeds from lease and mortgage collections, loan payoffs and the recovery of previous write-downs have been distributed as dividends to stockholders, used to retire our indebtedness, and accumulated in bank deposits for the purpose of making new real estate and mortgage loan investments.

Our liquidity in cash accounts and other readily marketable securities (traded on public exchanges) is a result of our normal operating cash flows from core business investments in leases and notes as shown in our consolidated financial statements.

On May 1, 2012, we entered into a \$320,000,000 unsecured credit facility that includes \$120,000,000 of combined five-year and seven-year term loans that were drawn immediately at closing to pay down our revolving credit borrowings and for other corporate purposes. The facility also includes an uncommitted incremental facility feature allowing for an additional \$130,000,000 of borrowings. The credit facility was provided by Wells Fargo, Bank of Montreal, KeyBank, and Bank of America, with Pinnacle National Bank as a participating bank. The credit facility provides for (1) unsecured, revolving borrowings of up to \$200,000,000 with interest at 140 basis points over LIBOR and a maturity of 5 years (inclusive of an embedded 1 year extension option); (2) an \$80,000,000 unsecured, five-year term loan with interest at 140 basis points over LIBOR; and (3) a \$40,000,000 unsecured, 7-year term loan with interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR. The unused commitment fee is 35 basis points per annum. The credit facility amended a 4-year \$200,000,000 unsecured revolving credit facility entered into on November 1, 2011, that provided for interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR and an unused commitment fee of 35 basis points per annum. In connection with the modification of our credit facility, we paid \$753,000 in debt issuance costs which is amortized over the life of the amended facility. At December 31, 2012, we had bank term loan borrowings of \$120,000,000 and \$64,000,000 outstanding on our revolving credit

Table of Contents

facility, and we had \$136,000,000 available to draw on the facility. Quoted 30-day LIBOR was 22 basis points on December 31, 2012.

As part of the Bickford arrangement, Bickford contributed two facilities subject to a \$19,250,000 mortgage with KeyBank. The loan, which matures on November 22, 2013, provides for interest at 300 basis points over LIBOR (effective interest rate was 3.22% at December 31, 2012).

In conjunction with the closing of our credit facility on May 1, 2012, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to fix the interest rate at 3.04% on the \$40,000,000 seven-year term loan. The critical terms of this swap agreement are essentially identical to those of the seven-year term loan, and thus, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, the interest rate swap agreement is considered a perfectly effective "cash-flow hedge." Accordingly, changes in the fair value of this cash-flow hedge are included in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. In the Consolidated Balance Sheets, if an asset, the fair value of the hedge is included in deferred costs and other assets, and, if a liability, as a component of accrued expenses.

At December 31, 2012, the fair value of the swap agreement of \$1,241,000 is included as a component of our accrued expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the \$1,241,000 change in the fair value of the interest rate swap from May 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 is included in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

We intend to comply with REIT dividend requirements that we distribute at least 90% of our annual taxable income to stockholders. It has been our practice to distribute 100% of our taxable income, including taxable capital gains, in the form of dividends to stockholders. We declared total dividends (regular and special) of \$2.86 per common share in 2012, \$2.715 per common share in 2011, and \$2.36 per common share in 2010. Dividends declared for the fourth quarter of each fiscal year are paid by the end of the following January and are treated for tax purposes as having been paid in the fiscal year just ended as provided in IRS Code Sec. 857(b)(8). The 2012 and 2011 dividends declared included special dividends of \$.22 common share. We declare special dividends when we compute our REIT taxable income in an amount that exceeds our regular dividends for the fiscal year.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We currently have no outstanding guarantees or letters of credit.

Contractual Obligations and Contingent Liabilities

As of December 31, 2012, our contractual payment obligations and contingent liabilities are more fully described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements and were as follows (in thousands):

| | Total | Year 1 | Year 2-3 | Year 4-5 |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Debt, including interest ⁽¹⁾ | \$181,184 | \$23,893 | \$8,050 | \$149,241 |
| Loan commitments | 15,014 | 15,014 | — | — |
| Construction commitments | 10,478 | 10,478 | — | — |
| Real estate purchase liabilities | 4,256 | 4,256 | — | — |
| Capital improvements | 146 | 146 | — | — |
| | \$211,078 | \$53,787 | \$8,050 | \$149,241 |

(1) Interest is calculated based on the interest rate at December 31, 2012 through maturity of the 5-year and 7-year term loans, the revolving credit facility, and the mortgages assumed in our arrangement with Bickford, based on the balances outstanding as of December 31, 2012. The calculation also includes an unused commitment fee of .35%.

Commitments and Contingencies

Bickford

In conjunction with the Bickford arrangement, Bickford granted us the exclusive right to their future acquisitions, development projects and refinancing transactions. At December 31, 2012, we had purchased land and begun construction on three assisted living facilities having a maximum cost of \$27,000,000. Our costs incurred to date were \$4,016,000. At stabilization of operations, the operating company, OpCo, will pay an annual lease rate of 9% on the total cost of the new facilities, plus an annual fixed escalator. In a separate arrangement with Bickford, NHI has provided a three-year, \$3,000,000 revolving credit facility to Bickford to fund the market feasibility and pre-construction costs related to the new development projects. Outstanding borrowings on the facility amounted to \$36,000 at December 31, 2012.

41

Table of Contents

Kentucky River

In March 2012, we entered into a long-term lease extension and construction commitment to Jackson Hospital Corporation, an affiliate of Community Health Systems, to provide up to \$8,000,000 for extensive renovations and additions to our Kentucky River Medical Center ("Kentucky River"), a general acute care hospital in Jackson, Kentucky. This investment will be added to the lease basis on which the lease amount is calculated. The construction project is expected to commence by the end of 2013 and continue over two years. The lease extension is for an initial period of 10 years beginning July 1, 2012, plus one 5-year renewal option.

Legend Healthcare

In October 2011, we completed a purchase/leaseback of four skilled nursing facilities in Texas with affiliates of Legend Healthcare, LLC ("Legend") for \$55,278,000 which included contingent consideration of \$5,478,000. In December 2012, as a result of Legend's attainment of certain required operating metrics, we funded \$2,222,000 of this contingent consideration. We expect the remaining \$3,256,000 to be funded prior to December 31, 2014.

Santé

In June 2010, we entered into a construction loan commitment to provide up to \$13,870,000 to Santé Mesa, LLC ("Santé") for the development and construction of a 70-bed transitional rehabilitation hospital with a skilled nursing license in Mesa, Arizona. The facility opened in March 2011. The \$13,870,000 commitment includes an unfunded \$2,000,000 supplemental draw available to the borrower when the facility achieves certain operating metrics. NHI also has the option to purchase and lease back the hospital when it achieves a predetermined level of stabilized net operating income.

We have committed to fund a \$3,500,000 expansion and renovation program in connection with our acquisition of the senior living campus in Silverdale, Washington leased to Santé.

Helix Healthcare

In March 2010, we completed a purchase/leaseback transaction with Helix Healthcare ("Helix") for \$12,500,000. The purchase price includes a conditional payment of \$1,000,000.

Capital Funding Group

We have agreed to fund up to \$15,000,000 on a three-year revolving credit facility with Capital Funding Group ("CFG") with monthly payments of interest only at an annual rate of 13.5%. At December 31, 2012, we had a principal balance of \$15,000,000 on our note with CFG.

We believe we have sufficient liquidity and access to capital to fund the commitments described above and to make new investments in health care real estate.

Table of Contents

Funds From Operations

Our funds from operations (“FFO”) for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$5,246,000 or 5.9% over the same period in 2011. Our normalized FFO for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$8,311,000 or 10.4% over the same period in 2011, primarily as the result of the impact of our new real estate investments funded in 2012 and 2011. FFO, as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) and applied by us, is net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of real estate property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures, if any. Diluted FFO per common share assumes the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock using the treasury stock method. Normalized FFO excludes from FFO certain items which, due to their infrequent or unpredictable nature, may create some difficulty in comparing FFO for the current period to similar prior periods, and may include, but are not limited to, impairment of assets, gains and losses attributable to the acquisition and disposition of assets and liabilities, recoveries of previous write-downs, and changes in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements for those agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting.

We believe that FFO and normalized FFO are important supplemental measures of operating performance for a REIT. Because the historical cost accounting convention used for real estate assets requires depreciation (except on land), such accounting presentation implies that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen and fallen with market conditions, presentations of operating results for a REIT that uses historical cost accounting for depreciation could be less informative, and should be supplemented with a measure such as FFO. The term FFO was designed by the REIT industry to address this issue. Our measure may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other REITs. Consequently, our FFO may not provide a meaningful measure of our performance as compared to that of other REITs. Since other REITs may not use our definition of FFO, caution should be exercised when comparing our Company’s FFO to that of other REITs. FFO in and of itself does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) (FFO does not include changes in operating assets and liabilities) and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net earnings as an indication of operating performance, or to net cash flow from operating activities as determined by GAAP as a measure of liquidity, and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

The results of operations for facilities included in assets held for sale or facilities sold have been reported in the current and prior periods as discontinued operations. The reclassifications to retrospectively reflect the disposition of these facilities had no impact on previously reported FFO.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net income attributable to common stockholders, the most directly comparable GAAP metric, to FFO and Normalized FFO and is presented for both basic and diluted weighted average shares (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

| | December 31, 2012 | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$90,731 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 |
| Elimination of certain non-cash items in net income: | | | |
| Real estate depreciation in continuing operations | 14,989 | 10,615 | 9,943 |
| Real estate depreciation related to noncontrolling interest | (68) | — | — |
| Real estate depreciation in discontinued operations | 402 | 443 | 590 |
| Net gain on sales of real estate | (11,966) | (3,348) | (2,004) |
| Funds from operations | \$94,088 | \$88,842 | \$77,950 |
| Investment and other gains | (4,760) | (9,899) | — |
| Loan write-downs (recoveries), net | (2,195) | (99) | (573) |
| Non-cash write-off of straight-line rent receivable | 963 | — | — |
| Write-offs and expenses due to early lease termination | 297 | — | — |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement | — | 1,197 | — |
| Collection and recognition of past due rent | — | — | (1,520) |
| Recognition of deferred credits | — | — | 378 |
| Legal settlements | 365 | — | — |
| Other items | (271) | 135 | 248 |
| Normalized FFO | \$88,487 | \$80,176 | \$76,483 |
| BASIC | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 |
| FFO per common share | \$3.38 | \$3.21 | \$2.82 |
| Normalized FFO per common share | \$3.18 | \$2.89 | \$2.76 |
| DILUTED | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 27,838,720 | 27,792,592 | 27,732,959 |
| FFO per common share | \$3.38 | \$3.20 | \$2.81 |
| Normalized FFO per common share | \$3.18 | \$2.88 | \$2.76 |

Funds Available for Distribution

Our funds available for distribution (“FAD”) for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$5,078,000 or 5.7% over the same period in 2011. Our normalized FAD for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$7,180,000 or 8.9% over the same period in 2011 due primarily to the impact of real estate investments funded in 2011 and 2012. FAD represents net earnings available to common stockholders, excluding the effects of asset dispositions and straight-line rent adjustments, plus depreciation, stock based compensation and changes in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreement, if any. Diluted FAD per common share assumes the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock using the treasury stock method. Normalized FAD excludes from FAD certain items which, due to their infrequent or unpredictable nature, may create some difficulty in comparing FAD for the current period to similar prior periods, and may include, but are not limited to, impairment of assets, gains and losses attributable to the acquisition and disposition of assets and liabilities, recoveries of previous write-downs, and changes in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements for those agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Since other REITs may not use our definition of FAD, caution should be exercised when comparing our Company’s FAD to that of other REITs. FAD in and of itself does not represent cash generated from operating activities in

accordance with GAAP (FAD does not include changes in operating assets and liabilities) and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net earnings as an indication of operating performance, or to net cash flow from operating activities as determined by GAAP as a measure of liquidity, and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

The results of operations for facilities included in assets held for sale or facilities sold have been reported in the current and prior periods as discontinued operations. The reclassifications to retrospectively reflect the disposition of these facilities had no impact on previously reported FAD.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net income attributable to common stockholders, the most directly comparable GAAP metric, to FAD and Normalized FAD and is presented for basic and diluted weighted average shares (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$90,731 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 |
| Elimination of certain non-cash items in net income: | | | |
| Depreciation in continuing operations | 16,579 | 11,549 | 10,601 |
| Depreciation related to noncontrolling interest | (87) | — | — |
| Depreciation in discontinued operations | 402 | 443 | 602 |
| Net gain on sales of real estate | (11,966) | (3,348) | (2,004) |
| Straight-line lease revenue, net | (3,664) | (3,778) | (3,140) |
| Non-cash stock based compensation | 2,168 | 3,087 | 2,368 |
| Funds available for distribution | \$94,163 | \$89,085 | \$77,848 |
| Investment and other gains | (4,760) | (9,899) | — |
| Loan write-downs (recoveries), net | (2,195) | (99) | (573) |
| Write-offs and expenses due to early lease termination | 297 | — | — |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement | — | 1,197 | — |
| Collection and recognition of past due rent | — | — | (1,520) |
| Recognition of deferred credits | — | — | 378 |
| Legal settlements | 365 | — | — |
| Other items | (271) | 135 | 248 |
| Normalized FAD | \$87,599 | \$80,419 | \$76,381 |
| BASIC | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 |
| FAD per common share | \$3.39 | \$3.21 | \$2.81 |
| Normalized FAD per common share | \$3.15 | \$2.90 | \$2.76 |
| DILUTED | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 27,838,720 | 27,792,592 | 27,732,959 |
| FAD per common share | \$3.38 | \$3.21 | \$2.81 |
| Normalized FAD per common share | \$3.15 | \$2.89 | \$2.75 |

Impact of Inflation

Inflation may affect us in the future by changing the underlying value of our real estate or by impacting the cost of financing our operations. Our revenues are generated primarily from long-term investments in health care real estate and mortgage loans. Our lessees and borrowers are operators of health care facilities which are directly impacted by inflation in employee-related costs and other facility expenses. Inflation has remained relatively low during recent periods. There can be no assurance that future Medicare, Medicaid or private pay rate increases will be sufficient to offset future inflation increases. Certain of our leases require annual escalators in lease rates based upon increases in the revenues of the tenants.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the impact of new accounting standards.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Interest Rate Risk

At December 31, 2012, we were exposed to market risks related to fluctuations in interest rates on approximately \$163,250,000 of variable-rate indebtedness (excludes \$40,000,000 of variable-rate debt that has been hedged through an interest-rate swap contract) and on our mortgage and other notes receivable. The unused portion (\$136,000,000 at December 31, 2012) of our credit facility, should it be drawn upon, is subject to variable rates.

Table of Contents

Interest rate fluctuations will generally not affect our future earnings or cash flows on our fixed rate debt and loans receivable unless such instruments mature or are otherwise terminated. However, interest rate changes will affect the fair value of our fixed rate instruments. Conversely, changes in interest rates on variable rate debt and investments would change our future earnings and cash flows, but not significantly affect the fair value of those instruments. Assuming a 50 basis point increase or decrease in the interest rate related to variable-rate debt, and assuming no change in the outstanding balance as of December 31, 2012, net interest expense would increase or decrease annually by approximately \$816,000 or \$.03 per common share on a diluted basis.

We use derivative financial instruments in the normal course of business to mitigate interest rate risk. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Derivatives are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value. We may engage in hedging strategies to manage our exposure to market risks in the future, depending on an analysis of the interest rate environment and the costs and risks of such strategies.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our debt (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | | December 31, 2011 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|--------|---|--|
| | Balance | % of total | Rate ¹ | Balance | % of total | Rate | | |
| Fixed rate: | | | | | | | | |
| Unsecured 7-year term loan | \$40,000 | 19.6 | % 3.04 | % \$— | — | % — | % | |
| Variable rate: | | | | | | | | |
| Secured mortgage loan | 19,250 | 9.5 | % 3.22 | % — | — | % — | % | |
| Unsecured 5-year term loan | 80,000 | 39.4 | % 1.62 | % — | — | % — | % | |
| Unsecured revolving credit facility | 64,000 | 31.5 | % 1.62 | % 97,300 | 100.0 | % 2.77 | % | |
| | \$203,250 | 100.0 | % 2.05 | % \$97,300 | 100.0 | % 2.77 | % | |

¹ Total is weighted average rate

The fixed rate debt in the table above reflects the effect of a \$40,000,000 notional amount interest rate swap with an April 2019 maturity that effectively converts variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. To highlight the sensitivity of this fixed rate debt to changes in interest rates, the following summary shows the effects on fair value ("FV") assuming a parallel shift of 50 basis points ("bps") in market interest rates for a contract with similar maturities as of December 31, 2012 (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | Balance | Fair Value ¹ | FV reflecting change in interest rates | |
|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--|----------|
| | | | -50 bps | +50 bps |
| Fixed rate: | | | | |
| Unsecured 7-year term loan | \$40,000 | \$41,241 | \$42,448 | \$40,073 |

¹ The change in fair value of our fixed rate debt was due primarily to the overall change in interest rates.

At December 31, 2012, the fair value of our mortgage loans receivable, discounted for estimated changes in the risk-free rate, was approximately \$93,835,000. A 50 basis point increase in market rates would decrease the estimated fair value of our mortgage loans by approximately \$1,588,000, while a 50 basis point decrease in such rates would increase their estimated fair value by approximately \$1,657,000.

Equity Price Risk

We are exposed to equity price risk, which is the potential change in fair value due to a change in quoted market prices. We account for our investments in marketable securities, with a fair value of \$12,884,000 at December 31,

2012, as available-for-sale securities. Increases and decreases in the fair market value of our investments in other marketable securities are unrealized gains and losses that are presented as a component of other comprehensive income. The investments in marketable securities are recorded at their fair value based on quoted market prices. Thus, there is exposure to equity price risk. We monitor our investments in marketable securities to consider evidence of whether any portion of our original investment is likely not to be recoverable, at which time we would record an impairment charge to operations. A hypothetical 10% change in quoted market prices would result in a related \$1,288,000 change in the fair value of our investments in marketable securities.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders
National Health Investors, Inc.
Murfreesboro, Tennessee

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of National Health Investors, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of National Health Investors, Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), National Health Investors, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated February 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 14, 2013

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Assets: | | |
| Real estate properties: | | |
| Land | \$58,869 | \$49,114 |
| Buildings and improvements | 636,994 | 487,396 |
| Construction in progress | 2,673 | 4,983 |
| | 698,536 | 541,493 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (163,146 |) (146,698 |
| Real estate properties, net | 535,390 | 394,795 |
| Mortgage and other notes receivable, net | 84,250 | 78,672 |
| Investment in preferred stock, at cost | 38,132 | 38,132 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 9,172 | 15,886 |
| Marketable securities | 12,884 | 11,364 |
| Straight-line rent receivable | 12,370 | 8,706 |
| Deferred costs and other assets | 12,172 | 2,627 |
| Assets held for sale, net | 1,611 | 29,381 |
| Total Assets | \$705,981 | \$579,563 |
| Liabilities and Equity: | | |
| Debt | \$203,250 | \$97,300 |
| Real estate purchase liabilities | 4,256 | 9,478 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 4,301 | 3,483 |
| Dividends payable | 24,793 | 24,144 |
| Deferred income | 1,334 | 1,673 |
| Total Liabilities | 237,934 | 136,078 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| National Health Investors Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 40,000,000 shares authorized; 27,857,217 and 27,751,208 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 279 | 278 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 467,843 | 465,678 |
| Cumulative dividends in excess of net income | (18,495 |) (29,652 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 7,555 | 7,181 |
| Total National Health Investors Stockholders' Equity | 457,182 | 443,485 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 10,865 | — |
| Total Equity | 468,047 | 443,485 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$705,981 | \$579,563 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in thousands except share and per share amounts)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Rental income | \$85,115 | \$76,078 | \$70,917 |
| Interest income from mortgage and other notes | 7,426 | 6,652 | 6,743 |
| Investment income and other | 4,412 | 4,483 | 4,919 |
| | 96,953 | 87,213 | 82,579 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Depreciation | 16,579 | 11,549 | 10,601 |
| Interest expense | 3,492 | 3,848 | 1,552 |
| Legal expense | 766 | 559 | 1,152 |
| Franchise, excise and other taxes | 771 | 837 | 539 |
| General and administrative | 7,799 | 7,588 | 7,794 |
| Loan and realty losses (recoveries) | (2,195) | (99) | (573) |
| | 27,212 | 24,282 | 21,065 |
| Income before unconsolidated entity, investment and other gains, discontinued operations and noncontrolling interest | 69,741 | 62,931 | 61,514 |
| Income from unconsolidated entity | 45 | — | — |
| Investment and other gains | 4,877 | 10,261 | 272 |
| Income from continuing operations | 74,663 | 73,192 | 61,786 |
| Discontinued operations | | | |
| Income from operations - discontinued | 4,269 | 4,592 | 5,631 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | 11,966 | 3,348 | 2,004 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 16,235 | 7,940 | 7,635 |
| Net income | 90,898 | 81,132 | 69,421 |
| Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (167) | — | — |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$90,731 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 |
| Diluted | 27,838,720 | 27,792,592 | 27,732,959 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | |
| Basic: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$2.68 | \$2.64 | \$2.23 |
| Discontinued operations | .58 | .29 | .28 |
| Net income per common share attributable to common stockholders | \$3.26 | \$2.93 | \$2.51 |
| Diluted: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$2.68 | \$2.63 | \$2.23 |
| Discontinued operations | .58 | .29 | .27 |
| Net income per common share attributable to common stockholders | \$3.26 | \$2.92 | \$2.50 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
 (in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$90,898 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains on securities | 1,645 | 1,750 | 1,229 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for gains in net income | (30 |) (9,899 |) (2 |
| Decrease in fair value of cash flow hedge | (1,241 |) — | — |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 374 | (8,149 |) 1,227 |
| Comprehensive income | 91,272 | 72,983 | 70,648 |
| Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (167 |) — | — |
| Comprehensive income attributable to common stockholders | \$91,105 | \$72,983 | \$70,648 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$90,898 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 16,981 | 11,992 | 11,203 |
| Straight-line rental income | (3,664) | (3,778) | (3,140) |
| Non-cash interest income on construction loan | — | (1,082) | (298) |
| Loan and realty losses (recoveries) | (2,195) | (99) | (573) |
| Gain on sales of real estate | (11,966) | (3,348) | (2,004) |
| Gain on payoff of mortgage note | (4,605) | — | — |
| Net realized gains on sales of marketable securities | (30) | (9,899) | — |
| Share-based compensation | 2,168 | 3,087 | 2,368 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement | — | 1,197 | — |
| Income from unconsolidated entity | (45) | — | — |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Deferred costs and other assets | (425) | (2,655) | (643) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (512) | 95 | 634 |
| Deferred income | (339) | 212 | 576 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 86,266 | 76,854 | 77,544 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Investment in mortgage notes receivable | (16,241) | (6,566) | (12,422) |
| Collection of mortgage notes receivable | 17,463 | 4,540 | 9,482 |
| Investment in real estate properties | (110,601) | (75,806) | (98,736) |
| Investment in unconsolidated entity | (8,309) | — | — |
| Payment of real estate purchase liability | (5,222) | — | — |
| Receipt of earnest money deposit | — | 525 | 3,325 |
| Proceeds from dispositions of real estate property | 22,975 | 8,971 | 6,247 |
| Proceeds from sales of marketable securities | 125 | 12,862 | 75 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (99,810) | (55,474) | (92,029) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net change in borrowings under revolving credit facilities | (33,300) | 59,535 | 37,765 |
| Borrowings on term loan | 120,000 | 50,000 | — |
| Debt issuance costs paid | (766) | — | — |
| Principal payments on term loan | — | (50,000) | — |
| Stock options exercised | (2) | 200 | 183 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest | (177) | — | — |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | (78,925) | (67,893) | (66,517) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 6,830 | (8,158) | (28,569) |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (6,714) | 13,222 | (43,054) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 15,886 | 2,664 | 45,718 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$9,172 | \$15,886 | \$2,664 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)
(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Interest paid | \$3,449 | \$3,353 | \$1,061 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Settlement of mortgage note by real estate acquisition | — | — | \$22,936 |
| Cash withheld at closing of real estate acquisition | — | \$5,478 | \$1,000 |
| Discount on mortgage note receivable purchased | — | \$204 | — |
| Assumption of debt in real estate acquisition | \$19,250 | — | — |
| Noncontrolling interest conveyed in acquisition | \$10,964 | — | — |
| Decrease in fair value of cash flow hedge | \$1,241 | — | — |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(in thousands except share and per share amounts)

| | Common Stock | | | Cumulative | Accumulated | Total | Noncontrolling | Total |
|---|--------------|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital in Excess of Par Value | Dividends in Excess of Net Income | Other Comprehensive Income | Health Investors' Stockholders' Equity | Interest | Equity |
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | 27,629,505 | \$ 276 | \$ 459,842 | \$(39,609) | \$ 14,103 | \$ 434,612 | \$ — | \$ 434,612 |
| Total comprehensive income | — | — | — | 69,421 | 1,227 | 70,648 | — | 70,648 |
| Shares issued on stock options exercised | 59,887 | 1 | 182 | — | — | 183 | — | 183 |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 2,368 | — | — | 2,368 | — | 2,368 |
| Dividends declared, \$2.36 per share | — | — | — | (65,311) | — | (65,311) | — | (65,311) |
| Balances at December 31, 2010 | 27,689,392 | \$ 277 | \$ 462,392 | \$(35,499) | \$ 15,330 | \$ 442,500 | \$ — | \$ 442,500 |
| Total comprehensive income | — | — | — | 81,132 | (8,149) | 72,983 | — | 72,983 |
| Shares issued on stock options exercised | 61,816 | 1 | 199 | — | — | 200 | — | 200 |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 3,087 | — | — | 3,087 | — | 3,087 |
| Dividends declared, \$2.715 per share | — | — | — | (75,285) | — | (75,285) | — | (75,285) |
| Balances at December 31, 2011 | 27,751,208 | \$ 278 | \$ 465,678 | \$(29,652) | \$ 7,181 | \$ 443,485 | \$ — | \$ 443,485 |
| Total comprehensive income | — | — | — | 90,731 | 374 | 91,105 | 167 | 91,272 |
| Noncontrolling interest conveyed in acquisition | — | — | — | — | — | — | 10,964 | 10,964 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | — | — | (266) | (266) |
| Shares issued on stock options exercised | 106,009 | 1 | (3) | — | — | (2) | — | (2) |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 2,168 | — | — | 2,168 | — | 2,168 |
| Dividends declared, \$2.86 per share | — | — | — | (79,574) | — | (79,574) | — | (79,574) |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | 27,857,217 | \$ 279 | \$ 467,843 | \$(18,495) | \$ 7,555 | \$ 457,182 | \$ 10,865 | \$ 468,047 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2012

NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company – National Health Investors, Inc. ("NHI" or the "Company"), a Maryland corporation incorporated in 1991, is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") whose revenue is derived primarily from rental income on leased properties, interest income on mortgage loans and income from investments in the securities of other healthcare REITs. We invest in health care properties including assisted living facilities, independent living facilities, senior living campuses, medical office buildings, and hospitals. As of December 31, 2012, we had investments in properties located in 25 states throughout the U.S. operated by qualified health care providers.

Principles of Consolidation – The accompanying consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and the joint venture entity over which we exercise control. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Net income is reduced by the portion of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

We apply Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") guidance for our arrangements with variable interest entities ("VIEs") which requires us to identify entities for which control is achieved through means other than voting rights and to determine which business enterprise is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. A VIE is broadly defined as an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (a) the total equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance the entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (i) the ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting or similar rights, (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (c) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests, and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. We consolidate investments in VIEs when we are determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE. We may change our original assessment of a VIE due to events such as modifications of contractual arrangements that affect the characteristics or adequacy of the entity's equity investments at risk and the disposal of all or a portion of an interest held by the primary beneficiary.

We identify the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the enterprise that has both: (i) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could be significant to the entity. We perform this analysis on an ongoing basis. At December 31, 2012, we held an interest in one unconsolidated VIE, of which we were not the primary beneficiary.

We also apply FASB guidance related to investments in joint ventures based on the type of controlling rights held by the members' interests in limited liability companies that may preclude consolidation by the majority equity owner in certain circumstances in which the majority equity owner would otherwise consolidate the joint venture.

We structure our joint ventures to be compliant with the provisions of the REIT Investment Diversification and Empowerment Act of 2007 ("RIDEA") which permits NHI to receive rent payments through a triple-net lease between a property company and an operating company, and is designed to give NHI the opportunity to capture additional value on the improving performance of the operating company through distributions to a taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). Accordingly, the TRS holds our equity interest in an unconsolidated operating company, which we do not control, and provides an organizational structure that will allow the TRS to engage in a broad range of activities and share in revenues that would otherwise be non-qualifying income under the REIT gross income tests.

Investment in Unconsolidated Entity – We report our investment in an unconsolidated entity, over whose operating and financial policies we have the ability to exercise significant influence but not control, under the equity method of accounting. Under this method of accounting, our share of the entity's earnings or losses is included in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

The initial carrying value of our investment in the unconsolidated entity is based on the fair value of the net assets of the entity at the time we acquired our interest. We estimate fair values of the net assets of our equity method investees based on discounted cash flow models. The inputs we use in these models are based on assumptions that we believe to be within a reasonable range of current market rates for the respective investments.

We evaluate our investment in the unconsolidated entity for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our investment in the unconsolidated entity may exceed the fair value. If it is determined that a decline

Table of Contents

in the fair value of our investment in the unconsolidated entity is other-than-temporary, and if such reduced fair value is below its carrying value, an impairment is recorded. The determination of the fair value of our investment in the unconsolidated entity involves significant judgment. Our estimates consider all available evidence including, the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at market rates, general economic conditions and other relevant factors.

Noncontrolling Interests – We present the portion of any equity that we do not own in entities that we control (and thus consolidate) as noncontrolling interests and classify such interests as a component of consolidated equity, separate from total NHI stockholders' equity, in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. In addition, we include net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests in net income in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Use of Estimates – The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value Measurements – Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level fair value hierarchy is required to prioritize the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

Real Estate Properties – We record properties at cost, including capitalized interest during construction periods. We use the straight-line method of depreciation for buildings over their estimated useful lives of 40 years and improvements over their estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 25 years.

We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying value of our real estate properties on a property-by-property basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our properties for recoverability when events or circumstances, including significant physical changes in the property, significant adverse changes in general economic conditions and significant deteriorations of the underlying cash flows of the property, indicate that the carrying amount of the property may not be recoverable. The need to recognize an impairment charge is based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows from a property compared to the carrying value of that property. If recognition of an impairment charge is necessary, it is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the property exceeds the fair value of the property.

We have reclassified for all periods presented the operations of facilities meeting the accounting criteria as either being sold or held for sale as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Long-lived assets

classified as held for sale are reported separately in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. When assets previously classified as being held for sale no longer meet the accounting criteria for such classification, we reclassify those assets as held and used, measured at the lower of their carrying amount before the assets were classified as held for sale (adjusted in the period in which the decision not to sell was made for any depreciation expense that would have been recognized had the assets been continuously classified as held and used) or their fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell. Results of operations of the facilities previously disclosed in our Consolidated Statements of Income as discontinued operations which no longer meet the accounting criteria as held for sale are reclassified into continuing operations for all periods presented. There is no change to reported net income for the prior periods as a result of this reclassification.

Mortgage and Other Notes Receivable – We evaluate the carrying values of our notes receivable on an instrument-by-instrument basis. On a quarterly basis, we review our notes receivable for recoverability when events or circumstances, including the non-receipt of contractual principal and interest payments, significant deteriorations of the financial condition of the borrower and significant adverse changes in general economic conditions, indicate that the carrying amount of the note receivable may not be

Table of Contents

recoverable. If necessary, an impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the discounted cash flows expected to be received under the note receivable or, if foreclosure is probable, the fair value of the collateral securing the note receivable.

Cash Equivalents - Cash equivalents consist of all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

Concentration of Credit Risks - Our credit risks primarily relate to cash and cash equivalents, investments in preferred stock and investments in mortgage and other notes receivable. Cash and cash equivalents are primarily held in bank accounts and overnight investments. We maintain our bank deposit accounts with large financial institutions in amounts that often exceed federally-insured limits. We have not experienced any losses in such accounts. Our mortgages and other notes receivable consist primarily of secured loans on health care facilities. Our investment in preferred stock is in another healthcare REIT.

Our financial instruments, principally our investments in preferred stock, marketable securities, and notes receivable, are subject to the possibility of loss of the carrying values as a result of either the failure of other parties to perform according to their contractual obligations or changes in market prices which may make the instruments less valuable. We obtain collateral in the form of mortgage liens and other protective rights and continually monitor these rights in order to reduce such possibilities of loss. We evaluate the need to provide for reserves for potential losses on our financial instruments based on management's periodic review of our portfolio on an instrument-by-instrument basis.

Marketable Securities - Our investments in marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are recorded in stockholders' equity. We evaluate our marketable securities for other-than-temporary impairments on at least a quarterly basis. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined on a specific-identification basis.

A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in an impairment to reduce the carrying amount to fair value. The impairment is charged to earnings and a new cost basis for the security is established. To determine whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, we consider whether we have the ability and intent to hold the investment until a market price recovery and consider whether evidence indicating the cost of the investment is recoverable outweighs evidence to the contrary. Evidence considered in this assessment includes the reasons for the impairment, the severity and duration of the impairment, changes in value subsequent to year-end and forecasted performance of the investment.

Deferred Costs - Costs incurred to acquire debt are amortized by the effective interest method over the term of the related debt.

Deferred Income - Deferred income primarily includes non-refundable loan and lease commitment fees received by us, which are amortized into income over the expected period of the related loan or lease. In the event that our financing commitment to a potential borrower or lessee expires, the related commitment fees are recognized into income immediately. Commitment fees may be charged based on the terms of the loan or lease agreements and the creditworthiness of the parties.

Rental Income - Base rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Under certain leases, we receive additional contingent rent, which is based on the increase in revenues of the lessee over a base year or base quarter. We recognize contingent rent annually or quarterly when, based on the actual revenues of the lessee, receipt of such income is probable since the target threshold has been achieved. Revenue from minimum lease payments under our leases is recognized on a straight-line basis to the extent that future lease payments are considered collectible. Lease payments that depend on a factor directly related to future use of the property, such as an increase in

annual revenues over a base year, are considered to be contingent rentals and are excluded from minimum lease payments.

If rental income calculated on a straight-line basis exceeds the cash rent due under a lease, the difference is recorded as an increase to straight-line rent receivable in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and an increase in rental income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. If rental income on a straight-line basis is calculated to be less than cash received, there is a decrease in the same accounts.

We identify a lease as non-performing if a required payment is not received within 30 days of the date it is due. Our policy related to rental income on non-performing leased real estate properties is to recognize rental income in the period when the related cash is received.

Mortgage Interest Income - Mortgage interest income is recognized based on the interest rates and principal amounts outstanding on the mortgage notes receivable. Under certain mortgages, we receive additional contingent interest, which is based on the increase in the current year revenues of a borrower over a base year. We identify a mortgage loan as non-performing if a required

Table of Contents

payment is not received within 30 days of the date it is due. Our policy related to mortgage interest income on non-performing mortgage loans is to recognize mortgage interest income in the period when the cash is received.

Investment Income and Other - Investment income and other includes dividends when declared and interest when earned from investments in preferred stock and marketable securities of other healthcare REITs, interest on cash and cash equivalents when earned, and amortization of deferred income. Realized gains and losses on sales of marketable securities using the specific-identification method are included as a separate component of continuing operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income as investment and other gains.

Derivatives – In the normal course of business, we are subject to risk from adverse fluctuations in interest rates. We have periodically chosen to manage this risk through the use of derivative financial instruments, primarily interest rate swaps. Counterparties to these contracts are major financial institutions. We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. We do not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Our objective in managing exposure to market risk is to limit the impact on cash flows.

To qualify for hedge accounting, our interest rate swaps must effectively reduce the risk exposure that they are designed to hedge. In addition, at inception of a qualifying cash flow hedging relationship, the underlying transaction or transactions must be, and are expected to remain, probable of occurring in accordance with our related assertions. All of our hedges are cash flow hedges.

We recognize all derivative instruments, including embedded derivatives required to be bifurcated, as assets or liabilities at their fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges or that do not meet the criteria of hedge accounting are recognized in earnings. For derivatives designated in qualifying cash flow hedging relationships, the change in fair value of the effective portion of the derivatives is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), whereas the change in fair value of the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings.

Federal Income Taxes – We intend at all times to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Effective October 1, 2012, we began recording income tax expense or benefit with respect to one of our subsidiaries which is taxed as a Taxable REIT Subsidiary ("TRS") under provisions similar to those applicable to regular corporations. Aside from such income taxes which may be applicable to the taxable income in the TRS, we will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that we continue to qualify as a REIT and make distributions to stockholders equal to or in excess of our taxable income. Accordingly, no provision for federal income taxes has been made in the consolidated financial statements, except for the provision on the taxable income of the TRS which is included in franchise, excise and other taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Our failure to continue to qualify under the applicable REIT qualification rules and regulations would have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of dividends to stockholders, differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due primarily to differences in the basis of assets, estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation expense, gains on sales of real estate, non-cash compensation expense and recognition of commitment fees.

Our tax returns filed for years beginning in 2009 are subject to examination by taxing authorities. We classify interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, if any, in our consolidated financial statements as a component of income tax expense.

Segment Disclosures - We are in the business of owning and financing health care properties. We are managed as one segment, rather than multiple segments for internal purposes and for internal decision making.

New Accounting Pronouncements – On January 1, 2012, we adopted FASB's Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-04, Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 requires incremental fair value disclosures in the notes to the financial statements. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On January 1, 2012, we adopted ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. Accordingly, our adoption of this guidance is now incorporated retrospectively in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment which amends FASB Topic 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other to allow, but not require, an entity, when performing its annual or more frequent

Table of Contents

indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment test, to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount. ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications – We have reclassified our investment income and other to operating revenues in the prior periods' consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation, such revenue being integral to our portfolio and being derived primarily from our investments in the common and preferred shares of other healthcare REITs. We have also reclassified interest expense into operating expenses to more accurately reflect the impact of our capital structure on our operations.

NOTE 2. REAL ESTATE

At December 31, 2012, we owned 107 health care real estate properties located in 25 states consisting of 39 assisted living facilities, 3 independent living facilities, 5 senior living campuses, 55 skilled nursing facilities, 2 medical office buildings, and 3 hospitals. These investments (excluding our corporate office and assets held for sale) consisted of approximately \$698,293,000, at original cost, of properties under triple-net lease to 19 lessees.

National HealthCare Corporation (“NHC”), is a publicly-held company and our largest customer. Under a Master Lease Agreement dated October 17, 1991, we lease 41 health care facilities to NHC, six of which are included in our discontinued operations as discussed below. The 35 properties included in continuing operations are comprised of 3 independent living facilities and 32 SNFs (4 of which are subleased to other parties for whom the lease payments are guaranteed to us by NHC under the Master Lease Agreement). A 15-year renewal term began January 1, 2007, and included 3 additional 5-year renewal options, each at fair rental value of such leased property as negotiated between the parties and determined without including the value attributable to any improvements to the leased property voluntarily made by the tenant at its expense. In December 2012, we entered into an extension to the Master Lease Agreement with NHC through December 2026. Under the terms of the lease, rent escalates by 4% of the increase, if any, in each facility’s revenue over a 2007 base year. We refer to this additional rent component as “percentage rent.”

The following table summarizes the percentage rent received and recognized from NHC (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Current year | \$1,243 | \$1,234 | \$750 |
| Prior year final certification ¹ | 1,063 | 635 | 485 |
| Total percentage rent | \$2,306 | \$1,869 | \$1,235 |

¹ For purposes of the percentage rent calculation described in the Master Lease Agreement, NHC’s annual revenue by facility for a given year is certified to NHI by March 31st of the following year.

Our revenue from continuing operations was \$96,953,000, \$87,213,000 and \$82,579,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, \$33,056,000 (34%), \$32,619,000 (37%) and \$31,985,000 (39%) in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were derived from our master lease with NHC.

NHC owned 1,630,462 shares of our common stock at December 31, 2012. The chairman of our board of directors is also a director on NHC’s board.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions and New Leases of Real Estate

During 2012, we made the following real estate investments and commitments totaling \$159,457,000 which are described below (in thousands):

| | Asset Class | Amount |
|---|-------------|-----------|
| Bickford Senior Living/RIDEA Structure | ALF | \$52,667 |
| Bickford Senior Living - new construction | ALF | 27,000 |
| Landmark Senior Living | ALF | 20,200 |
| Milestone Retirement Communities | ALF | 9,000 |
| Santé Partners | SLC | 25,120 |
| Chancellor Health Care | SLC | 12,000 |
| Legend Healthcare | SNF | 13,470 |
| | | \$159,457 |

Legend

On June 11, 2012, we completed the \$13,470,000 purchase of a new, stabilized 125-bed skilled nursing facility located in Kyle, Texas. The facility has been leased to affiliates of Legend Healthcare, LLC ("Legend") for an initial term of 15 years at a lease rate of 9% plus annual fixed escalators. Because Legend was a current lessee of the facility, we accounted for the acquisition using the acquisition method as prescribed by FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 805, Business Combinations. As part of this transaction, we recognized all identifiable tangible assets at fair value at the date of acquisition (there were no identifiable intangible assets or liabilities assumed) and attributed \$1,096,000 of the purchase price to fair value of the land and \$12,279,000 to the fair value of building and improvements and expensed \$95,000 in transaction costs at closing. As of December 31, 2012, we had recorded \$839,000 in lease revenue and had net earnings of \$587,000 from this acquisition.

On October 31, 2011, we completed the purchase of four skilled nursing facilities located in Texas for a purchase price of \$55,278,000, including contingent consideration of \$5,478,000. The facilities have an average age of two years and a total of 498 beds that are operated by affiliates of Legend. Legend is eligible for an additional contingent payment of \$5,478,000 related to the transaction if they attain certain performance metrics over the next two years, which is probable. In December 2012, as a result of Legend's attainment of certain required operating metrics, we funded \$2,222,000 of this contingent consideration. We expect the remaining \$3,256,000 to be funded prior to December 31, 2014. The four facilities are being leased to Legend for an initial term of 15 years at an initial lease rate of 9% on our invested amount, plus fixed escalators. Because Legend was a current lessee of three of the facilities, we accounted for this portion of the acquisition valued at \$39,530,000 using the acquisition method. As part of this transaction, we recognized all identifiable tangible assets at fair value at the date of acquisition (there were no identifiable intangible assets or liabilities assumed) and attributed \$2,694,000 of the purchase price to fair value of the land and \$36,836,000 to the fair value of building and improvements. The fourth facility, valued at \$15,748,000, was accounted for as an asset purchase.

The revenue and net income for the three facilities acquired from Legend in 2011 have been recorded since the date of acquisition and are included in our Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2011, and the unaudited pro forma revenue and net income of the combined entity had the acquisition date been January 1, 2010, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Revenue | Net Income |
|---|----------|------------|
| Actual from October 31, 2011 - December 31, 2011 | \$644 | \$440 |
| Combined pro forma from January 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011 | \$86,764 | \$83,969 |
| Combined pro forma from January 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010 | \$81,912 | \$72,076 |

Santé

In August 2012, we completed a \$25,120,000 purchase of a senior living campus in Silverdale, Washington with 138 units. The campus is leased to affiliates of Santé Partners, LLC ("Santé") over an initial term of 15 years at an effective lease rate of 7.8% per year plus annual fixed escalators. We have committed to fund an additional \$3,500,000 for expansion and renovation of the facilities at an annual lease rate of 8.3%. The transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase.

Chancellor

59

Table of Contents

On September 30, 2012, we completed a \$12,000,000 purchase of a stabilized 181-unit senior living campus in Loma Linda, California. The campus is being leased to Chancellor Health Care, LLC ("Chancellor") for an initial term of 15 years at a lease rate of 9% plus annual fixed escalators. Because Chancellor was the current lessee of the facility, we accounted for the acquisition using the acquisition method. As part of this transaction, we recognized all identifiable tangible assets at fair value at the date of acquisition (there were no identifiable intangible assets or liabilities assumed) and attributed \$1,200,000 of the purchase price to fair value of the land, \$10,500,000 to the fair value of buildings and improvements, and \$300,000 to the fair value of the operating equipment. As of December 31, 2012, we have recorded \$341,000 in lease revenue and had net earnings of \$265,000 from this acquisition.

Fundamental

In September 2012, we canceled our agreement to sell five skilled nursing facilities in Texas to our current tenant, affiliates of Fundamental Long Term Care Holdings, LLC ("Fundamental"). We agreed to a three-year extension of the lease to February 29, 2016, with payments beginning at a lease amount of \$4,989,000 per year plus annual fixed escalators. We granted to Fundamental an option during the lease renewal period to purchase three of the facilities for an aggregate price of \$18,500,000. As a result of this cancellation, this portfolio no longer meets the accounting criteria as being held for sale and we have reclassified \$29,381,000 previously reported in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as held for sale as follows: land of \$1,735,000, buildings and improvements of \$37,588,000, and accumulated depreciation of \$9,942,000. We recorded \$2,398,000 in depreciation expense in the third quarter of 2012 which is the amount that would have been recognized as depreciation on these properties had the disposal group been continuously classified as held for use through September 2012.

Polaris Hospital

In September 2012, the new 60-bed general acute care hospital in Murfreesboro, Tennessee, whose construction we began in September 2011, became fully operational and we reclassified the property from construction in progress. Our lease revenue from affiliates of Polaris Hospital Company commenced on October 1, 2012 at a lease rate of 10% per year plus annual fixed escalators. The initial lease term is for 15 years. At December 31, 2012, our investment in the new hospital totaled \$21,500,000.

Bickford

On September 30, 2012, we purchased two assisted living/memory care facilities in Kansas totaling 170 units from our current tenant Bickford. The real estate properties are held in our consolidated subsidiary ("PropCo"), of which we retain an 85% ownership interest with eight other assisted living/memory care facilities that we own. The previous master lease with Bickford was renegotiated so that effective October 1, 2012, the ten facilities are now leased to an operating company ("OpCo") of which we also acquired an 85% ownership interest, but not control. Our investment in OpCo is discussed in Note 3. The master lease between PropCo and OpCo is for an initial term of five years, plus renewal options, with monthly payments totaling an annual lease amount of \$7,750,000 plus a 3% annual escalator.

Consideration totaling \$52,667,000 paid by NHI to Bickford in the transaction included \$22,453,000 in cash, assumption of secured debt of \$19,250,000 and the granting of a 15% equity interest in PropCo with a fair value on the acquisition date of approximately \$10,964,000. Fair value was estimated using current market capitalization rates on net operating income. Fair value received by NHI included \$41,436,000 of real estate properties consisting of \$4,100,000 in land and \$37,336,000 in buildings, equipment and other improvements; \$2,922,000 of purchase liability debt canceled; and an investment in OpCo of \$8,309,000. Based upon the contribution agreement between the parties, NHI and Bickford estimated the value of the ten facilities owned by PropCo at September 30, 2012 to be \$92,343,000.

The total purchase price for the properties acquired, as discussed above, was allocated to the tangible assets, equity interest in OpCo and liabilities assumed based upon their relative fair values. The noncontrolling interest relating to PropCo is also reflected at estimated fair value as of the acquisition date.

In conjunction with the asset acquisition, Bickford granted us the exclusive right to their future acquisitions, development projects and refinancing transactions. At December 31, 2012, we had purchased land and begun construction on three assisted living facilities having a maximum cost of \$27,000,000. Our costs incurred as of December 31, 2012 were \$4,016,000 consisting of land of \$1,833,000 and construction in progress of \$2,183,000. Rent income from all new properties is at an annual rate of 9% on the total invested amount, plus a 3% an annual escalator.

At PropCo, all operations encompassing ordinary-course-of-business activities will be under NHI's management, as the managing member. Certain major business decisions require Bickford's consent in order to protect its interests, therefore, leaving control with NHI.

Table of Contents

Because of our control of PropCo, we include its assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interest and operations in our consolidated financial statements in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 810, Consolidation and ASC Topic 970, Real Estate - General.

Milestone

In December 2012, we completed a \$9,000,000 purchase and leaseback of three small assisted living facilities comprising 101 units in Oregon and Idaho. The facilities are leased to an affiliate of Milestone Retirement Communities over an initial term of 10 years at a lease rate of 7.75% per year plus annual fixed escalators. The transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase.

Landmark

In December 2012, NHI completed a \$20,200,000 purchase and leaseback of a 120 unit assisted living/memory care facility in Wisconsin. The facility is being leased to an affiliate of Landmark Senior Living Communities over an initial term of 15 years at a lease rate of 7.75% per year plus annual fixed escalators. The transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase.

Selah

In May 2011, we completed a \$15,000,000 purchase of four assisted living facilities totaling 183 units in Louisiana. The facilities, which have an average age of 13 years, are being leased to an affiliate of Selah Management Group over 15 years at a lease rate of 8.5% per year plus annual fixed escalators. The transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase.

Tenant Transition and New Lease

In June 2012, due to material noncompliance with our lease terms, we terminated our lease with a former tenant of four assisted living and memory care facilities in Minnesota and transitioned the lease to a new tenant. The unplanned transition to a new tenant resulted in a write-off for accounting purposes of \$963,000 in straight-line rent receivables, \$126,000 in billed receivables and \$171,000 in legal and other expenses. The former lease provided for an annual lease amount of \$2,204,000. The facilities contain a total of 126 units, are four to eight years old, and are now being leased to affiliates of White Pine Senior Living for an initial term of 13 years at an annual lease amount of \$2,338,000 plus annual fixed escalators. The first six months of the lease contains additional supplemental rent payments totaling \$410,000. Our rental income, regardless of the timing of scheduled payments, is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease and amounted to \$1,643,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Dispositions of Certain Real Estate

In December 2012, our tenant, Sunrise Senior Living, exercised its purchase option to acquire for \$23,000,000 in cash our assisted living facility in Edison, NJ which had a carrying value of \$11,009,000. We deferred recognition of the tax gain on the sale of this facility by utilizing the like-kind exchange rules under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code.

In December 2012, we entered into a letter of agreement with our tenant, NHC, for the sale of six older skilled nursing facilities for \$21,000,000 in cash. The sale is to be completed on December 31, 2013. Effective January 1, 2014, NHI's annual base rent of \$33,700,000 will be reduced to \$30,750,000. Accordingly, we have reclassified those assets to be sold to NHC as held-for-sale at December 31, 2012 and the results of operation of the facilities held for sale were

classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

In January 2011, we completed the sale of a skilled nursing facility in Texas having a carrying value of \$4,039,000 for cash proceeds of \$4,500,000. In February 2011, we completed the sale of two medical office buildings having a carrying value of \$3,433,000 for cash proceeds of \$5,271,000. In August 2011, we completed the sale of a 60-unit assisted living facility located in Daytona Beach, Florida with a carrying value of \$2,152,000 for cash proceeds of \$3,200,000.

We have classified the results of operations of these facilities as discontinued operations in our Consolidated Statements of Income for all periods presented. The summary of operating results of all facilities classified as discontinued operations is shown in Note 11.

Table of Contents

Future Minimum Lease Payments

At December 31, 2012, the future minimum lease payments (excluding percentage rent) to be received by us under our operating leases with our tenants are as follows(in thousands):

| | |
|------------|-------------|
| 2013 | \$88,586 |
| 2014 | 88,086 |
| 2015 | 84,892 |
| 2016 | 80,829 |
| 2017 | 77,440 |
| Thereafter | 592,439 |
| | \$1,012,272 |

NOTE 3. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITY AND OTHER ASSETS

As discussed in Note 2, our investment in the operating company, OpCo, held by our TRS and recorded in the initial amount of \$8,309,000, arose in conjunction with the acquisition from Bickford of two properties. We structured our arrangement with Bickford to be compliant with the provisions of the REIT Investment Diversification and Empowerment Act of 2007 ("RIDEA") which permits NHI to receive rent payments through a triple-net lease between PropCo, the property company, and OpCo, and is designed to give NHI the opportunity to capture additional value on the improving performance of the operating company through distributions to the TRS. Accordingly, the TRS holds our 85% equity interest in OpCo in order to provide an organizational structure that will allow the TRS to engage in a broad range of activities and share in revenues that would otherwise be non-qualifying income under the REIT gross income tests.

OpCo's activities are managed through an "eligible independent contractor" subject to the oversight of Bickford. This organizational structure meets the requirements of Internal Revenue Code regulations for TRS entities. Bickford is the managing member of OpCo, although we have retained certain noncontrolling rights. As a result of Bickford's retention of operations oversight and control over all day-to-day business matters, our participative influence at OpCo does not amount to control of the entity.

Because voting rights of OpCo members are disproportionate, OpCo meets the accounting criteria to be considered a variable interest entity. However, we are not the primary beneficiary of OpCo as our substantive participation rights do not give us the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact OpCo's economic performance. As a result, we report our investment in OpCo, over whose operating and financial policies we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, under the equity method of accounting. Our equity share of total income earned in 2012 by OpCo was \$45,000.

Investment in the unconsolidated entity and other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Equity interest in unconsolidated entity | \$8,353 | \$— |
| Loan costs and prepaid expenses | 2,004 | 1,391 |
| Accounts receivable and others assets | 1,815 | 1,236 |
| | \$12,172 | \$2,627 |

OpCo is intended by the parties to be self-financing, and aside from initial investments, no support has been provided to OpCo since acquisition. While rentals on the related properties are sourced from OpCo, a decision to furnish

additional support would be at our discretion and not obligatory. As a result of this circumstance, NHI believes its maximum exposure to loss at December 31, 2012, due to its involvement with OpCo, would be limited to its investment in OpCo. No distributions from OpCo have been received through December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Unaudited summary financial information for OpCo is presented below (in thousands):

Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2012:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Revenues | \$6,335 |
| Operating expenses, including management fees | (4,345) |
| Lease Expenses | (1,937) |
| Net Income | \$53 |

Summary balance sheet data at December 31, 2012:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Cash and other assets | \$3,760 |
| Furniture and equipment | \$532 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$3,008 |
| Lease payable | \$44 |
| Straight-line rent liability | \$1,983 |

The total purchase price for the assets acquired, which included our equity interest in OpCo, was allocated to the assets acquired based upon their relative fair values. Such allocations have not been finalized as we await final asset valuations and, as such, the results of the allocation are preliminary and subject to adjustment. As noted above, our investment in OpCo was initially recorded at \$8,309,000. The accounting guidance for equity method investments requires that we account for the difference between the cost basis of our investment in OpCo and our pro rata share of the amount of underlying equity in the net assets of OpCo as if OpCo were a consolidated subsidiary. As such, we will monitor and periodically review our equity method investment in OpCo for impairment to determine whether a decline, if any, in the value of the investment is other-than temporary.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4. MORTGAGE AND OTHER NOTES RECEIVABLE

The following is a summary of the terms and amounts of mortgage and other notes receivable (dollar amounts in thousands):

| Final Payment Date | Number of Loans | Payment Terms in 2012 | Original Face Amount | Carrying Amount December 31, | |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | 2012 | 2011 |
| 2012 ¹ | 7 | Monthly payments of \$9 to \$69, which include interest at 6.9% | \$40,526 | \$13,992 | \$16,604 |
| 2012 | 1 | Monthly payment of \$120, including interest at 9.0% ² Balloon payment due at maturity | 12,162 | — | 5,197 |
| 2013 | 1 | Monthly payment of \$29, including interest at 6.5% ^{2,3} Balloon payment due at maturity | 5,506 | 1,258 | 1,274 |
| 2014 | 8 | Note A: \$11.6 million with monthly payments of interest at 8.5% with principal due at maturity; Note B: \$9.7 million with principal and interest at 3.0% due at maturity | 26,293 | 19,037 | 21,337 |
| 2014 | 1 | Monthly payment of interest at 13.0%; principal due at maturity | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 2014 | 1 | Monthly payments of interest at a variable rate, current rate is 12.0% (next adjustment in October 2013 with principal due at maturity; additional \$50 to be drawn during 2013) | 850 | 800 | 800 |
| 2015 | 1 | Monthly payment of interest at 13.5%; principal due at maturity | 15,000 | 15,000 | — |
| 2015 | 1 | Interest accrues at 10.0% during construction; monthly payment of interest only; principal due at maturity | 13,870 | 11,870 | 11,870 |
| 2015 | 1 | Monthly payment of interest at 14.0%; principal due at maturity | 1,000 | 1,000 | — |
| 2015 | 1 | Monthly payment of interest at 14.0%; principal due at maturity | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 2015 | 1 | Monthly payment of interest at 9.0%; principal due at maturity | 3,000 | 36 | — |
| 2018 | 1 | Monthly payment of \$38, including interest at 7.47% | 5,033 | 3,765 | 3,809 |
| 2027-2032 ⁶ | | Monthly payments of \$19 to \$32, which include interest ranging from 8.0% to 9.6% | 20,774 | 13,992 | 14,281 |
| | | | | \$84,250 | \$78,672 |

¹ The note with ElderTrust has matured. See our Commitments and Contingencies note.

² We recognize interest income in the period in which cash is received because this loan was previously classified as non-performing.

³ During 2011, we acquired the remaining interest in this mortgage note at a discount.

At December 31, 2012, we had investments in mortgage notes receivable secured by real estate and UCC liens on the personal property of 30 health care properties. Certain of the notes receivable are also secured by guarantees of significant parties to the notes and by cross-collateralization of properties with the same owner. No allowance for doubtful accounts was considered necessary at December 31, 2012 or 2011. We recognize interest income in the period in which cash is received on certain mortgage notes receivable which were previously classified as non-performing and have an aggregate carrying value of \$1,258,000 at December 31, 2012.

During 2012, we made loan commitments of \$19,000,000 to three borrowers. On April 12, 2012, we entered into a three-year loan to provide Capital Funding Group, Inc. (CFG) up to \$15,000,000 to be used as mezzanine financing for its bridge-to-HUD lending program. Outstanding borrowings were \$15,000,000 at December 31, 2012. This loan requires monthly payments of interest only at an annual rate of 13.5%.

During 2012, we provided a three-year, \$3,000,000 revolving credit facility to Bickford to fund the market feasibility and pre-construction costs related to new development projects. Outstanding borrowings on the facility amounted to \$36,000 at December 31, 2012.

During 2012, we committed to an unsecured note requiring monthly, interest-only payments at 14%. At December 31, 2012 the loan was fully drawn with an outstanding balance of \$1,000,000.

Table of Contents

In September 2012, we recorded a \$2,300,000 impairment on a note receivable from SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc., a Tennessee non-profit organization. The impairment was based on NHI's continuing collection history with SeniorTrust and was estimated consistently with our policy of using discounted cash flow techniques. The carrying balance of the loan principal was \$19,037,000 at December 31, 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we received and recognized \$999,000 in interest income from this borrower.

In July 2011, we funded a second mortgage loan of \$2,500,000 to Fore Ranch Senior Housing, LLC for the development and construction of a 120-unit assisted living facility in Ocala, Florida. The three year loan has two one-year extension options and requires monthly payments of interest only at an annual rate of 13%.

In September 2011, we acquired for \$700,000 from a lender the remaining interest in an existing mortgage note receivable maturing in September 2013 in which we were the majority creditor. The effective annual yield on this discounted portion of the note receivable is 21%.

During 2011, we funded \$4,350,000 of our construction loan commitment to Santé Mesa, LLC ("Santé") for the development and construction of a 70-bed transitional rehabilitation center with a skilled nursing license in Mesa, Arizona. The facility opened in March 2011. NHI has the option to purchase and lease back the facility when it reaches certain operating metrics. The total loan commitment of \$13,870,000 includes an as yet unfunded supplemental draw of \$2,000,000 available to the borrower when the facility achieves certain operating metrics. The loan is for a period of five years and requires monthly payments of interest only at an annual rate of 10%. Per the terms of the loan agreement, interest payments were accrued as part of the construction loan balance until the full loan amount was drawn.

Loan Recoveries

In December 2012, we received from our current borrower a payment of \$13,830,000 in full settlement of our note receivable secured by three skilled nursing facilities in Georgia formerly owned by Allgood Healthcare, Inc. The payment consisted of note principal of \$4,650,000, accrued interest of \$80,000, a recovery of a previous writedown of \$4,495,000, and a note gain of \$4,605,000. The recovery and gain are included in separate components of our income from continuing operations in our Consolidated Statements of Income. These facilities had previously been involved in bankruptcy proceedings with the former owner.

NOTE 5. INVESTMENT IN PREFERRED STOCK, AT COST

In September 1998, we purchased 2,000,000 shares of the cumulative preferred stock of LTC Properties, Inc. ("LTC"), a publicly-traded REIT. The nonvoting preferred stock is convertible into 2,000,000 shares of LTC common stock. The closing price of LTC's common stock at December 31, 2012 was \$35.19 per share. The preferred stock has an annual cumulative coupon rate of 8.5% payable quarterly and a liquidation preference of \$19.25 per share. The preferred stock is not redeemable by us or LTC. The preferred stock, which is not listed on a stock exchange, is considered a non-marketable security and is recorded at cost in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. In accordance with ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments, paragraph 10-50 Disclosure-Overall, we have determined that, for our cost basis investment in preferred stock, it is not practicable to estimate the fair value of the investment. Further, we have identified no events that may have had an adverse effect on its fair value which would have required revisiting the instrument's carrying value. Dividends to be received are recorded in investment income when declared. The carrying value of the preferred stock at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 equals its original cost of \$38,132,000.

NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS IN MARKETABLE SECURITIES

Our investments in marketable securities include available-for-sale securities which are reported at fair value.

Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are presented as a component of other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses from securities sales are determined based upon specific identification of the securities.

Marketable securities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized | Fair Value | Amortized | Fair Value |
| | Cost | | Cost | |
| Common stock of other healthcare REITs | \$4,088 | \$12,884 | \$4,183 | \$11,364 |

Gross unrealized gains related to available-for-sale securities were \$8,796,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$7,181,000 at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

During 2011, we sold 381,000 common shares of LTC and sold 96,330 shares of convertible preferred stock of NHC and recognized gains totaling \$8,809,000.

During 2011, we recognized a gain of \$1,090,000 on the sale of 50,000 common shares of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. ("NHP") in exchange for 39,330 common shares of Ventas, Inc. ("Ventas") upon the acquisition of NHP by Ventas.

During 2012, 2011, and 2010 we recognized \$628,000, \$822,000, and \$1,267,000, respectively, of dividend income from our marketable securities and have included these amounts in investment income and other in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTE 7. DEBT

On May 1, 2012, we entered into a \$320,000,000 unsecured credit facility that includes \$120,000,000 of combined 5-year and 7-year term loans that were drawn immediately at closing to pay down our revolving credit borrowings and for other corporate purposes. The facility also includes an uncommitted incremental facility feature allowing for an additional \$130,000,000 of borrowings. The credit facility was provided by Wells Fargo, Bank of Montreal, KeyBank, and Bank of America, with Pinnacle National Bank as a participating bank. The credit facility provides for (1) unsecured, revolving borrowings of up to \$200,000,000 with interest at 140 basis points over LIBOR and a maturity of 5 years, (inclusive of an embedded 1 year extension option); (2) an \$80,000,000 unsecured, 5-year term loan with interest at 140 basis points over LIBOR; and (3) a \$40,000,000 unsecured, 7-year term loan with interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR. The unused commitment fee is 35 basis points per annum. The credit facility amended a 4-year \$200,000,000 unsecured revolving credit facility entered into on November 1, 2011, that provided for interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR and an unused commitment fee of 35 basis points per annum. In connection with the modification of our credit facility, we paid \$753,000 in debt issuance costs which is amortized over the life of the amended facility. At December 31, 2012, we had \$136,000,000 available to draw on the facility. Quoted 30-day LIBOR was 22 basis points on December 31, 2012.

As part of the transaction with Bickford, two facilities were contributed subject to a \$19,250,000 mortgage with KeyBank. The loan, which matures on November 22, 2013, provides for interest at 300 basis points over LIBOR (effective interest rate was at 3.22% at December 31, 2012).

Debt consists of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revolving credit facility - unsecured | \$64,000 | \$97,300 |
| Bank term loans - unsecured | 120,000 | — |
| Bank term loans - secured | 19,250 | — |
| | \$203,250 | \$97,300 |

Interest Rate Swap Agreement

In conjunction with the closing of the credit facility on May 1, 2012, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to fix the interest rate at 3.04% on the \$40,000,000 seven-year term loan. The critical terms of this swap agreement are essentially identical to those of the seven-year term loan and thus, in accordance with ASC Topic 815 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, is considered a perfectly effective "cash flow hedge." Accordingly, changes in the fair value of this cash flow hedge are included in other comprehensive income rather than net income in our Consolidated Statements of Income. In the Consolidated Balance Sheets, if an asset, the fair value of the hedge is included in other assets, and, if a liability, as a component of accrued expenses.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the decrease in the fair value of the swap agreement was \$1,241,000 and is included in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. See Note 14 for fair value disclosures about our interest rate swap agreement. On November 1, 2011, we terminated a previous interest rate swap agreement. Interest in 2011 reflected the change in fair value of the interest rate swap agreement.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes interest expense (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest expense | \$3,172 | \$2,070 | \$1,244 |
| Amortization of loan costs | 320 | 581 | 308 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement | — | 1,197 | — |
| Total interest expense | \$3,492 | \$3,848 | \$1,552 |

NOTE 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Bickford

In conjunction with the arrangement with Bickford, we have been granted the exclusive right to their future acquisitions, development projects and refinancing transactions. At December 31, 2012, we had purchased land and begun construction on three assisted living facilities having a maximum cost of \$27,000,000. Our costs incurred to date, including land, were \$4,016,000. The operating company, OpCo, is charged an annual lease rate of 9% on the total cost of the new facilities, plus an annual fixed escalator. In a separate arrangement with Bickford, NHI has provided a three-year, \$3,000,000 revolving credit facility to Bickford to fund the market feasibility and pre-construction costs related to the new development projects. Outstanding borrowings on the facility amounted to \$36,000 at December 31, 2012.

Kentucky River

In March 2012, we entered into a long-term lease extension and construction commitment to Jackson Hospital Corporation, an affiliate of Community Health Systems, to provide up to \$8,000,000 for extensive renovations and additions to our Kentucky River Medical Center ("Kentucky River"), a general acute care hospital in Jackson, Kentucky. This investment will be added to the lease basis on which the lease amount is calculated. The construction project is expected to commence in 2013 and continue over two years. The lease extension is for an initial period of ten years beginning July 1, 2012, plus one five-year renewal option.

Legend Healthcare

In October 2011, we completed a purchase/leaseback of four skilled nursing facilities in Texas with affiliates of Legend Healthcare, LLC ("Legend") for \$55,278,000 which included contingent consideration of \$5,478,000. In December 2012, as a result of Legend's attainment of certain required operating metrics, we funded \$2,222,000 of this contingent consideration. We expect the remaining \$3,256,000, which is recorded as a purchase liability, to be funded prior to December 31, 2014.

Santé

In June 2010, we entered into a construction loan commitment to provide up to \$13,870,000 to Santé for the development and construction of a 70-bed transitional rehabilitation hospital with a skilled nursing license in Mesa, Arizona. The facility opened in March 2011. The \$13,870,000 commitment includes an unfunded \$2,000,000 supplemental draw available to the borrower when the facility achieves certain operating metrics. NHI also has the option to purchase and lease back the hospital when it achieves a predetermined level of stabilized net operating income.

We have committed to fund a \$3,500,000 expansion and renovation program in connection with our acquisition of the senior living campus in Silverdale, Washington leased to Santé.

Helix Healthcare

In March 2010, we completed a purchase/leaseback transaction with Helix Healthcare ("Helix") for \$12,500,000. The purchase price includes a conditional payment of \$1,000,000 which is recorded as a purchase liability.

Capital Funding Group

We have agreed to fund up to \$15,000,000 on a three-year revolving credit facility with Capital Funding Group ("CFG") with monthly payments of interest only at an annual rate of 13.5%. At December 31, 2012, outstanding borrowings on the note were \$15,000,000.

Table of Contents

Litigation

The health care facilities in which we have investments of leases or loans are subject to claims and suits in the ordinary course of business. Our lessees and mortgagors have indemnified, and will continue to indemnify, us against all liabilities arising from the operation of the health care facilities and will indemnify us against environmental or title problems affecting the real estate underlying such facilities. While there are lawsuits pending against certain of the owners and/or lessees of the health care facilities, management believes that the ultimate resolution of all pending proceedings will have no material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

As previously disclosed, in November 2008 the Company was served with a Civil Investigative Demand by the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (“OTAG”), which indicated that the OTAG was investigating transactions between the Company and three Tennessee nonprofit corporations. NHI has provided the OTAG with requested information and documents and has been working with the OTAG with respect to this investigation. All issues have been resolved with respect to one of the nonprofit corporations, Care Foundation of America, Inc. A receiver has been appointed for each of the other two nonprofit corporations, SeniorTrust of Florida, Inc. and ElderTrust of Florida, Inc. The receiver of each of SeniorTrust and ElderTrust is charged with winding-up the affairs of those entities, which will include the sale of those assets that secure the financing provided to each entity by NHI. As disclosed in our Form 8-K filed September 7, 2012, the receiver of SeniorTrust has caused SeniorTrust to bring a lawsuit against NHI and another party (Davidson Co. Tenn. Chancery Court Case No. 12-1275-III). As part of the complaint, SeniorTrust asserts claims that primarily arise from an allegation that the terms of a 2004 transaction in which NHI sold a group of nursing homes in Kansas and Missouri to SeniorTrust, with NHI providing 100% financing of the purchase price, were unfair to SeniorTrust. SeniorTrust also alleges that NHI caused SeniorTrust to enter into other transactions on terms adverse to SeniorTrust. SeniorTrust seeks compensatory and punitive damages and other relief. NHI has denied SeniorTrust's claims and intends to vigorously defend against SeniorTrust's complaint. NHI also has asserted a counterclaim seeking payment in full of SeniorTrust's outstanding debt to NHI upon the receiver's sale of the assets that secure that debt.

As disclosed in our Form 8-K filed January 18, 2013, the receiver of ElderTrust has caused ElderTrust to bring a lawsuit against NHI and another party (Davidson Co., Tenn. Chancery Court Case No. 13-0060-IV). ElderTrust asserts claims that primarily arise from an allegation that the terms of the 2001 transaction in which NHI sold seven nursing homes in New Hampshire and Massachusetts to ElderTrust, with NHI providing 100% of the financing of the purchase price, were unfair to ElderTrust and that NHI caused ElderTrust to enter into other transactions on adverse terms. ElderTrust seeks compensatory and punitive damages and other relief. NHI denies ElderTrust's claims and intends to vigorously defend against ElderTrust's complaint. In addition, ElderTrust had been making timely principal and interest payments to NHI in the amount of \$308,333.33 per month for the last five years. However, the payments NHI received from ElderTrust for January and February 2013 were for interest only. NHI filed a motion in (Davidson Co., Tenn. Chancery Court Case No. 12-1283-IV(III)) asserting that ElderTrust's receiver has put ElderTrust in breach of its obligation to NHI by reducing its monthly payment and requested that the Court authorize NHI to declare ElderTrust and its affiliated LLCs in default of their debt obligation and to pursue the default remedies provided for in the loan documents. On February 13, 2013, the Court denied this motion.

During the 2012, one of our subsidiaries reached a settlement regarding the final tax return for the operations acquired through foreclosure and agreed to settle a claim for personal injury in relation to a matter which arose prior to our acquisition of the subsidiary. These settlements resulted in charges against income in the amounts of \$180,000 and \$275,000, respectively.

NOTE 9. INVESTMENT AND OTHER GAINS

The following table summarizes our investment and other gains (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gain on payoff of mortgage note receivable | \$4,605 | \$— | \$— |
| Gains on sales of marketable securities | 30 | 9,899 | 2 |
| Other gains | 242 | 362 | 270 |
| | \$4,877 | \$10,261 | \$272 |

NOTE 10. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

We recognize share-based compensation for (1) all stock options granted over the requisite service period using the fair value for these grants as estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model, and (2) all restricted stock granted over the requisite service period using the market value of our publicly-traded common stock on the date of grant.

68

Table of Contents

Share-Based Compensation Plans

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors ("the Committee") has the authority to select the participants to be granted options; to designate whether the option granted is an incentive stock option ("ISO"), a non-qualified option, or a stock appreciation right; to establish the number of shares of common stock that may be issued upon exercise of the option; to establish the vesting provision for any award; and to establish the term any award may be outstanding. The exercise price of any ISO's granted will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of common stock on the date granted and the term of an ISO may not be more than ten years. The exercise price of any non-qualified options granted will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of common stock on the date granted unless so determined by the Committee.

In May 2012, our stockholders approved the 2012 Stock Incentive Plan ("the 2012 Plan") pursuant to which 1,500,000 shares of our common stock were made available to grant as share-based payments to employees, officers, directors or consultants. As of December 31, 2012, there were 1,500,000 shares available for future grants under the 2012 plan. The individual restricted stock and option grant awards vest over periods up to five years. The term of the options under the 2012 Plan is up to five years from the date of grant.

In May 2005, our stockholders approved the NHI 2005 Stock Option Plan ("the 2005 Plan") pursuant to which 1,500,000 shares of our common stock were made available to grant as share-based payments to employees, officers, directors or consultants. As of December 31, 2012, there were 20,635 shares available for future grants under the 2005 plan. The individual restricted stock and option grant awards vest over periods up to ten years. The term of the options outstanding under the 2005 Plan is up to ten years from the date of grant.

The NHI 1997 Stock Option Plan ("the 1997 Plan") provides for the granting of options to key employees and directors of NHI to purchase shares of common stock at a price no less than the market value of the stock on the date the option is granted. As of December 31, 2012, no shares were available for future grants under this plan. The term of the options outstanding under the 1997 Plan is five years from the date of the grant.

Compensation expense is recognized only for the awards that ultimately vest. Accordingly, forfeitures that were not expected may result in the reversal of previously recorded compensation expense. The compensation expense reported for share-based compensation related to the 2005 Plan and the 1997 Plan totaled \$2,168,000, \$3,087,000 and \$2,368,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, consisting of \$2,167,000, \$3,077,000 and \$2,343,000 for stock options and \$1,000, \$10,000 and \$25,000 for restricted stock and is included in general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Determining Fair Value of Option Awards

The fair value of each option award was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the weighted average assumptions indicated in the following table. Each grant is valued as a single award with an expected term based upon expected employee and termination behavior. Compensation cost is recognized on the graded vesting method over the requisite service period for each separately vesting tranche of the award as though the award were, in substance, multiple awards. The expected volatility is derived using daily historical data for periods preceding the date of grant. The risk-free interest rate is the approximate yield on the United States Treasury Strips having a life equal to the expected option life on the date of grant. The expected life is an estimate of the number of years an option will be held before it is exercised.

Stock Options

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form 10-K

The weighted average fair value per share of options granted was \$6.36, \$9.91 and \$6.26 for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The fair value of each grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Dividend yield | 6.02% | 5.9% | 6.52% |
| Expected volatility | 32% | 45.71% | 37.56% |
| Expected lives | 3.9 years | 3.9 years | 4.9 years |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.32% | 1.11% | 2.22% |

Table of Contents

Stock Option Activity

The following tables summarize our outstanding stock options:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding December 31, 2009 | 253,836 | \$27.72 | | |
| Options granted under 2005 Plan | 395,000 | \$36.18 | | |
| Options exercised under 1997 Plan | (48,717) | \$31.56 | | |
| Options exercised under 2005 Plan | (215,612) | \$31.78 | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2010 | 384,507 | \$33.24 | | |
| Options granted under 2005 Plan | 340,000 | \$46.11 | | |
| Options exercised under 1997 Plan | (15,000) | \$23.79 | | |
| Options exercised under 2005 Plan | (183,417) | \$32.69 | | |
| Options forfeited under 2005 Plan | (16,668) | \$41.52 | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2011 | 509,422 | \$42.03 | | |
| Options granted under 2005 Plan | 340,000 | \$47.52 | | |
| Options exercised under 1997 Plan | (19,266) | \$33.26 | | |
| Options exercised under 2005 Plan | (618,481) | \$43.63 | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2012 | 211,675 | \$46.60 | 3.71 | \$2,102,000 |
| Exercisable December 31, 2012 | 35,000 | \$44.08 | 3.14 | \$436,000 |

| Grant Date | Number of Shares | Exercise Price | Remaining Contractual Life in Years |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|
| 2/4/2008 | 5,000 | 29.24 | 0.100 |
| 2/22/2011 | 15,000 | 45.58 | 3.150 |
| 2/25/2011 | 56,672 | 46.22 | 3.150 |
| 2/21/12 | 135,003 | 47.52 | 4.150 |

Outstanding December 31, 2012 211,675

The weighted average remaining contractual life of all options outstanding at December 31, 2012 is 3.7 years.

Including outstanding stock options, our stockholders have authorized an additional 1,732,310 shares of common stock that may be issued under the share-based payments plans.

The following table summarizes our outstanding non-vested stock options:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Value | Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Non-vested December 31, 2011 | 173,592 | \$8.37 | |
| Options granted under 2005 Plan | 340,000 | \$7.23 | |
| Options vested under 2005 Plan | (336,917) | \$7.37 | |
| Non-vested December 31, 2012 | 176,675 | \$8.09 | |

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2012, we had \$448,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options, net of expected forfeitures, which is expected to be recognized over the following periods: 2013 - \$400,000 and 2014 - \$48,000. Stock-based compensation is included in general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The intrinsic value of total options outstanding at December 31, 2012 was \$9.93 per share or \$2,102,000. The intrinsic value of options exercisable at December 31, 2012 was \$12.45 per share or \$436,000. The intrinsic value of the total options exercised for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$8.67 per share or \$5,527,000; \$12.94 per share or \$2,567,000, and \$13.28 per share or \$3,510,000, respectively.

Restricted Stock Activity

The following table summarizes our restricted stock activity:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Vesting Period (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Non-vested at December 31, 2009 | 5,100 | 1.026 | \$189,000 |
| Vested during the period | (1,925) | — | — |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2010 | 3,175 | 0.540 | \$143,000 |
| Vested during the period | (1,925) | — | — |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2011 | 1,250 | 0.095 | \$55,000 |
| Vested during the period | (1,250) | — | — |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2012 | — | | |

At December 31, 2012, we had no unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock.

NOTE 11. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

As described in Note 2, we have reclassified, for all periods presented, the operations of facilities meeting the accounting criteria as either being sold or held for sale as discontinued operations.

In December 2012, our tenant Sunrise Senior Living exercised its purchase option to acquire our assisted living facility in Edison, NJ. The net gain on the sale of the facility was \$11,966,000 for financial statement purposes. Our lease revenue from the facility was \$1,302,000, \$1,372,000, and \$1,372,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In December 2012, we entered into a letter of agreement with NHC to sell six skilled nursing facilities for \$21,000,000 on December 31, 2013. Our lease revenue from the facilities was \$3,368,000, \$3,377,000, and \$3,227,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In January 2011, we completed the sale of a skilled nursing facility in Texas having a carrying value of \$4,039,000 for cash proceeds of \$4,500,000. Our lease revenue from the facilities was \$43,000, and \$565,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In February 2011, we completed the sale of two medical office buildings to our current tenant. The net gain on the sale of these facilities in 2011 was \$1,838,000 for financial statement purposes. Our lease revenue from the facilities was \$41,000, and \$584,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In August 2011, we completed the sale of a 60-unit assisted living facility located in Daytona Beach, Florida to the current tenant. The net gain on the sale of these facilities was \$1,048,000 for financial statement purposes. Our lease revenue from the facilities was \$202,000 and \$364,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In June 2010, we completed the sale of two skilled nursing facilities in Texas to the current lessee, Legend. The net gain on the sale of these facilities was \$2,004,000 for financial statement purposes. Our lease revenue from the facilities was \$107,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes a \$14,000 refund related to a previously closed facility in Bellingham, Washington.

Table of Contents

Income from discontinued operations is summarized below (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Rental income | \$4,671 | \$5,035 | \$6,219 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Depreciation | 402 | 443 | 602 |
| Facility operating expenses | — | — | (14 |
| | 402 | 443 | 588 |
| Operating income | 4,269 | 4,592 | 5,631 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | 11,966 | 3,348 | 2,004 |
| Total discontinued operations | \$16,235 | \$7,940 | \$7,635 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 |
| Diluted | 27,838,720 | 27,792,592 | 27,732,959 |
| Discontinued operations income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$.58 | \$.29 | \$.28 |
| Diluted | \$.58 | \$.29 | \$.27 |

Reclassification

As described in Note 2, in September 2012, we canceled our agreement to sell five skilled nursing facilities in Texas to our current tenant, Fundamental, because of several factors, including obstacles Fundamental faced in securing HUD financing for the entire group of properties. We have extended the lease term for an additional three years ending February 29, 2016.

This portfolio no longer meets the accounting criteria as discontinued operations and we have reclassified our lease revenue of \$4,965,000, \$4,777,000 and \$4,226,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, into continuing operations in our Consolidated Statements of Income. We have made provision for \$2,398,000 in depreciation expense in the third quarter of 2012 which is the cumulative amount that would have been recognized as depreciation on these properties had the disposal group been continuously classified as held for use.

Table of Contents

NOTE 12. EARNINGS AND DIVIDENDS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per common share assume the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted shares using the treasury stock method, to the extent dilutive.

The following table summarizes the average number of common shares and the net income used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$74,496 | \$73,192 | \$61,786 |
| Discontinued operations | 16,235 | 7,940 | 7,635 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$90,731 | \$81,132 | \$69,421 |
| BASIC: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 |
| Income from continuing operations per common share | \$2.68 | \$2.64 | \$2.23 |
| Discontinued operations per common share | .58 | .29 | .28 |
| Net income per common share | \$3.26 | \$2.93 | \$2.51 |
| DILUTED: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 27,811,813 | 27,719,096 | 27,664,482 |
| Stock options | 26,907 | 72,035 | 65,548 |
| Restricted shares | — | 1,461 | 2,929 |
| Average dilutive common shares outstanding | 27,838,720 | 27,792,592 | 27,732,959 |
| Income from continuing operations per common share | \$2.68 | \$2.63 | \$2.23 |
| Discontinued operations per common share | .58 | .29 | .27 |
| Net income per common share | \$3.26 | \$2.92 | \$2.50 |
| Incremental shares excluded since anti-dilutive: | | | |
| Stock options with an exercise price in excess of the average market price for our common shares | — | 16,758 | 1,297 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$2.86 | \$2.715 | \$2.36 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 13. INCOME TAXES

Beginning with our inception in 1991, we have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). We have elected that our subsidiary established on September 30, 2012 in connection with the Bickford arrangement (which holds our ownership interest in an operating company) be taxed as a taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS") under provisions of the Code. The TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes like those applicable to regular corporations. Aside from such income taxes which may be applicable to the taxable income in the TRS, we will not be subject to federal income tax provided that we continue to qualify as a REIT and make distributions to stockholders equal to or in excess of our taxable income.

Per share dividend payments to common stockholders for the last three years are characterized for tax purposes as follows:

| (Unaudited) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Ordinary income | \$2.62870 | \$2.32042 | \$2.32601 |
| Capital gain | 0.01130 | 0.32494 | 0.01887 |
| Return of capital | — | 0.06964 | 0.01512 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$2.64000 | \$2.71500 | \$2.36000 |

Our consolidated provision for state and federal income tax for the years ended 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$137,000, \$96,000, and \$82,000, respectively. For the years ended 2012, 2011, and 2010 we had no deferred state or federal income tax.

Current taxes for 2012 of \$20,000 relates to our equity interest in the unconsolidated operating company whose interest is owned by our TRS described above, plus \$117,000 from a franchise tax levied by the state of Texas that has attributes of an income tax. The tax expense for 2011 and 2010 relates entirely to such tax levied in Texas. Our income taxes described above are combined in franchise, excise and other taxes in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

A reconciliation of our income tax expense for financial statement purposes, which is computed by applying the applicable state and federal corporate tax rates for the year ended December 31, 2012, is as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Tax at statutory rate on earnings from continuing operations before unconsolidated entities, noncontrolling interest and income taxes | \$25,248 | \$24,900 | \$21,199 |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 3,431 | 3,383 | 2,881 |
| Tax attributable to non-controlling interest | (70 |) — | — |
| Tax at statutory rates on earnings not subject to federal and state income taxes | (28,572 |) (28,283 |) (24,080 |
| Other differences | 100 | 96 | 82 |
| Income tax expense | \$137 | \$96 | \$82 |

We made state income tax payments of \$96,000, \$82,000, and \$52,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 14. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level fair value hierarchy is required to prioritize the inputs used to

measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 — Inputs that are observable in the marketplace other than those inputs classified as level 1

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the valuation

Table of Contents

Our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include marketable securities and derivative financial instruments. Marketable securities include common and preferred stock of other healthcare REITs. Derivative financial instruments represent our interest rate swap agreement.

Marketable Securities. Where possible we utilize quoted prices in active markets to measure debt and equity securities; these items are classified as Level 1 in the hierarchy and include the common and preferred stock of other healthcare REITs.

Derivatives. Derivative financial instruments are valued in the market using discounted cash flow techniques. These techniques incorporate Level 1 and Level 2 inputs, including interest rates. The market inputs are utilized in the discounted cash flow calculation considering the instrument's term, notional amount, discount rate and credit risk. Significant inputs to the derivative valuation for interest rate swaps are observable in active markets and are classified as Level 2 in the hierarchy.

Contingent consideration. Contingent consideration related to business combinations are valued using unobservable inputs about the nature of the contingent arrangement and the counter-party to the arrangement, as well as our assumptions about the probability of full settlement of the contingency.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows (in thousands):

| | Balance Sheet Classification | Fair Value Measurement | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|---------|
| | | (Level 1) | | (Level 2) | | (Level 3) | |
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Common stock of other healthcare REITs | Marketable securities | \$12,884 | \$11,364 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Interest rate swap agreement | Accrued Expenses | \$— | \$— | \$1,241 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Contingent consideration | Real estate purchase liabilities | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$3,256 | \$5,478 |

The following table presents a reconciliation of Level 3 liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Beginning of Year | Transfers Into Level 3 | Realized Gains and (Losses) | Purchases, Issuances and Settlements | Fair Value at End of Year | Total Period Losses Included in Earnings Attributable to the Change in Unrealized Losses Relating to Assets Held at End of Year |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 2012 | | | | | | |
| Contingent consideration | \$5,478 | \$— | \$— | \$(2,222) | \$3,256 | \$— |
| 2011 | | | | | | |
| Contingent consideration | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$5,478 | \$5,478 | \$— |

Carrying values and fair values of financial instruments that are not carried at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are as follows (in thousands):

| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value Measurement | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|------|-----------|------|
| | | (Level 2) | | (Level 3) | |
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Mortgage and other notes receivable | \$84,250 | \$78,672 | \$— | \$— | \$93,835 | \$88,824 |
| Variable rate debt | \$203,250 | \$97,300 | \$203,250 | \$97,300 | \$— | \$— |

The fair value of mortgage and other notes receivable is based on credit risk and discount rates that are not observable in the marketplace and therefore represents a Level 3 measurement.

Carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. The fair value of our borrowings under our credit facility are reasonably estimated at their carrying value at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, due to the predominance of floating interest rates, which generally reflect market conditions.

Table of Contents

NOTE 16. LIMITS ON COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP

The Company's charter contains certain provisions which are designed to ensure that the Company's status as a REIT is protected for federal income tax purposes. One of these provisions ensures that any transfer which would cause NHI to be beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons or would cause NHI to be "closely held" under the Internal Revenue Code would be void which, subject to certain exceptions, results in no stockholder being allowed to own, either directly or indirectly pursuant to certain tax attribution rules, more than 9.9% of the Company's common stock. In 1991, the Board created an exception to this ownership limitation for Dr. Carl E. Adams, his spouse, Jennie Mae Adams, and their lineal descendants. Effective May 12, 2008, we entered into Excepted Holder Agreements with W. Andrew Adams and certain members of his family. These written agreements are intended to restate and replace the parties' prior verbal agreement. Based on the Excepted Holder Agreements currently outstanding, the ownership limit for all other stockholders is approximately 7.5%. Our charter gives our Board of Directors broad powers to prohibit and rescind any attempted transfer in violation of the ownership limits. These agreements were entered into in connection with the Company's stock purchase program pursuant to which the Company announced that it would purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of its common stock in the public market from its stockholders.

A separate agreement was entered into with each of the spouse and children of Dr. Carl E. Adams and others within Mr. W. Andrew Adams' family. We needed to enter into such an agreement with each family member because of the complicated ownership attribution rules under Internal Revenue Code. The Agreement permits the Excepted Holders to own common stock in excess of 9.9% up to the limit specifically provided in the individual agreement and not lose rights with respect to such shares. However, if the stockholder's stock ownership exceeds the limit then such shares in excess of the limit become "Excess Stock" and lose voting rights and entitlement to receive dividends. The Excess Stock classification remains in place until the stockholder no longer exceeds the threshold limit specified in the Agreement. The purpose of these agreements is to ensure that the Company does not violate the prohibition against a REIT being closely held. W. Andrew Adams' Excess Holder Agreement also provides that he will not own shares of stock in any tenant of the Company if such ownership would cause the Company to constructively own more than a 9.9% interest in such tenant. This prohibition is designed to protect the Company's status as a REIT for tax purposes.

NOTE 17. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth selected quarterly financial data for the two most recent fiscal years (in thousands, except per share amounts).

| 2012 | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | December 31, |
| Net revenues | \$24,020 | \$21,817 | \$24,297 | \$26,819 |
| Investment and other gains | 37 | 35 | 46 | 4,759 |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | 17,216 | 15,859 | 13,282 | 28,139 |
| Discontinued operations | 1,133 | 1,068 | 1,068 | 12,966 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$18,349 | \$16,927 | \$14,350 | \$41,105 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 27,776,104 | 27,792,834 | 27,830,311 | 27,848,002 |
| Diluted | 27,803,222 | 27,820,831 | 27,862,582 | 27,868,245 |

Earnings per common share:

Basic:

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$.62 | \$.57 | \$.48 | \$ 1.01 |
| Discontinued operations | .04 | .04 | .04 | .47 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$.66 | \$.61 | \$.52 | \$ 1.48 |

Diluted:

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$.62 | \$.57 | \$.48 | \$ 1.01 |
| Discontinued operations | .04 | .04 | .04 | .47 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$.66 | \$.61 | \$.52 | \$ 1.48 |

76

Table of Contents

| 2011 | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | December 31, |
| Net revenues | \$21,691 | \$21,369 | \$21,578 | \$22,575 |
| Investment and other gains | 192 | 8,661 | 1,114 | 294 |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | 15,537 | 23,985 | 16,668 | 17,002 |
| Discontinued operations | 3,556 | 1,132 | 2,140 | 1,112 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$19,093 | \$25,117 | \$18,808 | \$18,114 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 27,696,727 | 27,708,136 | 27,729,560 | 27,741,961 |
| Diluted | 27,796,109 | 27,799,616 | 27,789,725 | 27,784,915 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$.56 | \$.87 | \$.60 | \$.61 |
| Discontinued operations | .13 | .04 | .08 | .04 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$.69 | \$.91 | \$.68 | \$.65 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$.56 | \$.86 | \$.60 | \$.61 |
| Discontinued operations | .13 | .04 | .08 | .04 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$.69 | \$.90 | \$.68 | \$.65 |

Certain quarterly financial information shown above differs from amounts previously reported in the Forms 10-Q and Form 10-K for those periods due to reclassifications to retrospectively reflect the dispositions or planned dispositions of certain facilities as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Control and Procedures. As of December 31, 2012, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Accounting Officer (“CAO”), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of management’s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934) to ensure information required to be disclosed in our filings under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, is (i) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms; and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and our CAO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving desired control objectives, and management is necessarily required to apply its judgment when evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of potential controls and procedures. Based upon the evaluation, the CEO and CAO concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in management’s evaluation during the three months ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of National Health Investors, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on that assessment, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, BDO USA, LLP, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting included herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders
National Health Investors, Inc.
Murfreesboro, Tennessee

We have audited National Health Investors, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). National Health Investors, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Item 9A, Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting." Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, National Health Investors, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of National Health Investors, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 and our report dated February 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 14, 2013

80

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

We have filed with the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) the Annual CEO Certification regarding the Company’s compliance with the NYSE’s Corporate Governance listing standards as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. Additionally, we have filed as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, the applicable certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Accounting Officer as required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Incorporated by reference from the information in our definitive proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, which we will file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Incorporated by reference from the information in our definitive proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, which we will file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Incorporated by reference from the information in our definitive proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, which we will file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

Incorporated by reference from the information in our definitive proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, which we will file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Incorporated by reference from the information in our definitive proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, which we will file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The Consolidated Financial Statements are included in Item 8 and are filed as part of this report.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The Financial Statement Schedules and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedules are listed in Exhibit 13.

(3) Exhibits

Exhibits required as part of this report are listed in the Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.

BY: /s/ J. Justin Hutchens
 J. Justin Hutchens
 President, Chief Executive Officer
 and Director

Date: February 14, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ J. Justin Hutchens J. Justin Hutchens | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 14, 2013 |
| /s/ Roger R. Hopkins Roger R. Hopkins | Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) | February 14, 2013 |
| /s/ W. Andrew Adams W. Andrew Adams | Chairman of the Board | February 14, 2013 |
| /s/ Robert A. McCabe, Jr. Robert A. McCabe, Jr. | Director | February 14, 2013 |
| /s/ Robert T. Webb Robert T. Webb | Director | February 14, 2013 |
| /s/ Ted H. Welch Ted H. Welch | Director | February 14, 2013 |

Table of Contents

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.
 FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012
 EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description | Page No. or Location |
|-------------|---|--|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form S-11 Registration Statement No. 33-41863 |
| 3.2 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation dated as of May 1, 2009 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed March 23, 2009 |
| 3.3 | Restated Bylaws as amended November 5, 2012 | Filed Herewith |
| 4.1 | Form of Common Stock Certificate | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 39 to Form S-11 Registration Statement No. 33-41863 |
| 10.1 | Material Contracts | Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.1 thru 10.9 to Form S-4 Registration Statement No. 33-41863 |
| 10.2 | Amendment No. 5 to Master Agreement to Lease dated December 27, 2005, effective January 1, 2007 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-K dated March 10, 2006 |
| 10.4 | 1997 Stock Option Plan | Incorporated by reference to the 1997 Proxy Statement as filed |
| 10.5 | 2005 Stock Option Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed August 4, 2005 |
| 10.6 | First Amendment to the 2005 Stock Option, Restricted Stock & Stock Appreciation Rights Plan | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Proxy Statement filed March 17, 2006 |
| 10.7 | Second Amendment to the 2005 Stock Option, Restricted Stock & Stock Appreciation Rights Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to the Company's Proxy Statement filed March 23, 2009 |
| 10.8 | Excepted Holder Agreement – W. Andrew Adams | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-K dated February 24, 2009 |
| 10.9 | Excepted Holder Agreement between the Company and Andrea Adams Brown with Schedule A identifying substantially identical agreements and setting forth the material details in which such agreements differ from this agreement. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q dated November 3, 2010 |

| | | |
|-------|--|--|
| 10.10 | Consulting Agreement with W. Andrew Adams | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-K dated February 24, 2009 |
| 10.11 | Amended and Restated Consulting Agreement | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q dated May 5, 2009 |
| 10.12 | Amendment No. 1 dated March 10, 2010 to the Amended and Restated Consulting Agreement dated February 25, 2009 by and between NHI and W. Andrew Adams | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q dated May 7, 2010 |
| 10.13 | Employment Agreement with J. Justin Hutchens | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q dated May 5, 2009 |
| 10.14 | Amendment No. 1 dated March 10, 2010 to the Employment Agreement dated February 25, 2009 by and between NHI and J. Justin Hutchens | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q dated May 7, 2010 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 10.15 | Agreement with Care Foundation of America, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Form 10-K dated February 22, 2010 |
| 10.16 | \$100,000,000 credit facility dated February 1, 2010 by and between NHI and certain subsidiaries and Regions bank, as agent | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q dated May 7, 2010 |
| 10.17 | \$50 million term loan and a \$50 million revolving credit facility with Regions Bank dated November 3, 2010 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K dated February 16, 2010 |
| 10.18 | Third Amendment dated May 3, 2011 to the Employment Agreement dated February 25, 2009 by and between NHI and J. Justin Hutchens | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 |
| 10.19 | Contract to Acquire Properties dated October 31, 2011 by and between National Health Investors, Inc. and Firehole River Real Estate Holdings – Greenville, Ltd., Firehole River Real Estate Holdings – West Houston, Ltd., Legend Oaks – Ennis, LLC, Legend Greenville Healthcare, LLC, Legend Oaks – West Houston, LLC and Legend Oaks – North Houston, LLC | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q dated November 7, 2011 |
| 10.20 | Credit Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2011, by and among the Company, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders named therein. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q dated November 7, 2011 |
| 10.21 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 1, 2012 among the Corporation, as borrower, the lenders from time to time party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, swing line lender and issuing bank. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q dated August 3, 2012 |
| 10.22 | Extension of Master Agreement to Lease dated December 28, 2012. | Filed Herewith |
| 13 | Financial Statement Schedules | Filed herewith |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer | Filed Herewith |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer | Filed Herewith |
| 32 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 by Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer | Filed Herewith |

